CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----- X

June 18, 2024

Start: 10:11 a.m. Recess: 12:14 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Shekar Krishnan, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

David M. Carr Robert F. Holden

Linda Lee Julie Menin

Mercedes Narcisse

Sandra Ung

APPEARANCES

Karina Smith, Assistant Commissioner for Community Outreach and Partnership at the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Carlos Martinez, Chief of Green Thumb at the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation and the Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture

Evan Burr, Deputy Director for the Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture

Matt Drury, Chief Citywide Legislative Affairs Director at the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Anna-Marie Vallone, self

Perry Vallone, self

Eric Goldstein, New York City Environment
Director at the Natural Resources Defense Council

Anna Sacks, self

Brandon Pachuca, self

Iyeshima Harris-Ouedraogo, Director of Advocacy and Programs at Green Guerillas

Chrissy Word, Director of Education at City Parks Foundation

Joseph Reiver, Executive Director of Elizabeth Street Garden

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Clare Miflin, Center for Zero Waste Design

Justin Green, Big Reuse

Sherrise Palomino, Director of Advocacy and Programs at New Yorkers for Parks

Anneliese Zausner-Mannes, co-founder of Nurture BK

Elizabeth Opurum, Board Secretary of the Garden by the Bay

Francine Rogers, member of the Neighborhood Advisory Committee Community Garden

Cory Hasson, Government Affairs Manager at New York Restoration Project on behalf of Lynn B. Kelly (Executive Director)

Chrissy Remein, Riverkeeper's Policy and Planning Manager

Sharon Brown, Rose of Sharon Enterprises

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone

check for the Committee on Parks and Recreation,

recorded by Layla Lynch on June 18, 2024, in the

Committee Room.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to the New York City Hybrid Hearing of the Committee on Parks and Recreation.

Please silence all electronic devices.

At no time, please do not approach the dais. If you have any questions, please raise your hand and one of us, a Sergeant-at-Arms, will kindly assist you.

Chair, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: [GAVEL] Good morning. Thank you, Sergeant. Good morning, everyone. I am Shekar Krishnan, Chair of the Committee on Parks and Recreation, and I'd like to welcome all of you to this hearing on Community Gardening and Urban Agriculture, and I'd like to thank our leadership in the Parks Department that's here too, that does the great work every day stewarding our Green Thumb Program, making sure our community gardens have the resources they need. Thank you for your work and thank you for your testimony today too.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When it comes to recognizing how vital parks and open space are to the life of our city, community gardens are often not given the spotlight they rightfully deserve. Community gardens help provide needed green space in areas that were once lacking, provide educational opportunities for our children, and produce numerous kinds of food products through urban agricultural practices, to name just a few of their many benefits. It is no exaggeration to say that since the community gardening movement took off in the 1970s, gardens have not simply added attractive features to formerly neglected and vacant areas of the city, but have in fact saved many communities from perpetual decline by reinvigorating community involvement and activism through the revitalization and much-needed creation of open space. Having visited community gardens myself across the city and the events put on by many of our community garden groups and advocates, it always deeply moves and impresses me to see the number of volunteers who come out every day, every weekend, to care for the gardens, making sure that it gets the resources that these gardens desperately need and, even through waves of budget cuts and declines in

2.2

2.3

Park spending, our community gardeners are there every single day stepping up where government has not, making sure that the community gardens are an oasis for neighborhoods that need that kind of green space, creating agriculture where it wouldn't exist otherwise, providing space to restore yourself, your mental health, your well-being that wouldn't exist otherwise so I salute every community gardener that's here across the city that's doing the work. Know that you have the eternal gratitude of us here in the New York City Council and I know in the Parks Department as well.

gardens located throughout the five boroughs and licensed by Green Thumb. Though they have the technical support from the City, many gardens often find themselves in less than stable situations as threats from development, inconsistent public funding, and various regulatory burdens that local gardening groups are ill-equipped to handle often arise. The most recent cuts to Green Thumb proposed by the Adams' Administration does not help to lessen the fears that gardeners often cite when trying to ensure the viability of the gardens they support. The

It's clear to me that the City needs to emphatically support gardens beyond just the lip service that we often hear and commit to fostering a stable environment and fiscal situation where consistent resources are provided to let these

2.2

2.3

24

25

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | gardens thrive but, know from our end, this New York

3 City Council with Speaker Adams' leadership is

4 committed to fighting each and every year to make

5 sure that our community gardens, our Green Thumb

6 Program, has the support that it desperately needs.

We need that same commitment from Mayor Adams and

this Administration. It is just that simple.

Urban agriculture is one of the main reasons why resources dedicated to community gardens need to be sufficient and consistent. Many New Yorkers continue to experience food insecurity with inequitable access to healthy, fresh food options, particularly in low-income communities and communities of color that continue to be underserved by full-service grocery stores. Urban agriculture serves to mitigate these inequities as open spaces that engage in urban farming are able to provide fresh food for the community, serve as centers for school children to learn about nature, food production, and be safe spaces that deliver environmental benefits such as urban heat mitigation and decreasing stormwater runoff. Many activists even argue that the promise of urban agriculture goes beyond just food production and can be a means to

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 address broader social issues such as dismantling
3 oppression, improving political coalition building,

4 fostering greater gender equality, and as I have

5 heard myself from community gardeners, provide spaces

6 to resolve conflict and de-escalate violence. But,

7 again, for an Administration that claims to care

8 about healthy food, healthy eating, and healthy

9 lifestyle, one of the most obvious and impactful ways

10 to match that lip service with actual action is to

11 | invest in our community gardens and not cut the Green

12 | Thumb program every year, leaving it to the Council

13 to fight to restore it.

The City's zoning rules permit
agricultural activities in almost all of the city's
zones, which presents us with the promising hope that
there are no outright rules that should impede the
growth of this activity. The Council has long
recognized the need for urban agricultural support
and called for various policy proposals to support
their growth. In 2019, the City Council issued the
Growing Food Equity Plan, which in part called for
creating a centralized office focused on facilitating
the growth of urban agriculture, increasing support
for educational programming for farming at gardens,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and determining what vacant plots of land are suitable for agriculture and gardening. Various bills were also enacted by the Council, including Local Law 123 of 2021, which established the Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture and an Urban Agriculture Advisory Board, and Local Law 121 of 2021, which mandates the office prepare an Urban Agriculture Report every five years. As required, the office released its first report in October 2023, which detailed the office's plans to expand city residents' awareness and access to urban agriculture throughout the city, with the focus on how agriculture can be used to improve local food production and distribution, cultivate economic development, and how the city can better measure data regarding the impact of urban agriculture.

I'd like to use this hearing to see how
we as a city can increase support for urban farming
in community gardens and make it less burdensome on
gardeners so they can make the best use of the
gardens and farms they operate, oftentimes on a
volunteer basis. I think we can all agree that there
are ways for the City to make this growing practice
more welcoming for those who seek to operate a garden
or farm in a safe and reasonable way.

2.2

2.3

I'd also like to mention that, separate from community gardens, this Committee will also consider a bill, Intro. 642, sponsored by my Colleague, Kevin Riley. This bill would formally rename Williamsbridge Square, a park located in his District in the Bronx, to Marcus Garvey Square. I thank him for introducing the bill and look forward to hearing any testimony related to it.

Today, we will also be considering a street naming bill, an omnibus bill, from Council Members across the Council who have submitted proposals and bills for street namings in their district, including my own.

I'd like to welcome the Administration and the advocates who have come today to testify. I'd like to thank Council Member Carr for joining us for today's hearing, and now I turn it over to our Committee Counsel, Chris Sartori, to swear in the witnesses.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SARTORI: Good morning.

Would members of the administration please raise your right hand if you're able?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your

Commissioner for Community Outreach and Partnership

24

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.2

2.3

Development for New York City Parks. Our Division oversees many of the teams...

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Assistant

Commissioner, sorry. Do you mind bringing your mic a

little bit closer?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER SMITH: Oh, sure, sure, sure.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_smith:} Assistant \ \mbox{commissioner Smith: Is that} \\ \mbox{better? Okay.}$

Our division oversees many of the teams within our agency that specifically focuses on external engagement and an interaction with New Yorkers, all with the shared goal of improving and sustaining our park system. For example, our division oversees or is overseeing the agency's Let's Green NYC initiative, which encourages New Yorkers to get out into their green spaces and help beautify them through volunteer service projects. Last month, we were thrilled to announce that we officially surpassed our previous record, with over 500,000 people participating as volunteers since the initiative began in 2023. Joining me on today's panel are representatives from two of Community Outreach

2 and Partnership Development's teams, Matt Drury, our

3 Chief of Citywide Legislative Affairs, and to my

4 left, Carlos Martinez, our Chief of New York City

5 Parks Green Thumb, and we're pleased to be here to

6 testify at today's hearing.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When Green Thumb was created in 1978, at a time when New York City was in the midst of significant financial crisis and social upheaval, it was able to assist neighborhoods in revitalizing local spaces and creating new and important community resources. Today, after 45 years since its inception, Green Thumb has become the largest urban community gardening program in the nation, with more than 550 community gardens across the five boroughs and an ever-growing network of more than 20,000 volunteer gardeners and its membership, and its own operations and administrative teams. Green Thumb functions as a mini-park system inside of NYC Parks, providing vital green oases to relax, to connect with nature, and create social connections. Its original mission continues today by providing free programming and material support for its 550-plus community gardens in the city, including educational programs and workshops that cover topics on urban agriculture as

2.2

2.3

well as group development. Community gardens are stewarded by dedicated volunteers, many of whom are long-standing members of their garden and their community, demonstrating the strength of community bonds and what can be achieved when local government works in close partnership with New Yorkers. To help provide a more detailed background information about our Green Thumb program and various efforts we undertake alongside our community garden partner groups to maximize the potential of these truly special gardens throughout the city, I'll now introduce Chief of NYC Parks Green Thumb, Carlos Martinez.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Good morning, Chair

Krishnan and Parks Committee Members. Thank you,

Assistant Commissioner Smith, for your remarks. I am

Carlos Martinez, and I serve as Chief of Green Thumb

at NYC Parks. We are very pleased to be here today to

discuss Green Thumb Community Gardens as well as the

efforts we have undertaken at Green Thumb to support

community gardening and urban agriculture in New York

City.

