CCITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

----- X

May 13, 2025

Start: 10:21 a.m. Recess: 4:25 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Justin L. Brannan,

Chairperson for Committee on

Finance

Selvena Brooks-Powers,

Chairperson for the Committee on

Transportation

Shekar Krishnan,

Chairperson for the Committee on

Parks and Recreation

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana I. Ayala Gale A. Brewer

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

David M. Carr Amanda Farias Kamillah Hanks

COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)

Robert Holden Crystal Hudson Linda Lee Farah N. Louis Julie Menin Francisco P. Moya Mercedes Narcisse Chi A. Ossè Vicki Paladino Keith Powers Lincoln Restler Carlina Rivera Yusef Salaam Pierina Ana Sanchez Althea V. Stevens Nantasha M. Williams Julie Won Sandra Ung

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joe Puleo President, Local 983, DC 37 (Urban Park Rangers and City Seasonal Aides)

Suhali Mendez New Lawyers for the Public Interest

Bhairavi Desai New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Suhali Mendez New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Richard Y Chow NYTWA

Allison Langley Adriano Averzano Pasang Sherpa Dorothy Leconte Wain Chin

Marlena Giga Urban Park Rangers/PEP officers

Carmen de Leon Treasurer Local 983, DC 37

Heather Lubov City Parks Foundation

Kaitlin Krause
Parks and Recreation, Water Safety

Sommer Omar Allocate Funding to Repair the Tony Dapolito Recreation Center

Morgan Monaco Prospect Park Alliance

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Surico Center for an Urban Future

Dejon Williams Local 299 DC37

Adam Ganser New Yorkers for Parks

Alia Soomro New York League of Conservative Voters

Emily Walker Natural Areas Conservancy

Merritt Birnbaum Riverside Park Conservancy

Gabrielle A Perez
The Bronx is Blooming

Chelten Leggett Trust for Public Land

Constance Lesold Eastern Parkway Coalition

Giulietta Fiore Historic House Trust of NYC

Aresh Javadi More Gardens Fund

Ashely Kibria

Scott Daly NYJTL

Kuber Samcho Persaud

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Darren Hinton Play NYC

Christopher Leon Johnson

Kader Guerrab

Kevin O'Keefe
Funding for Parks

Brent Bovenzi Open Streets funding

Saskia Haegens Open Streets funding

Andrew Berman
Tony Dapolito Recreation Center

Cory Hasson Parks Budget

Rosa Chang Funding for Parks

Charlie Vallone

Jackson Chabot

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning. This is a 3 microphone check for the executive budget hearing on 4 Finance, jointly with Transportation and 5 Infrastructure and Parks and Recreation. 6 date is May 13, 2025, located in the Chambers. Recording done by Pedro Lugo. 8 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to 9 today's New York City Council Executive Budget 10 Hearing for the Committee on Finance, joint with 11 Transportation Infrastructure and Parks and 12 Recreation. At this time, we ask that you please 13 silence all electronic devices and at no time are you 14 to approach the dais. If you'd like to sign up for 15 in person testimony or have any another questions 16 throughout the hearing, please see one of the 17 Sergeant at Arms. 18 Chair Brannan, we're ready to begin. 19 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Sergeant. 20 [GAVEL]. Okay, good morning and welcome to day two 21 of the FY26 Executive Budget hearing. Council Member 22 Justin Brannan, I Chair the Council's Finance 23 Committee. Today, we're talking about something that 24 effects every single New Yorker, our streets, our

sidewalks, our bridges and how we get around

2.2

pedestrians, cyclists, baby strollers, cars, and buses. Today's focus - today's hearing focuses on the Department of Transportation. I'm proud to be joined by my colleague and Majority Whip Council Member Selvena Brooks-Powers who Chairs the Committee on Transportation. We've also been joined so far this morning by Council Members Louis, Ayala, Carr, Hudson, and De La Rosa and of course welcome back to a familiar face our former colleague Commissioner Ydanis Rodriguez and your team. Thank you all for being here and taking the time to walk us through the budget and answer our questions.

Just some quick housekeeping, as part of our new format, public testimony will happen right after we hear from agency officials, so if you're here to speak on the DOT Executive Budget, please just make sure you fill out a witness slip with the Sergeant at Arms in the back.

So, let's talk numbers. On May 1st, the

Administration released its executive financial plan

for FY26 to '29 with a proposed FY26 budget of \$115.1

billion. DOT's proposed share is \$1.5 billion, which

is about 1.3 percent of the total budget. That's a

\$30 million increase from the preliminary plan back

2.2

in January driven by collective bargaining costs, road resurfacing, ferry operations and utility adjustments but what the Council finds troubling is that as of March, DOT still had about 630 vacancies. That's hundreds of jobs unfilled, projects delayed, streets that go unrepaired, and New Yorkers that have to deal with unsafe conditions. It's not okay with us, we'll take it up with OMB as well but that's got to - those vacancies need to be filled.

In the City Council's Preliminary Budget response, we made it crystal clear. Restore the city's commitment to resurfacing at least 1,300 miles of roadway per year. Unfortunately the executive budget kept it at 1,100. That's just not a number, that means more potholes, longer waits for repairs and more frustration for everyday people who are just trying to get to work, school or the store.

A city that runs on its streets can't afford to let them fall apart and I know DOT agrees with that.

My questions today will focus on the capital plan, the status of federal infrastructure dollars and what it's going to take to get us back on track literally.

With that, I'm going to turn it over to my Co-Chair for this hearing, Council Member Selvena

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 Brooks-Powers so she can give her opening remarks. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you and good morning and welcome to the Committee on 5 transportation and Infrastructures joint hearing with 6 7 the Committee on Finance on the Fiscal 2026 Executive Budget for the Department of Transportation. 8 9 My name once again is Selvena Brooks-Powers and I Chair the Committee on Transportation and 10 11 Infrastructure. I have repeatedly emphasized that 12 effective and efficient transportation is vital to 13 the social mobility, economic growth and equity. It also serves a crucial role in community health and 14 15 our overall wellbeing as a city. How and where we 16 choose to invest our transportation dollars reflects 17 the values and priorities of our city. 18 Today, we will hear from the Department of 19 Transportation on its \$1.5 billion fiscal 2026 20 executive budget. 21 DOT's Fiscal 2026 Budget in the Executive Plan is 2.2 2.4 percent greater than its \$1.47 billion fiscal 2.3 2026 preliminary budget presented in January. DOT's capital commitment plan totals \$11.66 24

billion across fiscal years 2025 to 2029. This is

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 10
\$1.67 billion less than the preliminary capital

2.2

commitment plan. The fiscal 2026 executive budget includes an additional \$34.6 million, \$22.8 million of which is from new needs.

As always, I plan to discuss with DOT how the city can more equitably invest in historically underserved communities like the ones I represent.

Issues such as overnight residential tractor trailer parking remain the persistent concern in certain areas of our city and DOT is once again failing to meet the legal mandates the Council established several years ago in the Streets Plan, especially when it comes to bus lanes. Our plan to ask DOT about this at today's hearing and I look forward to gaining a better understanding, how this years budget will ensure that DOT can meet its legal requirements and more effectively serve communities citywide.

As Adam Clayton Powell Jr. once said, it's time to move beyond the rhetoric and into action. I look forward to better understanding how this years budget will enable DOT to meet legal requirements and more effectively serve communities citywide. Before we begin with testimony, I would like to thank Council staff for their hard work on preparing for this

2.2

hearing. Adrian Drepaul, Senior Financial Analyst,
Julia K. Haramis, Unit Head, Chima Obichere, Deputy
Director, Mark Chen, Senior Committee Counsel, Kevin
Kotowski and John Basile, Senior Policy Analyst and
of course my staff including Julian Martin my
director of budget and legislation as well as my
Chief of Staff Renee Taylor.

And with that, I will now pass it back to Chair Brannan.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Chair BrooksPowers. Before we continue, I also want to continue
to thank the Council Finance staff who works very
hard to put these hearings together. Specially today
again to Julia and Adrian for preparing today's
hearing. My Committee Counsel Brian Sarfo, my senior
advisor Jon Yedin and a full team of analysts back at
Mission Control who helped make this possible.

Just a reminder, we'll take public testimony on DOT and Parks right after the agency panels again.

If you're here to testify, public testimony is probably not going to start until 2 p.m. but make sure you fill out a witness slip.

I'll now turn it over to the Committee Counsel to swear in our witnesses and we can start.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 12 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning. Do you affirm 3 to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but 4 the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions Commissioner 5 Rodriguez? 6 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I do. 7 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Ochoa? PAUL OCHOA: Yes, I do. 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Forgione? 10 11 MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, I do. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And Assistant Commissioner 12 13 Rodriguez. 14 RICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I do. 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. 16 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. It's a great 17 honor to be here. My fourth time coming to testify 18 in the Executive Budget on behalf of Mayor Eric 19 Adams. Chair Brooks-Powers, Chair Brannan and 20 members of the Committee on Transportation and 21 Infrastructure and Finance. Thank you for the great work that you do at the Council and all members of 2.2 2.3 your Committee. I'm Ydanis Rodriguez, Commissioner of the New 24

York City Department of Transportation. With me

brother.

today our First Deputy Commissioner Margaret

Forgione, Executive Deputy Commissioner Paul Ochoa

and Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and

Community Affairs Rick Rodriguez. He's not my

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of Mayor Eric Adams on DOT's Fiscal Year 2026 Executive Budget and Fiscal Year 2025-2035 Capital Plan.

With an over \$1.5 billion operating budget and \$33.5 billion capital program, DOT has almost 6,000 employee safety inefficients managed. The numbers of vacancy that we have today is equal or similar to the pre-pandemic number.

As Mayor Adams announced, this is truly our best budget ever. DOT's charge in the New York City

Charter is to provide for the safe and efficient and environmentally responsible movement of people and goods around our city, while managing 26 percent of the city line including 6,300 miles of the street and highways, 1,000 miles of sidewalk, 44,000 intersections and 14,000 of those intersections, they have traffic lights and over 800 bridges and tunnels with both baseline funding and the approval of new

2.2

need for nearly everyone of our six divisions. This budget will help us achieve that mission.

We appreciate the Mayor's continued investment in DOT enabling us to maintain our programs and deliver for New Yorkers. In '22 Mayor increased the budget by 18. In '23 he had close to \$300 million and his commitment to put the dollars where it's needed has been very consistent in his three years and five months in this administration.

DOT's FY26 expense budget is \$1.5 billion and you can see the breakdown on this screen and in the chart in my testimony. There are \$124 million for bridges and maintenance, \$125 million for ferry operations and you will see the other breakdown in this screen. If you cannot see it from here, you have it in front of you.

On capital plan, DOT's FY25 and FY35 capital plan is \$33.9 billion and you can see the breakdown again on this screen and in my testimony. But that number also is represented by \$17.3 billion for brides and that's very important when we look about the capital. From the \$34 billion capital for ten year plan, \$17 billion is for the maintenance and investment to maintain our bridges in the state of good repair for

2.2

2.3

our city. \$3.5 billion for resurfacing, \$3.6 billion for sidewalk, \$1.5 billion for street light signals and other areas, \$703 million for a Staten Island Ferry and \$1.3 billion for the facility and equipment needed to support DOT operations.

This budget provides funding to support critical DOT operations that keep the city moving. The Adams Administration include \$253 million over the span of the ten year capital plan for replacement of DOT's aging fleet of medium and heavy duty vehicles, which will allow us to establish a regular schedule for replacing these vehicles as they reach the end of their useful life. These will allow DOT to continue providing core services for all New Yorkers such as roadways resurfacing, curbs and median construction, pedestrian ramp upgrade and installations and bridge repair, just to name a few.

For our sidewalk division, which oversees 2,000 miles of sidewalk. This budget include \$4.7 million to keep up with the rising cost of steel, concrete and other materials needed for pedestrians ramp upgrade and installation.

Last year, DOT oversaw the upgrade or installation of pedestrian ramp at over 9,300

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 16 corners, and this new funding will allow us to

continue this important work the help New York of all

4 abilities cross the street safe.

2.2

For our roadways division, this budgets

continually important \$280 million in baseline

funding annually to allow us to research 1,100 lane

miles and 50 bike lane miles each year. Also, this

budget add an additional \$7.9 million to ensure we're

fully funded for our resurfacing operation this year.

This month, we are celebrating bike month with month long programming and community event designed to encourage cycling, promote safety, and celebrate the city growing bike culture. There's so much to celebrate under the Eric Adams Administration. DOT has built a record of 8.5 miles of new protected bike lanes. That number is larger than the numbers of protected bike lane that has been built in the same prior time by any previous administration and the numbers of bike lane that we have built in New York city is larger than the numbers of protected bike lane that all larger city has built together in this country.

With this funding we agree additional 20 miles to support the over 226 million bike trips. Again 226

2.2

million is to put in perspective of bike trip that we had last year is much higher than 98 million bike trips that we had in 2009.

Our celebration includes a dozen lanes across all five boroughs, including free [INAUDIBLE 00:16:04] fitting bike light give away, education outreach for delivery workers and our Staten Island Borough Commissioner started initiative that we're looking to expand across the five borough, which is that in partnership with Bike New York, they will have a give away bike to underserved kid where more than 200 bikes being donated by resident of Staten Island, Bike New York and other and that will be happening by the end of this month.

So, we're also willing by our colleague, the colleague here from Staten Island to join us that particular day. For our traffic operations division, we also have good news to share. We have identified our top vendor our new automatic enforcement contract and are working with them on a schedule to install new red light cameras thanks the expansion we secured in Albany last year. We are also working with our partners in the state legislature to reauthorize our lifesaving speed camera program set to expire in July

and the reason why is a lifesaving speed tools is because where we have installed a speed camera, we have seen a major reduction on speeding and a major reduction on speeding and a major reduction of crashes in those locations.

We look forward to work with the Council to pass the home rule message. On bridges, we continue our critical project to maintain 809 bridges and four tunnels in a state of good repair. This budget provides an additional \$42 million for the east 25th pedestrian bridges over the FDR tied to Science Park and Research Campus on Kips Bay, which will be a first of its kind job and educational center in the heart of New York City.

In this budget, the Adams Administration also baselined \$3.2 million and ten headcount between our bridges and planning divisions to support the inspection and repair of overhead sign and structures. With these funds, we are creating a first ever dedicated program to replace the over 50 years signs on the highways throughout the city instead of handling then on a case by case basis with in house resources as we have previously done.

2.2

I'm also happy to say that we were granted expanded authority to our first in the nation weight in motion program in the recently passed state budget. As everyone know we did the first one around the BQE, made New York City the first one that is able to give a \$650 fine to those truck drivers who are using overweight trucks with more than 20,000 pound of what is allowed in their vehicle.

We are now authorized to use weight in motion on the BQE as well as eight additional bridges including the Queens Borough of Manhattan and Williamsburg bridges. These will help keep overweight vehicle off our bridges and help us maintain them in a state of good repair. The reason why this is so critical is because when a bridge is built, their life is only 80 or 90 years.

After that time, cities had to make major investment to keep those bridges in the state of good repair as we had to in the Brooklyn Bridge where in the last ten years we have invested around \$1 billion.

For our transportation, planning and management division, this budget includes an increase of \$10 million for our pavements markings contract this year

on top of the \$30 million we already have baselined.

This funding is critical for allowing us to implement the Street Improvement Project, including new bus and bike lanes, pedestrian safety improvements and so much more to enhance street safety for all road users. This funding will allow us to replace fade marking to ensure that our street designs remain in good condition. And this budget include \$101 million for street reconstruction project that built out critical safety improvement in permanent concrete materials. This includes \$79.6 million for the four phase of our Queens Boulevard redesign that will stand the improvement from Yellowstone Boulevard to Union Turnpike.

\$13.3 million for our Northern Boulevard Douglas
Connector project to build out the cyclists
transition on Northern Boulevard at the Cross Island
Parkway. \$4.7 million for the [00:21:36] avenue
Street Scape project that will expand public space
and improve pedestrian safety in the Broadway
junction area. And \$3.7 million for the Park Avenue
Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project that will slow
traffic and create a new public space under the BQE.

2.2

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm so happy to say that in this budget, the Mayor Eric Adams Administration has a step up with funding to support two Hallmark Public Realm programs, Open Street and Dining out NYC. These programs were a critical lifeline for the city during the pandemic and recovery period. Largely funded by Federal Corona virus stimulus funds. With the stimulus funding expiring this year, the program could only continue with an injection of city funds. This investment ensures New Yorkers can continue to enjoy these transformative programs that have reshaped our street as places to meet, dine, and spend quality time. And that investment is even much needed in underserved community or the institution that is ready to put this money in those programs. For dining out NYC, this budget added \$3.8 million this fiscal year to replace federal funding we once had for this program.

For open street, this budget adds \$2.1 million to replace the federal funding, which will allow us to continue supporting open street throughout the city.

Last month, we opened decision open street with

[00:23:34] connecting open street and plaza's across the city while providing access to over 1,000 miles

of New York City bike network and showcasing public art and community programming.

And looking ahead for this years summer street as a major announce, we are planning to extend it to 400 blocks to celebrate the city 400th anniversary. This budget also provide \$50 million for the Gotham Arches Project, which reconstruct the area by the Brooklyn Bridge with increased community connectivity and public space and the Administration look forward to continue to work with the community on this project. Thank you Rosa for the great job. She was here and then she left but Rosa and many members of the China Town community, they've been great partners working with us with that project.

We are also proud to be part of one of the first rezoning of this administration with the Bronx Metro North Rezoning, which will bring four new Metro North station to the East Bronx and create nearly 7,000 homes including 1,700 permanently income restricted affordable housing and 10,000 jobs. All close to public transit. This budget includes \$208 million for new project to support this rezoning.

Finally, DOT continues to be a leader in minority and women owned business enterprise or MWBE

2.2

2.3

contracting investment. When I first stepped into my role as Commissioner, the agency MWBE number was only 11 percent. We know how hard it is to move those numbers. However today it is with great pride that I coming in my four years as a Commissioner to share with you that progress we have made in the most recent fiscal year of our MWBE was in 32 percent in year to date, our MWBE numbers is 37 percent. This achievement underscore a commitment to promote inclusivity and provide meaningful support to diverse businesses with our community, reflecting a broader vision of equity and opportunity for all.

In this budget, we were given \$3.7 million in FY26 to continue our MWBE contract to cleaning and vegetation control out on highway service roads, which will allow us to continue this important program.

SPEAKING IN SPANISH [00:26:36]- [00:27:06].

In conclusion, we are thankful for the opportunity to testify before the Council today and for your continued partnership. We are grateful to the Adams Administration for investing in DOT.

Everyday the almost 6,000 people men and women that work so hard at DOT take this job very seriously and

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 24 I want to thank every single member of this agency for being the leader of the larger Department of Transportation that any city have in this country.

We now welcome any questions.

2.2

testimony Commissioner. We've also been joined by Council Members Narcisse, Williams and Restler. I want to talk about federal funding just to set the table. On January 27th of this year, the Trump Administration issued a directive to pause federal loan and grant spending. The directive was quickly rescinded two days later but an executive order issued by the President to review funding remains in effect.

The level of federal funding that the city and DOT will receive remains a serious area of concern. The executive plan includes \$135.3 million of federal funding for DOT in FY25, which is about 8.8 percent of DOT's budget and \$78.8 million for FY26, which is about 5.2 percent. So, could you tell us what are DOT's main sources of federal funding?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I'm going to start and then
Paul will take it. When I was a Chairman of this
Committee of Transportation and you know especially

2.2

my first year when I had the budget in front of me and I say why we have these numbers and then by October, the numbers increased and the whole team, they education me to understand that you know it is by the end of this year that we get to know how much federal funding and other sources is coming to this agency.

So, I can say that the agency has a great team of financing grants. We go after every single dollar.

We continue planning with no difference and we have been planning in the last couple years because we had not received any notice from DC that any federal funding being stopped. So, that's why we are focusing and Mayor's Adams say all of us being laser focused. So, we are not right now being distracted by any noise that may come from this city because we had not received any notice or any federal funding being stopped.

PAUL OCHOA: Thanks Chair for that question.

Most of our federal funding comes from US DOT, FTY

funding and FHWA funding, so the Federal Transit

Administration and the Federal Highway

Administration, most of the funding is programmed

into our budget, what we call formula funds, which

means it's a lump sump amount that flows through the state that comes to us. We program a lot of it in the various budget for example.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Is there a contingency plan for - has the Administration given any orders for a contingency plan to prepare for a potential budget shortfall?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah listen, we're always talking to OMB and City Hall about these things. We sincerely hope that the federal administration does not rescind any funds that are used for critical operations but we are in conversations with them to make sure that one, we know exactly where the federal funding is coming from and then you know plan accordingly. At this point, as the Commissioner mentioned, no federal funds have been rescinded. It's certainly not formula funds. I think there was a lot of competitive friends from the last Administration that we're working through but at this point, nothing has been canceled or rescinded.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Are there any federal grants that DOT has applied for that you're waiting to hear back on?

spent around \$470 million annually in this unit of

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE appropriation. By the end of the third quarter last year, expenditures in this area already exceeded \$425 million. Yet, through the first three quarters of the current fiscal year, the agency has spent only \$347 million on these expenses. So, why have expenditures this year lagged last years expenditures in this particular unit of appropriation? PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, I can take it. Uhm, you're

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, I can take it. Uhm, you're right Council Member, a lot of our work is critical.

Sometimes it can be weather dependent for example.

We can have an issue with the contractor for example.

What we do is we do spend every single cent at the close of the fiscal year and if there is work, for example that happen in this fiscal year that we didn't pay out, we set up accruals. It's a technical process where we pay the next fiscal years dollars to close the gap in this fiscal year.

So, it's hard to give you an exact answer of why the money hasn't been spent but I can assure you it gets spent, every single cent gets spent.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, the FY26 budget for this U of A is over \$490 million.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 29 PAUL OCHOA: That sounds right. U of A includes two large operations, it's traffic ops and the Traffic, Planning and Management division. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And do you think that's adequate to fund the need in the next fiscal year?

PAUL OCHOA: We think so. Listen, we do move money around within U of A and sometimes across U of A just to make sure that the entire agency is funded but we take a close look at that and we have an amazing budget team who keeps very close track of spending to make sure that one, the encumbrance's that are set up accordingly to pay out contracts and if we are over encumbering, which means we are over committing, that we de-encumber to move money around.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, moving to the capital plan. The DOT's Executive Capital Commitment Plan for FY25-29 is 12.5 percent less than the Capital Commitment Plan in the preliminary budget. It's a decrease of \$1.67 billion. Why was there such a large decrease in the DOT capital plan?

PAUL OCHOA: Were you talking about a specific fiscal year. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the first part of the -

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, the DOT's Executive Capital Commitment Plan for FY25-29?

PAUL OCHOA: So, the Capital Commitment, we move money around. If for example, we have capital stretch exercises. It's an accounting sort of exercise. What we do and we work with OMB and City Hall to make sure that we don't actually impact schedules, which is the most important thing. If a project is funded say in Fiscal '27 and we need to move the money up to Fiscal '25, we do that all the time. It does require constant coordination with OMB and City Hall but we do that. So, if there is a decrease in Fiscal '25 and we move money around to Fiscal '26 and '27, it's just in accounting to make sure that we're not over committing and the city is not bonding out more than what it needs to in Fiscal '25.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, how did you determine along with OMB which projects would be pushed out to later years in the plan?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, the first order that we do is to align projects with schedules. So, we work with DDC, DEP, a lot of our street projects, for example have DEP components and they're managed by DDC. So,

the first thing we ask is say, okay, of all these projects programmed for Fiscal '25, which one of these are likely going to slip into Fiscal '26? And if that — and we work with all three agencies to make sure that's — and OMB to make sure that's the case.

Once we see that, then we say okay, well if that project slip, then we are going to move the money accordingly. And then if we don't meet the target by just aligning with schedules, then we look at projects that we can you know move out the funding with the understanding that the funding is going to be brought back into a fiscal year to make sure that it advances. We haven't delayed a project because of any stretch exercises yet.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, I want to talk about street resurfacing. I mentioned in my opening remarks the previously stated goal was to resurface 1,300 lane miles annually. That was reduced to 1,100. The Council called on the Administration to increase capital funding for street resurfacing by \$40 million and that was to allow DOT to return to resurface 1,300 lane miles annually.

2.2

Was any additional funding related to the Council's request to increase the city's repaving effort included in the Executive Plan?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I'm going to pass it to our First Deputy Commissioner who oversee all operation at DOT.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you so our resurfacing budget was increased by \$7.9 million this year or this coming year and in terms of the lane miles, we're very proud each and every year to always meet our lane mile target. Resurfacing is critical for us to keep our roadways in good condition and avoid street defects forming and things of that nature. We would be open to discussing increasing the lane mile target but at this time, we have that increase that I mentioned in the budget.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay and in FY24, the average cost per lane mile resurfaced was just under \$200,000. Is that still accurate?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I want to talk about fake license plates and the impact that they have on revenue collection. According to an audit by the Comptroller, the faced obscure and fake license

2.2

2.3

plates cost the city about \$100 million a year in lost revenue. The proliferation of fake and defaced plates has gotten out of control and while there have been occasional crackdowns, they're not enough to truly address the issue. We all see - we're all you know in traffic stuck behind these cars who have a leaf on their plate or something blocking a letter on their plate.

We believe the city is leaving considerable amount of money on the table here and that it's clear that we need upgraded technology to better address these enforcement challenges. We've learned that cars sold since 2019 are all connected via GPS, telematics API, meaning you know no matter what your license plate says, we have the technology to figure out who owns the car and how to apply that fine or ticket. Has DOT explored the us of any updated camera technology that would allow it to issue violations based on GPS detection rather than just the license plates that are not always real or visible?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: First of all, I know our legal team, the general counsel that we have, they are doing a great job and one of the things that we have

done recently is we passed a new rule at DOT that now empower NYPD to be able to give a ticket to those who are - who park a car with an obstructed plate.

So, before NYPD could stop someone that they would see in the street if that person was driving the car. However, the law didn't allow NYPD to go and be able to leave a ticket to a car that was parked in the street with obstructed license.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Hmm, hmm.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: So, this is one of the things that we have done recently, which we passed a rule at DOT is that now empower NYPD to give a ticket to those cars that they are parked in our street that have an obstructed plate. As we are — as we also have selected, the new vendor for our speed camera, we've been in conversation on what other feature they come with the technology. At some point, we are restricted because of how the State authorize New York City to use the speed camera doesn't allow for us to do certain things.

So, more than happy to work with the Council to figure out how we can expand as we're going through the reauthorization of the speed camera to see if we can be allowed also to use those new speed cameras

that we've been installing with a vendor and be able to address what you're saying.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Has a vendor been chosen for the $\-$

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: They've been chosen as part of the process. They're which is the current vendor that being running the largest speed camera in the nation, which is ours. They've been selected as part of the process, now we're going through the whole other process of negotiation with some details, going through a Comptroller but based on the Committee that did the whole evaluation of everyone who responds, they were the one that is for hire and there's a new process I know Paul would like to add anything about that.

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, thanks Commissioner. We're very excited about this new contract. This is the first time that the automated enforcement program gets our feet out. If you recall the program crew from a small pilot to just what it was now, the agency never really put out an RFP to one, make sure that the contract translates for the agency and two, see what new technologies were out there. I think as the Commissioner mentioned, this new contract is

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 36 2 structured in a way that if something, if a new technology comes in, we can quickly pivot to it. So, 3 4 we're very excited. I do want to make a point about the revenue. I think you're perhaps right about the revenue. I think the way we see automated 6 7 enforcement is about behavior change and if these folks are not getting tickets then they're likely not 8 going to see the behavior change, which is ultimately what we want out of the automated enforcement 10 11 program. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, with the new vendor or 12 13 the renewal, is there talk about updating the technology in the cameras? 14 15 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes, they have -16 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Just hit your mic. 17 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah so I would like to afford 18 Rick also to add after I share where we are today. So, as part of this process, we have an industry day 19 where those were responded to the request for 20 21 proposal, they showcase everything that they have, the current and new technology. 2.2 23 So, I think that everyone including - everyone who responded by including the one that's being 24

scoring higher which is the one that the agency is

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 37 2 now in negotiation with details on the contract. They are open to do new things but again, more than 3 happy to continue conversation with you guys. 4 However, we are restricted by the state on what more, how can we use those images? And Rick, I don't know 6 7 if you'd like to -RICK RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, thank you Commissioner. 8 9 Thank you Chair. Just to go a half step backwards. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

On the reauthorization of the speed camera bill, we're going to include some of the amendments to do increased sharing with the state to combat an obstructed license plate. So, that's a thing that you'll probably see soon and an additional bill that we are also in support of in the legislature is the Intelligence Speed Assist program, which we've been calling I'd say, which would have that sort of telematics technology. So, for those worst offenders of our speed and red light cameras or they have 11 points on their license, you would be required to have an installation of an ISA device. And so, we would have that GPS technology and the vehicles for those worst offenders.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, sorry we've also been joined by Council Members Rivera, Salaam, Ariola and

Sanchez. I have a couple more but I want to - in interest of time, I'm going to turn it over to Chair Brooks Powers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. I'm going to kick it off with Streets Plan. In DOT's most recent Streets Plan report, which was released this past March, the Department admitted that in 2024, it only built 13.5 miles of protected bus lanes. Which once again, is far short of the 30 miles required annually. DOT is also required to install transit signal priority at 1,000 intersections annually but completed only 766 last year. The report noted that DOT installed roughly 29 miles of protected bike lanes in 2024, which is an improvement but still less than the 58 mile benchmark required by legislation. Does DOT have enough funding to meet all Streets Plan mandates?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We always appreciate any new funding, more funding. It's always great, however, as someone like you that have been in BNT leadership, we know also that we have manage every single dollar, so we're doing a good job when it came to the resources that we have.

