CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

June 18, 2024 Start: 1:16 p.m. Recess: 2:49 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Justin L. Brannan, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala Gale A. Brewer

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

David M. Carr Amanda Farías Crystal Hudson Farrah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya

Keith Powers Yusef Salaam

Pierina Ana Sanchez Althea V. Stevens Nantasha M. Williams

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Sandy Nurse

APPEARANCES

Preston Niblack, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Finance

Annette Hill, Deputy Commissioner for Customer Operations at New York City Department of Finance

Jeffrey Shear, First Deputy Commissioner at New York City Department of Finance

Albert Kramer, Acting Deputy Commissioner of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection

Kim Darga, Deputy Commissioner of the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Paula Segal, Senior Staff Attorney in the Equitable Neighborhoods Practice of TakeRoot Justice

Will Spisak, Senior Program Associate at New Economy Project

Kevin Wolfe, Deputy Director of Advocacy and Public Affairs at Center for NYC Neighborhoods

Jakob Kendall Schneider, Board of the East New York Community Land Trust

Joan Erskine, Brooklyn Level Up

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone check for the Committee on Finance, recorded by Layla Lynch, in the Committee Room on June 18, 2024.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Hello, and welcome to the New York City Hybrid Heard on the Committee on Finance.

Please silent all electronic devices.

At no time, please do not approach the dais. If you have any questions, please raise your hand, and one of us, the Sergeant-at-Arms, will kindly assist you.

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Chair, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Sarge.

[GAVEL] Okay, good morning, well, no, good afternoon, and welcome to today's Finance Committee meeting. I'm Council Member Brannan. I Chair the Committee on Finance. We've been joined this afternoon by Council Members Salaam, Carr, Brooks-Powers, Ayala, and Brewer. We've also been joined by Council Member Moya on Zoom.

Today, the Committee is holding a hearing on a Pre-Considered Introduction, sponsored by myself

2.2

2.3

and Council Members Sandy Nurse and Nantasha

Williams, which would make significant reforms to the

City's process of collecting outstanding property

taxes and charges. It would authorize the Department

of Finance to conduct the sale of this debt with a

new set of comprehensive protections for homeowners

and tenants that shifts focus towards home

preservation and resolving debt rather than solely on

collection of debt by any means.

I want to thank the Finance Division

Staff for their work in preparation for this hearing.

Specifically, my Deputy Director Emre Edev, State and Federal Legislative Counsel Alan Leibowitz, Chief

Economist Dilara Dimnaku, my Committee Counsel Mike

Twomey, and my Senior Advisor Jonathan Yedin, who've put in a ton of work on this bill over the past almost two years, and today is a culmination of a lot, a lot of hard work behind the scenes so I'm very grateful to everyone that helped out.

The City first began selling liens on delinquent property tax in 1996, along with water, sewer, and certain other municipal charges, as a replacement method to collect delinquent property taxes without the financial obligations of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

foreclosing on and directly administering delinquent properties. Before the lien sale, the property tax delinquency rate sat at nearly 5 percent. Since adoption of the lien sale program, nearly 80 percent of property owners pay the full amount owed or access a payment plan or exemption before the lien is sold. As of FY23, the delinquency rate was 2.2 percent. However, the Council has repeatedly recognized the tax lien sale as practiced disproportionately harmed homeowners in communities of color and lower-income communities. A report by the Coalition for Affordable Homes found nearly two-thirds of the lien sales sold in the 2021 sale were in areas where over half the homeowners identified as non-white or Hispanic, while over a quarter of the lien sales were in areas where homeowners reported incomes of less than 75,000 dollars. The property tax is the City's largest source of revenue, and it's important this resource be collected in order to fund the everyday programs and services necessary to support all New Yorkers, but the Council has been and remains willing to let authorization for the lien sale expire rather than collect funds at the expense of driving foreclosure and homelessness among our most vulnerable. For over

resolving its underlying causes.

2.2

2.3

half a year, the Council has worked with advocates and the Administration to develop necessary reforms to curb the harm inflicted on communities while upholding our fiscal responsibility to the City. This bill would continue a shift of how the City approaches this debt, taking a broader view of

To do that, we look towards four key goals in this bill. Number one, first and foremost, was to protect at-risk homeowners, particularly those with incomes below the income threshold set by the Enhanced STAR Program, which is currently 98,700, and updated each year pursuant to State law. For these homeowners, we wanted to make solutions where they could resolve their delinquency status while still preserving their equity and avoiding displacement.

Second, we are taking steps to make sure that as the City pushes to collect outstanding debt from landlords, their tenants do not suffer from the landlords diverting funds away from building upkeep to pay back the owed taxes.

Third, after protecting the most at-risk, we wanted to be sure that we kept the ability to enforce and collect property taxes and water bills

2.2

2.3

from those property owners who truly do have the ability to pay and should.

Fourth, we do not see this bill as the final step. Instead, it's part one of an ongoing process to rethink and reimagine and continually improve how we approach the resolution of property taxes and other City charges.

I want to briefly outline some of the key portions of the bill in a larger agreement with the Administration. Increased outreach and transparency from DOF. This bill would require the Department of Finance to send late payment notices 45 days after an owner is late on their property taxes, matching the existing best practice from DEP. Council would also receive additional information about water delinquencies so we can better track where the problem areas are and develop solutions, and additional reporting to track how well the bill's new programs are working and make sure that nothing is falling through any gaps.

Number two is end fast-tracking the sale of subsequent liens. To reduce the number of properties at risk of having liens sold and to help manage the burden on those whose liens were sold, we

2.2

2.3

would end the fast-tracking of sale of subsequent liens. We would exclude undeveloped vacant land from the debt sale to prevent these plots of land falling into the hands of those who would exploit the property in illegal ways or to harass neighboring properties.

Dedicated funding, including a Council initiative for estate planning, to ensure our seniors have clear wills to avoid legal issues that would happen when they pass away without one, along with a 2-million-dollar annual commitment from the Administration to fund outreach that will work in conjunction with the recently expanded HPD Help Desk.

An expansion of new ways for property owners to resolve their debt. A new easy exit program. Primary resident homeowners with incomes below the Enhanced STAR threshold can remove themselves from the lien sale on request up to three times over three years. This will give them the space and time they need to figure out a lasting solution.

A new circuit breaker deferral program, allowing eligible homeowners to defer any property taxes that exceed 10 percent of their income. This would improve the affordability of their payments and

2.2

2.3

2 address what we believe to be a key driver of
3 delinquency.

A last option to preservation housing. A final last resort option for homeowners who are faced with displacement because they are at the stage of having to either sell their home or face foreclosure. This voluntary program would transfer the homeowner's property to a qualified preservation purchaser or a QPP who will lease the property back to the owner for 99 years at a rate affordable to that owner. The owner will also get a limited equity note that can be cashed in if and when they decide to vacate the property. At that point, the QPP would resell the home as a part of an affordable home ownership program. Both the lease and the limited equity note are transferable to the owner's heirs.

