CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

October 18, 2023 Start: 10:08 a.m. Recess: 12:50 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Shahana K. Hanif

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Rita C. Joseph Shekar Krishnan Francisco P. Moya Pierina Ana Sanchez

Sandra Ung

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Manuel Castro
MOIA Commissioner

Tom Tortorici Executive Director of Legal and Support Initiative at MOIA

Masha Gindler
Executive Director for Asylum Application Help
Center at MOIA

Kelly Agnew-Barajas Director of Refugee Resettlement at Catholic Charities Immigration Services

Melissa Chua NYLAG

Lora Adams IARC

Pooja Asnani Sanctuary for Families Immigration Project

Rosie Wang Vera Institute of Justice

Susanna Saul Her Justice

Keighly Rector New York Chapter of American Immigration Lawyers

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Raul Rivera TLC Driver

Sharone Kaufman Legal Aid Society

Terry Lawson Co-Counsel New York

Marc Valinoti NMIC

Rex Chen Legal Services NYC

David Miranda Covenant House New York

Gina Starfield
The Door Legal Services

Sierra Kraft ICARE

Ernie Collette Immigration Law Project Mobilization for Justice

Hannah Tager Policy Manager at Win

Leslie Allen NYC Anti-Violence Project

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nuala O'Doherty-Naranjo Jackson Heights Imigrant Center

Antonia House
Take Root Justice

Jim Burke 34th Avenue Open Streets

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Immigration. If you wish to submit testimony, you may at testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Once again, that's testmony@council.nyc.gov. If you need any translation services, you may ask one of the sergeants in the room. [speaking Spanish] At this time, please silence all electronic devices. At no point during this hearing may anyone approach the dais. Chair Hanif, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Good morning and welcome to this morning's Immigration Committee

Oversight hearing regarding legal services for asylum-seekers in New York City. I'm Council Member

Shahana Hanif, Chair of the Committee on Immigration.

I want to thank everyone joining us today. Including my council colleagues— I know Council Member Moya is on the Zoom— representatives from the Administration and the public who are here in person, and those participating remotely. The importance of today's topic cannot be overstated. More than 100,000 asylum—seekers have to New York City over the last year. These people have traveled thousands of miles to our city because they want to work here to support

themselves and their families. However, the various
barriers to entering the workforce have left many
unstable to support themselves financially and depend
on the shelter system for housing. The pathway out
of the challenging situation our city is facing is an
effective legal services operation that helps new
arrivals quickly and successfully obtain asylum
status, temporary protective status, and work
permits. For many years, the City has facilitated
access to important legal services including
ActionNYC which provides free and safe legal help
through an incredible network of community-based
organizations. Since asylum-seekers began arriving
in large numbers last summer, I've been calling for
the City to dramatically scale-up our immigrant legal
service infrastructure. For about a year, this plea
had gone largely unanswered with no city funding
dedicated to this issue in FY23 and FY24 and little
operational support put in place. The consequences
to this lack of prioritization has been immense with
many of the earliest arrivals missing their one-year
asylum application filing deadlines, which could
relegate them to undocumented status. I've been
encouraged that in recent months the tides have

2	started to turn. In July, the City launched the
3	Asylum Application Help Center which has staffing
4	from immigration legal service providers, private law
5	firms, students, and volunteers. More recently, the
6	City received significant funding for immigrant legal
7	services from the state. Today, we look forward to
8	learning more about the services provided by the City
9	and how they've been able to support the immigrants
10	who need legal help. We also look forward to hearing
11	from the immigration legal service providers,
12	advocates and nonprofit partners who have been
13	guiding immigrant New Yorkers through challenging
14	legal processes, and often providing other support
15	including translation, physical space to conduct
16	work, and mental health resources. We look forward
17	to hearing from the Administration, advocates,
18	providers, and asylum-seekers to understand the
19	challenges, resources available, areas of
20	improvement, and how to better collaborate to ensure
21	that immigrants who come to New York City are not
22	forced to face the complex immigration legal process
23	on their own. We hope this hearing aids in focusing
24	efforts to ensure that legal services we establish
25	and enhance in response to the recent migrant influx

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. We will now hear testimony from the Administration.

25 We'll hear from Manuel Castro, Commissioner of the

2.2

2.3

Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, Tom Tortorici,
Executive Director for Legal and Support Initiatives
at MOIA, and Masha Gindler, Executive Director for
the Asylum Application Help Center. Before we begin,
I will administer the affirmation. Panelists, please
raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the
truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
before this committee and to respond honestly to
Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Good afternoon

Chair Hanif and members of the Immigration Committee.

Thank you for holding this hearing. As I have in the last year, I wanted to start by thanking the many thousands of city employees, contractors, nonprofit organizations, and of course, my team at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs for all their work in the last year responding to this humanitarian crisis.

Little did we know that about over a year ago when we started to welcome asylum-seekers to our city, we would still continue to welcome so many people to our city in the face of this crisis. They are truly

2	making miracles happen, and so I want to really thank
3	them for all the work that they do. They have truly
4	met the moment. They are working 24/7 to make sure
5	that people have a dignified welcome to our city.
6	And we are very much still at the height of this
7	crisis with the last couple of weeks welcoming record
8	numbers of asylum-seekers to our city. And I'm
9	joined here by two of my colleagues who I know have
10	been working 24/7 in making sure that asylum-seekers
11	and others arriving to our city have access to legal
12	representation. I am joined by Tom Tortorici,
13	Executive Director of Legal and Support Initiatives,
14	and Masha Gindler, Executive Director of the Asylum
15	Application Help Center at the Office of Asylum-
16	seekers Operations. So that we can get into our
17	questions, I've shortened my verbal testimony. I
18	will be submitting my much longer written testimony
19	for the committee to have. But here I wanted to
20	share a few highlights in summary of what we've done.
21	In response to this humanitarian crisis, New York
22	City continues to the lead the nation in its response
23	to this emergency with a coordinated multi-agency
24	effort that humanely meets the immediate needs of
25	newly-arrived immigrants. Legal assistance is very

2	much one of the most critical needs facing this
3	community. The day asylum-seekers enter the country,
4	the clock starts ticking. Asylum becomes much harder
5	to obtain a year after entry as the Chair mentioned.
6	The federal guarantee of legal representation
7	regardless of ability to pay, that is a right for
8	those facing criminal charges, does not a apply for
9	individuals and families facing deportation
10	proceedings. Unfortunately, in the eyes of the
11	Federal Government, this does not matter. If an
12	asylum-seekers lacks the legal resources to put
13	claims on paper and present them to an immigration
14	judge, or a USCIS officer. That's why New York City
15	has invested more than \$65 million dollars annually
16	into immigration legal services, recognizing the
17	immense need of our immigrant communities and
18	families, including our newly-arrived immigrants.
19	Legal services can help immigrant New Yorkers
20	stabilize their immigration status, access jobs,
21	health insurance, education, ultimately benefitting
22	our city and our country as a whole. And as you
23	know, I have been a long-time advocate for legal
24	services and for these kinds of supports of our
25	community Because of these strategic investments

2	and the hard work of dedicated practitioners,
3	nonprofit immigration legal service providers, New
4	York City has the most robust immigration legal
5	services in the nation. Even still, the field did
6	not and does not currently have the capacity to fully
7	address the urgent needs of the tens of thousands of
8	asylum-seekers who have arrived in our city during
9	this crisis. That is why Mayor Adams has left no
10	stone unturned to ensure that these new New Yorkers
11	get the legal support necessary to thrive. From the
12	first bus arrivals last year, for instance, city-
13	funded immigration legal service providers show
14	thousands of newly-arrived asylum-seekers how to
15	navigate the system. The federal system process is
16	complex and often confusing and the Federal
17	Government was putting the wrong addresses on
18	people's forms and assigning them the wrong courts.
19	The orientation sessions and one-on-one meetings
20	helped people comply with ICE check-in requirements,
21	attend immigration court hearings, change their
22	addresses and better understand their case and
23	overall process. Due to the influx of newly-arrived
24	immigrants and support our community-based partners
25	launched we launched the Asylum Application Help

2	Center, which is helping asylum-seekers complete and
3	file their applications. This innovative and first
4	of its kind model leverages partnerships with
5	experienced immigration attorneys, lawyers,
6	paralegals, city employees, and most recently
7	students from academic institutions to assist asylum-
8	seekers file their application and putting them one
9	step closer to work authorization. Our efforts with
10	the help center have been so successful that the New
11	York State government seeing the success of our
12	operations recently announced that they would be
13	allocated additional and significant funds to bolster
14	the City's efforts to provide legal services and
15	expand the application center's model to help
16	Venezuelans and others apply to temporary protective
17	status or TPS. Additionally, the New York City
18	Department of Social Services and the New York City
19	Health + Hospitals staff recently conducted a
20	comprehensive survey to identify asylum-seekers who
21	are eligible for work authorization. Our assessment
22	is ongoing and we will share an update upon
23	completion in the next few weeks. This work is
24	incredibly important because it will allow us to
25	connect people to the Federal Government work

2	authorization clinic or work authorization
3	appointments at the Asylum Application Help Center.
4	Due to lack of information shared with humanitarian
5	parolees at the border and barriers to submitting the
6	work permit application, humanitarian parolees in
7	shelter might easily have missed out on the
8	opportunity to immediately apply for work
9	authorization. The City in partnership with federal
10	and state government and nonprofit providers
11	scheduled eligible individuals for legal assistance
12	clinics helping more than 1,700 humanitarian parolees
13	apply for work authorization. The Administration
14	also allocated five million in new funding for
15	Asylum-seeker Legal Assistance Network, or ASLAN,
16	expanding access to immigration legal information,
17	orientation, individual consultation, brief advice,
18	and application assistance for asylum-seekers
19	citywide. Through this initiative services are
20	provided at community-based legal service locations
21	citywide and remotely. And the City's immigration
22	legal hotline has expanded to handle higher call
23	volumes and lower [sic] call durations which had been
24	a challenge throughout this humanitarian crisis.
25	Importantly, this effort will help build the City's

long-term human capacity to provide immigration legal
services which I know is of special inters to the
Council and to advocates. Throughout a partnership
with CUNY, CUNY law student attorneys under the
supervision of their professors start their placement
this week at trusted community-based organizations
called Resource Navigation Centers, and our legal
partners are ramping up their operations to conduct
application assistance events and supplement the
existing service array. Now, I want to speak to the
advocacy of our office and the Administration on
these important matters. As we have said time and
time again, New York City cannot do this alone.
Asylum-seekers are facing bureaucratic and procedural
hurdles imposed by the Federal Government that limits
their success and wellbeing of their families. I have
repeatedly joined Mayor Adams and advocates in a
national call to for our Federal Government to
provide much-needed support and use every tool at
their disposal to create safe and orderly and humane
pathways to stability, both for those who are already
here, as well as our newly-arrived immigrants. Since
the last time I testified before you, the Federal
Government has heeded some of our calls in a few key

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

areas. We sent a letter to the Department of Homeland Security signed by over 30 U.S. mayors in early September calling for expanded access to TPS protections for immigration from 11 countries. far, two of those countries, Venezuela and Cameroon, were designated for TPS in the weeks that followed. And we continue to advocate for the rest of the countries that must and should be included in these protections. On August 31st I joined Mayor Adams and dozens of asylum-seekers, advocates, and elected officials to call on the Federal Government to expedite the processing of worth authorization. and every time, as I shared before, at these council hearings, when I meet with asylum-seekers the first question asked without fail is "How can I get to work?" Asylum-seekers repeatedly tell me they do not want anything for free. They do not want handouts. They want to get to work to be able to provide for themselves, their families and contribute back to our city. a few weeks ago after this rally, the Federal Government hosted expedited work authorization clinics with many walking out the door with clear information of when they could expect a work permit in hand. As far as I know, this is the first time

that the Federal Government has taken on this role.
So we continue to call on the Federal Government to
do their part by surging resources and expanding
access to expedited work permits. It's likely none
of these development would have happened without our
continued advocacy, not just of Mayor Adams, myself,
and our Administration, but of all the advocates,
some of which will testify today. New York City will
continue to lead the way. Cities around the world
look to our leadership, this leadership driven by our
own values and personal experiences. As I shared a
few times before, I myself came to the City as a
child migrant, and would have wished I had had the
support that we are now able to provide newly arrived
immigrants when we arrive. You have my commitment
that I will never stop advocating for the wellbeing
of asylum-seekers and of all immigrant New Yorkers.
So with that, I thank you for listening to my
remarks, and I look forward to your questions.
CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. I'd like

to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council

Member De La Rosa. Thank you, Commissioner Castro

and Tom and Masha for being here, and deeply

appreciate your work and the advocacy push for TPS

2.2

designation, re-designation. And really, the question that we want to dig into is how can asylum-seekers get to work, and that's why we're really excited to dive into the legal services operation, and it's been really great to learn about some of the specifics, but I'd like to just begin by-- I don't know if any others are testifying.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: No.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay, great. I'm going to dive right into just numbers, if you could share some data. With the President's recent expansion of TPS to Venezuelans, there's a clear pathway for many asylum-seekers to obtain work permits. The President has taken this first step of hopefully many to help our newest neighbors, and we need to make sure the City follows through on our responsibilities to ensure these folks can get on the path to economic independence. Can you share—— I know that you mentioned the assessment will be shared with the Council, but is there any preliminary numbers you can share with us about how many TPS applications the City has helped file?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yes. I'll hand that other to Masha to respond, but beforehand, I do

2 3

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

So, Masha?

