CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

September 30, 2024 Start: 1:18 p.m. Recess: 4:35 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Jennifer Gutiérrez, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik D. Bottcher Robert F. Holden Vickie Paladino Julie Won

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:
Rita C. Joseph

APPEARANCES

Matthew Fraser, Chief Technology Officer for the City of New York Office of Technology and Innovation

Ruby Choi, Deputy Commissioner of Strategic Initiatives for New York City Office of Technology and Innovation

Amrit Singh, Associate Commissioner of Application Engineering for New York City Office of Technology and Innovation

Kristen Gonzalez, New York State Senator, Senate District 59

Cynthia Conti-Cook, Director of Research and Policy at the Surveillance Resistance Lab

Deyanira Del Rio, New Economy Project

Kim Moscaritolo, Director of Communications and Advocacy for Hunger-Free America

Christopher Leon Johnson, self

Raul Rivera, self

Shelby Lohr, Day Care Council of New York

Kate Brennan, Associate Director at the AI Now Institute

Albert Fox Cahn, Executive Director of the Surveillance Technology Oversight Project

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kate Kaye, Deputy Director of World Privacy Forum
Kevin De Liban, Founder of TechTonic Justice
Clayton Banks, digital equity advocate
Sara Luria, Director of Workforce Operations at
St. Nick's Alliance

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone check for the Committee on Technology, recorded on September 30, 2024, located in the Committee Room by Nazly Paytuvi.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon, and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Technology.

If you would like to submit testimony, you may at testimony@council.nyc.gov.

At this point, please silence all electronic devices. Please silence all electronic devices.

No one may approach the dais at any point during this hearing.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: [GAVEL] Thank you.

Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to our oversight

hearing on the MyCity platform. I am Council Member

Gutiérrez, Chair of the Committee on Technology.

Today, we'll be discussing New York

City's MyCity platform in Intro. 821, sponsored by

Council Member Holden, in relation to the creation of
a centralized mobile application for accessing City

services. This hearing will aim to examine MyCity's

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

platform delayed launch, its functionality, the product roadmap, and concerns about efficiency and cost effectiveness, as well as the exciting potential. Since our earliest meetings in this Committee, MyCity was presented as a centerpiece of the Adams' Administration's vision, a one-stop portal meant to simplify access to City services for all New Yorkers. However, years later, we're still seeking clarity on core aspects of the project. We've heard a lot about what MyCity could be, but today I expect to hear concrete, actionable plans, clear timelines, and specific deliverables, not just broad promises. This is one of the only public forums where we, both representatives and constituents, are getting updates on MyCity. If this platform is truly designed to serve New Yorkers, we need to talk about it like it's a real product, what it does today, and what we can expect in the next month, six months, and a year. I fully support the vision. A portal that allows New Yorkers to easily apply for and manage services is absolutely essential, but building this system requires more than consultants. It needs meaningful engagement with both everyday New Yorkers who will use this platform and the City agencies responsible

2.2

2.3

for delivering services. Without that collaboration, we risk falling short of the platform's potential, not to mention millions of taxpayer dollars wasted while vendors profit from their contracts on New Yorkers' data. We are here to listen, but I want to be clear, we also need specifics. How are you involving New Yorkers and the agencies responsible for services? What measures are in place to ensure security, reliability, and privacy protection? How is this being beta tested, and what are the next steps for expanding beyond the limited services currently offered?

With many agencies now interconnected, a single recording mistake by one could have a cascading impact across many areas of New Yorkers' lives. MyCity is not just an experiment or a lofty idea it should deliver on its promise to make life easier for New Yorkers. That requires treating this project with urgency, precision, and transparency.

I'd like to thank the Technology

Committee staff, Policy Analysts Charles Kim and Erik

Brown, Legislative Counsel Irene Byhovsky, and my

Chief-of-Staff Anya Lehr for their work to put

2.2

2.3

2 together this hearing and our repeated follow-ups on
3 MyCity over the past years.

I'd like to recognize the Technology
Committee Members, Council Members Paladino and
Holden.

Now, I want to turn to Council Member Holden, who'll be giving some remarks on his legislation.

much, Chair Gutiérrez, and Members of the Technology

Committee for the opportunity to testify on Intro.

821, which aims to make City services more accessible by creating a centralized mobile app.

As a frequent user of 3-1-1, I've seen firsthand how technology makes reporting issues like illegal parking, dumping, dangerous trees, potholes, and other City services quick and efficient. I introduced this bill two sessions ago, and even discussed the concept with then Borough President Adams when he was running for Mayor. He ultimately created the MyCity portal based on this very idea. However, while the MyCity is a step in the right direction, it needs to go further. My bill envisions a broader, more comprehensive application that would

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not only provide the services we see on 3-1-1, but also allow New Yorkers to pay parking tickets and property taxes or access vital resources like their HRA benefits. It would be a central hub simplifying access to all City services right from a smartphone, thereby reducing bureaucratic hurdles and making life more convenient for all New Yorkers. Other cities like Los Angeles, Philadelphia, and Chicago have begun expanding their 3-1-1 apps to achieve this, but New York City can be the first to create the ultimate one-stop shop application for everyone to use. While the MyCity portal is a good start, we need a combination of 3-1-1, NYC Pay, and MyCity, and that's the main thrust of this bill. This is about making our government more responsive and accessible to the people, leveraging the technology we already have. We have the blueprint with 3-1-1, and it is time to build on that foundation. Intro. 821 is a commonsense solution that will not only streamline interactions with City agencies, but also greatly improve the experience of navigating government services for all New Yorkers, making it more efficient and user-friendly. I look forward to hearing from the Administration on this bill, and I

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL BYHOVSKY: Thank you.

You may begin with your testimony.

afternoon, Chair Gutiérrez and members of the City
Council Committee on Technology. My name is Matthew
Fraser, and I'm the Chief Technology Officer for the
City of New York, and I lead the Office of Technology
and Innovation, OTI. With me, I have Ruby Choi, OTI's
Deputy Commissioner of Strategic Initiatives, and
Amrit Singh, OTI's Associate Commissioner of
Application Engineering. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify today on MyCity Portal.

We're extremely proud of the progress
we've made so far, and we're eager to discuss the
future of the project and its impact on New Yorkers.
The execution of MyCity has been one of the
Administration's top priority. Our vision for MyCity
is to provide New Yorkers with a user-friendly onestop shop that makes it easier to check eligibility,
apply for, and track City services and benefits
online with a single account. Consolidating and

25

streamlining New Yorkers' interactions with the City 2 is our primary goal. The portal eliminates the need 3 4 for New Yorkers to navigate the City's vast bureaucracy, to know which agencies to turn when they need help, and provides a single sign-on to eliminate 6 the need to input the same information repeatedly to access different services. On the back end, we strive 8 to enable agencies to design and deploy solutions quickly and cost-effectively on the platform. This 10 11 portal has been and will continue to be built out 12 incrementally, with a phased plan for conducting 13 research, designing, developing, user testing, and 14 launching additional individual services. In March of 15 2023, the first phase of the MyCity became available 16 at mycity.nyc.gov, and launched a rollout of a 17 childcare subsidy portal. This was the first 18 identified priority in the Mayor's Report on 19 Accessible, Equitable, High-Quality, Affordable: a 20 Blueprint for Childcare and Early Childhood Education in New York City, and was later codified by the City 21 Council through Local Law 103 of 2022. It was built 2.2 2.3 in collaboration with the Administration for Children's Services, New York City Schools, the 24 Department of Social Services, and New York State's

Office of Children and Family Services. Prior to the
launch of this portal, New Yorkers seeking childcare
subsidies had to fill out a paper application and
mail it to the agency, a less efficient, outdated
process that cost families excessive time and effort.
Now approximately 75 percent of all new applications
for childcare assistance are submitted through
MyCity. Additionally, in March 2024, we expanded the
portal to allow families to complete their annual
recertification in MyCity, further increasing
accessibility for families across New York City.
Nearly 26,000 families have been determined eligible
for childcare assistance as a result of applying
through this user-friendly, easy-to-access electronic
application. We are confident it will help many more
families in the city to the City's eight million
investments earlier this year to continue supporting
this important resource. As we work in collaboration
with City Council to invest and amplify information
about childcare and early childhood education, we
expect many more families to be positively impacted.

Since the launch of MyCity, we've also

focused our efforts on reaching New Yorkers and

helping connect them to economic opportunity. After

2.2

2.3

extensive user research, we launched a new business portal in collaboration with Small Business Services last September. The business portal assists business operators, including entrepreneurs, who are opening a business for the first time, navigate the process of applying for various licenses and permits in a simple, step-by-step form. The MyCity business portal also features a beta phase AI-powered chatbot to help users quickly and easily find information, a feature we continue to improve. Over 141,000 users have visited the site, and more than 19,000 individuals have queried the chatbot. We'll keep improving the chatbot's functionality on an ongoing basis.

Over the last year, we've launched a redesigned jobs website to improve New Yorkers' jobseeking and recruiting experiences. This phase of MyCity has been executed in collaboration with the Mayor's Office of Talent and Workforce Development, New York City Opportunity, Department of Citywide Administrative Services, Small Business Services, and other agency partners. The Jobs NYC Talent Portal unifies the New York City job-seeker experience, modernizing how job seekers find and apply for jobs with both private and public employers, leveraging

2.2

2.3

curated online resources, up-to-date training information, and career fairs. This endeavor has led to significant engagement, with email signups increasing over 79 percent in the past year, doubling the City's job application rate, and increasing the monthly users to the website by 326 percent. We're proud of what we've built so far, which has been a culmination of more than two years of OTI's collaborative work with our agency partners and over a dozen M/WBE vendors, and members of the community who participate in user research and testing.

That said, we aim to accomplish so much more with MyCity to integrate more applications and services into our simple, easy-to-use portal.

Building off the foundation so far, we plan to leverage the information that MyCity account holders have been provided to inform them of other benefits they are likely eligible for, in addition to easily screening for, applying for, and tracking the status of services they're seeking. A key component of making this a reality for many City benefit application processes is providing agencies with an integration playbook that would allow them to integrate new or existing applications into MyCity at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

their own pace. As we continue to assess new phases for MyCity, we're happy to discuss what these may entail and keep the committee included in the process along the way.

Finally, I will turn to the legislation on today's docket, 0821-2024 by Council Member Holden. It would require the creation of a centralized application for accessing City services, including the ability for users to schedule appointments for City services, reminders for such appointments and the ability to send and receive messages to such agency. As we've demonstrated, we focus our efforts on a set of services available via MyCity and intend to continue to make other city services available in the future, addressing those that are most in demand and that would benefit most from the MyCity model. Further, we can talk through the technical aspects of the portal as it exists today with respects to web versus mobile presence, privacy, and cybersecurity. While we appreciate the Council's desire to enshrine the MyCity portal in law, it is important to note, as proposed, the bill would impact the way that we've built so far and may hinder the ability to implement our work while

questions that you may have.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

maintaining the flexibility in the future. We'd like to hear about the intent of the legislation from the Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I will now take Council Member questions, any

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you,

Commissioner, and thank you everyone from the agency
for testifying today.

I'm going to just start off with some general questions about the portal. It's been a little while since we've focused on it, and Commissioner, you, in your opening testimony, talked a lot about specifically the benefit to childcare and the amount of families that have applied, the amount of folks that have access subsidies that maybe they wouldn't have before, which I think is great. What I'd like to spend some time on is if you can share some specifics of the current phase we're in and the next phase so I want to start with, if you can share how far along, in your opinion, is MyCity in the rollout, right? It launched in March of 2023, officially, open, accessible to all, phase one. What phase are we in, and what can you tell me about kind of how that rollout has gone?

2	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Sure, so
3	when you look at when we started the process, we
4	stepped in in January of 2022. Just reminding Council
5	of the climate at that time, we came in off the heels
6	of COVID and, in fact, we were looking at potentially
7	another shutdown. At the time, City's job numbers
8	were down, and we had a task ahead of rebuilding, not
9	just the business community, but getting people back
10	to work. One of the things that we saw as a critical
11	need for those that have families, getting back to
12	work is something that would be difficult to do
13	without having access to childcare in some meaningful
14	way so what we did was we took a look across the City
15	services and made a determination on what we would
16	prioritize and, when we looked at childcare in
17	particular, the process to get subsidized childcare
18	was abysmal. It required a paper form that you'd have
19	to send to one of three separate agencies, and then
20	those agencies would then make a determination, and
21	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And I'm sorry to
22	interrupt. This is just to clarify. This was family

seeking childcare that would qualify for a subsidy,

23

24

correct?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That is 3 correct.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That is correct, that would qualify for a subsidy. They would have to send it to one of three separate agencies. Those agencies would then make a determination and, if you applied to the wrong program, you would get a rejection letter that would require you to submit a paper form again so then when we looked at that process, it was very simple to see. It was something that was critical, critical to the economic vitality of New York City so we determined to go in that direction. Now, in doing that, one of the reasons why we picked that as a test case, because it gave us the capability, not just to see what it would be like working between agencies, but also what it would look like working across partners that extended beyond the City. So, in order to get the first phase of this done, it required work, not just with New York City, but New York State, and also with our federal partners to make sure that we were complying with all regulatory requirements, and we were able to do that

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

within a year span, and which brought us the first phase.

