1	COMMITTEE	ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 1
2		
3		
4	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YOR	K
5		X
6	TRANSCRIPT OF T	HE MINUTES
7	Of th	ne
8	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN	
9	RIGHTS	X
10		
11		December 2, 2021 Start: 10:26 a.m.
12		Recess: 3:13 p.m.
13	HELD AT:	
14		HEARING IS ASL/CART ACCESSIBLE
15	BEFORE:	Mathieu Eugene, Chairperson
16		
17		
18	COUNCIL MEMBE	
19		Inez D. Barron Daniel Dromm
20		Brad S. Lander Bill Perkins
21		Helen K. Rosenthal
22		
23		
2./		

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Charles Barron New York State Assembly Member
4	_
5	JoAnn Kamuf Ward Deputy Commissioner of Policy and External
6	Affairs at the New York City Commission on Human Rights
7	Ronald Wooden Jr.
8	ASL Direct Supervisor for the Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities
9	Katherine Greenberg
10	Special Counsel from the City Commission on Human Rights
11	Roger Wareham
12	Human Rights Attorney and a member of the December 12 th Movement
13	Leah Goodridge
14	Managing Attorney for Housing Policy at Mobilization for Justice
15	Julia Elmaleh-Sachs
16	Plaintiff's Side Employment Attorney at Crumiller P.C.
17	Seher Khawaja Senior Attorney at Legal Momentum
18	
19	Beverly Neufeld Founder and President of PowHER New York
20	Cat Shugrue Dos Santos Deputy Executive Director for Programs at the New
21	York City Anti-Violence Project
22	Yolanda Johnson
23	First Black President of Women in Development in New York
24	Jessica Ramey Stender
25	Senior Counsel for Workplace Justice and Public Policy at Equal Rights Advocates

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Dr. Solange Charas
4	Human Capital Subject Matter Expert with a PhD ir Management
5	Jerry Bergman
6	Jon Taylor
7	President of the New York City Chapter of the Hearing Loss Association of America
8	Matthew Greller
9	Attorney and a Lobbyist on behalf of Natto, owners of New York State
L O	Alex Rich National Association of Theatre Owners
L1	Doug Murdoch
L2	John Waldo Lawyer in Houston Texas
L3	lawyer in nouscon lexas
L4	Kathleen Collins Co-Coordinator at Downstate New York ADAPT
L5	Monica Bartley
L6	Community Outreach Organizer at the Center for Independence of the Disabled New York, CIDNY
L7	Andy Stone Regional Director for Regal Entertainment
L8	
L9	Raymond Smith Consultant with the National Association of Theatre Owners
20	
21	Svetlana Kouznetsova Advocate for open captions
22	April Morone Advocate for open captions
23	-
24	Gail Weiss Board of the New York City Chapter of HOA

Max Kwok

	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 4
1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HOMAN RIGHTS 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Robert Sunshine
4	Executive Director of the National Association of Theatre Owners of New York State
5	Amanda Perez General Manager at the AMC Kips Bay Theatre
6	Roberta Lawrence
7	
8	Miriam Fisher Disability Advocate
9	Robert Wolfe
10	Dianna Prashad
11	Black Female and an LGBTQ Facing Discrimination in Support of Bill
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Today, the Committee will be hearing Intro. 1208-

A, sponsored by Council Member Rosenthal in relation

24

25

J

to prohibiting employers from posting job listings without minimum and maximum salary information. Pay disparity based on race and gender is something we as a society have been working to address for generations. Through many efforts to ensure pay equity between men and women have been made. The wage gap still persists. In fact, research shows that this gap has changed very little over years. In 2020, women still earn 84 percent of what men earn.

Additionally, intersectional identities including the race affected the wage gap. It is apparent that the gender wage gap is more accurate for women of color. While the wage gap for White women working in United States was 79 percent as of 2021. The wage gap for Black women was 64 percent. 57 percent for Latinx and 82 percent for Asian women.

The movement to increase transparency seeks to increase fairness for job applicants who are otherwise calculating their salary petition in a vacuum. Intro. 1208 would help increase pay disparity by disclosing salary ranges for advertised positions.

The Committee will also be hearing Intro. 2020 sponsored by Council Member Rosenthal. In relation

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS /
2	to captioning at motion picture theatres. According
3	to 2019 data, there are over 160,000 deaf, hard
4	hearing people living in New York City. Also, there
5	are many legal protections for these residents in
6	local law, deaf and hard of hearing New Yorkers still
7	face constant battles to access them. All the New
8	Yorkers enjoy are not easy. One such activities is
9	going to the movie. [INAUDIBLE 4:24] rules do
10	require that the movie theatres are equipped with
11	closed captioning in description technology. Movie
12	theatres are not mandated to provide such technology
13	or services unless a viewer requires it. And screen
14	captioning can be either in an open or closed format.
15	When captions are open, they appear on the screen for
16	all viewers to see, like subtitles. When they are
17	closed, the captioning is turned on or off and a
18	secondary device is needed to view them. Advocates
19	agree that this unfairly places responsibility on the
20	viewer. Survey shows that many people with a hearing
21	loss enjoy using open caption when they are
22	available. Intro. 2020 would offer more
23	accessibility to members of the deaf community
24	allowing them to more easily enjoy a simple pleasure

that many of us take for granted.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Additionally, the Committee will be hearing 2 3 Resolution 1039-Aand 1040, sponsored by Council Member Barron with Reso. 1039-A call upon the New 4 York State Legislation to pass and the Governor to sign A.2619A/S.7215 in relation to establishing the 6 7 New York State Commission, Community Commission on Reparations Remedies to examine the impacts of the 8 institution of slavery, subsequently racial and economic discrimination against African-Americans, 10 11 and recommend appropriate remedies.

Reso. 1040 calls upon the United States Congress to pass and the President to sign S. 1083/H.R.40 in relation to establishing the Commission to Study and Develop Reparation Proposals for African-Americans to examine financial and other impacts of slavery and continued discrimination and recommend appropriate remedies.

The National Coalition of Blacks for Reparations in America defines reparations as a process of repairing, healing, and restoring a people injured because of their group identity and in violation of their fundamental human rights by governments, corporations, institutions, and families. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 24.3 percent of New York

City population identified as Black or American.

This means that nearly a quarter of the city

populations would stand to benefit from the

exploration of this process. Black and African
Americans in the United States face a

disproportionate amount of poverty and are generally

less financially secure when compared to the other

groups.

After hundreds of years of mistreatment and inequity, slavery, segregation during the reconstruction of Jim Crow eras and past and present institutionalized racism of inequal distribution of wealth has stunted opportunities for financial wealth and growth among African-Americans.

Today, the average White family in the United States has roughly ten times greater wealth when compared to the Black and African-American family.

The New York City Community Commission on Reparation remedies will seek to explore the best method for reparation while acknowledging the injustice and cruelty of slavery that take place in New York and the need remedy is impacted.

A similar effort at the city level, we'll identify the action of state and federal government

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Good morning and thank

you Mr. Chair and thank you to other colleagues that

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are here and to the panelists that are here as well as the community to talk about these issues.

I'm here to talk about Reso's that I'm introducing, which are 1039-A and Reso. 1040. They are similar in nature. 1039 is directed at the state and 1040 is directed at the federal government.

What we're talking about is telling the full story. You know, when we have hearings and trials, you are affirmed to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. And until all of the elements, all of the components of our history and our accomplishments and our contributions, our knowledge, then we have only got half truths and the half truth is a half lie.

So, what we're calling on is to support those legislative issues and matters that have been presented in the state and also in congress. When I went to school eons ago, we never were told that New York City was in fact one of the prime largest slave holding states in the United States. It was second in terms of the population that was held in captivity, that was tortured, that had no protections under the law, that were state, they were subjected to state sanctioned atrocities. And we often think

2.

_

about those kinds of issues as confined to the South but that's not in fact limited to the South.

So, it wasn't until I was a mature adult and did research on my own that I really became aware of the fact that it was here in New York that enslaved Africans. We used this skilled labor was used to form the docks, to clear the forest, to produce the iron works, to till the fields and to lay that road. Yes, Broadway that goes from downtown Manhattan all the way at least to West Chester that I know of.

All of that contributed to the economic wealth of New York City because we were considered commodities. We had no protections. We were chattel slavery. We feel and we understand that this is supported by both the United Nations and other international bodies that this was a crime against humanity. We want to call for the study of all of the impact of what happened during those hundreds of years that we were enslaved and the impact that still exists today in terms of the economic disparity. In terms of the emotional toll and in terms of the discrimination and Jim Crow laws that were a part of the history of this country.

So, we're calling on that in 1711, New York City established the first slave market down by the docks where African-Americans and indigenous people were also sold. And we feel that all of the conditions that are manifested today are in fact residual effects from that long standing practice of enslavement of African-Americans in particular and indigenous people as well.

The impact is significant. It was manifested in other policies that this country instituted. The war on drugs, a mass incarceration, all of that was targeted at this population of Black people and we want all of the city included in a study so that we can understand the extent, the broadness of what it was that happened, the impact of what it is and what kinds of remedies can be examined to make those people and their descendants whole from the atrocities that they suffered. Thank you Mr. Chair and I look forward to the discussion and invite my colleagues who are here to sign on to both of these resolutions, Reso. 1039 and Reso. 1040. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so very much

Council Member Barron for your remarks. Thank you.

Now, I would like to turn it over to Council Member

2.2

2.3

2 Rosenthal for some remarks. Council Member 3 Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yup, thank you so much and apologies if there's background noise. I just want to start by saying Council Member Barron, thank you as always for leading the way here and you know you always read into what you're talking about education. And what you're bringing here in your Resolutions is knowledge and with knowledge comes power.

So, I just want to thank you for your

Resolutions. I think I'm already signed on but thank
you for always educating people and opening the door
to more education.

So, good morning, my name is Helen Rosenthal. I want to thank Chair Eugene for holding this very important hearing and including my bills, Intro. 1208 for pay range transparency and Intro. 2020 for open captions in the theatres. My pronouns are she and her.

The first bill Intro. 1208 will require that job listings in New York City include a maximum, a minimum and maximum salary. Employers who do not

disclose a salary range for open positions will be engaging in unlawful discriminatory practice.

Salary transparency is an actionable way to create a more equitable workplace. Both leveling the playing field for job applicants and helping to identify and address systemic pay inequities. Lack of upfront pay range disclosures necessitates a salary negotiation in which the employer has the clear upper hand. This often in results in lower wages for women and people who are Black and Brown upholding decades of systemic inequity and bias in hiring.

Studies show that women net seven to 25 percent lower pay rates than men. When the rate is arrived at by negotiation. Lack of upfront pay disclosure can also be especially harmful in the case of low wage jobs. Making it more likely that candidates will accept a salary they simply cannot afford to live on.

New Yorkers desperately seeking employment and at the end of a long process of applications and interviews are more likely to explore terms than they know about those terms. Let's face it, lack of salary transparency is both discriminatory and

antiworker. Every New Yorker should have the right to determine whether they will be able to support themselves and their family when they apply for a job. It's time to level the playing field and restore some dignity to New Yorkers seeking employment.

The second of my bills being heard today is

Intro. 2020 which will mandate open captioning for at
least half of motion picture screenings in theatres.

Open captioning or on screen captioning, is familiar
to those of us who enjoy foreign films and are able
to read the titles.

Roughly one in five New Yorkers, 20 percent suffers from deafness, ranging from moderate to total. Two-thirds of those experiencing deafness are under the age of 65. Two-thirds experiencing deafness are under the age of 65. It's important to let that sink in. Such a significant segment of the population deserves to enjoy fully, movies with ease. The American's with Disability Act currently requires closed captioned systems in movie theatres. But long term experience has shown that the equipment needed in order to be able to see or hear what's going on, it fails far too often.

proficiency.

This unfairly burdens users who must request and return viewing devices and poses a threat because the devices are just passed out to the next user.

Providing open captioning is an easy, inexpensive and

more sanitary alternative versus cinema monitors.

[LOST AUDIO 21:51] movie attendance when scheduled along with uncaptioned screenings. Open captioning in movie screens also greatly benefits the many New Yorkers who have limited English language

I'm open to making some tweaks to both the bills and I look forward to testimony from the Administration and the public. So, if you have not done so already, please submit any written testimony to the City Council at testimony@council.nyc.gov and thank you Chair Eugene. Before I pass it back to you, uhm, uh, you who are watching at home, the people who are going to speak today can see each other. So, I can see some of the people who are hard of hearing and we [LOST AUDIO 23:04] so they could read either through cart or ASL what uhm is happening in this conversation. And I would just ask for those who are deaf or hard of hearing, could you just raise

Okay, oh, one hand is up. Thank you. Uhm, and

your hand so I can know you can hear, see, this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: You're very welcome and

my system is not totally working. Uhm if anyone is

18

having a problem and most of you know me, just text

me and we'll try to help figure out how to make the

system work. Thank you. Thank you so much Chair

Eugene.

conversation.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much Council

Barron has a statement also? Committee Counsel,

Member Rosenthal. I don't know if Assembly Member

Assembly Member Barron.

CHARLES BARRON: Yes, could you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yes, hello.

CHARLES BARRON: Hello, could you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yes Assembly Member.

CHARLES BARRON: Okay, thank you very much

I appreciate the opportunity and I'm Chairman.

looking forward to coming back to the Council and

have a good time with you and others who might still

be around. But thank you so much for this

welcome back. 25

opportunity.

J

2.3

coming back because as I come back, Thomas Jefferson exits with the statue, so I've been fighting that for 20 years. So, I appreciate the Assembly finally doing that and he was a slave holder.

But I want to thank you so much and I want to

CHARLES BARRON: Yes and I think it's a timely

particularly thank Council Member Inez Barron for the great piece that she laid out and her support of the bill and the legislation. What I'd like to do in just a few minutes, I want to read my Press Release and then have a few words and then I'll turn it back over to you Mr. Chair.

This is the Press Statement that we had made when my bill was passed. On June 9, 2021, history was made in the New York State Assembly. When the Assembly passed by a vote of 103 to 45, the New York State Community Commission on Reparations Remedies; I put emphasis on Remedies.

The uniqueness of this bill is that it establishes a majority community Commission on reparations remedies. The community will have six appointees, two from the National Coalition of Blacks for Reparations in American, also known as NCOBRA.

Two from the December 12th movement and two from the

2.

_

)

2.3

Institute of the Black world. These are groups that have been fighting for decades for reparations. The state will have five appointees. One from the Governor, two from the Assembly and two from the Senate. The bill was introduced in 2017, needless to say there was resistance but due to our persistence, massive demonstrations for restorative justice and reparations becoming the defining issue of the 21st century. Speaker Carl Heastie and the New York State Assembly passed our bill in 2021.

Now, we must turn up the heat on the Senate to follow suit. The same as Senate Bill, Bill S-7215 prime sponsored by Jabari, Senator Jabari Brisport, is what needs to be passed in the Senate.

The Commission will be charged with the responsibility of determining the amount, the forum and who shall receive reparations in the state. New York City, as the Councilman mentioned, was the second largest slave holding city in the country.

Only Charleston South Carolina had more. African people built the infrastructure and the economic foundation of New York's parasitic predatory colonial capitalist system.

_

A crime has been committed, people have been injured and compensation is long over due. They stole us, they sold us, they owe us, we say reparations now. I'd like to finally say that New York City was established as a city some say 1624, some say 1625, some say 1626. But around there, it was established by the Dutch. They say established; they robbed, stole the indigenous peoples land. The Manahatta's, the Lenape, those were the indigenous people on this land. They stole it from them, put them on reservations and called it New Amsterdam. And then went and stole us from Africa to build the economic foundation of this capitalist system.

So, when we talk of reparations, we're talking of that early beginning. So, from that point to 1827,

New York City was involved in slavery. It abolished slavery in 1827 but it did not abolish the practice.

It continued to 1840, right here in my beloved East

New York, there's a street named Skank Avenue. The Skank family was the largest slave holders in Brooklyn. And so many of the street names in Brooklyn and all over the city are named after slave holders. Jefferson, Washington and so many others.

So, when we go forward with this and we see that and not only did we build the foundation of the capitalist system, but the devasting impact it had on our community. There's many writings on the Post Traumatic Slave Syndrome and the dept, what's written on what's owed. But the psychological impact, the cultural impact. Can you imagine being stripped of your culture? Not knowing where you came from or who you are and you have to reestablish yourself. Dr. Clark said, we are not who we are based upon where the boats dropped us off in South America and North American and West Indies. We are who we are based upon where the boats picked us up from and we all were picked up from Africa. That's why we consider

So, that kind of devastation that impacted us during the middle passage, millions of us died on the plantations. Millions of us died and to this day, we are still dying at the hands of the police and poverty. So, we have to fight for this reparations. The New York City —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

ourselves African people.

CHARLES BARRON: New York City was established in 1845 as a slave catch and patrol, to us back into

2.3

Z 4

slavery. So, I want to encourage us to pass this legislation, to study reparations, and understand that our people have been injured and compensation can't be determined by the state or by those who partook in the slave trade or the benefits of it.

The compensation has to be determined by this commission, this community Commission and that should determine what it should be. Reparations isn't giving us scholarships, isn't giving us more jobs.

Those are things we're supposed to have as tax paying citizens to this state. Separate from that, reparations is a dept owed and some say it's in the trillions and billions and some say it will be land, it will be cash but Commission will determine that.

Thank you Council Member Barron. Thank you to this body for entertaining or hearing on this bill and hopefully it will pass along with what we do in the state.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much Assembly Member Barron. Now, I want to turn it over to the Committee Counsel to introduce the Departments.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Eugene. Uhm, my name is Jayasri Ganapathy. My pronouns are she

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

I am Counsel to the Committee on Civil and and her. Human Rights and I will be moderating this hearing.

Before we begin, I do want to acknowledge Council Member Lander who also was present at the hearing. And I would like to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify. At which point, you will be unmuted by the host. During the hearing, I will be calling panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to be called, as I will periodically announcing who the next panelists will be.

At this hearing, we will first be inviting testimony from the Department of Civil and Human Right - from the Commission on Civil and Human Rights followed by testimony from the Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities and then member of the public.

During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question of the Administration or a specific panelist, please use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order. For all panelists, when called to testify, please state your name and the organization you represent, if any.

2.2

We will now call representatives of the

Administration to testify. We will be hearing

testimony from JoAnn Kamuf Ward, City Commission on

Human Rights, Deputy Commissioner for Policy and

External Affairs. And from Ronald Wooden Jr. ASL

Direct Supervisor for the Mayor's Office for People

with Disabilities. We will also be joined for

questions by Katherine Greenberg from the City

Commission on Human Rights, Special Counsel.

At this time, I will administer the affirmation.

Panelists please raise your right hands. Do you

affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing

but the truth before this Committee and to respond

honestly to Council Member questions. Commissioner

Ward?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Supervisor Wooden?

RONALD WOODEN JR.: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Special Counsel Greenberg?

KATHERINE GREENBERG: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: At this time, I would like to invite Deputy Commissioner Ward to present their testimony.

_

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Good morning Chair Eugene and members of the Civil and — of the Committee on Civil and Human Rights. I am JoAnn Kamuf Ward, Deputy Commissioner of Policy and External Affairs at the New York City Commission on Human Rights and my pronouns are she and her.

It is my pleasure to join all of you today to testify in support of the intent of Intro 1208-A, which would amend the city's Administrative Code to require employers to post the salary range on job postings as described by the Chair and sponsor Councilperson Helen Rosenthal both previously. We've submitted longer testimony in writing and I am joined today by my colleague, Katherine Greenberg, Special Counsel at the Commission.

As many of you know, the Commission is the local civil rights enforcement agency that enforces compliance with the city's Human Rights Law. One of the broadest and most protective anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws in the country, with 27 protected categories, which cover housing, employment, and public accommodations.

By statute, the Commission has two main functions. First, the Commission's Law Enforcement

2.2

Bureau enforces the City Human Rights Law by investigating complaints of discrimination from the public, initiating its own investigations on behalf of the city, and utilizing testing to help identify violations of the Law.

Second, through the Community Relations Bureau, which is comprised of Community Service Centers in each of the city's five boroughs, the Commission provides workshops and trainings for New Yorkers' on rights and obligations of businesses, employers, and housing providers under the Human Rights Law, working with community partners and sister agencies.

In the last six and a half years of this

Administration, the Commission has implemented over

30 amendments to the City Human Rights Law. The Law
has been expanded to institute one of the nation's
first salary history inquiry bans, prohibit criminal
history discrimination in employment, and to expand
protections against sexual harassment, among many
more. Additionally, our law prohibits retaliation
against individuals who seek to oppose
discrimination, file a discrimination complaint, or
participate in a related investigations.

race.