If a homeowner's debt is sold, this bill would offer new protections. A homeowner who qualifies for SCHE or DHE, certain veterans' exemptions, or any other exemption that would remove someone from the scale, they can file an application for that exemption up to 90 days after the sale and have the sale undone. The 5 percent surcharge levied

2.2

2.3

when a lien is sold will be waived for certain
homeowners once they pay off their debt.

We're also mindful in this process of tenants. For rental buildings that regularly appear on the lien sale list, HPD will inspect those buildings with high levels of debt to ensure these properties are not distressed. If they are, they would automatically go to the third-party transfer program.

with the Council a list of other such frequent flyer buildings that have demonstrated levels of poor housing conditions, but their debts do not reach the TPT threshold, so that Council Members can continue to monitor and work to improve conditions. As part of the TPT reforms, the Council will explore if some of these properties make sense for inclusion to that program for enforcement. I'd also add that my expectation is that the outreach funding will also help connect tenants and rental buildings that they come across tenant resources.

Finally, this bill would create a task force with a specific focus on the City Trust that purchases and enforces the liens to determine if

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reforms or a whole new approach could improve not 2 3 just collections, but to address the City's housing crisis. The Council intends to act on the 4 recommendations of this Task Force next year before the end of this session.

Before I close, I want to take this time to point out that many of the harms I've mentioned can be traced back to the basic structure of our property tax system, and I once again call on my colleagues in Albany to take up the recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Property Tax Reform and finish the job of building a fair and rational property tax system. The City has pushed the ball just about as far as we can and as far as we have the power to do. We need our State partners to take it the rest of the way.

Today, we'll hear testimony from the Administration about this bill. I look forward to discussing how we continue to take these necessary steps forward.

With that, I'll turn things over to the Counsel to swear in the witnesses.

Just note that we've also been joined by Council Members Sanchez and Nurse.

and of the City Council. My name is Preston Niblack,

25

- 2 and I'm Commissioner of the Department of Finance.
- 3 I'm joined today by DOF's First Deputy Commissioner
- 4 Jeffrey Shear, our Deputy Commissioner for Customer
- 5 Operations Annette Hill, Deputy Commissioner Kim
- 6 Darga of the Department of Housing, Preservation, and
- 7 Development, and Acting Deputy Commissioner Albert
- 8 Kramer of the Department of Environmental Protection.

9 We are here today to discuss the Pre-

- 10 | Considered Intro to Transform Property Tax
- 11 | Enforcement and Debt Resolution. As you know, the
- 12 | sale of liens against privately-owned properties for
- 13 property taxes, water and sewer charges, and for
- 14 certain other property-based charges, has been the
- 15 City of New York's primary mechanism for the
- 16 enforcement of payment obligations and property
- 17 owners since 1996. My own history with the tax lien
- 18 \parallel sale goes back to 2011, if I recall correctly, when
- 19 | the City Council, with leadership from the late Al
- 20 | Vann, worked to add protections for vulnerable
- 21 homeowners and communities as a critical part of the
- 22 | tax lien sale reauthorization that year. Since that
- 23 time, there have been several refinements to the
- 24 program, primarily designed to protect the rights of
- 25 | homeowners. Over the years, we have mandated more

2.2

2.3

outreach, changed the thresholds for inclusion in the lien sale, and expanded our payment plan options, among other reforms.

Nothing in the past, however, has approached the extent and depth of reform that is embodied in this proposal. This bill will fundamentally change how we resolve property tax debt, going further than ever before, to recognize the distinction between property owners who could pay but do not, and those who would pay but cannot.

changes, let me review the process as it has worked until now. As you know, if a property owner accumulates unpaid property tax, water and sewer, HPD debt, depending on the property and debt type, that debt becomes a lien and, if the unpaid lien exceeds certain thresholds, the lien may be subject to sale. A list of properties with qualifying liens is released 90 days ahead of the sale, and owners for the property on that list receive a notice in the mail. If the owner does not take any action to resolve the debt through full payment or entering into a payment plan, or applying for and receiving an eligible exemption, they will receive additional

notices at 60, 30, and 10 days ahead of the date set 2 3 for sale of the liens. Most liens are resolved before 4 they are sold. In the six lien sales between 2015 and the last one in 2021, over two-thirds of liens were 5 removed before sale because they were either paid in 6 7 full, or the owner had entered into a payment plan, 8 or because they had a current or pending exemption but, in the event that the owner has not acted to resolve their debt, the liens on that property are 10 11 sold to a legally separate, special-purpose Trust 12 created by the City. In return for the right to 13 collect on the sold liens, the Trust pays the City an amount that it raises through the sale of interest-14 15 bearing bonds. If no action is taken between seven 16 months and a year from the sale date, then the 17 property may be subject to a foreclosure action. 18 Foreclosure is, by design, a lengthy process, 19 typically at least two years. Property owners may 20 resolve their debt at any point during a foreclosure 21 action, right up until the sale at auction of a 2.2 property. An auction sale is, of course, the worst-2.3 case outcome and the one that we are seeking to avoid, particularly for low- to moderate-income 24 25 homeowners, through the proposal before you today.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So what is different in this legislation from past lien sales? First, we start from a posture of prevention. Our goal is to help taxpayers resolve their debt and to dramatically reduce the number of owners that face enforcement actions. As a first step, starting this August, we will begin sending past-due notices to owners who miss their quarterly or semiannual payment. This may seem like an obvious step, but it's one we haven't done before. Based on our experience with the annual October 31st debt warning notice that we have sent out for the past three years, we think reminding taxpayers that they owe us money will help keep them current with their obligations. DEP already does this and has seen a decline in the delinquency rate since they introduced it.

In a change from past practice, no liens on Class I homes and condos and co-ops will be subject to sale unless they have independently reached the required age and amount to be included in a lien sale. This change will by itself substantially reduce the number of homeowners in each year's sale.

We are mandating much more intensive, personalized outreach between the time that the 90-

2.2

2.3

day notices go out and the lien sale itself. We often hear that people simply did not receive the notice regarding the lien sale or that they don't know what they need to do to be removed. Our goal is first and foremost to make sure they are aware of the lien sale and secondly to make sure they are aware of the resources available to them to assist in addressing their particular situations, whether that be financial counseling, estate planning, mortgage assistance, legal, or other services. The proposal includes 2 million dollars for this purpose to work with community partners for outreach during the notice period and to direct owners to the appropriate services.

We are also redesigning our notifications to homeowners to highlight the resources and options available to them, including exemptions, payment plans, and the option to gain extra time to resolve their debt. In addition, at-risk notices will let owners know the minimum amount that needs to be resolved to ensure that they are removed from the lien sale. In this way, we hope to make it less daunting for owners to begin to tackle their debt and avoid enforcement.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are creating more pathways for owners to avoid the sale of their liens. For example, even if you receive your notice in a timely fashion, 90 days may not be enough time to resolve what may be difficult financial or other challenges so we are proposing to give vulnerable homeowners more time to address their situations. Through what we refer to as the easy exit option, low- and moderate-income primary resident homeowners may simply apply to be removed from the lien sale. In return, they'll provide their contact information so that we may continue to work with them to address their financial or other circumstances. This option can be exercised up to three times, giving homeowners up to three years to resolve their debt before any further enforcement action.