As we entered into the second phase, one of the things that we wanted to ensure was that we not only continued to build, but we gave agencies the capability to build with us at the same time. So, we're currently focused on building a common services portal, and what that common services will do, it'll basically mean for anyone that's interested in integrating a system into the MyCity umbrella authentication, the way you log into a system will be the same across all systems. It'll have a common framework for how data is stored. One of the things that we have, and that's a tragedy, is there's a lot of data within the City's estate, but there's not much context applied to that data so, if I look at how an agency looks at a person, there isn't a common understanding between all agencies of how we identify a person, a place, or a business and, because of that, when you try to use the City's data and maximize those touchpoints to see how we can further enrich them, because of that complexity, it makes it very difficult to do so because we don't have a common understanding of what those things are. So,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this phase that we're currently in establishes a framework that says, this is how we identify people going forward, this is how we identify buildings going forward, this is how we identify business going forward across the entire city, and now that gives us the capability to reach through all of the systems that exist and start to weave together a picture of what those interactions looks like between those that interact with government, both from an individual, a business, or a property perspective, and this current phase, will give us the ability to springboard other agencies that are building systems to integrate into this common framework, which will make delivery much faster. So, the thing for us is that we want to make sure that as we deploy, we continue to build services that are not only important to New Yorkers, but we build them in a coherent way so that they can continue to layer on top of each other versus building individual things and trying to link them together later. This is one of the reasons why most large application development efforts, when they get off the ground, they start building a system, someone's building something else, and then they have to spend millions of dollars to integrate those two

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: No, so AccessHRA is a services portal, but outside of AccessHRA, there's an actual benefits engine where you can put information in and see what benefits you're eligible for across the City.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: As part of this current phase, we're building that into the MyCity process, and the best way I can put this is, at home, you may get a credit card mailer that says you're pre-approved for a credit card up to a certain threshold, apply by this date, and this is what you're guaranteed, pre-approved. Now for benefits, if we have information about what your household status is, if we have information about your dependent status, and we have information about income, we can do eligibility assessments based on that information, and we can proactively serve you with other programs that you may be eligible for so, although you're applying for childcare, and although the systems may be disjointed, while you apply for childcare, do you also know that you're also eligible for these things, and here are links to get access to that stuff. So having a common way that we look at people, a common

2.2

2.3

way that we look at businesses, a common way that we look at properties, it gives us the capabilities to look at that information and make some determinations on what ways to best serve those people.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And the data that you, in this phase, where you're looking at the context of all the data that the City has, are you looking specifically at data collected through existing accounts through MyCity, the search engine? Where else are you pulling New Yorkers' data from to start making those assessments?

as it stands right now, the primary input is that we have two ways that we look at information. When you apply for social service benefits, whether that's food or financial assistance, in the background, we have an engine that collects a lot of that information. Same thing with childcare. So now we're unifying those worlds together and making sure that as we collect that information, we continue to update the context of the person who applied with the latest information around the household so that we can serve that information forward so we're using some of the things that we've built in the past, we're enriching

context for what they initially applied for, and I'd

25

2.2

2.3

also like to remind Council that we have an Office of

Information Privacy that's also built at reviewing

our use of the data and working out agreements

between the agencies that house that information and

6 anyone in between that may have access to it.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, great. I use the app for my mom so I'm going to take a look at it. For that information, how long do you all have that information, people's data for, outside of the, what you have from the MyCity portal?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I'd say retention of data is heavily tied to regulatory requirements. So, depending on what you applied for, the regulatory requirements and retaining that data may be different, but in the specific data sets, we could sit down with Council at any point in the future and review the existing data sets and the specific retention requirements. It's hard to tell you that right now because some of that requires the subject matter expertise of the agencies themselves, but we can certainly facilitate a conversation where we can review that.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: For the current phase that you're in of assessing, I think it's

2.2

incredibly useful for people to know what they
qualify for, what they're eligible for. I think
that's all really empowering. What is that timeline,
I guess, or what are the internal benchmarks you all
are instituting? What does success look like in this
particular phase? Is success, we have client New
Yorker number one, we've connected them to these four
additional benefits. Is that success? What is that
for you all? And what is that timeline where you're
looking to be able to achieve that?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, a part of the complexity in building something like this is that you have systems that are in the City that have decades of inertia behind them and the technical expertise behind those systems and building those integrations, there's a mix of capability, and I think for us, a lot of the work that we're doing right now is going back re-engineering some of the things that's been done and making sure that when we collect information, it's the right information. But for the specific program deliverables and things that are coming out within the next quarter, our Deputy Commissioner for Strategic Initiatives can highlight

2.2

2.3

2 some of those and what's coming in the immediate
3 future.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHOI: Hi, thank you for that question. So, I think the CTO had already mentioned the platform that we're building everything on is called Common Services, which has launched last summer. The landing page where a user can create a profile, that's where they save information they have used before for applications. Our goal is to use that platform to send notifications based on the information they provided, what other programs and services a user may be eligible for so that is going to be a pilot that we're hoping to launch before the end of the year ideally, but it still has to go through user testing and review.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, when we say user testing, a lot of the feedback that we're getting as we're building in the process, as we mentioned in our last appearance, it's human centric design. So, what we're doing is we're going to the agencies that are providing service today to their existing user base. We're getting a sample set of users and we're showing them what we're building, we're getting feedback. As we enrich and we enhance

2.2

the application, we bring them back to get more
feedback just to make sure that what we build is
useful for the people that actually have to use the
service so part of our development cycle is ensuring
that from a public sentiment perspective, we're
meeting the mark in terms of what they expect and,
before we stick to a hard timeline and deploy
something that doesn't work, we are more focused on
making sure the capabilities are in place and they
function in the right ways.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And notifications are intended to be sent electronically only?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Sorry?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Notification to

New Yorkers, like once you get past this pilot, how
do you intend to notify folks? Is it just through
their email that they provided?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so it's either through email, going to the centers as they apply, having resources go with laptops, asking people if they're willing to help us test. I mean, there's a myriad of ways that we collect sentiment. It's not exclusively through a digital means. It's

2.2

2.3

- also through in-person and going to actual centers
 when benefits are being issued.
 - CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. I'd like to acknowledge Council Member Erik Bottcher, who's joined us.
 - Can I ask, you touched a little bit on this in your testimony, Commissioner or CTO, do you have a preference?
- 10 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Sorry?
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Do you have a
 12 preference if I call you Commissioner or CTO?
- 13 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Whatever 14 you're comfortable with is fine by me.
 - CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Commissioner is faster. For improving the remaining aspects of MyCity, you touched a little bit on it through the JobsNYC website, the small businesses, and then benefits so I would love to know if you can share any specifics of how you're looking to improve those existing pieces of the portal. I can tell you from feedback that I've heard, obviously, the childcare piece seems to be the most successful, was the first phase. People have been utilizing it now for over a year, but it feels like the small business one, the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

jobs piece, and even the benefits piece kind of just refers folks out, particularly the jobs one and the benefits so I would love to know if you have specifics on how you are looking to integrate it more or if at all improve, what are some of the specifics that you can share with us that you've seen are necessary to improve the functionality of the portal?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I'd say in order to understand how far we've come, sometimes we have to take a look back at where we came from. If you went to the legacy nyc.gov/jobsportal and you looked at that, it was a legacy PeopleSoft application. In order to search, find, and apply for a job, it was not only complex, but the website experience was poor. So, what we did in those seeking jobs, we went to both hiring halls, we went and we brought individuals in, and we got feedback in terms of what about the site worked, what did not work, and then we took market data by looking at those that have the highest demand in terms of employment, those that are getting a lot of applications to see what works, and then we modeled a portal that was heavily based on that design, that approach, and what people liked about that. And as a

2.2

2.3

result, what we've seen is a 326 percent increase in the amount of people that are not just visiting the site, but also that are actually applying for jobs so it tells us that, one, the portal is more friendly in a way that people can use, and then also it's more appealing for them to apply. I think any place that you want to work, if you look at the digital experience as your first glimpse into what the front door of that operation looks like, the legacy jobs portal was very poor, it did not provide a good story, and I think now we're seeing based on use case that it's doing what we intended it to do.

Now, when it comes to the business portal and what we've done around the chat function, I think for us, I'll give Council Members, the Committee just a highlight. Like when you look at 3-1-1, New York City runs one of the nation's largest information support lines. Over 70 percent of the calls in 3-1-1 does not result in a City agency having to respond to anything. People are calling for information. Now, if you look at that demand and you look at the amount of wait time that exists within the 3-1-1 universe, we're doing a great job, but we could do a better job if we can field some of these lower level requests

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for information by providing something that's more usable. The chatbot was the first phase at testing that in a very specific and limited universe around small business services, and that seemed to work out very well for us. There was some initial sentiment feedback that came out of press about it providing some hallucinations and things along that lines, which we quickly refined but, by and large, that's giving us a foundation where we can start to serve New Yorkers and provide more context to digital assistants so they can start to field more of those questions. So when you think about the limitation that's on the chatbot today where it's limited just to Small Business Services or people that are looking to open a business, if we could open the universe of that up to everything that 3-1-1 can service, it would significantly help relieve some of the back pressure that comes to coming into 3-1-1 and gives us a better response time in terms of dealing with New Yorkers that need urgent assistance from quality of life related issues. In addition to that, the actual small business portal that exists, again, that was one of the things that we built in conjunction with industry to figure out where New York wasn't getting

2.2

2.3

it right, and we've gotten great feedback from

industry that the portal's in the line of what they

need, but I think we are, in many cases, a victim of

our success. So as good as that is, people always

want more, and we're working to give more as quickly

as we can.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Thank you.

Can I ask for, related to the jobs site, the old one that you're referring to, I agree, I'm looking at it now. I'm looking at, so I got on MyCity, checked for jobs, and then it refers you out, it sends you out to the Jobs NYC, so the data that you were referring to that people applied, that's from this separate site, from Jobs NYC.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, so they're not creating a profile on the MyCity portal to be able to apply for jobs. They don't need to.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So in the background, although you have two different experiences, that's the part of building common services. The common services piece integrates everything together. So, whether you've applied to a

job, or you're seeking city services in some way,
shape, or form, being able to give you one
introspective look at the City, and I think, as it
stands right now, in order to deliver expediently, we
had to reshape based on some of the existing
capabilities that we had. There was a jobs
application portal, how can we launch that without
losing customer information? There was a business
portal, how can we deploy that without losing
customer information? So, in each one of these
spaces, we use common design approaches, we use
common implementation schemes and, in the background,
as we look to build common services, we're going to
be in a space where it doesn't matter which website
you hit, or which one it looks like, when you go to
log in, and when you go to navigate through, all the
data between them, where relevant, with user
permission, will be shared.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, I'm clear on that. I think it is slightly faster than 3-1-1, I mean, I don't know how much faster, I just went on 3-1-1, literally just put in jobs, and it recommended the same site.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I'll 3 take slightly faster, I'll take it, I'll take it.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: I don't know, I don't know, I'm trying to understand. Okay, and then on the chatbot piece, Commissioner, I think there was a little bit more than just a little annoyance, a little flack on, I guess, the information that people were getting back, and I think AI can be a very useful tool, I think especially in the way that a lot of folks have been conditioned to search, I think AI is the tool for them, right, but we heard of a number of accounts, which I know I think the agency then started to include a disclaimer for folks using the chatbot so I would just like to understand how, and can you confirm, are you using a separate vendor for the chatbot piece, it was not created in-house through OTI, the chatbot tool for the MyCity Portal?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so both the MyCity Portal and the chatbot tool were built with an amalgamation of internal and external resources. The actual concept of the MyCity chatbot was led and overseen by a number of people within OTI and actually helped built by the folks within OTI as well.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And so I think it was a little bit more than just like a little bump in the road because I think still, as of last week, there were some instances of folks using the chatbot for basic small business information, how to get started, where to find a permit, and the information was not necessarily accurate, so how are you all looking to kind of assess that, like how are you improving it, what does that look like, how can you improve this chatbot feature if still, through last week, there was inaccurate information.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, the initial version of the chatbot that was released was using a legacy version of GPT, GPT 3.5, and then we subsequently upgraded to GPT 4.0, right? Now, what that means is the engines that make the determinations in terms of what information it pushes forward, as you go up in versions, the capability significantly increases and it gives the algorithm the ability to make better determinations on what it puts out. In the cases where we got public sentiment around hallucinations and things along that lines, even in the reported cases, we ran an assessment of every time the chatbot had been asked any one of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

those questions, and outside of the cases that were reported, there were very few and few and far in between. I think for us, one of the things that we consistently do, and it's part of the development process, especially when you're leveraging emerging technologies, is you continuously assess, collect feedback, and refine, and when we're looking at something like a chat instance that gives information back in real time, and a closed instance, one of the things that we did as part of the New York City development process, we made a determination that anything that we built, we had to ensure that we protected our constituent data first so a lot of the people that leverage public models, that model's continuously refined by public feedback, someone's using it, or you have multiple customers using the same model, they can update in real time. For us, we wanted to ensure that as we built, that those models were only updated with content that we wanted it to learn, and we have a team that's dedicated in ensuring that we continuously refine that to make it better. I think after the upgrade, or I shouldn't say, I know after the upgrade when we went from GPT-3 to GPT-4, we updated some of the safeguards in place,

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: September.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: September of last

25 | year?

