CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

OF THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

Wednesday, January 29, 2025

Start: 11:37 P.M. Recess: 12:30 P.M.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room,

16th Floor

B E F O R E: Hon. Kevin C. Riley, Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks Francisco P. Moya

Yusef Salaam

Lynn C. Schulman

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES A P P E A R A N C E S

Raymond Levin, Herrick Feinstein LLP, Counsel to Applicant

Steven Bari, JPB Realty

Anatole Ashraf Chairperson of New York City Queens Community Board 2

Eric Narburgh, Vice Chair Woodside - Arts & Cultural Affairs

Dr. Rosamond Gianutsos, First Vice Chair of New York City Queens Community Board  $2\,$ 

Frank St. Jacques, Associate with Akerman LLP, Representing Rezoning Applicant

Andrew Esposito, Apex Development

public hearings. Starting with the votes, the first

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 4

one concerns a mixed-use residential development

known as 2185 Cole (sic) (\*Transcription Note: Coyle)

Street in Sheepshead Bay, Brooklyn.

The second vote concerns an application for another mixed-use residential development known as 438 Concord Avenue in the South Bronx.

Today's hearing concerns one application to develop a mixed-use residential building in Whitestone, Queens. The other hearing concerns the demapping and conveyance of an area adjacent to a highway entrance in Long Island City.

I will now go over the hearing procedures.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format.

Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or through Zoom.

Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up.

And for those of you here in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

When you are called to testify before the subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony that you would like the subcommittee to consider, or if you have written testimony you wish to submit to the subcommittee instead of appearing in person, please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU number and/or the project name in the subject line of your email.

We request that the witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum must be observed at all times. As such, members of the public are asked not to speak during the hearing unless you are testifying. The witness table is reserved for

people who are called to testify. No video recording
or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

I just want to state for the record that we have been joined by Council Member Hanks.

The first proposal we are voting on today is to approve with modifications LUs 208 and 209 relating to the 2185 Coyle Street Rezoning proposal in Council Member Narcisse's district.

The proposal seeks to develop a mixed-use residential building with a supermarket in Sheepshead Bay.

The proposed development will have over 400 apartments, and between 20 to 25% will be permanently affordable.

The proposal consists of two actions:

The first is a Zoning Map amendment to change an existing R4 residential District to a set of a higher density contextual residential District, R6A, R7A, and R7X with a C2-4 commercial overlay.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

The second action is a Zoning Text Amendment to map a mandatory inclusionary housing area over the rezone area. We are recommending modifications to reflect the actual height of the proposed building and to ensure the bulk... the project matches receipt excuse me... The bulk to project matches recent Rezoning along Coyle Street and Avenue V.

The modification will replace the requested R7A District with an R6A District along Coyle Street and the requested R7X District with an R7D District along Avenue V.

Under these revised districts, the proposal would still generate over 400 apartments and be able to contain a supermarket.

The other modifications we are recommending is to remove Option 2 and add the deep affordability option. This will make 20 to 25% of the proposed apartments permanently affordable. The deep affordability option will restrict the affordable apartments to families making up to 40% of the area medium income, which is approximately \$62,000 for a family of four.

I'm going to now give the floor to Council Member Narcisse to give some remarks on this project.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Good morning. I want to start by saying thank you, uhm, Brian and the team, Paris, for helping me out through this process.

When I came to, uhm, as a council member, I know sometimes you have to make tough and difficult decision. Good morning, y'all. Good morning. Thank you.

I have carefully considered this proposal in consultation with our local stakeholders, which is, uhm, most of the folks around community 15... We strongly... Community Board 15. We strongly believe that modifying the zoning, uhm, the zoning district is necessary to ensure that the height permitted by zoning more closely aligns with the proposed development.

While this modification results in a kind of split very slight reduction in the overall square footage of the project, it still allows a 9-story development future, a supermarket, and over 400 much needed housing units in our community.

We know we're in our housing crisis, and I have to say thank you for you, Richard. You've been a gentleman, and thank you for going through the process. I know we've come to a difficult time right

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9

now. There is a problem that we need to address

because we want to make sure like last hearing, I

said, I trust you, but then again, when the community

that elects me to represent them talks, I have to

6 listen. So I just want to say thank you for even

7 bringing the project to our district.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This adjustment strikes a balance between responsibility... responsible developers and maintaining the character of our community. With this appropriate modification, my community, and the support.... I support I mean, I support the proposal.