Our challenge is it's not only the resources; our challenge is also how can we get to 50 mile protected bike lane when we know that there is so many areas in the city where we have a lot of constituency that they don't want to have those bike lanes in those areas. As I said before, I wanted to do the bus lane at Fordham Avenue but all the elected officials, the BID in Little Italy, the Fordham University, they all went against it.

So like you know I would like to be a leader like Gale Brewer. Same thing as how I feel. I don't think that the number that we have put in the street master plan, which was a bill that I was a cosponsor. That was a bill that I passed when I was a chairman of the Committee of Transportation. Unless there's appetite in the commitment of the 51 Council Members who say I want bus lane and bike lane in my districts. If that would be the commitment, we can built it but with the reality that we feel today, we wouldn't be able to do the 50 mile protected bike lane and the 30 mile protected bus lane.

And even with a picture, the 29 miles that you referred that all of us should be very proud, is

2.2

2.3

larger than the protected bike lane that all larger cities together build in this country.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that response Commissioner, even though I think we've uhm all come to the conclusion that the responsibility of implementation of the law rests on DOT, not on the Council Members.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: If you give me green light - if the Council give me green light for me to do any bus lane and bike lane that I want in this agency, then I can do the 50 miles and the 30 miles.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: If you were able to have additional funding, how much would it require?

Because I know you said that you could do more if you had more. What would that dollar amount look like?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: The funding is only one other piece. I think that again I do appreciate you know and all of us should be very proud of what we have built. Two weeks ago I had to seal the larger bike tour in the globe which is in South Africa. Together with the one from the 3rd one in Little Italy and the second which is New York City and the person for South Africa will do the larger bike tour say, I have

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 41 2 never been in the city with the infrastructure that 3 provides so much safety for biking. CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Commissioner, in the 4 interest of time what is the dollar amount? That 5 would be helpful to add. 6 7 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Our challenge to build our bike land and bus lane is the money. It's support 8 from the Council. CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'm going back to 10 11 your first statement when you said that if you had more obviously it would be welcomed. What does more 12 look like? What is that dollar amount? 13 14 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: More than happy Chair to 15 follow with you and the Chair of Finance and the 16 Council if there's any - any different number for me 17 is like with -18 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I mean, you're at a Finance hearing right now, do you have a dollar 19 20 amount? 21 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I will say I am happy with the numbers that we had to build a bike lane and 2.2 2.3 bus lane. If I get more, I welcome it. Our challenge to build to fulfill our goal for the Street 24

Master Plan. By the way, it's not only bus lane and

bike lane. The Street Master Plan is larger than that. The Street Master Plan also established a matrix on how we measure the investments that we do in underserved community.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you

Commissioner. I'm sorry because I just want to make sure because I know we have a lot of members that have questions, so I just want to get through my questions quickly. Does DOT have enough staff to meet all the mandates and if not, how many additional positions would it require?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We can do the work with the number of staff that we have right now.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. How does the Executive Plan support and prioritize the benchmarks in the Streets Plan?

PAUL OCHOA: Thanks Chair. One key component of the Streets Plan is markings. There's two types generalizing two types of markings contract. There is the capital markings contract, which follows resurfacing but then there's the expense markings which are done with - these are the one that do SIPs, daylighting. We got an extra \$10 million to increase the work that we're doing on our markings starting

Fiscal 2026. This is a really, really helpful investment for all Streets Plan related goals.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. When it comes to bus lanes, DOT has consistently failed to meet these requirements and has fallen behind the aggregate five year target. How is DOT prioritizing bus lane improvement and what plans are in place to meet the required mandates?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, one of the things that the plan established is that when we finalize our number and report to the Council, by law, we have to report in February. That's when we are able to make sure other companies that we have and provide the report of what we have accomplished the year before.

So, when we - when the Council established in the Street Master Plan the numbers of bus lane, it didn't talk only about the numbers of protected bus lane, he also allowed the agency to count on the camera enforcement. So, if we add the camera enforcement to the numbers of protected bike lane that we have built, definitely the number is beyond more than 30 miles.

But when we had this conversation, our question is only around the protected bus lane and we never

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

talk about the positive impact in how will we measure the enforcement with our camera. MTA was able to get Albany now to be able to enforce double parking and those miles also should be counted by law but usually we only talk about the seven miles of new protected bus lane, the three miles and we never talk about the other miles on how the camera installed in our buses are also – should be also added.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I don't know that I agree with that analysis. Only because the enforcement by cameras in the bus lane came several years after the implementation of the Streets Plan law but I hear you. Why has the agency been able to come closer to meeting the bike lane requirement but not the targets for bus lanes or bus stops?

ADANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah Chair and of course, I always say I never talk unless I have the whole truth on anything but I believe and we can double check on the number but I believe that it is stated that the camera enforcement also can be added. Not can, is part of counting how many miles we have done it. Our conversation have been always been about new protected bus lane and yes, I want to do more and I think that even this day, like I know that my great

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

45 friend, Council Member De La Rosa, you know who you know we have done a lot of things together in my previous role and in her previous role. Like right now we had a proposal to do a bus lane at Broadway from $155^{\rm th}$ to $225^{\rm th}$ but not only what we want to do is that when we go and meet with the Community Board and we hear from some members of Community Board, we hear from some business owner, we have to navigate with that reality that we want to do like three miles of bus lane there. And I know that we have conversation, the Council Member being open but also we know that when we go to my old district that I used to represent, when I leave, there's a lot of opposition so my thing is yes, I want to and I have those three miles counted at the expectation that we can do it this year. But I also know and I don't want to put the Council Member on the spot because I know that's a reality that we face. It's not only what we want to do but it's also how the local business - and this needs precision. When we need the bus lane at 181st and I appreciate also her support because I live there. I still keep in touch with my district. Some people didn't want to do the bus lane on 181st. The Council Member was supporting

2 that and other advocates but we also know that from

3 Community Board and other people, they say, we don't

4 want that bus lane at 181st and they came out with a

5 whole story that the bus lane are killing the small

6 businesses.

2.2

While we have found out through SBS and other study that if bus lane doesn't have a negative impact in small business. Many of those consumers who go to the - buy in the mom and pop store, they use the bus lane. They use the buses. So I again, I agree with you. I think to build more protected bus lane is critical. One million people are using the buses every day. Most of them, they are working class people. Most of them, they don't have the time. They struggle to pay the bill. They know the one that's speaking loud in our Community Board and I think that is important.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Thank you Commissioner. I just want to reiterate my last question. Why has the agency been able to closer to meeting the bike lane requirement but not the targets of the bus lanes or bus stops?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Can you rephrase the question?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I essentially want to know, the agency has been able to come closer to the goals or the benchmarks for the bike lanes compared to the bus stops and the bus lanes. And so, I want to know why the agency - like what's happening that the agency has been able to be more successful in one area, not the other one? Both I'm sure are being met with community opposition anyway.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Look, I again, I want to - we have equal priority when it comes to building protected bus lane because I say if you live in Manhattan, more than 75 people who live in this borough, they don't have car and I live in Manhattan too but there's a reason. We have the best public transportation system. We have trains from the west to the east. We have bus connection from you know 14th Street, 34, 47-

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Commissioner, I would argue it's not really equal because when you talk about the protected bus lanes, we're talking about 13.5 miles out of 30 miles and then when we talk about the bike lanes, the protected bike lanes, we're talking about 29 miles out of 50. It's not equal and the agency often you know advocates. My office, my

2.2

2.3

is.

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 48

colleagues around the protected bike lanes but I

don't see the same effort behind the bus lanes. But

I'm trying to understand from your perspective in

terms of the agency, something is going better when

we talk about the protected bike lanes but there's a

gap or a deficit happening with the bus lanes and the

bus stops. So, I'm trying to understand and I'm

asking you to help me understand what that difference

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: To uphold the space that you take for building a bus lane, bus way. It is larger than the space that we take to build a bike lane.

Like, I did a bike lane at Deichmann. I wanted to do two bike lanes in north and south. At the end of the day, I negotiated with DOT because there was a lot opposition in my community and I was only - I only did a bike lane in the north park of Deichmann, which is like a two way together.

So, the amount of space I take is not a sample of the bus lane and the bike lane and again, I want Council Member to help me to take both lanes to your district and bike lane to your district too.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you

Commissioner. I'm going to pivot to red light

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 49 cameras. In October 2024, Governor Hochul signed an expansion of the red light camera program into law.

The legislation allows the city to quadruple the

number of red light camera locations from 150 to 600.

6 That's the largest expansion of the program in the

city's history. The legislation also extends the program until 2027. Which neighborhoods have seen the highest increase in camera revenue?

10 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We are - first of all, we're

2.2

2.3

working with the new vendor that we selected based on scoring but now we had to work with you know all the details through the process when it comes to installing the new red light camera. So far we're operating on our 150 locations that we have and we are in conversation with the selected vendor on expanding to our 600 locations.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Oh, so you haven't expanded yet?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Excuse me Chair. I think that if you don't mind, I want to say that we all again should be proud of this. It is under your time in our administration that we were able to persuade Albany to allow to move from 150 locations to 600, as also we were able to get in this Administration in

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 50 2 your time to expand the speed camera 22, 24 from 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. to be 24/7. So, at this moment, 3 again, where do we have red light camera? Where do 4 we have speed camera where is data is showing that we had to focus more in those areas. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Commissioner, I just as you to lower the defense because last time I 8 checked myself and my colleagues supported the expansion of the red light cameras as well. My 10 11 question is just to understand the data set on where 12 the program is right now. So, I'm asking, are you 13 saying that you're only at the 150 now? 14 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: You guys haven't 16 expanded yet, okay thank you for that clarification 17 because then that can help me skip a few questions 18 here. 19 And in terms of this expansion, does DOT have adequate funding for speed cameras warning signage? 20 21 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes, we do. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay, are cameras 2.3 occasionally moved to different locations with the aim of increasing the number of violations issued? 24

Like, are you moving them around that way?

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 51 2 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Are you referring to the red 3 light cameras? CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: 4 Yes. 5 PAUL OCHOA: The red light cameras are fixed so those are not being moved. The new ones-6 7 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: The newer ones are 8 going to -9 PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, the new ones hopefully will be moved but the maximizing revenue is not the target. 10 11 It is about where the data is showing more crashes 12 and more speeding. 13 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that. How is the community notified when a new camera is 14 15 slated to be placed or how will you notify us? 16 MARGARET FORGIONE: So Chair, the idea with all 17 of our camera enforcement programs is we don't want 18 the public to change their behavior in response to 19 believing or knowing if there is a camera. So, we do 20 not notify the public of any of the new cameras and 21 the concept is that we just hope people won't speed 2.2 and people wont run red lights wherever they are not 23 knowing if there is a camera and hopefully knowing

that this is the best for safety.

PAUL OCHOA: But we've seen other municipalities that do have that as a requirement. People you know proceed and obey that one spot but generally speed in other locations. So, just as a best practice, we don't tell driver where those cameras and enforcement are.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I do feel like that's inconsistent with what the agency has said in the past because I know in conversations, we wanted to make sure whether its speed cameras, red light camera that it's not like a I got you situation. The goal is to change behavior ultimately. So, now the agency is saying that you don't want the public to know where they are. So, it's an impression of being I got you.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We've been very consistent with the messes. If you want to drive 45, 55, 65, you take the highway. If you're on the street in New York City, it is 25 miles per hour and even with the Simon law now we can install 20 miles per hour and if we want to go citywide, the Council has to pass a law to review the speed limit but the message to any place about we want what we promote and educate

2.2

people is about drive at a speed that is allowed in
New York City, which is 25 mile per hour.

MARGARET FORGIONE: And I just want to add that as Paul said earlier, the goal with all of these programs is not to have revenue and not to find people are committing the infractions. And we've also seen with red light camera the instances of running a red light go down about 73 percent after the camera is installed. So, it's showing that it's also an effective means.

know one would argue I guess it could be an effective means because no one wants to get fined but the goal is supposed to be changing the behavior. But I want to make sure the agency is not outweighing changing the behavior for revenue. That's what I was trying to go but I'm going to pivot now just for a few more questions and then I'm going to yield to my colleagues. Brookville Boulevard, in the Executive plan there is \$1.4 million in federal funding added in Fiscal 2025, \$1.3 million in Fiscal 2026 and \$85,223 in Fiscal 2027 for the Brookville Boulevard Project. What does the scope of this funding relate to and also, has DOT conducted any other coastal

studies in the Rockaways and what were the results of those?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, thanks Council Member. The work is underway. I believe as of last time, they're still in the data collection phase. It's a planning grant, which is really good because it helps us think about options for what an implementation project could look like. This is an area with as you know very well, with a lot of flooding. So, the other good news I would say is the grant agreement was signed at the last Administration and we do not believe that this funding is in jeopardy in any way.

And again, the work is underway and hopefully will tell us what a future project could look like.

The scale of the project, the scope of the project and what type of project would make sense in the future.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And has DOT conducted any other coastal studies in Rockaway or across the city?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, we do have uh - this is the largest resiliency planning work that we've undertaken. Usually we partner with EDC, for example, EDC is leading the Lower Manhattan

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 55 2 Resiliency Project. The East Side Coastal Resiliency 3 Project. I don't see any East Side Coastal 4 Resiliency People here but so it's - we are venturing into this. It's obviously a very important topic for us and a topic that impacts our assets, so. 6 7 Hopefully we will do more in the future. 8 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that. 9 MARGARET FORGIONE: Chair, just to follow up on the previous questions. We are actually going to be 10 11 doing a public awareness campaign at the start of the 12 expansion of the red light camera program very 13 broadly, so that people are aware that it is 14 expanding citywide. 15 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that. 16 Bays Water Park pedestrian access. The Executive ten 17 year capital commitment plan includes \$35.2 million 18 in capital funding for the Bays Water Park Pedestrian Access Project primarily in Fiscal 2030. Why is the 19 project so far out in the capital plan? 20 21 PAUL OCHOA: It's far out in the capital plan in 2.2 part because of what I was explaining to Chair 2.3 Brannan. We go through a stretch exercise at the time. The construction of the project, however, is 24

not impacted. I believe the construction starting in

2.2

2028, we would move funding up as needed to make sure that the project timelines align with the capital project budget. Sometimes there's in an ideal world, everything would be aligned but we just want to make sure that the city's not bonding out for more money than what they need.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that and it is my understanding that the design process was initiated a year ago. If that's the case, there will obviously be a significant gap with that window. So, you're saying that as needed -

PAUL OCHOA: It would move the funding up, correct.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that.

Tractor trailer overnight parking, on September 28,

2023, the City Council passed Intro. 906A which

requires the city to create off street parking for

tractor trailers. The bill requires DOT, DCAS, and

DCP to coordinate on creating off street commercial

parking in at least three locations by December 31,

2025. What off street commercial parking has DOT

created due to the enactment of this legislation?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, so Chair as you're aware we did begin our overnight truck parking pilot.

2.2

2.3

In March, we announced the three locations that we have. One in Brooklyn, one in the Bronx and one in Queens. Those are currently underway and we're seeing that the trucking industry is beginning to go these appropriate locations to park overnight. I don't know if you were referring to a municipal lot or like an off street parking.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yes, that's what I'm talking about.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, so we do not provide parking lots for trucks off street. We are collaborating very closely with EDC and other agencies finance and sheriff to discuss what options there are available to the trucking industry but we're very proud on the short run we've gotten these other three locations off the ground.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, the three locations that you speak are on street parking but I'm talking about off street, which the law is calling on DOT, DCAS, and DCP to coordinate that and this needs to be created by the end of this year. So, what off street commercial parking has been created since its enactment, which was like almost two years ago now?

2.2

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, yeah so we are - now that we've gotten the on street off the ground, we are looking more closely at the off street and we're starting by looking at any city owned properties around the city that we might be able to utilize for this.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Can you please provide an update on the timeline for implementation and the steps DOT has taken in conjunction with the other city agencies so far?

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, we have been meeting with them carefully and frequently to start executing both the on street and the off street locations and we can get you a more detailed follow up to that.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: How much funding does

DOT estimate it would need to build municipal lots to

alleviate overnight parking congestion and illegally

parked trucks?

MARGARET FORGIONE: We don't have an estimate for you at this time.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I will say I'm concerned that you don't have an estimate for it considering that three locations are supposed to be established by the end of this year. We're going

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 59 2 into Fiscal 2026. We're in the executive budget 3 right now and the agency does not have an answer. it would be really good to get that quickly. 4 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Chair, sorry, on the building 5 piece and you're talking about that the concern that 6 the agency doesn't have the dollars to build a garage 7 for truck right. Is that what your saying? 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: No I'm talking about the implementation of Intro. 906A that was passed by 10 the Council in 2023. 11 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Okay, we have to get back to 12 13 you on this. 14 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. 15 trailer parking overview really quickly. 16 Approximately 90 percent of the city's goods are 17 transported by truck. With the rise of ecommerce 18 changing consumer behavior, has led to an increase in deliveries to homes and businesses resulting in a 19 greater number of trucks on our roads. These trucks 20 21 require parking spaces to efficiently deliver these 2.2 goods to New Yorkers without impacting the 23 surrounding communities. How has the landscape for trucking and deliveries changed since the pandemic? 24

And uhm, we had passed legislation in terms of

2.2

2.3

redesigning the truck route and so, I'm curious to know how DOT is doing in that process in terms of accessing the truck routes and how DOT an implement better access areas.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I'm going to start and then
First Deputy Commissioner, she will add in.

Definitely you know there's an increase of New
Yorkers relying on those trucks to bring our
deliveries. 85 percent of New Yorkers place an order
once a week through Amazon, UPS and Fed Ex and 24
percent of New Yorkers are placing the order four
times a week. So, when we talk about all the trucks
in the street, it's like delivery workers, that they
go so fast. They go fast because they only have five
minutes from the moment when they pick up their food
to bring it to us.

So, when it comes to the truck, definitely you know we are looking at this and we have to take a number of initiatives. We're trying to incentivize overnight delivery. We also started a micro hub which is the first one that we did in the upper west side from central park west to Broadway from 86th to 72nd Street that we are given the opportunity to the resident of that community to started planning from

2.2

2.3

blank on how they would like to use the street, including those trucks. We do the last mile which is a pilot project that also we are doing that is giving few location across the city that we're allowing those UPS, Fed Ex and others to go and use those trucks to those locations but from that location to the last mile, they must use a smallest vehicles, ideally electric assistant bike, and other way to deliver. We are also taking other measures when it came to have more signs that has put it clear where truck are not allowed in our city. But the First Deputy Commissioner will speak.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you Commissioner. So two things. First after Local Law 168 of 2021, we have installed over 3,000 truck loading spaces citywide. This is a combination of commercial metered parking spaces, neighborhood loading zones and just unpaid truck loading zone spaces. And in response to your question about the truck network redesign, we will be publishing our report this spring, or I should say very shortly. From Local Law 171 of 2023, we are finalizing the recommendations now after we've collected a lot of stakeholder feedback and held public sessions. We've looked at

2.2

land use patterns, delivery trends, what's been occurring in New York and even further field in the trucking industry over the last few years and as soon as we release this report, we're going to begin the rule changes, more outreach and then taking an additional feedback about any recommended changes in the truck route network.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: No, thank you for that and I'm curious to know and we can talk off line about this, where those locations are. What that engagement looks like just to make sure that communities are not being overlooked. Thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we've been joined by Council Member Stevens and Farias and now we're going to start questions starting with Carr followed by Louis.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you Chair.

Commissioner, always good to see you. I want to hone in on something that Chair Brannan was talking about earlier, which is the resurfacing budget for this coming fiscal year. A big advocate of trying to get us to 1,300 lanes miles and as you know, in the prior administration, that's where we were supposed to be

but for COVID and the cuts that had to happen at that time due the economic downturn.

So, given that we're still trying to play catchup from those Bloomberg years where the resurfacing budget was really well below par and that we were committed to doing 1,300 lane miles in the not too distant past. Can we try to get up to that goal point so we can really start to finish making up the ground that we lost quite literally in those 2009 to 2013 years.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes we can and I appreciate the Council you know commitment to also put the money and we definitely will need to have our you know internal conversation but I think you know that was a great goal that we had and definitely more than happy to continue conversation with the Council Members.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: That's great, I hope we can do that and I just know we have great confidence in our borough operations teams, particularly Staten Island and Brooklyn who I know if we get them the resources, we can get the job done. I want to talk a little bit more about resurfacing. In the past, DOT piloted rubberized asphalt in certain parts of the borough and other parts of the city and I think to

great effect, it lasted longer. It was a lot less noisy, particularly on streets where we had a lot of bus pickups. What's the state of DOT's operations with respect to using that material?

MARGARET FORGIONE: We're still watching carefully how that area holds up over the long run. We're inspecting it several times a year to see what is happening. We are very interested in expanding good environmental programs such as that. We're also very much focused on increasing our percentage of RAP, which Recycled Asphalt Pavement in the mixes that we do produce ourselves. Right now we're at about 40 percent or upwards and we're looking to consistently start producing 50 percent recycled asphalt which of course is a great way to prevent us from purchasing brand new materials and also disposing of the milled surface once we take it out.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Absolutely, listen, I'm a big supporter of increasing recycled asphalt where we can. It checks every box but as far as you know monitoring the Rubberized Asphalt pilot. What are you benchmarks moving forward? At what point do you say this works, this didn't and we want to do more.

2.2

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, we're not quite there yet but maybe what we can offer for you is a meeting and tell you what we have seen so far and we still want to watch it a bit longer before we start to make plans to take it out more widely.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Okay and my last question is about you know coordinating with the utility companies emergency cuts. You know I know our borough teams do their best to work with the Con Ed and others to make sure that we're not cutting into our protected roads and sometimes those best efforts you know fail because the utility companies you know don't take advantage of the great lead time they have between milling and paving. Do you tracking internally you know the number of utility cuts that are being applied for on an emergency basis now as compared to prior fiscal years? Are we seeing improvements on the grander scale?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Listen, we agree with you and we are all over that. We hate nothing more than one of our beautiful new streets being cut into unnecessarily. So, we continue all of the efforts that we've done in terms of calling in utility companies if we see that there's a problem with them.

2.2

2.3

Meeting with them monthly so we're very clear on the upcoming work and anytime they apply for a permit, if it's an emergency permit or not, we scrutinize that to make sure that that work is not done within the protection window.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: I appreciate it. I know the department is doing all it can. I think we just have to force our friends on the other side to do a little bit better on their side. Thank you Chairs.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: As you asked the question and made the comment, I think that this is one of the things that I always say that I loved more to be from the Council, to be in the agency, asking the question and to be inside the entity and to be able to make decision. As you say it from milling to paving, we had to leave the space for those utility company, Con Ed, Verizon, you know those who bring — any utility services that we New Yorkers use. You know most of the things are happening underground, so DOT had to coordinate with those utility companies, say let's go the milling now but let's leave the street open for them to do some work so that they don't have to come back and cut the street. So, it's a lot of coordination. I want to acknowledge also when I come

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 67 to this hearing, in all my time, I always come with my Borough Commissioner, so here have Rosanne. You know and also Jennifer from Manhattan and Antonio from the Bronx and also Niko from Queens. So, the reason why I want them always to be in the room and

2.2

2.3

7 Kate, I'm sorry from Brooklyn. Sorry Kate, I love 8 you too.

Is because I know that the Council Member, the other one that had [01:20:25] in the community. So, as we ask broader question for citywide about anything that is local, it is our borough commissioners and their team and that are the immediate connection besides that you all have access to all of us.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: I know we have great relationships with the Borough Commissioners, Borough Commissioner Caruana and Bray are amazing to work with, really grateful to have them and I'm sure their counterparts and our other assistant boroughs are as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we've also been joined by Council Member Banks. Now, we have questions from Council Member Louis followed by Ayala.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you Chairs. Good to see you Commissioner and thank you for deploying Borough Commissioner Bray to our municipal town hall meeting last week. I have three quick questions. I'll just ask them all and you guys could respond. How will the new funding in anticipated state CHIPS grant funding be used? Solely for large scale capital projects or will it also support the persistent backlog of smaller traffic control requests such as stop signs, speed bumps and pedestrian safety measures? Can DOT provide a timeline or a strategy on how this budget will improve the turnaround for traffic studies particularly in communities like mine to a response delays are measured in years? And the last one is in regards to a mother and son that were here hit by a speeding school bus in my district in early April. I wanted to know what are the current parameters of the CHIPS grant in relation to school safety such as traffic calming and signal installations? PAUL OCHOA: Hey Council Member, good to see you always.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Hey Paul.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

PAUL OCHOA: We love CHIPS. CHIPS is the Consolidated Local Street and Highway Improvement Program. We use it both on the capital budget and on the expense side, depending on the type of contract. CHIPS has very specific requirements on what it can be used for. It's a lot of traffic signals. You hit it right on the head. We do not use it for speed bumps but we do program it in all areas of the In particular traffic operations. budaet. program more CHIPS funding into the budget. We do that on a yearly basis to make sure that one, the projects and the programs that are CHIPS funded, have enough resources in them to continue. We also, we do program CHIPS, CHIPS is actually pretty flexible. You program it both on the PS side and on the DOTPS side and we work with OMB to make sure that we have enough CHIPS funding on any given year.

Your point about the speeding school, we do from time to time, we do add CHIPS funding to our school safety team. They do that, both on the capital side and on the expense side. If you have a specific ask, I'm happy to track that down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: We have a specific ask that we can talk about after and just in regards to the others.

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, and then same with the traffic studies, listen, we understand the frustration at times. It is not something that we can just look at and determine. There is times of the day that need to be considered. There's a long period of time. There's seasonality so we do understand that sometimes its frustrating that traffic studies are not done as fast as everyone here would like them but they do, I just want to acknowledge, it takes a long time to actually get the data right to make sure that we're not just installing something because somebody is asking for it but we're installing measures that are actually needed.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: But how will we make it different in the next fiscal year?

PAUL OCHOA: I think we can increase in contract or capacity is a good example. I'm happy to either set something up with our traffic operations or look at increase contract capacity for us to have more people doing more studies is probably the fastest way

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 71 2 possible. An individual study will probably not be 3 sped up but having more people do them -4 COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: It's a broadband, yeah. PAUL OCHOA: Exactly, having more people do them 5 should have a good result. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Alright thank you. Thank you Chairs. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Thank you. My question is actually I'm not sure that I'm asking the correct 10 11 agency but I'm assuming that there - I'm hoping that there was some coordination here. Can you tell us if 12 13 you've had any conversations with the NYPD regarding 14 their new policy on legally charging bicyclists with 15 criminal summonses for running lights, disobeying signs and/or riding the wrong way on the bike lane? 16 17 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We work closely, the Chief of 18 Transportation at the NYPD. We have joined 19 coordination between our Education Department at DOT 20 and their entity, once and awhile we go out do education work. One of the campaigns that we did was 21 2.2 it's called gets smart before you start and that 23 campaign is educating those who use e-bike, how to maneuver the e-bike because in 2023, we lost 30 24

cyclists and 23 of them, they were using e-bike,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

about 7 of them, they die not because they were hit by a vehicle but they fall in the street. So, we do campaign when it came to the educational piece. We know that it doesn't matter where we go. A lot of people are asking the question on what I would do with those who are using e-bike. That they are so far that they're in the sidewalk. Definitely we coordinated a lot when it came to the educational piece. The part related to enforcement is on the NYPD.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Absolutely but I mean I just - what you said you mentioned that 37 individuals, uh there was 37 fatal incidents last year.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: 23, yeah we lost 30 cyclists die in our street but 23 of them, they were using ebike and from those 23, 7 of them, they were not hit by no vehicle, they lost control and what we started, it took us for us to you know invest on educating both. One, those are using e-bike on how they had to before they started using the bike but at the same time, in the same car, we also have a piece educating those who are using e-bike and look for pedestrians and it's not legal to ride a bike in the sidewalk

2.2

unless it's a child. So, we do a lot of education or component with the NYPD but the part related to the enforcement is on the NYPD side.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: So, they don't necessarily

- I'm sure they're not asking for your permission but

can you tell us what the number of traffic fatalities

was in 2023?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Overall, we have it here, give us a second. Give us a second, we have it here.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Uh huh.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Definitely the thing to look for, the numbers, most traffic fatalities as Mayor Adams has established is another type of violence and we've been taking that approach. What we know is that most the fatality, the traffic fatality that we have is most produced by people who drive cars or trucks, no cyclists. So, we recognize that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Hmm, hmm, yes.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: But we also recognize it doesn't matter the town hall happening in Washington, Upper West Side, Upper East Side, Brooklyn, there's going to be apartments and people saying what are you doing with those small percentage but those reckless cyclists were using e-bike, who are on the sidewalk.