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah,
3 yeah.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, so just this summer it was updated.

 $\label{eq:chief_tensor} \text{CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yep,} \\$ that is correct.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Beyond whatever phase we are in right now, which is unclear, assessing data, hopefully getting through a successful pilot program, hopefully sending notifications out to folks, maybe by the end of the year, maybe early next year, what is after that? What comes after that phase?

after that phase, we're in the process of assessing all digital City services and we're trying to make the determinations of what makes the best sense to integrate so everything from the universe of AccessHRA to filing for building permits to anything else that you can apply to online, we're trying to figure out which is the best path and what's the easiest thing to integrate into the system without disturbing any of our existing user base so it's an

2.2

2.3

assessment that's currently underway and as we have services that are identified for integration, we can gladly share that forward with Council.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And do you have agencies, for example, you mentioned that the small business piece, that ask came from industry, do you have the same with other, are you hearing from other industries, from other agencies that want to integrate their services into the portal? Do you have any feedback on that?

of the things that we did, and this was a part of establishing the Office of Tech and Innovation. Prior to the start of this Administration, we didn't have a centralized catalog of what the City was doing from a tech perspective, and one of the things that we wanted to ensure, and this would help us forge a pipeline of what went into MyCity, is understanding where the City was investing from a technology perspective so what we did across all agencies was we developed a survey tool, and we collected information from every agency that was conducting a technology project that had an individual or aggregate spend that was two million or over, so that we can take a

2.2

look at where we were building and what information
we would be deploying and where we would put capital
out over the next two to five years. From there,
we've been looking at each one of the programs that
have funding, and we are looking at what's the best
pathway to deploy those programs. Instead of
deploying them in a vacuum, how can we use the
opportunity to renew those applications and fold them
into the MyCity universe?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And do you have a sense of when you would be able to make that determination as an agency for the purpose of the portal?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I'd say as we get closer to the common services deployment and as we continue to refine the existing services, as we enter the next quarter, we're going to have a roadmap that's going to deploy or display what's coming over the next year.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So potentially early 2025?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so I'd say before the end of 2024, we'd have a decent-sized roadmap of what will come over the next year.

interesting combination of like areas to focus on,

25

2.2

2.3

2 and so, yeah, I just think folks want to know what's next.

appreciate the enthusiasm behind it, and I would say our greatest accomplishment so far is the fact that we've been able to deliver not one, not two, but multiple services in conjunction with doing a bunch of other things at the same time, and I share that enthusiasm.

One of the things that I'm very conscious and cognizant about is that it's easy to come out and make public commitments about things that we will do and not be held accountable for actually doing those things. That's why I'm very conscious about when we say we're going to do something or when we announce something, it's something that's tangible, that's something that will be done so, when you get that list before the baby is born, right, anything that we commit to will be something that's tangible enough where we feel fairly confident that it will be delivered within the timeframe that we set forward.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, I love hearing that. Can I ask a little bit more on the childcare piece, because I am hearing kind of mixed

2.2

2.3

reviews from some folks about the length of time that it takes to process so I'm not sure if what is the system that you all have to be able to hear feedback from folks that have already set up a profile, have applied, is there a survey? What happens in those instances? I work closely with the early childhood education movement, and I've heard from folks that saying like it's taking too long so they're just going to the site and sending a paper application in any way.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So what is your response to that? Have you heard a lot of that? And what are some of the steps that you are taking to prevent that?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, we collect sentiment in different places along the way. After application submission, we collect initial sentiment to see how people felt about the process. I'm proud to say that most New Yorkers that have taken the tool, I believe, is it over 90 percent, that has filled out the application online has rated the application a four out of five or higher, which is significant, which means that people are pleased

2 with the application process. Now, fulfillment of 3 benefits is something that I would have to defer to 4 the agencies that actually fulfill those benefits, so I would say New York City Schools, Small Business, DSS, and everyone in between that actually pays that 6 7 information out, they're responsible for delivering post receipt. I think if you apply online, or I 8 should say, if you apply online and then you submit a paper application, in that process, it's more like 10 11 duplicating effort. I'm not necessarily certain that 12 a paper application, I'm confident that paper 13 application is not going to be served any faster than 14 a digital application. In fact, the digital 15 application's coming faster so they will be served faster, and I think that the agencies in this 16 17 process, just like we've refined the submission 18 process, there's an opportunity to refine the 19 eligibility review process, and that's one of the 20 things that we're looking at when we talk about 21 future refinements with MyCity. It's like, it's great 2.2 that you can apply for the service in one place, you 2.3 can get a preliminary review of what your eligibility looks like. All that's great. But after I submit, now 24 what happens? And that's the part of the refinement 25

2.2

2.3

piece that we're bringing into the childcare universe to ensure that after you apply, that entire universe of processing that application lives in one space so you can get real-time feedback in terms of where your application sits, and I think right now part of the clunkiness that people feel to a degree is the fact that you can apply and you can get determinations back through one interface, but the thing in between is being managed in separate places, which is what we're trying to integrate now.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That's what you're trying to do now. But you, OTI, besides getting four out of five stars or five out of five stars, you're not hearing individual takes of folks who are saying, the processing time is taking a really long time, I haven't gotten a response. Are you all hearing that specific?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so as the Chief Technology Officer for the City of New York, my purview is technology. In terms of business operations, I'd have to defer to the agencies that are responsible for fulfilling those.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And do you send those directly to the agencies?

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yes,
3 those go directly to the agencies.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, and then do you have a sense if it's resolved?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Sorry?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Do you have a sense of if and when those instances, those issues are resolved?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I'm going to defer to my Deputy Commissioner for Strategic Initiatives.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHOI: So like the CTO says, we can't really speak to the processing on the agency side, but we convene meetings with the agency stakeholders on a regular basis, and we do hear the feedback of some of the challenges and how long it's taking for the agency to review an application. Many times it's because of inaccurate or incomplete applications, and that we are thinking about incorporating into our future phases so that the application itself can auto-check if you've missed providing information or incorrectly provide the information. This would help the reviewers review the application faster. The other thing we're looking to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

do is screening eligibility in a faster way so that you provide less information as a user and being able to move faster through the application process so that's the type of feedback that we get from the agency and that we build into our next versions of upgrading the child care service application.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Sure, and I understand that. I think working government, I certainly understand it but I'm just giving you the perspective of a New Yorker who is, you know, just online, could easily go to 3-1-1 and decides to set up a profile on MyCity, has heard good things from other parents, for example, and is setting up their profile, putting in all their personal information despite maybe what they wanted, but they're doing it and they're applying it this way. If they're having an issue, they're not thinking that it's the DOE, which is, for example, maybe like the second or third process, right? The first line is the MyCity portal, and so for a New Yorker that is functioning on that bit of information, they're expecting some kind of service to the customer, to the client through the portal, and it's the way that we're conditioned to receive services, government or not.

think you're spot on on that front. One of the things
that we are looking very diligently at is how do we
provide a more common way to collect sentiment, not
just after a process has been submitted, but as a
process is underway, and we're currently in a phase
where we're assessing tools that'll give us the
capability to do that, and do that not just within an
application but across applications so that we can
manage constituent satisfaction so we're looking at
that, and it's reasonable to assume that we should
have those types of capabilities across all City
systems and all City processes but, again, this is
one of those things where I say, we have decades of
inertia behind many of these systems, and user
sentiment, user feedback, public satisfaction wasn't
something that was contemplated at the time that
those things were built.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. I have a couple more questions, and then I want to pass it off to Council Member Holden who's got some more questions, but my questions right now are specifically about the childcare piece again. Is there any plan to incorporate benefits for New

2.2

2.3

Yorkers that qualify for Head Start or Early Head
Start? I know that has been an issue or a complaint
that folks have said like, yeah, I tried it, but what
I'm looking for is information about Head Start and
whether or not I can apply for the local Head Start
in my neighborhood, and so that they're doing
separate and apart. What was the decision to have
that be a separate process, and is there an intention
to integrate it because it's a City program.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: It is a
City program, but it's administered in a different
way. So, one of the things that we looked at when we
built the childcare portal was it was built around
the childcare application, the subsidy childcare
application, which is regulated on a state level. We
don't have the regulatory authority in that space so
what we basically did was we built a process around
that childcare subsidy, and one of the things that we
heard, as you've mentioned, is that it's great that
it covers that universe, but what about everything
else, and we're currently in conversations with
schools about integrating the early childhood
education piece of it into one universe so that we
can provide more information out. Again, where we

2.2

2.3

started was a space where we had a lot of demand and we had low tech capability, and we've brought that all the way forward. In Head Start, there are capabilities that exist in that space, but it's about providing an integrated experience. Common Services gives us a pathway to do that in a much simpler way.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So is that a yes or a no that you are looking to integrate?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: It's yes, we're evaluating.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: You're evaluating, so it might not be possible.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That determination, it's hard to tell you at this moment, but I think not possible means that you're not trying hard enough. I think it's not a question of if it's possible or not, it's a question of when.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And the reason it's not included right now and it's something that you are evaluating, is it because there's also a federal interface or what is the reason for why it's not included now?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: The reason is the teams that review these childcare

applications versus the Head Start teams, and across
the agencies, those are different teams and different
eligibility reviewers, so we took this process
holistically across all agencies, and we took it in
place for this childcare subsidy, for Head Start and
everything else that has a different eligibility
review team that has a different process. It's almost
like taking a separate application and integrating it
into the MyCity universe as well so that's why the
determination was made. We found everything that had
anything to do with the State-regulated childcare
subsidy, we pulled it all into one universe. Head
Start is not in the same space as these.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: It's not in the?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Same

space as these.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Have you heard that from folks as well about their interest in wanting to apply for Head Start?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, what we've heard across the spectrum is that what you've done so far is great, but when will we have more?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. Do you have a sense of, and I know that the data that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have and you shared really, I think, promising data of the amount of folks that have been applying for childcare, where 75 percent of all new applications are submitted through MyCity. I think that's great, congrats. Do you have a sense of like what was the amount of people applying just through paper applications? Do you have, just so that we can compare, because I will also say that the same time that folks were applying, the City and the Council had invested money in marketing so basically like helping to point people towards the app, like we want you to enroll your kids in our programs, we want you to know what you're eligible for so it would give me a really good sense of kind of like where we've come from and where we're at now. Do you all have that information of paper applications versus obviously the information that you have today?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, for statistics on applications, I can refer to our Associate Commissioner for Application Engineering that can talk about what the existing pipeline looks like versus paper.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And then also, I'm sorry if I could just add, if you have information of

2.2

people that are still using paper applications in
FY24?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: So, right now the majority of applications are digital. As of this morning, we've looked back at the stats and now it's closer to 90 percent actually that's digital so there's a very small percentage of applications that are paper. So, as far as applications that have been sent, we're close to 69,000 applications that have been sent and, approximately around the same time, around 9,500 applications that have been paper, and the trend has been that more and more applications are now digital than paper.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So 9,500 in this last Fiscal Year were submitted via paper?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: Since we've started this program.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, since March 2023.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And then what is the amount, I'm sorry, you said it, of folks applying online, you said 69,000?

2.2

2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: Right now,
3 90 percent of the total applications are digital.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: k.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER SINGH: So it's gone up from 75 percent since we've checked earlier and, as far as paper stats from before, it's a little bit difficult to report because there were a lot of other things happening around that same timeframe with COVID and those types of things so those stats on paper applications are not as reliable.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And do you all have a breakdown, I didn't ask for this before, but of folks applying in the specific languages, just curious for how many folks are applying in Spanish and other languages and, if you don't have it, you can submit it because I don't think I asked for that before.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: We'll gladly follow up and submit in the languages that are being submitted.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Yeah, so the portal is in the 10 languages, right? Okay, yeah, so if you have that...

2.2

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That's 3 correct.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That would be great.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Not a problem.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. Is it possible for someone who is interested in filling out the childcare application to not agree to the permission to allow their information to be shared with other applications, so if it's someone that's just like, I just want to apply for childcare, I don't want the City to share any of that information, I don't want you to evaluate, assess what else I qualify for. Is that an option or for someone to utilize the portal, apply, they have to agree to that term?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so there's consent language that someone can opt into as they're going through the application process and they don't have to consent to sharing for it.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: I'm so sorry, say that again.

