CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

----- X

FRIDAY, JUNE 20, 2025

START: 1:16 P.M. RECESS: 3:06 P.M.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: HON. JAMES F. GENNARO, CHAIR

#### COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ALEXA AVILÉS
JUSTIN L. BRANNAN
ROBERT F. HOLDEN
KRISTY MARMORATO
SANDY NURSE
LINCOLN RESTLER
RAFAEL SALAMANCA, JR.
SUSAN ZHUANG

#### APPEARANCES

Beth Golub, Director of Sustainability Policy and Legal Affairs at the New York City Department of Buildings

Elijah Hutchinson Executive Director, New York City Mayor's Office of Climate & Environmental Justice (MOCEJ)

Paul Onyx Lozito,
Deputy Executive Director for Planning,
Adaptation from the New York City Mayor's Office
of Climate & Environmental Justice (MOCEJ)

Angela Licata,
Deputy Commissioner of Sustainability from the
Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Robert Altman,
Queens & Bronx Building Association

Michelle Luebke, Program Manager at the Stormwater Infrastructure Matters (SWIM) Coalition

Alia Soomro,
Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the
New York League of Conservation Voters (NYLCV)

Christopher Leon Johnson, Representing — Self

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 3  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	SERGEANT KUSHNER: This is a microphone
3	check for the Committee on Environmental Protection,
4	Resiliency, and Waterfronts recorded in the Chambers
5	on June 20, 2025, by Pat Kushner (phonetic).
6	(PAUSE)
7	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon, and
8	welcome to the New York City Council hearing on
9	Environmental Protection, Resiliency, and
10	Waterfronts. At this point, we ask that you silence
11	all electronic devices.
12	At no point during today's hearing are you
13	to approach the dais.
14	If you would like to testify today, please
15	see one of the Sergeants at Arms.
16	Thank you for your cooperation.
17	Chair, you may begin.
18	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Sergeant.
19	[GAVEL]
20	Good afternoon, I am Council Member Jim
21	Gennaro, Chair of the Committee on Environmental
22	Protection, Resiliency, and Waterfronts.
23	Today, we will be hearing Introduction
24	Number 499, sponsored by Council Member Abreu, in

relation to studying the feasibility of implementing

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 4  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	solar-ready measures for commercial
3	buildings.
4	Next is Introduction Number 1271,
5	sponsored by me, in relation to requiring review of
6	the long-term sustainability plan by the
7	Environmental Justice Advisory Board.
8	Next is Introduction Number 1302, also
9	sponsored by me, in relation to the reporting
10	requirements of the Office of Long-Term Planning and
11	Sustainability, which is their business is now
12	handled by MOCEJ (Mayor's Office of Climate &
13	Environmental Justice).
14	And we will also be hearing a
15	Preconsidered Introduction, also sponsored by me, in
16	relation to maintenance easements for post-
17	construction stormwater management facilities—the
18	whole SWPTS process.
19	And Resolution 926, sponsored by Speaker
20	Adams, declaring that New York City adopts the New
21	York State Climate Smart Communities pledge.
22	Introduction 499 would direct the
23	Department of Buildings, in coordination with DEP
24	(Department of Environmental Protection), the Fire
25	Department, and other relevant agencies to conduct a

COMMITTEE	ON	ENVIR	ONME	NTAL	PROTECTION	N,
RES	ILI	ENCY,	AND	WATE	RFRONTS	

2 year-long study to determine the feasibility of

3 implementing solar ready measures for commercial

4 buildings, after which DOB would be would be required

5

5 to submit a report with the results of said report to

6 the Speaker of the Council and to the Mayor.

Intro 1270--Okay, that was 499. Now we are moving on to 1271 and the details—Intro No. 1271 would require the Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability ("OLTPS"), the responsibilities of which are currently fulfilled by MOCEJ, to provide drafts and proposed revisions of the long-term sustainability plans required under Local Law 17 of 2008, otherwise known as PlaNYC, to Environmental Justice Advisory Board (EJAB), and would require EJAB to review the drafts and proposed revisions and make recommendations to OLTPS prior to the finalization of the long-term sustainability plans. The whole idea is to cut in the EJ community, you know, to cut them a little more-- (INAUDIBLE) for sugar candy, I've got these little dot things or whatever, these little wafer things. They will have to do. Where were we? I have to go back-otherwise known as PlaNYC, to EJAB-oh, I said that, right, I said that we were going to

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3 trying to give more input for the EJ community.

2.2

2.3

Next item, the Preconsidered Introduction would streamline the stormwater permitting process, the so-called SWPTS process, by allowing developers to obtain a stormwater construction permit before executing and recording a maintenance easement. The maintenance easement would instead be required as a condition of obtaining a stormwater maintenance permit. There has been a lot of, uh, huffing and puffing over the SWPTS process, and we are trying to make it better, and we are going to make it better, uh, in cooperation with, you know, DEP, we are all on the same page.

Next, Introduction 1302 would require

OLTPS to publish the long-term energy plan-- OLTPS to

publish the long-term energy plan required by Local

Law 248 of 2017, and the climate adaptation plan

required by Local Law 122 of 2021, within its update

to the long-term sustainability plan. The bill would

also increase the publication frequency of the

climate adaptation plan from once every 10 years to

once every four years. It would remove the

prohibition on members of the NPCC receiving

COMMITTEE (	NC	ENVIRO	ONME	JTAL	PROTECTION,
REST	т.т	ENCY.	ΔND	WATE	RFRONTS

2 align the City/s slimate reporting with the IDCC

3 align the City's climate reporting with the IPCC

4 (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) reporting

financial compensation for their work and better

5 | cycle. That was kind of hard to follow, but we will,

6 you know, when the Administration gives its

testimony, we'll have a better understanding.

And, finally, Resolution 926 would serve as the first step in the City's application to join the program, meaning the Climate Smart Cities program, and become a climate-smart community. The resolution states that New York City pledges to undergo or engage in 10 actions related to decreasing energy usage, inventorying emissions, engaging in emissions reduction work, increasing renewable energy usage, and other climate actions. Certainly, we have met those bars. This would make the City more eligible for funding from the state, which we never get anyway, but we can dream, can't we? I was not reading, "We can dream, can't we?" That was from me.

At this hearing, I look forward to public feedback on the legislation on the docket today, so that we can incorporate your feedback and ensure that these bills are the best and most effective versions

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 8  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	themselves. We look forward to the hearingwe look
3	forward to the testimony by the Administration.
4	We have been joined by Council Member
5	Marmorato, Council Member Holden, Council Member
6	Zhuang, and, on Zoom, we have Council Member Restler.
7	I would like to thank the Committee staff,
8	Natasha Bynum, Legislative Counsel to my right,
9	Sierra Townsend, as well as Ricky Chawla, Senior
10	Legislative Policy Analyst; Andrew Bourne, Senior
11	Legislative Policy Analyst; Tanveer Singh, Financial
12	Analyst; and my Legislative Director, Josh Gachette,
13	for all of their hard work and for leading us to this
14	good hearing.
15	I recognized Council Members already,
16	okay, we did that. And now I will turn it over to the
17	Committee Counsel to give the affirmation to the
18	witnesses before us. So I recognize the Committee
19	Counsel to do that.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good afternoon, please
21	raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the
22	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
23	before this committee, and to respond honestly to
24	council member questions?

[ADMINISTRATION AFFIRMS]

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 9 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great, please just
3	state your name and position for the (CROSS-TALK)
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, and and
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: record before you begin
6	your testimony (CROSS-TALK)
7	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure, and it looks
8	like we have actual testimony from (INAUDIBLE), thank
9	you, thank you, Josh, uh, from DEP, and from MOCEJ,
10	and from Buildings. So there will be testimony from
11	each of oh, good, that's the way I like it. Make
12	sure you get my picture, too. (LAUGHTER) Okay, it's
13	Friday afternoon, it's been a long week, it's been a
14	long week. So, who is going to go first?
15	DIRECTOR GOLUB: Hi, can go first.
16	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, please state
17	your name for the record and proceed. And you will be
18	testifying on behalf of?
19	DIRECTOR GOLUB: My name is Beth Golub, and
20	I am testifying on behalf of the Department of
21	Buildings.
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: All right, the
23	Department of Buildings. Okay, let me get to your
24	testimony. Okay, please.

