CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

October 16, 2025 Start: 10:52 a.m. Recess: 11:42 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - 8TH FLOOR - HEARING

ROOM 3

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

David M. Carr Yusef Salaam

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Mercedes Narcisse Farrah N. Louis

## APPEARANCES

Adam Rothkrug, Land Use Counsel at Rothkrug, Rothkrug, and Spector, LLP

Pablo Oviedo, owner of Ovi's Place

Bonnie Campbell, Two Trees Management Company

Richard Lobel, Sheldon Lobel PC

Jorge Fontan, Fontan Architecture

Essa Masqod

Eric Palatnik, Eric Palatnik, PC

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone
3	check for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises
4	Today's date is October 16, 2025, being recorded by
5	Tavell King in Hearing Room 3.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing on the Committee on Zoning and Franchises.

At this time during today's hearing, no one may approach the dais.

If you would like to testify today, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to fill out a testimony slip.

Please silence all electronic devices that you have.

Chair, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Good morning, everyone, and I'm sorry for my tardiness this morning. Welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee. This morning, I'm joined by Council Member Narcisse and Louis.

Today, we are holding a number of public hearings, including Domino Site B under LUs 400 to 402; the 58 Nixon Court Rezoning under LUs 405 and

406; the 464 Ovington Court Rezoning under LUs 407 and 408; and the 5502 Flatlands Avenue Rezoning under LUs 409 and 410; an application for a sidewalk cafe

revocable consent for Ovi's Place under LU 403.

And I would like to also announce that a public hearing that was noticed for this meeting for the St. Raymond Avenue Demapping Proposal will not be held as that item was not called up and is not before the Council for review; and also that the public hearing for LU 392 for the Long Island City

Neighborhood Rezoning Mapping Action is being deferred and will instead be heard at the next meeting of the Subcommittee on Thursday, October 23rd at 11 a.m.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or through Zoom. Members of the public who wish to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse. To sign up, and for those of you here in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card. Members of the public may also view a live

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 stream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's
3 website.

When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony that you would like the Subcommittee to consider, or if you have written testimony that you would like to submit instead of appearing in person, please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU number and/or project name in the subject line of your email.

We request that the witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding, and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying.

The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify, and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recording to Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 409 and 410 for the 5502 Flatland Avenue Rezoning, a private application requesting a zoning map amendment and related zoning text amendment to facilitate a development of approximately 36 dwelling units in commercial space by changing from an R3-2 district to an R6A/C2-4 district and establishing a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area in Council Member Narcisse's District in Brooklyn.

If anyone wishes to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

2.2

2.3

For anyone with us in person, please see one of our Sergeant-at-Arms to submit a speaker's card.

If you prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to recognize Council Member Narcisse to make any opening statements.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Good morning. Thank you, Chair.

For our community, we're talking District 46, we have been burned to some extent, I would say, but having said that, you came to the Community Board. I'm so appreciative of the time, willing to engage with the community. Most of the developers just have one side, and then they don't want to be bothered. Now, having said that, I have some questions that I'm going to put out there for you to see how the best way you can answer it, because the community, I'm sure some of them are probably watching and want to know what we are getting ourselves into. I would like to have a sense of...

2.2

2.2

2.3

For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin. I just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Yes, thank you. I'm Adam Rothkrug of Rothkrug, Rothkrug, and Spector. My monitor is not working, but I can proceed.

My name is Adam Rothkrug. I'm here in connection with a rezoning application involving the property at 5502 Flatlands Avenue between East 55th and East 56th. And you can go to slide 2 and then slide 3.

The property consists of an irregularly shaped property, currently vacant, about 13,000 square feet in area, located in an R3-2 district. The block on which the site is located, as well as the block to the east of the site, include a number of nonconforming commercial and automotive uses. And you can go to slide 4 and then slide 5.

We're proposing to rezone the property to R6A with a C2-4 commercial overlay, which would permit development of either a one-story commercial

residential districts. Next slide, 6.

