CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

July 24, 2024

Start: 11:21 a.m. Recess: 1:08 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room - 16th

Floor

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Francisco P. Moya

Yusef Salaam Lynn C. Schulman

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kechun Wang Wings & Seafood

Ray Levin 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal

Nebil Gokcebay 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal

Jeff Reuben 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal

Rick Parisi 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal

Richard Lobel Sheldon Lobel PC

Kevin Williams CZA

Neil Weisbard Ford Coil Properties

Adam Taubman Krammer Levin

Abraham Bennun Krammer Levin

Robert White AKRF

Robert Huberman Herrick Feinstein

Philip Rampulla Rampulla Associate Architects

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ellen Hay Herrick Feinstein

Greg Fleischer Capital Environmental Consultants 2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: On date 07/24/2024 by Jame

3 Marino.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Shulman, Salaam, Carr, Abreu, Narcisse, Holden and remotely by Moya. Today, we are scheduled to host seven hearings. The first hearing concerns the first Sidewalk Café Application that the Council will hear under the revised regulations and concerns LU 124, which is the Application by Wings & Seafood.

The second hearing concerns the City Map Actions relating to the Bronx Metro North project, which we heard earlier this month on July $9^{\rm th}$ and consists of LU's 109 to 113.

The hearing concerns LU's 114 to 116 for a project known as 500 Kent Avenue. The fourth hearing concerns LU's 120 and 121 for a project known as 712 Myrtle Avenue. The fifth hearing concerns LU's 119 for a project known as Berry Street. The Sixth hearing concerns LU's 122 and 123 for a project known as Prince Point. And the seventh and last hearing concerns LU's 117 to 118 for a project known as 3033 Avenue V. This meeting is being held in the hybrid format, members of the public who wish to testify must testify in person or via Zoom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse. To sign up and for those of you here in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to prepare and submit a speakers card. Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting as the Council's website. When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to When you are recognized by myself to speak. speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have a written testimony you would like the Subcommittee instead of appearing in person, please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU number or the project name in the subject line of your email.

2.2

2.3

We request that the witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by myself, as Council Members may have questions. Lastly, for every one attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times.

Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing records.

I will now open up today's first public hearing on LU's 124 related to the Wings and Seafood Sidewalk Café Application in Council Member Holden's District. The Application seeks to operate a sidewalk café with approximately five tables and seven seats at the existing establishment in Richwood Queens.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 | the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

3 And once again, for anyone with us in person, please

4 see one of the Sergeant's to prepare and submit a

5 speakers card. If you prefer to submit written

6 testimony, you can always do that by emailing to us

at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I would like to give the floor to Council Member Holden if he has any remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yes, good morning Chair Riley and member of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm here today to address the land use call up Application for Wings and Seafood, that's LU 124 located 785 Fairview Avenue in Richwood, Queens within my District. My office has received numerous complaints from constituents about the ineffective use of these established already said outdoor dining space. Their application for a sidewalk café seems excessive given the current circumstances. This establishment is located near a busy subway station, a school, a library, an afterschool program, and a park, all of which contribute to the heavy foot traffic in the area.

Here are some of my concerns and my constituents concerns. The current outdoor dining set up is

2 rarely in use and is often used as storage. This
3 wastes valuable space and takes up much needed
4 parking in Richwood, a neighborhood already notorious

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

for its parking challenges. Now, I have cars parked

at hyphens constantly in that area, in crosswalks,

7 even on the sidewalk.

2.2

2.3

On several occasions, the outdoor dining structures have been used for decorative purposes rather than their intended use, further highlighting they're really underutilized. Even a Google Map shows in 2022 shows an inflatable dragon set up in the street. So, this is what I'm talking about, this particular sidewalk is heavily used, being right at the entrance or exit of the Forest Avenue M Train Station and just a block away from a park and IS-93 school.

In addition, the addition of a sidewalk café would only exacerbate the congestion in a busy area. It is also worth noting that before their sidewalk café application was even approved, Wings and Seafood had already constructed the structure that they're providing. They are providing a photograph and they erected a structure attached to the building illegally to house the sidewalk café. They were

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

9

2 issued a summons on July 16th for an unauthorized

3 | buildout. And here is the summons, the applicant

4 essentially created this extension of the building.

5 Several flags came up that caused this call up in the

6 first place and that's why we needed this to be heard

7 | in the Subcommittee. I look forward to hearing why

8 the applicant feels the sidewalk café is needed.

I would also like to see a decision from the applicant on whether they will choose to proceed with either the sidewalk café or the outdoor dining set up but not both. Again, I want to thank the Chair and I do have photographs if the Committee would like to see the current structure. Thank you. I'll pass that around for the members.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

Holden. I will now like to call the applicant panel

for this item, which consists of Kechun Wang who will

also be accompanied by a translator, who is going to

translate it in Mandarin for her. [00:08:09]
[00:08:34]. Counsel, please administer the

affirmation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hello, could you please raise your right hand and state your name for the record?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

[00:09:41].

[00:11:42].

```
1
            SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                       12
 2
        KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:11:44]-
 3
     [00:12:03].
        TRANSLATOR: It's been around three years since
 4
 5
    the pandemic.
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And how long has he been
 6
 7
     operating his business in the area?
        TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:12:11]-
 8
 9
     [00:12:14].
10
        KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:12:18]-
11
     [00:12:20].
12
        TRANSLATOR: I have been running this business
13
    for about five years.
14
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I have no more questions for
15
    this applicant panel. Council Member Holden, do you
    have any questions?
16
17
        COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yes, how many tables are
    inside the café?
18
19
        TRANSLATOR: Indoor restaurant right?
20
        COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: In the restaurant, yes,
21
    inside.
        TRANSLATOR: Okay, thank you, yes, yes. SPEAKING
2.2
2.3
    IN MANDARIN [00:12:45]-[00:12:49].
        KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:12:50]-
24
     [00:12:55].
```

[00:15:12].

2.2

2.3

restaurant.

TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN: In terms of the vacancy, actually due to the winter weather just passed, so additionally we are doing some renovation for the outside space and the tables. So, since I have heard of the news from the city, we might be allowed to extension for four months functioning, so I'm continuing my plans after the renovation. I'm going to resume the usage of the outdoors for the business purpose. Furthermore, I have received lots of positive feedback for agree with for like the support for the outdoor space, usage from my neighbor, my clients and people passing my

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Uhm, do you understand the problems with the parking in the area, right? Do you see that parking is - there are very few spots in that area. It's near the Greater Ridgewood Youth Council. It's a very busy area, not only schools and parks but your taking up space in the street, now you want to take up space on the sidewalk. So, my question is that you received a summons from the Department of Buildings. You added on to the building illegally, building a shed for the sidewalk café without checking with the Building Department or

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 15 without even going through the proper procedure. You got a violation, what do you intend to do with that structure since it is illegal, that's attached to the building?