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

want to again shout out Masha and the rest of the Application Assistance Help Center, and the OASA [sic] team for all the incredible work. As I said, people are making miracle happen throughout the City and especially with this help center. I think it's been a success, and thanks to really the efforts of Masha and the team working 24/7 to figure this out. As I said, this is an innovative approach, but something that we had to do in order to ramp up support for our newly-arrived immigrant community.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yes, happy to take that question. Thank you. So we-- when TPS for Venezuelans was announced on October 3rd, the first day we could file, we immediately got to work to schedule over 600 clients for TPS appointments. As of today, about half of those have been filed. The reason that is, is because there's a lot of paperwork that has to go through with it, so a lot of these clients have return appointments coming their way that they're going to come back with the requisite paperwork and we'll be able to file at that time.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And do they all come back to the Help Center? Could you describe the process that folks are expected to undergo?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah, of course. So if they get an appointment at the Application Help Center, we'll work with them to help fill out the application and let them know what paperwork if any is still required. Then they'll get a follow-up appointment to come back as soon as we have one available to be able to actually submit with us. And we'll also do work to help them get some of that paperwork, because some of it can be tricky for them to even figure out how to receive.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then could you share how many people are at the Help Center supporting the 600 TPS potential recipients, and is 600-- do you consider that a large number, small number? Could you share what the timeframe of how many-- how many folks you expected or had a goal of and expect to complete?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah, for sure. So when we started we were doing asylum applications. Those are longer forms. To this date we did over 5,600. So at a rate of about 75 per day.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

With TPS we're learning a little bit as we go about
unique challenges that have to go with it. Our goal
is to do a lot more than 600, but we're still
learning even though the form is on some level
simpler, how much extra time the paperwork collection
takes. In terms of the productivity of the center,
we have around 75 application assistants that work
directly with clients and helping filling out the
forms, and they're managed by of a crew of around 20
immigration attorneys that review their work and mail
their work.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, 75-- you call them assistants?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Application assistants.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Application assistants-- and then 20 attorney supervisors.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: okay, great. And then what's the outreach that the City is doing to ensure those who are eligible and are aware and know how to file/

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, the assessment that Commissioner Castro talked about will

give us a lot of the information about who is eligible and who we are able to call back and schedule appointments at the center.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Understood. And there was initial confusion about the Administration's estimate on how many Venezuelan New Yorkers are eligible. Could you provide an updated estimate?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Our number hasn't changed about there being 15,000 eligible

Venezuelans. I think where there was confusion was about how many total Venezuelans were in our care.

At the moment, we're still estimating 15,000, but we do want to reserve the right to have the assessment complete and then get back to you a final number.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And so going back to the 600 scheduled for appointments, is that number like a targeted goal that you all had, or could you describe how you're assessing reaching the 15,000 who are eligible?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah, so I think that we-- we had been booked throughout all of October when TPS was announced, and so we could not have additional clients come in, because we were-- we fully book our appointments as much as possible. So

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

the first week where we can kind of increase the
amount of TPS appointments we're doing would be that
first full week in November, and at the same time, as
I'm sure we'll talk about later in the hearing, we're
also plan to opening satellites that can increase the
volume of TPS we do. Our goal is to identify,
screen, and schedule appointments for all Venezuelans
that are eligible in our care by the end of the year.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay. And does that mean that you may add-- you might add more application assistants or?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: You'll staff up? Are these folks on-staff? Like, could you describe the pay structure or like the staffing?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah. So we knew we had to move quickly. We leveraged legal temp contract that the Law Department already had, and so we're hiring both the application assistants and the supervising attorneys through that temp contract.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay. And then how many asylum-seekers does the Administration estimate are eligible for humanitarian parole?

2.2

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: I do not have that number handy, but I can get back to you with that number.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And is the City doing any outreach to ensure these folks are aware that they can immediately apply for work authorization?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yes. So, the assessment mentioned, part of that assessment was to identify those individuals. When we had the federal clinic come in and we filed over 1,600 work authorizations with that project, that was through our assessment, through us identifying who's eligible.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Great. And then going back to the total asylum applications filed, thanks for sharing the 5,600 number. How many have been successful?

excited to answer this question because it means—depends on what you mean by successful, and I promise I'll answer either way. So, they're successful in the sense that did the federal government accept this application, because applications get rejected for a multitude of reasons, even small procedural reasons.

Meaning that if your application is rejected, your
clock to get work authorization hasn't started. You
still have to resubmit. And so on that level of
success, I believe we are very successful. We have
gotten great feedback from the federal government
about the quality of our applications. We have very
few rejections. When we do have rejections, we fix
them, and often the rejection is actually not the
fault of the center, but a misunderstanding from the
federal government that we clarify. So, on that
level our applications are submitted accurately, and
the 56,000 [sic] people that have submitted have had
their work authorization clock start, and we're going
to be able to call them back in a [inaudible] days
and do the work authorization. Now, the other part
of successful that I'm sure you mean is how many of
them are going to get asylum, and the truth is that
is answer we won't know for many years, because these
applications won't' be adjudicated for years to come.
So we take measures to ensure quality, like making
sure every application's reviewed at least three
times, at least twice by immigration attorneys, but
ultimately what will happen will depend a lot on

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	things	in	the	years	to	come,	including	the	political
3	climate		So v	ve']]]	lear	n toge	ether.		

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: I totally understand that, and the tedious nature and the year's long nature of the application process. Are you able to share how many work permits the City has filed?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah, so with work permits, at the clinic we have file 444, because we're only able to do humanitarian-based parole of the clients that we already had coming in, and then the federal— with the federal push combined we did an additional 1,700.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then how many have been successful?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So we're still waiting on those, too, and we really hope the Federal Government adjudicates those quickly so that we can learn lessons about exactly that.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: That's great. And then are the application assistants the same group of folks who are helping with the-- with all kinds of applications?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yes, our application assistants are trained to do asylum

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

applications, work authorization, TPS, motions to
change address, to change venue, and fee waivers.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Great. And then does the City have a goal set on how many TPS applications, asylum applications, and work authorization applications it aims to file on a monthly basis?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, our goal when we started this operation in the late summer was to get through 600 asylum applications. This was before we knew that TPS was going to happen.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Could you repeat the timeframe again?

when we first started this in July, we wanted to get through 6,000. We're on track to exceed that number for asylum applications, and with the advent of TPS, we're kind of going through a recalculation now to understand what is a moon-shot goal for us to do for both asylum and TPS, because we don't intend on stopping asylum, even though we want to ramp up TPS.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then going back to-- just to clarify on the work permit filing. You

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

said that around 400 were done through the clinic and then the federal support you completed 1,000.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: 1,700.

1,700, okay, great. CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay, this was very thorough. I really appreciate these numbers, and looking forward to just staying engaged and receiving regular updates about the applications filled. So I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Joseph. Moving onto the 30/60-day rule. This Council has been clear in a previous hearing with the General Welfare, that we are strongly opposed to the Mayor's cruel and counterintuitive polices to evict our newest New Yorkers from shelters. The 30-day shelter limit for single adults, and 60-day shelter limit for families is causing operational chaos and pushing vulnerable people out onto the streets. It also makes it harder to apply for asylum, TPS, and work permits due to challenges related to changing addresses and the instability caused by eviction. How is the City providing assistance with change of addresses for the various applications for folks who are within the 30/60-day vacate period?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, before-- if

you don't mind, Chair, I wanted to give the opportunity for Tom Tortorici to talk about our general work with TPS applicants, especially our Haitian Response Initiative which we're very proud of. This is work that's happening in parallel with the Help Center, and I think it's critical to say a few things about that, because I do want to highlight that it-- you know, this work has been ongoing in particular with communities like Haitian community. and again, we are using this as an opportunity to learn more about how to conduct this work at-scale in the case we do-- are successful in getting TPS for other communities. Could you share a little?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TORTORICI: Thank you, Commissioner. Hi, Chair Hanif.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Hi.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TORTORICI: Good to see you. So, as Commissioner mentioned, the City has really taken an innovative approach in the Application Help Center, filing high numbers of applications using— not working in the traditional way with immigration legal nonprofits under contract, and so MOIA, as always, leads our community-rooted

2	immigration legal services work, and the
3	Administration invested \$5 million dollars in that
4	with the Asylum-seeker Legal Assistance Network. And
5	so the TPS asylum application filing continues to
6	happen under that program, as well as information and
7	education around these forms of relief, full
8	screenings, and referrals to the appropriate legal
9	service provider. And so the Haitian Response
10	Initiative as well has been working hard to file TPS
11	applications for Haitians under the new re-
12	designation, and so that work continues. As
13	Commissioner Castro mentioned, the balance of
14	continuing to invest in community-rooted immigration
15	legal services with our nonprofit partners together
16	with the high-volume, high-quality operation
17	established in the Application Help Center I think
18	really does show that the Administration is taking
19	innovative approaches to addressing this issue while

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: That's helpful to know, and now keeping that in mind, how does that—how does that pair with the 30/60-day rule? How are the legal services impacted by these directives?

also investing in the longer term.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO:

I'll let both Tom

and Masha speak to that, because we-- I think both the Help Center and our ASLAN [sic] partners do a lot of great work. With respect to address changes and supporting these populations, I also want to add that we also have to consult with our H+H partners. My understanding is that a lot of this work is also happening at the Arrival Center and elsewhere in the system. So both of the work that is happening, you know, with ASLAN and the Help Center is complemented in addition to what's going on with H+H, but I'll have to consult with our colleagues there. Tom, do you want to just-- or Masha, you want to start?

for-- just to answer your specific question about whether we do change of address, change of venue forms, we absolutely do. So anyone that filed with us that has changed address can come and we'll file a change of address form for them. We also, when we check out a client at the end of their mailing period counsel them on the importance of them also knowing to proactively ask for them to have their address change, because that's piece of education that sometime is important. They can be forgiven for that

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER:

2.2

2.3

not knowing that's a key step for them to take. And we provide them with the form and concrete instructions about how to do that in the event that they, you know, move out of the city or out of the state, because some of these folks are moving out and we want to make sure they still have the advantage of the application we did for them. So we definitely do that counseling. I also want to take this moment to say that if it was possible for us to file these online where people can receive their notices through email the way most of us tend to communicate these days, a lot of these problems would go away. And I think all of us can speak to receiving mail via email more likely than the physical addresses.

it over to Tom, this is— thank you for the question, because this is— has been a special concern of ours since the beginning. As you know, people were arriving to New York City who had other intended destinations, and they were arriving here, and so the first work that we began doing with asylum—seekers is changing of addresses, but no we're seeing asylum—seekers come from other parts of the country. seems like there's a network of support or even other

1
_

cities and localities putting people on planes or,
you know, on their way here, and so that creates more
of an issue, and so this is one of our pieces of
advocacy with the Federal Government to approve how
we, you know, go about this.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, just to build on,
Masha, your response, is there separate data that is
cognizant of folks who are reaching their 30-day cap
or the 60-day cap? Are they being prioritized for
these appointments? How are you making-- how are you
distinguishing these clients?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: so, individuals that have been given a 30 or 60-day notice are offered an appointment at our clinic to come in and do either, you know, TPS or asylum.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And that what address are they putting on their application?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, anecdotally, a lot of them have an idea about where they're going to be, and so we put that address about where they're moving to. In some instances we continue with the shelter they're at, knowing that their mail will be held for 30 days at that emergency site, and we're looking into options about how we can

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

be sure to connect individuals with federal mail in a
legal way.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So right now that part doesn't exist? That connecting to the more substantive address, more reliable address.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right,

because there's some laws that determine us not being

able to move mail once it's arrived at the location

it was supposed to arrive. I believe there's

consents we're looking into to be able to have

clients consent to us moving their mail from the

shelter to wherever they might be, but at the moment,

we're looking into it and exploring that option.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, just to get this clarified-- right now, for those who are reaching their 30-day, 60-day cap, they can put the address of the shelter they're about to exit.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Because they have a 30-day window in being able to use that address, but then what happens after?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, if they- afterwards, they're able to come back-- if they
were to move to a different location, they're able to

2.2

come back to us, let us know where they are now receiving mail, and we'll do a change of address form for them.

this instruction in a written notice? Is it verbally communicated with folks? How is-- I mean, this seems to-- this seems-- this adds to the reason why I feel that the 30/60-day directives are harmful and creates deeper precarity for asylum-seekers. I would love to know the extent to which you all are communicating this so that folks understand just procedurally what to expect.

that with the counseling we do at the end of our appointments, folks understand that they need to let the Federal Government know where they live or else they will miss important mail. I'm confident that all of our clients can come back to us physically and get that service, and we'll change their address wherever they now reside. And I think that the next area for us to work through is for mail that is clearly from the Federal Government that's being held more than 30-- you know, that would be there at the 30-day mark at these shelters, and we're very

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

interested in finding legal ways	for us to you
know, we're able to see who it's	addressed to, to
connect them to that individual.	I think that we
will be able to circle back very	soon on what the
solution would be.	

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: I'm very alarmed by this process, as I'm sure folks in the room are too.

Do you acknowledge that the policy of evicting people from shelter makes asylum, TPS, work permit filings more difficult?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: So, I just want to give an opportunity for Tom to--

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing] Could you just answer this question then we'd love to hear from Tom.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: So, again, I think, you know, we're working through the challenges as Masha, you know, explained. I think this is why we're setting up an infrastructure to be able to support asylum-seekers as much as possible, which is what our role is. About half of the people who are now returning, you know, we'll be able to provide their next destination. Those who are going back to

2.2

1

our arrival center to apply for new placement will be 3 able to put their new addresses onto those forms.

4

5

6

7

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, just to clarify again, nothing that -- nothing physical is being distributed to inform applicants of the procedural impacts of precarious address changes?

8

9

10

11

12

13

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: You're right, and the answer that point, and I'm happy to now, we do give physical documentation about what the change of address form is, why it's important to fill it out and how to fill out, along with a copy of the form. So that is part of the package that our clients leave with.