Let me let me be clear. I support it with the modification just because I want to strike that balance where they build trust between community and government.

So I want to say thank you again for doing this project. We are in a housing crisis, and we need that more than ever. So thank you again. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Narcisse.

The second vote is to approve of modifications

LUs 210 and 211 relating to the 438 Concord Avenue

proposal in Deputy Speaker Ayala's district.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

The proposal seeks to develop a mixed-use residential building in the South Bronx. The proposed development will have approximately 87 apartments, of which 24 will be permanently affordable.

The proposal consists of two actions:

The first is a Zoning Map Amendment to change an existing manufacturing M1-2 District to a mixed-use M1-4/R7D District.

The second action is a Zoning Text Amendment to map a mandatory inclusionary housing area. We are recommended to remove Option 2 and add the deep affordability option. This will make 20 to 25% of the proposed apartments permanently affordable, similarly to the Coyle Street project.

Deputy Speaker Ayala supports this proposal based on the recommended modifications.

I will now hand it over to counsel... Do we have any council members with any questions?

I now call for a vote to approve with modifications, LUs 208 and 209, relating to 2185 Coyle Street Rezoning Proposal. And to approve of modifications LUs 210 and 211 relating to 438 Concord Avenue Rezoning proposal.

Counsel, can you please call the roll?

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 11
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley?
3	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Moya?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Abreu?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Aye.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Hanks?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: I vote aye.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Schulman?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: I vote aye.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Salaam?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: I vote aye.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Carr?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Aye.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of 7 in the
17	affirmative, 0 opposition, and no abstentions the
18	items are approved with modification as described by
19	the chair, and all items are referred to a full Land
20	Committee.
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.
22	I will now open the public hearing on LUs 231 for
23	the 49-39 Van Dam Street Demapping Proposal in
24	Council Member Won's district.

2.2

2.3

The proposal seeks to demap and have the city conveyed to an applicant an area next to an onramp for the I-495 West.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to submit a speaker's card.

If you prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to give the floor to Council Member Won to give remarks on this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much, Chair Riley.

Good morning, everyone. This hearing focuses on an application to demap a DOT owned property in Long Island City, the address is 49-39 Van Dam Street, to allow the parcel to be transferred to private ownership. Queen's Community Board, the Chair is here, the Executive Board is here, and I believe other members are here to testify, has voted against this Land Use action citing the following concerns:

2.2

2.3

The first concern is the dwindling supply of city owned land and green space particularly in Long

Island City and Sunnyside, which this area is in and has no remaining green space except for this specific parcel, which could be used for green infrastructure and stormwater management.

Second concern that they have raised is uncertainty about the developer's long term intentions for this site. The applicant says that they plan to develop an outdoor dining space on the parcel. The parcel is in an industrial area and is inside a curved... curve of an expressway onramp.

The community board skepticism is understandable given the location of the parcel and lack of commercial restaurants in the area and foot traffic.

The applicant has expressed that the DOT has done a poor job of maintaining the site and says that private ownership would resolve this problem.

I share the community board's concern about the precedent that this would set. While the circumstances are in which that they may make sense for the public land to be become private owned, I believe that the goal must be to public benefit, and it is not clear to me that this Land Use action would

2.2

2.3

deliver the maximum public benefit. And we want to make sure that we're also working with DOT to resolve the problem that private owner has pointed out about poor maintenance.

Community board 2 has called for the DOT to ensure adequate site maintenance and security, which they... it is under their jurisdiction, and I support them on this call.

The purpose of this hearing is to allow the Council to examine this proposed Land Use action and consider the potential impacts on the community as well as the potential impacts beyond the direct community, given that the selling of the land... public land to a private developer to solve the issue of public property neglect could set a precedent for future transactions involving all of our public land across the city. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Won.

I will now call the applicant panel for this

proposal, which consists of Raymond Levin and Steven

Bari.

Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand and state your name for the record.

- 1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
- 2 MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Raymond Levin.
- 3 MR. STEVEN BARI: Steven Bari.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today, and respond honestly to council member questions?

PANEL: Yes 8

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, for the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin, I just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And can you please make sure your mic is on?