2.2

2.3

building or a mixed-use building that could extend to seven stories in height with a commercial first floor and six stories of residential, approximately 49,000 square feet in area, approximately 34 apartments. In addition, our application requests a text change to designate the rezoned area as a Mandatory Inclusionary District with Options 1 or 2, which would result in between 8 and 11 affordable

While our client's initial plans revolved around the commercial overlay, after review and consultation it was determined that the R6A residential zoning was appropriate at this location, fronting on Flatlands Avenue, a 100-foot-wide street in a community district that has not seen any affordable housing projects and very little new housing in general. Skip to the last slide.

The lack of housing and affordable housing was cited by the Borough President in his support of the application, noting that the site met the criteria for housing sites set forth in his comprehensive plan for Brooklyn. We met with Community Board 18, both before and after certification, and they've expressed strong

I'll turn it over to Council Member Narcisse.

1

3

4

5

6

7

۵

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, Adam, please state the goals and the rationale for the proposed R6A-C2-4 zoning district, and did you consider any alternative zoning district or conduct comprehensive scenario analysis for this lot?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: So, the original proposal only included the commercial overlay, and then after reviewing the site and discussions with various City agencies, it was determined that because this does front on Flatlands Avenue, 100 foot wide, and because of the lack of affordable housing that has been built in this community district, that the R6A would be appropriate. We struggled to come up with plans because it's a triangular site that really made sense, but at the end of the day, we decided to proceed with the R6A. Again, it falls within the Borough President's plans as far as his criteria for new residential development, and City Planning was very supportive of the residential element. But again, our main concern and main goal is to build the commercial portion of the site.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Did you propose any residential unit mix?

2.2

ADAM ROTHKRUG: The residential unit mix that's been submitted shows a total of 36 units with 11 affordable units, 5 at the 40 percent AMI, 2 at the 60 percent AMI, and 40 at the 80 percent AMI.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And what was the unit

mix? Studios, 1-bedrooms, 2's?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: We did not have a final mix designated.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you.

Council Member Narcisse.

Just for the record, I just want to mention we've been joined by Council Member Carr.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you, Chair.

The excitement of my part to get out, I just had to go, but I was going to put my question on you anyway. So, thank you, Chair, for telling me you have to hold back, you cannot put a question.

But having said that, I've been working with you, I've seen the presentation over and over, and I was just getting to it. But for the public record, because we have people watching and want to know, can you give me a sense of the portfolio of the real estate?

2.2

2.3

ADAM ROTHKRUG: The owners of this

property own commercial real estate in New York City.

They don't own residential, they have not developed

5 residentially, so that is their portfolio.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Your initial intention was to develop a commercial building, right?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: How did your proposal change to include residential development?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: So, I'll take responsibility. We met with City Planning. City Planning was anxious or encouraging. Of course, they didn't demand that we do anything. They were anxious to encourage residential development, and after reviewing it with them, we thought it was appropriate, even though it was not really our intention. So, we kind of, again, we'll take responsibility for proceeding that way and not just saying we'll go with the commercial overlay, so probably our fault to some extent. But that said, the Borough President's Office, City Planning, and we also believe that, again, maybe not on this site, but theory-wise on Flatlands Avenue, a 100-foot-wide

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 street in this district that's seen very little

3 affordable housing built, that it would be

4 appropriate. That doesn't mean this is the right site

5 | for it, and obviously when we met with the community,

6 they were very strongly opposed to any residential so

7 | we've left ourselves to be flexible with what you

8 think is appropriate. We know the community did get

9 burned on another project in the District, so we have

10 certainly made it clear that we are willing to enter

11 | into legally binding, recorded restrictions on the

12 property that would be enforceable by the community

13 | if there's some middle ground that makes sense.

it real. Unlike most of the folks in my District, what you said the last part for the Flatlands Avenue to afford some affordable development there, if there's a possibility, if the height will be set and the community come to an agreement, I think we can actually do our part. Having said that, being burned is not easy. So, it's just like a cat, you burn in hot water, when you see cold water, you run, and

