CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

----- X

October 31, 2024 Start: 10:08 a.m. Recess: 2:21 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Sandy Nurse,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Diana Ayala
Tiffany Cabàn
Shahana K. Hanif
Christopher Marte
Mercedes Narcisse
Lincoln Restler
Carlina Rivera
Sanchez Pierina
Althea V. Stevens

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lynelle Maginley-Liddie Commissioner of Department of Correction

Jeanette Merrill New York City Health + Hospitals/Correctional Health Services

Ingris Martinez
Department of Correction

James Conroy
Department of Correction

Jeremiah Johnson Department of Correction

Jocelyn Stauber Commissioner of DOI

Karen Klines Sexual Abuse Survivors

Anna Kull Survivors of Sexual Abuse

Tasha Carter Beasley

Konstantin Yelisavetskiy Slater Slater Shulman

Michael Klinger Brooklyn Defender Services

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Barbara Hamilton Legal Aid

Donna Hylton A Little Piece of Light

Christopher Leon Johnson Self

Chaplain Dr. Victoria A. Phillips Jails Action Coalition

Yonah Zeitz Katal Center for Equity, Health, and Justice

Ned McCormick
Department of Correction

Leah Faria
Women's Community Justice Association

Valerie Greisokh Department of Correction

Seitan Sacco(SP?)

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 4
SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a microphone check on
the Committee of Criminal Justice located in the
Committee Room, recorded by James Marino on 10-312024.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council Hearing for the Committee on Criminal Justice. At this time, please silence all electronic devices. No one may approach the dais at any time during today's hearing. Chair, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: [GAVEL] Good morning. Good morning, I'm Council Member Sandy Nurse, Chair of the Council's Committee on Criminal Justice. I'd like to welcome you to today's oversight hearing on Preventing and Addressing Sexual Assault and Harassment in City Jails, where we will also consider Intro. 830 sponsored by Council Member Louis.

Up front, I'd like to note that throughout today's hearing we will be discussing sexual violence. This topic is disturbing and may be difficult for some people, so please take care of yourself. I want to recognize my colleagues who are here. Council Member Marte, thank you for being here. Sadly, this is not the first time this

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

Committee has focused on the issue of sexual abuse at Rikers Island. The inability to keep staff members, visitors and people in custody safe from predatory behavior is a perpetual problem for the Department of Correction.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

In 2018, when the Council held a hearing on this exact topic, the Department testified about efforts underway to bring itself into compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act by training staff, revamping its investigation process, and screening people in custody for risk of sexual victimization. Here we are six years later to demand action yet again because the Department of Correction must do more than simply claim they have a zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. words must mean something.

Sexual assault and harassment is a horrific pervasive issue with dire consequences and jails present a unique context for sexual abuse to occur. The insular environment restrictions on incarcerated individuals movement, and the inherent power structures in jails contribute to increased opportunities for sexual violence. In the community, sexual assaults are severely under reported compared

to other crimes. This sad reality is even worse in correctional institutions, where staff and other incarcerated people can target and further abuse

people who report incidents.

2.2

2.3

Even with this under reporting, people in custody filed 1,440 grievances to the Department of Correction related to sexual abuse and harassment last year. The Department of Correction has robust policies in place to prevent and address sexual abuse and harassment against people in custody. However, there appears to be a division between the Departments written policies and the reality for those in its care.

Thanks to individuals who came forward after the

New York State passed the Adult Survivors Act, we now
have a better understanding of how pervasive this
issue is at Rikers Island. According to reporting by

Jessy Edward and Samanta Max at Gothamist of the more
than 1,200 cases filed under the ASA in New York

City's Supreme, State Supreme Courts, nearly 60

percent of claims were filed against the City of New

York and the Department of Correction. While many of
these claims date back decades, Gothamist
investigation found 40 lawsuits contain allegations

of sexual abuse at Rikers Island that occurred since 2018, the year we held our previous oversight hearing where DOC vowed that they were undertaking extensive reform efforts.

Today, I hope the Department will not deflect responsibility and will acknowledge that more needs to be done to end sexual abuse at Rikers Island. owe it not only to the people in custody but also to the staff at Rikers Island who are victimized and traumatized and deserve a workplace where they feel To further our goals today, we are also considering legislation introduced by Council Member Louis to require DOC to develop a comprehensive training program for investigations of sexual crimes. Effective investigations are the foundations of accountability. I'm a proud co-sponsor of this important legislation requiring DOC to implement a victim centered sexual crimes investigation training program and perhaps Council Member Louis will join to share more about her bill. But today, we're going to hear - I'm sorry, we're also hearing Intro. 792 from Council Member Rivera who will be here in a little bit and can speak on her bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

Today, we are going to start out our hearing with a panel of witnesses. Some individuals who have asked to speak and come forward and share their stories. We're going to hear from Karen Klines, Tasha Carter Beasley, Donna Hylton and Seitan Sacco(S?). I'm sorry if I'm messing up your name.

So, you all can start in whichever order you want. Take your time. You may begin when you're ready and make sure the red light is on there on the microphone.

Council, my name is Karen Klines. Before I start I would like to say the level of pain doesn't leave in the morning okay. So, I wrote this. I stand before you today as a survivor of sexual abuse while incarcerated. My story is not unique. It is one of hundreds if not thousands of women who have survived similar facts. I have come forward with my truth seeking justice and accountability. Yet despite our courage and the overwhelming evidence the abuse has remained unpunished.

Why is it that those who have committed such horrific crimes against us are not held accountable? Why are they not subject to the same legal

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

consequences as anyone else who have committed a crime? We are not asking for special treatment. We are asking for justice. We are asking for the same rights and protections that every citizen is entitled to. My voice has not been silenced. When I spoke up, I was punished and removed from general population. The mental trauma from the abuse and the subject punishment has been devastating. I have flashbacks and feelings of unsafety, unsecure at

times. I don't know who to trust doctors,

psychiatrists, police, or therapists.

It is time for the system to listen, to act and to hold these abusers accountable. All of the survivors deserve justice. We deserve to see those who want us face the consequences of their action.

We deserve to feel safe and to know that our suffering has not been in vein. And I want to thank you for being here today and I thank you for allowing me the opportunity for my voice to be heard without punishment.

TASHA CARTER BEASLEY: Good morning to the City

Council. My name is Tasha Carter Beasley. I am also

formerly incarcerated in Rikers Island in 1996. When

I went into Rikers Island, I was a mother of eight

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

relive it every day.

children. At the time, I was suffering from mental illness, drug addiction and a school of other things that you can imagine that would cause you to go to And in jail, and in my incarceration, I never was safe. I never really had the opportunity to reach out to my family to let them know what was happening to me inside. How I got here today is amazing because I had to rebuild my life that was shattered. I didn't know how to do that. I didn't have any places to go after I was incarcerated. I didn't have any opportunity to help me understand that if I spoke up for myself that I would be safe, that I would be heard. That I would not suffer my path to define who I am presently. I'm a mother, I'm a grandmother, and I have suffered a lot of shame behind the things that have happened inside of Rikers Island to me. I can't take back that time but I

I really didn't even know how to answer - my granddaughter is 16 years old and she read the news old. She's 16 years old, she's in high school. She is getting ready to go to NYU and she asked me, she said, grandma, what happened to you in there? And I didn't know what to tell her but the truth. I

11 2 couldn't help but to think that by any chance or 3 anything that would happen to her, that she would go through those doors and suffer that same fate or 4 5 maybe in school, or maybe anywhere in the neighborhood and not be able to effectively say what 6 7 happened to me? I was manipulated. I was made to believe that I was at fault because I was not 8 mentally healthy at the time. I was suffering from uhm a lot of tribulations that started for me in 10 11 Rikers Island. My life was better before. My life 12 was better even with being on drugs and in the street. It was like my life was better outside where 13 14 I was able to at least run. At least I would be able 15 to go somewhere and maybe hide myself but inside of 16 Rikers Island, I was never able to hide myself. 17 was subject to fear. I was subject to uh housing 18 areas because I spoke up, because I told somebody and 19 I told people over the telephone. I told other 20 inmates but none of that mattered and when you're inside of a place where you kind of feel like you're 21 the fault of your own situation, it's kind of hard to 2.2 2.3 really uhm articulate that I need to speak up for myself. So, I surrounded myself around other women 24

that were my sisters and my peers that suffered the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

same things. So, that's how I lived my life mostly in groups, in settings of other women that needed healing and didn't have the tools. Because we didn't have the tools, we were marginalized women. can tell you how do you go and get healed from sexual abuse and sexual violence? How can I take the memories out of my mind? How do I have a relationship with someone that is not an abuser? do I know the difference? How can I trust myself if I can't discern that I'm in danger and I can't speak up but uhm, let me go into read. I did write something and I'm here today to speak about the profound emotional trauma and the results of that sexual abuse. This trauma isn't a monetary affliction. It lingers. It effects every aspect of a survivors life. It's the shadows that follow us. The stigma, the shame, the embarrassment, manifesting as anxiety and depression and the overwhelming sense of isolation. Survivors often struggle to trust again and to feel safe in their own bodies, in their environments. The emotional scars run deep. I can't stress that enough. The emotional scars, they run deep. Impacting my relationships, my career, my overall wellbeing. This isn't something that we can

overcome alone. Alone kept me isolated. Feeling
alone made me want to kill myself. Feeling alone
made me subject to more crime in my life and as
policy makers, I believe you have the ability to
enact real change by providing resources for mental
health, supporting and ensuring access to safe
spaces. That's the biggest thing. Where do you go
when you have been violently or seduced into a
position that causes memories and causes flashbacks
and causes every aspect of your life to be from that
thing that happened to you. If these spaces are not
created, then we have no healing. We need policies
that's going to promote programs to prevent this and
we need help to rebuild our lives. Your support can
transform our community into a place where survivors
feel heard and validated and that's a big thing. I
didn't feel validated for a long time. It took my
children to forgive me for even being in that space.

And still today, I have a lot of survivors remorse. Still today, I can identify with my abusers and sometimes that makes me uncomfortable. Sometimes its overbearing in a sense that I care whether you believe me or not. I care whether you want to hear me or not. I care that you know what it takes to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stand here to tell you that things happened to me that I'm not happy about and the Department of Corrections shouldn't be happy about it either. uhm, I never thought I'd be here today. I'm going to tell you all this. I never thought that when I did open my mouth and when I did that I would be this far today to put a face, to put feelings, to put a story, to put a narrative, to understanding why we have to be heard and why we have to be paid attention to because guess what? You got pictures of somebody's private part and you in your mouth that you can't erase and it shouldn't have ever happened. hard to live with. That's hard to walk with your head up. That's hard to say that this happened to I don't know sometimes whether to be angry. don't know whether to run. It took me a lot to get here today. It did. It did. I didn't just wake up. I've been walking around with this inside me whether I - I wanted to stay to myself about it because I can't take no more of not listening, not being heard, not being validated. It's a sensitive situation, of course nobody wants to hear the dark side or what you can't see. And inside the jail, no one can see what was happening to us because we were secluded,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 isolated, controlled. The grieving system was not

3 set up for us. It was not set up for us to feel so

4 privy to go and write a grievance by the people who

5 | are holding keys to your incarceration. That's

6 holding keys for your medication line, for your calls

7 | for your visit, for commissary, it's a whole life

8 inside. And I've seen that people, you're afraid to

hear about the dark things that, oh I don't know how

10 they can hide it any longer.

So, thank you for allowing us to have this opportunity to express just a shade of what - and my story is just I have not given you the ins and outs because guess what? I'm tired. I'm tired of people not listening. I'm tired of people judging me. That sometimes we don't even care if we get it no more because that's how deep trauma goes, that you will give up on it. The very thing that you're fighting for and so many people and so many - so much energy opposed to us being heard. Opposed to people being held accountable. They didn't have no problem with putting me in jail for hurting myself. I was an addict. I was in jail for hurting myself and I did the time for hurting myself and at the same time while I was incarcerated, they hurt me more and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

that's when my sorrows begin. But today, I have to tell you, I'm far from that place that I was to see

4 myself. I'm far from it. I'm not away from the

5 memories. I'm not away from the trauma. I'm not

6 away from the triggering. I'm not away from the fear

7 of the opposite sex. I don't even know how to relate

anymore. Okay you all, thank you for letting me

9 share and for your time.

that most people don't see.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you.

SEITAN SACCO: Hello, okay. Good morning members of the City Council. My name is Seitan Sacco and I am here today to discuss the important issue of sexual violence in prisons. I was incarcerated at Rikers about eight years ago. My time at Rikers was eye opening and extremely difficult. It forced me to confront the harsh realities of the justice system

During my time at Rikers, I experienced sexual harassment and was touched inappropriately by a staff member. It was an incredibly traumatic violation, especially because it happened in a place where I was supposed to be safe, at least from the people that worked there.

2.2

2.3

This experience has had a lasting impact on me both emotionally and mentally and highlighted for me the importance of accountability within the justice system. The experience left me feeling powerless. Knowing that someone who was supposed to protect and oversee my safety violated that trust. It took a heavy, emotional toll, making it difficult to feel secure in an environment where I was already vulnerable. This incident underscored how important it is for staff to be held accountable for their actions. No one should ever have to feel unsafe in a place meant to ensure basic human rights regardless of their circumstances.

When authority figures violate this, it signals a deep failure within the system. Experiencing abuse from a staff member showed me the urgent need for systematic changes to protect those in custody.

Ensuring through - I'm sorry, excuse me. Ensuring thorough training accountability and support for reporting these incidents is essential. No one should go through what I went through. The trauma from this experience is something I carry with me, effecting my mental health and my trust in others.

It has been challenging to process and even more

2.2

2.3

2 difficult to heal but I'm determined to speak out so 3 others don't have to experience the same.

I'm sharing this because I want to be a part of the conversation around protecting vulnerable people in places like Rikers. By speaking up, I hope to bring attention to stricter accountability measures so that no one has to suffer in silence. Despite the fear and helplessness I felt, I refuse to let this experience define me. I am working to reclaim my sense of safety and strength and I'm determined to stand up, not just for myself but also for others who might be enduring similar situations. Thank you for this opportunity to share.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good morning. I just want to say thank you for having us here again unfortunately. You know taking time to reflect as I hear my peers speak about their trauma. The situations that happened to them and I'm sitting here, 40, almost 40 years later from what happened to me on Rikers Island as an adolescent. So, it's painful, it's painful to have to hear this almost 40 years later that this trauma, the trauma of abuse, sexual abuse continues to permeate the very fabric of an island that we know should have long, long time ago, been shut down.

2.2

2.3

And it hurts me as a woman, as a mother, just as a human being to continue to hear these stories. It makes me look at my own as insignificant but it's not. It's not, it's shameful, it's shameful. 40 years ago, I was on Rikers Island as an adolescent. I was placed into protective custody, a place within a very abnormal place that said it was more secure and more safe, more stable, that I would be protected.

One of my protectors was a captain on Rikers

Island. It was my first time being in the system.

My first and only time. Let's be clear because we hear these conversations the rhetoric and vitriol of those of us who are criminally justice impacted. Who are worse than, less than and not deserving of. Like because we have been impacted by the system, whether we do something or not, guilt or innocence should not be a factor that we are not deserving, we are less than and so why listen to us? Why care? Why bother? And that's wrong.

A female captain who I thought was there to protect me and to guide me through the system that I knew nothing about as an adolescent, isolated, secluded from everyone because this label was placed

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on me to protect me. I was one of the youngest ones on the island. This woman took at advantage of me, manipulated me into thinking that she was there to protect me, to make sure that nothing happened to me. She did things that I don't even want to discuss. She did things that no captain who says that they take an oath to protect, to serve, to care, custody control we know the rhetoric, did not do. instead violated that oath every single chance that she got. Who could I tell? Who could I turn to in protective custody? In a jail that was run by officers, captains, sergeants, tenants, deps, you name it, wardens, that say that they're there to protect, to care, to maintain custody. I didn't see it. As a result, her wife, who was a deputy, found out that she was I guess favoring me too much. went to court one morning at 6 o'clock in the I came back at 10 o'clock at night and I morning. was then placed - I was taken from the status of protective custody and now put into administrative segregation and I didn't understand what that meant. I didn't understand the rules or the procedures. Again, it was my first time and [INAUDIBLE 00:29:40] spoke volumes.

2.2

2.3

I was placed in administrative segregation because her wife, the deputy, and I'm going to say it because I even wrote about it and I speak about it and I am not lying. We are not liars. Had someone placed a shank that I didn't even know what a shank was, in my cell when I left to go to court? A shank that I still to this day, almost 40 years later, have not seen and accused me of things that I had no understanding of, no knowledge of. I wouldn't even know how to do it.

And as a result, I was placed into solitary confinement; let's call it what it is. Solitary confinement, locked for 90 days for having something I never saw. Accused of things I've never done, all because this deputy was angry with her wife, the captain who was sexually assaulting a detainee, an adolescent detainee on Rikers Island in protective custody. And I've giving it to you in that way because that's how it happened and that's how it continues to happen and we have these hearings. We meet. We talk. We listen but as you said Councilwoman Nurse, 2018, when we had that hearing and here we are in 2024 having another one. And so, you listen to us. You hear our stories. You hear

our pain. You hear our trauma. We tell if over and over again. When, when will we be heard?

When will be believed? No, we don't wear a uniform with stripes and metals and badges, but we wear something else. We wear our truth. We wear our

trauma. We wear the abuse. We wear it every single

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

day.

