CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

March 10, 2022 Start: 10:20 a.m. Recess: 11:04 a.m.

HELD AT: HYBRID HEARING - COUNCIL CHAMBERS -

CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Justin L. Brannan, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Diana Ayala

Charles Barron Gale A. Brewer

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

David M. Carr Amanda Farías Kamillah Hanks Crystal Hudson

Ari Kagan

Farah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya

Chi A. Ossé Keith Powers

Pierina Ana Sanchez Marjorie Velázquez

Julie Won

## APPEARANCES

Kenneth Grace, Department of Finance Director of Intergovernmental Affairs Pierre Dejean, Department of Finance Assistant Commissioner for Property Exempt Administration

2 SERGEANT-IN-ARMS: Computer recording is 3 up.

Cloud recording is up.

Good morning, everyone. Welcome to today's Hybrid New York City Council meeting of the Committee on Finance.

At this time, will all Panelists please turn on your videos. To minimize disruption, please place electronic devices to vibrate or silent. Thank you for your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

Good morning. Welcome to today's Finance Committee hearing. I'm Council Member Justin Brannan, and I have the privilege of chairing the Finance Committee. I want to acknowledge my Colleagues who have joined us today starting with Speaker Adams, Council Members Ayala, Powers, Louis, Brooks-Powers, Barron, Brewer, Hanks, Hudson, Kagan, Osse, Sanchez, Won, Moya, Carr, and Yeger, and more folks will be joining us. I'll announce them as they arrive. We have a bunch of folks on Zoom.

Today, the Finance Committee will be voting on 6 items, which are 2 BID-related

2.2

2.3

resolutions, the Council's fiscal '23 operating budget, a transparency resolution, and an introduction and companion resolution relating to SCHE and DHE property tax exemption renewals.

resolutions. The first sets forth March 24th, 2022, at 10 a.m. in this room as the date, time, and place to hold a public hearing considering the local law that would establish the West Village BID in Council Member Bottcher's district in Manhattan. Council Member Bottcher is supportive of the BID's establishment.

The second sets forth March 24th, 2022, at 10 a.m. in the Committee Room as the date, time, and place to hold a public hearing considering the local law that would establish the Castle Hill BID in Council Member Farias' district in the Bronx. Council Member Farias is supportive of the BID's establishment as well.

Next, we have the Council's fiscal '23 operating budget and accompanying OTPS schedule.

Council's fiscal '23 proposed budget includes 75.7 million dollars for personal services and 23.4 million for OTPS, or Other Than Personal Services.

Next, we have the transparency

2	
2	

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

resolution. The transparency resolution sets forth the new delegation and changes in the designation of certain organizations receiving local aging, antipoverty, and youth discretionary funding, and funding pursuant to certain initiatives in the budget. As with all transparency resolutions, Council Members will have to sign a disclosure form indication whether or not a conflict exists with any of the groups on the attached list. If any Council Member has a potential conflict of interest with any of the organizations listed, he or she has the opportunity to disclose the conflict at the time of their vote. As a reminder, please disclose any conflicts you may have with proposed subcontractors used by organizations sponsored by discretionary funding. These disclosures must be made before the subcontractor can be approved. Disclosure forms must

Last, we have the resolution and introduction relating to SCHE and DHE property tax

be completed and submitted prior to the vote on the

transparency resolution and may be emailed to Julius

Caranda who is also present today in Chambers to

answer your questions.