14

15

16

17

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay. I'd like to turn it over to my colleagues for questions. Council Member De La Rosa.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: thank you, Chair Hanif. Hello, Commissioner and team. you for being here today. I have a question about the staffing, and I know that Chair Hanif asked a little bit about the processing of the asylum applications and how much staff is there. Your office, like every other agency in the City is going to be subject to this 15 percent PEG. Do you foresee

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

and impact in your staffing levels in terms of the
staff you need in order to continue to serve asylum-
seekers.

at the Help Center will not be impacted by the PEG, as it's not funded through the-- the funding that will be impacted by the PEG. But let me hand it over to Masha to explain that a little further.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah, we're currently funded with a generous grant from the state funds, and that is not subject to PEGs.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay. So all the staff that is now processing asylum applications, TPS applications as well, it's--

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: [interposing]
Yes, exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: funded through the state? Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: That's great news. And then with the 30 and 60 day rule, one of the questions that I have is would this change for 30-days? Will you foresee a processing delay, that is the staff that is processing or re-processing

asylum-seekers back into the system. So if a family is- you know, the 30 days hits and they have to leave the shelter. They have to reprocess back in if they have nowhere to go. Are you foreseeing a delay in processing time, given that the case load is going to

go up because of the reprocessing I'm imagining?

so. I can't speak to the processing time at the Arrival Center run by Health + Hospitals. I don't foresee that changing our processing time at the Asylum and Application Help Center. Our capacities will still stay the same.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay. And in terms of the legal services that you're providing, when a person goes to the Roosevelt Hotel, are you kind of screening them for what legal services they need?

a backward-looking assessment with all the individuals currently in our care, and we're standing up exactly that, a screening service at the Arrival Center to immediately be able to schedueld people for the appropriate appointment.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Great. Car you repeat which agencies are kind of leading the work around legal services? So you said H+H?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: No, what I was talking about was the Arrival Center, just the Arrival Center itself.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Processing families coming in and finding shelter is run by Health + Hospitals.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: And what other agencies are involved in the legal services piece for asylum-seekers?

to the Help Center, you know, we had to set up an infrastructure so that it's a dedicated space to provide this pro-se model of assistance, and like I said before, it was important to set up a structure like this in large part because then people have a place to come back to, right, for changes of addresses and so on. But what I was referring to earlier with Tom in the ASLAN network, the Asylum-seekers Legal Assistance Network, I think that is also an effort to set up an infrastructure and suppor

Sure.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

those organization, community-based legal providers doing really critical work on the ground where asylum-seekers can connect to have an onoign relationship with a community and developing the availability of legal providers with our partnership with CUNY. Do you want to talk about it, Tom?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TORTORICI:

Thanks, Commissioner. I think it's important to note that the City every year invests \$65 million dollars in immigration legal services citywide, and those nonprofits that have been carrying out that work for years continue to serve both asylum-seekers and longresiding New Yorkers. and so individuals that are not served at the Help Center may very well be and are being served by our partners throughout the field at community-based organizations where folks have the linguist cultural competency to provide effective service in the neighborhood in which folks live, as well as together with citywide immigration legal service providers that work in various community sites and institutions such as hospitals, libraries, and schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay, I quess I just wanted to-- sorry, Chair. Just to go over,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 but I just wanted to understand. So I know that

3 | there's the Office of Asylum-seeker Operations.

4 There's the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs.

5 There's Health + Hospitals. Are there any other

6 mayoral agencies or school or offices that are

7 involved, and then what's the coordination between

8 all of these entities. That was my question.

great question. So, the Asylum Application Help
Center is housed under the Office of Asylum-Seeker
Operations. Masha and her team run that. MOIA
oversees contracts under ActionNYC, the Haitian
Response Initiative, the Asylum-seeker Legal
Assistance Network; it's approximately \$15 million in
programming. The largest holder of immigration legal
service contracts is HRA, and Immigrant Opportunities
Initiative is administered by the Office of Civil
Justice within HRA.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: And again, you know, this is a mix. We had to figure out a mix of approaches here, right, because of the current crisis, but I continue to emphasize the importance of building up the infrastructure. Again, because things continue to change. TPS, we're glad that it

2	

_

ز

Z J

happened, but it might continue-- things might continue to change if other communities are ported [sic] at DPS and we see other policy changes at the federal level.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, chair. Thank you. Good morning, Commissioner, always good to see you. At the Asylum Application Help Center, how many centers do you have altogether? Is it spread out through the City or is it one location?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right now, we are one location in Midtown and we're looking to opening up satellites very quickly to handle the influx of TPS applications.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How long does it take for someone to get an appointment?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: It depends how they were to get an appointment. Right now, the best way to get an appointment is talk to shelter staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And what if you're not in a shelter, how does that work?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right now, we are only serving individuals that are in shelter.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 45
2	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So how many have
3	you processed so far?
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: 5,600.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And what's the
6	goal? Because I know for TPS there's about 500,000
7	eligible Venezuelans for TPS, and others.
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right, so
9	when we first started, we were focusing on asylum,
10	our goal was to do 6,000 asylum applications. We
11	still want to hit that goal. I think we'll surpass
12	that. Now that TPS is on the horizon, we are our
13	goal is to identify, screen, and make appointments
14	for every eligible Venezuelan in our care by the end
15	of the year.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Are they allowed
17	to walk in for appointments?
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Walk-in
19	appointments are only available for people that want
20	to file for asylum that had their one-year coming up.
21	We don't currently have walk-in appointments for
22	other resources.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: This is just for

24 current TPS holders?

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: No, this is just to-- basically, if you had-- if you're coming up

4 on your one-year of being in the country-- so you're

5 at risk of being ineligible for asylum-- we will

6 accept you as a walk-in to walk through your-- both

7 asylum and TPS and work authorization options, but

8 that is the only group for whom walk-ins are

9 available right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: What information does individuals have to give when they make an appointment?

they make an appointment, we will ask for their name and contact information. We ask for what language they speak so we can be prepared, and we ask for their address to verify that they are living in shelter. They can also provide their G number in lieu of that, but we just need some confirmation that they're currently living in shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Are you prioritizing any group over the other?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: We started prioritizing families with children. When we first launched we called all the families with children in

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yes. Yes. I mean I'll take every opportunity to say, if the federal government allows us to do this online via email, then that problem would be solved. In the meantime, all of our clients, if they move can come

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

back to the center as a walk-in and get a change of address or change of venue form done for them so that they can receive mail at wherever they currently reside at that point.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So every 30 days or every 60 days they must come see you. Well, just make it make sense for me. That's what I'm trying to figure it out here.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: I understand the challenge. We're trying-- it's important for us that these folks get their mail, because what is our work for if they don't? I agree. So the steps that we're taking. We're holding mail at the shelters currently for 30 days after someone leaves. Two, we are doing change of address, change of motion forms. Three, we're giving detailed instructions about how to fill out these forms, and examples of these forms to every client that checks out with us, because you know, some folks are success stories that are leaving shelter of their own ability but they still need to receive their mail. They just didn't know how to do this, either before the 30-day/60-day shelters. we're continuing to advocate for the ability for us to file online so that individuals can get their

1	
_	

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

notices from the Federal Government online like we all get our notices from all, you know, interactions we have with government.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Chair, can I ask one more question? And what are some of the challenges you're facing at these centers right now?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, there's a couple of challenges, of course. One is, you know, we want to make sure that we're scheduling in such a way that there's not long wait periods in the waiting room, but that every application assistant is always busy. But these folks are coming from all over the city and so it's hard to track that perfectly. So we're always thinking about ways to improve that. The second challenge we're having is this is very hard work. So especially for asylum applications. Our staff are hearing really hard stories day-in and The clients are sharing really hard stories day-out. day-in and day-out. We have a mental health clinician on site to deal with most of--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: [interposing] That was going to be my next question, okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: And I think that helps, of course, but what I'm always wondering

2	is, you know, how long can people hear these stories?
3	How long can people stay motivated? We have an
4	incredible staff right now. I don't know how we got
5	so lucky, but their mental health is important,
6	obviously, to us.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How many staffers
8	do you have?
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: We have
10	around 75 asylum application assistants at any given
11	day, and around 20 immigration attorneys at any given
12	day.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How many clients
14	do you see per day?
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: We see we
16	book 150 appointments. Sometimes that represents one
17	client. Sometimes it represent a family, so
18	effectively, three, four clients. And we so in

client. Sometimes it represent a family, so effectively, three, four clients. And we-- so in terms of the number of humans that come through our hallways day-in and day-out, probably 200.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Masha, can you speak about language access? I know I'm not supposed to be asking the questions, but I'm--

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing]

Absolutely.

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 52
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER:
3	interpretation [sic] for. And so now we've learned
4	that we have to really let them know that they can
5	tell us what language they prefer and we'll find it.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: their dialect
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Correct, correct,
9	correct. So how many languages do you have on-site
10	since you wanted to ask the question? How many
11	languages do you have on-site?
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, you
13	know, any given day probably it varies up to 10. We
14	have never had a client whose language we could not
15	accommodate so far.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: What's the
17	dominant language you get?
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Spanish,
19	Haitian, Creole were the tops ones. We had a moment
20	where we had a lot of Hungarians. Wolof is very
21	popular.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: That's from
23	Senegal. That's West Africa.
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah. French

is popular, too. But then there's a lot of dialects

Т	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 53
2	from West Africa that we are now accommodating. And
3	Venezuelan sign language was on the ones we
4	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: [interposing] Yeah,
5	Venezuelan sign language.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Sign language,
7	very good.
8	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Specific to
9	Venezuela, right? Yes.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you so
11	much, Chair. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. So I know
13	during my colleagues' questioning we learned that
14	folks not in shelters can't get appointments.
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Right. So
16	right now our clients we're focusing on those that
17	are in our care.
18	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay. And so then
19	everyone else still has access to ActionNYC.
20	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Could you talk a
22	little bit more about
23	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: [interposing] ASLAN.
24	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: renewed outreach and
25	community engagement about the agency's services?

2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TORTORICI: Sure. So,
3	the broader field of immigration legal service
4	providers are serving newly-arrived asylum-seekers
5	both in shelter and not in shelter. We have invested
6	as part of ASLAN in the ActionNYC hotline to expand
7	its ability to receive higher call volumes. They've
8	received more than 40 percent increase in call volume
9	since before the influx of newly-arrived asylum-
10	seekers and longer call times so they can help people
11	talk through their paperwork and orient them to their
12	case. And so we promote the ActionNYC hotline
13	through the shelter system and through our community-
14	based organizations and immigrant rights workshops
15	and other presentations, also online and through
16	social media. We also created fliers for the Asylum-
17	Seekers Legal Assistance Network listing the various
18	points of entry. What Commissioner Castro and MOIA
19	have done really is establish a broad set of points
20	of entry because folks are distributed all around the
21	City and capacity is a challenge as well among our
22	providers, and so trying to ensure that folks can
23	access not only application assistance, but also

self-help information so that they can take control

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

of their own case where legal assistance isn't available.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you, Tom. I'm really grateful, Masha, that you're here, your presence is greatly appreciated. The-- we've been looking forward to having OASO [sic] present and so because you're here I'd like to ask some broader questions, because we've been waiting for the last six months to get to know OASO. So could you describe what the work of the office has entailed since its creation in March?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Yeah. So, like during many citywide emergencies, an office was stood up to help coordinate efforts across the city to address challenges faced by, you know, the city during this crisis. So OASO does just that. They coordinate for— across agencies to address housing, schooling, legal service help, other types of help, assistances to ensure that all the agencies are working together to address the crisis.

 $\label{eq:chair_end} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON HANIF:} \quad \mbox{And specifically the} \\ \mbox{asylum-seeker influx.}$

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: Exactly. Could you describe the staffing structure?

25

2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: I can talk
3	about my unit, because that's the one I'm most
4	familiar with. I know that the broader OASO team is
5	currently hiring so it'd be best for me to circle
6	back with you will all the positions that they
7	currently have filled and which ones are not yet
8	filled. But as you know for us, we have our crew of
9	75 application assistants and 20 immigration
10	attorneys.
11	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then how many
12	units? Could you give just a skeletal sketch of the
13	agency?
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: I can't at
15	this time, but I'll I just don't want to misspeak,
16	but I'll circle back.
17	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then just to
18	clarify on the function or the differences between
19	OASO and MOIA, could you walk us through that?
20	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, as Masha
21	explained, OASO was set up to address this specific
22	crisis with asylum-seekers arriving to our city. We
23	work in a very highly collaborative manner. For

instance, MOIA at the outset had anywhere between 14

and 15 operational staff at the Help Center to help

2.2

2.3

establish it. As OASO grows, they've taken over more of a-- of that operational role, and really that's what MOIA is set up to do. You know, we deploy our staff wherever we are needed, and then with instances such as these where the crisis of great magnitude, an office is set up to manage these ongoing efforts. But for instance, with respect to language access which is a special interest of MOIA's-- we have oversight over language access citywide. This was something that we wanted to pay special attention to at the Help Center and elsewhere where OASO's doing their great work. So we work in a very highly collaborative manner, but the operations part of this crisis is being managed by OASO.

just add, if I may, that there's-- you know, the work you guys do is for all the immigrants that are currently in our city and that still need resources even before this influx of migration, because there's still humans that need work and you guys do that dayin and day-out, and we just have a special focus on the crisis element of the asylum-seekers influx right now.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO:

Thank you, Masha.

_	۷		

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

You know, this is something we discuss with the committee often, and it's something to keep to reminding us of that prior to this wave of asylumseekers we've had in our city, hundreds of thousands of undocumented immigrants, for instance, all of whom need support and advocacy. And so that work continues and is something that I pay special care to because I hear from them when I visit their communities. They're often asking us, well, what about us, right? Why just a new arrival -- I'm sure you all hear it in your districts. So our office is working through those challenges that we often hear form longer term migrants, and so we set up ASLAN, and I continue to highlight ASLAN because this work needs to continue to happen. The building out the infrastructure in the field with our nonprofit partners and now with CUNY so that we're also looking at the medium to long-term work that we're going to have to do in the coming years.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. And

Masha, I understand from your response that there are
several units and still in progress of this office

being built out. How do you see the-- how do you see
the office's relationship to the Council?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

goodness. I don't know how to answer that. I know I studied hard to be here today, and that is all I can probably say.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: That's great, because we're hoping that a commitment could be made for OASO to join our hearings in the Immigration Committee, and we've also been figuring out if this committee has oversight over OASO. We don't want it to be a pseudo office that exists that isn't coming before the council, and so it is really meaningful to us that you are here. I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Krishnan. I want to turn to some questions about Commissioner Castro, your recent trip to Central South America. On October 3rd, Mayor Adams took a partially tax payerfunded trip through several countries in Central and South America in an attempt to discourage asylumseekers from coming to New York City. And although fact-finding missions have a time and place, New Yorkers really needed their mayor here helping our city coordinate a response to new arrivals.

