COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

----- X

February 25, 2025 Start: 10:15 a.m. Recess: 12:47 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Amanda Farías, Chairperson of the Committee on Economic Development

Julie Won, Chairperson of the

Committee on Contracts

COUNCIL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:

Alexa Avilés Kevin C. Riley

Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Inna Vernikov

COUNCIL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS:

Sandy Nurse

Althea V. Stevens Inna Vernikov

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Diana Ayala Gale A. Brewer

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

APPEARANCES

Kate MacKenzie, Executive Director of the Mayor's Office of Food Policy

Jill Berry, First Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Social Services

Cindy Teta, Associate Commissioner of Special Population and Support Services at the Department of Social Services

Raymond Medina, Assistant Commissioner and Diversity Officer at the Department of Social Services

Mahek Kapoor, Deputy Director for Procurement Operations at the Mayor's Office of Contract Services

Alison Wilkey, Director of Government Affairs and Strategic Campaigns at Coalition for the Homeless

Matt Jozwiak, Founder of Rethink Food

Barbara Hughes, Executive Director of City Beet Kitchens

Janet Jackson, Coalition for the Homeless Client Advisory Group

Adama Bah, Executive Director of Afrikana

Latoya Meadows, Founder and CEO of Collective Fare

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Shana McCormick, Executive Director of RAP4Bronx

Reverend Chloe Breyer, Executive Director of Interfaith Center of New York

Imam Mansoor Rafiq Umar, President of Halal Watch

Christopher Leon Johnson, self

Jeanette Lugo, Clergy Liaison of New York Police Department

Sharon Brown, self

Rana Abdelhamid, Founder of Malikah

3

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning. This is a microphone check for the Committee on Economic Development jointly with Contracts. Today's date is February 25, 2025, located in the Committee Room, recording done by Pedro Lugo.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to the New York City Council hearing of the Committee on Economic Development jointly with Contracts.

At this time, can everybody please silence your cell phones.

If you wish to testify, please come up to the Sergeant-at-Arms' desk to fill out a testimony slip.

At this time and going forward, no one is to approach the dais. I repeat, no one is to approach the dais.

Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: [GAVEL] This hearing is called to order. Good morning. I am Council Member Julie Won, Chair of the Committee on Contracts. Thank you for joining us today for today's joint hearing with the Committee on Economic Development to examine

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

the quality of food in New York City shelters for the homeless and recently arrived arrivals.

I'd like to thank representatives from the Administration, members of the public, and my Council Colleagues who have joined us today, including Chair Farías as well as Council Member Kevin Riley.

Today's proceedings follow up on a December 2023 hearing that sought answers to persistent reports of poor food quality in many of the emergency shelters the City had established to house the post-pandemic influx of asylum seekers. At the hearing over a year ago, representatives of City agencies promised the Council they were incorporating residents' feedback on food and seeking culturally competent and religiously compliant menus such as halal meals. However, since then, shelter residents and advocates continue to report the food quality as it remains poor as well as troubling ongoing reports in the press that food vendors have served Muslim residents with mislabeled halal food or halal food prepared with other items that do not conform to their religious standards, leaving Muslim residents with nothing suitable to eat. Today, we seek to

understand how food quality can be so poor and

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

residents unable to get options that meet their religious, nutritional, and or dietary needs, despite promises of the Administration a year ago. The Committee also seeks to understand

how the City has managed shelter food after moving beyond the initial emergency contracts set up to meet the demand from post-COVID asylum seekers. Much of our last hearing focused on the vendor DotGo, whose contract expired in 2024. DotGo drew criticism for many aspects of its operation, but among the most frequent complaints was the quality of food that it served its residents, much of which ended thrown away, uneaten. How have the vendors who took over from DotGo managed a food such as Gartner, and have they improved where DotGo failed? Yet we see that the subcontractors for the food remain the same with Regina's Caterers, Riviera's Caterers, as well as Whitson's, where we have the top complaints for these subcontractors who continue to do business with the City despite their complaints and despite their food being disgusting and unable to be eaten. How is the Administration holding bad actors who continuously

provide standard meals to shelter residents

3 accountable?

2.2

2.3

We will also examine my bill, Intro. 905, which would require vendors with active food service contracts valued at 100,000 dollars or more provide standardized feedback surveys to consumers on a quarterly basis. The results will be compiled and shared with contracting agencies, allowing for datadriven decision-making in future contracts and accountability. Ensuring that public funds are used responsibly to provide safe, nutritious, and palatable food is not just a matter of policy. It is a matter of dignity and public health. By mandating continuous feedback, this bill establishes a lasting system of oversight that will improve food quality immediately and in the long term.

Before I conclude, I would like to thank
the following Council Staff for their work on this
hearing, Contracts Committee Policy Analyst Alex
Yablon, Senior Legislative Counsel Kris Sartori, from
for my office Staff Nick Gulotta and Neily Vera
Martinez, and the Members of City Hall Security and
Technical Staff working to make this hearing run
smoothly.

I will now turn it over to Chair Farías for the Committee on Economic Development.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Good morning. I am Majority Leader Amanda Farías, and I chair the Committee on Economic Development. I'd like to thank Co-Chair Won for holding this hearing today, and I would also like to thank the Administration and the public for attending today's important hearing.

We have called today's hearing to discuss how the Administration ensures reliable food quality in our city's shelters. In 2023, at the height of the city's influx of migrants, we held a hearing on shelter food procurement and examined how the City took steps to ensure individuals in our shelter system were being properly cared for and delivered healthy meals. We believe that increasing transparency in the process of how our City agencies buy, prepare, and serve food to those in our shelter systems is paramount.

2.2

2.3

Today, we have the Mayor's Office of Food
Policy present to provide testimony regarding our
City's food procurement process and how the City
addresses any deficiencies that may lead to the
inaccessibility of healthy meals in our shelter

system. We are also interested in discussing how the Mayor's Office of Food Policy coordinates with other City agencies and how these agencies engage and contract with City food vendors.

I also want to acknowledge that there have been recent and continued troubling reports on the mismanagement and the delivery of quality food in our shelter system, particularly related to spoiled food, inaccessibility to culturally appropriate meals, and alleged financial mismanagement. It is our responsibility as the oversight entity of the City to ensure that these issues are addressed and that all individuals in our shelter system are properly cared for.

Before we begin, I'd like to take a moment to thank the Economic Development Committee's staff, Council Luke Hamel, Senior Policy Analyst William Hongach, and Financial Analyst Glenn Martelloni, as well as the Contracts Committee Staff for all their hard work on this hearing.

I'll now turn the microphone over to Chair Won.

2.2

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. I'm going to pass it over to my Legislative Counsel for swearing in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SARTORI: Thank you,
Chair. Would representatives of the Administration
please raise your right hand if you're able?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before these Committees and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

ADMINISTRATION: (INAUDIBLE)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SARTORI: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:co-chairperson} \mbox{CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, so we can begin testimony.}$

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Good
morning, Chair Won, Chair Farías, and Members of the
Committees on Contracts, Economic Development,
Council Member Riley. My name is Kate MacKenzie, and
I serve as the Executive Director of the Mayor's
Office of Food Policy. Joining me today are my
colleagues from the Department of Social Services,
First Deputy Commissioner Jill Berry, Associate
Commissioner of Special Population and Support
Services Cindy Teta, Assistant Commissioner and

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Diversity Officer Raymond Medina, and from the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, Deputy Director for Procurement Operations Mahek Kapoor. I welcome the opportunity to speak to you today about food quality in our city's shelters.

The mission of the Mayor's Office of Food Policy is to advance the City's efforts to increase food security, promote access to and the consumption of healthy foods, and support economic and environmental sustainability across the food system. As part of that, MOFP supports the Department of Homeless Services and the Mayor's Office of Contract Services in their efforts to improve food quality, nutrition, and operational efficiency across the City's shelter system. We do this by providing strategic advisement and support in several ways, including contract language revisions, data collection, contract management and enforcement, menu adjustments, culinary training, food education, and other programmatic opportunities that enhance the effectiveness of agency food programs. These efforts aim to ensure that the food served in shelters and other institutional settings throughout the city meets high standards for nutrition and quality.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MOFP also brings subject matter expertise to MOCS to ensure that the contracting tools and resources that it offers are in line with City requirements and priorities. For example, MOFP will soon include a new section on our website to provide helpful and useful information to food vendors. We've worked closely with MOCS to promote the utilization of best value bids for food when possible. A best value bid allows City agencies to buy goods taking into account quality and equity. For the first time this year, the Department of Corrections, as an example, included in its bid evaluation not only cost but other desirable attributes like taste, support to New York State food businesses, and organic products, all in consultation with the Law Department. MOFP also works with MOCS and food buying agencies to incorporate our Good Food Purchasing Initiative data reporting requirements into solicitations and contracts.

So, let's speak a little bit specifically about the Department of Homeless Services. It serves more than 14 million meals and snacks annually throughout the shelter system. There are three ways that meals are provided in the DHS shelters.

First, in shelters operated by DHS, of which there are currently about 30, the Department of Homeless Services has three direct contracts that have a total value in excess of 160 million dollars over a three-year period. That's from July of 2023 through Fiscal Year '27. This means that the vendors are responsible for delivering meals that are typically frozen to the shelter, and then the shelter staff are responsible for heating, serving the meals, breakfast, lunch, and dinner.

A second method is that a provider may subcontract with a food vendor. In this case, a provider is required to obtain three bids.

Approximately 347 providers run facilities that utilize food subcontracts.

And finally, in the third case, of which there are about 58 sites that operate like this, a provider may prepare its own food, and typically that's either on-site or utilizing another shelter within the network to deliver meals to them.

Finally, there's a reasonable accommodation process that's available to meet clients' religious and medical needs. That might take

2.2

2.3

the form of kosher or halal meals or a specific renal or dialysis diet that is required.

DHS provides three meals a day and snacks to clients. The meals are expected to be healthy, served at an appropriate temperature, respect the medical and/or dietary needs and religious requests of the individuals that are served. They aim to reflect the diversity of cultures served throughout the shelter system. By following these guidelines, DHS strives to provide quality meals to the population that needs them with as little waste as possible.

There's a variety of quality control and feedback systems in place that are used to measure and control quality. All meals are required to adhere to the New York City food standards, and meal service must adhere to sanitary codes. These standards, the food standards, are updated every three years based on current scientific evidence and the ability of the industry to meet those standards coupled with specific agency feedback. Menus from the vendors are sent to the DHS nutritionists and are analyzed to determine compliance to these standards. And, as you noted, in Fiscal '25, that was the first year that

3

4

5

7

8

^

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

O 1

24

25

our food standards were required for agencies to solicit client or consumer feedback centrally to DHS.

DHS also requires that shelter directors or their designees conduct monthly meal quality tests. These tests evaluate temperature, appearance, and accuracy for each meal period, again, breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Providers are required to submit menus with nutritional information to DHS for review when initiating meal services and whenever there is a change in the vendor or the menu. It's worth noting that menus are typically updated on a seasonal basis. Providers will have a spring/summer menu and also a winter/fall menu. If a menu is found to be noncompliant to what has been submitted, DHS provides feedback and, as needed, technical assistance. Providers are also required to keep a sample of all meals for a prescribed period of time, two days in a fridge or three days in a freezer. These samples are subject to microbial testing by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene in the case of a suspected food poisoning or infection.

Together, this process of inspections and reviews by our Health Department and our Department of Homeless Services provide continual monitoring and

assessment of food quality at the DHS shelters.

Through all of these systems, from client-level

1

2

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

_ _

21

22

2425

feedback to the checks of DOHMH and the inspections

that DHS staff conduct, these all could result in

corrective action plans if warranted.

In addition to DOHMH's efforts to monitor and assess food quality, they maintain open lines of communication for clients to provide input on food quality. There are several ways that this can happen, from reaching out to 3-1-1 or the DSS Ombudsman with feedback. The phone numbers here are listed as well as the email address. The Department of Homeless Services logs complaints using its IQ database system and triages for direction to the appropriate DHS staff and providers. Lastly, DHS conducts client satisfaction surveys. One avenue for that triage process is for the Homeless Services Program Administrators to make the shelter provider aware of the complaint and, if warranted, work with them to create corrective action plans. As noted above, all shelter providers throughout the city are required now to solicit and report on client feedback. This year took the form of a QR code-based anonymous food satisfaction survey for direct input from shelter

clients in addition to the phone number and email

2

1

3

J

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address that I spoke about above.