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: Thank you. SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:17:01]-[00:17:46].

KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:17:46][00:18:56].

approved from the city's guidelines, I had built out the street café and the constructions. Everything has been done according to the approval and the guidelines from the city but not out of my own wills. So, I just do follow whatever the instructions given to me regarding the structure of the building.

In terms of uh, you mentioned this is a very busy area, I would like to point out the busy area is by the 1st Avenue but not specifically my street. The street I am on is the less busy area. So, no matter what, if we get approval from the city, I may be allowed to extend the functioning use for another four years, which might have some like conflict with taking up the parking lot, which is like a conflict, I'd admit.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right but you're taking up valuable parking and the structure that you have in the street, I'm being told is not used. In 2022, this is September of 2022, I'll show the Committee also, there is an inflatable structure taking up where parking could be or where dining could be. elected not to use it for dining. You just, you have this inflatable. What's he purpose of this? You don't need it for dining apparently. It doesn't look like it's being used, so what was the purpose of this?

TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:20:56]-[00:21:16].

KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:21:20]-[00:22:14].

TRANSLATOR: These inflatable outside, it's actually a décor for soliciting the business. In the beginning when we was approved to set up the outdoor side café business, later on with the business went up well, I actually took it down. So, uhm, this doesn't have any like uh endangered area.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Again, it's illegal so adding to your building without a permit with the Building Department is illegal also but you say you

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 17 2 need dining space, you need tables and yet you don't 3 use it in the street. So, that's why this 4 application is suspect and I would recommend that it 5 be turned down. Sidewalk Café, it's either the Sidewalk Café or, not and, or at least in my view, or 6 7 the street dining for the neighborhood and the neighborhood doesn't want both. And that's what my 8 constituents are telling me. It's unnecessary and also, there's - if you're going to abuse the system 10 11 then again, why should we honor the application? And 12 it shows in the past you have he has abused; the 13 applicant has abused the system. Thank you Chair. 14 Thank you Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member 16 Holden. Council Member Schulman. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Good morning. TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:23:51]-18 19 [00:24:35]. Yeah, Chair I have finished translation. 20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Schulman. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Yes, so I know that - I 2.2 2.3 believe that he said that he followed the city guidelines but there are new guidelines now that go 24

into effect as of August 3rd. And so, under the new

aware, every street entity has to - it's all going to

[00:28:20].

```
1
            SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                       20
 2
        KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:28:18]-
 3
     [00:28:26]
        TRANSLATOR: Surely, I will for following all the
 4
 5
    new quidelines.
        COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Do you own any other
 6
 7
    restaurants?
        TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:28:35]-
 8
 9
     [00:28:38].
        KECHUN WANG: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:28:38]-
10
11
     [00:28:41].
12
        TRANSLATOR: I only have this one.
13
        COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Alright, thank you very
14
    much.
        TRANSLATOR: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN [00:28:43]-
15
     [00:28:45].
16
17
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member
18
     Schulman. Are there any more questions from Council
19
    Members? Alright, there being no questions, this
20
    applicant panel is now excused.
21
        TRANSLATOR: Thank you.
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I just want to remind
2.2
2.3
    everyone that this was the application for the
    Sidewalk Café. This applicant panel has a separate
24
25
    application within DOT for a Street Café.
```

Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify regarding the special permit applications relating to the Wings and Seafood Sidewalk Café application remotely or in person?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU 124 regarding the Wings and Seafood Sidewalk Application, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over. I will now open the second public hearing on LU's 109 through 113 related to the Bronx Metro North, rezone - excuse me, Bronx Metro North neighborhood rezoning that is being spearheaded by the Administration. This proposal consists of a rezoning a text amendment and several amendments to the city map. On July 9th we held a public hearing regarding the text amendment and rezoning.

Today, we are holding a public hearing regarding the proposed changes to the city map to facilitate the proposed redevelopment of Morris Park and Park Chester Van Nest areas. There are five mapping actions LU 109 will eliminate part of Union Port Road between East Tremont and Guerlain Street. LU 110 would widen Macaroni Street in Morris Park

Council, are there any members of the public who wish to testify regarding the special permit application related to the Bronx Metro North Mapping application?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 109 through 113 regarding the Bronx Metro North Mapping

24

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 Action, the public hearing is now closed and the item

3 is laid over.

2.2

2.3

I will now open today's third public hearing on LU's 114 to 116 relating to the 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Restler's District by the Brooklyn Navy Yard. The proposal consists of multiple actions to develop a commercial development that would include waterfront esplanade that will be publicly accessible. For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speakers card.

You can also email it to us at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Council Member
Restler, do you have any remarks regarding this
project?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much Chair Riley. It's a pleasure to be here with you. I really want to thank the development team. I am very pleased that they have partnered with a distinguished local nonprofit organization to pursue this project.

development team approached me about it, I was not

yet sworn in as a Council Member and so, we've been

2.3

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the

applicant team may begin. I'll just ask that you

24

2 please reinstate your name and organization for the

3 record.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RAYMOND LEVIN: Good morning, I guess it's still morning. Uhm, I'm Raymond Levin. I'm Special Counsel at Herrick Feinstein. With me as we said was Nebil from Marvel Designs, the Architects Rick Parisi from MFPF, the Landscape Architects and Jeff Reuben from PHA the Environmental Consultants. We have uh oh, okay. Oh okay, oh I'm sorry, next, next and next after that.

The Council Member asked We're going to go fast. for fast. The co-applicants are seeking zoning actions including a map change from M31 to M15 at 500 Kent in South Williamsburg and you can see the difference from the two maps in the red area. The site is located on Roll about Channel please. with frontage on Division and Kent Avenues and abuts the Brooklyn Navy Yard to the south. The site, north of the site is a new residential development consisting of three towers, closest being 23 stories. Across Kent Avenue to the east is the Roberto Clemente Ball Field and Park and to the south is the Navy Yard. Both the site that we're talking about today and the portion of the Navy Yard that's

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

immediately to the South of us, currently have open uses so there's no buildings on them. Next please.

Uhm, the proposed development includes over 500,000 square feet of office space, 20,000 square feet of retail. Over an acre of publicly accessible open space. Over 200 below grade parking spaces and 100 plus bicycle parking spaces. Next please.

The project aims beyond hopefully making a positive return on investment is to redevelop the site in a matter that links the new residential development to the north. The established south Williamsburg neighborhood to the east and the Navy Yard to the south. The proposed project will contribute to the neighborhood and broader community by improving a vacant lot currently used for school bus parking. Creating a public walkway on the waterfront that will ultimately connect with similar public ways above north and south by creating additional public access points from Kent Avenue to the Wallabout Channel, providing space for both offices and retail businesses, which can offer services and employment opportunities for local residents. And generate taxes for the city including real estate sales and payroll taxes. Now, I'll turn

it over to our designed professionals to describe the buildings to you.

