1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1
2	
3	OTEV COUNCIL
4	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK
5	X
6	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
7	Of the
8	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND
9	FRANCHISESX
10	
11	January 27, 2022 Start: 10:13 a.m.
12	Recess: 11:25 a.m.
13	HELD AT: HYBRID HEARING - COUNCIL CHAMBERS- CITY HALL
14	CIII HALL
15	B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson
16	Charrenson
17	
18	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
19	Shaun Abreu Erik D. Bottcher
20	David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks
21	Farah N. Louis
22	Francisco P. Moya Lynn C. Schulman
23	
24	

	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND EDANGUIGES	2
1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	2
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Richard Lobel	
4	Sheldon Lobel PC	
5	Amanda Iannotti Sheldon Lobel PC	
6	Victor Filletti TF Cusanelli & Filletti Architects	
7	ir cusanelli & Filletti Architects	
8	Kevin Williams Equity Environmental	
9	Igor Vaysman	
10	Applicant for Mikerose Reality	
11	Ron Mandel Belkin, Burden and Goldman	
12	Anastasios Giannopoulos Project Architect	
13		
14	Oumar Cisse On behalf of the property owners	
15	Joshua Sycoff	
16	Reading a letter on behalf of Thomas J. Grech from the Queens Chamber of Commerce	
17	Yosef Ede	
18	On behalf of the property owners	
19	Fotini Liaperdos Presenting opinion for 99-07 Astoria Boulevard	. to
20	be zoned commercial	
21	Neil Weisbard Attorney for the applicant	
22	Marty Waisbrod	
23	Owners Representative and Developer	
24	Berel Frost Architect	

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Recording to the Cloud all set and good morning and welcome to today's Hybrid New York City Council Hearing of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. At this time, would all Council Members and Council Staff, please turn on their video.

To minimize disruption, please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. Thank you for your cooperation, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee. Today, I am joined remotely by Council Members Louis, Moya, Bottcher, Schulman, Carr, Abreu, and Hanks.

Today, we will vote on 415 Madison Avenue

Proposal, which was heard by the Subcommittee on

January 21st and we will hold public hearings on two

Rezoning Proposals 2892 Nostrand Avenue and 2134

Coyle Street in Brooklyn. And a Proposal to

establish a Commercial Overlay for 99-07 Astoria

Boulevard in Queens.

Before we begin, I recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to review the hearing procedures.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. I am

Angelina Martinez Rubio, Counsel to the Subcommittee.

Members of the public wishing to testify were asked

to register for today's hearing. If you wish to

testify and have not already registered, we ask that

you please do so now by visiting the New York City

Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to

sign up.

Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website. As a technical note for the benefit of the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

When called to testify, individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams who will be recognized as a group and called first, followed by members of the public. When the Chair recognizes you, you're microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony, you

2.2

will like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee, you may email it to landusetestiomy@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU Number and/or project name in the subject line of your email.

During the hearing, Council Members with questions appearing remotely should use the Zoom raise hand function. The raise hand button should appear at the bottom of either your participant panel or the primary viewing window. Council Members with questions will be announced in order as they raise their hand and Chair Riley will then recognize members to speak.

Witnesses are requested to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions for you. Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this meeting for various technical reasons and we ask that you please be patient as we work through any issues. Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Before we turn to our hearing, we will vote to approve of modifications

Preconsidered LU items under ULURP Number C 210453

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ZSM and C 210454 ZSM relating to the 415 Madison

3 Avenue Proposal in Majority Leader Powers District in

4 Manhattan that was heard by the Subcommittee in our

5 January 21st meeting. This is an Application for two

6 | Special Permits. One to generate a floor area bonus

7 for provision of a public concourse at the ground

8 | floor of a proposed new office building.

And two, a Special Permit to waive East Midtown height and setback rules and certain other subdistrict requirements. These actions will facilitate a new Class A commercial office building at the corner of Madison Avenue and East 48th Street. The development site will also include a new at grade entrance to an MTA concourse and platforms as a part of the East Side Access Project. Our modification will be to ensure that the design of any future art work installation, excuse me, within the public space will not overwhelm or interfere with public seating. Majority Leader Powers is in support of this Proposal as modified. Is Majority Leader Powers available?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel. I now call for a vote to approve the modifications I have

not here today, so we can proceed.

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 described. Preconsidered LU items under ULURP number 2 3 C 210453 ZSM and C 210454 ZSM relating to the 415 $\,$ Madison Avenue Proposal. Counsel, please call the 4 5 roll. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye on all. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, sorry, former 8 Chair? Council Member Moya? 10 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. I vote aye. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Louis? 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: I vote aye. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Abreu? 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I vote aye. 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Bottcher? 16 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I vote aye. 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Hanks? 18 COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: I vote aye. 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Schulman? 20 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: I vote aye. 21 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And from Chambers, Council Member Carr? 2.2 2.3 COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Aye on all. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of 8 in the 24 25 affirmative, no negatives, no abstentions, the items

2.3

are recommended to the full - are approved and recommended to the full Land Use Committee and the vote is closed.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel. To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on Preconsidered LU items under ULURP Numbers N 200328 ZRK and C 200329 ZMK relating to the 2892 Nostrand Avenue Rezoning Proposal in Chair Louis's District in Brooklyn.

This Application seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to rezone an existing R3-2 District to an R6B/C2-4 R7A and R7A/C2-4 District. And to establish an MIH program area utilizing Option One and Two. These actions would facilitate the development of an eight story mixed use building.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. Once again that's council.nyc.gov/landuse and I would like to give the opportunity to Chair Louis to give any brief remarks is she has any. Chair Louis?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Chair Louis.

Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you Chair Riley. wanted to know if I could also ask questions or does that happen at a later time?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Uh, we'll give the remarks first and then we'll ask the questions after the applicant panel presents.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you so much and good morning everyone. The Proposed development for 2892 Nostrand Avenue by Applicant Mikerose Realty seeking to construct a seven-story use building with approximately 51 total dwelling units. It would include one studio, 26-one bedrooms and 24-two bedrooms with a total height of approximately 83 The applicant has been very cooperative throughout this process and I would like to have a discussion later during questioning for the record on negotiated requests as per Community Board 15 and my office to guarantee we provide the necessary resources to be supportive for the increased population and change that will occur in the corridor of what I would consider the metropolis of CB 15. Thank you so much Chair Riley.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. When you are ready to present your slideshow, please let us know.

answers to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

2.3

24

25

J

It will be displayed on screen and slides will be advanced for you by our staff.

For the benefit of the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the Applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you to please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

Members, my name is Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel
PC. I am representing Igor Vaysman in the 2892
Nostrand Avenue Rezoning. If you would be so kind to have the presentation raised on the screen, we can run through the materials. So, we're pleased to bring this application before the Subcommittee today. This is a rendering of the proposed rezoning. Next slide.

The zoning application here consists of two parts. The first is a zoning map amendment, which is a rezoning of 2872 to 2922 Nostrand Avenue roughly 12

2.2

lots. Some of these lots would be rezoned to an R7A district and five of these lots to an RCB District and we'll go through the area map later to talk about the breakdown in R6B and R7A along with the commercial overlay, which seems important on this area of Nostrand Avenue.

In addition, as with other applications of this nature, we will be requesting and text amendment to provide for Mandatory Inclusionary Housing on all of the affected lots. Next slide. So, the next slide demonstrates the proposal and changes that were made to the proposal in large part due to the involvement of Council Member Louis, who has been generous with her time in discussing this with us and allowing for discussion with community members and stakeholders.

So, the original proposal was a nine story building with the floor areas and height that you see in front of you 55,000 square feet, 43,000 square feet of residential, 3,700 for commercial and 3,500 for community facility and a height of 93 feet or nine stories.

During the course of discussions with Council
Member Louis, the Proposal would modify. When we
were before the Community Board, one of the primary

issues was the height of the building. We therefore reduced the height of the building to eight stories, so now the building caps out at 83 feet, which is approximately equivalent to other buildings on this block standing at seven stories and a little bit taller than 70 feet.

In addition, we maintain a larger than required rear yard. You'd be required to have a 30-foot year yard. Here we have a 39 foot rear yard. Parking at the site remain the same and the dwelling units decreased. In addition, the permanently affordable units pursuant to MIH stayed at the same level despite the reduction of units. Next slide.

So, the next slide summarizes these modifications. We removed a story. We decreased the density from 56 to 51 and importantly, increased the number of two family units to allow for larger family sizes within these units, as shined away from studios and one-bedrooms. In addition, we numerated several sustainability measures in the building, including solar panels, a green roof and DP rain gardens and storm drainage, control flow drains. This is something that has been expressed by both the Council Member as well as the Brooklyn Borough President as

2.2

2.3

being important as the proposal moves forward. Next

slide.

The next slide cites the development site in terms of the local area. You can see that we're along Nostrand Avenue here and south of Kings Highway. This is an important hub within the area. Nostrand Avenue seen as a bus link commercial thoroughfare, roughly 70 percent of the lots on Nostrand in this area have a commercial overlay. Currently these lots do not, so this will allow for that commercial use to be legal and conforming on this block. Next slide.

The next slide is a zoning map. The zoning map demonstrates two things here. Primarily first that this property is currently zoned R3-2. And you can see that R3-2 zoning here which encompasses much of the area, is along Nostrand Avenue which is a wide street. R3-2 zoning has been in affect on this block for over 60 years, since 1961. And so, the opportunity upgrade the zoning to allow for a little more density on appropriate thoroughfares is seen as something which is desirable to the local area. It was desirable at City Planning as well. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

The next slide provides a Tax Map, with a little bit more focus showing the entirety of the rezoning area. So, you can see the lots, which will be rezoned to R6B and R7A. The R6B lots, the five lots to the north of the ones that are closest to PFC Norton Park and so, this was seen as desirable because you're going with lower building heights adjacent to the parks who is not to cause shadows or adverse effects. I would add that most of these buildings or at least all of them in that R6B are overbuilt and most of them have nonconforming ground floor commercial uses.

So, we don't really thing that this would spur any additional development on these lots but it would allow these ground floor commercial uses to be conforming and would also allow for these noncomplying buildings to become compliant. Next slide.

The next slide is the area map, which really well demonstrates why a rezoning here is appropriate.

Nostrand Avenue here again is a 100 foot wide street.

Avenue B to the east of this block of also 100 feet wide and Kings Highway to the west is 140 feet wide.

So, one of the hallmarks of a good rezoning is to

provide for wide streets, good access to the site.

are several. There is

You know, this is a site which is not unfamiliar with

density in the area. You can see we already have six

and seven story buildings on this block itself.

We've got four story buildings across the street and

adjacent to this site. There's a - you know the

development of Nostrand Avenue exceeds what would

normally be permitted in the R3-2 zoning. You've got

a lot of existing grandfathered buildings both in

terms of use and bulk as well as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{BSA}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Board}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Standards}}$

of Appeals variances, which were granted to two

buildings on this block.

So, you really have a site here which is appropriate for the step up to moderate density to allow for the addition of residential units to allow for importantly, the addition of affordable units which cannot appear currently on this block, as well as to provide for that commercial overlay to allow for you know more vital commercial uses that would service the local residences in this area.

So, again, many of the hallmarks of a good rezoning. In addition, transportation options here are several. There is numerous bus routes that run

along Nostrand Avenue as well as along Kings Highway.

