CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

March 11, 2024 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 3:57 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Diana Ayala, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés
Chris Banks
Tiffany Cabán
Chi A. Ossé
Lincoln Restler
Kevin C. Riley
Althea V. Stevens
Sandra Ung

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

Gale A. Brewer Crystal Hudson Carlina Rivera

APPEARANCES

Molly Wasow Park, Commissioner of the Department of Social Services

Joslyn Carter, Administrator of the Department of Homeless Services

Scott French, Administrator for the Human Resources Administration

Jill Berry, First Deputy Commissioner at Department of Social Services

Ellen Levine, Chief Program Performance and Financial Management Officer at the Human Resources Administration

Rosine Ferdinand, Executive Deputy Commissioner at the Human Resources Administration

Patrick DiStefano, Deputy Commissioner at Department of Social Services

Monsignor Kevin Sullivan, Executive Director, Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of New York

Luchy Perez, Local 1549, DC 37

Tyece Grant, SNAP Eligibility Specialist and a member of AFSCME Local 1549 Local 1549, DC 37

Gladys Little, Eligibility Specialist and a Member of AFSCME Local 1549, DC 37

Allison Robinson, Case Manager at Center for Urban Community Services (CUCS), DC 37 (newly organized)

Jake Greenberg, Case Manager for Center for Urban Community Services (CUCS), DC 37 (newly organized)

Corinthia Carter, President of Legal Services Staff Association 2320 (UAW 9A)

Valentina Vidal, Bilingual Case Manager for the Workforce Development at GMHC

Brady Crain, Chief Executive Officer of Grand Central Labor Social Services

Terry Troia, President of Project Hospitality

Judith Rosenfeld, Vice President of Special Projects for Breaking Ground

Kristin Miller, Executive Director of Homeless Services United

Doreen Thomann-Howe, Chief Operating Officer for Project Renewal

Catherine Trapani, Assistant Vice President of Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York

Alison Wilkey, Director of Government Affairs and Strategic Campaigns with the Coalition for the Homeless

Pernell S. Brice, III, Vice President for Policy and Advocacy for The Children's Health Fund

Arturo Brito, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Children's Health Fund

Raun Rasmussen, Executive Director of Legal Services

Adriene Holder, Chief Attorney of the Civil Practice for the Legal Aid Society

Abby Biberman, Associate Director of the Public Benefits Unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group

Cathy Cramer, Chief Executive Officer of Family Legal Care

McGregor Smyth, Executive Director of New York Lawyers for the Public Interest

Omarax Rosa, Director of Housing Justice at the Harlem Community Justice Center Program of the Center for Justice Innovation

Anna Arkin-Gallagher, Supervising Attorney and Policy Counsel in the Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services

Greg Silverman, Chief Executive Officer of the Westside Campaign Against Hunger

Kim Moscaritolo, Director of Communications and Advocacy for Hunger Free America

Nicholas Buess, Director of Government Relations at the Food Bank for New York City

Jerome Nathaniel, Director of Policy and Government Relations at City Harvest

Paula Inhargue, Policy Analyst at United Neighborhood Houses

Cathy Vargas, Center for Family Life in Sunset Park

Nafisa Rahman, Welfare Rights Initiative Community Leadership Training Program

Meredith Levine, Senior Director of Information and Case Assistance and NYC Benefits Program at JASA

Michelle Berney, Director of the Benefits Plus Learning Center at the Community Service Society of New York

Towaki Komatsa, Self

Gabriela Sandoval Requena, Director of Policy and Communications at New Destiny

Tara Joy, Union Strong, Legal Services Staff Association 2320 (UAW 9A)

Gloria Kim, Director of Policy Research and Impact of the Human Services Council

Antonia House, TakeRoot Justice/CILEC

Tierra Labrada, Associate Director of Advocacy at the Supportive Housing Network of New York

James Dill, Housing and Services, Inc.

Lauren Schuster, Vice President of Government Affairs at Urban Resource Institute

Racquel Grant, Chief Development Officer for the Campaign Against Hunger for Melony Samuels

Nathalie Pierre-Louis, Assistant Program Director at the Resource Center Program of Goddard Riverside

Judith Secon, Deputy Executive Director at New York Common Pantry

Rachel Briant, attorney at Met Council

Jesenia Ponce, Coordinating Attorney for Policy and Advocacy at Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation

Elise C. Benusa, Government Relations Manager at Planned Parenthood of Greater New York

Peggy Herrera, leader and a member with Freedom Agenda and the Campaign to Close Rikers

Jay Edidin, Director of Advocacy at the Women's Community Justice Association

Juan Diaz, Policy Associate at Citizens Committee for Children

Jeanine Costley, Senior Vice President of Transitional Services at the Institute for Community Living

2	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sound check for the
3	Committee on General Welfare. Today's date is March
4	11, 2024, being recorded by Danny Huang in the
5	Chambers.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning and welcome to the New York City Preliminary Budget Hearing on the Committee on General Welfare.

At this time, please silent all electronic devices.

At no time, please do not approach the dais.

If you have any questions, please raise your hand. One of us, a Sergeant-at-Arms, will kindly assist you.

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. [GAVEL]
Sorry, I'm still trying to catch up my hour that
we're all missing, but I'm a little bit delayed. Good
morning, everyone. I am Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala,
Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Thank you for
joining me for the Fiscal 2025 Preliminary Budget
Hearing for the General Welfare Committee.

We will hear from two agencies, the	e Human
Resource Administration and the Department of	
Homeless Services, testifying under the umbrel	la of
the Department of Social Services, DSS. The Ci	lty's
proposed Fiscal 2025 Preliminary Budget totals	3 109.4
billion of which 14.8 billion, or 13.5 percent	, funds
DSS. This encompasses 10.8 billion for HRA and	d 4.0
billion for DHS. DSS serves some of the most	
vulnerable populations in the city and these s	services
are more vital now than ever. There were notab	ole
changes made in the Preliminary Plan for both	
agencies. HRA saw 1.5 billion in new needs add	ded to
Fiscal Year 2024 only, with the majority of the	nat
funding going towards entitlement programs lac	cking
adequate baseline funding, including cash assi	stance,
CityFHEPS vouchers, HASA emergency housing, an	nd
domestic violence shelters. Other adjustments	and
PEGs decreased HRA's budget by 112.6 million i	ln
Fiscal Year 2024, 128.6 million in Fiscal Year	2025,
and by over 130 million in the outer years. Or	ı a
positive note, I was very happy to see that the	ıe
Preliminary Plan fully restored HRA's job trai	ning
program, which had been cut in the November pl	an.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In the Preliminary Plan, DHS had 86 million new needs in Fiscal Year 2024 only, notably, including 63.5 million to pay shelter security, the prevailing wage, which has been legislatively required for years but is still not baselined. PEGs decreased DHS's budget by 58.9 million in Fiscal Year 2024 and by 89.3 million in Fiscal Year 2025 largely due to the impact from the asylum-seeker response cost re-estimate. I am concerned about the areas that the budget where the budget does not adequately support the essential programs administered by HRA and the necessary level of staffing required to provide clients with services in a timely manner. While HRA has made headway in the backlog of SNAP and cash assistance applications, denial rates have increased, which is troubling. We have been hearing that it is very difficult to get through to HRA by phone and that clients are experiencing very long wait times at HRA centers. I am also concerned that not enough support is being given to community-based organizations such as the ones operating the City's food pantries and soup kitchens.

While the budget maintains the essential

benefits programs administered by HRA and the shelter

2.2

2.3

programs administered by DHS, we need to think more deeply about where we can most effectively allocate our limited city resources, especially during these uniquely challenging times. DHS's shelter census, which is now over 87,000, has nearly doubled over the past three years, largely due to the influx of asylum seekers. The ballooning shelter census and the high cost of the City's asylum-seeker response makes programs such as CityFHEPS more important than ever, and the best way to move long term shelter residents into stable permanent housing. However, this program suffers from both underfunding and numerous process bottlenecks on top of the difficulty of finding affordable housing in a very expensive city.

In today's hearing, we look forward to discussing adjustments made in the Preliminary Plan, including the PEGs, staffing for benefits administration, the impact of vacancies on agency operations, how DSS is working with contracted non-profit providers to improve contracting, the plan for asylum response efforts going forward, and the metrics included in the Fiscal 2024 Preliminary

Mayors Management Report. It is the Council's job to carefully review the budgets and operations of City

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: I do.

25

Department of Homeless Services, DHS. Under the

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

consolidated management structure and the shared mission of DSS, HRA and DHS provide an integrated continuum of client services to millions of New Yorkers every day. Across the agencies, our primary goal is to create a path to sustainability for lowincome New Yorkers through three pillars: First, streamlining access to social services, second, addressing homelessness and housing instability and, third, creating economic stability. In a joint effort to fight poverty and income inequality and to create housing opportunities, DSS, HRA, DHS collaborate with other public agencies and non-profit partners to reduce street homelessness, assist New Yorkers in successfully transitioning from shelter into permanent housing, and return to self-sufficiency through our various programs and services that we will discuss in more detail.

First, I will provide an overview of the projected DSS-HRA budget for FY25. Two slides forward, please.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt, but when you have any graphs for accessibility purposes, can you just verbally interpret them?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely.

3 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PARK: We are starting with an overview of the HRA budget. Before I get into the graph, DSS-HRA is dedicated to fighting poverty and income inequality, providing essential benefits, including cash assistance, nutrition and food programs, public health insurance, employment and transportation services, and access to housing, homelessness prevention and emergency assistance. DSS-HRA helps more than 3 million New Yorkers annually through the administration of more than 15 major public benefit programs with a budgeted headcount of 12,000 people. As you see in this pie chart here, the FY25 budget for DSS-HRA is 10.8 billion, including 8.4 billion in City funds. The majority, over 80 percent of the HRA City tax levy budget, is earmarked for benefits that the City administers on behalf of New York State. This includes cash assistance benefits, which is the red pie chart, about 12 percent of the total. Although we manage the application process for cash assistance, benefit levels and eligibility rules are set by State law and regulation. Medicaid, which is the very large

blue slice of the ple here, is also a clitical
component of the HRA budget. Medicaid funds services
including homecare, managed care, mental health
substance use services, hospice care, and so on. The
program is really administered by New York State. Th
City pays a portion of Medicaid costs out of City ta
levy, that's the 70 percent of the DSS-HRA City-
funded budget shown here. HRA sends these funds
directly to New York State, and the state uses it
along with the state and federal funds it controls t
pay medical providers and manage care plans. HRA als
administers SNAP for 1.7 million clients. These
benefits, which are federally funded to the tune of
about 3.9 billion dollars a year, don't flow through
our budget, but they represent another critical
benefit that HRA is mandated to provide. I will note
that the administration section of the budget, which
is the purple wedge on the pie here, is the
administration that covers both DSS, HRA, and DHS so
it's for the full three agencies. Next slide.

I'd like to turn now to talking about staffing, which is a key concern for all of us. As you can see here, DSS-HRA headcount had dipped significantly so the bottom point in the graph shows

2.2

2.3

a sharp slope in the headcount and then rising fairly steeply. Due to our focus on frontline hiring, we have been able to grow aggressively in a number of areas, one of our successful strategies to address the cash and SNAP backlogs. Our current budgeted headcount is 12,127. Total HRA actuals is 10,981, or a 9 percent vacancy rate. There's still work to do, but that's down very substantially from where we were even a year ago. Of the almost 11,000 HRA employees, 3,104 are working on cash and SNAP. We have hired nearly 1,000 people to process cash and SNAP benefits since January 2023 and have seen a net increase of 535 people in these areas over the same time period. Next slide.

Now, I'd like to look at the PEGs we have taken over the last two plans. Over 80 percent of City funds in the DSS-HRA budget support public assistance and Medicaid. That rises to almost 90 percent of tax levy when we include rental assistance in the calculation. On top of that, most of our programs have matching fund requirements so finding savings is a challenge. In November and January, HRA was able to find savings that largely avoided impacting our critical services. We achieved the

majority of our savings by looking first to	
administrative efficiencies and opportunities to	
maximize revenues to save City funds. However, since	е
so little of our budget is discretionary, we	
sometimes had to make difficult choices. In these	
cases, we looked at options that will allow us to	
continue meeting our mandates in the most efficient	
ways. The agency's early actions identifying saving	S
helped to balance the City budget and put us on	
better footing going forward. As the Mayor has said	,
if the City had not taken these early actions, it	
would not be able to forego the executive PEG. In the	he
interest of time, I won't go through every item on	
this slide in detail, although I'm happy to answer	
questions, but a few items to highlight. We will	
achieve meaningful savings and leases by using	
existing City space for back-office IT functions. We	е
were able to maximize the amount of federal revenue	
we were bringing in to support fringe benefits for	
HRA employees, and our multi-year investment in	
technology to support rental subsidy processing wil	1
allow us to speed up lease-ups and spend less on	
holding units available before a client can move in	

homelessness in the United States.

2.2

2.3

Now I'm going to pivot to an overview of the DHS budget. Two slides forward.

Great. Thank you. DHS is committed to providing safe temporary shelter, connecting New Yorkers experiencing homelessness to permanent housing, and addressing unsheltered homelessness.

With it's not-for-profit partners, DHS is the largest municipal organization dedicated to addressing

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Commissioner, can you move the mic a little closer because it's not picking up.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PARK: The agency has an FY
25 budget of 3.9 billion of which 1.9 billion is City
tax levy and a budgeted head count of 1,905. Over 95
percent of the DHS budget supports shelter for
families and individuals and services for the
unsheltered, including outreach and low-barrier beds.
The DHS budget is broken out as follows in FY25, 996
million for family shelter, comprised of a
combination of city, state, and federal funds. That
is the purple wedge on the pie chart here; 714

2.2

2.3

million for adult shelter, virtually all City tax
levy funded, the green piece of the pie; 278 million
for our street outreach services, including lowbarrier beds, which is are also primarily City tax
levy funded; and the small blue bar is general
administration and support, that's about 4 percent of
the budget. There's 1.8 billion in our budget for
asylum-seeker sanctuary sites and services. We have
actually excluded that from the pie chart here to
show a more representative picture of the DHS budget
overall. Next slide.

The DHS budget is largely a function of the shelter census. As of March 6th, the overall DHS census was 86,360 people, an increase of more than 41,000 since the beginning of 2022, before the surge in asylum seekers to New York City. Of the 86,000, approximately 62,000, or over 70 percent, are families made up of children and their adult parents and caregivers. Children make up nearly 40 percent of all people in shelter. The families with children are the larger blue wedge on the pie chart here. Those are the children, and then the yellow represents the adults in the family with children so the lighter blue and the yellow combined represent families with

2.2

2.3

children altogether. Today, approximately 36 percent of the overall census is made up of asylum seekers, over 30,000 people. In January 2022, the census is around 45,000 lower than it was in 2020 immediately pre-pandemic. As asylum seekers came to New York City and began to enter shelter in large numbers, they drove up the census, which increased sharply over the past nearly two years. Asylum seekers account for 75 percent of the growth in the DHS census relative to January of 2022. Next slide.

This slide here is a visual representation of the trend that I just discussed. The red line is the non-asylum census. You can see it's about 7 percent below the pre-pandemic level and well below the pre-pandemic peak of 61,400 reached in January of 2019. The blue line represents the total census with the space between the red line and the blue line being the asylum seekers. You can see that the very rapid growth over the last two years has been very much driven by the asylum seekers. We noted at the beginning of this presentation that the DHS budget is 3.9 billion next year, a significant increase over the DHS budget before the asylum seekers began entering shelter in large numbers. The

significant increases in the DHS budget this year and
next year over prior years are primarily due to
asylum seeker costs. 36 percent of the DHS budget
this year is allocated for asylum seeker costs,
increasing to 45 percent in FY25. This funding in DHS
is allocated primarily for sanctuary sites, for the
cost of migrants in regular DHS shelters, for
supplies, and for staff and overtime costs related
exclusively to asylum seekers. The current agreement
with the State for reimbursement of asylums costs is
71 percent City tax levy and 29 percent state. As of
March 2024, DHS has submitted claims to New York
State on behalf of DHS, HRA, H and H, and other City
agencies totaling over 1.5 billion. Last State Fiscal
Year, the State allocated 1 billion towards New York
City costs, and we're waiting for enactment of the
State's Fiscal Year '24-'25 budget to see if the
State will allocate additional funds. The Governor's
proposal included 2 billion. More generally, we
continue to advocate with the state and federal
governments to provide adequate funding and pathways
to work and sustainability for these newest
immigrants to our city and country.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

Despite the influx of asylum seekers and its impact on our agencies, we have not lost focus on shelter housing placements. We have seen increases in permanent housing placements from the DHS system as a result of the agency's efforts to move families and individuals out of shelter. In FY23, there were close to 15,000 placements from shelter, an increase of 17 percent over the prior year. In the first four months of this year, there were 5,400 placements, an increase of 11 percent over that same time window last year. Next slide.

Our engagement with individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness is another critical component of DHS's work. Since the start of this Administration, DSS-DHS has doubled outreach staffing, we have nearly 400 outreach staff on the ground as of today, and aggressively expanded low-barrier bed capacity, bringing nearly 300 new beds online since August 2023 alone with more than 3,900 such beds online as of today. As a result of critical investments in 24/7 outreach efforts and the intensification of end-of-line efforts as part of the Subway Safety Plan, referrals to shelter and low barrier placements increased 71 percent in FY23.

Since the launch of the Subway Safety Plan in
February 2022, more than 6,100 New Yorkers have been
connected to shelter from the subways, and I'm
incredibly pleased to report that thanks to critical
investments in a comprehensive continuum of care,
DSS-DHS more than doubled the number of permanent
housing placements for people experiencing
unsheltered homelessness year over year. DHS placed
almost 1,000 New Yorkers residing in low-barrier
programs to permanent housing in FY23. I want to
pause on that figure. That is close to 1,000 people
who used to sleep on the streets and subways who now
have a lease and a place to call a permanent home.
Next slide.

I know we're all rightfully concerned about staffing, however, DHS has not had the same kind of headcount swings that other agencies have faced. DHS staffing has remained relatively steady over the past 18 months as you see this line here is relatively flat and has increased slightly since the end of last Fiscal Year. DHS head count is just over 1,900, and the agency has a vacancy rate of 7.5 percent. Next slide.

The DHS approach to PEGs is comparable to
that at HRA. We look to avoid programmatic impacts
wherever possible, but again, we're faced with some
difficult choices. In some cases, we were able to
achieve savings by improving service delivery. New
York State created a Rental Supplement Program, RSP,
that can serve long-term stayers in shelter who are
undocumented. By helping these families and
individuals exit shelter, we will see savings in our
shelter budget. Unfortunately, language in the State
budget claws back most of the money for State budget
savings, but we will be able to do a one-time cohort
of about 650 households. DSS is required to use an
outside vendor to administer the program as we are
not allowed to use the State system that we normally
use for payments. Once this vendor is in place later
this spring, we will be able to start issuing
vouchers. There are also major changes in the DHS
budget associated with asylum seekers. I want to be
clear that the savings in the DHS budget reflect
lower than previously projected asylum census for the
agency. While there were savings in some of the other
agencies responding to the asylum crisis that did

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

change service delivery models, there were no service cuts for asylum seekers in DSS or DHS. Next slide.

As I mentioned at the start of my testimony, our primary goal is to create a path to sustainability for low-income New Yorkers through three pillars of work, streamlining access to social services, addressing homelessness and housing instability, and creating economic stability. I'm going to talk about each of these in turn, starting with some of the work where we have successfully modernized and implemented process improvements to streamline access to social services and benefits. The graph here is showing SNAP applications and caseload increases over time. The green line is SNAP applications and the blue line above is the caseload. You've all heard a lot about DSS and SNAP and cash processing. First, I'd like to recognize that over the last couple of years, DSS saw the highest SNAP applications and caseload since the period immediately following Superstorm Sandy. As you can clearly see, that's the spike early in 2020, there was a surge of applications at the beginning of the pandemic. Due to our investment in Access HRA and the ability of clients to apply, recertify, and interview

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

online and on the phone, we were able to manage the surge and ensure clients got these important benefits. The federal and state governments also provided a series of easements that suspended certain requirements and allowed us to postpone recertifications. This simplified the process for clients and staff during the health emergency but led to spikes in caseload processing once normal requirements were back in place. These and other factors contributed to a backlog in applications and recertifications, but I'm very pleased to say that thanks to the hiring of additional staff, investments in technology, and process improvements, we have nearly eliminated the backlog. I will have more to say on that in a minute, but first I want to give some more detail on cash assistance. Next slide.

This chart here, the blue line shows the total cash assistance caseload, the orange line shows applications, and you'll see both of those are rising. As the City Council is aware, in recent years, New York City has seen remarkably high increases in cash assistance applications and recipients due to a variety of factors. At the height of the COVID-19 pandemic in March of 2020, DSS-HRA

received nearly 13,000 more applications than the 2 3 previous year, a 53 percent increase. That increased 4 need for cash assistance has continued. In FY24, the average number of cash assistance applications exceeded 47,000 per month, demonstrating New Yorkers' 6 7 continuing need for economic support. In addition, we have significantly reduced the barriers to access 8 benefits. We believe that increasing access to benefits via our online platform and mobile app, 10 11 Access HRA, results in more applications. That's the 12 right thing to do, but also increases workload for 13 the agency. Demand for one-time emergency rental 14 assistance is growing as well. In FY23, HRA issued 15 emergency rent payments to over 40,000 households. 16 The graph here, the both the blue and orange lines show both one time and recurring recipients. In 17 18 January 2024, there were 505,000 ongoing and 5,000 19 one-time recipients. The increased caseload has 20 budget implications for the city. The FY24 Preliminary Budget for cash assistance is 2.5 billion 21 2.2 to support an average of 500,000 recipients through 2.3 June of 2024. 816 million of which 467 million was tax levy was added in the Preliminary Budget for FY24 24 based on these projected costs. Next slide. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As I mentioned earlier, I am immensely pleased to report that DSS has nearly cleared the backlog of 46,000 cash assistance and 4,000 SNAP applications, ensuring low-income New Yorkers can quickly and easily access the federal benefits that they qualify for. This chart tracks the backlog back to the beginning of February 2023. You can see it spiked in the summer and is extremely substantially down to very close to zero. Investments in staffing, technology and process improvements have reduced the backlog of cases by 97 percent for cash assistance and 90 percent for SNAP. In total, the City processed more than 600,000 cash assistance and SNAP applications since the July backlog peak. Faced with a record number of applications as federal pandemicrelated support expired, the City deployed a robust plan to process applications quickly and make it easier for low-income New Yorkers to access critical benefits. DSS hired nearly 1,000 new staff people since January 2023 to process cash assistance and SNAP applications, doubled down on training for staff, and strengthened remote application processes to make it easier to apply for benefits. The agency also worked closely with Chief Efficiency Officer

Denise Clay and the Mayor's Office of Efficiency to
identify application process enhancements to
eliminate bottlenecks that contributed to the
backlog. We also developed and implemented plans to
expedite the processes going forward. As of January
2024, more than 1.73 million New Yorkers are
receiving SNAP benefits, and more than 510,000 New
Yorkers are receiving cash benefits. I'd like to
thank our dedicated staff for their hard work
throughout the pandemic and over these challenging
couple of years for their ongoing commitment to
serving New Yorkers every day. It has been a
difficult time, and they have done extraordinary job
under extraordinary circumstances. The lessons
learned from this experience have made us better
prepared to handle this high volume of applications
in the future. Next slide.

Cash and SNAP are our highest profile benefits, but there is so much more that the agency does. Even these additional highlights are just scratching the surface, but since I was last here to speak about the budget, we have also been able to expand child care assistance for those leaving the cash assistance roles, grow the Fair Fares program

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that provides discounted transit benefits, and get heating and cooling assistance grants out to those who need them. Next slide.

Next, I would like to talk about some of the ways in which we've been able to further our goals of keeping New Yorkers in their homes, moving families and individuals out of shelter, and helping people remain stably housed. Wherever possible, we want to keep people in their homes. I want to highlight two of our most important tools for doing so. The bar graph on the left is our emergency rental assistance, also known as one-shot deals. This is a cash assistance benefit. What this graph shows here is that the number of households receiving emergency rental assistance in FY23 increased after a drop in FY21 and FY22 during the pandemic. This year, we are on track to dramatically exceed last year's spending. The chart on the right shows our legal assistance programs, which are also critical to keeping people housed. In Fiscal Year 2023, the Office of Civil Justice, or OCJ, funded legal organizations provided legal assistance to an estimated 98,000 New Yorkers in approximately 43,700 households across New York City. These households were facing housing

challenges, including eviction, disrepair, landlord		
harassment, and other threats to their tenancies. The		
number of households served in Fiscal Year 2023 is		
the highest on record since the start of the		
universal Access to Counsel program. These services		
proved to be essential. The number of eviction		
filings increased by more than 57,000, or		
approximately 83 percent, in the last year. However,		
it should be noted that eviction filings in FY23 were		
still significantly lower than pre-pandemic levels.		
Since 2014, OCJ has provided legal services ranging		
from brief advice to full representation in 291,000		
eviction and other housing-related matters. Based on		
estimated household size, that equates to more than		
700,000 New Yorkers served. OCJ will continue to work		
hand in hand with all civil justice stakeholders to		
make civil legal assistance available and effective		
for New York City tenants in need. Next slide.		

For those who do enter shelter, we want to connect them back to permanent housing as quickly as possible. In FY23, DSS saw a 17 percent increase in permanent housing placements from shelter and, as the PMMR shows, we are on track to exceed those placement numbers this year. More New Yorkers are now

2	living safely and stably in supportive housing units.
3	In fact, 46 percent more New Yorkers were connected
4	to permanent supportive housing in 2023 than in the
5	preceding Fiscal Year and, as I mentioned earlier,
6	1,000 unsheltered individuals were placed in
7	permanent housing. DSS has also taken steps to
8	strengthen rental assistance programs. We've made
9	CityFHEPS easier to use by reducing work
10	requirements, eliminating the 90-day rule, allowing
11	for the use of CityFHEPS statewide, and streamlining
12	the program with technological improvements. To
13	ensure that more New Yorkers gain access to
14	affordable homes, DSS launched the Affordable Housing
15	Services initiative to use social service dollars to
16	create affordable housing. Through AHS, DSS will be
17	facilitating the creation of stable housing
18	opportunities for nearly 1,500 households and
19	shelter. Furthermore, the AHS initiative provides
20	sustainable funding solutions to help not-for-profit
21	providers secure long-term building-wide leases or
22	even acquire permanent housing sites, while providing
23	light-touch services for tenants who are formerly
24	experiencing homelessness. DSS has also rolled out

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 extensive training to improve and increase housing
3 package submissions. Next slide.

Now for the third pillar, creating economic stability. We recognize that more and more New Yorkers rely on our City's resources to make ends meet. In addition to the public benefits, rental assistance, and other essential resources we provide to help people get back on their feet, I will provide an overview of our career services and other supports that enable New Yorkers to secure steady income and live sustainable lives. DSS offers education, training, and employment services to help clients develop job skills and build a career that will lead to success and financial stability. These programs are funded in FY24 at a total budget of 300 million. As part of our revitalization efforts, we launched the Pathway to Industrial and Construction Careers, or PINCC, program to connect clients to jobs in these two industries. We're also restructuring our career services programs and contracts to ensure that we are focusing on connecting clients to growth industries with jobs with long-term potential. Thanks to changes in State law, we are able to implement new income disregards to support clients' economic growth. This

2.2

2.3

means that clients who are participating in training programs or who get a job do not immediately face a benefits cliff. As a result, they are more likely to achieve economic stability and growth. One that I'm particularly excited about, we are strengthening the pathway for our clients to access human service jobs. In FY23, human service providers hired nearly 6,000 public assistance clients. This is a gain both for clients and for providers who are frequently struggling in the labor market. It's a priority to create and expand opportunities that will help lowincome New Yorkers achieve sustainability, and our work reflects that.