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Is it on now?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, it is, okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

> MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Good morning. I think it's still morning. I'm Raymond Levin, I'm with the Law Firm of Herrick Feinstein, counsel to the applicant here.

Steve Bari is the property owner who is seeking to purchase an adjacent vacant city-owned lot. Next

4 | slide, please.

2.2

2.3

The lot is sandwiched between the onramp to the Queens Midtown Expressway. It curves around and is, uh, as you can see in the slide, it is on the right hand side. On the left hand side is the property that Steve owns. It includes two warehouse buildings with... separated by an open area that's been used for parking.

The property Steve's owned since 1988. Next. Next slide, please.

The city lot is covered with weeds and trees. It has been that way for some time. Next, please.

The remains of illegal dumping, you can see on this... in this slide, uh, this is between the... on the right is the embankment that holds up the curved ramp onto the highway, and the left is, uh, is the wall of one of the warehouses. This area has also seen squatters and some illegal activity. Next, please.

The site is virtually invisible from the street.

This is Van Dam Street. You can see the two warehouse buildings that our client owns. And the trees in the

background is the city parcel, which is not visible
from this street. Next, please.

This is Borden Avenue, which, uh, runs underneath the expressway. It continues to the right. To the left is the sort of a alleyway to get to the city parcel, uhm, between the blank wall of the warehouse and the blank wall that's holding up the ramp onto the highway. Next, please.

The this positioning of the property allows for use for activities that people don't want to be seen. You know, where they seek to avoid public view. There no way to see it from either, uh, either street.

During three public hearings on this project, uh, both the request to acquire the property and the outdoor dining plan, uh, there were no speakers offering a testimony in opposition.

While we respect the Community Board's desire to maintain the lot in City ownership, we believe the City will be better served by its transfer. As discussed in testimony at City Planning Commission, the City will benefit financially through the receipt of the purchase price and ongoing real estate taxes plus payroll and sales taxes associated with the

2.2

2.3

2 restaurant business to be established in the 3 repurposed where warehouse buildings.

The City will benefit from sustainable drainage plan overseen by the owner of the adjacent buildings, which are the only properties threatened by flooding.

The patio area will be covered with permeable material and regraded to facilitate proper drainage.

The reuse of the city lot includes over a third of its area, will be landscape with trees and plantings from The Parks Department's approved list. These will be primarily located along the semicircular ramp edge of the property.

There has been skepticism expressed about Steve's restaurant plan and use of the lot. His family has 40 years in the restaurant business and has owned the adjoining buildings for over 35 years. They are not speculative developers. They are long term owners and managers of multiple properties in the city. Next slide, please.

Thank you for hearing us out, and we are here to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your presentation.

2.2

2.3

How do you plan to mitigate the safety risk and noise of the outdoor seating area for vehicles using

4 the on ramp?

2.2

2.3

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Well, I guess there are two things. In terms of the noise and whatever, there are gonna be plantings which are gonna be along the edge of our property... of the property we're hoping to buy. So there are gonna be trees and plantings along the edge, which will mitigate some of the noise.

I think some of the noise may have mitigated itself because of the congestion pricing. This is, uh, this ramp is an on ramp into the city. So, anyone who gets on, it's got \$9.00 to pay at the other end.

So that's where... in terms of security of the lot, we will put up a we will put up a fence. I mean, right now, the City has not done that. So we will... we will do it. We will ensure that, uh... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: A fence where?

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: At Borden Avenue and at the edge of our warehouse building that's the furthest north.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: (INAUDIBLE) Borden Avenue (INAUDIBLE)

2 (PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

MR. STEVEN BARI: I can speak to the fencing, uh, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

MR. STEVEN BARI: So, just for (INAUDIBLE) I'm Steven Bari, I'm owner of the properties adjacent to this lot.

The fencing, in conjunction with access that DOT needs to maintain the fence, uh, maintain the ramp, which is about 15 feet from the ramp itself. So there's gonna be a semicircular area that they would need to access. The security, uh, perimeter would be from that 15 point... 15 foot area. So that's where the fencing would begin.

The tree line would begin either before or after that depending on, uh, negotiation with the DOT and what they would like to do with the space.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. How long have you owned this property?

MR. STEVEN BARI: Forty years. In fact, I had my first communion there. My father had a pizzeria at the location, and I could've had it at any location that my dad had a pizzeria, and that was the location I chose.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And what are the businesses on the other side?