In Fiscal Year '21, the Commission resolved 896 cases and assessed a record \$9.74 million in damages and penalties for violations of the Human Rights Law. In addition to resolving cases for monetary relief, the Commission has shaped remedies to repair the harm experienced by individuals and communities impacted by discrimination. For example, in cases of employment discrimination, the Commission has negotiated resolutions that require respondents to invest in paid internships, apprenticeships, and create pipeline opportunities for underrepresented groups in particular industries.

The Commission has established a cooperative approach to businesses and public accommodations to foster Human Rights Law compliance by improving policies and practices, rather than just levying fines. The Commission's staff remained steadfast in efforts to vindicate New Yorkers' human rights amidst a pandemic. However, discrimination remains a reality. In Fiscal Year '21, the agency received 9,055 reports of discrimination. Consistent with prior years, the most reported instances of discrimination relate to disability, gender, and

Some discrimination is intentional. Yet, discrimination can also be the result of practices that have a disproportionate impact on particular individuals and groups. The City Human Rights Law seeks to eliminate barriers to equity where they exist and to strengthen dignity and equality for all New Yorkers. The Commission staunchly supports pay inequity as well as the enactment of legislation that increase pay transparency, which is vital for equity. The City Human Rights Law already contains several provisions that protect against unequal treatment in the terms and conditions of employment, including compensation.

These protections apply to most employers and prohibit discrimination on the basis of protected characteristics including, but not limited to, actual or perceived age, race, national origin, gender, disability, and sexual orientation. With respect to disparate pay, the City Human Rights Law provides that it is an unlawful discriminatory practice for an employer, employee, or agent thereof to discriminate against someone in compensation or in the terms, conditions or privileges of their employment because of their protected characteristic.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Discrimination in pay or terms of employment, however, can be difficult to detect. As employees are often hesitant to share salary information with colleagues, and often do not realize and are unable to know that they are being compensated at a lower rate for comparable work. Recognizing this reality, a number of state and local governments, including in New York, are taking steps to advance pay equity. As I mentioned the New York City Human Rights Law was expanded in 2017 to ban inquiries into salary history for the purpose of encouraging pay equity. And that amendment to the Human Rights Law recognizes that inquiring about salary history during the hiring process often creates a cycle of inequity and discrimination in the workplaces, which can perpetuate lower salaries, specifically for women and people of color.

Intro. 1208-A represents a welcome step towards leveling the playing field for employees, and for women and people of color, as well as other New Yorkers who have historically and are currently harmed by wage disparities. Wages define what's affordable for families, determines quality of life in the short-term, and can impact one's ability to

2.3

accrue equity over generations. We think that action to address pay equity today will have long term benefits. And that's why in 2019, the Commission convened a public hearing on pay equity, working with partners that include the City's Commission on Gender Equity and the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection, together and from New Yorkers and publish a hearing report.

This hearing, and our work in this area, have together emphasized that federal, state, and local legislative and policy changes are needed to further foster fairness and equity. The 2019 hearing testimony underscored that, although New York City has robust workplace protections, workers across and within industries continue to be inequitably compensated. Testimony emphasized that New Yorkers experience wage disparities as the result of persistent differential treatment in the workplace based on gender, class, race, immigration status, national origin, gender identity, and other identity characteristics.

Testimony also reflected that a lack of transparency in compensation enables pay equity to persist. And in jurisdictions where there is

3

4

6

7 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

RONALD WOODEN, JR.: Good morning. Can you see

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I'd

like to invite Supervisor Wooden to present their

mandated wage transparency, the pay gap between men and women has been shown to decrease, and more women are hired and promoted into leadership.

Testimony during the public hearing in 2019, resulted in other recommendations as well. Not only increased transparency around pay and posting salary ranges but support for greater reporting of pay data and demographic information. Testimony also suggested there's a need for greater services and support for individuals that have been most impacted by wage disparities and underpayment, including raising the minimum wage, expansion of affordable childcare access and outreach and training programs that enhance career development. The Commission looks forward to working with the City Council, as well as sister agencies, to fulfill the intent of Intro. 1208-A, and to working on complementary initiatives that promote gender and racial equity. Thank you again for the opportunity to speak today.

testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

RONALD WOODEN, JR.: We're just making sure the interpreter can see, yes. Good morning Chair Eugene and members of the Committee on Civil and Human Rights. My name is Ronald Wooden, Jr. and I am the ASL Direct Supervisor for the New York City Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities, in short MOPD.

It is my pleasure to join you today in support of Introduction Number 2020 which would require movie theatres to present with open captions on screen.

MOPD supports Introduction 2020 which would require movie theatres to present a certain percentage of movies with open captions on screen. Right now, there are more than 175,000 deaf and hard of hearing people who reside here in New York City. This bill would be a definite game changer for our movie going experience.

Currently, only closed caption is provided at most movie theatres. With closed captioning, deaf and hard of hearing patrons who go to the theatre must follow what is being said on devices provided by the movie theatre such as captioning or small screen that is attached to our seats, which shows the words. But that equipment does not provide deaf and hard of

hearing people who go to the theatre with full access and enjoyment of the movies.

Most of the time, those devices do not work. As a deaf person, I have often times had to get up during the movie theatre, walk to the front desk, ask for another piece of equipment, if available because mine isn't working. During this time, I have to try to explain what's happening and I have already now missed 15 minutes or more of the movie.

And then, when I'm back, there is no guarantee that the new equipment is working. Many times, by the end of the movie, deaf patrons leave and just say, "well, I'll watch it when it comes out on TV or a different time." The situation does not allow a deaf person like myself to join hearing friends or family going to a movie experience.

Also, the equipment, even if they do work, can be very uncomfortable. For example, in regards to captioning glasses, they can cause a variety of different issues. Headaches, swelling around the eyes and nose, dizziness, plus the equipment is shared between many different people, so who knows if they sanitize it afterwards.

Open captioning is by far superior. With open captioning, your eyes can stay on the screen at all times, allowing deaf or hard of hearing people to enjoy the movie with the same experience that hearing people do. Open captioning works 100 percent of the time, without any issues and there's no sharing of equipment or devices.

The number of deaf and hard of hearing people who attend a movie showing is limited because the devices are limited as well with how many the theatre has.

So, if you go the movies with say ten people, you have to hope that they have enough equipment for everyone and that no ones left not able to enjoy the movie or having to go another time.

Finally, open captioning not only benefits deaf and hard of hearing community, it can also help kids learn how to read or other people learn English. We understand that open captioning is growing in popularity particularly among young people. On behalf of MOPD and the deaf and hard of hearing New Yorkers, I urge you to vote for the passage of this bill, which will make New York City a fairer and more inclusive by helping ensure that everyone going to

the movies has equal access and an enjoyable experience.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. We look forward to further discussions with the Council on this legislation and further protections for the deaf and hard of hearing community. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now turn it over to questions from Chair Eugene. Panelists, please stay unmuted if possible during this question and answer period. Thank you. Chair Eugene, you may begin your questions.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Chair, you're on mute. We should be able to hear you now Chair.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Can you hear me now?

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so very much.

Thank you very much. I would like to thank all the speakers. I want to thank you for your statements and your representations. Uh, Deputy Commissioner from the Civil and Human Rights Commission, you mentioned that in New York City, people are impacted by the pay inequity. Can you tell us according to your observations, which group are most impacted by the pay equity in New York City.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Thank you very much for the question. Uhm, the landscape for pay equity reflects similar disparities to what we see nationally and as one recent study has indicated, women are on average are paid roughly \$0.82 for every dollar that White non-Hispanic men are paid. While Black women are paid \$0.62, Native women are paid \$0.57, and Latinx women are paid \$0.54.

I think as I referenced, the testimony that we heard in our hearing in 2019 emphasized that a number of groups are impacted on the basis of gender, class, race, immigration status, national origin, gender identity and sexual orientation. And of course, as referenced, these are intersectional challenges. So, individuals who hold more than one of these identities are more impacted, right. If you are a woman of color or transwoman, you'll have a different experience than someone who holds a different set of identities.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Pay equity in New York is the fault. We have seen it every single day and we talk about it all the time. But currently, could you tell us about the remedies that the [INAUDIBLE 52:22]

2.3

have in New York City to face these pay inequities to overcome — to end all that?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Sure and I'm going to turn to my colleague Katherine Greenberg to talk about the remedies are better available when we find that there has been discrimination in wages.

KATHERINE GREENBERG: Thank you and thank you for the question. So, the New York City Human Rights Law does prohibit employers from paying workers less because of their national origin, their race, their gender, their membership in a protected class. And there are state and federal laws that have similar protections, although the federal law only applies to larger employers, so employers of 15 or more employees. I think what would really be added by this bill is the transparency and information sharing that employees would gain in understanding what their salary is likely to be and how it might compare to peers in the same or a similar role.

There are state and federal laws that also provide wage based protections as opposed to discrimination protections, for workers who experience pay inequity who are paid less than somebody who is in the same or a similar job. There

J

2.2

2.3

are fewer remedies for those laws, however, there are less types of money damages that are available for people who experience the discrimination and similarly the protections are only there for people who are actually paid differently, not those who lack access to information about how their pay compares to their colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Well, I think the

Commissioner mentioned that the Human Rights

Community in New York is also the reinforcement isn't serious on that. And we know that there are federal relation acts you know that should be upright when we talk about with respect to the equity in the you pay equity.

Uh, my concern is that what the Human, uh, the Commissioner said the Human Rights have been doing to ensure that the federal rules and regulation already in place have been respected? It isn't under their jurisdiction to do. If yes, what has been done? If not, that's another story.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Sure, so when we get — we do receive uhm inquiries and complaints around unequal pay and we have since 2018 received 45 such claims.

29 of these cases — to answer an earlier question

Chair, were based on gender as well. And of these cases, we have found probable cause in one. A number have been closed and in several cases, there wase no determination. But I think we can talk a little bit about what kind of remedies and damages and civil penalties are available when we do find discrimination has occurred. So, Katherine, I'll turn it back over to you.

KATHERINE GREENBERG: Of course, thanks JoAnn and thank you Chair Eugene. So, we only have the ability at our agency to enforce the city's human rights law, the city's anti-discrimination law. We do have a work sharing agreement with at least one federal agency and so, we do receive complaints under the federal anti-discrimination laws. But we can't investigate or prosecute them, we can just help preserve peoples rights.

But thanks to the Council and thanks to the work of our agency as well, we do have the most expansive anti-discrimination law in the country and we're very proud of that and proud of the work that we do carrying out the mandate you have given us to combat discrimination here.

2.2

So, you know, as JoAnn was mentioning in this particular area of complaints under salary history, there have been, it looks like 11 cases that have resulted in a positive outcome for the complainant, so a total of \$341,000 in damages collected for those victims. And about 16 cases that have been filed in the past three years are more recent and still remain open and under investigation.

We have additional claims that have been filed under the salary history protections of our law as well. Some of them are overlapping with the equal pay complaints and some are different. The salary history protections is an area where we have done a lot of testing and some commission initiated work as well to make sure that that area of our law is being enforced.

But our complaint process sometimes starts with a complaint that's filed by a member of the public who believes that they have seen an illegal add or that they have been paid less than a colleague for a discriminatory reason. And sometimes it starts on a commission initiated bases, where a member of the public provides a tip or we get information that there is pay inequity going on and in those

instances, we may reach out to the employer before filing a complaint to see if we can reach a resolution or we may file a complaint as the commission and conduct an investigation.

Once a complaint is filed, either by the commission or by a member of the public, we gather further information. So, documents, interview witnesses, we get data about pay and other factors. Policies, we learn more from people who are working there, what they've experienced, how they are being paid, why they are being paid, what they are being paid. And then ultimately we reach a determination. If our determination finds evidence of discrimination we seek to settle or conciliate the case and if we can't do that, then we would prosecute it.

And I think as JoAnn had mentioned earlier in her opening remarks, there's a really wide array of remedies that we seek in conciliation or that our commissioner can order at the end of a prosecution.

So, that can be money damages for the complainant. If they were paid less, they can get back pay, emotional distress damages, attorney's fees. We as the Commission can seek penalties up to \$250,000 for violations of the law and there's a wide range of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

affirmative relief. So, fixing the legal job ads, creating more change in policies, training for HR employees and hiring managers, and then sometimes additional steps as JoAnn had referenced such as partnering with community organizations to create pipelines for hiring to diversify a workforce and ensure that there is access for members of unrepresented groups.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. we talk about pay inequity, it is very important in New York City and also throughout the nations. about the civil and human right of people who are working hard. But I think that to achieve the goal that we are all hoping for, we are all you know searching, that it will take more than the Commission on Civil and Human Rights. It will take more than you know the employers or the employees. I think all the companies, all of us should be involved in that making the difference, to make sure we reach what we are looking for pay equity. But I know that the Commission mentioned that the Commission on Civil and Human Rights and across the agency. But in terms of working together to achieve this goal, I think that the Commissioner, the Deputy Commissioner mentioned

•

that also. The Commission of Civil and Human Rights is an institution that provides training and services and I do believe in this case, when we talk about pay equity or inequity, it is necessary, it is very important that we communicate to the employees and also to the employers, and also educate them. You know have them understand the need and the necessity for all of us to reach this equity pay that we are looking for. Providing them training and also to the employers. And at the same time providing also training and workshops to the employees, in order for both of them to understand the need and the necessity, the urgency to reach this equity pay that we are talking about.

Could you tell us what has been done in this direction? What has been done to reaching out to programs? Providing training to them? Reaching out also to their communities and providing also training to them?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Sure, so I think — we are a law enforcement agency but as I mentioned, we are also an agency that believes in preventing discrimination before it occurs and that's why almost

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

outreach.

So, anytime we make a substantive amendment to

half of our agencies is committed to education and

the law, we are thinking about how do we educate the public. And to your point Chair, that means individual rights holders, in this case, people who will be applying for jobs, as well as employers, right. So, we work broadly with all relevant stakeholders. We spend both special Commissioner Greenberg and I develop with our team public facing materials FAQ's, fact sheets about our law which should on our website but we also go into community through our five community relations bureau's to ensure that people understand their rights and obligations where that is important. And we do trainings constantly with community partners and in Fiscal Year '21 for example, we reached more than 100,000 New Yorkers through these trainings.

So, we take really seriously the piece about education and prevention and we also, like anybody these days use our social media channels to push out amendments to the law and uhm and invite everyone to check us out if you have time.

O 1

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. We all know and I say that one more time. New York City is home to so many people. When got people coming from all over the place and the immigrant people they are, I believe they are very effected by that also. The father an immigrant, they come to the system, they don't understand too much. And also, they are a very easy target of.

So, when you have been trying to provide training and uh, education to the employers and the employees and uh, did you use also people who speak different languages? Because we have so many people speaking so many languages in New York City. What is the outreach of the immigrant, the people who just came? [INAUDIBLE 1:04:22] but they don't get you know integrated into the system yet. They [INAUDIBLE 1:04:29]. What did you do? What is the effort that has been done to reach out to them to make sure that they are educated and also they are informed about this situation?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Okay, I was muted. Uhm, but — CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Did you hear me?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yes, very happy to answer this question. I mean, first I'm proud to say that staff

on the Commission speak over 30 languages. So, that is quite important for our ability to be able to share information with individuals in New York no matter what language they are most comfortable speaking. We also have on our staff liaisons to particular community groups and work very closely in the rollout of information about substantive changes to our law with community groups that are well embedded and often have stronger relationships with communities, whether they have been historically in New York or our more newly arrived in New York City.

We also endeavor to translate our major documents into as many languages as possible and so they are accessible if people read another language. We have recently rolled out ruled out videos in Mixteca and other indigenous peoples languages so that we are reaching all the New Yorkers that we can and as that changes we shift as well.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. You know this legislation is going to require the employers to folks also that have been involved and the maximum pay — hello? Yeah, what uh — how would this legislation impact employees and employers in New York City?

Can you tell us you know, this legislation the impact the employees and the employers in New York City if we get connected?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Sure and I think my colleague
Katherine spoke to this. Uhm, it requires employers
to post minimum and maximum salary, which in many
instances, employers are working with a budget. They
know what the ranges are and can post them with other
job requirements. And uhm, we think it promotes both
transparency right, by providing information to
potential employees to determine if they are going to
apply for a job and if they are applying for a job,
uhm, gives them tools to negotiate with.

It also can help promote more general accountability because people understand the wages that are available across sectors and that includes all New Yorkers whether or not you're applying for the job.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: And also, can you tell us how will this impact in crime rates in New York City?

Will there be any change in the behavior and then you know, the departments solution in New York City?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: I think that the private sector right? That's the question? Is that -

^

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yeah.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Uhm, yes, the hope is that it will change. That's not to say there aren't employers who are already post this information but I think the more that this information sees the light of day, the more thoughtful people have to be about how they are engaging in putting their jobs out in the world, talking about them and developing pay scales for different positions. So, I think the hope is that it will lead to a positive change in behavior that gets to the I think multifaceted and multipronged challenges that we're talking about this morning.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: You know, every time that we are trying to implement something there is always a cost. It's never free. So, I think there would be a cost to create the minimum and maximum salaries in the state. Do you have an expectation of the costs of this change to implement?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: In my view as I was saying, I think it's not requiring creation of a new document or new tools but rather just asking for more information to be put on the job postings that are already out there. So, there may be costs but I

would imagine them to be minimal to the extent we're talking about the postings.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, I don't know if I remember freely, you mentioned or so it is very difficult to deal with pay inequity in New York City. It is something like this, it's very difficult to end on that. But if the law get enacted, how are you going to reinforce it? What are you going to do? Are you going to be able to make sure that you know the law accomplishes what we are looking for. Because it seemed like you mentioned that it's a very difficult topic or subject of situation. What is — JOANN KAMUF WARD: We will start with the

education prong that we've already discussed right?

Part of uhm, ensuring rights are fulfilled is making sure that individuals know what their rights are.

So, that is a keen first step. As my colleague

Katherine Greenberg already mentioned, we rely fairly extensively on our relationships with sister agencies as well as with community groups to understand when either the laws being violated or where there is the potential for violations and to step in again through out trainings and outreach. We receive inquiries through our info line and where we think there is the

possibility that discrimination occurred either

because we received an inquiry or uhm, through testing which is something else that we mentioned we can do right? You can look at job postings and identify if there is compliance. Then we would potentially either engage in a pre-complaint investigation or possibly file a complaint as well. And Katherine, I don't know if you want to add anything to that answer.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Will you go directly straight to the enforcement and leave uh also some time of notification to the employees and some opportunity to correct this situation? Or you are planning to go straight to reinforcement. Will there be any change for the employers to try to correct this situation before you implement it?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yes, when we say enforcement, I think uhm, I think that means a lot of different things. It can mean a complaint but we also have pre-complaints initiatives to attempt to cure violations and I will let Katherine speak of that a little bit more.

KATHERINE GREENBERG: Of course and thank you for the question. Yes, even our enforcement arm has a

lot of different strategies to make sure that there is compliance with the law and not all of them involved punitive staffs.

One of the primary things our agency does and this is our policy department and I think JoAnn referenced this earlier, was putting out a lot of informational materials that help to explain the law. How to follow the law and where to direct questions, so our policy team makes itself available by phone, email, to answer compliance questions from the public about how to follow the law and to give specific guidance if they are finding that they are running into challenges that we didn't anticipate in explaining how they can comply in our materials.

And then even on the enforcement side, once an illegal ad is reported or found, often times when there's that type of violation, the Law Enforcement Bureau will start by sending a letter, what's called a Cease and Desist Letter that advises the business of the law of how to comply. Orders them to correct the job posting and to provide proof of their compliance with any given period, say 30 days to avoid any type of further enforcement action.

2.

So, particularly with smaller employers and particularly when we're handling a new law that members of the public may only be learning about, there is going to be a lot of work to do education through outreach as opposed to penalties upfront.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: We know that at this time, there are many people who are working at home remotely. Employers there working remotely, how, you know would this legislation apply to those people who are working from home uh remotely? Is there any application.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yeah and I'll let Katherine take this one.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: I'm sorry?

KATHERINE GREENBERG: Thank you Chair Eugene.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Oh, hmm, hmm, go ahead.

Eugene. Yes, in general, so what we call the territorial jurisdiction of the City Human Rights Law or that question of which workers are covered is something that courts throughout the State of New York have spoken to. And in general, the task is that the law applies if the impact of the discrimination will be felt in New York City. So, in

general, our agency and courts view the law as applying to people who are discriminated against while working in the city.