2 Commissioner, could you share what the trip

3 accomplished for New Yorkers? Will there be a report

4 out, or lessons learned, questions that you've come

5 | back with? We'd love to just hear how the trip went.

6 COMMISSIONER CASTRO: You know, while I

7 | think the trip was incredibly impactful, I have to

8 say to be able to retrace really the journey of so

9 many newly-arrived immigrants, New Yorkers, for me

10 was important. I know that it really moved the Mayor,

11 | myself and everyone that went on this trip. There

12 | will be more follow-up. The Mayor has said that

13 | there will be more information coming out from-- as a

14 result of this trip. Three things that the Mayor has

15 said and we've said about the trip, we wanted to

16 speak not just to the-- some of the asylum-seekers

17 | who were on their route here, on their journey here,

18 but specifically to the local press to talk about,

19 you know, some of the misinformation that we were

20 | hearing or rather some of the exaggerated information

21 | that we're hearing or we're seeing spread through

22 social media and elsewhere about what migrants will

23 | find upon arriving to New York City or even the

24 | United States. Something to really know is that

smuggler rings or people who have turned this into

business ventures are directing people to New York
along the journey and they're marketing this journey
to people who are led to believe there will be things
here that might not be available to them. And so
people are going on this incredibly risky journey,
especially through the Darien Gap, because of these
marketing schemes. And so we wanted to communicate
some of the realities that people are facing here in
New York and in the United States through local
press. We spoke to a lot of local press while we
were there. We also, I think most importantly, we
wanted to have a direct relationship with people also
grappling with some of the challenges of welcoming so
many newly-arrived immigrants along the journey. So
whether it's Mexico City, Kito [sic], or Bogota, a
lot of people are working on this issue in the region
which was for me incredibly insightful. We've been
saying this is a national issue. We can't possibly
New York City cannot do this alone, but it really is
an international issue. We're in a completely new
era of mass migration which we all have to really
acknowledge and say, well, what are we how do we
address this at the federal, international and local
levels? And T think there's a role that we all must

play, and that's sort of part of the fact-finding. I
think understanding who are the key players and
stakeholders, sometimes you can only do that in
person in having these conversations. And we heard
from not just the federal, local government in these
countries but also a civil society. So one of the
most moving meetings we had was with a nun who runs a
nonprofit in Mexico City who was speaking about her
shelter having capacity for about 100 people, but
right now she had about 1,000 people waiting outside
for placement. Because Mexico City, like New York
City, is seeing waves and waves of migrants, of
asylum-seekers coming through that city and they just
don't have the capacity to serve everyone. And so we
kept hearing a lot of the same challenges we're
facing here elsewhere, and I think that is going to
be really helpful for us in seeing how we can work
together with these other cities and other
localities, but how we understand this issue as not
just a local issue that we have to address, but a
really international issue.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: I agree that our city has a particular and unique responsibility in connecting this issue transnationally, and so I'm

2.2

2.3

glad to learn that. However, could you just speak to the talking points or the conversations that you all were influencing or having with these organizations?

Was it to dissuade people from coming to our city, and/or with the press, what did you want to demonstrate as happening in New York City to the press there? And again, will there be a report that is released or distributed to New Yorkers to better understand what was accomplished from this trip?

went along the trip with the Mayor and the

International Affairs team, and it really will be the
purview of the International Affairs Office to issue
a follow-up report or presentation. But I do have to
say that a lot— the emphasis on us going there to
say— to dissuade people, I think was just—
reported, but it was a surprise to me, because it was
not the intended purpose of the trip, you know, and I
think what I had said and what we had said leading up
to the trip is the need to clarify the misinformation
that we kept hearing from asylum—seekers here that
was being shared with them throughout the journey.
And so for instance, many asylum—seekers arrived in
New York or to United States thinking that they would

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be embraced as asylum-- you know, asylees. They didn't realize that they would need to apply for asylum, right, and that they would not have work permits available to them from the start, that they would have to figure out how to live in the United States without work authorization for many months or even years. And so a lot of that is not communicated to people on their journey here, and so we wanted to make sure that people understood that this is not within our purview, within the City's purview. is a federal issue, and so when they arrive here, they may not get everything that they were promised along the journey, which often we hear from asylumseekers say here, we were promised X, Y, Z. just wanted to be completely honest. We also did want to say that, you know, because this humanitarian crisis for us was very unexpected. You know, we continue to struggle to find placement for people as they arrive. I mean, we continue to break records, and we wanted to be very honest with asylum-seekers that unlike what they've been hearing along their journey, they're not guaranteed a hotel room, right? Part of this, and I've said this in many interviews, is the advent of social media. There are TikTokers

[sic] who are asylum-seekers who are staying within our care in a hotel that they might communicate to others, hey, this is where I was put up, right, upon arrival. Well, that's not— that's not guaranteed for everyone that's on their journey.

Saying—I mean, what I'm hearing from you as you share this is sounding like, you know, one or two people have had an influence over the mass migration that New York City is witnessing, and it feels really strange to hear that the Mayor and several of the offices took a trip to Central South America to lay out information about actually we don't have enough placements, or actually what you're hearing about these benefits or the sanctuary safety operations or resources you will receive is actually untrue. And if I'm hearing that correctly, it feels like a very disingenuous trip to take during this time.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, like I said, you know, it's explaining the reality that we're facing in New York, because people are making their way here believing that we can do a lot for them, and again, we're-- you know, we have to manage expectations and--

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then is

deteriorating conditions for asylum-seekers part of

24

2.3

_	

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

systems.

1

2 an intentional strategy from the Administration to 3 dissuade people from coming to New York City?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: No. I mean, again, we're trying to do our best to support the record number of people that are arriving. And yes, like I said earlier, they were-- everyone working and involved in this effort is making miracles happen. mean, we're seeing hundreds of people arrive each day. We have to figure out placement for all of them. And that is an incredible challenge, and we've been very honest, it's a strain on all of our

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Could you share the duration of the contract at the Red Cross Center? How long is the contract?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: So, the contract [inaudible] space the Red Cross Center will access until the end of June 2024.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay. Turning to ActionNYC and IOI, when will the Administration reissue the RFPs for ActionNYC and IOI?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Tom, you want to

take? 24

2.2

2.3

2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TORTORICI: sur

Thank you. So, ActionNYC, the next step in the procurement process will be the issuance of a concept paper. That will be in the coming weeks. The public including nonprofit providers will be able to submit feedback and questions that will be addressed at a public hearing as well as in written form, and that'll happen over the coming months. We anticipate that new contracts under this new procurement will begin January 1st, 2025. With respect to IOI, I don't have information about the current status of that RFP, but we can get back to you after talking with OCJ.

that. In August, Secretary Mayorkas sent the

Administration a letter that included a number of
recommendations, and I've asked to review those
recommendations a number of times, but the

Administration has not shared them with me or our
committee. Could you summarize what was in that
letter and commit to sharing it with the committee
following this hearing?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, I think we've responded to some of the items in that letter. We'll

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 69
2	have to follow up with our official response from
3	City Hall. Many
4	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing] Are you
5	able to share the recommendations?
6	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: of which are not
7	under the purview of MOIA, so I really can't speak to
8	them. They're really about information sharing, my
9	understanding.
10	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Who is it under the
11	purview of?
12	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: It's a multi-
13	agency there were recommendations
14	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing] Who
15	would we need to get permission from or who would be
16	tasked with sending it over to us?
17	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: City
18	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing] Or
19	revealing the recommendations?
20	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: City Hall.
21	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: City Hall.
22	COMMISSIONER CASTRO: This is a this
23	was a letter issued to us under the purview of
24	federal legislative affairs office.

)

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So you can't right now share any of the recommendations? You can't commit to sharing the letter with the committee or me?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Well, no-- it wasn't directed to MOIA or to any of the items that we have oversight over. My understanding is that many of these recommendations had to do with information sharing, for instance, or items that really would need to be responded to by City Hall.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Okay, the Bliss [sic]
Clinic run jointly between USCIS [sic], the City, and
the State in partnership with NYIC, IR, American
Immigrant Lawyers Association, Lutheran Immigration
and Refugee Service, and the Legal Aid Society seems
to have been a massive success between September 25th
and October 6th that filed over 1,700 applications.
What would be needed to establish this model on a
permanent basis?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR GINDLER: That's a great idea. We're talking with the Federal Government to see what options exist for the future. Obviously, we would need their cooperation first and foremost.

witness slip, please visit the Sergeant's table in

the back and complete a witness slip now, even if you

24

2.2

2.3

begin. Thank you.

2	registered to testify online. For in-person
3	panelists, please come up to the table once your name
4	has been called. For virtual panelists, once your
5	name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you
6	and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give
7	you the go-ahead to begin. Please wait for the
8	Sergeant to announce that you may being before
9	delivering your testimony. With that, we will now
10	hear form Kelly Agnew-Barajas, Laura Adams, and
11	Melissa Chua And whenever you're ready you may

KELLY AGNEW-BARAJAS: I think we're still in the morning. Good morning, Chair Hanif. I'm Kelly Agnew-Barajas. I'm the Director of Refugee Resettlement at Catholic Charities Community Services. Thanks for this opportunity to testify. Catholic Charities has been in the position of serving at this point mostly with both social services at the Navigation Center that we stood up as well as with multiple satellite sties and subcontractors and in legal service. About 35 percent is our estimate of total of the new arrivals. But we've-- again, both social services and legal services. So we are in a good position to kind of

see a lot of different elements of the needs of 2 3 asylum-seekers. We would want to just start by 4 saying this is not a new issue of having asylumseekers come to New York City. For my entire career we've worked with asylum-seekers. It's really the 6 volume that's presenting this new challenge for the 7 City. So it's shedding light on something that we've 8 been dealing with for quite some time. I want to just kind of immediately go to two issues that I feel 10 11 are most pressing that my colleagues in immigration 12 legal have shared with me to represent and to flag. 13 One is to specifically work on increasing access to 14 DOJ accreditation. As part of a nationwide 15 initiative to address the shortage of licensed 16 immigration attorneys, many legal service providers 17 and stakeholders are exploring creative initiatives 18 to increase capacity to provide legal services. We 19 think that this is something that needs much more 20 attention and investment and, you know, there's some 21 momentum to allow representatives to create -- to provide services in lieu of fully-licensed attorneys, 2.2 2.3 but capacity concerns are very-- remain serious concerns. We have to address that by, you know, with 24 additional investment of resources, and we would ask 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the City to increase discretionary funding to support those initiatives. I also want to implore the use of more multi-year funding for full representation. It's extremely limited to have year contracts that end when you're representing new cases that we know will take years to conclude. The idea of investing in pro se services is key. However, we need to continue ensuring that, you know, it's not just a one-off that we're allowing people pro se without the backup and support. The truth is that these cases are very complex. Cases are much more likely to be successful if they have full representation or at least, you know, additional support of an attorney. It's-we're really pleased that TPS has been announced for Venezuelans, but this leaves out still more than half of the folks that we've been welcoming here, and we need to continue pushing for more solutions, and multi-year awards would really help that. just -- two seconds just to say that social services are as critical as legal services. When people are not stabilized, they are not able to be successful in their legal cases. So we would implore resources of both sides. Thank you so much.

25 CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you.

2 MELISSA CHUA: Good morning, Chair Hanif, 3 Council Members and staff. Thank you for the 4 opportunity to speak to you this morning. My name is 5 Melissa Chua. I'm the Associate Director of the Immigrant Protection Unit at NYLAG. We are proud to 6 7 operate in a city that values its immigrant citizens and supports much-needed services to them through 8 their budget. We believe that long-term continued investment in legal services and community-based 10 11 organizations including those with deep expertise is 12 crucial to meeting the current and future needs of 13 new New Yorkers. We cannot treat this issue of legal 14 services and other support for new New Yorkers as a 15 temporary one. Instead, we are urging in the support 16 of investment in expertise, programming, and services 17 to continue to meet the ongoing and ever-changing 18 legal needs of the immigrant community in New York 19 As the Commissioner discussed earlier this 20 morning, while application assistance is an extremely 21 important initial step to helping asylum-seekers meet their one-year filing deadline and moving them along 2.2 2.3 the path to getting employment authorization, this-a long term investment in legal services to support 24

immigrants along the continuing process of obtaining

asylum is absolutely critical. Last year, in
response to the large numbers of newly-arrived
immigrants, community-based organizations and legal
services including NYLAG came together to develop
innovating programming to maximize the limited
services and personnel and to provide community-
oriented, high-quality legal assistance, and one of
the programs that was developed during this process
was the Pro Se Plus Project, the PSPP, which was
established through private investment and now
receives support from the City and State. The PSPP,
we are a collective of organizations including NYLAG,
African Communities Together, Central American Legal
Assistance, Catholic Migration Services, MASA,
Unlocal, and Venezuelan Immigrants Aid. We aim to
empower migrants with the knowledge and tools to
advocate for themselves. We would really just urge
the sustained, long-term funding that includes full
representation and full support for pro se applicants
that is more than and more lasting than applications
alone. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. I'd like to just acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Sanchez.