And due to concerns about height, right, the height, Community Board 18 recommended

that's what's going on right now.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 16

disapprovement of the seven-story mixed-use scenario,

right, and recommended a one-story commercial

development instead. How do you respond to the

2.2

2.3

feedback?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Again, our main goal is to build the commercial element. As noted, the developers are commercial developers. I told the community that. So, again, even if the community said three or four stories was fine, I don't know if we'd ever get built here because it might not be feasible. It's a triangular site. So, we're talking kind of in hypotheticals because this project started two or three years ago, but our main goal has always been commercial development of the site.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: The community is uneasy about a recent situation, which we well aware, in which rezoning was approved by Community Board 15 for the property that was in Coyle Street and changing to shelter. What can you say to the community to allay their concerns about this project?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Yeah. So, I was not involved in that project, obviously. I have worked with many community boards and community organizations in implementing restrictive

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 17
2	declarations that are legally binding, recorded
3	documents that limit use of the property, that limit
4	height of a property. That's something that the
5	Council does on projects on a regular basis. So,
6	we're more than willing to consider that, again, if
7	there is a middle ground. And again, I don't know 100
8	percent why it wasn't done in Coyle, but, again,
9	there are obviously, and you can certainly confirm
10	with your Counsel, that there are ways to put in
11	enforceable, legally binding, restrictive
12	declarations on height, on use, on, you know, any

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. And I have to say that you have other property on Flatlands Avenue for that development company that you represent. And I don't have much to say, negative things to say about the community. And in the community board, you're willing to work with us, and we're willing to work with you, and that's where we are. This is the City of New York. That's our city, and we have to do our very best to maintain engagement. When we get community to be engaged, then we have to keep our words. So, thank you.

number of elements that the City Council considers.

2.2

2	ADAM ROTHKRUG: Again, I would just like
3	to reiterate that no one along the entire path of
4	this application has had any objection to the
5	commercial element. Right now, the property is zoned
6	R3-2 so I think everyone agrees that's not
7	appropriate, and everyone agrees that commercial
8	development is appropriate. And at the end of the
9	day, that's what we'd like to come out with at a
10	minimum, and I think everyone would be happy with
11	that and happy to see this vacant lot developed.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Since I'm here
13	to represent the people, so at the end of the day,
14	majority of my District have to be in agreement for
15	us to get moving forward with this project.
16	ADAM ROTHKRUG: Absolutely.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: So, thank you.
18	Thank you, Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
20	Member Narcisse.
21	Thank you, Adam.
22	ADAM ROTHKRUG: Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other

members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 409 and 410 for 5502 Flatlands Avenue Rezoning, the

24

2.2

2.3

I will now open the public hearing for LU 403, a sidewalk cafe application by Ovi's Place for approximately three tables and nine chairs located along Campus Road in Council Member Louis' District in Brooklyn.

For anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

I would now like to recognize Council Member Louis to make any opening statements.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you, Chair Riley, for the opportunity to provide a statement today regarding the Land Use Application Number 403 concerning the request of Ovi's Place, located in my District, to operate a sidewalk cafe on Avenue H.

At the outset, I want to acknowledge that small businesses like Ovi's Place are a vital part of the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

However, we must also balance this support with a clear responsibility to maintain accessibility, pedestrian safety, and quality of life to our

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residents. In this particular case, the sidewalk along Avenue H is simply not wide enough to safely accommodate tables, chairs, and regular pedestrian flow. Unlike other areas with broader sidewalks, this curved intersection poses significant congestion risks, especially for pedestrians, families with strollers, and wheelchair users. The location sits at a busy corner where residents, students, and commuters from Brooklyn College, the Triangle Junction Mall, and the Flatbush Avenue 2-5 train station all converge between Midwood and Flatbush. These concerns were also echoed by Community Board 14 during their public hearing on Thursday, August 7th, where the applicant did not attend. In their recommendation, CB14 stated, and I quote, due to the absence of the applicant, CB14 members were not able to gain clarification on concerns, including adequate sidewalk clearance perimeter markings or barricades, and appropriateness of street furniture, end quote. The local Business Improvement District, our local BID, has impressed a willingness to collaborate and help identify a feasible solution that supports both the business and the broader community. However, at this time, I cannot support this application, and

nothing but the truth in your testimony before the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member
questions?