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 DIRECTOR GOLUB: Great. Okay, Good

2.2

2.3

afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Members of the Committee, my name is Beth Golub, and I am the Director of Sustainability Policy and Legal Affairs at the New York City Department of Buildings. Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today regarding Intro 499 in relation to studying the feasibility of implementing solar-ready measures for commercial buildings.

We appreciate the Council's continued focus on sustainability and the critical role that Buildings play in advancing the City's climate goals. As the agency charged with enforcing the City's Construction and Energy Codes, DOB remains committed to implementing policies that promote energy efficiency, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and ensure safe building practices.

In 2019, the Council passed the Climate

Mobilization Act, including Local Laws 92 and 94 of

2019, requiring all new buildings and existing

buildings undergoing major roof renovations to

install either a solar photovoltaic system, a green

roof system, or a combination of both. Since the

passage of these laws, buildings across the city have

2 been working to incorporate solar systems or green

3 roofs in accordance with these requirements. These

4 efforts are yielding measurable impacts and helping

5 | the city advance toward its climate goals. In 2016,

6 there were 3,902 solar jobs permitted across the

7 city. In 2020, following the passage of Local Laws 92

8 and 94, there were 5,172 solar jobs permitted

9 citywide. The number of solar installations has

10 continued to steadily increase, with 9,118 solar jobs

11 permitted last year in 2024.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Additionally, DOB is currently working with stakeholders to update the New York City Energy Conservation Code. We look forward to partnering with the Council later this year to pass the legislation necessary to publish the new code. The Energy code updates will include new provisions that require onsite renewable energy readiness, including solar. These forthcoming code revisions will align with the Energy Conservation Construction Code of New York State and further solidify solar-ready construction as a standard requirement.

Given the existing requirement for buildings to install solar or green roof systems and the upcoming enhancements to both New York City and

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 12  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	State energy codes, we believe that the proposed
3	study is not necessary. The City is already
4	integrating renewable energy into commercial building
5	design and construction, and these efforts continue
6	to evolve through code development and enforcement.
7	We look forward to further discussing this
8	proposal and future enhancements to the New York City
9	Energy Code with the Council.
10	We thank the Council for its leadership on
11	climate and sustainability and for the opportunity to
12	speak today. DOB remains committed to working with
13	you to build a more sustainable and energy-efficient
14	city. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much
16	for your position on 499. We will get into that
17	during the question phase. So, thank you for that.
18	Okay, who wants to go next?
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: MOCEJ can
20	go next.
21	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure, please state
22	the witness's name for the record, and please
23	continue.
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: Sure. Good

afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Members of the Committee

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 on Environmental Protection, Resiliency &

environmental justice (EJ) in its name.

Waterfronts. My name is Elijah Hutchinson, and I am the Executive Director of the NYC Mayor's Office of Climate & Environmental Justice, or MOCEJ for short, which is the first Mayoral office to have

I am joined today by my colleague, Paul Lozito, MOCEJ's Deputy Executive Director for Planning, Adaptation, and EJ. For those who may not be familiar, MOCEJ works to protect all New Yorkers from the threats of climate change and extreme weather by creating and advancing policies, programs, and projects that secure the city, while making our critical infrastructure cleaner and more reliable. And throughout all of our work and decision-making, we prioritize addressing a legacy of environmental inequity in our communities.

Since joining MOCEJ as Executive Director in September 2023, we have undertaken great strides to advance efforts to combat climate change and incorporate environmental justice into the city's fabric, demonstrating our office's ability to get stuff done, including:

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

energy future.

1. On my very first day, we released the City's first-ever long-term energy plan.

PowerUP NYC outlines 29 clean energy initiatives centered on equity, affordability, and health in our move away from polluting fossil fuels and operationalizing our clean

2. We released the Environmental Justice NYC (EJNYC) Report, the city's first comprehensive study on the disproportionate environmental burdens affecting low-income communities and communities of color in all five boroughs, and an accompanying interactive online mapping tool that provides policymakers, community leaders, and everyday New Yorkers with over 100 data layers relevant to understanding EJ concerns within New York City.

3. As outlined in PlaNYC, we are working with agency partners to launch the City's First Blue Sky Housing Mobility program to acquire homes facing pronounced flood risk where there are no other viable alternatives to reducing that risk.

report behind us, we're now taking what we learned

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

and applying it to develop a multi-agency, citywide

16

3 environmental justice plan. Working with the experts

4 | serving on the Environmental Justice Advisory Board,

5 or EJAB, this next step in the EJNYC Initiative aims

6 to level the playing field for all New Yorkers. The

7 EJAB is a critical advisory body for MOCEJ, as they

8 assist us in ongoing EJ efforts and are charged with

9 ensuring our work is grounded in the lived

10 experiences of New Yorkers in the city's

11 environmental justice communities.

agencies and mayoral offices, we're developing recommendations for citywide initiatives and agency-specific actions that target investments in communities and public spaces, integrate environmental justice considerations into city systems and budgeting, and enable resilience and efficient building upgrades to protect residents from climate threats and rising energy costs, and improve accountability through data transparency and communications, while promoting collaboration with community-based organizations who are directly serving these environmental justice communities.

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 We are also advancing environmental

2.2

2.3

justice through other citywide planning efforts, including the aforementioned Urban Forest Plan, which will address extreme heat and ensure every neighborhood can benefit from trees, which help keep our neighborhoods cool, absorb stormwater, beautify streets, and clean our air.

Turning to today's legislation, Intro 1271 would require MOCEJ to share a draft of the long-term Sustainability Plan, also known as PlaNYC, with EJAB, the Environmental Justice Advisory Board, prior to its release every four years. Through this bill, the EJAB could make recommendations and propose revisions to the draft PlaNYC.

The Administration is in full alignment with the intent of this bill. It is excited to work with the Council to ensure environmental justice continues to be a top consideration in all of PlaNYC policies. However, MOC suggests modifications to the order of operations on a consultation with the Environmental Justice Advisory Board. We suggest that the proposed local law include an obligation to MOCEJ to collect information and recommendations from the Environmental Justice Advisory Board as part of the

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 18  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	analysis, which informs the final recommendations in
3	PlaNYC. Our experience in working with the
4	Environmental Justice Advisory Board and PlaNYC has
5	been that early consultation allows for more
6	opportunities to incorporate suggestions instead of
7	waiting to modify existing recommendations at the end
8	of the process. This achieves the same desired
9	outcome, but is more efficient and streamlined from a
10	process standpoint, and aligns more with the
11	procedural justice goals outlined within the
12	Environmental Justice NYC report.
13	On Intro 1302, we appreciate Chair
14	Gennaro's leadership on this topic. Introduction 1302

On Intro 1302, we appreciate Chair

Gennaro's leadership on this topic. Introduction 1302

integrates the objectives of the Long Term

Sustainability Plan or PlanyC, the Long Term Energy

Plan, the Adaptation Plan, and other MOCEJ

initiatives into one comprehensive, cohesive

framework. When MOCEJ was created, the Mayor's Office

of Sustainability and the Mayor's Office of Climate

Resiliency were all consolidated under one structure,

as well as the legacy requirements and obligations

stemming back to the original Office of the Long Term

Planning and Sustainability, or OLTPS.

This bill provides a more streamlined and aligned set of mandates for our office and allows us to look at the cumulative impacts of Intro 1302 to provide a standardized and consistent set of opportunities for public review and input on the City's policies on all climate-related matters, which MOCEJ fully supports. So thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I'm happy to take any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much,
Director. Okay, Commissioner, Director, I have to
make sure I called the people by the right title, and
so okay, I appreciate your good testimony. And so I
quess that leaves DEP.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Okay, I'm up.

Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Members of the Environmental Protection Committee. I am Deputy Commissioner of Sustainability, Angela Licata, from the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). I am here today to discuss the Pre-Considered Introduction T2025-3757, which relates to maintenance easements for post-construction stormwater management facilities and civil penalties for violations of the water pollution control code.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 To start, we thank Chair Gennaro for

2.2

2.3

sponsoring this bill and the Committee for hearing it today. I also want to thank the Council staff we have been working with on this legislation. The preconsidered bill would make two straightforward changes to improve the stormwater management permitting process and strengthen DEP's enforcement of water pollution controls.