2	LORA ADAMS: we're on. Thank you. Good
3	morning Council Members. My name is Lora Adams and
4	I'm the Legal Services and Capacity-building
5	Coordinator at Immigrant Arc or I-ARC. Our mission is
6	to increase justice and legal counsel for immigrant
7	New Yorkers by mobilizing New York's legal service
8	providers and addressing the systemic barriers to
9	justice. We do have providers here today that'll
10	discuss in more detail their various programs, so I
11	am going to limit my testimony just to things that
12	have not engaged providers directly. While the
13	influx of migrants seeking refuge in New York City
14	continues to be a challenge of capacity, legal
15	service providers across the five boroughs have
16	worked to rise due to the challenge, making a
17	herculean effort to come together and provide rapid
18	response services. While they're doing everything
19	they can to serve the roughly 113,000 migrants
20	arriving in the City over the past year, they have
21	faced significant challenges coordinating with the
22	City, particularly the Mayor's Office. There's been
23	little effort from the City to sit down with
24	stakeholders, legal service providers, and the
25	community-based organizations who are the frontline

2 responders to this crisis to collaborate on rapid 3 response efforts and ensure coordinated and strategic 4 response. There hasn't been transparency regarding 5 the creation of the Office of the Asylum-seeker Operations, and providers have not been included in 6 7 conversations on how to best respond to the needs of various communities, despite the areas of expertise. 8 It is also our observation that black immigrant communities, particularly those from African 10 11 countries, have particularly suffered from lack of 12 access to culturally competent services. 13 seeing many temporary rapid clinics from both the 14 City and legal service providers across the City, 15 like the City-founded NYC Asylum Application Help Center. Like many of these clinics the Asylum Center 16 17 has been an immediate resource to provide necessary 18 assistance to migrants navigating the initial 19 immigration process. These clinics do meet an 20 important need, but they have excluded the participation or input of long-time legal services 21 and community-based organizations, furthering a 2.2 2.3 divide between the Mayor's Office and the nonprofit sector and failing to address the long-term needs of 24 those that they serve. First and foremost, the 25

efforts run by the Office of the Asylum Seeker

2

12

3 Operations do not coordinate long-term representation 4 for migrants which can be a huge issue since the 5 majority of asylum application need follow-up. you. I'll be done very quickly. 6 It's or 7 recommendation that we need greater transparency from city agencies, a strategic coordination from the city 8 and the state government with legal services providers and community-based organizations and 10 11 funding for long-term immigration legal services

Representation Act at the state level. I'm proud to
say that we also worked Immigrant ARC as partnership
with the city, state, and federal government to do

which includes the passage of the Access to

16 the work permit clinic that Masha so greatly shouted

out. They completed 1,700 applications in just 10

days, and that's just a great demonstration as what

19 can happen if we can actually coordinate together.

20 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. So, building off of your statement, could you—— could you all describe what the collaboration has looked like with your organizations, MOIA and OASO?

24

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2		LORA ADAMS	: I'11	leave	that	to	service
3	providers.	Go ahead t	to start				

2.2

2.3

MELISSA CHUA: We had initial meeting with OASO last week. That was the first that we have had.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: The first. And was that just with the organization or a table of providers?

MELISSA CHUA: With a table of providers from PSPP, as well as LSSNY, and CUNY. My understanding is that they would like to have more information sharing meetings going forward, but other than that, we have not—we have not collaborated with them.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And based off of the- based off of this meeting, another meeting hasn't
been scheduled or something more regularly?

MELISSA CHUA: We do have-- there's a desire to have more regular meetings, again, for information sharing, but we were not involved in the planning or the design of the issuance of services.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Understood.

KELLY AGNEW-BARAJAS: I have not had any interaction directly. I would have to check with

others at the agency to see if they've been reached out to.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then, irrespective of sitting together, has anyone from MOIA or OASO just sent out information to providers?

MELISSA CHUA: I can say that MOIA has convened meetings between the ASLAN providers of which PSPP is a member of. And so those meetings have been happening fairly regularly for us to exchange information between providers, but not with OASO specifically.

KELLY AGNEW-BARAJAS: Yes, exactly.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, is it correct to say that providers are figuring out initiatives on your own and trying to support the influx of asylumseekers with the various applications and then the City has its own lane of initiatives or programming created to support asylum-seekers? These are happening in separate paths?

MELISSA CHUA: Yes, and we would say that we would welcome more collaboration between our network of providers and community-based organizations with the City to provide these services.

MELISSA CHUA: I will say that MOIA has made efforts to outreach to providers to say this is how you can refer people to the center, but my

2.3

24

25

understanding is that now they've moved to TPS and so we are handling the asylum applications on our own.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Got it. And then we had also learned that they're not focusing specifically on asylum-seekers who are not in shelters. Would you say that you all are also covering the ground of people who are not in shelters?

MELISSA CHUA: We serve people who are in shelter and not in shelter, correct.

both, but I think that those types of nuances need to be expressed much more coherently so that people understand exactly what the process is and that people— you know, there's also an understanding I think implicit in that decision that people who are not in shelter are fine and can navigate everything on their own, when that is not necessarily the case. They could definitely be doubled-up, tripled-up, couch surfing. They could be in any number precarious situations themselves. I understand the focus is on folks in shelter, so that they can conceivably get out of shelter, but you know, everyone— everyone is our priority, frankly.

2.2

2.3

meeting the need.

T	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 04
2	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And then based on this
3	moment and your years in the legal services world,
4	are there models of collaboration between city and
5	providers that you have heard about or learned about
6	during this time that New York City should replicate?
7	MELISSA CHUA: I think what we would say
8	is that there's no kind of one-size-fits-all answer

here, and that funding a number of different collaborations, different service methods including full representation is really what will be crucial to

LORA ADAMS: I think there's certainly a concern that without that kind of long-term planning and long-term wraparound services that all of the great work that is happening on rapid response is going to be undone as migrants start hitting the legal justice system and falling through the cracks in the process, especially those who are pro se.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you all so } \text{much.}$

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Pooja
Asnani, Susanna Saul, Keighly Rector, and Rosie Wang.
And please go ahead whenever you're ready.

2	POOJA ASNANI: good morning Chair Hanif
3	and members of the Committee on Immigration. My name
4	is Pooja Asnani and I'm the Director of the
5	Immigration Intervention Project at Sanctuary for
6	Families, New York City's largest provider of
7	wraparound services for survivors of domestic
8	violence, human trafficking, sexual assault, and
9	related forms of gender-based violence. We are so
10	grateful for the opportunity to testify today on this
11	critical subject, as our city urgently seeks to
12	address the enormous numbers of asylum-seekers who
13	have arrived in recent months. Sanctuary's
14	Immigration Intervention Project provides legal
15	representation and advice to 2,000 migrants annually.
16	Last year, including over 270 abuse survivors
17	assisted with gender-based asylum claims. Few
18	service providers have the legal expertise,
19	experience in trauma-informed interviewing and
20	linguistic and cultural competence to effectively
21	assist the survivors in gender-based asylum
22	applications. For those fleeing gender-based gender
23	violence, the availability of high-quality legal
24	assistance can literally be a matter of life and

death. We know from our partners and from

conversations with officials in the City's Asylum 2 3 Application Help Centers that there are hundreds of migrants arriving who may be eligible for gender-4 5 These migrants have arrived from based asylum. countries around the world, uprooted from their homes 6 due to domestic violence and rape, as well as failure of law enforcement to protect vulnerable people, 8 especially women and children from violence. Their journeys to safety usually involved arduous life-10 11 threatening treks, often with minor children and may 12 have experienced sexual violence on route. 13 after arriving in New York they remain extremely 14 vulnerable to abuse, trafficking and sexual 15 exploitation. With the influx of tens of thousands of migrants in New York City, and thanks to private 16 17 and state funding, Sanctuary for Families has 18 expanded our legal staff significantly to address 19 this immense need. Numerous law firms have already 20 singed with us to work on these cases. I want to-- I 21 know that I'm out of time, but I just want to emphasize that despite our ongoing partnerships with 2.2 2.3 law firms and our innovative programming to expand access to gender-based asylum-seekers, the work is 24 not done simply with the filing of the asylum 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

application. There are many crucial components to presenting a successful asylum claim, including detailed affidavits, country condition reports, and a considerable legal briefing, and there are capacity limits in our ability to provide full legal representation to many hundreds and thousands who will need it. Just in the past six months we have received over 600 referrals from community partners and city agencies. Among these we are taking the most urgent and challenging cases. We do our best to provide high-quality legal services and wherever capacity permits access to Sanctuary's range of holistic other services including trauma counseling for adults and children, case management, career training, and other economic mobility services. encourage the City-- the Council to expand City funding for these much-needed services and for longer term funding to support legal representation. applaud the efforts of the Immigration Committee to ensure that New York's new arrivals get the help they need to gain asylum legal status. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you.

2	ROSIE WANG: Thank you Chairperson Hanif
3	and Committee Members. My name is Rosie Wang. I am
4	a Program Manager at the Vera Institute of Justice.
5	I'm testifying today about the need to provide strong
6	and stable legal services to support immigrants, both
7	long-time residents and newly arriving people seeking
8	asylum. As my written testimony will detail, we need
9	a combination of investments in both long-term
10	sustainable solutions and programs that we urgently
11	need. At Vera, one of our core priorities is to
12	advance universal representation so that no immigrant
13	is forced to face deportation without legal counsel.
14	We value fairness and dignity and also recognize many
15	ways that immigrants strengthen and enrich New York
16	and the nation as a whole. Yet, there are
17	longstanding gaps in our legal services
18	infrastructure. As of August, over 125,000 cases
19	before New York Immigration Courts were
20	unrepresented, left to navigate a complex system
21	alone. Rather than only seeking stop-gap measures, I
22	urge the City to advance long term solutions to
23	ensure that we are prepared to support immigrants
24	into the future. This is includes passing Council
25	Member Hudson's Resolution 556 which calls upon the

state to pass the Access to Representation Act, or
the ARA. The ARA would create a right to counsel in
immigration courts in New York, and the ARA also
calls for we must invest in recruitment, training,
and retention to staff up legal teams to meet this
need. This growth must proceed at the sensible pace,
and in alignment with the recommendations of
providers currently doing this work on the ground.
While building infrastructure, New York should
simultaneously respond to urgent needs for legal help
including assistance with applying for Temporary
Protective Status, asylum, and work authorization.
These are critical investment in New York's future.
More than half of all New York City children have an
immigrant parent. Nearly half of the workforce is
foreign-born and immigrants own almost half of our
small businesses. The City must support the passage
of the ARA and long term solutions like building
sustainable legal teams. By doing so, New York can
flourish while advancing a vision of justice
[inaudible] human dignity of the immigrant community.
Thankyou.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you.

2	SUSANNA SAUL: Thank you to the Committee
3	on Immigration, Chair Hanif, and the council for the
4	opportunity to address important issues related to
5	legal services for asylum-seekers in New York City.
6	My name is Susanna Saul. I'm the Director of the
7	Immigration Practice at Her Justice. Her Justice
8	stands with women living in poverty in New York City
9	by recruiting and mentoring volunteer lawyers to
10	provide free legal help to address individual and
11	systemic legal barriers in the areas of immigration,
12	family and matrimonial law. We are committed to
13	providing long-term full representation to those who
14	need it. Our immigration practice has deep expertise
15	providing free legal assistance to undocumented
16	immigrants who have experienced domestic violence,
17	sex trafficking, labor trafficking, and other forms
18	of gender-based violence as they seek to stabilize
19	their immigration status in the United States. Her
20	Justice has a unique pro bono first model. We know
21	that pro bono legal services are a critical part of
22	the solution to the current immigration legal
23	services shortage. We're uniquely positioned to tall
24	about pro bono services as we have 30 years of

experience training and mentoring pro bono attorneys

2	to provide high-quality free legal services. We know
3	that New York City has an abundance of talented
4	lawyers ready, willing and able to assist those who
5	cannot afford a lawyer. At Her Justice we thoroughly
6	screen each client for immigration remedies for which
7	they may be eligible including asylum. While we do
8	not represent clients in asylum applications, we have
9	strong partnership with other organizations that do.
10	Once screened we place eligible clients with pro bono
11	attorneys who are equipped by us to provide full
12	representation to them. We invest resources and we
13	create infrastructure to support them, including
14	hiring immigration legal experts as mentors. Chronic
15	under-resourcing of adjudication systems within USCIS
16	compounded by operational challenges in the pandemic
17	translates to processing times for adjudication up to
18	10 years, and work permit issuances can take up to
19	five years for our clients. Our staff supports
20	clients and their pro bono attorneys during that
21	entire waiting period. The current wave of recent
22	migrants shines a light on the already scarce
23	services. We know that New York City can be a legal
24	ecosystem where all migrants and immigrants can
25	receive the legal relief that they deserve. We would

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 like to thank the City Council for the opportunity to 3 testify on such an important matter.