2	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: There's
3	a consent process as you're filling out the existing
4	application and, if they don't want to consent for
5	application being used beyond the scope, they just
6	don't have to consent to it.
7	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, but they can
8	still set up the profile and apply.
9	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yep.
10	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, great. I'm
11	going to pass it to Council Member Holden. I still
12	have some more questions on the childcare, but I've
13	spoken enough. Is it good now?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, that's good.
15	Thank you. Thank you, Chair, and thank you. I'm going
16	to call you CTO.
17	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Oh,
18	that's fine by me.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That sounds
20	cooler.
21	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Anyway, thank you
23	for your testimony, and thank you, by the way, for

upgrading 3-1-1, the app. I love it. It's getting

24

2.2

2.3

2 there, but we're not quite there, but I'll get into
3 that.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: We couldn't do it without your feedback.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Well, I have to give you some more feedback because there's a few things that I would still talk about, but we'll get there because I use it every day and it's easier now so it's getting there. Location services, still not so great.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yep.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: A lot of dead spots where I have to double back and look at the, try to find an address, but we're getting there so I appreciate that it was out of the blue, just, I don't know if the Committee was apprised that there are changes, but there's been some positive, very positive changes.

You mentioned, on my bill, Intro. 821, you mentioned in your testimony, the bill could impact what we have built so far and may hinder the ability to implement our work while maintaining flexibility in the future. Could you elaborate on that because this is why we have hearings so we could

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

get feedback and we could negotiate and we could change things and we could change the language to fit your goals.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So one of the things that I think we found that was a bit taxing was the fact of a mobile application. I think more and more services are being pushed towards a web version, right, so as long as it's capable of being deployed on a mobile format on a web version, that's what we've been opting towards. It includes the greatest amount of flexibility with the greatest amount of compatibility so it doesn't matter what type of device you have. When you have a mobile app, in the event that you're building for Android, in the event that you're building for iOS, you'd have to either build specifically in those platforms or build something that's a cross-platform application, and those experiences aren't usually the best experience. And I think for us, especially with the agility that we're moving and the amount of services that we're deploying, if we hold that to something that's webbased but that's mobile-aware and mobile-friendly, I think that's more aligned to what we've been building towards.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right., so the
mobile devices, it won't fit right or it doesn't work
properly?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So when you build an application that's web-aware, whether you're using an iPhone, whether you're using an iPad, whether you're using an Android device, a Galaxy, or you're using a tablet, scalability, awareness of how big your display is and adjusting content accordingly. With a mobile-aware website, it's capable of doing all of those things without committing to building an actual mobile application itself so most application processes today, there isn't a specific...

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: But see, that's something we could talk about.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: If it's easier or if it's better that you have a way, other than what's mentioned in the bill, we can negotiate that. That's why we're here.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, for sure.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So I'm open to that, I think the Committee's open to that if you feel it doesn't work on the...

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, for sure. I think we can certainly follow up outside of the forum and then we can look in detail at the specifics of what works and what's the best path forward and we can sort that out together.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So like your ultimate goals, do you plan to adopt the MyCity portal as the only method to access services provided by City agencies or each agency, what's happening now, each agency will continue to provide access to services provided by those agencies. So what's your ultimate goal here with the MyCity?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: My

ultimate goal, I should say, our ultimate goal is to

democratize access to government services in a way

that the people care about. One of the biggest

challenges I feel and that I've seen across the City

is that all of our digital presence, all of our

applications have been built and it's been focused

around agency identity, right, and it's like each one

of these agencies are their own companies. And for

the City, the average person that lives in the city
doesn't want to understand the complexities between
where DOB steps in and where HPD comes in, and they
don't want to understand the brand and the propagand
information around what the agencies look like. They
don't care. And our goal is through MyCity is for it
to be your pathway into the City. Remove the agency
specific branding, remove the agency specific
experience and build one way where our constituents
can interact with government in a way that provides
them access to what they need. And I think for us,
the more that we continue to propagate the agency
specific approach, we find ourselves further and
further away from what the actual individual, what
the person in New York City is looking for.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, and that's the reason why we want that one portal where the agencies can hash it out and we don't need to hear. In fact, we get that every day in the Council offices, like, well, DOT says it's not their jurisdiction and they'll kick it to DEP and it goes back and forth. This is talking about a manhole.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yep.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You know, just
3	like, you know, something very simple that turns into
4	a year-long debate of who's responsible, and these
5	are the things, that's why we need one location, one
6	site to access all City services and, you know, and
7	then a user number and I'd be able to delete things I
8	don't want on there and I'd be able to, it's like,
9	it's my own, you know, portal into the City.
10	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That's what you
12	envision, right?
13	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I'm with
14	you.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay.
16	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I'm with
17	you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: All right.
19	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: More
20	than you could imagine.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: All right, so
22	let's keep this, the bill, at least let's talk about
23	it because then otherwise, you know, when I'm gone,
24	you're gone and then this could just go into outer

space again where there's no goals and we don't have

25

- 2 like sort of a roadmap for this where it can go on
- 3 and on. You know, it's happened before you came here.
- 4 We kept getting, the deadlines kept getting pushed
- 5 back even before the pandemic so that's why, I was in
- 6 the Council, the first Council, a lot of things in
- 7 | technology were delayed.
- 8 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah.
- 9 I'd say, all right, so here's a...
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: It's complicated.
- 11 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: No, it's
- 12 not really that complicated. I'd say when you look
- 13 across the spectrum and you look back at legacy
- 14 | instances of what technology looked like in the City,
- 15 you had a Department of Information Tech and
- 16 Telecommunications, which was a managed service
- 17 provider to City agencies and, as a result, it didn't
- 18 have any authority to look at programs that cut
- 19 | across business lines and do anything about it, and
- 20 | the biggest thing that they focused on on day-to-day
- 21 | basis was whether applications were up or down where
- 22 | the data centers were operating or not and things
- 23 along that lines. Our greatest success is that over
- 24 the past two years, we've taken that conversation.
- 25 | These days, we don't sit and we don't talk about

infrastructure-related issues. We don't talk about
services being down. In fact, if you look across over
the past two years, services have been more stable
than they ever have in City history, and now we're
talking about rebranding, changing applications and
changing digital experiences in a way that the City's
never really considered it before, and a lot of that
is due to not just this Administration, but it's also
due to the Council leading and pushing us in the
direction to make sure that we get there so I'm not a
fan of continuing to perpetuate the individual
experience. I think it's important for us to continue
to democratize that and, instead of focusing on an
agency-specific brand, it has to be the New York City
brand.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Good answer. Thank you so much, CTO.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Thank you, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Council

23 Member.

Council Member Paladino, you have a question? Go for it.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Thank you very
3	much. I'm taking a slightly different turn on this.
4	I'm going to talk a little bit about security. And
5	when we brought up last year, we talked about the
6	wallet, the City Wallet, what security measures are
7	being put into place, but let me start off by reading
8	a little paragraph here. Intro. 0821, a local law to
9	amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York
10	in relation to the creation of a centralized mobile
11	application for accessing City services. This bill
12	would require the Department of Information
13	Technology and Telecommunications to create a single
14	mobile application allowing the public access
15	services provided by different City agencies. The
16	application would be accessible for persons with
17	disabilities. Now here it is. The Department would
18	encrypt all exchanges or transfers between the web
19	server, the mobile application, the application
20	software would open a source and make it publicly
21	accessible. So here's where my question is. What
22	measures are going to be taken to ensure the identity
23	is protected? AI information is accurate, yes? How
24	much money has been spent so far on the MyCity
25	portal? But let me go back again to the protection of

2.2

2.3

a person's public trust, and it seems like 821 is addressing that. Centralized system to access services is great. It needs to be simple system. But more importantly, what I fear, and I always do that, it's the big brother stuff that I always have a problem with. We have data breaches all the time. Identity theft is common. Encrypted exchanges, as outlined in Intro. 821, would ensure safe exchanges? Can you explain this to me, please? And how can we be concerned about the tracking aspect of all of this? I know I just laid out a whole bunch of stuff to you so let's just talk about what measures are being taken to ensure that a person's identity is not, like, this is like super important.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Thank
you very much for the question, and it's actually a
very insightful question. So, here's the thing. When
you look at AI tools and tools that make
determinations based on any information that's
provided, one of the reasons why we built the MyCity
chatbot in a closed environment is we wanted to make
sure that we didn't inadvertently share our
constituent information with anyone except the City.
So whenever you use the MyCity chatbot, no one

2.2

2.3

outside of the City has access to any of that information and can use that information towards anything because it's developed in a closed environment.

From an authentication perspective, the thing that you mentioned in terms of services when you log in to make sure that data is encrypted, that's a common practice that we employ across not just internal applications, but applications that are deployed out to the public. Part of any application development process, New York City Cyber Command has an application review process where they look at the security of the application, not just for internal use, but from a public perspective, and then we also have partners that we use to validate that those applications are secure and they meet industry standards in terms of best security practices.

I think for us, and as you mentioned, like the big brother aspect, a lot of times when you're leveraging tools online and you're using things like chatbots to some degree, a large part of the information that you provide out is used in the background and can be used to enrich that chatbot, but that means other people have access to it. That's

2.2

2.3

part of the reason why when we deployed it, we wanted to make sure that that wasn't the case for the New York City instance, which is why it's in a closed environment.

Now, the consequence or the trade-off
that you take by doing it that way, is it means that
everything that that bot has to learn, you have to
teach it, and it doesn't evolve as quickly as some of
the bots that are learning in real time from
information that's being used in a public forum, and
I think for us, I'd rather trade capability for
personal security every day, because once we lose it,
we can't get it back.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Now, going to the wallet, how do you plan to verify the identity of individuals using the City Digital Wallet, or otherwise prevent identity fraud?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so one of the things that we're looking at right now, and the wallet is a critical piece of how services will be rendered going forward. The best way I can put this is that when you look at whether you get transit benefits or you get food benefits or you get financial benefits, those are all served to you on

2.2

2.3

different means, meaning that in some cases, you'll get a check, in other cases you'll get a card, and you have to manage the balances on all those things individually. The concept of having a digital wallet where you can bring all those things together is one of the things that we are diligently exploring, but we want to ensure that when we do that, it's done right.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Yeah.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So the same, what we're exploring at this moment is, by the time you get a check from us for anything, for any benefit, or you get a card, there's an eligibility review that's performed, your identity has been confirmed, and that card, that check is issued to a person.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: But how do you confirm the identity?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, the identity would be confirmed as part of the application review process for anyone that's applying for a specific benefit. So, because you signed up for a digital wallet, or you signed up for the service, theoretically, because it's not in place yet, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

when you apply for one of those services, and you say, I want that wallet, that wallet will not have any assets inside until your identity is validated as part of the normal application review process so, let's say, for this moment, if we had New York City, newyorkcitywallet.nyc.gov and you applied for that wallet and, before any benefits were distributed to the wallet, someone would have to confirm that you were eligible for those benefits. They would have to link those benefits to a wallet, and to make sure that information goes into a place where we know you are who you say you are, and that's how we would prevent fraud. Making sure that the people that are responsible for reviewing the applications today, reviewing the specific details around the person that's applying, making sure that all those components are a part of their review before a single benefit was issued onto the wallet.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: I just think because, you know, in today's world that we live in, everything becomes public knowledge, whether it's real or whether it's not real, and it seems like people have got a great deal of power over us as

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.2

2 individuals and our right to privacy and all that. We 3 have the, what, the new phones coming out?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah.

all AI. I don't know why anybody would want to put their life in their... I just don't, I don't get it.

But security is super, super important, and what's individual's privacy is their privacy, and they're entitled to it so I always worry about who has access, who can gain access to anybody's information. You just don't really feel safe anymore in the environment that we live in. We really don't. And that's for the person that's doing the right thing just as much as the person that's doing the wrong thing.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I'd say it's a very valid concern. The one thing that I would mention in this space is that inadvertently when you apply for services or you download applications, people should pay very close attention to...

23 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Of course.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: The disclaimers that they sign off on.

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: That's right, 3 and they don't.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Because in those disclaimers is the details around how people can use your information for other things.

always in the details. I do a lot of shopping online, and they pop up this thing, it says something about cookies. What the hell is a cookie? I swear, I'm like, no, all right. Whether I know what they're talking about or not, the answer is no, and that's it, but I want to tell you something. We used 3-1-1 over the past weekend, and I have to tell you, it was phenomenal.

 $\label{eq:chief_technology_officer_fraser: I love that. } \\$

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Because we had several drifting, they were homeless drifting through my community, and man, oh man, got on the phone, they hooked me up with exactly who needed to get hooked up with, and the problems were solved within a three-hour time period so I want to say thank you very much for that.

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Thank
3 you, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: So yes, there has been changes.

of the things I'd just like to give kudos to, like a lot of this work wouldn't be possible without the team at OTI that actually does the day-to-day work to make sure things like this are reality. A lot of the work that you're seeing on 3-1-1 was actually overseen by my Associate Commissioner for Application Engineering, Amrit Singh so day-to-day, making sure that people get the best from those apps, he's one of the people that actually made that happen.

debacle going. Three different areas of the neighborhood in the community, and people not in such great shape, and they managed to get their services that they needed to them immediately, and when I say immediately, three hours for New York City in what we're in right now, I think it's pretty good so thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Council Member.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: I appreciate it.
3 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you.
4 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Thank

you.