2	exemption rules. These bills sponsored by Speaker
3	Adams would automatically renew for the next tax year
4	all Senior Citizen Homeowner and Disabled Homeowner
5	property tax exemptions to all properties that
6	received the benefit this year. The bills would allow
7	the Department of Finance to require renewals in 4
8	instances where it believes the property may no
9	longer be eligible for the benefit next year,
10	specifically if the owner has died, the owner has
11	sold the property, the owner has added a new owner to
12	the deed, or if the owner no longer uses the property
13	as a primary residence. Last year, Council enacted
14	Local Law 38 which automatically renewed SCHE and DHE
15	benefits though only for that tax year under a
16	limited grant of authority under New York state law.
17	As a result of that legislation, the Department of
18	Finance reported that over 12,400 homeowners were
19	automatically renewed with another 25,600 homeowners
20	that renewed via a renewal application, including
21	those who submitted applications before the passage
22	of the law or those who fell into one of those
23	enumerated categories. For this year, Department of
24	Finance reports that approximately 5,426 homeowners
25	could potentially benefit from the proposed

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 7
2	legislation. These pieces of legislation were
3	prompted by the Governor's executive order 11.1
4	issued on December 26, 2021, which was later extended
5	by executive order 11.3 issued on February 14, 2022.
6	The resolution and local law conforms with the
7	authorities of the EO 11.1 and EO 11.3 afforded to
8	this Body. Department of Finance Director of
9	Intergovernmental Affairs, Kenneth Grace, is here to
10	testify regarding the proposed SCHE and DHE renewal
11	extensions and is joined by Pierre Dejean of
12	Department of Finance's Assistant Commissioner for
13	Property Exemption Administration, and we're going to
14	hear from them now after they are sworn in by
15	Counsel.
16	COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your
17	testimony will be truthful to the best of your
18	knowledge, info, belief?
19	KENNETH GRACE: (INAUDIBLE)
20	COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when
21	ready.
22	KENNETH GRACE: Thank you, Chair Brannan
23	and Members of the Finance Committee. My name is
24	Kenneth Grace, and I am the Director of

Intergovernmental Affairs at the New York City

2.2

2.3

Department of Finance. I am joined here today by my
Colleague, Pierre Dejean, the Assistant Commissioner
for Property Exemptions Administration at the New
York City Department of Finance.

Department's full support for the legislation which allows the Department to opt into Governor Hochul's executive order 11.1 which was signed on December 26th, 2021. The preconsidered intro allows the Department to automatically enroll the '22-'23 assessment roll, the renewal of the certain residential property taxation exemptions received on '21-'22 assessment roll for persons 65 years of age and older and persons with disabilities who earn \$58,399 a year or less. In other words, taxpayers currently receiving either a Senior Citizen Homeowners Exemption or a Disabled Homeowners Exemption will have their exemption automatically renewed for the coming year starting July 1.

Of the current list of 17,902 eligible renewal recipients, we have yet to hear from 5,426 households and without this legislation those households are at risk of having those benefits revoked if no application for renewal is submitted by

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

the statutory deadline of March 5, 2022. It is our believe that any homeowner entitled to one of these benefits should be able to obtain it and it can critical for many low-income New Yorkers' ability to remain in their homes. This legislation today solves for that problem and it allows us to auto-renew anybody who we have not heard back from since we

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on the preconsidered intro and we are happy to answer any questions that the Committee may have on it.

first sent out renewal notices last November.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Ken. You testified that the current list of 17,902 eligible renewal recipients, Department of Finance has yet to hear from 5,426 households. Can you estimate what proportion of those households that you haven't heard from would be eligible for the auto-renewal?

KENNETH GRACE: I'll defer to my Colleague.

PIERRE DEJEAN: They would all be eligible. They would be automatically renewed into the program so at the point of approval we wouldn't be removing anyone or revoking anyone.

S

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I know last year Finance waived the March 15 application deadline for the households that were determined to be ineligible for auto-renewal. Can you promise to have some flexibility this year?

PIERRE DEJEAN: Yes, assuming if the legislation is passed today, that would automatically allow us to go in and to renew the 5,000 outstanding folks that have not yet applied, and what would do is we would also extend the opportunity for those that we've approved, if they've had a change in income, to submit additional information to us because they may be able to benefit from a greater percentage of the benefit so we would extend that courtesy to May 1 to allow them to submit additional documentation to us.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay.

KENNETH GRACE: Just want to be flexible as possible on that. At any time, anyone that can apply should apply even if it's after the deadline.