The next slide and the slides that follow demonstrate photographs of the area. I would note the first slide shows the seven story building which is two lots away from the proposed development site. So you can see the step down from the seven story to the four story building and then to our site. We note that the seven story building is an existing noncomplying building. It's overbuilt with regard to the current FAR. So this rezoning would result in a benefit to allowing that building to become complying.

The four story building adjacent to us was permitted by BSA variance. That too is overbuilt, 15 units in that building, again a noncomplying building which would now become compliant. Next slide.

The next slide in the floor ground, you can see clearly the Midwood Plumbing Supply building that currently occupies the site. Igor Vaysman, who is on the call with is the applicant here. His family has owned this building for over 30 years since 1985.

So, this is a local resident. Someone who has been invested in the area and now, you know is hoping to

2.2

develop this property for the benefit of the area.

Next slide.

So, I think if we can page through the remainder of the photographs and then we would briefly touch base with regards to the last two items in the proposal, which are the building plans themselves and I know that Victor Filletti is on the call with us for questions from Council Members regarding the architectural plans as well as the affordability of the site.

So, again, this is the new proposal. You can see the building step down to seven stories with an eight — set back eight story. Next slide. The next slide is a site plan which demonstrates the location of this lot on the block. Next slide.

I guess you can skip the next slide, which is zoning calculations, which are touch to see unless you can read really, really small writing. But the slide after that is a site plan which demonstrates the layout of the space. Again, you can see the eight story building with the masting toward the front and the reduced portions of the rear. The slide in front of you right now is the parking plan. Importantly, when we went to the Land Use Committee,

2.2

Community Board 15, there were roughly 55 units in the building and there were roughly 26 parking spaces. They asked us to go back and do better and we did.

So, not only did we reduce the number of units pursuant to our discussions with Council Member Louis to 51, but we increased the number of spaces to roughly 52 spaces. So, we doubled the number of spaces and I'm sorry, 56 spaces. We more than doubled the number of spaces to allow for greater than one car per unit. This was seen as a benefit and that's why we got the affirmative vote of the Land Use Committee and the Community Board. Next slide.

The next slide merely demonstrates the ground floor, 4,000 square feet of new commercial space with a residential lobby and ramped down to the cellar parking. Next slide. The next slide demonstrates community facility spaces, roughly 3,400 square feet. On the second story with four residential apartments. The slide after this, next slide, shows a typical floor layout for floors three through seven with residential apartments. Again, with the bulk of those being larger one bedroom and two bedroom

2.

2.2

apartments. And then, one more slide, shows the reduced eighth story with again seven units.

With that, I think there's one more additional slide showing the section and the generous 39 foot rear yard to step back from the rear lot. And then after that, I saw a copy of the rendering and followed by two slides demonstrating the affordability of this site. One is the area median income; this would be at Option One to allow for 60 percent AMI's. We would get family incomes ranging from \$50,000 to \$71,580.

In addition, because Option One was selected, ten percent of the units in the building would be at 40 percent AMI, which would allow for deeply affordable levels at family sizes of one through four of \$33,440 in income up to \$47,720. So, with that, I think we go to one more slide after this, which are the rents, which would be offered again 60 percent AMI for the rents ranging from \$956 through \$1437 from one-bedrooms or two-bedrooms as well as again ten percent of the units being at 40 percent AMI. Studios at \$598 ranging to \$900 for two-bedrooms.

This is affordability, which is you know deep affordability which provides something in the area,

2.2

2.3

not just on this block but in the wider area that was recognized by the community as being something which is not necessarily there. The opportunity to create real affordability on this block as seen as important. The fact is that this block itself on Nostrand and an R3-2 is badly zoned. It is a — that is a low density district and you have, when you have such great transportation options, you have access to retail and shopping. You've got bus lines that run in front of the property.

This is really an opportunity for this area to add density on a block which is entirely appropriate. So, with that, the applicant team would be happy to answer the questions and we hope that the Subcommittee will approve this proposal.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I just have one question before I turn it over to Chair Louis and my colleagues. Given the transit access in the surrounding area, why did your team gain it appropriate to build more parking spaces then is required on the proposed zoning. Are there any plans to devote some of these parking spaces for car sharing?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, thank you for the question
Chair Riley. So, we originally provided the minimum
parking that would be required by zoning, which would
have been 26 spaces at the time. And there were two
discussions. The first was at the Community Board
which made clear to us that they wanted to see an
increase in parking to one space per unit. Uhm, it's
not something which we had initially offered and so,
the reason that we increased the parking to begin
with, was to allow for a modification that would be
consistent with the goals of the Community Board and
we did that.

Again, it's something which that number is a big number for us but having said that, we were happy to do it at the time in order to try to get the Community Board support and the Land Use Committee did vote us out unanimously. With regards to car sharing, we have reached out to national car sharing companies and the intention is to comply with the request initially made by the Brooklyn Borough President Office, which would be to allocate spaces in this building to a car sharing service.

So, we do look forward to doing that. We think it's a great opportunity to reduce reliance on

individual car ownership. We know, we've seen it before in other projects and uhm, I think as the project proceeds, we'll have further discussions with these car sharing companies and uhm, we'll allocate some spaces within the parking area which would also provide for charging stations.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Richard. I would now like to turn it over to Chair Louis to ask some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you so much Chair Riley. So, I have a couple questions. I would like to know if the applicant can please comment for the record the new proposed height for 2892 Nostrand Avenue and the total number of units that will be available and if you could please clarify the mechanical component.

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, thank you Council Member Louis. So, with regards to the proposed height, as the initial proposal was nine stories and 93 feet, the modified proposal is now eight stories and 83 feet.

Uhm, with regards to the number of units, the reduction was 55 dwelling units to 51 dwelling units. I think with regards to a discussion of mechanicals,

I would defer to Victor Filletti, the Project

Architect who is more familiar and can speak with

more clarity to that.