2.2

2.3

Want to just touch on some of the responses about the digital wallet. Thank you. You hadn't mentioned it. You said it was a critical part of moving forward. Every time I asked what the plan was earlier today, you hadn't mentioned the digital wallet piece so can we spend some more time on how you were all thinking through how you plan on verifying individuals? How is data being collected? What is it being used for? Who would manage it? Can you speak a little bit to that?

the digital wallet component, what we're currently evaluating is how can we have an account or a means to provide distribution of financial benefits, security access, and identification? How can we get all those things into a single platform? And we've been evaluating partners in this space that's capable of doing these kinds of things, and I think for us, one of the things we want to ensure that as we push forward on this front, we provide something that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provides access to all of the key areas that we need to serve without shortchanging New Yorkers. That's why we haven't pushed to release anything as yet. We want to make sure that it's done in the right way. As it stands right now for eligibility review, what we plan to lean on is the agency's eligibility review for the applications themselves to deem whether someone should get a benefit or not to validate both identity and then from there, once an agency has determined eligibility, they have a means to look up an individual and link that distribution of benefit onto a wallet or they can choose to redeem it how they traditionally have in a different way. And I think for us, over the next quarter, as we get towards the end of the year, again, before the baby's born, we're going to have more insight into particularly what that would look like and the platform that we may deliver it on.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That you may deliver. So maybe no digital wallet through MyCity.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So we have a central identity store where we keep information about the person who's applied and some of the information that they provided. When I look at

that space is, regardless of the decision that we

25

2 make, there's a cloud rider, there's a privacy rider, 3 and there's a security review for any technology that 4 we adapt and we bring in that serves our 5 constituency, and we want to ensure that in that process, we're not trading off security for 6 7 capability, as I mentioned. If I have to trade 8 security and privacy for capability, I will do it every day of the week. In terms of public sentiment around trust, I'd look back in the past when email 10 11 came out, everyone thought that email wasn't secure, 12 someone was going to get their information. At some 13 point, post mail was still the primary and we drifted 14 away from post mail and email is now the way that 15 most people send correspondence. I'd say very 16 similarly, when you look at the managing public 17 benefit, in many other spaces, rendering public 18 benefit or rendering benefits on a digital ID, a good 19 example of this is Starbucks. You go to Starbucks, 20 you buy coffee, there's a Starbucks application, you 21 can load that application with money, you can use 2.2 that application to conduct transactions and you get 2.3 loyalty from using the application. It's a way that the public has come to terms with in many different 24 25 spaces. I think in government, we are in the digital

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stone age when it comes to rendering these types of benefits and as we mature, it's going to take people who are recipients of the benefits a little bit of time to get comfortable with it, but we're here, we have offices that are dedicated to ensure that they're secure and their privacy is maintained and we're going to do what's necessary to continue to maintain and build public trust.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Yeah, I mean, I think the service, I mean, it's very different, I think, for someone who uses Starbucks and is using their app than someone who's depending on cash assistance or whatever it is, whatever the vision is for the cyber wallet. I mean, just all of last year, the number of constituents that came into my offices for fraud because their EBT income was stolen and continues to be so, I mean, you have to understand that, I think the public's trust in a single City agency to roll this out in a safe and secure way has really certainly been eroded in the last couple of weeks or so but I think it's a really tough sell despite how much faster it could be and we're also talking about people who are looking for a service, who are in some kind of way, potentially in a

2.2

2.3

vulnerable position so I personally have a ton of concerns about supporting and moving forward with a digital wallet for the purpose of New Yorkers being able to spend. I also think the feature about potentially being able to monitor spending habits and where they're shopping and the things that they're getting, I don't know if that's being communicated to New Yorkers, how that is beneficial to them so I personally have concerns about that.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, I agree. I think when we deployed MyCity around childcare, we saw initial concerns around submitting digital applications and as of this morning, from the last quarter to this quarter, we've upgraded from 75 percent to over 90 percent of the applications that are coming in. I think it's a process much like human development, crawl, walk, run, jump, and I think a lot of skepticism around the government's ability to deliver has been earned over time and it's going to take us a lot of time to unwork that so I hear the concern. All I'm asking for Council is to give us an opportunity and let's see and we'll continue to deliver in the ways that we have since we've assumed the positions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And when do you think that, I know you're still kind of evaluating partners, do you have a timeline for announcements about the digital wallet component?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, I have a timeline about some announcements but not the digital wallet, and I'd say as we get closer towards the end of the year and I'm going to target the first week of December because you said mid-December, first week of December, we're going to have a tangible timeline where we can sit down with the tech council and we can review that together.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. And then the last piece on the digital wallet, will the digital wallet in the MyCity portal mirror the prepaid debit program that was used for migrants through Mocafi or Mobility Capital Finance?

I think that you can use that experience as a lessons learned and you can see what works and what doesn't work. I think the capability that was provided in that space is something that we're looking to provide. The specific vendor that would be used and the way that we, and that determination hasn't been

2.2

2.3

made as yet, so I can't tell you that it would be the same as what was done in the migrant space, but I think that...

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Are they a partner at this point? I know you haven't, are they a partner as Mocafi because I know the City is still in contract with them. Are they a partner for this particular piece?

 $\label{eq:chief_tensor} \text{CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Not at} \\$ this moment.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. And then,
question just about City government and direct
deposits with a cyber wallet. Is that something that
you're looking to do? I think it was referenced in
maybe a hearing that we had last year where you
testified about centralizing benefits on a single
digital platform and that could include replacing
traditional City government payroll to direct
deposits with a cyber wallet. Is that this?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so this is one of the things that we're evaluating as a use case for this. Unfortunately, in today's society, there's still a significant portion that represents the unbanked or underbanked community, and that

includes some of the members of the City workforce.
We have predatory institutions like check cashing
establishments that take portions of City employee
pay to provide them access to cash. And if we can
provide a service that reduces the dependency on
those types of businesses and provides the capability
for them getting real-time access to the money that
they need is something that we have a responsibility
of doing, and that's part of what we're evaluating.
And one of the things that I'd say it's part of this
Administration's approach is it's not just focused on
how it can make public, the public good better, it's
also how can we, part of the public good is also the
City employee good, and what can we do to enhance the
quality of life for those that work as a part of the
City that find themselves in some of the same
population that we're trying to serve?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: As part of your evaluation, do you have a sense of how much of the City's workforce is engaged in the direct deposit versus paper check conversion?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, we can confer with our colleagues at FISA OPA and get

2.2

2.3

2 that information, and then we can bring that back to 3 Council.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Also, as part of your evaluation, have you been in contact with DC37, for example, about the potential and kind of how you were all thinking about cyber wallets and payment?

Of the benefits that we look at bringing forward that impacts the City's workforce at scale, we work in collaboration with the Office of Labor Relations, and that team coordinates the communication between us and any of the unions that may be impacted by those services. When it gets more material or we're in the space where we're looking to collect sentiment or feedback, we'd work directly with OLR to get that information as we've had on a number of other fronts.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So, you have spoken to OLR about the evaluation?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so as part of the evaluation process, the intent is to ensure that we collect feedback from the unions to ensure that as we bring services forward, we're serving their constituents as well.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GUTIERREZ: Okay. And then I
don't know if you, I don't have any notes, I don't
know if you answered this, but the data collected
through the digital wallet, what would OTI be using
it for and kind of like what is the data that you'd
be collecting?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, there's a concept of providing incentive benefits to promote healthier behavior so, for example, in some...

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: There's so many concerns about that.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: There's so many concerns about that.

I think that there's a concept that if you leverage benefits in one way versus another, like if you buy water versus buying soda, being able to provide incentive points based on that, but all those things are theoretical at the moment. I think once the information is collected, you have ways where you can do benefits, you can do matching, you can do things that you can't do with paper-based benefits today.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And I'm glad you said it's theoretical. Because I'm just curious if that is like, in the way that you've been talking about kind of the future of MyCity, where you're really focusing on not the agency, but the client and like kind of what their needs are. Is this a need that people have expressed or that relevant agencies have expressed where it would be good to monitor spending habits so that we can potentially promote healthier habits? Is that something that you're hearing from folks?

we're hearing is that there are a number of programs that not just exist in the City level, but at a federal level that helps gear towards healthier habits, and being able to track information in some way, shape or form that can show compliance, which means we can render more benefits or distribute more to those that need it. I think that that's where that comes from. But again...

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: But it wouldn't come from OTI.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: It wouldn't come from OTI. It'd come from those that

2.2

2.3

2 actually administer the benefits. We're the
3 facilitator of building the systems. They're those

4 | that are responsible for the business end.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Now, my next series of questions are going to be about kind of the build-out of MyCity. I know that initially, certainly before it was launched, there was the intention of doing it in-house. That's not been the case entirely, correct?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So can you share a little bit about kind of what those challenges were? I know it was still very much like we were still remote. There was still a pandemic. I know there's been a number of hiring freezes so I would love if you could specify if that decision was at all because of a hiring freeze, because of capacity, and then what were some of the, and if you can just specify from the portal, what has a vendor and what's inhouse so the childcare piece, the business piece, the jobs piece, the chatbot, what was produced in-house versus utilized with a vendor?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so I would say everything that was produced was produced

- with an amalgamation of in-house and external
 resources. As you mentioned, there has been a number
- 4 of constraints that has impacted the city as a whole.
- 5 OTI has not been exempt from some of those
- 6 constraints. Since the beginning of the
- 7 Administration from January 2022 to now, we've lost
- 8 over 350 employees, right, and that means that when
- 9 you lose that many people, that means that they're
- 10 | naturally workload that has to be redistributed in
- 11 | ways...

- 12 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That haven't been
- 13 | filled, they're vacancies?
- 14 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That is
- 15 correct. All right, of recent, we've been ensuring
- 16 | that our most critical areas, things like New York
- 17 City Cyber Command and the areas that are in charge
- 18 \parallel of the most critical services, public safety, 9-1-1,
- 19 those kinds of things, that we ensure that we
- 20 maintain the staffing levels in those spaces to make
- 21 sure that we can continue to provide the critical
- 22 services that we do, and the areas where we're losing
- 23 application development resources, it's for a myriad
- 24 of reasons. And I think in that space, we still have
- 25 a commitment to deliver it to the public, and we do

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 that by using staff org and bringing in resources
3 that can help us maintain that delivery schedule.

For us, it's a mix of bringing in private and public resources together to build something is not a foreign concept. However, what is a foreign concept is after a system has been delivered, the City having the capability to manage it on its own. So what we've done along the way as we developed MyCity is we made sure that the City's development teams have been integral part of how the systems have been built so that we don't find ourselves in a position as we turn over from phase to phase to phase that we're continuously dependent exclusively on external resources to build and maintain a system. This is how the City finds itself beholden to vendor partnerships, because it has no capabilities to manage on its own. I can say with a high degree of confidence, everything that we've built on the MyCity side of the spectrum has been built with both City and contracting resources but, when the time comes or should the event call for it, the City is capable of managing the systems that have been built and the services with its workforce.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Excellent. And can you share how many vendors are registered or are contracted with you all to work on MyCity specifically?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: For that, I would pass to our Deputy Commissioner for Street Initiatives.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHOI: Since its inception, there've been 67 contracts, 58 of them were M/WBEs.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Great. And of the 67 contracts, is there a particular feature of the portal that is utilizing more of these vendors than others?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHOI: So, like the CTO said, we use vendors and in-house staff for all of the different services and platforms.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So in terms of the vendor distribution on which specific components, I think that for us, when you look across the spectrum, it isn't that any one particular is heavily subsidized by the vendor community. I'd say there's a fair distribution across the board, and key capabilities where we need support, there isn't any

2.2

2.3

one specific area of the development for MyCity that
is more heavily subsidized than another.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And was there an RFP that went out for the app?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so many of the components that we have M/WBE participation in, each one of those required an RFP to go out, for a vendor to respond to fill that request.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And what are the durations for some of the contracts? Are they all, because we're all, it was one RFP, correct?

in some cases, there were multiple, and the duration of the contract heavily depends on the component so some of the technology components of the contract due to aligning with capital eligibility are somewhere between three to five years. The professional services contracts, and some of them run year to year. One of the things that we wanted to do as part of building this process, and as Council had requested, and as the Mayor has committed, to delivering higher use of our M/WBE partnerships, instead of launching one massive systems integration

Τ	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 92
2	contract in this space, we chose to take components
3	of this, and to farm it out to the M/WBE community,
4	so that we can distribute part of the City spend to
5	ensure that those areas also got part of this
6	contract work.
7	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And how long are
8	some of those contracts?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: The pro services contracts tend to run on an annual basis, and the technology contracts tend to run on a multiyear, for the actual components themselves.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And could you share, so and then you started working with vendors, or contracting out since 2022, since the announcement or around?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so since a lot of the development work began in mid-2022, and I'd say between then and now that that work has been continuous.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And do you have a sense of what the total cost has gone out to vendors?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, the total to the vendors in particular?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Mmhmm.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

yeah.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: We would have to get a specific breakdown for you in that space. The total in the MyCity universe, total spend aggregate is about 60 million.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Six zero?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: 60,

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Million.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Million, right. So that 60 million is inclusive of platform support so things that you, legacy, that you may have known as a data bridge, or things that provide information out to Open Data, things like that, all those things have been conformed into the MyCity universe, because as part of building core services, or common services, all those things, or those discrete components, they may have lived in individual places before, but because they're being used for MyCity, and we're going to standardize on those platforms, we've brought them all into one universe instead of having them live in different spaces.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 94
2	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, excellent.
3	For the childcare portion of the portal, that was
4	also using in-house and external vendors?
5	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That is
6	correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Do you have
8	a sense of how many vendors were utilized?

a sense of how many vendors were utilized? CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, the

total that Deputy Commissioner Choi laid out is across the entire universe. And when we talk about MyCity, we talk about it as an ecosystem. The childcare component is a business component, but in the background, the components that are used to deliver childcare are in line, or in common, with the same things that are used to deliver jobs, and the same thing that's still used to deliver small business services. They're all the same.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: But you're using specific vendors for the childcare piece, right, or 67 of them are, all involved in the childcare?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: The 67 vendors that have been contracted provide different components of MyCity. We can give you a detailed spend review on each individual component. We can

functions.