PIERRE DEJEAN: And if I could just make one quick correction. In the testimony, my Colleague mentioned March 5, the deadline is actually March 15 so we still have a couple of days for them to apply so we would extend the courtesy.

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Last year, I note
3	Finance denied SCHE and DHE renewals for
4	approximately 850 households, but I know there was
5	some confusion about why that was so. Would you be
6	able to confirm on the record that those households
7	that were denied fell into 1 of the 4 categories that
8	made them ineligible for auto-renewal and that they
9	either subsequently failed to file a renewal
10	application or filed an application that indicated
11	that they did not qualify

of the 4 categories, either deceased or the property changed hands or they changes residency or ownership structure in the household. We do that after compliance work. We go back and we check to make sure that the folks that are receiving the benefits remain eligible to receive the benefit. If they are no longer eligible, then we reach out to them, give them an opportunity to correct whatever discrepancies that they may have and allow them to either get back on or if they can't do that we have to revoke them out of the program.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Do any of my Colleagues have questions?

2.2

2.3

Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very

much. My first question is can you break down where

the 5,000 plus are per Council District and then we

could call them and ask them what the hell's going

on?

KENNETH GRACE: Yes.

get that list? We can immediately call. Second, what do you think, there's always been a potential of gazillion more people who could be eligible for this program. Do you have a sense of what that is even though it's not on the table for today? In other words, many more seniors and disabled as I understand it just don't sign up and we're all trying to get them to do that so my question is do you have that potential number and what are we doing to try to get them to sign up?

KENNETH GRACE: I think we could, you know, partner with the Council on outreach to make folks aware of the program. That would be a good start.

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So we don't have a
3	number as to how many people might be eligible,
4	income, age, et cetera?

PIERRE DEJEAN: We don't have that number at this time, but we can work on trying to get you that number.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, it's thousands. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I just want to commend Kenny Grace today on his performance here before the City Council as a former employee of this Council. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I was going to turn \\ \mbox{up the hot lights on him.}$ 

We have questions, I think, on Zoom from Council Member Sanchez.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Good morning. I also want to do the same. Hi, Kenny.

My question is probably on the other side of Council Member Brewer's question. How accurately or what are the mechanisms that DOF has to know when

2	someone has moved or there's another reason why DOF
3	should be demanding a renewal or requiring a renewal?
4	PIERRE DEJEAN: We have (INAUDIBLE) at the
5	Department of Finance so when property changes hands,
6	we get notice that the properties have changed hands
7	and that gives us a flag to let us know that we
8	should inquire more to confirm and then to verify
9	that if that is the case then the new owners of the
10	property can either apply but if not the old owners
11	have to be revoked.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Got it. What
13	about the case of death, someone passes away?
14	PIERRE DEJEAN: Yes, many times household
15	members will either let us know that someone who's or
16	the deed may have passed away or we have these
17	databases that we use to confirm death. There's a
18	Social Security death match that we acquire every
19	quarter and we do a match.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Got it. Okay,
21	thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I have a question

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you, Chair Brannan. I want to just double down on what the Chair

from Council Member Carr.

23

24

2.2

2.3

was asking earlier with respect to the folks that you may deem to need to submit information after the law is enacted. Are there folks that you are looking at right now that you think may fit 1 of the criteria as laid out in the introduction for why they may need to show you some additional information? Do you have a sense of that at this point?

application that's submitted, if there's information that's missing we'd send out a notice for them to supply us that information so we can complete the packets to make a determination. Unless we have an application, we won't know necessarily what informations are missing to make a determination.

With the auto-renewal, that wouldn't be the case. We would just automatically renew and then on the backend we would do a compliance check to just verify that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: So to be clear, only the folks who actually have submitted applications are going to be among the pool of people you would look at to determine if additional info is needed?

I'm sorry if I'm not following.

2.3

\_ 1

PIERRE DEJEAN: In regards to automatic renewal or application...