We have also enhanced the availability of our Property Tax And Interest Deferral, or PT AID, program by increasing the income threshold, which will be pegged to the Enhanced Star Income Threshold, which is currently 98,700, as you noted.

Thanks to Speaker Adams' leadership, we are creating a new income-based PT AID plan that will allow eligible homeowners to defer property taxes in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

excess of 10 percent of their annual income each year. In short, we are substantially enhancing our outreach to at-risk homeowners as well as the amount of time and options available to them so that they can avoid being in the lien sale process and address the circumstances that led them to fall behind on their payments.

These measures taken together will dramatically reduce the number of homeowners who face enforcement action. Inevitably, there will be some owners who, despite our best efforts, will not have reached a resolution before the lien sale. There is now opportunity for them to resolve their debt more easily, even after the sale. Notably, under the proposed legislation, we will waive the 5 percent surcharge that is added to their total sold lien amount for eligible homeowners who resolve their debt. In addition, homeowners will continue to be able to remove their liens by applying for eligible tax exemptions up to 90 days after the date of the tax lien sale and, finally, in the event that a taxpayer cannot resolve their debt through any other means, we have developed an important alternative called the preservation track to allow owners to

2.2

2.3

remain in their homes and retain equity in their home. Eligible homeowners will have the option to transfer their property to a community land trust or other qualified not-for-profit in return for the right to remain in their home at a cost they can afford. The not-for-profit will enter into a 99-year lease with the resident and a long-term renewable regulatory agreement with HPD that will ensure that the property will continue to be used as affordable housing.

package that we present today. It is the culmination of years of advocacy, hard work, and dedication by many of you here today, including Members, Staff, and advocates. We thank you for your input and hope that you see the results of your work reflected in this proposal. I want in particular to thank Speaker Adams, Chair Brannan, and the Staff of the Council Finance Division for their dedicated collaboration on this legislation as well as our Administration partners at HPD, DEP, the Law Department, and the Office of Management and Budget. On behalf of the Adams' Administration and all of us, I appreciate the

2.2

2.3

opportunity to testify today, and we are happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you,

Commissioner. We've also been joined by Council

Members Hudson and Stevens.

Let me talk about revenues a bit. Our understanding has been that prior lien sales generated about 300 million dollars in revenues from uncollected taxes and water bills. Do you agree with that assessment, and how much of that revenue was City funds and how much was it for the Water Board?

approximately right. The average between 2015 and 2019, if I recall correctly, was about 300 million dollars in property taxes, and then I'm not going to remember the number off the top of my head, but some other amount in water and sewer and other charges, but altogether around, if I recall, about 450 million dollars usually.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Considering that we haven't had a lien sale since 2021, it would seem that the revenues should be much greater in the next lien sale so how much in outstanding property charges

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

do you think DOF can collect in the next lien sale?

Best quess.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: That's a difficult question to answer, in part because, as you note, we haven't had a lien sale in a little while. We've had an intervening sort of life-altering pandemic that has changed the economic situation in the city quite a bit. Our goal is to bring that back down, the delinquencies back down, to the level that we saw pre-pandemic. We just don't know exactly how people are going to respond. We have a whole new set of options and off-ramps here that we're offering to people, which we're going to try and take advantage of so, honestly, my hope is that a lot of people will not get into the lien sale, and we will collect prior to even the lien sale process, to some extent, and certainly during the 90-day notice period. Again, I hesitate to forecast a number, but, again, our goal is to sort of bring this back down to a level that was what we experienced prior to the pandemic when we had regular lien sales.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And regular lien sales, typically 300 million, right?

2.2

2.3

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KRAMER: I can speak to that. For water debt, we're owed, we have roughly a billion dollars.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: One billion?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KRAMER: One billion, correct.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I want to talk about the Graveyard Trust and residual payments to the City. The D-1998-2 Trust, a.k.a. the Graveyard Trust, has no bondholders to pay and is where older liens that have been difficult to collect end up. Because it has no bondholders, all revenues it generates are due to the City, so the Trust has been collecting debts for the past several years, but from what the Council can tell, at least through the end of FY23, it hasn't sent any money to the City in at least two years. The City's ACFR showed 0 dollars in lien sale revenues. Could you tell us why that is?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HILL: We get a quarterly report, and there have been some money from the Trust that has come in from the 1998 Trust.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So what happened to those revenues? Who decides when to send them back to the City?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HILL: Well, through the contractors. We have services who manage the collection of the liens, and the Trust sends a quarterly report of the money. It comes in quarterly.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So how much in collected revenues that could be brought back to the City are still with the Trust currently?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: There's about 450 million dollars outstanding on liens in the Trust.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: 4 5 0?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: 450, yes. A lot of that, the reason properties are in the 1998-2 Trust is often because they're very difficult to collect. They may have no value at auction because they're an undevelopable vacant lot or other property. They're a brownfield. They don't have any value necessarily that anybody's willing to pay for them. Very often it's a question of tangled ownership records, litigation, bankruptcy so, if we can't establish a clean ownership trail, obviously we can't sell it so a lot of the liens in the lien sale trust, although they add up to a substantial amount, they're very difficult to really be able to enforce and collect.

2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: It's our

3 understanding that the initial lien sale list prior

4 to COVID used to list nearly 900 million in

5 outstanding liens. The City only collected about 300

6 million of that through lien sales so what happened

7 to the rest?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: We include on the initial list every property that shows outstanding taxes, property taxes, water and sewer debt, and other charges. Typically that's, yes, somewhere between 900 and a billion dollars on the initial list. It often turns out that a lot of those buildings are removed from this list for many reasons. They could be owned for not-for-profit. They may be distressed and pulled by HPD. They may be in litigation or bankruptcy, etc. There are a whole list of reasons why a number of those buildings might be removed. Some of the funds, obviously that 300 million, some of them are brought in ahead of the lien sale when people get their 90-day notice. Some of it's at the lien sale, and some of it comes in subsequently, and some of it ends up, as we just discussed, in the Graveyard Trust because it's uncollectible. In the absence of the lien sale,

2.2

2.3

though, we probably wouldn't collect that 300 million either so, although there's a lot that is there initially that shows up on the list, a lot of it is not debt that should have been imposed for various reasons or debt that we could collect through the enforcement process.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We understand that there's a number of administrative pulls for reasons like the property is government-owned or there's a legal dispute or it's in the HPD or an EDC program. Could you tell us how come those aren't scrubbed prior to the notice list being created?

amount of scrubbing that does go on. There's properties that are initially put on the list and then removed before the 90-day list is finalized, but there are a lot of properties that we don't know the full circumstances of so, if a property was transferred to a new owner, like a non-for-profit or a homeowner who gets an exemption, if there are, again, legal issues, bankruptcy proceedings, title disputes, etc., we're not always going to know all of those circumstances. The notification itself is

2.2

2.3

2 actually our way of eliciting some of that
3 information from property owners.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can DOF commit to scrubbing the list better from the beginning so that we just focus on outreach on properties that are truly at risk?

make sure that we have a list that's clean and, again, we use this in part when we're not sure about the circumstances of a property to try and elicit information to help clarify that so very often we won't know about some of the litigation, for example, that's occurring or disputes over a title until we find out once we've notified the owner of record that we have of the lien sale.