2.2

2.3

- follow up with that for sure. As it stands right now,

 the reason why it's not broken down at that level is

 simply because across the spectrum, a lot of the work

 that's been done is shared between all three business
 - CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Okay, so there's not a specific vendor that you can share about, that worked on the childcare piece, for example.
 - CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: As it stands right now, if I just quantify budget-wise for childcare in Fiscal Year '25, for services, we're looking at 5,480,000 dollars that's been spent.
 - Okay. And is that contract still ongoing, for example?
 - CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, those contracts are still ongoing.
 - CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: For childcare specifically.
 - CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So when you say for childcare specifically, childcare, I go back to, is a business function but, to support childcare, when you talk about building the digital presence, the website, when you talk about building

be delivered.

2.2

2.3

the integrations between systems that support

childcare and MyCity, those things are the same, to a

degree, are the same teams that are building the

integrations between other systems and MyCity so it

isn't that their work is exclusive to childcare so

when those contracts are ongoing, when the childcare

work phases off, those same contracts will be used to

continue to build on for the other services that will

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. So the reason I'm trying to narrow in on it is because, obviously, the childcare piece is the most advanced piece of the portal, it's the one that has had the most interface, and I think is probably the one you have the most feedback on so, just reverting back to the responses, the delay in processing times, where I'm clear that it's not necessarily the technology, that the portal, it might be, they're not filling out the information entirely, some of the information is wrong. I'm trying to get to a place where we're saying, this is the particular vendor, and this is how we're working with them specifically on this piece, to improve it so that's why I'm like, who is

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: I don't know if that helps.

that helps to a degree. So, there's business analysis work that's done exclusively for childcare, but a lot of the other services, it's like hiring a painter to paint a house. Childcare is one room of the house, but the painter has more work to do across the space so the contract for the painter is going to extend beyond the room. It's going to go to cover the entire house so what we can do as a direct follow-up from this is we can provide a breakdown of the specific hours that were allocated exclusively to each component so that you can see, and then we can have that aligned to the total contract allotment.

Wanted to ask another question on the vendors piece.

Just because based on some of the responses, it seems like there's kind of like a, there might potentially be like a little lull because you're doing evaluating and trying to launch the common services platform.

Are there other vendors or other RFPs that you're thinking about as you are thinking through the

digital wallet, for example? Is there another moment
throughout the rollout of MyCity that we can
anticipate additional vendors for additional

5 services?

2.2

2.3

as some services phase out and as other services roll in, things like the digital wallet is something that has to go out as part of an RFP process, and other services that we look to integrate that are outside of the universe of what we already have, those will likely go out in the RFP process.

The things that we know and that we can quantify in the things that we're using will continue to be deployed leveraging the existing partnerships and, when those partnerships expire, we'll follow PPB rules to make sure that we bring in other partners that can help us deliver in the same spaces. As it stands right now, any service that gets integrated in is going to require an RFP of some sort. That's beyond the scope of what we're already doing.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: I'd like to acknowledge Council Members Won and Joseph who have just joined us from their marathon hearing across the hall. Welcome.

2.2

2.3

Because you are utilizing in-house and vendors, is there a time where you're completely phasing out vendors for some of these?

as you start to build foundations it's almost like having people that lay, or if you look at it like a process that's building a building, there's a time to lay the foundation, there's a time to put up framing, there's a time to put in the electrical work, there's a time to do painting and, as specific vendors move on, they get phased out of the program and other ones get phased in to do their specific component.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Excellent. Can you share if the Administration has any plans to incorporate biometric data or identification technologies into the MyCity portal?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, at this moment incorporating biometric data is not on the forefront of our approach. Even when you look at things that use multi-factor authentication, those methods are secured by platforms, that's not data that's housed by the City so at this moment, there's no current plan or projected plan to incorporate biometric data.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Sorry, and would it be to predict behavior or to determine

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Great. Is there any plan to include predictive models to the MyCity portal? I know the Mayor, this was early back in 2022, wanted to kind of combine all agency metrics similar to CompStat so curious if there is a similar intention for MyCity.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so we would want to keep agencies not just accountable for the work that's been completed, but the quality of work that's been done so predictive models, analytics to a large scale is all part of what's being done in this world. If you look at the scope of what we're doing in terms of rendering benefits to any degree, you want to ensure that you have the maximum amount of efficacy in deploying those benefits and also you're reaching the populations of people that need that. In order to assess whether we're doing a good job or not, we have to be able to look back and, in order to assess how much we can grow, we need the capability to look forward as well so we expect that in both of those spaces to have those capabilities in place.

2.2

2.3

eligibility? Is there a set, can you get, do you have specificity on like what the?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So behavior, when I say predictive capabilities, it's predictive capabilities based on need and demand so those that are applying, are they actually being served, the areas where there are demand, how effectively are we targeting those areas?

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. I know that in other cities they've attempted to incorporate like predictive models into benefits and services, and there's been negative side effects so just wanting to kind of hear from you the steps, the steps you take, just like profiling, right, sharing some of that predictive behavior data with PD, for example. Just wanting to like hear it from you, kind of like a strong yes or no, or maybe if that is like a potential feature of the portal.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah,

I'd say for sure, it's a potential feature of the

portal. We would need the capability to, in order to

effectively serve, not just deal with situations as

they arise, but predict to a degree how much is

likely going to be necessary. A good example for that

2.2

2.3

is if you know what the pipeline for what childcare
looks like and you wanted to predict the amount of
pre-K seats that you would need during any given
period, having the capability to use the information
that you have to say, based on what we can see the
total volume of kids are, this is potentially what

the need would be for this particular thing.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And would that access be available to every single agency including PD?

no. So, in situations like this, this is where role-based access control comes from, and attribute-based access control. What that basically means is that you may work in DSS and you may need access to a specific portion of a person's record but you don't need to see everything in that record. Based on your role and based on the attribute in that record, making sure that you only have access to the things that you need to make your determination and not any more than that.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay.

Specifically, would that also apply to the police department? Would the role-based access?

2.2

2.3

24

25

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: For 3 sure, for sure. No one is exempt from that process. 4 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, thank you. With the chatbot, has there been any data collected 5 from the AI chatbot? Has there been any data 6 7 collected from users utilizing the chatbot? 8 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, so 9 we collect data all the time around questions that are coming in, accuracy of information that's being 10 11 put out, number of people served, business areas that 12 they're querying, that type of information, but not 13 user-specific information, like who you are, where you live, that kind of stuff. Not identifiable 14 15 information, but information in terms of what you've 16 searched and what the chatbot has been provided. 17 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And is the chatbot 18 still utilizing the vendor they were contracted with 19 originally, along with in-house staff? 20 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yes, it 21 is.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, and for maintenance, because you mentioned this before, obviously, the intention is to, yes, phase out some of these contracts. Is the maintenance currently for

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the chatbot being done split half and half between
3 in-house and the vendor?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yes, for sure. So, it's currently being done by the OTI team, and we're paying maintenance on the licenses that are required for the vendor. One of the things that I'd point out is, because I don't want you to be blindsided by this, anytime we deliver technology, regardless of what the scope of that technology is, we have to be in a process where we continuously evaluate to see if we're doing it in the most costeffective way. In this case, we delivered the chatbot about a year ago. In the bleeding edge of the space of artificial intelligence, technology is growing at a rapid pace, and we're constantly evaluating partnerships to see what's the best way to deliver services at the lowest cost so if it should be so required, we may be in the space where we would continue, we would keep the chatbot going, but we may switch or we may evaluate the partnerships that we've established to see if they continue to serve us in the ways that we need to be served.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And so the OTI team, and I fully understand that, thank you, by the

that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And was there any testing done prior to the launch of the chatbot, and is testing continuous while potentially there'll be another upgrade?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: There was a substantial amount of in-house testing, and we also did sentiment testing with people out in the public so they could use the chatbot, see how they felt about the look and feel of it so we could get some of that sentiment.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: What was the sentiment?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, in general, we had a lot of positive feedback around the information that came out. We had testimonials the day that we launched that included business owners talking about their capability to leverage that, and if it was around when they were launching their business how much easier the process would have been. So, so far, we've gotten a lot of positive feedback, but we still have a long road ahead of us because it's only serving one business function within the City. If we can get it to cover more, we'll be in a much better space.

_	
2	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Where do you
3	think it would best fit or kind of where are you in
4	that analysis?
5	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: I think
6	for us, quality-of-life complaints is an area where I
7	keep honing in on. New York City 3-1-1, it's a fun
8	fact, right? For New York City 3-1-1, out of 10 New
9	Yorkers surveyed, nine of them rate 3-1-1 a 10,
10	right, which means that
11	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Vickie Paladino
12	today.
13	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah,
14	Vickie Paladino.
15	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Rated it a 10.
16	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: And the
17	good Council Member Holden, right (INAUDIBLE)
18	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: He goes back and
19	forth, he's not a 10. I know this for a fact.
20	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Well,
21	the 10 only refers to the agent's response to the
22	call that come in versus the agency response to the
23	call so most people are satisfied with 3-1-1 agents

today. I'd say for us, for the quality-of-life

complaints and the quality-of-life information

24

25

2.2

requests, if we could serve that information out
faster and we can use AI to help us do that, that
would be great. As it stands right now, with the
staffing levels that we have, it would be great to
have that staff focus on more substantive calls so
that they can get to people that need information,
real information or service requests quicker and, if
we could serve the information up about general
services that the City has in an easier, more
digestible way, it'll give our agents the
capabilities of doing just that.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: The 3-1-1 agents?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: 3-1-1

agents, correct.

We're talking about 3-1-1 very briefly. I know that prior to me in the last Administration, back in 2018, prior to your leadership, OTI had issued this report, this feasibility report based on a legislation, really to determine like, is it feasible to use a centralized single web portal. The results of that report, if I'm not wrong, was that leveraging 3-1-1 online as the City's single web portal is the optimal solution. Again, this was back in 2018. Does the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MyCity portal use any of the infrastructure from 3-1-3 1?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, the MyCity infrastructure is, it stands outside of the 3-1-1 universe, but things like content databases and things along that lines, it uses some of that. What I'd say from 2018 in that report, when Henry Ford was building a car, at the time, everyone said if they could get something, what they could get, and they wanted a faster horse, and I think at that time, people's capability to see the future and the thing that we could deliver may have been limited, and I'd say through MyCity in a very short span of time, based on the multi-agency, multi-jurisdictional effort, we've shown that getting there is not only achievable, but it's achievable in a decent amount of time, and it's going to require a lot of energy and effort to make sure that we stay in that path.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: So there's no, I guess because I think that there's a lot of potential overlap and similarities with 3-1-1 and the future of MyCity, all with the goal of providing a service, directing New Yorkers, making things easier, improving quality of life, so I guess, what are some

2.2

2.3

of the infrastructure that everyone currently has
that you currently use or you're thinking about, and
how do you, we're not going to do away with 3-1-1...

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Of course not.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: . How do you plan on, it seems like quality of life is important, it's important to the agency and important to the success of this portal. How do you see that marriage happening or living together, and then the distinction being clear enough for New Yorkers to understand 3-1-1 is here, MyCity is here. I don't have to create a profile here, I have to create a profile here.

is about anonymity should you choose to want it or being able to submit a request around something that is impacting quality of life. So, a pothole in the street, noise complaints, things along that lines, clearly within the 3-1-1 umbrella. MyCity is geared towards services rendered by the City. You need subsidies, you need access to licensing and permitting, you need something from the City that you have to apply and the City has to render to you.