MR. STEVEN BARI: So, there's two... on the opposite lot?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Opposite side of the lot, yeah, excuse me.

MR. STEVEN BARI: So, our warehouses, uh, have always been... one is a commercial tenant that does warehousing. It's kind of like a Chinese Fresh Direct. And then the other tenant at 4965 is a commissary kitchen for Chinese restaurants at the moment.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Have you ever had any correspondence with DOT, uh, regarding the squatters or this garbage that you saw on this other lot or try to work with the community to resolve this issue?

MR. STEVEN BARI: The history of our engagement

with any city services regarding that lot has been pre-311.

So we were making calls to whatever city agency we would, uh, before that, but everything should be on the record with 311 in terms of any complaints that we've made. They range from, more importantly, just squatters on the area, homeless, and

2.2

2.3

particularly in the colder weather, like this cold snap that we had last, uh, two weeks. There was someone there on the lot. And two weeks ago, there was someone who actually was driving up on the sidewalk trying to park their car in this lot area. And, you know, we had to call the police, uh, the 109th Precinct, if I'm not mistaken, and they didn't know what to do. So it it's a weird area where multiple different agencies are convening, because it's city, state, and, obviously, police in regards to that. But, you know, we've reached out through 311 in most cases.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you. Council Member Won?

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: My main question was for the DOT on how helpful they have been, and it seems like you do have record of communicating with them to clean up and also for DSNY to come clean up the trash or the littering. And, uhm...

MR. STEVEN BARI: If I can just speak to the DOT's maintenance of the area, it's evident that it has not been taken care of. The overgrown trees, as you can refer in the (UNINTELLIGIBLE), are weeds. They've been growing there for 40, and they cover, uh, the

example, there was a homeless person there who

created an encampment. It went to DSNY, and then it

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Mm-hmm.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. STEVEN BARI: And this was pre-COVID, so this is 2018.

But, again, because of the location of the area, the ramp itself not being really part of the city...

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Mm-hmm.

MR. STEVEN BARI: And nobody wants to take ownership of it. So if there is an issue, there is no way to resolve it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Well, it sounds like they were responsive because they removed the homeless encampments.

MR. STEVEN BARI: The homeless, uh, resolving an issue after years of neglect, and that encampment built up over time. It wasn't that it suddenly appeared one day, and then it was removed that same day. It was years, in some cases, decades of activity on the premises.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Have you contacted elected officials that overlap with that site, like a state

\_ -

senator, state elect, uh, state assembly member, the congress member, or the city council member for that site to help you with the maintenance of the site?

MR. STEVEN BARI: It's not our business to maintain a site that's not ours to maintain. And more importantly, in regards to our, uh, being adjacent to the property, we've been flooded because the area is not permeable. As grassy as that may look in any of those pictures, the area has decades of garbage layered on top of the soil. And if you ask any, uh, officer that's had to go back there, the number one thing they tell us is be careful, there's a lot of drug paraphernalia and syringes there.

So with that being said, I can't, uh, attest to its maintenance because it's not... we're the adjacent property owner. If we're saying this as a neighbor to neighbor, it's not been maintained.

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: It's just confusing for me to follow that logic because you're saying that you want to demap and become the owner of it, but as a neighbor to it, you don't want to be bothered by it.

But it's clear to us, as a local council member, we have multiple sites. For example, we have an MTA-

2 owned site, uhm, in Long Island City, that we have

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

had DUC go out to DCNV go out to and communities

3 had DHS go out to, DSNY go out to, and communities

4 have volunteered to clear... clean up the site from

5 drug, uh, drug paraphernalia, as well as homeless

6 encampments where multiple nonprofits have come

together to clean up that site.

So now that it's on our map for us, for our awareness with the community board, I have no doubt that other people would, if notified, that they would get the agencies involved, then there would be oversight and accountability to make sure that the agency is doing its job to keep that clean.

MR. STEVEN BARI: And...

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: The site is not visible from the street. So to the extent that there are things going on back there, whether it's clean or not clean, they're not gonna be visible. Oh, sorry? It's not on?

UNKNOWN: (NO MIC) We can't hear you...

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Oh, sorry? Is it on now?