PABLO OVIEDO: Yes, I do.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Pablo, just please restate your name and organization for the record, and you may begin with your testimony.

PABLO OVIEDO: Okay. My name is Pablo Oviedo. I'm the owner of Ovi's Place. And the reason, I mean, we applied for the permit to have two tables over there, and according to the space, like the Council said, it's not enough. We have the plans with the guidelines from the City, and we have enough space to put the tables right there. Besides that, you know, we really don't use the table for the restaurant, only for the community, too. So a lot of people over there, they pass by, old people, women with kids and everything, and they sit there and rest. Old people go there and sit, you know, when they come out from the Target store with the big bags, and they sit there and rest over there. And so it's not only for the stores, you know, only for the community help, too. So that's the reason we put the table there. And it goes with the general guideline

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 from the City. I think that's it. That's all I have 3 to say.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you so much.

There being no questions, you're now excused.

There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LU-403 relating to the application by Ovi's Place for a Sidewalk Cafe Revocable Consent, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

I will now open the public hearing for

LUS 400, 401, 402 for the Domino Site B proposal, a

private application including a zoning text amendment

and two special permits in order to change the

previously approved design and site plan for the

Domino Sugar Redevelopment Project. Site changes

include the removal of previously proposed sky bridge

and the changes to various bulk requirements and to

loading requirements. This project is located in

Council Member Restler's District in Brooklyn.

I will now call the applicant panel for this proposal, which consists of Bonnie Campbell.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 Counsel, can you please administer the affirmation?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Ms. Campbell, would you please raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

BONNIE CAMPBELL: I do.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Bonnie.

Just please restate your name and organization for the record, and you may begin.

Campbell. I'm here from Two Trees. First of all, I'd like to thank the Council. It's been about 13 years since we first presented the master plan for Domino, and you guys have patiently helped us improve the plan as we've executed along the way. I want to especially thank Council Member Lincoln Restler, who's been a great partner and collaborator throughout all of this process and this site in particular to make an improved building, improved housing, and improved public realm. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

So, here you can see the original master plan that was approved in 2014, and as I mentioned, we've come back pretty much with every building and every public space to kind of tweak and iterate and improve the architecture, the public realm, the park. Next slide, please.

And I'm pleased to say that this is the last time, the last Domino building, which is the second from the left there that we're here to talk about today. We call it Site B. It's the last building at Domino. You can go ahead and quickly go through the next several slides.

This is just reminding everyone the changes that were made in the park and how successful they've been thanks to the collaboration with you guys, with the local Community Board, with the local Council Member. Keep going.

And then, as I mentioned, you can keep going through this, we'll go rather quickly. Each building we've come back with, again, small but meaningful changes to the massing, to the architecture, to really kind of make sure that these do the best for the end users. The refinery, we came back. It's a commercial office building that's been

quite successful thanks to the changes. The last time

3 | we were here, we were looking at what we call Site D.

4 It's now up and running. Domino Square, the newest

5 part of the public realm. Here, again, thank you to

6 this process to kind of improve the space. It's

7 become a very active public space embraced by the

community, ice skating, salsa night, all kinds of

9 community gatherings.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now you can pause here. We're here to talk about the very last building, Site B. And when we set out to develop it, we really had a couple main objectives. One, we wanted to improve the massing, improve the architecture, and how to improve the housing and the quality of the housing, but also how the building interacts with the public realm. It's a large building, has very sheer frontages, and we really wanted to kind of break that down. And the second was we started designing this building right when City of Yes was passed, which was exciting. We wanted to see if there was a way we could get more mixed-income housing into this jacket without changing the underlying zoning, and we were successfully able to do so, which I'll go through today. Next slide.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

We hired Rex Architecture. They are responsible for the Perlman Center for Performing

Arts down here. They've been excellent. Next slide.

To really kind of rethink about the massing. Next slide.