So, definitely we know that we have to tackle this issue and from the education or to the enforcement, is a job that must be done. As Mayor Adams has said, everyone must play by the rule. We want drivers and those who are behind the wheel of trucks and cars to look for pedestrians and cyclists but we also want those who are using e-bike to look for pedestrians and not to use the e-bike in the sidewalk.

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, in 2023, there was a total of 267 fatalities. In 2024, it was 252.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Which is significantly higher than those that occurred because of a bicyclist right? And so, my point is, I feel there has to be some level of parity. I'm not saying that folks shouldn't drive responsibly whether they're driving a bike or they're using an e-bike, whether they're you know traveling by vehicle, but the idea of criminalizing bicyclists in this way is unprecedented and it makes absolutely no sense and these are the drivers, if I'm driving and I run the light, I'm going to get a ticket, I'm going to get a summons. Criminalizing has a different you know consequence, right? Specifically because most e-bike drivers are migrants that are driving you know to and

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

from work, right? They're making deliveries and in this climate, we know that you know folks that are being charged with you know misdemeanors are being deported because of that. And so, I'm just greatly concerned about the impact that this new rule will have and you know hoping that you know maybe there could be some suggestions made right by your office to the NYPD and better coordination to try to figure out alternative routes towards enforcement that don't lead to criminalizing folks in this way.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, as an immigrant myself, that my second job was doing sandwiches at 55 Water Street from way after putting myself through college and being an activist and then being a teacher for 15 years and a Council Member for 12, now Commissioner for 4 years, I've always been a voice for immigrants in our city. At the same time we also want to you know understand how important it is for anyone wo are using our street in any motor transportation, please look for pedestrians especially children and senior citizens as you family members. I think that you know I was with Gale Brewer on the upper west side in a town hall meeting with the mayor and I was surprised to hear so many people from the upper west

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 76 2 side talking about how some people using e-bike, they are out of control. So, we all know we hear that in 3 our offices, so definitely we had to address it and 4 that's why our campaign on Get Smart Before You Start. One is looking for those who are using e-bike 6 to continue using it but also understanding that they 7 had to look for pedestrians. That it's not legal to 8 ride a bike in the sidewalk but more than happy to continue conversation with the NYPD but again, we do 10 11 most of the work with the educational piece when it came to the enforcement, the NYPD lead that effort. 12 13 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah, so does the education component now include this new rule? 14 15 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Well, the education, we 16 partner with NYPD especially the Chief of 17 Transportation when it comes to being in the field. 18 We're going to be some intersection with higher numbers of crashes and educating those drivers but 19 also New Yorkers who use e-bike. You know those 20 delivery workers; they are essential workers. They 21 2.2 do a good job. We work with them very soon. 23 know our micro-mobility office.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah, I just want to know is the new rules going to included in whatever

24

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 77 2 training material DOT is using you know to better inform bicyclists? Is that a yes or is that a no? 3 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: [INAUDIBLE 01:32:40] 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Is your mic on Commissioner? 6 7 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, biweekly checking between New York City, DOT, NYPD. Our job is to be 8 9 sure that everyone is safe. COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I get that and I want to 10 11 be safe. I've almost, you know I've been in the you 12 know looking on one way and a bicyclist you know is 13 coming the other way almost hit me a couple of times. So, I understand the need to hold people responsible. 14 15 I'm not disputing that. I think that there has to be 16 a consequence to every action. What I'm saying that 17 it is not pairable with what we're doing with 18 drivers, right? You get a summons for driving and hitting somebody you know if for whatever reason but 19 then you get a misdemeanor for riding a bike the 20 wrong way, it does not seem fair and at least you 21 know at minimum we should be alerting bicyclists and 22 23 working with groups that work with e-bike drivers so

that they understand what the new policy is and the

serious implications of being charged with a

24

misdemeanor this day and age. That's all I'm saying and with that I have no more questions.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We've also been joined by Council Members Ossè and Hanks and now we have questions from Restler followed by De La Rosa.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great, sorry that is my name. Thank you Council Member Stevens, I appreciate it. Commissioner and team, good to see you all. few questions I just about, first is Bedford Avenue bike lane. This is a project that primarily in Council Member Ossè's district, one that I've supported. We've continued to have a series of incidents of young children getting struck in the bike lane and your team has graciously come out with me to visit the bike lane and make tweaks and adjustments over the last several months but we had another scary incident this weekend where a four or five year old, six year old kid was struck by a cyclist and wanted to just see if there's any further safety improvements that the DOT team is considering for how we can try to kind of meet the needs of that specific community. These are large families. We don't have protected bike lanes in that community, so

there's been some learning curve. I think we all know that when protected bike lanes are installed, it makes streets safer for drivers, cyclists, pedestrians, but we clearly need to do more in this community to make a difference. Do you have any suggestions or we've offered some recommendations to DOT? Any updates on ways that we can try to make that stretch of Bedford Avenue safer for everybody?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: First Deputy Commissioner who oversee all operation including the planning division led by Eric Beaton to answer the question.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you for the question

Council Member. We have been looking carefully at

Beford Avenue. We've been out there a bunch of

times. We are looking to inform you and others about

some measures that we're going to be installing to

improve the safety there. In particular, make sure

that cyclists are very much aware of people crossing

and cyclists are proceeding at a very slow speed.

So, that can include more sort of like rumble strips

that kind of wake up and slow down cyclists as well

as an increase in signage. So, those are some of the

main things we're planning right now and if you have

2.2

others, I'm happy to hear from you as well about what your measures, your recommended measures -

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yeah, look I think that you know we gave all of that feedback from the beginning and I think DOT incorporated a number of those designs into the original implementation or installation of the bike lane. You know folks, some folks in the community have suggested that during the period of time when the youngest children are picked up and dropped off by school buses, could there be temporary reprieve where we have school buses pulling in more fully to the curb or you know discontinuing the bicycle lane for that period of time each day to accommodate the school buses? Is that something that DOT is considering?

MARGARET FORGIONE: I don't think we would favor the inconsistency of a bike lane where cyclists can arrive at any given time and it may be open or it may be closed. I think that would create a lot of additional issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, we look forward to continuing to work together on that. I think that the current conditions need to be addressed and so we

2.2

want to continue to work together to try and improve safety there.

I continue to support the bike lane. I want this to work but I think we need more proactive partnership from DOT you know as we unfortunately continue to have safety issues and did you all see the video from this pass weekend of the kid being struck?

MARAGARET FORGIONE: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, so you understand just how pressing an issue this is, yes?

MARGARET FORGIONE: We're working on it Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, I also just wanted to shift to open streets. Uhm, I'm concerned about the lack of dedicated funding for open streets. Does DOT have adequate funding to maintain open streets at the levels that we have in recent years?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We appreciate that Mayor baseline, our funding, our baseline that he put the money for our open street for this year. As I read in my opening statement, everything that we have in 2023, 2024, and 2025 came with money from COVID and that's how we cannot compare like the numbers - what

2.2

was open street during COVID. Open street was places that New York City went and put some barricade so that people could walk with distance. It was in 2022 that we decided to built permanent open street. We built 217 open streets. The 217 open street, there were 217 locations that open street have partnership with not-for-profit business improvement district and we were able to put the money on to \$20,000 for activation in those location, especially underserved community that they didn't have the money.

It was last year we continuing increasing from 217 to 224 and last year to 237 open streets.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But my understanding

Commissioner is that this year, we're at 127. That's

a 45 percent decrease. Is that number accurate?

That we're at 127 open streets for this year. We did

reach 232 in 2024 but that we seen a significant

decline. Do I have those numbers correct?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: It's not correct. What we shared was how many open streets we have so far because application continue being open and starting in March. So, what is the open street? Any block that a group of residents. We partnership with a not-for-profit or a business improvement district,

they can start running together. That is an open street, they can activate two days in a year. That can be two days in a year -

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Commissioner, just because I'm out of time, I'm sorry. If you're down - if my numbers are inaccurate and you're not at 127 this year, do we have a number for what we are at?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I can do one thing. My commitment as a Commissioner is to always overpass my previous numbers and I will be ending this year; if we did 237 last year, I can tell you that I will not

that. I think we have more open streets in District 33 than any other Council District in the city or just as many. Keith can correct me but we're very proud to support all of the open streets. We have across Washington Street, Hoyte and many many others, Monique, Berry and we've appreciated DOT partnership but I'm concerned that \$2.1 million, is that fully - that \$2.1 million is fully for open streets? Just a yes, no.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, the answer is yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That's fully for open streets? And you're confident that that's adequate funding to ensure dynamic programming and maintenance of what you're committing will be over 230 open streets? That is an adequate allocation of resources?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Look, I - more always means better for me and as I said, none of the 237 open street that we had last year require for DOT to put money on them. We prioritize those communities that there's a not-for-profit or a BID who is a partner. So, definitely any way or how as I will be sitting there, that the Council would like to put more money for the open street, I would welcome those additional resources.

PAUL OCHOA: Council Member, let me just correct, the \$2.1 million is not the total budget, it is just the additional money that we got for Corona Virus that was expiring. We have more funding as part of our normal budget that was funded with city tax dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: What is the allocation of funding for open streets this year?

2.2

PAUL OCHOA: There's different buckets, I'm happy to give you a briefing but we have an equity program where we help underserved open streets partners.

That's the partnership we have with hoard; I believe I'm not sure if it was actually your district but the hoard is all over the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yeah, they're in ours too and do you think there's adequate funding for Open Streets programming and maintenance?

PAUL OCHOA: Correct, we have enough funding for us to continue this season. I think you pointed out that it is not baselined. It is something that we will continue conversations with City Hall and OMB.

if you all can circle back with us just to understand what is allocated in total because there's been a lot of confusion and if you all think you have enough resources, that's good to hear. We really value the program and the maintenance support that DOT has provided. Your team has done a great job. I really want to recognize them but they can't do without resources and if we need to have that conversation, we just want to have it honestly and push to make

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure that we can continue to have great open streets moving forward. Thank you very much.

PAUL OCHOA: And just that number does include

Trick or Street, which is not out yet so we'll expect

a big chunk of those open streets to be for Trick or

Streets.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And I would like for this to be one of the legacy that during our time at the Council, away from the Administration, we live for the future generation. I believe that the open street again, as you can see some of the spaces - I'm more than happy to send it to you. I don't think that there's one there of the Open Street but we all should know that one of the first like Open Street was a pedestrian plaza in Time Square that used to be a storage for car and became a [INAUDIBLE 01:43:16] with 44,000 people like in '23. So definitely, I'm very proud. You know one of the things that I know the sources of funding that we have for the Open Street is with the Horticulture society. Is that the The Horticulture Society, which is a contract that we gave them \$27 million. That money is secure, so with that \$27 million and for the first time, that Horticulture must have 32 percent of MWBE and we are

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

able to get the Horticulture Society, which is in the institution that they put the plans. They work with the beautification. So, this addition of money is more for the activation when it came to the funding but any way or how we all can work together to leave the Open Street solid in a good place for the future generation, I think it's a great investment for our children.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have questions now from De La Rosa followed by Narcisse.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you Chairs and thank you Commissioner and team for being here. My question is around the vacancies at the agency, 630 vacancies. What are the challenges for filling those vacancies at this time? Is DOT subject to the two for one hire policy that was instituted across the board?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, the numbers of vacancies that we have is equal, similar to the pre-pandemic. There's always going to be challenges with the vacancy. We work hard and we appreciate at the Chairman of labor that also you work to be sure that the unions also and through DCAS, we've been holding that fear. We have a great plan. First of all, when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was a - immediately as I became a Commissioner, I asked the agency, do we have a memoranda or understand or agreement between DOT and the school engineer that graduated larger numbers of Black engineer in the nation which is City College? answer was no. We have some level of going to the job fair. You know doing some participation but under our time, we sign a memoranda agreement that now we work with City College and we not only work with the students from City College but we - all the students close to 300 students from college doing internship at DOT. So, we had a good plan for recruiting. We used our resources around colleges and around common work at DOT. We had a lot of work at DOT. Margaret started as an intern and she moved from there to be the Manhattan Borough Commissioner to be in charge of operation and now she run the six operation at DOT. So, one is recruiting through DOT, creating pipeline and you know and increasing the salary. Like, you know the Staten Island Ferry is a typical example. Like those workers they were there with contracts for almost four years. And it was under the Mayor Adams Administration that we signed a new contract, so we were very close on recruiting.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: So, what is the main challenge for the vacancy and are you subject to the two for one hire policy?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, thanks for that question

Council Member and we really appreciate the Council

making sure that we have the right levels of

staffing. We are subject to the two for one hiring

on non-engineering positions. I think right before

our Preliminary Budget, we came to an agreement with

City Hall and OMB that engineering positions would

not be subject to the hiring freezes. This is

obviously very important. It's those engineer

positions, those planner positions that are harder to

recruit. This is not just a DOT issue. It's a

citywide, nationwide issue.

Our field staff is fully staffed. It's sort of our planning division. I mentioned engineers that are harder to recruit. The Commissioner mentioned all the good initiatives we're doing to try to fill those positions but there is a shortage of all types of engineers in the nation, so hopefully we'll get more and more graduates to come and join our ranks.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you Chair. I have one more question. In terms of Open Streets, so

2.2

in my district, a number of open streets have become plaza's and there's always drama around the plaza's. We've requested a meeting to talk about this further offline. I'm happy to take that conversation there but I wanted to ask you, of the 237 Open Streets, how many across the city have become plazas and then the question that we often get is what is the funding levels available to operators of plazas to make sure that once they become the plaza, there is maintenance and upkeep.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, we can get back to you with that number. As I say the horticulture society is an institution that first of all they want a request for proposal like two years ago and in that proposal they were awarded \$27 million on the maintenance and the beautification when we saw the plaza. We both are close to the plaza and we have seen all those plans. So, those plans being brought in maintenance by the horticulture society. The 166 new open street, also they are the ones who are bringing in the planting and helping the plaza team, Kyle and Emily and Cindy and others on the activation. So, that's when it comes to you know the starting with the Open Street. The ideal thing is

91 the plaza is self-maintained. The idea is that the plaza it have a partnership with a not-for-profit that is able to raise revenue and be able to take care but however time in square plaza is not a thing as a plaza in the Bronx or some places in Harlem in other underserved communities that there's not the same revenue generated. So, I think that you know we work hard but ideally under this Administration again, I don't - I can get back to you with the number but I know that Plaza and I believe there was I believe two other plazas open street that already received the money from Mayor Adams in order for us to start turning those Open Streets as a permanent plaza with capital dollars being assigned.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay, I look forward to talking offline. I know we sent the median request; I would appreciate it because there are some challenges and then also an update on the 191st Street Tunnel capital project but we can do that offline.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Margaret can add it to the 191st because there's capital and we do have it here when the construction will start happening.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yeah, we have I believe it's \$30 million allocated to the 191st Street tunnel and I need to get you the exact construction start but it is soon. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, Council Member Narcisse followed by Banks.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you Chairs and thank you Commissioner and your team has been great with us but we have some question here that I want to iron out. Many streets in my district, especially in Garrison Beach, remain in poor condition despite recent infrastructure work. Residents regularly raise safety concern about deteriorating pavement and the lack of timely resurfacing. Given the \$40 million funding gap for resurfacing citywide, how does DOT plan to prioritize neighborhoods like mine, Garrison Beach, Canarsie in the flatlands area that are still experiencing major street quality issues. That's one. What is the timeline and criteria for addressing resurfacing needs in areas that have already undergone capital upgrades but still face persistent problems? My time is limited so I'm going to ask a lot of questions.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Sidewalks, the executive plan includes addition state funding, \$2.6 million in fiscal 2025 and \$1.5 million baseline starting in fiscal 2026 for course escalation related to the sidewalk inspection and management program. What will this additional funding support? How was the funding amount determined? What are the current course of the materials to maintain sidewalks? Have they increased significantly in the past few years? And if so, what is the new course and why? I know there's a lot of questions.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you Council Member.

So, responding to the paving questions. If you have any streets in your district and it goes for all the Council that you are concerned about, that we have not already informed you are planned in our upcoming paving program. If you let us know, we'll inspect them right away and we'll let you know if we believe that they meet criteria for paving and when we can get them paved.

So, as you all know, we have an allocation per community board. We very carefully fairly allocate the miles based on the number of lane miles in a community board and we make sure we're rotating

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 94 throughout the year so that every year, every

3 community board gets something.

2.2

So, we would like to sit down with you and go through any streets you have of concern and then talk about the bigger board and what it is that we have planned but we will make sure we get that done.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I appreciate that.

MARGARET FORGIONE: And then if you get us more specifics about a location where capital, if I understand correctly, capital work okay has taken place, however, there still are issues, maybe it's ponding or something else of that nature. So, we'd like to talk with you more about that and understand with our partners at DDC if something was not done exactly correctly and if there's an opportunity to have that corrected.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: And on that note, a lot of the street pavement or resurfacing done and very quickly a few probably months later, the water is not channeling to the catch basin and you have a lot of water puddles. What's going on with the engineering factor? How you puddle things based on the height and the level?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: Correct, right, so every time we resurface a street, we are mindful of how to make sure water gets to the curb and then funnels its way to the catch basin. If there's any location that's a problem, there are ways that we can try to rectify that. So, please let us know what those locations are.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you and the Capital Budget sidewalks. The sidewalks?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, I'm happy to talk about the sidewalks. The \$4.7 million that we got was just to cover the higher cost of steel and concrete to maintain the same level of operations. So, we buy concrete and steel every year. This year it came in higher than what we were budgeted for. So, we worked with OMB and the Administration to make sure that we had just a shortfall to keep at the same levels this last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: And how was that determined you said again?

PAUL OCHOA: So, it's just the difference in price from last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Difference in price.

PAUL OCHOA: Hmm, hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Okay, alright, thank you Chairs.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay questions from Council Member Banks followed by Stevens and we've been joined by Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Thank you Chair and thank you Commissioner and to your staff. First of all, I just want to talk about a featured piece in News 12, this is pertaining to the Junior Street Bridge and the dilapidation of the cleanliness of the bridge. We've got multiple 311 complaints about this particular bridge that was unkept by DOT for close to three to four to five months, these tickets going back and I want to know after we actually did some advocacy, the bridge was cleaned up. So we want to thank you for cleaning up that bridge but I want to know exactly, it clearly states that DOT is responsible for maintaining and cleaning that bridge. I want to know is it a funding issue as to why there's no consistency in the cleaning of that bridge when that particular bridge is a major transportation route for the residents that live in Brownsville and East New York to get on the L-Train?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Let me get back to you with the details but as I said before, from the \$34 billion capital for ten years that we have, \$17 billion are for our bridges to keep them in the state of good repair but let me get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: This is a more pointed question, why has it taken so long to keep a consistent schedule of cleaning that bridge?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, let me get back to you with the specific because I don't have the answer with me right now, so I will get it from my team.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Well, I don't see why wont you have the answer for that. I mean you cleaned the bridge after we made it public and we did provide the advocacy. I'm not understanding why we don't have the details at least on the particular bridge. You know as far as the maintenance and the cleaning and those scheduling - the schedules to keep that particular bridge clean.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yea, so we are very proud how we keep our bridges safe in maintenance and in a state of good repair. To that particular question with that bridge. I need to get back to you because I don't have the information.

that detailed information and hopefully can provide some — the funding dollar amount it takes to maintain these particular bridges. As far as the overnight truck parking, there's been some concerns that has been raised by Community Board 5 as far as the input from the residents. You probably received a letter from the Chair. I just want to let you know I stand with the Community Board even though we do support it but we just want to make sure that there's in put across even a Community Board as to you know the metrics of it and how our community is benefit from that.

Also to we want to thank ACE. ACE did an amazing job with cleaning the particular bridge after you had came out the day before, so we definitely want to thank them for that. Now, the \$4.7 million that was given or has been allocated for the Van Sinderen Avenue Street Scape Project. I want to just basically commend you and thank you for that but also too, what needs to be taken into consideration is further south along the L-Train. It's dilapidated, the roads were dilapidated, straight all the way down from Atlantic all they way down going south to Linden

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 Boulevard where it cuts off or even goes a little 3 further. So, if we can get some attention paid there, we would definitely appreciate that because 4 that's a heavy traveled route for folks who get on the L-Train who cross Linden Boulevard and even go 6 7 further northern to the district. Thank you much. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And now we have questions 8 9 from Stevens followed by Salaam. COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Hello, uhm good morning 10 11 or good afternoon or something. How are you guys 12 doing today? I just wanted to ask a quick question 13 regarding, what's the budget for the maintenance, maintaining streets in the city? Like, do you guys 14 15 have a budget allocation for that? 16 MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, we would need to get back with that specific number. We don't have that 17 18 with us. COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: This is a budget 19 hearing, correct? 20 21 MARGARET FORGIONE: Well, let's see if we can 2.2 pull it up. 2.3 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: That would be great and I ask this because my district has the most step 24

street in the city and they are not maintained at

all. It's similar to what Council Member Banks was just referring to. I would like to know what's the process around like maintaining them? How often are you going to ensure the safety and the cleanliness of these steps because it has become a dumping ground in my district and there's 311 calls consistently. are calling it in and all these things, so I'm just trying to see what's the regulatory maintenance of these steps? Because currently they're all dilapidated, have mattresses and clothes and all types of things and it literally is like at this point dumping grounds and they're a form of transportation. So, in my district, we all know that we have very little access to transportation, especially in the high bridge area and so steps are a form of transportation and so I would like to know in the same way you guys are talking about bike lanes and bus lanes, how are you maintaining the steps in my district to ensure that people can access them in a safe way?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council Member and our Deputy Commissioner, she also will add more detail but I can tell you I understand what you're

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 101 2 asking and I you know, anything that we can do to keep you know all the stairs clean and beautiful. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Clean and with light and 5 all the things so I need to know what the budget is, how often it's maintained, who is going out there to 6 7 look because not only are they dirty, it's also dark. 8 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 9 MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, thank you Council Member. So we rely on different contracts in order 10 11 to clean step streets and we also coordinate with 12 Sanitation to get that job done. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: What does that coordination look like? How often are you guys 14 15 meeting? 16 MARGARET FORGIONE: In some of their recent press 17 releases, Sanitation has specifically mentioned step 18 street cleaning and then we also have available to us several different contracts. We have some MWBE 19 20 contracts that are -21 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, I have a list of the ones that are in my district and the maintenance 22 23 schedule, what that looks like. Also, I would like to know how often you're meeting with Sanitation 24

because this is a real issue and I think this is a

2.2

2.3

unique issue specifically for me because I have so many step streets and so, you're not going to hear this from anybody else the way you're going to hear from me because we have so many and I'm telling you now, I would like to know who these contracts are with because it is not being cleaned and maintained regularly. I would like to know what this contract consists of because we you know one, we have to supplement so much stuff in my district already and so often I have to send like ACE out there to like do extra work and things and it's not fair, so I really want us to know and we can do this offline but I would like to know a full plan of what that plan is for all of the step streets.

I just have one other really quick question.

Last budget season allocated money for surfacing my district. I'm still unclear where that has taken place and when that's going to start because there has been very little communication regarding this. Although when I allocated it, we had conversations about how I wanted us to work together to make sure that we knew when, where and where it was happening.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Good, whenever you're ready we're happy to sit down with you and talk about which

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 103 2 streets we recommend for resurfacing in your district 3 and how you feel about that. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, we have Council Member Salaam followed by Farias. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Good afternoon. I have a question, a few questions actually. I want to start 8 9 off with the e-bikes and maybe make a suggestion. There has been great attention put towards drivers in 10 11 terms of lowering the speed so that the drivers of vehicles drive at safer rates. Is there any plan to 12 13 maybe lower the - to restrict the speed of e-bikes so that they actually don't - because a lot of them are 14 15 going faster than cars now and the fact that they're 16 on the sidewalks, the fact that we're experiencing 17 more injuries in our communities is a great concern. 18 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yes, there's a plan to we're lowering the speed limit allowed on biking. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Meaning like a physical? 20 21 Because it's one thing to have the education. 2.2 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: So, we are in conversation 2.3 looking at 15 as a potential number we have now finalized but we do acknowledge as I said before with 24 the question asked on the challenges of e-bike that 25

reducing the speed limit is also one of the things that we're looking at.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: We're also noticing in terms of places of worship that parishioners are receiving tickets on Sunday's, on Friday's, on Saturday's in various districts and we were wondering is there a plan to work with local leaders to allow parishioners to practice their faith without fearing being ticketed? Because the buses they're not - I'm assuming it's like a robot. If a double parked car is there and it's not in the bike lane or a bus lane, the bus still tickets and sends the ticket out.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We're talking about the drivers who bike on the bus lane?

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Not the bus lane. I'm saying around churches, mosques, synagogues. We've noticed it in our districts; parishioners are receiving tickets sometimes when they - they may not even know because the tickets come in the mail but they're receiving tickets because they're doubled parked for worship. There's no parking or anything like that but it's been a practice specifically in my district for people to double park when it comes to Sunday worship, Saturday worship or Friday worship.

2.2

2.3

Because of the human nature of it all, ticket agencies usually understand this is a day of worship, we're not going to ticket the parishioners. Because the buses are not humans, they're ticketing parishioners.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, we had a conversation with other elected officials in your district, more than happy to sit down with you and identify you know some places where we can you know brainstorm a little bit and see what we can do. In general, we want to keep the standard you know when it comes to the enforcement and when it comes to our bus lane. That part related to the enforcement is more the MTA under their decision but I'm more than happy to sit down with you.

question regarding the resurfacing that has mentioned earlier. Despite the Council's clear request for a \$40 million increase to streets resurfacing capacity, the executive budget that we've seen includes zero dollars. How does DOT plan to ensure equitable resurfacing in historically underserved communities like those in central Harlem without a critical investment being there?

2 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Margaret?

2.2

MARGARET FORGIONE: Sure, thank you Council

Member. So, every year right now we are - we perform

about 1,150 lane miles of resurfacing and we allocate

them analytically between the different community

boards in order to ensure that every community board

is getting their fair share based on the lane miles

within their board.

And as I mentioned earlier, we move from community board to community board throughout the year in each borough to make sure that we touch every single community board every year. So, in terms of the percent of miles that are in good, fair or poor condition, it's quite consistent from community board to community board. So, we're happy to sit with you and explain what we have planned for the rest of the year into next year in your Council District and if you have any locations you see that you feel haven't been addressed that should be addressed, let us know and we will try to incorporate them into the schedule.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Thank you. We'll definitely be in touch.

1

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have questions

3

from Council Member Farias followed by Ossè.

4

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Hi, good morning folks.

5

related questions if possible and I'm sure they're 6

Hi Commissioner. I just have a couple district

7

related to some of the responses you've given us

8

already. Just a question regarding street lights and

street lighting. Several constituents in my district

10

have complaints of street lights being out, their

11

bulbs not being replaced for even sometimes upwards

12

to a year. I know myself and my office are

13

consistently reminding folks to use our 311 process.

14

I know if I see whether it's a stop light or a street

15

light out, I take a picture and my 311 app is

16

overwhelmed with service request numbers but I just

17

want to know how long it usually takes for DOT to

18 19 replace these lightbulbs on average and if there's internal policies of DOT that have a mandated length

20

of time and then how long does it typically take to

21

close these cases when complaints come through for

2.2

311?

23 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Definitely, we have the street

24

lighting in most of the 6,000 miles of the street

25

that we have and we compose by 44,000 intersections.

2.2

By standard, we run a very tight with in house and contract resources, taking care of the light. I was one of those Council Members calling DOT when I didn't see a light working in my district and I never take that approach as you tell me which intersection the light is not working, it's the agency. You know we take very responsible the commitment that we've been asked to be in this role where we are proud of what we - how we keep you know our light and our light poles but that's in the general piece. When it comes to the details, I will give it to the First Deputy Commissioner to get into more details.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you for the question.

So, the easiest thing that we can do is just replace a light bulb in a street light that has been burnt out. So, those requests are handled very quickly, generally within a week, so if it's only a burnt out bulb, that is very quick and we have contractors who do that work for us.