I don't know, Deputy Commissioner Hill, you want to add anything?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HILL: Yes. We do share the list with quite a bit of our partners so they could scrub it. We share it with DEP and HPD, and they do reach out to us, and we do scrub it as well as the Law Department so we do have a big inhouse scrubbing that goes on prior to the actual sale.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: The lien sale Trust,
3 can you describe the Trust and who staffs it?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: The Trust is a legal entity that exists for purposes of holding the liens. It doesn't have a staff per se. It's overseen by the Department of Finance, by the Law Department, and by OMB, and the Trust has a Trust manager who hires services to manage the collections and resolution of the debt in each lien sale.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: When does the Trust start foreclosures?

typically it can start if there's no response from the homeowner and it gets to the six-month mark.

After the lien sale where interest payments are due and there's no payment from the owner, you can start and initiate a foreclosure action 30 days after that, so seven months after that. If there's some payment that's been made but it stopped, then we will wait until the one-year mark. Obviously, if people are working with us, we have any indication that somebody's trying to work with us to pay it, we won't initiate a foreclosure action.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can the Trust pause them if an owner is trying to pay off the debt?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Yes, absolutely. If

anybody has indicated that they're working with us, they've made a down payment against a payment plan, or they're working on transfer of the title or any other action that would result in resolution of the debt, yeah, absolutely, we won't initiate, and we will pause any action that has been initiated.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can you tell us how many foreclosures have happened through the lien sale?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I'm sorry. Say that again?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: How many

foreclosures have happened through the lien sale?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: In the 2021 lien sale, there have been no sales at auction, right? No properties have been sold from the 2021 lien sale. A couple hundred at least since 2015 have reached the sale point. Foreclosure actions are initiated more often but, most of the time, three-quarters of the time, they result in resolution before it ever gets to the sale point.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What's the Trust

contract with the servicer? What is the incentive of

the servicer to work with the Trust?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HILL: Yes, I can talk to that. Thank you. The servicer gets paid on collections. They start off as 1 percent on the base portfolio, and it increases as the years go by so the focus is on collecting initial, the most easier ones, and they get a higher percentage towards the end when it's more difficult to collect. They only get paid on only collections so they're more apt to try to get the liens resolved than not.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So how come the City can't do the foreclosures itself?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: In a world of unlimited resources and where I could hire all the lawyers I wanted, yes, in theory, we could do it ourselves.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I mean, would that provide more flexibility to homeowners?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I don't think that it does. I mean, the process is overseen by the courts. The whole foreclosure process is overseen by the courts, and it's a very structured process. It's

2.2

2.3

designed to protect homeowners. There are a lot of steps along the way and, as I said, the debt could be resolved at any point right up until there's a sale if it comes to that so I think the basic process is laid out in State Law, and it's pretty much it's going to be the same regardless of whether it's a city lawyer or a contract lawyer who's working on it.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What happens to tenants of rental properties that are foreclosed?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: In general,

properties that are in the lien sale that are rental

properties, their liens are sold or that are subject

to a foreclosure action, enjoy the same rights and

protections as tenants in any other building? I don't

know if Deputy Commissioner Darga would like to speak

to that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Sure. I would just add that it really, to some degree, depends on the underlying restrictions on the property so the State did recently pass good cause evictions, so even tenants that are not rent-stabilized tenants do have some protections in the case that there was a change of hands in terms of property ownership.

2.2

2.3

as you mentioned in your opening, we have added some vehicle here to heighten HPD's awareness of and attention to properties that are showing sort of signs of incipient distress because they've been chronically delinquent. They've been on the prior lien sale list twice out of the last four lists. They have a debt-to-value ratio that exceeds 15 percent so buildings that are starting to show that there may be sort of a chronic problem, that list will be given to HPD for them to look at the violations history and do additional inspections of whatever enforcement seems appropriate depending on the circumstances of that building.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I just want to ask a couple of things specific to the bill, and then I want to turn it over to my Colleagues, and then I'll come back.

With regard to the preservation track, this section of the bill is based on language that DOF proposed and your side did much of the drafting, and we appreciate that, but I want to confirm your interpretation of how this will work. You could walk me through what the owner of the property gets and

their choosing?

2.2

2.3

2	how	whatever	they	can	be	inherited	bу	their	heirs.

Are there any restrictions that you are aware of in state law or federal law that would restrict the ability of the old homeowner and now tenant to pass along the lease and the equity note to the heir of

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Certainly. What the owner gets is two things, really, of substance. One is lifetime tenancy at a cost that they can afford. Thing two that they get is an equity stake that is reified in a note that is executed by the qualified preservation purchaser to the tenant, which guarantees that upon sale, including a sale that might be initiated by the tenant, that they will be able to realize gain from that sale.

And the second part of your question, I'm sorry, concerned the heritability, right?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: As far as we know,

I mean, this is all subject to state law, of course,

but as far as we are aware with our legal review

here, there should not be any impediment to

heritability of either the lease itself or the note.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: On the circuit breaker deferral, how many homeowners do you think might be eligible for the circuit breaker deferral program?

question and an unknown, honestly. I mean, I think we are hoping to see an uptake overall in the PT AID as we do more outreach. We think it's a really valuable set of programs and payment plans that can be very beneficial to homeowners. We want to make sure that people who are taking advantage of the program are doing so with full understanding of their options, and we're really focused here on outreach so that we can get people enrolled in these programs. I don't really have any way of knowing exactly how many people we would expect to see participate.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What will the application process look like?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: The application process will look like it currently does for our PT AID programs. You can do it online, actually, or you can come in to a business center and fill out an application. It's a fairly straightforward eligibility checklist with some fairly minimal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

documentation of tax return for income, and then we will review that and make a determination. It's a fairly straightforward process.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: You mentioned that you've been working on a draft bill around tax reform. Does it include a true circuit breaker tax break that would be in position to replace this?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Yes. The Advisory Commission recommendations, you'll recall, included a circuit breaker that was very similar to this, except, of course, it actually waived the taxes, not deferred them, but capped the amount that a taxpayer would owe in property taxes based on their income and a percentage of that. I actually think this is an extremely important feature. Right now, the tax burden on low- and moderate-income homeowners has very little relief. People get very little relief. The State circuit breaker is not very generous, so it really doesn't provide that much benefit. I think that this will be a very important part of property tax reform. It will be a very important part also of helping people stay in their homes and afford to stay in their homes, and I think that alone would

2.2

dramatically reduce the number of people who we ever had to consider for a lien sale.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Last question from me is with regard to the retroactive SCHE and DHE. Part of the deal is an agreement to go to Albany to get state law around the Senior Citizen Homeowner or Disabled Homeowner Exemption programs, which is SCHE and DHE, which reduces the tax on these eligible homeowners with incomes below 58,400 by up to 50 percent. Change would be to allow people who get these tax breaks and were eligible in prior years to get their benefits retroactively, so could you talk about how you envision this retroactivity working, and would there be limits?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: We really haven't developed a specific proposal yet so I don't know that we have a vision yet for exactly how this would be implemented, but we totally support the goal, and we are looking forward to working with you all on crafting legislation that we can introduce next year.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. We've also been joined by Council Members Farías, Louis, and Powers.