First thing was, you know, while these sky bridges were interesting architecturally, they weren't great for the end-user. They weren't great for the people who actually live inside of the buildings. So, the first thing that the architect recommended was to get rid of those sky bridges. Next slide. Next slide.

Next thing was to really think about the base and how the base of the building interacts with the public space and the sidewalk and how to improve that and make it more pedestrian in scale so pushing down the base of the building. Next slide. Next slide.

That actually allows a lot more kind of view corridors and just more light and air through the site as you approach the water. Next slide. Next slide.

The other thing we tasked them with doing is, you know, in the Domino neighborhood in general,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 there's some private outdoor spaces for penthouse

3 apartments and other things, and we wanted to see if

4 | there was a way to provide private outdoor space

5 across all income bands, across all unit types for

6 every apartment in the building. Next slide. You can

kind of click through these. Next slide. Next slide.

They came up with an innovative way to do it, you can pause here, where you have this kind of system of undulating balconies that go all around the façade of the building that allow you to have private outdoor space and light and air all around you, and you're not directly looking into your neighbor's balcony. Next slide. Next slide.

And they applied this to the entire façade of the building. So, again, every type of unit, every type of affordable housing, everyone will have access to this private outdoor space, which we think is unique in the district and along the waterfront. Next slide.

You can see here what that starts to do.

The other thing that it does is it breaks down the sheerness of the façade so it doesn't read like an office building anymore. It really feels pedestrian in scale and residential in scale. Next slide.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

The next few slides are some of the renderings that show how this kind of unique architecture plays with light and shadow and makes for a pretty dynamic space. Next slide. Kind of keep going. Keep going. Keep going.

And then applying the same kind of typology of these kinds of undulating glass curtain wall to make the pedestrian realm at the street level more dynamic and interactive. Next slide.

Now you can keep going. This is going to show you kind of before and after. This is the new proposed massing. That's the old. Next slide.

The new. You can kind of keep going through these different view angles. This is the old top. This is the new. This is the old kind of street wall and the new. You can see the undulating glass at the street level. It allows us to create kind of nice interaction between the neighborhood retail and the pedestrians accessing the park. We have a nice wide sidewalk. Next slide. Keep going here.

That's the old. This is the new. This is comparing the blue as the original ULURP and the red as the new. Keep going.

2.2

2.3

And we took kind of the lessons learned in other pedestrian thoroughfares at Domino with street furniture, with benches, with kind of sidewalk amenities that have made it really a place to be and very pedestrian friendly. Next slide.

This is just showing the proposed plan.

Again, this building will have wider sidewalks than other buildings in the Domino project because it is so big so we're providing between 22 and 25 feet here of sidewalk space. Next slide. Next slide.

This is just kind of showing the specific changes to the ULURP envelope that are being proposed to accommodate this unique design. Next slide.

And then here are kind of the outcomes. You know, better architecture, better massing for residential units. We're able to accommodate more family-sized units. As we've developed Domino, the buildings have evolved and the demand has evolved for more family-sized units so this building will be 50 percent twos and threes that kind of correspond to the neighborhood's changing needs. Again, all unit types, all income levels will have access to this private outdoor space, and this jacket will accommodate the City of Yes deductions, which will

will be at 60 percent of AMI. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

And this is kind of just an overall.

There are no new environmental impacts. They're really design tweaks for the most part. And then just kind of a snapshot of now that this is the last building for the campus of where we were in 2014 relative to where we are now. In 2014, we were committing to 700 units of affordable housing, and I'm pleased to say that when this building is built, we'll have provided 860 units of affordable housing, outpacing our initial projections and completing the overall master plan. Next slide.

And that concludes my presentation. I'll open it up to questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Bonnie.

Just a few questions, not too much. Can you please provide a breakdown of the number of affordable units being provided, the AMI levels they target, and the unit sizes?

BONNIE CAMPBELL: Yes. So, it's going to be about 50 percent twos and threes and then about 25 to 30 percent ones, and the balance will be studios. The AMIs for this building range between 60 percent

neighborhood and determined that it was not a good

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

use of their resources, and so they declined the
option.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you, Bonnie.