What does take longer sometimes is when there's a problem with the electrical current and that's a situation where we have to coordinate with Con Ed in order to come in and repair the electrical current and that's often sometimes where there are these

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 109 2 frustrating situations where it does take a lot longer. So, those are the situations, if you ever 3 have those and you're feeling frustrated, our borough 4 5 Commissioners can always help with those and we can reach out to Con Ed and try to keep those moving. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Sure, can I just ask in terms of needing Con Ed to step in with an electrical 8 9 current issue, how are folks notified? Do you typically just respond to the 311 requests stating 10 11 that it's in - like it's pending or it's held over? 12 Are you typically relating those to for example, my 13 office? MARGARET FORGIONE: So, the question is like how 14 15 are we explaining exactly what the nature of the 16 problem is? 17 COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Yeah. 18 MARGARET FORGIONE: I will check on how well we 19 do that and if we need to give better information, we 20 can do that. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Okay. Thank you so much. 22 Chair, can I have additional - Chairs because I sit 23 on both Committee's. Can I have additional time?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah go ahead.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Thank you. Just a couple more. We've been seeing a lot of complaints recently coming in that are specifically targeting some of the homeowners for having cracks in their sidewalks. super familiar with the trees and sidewalks program as a former staffer who used to do this like part time for folks. But often times the constituents are fined with major cracks in the sidewalk or they're in some block way, especially around some of the parks. Can you folks talk about how the issues that come from 311? It's just like, are you doing this case by case basis because of 311 complaints or is there a larger plan that you have enforcement agents going out trying to you know regulate some of the trees and sidewalks or some of the sidewalk replacements that need to happen?

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, much of our sidewalk inspection work is done as a result of complaints and when we do get a complaint about a specific property, we don't only go to that property, what we do is we look at all of the properties on both sides of that block. We don't want to get into a situation where somebody is in a dispute with a neighbor and calls us about the neighbor but we're not really looking at

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 111 things a little bit more holistically. So, what our inspectors will do is examine the whole block and any homeowner or any property owner that has a sidewalk violation will receive a notice to correct. Now that is not a monetary fine. They are not receiving a violation that they have to pay.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Sure.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Instead, I quess you're like an expert at this, this is great. This is a lien on the property and when the property owner may go to sell the property, they're going to have a problem doing so and further, their insurance company may give them a hard time about insuring that sidewalk if it does need repairs. So, that's generally how its done. We also can come in and make repairs and back charge a property owner if they have failed to fix it on their own. When we do that, you mention trees. Trees always gets complicated right? Tree roots, we love trees. We love good sidewalks but tree roots can push up sidewalks. So, in that case, if we do repair a property, we do not charge the homeowner for any portions of the sidewalk that were damaged due to the tree roots.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We also coordinate with Parks. They have a pretty extensive program to address tree issues at sidewalks. So, it's a little complex but if there's ever locations in question, we're happy to talk through them.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Yeah, I think that makes a little bit more sense. I think typically in the past, folks are used to hearing, we put the complaint in a one property. One property gets examined but it sounds like we are now more comprehensively looking at the entire street and block, which makes a lot of sense if repairs are going to happen, they can happen more extensively but that is probably the answer that will be most helpful to my constituent staff. then I just have a couple specifics and I'll just list them all out and then if folks just want to tag on any responses. Are there any plans for redevelopment of the median on Rosedale Avenue between Bruckner and Watson? I've toured it both with the Commissioner and with our Borough Commissioner. I've asked for us to maybe take some time out and we've all been very busy but take some time out to look at how to redesign this, maybe have some angled parking, maybe revitalize some of the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

green space. Just cause it's right next to one of our biggest NYCHA campuses but also next to a community center and a lot of that underutilized lands could be properly utilized for the amount of folks that are there and that could benefit from some outside space. And then similarly, just wanted thoughts from the agency if there's any plans to redesign Hugh Grant Circle. We know there's a lot of talks around reimagining the cross Bronx. There's a lot of changes happening at the Hugh Grant Circle Parkchester Train Stop and just with heavy congestion happening right now a lot of the buses sitting double parked on the parkway along with the commercial strip that we have there that has a lot of deliveries if that's in any of the reimagining or the thought processes of capital planning from DOT if we can talk a little bit about those two.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, I'm happy to talk about Rosedale. I know Rosedale is a longstanding issue. It is Parks Property. It is or it was - it started out as a green space and then over time the community has parked there. I think we do need to decide once and for all what is that space. What should that space be? It would be something that we would want

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

to agree to with Parks if it was going to be turned into something that had more parking. It might want to be some kind of compromised space. I'm not sure that has a combination of some parking and green space but working with Anthony. We're happy to sit down with Parks and with you and try to figure that out and then if we do decide to alter it, you know obviously we'll have to secure funding in order to rebuild it.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: That sounds great.

MARGARET FORGIONE: And I don't have an answer for Hugh Grant. I don't know if anybody else does. If not, we can get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Yeah, I'd love to talk about both of these things. There's a lot happening in Hugh Grant Circle, especially now we'll have an ADA compliant train station. You know, towards the end of the summer, beginning of fall and there will be some reconfiguration that I think needs to happen just to reassess the amount of like the ebon and flow we'll have of the amount of constituents that are going to be utilizing that train station and just some of the blockages that happen when doing the entire circle.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And then yeah, I'd love to talk about reimagining that entire green space. It sounds like a great end of term project we can all work together on but thank you for the additional time and responses.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay last two from Council Member Ossè followed by Hanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Thank you Chairs and good afternoon Commissioner and good folks from the Department of Transportation. I just want to follow up on a issue, it's a local issue in my district around trench repair. This is located on Hancock between Marcie and Norstrand. I've been pushing, I know the money was already allocated for this trench repair many, many years ago, I want to say five prior to the pandemic and I know that there's been some issues. Because of the pandemic in terms of getting this trench repair done, we last sent an email about this on October 17, 2024. This is my third year of advocating for this trench repair and I just wanted to speak to you and see what the update is on that trench repair.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, I believe this is trench repair that was going to be addressed between DEP and DOT, is that correct?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: I believe so, yes.

2.2

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, uhm, I can follow up right afterwards and get an update for you. We work collaboratively at locations. There's different locations around the city that for some unknown reason has sunk. Something could have been when the road was built many, many years ago. Something could have been put in there that decayed and then has sunk. So, what we're doing is uhm, we're working with DEP on these locations. We're not trying to you know figure out what went wrong many decades ago, we're just trying to address them but I am being reminded that we do in this location, we do have a DVC project for next year for 2026.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: This is the third time its been pushed back. You know this is going on next year will be six years since DOT has committed to doing work on this project. Is it going to be pushed back again next year? Am I going to wait ten years for this trench to repaired? I think that's - that's a bit concerning right?

23 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: This year -

PAUL OCHOA: Council Member I'm happy to address frustration. Capital projects unfortunately, it's

about a six year timeline from when the project gets funded to when we internally produce what we call a capital project initiation package that gets sent to DDC and then they do the preliminary design, final design and then bid out the contract. It's usually a six year timeline. I do have it here. It was pushed out by a year, which does happen. Sometimes the BIDs don't come in, come in way too high and then the DDC needs to rebid it or a bid, the highest rank team like goes under. So, there's a lot of issues that happen throughout the cycle that do delay project.

The good news though is we do expect registration this fall and construction to start in Fiscal 2026 for this set of trench restorations. It is usually up to DDC and the contractor to determine which sites in particular they'll start first. We'd be happy to facilitate a conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: This site should certainly start first I think. What is the agency doing around expediting this process? I mean six years is - the fact that that is a norm for a project.

Commissioner, what work have you been doing over the past four years to condense that, that period of time

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 118 2 for when a project comes into completion? It seems 3 very inefficient. YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, it's about other 4 5 procurement process that go through a project. COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: No, I understand that and 6 7 what are you doing to -8 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Listen, you're going to be 9 seeing - you're going to be seeing now probably I will - I started and again, it should be your 10 11 expectation that we should change what has not worked in the past. But I can tell you when I was elected 12 in my first budget, I put \$500,000 -13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Sure, sure, so who's 15 responsible for the delay in that procurement? It's 16 not nothing on your end. YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: No, listen that's not part of 17 18 the story but let me give you with this. We can get 19 back to you with more details. Timing is the right 20 one that we have in front of us. Capital project is 21 taken a lot from the moment as Paul described. 2.2 Everything that it is taken from the idea to the 2.3 concept to the designing, to present it to the BID. So, again we hear you loud and clear and I'm more 24

than happy to - see, I'll try to figure out a way of

2 how we can move quickly but the timing is the 3 standard timing for any capital project.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: So, I hear that it's standard timing. My question for you is and I think I understand there's different stages to this right in terms of procurement, in terms of design, in terms of you know public testimonies. Is there anything in your power that you can do to condense any part of that process?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, so the answer is the signed bill. The thing is that -

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: I'm sorry can you say that again?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: You know we need to get Albany to allow New York City to use the signed bills for all project capital project that we do. If that would be the case, it will allow to cut a lot of time not only for the project of DOT. Like, most of the capital project that is on DOT, we do through DDC and they're a great partner but we, again, we have a lot of constraint but the design build is what we need from Albany.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: So, go ahead.

2.2

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah Council Member, the

2.2

2.3

Administration has been seeking DDC, has been talking to Albany to get grant DDC authority, which means that would be on the same part as like the MTA or the School Construction Authority, which has allowed us to like to condense a lot of the procurement steps that you're rightfully frustrated and we are too.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: I think, and I'm happy to hear that you know there needs - there's a campaign to pressure the state to condense you know this standard period of time in which projects are completed. I think you should lean on us to be partners and advocating on your behalf for that. You know I rarely hear advocacy around this and I think this is something that really proves to people that government works right? I think six years for a project is really insane, so keep us in the loop on how we can support you in those efforts on pressuring the state if it really is the states responsibility for all of it.

The second question - am I allowed to ask more questions Chairs?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: You get one more minute.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Okay, uhm, is around -

2.2

Member, I know you're throwing the ball to the state but I'm saying it's about - a lot of it you know timeline on construction has to do very connected with procurement and designing. So, I think that even at the beginning of this Administration there was some taskforce that the mayor put together with the Comptroller, OMB, and others. I think fine ideas, trying to put some reform how we can cut the

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Sure. Can my minute start now?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah.

time of construction in the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Uhm, have you spoken about any progress that has been made between DOT and NYPD about double parking and double parking enforcement within the city? Is that something that the DOT works with NYPD on?

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I feel that the new things and again, I'm getting a lot of heat. There was even a rally in front of 55 Water Street. You know they were blaming me for all those tickets that they're getting in double parking. No, there has been a culture in New York City where people believe

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 122 2 that double parking is legal and the thing is no, 3 it's not legal. We have limited space and I think for the moment when someone get out from the car to 4 get a coffee, to go to get a green juice, they should know that if they do double parking, there's a 6 7 consequence. Our American enforcement is the new thing that we are using where if someone is double 8 park, now the bus, the MTA buses, they have the They are able to give the ticket to anyone, 10 camera. 11 all New Yorkers if we do the double parking. COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: And how about locations 12 13 where there aren't MTA buses? For example, I would love for my district to see an enforcement 14 15 specifically on Dekalb Avenue between Troup and 16 Margus Garvey. The double parking is out of control 17 there and I've been complaining about it for years to 18 both the PD and the Department of Transportation. It's unsafe for drivers, for cyclists, for 19 pedestrians. It slows the entire neighborhood down. 20 21 I'd love to get an update or at least some visible 2.2 enforcement on that specific block. 2.3 YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: More than happy to coordinate with you and even to coordinate some visibility with 24

the NYPD, Transportation Chief, myself and you,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 123 2 enforcing on double parking because I think that's unacceptable and I feel that we need to have only one 3 standard. If we don't allow double parking in 5th 4 Avenue and other places, so double parking shouldn't be allowed in any community. What we know that it 6 7 contribute to a lot of other traffic that we are dealing with. So, I'm more than happy to follow up 8 with you. COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Okay thank you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay final question from Council Member Hanks. 12 13 COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you Chair. Commissioner Rodriguez, my constituents continue to 14 15 raise concern about the lack of coordination between 16 DOT and MTA at the St. George Ferry Terminal. Riders 17 regularly miss their connections. The St. George 18 Ferry Terminal in Whitehall and on the Staten Island 19 side is one of the city's most important 20 transportation hubs and this poor condition is 21 undermining the daily commutes of thousands of residents. 2.2 23 In addition, the Ferry ramps and the exist areas in the St. George Ferry terminal are filthy, they 24

reek of all kinds of insanity and despite no clear -

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 124 there's no clear smoking rules whether it's marijuana, whether it's cigarettes, there should be signage there that clearly say that you cannot do that. These areas have also become hot spots for homeless and even criminal activity. And this is

Staten Island's front porch and it should be clean,

it should be safe, it should be welcoming.

2.2

2.3

So, I ask, when can Staten Island expect a better coordination between DOT and the MTA to fix these systemic issues and when will DOT take a meaningful action when it comes to the cleanup and the maintenance of the terminal both inside and out? Thank you.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, quality of life is a top priority for Mayor Eric Adams and as we have the 500 blocks that we've been doing coordination work between the NYPD or the agency on enforcing in those blocks. I'm more than happy also to bring that site to that 500 block and see anything that we can do. You are so lucky to represent the area. You know Staten Island; it have like 18 million ridership last year. The number continue increasing. It's the largest ferry that we have in any -

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: 70,000 people a day.

2.2

2.3

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah so and anything that we can do and again, I know all about action more than words. That's what I told Rick to remember to follow with the Council Member there and with you to but I want to see how we can talk to the team you know from Deputy Mayors of Public Safety and other agencies to look and address.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: We'll follow up with a walk through, yes. One more question Chair very quickly? Staten Island doesn't have city bike and we should. This is an equity issue. Every single program should have a five borough participation.

So, I'd love to talk about getting a city bike on Staten Island as well. Thank you Chair.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: We're working right now with the President of the Staten Island university and Rosanne right? They're doing a good job. One of the things that they're looking to do is a pilot project to provide bike through a pilot project to the student that they go around all those acres of the university.

For Mayor Eric Adams, Staten Island is not forgotten borough anymore, so definitely our commitment is to connect all community with city bike

and micromobility so that all New Yorkers should be able to move by car, by train, by bus, city bike and micromobility.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you so much.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we are way behind to get to the Parks Committee. I'm going to hand it over to Chair Powers to close us out.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you and I just wanted to just put a pin in and we'll pick this back up at another hearing in terms of the agencies inability to meet the Streets Plan mandates and the consistent blaming of the members in terms of you know where they're being located. While we've been in this hearing, along Beach 20th Street in Rockaway where there is a bike lane, we don't call it the bike lane to nowhere because it's literally one bike lane that doesn't go beyond that one block. And NYPD was out there today towing cars.

So, the merchants have been unable to get their merchandize there. There's a disconnect with the agency and the community that really has to be worked through. And so, I will follow up with the office but again, I just wanted to put a pin in that in

2.2

2.3

terms of example when we're often pointed at in terms of the agencies inability to meet its goal, get the agency place a bike lane on one block that's not connected to anything, that did not have community support but yet still did that. So, I thank the agency for its testimony today and look forward to following up on that.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I stand on behalf of all the 6,000 men and women that made this agency and the previous Commissioner before me. Like, and especially our borough commissioners, they are the ones, the team who are representing all the project and funds of each Council Member. This project, the one that the agency does, we got it who Commissioner is in time, is in place, it is a more complicit one. A lot of the work that we do most follow federal standards. A lot of the work that we do is happening at both our streets where a few inches down, other utilities are moving their services in the street.

So, I'm very proud of the work that we do. I
think that there's a lot of accomplishment that we
all can share and resolve, the Council and the
Administration. There's always a space to grow and

more than happy to continue working with both Chairs and the Speaker to address how we continue doing the work that we do. Providing 26 percent of our street

5 for the movement of safe and efficient of people in

6 vehicles.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Commissioner.

Thank you to your team. We'll take a short break and we're going to start with the Parks Department.

[02:31:45]- [02:47:2].

[GAVEL] Okay, good afternoon. Welcome back. We are now turning to the Parks Department, Department of Parks and Recreation. An agency that keeps New York City green, active and connected. I'm Council Member Justin Brannan, I Chair the Council's Finance Committee. I'm joined by Council Member Shekar Krishnan, Chair of the Committee on Parks and Recreation. We've been joined by Council Members Brewer, Carr, Salaam, Ung and Louis and welcome Commissioner Donoghue, it's good to see you. We're glad to have you here. The Proposed FY26 Parks Department Budget is \$667.3 million, which is an increase of \$50.6 million or 8.2 percent over the preliminary plan that came out back in January. That includes more support for swim safety and second

2.2

2.3

shift cleanups at high use parks but for a department responsible for 30,000 acres of land, 1,000 playgrounds and 800 athletic fields, the Council knows that that number is still far too small.

Parks Department represents under half a percent of the total executive budget and there are nearly 330 vacancies. Something that we will be certainly asking OMB about in a couple of weeks. That's hundreds of workers that are not out there maintaining our parks, enforcing our rules, planting trees and keeping things safe and clean and the Council wants to fix that.

In our preliminary budget response, the Council pushed for \$32.5 million to restore these critical positions, gardeners, pruners, park workers and enforcement officers because while everything else in the city keeps getting more expensive, a day in the park last I checked is still free and that matters. Parks are truly the great equalizer. Every New Yorker no matter what their zip code deserves access to green space that's safe, clean and looked after.

So, today, I'll be focusing on the PEG restorations, capital needs and making sure the Parks Budget reflects how much New Yorkers truly rely on

2.2

these spaces. I was out last weekend in a couple of parks and they were just absolutely bursting with people. A testament to just how important our open green spaces are but in the interest of time, I'm going to turn it over to my Co-Chair Council Member Krishnan for his opening statement and we'll get going.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you so much Chair

Brannan. Good afternoon everyone and welcome to the

Parks and Recreation Committees hearing on the Fiscal

2026 Executive Budget for the Department of Parks and

Recreation.

My name is Shekar Krishnan and I am the Chair of our Parks and Recreation Committee. I would like to acknowledge and thank all of my fellow Council

Members who are here today as Chair Brannan announced before. Today, we will be hearing testimony from the Department of Parks and Recreation on its Fiscal 2026 Executive Budget, which totals approximately \$667.3 million. An increase of \$26.8 million since the release of the Preliminary Budget in January but we still have a long way to go. While it is always better to see increases instead of decreases as we saw in previous years, this is still nowhere near the

amount of money that our Parks Department deserves and it is no where near the one percent or \$1 billion goal that the Mayor campaigned on and is currently campaigning on.

So, just to put it in perspective, \$1 billion was the commitment broken year after year. We are at a Parks Department budget of \$667 million. New Yorkers of every borough and neighborhood want clean and safe parks to enjoy. And let's be very clear about this, if we want a clean and safe city, as Mayor Adams declares, then a key way to do so is to keep our parks clean and safe. You can't have a clean and safe city without clean and safe parks. This budget while doing and restoring some funding and in some ways a step in the right direction, does not provide nearly enough resources to make this a reality.

Clean, green and safe parks, parks that all of us as New Yorkers rely on and enjoy requires far more in funding and investments. The Fiscal 2026 Executive Budget includes \$18.7 million in new needs for FY26, \$8.1 million in other adjustments, and thankfully no PEGs or cuts. But again, we should not be in a world where we are thinking about cuts and restoring cuts.

We have to be in a world of investments.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

There are several new needs in this plan, including increased headcount for fleet service baselined funding for the Office of Marine Debris Disposal and vessel surrendering and a number of one shots or temporary funding for urban parks rangers, green thumb and tree stump removal. But this is a far cry from the permanent comprehensive funding and vision that our City Council laid out in our budget response. I mentioned earlier that this budget includes \$18.7 million in new needs but the number is largely made up of temporary, so-called one shot positions. One shots are items or programs that are only funded for one year, which means that once the fiscal year is over, the program will be cut if additional funding is provided. In other words, once that year is up, the workers who are funded on those lines are in danger of losing their jobs. These are workers that do not just work for a year. They work every year, every day cleaning our parks and keeping them safe. I know that because I've talked to them. I've spent time with them. I have heard their stories and their concerns when their jobs are in jeopardy every year. You cannot run an organization. You cannot run a company on temporary lines that

expire every year for permanent work and you certainly cannot run a crucial city agency like the Parks Department in this way.

What this is means is that for 50 urban park rangers in our city, their jobs are only secure for one year. The Council has to fight for funding every year and restore it so that these New Yorkers do not lose their jobs. This is no way to live. Not knowing if you will have a job in a few months is incredible stressful and has immensely negative impacts on your mental health and wellbeing.

In my own personal conversations with urban park rangers, they have expressed these feelings and much more. These positions are not the only things that are only funded for one year. Tree stump removal, green thumb funding, \$3 million for forestry management are only given one year of funding. In other words, taking care of our trees, managing our urban forest is done on a temporary budget every year. Even though this work is permanent and even more crucial in our city when we have seen over 250 wildfires in two weeks last fall.

These one shots must end. We need to ensure that the Administration, the agency and OMB make sure that

2.2

2.3

these funds are baselined or made permanent so workers can have the job stability they need to provide for their families and our Parks Department can have the stability to keep doing their work of providing clean, green, and resilient parks for all New Yorkers.

The Committee looks forward to hearing testimony today related to the FY26 Executive Plan.

Specifically related to lifeguards, urban park rangers, PEP officers, forestry workers and the capital budget.

Before we begin with testimony, I would like to thank our Council staff for their hard work. Our finance team of Chima Obichere, Jack Storey, and Michael Sherman. Our committee staff Christopher Sartori and Patrick Mulvihill, and my own staff Gregg Clark Chanel Martinez, and Victoria Opperman.

I would also like to recognize that this will be the final hearing with our Parks Commissioner Sue Donoghue. Commissioner Donoghue has been a phenomenal leader for our city's park system.

[APPLAUSE]. And she has been a great partner to work with. There was no issue too small or too large for me to be able to work with Commissioner Donoghue on.

2.2

2.3

Every single issue, every phone call I made, every issue that needs to be discussed, Commissioner

Donoghue was there to see it through.

She has been a phenomenal leader for our parks department and on behalf of the entire committee and our New York City Council, I want to thank you for your service to the Parks Department. You will be sorely missed. It is a great loss for our city for your departure and I thank you for your passion and service to all New Yorkers.

I will now turn it over to our Committee Chair,
Chair Brannan in Finance and Committee Counsel to go
over some procedural items and swear in the
representatives from the Parks Department.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Chair Krishnan. We've also been joined by Council Members Hanks, Holden, Rivera, and Paladino. Just a reminder, if you're here to testify on either the transportation or parks budget, make sure you fill out a witness slip with the Sergeant at Arms in the back. We will hear from the public right after the parks panel. Committee Counsel will now swear in the witnesses.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good afternoon. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 136
2	but the truth before the Committee and to respond
3	honestly to Council Members? Commissioner Donoghue?
4	SUE DONOGHUE: I do.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: First Deputy Commissioner
6	Rodriguez-Rosa.
7	IRIS RODRIGUEZ-ROSA: I do.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner Wang?
9	JOY WANG: Yes.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner Boorstyn.
11	Deputy Commissioner Nelson, Deputy Commissioner
12	Focht, uh Assistant Commissioner Osborne, Officer
13	Stark, and Chief Drury.
14	MATT DRURY: I do.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.
16	SUE DONOGHUE: Okay, Good afternoon Chair
17	Brannan, Chair Krishnan, members of the Finance
18	Committee and Parks Committee and other members of
19	the Council. My name is Sue Donoghue and I'm honored
20	to be here today testifying as the Commissioner of
21	New York City Department of Parks and Recreation and
22	to be joined today here in this room by several
23	members of our agencies incredible senior staff
24	leadership team.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Firstly, I want to recognize the Council for its continued support of our city's open spaces and for championing the importance of parks for all New Yorkers. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the agencies executive budget for Fiscal Year 2026 and to provide an update on our agencies efforts to build and maintain a healthy and thriving park The arrival of warmer weather in the spring system. means we're heading into our peak season. When our city's green and open spaces truly come alive and more and more people get outdoors to take advantage of our amazing parks, beaches, and pools to lead happier, healthier lives. And we've been busy delivering results for New Yorkers. We were recently joined by students from PS 52 in Staten Island along with Council Member Carr to cut the ribbon on a \$3.5 million reconstruction of Dongan Playground, reopening a new, attractive play space featuring enhanced resilience to extreme weather and brand new amenities for the community to enjoy. We also started construction on a new public park in Queens. Lieutenant Michae R. Davidson Playground, which was named after a heroic member of the FDNY who lost his life in the line of duty in 2018 and grew up in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

adjacent apartment complex. This \$4.8 million investment will include a fire fighter themed play area for children, a peaceful seating area, a public restroom, and a restored historic outdoor pavilion.

This spring, we were thrilled to celebrate the reopening of the Tompkins Square Park Field House, a \$5.6 million investment by the Administration to modernize the public restrooms, ensure accessibility, upgrade the buildings infrastructure and create a more functional space for park operations. conjunction with the Field House reopening, we transformed the area on the north side of the building, with brightly painted games for kids, picnic and ping pong tables, benches and decorative plantings. We also spruced up the nearby Slocum Memorial Fountain, and our incredible local volunteers have helped to further beautify the area, helping ensure that Tompkins Square Park can remain a vital resource for the East Village Community for years to come. This long awaited project is a testament to our dedication to making our parks more inclusive and it's a great example of how our parks and public spaces make our neighborhoods more engaging, accessible and livable.

Protecting this livability means we all need to do our part to keep our parks clean. So, we were proud to recently enact new agency rules to increase penalties on bad actors who illegally dump trash in and around our parks. We appreciate the partnership with our sister agency DSNY in working alongside us to crack down on illegal dumping and get tough on irresponsible entities that think our parks are an appropriate place to dump their trash.

This enhanced enforcement will address a major challenge at our parks that exhaust a considerable amount of our staff's time and resources. Allowing us to better focus on serving New Yorkers that are - observing New Yorkers that are appropriately using our parks. For example, thanks to increased mayoral baselined funding provided earlier this year, our newest second shift evening and weekend park maintenance workers have been fully hired, trained and deployed. These new team members are already hard at work, caring for 100 new busy park hotspots, which means the agency is now able to provide second shift coverage at a grand total of 200 separate heavily used sites within 121 of our busiest parks.

2.2

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Of course, as the temperatures rise, we're gearing up for the opening of our public beaches Saturday, May 24th. Unlike a lot of other cities around the country, we have been able to open all of our pools and beaches in recent summers and we expect to be able to do that again this summer, despite what continues to be a very challenging hiring environment. As we have shared with the Council previously, we have worked tirelessly to find ways to recruit new potential lifequards and encourage more lifeguards to return and help keep our beaches and pools safe for all New Yorkers to enjoy. Though it's still a bit too early to speculate about the final staffing levels that we will ultimately reach. are cautiously optimistic about the progress we've seen, a result of our intense and focused effort to recruit and retain these vital members of the Parks team.

Turning to the specific topic for this hearing,
the Fiscal Year 2026 Executive Budget reflects the
Administrations ongoing commitment to fiscal
responsibility amid the ongoing economic and fiscal
challenges facing the city while maintaining critical
services for all New Yorkers and investing in a

2.2

greener, healthier city. The operating budget for our agency in the executive budget is \$667.3 million, including new baselined funding for additional staff mechanics and service technicians to help care for our fleet of agency vehicles. It also includes baseline OTPS funding for our Office of Marine Debris Disposal and Vessel surrendering, which will allow us to more proactively address the issue of derelict, abandoned vessels and large marine debris from our city shorelines and waterways, and launch a public vessel turn in program.

Since the establishment of the new office in 2024, with the initial funding that was provided by the Administration at that time, we have removed 82 vessels and over 430 cubic yards of debris from waterways across the city, which had been serious public safety navigational and environmental hazards.

Our agencies ten year capital plan is \$10.4 billion and over \$250 million in new investment has been provided in the newest plan. This includes over \$103 million for a full reconstruction of our Prospect Park Garage compound. This vital operational hub serves as the headquarters for our skilled trades, forestry, borough crews,

2.2

2.3

horticulture, and fleet operations serving the entire borough of Brooklyn. These facilities, which were initially constructed between the 1800's and the 1920's had not seen any major improvements in over 30 years. So, we're very excited to provide these necessary upgrades that will give our dedicated staff the working space they need and deserve so they can keep our parks in the best condition possible.

We were also very excited to announce a \$51 million investment to reconstruct the historic Tony Dapolito Outdoor Pool in Manhattan, including the preservation of the beloved mural created by famed artist Keith Haring, as well as seven new playground renovations in the Bronx, community improvements to be delivered as part of the Metro Bronx, Metro-North Station Area plan.