I will close by underlining our ongoing commitment to break down government silos and improve access to services. DSS, HRA, DHS work to confront challenges that bridge across agencies and jurisdictional boundaries. Overcoming these challenges goes to the heart of creating the kind of caring, compassionate communities we seek to live in. We appreciate the opportunity to testify today and we welcome any questions you may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you for that presentation. I wanted to acknowledge that we've also

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been joined by Council Members Ossé, Ung, Banks, and
Stevens.

I would like to turn it over now to Speaker Adams for questions.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much Deputy Speaker Chair Ayala, and good morning, Commissioner, good morning to your entire team, and thank you for being here this morning.

I'd like to start out by asking some questions regarding asylum-seeker response PEGs in the Preliminary Plan. As part of the Preliminary Plan, the administration has decreased the citywide planned expenditure for the asylum-seeker response effort by 504.2 million dollars in Fiscal 2024 and 1.23 billion in 2025, a nearly 16 percent reduction of the expenses over the two Fiscal Years. The Administration has indicated that these savings were generated from a variety of actions, including the implementation of shelter time limit notices, efficiencies in HERC contracts, a shift of some HERC contracts to non-profit providers, and the recognition of current census trends. Given that DHS doesn't operate any of the HERCs, why are we seeing a PEG of 58.9 million dollars in Fiscal 2024 and 78.9

2.2

2.3

2 million dollars in Fiscal 2025 to DHS for asylum
3 response costs?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Speaker.

That PEG is a reflection of really two things. One, that there are fewer asylum seekers in the DHS system than was originally forecasted when the budget was put together. That's overall that the census is a little bit still very high, but it's a little bit lower than was originally forecast when the budget was put together as well as the balance between the HERC system and the DHS system. The other piece of the savings that we contributed to was that we took over or are in the process of taking over some hotels from the HERC system and are going to be able to do it at lower cost.

SPEAKER ADAMS: How much of the cost reduction is the result of shelter time limit notices?

COMMISSIONER PARK: I cannot break out the specific dollar amount associated with that. Within the DHS system, we are doing the 30-day time limits for single adults and adult families. At this point in time, we are not currently applying time limits to families with children in the DHS system.

2	SPEAKER ADAMS: That's noted. In looking
3	at asylum-seeker response PEGs in the Executive Plan.
4	On February 21st, 2024, the Mayor announced there
5	will be an additional 10 percent PEG on asylum-seeker
6	response costs included in the Executive Plan. This
7	is in addition to the PEG included in the Preliminary
8	Plan. Will there also be a reduction in the asylum
9	response funding budgeted through DHS?
10	COMMISSIONER PARK: At this point, it's
11	too early to answer that question. We're still
12	working on the planning.
13	SPEAKER ADAMS: Do you foresee a time
14	frame for that?
15	As part of the Executive Budget exercise,
16	yes.
17	SPEAKER ADAMS: Is DHS planning any
18	expansions or changes to shelter time limits to
19	achieve whatever target you have in mind?
20	COMMISSIONER PARK: We are working in
21	conjunction with the overall City strategy around
22	time limits. We are not doing anything independently.
23	SPEAKER ADAMS: So you're not looking at
24	pursuing additional time limits on asylum-seeker

families with children in the DHS system?

2	COMMISSIONER PARK: That's something that
3	we're still assessing right now. I don't have any
4	concrete time when that will be decided.
5	SPEAKER ADAMS: But you do see a potential
6	possibility for that?
7	COMMISSIONER PARK: There's a potential
8	possibility. It's subject to not only what is
9	happening in the City, but also State oversight.
10	SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Does the
11	Administration have plans to transition any HERCS
12	operated by H AND H to DHS? I believe we heard part
13	of that last week from OMB.
14	COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes, so there is one
15	that has already been transitioned, and we are
16	looking at additional sites.
17	SPEAKER ADAMS: How many locations?
18	COMMISSIONER PARK: As I said, one has
19	happened already. There's another one to two under
20	consideration right now, still under assessment.
21	SPEAKER ADAMS: Which location has already
22	happened?
23	COMMISSIONER PARK: The Wingate hotel.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. How are savings

25 anticipated?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER PARK: So the H and H system primarily used contractors that were a bit more expensive than the DHS contractors so by transitioning to the not-for-profit model that DHS typically uses for shelter, we are able to achieve some savings.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. I think we're on the right road there, in looking at City contracting with non-profit providers then. We all know that the City's robust landscape of non-profit organizations provide community-based human services on behalf of the City to many of our most vulnerable residents. This is especially true for the Department of Social Services. DHS's contract budget totals 3.82 billion dollars, which is over 90 percent of the agency's budget, largely relating to shelter services. HRA's contract budget is nearly a billion dollars. It includes programs such as domestic violence shelters, housing and support services for low-income individuals who are HIV positive, workforce development programs for cash assistance clients, and food to stock our City's food pantries and soup kitchens. Many of these non-profits are struggling to keep their doors open, not only because the amounts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they're paid under City contracts do not keep pace with the cost of providing services, but also because it's so challenging to contract with the City and because payments are typically received well after they have provided services, and that is a huge complaint time and time again from our providers. Non-profits are forced to front large sums of money, which they often need to borrow at an additional cost to provide services. This is also coupled with the challenges of navigating the City's arduous contracting process. Many providers have expressed their reluctance to submit proposals for RFPs because they're simply afraid additional City contracting delays could put their organizations in an even more precarious financial position, and I think that everybody, all of my Colleagues here, have experienced this situation, which is in a lot of cases very dire for us so what is DSS doing to expedite the contracting and payment timeline for non-profit organizations, especially as it relates to DHS-contracted homeless service providers who are experiencing excessive contracting delays.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, speaker. Before I answer that question, I just want to the

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 record. It's the Wolcott Hotel, not Wingate. Sorry
3 for my mistake.

So DSS takes its financial obligations to contractors very seriously. This is feedback that we have heard as well and that we are paying very close attention to and so, across DSS, all but one of our FY24 contracts are registered so that's something that we have been pushing very strongly. There is a multi-step process after contract registration so providers need to activate their budgets and then submit invoices on a monthly basis, and all of that is subject to several layers of review by the agency. That is not necessarily a structure of our own creation, right? This is part of the overall City financial management process. We absolutely believe that the checks and balances are important to make sure that we're using City resources appropriately, but we're also aware that slows down the payment process so we are always looking for ways that we can streamline our internal processes. That includes, as well as process changes, that also includes training, both for DSS staff, but also for providers. I think one of the challenges that we see frequently is that there's a lot of back and forth on the documentation

2.2

2.3

associated with invoices so the more that we can do
to make sure that we are hitting all of the required
documentation on step one, the better off we are all
going to be. I think I'm probably not saying anything
surprising, there's been a migration to PASSPort this
year that has added additional challenges. I'm very
confident in the long run that is going to make us
all much better off, but recognizing that it has
created some challenges this year. MOCS and OMB have
been very supportive of giving additional advances to
agencies so we have done that where we need to, but
we continue to work closely with providers, do
regular troubleshooting, and are looking for ways
that we can make ongoing systemic improvements.

SPEAKER ADAMS: I agree it's such a monumental issue with our non-profit organizations, and we have to do better, we just have to do better.

Does DSS have an accounting of all non-profit contracting and payment delays?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Excuse me. I don't have anything with me, but we can certainly circle back.

SPEAKER ADAMS: That's really important.

If DSS doesn't have an accounting of these delays, of

2.2

2.3

2 these non-profits that are stuck in your logjam,
3 that's an issue.

COMMISSIONER PARK: It is a little bit more nuanced because what one might consider, certainly not-for-profits would consider a delay when they have submitted an invoice and they have not been paid. If we don't have the required backup to go with that, from our perspective, we're still working with the provider to make sure that we are getting there. Prompt payment is really important, but so is that accountability and making sure that when we're here answering questions about audits on an accountability that we have done everything that we need so we're looking to strike that balance and looking to make sure that we are getting it right as quickly as possible but recognizing that the definition of delay can sometimes be a little bit nuanced there.

SPEAKER ADAMS: So if the box isn't checked on the non-profit side, then you're pushing it back and saying okay, this isn't a delay as far as DSS is concerned because we've now pushed that.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I'm saying it as an issue that we need to resolve across the board, but we frequently see challenges where we are not getting

2.2

2.3

complete documentation or where we potentially
haven't gotten bids for subcontractors. It is our
responsibility to make sure that providers understand
what they need to do and that the process is as easy
as possible for them to navigate but, under City
rules, we're not allowed to make payments if we don't
have all of that documentation or those bids.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. What is DSS doing to ensure that City contract reimbursement rates are keeping pace with the cost of providing services and employing and retaining skilled staff?

things that we have done. We look on an individual contract-by-contract basis, we are happy to work with providers on needs related to costs that they are seeing, places where we have seen cost increases relative to our model budget or things like insurance and we know that's an ongoing issue. We work through that on a case-by-case basis. Recently within the DHS budgets, we gave providers some flexibility to realign some of their staffing salary levels so that they could recruit and retain staff better, and then there are always ongoing conversations with OMB about places where we think we need more systemic change.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. Shifting		
a little bit in talking about Fair Fares, I was very		
encouraged by your presentation and knowing that the		
numbers are up, what 310,000 or so, that's very		
encouraging. Fair Fares obviously continues to be a		
priority for this Council, and we were really happy		
that addition brought the baseline budget up to 95		
million and expanded the program eligibility from 100		
percent of the federal poverty level to 120 percent,		
which helps this vital program reach more city		
residents who need assistance with transportation		
costs. Just to get a little more insight into the		
program, I have the numbers, and I believe you said		
this, but when exactly was the expanded eligibility		
implemented?		

COMMISSIONER PARK: November of 2023.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Can you give us a breakdown of the 95-million-dollar budget in the Fiscal Year 2024 by expense type?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: It's a tax levy funded program.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Say again?

spending been thus far in this year, 2024?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We will circle back with you on that number.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Assembly lines (INAUDIBLE)

COMMISSIONER PARK: It's possible we'll have it before, but I don't want to hold things up.

SPEAKER ADAMS: All right. Thank you. When or will Fair Fares actually go to the OMNY system? Do we know?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're starting a pilot with the OMNY system this year. We're working very closely with the MTA on that. Certainly, before the MTA transitions away from the MetroCard entirely, we will be in a position to be fully on cards.

SPEAKER ADAMS: But we believe it will be this year.

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're absolutely starting a pilot this year, yeah.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. What types of outreach and education is HRA doing to ensure that all eligible individuals are aware of the program? That's been our biggest issue.

3 0

COMMISSIONER PARK: We do a lot of outreach. I'm going to start, and then I will pass it over to First Deputy Commissioner Berry.

We do Transit Talk events with MTA. We promote it within the MTA customer service centers, promote it online, both through DSS online applications and with the MTA, but, Jill.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY:

Commissioner, I think you got a lot of it. We also do paid advertising in neighborhood newspapers. Local language newspapers, advertising in the bus shelters and in the subway system.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay. Thank you. Do you see that outreach expanding further than what you're doing right now?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah, one of the things we really spend a lot of time with on the outreach is looking at those zip codes where it appears that people are eligible and not enrolling in the program and targeting the outreach each year to those specific zip codes and doing that, reevaluating that each year.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. I may have other questions later.

Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Yeah, I'm actually hosting ours for folks to come in and enroll because I think one of my Districts and Council Member Yusef Salaam's District is also impacted in that way so thank you for that.

I have so many questions, guys. I don't know where to start. We're going to be here for a minute. No.

Just out of curiosity, we've seen that there's been a significant increase in the number of applications for cash and SNAP benefits. What do you think is driving the increase because it seems pretty significant.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I think there's two primary things going on. First, during the pandemic, the federal government invested in a lot of antipoverty programs, right? There was the enhanced child tax credit, there was enhanced unemployment, there was rental assistance, there were a variety of tools that we saw made a really significant difference in poverty, and then those have all been pulled back on

2.2

2.3

so I think what we're seeing in the benefits application right now is exposing that pandemic recovery has not happened equally across every community so that is a big issue, and a really serious one that we all need to be thinking about.

The other piece of it, which is slightly more positive, is I think we really have lowered the barrier to application significantly, right, so it used to be that you had to physically go into a center, and that taking a big chunk of time out of your day, getting on the subway, if you have kids, finding childcare, bringing them with you, for some people there were stigma associated with that. Now you can apply from your own home online at whatever time is convenient for you, and I think lowering those barriers has also contributed to the increase in applications.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I was pleasantly surprised by the number of folks that have been connected to employment because, I think you and I have to discussed this, the applications and being accepted, approved for cash and SNAP benefits. It's an important step, but the long-term ability to become self-sufficient is equally as important, and

2 so I was happy to see that there were some

3 restorations to the Employment Opportunities section

4 of your budget.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

All right, so regarding the CityFHEPS and HRA's Rental Assistance Program, so we're all aware that the City shelter system didn't just become overburdened in April of 2022 with the unanticipated influx of asylum seekers. Prior to their arrival, the DHS system was already strained. One of the proven strategies that the City can employ to address housing instability is the provision of rental assistance vouchers. The Council has repeatedly called on the Administration to increase baseline funding for CityFHEPS vouchers to align with actual spending more closely and to ensure that HRA has adequate staffing to support the efficient administration of vouchers. The Council has also called on the Administration to fund the HRA's budget to meet the requirements of the package of legislation the Council was recently passed to expand voucher eligibility, which became effective January 9, 2024. To date, no additional funding has been added to this legislation. Currently, how many

to receive shopping letters but are still residing in

currently on staff at HRA administer the rental

2.2

2.3

2 assistance voucher program and how many of these
3 positions are vacant?

universe of people who touch the CityFHEPS process. It starts at DHS actually for people who are in shelter. DHS has a team of approximately 100, we will circle back with exact headcount numbers on each of these areas, but DHS has a team of people that work with shelter providers, review packages, HRA has a team of people, then there's everybody who cuts checks supported by our IT department, supported by finance, so there's a significant infrastructure that works on rental assistance. We will try and do a breakdown of all of those different areas and get back to you with that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. In regards to the budget because I think that this comes up every single budget cycle regarding the CityFHEPS program is the underfunded amounts that are presented as part of the Preliminary and, even sometimes the Executive Budget, it seems like the Administration usually over estimates the cost of certain programs to allow some level of flexibility but, when it relates to CityFHEPS program, it's usually always underfunded

2.2

2.3

and then adjustments are made later. Do we know why
that's the case?

spending is very dynamic because it's a function both of the caseload and how many people were we enroll and then also, so that, rent levels, right, as FMR goes up, which has gone up quite substantially over the last several years, and then also trends in income because tenants are paying 30 percent of their income in rent so with that three-variable equation, it can be a somewhat difficult number to project multiple years out. We work year-by-year with OMB to make sure that we are putting the funding in the budget. There was a significant add this year in the budget for FY24 is, I believe, 744 million for CityFHEPS, which is a record.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I'm just looking here to make sure. Okay.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Deputy Speaker, I'm sorry, it's 120 days for a shopping letter.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: 120 days? Oh, okay.

Thought it was longer than that for some reason. I

don't know where I got that from. Okay. The Adopted

Budget of 2024 included a baseline reduction of 36.2

2.2

2.3

2 | million for DHS and shelter service providers.

Savings were to be generated from a 2.5 percent net reduction of shelter contracts and were permitted to reinvest half of that, or 2.5 percent, towards staff retention costs. At that time, DHS stated that shelter providers were not spending down all of the personnel service costs allowed in their contracts and, therefore, the reduction would not affect providers. Providers, though, have stated that the only reason that they could not spend the entirety of their contract was because of restrictions placed on what they could spend the funding on. Where is DHS in the process of implementing this change?

Member. Just to clarify, this is a PEG from the FY24 cycle so from last year. Like all the other agencies, we had to take a PEG to address the City's financial position last year and because, as was noted, the overwhelming majority of our budget is passed through to not-for-profit contractors, we really had limited options and we couldn't entirely hold our not-for-profit providers harmless. In the past when we had to take cuts and we had imposed particular types of reductions on providers, what we heard back from them

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was they know their budget and operations better than we ever can and that it makes more sense for them to have some flexibility in doing so, so the agency's cut PEG for last year was 4 percent. We passed through 2.5 percent to the not-for-profits and then gave them the additional flexibility on top of that to do some personnel realignment so that they were able to make some decisions that helped them. I think to the point that you speak to, we heard that some of the reasons that they had vacancies was because salaries were low so what we're saying is, yes, we need to take some of that as savings but some of that can also go to increasing particular salary lines, and we thought that flexibility and individual contract management made sense for the providers. We have worked with every provider to come up with a series of changes that made sense for them. I think the bulk of them are in place at this point.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. We're hearing from the providers that the cut has been quite painful, and I'm assuming that because of the conversations that are ongoing, has that changed?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Cuts are unfortunately virtually always painful in our area. I think

2.2

2.3

there's, every once in a while, we can find something where we're actually improving service delivery and saving money but it's hard. We're providing essential services and serving vulnerable clients. I did not want to make that change. It was something that we had to do in order to meet targets, but we continue to work with individual providers to address particular concerns. There was at the same time the workforce enhancement funds that were added so that certainly didn't make providers whole, and we understand that the intent there was to grow, but it did provide a partial offset.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Have the anticipated cuts, have they caused a reduction of any type of service or the elimination of any positions at CBOs?

individual not-for-profit, individual shelter,
whether or not they have reduced any headcount
positions. Nobody was laid off, but I do believe
there were some vacancy consolidations that were
spread out across our many hundreds of shelters so I
can't actually speak to the details, but I feel very
confident that our providers are continuing to
provide exceptional service. I think one of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

measures that I take most seriously is the number of clients that we are connecting to permanent housing.

We saw a 17 percent increase last year, and we're on track to exceed that this year.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think what makes this more difficult and complicated is the fact that we're also not paying our contracts on time, and so any percentage that we're taking away from a budget that's already at a deficit in the beginning of the Fiscal Year is problematic, and I think that's an argument that I know it's true and, considering that the actual baseline reduction is only 36.2 million, in the scheme of things when I was little I thought that was a lot of money, I know now better, and I know that we can find that and I've seen plenty of new programs that have been announced in the last month that equal to far more than that that are new and necessary, but I guess in times when we're struggling, we shouldn't probably be adding a whole bunch of new programs and services so that we can keep the ones that we have intact, but that's just me.

Regarding the non-profits, because we passed a number of bills a couple of years ago

2.2

2.3

regarding the security guards, do you know if the non-profits are using City money to fund security guards at transitional housing and how are they ensuring that the security and safety of the staff and much less the residents is intact?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, absolutely. All of our shelter contracts include 24/7 security, so there's always on-site security that can be provided in a variety of different ways. In many cases, it's subcontracted out but, as some of our providers hire security guards directly, and they're part of the organization's direct payroll. We provide extensive training to not-for-profits in accordance with the laws that were passed so we really spend a lot of time on making sure that security guards have access to the appropriate training, and then I think as was noted earlier, we are funding the prevailing wage requirements as well on a year-over-year basis.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I know that I have another question on security, but I'll get to it. The timeliness in the backlog. During the November Plan hearing, the OMB director told the Council that the SNAP program had addressed all the backlog and that Administration expected the cash

2.2

2.3

assistance program to be rid of their backlog by
February. HRA has also stated that the agency has
hired over 700 new staff to assist in making sure
that the backlog was taken care of. The PMMR, which
was recently released, reports that the timeliness
for both programs has continued to be troublingly
low. For the first four months of Fiscal Year 2024,
cash assistance timelines reached a new low of 14.3
percent and SNAP timeliness was at 41.6 percent. On
March 4th, the Mayor announced that the cash and SNAP
backlogs had been nearly entirely eliminated. Can you
explain to us how HRA defines backlog as far as cash
assistance and SNAP, and does it relate to both
applications and recertifications?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Deputy

Speaker. Yes, the backlog includes any new

application or annual recertification that's not

processed within 30 days, and that's true for both

cash and SNAP.

I want maybe take a minute to explain the distinction between what was in the PMMR and what we are saying now because it certainly does matter. The timeliness rates from the PMMR, as you know, reflect the first four months of the year. Over the summer,

combined timeliness numbers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. All right. So how did HRA clear the backlog?

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER PARK: Great question. I'm very happy to answer that one. I'm going to give the top lines and then I will turn it over to Administrator French so we really had a three-pronged

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

strategy. We were focused on hiring staff, as I mentioned multiple times, close to 1,000 people over the last year for frontline cash and SNAP processing, investing in technology changes, and then doing some really significant process improvement, including waivers from the state, but I will let Administrator French give the details.

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Thank you, Commissioner. So yes, as the Commissioner said, we took a three-pronged approach. It really works together to get us to a place where we are operating as efficiently as we can. Aside from the staff, which we have been able to also hire throughout the hiring freeze, we were able to continue to stay focused on having our eligibility staff to be able to take in the applications, work with our clients, and make assessments. Through the use of technology and waivers from the State, we were able to look at processes that maybe didn't need to be done so manually and/or based upon information that we had, maybe we could look at a smaller subset of individuals who needed some efforts on our part but could be receive some waivers as well. One of those was on recertification, on a cash case, individuals

2	get a six-month mailer. This used to go to everybody
3	We, looking at our data, realized that usually only
4	percent or so of clients actually had earned income
5	which needed to be reported at that six month.
6	Working with the state, we were able to get a waiver
7	for the 91 percent of individuals who didn't need to
8	submit it because there was no change in their case.
9	As you can imagine, that's great for clients, but
10	also for our workload, we're able to refocus our
11	staff on applications and recertifications that need
12	actual work done while not having them look at
13	applications where there is no change so the case
14	would continue as is. I'd say that's illustrative of
15	the different types of ways we looked at it to
16	improve the processes and make sure our staff are
17	focusing on the cases that need our efforts but, for
18	cases that didn't, clients would continue their
19	benefits and staff could move on to the next case
20	that needed some looking at.
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So the waivers that

were approved, are those are waivers that we used throughout the pandemic as well?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: No, these are waivers that, many of them are waivers that we

2.2

2.3

developed as we were looking at the backlog. We have a group of individuals both on the program side, the legal side, our accountability office and our IT constantly looking at the ways in which we're doing our work and identifying additional places where we may be able to streamline the process so many of these waivers were a result of that. Additionally, another waiver we looked at and got agreement from the State was around selective case review for certain cases where usually supervisors needed to look at every case, but we were able to look at cases where we were able to waive the secondary review because the case itself was going to move forward and we had real confidence in that there were no errors related to the case.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. Are these waivers permanent? Is there any opportunity to change policy here so that they do become permanent?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: At the moment, the waivers are ongoing. We continue to work with our oversight, OTDA, as well as other oversights at the federal level as needed. We are not looking at this moment to stop any of the waivers and, so as of right now, we are looking to keep them in place.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I ask because usually waivers are not indefinite, and we saw during the pandemic that they worked and then, as soon as we no longer had them, we saw an influx in the number of backlog cases so obviously we want to make sure that whatever positive trend we're on, that it's something that we can manage and that's sustainable.

definitely, working with our partners at OTDA, are looking to keep the waivers in place. I will say also, in conversations with others around the state as well who are experiencing the same challenges as New York was, as it relates to a high volume of applications and recertifications and keeping up with that, they have definitely looked to us and asked us what we have done, and I know others around the state are considering doing similar approaches that we are so it's definitely a partnership between us, and we are looking to keep these in place as long as we can.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, I hope that you could, and I think that there should be long-term conversations with OTDA about just making a policy change so that the waivers are no longer waivers but a matter of policy because a lot of the times a lot

2.2

2.3

of this work is really redundant and creates more bureaucracy than necessary.

terrific partners in this, and we will absolutely keep that open line of communication going. I think one of the small silver linings here is that we have found ways to make this process actually work better in ways that I think will make us more resilient going forward, and we certainly don't want to lose any ground there.

Sorry, I do have to correct one more statement that I made that PMMR timeliness rate is only applications. It doesn't include the recertifications.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Can you tell us what the breakdown for, so obviously we heard, both from you guys today and from OMB Director Jacques

Jiha last week, that HRA had hired 700 additional workers to address the backlog of cases in SNAP and cash assistance. Do you know what the breakdown is on the number of hires in the SNAP and cash assistance?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Just to slightly update the OMB number, we're actually at about 1,000 people for frontline staff within cash and SNAP. That

2.2

is a great question on how that 1,000 breaks out between cash and SNAP. We will certainly circle back with you. In total on board, there are about 1,900 people working on cash and 1,200 on SNAP.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. Is the rate of hiring keeping pace with the attrition rate in the agency?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, so for those specifically 1,000 cash and SNAP frontline positions, we've had a net growth of 535 so we're exceeding attrition there.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. We're hearing from the City agencies that the City agency is still mandating overtime for workers. With the additional hires in HRA, why is mandatory overtime still occurring?

reduced our reliance on mandatory overtime. Every once in a while when we get a particular spike for a given day or a few days, we will do some mandatory overtime but, at this point, virtually all of our overtime is voluntary.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. What is the
3 current timeline rate for the processing of new SNAP
4 applications and recertifications?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Because we are at the point where our backlog is virtually eliminated, almost all of the applications are being processed within the 30-day window.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Moving on to system improvements. While we are glad to hear that HRA is working to resolve the backlog, we also want to ensure that the lessons have been learned and that the agency's processes have been improved in a sustainable way. What specific steps has HRA taken to improve processing of both cash and SNAP? You spoke about the OTDA situation. Has there been anything else?

technology investments and technology improvements are really important so I'd say the on-demand interview process is one that is really helpful and that I think will make us more resilient going forward and we have continued to iterate on that so, just to take us back to the beginning, the interview requirement is a federal requirement, something that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you need to do in order to access benefits for both cash and SNAP, but more recently for cash, that used to be a fully in-person process. You had to go into a center. We were able to bring that on the phone, but the original version was what I keep calling a don'tcall-us-we'll-call-you, and that didn't work as well as we wanted it to because the times that we were making calls weren't necessarily convenient for clients. We were able to pivot to an inbound calling system so people can call us when they need to, added a callback function so that if somebody doesn't want to wait on the phone that they are able to get a callback but holding their place in line, and then most recently, we have added some verification procedures so that really only calls related to interviews come in on that particular phone line. Other inquiries are routed elsewhere so that improves the efficiency and improves the client experience. All of this iterative process reflects the learning that we did, and I really do think that it's working much better now.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. This is all great, but we've been hearing from clients that it's really hard to get through HRA on the phone, and we

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have seen reports of increased denial rates. What is
the current denial rate for each SNAP and cash
assistance, and how does that compare to pre-pandemic
rates?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Sure. Thank you. As of December, which is the most recent data that I have, the denial rate for SNAP was 52.8 percent and for cash it was 64 percent. For SNAP, it's marginally higher than the pre-Covid rate, but it is about 33 percent higher for cash. Couple of things that I want to point out here. First of all, as I know you're aware, right, we aren't setting the rules and regulations for cash and SNAP. These are federal benefits and what we are doing is enforcing the state and federal regulations, but I also want to call back to what I talked about a bit in my testimony about lowering barriers to access, which is absolutely the right thing to do, but what we found is that many people apply earlier on in their journey, right, when you had to physically go into an office and deal with all the complications of physically going in, people were much more likely to have had every piece of documentation assembled, to have really done their own personal calculation of the trade-offs and

whether or not they were going to qualify for
benefits. People now are much more likely to start
the process early on. That's fine, but it does mean
that there are fewer people who are completing their
interview and that is the primary reason for denial.
I think this is very consistent with what in other
kinds of government benefits that when it's easier to
access, more people start it, not necessarily more
people complete it, and I think part of the reason
why you're not seeing much of a change in the denial
rate relative to pre-COVID for SNAP is that we had
the online application for SNAP before COVID. For
cash, it's really where the change is, and so that's
why I think you're seeing that change.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So just so that I understand, people are starting an application but not completing it?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, and how do we know that they didn't complete it because they didn't, they were trying to get through, they didn't understand the application, like are you gauging that it's that somebody started the process too soon or

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 not that they had some sort of complexity completing 3 the application?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Good question. We're doing a few things to make sure that that is not an issue. First of all, we monitor wait times on the phone very closely. For cash, wait times these days are typically between an hour and a half with, as I mentioned, a callback function. For SNAP, it's about half an hour, give or take, and we know that we are completing more than 10,000 interviews every week so people are able to get through and people are able to get through that process. That being said, denial rates are a concern of ours as well so we had our Office of Accountability do a review. We are continually looking at the cases that are denied to make sure that there is nothing systemic going on, making sure that there's no training need. Thus far, we really haven't seen anything that would suggest that there's inappropriate denials happening. Lastly, we're really investing in CBOs that are out in the community and working, providing some hands-on support with clients so that if they do need more help getting through the process, that they have that resource.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, and what have
you heard about this whole situation with the phone?
Because I think that since I've been in the Council,
this has been like a constant, the complaints from
applicants that they cannot get through on the phone.
It just keeps coming up at every hearing, so I don't
know that it's been resolved.