That will conclude the questioning.

There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 400, 401, and 402 for the Domino Site B proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

I just want to state for the record we've been joined by Council Member Salaam.

I will now open the public hearing on LU-407 and 408 for the 464 Ovington Court Rezoning, a private application seeking a zoning map amendment to change an existing R3-X zoning district to an R6-A zoning district within the Special Bay Ridge District and a related zoning text amendment to map a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area. The proposed action will facilitate the development of a new eight-story residential building with 40 dwelling units. In addition to the development site, there are also six additional sites within the rezoning area located in Council Member Brannan's District in Brooklyn.

an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

2.2

2.3

Applicant team, you may begin. I just ask
that you please restate your name and organization
for the record.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair Riley,

Council Member Salaam. Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel

PC for the applicant. We are pleased today to present
the 464 Ovington Avenue rezoning.

The next slide has a summary of the application. This would be to allow for a rezoning of two lots, inclusive of five additional portions of lots, from an existing R3X district to an R6A district within the Bay Ridge Special District. The application would also involve a zoning text amendment to allow for Mandatory Inclusionary Housing to apply to the project area. This would facilitate the construction of a new eight-story-plus cellar residential building with roughly 35,000 square feet, which would include 10 affordable units among 40 total units. This rezoning has had tremendous support from local organizations, including the Bay Ridge Center, Arab American Association, the Bay Ridge Community Development Center, and others.

The next slide is a summary of where the proposal stands right now. After meetings with local

units was increased from four to eight.

stakeholders, Community Board 10, and neighbors to the building, the building design was revised. So on the left, you can see the proposed building as originally presented. This included a building which massed on the lot line with the adjacent residential building. And per the revision, as has been reviewed by the Council Member, there is now a light well and a set-off for that building so that light and air can reach units on the easterly portion of the neighboring building. Also, the number of units, while remaining at 40, the number of two-bedroom

The next slide is a zoning map, which demonstrates the existing zoning, the R3X zoning district within the Bay Ridge Special District. The R3X is not particularly well-suited to the site or to the surrounding area. There is already existing R7B on the block, which allows for FARs approaching 4 FAR. There's existing R6B to the east of the site. And the adjacent parcel to the west of the site already has a building which is at a 3.7 approximate FAR, which is already at an R6A zoning density. So, including that building within the proposed zoning

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

district area allows for that building to become a
complying building.

The next slide shows the change in zoning on the zoning change map on the tax map, which shows the site itself highlighted in red. The site is roughly 9,200 square feet and is currently vacant. In addition, as was stated, there's an existing fourstory tall residential building to the west of the site, already built to close to 3.7 FAR, and to the east of the site, a three-story, 12-unit multifamily building.

The next slide shows the area map, which I think well identifies why this is particularly good rezoning for this area. We note that 5th Avenue sits within 150 feet of the site, a wide street at 80 feet wide per zoning; 4th Avenue to the west of the block is at 100 feet wide, also a wide street and a major thoroughfare; and the R subway stop lies within 200 feet of the property so excellent transportation options, good access to the site, existing building typology, all these things together make for what we think is a meritorious rezoning.

We just note the first page of pictures to the next slide shows the existing site to the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

middle, as well as the adjacent parcels, that tall
four- to five-story building, as well as the threestory multifamily building to the east of the site.

If you'd like to page through the photographs and go to the first page of the plans, I would have Jorge Fontan briefly discuss the architecture of the site, and then the applicant team is happy to answer any questions.

JORGE FONTAN: Okay. Go to the next slide, please. They're being partially cut off. Can you go to the floor plans? So, I'm just going to run through the building quickly. It's cut off. Okay. Okay, so one thing I want to discuss is the design changes. In communication with the Community Board, the adjacent building had a small light well, and we originally were designing to the property line. So after the Community Board meetings, yes, exactly, we added the light well adjacent to their light well to give light and air to their property, and then towards the back of our building, we offset five feet in order to unobstruct a window that they have, which is just inset from the property line on their side so we gave them that as well so that's why the building has the irregular shape.