On a closing note, many of you may be aware that after three and a half incredible years leading the agency, I will be stepping down as Commissioner at the end of the month. It has been the greatest honor and privilege to serve alongside my fellow parkies, dedicated public servants who bring our parks to life every day with their hard work, passion and care for our city's green spaces.

2.2

2.3

Through initiatives like vital parks for all and Let's Green NYC, we've invested in a future of our parks, strengthened our commitment to sustainability, and expanded opportunities for all New Yorkers to enjoy the benefits of nature and recreation.

We also made historic progress in public safety at our pools and beaches, negotiating the first meaningful changes to the lifeguard contract in 40 years to strengthen our management, recruitment and coordination of the lifeguard corp. Since the start of this administration, we have delivered transformative park improvement projects at nearly 500 park sites with hundreds more capital projects actively underway.

We have ensured our parks are cleaner, greener, and more welcoming than ever before. Work that will leave a lasting impact on the city we all love. I want to thank Mayor Adams for granting me the incredible privilege of leading this agency and the opportunity to work with each of you to continue improving our parks and open spaces for all New Yorkers. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. We would now be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Commissioner. It has been great working with you. We've had a real partnership and I think because of that partnership we've managed to get a lot done so it's been great working together. I want to jump right in. I don't want to take up too much time and hand it over to the Chair here but questions about some new needs.

Executive Plan includes \$825,000 for the repair of six log loaders. It's our understanding that the city is currently engaged in a law suit with the manufacturer to recoup the money that was spent on the repairs of those log loaders. Could you tell us about that?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question. Due to the fact that this is active litigation, there's very little comment that I can make on this topic but I can assure that you know Parks is all city rules and regulations for purchasing equipment and other goods. We work with DCAS, other relevant entities but that's about all I can say at this point.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, uhm, the executive plan; you mentioned the Office of Marine Debris

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 14 disposal. Does the department have a goal for how

3 many boats could be or will be removed per year?

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, we anticipate - thank you for the question Council Member. We anticipate removing between 80 and 100 abandoned derelict vessels every year.

In addition, we also anticipate removing at least 500 cubic yards of large marine debris annually. So, it's been a really wonderful new division that we formed in this Administration and a lot of good working happening there.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And does the department have an idea of how many boats are currently out there waiting to be removed?

SUE DONOGHUE: You know anecdotally Council

Member; there are several hundred abandoned derelict

vessels on the city's waterways. Some of which have

been specifically requested, brought to our

attention. Council Members have been very active in

suggesting removals. Others have been in locations

that have been in historically sadly have served as

marine dumping sites. So, there's an awful lot of

derelict vessels. There's no doubt out there but we

are attacking them as aggressively as we can.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And does the department do 3 this work in house or is it entirely contracted out? SUE DONOGHUE: It's a combination. Anything that 4 we can reach by land, we absolutely are doing those 5 removals ourselves but then we also do a lot by 6 7 contract. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. The executive plan 8 9 includes \$8.8 million in FY25 for the Zoo Contract shortfall. As part of the contract with the city, 10 11 the department fills any shortfalls that zoo's have 12 had between expenses and revenue. How are the terms 13 of the agreement memorialized? Is there a master contract? Is there an MOU or? 14 SUE DONOGHUE: Yes. So, thank you Council Member 15 16 for the question. Parks entered into a 50 year 17 contract with the Wildlife Conservation Society for 18 the maintenance and operations of the three city zoo's beginning in 1980. And this contract will 19 20 expire in 2030. 21 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay and how much - how 2.2 much does the Parks Department budget each year for

SUE DONOGHUE: We contract- it's currently budgeted at \$8 million in FY26.

the Zoo contract shortfall?

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay and I have one last question. In FY24, Parks overspent its PS budget by \$22.3 million and underspent its OTPS budget by \$21.5 million. Is there a plan to realign the budget so that it more accurately reflects historical spending patterns?

SUE DONOGHUE: So, thank you Council Member for the question and it's really for us as an agency—it's really advantageous to make sure we retain sufficient OTPS money so that as things come up, if we need supplies or equipment, we have that funding in place but funds can always be moved from OTPS to PS. So, it's just a more functional way for us to be able to run the agency in terms of spending, making sure we have the money when we need it, where we need it so that we can buy supplies and equipment.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, I'm going to turn it over to Chair Krishnan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you so much Chair Brannan. Thank you for your testimony Commissioner. So, just taking a step back for a second. So, we had our Preliminary Budget proposal from the Mayor. We had seen roughly about an 800 or so give or take reduction in staff because of all the cuts overtime.

Now with our executive budget, there are a few one shot positions restored. How does that compare to the 800 positions that we've lost because of success of cuts?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question. We were pleased with the additions to our budget, which I talked about in the testimony, the second shift funding. We had money baselined for mechanics. Money for our marine debris removal division that we just talked about, so we were pleased with the additional staffing that we did receive and you know we will continue and then always at this time of year, we have a large influx of seasonal staff, it's incredibly helpful that buttresses our resources and our staffing. So, we feel like we are positioned to be able to move forward and get our work done for the summer.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Got it but where does that roughly leave us compared to the 800?

MATT DRURY: If I may? So the net impact, yeah with all the saving targets that have been implemented since the adoption of FY23, moving through FY26, all those saving targets, the net loss

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 will be in the 700 to 800 lines. So, that is still 3 the case. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So, net loss of 700 lines 4 still so unfortunately the Mayor's restorations had 5 very little impact, the number of positions we've 6 7 lost. Has the Parks Department achieved its vacancy reduction PEG target? If not, how close to the 8 target are you all and when do you expect that you'll be able to hire without these impacts? 10 11 SUE DONOGHUE: So, thank you Council Member for 12 the question. We anticipate achieving our PEG 13 savings target via attrition through this current FY25, then we'll be transitioning to the citywide two 14 15 for one replacement cycle through FY26. So, 16 essentially by the end of FY26, we anticipate 17 achieving our PEG savings targets. 18 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: But would that mean that the two for one essentially where you can't hire one 19 person until two people leave, does that policy 20 continue after the end of 2026? 21 2.2 SUE DONOGHUE: That is unknown at this point. 23 can't predict if that will be in place at this point. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: But you are still subject 24 to it through at least Fiscal Year 2026?

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So, am I correct to say still about the net loss of 700 positions but even with what's proposing in the Executive Budget, you all are still subject to a hiring limitation where you cannot hire one worker until two depart, is that fair to say?

SUE DONOGHUE: It's through uhm, end of FY25 that we will be meeting our attrition PEG but then in FY26, yes. We will be transitioning to that citywide two for one replacement cycle through FY26.

MATT DRURY: So, I'll add that the 700 figure accounts for that, if that makes any sense, so it's not on top of - that's inclusive of.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Right, right, my point is so even if you hit your PEG or you know reduction targets, if the Parks Department wanted to hire to fill the 700 lost positions, they would not be able to hire one position unless two workers leave the department. Is that accurate?

SUE DONOGHUE: That is accurate and that's citywide. We do have certain positions that are exempt from that two for one hiring, PEP, public programs, a couple things like that that are exempt

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 151 from that two for one but yes, otherwise that is the

4 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: But city parks workers are

SUE DONOGHUE: Right, if they're not PEP, public programs and I thought there was something else, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Urban Park Rangers are not exempt from that. Far Street, not exempt from that.

SUE DONOGHUE: Right, yeah.

not exempt from that right?

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And what methods does the agency use to increase the pool of applicants for full time and seasonal positions, given these really unacceptable limitations that you all are under from City Hall.

SUE DONOGHUE: So, we have a very active and aggressive recruitment process. For one, I mean, for seasonal positions we're fortunate. We have a lot of people who return every year to the Parks Department. When we can, when we have open positions at the end of a season we're often filling those positions with seasonal workers in order to maintain that continuity.

case.

2.2

But we also participate in job fairs, DCAS is consistently running job fairs that we participate in. We do a lot of advertising, recruitment, when and where we can across the city.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And we spoke a bit before about the positions that are on one shot or temporary one year lines. Our urban park rangers for example, but this is why the Council pushes every year to baseline and expand the number of urban park rangers, PEP officers. Do you believe that it is crucial to the operations of the department for these positions to be baselined and expanded?

SUE DONOGHUE: We are - thank you for the question. We are uhm, we were pleased with the funding we did receive and to know now at this point that those one shots have been funded. They are incredibly important to the agency and we uhm, anticipate that you know these positions and continuing these positions will have an enormous beneficial impact for the agency.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And of course, having these positions be permanent, uhm lines, would be more beneficial to the agency then having them be on temporary lines right?

SUE DONOGHUE: The uhm decision to baseline or do one shots is not ours. It's at the OMB level. 3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Right, uhm, now even if the one shots were restored in the Executive Plan, the Parks Department only has 70 PEP, fewer than 20 urban park rangers and 40 forestry staff per borough. Let me repeat that again. 70 PEP officers, fewer than 20 urban park rangers and 40 forestry staff per borough in the city.

The investments we called for in the budget response were only partially a fraction of them that because our response and our vision was much more extensive. And the reality of all of this is that we need far more staff and we need permanent staff. What would be the impact of funding or not funding the Council's request? When we call for permanent baseline positions, we call for a much greater expansion of each of these roles. What would be the impact and if you don't have those numbers, how do you all move forward with the operations and the city given these numbers per borough?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and I appreciate it. So, we agree that our PEP officers play such a critical role and helping to

2.2

enforce park rules and to be a visible presence in our parks and what we do - the way that we deploy our PEP staff that we do have is based on where to do it as equitably as we can per borough and to identify areas of greatest need. We also have a roving crew that we deploy to different areas across the city when and where they are needed.

We're incredible proud of how dedicated our PEP officers are and the work that they do in our parks. We also maintain a very important partnership with PD, so it's always a combination of our PEP officers and NYPD that is helping us to enhance safety and ensure that there's a feeling of safety in our parks, so it's a very important partnership, that role of keeping our parks safe and we'll continue to do that with the staff that we have.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And I appreciate both your leadership and all the Parks workers and their work every day and every park in our borough but the fact of the matter is it continues to be shocking to me that City Hall and OMB are only funding temporary lines despite how we have repeatedly called attention to the lack of staff and funding for our parks department.

2.2

2.3

In response to Mayor's Executive Budget calls for these funding of temporary lines but doesn't actually change the game and increase the number of Parks workers in any of these lines per borough. I understand that that's not your call but that is this Administrations, this City Halls call. It really is shocking to me because you look at 40 forestry staff per borough for example. I don't know how you manage a borough at all. I know you all do great work but it's far less than what you need for the parks across each borough.

I want to break down each of these lines and follow up on some of the things that we touched on in our preliminary budget hearing. So for PEP officers in particular, what is the current budget and actual headcount for PEP officers?

SUE DONOGHUE: So, the budgeted tax levy headcount for the PEP division team is 369 with an active headcount of 344 PEP officers.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And what steps does the agency take to increase retention for PEP officers?

Because again, that's about 60 PEP officers per borough. How are you all doing what you can to retain those PEP officers?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely and thank you, that's an important question and we are keenly focused on PEP recruitment and retention strategies. They can be used to help motivate and retain our employees. We aim to recruit and hire a talented and diverse pool of PEP officers and we absolutely welcome dialogue with the Council and the larger community to help us make that happen.

Our recruitment efforts include attending job fairs, handing out flyers and also in terms of retention, we have done a number of different things. We have an internal moral committee, a working group that meets regularly. We have annual PEP command meetings to improve communication, build relationships, try and detect issues before they escalate, boost confidence in our officers and leadership. We have a platform we put in place for employee feedback. We've also implemented not just for PEP but in our agency something called stay interviews where we meet with folks, meet with our PEP officers. We're highlighting this in Staten Island to really get a sense of you know why you're staying, what is making it uh assist a job that you're staying at, so that we can focus on

discovering trends, implementing those strategies is going to make it so that uhm, we have a better retention rate for our PEP officers.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And just thinking about their work schedule, so there's about 60 officers per borough. How many acres of park is each officer assigned to cover? Are there any parks that are not assigned to cover?

SUE DONOGHUE: We don't break off the PEP assignments by acreage. As I said earlier, what we're doing is we're deploying them as equitably as we can across the boroughs and then we are moving our officers based on need. If there is an increase in illegal vending. If there's an increase in illegal dumping. We're moving our officers when and where we can where they're needed most.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And are there parks that don't get coverage at all?

SUE DONOGHUE: There wouldn't be parks that don't get coverage at all. As I said, they are covered on a rotating basis. We're trying to in each borough cover as many parks as possible.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: What's the optimal number you think you would need to ensure adequate coverage across the park system?

SUE DONOGHUE: I don't think we have an optimal number that would say we recognize and appreciate your recognition of the importance of our PEP officers and right now, the way they're deployed is you know strategic so we can get to the areas that we need but I don't think we have you know I could state like an optimal number.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Let's move to Urban Park
Rangers. You know they provide an essential service
to our city. They were created in 1979. They have
to connect New Yorkers with their parks. They help
New Yorkers of all agencies understand and expand
their knowledge about the history of parks, the
wildlife there, the plants but sadly the funding for
most of these positions again is not permanent and
urban park rangers live with the uncertainty that the
funding for their positions will not be renewed each
year.

That is no way to live. It is no way to support workers who are serving our city every day who are concerned also not only about their jobs but how to

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 159 2 care for their families, pay their rent, pay their mortgage, pay for childcare. And so, it's shocking 3 to me again that this mayor who claims to care deeply 4 about not only public safety and quality of life but 5 supposedly for making our city more affordable for 6 7 workers would not do more to ensure that the parks workers have what they need to be able to afford 8 living in the city to support their families. 9 Supporting our parks budget is fundamentally a 10 11 workers issue. What is the current budgeted 12 headcount, an actual headcount for urban park rangers 13 in New York City? SUE DONOGHUE: So the current budgeted headcount 14 15 is 89 total positions and the actually headcount is 16 92. 17 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: 89 - so about 90 right and 18 that's about 18 urban park rangers per borough, 19 right? 20 SUE DONOGHUE: Hmm, hmm. 21 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: If it were not for the 22 Council fighting to reinstate the funding each year 23 for urban park rangers, how many urban park rangers

would cease to be employed by the Parks Department.

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: So, on the current FY25 budget for 89 urban park ranger lines, 50 are one shot funded, however, this one shot funding was renewed in the FY26 executive budget. So we know that these lines will remain in place.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So, I just want to be clear about this right, 90 urban park rangers across the city, 50 more than half are funded on temporary funding that the Council every year fights and restores. That means more than half of these jobs, workers who care for our parks and their wildlife who support their families do not know every July 1st whether they will be employed. Does the Parks Department support the Council in a push for the Administration to baseline these positions?

SUE DONOGHUE: We are very appreciative of the Council's previous advocacy, support and funding but I can say that the parks is committed to delivering on our mission to offer vibrant parks for all New Yorkers with whatever resources are provided. One shot funding items are included in our agencies budget every year and help provide our agency with the resources needed to fulfill our mission. This funding provides tremendous value and the agency

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 161
staff serving in those lines we know are hugely

3 important as you say to our team and to the agency.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Absolutely and we at the Council have made clear every year to City Hall given how important these lines are, given that these are workers jobs on the line, the fact that we have to negotiate them every year down to the wire to make sure those jobs continue is not acceptable. What is the typical daily schedule for an urban park ranger?

What you talked about already, the primary focus for our urban park rangers each day is one, connecting

New Yorkers to the natural world, their environmental education and outdoor recreation programs, protecting

New York City's natural areas by patrolling and enforcing rules and regulations and then also importantly protecting New York City's wildlife and natural resources by responding, they respond to reports when there is a sick or injured animal or wildlife within Parks. So, their three primary duties along with related administrative work that's really what makes up a rangers typical day.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And we're very grateful to them for that service. I want to include my

2 questions for now with focusing on lifeguards. You

3 mentioned full beach season is about to start,

4 Memorial day is around the corner. How, where - my

5 understanding is we need about 1,600 lifeguards give

6 or take to fully staff our pools and beaches. Where

7 are we? Is that the correct number and where are we

8 in relation to that?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, thanks for the question. It's not 1,600. It's 1,400 is the number we have put out there and that's really not to fully staff. That's in order to have a second shift. So, we have been really pleased with the fact and we've seen an increase in our lifeguard numbers year over year and it's a testament to the incredible work of the team behind me and the First Deputy Commissioner and her team. Last year we got up to 930 lifeguards and we had a 45 percent increase in new recruits. Again, a testament to the incredible work that's been done. We need about 1,000 lifeguards to fully open our pools and beaches. We have all faith that we're going to get there this year. Again, there's been so much work done on recruitment, on outreach to the Lifequard Corp and the 1,400 number is so that which

we absolutely want to get to and it would get us

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE closer to where we were Pre-Covid. It would get us to be able to have a second shift. So, an early morning shift or a late afternoon shift. But the number really and to fully open our pools and beaches is 1,000. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So, 1,000 and 1,400. Can you just breakdown, if you're at - what are the two

shifts that the 1,400 would cover?

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: Sure, so our pools and beaches are - pools are 10 to 7 and beaches are 11 - help me out here. 10 to 6 for beaches, 11 to 7 for pools. And so, if you know last year as I said, we got to 930. At 1,000 we can open all of our pools and beaches to that one shift and be able to fully open pools and beaches. We did that last year. We've been able to do that the last couple years, even in a very difficult hiring environment and a national lifeguard shortage. We were unique in our capabilities in being able to do that versus other municipalities that we're closing pools and beaches. So, incredibly proud of that work we've done.

So, 1,000 we can be fully open. The 1,400 would allow us to have an early morning shift for lap swim say or for senior swim. What we did the last couple

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 164 years and again thanks to our incredible public programs division. We incorporated that, a lot of

that program and we had senior swim in a number of our pools during that one shift. So, we worked really hard to continue to have some of that great programming, lap swim and senior swim but with that 1,000 number, we're able to fully open our pools and

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Got it and is senior swim coming back this summer?

SUE DONOGHUE: We hope so. We did it last year. It was incredibly popular. The seniors at Astoria and many other places just love that programming and we know how important it is, that's why we worked really hard to make sure we could do it.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: How are we on track to getting back to the original three days of two hours a day for senior swim? Is that coming back or no?

SUE DONOGHUE: You mean during the - during the one shift having that? We are absolutely planning to do that.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Okay, great. And just going back to I'm just going to get a clear sense,

2.2

beaches.

where are we numbers wise now compared to the 1,400 that we need?

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: So, we're not able to tell you that yet because we are in the phase of we have people in training. We won't know that number yet until we get through - we had qualifying to 68 different qualifying tests all across the city. We've now moved into the training phase, which I'm really pleased to report. We expanded those training opportunities. It used to be just in one location in Manhattan.

We now have training locations in four out of the five boroughs enabling ease of getting to training for lifeguards. We know we've made it a whole lot easier. So, they're in that 16 week training program and we need to get through that training cycle and then we'll know where we are in terms of numbers. Have also again thanks to the really hard work of the team, added an accelerated program, a shorter program that's targeted towards college students and returning lifeguards, so we can offer both the intensive training, the accelerated training, all with the idea of really increasing those numbers.

And as I said, we had a 45 percent increase in new

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 166 2 recruits last year. We're looking to meet or exceed that goal this year. 3 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: So, that was my question. 4 I understand you don't know the exact number yet. 5 How is it compared generally to the number of -6 7 SUE DONOGHUE: We're ahead of where we were. We're really feeling confident we'll hit our goals. 8 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: My last couple questions. 9 Just, how many retention bonuses were paid to 10 11 lifequards who stayed through the peak season? SUE DONOGHUE: We had approximately 600 returning 12 lifeguards received this bonus for summer of 2024. 13 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And I want to also thank 14 15 you, First Deputy Commissioner Rodriguez-Rosa for your work to expand, the testing and training site. 16 17 Is there thought to expanding them further to create 18 more sites across the boroughs to make it more accessible for young students in particular who could 19 be good for lifeguard positions? 20 21 SUE DONOGHUE: We worked really hard to do that 2.2 this year and as I said, I'm proud of the fact that 2.3 we have expanded training locations in four out of the five boroughs. We'll absolutely look to continue 24

25

to do that.

2.2

2.3

We know that it makes a big difference and makes it much more accessible for our teams and folks to be able to get to this training, which is what we want, so absolutely we're going to continue to work on that.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thanks. Those are my questions for now. I'll turn it back over to the Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Chair. We've also been joined by Council Members Narcisse, Ayala, Farias, Menin, Williams and Lee. We'll start with questions from Ung followed by Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Thank you Chair and thank you Commissioner for the years of partnership. I just have two questions. The first question is about the capital funding. I and along with my colleagues have you know committed significant capital funding to all parks over the years and I think I will speak for myself, there is frustrations, the high cost estimate and the slow pace that's getting done. So, the two in my district, the Eastern Queens Greenway, it hasn't even gone to the stage of the community input yet and I funded it back from the parks my first year and that has yet to break ground.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Has Parks done an internal review or audit to see why that's the case? Because I asked another agency this question and then you know there was a couple of laws that he was explaining that had to be in compliance which delays certain capital processes so I was wondering if you know Parks had done the same? SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, thank you Council Member for the question and we can look at the specific project that you mentioned and get back to you. I can tell you that the parks department in conjunction with the Administration has worked really hard on capital process reform and looking at every single element of that capital process for where we can achieve both savings, getting projects done quicker and more efficiently. It is, there's no doubt, a complicated process. It requires permits from other agencies that can sometimes take a long time but we're working really hard to do everything we can to make sure that that capital process is speeded up as much as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: No thank you. I think I'm asking this question to see you know the future even though you won't be here. If City Council could work with Parks in terms of getting this process you know

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 169 2 quicker, which I think will be beneficial for 3 everybody. 4 SUE DONOGHUE: We agree and would appreciate any and all help on that absolutely. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: And my second question is 6 7 about the Blue Bell project. I know that's 8 administered by DEP but the recent pull back of the funding from the Administration, do you see that impacting parks? 10 11 MATT DRURY: Federal impacts, you mean federal 12 impacts. COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Yes. 13 14 SUE DONOGHUE: So, we work very closely with DEP 15 on their Blue Bell program, absolutely. I couldn't 16 uhm answer right now in terms of the impacts on DEP's 17 program but at this point, we're not seeing impacts 18 from that but we can certainly you know circle with 19 DEP and get back to you on that. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Okay great, thank you. 21 SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay questions from Council 2.2 23 Member Brewer followed by Hanks. COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. Water 24 fountains, a hearing recently, I think it was a 25

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

handling that?

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 170 different topic but water fountains came up in the sense that a lot of them are broken. Either do you have like a database that's of the broken ones? How do you handle them? We obviously have some participatory budget, money that will go towards new ones but when I brought that up, there was a long list of uh oh we have so many broken. How are you

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and we were just talking about this. We have over 6,000 water activations that we will be committed to having done by the end of this week, an enormous, enormous job for our plumbers across the five boroughs. We do maintain a database. We do look carefully at where we're having challenges. A lot of times, the water fountain is broken. It's because of you know early freeze or pipes being broken but it is something that we take very seriously and if there's a specific one that you're referring to or a specific area, let us know and we'll definitely take a look at it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so no what you're saying is that you have a process now that you're fixing those.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 171
SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, so absolutely.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have a long list; I
will get it to you.
SUE DONOGHUE: Okay, thank you.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Bathrooms, I mean, I know
in the last hearing preliminary you talked about what
it Oregon or Portland or someplace like that?
SUE DONOGHUE: The Portland Loo, yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Whatever but there's
still a whole bunch of the ones that need renovation.
SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: \$13 million, \$5 million
and so on. Do we have a plan for that? I'm doing
the best I can but that's a lot of money for per
bathroom. What's the plan for that?
SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, absolutely are you saying
that \$13 million per bathroom?
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: One bathroom is \$13
million of Riverside Park yeah.
SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, so that's an exceptional,
that's a rare occurrence.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have \$6 million, \$9
million, \$5 million.

2.2

2.3

SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, yeah, our average price of a bathrooms is running about \$3.5 million. There are cases where either because of the utility lines or because of need to elevate or accessibility issues, they can be more expensive, absolutely but we have done a number of things to look at bringing down the prices of bathrooms. You referenced Portland Loo, the reason why we've explored other options like that is specifically to bring down the price of our bathroom. So, we've looked at things like prefab buildings, trailers, a number of different options so that to bring down the price and be able to do it more quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: In my case, they already exist so they're either - and sometimes they're landmarked. So, \$13 million is it and so I'm just saying where there are that kind of bathroom, I would love to have some discussion about what we can do.

We're all trying but -

SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Less than 5.9.

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, well within this year, we announced a Better Bathrooms Initiative. \$150 million that's going to renovating a huge number of

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 173 2 restrooms. It's creating - the number is 46 new ones 3 and renovating 36. It's 100 new bathrooms that we're doing with funding that was allocated by the Mayor's 4 5 Office. So, the Parks Department is the largest - it maintains the largest number of public bathrooms in 6 the city. Huge, huge responsibility that we take 7 seriously. Over 600 public bathrooms and as I said, 8 9 we have received funding and are going to be renovating or building an enormous number of new 10 11 ones. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay the [INAUDIBLE 13 03:41:43] bathroom is fabulous, just FYI. Finally, the question of the 79 Street Bow Basin, I know that 14 15 that's being renovated. Do you have the timing on 16 that and when it will be done? And then I would like 17 to see liveaboards return, people who live on their 18 boats. Is that something that you support? SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for the question and -19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Nate doesn't like them. 20 21 SUE DONOGHUE: Nate doesn't like them? 22 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No he doesn't. Nate 23 doesn't like them but I like them so I'm for the

24

liveaboards.

SUE DONOGHUE: We will take note of that and relay that. In terms of timeline for that there is uhm I know that let's see, there is \$90 million in Mayoral and FEMA funding has been allocated for full drudging and reconstruction with an anticipated 2028 reopening.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: 2028, okay thank you and then just quickly on playgrounds, you know I have a bill that says the sum of the school playgrounds that are not open now. We have great ones that are jointly operated, trust of public land. Is that something that you'll support? Obviously the money would be needed for the custodians at the schools but it does seem to me that what's another way to get more open space would be to open up some of those playgrounds. Is that something that you're supporting?

SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely Council Member. In fact, I was uhm, you know at the Parks Department during the Bloomberg Administration and the school yards to playgrounds was an important element of PlaNYC and we opened over 200 schools yards to playgrounds to the public.

2.2

2.2

I know first hand how beneficial they are to communities. It was in the Mayor's State of the City to open some additional school yards to playgrounds. We are all for capturing any and all public open space that we can to provide more opportunities for New Yorkers.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Do you have any funding allocated for that because obviously the cost is for the custodian.

SUE DONOGHUE: It's the maintenance cost exactly for opening and closing for the custodians. That does not go in our budget. That goes in DOE's budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: DOE, okay and then finally trees, I know that we have — you kindly answered that the funding is there for the trees, I understand that but is there some consideration, I know we might — there's a lot of concern about getting trees very far into the future because we can't ask for a tree now, it has to go per neighborhood. Is that something — is that going to save money or why is that — people are very upset about their tree, maybe like three generations from now or something.

2.2

2.3

SUE DONOGHUE: So, that is something that we've worked hard at is our neighborhood tree planting strategy and it's really about two things. It's about equity and it's about efficiency. It was the case that we were responding - when we were responding to individual tree planting requests, certain neighborhoods who knew how to do that would get those trees.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know how to do it.

SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, I know you do and your neighborhood may but other neighborhoods across the city maybe didn't have as much information. We would love that but this way you don't have to teach them. We are going to - we have a strategy in place whereby we're going to be determining based on heat vulnerability, where the trees are needed most. We want that urban canopy to expand across the five boroughs. We know it's critically important for peoples quality of life and so, it is both from an equity standpoint to make sure that every neighborhood is going to see new trees and then also for efficiency.