8

I'm not even crying for me right now. I'm crying for them because 40 years ago this happened to me and 40 years later, I have to hear this young woman talk about what just happened to her. When will we see different? When will we be treated as human beings? Regardless of guilt or innocence, being so-called career criminals, whatever these labels, you want them to represent. You see through instead of seeing a human being and recognizing humanity that everyone should have. When will this change? PREA was enacted because of things that we're telling you today. I was a part of PREA being enacted in Bedford I left Rikers Island to go into a state prison where the sexual abuse continued. We talk about pipelines, let's be clear on what the system overall represents. The types of pipelines that the

2	system represents that boxes us into. That forces us
3	to have to live through and then you call us
4	monsters. The nerve, the audacity, to call us
5	monsters. When everyone, everyone has a role in
6	these situations. Silence is an act of guilt. Allow
7	your silence to shake you for a minute. Look at
8	yourselves in the mirror. Those of you that work on
9	Rikers and represent law enforcement, why did you
10	take an oath? Why? Be real and honest with
11	yourselves because if you continue to look at those
12	of us like we are less than, how do we look at you?
13	How can I respect you? How can I value you? How can
14	I see your humanity? How? When you don't see mine.
15	The time is now. We cannot continue to have
16	these conversations over and over and over
17	again. We cannot. Over 700 women filed lawsuits
18	allegedly right, claiming alleged sexual abuse.
19	Somethings wrong with that number and if you think
20	over 700 women are lying, I know I'm not lying. I
21	even wrote about it in a book, my book to tell my
22	truth because I'm tired of being labeled and I'm
23	tired of you saying I'm less than and not worthy and

I'm tired because I continue to see the same things

2.2

2.3

2 happening over and over again. Again, why 3 are we here today?

So, if nothing we've said here today has changed anything in you, your thoughts, the ways you see us, to believe us, what's the point and who are we? Who are you as human beings? Who are you? What are you here for? What are you here for? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I want to thank you all for coming. I appreciate you getting here as hard as they may have been this morning. We're not going to take questions for this panel as requested but I just want you to know that you are heard. This Council cares about you and it cares about what we do here and the work and the powers that we have to try to make things better, which is why we're having this hearing. Which is why we've continued to ask about this issue repeatedly throughout our hearings and it's unacceptable, everything you've gone through. It's completely unacceptable. It's disgusting and it's shameful but I really just want to extend my gratitude for you being here.

We also have a group of young people here today who have asked to come to this hearing specifically and so, what you're saying in your testimony in your

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

words is like having a very real effect right now on people who are trying to understand the world and the

4 world they are about to enter and I really appreciate

5 you being here to share that with them, so thank you.

So, we have a couple of new members here. Thank you Council Member Stevens, Rivera, Restler, Abreu.

I know Ayala is online. I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Rivera to just say some remarks about your bill and then we'll switch over to the Admin.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you for being here. We believe you. Thank you for sharing. It's physically sickening to hear this. To know that you were on the city's care and with through this over decades. This Council, you know we've banned solitary confinement. We passed the Gender Motivative Violence Act. It's not enough. It's not enough. It's not going to fix this. It has not, it will not address your pain but we're going to keep going and I want to thank Chair Nurse for her leadership. She convened this deeply important hearing and of course for really just hearing my bill Intro. 792. I passed a bill that was very similar to this in 2018, to hold the NYPD Special Victims

Division accountable. Creating case management

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 systems to actually track and monitor investigations 3 cases and other activities of that division because

3 cases and other activities of that division because

4 we found out they actually weren't doing it. They

5 didn't have the capacity. They didn't have the

6 personnel and this bill would require the Department

7 of Correction to use a case management system to

8 track investigations of sexual abuse, and it won't

fix everything but we need to know and we need the

10 accountability.

With more than half of the Adult Survivor Act filings related to Rikers Island, it's clear that there is an epidemic of sexual abuse in the jail system and the Department of Correction has a duty to care for those in its custody and they must be held accountable. Right now, we're seeing that a lot of the reporting finds that investigation into these accusations are actually happening under this administration. So, from 40 years up to right now and I'm sure beyond that. More than 40 percent of the Departments investigations into sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations last year dragged on beyond a local and federal mandate that cases be fully investigated and closed within 90 days after a complaint is filed. So, justice delayed as you can

2 imagine. It is clear that Council must implement

3 further protections to ensure that individuals who

4 | are in DOC custody are not being sexually abused and

5 that perpetrators are being held accountable. So

6 again, I want to thank Chair Nurse. I want to thank

7 | my colleagues and I just want to thank you all really

from the bottom of my heart for being here. Thank

you.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member
Rivera. So, we're now going to switch over to the
Admin. If you would like to stay for the hearing, we
can make some chairs available for you all. If the
Sergeants can make sure there's enough space for you
all to sit and we're going to transition now.

[00:42:01]-[00:43:57]

Okay, I'll now introduce our panel of

Administration witnesses and turn it over to the

Committee Counsel to swear them in. From the

Department of Correction we Commissioner Lynelle

Maginley-Liddie, General Counsel James Conroy,

Associate Commissioner of Facility Operations Ned

McCormick, Deputy Director of Special Investigation

Unit Ingris Martinez, Assistant Commissioner of

Training and Development Jeremiah Johnson, Assistant

2.2

2.3

Commissioner of Programs and Community Partnerships

Valerie Greisokh, and from Correctional Health

Services we have Senior Assistant VP Communications

and External Affairs for New York City Health -

Hospital CHS Jeanette Merrill.

Our second panel we'll hear from Commissioner

Jocelyn Stauber from the Department of Investigation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If all the witnesses present could raise your right hands? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions?

Noting for the record that all witnesses have answered affirmatively. You may begin your testimony.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Good morning Chair

Nurse and members of the Committee on Criminal

Justice. I am Lynelle Maginley-Liddie, Commissioner

of the New York City Department of Correction. My

colleagues and I are here to discuss a very sensitive

and important topic: the prevention of and response

to sexual assault and harassment within our jails. I

want to be clear at the outset, sexual assault and

harassment are not tolerated within our jails.

People working in and visiting the jails, as well as those in our care, must remain safe and free from

4 harm.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Prison Rape Elimination Act is a federal statute that outlines the essential elements required to prevent the sexual abuse of individuals in correctional facilities. Finalized in 2012, the Act provides standards in the areas of prevention, training and education, screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness, ways for people in custody to report sexual abuse and harassment, agency response following a report, investigations, discipline, medical and mental health care, data collection and review, and audits and appropriate corrective action. The Department began working towards compliance with these standards in 2015. Board of Correction Minium Standards for the Elimination of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment outline many of the same standards as PREA and went into effect in January 2017. Our goal is not only to comply with PREA standards but to adopt more comprehensive best practices that ensures everyone who enters our jails, whether staff, people in custody, or visitors remain safe.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Policies and procedures related to the prevention of and response to sexual abuse and harassment of people in custody are managed by the Department's PREA Compliance Unit and PREA Investigation Unit. PREA Compliance staff work to create a culture and environment within the jails that promotes the detection and reporting of sexual misconduct, prevents retaliation against anyone who reports sexual abuse and provides ongoing support and resources to individuals who are the victims of sexual abuse. The PREA Investigation staff respond to allegations of sexual abuse and harassment and ensure that victims are separated from alleged perpetrators and receive prompt medical care and mental health support and conduct any resulting investigations. As of October 2024, the PREA Investigation Unit is comprised of 19 investigators, with each investigator handling on average 25 cases at any given time.

All DOC staff, as well as contractors and volunteers who work in our jails are required to take an in person training designed to identify and eliminate sexual abuse and harassment. The training instructs that all reports must be taken seriously

and forwarded immediately to the Department's PREA

Investigation Unit. A refresher training is required
every two years.

Upon entering custody, every individual is screened for their risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness. This screening is used to determine the most appropriate housing options for each individual. PREA Compliance staff conduct an in person orientation with all new admissions. This allows individuals to ask question during the orientation or privately at its conclusion. During the orientation, staff inform new admissions of the many ways to report an allegation.

Reporting an incident of sexual assault can be incredibly difficult, and therefore the Department provides many different pathways for individuals to make a report, including calls to various hotlines, to the Board of Correction, and the Department of Correction — and the Department of Investigations, sorry. Reports may be also submitted by a third party and will be forwarded to the PREA Investigation unit. Importantly, DOC staff are mandated reporters. If they suspect or witness sexual misconduct, they must report the incident to the PREA unit. Reports

can be submitted anonymously and there's no time limit on when an individual can report an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment.

A cornerstone of eliminating sexual abuse within the jails is a fair and thorough investigative process. As a first step, any time an individual alleges that they were sexually abused by staff, DOC sends that information to DOI for clearance to conduct an internal investigation. DOI will either allow DOC to investigate or ask DOC to stand down and they will investigate itself.

If the matter is cleared for investigation, PREA investigations will move forward. They will respond to the facility of the alleged incident, often within 24 hours to speak with the victim and any potential witnesses. They will review Genetec video and phone calls, and the backgrounds of those involved in the allegation and collect any other evidence.

Critically, PREA investigators also ensure that the victim is immediately separated from the alleged perpetrator and receives supportive services including medical services and a referral to mental health services. Following a report, PREA Compliance

2.2

2.3

2 staff will tour the facility regularly and check on 3 victims and monitor for any signs of retaliation.

The Department completes a preliminary review of all sexual abuse and harassment allegations within 72 hours of the allegation being reported. Following this, allegations are assigned as PREA reportable or not PREA reportable, as defined in the PREA standards. Allegations that are PREA reportable include any allegation that involves sexual abuse by staff, repeated reports of sexual harassment by staff, and non-consensual sex acts, abusive sexual contact and sexual harassment between individuals in custody. Non-PREA allegations include for example, a one time allegation of sexual harassment and consensual sex acts between individuals in custody.

I would emphasize that, although an allegation might not be PREA reportable, it is still taken seriously and investigated thoroughly.

PREA standards require that all cases must be closed within 90 days of the allegation being made. If an investigation reveals criminality, the case will be referred back to DOI. Those cases will remain as pending until they are closed out by those parties. While some recent cases have exceeded the

2.2

2.3

2 90 day closing requirement, the majority of cases are closed within 90 days.

Once an investigation is completed, it is classified as substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. Allegations are substantiated if determined to have occurred based on a preponderance of evidence. Unsubstantiated allegations are ones in which the evidence is insufficient. Unfounded allegations are those proven false. Staff who are found to have violated Departmental policies that contributed to a sexual assault are disciplined and may be terminated.

Staff found guilty of a crime are terminated.

Individuals in custody are also subject to discipline and possibly rearrest if an allegation against them is substantiated.

The safety and wellbeing of DOC staff and anyone else who works in our jail is of paramount importance. They deserve a work place free from violence and harassment. Everyone who works in the jails is required to complete a situational awareness training prior to entering the facilities. DOC supervisory staff are expected to tour jails regularly to assess and abate conditions that may

2.2

2.3

lead to violence or harassment. In addition, staff and leadership positions throughout the agency continue to tour the jails on a regular basis to observe conditions, speak with staff, and individuals in custody and address any issues they observe while they are on tour. Unfortunately, despite these efforts, staff external providers and volunteers have experienced sexual assault and harassment from individuals in custody. Such instances are within the purview of PREA guidelines, and a separate investigation process is managed by the Department's Correction Intelligence Bureau. Following a report, CIB interviews the victim as soon as possible and collects witness statements and other potential

If an arrest is made, all pertinent documents are forwarded to the Bronx District Attorney. Assaults on staff are traumatic experiences and our approach centers on immediate intervention, ongoing support, and fostering resilience. Supervisors meet staff to check on their wellbeing and offer support immediately following an assault, and throughout their recovery. By addressing the emotional, physical, and psychological needs of staff, we aim to

evidence to make a charge and arrests.

2.2

2.3

2 provide a safe, supportive work environment for all

3 employees. In addition, the Department's Corrections

4 Assistance Response for Employees unit provides a

5 holistic range of support and resources including

6 counseling, spiritual guidance, and referrals to

7 professional providers.

The CARE unit, as it is called, is comprised of veteran officers who can share in the staffs experience and offer compassionate peer based support. They tour the facilities regularly to check in on staff and encourage them to access the supportive resources available to them. If a victim would like to seek services external to the agency, we also refer them to the Employee Assistance Program for support.

Let me now turn to the proposed legislation

Intro. 792, which would require DOC to establish and maintain an electronic case management system to record all data related to reports of sexual abuse and harassment of individuals in custody. During the last year, the Department has been procuring and implementing a new electronic case management system. The system is designed to document and track cases, investigations and disciplinary actions

2.2

2.3

departmentwide. The PREA unit, investigation unit, was selected as the first unit to go live with this application. Once fully operational, this system will greatly improve the Department's ability to document and track sexual abuse and harassment complaints. Adhere to the deadlines associated with the cases, and report in compliance with oversight requirements. The Department supports this legislation but would request adjustments to the effective date to allow for a reasonable time to ensure the application meets the requirements

Intro. 830 would require the Department to develop a comprehensive training program for investigations of sexual assault and harassment and to report on training.

outlined in the bill following this pilot phase.

In addition to the foundational PREA training that all staff are required to complete, PREA and CIB investigators received additional in service training on investigation procedures, as well as cross training with the NYPD and other subject matter experts. Although we would propose minor amendments to ensure that training requirements are in line with the best practices, we support formalizing the

_ 1

requirement for staff who undertake this sensitive work. We look forward to working with the Council to address our concerns.

Finally, let me restate that the Department has a zero tolerance policy for anyone engaging in sexual misconduct in our facilities. We take this issue extremely seriously. We are committed to making improvements to ensure that we are not only in compliance with PREA standards, but more importantly that all people who live, work in, or visit our facilities are safe. I am personally committed to continuing this work. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and we're happy to answer any questions that you may have.

JEANETTE MERRILL: Good morning Chair Nurse and members of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am Jeanette Merrill, Senior Assistant Vice President of Communications and External Affairs for New York City Health + Hospitals Correctional Health Services, also known as CHS. I appreciate the opportunity to testify at today's hearing on Preventing and Addressing Sexual Assault and Harassment in City Jails. My testimony will focus on CHS's efforts to help address sexual assault, abuse, and harassment

2.2

2.3

against both our staff and our patients, as well as
the care we provide to patients who have experienced
sexual abuse.

The safety of our staff, particularly those who provide patient care in the jails, remains a top priority for CHS. In recent years, CHS has expanded the size and scope of the team that manages its safety operations and has worked to build stronger partnership and workflows with the New York City Department of Correction. Together, CHS and DOC have developed and implemented situational awareness training, which includes addressing workplace sexual abuse and harassment, as a part of the CHS new employee orientation. The CHS safety team also regularly conducts rounds in the jail facilities and risk assessment walkthroughs with our healthcare unions 1199, 1180, DC 37, Doctors Council, and the New York State Nurses Association.

Last calendar year, CHS staff reported 311 workplace violence incidents, which included 56 sexual offenses. Following a workplace violence incident against our staff, CHS works with DOC to implement plans to support staff and mitigate future

2 risk. These may include employee or patient

3 transfers or separation orders.

2.2

2.3

In addition to documenting the incident, the CHS safety team meets with the employee to check on their wellbeing, to offer resources and support, and to assist the employee in completing an incident form and in receiving a medical evaluation if necessary. This is in addition to the real time support provided by direct supervisors. If the employee would like to file criminal charges against a patient involved in a workplace violence incident, CHS will connect the individual with the DOC Correction Intelligence Bureau.

We will continue to work with DOC and our unions to ensure we maximize our staff's safety in the workplace, not only because it is their right as employees but also because a safe environment is necessary for the provision of quality health care.

Beginning in January 2016, CHS became the city's direct provider of carceral health care as a new division of New York City Health + Hospitals, ending a decades long practice of contracts, most recently with Corizon, a private for profit correctional health care company that the New York City Department

25 DOI.

of Investigation DOI, determined had significant breakdowns and acute failures in its employee screening and hiring practices. CHS immediately implemented new, robust processes for conducting employee background checks and security screenings.

CHS established as policy that it will not hire, continue the employment, or retain the services of any person who may have contact with patients who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail or other institution, or who has been convicted of or civilly or administratively adjudicated for committing sexual abuse in the community.

All CHS staff are required to complete Prison
Rape Elimination Act training, PREA training to
report any allegations, knowledge, or reasonable
belief concerning any incident of sexual abuse or
harassment towards a patient, regardless of whether
the alleged perpetrator is another patient or a staff
member. CHS staff report such cases to CHS
Operations, which documents the incident, generates a
reporting form, and notifies key CHS and DOC staff,
which includes the DOC Special Investigations Unit.
Allegations involving CHS staff are also reported to

2.2

2.3

authorities.

CHS staff involved in an allegation will be immediately removed from contact with the patient who has experienced the alleged abuse. Based on the investigation findings of DOC and DOI, appropriate disciplinary action is taken and may result in work location reassignment, removal from all direct patient care, or termination of employment and may include reporting to professional licensing

We recognize the profound responsibility we have as health care providers to ensure the health and wellbeing of our patients, many of whom enter our care with previous exposure to trauma and abuse and all of whom have limited agency by virtue of being in a carceral setting. All patients are screened at intake for a history of trauma, including sexual abuse, and those who screen affirmatively are offered follow up care with a medical and/or mental health practitioner. We work to provide individualized, trauma informed care to all of our patients, 56 percent of whom are enrolled in our Mental Health Service.

All patients who are housed at the Rose M. Singer Center are further screened for a history of Intimate

2.2

2.3

Partner Violence during the new admissions process, and CHS's Gender Related Services meets with all patients who screen affirmatively to offer additional services, such as IPV focused counseling. Last calendar year, 5.2 percent of the 19,453 patients who responded to the questions reported a history of IPV, however, we understand that many patients choose not to disclose their history of sexual abuse or IPV during intake, and mental health clinicians and psychiatric providers consider and assess for trauma symptoms during all clinical encounters. Patients can be referred to Mental Health Services or Gender Related Services at any point during their incarceration.