NEBIL GOKCEBAY: Next slide. Nebil from Marvel
Architects. So, this is an illustration just showing
the Brooklyn Navy development and the location of the
500 Kent site just to the north of it. Just south of
the Williamsburg Waterfront. Next slide. Another
illustration of kind of development along the
waterfront with the domino development on the far
left, various other residential projects on the right
and then the far right is the Navy Yard and Dock 92.
Light blue is the future Navy Yard development and
then the pink site in the center is the location of
500 Kent, kind of acting as this juncture between
those kind of strings of development. Next slide.

Just a note about the design and the orientation for how the massing developed. Multiple street gates kind of come together along division actually and part of the site plan review and analysis was about introducing a third access through the site. So, the site has multiple entries to access the future of waterfront esplanade and introducing the diagonal access in the image on the right is you know a homage to the- one of the prior street grids that never made

2 | it all the way through the waterfront in the project.

29

3 So, next slide. This is a site plan of the project

4 showing kind of the two volumes that make up the

5 building. Low volume to the north, a taller tower to

6 the south in the pink area also illustrating the

7 | various access points of the site. To the south is a

8 | visual corridor that's designed as an upland

9 connection. The required upland connection on the

10 north side along division and then the additional

11 access point kind of through the center of the site.

12 | Since it is a very large frontage, it does kind of

13 provide multiple vantage points and access to the

14 waterfront. Next slide.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The massing in the building is illustrated in this diagram, where you can see the low volume along division which allows kind of the view corridor all the way up from Bedford down division out to the waterfront to kind of be maintained by having the low volume there and then you also have the cut through at the center of the site providing that additional access point. And then the orientation of the volume adds a little bit of interest, shifting off the access and aligning to the street right there. Next

slide. Next few slides are actually just various

views of the project, so this is a view north on division. This is a view on Kent at the southside of the site looking at the visual corridor, looking up Kent. Next slide.

This is a view, the center of the site at Kent uhm illustrating kind of the proposed illustrative lobby entrance area. Next slide. And then with this, I think I can hand it over to Rick Parisi to talk to the waterfront and landscape.

RICK PARISI: Thanks, thanks man. Rick Parisi,

I'm PFP Landscape Architects. This slide right here
shows what both Ray and Nebil mentioned before which
is the connectivity to Kent. In this particular
project, we have three connection points. Two PAA's,
one is a visual corridor and that's with the Navy
Yard section. One that goes through and under the
two buildings and then one on division. The
interesting thing about this project is a lot of this
open space is much more voluntary. There was a
concept to bring that open space, the shore public
walkway to the street and connect to the park. And I
think we, you know we have the opportunity in this
project to bring more open space to the public than
in most projects, so next slide please.

2.2

2.3

Just the overall site plan, what you see here are those connections to Kent and you also start to see the uh multiple levels that step up the building.

Another important point of how this project is designed, we are transitioning from Kent at elevation 16 and 18 to the shore public walkway at elevation 9.5 with ADA connections throughout. So, all these spaces have ADA access then they have step plaza's above that. Next.

And this is a view from the water, arial view.

Next. This is a view from the shore public walkway,
looking towards the north. Next. And another view

from the lower level. We have not a full get down

but we have a transition point, ADA transition point

that creates a little informal amphitheater area that

goes down around elevation six. So, it gets the

public closer to the water. You know, which we

always try to do in all these public spaces. Next.

NEBIL GOKCEBAY: So, this slide is the same side plan we saw before. This illustrates all the access points mentioned before for pedestrians but also illustrates the parking entrance to the north along division as well as the required loading dock which is located on Kent. So, that was kind of worked

through a lot with both City Planning and with DOT for how to kind of navigate the bike lane on Kent and the traffic through and around the neighborhood. So, the parking originally had two entrances, one at Kent and one in division. Parking entrance was relocated to division and the loading dock you'll see on the next slide. Next slide. Is designed as a head in, head out configuration, so vehicles entering the site for loading purposes are always in full line of site for anything they're crossing. There's no one backing in or out of the site uhm to make sure the space is crossing it can be and uhm, that's next slide.

RAYMOND LEVIN: Alright to sum up, this is what we're here today asking for the Council to approve for the subcommittee and it's the Zoning Map

Amendment, which I mentioned from M31 to M15. A number of waivers for bulk given the configuration of the architectural design that we've come up with.

There's also a special permit for the parking garage and a certification of the waterfront public access requirements are met. Those are the requests and we're here for any questions anyone might have.

25 | Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much for your presentation. I have a couple of questions, then I'll see if Council Member Restler has any questions. You're proposing a rezoning from an existing manufacturing district to a higher density manufacturing district to facilitate commercial development. The Brooklyn Borough President recommended this approval of this project because this is a large site in an IBZ with waterfront access adjacent to the Brooklyn Navy Yard which makes it a prime site for maritime industrial use. Why do you believe this site is more appropriate for a commercial use?

RAYMOND LEVIN: Well we looked at the market and we came up with this notion that the commercial office use would be better. Some of the reasons for that is in fact because we're located next to the Navy Yard. The Navy Yard has significant industrial space available and it's a mission driven organization. Not for profit, not city land and can offer rates for a rental that are more competitive in the private sector. So, those were a couple of the reasons. Another reason is that to use the property for maritime related uses would probably prohibit the

1 2 fact of having that waterfront walkway connect from 3 the residential area to the north to the Navy Yard in the south. Now the Navy Yard immediately south of 4 us, their master plan shows a public walkway and also no maritime use at that location. Obviously the Navy 6 Yard itself, which was - used to build aircraft carriers, has the infrastructure for water related 8 uses and that's another reason why in this general area, that's the better place for them. And I guess 10 11 the last thing is that the Army Corp of Engineers are looking at about channel found that the in order to 12 accommodate the kinds of maritime uses that were -13 14 that the Navy Yard looked at would need dredging and 15 that would just make it unfinanceable. So, those are a few of the reasons why we ended up with commercial. 16 17 We also think that commercial creates the context 18 between the residential to the north and the Navy 19 Yard to the south and the park across the street, 20 provides the linkage and uses that are compatible 21 both with the manufacturing uses to the south and the residential uses to the north. 2.2

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Did you consult the Brooklyn Navy Yard on how the proposed development and public open space relates to the Navy Yard?

2.3

24

RAYMOND LEVIN: Yes, I met with them quite awhile ago and we've had conversations with them since.

Their open space along the canal meet up with ours and in fact, if you recall from the drawing, the -between our site and their site is now an open space and public way to get to the waterfront, so yes. The

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thanks so much Chair Riley. I wonder what we would have gotten if we didn't ask for an abbreviated presentation but appreciate you all being here today.