A few points also on vendor performance. Vendors, whether they are those direct meal providers, as I mentioned, or the providers that subcontract meal service, are required to report on a variety of factors to ensure optimal meal quality. All shelter providers that prepare or serve meals are required to hold a food service establishment permit from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. This ensures that the Department of Health is aware and able to conduct an initial inspection to verify that the meal setup is appropriate and that the food service staff have a food handler certificate demonstrating that they've received food service training and are up to date with it. The Health Department annually inspects all sites to ensure compliance with New York City and New York State food safety regulations. Additionally to those DOH inspections, the Homeless Services team conducts routine site review inspections twice a year to monitor the requirements and reporting outlined above. These inspections involve reviewing the kitchens and the seating areas for things like

cleanliness, is the equipment operating in the way that it should, is food being stored in the way that it should, that the permits for food handlers are current, and that proper temperatures for the storing and serving of meals are kept. Those inspections results are incorporated into the quarterly shelter repair squad scorecard on the Department of Homeless Services website. Any of these checks, as well as the client feedback plan, as mentioned above, could all trigger once again that corrective action plan.

Finally, the Department of Homeless

Services adheres to the Procurement Policy Board

rules regarding documentation and evaluation of

vendor performance to call for periodic unannounced

checks, interviews, and the serving of clients.

I want to just speak for a moment about an opportunity that the team is making to bring new vendors into play. In line with the Administration's goals of building a robust ecosystem of vendors and serving as a strong partner in M/WBE success, the DSS Marketplace Team is launching a capacity building workshop series in March. This series aims to help local restaurants and catering companies interested in adding a business development component to enable

25

them to execute large-scale food service capabilities 2 3 and potentially set them up for contracts. The series 4 is designed to strengthen the business's ability to engage with New York City agencies, human service 5 providers, and prime contract holders. The workshops 6 7 will bring firms together with representatives from 8 the Mayor's Office of Food Policy, the Health Department, and the Department of Social Services Program and Nutrition staff as well as the Department 10 11 of Small Business Services for detailed quidance that 12 will help these local organizations better understand 13 the requirements and resources that impact firms' ability to operate successfully in this space. It 14 15 will include extensive Q and A with subject matter 16 experts, and later it will involve programming that 17 will leverage mentorship, bringing local restaurants 18 and catering companies together with successful certified contractors and non-profit providers who 19 are currently performing on City contracts to again 20 21 create that learning network and sharing of 2.2 resources. The workshop series will culminate in a 2.3 matchmaking event, bringing vendors together with providers and agency representatives. We believe that 24

this effort will contribute to more local

2.2

2.3

participation, diverse food, and profitable contracting experiences for participating firms and increased competition for food contracts over the longer term.

The safety and well-being of DHS clients requires this complex food distribution system to operate well. This drives the robust processes for oversight and obtaining feedback from clients that I've described. DHS has a quality control process so that the critical control points of food safety, including appropriate temperatures, the storage of food, the safe environment that food is stored in, and hygiene are all as strong as possible. DHS is engaging in a process at this time to strengthen its guidance, training, and oversight to ensure the highest quality food at our shelters, especially as the landscape has experienced such dramatic shifts over the recent years.

Finally, just a note on Intro. 905, it would require food service contractors with City agencies with contracts valued over 100,000 dollars or more to provide standardized feedback surveys to consumers of their service on a quarterly basis.

While we clearly support the intention of this bill,

2.2

2.3

us.

we would like to work with the Council to consider how it would advance our shared goals to increase transparency and food quality across the agencies. As noted previously, agencies are now required to solicit feedback on meals and snacks served, and many factors, including client feedback, including the taste and the presentation of food, which dramatically impact the experience of people eating it, are determined by food preparation, which the vendors themselves do not control. We look forward to discussing how best to achieve your shared goals with

Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak, and we welcome your questions.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much.

Okay. We're going to dive right into the questions.

So, my first question is, how does the City set the daily per dollar per person limit to meal costs?

Because we're still finding across the city, across agencies, even within an agency for DHS, that there is a wide variety of per diems, and we're also seeing the contracts themselves awarded, for example, to the same vendor, Regina's Caterers, but their per diem at one shelter is 12 dollars, the shelter down the block

2.2

2.3

is 15 dollars, the shelter down the other block is 8 dollars within one Council District where the same exact three meals are being served so can you help us understand how you all are calculating per dollar per person limit for meal costs and why it still is not standardized across the city, across agencies, and across each vendor?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Sure. Thank you for that question. I'm going to defer that to my colleague, First Deputy Commissioner Berry. I also just want to underscore that this is an incredibly important question, and as we can all experience right now from the volatility of prices in grocery stores, what my colleague is about to articulate to, what we do is not just ensure that the food prices alone are considered, but there's labor involved and many other factors that contribute to the price discrepancies that you're referring to.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Yes. We were just going to ask the followup of if you count in the cost of inflation as well as market volatility like the bird flu and the cost of eggs, etc.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Thank you, Chair Won, for that question. So, I'm going to

2.2

2.3

respond on behalf of, just to be clear, the

Department of Social Services and DHS and how the

prices are set throughout the system in DHS

specifically. So, there is no set per diem, there is

no set cap. Every food contract is competitively bid

individually to get the best price for the City, and

so that is one reason for the variety of prices.

Specifically, to your question, and, you know, Kate

talked about how the per diems include not just the

price of food, but it's the price of food, it's the

price of labor, it's the cost of preparing the meal,

the location where the meal is being prepared, and

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Can you help me understand how Regina's Caterers or Riviera's Caterers, it's all being produced in one warehouse in Brooklyn, so how is there a difference in cost then that way, if you're talking about preparation?

the delivery of the meal so...

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah, of course. So, I'm going to give you a good example, I think, of how you could have one food vendor providing the exact same food to two different shelters at two different prices. So, there's a variety of things that play into it. Part of it is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the conditions of the shelter facility itself. So, you may have one shelter facility that has food storage, has large refrigerators, freezers, and the ability to reheat meals. That shelter can take delivery of meals once, twice a week, whatever their arrangement is with the food vendor, but they don't need daily food delivery so their per diem price is going to be a little bit lower than another shelter that may be getting the exact same meals from the exact same food vendor, but they don't have the ability to store meals, and they don't have the ability to heat meals so they need to take delivery of meals every single day, those meals need to be delivered at the time hot meals are being served, and that the shelter staff are there to receive them so the per diem for that shelter, for again, with the same vendor, with the same meals, is going to be a little bit higher.

my district. I could name a non-profit, for example,

ICL, they run more than three shelters in my

District. I have photos of their freezers, I have

photos of their kitchens, I have photos of their

microwaves. It's exactly the same setup, yet you have

a range of 6 dollars up to 15 dollars. How does that

2

1

3

make sense?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Each shelter is bidding out these contracts separately, and at different times. It doesn't make a lot of sense financially, I do understand that, but the circumstances of the bids that the vendor received at the time that they were bidding out the food service for one shelter versus another shelter, market conditions can change. The price of eggs changes over

time. Things like that do happen over time.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, what I'm learning is that it's been a year since we had our first hearing, you still have not figured out how to change your process to standardize the cost of each meal and per diem per site, and we're still have a huge fluctuation in costs across the board, across the city, and you still have done nothing to change it. And yes, the cost of food changes, and there's market fluctuations, yet you have not done anything to be in response to it. Because what you're telling me that it is a fixed cost, and no matter what the market conditions are, that cost will not change, and the provider will just have to figure out how they're

going to provide three meals within the same cost, for example, 8 dollars for three meals. How are you supposed to eat anything?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Right, so through the new needs process, every shelter has the opportunity to request new need funding for their contracts, and that could be for a variety of things that they're paying for at the shelter, including food costs, and they have the opportunity to submit a new need for increased food prices for their food vendors.

understand, you said that it was competitively bid, not all of our bids were competitively bid. There were emergency contracts with emergency subcontracts that were not competitive, and they were not the best price, because we have people who have called my office, let us know that even though they were the lowest bidder, the City chose to go with Riviera, which we have tons of complaints about, about the food quality, and even though they weren't the lowest bidder, you still chose to go with them. Can you help me understand?

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: For the direct contracts that we operate, they were all competitively bid, and for the subcontracts that that the shelters are letting out and requesting bids back on, they are required to get three bids, they are required to choose the lowest responsive bidder, we do review that documentation. Of course, if there are instances where that's not happening, we would love to have that information so we could follow up on that specific with that shelter provider who may not be following the process.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: I will definitely follow up. We just heard from the Executive Director MacKenzie about quality control and feedback systems, and I think those updates are great since we last met a year ago. So, can you help me understand for existing contracts that have been bad faith actors that we continue to get complaints about, like Regina's, Riviera's, and Whitson's, can you help me understand the standards and processes for a food provider that's already on contract with you to be approved for a renewal of these contracts or to continue their contracts?

2.2

2.3

first DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, the direct food service contracts we have, I believe run through the end of FY26 and will be rebid in FY27.

Individual subcontractors for the individual shelters are on a variety of different schedules, and they can rebid those contracts as needed.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, you're saying that you're not going to do the food quality control before that rebidding process happens for renewal?

quality control is done regularly so every shelter is responsible for monthly quality food reviews looking to for adherence to the menu and nutrition standards, to quantities of food, temperature, appearance, and taste. Every shelter is required to do that monthly. DHS does twice annual inspections of all food services. DOHMH does annual inspections of food. We also have quarterly contract monitoring for the food, and our DHS nutritionist team does random and targeted spot checks of food quality.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: When it comes to compliance monitoring, it's come to my attention that you have about 1.5, at most two people doing these

for every single site per year?

25

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: That is my understanding. I would have to go back and absolutely check myself.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. We would like to follow up.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We will.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Because it seems that in a comparison for quality control between DFTA for New York City Aging and DHS sites, it seems that there seems to be a very stark difference in the number of staff doing inspections. Almost as many as 30 people who work for DFTA to do these very important food quality control inspections, and it sounds like less than double digits for a very large agency with a very large constituency to do these inspections, and we continue to have quality control issues.

For food policy guidelines for minimal calories and nutritional content for shelters, it's been alerted to us through advocates that some meals do not meet the necessary minimal threshold which you have on your website, and because of that they are using the inclusion of milk cartons to supplement the caloric needs. Can you speak to how you're looking at

_

the caloric intake per entree, and are you allowing these vendors to supplement with milk or juices instead of the entree itself?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So,

shelters are required to provide us with their menus for us to review the menus, and we review those menus to make sure that they comply with the food standards. The quality reviews that the shelter staff are doing monthly, that our nutritionist team is doing randomly, that our contract monitoring teams are also doing, are taking those sample meals and comparing it to the menu to make sure that what is being provided is the same as the menu that we approved and is in line with food standards. And when that is not the case, we bring that immediately to the vendor's attention for correction.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. So, if I'm understanding correctly, they cannot be using milk or juices to supplement the minimal caloric intake that they're supposed to provide per entree?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I think
that's a very specific case. I don't have the details
about that, but to First Deputy Commissioner's point,
all menus are required to be reviewed, and if they

2.2

2.3

are veering off of that menu, which it sounds like that might be the case, if milk was not listed on the menu, then that's an area for exploration.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, if milk is in the menu, so say that my breakfast is a piece of toast and a carton of milk with an apple, then that whole menu counts as the minimal caloric intake, not that it shouldn't be?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: That is my understanding, and we'd have to affirm with the nutritionist at DHS.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Because when we looked at the nutritional guidelines on your website, and we compared it to the FDA, there was a stark difference where for both men and women, you had it under 2,200 calories, I believe. It was like a range of 1,800 to 2,200. If you look at the federal website, they had it differentiated by gender, so for male and female, and for men, they had it from like 2,400 up to 3,000 calories per day so it is no surprise that people are still hungry after the meals, and they are frustrated that they're being told to just supplement with milk.

2.2

2.3

a close look at that. Again, I'm not familiar with the details of the caloric requirements for each meal for the specific age group. I do know that, for instance, the kids have a smaller calorie level.

That's obviously understandable, but this is something that we'll look more closely in, and also just underscoring that, especially right now, as the federal nutrition dietary guidelines are being reviewed, our own food standards are being reviewed, and we'll be releasing an update in the spring of this year.

to make sure that we're looking at both men and women, because someone like me at 130 pounds and 5'4 is not going to eat the same amount as a 6'2 man who weighs 250 pounds so they are not eating enough, and we have had reports from shelters and schools of children included being malnourished and showing signs of malnutrition and what that does to a child's development.

Moving on, what is the process for shelter residents to get reasonable accommodations for alternative meals such as vegetarian, vegan,

halal, or kosher options, and how many reasonable accommodation requests were received in 2024, and how many were granted? Because we have had multiple shelter residents come extremely frustrated that they were not getting the reasonable accommodations for food.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Thank

you, Chair. So, all shelters are required to post the process for requesting special meals along with their menu, along with information about how to submit complaints about food. Shelters are also required to assist clients in requesting specialized meals.

Specialized meals such as halal, kosher, and vegetarian that are fairly readily available should be provided as soon as the next meal delivery. Those can be provided provisionally without the need for the client to already have their documentation in place, and so especially for halal, kosher, and vegetarian, those should be available as soon as the next meal delivery after the client requests them.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Who is the client requesting it to?