Given that several new Members have joined both the Council and the Parks Committee in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the last few years, please allow me to quickly provide more information about our community model and our support of urban agriculture initiatives. As Assistant Commissioner Smith mentioned, Green Thumb is the community gardening program of NYC Parks, with a mission of supporting land stewards who care for community gardens and urban farms across the five boroughs while preserving 100 acres of combined open space. Green Thumb was founded in 1978 to support a community gardening movement that had arisen during a time of disinvestment and abandonment of public and private property. Today, we sustain a growing network of over 550 community gardens and tens of thousands of volunteer community gardeners throughout all five boroughs. Green Thumb assists neighborhood volunteers by facilitating free access to public land and providing materials, technical assistance, operational support, public programming, and community engagement. We also serve thousands of New Yorkers who are interested in community-led environmental stewardship through our public programming as well as hundreds of thousands of annual visitors to Green Thumb Gardens who enjoy these cherished public open spaces. Green Thumb

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community gardens are unique public spaces that are stewarded by volunteer New Yorkers which help catalyze sustainable, resilient, healthy, and equitable communities across the city. Gardens thrive through a long-standing partnership between New York City government, community gardeners, and countless partners who collectively care for these spaces in a shared spirit of service. Green Thumb community gardens reflect the history and diversity of New York City's neighborhoods and serves as platforms for neighborhoods beautification, social cohesion, teaching and learning, cultural and artistic expression, food production, health and wellness, environmental justice, sustainability, resilience, and more. Reflecting the unique personalities and needs of our city's neighborhoods, Green Thumb gardens range widely in size and nature and host a variety of activities from botanical horticulture and fruit gardening to passive recreation, special performances and programming, providing opportunities for all New Yorkers to participate and collectively engage with their fellow neighbors.

It is important to note that each garden group determines the use of these places. Our core

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

philosophy is that the local community volunteers that make up the garden groups best understand the needs and hopes of their community. Within this context, we best add value by helping these groups realize their unique vision for each garden. That means that whether gardeners plant ornamental gardens, food gardens, or both, we support them in that undertaking. Thanks to the increased support from City government in recent years, including City Council discretionary capital and expense allocations such as the Parks Equity Initiative and Greener NYC funding, Green Thumb has experienced tremendous growth and an expanded ability to support gardens in addressing decades-long disinvestment. Specifically, this has allowed us to provide new and higher quality materials, to increase the number of annual workshops, to develop new specialized trainings for gardeners, and to work with emerging garden groups to initiate over 25 new community gardens since 2016. In addition, Green Thumb is rebuilding, expanding, and sustaining 50 gardens in 50 public housing developments through an innovative partnership with the New York City Housing Authority. With additional funding and seasonal staff provided by Council

2.2

2.3

discretionary funding since Fiscal Year 2020, we have been able to make unprecedented levels of investment in gardens and expand the ways we serve local gardeners. This includes addressing overdue infrastructure improvements, including the installation of new fencing, sidewalks, accessible pathways, and garden structures, new workshops, and specialized trainings for gardeners, and expanded provision of materials and plant supplies as well as expanded operational support through the addition of new seasonal staff.

NYC Parks Green Thumb has supported urban agriculture since its founding, providing tools, equipment, training, and materials that support food production in gardens. Food production has been deeply rooted in community gardening since gardens began sprouting across the city, and this has been a growing trend in recent years. Green Thumb has adjusted its programming and support accordingly to remain responsive to the needs of our network. We have developed new workshops and trainings on growing food, permitted garden groups to sell their own produce to support on-site efforts, and formed new partnerships to provide additional supplementary

support for food-producing gardens. Today, more than 80 percent of gardens on NYC Parks property grow food in some way, and we estimate that a significant amount of food is grown in community gardens each season, typically for household consumption or local distribution. Some gardens are able to distribute food to their community to supplement healthy food access through farm stands, community-supported agriculture, and food box programs in partnership with regional farms. In 2022, Green Thumb secured more than 46 million dollars in federal funding from the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Plan, to provide critical on-site water supply infrastructure that will ease access for irrigation and maintenance to over 260 food-producing community gardens under the jurisdiction of NYC Parks. This represents the largest capital investment in the history of the Green Thumb program, which will allow thousands of volunteer land stewards involved in urban agriculture across the city to grow more healthy and fresh food while providing vibrant green spaces for all New Yorkers.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

In closing, we want to thank City Council for the opportunity to testify at this hearing, to shine a spotlight on the tireless work of the volunteers that steward community gardens across New York City, and to showcase the work that we have been doing to support them.

You will now be hearing from our colleagues at the New York City Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture, after which we will be happy to answer any questions that you may have. I will now introduce Evan Burr, Deputy Director of the Office of Urban Agriculture.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: Thank you,

Director. Good morning, Chair Krishnan and Members of
the Committee on Parks and Recreation. My name is

Evan Burr. I am the Deputy Director of the Office of
Urban Agriculture. Director Mickie sends her
apologies. She woke up today with a nasty stomach
bug, so she was not able to be in but, anyhow, we are
very happy to be here to testify at this hearing on
important topics of community gardens and support for
urban agriculture.

As the Chair pointed out, we were established by LL 123 of 2021. Mayor's Office of

2 Urban Agriculture, or the MOUA as we like to refer to 3 it, is a newer office, and we are tasked with leading 4 New York City's efforts to increase access to and production of fresh, healthy, and locally grown food, 5 while strengthening climate resiliency and spurring 6 economic activity across our city. Through research, 7 8 policy development, advocacy, and community outreach, MOUA aims to address the climate, health, and food disparities in our natural and built environments. We 10 11 collaborate with other City agencies to advance 12 agriculture and equity on several fronts, including 13 community gardens, urban farms, food systems, 14 workforce development, environmental justice, and 15 building a green, resilient economy. NYC has a long 16 and rich history of urban agriculture and land 17 stewardship. It dates back to the Lenape, the 18 indigenous people of the land that now comprises the 19 five boroughs of our city. Many of the active 20 community gardens across the city arose out of a 21 grassroots movement in which New Yorkers stepped up to reclaim trash-strewn vacant lots and transform 2.2 2.3 them into green spaces for public use and benefit. The majority of these gardens are within the NYC 24 Parks Green Thumb Network now. NYC is now home to a 25

2.2

2.3

breadth of urban agriculture models, such as soilbased community gardens, urban farms, and rooftop gardens as well as non-soil-controlled environment models like aquaponics and hydroponics. Some of these models are built to teach through growing, others have a focus on food production. Some are places of respite and wellness, and quite a few strive to do all these activities and more. All of these models and sites are critical elements in our city landscape.

Infrastructure that extends the season of growing, such as greenhouses or multipurpose buildings to provide educational centers and hubs of learning, are also a part of urban agriculture in New York City. Some great examples that I'd like to share are exciting new agricultural educational sites taking shape now in our city, including the farms at NYCHA expansion, the coming greenhouse facility soon to begin construction at NYCHA Marlborough Houses, and the District 22 Learning Farm in Bergen Beach, Brooklyn, now finishing construction and due to open in October of this year.

Yet, despite this good news, there are many barriers that stakeholders face, and the office

strives to address in our efforts to expand urban agriculture in NYC. Constraints on scaled food production and revenue generation, access to land to steward beyond a volunteer capacity, and lack of urban agriculture infrastructure in all boroughs are all formidable hurdles to urban agriculture stakeholders. In our quest to address these barriers, MOUA has developed initiatives and achieved success in our first year and a half of operation. We created the Reimagining Farm to School in NYC initiative in collaboration with NYC DOE Office of Food and Nutrition Services. We are leveraging New York State and USDA Farm to School grant funding to develop and integrate agricultural education in the classroom and on urban and rural farms for approximately 350-plus NYC public school students across the five boroughs. The students learn about the important life cycle of food and what culturally relevant crops are grown throughout the city straight from urban farmers. The pilot also includes the city's first farmer-producer training, which kicks off this fall to support more small- to mid-scale farm businesses primed to apply for school food procurement contracts. It includes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

funding to refurbish or restore 14 school gardens as well as on-site cooking demos at the urban farms.

MOUA's efforts to foster equitable economic development opportunities in the food and agriculture sector also extend to other initiatives.

For over a year, we have collaborated with NYC Small Business Services to provide free M/WBE certification workshops to ensure eligible small food, farm, and other relevant businesses are getting into the pipeline to earn their M/WBE certifications. NYC is a city of small businesses. Improving the pathways to City-based procurement as well as building a stronger bridge to critical resources for food, climate, and other related agriculture-based businesses is work that our office has undertaken to help meet the City's M/WBE goals and to support emerging

businesses. Another innovative approach that the MOUA has taken to address the intersecting needs of food production and climate resiliency is the development of a rooftop urban agrivoltaics pilot. Agrivoltaics is the co-location of solar energy and agriculture in a model. We believe a pilot that focuses on the co-location of both solar and

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

food production could be one of the next advancements
needed on our rooftops in the city.