RAYMOND LEVIN: I got this - you know I have these other speeches.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, we'll save them for next time Ray. I did - I was remiss in failing to thank and recognize Lena and Brian from the Land Use division for their great help on this project, so thank you very much and I also really wanted to just recognize Ashley Thompson from Capalino for her great help on this as well. I can't remember your married name, I'm sorry. I apologize.

2.2

2.3

answer is yes.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

So, just a couple very quick questions for me.

Uhm, can you state for the record that you have zero intention of introducing last mile facility at this site?

RAYMOND LEVIN: We have zero intention of introducing last mile facility at this site.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And can you speak briefly to how this site addresses climate resiliency concerns just considering its location on the Williamsburg waterfront?

RAYMOND LEVIN: I will turn that over. In terms of flooding, uhm the project has clearly been made - let me start over. From Kent Avenue to the waterfront there's a tremendous slop and we've - I'll ask someone who knows what their talking about say something.

NEBIL GOKCEBAY: As Rick stated in the landscape portion, there's a substantial great change between Kent and the site. So, you know first line of mitigation is you know the changing grade, which has buffers and things of that nature to mitigate kind of coastal flooding but also any openings into the building that could result in flooding are raised above the flood elevation. The only one that is you

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 know potentially subject to it is the garage entrance

3 and that would be mitigated with conventional and

4 drive proofing measures and anything that got -

5 | wouldn't get it but Kent is well above it, so there's

6 no approach on Kent. So, the only entry uhm that we

7 see is feasible if there were a flooding event can be

addressed with normal dry proofing measures.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And can you just, we've had challenges at 25 Kent even at Domino at the refinery in the Navy Yard and filling commercial office space in this area. Can you speak to your confidence interval for how you'll be able to kind of effectively activate this space and ensure kind of this is a financially viable proposal in recognizing that kind of post pandemic market place?

RAMOND LEVIN: Sure, the project was started before the pandemic when the commercial office market was a little different than it is today. Uhm, the applicants are still confident in their ability to succeed with an office building in South Williamsburg. The office market along the east river from Domino down to Dumbo has been strong. It has been more resilient than Manhattan and other locations in the city. There are a number of new

office buildings that notwithstanding concerns have 2 3 had the market have been built or are being built.

The refinery, 10 Grant Street and Domino 29, Jay 4

Street and Dumbo, 18 and 31 Spenser Street and 347

Flushing are all office buildings and show a 6

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

7 confidence in this part of the city which may not be

seen elsewhere. The other thing that gives us 8

confidence is the location next to the Navy Yard.

There are a lot of growing businesses in the Navy 10

11 Yard that are going to be looking to expand and in

the surrounding neighborhood. 12 This area has a strong

local workforce in Williamsburg and Greenpoint. 13

14 has lower rents than in parts of Manhattan. Uhm, and

15 there's a creative atmosphere along the Brooklyn

16 Waterfront that attracts creative businesses.

So those things we think are important and also locational advantages of this particular site. on the waterfront, which is a positive thing. on a bike path which is one of the heaviest use in the city and therefore the employees can come by bike rather than having it go otherwise. It has open space for the employees in the neighborhood, people. There's going to be some retail and the new

24

residential community that's being built surrounding

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 them provides a workforce that may come to these

3 office spaces. So, for all of those reasons, our

4 | client for whatever the general notion is about

5 office space are confident that this will make sense.

6 Plus, I think if you talk to people, this building is

7 not going to be built for a few years and a lot of

people believe the office market is going to come

back anyway.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I certainly hope so. I hope it's a great success. My final question is how do you plan on recruiting building service workers and ensuring they receive adequate compensation?

RAYMOND LEVIN: I think that we're going to be working with the unions in order to do that, plus we're working with the neighborhood organization to help recruit people, so yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, any more to say on that or is that all for today?

RAYMOND LEVIN: I'm afraid I'm not the labor person involved in the labor.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I really want to thank
the whole development team for working
collaboratively with us through this process. I know
this was not an easy project for all of us to figure

4 much.

2.2

2.3

RAYMOND LEVIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

Restler. Are there any more questions for Council

Members? Okay, being that there are no more

questions, this applicant panel is now excused.

Counsel, are there any more — if there are any

members of the public who wish to testify on 500 Kent

Avenue rezoning proposal remotely or in person?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 114 through 116 regarding the 500 Kent Avenue Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

I will now open today's fourth public hearing on LU's 120 and 121 relating to the 712 Myrtle Avenue Rezoning Proposal, also in Council Member Restler's district but in Bed Stuy. The Proposal consists of a mixed use residential development with approximately 41 apartments. The rezoning would involved the mapping of mandatory inclusionary housing and as a

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel.

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today in response to Council Member questions?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please email

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now, the applicant team may begin. I'll just ask before you answer Council Member Restler's questions, you just please reinstate your name and organization for the record. After you answer those questions, you may give your brief presentation. Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel PC.

KEVIN WILLIAMS: Kevin Williams of GZA.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great, Richard, Kevin, good to see you again. You know we should just do a rezoning of all of Myrtle, so we don't have to do these one by one but look, it would mean that Kevin would have more - our Chair would have more time on his hands, which would be good for the world.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, this is a great project. It brings more housing and to an area where we desperately need it. I think it's a smart mixed use approach for Myrtle Avenue and I'm happy to block by block, lot by lot continue to rezone this area to allow for more growth and development. You know one of the things that makes me saddest as a Council Member is that I hear from families, especially in Williamsburg every single week that they have children who are getting married and forced to leave the neighborhood because they have no place to live. This project by Rabbi Lichtenstein and Mrs. Lichtenstein will be a great asset for our community. I have just two quick, three quick questions. One is, we'll be pursuing option 1 for this project, just wanted to make sure you're aware.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you for making us an aware of that. We understand the Community Boards

Preference and the Council Members.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You've worked with my before. Some things don't change. Secondly, we spoke with Rabbi Lichtenstein and Mrs. Lichtenstein about the feasibility of a windowless room, either at the basement level or ground floor level being made

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 available for a local nonprofit organization in the

3 community potentially as I said, which does amazing

4 work in Williamsburg. I just want to make sure that

5 that's something that the applicant is supportive of

6 and on the record. Yes, that's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Terrific and then there are tenants who currently live on these lots, as needed they will be provided an opportunity to return to the housing that's built here if they do not have alternative housing.

RICHARD LOBEL: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And I said three but I'm going to four because I'm on a roll. Could you just speak to any green elements of this development? Is it subject to the all-electric buildings law or do you anticipate it will be subject to that depending I guess on the timeline for construction and any other elements for sustainability that you'll prioritize on this project?