CHS has also established multiple pathways for patients to report jail based sexual assault, abuse, and harassment. In addition to initiating a report with any DOC staff, a patient can disclose abuse to any CHS staff person during any encounter, including another clinic appointment, or can call the CHS Health Triage Line to speak directly with a nurse. Patients family members and other external parties can also share their concerns by contacting CHS's Patient Relations department or by calling the 24/7

2 CHS Operations phoneline. Following an allegation,

3 the patient is seen in the clinic for a medical

4 evaluation.

2.2

2.3

During the medical evaluation, the clinician will perform an examination to identify any physical indication of bodily trauma or injuries, will document these findings in the patient's medical record, and will follow up as is clinically appropriate. The clinician will also offer post exposure prophylaxis when applicable. CHS refers all patients who report sexual abuse to the Mental Health Service for follow up care and to CHS's Sexual Assault Advocacy team for additional support, which includes the sharing of jail based and community based resources.

When a forensic examination or evidence collection is indicated, the patient is transferred to the hospital emergency department. All 11 New York City Health + Hospitals acute care facilities, including Elmhurst Hospital, where CHS's female patients primarily receive acute care, and Bellevue Hospital, where CHS's male patients receive acute care, are designated as SAFE Centers of Excellence by the New York State Department of Health, meaning they

have specially trained Sexual Assault Response Teams
in each emergency room. On their return from the
hospital, patients are brought to the clinic to
ensure hospital recommendations are incorporated into

6 | CHS treatment plans.

2.2

2.3

Establishing a relationship of trust between provider and patient is paramount to our ability to provide the best possible care, and part of building that trust is ensuring zero tolerance of sexual assault, abuse, and harassment. This work involves every department and clinical service within CHS, and we remain committed to working with all of our stakeholders to prevent and address sexual abuse in the jails, against both patients and staff. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you both for your testimony. I'm going to ask about six or seven questions and then we have some members who want to ask. I want to recognize Council Member Sanchez who is on Zoom, Cabàn, Narcisse, thanks for joining us. I think I just want to start out Commissioner how long have you worked within DOC for the record?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I've been with the Department since 2015, September of 2015.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Can I ask you, with all these allegations and what you heard today, do you believe that there's a problem at Rikers? Do you believe these stories?

really need to look into it. I do believe that

people have raised some serious concerns and I think

that as a department that we have to truly like lean

in and make sure that these concerns are addressed.

And part of it is also like just even screening

people before they come to work at the department.

Screening contractors, visitors, you know sitting

here and listening to it, it really, it is concerning

and as a department we have to really address these

issues. It can't be every time we say something on

the record and then we don't really do the work.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, but just to kind of get to a yes or no, do you believe the women, the stories that were told today?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I believe what they're saying yes.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you for that because I think that's really important and foundational for us in how we're going to have this conversation today

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because I think we're all aware that what the Council has powers to do and what we don't have powers to do and what we really can do is just bring you all here to answer questions honestly, truthfully, and provide as much information that you have that we don't have. You know we don't work in this facility. We don't hire folks there. We don't do the disciplinary actions there. Everything that we have is based on reporting that we have to come up in legislation or from you all or from people who go through it. And so, all we have is these kinds of moments to set the record straight and try to get as much correct as possible. So, it's really foundational that you believe what you're hearing when women are coming and taking time out of their day to share something so painful. And for people to file 700 lawsuits, it's really important so thank you for saying yes.

So, on the topic of investigations and accountability, during our hearing in April on DOC's grievance process, Assistant Commissioner Levine told us that during a preliminary investigation into a staff member, if the investigations division believes it has a founded sexual abuse case, the department can suspend staff members. Assistant Chief Rembert

2.2

2.3

added that depending on the nature of the allegations a service member can also be placed on modified status or removed from the facility where they are currently working before a full investigation is concluded. And I'd like to know a little bit more about how these determinations are made. Can you give us more detail on what is considered a founded sexual abuse case, such that the department would move ahead with the suspension or modify duty before a full investigation is complete? What sort of evidence of sexual abuse would need to exist for the department to make that determination? Concretely and as in pain staking detail as you can.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Good morning.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: My name is Ingris Martinez. I am the Deputy Director of Investigations for the PREA unit. I first and foremost, I want to say that hearing these stories today I am very glad to be part of this team and thankful that these federal standards are being adhered to and in place. I'm sorry that this happened so long ago but in practice putting PREA into practice will help. That we diminish these types of stories.

Our investigations begin with an allegation. At
the time of an allegation, if staff is involved we
immediately send it out to DOI for clearance. There
is no delay beyond the - from the initial of the
complaint, immediate DOI clearance from minutes to up
to 24 hours and then take in by sending out
investigators onto the field to conduct interviews in
a confidential setting. I want to let the Council
know that our investigators conduct our interviews in
a compassionate method. We take our time. We ensure
that all the information is documented that one time,
not to revictimize our persons in custody, ensuring
that separation orders are put into place and that
mandated services are adhered to and continue with
supportive services.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Can you - please can we focus on what is considered a founded sexual abuse case? How do you make that determination?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So our determinations are based on the federal standards. The federal standards for unfounded is that we prove - the burden of proof is - sorry, based on the preponderance of the evidence. Unfounded means that we proved that it did not happen.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, so sorry, can you 3 restate that last part again?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay, thank you. So, we're saying that the unfounded based on the federal standards definition using the preponderance of the evidence, using our business records, using our electronic monitoring services, we proved that it did not occur. Your question earlier was about staffing and how as far as discipline?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: No, my question was just on more detail on what is considered founded. My preamble was a little bit about that but just wanted to understand what is considered founded sexual abuse in a case. I thank you for answering that question. So, when it comes to making that determination, can you suspend or modify a correction officer or somebody who works their status until you've decided if it's founded or not?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So investigation can make the determination. The investigation does not do it for the department.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Let me just clarify that. So if there's an allegation and there is some initial evidence presented, the department can

2.2

2.3

2 suspend right away at that point and time and can

3 modify that staff member pending the investigation.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And who makes that decision in a meantime period?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: It can be because the PREA unit, they do the initial based on the initial, they can move forward with suspension modification while the investigation is pending.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, and so what kind of evidence specifically? Thank you for answering that. What kind of evidence specifically are you looking for to make it a founded - determine if it's a founded sexual abuse?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So for founded investigations, we use the preponderance of the evidence is mostly based on business records, monitoring electronic devices and we also are now able to file our own NYPD complaints on behalf of the victims. We do the collections. We are part of the chain of custody for the collection of the sexual assault kits and based on the determination that the OCME gives us, will help us sway whether or not the incident is founded.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you. Thank you that's helpful. As of July 1, 2024, the Department

INGRIS	MARTINEZ:	Αt	thi

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is moment, we have four over the 90 days and that's due to circumstances including sexual assault kits, pending DA review, DOI takeovers.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, How many DOC staff members accused of sexual abuse are currently suspended or have been placed on modified duty as a result of sexual abuse allegations?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: I don't have that information. I would be glad to get it back to you.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We'll get that to you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. This is a hearing on sexual abuse, preventing sexual abuse at DOC, what you are doing to prevent it. Knowing how many staff members are currently suspended or on modified duty is like a pretty basic question here. Hopefully we can get that information before this hearing is over.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: I just want to clarify something Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yes.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, if you're mentioning staff, so if there is something involving staff, we absolutely include the Department of Investigation.

2.2

2.3

2 Your question today about this particular year to

3 date, I don't have any for this year. I can get you

4 disciplinary for the duration of previous

after investigation into sexual abuse?

5 investigations and either closed or remain open.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. I really hope we don't have a hearing this kind of way. Like, we should have some basic information here. During 2024, how many correction officers have been fired or resigned

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We don't have that.

So, Council Member, I don't have that but I'll get it to you before this hearing closes.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, I just want to like note that you know we have young people here who are looking at how our government is run. I mean, this is some basic foundational shit right now. Uhm, pursuant to standards set by the Board of Correction, investigations of sexual abuse must be completed within 90 days of receiving a complaint. In 2020 and 2021, all investigations were completed within that timeframe. However, a recent analysis by Gothamist found that the Sheriff investigations taking over 90 days to complete increased significantly from 23 percent in 2022 to 45 percent in 2023. Can you tell

2 us a little bit about what the delays are in 3 achieving the 90 day mark?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, first I want to reiterate
that we take every single allegation -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Understood. I understand that but you don't have certain data here but I understand that. So, I don't need to hear that again please.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, for the PREA reportable cases that are out in the public reports, the 45 percent increase in our delay were due to staff leaving our department. After COVID, we also lost management, the number of cases, the definition of what was PREA reportable and not PREA reportable. Basically it was over reporting. So, the overreporting of the cases led to the Department reviewing the policy, so anything incidental to the scope of the officers duty was now not PREA reportable. So, that's one of the reasons for the decline in the reporting of PREA reportables. also changed our processes. We've ensured that our investigators conduct fuller, preliminary investigations due to the high turnover rate of our It was kind of like we're passing down

minimal information back and forth to the next investigator while they're still catching new cases.

Because we now do fuller investigations on the preliminary level, we make better determinations of what is reportable to the department. Ensure that every single question is asked rather than resending investigators out, overusing what minimal resources and staff we have. Now with the preliminary investigations being fuller, being able to make a better determination, our numbers reported out for incidents are lower.

just, just add to that? One of the issues at DOC is we would have - if a staff member is out for an extended period of time, we have to make - we have to make some concessions and put things in place to ensure that those cases are being looked at. So one of the things that we did during this process is identifying. If someone's out, their cases don't just languish. They don't just stay there so we've implemented a process where we have cross training and we have redundancy where people are you know, I

does a fuller investigation mean?

24

2	INGRIS MARTINEZ: I'm sorry, fuller preliminary
3	investigations. So, basically considering taking all
4	the information; the one time, again not to
5	revictimize our persons in custody. Collecting all
6	the business records at one time where previously we
7	had the high volume of complaints but collecting
8	minimal information and kind of like leaving it for
9	the full investigation person to collect it. No,
10	we're not doing that anymore. We're holding our
11	supervisors, our PREA supervisors responsible that
12	every information, piece of information, every
13	business record is collected. We're doing reviews of
14	our video and telephone monitoring systems in the
15	beginning rather than waiting and then before the 90
16	hurry up. No, we're not doing that. We're doing
17	that from the beginning in order to manage and be
18	able to make better determinations for our reporting.
19	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Understood. Okay, so I think
20	in the opening testimony you said there's - so
21	there's 19 investigators. They have a caseload of
22	about 25? Is that correct?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: I can, I can give you a further breakdown. So, the 19 investigators right now,

2 everybody has dual roles. I mentioned the 3 preliminary investigators.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: My question is about what's the caseload per investigator?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, the investigators that go out - all 19 investigators go out into the field. Of those 19, 14 are available for full investigation.

So, we determine that it falls under the federal standards, under the BOC minimum standards and requires additional investigation or it falls under the New York State Penal law 130 under sex crimes and now we have to go file a police report. We have to wait results for the sexual assault kit. So, those type of cases are assigned just to those 14. The remaining -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And how many cases do those 14 folks normally have?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Remember it's a rotating basis of 90 days is the PREA reportable for those type of full investigators about 10 cases and in total there's 25 because every single non-PREA reportable case, those one time harassments type, they still necessitate a full investigation. So, all the background, all the business records, review of all

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | the video, review of all the telephone statement,

3 canvases, mandated services. So, we make sure that

4 we document everything completely, exactly the same

5 for both type of investigations. So, generally an

6 investigator will carry about 25 cases on a rotating

7 basis and remembering that only the 10 is for 90 days

8 and the remainder if for statute for administrative

9 charges for about 18 months.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. How many positions in the investigative division are filled by temporary duty officers?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So for my unit, from the investigation division unit, we have officers 10 TDY and then supervisors, we have 3 TDY but TDY in the sense that I have 4 investigators that have been with us for over 6 years and then the remaining 6, a little less than 2 years and 6 permanent that have been there 7 to 8 years. So, that makes up my investigative staff. My supervisory staff, I have 6 supervisors, 2 that are permanent and 3 that are TDY with less than 2 years with us and only 2 permanent.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Can you tell me what TDY is, just for the record.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Temporary Duty Assignment.

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Alright.

2.2

2.3

INGRIS MARTINEZ: And that for us, it covers you know like if they need to be transferred, any type of the seniority lists. Their seniority number is based on their facility, assigned facility rather than in house.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay understood, just wanted to know what the acronym was. Are there any circumstances where a DOC captain will conduct a PREA investigation?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So within the investigation division, we have captains that are in the role of supervisors. I'm not sure of the question - are you mentioning facility based or PREA?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: If there are any circumstances in which a DOC captain would conduct a PREA investigation.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: All investigations of PREA incidents are confidential. We do not use facility staff to conduct any type of statements, collection review. The only time they're in any type of process is retrieving the documents and ensuring that they get escorted to the clinic. Or as a mandated reporter, as part of the coordinated response, if

into allegations of sexual abuse, the substantiation

25

2.2

2.3

substantiation rates to?

rate of those investigations falls below national averages. Since 2015, just one half of one percent of the PREA reportable sexual abuse allegations made against correction staff were deemed substantiated, representing 7 of nearly 1,500 allegations. For allegations of sexual abuse made against other people in custody, the substantiation rate was 3.4 percent in 2023. Both of those rates fall far below the national average which is about 6 percent. Can you talk a little bit about what you attribute your low

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Thank you for the question

Council Member. So, I mentioned earlier about the

methods and what substantiation means. So,

substantiation, our preponderance of the evidence is

mostly based on video monitoring devices or those

cases that go to the DA's Office based on DNA kits so

on and so forth. What I want to share is that for

calendar year 2024, we have 7 substantiated PIC on

PIC, sorry. Excuse me, Person in Custody and Person

in Custody sexual abuse cases and the one

substantiated case for staff on person in custody,

that was nonsexual. The substantiated rates as

2.2

2.3

2 published in the DOJ. We appear to align currently

 \parallel with the 5 percent.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: And I just want to add also, if there's an allegation involving a staff member, that allegation is initially forwarded to DOI and they are making a determination as to whether or not to proceed with the investigation or for us to stand down. And individuals in custody have other ways to report sexual abuse, sexual assault. They can go directly to some of these organizations and external oversights that we are not necessarily privy too. So, I just, you know just want to include that information as well.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, thank you for sharing that. It's good to know that we're getting closer to the national average but is there - what else besides what you've mentioned today, the additional methods that are your implementing the staffing. Beyond that, is there anything else in the way of you being on par with the rest of the nation in terms of the substantiation rate?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Well, I think one of the key things for us is additional training. We've

2	definitely revamped our training and Dr. Johnson can	
3	speak to that but I think it's important, even from	
4	the onset, we're doing the required screening. We're	
5	doing the required vetting and we're also looking at	
6	everyone who enters our facilities, staff, also	
7	contractors, visitors, anyone entering our	
8	facilities. The key here I think is really about	
9	training and also, implementing a system where we can	
10	track these complaints, track the outcomes and that's	
11	what we've done with our case builder. That's fairly	
12	new that we're rolling out and we're looking to sort	
13	of make the necessary tweaks but it's really having	
14	adequate data and understanding what's going on. And	
15	I could tell you - you know with the department,	
16	there are multiple databases for information and case	
17	builder is going to allow us to be more efficient and	
18	that we can share and track information	
19	departmentwide as an agency and there's transparency.	
20	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you for that. I	
21	want to recognize Council Member Hanif on Zoom and	
22	I'm going to open up to members. We've got Marte,	
23	Stevens, Cabàn, Rivera and Narcisse. So, I'm going	

to turn it over to Council Member Marte.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you Chair Nurse and before I begin my questioning, I really want to thank the four women who are here. Thank you for your courage. Thank you for your power. It speaks volumes and it definitely had an effect on all of us here today and every one that's listening. So, thank you. I also want to thank the students for being here. You're seeing how government works and sometimes how it doesn't work and that's really powerful for you to see because you guys are going to change the world next and it's great that you have the opportunity to see how the system works internally. So, thank you for being present and thank you for you know being open to growth and giving up your day to be here.

And for the panelists, in response to the recommendations made by the Board of Corrections, the department sent PREA investigators to the NYPD Special Victim course, where they receive specialized training on investigating sexually based allegation. Have all current PREA investigators received this training?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Thank you for that question Council Member. So, that was back in 2018. We did

2.2

2.3

training.

have all of our investigators attend NYPD Criminal
Investigation Course and sorry, the Special Victims
Course, however, due to high turnover rates, those
investigators that may have received that training
are no longer here. We are working with our partners
and NYPD to secure spacing and seats in the upcoming

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: When was the last time you made that request to NYPD to have your current PREA investigators to receive this training?

INGRID MARTINEZ: As of this week and last week.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay. Can you give us a

little background on what this training entails and
how long is it?

INGRID MARTINEZ: So, off the top of my head,

I've attended these trainings many years ago. I've

been with the Department 18 years, so please excuse

me. So, uhm, if - I'm not really sure. I don't want

to speak - they might have changed the timing, the

length of the trainings. I can have someone look

into it for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Do you think it's best practice for these investigators where they received it previously in 2018 or not, have refresher

2.2

2.3

2 trainings on an annual basis at least to make sure

3 that we have the best practice moving forward?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes, I agree on training, refresher training and I believe the unit just did a refresher training in May.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Correct, internal yes.

training is a serious and important component here and in fact, I've also reached out to external partners. We've secured a spot with the bureau of justice assistance. There's a 14 week PREA training academy that they're rolling out, its inaugural and we have a seat at that table. I agree with you and that's something that we're constantly seeking out training opportunities because that is key. And Dr. Johnson, I don't know if you want to just come up and address that training question so that Council Member Marte is aware of what we're doing in terms of training.