COMMISSIONER PARK: As I say, it is something that we monitor wait times incredibly carefully, and we will move staff around so if the wait times do seem to be creeping up, we will move people off of processing and move them to the phones so that we are making sure that wait times are staying balanced consistently on the cash side, an hour to an hour and a half. That's not a short wait time, but it is shorter than when people were going in person and, as I say, we do have that callback function. I would encourage you if you have specific people who are saying that they can't get through, if you could share names with us offline, that would be really helpful. Sometimes reverse engineering can help identify if there's an issue. Administrator French, anything you want to add?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Commissioner, I
think you did get it, and I would just say every
morning the team looks at where the needs are and
they will move things around so if there is a high
phone call volume, they'll move more staff there to
keep the wait times within the hour and an hour and a
half, but it's definitely something that we look at
consistently and look at to find any trends that
might be there where we need to dig a little bit
deeper, but I would emphasize for both you and others
in the community, if there are specifics where
individuals are having challenges with the phone, it
is good for us to know that so that we can also look
on our side to see if there is some type of issue we
just weren't aware of.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Since I have you, there were some similar complaints regarding the system that is used to complete applications. I forget the name of it, Gale maybe remembers because she remembers everything, but we had a hearing where we heard from some of the staff that you had transitioned during the pandemic to a new system that was then I guess put on hold during the pandemic and then reinstated once folks were back in the office,

2.2

2.3

but there were glitches with the system so, if a person was doing an application, it may have frozen, they couldn't fix it, and so they had to move on to another applicant, and they didn't know what happened to the applicant they had been working with, like there was no way of determining who picked it up at what point. What changes have been made to that system? Since technology is like one of the models that you're using to help expedite the processing of applications.

this is the ESPAS (phonetic) and the ANGIE systems, and those are specifically the systems that we use to process the SNAP only applications, not the cash assistance applications. We re-rolled out ANGIE and ESPAS in March of 2022, and we have since upgraded. That was version 1.0 of ANGIE, and ANGIE has since been rolled out to version 2.0, and that addresses, I think, a lot of the issues that staff were having. There are always some bugs as we roll out new systems. We do listen to staff concerns and complaints and do iterate on those systems. A couple of things. One was there was an ask to speak directly to the staff about their experience with the systems,

2.2

2.3

and we worked in partnership with the Mayor's Office of Efficiency on work groups with the frontline staff that use these systems to talk through what are the pain points both in the systems and the processes. We came up with a list of corrective actions, and we are in the process of implementing those to improve both the process and the system.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, I'm going to try to run through these really quickly because I know that we have a bunch of questions from some of the Members, and I don't want to hold them here longer than I have to.

Regarding the funding swaps, the

Preliminary Budget Plan includes an additional 500

million of city funding in each Fiscals '26 and '27

to support asylum seeker response efforts. In

addition, the Plan also includes a swap of City funds

for State funding with no net change to DHS's budget,

220 million in Fiscal Year '24, and 750 million in

'24. How were the amounts added in Fiscal Year '26

and '27 determined and, as of the Preliminary Plan,

all of the asylum response funding in Fiscal Year

'26, let me go back to the first question because I

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

don't want to conflate the two. How were the amounts added?

will pass off to Chief Levine. There's a lot of uncertainty in the asylum space here, right? I think the one thing that we can say has been constant over the last two and a half years is the pace of change, right? That is the pace of people coming in, the breakdown between families with children and single adults' particular needs. We've had to adapt a number of times so I would say there is a fairly high level of projection going on here and that we will need to continue to iterate and adapt the asylum seeker budget as we know more about how things are actually playing out on the ground but, Chief Levine.

CHIEF LEVINE: Thank you, Commissioner.

State funds were added in anticipation of the State reimbursement that we have been achieving in our budget, and we added the 500 million in each of the outyears in anticipation of City costs that would continue through that period.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. As of the Preliminary Plan, all of the City's asylum response funding in Fiscal Year '26 and '27 is budgeted

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 through DHS. Do you expect that DHS will assume full 3 responsibility for response efforts by that time, or 4 will some of this funding be transferred to other agencies?

COMMISSIONER PARK: The first few months of the asylum seeker crisis, DHS was really very much the lead and it was a tremendous challenge for the agency. We have responsibilities, as does every agency, that go well beyond the asylum seekers so, at this point, the asylum seeker operation is a wholeof-government response and I do anticipate that to

continue, and funding will be aligned as appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Given that the State and the City budget were released simultaneously this January, the funding swap made in the Preliminary Plan was made assuming that the level of State support the City would receive. If the State budget is enacted as proposed, what changes does the DHS anticipate it will need to make in the Executive Plan to accurately reflect the amount of State funding in DHS' budget?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're going to have to work with OMB to determine what's happening with the State budget, what's happening not only with our

2.2

2.3

budget, but with all of the other agencies that are serving asylum seekers, how the pace of claim is going, anything that's happening with the federal government so with the number of variables going right now, I feel like it's too early to answer that question but happy to circle back as we know more.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Regarding the contracted providers DHS is working with for asylum response, are they non-profit organizations?

shelters either are or will be operated by not-for-profit organizations. We have grown much faster than the not-for-profit sector can staff up, and so we are very grateful to have National Guard who are staffing still some of our sites. I think roughly 50 of our asylum sites are still largely staffed by the National Guard, but our model is to transition to not-for-profit providers over time. It includes many of our traditional not-for-profit providers and many new organizations that have stepped up to work with us in this moment.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How many are run by the National Guard?

2	COMMISSIONER PARK: It's approximately 50.
3	We can circle back with the exact number.
1	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: 50?
-	COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Any for-profit organizations? I believe there are one or two sites that are operated by for-profit organizations. Again, we can circle back with you on the specifics. All of them have responded through our RFP. Everything that we are doing in this space is competitively procured.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Can you provide an estimate of how much of the DHS' budgeted asylum seeker funding relates to contracts with for-profit providers?

COMMISSIONER PARK: I don't have that number with me, but we can circle back.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Does DHS permit providers to subcontract with for-profit organizations?

COMMISSIONER PARK: It's very standard within our shelter model that not-for-profits will subcontract with for-profit vendors for things like food and security, sometimes maintenance, and that is

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

or, in the interest of time, I can circle back with you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Has DHS closed any emergency shelters since the influx began?

Sites that, yes, we have closed generally because of real estate issues, either the site owner has pulled back or we have decided that for whatever reason the site owner isn't meeting their obligations either for quality or rate, but we have generally speaking over the last two years seen growing to at best steady state census for asylum seekers so we have not been reducing our overall footprint for asylum.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I'm moving on to the community food connection program. Obviously, this is a vital resource providing much needed food to the city's vast network of food pantries and soup kitchens. This program is especially important given the current number of asylum seekers in the city who are not eligible for many public benefits programs. As of the Preliminary Plan, there is 57 million budgeted in Fiscal Year '24 for HRA's Community Food Connection Program. This drops to just 25.8 million in Fiscal Year 2025 and then 20.9 million in Fiscal

2.2

2.3

2	Years '26 and '27. That's a pretty substantial
3	reduction. How will this program continue its current
4	operations without additional funding added in Fiscal
5	Year '25 and beyond, especially given both the
6	increased need in the city and the higher cost of
7	food?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're really committed to the CFC program, absolutely recognize the vital role that it plays in communities, and we're working very closely with OMB on the outyear funding.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: To add additional resources?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're courting to make sure that we're able to move forward with the program at the appropriate level, yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is there currently a waitlist?

works is that we make allocations to individual food pantries and community kitchens for an annual basis. They then place orders for groceries through our centralized vendor so there isn't a waitlist for funds because we've allocated out the year's funds for providers. I suppose it's possible that

2.2

2.3

individual food pantries or community kitchens would
maintain a waitlist, but that wouldn't be something
we're directly involved in.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right, but if the CFC providers need more food than they have been allotted for, what is the process and the timeframe for them to get approval to order more food?

COMMISSIONER PARK: I'm going to ask First Deputy Commissioner Berry to speak.

now monitoring and providing food pantries with monthly reports on their allocations so that they can see how far along they are in their allocation process, whether they're spending over or under their allocations. We do reach out to those providers that are either under or overspending to understand. Sometimes there are reasons for that. There may be other funding they receive later in the year so they're using up their CFC allocation until that is available so that is one way that we're monitoring it. The other thing we do is as the Fiscal Year comes to a close, we're looking at what the allocations are that are left. If there are pantries that are underspending and they confirm that they will

2.2

2.3

underspend for the year, we take those funds and we reallocate them to pantries that are in need of funding, and that happens towards the end of the

5 | Fiscal Year so it'll happen in about May and June.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are there food
pantries that are underspending? I don't know. I
think in my District, I've seen a significant
increase and we've actually been very purposeful here
in the Council in ensuring that we didn't touch any
of our food network funding because we understand
that the need has increased, especially in
communities that have seen an influx of asylum
seekers because they just don't like the food that is
being served to them at the shelters or don't find it
to be enough to sustain them.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah,
it's not typical to see a lot of underspending unless
they are holding on to their CFC allocation because
they had another allocation that they needed to spend
sooner, whether from private donors or state
allocation or other, but it is something we look at.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I don't see, and I know that, hey, listen, these are decisions that are made, but I don't see how we could ever justify

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

such a significant budget cut to such an important program that is ensuring, I'll tell you that the lines in my District are so long, they're so, so long, and I just assumed that after things stabilize after the beginning of the pandemic that the lines would become smaller and they've only grown so that's pretty consistent with what we're hearing from you as well today regarding the increased number of applications for both cash and SNAP applications, right, is that there's a huge demand for these services and that's why it's very difficult to comprehend why there would even be a discussion about cutting those. I think the only way to really help eradicate poverty is through workforce development and ensuring that folks have the infrastructure to, again, become and stay self-sufficient and unless we're equally applying funding to meet that need as well, then we're going to continue to be on an upward trend and, if that's the case, and we're not committing the dollars to workforce development or other viable options, and I think that there has to be a bigger conversation about why we shouldn't be cutting these safety net programs that are keeping people fed. That's a really, really big deal.

2.2

2.3

In the Preliminary Plan, there was also a baseline savings of 3.2 million, starting in Fiscal Year '26 from the WeCARE program efficiencies. WeCARE is a program that serves cash assistance clients with a medical or mental health barrier to employment. The program provides individuals vocational rehabilitation services to help engage them in work-related activities that lead to employment. HRA indicated to us that they will be exploring other City resources to provide this programming. How was the funding amount derived, and how will the savings be generated?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Thank you. The savings generated for WeCare, which will start in FY26, will align with a new contracting cycle that we will be doing and, as part of that cycle, we are looking at ways in which we can achieve greater operational efficiencies through the use of technology that better mirror the current job market, looking at ways in which we have flexible delivery models, using hybrid models that will be able to achieve some savings around things such as space but doesn't actually compromise the programmatic priorities of WeCare so, programmatically, we will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

still stay focused on employment and connection to employment for those with certain barriers as well as vocational education and training for those who maybe need a little bit more training before they can join the workforce as well as wellness supports for those who maybe have a short-term medical or mental health situation that's untreated that needs to be addressed first before looking at employment and then, for those who have long-term barriers to employment, connecting them to federal benefits such as SSI so the savings that we identified are really focused on operational savings through the utilization of technology and some other programs streamlining, including partnerships, but is not anticipated to make any programmatic impacts in regards to the priorities of the program. It's just better leveraging what we can now utilize in the post-COVID world.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So I'm assuming that because of the way that you responded to that question, that the funding cut is not going to impact operations for the program.

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Right, it won't impact programmatic operations for the program. The

funding support, it's in our budget, but I think it

24

25

is a mix of both.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PARK: But just to clarify, the WeCARE budget is on the order of magnitude of about 58 million dollars a year, and so this reduction is a relatively small share of that and, because it is only applicable once the new contracts go into effect, none of the existing contractors are losing money from their budget at this time.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. is HRA advocating for those cuts to be restored in the Executive?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We are always talking to OMB about our budget as a whole. Certainly, as I said, given the very narrow window of discretionary City tax levy in our budget, the places where we had to look to make cuts were narrow, and we had to make some difficult decisions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. My last question is, Commissioner, you mentioned that the shopping letters expire at 120 days and the renewals are automatic. Are they automatic?

COMMISSIONER PARK: For somebody who's eligibility has just been maintained, so they were base eligibility criteria on public assistance, working more than 10 hours a week, that will be an

2.2

2.3

automatic renewal. If it was under one of the exception categories, that will require the agency to take some action assuming they still maintain those exception categories.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, because according to the policy bulletin of 2021-009, shopping letters are not automatically renewed. Is there a subsequent overriding policy bulletin.

renewed if you're If your circumstances have changed, right, so the fact that you had a shopping letter yesterday doesn't automatically mean you have a shopping letter today but, if you would have qualified for a new shopping letter, because you are still meeting all the eligibility criteria, then it will be renewed for you. You, as a client, don't need to take action so I think maybe we're using the word automatic differently but in that we are going to relook at the eligibility criteria but, assuming you meet them, it does happen.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. All right. I'm going to leave it there. I just wanted to know that I did see as part of the PEGs, two of my Jobs-Plus sites have been identified for cuts, Bronx Works in

2.2

2.3

the South Bronx and Urban Upbound in East Harlem. I am obviously very concerned about that. Again, I have some of the highest rates of poverty and some of the highest rates of gun violence, and these programs have been really great at capturing groups of folks that normally wouldn't, nowhere to go to find employment and they go way and above, they're not just finding you an apartment, but they're also following up and they are ensuring that you have transportation and that you're filling out your applications properly. There's a lot of hand holding that goes with the services that they provide, but I'm curious were those the only two that were identified for closure because I saw there weren't that many.

Speaker and, certainly, I understand where you're coming from and have heard the feedback. Those are the only two Jobs-Plus programs that are funded directly by HRA. The others are through Why Am I so we did in this spirit of unfortunate and very hard choices given our limited discretionary tax levy did make cuts there. We are pivoting to focus on all of our borough-based employment models because it is a

2.2

2.3

way to make sure that we are continuing to connect people to employment service because I think I absolutely agree with you that workforce development is critical. It's a way that we can continue to do it while also meeting our financial obligations. People who are participating will be able to get access to MetroCard so that won't be a responsibility for them, and we are working on an outreach and notification strategy for clients.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: What do you mean by borough-based employment model?

other contracts for employment services. Most of them are oriented, rather than at a specific NYCHA development the way that Jobs-Plus is, they have a broader geographic range and we're focusing on those because it does allow us to provide the services more efficiently. Again, not a decision that we wanted to reach but, given that 90 percent of our tax levy budget is spent on entitlements, the areas that we had to look were very limited.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So if I live in East

Harlem or the South Bronx, where am I traveling to to

obtain this level of service?

2 COMMISSIONER PARK: We can certainly

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 circle back with a list of the closest sites.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: This is one that I plan to make a big deal about because of the need in my community. I have a really good working relationship with both of these organizations, and I know how hard they work in our communities to make sure that they're working with some of the folks that are likelier to get into trouble if they were not engaged in workforce opportunities, but it's often the case that the neediest of people, like we have to travel outside of our communities to receive these services. We were supposed to get a workforce development site that was actually promised through the rezoning of East Harlem, and we're recently told that that was taken away, but that our constituents could go into Harlem workforce and utilize their resources. The idea of asking for that as part of a commitment was because there was a need, there was a huge demand for that level of service to be provided locally within the community so to lose that and to now have to hear that both Jobs-Plus programs will be leaving as well, will be closing, we're going to lose that resource, is really quite frankly annoying and

neartbreaking because I know now difficult it is,
like I don't think that the City really understands
like how much stress it is. It seems very simplistic
to grab a MetroCard and just get on a train or get on
a bus and go from place to place, but it becomes
troublesome. You know, it's hard, and these services
should be in the communities that need them. The same
thing, if you have a high rate of evictions, those
eviction services, prevention eviction services
should be in that community. If there is a huge
demand for food, you have a larger demand for
applications for SNAP benefits in a specific
community, then you should be purposely integrating
yourself into that community. I don't support those
cuts and I will not be supporting those cuts, and I'm
hoping to see full restoration because I know that
these programs and services are essential to both the
South Bronx and East Harlem, and I cannot just allow
that to disappear.

With that, thank you. I want to recognize that we were also joined by Council Member Brooks-Powers, Restler, and Brewer, and I think that our Speaker has a followup question.

2.2

2.3

I emphatically support everything that the Deputy
Speaker just said. Resources in the community are
essential and should never be taken away from those
that need them the most. I just wanted to backtrack
because I needed clarification on the emergency hotel
shelter situation, and I don't think that I really,
really heard that. How many emergency hotel shelters
have been closed since the influx began?

COMMISSIONER PARK: I will need to circle back on an exact number. It is a handful of hotels that have been closed in the order of magnitude of a half dozen, and the reasons we have closed them is because we found that there was some issue with that particular site, right? In some cases, the owner decided that they no longer wanted to work with us or we found that there were physical violations, other kinds of things that meant that we didn't think it was appropriate to continue using the site. In those cases, we found other placements for clients so we are not downsizing our footprint at this time to serve asylum seekers because we have ongoing need. Of our total asylum sites, we have 162 total, all but seven are hotels.

2.2

2.3

2 SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Is there a breakdown 3 by borough for us?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER PARK: I can certainly do} \\ \mbox{that. I have a breakdown by borough.}$

SPEAKER ADAMS: And by type as well.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay. I have that crosstab, it's a lot of numbers, we will send that over to your office.

SPEAKER ADAMS: That's really, really important that we see that.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Can you provide a per diem cost breakdown of each shelter, housing type, or broken down by asylum or non-asylum?

commissioner park: Yes. Absolutely. Our overall per diem numbers, so these are macro level, for single adults, the average per diem is \$145.13; for adult families, which is any family composition that doesn't include a minor child, it's \$24351; and for families with children, it's \$232.40. The overall asylum per diem, and this is a citywide asylum number is, I believe, let me double check that, \$388 is the overall asylum. That is inclusive of both the DHS system and HERRC system.

crisis...

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 101
2	COMMISSIONER PARK: 5,000 hotel rooms
3	SPEAKER ADAMS: Absolutely right. This has
4	been a clarion call for particularly Southeast Queens
5	and my District for decades.
6	COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes. We'd made real
7	progress. We had all the families with children
8	hotels closed. We obviously had to take a big step
9	back from that progress, which is very unfortunate,
LO	but we remain really committed to it, and we are
L1	continuing to site and open new high-quality shelters
L2	and buildings that are really designed to meet the
L3	needs of both communities and of people experiencing
L 4	homelessness. They are better for clients. They're
L5	better for communities, and they're less expensive,
L6	and we're committed to that.
L7	SPEAKER ADAMS: Agree with the movement
L8	from hotels. Overall goal will always be to get
L 9	everyone into housing.
20	COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely.
21	SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you for your
22	testimony. Deputy Speaker.
23	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We've also

been joined by Council Member Rivera.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will now hear from Council Member Brooks-Powers followed by Council Member Riley.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'd just like to follow up on the Speaker's questions regarding Fair Fares, and I'll just ask them all together and then you can respond and then I have one regarding EBT fraud.

As it pertains to Fair Fares, are you able to provide an update on what the current uptake rate for Fair Fares is, how many New Yorkers are signed up as of today in terms of eligible New Yorkers, and then you mentioned 5 million dollars was spent in Fiscal Year '23 on marketing and staffing for Fair Fares. Have you studied which avenues of distribution are most effective, as in what are the most effective ways to get people to sign up? Also, I'm just curious, you mentioned that there's been some outreach done around that but, in particular, where the household income levels are lower, has it been done in a strategic way to reach those communities, and I'm curious as to what particular outlets in terms of newspapers or radio stations have been invested in for that. Then you talked about the coordination with MTA on Fair Fares, about an OMNY

pilot and also the distribution at MTA's customer
service centers, can you talk a little bit more about
how DSS and the MTA coordinate on Fair Fares, what
does that partnership look like, do you have any data
on how successful the MTA's outreach at their service
centers has been? I'll close with the EBT fraud, as I
mentioned. In Rockaway, in particular, we've seen a
spike in recent years in reports of welfare fraud.
New Yorkers are being victimized by skimming. For
example, their EBT card information is stolen and
copied and then used by someone else. Can you talk
about how DSS is seeking to address EBT fraud, what
kind of outreach has been done to educate New Yorkers
about EBT fraud, and I will acknowledge that you have
sent out communication in terms of trying to recoup
some of those dollars. I'm interested in how
successful it's been. Again, we've had an uptick in
terms of complaints about that, and there have been
concerns and complaints about the local office that's
in Far Rockaway and the support that they're able or
not able to get there. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely. Let me

start with the skimming questions and then, between

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the team and I, we will answer the Fair Fares
3 question.

Skimming is just horrific. It's people preying on the most vulnerable, really something that we should all be ashamed is happening at all. Thanks to changes in state law, we are able to do reimbursement now. We have thus far approved a total of 21.6 million in replacement benefits. The majority of that is SNAP but that includes some cash as well. The deadline for making retroactive claims, so this is before the change in State law, has been extended to April 1st so there's still a little bit of time to submit those and then people will still be able to do claims on an ongoing basis if they detect fraud. We have been trying to communicate that as widely as possible so really pushing that out but, if you have particular places where you think we should be engaging on that, happy to collaborate. We are processing those centrally through DSS rather than doing it at individual site offices. We wanted to do it that way because we needed to be able to walk and chew gum at the same time, right? We had to make progress on our cash and SNAP backlogs, making sure we're getting benefits in people's hands, and we

know that they're looking at.

2.2

2.3

needed to be processing the skimming so we did a little bit of dividing up of our work of labor, but I think the process has gone, we've been able to move very quickly and with far less impact on other operations than I was originally anxious that we would. I think the best option in terms of longer-term solutions to skimming, because I heard that as part of your question as well, is making sure that the cards are chipped. That is something that the State is the one actually issuing the cards so something we're in conversations with OTDA on, and I

On Fair Fares, let me get it started, and I will pass off to Colleagues. As of the beginning of this month, we had 317,300 people and enrolled in Fair Fares so not all of them are using Fair Fares on a regular basis, but all of those people have access to it. We've tried a lot of different things on the marketing, some of which we talked about already but, in addition to what we've already talked about, partnering with a slew of other agencies and training their staff so that whenever they're engaging with people in communities, that they are able to enroll people in Fair Fares and to talk up the program. That

2.2

2.3

includes almost 100 DOE school-based staff so that
we're trying to really meet people where they are and
marketing it as well within the various asylum
facilities because immigration status is not a
requirement for receiving the benefit. We're
distributing promotional materials in libraries. ACS
has shared out materials, right? We're really trying
to go with a lot of different options, but Scott or
Jill, either of you would like to chime in?

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: And are you doing them in multiple languages as well?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely, yes.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Just a few more things on some of the targeted outreach we're doing, and then the pilot with the MTA is we reassess every year before we start our marketing campaign those zip codes where we know that there are people who are eligible and not enrolled, and we target the outreach in those areas, the bus shelter ads and the community marketing in terms of community newspapers and all that. We target those specifically to those zip codes where we know that there is underenrollment. We have robocall and text and mailing outreach to those of our clients that we believe are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

eligible and not enrolled so we're targeting those specific individuals and, where we have found a lot of success, the things that have been the most successful, are those partnerships with the MTA so that's where we are meeting those people who are using public transportation and letting them know about Fair Fares, so those Transit Talk events, those customer service event partnerships that we do with the MTA, we generally see really good enrollment numbers after those. We are working really closely with the MTA on the rollout to OMNY. We want this to go as smoothly as possible for the Fair Fares population. We started outreach to some of our Fair Fares participants to participate in a small pilot of about 50 people so that we can make sure that we are designing a transition and a system that works well for our clients and that none of our clients are left behind when we do the transition from MetroCard to OMNY.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that. Commissioner, I will take you up on an offer in terms of collaborate and, and if there's a flyer about the EBT that we can share, if you could send it to the Council Members?

2.2

2.3

I'll just close by requesting a breakdown of the local ethnic media that you all are contracting with, in particular for my District so we have the Rockaway Wave, we got Where It's At Media, and a network of hyperlocal press. I have not seen any advertisement about Fair Fares in any of this so I'm just curious in terms of what that outreach looks where there's a lower household income. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Council Member Riley.

Ayala. Just a few questions. First on economic stability. Love the programs that you guys are doing. One thing I did not hear about is the IDNYC cards program so the Admin's goal of supporting family transition out of emergency shelters requires pathways for jobs and housing. That starts with having a proper ID first. Additionally, domestic violence and disaster survivors rely on the ability to provide new ID for them to get their lives back on track. With that being stated, how many IDNYC cards were awarded by HRA in FY24 to migrant shelter residents, how many do you expect to award an FY25,

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and how many applicants did not receive NYC IDs in FY 3 '25 that applied?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council Member. We currently have more than two million people have been issued IDNYC. It's about 2.2 million. We can get back to you with how many each year, but it has grown steadily over time since the program was originally launched. We are promoting it a variety of different ways. We're doing work in specific asylum sites, but we are also making sure that New Yorkers of all backgrounds have access to the card as well. In terms of how many were denied over, generally speaking people are receiving the card, and we are happy to work with people when they, if they don't initially bring the documentation that's needed to access the card, rather than just reject them, we will defer the application and work with them further but, Administrator French?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Thank you,

Commissioner. I can say that for IDNYC in FY24, we

are ahead of where we were in FY23 year-to-date of

the number of cards distributed so we expect to

exceed that. For context, in the first six months of

FY24, there were a total of 92,000 new IDNYC cards

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

distributed compared to 89,000 in FY23. We also saw a significant increase in people looking for the cards and that did result in some sites having large numbers of individuals line up outside early in the morning. Some of them also lined up overnight. Much of this we found was connected to misconceptions around what the IDNYC card can and cannot do for you as it relates to someone's immigration status. We agree that an ID card is very important and essential, but there was definitely misunderstandings in regards to the card being a tool that was useful in your asylum application, which it was not, so we worked closely with our partners at MOIA as well as some other community partners to make it clear what the ID could and could not do and then we also looked at ways wanting to protect the health and safety of individuals. We moved to, at the end of January, an all-appointment system for IDNYC, which actually allowed us to maximize the number of appointments we could provide so, every Friday in the afternoon, 6,800 IDNYC appointments are released for the following Monday through Friday and, in working with MOIA and community partners as well as our partners at H AND H, HPD, and NYCEM, we have done intensive

2.2

2.3

outreach to the asylum-seeking population around the card itself, how to sign up for an appointment either online or through 3-1-1, and we have found that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: I don't want to (INAUDIBLE), but I have a lot of questions. If I may, Chair. What was the total spent in FY24 on emergency shelter medical services, and did the Administration discuss exploring partnerships with urgent care sites such as CityMD or any other community medical providers to subsidize costs?