KEIGHLY RECTOR: Good morning. My name Keighly Rector and I'm submitting testimony on behalf of the New York Chapter of the American Immigration Lawyers Association, or AILA. AILA is the nation's largest non-partisan, for-profit, professional organization of immigration lawyers with more than 1,700 members in New York whose practices span the entire scope of immigration law. Today, we aim to shed light on the complexities of asylum law, the expectations placed on asylum applicants, and the need for funding experienced legal advocates achieving successful outcomes in these cases. Asylum law is intricate and multifaceted involving a complex set of procedural requirements and a profound understanding of federal immigration policies, statutory framework and legal precedent across multiple levels of our judicial branch. One of the first hurdles faced is the one-year filing deadline. To satisfy this deadline, an asylum-seekers must submit form I589 within one year of arriving in the U.S. Failure to do so effectively forecloses eligibility for asylum with extremely limited

exceptions. The 589 is a 12-page document available
only in English that not only demands detailed
biographical information, calling for five years of
address, employment, and education history, but four
pages of questions calling for lengthy narrative
responses detailing the persecution suffered and
feared. These responses like all other information
on form 589 must be written in English. We comment
the Adams Administration for establishing the Asylum
Application Help Center which has already proven to
be an invaluable resource for helping asylum-seekers
meet their one-year deadline. However, the Center's
scope is limited. First, its services are currently
only available to those housed within the City's
shelter system. There are limited organizations
within the city providing pro se application
assistance, and they like all the legal service
organizations are overwhelmed and over capacity.
Second, pro se application assistance is the first
step in a long and complex process. Filing the 589
is like reaching base camp at Mount Everest, a
significant barrier overcome but the most arduous
part of the journey yet to come. To actually win
asylum, an individuals must prevail at hearing before

2	an immigration judge that is often hours long, highly
3	contested by an attorney for the Department of
4	Homeland Security, and involves exhausting testimony
5	and cross-examination. This is where the role of an
6	experienced legal advocate becomes indispensable.
7	Attorneys who specialize in asylum cases possess
8	legal knowledge, skills, and resources necessary to
9	guide asylum-seekers through the complex and ever-
10	evolving immigration system. They provide invaluable
11	support by conducting thorough interviews, gathering
12	compelling evidence, preparing strong legal
13	arguments, and helping asylum-seekers articulate
14	their experiences effectively. The final evidence
15	package often exceeds 500 pages of detailed written
16	testimony by the applicant, a legal brief with
17	arguments, and citations to relevant case law,
18	statutes and regulations, expert testimony, medical
19	evaluations, and corroborating evidence from the
20	applicant's home country, all of which must be
21	accompanied by a certified English translation. The
22	need for representation in asylum cases is
23	underscored by statistics consistently showing higher
24	grant rates for those with legal counsel than those
25	who navigate the process pro se. It's also important

to recognize that asylum cases often extend over a
long period with multiple hearings and appeals. In
conclusion, the New York Chapter of AILA urges the
New York City Council Committee on Immigration to
recognize the profound importance of funding
comprehensive and long-term legal services for
asylum-seekers in New York City. The establishment
of these long-term resources is critical to
supporting these asylum-seekers throughout the
duration of their case. Thank you for your
commitment to addressing the pressing needs of
asylum-seekers in our city and we look forward to
collaborating with you to ensure that they receive
the legal representation they deserve.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you. Susanna and Pooja, can you share the unique challenges women and children experience in the application-- asylum application process, and what is available specifically for the relief for survivors?

POOJA ASNANI: Happy to do so. So, as the Director of the Immigration Project at Sanctuary for families we work primarily with women and children who are fleeing gender-based violence. One huge obstacle that we encounter, that we've

2.2

2.3

encountered— it's not new, but we're seeing it more and more is the level of trauma that the individuals that we serve have faced, often preventing htem from being able to even talk about the abuse that they suffered in their home countries, compounded by oftentimes what is ongoing abuse and exploitation that they experience during their perilous journey to the United States. So ensuring that they have access to counseling, ensuring that they have access to stable housing, ensuring that their children are able to enroll in schools, that they're getting language—appropriate services, all of these are some of the challenges that we encounter in serving women and children who are specifically seeking asylum based on gender-based violence.

SUSANNA SAUL: And I would just add to the question about other remedies for women and children who have been subjected to gender-based violence. Our office specializes in U-Visas and T-Visas. We're going to see more of that. I think that today's asylum-seeker might be next year's U-Visa and T-Visa petitioner. So we need to make sure that those services are also in place. I would echo the challenges that my colleague just referenced.

Trauma is and mental health services are incredibly
important. Culturally-appropriate services are
incredibly important. I would also say that one of
the big obstacles in U-Visas and T-Visas is also the
requirement that women and children cooperate with
law enforcement, and so our local law enforcement
agencies also need to be very sensitive to the trauma
that not just women and children seeking gender-
based violence, but migrants in general have
encountered, because those law enforcement officials
are going to be called upon to provide statements
saying that those victims were cooperative with them,
and we need to partner with the local law enforcement
agencies successfully in order to be able to get
those statements of cooperation so that we can put
those applications forward to the immigration service
and get those individuals on the path to lawful
status.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And are you witnessing an uptick in U and T-Visa petitions?

POOJA ASNANI: I think it's too early to see right now the uptick in the two-- the U and T-Visa petitions because in order to file those you have to first-- there has to be the crime that's been

identified, that the victim has come forward, that
you've sought law enforcement, or cooperated, or
communicated with hem as Susanna explained. What we
are deeply concerned with is the increased risk of
exploitation of these women and children in the
shelters and outside of the shelters, most of whom do
not have access to work authorization. And just
anecdotally we work with a number of other community-
based organizations who serve individuals in
different shelters. We've been hearing that there is
an uptick in solicitation for prostitution, and in
the potential for trafficking for a lot of these
individuals and we believe that there is an immense
need for there to be outreach and services provided
to these women and children to ensure that they're
protected there are protections in place to
minimize trafficking and exploitation.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: And is MOIA or OASO working with you all to really learn about these challenges and also put out information more broadly that allows potential clients to reach out to you all for the services? Could you share the-- how the collaboration is going with--

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 POOJA ASNANI: [interposing] I'm happy to 3 talk about some preliminary efforts that have-- that 4 are underway. So Sanctuary for Families reached out specifically to NGBV, the Mayor's Office to End Gender-Based Violence, and we have been in 6 conversations about doing outreach within shelters. 8 We have a call coming up this week on Thursday with I believe that they've communicated also with MOIA, although we haven't had a direct communication 10 11 with MOIA on this issue, but we are seeking ways to 12 do outreach and to increase awareness of gender-based 13 violence and trafficking to women and children in 14 these shelters, and that is-- and Sanctuary for 15 Families in collaboration with other nonprofit 16 partners who serve woman and children hopes to 17 conduct outreach and training in these shelters with 18 respect to these issues.

SUSANNA SAUL: But I will-- I will just add, as you heard today, capacity limitation at our organizations is a huge issue, and so as much outreach as we would love to do, as much education as we would love to do, to be able to absorb the cases and the individuals who will need further follow-up, trauma-informed approaches, our capacity is at--

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

beyond our limits. So this is why we're coming to
you today to ask for investment, long-term full
representation, mental health services, all of that

5 has to be a part of outreach and education.

KEIGHLY RECTOR: And if I may add-- so I'm here today in my capacity as a member of AILA, but I'm also a member of the nonprofit legal services community and have been providing direct representation in immigration cases in nonprofits since 2017. To just go off of what my colleagues are talking about and highlighting what the need for trauma-informed legal services, I support that whole heartedly and also want to draw attention to the need for funding to support trauma-informed practices for the people doing these cases. We heard earlier about the amount of exposure that folks who are at the Asylum Application Help Center are getting to extremely, extremely distressing stories day after day, and that takes a toll. There is an incredible, incredible number of people who leave this profession who burn out. I see that every day in my colleagues. within this year alone I have two colleagues who left their current organizations and are considering leaving direct services altogether. So in addition

2.2

2.3

to thinking about the needs to respond to the trauma suffered and experienced by our clients, we also need to be thinking about the people who are delivering those services and thinking about what we can do and what we can fund in our organizations to make that work sustainable and healthy for them.

So much for lifting that up. You all have been tremendously just undervalued in this moment and we certainly see your work and value your work and all the expertise that you bring and not just in this moment but for many, many years to allow the City to do what it can do. Are you also providing support to youth? Like separate of children with families, but could you talk a little bit more about those who are maybe under 18 or up to age 18? What are some of the challenges you're experiencing with young people who have arrived?

SUSANNA SAUL: I mean, I think that it's even more difficult for those individuals to access legal services. It's much more difficult for those individuals to reach out for help. They may already be fleeing trafficking exploitation, a different sort of persecution. They may be wary of adults. And so

I think we haven't seen a lot of those individuals. 2

3

We also don't specialize in like outreach to youth

4

populations but I do think there are additional

5

barriers for those individuals to access services.

POOJA ASNANI: I think it's important to

6

7 highlight also that there are specific immigration

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

remedies that are available just to young people, most notably the special immigration juvenile status which is a specific immigration status afforded to youth who have been abused, neglected or abandoned by one or both parents. We at Sanctuary for Families, while we specialize in gender-based violence services, we also work with youth who have been abused, neglected or abandoned in these such cases, and just anecdotally I will note that the numbers of young people being referred to us by other organizations which is telling of sort of the organizations that serve youth just unable to meet with-- meet the need has increased, and I've actually seen two young people referred to us that aged out within weeks of referring to us. by the time the referral got to us they'd already turned 21. really tragic because for these young people -- you

know, there's a number of challenges that Susanna

highlighted a lot of them have actually been living
separately from their parents for most of their lives
and embarked on these journeys to come to the United
States to reunite with their parents and as you might
imagine those reunifications are lot more complicated
psychologically and emotionally for the children and
the parent than, you know, one might imagine. And so
a lot of these relationship are falling apart upon
their arrival in the United States. These young
people are winding up in shelters. They're not
maybe and they might not even be getting access to
information about their eligibility for immigration
services, and I think that is where we have seen
young people be referred to us really right before
they turn 21, and what might have been a legal
process that would have changed their lives, it would
have put them in a path to getting a green card, has
been lost because of failure to sort of screen and
identify these young people as eligible. And also, I
mean, even beyond screening and identifying them, we
are all all of our organizations are at capacity to
actually take on these cases for representation. And
so I think, you know, we've emphasized and we've
heard a lot form the City and from the Mayor's Office

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

about these wonderful efforts to get 589's filed. 2 3 That is just a very small part of what needs to be 4 the solution, because at the end of the day, these 5 migrants are going to have 589-- their asylum applications filed and then what? And then you've 6 7 got hundreds of hours of preparation to help them to get asylum. And if organizations like ours are not 8 adequately funded to provide full legal residents, many of these people may be ordered deported, may 10 11 lose their asylum case simply because they did not 12 have access to representation. So I think that sort 13 of viewing the -- you know, the whole of all of the 14 service providers that collectively provide legal 15 representation is important in ensuring that, you 16 know, we're-- as a community we're supported.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Absolutely. Thank you all so much.

KEIGHLY RECTOR: If I can also just add a statistic-- a recommendation from the American Bar Association just to shine a little light on what we mean when we say that we're at capacity. So the ABA's Commission on Domestic Violence and Sexual Violence recommends that staff attorneys working on DVSA cases have no more than 15 to 20 cases on their

docket. I have never in my career worked at an
organization where that's realistic, so I understand
that even that is going to be kind of a bucket list
item, but I would say on average, you know, we're
looking at like minimum like 50, 60 cases on the
average staff attorney's docket, and the bulk of
those involve at some point some kind of violence,
whether it's the crux of the legal relief that the
client is seeking or not, it often comes out in the
course of the representation and may be relevant in
other ways. So there's still that exposure to it.
So just thinking about that number and the number of
people who need our services, like, we just simply
need more funding to have more people, more staff to
do these cases.

ROSIE WANG: And if I might just be able to sort of connect what my colleagues have shared back to our-- Vera's advocacy to pass the Access to Representation Act. This bill is designed to address many of these needs in the sustainable long-term way that many have described as what it's called for, you know, both in this moment and in the future. To have that long-term infrastructure in place, to have legal teams sustainably staffed up to be able to meet

2 fluctuating needs of -- and changing immigration 3 landscape and change in migration patterns, the bill 4 provides for an advisory committee made up of providers so that it can be implemented sustainably over a six-year period in a way that's in line with 6 7 the needs of, you know, the people getting services 8 and the people delivering services. And it provides for training, you know, caseload recommendations from the advisory council, and adequate compensation for 10 11 attorney recruitment and retention, support staff and social workers, and all of these elements need to 12 13 come together to not only provide wraparound services 14 to people wo very much need full legal representation for all of the reasons discussed about how difficult 15 16 these applications really can be, but also to prevent 17 attorney burnout and turnover which is, you know, a 18 major source of the gap that the state and the city 19 is facing in its legal services infrastructure and 20 part of the reason we've been caught off guard and 21 unprepared as we could be to serve and support our 2.2 new neighbor's. So quaranteed access to counsel on a 2.3 statewide level would also sort of do away with this like yearly uncertainty of will these contracts get 24 renewed? Will funding be adequate next year to meet 25

2.2

2.3

the needs that we see? So this would the responsive long-term solution that we really want to highlight

4 and would, you know, love the City Council support on

5 calling for the state to pass. Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you all so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: thank you very much for your testimony. We're about to hear from our final in-person panel. If you do not hear your name called, please— and you wish to testify, please visit the Sergeant at Arms desk in the back of the room and fill out a witness slip that I may have your name to call on. And with that, we will hear from Bastion Hartick [sp?], Christopher Leon Johnson, and Raul Rivera, and after that we'll turn to Zoom panelists. You may begin whenever you're ready.

UNIDENTIFIED: Hey, I'm sorry [inaudible] guys. I was [inaudible] downstairs. So, I'm going to say this right now before I got to back downstairs. Thank you for chairing this Chair Hanif. Thank you for chairing this. So I want to say this right now about the migrant crisis. The issue is, like I said before in the last hearing chaired by you, Shahana, is that you guys need to start— like I

2	said, keep on investigating these firms that's
3	getting our money. That's what we got to start doing
4	more. Especially with Arrow Security and DotGo and
5	Medrite [sic]. You have to investigate these firms,
6	because if you don't investigate these firms, they
7	going to keep on doing what they doing and taking our
8	money. So you got to start investigating these firms
9	more often, especially with the abuses of the guards,
10	because that's a bit concerning with me is with the
11	guards how they're abusing not only members of the
12	public, the shelter people that live in the shelter
13	we like to call them guests and members of the
14	press, even members of the New York Press [inaudible]
15	Association. So we have to really start
16	investigating that a little more often. And I'm
17	going to say this right now is that we got to stop
18	putting this money into the nonprofits, because all I
19	see right now with these nonprofits like the NYIC and
20	LoComena [sic] and the Brooklyn Justice Project, and
21	Envision Freedom Fund, and all these nonprofits that
22	so-called supposed to be advocating for the migrants,
23	especially Make the Road New York, is all the stuff
24	is nothing but electioneering, and we need to stop
25	this. We ought to stop putting money to these

2.2

nonprofits, because all we seen is electioneering
with our tax dollars. They're not even doing
anything real effective with the money that they're
getting from our tax dollars for contracts and
discretionary funding. So, and I'm going to say this
right now, and some of these members in the City
Council have to really start addressing this, because
it's just being it's getting out of hand.
Millions, billions spent every month on this crisis
and nothing's really getting accomplished. So,
that's all I got to say, and thank you. Thank you so
much.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you.