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: Good morning. My name is

Jeremiah Johnson. I serve as the Acting Deputy

Commissioner of Training and Development at DOC. I'm

over at the Correction Academy and within our

portfolio is the PREA training for all volunteers,

2 contractors and staff, whether full time or part

3 time, both the initial and the refresher training.

4 So, we implemented the PREA training in 2016. It was

5 revised as recently as 2023 and this initial training

6 is a half day. It familiarizes learners with the

7 PREA legislation and the Department directive. It

8 dispels common myths about sexual assault and

9 harassment, defines key terminology and establishes

10 respectful language. It teaches how to identify

11 | vulnerable individuals in our jails. It provides

12 strategies for preventing sexual abuse and

which concludes in August of 2025.

13 | harassment, emphasizes zero tolerance and the duty to

14 | report, and also lays out reporting procedures and

15 protections for retaliation.

I believe it's also note worthy to mention that all of our staff in response to the 2018 Local Law 92, participate in these DCAS sexual harassment prevention training for the last compliance training period. The Department of Correction achieved a 96 percent compliance rate for that training and Commissioner Maginley-Liddie and I are committed to achieving 100 percent for the next compliance period,

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you. The Department also previously sent PREA investigators to a forensic experimental trauma interview training designed to teach interviewers how to maximize opportunities for information collection during an interview. Have all current PREA investigators received this training?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: No, not everyone has received that training.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Are there plans for everyone to receive that training?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: We are exploring different agencies to procure some type of training.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay just a few more questions Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yup.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: In April 2019, the Board of Corrections published an audit of DOC's handling of sexual assaults and sexual harassment reports, expressing concerns that interviews are not always carried out with alleged victims and alleged perpetrators, and that when interviews are conducted, they're not always in private and confidential location. How has the Department changed its investigation practices since 2019?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Thank you for that question, so
since 2019, I mentioned earlier we have changed our
processes as far as maintaining the integrity of the
investigation by interviewing the person, the victims
one time. Where previously, 2017-2018, the high call
volume of complaints, it was we dispatch our
investigators, they come back. He didn't want to
talk; she didn't want to talk and then it gets
reported out and that was a full case. Now you have
another pair of investigators going out to then
reinterview. Again, our aim is not to revictimize
our persons in custody. We collect all our business
records from the beginning, where before, it was oh,
we'll pick it up when it's a full investigation or
whoever it's assigned to. We no longer use that
practice. We hold our PREA supervisors responsible
for when these dispatches are being done on a
complaint level to ensure that all business records
are collected, are documented, so that we don't have
that delay that first we're reporting incidents that
did not necessitate to be reported because it was in
the scope of the officers duties for example but we
didn't get that full interview.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Where are these interviews located?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, currently based on the layout of the different facilities, our investigators when they respond to the facilities considering situational awareness, they look into the housing area perhaps the housing area may have an interview room. If it doesn't have an interview room, we have to take into consideration the feel of the housing area. You know uhm prior incidents of persons in that housing area. We may speak to the officer and say you know how many do you have today? Perhaps they use a day room but now we're disrupting minimum standards for those persons in the day room.

We take into consideration all our situational awareness. There are times that our victims are already at the clinic, so we'll take advantage of interviewing them in the clinic and not in the housing area.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: The goal is when conducting these interviews is define the space appropriate to have that conversation with the individual in custody.

/

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: And how do you protect
these witnesses or complainers from retaliation?
Because I think where you conduct those interviews
and how you conduct those interviews and whose
present in those interviews can make someone feel
safe and protected from retaliation. What other
measures are in place to make sure that people don't
speak up and have to live with that fear?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, one of the things that's done for sure at the beginning, when we receive the allegation, the individual is removed from the perpetrator, the victim and so they are separated. They're provided with services but we also monitor those cases for 90 days right to ensure that there's no retaliation. Our PREA compliance unit tracks those cases to ensure that the individual is not further victimized or they're retaliated against because of that allegation. So, that work is ongoing and if the victim reaches out to us, then we follow up and do a further investigation if there's an incident of retaliation.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: And post those 90 days, what other measures that they have in place to be able to communicate within a protective criteria?

2.2

2.3

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Well, it's the same measures that are in place. You know the information is stenciled throughout our facilities. They can utilize. They can contact DOC. They can talk to an officer or a civilian staff member that's in their housing area. If they need additional assistance, they can contact 311. You know those measures are still in place and it's not removed from them as they go through the process of being incarcerated in our care.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Yeah, and you previously mentioned that sometimes you work with witnesses to transfer them to other locations, whether it's within the facility. Whether its in the clinic or some other type of housing accommodation, what determines that movement or that transfer and how do you make those decisions to make sure that person is safe?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay so first for every victim we generate separation orders from their aggressor.

Regardless if the aggressor is identified as another person in custody or staff member. As far as housing decisions, we also take into consideration from the beginning of their incarceration from them entering into intake or we use our screening tool for housing

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 decisions and that's also for their vulnerability.

3 But during the investigation only based on the

4 separation order is that we submit that to the

5 | facility and we personally do not make housing

6 decisions but at our level is sent out to custody

7 management.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay, thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member

10 Marte. Council Member Stevens.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good morning. You know I have a couple questions but I just want to make a statement because this has been a rough week for me because I also Chair Children and Youth and I'm sure folks know that in the juvenile detention we have a lawsuit open, a class action lawsuit with over 100 people who have been sexually assaulted. At a roundtable, foster care youth this week where they talked about how that system has been a place where they've been sex trafficked and now I'm sitting here and this is - it seems like it's an epidemic and so, the reality is like, I'm like drained because this to me is like unacceptable and needs to be a priority for everyone and even to hear like, you know coming in and not having the answers, it feels like you know

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it's a problem but it's like, is it really a problem. So, I'm just having a hard time with a lot of it and especially with like women sitting across. Like the urgency of like making sure this isn't happening is like urgent and it's not acceptable and I'm just, I'm struggling a little bit. It's too much and this is a place where people are supposed to be getting rehabilitated and we are retraumatizing them, and so, we are not doing our jobs, none of us. Everybody in this room on our side and your side are failing because whatever we're implementing is not being whatever we're putting in place here, isn't being implemented and so we're trying on this side and this side and like this division of like, oh we're doing our best. We all need to take blame for it so we can move forward and that's where it needs to start from because if young people are being sexually assaulted in a juvenile center and then they're ending up in Rikers, like what are we doing and saying what's happening in the streets. It's all a reflection. So, it's not separate or different, we're just not doing our jobs well enough and this has to be priority. Like honestly like we are retraumatizing people. And I'm going to start with some questions

2.2

2.3

because even the people who are working there aren't

feeling safe, which is like - what is like it doesn't

make sense.

So, correction officers themselves, they're often victims of sexual assault and harassment while on the job. What protective measures does DOC take to keep the work place safe?

NED MCCORMICK: Good morning Chair, Council

Member, my name is Ned McCormick and I am the

Associate Commissioner of Facility Operations and I

also oversee the Correctional Intelligence Bureau and
could you just repeat the question please?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: The basic question is what are you guys doing to keep your staff safe from sexual assault?

NED MCCORMICK: Appreciate the question. So, at Department of Correction, to keep the staff safe we offer them initial training, which is the situational awareness and it gives them a sense of always being on guard and to know their surrounding as well in the correctional facilities. In addition to that, we have the care unit that speaks with the staff on a regular basis and ultimately it reminds them about what resources are available for them and ultimately

2.2

2.3

2 to keep them safe we conduct training. There's a

3 whole I guess model of training that goes through

4 DCAS. These employees have mandatory training to

5 | include the sexual harassment training.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: So, you you're just still out of training. Like, so what are some of the health treatments or things that you're doing like if that does happen to a staff member?

NED MCCORMICK: If a staff member is assaulted, they report that to their immediate supervisor who in turn will call the tour commander and it's basically reporting to a central operational desk. Upon it being reported to a central operational desk, CIB is notified and an investigator is dispatched to take a preliminary statement from that employee. Once all the evidence is collected to include video evidence, witness statements, it is then turned over to the DA's office for review to determine if they're going to pursue an actual arrest.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: And what services are provided to the person? Because I hear like there's obviously there's a procedure right? Because we have to write that down.

NED MCCORMICK: Yes ma'am.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: But I'm your staff

3 member, if this happens to me, that's like - well,

4 because I want to hear like how also how you're like

5 this is traumatizing right? Like, what happened?

6 What mental services are available? Do they get time

off? Like what does this look like?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, I can tell you that there's more work for us to do here, right? With the care unit, the care unit they're veteran officers, their peers and they're veteran correction officers throughout the facilities. So, people are aware of who they are.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: And I have a question. You started off that there's more work for us to do here, so then what are you doing then? Because like you're at the head of it and so, tell me what you're doing. Don't just tell me there's more work to do.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I am actually looking into additional programs, you know additional trainings, especially for the care unit. Because part of the thing is the care unit, there are veteran officers. They dispatch, they go out and talk to their colleagues but they also need the adequate

reality is we have a lot of things that happen.

2.2

2.3

look like for you?

think in the next three months, four months, another

year? Like what - and like ideally what would that

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Actually in the coming months, I hope by the beginning of the year we'll have something solidified. Trust me when I tell you, this is of extreme importance for me.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I'm not doubting that its of extreme importance. I'm just trying to get to the place of like, what are the timelines so we can hold you accountable. So, when you're being lucid as if saying like, oh, in a few months. When we come back to you, you could still be like oh well we're still working on it.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I don't need to be held accountable. I'm going to -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Actually, no actually you do because our job is to hold you accountable.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: No but I'm telling you that this is of extreme importance for the Department and for me personally and we're going to do it. I'm happy to share the information once we've solidified it and provide it to you but it is of extreme

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 importance that the staff feel safe and that there's
3 holistic safety throughout our jails.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Again, it is our job as Council and you could look it up in the Charter to have oversight and hold the agencies accountable. And so, that is why I'm asking for more concrete lines and not just saying in a few months and being lucid and you can't tell me that like oh, it is of extreme importance because if it was extreme importance and I was in a hearing, I would have a timeline and I would have come with a timeline. this is what I'm looking to implement in the next six months. This is what I'm looking to implement in the next year and not come and tell me that it is of extreme importance and I'm going to do it. Because I would have came in ready for the work and we could have had more of a strategy conversation about how do we work together. That's why I'm asking you -

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We could still have a strategy conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: But you're being lucid about it. Look I'm going to continue with my questions. So, I know you wanted to turn it over to

2.2

2.3

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Dr. Johnson has been working directly with the Executive Director of the CARE unit on this training, that's why I'm turning it over to him.

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: Good morning Council Member.

So peer support is an evidence based model that's shown to be effective across industries. I would say that for law enforcement it is a unique context and because of the stigma of pursuing support and mental health resources, it has been slower to develop. So, there are national training programs for peer support but I would say law enforcement is a little bit behind the curve when it comes to adopting these programs and actually having dedicated staff to peer support.

So, as the Commissioner said, there is the CARE unit. We dedicated officers, veteran officers that respond to these incidents but I've been working collaboratively with Director Osborne to identify a training program.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Have you not identified it?

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: I've made recommendations to Director Osborne, yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: You have the recommendations in what you recommended?

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: So like I said, there is a national organization of peer support.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: And is that what you're recommending for them to go through those trainings? I'm just - I'm sorry and you guys keep saying that it's up high in importance but the information seems very lucid. My staff is a priority for me because the work that they do is a reflection of me, so I would literally come in here with a plan. Like, oh, these are the ones we looked at. This is what we're looking to get into and I'm not even talking about the folks who are incarcerated. We're talking about your staff. And so for me, it's just like I'm asking real questions, like do you have the ones that you're recommending? Have you looked into and it's very Do you have a name of the program that you guys have looked into? You're saying it's the National Institute. What's the name of it? Have other people been there? Like I'm just trying to get what the program is?

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: Sure, I can provide that information to you at a later date.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Are you going to send it to us? Are you going to have it now? Like -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I'm going to move on from the question and if you guys can send over a

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: I can send it to you.

help the peer officers in CARES do this work? What

breakdown of the programs that you're looking into to

the trainings are. What the expansion of this looks

like because you said you have ten officers. What

does the expansion look like in the next six months?

What the turnover looks like because like this is

your staff. You would think this would be of top

priority and I'm sure you're working on it but it

 ${\tt doesn't}$ seem like you want to share with us.

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: So, I may have misunderstood your question Council Member in that we are working on resiliency training for all staff. This is an initiative that would involve both members of care and academy staff that would through desert waters, essentially deliver resilience training. But I understood your question to be about peer support training specifically for the members of care. So, we are looking to roll out train the trainer

programming through desert waters as early as the

the facilities are deemed PRE compliant. Are the

2.2

2.3

2 audits complete in all the facilities currently PREA
3 compliant?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: No they are not. So, that work was started but was never completed, so we are working. Right now we have identified an auditor who is DOJ certified. We identified that individual this past summer. We're working on the procurement. My understanding the procurement is near final and we're going to start with the auditing two facilities and the remaining facilities will be audited by the auditor.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I'm going to let you slide on that one because once you said procurement, I know the process for that and I know it's a hot mess, so I get why it didn't happen because procurement takes a really long time.

JAMES CONROY: Council Member, I oversee the procurement unit and I am ensuring that this will be done by early next week. This is where we are in the procurement process, so we could begin the work very shortly immediately after that.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: One time you get a pass because I just said I know the process.

JAMES CONROY: I'm sorry, I can't hear you.

25

	COLUMN CIVILINATE CODITION
2	COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I said, I know how hard
3	that procurement process is, so I get that piece.
4	Cameras are essential for both the detection and
5	investigation of sexual abuse. Sexual abuse tends to
6	occur in small, enclosed areas where people in
7	custody are not expected to be, such as storage
8	closets, laundry rooms, slop sink areas. During the
9	2018 Council hearing, the legal aid testified that
10	recommended that body cameras should be required
11	whenever staff is alone with the person outside of
12	the view of fixed cameras. Will the department
13	implement this recommendation, if not why?
14	LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, this past May we
15	had an incident with our body worn camera where one
16	actually exploded. As a result, I actually
17	temporarily removed them offline. We looked at all
18	the body worn cameras and ultimately the decision is
19	we're purchasing body worn cameras for all staff, so
20	they will be required to wear it on their person. We
21	are in the process of procuring them and I believe we
22	should have them by December, all the body worn
23	cameras. We currently have some in place right now,

I believe it's over 900 throughout some of our

facilities but the goal is for everyone to wear a

departments evaluation of this pilot project? Has

2.2

2.3

2 the department now installed cameras on the vehicles
3 used to transport people in custody? If not, why?

NED MCCORMICK: So, to answer that question

Council Member, yes. Our transportation vehicles do

have cameras. Not all of them but there are cameras

fixed to our transportation vehicles.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: What was the result of the pilot program? Did you deem it successful? Did you think that it was helpful and if it was, is the plan to implement the cameras in all of the cars moving forward? Is that something you guys are working on?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We'd have to look into what were the results of the pilot but ultimately we agree with having cameras on buses when people are being transported to and from Rikers Island.

the questions I have and I just want to say again like, for me, this has been a really tough week for the lack of failure on our part and I say our because we're all in this together and of how we're not keeping people safe and that's a problem for me. And the same way you say that you take it serious, I take it extremely serious. So, we're all on the same page

2 an

and we're not enemies and so when we're asking questions, this is not about a got you for me. It might be for other people but for me I'm asking the questions because I'm trying to be helpful and think about how to work together because I'm about the work and not just sitting here talking and looking for viral moments. I am looking to do the work to help the people who are most vulnerable, so I just want to

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: And I'm happy to work with you on this.

make sure that is on the record. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member
Stevens and I think your line of questioning is 100
percent appropriate. I mean we were here in April
when we had a grievance hearing, we asked
specifically how you were reforming it. You said you
were doing an audit. We've asked every single time
since when will the audit be complete? When will
there be some initial recommendations on how you're
changing things? Like every single time we've come
back we've asked and we've never gotten an answer.
We were told oh, in a few months, which is what you
just told Council Member Stevens, in a few months and
we've never gotten anything back in follow up, in

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

written format, and so, it's not just that you know the Council Member is pressing because of the nature of this topic. It's because we continue to not get definitive answers and a definitive timeline which let's us know that we don't know what the hell is going on over there. Like we just don't know like is it in anybody's work plan? Who is responsible specifically to carry it over the finish line? will we ever get an initial understanding of what is going on? It's very, very challenging and frustrating for us and that's why you get this level of frustration coming at you. So, I do underscore that is all on us but we can only work together and collaborate when you communicate properly to us in an effective way. Council Member Cabàn.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Thank you. I want to go back to a line of questioning that the Chair did earlier, specifically around substantiation. So, you talked about founded and unfounded investigations. You said that the standard of evidence to substantiate a claim is the preponderance of evidence. Just for the record, for the public, for the people that are listening, what is the definition of preponderance of evidence?

2.2

2.3

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay so the preponderance of the evidence is technically weighing out all the information in front of us and if the people behind me if I'm trying to show them if you use a scale and it just tips over to 51 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: That's right, so in other words a preponderance of the evidence equals that it's a demonstration that the proposition is more likely than not, a cent over 50 percent. Now, you also talked about unfounded claims and the number of unfounded claims that you have and you said if you defined unfounded as proving it did not occur. What is the level of proof you are using for unfounded claims?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Based on the federal standards, it is the same. Based on the preponderance of the evidence, however, we're using monitoring devices so for example if a victim claims that said personnel on set date, then we go back and look at the business records and proof that that person was not there.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Okay, I want to also that's perfect because I want to go into the evidence
that you're looking at. You said the main sources of

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE evidence that you're looking at are video monitoring

3 and DNA testing correct?