Shelter sites have on-site medical, others of them entirely depend on partnerships with community sites. I think we will likely need to get back to you on the total spending because those are going to be line items within individual contracts so it's going to take some work to pull but, absolutely, partnerships are really important. One of our PEGs in the Plan this year is to make sure that we're using better use of the H and H SHOW vans for providing medical care at drop-in centers.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. The remaining 1.3 billion DHS contract budget provides service to homeless individuals such as emergency

housing, outreach, food, counseling, medical aid, and other support is needed. What is the total that is being directed to emergency housing, and is this outlied by intended use, land procurement, or

6 | facility upgrades?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PARK: The vast majority of our shelters are contracted, and the contract budget is inclusive of the real estate, the staff, the food, the social services, maintenance, the entire thing.

Individual contracts have line-item budgets, but the City's budget is broken out families with children, single adults, adult families.

more questions. The Preliminary Plan includes an additional 46.5 million in Fiscal Year 2024 only from the City and State funding sources to meet increased demand from HIV and AIDS service administration, single room occupancy emergency shelter units. Based on January's PEG Plan submitted by HRA and the RFP for the RISE program provided vocational services for HASA clients will not be renewed. This program was administered by CBOs that, by HRA's estimates, this change will bring savings to the bottom line. For HASA clients, that will mean an immediate end to the

- 2 workforce development program effective by FY25, so
- 3 what is the plan to support CBOs who have
- 4 historically done this work and are impacted by these
- 5 PEGs?

24

6 COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council

7 Member. Just to be clear, the new need was

8 specifically on the bed side of the operation. Within

9 the HASA program, we do both emergency and slightly

10 | longer-term Tier 2 shelters, and so we will be

11 | investing in, sorry, not Tier 2, but HASA Emergency

12 Housing, we will be investing more money there, so

13 the funding is there to make up gaps in those

14 | budgets. With respect to the employment contract,

15 | it's a challenging one. As I noted before, close to

16 90 percent of our tax levy budget is spent on

17 entitlement programs so when we were looking for

18 | options for cuts, we had very few options. Most HASA

19 clients are eligible for all of their remaining

20 employment services programs. We do have a

21 | significant range of employment services programs so

22 | we will be making referrals for clients to the other

23 programs but, Administrative French.

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: No, that's what

25 | we'll be doing. We'll be connecting before the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

program ends with all of the clients for the RISE

program, and we will be connecting them to local

career services programs or other programs they might

be interested that we currently run and that can

6 provide them employment supports.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Lastly, the Subway Safety Plan. Could you give me the total budget for the Subway Safety Plan? I know that 16 million was added in Fiscal Year 2024. Do you believe that this plan has been effective? I just read an article that was just released today. I believe it has close to 380 homeless individuals who are sleeping on the subways. Do you believe it has been effective since it's been implemented in 2022, and how has the Governor's plan to release the National Guard interfere with the Subway Plan? I took the train here from Baychester today. The entire subway was full with people sleeping on it, and I didn't see any outreach worker or anyone trying to help them out, and I did see a lot of individuals who are coming on the train speaking very horribly about these individuals so I just want to know do you believe that this plan is successful? If you could

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just give me some numbers and that's my last
guestion. Thank you, Chair.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council Member. Some really important issues that you raised there. People who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness have been failed by every level of government, all parts of society, right? They've fallen through every crack there is to fall through and working with this population and addressing that is something that is incredibly challenging and goes well beyond just DHS. One of the things that I think is really most important about the Subway Safety Plan is that it brings together DHS, DOHMH, H AND H, MTA, NYPD, a host of other agencies really thinking more comprehensively than we've done in the past about meeting people's needs. I think there's a lot of really positive indicators. Just to read off a few statistics, we've had more than 394,000 engagements, almost 7,000 unique individuals placed either into shelter or what we call our low-barrier beds, safe havens and stabilization beds, and 397 people who have been permanently housed, right, so they now have a lease, an apartment, keys. It's really challenging and really iterative work. With some of these

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

individuals, it's going to take dozens or even hundreds of points of contact, right? That's why 394,000 engagements translates into 7,000 placements because these are people who, as I say, have been failed by everybody. I'd also say that we're seeing real success. We are absolutely getting some of our most challenging cases indoors. One of the things that we do is work very closely on really specific individuals, and we know that they are the specific individuals that this cross-agency group is focused on. We're seeing really strong success rates of getting people indoors. It's also not entirely a static population as somebody is released from the hospital, as there is whatever the next crisis in their lives is, the next person has failed, right, they become our next client. We are going to continue working at it. I see a lot of indications of success, but it's also not going to be something that's solved quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: And the total budget?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Slightly difficult question to answer because it does cross so many agencies. There was about 170 million dollars a year

2.2

added to the DHS budget, but that builds on what we're doing already and what we're already doing within the subways, what we were already investing in low-barrier beds. That is a piece of it, and that's what was added as a result of this initiative, but I wouldn't say that's the total spending because it wouldn't be possible without the baseline budgets of DHS, DOHMH, everybody else.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Commissioner, if I may, could I just add one thing? It would be remiss of me if I didn't, as a social worker, just really amplify the 397 individuals who actually ended up in permanent housing. There were 394,000 contacts, but our goal is to have folks move from being on the street or subways going to shelter where there is a regular shelter, a low-barrier, and actually getting a key so almost 400 people were able to do that, and that is the goal that we have, the ultimate goal, that people wake up with a key and going to their own beds and their own units. That, for us, is success for this program, too.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you, and,

Commissioner, I didn't know if you answered, how is

the Governor's plan going to affect this?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, sorry. At this point, I don't anticipate that it will affect it, but it's something that we're looking at very closely. We are still out at the end-of-line subway stations.

We're still doing all of the work.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: She was on record saying that if you don't want to ride the train, don't want to get your bags checked, you shouldn't ride the train, so I'm assuming this is going to affect the outreach that you guys are going to have.

COMMISSIONER PARK: First of all, most of the outrage for what it's worth happens in the stations rather than literally on the trains. I think this is a place where we're going to have to wait and see and engage with our colleagues at the State level before I can say anything definitively. The plans were developed separately from one another so we're going to have to make sure that there are no adverse impacts.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

3 M 4 j 5 B 6 h

2.2

2.3

Member Schulman is next but, before she gets on, I just wanted to piggyback on the IDNYC issue. In the Bronx, we have just one IDNYC site. In Manhattan, we have three. Because we have more people applying, it's become increasingly difficult to even get an appointment. Could you explain why the Bronx just has just the one site and is there any intention to increase the amount of sites to accommodate the demand in all boroughs?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Council

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Yes, happy to speak to that. One of the Bronx sites that we did have we ran into space challenges that made that site not something we could continue with so currently we do only have one site. We are consistently looking for ways both that we can look to expand the capacity at that site as well as looking at other potential opportunities that may come to us in regards to being able to open another site in the Bronx. We're also very happy to connect and work with Council Members like we have done before as well to do some pop-ups if that would also be helpful on some needs, but I understand and see the need in the Bronx of one site is not comparable to the other boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I get that you would

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 want to do things, but what have you done since the

4 other site closed to ensure that you're identifying

5 another location or to collaborate with Council

6 Members, like maybe using their offices when

7 possible. What action have you guys taken?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: We first started by looking at ways in which we could expand the capacity at the one existing site that we do have in the Bronx, and we are looking at the moment to see if there are other opportunities for space. We have not identified one yet that would meet the needs that we would require from both a waiting area and other aspects. We're happy to reach out to the Council Members in the Bronx, but we've also been connecting with our colleagues at MOIA to identify other community partnerships in the Bronx that we might be able to work with. At this point, the needs have been taken over by the other sites. I understand that's not right in the Bronx. It is something that we are continuing to work on as we have stabilized at least the appointment system online so that we can look at ways in which we can make sure that our footprint covers all of New York City.

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I would hope so 3 because if I see that the demand is growing that 4 tells me that we need more of whatever it is that we're supplying, but I also would have loved to have 5 heard from you as opposed to me having to bring it up 6 7 at a Committee hearing. I think that is a reflection 8 of it shows good-faith efforts on behalf of the agency with the borough and the impacted individuals that may be looking for these resources. I didn't 10 11 even know that there was only one until a reporter 12 called me and I was made aware that that there was 13 just one and I thought has anybody looked at the map 14 of the Bronx, like the Bronx is it's a pretty decent-15 sized borough and traveling to and from 249th Street 16 is not as easy as you would think so I'm really 17 disappointed that to date there's only that one site. 18 I think it's a disservice to the borough, and I know 19 that is a resource that we desperately need, but I 20 not only want to advocate for more in the Bronx, but 21 citywide. I'm seeing longer wait times for an 2.2 appointment so that means that the demand is higher. 2.3 Maybe working, I don't know, I would imagine that working with MOIA and making that resource available 24 at HERRCs and asylum-seeking shelters, maybe you can 25

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: HASA clients.

25

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Okay. So how was
3 the determination made to include this PEG in the
4 Preliminary Plan and how was the PEG amount
5 calculated?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: As the Commissioner said, very difficult choices had to be made given 90 percent of our budget is committed to entitlements so there were no easy choices for us but, looking at our overall employment services and the other offerings we have borough-based, this contract was one of the ones that we unfortunately had to put up for the PEG. The contract's value is approximately 280,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: You're taking this internally, correct?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: So GMHC, which I worked for in a previous life, serves a client population with a long history of mistrust in government and healthcare-related service delivery. They've built a relationship and reputation with these communities for over 42 years, which is critical to their ability to successfully manage the RISE contract so how will HRA cultivate the same

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 trust and relationship with HASA clients to ensure
3 similarly successful outcomes?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Our workers will work closely with GMHC before the contract itself ends to make them aware of the other offerings that we can have and that we can connect them to. We'll provide communications to them and explain to them in detail what other services are available that can be comparable to what they receive at GMHC, but it will definitely be a shift, but it is something that we will do closely with GMHC and through our HASA case workers and other outreach workers who have connections to our HASA clients as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: I presume from your response that you're not going to ask for restoration of the PEG in the Executive Plan.

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're working really closely with OMB on our budget overall, but I really just do want to reiterate what Administrator French said. This is not a cut that we wanted to take.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: No. Understood.

COMMISSIONER PARK: We were faced with a tremendously difficult set of circumstances and a very limited pool of discretionary tax levy.

2.2

2.3

just wanted to just quickly ask a followup question again to what Council Member Riley mentioned about the Subway Safety Program. My understanding is that from folks I've spoken to and that are working in the program and everything else that there's a need for nurses because what happens is when the police bring somebody to a hospital, they're discharged right away, but if a nurse makes the recommendation that they go to a hospital, they get treatment, and they stay for a while so I wanted to ask you about that.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Administrator Carter, you want to talk about our RFP for nurses?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Oh, sure. Thank
you, Council Member. We actually do now have a small
cadre of nurses that's with our outreach teams, and
we are putting out in our RFP to actually bring more
nurses to the teams because we do agree that we
certainly need nurses to be in those outreach teams
because we know that if the nurses are with when they
get to the hospital, that there's a different
connection that's made so we are certainly working in
that very much and we are walking lockstep with you
on that. Absolutely. Yes.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Thank you very 3 much. Thank you, Council Member Schulman.

 $\label{eq:weill} \mbox{We will now hear from Council Member} \\ \mbox{Restler followed by Brewer.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great. I didn't know I was coming up, but I'm ready.

Commissioner, it's always good to see you. I know I sometimes give you a hard time at these hearings, but I will say on the record I have a lot of respect for you and appreciate the hard work of you and your team. It's not an easy job.

There are a couple items I'd really like to dig in on today. Firstly are placements in NYCHA, which as you know has been something I've been very concerned about in the trajectories and the changes that we've seen in this Administration. Year-over-year, based on the PMMR stats, we're on pace for a 30 percent reduction in the number of people placed in NYCHA or in Section 8 relative to last year. As homelessness increases, that's a major concerning trend. I'm even more concerned about the placement in NYCHA specifically. When the Mayor came into office, we had placed 1,597, 1,600 households in NYCHA apartments in 2021. This year, we're on pace for 480

2.2

2.3

this?

based on the PMMR so a two third reduction in the number of homeless people that are getting placed into NYCHA. This is the housing stock that we control, our best solution for moving homeless families out into housing. What is HRA and DSS and DHS doing to reverse this terrible trend? There are 5,000 vacant NYCHA apartments, 10 times as many as when the Mayor arrived. What are you all doing to fix

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council

Member. That's not a question that I can answer solo,
right, because it certainly involves NYCHA as well.

What I can say is that we are steadily increasing the
pace of our permanent housing placements with a
particular focus on our subsidized housing placements
saw a 17 percent increase in housing placements
overall. This year, we are on track based on the PMMR
data to exceed that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I appreciate you made some progress in other places. I'm on the clock though, but is there anything you can say because you feel the urgency more than anybody in City government? You are on the hook on this census, which has exploded, partly as a result of migrants, partly

not, the census has exploded. You feel it every day.

You want to drive that number down. 5,000 vacant

NYCHA apartments, two-thirds reduction in NYCHA

placements of homeless families since the Mayor came

into office happening each year. What are we doing

7 | about it?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PARK: Again, I don't want to speak for NYCHA, but I really respect the work that they are doing to ensure the long-term stabilization of that housing stock, right? If we lose NYCHA, we are all in a much worse off place as I think we all know that it is housing with very serious physical issues, but you can't do large-scale physical rehab with a 100 percent occupied portfolio. You have to be able to checkerboard. You have to be able to do internal relocation. We are absolutely taking advantage of every NYCHA unit that is available to us, and I'm going to have to defer more details to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I have to say would, Madam Deputy Speaker, could I do one more item? I appreciate this is NYCHA's job to fix up the housing, but if you're not advocating with all of your potential power and pressure on City Hall to fix

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

this, it's not going to get fixed. I don't have faith. We've seen no progress from NYCHA in a year and a half of us screaming from the rooftops. They've tried to cut funding from the budget time and again for NYCHA repairs so I just am asking that we try to work together to push this Administration to get their house in order so that we don't see the number of vacant apartments continue to increase. The number of homeless people who are stuck in the shelter system because we are no longer placing people in NYCHA in any meaningful way is a major problem.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I am a fierce advocate for housing placements for our clients. I'm always happy to collaborate, but...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER PARK: Rest assured I am} \\ \mbox{working every angle.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, it's just every data point we see is things getting worse, not better.

Last item, I just want to ask is the FY25 budgeted headcount is 11,998, a decrease of 136 positions and a decrease of 1,659 positions since FY21. We're talking about 13, 14 percent decrease in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HRA headcount just since the Mayor came into office despite one in four New Yorkers living in poverty and you all having highest cash assistance and food stamps roles that you've had in decades. Do you think you can actually manage the agency effectively with this head count, and I just will note that when Administrator Fitzpatrick, who I have a lot of respect for testified, and congratulations Administrator French testified before this committee last year, she said that in her 40-year history at HRA the head count in the agency had never been that low. Do you believe that you can meet the needs a of New Yorkers with poverty growing as significantly as it is with this massive reduction in headcount that the Mayor has imposed, that OMB has imposed on you since he came into office?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council
Member. With respect to the change between FY24 and
FY25, that is a piece that is still under negotiation
and conversation. I don't think those numbers are
finalized yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But it is the proposed, I mean it is the headcount in the Preliminary Budget that the Mayor released.

2 COMMISSIONER PARK: Emphasis on

3 preliminary.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Totally, and we'll fight to restore it.

COMMISSIONER PARK: We're still under negotiation.

With respect to the larger trends, yes, head count is down over a long period of time, but there have also been significant shifts in the way that benefits are administered, right? So at this point, about 90 percent of cash and SNAP applications are received online, right? It's a very different process than it looked like even 10 years ago so, absolutely, the people who do the work are the lifeblood of the agency. We need to keep monitoring that. We need to keep hiring to fill up our vacancies, and that's something that this team can tell you I've been very laser-focused on and you see that the growth in our head count.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So what are the number of vacancies at HRA today?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We have about a 9 percent vacancy rate. It's about 1,100 people, which it's higher than I would like it to be, but it is

2.2

2.3

close to half of what it was a year ago so we've been really making a lot of progress. We're focused on continuing to fill the vacancies that we have and continuing to make process improvements. If there's needs to change going forward, we certainly will, but the fact that we've been able to virtually eliminate the cash and SNAP backlogs, I think really does show that we can manage with our resources.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And you're confident you'll be able to maintain the provision of cash assistance and food stamps without backlogs growing?

much better place than we are. Certainly, we're going to have to keep monitoring application trends and things like that. We will make adjustments as needed but, yes, I feel much better about where we are now.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. I hope that we'll be able to fight to restore your headcount together. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. First, I want to give a shout out to a Gregory

2.2

2.3

Edwards who is on the Home Visit Unit Center number

90 because he came one Friday night back and forth to

Brooklyn to get a client in our office, 8 o'clock at

night, the SNAP card, so I just want to be sure that

he gets the credit that he deserves.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you very much, Council Member.

mentioned earlier when you were talking in your opening remarks, I was in the National League of Cities this weekend, and there were several people there from the federal agency, and they are, I think you're doing better than they are, they are worried around the country because obviously you give people benefits, they don't want to take them because they're worried about losing other opportunities. How are you dealing with that? Is that an issue in New York? You mentioned it earlier.

COMMISSIONER PARK: The income disregard?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes, the cliff,

what I call the cliff problem, but yes.

COMMISSIONER PARK: New York State last year passed legislation that gave us what we call our Earned Income Disregard so it allows us to continue

2.2

2.3

to provide cash assistance benefits when people are in subsidized training and subsidized employment programs and does a one-time six month earned income disregard for people who have gotten non-subsidized employment There's a lot of nuance behind that which we can certainly get into offline because it does get very complicated, but the short answer is it means that it's it smooths out some of that cliff.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But I think it's still an issue because I hear a lot from my NYCHA residents who do not want to get X, Y, and Z because they're nervous about losing, they don't understand that their rent is still going to stay at 30 percent, but maybe offline discussion because I think it's not solved, the problem.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I think it has helped it. I would agree that it has not solved.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And the feds are looking to have some more support, just FYI.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Happy to have an offline.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, number two.

The feds also stated that on Friday they were meeting with you or OMB and the problem of figuring out what

that been solved?

2.2

2.3

- is still owed in terms of the migrant situation? I

 don't know if this is you or just Health and

 Hospitals. They think they've solved it. Remember,

 this was a big OMB discussion with the Director. Has
- 7 COMMISSIONER PARK: I can assure you it
 8 was not me they were meeting with on Friday, so I
 9 will talk to Colleagues and we'll circle back.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The issue of direct poverty money. Now, CSS just put out a report, I'm sure you saw it, 1.5 million adults, 420,000 kids in New York City are in poverty, big numbers, bigger than the past, so are we thinking about doing what other cities are doing, which is direct dollars to those who are in a poverty situation?

commissioner park: There are a number of ongoing pilot programs, pilot initiatives around direct cash transfer programs. Some of them show somewhat promising results, some of them it's too early to tell. It's something that I'm always happy to look at. There's all kinds of really complicated interaction with federal benefits, both on a client level, making sure that we don't run into a cliff issue, but also making sure that we aren't losing out

2.2

2.3

opportunity to claim federal dollars and replacing
federal spending with City funding, which creates
challenges as well.

to hear more about that offline. Mainchance, 3.5million cut. As you know, I support Mainchance
strongly. They are going to be applying for Safe
Haven, which might address some of the concerns you
have. Just like the Chair feels strongly about the
Bronx programs for workforce, I feel the same way
about Mainchance. What can we do to restore the 3.5
million or would you be me amenable to the Safe Haven
proposal?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council Member.

 $\label{thm:council_member_brewer: You know that I} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \end{subarray} % \begin{subarray$

COMMISSIONER PARK: As you've discussed with me and with Administrator Carter, we're happy to look at a Safe Haven proposal. It will need to go through the competitive RFP process, but we're more than happy to take a look at that. Our shift has generally been at this point towards looking at drop-

are in process. They don't physically have somebody

25

in them, but the person has been matched, it's in
process. There are units that are just coming online
There's a variety of different stories there. In tha
number are a non-trivial number of SRO units. These
do tend to be units that are a little bit harder to
lease up. We recognize that that they are less
appealing to clients. We're working with the
providers who operate them. One of the things that I
think is a challenge is that with rental subsidies,
you're generally expected to pay 30 percent of your
income in rent and, certainly, If I could pay 30
percent of my income in rent for an SRO or for a
studio, I would hold out for the studio. Within
CityFHEPS, which is a program that we control, we
have changed that so that now, if you're renting an
SRO unit, it's a flat 50 dollars rather than the 30
percent of income, but many of the SRO units have
rental subsidies that we don't control so we're
looking if there's other ways that we can address
that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I, thank you very much. I have more, but maybe second round.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We want to hear from Council Member Banks followed by Council Member Rivera followed by Stevens and then Hudson.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Thank you, Chair. I just had a couple of questions particularly about the skimming of the EBT cards, and I wanted to know particularly, specifically, I know earlier one of my Colleagues had asked about it. When a client or participant reports or it's known that the EBT card has been compromised the timeframe after the investigation is being done, why does it take so long for them to start getting back their benefits, particularly the EBT card access?

Member. Until fairly recently, we actually were not legally allowed to replace benefits at all. When people reported skimming, it was replace your card and that is the only option. There was a change in State law that allowed us to replace benefits that were stolen. I think we've actually been processing claims quite quickly. One of my colleagues may have the timeframe on that, but there are...

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Yeah, if we can get this timeframe...

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: That's what I'm more concerned about.

certainly many people who, if they experienced skimming a year ago, they were not able to immediately apply for replacement benefits because frankly the replacement didn't exist. We're very glad that it now does, although as I mentioned, I think the longer-term solution is chipping the cards.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: On timeframes, typically within three days of determination...

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Three days, okay.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The benefits are loaded on the card, and we rarely have any applications that are more than 10 days old. If you have constituents with longer time frames, just let us know.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Thank you. Seems there's been some cases where when it comes to the FHEPS voucher, it seems there's been cases where the amounts that should be corresponding with the agreed upon rents that's approved by the voucher are not

2.2

2.3

being honored by the landlord, and I wanted to know
what is being done by to fix that situation because
folks are being taken into court for the remainder
balance.

COMMISSIONER PARK: It is absolutely a violation of regulation to do any side agreements that is prohibitive by landlords so I would appreciate it if you could send us cases on that offline.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Rivera.

much, Madam Chair. I just want to ask a followup to Mainchance, which is in my District. On average, do you know how many clients does this site currently serve a day? How was the decision made to close this center? What metrics were utilized? You mentioned that they don't have beds. Was that ultimately what prompted you? Where is the next closest drop-in center located? Does it have adequate funding and capacity to serve additional clients?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council

Member. I'm going to start now, and I will pass it

over to Administrator Carter. Yes, the basic premises

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we've been trying to move our drop-in center
model slowly but towards a system where we're
coupling drop-in centers with stabilization or Safe
Haven beds so that we're really providing a true
continuum of care for clients but, Administrator

Carter, can you speak to the additional details?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Thank you, and thank you, Council Member. I'll get back to you in terms of the number of clients, but one of the things that our Street Solutions Division has been looking at is how do we administer services to the unsheltered, and so I talked about how do we help earlier in the Subway Safety Plan, the throughput, and so we do want to make connections and make that Division to be able to have a connection from chairs to bed to exits, and so we're moving that Division to have that real collaborative setup where you can come into a chair, make that connection to a staff to be able to get to a bed and to move to permanency so that's how we're trying to realign our three solutions area so that is why we made that decision for the Mainchance. One of the things that we're also doing is really making sure that those who use Mainchance that they know where the other ones are.

2.2

2.3

Manhattan is the only borough that had three drop-in centers, the other outer boroughs only had one so there are two that's in Manhattan. There's one on West 14 and there's one on West 30 so we're making sure that they're aware of where those are and we're going to be putting up signs and they're beginning to talk to the clients as they come in of where they're going to be and we'll make sure we share that information.

Before you do all that, I want to make sure that we're working with the people at Mainchance because our goal here, as you heard from my Colleague, Council Member Brewer who is very invested in this site, is to keep it open and operating. They're critical to that area and to the work that you do. I just want to ask very quickly with my time, I see the Archdiocese is here. I want to thank them for making available St. Bridget's and the work that we're doing there. Do you know the cost to operate the St. Bridget's re-ticketing facility in the East Village? Yes or no?

COMMISSIONER PARK: No. I'm sorry.

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: All right. Have you done an analysis of external or duplicative costs to continually reprocessing people through your systems such as transportation, food waste, administrative costs?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Council Member, we as a City and, at this point, I'm speaking as an Administration official, not specifically for DSS, but have really been struggling to meet the needs of the asylum seekers. The time limits are a challenging policy option, and I certainly acknowledge that, but we are in an emergency situation that really merits emergency solutions. We need to make sure that we are focused on moving people through, none of these individuals came to the United States for shelter, they came for work, for opportunity so making sure that we are focused on that and really that we're also making space for the next round of people because, although it is not quite as bad as it's been, the buses haven't stopped. We continue to get people every day. So recognize the challenges that come with the 30-day time limit but also really need to acknowledge that we are in an emergency situation.

2.2

2.3

Because this isn't in the DSS budget, I can't speak to the specific dollar amount.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: No, and I know that because of the OEM and H and H, but I did ask you this question just a few days ago in fact, so I was hoping, I'm disappointed you didn't bring that number, you don't have that number with you since I had asked about St. Bridget's, and all I'll just say is that just my questions are really rooted in looking at the efficiencies to your processes, operating the waitlists, the costs associated with mobile outreach like for those that are sleeping on the street or in the subways, and how have those analyses been going? I mean we want to make sure that the cost of street outreach and shelter stays is clear, right? We want to house folks. We don't want them sleeping on the street.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I couldn't agree more.

Permanent housing is the end goal for everybody.

We're really focused on that within the agency. It's why we saw the 17 percent increase last year that we're on track to exceed this year.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. Thank you very much, Madam Chair, for the time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Just to follow up to Council Member Rivera's question. Obviously, we agree that we're in a dire situation here and one of the tools that we do have at the table to try to expedite the move-outs of folks, independent of the 30- and 60-day rule, which I obviously do not agree with, is getting them to work. Would you be able to tell us who's responsible for applying for working papers from the federal government for the asylum seekers?

COMMISSIONER PARK: I think I understand the question, but bear with me if I need to clarify, obviously it's an application to the feds for work authorization, either as standalone for somebody who's applied for asylum or in conjunction with a TPS application. The City has stood up extensive clinics to help people do those applications so that has been run out of City Hall, out of the Mayor's Office for Asylum Seeker Operations, and I think tens of thousands of people have been able to submit applications. The actual work authorization documentation goes to the individual themselves, not to the City, so we don't have specific numbers on the number of people who have received work

1

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 assistance can qualify also for childcare assistance,
3 but it's not administered through the agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay, so I know

Deputy Speaker already started to ask questions about
the IDs in New York, across the city. The website

often times out or will not populate appointments. Is
there an issue with the administrative need to
further invest in the technology?