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: No, I'm saying in the bill, right, the bill says that if there's additional information needed, right, a new person was added to the deed, someone may have passed away, et cetera, you're going to follow up with those applicants for additional information. What is going to lead you to do that followup other than maybe they applied and supplied you some information that leads you to ask the question in the first place?

PIERRE DEJEAN: Again, if we get a flag that the property has changed hands, we would need to get that confirmation.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: You have no sense of a number at this point about how many may fall into that category where you're going to be following up in the next few days, right, 'cause the deadline's coming next week.

ENNETH GRACE: I think the goal is to enroll anyone that is currently eligible. We have the number I said earlier, the 5,000 number, the goal is to get those people in the program and then from there they would do the backend work to determine if

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they're eligible or not. I'm sure there's a 2 3 percentage of folks of that 5,000 number that are not 4 going to be eligible.

PIERRE DEJEAN: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: So I guess my question is you mentioned May 1st is kind of like a deadline you're looking at internal to the agency to give these folks additional time beyond what the local law may give them and so like how hard and fast is that? If people are communicating with you in good faith and they're still getting you paperwork by May 1st, comes May 2nd, May 3rd, is it a hard deadline or is just going to be for the people who have been totally incommunicado throughout the process?

PIERRE DEJEAN: Just so we can level set the understanding a little bit, once we auto-renew, you're in the program, and you'll be receiving the benefit so there's no harm or anything done. The additional thing that we would do is ask if your income has changed then you'd supply us with that information. There's a potential that you maybe able to get a greater benefit, right, because there's a range, but everyone is going to be auto-renewed if they were on the tax rolls last tax period, last year

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2	so there's no fear of the 5,000 that's outstanding
3	now, if we auto-renew, they would all be into the
1	program.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Okay, and I appreciate it. Listen, I think that this bill is great. I just want to make sure that we don't cost somebody an exemption they should be getting on the backend of all this so I appreciate your answers to my questions.

CHAIRPERSON BANNAN: Okay, now questions from Council Member Louis.

think you answered part of my question so thank you, Council Member Carr, but I want to know for those that are not going to be auto-renewed and are not aware of the deadline on March 15th, I'm very grateful to the Department of Finance (INAUDIBLE) Not everybody attends. It's a virtual session so there are a proportion of people that are not aware of March 15th. How are we reaching out to those folks, and I'm grateful that you want to work with the Council but you can't be reliant just on us to help you with outreach so what does that look like. Now,

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

we about like 4 to 5 days, and if it's business days it's like 3 days so what are we doing for that?

KENNETH GRACE: With respect to people being enrolled in the program, this bill that we hope passes will enable everyone that's eligible that we know of to be automatically enrolled into the program.

As far as outreach to try to hit folks that are outside of the narrow scope of this program that might be eligible, you know, the Department of Finance does have a robust strategy. We have an External Affairs Unit that does a lot of outreach for all sorts of programs. We've partnered with the Council in the past, you know, because you guys know your districts better than anyone else so definitely more than to happy to speak with you bout new ideas but we do have an External Affairs team that does work on...

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: It would be great to know what that is 'cause what you're sharing is prepandemic, the outreach component that you guys implemented, so I would like to know what that looks like right now and I'm only sharing this because we had a home benefits workshop this week, had 56 people

\_

J

2.2

come on who weren't aware and so you have a new group of people that would like to participate and want to be recipients and I would like to know what is your Agency doing in order to reach out to the new group of people. That's all, so we'll talk and discuss. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to acknowledge we've been joined on Zoom by Council Member Farias and Velazquez, and question now from Council Member Osse.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Thank you so much. Good morning, Chair, good morning, Madame Speaker, and thank you to those of you that are testifying this morning.