> INGRIS MARTINEZ: Well, electronic monitoring includes telephone statements as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Okay, so you're relying a lot on these types. Now, are you aware that the legal standard across both civil and criminal investigations in proceedings is that individual testimony alone, absent DNA testing, absent video evidence, is enough to reach the level of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Correct and ours is much lower just based on the preponderance of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: But that's what I'm saying. So, when I hear you talking and answering the line of questioning around how much lower your substantiation claims are to the national averages and I say we'll the level of proof is a preponderance, it means it just has to be just barely more likely than not to occur and then I also hear that the evidence that you are primarily relying on is the video monitoring and electronic tracking. What I am then hearing is that that's not being done properly if an individuals testimony alone is not

2.2

2.3

given the kind of weight it should be when again, in a criminal proceeding, that alone absent any other kind of evidence can prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt. So, to me, it sounds like the practice you're engaging in is not — is not adhering to the way that in our legal systems and legal proceedings both criminal and civil, we look at and weigh and evaluate evidence. And so, my, the thing that is troubling me is that it sounds like the individual testimony of survivors because they are incarcerated people, that very strong powerful evidence is not being weighed the same way it would be weighed for others and that's a problem. And I think that could partially explain the disparities between the national averages

In addition to that, I want to ask for just some other definitions because I want to know how this work is being done. How does DOC specifically define sex abuse currently?

and what we're seeing here.

Sorry, my [INAUDIBLE 02:09:51] thinks I'm talking to it. Go ahead. Yeah, something did go wrong.

Okay, go ahead.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay, so under the federal standards, sexual abuse or any sex crimes including

2.3

the New York State Penal laws sex crimes under the 130 and inappropriate touching, that is not within the context of the officer or staff persons job scope.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Okay so does DOC additional define any so called red flags prior to sex abuse such as grooming behavior or propensity towards such actions?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay, so let me talk about what else entails in our investigations. So, we also do unannounced rounds, which is under the detection portion of our investigations and part of the detection is ensuring, holding our frontline supervisory staff in the facilities responsible for doing tours, looking for those spaces where are not highly visible to the officer on the floor. Making tours into you know under sensitivity of course into the bathrooms, ensuring that each of the showers has one person, not two persons. Ensuring that those grooming types of -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: I'm sorry, I'm just going to stop you for a second because you're not answering my question. I'm asking if you have any official

and responsibilities of the officers.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Okay, with all due respect		
and I'm going to restrain myself here, we have such a		
proliferation of varying levels of sexual abuse,		
where and I'm going to gender in this moment but I		
know that everybody experiences these things across		
the board no matter what your gender is. Where there		
are plenty of times as a woman that I have interacted		
with a man who thinks that their behavior was		
absolutely appropriate. So, I think you do as an		
Administration, as an agency, have a responsibility		
to lay out exactly what kind of behavior is		
inappropriate because I got to tell you, it is not a		
well enough known thing otherwise, we wouldn't be		
having this conversation. So, I'm going to ask you		
again because it doesn't speak for itself. The		
testimony of people here today proves that it doesn't		
speak for itself. Can you please list out what		
behaviors fall under inappropriate actions and		
relationships?		

JAMES CONROY: Yeah, I don't have the specific actions memorized. It is laid out in the rules and regulations for the officers. We could certainly follow up with you on the very specific -

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Will you provide that please. Can I ask a few more questions Chair?

JAMES CONROY: Yes absolutely. I'm sorry, what was - I didn't hear your last part.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: I want a copy of that and I just - I am still reeling from the idea that we all just know what inappropriate - yeah, I need a second.

Okay, well let me ask you this than to get into more specifics. Would the Department of Corrections include for example, making verbal statements of a sexual nature as sexual abuse?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: No, the standards are very specific when it comes to verbal harassment, we take the allegation, we investigate it, collect business records, review all kinds of video. We do the -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: But you're talking about verbal statements.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Verbal statements and we treat it as a full investigation of one time. Under the federal standards for it to be under the 90 day mandate and then it has to be repeated but how do we know it's repeated as we first record the first time it happens.

is that your system, your process does not in any way

community members all the time. There are absolutely

inappropriate comments, allegation of a request to see a particular body part that is not within the scope of the officer or whoever's duties is counted as sexual harassment and investigated.

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: I'm just going to ask one			
more and then I'll turn it back to you Chair. This			
is actually about the bill that's being discussed			
today. Intro. 830, it's still being discussed on			
this hearing, yeah? Okay, great, for Intro. 830 it			
contemplates that the Department will work with			
national experts to create these investigator			
trainings. I know that there were some questions			
about this. When national experts on preventing and			
investigating sexual assault is the Department			
already in contact with? What are their names?			

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: So, Intro. 830 it contemplates that the Department would work with national experts to create investigator trainings right, like that is what the bill calls for. So, I'm wondering in this moment already, like what national experts on preventing and investigating sexual assaults is the Department already in contact with? What are their names?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So we work with NIC,

National Institute on Corrections and as I mentioned
earlier there's a training that the Bureau of Justice

2.2

2.3

Assistance is ruling out that we've been working with as well.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Okay.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: One of our members will be part of an inaugural training. It's a 14 week training but we've been working with our external partners in addition to NYPD and other -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Okay well I'm just asking about the national so you listed two corrections organizations. Are any of the organizations LGBTQIA+ organizations?

VALERIE GREISOKH: Could you please repeat the question?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Who - what national experts on preventing and investigating sexual assault is the Department of Corrections in contact with and of those organizations, are any of them queer organizations?

VALERIE GREISOKH: I'm not certain about training but I do want to emphasize as a department, part of our priorities and goals is to partner with organizations that specifically serve the LGBTQ+ community.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Okay again, you're like -
3	you're taking - I'm giving you a very specific
4	question that is yes or no. You are throwing it away
5	and then just throwing out like a really big broad
6	blanket statement and it's not a sufficient answer.
7	So, I'm just going to put that out there but I just
8	want to end by saying that I really do get very, very
9	frustrated at a lot of the things that we hear in
10	these hearings but if ever there was a single piece
11	of testimony to pinpoint and ground the problem that
12	we are facing that is the subject of this hearing, is
13	to hear that inappropriate sexual behavior and
14	relationships speak for themselves. It goes without
15	saying. We already know, we don't have to be told.
16	That is the kind of mentality and lack of structure
17	and support and services that ensures that people
18	will continue to endure the kind of abuse that they
19	are experiencing in custody right now. And I thought
20	that was particularly operant. Thank you Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member

Cabàn. Council Member Narcisse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Good morning and thank you Chair. Good afternoon at this time and thank you for being here. I'm going to share a brief story,

2.2

2.3

at that time.

the reason even though I'm very - I have to run in my district, I had to stay here because this is very serious and I can share the fact that in high school, somebody was trying to get my chain inside my coat and they touched the area that should not be touched. That should not be touched by a stranger and I was very upset and I had to spend almost two years washing it. So, this is a very serious. This is not a joke and especially for staff that expose and the folks that kind of like vulnerable that they don't have no choice. They have to be at a certain place

So, following my colleagues, if everything based on evidence right to make a case, but I'm going to go back to a question here and if you can help me with that. DOI has recommended that jail cameras coverage be enhanced to correct for blind spots and extended to cover janitors closet and other closed rooms that officers can access with a person in custody. They also recommended that DOC should retain footage from each camera for one year. Have all these recommendations been implemented? That question was asked before. The reason I'm going back to it, so if evidence is based on evidence based on everything,

2.2

2.3

2 | not all from what the person is saying, but the

3 cameras would be a very, very important tool to have,

4 especially to cover the blind spots and from my

5 understanding, correct me if I'm wrong, so you still

6 have blind spots. You now have upgraded all the

7 | cameras, right? Have you?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, the time when that recommendation was presented, policies and procedures and recommendation was presented by DOI. The Commissioner at the time I'm assuming did not accept all those recommendations. That's why I said I have to go back and look at that, right because that was - the report was in 2018. We do not currently have cameras throughout all those areas, that's why we have a captain conducting the tours but that's why I said I will go back and look at that recommendation and then sort of circle back with -

is saying, that evidence we're talking about, if you don't have that for somebody that you're saying this is what happened and you don't have this important tools in place. That's a problem and I just explained to you it took me two years because somebody just touched me because they were just

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

grabbing a chain not even for me. It was just the thing they were taking and it hurt me so much. It took two years, over two years washing that spot. So this is serious.

So, can you walk me through when somebody makes a complaint of sexual abuse? Let's say sexual abuse, can you walk me through that process? What happened?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: Okay so multiple reporting pathways and I'm going to paint you a picture that consider an email is the notification. notification comes into a PREA supervisors. be from another staff member. It could be from medical. It could be a 311 forwarded to us. Very minimal information. Person that called from such housing area claimed this. Sometimes they may have a date and time. Sometimes they may have an aggressor name. Sometimes it will just say staff. The PREA supervisors read this, it's immediately forwarded out to DOI just based on staff. We don't know a name. We don't know other particulars. That coincides with our mandate to also report corruption within the department. There's a DOI duty team, a weekly duty team and within minutes to up to 24 hours, that DOI clearance system back to us. We start our mandated

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

services. We generate separation orders when we find out you know where the victim is located. We will go out to where they are located. If they're at the hospital. If they're at the facility. Are they in the housing area? All of those factors are taking into account when we're dispatching our staff. Our staff arrive, taking in situation awareness. a look to see the type of housing area. Is there a confidential room that we can use? Maybe grievance has a room in there. Is it a double tier housing area with a particular pantry that's away from view of other persons in the housing area? Those are the type of things that we look into to kind of gauge whether we can talk to that person in that housing Sometimes just appearing in front of them and they may just say I don't want to talk right now or I don't want to talk here. That kind of gives my investigators uh okay, well let's look further into this. Let's figure out can we take this person to the intake? Can we take this person maybe the chapel is available for an interview at this point? Taking into account we now do a confidential

interview. Our investigators are compassionate in

the manner that they conduct their interviews.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

are careful not to revictimize the persons in custody, however, take into account that we do have to ask the hard questions sometimes. So, I was taken a little bit back with the previous question because it's not necessarily just because they're transgender we're asking for genitalia. We may be asking because it's necessary for the investigation right. We'll ask those hard questions, what did it look like It's not because they're transgender, it's because it's necessary to the investigation. Maybe they had a mole, something that you know the victim can help us identify later on. So, moving forward from there, all the information is collected. Our investigators do not make any type of determination when they're out in the field. They are collecting all the information, business records, canvases, interviews, medical injury report and taking that back to the office to a supervisor that makes the determination for it to be a decision whether it's a reported incident or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Okay and which phase that the doctor get involved, the medical team get involved? How long in the hours? Give me hours into

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 INGRIS MARTINEZ: I'm not sure of hours,
3 depending on the -

2.2

2.3

them.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: If it's physical abuse.

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, depending on the reporting

pathway, if we received it from CHS, we're assuming

they went to CHS already. Based on the coordinator

response, if the person in custody or the victim made

it to a staff member, they can go ahead and get

medical attention prior to an investigator reaching

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I think I have one more thing that I just wrote in here. I just wanted to get in, the last one. Is there a regular review or audit process to ensure that views and harassment reports amongst staff are addressed transparently and fairly?

INGRIS MARTINEZ: You're referring to staff? As
victim?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Yes.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I'll turn it over to AC McCormick but with respect to the staff, if there's an incident, if there's assault on staff, there's something that's documented. The Department is - we're aware, department leadership is made aware in

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 real time and during that time we will typically

3 reach out to our Correctional Intelligence Bureau and

4 | they will meet with a staff member to determine if

5 they want to press charges. Sometimes they move

6 forward, sometimes they don't but that's something

7 once there's an assault on staff, anything happening

8 throughout the facility, it is documented in real

9 | time and our CARE unit will go and meet with that

10 staff member to provide them with the support that

11 | they need but that is something throughout our

12 | facility that we are aware when it happens.

I mean just recently, we had unfortunately an incident but the Chief, Chief Rambert, she actually went and she met with a staff member to see how she was doing after that incident. I don't know if AC McCormick, you want to talk a little?

NED MCCORMICK: Yeah, just to add to that

Commissioner, it doesn't matter if it's a physical
assault or a sexual assault, the paperwork and the
proper notifications are made immediately and for the
resources, starting at the facility level, the
leadership, we'll reach out to the employee in
conjunction with their unions, the care unit. We're
continually supporting the staff member on the

2.2

2.3

2 initial report, whether it's physical or a sexual

3 assault.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I'm going to leave it with this, we need to make correction because this is serious and on top of it, mentally it's very important to get support throughout and I would like to know do you follow up if somebody, let's say even for staff, do you follow up mentally to make sure they're referred to organizations because that's a trauma that you're dealing probably for the rest of your life.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Absolutely and I can tell you just even working in Corrections, there's a lot of trauma and you're right about that. We also refer individuals to the employee assistance program, so that they have those resources but you know I think there's a lot more work that we can do and we're exploring how we can be more helpful and thoughtful. Because really and truly everyone needs to be safe in our jails and it's a priority especially with trauma and mental health because the reality is if our staff are important too, if they're not well, they don't have anything to give, so we have to really make it a priority and I agree with

2.2

2.3

Council Member Stevens. I'm not just talking; I've

worked at the department for the last nine years and

I see what they go through first hand and we have to

make it a priority. A lot of organizations, a lot of

people don't prioritize correction officers and

correction staff but we're going to do it internally

and make sure that they are a priority.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: We don't have no choice. This is New York City, if we cannot lead by example and make sure we address the basic things that we need to do, protect peoples safety. It's very, very important and I'm going to tell you, I'm going to leave it with that. As a nurse for over three decades, experience, life experience doing reentry program, I know firsthand that's the reason I have to say thank you to Chair that we're taking this seriously because we are part of that community that are being effected. A lot of times we have to deal with this trauma.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, let me just say on the record, I mean there's a lot of experience here and I'm happy to work with all of you so we can address this issue.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. I

3 appreciate your time. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member
Narcisse. I'm going to turn to the Adult Survivor
Act lawsuits. In preparation for this hearing we
reviewed over 30 lawsuits that contained allegations
of sexual assaults that occurred on Rikers Island
within the past six years. I have some general
questions about some patterns that emerge when
looking at these cases. On May 30, 2023, a lawsuit
was filed in the Bronx County Supreme Court that
alleged that a correction officer sexually abused a
woman housed at the Rose M. Singer Center in the
spring of 2020.

In the complaint, the woman who also bravely recounted her story to the press, alleged that a correction officer selected her for a special work assignment and then while isolated in a social service office, this officer held her down, sexually assaulted her and threatened retaliation if she reported the abuse. The officer who was specially named in the lawsuit apparently remained employed and still posted at Rose's nearly a month after the first case was filed.

2.2

2.3

It's safe to assume this because another woman alleges that the same officer forced her to perform sexual acts against her will in an abandoned office. When the first lawsuit was filed, the department should have been on notice that an officer they employed had been accused of sexual assault.

If some measure of precautionary action at that point, it stands to reason that further harm could have been prevented. I won't ask you to comment about the specifics of the case because I know you're going to tell me that you can't but in general, when a lawsuit is filed that alleges an officer currently employed by the Department committed sexual abuse, does the Law Department notify DOC? Just yes or no.

JAMES CONROY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: So, if you are informed about the lawsuit, you get information from the Law Department when cases are filed, then why would someone still be posted in the facility, at a women's facility months later?

JAMES CONROY: It's - I'm sorry, it's difficult to answer that question without discussing the specifics but we do review with law and internally now any of those types of allegations. We stated at

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, in a situation like this,

we can assume that the Law Department says, hey,

24

25

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's a case against this person. They're at Rosie's. You get this Commissioner, then what is your course of action?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Then I would remove that individual from that facility based on the allegations.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, so do you have an explanation of why someone would be there for a month, over a month after getting notified?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, let me just say because I'm an attorney as well. Even though someone files a lawsuit, it does not necessarily mean that we get notice of the lawsuit at the time of filing. upon receiving the lawsuit and reviewing the allegations, then we take steps right? But not because someone files a lawsuit on a specific day means that we know simultaneously as the case is being filed. It goes directly to the Law Department and then the Law Department will assign it to the agency. When I was in the legal division, I personally reviewed all these complaints coming in and assigned the cases to specific attorney's. there was a specific plaintiff you know to ensure that the attorney was dealing with those cases with

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

circumstance.

2 respect to that plaintiff, that one attorney would be

3 assigned to those cases but I looked at the

4 allegations of the complaint if there was an issue.

5 Then I would escalate it like this is what this case

6 is saying and I believe that process is still taking

7 | place but once the case is filed, we don't

8 necessarily get it right away but upon receipt, we

9 | take action.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: What's an average time? An average timeline between receiving a notification of some kind of accusation to going down to the facility and reassigning that person or taking them off the floor?

JAMES CONROY: Again, we haven't experienced that situation because we haven't had that since the mass filings of the ASA lawsuits. So, we can't give a specific timeline now. What we anticipate given again the robustness of these lawsuits and what's going forward is that it would be immediate. I would convey that as soon as I receive word of it. We convey it to the Commissioner and then again we would take appropriate action. We can't say again, retroactively now because that was a unique

2.2

2.3

I will say just along the Commissioner's lines, I did this at the NYPD, not with ASA lawsuits but I mean lawsuits in general. There's somewhat of a trend in the plaintiff's litigation where they will file a lawsuit and serve the officers individually and then wait to serve the Law Department until a considerable time later in order to start to develop default motions and otherwise. So, that creates this weird dynamic of the timing of the filing to when we actually get notice of it. So, but nonetheless again, going forward, this is our process. I can't go backwards on these old lawsuits. We talked about what has happened since then but this is our processes now since I'm in place.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Understood and in this instance, which I'm not going to ask you to speak specifically on but if we were to come back in a year after maybe some motion has happened and more stuff becomes public and we were to look at the timeline between when you were notified and when that person was removed from tour. Do you think we would be outraged or we would feel like there was swift action taken?