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: I have not heard of systemic issues with the website but, if there are specifics that you have, let me know, but we are releasing 6,800 appointments weekly, and most of those are then scheduled through the website, but I'm happy also to talk to individuals on my side to see if there's anything, but I haven't heard of any systemic challenges with the website. That doesn't mean people...

might not have heard of systemic challenges, but we are hearing challenges back in our office around getting them so it might not be a technology thing, which is why I'm asking, is there looking for advancements to experience in some of the technology because we have been hearing in my office and in a

2.2

2.3

couple of other Members' offices where they've been experiencing delays or not being able to get an appointment. Just thinking maybe how do we make sure we expand in technology because that is something we often lack at the City where we're having programs that's already outdated when we get them.

ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: No, we are definitely always looking at ways in which we can enhance our technology so this is something that we'll definitely take back and talk to the team about and happy to follow up with you or others as well on the specific issues folks might be experiencing so we can see if we experience them on testing on our side as well.

another question to follow up with this. Because there has been a rise and an increase in use of the IDs. Is there anything fiscally prohibiting the administration from creating a direct IDNYC hotline in addition to the current 3-1-1 questions redirect, and what does the staffing look like for this?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Certainly, there would be a cost implication for doing a direct hotline. I think it's not something that we've actively looked

2.2

2.3

at, which we certainly are happy to have a

conversation with you about that, and I think one of

the advantages of 3-1-1 is that it is a single place

to go, right, so nobody has to know this is the

number you call for IDNYC inquiries as opposed to

everything else. Happy to have a conversation about

what you're envisioning, but I do want to make sure

that we're leveraging the 3-1-1 infrastructure.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Absolutely. I'm an advocate to make sure that people are using 3-1-1, understanding that it helps us collect data, but I think just also thinking about even within that infrastructure, how do we use that more as a resource?

My last question is over the weekend, we did hear about some employees who were processed for stealing some identities of folks who were in the shelter. What are you guys doing to prevent this from happening again, and what are some of the steps that you're implementing now after this has broken?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, let me start by saying I'm horrified by the situation, and these four individuals do not represent the 12,000 employees who work hard on behalf of low-income New Yorkers every

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

day, and I'm very grateful for the District Attorney for the thorough investigation that they did. We do take our clients' privacy very seriously and fraud prevention. We have a number of mechanisms in place where we do a series of fraud detection mechanisms, looking at people's benefits, using data to see if there's any patterns of inappropriate benefit use. We have privacy guidelines and have been enhancing those in conjunction with OTI to make sure that we are putting all the protections in place to make sure that systems can't be hacked, that only eligible people have access to data. I would say clearly from this, it indicates that we have work to do so we will be following up with the Department of Investigation and the District Attorney's Office to make any changes in process that seem appropriate.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, no, thank
you, and I say all that to just say because obviously
there's a number of things going on in the City in
these last couple of months with fraudulence and
people losing the trust and the systems that we put
in place and, just thinking about, with all of those
things, this is still able to happen, and so thinking
about what are some new innovative things that we can

- do and, even on the conversation around technology,
- 3 how are we using those to help and support some of
- 4 this work so definitely would love to continue to
- 5 have conversations about what we're doing to make
- 6 sure we're securing and keeping everyone's
- 7 information as safe as possible as we're moving
- 8 forward.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PARK: Appreciate that. Thank
- 10 you.

- 11 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Council
- 12 Member Hudson.
- COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you so much,
- 14 Chair, and hello, how are you all? The Preliminary
- 15 | Plan includes City fund savings of 1 million dollars
- 16 | in Fiscal 2024 and 3 million in Fiscal 2025 from the
- 17 NYC Benefits Program, which contracts with community-
- 18 | based non-profit organizations across the city to
- 19 provide assistance with public benefits, eligibility
- 20 screening, and applications. Funding for this program
- 21 was first added at Fiscal 2023 adoption as a joint
- 22 priority between the Administration and the Council,
- 23 | and it's a vital resource aiming to increase public
- 24 | benefits enrollment amongst low-income city
- 25 | residents. How will the savings be generated, and is

2	COMMISSIONER PARK: DSS staff will
3	directly administer the contracts, but we will
4	continue to work with the not-for-profits. They will
5	continue to do the work that they've been doing.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Do you know how
7	many CBOs are part of the program?
8	COMMISSIONER PARK: I think it's
9	approximately 36 plus another several doing technical
10	assistance, yeah.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Okay. Do you know
12	the breakdown by borough?
13	COMMISSIONER PARK: Not off the top of my
14	head, but happy to circle back with you.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Okay. Can you
16	confirm that there will be no programmatic impact to
17	any of the CBOs in the program?
18	COMMISSIONER PARK: There's no
19	programmatic impact from the PEG. As you may see, the
20	baseline funding for the program, it does change year
21	over year. We are currently in negotiations with OMB
22	to ensure that we hit the right level of CBO funding.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Okay. We've heard

from CBO providers that despite being granted a

2.2

2.3

2 three-year award, their initial contracts were for 3 just one year. Do you know the cause of that?

COMMISSIONER PARK: The program as originally structured was set up on a Calendar Year basis. We really need to be doing our contracts on a Fiscal Year basis, so we're in the process of realigning now.

additionally heard from providers when their firstyear contracts ended in December 2023, they were not
automatically given another contract. After some
delay, while many of them continued to provide
services without a contract in place, they were
granted a six-month contract. Do you know why there
was a delay and why the contract term was shortened?
I assume, again, it's based on Fiscal Year.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, we're realigning to a Fiscal Year. We also ran into some process hiccups because that was at the point in time at which OTPS contracts were all under additional levels of OMB review, but we are moving full steam ahead now.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Okay, great. Thank you so much.

2.2

2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I wanted to
3	ask a question regarding the New York City Benefits
4	Program. I saw that it's not funded beyond June 30th
5	of this year, Fiscal Year '24, but it was intended to
6	be a multi-year program. Where are we in terms of
7	conversations ensuring that it's funded for future
8	years?

COMMISSIONER PARK: There is funding in FY25, it is less than there was in FY24, and then we're in conversations with OMB about that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, how much less?

COMMISSIONER PARK: FY24 was funded at 9.2
million, FY25 at 7.2 million, so we're in the process
of resolving funding levels with OMB.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. All right. I have a question regarding the burial assistance for undocumented individuals. HRA's Office of Burial Services provides financial assistance with funeral expenses for eligible low-income city residents. During the pandemic, there was funding available to undocumented individuals who do not qualify for this program. Does HRA still provide burial assistance to undocumented individuals?

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 157
2	ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Regarding that
3	program, we look at things on a case-by-case basis
4	and, in certain instances, there will be exceptions
5	where we will pay for it, but I don't know if Chief
6	Levine has more to add to that.
7	CHIEF LEVINE: That was a pandemic era
8	addition to the budget. This is a public assistance-
9	funded program so, as Administrator French stated, w
10	will on a case-by-case basis be able to provide funda
11	for undocumented people, but it's not part of the
12	baseline.
13	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But you don't have to
14	be on public assistance to qualify for burial
15	assistance.
16	CHIEF LEVINE: You have to meet the income
17	and citizenship requirements to get the benefit
18	generally.
19	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. So what allowed
20	you to make the exception during the pandemic? Was
21	their waiver?
22	CHIEF LEVINE: It was using a separate pot
23	of money.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It was using a separate pot?

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHIEF LEVINE: Yeah, a one-time funding 3 source.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I asked because I had a situation in my District where we had an asylum seeker that was murdered at one of the HERRCs, and we had to, through my office, we worked really hard to try to identify resources to have this individual picked up from the morque and shipped to Venezuela. It took almost two months for us to be able to do that, but we did. So we funded the trip to where we shipped them to, I'm not going to say because we had to go through a lot of hurdles to get him there, but we got that privately funded, but the funeral expenses for New York were denied through the City and approved through the State so I don't understand what is the discrepancy. He was a crime victim, and is it a separate funding?

CHIEF LEVINE: We're happy to take a look at this particular case.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I would appreciate that because I actually spoke to the Mayor's Office personally, and I still haven't heard back about funding, but initially what I was told was they were looking into it because he was not a

citizen, he did not qualify, which I think is
ridiculous. I think that whatever rules exist are
antiquated and need to change because we are a City
of immigrants, whether you came here from Venezuela
the other day or not, we have a lot of really poor
people in New York City, and it's really expensive to
have to view and bury someone, and it's heartbreaking
not to be able to do that because you don't have the
resources.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Absolutely agree on the heartbreaking piece of it, and it is important that wherever possible we are leveraging federal resources and, as Chief Levine said, it is a requirement using cash assistance that you meet various immigration status, but also want to make sure that we are not applying that standard too closely or too narrowly so I will certainly follow up with you on that specifically.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Where did the funding come from that you identified during the pandemic?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Raised through the

CHIEF LEVINE: Those were private funds.

24 Mayor's office, or?

2 COMMISSIONER PARK: I think we're going to
3 need to get back to you on the specific origin. It
4 was private, but we don't have all of the details

 \parallel right with us.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF LEVINE: It came from the Mayor's fund.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I remember when we passed this bill to open, I think I was the Sponsor of the Burial Assistance Office and really centralizing those resources. On the website, it doesn't say anything about having to be a citizen in order to qualify. It says is available to low-income descendants that do not have resources or assets available to pay for their funeral, proof of low-income status may include receipt of social services benefits or public assistance, da-da-da, a legal responsible relative.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Just to clarify, and I think the kicker there is that receipt of social service benefits or eligibility for social service benefits. If you are a citizen and income eligible, that's one standard for being eligible for cash assistance and other benefits. There are other immigration statuses.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But it doesn't say that, Molly. It doesn't say that you have to have, it says that that's a form of proof of low-income.

COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay, so whether or

not you qualify, and we can certainly look at whether or not the wording on the website needs to be clearer, but there's a lot of nuance and I will freely admit that everything I know about immigration policy I've learned in the last couple of years, but there are various immigration statuses that will allow you to qualify for benefits, even if you're not a citizen, right, so somebody who is TPS eligible or who has applied for asylum, they may be eligible for benefits so it would be inappropriate for us to say citizenship is a requirement, but if-this-then-that gets very complicated, but we should look at the language and make sure that we're being as clear as possible.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I understand that a lot of responsibility is put on your respective agencies to assist a substantial number of folks that are in New York City that call New York City home that may be in need, but I think that if we're providing these services, then we should be

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

providing them to the best of our ability and try to remove a lot of the nuances, because it just doesn't seem right to me that through the State Victims Program, somebody would qualify and that question wouldn't even come up of citizenship but, yet, when we're applying for City benefits, it does, and I know that this was not a DHS site, but I was really offended, because the family never received a call for condolences, nobody asked about the body. Had the family member that reached out to me from another state not reached out to me, the body would have been buried in Potter's Field, and I don't understand how on top of that, to add insult to injury, the City says we're not going to pay for it either. He died in a City facility because we don't have adequate security. That doesn't make sense to me. I have to say that, and I think that we should really revisit that because again, this is not even related to the asylum seekers, but we have quite a number of lowincome migrants that live in the city, and I just find this draconian to say that they wouldn't qualify because of their citizenship status so if the State can figure it out, I'm sure that we can as well.

2	All right. Are there any other questions
3	from any of the Members? No? Okay.
4	All right. Thank you so much. I know it's
5	been a long morning, but I think we've all learned a
6	lot, and I appreciate your willingness to answer our
7	questions and hopefully it wasn't too bad.
8	COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you very much,
9	Council Member.
LO	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.
L1	ADMINISTRATOR FRENCH: Thank you.
L2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. We're going
L3	to take a five-minute reprieve because some of us
L 4	have to go and freshen up and get something to drink
L5	and we'll be right back.
L6	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Folks, please grab a
L7	seat. We're going to resume momentarily.
L8	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Monsignor, step on up.
L9	Yes, give me one second.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now move on to
21	public testimony.

For those wishing to testify in person, please see the Sergeant-at-Arms in the back of the room and fill out a testimony slip. Even if you have

2.2

2.3

2 registered online, please still fill out a testimony
3 slip with the Sergeant.

All testimony must be on topic. Cursing is a violation of decorum. The hearing is being livestreamed and recorded. Witnesses may not use a recording device to film themselves or the proceedings while they are testifying.

For those wishing to testify and are on Zoom, after the in-person testimony, we will move on to virtual testimony. Those on Zoom will be called and a prompt to unmute yourself will appear on your computer.

All those testifying either in-person or virtually are encouraged to submit testimony at council.nyc.gov/testify or via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I just want to add that we have a lot of folks that are registered to testify so we may be very quiet on this end. We want to just make sure that we have all the information so if you guys could just try to keep it to the two minutes, we would really appreciate it. Thank you.

MONSIGNOR KEVIN SULLIVAN: Thank you so much for the opportunity. I do have written testimony

but, in the interest of keeping it brief, I'm just
going to talk about three things, food, shelter, and
how we make sure that New Yorkers have those. Food,
let me begin with food. I was up in your District a
few weeks ago on Ash Wednesday and so the line all
the way from the middle of Saint Cecilia's to Park
Avenue and we were able that day to do a little bit
extra. We had fresh produce, and people were
delighted with that, but it's hard to do that because
the numbers are greater, they have less money, and
food costs a lot more so one of the things hopefully
you will consider as you do the budget this year is
maybe some of those programs that we used during the
pandemic where there was an additional amount of
money that could go to small pantries, not huge, but
maybe 15, 20 million, that could go out to those
small pantries, which we have about 30 of them, and I
know other groups that so food is critical, and we
need a little bit of an extra boost this year in
terms of food.

Secondly, I know the Council has been very good in some of the housing rental assistance programs. I understand some of it is in court, etc., but that's critically important too. Whatever can be

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 done to keep New Yorkers in house is critically
3 important.

The final thing which I want to talk about is the work issue in terms of who is actually helping people to stay in their apartments, who is actually delivering the food, and a lot of it is non-profit organizations like Catholic Charities, like Mainchance, and I'd like to call a special attention to bill of which you are one of the sponsors, but Council Member Hudson is the lead sponsor, Intro.

243, which basically says that non-profit organizations should be paid for what it costs them to deliver the services and to fairly get an indirect rate with regard to that because that's what enables people to get help when they're facing eviction, that's what enables them to get the food they need.

Those are the three things that I want to raise up. In my written testimony, there is a lot more and, personally, since I am in a church on Park Avenue and 34th Street, I can really speak to the value of Mainchance because whenever somebody comes to our door, we can say, hey, just go down the block, they'll help you and so, again, I thank you. Food, shelter, supporting the organizations that provide

housing still dealing with extreme poverty. We are

25

here as DC 37 members and are currently in the
process of negotiating our first union contract. We
are City contractor workers facing chronic low wages.
Our work is hard and often overwhelming, and I can
say that every case manager and social worker I've
worked with is here because they care. Across our 20-
plus programs, we lose caring workers all the time
because of our low wages. Our clients feel the brunt
of this turnover. Our work is only as valuable as the
connections we form with the people we serve, and
these relationships are severed every time we lose
another worker due to low pay. Our jobs are designed
to be a part of the solution to homelessness in New
York City. Homelessness is created by poverty. There
can be no progress made towards reducing homelessness
in the city if the thousands of workers across dozens
of contracted agencies are left vulnerable to the
same housing insecurity that our clients are trying
to escape. We need higher wages to do the work that
we love and the City needs us to do. We are committed
to our work and united in the fight for fair
compensation and dignity in our City contracts. We
ask for an increase to the DSS budget to keep the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 City's homeless services running. Thanks for your 3 time.

GLADYS LITTLE: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala and Members of the Committee. I am grateful for the opportunity to testify today. I am Gladys Little, an Eligibility Specialist and a Member of AFMI Local 1549, District Council 37 in New York. I've worked in SNAP for 12 years. Unlike my colleagues who presented today, I did not testify in September. However, I can report the challenges that have been described are real. They persisted in my work since long before the September hearing. Mandatory overtime is still happening. On my daily schedule for work Monday through Friday, I start overtime at 7 a.m. until 8:30 a.m. when the telephone calls began coming in. I face constant ANGIE system glitches daily. I'm required to enter each ANGIE problem in the system, which issues IT tickets and cases throughout the day. This inflicts significant harm on our clients who do not receive benefits in a timely manner. In fact, clients who do not receive benefits on their applications and recertification cases are in process suffer the closure of their cases. As a result, they are reapplying every day while the IT tickets on their

former cases lie unprocessed. During my interviews
with these clients, their frustrations overwhelm the
interview. I can hardly ask the pertinent questions
so the interview takes longer. I have not seen any
drastic resolution with the ANGIE system issue, a
resolution which would eliminate repeated errors and
erroneous cases processed repeatedly. Daily, while
I'm working on a case, I have to suspend the same
case several times in order to properly process it. I
also have co-workers forwarding their IT ticket list
to supervisors daily in attempt to get the IT issue
resolved. Despite these frustrations, I am always
assuring clients that they will receive the food
assistance they desperately need. I tell them I
remain hopeful. I have seen very little change in HRA
assisting the adequate improvements that are
necessary. Also, I also have seen, according to my
ANGIE system, as of Friday, March 8th, there are
still 21,000 case backlog. These statistics change
daily. My hope is that HRA will properly address and
fix the ANGIE system soon so I can do my job to
ensure accurate, timely benefit delivery to the most
wulnerable New Yorkers

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. If you have a longer testimony, you can email it to us. We're just trying to really keep to the two minutes because there's a lot of folks behind you that have to testify as well, but I appreciate your time.

GLADYS LITTLE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Please make sure that your mic is on.

TYECE GRANT: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala and Members of the Committee. I am grateful for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Tyece Grant and I am a SNAP Eligibility Specialist and a member of ASME Local 1549 District Council 37. I have worked in SNAP for 11 years. I testified before this committee in September of 2023 about the daily challenges I confront in my work. I can report to you that nothing in my work has changed since we last spoke because there is still a huge snap backlog, over 18,000 cases as of last Thursday. This far exceeds the backlog of 411 cases reported by the City last week. The program, ANGIE's case process system, is still a significant barrier in my work, and it contributes to the persistent backlog in SNAP. As I stated in September, this flawed case system was

2 intended to decrease the workload; however, I have 3 found that it instead increases my workload and 4 contributes to the backlog of SNAP cases. Basically ANGIE was designed so that supervisors, from any SNAP center, can approve your case. However, this is 6 7 ineffective because supervisors can sign off a case 8 which causes errors and you don't know who's signing off your cases, and you don't get that case back. Another worker gets the case, and this delays timely 10 11 benefit delivery for our clients who need life-saving 12 food assistance. Basically, just working in ANGIE, it 13 has significantly decreased the processing of cases. Myself and coworkers have reported that we used to 14 15 process up to 10 cases per day, but now due to the frequently glitches in ANGIE, we can only process 16 17 seven cases. This all results to the system glitches 18 in ANGIE, and we put in IT tickets, but there is 19 still no resolution. I do have a lot more but, due to 20 time, I just want to mention that at my site, we're 21 not mandated overtime, however, we do have voluntary 2.2 overtime, which has been extended from the hours to 2.3 10 p.m. on weekdays and to 9 p.m. on Saturdays. I just want to conclude the City has stated that they 24 hired new staff. If they have hired new staff, then 25

2 why is overtime still in high demand and we're not

3 benefiting from the overtime with the ANGIE system.

4 Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Appreciate it.

ALLISON ROBINSON: Hi. My name is Allison Robinson. I'm a Case Manager at Center for Urban Community Services. I'm here today, not just as the case manager for supportive housing, but as a voice for countless individuals and families who depend on our services for stability, dignity, and hope. Our mission is not just to provide shelter, but to empower those in need to reclaim their lives and thrive in their communities. Yet, in our pursuit of this noble goal, we face an ongoing struggle, a struggle that hinges on the availability of resources. HRA and DSS agencies are entrusted with providing crucial support to most vulnerable members of our society. It stands at a crossroads. It's a crossroads where decisions impact lives, where every budget allocation echoes in the daily realities of those we serve. Today, I urge us all to recognize the vital importance of increasing resources for HRA and DSS. Supportive housing is not merely about putting a roof over someone's head. It's about addressing the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

challenges that accompany homelessness, mental illness, addiction, and poverty. It's about providing wraparound services that enable individuals to regain their footing and become active participants in their communities once more but, to achieve this, we need adequate e funding. Increasing resources for HRA and DSS is not just about numbers on a balance sheet. It's about investing in human potential, in the worth of every individual. It's about recognizing that when we lift the most marginalized among us, we create a ripple effect of positive change that benefits society as a whole. By bolstering the resources to HRA and DSS, we can expand access to supportive housing, ensuring that no one falls through the cracks. We can enhance mental health services, substance abuse treatment, and job training programs, providing pathways to stability and self-sufficiency. We can invest in preventative measures tackling the root causes of homelessness and poverty before they spiral out of control.

CORINTHIA CARTER: Good afternoon.

Chairperson Ayala and Members of the Committee. My
name is Corinthia A. Carter. I am a worker and the

President of LSSA 2320, which represents 110

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unionized workers of mobilization for justice that are currently on strike. We was just in the Bronx. We were striking in front of Bronx Housing Court this morning, and we also represent over 500 workers at Legal Services NYC that are currently preparing to enter into their contract negotiations very soon. My testimony today doesn't include metrics, though I will highlight the important stuff. As you know, across the city, because New York is a union town, there are many workers on strike, including New School, and we support them and their demand for working conditions, which MFJ members want and LSNY members want, thriving wages and a sustainable future for our city. I'll send this in because I don't have much time, but one of the things that I want to make clear that is very important to MFJ members and LSNY members is that the union embraces and joins all calls of increasing and funding in LSNY, MFJ and other organizations engaged in the critical work of maintaining the social safety network of our communities and our city, and I am a born-and-raised New Yorker so it's close to my heart. There can never be too much investment into our communities. Second, the union calls upon management everywhere to put the contract. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

funding increases to the work by improving the wages and benefits for those doing the work rather than investing in creating new jobs for positions that never existed but to actually give it to those people who are actually on the ground doing the work, meeting with clients, doing home visits, and making sure people are able to stay in their houses and other issues instead of increasing executive wages, which is currently happening and why we don't have a

much. The next panel will be Valentina Vidal, Brady Crain, William Kornblum (phonetic), Jacqueline Connor, Reverend Terry Troia, and Gabriela Sandoval Requena. Thank you.

You can all get started.

VALENTINA VIDAL: Thank you, Speaker

Ayala, Members of the General Welfare Committee. My
name is Valentina Vidal, and I'm the Bilingual Case

Manager for the Workforce Development at GMHC. I'm
here today to ask the Council's support for HRA to
restore funding in the FY25 City Budget for our

Realizing Through Independence Through Support and

Employment Rights Workforce Development Program. I'm

2 a Case Manager at GHMC because of the RISE Program, and I'm also a HASA client. If the funding is not 3 4 restored, seven GHMC staff will lose their jobs and GHMC clients will lose out on the specialized services we can provide. Founded in 1982 as a health 6 7 crisis, the world's first HIV/AIDS service 8 organization, GHMC has helped 674 HASA clients find employment by providing culturally competent and individualized assistance, including resume building, 10 vocational training, internship, employment and 11 benefit counseling, job placement and retention 12 13 assistance for unemployed and undeployed workers of 14 all stages of career readiness. Yet, due to the 15 Administration budget cuts, as of July 1, 2024, HRA 16 will eliminate RISE to satisfy the 283,000 PEG in the 17 January Plan by cutting a 400,000 annual contract 18 with GHMC. GHMC serves client's population with a 19 long history of distrust in government as well as 20 healthcare-related services delivered. We have built 21 relationships and reputation with these communities 2.2 over 42 years, which is critical to our ability to be 2.3 successfully manage the RISE contract. GHMC has a SUNY Advanced Technology Training And Information 24 Networking, ATTAIN, computer lab at our facility. 25

2.2

2.3

- Recently, our lab was completely refurnished with new computers and related technology. ATTAIN enables clients to receive practical skills, training, and certification to succeed in the digital world. Please stand with GHMC and HASA clients by advocating for full restoration of the RISE program. Thank you.
- 8 TERRY TROIA: Good afternoon and thank
 9 you.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Make sure your mic is on.

afternoon and thank you, Deputy Council Speaker and esteemed Staff and Council Members. I'm Terry Troia. I'm a local pastor and President of Project Hospitality, serving homeless people on Staten Island. I have been working with homeless people on the streets of Staten Island for 40 years. I have watched and held on to fragile bodies as their lives slipped away on the streets of our borough. I've had dinner with homeless people in sewer pipe rooms, in abandoned train cars, in huts underneath the abandoned North Shore line, and on the headstones of cemeteries, and tomorrow morning at five a.m. I will lead a team of six clergy people from Concerned

2 Clergy of New York City to Washington D.C. to 3 advocate for that additional federal funds come to 4 our city for the way that we are handling the crisis of the new immigrants. But our interfaith effort is dying and not a slow death either. We sponsored two 6 7 of the new immigrant shelters on Staten Island since 8 October of 2022. We've only gotten two months advance. We're floating two hotels with all of our saved money, and we can't do it much longer. We are 10 11 owed 7 million dollars, nearly 7 million dollars, 6.9 12 million from DHS, 2.3 million from DYCD, and a half a 13 million from HRA. We are owed monies from DHS back to 14 '22, '23, and now '24 Fiscal Year. 10 million dollars 15 we are owed. We really advocate for some strategy of 16 some kind of rapid response team that is able to cut 17 through the red tape and assist agencies getting the 18 PASSPort process in place and getting the back monies 19 owed. We are not able to do this alone, and PASSPort 20 did not input all of the budget figures. They are 21 missing in the budget breakout. The City didn't 2.2 transfer the full budget details from Accelerator to 2.3 PASSPort, and we are stuck in the process and continue to be owed 10 million. We have exhausted all 24 of our lines of credit. Beyond the concern of 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ourselves, even more so is the concern for the homeless people who fill our streets and who need supportive housing in order to survive. For us, it is very crucial that people with severe mental health issues and behavioral health issues have the supportive housing because that is the answer to their unhoused status so we advocate for additional congregate and additional scatter site units, more than the 7,500 congregate units that are currently targeted by the City. We need to have parity between the services provided by New York 15/15 in scatter site as well as in congregate so that we're able to provide the wraparound services to keep people housed. We need to provide all different supportive housing models in order to get people off the street, and we advocate that we expand the qualifications for 15/15 to include survivors of domestic violence. We urge the City to fully fund and staff all of the City agencies serving homeless people, hungry New Yorkers, and building and filling affordable and supportive housing and, finally, we urge a 5 percent COLA across all human service contracts in FY25 for 150 million set-aside. We need the people to care for the people so the people don't die on our streets. Thank you.

2	BRADY CRAIN: Good afternoon. My name is
3	Brady Crain, the confused CEO of Grand Central Labor
4	Social Services, which operates the Mainchance drop-
5	in center. About three months ago, I enjoyed a
6	meeting with DHS leadership discussing the positive
7	attributes of the drop-in center and how necessary
8	they are. About two months ago, I was told by DHS
9	that Mainchance contract would not be renewed after
10	06/30/2024. This is the source of my confusion. After
11	35 years of providing a dynamic community emphasis, a
12	client-centered approach working with over 6,000
13	individuals towards permanent and transitional
14	housing, serving over 750,000 males and over 600
15	individuals receiving services 24 hours a day, seven
16	days a week for 35 years, I think we have something
17	to say regarding homelessness. Mainchance is now
18	being told to close its doors. The need for these
19	services will not end on 06/30/2024. The experience
20	and expertise of Mainchance will. Mainchance is
21	requesting to maintain its current contract and to
22	continue to offer homeless services under any name. I
23	would like to thank the Council for giving me this
24	opportunity.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. As you know, I'm very supportive of Mainchance. My question to you, Brady Crain, is if you were to continue, would you change some of your services, are there things that you think the City could help you do, what do you think would be the best for your clients?

BRADY CRAIN: Yes. We would like to go towards having beds, and we've done that working with our respite bed providers. Before the virus, we were working over 50 providers and have had over 100 beds. Currently without funding from the City, we're working with an agency, what's the agency Jackie?