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{ FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: They are } \\ \mbox{requesting it from the shelter staff themselves.}$

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Verbally, on a piece of paper, digitally?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The process for each shelter has to be listed along with the menu that is posted in the shelter.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, is there a standardized process for all the DHS shelters on how you get a special accommodation, or is it however they feel like?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: No, reasonable accommodations is a very standardized process.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER TETA: Hi, good morning. If a client has a reasonable accommodation for a diet specific to their overall health or religious observances, they are to complete a reasonable accommodation form and submit it to the social services team at the shelter. They, in turn, will reach out to the vendor to get the meal that the client requires.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Can you send us an example of what that process will look like as a followup so that we can physically see it? Because

2.2

2.3

2 I'm also wondering, how is that language accessible?
3 Are you translating it to Arabic, French, Chinese?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER TETA: So, we do have interpretation services for all of the clients that we engage with, and our reasonable accommodation form I know specifically is in a few languages.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, and every single shelter site has it in multiple languages, visibly on how you can access the accommodation?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER TETA: They should have that posted so that the clients know how to access it as well as during client engagements with their caseworkers, following up on what their immediate needs are. Those issues are also discussed, and then the caseworker or the social services director can assist them with completing that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: The majority of my sites have no caseworkers in any of the shelters, and they never have. And I just want to point out that I believe that there is 50 percent staff deficit at DHS, HRA, and DSS, so I don't know how that would be possible.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER TETA: All of our shelters have lines for caseworkers. If there is a

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vacancy at a specific shelter, there's other team members there that could assist them. CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: At the December

2023 hearing on shelter food, representatives of Health and Hospitals responsible for asylum seeker shelters said that they have secured halal food ever since the City first saw large numbers of Muslim asylum seekers from West Africa seeking shelter in October 2022, that it had secured halal food. However, as reported by CBS and Hellgate Muslim Shelter, residents and volunteers complained about the food they received, which was provided by Riviera Catering/RC Stilwell LLC. CBS reported in April of 2024 that the halal options often consist of conventional shelter meals without any meat to avoid serving non-halal items. In November 2024, Hellgate interviewed shelter residents housed at Creedmoor Psychiatric Facility who said food labeled as halal seemed not to be. Additionally, Hellgate reported that the food vendor Riviera said in paperwork that it mixed halal and non-halal items. Did Riviera Catering disclose to H and H or the City that they were certified by a third-party halal certifying entity?

2.2

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Thank you

so much for that question. Unfortunately, our

colleagues from Health and Hospitals could not be

present today. I think they were asked on Friday, but

we can certainly follow up on that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure, but do you folks know the process of certification for an entity of a food vending contract that has to be, whether the contractor themselves are certified to do kosher, halal, vegetarian, vegan, etc., and what that means to the contracts provided?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I don't want to speak for H and H, but perhaps Ray or Jill, can you speak to the DHS process?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Sure, and I can speak specifically to R.C. Stilwell is certified by the American Halal Foundation. So, in our policy, we have a few specific certifications for halal and for kosher that we accept, and the vendors have to follow one of those certifications.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Does every single one of the vending contracts that we look at have to offer at least one of them?

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: They all have to be able to provide halal meals, kosher meals, vegetarian meals, and other meals as required by our clients.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: What's our criteria of enforcement or monitoring to make sure that it's implemented well?

the contracts that we directly monitor, we are looking to see those copies of those certifications, and we are reviewing that as we're reviewing quality of meals. For the shelter providers, they are responsible for monitoring their subcontracted vendors. They are responsible for implementing the food policy and ensuring that their vendors are, in the case of halal meals, providing appropriately certified halal meals, and we provide guidance to the shelters on what certifications are acceptable.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure. I just want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Avilés.

And then, okay, and so in terms of within the bid or within the contract, once it's given to a vendor, you were saying the onus is on them, the

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

responsibility is on them to make sure their subcontractors or however their certification goes 3 4 through for halal or any of the other options are monitored and implemented that way. What's a part of the contract if we get instances where they're not 6 following that guideline? Does the contract get 7 removed? Is there a suspension of services? What's 8 the penalty, if any at all, for anyone that is found to not be serving the type of food that they're 10

supposed to be certified and serving?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, for any of our contracts, including when subcontractor poor performance is brought to our attention, always the first option is to work with the vendor to try to get them to correct what was wrong with the contract and to provide the services that we are contracting for that we are paying for. That is always the first option. And if the vendor is unwilling, unable to comply with the terms of the contract, then we can put them on a corrective action plan.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, it's come to our attention for both of us from the Muslim community that the current certification process that the City is using for certain agencies like H and H is not

verified. It is being done like a piece of paper from

234567

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

an entity in Florida saying, sure, you're halal certified, but did you come and inspect the kitchen? Did you come and see how the food is separated? Did you come and physically see that this is indeed halal or kosher? No, this is a piece of paper from an entity in a different state with no inspectors in the City of New York saying that, sure, this is halal, and we are saying that it isn't. So, what Chair Farías is asking you is what is the City's verification process for halal certification and why are we allowing third-party inspections to guarantee us that something as serious as religious standards and religious compliance for people's food consumption is done out of state in Florida online or on the phone?

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: And if we're going to leave enforcement to the vendors themselves, then we have to have in our contract some level of accountability, not just corrective action, but a penalty or removal of contract if they're found to not have the actual certification. I mean, we're leaving too much onus and responsibility, whether that's because we're not asking for more money in the

1

budget to have more staffing to make sure we have the certifications or not, but we're trying to make sure that we're properly serving New Yorkers.

5

6

7

8

4

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I would like to come back to you once we have a chance to speak with our colleagues at H and H and across more detail and come back with the specifics about what the standards in the contracts are along with what the verification process is.

10

11

12

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. I'm just going to ask some general questions.

13

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: One second.

14

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure.

15

16

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: We need to understand what the City's verification process is for halal

17

certification. Does anyone at this table know what

18 19 the verification process is or are you just taking

be like, sure, I'm going to say that you're halal.

20

people's word for it? Like, I could set up an LLC and

21

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: There are a

22

number of different verification processes and

23

entities. I do have a recollection from our

24

experiences during the pandemic about the

25

organization that you're referencing in Florida, and

2

4

S

6

7

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

also I will say that there's differences in the certifying of the kitchens versus the certification of the food itself, and I just would like to be able to speak clearly and correctly about the processes that are utilized by different City agencies on that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: And I just want to point out, even for DHS, not just for H and H, where we're having issues with halal, DHS has a contract with Riviera. The E-PIN number is 07117P0003002 that my team and I have reviewed, and it states that the contractor and/or subcontractor halal meals must come from a certified vendor. So, does DHS verify this information? Does DHS require more than the vendor being registered with the New York State Department of Agriculture? And what happens if a supplier is found to have provided non-halal food after securing the contract, and have there been cases of past noncompliance? And we've also found multiple DHS contracts, which we could share the E-PIN numbers for you for, that we've reviewed. There's a difference in language where DHS nutritional specification table for kosher and halal meals. So, for kosher, the contracts state all kosher meals must be certified kosher vendors and meet religious diet quidelines and

2.2

2.3

requirements. But for halal, they require only all halal meal must be certified. So, you don't make the differentiation that it has to be a certified halal vendor and a certified verification process for it to be halal. And does DHS not require that halal meals must be from halal vendors, meet religious diet guidelines and requirements? Why are there differences for these two religions for DHS contracts?

again, we need to come back to, there are clear distinctions in the certifying bodies for kosher meals and halal meals, and I want to be able to provide you with the accurate information on the different verifications that are required for each, but they are distinctly separate.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, but for both halal and kosher, they should be treated the same. The legal language should be the same where we say that they need to meet religious diet guidelines and requirements and both should be certified vendors. And I want to make sure that we have a City verification process. I want you to get back to me on how we're making sure that these verifiers for halal

emergency contracts, do we have that number in front of us?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The number of DHS shelters...

bids, where all of these complications are coming from, where we've had the emergency bids that had to go out for procurement of the food contracts, do we know the percentage of those right now? Our migrant numbers have been going down or have been steadying rather, serving the same amount of people. I'm trying to get an idea of how many of those emergency contracts are still out there, like what's the physical number, 10, 20, 240, something like that, and trying to get an idea of how the recertification, I know you said they happen continually, the contracts happen in recertification, I want to know the percentage that are out there right now.

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Sure. So,

DHS can speak to where they are. As you recall from

our last December hearing, we were, at the time, had

Health and Hospitals, HPD, and DHS that were all

operating, and as you know, the counts are going

down, thankfully, and I think we can, DHS can speak

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to how the integration of shelters that are, again, winding down, but where, if there are any that are still reliant, which I understand is no, from emergency contracts, as opposed to the transition to non-emergency contracts.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, we may have to get back to you with the specifics, so we have about 150 hotels that were procured under the emergency contracting regulations. We are in the process of, for those that are going to convert to longer-term contracts, converting them to the appropriate long-term non-emergency contracts.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, and then, you folks were having a larger conversation earlier about the competitiveness of the contracts and how they're renewed on a varying basis based off of when they were given. Have we looked at, as the Administration, or entertained the idea of moving towards like a multi-bid, multi-vendor-selected contract so that we are steadying the fees and the amounts we're paying for food, something Chair Won was saying earlier, of like, you could be in the same varying degree of a neighborhood, but have three different contracts that they're charging the City at

1

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

three different rates for. Why are we not issuing bids or procurements that have, you can select more than one vendor, you can have a vendor for three sites, or three different vendors at an x-rate, and I feel like we've asked this almost every hearing, but don't get a quite answer of why we're not moving away from individualized bids versus larger bids to keep a static fee for the same food in different shelters.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah. I mean, there are various ways this can be done, and there are pros and cons of every approach, right? So, the way that we do this now, where every shelter is bidding out for that particular shelter, one, it accommodates for the fact that each provider may operate, maybe a provider might operate five different shelters, the conditions of all those shelters might be different. Some of them might have food storage, some of them might not have food storage, so their needs and the pricing may differ a little bit for each one of those providers. The other advantage to doing individualized subcontracted bids for every shelter is it opens the door for more vendors, new vendors, localized vendors, smaller vendors to get into the food space.

_

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: But couldn't we have a contract that we selected 10 people? That would still open it up for 10 different vendors, but it would have a set rate?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: That is a way that we could approach providing food in the shelter system. It's not the way we currently do it right now. We have a full contract with a shelter provider to provide all of the services that individuals need at that shelter, and that includes security is individually bid, food is individually bid, and other services that that shelter may need are individually bid on maintenance, general contracting, other things like that. We don't currently have a process where we're setting up a list of pre-qualified vendors for a particular service that every shelter provider has to obtain.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I just want to switch over just quickly on as we're approaching the budget conversation, whether that's looking at the federal budget, the state budget, the city budget, do you folks already have a projection or a forecast of the percentage of funding that we receive from federal grants for any of our shelters?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I can't

speak directly to the shelters. My colleagues might

be able to, but do know that we are monitoring the

federal flows very closely and will reflect that in

our budgets. It remains obviously a very fluid

7 situation.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. And are there any anticipated freezes on grants federally that we're looking at or anticipating at all? And just in conversations as we're approaching the budget, literally days from now, we're beginning our preliminary budget hearings, are you folks coming in with any asks to fill any gaps or anticipated gaps?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So right now, DSS has thankfully not faced any funding loss so far, particularly as related to the shelters. The single adult shelter budget is almost 100 percent City-funded with a small amount of funding from the State. There is no federal funding typically in the single adult system with the exception of a small federal ESG grant, which could obviously be at risk in the future. And then the families with children and the adult family sites are largely claimed based on the public assistance status of the individuals in

2.2

2.3

the shelters so those who qualify for and are on public assistance, if they are families with children under the five-year time limit, then they are eligible for TANF, and that has a 50 percent federal share, 25 state, 25 city, etc., so there is some federal funding in there. It is largely from the TANF block grant with a little bit of ESG funding. We have not yet seen any of that funding pulled away.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: So, just in terms of also, I wanted to ask around some of the FEMA funds, does the City plan at all to sue the federal government in response to the clawbacks of congressionally approved FEMA funds to compensate for City or increased shelter needs for asylum seekers?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We have.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Great. And is the clawback at all going to affect the ongoing shelter operations and resources available for food or any of the folks within the shelters?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: At this point, we have not received any indication from OMB that there will be any impact to DHS shelters as a result.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: It is great that we sued, but I have a question, because in two large DHS food contracts that my team reviewed, both for Riviera, E-PIN, we can give you the E-PIN number, for 32,775,704, and it was modified to an additional 10,787,804, and also for Whitson's for 57 million, about, and we could give you the E-PIN number for that as well. The contract states that they are in partially or fully funded by FEMA. So, do you believe that's the FEMA ESG grant, or is it the FEMA funds of the 80 million that people have been asking about? And both contracts end in 2026, and since sanctuary shelters have closed or are slated to close, will the City still recuperate this funding, or because it was a multi-year contract, do you believe that it'll just be net neutral or at a low cost of losing money from this federal funding?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, the contracts were written that way to allow for the ability to receive FEMA funding, to make sure that they included the proper language, we did receive some FEMA money that we are now potentially losing, but there is not additional budgeted FEMA money in the DHS food budgets going forward.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Well, my question is, for the way that the contract is written, where it says partially or fully funded by FEMA, is that in reference to the FEMA funding of the 80 million that has been recouped by the federal government?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So it is from that contract. So, were we expecting to get money from them to pay for our food contracts, partially or fully?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: All of our emergency contracts and those contracts that were serving emergency shelters, such as the direct food contracts, Whitson's, Riviera, and Dhall, all had language added to their contracts to allow us to at least potentially reimburse the funding for those contracts using FEMA dollars. They're not being reduced for the loss of FEMA dollars.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. And for the FEMA dollars, is that a reimbursement process as well?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes. This is all about the reimbursement process.

sorry?