That's the difference between the two. One is
something isn't right or something is impacting me to
some degree, and the other one is I need something so
that I can do something. That's how the worlds are
separated. I think that out of the success that we
had at 3-1-1, we've got a lot of information around
design, a lot of information around usability, a lot
of information around what the public expects, and I
think under the covers, things like geolocation and
addresses, using common engines in the background so
that we do that consistently, I think that's one area
where things are shared, but outside of that, the 3-
1-1 service model is very different than what MyCity
stands positioned to do, and in areas where we can
learn and we can complement what's already been
delivered, we will.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Can you share,
just backing up a little bit with Mocafi, who you
said, not necessarily a partner in the phase of
digital wallet for MyCity but, as I understand it,
the City is in contract with them for a demonstration
project, correct?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER:

Apologies, sorry, one more time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: The City is in agreement with Mocafi for what's considered a demonstration project along with a number of other demonstration projects.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That was correct.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Do you have a sense of how many demonstration projects are in place?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, across the City and across all agencies, it's hard for me to quantify that.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: OTI.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Within
OTI itself? I would have to get back to you with that
specific number because a demonstration project could
be as significant as having cost value or it could be
as insignificant of one person testing one technology
in a single space so, in order to quantify that, if I
gave you any number, it wouldn't be an accurate
representation of what may be in space, but I can
certainly get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: For the existing demonstration projects, can you share if, sorry.

1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 113 2 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Oh, the 3 existing, I just want clarification. When you say demonstration project, is it explicit to one 4 demonstration project or are you saying the entire universe? 6 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Just the universe 7 within OTI. 8 CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Because we're also 10 11 just starting to kind of get information about it at the Council so it's fairly new so I'm asking very 12 13 preliminary questions. CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, okay, good, 14 15 good, good. CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: For the 16 17 demonstration projects within OTI, which I understand 18 could be a lot, is there data from the MyCity portal,

demonstration projects within OTI, which I understand could be a lot, is there data from the MyCity portal, or any other personal data that the agency is collecting, is any of that data being utilized for the execution of demonstration projects?

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: So, in general, with demonstration projects, depending on the size and scale of them, some of them are deployed in a universe where they have access to limited or no

information, and some of them may be deployed in a
universe where they have access to some information,
and I'm not saying MyCity information, I'm just
saying information in general. In this case, in order
to answer that question accurately, I would need to
get a full catalog of what's in place, and I can come
back and provide an answer if any of that stuff is in
place. One of the things that I would also mention,
in terms of demonstration projects, or any project
that's engaged, there's an NDA, a non-disclosure
agreement, for any City data that's being used in any
one of the tests or pilots to ensure that they're not
used beyond the scope of the pilot, and they're not
shared outside of the City without the City's express
permission.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, and thank you for emphasizing that, but you cannot confirm at this time if there's information from MyCity, like individual's information that is being utilized?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: To the best of my knowledge at this moment in time, I can't say that I can think of a single one of those initiatives where that's the case, but as I said, to

2.2

2.3

provide a more holistic answer, I would have to do a

full assessment of everything.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, okay, I look forward to that. Thank you. Just on any kind of data sharing by vendors of the MyCity portal, is that happening with any and all of the vendors for MyCity, is there data sharing happening?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Any data sharing of anything that comes out of the MyCity portal is shared between the agencies that are responsible for rendering the services. We do not share constituent data out publicly to any partner outside of the use case that it was initially submitted for.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And is information being shared vendor to vendor?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Vendor to vendor, the vendors themselves have no specific business need to have direct access to information outside of facilitating transfers between agencies.

The information for the MyCity application lives within the systems themselves, and they're not purged or pulled out of the systems to be shared between vendors for any purpose.

2	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay, and that's
3	in their contracts? That's in their contracts?
4	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: That's
5	in their contracts.
6	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Do you have
7	any more questions, Council Member Holden? No, okay.
8	I think maybe outside of a few other
9	follow-ups that we can get to you and some of the
10	information on vendors and the specific data.
11	Give me one second.
12	We just had the State Senator (INAUDIBLE)
13	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: No
14	problem.
15	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Sorry. I wanted to
16	give some time to State Senator Gonzalez who I know
17	wanted to testify, but is it okay with just two more
18	questions? I know it sounded like I was done.
19	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Sure
20	thing.
21	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Can you share if
22	there's any role that MyCity's backend plays in
23	agency-to-agency data sharing or coordination of
24	that?

2	CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah,
3	for sure. So, between the agencies, especially for
4	benefits rendering, if there's information that's
5	required to be shared between agencies, there are
6	MOUs in place that govern the specific use of data
7	and the purpose for which that information is being
8	shared, and any information that's shared is covered
9	in that space. We also have privacy riders and
10	addendums that align to the use of that information.
11	So, I think for us, in general, any information
12	that's shared, again, we are the facilitators of
13	building the technology. The specific business
14	agreements between the agencies of how they use the
15	information in it is shared between the agencies.
16	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Is there an
17	MOU with NYPD to access?

 $\label{thm:chief_tensor} \mbox{CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Not at} \\ \mbox{this moment.}$

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: No. Okay, okay. Is there any reason or anything related to, you know, as someone's creating a profile and as you all are analyzing and launching the common services, potentially a scenario where you're utilizing someone's information about substance abuse or

2.2

2.3

anything like that? Is there a future where this kind of very personal information could be shared with PD at their request?

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Unless the NYPD becomes in the business of starting daycare facilities and childcare, we're not, there's no...

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: We just want to be very careful about how we're protecting people's, you know, personal information, and I think so much of what I'm hearing about the future of MyCity is like seeing where people need help and connecting them to that help so I just want to be very, very specific and very careful about how we integrate law enforcement, for example, in some of these instances, and you can, I think, also understand why some New Yorkers wouldn't want to share some information for this fear.

CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER FRASER: Yeah, I can definitely understand that concern, and one of the reasons why we have a Chief Privacy Officer for the City and that Privacy Officer sits outside of any specific entity, it's responsible for overseeing privacy across the City, is for that point, to ensure that we're not biased in any way by any specific

2.2

2.3

agency's mission, and it's done at a level where
we're overseeing what's best for our constituents,
not for the agency that's requesting the information.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That's right. I'm glad it's on the record. I appreciate that. Thank you. So, I think we're going to wrap up with questions. I know that you probably have to leave, but if you can stay just a couple moments or your team can stay for the public testimony.

I'm just going to open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-

2.2

2.3

at-Arms and wait to be recognized. And when recognized, you'll have three minutes to speak on today's hearing topic, MyCity Portal and Intro. 821.

If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of the testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You can also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

Now I'd like to welcome New York State

Senator Kristen Gonzalez, the Chair of the Internet

and Technology Committee in New York Senate. Come on

down. Hi. Good to see you. Good to see you as well.

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: Phenomenal, phenomenal job on the questioning, and I'd just like it on the record that this Committee and the City Council is in very good hands.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: The team, the team gets all the credit. Thank you, Senator. You can get started whenever you want.

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: Fantastic.

Thank you, Council Member Gutiérrez, for inviting me
to share my statement at today's hearing. I am New

of Manhattan.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York State Senator Kristen Gonzalez, representing

Senate District 59, which consists of parts of

Western Queens, Northern Brooklyn, and the East Side

As Chair of the Senate Internet Committee on Internet and Technology, I passed legislation on government use of artificial intelligence this session through both houses of the New York State Legislature. At a time when trust in government and our democracy is at a low, it is of the utmost importance that any government use of technology be reliable. However, despite warnings from experts that generative AI tools cannot be trusted to give accurate information in consequential contexts, the MyCity chatbot was rolled out in October of 2023. Let me make one thing abundantly clear. New York City made a major error in releasing the MyCity chatbot. Using public dollars to deploy a chatbot that gives inaccurate information is exactly why we need clear regulation on government use of artificial intelligence prior to deployment.

This is not the first time, however, that this Administration has invested in untested experimental tools that have failed to deliver on

2.2

2.3

their promises. In September 2023, Mayor Adams announced the K5 security robot to patrol the Times Square subway station. We don't know what it did, if anything, and this robot that Mayor Adams said would be part of the fabric of our subway system was retired by February of 2024. Mayor Adams also pushed for and won deployment of the Evolve AI-powered weapon detection system in subways, despite company warnings that technology would not work well in our transit system. On top of this, Evolve has been sued by their shareholders and is under a federal investigation for discrepancies between what they say about their technology and what it can actually do.

New Yorkers deserve better. We deserve technology that has been tested and is of the highest possible quality. We deserve our public dollars to be used to maximize public good. We deserve an Administration that will be responsive to these concerns. Instead, Mayor Adams refuses to take down this faulty tool because he claims it needs to be in public hands to iron out the kinks and that this is how it's done in tech.

I am here today to send a very clear message that how it's done in tech is certainly not

how it should be done in government. Our lives should
not be made worse so that a product can be made
better. That is poor technology product development
and more importantly, poor governance. Good
technology is thoughtfully designed and thoroughly
tested to minimize its negative impacts and to
demonstrably improve lives. Just as we rigorously
test any other new tool before it is deployed, we
must hold these new technologies to the same
standards. I look forward to continuing to work on
legislation on this issue and working across levels
of government to ensure that our city and state
government prioritizes safety and responsibility in
technology, and I certainly want to thank the work of
this Committee to asking really important questions
that I know my constituents also have as we overlap
around issues of privacy, the use of our public
dollars and having clear standards for things,
especially like we heard today, the digital identity
wallet. So again, I want to deeply thank this
Committee. I want to thank the Chair, and I look
forward to working with you on these issues.
CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you,

Senator. Thank you for being here in person and

2.2

2.3

2 testifying and for your patience. Can I ask a couple
3 of guestions?

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: Oh yeah, yeah, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Have you heard from constituents about utilizing the portal at all?

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: So, we have heard, I have heard on actually Community Boards for concerns around privacy. They want to see a New York State privacy bill pass so, for example, in Queens Community Board Two, that's one of the bills that my constituents directly support, specifically because they know that our city and state governments are deploying tools, but even though they have internal standards on privacy, these standards aren't codified into law. So theoretically, data can be shared across agencies, shared with policing entities, and also can be used to make predictive decisions about their lives so having a baseline of privacy is actually something that has come up several times.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That's excellent.

Yeah, I mean, I think the Commissioner, you know,
barely touched on that. I think often reverts to kind
of like, what is already existing. Seems like they've

2.2

2.3

not really engaged in a ton of feedback besides maybe

like a survey situation. So I mean, what I got clear

from the Commissioner are MOUs, agency to agency.

Obviously, I was asking specifically about law

enforcement. He couldn't really speak to that a lot.

So, I'm encouraged that constituents are asking about that.

Are there, I guess, specific concerns
about kind of the future, and you can get back to me
with this because it sounds like they're really
looking at a sense of like kind of predictive
services with the information that people are
supplying. Do you think that there is concern for
what he laid out or what they laid out today, which
is we want to see what services people need so we can
provide solutions versus kind of like what you're
hearing on the ground? What people really, do they
really need that?

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: That's a phenomenal question. So, I think we can all agree that we want to see our technology stack in our City and State government be responsive, be easy to use, you know, from a New Yorker perspective, also give us the information that we need. But deploying new tools

that are untested certainly isn't the answer to that.
And what I really wanted to point out to your
question is that the question of automated decision-
making systems having implicit bias is one that we've
been dealing with in our City and State governments
for years, and now that we're adding new types of
technology like generative AI and large language
models, which again have been proven to have certain
challenges and hallucinate, you know, we have the
risk to actually amplify some of that bias. And what
we've seen in other states is that when these tools,
whether an automated decision-making system that did
not have a clear, again, a framework mandated by
government to reduce bias, or a generative AI-based
tool, and definitely in the latter right here, we've
actually seen when these tools have been deployed
some serious issues like folks losing some of their
benefits because the decisions were inaccurate and
folks being accused of things like fraud in other
states because the systems were actually flagging
people unnecessarily, and that's why we want to see
before we go ahead and do any of this, that we have
clear standards and at least a human in the loop when

is Cynthia Conti-Cook, and I'm the Director of

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Research and Policy at the Surveillance Resistance Lab. We've been researching MyCity and speaking with a variety of stakeholders over the past year. We urge the City Council to stop, question, and assess the costs and serious risks associated with MyCity and prevent this Administration from undermining local governance by fostering increasingly opaque procurement processes in the name of pro-industry innovation and getting stuff done. We need to stop MyCity because thus far its purpose and design have been decided behind closed doors by cops and corporate tech and now also because of critical questions about potential corruption. We need to question the intent of MyCity because this highly consequential digital infrastructure has been built alongside moves to embed NYPD officials throughout City agencies, regardless of data sharing and regardless of data sharing agreements, and this will impact City government far beyond this administration. We need to assess the potential consequential costs and harm MyCity may inflict on low-income immigrant, criminalized New Yorkers, communities of color and anyone receiving public benefits, mental health or substance addiction

2 services through City agencies and how MyCity will 3 enable digital stop and frisk and create an 4 inescapable digital cop city. MyCity must be stopped. It is a clear example of what happens when cops and corporations occupy central decision-making roles and 6 7 are able to design durable infrastructure without 8 public oversight. Just last week, this Administration moved to make demonstration project procurement even less transparent and accountable to the public. The 10 11 Comptroller's representative and a former Chief 12 Procurement Officer both opposed it. This is why 13 MyCity must be questioned. It is being built behind a 14 blue wall of silence by corporate contractors. We 15 must question how law enforcement will collect, share and use the MyCity portal because we know that the 16 corporate vendors contracted to do so will not stop 17 18 or question them. For decades, the priorities, 19 personnel and power of the NYPD has transformed our 20 City government, and while the NYPD's mission creep 21 has been growing for decades, never before has an Administration of this City so aggressively sought to 2.2 2.3 expand the power of police even farther into all aspects of City government. We question whether this 24 Administration has held the boundaries between 25

thank you for shining a light on the very important

25

issues and serious risks that this proposed project
could present for New Yorkers and for highlighting
the many unanswered questions about how this program
will be designed to ensure New Yorkers' privacy and
safety are fully protected. New Economy Project has,
since 1995, worked with community groups and low-
income New Yorkers throughout the city to fight bank
redlining, predatory lending, and other forms of
racial wealth extraction and discrimination in our
financial system and broader economy. Increasingly,
our work focuses on FinTech, financial technology
companies that claim to expand access to banking
services while, in fact, they are further entrenching
inequality in our financial system and often serving
to exploit unmet needs by low-income New Yorkers and
communities rather than equitably meeting those
needs, and so we want to encourage you to make sure
that you are clear-eyed about this digital wallet
proposal and really be skeptical of these claims that
this is about expanding access for unbanked New
Yorkers and to address banking deserts.