I do want to kind of piggyback off of what Council Member Louis brought up in terms of outreach and especially with how rushed this somewhat seems without a full pandemic plan on what that outreach will look like. You did bring up that when you are going to engage in outreach with the Council, it will be to folks that you know of that will be exempt from this program. Do you have any metrics on those that you do not know of, whether it's, you know, non-English speakers, you know, a lot of our

۰-

senior residents that, you know, are not terminally online. I'm just worried about those of our neighbors that like Council Member said that are not aware of this program, how many of them are going to be left out of this and especially with how little time there is until March 15th.

KENNETH GRACE: I think the goal now is to have the folks that are enrolled in the program that are risk of falling off to get back into the program and, you know, if there's new people, you know, as my Colleague indicated, you know, we have until May 1st. Anyone that finds out about the program that's eligible for the program we encourage them to apply.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Until May 1st?

KENNETH GRACE: Correct.

PIERRE DEJEAN: Well, that's with additional information. If the legislation, then everyone will be auto-renewed. If not, then the deadline still remains at March 15th to submit an application for the upcoming tax year, '23.

KENNETH GRACE: Sorry about that. To correct the record, the deadline is the 15th, but, you know, we're willing to work with you and work

with members of the public that do not know about this program that happen to be eligible.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Right. It just seems difficult to do that, as my Colleague said, within the short amount of time, especially when there doesn't seem to be a well-thought-out strategy for what that outreach will look like, especially of our residents and our constituents that, you know, are not fully online or even remotely aware of the programs that are at hand.

KENNETH GRACE: More than happy to talk to you offline about all the outreach that we're doing throughout the City year-round.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can we just for the record just clarify the deadlines again?

PIERRE DEJEAN: The deadline for applications is March 15th so the other date that we've been talking about, May 1st, was originally the extension that we wanted to have included in the legislation just to give folks an additional opportunity to apply or for us to make a determination that they would be automatically

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

2	renewed,	but	as	it	stands	today	the	deadline	is	March
2	15+h									

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: But as you testified before, you're willing to give some flexibility there?

PIERRE DEJEAN: Yeah, we will give flexibility to those that we've auto-renewed that may have had a change in circumstance so that they can get a greater benefit.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: All right, but not a new application?

PIERRE DEJEAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Question from Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: All right. Thank
you very much, Mr. Chairman. I'll do it from here.
Not trying to make you turn around so you can see me
online or you can see me over here. First of all, I
also want to share the Council Members praise of
Kenny Grace's performance here today. It's good to
see you again. I have concerns about a number of
portions of this bill and before I do that I just
want to for purposes of background point out that
last year when we heard this bill and when we voted

on it, there were 18 members of this body who wisely
opposed this bill, or at least wisely in my judgement
because I was one of them, and I think that would be
wise for them to do today and I'll explain why. The
legislation provides a number of problems that are of
the drafters' own creation. First of all, the
requirement that it be submitted by March 15th with
an added unstated clarification that we'll have some
flexibility. The statute says "shall require such
renewal application be submitted by March 15th of the
appropriate year." I'm not sure what flexibility
you're going to be able to give when the statute is
passed with the word "shall." Shall means shall, and
I'm not sure that you have that flexibility to extend
it past March 15th, and I have a concern about that.
With respect to the portion of the statute, and this
is a concern that I raised last year, and this is a
concern that a number of my Colleagues raised last
year as well, the portion of the statute that refers
to if the Department has reason to believe. I am most
concerned with giving any city agency, even one as
great as the Finance Department, the flexibility of
having a reason to believe anything without stating
it clearly in the statute. We're relying on that a

city agency is going to have good records or a city
agency is not going to be wrong. You know, I'm not
one of those people who are the downers, but we
frequently find that city agencies are wrong so when
we have a situation like that and we're not able to
actually have the number of people who are going to
be thrown off because as we stand here today 5 days
before the deadline for renewal, the Department's not
able to tell us who it is that's potentially being
thrown off and reliant on the Department's being able
to have that reason to believe. There were many
examples that we brought up last year of people who
may incorrectly be thrown off. They didn't get the
mail, they're not around, they may in the
Department's view have changed their primary
residence but simply not be living in their home
because they're, you know, 100 years old and living
with family, but still legally entitled to the
exemption notwithstanding living there. There were I
believe you testified approximately 800 homeowners
who met that the requirement to no longer receive the
exemption but what we didn't hear is how many were
initially identified by the Department of Finance
last year as the Department having reason to believe.