JACKIE: Brotherhood.

BRADY CRAIN: Brotherhood, and they have developed their own funding, Brotherhood Temple, and they have developed their own funding and they service eight people out of the drop-in center. We are working with other providers to follow that same model if they have to fund their own situations so we're right there and, also, we're looking at Safe Haven contracts. I'm waiting for our second feasibility study, and we look forward to being a hybrid center, whether it's Mainchance, a drop-in

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

25

2 KRISTIN MILLER: Okay. Good afternoon. My 3 name is Kristin Miller, and I serve as the Executive 4 Director of Homeless Services United. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala and Members of the General Welfare Committee, for allowing me to testify today. 6 I appreciated very much the earlier questioning of 7 8 the Council Members to DSS regarding the contracting and payment issues. I'm here today to talk about a massive issue facing our non-profits, and that is the 10 11 delay, problems, lateness of hard-working, mission-12 driven organizations just not getting paid for the work that they do. The Commissioner mentioned that 13 14 there was so far this Fiscal Year only one late 15 contract registration. While we've seen an 16 improvement in the rate of contract registrations 17 timing, that is only step one of a very long process. 18 We continue to have problems with budget amendments, 19 problems with invoicing, problems with PASSPort 20 information that you've been hearing about already 21 and processing backlogs in this Form 65-A process 2.2 which allows us to pay our subcontracted vendors. I 2.3 think what's important to understand is that the members of HSU are telling me that they are owed 24 anywhere in the range of 700,000 to 31 million 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dollars from the City of New York. They are at a breaking point. They have a hard time meeting payroll in some instances because they are owed so much money from the City, and the repercussions come down to the workers in the field. A lot of our organizations have not had their WEI money put into the paychecks of the individuals, because it's a multi, multi, complex, stepped process. This impacts small vendors that might be doing maintenance work and other efforts on the sites. Everyone is frustrated from clients to executive directors. There's not one solution, but there are many. We're calling for a rapid response team to clean up the backlog. We cannot have it be done on a one-by-one basis but, secondly, we need systemic reforms. We need streamlining. We need to ease up the burden on non-profits to get this paperwork processed. We need the City to staff up. There are not enough people back at the ranch to process all of this paperwork. Lastly, we do need to look at the cost of doing business. The City of New York is not keeping up with the state and feds when it comes to paying for the actual amount of cost that the expenses that it takes to run shelters and homeless service programs. Lastly, our mission-driven

2.2

2.3

organizations are really having a tough choice on deciding whether they should continue contracting with the City of New York or go to state and federal contracts where it's much easier to get the contracts approved and budget and payments approved and same with the federal government. They don't want to, but they must protect their organizations. With that, I'll turn it to my colleague.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

JUDITH ROSENFELD: Good afternoon. My name is Judith Rosenfeld, and I serve as Vice President of Special Projects for Breaking Ground, the largest developer and operator of supportive housing for low-income and chronically homeless New Yorkers. We operate more than 4,500 units of permanent and transitional housing with 2,000 more in various stages of development. We also operate the Street Outreach Program in Brooklyn, Queens, and Midtown Manhattan, which connects the most entrenched long-term homeless individuals with housing and other services. There's a lot to discuss, but I'm mainly here today on behalf of Breaking Ground to discuss how contracting and payment delays are impacting our cashflow and day-to-day operations. As of today, we

2 are owed approximately 30 million on our government 3 contracts. This amount increases 5 million each month. Of that amount, our receivables from the 4 Department of Homeless Services is 28 million and includes 7.5 million in invoices pending 65-A form 6 7 approval. During 2023, we paid 830,000 in interest 8 expense on our lines of credit and have paid 200,000 in the first two months of 2024. At the end of 2023, the weighted average of our receivables outstanding 10 11 was 325 days and, like others, the biggest issue is with PASSPort. We are owed millions of dollars 12 13 because of glitches and not being able to process our 14 invoices and documents. Based on our current 15 forecast, our available lines of credit will be fully 16 exhausted by the end of April. At that point, we will 17 not be able to make payroll. I just want to make the 18 point that even if we do receive all the money, which 19 we hope we do, just as we were saying before, just 20 even the amount of funding, let's just say if we even 21 got it all, it's just not keeping up with the true 2.2 cost of operating our programs, and we're just 2.3 scrambling day to day to find additional funds and be able to pay our staff. Thank you so much for the 24 opportunity to testify. 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We're taking notes so don't freak out.

DOREEN THOMANN-HOWE: Good afternoon. My name is Doreen Thomann-Howe, and I am the Chief Operating Officer for Project Renewal, a New York City homeless services non-profit agency. Thank you, Chair Ayala and the City Council, for convening this hearing. For over 55 years, Project Renewal has provided shelter, housing, healthcare, and employment services to hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers experiencing homelessness. We are grateful to the City Council for supporting our programs. We are proud to have partnered with the City to address some of the biggest challenges including the homelessness, mental health, and overdose crises. A significant portion of the Preliminary Budget aims to treat the symptoms of these challenges, but this approach comes at the expense of addressing the root causes. To make meaningful progress, we must improve stability for individuals and entire communities in the first place in part by expanding access to supportive, affordable housing, and employment opportunities. Access to affordable housing creates safe, stable, nurturing environments for children and families and can reduce

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the risk of negative outcomes later in life. A recent report from the Comptroller reveals that understaffing in City agencies is causing delays in affordable housing development. Fully funding and staffing City agencies in addition to investing broadly in supportive and affordable housing will go a long way to addressing our housing crisis. We also need more employment opportunities for New Yorkers to support themselves. Our workforce development programs have become a vital resource for thousands. Our culinary arts training program provides New Yorkers facing barriers to employment, including veterans, with a world-class culinary education and a pathway to a fulfilling career. Graduates go on to work in settings like corporate kitchens, local restaurants, and Project Renewal's own social purpose enterprise, City Beat Kitchens, which prepares food for other New Yorkers in need. To continue critical programs like this, we rely on nearly 400,000 dollars in citywide initiative and Speaker funding. Investing in workforce development programs generates a strong return on investment for all New York City by creating conditions for entire families to thrive.

Providing these services and, most importantly,

requires the commitment of frontline staff to do the
work day-in and day-out. At Project Renewal, our
housing, workforce training, healthcare, substance
use disorder treatment, and mental health support
services are not only life-changing but often life-
saving. Unfortunately, the workers delivering for our
clients under City contracts earn poverty wages.
That's why we stand with our sector colleagues to
support the Just Pay campaign and give them a long
overdue cost of living adjustment. During the
pandemic, these workers were called essential.
Unfortunately, the City Contract Benefit Schedule
treats them as dispensable. It is time to give human
service workers their fair due and just pay them. We
know the Council is a true partner to the non-profit
sector, and in the final budget we urge the Council
to increase investment in supportive and affordable
housing and workforce development programs and to page
our heroic human service workers a living wage. Than
you for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Lauren, can you hear us? I think you're still muted. You're muted. You're muted. We can't hear you. Lauren? Okay,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we'll get back to you, Lauren. We're going to move on. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

The next panel will be Catherine Trapani,
Alison Wilkey, Arturo Brito, and Pernell Brice.

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Catherine Trapani. I am the AVP of Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York, a 128-yearold anti-poverty organization working to end homelessness. Our staff is our best asset. The dedicated professionals at VOA across the city are delivering critical services to help people experiencing homelessness link to and maintain housing. Thank you for all of the discussion about payment delays. I know that we're not alone. You've already heard about it, but we absolutely need a solution. We would also really welcome your support on reversing the PEGs. The Commissioner testified earlier the notion that they were going to cut money but then allow us to reallocate to pay our staff more just doesn't make sense to me. The cuts actually wound up rolling back the investments that the Council put in to pay workers a fair wage so we

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

desperately need those PEGs to be reversed so that we can have the resources that we need to pay our workers to do the life-saving work that they're doing across the city every single day. To that end, I want to echo my colleague's call for the Just Pay campaign. We need a meaningful COLA and one that is not going to be rolled back with some other mid-year cuts. The forecast that I've seen show much rosier tax revenues and other things so we believe that the City should have the resources to reverse some of the harmful cuts and deliver the pay increases that our workers desperately need. We also need to make sure that our staff has the tools that they need to help clients transition into permanent housing so we would very much welcome the implementation of the Council's bills to improve access to CityFHEPS. Currently, about 60 percent of our families in shelter and 85 percent of the single adults that we serve cannot meet the work requirements, even though they've been scaled back and, of course, the Council's bills would address that, and we know that there is a tremendous crush in our city and need for shelter capacity but, because of these stringent work requirements that the Administration has refused to roll back, our clients

2.2

2.3

are not able to qualify for the main way that they would exit. I just wanted to point out that statistic because I think it's really startling. I have got other stuff in here about the need to prioritize restoring headcount and continue to meet the need of the agencies, but I'll submit some of this in writing, but I'm just really grateful to you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and to all of your Colleagues here at the Council for being a true ally to helping us in our work to end homelessness in New York City.

ARTURO BRITO: Good afternoon, Deputy

Speaker, Council Members. Thank you for your time.

I'm particularly sensitive to how valuable your time
is. I've worked in government before so I'm very
sensitive to that. I'm going to give a brief overview
first about our organization, Children's Health Fund,
and then I'll talk about some concerns we have with
the Preliminary Budget then I'm going to give an
overview of Healthy and Ready to Learn and turn it
over to our Vice President, Pernell Brice of Policy
and Advocacy, to give more details in the two minutes
allotted to him.

I'm Arturo Brito, President and Chief
Executive Officer of the Children's Health Fund. I'm

2 also a community pediatrician with Population Health 3 Focus. The Children's Health Fund has been in New York City since 1987, and we continue to provide 4 services throughout the city to homeless shelters, 5 bringing comprehensive healthcare services, medical, 6 7 mental, dental, and meeting social drivers of health for children and families in those homeless shelters. 8 Our flagship program also has a state-of-the-art clinic in the South Bronx. We are focused on children 10 11 and families, not only in New York City, but because 12 of the model programs that we developed through 13 mobile clinics, school-based clinics, telehealth, and others, we have a national network of 24 programs in 14 15 15 states, D.C., and Puerto Rico. Again, our flagship program is here in New York City, and we're looking 16 17 to expand to other sites throughout New York over the 18 coming years. In terms of the Preliminary Budget for Mayor Adams, we understand the challenging time this 19 20 is. Nonetheless, we're concerned about some of the 21 proposed changes and reductions in the budget that are going to impact our children in the city. A 221-2.2 2.3 million-dollar reduction from early child care and education, for instance, a 50-million-dollar 24 reduction in Fiscal Year '24 for outyears for early 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

childhood efficiencies, 9.5 million reduction for

childcare claiming in ACS, and others that you have

details of. I'm going to turn over now to Mr. Brice

to talk about our Healthy and Ready to Learn program,

6 which we have through your support, thank you very

7 much, since 2014 have had in the city. Pernell.

PERNELL BRICE: Good afternoon, Committee.

Councilwoman, it's great seeing you.

Again, my name is Pernell Brice, and I'm the Vice President for Policy and Advocacy for Children's Health Fund. As Arturo said, since 2014, we've been funded by the New York City Council for our Healthy and Ready to Learn program. This program complements our overall services to provide comprehensive healthcare to children by also creating a program that really ensures that children stay in school. The focus is to address many issues that keep children out of school, many that are rooted in social, economic, racial issues. Our focus is really trying to meet kids where they are. We want to make sure that they have the resources to see so we provide services. We want to make sure that they don't miss school because of dental issues. We do dental days at schools like PS49 and in your

work in this movement.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

District, Councilwoman, and so our goal with HRL is also to, and I know it's important to you, Councilwoman, is address barriers like asthma that prevents students from being in school so that is our focus as well as addressing trauma, whether it's childhood trauma or trauma in the community, gun violence as well, and so we've been very thankful for the amount of funding that we received in the tune of 750,000 dollars. Again, we'll be reapplying for that, but we also stand on support of many of our other non-profits that have spoken today about the challenges of receiving funding. We've had challenges. It's been a little bit quicker this year, but we want to just say we're thankful for the funding and we hope that you'll continue to support our other non-profit brethren who are doing great

ALISON WILEY: Good afternoon. My name is
Alison Wilkey, and I'm the Director of Government
Affairs and Strategic Campaigns with the Coalition
for the Homeless. Thank you, Deputy Speaker, for the
opportunity to testify.

As the court and City-appointed independent monitor of the DHS shelter system, along

2 with our partners at the Legal Aid Society, we're 3 uniquely situated to provide insight into the impact 4 of proposed funding for the shelter system and related programs serving all unhoused New Yorkers. We 5 will submit full written testimony, but I'd like to 6 7 focus today on five priorities. First, the City needs 8 to invest more in low-barrier shelter settings, including single occupancy, Safe Havens, and stabilization beds. The Mayor has often stated the 10 11 need for creating more Safe Haven beds, but the City 12 and State instead are doubling down on policing 13 homelessness, which we know does not work. The 14 coalition has called for at least 3,000 additional 15 Safe Haven beds but, so far in FY24, according to the PMMR, the City has created only 120 new beds. Second, 16 17 the City needs expanded Intensive Mobile Treatment 18 teams and more funding for Assertive Community 19 Treatment teams. Both ACT and IMT are a critical part 20 of addressing the needs of unsheltered people with 21 serious mental illness but, just a few days ago, we 2.2 had an internal meeting about a client of the 2.3 Coalition for the Homeless who has been waiting a year and a half to be connected with an IMT team. No 24 25 one should wait that long, and our experience is in

2 no way unique. Third, we're very concerned with the 3 City's attack on the Right to Shelter and with the 4 day-to-day problems we're seeing as our clients are trying to avail themselves of that life-saving right. 5 While we acknowledge that the City has managed a 6 7 significant influx of new arrivals, it doesn't 8 relieve the City of its legal and moral responsibility to provide safe, decent, and accessible shelter to those who need it. In the past 10 11 month, the coalition has received a surge of 12 complaints from homeless longer-term New Yorkers who 13 either transferred from their existing placement or 14 who are newly entering the shelter system and were 15 directed to a placement, only to learn when they 16 arrived that the shelter didn't have a bed available 17 or that they were sent to the wrong shelter site so 18 this has resulted in people sleeping in chairs for 19 days or being back out on the street, both of which 20 are unacceptable. We're also seeing significant delays in the processing and fulfilling of requests 21 for reasonable accommodations for homeless 2.2 2.3 individuals with disabilities so whether the cause here is insufficient budgets, too few staff, lack of 24 25 training, or mismanagement, the result is the same,

2 that people's rights are being denied. Fourth, I know 3 we've already covered a lot in questioning about the 4 CityFHEPS program and that expansion and the chronic 5 underfunding, but I do just want to note that the City's Preliminary Budget doesn't include any 6 7 increases based on increased eligibility from the law that the City Council passed in the fall. I'd just 8 like to note that the successful expansion of CityFHEPS really is dependent on the City fixing the 10 11 delays and the hurdles that plaque every step of the 12 process and that our clients experience constantly. 13 Finally, to end homelessness, the City must create 14 more affordable housing for homeless and extremely 15 low-income New Yorkers. We're calling on the City to 16 allocate 2.5 billion dollars in new construction 17 financing each year for the next five years for 18 apartments to be specifically built for homeless and 19 extremely low-income New Yorkers. That funding would 20 support doubling the set-aside in new affordable 21 housing developments for homeless households to 30 2.2 percent, building an additional 6,000 apartments per 2.3 year for extremely low-income households, and accelerating the creation of 15,000 City-funded 24 supportive housing units by scheduling their 25

2.2

2.3

completion by 2025 instead of 2013. We look forward to working with the Council on the budget and other legislation to address the needs who are unhoused or precariously housed throughout New York City.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks very much.

Just a reminder that we're calling all of the in-person panels first so, if we call you and you're on Zoom, you'll have an opportunity after we finish the in-person panels.

Next, we'll have Raun Rasmussen, Adriene Holder, Abby Biberman, and Cathy Cramer.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

ABBY BIBERMAN: Thank you. My name is Abby Biberman. I'm Associate Director of the Public Benefits Unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group. I've worked with individuals and families who are attempting to apply or recertify for public assistance and SNAP and families facing eviction and seeking rental assistance, and I appreciate the opportunity to offer the following comments. As part of the lawsuit that my office filed with the Legal Aid Society against the City for failing to process applications and recertifications and issue benefits timely and for failure to maintain systems and to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

complete these applications, the agency was ordered to come into compliance with federal and state processing requirements by March 31, 2024 and, last week, the Mayor announced that the City had reduced its backlog significantly from over 50,000 to just over 1,500 although that number has been called into question by staff who testified earlier today so we will look into that. A reduced backlog sounds like good news, and it could be, but it does not tell the full story. We have concerns that clients are still unable to get through on the phone line to complete their phone interviews, which would result in the City processing applications in a timely manner, perhaps, but ultimately denying a large number of cases, and we've seen this in Local Law data where there was an increase in the number of denials due to failure to complete the interview, but you would not know that from the numbers the Administration provided last week. We're continuing to explore the phone interview and other barriers to accessing benefits as we litigate our case against the City. My written testimony includes stories of multiple clients and a NYLAG attorney who reported waiting over an hour on multiple occasions and sent us

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

screenshots to get through on the phone interview line. Some clients were denied for failure to complete their interview, and others fortunately contacted our office in time for us to intervene and conduct advocacy, but we also know that clients have submitted documents online and in*person and are still being denied and discontinued. I'm almost done. These are all clients in need who have taken repeated steps to complete their applications. They're being wrongfully denied benefits to which they're entitled. We are glad that the City is working to expeditiously process benefits, but it must simultaneously maintain functional systems for clients to complete their applications and recertifications. Please refer to my written testimony for NYLAG's initiative requests, for which we are asking for renewed funding. Thank you.

RAUN RASMUSSEN: Good afternoon. My name is Raun Rasmussen, and I'm the Executive Director of Legal Services NYC. Our staff of nearly 700 fights for justice for low-income New Yorkers by providing free civil legal services to more than 110,000 New Yorkers every year. Matt Desmond, the Harvard sociologist who wrote Evicted, stated without

2 shelter, everything falls apart, but we know that 3 it's also true that without safety from domestic 4 violence, without a sufficient stable income, and without a high-quality education and access to 5 healthcare, everything else falls apart. Your funding 6 for legal services for low-income New Yorkers allows 8 us to help our clients hold their lives together in all the ways that are so critical. We ask that you increase that funding in Fiscal Year '25 to 7 million 10 11 dollars for the organizations that provide those 12 services. We also ask that you restore funding for 13 Legal Services NYC's Veterans Justice Project to 14 150,000 dollars, a return to Fiscal Year 2020 15 funding. That project began in November 2011 and, 16 since that time, we've helped thousands of veterans 17 and their families stabilize their homes and incomes. 18 New York City's children were hit hardest by the 19 pandemic, and we ask you to provide 500,000 dollars 20 to support our Access to Education project, which 21 will help kids with special needs and disabilities, 2.2 provide language access for students and their 2.3 parents, and will work with the schools to implement restorative healing programs so that children who are 24 victims of sexual harassment or violence in the 25

schools can be responded to with measures that are
supportive and not punitive. Finally, as we testified
last year, both the State and the City are
dramatically underfunding the work that we do by tens
of millions of dollars annually. Legal Services NYC,
for example, gets roughly 30 million to provide
eviction prevention services to the City but, because
the case rate is so low, we need to raise an
additional 10 to 15 million every year to hire
sufficient staff to do the work that the contracts
require, and that's just one underfunded contract. We
can't keep up. You've been our partner for decades in
working to meet the needs of all New Yorkers. Thanks
for your help now to make sure that we're fairly paid
for the work that we do to help low-income New
Yorkers get and keep the benefits and services they
need and deserve, and I just want to echo all of the
stories that you heard about PASSPort and the
challenges that we have had as well in trying to make
payroll. Thank you for your support in helping to get
those issues resolved.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

ADRIENE HOLDER: Good afternoon. Adriene
Holder, Chief Attorney of the Civil Practice for the

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Legal Aid Society. I want to first thank you, Deputy Speaker and so many folks on this Committee, for holding this hearing and also being very engaged and active supporters of what is most dire and what's most needed right now for low-income New Yorkers is stability in subsistence benefits or in their housing. You will receive our written testimony, we have it here today to be submitted, that outlines all the restorations and issues around discretionary funding, but what I wanted to talk to you today just about was just how essential our housing justice work and shelter work exemplifies our integrated and multi-pronged approach to addressing the civil legal challenges that low-income New Yorkers face. Our Housing Justice Foreclosure Prevention and Homeless Rights Units are on the ground and have helped hundreds of thousands of people remain in their homes or find a path to stable, extremely affordable housing. Our Housing Justice Helpline advises callers in crisis and provides advice on a wide range of housing matters including rental assistance eligibility and, for those who obtain rental assistance vouchers, we provide rights education and legal assistance to ensure that they use that

assistance as intended and overcome any remaining
barriers to housing, such as source-of-income
discrimination, which we were able to pass with the
help of this Council. Importantly, the Legal Aid
Society was instrumental in the creation of State-
administered Family Homelessness and Eviction
Prevention Supplement, FHEPS and CityFHEPS, through
our Jiggetts v. Grinker litigation. In addition, we
collaborated with housing justice advocates to
maximize our collective reach and mobilize community
members. In turn, we leverage this deep knowledge and
the needs and challenges of our client communities in
our policy advocacy. The landmark reforms of the
CityFHEPS rental assistance program that the City
Council passed last year are just one example of the
positive impact that our strong partnership creates
for low-income New Yorkers. This important expansion
has the potential to dramatically improve the lives
of many of our clients, including tenants, whose
income fall in the gap between 50 percent of the
median income and 200 percent of the federal poverty
line. This advocacy, along with representing, you
heard previously, our client, the Coalition for the
Homeless, in working on subsistence benefits issues

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with NYLAG could not be done without the support of so much of the funding we receive and, particularly, the pot that is described here as discretionary, which is legal services for low-income New Yorkers, a pot that we are in with a number of other providers that we're asking for an increase this year. Again, you'll see all the other discretionary requests that are in our written testimony, but it is essential for us in the moment that we are here experiencing the crisis of shelter as well as the affordability crisis with housing that we are able to maintain the support that we receive from the City Council and, to echo what Raun is saying, we definitely need the Council to continue to stay with the City and making sure that the Right-to-Counsel funding is enhanced so that we can actually afford to sustain that program, and we look forward to more support from you all as we move forward. Thank you.

CATHY CRAMER: Good afternoon. So nice to see you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and thank you for letting me have the opportunity to testify. My name is Cathy Cramer, and I am the CEO of Family Legal Care, which is formerly LIFT. I'd like to thank the New York City Council for its long-term support of

us, without which we could not do our vital work to 2 3 assist unrepresented litigants and families and 4 caregivers who are confronting issues in New York City Family Court at the heart of their well-being, 5 including child support, custody, visitation, 6 domestic violence, guardianship, and parentage. The 8 New York City Family Courts have been under-resourced and overburdened for decades, and 80 percent of litigants come to Family Court without a lawyer. 10 11 Unrepresented litigants are disproportionately lowincome, from communities of color, and are often 12 13 undocumented or speak monolingual Spanish. The underinvestment in the Family Courts, and 14 15 particularly in its failure to prioritize child 16 support services, has significant effects for the 17 families in New York City. Child support can be a 18 literal lifeline for many families, but it is not 19 uncommon for custodial parents to receive none of the 20 money that they are entitled to. Family Legal Care is 21 the only organization in New York City doing this 2.2 work. We reach almost 30,000 families every year. We 2.3 have attorneys that give legal advice and consultations and provide legal information in the 24 courthouses and on our helplines, through our library 25

2	of over 60 legal resource guides in nine languages,
3	and our innovative digital justice tools but, despite
4	the pivotal role we play to increase access to
5	justice in Family Court, Family Legal Care is now
6	facing a potential significant loss of critical
7	funding from the Mayor's office due to a transition
8	of our contract from the Mayor's Office of Criminal
9	Justice to the Mayor's Office to End Gender-Based
10	Violence. We urge the Council to increase
11	discretionary funding in the upcoming budget cycle to
12	ensure that we can continue to serve the thousands of
13	families who rely on us. We wrote much more about
14	that in our written testimony. Strong families are
15	essential building blocks for a thriving city and,
16	with your increased support, Family Legal Care can
17	continue to be part of the solution. You've always
18	been very generous to us, and we so appreciate that
19	and look forward to working with you in the future.
20	Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks very much.

Our next panel will be McGregor Smyth,

Omarax Rosa, Antonia House, and Anna Arkin-Gallagher.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

2 MCGREGOR SMYTH: Afternoon. My name is 3 McGregor Smyth, and I'm the Executive Director of New 4 York Lawyers for the Public Interest. I just wanted to open by thanking Deputy Speaker Ayala and this Committee and the Council for really being a champion 6 7 for the critical legal services, civil legal services 8 in particular, for New Yorkers in need including NYLPI's, which combines law organizing and the power of pro bono legal services as well as medical 10 11 services to help New Yorkers achieve justice. We are 12 a proud part of the New York City Council's Immigrant 13 Health Initiative which serves both recent migrants as well as immigrant communities across the city and, 14 15 through the Council's leadership, NYLPI advocates have both saved lives and improved healthcare across 16 17 the city. Instead of talking about numbers, which 18 we'll do in our written testimony, I really wanted to 19 spend the short time together lifting up the people 20 who really benefit from the Council's leadership. One 21 of our recent clients from Elmhurst is Mrs. G., who 2.2 came to NYLPI after her diagnosis with end-stage 2.3 renal disease. She had lost her ability to work as a nanny and to earn a livelihood and was enduring this 24 just really horribly depleting dialysis regimen. She 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was undocumented and uninsured and unable to access the healthcare that she needed for a longer-term solution, which was a kidney transplant. After a legal assessment in our office, our staff found a pathway to gain her access to health insurance and to change her status, filed an immigration application, got her on health insurance and also through advocacy got her on a kidney transplant list, and I'm incredibly happy to report that just this past December, she received a successful kidney transplant and is now living happily at home with her family and feeling a lot more healthy. This is just one story among many from NYLPI and our Initiative partners, and we're asking for an enhancement to support this really important work. I also just wanted to echo our other partners who just testified about the critical need for funding fairness to support the advocacy and incredible work of our staff. Thank you.

ANNA ARKIN-GALLAGHER: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Anna Arkin-Gallagher. I'm a Supervising

Attorney and Policy Counsel in the Civil Justice

Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services. Thank you to

the Committee and Deputy Speaker Ayala for the

opportunity to testify today. BDS is a public defense

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

office representing people in criminal, family, and immigration matters. Our Civil Justice Practice provides legal and social work services to our clients to minimize or prevent collateral consequences and ensure that the people we represent can keep their jobs, stay in their homes, and support their families. We echo our colleagues request that the City increase support for civil legal services, but urge the City to also invest in opportunities to fund organizations in ways that allow providers to intervene to resolve issues before the need for litigation arises. As an example, we routinely address public benefits issues for our family defense clients whose children are temporarily removed from their care, ensuring their public assistance budget for rental assistance and housing vouchers remains unaffected despite a temporary change in household size. Without intervention, children are removed from their parents' budgets without notice, resulting in rent not being paid and eventually in non-payment proceedings being filed, often before the family is even made aware of this issue. We believe full representation is essential, but tying access to legal representation only to court appearances misses

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunities for earlier, more efficient resolution of issues that can avoid future court proceedings entirely. We're grateful to Speaker Adams and the Council for the generous Speaker's Initiative funding to support our clients, allowing us to provide interdisciplinary representation, including the early intervention assistance referenced above. We ask that this funding be renewed. BDS also receives discretionary IOI funding in support of our immigration practice. We continue to expand services to meet our clients' needs, including focusing on enforcing low-wage immigrant workers' rights and also seeking deferred action for workers who are experiencing labor exploitation. We've seen dozens of clients whose employment claims have also led to meaningful immigration relief, including obtaining work authorization as well as the administrative closure of their removal proceedings where it would otherwise not be possible. We ask that the Council increase this funding to allow us to expand this work. We thank the City Council for the opportunity to testify today and for your continued support of the people, family, and communities we represent. Our written testimony further elaborates on the critical

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

interventions we provide to clients with criminal,ACS, and immigration matters. Thank you.