And so, that would include people who are working in the city remotely. People who are performing work at an employers location in the city. People who work on the roads. Let's say they are sales people and their regular work takes them into New York City to perform work. They would all generally have the protections of the whole City Human Right Law, including this provision.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Uh, now, at this time, maybe turning to the Committee Counsel. I don't know if there are colleagues who would like to ask questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, thank you Chair Eugene.

I will now call on other Council Members to ask their questions in the order they have used the Zoom raise hand function.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If you would like to ask a question and you have not yet used the Zoom raise hand function, raise it now.

Please begin your questions once I have called on you and panelists, please remember to keep yourself

/

_ _

unmuted so you are able to answer the Council Members questions. Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, thank you so much and again, apologies. You may see my phone screen flip a few times. My screen saver is uh, uh, only working on a single device right now.

But first, I would be remiss if I didn't thank
the ASL Interpreters who are here. Thank you so much
for your hard work. Uhm, and then for the Commission
on Human Rights, thank you so much for your
testimony. It's just so interesting to learn about
what's been happening around.

I guess my first question is, uhm, do you have any suggestions and perhaps this will be in your longer testimony. But the bill is very short, very simple. Do you have any immediate suggestions for uhm, ways to improve the bill? And my second question is, what will be the biggest challenge that you foresee in implementing the bill?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Sure, that's a really welcomed question. I think one thing that uhm, that we have been talking about is when you are requiring a range, is there a way to possibly have a reasonableness

2.2

2.3

element of it so that there is not a potential of a range that is kind of so large that it would -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh right.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Not disturb the purpose of educating and a potential applicant on what actually to expect or where this might go. So, that is one thing that we would love to talk to you further about.

right in about that. Perhaps the way to do it because I think what you're referring to is that there are bonuses for stock options. You know, there's sort of a base salary then a things that companies can add on. Uhm, and so, yeah, those are great points and I don't know how, quite how we capture all that but yeah, I look forward to working with you on that point, how to keep it uhm, yeah, realistic. Thank you. Keep going. I'm sorry I interrupted. I just totally agree with you.

JOANN KAMUL WARD: No, no, that's right and I was even talking about a simpler example of say you post that you're hiring for a sales manager and the job description is flat, you know the salary ranges from \$5.00 to \$100,000, right? Like, something that even

)

would fit within the law as it's drafted now but uhm, would not necessarily kind of help to change the knowledge and power dynamics in the negotiation or the job description.

And on the — I mean, on the other question, what do we see as the biggest challenge? I think it goes to the heart of what we're talking about. This is a huge challenge that comes out of years and decades of both implicit and explicit bias. And so, I think this is a really important piece of leveling the paying field in individual actions but there's so many other things that need to be done to ensure that there is greater equity in the work place. So, that's what I see is the biggest challenge.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I agree with that. I was thinking about how my situation — I experienced a pay inequity situation when I started working for the city actually, 30 years ago. And discovered when talking to male colleagues, who had the same job title that I had, that his salary was about \$5,000 higher than mine. And I looked at him and said, what? Uh, what?

And he said, yeah, I told them I have a family.

I'm going to need more money. Uhm, so even within

the pay range uhm, you know sometimes — and in my mind's eye, that gets to your education efforts. I loved hearing about the work you do throughout the city to help people learn how to negotiate for a better salary, you know? I mean, I was lucky enough — well, first of all, I don't think you guys existed but I was lucky enough to be able to just go talk to the HR division and say to them you know, that's ridiculous and they changed my salary and they gave me the back pay to the start.

But I think my story is pretty unusual in terms of rectifying a situation. Okay, so that's super helpful. I'm going to flip my screen, so I can ask you the next question, apologies. Uhm, I want to talk for a second about a state bill that similarly uhm, talks about mandating pay ranges to be posted.

I think our bill will provide an important local mechanism to report noncompliance. I'm wondering what you think about that and I'm wondering what you think about the location of this bill in the Civil Rights Law. You know that that be critical or do you think the administration would suggest that we do it somewhere — some place else?

2.3

JOANN KAMUF WARD: So, I'll take the second question first. I think we're still reviewing internally and with law that kind of — the exact terminology and uhm, and the provisions but we think it makes sense given our employment jurisdiction that this would be part and parcel of what is within the Commissions jurisdiction and what we're looking at as we're addressing uhm, many types of discrimination, which often are impacting individuals at the same time.

And I'm going to turn it over to Katherine to talk about the state law piece if we have that information, otherwise we'll get back to you about that separately.

KATHERINE GREENBERG: Thank you JoAnn. Thank you Council Member Rosenthal. Yes, just to say I think that it belongs in this section of the code in Title 8 with the New York City Human Rights Law. It really compliments I think the salary history protections and the pay equity protections that exist and I think would allow us to speak with even more volume about what employers can and should do to ensure that there's pay equity among their workforce.

I'm not personally familiar with the pending state bill, so I can speak to specific distinctions between the bill that you have proposed and between the pending bill but I can say that there are many areas of overlapping jurisdiction between the City's Human Rights Law, the State Human Rights Law and the antidiscrimination protections that exist on the federal level. And for each one of those overlaps, it's simply one more opportunity for government to get involved in eradicating discrimination.

So, I would say that we support this protection even if it exists on another level because that just enables us further amplify and work to help combat this type of discrimination.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's so helpful to hear. I appreciate that and I guess the one challenge I really heard today was figuring out how to word the bill such that uhm we don't have a ridiculous pay range you know from \$5.00 to \$100.00. Uhm, and do you think that that challenge is overcome able?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Uhm, yes. Uhm, I think it definitely takes a little bit more thinking but I think we can work out some solutions that we think

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

are workable and there's other jurisdictions that have similar protections in place and so, we can look to other areas for guidance as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. I ask these questions because these are some of the questions I've gotten and I just want to make sure hearing from you that uhm, we're on the right track. I think that's it for me. Uhm, I mean, one of the other - so, I'm going to ask this question but it's just that, uhm, it just sounds to me the numbers that you were giving for how many cases that you've dealt with, having to do with pay discrimination and the fact that if I heard you right, just one was settled in favor of the person who brought it forward. know really gives me more uhm, you know interest in moving forward with this bill. You think that's a fair statement?

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yeah, I think so there were several cases that went to mediation. So, just to correct on the numbers, there was not just one that was resolved.

But I think I mean, part of what we were saying is that the - on of - there's a lot of concern about bringing claims and it's very difficult for

2.2

individuals to necessarily have the information to be able to substantiate a claim because of some of the tabu around salary history and pay that we're talking about. Uhm and so I do think it provides a really important platform and step to addressing some of these structural issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, thank you so much for that. Chair, I'm going to send it back to you with the understanding that you'll be asking the Mayor's Office of People with Disabilities separate and apart from this bill line of questioning. So, thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member Rosenthal. Next, we have Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much.

I'll be very brief. The testimony of this panel

basically talks about the bill, I think it's 2020 and

the other bill presented by Council Member Rosenthal.

And first, I'd like to ask to be added to both those

bills. I think that they are very significant and

important. I also want to thank Council Member

Rosenthal for her kind words about me and ditto back

to you.

I want to ask you about my two Reso's, 1039-A and

discovered that there was a pay disparity between the work that she was doing and some one in the same title and it was addressed by raising her to the appropriate level and going back to her start date. So that she received some type of reparations for the injustice that she suffered. What is the Administrations position? What is the Commissions position on Reso's 1039 and 1040, which call for a study of what the remedies for the impact of slavery might be for our city? Oh, did you hear me?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Oh, okay, no problem.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: So, I cannot speak for the

Administration on these Resolutions but I can say

in my ability to unmute myself.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yeah, sorry, there's a delay

from the Commission perspective uhm, we support initiatives that aim to address both current and historic barriers to discrimination. Uhm, we also are very big proponents of participatory decision making, so as was stated earlier, the idea that any panel put in place would involve community members

and be a joint, a joint endeavor. Uhm and further,

we support initiatives that advance dignity, equality and an adequate standard of living for all New Yorkers.

So, to the extent we can support the intent of any measures in that arena we would do so. And the Commission, I'll just flag has done a fair amount of work in the arena of anti-Black racism specifically and I'd be happy to share the reports that we have created in this arena and to talk further offline about these Resolutions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much.

Thank you Mr. Chair and I appreciate the fact that

Council Member Rosenthal is implementing what she

wants us to do by having signers here and I hope

somebody can put in the chat — well, we don't have a

chat. But someone can give me information about

where I can go to learn sign language. I've been

trying, no, I've been saying for more than 25 years,

I'm going to learn sign language. And I did go

online and try to learn some of the songs to sign

them but it didn't work. But anyone who wants to

share any information about resources about where I

can go to learn sign language, it would be greatly

appreciated. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Your very welcome Council 2 3 Member Barron and thank you so much also for your legislations and you have been focusing for a long 4 5 time and I know Council Member Barron has been fighting for that also. I didn't want to speak about 6 7 that yet because I thought that you know we would 8 have the opportunity after but let me say that, for a long time, a long time, if we can decenter people Black people have been fighting for racial litigation 10 11 remedies for what they have been suffering but the Black people have been suffering you know since for 12 13 millions, for many hundreds of years. And also, the 14 contribution of Black people, there is no words to 15 express and to explain to people the contribution of 16 the Black people in the United States of America. 17 think this is something remarkably important, not 18 only for the Black people but also for all Americans. 19 We have to know history. What America is about. 20 America we call America. Who are contributing. challenges different people went through. Not only 21 2.2 Black people but everybody but especially Black people have been through so much to contribute to the 2.3 United States of America and many people don't know 24 And this is about time - this is a justice. 25

This is education, this is fairness, this is talking about the history of United States of America and the Commission and these two legislation, they are very, very important and I hope that they will pass the City Council. Thank you very much for advocacy and for those legislation and thank you also to former Council Member Barron and actually Assembly Member and soon Council Member Barron again. Thank you very much to both of you. Thank you.

Now, I'll turn it back to the Counsel, to the Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Eugene. We will now turn to public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Before that, can I ask one more question?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Council Member Rosenthal. I want to thank Council Member Rosenthal for these two legislations. Very important legislations that address issues that many, many, many New Yorkers are facing. Number one for the pay equity and also for the people who need the opportunity to enjoy, you know opportunities that many of us, all of us in New

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York City enjoy. I'm talking about the uh, deaf people cannot hear properly.

So, I want to also piggyback to what Council Member Rosenthal shared with us. She discovered the you know pay disparity and this is - that's going to exactly important information. When people are informed, when people have the knowledge, what can they do to implement their life. To implement their life. What the difference will be because she had the information. She had the knowledge. She could go and ask for - ask also for payment or salaries to be changed. So, my question to the Commissioner, I know that my father always said that "my son, there is no perfection in nothing." There is always room for improvement and the Commissioner mentioned that the Commission of Civil and Human Rights has been providing training and also workshop to people. That's great but because we know there is no perfection in anything, is there also something you believe that you can do in addition to what you have been doing to provide to the workers the information and the opportunity to have the knowledge to do what Council Member Rosenthal did in terms of you know going - having the information and the knowledge and 2.

the courage also to go and to say you know what, I want you know to be paid equally. And I want the pay equity and I want to get the salary that I deserve. Is there anything that uh, the Commission of Civil and Human Rights can do to make sure that people are informed. That they are not denied the education to take position and also to demand the salaries that they deserve.

JOANN KAMUF WARD: Yeah, I mean, as part of what I mentioned where we have uhm, the opportunity and uhm, and the partnership of sister agencies like the Commission on Gender Equity and Department of Consumer and Workplace Protections. I think we've been quite effective in going into communities and hearing from individuals and having an exchange that's not necessarily a workshop or training but where we're hearing experiences and thinking about how does our law respond? How do other agencies in New York provide services that help to meet peoples needs and again, working with uhm, with Council and others in Administration to think about gaps and protections and what's needed to address them.

But we are always also taking recommendations for how to be improving our outreach and education. So

would be open to hearing from others after the hearing as well.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much

Commissioner and thank you to all your staff. Thank

you for what you are doing for New Yorkers. Thank

you so very much. We are all in this together.

Let's keep on going and keep doing the right thing.

Thank you so much. Now, I will turn it back to the

Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Eugene. I see we do we have uh, Council Member Rosenthal has her hand raised. So, we can go to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Ms.

Ganapathy. I appreciate you. Uhm, you know I think,

I just want to make sort of another point about uhm,

what Chair Eugene is talking about and then I'd

actually like, I'm hoping that the Deputy

Commissioner from uhm MOPD is still available for

questions. I have a question for him.

But I think you know and Council Member Barron can speak to this more eloquently than I can. But you know, the question in my situation is answered a lot by the privilege that I had growing up, right?

And you know, it was taught if I don't like the

2.

_

situation, I go and try to fix it. And in history of Black people in this country does not land — does not leave Black people in the same situation as I had, which is why this is uhm, this whole — the Black lives movement is so incredibly important. But we need to make sure everyone is empowered to make sure that that their rights are heard and respected.

So, there are a lot of important things that come out of this particular, my particular situation.

Uhm, Council Member Barron, I don't know if you want to say something about that.

I am just going to jump over to the Mayor's

Office of People with Disabilities. I have uhm just
a question for you uhm on the open caption bill.

Uhm, I guess two questions. One is about enforcement
and whether or not you know MOPD believes they will
have a good role in enforcement and whether or not —
sorry for these alphabet soup but DCWP, the
Department of Consumer Worker Protections, whether or
not they will be able to enforce the bill.

And secondly, uhm, I really was so heartened by your testimony to hear the support from the administration. I'm curious in the Administrations

research, have you found any drawbacks to open captions? Thank you.

RONALD WOODEN JR.: I'm sorry, we're just switching interpreters, so just give us one second please.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Of course, thank you. No problem at all and thank you very much.

RONALD WOODEN JR.: Yeah, only one of us has the ability to unmute, that's it.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Uhm, what are you $\label{eq:council_member_rose} \mbox{trying to } -$

RONALD WOODEN JR.: Tony's just looking for me.

Hi, yes, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, it was frozen on my
end.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No worries.

RONALD WOODEN JR.: I'm having technical difficulties Tony's saying. So, uh, in relation to your first question with enforcement and DWP, DCWP I'm sorry. I just want to mention very quickly that you know we fully support this policy and we want to make greater accessibility and equality for our public spaces including our theatres.

Uhm, you know as a designated enforcement agency,

I don't foresee any issues with enforcement. Do you

have any specific concerns regarding the impact of

the bill on its enforcement? Uhm, you know, we can

2.

question perfectly.

2.2

definitely discuss that with the sister agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sorry, uh, uhm, no, I
was wanting to get a sense of — you answered the

RONALD WOODEN JR.: Okay and the second question, my computer was freezing a little bit, so would you mind repeating the second one?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Not at all. Uhm, I, what I said was that I am so heartened to know how much support the Administration has for open captions at movie theatres but I am curious in your research. Have you found any drawbacks to open captions?

RONALD WOODEN JR.: Me, as a deaf person, no and in the research as well I have not found any drawbacks and I don't foresee that happening because it's really about accessibility for all. And like I said, it's not only the deaf and hard of hearing community, it can be various different people. So, no, I don't foresee any drawbacks.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Thank you very much. I appreciate you.

RONALD WOODEN JR.: You're welcome.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you Ms.

Ganapathy. I'll send it back to you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member
Rosenthal. Chair Eugene, do you have any additional
questions.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: No, that's all. Thank you so very much and one more time, thank you to all the speakers and the Commissioner and all the staff for your Commission on Civil and Human Rights Committee, thank you for your job. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to public testimony. I'd like to remind everyone that unlike our typical Council hearings, we will be calling individuals one by one to testify. Each panelist will be given three minutes to speak.

Please begin once the Sergeant has started the timer. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you after the panelist has completed their testimony.

For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go ahead to begin upon setting up

2.

the timer. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

I would like to now welcome Roger Wareham to testify. After Roger Wareham, we will be calling on Leah Goodridge and Julia Elmaleh-Sachs to testify.

Roger Wareham, you can go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

ROGER WAREHAM: To the Committee on Civil and Human Rights, Chairperson Eugene, Council Member Barron, good morning. My name is Roger Wareham, I am here today to speak in support of Proposed Resolutions 1039-A and 1040.

By way of background, I am a Human Rights
Attorney and a member of the December 12th Movement,
which is a non-governmental organization that defends
the rights of people of African descent in New York
City, United States and throughout the global African
Diaspore. I'm also the International Secretary
General of the International Association Against
Torture, which like the December 12th Movement, is an
NGO in consulted status with the United Nations
Economic and Social Counsel.

In this capacity, I have been a regular participant in the UN's Human Rights Bodies in Geneva

2.2

these Resolutions what steps could be taken to

Switzerland since 1989. In terms of — excuse me, for over 30 years, we have been actively involved locally, nationally, and internationally in the Campaign for Reparations for People of African Descent. As a vehicle to begin repairing the damage inflicted by over four centuries of chattel slavery and systemic racism.

In 2001, the United Nations World Conference

Against Racism held in Durban South African declared that the transatlantic slave trade and chattel slavery were crimes against humanity for which reparations are due, the descendants of the victims. The state and national legislation, which these Proposed Resolutions support are important steps in advancing that declaration.

The COVID pandemic, which still overshadows all New Yorkers has further exposed the historic and continuing inequities faced by Black people particularly in the area of healthcare. This is an opportunity for New York City to take a stand in support of the civil and human rights of its citizenry of African descent.

The agenda for this meeting ask, in addition to

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

)

2.2

and thank you to the Committee Counsel. I just want to acknowledge the work that Attorney Roger Wareham

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Uh, thank you Mr. Chair

address reparations and the continued impacts of slavery.

My answer is that the New York City Council should support the campaign that is being waged to have President Biden issue an Executive Order on Reparations. Early on, President Biden stated that he owed his election victory to support from the Black community, and that he "had our back." We propose that he implement that promise by issuing an executive order that would include a \$50 billion down payment on reparations targeted to provision of state of the art healthcare facilities in Black communities.

I end with the words that we developed for the UN World Conference Against Racism. They stole us, they sold us, they owe us. Reparations now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much Mr.

Wareham. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to questions from Council Members. I see Council

Member Barron has her hand raised.

2.2

2.3

has done for as long as I've known him, which is

about 40 years. And I want to acknowledge the

commitment that he has. The broad breathe of

knowledge that he has about particularly also

international issues. Their involvement in the UN as

a non-GO and the impact that they have had in

bringing awareness and educating people. General

citizens as well as those in the particular advocacy groups of the plight that we face and organizing and mobilizing the people to respond.

I just wanted to acknowledge his presence, thank him for his testimony and commend him for his work.

ROGER WAREHAM: Thank you and I would just add, in terms of the comment that the Chairman Eugene made around the importance of history. That the Resolutions that are being put forward and the legislation they're talking about are very important because the role of African people in the United States is not simply Black history, it is United States history. And that's underreported and I think also, in that context, the role that Haiti played in terms of the struggle of Black people inside the Americas, in particularly inside of North America is really not always understood. And the punishment

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

that Haiti suffered because of that to this day is
also that. And so, when we talk about reparations,
we're really talking about reparations for you know
what's happened throughout America particularly in

6 terms of Haiti.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Wareham.

ROGER WAREHAM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. Thank you and I appreciate the representation and your commitment and your knowledge of history and the knowledge of Council Member Barron or so. I think the history of Black people should be taught and we have to do a lot of effort to make sure that people know exactly the reality, the truth, about the Black people. The contribution and their sacrifice for United States. Thank you so very much.

ROGER WAREHAM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: And again, thank you for your testimony and Council Member Barron, thank you very much for these two important Resolutions. Thank you.

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Eugene. We will now turn to testimony from Leah Goodridge.

After Leah Goodridge, we will have Julia ElmalehSachs and Khawaja. Leah Goodridge, you may proceed after the Sergeants call time.

LEAH GOODRIDGE: Thank you. Can I record my testimony?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

LEAH GOODRIDGE: It says please ask the host to give you permission to record.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, give us one minute.

Good afternoon. My name is Leah Goodridge and I am
the Managing Attorney for Housing Policy at

Mobilization for Justice. And thank you for hearing
my testimony today on Intro. 1039-2019 and 1040.

We are in full support of both measures to establish a commission on reparations to examine the impact of slavery in New York. Sorry, just one — to be honest the testimony is a bit hard for me. I did pray before to give me the strength of my ancestors for this testimony but.