)

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: And this means that those reimbursements are no longer an option for the City government for the food.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I'm

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So that means that we will no longer be able to apply for reimbursement for the first year in which the contract was received, or we have to pay out using the FEMA dollars?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We are seeking all... anything that is eligible for FEMA funding, we are submitting and requesting FEMA reimbursement for. We've done that in the past, we've received FEMA funding, and we will continue to do that into the future as long as FEMA funding is available and we are eligible for that funding. We're going to maximize that funding whenever we can.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: And again, I keep on asking the same question, so we just saw in this one contract, Riviera got an additional 10 million dollars to expand the food contract despite all of the complaints. I was physically with Mayor Eric Adams with a horde of residents at Hostel Place where they implored him, begged him, to stop the contract

2.2

2.3

with Riviera because the food was so disgusting. So, what is the process for accountability when people continue to complain that the food is inedible, the food is not halal, the food is not digestible, and they can't survive like this?

what it sounds like is happening here, is that there are a lot of, perhaps, complaints being made, but in order for the agency to actually explore them and document and go into the process, the formal system has to be utilized, which is, whether it's calling the Ombudsman, using the code, but making sure that those are substantiated, that's the work of DHS. And so, really, if you're hearing them too, I encourage you to maintain that conversation with DHS so that they can be explored and not just raised without the exploration and investigations that are warranted.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: And just a quick followup to that, I mean, how frequently are you folks monitoring cases that come up in the press, in the media, and then using that to then either do a corrective action, to do a checkup, to do an investigation? I mean, a lot of the things that Chair Won is bringing up are not only things that we hear

2.2

2.3

seen in the press.

when we go to shelters or when we've had our past

hearings, but they're also direct calls to action

from local governance on these contracts that we've

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: In the millions and millions of dollars for the same bad actor.

I want to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Nurse, Council Member Salamanca, and Council Member Brewer.

So, if you could give me a clear answer, so help me understand, the QR code surveys, where have they been rolled out, how many people have taken the survey so far, how is this information being aggregated, disaggregated by agency and then by the vendor itself as well as how is this becoming public so that we can have a public understanding of who the bad actors are? And I also want to point out, I love the idea that you shared, Executive Director of the Vendor Performance and the Quarterly Shelter Repair Squad Scorecard, but I would like to have it pulled up, I'm not sure if we're able to. I want the public to see that there is no real scorecard pointing out for food. It's only by agencies such as DOHMH. DOHMH does not actually show us the data that the food

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

quality is bad. It breaks it down by DOB, HPD, FDNY, 2 3 and DOHMH. That is not going to do justice for us to 4 have insight on how their scorecard is or their evaluations for food itself. In addition to that, for the food evaluations, this is done by Shelter Site, 6 7 which I understand because the food is through a subcontractor, but we also need to be able to see an 8 aggregated view per food vendor because it's clear that these food vendors who have a monopoly over 10 these subcontracts for millions of dollars, whether 11 it's Regina's, Whitson's, or Riviera's, that they 12 13 have continued to have food complaints and they 14 continue to get multi-year contracts for millions of 15 dollars, but we need to be able to see across sites

I'm going to defer on the Scorecard, which is the reflection of the routine site review inspections to my DHS colleagues. I will share, while it is great that we have started the process of requiring feedback across all City agencies, the first step was actually creating the mechanism to do it. So now, and hopefully with your partnership, we'll be able to figure out the ways in which we can share and should

what the food quality is.

2.2

2.3

share that information. And I'll say, and I know you have kids too, and so it's like, you know, we've got to discern between the likes of like, you know, I like this, I don't like that, and the things that we can actually do something about, like the temperature was off, the smell was off. Some of these things are like, we need to really dig into the substance of the feedback so that we can take corrective action as warranted at the vendor level. So again, in partnership, I think sharing what we are now for the first time required to seek that agency feedback, we agree, we need to figure out a way to communicate that in ways that are helpful and productive to rectifying the system. But as you pointed out on the Scorecard, I'm going to defer that to my colleagues.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah, I mean, I can't quite see that screen.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: This is your first time seeing the Scorecard?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It is not my first time seeing the Scorecard, but I think

I'm going to have to get back to you on the specifics of the Scorecard.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. So, this is a scorecard that DHS has, and if you look at the first left column, the widest one, that is just the name of the shelter site, and what we'll see is the green second column is an inspection report of DOB, and then the second blue column is HPD, third column is FDNY, the last column is DOHMH, in which we're supposed to presume that that is supposed to be a score that we can look at for mental hygiene, hygiene, and potentially also food. This Scorecard completely omits or erases the importance of food quality in these shelter sites, and we also need to be able to aggregate the data across the city for feedback from the surveys of each vendor.

I also have a followup question about microbial testing by DOHMH and prescribed period of time for all meals. How is it that somebody like Regina's Caterers, like I brought last time, thanks to my shelter residents, how does the food expire in 2030 from the year 2023? What are we doing about the expiration dates as well as the chemicals and preservatives being used in these shelter foods? What is the food quality control for that?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: So, thanks for raising that. Let's look specifically at the item. You know, there are a lot of things that we are required to ensure, whether it's sodium, whether it's whole grains, whether it's sugar, those are elements that we clearly check for. We have a very strict, and soon to be even stricter, do not allow category of things that include some particular food additives and things like that, so we'd have to look specifically at that item.

about potential reviews of the menus for all of our vendors? I understand that you now have a process for reviewing all the menus before a bid is won, is that correct? It's before the bid, or is it after?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Perhaps my colleagues can speak directly to the menu review process. From my experience of contracting processes, you have to demonstrate a sample menu, and then when you get into the contracting process, that is when you are working through and agreeing on menus or saying no to something and yes to something, but that seasonal menu review that I referenced in the

3 reviewing.

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah, that was the answer. We get sample menus in the bid packages that are reviewed, but the detailed review of the menu is once the food vendor is on board and ready to serve meals. We review those menus in more detail.

testimony is something that the DHS nutritionist is

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Have you checked people's expiration dates for the meals on why it expires years and years and years out from the day that it's produced? How are we looking at the manufacture date and the expiration date of our meals that we're serving human beings in these shelters?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: So, that would not be in the menu review. That would be in the like storage and preparation storage and the inspection list that we referenced. The safety, clearly again, when there's food storage that involved everything, they're looking for expired food clearly, and then this is the first time I'm hearing about something like this that you referenced with an expiration date into 2030. I'm not familiar with that, but I'd have to learn more about that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. We had brought it up in the last hearing, and it continues to be an issue with Regina's Caterers Food, where it expires years out from the day that it is produced. Who knows when my food was produced that I'm even being served. That is an extremely, extremely alarming problem. I still don't understand. What is the process for these complaints? So now you're saying, okay, we need to gather the data of feedback of people complaining that there's going to be an investigation. Who is doing the investigation? The seven people who are doing inspections, are those the investigators? Is it the Department of Investigations? What is the process to make sure that there is clear accountability for these bad actors that continue to serve disgusting food?

not the seven shelter inspectors, but when we receive complaints, they are sent to the Shelter Operations

Team at DHS, along with either, depending on whether they are a subcontractor, then they are also sent to the shelter, or if it is a direct food service provider, it would be sent to the team that oversees those contracts for followup. All of the complaints

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

those.

that are sent out have to have a response to those

complaints, and then our nutritionist and our DHS

Operations Staff and our Contract Monitoring Staff

are responsible for noticing whether there are trends

across the board of complaints and following up on

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: I think it will be really important that we only serve food that our City employees, who work at City agencies, will eat for lunch. That will be the same food that we serve in Rikers, the same food that we serve in our nursing homes, the same food that we serve everywhere, so that people in shelters are not eating food that tastes like dog food.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Not Rikers.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Well, I think everyone should have a clear standard of food.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But Rikers' food is awful.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Exactly. Which is why every single source of food that we're serving should be of a quality that everyone would eat.

I'm going to pass it over to, oh, Chair Farías is going to ask another question.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sorry. Right
before we jump into Members, I'm just kind of lookin
over a bunch of different items. Do we have how many
complaints are coming in to you folks, like either
the rate of complaints a month or the actual number
of complaints that come in, and then how many get
investigated, how quickly? Can we talk more about
that process? Because I feel like we're kind of,
there's an omission of numbers or we're missing kind
of hitting the point of like, are we seeing maybe
it's just 10 complaints a month or quarterly, or are
we seeing 10 complaints a week, and is there an
inadequacy there of having the appropriate staffing
or the investigative response? Can someone on the
team talk a little bit about what that looks like?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah.

So, in Calendar Year 2024, we had 1,479 complaints about food and meals. I can talk a little bit... I don't have any data with me on how long it took to respond to each one of those complaints.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Do we have a standard? Are we supposed to respond to something in 14 days, 30 days?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes. 3 Typically, the response is due back within 14 days. 4 If it's an egregious complaint, we look for a faster response, but the process is that those complaints 5 come into the Ombudsman's office, they get logged, 6 7 that's how we know how many there are, and then they get sent out through the IQ system to the shelter 8 staff, the shelter operations staff to follow up, get that response, and also enter that into the IQ system 10 11 so that we have a record of the response.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, and so out of roughly 1,200, I think you said 11 something?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It's almost 1,500.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: 15, I heard that incorrectly. Thank you for the correction. Roughly 1,500 complaints, how many of those ended up with needing either a subcontractor compliance check or some sort of regulatory measure with the larger vendor?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah.

I'm going to have to get back to you on the follow-up from each one of those.

24

Avilés.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, you don't have any actions to resolve the 1,500 complaints out of the 400 shelters that you have?

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: In the Calendar Year.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I don't have with me the resolution to each one of those complaints, but there is a record of the resolution.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Can't be much of a resolution if the same bad actors continue to get more contracts and are expanding on their contracts.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Yeah. We'd like to see that data for sure.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Sure.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, I will,
Chair, if appropriate, turn it over to Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Good morning.