21 Oh my god. Okay. Uhm, so the fact that the site

 $22 \parallel$  is not visible from the street, whether the police

23 car drives by on Borden Avenue or Van Dam Street,

24  $\parallel$  they're not gonna see what's going on back there.

./

-

MR. RAYMOND LEVIN: Yes, he...

If it's clean, that's very nice. But that doesn't solve some of the other illegal problems that that have occurred back there.

But beyond all of that, the question and the reason that we're here is to make it usable and so that our business can expand into that space so that we can take control over it on a day to day basis, not every once in a while, when someone calls.

So it's, uh, we understand... we understand the concern, but this piece of property is bounded by warehouse buildings, by a ramp; across the street is a is a mini storage. To the north is a Dunkin'

Donuts. There's a Tesla dealership. This is not part of a residential area at all. It's part of a of an IBZ, an industrial area. So the... we are... we're looking to acquire it not so much for the purpose of making it nice and neat, but for the purpose of our business.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. So am I understanding correctly that the main motivation for acquiring or demapping this is for your market opportunity for your business growth?

2 MR. STEVEN BARI: Correct. So...

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay...

MR. STEVEN BARI: Uhm, if I can just, uh,

Councilwoman, this area in Long Island City has a
history of restaurant spaces and, uh, event spaces
that are both identified by its commercial aspect and
its commercial history, and this would be one of
those locations. The Creek & The Cave is one example
that used to be in the area and unfortunately closed
during COVID. And another, uh, great spot in Long
Island City that melded high end and, uh, its
industrial heritage was M. Wells, which just closed
in December. And we would be taking a note out of
those playbooks in order to develop an area for the
space.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. I just want to make it clear that the community board wants to work with the community and the agencies to maintain and keep the public space green and want to use it.

What you have been saying is that you need to acquire for... because of the lack of maintenance, but it's clear that it's not for maintenance issues, but it's for your own business growth and your profit opportunities that you want to acquire the land.

MR. STEVEN BARI: If I can just speak to the concept. Van Dam Street and Borden Avenue is probably one of the most high frequent areas by cars. It's highly visible, and anybody who's coming into the city is passing by Borden Avenue and Van Dam. So, naturally, it has a lot of just self marketing from that perspective.

So any space there is going to bring in attention. So creating a space, an event space, a restaurant space there and utilizing that back lot area, which we refer to it as, uh, for outdoor dining would not only facilitate that, but really bring something to the area that would be appealing to customers.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I don't have any other further questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Won.

Are there any more council members with questions for this panel?

There being no more questions for this panel, the panel is excused.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

'/

\_\_\_

Counselor, are there any members of the public who wish to testify remotely or in person regarding the demapping proposal?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Chair, we have three people in person who would like to testify and one person online.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: For the members of the public here to testify, please note that witnesses will generally be called in panels of three. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name when being called and prepared to speak when I say you can begin.

Please also note that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony, if remotely you will be removed from meeting as a group, and the next group of speakers will be introduced. Once removed, participants may continue to view the livestream broadcast of this hearing on the Council's website.

Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock.

The following individuals who signed up to testify should not come to the witness table:

future public use, including possible stormwater

2 management infrastructure, and number three,
3 uncertainty about the plans of the applicant.

2.2

2.3

But, that said, the fact that, you know, we are here passionately to speak in favor of keeping this patch of land, what it... to keep it what it is, if not, you know, develop it, or at least maintain it ourselves, just goes to show that, we need our taxpayer land, our public land, to remain green. We desperately need green space in our district. It's... one of the fastest growing neighborhoods in the world is Long Island City, and we have no... we have very little green space in the area.

And, even if we can't, uhm, build on top of...
even if... even if we can't maintain this or develop
it into something, you know, people can go to more
frequently, just letting it be stormwater, soakage,
uh, just letting it be what it is, is more valuable
than losing it to, uh, especially for a private
profit.

And, you know, it's NYC, most importantly, it's LIC. There'll be no shortage of interested parties to build and develop elsewhere in our district.

And we're... we're fighting a small business, but that said, we... it's much more critical for us to

2 have this piece of land be what it is, if not a 3 little greener. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Eric?

2.2

2.3

Yeah, I was going to ask him that question after, but I'll ask you at the end.

VICE CHAIR ERIC NARBURGH: Hi, my name is Eric Narburgh, I've been a resident of Council District 26 for 17 years, uh, worked there for 10 years, and I've been a member of the community board for three.