2.2

2.3

We're now going to hand it over to Council Member Nurse for her questions.

Thank you, Commissioner. You might have said this, but I struggle sometimes with the acoustics in the room so I'm sorry if I missed it. I wanted to talk about the rentals and tenant outreach, and since we have HPD here as well, I wanted to see if you could put on record just a little bit more detail about how you imagine coordinating and engaging tenants who are living in buildings that most likely also have a high set of housing violations in addition to being potentially in financial distress.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I'm sorry, you're asking about, yes, the acoustics are troublesome.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: What are you saying?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I said the acoustics are indeed troublesome. You're asking about outreach specifically to rental buildings?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yes, for the multifamily rentals. The outreach is primarily designed to reach homeowners who have often been sort of left behind in this process. We've had a model

2.2

2.3

where we hold outreach sessions, and we expect you to find out about them and come to us. Now we're trying to reverse that, and I thank you for really pushing this with me personally and us towards a model where we go find people and make sure that they are aware of the lien sale and their options. We're also developing this watchlist of buildings that indicate, as I said, sort of the incipient signs of distress that we'll provide to HPD, and then HPD will do their own enforcement as appropriate to the circumstances of that building.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, HPD, could you talk a little bit about how you all are coordinating and the types of outreach and what information you think would be relevant?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: As the

Commissioner mentioned, there's a couple different

types of outreach. There's outreach to the building

owners that have liens on the lien sale. HPD

separately does a variety of tenant support and has

ways in which tenants can reach out to us as well so,

certainly, we have ongoing enforcement work. We will

continue to do so. Tenants can always call 3-1-1 or

HPD if they're concerned about housing quality.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: But under this new framework, how will you all be coordinating and what is the new type of outreach going to look like?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay, so that is mostly focused on the owners, right, so we are allocating 2 million dollars. That 2 million dollars will supplement the outreach and support that exists through the Homeowner Helpdesk that we hope to launch this summer and will align nicely with the Homeowner Advocate. Thank you, Council Member Brooks-Powers, for pushing that through, and so it will be proactive, one-on-one outreach for owners in order to help them address any issues, and that could include counseling, legal assistance, technical assistance, etc. We separately have proactive outreach we do to some residents as well. We are actually hoping to launch our Partners in Preservation Initiative this summer, which will be a citywide initiative focused, though, on four main geographies in New York City where we've had higher incidences of eviction, tenant harassment, housing quality issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, so for the multifamily buildings, you primarily will, and I'm just restating for the record, so you'll mostly be

2.2

2.3

focused on the property owner, and maybe there might be at your discretion some proactive outreach to tenants.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yeah, so our tenant outreach, there are, I'd say, four main ways that we do it, right? There's the ongoing enforcement work and ways that tenants can reach out to us through that. The public engagement outside of HPD also has the Tenant Support Unit and tenant helpline that can help with housing quality issues or other legal issues that they may be facing. We also allocated additional funding last year to revitalize Right to Counsel. There's 20 million that was allocated for that. And, as I mentioned, the Partners in Preservation Initiative.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah, I think it would be important to consider in these multifamily buildings additional outreach to tenants as well, particularly when you have a frequent visitor to the lien sale, making sure that those tenants have that information, can empower them in different ways should they need to organize for different, more drastic actions.

	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 42
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yeah, so one
3	thing I will note that this adds newly, the
4	Commissioner mentioned just a moment ago, the rental
5	watchlist so for properties that are repeatedly on
6	the lien sale list, two times in four years, plus
7	some additional characteristics, higher lien to
8	value, other issues that we're seeing, we are
9	intending to do more proactive inspection and
10	evaluation of those properties.
11	COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: And the bill also
12	includes a provision that requires HPD to provide
13	tenants in those buildings with information,
14	including their basic housing, tenant rights,
15	information that they've developed and other
16	resources that tenants can look to.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you, Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member
19	Brewer.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very

much. I think I've asked this before. First of all, congratulations, everybody. This is a big project.

The person who has either the rental or, in my case, empty, vacant brownstones, not paying their taxes, empty buildings, I assume somebody owned

2.2

2.3

them at one point, but they're pretty derelict so I guess my goal would be to have it go to a non-profit. Is that at all possible under any of these scenarios where, I mean, I don't know if you forgive the taxes if it goes to a non-profit. I'm trying to get some affordable housing out of this big effort. I don't know if there is any way, but I'm trying to find out.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: The primary focus here of this bill is really on resolving people's debt. Where you have buildings where essentially somebody has walked away from and abandoned, the appropriate vehicle for that is something like third-party transfer, which I know there have been ongoing discussions about between the Council and the Administration. I can let Deputy Commissioner Darga talk a little bit more about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We don't want to end up in the same situation last time where you have a third-party transfer that's as bad as the original owner.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yeah, so two things to note. I think for one- to four-family properties, there is the preservation track so, if it's occupied still, that is a unique new option that

the last round, which was in 2019, and the program

24

2.2

2.3

would require legislative changes, and so we are very interested in working with City Council to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The other question I have is when you have this rental agreement, which sounds interesting and certainly something to consider, would it just be on the open market, the person who would purchase it, with the tenants and the heirs-to-be and so on and so forth?

an open market. The idea in the preservation track is if an owner, after substantial counseling and work with legal services providers, decides that that's the right path for them, the transfer after foreclosure, because it would be basically a voluntary process to go through that, would be to a qualified preservation purchaser, which would be qualified through a request through qualifications with HPD, and our intent there is to work particularly with some of the community land trusts in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Sanchez.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so 3 much, Council Member Chair Brannan, and good to see

4 everyone.

2.2

2.3

I first just want to shout out so many people who work so hard, all of you, the team at Finance, especially Emre, and, of course, Chair Brannan, thank you so much, and I want to shout out specifically the Tax Lien Sale Coalition and Paula Segel from TakeRoot Justice, who I've been learning from since finishing grad school. It's just incredible what you all have been able to do to incorporate such an enormous amount of feedback from so many different places so two questions and a comment.

Question one, if the authorization date is through 2028, what is the incentive to implement reforms proposed by the Tax Lien Task Force sooner than that? Question two is following up to Council Member Sandy Nurse, also a leader on the Tax Lien Sale Coalition. You know, 80 percent of the units that have been included in the last four lien sales were occupied by tenants, not homeowners so, to me, this gets us into the Venn diagram with TPT, but does the Administration know, can you tell us how many New

2.2

2.3

York City properties with outstanding liens that

would qualify them for the lien sale in the current

proposed formulation do not have recorded physical

distress conditions, and how does the Administration

know that? And I'll close with my comment.

the second question first. I don't know that we do know it until we've actually done the analysis so we're going to go through closer to the lien sale date, although we can look at this now, but it will obviously change, but we can get a sense, I think, of how many buildings there are that meet the threshold of debt-to-value and have been on two of the last four lien sale lists, and HPD will look at that and see violations so we can begin to get a sense, I think, of sort of how large a universe of buildings that is. I think we kind of did an initial look, and we thought it was a few hundred buildings.