As the Deputy Commissioner of
Sustainability, I oversee the teams that provide
planning, design, construction, and operations and
maintenance of much of the distributed nature-based
stormwater control around the city, including DEP's
rain gardens and other green infrastructure.

Commissioner Aggarwala and I have discussed this work
at several recent Council hearings, so I will not go
into great detail here, but anyone who wants to learn
more about our green infrastructure work should look
at our 2024 Green Infrastructure Annual Report, which
can be found on the green infrastructure page of
DEP's website.

Green infrastructure is a powerful tool for stormwater management that improves the health of our waterbodies, enhances our sewer operations, and

	RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	distributes important co-benefits that come along
3	with an increase in our green spaces, like urban heat
4	island mitigation or diverse habitat for wildlife.
5	We've been building green infrastructure for
6	stormwater control since 2011 and have invested more
7	than \$1.4 billion, with much of that investment
8	happening within the public right of way. But more
9	than 70% of the city is comprised of public or
10	privately held lots, and capturing stormwater on
11	these lots and doing so as they redevelop is a cost-
12	effective strategy for enhancing flood resilience
13	through stormwater management and improving water
14	quality through stormwater treatment. This is why we
15	also develop and implement stormwater regulations,
16	including the Stormwater Construction and Maintenance
17	Permitting Program, which is the focus of the
18	legislation being considered today.
19	DEP launched the Stormwater Construction
20	and Maintenance Permitting Program in 2019, as
21	required under the NYC Municipal Separate Storm Sewer
22	System (MS4) Permit issued to the City by the New
23	York State Department of Environmental Conservation.
24	This program existed prior to 2019, but it was

implemented by New York State DEP and has been since

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,

21

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Clean Water Act was amended to include regulatory requirements for MS4s. Under the MS4 permit, we were required to make program enhancements to include more development sites than DEC was regulating. For example, the qualifying soil disturbance threshold was reduced from 1-acre to 20,000 square feet to account for the more typical redevelopment projects in NYC.

In 2022, the City program was further expanded to apply to combined sewer areas to help meet both combined sewer overflow reduction goals and sewer operations goals. This change is known as the Unified Stormwater Rule, because it created a uniform stormwater policy across sewer capacity and water quality goals and provided more upfront clarity for calculating the requirements. Anyone developing sites must adhere to these rules, including DEP and other agencies. These regulations have been essential as we evaluate the impacts on our infrastructure of new growth through rezonings and other major redevelopments. In fact, these regulations were so important that they were expedited to meet the Gowanus rezoning schedule so that we could ensure that all redeveloped sites would comply with the

2.3

RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS enhanced on-site stormwater retention and detention requirements, allowing for a net-zero CSO outlook for the Gowanus Canal and reducing wet weather impacts to our sewers. We are just seeing some of these green infrastructure practices come online now, three years later.

While the benefits of this program are tremendous, we acknowledge that we have work to do to ease the burden on the developer community. We want to support the work that developers do. Our requirements are in place because the opportunity to establish stormwater management upon reconstruction is so great. We have to have management systems on private land, not just public space, so we have to seize these opportunities for onsite controls.

We want the permitting program process to be as smooth as possible, so we have been assessing the process, and for the past 18 months, we have been intensely workshopping process improvements with developers, representatives, and the architecture and engineering firms preparing their applications.

Legislative change is one of the steps that we hope to take to ease the process for applicants. The legislation facilitates rule changes

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 24
2	RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS that will streamline parts of the process. Other
3	improvements to the program will include building out
4	and enhancing our stormwater permit tracking system,
5	which was not fully completed when the program was
6	expanded and is cumbersome for applicants and DEP
7	review staff alike.
8	We look forward to continuing to work with
9	Council on this legislation to ensure that it
10	implements the changes in a way that best complements
11	our other improvements and that benefits all
12	stakeholders, including DEP developers and all the
13	residents of the city.
14	In closing, I want to reiterate that DEP
15	thanks you for your partnership on this issue and for
16	the opportunity to testify about this legislation
17	today. My colleagues and I look forward to continuing
18	our partnership, and I'm happy to answer any
19	questions that you may have.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Deputy
21	Commissioner. We certainly appreciate your good
22	testimony.
23	(PAUSE)
24	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: As is my practice, I

make little notes in the margins of the witness

So, DEP first, you know, thank you, Madam
Deputy Commissioner, for your good testimony. You
mentioned in your statement the process that involves
my team and the Council and DEP and folks in the
community, who have to comply with the SWPTS
(Stormwater Permitting and Tracking System) and all
that, which not only includes the private development
sector, but also city agencies. So we have this, you
know, very well-intended and needed process by which

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

26

we have to harness all the capabilities that we have

3 to manage stormwater, where we're going crazy here,

4 | right? So we're trying to increase the capacity of

5 the infrastructure that we have in the street, and we

6 have, you know, green infrastructure. And when it

7 comes to development or redevelopment, we have to,

8 you know, we have to seize those opportunities and

9 make it better for those that have to comply and

10 better for those that have to review. Do I have two

11 | statements? Oh, I have two statements together.

In talking about the desire to work with the Council to implement changes, are there things in the offing that are not mentioned in your statement that are in sort of like the incubation stage of how we might make this a little better, or does the legislation as written kind of speak to the, you know, to the main thrust of we're going for?

Anything you could share in that realm, that'd be

DIRECTOR GOLUB: Yes, of course. Well, first, thank you for acknowledging as well that it's a very well-intentioned program, and we have been studying all of the pinch points.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

appreciated.

./

One of the ways in which we know that we can improve the review process is to shorten the time frame between reviews. And one of the ways that we can do that is we have what is known as an erosion sediment control, and that is what protects sites during construction from any material leaving the site, related, uh, runoff that might happen during a rain event, while soil is exposed and disturbed.

And we have been receiving reports from the engineers and the architects on how they might control that potential for erosion during construction. Sometimes these are over 100 pages long with a lot of review back and forth. You missed that, you didn't include this. So at this point, what we think is a much better use of everyone's time is to get that document, do one review, submit the checklist, and say this is now approved, your erosion sediment control. With the checklist, you know what you need to do—in the field is where we really see the problems. And that's where we want to focus our attention, sending out inspectors when we have problems, either citizen complaints or we want to audit certain number of sites each and every year.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2.2

2.3

We think it's going to be a much better use of everybody's time. Because you could have an engineer and architect do a perfect job, even on an erosion sediment control plan, but if the contractor has no intention of complying, that's where the problem occurs.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. Well, we certainly appreciate that. Because your testimony was so comprehensive, that's all I have in my kind of first round.

This is for Director Hutchinson from MOCEJ. You talk on the second page of your statement, or at least the second page of the statement that I have in the large print edition. Thank you for providing that; my eyesight is not what it used to be.

Tell us a little more about the Blue Sky
Housing Mobility program. I'm not familiar with it.
You referred to it, and I'm going to use this
opportunity to get better familiar with those, along
with the millions of people in TV Land who are
watching us right now.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: Thank you, Council Member Gennaro, for the question.

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 While I was summarizing some of the things 3 that are keeping MOCEJ busy these days, one of those 4 things is launching a citywide Blue Sky Housing Mobility Program that would be optional for people who wanted to elect to move and have the City acquire 6 7 their property because they're facing extreme climate 8 risks—that the City have a program available for 9 those people that is not entirely reactionary during 10 storms, but it is available during all times, which 11 is why we call it a Blue Sky program.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Where would the money come from to do that?

coordinating with the state to try to get some funding for this. But we are, right now, going through the process of program design and identifying resources that would be available. And we have the person here, Deputy Executive Paul Lozito, who is designing the Blue Sky program right now and who could also answer some more detailed questions for you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure, if you wanted just to state your name for the record and give us a

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 30 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS little overview of where you think this may go over the short term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: Sure. Happy to answer your questions, Council Member.

So, as outlined in PlaNYC in 2023, we made a commitment as an office to develop a Blue Sky buyout program by 2026. Over the course of the last year, we've been doing program development with a constellation of agency partners. And what we are doing in the program design process, while doing so, is identifying how to identify volunteer parties that would want to sell their property to the City. We're trying to identify willing agency partners to acquire the lands, because what we've found through this process is that it's important to start at the end to understand what you're going to do with the land, because that helps give you a continuity of the process for folks. And then we're working out many additional details, including the funding and financing.

As Director Hutchinson has outlined, we're towards the tail end of the design of the process.