I speak in favor of retaining the land under City control. We're concerned about the lack of public green space in the district, which is vital for land and air quality.

The image at the beginning of the slides illustrated it, but for... to add more detail, we are very short of green space in the district - 26% of it is mapped as green space compared to 40% citywide, and none of that is natural green space.

At a time when the neighborhood is in the process of rezoning and redevelopment, and as we continue to face the undeniable implications of climate change, I urge city agencies to preserve and steward green space, not relinquish it. Work with non profits and

volunteers in our community who are very interested in preserving green space in the district.

On CB 2, we regularly hear from our residents in Blissville who suffer from stormwater flooding and neglect in response to their concerns about safety. Data reflects that air quality is poor in the area due to heavy manufacturing and traffic emissions from the LIE and entrance to the Midtown Tunnel. Trees and greenery would mitigate that. And I volunteer with Community House to check-in on older adults in the district, and I hear from them directly about how poor air conditions affect their mobility and quality of life.

As we look to the future, we need to consider what is best for the safety and well-being of the community and the sustainability of our land.

The City should retain this green space and properly maintain it for the long term maximum benefit of the public. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Rosamond?

2.2

2.3

FIRST VICE CHAIR ROSAMOND GIANUTSOS: My name is Rosamond Gianutsos, I am an over 50-year resident of District 26, and I'm here... I'm on the community

2.2

2.3

board, I'm actually the first vice chair, but our chair has spoken well for the board. And I honestly, I wish... I went over to this site on Sunday. I rode over there with my bike. Thank goodness, because there was no place that I could really have, you know, provisioned for parking. So I don't know what kind of, uh, resident, you know, restaurant business they anticipate having and having people get there. There's no public transit nearby and so on, and there are no trees anywhere other than on this particular lot.

They say it's not visible from the street. I'm so sorry that the PowerPoint show that I developed to explain, and what I saw, is not that... I can't show it to you. You can clearly see the trees. It's quite... it appears quite clean actually. Of course, in the winter, there are no leaves. I did see one sheet of plastic with some person or somebody or something going on far in the distant, but it is very visible from that offramp from the north side.

And, uh, it's so important for us, as I have been on the community board now for five years, we can't get Land Use and rezoning, uh, applications, and we are always saying, well, what can we do to bring more

clarify that for the record.

2 My next question is, being... How long have you 3 been the chair of this committee?

CHAIR ANATOLE ASHRAF: This is my second year.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Your second year?

CHAIR ANATOLE ASHRAF: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are you familiar with...

(CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIR ANATOLE ASHRAF: And, sorry, I have been a member since 2019.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright, perfect. So, have you been a part of any correspondence with any city agencies to take care of this specific location?

CHAIR ANATOLE ASHRAF: I personally have not but, my board members, uh, CB2 members, definitely have, uhm, some of them have, uh, from what I recall, have corresponded with DOT. And it has been, uh, it's not, you know, one of the top locations, but there are Blissville people and people from the Blissville neighborhood that are very close, you know, the adjacent neighborhood to this, uh, are very well aware of this. And they have always voiced concern for... not specifically for this piece of land always, but the overall, you know, greenery of this area.

(INAUDIBLE) that to you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

state and city has discussed the sale of this

There being no (TIMER CHIMES) questions, this

24

25

panel is excused.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No.

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Counsel, are there any more members of the public who wish to testify on this demapping proposal?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, there being no other members of the public who wish testify on LU 231, related to the 49-39 Van Dam Street Demapping

Proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

I will now open hearing on LUs 229 and 230, relating to the 22-03 14th Avenue Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Paladino's district.

The proposal seeks to develop a residential mixed-use develop... excuse me, a residential mixeduse building with approximately 94 apartments in the Whitestone neighborhood of Queens.

The proposal also involves the mapping of mandatory inclusionary housing, and approximately 28 of the units would be affordable.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to submit a speaker's card.

for the record. You may begin.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: Thank you, Chair Riley, and members of the subcommittee. I am Frank St.

Jacques, I am with Akerman LLP. We are a Land Use counsel on the project, which is a collaboration between two local development firms, uh, June's Construction and Apex Development. I am joined by Andrew Esposito from Apex for questions. Next slide, please.