VICTOR FILLETTI: Thank you. So, uhm, regarding mechanicals, I think you mean heating and cooling for the building. There will be a high efficiency of boilers and air handlers, meeting all of the latest energy code requirements you know currently in the New York City Code. At around 95 percent efficiency is where they're at these days. Uhm, there will be solar panels that will installed on the roof that will you know sublet some of the electrical draw from the city and uhm, could even come into some excess and rebates towards ownership.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: And is this component of the building is what makes it eight stories? I want that part clarified because we've been sharing with the Community Board, that's going to be seven stories but there is an eight story component, if that could be shared on the record?

VICTOR FILLETTI: Okay, uhm, so the eight story component is — it not part of any mechanicals. There are uhm, there is one floor at the main level that is commercial and residential lobby and then uh, the

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

story above that is mixed with community facility and residential and then uhm, there are the six-stories of residential above that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Alright, thank you. need further clarification on the height. The actual height uhm, of this building. Because we hear two separate things and there's one thing on the actual presentation as opposed to what's being shared here.

My second question, I would like the applicant or any other agency - maybe HPD if they are available if they can assist with further clarification, explain the tier process for the affordable housing lottery.

RICHARD LOBEL: Right, so, Council Member Louis, the first think I would say is that the discussion on the project materials with regards to height, maybe one of the points of clarity would be the base height versus the total height. The base height is 73 feet and seven stories with the total height being 83 feet and eight stories.

So, the base height refers to the street wall height meaning, how high the building is massed on the street at seven stories and then the total height is after the setback, which Victor, I believe is ten or 15 feet?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICTOR FILLETTI: Ten feet.

RICHARD LOBEL: Ten feet and then there's an additional portion that rises. So, that maybe some of the discussion around the materials.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you. That's what we needed on the record. Thank you.

VICTOR FILLETTI: Okay, okay, thank you, sorry, thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Of course. With regards to the tiers and affordability, uhm, there's what the legal requirements are pursuant to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing, which is that when selecting Option One, the applicant provides those units at an average of 60 percent AMI. So, that's - and those tiers can go above or below 60 percent with the understanding that ten percent of those units need to be at 40 percent AMI. So if you add for example of the total units, if you add 25 percent at 40 percent, at 50 percent and 60 percent and 25 percent at 80 percent, you would be you know, roughly satisfying the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing requirements. Uhm, and in addition to that, with the input of the Council Member, we would be selecting a local administering agent to conduct a lottery for those units. We have

J

2.2

2.3

not done so yet, obviously we're still going through the rezoning process but it's to our advantage to select someone who is more familiar with this community district, the local area in order successfully market to Community Board residents and to you know have to have a successful lottery.

So, we're happy to have that input as we go forward but those are roughly the affordability tiers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: And I would like the applicant to strongly consider extending the community preference to Community Board 14 and 18 and for speaking about surrounding areas, given the lack of available affordability and housing options in this area.

My third question, can the applicant please address your process in working to obtain the top tier food supermarket for the community and this development?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, so, uhm again one of the benefits of the rezoning of the rezoning is to allow for this commercial overlay district which allows local retail C2-4 district provides for local retail to service the area. So, the 4,000 square feet on

2.2

2.3

the ground floor here, the inclusion of a food store would be not only of an advantage to the community but as far as the applicant team as well, we've been looking to this and we think it would be a great amenity you know given the lack of options in the immediate surrounding area. In that regard, we have spoken to two food store owners, both of them operating in Brooklyn. One of them I know is a key food and so, to the extent that we're able to use that smaller model to allow for a food store here, we're happy to do so.

So, yes, it's something that we're seeking out and again will discuss this further as the project goes forward with the Council Member but having said that, we will seek a top tier market for this ground floor and hopefully we'll have a productive use of this.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much and I wanted to know if the applicant can please explain how you plan to incorporate an MWBE contract with this development?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, so, when we went to the Brooklyn Borough Presidents office as has been typical there, there was a minimum percentage that

2.3

establishments. Uhm, so we've committed to that. I believe the number is now 30 percent. In accordance with potential tax abatement relieve, currently 421-A although we don't know what that's going to look like in the future. There are general provisions which require you know anyone applying for that to satisfy certain minimums.

Having said that, you know Igor who is on the phone with us is you know — operated a local plumbing supply store for over you know 25 years at this site. And so, is very well familiar with local contracting establishments in Brooklyn. So, we look forward to and are happy to comply with that and to provide for some of these local establishments as well as MWBE to be involved in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: I look forward to you all complying with that as well. I'll be tracking that.

My last question, in effort to work with the community such as the synagogue across the street and New York Community Hospital that's now partnered with Maimonides Hospitals. Is there a way you can possible offer parking space as a measure of good faith to work with the community?

RICHARD LOBEL: Uhm, so Council Member, we've got in the building itself, 3,400 square feet of community facility. Which just as a side and a benefit would likely be doctors offices on the second floor.

Uhm, we do have an overage of parking with regards to this building. So, there are certain provisions which dictate residential parking spaces and whether they can be offered beyond the residents of the building themselves. So, to the extent that there is an overage and that you know given the fact that we do have a healthy amount of parking, we may have extra spaces. Whatever is permitted pursuant to law, we're happy to explore that and to try to get some local cars you know off the street and into these spaces.

It's to our advantage to fully utilize the parking here. So, again, understanding the needs of the residents but also, understanding the needs of the area, we can definitely take a look at that.

Uhm, you know it's something that we'd be happy to do and I know again Igor has received a lot of support and discussions with people in the

surrounding area. And so, yeah, it's something that's totally within our program.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Awesome, thank you so much. Chair Riley, thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Chair Louis. I will now like to invite my colleagues to ask any questions. If you have any questions —

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I have a question Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'll get right to you Council Member. If you have any questions for the applicant panel and are joining us remotely, please use the raise hand button on the participant panel. Council Member Abreu, you can ask your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you Mr. Chairman. My question is, of the units, what percentage are being allocated to the affordability tiers, just curious. You may have answered already.