Thank you so much, Chairs. You stole a couple of my questions so I just want to drill in. In terms of, you mentioned earlier that you provide one contract to the providers and they are responsible for doing all the other subcontracting. So, in the context of food, if there is no, I guess one question is, why is

people could choose from?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: That's just not the way we have chose to procure goods and services in the shelters to date.

there not a pre-qualified list of subcontractors that

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay. So, do you have a list of subcontractors and/or larger contractors, like the ones my Colleague referenced, that are not meeting City standards or have had a number of complaints lodged against them?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Two of the food vendors are in process of going under corrective action plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay. And I mean, this is not unique to certainly DSS. We see this all the time and a perfect example is in NYCHA, where subcontracting is rife with waste and problems. I would even go so far as potentially criminal activity. How is DSS and any of the agencies engaging and evaluating the subcontractors to ensure that they are above board in doing what they're supposed to be doing?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, before the shelter provider can enter into a

2.2

2.3

subcontract, they need to provide that information to us and we're looking to see that they have the three different bids and that those bids are appropriate and that they selected the cheapest and qualified bidder for any service, whether it's food or other

services that are being subcontracted.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: This is a problem across the City, that the cheapest would actually produce anything of quality. How does one manage between the cheapest and just qualified (INAUDIBLE)

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: But also

discrepancies because we have evidence that they choose to not go with the cheapest, who would have provide higher quality, and yet they're going with a higher bidder and expending them, even though an example like Riviera continues to have complaints of terrible food. Doesn't make sense.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPOOR: Council Member, hi, good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Good morning.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPOOR: Thank you for the question, and I think you make a great point. The thing I just want to point out is that the contract privity between the sub is not the City of New York,

it's the prime contractor so it's these prime

1

2

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 contractors that are selecting who they're bringing

on board as their sub to potentially continue

5 operations or run operations so I just want to make

6 that clarification about the contract privity between

7 the City of New York and the prime and not the sub.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, yeah, and it has the same problem, right? The City of New York says, well, we allow them for procurement reasons to sub, which allows them the freedom, right, because we don't have the capacity to invest in the oversight that this requires given the scale and taxpayer investment, which I think is a very problematic approach because we see what results. An incredible waste of resources, bad contractors and subs over and over and over again with no accountability and no mechanism to be able to understand that there are probably a plethora of subs that are not meeting any of the requirements that we actually, or at least maybe meeting them on paper to the point of halal, but not actually providing appropriate halal services. This is not a new piece of information. What I would like to see the City is not say, well, they're not our contractors, we just worry about the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

main contractor. We are responsible for it all the way down to when it hits the individual person that we are attempting to serve so it's not an appropriate answer just to say we're only responsible for the larger contract. It is our responsibility to ensure quality services to the very end, and that's why we're here today. So, I appreciate you, and I appreciate you saying that, but we are responsible for the entire chain, and we must hold responsibility. And if we continue to provide contracts to providers that are not doing what they say, and in fact, going against people's religious observance, it's obscene and irresponsible for us to just walk away and say that's not our responsibility. It is not. I forgot what I was going to additionally ask for.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: We'll give you more time to look.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Just one more question. In the context of halal foods, which continues to be, honestly, I can't understand why we can't get this right and why we are not providing full quality halal foods. Do you keep track of how many local halal food providers are in this

corporations from other mysterious places?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The food contracts for the shelters are responsible for providing all the meals at a shelter typically, so

not separate contracts for halal-specific food.

subcontracting pool, or are they all these big, giant

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Do you know of the food contracts that we have, how many of those purveyors are New York-based entities, and what percentage of those are M/WBEs?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, all of them are based in New York City or Long Island.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Yes.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: And my colleague will talk about the $\mbox{M/WBE}$.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Thank you so much for that question, Council Member Avilés. So, in terms of M/WBE participation, we're looking at, on all food contracts, including the prime contracts, 53 percent utilization by M/WBEs. And then in terms of subcontracts, M/WBE have 56 percent of subcontracts by volume, totaling almost 100 contracts, and 43 percent of food subcontracts by value, totaling 112 million in subcontracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: And when I say New York-based, it means not just the geographically, the workers are here, but that the company is a New York-based company.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: So aside from them being certified by New York City, the vendors that I've listed, their certification zip codes are also in the boroughs, or as First Deputy Commissioner mentioned, in Long Island, I think two of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay. And I guess
I'd love to understand, and this might be part of
your reporting back on the halal, is to understand
how many subcontractors are claiming to provide halal
foods within this mix, and how much are being
utilized, and how does that compare to all the other
food requests, like the vegan providers and the
kosher providers, and what that looks like in terms
of an equitable spread across these distincts. Thank
you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you. I just want to follow up. You said that they are 53 percent M/WBE?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: By volume.
3 In other words, by how many contracts.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Can you give me a number of what that 53 percent, can you give me a breakdown of how you got to 53 percent, and who from the large vendors and small vendors make up that 53 percent? I would like a breakdown to understand.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: I can certainly provide that in followup. I can say that there's a mix of small and a few large vendors.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: You know who your large vendors are?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: The breakdown, I don't have. I think it's the Whitson's, R.C. Stilwell, and Regina, maybe. I don't know if you'd consider LIC.com to be a large vendor. I think they've grown a bit, but they certainly started as a small vendor here in New York City, and I'd have to follow up on other.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Because my team just alerted me that, and I know that my Colleagues also agree, we have a serious issue with M/WBE contracting in the City of New York, and in the New York State, where we have to check to make sure that

2.2

2.3

this business.

whoever is registered as the owner of this M/WBE

actually is working in that M/WBE. Because for

example, we just met with Riviera, and then it was

clear to my team that it was two white men running

the business, but it was not clear how there was a

woman or a minority who had executive control over

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: So, I would just say, and not to go out of my lane here, if there are any concerns along those lines, this should certainly be directed to the Department of Small Business Services immediately for them to conduct the appropriate investigation.

the ones that we have been alerted about, and yeah, the follow-up question was regarding M/WBE. Some vendors seem to have a president, a CEO, and other officers that are not women or minority, but claim to be, and we will report those directly to SBS as well as the State of New York. For my office, we, ourselves, have submitted now multiple times videos and food of mold in the food served in my shelters as well as just rotten food, because we see that it is not being, it's just disgusting, and we brought it in

Ū

the last hearing. In this case, it was by Heart
Healthy Foods Incorporated at a DHS shelter, and
those complaints, we still have not heard back on
what the process has been to make sure that there has
been responsibility taken by Heart Healthy Foods for
serving moldy food, and they continue to operate and
do business in my district. Can you please send us a
clear process map of how the investigations will be
taking place, how there's going to be accountability
for all these bad vendors.

And I also want to acknowledge Council Member Althea Stevens has also joined us.

I'm going to pass it over to Council Member Farías for a followup.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I just have one follow-up question in terms of subcontractors and criteria. Is there mandated by the contract for the large vendor to have a percentage of the services rendered from the vendor themselves that they have to provide, and then what's the criteria that's set for the subcontracting percentage? Like could I be a large vendor and only do 10 percent of the administrative work, but then hire X amount of subcontractors? Can you guys walk us through what's

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that criteria, and if there's either a funding or total amount that then the subcontractor oversees, and how they have to report back to the large contractor for the City to receive the data or the, you know, services rendered?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Sure, happy to. So, to my understanding, prime contractors have to have control of the project. That means that typically they're self-performing the majority of the work. That exact percentage will vary depending upon the type of contract and the general conditions of that contract specifically. For instance, an event producer might sub out a lot of their work, but in the case of food contractors, as we're discussing, it should be the majority of the work. If there's an M/WBE goal, that will typically be a pretty significant percentage of that subcontracting, as much as 30 percent say, so you wouldn't see them having an M/WBE goal of 30 percent and subbing 30 percent otherwise, because then they'd clearly not be in control of the contractor self-performing. Does that answer your question?

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Yeah, that somewhat answers it. I mean, I guess the only

question that I have that doesn't directly connect back to or wasn't answered is, what's the process of the subcontractor reporting backward to the prime contractor that we then are, you know, with the exhaustive part of them having to monitor and enforce and manage, you know, all of the criteria that they have to check off?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: So, the subcontractor is bound by the same contract conditions, and my colleague here from MOCS will alert me if I'm misspeaking, but my understanding is that they're subject to the same conditions of the contract as the prime so, whatever the prime needs to provide or report on, that same information is being reported by the sub up to the prime and then to the agency.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: I just also want to follow up to what you had testified about subcontractors to Council Member Alexa Avilés' point. Also, this has already been reported, but we want to make sure it's on the record that even if you say that subcontractors are out of our hands, you know, it's with another prime contract, we have clear

reports from whistleblowers who have contacted my 2 3 office as Chair of Contracts to let us know that the former Commissioner of DHS, Gary Jenkins, has told 4 non-profits and prime contract holders for DHS to say that you need to subcontract with this subcontractor 6 7 who has been already named as a bad faith actor. So, 8 I want to make sure that there seems to be a misunderstanding here where some folks saying, you know, subcontractors are not our business, you do 10 11 what you wish, and there seems to be a very forceful 12 hand where they say you will lose your prime contract 13 if you do not subcontract with this food contractor. I'm going to pass it over to Council Member Salamanca 14

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you,

Madam Chairs. Good morning. So, first I was happy to
see this list that they provided. That list that was
provided, is that a list of all the shelters in the
City of New York?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Those were the shelter repair Scorecards for the shelters administered by DHS.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

for his questions.

1

Ŭ

400.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Only DHS, meaning you have not-for-profits that are running these shelters, so you're giving contracts?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It includes all shelters that are under the DHS jurisdiction.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: How many shelters are there under the DHS system?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Over

it's safe to say that my District has the highest amount of shelters on that list because I have the highest amount of homeless shelters or transitional housing shelters in the City of New York. So, my question here has to be, what is the, I don't know if you have this answer, what is the average cost to house a family in a homeless shelter in a transitional housing setting?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I don't have that information here with me today.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay. What is the average cost per person per meal that a provider

2.2

pays the individual that's providing these services for food?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: For food specifically?

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Yes.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We do not establish a minimum, maximum, or set food per diem for our contracts. Each contract is bid individually for the shelter and that per diem is then calculated as a result of that bid so there is a wide variety of food per diems.

average? I've heard 8 dollars a day per family, 15 dollars, what's the average? Is there an average? And the reason I'm asking this is because I'm trying to get, when I found out that some contracts are paying 8 dollars a day per family, and I'm like, so you're paying 8 dollars a day, are they getting breakfast, lunch, and dinner for 8 dollars a day?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, I can tell you for the three primary food contracts that DHS has, the per diems for those three are \$12, \$11.50, and \$14.25.

_ -

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay, so all right, thank you for that. And that includes breakfast, lunch, and dinner?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: And the food service provider, what's their system, do they just come in every day and bring in a day's worth of food, breakfast, lunch, and dinner? Or do they do it every week, every two weeks? How does it work?

really varies by shelter and by their contract with the subcontractor. It really has a lot to do with their food storage capabilities. Some shelters have little to no storage capabilities. Some shelters can heat up food, others cannot. So, a shelter with no food storage and inability to heat food has to get delivery of food once a day, and it needs to be during the mealtime that the hot meal is being served.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: All right. No, it makes sense. I have some transitional housing setting facilities that do not have a dining setting or, you know, or a kitchen, and so they would have to

2.

_

2.3

deliver daily. That makes sense. Beverages are included in this? Water, juice?

their food? How do you get to this data in terms of

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Coffee? Okay.

Quality assurance. How is this monitored in terms of

the scoring card?

the quality assurance, each shelter is responsible for monthly quality review of the food being served at their shelter. They are looking specifically at whether the food is adhering to the menu that was approved by DHS, that the appearance of the food, the taste of the food, and the quantity and size of the portions is largely what the shelters are doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: So, the provider self-monitors themselves and reports back to you?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The shelter provider is monitoring the food quality of the food that they are receiving from the food vendor that they contracted with. For the food that we are directly providing, we are sending staff out to do quality reviews of that food.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: All right. So, the provider selects the food vendor, the shelter provider, and the shelter provider is responsible for monitoring the food, and you said that they monitor the food by, they test it themselves, they eat the food themselves?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY:

Absolutely. They're checking temperature, they're checking quantity, they're checking taste, and that is one way of checking food. We also have the DHS staff are going out twice a year, and DOHMH is going out once a year. They're really focused a lot more on food safety, but the sample meals also have to be held for DOHMH to review.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: All right. Is there a scorecard for these providers similar to a restaurant? You know, the food carts that are out there, they need to have a letter grade, right? A, B, C. Does the City, DHS, have something similar to that?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We do have scorecards for our shelters that look at a variety of facility issues. Food is just one component of that.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: All right. But is there a particular scorecard or letter grade system for food?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: All right.

Would it be something you'd be willing to implement?

interesting idea. I would say, you know, again, of the 400 shelters that we're describing here, there's a host of responsibilities that they have. Food is certainly very important, and we would just want to want to carefully consider how that might be operationalized.

that there's a certain budget that is given to the providers within their contract and how much they can spend per family, and I know within that there's costs, right? They have to pay their overhead, they have to pay their staff, and then there's a portion that's paid for for food. My concern is, you know, when you mentioned that they have to find the cheapest, they have to get bids, and then they go with the cheapest option, and it just worries me.

When we're giving them the cheapest options, the

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

little bit of a question to answer because a lot of people have food as at least part of their

responsibility at DHS. The Shelter Operations Staff

are responsible for monitoring all aspects of the

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It's a

shelter and shelter operations, including food, and

that's the majority of the DHS staff. We have DHS

quality of food that we're giving these homeless families. With that, thank you very much. Thank you,

Madam Chair, for the opportunity to ask questions.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Did you get all your answers? Okay.

Yeah, I'm going to pass it over to the legend, Council Member Brewer.

teaching this morning at Hunter, so I missed some of it, but my question to start with is, my understanding is that at DFTA, there are more people making sure that the process for food goes well than enough staff at DHS. So, I want to, maybe you discuss this, but how many people are doing this work at DHS? And I don't know that you could compare it to DFTA, because you probably don't have that data, but how many people actually doing this work at DHS?

1

3

4

facility inspections.

need more of that staff?

6

7

8

Q

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think it's the seven that is of concern compared to, apparently, I don't know this, but DFTA has many more. So, are you requesting in new needs? Do you not feel that you

inspection staff. I mentioned earlier that there are

seven of them that are responsible for doing the

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Per
Chair Won's question earlier about whether we're
actually getting all of our inspections done, I
believe we are, but if we are not, then that would be
a place where we would need to ask.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Can you get back to us compared to what DFTA is versus DHS? Could you get back to us with those numbers?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Sure. We can work with our colleagues at DFTA for what their staffing is.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. My understanding is people don't feel it's enough.