With respect to the task force, the task force, first of all, has a timeline, and I think the goal here was to have a task force that reviewed certain aspects of the lien sale process and made recommendations that could be acted on by this Council if it required legislative action so I think

that will help keep our feet to the fire. None of us
wants to fail on this task force, and I think we look
forward to having a constructive conversation with
folks. We come to this with the same good faith that
we tried to bring to this legislative initiative, and
I think we really want to take a serious look at the
proposals that are on the table and the options and
come up with something that will work for everybody.
We can certainly come back and amend this law prior
to its expiration.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: What is the timeline for the task force as written?

The task force, I believe, if I remember correctly, the members are supposed to be appointed by the end of August this year. We need to have our first meeting sometime in September, and then I think we have a midway point report and then a final report in October, I believe, I'm looking at Council Member Nurse, in October of next year.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Of this year?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Of 2025.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: 2025. Okay. Thank you, and yeah, I...

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Sorry, I misspoke.
3 Final report in August of 2025.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: August of 2025, okay. Okay, yeah, I mean, I would just highlight that, and I think you're hearing it from Council Member Nurse, from Council Member Brewer, and now from me, that we're just wanting to make sure that we capture with these strongest enforcement programs that we have the tax lien successor, and hopefully what will, knock on wood, be an incredible effort to reform the third-party transfer program as well, that we're not leaving any buildings in a gray area, right, that they do not qualify for the tax lien sale but they're not distressed enough for whatever the case may be so I just look forward to continuing those conversations, making sure that renters are part of the equation, and yeah, making progress on all of this. Thank you. Thank you all, and thank you, Chair.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Great. I think we've taken a solid first step in that direction with our watchlist here and more attention to buildings that are in tenants but, yes, we certainly have a

24

were sold.

2.2

2.3

vacant lots that had been sold. Two-thirds of them
were undevelopable for whatever reasons. Mostly, they
were landlocked or underwater. Landlocked meaning
they're inaccessible from the street, essentially.
Underwater meaning they're literally submerged. They
have tidal issues. They're too small. They're
brownfield, etc. so about two-thirds of those
properties were actually not developable.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, And what will happen to liens of this kind of vacant land that was sold in a prior sale? Will the trusts that hold them still pursue foreclosure, even though we know it often results in bad outcomes?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Well, again, a lot of the properties that are in the 1998-2 trust, unfortunately named Graveyard Trust, are there because they had no value. No one was willing to buy them at a foreclosure auction, and the owners were not interested in paying because the property didn't have any value to them so that's part of why they were in the lien sale in the first place and why they ended up in the Graveyard Trust. Again, that's largely because a lot of them are basically

2.2

2.3

undevelopable. We're going to do a more in-depth analysis of what's in the Graveyard Trust, but a lot of it is, vacant properties that really have no economic value and could not be developed for any purpose really.

more specifically, let's say one of these properties was actually sold and now there is a problem, is there any remediation that you are looking into?

Because when I've had conversations with your agency and the Law Department and the Mayor's Office, the response back was that this is a civil matter and it needs to be adjudicated because rightfully so it was sold in a legal lien sale.

think that that is true. Once it's sold and it's really out of the City's hands and it's a civil matter, I think that's why we have the provision in the bill that prohibits the sale of vacant undevelopable land so that it's not used for purposes that are essentially just harassment or are insalubrious in some way or another so it's really we want to, again, avoid sort of the kinds of situations that you're talking about by avoiding the sale of

2.2

2.3

vacant land and then doing a more in-depth review of
those properties.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. What do we do about the debts on these properties now that they can't go into the lien sale?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I'm sorry. Say that again.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: What do we do about the debts of these properties now that they can't go into the lien sale?

is, frankly, very difficult to collect at this point because owners have no particular, they don't have any reason to pay because they don't have any economic value so, for them, it's a losing proposition and they will walk away, and it's very easy to make ownership records kind of opaque and difficult to really track down a person that you could actually try and get money from so a lot of the times, we're not going to be able to resolve debt on some of these properties.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. I understand that. I asked our internal wonderful team who has been working on this question as well, but

I'll also ask you, what type of criteria will you
develop or do you currently have that would deem a
particular property undevelopable because I still
have two existing issues that are still unresolved,
and so hopefully there's something that we can still
do, even though I know it's kind of technically out
of the City's hand, but I just really want to make
sure that something like this never occurs again, and
so one plot of land is the end of a shared driveway
easement, which Queens was lots of farmland back in
the day and a whole bunch of other things and so I
get it, and then another parcel of land is a triangle
in the middle of a historic landmark community, and
both have lots of different issues with the current
property owners who purchased it from the lien sale.
It's just a mess, and so I would love to know if
those types of properties would be the types of
properties that would now be exempt so what will you
use to assess those types of properties?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: I'm going to ask

First Deputy Commissioner Shear to describe that a

little bit more, but I will only note that, yes,

Queens is rich with bizarre tax map issues.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SHEAR: Council Member, one of the most important things we're looking at is the size of the property so properties generally under 1,700 square feet or where the length or the width is under 17 feet as well as looking at the zoning of the properties, but we're happy to look at those two examples if you send them to us, and we can let you know how they would be classified under this bill.

provides that an agency designated by the Mayor, so I will not designate HPD at this time, but when the Mayor designates HPD, we'll review these properties for using the criteria in the law, and also we can kind of review if there are other things that you think we should be taking into account to make sure that we're doing a review of every property to make sure that we're not selling properties that have any development value.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah, I would just add, in terms of the outreach piece, even like reaching out to the neighbors, because the people on the block, and now that block is also like a historic block, so you have like historic houses that have

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Noted. I saw Deputy

Commissioner Darga taking notes, so I certainly, you

know, community outreach is a priority that's useful, and, whenever you identify parcels like this, please bring them to our attention.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member

Salaam.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Good afternoon. In my District, there are many co-ops which are left unmaintained and unrepaired and, because of this, many of the co-op shareholders have been living in unlivable conditions for years and some more than a decade. These unlivable conditions include having to live with no AC, no heating, broken locks, and no system of security. While the residents' maintenance fees have increased, I'm afraid conditions as such do not quite increase property value at the same level of cost for the maintenance that has been increasing, even though residents do not see any maintenance or repairs being done on their property. On top of that, they've had to pay property taxes for a property that does not offer them livable conditions and security. So to that, my question is, what are the programs, if any, that will allow for property tax rebates or credit for residents of co-ops or condos who aren't

2.2

2.3

being provided with maintenance and repair by the
management companies?

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: There are no programs like what you've just described per se. Buildings that are neglected, the co-op corporation can always bring to the Tax Commission, an appeal of the value if they think the building has been overvalued, so that's the primary route there for addressing property tax valuations.