Abreu. So, uhm, the affordability tiers averaging 60 percent AMI, govern 25 percent of the unit. So, there's 51 units in this building after the reduction. Typically pursuant to Option One, we would be required to provide 13 of those units for affordability.

Uhm, given our conversations with the Council Member, we increased the number to reflect the old proposal, so despite the reduction in units, we now have 14 units offering greater than 25 percent of the minimum requirement and so of those 14 units, roughly those 14 units would be offered in an average of 60 percent AMI. And ten percent of the units in the building are roughly five units, so five out of those 14 would reach levels of deep affordability.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: In addition — Of course and just to add and one of the benefits of the program here is that the mix of those affordable units reflects the mix of units in the building.

So, because of the requirements of MIH, we won't just — we won't end up with merely one bedrooms for those units. They'll be a mix of one and twos, just like the rest of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you Counselor.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

Abreu. There being no further questions, the

applicant panel is now excused. Counsel, are there

2.2

2.3

2 any member of the public who wish to testify on 2892
3 Nostrand Avenue Proposal?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley, we have one member of the public that wishes to testify on this Proposal. Jason Jackson who is being promoted, so he can speak.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public who will be given two minutes to speak, please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Clock is ready.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin.

JASON JACKSON: Thank you. I just wanted to say how grateful I was that this program is being put up. It's been a long time since there's been any new construction that can avail us some housing in the area and I just wanted to express that today. I yield back my time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much Mr.

Jackson. We are excited that this is coming to your neighborhood and truly excited to hear that you're excited about this project. Thank you for your testimony. Are there any Council Members with questions for this panel? If you are joining us

remotely, please indicate by using the raise hand button.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley, I see no Council Members with questions for this speaker.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no questions for this speaker, the witness panel is now excused.

There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on Preconsidered LU items under ULURP

Numbers N 200328 ZRK and C 200329 ZMK for the 2892

Nostrand Avenue Proposal. The public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

I will now open the public hearing on

Preconsidered LU item under ULURP Number C 210189 ZMQ

relating to the 99-07 Astoria Boulevard Proposal in

Council Member Moya's District in Queens. This

Application seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to establish

a C2-3 commercial overlay within an R3-2 Zoning

District to facilitate the development of a one story

commercial building. For anyone wishing to testify

on this item, if you have not already done so, you

may register online and you may do that now by

visiting the Council website at

council.nyc.gov/landuse. Once again that's

council.nyc.gov/landuse. And I would like to allow

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Council Member Moya to give any brief remarks
 regarding this project.
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you Chair. No opening remarks here, thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

 Moya. Counsel, please call the first panel for this

 item.
- 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The panel for this item will
 10 be Ron Mandel, Anastasios Giannopoulos, Yosef
 11 Ede(SP?) and Oumar Cisse.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please administer
 13 the affirmation.
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Applicants, can you please raise your right hand and state your name for the record.
 - [INAUDIBLE 38:51] I think we lost your audio, can you please just state each of you state your name for the record.
- 20 RON MANDEL: Ron Mandel.
- 21 ANASTASIOS GIANNOPOULOS: Anastasios
- 22 | Giannopoulos.
- 23 YOSEF EDE: Yosef Ede.
- 24 OUMAR CISSE: Oumar Cisse.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: Yes.

ready to present your slideshow, please say so. It will be displayed on screen and slides will be advanced for you by our staff. For the benefit of the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's landusetestimny@council.nyc.gov. And now, the applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you to please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

RON MANDEL: Thank you Chair. Good morning

Council Members, my name is Ron Mandel. Thank you so

much for the opportunity to present. If the slide

can kindly be put on the screen. Thank you.

Again, Ron Mendel from Belkin, Burden and Goldman. I am joined by other members of the applicant team Oumar Cisse and Yosef Ede on behalf of

the property owners and Anastasios Giannopoulos the Project Architect. Next. Thank you.

The application seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to map a C2-3 Commercial Overlay over an existing low density R3-2 residential district. No changes are being sought to the underlying residential district. It's hard to see on this zoomed out Zoning Map but the surrounding area is mapped with a commercial overlay along this portion of Astoria Boulevard. The subject block is that triangular block in the middle of the red circle. Next slide please.

As I mentioned these sites along Astoria

Boulevard, the subject block is located between 27th

Avenue and 100th Street. As you may know Astoria

Boulevard is a wide street which measures

approximately 130 feet in width. The rezoning area

consists of four taxed lots. An area that comprises

an entire small block that measures about 10,000

square feet. Lot 17 and 23 are vacant and lots 14

and 15 are approved with residential buildings, one

on each.

Two of the four taxed lots are owned by the applicant and the other two lots are not owned by the applicant but they are held in common ownership. The

2.3

applicants portion of the block, which outlined in red that red hatch mark, measures about 6,000 square feet in lot area. Please turn to the next slide.

The block has been located in an R3-2 Zoning
District since the adoption of the Zoning Resolution
in 1961. As you can see from this drawing, the
subject lot, which is small again, about 10,000
square feet is surrounded by blocks that are zoned
residential with a commercial overlay. Uhm, the
commercial overlay exists directly to the west, to
the east and to the south along Astoria Boulevard.
Next slide please.

This is a Zoning Map Amendment, the applicant proposes to construct a one story commercial retail type building, which will be occupied by local retail type uses. This existing site plan illustrates the existing residential building on the site, with the abutting undeveloped portion of the property. Next slide please.

And following Proposed Site Plan, illustrates the Proposed one story commercial building to be occupied on the site. The C2-3, which is proposed, permits a maximum FAR of 1.0. The City Map requires that any development include a street winding along 27th

2.2

2.3

Avenue, thereby creating a wider sidewalk along 27th

Avenue. With that widening, a one story building

could measure about 5,500 square feet, with a FAR of

 \mid 0.91. Next slide please.