Now the second issue is more complicated.

I must admit, just like the Chair, I am a huge
supporter of Rethink, huge. I would love to write a

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

contract that says only Rethink can get any of these
contracts. That's how I would do it. I know that's
not legal, but you can write RFPs that say you need
local vendors, you need local restaurants, you need

6 to support our folks who are in the neighborhoods,

7 etc. Any thought of doing that? I would just get rid

8 of all those vendors and go to Rethink.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: Council

Member, what I will share is that Rethink, earlier I spoke about a new project that the DHS team with Ray is leading, which is to build a pipeline of new vendors, and Rethink will be tapped to be able to talk about how they did what they did, which is a change in their business model.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They'll do it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: All the things necessary to make sure that you're successful.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. My question then is right now, tell me if I'm wrong, I think they have subs. They have H and H where they will be phased out like everybody else. So are people who are working at H and H, it may just be Rethink, I don't know, are they moving over to DHS? I know what you're talking about is a good idea, but it's going to take

2	time. How would a vendor like Rethink, if there is
3	one like them, be a prime and not a sub? How could
4	that work at DHS? In other words, you've got your
5	non-profit, I got that, but how could literally
6	something like a Rethink model, Rethink quality, be
7	the sub or whatever the term is as opposed to some of
8	the ones that you're talking about? When are all
9	these contracts up? Is that something that's
10	happening soon at DHS? Is it staggered?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I believe that the direct contracts expire in the end of Fiscal '26.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That's now.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: So, as the plan that is put in place to rebid those comes out, they will certainly be considering what we've learned over the past few years and take the appropriate action.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Will that RFP be written differently or will it be written the same or you don't know yet?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I don't know.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Is that something you could consider so that the local businesses could in fact, whether it's Rethink or somebody else, be that kind of a model?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: We are working across all agencies to look at contract language and learn and take our experiences over the last five years and make changes as appropriate.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Now this is not directly food, probably more general, but apparently H and H does pay on time and DHS does not. Is that something that can be worked out?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We at the Department of Social Services in partnership with our colleagues at MOCS and MONS are working hard to bring all of our contracts and contract payments up to date and have them be on time, closer to on time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Is there anything we can learn from H and H or is that just a different animal or person or entity?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It's a different agency with a different process and different contracting rules that they have to follow.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Something to think about. And then just finally, my question would be when you are thinking about doing things differently, what's the process for different written RFPs? Is that something that is done with just internal rules and regulations? Is it consultation with the City Council, etc.? How do you go about writing a new RFP that might make more sense than the food that is being delivered? The problem is, we all know, it's just going in the garbage, and so the question is how we can do this differently. You understand that, I'm not saying you don't, but how do you go about writing an RFP this differently?

Obviously, the time is of the essence because '26 is around the corner.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: I will share, you know, the partnership that we have with agencies including MOCS. I referenced earlier the desire to start to do more best value bids and proposals is in place. It requires a lot of change, making sure that everything is upholding the law, of course, but looking at things.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm not too big on the law if it doesn't work, but go ahead.

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: But looking

at things in addition to lowest price, how we're able

to look at things like quality, like capacities,

those are all areas that we're exploring and the team

at DSS is partnering with us on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Can you get back to us on the timeframe and how that is going so that it is clear that it's actually happening? Did you want to say something?

was just going to give an example in the food space that's not directly related to DHS, but where we at DSS worked in very close partnership with MOFP, for example, to change the way we deliver food to our food pantries through the Community Food Connections Program, and that's an example where we in partnership worked very closely. We developed a new model and wrote a new RFP that would embrace that model and bring that new model to the center.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, I appreciate that. I think it's not easy because nothing is easy, but that's a little bit easier than dealing with, you know, whatever, 53,000, 67,000, I don't know, and with contractors that are also challenging so this is

2.2

2.3

a big project. It absolutely has to happen because not just that people need to be fed correctly, we're wasting money. It's going right out the door, and so the question would be get it right, and we have the opportunity to do that. We have all this great food in the City of New York and in the upstate community, so I don't know. This is a great topic. Thank you for doing it. New RFP. Include the way that we think.

11 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: I'm going to pass it

over to Chair Riley for his questions.

Thanks. Thank you very much.

CHAIR RILEY: Thank you, Madam Chairs. I just want to get clarity on the M/WBE question. Did you say 53 percent of the contracts go to M/WBEs?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: By contract number, correct.

CHAIR RILEY: And you don't have the breakdown of...

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Who's getting what? I don't have that with me, but we can follow up with that.

CHAIR RILEY: You can provide that. My next question, I don't know if you stated this why. It says right here that DHS has three direct

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

contracts worth 160 million dollars. Who are those 3 contracts to?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: So those are to Dhall, I believe. I don't have their formal business name, but D-H-A-L-L, and I can follow up with it, Dhall Hospitality, sorry. Thank you. Whitson's Culinary Group and RC Stilwell are the three.

CHAIR RILEY: Is there a reason why it's just three providers? Is there some type of formula why it's three providers?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: I would have to defer to our agency Chief Contracting Officer who constructed that bid and decided on that format. I know often having some redundant, you want to have more than one, obviously, so you have redundancy. That's one factor. And then you also want the benefits of volume, so that's another reason to aggregate. I can't speak to how that's being structured going forward, but I can follow up with more information if you'd like.

CHAIR RILEY: And after the providers get the contracts, they're able to subcontract them out to different vendors?

1

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Correct, correct.

4

5

6

3

CHAIR RILEY: How are they doing outreach to kind of get different vendors, or how can vendors get, I guess, under these bids?

7

8

9

10

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: That's an excellent question, and thank you for that. Whitson's was recently certified. Before that point, they had a 30 percent goal on their contract, so if those three contracts had not...

11

12

CHAIR RILEY: 30 percent for M/WBEs.

13

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: M/WBE

14

goals. So, if those contractors were all, let's say,

15

non-M/WBE contractors, they would have a

16

participation goal on their contract.

1718

CHAIR RILEY: Why wasn't the format created that the M/WBE could just apply directly for?

19

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: They can.

20

They can. And in this case, they did, and they won.

21

So, two of the three were $\ensuremath{\mathrm{M}/\mathrm{WBE}}$ prime contractors. In

22

terms of what we're doing to create those inroads,

23

we're really committed to building a pipeline between

24

not just our M/WBEs and our provider community, but

25

our $\ensuremath{\mathsf{M}}/\ensuremath{\mathsf{WBEs}}$ and our prime contractor community. So, in

the last three years, we've had four matchmaking events where we bring representatives from the providers and from our prime contractors, and we sit them at tables, and then we bring M/WBEs who...

CHAIR RILEY: Could we do this annually, at least?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: At least annually. We've moved to twice a year this year, and so we bring M/WBE representatives in to sit across the table from them and do 10-minute interviews, which is a lot more time than you're ever gonna get in a networking event, right?

CHAIR RILEY: Can you give the Council some information on those because we have a lot of amazing businesses within our District.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA: Please send them to me personally, and I'll work with my team to invite them to these events and bring them into our pipeline.

CHAIR RILEY: Can we follow up after this?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MEDINA:

Absolutely, yeah. And just to note, we're looking at one out of five vendors who attend these events later going on to win a contract, so we believe this is

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

helping us to boost this event. CHAIR RILEY: All right. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

working, and we're really excited for our partnership

with the Mayor's Office of Food Policy, who's been

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Can you help me understand from MOCS why the food contracts are not going to the lowest bidder as your colleagues have been testifying that it's supposed to go to the lowest bidder?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPOOR: It could vary depending on the procurement vehicle that the agency chose. Here, if you guys did it as a competitive sealed proposal, these contracts, or a best value bid, then agencies have the ability to choose more than just the lowest bid, so it depends on the procurement vehicle that the agency initiated in their process.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Can you share with me what qualifies as the best value bid, and why an organization would be awarded at a higher value instead of a lower bidder who has had no complaints about food quality, yet you're continuing to award people who have food quality complaints at a higher

O 1

price over someone else who, for example, Rethink

Foods that bid at a lower price and has had a great

history of providing really great food and adding to

our local economy by bringing in local vendors?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPOOR: I'm going to defer to the contracting agency that chose these vendors to award with.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Right,
so I think you're talking about the shelter providers
are not choosing the lowest bid for their
subcontractors, right? So, typically that would only
be for reasons of non-responsiveness, finding of nonresponsibility, or other vendor compliance issues as
to why they wouldn't be choosing the lowest bidder.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: What if the other lowest bidder had no non-responsive or compliance issues like Rethink Foods?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Right. I don't have a good answer to that question because it shouldn't be happening, and if you have examples of that...

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Yes, I will definitely email it to you for investigation.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 The 2024 Food Standards Compliance Report 3 noted that relevant agencies updated the way that you conduct nutritional analysis on menus on food served 4

by contracted food vendors. Can you describe the new process and how it differs from your previous

7 process?

> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: The new process of nutrition compliance?

> > CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Mm-hmm.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MACKENZIE: So, we don't have a new process yet. Typically, each agency is responsible for capturing a sampling of menus, analyzing them, and reporting. What we are looking to do beginning next Fiscal Year is put in place a citywide software program that would be able to analyze all menus with much more regularity and be able to analyze, not just more menus but with more frequency, so that we can say not just a spot check of two weeks times over the course of a year but look at increasing the frequency of menu analysis and helping agencies with a software program universally to be able to do that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. We're going to have a lot of followups from this hearing. I'm really

2.2

2.3

disappointed that a year later there still is no clarity on how we keep vendors accountable for the terrible food that they continue to feed our people at taxpayers' expense and the millions and millions and millions of dollars along with other data that we've requested today.

We're going to move on to public testimony so now I dismiss the panel and the Administration, and I now open the hearing for public testimony.

I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that the quorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed at this time from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized,

2.2

2.3

we'll have two minutes to speak on today's oversight hearing on food quality in New York City shelters and if you have written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that to the Sergeant-at-Arms.

You may also send written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of close to this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

For in-person panelists, please come up to the table once your name has been called, and now I will call the first person in the panel. Alison Wilkey, Matt Jozwiak, Barbara Hughes.

Hello, we can start with you.

ALISON WILKEY: Yep, good morning and thank you Chair Wan and Chair Farías for holding this hearing. My name is Alison Wilkey, and I'm the Director of Government Affairs and Strategic Campaigns at the Coalition for the Homeless, and I'm providing testimony today both on behalf of the coalition and the Legal Aid Society.

We are the court and City-appointed monitors of the DHS shelter system which that number of sites does vary, but right now is actually about

2.2

2.3

500 sites, not 400 sites. One of the most frequent complaints that we get both from shelter residents and from our monitoring staff is about the quality of food in shelters. The complaints range from lack of dietary accommodation to things being overcooked to spoiled food. I mean the whole range of things that the Administration testified that they have rules or guidelines or processes about are the exact things that we get complaints about.

I'd like to focus a little bit first on reasonable accommodations. You know, our staff both at Legal Aid and the coalition spend weeks and months trying to get compliance with granted reasonable accommodations. These are people who have provided medical documentation. They have a granted request, but there's really two problems that, one, either the shelter fails to make their request to the vendor to get the right dietary requirement or the vendor just doesn't provide it, and I'd like to read a quote from a shelter resident who wanted me to share this with the Council. Her name is Cassie. She said I haven't been able to get the appropriate food to control my cholesterol. After two heart attacks, my doctor ordered me to follow a plant-based diet. I have an

2.2

2.3

approved reasonable accommodation, but I still can't get the food I need. The only milk they serve to go with the cereal in the morning isn't plant-based. The menu says they're going to serve oatmeal which would be good for me but we never get oatmeal. My health has gotten worse while living in shelter. The shelters never serve salad or fresh vegetables. My doctors are concerned about my uncontrolled cholesterol, but I can't do anything about it because they (TIMER CHIME) won't give me plant-based meals even though they're supposed to.

I've included a few photos in my

testimony that were taken in recent weeks that I'd

just like to point out to you. The one on page three
shows what is supposed to be vegetarian paella with

mixed vegetables. You can see that it's maybe some
carrots and a fried object and some rice. It is

definitely not what it says, and this is a problem

that people have in trying to understand what they're
eating is that the labels on the meals or the menus
that are posted do not match what people are

receiving. I'd just like to say also if you look

really closely there, the calories for that meal

listed on the label are 184. That is not enough for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

meal. Same thing in other photos. On page four, there's a photo, the label says it's a hard-boiled egg. It looks like maybe a pancake if I'm being generous. And then also the problem people have is actually, when they get a special meal, getting like nutritional equivalency. So, the photo on page five is supposed to be a vegan meal. It is vegetables and white rice. That is all that is in there. There's no protein. So, even though we have all of these quidelines in place, we have these standards, they are not being followed, and this is just one of like many complaints that we get that include, you know, for shelters where the meals are delivered, they deliver lunch and dinner at the same time like at lunch and then the dinner just sits out. It's not refrigerated. It just sits out for five hours. So, there's a number of issues that I appreciate the Council holding this hearing, but there's just a real lack of accountability on making sure that all of these rules and standards that are in place are actually followed.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much. I have a question. Do you have information on which shelters these are from and who the food provider is?