We're looking forward to sometime later this year making available for public commentary our draft

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 31 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	recommendations for an Evergreen program design for
3	this program, which would be intended to be available
4	during what we call Grey Skies post-disaster, to be
5	scaled up to acquire lands wherever necessary and
6	prudent. But it would also, over the course of a
7	period of time, allow the city a framework to
8	identify properties, acquire those lands, and find
9	willing agency partners to allow homeowners in areas
10	of extreme flood risk to move out of harm's way.
11	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And this is all
12	willing buyer-willing seller. This is
13	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) eminent domain here
14	DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: It's all
15	voluntary.
16	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And with regard to
17	is it envisioned that something like this could
18	happen, absent significant, uh, state support or
19	state dollars? And I know that there are a lot of
20	state environmental programs that we don't really get
21	the benefit of. And we represent 45% of the state—
22	half of the state, whatever. But we get very meager
23	amounts when it comes to almost every environmental
2.4	nrogram either funded directly by Albany or federal

money that goes through Albany to us.

partner with you, we can partner with you to, you

know, do a resolution in support of the state, you know, giving us our fair share of bond, that money.

And it's one thing just to say that in the abstract, but also to point to specific things that we need that Bond Act money for, you know, we remain ready and willing to work with you to send a message to the

8 state if that would be helpful. So, thank you for

9 that.

2.2

2.3

Yeah. If I ask you about the financing tools, the Local 197 will end up turning this into an oversight hearing, which it's not. It's a legislative hearing.

Oh, okay, I got a goodie for you, I got a goodie for you, okay, about the Urban Forest Plan,

Parks Foundation, other stakeholders, which will
equitably expand the city's tree canopy to 30%.

Let me tell you what's going on. You may or may not know this, but the Parks Department would know this, and I have a bill in on this. Here's a way to keep old-growth trees from coming down—every street in New York City, the City retains for itself a right of way, and that right of way—so, you know, within that right of way, it controls activities within that right of way. And people are limited as

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

to what they can do within the confines of that right
away. Now, in my area of Queens, the right of way
that the City reserves for itself, some streets, it's

50 feet wide, centered in the middle of the street.

34

6 And in some streets, it's 60 feet.

Let me just use my block for an example. The right-of-way on my block is 60 feet wide. The street is 28 feet wide. So when you go from the center of the street, you go 30 feet each way, and, uhm, 14 feet from the center of the street, you hit the curb. So the City's right of way goes past the curb, 16 feet past that. And so it goes past that little grass strip between the sidewalk and the curb, goes over the sidewalk, and goes on to the people's front lawns. I live in a single-family neighborhood, and so there are many times that I have seen oldgrowth trees about to be cut down, and I call the Forest Division or the Parks Department. I get them out there. And they measure, and then if any part of that tree--and, you know, a place like Jamaica, the state says trees are like 100 feet tall. I mean, you know, like you can't buy trees like that and the canopy that they provide.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

So I have a bill that we're trying to

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

advance, but if you want just to steal it and go and do it as policy, that's fine. We can still do the bill. But right now, I think one of the unprobed

areas in reducing the city's tree canopy is to make

sure that old-growth trees don't get cut down. And

this is a great way to do that, and it would require,

presumably, uh, tree contractors, you know, garden

services, whatever, but you know, like the people who

take down big trees, you know, for them to be--and

it's no secret who they are. They have some sort of

trade association or whatever. And whether they're

based within the city or outside the city, if they do

business with the city, we know who they are, and

there is going to be some way to, you know,

communicate with them about how they can ascertain

the right of way.

Let's say someone wants them to do a job, and so they should look up whether or not that tree is within the city's right of way. And if they want to ignore that, I think that's where we come in and indicate what they're going to be looking at. If they remove a tree, there is a contract they should have

known better not to remove—akin to what a homeowner

2 would face from the Parks Department if they take

3 away a tree that's between the curb and the sidewalk.

4 Everybody knows that's a city tree. But there is this

5 notion among tree contractors, some of whom I think

6 know better, that if it's on the property side of the

7 | sidewalk, that's four, five, six, seven, 10 grand,

8 whatever that's there for the taking by taking that

9 tree down. And they're going to take that tree down.

10 | They're going to grind that stump, and within a

between the curb and the sidewalk.

11 couple of weeks, no one is going to know that tree

12 was ever there.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I don't know the extent to which the tree has a sense of how many trees are within these right of ways (sic). I don't think the city even knows. I don't think they are mapped, so to speak. I think certain city trees are mapped if they're

But on the other side of the sidewalk, I think that's the Wild West. But we tamed the Wild West once upon a time in this country. Tamed it?

Well, we did whatever we did. But, I mean, how many hundreds or thousands of old-growth trees are we losing when they're just low-hanging fruit? And if we put all our minds together, we could figure that out.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 37 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	And I'm nodding to staff as well. I know we're
3	working on that bill, but you know, nothing is
4	stopping the people who are doing their urban forest
5	plan and all this. And like the tree canopy, the 30%,
6	nothing is stopping the City from just doing what it
7	needs to do to inform people, impose penalties, or
8	whatever. A homeowner who takes down a city tree,
9	it's a huge, you know, amount of money that they get
LO	fined. So I'm just trying to preserve trees. You
L1	know, and so are you.
L2	Now, what I just said, did you know any of
L3	that?
L4	DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: We're in
L5	the process, Council Member, of drafting the
L6	recommendations for the Urban Forest Plan. We were
L7	made aware of your bill. We're going to examine your
L8	bill and see if it can be incorporated into the plan
L9	We're at the point now. We're talking with our city
20	agency partners. We have a stakeholder advisory
21	committee of public partners, private partners, and
22	nonprofit partners (INAUDIBLE) (CROSS-TALK)
23	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, well, the
24	answer is, should have been, like, "Of course, I knew

25 that, and working to, like, do that." You know?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 38
2	RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And, so, uhm, yeah,
4	that is something we have on our mind.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: I wanted
6	to add, we also have a citywide survey on the urban
7	forest that's live right now. If people are looking
8	to provide input to us, we've done borough-based
9	kickoffs of the Urban Forest plan that has just
10	completed. We started in Staten Island a couple of
11	weeks ago, and we just completed our citywide virtual
12	kickoff. But if people have more input or want to
13	give us more ideas for the Urban Forest plan
14	(CROSS-TALK)
15	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, I think
16	certainly it would be very important to include
17	trees
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON:
19	Absolutely.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: That within the
21	city's domain, that are inside this city's right of
22	way, those are New York City trees, and no one gets
23	to, you know, mess with them.
24	I planted two trees right at the front of
25	my I planted two trees, and I know that they are

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 39
RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS city trees now. And I get that, but how many people
know that? So, to the extent that there's a mapping
effort going on right now, I think it should endeavor
to include trees that are within the city's right of
way and therefore cannot be cut down by homeowners.
And I'm not making this up. I mean, I have called the
Parks Forestry Division, they come out to where the
person is just about to fire up the chainsaw, and
they take a measurement, and if any part of that tree
is inside the city's right of way, then the tree
can't come down. So, there you have it.
All right, I will do my formal questions,
so that's my first pass on MOCEJ. And what happened
to Buildings? I think I can get to this in my formal
questions. So, Department of Buildings, you're off
the hook for right now.
Okay, I will come back with my formal
questions, but it is my pleasure to recognize my good
friend Council Member Bob Holden for his questions.
Bob, you are recognized.
COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Chair.

Thank you, everyone, for your testimony.

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

A couple of questions about the

2.2

2.3

environmental justice communities: Is it solely based on income?

have metrics that we've developed in coordination with the state using their disadvantaged criteria.

And when we pull them together in environmental justice communities or neighborhoods, we are pulling census tracts together that are contiguous, that have a certain set of criteria that we then group together and call those environmental... (CROSS-TALK)

my communities, which are the middle-income, I would say, working-class areas, but as far as environmental challenges, let's talk about that. Because I don't--I'm not included, at least my communities were told we're not included in the environmental justice communities. That means I can't get trees planted until 2036 now from the New York City Parks

Department, because I'm not an environmental justice community. Yet--let me just ask the question--yet I'm under, my communities are under a takeoff and landing pattern of LaGuardia Airport. That's the one. We also have the Long Island Expressway cutting through our

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

41

2 neighborhoods. We have the BQE cutting on the edge of

3 our district. And now I've got the Jackie Robinson.