That was last year. We also don't know this year	how
many people the Department has already identified	d as
being in the category of having reason to believe	e and
so as much as those are questions that remain	
unanswered, I would also say to my Colleagues tha	at,
you know, there's going to be conversation today	and
as we pass this vote out of Finance and then onto	the
floor a little later of well, we don't have a cho	oice,
we have a gun to our head, we have to pass it, it	f we
don't pass it the world's going to come to a	
collapse. It's not true. It's not true. We can ar	nend
this bill. We can take out the language that refe	ers
to reason to believe and we can pass the bill wit	thout
it, and we can pass a bill that says that anybody	y who
was entitled to the exemption last year gets it t	this
year, period. If there are Colleagues of mine who	Ο,
and I've heard some of the questions earlier, hav	<i>i</i> e
concerns about whether or not we can rely on this	3
reason to believe place, we have an option. Amend	d the
bill right now, strike that paragraph, and pass t	the
bill without the reason to believe paragraph. Pas	ss it
today and everybody who got it last year will get	: it
this year and they will not be able to turn to us	s and
say what did you do to me.	

2	Before I go, this is my last point. Thank
3	you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the time. I'm going
4	to quote from of my wife's Colleagues last year,
5	Council Member Barron, and she said, she was
6	referring to the approximately 11 homeowners that she
7	believed were in her district that fell into the
8	category, and she said I wouldn't want to have to
9	meet them some place and them ask me why I voted for
10	a bill that put this in this category when they are
11	presently already protected. Ultimately, that's what
12	we're doing. We're giving the authority to the
13	Department to throw people off and they already have
14	the protection so without this amendment I would urge
15	my Colleagues to vote no on this bill today. To the
16	extent that the Department is able to provide some
17	clarity, I appreciate, but without that clarity and
18	without the change in the language I would urge a no
19	vote. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and Madame
20	Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Council Member. Just to reiterate on the record to the Council Member's points, last year DoF denied renewals from 853 households but you're confirming

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 28
2	that all of those households that were denied fell
3	into one of those 4 buckets?
4	PIERRE DEJEAN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. There was no
6	one that was an outlier?
7	KENNETH GRACE: Correct.
8	PIERRE DEJEAN: I mean out of that group,
9	there were about 482 or 59 percent of the 817 that
10	were because…
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: You should put the
12	mic a little closer.
13	PIERRE DEJEAN: Oh, sorry. Out of that
14	group, we had about 59 percent or 482 that were
15	because of death
16	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Because of what?
17	PIERRE DEJEAN: Death.
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Death?
19	PIERRE DEJEAN: Yeah. Their benefits were
20	revoked. I mean in terms of what the Council Member
21	respectfully said the reason to believe comes up when
22	we acquire information that tells us property has
23	been either transferred or there's been new
24	homeowners added to the deed or one's primary

residence has been changed. Once we get information

2.2

2.3

to that effect, we have to do the research. We do ask for the homeowners to clarify whether or not this is the fact or not before we take any action to revoke or to remove a benefit so we do give them that opportunity. In addition to that, they have the opportunity to appeal to the Tax Commission so if we did make a mistake, they go to the Tax Commission, provide the necessary proof and be reinstated right back to the program should that happen. I hope that answers your question.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay.

KENNETH GRACE: I just want to add that, you know, today there's 5,426 households we have not heard from and they will not have the benefit on the 16th if we don't pass the bill.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Got it. Right. Okay,

I have question from Council Member Brooks-Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

Just taking into account what has been said by some of my Colleagues and wanting to ensure we're protecting folks from being inadvertently left off of this resource, I want to know what's in place for maybe seniors that may have missed the deadline because of the short window or if they may have for

some reason been kicked off of the list, is there a process in which they could be reconsidered, like an appeal process if you will, that will be made available.