So, I want to talk about a place that many New Yorkers know, Central Park. Central Park is a

_

2.3

beautiful, serene space that many go to for its sprawling views but beneath it lies a somber history of how it was built. So, in 1825 Black families took root in and built homes on a land strip calling it Seneca Village. It was a thriving community and one that could have produced generational wealth through property ownership but it didn't turn out that way. In 1853, the New York Legislature passed a law to set aside land for New York's first major public park.

The city wanted Seneca Village torn down for that park, the residents resisted, the city won. About 1,600 Black Americans were displaced, evicted, the name of the park, Central Park. In many ways Central Park and its history is symbolic of New York and its connection to the slavery of people of African descent. New York is a progressive state, yet it too has a dark history on how it was built. And the ghost of slavery are everywhere. Wallstreet, built by enslaved people. Wayne Street, hidden Nigro burial ground built in the 1700's, bodies of 419 Black people. Some historians estimate there to be as many as 20,000 bodies.

City Hall in 1741, ten fires burned in New York. Some White New Yorkers feared this could be a slave

~ ~

uprising. In turn, 13 Black men were burned at the stake, 17 Black people hanged, and more than 100 thrown in a dungeon, right there at City Hall.

As I mentioned, I'm a Housing Attorney, housing rights and one of the most profound effects of slavery in New York today is that the very people who built this city are the ones who are being pushed out of it. Eviction rates between 2017 and 2019, tenants living in majority Black zip codes were more than three times as likely to be evicted as tenants living in majority White zip codes. Red lining, can't even get a loan to buy a house in the land your ancestors built. The list goes on. Reparations are long due to correct these wrongs but it needs to be done right.

For that to happen, we need a Commission of qualified people who can study and research the impact of slavery and I'm in full support of that.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

LEAH GOODRIDGE: Thank you for hearing my testimony.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you so much. Thank you.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3 24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I see we have Council Member Barron's hand is raised. Council Member -

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, yes, thank you so much. I just want to thank the panelist Attorney Goodridge for the presentation that you gave. A very succinct, a very powerful tidbit of the impact of what African Americans did and what they were subjected to and what they lost in the building of the city, so thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you Council Member Barron.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would now like to welcome Julia Elmaleh-Sachs to testify. After Julia Elmaleh-Sachs we will have Seher Khawaja and Beverly Neufeld.

Julia, you can go ahead once the Sergeants call time. Oh -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

JULIA ELMALEH-SACHS: Good afternoon Chair Eugene and Council Members Rosenthal and Barron. my name is Julia Elmaleh-Sachs and I am a Plaintiff's Side Employment Attorney at Crumiller P.C.. I am here to testify today on behalf of NELA-New York, the New

York affiliate of the National Employment Lawyers
Association, working with PowHer New York.

As employees' attorneys, we regularly represent employees who are subjected to discriminatory treatment and practices at work. Most of our clients are women and people of color who seek to remedy unlawful conduct they have been subjected to by their supervisors and company executives. Such unlawful or discriminatory treatment sometimes includes pay disparities, for the same or substantially similar roles and responsibilities.

As an example, one of my former clients learned that she was making significantly less than her male counterparts only by happenstance, when she came across an excel spreadsheet in the course of regular business that contained salary information for all employees.

Prior to that, she had no idea she was being underpaid. Had the employer posted the salary range of her role at the outset, she could have negotiated a fairer compensation and the company could have avoided a long, drawn out and costly lawsuit. Salary range information would be immensely useful to employees in other ways as well. Say an employee

2.

asks for a raise after they have taken on extra responsibility and is told that a raise is simply not possible because they're already at the top of their salary band. That employee may simply leave the company. Alternatively, she might stay and be paid less than some of her peers at her detriment.

If employees have access to salary range information from the start of their employment, they can make informed decisions when accepting a position or applying for a promotion. By intentionally keeping employees in the dark about salary range information, employers have unfair leverage over their employees and can, and often do, more easily pay certain disfavored employees less than they deserve.

The point of this bill is to create much needed transparency around an often taboo topic. Similar to the State Wage Notification Law, where employees are made aware of their hourly and overtime rates, this bill would simply endow employees with earning potential information.

NELA New York and PowHer previously supported the New York City Salary History Ban, which became effective in 2017, and which mandated that New York

line. Thank you.

testimony. Thank you.

City employers cannot ask about an applicant's salary history during the hiring process. Like the salary history ban, this bill would help employees advocate for themselves based on their merits and qualifications, and it will help employers retain

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your

talent and avoid unnecessary litigation down the

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now call on Seher Khawaja to testify. After Seher Khawaja, we will have Beverly Neufeld and Cat Shugrue Dos Santos. Uh, Seher, you can go ahead once the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

SEHER KHAWAJA: Good morning Chair Eugene and members of the Committee on Civil and Human Rights.

My name is Seher Khawaja, my pronouns are she, her and I am a Senior Attorney at Legal Momentum, the Women's Legal Defense and Education Fund. For over five decades, Legal Momentum has been at the forefront of using the law to advance gender equality, including pay equity. We applaud the Council for its pioneering work in this area.

The purpose of my testimony today is to shed light on the critical role that pay disclosure laws play in advancing pay equity, particularly for women, people of color, and other vulnerable workers. Today, women of color and women in low-wage work continue to face the most sizable and stagnant pay disparities.

And under our existing laws, these women bear the burden and risk of identifying, challenging, and correcting gender-based pay discrimination, alone and in the dark.

Despite the passage of groundbreaking legislation and state and local legislation on equal pay, pay secrecy continues to undermine the efficacy of these laws. The prevalence of pay secrecy prevents employees from identifying disparities and allows employers to endorse pay equity in theory without having to implement it in practice. To address these longstanding barriers, Legal Momentum has been advocating for laws at the local, state, and federal level that mandate pay range disclosure up front when positions are posted. These laws serve various critical functions.

They standardize salary setting to eliminate opportunities for employers to inject overt and

implicit biases when making salary decisions, which research shows that employers do. They curtail exploitative wage practices, which thrive when we don't know what employers pay their workers and which disproportionately impact women who are overrepresented in low-wage work. They breathe life into our existing equal pay laws by giving workers information to identify potential pay disparities and by allowing employers to avoid those disparities by setting pay in advance based on objective factors rather than subjective assessments.

They create efficiencies for employers, helping them establish more streamlined and fair pay practices to avoid problematic pay disparities and potential liability. They help level the playing field for workers, giving women and people of color more leverage in the hiring process since research shows these workers are in a better position when they have information regarding compensation.

A strong pay disclosure law should do several things. It should mandate disclosure of pay ranges to those seeking a job, to applicants, and to existing employees. It should require ranges to be reasonable and based on a range that an employer

actually relied upon. And this was touched upon by the Commission. It should cover broad disclosure of pay, including salary, benefits, and other forms of compensation. And I know Council Member Rosenthal spoke to that.

It should establish a simple, effective, and efficient enforcement mechanism with straightforward penalties for a violation to ensure compliance and accountability. It should not require proof of discrimination or discriminatory intent. It should include concrete safeguards against retaliation for anyone asserting their rights under these laws. And they should require public education to ensure that employees and employers are aware of their rights and obligations under the law to facilitate compliance and the Commission spoke to that.

As we tackle pay inequity, under the shadow of the COVID-19 pandemic, we must recognize that our current culture of pay secrecy is unjust, inequitable, and thus unsustainable. Legal Momentum is happy to serve as a resource and we thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. Thank you for your testimony.

2.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I would like to welcome Beverly Neufeld to testify.

After Beverly Neufeld, we will have Cat Shugrue Dos

Santos and Yolanda Johnson testifying. Beverly, you can go ahead when the Sergeants call time.

BEVERLY NEUFELD: Great thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

BEVERLY NEUFELD: Thank you Chairman and member of the Committee for holding this hearing and special thanks to Council Member Rosenthal for forwarding Intro. 1208. You've had so many important bills that have improved the lives of women and all people in New York in your esteemed career.

Also, to Council Member Barron, this has been a fascinating conversation around reparation remedies and I think it does tie into the conversation we're talking about, wage discrimination and the intersectional nature of it.

I'm Bev Neufeld, Founder and President of PowHER New York. We are over 100 organizations working together collectively on economic equality for New Yorkers who identify as women. I'm also a member of the New York City Commission on Gender Equity.

PowHER has had a signature project, an equal pay

2.2

campaign. Since 2007, we've been working on this and you'll hear from member of that campaign and you've already heard from some.

We look for concrete solutions to eradicate gender wage gap. And here in New York City, we are so proud to have passed the salary history ban. It then went on to become a New York State Law and has ripple effects across the country. That's how important New York laws are. Local Law 18 requiring the data reporting of a race for public sector employees is a game changer and it still has more work to do to unroot disparities. We now have a new pay equity cabinet in New York City.

So, we are making progress PowHER's helped pass laws on a state level to protect workers from retaliation and also a new standard, equal pay for substantially similar work. So, what's next and what's next is this bill. What's next is creating openness, transparency, information sharing, and really what this bill will do is create a culture shift so that people have the information and employers can actually go out and get the very best candidate for their jobs.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

We're doing this on a New York City level with 2 3 Senator Ramos and Assembly Member Joyner. I can't 4 emphasize how important this is to the Latina's and 5 the African American women in New York City who are making so much less than their male Hispanic 6 7 counterparts. 700,000 Medina's and African American 8 women working full-time would have brought in \$22 billion in the economy in their lifetime. That is a lot of money and instead, 30 percent of Latino women, 10 11 24 percent of -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

BEVERLY NEUFELD: Women are living in poverty.

You have an opportunity to take an action to make a real change on this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your testimony.

BEVERLY NEUFELD: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Eugene, did you have any questions?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: No, thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now call on Cat Shugrue Dos Santos to testify. After Cat Shugrue Dos Santos we will have Yolanda F.

24

Johnson and Jessica Stender. Cat go ahead when the Sergeants call time.

CAT SHUGRUE DOS SANTOS: Sorry, I was trying to unmute and it didn't want to let me. Good afternoon everyone, thank you. I'll wait for my time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may start.

CAT SHUGRUE DOS SANTOS: Thank you. Good morning Chair Eugene, Council Members Rosenthal and Barron and esteemed colleagues. My name is Catherine Shugrue dos Santos, and I am the Deputy Executive Director for Programs at the New York City Anti-Violence Project. My pronouns are she, her and her's.

I am also the Co-Founder and former Chair of the New York City Taskforce on Domestic Violence and Economic Justice. I am really honored to be here today with so much really important conversation about pay equity around reparations and so many intersecting issues.

AVP works to respond to and prevent violence against LGBTQ and HIV affected communities. We believe and are here to support Intro. 1208-A because salary transparency is the best predictor of pay equity. Even in New York where diversity is part of

our city's core identity, in our economy pay gaps as many of my fellow panelists have stated, that correlate with gender, race and ethnicity are the norm, not the exception.

Salary secrecy is one tool used by employers to uphold the status quo of gender, race and ethnicity based pay differentials. This is especially important in the nonprofit sector, which is predominantly staffed by those who reflect the communities served and supported by nonprofits in this city. Those who hold intersecting identities as people of color, women, immigrants, low-income people, people living with disabilities, and LGBTQ people.

Notably, all of these communities face wage disparities due to systemic bias and discrimination. This Council knows well the challenges nonprofit organizations face due to funding structures that devalue our work in the best of times. And which have become more difficult during the pandemic.

As the city's only LGBTQ specific anti-violence organization, the majority of those that we serve and support are queer and trans communities of color who face disproportionate rates of discrimination,

2.3

unemployment, poverty and homelessness. AVP's work help survivors heal from violence both in physical, emotional and financial safety health and wellness.

For nonprofits, mission driven organizations, arts organizations and human services agencies, salary transparency helps us align our actions with our values when it comes to how much people are paid for their work.

Ongoing process at AVP to work toward equity and just compensation. AVP believes that knowledge is power, especially when it comes to how you make a living.

AVP works from the trauma informed and anti-oppressive approach internally and externally. We strive to compensate all of our staff fairly and equitably. Over the past five years, we have created, published and adhered to a salary scale for all levels of positions, included salary information and job postings and identified majestic pay gaps within and among salary bans.

Building on this work most recently we've implemented full salary transparency. Every AVP staff member has access to salary information across the organization. Given that salary transparency is

the best predictor of pay equity, we urge the Council to pass Intro. 1208-A to move towards pay equity in our city.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now welcome Yolanda Johnson to testify. After Yolanda Johnson, I will be calling on Jessica Stender and Solange Charas to testify. Yolanda, you can go ahead when the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time will begin.

YOLANDA JOHNSON: Good afternoon to the New York
City Committee on Civil and Human Rights. Chair
Eugene, Chair Members and distinguished guests. I am
Yolanda F. Johnson, I am the first Black President of
Women in Development in New York in its more than 40
year history and I'm also the Founder of Women of
Color and Fundraising in Philanthropy, a New York
based organization that is a global organization.

I'm here to speak today about Intro. 1208-A because of its important and the absolute imperative for salary transparency to be implemented as a top tool for equity in the nonprofit sector. Both of the

organizations I lead have job wards which as the go to places for women and women of color in the nonprofit sector.

Earlier this year, we implemented a policy around salary transparency. It was very interesting because I received quite a bit of push back from some people. From some organizations and recruiters saying, well, my client can't do that because we're underpaying other people too much and we don't want this person to know that.

Two, people putting in random characters to not have to go through a salary transparency.

Ultimately, we prevailed. I, also in my own career have experienced salary inequity until others came to the floor and helped me to understand the importance of it. If transparency had been present, it would have made a great difference.

In the nonprofit sector, we often suffer from a sense of guilt because we're trying to get the good work done and therefore we sacrifice our own wellbeing and our own needs. Many in the nonprofit sector, especially women actually are just one to two paychecks away from being in the same situation as many of the constituents that they serve.

_

So, I say, that I understand budgets can be an issue for a nonprofit organization but in most cases, an overall and assessment needs to be done on fundraising practices, strategy and income strain diversification. Because what we know for sure, is that the nonprofit sector which professes and endeavors to create a more just and equitable society can not accomplish this work off the backs of underpaid professionals. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to questions from Council Members. Council Member Rosenthal, you may ask your questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Again, I apologize for not being able to — for being a little distracted but I just really [LOST AUDIO 2:14:23].

Uhm, you know, the people speaking about reparations, it's so powerful. And I want to let you all know how much I appreciate your support for my bill in the maximum salaries.

Uhm, I think what's been said is right, change is hard and a lot of people will push back. Uhm,
Yolanda, what you were just talking about in terms of the nonprofit sector, uhm, I think what will happen

here and feel free to jump in. But I think it will expose how poorly government pays for this work.

Uhm, and that's you know my — something I've worked on during my tenure in the Council, is trying to get higher wages in the nonprofit sector. Uhm, and I actually think that given that the city contracts with nonprofits to do the city's mandated work, uhm these nonprofits should not have to go to private donors to make up the difference between what government pays and what people should be paid.

I don't know if you have any thoughts about that.

I know there are nonprofits that also do great work
that do not get city funding but I think that's the
piece that really jumps out at me. That it will lay
to bear exactly what is happening in the way that you
talk about someone being one or two paychecks away
from homelessness, you know real despair.

If the Sergeant can just make sure Yolanda or anyone who's maybe raising their hand physically or uhm, can talk. I put that question out to any of the panelists really.

YOLANDA JOHNSON: Uh, yes. Thank you for the question Council Member Rosenthal. I agree wholeheartedly. The nonprofit sector has often been

_ _

made to feel like that struggle that they can't ask for more and I think it will lay bare all of the different disparities in pay inequity that is present.

We know that it's there but until we can see it in a transparent way and have the conversations that we need to have, to get to where things need to be, it's just going to be a difficult situation that's never going to improve. And certainly, from government that engage nonprofits to the nonprofit, everyone has to take responsibility for this and move it forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe we also have

statements from Beverly and Cat. We'll get you

unmuted.

BEVERLY NEUFELD: Thank you so much and thank you Yolanda for that really important and personal uhm, testimony. I just want to add quickly that PowHER has recently created priorities for this upcoming year and one of them is to work with the Just Pay Campaign, which is exactly what you're talking about the Human Services Councils Campaign.

2.

2.3

But all in all, this is about you know fair pay and the only way we're going to have that is if we have the kind of transparency that Council Member your bill will open up. So, I think that's a really interesting point that this hopefully will help you know the folks who are doing great work and really not getting great pay. So, thank you, that's a really — I think that's an additional reason for this bill.

CAT SHUGRUE DOS SANTOS: Yeah, I agree with everything that Beverly and Yolanda said and I just wanted to add, I just wanted — sorry about the noise in my background. Uhm, I just wanted to add that I think one of the important parts here I think that Helen, your comment raises Council Member Rosenthal, is that so much of this is about implicit bias and things that folks are not aware of. And so, trying to make sure that transparency is what helps us move towards more equity because it exposes those things.

So, I think its really essential that we do that.

So, I just wanted to underscore what Yolanda had

mentioned about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, thank you both so much. I appreciate that input. I do [INAUDIBLE

2.3

2:19:45]. Is that right? Beautiful Black standard poodle.

CAT SHUGRUE DOS SANTOS: My mini poodle but I couldn't hear you. Yes, she has decided to testify as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Mini, oh, okay. Thank goodness, we need a little dog humanity always. But thank you both. I really appreciate that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Seeing no other questions for this panel, I would like to invite Jessica Stender to testify followed by Solange Charas, Charas, my apologies. And after Solange, we will have Jerry Bergman testifying. Jessica, you can go ahead when Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

JESSICA STENDER: Good morning, my name is

Jessica Ramey Stender and I am Senior Counsel for

Workplace Justice and Public Policy at Equal Rights

Advocates. Thank you for the opportunity to testify
on behalf of Equal Rights Advocates and Equal Pay

Today. ERA is a national nonprofit legal

organization dedicated to protecting and expanding

economic access for women and girls and people of

J

_ .

other marginalized gender identities. The Equal Pay
Today Campaign is a collaboration of national and
state based legal advocacy, worker justice and social
justice organizations fighting to close the gender
wage gap through policy reform, litigation and
education and outreach.

As we've heard, the wage gap persists across industries, occupations and education levels and it has a heavy toll not only on women and particularly women of color but also on the families they support. One contributor as we've been discussing to the wage gap is that pay disparities are often hidden from sight and can be a result of unconscious biases and historical inequities and therefore this issue of pay transparency that we've been discussing at length today is critical. And especially so at the outset of the employment process to help prevent gender and race based pay disparities from developing in the first place.

So, a key strategy here under this pay transparency umbrella, is providing salary ranges.

When an employer asks a job applicant what his or her salary or their salary expectations are without providing them information about the pay for a

2.

-

position, women and people of color are harmed.

Studies show that women often ask for less when they negotiate than men, even when they are otherwise equally qualified. Fortunately, research also shows that when job applicants are provided with relevant information in negotiations including salary range, women are more willing to negotiate and more successful in negotiating. And importantly, the gender wage gap narrows.

The much narrower wage gap in the public sector where agencies typically have transparent and public pay structures, evidenced as this, that greater salary range transparency help reduce wage disparities. Nationally, the gender based wage gap for all full-time workers is 18 percent but in the federal government where pay rates are publicly available, the gender based pay gap in 2017 was just seven percent. Likewise, secrecy of up pay hides and perpetuates gender pay gaps for existing employees. So, when employees have access to salary range information, so not just applicants but employees about a given position, they can evaluate whether they are being paid fairly and attempt to resolve any

pay disparities that they think might exist with their employer.

Thus far, Maryland, Colorado, Washington,
California and Connecticut have all enacted salary
range, transparency requirements. Other states are
looking to potentially do this as well. And I just
want to finally note that salary range requirements
are also beneficial to employers. Providing job
applicants with the range for a position can help an
employer more efficiently and accurately match with
candidates whose salary requirements are aligned with
what they can offer. So, employers know the range
they are willing to pay and this just would require
them to be transparent about it and also, enable them
to avoid wasting time interviewing candidates who are
not interested in the job given the pay level that
really exists.

So, in closing, I would just urge the Committee to support this important measure to increase pay transparency and I'm happy to answer any questions that you may have. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now invite Solange Charas to testify. After Solange

Charas, we will have Jerry Bergman and then Jon Taylor. Now, if any of the people that will be testifying do require interpretation, please use the raise hand function when I've called your name to indicate to us you will need ESL interpretation.

Solange, you can go ahead when the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

SOLANGE CHARAS: Thank you Chair Eugene and all Council Members.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

SOLANGE CHARAS: My name is Dr. Solange Charas and I am a Human Capital Subject Matter Expert with a PhD in Management and MBA and Accounting in Finance and a BA in Economics.