I'll let Commissioner Darga speak a little bit to co-ops and how they deal with that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Just for awareness, co-ops, multifamily co-ops also have to comply with the Housing Maintenance Code so, if there is a shareholder or a tenant that has concerns about housing quality within the building, they absolutely should call 3-1-1 so that HPD is aware there is a concern and we can come out and inspect so that work certainly is something we take seriously. I would also note that for co-ops that are struggling, there are some forms of financial assistance they may be able to access through HPD. HDFC co-ops can take advantage of a wide range of assistance from property tax exemptions to low-cost loans. We also have a

2.2

2.3

training and technical assistance contract so if it's

Housing Development Fund Corporation specifically,

there are absolutely resources in HPD.

The other thing I would just note is that HDFC co-ops are not eligible for the lien sale.

They're excluded. Other cooperatives, they could take advantage of J51, which hopefully New York City

Council will soon take up for authorization. That was authorized by the State last year, and that would help the co-op undertake repairs within the property and could reduce their property tax burden.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Council Member Nurse, you're good?

Thank you all very much. I look forward to working with you on this.

COMMISSIONER NIBLACK: Thank you, Mr.

Chair and Members, and we appreciate very much all
the work that everybody here has put in and your
input to this bill.

Okay, we're now going to open the hearing for public testimony. I want to remind the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times, even for Council

2.2

2.3

2 Member Brewer. As such, Members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Furthermore, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. Once you're recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic, Pre-Considered Intro T-2024-2164.

If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're now going to have the first panel,

Paula Segal from TakeRoot Justice and Will Spisak

from the New Economy Project.

Will, you want to start? Will, just say your name and you can begin.

Paula's going to go first.

PAULA SEGAL: You called me first, so I quess I'll go first. Thank you all for staying. Thank you so much to the Staff for all the work you've all done. My testimony is short and sweet, but I think the points in it are really crucial, and I thank the Council Members for raising some of them already. I think we're all having one conversation, which is a huge relief, and there's a lot in here for vulnerable, low-income homeowners, which is also a huge relief. There are still some pieces that seem to have been left on the cutting room floor, and I really want to make sure that we get this right while we have everybody's attention, right, before we shift our attention to other programs that this Council also needs to really work on, and this is the time to get this right.

The first piece, I think a couple of Members already alluded to this, but tenants are kind

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of being left out. We heard from the Commissioner that there will be some outreach to tenants, but it's actually in a rarefied set of buildings that that outreach will happen. It's only the buildings where the lien-to-value ratio is 15 percent and they've already been in two lien sales. That is such a small set. More than 80 percent of the units that have actually been cycling through the lien sale are tenant-occupied, they're not owner-occupied, and those folks need to know if their landlord is taking their rent and then not paying their bills to the City. They might be able to call in HPD to do an inspection. They might be able to actually influence the situation, and we really need the partnership of those tenants. There's also a little bit of walking around tenants in Tax Class 1 properties. They're actually left out of the set of buildings that HPD is going to inspect mandatorily. There are lots of tenants in Tax Class one properties.

May I continue? There are lots of tenants in the city that are in Tax Class 1 properties. The word I heard in conversations with staff was they don't want to induce the awkwardness of having HPD show up at somebody's house if they

2.2

2.3

live there. That doesn't sound like a good reason to leave tenants in one- to three-unit buildings out of inspections. Really excited that this is step one and to hear from the Administration that they're seeing this as step one and that they're seeing the task force as step two. Limiting the authorization to three years or even shorter would give that task force real teeth. We worked really hard to time the task force with this Council so you all could work on their proposals and legislate them before you're all gone, before there's a whole new everybody everywhere and, giving the Administration five years of runway, 4.5 years of runway for authorization, that really works against that idea.

Just a couple of other key things. We heard from the Administration that they do some sorting out of, oh, we've got some problem properties, we're going to put them somewhere else. We also know that they get some advice about when they do that. We need a little bit more transparency than the bill calls for in terms of finding out who actually makes those decisions, but we also want the City to keep control of the problem properties. Some of them Council Member Williams described in her

2.2

2.3

District. Because they're a problem does not mean pass them off to Bank of New York, Mellon, and the private servicers and the private attorneys that that bank hires. Actually, because they're a problem the City should be keeping them. The City shouldn't be transferring any more properties into the Graveyard Trust. We're really grateful for the budget side of this conversation. I'm not going to read the end of my testimony. It's all there. I think it's great to see the estate planning and to be starting to design a tangled title program for New York City. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

WILL SPISAK: Good afternoon, Chair

Brannan and Council Members. My name is Will Spisak.

I'm a Senior Program Associate at New Economy

Project. Among our work, we help to coordinate the

New York City Community Land Initiative which is a

coalition of CLTs, and we are a member of the Abolish

the Tax Lien Sale Coalition. I'm not going to read my

entire testimony which I will be submitting as

written testimony, but just to mention we are very

excited about a lot of the progress that this bill

promises, particularly opportunities to divert

2.2

2.3

distressed homeowners from the tax lien sale and
create opportunities to keep people in their homes.

But just to echo Paula's testimony and some of the things that we would love to see the Council continue to fight for and push for. One, certainly limiting any reauthorization of the lien sale to three years maximum is really important. It's our leverage to make sure that the Administration actually follows through with its promises and administers the recommendations of the task force.

We would love to see an affirmative review of the Graveyard Trust. We want all those properties to essentially run through the new screens that this bill would produce so we know what's really going on. There's tons of properties that we have no idea what's happening with them so we'd love for that to be resolved.

Third, HPD inspections for Tax Class One rentals. This was actually something that we heard would be included in the bill and was very disappointed to see it wasn't. Yes, most Tax Class One homes are owner-occupied in the city, but that's not true of the tax lien sale list which are mostly rental occupied so we think it's really important

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that they be included in HPD inspections and there be a TPT-like program to administer the transfer of those properties to CLTs or other non-profit owners.

Then lastly, just to mention, this bill takes some really major strides to improving... May I finish? Takes some really important strides to improving the tax collection system in New York City, but the success of a new equitable tax collection process will really depend on the passage of some other legislation. Namely, most importantly, third party transfer so the Council must act to introduce and pass a new TPT bill that will create a viable program for neglected multifamily buildings to be rehabilitated in our community ownership. From a process standpoint, this bill should have been prioritized over the new lien sale bill. Now the Council has to kind of backpedal and work to duct tape the new TPT program into this new lien sale process. We thank Council Member Sanchez for working diligently to get that bill ready to get introduced, and we hope that the Council acts expeditiously to get that bill passed.

The second thing I want to mention is the proposed task force will explore options for an

alternative entity to enforce the City's debts. We
know one promising option is to create a New York
City Land Bank, and Council Member Brewer has
introduced a bill that would do just that so we want
to call on the Housing and Buildings Committee to
hold a hearing on Intro. 570 as soon as possible, and
we've already submitted our suggestions to the
sponsor for how to strengthen the bill, and we hope
the Administration will not actively try to block
progress on that bill because the Land Bank could act
as a third-party entity to hold those liens and work
with CLTs and community development corporations,
other partners to preserve affordable housing, keep
people in their homes, and put neglected properties
into community ownership so we hope that all these
adjacent legislative initiatives will take place
expeditiously after this. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Thank you all very much. It was great to work with you through this process, and it's certainly not over, but we appreciate your partnership. Thank you.