We want to make sure that our contractors are using the funding as efficiently as possible and

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 177 2 they're not running to many different locations. 3 They are going to be focusing on a full block strategy. It's how we do our pruning today, and what 4 it means is that an entire block will be planted and not only planted but we'll be removing tree stumps. 6 7 If there's an area that's not plantable, we'll fill it or we'll work with the community to figure out 8 what's best there. So, our new tree planting, neighborhood tree planting strategy is really about 10 11 equity and efficiency. COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And when is the final 12 13 date to have all these neighborhoods done? Do you 14 have one? 15 SUE DONOGHUE: It is every neighborhood will see 16 - every community board. The way that it's laid out 17 is every community board will see planting on a 18 cycle. 19 MATT DRURY: So, the overall timeframe. So, in nine years for the first time in the city's history, 20 21 get the funding the place and a plan in place to we will be planting trees in every viable spot under our 2.2 23 jurisdiction in a nine year timeframe. And then as

the Commissioner was just noting that some portion of

every community board will be receiving some trees

24

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 178 2 along that way throughout the course of the program, 3 roughly at a minimum every three years or so. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so every three 5 years people might see trees. MATT DRURY: In a given community district. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: If there's a nine year politically that does not work. 8 MATT DRURY: Well -SUE DONOGHUE: For the communities though and 10 11 making sure we're equitable in planting our trees, we're hoping it's workable. 12 13 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Policies versus equity. 14 Alright, thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Question from Hanks followed by Louis. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you Chair. Thank 18 you Commissioner Donoghue. We will definitely miss you. You've been an incredible partner. So, as you 19 know there's been a lot of controversy behind the 20 21 surrounding of the reopening of the roadway in Silver Lake Park in Staten Island and I know that these are 2.2 2.3 things that we've been back and forth in discussing. So as a compromise you know, we just want to confirm 24

for the record that the Parks Department will be

2 closing Silverlake Roadway on weekends and you know

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

commitment for sure.

3 we feel that restoring the roadway to its pre-COVID

4 use is a workable balance and we really appreciate

5 the Parks Department to be working with us on that

6 but when can we expect the weekend closures to begin?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for that question and for your partnership on this and so many issues. We very much understand the importance of closing on the weekends and it's something that we are absolutely working towards. I can give you that

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you. So, is there going to be any budgetary or personnel issues? You know we've been getting questions as to is it - will it be before this summer? Will it be after? We kind of want to dig into the timing of that or some sort of estimation.

SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, we're going to look to do
that as soon as absolutely feasible. You're right,
it's a resource issue on our part to be able to have
the staffing available in the mornings and in the
late evenings to facilitate that opening and closing
but with seasonal staffing coming onboard, we
anticipate that we'll be able to make that happen

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 180
sooner rather than later and as soon as we finalize

3 that we will be in touch for sure.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Louis followed by Paladino.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you Chair and

Commissioner Donoghue. It's been a pleasure working

with you and your team. Wishing you all the best in

your next endeavors. I'm sure you'll be around. Two

quick questions.

Regarding the Second Shift program and the additional 100 hot spots receiving Second Shift cleaning services, I wanted to know how are these sites selected and what public facing criteria are used and will Second Shift resources help cover maintenance shortfalls in high need areas such as East Flatbush and flatlands? And I have a quick question on capital improvements. Many capital projects in playgrounds like Tildon Playground and Scarts Field in Marine Park are left unaddressed for years due to needing a large scale capital project instead of incremental improvements. So, I wanted to know what mitigation or expedited procurement strategies are being considered to prevent prolonged

delays for routine but essential park upgrades in FY26?

SUE DONOGHUE: Okay thank you Council Member for your question. For the first part, in terms of our second shift sites, those are chosen. additional, now 100 additional sites were chosen based on two factors. One is, we have an extensive rating system. Our PIP ratings that is something that our team and our maintenance and operation folks review every single month and we look at those ratings very closely to see how different parks are performing. Where we have issues, where parks are falling behind. So, in picking out those 100 additional sites, we're looking and using those PIP ratings as well as anecdotal evidence from our staff on the ground to say you know, this is a very busy barbeque area. We're seeing it every weekend. can't keep up so it's that combination of anecdotal plus our PIP data that drove those decisions of the additional 100 sites. And then in terms of the capital work, you're absolutely right. We know that we have sites that it's not just a - it's not a quick fix, it's a capital project.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And as I said in my testimony, we now have a \$10.5 billion capital budget. It's extraordinary for the Parks Department and yet still not enough in some ways.

So, we are looking very closely as I said earlier at ways that we can expedite that capital process, working with City Hall, working with our sister agencies, working on things like expediting processes with DOB. Working with DEP. There's permitting. You know to move a capital project forward; it involves a myriad of different city agencies. So, this administration has been focused on this capital reform taskforce and we believe that you know every week, every month we can reduce that time frame. It's important so, working on how we can systematize or shorten the length of approval processes or those kind of things. So, we're very much laser focused on capital process reform but it still is we know can be can take a long time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: It will be good to know if East Flatbush and Flatlands, being they fall in high need areas, if they fall within the criteria of the PIP but we can have that conversation after.

SUE DONOGHUE: They absolutely do. Every single park in our system falls within our PIP reading system. The other thing that we have done is through our Vital Parks for All initiative. We have mapped every single asset in our parks system. So, every tree, basketball court, playground and that helps us to target and identify those areas of high need that haven't had investment or that need investment. So, looking at across the system, using data like that, we're able to pinpoint areas where we want to focus our capital.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARIAS: Thank you so much. Thank you Chairs.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Narcisse followed by Salaam.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Good afternoon and thank you for being here Commissioner. We appreciate you. We love Parks, you know the Chairs now. He loves Parks.

So, in the Mayor's Campaign, he was supposed to allocate one percent of the budget right? And if you get that \$500 million extra, what would you do?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Where would you allocate it? Which area of our needs that this money will go to?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you so much for the question and we would have no trouble allocating that money for sure Council Member and as I just mentioned with our Vital for All analysis that we did and with the data mapping, it helps us to target areas of high need, areas that have been under invested in. We are and want to be very, very specific in targeting our investments to areas that are most needed and we would use that data analysis to both target our resources. I mentioned the PIP ratings earlier, that helps us uncover areas that are consistently failing where we need to dedicate more staffing. You know with the 100, the additional 100 second shift sites, we were able to target areas of high needs, so we continue that work and put the resources where they're most needed.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: We're wishing, we're hoping and hopefully we get it. Tree pruning is one of the most common complaints my office has been receiving right. Residents regularly raise concerns about over grown or dangerous limbs, especially after storms. Do you believe the Department is being

adequately funded to meet it's annual pruning goals?

And how are you prioritizing neighborhoods like my

neighborhood where the need is especially high?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for the question. have really an outstanding team that's recognized across the country for our urban forestry management and we have - we follow a very specific tree risk management strategy and so, if there is a 311 complaint about a tree or concern about a tree, we have inspectors that go out and look at those trees and inspect those trees. And in terms of pruning, we are funded - our tree pruning is funded on a seven year cycle. It is the industry standard and so, the combination of our inhouse team, our inspectors and the procedures and processes we follow and the pruning contracts that we use, we feel like we are very much focused on tree risk management and addressing the areas of high need across the city, in your Council District and in districts across the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Where are we with the playground in Marine Park? You know I have to ask that question because everyone calling me for that.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUE DONOGHUE: The playground in Marine Park. If you tell me the name and the specifics, we will absolutely get you -

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: There is only one kids playground and we have it in Marine Park.

SUE DONOGHUE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: But I've been waiting for that forever. It was part of the project - it was a long time ago. So, I'm going to leave with that and I want to know if a park place property, they use for addressing the needs of gun violence or have a center in our community, what are the processes? What can you help me do? Because right now, I succeed area. I have a bunch of young folks standing there all the time. Gun violence is the highest in that area and I really want to plan something over there where we can get the kids off the street, so I'm looking forward to have that conversation. I know you wouldn't be able to answer all that today.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you but we're happy to have a follow up conversation on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you.

2.2

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, Council Member Salaam followed by Menin.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Thank you and good afternoon and thank you for the tremendous work that you've been doing and you know it has definitely been a pleasure to work with you as well. I have a question about the budget item with regards to Park Rangers and public safety.

Given the one shot nature of the \$4.1 million allocated for the 50 additional urban park rangers in Fiscal Year '26, what long term strategy does the Administration have to baseline and sustain these positions to ensure consistent park safety and programming, especially in under resourced communities like mine?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for your question and also, thank you for recognizing the critical importance of our rangers and our park staff. We work really hard and we have a very dedicated group of park rangers and PEP staff that is very focused on areas of high need and that's how we distribute. We try and equitably distribute our park enforcement patrol staff where they're needed most. We were very happy with uhm to know that we got the one shot

2.2

2.3

funding. This has been the case each year that these have been funded on a one shot basis. We understand their importance.

As I said earlier, it's also we feel it's very much a partnership with PD. We work very closely and hand and hand with PD to help ensure safety in our parks but we recognize the important role that they play, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Thank you and I wanted to ask about green infrastructure and climate resilience. With only \$690,000 in the federal funds allocated for green infrastructure storm water management, what is DPR's plan to scale up climate resilient efforts across the five boroughs, particularly in parks located in flood prone areas?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for the question and it's something that we think about in every single capital project. We have an enormous number of green infrastructure projects right now in construction. I think it's 65 different projects right now in construction that include green infrastructure elements. We work very closely with DEP on including green infrastructure and working together. I just came this morning from an enormous resiliency project

on the east side of Manhattan. I was at the - uhm a park, East River Park which is a \$1.4 billion resiliency project to make sure that we are protecting that neighborhood from the impacts of climate change. Really every single project we do, we're thinking about and we are measuring what is going to be the increase in impervious surface. How are we addressing - how are we making sure that we have the greenery, the trees, the infrastructure, the green infrastructure, the drainage that's going to help us deal with the impacts of climate change.

I can tell you that it is in every single project we do. We're thinking about the green infrastructure element. So, our funding that we have at present, that \$10 billion, over \$10 billion in capital funding, there are green infrastructure projects throughout that. So, we're not reliant on federal money for that. That is uh, you know imperative in all of our programs, in all of our projects that we are doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: And I just have one last question regarding upgrades to parks and specifically in my district. So, my team was able to go back and review many of the necessary upgrades to parks in my

district. To our surprise, many of the parks have received multiple upgrades with each Council Administration. Can you help me to understand why the longevity of these repairs are not sustainable? For example, currently Young Park or Jackie Robinson Park and [INAUDIBLE 04:00:34] Park?

SUE DONOGHUE: So, just so I understand the question. Why the renovations that have been done are not sustainable?

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Yes.

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: So, we work hard to make sure that our capital projects are done with care. We have good contractors but the reality is that our parks have such heavy usage and the maintenance, the ongoing usage of our parks can - they can easily be overwhelmed because of the intensity of use. And so, we work hard to make sure that we are maintaining and keeping up those sites that we've renovated but it is often the case or in some cases that just the intensity of use means that they do need to be refurbished or renovated, just because there are so many people using them and so many people outside, which is what we want but the intensity of these is quite enormous.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have Council Member Menin followed by Lee.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Thank you so much Chair and thank you Commissioner. Thank you for your service and wishing you the best in your next chapter.

So, I want to build it on questions that were asked by my colleagues about delays in capital construction. So, in my district, which ranks one of the lowest in terms of amount of park space, we've had real delays around Ruppert Park. You and I visited Ruppert and my concern is that DEP has now said that need a new stormwater pollution prevention plan. So, that is now causing an additional one year delay. Why aren't issues like that viewed on the front end rather than on the back end?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and it is a good one and that is something that we try really hard to look at and address but often times the reality is, until you're in construction, you just don't know what you're going to be dealing with and there is no doubt and as I said, a lot of the delays that we experience are

because of needing permits from other areas. The one you mentioned in terms of DEP is an important one and it can add time to projects and cost, so we work very closely - we've been working really closely with DEP to see ways that we can address some of those issues upfront, have additional funding in place for some of those issues that arise in construction but it is because these are often large multiagency projects that can be really - it can add delays.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: So, moving forward on projects like Ruppert and similar projects in other members districts, why not have an interagency taskforce from the beginning that is meeting, that is flagging these issues and every single agency should have to sign off immediately on the front end so that we don't have these kinds of delays?

SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely and we have as I said, there are capital reform process. We have looked at things like that. We actually have people they would deploy that are sitting at DEP to help to with the communication, help to identify you know problems early on. So, we're looking at all different ways that we can improve the process.

2.2

2.3

question, moving to swimming. I know you had a dialogue with Chair Krishnan about the lifeguard situation and so, my question is about when are we going to see a universal swim program for second graders? Which was mandated by the bill that the Council passed last year. We know obviously that you've allocated additional \$5.5 million to expand it for additional 4,800 second graders but it's still not the universal program that was required under the legislation.

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes and thank you for the question and thank you for recognizing the importance of our Swim for Life program. It's something that we have for decades been very focused on an appreciate your support of it and as we know - so thank you for also recognizing we did get you know the \$5 million in funding to be able to expand and that's really, that's what it is is that it requires funding both in order to be able to have the swim instructors and all of the support staff needed to be able to train second graders to swim and the facility to accommodate that swimming. So, we were pleased, we're now up to about \$18,000 you know students,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 194 2 individuals getting trained and swim in water safety but you know it is a matter of continuing to have the 3 4 funding in place to accommodate that. COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Okay, thank you. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, questions from 6 7 Council Member Restler followed by Paladino. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Surprised me for the 9 second time today. Thank you Chair Brannan and Chair Krishnan for your great leadership and Commissioner 10 11 Donoghue, I'm sorry to say that this is the last time 12 we get to give you a hard time but I am grateful for 13 your leadership. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: I know you're really going 14 15 to miss him, really. 16 SUE DONOGHUE: But I live in Brooklyn. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Is that too honest a 18 laugh? There we go, you got it, I mean especially when Shekar gives me a hard time. But I will take a 19 moment to just say, I think you've really done a 20 great job leading the Parks Department and my sense 21 2.2 is that despite some incredibly challenging dynamics 23 with the budget office, that you brought clarity emission, great values, smart leadership and 24

creativity to the department and I appreciate it and

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 195 2 I think my constituents do very much too but I do 3 think that you've been dealt a really tough hand over 4 these three plus years and you know I've talked to folks in Brooklyn Park that talked about never being this short staffed in decades, 40 plus years. 6 7 My impression is that the Parks Department is highly dependent on a seasonal part time workforce, 8 is that right? SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely, we do have a large 10 11 influx of staffing every season yes. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And what are you 13 anticipating or FY26 for your seasonal workforce? SUE DONOGHUE: Uhm, I believe that is - we're 14 15 expecting about 5,000 seasonal employees. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Got it and so when you 16 17 started in FY22, do you have those numbers? 18 SUE DONOGHUE: For our seasonal workforce, do we 19 have those? I think that number has been pretty steady overtime. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: My understanding is that 21 2.2 the part time workforce, which I think you define it. 2.3 The numbers that we're looking at are for FY26, 2774 versus FY22 at 4460. Does that in terms of Parks 24

headcount and I know headcount can be tracked in a

variety of different ways but the part time headcount is declined by 40 percent over the course of these four years, 38 percent?

SUE DONOGHUE: Yeah, we can absolutely get back to you on those numbers. There is fluctuation because there's our seasonal staffing then there's our Parks opportunity program staffing that does tend to fluctuate based on kind of incoming people into the program so you might see fluctuation there, but we can get back to you in terms of what that specific number was season to season.

me is to just we've seen a steady decline every single year of the Adams Administration from 4,460 going down to every year by significant numbers down to 2774 today. It just, it means that our parks don't have the staffing that is necessary to maintain the conditions that our communities deserve. And so, just you know what do you think is the necessary parks full time and part time headcount levels for us to be able to manage and maintain our parks at the levels that our communities expect and that I know the Parks department aspires to?

2.2

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and while I don't have an optimal number that I can give you, one I would say and you know your experience regularly we have an incredibly dedicated staff of workers which I so appreciate and people who give their all every day. There is no doubt as Commissioner, it would be great to see more staff and more resources but with the team that we have that's out there, they're doing everything they can to keep our parks clean and safe.

Box Street Park, one of the central commitments of the green point rezoning, we are apparently a handful, several million dollars short to fully fund the project as a result of some additional remediation work that needs to occur at the site.

Parks team has been great. We'd like to move funds around from a different park to fully fund this project and keep it moving and would love your support and assistance on that and if there's any time before you leave and the second - I lied, last actual question. Your team has worked closely with us on Columbus Park. We're hoping to have a significant allocation of resources in the upcoming

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 budget. You expect that that's a project that can 3 move forward with significant funding? SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for the question and I 4 know that is such an important project and a 5 beautiful design and I think advocacy is always 6 7 really important and the more voices at the table and 8 the more people advocating for it, the better potential and chance that it has. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But from the Parks 10 11 Department perspective if there's tens of millions of dollars allocated to it, that it's a project that 12 should move forward. 13 14 SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Terrific, thank you very 15 16 much. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Paladino. 17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Good afternoon 19 Commissioner and to echo the words of my colleagues, 20 you will be sorely missed and I hope that we 21 communicate into the future in some one way or 2.2 another, our paths cross again. 2.3 I have an Intro. in right now; Intro. 60 and it regards motorized vehicles being allowed in our 24 I personally don't believe that motorized 25 parks.

vehicles should be in our parks of any kind. So, I just want to put it forward to you and to the Parks Department. What can we do starting, I mean I want to get it into Committee. I want to get it on the floor. I want to get it voted on. These things are going at 30 miles an hour and they're a hazard. So, I just want your feedback on that if I can get it.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and appreciate your concern. We work really hard to uhm, through signage, through enforcement to make it clear that motorized vehicles are not allowed in our parks. We have an extensive signage program. We have worked hard through enforcement especially on our busy park drives where we have days where PEP, our Parks Enforcement Patrol is out with PD and you know stopping people, turning people away. There is also, the Mayor's Office has a micromobility taskforce that is looking at this because it's a huge concern that's been raised at the Mayor's Town Halls. We absolutely are concerned about the safety of people in our parks. And so, I understand your It's something that we are very focused on. Our PEP Officers are focused on and PD and we worked

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

hard to have it be that these types of motorized vehicles are not on our parks roads.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Thank you, we're doing the best we can. The most important thing now I think is just to make it against the law. To pass the law that just does not allow it. Alright, thank you very much.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Lee.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Hi, good afternoon. A few questions. I love talking about tree stumps. It's like one of my favorite topics and I know that \$2 million more was added to the budget but just wanting to get a sense of if that is enough. I will say no because I would imagine that that is a pretty you know labor intensive task. And so, just wondering in terms of the additional money received, sufficient, I would say not sufficient but then how much more would you need because I believe there is an estimated about 4,000 stump removals that are in the queue but I'm sure there's more than that too. So, just wanted to get a sense.

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes and thank you for the question Council Member. So, you're right. We were pleased

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with the one shot funding for stump removal, really important and then I would just go back to what I was mentioning earlier with our neighborhood tree planting approach. That is part of what is going to be beneficial about that is that it was the case it would just go on certain [INAUDIBLE 04:13:49] and just you know plant the trees now when we're on a block. We're not only going to be - we're going to be looking at the entire block, so not just planting trees but removing stumps that need to come out that have been there longstanding, filling - if there's a planted area that can no longer handle a tree, we'll be covering it or working with the community for what they want there. So, that combination of both the one shot and the neighborhood tree planting approach where we're going to be able to really be comprehensive in our approach and remove stumps is also going to help us get to that you know backlog of stumps, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay great and the pruning issue as well? I know that it's seven year. I know that a lot of folks in our district have said that they haven't had their trees pruned in more than seven years but I'm just trying to understand because

I would imagine also that that's a very hard position to fill and just wanting to know if it's a worker shortage issue even if you had - like if you had all the money to be able to do it would you have the staffing to be able to do it capacity wise I guess?

MATT DRURY: Yeah sure, so to clarify, so our block pruning program, which is sort of the preventative sort of cycle if you will of seven years is largely conducted by contractors, external entities. We have in house climbers and pruners that do like assessments and emergency work if you will. Sort of so, the Block Pruning Program is largely handled and if you're hearing one seventh, because we're on the seven year cycle. One seventh of every community board district gets inspected and if the inspection determines that pruning is necessary and warranted, then it takes place. So, I would suggest that it's probably most likely that it's unlikely that a tree hasn't - it may not have been pruned but in that case it would have been inspected and a determination would have been made that pruning in that case was either not warranted or would have been inadvisable for some reason.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

So, if there's specific addresses or constituents, we're happy to work with you and try to determine exactly what happened there but generally speaking, everything is getting kind of touched if you will at least once every seven years.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay and this includes these trees that are on city properties but are going into private properties, correct. Like maybe potentially poking into windows or roofs or potentially falling on you know the property right?

MATT DRURY: So, it's part of the tree risk
management sort of approach that the Commissioner
mentioned earlier, proximity to property is sort of
part of that calculus as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay and sorry, just two
more questions Chairs if that's okay. In terms of
the time it takes for the Parks projects to happen
and I think I may have asked this in a previous
hearing so forgive me for forgetting what the
response was but has - I know that some of the city
projects have been looking more into design build but
is that something that Parks Department has capacity
to also look into in terms of shortening and saving
money in timeline wise.

2.2

SUE DONOGHUE: Absolutely, we are working closely with DDC on a number of projects that are using the design build methodology. So, a number of our new rec centers are designed build. We are also working with DDC, as I said we are looking at all different ways that we can speed up the renovation or construction of public restrooms. We are going do a design build package of five or six public restrooms to pilot that. So, yes, we are working very closely and hand and hand with our sister agencies to see how we can incorporate that as another methodology for speeding up the capital process delivery.

Question is just sort of shifting gears a little bit because uhm in my capacity as Chair of the Mental Health Disabilities Addictions Committee, there was a hearing we had, which was interesting that talks about a lot of the high needle exchange drug use areas, which included some of the parks. And I didn't realize that there's actually a lot of the boxes that you have in the Parks Department to be able to allow for safe disposal of the needles. And just wanted to get a sense of how we could get more of those in certain zip code or certain park areas

2.2

that need it? And then also, just if you could speak to your working relationship with some of the OPC's because I know there's a lot of nonprofits that are working in the drug overdose prevention world that are doing amazing work in the community and have been working with PD and also hopefully with parks. And so, I just wanted to get a sense of how we can get more of those boxes, what the partnership looks like with the nonprofits and then also, if you all are receiving any of the opioid settlement funds to help with that. Because we're trying to make sure that that money goes to the areas that need it the most.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you Council Member for the question and we are painfully aware of the incidents of drug use and very focused on how we can address it in parks. We do have a number of kiosks, they have been primarily located at a number of parks in the Bronx, but we are open to discussion if there are areas that you'd like us to look at, that's something that we can do. We work very closely with the OPC's and have a very close partnership with them. In the kiosks that we have, especially in the Bronx, it's a joint effort. They will help empty the needles in those kiosks. They will help to pick up needles on

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 206 2 the ground, so it's very, very close partnership with 3 the OPC's. In terms of the opioid settlement money, I'm not 4 5 really sure about that. MATT DRURY: My recollection is that it's routed 6 7 through DOHMH. So, it is conceivable that in like a 8 trickle down kind of way that some of it has impacted these but I think we'd have to get clarity from you. COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: I would love to advocate to 10 11 give you guys more funding in a partnership setting 12 if you guys worked with the OPC's on that because I 13 think between you and the OPC's, everyone is really trying to do great work in the community out there, 14 15 so I would love to push for that. 16 And finally, I just wanted to say thank you 17 Commissioner. You will be very much missed and thank 18 you so much for your partnership and it's been wonderful working with you and your team. Well, 19 hopefully your team is not going anywhere. Yeah, 20 21 thank you so much. 2.2 SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Chair Krishnan. CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you. Commissioner, 24 there's a couple more questions on a couple specific

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 207 2 issues. We talked about capital projects before. I 3 just want to get a sense, in Flushing Meadows Corona Park, the Passarelle is obviously in a state of deep 4 5 disrepair. It's my understanding that there is capital funding in the budget for it and what's the 6 7 plan or is it on track for the work to be done soon? 8 SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, there is capital money in the 9 budget for it, absolutely Council Member and uhm, so that originally Passarelle was going to be uhm 10 11 completed and funding as part of the air train 12 initiative and that obviously changed and so, uhm, we 13 have been working closely with our sister agencies to figure out who could move forward on the project. 14 15 were very pleased that through our work with the Port 16 Authority, they addressed, so uhm you know the 17 project kind of came back to parks. We were pleased. 18 We worked with the Port Authority to address some of the outstanding flag issues and make sure that we're 19 addressing areas of concern and we're working with 20 21 sister agencies to determine a timeline for moving 2.2 the project forward. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Got it. Thank you and then another shift in topics entirely too to Hart 24

Island. So, jurisdiction of Hart Island was

transferred to the Parks Department. It's part of a 2019 law. The Parks Department is responsible for access for loved ones who are buried there. HRA is responsible for maintenance and burial operations. The FY26 budget, executive budget includes six positions and \$372,000 for operations. Additionally, the capital budget for 25-29 fiscal years includes \$7.6 million for various projects at Hart Island. My first question is what are the titles funded on Hart Island? Are there additional part time employees who work there too?

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you for the question. We are incredibly proud of the work we've done on Hart Island. It was something that was handed to us at the end of the last Administration. It was overseen by the Department of Corrections and the Parks

Department has really taken that on and in particular our rangers in a way that is just phenomenal. Our rangers facilitate tours - not tours, visits for loved ones from the island as you said, and then we also offer twice monthly tours for the public and I would encourage anybody in this room to sign up and go on one of those tours. The history that our

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rangers provide and the education about Hart Island is just phenomenal.

We've also worked closely with DDC to do work on the Island. There is a chapel that remains that we are stabilizing. It's like a room in place. It's a really, really phenomenal place to visit. In terms of the individual lines, we can get back to you. There are no part time staff, there's not a bathroom facility on the island. We don't have staff that is resident at this point on a part time basis on the island. We have the rangers who conduct tours. There's a Ferry operator who facilitates getting people to the island. We were pleased to get capital funding for some slope stabilization. There is along one side of the island, there is - we have challenges so our natural resources group is doing some slope stabilization. We are really pleased to get that funding but in general you know it really is a testament to the Parks Department and our urban park rangers, how they transform that into a beautiful place for people to visit.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And I have been there several years ago and it was a very meaningful visit too. So, what is the Parks Department plan longer

2 term with Hart Island? We're doing the work now.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Has the Parks Department given some thought to the longer vision for them?

SUE DONOGHUE: Yes, we've given an awful lot of thought to that. We actually have a conceptual plan that we are going to be soon releasing or maybe did release already Hart Island. Did we already? Are we going to be releasing that conceptual- we will be releasing. There's uh - we have a whole, our planning division has spent a huge amount of time looking at how we can reenvision access to the Island. We first did a transportation study because one of the big challenges is obviously getting there and then we know that we need to have facilities for the public as I said. So, we want to have some kind of visitor center with a public restroom. really important for peoples enjoyment of the site but it is and we certainly recognize as an agency it is a solemn and peaceful site. We want to be respectful of the fact that it is a public burial ground and so we anticipate that it will be available really through guided tours to be respectful of the families and the people that are buried there but it is also a beautiful place. So, we do have a plan

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 211 2 that we'll be releasing soon about how we envision further enhancing it for access to the public. 3 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: And do you have a sense of 4 5 when that plan is coming out? SUE DONOGHUE: It should be in the next couple of 6 7 months. 8 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Got it, thank you and then 9 finally does the Parks Department plan on permanently operating the island? Does it have plans to partner 10 11 with other agencies, transfer management to other 12 agencies or is it going to stay with the Parks 13 Department? SUE DONOGHUE: At this point and time unless 14 15 someone tells us otherwise, we are anticipating we 16 will continue to yes, manage it. 17 CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Got it. Thank you, those 18 are all my questions Chair. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Commissioner, Matt, thank 19 you both very much. It's been a pleasure working 20 with you. Look forward to working with you in the 21 2.2 next couple of weeks and uh, we'll get uh, hopefully 23 get some more money for your guys in the budget. SUE DONOGHUE: We appreciate that. Thank you so 24

25

much.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: Thank you. I just want to add again, thank you so much Commissioner. It's been a real pleasure and honor to partner with you in this work.

SUE DONOGHUE: Thank you. I appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we'll take a little

break and then we're going to hear from the public.

Uhm, yeah, we'll take a break and we'll hear from the

public in like ten minutes. [04:26:36] - [04:35:13].

[GAVEL] Okay, we're now going to open it up to public testimony. A reminder this is a government proceeding and decorum must be maintained at all times. Please remain silent while others are testifying. The witness table is reserved for those who are testifying only. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. You may not play audio or video recordings as testimony but you may submit a written transcript for the record. If you wish to speak today and you haven't signed up, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be called. Each speaker will have two minutes to testify on today's topics. Either the Department of Transportation or the Department of Parks and Recreations FY26

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 213 Executive Budgets. Written statements could also be

2.2

2.3

submitted to the Sergeant at Arms or emailed to testimony@council.nyc.gov. It has to get in within 72 hours of this hearing.

And now, I will call the first panel Richard Chow, Wain Chin, Adriano Averzano, Allison Langley, and Pasang Sherpa.

Okay, sure go ahead, say your name and you can begin.

RICHARD CHOW: Hello, good afternoon Chair and everyone. My name is Richard Chow. I am a member of New York Taxi Worker Alliance. I am a member. I am an owner/driver. I've been driving a taxi for 19 years.