4 And since we're 100 feet above sea level, most of my

5 district gets storms that knock out a good percentage

6 of our trees. Yet, I put in money for tree planting,

7 and I can't get it because it's going to be way into

8 | the future. I might not even be on this planet

9 anymore before I see another tree, even though I put

10 money into my budget. So I just had a storm yesterday

11 | that knocked out several trees, because again, we're

12 | on a higher elevation in most of my district.

So I'm asking you, how do I get on the list? Because we are faced with all these challenges of pollution. We are faced with, you know, we love trees. Yet, I've spoken to DEP many times at these hearings when I got the utility coming in putting in gas lines, and then we have more concrete than when we started. Commissioner, how can we get to a point where I could say, I have to get more grass in my community on, you know, on the curb, and yet, every time, for years now I've been asking—I got a project starting up today—they're doing every block, and I get more concrete and less grass at this strip. What are we doing about that?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Again, I get nowhere at these hearings.

Nothing happens. So, I'm going to get more concrete. I got front yards--DOB, by the way, telling me that there's no violation, when I have photographs of the guys paving over this entire front yard. So, we're putting bioswales in, and yet we are losing ground here. And I have tremendous stormwater runoff into the Newtown Creek, which gets more polluted.

So, I'm trying to combat all of this, but I am getting no cooperation from any of the agencies that you represent. And that's true because the proof is in, uh, I am going to show you photographs, hundreds of photographs, where I have more concrete after a utilities project. Yet, nobody is stepping in.

Can anybody answer that? When are we going to see some relief on utilities, telling them they have to put a grass strip at the curb, which is City property? Why are they concrete? Why is it all concrete? What's DEP doing about it... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm not going to jump

in and...

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 43  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: What's
3	Environmental Justice doing about? What's DOB doing
4	about it (CROSS-TALK)
5	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm not going to
6	cross swords with Bob if you're waiting for me. You
7	know? So
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: I can
9	No, no, no. I canwe can follow up with you on who
10	would be the best person to answer a question about
11	the sidewalk, but we're not DOT here at the moment.
12	But you will? Okay, sure.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Thank you,
14	Council Member. And we did look into that. The
15	problem, as I understand it, is they're just not
16	required to do it. And there is no, uh, I think we
17	would possibly need to have some kind of legislation.
18	We may need to have a rule change (CROSS-TALK)
19	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, see, that's
20	why I ask
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA:
22	(INAUDIBLE) (CROSS-TALK)
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And I didn't getI
24	never a got an answer. At the last hearing, I asked
25	that.

1 44 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Well, we do 3 look into (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK) 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me jump in for a 5 second, if I could, just make a note to staff, to Josh. Like he was going on, right? And so why don't 6 we just put in, you know, put in an LS request... 7 8 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: We did. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And... Oh? Okay. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: We did. However... 10 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well... 12 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That's gonna take--13 again, it won't be in this Council probably. But, I don't think that the City can say, "Well, we need 14 15 legislation." I just think it's City (TIMER) 16 property. You just have to--You could do an executive 17 order. The Mayor's Office could do an executive 18 order, say, we, you know, again, we have a stormwater 19 problem, especially in the 30th district, it runs 20 into the Newtown Creek. What are we doing about it? 21 You're putting in bioswales for billions of dollars, 2.2 yet you're losing ground on storm runoff. 2.3 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: We're in the process right now of coming up with the 24 25 recommendations, the policy recommendations that will

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 45 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	come out of the Environmental Justice Plan. So we can
3	take this, we can talk with the agencies, we can
4	analyze it and understand what the barriers and
5	limitations are, and then suggest some policy
6	proposals and recommendations (CROSS-TALK)
7	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, and then you
8	guys, and then the Yeah
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: That would
10	be part of the EJNYC (CROSS-TALK)
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah. And then,
12	DEP, the Commissioner comes out in favor of the City
13	of Yes, which is going to create more concrete. And I
14	think there was a conflict—and I told him that—
15	there was a conflict with being the Climate Czar and
16	then being the DEP Commissioner. Because what you're
17	doing is you're creating more concrete, you're
18	creating more building space in challenged
19	neighborhoods, and then you're not coming up with a
20	solution for storm runoff. So these are basic stuff
21	You can come up with all the task forces, all the
22	committees you want, but on the boots on the ground,
23	nothing is happening, and we're losing the battle.

And the Newtown Creek gets more polluted, and I get

1 46 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS 2 more flooding, and I have more pollution. Thank you. 3 Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, uh, thank 4 you. Did you want to follow up on that? 5 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: I did want 6 7 to add that we are looking specifically at concrete and low-carbon alternatives and substitutes for 8 concrete through Executive Order 23, which we had two years ago from the Administration to look at new ways 10 11 of decarbonizing some of our capital projects and looking at embodied carbon. So it is a work effort 12 13 and separate work stream to try to decarbonize some 14 of those pieces, specifically looking at concrete and 15 steel as being particularly problematic in the construction sector. And it's something that we're 16 17 looking at as part of our Clean Construction 18 Initiatives. So this is a topic we're looking at. 19 Thank you... (CROSS-TALK) 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, yeah, let me 21 just jump in with a couple of observations. First of 2.2 all, Council Member Holden, you know, we all are red, 2.3 but when it comes to the City of Yes, I mean, it's the Mayor's program. He's the Mayor's commissioner. I 24

don't know how much latitude he had to like kind of

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 47 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	not get behind City of Yes. That's just kind of like
3	how that goes. And, I'm also directing staff to take
4	a look at the bill that you indicate, uh, Council
5	Member Holden, has already been put in. Was that from
6	you, Bob? Was that your bill?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I'm sorry, I
8	couldn't hear.
9	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, because I said
10	that (CROSS-TALK)
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: We put in a
12	request
13	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: From the last
15	hearing, because I asked (CROSS-TALK)
16	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, okay, I mean, uh,
17	your office, right?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, I think I
19	we were talking to your office, too. So, I think we
20	both put in a request. I think your office might have
21	done it, too.
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, well, I can
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You'll be here next
24	year, not me, so that's why.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I--yeah, I wake up

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

every day and say, Okay, I'm glad for another day. So let me just add to that, God willing. And so I'll ask the committee counsel to--and if a bill is in and it's not mine, this is like a veil of, you know, confidentiality and privilege that's, you know, that's around that. But you know, if you want to suspend your privilege and get me and my team to take a look at it, then we can do--although it would go to yeah--I would ask the committee counsel, you know, to engage with your legislative director and just see what planet that that -- or where that Legal Services Request, that bill drafting request is, where that's right now. It sounds important, and as chair, if I could help to move it along, I would certainly like to do that. But thank you, Council Member Holden, for bringing good common-sense issues to light as you always do, so thank you.

(PAUSE)

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, the Department of Buildings is no longer off the hook. Okay, in your statement, you make the statement, and I can see the paper now, but now when I look at you, I really can't see anything. Now I can see you. You know, but now I

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 49 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	can't see this. All right, I know you're there. Now I
3	don't know where you are. But, in your statement,
4	let's see, "Given the existing requirement for
5	Buildings to install solar or green roofs (INAUDIBLE)
6	in the upcoming enhancements, and the upcoming
7	enhancements of both the New York City and State
8	Energy codes, we believe that the proposed study is
9	not necessary." I just want you to elaborate a little
LO	more on that. You know, you obviously have looked at
L1	itOh, hang on. I thought the Council Member,
L2	Council Member Abreu, might be here. It's his bill.
L3	He's not. But if you can elaborate a little more on
L 4	why you think that the study that he calls for in his
L5	bill, am I on that bill? Am I on the bill?
L 6	(UNINTELLIGIBLE) Okay, I'm on the bill. Just
L7	elaborate a little more. Because, you know, sometimes
L 8	we get a reply like, "Good intent, we want to work
L 9	with you", and stuff like that. Normally, we don't
20	get the point-blank "The proposed study is not
21	necessary." But if you can expand a little bit on
22	that?
23	DIRECTOR GOLUB: Sure, absolutely.
24	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And now I'm putting

25 my glasses on so I can see you as you're...