OMARAX ROSA: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala and esteemed Members of the General Welfare Committee. My name is Omarax Rosa, and I am the Director of Housing Justice at the Harlem Community Justice Center, a program of the Center for Justice Innovation. Economic justice is a crucial component of what allows a community to thrive. Housing instability and poverty impact individuals greatly. While many programs have been made available to support low-income families, the provisions of such have been diminished by a myriad of issues. By addressing issues early, we keep people safely housed and avoid the legal system involvement. The Center serves as a bridge between the system and the communities, developing strategies to advance access to justice and improve economic housing security. Today, I will be discussing the main issues that the Center staff see residents seeking to resolve, housing voucher program, the One-Shot Deal, SNAP replacement, and also the dearth of affordable housing. We ask that the Council ensures that nonprofits like the Center for Justice Innovation and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

City agencies doing this valuable and meaningful work are adequately supported in this year's budget. New York City is in a housing crisis. Less than 1 percent of apartments are priced below 2,400 dollars a month, making it only affordable to those who make 60,000 a year or more. The Harlem Community Justice Center and the Center for Justice Innovation, after years of tackling our clients' housing concerns at every level, the Center stands ready to enhance its partnership with this Council to better address the housing issues for New York. It is critical to ensure housing courts and resource centers are accessible, fair, and are able to have the much-needed assistance and resources available to the community. The Center for Justice Innovation looks forward to continuing to partner with the Council to ensure that New Yorkers can live safely and securely in their homes. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks very much.

Our next panel will be Greg Silverman,
Kim Moscaritolo, Nicholas Buess, Jerome Nathaniel,
and Paula Inhargue.

GREG SILVERMAN: Thank you to the General Welfare Committee and Chair Ayala for holding the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

budget hearing and the opportunity to submit testimony. My name is Greg Silverman, I'm the CEO of the Westside Campaign Against Hunger, been working in New York City for 45 years, our organization, now we feed about 80,000 New Yorkers in need, over 2.2 million pounds of fresh produce, which is 50 percent of what we give out, because we know that healthy food is important to our customers and our community. We're focused not just on a choice of apples or bananas, but on the location of service, delivery models, and the time spent securing food, and this choice isn't just an economic win for everyone, it's also a health win. We did a recent study with Columbia University, and the findings are showing an improvement in food security is linked to increased frequency of fresh vegetables and fruit consumption, supports the idea that food security outcomes in future interventions to reduce diet-related chronic diseases, investing directly in nutritious food for and with direct service providers can lead to improved well-being for New Yorkers in need. We work as a network as well as part of the round table with seven of the other largest emergency food providers in the city, such as New York Common Pantry, Met

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council, POTS, Project Hospitalities, and others, and we all track pricing to push for better product across the network, and it's really important, but we collaborate well, we do great research, we're feeding people, but the truth of the matter is we're all struggling. In Westside Campaign Against Hunger alone, this summer we served 42 percent more people than we did the previous year, which was nearly double what we served before the pandemic. The numbers are not going in a positive direction. You heard it earlier today. The CFC program, Community Food Connections, is an essential program. Any thoughts that it will be cut or adapted based on Trusting words like the commitment from the City or adequate levels, like we know that the 50-odd million that we have right now is still not enough. We need at least 60 million to keep it where it should be. Further, we want to make sure there's no cuts to school food, the 60 million cuts. Those have been huge wins. Thirdly, just HRA, as you've heard, we want to see more support to HRA staff members because truth of the matter is just clearing up the backlog is not nearly enough. The truth is we should be best practice at this, and that's because our customers

NICK BUESS: Hi, Chair Ayala. Thanks so

218

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

deserve it so we have to get more staff to provide more supports to customers in need. Thank you.

much for giving me a moment. I'm Nick Buess. I'm the Director of Government Relations at the Food Bank for New York City. Thanks to your great Staff for all of their hard work on these really important issues. I'll say just very briefly, Food Bank serves about 800 food pantries and soup kitchens across the five boroughs. Those food programs are seeing an 80 percent increase in visits from before the pandemic still today, and the sad reality is that the food supply that we have available across the city has decreased which is why it pains me to say that we don't onboard new members. There are about 200 organizations that have reached out to us and said, hey, we're distributing food, we have community need, and we say we don't have the food for you right now. Like Greg says, investing in Community Food Connection is essential. I want to thank you for your questions to HRA about NYC benefits as well. That's an essential program. We believe that community-based organizations are the front door to the community and, when organizations are serving food, that's a

great way to get people in the door so thank you for continuing to advocate for that. We support a 10-million-dollar investment in that and ensuring that the current organizations that are doing that work can continue to do that work. I'll stop there.

Thanks.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEROME NATHANIEL: Good afternoon. Thank you so much for having us here today to testify on the Mayor's Preliminary Budget. My name is Jerome Nathaniel. I'm the Director of Policy and Government Relations at City Harvest. City Harvest is one of New York City's largest food rescue organizations. This year, we're on pace to rescue over 77 million pounds of food, perfectly edible food, mostly fresh produce and delivering that to a network of over 400 emergency food programs across the five boroughs. Unfortunately, even as we're ramping up our services to be 20 percent higher than it was before COVID, we know that's simply not enough. It's not fair nor is it possible for emergency food providers and community-based organizations to make up the gap in place of effective, efficient, and equitable public policy, and we think there are a number of ways that the budget can invest in the fight against hunger.

2 It's written in depth in my testimony and you 3 presented a lot of strong, important questions to HRA 4 about it earlier today, but I do want to go through some of them. First and foremost, we're very 5 concerned about staffing at HRA. We think that is 6 7 critically important that they go above and beyond 8 the backlog and really makes sure that is wellstaffed and they're recruiting and retaining staff because the fact of matter is for every meal that we 10 11 provide, SNAP provides access to nine meals so it's 12 really important that the City is doing its part to 13 really invest in enrolling people into the SNAP 14 program. Secondly, this might sound familiar, we're 15 all in the same anti-hunger community, but we're 16 asking for Community Food Connections to go up to 60 17 million dollars. Even while pantry visits have 18 increased by 80 percent, we're not asking the City to 19 increase CFC funded by 80 percent. We're simply 20 asking for a really modest 5-million-dollar increase 21 or so to meet the increased cost of operating the 2.2 program and also to the increased demand for 2.3 emergency food across our city. We're also asking for the City to really do its best to leverage state, 24 federal funding, any funding to really support the 25

2.2

2.3

response to new arrivals. Many of our program
partners are seeing a huge increase in demand at zip
codes that are near shelters and HERRC sites so it's
really important that the City really reimburses or
supports them in that response. Finally, I do also
want to make an ask in solidarity with No Kids
Hungry. We're asking for the City to restore 60
million that was proposed to be cut from OFNS. School
meals are important, child hunger is up, and a lot of
those same families are going to City Harvest pantry
programs. Thank you again for this time.

KIM MOSCARITOLO: Thank you so much to
Chair Ayala for having this hearing. My name is Kim
Moscaritolo. I'm the Director of Communications and
Advocacy for Hunger Free America, and I am grateful
to be here to submit this testimony on behalf of the
estimated 1.28 million city residents who now
struggle against hunger. Our message is very simple.
New Yorkers are facing massive hunger and food
insecurity crises citywide, and we need a massive
response from the City, especially one that focuses
on increasing participation in the federally funded
SNAP, WIC, school breakfast, and summer EBT programs.
According to the most recent USDA data, one out of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

every five children in New York City experiences food insecurity, while more than one quarter of children in the Bronx are food-insecure. Food insecurity among employed adults increased in nearly every borough compared to three years ago. By far the most impactful and cost-effective way for the City to fight hunger is to increase participation in the SNAP program, formerly known as food stamps. Doing so would dwarf any other effort. If current caseloads and benefits levels stay the same, federal SNAP spending in New York City over the next year would be 4.9 billion dollars, which dwarfs City and private spending on charitable food. In our submitted testimony, we offer lots of facts and figures about hunger in New York City but, to close out, I want to focus on our recommendations for how the City can best fight hunger. First, increase funding to nonprofit groups that increase access to government food benefits through the NYC Benefits Program and direct City Council funding. Accelerate the City's promised work to create a MyCity portal to allow application for multiple benefits at the same time online. We urge you to urge the State to end the sub-minimum wage for tipped food service workers, to enact and

2.2

2.3

fund a SNAP-like program to be funded by the City to give extra grocery funds to immigrants who may not be eligible for federal SNAP benefits, to ensure that all classes in New York City public schools provide either in-classroom school breakfast or grab and go breakfast in the hallways, and finally work with non-profit groups to launch a comprehensive outreach and enrollment campaign to ensure robust participation in the new summer EBT program. Thank you very much

PAULA INHARGUE: Thank you, Chair Ayala and Members of the Committee, for having this hearing My name is Paula Inhargue. I'm a Policy Analyst at United Neighborhood Houses. United Neighborhood Houses is a policy and social change organization that represents neighborhood settlement houses that reach 770,000 New Yorkers from all walks of life. Our members provide a wide variety of services to their communities, such as providing support to access benefits and case management for HRA programs, including those that address food insecurity. My testimony will focus on the New York City Benefits program, and my written testimony goes into more detail and includes additional recommendations regarding food assistance and benefits access.

2 Settlement houses and community-based organizations 3 play a vital role in bridging the gap between the 4 government and the community and New York City Benefits is a program that formalizes that relationship. It supports community outreach and 6 7 benefits enrollment in neighborhoods across the city. 8 Through these programs, CBOs deploy dedicated staff members to help their community access benefits such as SNAP, cash assistance, and affordable housing 10 11 among others with a holistic approach that addresses 12 various areas of need. Having in-person and one-to-13 one support for an individual navigating benefits 14 applications results in fewer errors and more 15 successfully completed applications as well as 16 greater outreach in communities, thus increasing the 17 number of people receiving the benefits to which they 18 are entitled. New York City Benefits providers have 19 cited the promise and early results of this program 20 and cite the collaborative nature with HRA as a great 21 strength and potential model for other human services 2.2 programs moving forward. In late December of 2023, 2.3 HRA made a significant announcement regarding the freezing of funding for year two of the New York City 24 Benefits program due to the City's Fiscal challenges. 25

This decision posed both financial and operational
risks for settlement houses and other CBO providers,
placing them in a precarious and uncertain position.
A month later, HRA issued a new notice stating that
funds would be unfrozen only through June 30th
without a guarantee of sustained funding beyond that
date. It is crucial that all 36 grantees have their
contracts funded after June 30th, recognizing the
ongoing need for the program. This current list of
contracted CBOs has proven that having a wide pool of
providers gives the program a broader geographical
reach, helps support specific populations with
specific language and cultural needs, and provides
several touch points throughout the city. That is why
the City must maintain 10 million dollars for the New
York City Benefits Program in FY25 and ensure all 36
CBO providers are able to continue their essential
work. They must provide clarity and end the
uncertainty that CBOs and staff have been grappling
with for the last couple of months, allowing programs
to plan ahead for the new Fiscal Year with sufficient
time and certainty. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks very much. Our next panel will be Cathy Vargas, Anna Kaganova

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 (phonetic), Nafisa Rahman, Meredith Levine, and 3 Michelle Berney.

CATHLEEN VARGAS: Good afternoon, Chairperson Ayala. My name is Cathleen Vargas, and I'm speaking on behalf of Center for Family Life in Sunset Park. We're a neighborhood-based organization and settlement house that has been the principal provider of integrated social and human services in Sunset Park, Brooklyn for over 45 years. CFL provides a comprehensive range of wraparound family and social services to meet the needs of the low-income communities serving more than 15,000 people yearly. Sunset Park is home to large Latino and Chinese populations, which make up the majority of our clientele. 23 percent of residents and 25 percent of our neighborhood children live below the poverty line. 30 percent of our households receive SNAP and other public benefits. The New York City Benefits program has been instrumental in enhancing the wellbeing and quality of life of low-income families in Sunset Park. Our holistic and compassionate approach is something that our clients simply cannot find through online applications or self-service kiosks, which often present language and technical barriers.

The proposed cuts to the New York City Benefits
program threatens to exacerbate disparities among our
community's most vulnerable members. This is why we
implore the City to continue to support, not just for
CFL, but for all 36 agencies involved in this
critical program with a commitment of 10 million
dollars in funding. CFL's wraparound support
addresses multiple areas of needs, is grounded in
respect for cultural nuances and language
accessibility, and is essential for the thriving
future of Sunset Park's residents. Continued
investment in the New York City Benefits program will
ensure us to further our mission in decreasing
disparities and enhancing the stability and well-
being of the families we serve. We stand ready to
continue our work to foster a community where every
member has the opportunity to live a life of dignity
and full potential. Your support is not just a
funding decision. It is a commitment to the health,
stability, and prosperity of our community. Thank you
NAFISA RAHMAN: Good afternoon. My name is
Nafisa Rahman, and I'm a student at Hunter College. I
am currently enrolled in the Welfare Rights

Initiative Community Leadership Training Program and,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on behalf of WRI, we thank you for your work for our city. WRI's mission is to sustain the economic security of families and reduce income inequality to level the playing field. We believe NYC, NYS, CUNY, and WRI have a collective purpose to review policy implementation barriers, serve as viable solutions, and create a plan of action that immediately stabilizes and supports low-income students in danger of dropping out of CUNY due to misinformation and the current political climate. This poignant and dynamic and troubling time offers a unique opportunity to put forth economic and education policies that uphold our shared values and beliefs and protect families enduring financial crises. WRI's Community Leadership class has taught me many lessons about connecting with the community. For example, on November 29 last year, my classmates and I organized the Day of Action at Hunter College. The number one supportive service that the students shared is the need for free MetroCards for all CUNY students. WRI believes that together we can secure positive welfare policy changes and showcase the self-determination and dignity of all families, regardless of their socioeconomic status. Some recommendations are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mentioned in my written testimony, but I do want to emphasize that HRA must provide clear language in all written and verbal communication with welfare participants, clear, concise, and consistent communication of education opportunities and the supportive services needed to continue college matriculation is a lifeline for low-income and welfare-eligible families. Thank you for your time.

MICHELLE BERNEY: Good afternoon, Deputy Speaker Ayala and Members of the New York City Council Committee on General Welfare. My name is Michelle Berney, and I'm the Director of the Benefits Plus Learning Center at the Community Service Society of New York. The Benefits Plus Learning Center at CSS has been at the forefront of benefits access work for 30 years, training social service providers and public benefits and housing programs through workshops, publications, and case consultation. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala and Council Member Hudson, for highlighting the NYC Benefits Initiative today. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on the importance of continued funding for this initiative for the duration of the three-year period that was originally envisioned. This project is

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

groundbreaking. It's an expansive, first-of-its-kind partnership between the City, three dozen CBOs across the city, and technical assistance providers with benefits, legal, and policy expertise. As a TA provider, we work closely with our cohort of 12 CBOs, including Center for Family Life, DSS staff, and the other two TA providers. The nature of this initiative is consistent with the work that BPLC has been doing since its inception, working closely with social service providers so they can assist their clients with public benefits access and advocacy. The training curriculum spans all public benefits and all of their complexities as well as housing programs, the Access HRA portal, trauma-informed care, and more. The case consultation that we provide allows us to often assist the social service providers prior to requiring any escalation with our DSS partners and, when escalation is required, our DSS partners are caring, compassionate, and tremendously responsive, and for that, we are grateful. When we are doing case consultation, that really enables us to spot any emerging trends, and also it allows us the opportunity to brainstorm on possible systemic changes. This project has the potential to

system at all levels. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

significantly expand New Yorkers' access to urgently needed benefits, and continued investment is essential. We commend the Adams Administration's commitment to benefits access and its understanding that a longer-term project such as NYC Benefits is needed to realize systemic change for the benefit of all New Yorkers. CSS is honored to have the opportunity to work on the citywide initiative to bring about strategic improvements to the benefits

MEREDITH LEVINE: Good afternoon, Chair

Ayala and Members of the Committee. My name is

Meredith Levine, and I'm the Senior Director of

Information and Case Assistance and oversee the NYC

Benefits Program at JASA. JASA is a not-for-profit

agency that honors older New Yorkers as vital members

of society, providing services that support aging

with purpose and partnering to build strong

communities. For over 50 years, JASA has served as

one of New York's largest and most trusted agencies,

serving older adults in the Bronx, Brooklyn,

Manhattan, and Queens. Last year, JASA was fortunate

to be one of 36 grantees awarded the NYC Benefits

contract through HRA. NYC Benefits' mission is to

2 eliminate any barriers getting in the way of New 3 Yorkers accessing benefits and entitlements and works 4 to help all who are eligible obtain and maintain 5 their benefits over time. Our work at JASA specifically focuses on the older adult community, 6 and we specifically target the plethora of barriers 7 8 facing the older adult community when trying to access and sustain their benefits, whether that means providing home visits to home bound seniors in an 10 11 effort to obtain documents and signatures for 12 applications or simply setting up email accounts and Access HRA accounts for older New Yorkers who are not 13 14 so tech savvy, whether they have a lack of wi-fi or a 15 lack of knowledge, or simply because they still use a 16 landline and a flip phone. Older New Yorkers, when it 17 comes down to it, are simply more comfortable talking 18 to a live person than uploading documents through an 19 app. Our NYC Benefits program at JASA has touched the 20 lives of over 400 older New Yorkers in our first year 21 of operation, educating them about public benefits and entitlements, screening them for eligibility, 2.2 2.3 assisting with documentation retrieval and application submission, and advocating on their 24 behalf to address incorrect denials or inaccurate 25

budgeting decisions. This work was done in the homes
of dozens of older New Yorkers, over the phone and
virtually when a caregiver was available to assist,
texting us pictures and tracking down documents, and
on site at JASA's network of older adult centers,
NORCs, and subsidized senior housing buildings, all
to bring these services to where seniors naturally
congregate. Because of this funding, JASA's NYC
Benefits program ensured that older New Yorkers
benefited from SNAP, Medicaid, SCRIE, one-shot deals,
transportation benefits, utility benefits, Social
Security benefits, and the Medicare Savings Program,
and we did this with the expertise and cultural
sensitivity required to successfully gain the trust
and confidence of older New Yorkers. We are here
today to ensure that the NYC Benefits program
continues in its present form to ensure New Yorkers
receive skilled, person-centered assistance to access
and maintain life-sustaining public benefits. We ask
that HRA continue to receive the 10 million to
preserve the NYC Benefits program and continue
funding of the current 36 grantees. Thank you for the
opportunity to provide this testimony today.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great. We have one more in-person panel so, if there's anyone who is here in person that would like to testify before we move on to virtual, please get a slip filled out from the Sergeants in the back.

Otherwise, our last in-person panel is Towaki Komatsa.

TOWAKI KOMATSA: Hi, I'm Towaki Komatsa.

I'm sitting in the seat that Scott French of HRA was sitting in earlier today after he sent an email on October 25th of 2017 at 8:54 a.m. to other City of New York personnel to collude and conspire with them to illegally keep me out of a public meeting in Brooklyn. That email reads, Hi, Rachel, Molly, and Commissioner Carrion. I just wanted to make you aware that Mr. Komatsa, who has attended several events where the Mayor and Commissioner Banks are in attendance, indicated at a Access to Council team last night that Commissioner Levine and Commissioner Perkins held that he was planning on attending today's resource fair and expected that he wouldn't be allowed to the fair. Wanted to flag for you so you could let Redmond know, who is very familiar with Mr.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Komatsa. He may ultimately not show up, but wanted to 3 flag as a possibility.

I was in this room 10 days ago. I talked to you, Ms. Ayala yesterday. I sent you an email earlier today, I got assaulted in a MICA shelter 10 days ago, what did I talk to you about? I talked to you about being in a MICA shelter. I told you weren't doing anything about that problem. I got a really nasty punch to my head yesterday. I was bleeding all over the floor. You had what's her name, the Commissioner of DSS here today, lied straight to your face. I've had Fair hearings. She complimented OTDA. The Fair hearings are with OTDA. OTDA currently employs DSS's former General Counsel Martha Calhoun. I also talked to Ann Marie Scalia, the current General Counsel. She basically BS'd me today in this room. So this is a budget hearing and, in terms of a quorum, this is a public meeting, a public forum, where are your co-workers? Empty seats all around so one of the prerequisites for having a public meeting is having a quorum. Your co-workers are nowhere to be found. The woman over there, she was just having a side chat with, I think Rita Joseph over here not paying any attention to someone's testimony. With

regards to the shelter that I'm currently in, it has
a 38-million-dollar contract. It's run by VIP. I
don't know what that stands for, but they regularly
don't have toilet paper in the bathrooms, they don't
have soap in the soap dispensers, the meals are
minimal so where the heck are they spending the
money? When I got assaulted, no first aid kit, blood
was dripping all over the freaking floor. I asked for
an ice pack. Sorry. So what exactly are you going to
do and when to prevent people who don't have mental
illness, don't have a drug abuse problem, don't have
any criminal background from being coerced to reside
in MICA facilities that are basically a freaking time
bomb. I talked to Ms. Holder, Agent Holder, who is
here. I specifically asked her, what can you do,
maybe commence litigation against DHS. She basically
stonewalled me and referred me to Coalition for the
Homeless, Josh Goldfein of Legal Aid referred me to
Coalition for the Homeless. They didn't follow up.
That was like a week ago, and then I got a swift
punch in my head yesterday so what are you going to
do?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm sorry to hear
about your incident yesterday, and I hope that you
got that checked out and your time is over.

TOWAKI KOMATSA: Even though you let other people go past their time limit.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I let you go over your time.

TOWAKI KOMATSA: So New York State Supreme Court Judge Lyle Frank, please void today's hearing. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

TOWAKI KOMATSA: By the way, see this, that's blood from the freaking assault

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Now we have Gabriela Sandoval Requena. Thank you.

GABRIELA SANDOVAL REQUENA: Good afternoon, Committee Chair Ayala and Committee Staff, thank you for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to testify on behalf of New Destiny Housing. My name is Gabriela Sandoval Requena, and I am the Director of Policy and Communications at New Destiny. Our mission is to end the double trauma of abuse and homelessness among domestic violence survivors. We do this by developing supportive

2 housing for survivors in shelter, assisting those who 3 are fleeing abuse, obtaining subsidies, and find safe 4 new homes, and advocating for new housing resources. New Destiny is also a co-convener of the Family Homelessness Coalition as well as a member of the 6 Supportive Housing Network of New York. But why do we 8 do this work? Because despite the fact that only 50 percent of domestic violence cases are reported, the NYPD filed one domestic violence incident report 10 11 every two minutes in 2022. In other words, since this hearing started, more than 150 survivors called the 12 police to file a situation of abuse and countless 13 14 others went silent. Because of domestic violence, 15 thousands continue to enter shelter system in New York City. We know that access to safe and affordable 16 17 permanent housing will determine whether survivors 18 leave their abuser and rebuild their lives. We will 19 submit extended written testimony, so I just wanted 20 to use this time to highlight our five key takeaways. 21 First, we're deeply concerned with HRA's limited 2.2 capacity, which has delayed check processing times, 2.3 slowed moves from shelter to permanent housing, and impacted the success of rental assistance programs. 24 Second, we call on the Administration and the Council 25

2	to please fund the Housing Stability Program for
3	Survivors of Domestic Violence, also known as the
4	Micro-Grant Program, at 6 million dollars in Fiscal
5	Year 2025. Additionally, we want to call attention to
6	two administrative solutions that will improve timely
7	access to affordable housing and not cost the City
8	anything. One is to allow survivors of domestic
9	violence to access HPD homeless set-aside units as
10	the Mayor promised on the Housing Our Neighbors
11	Blueprint back in 2022 and open City-funded
12	supportive housing to domestic violence survivors and
13	their children who are not currently eligible for NYC
14	15/15. Last but not least, New Destiny also supports
15	the network's recommendation to improve NYC 15/15.
16	With the housing vacancy rate at 1.4 percent, the
17	City must develop additional congregate units above
18	the original commitment of 7,500 homes. Thank you so
19	much for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll now be moving over to virtual testimony.

Just a reminder to please identify yourself before you bring in your testimony for the record.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our first virtual panel will be Union Strong, Gloria Kim, Antonia House, Tierra Labrada, and James Dill.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

TARA JOY: Good afternoon, Chairwoman Ayala. My name is Tara Joy. I'm a member of the unionized staff at Mobilization for Justice. Our union comprises 110 members from front desk staff to senior attorneys who work in a number of different practice areas with four major focuses being housing, economic justice, disability and aging rights, and children's rights. Last year alone, we served 15,000 households citywide. With that said, I'm not just here today to talk about the work the MFJ handles fighting evictions, helping asylees gain permanent residency, helping families stay together, and shining light on deplorable conditions in adult homes. I'm also here to talk about why myself and 109 of my colleagues have not set foot in our offices nor spoken to any clients since February 23rd. I'm here today to talk about our strike. While we are incredibly proud of the work we do, our staff is at a breaking point. In the past year, MFJ lost 23 employees and currently has 17 open positions, many

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of which have gone unfilled for months, and yet the contractual offers made by our management would do nothing to alleviate this constant turnover as we all know that 2 percent salary increases are not sufficient to keep up with the cost of living in New York City nor to attract and retain the workers we so desperately need. The irony of having to fight for fair and equitable wages from an employer whose mission statement claims to envision a society in which there is equal justice for all is not lost on us. Having witnessed it firsthand, I really want to hammer in the impact of staff attrition to an organization like ours. When an attorney quits, in the short-term, their colleagues have to stretch themselves thinner by absorbing their caseload, in the medium-term, while that position remains empty, our capacity to take on new clients is reduced by that much more, and in the long-term, the faster staff burn out and leave, the harder it is to cultivate the kind of legal skills and knowledge that produce truly life changing advocacy for New Yorkers, skills that only really come with years of experience. In closing, I'd like to clarify that the union knows that MFJ's management has asked the City

2.2

2.3

for funding increases, and we fully support these asks. The reason for our presence here is to remind the City, the Council, and our management that any increases in funding should go to the workers fighting for your constituents and not to the executives who caused this strike. We'd like to give a special thank you to Council Members Banks and Restler for joining us on the picket line and extend an invitation to all those who have yet to join us. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next virtual witness will be Gloria Kim.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

GLORIA KIM: Good afternoon, Deputy

Speaker Ayala and Members of the New York City

Council, General Welfare Committee. My name is Gloria

Kim, and I'm the Director of Policy Research and

Impact of the Human Services Council, a membership

organization representing over 170 human services

providers in New York City. We thank the City Council

for the 100-million-dollar workforce investment in

the last two years and the commitment to an

additional 50 million in the Fiscal Year 25 budget,

which is a step forward in fairly compensating

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

frontline workers providing services to the millions of New Yorkers. However, the workforce investment is not a true cost of living adjustment with a quaranteed percentage increase for all contracted human services workers. A COLA is a significant step to address the historic underfunding and lack of investment in the human services sector as these workers do some of the most important jobs in our communities yet are underpaid and undervalued. As the government is a predominant funder of human services through government contracts, this has resulted in nearly 25 percent of all human services workers qualifying for food stamps in 2016 to 2018. Low wages also have a sweeping effect on workplace conditions and the outcome of programs with high staff turnover and vacancy rates resulting in heavy and unsustainable workloads. Government contracting practices have resulted in an intolerable situation of extreme pay disparities where human services workers make an average 71 percent of what government employees make and 82 percent of what private sector employees receive. With a threat to sustainability and deficiency of resources, the sector faces recruitment and retention issues impacting the

2.2

2.3

overall viability of organizations and program
services so a 5 percent COLA in this year's budget
and a 3 percent COLA each year for the next two years
on the personal services line of all City-funded
human services contracts are needed to ensure this
vital workforce does not slip further into poverty.