We're also researching and exploring ways to develop new license agreements for underutilized City-owned land as we recognize that this is a barrier to be addressed and that Members on the Council as well as urban farmers have identified. These new agreements would be focused on enabling revenue-generated urban agriculture activity, such as scaled food production or climate resiliency, and would allow for profit generation in the selling of urban agriculture products and services.

Our office has also been involved in policy advocacy and legislation, including the Community Gardens Water Bill Exemption, Green Roof Tax Abatement, our Growing Opportunities in Innovative Farming Act, which is introduced in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate, and informing ongoing discussions around farm bill policy. We have also partnered with NYC Department of City Planning over the last year on info sessions, briefings, and advocacy for the City of Yes for Economic Opportunity as these new zoning changes will allow a greater breadth of urban agricultural business development,

2.2

2.3

creating exciting new economic opportunities for the food and agriculture sector in New York City. Great news that this passed the City Council two weeks ago.

We're very much looking forward to partnering with you in the future on urban agriculture policy and projects in your districts, and I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. Thank you. Now we'll take questions if you like.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Sure. First of all, thank you so much, each of you, for your testimony, for your work, for your commitment to both the Green Thumb program, community gardens generally, and of course the state of urban agriculture with the Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture as well. We know the work you do and send my best to Qiana, hope she recovers soon, and please thank her.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: I'll tell her. Thank you, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Yes, for her great work too. As I've said before, you know, I've seen you all in your work and events that Green Thumb has done that I participated in and know well your commitment to this effort and to improve the support

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.2

2.3

2 for community gardens and urban agriculture in our 3 city so thank you all.

I also want to note that we've been joined by Council Member Lee and Council Member Ung virtually.

I'll ask a few questions then turn over to my Colleagues in case they have any questions. My first one was just to set the stage a bit too. Has the Administration and the Parks Department or Green Thumb in particular done any analysis of what neighborhoods are most in need of new urban agricultural sites or community gardens?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: I'm sorry, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: I'll speak up a little bit. Has the Administration or the Green Thumb program done any analysis of what neighborhoods are most in need of urban agriculture or community garden sites?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: Yeah, we haven't engaged in any formal analysis as far as the citywide situation goes, but we plan to embark on that starting that research actually in the fall. We utilize existing urban ag data, which is helpful but

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.2

2.3

not comprehensive from sources such as communitybased research like Farming Concrete and City

Resources and NYC Open Data for neighborhoods across
the city where there's both great need and vast

potential for urban agriculture development. We

understand that Green Thumb has conducted some of
this analysis, so I defer to my friend, Director

Martinez, here on that one.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you. Thank you for the question, Council Member.

At Green Thumb, we have done analysis of the areas served by community gardens, also the areas that lack community gardens where residents are unable to reach these spaces within walking distance, and we're happy to share that information.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Sure, it would be helpful to see it too, absolutely.

Similarly, do we have data on the total number or average amount of food that is harvested each year by urban farms and community gardens?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thanks for the question,

Council Member. That task is almost impossible. At

these spaces, we know and we value the amount of food

that is grown in these spaces, but to measure or to

these are very rough estimates.

2.2

2.3

give you an exact number is very difficult. The spaces are stored by volunteers. We estimate that they grow approximately 600 tons of food but, again,

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: It might be helpful for Green Thumb or the Parks Department generally to find ways to quantify this information a bit more because I do think there's so much food production that's great for our neighborhoods happening at our community gardens and to have a better sense of what that looks like, whether it's through surveys or outreach to the community gardeners themselves and kind of on a local level, what they project is the amount of food they're growing, but it would be helpful to have that information and data, especially as urban farming and agriculture becomes more and more an important part of our climate work overall so hopefully we can try to track that more carefully or in more detail going forward.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thanks for that, Council Member. I just want to also that year, the Community Engagement Team at Green Thumb, we visit the gardens under jurisdiction and we collect some data. We're happy to explore that idea and work with the Mayor's

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Office of Urban Ag how to be more targeted and more specific.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: That's great. What portion of the Parks' budget, Green Thumb or otherwise, is devoted to promoting or supporting urban ag programs in community gardens?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you, Council Member, for that question. We don't discriminate in our budget. Our support to gardeners is with tools, materials and technical assistance. We don't dedicate a specific item or budget item to urban agriculture specifically, but we provide them with the specific tools that go from lumber, soil, compost, landscape fabric, even like with seeds, native plants, seedlings. In addition to that, we provide training for them how to grow or scale up food production so year to year, this type of allocations vary depending on the needs, but we don't have a specific number dedicated for urban agriculture. It's because these spaces are very different. Some spaces are for botanical purposes and they also need soil. Other gardens are dedicated specifically to food production and they also need soil. So we don't discriminate the type of budget items in our budget.

Chairperson Krishnan: Taking a Step back.
I mentioned before in my opening statement to the
Green Thumb funding that we include every year as
part of the budget negotiations. As I've said, it's
unfortunately a what we call in budget language a
one-shot funding where every year we have to fight to
get it renewed. How important is that funding for the
Green Thumb program? What does it fund and how
necessary is it for your operation as a Green Thumb
program?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thanks for the question,
Council Member. The one-shot funding that we receive
every year since Fiscal Year '20 has helped us
provide additional support to our gardeners and that
is like more quality materials, more resources,
expand food production, provide more technical
assistance, more trainings. In addition to that, it
helped us provide 15 seasonal lines which help us
provide more dedicated support to our gardeners.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And those are the seasonal workers that every year are depending on this funding for the continuation of their positions, correct?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Correct. They are attached to that specific one-shot funding.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And would you say that the need for the technical assistance, for the support from seasonal workers for the community gardens has increased or decreased since this funding line started in 2020?

match the needs of our gardeners. Since the pandemic, there is a growing interest about food production, and we're dedicating more effort towards that aspect of the community gardens, and it also coincides with like the Fiscal Year '20 when we start receiving this additional funding so it's our response to that also need of the gardeners to grow more food and we're supporting them through this funding, yes.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: If the proposed cut to the Green Thumb program in the FY25 budget takes effect, how would the Parks Department adapt? What would be the responsibilities on community gardening groups? How would the Parks Department make up for this loss of funding?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you for the question, Council Member. We'll adapt as we have done

in the past. We will use our resources efficiently
and be more strategic about that, but we will need to

4 scale down.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: It would require scaling down, right?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Yes, Council Member.

another question on the budget side. I think you had mentioned in your testimony that the Green Thumb secured 46 million dollars in federal funding from the IRA and so I'm just curious more about what that in particular means. I mean you kind of testified a bit already but just in a bit more detail, what does that funding look like? What will it be used for? How will it help with support for community gardens in the long term?

Member, for the question. Some background. Our community gardeners access water traditionally through the fire hydrant. That means the gardeners need to use hoses that cross sometimes sidewalks, major intersections, sometimes as far as 100 feet away from the garden so having internal on-site infrastructure for water is a game changer.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Currently, we have 60 community gardens in parks

3 property that have that infrastructure so this

4 | funding will allow us to provide to have 260

5 community gardens to benefit from this new

6 infrastructure. We're working with USDA and the

7 Natural Resources Conservation Service in this grant.

8 We're currently in the planning phase and, with this

9 specific funding that we secured, the 46 million, 260

10 gardens are eligible to receive support but with the

11 current funding with the federal government we're

12 able to provide internal water to 100 gardens.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you. I just want to note too that it's incredible to see on the federal side the commitment to our trees, to our community gardens with these kinds of investments, and I think New York City needs to get much more serious much quicker about really matching where the federal government is when it comes to investing in our green spaces because to see the disparity between the federal government's support for these kind of efforts and the City government's lack of support for it is very telling in itself and so, in the larger push for one percent of our budget for Parks, it is really catching up to where other levels of

2.2

2.3

government are frankly doing much more and I think

that does not reflect well on New York City and it's

something that really needs to change in the near

5 future but I just wanted to note that.

Switching gears a bit too, I've heard from community gardens and gardeners too some of the for lack of a better word bureaucratic difficulties between making sure they get the resources from the Parks Department, the licensing agreements and making sure they have the resources they need. It's a common issue in City government whether it's community gardens, plazas, or public spaces. The groups on the ground oftentimes have the most difficulty getting the resources because of a lot of complications in the process that they're not streamlined enough, so what are the biggest concerns that you've heard from community gardens about where they need the most support and resources from Parks in caring for these gardens?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you, Council

Member. As I mentioned before, we do site visits

every year. We visit these gardens with the help of

our Community Engagement Team so we do an assessment

of these sites and we know which gardens need the

2.2

2.3

help right away so we use an equity lens to address their needs and we address the gardens who are historically disinvested and that's where we put the resources there. We have communication with these gardeners and we know their needs and we put the

resources where the needs are more immediate.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Aside from the site visits too, are there regular communications throughout the year with the gardens or a system for communication to kind of hear their concerns evolve over the course of the year?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Yes. We actually started this year State of the Green Thumb Gardens where we have basically a town hall type of event where we invite gardeners to join us in a room and hear from us about our challenges and also like our needs as well but, at the same time, we want to hear from them, like what are their real needs and how we can work together to prioritize our service.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: In 2019 there were some gardening groups that were hesitant to sign a new license agreement with Parks. Did Green Thumb lose any gardening groups as a result of the new agreement or how was the process regarding the recent

2 2023 licensing renewal? How has that process worked?
3 Have there been any changes in the licensing renewal
4 process from 2019 to 2023? Can you speak to that a

5 bit?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Yes. Thank you for the question, Council Member. No garden group had been lost as a result of the new license agreement. I want to clarify the license agreement is with the gardens under Park jurisdiction so, right now, we have 388 of those gardens in our jurisdiction and those gardens signed a license agreement with us. We now started the 2023 licensing agreement. I'm happy to report that all the groups have executed the agreement. We did an extensive outreach to these groups with a lot of education. We did surveys. We did also town halls where we explain the details of the license agreement also in multiple languages and, the license agreement, I'm happy to report that we listened to them and we made some beneficial changes, changing the term of the license from four to ten years. That was a welcome change as well. Also ...