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 50 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	DIRECTOR GOLUB: (LAUGHS) Great. So, as I
3	described in my testimony (CROSS-TALK)
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, you've got to
5	turn your mic on.
6	DIRECTOR GOLUB: Is ithello, can you hea:
7	me?
8	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, you got to move
9	close, yeah.
10	DIRECTOR GOLUB: Great. Okay, so as I
11	described in my testimony, the Council did pass Local
12	Laws 92 and 94 in 2019. So those laws include a
13	requirement for any building, any new building, or
14	any building doing significant construction on its
15	roof, to install as much solar, or green roof, or
16	both, as possible. And, in coordination with that
17	law, there is a state property tax abatement to cove:
18	a good proportion, up to 30% of the costs of the
19	installation. So we have seen solar jobs steadily
20	increasing.
21	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
22	DIRECTOR GOLUB: We do know of some
23	barriers to installation, for example, there might be
24	mechanical equipment on a roof that makes it
25	prohibitive, or a roof might be shaded or vulnerable

1 51 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS 2 to development that would result in shade. So, not 3 every building is a good candidate for solar. But we 4 don't have a concern about the buildings that are good candidates, seeing that as an attractive option. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Yeah, I just 6 7 want to get that on the record, so thank you for 8 that. DIRECTOR GOLUB: Great. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm being coached. If 10 11 you don't like the question, it's her fault. Okay, how would commercial solar-ready measures differ from 12 13 residential solar-ready measures? Does that have enough context that you can answer that? 14 15 DIRECTOR GOLUB: Yeah, that's fine. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah. 17 DIRECTOR GOLUB: So the way the bill 18 defines commercial, it references the energy code, so 19 it's really a matter of residential being small one 20 to four-family homes, like you described in your 21 neighborhood, and then commercial is essentially 2.2 everything else. So, the technology that is needed to 2.3 prepare a building that is a small one to four family homes, or any building that can be as big as, you 24

know, the buildings covered by Local Law 97, 25,000

2.2

2.3

square feet or more, the technology or the technical requirements are different. But there are no really structural barriers for commercial buildings to be solar-ready. It's more about the roof itself being able to support the solar panels, and whether that roof would be a good producer of energy due to shade or other issues. For the energy use in the building, the times at which the solar would be active might not match the use of the building. So there are a lot of reasons why solar makes sense for some commercial buildings and not others. But it's not because of structural barriers.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, thank you.

Okay, I have a question about Intro 1271, the EJ bill, in relation to requiring review of the long-term sustainability plan by the--oh, yeah, okay. How would greater involvement by EJ improve PlaNYC? I mean, you already testified that you have a--well, let me not put words in your mouth. I asked a question; I should be polite enough to let you answer it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: Sure, and we appreciate the question because there are so many different ways in which the Environmental Justice

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 53  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	Advisory Board works with us both on PlaNYC, and just
3	on the normal development of policy and programs.
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Who makes those
5	appointments, by the way, to the EJAB?
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: Sure. So,
7	currently, the EJAB is co-chaired with us with the
8	WEAP (Worker Environmental Awareness Program)
9	Environmental Justice, and we have
10	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON:
12	representatives who are appointed both by the Mayor
13	and the Council, who are on the board.
14	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, I just wanted to
15	know. You don't have to read the names of the people
16	who are on it. I just want to know the process,
17	that's all.
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: So EJAB was
19	just stipulated and has come together, and it's only
20	in its second year, since we were established as an
21	environmental justice
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
23	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: body, in
24	addition to a climate office. We still do need

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 54  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	Speaker and Council appointments for a Environmental
3	Justice Advisory Board. So there's been some
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Shame on us.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: (INAUDIBLE)
6	has been somewhat of a backlog. But there is a lot of
7	coordination and transition going on. So, we have a
8	robust, active a Environmental Justice Advisory Board
9	currently
10	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: And we are
12	currently working with both the formal appointees and
13	others who we consider plan contributors. So we don't
14	try to limit engagement through the Environmental
15	Justice Advisory Board; we have others who also
16	coordinate with us. But the Environmental Justice
17	Advisory Board, in our proposed modification to your
18	recommendation
19	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: We are
21	interested in getting their input and feedback
22	earlier and informing the
23	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: and
25	informing the analysis. As we know from working on

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 55
2	RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS the Environmental Justice Advisory Board, we can't do
3	environmental justice by adding it on at the end. And
4	it is important for procedural justice to include
5	them in the formulation of the recommendations. So,
6	we agree with your
7	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON:
9	wholehearted attempt to include environmental justice
LO	and actually suggest just some process improvements
11	to make sure we truly get (CROSS-TALK)
L2	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, can, uh
L3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON:
L4	coordination with them.
15	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Just hang on one
L 6	second.
L7	(PAUSE)
L8	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We would be most
L9	receptive to any kind of language changes that you
20	could put forward to help us achieve, you know, what
21	you think would be a way forward for this bill, in
22	getting folks in earlier at the front end rather than
23	at the back end. So we would be very receptive. And
24	you know how to contact the Committee Counsel. So we

would appreciate that.

additional topics, and actually expand what we

currently use the Sustainability Advisory Board for—and these other task force for—to be in one new integrated task force covering multiple topics, and then dividing that task force into subsets of topic areas so that people with unique specializations and interests, whether it's on energy policy or resilience or adaptation, can participate within those more smaller dedicated forums. But the goal is to actually bring them all together so that they can synthesize and report information in ways that are truly helpful to the Council and the public.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I would tend to agree. I am a real enemy of sort, like the silo mentality. We have various silos doing what they're doing and not talking to one another. It's not the same kind of open collaboration that you would have if everybody were getting together and just going through it. So, thank you for that answer.

Pursuant to Local Law, the City's Climate

Adaptation Plan must propose additional strategies to

address climate change. Arguably—I love to argue—

arguably, the most recent Climate Adaptation Plan,

Adapt NYC, does not fulfill this requirement as it

primarily summarizes existing climate change

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 58
2	RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS adaptation strategies. So what does this say? It say:
3	that the whole goalso sub question, the question
4	that follows that statement: Will the Administration
5	commit to considering new climate adaptation
6	strategies and the next Climate Adaptation Plan,
7	which will be required in 2028, if this bill were to
8	be enacted?
9	DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: Thank
10	you for your question. So the answer to your question
11	is, yes. Part of the benefit of the consolidated
12	planning effort is that we will be able to appreciate
13	adaptation, sustainability, and energy all at the
14	same time. So that will help us really isolate and
15	hone in on additional risks that might emerge over
16	the course of a period of time, as well as visiting
17	adaptation as part of our normal processes on a more
18	frequent basis.
19	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, I
20	appreciate that.
21	(PAUSE)
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, going back to
23	the whole SWPTS thing, uh, question. What's the
24	difference? At the basic level, what's the difference

between a stormwater construction permit and a

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 59  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	stormwater maintenance permit? New Yorkers want to
3	know, you know?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: The stormwater
5	construction permit is what allows for the post-
6	construction stormwater measure to be implemented,
7	and the maintenance permit is what comes at the very
8	end of the line, which allows for continued
9	inspection and enforcement.
10	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: So
12	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: At its most
14	simply put.
15	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes, and all New
16	Yorkers are breathing a sigh of relief now that they
17	know that.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: (LAUGHS)
19	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, how long does DEP
20	take to issue a stormwater permit today? And
21	following that up, how does this duration impact
22	building development and the city in general? I guess
23	that's what we are trying to get at. But, please
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Yeah, frankly,
25	in our opinion, obviously, Commissioner Aggarwala and

2.2

2.3

RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
the whole DEP team support the Mayor's agenda for
affordable housing, and we want to see the burden
eased on the development community. So the whole
process is taking too long. It's a short answer. It's
somewhere between seven and nine months, and there's
a lot of hand-holding going on. There's been an awful
lot of training, but the program itself, obviously,
there is a ramp-up. There's information that needs to
be exchanged, lessons that need to be learned, and
developers who want to test our mettle in terms of
the hierarchy for green infrastructure. Do we really
mean it? Can they get away with something less than
that?

So there have been a lot of growing pains, and I believe that will be eased. But there are also a lot of process improvements that are necessary, and we are firmly committed and understand that we can still achieve our goals and ease the burden for time on developers.