I have served as the top HR Executive for three, for-profit organizations, served as a Board Director for two public companies and held senior roles at Ernest and Young and Arthur Anderson.

I am currently an Adjunct Professor in the

Masters of Human Capital Management Programs at

Columbia USC and NYU. I am a distinguished principal
research fellow at the Conference Board and today, I

am representing PowHER New York Equal Pay Committee.

J

2.2

I'm here to talk to you about the benefits of human capital transparency for workers, organizations and the community at large. Transparency in all matters human capital including the matter you are taking up today, is being called for by the most prestigious governance monitoring agencies like the SCC, SAZBY, the World Economic Forum, the Business Round Table and the International Standardization Organization.

It is just a matter of time before organizations adopt transparency in all matters, including human capital matters.

Several states and municipalities have already passed legislation requiring this kind of transparency that you're discussing today with positive outcomes. Transparency benefits workers. The more information they have, the better they are able to make decisions that ultimately lead to enhance personal and professional outcomes.

The perception that they are being treated in a fair and consistent manner is associated with higher levels of productivity and job satisfaction. This research is based on organizational justice theory. Transparency benefits the organization. Having been studied by the academic community for decades,

research proves that there is a positive correlation between human capital transparency and profitability.

If I were to tell you that you could improve your bottom-line by up to 25 percent, simply by embracing transparency in your business processes, wouldn't you? The argument that disclosing salary information violates trade secrets or compromises the companies competitive advantage is simply no longer true.

Scores of company are so transparent, they voluntarily share what was once considered sensitive information and are reaping the benefits in higher engagement, lower attrition and enhanced business outcomes.

Competitive salary levels are no longer a secret as the Bureau of Labor Statistics and other organizations like Salary.com are widely available. That many organizations voluntarily provide salary ranges for management positions and their positing proves this point.

Lastly, transparency benefits the community at large. An ounce of prevention is certainly worth a pound of cure in this case. Given the war for talent and the shrinking labor pool, we need to make New York City a disclosure forward community and be a

_

leader in the coming transparency movement, drawing businesses and talent to our city.

I hope you'll consider these points as you deliberate the merits of this bill. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would now like to welcome Jerry Bergman to testify followed by Jon Taylor and then Fred Corman. Again, if anyone on these panels — oh, my apologies, before we start, I see that Council Member Rosenthal does have her hand raised.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much for that Jayasri. And I want to thank the last few panelists for sure for their insights. I really appreciate that. Actually, I have a quick question for Cat Shugrue is she is still here and if someone could unmute her. Uhm, your testimony in particular was interesting because you've actually done it at your organization. Uhm, hang on one second.

Jayasri, I'm not able to see all the panelists. Is Cat still here?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'll take a look.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I just want to make sure she is able to answer the question. Uh, if she's not, I will ask her separately but uhm, so, if she's not here, it's fine. What I'll be asking her is what the impact was of her organization instituting the public pay ranges and whether or not they lost any staff or if staff were — what the response of staff was?

Because she's not on, I'm going to ask her to actually try to put that in writing and submit it as part of her additional testimony. Thank you. Thank you for that.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member
Rosenthal. Uh, we will now move to the next panel,
which is I'm calling on Jerry Bergman to testify
followed by Jon Taylor and Fred Corman. Jerry, you
can go ahead when the Sergeants call time.

JERRY BERGMAN: Thank you. Please don't start me on the timer yet because I wish the Council to understand that when people speak as fast as they have been, the ASL interpreters and the cart providers absolutely cannot keep pace with the speed of the remarks. So, I need to speak at a controlled pace and I want to ask that you give me an extra

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

minute or two if that's required to get through my testimony.

Thank you Chairman Eugene, Council Members and I'm Jerry Bergman, an Advocate for people with hearing loss. A big thanks to Council Member Rosenthal for introducing INT 2020. I testify today in support of the ordinance to require open captions, also known as subtitles on a fair and equitable basis. One that reasonably accommodates us without harming the motion picture exhibitors.

We seek to buy tickets to open caption showing for all movies, all cinemas, on a regular week day, week night, weekend, matinee and evening schedule. modest one that will not put cinemas out of business. For a decade, deaf and hard of hearing people have been repeatedly and continually denied movie enjoyment by systems that fail, devices that go dead, while it must be painfully held for two hours because they otherwise would topple over.

Unlike closed caption devices, captioning is wildly popular among all people and increasingly common in the media. A change that ordered petitions specifically on open caption movies, now has over

J

24,000 signatures, over 1,500 of them from New York State and almost 600 from city folks.

Recently, when Marvels Eternals was released, promoters contacted our hearing loss association and offered an open caption screening for us. Why? Only because one of the movie stars, Lauren Ridloff is deaf. Ms. Ridloff in a September 19 New York Times interview was asked, if movies are accessible to people who were deaf. Her response, no, we're an after thought in movie theatres and that needs to change. She described using a CC device as "a headache" because most of the time she said, often when the movie is half over, the devices don't work and then you get her words, "well, how about I give you a free ticket for the next movie?" And I'm like, are you kidding me?

Recently AMC began scheduling some open caption showings. The companies CEO referred to the move as "a real advance for those with hearing difficulties or where English is a second language." Correct about ESL people but no, not a real advance, simply a star. Try finding an open caption showing at a Cinema near you. I did that this week. Although I live within walking distance of two AMC multiplexes,

4

5

6

./

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would need to take public transportation to get to one where an open caption showing was scheduled.

I live in Manhattan and can do that but imagine a person or a family with a deaf child in the outer boroughs.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

JERRY BERGMAN: Cinemas have a check out on return policy for using closed captions devices that is inconvenient, especially when the devices are dispensed in the lobby but the movie is showing in an auditorium way upstairs. Because some of us feel stigma or embarrassment about the need for visible accessibility, being seen using open captions devices makes us feel uncomfortable wondering what other patrons may think since being deaf or having hearing loss generally cannot be seen. Some restaurants now routinely have QR codes through which to view menus to protect against COVID transmission. We have no clue whether caption devices are even disinfected at all, much less before being transferred from one person to another.

None of these issues pertain when people can watch movies on the big screen with open captions. For years, the deaf entertainment access foundation

has politely asked local cinema managers to schedule open caption showing with rare exception their requests have been denied.

AMC said it will offer open captions in 240 U.S. locations, I believe that's less than 50 percent of their cinemas in the U.S.. Why? What about the people who are deaf or hard of hearing elsewhere? I'm submitting a two page fact sheet and nine page backgrounder as written testimony for more detail. I hope if any of you are not convinced that open caption movies are needed, you will read the documents, understand how we got to this point and help us by voting for a revised version of INT 2020 that treats both us and movie exhibitors fairly.

In closing, many supporters have pledged to submit written testimony. Many of them have online jobs, doctors appointments, etc., so that's why more of us will not be heard from today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so much. Thank you very much. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I would like to call on Jon Taylor to testify. After Jon Taylor, seeing the Fred Corman is not in the Zoom, I will be calling Matthew Greller followed by

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

Alex Rich. Jon, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

JON TAYLOR: Hello, good morning, good afternoon Chair Eugene and Council Members. Thank you to Council Member Rosenthal for introducing this legislation. My name is Jon Taylor and I am President of the New York City Chapter of the Hearing Loss Association of New York - of America. Like many of our members because of my profound hearing loss, I cannot enjoy movies without captioning. However, many times when I have gone to movie theatres, captioning devices did not work. Closed caption devices place a substantial burden on theatres who must properly maintain them. Sometimes theatres have neglected to charge devices. Sometimes devices were broken. On other occasions, theatre staff had not set the devices correctly.

The last time I went to the movies, after offering me three different devices, none of which produced captions, the staff realized that the device was not the problem, the auditorium equipment was not functioning properly. I know that my experiences are not unusual, they are typical. Even when devices

work properly, the type that is worn as glasses are heavy and uncomfortable. While the devices that are placed in cupholders never fit properly and fall down easily.

In addition, it places a burden on users who must arrive early to get a device and to identify themselves as having hearing loss. Extensive research has demonstrated that captioning benefits everyone, not just those with hearing loss. People comprehend and remember text better when speech is captioned than when it is only spoken.

I've submitted a peer review journal article summarizing this research and hope that you will take the time to read it. This research confirms what many of us have seen in person when we have attended caption performance on Broadway. Many people who are not wearing hearing devices are glued to the captioning screen. And of course, captioning will benefit millions of people with undiagnosed or untreated hearing loss. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so very much. Thank you.

2.

2.2

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, at this time, I would like to call on Matthew Greller to testify. After Matthew Greller we will have Alex Rich and Doug Murdoch. Matthew, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

MATTHEW GRELLER: Thank you. Good afternoon

Chairman Eugene and members of the Committee. My

name is Matt Greller and I'm an Attorney and a

Lobbyist here on behalf of a client Natto, owners of

New York State. In New York City Natto represents

over 30 movie theatres across the five boroughs. Let

me be very clear, the movie theatres are still

suffering from this terrible pandemic. The theatres

want all patrons to feel safe and return to the

theatres, which is still the best place to see a

movie.

Of course, this includes members of the deaf and hard of hearing community. We want members of the deaf and hard of hearing community and others that might benefit from increased open caption showtimes to feel welcome at the cinema. At the same time, however, we oppose Intro. 2020 for three main reasons

J

which I described in further detail in our written testimony.

First, this bill will be damaging economically for the movie theatres. Theatres are already trying to recover from being decimated by the pandemic and experience in data show that audiences that are not deaf and hard of hearing do not like open captioning and either request refunds or they stay home.

A recent survey estimated that 49 percent of the audience has not returned since the pandemic started. We do not need to know the reason for patrons to stay home, especially with competition from streaming services.

Second, this bill is unnecessary. Theatres are already providing open caption showtimes voluntarily and offer additional open caption showtimes upon request. One major circuit is currently running an expanded open caption pilot in three of the busiest theatres in the city. The theatres are also exploring ways to improve the closed captioning experience with new technology to make all patrons feel welcome, comfortable and included. Theatres can and should do better on open captioning but we do not need a legislative mandate to do so.

Third, this bill is impractical. It far exceeds existing demand and the 50 percent mandate between peek and nonpeak showtimes cannot mathematically be met. Even Dr. Strange using the time stone could not figure out a way to play more open caption showtimes than their actually is time. This bill was too convoluted with its ban on overlapping films, awkward start and end times that don't fit for most two hour films and the excessive 50 percent mandate. Simply put, Intro. 2020 is unworkable.

Lastly, there's a concern about the timing of this bill. When it was first introduced, the theatres were still ordered closed by the Governor. At the time, we reached out to all sponsors to see if we could engage with constituents to address concerns. The bill was bad timing when it was introduced then and respectfully, this hearing is bad timing now. Please allow the advocates in the theatres to continue their productive discussions to find a win-win solution for expanding open captioning. Such a solution will be based on common sense and data and not an inflexible mandate that will only kick the movie theatres when they are down. There should not be a rush on this bill just because

it is December. The best way to get things right is to allow stakeholders to achieve a voluntary and dynamic solution and not just a legislative mandate.

If this bill is implemented, there may not be movie theatres left to show open caption movies or any movies at all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I would like to call on Alex Rich to testify. After Alex Rich I will be calling Doug Murdoch and John Waldo testify. Alex, you can go ahead as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

ALEX RICH: Chairman Eugene and members of the Committee on Civil and Human Rights, thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Alex Rich and I work for the National Association of Theatre Owners, a trade association representing the exhibition industry.

Movie theatres have been deeply impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. In New York City, theaters were required to close for 50 weeks and when allowed to reopen, face capacity restrictions that made breaking even, let alone turning a profit nearly impossible.

With theatres closed or operating at reduced capacity, major studios delayed releasing new films or move them to streaming services, which prolong the economic impact of the shutdown since theatres had no new product to play when they welcome back movie goers.

Despite zero income during this period, theatres continue to face mounting fixed costs such as taxes, rent and city inspection fees. While the city theatres were still closed as per then, Governor Cuomo's Executive Order, one company still had to pay for elevator and escalator inspections despite having no patrons at a cost of \$92,000. At the same time, the majority of New York City theatres received no financial assistance from the federal, state or municipal governments.

It will be a long road to recovery for the exhibition industry. As Hollywood begins to release new films, audiences are slowly coming back to see blockbusters on the big screen. But the industry is far from the record breaking years it enjoyed prepandemic. For instance, this past Thanksgiving weekend, box office was down 46 percent compared to the same timeframe in 2019. Further, new research

2.

shows that 49 percent of consumers who attended movies pre-pandemic are no longer doing so. While theatres remain confident that most of these consumers will return to their auditoriums, it will continue to take time.

Simply put, the exhibition industry is still facing an existential crisis that threatens the job security of theatre employees and the businesses that rely on robust movie going to remain profitable. Any mandate that will further dampen customers enthusiasm for the theatrical experience could force theatres in New York City to close permanently. We believe the requirements of this bill will exacerbate the difficult economic conditions facing theatres by suggesting — subjecting them to further financial losses.

And this issue is not just about movie theatres. According to an Ernst and Young study commission by NATO, movie night spending and other businesses in the U.S. amounted to \$5 billion in 2019 before the pandemic hit. New York City restaurants, retail stores and other businesses will continue to suffer without the customers attracted by movie theatres.

_

As an industry, we remain committed to expanding access to all movie goers. Later, you will hear about the steps our industry has taken to ensure every movie at any time has access to the use of captioning devices. You will also hear about voluntary open caption programs being rolled out by companies across the country. I look forward to answering any questions you have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uh, at this time, I would like to welcome Doug Murdoch to testify.

After Dough Murdoch we will have John Waldo followed by Kathleen Collins. Uh, for anybody that does need interpretation services, please use the raise hand function when your panel is called, so we are aware that you will be needing interpretation.

Doug, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DOUG MURDOCH: Chairman Eugene and members of the Committee. I am Doug Murdoch, Executive Director of Mid-Atlantic NATO and Regional Affiliate of the National Association of Theatre Owners.

I am here to speak in opposition to INT. 2020 open caption in motion picture theatres. While the impact of open captioning terms of proposed bill will be addressed by my other theatre colleagues, I would like to comment on the unworkable parameters of the bill. As you know, the bill calls for certain movie theatres to provide open captioning for at least half of the shows of each movie per week. On the surface, that sounds like the simple equation but not when you try to apply it to the real show times in a movie theatre.

So, let's take a look. Typical showtime schedules for a two hour movie with 15 minutes of previews and a 30 minute intermission. Uhm, now, the bill does not define what a week would be but for this example, we're going to use Friday through Thursday. Now, as illustrated in this uhm, uh, snapshot and was also what I submitted in my testimony. The film would play about five shows per day giving 35 shows a week. Now, according to the bill, at least 50 percent of those 35 would have to play in open captioning. That's 18 open caption per week.

2.

But then the bill goes further and says that at least half of open caption showings would have to be played during designated peak movie attendance hours. Defined as a motion picture that begins after 5:59 p.m. and finishing before 11 and 1 p.m. on a Friday or it's showing that it begins after 11:59 a.m. and finishing before 11:01 on a Saturday or a Sunday.

In this example, I highlighted in yellow the showtimes that would fit into those designated time periods. The problem is, there is only seven showtimes but the bill would require nine. Again, 18 of the 35 would have to play closed caption and at least half of that would be nine. There is simply not enough time in the day to comply.

The bill then goes on further to say that at least half of the scheduled showings that are scheduled outside of that peak period to start after 5:59 p.m. and finish before 11:01 p.m. on the weeknights. For this example, I have highlighted in blue the showtimes that would fit into that time period.

So, after nine would be five -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DOUG MURDOCH: This simply doesn't work. The math just simply doesn't work and for that reason, I encourage the Committee not to approve this bill. I thank you for the time to speak and we are happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I would like to call on John Waldo to testify. After John Waldo, we will have Kathleen Collins followed by Monica Bartley. Again, if any of these panelists do need interpretation services, please use the raise hand function and we will have an interpreter available for you.

John, you can go ahead as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JOHN WALDO: Thank you all for your attention to this important issue. I am John Waldo and you may be wondering why a lawyer in Houston Texas is testifying about this. The answer is that I've been advocating for the interest of people with hearing loss for about 15 years and have been heavily involved in issues surrounding the movies. So, I'm fairly

familiar with this whole issue particularly the problems with closed captioning and open captioning.

The theatres concern and it's a legitimate concern, is that economic times are difficult for them. It's also true that open caption movies, tend not to be as well attended as non-caption movies.

Those two things are a given. But here's the problem. The theatres consistently only look at half of the whole picture. Here's the whole picture.

There are people who don't like open captioning, I get that. What do they do? Do they say, oh darn, the seven o'clock showing of this particular movie on this particular day is open caption, therefore I'll never get to see that movie. I don't think so.

I think they find another time to see that movie or if they really want to go to a movie at seven o'clock on Friday night, they go to another different movie. There are also people who would not be at the theatre if it were not for open caption movies and you're hearing a lot about them today.

So, where are the numbers? How do they balance out? There's an interesting experiment in Washington DC a couple of years ago that shed some real light on that. Uhm, an open caption ordinance was proposed.

2.2

2.3

A number of theatres started offering voluntary open caption movies and they hired Ernst and Young, the Accounting firm to develop a model to try to predict attendance and see what difference the captioning made. Here's what happened. Ernst and Young said okay, here's a situation where we have one movie showing with open captions and the same movie showing in the same theatre within an hour with non-caption. How does it work out? Well indeed, they predicted that there would be 23 people at each movie, all other things being — The open caption movie only drew 18 people. That's a loss right?

But do those five people and only those five people go to the non-caption movie? No, there eight additional people at the — I'm sorry, ten addition people at the non-caption movie. There was a net gain of four people when you had both options available to people.

You know, under circumstances it can be a plus that the people who don't want open caption will find some place else to go. The people who do need it, they now come to the theatre. You are not reinventing the wheel here. Since 2015 had a statewide statute that requires two open captioning

showings a week of each movie. Now I know for two years -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JOHN WALDO: It was down to one but now it's back to two. Has that caused theatres to close? I've seen no evidence of that. Uhm, this is something that can work for everybody. What we'd like to do is find a way to start. To find out what the real situation is. Not look at attendance on a show by show basis but look at aggregate attendance. That's the only way we're ever going to be able to figure out what happens to the people who didn't show up that day for the open caption movie. What do they do? Do they go to another movie? Do they go on another day? We only know if we start looking at aggregate data.

Uhm, we want to keep talking to the theatre owners but really something can be done that can be a win-win for everybody and we really would like a move in that direction. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you John. Next, we will have Kathleen Collins testifying followed by

Monica Bartley and Andy Stone. Kathleen, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

testify before you here today. I am a Co-Coordinator at Downstate New York ADAPT. Downstate New York ADAPT is a grassroots nonhierarchical community, people with all types of disabilities advocating for the civil rights of people with disabilities. We have reviewed bill INT 2020 and we support requiring of open caption movie screenings. However, we would propose that all movies have open captioning because people with disabilities should be able to attend any movie at any time they want.

Now, I know the movie theatre owners and operators here are gasping at this moment. And we've heard these similar arguments before with transportation entities, both private and public entities when we proposed many years ago about 100 percent access to buses. However today, we have 100 percent access to buses in New York City both on the private and public realm. And it didn't bankrupt anybody and I know maybe in the beginning people were like, oh, these people with realtors, it's going to

take longer for them to get on the bus and things like that. But now, New Yorkers have totally embraced it and everybody in New York is just you know, a fact of life we have accessible buses and I submit to you, that we should not under estimate New Yorkers. New Yorkers are great people and I believe that maybe in the beginning, I know they say these surveys and that but surveys can be slanted and you know — and maybe the people don't even know what they are saying yes or no to about what open captioning is. But once they experience it, I'm sure people will all — New Yorkers will embrace it.

So, thank you for listening to me today and also, thank you for making this meeting accessible to everyone. All New York City Council meetings should be accessible under the Americans with Disability Act. It's all civil right, not just this meeting. We believe and we are interested in everything. Just like everybody else here and we have a right to be at these meetings and be able to hear what's happening at these meetings. And to be able to take down the notes to because that helps someone like me.

J

So, I mean captioning is not just for the hearing impaired and the deaf, it's for all of us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now call on Monica Bartley to testify. After Monica Bartley, I will be calling on Andy Stone and then Raymond Smith to testify. Monica, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MONICA BARTLEY: Good afternoon. My name is

Monica Bartley, Community Outreach Organizer at the

Center for Independence of the Disabled New York,

CIDNY.