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: The term of the license is now ten years?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Correct, a full decade.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Okay.

We're now telling the garden that these gardens are here to be preserved. In addition to that, we clarified the term about selling agricultural produce just to clarify that also involves they're allowed to sell compost for example. The 2019 license agreement allow them to sell their produce with any revenue to be invested into the garden group and we clarified that. In addition to that, we increase their ability to host fundraisers from two to four because we know there are volunteers, they need to raise funds for the care of the space, so we made those updates and, again, all 388 gardens are in good standing.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Can you just clarify, Carlos, you were saying for composting and also for urban agriculture so they are allowed to sell composting and what are the restrictions on the sale of agriculture? Can you just clarify what the policies are around that for community gardening?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Selling agricultural produce grown on-site in the garden is allowed by the by the license agreement. In this 2023 license agreement, we just made the categories more broadly

2 to allow them to sell eggs, compost, seeds,

3 seedlings, plant material beyond what is produced

4 | from the actual, their harvest for example, so it's

5 more broadly the idea of selling agricultural produce

6 in gardens.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: I see. My final question before turning over to my Colleagues for a bit was just, this is the lawyer side of me, but I think with the license agreements whether it's for community gardens, even frankly separate agency but plazas and things like that, the terms can be very onerous for community groups in particular. The legalese in there, the requirements for insurance policies or indemnification or things like that can really be excessive for groups that have very little in resources and it really transfers a lot of the legal responsibility from the City agencies where I think it should be because the City's resources are much greater from a legal standpoint and otherwise and would transfer them to the community groups that don't have the capacity to really carry those obligations and it could make gardeners very hesitant to sign on to licenses or otherwise and assume so much legal liability they really shouldn't be

assuming in the first place. Has that come up in in
this context? What efforts in the licensing process
have been made by the Parks Department to refine that
to make sure the burden shifting isn't great on the

6 | community gardens?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you for sharing your concern, Council Member. We made an extensive educational process during the 2023 relicensing process where we explained all this and we made it clear. We even made videos, we hosted town halls in multiple languages because we understand that our gardens are stewarded by many folks from different backgrounds and we clarify all this. For example, insurance is not required as part of the process. I'm trying to think about, we'll listen to their concerns. We learned from process back in 2019, and we tried to make it better actually, also the license, and also we created like a Q and A document where all these legalese is now into like plain English, explains every single detail. We also host workshops and trainings about the license agreement in a way to explain every single section of the agreement just to make it easier to digest like what the things are able to do in the space. I have talked

2.2

2.3

to many people in other programs around the country and even around the world and they are amazed to see that this is a very generous agreement and our program is going above and beyond to support gardeners so I'm happy to see that the City is making an intentional effort to support the gardeners.

Again, we provide free resources, free access to land, free access to water, tools, materials, training so I think, and again, trying to match the

efforts that the volunteers provide to this space.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: That's good to hear, and I just urge you all to continue to be conscious of those concerns and how to address it because it's one of the biggest but most important considerations when it comes to public space. If we want it to remain public and we want communities to participate and residents to be a part of it, we can't hold them to the same standards as private entities that have much more in resources or, frankly, City government, and I'm not saying that that's necessarily happening here. I'm just saying that it is a larger, I see it in public space over and over again in our city that where the burdens put on smaller community groups can be so great and it's

2.2

2.3

almost like expecting the same things of them as we'd expect of a private entity or a BID or otherwise that has more resources to manage space and then, if we go down that route, we end up in situations where we're actually decreasing the access to public space and deprioritizing it and so even if the intentions are good that's where in the licensing process can get much more complicated so I just urge you all to continue to be conscious of that to find ways to ease the burden on community gardener groups in particular as they maintain their spaces.

Now I'll turn it over to Council Member Carr who I think had some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you Chair. It's good to see you all. Thanks for being here today.

My home borough has eight community gardens, Staten Island, and one of them is in my District and then if I go to the Brooklyn side of my District and I look at, not just the little area that I have but the entire sort of southwest Brooklyn area, there's none, and that's not surprising because on both sides of the bridge of my District the housing typology permits people to garden at home.

2.2

2.3

They have that capacity and so my focus here in this conversation is really in NYCHA facilities. The one community garden I have is at the South Beach Houses, a NYCHA facility, and I have a second NYCHA facility in my District, the Berry Homes, which does not have one so I guess what I'm interested in hearing from you is how does the partnership with NYCHA work? Is there a preference with working with tenant associations as your local community partner or can it just be a resident or group of residents separate and apart from that. What could be the process and partnership that we can continue to grow between yourselves and NYCHA as it pertains to the Berry Homes?

Member, for the question. Yes, we understand the difference in numbers about community gardens. We want to support that in Staten Island as well. I'm glad that you are aware of the South Beach Community Garden. We're partners with them. This is part of the partnership between NYC Parks Green Thumb and NYCHA. The idea behind this partnership is like to start thinking about being creative and how we can expand our support. South Beach Community Garden is an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

eligible garden because it is accessible from the sidewalk. It functions as a public space so we're expanding the definition of public space in collaboration with NYCHA. We're taking a closer look to continue expanding in Staten Island, but I'm happy to work with your office about identifying potential sites as well. I want to be mindful that our model is community-driven. We go where there's a community group ready to take a community garden responsibility. It's a lot of work here behind the scenes. It's a year-round work, but we're always happy to explore initiatives. For example, we have a partnership memorandum of agreement with NYPD in Hill Street Community Garden is under jurisdiction of NYPD. There's a plan for a future capital project there, but they don't have a use there so, in the interim, where we plan a community garden there and it's been functioning very well since 2016, 2017, and we want to continue working with those type of partnership with other City agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: No, I appreciate that and absolutely you need a willing partner on the ground right who's going to be working with, you and I think I just wanted to make the point that I think

borough equity in this case is not trying to get us from eight to 100 community gardens but rather you know being very targeted and invested in particular places where, again, the housing typology does not permit people to garden at home so to speak, right, and I think NYCHA facilities, as it pertains to Staten Island, is where we should look. In addition to that, as far as urban farming is concerned, is the mission of the office particular to just helping private actors kind of access credits and whatnot at the federal level in order to bring about urban agriculture? We have a green roof in my District at the Teleport thanks to the Nicotra's efforts. Is there a look at publicly owned spaces and what role they could play in the urban agriculture field because there's a couple of places in Staten Island that I think we could have that conversation about how there could be significant food growth there and maybe that flows into our pantries, maybe that flows into a marketplace, what have you. I think there's a lot of thought we could put into that, but I'd just love to know like what you think the limits are of your mandate.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: I'm sorry, Council
Member. What was the question?

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: The question was about the mandate of MOUA, right?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: Yeah.

Specific to helping private actors set up urban agriculture opportunities or are you actively engaged with what City land, public land, public spaces could be used in that mission as well, and do you think there's opportunities for you to get funding streams that are available to private actors through taxes and credits for public spaces as well. Is that something that's in your bandwidth, something that you've had some experience with, thought about? You mentioned that there's an analysis that's forthcoming later this year. Is public space part of that analysis?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: Yeah, it certainly is. This is actually something that we discuss actually all the time, anywhere from finding additional funding streams but also the innovative licensing agreements that I actually refer to in the statement to where certain City-owned land parcels

I'd love to do that. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you, Council Member Carr. Council Member Lee.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Thank you. Just a few questions. I am not a lawyer so I will be putting on my social worker hat because I do think that these programs are great just for the well-being of all folks in the community in addition to obviously providing food and other benefits to the community so just a few questions. Just to clarify the point on the licensing, so if a group, let's just say, came together and said we want a community garden in our space. Is that something or do they need the licensing? I wasn't sure about that piece.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thanks for the question, Council Member Lee. I think we can talk about two

2 different categories of gardens. We have the gardens

3 that are under the jurisdiction of Parks Department,

4 388 of those. They sign a license agreement with us.

5 Then we have 175 gardens in different jurisdictions.

6 That would be State or other City agencies, and those

7 gardens register with us to receive support from us.

That is the difference between those two types of

gardens.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay, awesome. Do you also have a breakdown by borough of where they are, and is it in places where there are food deserts, let's just say, so that perhaps we can do more green growing and naturally build those fresh foods into the neighborhoods?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thanks for that question,
Council Member. Yes, we have a breakdown by borough.
These community gardens, most of them were started
back in the '70s when we had a lot of disinvestment
and also matches where we have food deserts, and
actually those gardens are producing food and we
provide extra support to those gardens because
there's a historic disinvestment in those
neighborhoods so we provide targeted support to
those.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay, awesome. Then, 3 when I ran my former non-profit organization, it was 4 a Korean-Asian-specific organization. We had two senior centers, and it was the most interesting case we had to get involved in because there were seniors 6 7 that were part of a community garden in Flushing, and we had to be mediators and intervene because there 8 were language barriers, and what was happening is that the seniors, and this showcases the importance 10 11 of the community gardens, they really took ownership 12 but they took ownership to like the 100th level, 13 right, so they started growing their foods and then selling them privately and all these things and then 14 15 they got into arguments with each other saying no, 16 this is my land, this is not your land, and we had to 17 come in because there was a language barrier and 18 explain no, this is not either of your land, this is 19 public property, right, but it just speaks and goes 20 to the point that I think especially older adults and 21 seniors in New York City, they thrive on these types 2.2 of programs, and so I just wanted to know if you had 2.3 any sort of partnerships with DFTA, let's just say, to have these Green Thumb type programs that are more 24 geared towards older adults and seniors because I 25

2.2

2.3

think we saw, especially during COVID and all of that hell, it was important for their mental well-being to

4 | just be outside and be productive.