2.3

ALISON WILKEY: I can follow up and get that for you. I don't know that off the top of my head which ones.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay.

ALISON WILKEY: We do get frequent complaints with the same shelters that you've been mentioning. Regina, Riviera, Whitson's, like people complain the most about some of those.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So, it's clear that there is no accountability process. We're going to have to take matters into our own hands and collect the data ourselves as well as the pictures, the food, and make sure that there is accountability in the next year before we have another hearing about this topic. Do you have any other questions? Okay.

Next panelist.

MATT JOZWIAK: Good afternoon. I just want to thank the Council, Council Member Won, Majority Leader Farías, Council Member Brewer for taking the time to host yet another hearing on food quality at the shelter system. And also, yes, I agree there are over 500 shelters. And we did a little math while we were waiting back there. It would take two years for seven people to inspect every single shelter if there

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was 10 hours' worth of work to do at each shelter so seven people is clearly not enough. That's the main focus of our recommendation today is that there needs to be... the shelter system cannot police itself. There needs to be at least quadruple the staff within DHS or DFTA to go and review independent shelters. Currently, our understanding is that there's only two people at Department of Homeless Services that are overseeing menus and food, and the seven people that were mentioned for more for operational efficiency and things like that. Our recommendation is that since the shelter system is nearly doubled since the migrants started coming to New York, that they have sizable budget increase where they can focus on food quality as among other several issues. Rethink wants to be clear that this is an upstream issue that philanthropy is spending hundreds of millions of dollars on every single year. While I was waiting to testify, I received a list of over eight sites that Rethink in your District, Majority Leader, Rethink has to spend over 800,000 dollars a year providing extra meals to these sites because the food quality is so bad. This is a problem that's continued and continued. And what our recommendation is, is that

2.2

2.3

there's at least a third-party investigation into this. It seems that the Council and the City are very, very far apart on food quality and where this is at. And we are asking, please, if there's a third party, Rethink would be happy to do it, or any other party or Department of Investigations to actually look into this issue because every single day we are wasting millions of dollars and every single day (TIMER CHIME) the food quality is putting people in hospitals. Thank you so much for your time.

BARBARA HUGHES: Good morning. Well, it's afternoon now. I've been here listening since the beginning, and it's been difficult to listen to. My name is Barbara Hughes. I testified at a hearing recently and actually almost spoke the same script. I'm the Executive Director of City Beet Kitchens. We're an employment social enterprise, which is part of Project Renewal. We've been operating since 1995. We're a small vendor. We compete with the big three. We can't compete with them because our costs are too high. The poor food quality in the shelters leads to food waste, it does. Think about it. If you had an unappetizing meal, what would you do? You would go out and find means to find food another way. And City

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Beet Kitchens, we take pride in crafting quality dishes with diverse menus for shelter residents. We have difficulty competing, so we have one large client, Breaking Ground. We do seven sites for them, also provide people to serve the food, so we're creating jobs also because they are committed to our mission and went to the City for new needs so that they could afford our services. We care about the food we create and we care about our staff. We are paying above minimum wage to our staff. Many of them are graduates of our culinary training program, but using the lowest price catering options means that the quality of food in shelters will continue to be an issue. Additionally, in order to improve food quality in shelters, non-profit providers must be paid fairly and in a timely manner. Unfortunately, the City's been chronically late to pay non-profit providers for our work, so that's a (TIMER CHIME) crisis for us, too. We hope that you'll prioritize social enterprise caterers like ours over for-profit contracts. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much.

Can you just put on record who the big three are that you're referring to?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARBARA HUGHES: Pardon me?

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: The big three that you're competing with?

BARBARA HUGHES: Regina, Whitson's, and what's the other one? Riviera.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Thank you.

BARBARA HUGHES: Yes.

CO-CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: No further questions. Thank you so much for coming to testify. Oh, Council Member Brewer has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, all three of you testified. I think suggestions that could be made. My question for Rethink is, you mentioned not only needing seven people plus two and many more. Do you think, what would be the number that maybe would be able to be sure that the menu that is printed is one that they actually get, which is not true from what the Coalition for the Homeless stated? And then, in addition, how do you think you could, or Project Renewal, know your program? You're so dressed up I didn't recognize you, Barbara. I'm just telling you.

BARBARA HUGHES: Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The issue is, what is the mechanism that you could be the prime

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

contractors? How could that work? How could the City
make sure that happens?

MATT JOZWIAK: On the first part, you know, what we sincerely appreciated about working with Health and Hospitals was their ability to learn over time, and they changed, and they adapted, and they grew. And as food quality was an issue in the beginning, they changed, they found halal vendors, they listened to recommendations, and they grew. I think we should look at Health and Hospitals and the way that they approach food. They only have four open HERRCs right now, and two of them are closing, and look at the way that they measured and managed food quality and food waste. It is completely different than DHS and DSS. Completely different, night and day. I believe that now, there is actually, because there's been more of a light on this, there is more of an opportunity, and we'd be willing to partner and go after some of these bigger contracts and, you know, combine our cash flow to be able to, you know, execute them.

BARBARA HUGHES: I mean, some of our graduates...

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Use the mic,
3 Barbara. Pull the mic over.

BARBARA HUGHES: Some of our graduates work for Matt at Rethink, and we think we have a better way of doing things. And I'll mention, it's a partnership that we have with Breaking Ground. We meet, we discuss, we have quality control. Someone goes out and looks at their sites, makes sure everything is okay. There's a different way to do this.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And I believe all of your sites is scratch cooking, too.

Project Renewal in general. No big difference. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. And we'll follow up with you, if you can get us... well, we'll follow up with H and H to really understand what the process has been to evaluate food waste and food quality to make sure that we have a blueprint of how DHS should be operating. Thank you so much for speaking on the panel. We'll follow up if we have any questions.

The next panel is going to be Adama Bah,

Janet Jackson, and Latoya Meadows.

Okay. We can start with you.

3 JANET JACKSON: Good afternoon, good 4 morning, everyone. My name is Janet Jackson, and I'm here today to speak about the serious issues of the food quality in New York City shelters. I lived in 6 the shelters for approximately 10 years and had to 7 deal with lots of issues with the food. Thankfully, 8 I'm out of the shelter, but I'm here to speak on behalf of my experience and on behalf of members of 10 11 the Coalition for the Homeless Client Advisory Group. The fact is that all the food issues that I 12 13 experienced over the total of 10 years of being in 14 and out of the shelters still exist today. The 15 problems I hear are the same problems I had, and I'm hearing the same thing from other people today. 16 17 Nothing has changed. Nothing in 10 years. I lived in several shelters. All of them have food issues. At 18 one shelter, there was only one microwave for the 19 20 whole building to heat up their food. When I worked 21 at night, I had to choose between going hungry or 2.2 eating cold, unrefrigerated, left out, saved food 2.3 from the early in the day. At other shelters, staff leave frozen food sitting out on the tables all day, 24 which is unsafe. People got sick from this food 25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because it wasn't properly stored. Too often, food was so overcooked that it was barely edible, and the oalition provided a picture of the microwave food. The one on the side, that's from being overcooked. But this is nothing compared to the problem faced by people who have special dietary needs or medical conditions. People, including myself, go through all the work of getting a doctor's letter so they can get reasonable accommodation requests granted. But that's when the real struggle begins. Shelters ignore reasonable accommodations for medically necessary (TIMER CHIME) diets. I suffer from diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiac disease. I needed a special diet. They didn't provide it. I asked. They didn't provide it. And it's not just me. There's a strong lack of accountability in shelters, and it's killing people today. There's no fresh food, no healthy food, and nothing has changed. It's time for a real change. Thank you for letting me speak.

ADAMA BAH: Hello, everyone. My name is

Adama Bah, Executive Director of Afrikana. Our focus
is on black immigrants and black diaspora. I'm here
today because we cannot ignore the fundamental issues
affecting thousands of black Muslims in New York City

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

shelters and the lack of halal food. Let me be clear. What is being served, halal, is nothing more than rice and vegetables. And while that might be enough to check a box, it is not enough to meet the needs of our communities. What's worse, we don't even know who the vendors are. We don't know if they're actually certified halal, if they understand what halal truly means, or if they simply stamped a label to win a contract. And far too long, when the City talks about halal food, they automatically assume it means South Asian or Arab. Black Muslims, black Africans, African-American, and many black people across diaspora who practice Islam are completely erased from the conversation. We are Muslim too. We built mosques. We established communities. We fed our people long before there were City contracts. Our food, our traditions, our dignity matters just as much. But beyond the neglect, let's talk about the insult. Contracts for halal foods are being given to people who do not belong to our religion, do not come from our communities, and do not understand our culture. That would never happen for other faith groups. Imagine if I, a black Muslim, was awarded a contract to provide kosher food for the Jewish

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

communities without truly understanding the dietary laws. Imagine if I was given a contract to supply communion wafers to churches with no regards for their religious significance. It would be offensive, it would be unacceptable, and it would never happen. So why is this happening to us? Why is our faith, our food, our dignity up for profit handed to those who neither respect nor serve us? We demand change. African migrants and black Muslims should not have to beg for what is already their right. We need real oversight. We need real accountability. And most importantly, we need real halal food prepared by our people for our people. Black people dignity is not negotiable. African dignity is not disposable. And we will not stop until our people get the respect and service they deserve. (TIMER CHIME) And most importantly, I want to add, every year in Ramadan, we have to do a fundraiser just to get halal food to our communities. And this year, we have to do another one.

LATOYA MEADOWS: Good day, Majority Leader
Amanda Farías, Contract Committee Chair Julie Won,
and Members of the Council. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify today. My name is Latoya

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Meadows, and I am the founder and CEO of Collective Fare. We are dedicated to ensuring that New Yorkers experiencing food insecurity receive high quality nutritious and culturally relevant meals because food is more than just substance. It is dignity, health, and belonging. For years, I've worked with food insecure communities, and the one thing is clear, too much food being served in shelters go to waste. Not because people don't need it, but because it doesn't reflect their cultural backgrounds or nutritional needs. When meals are unfamiliar, lack seasoning, or don't align with dietary traditions, they go uneaten, wasting food, resources, and opportunities to nourish. Without accountability, we cannot fix what we can't measure. At Collective Fare, we've proven that a different model is possible. During the pandemic, we delivered over 1.2 million meals in collaboration with Rethink Foods, and hundreds of thousands more that continue to this day through the asylum response and beyond. These were meals that people actually ate because they were fresh, thoughtfully prepared, culturally relevant, and competent. We literally go to the shelters and find out who the people are because it's important for us.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I ad-libbed that part. By working with local food providers, we've reduced waste, improved quality, and restored dignity to food access. If the City truly wants to feed New Yorkers and not just fulfill contracts, it needs to prioritize local, communitybased food providers who understand the people they serve. That means serving meals that reflect cultural and dietary needs so people actually eat them. It means holding vendors accountable for the quality and nutrition through feedback and oversight. And it also means investing in local businesses and non-profits that create jobs and reinvest into community (TIMER CHIME) which strengthens New York City's economy. Our partnership with Rethink Food has shown that this model works. We've scaled meal distribution while maintaining nutrition, taste, and dignity. This is not only about fixing a broken food system; it's about building a better one through innovative and holistic practices. The City has a choice. Continue wasting meals and resources or invest in a system where every meal served is a meal that heals, nourishes, and respects those who receive it.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much.

25 Thank you so much for coming to testify.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next panel is going to be Shanna McCormick, Chloe Breyer, and Mansoor Rafiq Umar.

Okay, we'll start with you.