CIDNY's goal is to ensure full integration independence and equal opportunity for all people with disabilities by removing barriers to the social, economic, cultural and civic life of the community. Participating in leisure activities is a significant part of daily living. As it contributes to the psychological and cognitive wellbeing physical health and quality of life. For a long time deaf and hard of hearing people have experienced limited participation in some activities. One such being

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

watching a movie at the movie theatre like other people. If deaf or hard of hearing audiences need to see a movie with open captions, they are forced to rely on unreliable equipment, work around the screening or wait until streaming or physical release.

They have to rely on devices for closed captioning. These devices have been criticized for malfunctioning or not being charged by theatre staff before use. To get them functioning requires trips to the box office that causes them to miss half of the movie. It is also very inconvenient to use these devices as having to have been looking up and down from the device to the screen for the duration of the movie. CIDNY sees Intro. 2020 as a move in the right direction. Recent AMC, one of the largest movie theatre chains announced it will expand on screen captioning at 240 locations in the United States and we expect to see this extended further. This bill calls for motion picture theatres that have more than two screens and provide more than two screens and provide more than ten showings per week to provide open captioning for at least half of the showings of each movie per week.

O 4

The pandemic has opened up new ways of functioning that we did not see possible. There is so much more awareness now to open captioning in various areas, which has benefited many people so the general public is more receptive of this. CIDNY supports this law and seeks that the New York City Council sign Intro. 2020 into law. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now call on Andy Stone to testify. After Andy Stone, I will be calling Raymond Smith and then Joseph Masher to testify. Andy, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe you are still muted Andy.

ANDY STONE: Okay, a bit of a school boy error, apologies. So, good afternoon Chairman Eugene and members of the Committee. My name is Andy Stone and I am the Regional Director for Regal Entertainment.

So, as you've heard, the impact of COVID meant that were one of the last businesses to reopen across New York City. Uh, you can imagine Regal

Entertainment is being significantly impacted by the financial losses that were caused. And furthermore, we continue to incur costs during our extended period of closure which really has compounded the issue.

Now, since we've reopened, we've not seen admissions return to pre-pandemic levels. And that includes audiences who do and don't have hearing problems.

It's all here that's per say. Now as a business there are times where we even had to curtail our operating hours because of the lack of admissions coming in through our doors.

Now, like all businesses, we want to welcome all audiences including the deaf and hard of hearing back to the community. As a business, we screen blockbusters through to small independent companies as well as foreign films with subtitles. So, we embrace the full element and all markets.

Catering for all audiences and rebuilding consumer credit in our business therefore integral, we don't want to alienate anybody. Now, we work collaboratively with New York City and we ensure that through the COVID operating guidelines and supported Mayor de Blasio's Back to the Movies Campaign. Since we've reopened, we continue to screen our open

caption shows and across New York City, we've

/

_

2.2

screened 318 showtimes. These showtimes have been for different films on different days and at different times. And as John Waldo alluded to, you know our average attendee to show was eight. But if you look at the occupancy level for these shows, it's as low as five percent, which means it's nearly 95 percent of the seats unsold in that particular auditoria.

Now, besides specific open caption shows, we also have what we know, what we sell as watch parties.

This facility gives all customers the opportunity to hire a screen, whether it's for a party, group or special occasion. Again, just like any other audience, this facility will give those who maybe deaf or hard of hearing the opportunity to watch a movie in private at a time which suits them.

Now, although I don't have specific data, cinema managers do report back that a significant number of customers do not have hearing difficulty but attended open caption film will ask for a refund. Uhm, and this does contribute to a further [INAUDIBLE 3:03:32].

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ANDY STONE: Okay. Uhm and finally, I would like — also like just look at cinemas not as a soloist but instead look at cinemas as a cumulative as like any other audience making their decision on wanting to go and watch and a film. Different cinemas will have different open caption times on different days. This means the consumer has a wide choice of where and when, he, she will be able to watch that open caption movie. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now welcome Raymond Smith to testify. After Raymond Smith I will be calling Joseph Masher and Ben Svetlana Kouznetsova.

Again, if anyone requires interpretation services when I'm calling your panel, please use the raise hand function so we are aware and we can have those services ready for you. Raymond, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

RAYMOND SMITH: Okay, there we go, thank you.

Good afternoon everyone. I want to thank the Chair of the Committee for allowing me to testify today.

My name is Raymond Smith, I am a Consultant with the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

National Association of Theatre Owners. I have been engaged in ensuring and enhancing access to movie theaters for all consumers for about 30 years and toward this issue of captioning has been front and center for a considerable period of time.

While we support the intent behind this legislation, we do not support the mandate and one size fits all. We do support the continuation of our collaborative efforts in relationship with the deaf and hard of hearing community which has been going on for years and years. In the '90's, we met with members of the community and were told they wanted more open caption films, we immediately lobbied the film studios successfully to get more product and continue to do so until actually always continue to do so but in the mid-2000's, a new personal captioning technology came on the market, so we once again engaged with our partners in the deaf and hard of hearing community and learned that they were very excited about personal captioning and the access it provided. But they did not like that particular technology. So, the industry went out and found manufacturers that were willing to design and develop these technologies and then continued to have a

serious of symposiums where we invited members of the deaf and hard of hearing to come testify to provide direct feedback through the manufacturers. All these efforts led to the industry investing millions of dollars rolling out these technologies across — this effort was widely hailed by the hard of hearing, deaf and hard of hearing community. And also widely supported by the industry and the units were widely utilized then as they are today.

This all occurred years before the Department of Justice proposed their final regulations on personal captioning and even then, when they were proposed, once the industry engaged with our partners in the uh, deaf individuals in the deaf and hard of hearing community and provided guidance to the justice which was mostly accepted and incorporated in the final regulations.

Along those same lines, we continue to push for more open caption programming across the country amongst our members and the last couple of years we've been doing some pilot programs. And while those pilot programs haven't answered all the questions, they did answer one specifically and that it, a one size fits all approach simply doesn't work.

 $\angle \perp$

2.2

. .

It doesn't reflect realities or nuances of any people in the theatre or the needs of any particular market or community.

So, we would suggest and implore that you reject this legislation and instead allow the people that have ongoing over the years elaborated, come up with beneficial solutions to continue those efforts, which are ongoing today. Thank you and I'm welcome to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, seeing that Joseph Masher is not in the Zoom, I will be calling on Svetlana Kouznetsova to testify. After Svetlana Kouznetsova I will be calling on April Morone and Gail Weiss to testify. Again, if anyone needs interpretation services, please use the raise hand function and we will have that available for you. Svetlana, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

INTERPRETER: Is the other interpreter here?

INTERPRETER: Yes, I'm here.

SVETLANA KOUZNETSOVA AND INTERPRETER: For some

reason, the chat - okay, for whatever reason, the

2.2

chat. I have to sign. So, I myself am deaf. Okay, I am deaf. I've been deaf since age two. So, I'd first like to mention that anyone who is opposed to the open caption, you are really thinking with an ableist attitude. And that's like saying oh, we don't need a ramp for a wheelchair. It's the same thing.

Imagine being a deaf person growing up and you experience all these barriers, not only in school but education, work, enjoyment, all of the above. You don't have the same privileges as hearing people.

Even with the captions, I speak Russian. So, when I was growing up in Russia, there were no captions on the TV. I couldn't even have access to news or anything. Everything I was completely uninvolved in.

When I moved to America, we realized oh wow, they have captions. It was pretty neat on the television, on all the channels. It was a whole new world, it was amazing. When I was 15, that was the first time I had access to captions and it was thrilling. So, it wasn't only my deafness, English was my third language, my third. So, the captions also help me improve my English. My parents are both hearing and

2.

the captions, English is not their first language either.

So, my whole family never had captions before.

We would just leave them on because we all benefitted from them. So, people saying, oh you don't need captions on the screen because it bothers other people, you have to think about the different language barriers. No one's going to complain. If you don't understand a certain language, you have the ability to read it in a different language, correct? You would prefer the captions if you couldn't hear it, same as referring them if you can't understand the language. It's not fair for us to not have them.

I'm sorry but for other languages it's acceptable but for the same language, you don't need them? And everyone in the theatre business, you know you're serving people about having the option captions on the screen. Are you serving both hearing and deaf? Because I'm really curious. That is the question. You know, you're talking about monetary issues but for the survey, who were the people included? Was it all inclusive because I didn't hear about these surveys. All I'm hearing is oh, we can't afford it.

Oh, open captioning is free.

2.3

We know that the movie theatres can have all the caption on file. It's a simple press of a button and they turn up on the screen. And the equipment cost more money and it doesn't even work. It doesn't function correctly.

So, you have to keep giving out free tickets or refunding money. You're wasting your money in that capacity rather than turning on the open captions on the screen. She's frozen.

It depends on you know the size of the screen but it's one room. It's simple, it's one room. Just pick a room and use open caption for the whole day every day in that one room. That one theatre and they can be either at the top or the bottom of the screen.

I'm just curious about this survey because I did a survey myself with over 5,000 people both hearing and deaf and the results I got — like I said, it was half hearing, half deaf in the survey, 75 percent of people supported open caption. 92 percent said it won't bother me. So, a small percentage, a minimal percentage said, oh yeah it will bug me and honestly, too bad. And most theatres to have more than one room. So, the idea for all the people who are

2.

2.2

opposing this, maybe turn your sound off. Go to a movie theatre and you can bring your huge headphones to the theatre and you can do it that way. It's uncomfortable right to think about that. Or maybe, we can just turn the captions on the screen. Or think about it, if you don't want to turn the captions on, look at a paper the whole movie, trying to read it.

So, stop it please. I'm really tired of hearing about it. I'm just tired of hearing about it, enough is enough. And I would just like to add in relation to my survey, I wanted to link it in the chat but like I said, the chat is not enabled. So, I was planning on sending the survey but there is a TEDX talk as well that can be found on the internet if you search it with my name. You could search me in the TEDX talk. It's about —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

INTERPRETER FOR SVETLANA KOUZNETSOVA: It's about seven or eight minutes about open captions. So, you know I had more but I just would urge you to please think about the deaf and hard of hearing community.

And not only that community but other people, foreign language speakers, ESL, it's really just, it's not

fair. So, I urge you to think about the bill and set up show times that can be equal for all. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time seeing that Council Member Rosenthal has her hand raised, I will call on her for questions. Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you and I want to thank the City Council Sergeants who figured out how to put the spotlight on the person who was speaking, not the ASL Interpreter. And for the record, I think there have been a couple of speakers who we've missed seeing them because we did not have the spotlight on them. And they are the one's who are speaking. So, apologies to the Mayor's Office of People with disabilities. Tony, I'm sorry we couldn't see you signing.

May I ask the Sergeants and the Chair for your indulgence and I would like to give some — I would ask that we allow the person who just spoke, who we were only able to see for a minute, if we could either allow her to give her testimony again or allow her to finish what I think was a longer statement.

I also want to put on the record for the person who just spoke, that you can submit your testimony

including a link to your TED Talk, including your

2.2

survey, the results of your survey. Uhm, you can submit all of that and uh, we will follow up with the ASL Interpreter today to make sure all of that gets on the record.

But Chair, may I ask your indulgence to allow her

to finish her testimony with the spotlights on her, so we can see the entirety of what she wanted us to hear?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Council Member Rosenthal, thank you for intentions. The request is granted, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, if I could ask the - yeah, if I could ask -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Svetlana, uhm, if you are able to see that, you can go ahead and finish your testimony. You just need to turn on your video.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Is she there?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I don't see a response from Svetlana Chair Eugene.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Okay, so Council Member
Rosenthal the request has been granted. So, since
she didn't respond, she can jump in anytime.

2.3

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Exactly, thank you so much Chair Eugene.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: You're welcome.

reach out to Svetlana and let her know that whenever she jumps back on, we can hear the remainder of her testimony and again, moving forward, if we could make sure the spotlight, what the public can see, is not the ASL Interpreter. All of whom I appreciate very much and are doing a beautiful job but instead, we can see the person who is signing. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: It looks like Svetlana is back.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Would you be able to let her know that she has additional time to testify?

INTERPRETER FOR SVETLANA: Oh, okay, I was actually going to back to my testimonial and you know I think I was — I think I was freezing for some parts, so might have been missed but I think I really mentioned most of what I wanted to say. I don't need to keep going on but all I wanted to do was to add

2.2

2.3

the two links. Like I said, I can't do that in the chat, is there a way to? I just want to share the links.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The chat function is disabled during City Council hearings but Council Member Rosenthal mentioned you are welcome to share the link in your testimony that you can submit to us and it will be shared as part of the —

INTERPRETER FOR SVETLANA: Yes, I have done that. Okay, I've done that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Seeing no other questions, I would like to now welcome April Morone to testify. After April Morone, we will have Gail Weiss followed by Max Kwok. Again, if anyone needs interpretation services, please use the raise hand function, so we can have that set up for you. Gail, sorry, April you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

APRIL MARONE: Okay, hello, I don't want to be on video because I also have autism, so forgive me for that. Uhm, I am hard of hearing. I am advocating about the open captions. I think Svetlana said it better than I could have though of everything but I

concur you know with her; you know her points were very similar to mine.

I have an additional point though however, some of us hard of hearing people and even some deaf people, may also have height restrictions. I am under five feet in height and so, to use the closed caption devices, is also a disability. Because trying to sit in a chair when you are under five feet and you have to try to bend a closed caption devices that don't want to sit in a cup, though they are supposed to, they tend to fall over when you try to bend them far enough to where you can actually see them. Which means, I would then have to try to move it up a little bit more because if it bent down where I need to see it, it cuts off the signal to the captions themselves and won't work.

So, then I have to bend it back up again, which means I then have to cram my neck up and I have disc issues that hurts my neck. I have crushed disc's to my neck. So, it's like okay, this is hurting my neck but then I have to look at the screen again and then back to it and that's very painful for my neck and inconvenient. Please consider the heightened

_

J

disabled as well when considering hard of hearing. I am both. I'm finished. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

APRIL MORONE: I think the open captions would be better because of that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I will call on Gail Weiss to testify followed by Max Kwok. After Max Kwok, we will have Robert Sunshine. Gail, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time and again if anyone needs interpretation services, please use the raise hand function.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

GAIL WEISS: Okay, thank you very much and my name is Gail Weiss. I am on the Board of the New York City Chapter of HOA and I think speaking for many members of HOA, I might say that we want to go back to the time of silent movies where words were up there on the screen along with some very nice music and uh, so yeah, many of us can indeed hear music but hearing dialogue is indeed difficult and you know watching it up on the screen is really what we like to do.

You know the odds of many people have mentioned you know the cup holders we got involved up and down

2.3

and up and down. So, you're not really getting into
the movie as much as you would want to. And you know
I had more than one instance of the devices not
working, as many others have also mentioned and you
know it's going and getting a pass to go to another
movie doesn't really solve the problem. Occasionally
I'm with other people in the theatre and you know I
can't say okay, we all have to leave because my
captioning device doesn't work.

So, I'm sitting there through a movie that I've had difficulty really enjoying. You know and you know enjoying is indeed what we want to do, those of us who really do like movies. And you know, I really think that just that on screen captioning would be so much appreciated and uh, you know I see it as a winwin. You know even for the theatres and I'll start with what other people were saying, it's going to bring more people to the theatres. They will bring their relatives with them. They'll bring their friends with them and you know, I think it is indeed the way to go and it would be much appreciated you know if uh, INT. 2020 is indeed passed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I would like to call on Max Kwok to testify. After Max, we will have Robert Sunshine followed by Amanda Perez. Max, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

MAX KWOK: Hi, good morning all. I cannot find my written testimony. Can Robert go first? I just want to make some last minute dashes to find that written testimony first.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Sure thing. Robert, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

ROBERT SUNSHINE: Can I be heard at this point? Hello?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we hear you.

ROBERT SUNSHINE: Thank you. I'd like to just quickly thank the Chairman and members of the Committee for providing the opportunity to testify. My name is Bob Sunshine, I am the Executive Director of the National Association of Theatre Owners of New York State and we represent most of the theatres in New York City.

Uhm, I'm not going to read my testimony because of so many things I've heard today but I do want to

emphasize we are not the enemy. Again, I say it, we are not the enemy. We're not opposed to open captioning. We actually want to provide more open captioning where it would work for both the community and where it would work for the theatre owners.

Uhm, we are doing open captioning now voluntarily. People who attend the theatres can come to our management and say, would you please show a movie this week in open captioning? And every time where we could comply, we do. So, I ask, now that we have a very good and productive relationship and dialogue with the advocates, uhm, why do we need legislation at this point now? Theatre owners know what works and we want to work with the community to expand it. This bill does not work. This bill will hurt local theatres in communities and many of them might have to close. We need to talk it out and we need to find out what works best for both sides.

What is proposed in this bill is much more than what the advocates have asked for. And as it was already testified by one our members, it's impossible, it's impossible to administer. So, let's look upon theatre owners as adversarial's. We want to work with community. We did it with federal law

before and now we think we can do it by agreeing and working with you and finding a good solution. There is no need to legislate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this time, I'm calling on Max Kwok once again to testify. After Max Kwok, we will have Amanda Perez followed by Rob Westerling. Max, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

MAX KWOK: Hi, uh, so again, I cannot find my written testimony but just hearing a lot of perspective from a lot of people, especially from those who oppose the issue about requiring legislation is for example, at AMC theatres, MR25 in Time Square, you know as AMC members or A list members, we are supposed to be able to enjoy free Wifi but that theatres Wi-Fi has been going down. It has been down for like the past 12 months or more and nobody is really fixing it.

And you know, I actually went to talk to the theatre manager or people like that you know to say, can you please fix that next time I come here? But still, it has not been fixed. So, some mandate is needed to just implement this open captioning thing

perspective.

2 for people who are hearing impaired or what 3 immigrants who want to learn English as a second 4 language. I mean, I'm an immigrant. I'm a 5 nonimmigrant to an immigrant but at this point, I still find a lot of movies that to me is like, hard 6 7 to comprehend without the aid of open captioning. For example, the movie called Do It. You know it's a 8 Sci Fi movie featuring a lot of proper nouns. know geographical proper nouns or names of people who 10 11 are just you know hard to pronounce. Or a lot of 12 synonyms for words, for simple words that we know, 13 that we don't know about it. They are synonyms, so 14 having open captions, we could you know quickly 15 resort to a Merriam Webster's Dictionary to look them 16 up. To really increase our vocabulary to really help 17 improve our understanding and you know our conversation of understanding of this country, or 18 19 various different types of culture over there. 20 actually work in our cinema. I'm not aware of that. ${\tt I'm}$ even a Cinema employee and that kind of survey or 21 study is not really published to everybody at the 2.2 2.3 So, I'm going to have to do some Googling to find that survey to really understand the 24

J

And also, going to Mr. uh Doug Murdoch's

difficulty of implementing that law right. When he spoke, it's really hard to hear every single word that's coming out of his mouth. Only because of the audio quality of this session. I don't know why.

Was there something about his microphone or something like that. I mean, I don't want to be disrespectful but again, during that time, I mean people could you know watch the replay of this webcast. It's just

very hard to hear every single word of his argument.

If — according to Svetlana, the Russian woman who is deaf and all that. Uh, she was saying you know, the open caption should be easily turned on or off. So, if that's the case, like why is it so hard to implement this mechanism for open captioning. I simply don't understand it. So, to an extent, I mean, we don't need open captioning. That would be great I mean, I don't want to impose this thing onto everybody else but really, we found the open captioning timeslots very inconvenient right?

Usually it's focused only in the afternoons, right?

Sometimes I have work. I can only go to theatres during an evening and I just realized we don't have

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that availability in the evening. And open

3 | captioning is -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

MAX KWOK: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I see that

Council Member Rosenthal has her had raised. Council

Member, if you would like to go ahead with your

questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Uhm, and again, I can't see all the people who are on uhm this Zoom right now but if there is one person, one panelist from the movie theatre industry who could answer the question that was just raised. critical question. What makes it hard in terms of simply, it's my understanding that it is a switch. You either turn on or turn off, much like the way for this hearing today. You can press a button to get the captions or press another button and not see the captions but it's not difficult to press the button to see the captions. Is there something in the way movies are in the reel or the disk or how ever it works these days. Technologically, that it makes it difficult to turn on the captions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

And maybe look to see if anyone has raised their hands. If not, that's fine too.