Member, for the question. Yes, many of these community gardens are cared by seniors, and we love what they're doing for all these years, or even decades. Talking about conflict, that's another thing that we do in Green Thumb. We provide conflict resolution as well. We don't have a specific partnership with a senior program or Department of Aging, for example, but we direct into specific resources. Many of these gardeners who care for these spaces, they need the extra support physically, and that's where we fill the gap sometimes so building raised beds, delivery materials, that's where we help them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay, awesome. Sorry, one more question if I may. Just going along the senior them, have you looked at also partnering, is there room for public/private partnership meaning there are some NORCs I have in my District that have a ton of green spaces because they're in these garden apartment style co-ops, and so let's just say they

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 came to you and said hey, our Board wants to use a

3 certain piece of our property to do this community

4 garden through the NORC, which is funded through

5 | DFTA, is there a way to have a partnership there

6 where they can utilize some of the support resources

7 | like you're talking about but then do it on sort of

8 private property if you will, like that's shared by a

9 | cohort I guess you could say?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you for sharing that idea, Council Member. Yes, we even support gardens that are in private property.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Our support is basically providing the materials and technical assistance. We're not the property managers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Right.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: We'll defer to them to manage the property, but we'll provide that assistance. We're not able to provide labor in those spaces, but we can provide free resources, and we are all the time being creative, working with other City agencies, State agencies, also with NYCHA, private property, even land trust sites, so we provide that type of support.

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 53
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. That's great
3	because some of these co-op areas have like 3,000
4	units that expand over miles in my District, like on
5	one Board, and so they have lots of young families,
6	kids, so I just feel like this would be a great
7	opportunity to teach them about food, learning,
8	growing, all of those things so okay.
9	CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you, Council
10	Member. I'm happy to follow up with your office.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thanks so much,

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thanks so much,

Council Member Lee, for the good questions. Social

workers' questions are always better than a lawyer's

question.

2.2

Actually, following up on Council Member
Lee's questions too, I couldn't quite get a clear
answer so does the Administration or does Parks have
data on the number of sites on City-owned land that
are engaged in urban agriculture practices?

 $\label{eq:chief_martinez: Yes, we have all that $$ $ \text{data, Council Member.} $$

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Okay. It would be good, I think Council Member Lee's question also about the mental health impact for seniors and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

otherwise too, I think if there are ways for Green Thumb to quantify as best as possible some of these things, like publicize more some of things like what are City-owned sites that have urban agriculture, what are neighborhoods that are both food deserts and lack community gardens or need more sites for urban agriculture, what are the mental health impacts, what do seniors, for example, or our youth report about how they feel being in community gardens of, even as I mentioned before, I know there's one community garden in the South Bronx too that has served as a violence interrupter space for rival gangs as well and for conflict de-escalation. I just think that there are such great ways to show how our community gardens are so useful and it's not just about having a green space but it connects to all of these different other social impacts that we really care about, especially in communities that need it. I think it'd be great for Parks to have more data and clear ways to communicate it. Not a criticism by any means but just more to say I think that there is much more attention that can be called to community gardens and how important they are, and I think you all have some good data there to show that and make

2.2

2.3

those connections so it's something to definitely

consider and maybe look forward to having some maps

or other reports that, even at the next hearing that

5 we do on this, we could review as well.

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you, Council

Member, for that idea. We have some of those

analyses. Happy to share with you, but the other

ideas, we're happy to work with that and maybe in

collaboration with the Mayor's Office of Urban Ag.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Yeah, in fact, the two agencies working together I think would be a great collaboration there.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: That's actually fully on the radar. We actually mentioned it in our first Urban Ag report that came out in October '23, and we're sort of starting to lay the groundwork for this actually, data collection, trying to quantify things like that, mental health benefits and things like that. Easier said than done, but we're going to try.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: That's great to hear. If you can share that with the Council too, that would be really helpful because we can also help to publicize it.

Carlos, you had mentioned this before,
but this is my last couple of questions. How has the
Mayor's Office of Urban Agriculture and Green Thumb
engaged with other jurisdictions to learn about and
adapt to best practices related to community gardens,
the management of gardens, working with community
groups on the ground or urban agriculture? What are
you seeing from other jurisdictions across the
country?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BURR: We have engaged with urban agriculture leaders in cities across the country and internationally in the spirit of exchanging ideas and best practices, sharing inhibitions and brainstorming policy prescriptions.

Executive Director Qiana Mickie has a regular meeting with an urban agriculture directors' group actually comprised of urban agriculture directors from around the country, which is great. She is also regarded as a national expert and is frequently requested to share best practices at urban agriculture and food systems events and conferences and symposiums and so forth but, yeah, there are all kinds of ideas that are actually happening all across the country and internationally as well. Different cities are doing

different things. We're trying to benefit from that. Some of the land use agreements that we've seen are actually interesting, not ready to quite share that yet but happy to have a deeper conversation again with you about this, actually with the Director involved, but she's being doing this pretty consistently since the start of the Office so...

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: (INAUDIBLE) Qiana's a leader in this work, and I've been fortunate to be able to learn from her so definitely very excited to talk more.

How about on the community gardens side?

CHIEF MARTINEZ: Thank you, Council

Member, for that. I'm proud to say that we're the

largest and the strongest community gardening program

in the nation. I would even dare to say around the

world. Many people from other countries, from Japan,

from Europe, from Latin America, come here to see our

program, and they're always amazed about our system

and our model and our philosophy, but we always learn

from them in that exchange, so we're in constant

communication with them. We are also part of the

American Community Gardening Association as well and

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Today is about

24

25

the street co-naming?

3 street co-naming, yep.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: If you need a

minute, I can ask another... 6

> COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: No, I'll ask because I have to run back again for my Health.

Good morning, and thank you, Chair, for the opportunity for me to ask a question.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: We need more community gardens in City Hall so we can all rest and relax too.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Yeah, we don't have no time to rest. I have about three different things going at once.

I'm asking for the support for the street co-naming because Detective Joe Calabrese lived in Marine Park, that's my constituency. Detective Calabrese was a dedicated member of the NYPD, and that's the reason I had to rush here to come and ask for the support. He's a beloved figure in our community. His service and the positive impact he made deserve to be remembered so co-naming the street is an honor to serve lasting tribute to his legacy

and a reminder of the sacrifices made by those in law enforcement which we need to keep up and making sure that their names are never forgotten for the work they have done for our community. It will also highlight the importance of mental healthcare for all, especially our first responders who face immense pressure every day so I'm asking not only the opportunity to name the street, I know some folks will always wonder what he could have been, but it is time for us to take mental health seriously, if any time, so I thank you, Chair, for giving me the opportunity so I'll ask everybody to support because it's important to keep his name high. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you so much,

Council Member, for your moving words and look

forward to voting on your bill and all the others

right after this hearing is over too so thank you,

Council Member.

All right. I want to thank this panel so much for your testimony, for answering the questions, and look forward to our continued work together to highlight the importance of community gardens. Thank you all so much. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

reminders.

We will now move on to public testimony.

Before doing so, I just need to read out a few

I now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic which is about community gardens in our city as well as street namings.

I would now have the honor to call up

Anna-Marie Vallone as well as Perry Vallone to give
their testimony.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 PERRY VALLONE: Hello, Chair. This is for 3 the City street renaming?

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Yes.

PERRY VALLONE: I'm here for moral support.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Good morning.

ANNA-MARIE VALLONE: Hi, Chair. How are you? Thank you, Chair Krishan and Council Members. Thanks for giving us the opportunity to speak today and thank you for considering the co-naming of 157th Street and 32nd Avenue right by Bowne Park Paul A. Vallone Way. I'm just going to take two minutes just to say a few words about my husband. Anyone that knew Paul knew he wanted to make our community the best place he could. He worked tirelessly and was devoted to advocate for better quality of life. He worked on scholarships for students for the community. He was part of a tree giveaway to make our spaces more green. He instituted holiday parades. He brought back fireworks celebrations and all family events. He mentored, inspired, and built relationships. He served on boards and was a leader. In everything he did, everyone knows he did with his heart, his soul, and his big smile. This street, 157th and 32nd Avenue

7

2 by Bowne Park, is so meaningful to our family.

3 Outside of him spearheading a 10-year project for

4 | improvements to the park, it's several blocks from

5 our home. We raised our children there. With many

6 visits during the week, the kids ran around the pond,

they played in the playground, they sat on the famous

8 concrete turtle, and he even coached and had

9 practices for his soccer team there. He loved the

10 community. He loved community service, and he's lived

11 his life to serve. This co-naming is an honor and

12 would be in honor of his eight years in Council and

13 | two years of Deputy Commissioner. I want to thank you

14 for recognizing his achievements, working with him

15 and remembering him. My husband passed away almost

16 | five months ago. He did so much in his short time. He

17 | leaves behind a legacy and, for that, I am thankful

18 and proud. Thank you.

19 PAUL VALLONE: Thank you, Chair. On behalf

20 of my father and the whole Vallone family, thank you

21 for the honor.