My lender, before my loan payment was \$2,670 for a month. After 2021, we want debt forgiveness and a CV bank grantee, my payment is \$1,234 because of the we driver participated and 15 day strike. Our lives are much easier because we can pay on time the payment. We can bring more food to the table for my family. Now, my fellow driver, their loan lender is Medallion loan, financing their payments were \$500 per week. Some of months of five weeks, they paid \$2,500 per month. This is unfair and we want won the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 214 2 \$30,000 grant for the - but it's only \$6 million, it 3 cost \$6 million for loan forgiveness and allowed the transfer the money, medallion under the CV grantee as 4 soon as possible. Please keep the MRP program open. Give it to the driver. We want to repeat the sales 6 7 tax about \$3,900 for on a wave. Please add more money for the tips and bring back \$1.00 per trip to 8 the driver. Please help the driver and the CV also should 10 11 invest a lot of million dollar budget in the park 12 The CV should invest the medallion loan program.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much.

saving the driver lives. Thank you so much.

less than \$20 million settled for the medallion loan,

RICHARD CHOW: You're welcome.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Go ahead.

WAIN CHIN: Hi, good evening. Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Wain Chin. I am also a remember of New York Taxi Worker Alliance.

Today, I am speaking literally heavy hearted because last Sunday it will the 70 year anniversary of the passing of my dear friend Kenny Charles. He end his life jumping in the East River, not far from the Grizzley Mansion and he's the first victim of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 215 2 medallion debt crisis. So, since then [04:39:44] lower medallion, about 3,000 but we still had about 3 200 medallion [INAUDIBLE 04:39:55] because the 4 current lender refused to participate in the program. So, our union working very hard to secure the 6 7 funding for the new bank join the city program, MIB plus program. So, I ask the city to keep the MIB 8 Plus program and the older medallion loan restrictor and also recently the federal law required the city 10 11 to have 50 percent of the fleet to - we share 12 accessible vehicle, ADA compliance and those cars 13 cost about the better price of the regular taxi. uhm, I'm asking the city to keep the MIB Plus 14 15 programs because we're going to need the program for 16 the remaining so that we can purchase a new car. 17 DSE rule require all the new to be wheelchair 18 accessible. So, we need this program to continue and to loan 19 refinance and also, we as a city to wave the safe 20 test on the budgets of the vehicle. So, the cost of 21 2.2 the new vehicle. Thank you for allowing me to speak. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much. ALLISON LANGLEY: Good afternoon. My name is 24

Allison Langley; I'm a staff attorney at the New York

2.2

Taxi Workers Alliance. You'll hear more from my colleagues and our members about the demands related to the medallion relief program. Today, I'd like to focus my testimony on the wheelchair accessible vehicle mandate that yellow cab owner drivers are subject to. As a result of a 2014 settlement that the city entered into and a 2024 court order enforcing that settlement, 50 percent of the taxi fleet has to be wheelchair accessible vehicles.

And until that threshold is met, all medallion owners have to put wheelchair accessible vehicles or waves into service. The Taxi Workers Alliance supports this transition to an accessible fleet and the city has to ensure that this transition does not happen on the backs of owner drivers.

As Wain was explaining, Waves are twice as expensive as conventional vehicles and even though it was the city that entered into this settlement agreement, it's owners who have to pay the price for those vehicles. This doubling in expenses is coming at the tail end of decades of crisis. As you heard so compellingly from Wain, you know this has had a real life or death impact on this community. People have died because of the debt crisis and on top of

2.2

2.3

that there's the entry of Uber and Lyft, the COVID-19 crisis and as a result, taxi trips are just 26 percent of the level they were at in March 2014 which is when this settlement agreement was entered into.

The transition to an accessible fleet demands the same level of attention and care from the city and City Council that the Council is applying to the rest of the transportation infrastructure in the city.

So, we ask that the City Council first amend the city's sales tax to exempt wheelchair accessible vehicles that are purchased for use as taxi's. This will be a savings of nearly \$4,000 per vehicle and that money will go right back into the pockets of owner drivers and the cost to the city is extraordinarily minimal.

This year, for example, which is some back of math about how many vehicles are retiring, uhm, would cost the city around \$500,000 in lost revenue if they make this amendment. So, it's a common sense intervention. It has to happen. In addition, the city has to fund the taxi cab improvement fund. This is a fund set up in the wake of the 2014 Settlement to support owner drivers in the purchase and operation of waves. However, the funding has been

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

solely by drivers labor, as its funded only on a surcharge on each trip. The trips that driver's perform. Now of course, as you've seen the trip levels get decimated between 2014 and now, not only does that impact driver income but that also has impacted TIFF collections. So, TIFF is actually going to be insolvent in the next year or two.

And so that the Council has to support TIFF with more funding. The TLC has been trying to address this affordability crisis. Waves require bigger down payments because they're twice as expensive. Many owner drivers simply cannot afford that cost, so TLC rearranged the benefits to increase the upfront benefits drivers get from TIFF. However, to do that, they had to entirely get rid of, slash the \$1.00 per trip that drivers were receiving to operate a wave. That resulted in up to a \$3,000 loss in annual wages for drivers and that's just unacceptable, particularly in this moment of crisis and poverty. So, we ask that the City Council support us in these demands to support owner drivers in the same way that you have supported the rest of the transportation infrastructure in the city.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

ADRIANO AVERZANO: Hi, my name is Adriano

Averzano. I am a Native New Yorker, taxi driver

owner operator. I've been driving a taxi for 22

years. I also own my medallion, owner/operator. I'm

here to try to express my support for the MRP program

and extending the grant that the city would give for

the \$30,000 to restructure the loans.

Currently, you know my loan is \$2,500. If I can get into this program, it would cut my loan in half. It would also give me a lower interest rate. It would drop me about three points and it would give me the grant of \$30,000 towards the principle of my loan and it would greatly effect my monthly overhead and my quality of life. It would drop my payments about \$15,000 a year, which with the mounting costs and being beaten up financially over the years through the things that have happened and the city with Uber and the Waves and everything else, it would provide much, much, much needed assistance to my overall - not in my overall expenses monthly.

So, I implore you to try to extend the program for people like me who are at the tail end of this journey. The New York City Taxi Workers Alliance has been working tirelessly to try to raise the funding

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 220 2 and it seems like they're just at the tail end of 3 wrapping everything up for people like me. 4 that there's a discrepancy in the timeframe that was being allotted but again, I implore you to extend it to get the last maybe hundred drivers that are 6 7 greatly effected by this. You know it would greatly effect the quality of life of the drivers. I know 8 for me, it would be a major, major, major difference 9 you know. Uh, thank you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much. 12 Okay, thank you very much. 13 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, our next panel Carmen 14 15 De Leon, Dejon Williams (SP?), Marlena Giga, Joe 16 Puleo, and Ralph Basile or Basless (SP?), sorry, 17 Basilese (SP?). 18 Joe you could start. JOE PULEO: Alright, thank you. Thank you for 19 having me. Thank you Chair. Thank you City Council 20 people. My name is Joe Puleo for those of you who 21 2.2 don't know me. I am the President of Local 983. I 23 represent all of the City Seasonal Aides, the Urban Park Rangers, the PEP officers and the Associate Park 24

25

Service workers.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Okay, I'd like to begin by thanking those who have helped us throughout the years including this particular session but we are far from being where we should be. Our rangers are constantly, constantly, because they are not redlined or baselined as we say. They are in jeopardy and in one particular year, they were actually laid off. Okay, these rangers, which used to be in the hundreds, so now under 100. need the restoration. We need to keep them baselined. We need additions to what we have. provide services. They provide what's known as preventive maintenance in a sense that they teach our children about parks and how they are important and rather to destroy, they aid in the ability for them to get actively involved and actually support our parks in the future.

Our PEP officers are difficult to hire. They cannot do the demands and needs that the city has for them. A lot of these PEP officers are now riding by themselves and once the summer months come in, they are unable to even cover our parks. They are dedicated to beaches, to the pools, including recreation centers. They cannot perform the job that they are out there simply because there aren't enough

of them. And as for Associate Park Service Workers, the CDL drivers, they are equivalent to the Sanitation force in the city streets. They provide a service for our parks. We need more of them to as well and they are another title that is difficult to recruit because of what's happening in the outside market. CDL drivers are high in demand. The salary that these individuals get does not meet the market rate.

I can go on and on but I'll end it at that note.

Again, where we need more - you know this has been the worst that I've seen in 27 years where our numbers have dropped dramatically. So, I look forward in the near future for us to see that this is taken care of. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Joe.

MARLENA GIGA: Hi, thank you for having us. My name is Marlena Giga. I'm a Treasurer and PEP officer with over 20 years of services to New York City, born and raised in the Bronx.

The parks in New York City are vital to all communities, not just the parks like Brooklyn Bridge, Highline and Central Park that have private funding for employees and non-city employees to take care of

2.2

these parks. All New Yorkers deserve clean, safe, and public programs, not just the parks that can afford to pay for them. The seasonal staff, CSA position is vital to assist with maintenance and security in playgrounds, pools and beaches. The number of CSA's should be increased by 1,000. Park enforcement also does not rely on NYPD despite what the Commissioner stated. The number of PEP officers currently in the Bronx, which I verified while I was here, is 37 officers, not 70, which is 6,824 acres to patrol with 37 officers. And the fact that rangers, Council and Local 983 has to beg for funding for Park Rangers in New York City is a disgrace.

And last, the APSW title as the President said, is a hard to recruit title due to the CDL requirements and lack of vehicles which impact the cleanliness of parks and the quality of life for all. Please restore the budget for rangers, PEP, CSA, and APSW titles. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

DEJON WILLIAMS Good afternoon, my name is Dejon Williams, President of Local 299 representing recreation workers. My members include individuals who care for the children of the city. Some adults

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 224 2 and also seniors, we create programs and provide activities for various individuals. Shortages in 3 staff, programming and facility hours are some of the 4 main concerns with the funding cuts. There are currently seven recreation centers closed. 6 7 those recreation centers are the only indoor pools above 96th Street for the Parks Department, which 8 limits - which eliminates, excuse me, the activity of swimming above 96 without traveling more than a mile 10 11 and a half for the next local indoor recreation 12 center pool. 13 My members are largely a part of the individuals who are one shot financial jobs. They are summer 14 15 program workers and their jobs are not confirmed 16 until the budget is confirmed. So, we have approximately 300 staffers seasonally in the summer 17 18 who have to wait, possibly working and getting rehired due to the financial crisis. Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much. 20 Thank you very much. Okay, now we have Suhali 21 2.2 Mendez, Bhairavi Desai, and Dorothy Leconte. 23 UNIDENTIFIED: Can I begin? CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Sure, which ever way you 24

25

want.

2.2

2.3

SUHALI MENDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is

Suhali Mendez. I am the Policy and Legislative

Coordinator at New York Lawyers for the Public

Interest and I'm going to discuss things on the

transportation front. So, the one thing I want to

bring up is the Green Rides Initiative. We urge the

Council to encourage city taxi and limousine

Commission to meet with the MTA to determine

congestion pricing can be utilized to assist TLC and

carrying out the Green Rides Initiative which

requires all high volume for hire vehicles, including

Uber and Lyft to be either wheelchair accessible or

zero emissions by 2030.

Further NYLPI recommends that this Council urges
TLC to amend and enhance its Green Rides Initiative
to require that all HVFHV's to be wheelchair
accessible and zero emission by 2030. By permitting
industry to choose between wheelchair accessible
vehicles and zero emission vehicles, the
transportation needs of the disability community will
be at risk.

Through wheelchair accessibility vehicles, zero emission are not currently available in the United States. The city can harness this immense market

2 power to influence changes in this regard. TLC must

3 consult with vehicle manufacturers to encourage the

4 design of a marketable wheelchair accessible vehicle,

5 | zero emission vehicle.

2.2

2.3

Uhm, I realize I don't have much time so additional information will be provided in testimony including Fair Fares, Access A Ride, and other recommendations. I thank you for your time and allowing me to provide testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much. Go ahead, make sure your mic is on.

DOROTHY LECONTE: Okay, my name is Dorothy

Leconte. I am a Taxi Alliance Member and since 2019,
we've been fighting for the debt forgiveness. I
understand a few, many drivers got the debt

forgiveness. Their life is better and I've been one
of the ones in the front wanting to fight to make
everybody's life better, including me but I find
myself in the bubble now. My mortgage for the
medallion is \$2,000 starting next, this month. I
mean the first of June and the expenses that we have
and I will appreciate if Taxi Limousine will extend
the program that Department 6,000 yellow cab
owner/driver to be in and some of us are not

2.2

participate. It's not that we're not participate, we don't get the chance.

So, what I'm asking for taxi limousine to continue extending the program for us including me because this is very hard. I'm working six days and I make my payment every month. I never miss one payment. So, that's also really very much a burden on me because expenses now, everything is expensive and the taxi is the priority for me. I cannot afford to loose the yellow cab because this is all I have after I lost all my retirement and I'm trying to keep it on. So, please, I'm asking for the help to see if you can extend the forgiveness for me and I could continue going my life. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Good afternoon. My name is
Bhairavi Desai; I am the Executive Director of the
New York Taxi Workers Alliance. It's an honor to
follow my sister Dorothy Leconte, who has served the
City of New York for over 38 years as a yellow cab
driver. And as Dorothy and as many of our members
spoke earlier, the debt that they have been under is
crushing. The city found a solution after 45 day
occupation of city hall and including a 15 day hunger

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

strike, the city agreed to be the guarantor on these
loans through a program called the Medallion Relief
Program.

Many lenders have already participated with a you know ground breaking \$475 million in forgiveness. However, we estimate that there are about 200 loans that remain. That's it. We're just talking about you know maybe 200, 215 loans that remain but for each of these loans, they represent families that have truly in a crisis struggle because the debts that they are paying off are just crushing. Their existing lenders for the majority of them did not want to enter into the program. So, our union, the Taxi Workers Alliance, we've been working over the last three years to bring new lenders into the industry that would offer to loan the owner/drivers enough money to make a cash settlement with their current lender. And then the new loan would be put into the City's Medallion Relief program. However, the TLC has testified that the program came to an end in December, which means that these loans would not be eligible for a \$30,000 grant or the city backed guarantee and without these two programs, we will not be able to get these loans restructured.

2.2

2.3

Nobody should be left behind. 200 loans, we are so close to the finish line. Why would we not cross this finish line for every single family? They all deserve this. Please advocate with the city that this program must remain open until every final loan you know is restructured under the Medallion Relief program.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Chair Brooks-Powers.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you all for the testimony and just a follow up question, just to understand the remaining 200 loans.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Hmm, hmm.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Do we know that those existing ones are as a result the program ending or if those potential applicants did not apply and may not want to apply because as you know in some of the hearings we've asked this and we're trying to get a clearer picture as to, because I know some of the drivers have chosen not to apply. So, I just wanted clarity on that.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: So, first it's up to their current lender. So whether or not the lender is agreeable to restructure the loan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Right and some of them are not participants, right?

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Right but the drivers want to participate and so, because some of these lenders refuse to participate, they have, however, been willing to make cash settlements. So, if you give them \$200,000, they will forgive the rest of the debt. And so, our union has been trying to raise so the city will give \$30,000 from this program. We've been working with banks to raise the remaining \$170,000. So, we can offer that to these lenders and then be done with that debt and we're really close to the finish line. You know Chairman Doe had given us really up until December of last year, we were not able to raise all the funds that we need but we continue to work on it and you know frankly speaking, we've been doing that work all on our own without the support of anybody at the city and all we're asking for from the city is a little bit more time for us to you know cross the finish line.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that and let's connect offline to see how we can be able to be more supportive in that area.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Wonderful, thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much for your testimony. Okay next panel we have Morgan Monaco, Heather Lubov, Adam Ganser, Alia Soomro and Emily Walker.

Hi Morgan, you want to begin?

MORGAN MONACO: Sure. Good afternoon, I'm Morgan Monaco, President of the Prospect Park Alliance. A nonprofit organization that operates Brooklyn's Flagship Park in partnership with the city. I'm also a Co-Chair of the parks and open spaces partners, a citywide network of more than 50 nonprofit organizations dedicated to stewarding and programming New York City's green and open spaces. Together, we share a vision of an equitable and resilient park system for all New Yorkers.

I'd like to thank the Council for holding today's hearing and for joining us in our fight to protect the Parks Department budget. While we thank the Administration for providing nearly \$19 million in funding as part of the FY26 budget. We encourage the Administration and the Council to keep pushing farther to really ensure that our parks are funded in the way that New Yorkers deserve.

The alliance is a proud member of the Play Fair
Campaigns restore the Parks Budget and ensure there's
an additional \$65 million investment to strengthen
our parks system and restore almost 800 lost
positions. These roles are critical to ensuring that
our parks remain clean, safe and welcoming spaces for
our communities. We are also urging the city to
baseline this funding to prevent the yearly budget
cuts that undermine our park system.

Prospect Park is truly a public, private

partnership. We rely on the city to help with day to
day trash pickup and facility maintenance. This

essential service is core to making Prospect Park a

welcoming and accessible space for the diverse

communities of Brooklyn. Our parks are not luxuries,

they are essential community spaces where people who

don't go upstate or to the Hamptons have Father's Day

barbeques or see free theater or public art. The

impacts of cutting parks are not just about the hard

working staff but about the people who call New York

City home. Our parks are the destinations people

come to in moments of job and in moments in sorrow or

protest.

2.2

During this political environment when our democracy is being tested. Our parks are the great equalizers of the city and are one of the few remaining free open and democratic spaces and we need them now more than ever. Since the pandemic, visitors shift to parks has increased significantly but at the same time the city has not kept up with its record, use and funding of parks for the city budget.

We implore the city to not only increase funding for parks but also move beyond cycle to cycle funding model in baselining this additional funding, therefore securing jobs for New Yorkers and living up to our mission as being the greatest city in the world with a clean, safe and resilient accessible park system. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Go ahead.

ADAM GANSER: Hi there. My name is Adam Ganser. I'm the Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks. We along with the Legal Conservation Voters and DC37 founded the Play Fair For Parks Coalition, which now numbers more than 400 organizations. Thank you Chair Brannan and Chair Krishnan for the ability - uh the opportunity to speak today.

2.2

Under normal circumstances, I would install the broad value of our city's parks and park system. The public health benefits. How they mitigate heat and flooding. Their outsize economic impact. Instead, we're talking about basic services, keeping our parks safe and clean.

I'll read a headline from the New York Times this morning about St Mary's Parks. It's the largest public park in the South Bronx that was once a refuge in a neighborhood marked by poverty and neglect. Now many residents actively avoid it. This is true throughout the city. This is not the city New Yorkers deserve and it is directly a result of repeated budget cuts over the last three years for the Parks Department. In the last three years, we heard the Commissioner note that the net loss of positions is roughly 700. I'd like to add that to 701 as I fear the Commissioner herself is leaving because of the lack of funding for parks and a lack of support from the Administration.

The PEG cuts, the hiring freezes, the eliminated programs. This has been ongoing. The agency has been decimated. The Administration's executive budget does not restore these losses. We sincerely

2.2

2.3

appreciate the City Council's strong position to mandate \$65 million be added to the Parks Department Budget to restore the core staffing and simply make our parks safe and clean.

That's where we are. No grand vision, just core services. The agency needs PEP officers so New Yorkers can feel safe in their parks, forestry, natural areas staff, programming staff to provide recreational activities for New Yorkers to children and we need these positions to be baselined so the dedicated park workers who fill these positions could count on jobs year after year.

We've seen libraries get their budgets fully restored and we've seen the same for culturals. Now is the time for our parks and we're counting on the Council to remain firm in this budget negotiation and we are very, very grateful for your support. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Go ahead.

HEATHER LUBOV: Hi, thanks Chair Brannan,

Krishnan, and Brooks-Powers. Oh, she left, sorry.

I'm Heather Lubov. I'm the Executive Director of

City Parks Foundation and also a proud member of the

Play Fair Coalition. I'm here today because the

2.2

Mayor's Executive Budget fails New York City Parks and the New Yorkers who rely on them. City Parks foundations programs rely on both private fundraising and also generous discretionary funding from City Council Members to reach neighborhoods that need those programs most but even with this backing, our programs are directly effected by the city's overall disinvestment in parks.

When park maintenance and security are understaffed, we face dirty and unsafe conditions, shuttered restrooms, delayed event permits and missed opportunities for thousands of children and seniors. The majority of whom live in environmental justice areas. The budget crisis is not abstract for us. It is tangible, immediate and exhausting. Every week our Partnerships for Parks team, which has suffered from vacancies and the inability to hire any external candidates to fill these positions is out in neighborhoods across the city, supporting local volunteers who are determined to make their parks cleaner, safer and more welcoming.

Crucial funding through the Citywide Parks Equity
Initiative is what allows us to offer year around
coaching, leadership development and seed grants to

2.2

nearly 600 community groups. Increased funding for parks is not optional. It's the difference between an open space that languishes and one that becomes a true community anchor. Volunteers are not a substitute for adequate staff. Without a significant increase in the budget, we simply cannot meet the surging needs created by this ongoing disinvestment.

We've done what we can, raising private dollars and redistributing that money through the New York City Green Fund, supporting volunteers and offering free programs, even serving as a fiscal sponsor for other private funds to support the city. Everyone is stepping up except the Mayor. Parks are not a luxury and they are not spaces we can take for granted. It's time to fund our parks like the essential public service they truly are.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Agree, thank you.

ALIA SOOMRO: Good afternoon. My name is Alia Soomro and I am the Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters. Thank you, Chairs Brannan, Krishnan and Brooks-Powers. I've submitted longer written testimony.

First off, we really appreciate the City
Council's FY26 Preliminary Budget response calling

for \$65.7 million for the Parks Department. That would restore and baseline over 600 jobs.

As a Play Fair member, we will continue to push the coalitions goal of a \$79.7 million restoration in FY26 and that would restore 795 critical New York City Parks positions. With that said, following several years of cuts to New York City Parks and no restorations to the staff and programs, the Mayor's FY26 Executive Budget once again under resources the staff and stewards of our city's parks. While the Mayor continuously celebrated baselining positions in his executive budget speech, New York City Parks was not included. New York City Parks has lost almost 800 critical positions. The city's parks workforce is operating at a historic low, which means more trash, longer cleanup times, less frequent tree maintenance. I can go on and on. Fully restoring these lost positions will create good paying union jobs that support working families.

So, as a member of the Play Fair For Parks

Coalition as well as the Forest For All Coalition,

NYLCV is calling for restoring \$79.7 million to DPR

and committing \$1 million for a robust multiagency

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

planning process for the New York City Urban Forest

If we want to invest in our city's quality of life and safety and prepare for the climate crisis, which is here right now, now is the time to restore our city's parks funding. We stand with the Play Fair Coalition and Forest For All calling for the full restoration and we look forward to working with you. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

EMILY WALKER: Good afternoon. My name is Emily Walker and I am the Senior Manager of External Affairs at the Natural Areas Conservancy. We're a proud member of the Play Fair and Forest For All NYC Coalitions. Thank you to Chair Brannan and Chair Krishnan for the opportunity to speak today.

We want to start by thanking the City Council for calling for restored funding for our natural areas staff in your Preliminary Budget response. We also thank Mayor Adams for adding a \$3 million one shot allocation for natural areas into the FY26 Executive Budget. This is a meaningful investment in the care of our natural areas; however, we urgently ask that

2.2

2.2

2.3

this funding be made permanent and baselined in order to be effective.

In the FY25 budget, we lost 51 critical forest management positions and we are concerned that a one year restoration of funding will continue to leave us in an uncertain cycle of inadequate staffing and management in our natural areas.

In a city of seven million trees, five million of them are located within our natural forested areas. The Mayor's decision to eliminate funding last year for forest management staff has drastically reduced the capacity of the city to meaningfully manage and address challenges in our forests, making them more susceptible to increasingly urgent concerns, like the hundreds of brush fires that occurred in our parks last fall.

Last summer, the NAC conducted its first ecological assessment of forested natural areas in ten years and we found troubling signs of degrading forest health and quality across the city. Our research found invasive vines present in 92 percent of the forest plots that our researchers visited and also found that our forest midstory is showing a decline in the number of native tree species.

Both of these data points indicate that forestry generation is suffering in our natural areas. The vast presence of vines is also a reflection of insufficient staffing to care for our forests. With more permanent on the ground staff for forest care, NYC Parks would better be able to manage this threat to our trees.

Again, while we are thankful to see the funding at least partially restored in the FY26 Executive Budget, we believe we should end the budget dance for our natural areas and parks once and for all. We urgently ask for all one shot allocations to be made permanent to provide consistent year over year support for our city's precious and increasing fragile natural areas.

The ability to have more dedicated full time staff for NYC Parks to care for our forest and wetlands would allow us to better protect what we have before they disappear from us forever. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Morgan, quick question. Has Prospect Park fully recovered after the fires?

2.2

2.3

MORGAN MONACO: Thank you for the question. We are in the process of recovery. We had a wonderful outpouring of support following the fire and our natural areas restoration team have installed soil fabric to stabilize the hillside and we've seeded the area, so we are seeing some regeneration happening but it will take several years because we did lose some mature trees for us to fully regrow the forest that we have but we are really appreciative of New Yorkers outpouring of support for our forest.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thanks everybody. Thanks for all you do. Okay, our next panel Chelten

Leggett, Aresh Javadi, Merritt Birnbaum, Kaitlin

Krause, Gabrielle Perez.

CHAIRPERSON KRISHNAN: You can begin.

Thank you Council Member Krishnan and Council
Member Brannan. My name is Merritt Birnbaum. I'm
the President and CEO of Riverside Park Conservancy.
We work in partnership with NYC Parks to care for 400
acres of public parkland that's spread across six
miles from West 59th Street to West 181st Street in
Manhattan. I'm here again because this is a
screaming moment for NYC Parks. Our parks are the
lungs of the city and they are being deprived of

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE oxygen. Again, the city budget fails to make simple restorations that would make our park system functional and able to deliver exponential benefit for the lives of every day New Yorkers. I want to address the fact that the Mayor touted the creation of a Second Shift. This program is a new need. It is not a restoration of previous staffing levels. So, just so we're clear, it's wonderful that certain areas of the system will get this later crew so that some bathrooms can stay open after 3:30 and some trash cans can get emptied later in the day but this is not a solution to the crisis of understaffing in our parks. This program will not make our parks greener and safer and cleaner in the long term in a sustainable way. It's really just scratching the service of what's needed. What is needed is 795 restored and baselined positions citywide, period. Our lawns are overgrown. Our trees are dangerously unpruned; our trash is piling up and really what I wanted to read was a comment that our district received from a community member. Why are the sinkholes still here? I can't remember them not being here. Why is there no cleanup? The mess of sweet gumball seed pods are all over the place and on

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 244 more than one occasion, I have almost fallen. Leaf debris from the fall season remains. Why are there not better trash receptacles? Not only are they not large enough but they can't accommodate pizza boxes and they are not rodent proof. The pavement is uneven and dangerous in numerous locations. benches are gross. See if you would like to sit on one of these benches that are overgrown with moss. Not sure how tan pants would look following a lunch break. This is what it looks like when you cut off maintenance staff. When you cut city park workers. When you make it so that a park can't even be a place where you enjoy lunch on a bench under a tree.

This is why we need to fund the basics of our park system. Thank you.

GABRIELLE PEREZ: Hello, hi my name is Gabby

Perez. I'm coming here representing another

organization that's in the Play Fair Coalition and

the Forest For All NYC Coalition called the Bronx is

Blooming. We do so much work in Parks throughout the

Bronx. We are in Soundview Park every single

Saturday. We're in St Mary's Park every other

Friday. We're in Crotona Park every other Friday.

The list goes on and on. We care for about 12 parks

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 245 mostly in the South Bronx and I've been doing this work since 2019 and I, you know I see first hand how much it takes. We're a small team but we hire seasonally about 100 SYEP young people to join us in the summer and it just, it takes a lot. We do the best we can. We mulch the young trees. We weed in the garden beds. We remove invasive species. clean up trash but without you know adequate funding of one percent you know from the city budget hopefully or hopefully even more. It will just constantly feel like filling a bucket with you know holes in it and we just - I'm just here to echo everybody in saying that we need to show love to our parks and I'm specifically you know thinking about Parks here in the Bronx that - not here in the Bronx but Parks in the Bronx that don't you know have conservancies and a lot of private funding and stuff like that and also, just like on a personal note, you know these parks are - you know people have said it before but like this is where life happens. This is where family reunions are had. This is where I grew up you know playing in Maloley Park - oh but now it's called Wendell T., Reverend Wendell T. Foster Park and now I take care of that park and it's beautiful

2.2

you know to have that - this relationship with parks and I feel like I'm rambling now but yeah, just I'm here to support more funding for the parks as well.