What we would ultimately like to see is no greater than two submissions. So they submit an application, we may have comments, they address the comments, and they're done. Now what we're seeing is sometimes four passes back and forth... (CROSS-TALK)

1 61 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS 2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: (INAUDIBLE) Yeah. 3 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, thank you for 4 that. And thank you for the approach. I mean, I've 5 been in government for 42 years, and so often I have 6 7 seen reluctance and recalcitrance, big word, you know, on the part of government that is -- usually 8 their answer is, "We came up with this, and this is the way we do it. And that's that." So this is not 10 11 what we are getting with the Adams Administration and with the DEP. We see a problem, and we try to figure 12 13 out a way to do it better. So, I've always appreciated the cooperation that DEP tries to provide 14 15 when faced with a problem that can be made better. 16 (PAUSE) 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We're getting close, 18 we're getting close. This is still a SWPTS thing. How 19 will increasing the maximum penalty for violations of 20 the water pollution control code to \$15,000 improve 21 the permitting process? 2.2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: We spoke a 2.3 little bit about that earlier, whereby we're going to put less burden on review of the Erosion Sediment 24 Control Plans and place more emphasis on inspection

2.2

2.3

in the field. And this will protect the good actors, right? So they're going to move more quickly through the process, and those who want to defy the Erosion Sediment Control Plans that they've submitted that are on file, or that they know must be implemented in accordance with the regulations, and with our design manuals, they'll be the ones who receive those higher penalties.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, okay. So, I mean, uhm, the phrase "carrot and stick" means something. And that is our time-tested concept.

This gets to the New York State Climate
Smart Community pledge. We have two questions on
that. To what degree can state climate grant funding
make up for the loss of federal climate funding under
the current presidential administration? Yeah, state
funding, federal funding, tough question. But to what
degree can state climate grant funding make up for
the loss of federal climate funding under the current
presidential administration?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: It's high.

We need every dollar we can get, and we've always

been pursuing all avenues. Our agency, MOCEJ, was the

beneficiary of a lot of federal funding through the

IRA Bill and other discretionary programs that are at risk, particularly resilience funds and environmental justice funds, particularly those through the EPA.

We are assessing for each one of the things that we're trying to do, how to do them still, and put one foot in front of the next, and find alternative sources of funding. But we absolutely need to have conversations with the state about fair share in New York City and getting every available resource that we can to meet our local programs. And we're actively looking at everything we're trying to do in pivot resources accordingly.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And, just for the benefit of the public who may be watching, or who may see this, can you characterize, you know, so far, uhm, the landscape with regard to the federal funding and environmental initiatives? Just paint a picture of how things have gone, what has dried up, and what may dry up, for the people who may want to be enriched by the information. What's your assessment of that?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HUTCHINSON: Sure, we have several different funding sources and agencies that we tap into, particularly NOAA, the Department

have direct community impacts and I think impact a

lot of projects across the city—including

2.2

2.3

emissions. There are other pools of funds that are in

question, including FEMA and the BRICK program, which

COMMITTEE	ON	ENVIRO	NMEN	TAL	PROTECTI
RF	STT	TENCY.	AND	WATE	REPONTS

ON,

3

4

1

2

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

environmental justice funds, WEAP, and the funding for Fordham and other partners that were going to be by our side doing this work. So, we're all trying to figure out what to do right now, what's stopping, and what's starting, what's able to move forward, and where we can't move forward.

It is challenging because what's concerning is that the federal government is also rescinding rules and other policy frameworks that allow for public participation, public comment on federal actions as they start to undo the policy, and regulatory frameworks that guide a lot of this work.

So we're also actively working with our law department and others to come up with legal strategies, other types of strategies to try to stop the defunding of our work and lift the restraining orders or other notices that our office has received to hold or a freeze on funding.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, well, thank you for, uhm, painting that picture and putting that out on the record, and I think it's important to do that.

Finally, you know the resolution, you've read it, and you've looked at it. Are there other

2 benefits, in your estimation, to adopting this

3 resolution? A softball.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LOZITO: Short answer is it makes us more competitive for the Climate Smart Communities Grant Program at the state, whereas Director Hutchinson said we were able to receive resources last year to help enhance our greenhouse gas inventory, as well as potentially other state grant opportunities. They look highly on designating a community as a climate-smart community.

appreciate that. And with that, uh, we have no more questions for this panel. We appreciate the fact that, you know, we got three rounds of testimony. I heard it was going to be one person testifying for everybody, and then questions or whatever, and I like this format better where everybody gets to speak for their own agency. That is the way I like it, and it was a pleasant surprise to see that that's how it all shook out. So, yeah, we look forward to working with you going forward. And, we're just going to take a minute recess or whatever.

(PAUSE)

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, now we are

2.2

2.3

going to start the public comment period. This is the boilerplate that I have to put on the record:

I will now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on the legislation and the resolution being heard today at this hearing.

If you have a written statement or additional testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms.

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2.2

2.3

You may also email written testimony to testimony@council... That is C O U N C I L, so that's testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours after the close of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

So, I don't believe we have an [in-person] panel. So, now we are going to the protocol for Zoom testimony.

We will now turn to remote testimony. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you, and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go-ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

Okay, the first witness, no stranger to this Council, someone who worked here for many years as a committee counsel, back in the day, he is a representative of the Queens and Bronx Building Association, and his name is Robert Altman. So, I recognize Robert Altman. Now you have to wait for the sergeant to give the go-ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

ROBERT ALTMAN: Thank you. As the Chair said, I am Robert Altman. I'm here to testify on

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 69
RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
behalf of the Preconsidered Intro for the Queens &
Bronx Building Association.

2.2

2.3

First of all, the Association would like to thank the Council Member and the Department of Environmental Protection for hearing our concerns about the length of time to get approvals on Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans. This is an area of concern not only for the industry, but a number of Council Members have also expressed concern to us about the delays the current set-up creates regarding parks and other projects that are important in their districts. This bill represents an excellent first step in addressing everyone's concerns.

Second, we would like to request and look forward to working with your office, Mr. Chair, and DEP's office in the drafting of the regulations. We hope that these regulations are drafted expeditiously so that other concerns can be addressed and so that we achieve the twin goals of speedier approvals and the minimization of stormwater pollution.

Again, thank you, and we support the bill.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Robert. As you heard during the testimony, the Adams

Administration and DEP are deeply committed to making

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 70 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	sure that we get the best result with a minimum of
3	paperwork and lengthy delays. We want to streamline a
4	program that works for everyone, and we've had a good
5	relationship to get to this good day. And we
6	continueand we pledge to continue that. I'd like to
7	thank you and the Queens & Bronx Building
8	Association, and other organizations, who provide
9	critical feedback as people who have to work under
LO	the SWPTS program. It has been very helpful to work
L1	with this. So, I certainly appreciate your thanks,
L2	but, uh, please accept my thanks, and my thanks to
L3	your members, for the very calm, sober, deliberate
L4	way, not complaining, but seeking to go forward and
L5	choosing to, you know, light a candle rather than
L 6	curse the darkness. So, we treasure our, you know,
L7	working relationship with you and other builders. And
L8	that will continue, you have my word, and have a good
L9	weekend, Robert.
20	ROBERT ALTMAN: Thank you. You, too, Mr.
21	Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And our next witness
23	is AliaOh, I'm sorry, my eyes are not what they
24	used to be, our next witness is Michelle Luebke from

the SWIM Coalition.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MICHELLE LUEBKE: Thank you. Sorry, I accidentally muted myself again.

Good afternoon. My name is Michelle

Luebke, and I am the Program Manager at the

Stormwater Infrastructure Matters (SWIM) Coalition.

Thank you for the opportunity to deliver public

testimony, and thank you to Chairman Gennaro and

members of the Committee for your leadership on

behalf of environmental protection, climate

resiliency, and protecting water resources in New

York City.

organizations dedicated to ensuring swimmable and fishable waters around New York City through sustainable stormwater management practices—both green and grey infrastructure. SWIM Coalition has long advocated for nature-based solutions to address flooding, stormwater, and climate resiliency, not only because green infrastructure has the capability to manage water and highly urbanized areas, but also because of the myriad co-benefits associated with greening our cities.

2.2

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2.2

2.3

In terms of Intro 499, while the SWIM

Coalition supports climate change and resiliency

measures, we would like to see a broader

implementation of green roofs in conjunction with

7 function of both.

8 And we reiterate what the DOB said about

solar, since the co-location of the two optimizes the

And we reiterate what the DOB said about Local Law 9294.