The lack of COLAs and livable wages for workers not
only disadvantages communities who rely on these

 $\label{eq:sergeant-at-arms: Thank you. Time} \\$ expired.

workers for life-saving services...

GLORIA KIM: Okay, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we have Antonia House.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ANTONIA HOUSE: Hi. My name is Antonia

House. I coordinate CILEC, which is a collaborative
of 13 legal service providers and CBOs that work

together to strengthen low-income immigrant
communities in the city through employment and
immigration legal services, outreach, education, and
advocacy. Our work is funded by the Immigrant
Opportunities Initiative, the baseline contract
that's administered by DSS's Office of Civil Justice.

2 It has been a successful contract serving thousands of New Yorkers over the last eight years and is now 3 4 scheduled to be put through an open bidding process. This open bidding process creates an opportunity to respond to the challenges that the contract has faced 6 7 and the new social and economic landscape we're 8 dealing with now and to reverse the funding stagnation and the disinvestment of 2 million dollars from employment-related legal services. Providers 10 11 funded by this contract have issued a series of recommendations to DSS, and we would like to share 12 13 those with the Committee now and also in my written 14 testimony. First, baselined IOI must be funded at a 15 level that allows legal service providers to pay 16 staff fairly and to provide adequate funding for 17 supervision, language, and administrative support, as 18 well as community outreach, education, and advocacy. 19 Second, DSS must reinvest in baseline employment-20 specific legal services as part of this contract. 21 These services are necessary to fight wage theft in 2.2 the city, an enormous strain on our economy, and an 2.3 obstacle to the economic stability of new immigrants in particular. Finally, while the contract must 24 25 credit pro se assistance, it must continue to

2.2

2.3

prioritize full legal representation because while pro se assistance can help us meet urgent deadlines, it won't ultimately stop the creation of a new generation of undocumented New Yorkers because most unrepresented people in removal proceedings will ultimately be ordered removed but not actually deported from the United States. This means that they'll remain here with removal orders, lose their employment authorization and a clear path to lawful status, and face an incredibly precarious future. In contrast, people who are...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time has expired.

ANTONIA HOUSE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Tierra Labrada.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

TIERRA LABRADA: Good afternoon,

Chairperson Ayala and Members of the New York City

Council on General Welfare. My name is Tierra

Labrada. I'm the Associate Director of Advocacy at

the Supportive Housing Network of New York, a non
profit organization representing the developers and

operators of supportive housing, and we are concerned

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that the NYC 15/15, the City's primary mechanism for supportive housing development, is falling short of its goal to create 15,000 units by 2030. 15/15 relies on the scattered site model where non-profits rent units on the private market and bring services to tenants. Yet the private market units just aren't there as evidenced by the recent housing and vacancy report that show that rental vacancies in New York City are at just 1.4 percent. This, along with inadequate service rates, has resulted in only 17 percent of scattered site units awarded after 8 years when the City should be above 50 percent. This is not just about slow production. It's a racial equity issue. Black people are over-represented in supportive housing applicants and make up the majority of tenants. Failing to cover the true cost of operating these programs means failing those that the City has promised to support, but the network has developed a comprehensive reallocation plan that would improve 15/15 and ensure that the City reaches its target to reaches its target. We are seeking to reallocate the unawarded scattered site units as follows. Develop additional congregate units above the original 7,500, which would add to the City's

supportive and affordable housing stock, develop only	
a limited number of scattered site units to be rented	
on the private market, accounting for the low vacancy	
rate and the instability of the model, as I	
mentioned, using 15/15 services and (INAUDIBLE)	
develop a dedicated supportive housing preservation	
program at HPD, and investigate an affordable housing	
overlay model where scattered site contracts can be	
paired with City-funded homeless set-asides. We are	
also seeking to increase and align all NYC 15/15	
service and operating rates to ensure parity across	
the program and all housing models and expand the	
eligibility of 15/15 to include individuals exiting	
jail or prison and survivors of domestic violence.	
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time	
has expired.	
TIERRA LABRADA: Our predominantly black	
and brown workforgo dogoryo fair componention. We are	

TIERRA LABRADA: Our predominantly black and brown workforce deserve fair compensation. We are calling with our colleagues for a 5 percent COLA for City-contracted human service workers in FY25. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we'll have James Dill.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2	JAMES DILL: I'm Jim Dill, Executive
3	Director of Housing and Services, Inc. We are a
4	permanent supportive housing provider with 625
5	congregate units and 100 scattered site units.
6	Permanent supportive housing is a time-tested, most
7	cost-effective, and $\overline{ ext{(INAUDIBLE)}}$ way of ending chronic
8	homelessness. We are members of the Supportive
9	Housing Network of New York, and we support all the
10	Network's advocacy points for this hearing. I urge
11	the Committee to support the proposed reallocation of
12	NY 15/15 housing units and resources. The City's
13	15/15 initiative to create 15,000 supportive housing
14	units within 15 years is both a <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> necessary
15	step to end homelessness. However, it's 50/50
16	allocation to congregate and scattered site housing
17	is not sustainable as the current market does not
18	provide acceptable housing units to support the
19	7,500-scattered-site unit allocation. We constantly
20	struggle with our current scattered site program to
21	find and maintain acceptable housing units. We
22	constantly fear that market conditions could
23	ultimately make us a provider of substandard housing.
24	In that event, we would be part of the problem and
25	not part of the solution. We have no intention in

2.2

2.3

applying for 15/15 scattered site units. We applaud the 15/15 congregate program, which is fundamental for the development of our newest 170-unit project.

We are seeking to apply again with the new congregate project. The 15/15 program is crucial. It should not fail due to the broad 50/50 allocation. We urge the Committee to support the reallocation of 15/15 scattered site resources to new congregate units and to provide for the preservation of our first generated supportive housing and desperately needs additional funding to comply with Local Law 97. I thank you for your time. We will submit written testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next panel will be Lauren Schuster, Melony Samuels, Nathalie Pierre-Louis, Judith Secon, and Rachel Briant.

Lauren Schuster will be first.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

LAUREN SCHUSTER: Good afternoon. I'm

Lauren Schuster, the Vice President of Government

Affairs at Urban Resource Institute. Thank you,

Deputy Speaker Ayala, Committee Staff, and Members of
the General Welfare Committee, for the opportunity to
testify today.

25

2 URI is the largest provider of domestic 3 violence shelter services in the country, and we're 4 also a leading provider of transitional housing to families experiencing homelessness. We are committed to ending the cycles of violence and homelessness, 6 7 and we help families to achieve economic wellness. We 8 work with youth and in communities to interrupt cycles of violence, and we are committed to engaging people who have caused harm in the solutions to end 10 11 violence. The rates of homicide and felony assaults related to domestic violence have increased between 12 2021 and 2022. DV homicides increased 29 percent 13 14 citywide and 225 percent in Brooklyn and 57 percent 15 in the Bronx. Homelessness is also on the rise. There 16 were 86,184 people in the DHS shelter system as of 17 March 8th, and DV is a leading driver of family 18 homelessness. 41 percent of families in the DHS 19 family shelter system have experienced domestic 20 violence. This increase demands a significant mobilization of resources. We've submitted detailed 21 2.2 testimony into the record, and so I just want to 2.3 highlight some of the most important pieces of our testimony today. We are pleased by the Adams 24

Administration's commitments to reduce domestic

- 2 | violence outlined in the Women Forward Initiative.
- 3 The Administration, however, must identify new
- 4 sources of funding and not simply shift around
- 5 existing funding for youth violence prevention and
- 6 healthy relationship education, trauma-informed
- 7 | accountability, work with people who have caused
- 8 | harm, and financial empowerment advocacy for
- 9 | survivors and their families. We believe that DOVE
- 10 | funding should be increased to Council Districts that
- 11 have been hardest hit by domestic violence without
- 12 reducing the total amount of overall funding
- 13 available to the Council as a whole. Along with our
- 14 partners, we are advocating for 6 million dollars for
- 15 NGBV's Housing Stability to support Micro-Grants
- 16 program to help survivors of violence access, safety,
- 17 | the human services workforce...
- 18 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time
- 19 has expired.

- 20 LAUREN SCHUSTER: Is predominantly by
- 21 women of color, and we are advocating for a 5 percent
- 22 | COLA in FY25 along with a 3 percent COLA in '26 and
- 23 \ '27. Lastly, I will just add that we are urging the
- 24 Council to continue partnering with us to ensure that
- 25 | our contracts are paid on time. As you know,

2.2

2.3

reimbursements for services already performed often take up to a year or more to be made and in any given time, organizations like URI are owed millions of dollars. Any change in revenue has the potential to be catastrophic for us and so we really appreciate your partnership and your advocacy and look forward to working with you all in the future. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we have Melony Samuels.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

RACQUEL GRANT: Good afternoon, Deputy

Chair Ayala. Thank you for allowing the Campaign

Against Hunger to testify. My name is Racquel Grant.

I'm the Chief Development Officer, and I'm testifying

in Dr. Melony Samuels' stead. The Campaign Against

Hunger is a 25-year-old organization. We serve 1.4

million struggling New Yorkers every year in 145 zip

codes and 14 million meals. There are three areas of

concern for the organization. Firstly, we'd like to

address food and contract reimbursement, which has

been echoed by a lot of our colleagues. We're

supporting 205 organizations and advocates who are

working day and night to ensure families can access

food as we know food inflation is a serious problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're seeing more families on the line including migrants, and we're being asked to front out-ofpocket thousands of dollars to purchase food and do critical work but have to go through the arduous contract process that can take years for reimbursement. We currently have an operational deficit, which most is tied to contract reimbursement and we are at risk. There are also anticipated budget cuts, but no cuts on the food lines. They keep growing. The Campaign Against Hunger joins our colleagues in food to echo that funding needs to be maintained and increased for these programs. We also want to echo what Speaker Adams mentioned earlier, that agencies must prioritize backlogs so that organizations can get the funding needed to execute critical programs and services. Secondly, we want to implore DSS to assess the scale and scope of our benefits work for underrepresented families, including SNAP screening, Fair Fares, Medicaid, child tax credit, and other services. Lastly, we're advocating for increased support for workforce development programs, which are a crucial interface to providing families with financial stability and to lift them out of poverty. We have submitted written

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 testimony. We thank the agencies and the City Council
3 for their continued support. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we have Nathalie Pierre-Louis.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

NATHALIE PIERRE-LOUIS: Good afternoon.

Thank you to Chair Ayala and the Members of the Committee for the opportunity to testify. I am Nathalie Pierre-Louis, Assistant Program Director at the Resource Center Program of Goddard Riverside. Goddard is a settlement house working with New Yorkers from early childhood through older adulthood to strive towards a fair and just society. Our resource center connects people to the services they need, food stamps, legal assistance and healthcare. We provide benefits screening, financial counseling, legal consultation, and support services. A significant portion of our funding to provide these essential services come from the NYC Benefits program administered by the Department of Social Services. I ask that the Council please prioritize preserving the NYC Benefits program at its current level of approximately 9 to 10 million dollars, funding 36

providers. Since becoming an NYC Benefits grantee

last year, we have served a total of 472 individuals,
submitted 301 applications for benefits on their
behalf, managed 1,017 cases including SNAP, rent
freeze, one-shot deal, Social Security, Medicaid
benefits, and the like. We advocated directly to
providers and government agencies on behalf of 122
individuals to assist in moving the applications
forward. I would like to share a few stories that
particularly demonstrate the value of the intensive
support the Resource Center can provide in
partnership with DSS-HRA and our technical assistance
from Public Health Solutions. With the help of our
DHS-HRA assistance, we were able to advocate for the
delivery of a new SNAP card to a homebound female
adult living in East Harlem, a female Pakistani
client from the Bronx who has a disabled adult son
received help with a record number of six
applications for benefits for one household. The
client was recertified for reduced Fare, Access-A-
Ride, ACP, NYCHA Public Housing Section 8. She
successfully applied for so much.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 NATHALIE PIERRE-LOUIS: And reclaimed 760 3 dollars in stolen food stamps. Finally, we assisted a 4 single mother who had been living in a shelter for seven years with her 7-year-old son to move into a 5 new home at Savoy Park in Harlem. We advocated on her 6 7 behalf to HRA because of this program, because of the 8 linkage that it gives us in order for us to get the help we need. We need your help to keep this program fully funded and assisting you New Yorkers. Thank you 10 11 for your attention.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next is Judith Secon.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

Executive Director at New York Common Pantry. I would like to express my gratitude to Deputy Speaker Ayala for hosting today's budget hearing for allowing us to have the opportunity to present this testimony. New York Common Pantry is dedicated to addressing hunger and promoting dignity, health, and self-sufficiency for food-insecure families and individuals, and we've been doing so for over 40 years. In the past year, our programs have provided almost 10.2 million meals, up from 6 million meals prior to the pandemic, and this year we are on track to serve over 11 million

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

meals. We provided over 10 million pounds of food and assisted in accessing over 7 million dollars in benefit dollars and served nearly 700,000 visitors. We understand how devastating food insecurity is to the mental and physical health of those experiencing it and how much it impacts the quality of life in the communities it impacts. The pandemic, inflation and SNAP cuts have left overwhelming numbers of families struggling to make ends meet. In addition, this year's asylum seeker crisis has greatly affected the City and our agency. We've seen over a 400 percent increase in the past year in asylum seekers who come for food and also for hygiene services, healthcare insurance, and referrals to other services. We did receive some Welcome NYC funding this year, which was expended in the first few months of our Fiscal Year. We absolutely need this funding to be increased, and, we want people to recognize that the food pantries are often unrecognized and unsung heroes of this crisis. We continue to serve all who come to our doors. We also want to talk a little bit about the CFC funding. We are happy to see the amount up this year. We are worried about subsequent years. We also would like to encourage transparency in determining

2.2

2.3

- food funding allocations and to provide the
 information in a timely manner. We don't get our
 funding amounts until well into the Fiscal Year. We
 do get around July, but then we don't get the second
 allocation notice until December. It makes it really
 hard to plan and for us...
 - SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.
 - JUDITH SECON: to create sustainable programs. Thank you
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Finally for this panel we have Rachel Briant.
 - SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
 - RACHEL BRIANT: Thank you so much. My name is Rachel Briant, and I'm an attorney at the Met Council, one of the largest emergency food providers in the city, and I'm here today to talk about the Community Food Connections Program. Met Council is one of America's largest Jewish charities dedicated to fighting poverty. We operate 10 departments, ranging from 100 percent affordable housing to our award-winning Family Violence Program to Comprehensive Holocaust Survivor Assistance, Senior Programming, etc. In total, we provide a wide array

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of support to over 320,000 clients a year, mostly in New York City. Met Council plays a unique role in the emergency food space by offering exclusively kosher and halal emergency food, but we are committed to serving any New Yorker in need, regardless of race, ethnicity, or religion. Jewish and Muslim communities face significant barriers to food access. A recent study found that over 80 percent of Muslim Americans observe a halal diet and that food insufficiency within these communities was more than double the rate of all other respondents. New York is home to nearly one quarter of all American Muslims, suggesting that Muslim New Yorkers struggle with food insufficiency at a similar and pronounced rate. While the rate of kosher observance in Jewish communities is lower, the sheer size of the Jewish population makes the kosher observant population roughly the same size as the halal observant one, and poverty rates are significant in more observant communities. During the height of the pandemic, over 20 percent of emergency meals, nearly 27 million meals, provided through the City's Get Food NYC program were either for kosher or halal food. Many New Yorkers are still struggling to get back on their feet post pandemic.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Studies published last week by the New York Times, 3 the Robin Hood Foundation, and Columbia University 4 reported that the poverty rate and life expectancies have not recovered in New York and that one in four children in New York City now lives in poverty. We 6 continue to serve more New Yorkers than at any point in the history of our organization, and emergency 8 food is one of the most powerful tools we have to address these issues. Though we have immense 10 11 gratitude for CFC, we must also note two flaws. First, though, there are many kosher-certified 12 products available, CFC offers a more limited number 13 14 of halal certified products. Halal...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Our last panel will be Jesenia Ponce, Elise Benusa, Peggy Herrera, Jay Edidin, and Juan Diaz.

Jesenia Ponce will be first.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JESENIA PONCE: Good afternoon, Committee
Chair Ayala and Council Members. My name is Jesenia
Ponce. I am the Coordinating Attorney for Policy and
Advocacy at Northern Manhattan Improvement

2	Corporation, also known as NMIC. We are a multi-
3	service agency offering legal and social services
4	addressing housing, immigration, education, and
5	benefits. We are one of five members of the Legal
6	Services for the Working Poor Coalition that also
7	includes CAMBA Legal Services, Mobilization for
8	Justice, Housing Conservation Coordinators, and Take
9	Root Justice. We have many points written in our
10	testimony, but I will summarize here two key points
11	that reflect the importance of funding Legal Services
12	for the Working Poor, also known as LSWP. One is the
13	wide range of services we are able to provide due to
14	the flexibility in funding. As my colleagues in this
15	room and many know that not one client walks through
16	our doors with a single issue. We are able to provide
17	wraparound services with LSWP that include
18	immigration, benefits, and other areas of civil
19	practice. Second, LSWP funding opens doors for
20	services that the working poor may not otherwise be
21	eligible for. As you know, working people with earned
22	income do not qualify for many low-income services,
23	but nonetheless are one paycheck away from becoming
24	homeless. LSWP allows us to offer assistance to keep
25	working poor communities stable. For these reasons

2.2

2.3

and the reasons listed in our testimony, it is crucial that the Council not only continue support for this flexible funding stream, but we ask respectfully that the Council increase funding to 600,000 for each coalition member so that legal service organizations are able to meet the needs of their clients by providing a diverse array of civil legal services for working poor New Yorkers. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we have Elise Benusa.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ELISE BENUSA: Good afternoon. My name is
Elise Benusa, and I'm the Government Relations
Manager at Planned Parenthood of Greater New York. I
would like to thank Chair Ayala and all the Committee
Members for the opportunity to discuss Planned
Parenthood of Greater New York's programs, services,
and funding requests. For over 100 years, PBGNY has
been a trusted provider of sexual and reproductive
healthcare and education programs for communities
throughout New York City. In 2023, our New York City
Health Centers conducted almost 70,000 patient
visits, providing care to all those in need

regardless of immigration status, identity, or
ability to pay for services. This is why today we
respectfully request support from the Speakers
Initiative for our Youth Health Promoters Program and
Youth Serving Programs. The YHPs are highly trained
peer educators who engage other young people and
conduct interactive workshops to educate youth about
their rights and access to care. The YHP program is
unique in that it taps into credible peer youth
messaging to advance sexual and reproductive health
outcomes for young people throughout New York City.
In 2023, the youth health promoters reached over
1,000 youth at schools and in the community. Funding
from the City Council will allow the YHPs to expand
their peer engagement work to ensure more young
people have access to the care they need. With the
ever-changing national landscape and continued
attempts to restrict access to care, New York is seen
as a haven for critical healthcare services. We ask
the Council to continue to fund PBGNY through the
Reproductive and Sexual and Health Initiative to
allow us to continue to provide sexual and
reproductive healthcare services and educational
programs throughout New York City. PBGNY provides a

2.3

full range of sexual and reproductive healthcare services that includes gynecological care, STI treatment and testing, contraceptive care, cancer screenings, and LGBTQ plus healthcare at all five of our health centers in New York City. Another program that allows us to continue serving vulnerable New Yorkers, including our immigrant populations, is Project Street Beat. We ask for continued funding support from the Ending the Epidemic Speakers and the HIV/AIDS Faith-Based Initiative to support Project Street Beat. Project Street Beat provides targeted outreach and services to communities.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

ELISE BENUSA: Just one more sentence.

Through our Mobile Health Centers and our PSB offices in the Bronx and Brooklyn. PBGNY continues to be committed to ensuring that all New Yorkers, no matter their background, get the care they need. Thank you so much.

22 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next is Peggy Herrera.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

PEGGY HERRERA: Hi. Good afternoon. Do you

hear me? Can you hear me?

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can.

3 PEGGY HERRERA: Okay. Good afternoon, 4 Deputy Speaker Ayala and Committee Members. My name 5 is Peggy Herrera. I am a leader and a member with Freedom Agenda and the Campaign to Close Rikers. I am 6 7 also a mother who tragically lost my son to gun violence. As a mother, as a youth counselor, and as a 8 New Yorker, I know that our communities are struggling. People are in survival mode, and yet 10 11 Mayor Adams still seems committed to the same failed 12 approach of throwing us crumbs for everything that's 13 important or cutting the things that will truly 14 benefit our communities. Our Mayor and the Governor, 15 along with her, talk about safety, but they don't 16 seem to get that it's an investment in our 17 communities and mental health that will bring crime 18 down, not more policing and incarceration. The 19 Department of Social Services is responsible for 20 delivering the kinds of support that our community 21 desperately needs. They need to be able to do their 2.2 job, to get people their food stamps, to issue 2.3 housing vouchers, to run job training programs, to connect people with supportive housing, and so much 24 more so why is DSS facing funding cuts but not the 25

Department of Correction? Why is the Mayor cutting
their staff, but not DOC's headcount? We know what
the impact will be. People who are already struggling
will be waiting longer and longer for the help they
need. The stress of poverty will impact their mental
health. While they wait and wait for followup from
the agency that is supposed to help them, they'll
probably come into contact with the only agency that
seems to have enough resources to be everywhere all
the time, the NYPD. After the NYPD targets them, they
get funneled to the Department of Correction to be
abused on Rikers, the most expensive jail system in
the country. It makes no sense morally or
financially. I know that the safest communities are
the ones with the most resources, and I think that
the City Council knows that, too. That's why the
Council voted to close Rikers and has stayed
committed to that goal. To make that goal a reality,
we need a budget that moves
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your
time has expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Jay Edidin.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

25

2 JAY EDIDIN: Thank you, Deputy Speaker 3 Ayala, Members of the General Welfare Committee, both 4 for the opportunity to testify today and for your fierce ongoing advocacy on behalf of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. My name is Jay Edidin, I'm 6 the Director of Advocacy at the Women's Community 7 8 Justice Association. As of last week, at least one in five of the women and gender-expansive people currently incarcerated at the Rose M. Singer Center 10 11 on Rikers Island is unhoused. One in five, and the charges that population faces are overwhelmingly for 12 non-violent survival crimes. Crimes they would not 13 14 have needed to even consider if they had access to 15 resources to which every New Yorker, every human 16 being, has a fundamental right. 80 percent, that's 17 four of every five of the people at RMSC, have some 18 sort of mental health concern. Nearly that many are 19 primary caregivers. Many of them have struggled to 20 access adequate care in the community and would have 21 been far better served by community-based 2.2 alternatives to detention and incarceration than by 2.3 their current situation. Mayor Adams discusses mass incarceration as if it were an inevitability, a 24

matter of simple math beyond his control, and then in

2	the same breath makes catastrophic cuts to community
3	services and to alternatives to incarceration and
4	detention. As some of you already know, the Adams
5	Administration's plan for the upcoming Queensboro
6	jail more than tripled the agreed upon number of beds
7	for women and gender-expansive people from 126 to
8	450. This is happening at a time when mass
9	incarceration of women is rising at an alarming rate,
10	disproportionate to either the incarceration of men
11	or, and this is the part that should make all of us
12	especially angry, rate of criminal offense. I call on
13	this Committee to continue to resist that
14	fearmongering, to look at the actual crime statistics
15	and the price, human and financial, of the City's
16	embrace of mass incarceration, the destruction of
17	lives and families, the waste of taxpayer dollars,
18	and, for what? A bloated, inhumane, and ultimately
19	ineffective system. Look at the Women's Community
20	Justice Association and Lipman Conditions Path to 100
21	report, which offered simple, direct, and effective
22	interventions to lower the population of women and
23	gender-expansive people caged by the City. Look at
24	the data on re-offense and how it differs between
25	incarcoration and ATTs Mayor Adams

2.2

2.3

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your 3 time has expired.

JAY EDIDIN: I call on the Committee to prioritize community resources and alternatives to incarceration and to resist the sprawl of borough jails.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Before I call the last virtual witness, if there's anyone on Zoom that has not had the chance to testify and has not been called, can you please use the raise hand function?

I don't see anyone. I'll call our last virtual witness who will be Juan Diaz.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JUAN DIAZ: Thank you. My name is Juan
Diaz. Thank you, Chair Ayala and all Members of the
Committee on General Welfare for holding today's
hearing. My name is Juan Diaz, and I'm a Policy
Associate at Citizens Committee for Children, a
multi-issue children's advocacy organization. CCC is
a co-convener of the Family Homeless Coalition.
Today, I will focus mainly on our recommendations to
combat family homelessness, but our written testimony
will contain additional recommendations related to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

child welfare, due justice, and food insecurity. New Yorkers are experiencing serious delays in essential housing and public benefit service applications as well as severe vacancy rates at social services organizations and delays in payments to communitybased organizations that provide essential services to vulnerable New Yorkers. We urge the City to invest in programs and strategies that will prevent family homelessness. We therefore urge you to take the following steps. Implement the CityFHEPS expansion, which will significantly expedite the housing support for families in the community and in shelters. Improve public benefit access and retention by removing red tape, and implement the technology solutions to ensure that housing subsidies, payments and renewals, and public benefits are secured. Prioritize access to home-based services by providing funding to support community-based organizations who administer homeless prevention programs to keep up with the ever-increasing demand of services. Resolve contracting issues and payment delays to communitybased organizations providers that impede workforce and service stability. Baseline funding for community-based community coordinators to help

2.2

2.3

testify.

children to get to school every day and to access
much-needed educational support. We urge the City
Administration to implement meaningful COLA for
homeless services staff. Finally, we urge the City
Administration to invest in streamlining the approval
process of vacant affordable housing units by
reducing repetitive paperwork and hiring the
necessary staff. Thank you for the opportunity to

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We have finally Jeanine Costley.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JEANINE COSTLEY: Thank you. Good morning,
Chair Ayala and Members of the Committee on General
Welfare. Thank you for this opportunity to testify
today. My name is Dr. Jeanine Costley. I'm the Senior
Vice President of Transitional Services at the
Institute for Community Living, ICL. We are one of
the city's largest providers of housing and
behavioral health services for children, adults, and
families. We serve over 13,000 people annually in 140
programs across five boroughs, including clinics,
shelters, residences, and community-based programs.
I'm here to talk about the city's concurrent mental

health crisis and homeless crisis and what must be
done for non-profits like ours to survive, to help
people who we support. Non-profits face significant
challenges in retaining quality staff. We can't begin
to address the mental health and homeless crisis
without substantial investment in our woefully
underpaid workforce. ICL faces exceptionally high
turnover rates and vacancy rates with turnover rates
of 32 percent across our programs and a massive 70
percent in our shelters that we operate. We need more
funding to achieve pay parity with State-funded
programs that jeopardize our City-funded workforce by
offering more generous compensation. There must be a
cost-of-living-adjustment so our workers'
compensation can keep up with inflation and so
they're fairly compensated for our challenging work.
Now is the time for meaningful transformation of our
shelter system. We thank the City Council for your
leadership and advocacy in preserving the Right to
Shelter law, but that's not enough. Shelters are
often too large and under-resourced and located in
buildings with bad layouts and other unfavorable
conditions. There have been no increases or
adjustments to the funding to reflect the growing

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 25, 2024