Thank you Chair Krishnan. I really commend your love and it comes through of how much work you've done in supporting the Parks. So, thank you very, very much and also Chair Brannan, wherever you are. Thank you for holding this. Also, I just wanted to thank all of the folks who are behind the scenes. Security, tech and all the folks who are still here, as well as all of the attendants that have really love what we're here for.

am the Director of More Gardens Fund and I'm also part of the Forest For All Coalition. We are a grassroot organization dedicated to ecological justice, cultural celebration and the permanent protection of New York City's community gardens.

Since 1998, we have partnered with the residents to preserve over 500 green spaces, especially in underserved Black, Brown and immigrant communities through living structures, native plantings, youth education programs, direct action and community led art installations, we work to transform asphalt heavy

neighborhoods into vibrant sanctuaries of shade, food, learning, and joy.

2.2

We are as we said .6 percent. Boston is like one,
Chicago is 1.7, San Francisco is 2 percent. We need
to be above these other cities. I know people say 1
percent but we should be like 2.5 percent. Something
really like that stands out and protects all of our
parks.

So, we're More Gardens so we want more, not just 1 percent but 2.5 percent. Equity in green spaces is one of the most important things in the community gardens and especially in park deficient neighborhoods where people of color deserve green, safe, vibrant spaces to gather, heal and thrive. And that's exactly what community gardens do. Urban heat and climate justice, gardens cool down overheated blocks and absorb stormwater. Willow structures and tree canopy provide shade and beauty while improving air quality. Youth education and leadership, our free programs teach environmental science, food justice and art to youth.

Gardens are open air classrooms and platform for leadership, public health and mental wellness.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 Community gardens reduce stress, support healing and offer access to healthy food and herbal medicine. 3 They are sanctuaries in the heart of the city, 4 cultural vitality and community pride. Our gardens 5 host festivals rooted in Ryan Diaspora after craving 6 7 traditions and indigenous practices. These spaces build joy, connection and belongings, grassroot 8 stewardship save cities money. Thousands of 9 volunteer hours care for these public spaces. 10 11 Modest funding multiplies impact by supporting 12 the people already doing the work. Safer, more beautiful streets. Gardens transfer vacant lots into 13 sanctuaries reducing dumping, crime and blight. 14 15 So, as I said, we do need a lot more funding for the parks and we also need permanent protection for 16 17 the community gardens. We also want the parks and 18 the City Council to kind of work together with housing. You know there's so much housing, housing, 19 housing, housing but for every 99 units of housing, 20 there should be a community garden mandated, 21 2.2 especially on city owned land. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

ARESH JAVADI: Yes and this way we can create

thousands more community gardens that are desperately

24

25

needed. And again, expanding gardens into open streets, greenways and plazas, funding coordination and youth gardens and legalizing and protecting all community gardens as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much.

ARESH JAVADI: Yup.

2.2

2.3

MEAITLIN KRAUSE: Good afternoon City Council and members of the Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Kaitlin Krause and I am the Founder and Executive Director of Rising Tide Effect. I'm also the Vice Chair of the Water Safety Coalition. I'm here today to stand firmly on the fact that continued cuts and chronic underfunding of the Parks Department are putting lives at risk. Water safety is not optional, it's essential and right now New York City is ultimately failing to meet this moment in public health and safety.

The Parks Department is one of our frontlines of defense when it comes to drowning prevention yet year after year, it's being asked to do more with less.

These budget restraints leave it understaffed, under resourced and unable to modernize or meet the scale of the need. The consequences of these decisions are

2.2

2.3

not abstract. They show up in empty lifeguard chairs. They show up in canceled swim programs or minimal available spots in classes and most tragically they show up on the rising number of New Yorkers, especially our children lost to drowning.

Now let's be clear, this is preventable. We're not waiting for a cure. We know what works. Cities and countries that invest in water safety education in aquatics, in staffing and public awareness, have seen drowning rates decline. But here in the city surrounded by water, we've allowed the infrastructure that could save lives to a road.

New York City's beaches are often the only refuge for many New York families during the summer heat but when those beaches are left without lifeguards, when the Parks Department doesn't have the staffing or training pipeline, we're essentially saying, you're on your own.

That's unacceptable. Every drowning is a tragedy but even more so when we know it could have been prevented. The Parks Department should not be fighting for scraps stuck in a perpetual state of survival mode. As we continue to face climate challenge, the climate changes and increased storm

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 surges, this is not a seasonal issue. This is a year 3 around public safety imperative that the Administration and future Administrations must take 4 seriously. I urge the Committee and this Administration to restore and expand funding to the 6 7 parks, not only to maintain our vital green spaces btu to modernize our city's approach to water safety 8 and protect the lives of New Yorkers. Our children, our families, and our future deserve nothing less. 10 11 Thank you for your time. 12 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Thank you all 13 very much. Okay our next panel is John Surico, Constance Lesold, Giulietta Fiore, Suhali Mendez, 14 15 Ashely Kibria. 16 JOHN SURICO: Good afternoon. I'm John Surico, 17 the Senior Fellow for Climate and Opportunity at the 18 Center for an Urban Future. An independent think tank focused on creating a stronger and more 19 inclusive economy in New York. Thank you for Chair 20 Krishnan and members of the Committee for the 21 2.2 opportunity to testify today. 2.3 For decades, New York City has struggled to provide sufficient funding to pay for its parks and 24

open spaces. The center was glad to see partial

25

2.2

2.3

funding restored in this years executive budget but if history is any guide, that reprieve is only temporary. To address the systems growing needs, this never ending budget dance must end and policy makers here can help do that by pursuing new, innovative ideas for dedicated parks revenue.

In January 2024, the Center outlined 20 specific achievable ideas to do exactly that from harnessing private development in parks carbon absorbing powers to expanding public private partnerships and pilots that monetize waste streams.

In this year, we published two action briefs for two ideas in particular. The first was our report in January outlining scenarios for implementing a ticket surcharge dedicated to park maintenance. Our research found that just a one percent fee or \$1.20 on average placed on tickets sold at stadiums located on park land, like City Field and Arthur Ash, could raise about \$11 million annually but would require a push both here and in Albany from local ears to make that happen.

The second report in April called on the city to launch 20 new destination worthy concessions over the next three years. We found that this effort could

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

York problem.

generate \$10 million or more in recurring operating dollars. Enough to hire 100 skilled gardeners, foresters and other full time maintenance staff and it's one that City Hall could put into place tomorrow. Money made in parks must stay in parks and the fact that it often doesn't is a true, only in New

To that end, the City Council could create a Parks Maintenance Fund to capture revenue allocated through future lease agreements with profit making entities on park land. Additionally, in neighborhoods that lack a conservancy, the city could work with a group of trusted partners to receive new funding streams and dedicated to local care with clear guidance laid out in license agreements. An 80/20 split where revenue mostly stays in the park, it's made in with a portion of going to underserved parks, unable to handle a new concession or event would help bolster parks equity.

The center commends the City Council for consistently championing parks and open space.

Thanks also to Chair Krishnan for always his thoughtful consideration of the ideas we continue to put forth publicly. By getting creative about

generating dedicated new revenues for parks, city leaders can deliver the healthy vibrant parks and open spaces that New Yorkers deserve. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

GIULIETTA FIORE: Good afternoon. Thank you so much for this opportunity to testify. My name is Giulietta Fiore, I'm the Executive Director of the Historic House Trust of New York City. We are an organization that preserves and promotes 23 historic houses that operate as museums and community spaces in public parks across all five boroughs of New York City. These sites are unique but also indicative of the cultural role that parks play in the lives of every day New Yorkers. The city must invest in our beloved community spaces today to ensure that they have a future tomorrow. We have a vision for a future with well maintained and vibrant community spaces citywide, not just in Manhattan and a future with places made for the people who live here.

We are joining organizations and individuals today to advocate for full restoration of the New York City Parks in the FY26 budget. Too many parks need maintenance support and there are just simply

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

2.2

2.3

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 255 are not enough park staff to assist. The nuances of government and politics get lost in the reality that many New Yorkers experience in their local parks daily of unusable bathrooms, overgrown lawns, deteriorating conditions. This reality is unfair to parkees who are in the field every day hearing from their neighbors and it's unfair to those who rely on these parks as critical city infrastructure. And I just want to speak a little bit kind of off of my testimony today. I was in Rufus King Park yesterday visiting one of the historic house museums, the King Manor Museum in Jamaica Queens. Beautiful Museum, if you haven't been, you should definitely visit.

But I was sitting there with the museum staff on the porch and we had a carafe of coffee that we were sharing and at least a dozen people come up to us within that hour. They wanted to know about the museum. They wanted to visit. They were just thrilled that they had such a gem in their neighborhood and then they also told us of their grievances. You know we shared coffee with them and we were a friendly face that they could talk to and they said, I don't understand why we have these trash cans. I don't understand why they're overflowing.

2.2

2.3

This bathroom isn't usable and it's very clear that there was frustration. They have a lot of pride for their park and they really love their park and this isn't just isolated to Rufus King Park, which is a beautiful park. It's happening across all five boroughs and I really hope that we can continue to advocate to get one percent of the city budget for the Parks Department. Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Just make sure your mics on.

ASHELY KIBRIA: Good afternoon Council. Thank
you so much for the opportunity for me to speak. I
am - my name is Ashely and I'm one of those families
that Bhairavi just mentioned who have been impacted
by crushing debt who could benefit greatly from the
Medallion Debt Relief program. I'm here on behalf of
a family member who was a veteran cab driver. New
York City cab drivers are instrumental to an iconic
piece of New York City transportation and my family
member has been a proud New York City owner cab
driver for over two decades like countless others.

Recent health issues, including major heart surgery has made repaying his OSK Medallion debt difficult. When we reached out regarding for

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hardship reasons regarding repayment, OSK did not care about my family members health. We are asking to extend the debt relief program. Restructuring the loan would be a huge relief for him and other drivers who are proud to continue to drive and hold the mantle of New York City medallion owner.

The current structure of the loan plan is not conducive for him to be able to provide for his family. A restructured loan would have impacts in multiple ways. Not only are you supporting a manageable payment plan to help a New York City cab driver, veterans with their debt to support their families and improve their life, quality of life, but it also means that you're breading back life into an iconic industry dating from 1937. We already see inflexions going with growing interest in waves and increased rides but we're still not fully there and we still need your support. Please keep your promise to support driver veterans from crushing debt. Your support not only helps our drivers but preserve an iconic piece of New York City transportation. I also ask you to think about the last time your experience when you are in a New York City cab. And I want to share this quote to leave you all with something to

think about. "There's something poetic about hailing a cab in New York. It's hope, motion, and madness wrapped in chrome and leather." Please help reignite the spark of hope for our New York City cab driver owners who proudly wear this mantle.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

CONSTANCE LESOLD: My name is Constance Lesold and I support all the requests that have been made today for additional funding for the Parks

Department. It seems that it's very hard to get everything that you need.

I would like the help with that as I have moved back to New York City and I would like to rebuild the Eastern Parkway Coalition, which was a vital part of work owned parks in the 70's, 80's and 90's and some of the things we did continue to this day. For example, the Community Garden over the Franklin Avenue Shuttle, which we worked very hard on is now almost 50 years old, started by a summer youth program and the Eastern Parkway Coalition and costing the city very little. It's part of the Parks Department and run by a wonderful woman named Gloria Briggs. It's now the Union Street Garden.

2.2

We want to rebuild the coalition because I feel that we need an addition to all the wonderful organizations we already have and coalitions in parks. We need more that will bring the public in.

Somehow we are not getting the public in and involved to the extent that we could and for example, I believe that what we were doing earlier with Prospect Park and the conservancy was a positive thing. When Topper Thomas was in that job, she was also in the beginning the Administrator for Eastern Parkway and that brought a lot more attention to the parkway. If that was restored, along with Ocean Parkway, we would get more involvement of the public I feel in helping you to get the funding you need etc., etc..

I also speak for senior citizens in that we need to have restored in the budget the programs we had in the past where we had a little trolly that went around Prospect Park. I'm 87 now and somewhat disabled. I can't get around the whole park. Having such a program would bring seniors more into the park. It would bring others in too.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

pledged \$51.8 million towards the renovation of the

center in his executive budget. This is a meaningful

24

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON

step but to be clear, this is a start not a solution.

The City Council must ensure full funding for a complete repair and modernization of the building, not demolition, not privatization and certainly not further delay. The city has neglected this facility for years. That's not just an opinion, it's evident in the crumbling infrastructure and the years of

At the most recent Community Board meeting addressing this issue, tension between the Parks

Department and the community escalated. After parks officials acknowledged on public record no less, that they haven't even studied what it would look like to modernize the building. That is not just bureaucratic oversight. That is profound negligence and a breach of public trust. Demolition of a public good should never be the first option. Our recreation center is not disposable. It provides essential functions including low cost and affordable programming for seniors, swimming instruction for children and free youth services that were enjoyed not just by the local residents but by New Yorkers across the city.

deferred maintenance.

2.2

Our government is supposed to be a trusted steward of public spaces, not an adversary. We are calling on the City Council to exercise its ample authority and intervene by supporting the repair and modernization of the Tony Dapolito Recreation Center. Don't let this be another example of disinvestment masquerading as progress. Stand with us and help us save this center for generations to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

KUBER SAMCHO PERSAUD: Thank you for letting me speak City Council. My name is Kuber Samcho Persaud. I'm a yellow cab driver for ten years. My dad was a yellow cab driver for 35. He passed away 2017. I'm here today because we have been mandated by the - by a court lawsuit that we must have 50 percent Wave vehicles on the road of New York City and right now, these vehicles - we want to honor the commitment but the vehicles are very expensive compared to a regular yellow cab which will cost maybe \$25,000 or a wheelchair converted vehicle could cost upwards to \$75,000. We want to restore the TIFF Fund so that we can get \$1 per trip for drivers so that they could put in gas and everything and maintenance for the vehicle. We also would like to wave the sale city

2.2

tax of \$3,900 because that would be a great
difference. It could even help us to put the cab on
the road faster. It would also give us more money to
hack up the cab, put it in service and save us a
little money to make it not so much as a burden. And
we request that you guys add additional funding to
try and help us attain these Wave accessible vehicles
because we would really like to serve the disability
community. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much.

SCOTT DALY: Good afternoon. It's good to see everybody again. On behalf of New York Junior Tennis and Learning, NYJTL and the 10,000 unique kids that we serviced last year and their parents, I want to thank Chair Brannan, Chair Krishnan for the opportunity that you have provided to these kids.

My name is Scott Daly; I'm the Senior Director of NYJTL free Community Tennis program throughout the City of New York. You know we've been in business for over 50 years and it's only because of the support we get from the New York City Council. Last year, we were in 25 separate city parks throughout the city in all five boroughs, stretching from Crotona and Williams Bridge in the Bronx, Tools Pond

2 in Staten Island to Forest Park and Travis in Queens.

3 We're all over. The programs are free to anybody who

4 wants it between the ages of 5 and 18 years of age.

We service not only kids that want to come out for

6 free but we have schools that come out to us, special

7 populations, D75 schools. We don't discriminate. We

8 | take everybody there.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What do we need? We need to expand. I want to expand our program. Right now we're going to run over 100 programs this year alone in Fiscal Year 2025. What impact do we have? I mentioned we have 10,000 uniquely enrolled kids. Over 70 percent of these participants are ten years of age and younger. This is the target group that we want. 75 percent are Black, African American, Latino or Asian, 80 percent of our families that we service are low income according to New York City area median income. 75 percent of our coaches come up through our programs. During the summer, we're going to have 50 percent of the high school students and college kids who have come up through us. We have our own SYEP. We give them a safe haven. We're asking for \$1 million this year. Over the years you've given us \$800,000. We got cut 17 years ago. Cost as you know

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON 1 TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 have skyrocketed in that time. The City of New York has been our close partner for so many years and I 3 4 look forward to it for many years to come. On behalf of NYJTL and all the kids that we're able to service because of you, I thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Scott. SCOTT DALY: Good seeing you all. 8 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much. SCOTT DALY: Bye-bye. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, final panel is Christopher Leon Johnson and then we go to Zoom. 12 13 CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello Chair. Ready? 14 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes, go ahead. 15 CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello Chairs Krishnan, 16 Brooks-Powers and Brannan. Thanks for Keith Powers 17 coming out here earlier. My name is Christopher Leon 18 Johnson. I am calling on the - to keep on funding 19 They need to keep their funding. the DOT. understand that the Commissioner of the DOT Ydanis 20 21 Rodriguez is corrupt and he is on his way out but you cannot penalize a whole DOT because of one 2.2 2.3 Commissioner. He's on his way out. At the same time, I'm calling on the City Council 24

starting with you Mr. Krishnan because you cofounded

Communities Resist to introduce a bill out to help allocate money into every deliverista who gets a ticket from the NYPD because they're getting tickets right now and they're getting criminal summonses and I know that your nonprofit helps out with housing but it should be [INAUDIBLE 05:47:35] anyway and if they help out the public in need, they should be able to defend deliveristas when they go to criminal court at 1 Central Street.

Myself, I've been to 1 Central Street before and BS ticket but it's not a place that I don't want nobody to be because the problem with those type of tickets, they're going to that court is because if you're not a celebrity, if you're not an elected official like Susan Wong, you're going to be there till about 2, 3, 4 o'clock in the day and sometimes they might not see you at all and you got to keep on going back every day and if you don't go back every day, you're going to get a summons. So, I'm calling on the City Council to really, really make a public statement and condemning the NYPD for giving our criminal summonses to deliveristas for riding on a sidewalk or blowing a red light.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I understand that we need street safety and regulate on the e-bike situation but at the same time, you cannot criminalize these deliveristas for doing their job. You can't criminalize them. I'm calling on the City Council and I know there's a lot you guys can't do because the courts are on a state level but I believe that the City Council should utilize these nonprofits like NYLAG and the public defenders offices to help out these - defend these deliveristss when they get a ticket. I'm calling for deliveristas only. When it comes to regular cyclists, that's a different story but the deliveristas who are essential workers that the City Council has designed as designated as essential workers, they should be able to uhm, they need special service. They need special defense from these nonprofits to 1 Central Street.

So, thank you so much. I know it's my time. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Okay now we go to Zoom. We have, starting with Kevin O'Keefe.

Kevin, if you could hear us, go ahead.

KEVIN O'KEEFE: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes, go ahead.

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

KEVIN O'KEEFE: Yes, I am Kevin O'Keefe and I am the Founder and President of St Martin Park

Conservancy. May is mental health month. Perhaps underappreciated as the level of significance urban green space plays in improving mental health.

Our all-volunteer conservancy in the Parks

Department serve in partnership to steward a public
garden in the park that was locked to the general
public for decades. The Conservancy hears from many
of the gardens visitors that access to this more than
a quarter acre of nature in Midtown Manhattan has
become an essential part of their mental health
needs. We want to recognize that too many times in
the phrase green space is used, the space is actually
not green and or other parts of nature but hard top,
although it takes more resources to maintain literal
green space, it's well worth the budget increases
needed to do so.

Studies increasingly prove this out. One timely study out of SMU and concludes, I'll share a quote from the study. "Green spaces decrease the risk of psychiatric disorders including depression, anxiety,

2.2

2.2

2.3

dementia, schizophrenia, and HDHD. More green spaces should be considered in city planning."

We see the places worldwide are responded with needed budget increases with improved mental health that clear rationale. I'll give you one example, let's look to Singapore. Their 33 public therapeutic gardens are being rolled out. There the designers made sure to meet with scientists to understand how to best lift visitors mental health and included elements from immune boosting horticultural zones to wheelchair optical courses. Please keep in mind for the FY26 budget, not only park space, which of course is so important but an added punch place for true green spaces and parks.

One final thought from the conservancy, as we've heard expressed by parkees at various levels since 2022, Commissioner Donoghue has been a compassionate leader. We appreciate her leadership and vision for New York.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired. Thank you.

KEVIN O'KEEFE: Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have Brent Bovenzi.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRENT BOVENZI: Hi there, yes, thank you for having me. My name is Brent Bovenzi. I am one of the organizers for Open Streets in North Brooklyn, primarily Barry Open Street and I just wanted to testify in favor of fully funding open streets. There's been lots of talk today about how critical parks are as open space and open streets are another version of how we add open space to a neighborhood and very quickly. Yet right now, the budget is no where near enough to run these programs. We're often times super delayed on getting funds and even then, \$20K max a year for something like you know 19 blocks of what Barry Street is, is completely insufficient to make these spaces the open spaces that neighborhoods deserve. So, yes, I hope in the budget that City Council will fully fund open streets. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Next we have Saskia Haegens.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SASKIA HAEGENS: Thank you. My name is Saskia

Haegens and I am one of the organizers of the

Vanderbilt Avenue Open Street in Brooklyn. I

testified in March to urge the Council to fully fund

2.2

the Open Streets program. You done heard from myself and other organizers about the great benefits of open streets, allowing communities to transform our streets, creating more public open space, boosting local business, creating space for kids to play, opportunities for neighbors to connect and making our streets safer and greener. Now that the open street season has started again, I wanted to talk a bit more about how we make this happen and why the current funding level is not sufficient.

As soon as we restarted Vanderbilt open street, there's hundreds of people enjoying the six blocks of public space that we create we just bike cones. It leads to businesses thriving, kids running around, local bands playing, skate and bike lessons, a block drive and so much more. But all this relies on unpaid volunteer labor by people such as myself. The funding level is only sufficient because volunteers spend countless hours on the city program and that's not sustainable.

On Vanderbilt, we had to fundraise the bike cones. Something we need for safe operations but DOT could not provide them. The program needs to be fully funded so that it can be properly staffed. As

we can move the next phase of infrastructure and actual street redesign instead of relying on temporary equipment. The Open Streets program needs to be properly funded so that it's not so reliant on volunteers and local fund raising. That's unsustainable for us but also entirely impossible neighborhoods that do not have that capacity leading to a very inequitable distribution of Open Streets.

I'm going to end by repeating our request.

Please fully fund the Open Street program at \$48

million over the next few years. As the Comptroller said, that's a bargain if you look at what you get in return. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much. We have Charlie Vallone.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHARLIE VALLONE: Good afternoon. My name is

Charlie Vallone and I would like to thank you for the opportunity to present to you today. A big thank you to the elected officials Committee on Parks and Rec, NYC Parks and our community who has assisted in our mission for improving Cunningham Park in Flushing Meadows Queens.

Some of you might know, I'm the late son of
Council Member Paul Vallone. I also am the Student
Body President of Saint Francis Prep. Our school has
been working tirelessly over the year to improve
conditions in Cunningham Park for not only our
student athletes but also our community. My father
was working on this project for three years before he
passed and I'm following through as this is important
for the families within our neighborhood. Cunningham
Park is a gem in the community. However, the upkeep
and safety conditions have been extremely
challenging. St. Francis Prep has had a good
partnership with the Parks Department and has been in
constant discussions regarding any developments going
forward. However Prep and the Parks Department, we
need help. The park experiences water drainage,
flooding issues after it rains every time, unleveled
grounds, ditches causing athletes around the
community to suffer many injuries. When other
schools here, they're playing at SFE's home field,
they abandon the games and they cancel them. As much
as we love this park, it's outdated and it is in dire
need of rehabilitation.

Our school, the community and parks are hoping to receive your support for a multipurpose field for a variety of sports and recreational events. We have filmed a video with athletes who live all over the borough as well as community leaders with their testimonials and all about the conditions surrounding the park. We had a breakfast set up at the schools gaining the support of our community board, had thousands of petitions signed and we even visited the parks department facility to get better informed on how the school can help and what we can do to get the next steps going.

Please support the requests to make improvements to Cunningham Park and the quality of life and wellness for everyone who uses this landmark of District 26. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Charlie, you still playing drums?

CHARLIE VALLONE: I am still playing drums actually.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Alright, keep it up brother. Thank you.

CHARLIE VALLONE: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have Andrew Berman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANDREW BERMAN: Hi, I'm Andrew Berman, an Executive Director of Village Preservation, the largest membership organization in Greenwich Village, the East Village, and NoHo. On behalf of this organization and thousands of New Yorkers who utilize the Tony Dapolito Recreation Center, I'm here to express my extreme objection to the proposed use of \$52 million in the city budget to demolish rather than restore and modernize this beloved neighborhood recreation center. The center has been closed for more than five years due to neglect and deferred maintenance by the Parks Department. Beginning last year, the city made public their desire to demolish the building rather than finally undertake long overdue repairs. This is a classic case of the city saying that one set of rules apply to them and another to everyone else. This is a landmark building that this community fought to have preserved. Landmark designation means this building should not be demolished unless the owner has a financial hardship or the building faces an immediate

danger of structural collapse. Neither of the case

here but the city wants the rest of us to do what they say, not what they do.

If we allow landmark buildings to be demolished simply because the owners have put off necessary maintenance and don't want to invest money in repairs rather than demolition, we have a very bleak future ahead of us. We have personally toured this building and seen the conditions. There is nothing here that cannot be repaired and brought up to code. Our city is made up of countless buildings like this that face similar challenges and were imaginably reinvented and restored to continue to serve the public.

It's environmentally unsound to demolish rather than restore and an insult to the memory of both the community leader after whom this center is named and the thousands of largely poor and working class New Yorkers that the center served over the last 120 years to simply demolish the building out of laziness and lack of care.

We call upon the Parks Department to use the \$52 million to restore the building and for the City

Council to supplant that funding as needed to ensure

2.2

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is expired. Thank
3 you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you sir. Now we have Rosa Chang.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ROSA CHANG: Hi, hello Chairs Brannan and Krishnan and Committee Members. I am Rosa Chang from Gotham Park, a new public space beneath the Brooklyn Bridge in Manhattan and I would love to invite you and your colleagues for a tour of Gotham Park, which is certainly enough not a park but in fact a DOT plaza. But to any user of Parks Department Park and a DOT plaza are both public open space where you get to engage and spend time with your neighbors and where you get to celebrate and play and where our children learn how to become good human beings. It's where we can catch a breeze and sit in the shade of a sheltering tree and where we can learn to fly by falling, picking ourselves up and trying again, and yes, I might mean skateboarding on that one.

It's where we enjoy dialogue and develop

friendships of people who look different than us, who
worship different Gods, dance different music and
have different lived experiences, and by engaging

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with others that are different, that is how we learn and grow.

Today, I want to acknowledge and celebrate the incredible public servants who dedicated their hearts, bodies and souls to dreaming, building and maintaining this incredible city that we are all lucky to call home. It's on their backs and with their efforts that we are able to live in and enjoy this magical city. It takes hard work every single day to keep us from sinking into the water surrounded by our garbage. DOT and parks are equally critical and essential urban infrastructure; however, they do not enjoy the same financial commitments. We need to do better. Public spaces are especially vulnerable to lack of care but are uniquely nurturing, inspiring, calming and in fact the setting for the magic of human connection. New York City wasn't built for cars or for rats or pigeons. It was actually built for people and building public space is just the first half of the battle. To win, we have to invest in the care of our open spaces so that we can use them to build stronger, happier, more resilient, more creative and more -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired. Thank you.

ROSA CHANG: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Rosa, good seeing you. Okay now we have Jackson.

JACKSON CHABOT: Good afternoon. My name is

Jackson Chabot. I'm also on the bus here so forgive

me if there's any background noise. Today, I'm

testifying on behalf of Open Planting. We're a

nonprofit that advocates for livable streets.

I'm here to reiterate what you've heard from Saskia and Brent among other Open Streets advocates. Right now, the DOT will probably be claiming that they have fully funded Open Streets with their \$2.1 million allocation. The fact of the matter is, this is simply not enough to ensure the program has the robust amount of resources and for Christ sake, the fact that Vanderbilt had to pay for their own cones and solicit donations for it is clear enough example of the financial status that we're currently facing for the Open Street program.

We recommend additionally what SPS said earlier, that \$48 million over three years will ensure that the program both has the sustainability to sustain the current level of open streets, as well as to grow to Council Districts across the city because everyone

deserves an open street like 34th Avenue. Everyone deserves to have space next to them and especially in neighborhoods where there's very little access to park space. Thank you so much. Have a good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Okay last to testify is Kader Guerrab and you will be our last witness today.

KADER GUERRAB: Hi, my name is Kader Guerrab. started driving the yellow cab a few months before the pandemic. I know that TLC cut the \$1 Wave fee but I am owed over \$2,500 because the TLC, which claimed I would get if I drove a Wave. The TLC did not follow through on its word. I completed over 3,100 trips last year and they only compensated me for \$512 and I think the TLC and the City Council should give more funding to the \$1 trip because as a lease driver, many lease drivers will choose not lease a Wave vehicle because they'll see it as more of a burden. They'd rather lease the hybrid instead of the Wave because there's less of an incentive and you took away the incentive. And so, if they choose to drive hybrids and not Wave's, there will be less

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 281
2	Wave's hitting the streets. Thank you very much and
3	have a good day.
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Okay, with that
5	day two of FY26 Executive Budget hearings is
6	concluded. Thank you. [GAVEL]
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
12	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 27, 2025