The rezoning area is in College Point on the north side of 14th Avenue between College Point Boulevard and 123rd Street. It was zoned R4A/C1-3 in the 2005 College Point Rezoning.

The rezoning area is at the edge of a transition area between the residential context to the north and the industrial and commercial context to the south, including the Special College Point District. The surrounding zoning reflects this. College Point Boulevard is the main north/south corridor connecting College Point to Flushing; 14th Avenue is the northernmost east/west corridor connecting College Point to Whitestone. Next slide, please.

This Land Use map illustrates this transition area from mostly residential to several industrial uses, which are shown in blue, surrounding the

2.2

2.3

2 rezoning area, then commercial and industrial uses
3 moving south. Next slide, please.

The proposed rezoning would establish an R6A/C2-4, replacing the existing R4A/C1-3 and also establish an MIH (Mandatory Inclusionary Housing) area with options one and two.

The current R4-A zoning does not permit multifamily housing. The R5-B, running along 14th Avenue immediately south of the rezoning area, is one of the few parts of College Point where multifamily development is currently allowed after the 2005 rezoning. Next slide, please.

The proposed actions would facilitate the development of a new 6-story mixed-use building with approximately 75,000 square feet of floor area, approximately 70,000 of which would be residential floor area.

This yields 94 units, including 28 permanently income restricted units under MIH Option 2 and just over 6,000 square feet of local retail on the ground floor. Next slide, please.

Here are some illustrative views of the proposed development, from the west on the left and from the east on the right. Next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

And this illustrative ground floor plan, shows the commercial space in red, which would wrap College Point Boulevard and 14th Avenue, residential lobby and amenity space, as well as parking on a ground floor space, and there's an additional cellar floor that would provide more parking. Next slide, please.

This illustrative mandatory inclusionary housing breakdown shows the 28 total MIH units at a range of incomes, nine at 60% AMI, 10 at 80% AMI, and another nine at 100% AMI.

That concludes my presentation, and I'm happy to answer any questions as is, uh, Andrew Esposito from Apex.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Can you break down the size of market units for us?

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: In in terms of, square footage, or?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No, just a breakdown of units available.

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: Oh, the number of units?
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah.

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: Sorry. There's 94 total, 28 of which are MIH. And let me just do the quick

MR. ANDREW ESPOSITO: Yes, sir.

2.2

2.3

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: So, the... the breakdown is actually shown on the slide of - apologies for taking a moment to find it - It's about 15% studios, 50% one bedrooms, 30% two bedrooms, and a few five,

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Why is the three bedroom so minimal? Like...

uh, a three bedroom, so 5% of three bedrooms.

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: Typically, uh, one and two bedrooms are the most common units. Uhm ,you know, there's... there's, uh, less market for three bedroom units. You know, many developments I've worked on don't include three bedrooms, so this was ,you know, in response to the established, uh, neighborhood character of surrounding College Point - so to provide, uhm, some three bedroom units to account for larger families.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is this project shovel ready, and if not, when do you anticipate construction to start?

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: I'll actually defer to Andrew. There is an existing commercial building on the site, uh, that is owned by the applicant. It would require demolition... What did we say about a year before...

2 MR. ANDREW ESPOSITO: Yeah...

2.2

2.3

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: for pre WD development?

MR. ANDREW ESPOSITO: Yeah, I mean, so the answer to your question is it's not shovel ready because of that building. Commencement of construction, shovels in the ground probably a year, demolition short of that, uh, upon hopefully successful completion of this process, we would start design ,you know, formal design of the building construction documents. It's like a six to nine month process, and we would commence at that time. So...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And you plan to develop not sell the site, right?

MR. ANDREW ESPOSITO: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright. How do you attempt to address the borough president's recommended goal for MWBE and local hiring?

MR. FRANK ST. JACQUES: It turns out the, uh, one of the applicants, June's Construction, is a registered MWBE, uh, registered with contractor.

MR. ANDREW ESPOSITO: Yeah, so he's also, the reason... I'd like to say more, and my lawyers might say, "You say too much," but he's my partner and owner with us. He is also a contractor, an MWBE

and other council staff, as well as the Sergeant at

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	51
2	Arms, for participating in today's meeting. This	
3	meeting is herby adjourned, thank you.	
4	(GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING OUT)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 3, 2025