2.2

2.3

If you go through, oh, here you see it.

So next slide, please. And then I'll just go, this is massing. You can go through these quickly. Here are the floor plans. Just on the floor plans, we have 11 studios, 21 one-bedrooms, eight two-bedrooms. The studios are 400 to 500 square feet. The one-bedrooms are 660 to 750 square feet. The two-bedrooms are 900 to 1,200 square feet.

You can keep going. That's the top floor.
We're providing 18 parking spaces. All the units have balconies. And if you keep going, go to the next one.
I think we have a rendering. And one more slide.

RICHARD LOBEL: I think that summarizes the presentation, and we're happy to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you, Richard. Thank you, Jorge.

Just a couple of questions. How did you arrive at the proposed new density of R6A district?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, Chair Riley, we discussed this with City Planning and agreed that given the adjacent building that's already built to R6A density, as well as the existence of R7B on the block, that would be appropriate to build at this

25 SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Thank you.

ERIC PALATNIK: I do.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Eric, you 3 may begin.

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you very much, Council Member. Thank you for your time.

Today, I'm in an application for a rezoning of the property that's in front of you at Nixon Court, which is at the end of Ocean Parkway, the intersection of the Bell Parkway, which is a small 3,500 square foot lot that's currently vacant, and we're requesting permission, if you go to the next slide, please, requesting permission, it's zoned R5, requesting to rezone it to an R7A/C2-4 zoning district. Next slide, please.

So, this slide shows you the proposed zoning designation and the existing. It's zoned R5 right now. Next slide, please.

We spent quite a bit of time meeting with the Community Board, and there were many concerns raised during that process, resulting in these seven items that we've agreed to, which reduce the size of the building to eight stories from the original proposal, which was nine, restricted the ground floor commercial uses so that there would be no objectionable uses, provide more parking spaces than

2 required, agree to not interrupt access to the Belt

3 Parkway, which we would not, enhancing sustainability

4 | features, provide open landscaping space, and that we

5 | were not proposing or working for the building next

6 door, and I'll show you that in a second. Next slide,

7 please.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

The next slide shows you what the proposal is. The right side of the screen shows you what's proposed. As you read down, you'll see it's proposed to be eight stories. It'll have seven parking spaces, 19 apartments. Four or six of those will be fully affordable under either MIH Option level 1 or 2. Next slide, please.

The site's location at the end of Ocean Parkway, right at the beginning of the Belt Parkway, across from Coney Island Hospital, makes it an ideal location for rezoning. Also, as you'll see in later pictures, it is surrounded by taller buildings. Next slide, please.

This slide was created to address concerns of the Community Board that the building next door was somehow going to benefit from the proposed rezoning. That's a taller existing building.

2.2

2.3

This slide demonstrates that they do not benefit at all. Next slide, please.

If you can skip ahead maybe two slides to the affordability options. There you go. This gives you a good indication of what the affordability will be. If we had 19 units at 25 percent at MIH Option 1, that would create four units. Go to the next slide.

If it was at Option 2, it would create six units. Go to the next slide.

It's a very interesting slide. That shows you how little affordable housing has been created in the area, so we're hoping you'd be supportive of the application for that reason. Next slide.

This gives you the unit mix and shows you how it would break down if it was Option level 1 or 2, demonstrating the breakdown between the affordable and the market rate and demonstrating that the vast majority of the apartments would be one-bedroom apartments with some studios and some two bedrooms. Next slide.

This slide is a good slide to show you that the proposed building, which is eight stories, will fit neatly within the context of the surrounding community. As you can see, we are surrounded by tall

allowed for a building that's contextual with what's

surrounding us. That's the as-built condition of the

24

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 47
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. There being
3	no other members of the public who wish to testify on
4	LUs 405 and 406 for 58 Nixon Court Rezoning, the
5	public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid
6	over.
7	That concludes today's business. I would
8	like to thank the members of the public, my
9	Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and
10	Council Staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for
11	participating in today's meeting.
12	This meeting is hereby adjourned. Thank
13	you. [GAVEL]
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 18, 2025