25

22 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: I just want to say

23 | first it's an honor to be able to consider as our

24 City Council a street naming for Paul Vallone. Our

hearts, Anna-Marie, of the entire City Council are

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So thank you all so} \\ \mbox{much and all our love to you all too.}$

ANNA-MARIE VALLONE: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you. We'll

now call up Eric Goldstein, Anna Sacks, and Brandon

Pachuca.

Go ahead, Eric.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Eric Goldstein, New York City Environment Director at the Natural Resources Defense Council, NRDC, a long-time supporter of Parks and community gardens. We advocated for many years for the 1 percent for Parks that you have been championing. We represented community groups in the 1990s fight when Mayor Giuliani wanted to destroy so many of our community

that can serve as natural fertilizer and soil

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

enhancer, but the Parks Department is failing its responsibilities to advance this essential strategy. First, they're violating the City's recycling law that requires every City agency that generates a significant amount of yard waste to compost those materials. The Department has for years ignored this statutory directive. Second, the Department is evicting the Big Reuse community processing operation from its small but spectacular Long Island City location under the 59th Street bridge. Big Reuse is well-run, efficient, nationally recognized non-profit community composting group that is converting waste into finished compost. It produces nutrient-rich soil amendment that goes back to the community and supports urban agriculture. It actually assists the Parks Department, as you know, by accepting from western facilities Parks and taking their leaf and yard waste, saving the Department from having to set up its own composting. NRDC and all the other advocates here love our city's parks. We appreciate the good work of the Parks Department workers, but this move to evict Big Reuse as of June 30th is inexplicable, unwise, and unnecessary. It brings shame on Parks Department Commissioner Sue Donoghue

2.2

2.3

and her senior staff. Shame, and if that decision is not reversed, it will become a permanent blot, a stain that cannot be removed, on the proud history of this Department and its current leadership. We thank you for all you are doing and have done to preserve all of our community gardens and community composting and Big Reuse in particular.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thanks for your testimony, Eric, and for highlighting the importance of Big Reuse's work and the importance of making sure that the Parks Department finds space for them and protects their operations. Thank you.

ANNA SACKS: Thank you for having this hearing. I also wanted to talk about Big Reuse and community composting in general. Community composting belongs in Parks. It makes sense. Parks produces a huge amount of leaf and yard waste, and where does that go? Right now, for the most part, it goes to landfills and incinerators. Instead, it could be processed on-site and turned into compost that is then used to nurture the Parks own land. That's the type of climate-local solutions that we should be aiming for as a City. On one level, this is undoing this proven climate solution to create a parking lot,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which according to AAA New York and the Center for Zero Waste Design is non-necessary. There is an existing parking lot that can be utilized. On the other hand, there is this idea of democracy and what type of city we want to build, and I understand that it's the Parks land and I also understand it's our land and it's our city and we get to decide how we use our land, and it seems like it's undermining our local democracy the way in which the Parks Department has been operating, and I love the Parks Department, and it pains me to see that there isn't this dialogue and there isn't this maturity to be able to have difficult conversations and come to the table and find solutions that work. There is broad support for Big Reuse from the Queens Borough President, 18 Council Members, 115 community organizations. There have been multiple Parks own community input meetings where universally people support it in NYCHA and otherwise Big Reuse staying there. Over 3,000 letters were sent to the Adams' Administration and, yet, nothing seems to be enough, and it's been, for me personally, disheartening to see that, like I love our democracy, I love Parks, I love the City, and I want to be able to get to a better solution, and it's

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 been sad to see that the Parks Department and its

3 current leadership, or the Adams' Administration, I'm

4 not sure who it's coming from, is unwilling to engage

5 with the local community so thank you for this

6 hearing, and I really am looking forward to a

7 solution that benefits all because that's possible.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you.

BRANDON PACHUCA: Thank you, Chairman Krishnan, and Members of this Committee on Parks and Recreation for the opportunity to submit testimony. My name is Brandon Pachuca. I'm a resident of the Lower East Side, and I work in architecture and urban planning as an urban data scientist and (INAUDIBLE) engineer, focused on evaluating how our urban environment can become more sustainable. Community gardens such as Elizabeth Street Garden play a vital role in our urban environment. They provide communities with access to quality green space, daylight, help mitigate water runoff, lower urban heat island effect, and foster a sense of community. These aspects align with the Committee's mission on Parks and Recreation underlying the importance of preserving such green infrastructure. I volunteer and

garden at the Elizabeth Street Garden, an

Office, HPD, and the Administration. Instead of

working with us to find a viable solution, the City

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

insists on fighting in court and spending thousands in taxpayer dollars. I call upon this Committee and the City of New York to formally recognize community gardens as essential cornerstones of communities that stitch together the urban fabric, reject the notion that we can only have more housing or green space, restore the 2.6 million funding for Green Thumb, and support for our mission for 1 percent of the NYC Fiscal budget for Department of Parks and Recreation, embrace Elizabeth Street Garden and work with us to find a solution to meet our housing goals and preserve a one-of-a-kind garden. Come by the garden yourself with your friends, family, or loved ones to see how a community garden can give people a place to thrive. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you for your testimony. Thank you to this panel for your testimony.

I want to mention also Council Member Julie Menin is on virtually.

Now, I'd like to call up Iyeshima Harris, Chrissy Word, and Joseph Reiver.

Iyeshima, you may start.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 IYESHIMA HARRIS: Thank you. Can you hear 3 me well? I'll try to use my outer voice.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Very nice to see you.

IYESHIMA HARRIS-OUEDRAOGO: Thank you to Chairman Krishnan and Members of the Committee on Parks and Rec for the opportunity to provide this testimony today. My name is Iyeshima Harris-Ouedraogo, and I am the Director of Advocacy and Programs at Green Guerillas. Green Guerillas is a non-profit organization that supports community gardeners and activates youth engaged in food justice across the city since 1973. As an organizer of the Green Guerillas Council of Gardeners, a dynamic coalition uniting community gardeners across New York City, I want to highlight several crucial recommendations for advancing a sustainable and equitable food system. Oh, it wasn't on. Oh, wow. A sustainable and equitable food system in our city. Recent 10 percent New York City budget cuts, particularly to the Department of Parks and Recreation, have severely affected New York City Green Thumb. This essential agency has seen a significant reduction in its budget, hindering its

today but decided very quickly that that was a bad

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

decision because this is a very, very important issue.

City Parks Foundation is an organization dedicated to enriching and sustaining our urban green spaces for the benefit of all New Yorkers. Today, I would like to highlight the significant impact of our Learning Gardens Program and advocate for the continued support and expansion of community gardens across New York City. City Parks Foundation's Learning Gardens Program operates in multiple neighborhoods across the city, primarily in Bronx, Queens, and Brooklyn, engaging youth, offering afterschool and summer sessions, that engage school children in hands-on community garden activities and STEM learning and primarily growing food, and these are children that are coming from historically marginalized neighborhoods that really need these community green spaces. Children learn the fundamentals of gardening from planting seeds to harvesting crops to discovering the crucial role of pollinators such as bees and butterflies. We engage paid high school and college interns to assist to produce upwards of 2,000 pounds of fruits and vegetables each year. We also introduce children to

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the diverse cultural and artistic uses of various plants, enriching their cultural awareness and creative expression. This is especially important in a city that is home to diverse immigrant communities and whose gardens reflect that makeup. But we know that the benefits of community gardens extend beyond the educational. They provide a vital resource for local communities by offering low-cost and free fresh produce. They help combat urban heat islands, improve air quality, and support local biodiversity. Until the Administration's budget cuts this year, they provided critical composting services to communities, the environmental benefits of which are welldocumented and spoken of today. City Parks Foundation works closely with New York City Green Thumb and many other community gardening groups including Green Guerillas and volunteers who manage an incredible network across the city. These organizations and thousands of community gardeners they support provide invaluable services to our green spaces. Reduced funding can result in fewer resources and staff such as the Community Engagement Coordinators who provide key community education and mediation in the most democratic spaces in our city. For the first time in

JOSEPH REIVER: My name is Joseph Reiver.

I'm the Executive Director of Elizabeth Street Garden

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and, on behalf of Elizabeth Street Garden, I thank you and your Committee Members for holding this oversight hearing on the importance of New York City's community gardens. In seeking to better support community gardens, the Council must prioritize protecting existing gardens that are at risk of being destroyed. Elizabeth Street Garden is a roughly 20,000-square foot community sculpture garden cherished by many people from the surrounding neighborhood as well as people from around the city and the world. Currently, over 150,000 people visit the garden annually. The volunteer-based non-profit of the same name manages the space, offering hundreds of free public programs for the community throughout the year, including wellness, live music, poetry, movies, screenings, and educational events from the local public schools, like PS-130. The City, specifically the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, is currently seeking to destroy the garden to build 123 units of senior affordable housing, affordable for 60 years, luxury ground floor retail, and over 11,000 square feet of office space for one of the development partners. In our efforts to preserve the garden, we are seeking a solution

creating any new community garden are slim to none.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

If the above knowledge were formally recognized by this Council and the City, the City would reject any false choice of housing versus green space and embrace gardens like Elizabeth Street Garden along with a solution that achieves up to five times the amount of housing at alternative underutilized sites. Instead, the City is currently spending thousands of taxpayer dollars to fight the community in court and perpetuate misleading narratives about the garden. The political case surrounding Elizabeth Street Garden is a prime example of the failure of the City government to achieve viable solutions that address climate change, our public spaces, and the housing crisis without any loss to the people of New York. The case of Elizabeth Street Garden, itself, is a prime example of how the people of this city can maintain and govern vital public space iconic to New York at zero-dollar cost to the City. I call upon this Committee and the City of New York to formally recognize community gardens as essential cornerstones of communities that stitch together the urban fabric, reject the false choice of green space versus housing, and restore the 2.6 million in funding for Green Thumb and support the mission of at least, at

2	the very least, 1 percent of the New York Fiscal
3	budget to the New York Department of Parks and
4	Recreation. A copy of our proposal, Elizabeth Street
5	Garden's proposal, to Mayor Eric Adams is attached to
6	this testimony, and it includes a report to save the
7	garden as a conservative land trust at zero-dollar
8	cost. I encourage this Committee to take a look at it
9	because it shows how community gardens can actually
10	become self-sustainable and financially self-
11	sustainable and independent from City budget while
12	also having support from the City obviously. That
13	report has a lot of financial information and shows
14	how Elizabeth Street Garden has achieved this under
15	duress from trying to be destroyed by the City. Thank
16	you, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you for your testimony and for including those materials too.