PIERRE DEJEAN: They can always appeal to the Tax Commission and provide necessary proof and information that would otherwise turn our determination around so they have that option available to them, but the 5,000 outstanding as of this date that have not applied to renew and we're not sure why yet. We would investigate to find out why, but through the Tax Commission they have the opportunity to appeal.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Will there be opportunities to request an extension if they cannot meet the deadline?

PIERRE DEJEAN: We're not mandating...

KENNETH GRACE: The May 1st deadline?

Everyone gets renewed now and if they fall into the 4

categories they would have an issue from then until

May 1st, and they have until May 1st to rectify it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. Got

it. Thank you.

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2	KENNETH GRACE: But everyone today would
3	be automatically enrolled.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Got it.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member
7	Hanks.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you, Chair.
9	Thank you, Madame Speaker. Do you have the IDs of the
10	number of people per Council district that may not be
11	auto-renewed by the 15th, and, if not, what can our
12	offices do to assist in contacting our constituents?
13	KENNETH GRACE: Are you referring to
14	breaking up the 5,426 in case this bill doesn't pass'
15	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Yes.
16	KENNETH GRACE: We can break that out.
17	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Well played, Mr.
18	Grace. Question from Council Member Barron on Zoom.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I think that we
20	should take very seriously into consideration of
21	expediting doing this the right way. The present way,
22	setting us a deadline, making you panic thinking that
23	if you don't vote for this, change is going to come
24	to 5,000 some odd people. When this Council wants to

do something, we know how to expedite amendments. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

know how to go about making this thing right. My predecessor, a very wise woman, stated clearly that this could hurt some of our people and while you are voting for something that definitely would get 5,000 some odd back on the rolls but the people that will be left off, it's not necessary if we just expedite an amendment to this and make sure that everybody that's eligible be able to still benefit from this program and not rush, this is how they do us. They rush stuff so you say oh, man, if I don't do this then I'm going to be hurting so many people when we could do it right and help so many more and not hurt those who will not be covered by this. I'm urging us to not vote for this and do it the right way so that everybody's protected because when you go back to your communities and you run into some seniors who are going to be devastated by this because we're rushing into this, it could be a major problem so I say we should do it the right way, even if we have to take time out to amend it, have a hearing tonight or whatever, or tomorrow, emergency, whatever. These are our seniors, and there's an old African proverb that says take care of those who may have lost their teeth

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

2	because	they	took	care	of	you	when	you	were	teething.
3	Thank yo	ou.								

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can you give us an idea of how much the programs have grown over the years based on your outreach? Do you have numbers for that? How many more people who are eligible who are now...

PIERRE DEJEAN: We'd have to get that.

KENNETH GRACE: Yeah, we could get back to you with numbers on how big the program's grown over the years.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I mean is it a steady increase?

PIERRE DEJEAN: It's hard to tell right now because the pandemic year was very hard to gauge. People fell off for many reasons. I mean we're sort of still gathering this data now, right, and it's hard to tell, but we can get back to you with some numbers.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I think I speak for all my Colleagues in that, you know, the folks that know how to apply for this stuff know how to apply for this stuff. It's the people that don't know how to engage with their government that we

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

really have to be making a concerted effort in reaching, the folks that don't know what's out there so I'd love to know what successes we've had there and how we can be a partner in improving it.

I think we just have Speaker Adams.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Good morning, and thank you both for being here today. Thank you for supporting this legislation. As was in the past, I still stand by this legislation. I think it is vital to keep our seniors who are aging in place home. As a resident of southeast Queens all my life, we know the benefit of making sure that seniors are able to age in place respectfully. My only question would be to follow up, and I thank my Colleagues for all of their concerns, comments, and questions, fruitful as always, we would still be curious to know those numbers though of those that we are unable to reach and the numbers per district that we can get to. Other than that, I urge my Colleagues to support this legislation. I think that it is vital and we should leave no senior behind when it comes to expiration dates that are in the future. We must protect them. Thank you very much.