RAUL RIVERA: Good afternoon. My name is Raul Rivera. I'm a TLC driver, TLC driver advocate. I'm also a native New Yorker, lifelong New Yorker, 53 years old. It seems like everybody here was defending the migrants, the illegals, but I'm not here to attack them, but we are here to defend our New Yorkers. I'm a native New Yorker myself. I'm fighting for my rights. There's so many things happening in this city and we are being forgotten about. People are forgetting about the New Yorker. We have people saying come, don't come. They're gas

2	lighting. The Council is gas lighting. We have the
3	Governor saying that the border is now too open.
4	They want limits on the border. This was reported by
5	the New York Post. That's like saying you're a
6	little bit pregnant. It doesn't make sense. We say
7	close the border. If you close the border, it's
8	going to solve a lot of the problems. We challenge
9	you. Can you say that, Council Member? Can you say
10	close the border? Can you do that? You see, we said
11	the same Council Member Brewer, she can't say close
12	the border. You guys are looking for solutions, but
13	that's the solution. Close the border. Legal
14	migration, no discrimination. Protect the New
15	Yorker. I said this before. I'm just repeating
16	myself. What's wrong with closing the border? Do you
17	have a problem with that? You have a issue with
18	Adams going down to Latin America to speak to the
19	migrants. You're worrying about how much he spent.
20	How much money we spending of the tax payer money
21	here in the City putting them up in hotels? I've
22	spoken to these migrants. I speak Spanish. Many of
23	them are very nasty, and I try to tell them you got
24	to come here and respect this city and this country.
25	T don't care if you come from Pakistan or Boston you

talking about? You hate this city.

25

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2			CHAIRPERSON	HANIF:	And	like	you,	Ι	am	a
ک	natiwe	Мом	Vorker							

RAUL RIVERA: You hate this city.

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair

Hanif. We have now heard from everyone who has signed up to testify in-person. If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in-person, please visit the Sergeant's table and complete a witness slip now. We will now hear from panelists on Zoom. We will begin with a panel comprised of Sharone Kaufman, Terry Lawson, Mark Valinoti, and Rex Chen. For virtual panelists, once your name is called a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give you the go-ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. And we'll now hear form Sharone Kaufman.

SHARONE KAUFMAN: my name is Sharone

Kaufman and I'm the Deputy Attorney in charge of the

Immigration Law Unit at the Legal Aid Society. We

thank Committee Chair Hanif and all members for

holding this hearing. No one is served well if

asylum-seekers in New York City do not achieve

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stability and self-sufficiency. This means thinking creatively and smartly about ways to help these newest New Yorkers obtain temporary work permits, but also longer term immigration status. From September 25th to October 6th, the city, state, and federal governments partnered together with immigration advocates and community groups for a two-week expedited work permit clinic for those in New York City shelters. 1,728 work permit applications were filed, primarily for those granted humanitarian parole. USCIS provided initial processing of these applications and promised to provide approved work permit cars in-hand within weeks. Legal Aid is proud to have provided training and support to this unique work permit effort. We urge city, state, and federal government to adopt this expedited processing model for Venezuelan temporary protective status which was extended and re-designated this past month. USCIS is notoriously slow to process work permits for those with pending TPS applications, and there's currently class action litigation regarding this. we must all work together to avoid having Venezuelan TPS applicants in New York City shelters fall into this same situation. Legal Aid also encourages the City

Chen.

2	to not solely invest in light-touch, high-volume
3	immigration legal services. Responsibly providing
4	immigration legal services means having immigration
5	legal providers in control of creating and
6	implementing legal services. The City should provide
7	dedicated funding help, existing providers, build up
8	their own pro bono supervision, and community
9	outreach. This is the smartest way to encourage more
10	immigration legal representation for asylum, TPS, and
11	any other legal options available to recent arrivals.
12	Legal Aid is committed to fighting to advance
13	immigrant's rights and welcomes any opportunity to
14	contribute constructively here. We thank the
15	committee for its dedication to these issues.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much
17	for your testimony. We'll next hear from Terry
18	Lawson followed by Marc Valinoti, followed by Rex

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TERRY LAWSON: Good afternoon. Thank you to Chair Hanif, the Committee on Immigration and Legislative Director Andrea Vasquez [sp?] and Deputy Director for Governmental Affairs, Rachel Cordero [sp?], for their comprehensive engaging briefing

2	paper published this morning. We appreciate your
3	repeated and steadfast commitment to raising
4	awareness for the need for high-quality free legal
5	services for immigrant New Yorkers. My name is Terry
6	Lawson, and a long with my co-founder and co-
7	executive Director, yesterday we launched Co-Counsel
8	[sic] NYC, a new nonprofit legal services
9	organizations that seeks to transform our collective
10	capacity to navigate complex legal systems and
11	support safe, thriving and engaged immigrant
12	communities. Co-Counsel will partner with community
13	members, community-based organizations, law firms and
14	companies to respond to the emerging and complex
15	legal needs of immigrant communities. Having
16	listened to our partners in the private and nonprofit
17	sectors and drawing on our many years of expertise,
18	our trauma-informed model provides co-counseling,
19	supervision, mentoring, clinic facilitation, and
20	training on immigration and family law matters. We
21	imagine an innovative approach joining forces with
22	our partners at the law firms and businesses at
23	community-based organizations to expand access to
24	high-quality legal services, including both pro se
25	and full representation, and increasing training

Thank you.

opportunities to assist individuals seeking asylum,
TPS, work authorization, U-Visas, Special Immigrant
Juvenile Status, and many more forms of immigration
relief. We have heard from many advocates in the
private and nonprofit sectors who wish to take on
this work, but have reasonable anxiety about doing
so. Co-Counsel plans to provide substantive
training, supervision, and co-counseling required to
reduce and manage risk for everyone. We believe that
access to high-quality legal representation for
immigrant New Yorkers must be expanded, and that
immigrant New Yorkers are fierce advocates through
the US immigration system when equipped with the
right tools and support. We believe there must be
direct investment in the know-how and power immigrant
communities, community-based partners and legal
services providers who are experts in the field.
SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

TERRY LAWSON: This investment must extend beyond initial asylum TPS and EAD applications filed, and we echo the call for long-term investments in community legal services by the City Council and this Administration. Thank you.

3

4

5

_

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll next hear from Marc Valinoti followed by Rex Chen, and then the start of a second Zoom panel which will begin with David Miranda. But Marc Valinoti, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time

MARC VALINOTI: Thank you very much to the committee for this opportunity my name is Marc Valinoti, and I'm the Managing Immigration and Family Attorney at NMIC. I want to echo many of my colleague's sentiment that without stable infrastructure and long-term funding for legal services, we will not be able to provide for new arrivals to our city and will not be able to maintain current services for community members who may have been here for longer. NMIC is unable to provide direct representation to asylum, which we do find to be as many of our colleagues would agree, the most effective means of getting applications approved. Although we do recognize the necessity of pro se services and the application help centers. Were NMIC to divert some of its limited resources to asylum, we could no longer assist our communities in matters ranging from U-Visa, and [inaudible] cases for

2	domestic violence survivors, TPS, DACA renewals, and
3	naturalization for residents who dream of
4	citizenship. As we've raised before, funders [sic]
5	have signaled drastic cuts to existing spending at a
6	time when it needs to be increased. MOIA announced
7	extensions of ActionNYC, reduction from three years
8	to one, and we are anxious about the future of
9	ActionNYC and about keeping existing services. We
10	would be very happy to expand our services. We want
11	to emphasize to help new migrants, but it's simply
12	not possible without increased stable funding. I
13	would like to share an example of services that are
14	facing termination without extended and stable
15	funding from the City and other providers. Teresa is
16	a 40-year-old LGBT woman who came from the Dominican
17	Republic in 2007 to escape discrimination. She
18	settled in New York, worked, but unfortunately
19	suffered a brutal attack at the hands of her
20	girlfriend's ex in around 2012. Fortunately, she was
21	able to find help at a nonprofit agency obtaining a
22	U-Visa for victims of serious crimes who cooperate
23	with law enforcement. U-Visa recipients have four
24	years before they must file for permanent residency.
25	Tragically,

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Time has expired.

MARC VALINOTI: in 2015, Teresa was again attacked and stabbed and was unable to file on time for her resident status. She came to NMIC, having lost her status, her employment authorization, and under threat of deportation. NMIC staff filed her application for an untimely extension which required years of work, advocacy with the NYPD, briefs, responses to USCIS, and this past month in September, Teresa's status was finally restored, and we will be helping her complete her journey to permanent resident status. Without--

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: [interposing] Thank you so much for your testimony.

MARC VALINOTI: increased and stable funding, stories like Teresa's will no longer be possible, and we thank you for your time, Committee, and we look forward to increased cooperation for our legal services. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll next hear form Rex Chen. Following Rex Chen we'll hear from a panel comprised of David

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Miranda, Gina Starfield, Hannah Weichbrodt, RaziaHamid, and Sierra Kraft, but Rex Chen you are up.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

REX CHEN: My name is Rex Chen, pronouns I'm the Immigration Director at Legal he/him. Services NYC. We give free immigration legal services to thousands in New York City every year. As many have said, full immigration court representation is very important for asylum-seekers to win their cases. They need help gathering evidence and explaining how they do meet all the legal requirements for asylum. Having a case that deserves to win asylum is not always good enough. Many need legal help to win the protection that they deserve. Social workers are also very important. You know, many asylum-seekers are traumatized and make inconsistent statements about what they've experienced because their trauma severely affects their memory. Social workers play a critical role to help traumatized asylum-seekers tell their story. Social workers are important as part of providing full legal representation, not just to help them access social services, and not just to help fight burnout among advocates. A third point is that

analyzing changes in immigration law and policies is
very important. At Legal Services NYC, we produce an
advisory and we held a national webinar to share tips
with advocates for how to defend immigrants, under a
2022 system that the government came up with that's
called the asylum processing roll [sic]. It basically
rushes certain asylum-seekers through the process,
and now the government is saying that they're going
to continue adding people to the asylum-seekers
processing roll process by focusing on families. This
is especially going to affect women and children who
are recent asylum-seekers. As far as the City's
efforts, we at LSNYC have found it difficult to learn
some of the details of what their services how to
access them. For example, which people can access
their services, what they're working on, and who's
allowed to walk in without an appointment. We're
glad that you asked them that question, because it's
very helpful for us to gather that information.
Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We will next hear from David Miranda, followed by Gina Starfield, followed by Hannah Weichbrodt.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

3 DAVID MIRANDA: My name is David Miranda, 4 and I'm the Senior Staff Attorney at Covenant House 5 I would like to thank the New York City Council Committee on Immigration and especially Chair 6 Hanif for the opportunity to testify today on such an important issue. At Covenant House we serve young 8 people age 16 to 24 who are experiencing homelessness. For more information on Covenant 10 11 House, please refer to our written testimony. In the 12 past year in our legal services department, I'm the 13 only attorney actually on staff at Covenant House. 14 I've met with roughly 180 immigrant youth, providing 15 direct legal services to 90 of them by either 16 assisting with the asylum and work authorization 17 process, doing intakes and screenings, and also 18 change of venue assistance as some of them have court 19 dates all over the country. I think the issue for us 20 at Covenant House is that there are no legal service 21 providers that are amiable to take our young people. 2.2 So unless I take the cases, in partnership with some 2.3 of our partners such as The Door, the Citywide Justice Center, and Catholic Charities, there are no 24 25 other attorneys in the city that are going to be able

2.2

to do so. And a lot of the issues that we're dealing
with are especially time sensitive. For example, as
you know, the asylum application has to be filed
within a year, and many of our youth do actually
qualify for special immigrant juvenile status. That
is a lengthy process since it takes having to go to
Family Court, as well as then filing the necessary
immigration applications. And many of our young
people, because we serve youth from the age of 16 to
21, many of the young people that we see are on the
verge of turning 21. So that is one of the issues
that we're seeing. There is an issue with youth
accessing the asylum navigation center. It's
unclear whether the asylum navigation center
SEDCEANT AT ADMS. [interposing] Thank you

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you for your testimony. Your time has expired.

DAVID MIRANDA: Just one second. So the referral has to be made from the—directly from the shelter, but my understanding at this point is that the referral has to be done from the DHS shelter, a DSS shelter, and not—I'm not sure that they're taking cases from the DYCD shelter. So I have—our youth have so far been unable to access the asylum navigation center. So there is definitely a need for

3

4

5

6

7

_

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more legal services. We're doing the best that we can and I do appreciate the Council for allowing--for letting me testify today. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Gina Starfield followed by Hannah Weichbrodt, followed by Razia Hamid.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

GINA STARFIELD: Good afternoon Council

Members. My name is Gina Starfield. I'll be speaking for my colleagues Razia Hamid and Hannah Weichbrodt on behalf of The Door's Legal Services Center. The Door is a comprehensive youth development organization that has been supporting young New Yorkers since 1972. Our Legal Services Center specializes in serving young people, including many clients who are unhoused, undocumented, or LGBTQIA+. In the Fiscal Year 2023 we handled 3,282 immigration matters for young people, and specifically represented 170 young people in asylum applications. As our written testimony will detail, no-cost immigration legal service providers in New York City are struggling to respond to the high number of recent arrivals including many children and young

2	immigrants who are seeking legal services. Almost
3	every day, staff members on our legal services team
4	have to turn away young immigrants seeking legal
5	support and representation. All children and young
6	people who may qualify for asylum should receive
7	legal services as soon as possible due to the one-
8	year post-arrival deadline to apply for asylum, and
9	because many of them are in removal proceedings
10	before immigration courts. It is particularly
11	difficult for children and young people to develop
12	and present their own asylum claims without counsel.
13	The process for seeking asylum is highly complex and
14	opaque. Unsurprisingly, asylum-seekers who are
15	represented by an attorney are significantly more
16	likely to win asylum or other forms of relief from
17	deportation. Attorneys can mean the difference
18	between relief and deportation, safety and danger for
19	many young people. Many of the immigrant youth we
20	serve are people with complex trauma. We ask New
21	York City to support funding for mental health
22	providers to expand access to mental health services
23	for asylum-seekers. The Door's comprehensive and
24	holistic programming pairs legal clients with social
25	workers throughout their legal case, and we have seen

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the positive impact of giving youth access to social workers and mental health services throughout the asylum process. The current state of immigration processing means incredibly long wait times at all stages of asylum process. We often see wait times extend for up to five years for an asylum interview --COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Thank

GINA STARFIELD: We urge New York City to support legal service providers in full representation to increase language access as well for asylum-seekers, especially for indigenous language speakers, and to rescind the 60-day shelter rule and ensure shelter for all youth and families with children.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll next hear from Hannah Weichbrodt followed by Razia Hamid, followed by Sierra Kraft.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

HANNAH WEICHBRODT: Razia and I both gave our time to Gina, as she speaks on behalf of The Door.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much. We'll next year, in that case, from Sierra Kraft.