KEVIN WILLIAMS: Kevin Williams GZA. Council
Member, good to see you. Uhm yeah, so it will be all
electric. Uhm, I think that they will make it
required. In terms of uhm sustainability elements,
uhm of course we'll be restoring tree beds, swales

along the frontage sidewalk area which is wide in 2

3 this area. We'll have green roof system where are

combined green roof, solar system on the roof system. 4

You know in compliance with the latest requirements

of local law. Uhm, again, I think one of things that 6

7 we've constantly recommended to the architects and

developers from projects not only in Brooklyn but 8

throughout the city is that they apply for the very

generous grants for the heightened energy star 10

11 requirements from NYSERDA because there are tax

12 incentives associated with those and I you know I

believe that the both the architect and the 13

14 developer, property owner have grade to those.

15 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well, I really want to

16 just thank Chair Riley for the point of privilege.

17 Thank you all for this good project and look forward

18 to the presentation.

1

19 KEVIN WILLIAMS: Thank you Council Member.

20 RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Council Member Restler,

21 Chair Riley, Council Members. Good afternoon.

Richard Lobel of Sheldon and Lobel. We're here today 2.2

2.3 to discuss the 712 Myrtle Avenue Rezoning.

slide. The next slide is a summary of the rezoning 24

in terms of the requests. The first is that we'll be 25

2 rezoning lots 20 through 24 and a portion of lot 25

3 in Bed Stuy along Myrtle Avenue from existing M12 to

4 an R70 C24 District, which would facilitate the

5 development of a new nine story roughly 49,800 square

6 foot, 5.57 FAR mixed use building with roughly 41

7 dwelling units including 10 permanently affordable.

8 We are also, as is the case with all such rezonings,

9 applying for a text amendment to allow for mandatory

10 | inclusionary housing here as well and we understand

11 | from the Council Members statement that he intends to

12 restrict this to option 1.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide is the numbers behind the proposed development. Again, a nine story building, roughly 49,800 square feet. There will be a bayside of 95 feet after which the project building would set back 10 feet at the 8th story.

There will be 21 bike parking spaces within a bike room in the cellar, 41 units and 10 affordable at option 1. The next slide is the zoning map, which demonstrates from an overall view the appropriate rezoning in this area. You can see just generally from this large view that R70 exists already for blocks and blocks to the east of this property. This was property and blocks that were rezoned in the 2012

2 North Bedford Stuyvesant rezoning. And so, the

3 context here was set at that time. As we look

4 | through the next two slides, we'll see why it's

5 appropriate for this specific site. The next slide

6 is a tax map, which shows with specificity both the

7 area in red, the development site as well as the

8 nature of the zoning change, this entire frontage

9 between Spencer and Wallabout - sorry Woolworth would

10 \parallel be rezoned to R70 with a C24 overlay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide is the area map which I think really well illustrates why this rezoning is so important and so appropriate. One can see across the street to our 70D districts both to the northeast and to the east northeast. Those were rezoned in 2017 pursuant to the 723 to 733 Myrtle Avenue rezoning.

At that time, the Community Board realized that they wanted to spur development on this frontage.

Myrtle Avenue here, a wide street at 75 feet with excellent transportation options in the area. It was one which was well suited for the R70 C24. More recently in 2023, this Zoning Subcommittee approved across the street, although not noted on the map, an R70 C24 rezoning for 703 Myrtle Avenue, again mirroring the same district and now we are merely

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES asking for that same district on the southern portion of that same block frontage. Important to note, in addition to Myrtle Avenue being a wide street, having excellent transit access, we also note that the R7D when paired with the C24 is one of the only districts in the city which would mandate nonresidential ground This is something which was important floor uses. both to the developer as well as the Community Board to allow for a lively thoroughfare along Mrytle Avenue and for continuous commercial use.

The next slide is the Zoning Change Map again, showing the new R70 C24 as proposed and the next several slides show the plans and materials for the building. All of these are illustrative. want to forward to the last page in the presentation, we come to the proposed unit count and the applicant has worked with community stakeholders in order to allow for units that are of larger size, as we're particularly proud of that. With regards to this application of 41 units in the building, more than half of those are going to be two, three and four bedroom units and more than one-third of those are going to be three and four bedroom units.

So, we're going to get some wonderfully nice large family size units here as well as importantly because of mandatory inclusionary housing, the units that are allocated to affordable units will be in the same proportion in the building as the units generally. Meaning that there will be affordable families who - affordable units which were given to families who will be in those two, three and four bedroom units. We're happy to bring that affordability to units of this size. And with that, the applicant team is happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Uhm, that was a question I actually had, so I'm not going to ask that. Uhm, with that being said, Council Member Narcisse, do you have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: No.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright, this applicant team is not excused.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Council, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this application?

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, there's no members of the public who have signed up online or in person to testify.

There being no other CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 120 and 121 regarding the 720 Myrtle Avenue rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over. I'm going to move things around a little bit because I know Council Member Narcisse has to go. So, I will now open up today's hearing on LU's 117 and 118, relating to the 3033 Avenue V Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Narcisse district in Sheep's Bay Brooklyn. The proposal consists of mixed use residential development project with approximately 109 apartments. For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you may do that now by registering online at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And with anyone with us in person, you may see one of the Sergeants and prepare a speakers card. If you want to submit written testimony, you can email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Council Member

2	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. I
3	appreciate it. I was going to ask the same favor.
4	Anyway, thank you Chair. Amazing work here for 33, I
5	mean 3033. One thing I have to report to you that
6	Community Board 15 except, I think we only had two
7	and for me, I've been going through the process in
8	the climate where we are right now looking for a home
9	for folks in New York City. I am very pleased with
LO	this project and I want to say thank you to Donna and
11	Tim from Land Use, of course my Chief of Staff going
L2	back and forth Sia Joseph, to make sure this project
L3	is where it is today. My Deputy Chief of Staff Frank
L 4	Shea and Teresa of course with all the team from
L5	Community Board 15. I want to say thank you to all
L 6	of them and I don't have much to say about this
L7	project. That's why we're here. It's a great
L8	project and I'm looking forward for that but one of
L 9	the things that I want to say is a few questions that
20	I have and I know I'm going to get the answer. It's
21	about, is there currently -

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Council Member, let me just swear them in before you ask questions, alright.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Oh, yeah, yeah, that's true.

questions, just reinstate your name and organization

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 for the record. Once she's finished with the questions, you may proceed with the presentation.

2.2

2.3

NEIL WEISBARD: Neil Weisbard, Seyfarth Shaw on behalf of Ford Coil Properties, owner of 3033 Avenue V, Brooklyn.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You go ahead Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: So, I want to say thank you to you again. It has been a long process and there are currently eight active. You know as a former business person; I'm always caring about the business because there being there for so long. We currently have eight active retail tenants on the site. How many retail spaces will be provided as part of this proposal and what will be the square footage for each? That's one.