The following slide illustrates what the proposed one story building would look like. The plan is to divide the space into small retail stores, mom and pop type stores that measures between about 1,600 square feet and about 2,000 square feet. We we're arranging kind of services and retail stores that would cater to the local community. Uhm, a yoga studio, a bike repair store, a florist, things like that. The kind of services and retail stores are lacking in the immediate area. Next slide please.

Some images of what the lot looks like today.

This is an existing photo along — taken along Astoria Boulevard. Next slide please. And the following slide shows what the one story retail building would like along Astoria Boulevard. Please turn to the next slide.

Again, another image of what the lot looks like from the corner of 27th Avenue and Astoria Boulevard. This currently [INAUDIBLE 45:09] installation on the lot. Next slide please. And a superimposed

rendering, which shows what that corner would look like with the one story building.

The applicant is excited about the opportunity to develop a slot. The lot has laid fallow and vacant for several decades. We, with respect to issues raised at the Community Board and Borough President, the applicant agrees to consult with the Queens Community Board Three to help identify respective tenants for the new commercial building. Also, important to raise, both the Community Board and Borough President did not raise any issues regarding the land use rational for the Proposed Zoning Map Amendment. However, the Community Board did echo a single neighbors concern about construction activity, which we fully appreciate.

As we explained, steps can be taken to ensure the safety of people and property. It is often the case in the city with any construction. With that in mind, that applicant agreed to coordinate construction with the neighbor. The local community has been helpful with those efforts. The applicant also entered an agreement with the neighbor before any demolition is started. In addition, the applicant agrees to cover the neighbors expenses for

2.

professional fees, both engineering to review any drawing that are shared with her and also any legal expenses to negotiate an agreement to make sure the neighbors come to vote before construction starts.

Other commitments made by the applicant, as I mentioned before, the applicant agreed to work with the Community Board to identify commercial tenants. The applicant also agreed to install security measures including lighting and a security camera along 27th Avenue to help make that portion of the block safer for passing pedestrians in the future.

In conclusion, we respectively believe that the proposal is modest. It's appropriate from a land use rational and that the commercial overlay will facilitate development with the building that will serve the neighborhood along this commercial corridor.

I'm glad to address any questions or comments that the panel may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a few questions before I turn it over to my colleagues if they have any questions.

Uh, while much of the surrounding area contains C1-3 Commercial Overlay Zoning Districts, your team

2.

is pursuing a C2-3 Overlay District for this project site. Can you please explain what the difference is between the two commercial overlay districts and what the benefits of the C2-3 Zoning are at this site for the record?

RON MANDEL: Sure. Thank you Councilman. The floor area is the same for those zoning districts. The rational for the C2-3 was just to bring the reality to marketplace you know to the site in the sense that the C2-3 allows for more flexibility into the kind of uses. So, when the proposal was made, for example, a gym or a yoga facility could not be constructed at the site. A C2-3 would have permitted that.

So, again, it allows for some more flexibility in terms of the kind of retail stores and services that could be provided at the site in the future.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Ron. Could you talk about any protective measures that you are taking to protect the neighborhood building at 99-18 27th Avenue as you develop this project? And any outreach efforts to that adjacent property owner?

RON MANDEL: Sure, Anastasios Giannopoulos could also join in no this but important to note that the

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Ron. Ron, did you

ANASTASIOS GIANNOPOULOS: I mean, I could add to

- I'm Anastasios Giannopoulos, I'm the Architect.

have someone else that wanted to -

RON MANDEL: Anastasios?

plan is to conduct a reconstruction survey before any - at the site to document the existing conditions. Our engineer and architect also suggested that we install modern equipment on the exterior of the adjacent building to monitor any movement and the neighbor will have access - her professional would have access to that information in terms of any potential movement to her site.

Uhm, other protective measures that we installed will be scaffolding, uhm scaffolding. We also made a commitment to take whatever measures that are necessary to reduce any noise that will occur during construction.

Uhm, additional measures include making sure that we waterproof the exterior of her building. So, when we take down our residential building, there will be a wall that's exposed and again, measures will be taken pursuant to the Code to make sure that that wall is properly secured and waterproofed.

2.2

2.3

issues with the wall.

Uhm, basically, what we're going to do is also provide extra shoring and wall supports and that would also be inspected and reviewed by a special agency that would be a separate company that will come in and actually monitor the walls and the supports and whatever has to be done to make sure that there's no - there's no issues, no structural

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I will now like to turn it over to Council Member Moya to ask any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Chair Riley, thank you for the opportunity to just quickly make a brief statement here. Ron, just to go over this one more time on the commitments and thank you again for working with our office and Community Board Three as well. We're securing to make sure that security cameras, lighting is all set up. You talked about having the neighbor that's there to the adjacent property who has some issues about the noise mitigation that you guys will be able to take care of and address that. But then also, about the community art installations. I know we had brought that up as well. The neighborhood would still like to continue

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

to see that. It's been a tradition that's been going
on in East Elmhurst for a number of years now and

4 some of the neighbors in the civic organizations have

5 requested that that still be maintained. And I just

6 want to say thank you to Yosef and the team over at

7 Trade Fair who have always been gracious enough to

provide the space there for the community but can you

9 just talk to me about that aspect of the property?

RON MANDEL: Sure, so as you know, the applicant has been an active business owner in the community for nearly 25 years. They plan to continue to be an active and cooperative member of the community to make sure that you know the area is further enhanced. And to that end, yes, a commitment was made. We put it in writing uhm, to provide space to the local civic and the neighborhood to make sure that whether

that we'll you know find a mutually agreeable
location for it. Which will make the neighborhood
you know, even better moving forward.

it's a holiday celebration or an art installation

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great, thank you. Thank you very much Chair. That's it for me.