2.3

JAMES CONROY: I think we would be transparent
about it. Though I could say that again as the
Commissioner mentioned, there's a processes to hash
out all allegations. We would take action in
circumstances where we also do a review ourselves and

again with immediacy.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Oh I know, I'm just trying to

ask - I'm asking you to say like, do you think when we find out how long it took between notification and the person being removed, that when we come back and find that out, you think we're going to be like, they did their job really well?

JAMES CONROY: I have to tell you Chair, sometimes it's hard to predict how the Council will react to what we do. We anticipate that we will have this system -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I think we react sensibly to an accusation of sexual assault.

JAMES CONROY: And that's what I'm saying. We will certainly -

22 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: [INAUDIBLE 02:40:37]

JAMES CONROY: I'm sorry I was speaking over you, could you repeat that.

3

J

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: What I'm saying is we are reacting appropriately and we would react appropriately.

JAMES CONROY: Okay but you're asking me how you're going to react in a year. I can't predict that but we anticipate that - not anticipate, we are implementing the system of immediacy.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I'm taking this to say that you think you did it well and we hope that that's the In another case reported on by the news outlet case. Gothamist, the Department should have been notified of a sexual assault allegation against a correction officer when a case was filed on November 17, 2023. However, nearly seven months later, the Department confirmed in a press report that the officer who again was specifically named in a lawsuit was still employed and working at Rosie's. At our hearing last month, we were happy to learn that all of the officers named in the sexual assault lawsuits are no longer serving at Rosie's. However, during this extended lag time, at least in one instance, seven months went on before deciding to reassign the officer accused of sexual assault and potentially other women were in danger?

going to assume that the Law Department gave you this

information as soon as they got it and that that

24

25

2.2

2.3

2 information was received by the prior person, and

3 then I imagine there was a period, there was seven

4 months' time.

JAMES CONROY: So, the team again, I don't want to belabor the point too much about the processes but the team that I work with now on this specific issue, is actually headed by the person who is Acting General Council prior to us. So, she is now back in place within the Law Department to have this communication. What was happening then is that unit that she was in was a little less robust and operational. So, I can't again speak specifically as to the notification process from when this was filed to then. I know what we are doing now and what the communication is between us and the Law Department and what we are committed to with the Commissioner and ourselves moving forward in that.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. It seems like, I understand you're not going to be able to answer the question but during this period where there accusations were coming online and we were starting to get reporting about it, your saying there was a turnover in staff or there was some kind of

2.2

2.3

transition period. You're coming on, other people,

do you think there was a communication breakdown?

JAMES CONROY: I don't know that we could say that it's a communication breakdown. As indicated, because of the very small time that the Adult Survivor Act window was open for filing, again or what was anticipated, there was a huge amount of this. So, it took some time I think even for the Law Department to establish the scope and the years of the defendants and the universe of where these cases came from. We heard some testimony earlier that

Once we identified it again, I can't comment on the specific timing of it. Once we identified the five that were active still, that was addressed again during my ten year and otherwise. So, I can't say communication breakdown but again, going forward, this is affirmatively remedied for this issue that we're speaking about.

these are from 40 years ago in some circumstances.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. I still would argue that seven months is a significant amount of time.

It's not a small window for someone to still be in a housing unit and working in a women's facility.

2.2

2.3

Some lawsuits that we reviewed involved fairly detailed descriptions of an alleged perpetrator named only as CO John Doe or CO Jane Doe. For example, in one case filed by a woman alleged to have been assaulted at Rosie's in 2021, the alleged abuser was described as a short, heavy set Hispanic male in around his 40's who delivered food to inmates. If DOC receives information that a lawsuit was filed against an anonymous officer described in a legal complaint, will the Department take any steps to investigate the claim and see if they can ascertain the officers identity?

JAMES CONROY: We have to in the Legal division at least, have to work with the Law Department you know in order to identify John Doe and Jane Doe officers, nonetheless. So, in that vein, we affirmatively reach out to investigation or otherwise to try back track. You know what was the timing, what was the tour, what was the assignment and then again, the description. So, that's a requirement that we have to you know again, cooperate with the Law Department. So, it is also part of our internal processes. So, the answer, that was a long winded yes but that's why we do that for multiple reasons.

2.2

2.3

meet this requirement?

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. One second.

Okay, I'm going to ask about some staffing issues.

Safe housing with sufficiently trained and well supervised staff must be provided for vulnerable populations. Lack of supervisory rounds plays a central role in allowing abuse by staff to take place. If staff know that there is a period of time when no round is likely to occur, abuse will occur undetected. BOC standards require supervisors to conduct rounds at varied and unpredictable times.

How does the Department monitor whether supervisors

INGRIS MARTINEZ: So, the investigation is twofold. Our PREA compliance unit collects all the business records, identify particular housing area, and 24 hours of business records and video is preserved, reviewed, staff identify so on and so forth. That investigation is then passed onto my unit and I have four investigators assigned to the unannounced rounds investigation and we literally match the business record to our electronic monitoring system. So, we check to see that the staff member actually on video conducted the type of tour that they are assigning onto our business

2.2

2.3

records onto the log book saying that they conducted those tours. Those tours I mentioned earlier were for detection, are important to look for those hidden spaces for those areas that should be locked. Into the showers, again, very sensitive make sure that cross gender. We announce ourselves going into the shower, letting them know that we're walking in for the purpose of a tour. During the review, if we find that a staff member did not complete a tour, that information is documented in disciplinary as far as a facility referral. Meaning we hold the facility leadership responsible for that staff member to get internal charges.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. DOI has issued several policy and procedure recommendations that were aimed at dramatically reducing opportunities for sexual misconduct to occur. One recommendation was that DOC should require that officers escort people in custody in male and female pairs in order to reduce opportunities for sexual misconduct. DOI also recommended that DOC policies should be revised to ensure the people in custody assigned to work details at Rosie's are supervised by at least two members of

2.2

2.3

2 staff including one female employee at all times.

Why were these recommendations rejected?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Like I mentioned earlier, this predates me in terms of accepting or rejecting recommendations but I'll take a look at that report and revisit that report and determine whether or not I should accept those recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, do you think on the face of it it would make sense as a recommendation?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Well, I would have to look at it honestly.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, it seems like it's providing more eyes and accountability.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yeah but it also we can - if we can utilize a body worn camera, like you know there are other things that can be done you know in terms of adding additional personnel that we may not necessarily have, so I have to take a look at it and make a determination based on that review.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: An expert hired in a lawsuit filed by the Legal Aid Society found that permitting male correction officers to guard female inmates without supervision violates correctional best

2.2

2.3

practices. Do you agree or disagree with that
assessment?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That it violates best correctional practices?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: That uh I'll restate it. I'm trying to speak slow for myself as well because I know these questions have a lot of preamble but an expert hired in a lawsuit filed by the Legal Aid Society, found that permitting male correction officers to guard female inmates without supervision, violates correctional best practices. Do you agree or disagree with that assessment?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Well, I think everybody should be subject to supervision but I don't think because a correction officers male and the individual in custody is female that that inherently is a problem but I do agree that there needs to be constant supervision throughout our facilities which is why we're doing the preannounced tours and making sure that supervisors are doing the tours that are required.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, I understand. I mean I think in - I mean, look I think you and I get up every day and walk in the world. We know that the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 chances of I mean, I'd be curious to see the

3 breakdown of these cases between folks who have made

4 allegations. How many of them were against a male

5 correction officer verse a woman correction officer.

6 Although there was testimony today about sexual

7 | violence being conducted by a female correction

8 officer. I do think probably the statistics would

9 show that more likely than not it was a male

10 correction officer to a female person in custody or a

11 woman, a person who identifies as a woman in custody.

Okay, I have a few other questions here and then we have questions for DOI. We haven't really talked much about correctional health, although many of the allegations were around correctional health during medical checks. A lot of instances of groping, penetration against their will, things like that. I did read your testimony. I heard your testimony and will account for that but we do want to talk about the issue of deadlocking. I know it's not directly on topic for today's hearing but we want to have a

The report that came out earlier this month as a result of a whistleblower and a former CHS services

hearing around that but we always bring up questions

that are more timely and urgent.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

term?

2 employee described a pattern of organized cruelty in

3 which people in custody with a mental health

4 diagnosis were routinely deadlocked or kept isolated

5 in their cells and left to suffer for sometimes

6 months at a time.

For Commissioner, you have been an employee of the Department of Corrections for nearly ten years. During your ten year, have you ever heard the term deadlocking?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I have not.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You've never heard of this

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I've never heard of the term in all honestly and so, let me just tell you those are extremely disturbing allegations and upon hearing it, upon reading the article, I personally called the Inspector General and forwarded that matter for them to review. So, that is currently under the Department of Investigations review. I've also made it abundantly clear that that is against our policy. I've sent out communication departmentwide that it is against our policy and we have communicated in several meetings that it is prohibited and that it should not proceed. So, that

2.2

2.3

is something that you know DOI is reviewing it and we will, you know we wait to have the results of that investigation but I have personally never heard that term.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, when I went to visit — when we did an oversight tour, one of the White shirts there, actually an older gentleman who clearly had been working there for a long time actually said it was a common term used and he said, yeah, it's a terminology we've been using for a long, long time. So, I'm surprised given how long you've been working there that you've never heard of it.

JAMES CONROY: Chair Nurse, also I'm only here you know several months, I have not heard it but what was brought up at the Board of Correction meeting also was that there is a term as deadlocking, which is I think in the facility is used to represent where a person in custody is out of their cell and they lock the cell to prevent theft and other interference with the property of that person in custody. So, that is used and both the Board of Correction members that worked and were in the system had recognized that term in that context but otherwise, we had not.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And I know you said you referred this out for investigation. So, are you doing any internal investigation? Just to clarify for the record.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: No, no, because and especially hearing that it's been going on since 2017 I referred it to DOI.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. I have questions for DOI. We're going to switch out the panel. Thank you all for being here, for testifying and answering questions. We're actually going to take like a five minute break. [02:55:57]- [02:56:56]

Okay, we're going to pick back up. This is our second panel. We're going to hear from Commissioner Jocelyn Stauber from the Department of Investigation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Commissioner, if you can please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions?

22 JOCELYN STAUBER: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Noting for the record that the witness answered affirmatively. You may begin your testimony.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 JOCELYN STAUBER: Thank you. Good afternoon. My

3 name is Jocelyn Stauber and I serve as the

4 | Commissioner of the New York City Department of

5 Investigation. Thank you, Chair Nurse for the

6 opportunity to discuss with you DOI's oversight role

7 | with respect to sexual abuse and sexual harassment in

8 the New York City Department of Correction

facilities.

The allegations of sexual abuse of women in the of DOC facilities, by DOC Correction Officers, set forth in over 700 lawsuits filed earlier this year are horrifying. The city has a responsibility to keep safe all person within DOC custody, and the decades long abuse alleged, if true even in part, reflects that the city has failed to meet that responsibility.

DOI plays an active role in responding to and investigating allegations that DOC or Correctional Health Services, CHS staff have sexually abused persons in custody. DOI receives and reviews all complaints of such abuse, conducts investigations, and when there is sufficient evidence of criminality, makes referrals to prosecuting agencies. For reasons that I will describe in a moment, these types of

2 cases can be challenging to investigate. DOI is

3 committed to assisting DOC in its mission to

4 eradicate sexual abuse in the city jails. Where DOC

5 or CHS seeks to discipline or terminate an employee

6 as a result of a sexual abuse or misconduct

7 investigation, DOI provides the relevant agency with

8 | information from our investigative file and assists

9 | their efforts as needed. DOI also has made over 30

10 recommendations to DOC in the past decade to improve

11 DOC policies and procedures that are designed to

12 prevent abuse.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 or PREA, established federal mandates to define and eliminate rape in correctional facilities across the United States. In 2012, the Department of Justice adopted national standards to prevent, detect, and respond to prison rape under PREA. In 2016, the Board of Correction implemented sexual abuse and harassment minimum standards, which mirror the PREA standards, and outline the responsibility of DOC to prevent, detect, and respond to prison sexual abuse and harassment.

In 2016, DOC promulgated Directive 5011, which was subsequently updated in 2019, to establish

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 specific policies and procedures to comply with the

3 PREA mandate of zero tolerance toward all forms of

4 sexual abuse and sexual harassment in its facilities.

5 Directive 5011 also lays out the coordinated response

6 to allegations of sexual assault and sexual

7 harassment by DOC and DOI and sets forth DOI's

8 investigative role.

Broadly speaking, DOI's mandate includes investigating and referring for criminal prosecution, cases of fraud, waste, abuse, corruption, and other illegal activities by city employees, contractors, and others who do business with the city. We also identifies systemic corruption, vulnerabilities, and recommends improvements to reduce the city's exposure to risk of fraud, waste, abuse, and corruption and to improve the functioning of city agencies.

With respect to DOC specifically, DOI's investigations focus on identifying, investigating and eliminating destabilizing forces in the city's jail facilities, including contraband smuggling by officers as well as bribery of officers by persons in custody, use of excessive force, and sexual abuse and sexual harassment cases involving DOC staff.

2.2

2.3

Directive 5011 establishes DOI's role and involvement in PREA investigations. In that procedure, DOI is clearly defined as the New York City agency responsible for investigating staff on persons in custody sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Both persons in custody and staff are encouraged to report alleged sexual abuse or harassment of persons in custody through DOI's 24 hour hotline or DOC's internal PREA hotline. Complaints received by DOC must be reported to DOI. Section 6B of Directive 5011 states that DOI shall conduct investigations for sexual misconduct that involve staff on persons in custody allegations or allegations that involve alleged rape cases.

After a preliminary review of the facts, DOI may elect to have the investigation conducted by SIU, DOC's internal Special Investigations Unit. Within 24 hours of receiving a complaint of sexual abuse of a person in custody by a DOC staff member, DOI will conduct an initial assessment. On the basis of that initial assessment, DOI will determine whether it will open an investigation or whether it will clear DOC to conduct a preliminary investigation. DOC is instructed not to take any investigatory steps until

2.2

2.3

DOI has made such an assessment and the level of
review that DOI undertakes as part of that initial
assessment depends on a number of factors, including
the level of detail and information provided in the

6 complaint.

When determining whether to commence an investigation itself or whether to clear DOC to conduct a preliminary investigation, DOI's considerations include whether the complaint provides sufficient factual information such as the names of the persons involved and the time and place of the incident. Whether the alleged abuser has been the subject of similar allegations in the past. Whether physical conduct, if any is described or detailed in the complaint. Of course, as with all investigations, DOI considers its available resources in determining which investigations to commence.

Moreover, because of the proximity to of SIU to DOC facilities and its dedicated team of PREA investigators, SIU is often better equipped to immediately respond when a PREA allegation is reported. If DOI clears the complaint for SIU to investigate, DOI explicitly instructs SIU to immediately notify DOI. If SIU's investigation

2.2

2.3

2 uncovers evidence of potentially criminal behavior.

3 If so, DOI will take over that investigation.

Currently, approximately 23 investigators are assigned to DOI Squad 1. That's the unit responsible for overseeing DOC, 12 members of the staff are correction officers and captains detailed to DOI from DOC. Of the total 23 investigators that we have, 17 have received PREA investigations training and may be assigned to investigate allegations of sexual abuse by DOC staff. A number of investigators have also attended various additional trainings relating specifically to the investigation of sex crimes.

In total, for calendar years 2022, 2023, and 2024, as of October 24th of this year, DOI has received 3,022 complaints of sexual misconduct at DOC facilities. These complaints include all allegations of sexual misconduct regardless of the alleged perpetrator or victim and therefore include not only allegations of abuse of persons in custody by staff, but abuse of staff by staff and abuse of persons in custody by other persons in custody. These complaints come from sources including referrals from DOC, calls to the city's 311 hotline and DOI's own complaint line email and website.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Since 2022 to the present, DOI has opened 28 investigations, 20 of which involve allegations of abuse of persons in custody by staff. Investigations of sexual misconduct in city jail facilities present unique challenges, which can limit the effectiveness of our investigations. As with other incidents of sexual violence, victims may be hesitant to come forward or having submitted a complaint, cooperate with an investigation out of shame or fear. These concerns are particularly acute in a custodial setting, where the victims may be in daily contact with the alleged perpetrator and their coworkers. Victims in custody, as well as witnesses who are in custody, may be suspicious or afraid of Law Enforcement and reluctant to cooperate for that reason and because areas of these facilities where assaults might occur lack video cameras, corroborating or additional evidence can be difficult to obtain.

Since the BOC standards went into effect in 2017,
DOI has investigated approximately 58 complaints of
staff on persons in custody, sexual abuse or
harassment and made three arrests. In addition, DOI
also made two arrests for staff on staff sexual

2.2

2.3

misconduct. When DOI has conducted an investigation
of sexual abuse by DOC or CHS staff and obtained
sufficient evidence of criminal sexual conduct, DOI
refers the matter to a prosecutors office, state of

6 federal.

DOI works closely with that office to investigate further and to prosecute the case. If there is not sufficient evidence of criminal conduct, DOI refers the matter to DOC or CHS for whatever action the respective agency deems appropriate based on the facts developed by DOI's investigation, which can include disciplinary action and collaborates with DOC or CHS on any further investigative steps and provides support in any administrative proceeding as needed.

Since 2022, DOI has made 31 referrals to DOC and CHS for discipline of staff as a result of substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct for both staff on person in custody and staff on staff conduct.