Second, please share your commitment to providing the opportunity for existing retail tenants to reoccupy the site including plans for keeping commercial rents the same adjusted for inflation of course. And the third one, please state for the record your commitment to engage the with Norstrom houses, tenants to determine what kind of retail they want to see as part of this project. Because they're next to this development. Thank you.

2 NEIL WEISBARD: So, thanks for your question. 3 I'll start with Nordstrom Houses Tenants Association. 4 Your office has provided me with contact information 5 and I sent an email to try to meet with them. have not arranged that yet and as you know, we've 6 7 submitted a letter to your office as well indicating that. That we will meet with them. The commercial 8 spaces, we're not sure how many there will be but I can, the commitment of ownership is to provide the 10 11 existing tenants with priority for those spaces. So, if all eight tenants want to occupy the building, 12 13 there will be eight spaces for them and they will be 14 at current rents or as adjusted for inflation as you 15 stated. And as you know and just for Chair Riley, the ownership has signed a commitment letter 16 17 evidencing that as well and provided that to your

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: The other concern that I have, it's for the Nordstrom Houses. Uhm, if we're going to have - for them to have an opportunity as well for the retail space?

NEIL WEISBARD: Yes.

office.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Because they're right across and we have eight active tenants, right? So,

how many at least minimum the space that your going to have right now?

2.2

2.3

NEIL WEISBARD: So, the delineation of spaces hasn't been defined yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: For the commercial.

NEIL WEISBARD: There's 14,000 square feet of commercial space and well they'll accommodate the existing tenants if they want to return and if Nordstrom Houses tells us, we have this great idea for community space, then that will be taken into account as well and one of the spaces would be dedicated to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you so much. I appreciate your time and let's do it. Thanks.

NEIL WEISBARD: Okay, thanks for having me. Next slide please. So, the similar landuse actions are, this is an amendment to Zoning Map 29A, which underlines Lot 36 on Tax Block 7367 from an R4 residents district to an R7D district within a C2-4 commercial district. This will also include the area as part of a mandatory inclusionary housing area.

Next slide please. This site is located on the north side of Avenue V. It comprises the entire southern portion of the lot. It is a 20,000 square

2.2

foot lot. It has frontages on three streets, two of them being wide. That is Coil and Avenue V. Next slide please.

This site is currently improved with a one story building that has 11 commercial establishments and as Council Member Narcisse mentioned, eight of them are currently occupied. Next slide. This is a proposal of the rezoning map showing the area in red. That will be if approved, rezoned to an R7D and C2-4 Commercial Overlay. Next slide please.

This is an areal photograph of the site. To the east are numerous six and seven story Nordstrom House Building, NYCHA buildings. Next slide please. The proposal is a nine story building which will contain 97,000 square feet of residential floor area, 14,000 square feet of commercial, 109 apartments, 27 of which will be affordable and the site will contain 109 attended parking spaces on two sub levels and that is at the request of Community Board 15 who wanted a parking space for each dwelling unit.

Next slide please. The nineth floor will be set back and will be barely visible from the street and it's also important to note that within 25 feet of the residents on Ford Street, the height of the

2 building may not exceed 55 feet. Next slide. And

3 these are just some renderings of the proposed

4 building. Next slide and next slide please.

2.2

2.3

slide.

The area is well served by bus service with service to nearby subway stations as well. There's a stop just south of the site. Next slide please.

This area is in an area of minimal flood hazard and there is a small portion, very small portion that's located within the .2 percent flood plain. There are no records of underground streams in the area and the project architect, if there is any water issues during construction as over 40 years of experience in this area constructing flood proof buildings. Next

Flood proofing measures include dry flood
proofing areas below grade, utilizing anchoring the
foundations to the bios to prevent floatation,
utilizing flood damaged resilient materials and
utilizing flood mitigation measures such as steal
gate system, and next slide.

The building will also contain numerous sustainable elements including active solar power, a green roof, rainwater harvesting and environmentally friendly materials. I have some floor plans but

2 that's the end of my presentation. I'm happy to 3 answer any questions you may have.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The questions I had actually were related to the concerns Community Board 15 had. I know you stated that it was less than .2 percentage of floods within the area but they did have concerns that this was a flooding area. I'm pretty sure residents live over there. They're seeing certain things happening. So, I did see that you had the resiliency plan. So, I would just say if you can reiterate it to the Community Board what this plan is, so they're fully aware and also related to the NYCHA development that's located by a source of members of the Community Board live in that development as well.

The next question I did have and I heard you talk to Council Member Narcisse about; you're waiting for to organize a conversation with the NYCHA development. Did you have any ideas of how that relationship would be? What kind of partnerships would you have them partner within the development? Is there going to be community space there? Are they going to have any input on the businesses that go there? Like, what does this relationship look like?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

NEIL WEISBARD: So, the existing tenants have priority. So, that's upfront and we won't know till we meet with them but we do want to hear what their needs are and we made that commitment to Council Member Narcisse. So, once we do have that meeting, if I have it before the full Council vote, I'll provide you with information but we look forward to working with them.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you and the last question, the rendering showed a beautiful building. The existing conditions I think there's like 11 businesses there I was counting.

NEIL WEISBARD: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Do you have enough space for 11 commercial business or not all 11 will come back?

NEIL WEISBARD: If there needs to be - well, right now, there's only I think Council Member

Narcisse said eight. There might be ten tenants but that might have gone down since I last spoke to her. They'll be given priority if ten tenants want to go in, we'll make space for ten tenants.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, alright, thank you.

There being no more questions, you're excused. Thank
you for testifying here today.

2 NEIL WEISBARD: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 3033 Avenue V Rezoning Proposal?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, neither online or in person.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 117 and 118 regarding the 3033 Avenue V Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

I will now open the next hearing on LU's 119 relating to the 197 Barry Street Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Gutiérrez District in the Williamsburg neighborhood of Brooklyn. The proposal consists of converting the subcellar portion of an existing parking garage into a self-storage facility. For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare a speakers card. If you want to

organization for the record.

2.2

2.3

ADAM TAUBMAN: Thank you Chair and good
afternoon. I am Adam Taubman of Krammer Levin, land
use council to the applicant. I'm joined by Abraham
Bennun, principal of the applicant. We are here
today seeking a rezoning to reduce the accessory
requirement to applicable to an existing building

located at 197 Barry Street. Next slide please.

This is an areal view of the development site or the project area. It occupies the southern portion of the block bounded by Barry Street, North Third Street, Bedford Avenue, and North Fourth Street.

Next slide please.

This is the area map from our application. The development site is outlined in red. The site today is located in a special mixed district and in an underlying M12 and R6B district. The applicable regulations allow a wide variety of uses, residential community facility, commercial and manufacturing with a maximum FAR of 2 and germane to this application, the accessory parking requirement as of today is one space for every two dwelling units in the case of residential uses and one space for every 300 square feet of floor area in the case of retail. Next slide.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

The property is also well situated among the number of public transportation options, including the L-Train which runs about three blocks to the north, multiple bus lines and the New York City Ferry. Next slide please.