Next, we'll call up Clare Miflin, Sherrise Palomino, and Justin Green.

You may start.

CLARE MIFLIN: Thank you. I'm Clare

Miflin from the Center for Zero Waste Design. I agree

with everything you said about the need to support

community gardens, which are also being hit by the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

defunding of community compost. New York City's own 2021 Food Forward Plan has an initiative to bolster community-owned waste management initiatives stating that the City will identify and address barriers to community composting, not defund it. It is also City Law that Parks yard waste must be separated in composting, but very little is. Until recently, it was from Western Queens where Parks yard waste and food scraps went to Big Reuse were made into compost for gardens, parks, and street trees. Big Reuse needs to stay. I'm an architect. I've done construction administration for Parks, Bushwick Inlet Park in Williamsburg I did. I know Parks can accommodate construction staging on the neighboring lot or on the site or on a sliver of Big Reuse's site. There's no choice here. The new park can be built. Big Reuse can stay. Parks vehicles can be parked. There's enough space. The City needs to scale this model up citywide as this is nutritious compost, free of plastics and, if New York City wants healthy soils in its parks and street trees, it needs about 50 times as much. We've calculated how much would be needed to make the soil citywide green spaces healthy, and they need to be healthy. I don't know if you've been upstate Harriman

or Minnewaska State Park recently, but the trees have no leaves. There's been an outbreak of spongy moth caterpillars, which have decimated and eaten all the leaves. If the trees are healthy, if they get enough moisture later in the year, they could grow new leaves and survive. This could happen in the city. We need our street trees to be healthy, and compost can store six times its weight in stormwater, keeping that water there, keeping the trees healthy. It's also a fundamental question of what kind of city do we want New York City to be. Do we want to support community gardens and composters which can provide so many volunteers to support street trees and parks and bring thousands of inspirational green jobs to maintain green infrastructure? Do we want to educate and engage and inspire New Yorkers to separate their food scraps and put them to good use? Do we want a green, vital, and resilient city that uplifts community initiatives? Or do we want a city where nobody knows where their food comes from, where their food scraps go to, where we rely on centralized green infrastructure and just give out fines if New Yorkers do the wrong thing? Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you for your 3 testimony.

Justin.

JUSTIN GREEN: Hi, Chair. Thanks so much for having this hearing and your support for our community composting site and for community composting in general. I really appreciate the Council's support for our efforts and, frankly, super frustrated with Parks and the City for not listening to elected officials as other supporters have noted. It was supported by the community input meetings that Parks had, two community input meetings came back unanimous in the design for the new Queensbridge Baby Park that we should remain, literally unanimous, like every breakout group came back in support of us remaining. Parks is ignoring that community input meetings that they organized. In addition to both Community Boards, Community Board 1, Community Board 2, all elected officials, 3,000 letters, all asking for us to remain on site. The City invested over half a million dollars in building out our community composting site. It is looked at as a leader and a model for the nation. I mean it's great that the City and Green Thumb have become models for community

2 gardening, but there is a real opportunity and we 3 have been a model and a leader in the City and the 4 nation for community composting. We started in 5 community gardens to address the soil in those community gardens. We came out of community gardens. 6 7 Community composting came out of the effort to improve soils in Two Coves and Queens, Brooklyn 8 Grange. That's where we started our efforts, and we started to help grow food for the city, for residents 10 11 with the waste we were generating and burdening other 12 communities with. So community composting, our site, 13 are a real integral part of urban agriculture, and 14 we've helped both East New York Farms, we've helped 15 dozens of community gardens with their composting 16 sites by providing compost, assisting them with 17 setting up composting setups and providing browns and 18 picking up food scraps so assisting in their 19 composting efforts, which are all based out of that 20 composting site. If we lose that, community gardens 21 will lose a huge support for their composting 2.2 efforts, which as we know, New York City soils need 2.3 compost, they need that amendment, and they will lose that when we lose our site, especially Western Queens 24 which has been so dependent and so real supportive of 25

2.2

2.3

time.

us being there so we ask. Again, I know you've been working with Parks, but it brings up, just for one more second, I think it brings up an issue about Parks and their lack of taking public input seriously and taking elected officials seriously and it brings up a process question there for me about how decisions should be made on our space, our community spaces, and how we can actually have real input on

how those spaces are used. Thanks so much for your

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you for your testimony and for Big Reuse's work, and we will continue to push as a Council, as we've been doing, in support of you all too, and I appreciate the work that you do.

JUSTIN GREEN: Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Sherrise.

SHERRISE PALOMINO: Good afternoon. My
name is Sherrise Palomino, and I'm the Director of
Advocacy and Programs at New Yorkers for Parks. We
are a founding member of the Play Fair for Parks
Coalition, which includes over 400 organizations from
across the city. Thank you, Chair Krishnan, for this
timely hearing. I'm grateful for the opportunity to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

address the significance of community gardens and urban agriculture in New York City.

We are navigating the challenges posted by Mayor Adams' Executive Budget that reduces New York City Parks' budget by 55 million dollars, putting these vital spaces at risk. Community gardens and urban agriculture initiatives serve as vital spaces for community engagement, social interaction, and education. Community gardens contribute to the urban ecosystem in numerous ways. They enhance biodiversity by providing habits for various pollinators, birds, and beneficial insects. These gardens help in reducing urban heat islands, mitigating air pollution, and managing stormwater runoff through natural absorption. By transforming vacant lots into vibrant green spaces, community gardens contribute to the beautification and ecological balance of our city. There is an urgent need to reverse the hiring freeze and increase staffing. Mayor Adams' budget reduces the agency's ability to deliver core services that are critical to equitable access to these vital spaces. I urge the City Council to consider the reduction of the Executive Budget's impact, not just on staffing but

2.2

2.3

come. Thank you.

the maintenance of community gardens and our larger

Park system along with the impacts on environmental

sustainability. The crises of the last few years have

shown us that we are overdue for a transformative

investment in our Parks system. By investing in our

Parks system and restoring the 55 million dollars in

cuts and pushing to set us on a path to 1 percent of

the City budget for Parks, we can ensure a safer,

healthier, and more vibrant city for generations to

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thanks so much. Thanks to you all for your testimony.

Now, we'll call up Anneliese Zausner-Mannes and Sharon Brown.

You may start.

ANNELIESE ZAUSNER-MANNES: My name is

Anneliese Zausner-Mannes. I co-founded Nurture BK

when the City shut down compost collection during

COVID so District 40, shoutout Council Member Rita

Joseph. First, I guess as a community organizer, I

want to thank you, Council Member Krishna, for what

you said about advocating for smaller community

groups to have more access, distinguishing resources,

and licensing agreements because that is a huge item

25

2 that scares and weans people away from coming 3 together. I'm the daughter of immigrants and 4 definitely language barriers. There are a lot of different reasons that people are blocked so I 5 appreciate that. I also want to take a moment and 6 7 celebrate the people who are stewarding this land. 8 Many people work in community spaces for free. They're doing this work in community, and there is no reward except taking care and stewarding the land. 10 11 When we talk about parks and when we talk about trees and vegetation, we can't do so without thinking about 12 13 the cycle of life and thinking about what that means 14 for our urban landscape and the health of our soil. 15 When Mr. Burr spoke about naturally grown foods, 16 specifically community gardens and urban farms, he did not speak about the growing amount of plastics 17 18 that we're finding in our food, and so much so I 19 think Harvard a few years back released a study that 20 it's in our bodies, right. Babies are now being born 21 with microplastics. This is a way that PFAS and waste 2.2 is finding its way into our soil. This is especially 2.3 poignant when we're talking about equity and access, which you also spoke to, in terms of the 24

neighborhoods and keeping this land as clean as

possible, truly clean. I'm here advocating for community composting, not only to stay for Big Reuse to obviously stay in their space as a huge steward of that land, collecting compost from all over, multiple boroughs, and also collecting overages. I'm not sure if we're familiar with the fact that a lot community gardens don't have space to process everything themselves, and Big Reuse would go around to these community gardens and pick up the things that they could not process themselves, which is kind of amazing, and bring it here which kept it circular. I'm going to just condense and say I believe I was told the statistic that the most expensive part of waste is the transportation, and I think that's really, for me, what's most, and Clare spoke about it, Eric, I feel like everyone who's spoken to this idea of a circular system and what it means for our city to have access in a central location so I'm inviting Parks to seriously consider not only allowing Big Reuse to continue composting under the Queensbridge, but to expand access points across the city so that non-profits like Big Reuse that pick up overages are able to keep the process local,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

the importance of having equitable access to nature

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

spaces for better mental health outcomes, holistic nervous system regulation, and improved soul wellness. Soul wellness refers to a lifestyle where a person decides to place joy at the center of their lives through the adaptation of practices, habits, and mind structures in order to live more fulfilling and healthy and robust lives. Having access to equitable green and blue spaces impacts soul wellness, and having that access means having access to holistic resources to increase self-awareness, change mental health outcomes, and to deepen the connection with nature, which exponentially increases the level of care for the land that we live on. This access also directly influences the way in which we deal with trauma, how we have avenues for selfexpression, and how one may handle the dualities of life along with how we can use nature to heal ourselves and regulate our nervous system so we can make well-informed, levelheaded, calm, and fluid decisions on a consistent basis. At the Garden by the Bay, we actually grow certain herbs such as mint and rosemary, whose essence brings feelings of happiness and helps relieve mental and emotional stress. These plants can be used in meditation practices, to spark

have seen throughout history...