2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting.

3 SIERRA KRAFT: HI, good afternoon 4 esteemed members of the Immigration Committee. 5 appreciate the opportunity to have addressed you I am Sierra Kraft, the Executive Director at 6 7 ICARE. ICARE is a nonprofit coalition of legal 8 service providers dedicated to providing vital and free representation to immigrant children facing deportation in New York City. Our ultimate mission 10 11 is to ensure universal access to counsel for these 12 children. Since 2014 we have supported over 11,000 13 young immigrant New Yorkers. As you've heard today, 14 access to an immigration attorney can be a matter of 15 life or death for immigrant children. The children 16 we represent have fled extreme violence and trauma in 17 their home countries, embarking on a perilous journey 18 in search of safety, protection and opportunities. 19 And without our representation most of these children 20 would be forced to represent themselves against a 21 trained government lawyer. And studies show that 2.2 only 15 percent chance of winning their case while 2.3 unrepresented. And meanwhile, when they're represented, they have nearly over 90 percent success 24 rate of winning their case. And so the loss of 25

_	
2	attorneys can result in the swift deportation back to
3	home countries where their lives are at danger.
4	Today we urge City Council to make a long-term
5	investment in full representation for unaccompanied
6	children and wraparound supports in addition to the
7	light-touch services we've heard about today. This
8	investment would look like multiyear funding and to
9	support and pass bills like the Access to
10	Representation Act to ensure that every immigrant in
11	need of legal representation is connected and
12	supported and guided on their journey. New York City
13	continues rank fourth in the highest number of
14	unaccompanied arrivals and release to sponsors. So
15	we see over 8,400 unaccompanied children arrive to
16	New York every single year. So again, I just want to
17	reiterate our call to City Council to prioritize and
18	increase funding for these vulnerable communities,
19	especially the many unaccompanied minors in New York
20	City who rely on these critical legal services and
21	immigration support that ICARE members
22	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Your time
23	has expired.

24 SIERRA KRAFT: provide.

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you so much.

SIERRA KRAFT: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll now hear from our next Zoom panel. We'll hear from Ernie Collette, followed by Hannah Tager, followed by Leslie Allen [sp?]. Ernie Collette, you're next up.

ERNIE COLLETTE: Good afternoon, and

thank you very much to the committee for allowing me to testify. My name is Ernie Collette. I'm a Supervising Attorney with the Immigration Law Project at Mobilization for Justice. Despite the number of legal services providers and legal collaborations in New York City including the great work by the Asylum Application Help Center, the number of asylum-seekers seeking representation overwhelms the current structure of those seeking relief. Asylum-seekers face adjudication backlogs, long wait times for employment authorization, and struggle to remain in shelters or find affordable housing. This is an unprecedented number of individuals seeking a safe, reliable place to live while trying to navigate a complex immigration system pro se, and removing individuals from shelters as quickly as possible exacerbates a crisis that often forces clients to

decide between focusing their attention on with 2 3 seeking housing or on seeking legal services which again is intensified without immediate access to 4 5 employment authorization. Many of our perspective asylum clients who have faced persecution resulting 6 7 in trauma are attempting not only to seek representation, but also continue to navigate access 8 to housing, education, medical care, both physical and mental health, technology, and food with language 10 11 barriers that only make this process more difficult. 12 This does not even consider the other humanitarian 13 and family-based needs of our current clients which 14 also require time and investment to ensure proper 15 representation. Some solutions may include 16 increasing and creating more grant opportunities that focus directly on access to representation, providing 17 18 new and increasing funding as mentioned today by the 19 City Council for specific applications for relief 20 such as work authorization, increasing to technology and safe locations and access to mental health 21 2.2 counseling, a right to representation to all 2.3 immigrants seeking representation, especially to unaccompanied minors, and calling on the federal 24 government to expand online filings to applications 25

2.2

2.3

with fee waivers and expedite work authorization for certain humanitarian forms of relief, specifically asylum, TPS, and SIDG [sic]. Any future pathways should be accompanied by direct support by the City Council to increase funds and create programs that will allow for more representation, as the demand for these services will only grow. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Hannah Tager, followed by Leslie Allen.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

Chair Hanif and the esteemed members of the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity to submit testimony. My name's Hannah Tager and I'm a Policy Manager at Win. We're the city's largest provider of shelter and supportive housing to families with children. We house over 6,500 people and roughly a third of our clients are immigrants. We also shelter about 270 families who are recently-arrived migrants. We work day-in and day-out to close opportunity gaps for these families and while we're facing significant budget cuts and without reimbursement when it's provided, food and clothing, orientation, childcare

2	and recreation programming, and referrals to physical
3	and mental healthcare. We've spent millions of
4	unanticipated dollars, and without legal
5	intervention, most of these families will be reliant
6	long-term on shelter providers like Win and the City
7	for high-cost emergency shelter and resources. We
8	have seen undocumented families stay upwards of eight
9	years in shelter and families being raised entirely
10	in homelessness, because without a social security
11	number and asylum application, they remain ineligible
12	for housing vouchers like CityFEPS. So in response
13	to that, we launched our own legal empowerment
14	clinic, the lead clinics so that families can apply
15	for asylum within their one-year deadline. It's
16	unique collaboration between the nonprofit legal
17	provider NYLAG and the Immigration Law Firm Fragoman.
18	It's been a huge success so far, and we've helped
19	over 70 families with their asylum claims, and we're
20	excited to see this model being replicated elsewhere.
21	However, there's still work to be done. The reality
22	is is that there will be thousands of migrant
23	families who miss their asylum application deadline
24	and have to live undocumented in the City,
25	essentially relegated to second-class status. So in

_

response to that reality, we are proposing the following policy recommendations. First, we need the City Council to pressure the Administration to please enact CityFEPS expansion and bill package, right?

That will decrease the shelter--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Your time has expired. Thank you so much.

HANNAH TAGER: [inaudible] remove all [inaudible] households into permanent housing.

Additional recommendations are in my testimony.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Leslie Allen. After Leslie Allen we'll hear from our final Zoom panel, which will be Nuala O'Doherty-Narangjo, followed by Antonia House, followed by Jim Burke. But next up is Leslie Allen.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LESLIE ALLEN: Good afternoon. My name is Leslie Allen and I'm the Deputy Director of Legal Services at the New York City Anti-violence Project.

I use she/her pronouns, and I appreciate the opportunity to share my perspective and experiences with the barriers to service immigrant clients within

2	an AVP [sic]. Our immigrant survivors are trying to
3	move towards safety and stability after fleeing
4	violence and persecution. We serve the LGBTQ and
5	HIV-affected survivors in a wide variety of legal
6	services, including immigration, but certainly not
7	exclusive to immigration. We are small compared to a
8	lot of the agencies that you have heard from today
9	with only 120 immigration clients currently active.
10	What we do see is that we have hit a point where we
11	no longer can take on new clients because of lack of
12	funding, because litigating asylum cases start to
13	finish is incredibly detailed and requires a
14	tremendous amount of training and expertise and is
15	incredibly paperwork heavy. We know that we have
16	incredible amounts of unmet need. LGBTQ survivors are
17	referred to AVP through calling our 24/7 hotline
18	which helps people in all kinds of different states
19	of crises, and what we've seen is that the immigrant
20	demand for services and protection has increased
21	exponentially in recent months and years. As a
22	lawyer and the manager of Legal Services at the Anti-
23	violence Project, I regularly witness litigants
24	trying to navigate around systemic barriers faced by
25	LGBTQ that LGBTQ and HIV-affected immigrants are

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2	especially having difficulty navigating. What we are
3	specifically worried about at AVP is extreme delays
4	and opaque procedures and navigating the immigrant
5	court system, difficulty in finding pro bono
6	[inaudible] physical and mental health providers
7	necessary to corroborate asylum-seekers in telling
8	their story and history of persecution.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

LESLIE ALLEN: Yes. Thank you for this opportunity and please refer to my written testimony. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from our final Zoom panel. We'll first hear from Nuala O'Doherty-Narangjo, followed by Antonia House, followed by Jim Burke.

> Starting time. SERGEANT AT ARMS:

NUALA O'DOHERTY-NARANJO: Hello. My name is Nuala O'Doherty-Naranjo. I'm an attorney here at the Jackson Heights Immigrant Center in Jackson Height, Queens. I'm here in a-- [inaudible] family apply for asylum. We are the type of small mutual aid group on the ground helping the maximum number of

people and we implore the City and the City Council		
to help us fund these projects. A small group like		
mine goes through a case of paper a week, and we have		
no funding to buy the paper. We work in an all-		
volunteer staff, and we're working to try to help as		
many immigrants as possible where there's really no		
funding stream for small mutual aid groups like mine		
to seek support from the City. We are doing this		
day-in and day-out. We don't have time to be		
applying for grants. We really ask or demanding that		
the City give us some small amounts of funding so		
that we can continue serving as many immigrants as we		
can. We'd also love some answers about how the		
shelter changes are going affect these immigrant		
families so we can spread the information. Truthful		
information is so crucial. So many immigrants are		
going based on whims and rumors and speculation. So		
it's important that groups like mine have correct		
information, especially about things like the changes		
in Medicaid that have happened and why all the new		
immigrants are now being denied Medicaid. We'd love		
[inaudible] and work closely with the City so we can		
help as many immigrants as possible. So I'm asking		
the City to really look at small mutual aid groups		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are down in the community helping the immigrants every day and provide support for them. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll next hear from Antonia House followed by Jim Burke.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ANTONIA HOUSE: Hi, many name is Antonia I work at Take Root Justice and I coordinate CILEC which is the collaborative of 13 legal service provider and base-building organizations that serve immigrants throughout the City. Collectively we handle thousands of immigration cases every year. want to echo what others have said, that while pro se assistance is incredibly important, problems lie ahead for the City if it does not increase investment in legal services infrastructure that can address long-term needs of both new and existing immigrant populations here. Preserve deadlines and even work permit applications will postpone, but not prevent the creation of a new generation of undocumented New Yorkers. Others have talked about the limitation of pro se services. I'll just note that most people who are un-represented in removal proceedings will eventually be ordered removed, but very few will

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony, we'll next hear from Jim Burke.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

3 JIM BURKE: Hi, my name is Jim Burke. I'm a Co-founder at 34th Avenue Open Streets where we see 4 5 lots and lots of migrants in this neighborhood in Jackson Heights. We work closely with these small 6 7 mutual aid places like Jackson Heights Immigration Site, Community SOC which is a food bank that 8 distributes clothing and food, and these groups don't have enough money to help folks. They-- one of the 10 11 groups distributes clothing every week and food as 12 often as they can. They got -- actually also open up 13 their community center every Wednesday for the 14 shelter residents to get appropriate clothing, 15 because they often come from climates that don't even 16 support this type of weather we've having now, never 17 mind winter. And these community organizations are 18 on the ground, and all those people I know are 19 panicking now with the limitations on how long can 20 they stay at the shelter and these-- how are they 21 going to get their mail? How are they going to get their misinformation? The clock is, you know, 2.2 2.3 working against them. These small groups process a lot of these applications. They help them fill them 24 out. They go step by step. They usually have a 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lawyer on hand to review it at the end, but they need help, and I would really urge you to think of COVID and think about the first responders were these smaller mutual aid groups. Unfortunately, the larger groups are unwieldy, and by the time they get it together, the time has run out. So, again, urging you to look at these small community-based neighborhood groups in the neighborhoods where we have the most immigrants like Jackson Heights, and Corona, Elmhurst, and let them help these migrants get their work visas and get the help that they so desperately need, and figure out what's their next step when they're being kicked out of the-- where they're living now in our neighborhood. We have to be ahead of the game, and again, urging you--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

JIM BURKE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We have no heard from everyone who has signed up to testify. If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in-person, please visit the Sergeant's table and complete a witness slip now. If we inadvertently missed anyone who

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Hanif to close us out.

would like to testify virtually, please us the raise hand function in Zoom and we will call on you in the order of hands raised. Seeing no one else, I would like to note that written testimony which will be reviewed in full by committee staff may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov. And with that, I will turn it back over to Chair

CHAIRPERSON HANIF: Thank you so much, Nicole. Thank you all for joining. As Chair of the Immigration Committee I remain committed to ensuring all new arrivals to our city are met with compassion and welcomed with dignity. I will use my time to bring attention to what causes mass migration and displacement, climate crises, political tensions, war, and economic stressors. We will not use my committee to dissuade anyone from coming to our city. I remain committed to pushing for comprehensive legal services for full representation as we heard from providers directly, and to working closely with the Administration for accessible legal services that reach our new neighbors and reject directives such as the 30/60-day rules that make it further precarious

COMMITTEE	ON	IMMIGRATION

to obtain services. We are appreciative of all the small and large organizations, mutual aid networks committed to this work. Thank you all for joining. See you at our next hearing.

[gavel]

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 28, 2023