This is a photo of the existing building. We're looking at it from the corner of Barry Street and North Third Street. It's a three to five story mixed use building with three cellar levels and it was constructed in 2010. The existing uses include 84 dwelling units, three retail establishments, a health club located below grade and the accessory parking garage, which is the subject of this application.

That garage is located on the subcellar level and contains 142 required accessory parking spaces. 100 of those spaces are accessory to the commercial uses in the building and the remaining 42 are accessory to the residential uses in the building.

Another thing that you can see from this image is that Barry Street is part of DOT's permanent Open Streets Program. So, vehicles are restricted to local access and deliveries which generally lowers vehicular traffic on this street and some number of months ago, DOT implemented two way bicycle traffic

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

along Barry Street and reversed the direction of vehicular traffic along certain stretches of Barry Street, which is expected to further reduce vehicular traffic.

It's a little bit difficult to see from this distance but along Barry Street is the entrance to the parking garage they mentioned a moment ago tucked behind that tree. If you can go to the next slide please, we'll see some close up images of that entrance.

The parking garages access from Barry Street as I said and located primarily below grade on the second subcellar level. It is an intended garage. Cars are dropped off with an attended in an approximately 80 by 26 foot entrance area shown here. The rear of the entry area contains two car elevators that attendants use to bring cars down to and up from the subcellar parking level.

The garage contains a total of 28,000 gross square feet. 2,000 of which comprise this entrance area and the remaining 26,000 of which are located on the below grade parking level. Next slide please. These are images of the below grade parking area. You can see the two car elevator doors in the upper

2.2

2.3

operates at a loss.

left image. Really the story that these images tell us that the car garage is severely underutilized today. When we started this process a couple years ago on average, only approximately 80 of the 142 parking spaces were utilized at any given time. That number has gone down as we've worked our way through this application process and it's actually an inflated number and that the garage charges rates that are well below market rates in the surrounding area. Those below market rates inflate utilization of the parking garage and even with those lower

rates, and the higher utilization, the garage still

So, we've identified a few reasons for this.

First, the zoning relations that are in effect today do not reflect the observation that the city has recently made in other contexts, which is that development sites in transit rich areas do not require as much parking as other areas. But there are also a few factors specific to this location.

Chief among those is that residents in the building have told Avie in a number of occasions that they don't like to use the garage because the operation of those car elevators result in significant delays in

2.2

retrieving cars. And further, we believe that the implementation of the Open Streets program will only further reduce demand for the parking garage.

Next slide please. So, this shows the proposed rezoning. The southern half of the block would be rezoned from the existing M12 and R6B pair district to an M14 R6B district. A very small portion of the development site would remain outside the rezoned area but under the split lot regulations of the zoning resolution, the new zoning would apply to the entire site.

Next slide please. The only effect of the proposed rezoning would be to eliminate the accessory parking requirement for the commercial uses in the building. The use regulations, bulk regulations and loading regulations would remain unchanged. By reducing or rather eliminating the accessory parking requirement for commercial uses, the total parking requirement for this building would go from 142 spaces down to 42 spaces.

Next slide please. As part of the application, our environmental and traffic engineering consultant Phillip Fabian Associates conducted a study of parking supply within the vicinity of the property.

2.2

2.3

They found the surplus of both off street parking spaces and on street parking spaces and concluded more generally that a surplus would remain with the proposed parking reduction. To take you through that study in some greater detail, these are maps showing the off street and on street parking locations within a quarter mile radius of the property. There are ten existing parking garages within that quarter mile radius containing a total of about 1,500 parking spaces and the curbside or on street parking accounts for an additional 1,500 parking spaces.

Next slide please. So, between these two sources of parking, we found that utilization rates generally range from 75 percent to 96 percent over the week day, mid-day and overnight periods, and that equates to an average of about 450 available parking spaces within the quarter mile radius outside of this site.

Next slide please. So, those numbers by themselves in the case that there is an adequate surplus of parking but the most rigorous way to do this analysis is to account for projected increases in demand resulting from population growth and surrounding development in the area. And even when taking into account those factors and the proposed

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reduction of parking, again we found that there would be adequate surplus in the surrounding area.

Next slide please. So, the rezoning would allow an approximately 18,000 square foot portion of the below grade parking area to be converted to a selfstorage facility. The self-storage facility would be designated or rather designed and operated for short term use by local residents and small businesses with small closet like units. This is an illustrative floor plan that shows the general sizes of those They're intended to all be less than 50 square feet. They are all almost about 4 feet wide, again, keeping inline with that vision of closet like storage space for local residents and small businesses. From what we've heard from residents and businesses in the building and in the area, there is a very real need for a facility of this type.

Next slide please. This is another illustrative floor plan of the at grade entrance area, which would be repurposed to serve both the existing parking garage to remain reduced in size. That's shown in yellow and that would be operated with one car elevator. The area shown in purple would be used for the proposed storage facility with a dedicated

2 elevator that instead of being used for vehicles
3 would be used for customer access.

2.2

2.3

Next slide please. So, we are pleased to report that this application has the supportive residents in the building, including the Condo Board, the Health Club in the building and of course the Community Board, the Borough President and the City Planning Commission and with that, we'd be happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I believe you answered them with your presentation but I just want to ask for the record, what is the current parking utilization rate and how would this change impact existing to residents?

ADAM TAUBMAN: Are you asking about the utilization rate in this garage?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

ADAM TAUBMAN: So, the conservative number we've been using for this application is 80 spaces out of 142. Very quick math, that's around 60 percent.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

ADAM TAUBMAN: That number is actually lower today and interestingly among residents who have the most convenient access to the building, as of today,

3 rented on a monthly basis.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay and how much are the parking spaces? Do you know?

ADAM TAUBMAN: I'm going to ask Avie to answer that question.

ABRAHAM BENNUN: Right now, we averaging less than \$200. Like \$195 but a lot of people are going out and coming back, so they're shopping around and then we try to lower the rate and bring some people back but yeah, it's just kind of like a game in between the other garages. We have to keep it lower, even from the garage, like two blocks away. One of the other things is daily that some other garages supplement during the week. We don't give those mainly because the street is closed and nobody is getting out. Moving the gate, moving it back and also if they do that, there is a whole foods garage that is free during the day, so if you really want to park, you just park there.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, alright thank you.

There being no more questions, you guys are excused.

Thank you.