RON MANDEL: Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

Moya. I will now like to invite my colleagues to ask

any questions. If there are any questions from the

Council Members, you can you the raise hand button on

the participant panel. Counsel, are there any

Council Member questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uhm, no Council Member questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions, the applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 99-07 Astoria Boulevard Proposal?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we have two members of the public present at the hearing ready to testify.

We have Joshua Sycoff and Fotini Liaperdos. Could we promote them? The first speaker will be Joshua Sycoff.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Clock is ready.

JOSHUA SYCOFF: Good morning. My name is Joshua Sycoff and I've been asked to read a letter on behalf

 \circ

of Thomas J. Grech from the Queens Chamber of Commerce.

On behalf of over 1,300 member of the Queens
Chamber of Commerce, I am writing to express our
support for the referenced application. This
application seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to
facilitate the development of a new local retail
stores along Astoria Boulevard, a frontage that has
been underutilized for at least 70 years. As an
advocate for job creation, an economic development in
Queens, we are very pleased to see this project
particularly now in this area of our borough which
will create construction jobs and new permanent jobs.

The Chamber of Commerce's mission in part is to help business foster connections advocating for their interests, educating them for success, and implementing programs that help them grow. We bring jobs and economic opportunity to every corner of our borough. This project will help add the vibrancy of the East Elmhurst community.

An added benefit, the rezoning will improve the commercial continuity of the area. The immediately surrounding blocks within the vicinity of this site are developed with commercial storefronts but the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Astoria Boulevard's frontage of this small block has remained fallow. In its current state, the property discourages consumers from visiting other retail establishments by acting as a buffer between two disjointed commercial block frontages. We understand that the Queens Borough President has already recommended support of the application. The Queens Borough President acknowledged the concern of the neighboring property owner related to the construction impact. While we fully understand this concern as well, we appreciate that this is a common obstacle that every construction project must overcome and is unrelated to the proposed rezoning request, which we believe is modest and reasonable. It is our understanding that the recommendations of the Queens Borough President will be implemented by the property owner.

It is for these reasons that the Queens Chamber of Commerce supports this project and respectfully asks that you vote to approve this application.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Joshua.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair the next speaker is joining us by phone and is Fotini Liaperdos.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Fotini, you may begin.

FOTINI LIAPERDOS: Good morning.

FOTINI LIAPERDOS: Yes, thank you for considering

3

2

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning.

4

5

my opinion for 99-07 Astoria Boulevard to be zoned

6

commercial. I have worked in the community for

7

almost 20 years and I've very familiar with this

8

area. I am in favor because it will provide more

jobs, also more convenience to the residents that

10

live in the area. The area is in my opinion already

11

commercialized as it is right in front of Astoria

12

Boulevard. My opinion is that this request is fair

13

to the owners on the block and it makes sense for the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Fotini. Thank you

14

area. Thank you.

button.

15

16 for your testimony. Are there any Council Members

17

with questions for this panel? If you are joining us

18

remotely, please indicate by using the raise hand

19

20 21

witness panel is now excused. There being no other

There being no questions for this panel, this

2.2

members of the public who wish to testify on the

2.3

Preconsidered LU item under ULURP Number C 210189 ZMQ

24

related to the 99-07 Astoria Boulevard, the public

25

hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

_

,

I will now open the public hearing on

Preconsidered LU items under ULURP Number C 210239

ZMK and N 210240 ZRK relating to the 2134 Coyle

Street Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Narcisse

District in Brooklyn. The applicant seeks a Zoning

Map Amendment to change an R4 C1-2 Zoning District to

an R6A-C2-4 Zoning District and a Zoning Text

Amendment to map an MIH program area utilizing Option

One and Two. These actions will facilitate the

development of a new five story mixed use building.

Once again, for anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, it's council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Counsel, can you please call the first panel for this item?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant for this panel will be Neil Weisbard, Marty Waisbrod and Borel Frost.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Applicants can you please raise your right hand and state your names for the record.

NEIL WEISBARD: Hi, Neil Weisbard on behalf of the applicant, attorney.

BEREL FROST: Hi, Berel Frost the Design Architects Office.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Is there a third applicant?

MARTY WAISBROD: Yes, Marty Waisbrod.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in your answer to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: Yes, I do.

ready to present your slideshow, please say so. It will be displayed on screen and slides will be advanced for your by our staff. For the benefit of the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now, the applicant team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you to please

_ .

reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

NEIL WEISBARD: Hi, good morning Chair Riley,
Council Members. Neil Weisbard, Attorney on behalf
of Quail Properties LLC, the applicant. Can you
please bring up the power point? Thank you.

As Chair Riley mentioned, this is rezoning application underlying 2134 Coyle Street from an R4 residents district within a C1-2 Commercial Overlay to an R6A residents district within a C2-4 Commercial District. The entire project area will be mapped as a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing designated area. Next please.

The site is located on the west side of Coyle

Street between Avenue U and Avenue V. There are two

lots involved in the rezoning, Lot 11, which will be

the development site and Lot 29 further south, which

is part of the rezoning but is not part of the

development site. Next please.

This slide shows the actual development site, Lot 11 only. Next please. Here is an areal photograph of the project area. The area outlined in red is a development site. It's currently improved with a one story Dollar Tree store, which actually will remain

2.2

2.3

under this proposed development. The building was constructed and will be able to handle the load of additional stories above. The remainder of the development site is improved with Berkoff Supply, which will be demolished to accommodate the new development. The employees for that store will be relocated to another Berkoff location.

The project area also includes Lot 29, which is located further south and is outlined in yellow and that is improved with a bank and other commercial establishments. Next please.

Here is a picture of the current Zoning Map. As I mentioned, it's currently an R4 Residents District within a C1-2 Commercial Overlay. On the right side is a Proposed Rezoning area to an R6A within a C2-4 Commercial Overlay. Next please.