In terms of 1271, as environmental justice advocates, the SWIM Coalition supports the recommendations of the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice to include the Environmental Justice Advisory Board in the process of developing the plans, reviewing the drafts, and proposing revisions of long term sustainability plans like PlanyC with the Office of Long Term Planning and Sustainability and Support giving the EJAB power to participate in the process, not just review and make revisions prior to the finalization of any long term sustainability plans.

In terms of Preconsidered 3757, the SWIM Coalition supports the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) in fully implementing the Unified Stormwater Rule (USWR). We understand the intent of

1 73 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS 2 this intro to be streamlining the permitting process, 3 and if so, we support. 4 Additionally, we would like to emphasize that the stormwater rule (TIMER) would run more 5 efficiently if DEP...(CROSS-TALK) 6 7 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you, your time has expired... (CROSS-TALK) 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sergeant, I'd like to give the SWIM Coalition a little latitude. I have a 10 11 long relationship with this organization. They're most productive in what they bring to the Committee. 12 13 So, please finish your statement. 14 MICHELLE LUEBKE: Thank you. We believe 15 that the Unified Storm Water Rule would run more 16 efficiently if DEP had more staff, and the fee paid 17 by the permittee should be spent on hiring DEP staff 18 to administer the permits. The Unified Storm Water 19 Rule is a strong defense against future flooding and 20 must be preserved. 21 In terms of Resolution 926, we support the 2.2 City adopting the New York State Climate Support 2.3 Smart Communities pledge, and we will be submitting

written testimony. Thank you so much for your time.

that helps local governments take action to reduce

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to a changing climate. The program offers grants, rebates for electric vehicles, and free technical assistance.

2.2

2.3

The impact of this proposed action is huge. To date, 9.6 million people, over 400 municipalities around New York State, live in a community that has adopted the New York State Climate Smart Communities pledge. By taking this pledge, our city will be in a stronger position to access state funding with the Climate Smart Communities grant program. NYLCV urges the City Council to swiftly pass Reso 926.

NYLCV also supports Intro 1271, requiring

MOCEJ to provide drafts and proposed revisions of

PlaNYC to the Environmental Justice Advisory Board.

This is a commonsense bill since environmental

justice should be intertwined with every step of the

process of any sustainability proposals that are part

of future PlaNYC amendments.

Similarly, we also support Intro 1302, which would require MOCEJ to quadrennially support the Long Term Energy Plan and the Climate Adaptation Plan with its update to PlanyC. This is another common sense bill that would consolidate and

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
streamline some of the different reporting
requirements that MOCEJ already does.

2.2

And lastly, we support the concept of
Intro 499 to conduct a year-long study to determine
the feasibility of implementing solar-ready measures
on commercial buildings. Solar is an essential
component of the City's efforts to move away from
fossil fuel-generated electricity, both in the public
and private spheres. We (TIMER) recommend that the
Council work with DOB (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Please continue. Please continue, Alia. I want to get the benefit of what the League has to say.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR SOOMRO: Thank you. We just recommend that the Council work with the DOB so that the bill is not redundant with existing DOB efforts. But in terms of things to consider, we would like to see this bill be more specific when it comes to identifying financing aspects of installing solar-ready measures—more specifically, what the availability or lack thereof is when it comes to incentives, grants, and other financing tools at the federal, state, and city levels for commercial buildings. We also think that the bill should

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 77 RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	consider zoning and permitting impediments to solar
3	installations. Thank you for the opportunity to
4	testify.
5	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank you,
6	Alia. And please give my best to Julie and all of the
7	good folks at the New York League of Conservation
8	Voters. What would we do without you? So, we really
9	appreciate your patience and your willingness to give
10	the League's valuable input on today's business. We
11	appreciate it. Have a great weekend.
12	And the next witness will be Christopher
13	Leon Johnson.
14	SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
15	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: (*Transcriber
16	Note: Witness Has Poor Zoom Connection Throughout.)
17	Yeah, hello, my name is Christopher Leon Johnson.
18	Thank you, Chair Gennaro, for having this hearing
19	today.
20	So, I'm inside City Hall for (INAUDIBLE)
21	assignment. (INAUDIBLE) Okay, whatever. But I'm here
22	to support a Resolution 926 that is sponsored by the
23	Speaker, Adrienne E. Adams, and you, Mr. Gennaro. And
24	the reason we need this resolution is because it wil

unlock more money for an electric car vehicle, uh,

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS

2 electric cars and stuff like that. We need more

3 electric car stations in the city of New York.

4 There's a lot of electric cars in the city of New

5 York, especially when it comes to Uber use and Lyft

6 use. And we need more stations.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, I want to call on the City Council, including you, Mr. Gennaro, to put a bill into the City Council to allow electric car stations, uh, electric car (INAUDIBLE) to be built with no community input. Because what's -- with, like, a fast track, like, Intro 417 would (INAUDIBLE) put out, like, 417, which will allow the DOP to put bike lanes without no community input. You need to introduce the bill to allow the City, uh, to install car-charge stations without community input. Because what's going on is that with the City of Yes carbon neutrality, this allows the City to open up bike battery stations, bike battery swap stations, and electric bike vehicle charger stations with no community input, but it's always community backlash. And everybody knows that all of this is about election time and democrat/republican stuff, but if you put the City--if you already advocate for the City of Yes, and you voted for the City of Yes carbon

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 79  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	neutrality, why are you trying to fightwhy are you
3	trying to fight againstwhy are you trying to fight
4	against the tide? (UNINTELLIGIBLE), Mr. Gennaro, like
5	I said, I think (INAUDIBLE) as the Chair of the City
6	(INAUDIBLE) Committee, you need to put your foot down
7	and start calling out Joann Ariola about the City of
8	Yes carbon neutrality. Because that'sand Vickie
9	Paladino, as the Chair of the Committee. Because I
10	know you're great, Chair, you care about the
11	environment, I know you do, but there's a lot of
12	resistance when it comes to the electric car vehicles
13	to charging stations (sic) in these districts,
14	especially in the more, like, Caucasian affluent
15	districts. And it's just getting ridiculous. I go
16	(INAUDIBLE) electric car time with Uber, DoorDash,
17	and Lyft. (TIMER) Why, if I want to drive
18	(INAUDIBLE) (CROSS-TALK)
19	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is expired,
20	thank you.
21	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: (INAUDIBLE)
22	Wait, wait, can I go (INAUDIBLE), Mr. Gennaro?
23	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, Christopher,
24	please finish your thought.

2.2

2.3

it up.

Like I said, why should I be driving or riding an electric car where the car breaks down because there's no power, and I can't even get a car in (INAUDIBLE), but I can't even access it. We can't even access it, because the community doesn't want a car --it's no--they don't want it there, they don't want it there because of election time. This is ridiculous.

Mr. Gennaro, like I said, do the right thing, and you start calling out Joann Ariola or Vickie Paladino for being--spearheading for this carbon neutrality stuff, like the electric car stations and bike battery stations.

There's a hearing on Monday, and you should--you need to call it out. So, thank you so much. Please call it out on Monday, oh I mean Tuesday, I mean on Wednesday, the 25th, when Ariola have a hearing in the (INAUDIBLE) Management, please call out. Thank you, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I just want to let you know that I am a member of that Committee. I will be there on the 25th. And I appreciate your advocacy

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 81  RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS
2	and your passion. Those are the mostthe last three
3	minutes have been the most passionate-filled of the
4	whole hearing, and that's all thanks to you,
5	Christopher. I appreciate your good input. And
6	message received. And thank you very much, and don't
7	be a stranger. Make sure you follow what we're doing
8	here. And we want you back again. Okay? Thank you.
9	Thank you.
10	If we have inadvertently missed anyone wh

registered to testify today and has yet to be called, please use the Zoom Raise Hand Function if you are testifying remotely, and you will be called in the order that your hand has been raised.

If you are testifying in person, please come to the dais. And that is actually to see the sergeant.

Seeing no one, I will now close the hearing. Thank you to the members of the Administration and the members of the public who have joined us today, and those who may be watching via stream, we thank you as well. I look forward to further engagement with all of you as the Committee does the business of the people in future hearings.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY, AND WATERFRONTS Thank you all very much. Have a great weekend. And this hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL] 

#### ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is no interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 8, 2025