ADAM TAUBMAN: Thank you. Thank you so much.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, are there any member

of the public who wish to testify on 197 Barry

4 Street?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, there is no one signed up online or in person to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 119 regarding the 197 Barry Street Rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

I will now open today's hearing on LU's 122 and 123 relating to the Prince Point Application in Minority Leader Borelli's district along the southeast shore of Staten Island. This is a residential development project that has long been in the making. The application seeks a text amendment extending the vesting provision for the project. Applicants also seeks an amended layout for this housing subdivision, which will require the mapping of the new streets. For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov.gov/landuse.

and five people, so somebody didn't.

24

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah. Thank you. No, it's

3

alright. Thank you. For the viewing public, if you

4

need an accessible version of this presentation,

please send an email request to

6

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the

7

applicant team may begin. Thank you for being here

8

today. Just please reinstate your name and

organization for the record.

10

ELLEN HAY: I'm Ellen Hay Herrick Feinstein, I'll

11

give a few reports introducing our team and then Phil

12

Rampulla will make the presentation. Uhm I'd like to

13

introduce Rob Huberman from Herrick, Phil Rampulla

14

from Rampulla Associate Architects, Robert White from

15

AKRF, and Greg Fleischer from Capital Environmental

16

Consultants.

17

located in Prince's Point neighborhood between Wolves

19

18

Pond Park to the east north Lemon Creek Park to the

This approximately a 37 acre vacant site is

20

West South, Pretty Place to the north and

21

Maraten (SP?) Bay to the east, south and southwest.

2.2

The developer purchased this property in 2017 from

2.3

the former owner Moss Development. Over the past

24

three decades, the development site in addition to

25

multiple land use approvals and associated

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74
restrictive declarations has also been the subject of

3 New York State DEC review, permits and restrictive

4 declarations.

2.2

2.3

The three primary land use goals for the past 35 years of efforts to develop this site have remained the same. To provide residential use with public access areas which remain consistent with neighborhood character and the existing adjacent parks. Support environmental goals in accordance with New York City Waterfront Revitalization program and the New York City State DEC standards. And in conformance with the Borough Presidents 2020 standards for new residential developments provide mapped streets and infrastructure consistent with current New York City DOT and New York City DEP standards.

The application seeks approval on three actions. A city map amendment for four new 50 foot wide streets within the site, authorization under Section 010764 to adjust the boundaries of the previously approved zoning lot subdivision and the Chairperson modification of the 5th amended restrictive deck.

At this point, I'd like to turn over to Phil and we can have the slide.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

PHILIP RAMPULLA: Good afternoon. Next slide please. One of the things that's very interesting about this project is normally these privately built streets would go to the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals for approval to build on an unmapped street. In the last mayoral administration, they got away from BSA approving GCL, General City Law 36 streets and we were instructed to map these private roads. Next slide please.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Phil, can you just wait one second. I think we just experienced a Zoom problem.

Just hold on one minute.

PHILIP RAMPULLA: Okay.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm going to put the hearing on pause because we're seeing technical difficulties.

Just give us one second. [01:37:08]- [01:37:35]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you have a physical copy of the presentation by any chance?

ELLEN HAY: I don't.

PHILIP RAMPULLA: I do not.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, let's just wait one more minute. [01:37:42] - [01:38:19]. Phil, I know you're very accustomed or familiar with this project, so are you able to do this presentation without the slides

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 76 2 and then maybe you can send it to the Chair and to us 3 afterwards? 4 PHILIP RAMPULLA: Yes, not a problem. I can do 5 it. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Is that okay with you Chair? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, that's fine with me if that's okay with the applicant team. 8 9 PHILIP RAMPULLA: That's fine with us. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, then you may continue. 10 11 PHILIP RAMPULLA: So, it's a great site plan. 12 Oh, there we go. 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright. 14 PHILIP RAMPULLA: So, that's the existing 15 conditions and you can see that the site is 16 surrounded by water on two sides and it's actually 17 the lower Raritan Bay. This is a 94 unit single 18 family detached home development. Previously 14 of 19 those houses were built and you can see them up on 20 the slide because they face on a city map street. So, the developer was able to buy the project in 2017 21 2.2 and those first 14 went up. Next slide please. 2.3 should not even ask. 24 So, access, pedestrian access okay - so to our

left is Lemon Creek Park and to the right is Wolves

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Pond Park and one of the goals was to create two pedestrian paths that are on each side of the Title Creek behind the houses that were built to have pedestrian access link one side of the development to the other. There is one access point for public access by the newly mapped street on the right side of the screen and that comes down and has a circular shape to it and there are four cross streets that connect the loop streets. The streets will be open to the public on a 24 hour basis within the private development itself, are two public access points for scenic overviews because we're at a much higher elevation than the water. The water is at elevation zero and we're at about elevation 16. So, we're about 16 feet above the water. In addition to that, to the very, very right of the road that leads into the project site is a public pedestrian access that goes along the beach. So, they have access that goes around the site to the bottom and then leads into Lemon Creek Park.

So, besides the two pedestrian links to the parks, there is also public access within the development and there's public access at the beachfront.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

When we first started this and I can't - in 2006, the roads were only required to be 30 feet wide. Next slide please. And that had 104 dwelling units when the roads were 30 feet wide. Come on- uh God The next slide would be in 2019 and Bless vou. that's when the roads would be 34 feet wide and we went down to 104 dwelling units. Then in 2020, the fire department changed the regulations to have newly created streets from 34 feet to 38 feet wide, and we went down to 93 units and that was in 2020 and then in 2023, the Fire Department changed the regulations once again, they went to 34 foot wide streets, which means a 50 foot mapping because you have 34 feet for the pavement and then you have the planting strip on both sides and the sidewalk that comes to be a 50 foot wide street. So, we're asking for 50 foot wide streets to be mapped within the whole development.

The infrastructure within the streets will be built to DOT Standards, it will not be private road standards and the owner will deed this property to the City of New York I believe for \$1.00. So there's no acquisition costs at all. I'd be glad to entertain any questions at this point.

1 79 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, I'm just going to 3 entertain you with three questions okay? Can you 4 describe how the public access areas will operate and 5 will they be open 24/7? ELLEN HAY: Yes. 6

> PHILIP RAMPULLA: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELLEN HAY: Yes, the answer is yes and the access is open from dawn to dusk.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, how would they operate? Can you give a little bit more detail?

ELLEN HAY: Well, it's a private development, so anyone living in the community, in the residential area will be able to utilize the public access areas and with regard to those living in the community, they can walk over and walk through and go to the public access areas where they - oh I'm sorry, you can't hear me, I'm sorry. They can access the same as the private residents.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This is a very important question especially to the community and the minority leader. Will the development team commit not to place any gates at the entrance of the public accessible spaces?

PHILIP RAMPULLA: Yes.

Point Application?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, there is no members of the public in person or online who wish to testify. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 122 and 123 regarding the Prince Point application, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over. That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other Council Staff and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned, thank you. [GAVEL]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 31, 2024