Policy and Procedure Recommendations known as

PPR's, are a critical part DOI's responsibility to

reduce the risk of fraud and corruption by

strengthening internal controls and oversight within

2.2

2.3

2 the city. Therefore, when investigating complaints

3 of sexual abuse within DOC facilities, DOI considers

4 whether improvements to DOC policies and procedures

5 | could reduce the risk of this misconduct or make it

6 easier to detect and prevent.

Since 2014, DOI has issued 35 PPR's related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment in the city's jails, including recommendations such as expanding the use of video cameras in DOC facilities and other measures to ensure that DOC holds officers accountable when sexual misconduct does take place. Of those 35 PPR's, 22 have been accepted, 1 has been partially accepted, 8 have been rejected and 4 are awaiting a response from DOC. Of the 23 that have been fully or partially accepted, DOC reports that 19 have been implemented.

The recent filing of hundreds of lawsuits alleging sexual assault in the city's jails as well as DOI's ongoing work on a number of sexual abuse investigations calls for continuing active efforts to identify areas of vulnerability in DOC's policies and procedures and to consider whether additional improvements and be made, as well as continued engagement with DOC on outstanding PPR's.

2.2

2.3

DOI shares DOC's commitment to eradicate sexual abuse and harassment of persons in custody by DOC staff. We will continue to deploy our investigative and policy and procedural expertise in service of this critical mission. Thank you for the opportunity to speak about these issues today and I'm happy to take any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you so much

Commissioner. I have a few questions, not that many
as I mentioned, but I did have a little bit of a

preliminary one probably from my education and
awareness. You said you have 23 investigators that
are assigned to DOI's Squad 1 that's responsible for
overseeing DOC and the 12 of them are correction
officers and captains detailed to you all from DOC.

I guess and you also mentioned you know people who might be suspicious or afraid of Law Enforcement and reluctant to cooperate. Are those folks who are CO's and Captains ever interacting in following up in these investigations with people who might have made complaints or allegations?

JOCELYN STAUBER: Yes, they would be included in the staff who respond to PREA allegations and just to be clear, they are detailed through an arrangement

more for most people.

so in that sense they would be identifying themselves

as part of you know, as a corrections employee.

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay.

JOCELYN STAUBER: That's not- that doesn't happen in every interaction.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, I understand I'm just curious what the visibility of that is to someone who might have made an accusation and now they're getting an investigator that you know I think that there might be - it's interesting. It's interesting to learn about this because I just, I wonder how effective it can really be. As if you work for you're a correction officer and you know that at some point you might go back. You might be promoted up back at DOC. These are your people. Like, these are the people you rock with. You have a union, you know there is as someone who grew up in a military and knows what it means for people in uniform and how they really like lock ranks on each other and hold each other, it could be a situation where there's a lot of space for uhm problematic activity is what I would say.

JOCELYN STAUBER: So I mean I think it's - I totally take your point and understand the concern.

I will say that these are with our other you know DOI investigators. The officers that prosecute our cases

2.2

2.3

and make arrests for contraband that are you know part of the fact finding investigations that result in disciplinary referrals. So, we certainly have not seen and would not tolerate any investigators within DOI who we felt were not aligned with our mission but were actually there to protect fellow officers, and we have not, we have not seen that but I certainly take your point that any identification associating someone with the correction officer could be concerning to a victim. I don't think we've had that experience but I certainly understand the point.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Or it might be unknown to you all because of the nature of it.

JOCELYN STAUBER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: But I guess I'm wondering - I guess yeah, I'm just curious why the history of why CO's in there? Why not just civilian staff doing investigations as opposed to people who might be detailed out for a year or two and then come back you know biding their time. I'm really curious why it wouldn't just be a civilian staff that are you know trained investigators.

JOCELYN STAUBER: Yeah, it's a good question and we have different arrangements throughout the agency

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in terms of sort of what we call on long staff that are employees of other agencies. So, we don't have that in all of our squads but we have it in many squads, not just DOC. The benefits of it are having officers who really understand the internal workings of an agency. Not just from an oversight perspective and it's our obligation to understand all the agencies we oversee but from an entity that sort of is complex and multifaceted as DOC, we have found it helpful to have officers who have worked in the facilities themselves. And for the most part, although I can't give you sort of for each officer that we have, these are folks who are in within DOC. Many of whom have worked in the capacity as investigators.

So, you know their work in DOC has been to investigate misconduct within DOC. We heard a lot earlier about DOC's own ability to do that through SIU etc..

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yup, no I'll move on from this point. I mean I think arguably you could get that same kind of insight and expertise from you know someone whose not potentially going to go back you know? Or who is just like temporarily assigned for a

2.2

2.3

year or two. Like this is a person who's no longer there and recruiting from that pool of people to be that inside knowledge, that institutional knowledge that you're looking for in these other 12 folks that are. It just seems like there could be some ways to get around it. I mean, you're saying you haven't had something specific or maybe you don't know and I take

you for your word at that.

Okay, so I mean we're here because of the Adult Survivor Acts and the lawsuits that have been filed. It's my understanding that DOI has the discretion to begin a large scale investigation of any city agency, against any city agency anytime it wants to and given what you learned, are you all considering looking at this in a very large scale way and whether there are systemic problems at DOC that is resulting in high rates of sexual violence over the years?

JOCELYN STAUBER: So, we are considering that and to be clear, as we do and we do have a number of ongoing investigations of sexual misconduct. We're not just focused even in those cases on the individual acts, we are also thinking about the bigger picture and the recommendations that we have made to date you know certainly highlight that. I

2	think the question is what and how best to address
3	the sort of overwhelming number of very serious
4	allegations you know that have been made recently and
5	whether we should be doing something bigger and more
6	comprehensive is something that we are thinking
7	about. One issue that we do have to be mindful of
8	when we think as a practical matter, how are we going
9	to go about doing this, is our staffing limitations
10	and you know so that is just a consideration that we
11	have to address. And so, I don't want to say that we
12	have decided that we're going to be doing something
13	and we certainly don't have a firm decision on a plan
14	of action but absolutely, I agree that what we're
15	seeing in these allegations warrants a sort of
16	broader question about whether our approach should be
17	or could be more comprehensive, which you know then
18	leads to the question of how would we efficiently and
19	effectively do that with the resources that we have?
20	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, I mean I think one of
21	the reasons why we're having this hearing is because
22	we just - it feels like we haven't seen that kind of
23	five alarm fire response from the city you know and I
24	realize you're the only one here and it wasn't the

big dais but you know the Mayor, when asked about

these things, he's like oh, these happened a long

time ago and you know, it just, yeah, it just really

feels like we're not putting all in, like all hands

on deck situation to be like, this is a crisis. I

6 think Council Member Stevens called it an epidemic

7 both in our juvenile detention facilities and here

8 and you know the Council has specific sets of powers

9 but really, this comes down from the executive of the

10 city saying, holly shit this happened. I'm now in

11 charge. I signed up to inherit these problems.

12 | Like, I got to put resources towards this.

So, I think you know I certainly would advocate for DOI to take this on as a large scale investigation because of you know obviously the stories we heard today, the trauma that's ongoing, the young people who are being sexually violated in facilities that are run by the city. Do you all know when you will be able to make that decision about whether or not you will do it?

JOCELYN STAUBER: I mean I don't have a specific timeframe to give you. It's something that we're considering. I think the question of resources is a very significant one.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: What kind of resources would 3 you need for it?

JOCELYN STAUBER: I need additional people. I mean if we're going to -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: How many?

JOCELYN STAUBER: Well, see that would - that would have to follow from a plan that has particular components. We would also want liaise with DOC to find out what they're doing so that - and we've heard something today about what they're planning on doing so we're not you know overlapping and we're using the limited resources appropriately. But I think if we were to take this on as sort of a large scale comprehensive project, I would need more resources to do that. Exactly how many, you know I would have to come back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Well, we would love to work with you on that. I mean, I know that DOI isn't my Committee but I would be happy to stand outside and shout it from the mountain top to get you the resources you need to take this on because it is so egregious.

JOCELYN STAUBER: I'm happy to continue that conversation. I certainly think it's worthy. I just

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have to be realistic about what I can do with what I a have.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay.

Thank you for being here COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: and I think even as we're thinking about this and because I got like I'm on this Committee and my Committee and all things that's happening and just thinking about the investigation and as you're putting it together, just trying to propose and think if this would make sense of more around like sexual assault in general and looking at it more comprehensively in the system and maybe having an investigation in that since we know that this is happening and has happened and happening in the juvenile centers and Rikers and things like that. And thinking about something more comprehensive because I'm always thinking about like what makes the most sense. And also, we all know that we don't have - well we do have an abundant of resources. problem is, they'd like us to think we don't but you know how do we work together to try to put something comprehensive in and then having an actual budget ask ready for us to - me and Nurse to fight to get in the budget. I think last year the only person who was

2.2

2.3

out there advocating for DOI was Council Member
Brewer but that's because if there's no ask, then
it's not being processed, we don't know and I know
for an agency like yours it gets hard because a lot
of times advocates are the ones that are pushing a
lot of the things but I think specially, how do you
use all of the Council Members to kind of support the
things that you need in order for your agencies to
operate efficiently and getting the support that you
need.

So, that was just - I guess it wasn't a question but it was just like a proposal of thinking about how do we look at this more holistically and not separate because I think that that's also problematic right because it's all interconnected and a lot of these actors end up in other agencies in these places.

JOCELYN STAUBER: Well, I appreciate that and you know you had asked the question about sexual abuse in the juvenile facilities, which we oversee. We actually issued a substantial report last week or the week before.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Also, I have questions. I'll follow up with you about that later.

2.2

2.3

JOCELYN STAUBER: We had not been — that report is not focused on that issue and I want to do a little more reading up on the lawsuit that you mentioned but certainly we try in every situation where it fits to think about sort of citywide solutions and certainly one of the things I think we point out in our report is there are more parallels than you might have expected between the juvenile facilities and the adult facilities, which are obviously very unfortunate parallels and so, certainly we're open to thinking about this in a broad way.

understanding that a lot of the work is always attached to the budget and so thinking about one, how do we work together to ensure the agency is properly funded and also the support that you guys need because it is important work and I think now more than ever because as we're seeing, sometimes the things that we're trying to get at in information isn't available because agencies aren't making it available. And so, we need to make sure that agencies like hers is properly funded, so thank you.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

handling the case?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, what type of evidence or information does DOC typically provide when they inform the DOI Duty Team that there's been an allegation of sexual abuse? And is it enough to make an informed decision about whether DOI should be

JOCELYN STAUBER: So, my understanding is we are getting the complaint itself referred to us and at that point, DOC has not done an investigation. what we do and that's sort of by design because we're taking that first look in that first 24 hour period. What we're doing is really focused therefore on the complaint itself and what kind of information does it give us? Does it give us enough information to know sort of what video we might pull? Is there an officer name? Can we look through our system to see whether there were prior complaints? We can also look and see, did the victim ever make a complaint previously if we have the victims name. So, we're trying to see what inquiry can we make just based on the complaint? And if we can make some headway and there's sufficient facts for us to go and develop some more evidence, then generally, although it's on a case by case basis and I describe some of the other

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 factors we consider like the seriousness of the

3 allegations. Does it involve physical contact versus

4 verbal harassment, which is not to say verbal

5 harassment isn't serious? It's simply how are we

6 going to allocate our resources. We look at all of

7 | those things and then if we can continue the

8 investigation, if the complaint gives us sufficient

9 information to do that, we generally will do that.

If not, we will clear DOC to do an investigation and then as I mentioned, to the extent that DOC develops evidence of criminality, they are obligated to refer that back to us so that we can pick up the investigation from there if we think that that's warranted.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you for that. In your opening statement, you said that when considering whether to retain a case for investigation, the agency considers factors such as whether detailed information was provided by DOC. Whether the alleged abuser has been the subject of previous accusations. Whether physical contact was alleged, as you mentioned, and DOI's investigative resources at the time of the complaint.

2.2

2.3

One of the factors considered is whether the alleged abuser has been the subject of previous accusations. Does DOI rely on DOC to inform them if the subject of the complaint has faced previous accusations or is that information something your agency tracks independently?

JOCELYN STAUBER: Well, we may have and should have given the nature of the reporting system under PREA, we should have any prior complaints of sexual abuse and we maintain our own case management system where we file every complaint that comes in. So, even if it was too vague but it had, let's say it had an officers name but no other detail. We weren't able to follow up on it further. We would still have a record of that. We could always go back to DOC to confirm whether there's anything they know that we don't but we should, based on the reporting rules, we should have any allegation of sexual abuse at least when it falls under PREA, against an officer.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Council Member Stevens, do
you have a final question? That's all our questions.
Thank you so much for your answers and we'd love to
follow up with you and really would love to work with
you to make sure we're able to make this a priority.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's clear that the Executive of this city is not
making it a priority. I mean, this is a person who
talks about women as eye candy publicly, so I don't

5 know how much that's connecting from the top but this

6 is a Council of mostly women. Like, we are here as a

7 resource to protect other women and we want to work

8 with you so let us know what it would cost. What you

9 need to hire people up. What do you need? We want

10 to make sure it happens and thank you for being here.

JOCELYN STAUBER: I appreciate that offer of support very much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Okay, now we are going to turn to public testimony. I'm going to read this scripted thing so we can all be on our best behavior. I'm going to now open for public hearing, the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on the topic of the bills we are considering today. If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted. We will be doing three minutes. We have a smaller group today, so I will be somewhat generous but not too generous. If you're not on topic, I will ask you to stop.

Okay, so our first panel will be Anna Kull,
Konstantin Yelisavetskiy. I'm so sorry if I'm
butchering these names. Barabra Hamiliton, and
Michael Klinger. And you can begin when ready, just
make sure the red dot is on so we can hear you.

ANNA KULL: Chair Nurse, members of the Council, $$\operatorname{\mathtt{my}}$$ name is Anna Kull and I'm an attorney for the

2.2

2.3

2 sexual abuse survivors. Some of whom you've heard

3 from today. Thank you for the opportunity to testify

4 regarding the ongoing issue of sexual abuse within

5 New York City prisons, particularly at the Rose M.

6 | Singer Center on Rikers Island.

The abuse of incarcerated women has been perpetrated at Rikers by Correctional Officers with impunity for decades. Regrettably the topic of staff on inmate sexual violence at Rikers is far from new. Indeed, this Council has convened here on this topic and discussed proposed reforms and accountability measures in response to ongoing issues of sexual violence at this facility many times before.

This Council has long heard about the horrors on Rikers Island. These horrors have been brought to light through journalistic investigations, organizations, survivors through testimony and public advocates who have all urged the New York City Department of Corrections to eliminate sexual abuse at Rosie's.

For decades, the endemic of sexual violence at Rosie's has been well documented and well known. On November 23, 2023, the New York Adult Survivors Act opened a one year window permitting sexual abuse

2.2

survivors to file claims and seek legal redress against institutions and their abusers no matter when those claims arose.

Over 700 cases were filed under this Act alleging sexual violence at Rikers. These cases have shed light on the rampant sexual violence faced by women that have spanned for four decades. I represent over 200 of these survivors and these women are the victims that this Council has been asked to protect. These are the victims that the Department of Corrections had a duty to protect.

These cases have brought forward chilling accounts of abuse by Correctional Officers.

Individuals who are entrusted with the care and safety of those in their custody, but instead exploited their power to commit unspeakable acts of sexual violence. Survivors today have courageously shared their stories and if you were listening, they have revealed patterns of coercion, corruption, violence, and intimidation over four decades.

What is even more troubling is that many of the officers implicated in these sex crimes in the cases that have been filed. Have continued to remain employed by the New York City Department of

2.2

2.3

horrific problem.

Corrections even after the lawsuits were filed, and it was not until the cases attracted media scrutiny and public outcry that did the Department of Corrections take any meaningful steps to address the allegations, including suspending or removing these officers. And despite what was said here today, there has been ample notice of these lawsuits. In fact, I personally provided it. I provided it to the Law Department and I provided it through cooperation with the media to spread awareness of this ongoing,

This delay in action resulted in one alleged accuser - excuse me, abuser in being arrested for raping a woman while off duty in Queens in April of this year. That rape could have been prevented if the Department of Corrections took the necessary steps after being put on notice of the allegations that my clients have personally made in these complaints. This delay in action sends a very distressing message that the safety of incarcerated women is secondary to preserving institutional reputation and we cannot tolerate that.

The sheer volume of cases and the subsequent inaction by the Department of Corrections points to a

2.2

2.3

systemic issue that extends beyond a few bad actors and it extends beyond those who we have identified in our cases. It demonstrates a deeply rooted culture within the Department of Corrections that has failed to hold perpetrators accountable, allowing abuse to persist and assist in repower dynamics are already putting survivors at a severe disadvantage. The culture of impunity must end. The women who have suffered while in custody deserve justice,

This Council has a critical role to play in ensuring that all who are in Department of Corrections custody, including the most vulnerable are treated with dignity and respect. Survivors of this abuse must be compensated, not only for the legacy of trauma they have endured but for the failure of the system to protect them.

accountability, and meaningful reform.

I urge this Council to press for comprehensive oversight and policy changes. I urge the Department of Investigations to launch a deeper investigation into what can only be considered a rogue organization.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Can you please wrap your testimony?

2.2

2.3

ANNA KULL: I am. Thank you. I'll just end with as an attorney representing sex abuse survivors by the thousands, systemic sexual violence does not exist without institutional tolerance and that's what we need to come back. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Thank you. I know that there's a lot to be said here. I just want to be fair to everyone. You're the first, so sometimes that allows a little bit more time. That's not going to be the general.

ANNA KULL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Way we're going to move forward. Thank you.