RAYMOND SMITH: Sure, I'd be happy to answer the This is Raymond Smith again. question. projections today are basically computers. And like all computers, they have capacity limits. So, when you have files come in with movies on them, there's multiple files and these files take up a considerable amount of space. So, in some of those files, will include an open caption version of the movie. So, when you have an auditorium; let's say a facility is showing five or six different features and they've got the files downloaded in multiple auditoriums, there's limited capacity somehow where there's a risk of limited capacity. But the open caption showed the other side of the schedules, they are not always going to download that open caption file.

So, it's not a mater of you just simply say, I want it, turn it on. It has to then be ingested in the system and this can take some time. And the additional complication of that is, a lot of times there's free sales on these movies that come out. You know, when these movies are advertised, they are either advertised if you look on the web page or a

third party stage like Fandango. You'll see that the films will feature the international symbols of CC for closed captioning or OC for open captioning. And so, when we advertise the films, you'll realize that that OC means open captioning or they don't even look.

And so, we run into customer issues that become unhappy with the open caption. So, what we try to do is make sure it's clearly publicized and advertised and we got to the point over the years that if you go to like Fandango and you hover your mouse over the symbol next to the — whether it's closed caption or open caption, a box will pop up. So, we've tried to be very informative to the public of those who need captions and those that desire or those that don't, that they understand what exactly that CC and OC is.

So, it isn't simply a matter of plug and play and there are capacity limitations and there are ingestion time periods that impact the ability to simply do that and hopefully, that answered your question. If not, I'm more than happy to clarify.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Uhm, so I really just want to focus on and I'm hoping everyone can hear me. I really am in the middle of this event but uhm, so

^

really just focusing on the technology which I think was the first part of your response. What I heard you say was that it takes up more file space, more storage in the system compared something with open captions versus something with not.

In other words, and I'm making this up. One thing is 10 gigabytes and another thing is 15 gigabytes because it has the open caption on it. Is that what I heard you say?

RAYMOND SMITH: Okay, I'm sorry, there is somebody talking in the background. I can't — I didn't hear you. I didn't hear your question clearly, I'm sorry.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I think if you give her a minute, she is going to get someplace a little quieter.

RAYMOND SMITH: Gotcha.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Uh, thank you for that. I am going to go someplace quieter. Uhm, thank you. Uh, not quieter, helicopters.

Uhm, so my question was I want to focus on the first part of your response, which had to do with the storage required in the system for an open caption movie. Uh, compared to the other. So, in other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

words, one might be and I'm making up sizes here because I don't know this. One might be 10 gigabytes and the other is 15 gigabytes. Was that your argument?

RAYMOND SMITH: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.

RAYMOND SMITH: No, not really. I'm sorry, I don't mean to cut you off, go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, no, no, I wanted to understand what you said. So, if I'm not saying it - please.

RAYMOND SMITH: It's not necessarily that - I'm not talking about just the open caption file. These systems have to take every film that's going to be shown in that auditorium and all the trailers get downloaded, ingested, and all the paid programming gets downloaded and ingested. I wish I had a -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, but I think what I'm saying - I think I do understand what you're saying. So, let me try saying it again and perhaps I can articulate it better.

Always, when you are running a movie theatre, you have to download a lot of things. Trailers, advertisements, movies, a ton of things, right?

x-plus one amount of storage. Is that accurate?

RAYMOND SMITH: Yes, if you have to download the open caption file, that would be one more file.

that takes up x-amount of storage. Now, if you have

to have, have to have open captions as well, now it's

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you.

RAYMOND SMITH: And also, you have to get a set of keys from the distributor. You can't just turn on the open captioning —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What?

RAYMOND SMITH: Each film comes with security protocols that we call keys. And I'm not the biggest techno guy and maybe some of the other guys on here are but they come with what's called a key that allows the theatre to initiate the film. Without that key, they could download the file but they can't actually get the program.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, so currently some of the theatres on their own without any legislation, are showing both the open caption and the not open caption right?

RAYMOND SMITH: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, they already have in hand the key for the security to allow it to play. Is that accurate? And if they needed to show, if they needed to show, if they needed to show, if they wanted, if they were required to show that movie more than one time in say the single day, would they have to get another key or another required signoff?

RAYMOND SMITH: No, they just have to get it to be able to make it function and once they have it, I assume this is more a studio administrative aspect to understand what's being shown and what isn't being shown as well as against piracy of the product itself.

council Member Rosenthal: Of course, every industry is doing everything it can to — of course, obviously. Okay, I just wanted to make sure I understood. Is there any other component? So, it requires more file space and it requires having a special global support to use with the open caption. Those two technical things.

RAYMOND SMITH: Right, it definitely requires that and it definitely advertising. It definitely requires the theatre operators to test the multiple formats when they download a file, when they ingest

it. They do have to run it to make sure — well, not the whole file but they run it to make sure it's running properly. So, this just adds one more component of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I mean, just like it wasn't functioning well for me to be inside my van versus standing out here. That took extra time right? Was it worth it? I think so but okay, I got you. Thank you very much.

RAYMOND SMITH: Now, you're welcome and again, I believe, we believe it's worth it to. It's just a matter of what's the appropriate or the best way to accomplish this objective to enhance the access to our theatres.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well, that doesn't come across in your testimony frankly. I hear your words. We're on the same side right. I hear your assertions. We don't need laws to do it. I also heard someone say, you know, we negotiated with the federal government on an ADA response. No? That was for the closed captions. You negotiated with the federal government to have that.

RAYMOND SMITH: No, no we didn't. No, what we did, is we met with our partners in the deaf and hard

O 1

of hearing community and we reviewed what was being proposed by the Department of Justice. And collectively we put together recommendations from the deaf community. It was a joint accord and got a lot of press back in 2015, I believe and from those discussions, we made recommendations through the Department of Justice.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Uh, huh.

RAYMOND SMITH: At that time -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: If you were making recommendations today and given that we're talking about a percentage of showings, what would your recommendation be?

RAYMOND SMITH: Well, in my experience and again, I've been doing this for almost 30 years. Again, it isn't that simple. It's not that hard either. What it is, is it requires some understanding of the needs of the market and coming up with a reasonable —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But you don't know the needs because you don't know the untapped market right?

RAYMOND SMITH: Okay, so for example, I'll give you. When I was inside of [INAUDIBLE 3:46:27], which I was for 20 years, we had open caption programs

across the country without any requests simply because we understood the market. And I'll give you a good example.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What business were you in? I'm sorry, I couldn't hear. The what?

RAYMOND SMITH: I was with Regal Entertainment Group.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, Regal.

RAYMOND SMITH: I was in house with them for 20 years.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And you always had open captions?

RAYMOND SMITH: Uh, when the studios started providing them. Now, back in the day, back in the '90's, the open captions were burnt on prints. We didn't have digital, so you had to get the print and they didn't make enough prints for every theatre, so the prints kind of circled around but yes, we've always had them and we showed them back then when there weren't as many. We did different things but we focused on areas with high anticipated or known high populations of individuals that were deaf and hard of hearing.

For example, in DC, Rochester New York, certain areas in California. We always focus in areas where we also knew because we could look it up, where the deaf and blind schools were, to make sure that we had facilities that were in those —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That was your proxy. Got it.

RAYMOND SMITH: That would provide this type of access. And it's been a developing thing. I mean I know people complain about this technology that's out there today. It's not the technology, it's human failures. The technology may not be great but it is what we worked hard with the community to make sure they were part of the development process. I mean, multiple times in Washington DC, we did these demonstrations, so they could directly talk to the manufacturers. It was a great process.

Now, over time, there has been some that just want open caption and we get it. So, we're trying to get that to work to.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, because the technology has changed and it's a new world, new technology, new ease of downloading open caption movies, whatever.

RAYMOND SMITH: There's a lot.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. I'm really trying to uhm, understand the point of view but I feel I'm not quite sure and again, I apologize there is another helicopter uhm going over my head, so I apologize. That's right, you can't hear.

RAYMOND SMITH: No, I can't, I can't hear the helicopter. You're okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, you can't hear the helicopter. Okay, good. Uhm, great, so my question is, what's your answer to Svetlana when she was talking about all the things that she missed out on her life? What's your answer to the thousands, tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands just like her? The people who may not live near a deaf and blind school.

RAYMOND SMITH: Well, again, my answer to her is these — out there, if you have a request for an open caption show, almost all of them I know of have that program. Or if you want to dedicated opportunity to have it at a particular facilities, it's just a matter of dialogue. I mean, look, I know —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, somebody has to know to be able to ask, right? They need to know that there is an option out there.

RAYMOND SMITH: Okay, I've been doing this a long time and I still run into people today that don't know there is personal captioning technologies. This is not withstanding the fact that we work directly with the largest deaf and hard of hearing —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I know.

RAYMOND SMITH: In the country to get that word out but it still happens and I'm going to say this to you. Once you think they understood and everyone should, is that you can't look at the deaf and hard of hearing community as any different than any other segment of our society. Not everybody goes to the movies and I used to say this all the time, it's like the field of dreams art of it. If you build it they will come. Well, that's not true. I'm not going to come see a slasher movie that is open caption simply because it had open captions. I'm going to go see the movies I want to see.

And as a consequence of that, it isn't necessarily going to drive the attendance if they like to understand. I'm an advocate and you know, I

/

_

you know — but I'm also the parent of a deaf child.

Experiencing it personally for over 30 years. I know exactly what it is. I've utilized all of these technologies. And as they were developed, are they the best they could be today? Maybe not but they were the best that could be at the time.

Do all theatres and all theatre personnel manage that equipment effectively? They do not and they could do a much better job and that's what leads to these failures.

When I was at Regal, what we did is we put our trailer, policy trailer, it started before, before the previews was captioned. So, that anybody that had the technology whether it was glasses at the seat mounts or neck loops and Regal had all three; could be able to go in there and know that their devices were functioning properly, well before sometimes 15-20 minutes before the featured movie ever started.

Because notwithstanding their best efforts, sometimes the devices in the auditorium, particularly the Info Red ones, they just weaken and die over time. The Wi-Fi ones just stop and it's very difficult to catch those. And you have a combination of all those in theatres today.

So, I respect everybody's opinion here. I respect the way they feel. I respect their desires and I think the industry, at least in my involvement and for 30 years, I have been a leader with them in trying to work and increase and enhance access. That is still the desire. Things have changed over time. Maybe we need more open caption then what's being provided but it isn't a one size fits all solution. It just doesn't work. So, that's all I would suggest.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it.

RAYMOND SMITH: And we were actively talking with advocates and we are actively doing pilots now at various areas in the country to try and find a way to give some specific guidance to our members.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.

RAYMOND SMITH: You know, you got big numbers that have more tools than the little guys, sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yup, nope, I appreciate that very much. I appreciate your answer. Your child is very lucky to have you as a parent. I still can't get a cochlear implant for several of the people who live in my public housing in my development in my district. I can't get them

2.3

anything because it's not available, they can't afford it. They don't have access. No one is paying attention to them.

So, look, people are in all different types of situations and the role of government is to try to help those. Often, to help everyone. To help everyone including dismissed industry.

RAYMOND SMITH: I agree.

need. So, I appreciate that. I actually am at an event, so I want to apologize in advance to the remaining people who are going to testify. A. Sorry, I made you wait so long and B. I might get shutdown because my phone is losing battery. But I will read everyone's testimony. I appreciate everyone for being on here today and thank you. Thank you Sergeants and Chair Eugene for giving me an opportunity to ask questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you for your focus here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now continue on. I would like to welcome Roberta

Lawrence to testify. After Roberta Lawrence, I will be calling on Tony Iacaluchi(SP?) and Ben Ruth

J

)

Bernstein. Again, if anyone requires interpretation services, please use the raise hand function. Please use the raise hand function only if you need translation or interpretation services and Roberta, you are welcome to start as soon as the Sergeants call time.

ROBERTA LAWRENCE: Okay, thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

ROBERTA LAWRENCE: Thank you. I want to thank

Council Members and Chair Eugene — I'm sorry, I'm

very nervous Chair Eugene for allowing me to testify.

I want to testify as to the egregious mishandling of my late mothers and my disability discrimination case that we filed with the Human Rights Commission in 2016. This is my mother. Nice lady with the leopard sweater on.

My mother lived in a rent stabilized apartment with a bad landlord for years. She was denied appliances that's supposed to come with the apartment. It was in such bad shape that when I moved in to take care of her in 19— sorry, in 2010, I called the Department of Buildings. I got a lot of support from Councilman Halloran at the time and from

2.3

Assemblyman Braunstein who was a wonderful advocate for seniors.

I moved in with my mom when she was 90. The landlord hadn't repaired anything in her apartment since she moved in in 1980. The building had gone co-op. She couldn't buy, so she was in no mans land. The co-op hated her because she wasn't an owner and the landlord wanted her out. I want to show the nexus between uhm rent regulated landlords. Using the opportunity when an elderly or disabled uhm tenant needs a disability accommodation, they are not going to give it to you. They want you out. Stop it. Sorry, it's my dog.

Uhm, so I filed, I was pointed to the Human
Rights Commission in 2013 under the leadership of
Commissioner Gatlin. Uh, my mother needed a lift in
the lobby to get her from the lower lobby to the
upper lobby so she could go out the main entrance and
enjoy the gardens. She needed bathroom
accommodations within her apartment. She was infirm
and she was going blind. She could not climb into
the bathtub.

Patricia Gatlin's agency handled the complaint with dispatch. They found probable cause for

)

discrimination and retaliation within a year and we were at oath for a hearing within 14 months.

Commissioner Gatlin was replaced by Commissioner

Malalis and there was a change in the tenor of

Commission.

My mother was forced at the conciliation agreements to take a non-ADA compliant bathtub cut out, which didn't work for her. And the thing is for leverage, we were told by the Law Enforcement Bureau Executive Director, if you mother doesn't take this, we're dismissing the case. This is a mantra that is prevalent throughout the whole process.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, we will now continue on to testimony from Tony Iacaluchi followed by Ruth Bernstein and then Miriam Fisher.

Again, if anyone requires interpretation services, please use the raise hand function. Oh, I apologize uhm, Amanda Perez, I do see that we jumped over you. So, I will go back. We will hear from Amanda Perez first followed by Tony Iacaluchi(SP?) and then Ruth Bernstein. Uh, Amanda, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

_

AMANDA PEREZ: Sure, can everybody hear me okay?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we can.

AMANDA PEREZ: Awesome. Well, hello Chair Eugene and members of the Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Amanda Perez, I am the General Manager at the AMC Kips Bay Theatre location.

As you may be aware, AMC and the movie theatre industry in New York City are still in the process of recovering from the most challenging times in modern history. Movie theatres in New York City were closed for 50 weeks during the COVID-19 pandemic and once our theatres were allowed to reopen, we were limited by capacity restrictions that remained in place until almost June of this year.

To state the obvious, AMC's in New York City theatres earned no income during this 12 month period and we're still in the beginning steps of the process of returning to pre-pandemic numbers. Despite the challenges we face as an industry, AMC has taken substantial steps to provide an open caption showing in our greater New York City market. Currently 16 of AMC theatres in the greater New York City market participate in our open caption national program.

)

2.2

2.3

From October 1st to November 11th of this year, our 16 locations had 914 open caption shows. Uhm, these 914 open caption shows average about 12 guests per show which is approximately 5.8 percent of occupancy. Leaving 94.8 percent of the seats for these showing unsold. Additionally, 122 of these 914 showtimes sold zero tickets. 266 of the 914 showtimes sold one to five tickets and none of these 914 showtimes sold out.

AMC's New York City theatres look forward to continue our work with the open and hard of hearing community to respond to the demand of open caption showing. However, we feel that the INT. 2020 by creating an arbitrary 50 percent requirement for open caption showings jeopardizes the recovery of the movie theatre industry in one of the most important markets in the country. Thank you again for your time and the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uh, seeing that

Tony Iacaluchi and Ruth Bernstein are not in the Zoom

and Robert Westerling is also not in the Zoom, we

will be moving on to testimony from Miriam Fisher

followed by Robert Wolfe and Dianna Prashad. Miriam

you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time.

And again, if anyone needs interpreter services,

please use the raise hand function. Uh, actually, I

apologize Miriam, it looks like Council Member

Rosenthal does have her hand raised, so we will go to her.

MIRIAM FISHER: Okay, I'm sorry, I'm also in a meeting on accessibility in the subway. There's kind of a schedule conflict and I'm running back and forth. Do you mind if I just do my testimony because I have to leave. I'm sorry.

I'm Mariam Fisher, I'm a Disability Advocate.

I'm advocating for open captions on movies in public theatres following models in other cities and countries to fully include people with hearing impairments and participation in cultural events.

I wear two hearing aids and have used various types of technology including my cellphone, which I put aside with the app Live Transcribe and I've been told the glare of the phone to take it away. It's annoying people, the light and I've become very uncomfortable but that was at my option.

Uhm, cells can obviously disturb the audience members with their illumination and require frequent

captions.

checks to follow dialogue. I request and I'm giving you just a few tidbits of some of my experiences trying to enjoy a movie without captions. I have requested captions at public libraries and the senior centers who which receive public money and have heard objections from those who find it distracting.

Though they will watch movies with subtitles, foreign movies without protest. Just an example, a movie facilitator, a discussion facilitator at a senior center where certainly other people with hearing difficulties said he saw I talked with other people, so I couldn't possibly have trouble hearing. Both insensitive and ignorant. He said, he won't aid discussions if they show English movies with

The Director of the same center in New York City ran out of the room when the option for captions came on the screen after I made the request. I had to involve help from the New York City Department of Aging as the Center I knew received public money. A similar situation happened in a New York public library until I again reminded that they were receiving public money and denying reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities.

I'm exhausted by these kinds of encounters and no longer look forward to participation anticipating more encounters. Often in captions and despite those occasional who find it distracting, many remark and have more easily they can follow the words or segments that they couldn't previously and note song lyrics, which was a big thing. Are especially hard to follow and become available with captions. They are also an aid for segments of the larger population, those who speak English as a foreign language, children learning to read and honing their skills and as a retired reading teacher, I'm very conscious at how that can help children.

Need fully available movies, so people can go to movies as everyone else when it fits their plans and schedules not relegated to time limited intervals and times of day. Captions are the vector that can integrate people within the larger world of social events and the media that so many that our hearing struggles take for granted.

Thank you Helen Rosenthal for letting me squeeze in. I'm back to CIDNY talking about accessibility at the subways. Thank you. I have a meeting at the same time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Council Member Rosenthal, I see you lowered your had. Do you have any questions?

Yeah, just a quick question. Uhm, actually, I want to give Jerry Bergman an opportunity. If Jerry Bergman could be unmuted, if that would be okay. I think Jerry, if he is there, uhm, yeah, I think Jerry can I just ask you real quickly, did you have some thoughts about what Mr. Smith was saying? And I was wondering what your take on that would be? Thank you.

JERRY BERGMAN: Yes, thank you. Uhm, I've been patiently sitting and listening to the industry spokes people and I just wanted to set the record straight about a couple of things. Uhm, Raymond Smith said they've been collaborating with the deaf and hard of hearing for a long time. That's not so. They were not providing any access for deaf and hard of hearing people until Mr. Waldo brought a lawsuit on the West Coast. It cost them a great deal of money when they lost that suit. I brought an action in New York against AMC and that action got captions like on the West Coast in New York State at all the

J

2.2

AMC theatres. Both of those actions preceded the regulation that the Department of Justice put into place. So, it was legal action that spurred that.

I also wanted to say that uhm, that we are now in a situation where the cinemas have suddenly started to schedule open caption showings and I think if there is anybody out there who thinks that this event in the recent months has happened out of a desire to serve our needs, they are mistaking. I think if this action wouldn't have been taken by the City Council and follow actions in other cities and states, that they would still be ignoring us. And the evidence I have of that is that the Deaf Entertainment Access Foundation DEAF had been asking the major cinema operators through their local managers to schedule open caption, occasional open caption showings and almost in most cases, they invariably been denied access.

It's only been in the last two weeks as a result of this action that the industry has offered to meet and talk with us. So, we're now doing that but as far as I know, there was absolutely no involvement of the hearing loss association or the advocates I am

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

familiar with in the event of the option scheduling. Thank you Helen.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Rosenthal, did you have any other follow up?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much for that. I mean, I would love to give uhm, the movie industry an opportunity to respond. I know this hearing is going very long and I know there are still people who want to testify. But if Mr. Smith could be allowed to give a guick response and what I'm going to encourage everyone to do is, if you have additional thoughts, after this hearing, responses you would like to make to something that you heard today, you can submit additional testimony within you tell me Committee Counsel 72 hours? By emailing testimony@council.nyc.gov and I will assure you that I will be reading it as well as the Committee Staff. We are very interested in hearing your response to anything that you heard today.