2.2

2.3

reflection, build peace and comfort of self which is crucial to building upon the relationship with nature. Essentially having outlets for ways to positive transmute the various negative implications of the social, economic, emotional, and environmental well-being of generationally overlooked and marginalized people is more than necessary for urban areas, especially when there is more concrete than there are trees or accessible bodies of water. We

 $\label{eq:SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired.} \\$ Thank you.

of life dimensions affect quality of life. Recently,
I found one of my friends was murdered by her
children's father which caused grief and all other
aspects associated with that to arise to the surface.
I know I needed to allow myself to go through the
process and to go through the stages of grief so I
can honor my friend and still be a functioning member
of society. I headed to the water but, when I got
there, the entrance at Beach 47 of the Boardwalk, I
was met with a locked gate with signage referring to
protecting the birds. It wasn't like that a few weeks

2 FRANCINE NOLAN-ROGERS: Okay. Good 3 afternoon. Thank you to Chairman Krishnan and the Members of the Committee on Parks and Recreation for 4 the opportunity to submit this testimony. My name is Francine Rogers, and I am a member of the 6 7 Neighborhood Advisory Committee Community Garden 8 located in the Bronx. We are part of the Bronx Land Trust. Our garden, the Neighborhood Advisory Committee Garden, started in 1983 and has a rich 41-10 11 year history of community involvement and has been a 12 vital green space in our South Bronx neighborhood. 13 One of the reasons our garden has been able to survive over these past 40 years, over the highs and 14 15 lows, is the constant support and guidance from Green 16 Thumb staff along with the much-needed resources that 17 they provided, such as trees, plants, lumber, tools, 18 garbage bags to name just a few items. I want to 19 highlight our support (INAUDIBLE) very disturbed by 20 the recent 10 percent NYC budget cuts, particularly 21 to the Department of Parks and Recreation, that have 2.2 severely affected the NYC Green Thumb. This essential 2.3 agency has seen a significant reduction in its budget, hindering its capacity to provide technical 24 assistance, necessary supplies, adequate staff, and 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thus impeding the ability to effectively support much-needed community gardens. Therefore, I join the call for the City to invest in restoring 2.6 million dollars for refunding the Green Thumb. Here are some facts in my mind about community gardens. Before, during, and after the COVID-19 crisis, green space continues to be a refuge for exercise, fresh air, and mental health. Gardens create peace and harmony in our city. How many violent crimes have happened in gardens? How many deaths were caused by community gardens? How many muggings? How many heroin and fentanyl sales were conducted in gardens? The answer, zero. How many pounds of free surplus food have been made available in food desert communities? How many free family picnics and barbecues have occurred in gardens? How many birthday parties, graduations, and anniversaries have been celebrated in gardens? How many science lessons and art projects have happened in gardens? How many diverse cultural celebrations have been sponsored by gardens? Answer, a vast amount, more than can be counted. So why doesn't the City Council want to give more funding, rather than cutting this successful neighborhood model of peace and safety. Every civilized society recognizes the

many of our gardens into urban agriculture oases for

agriculture program has since doubled its capacity to

the surrounding neighborhoods. NYRP's urban

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

offer 165 workshops, skill shares, and technical consultations in 2023 alone. The team's two full-time and two seasonal staff serve NYRP's 52 portfolio gardens citywide and our gardens for the City partners. NYRP's implementation of the urban agriculture program during the COVID-19 pandemic was a decision that utilized our spaces to address the growing program of food insecurity in low-income communities. The program provides urban growers with agricultural education and materials to successfully feed themselves, their families, and neighbors. As average temperatures rise during the growing season and these growing seasons get longer, there are many opportunities to grow new crops that were not possible to grow in the region just 10 years ago. NYRP's urban agriculture team helps growers experiment with new topical crops regularly, including ginger and turmeric, which is what we work with the most. According to the Food Bank of New York City, NYC residents make up half of all food-insecure people living in New York State and the City's food insecurity rate is 12 percent higher than the national rate. Access to fresh and healthy food is

more important now than ever, and we need to ensure

2.2

2.3

to testify.

that gardeners have the tools and support they need to continue to feed themselves in the most vulnerable communities. In food deserts where access to fresh and affordable food is scarce, community gardens serve as a lifeline to New Yorkers. New York City must recognize the importance of this work as they consider the upcoming budget by supporting and funding these programs to expand urban agriculture.

As New York City continues to navigate from uncertain times, community gardens and urban agriculture will be critical in ensuring communities are safer,

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you for your} \\ \mbox{testimony.}$

healthier, and happier. Thank you for the opportunity

 $\label{eq:weinstandard} \mbox{We'll try one more time with Chrissy} \\ \mbox{Remein.}$

CHRISSY REMEIN: Hi. Sorry. The computer restarted there. Thank you so much. I'm Chrissy Remein. I'm Riverkeeper's Policy and Planning Manager. We thank the Committee on Parks and Recreation for your stewardship of New York City's community gardens and urban agriculture and for offering us the opportunity to testify today.

2 Riverkeeper is a nearly 60-year-old non-profit, 3 member-supported organization devoted to the 4 protection and restoration of the Hudson River from source to sea and safeguarding of drinking water 5 supplies through advocacy rooted in community 6 7 partnerships, science, and law. As part of our 8 mission, we sample water quality throughout New York City, advocate for climate-adaptive stormwater management and, whenever possible, work with our 10 11 partners in City agencies to development solutions 12 oriented towards stormwater management policy. To 13 that end, Riverkeeper developed critical green 14 infrastructure recommendations in our 2022 report, 15 Building an Equitably Green New York City. We 16 encourage the Council to review the recommendations 17 set forth therein for opportunities for improved 18 green infrastructure. Among many other important 19 benefits, community gardens act as critical green 20 infrastructure and open space across the city, both 21 passively managing stormwater and intentionally 2.2 collecting and managing stormwater through cisterns 2.3 and rain barrels. We support community gardens for their contribution for reducing stormwater runoff 24 25 and, more, we support community gardens as a space

2 and place to connect communities to green space, centers that foster community cohesion, improve air 3 4 quality, and mitigate the urban heat island impact. New York City community gardens have a long history 5 of grassroots development, and their founders were 6 7 also some of the city's primary green infrastructure 8 advocates. Though our priorities for green infrastructure usually center on stormwater management and water quality, in order for the City 10 11 to adequately adapt and respond to climate change 12 impacts, we need all hands on deck and collaboration 13 across sectors, and I cannot express this enough, 14 community gardens are a truly unique space where sort 15 of all of the buzzwords that we use around climate change really converge. They're a space for community 16 17 cohesion. They're a space for green infrastructure. 18 They're a space where words like, I don't know, words 19 like climate change, not vulnerability, but climate 20 change adaptation really can come to fruition so 21 Riverkeeper supports the city's community gardens and 2.2 encourages the City Council to extend to them the 2.3 support they need to continue to provide the multiple benefits they offer local residents and the city's 24 environmental health and well-being. Thank you. 25

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you so much 3 for your testimony.

One more call for Sharon Brown, live testimony.

SHARON BROWN: How are you?

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Good.

SHARON BROWN: I just have to preface it by saying release the hostages, let Yahweh's people go for Israel. Just remember Israel in everything you're doing.

Okay, the gardens need to be funded. They need to continue to be funded. They help the air quality, and it should be in cooperation with feeding those who are underserved, food deserts and things like that. They need to have a program where they provide seeds, not only food for people, but seeds for people to plant their own garden so when they say there's a food desert and they give people food, that's very nice. You give someone a fish, they can eat for a day. You give them fishing rod and all the tackle and everything and you teach them how to fish and they can do it for themselves so we also can teach people how to plant and do things at their own homes. Maybe there can be some kind of program where

they go to their homes and start gardens in the community as well as the community gardens. It's very important for it to help the air quality so trees are very important. In these gardens, we shouldn't only have things that are to the ground. We should have some things that grow up like trees, and it won't be in the way, it could probably be in the four corners or something like that, and we could have fruitbearing trees and different things that grown on trees. That can also be something that provides food, seeds, and different things like that, and the greenery from the trees will help the air quality so usually most gardens have fruit, they can have flowers, they can have fruit, and the trees would help with the pollution a whole lot, with the oxygen levels and things like that, it would help out. What they call climate change and stuff like that, the trees would be very helpful in strategic places and all the greenery would help the air. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you so much

That concludes our hearing for today. I want to thank you all so much, both from the Parks

Department for your work to care for our community

for your testimony, Miss Brown.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION gardens and support them, and, of course, the gardeners out there who are doing this work every single day. We appreciate you, and we in the Council are proud to continue supporting you all. Thank you all so much. Thank you to our Committee Counsel, Chris Sartori, Patrick Mulvehill, my team, Greg Clark, Chuck Park, and all our Council Staff here today too for a great hearing. Thank you all. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 18, 2024