MONSTANTIN YELISAVETSKIY: Chair Nurse and members of the Committee on Criminal Justice, thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the approximately 1,800 Slater Slater Shulman clients who are survivors of jail and prison sexual assault in New York. My name is Konstantin Yelisavetskiy, I'm the Managing Attorney at Slater Slater Shulman in our New York City office and I directly oversee the Adult Survivors Act cases. Our firm is filed on behalf of all these survivors including 473 cases stemming out of Rikers.

2.2

2.3

We are honored to represent Ms. Karen Klines same as Tasha Carter Beasley, two courageous survivors who bravely testified before this panel earlier. We are grateful that the Council is paying attention to this problem, even though it extends far beyond the borders of New York City. The pervasiveness of rampant and unchecked sexual assaults of inmates, by jail employees has been recognized and thoroughly documented throughout the U.S. Correctional systems.

In 2003, the U.S. Congress enacted the Prison
Rape Elimination Act at PREA to establish national
standards for preventing and responding to sexual
abuse of federal inmates. PREA requires a strict
written policy mandating zero tolerance towards all
forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and
outlining an approach to preventing, detecting and
responding to such conduct.

The City Department of Corrections has failed this mandate and failed the women that they were supposed to protect. These brave survivors of sexual assault were in jail serving sentences decided by our justice system or alternatively awaiting a judicial hearing that would determine their fate. What they were given instead were life sentences of trauma.

2.2

2.3

Earlier today, Chair Nurse, Council Member Stevens,
Council Member Cabàn, who is no longer here, hit the
nail on the head. There's a five percent
substantiation rate when investigations are
conducted. By definition, that means 95 percent of
the survivors who are brave enough to report their
sexual abuse are not believed and people can sit here
all day long and tell you that they believe survivors
when there is a five percent substantiation rate. By
definition, that means they don't believe 95 percent
of them. A five percent substantiation rate means
that the system of investigating these allegations is

There is no other explanation for why only five percent of allegations are substantiated. In addition to the typical evidence of these kinds of cases including witnesses, we have clients who have been treated for sexually transmitted diseases, including HIV, which they contracted during their incarceration. We have other clients who were impregnated and had abortions or delivered a child and the officers name is on the birth certificate.

either broken or fraudulent.

I'm approaching the end. Multiple unrelated clients incarcerated at different times, including

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ms. Klines and including Ms. Carter Beasley, have reported assaults by the same guard indicating a pattern of repeated abuse and neglect. Eighteen of our clients at Rikers Island independently implicated a notorious DOC employee who went by the nickname Champaign.

The Adult Survivors Act sponsored by Senator Hoylman and Assembly Member Rosenthal gave my firm and other firms the tool it needed to file cases but our work, all of our work is not done. There are many factors that deter individuals from filing lawsuits for sexual crimes or reporting their own abuse.

Incarcerated people added barriers to justice including retaliation by correctional staff. We need to reform and overall the practices and procedures they allow in New York City jails to hire and retain abusers and to turn a blind eye when sexual assaults are reported. Thank you very much for your time.

BARBARA HAMILTON: Good afternoon Chair Nurse and members of the Committee. My name is Barbara Hamilton. I am the Director of Incarcerated Client Services at the Legal Aid Society and I thank you for the opportunity to testify here today.

2.2

2.3

As you know Legal Aid is urging the City Council to act on this and what I would say is its time for a reckoning on this issue. Legal Aid has represented and interviewed many people who are sexually abused, harassed and assaulted in DOC custody and what we found during our very long investigation is that there is a deep seeded culture where correctional staff exploit their authority with impunity. And despite repeated warnings to the city and the Department of Correction, there has been no attempt to meaningful remedy this situation as we saw here today.

The practices enacted by DOC fail to demonstrate and the intent to actually and electively change the status quo. There is a failure to conduct meaningful and robust and timely investigations. A big issue is people being subject to retaliation for reporting and allegations of sexual abuse against staff as discussed today are rarely substantiated. It was one half of a percent out of 1,500 cases.

DOC first and foremost must comply with PREA and Legal Aid will recommend independent audits inside PREA to make sure that the Department is conforming.

DOC must enact hiring processes that screen

perspective correction officers. DOC must implement policies to protect people in custody who report sexual abuse from retaliation and to really meaningfully connect them with services.

The city and DOC must conduct meaningful and timely investigations into allegations of sexual abuse. And we agree that DOC must adequately train its correction officers, supervisors, medical staff, and investigator to detect, report and thoroughly investigate sexual abuse.

To that end, Legal Aid supports Intro. 830 2024. We do have suggestions to make the bill more robust. For example, we suggest having proficiency audits, enforcement and monitoring. The standard of proof for investigator should be clear and they should use the PREA standard definition for sexual violence rather than the penal code definition. Individuals who report should be deemed credible until proven otherwise. Investigators should meaningful look at previous reports, even if they were unsubstantiated against staff. And the chronically, extremely low rate of substantiation of abuse is unacceptable.

Lastly, DOC must hold staff accountable, as well as the city for abuse, retaliation and sexual

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 exploitation and assault in a meaningful and timely

3 manner through the internal disciplinary processes,

4 as well as referrals for criminal prosecution. Thank

you for your time.

MICHAEL KLINGER: Good afternoon. My name is
Michael Klinger. I am a Jail Services Attorney with
Brooklyn Defender Services. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify today.

Today's Committee report expresses the Committee's concern that the Department might be undercounting or missing allegations of sexual abuse. We share your concern and based on our clients experience; we know they are. The Adult Survivors Act has pulled back the curtain on a world of sexual abuse on Rikers Island that should shock us but it should not surprise us because we've long known about DOC's culture of brutality and abuse, not only through the reports of the NUNEZ Monitor, which focuses on violence in the jails but also from a 2013 DOJ survey that found the Rose M. Singer Center to have one of the highest rates of reported sexual victimization by staff in the nation. At Brooklyn Defenders, when the people we represent share their experiences of sexual harassment and assault by staff

2.2

2.3

on Rikers, they simultaneously say they are afraid to report it. They are afraid to report not only out of

4 fear of retaliation but because of a fear of the

5 investigatory process itself. A process that is both

6 dangerous and in their view futile.

The Department is far from adequately performing its obligations under PREA. These failures are endemic. The consequences of a department culture that tolerates abuse and retribution against people in custody and fails to hold abusers accountable.

In considering Intro. 830 today, we urge the Council to think creatively of ways to designate an authority external to the Department with responsibility for investigating allegations of sexual assaults and abuse, as well as providing trainings related to PREA implementation. The potential cost of the Adult Survivors Act claims nearly \$15 billion is a frightening indication of the scope of the problem to date and we cannot pretend to trust that this Department is capable of creating conditions where our clients might ever feel safe enough to trust in a reporting system that has so far succeeded only in silencing them. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you all for your

3 testimony. I don't think we have any questions.

4 Appreciate you all coming down today and sharing and

5 | for you know bringing clients with you. We

6 appreciate it. Our next panel will be Yonah Zeitz,

7 Leah Faria, Dr. V., Christopher Leon Johnson. You

don't want to testify? Okay.

Whenever you're ready, you can begin.

10 LEAH FARIA: Thank you Chair Nurse and the other

11 members of the Committee. Good afternoon, my name is

12 Leah Faria and I am the Director of Community

13 | Engagement at the Women's Community Justice

14 Association and I am here testifying on behalf of the

15 Beyond Rosie's Campaign.

16 As you know, under the Adult Survivors Act, over

17 | 700 women have reported serious sexual abuse at the

18 Rose M. Singer Center on Rikers Island, spanning

19 | nearly 50 years. Their allegations against the

20 | officers charged with their care range from coercion

21 | to violent rape. One would think that allegations on

22 | that scale would prompt some serious self-examination

23 on the part of the City of New York or at least a

24 | major investigation. Instead, the city has been

25 sitting on their hands. No outside investigative

2.2

2.3

body has been appointed or funded. Guards accused of multiple sorts were pulled from their post only following scathe and media coverage. The picture this paints is not pretty. It is a picture of a culture where serial rape was taken for granted for decades and still isn't taken seriously enough because the victims were incarcerated women and its perpetrators wore badges, and that must change at every level of New York government in carceral system. That the victims of these assaults were incarcerated at the time speaks volumes about their context. The vast power imbalance between correction officers and incarcerated people is rightful abuse.

Incarcerated people are effectively stripped of their bodily autonomy. They depend on Correction Officers for their most basic needs, food, clothing, even access to the bathroom and have no real means to remove themselves from dangerous or abusive situations within the jail.

To report abuse is to court retaliation from people who control literally every aspect of their lives. This is a problem that runs deeper than a few bad apples. Although I want to emphasize that one would be too many. This is about inherit the

2.2

2.3

2 humanization of incarceration and the inevitable

3 abuse of absolute power. This is about

4 accountability yes, but even more, it's about the

5 | injustice of placing people in a position of such

6 total vulnerability to what amounts to an illusion of

7 increased public safety.

It would be easy to solely blame the culture of Corrections or its leadership at the times of various assaults but the roots of this epidemic of sexual violence run far deeper. To confront it is a serious way required — in this serious way requires challenging the system of incarceration as a whole and to address it effectively requires the city at every level to prioritize both decarceration and the substantial change in the culture surrounding corrections.

We applaud the concrete steps that have been taken, the introduction of Intro. 792 and 830 and this hearing itself are excellent starts, and as the new leadership at DOC who seems to grasp the urgent need for a systemwide change. We urge the Council to adopt Intro. 792 and 830 and the Department of Correction to seriously examine and channel in the factors that contributed to the culture of impunity

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around 50 years of sexual abuse, and both bodies prioritize mass decarceration especially for women and gender expansive people. Thank you.

YONAH ZEITZ: Thank you Chair Nurse for holding today's important Committee hearing on preventing and addressing sexual assault and harassment in New York City jails. My name is Yonah Zeitz and I'm the Advocacy Director at the Katal Center for Equity Health and Justice, and our members are from all across the city and they include people that have been incarcerated at Rikers, family members that are currently and formerly incarcerated at Rikers and I think they all know too well how horrific Rikers really is and have experienced the harms, many that were mentioned today throughout the hearing. And are deeply concerned about the ongoing disaster unfolding in our city's jail system. And so, we submit this testimony to bring your attention to the crisis at Rikers and the need to immediately shutter the notorious and deadly jail complex.

You know New Yorkers across the city are deeply concerned with what's happening at Rikers and since Mayor Adams took office, the overlapping crisis and scandals on Rikers and throughout his entire

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 administration have only worsened. And today's

3 hearing is a great example of that. The

4 Administration has stymied the process of

5 | investigating sexual abuse cases with more than 45

6 percent of them going beyond the legal mandate. The

7 | number of substantiated cases of sexual abuse

8 decreased under this Administration to 3.4 percent

9 last year, so it's even below the 5 percent the

10 previous speaker just named. And if 5 percent is

11 abysmal, then 3.4 percent is even worse and it's

12 about half the national average, which is about 6

13 percent for substantiated claims of sexual abuse in

14 correctional facilities. So this is absolutely

15 unacceptable. You know we've been horrible at this

16 as a city and it's only gotten worse under this

17 | current Administration.

Along with you know the rampant sexual abuse at Rikers, violence is also out of control. At least 33 people have died in city jails under this Administration and its been clear that under this Mayor, even the most basic aspect of operations at Rikers have further unraveled into disarray and avenues of accountability have been removed. And so,

we support the bills today focused on increasing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_ 1

25

transparency, safe guards and accountability at
Rikers to prevent sexual abuse and to end the culture
of impunity in the DOC. However, it's clear that the
only solution is to shut down Rikers once and for all
and that has to be at the forefront of all city
policy.

And given the ongoing crises, more drastic measures are needed to address the longstanding issues plaquing the justice system to prevent further abuse, harm and death to the people currently incarcerated there. And that's why until Rikers is closed, we're calling for the federal courts to immediately intervene and appoint an independent receiver to improve conditions and save lives. abundantly clear and I think the testimony from the DOC made it even more clear that this Administration and Department is both unwilling and unable to address the deep seeded issues plaguing the jail system. And so, there needs to be more drastic steps that are taken because it's clear that they're not willing to do it. And so, thank you for the time and appreciate this hearing today.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Alright, good afternoon. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Sandy, like I said on Twitter. I lost my words in

3 that situation you went through in Rikers. Nobody

4 | should go through that, let's make that clear.

Let me say something. I know this is a different panel you wanted me to be on, you wanted me to be on the last one with the people that's against all the bills but what we have to do is we have to refund the DOC. We have to refund our police. We have to interject the sexual assault into domestic violence because once we get that, we can start funding these organizations more with domestic violence funding. Let me make this clear right, look, there's a lot of sexual assaults in these jails like Rikers. I don't believe it should be closed because that's nothing but a land graft for the developers but we have to start talking about in the City Council, especially in this Committee about the female Correction Officers that get sexually assaulted. The female employees of DOC that get sexually assaulted in the jails too. Yeah, there's a lot of inmates, of female inmates and male inmates to that get sexually assaulted but the employees and the Corrections Officers they're getting left out of the equation. And what's going on I don't think it's just you

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Sandy, it's just a big, bigger thing in a whole with these nonprofits is that they just want to make it all about inmates, inmates, inmates. Like they're the only victims of sexual assault in these jails, which is yeah, they're not the only ones. There's other victims in these jails, like the CO's and the employees. But going forward, to have the conversation go wide is that you have to start recognizing these victims such as the employees and the Correction Officers instead of just the inmates because let's keep it real, they're a inmates that shouldn't be there. And that's why you all want this closed because guys shouldn't be there but there's a certain amount of people that should be in Rikers that should be in these jails.

And I want to make this clear, just closing down Rikers is not going to fix this because you can close down Rikers all you want, you have to jail these people somewhere and they're going to build borough based jails. So, it's not going to solve anything. Closing Rikers will never solve ending the sexual assault pandemic in the New York City jail system.

Like I said, it is education. It is refunding our police, refunding the DOC, and since it's the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | last day of Domestic Violence Awareness Month, next

3 year, I hope next year that the City Council adopts a

4 Resolution to add sexual assault and sexual

5 harassment into the definition of domestic violence

6 so all these nonprofits can allot the funding and the

7 DOC can allot the funding to start advocating more to

8 protect these inmates and the employees from sexual

9 assault. And we need to help out the DOI. And one

10 more thing, DOI needs to start investigating Brad

11 | Lander. That's it, thank you.

DR. V: Peace and blessing everyone. Can you hear me? First, I just want to acknowledge Chair Council Member Sandy Nurse. Thank you so much and all the other Council Members. You got to give respect where respect is due. Peace and blessings everyone all Chairs and Council Members. I'm

Chaplain Dr. Victoria A. Phillips a.k.a. Dr. V.

Today I'm speaking from several volunteer and contracted positions. Today, there was over \$1 million in salaries at this very table yet very few answers. Various levels were asked under one topic, yet few answers. So, I'm just wondering just a few things. Blind spots, I've been asking and actually speaking and advocating about this since 2012.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Myself and others have fought and have gotten over

3 14,000 cameras on Rikers Island but it's not enough.

I will say this Commissioner has been patrolling the

5 | jails often and even has leadership doing so. Still

6 unfortunately it's not enough to shift the old school

jailing, actual lawlessness that occurs, nor the

8 | inhumane culture.

So, let's be clear, one out of four women go into Rosie's already being a survivor of sexual assault. When we speak of trauma, who are we incarcerating? I testify before this Committee when Council Member Powers was Chair and asked for an increase in DOI and I asked for an increase in officers because they were working 24 hours around the clock back then, and I asked for an increase in funding for programming. In the past, DOI has actually been guilty of holding up cases until someone has moved, been transferred or released. Quite frankly they themselves need their own level of accountability.

Today, the Commissioner mentioned 19
investigators have 25 cases equally. That adds up to
475 but over 700 cases still need to be investigated.
The Commissioner said she needs at least 14 more.
So, at 25 cases, that would be about they've covered

2.2

2.3

350 cases, that will cover the 700 backlog and leave space to investigate cases in real time. Let's give it to them so we can truly hold them accountable.

Accountability must begin to be real. When DOC says they responded in 2012 to 24 hours after an allegation has occurred, that's a lie. I know for a fact this year alone, I know for a fact this year alone, DOC union has referred an officer to me after being sexually assaulted in a facility caught on tape. The officer was suspended but not because of the allegation. He was suspended because he was caught drinking on duty. The investigators didn't even respond to that officer until after 20 days and that was when I reached out to head leadership at DOC to see what was going on.

I'm saying that because if that is what's happening to an officer, what's happening to a detainee? And when we talk about accountability, this year alone, I, myself, was threatened by an officer at BOC after testifying in the same room and I'm saying it here because the Chair of the Board of Correction oversight actually told me he saw what happened. That the President of the Union would have to take care of that officer. I had to testify.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Give me three more seconds. Four more times on the record and do my own due diligence to make sure that officer no longer can threaten me or anybody else. But again, what happens behind the walls when someone puts a grievance in? If my grievance is disrespected on the outside, what is happening to those who need us to do our jobs and speak for them? And when we talk about accountability, it has to be something that is real because everybody is not as strong as me and even us strong ones need support and backup. didn't have nobody standing up for me but my God and my ancestors and I was able to fight for myself. when I leave people behind the walls who cry and beg me for help and I can't save them, I'm asking you to try and join this fight to save them. Peace and blessings.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Thank you all for testifying. Okay, that is it today. Sorry, I'm just going to call one person Kelly Grace Price, if you are on the Zoom, let yourself be known. You are not on the Zoom. Okay, well thank you. Thank you to the staff. Thank you Jeremy not only for holding us down and all the work you've put into it. Thank you Council Member Stevens for hanging out and staying

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 24, 2024