KENNETH GRACE: Thank you.

## COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

_	COINTITIED ON TIMEWOOD
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Speaker.
3	One last question from Council Member Osse on Zoom.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Thank you very much.
5	I think this is just a comment, but, you know, I
6	think this bill is a great bill on face value.
7	However, I think throughout this entire hearing
8	there's a lot of, you know, we'll get back to you,
9	we'll get back to you, we'll get back to you and, you
10	know, we're expected to vote on this today and the
11	deadline is on March 15th, and it just doesn't seem
12	like enough time for, you know, us to, or at least,
13	you know, myself to make a decision on this when we
14	don't have the actual numbers of seniors that could
15	potentially be harmed and affected by this, and I
16	represent a district filled with seniors and that is
17	the last thing that I want to do to them.
18	Maybe my question could be like when
19	would you be able to get all of that data back to us?
20	Would it be today?
21	KENNETH GRACE: I'd be happy to talk to
22	you today.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Osse,

we can walk you through it as well.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 36
2	Could we get Finance to agree to send us
3	the answers to our questions before our stated
4	meeting?
5	KENNETH GRACE: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Is that doable?
7	KENNETH GRACE: Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, that's great.
9	Okay, if there are no further questions, I'll now ask
10	Billy Martin, the Committee Clerk, to call the roll.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK: Good morning. William
12	Martin, Committee Clerk. Roll call vote Committee on
13	Finance. All items are coupled.
14	Chair Brannan.
15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I vote aye.
16	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ayala.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I vote aye.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK: Moya.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.
20	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Powers.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I vote aye.
22	COMMITTEE CLERK: Louis.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: I vote aye.
24	COMMITTEE CLERK: Brooks-Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: I vote aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 37
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Barron.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I abstain until we
4	can get an amended version of this bill so that it
5	protects all of our seniors and that nobody is left
6	and hurt because some people are going to be hurt by
7	this.
8	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you, Council
9	Member Barron, and the other bills?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Aye on all.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you, sir. Brewer.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I vote aye. This
13	is a great bill for the 5,000 plus seniors and of
14	course we have work to do in the future but
15	congratulations, Madame Speaker and the Department of
16	Finance. This is an excellent bill. Thank you very
17	much.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Farias.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: I vote aye.
20	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Hanks.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: I vote aye.
22	COMMITTEE CLERK: Hudson.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Pass.
24	COMMITTEE CLERK: Kagan.

COUNCIL MEMBER KAGAN: Aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 38
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Osse.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSE: I vote aye on all
4	except the SCHE/DHE bill on which I'll abstain and I
5	echo the sentiments of my Colleague, Council Member
6	Barron.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Sanchez.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: I vote aye.
9	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Velazquez.
10	Won.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I vote aye.
12	COMMITTEE CLERK: Carr.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: I'll be abstaining
14	on introduction 71 and resolution 54, and aye on the
15	rest, and there's a transparency on the (INAUDIBLE)
16	so I'm just going to disclose on the record that
17	we're funding CUNY in this transparency resolution
18	and my domestic partner is a student at CUNY.
19	COMMITTEE CLERK: Hudson.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: I vote aye on all
21	and understand the concerns and support an effort to
22	address the issues that have been raised by my
23	Colleagues.
24	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you. Council

Member Velazquez. Okay. By a vote of 16 in the

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 39
2	affirmative, 0 in the negative, no abstentions, all
3	items are adopted with the following exceptions of
4	introduction 71 which is adopted by the Committee 14
5	in the affirmative, 0 in the negative, and 2
6	abstentions, and resolution 54 which is adopted by
7	the Committee 15 in the affirmative, 0 in the
8	negative, and 1 abstention.
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Billy.
10	With that, this meeting is adjourned. [GAVEL]
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 23, 2022