Okay. Now we have some folks testifying on Zoom. We're going to start with Christie Peale.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

KEVIN WOLFE: Good afternoon, all. My name is Kevin Wolfe. I'm actually standing in for Christie Peale. She was not able to make it at this time.

I did want to thank the Chair as well as thank the Speaker and the Members of the Finance Committee and the City Council for your leadership on this very important issue, and I did also want to just say that generally we agree that there's been a lot of important reforms that are in the tax lien sale reauthorization here, and it's been great to work with the Council and the Staff on this important issue.

We do have five different points that we wanted to highlight so, overall, obviously the reauthorization of the tax lien sale, we still believe, just as a rule, is a harmful blow to our small homeowners, particularly our black and brown homeowners and low- to moderate-income homeowners. The Tax Class One properties, which cover one- to three-family units, won't be exempted. That's something that the Coalition for Affordable Homes did recommend, and we do think that that does put a displacement pressure on those homeowners. In addition, continuing to publish the list will draw

2.2

2.3

the attention of bad actors and does generate scams
and increases the displacement. The other point is
that with our Tax Class One properties, these
properties are 42 percent of the properties on the
sale, but they're only 21 percent of the total sort
of outstanding lien sale debt to the City, and so
there's a disproportionate effect where our Tax Class
One properties and we wanted to draw attention to
that. Again, historically, the Council has had a
leadership role in preserving homeownership, and we
think that that's it's been very important with the
reforms, and there's been a lot of positive.

 $\label{eq:sergeant-at-arms: Your time is expired.} \\$ Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: You have 10, 20 seconds. Go ahead and just finish up.

Very important reforms, but one of our key points is that the implementation is critical and so, Chair Brannan, we're very happy to see that you just reiterated the commitment to the 2 million dollars for outreach. If we don't have that implementation and support from the non-profits around the city, then a lot of these will not be able to help our

homeowners, and so I did want to just put that in. If		
I can just make one last point, because we're on the		
eve of Juneteenth, I did want to make one last point.		
We see that our black homeowners, they're five times		
more likely to be on the lien sale than white		
homeowners and they're concentrated in a lot of the		
districts that I know this Committee represents in		
Southeast Queens, Nantasha Williams here, Central		
Brooklyn, and the North Bronx. We want to make sure		
that in implementing this system, we're not causing		
displacement of our black homeowners in particular		
and that we're making sure that the resources and the		
legal services and financial services are there to		
protect those homeowners, and so we want to continue		
to partner with the Council on this critical issue		
and thank you again for allowing us the opportunity		
to speak here.		

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have Jakob Kendall Schneider.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

JAKOB KENDALL SCHNEIDER: Thank you. I just want to start off and just thank everyone that has worked on this. It's been a lot of work. The Council Members, Staff, and the Departments have put

a lot into this, and that's all great. I guess I
didn't say this earlier. I'm Jakob Kendall Schneider,
and I'm on the Board of the East New York Community
Land Trust. While the bill offers promising
protections for indebted low-income homeowners, and
this is something we've been working on, advocates
and community members for years, as Commissioner
Niblack said, it's a good first step, right, and so
we hope that these measures will keep people in their
homes, and we also appreciate the efforts that have
been taken to assist tenants. While all of this is
important, we hope that there are opportunities to
improve the bill before a full Council vote, and so
the first thing is that we think more can be done to
address the issues that tenants experience and so,
given that renter households are the vast majority of
residential properties or people impacted by the lien
sale, we suggest that the Commissioner of Finance
notify tenants of all lien-sale-eligible buildings
that their landlord is in arrear. Tenants, including
those living in Tax Class One properties, should be
provided with information on how to contact HPD for
building inspections and other support that can
assist them when a landlord receives a 90-day notice.

2.2

2.3

2	Second, and this is a huge thing for us,
3	the reauthorization period should be no longer than
4	three years.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired.

Thank you.

JAKOB KENDALL SCHNEIDER: A shorter reauthorization period, rather than the four and a half proposed in the bill, would increase the likelihood that the recommendations of the task force will not receive the consideration they are due. Three years, there's some fire there.

Third, we're encouraged by the transparency provisions in the bill. These will begin to open kind of the black box.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

JAKOB KENDALL SCHNEIDER: Can I, like, 20 seconds?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yep.

JAKOB KENDALL SCHNEIDER: That the Trust system will allow the public to better understand how it works and how alternatives could be formed, but we know that some entities that advise Finance have been left out, and so we think that all entities working

2.2

2.3

on what liens go in and where they go, those documents should be made public. Finally, no lien should be placed in the 1998-2 Trust. People live, are neighbors and tenants in these properties, right, and so the City should use what mechanisms they have to rectify the problems these properties are experiencing, rather than transferring them to a privately managed trust and thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Jakob. Now we have Joan Erskine.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

JOAN ERSKINE: Hi. I'm Joan Erskine. I represent Brooklyn Level Up. First of all, thank you all for hearing the community advocates on this matter to the extent that you have. You've worked hard and incorporated so many of the initiatives to protect one- to three-family homeowners that community advocates have urged. Good work. These policies will shrink the number of properties on the tax lien sale to start with, and that is a good thing. Excellent.

However, the bill as proposed falls short in two significant ways. The bill does not adequately protect tenants whose landlords don't pay property

2 taxes, the very people whose homes are at risk in the 3 case of foreclosure and, interestingly, the people 4 actually living in the majority of the properties listed on the last four tax lien sales. Although the bill as it now mandates outreach to certain tenants 6 7 in certain situations, it does not mandate enough. 8 There are many more tenants, those in Tax Class One properties for example, who need the City's attention and options to preserve their homes. At the very 10 11 least, the City should notify those tenants of their 12 landlord's delinquency and help them hold those landlords responsible for housing violations should 13 there be any and actually take ownership of the 14 15 property when appropriate but, more importantly, the Tax Lien Trust is itself a poor way to deal with the 16 17 delinquent taxes. By transferring the liens to the 18 Trust, the City, one, fosters mysterious collection 19 of properties in the 1998-2 Graveyard Trust, very 20 aptly named Graveyard Trust, an opaque instrument 21 from which the servicers and apparently no one else 2.2 seems to be profiting. That bill seriously needs to 2.3 be lifted and, two, it abdicates its responsibility to collect taxes, ultimately sacrificing revenue to 24 the Trust itself as the liens are sold at a discount 25

- and burdening property owners whose liens are sold
 with higher interest rates and fees but, more
 importantly, the City loses any leverage...
- 5 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired. 6 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Go ahead. Finish up.

City loses any leverage it has to move delinquent properties back into productive use to the benefit of city dwellers rather than real estate speculators.

The City could use the leverage of the liens to transfer the properties to community-based organizations and community land trusts that will develop the property into community assets in the form of truly and permanently affordable housing and/or parks, green spaces, or other community assets. Finally, I recommend that the tax lien sale be authorized for only a maximum of three years in order that the recommendations of the task force be timely implemented. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Joan.

Okay, with that, this hearing is adjourned. Thank you very much, Colleagues. [GAVEL]

2.3

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 7/15/2024