Here is the drawing of the proposed building, which will be a five story building, 55 feet in height with a 35 foot rear yard. To the north of the site, the existing Dollar Tree will remain. There will be a residential lobby and other commercial uses on the Coyle Street frontage as well as an entrance to the parking garage. Next please.

2.2

As shown on this cross section drawing, the entrance to the garage will be located at grade with the parking levels located at a subgrade level. Next please. Thank you. Just to quickly mention that we worked extremely hard with Community Board 15 on this application. The height was reduced from originally 85 feet to 55 feet due to concerns by the Community Board, as well as a significant number of parking spaces.

The garage can accommodate 195 spaces on two subgrade levels. However, pursuant to the Community Board's request, the number of parking spaces will be one space regardless of how many dwelling units, which looks like it will be about approximately 120 dwelling units in the building. There will be one space for each dwelling unit and 47 accessory spaces for the ground floor commercial uses. Next please.

The proposed building will contain 126,000 square feet of total floor area. Approximately 94,000 will be residential floor area. The existing Dollar Tree store will remain, which is approximately 10,000 square feet and there will be the construction of approximately 20,000 square feet of new commercial floor area. Next please.

Here is a rendering of the building. We worked

2.2

with City Planning on some setbacks to the building.

As you can see the Dollar Tree will remain and the rest of the frontage will have the residential lobby and the garage as I mentioned. Next please.

This is a zoning comparison under the existing R4 zoning. There is a .9 maximum FAR permitted under the proposed rezoning and within the inclusion of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing designated area. The maximum floor area ratio is 3.6 for residences. Next please.

As you can see from this photograph, the rezoning is appropriate. There are numerous seven and eight story NYCHA buildings located both to the south and east of the site. Next please.

These are the breakdowns under the Mandatory
Inclusionary Housing designated area. This building
will be comprised of approximately 90 percent two and
three bedroom apartments. This will be a family
building. There will be — the rest of the apartments
about ten percent will be studio and one bedroom.
The applicant is anticipating to build under the
Option One of the Inclusionary Housing program.

Meaning 25 percent of the floor area will be

dedicated to families making 60 percent of the AMI with ten percent making 40 percent. This will result in approximately 30 new affordable units with a total of approximately 120 units in the building. Next please.

As I mentioned, we worked hard with Community
Board 15 on this Proposal at the Community Boards
October hearing on the Proposal, they approved,
recommended approval for the application of a vote
from 28 to 6. We met with Council Member Narcisse's
office presenting this on Tuesday of this week.
There were certain commitments that the Council
Member requested regarding workforce, sustainability
and affordability and the applicant has prepared a
commitment letter and is ready to execute that
commitment letter at the Council's request.

And I'm here to answer any questions, as is Marty Waisbrod who is the owners representative and the developer and Berel Frost, who is the Architect and thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Neil.

Unfortunately Council Member Narcisse could not be here with us today, so I will be asking just a couple of questions on behalf of her.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Given that building heights up to 85 feet are allowed in the R6A district you are proposing, can you please reaffirm your commitment to limiting the height to 55 feet and also, why has this height limit been chosen and what measure is your team making to ensure that this commitment is upheld with the community? That's the first question sorry.

NEIL WEISBARD: So, this height stemmed out of a long, actually three meetings with Community Board 15. Originally an 85 foot height building was presented and the community felt that that was inappropriate and they also requested additional parking spaces above what's required under zoning. So, we went back to the drawing board, came up with the 55 foot high building that makes financial sense. We believe it's appropriate. It's definitely addressed the Community Boards concerns. And Marty Waisbrod could speak to this more but they've analyzed that a taller building does not make sense here due to additional construction caused for taller buildings. And some of the local law requirements that would apply for taller buildings.

The ownerships commitment can be reflected in a letter that we've prepared and circulated to Council

Member Narcisse and some of the Council staff and

Marty, you could speak more to how you've researched?

How you reviewed this? Analyzed this and it's your

commitment to proceed with the building as presented

6 today?

2.2

2.3

MARTY WAISBROD: That is correct. I will reaffirm what Neil said. This is Marty and we have analyzed it and that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The second question, the last question. Aside from the Dollar store which will remain at the project site, what other commercial spaces are you considering for the ground floor commercial allotment? Would you consider having some of the space rented by a nonprofit serving the community?

MARTY WAISBROD: When we spoke with the Council Member, we said that we would be willing to rent a space. We're not limiting the usage of the space to one specific tenant or another one specific type or another but we would not be able to volunteer any space because the commercial is an important part of the overall financial part of the job.

So, we're not against or restricting any type of usage. Obviously it has to be family oriented type

2.4

of usage but we're not restricting and we would have no objection for the community to rent a space but in our conversation, I think she asked if we would volunteer —

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry Marty, you went on mute. Marty?

NEIL WEISBARD: I could speak to that. I could finish it up. Yeah, so Council Member Narcisse, we believe that she was asking for free space volunteering the space for the NYCHA buildings. I think Marty just reiterated that that wouldn't work within their financial numbers but would be happy to rent such a non-for-profit.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you Neil. I now invite my colleagues to ask any questions. If you have questions for this applicant panel and are joining us remotely, please use the raise hand button on the participant panel. Counsel, are there any Council Member questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley, I see no Council Members with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions, the applicant panel is excused. Counsel,

١

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

are there any member of the public who wish to testify on the 2134 Coyle Street Rezoning Proposal?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We do not have any members of

the public who signed up to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no — oh, sorry.

If there are any members of the public who wish to testify on the 2134 Coyle Street Rezoning Proposal — oh, I'm sorry, excuse me. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered LU items under ULURP Number C 210239 ZMK and N 210240 ZRK relating to the 2134 Coyle Street Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues,

Subcommittee Council, Land Use and other Council

Staff and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

[GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 10, 2022