But can we allow Mr. Smith uhm, you know a minute or two to respond? Is that okay?

RAYMOND SMITH: Is that okay? Okay, I'll just be brief. Listen, I know what we've done. I know what I did and obviously this is the '90's. Now, I

2.2

certainly can't sit here and say I've dealt with every deaf organization or hard of hearing organization in the United States because I haven't.

I don't know all of them, there were two referenced today I have never heard of but I have been associated with the — since 1990, 1990 I believe and I have been involved in creating and enhancing access since then.

If you spoke with individuals that were part of the Coalition for Movie Captioning back in the '90's, or you spoke with individuals that are associated with certain deaf schools in this country, including Tennessee School for the Deaf, they can certainly confirm it. The litigation with John Waldo did not do — [LOST AUDIO 4:12:18]. That litigation and the only reason Regal was in that litigation — and listen, I respect John, we go back a long way. We don't always agree but I respect him. And he wanted us to do something in that litigation which we were already doing. And we told him, we are already going to be rolling this out but he wanted a firm date rollout. This new technology, we couldn't give it to him because we were at the whim of the manufacturer.

2.

J

_ .

So, he did sue Regal and then his claims ultimately got dismissed because he became mute. His claim that we were violating the AVA because we didn't have [BROKEN UP AUDIO 4:12:54] But his claims that we have to install the technology, which is what the complaints were about was already mute because we did install the technology before the case went to trial.

Now, as far as all the rest of it — [LOST AUDIO 4:13:11] for years. These companies could probably go back and establish when they started those programs. I'm not going to dispute that when this legislation started in New York, that the activists or whatever the industry didn't reach out to try to find the activists to be able to start having dialogue and that locality, it certainly — is not a new subject.

And I'll leave it there. Thank you though for the response.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, now we will continue on to the next witness. I have Robert Wolfe testifying next followed by Dianna Prashad and Daniel Brooks. Robert, you can go ahead as soon as the Sergeants call time. And of course, if anyone needs

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 interpretation services, please use the raise hand

3 | function.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

ROBERT WOLFE: Hi, I hit the wrong email, which is an echo caption. Thank you. Okay, sorry, thank you. Bye, Bye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now move on to testimony from Dianna Prashad followed by Daniel Brooks. Uhm, again if anyone needs interpretation services, please use the raise hand function. Dianna, you can begin as soon as the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

DIANNA PRASHAD: Hello?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hi, yes Dianna, you can go ahead.

DIANNA PRASHAD: Hi, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DIANNA PRASHAD: Hi, good afternoon Committee

Members. My name is Dianna Prashad, I support the

bill set forth today. My testimony however is about

my ongoing discrimination with New York City agencies

as a Black female and an LGBTQ under de Blasio

pertaining to the arena of human rights.

2.

I would like to publicize how the intersex issues of race, socioeconomics, sexual orientation and other status of LGBTQ. The status has impacted my response from agencies such as HPD, DSS, DOI and I believe this is to be the product of both socioeconomics, disenfranchisement and systemic discrimination. For the past 20 months as a home owner in a development of a 200 plus town homes, which are bound by a 25-year owner occupancy clause, I have been retaliated against by HPD, the agency who I am not only purchasing my home from in 2007 but to whom I am contractedly bound until 2032.

From 2012 to present, some homeowners in this development under the same 25-year owner occupancy clause, have been illegally converted these homes into rental properties and moving to other established locations, leaving their tenants and occupation of residents in violation of our contracts. Many of these long standing breaches are the handywork of individuals who have a relationship with New York City such as New York City employees or individuals who work for the developers of our housing.

2.2

Some of these home owners are illegally renting these homes back to DSS and receiving New York City Housing Voucher payments after they have received \$100,000 in grants to remain in these homes as their primary residency until 2032.

Needless to say, this has adversely impacted our community as a whole since it allows our property values to further plumet. Additionally, some of these longstanding breaches are being perpetuated by Caucasian homeowners who were given an incentive to obtain these homes and then now uses them as income sources.

Some homeowners have even taken out secondary mortgages to list these homes as rental properties and uhm and is part of New York City record. But yet under HPD, this administration has failed to take swift and decisive action. I believe this is due to the fact that the majority of the homeowners in our community are Black and Brown people. And they do not care about us or the viability of our community or our property values.

HPD for our contracts has the authority to both monitor and enforce our owner occupancy clause, so compliance would have only done so under the

2.2

2.3

Bloomberg Administration. These illegal conversions have been an ongoing issue for 2012 and remains an issue today with HPD and other agencies such as DOI being cognizant of the acts addressing discriminatory treatment of home owners in this development. The issue selective enforcement. The issues of favoritism, which is the in product of select home owners being allowed to use these homes as income while the others are not. The conflict of interest of DSS and DHA paying select homeowners to bring their homeless clients with dubious backgrounds into our communities. And homes who have been vented or I have been vented I should say, and the resulting issue such as a crime and safety issues that we are made to endure as Black people in compliance.

As a homeowner, my wife and I are experiencing — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DIANNA PRASHAD: Now seeing the sales of illicit drugs from the house attached to mine. We are also being actively harassed various homophobic terms by these illegal DSS clients. And also experiencing safety issues since multiple attempts at physical attacking us.

25 speak

We have — I have brought this to your attention since this situation adversely affects roughly 200 Black and Brown homeowners and tax payers in my community. We need oversight and accountability and we need your committee's to enact legislation to protect us from these blatant acts of discrimination. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Seeing that

Daniel Brooks is not in the Zoom, please let me know

if we had inadvertently missed anyone that registered

to testify today and has yet to be called on. Please

use the Zoom raise hand function and you will be

called on in the order that your hand has been

raised.

Seeing no additional raised hands, Chair Eugene, do you wish to go have a second round for witnesses? Chair Eugene, would you like to have a second round of witnesses?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: The Chair is muted.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Can you hear me now?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON EUEGENE: Alright thank you. I was talking but I wasn't heard. Do you have more speakers?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We do not have any additional witnesses, however, if you would like to have a second round of questions or testimony from any witnesses, it's at your discretion.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yes, thank you very much. I know that people have been here for a long time but I still have some few questions for the industry. Are they still there? The representatives? Okay, anyone can answer. But what I would like to know is they can tell us you know, are movies with open caption currently showing in New York City? Anyone can answer that.

MATTHEW GRELLER: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question please Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yes sir, yes sir. Are movies with open captions currently shown in New York City?

MATTHEW GRELLER: Yes, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Could you give us all some detail about you know -[AUDIO DROPPED 4:21:55]?

MATTHEW GRELLER: Uhm, you know, I would like to give you specific details and I would like to see who else from the circuits is currently on right now because they can tell you right now you know their

specific showtimes and play list. Uhm, I am just clicking through the Zoom here to see who else might be on. But I know that they vary. I know that AMC has a pilot running in New York City at three of their theatres. Two on the upper west side, one in Time Square and they're offering a number and I will look up the data right now because I have the data here if no one else is available to provide it.

But they are also offered available upon request. And the issue Mr. Chairman with this legislation again is the quantity of open captioning shows and the ability to play the number that's set in this bill is just impossible to comply with. Uhm, and would lead to further financial loss and again, you've heard a lot of testimony about the difficulty of theatres. I want to again reiterate some of the testimony. We want people in our theatres and we clearly want to welcome people with disabilities, including people who are deaf and hard of hearing.

And you know, I personally had conversations with Mr. Bergman and Mr. Waldo and they've been very productive conversations, very respectful conversations and we hope to continue those conversations and we want to be able to find a

2.2

solution that works for everybody. I just don't think that this legislation does and I think it will only lead to the detriment of all the stakeholders because these theatres won't be able to stay in business if this legislation is put in as is. And a mandate like this just won't work.

So, we're trying to find a way of expanding open captioning, a way of improving closed captioning. We need as many people to come to the theatres as possible and if there is an untapped audience that's out there, we welcome them with open arms, everybody and want everybody to feel respected, welcomed and valued and that's critically important.

And I'm just finding the data here, so I appreciate your time. The number of open caption movies sir, Mr. Chairman, I have 6,093 open caption showtimes from one of the cinema chains. And the other number here, just bear with me please. Uhm, in New York City, one of them is offering 914 open caption showtimes.

And again, the data we have is that the audience is not turning out for them. Could they do a better job of advertising them? We're trying to talk with Mr. Bergman and Mr. Waldo about doing just that.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Could we do a better job of figuring out you know the feedback from all audiences? From people who are deaf and hard of hearing, from people who are not. What they like, what they don't like of course. all these things take a little bit of time. take a little bit of time to set up the proper methodology. They take a little bit of time to determine the right data and the right facts and unfortunately, you know we look at this legislation. I - you know with all due respect, look at the 50percent number, I think it's quite arbitrary and again unworkable and we have a subset of New York City data right now that's very actionable and all it shows is that the capacity is not - the auditoriums are not filled. They are almost completely empty, 95, 94 percent empty and if theirs is an untapped audience, if there's an aggregate increase in the audience, that would be something the theatres would welcome.

Again, the theatres want people to come to the movies and not give people a reason to stay home.

So, we want to continue to work with the advocates.

We want to work with all advocates and have dialogue to figure something out that works. Our fear is that

this legislation does not work and will only compound the financial problems facing the theatres.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you and you know based on your statement, it seems that the number of captions represent a big challenge for the industry, is that right? Hello, is that correct?

MATTHEW GRELLER: Yeah, I'm sorry, I just had some trouble unmuting. Yes sir. Yes, Mr. Chairman. The issue is if the number of captions is set in stone, if it is mandated and the demand is not there, then you will have movies being forced to be played to empty auditoriums and the problem with that is you will no longer have movie theatres if they are required to play films with no audiences.

I defer to the theatre managers. I defer to the theatre owners who notice issue inside and out but the experience that I've looked at in terms of the data and then I've heard, is that when the audience isn't coming, the theatres aren't making revenue.

They can no longer afford to do that. And there are the technical challenges and the logistical challenges of such a high percentage. And I think that if we look at other jurisdictions who have uhm, open caption showtimes that are really responses to

O 4

the demand, that it works well and unfortunately a rigid mandate that is set in stone with a number that's arbitrary will not work well. And so, we're trying to find what that number will be and that's why there are pilot projects and that's why there is data. And this decision and this discussion should be data driven. Uhm, it should be assessing the New York market and trying to find a solution that works for New York City, which is unlike every other market in the country.

So, we're trying to find the right solution. As someone said earlier, we're not the bad guys. We want people to come to the movies and we particularly want the deaf and hard of hearing community to feel welcome and an integral part of the audience at the movies.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your answer. Thank you. You know, if the bill gets enacted, it would require movie theatres to advertise of their movies with open captioning. Is there any other challenge that you believe that will impose to the company?

MATTHEW GRELLER: No, Mr. Chairman. The advertising portion is one that we want to really

2.2

work with the advocates to determine what is the best verbiage is you will to uhm, advertise in our — in some of our conversations, we've been told that it should be consistent to say, either OC or open captioning.

Uhm, there have been experiments to try to really clarify what that might mean for some audiences who are not familiar with OC or open captioning. And so, sometimes it will say, provided with or offered with English subtitles. The idea is that all audiences should be able to clearly understand how the movie s being offered. And this goes beyond just open caption or closed captioning. People want to go see a movie in IMAX, they need to know it's playing in IMAX. They need to know that theatre and that screen has the IMAX availability or other availabilities.

Other types of projection equipment or other types of technology. And so, we're trying to figure out what is the best fit and again, want to work with the advocates to determine both the advertising but most especially the quantity. And I'll be the first to say, you know people should feel free to come to the movie theatre and have the accessibility to see

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the show that they want when they want to. We're not seeing that that is the case and these businesses are trying to accommodate everyone. And so, we're trying to find that right balance and unfortunately, this legislation does not find that right balance at all and we're reluctantly opposing it.

Again, we want people from the deaf and hard of hearing community to be regular patrons at the movies.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Uhm, you mentioned that you from the industry, you are not the enemies and you do want the people to enjoy the movies and you are willing to dial into conversation with the advocates but you have also the other speakers that shared with us, the difficulties, the challenges that they face in terms of equipment, device that will help them to enjoy the movies and we know that everybody, everyone in New York City, all New Yorkers and all our constituents, they have the right to enjoy what is available in our great City of New York. It's a question of justice as you know and I think we as a society, we have to provide them the opportunity to enjoy every good thing that we, all the citizens enjoy in New York City.

2.

_ .

But knowing these issues, challenges that some people with difficulties, you know have been facing. What would be your advice? What would be your thoughts to try to accommodate them now? To try to respond to their problem? The problem that they face you know when they go to the movies, in terms of you know being able to understand you know what is being said in the movie? What is the urgent solutions, the position you would have to resolve the problem right now?

MATTHEW GRELLER: Yes, absolutely and it's a great question Mr. Chairman and I think it goes at the heart of this very issue. We're trying to access what is the right solution and to do so, we need actionable data. And we are currently operating in multiple theatres a pilot project to see what works. We need to find out the right questions to ask and we need to find out the right methodology to determine what is that right data.

As Mr. Waldo has pointed out, in some jurisdictions, he has looked at the aggregate data. In other words, if you have low open caption turnout, are those other theatre goers, those patrons going to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

non-open caption show and then in the net, are you seeing a gain?

Unfortunately, the experience and maybe more anecdotal than data but the experience has seen that if you have a patron going to a movie on a Friday night and they don't like that's it's open caption, they don't come back. Or maybe they don't come back the following Friday or following Saturday.

And so, we want to be able to determine that customer behavior. Customer behavior for people who do like open captioning and customer behavior for people who do not like open captioning. Unfortunately the data right now that is currently being assessed in New York City is showing that there is a large number of empty seats. Almost 95 percent of empty seats in the theatres for open caption showtimes. We don't want to see that. Let me just be very, very clear. We would love to have sellouts of open caption seats and if that's the case, we would have more open captioning. We want this to be market driven. We understand the role of government. We work very closely with government and most recently worked to promote vaccination across the

city in our theatres and outside of our theatres as a

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

patrons.

way to make sure that we don't have to close down again. And being closed for a year, I can tell you was extremely difficult. And I know it's extremely frustrating for people from the deaf and hard of hearing community to not be able to go to any movie that they want to see or to have difficulties with the closed captioning equipment. We've talked with advocates about ways to both improve the closed captioning experience. Possibly using new technology and also, ensuring that the devices are fully charged and of course hygienic. But we want to make sure that the open captioning availability is a fair and balanced approach for everyone, for all patrons and there are unfortunately patrons who complain about open captioning. There are patrons who don't like it and the theatres need to be able to respond to their

Uhm, and that's why we want to be able to continue with the pilot. We want to be able to continue talking with the advocates, and we want to find that right balance. We're very willing to you know be dynamic and flexible. If we see that there are more people coming to the open caption shows, the theatres would offer more open caption shows. It's a

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

MATTHEW GRELLER: Monolithic audience.

All the

different movies are available to everybody and

And as Randy Smith mentioned, there's no -

Time.

good problem to have when you have a large population or a large segment of the audience that wants to come and that's something that the theatres definitely want to see. Unfortunately, they just haven't you know since this pandemic started and since the theatres have been able to reopen, the audience numbers are dismal. The box office receipts which are you know reported publicly but they are reported for the whole country and all movie theatres, not just New York City. The numbers may seem staggering and it may seem like oh, these movies are making so much money. They're not.

And if you do the math and we have the numbers in our testimony, it's quite dismal and unfortunately, a lot of the audience is choosing to stay home and we would love for the audience to come to the movies and I can't say it or emphasize it enough, we want people who are deaf and hard of hearing to come to the movies, to feel comfortable, to feel welcome, to feel important and come see the movies they want.

2.

2.2

there's no one type of movie that any particular type of audience wants to see.

So, again, we want to work with the sponsors. We want to work with the advocates. We want to find the right solution. This bill is not the right solution and we think that we can come up with something voluntary that would work much better and enable all people to be able to feel comfortable and come to the movies.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much for your answer. Thank you. And I want to ask also some questions, one question to the advocates if they are still here. There's a big issue affecting so many people of theft and also hard of hearing people in our city. This is a very important and serious issue, especially the people who are hard of hearing people but — and I want to commend the advocates also and Council Member Rosenthal for their advocacy to try to resolve, to try to address this issue. It's a very important issue because as I said previously, all New Yorkers, all members of the society have the right to enjoy what in the society our city can offer.

_

But we [INAUDIBLE 4:38:18] from the movie industry, they said that they are not against that. They want to continue the conversation and they want to make sure that the people, the customers, they can enjoy the movies like everybody else.

My question to the advocates, is there any proposal, anything that you would like to suggest now, like an urgent action from the movie industry to be done in order for those who are deaf and also, who are hard of hearing people can enjoy the movies you know at this moment as we are trying to find a way to address this very important issue? Is there any proposal or action you believe that the movie industry should take right now? Anything you want to say in response you know to give?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uh, Chair Eugene, is there any particular advocate you would like to hear from?

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: No, any advocate. Any member from the advocacy is they are present, if they are still on the Zoom.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe we have Jerry Bergman available.

JERRY BERGMAN: Thank you. Chairman Eugene, could you briefly repeat the question?

4

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

What I'm saying is that the CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: people who are deaf and also hard of hearing people, they are facing a serious issue and we all know that in our society, we have to do everything possible for everyone to get the same access. And also they have the opportunity to enjoy everything our city can provide. But the reality is not the case. But the people from the industry, they said that they understand the situation. They are not against open caption and they are trying to do everything possible to make sure that the people, the customers can enjoy the movies. And my question to the advocates, hearing what the people from the industry say, is there any proposition, anything, any response we want to give in terms of the urgency of the issue? should be done in order for the people who are deaf? Who are hard of hearing people can enjoy the movies at the present moment?

JERRY BERGMAN: Here's a quick answer. We've had two very cordial meetings with Attorney Greller and uh, people he represents and at the second meeting, which just happened yesterday, uhm, we exchanged proposals, talked about those two proposals. The difference in our positions is that we think a

2.2

2.3

reasonable proposal can be made mandatory, our proposal and reasonable means, all theatres occasional open caption showings during the week day and night at least one time and the weekend day and evening at least one time. Also, taking into account that most people with hearing loss and seniors with difficulty hearing have no interest in the big blockbuster movies. And those are the movies that will make most money for the exhibitors. We're interested in the smaller movies and those movies tend to have more empty seats anyway.

So, I think there's less risk to schedule more open caption showings of those movies. But we also asked this question which they had no answer for.

Why are you basing this solely on tickets and how many seats are sold to open caption performances?

I'm not as smart as the executives in the movie industry, the cinema industry but I have to wonder why they have not done any audience opinion research.

They used to give out audience response cards when people come out of a theatre. Did you like this movie? What didn't you like about this movie? They haven't done anything like that so far as we know with open captions because we think, they are afraid

2.2

∠4

it will put them out of business or their membership will bock at it and refuse.

So, we don't even know, I wrote this down to ask
Mr. Greller, we don't even know the basis of the
pilot test they're running in New York City. Again,
Mr. Smith said they are cooperating fully but they
sprung this test on us. They didn't ask us what are
we interested in in a way of open captions. How many
should they test? Where should they test and so
forth.

So, you know we question the criteria which will determine the findings and we'd rather have them do some opinion research. Give tickets to senior citizens. Bring them in to see open caption movies and ask them if they really would avoid open caption movies. That's all we're asking for and I think the difference in our positions is we think that our proposal should be made law. We've submitted it to Council Member Rosenthal and they would prefer their proposal be voluntary. The percentages aren't that much different. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so very much.

Thank you. So, now I would like to turn it over to the General Counsel, to the Committee Counsel.

2.

_

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Eugene. I will actually turn it over to you for any closing remarks you would like to offer.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you so very much. Let me say — take the opportunity to thank you General Counsel and also the Sergeant at Arms and all the staff in the City of New York. And also, I want to thank Council Member Rosenthal and advocates and the representatives also of the movie theatre.

People in our community, in our city are facing a very important issue. A very important issue that effect the quality of life, the wellbeing. And also the people from the movie industry face. They understand the issues and they do want to resolve it and to address it. And the good thing is, uh, while we are trying to vote this legislation, both sides they engage, they are communicating and also, there are civil opportunities to dialogue and to have a conversation. And I hope that something will happen and can be you know compromised before, as soon as possible and it is a good sign that both sides in conversations and also the movie theatres and also, that this is something that they are concerned about and they are looking for it to resolve.

So if there is no more uh, statements, I want to declare that this meeting is adjourned and thank you to all of you for your statements and your testimonies. Thank you very much. [GAVEL]. The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 7, 2022