SHANA MCCORMICK: Good morning or good afternoon, Majority Leader Amanda Farías and Contracts Committee Chair Julie Won. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of Intro. 0905-2024. My name is Shana McCormick, and I represent Rap4Bronx, a community-driven organization dedicated to enhancing food access and nutritional outcomes in New York City. Our work spans partnerships with faith-based groups, senior centers, shelters, NYCHA developments, and other community-based organizations. We've proudly assisted in establishing pantries, subsidizing food access, and meeting residents where they are by providing prepared meals in partnership with Rethink, both rescued and farmfresh produce, and essential pantry staples. As we all know, food insecurity is not a one-size-fits-all situation, nor should it be treated as such. I would like to extend a special thank you to the Majority Leader for steadfast support in allocating funds to help with food access in her District, which is the very community that we serve the most, including

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subsidizing meals and shelters. Our on-the-ground experience has shown that when food quality issues arise at sites, residents often turn to local pantries and community-based organizations for better, more nutritious options. This situation not only duplicates efforts, but also escalates costs and compromises health outcomes. Many working New Yorkers already face the challenge of stretching their budgets to cover rent, transportation, childcare, and other expenses. This often forces them to compromise on food quality, resorting to fast food or lower quality options. In contrast, locally prepared, culturally relevant, ready-to-eat meals provide a dignified alternative. Such meals are critical for individuals without access to full kitchens, those juggling multiple jobs with limited time and energy to prepare nutritious meals. Over the past five years as a partner of Rethink, we've seen their efforts flourish into an ecosystem that we're proud to be a part of, prioritizing community well-being but also bolstering local businesses. Through this partnership, we've helped deliver close to a million meals from neighborhood restaurants, ensuring that local businesses thrive, local hires like our longstanding driver, Duane, receive (TIMER CHIME) fair

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

wages and benefits, and consumers have access to quality, nutritious meals. The Intro. 0905 mandates that food service contractors with 100,000 dollars or more are required to fill out surveys based on a quarterly basis. This requirement will ensure that the voices of our community members are heard and that these insights will hopefully lead to improvements in food quality and health outcomes, a critical step in reducing duplicate efforts, ensuring dollars are spent on food that is being consumed and not being disposed of. Thank you for your consideration and time to speak. We believe this is the beginning and hopefully the City continues to invest in the model like this to benefit for all.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, thank you. Next is Reverend Chloe Breyer.

REVEREND CHLOE BREYER: Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak in favor of Intro.

Number 0905. I'm going to speak today from the perspective of the Interfaith Center of New York and the focus on culturally competent food as one way of approaching or supporting the diverse religious observances of our city. So, I'll take a rather

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

looking back, a wider view. So, the Interfaith Center of New York has worked for and with grassroots immigrant faith leaders for the last 25 years. Civics training for grassroots faith leaders has been part of what we do, and we do that because we believe this strengthens New York civil society overall. Public accommodations for the newest community members and for New York's diverse community members help the people of this great city understand themselves as New Yorkers. So small administrative changes that allow for particularly the religiously diverse practices of members of the city, be it extending jury duty, be it public school holidays, be it halal and kosher in New York's public schools, these make a huge difference. So, fast forward then to 2022 when buses started arriving in our city from Texas and we began working with the HERRC system and heard a huge number of complaints about the food, many of which have been spoke about today. If you fast forward again just to last year to echo Adama Bah, black migrants who were residing in shelters around the city but spending a lot of time in mosques in the Bronx were trying to celebrate Ramadan but doing so (TIMER CHIME) without adequate food security. They

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

were fasting all day and breaking those fasts at mosques with something as simple as a loaf of bread. The issue was that the food provided by the shelters, while recognizing it was Ramadan, delivered all the food for a 24-hour period at one time and so they were looking for other places. We, the Interfaith Center, worked with other partners to create 54,000 meals that were all resourced by local, to Council Member Brewer's point, local restaurants. So, a huge outpouring by the community, the money went to local restaurants and then iftar meals were provided. So, in conclusion, the accommodations of religious practices for the new and other members of our city is not just about the interest of the individual but also serve for the long-term cohesion and strength of our great city.

 $\label{eq:co-chairperson won: Thank you. We also have Imam Rafiq.$

IMAM MANSOOR RAFIQ UMAR: Okay. Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Imam Mansoor Rafiq Umar. I am the President of Halal Watch. We are a halal activist organization, halal oversight, and we work directly with government. We work with Governor Kathy Hochul. I am actually an appointee on her

Executive Committee for Interfaith Matters and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Concerns. So, our organization is actually the first Halal Watch organization in New York State. We opened our doors in 1985. We work to ensure that the consumer, the halal conscious consumer, receives what they're looking to get, what they're purchasing. It should be exactly what they're expecting. So, our job is to make sure that there's a proper paper trail and a proper line from farm to fork. So, if you're purchasing something or you're receiving something that is halal, we want to make sure that that is actually halal. The same sort of oversight needs to happen in government. It needs to happen with the shelters. It needs to happen with the schools. We work directly with the Department of Education for all of the halal options and halal meals that go into the schools. We oversee them. We check to ensure that that is taking place. We work with other companies as well. We could tell you the companies here, because we have a database, because we have technology backing all of our systems, we can tell you when they've been audited, when they've been checked, when the last visitor or the last halal product has been in that facility because of the fact that we have

that sort of oversight and that sort of technology to
support it. So, our job is to make sure that that is
the case. We want to help the institutions. We want
to help the government to get this right, because at
the end of the day, the same principle applies with
restaurants, right? The customer is always right. At
the end of the day, if the customer is not happy
(TIMER CHIME) you guys are not happy. If the
customers aren't happy, you guys have a big headache.
Our job is to make sure at least the one portion of
halal, that that issue is mitigated and managed. I
came all the way from Albany, New York, just to have
two and a half minutes so I hope everyone really does
take this seriously because growing up as a
youngster, not having halal options, this is a
passion for me. So, it's a passion for our
organization and everyone involved. We want to make
sure that if it says it's halal, it really is halal.
So, thank you so much and hats off to all the other
organizations.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much.

Our last panel is Jeanette Lugo, Christopher Leon

Johnson, and Sharon Brown.

2 CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello. Good 3 afternoon, Chair Won and Chair Farías. My name is 4 Christopher Leon Johnson, and I'm here to speak in support of, we need better food in shelters. That's the problem. I'm not homeless, but I mean, I give my 6 7 comments to the people that are homeless in the city 8 of New York that could tolerate that type of food. But the truth of the matter of fact is, is that where was Vocal New York when today, the biggest homeless 10 11 advocacy organization in the New York City to speak 12 on behalf of these bills? Oh yeah, forgot, if it 13 doesn't benefit homeless sex offenders, then they won't advocate on behalf of it. All right, but let's 14 15 go forward. Let's keep this real. The reason they put 16 this food in shelters, these unhealthy foods in the 17 shelter is to keep the people sick because then they 18 become guinea pigs for the hospital industry and the medical industry. And the medical industry and the 19 hospital industry love using homeless people because 20 21 they are highly prone to getting diseases because of 2.2 the smells in the home. I used to work at a homeless 2.3 shelter as a security supervisor, and I know the smell is horrible. I wouldn't want my worst enemy 24 25 living in a homeless shelter unless they have to.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

That's why people live on the streets. The truth of the matter of fact is they want these people to keep on being sick in with these foods and everybody knows these foods are GMO foods. They want people to be sick so they can be used as guinea pigs for the hospital and medical industry, especially when it comes to psychiatric pills. You could take the, you could eat those food and you feel sick and you go to the right, the wrong doctor that is funded by the City Council, they will say, oh, you're mentally ill and they'll put you on these pills so they can make you a zombie for the rest of your life. So, I know nothing here is going to get changed with the food selections and stuff because the City Council refuses to address anything because everybody knows that the biggest non-profits that gets all the contracts, they're behind this stuff. They get funded from the developers. They get funded from the hospitals. They get funded from big pharma like Pfizer and Moderna and what happens is (TIMER CHIME) the people stay oppressed. So, look, I know this Committee, this is only a part of what's happening. The transparency was going on here, but unless you really say, look, we're going to get rid of these GMO foods and bring in real

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

healthy foods without the approval of the big pharma and big medical, then... I know, I'm going downstairs to the rally. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Thank you,

thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: You can go since she's still writing. You can go.

JEANETTE LUGO: Hi, everyone. Thank you so much. Is this better? Okay, cool. I want to first say thank you so much for having this forum. It is very important to everyone in East New York and Brownsville. My name is Jeanette Lugo. I am the NYPD Clergy Liaison for PSA2, which services East New York and Brownsville, and I do community affairs. So, as a liaison to the community, we receive so many phone calls from the shelters, and the phone calls that we receive are something that this community could definitely help us out with. There are fights about food if you can believe that in New York city, right? Just food, food alone. It'll be things like the people go into the shelters and when we'll get phone calls, people need food because either it was bad, it was received in all kinds of ways. They said that

2.2

2.3

they would send 200 meals. Instead, there were only 50 meals for 300 people and so on and so forth. When people are in the shelter system, they're already at their lowest. They're already at their lowest. They already have a situation in which, you know, I go into a situation where I see these people and they need to get the services that they need. For them not to be able to get the food that they need so that they can move forward and get the services that they need to get out of the system is inhumane. And so that's why what you guys are doing is very important. You know, for us to have to call a person like Rethink, which is an amazing organization, to help us (TIMER CHIME) with something... Thank you.

 $\label{eq:co-chair-error} \mbox{CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Finish your last} \\ \mbox{thought.}$

JEANETTE LUGO: For us to have to call
Rethink to help us with situations that deal with the shelter, it doesn't make any sense. You know what I mean? Let's give the resources to people that are willing to help the people and have something in place that can make us be able to do something better so that people can live a better quality of life.

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Agree. Thank you so much. And our last panelist.

SHARON BROWN: Hello, my name is Sharon Brown. As I testify, I would just say, remember Israel, release the hostages, let Yahweh's people go. Okay. There is enough money to pay for people to go directly into apartments and houses. There's been a fight over the shelters over and over, over food. I was here at a hearing before and they talked about how horrible the food was, and I thought something would be done then. And to my chagrin, we're here again talking about how horrible the food is. So, not only are the shelters keeping people in shelters when they have the money to pay for the shelter beds, they probably are at astronomical rates. They could put people directly into the homes and pay that money for apartments and houses. So, food situation, because I was here the last time and it did not get cured then, and it was not a major thing that people went in and made sure the food was better, until they take them out and put them directly into apartments, which I'm expecting them to start doing, they need to give them individual refrigerators in the shelters. They have space. They can get small ones with a freezer in it.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

129

give them some kind of food stamps or whatever. And they can also give them hot food allowance, restaurant allowance. I was here the last time. Again, I'm reiterating, it did not change. So, we need to press them to give them refrigerators and make sure they can get increases in whatever financing they need and get restaurant and hot food allowance ASAP. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much.

SHARON BROWN: Remember Israel.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you. You're dismissed.

And we're now going to go to online testimony. One second. Okay. Do I just call them or do I have to read anything? Okay. Okay. Now we're going to hear from a representative from Malikah.

You are now unmuted. If you could take yourself off mute and testify.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

RANA ABDELHAMID: Good afternoon. I'm testifying on behalf of Rana Abdulhamid and on behalf of Malikah. Our organization didn't initially set out to focus on asylum work, but when we began working

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with asylum seekers through IDCNY (sic), we quickly learned how urgent the food issue was. One story that particularly stays with me is the story of a Sudanese woman who was so malnourished that she couldn't breastfeed her child. She had been receiving food that was not only subpar, but also not halal. For her, this was not just an inconvenience, but it was a direct conflict with her faith. Halal food is a religious obligation for Muslims. It's not a matter of preference or choice. Thanks to the support of Council Member Won's office, we've been able to distribute over 8,000 halal hot meals to asylum seekers. Every week, we visit shelters in Long Island City and provide meals, but we hear from people over and over again the same. The food they are given is of poor quality. At times, we have heard anecdotally that some have been misled into thinking that they were receiving halal food, only to find out later that it contained pork or non-halal ingredients. This isn't just about food; it's about faith, dignity, and respect. For these individuals, eating something that isn't halal isn't just a dietary mistake. It's a violation of their core beliefs and an infringement on their right to practice their religion. What's

_

even more difficult to grasp is the mismanagement of food contracts in the millions of dollars allocated to feed people in need. Whenever I see in the news that millions of taxpayer dollars are being spent on food contracts, I feel devastated. How is it that in a city like New York, one of the wealthiest cities in the world, we are spending millions, but our neighbors are still going hungry and being denied the food that they need. These contracts, instead of providing adequate, culturally competent food, are putting people at risk. The money is being spent, but it's not reaching those who need it the most.

Instead, it's being mismanaged, leaving vulnerable communities with food that isn't nourishing, isn't (TIMER CHIME) culturally appropriate...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

RANA ABDELHAMID: And isn't what they
deserve. I urge the City Council to take immediate
action to address the mismanagement of these
contracts and ensure the food provided to asylum
seekers and all New Yorkers meet their basic dietary
needs and respects their cultural and religious
practices. For Muslims, eating halal is about living

in alignment with their faith. Our neighbors should

survival. We must do better. Thank you for your time

signed up to testify. If we have inadvertently missed

anyone who would like to testify in person or online,

please let us know by putting it in the chat or visit

the Sergeant's table to complete a witness slip now.

would like to note again that written testimony can

hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Thank you so much for coming to this hearing. This

hearing is now adjourned. [GAVEL]

be reviewed in full by Committee Staff, can be

submitted up to 72 hours after the close of this

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much for

We have now heard from everyone who has

Okay, seeing that we have no one else, I

not have to choose between their faith and their

1

2

4

5

and consideration.

testifying.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 27, 2025