I wanted to just focus on the digital wallet in my testimony briefly. First, I want to say that back in 2018, our organization joined with

2.2

dozens of others across the city to fight back and
defeat a similar proposal in which the Administration
then was proposing to add a financial technology chip
to New York City's municipal ID cards, or IDNYC
cards, and this would have exposed low-income
immigrant, unhoused New Yorkers and others to serious
risks of surveillance and data collection and so much
more. This MyCity now proposal of integrating and
potentially requiring people to tap into and
participate in this FinTech digital wallet raises
many of the same risks and concerns that advocates
raised at that time and also, similarly, would risk
chilling New Yorkers' uptake of City services if they
had to subject themselves to such risks.

I want to just make a couple of very quick points about FinTech. One is that FinTech is predicated, this industry is really predicated on the business model, it's built on a business model of widespread collection and often sale of people's personal data. This is not incidental, it's not a side effect, this is built into that model and, oh my gosh, my vision, and so we want to make sure that, in this case, that it's very clear that MyCity's integration with an array of City services could mean

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that a wealth of people's sensitive information,
including their financial history, personal
identification numbers, and much more, could be

5 concentrated into one place, creating a sort of a

6 huge portal of data over which the City would have

7 very little control.

I want to raise a couple of quick points. Sunrise Banks is a very important player in this as the bank that partners with Mocafy. Mocafy's the technology FinTech company, but it partners with Sunrise Banks to manage and actually provide whatever financial services are provided. Sunrise Banks is not on the list of banks that are designated to hold New York City deposits or to do business with the City, which means that they haven't undertaken the application process, the evaluation, and the oversight that banks are required to do to do business with the City so, in the absence of that, we would ask what kind of scrutiny, what due diligence has the City conducted to ensure that this is a right approach?

Finally, New York City is home to community development credit unions, to responsible lenders and financial institutions. If the City wants

2.2

2.3

to incorporate an equitable and a fair way of expanding banking access to New Yorkers, we have those institutions and the City can partner with and strengthen those institutions. It can advance public banking, for which there is a strong movement in the city. It can take other steps to make a real difference that doesn't entail steering New Yorkers to high-cost and often predatory fintech companies.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you so much.

Moscaritolo. I'm the Director of Communications and Advocacy for Hunger-Free America. I am here to speak on behalf of the estimated 1.28 million city residents who now struggle against hunger. we at HFA, we are not technology experts, nor are we FinTech experts, certainly, but every day, our dedicated staff who deal with benefits access work with struggling families to help them apply for and receive the benefits that they so desperately need, and so we see the difficulties that they face and the pain and frustration that they suffer because of this really laborious process. So, while it is true that it is easier to apply for multiple benefits in New York City than in much of the rest of the state, it's

2 still a really onerous process to obtain those benefits. Rather than being able to apply for 3 multiple benefits at one time, low-income New Yorkers 4 are often forced to spend countless hours traveling to and waiting at social services offices or spending 6 7 long times on calls, waiting to be served, taking 8 time away from work, and raising their children, and if their employer pays by the hour, they often lose wages to do so. So, we have actually long championed 10 the idea of a MyCity portal, which then-candidate 11 Eric Adams said would create a single portal for all 12 13 City services and benefits but, when the portal finally launched in 2023, as was discussed earlier, 14 15 it only offered eligibility screenings and 16 applications for the childcare benefits and, even 17 now, New Yorkers who need to apply for SNAP, cash 18 assistance, and Medicaid renewal are directed to the separate AccessHRA website. So, our position on this 19 20 is that, in principle, we do very much support the 21 idea of allowing people, particularly low-income 2.2 people, the opportunity to apply for multiple 2.3 benefits at one time because it is extremely difficult for them to have to go through this process 24 with multiple agencies, many of which require the 25

same amount of information about them. Something like
90 percent of the information that you need to apply
for all these benefits is roughly the same, and we
know that there are the technology challenges. We
know there were issues that were brought up earlier
in this hearing related to concerns about privacy.
And those are all very fair concerns, but we do
believe that the potential upsides for the City once
the portal is fully implemented could be great. It
could save countless time and money for struggling
New Yorkers, could decrease the burden on City
workers, and improve the local economy because people
who receive these benefits will almost certainly use
them in local city businesses. So, we do believe that
the City Council and the City should do everything it
can to properly and fully implement the promise of
the MyCity portal, which would make life for low-
income New Yorkers who need these benefits much
easier. We would also suggest that the Council
formally endorse the Congressional and State Hope Act
bills, which would make it easier for people to apply
for these multiple benefits online, but we hope that
you work to address the delays in implementing the

2 portal fully so that it can help more New Yorkers.

3 | Thank you very much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you so much for your testimony. I have a couple of questions that I just want to make sure that we include for the record. So, my first couple of questions will be for (INAUDIBLE) and Dayanita, and then I got one for you. So, I'm clear that there is more concern for the future of MyCity, the way information is being captured, the purpose, the efficacy, than hope for this being a useful tool for New Yorkers. In a world where MyCity does exist, what should be removed? What do you think it needs to look like and operate to be the tool where New Yorkers can go online and just get information, if you can envision a world where that is true? What needs to happen for MyCity to be better and useful?

CYNTHIA CONTI-COOK: If the purpose of the tool is just to receive information, then that's much more simple. What we're concerned about is a tool that is collecting information from New Yorkers about their households, about their dependents. As the Commissioner listed off this morning, there's many layers of information that's collected from people as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they apply for benefits, as they apply for childcare, and our questions and our concerns really stem from what happens when all of that information is collected together in one place that doesn't have strong boundaries between what that information was collected for and what it is being used for, and at the moment, data sharing agreements aside, we already heard from a report last week, again, this was only in the public's eye because there was media reporting on it, that an internal memo indicated an intention for NYPD officers to be embedded in City agencies across City government. And, if that is the case and NYPD officials would then be able to get access to such data, we have concerns about what then the purpose of that data, which was collected for a different purpose, is going to be used for, especially in a world where automated systems are kicking people off benefits that they are eligible for, where fraud detection predictive algorithms are wrongly accusing people of fraud, and where the push for innovation and where the push for efficiency and lowering staff counts is resulting in replacing longterm unionized experienced workers instead with algorithms that just don't have the context, don't

2.2

2.3

- have the local expertise, and don't have the central purpose being making sure that people who deserve
- 4 benefits get it and instead have a central purpose of 5 policing.

6 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Are there other
7 cities or other communities where you've seen kind of
8 these worst case scenarios?

CYNTHIA CONTI-COOK: Yes, absolutely.

There have been lawsuits in Arkansas about a home healthcare system that was used to reduce the number of home healthcare hours someone was entitled to.

There is another lawsuit out of Idaho, similarly about healthcare, and another one from Michigan where there was an automated fraud detection services used, and it was used 95 percent of the time it was producing wrong accusations of fraud.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And, Deyanira, I know you raised a really good point about existing financial institutions that are community-based that in many ways try to right the wrongs of discriminatory lending practices and redlining. Do you think that there is a future for the design and rollout of the digital wallet where it is

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 incorporating any of these core values of community 3 institutions, or no?

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: We do. I mean, I would say that just to start in terms of the MyCity design or what that could look like, just a first step would just be making sure that there are real, meaningful opportunities for public input into the design so that we could hear directly, the City can hear what concerns people would have and hear their reactions, and make sure it's very clear what is being envisioned in terms of the collection of data and the sharing of that data. I think also consulting with experts who are not from the for-profit corporations that stand to gain from this project, but consulting with mission-aligned experts in technology that are concerned about the growth, the concentration of data and the lack of control that people have over it at times. I would also say that I found it very concerning that the Commissioner talked about people having to read disclosures to understand how their data would be used when we know that very few people do that, and there's just such a sheer imbalance of power and information. Do we expect public assistance applicants to read that and make a decision about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

whether they need to apply for benefits based on the disclosures that are in four-point font? I would hope not. I think the City should make a clear commitment to making sure that as a matter of policy, any systems, portals that the City creates must protect people's privacy to the highest standard. Otherwise, the City is steering people into a profit-driven system that isn't going to serve the public interest. And finally, to your point, yes, there are, the City right now has entered into another contract with Mocafi to issue immediate response cards to migrant asylum seekers with Mocafi, and some of the community development credit unions that have long served immigrants, undocumented, documented, and other historically redlined communities have said they wish that they had been approached by the City because many of those institutions are already serving these asylum seekers, not just with a prepaid card, but offering an array of one-on-one support, help sending funds back home, applying for tax identification numbers, and providing full holistic services, not just a card that they can use to swipe and generate funds for the FinTech company. And then in the long run, the City should create its own public bank

2 through which it can administer a lot of these
3 services directly rather than having to look to for-

4 profit partnerships.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Yeah, that's so true. For a long time the credit unions were the only institutions banking with undocumented New Yorkers whose only ID was IDNYC, so absolutely.

Is it possible to deliver services efficiently without centralizing data?

Question, and I think that those are definitely questions and conversations that should happen with technologists who can answer it with a lot more detail and context than certainly I can, but the issue from a perspective of governance is that at the moment there is no way for people to understand what the boundaries are between how their data is used and, if it is used for multiple purposes, there needs to be more access to that. We don't want to be in this hall five years from now demanding a bill about transparency reports for how many times the NYPD has accessed data through the MyCity portal. I think that what we need to be clear about from our perspective is what boundaries are we setting up and not just

to police them.

2.2

2.3

setting up and hoping that ethical companies comply,

but laws and clear categorical bans on particular use

cases if the data is collected from a benefits

applicant. If the data is collected from someone

who's going to City services for mental health

treatment, for substance use treatment, in those

cases, people need to have the assurance that their

information is not going to turn around and be used

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. And for Kim, thank you so much for your thoughtful testimony. I think a lot of what you highlighted here is kind of the hope that we had, a lot of folks had about what a one-stop, centralized portal could do to improve access for folks. I am most intimately familiar with AccessHRA. I have the app on my phone. I know it was a big undertaking. Have you heard specific feedback from folks that you all serve or from just folks on your team maybe about the usefulness of someone using MyCity portal, for example, to apply for EBT or for cash assistance or food, for example?

KIM MOSCARITOLO: So yeah, I mean, with the caveat that I don't do the direct service work so this is just what I hear from our staff. I mean, my

understanding is that currently the usability of 2 3 MyCity in particular is fairly limited. So, the work 4 that we do primarily is with SNAP and WIC access, which my understanding is not currently available via 5 the MyCity portal. It is accessible through other 6 avenues through City agencies. But the feedback that we often get from folks is the number of barriers 8 that are in place for folks receiving their benefits and the frustration with spending a tremendous amount 10 11 of time filling out forms to apply for, say, SNAP, 12 and then realizing that there may be other benefits 13 that they're eligible for that they need to apply for separately and basically fill out 90 to 95 percent of 14 15 the same information just on a separate form to a 16 separate agency, potentially having to go in person 17 to meet with people, to have their information 18 verified, which always includes potentially taking 19 time off work, having to find childcare, which is 20 extremely difficult so we look at it from a 21 perspective of, of course, we want to limit the 2.2 barriers that people face to accessing these 2.3 benefits. If there are significant trust issues, if there are concerns about privacy issues which have 24 been raised during this hearing, of course, then that 25

lack of trust will lead to fewer people utilizing the 2 3 application, and that, of course, is exactly what we 4 don't want. So, yes, the process needs to be 5 transparent. It needs to be done in a way that engenders trust in communities, particularly 6 7 communities where we have newly arrived immigrants, 8 folks for whom English is not their first language, because these are the communities often most impacted by poverty and hunger who really need these benefits. 10 11 But from our perspective doing predominantly the SNAP 12 and WIC outreach that we do, probably the largest 13 thing that we hear from folks is not specifically about a specific City application or portal so much 14 15 as just the frustration with the amount of time that it takes first to apply and then to receive those 16 17 benefits, and I realize that the time that it takes 18 to process those applications does not fall under the 19 purview of the Technology office, that has to do with 20 the workers who are processing those applications and 21 getting them through so that may be a whole separate 2.2 department and a whole separate hearing, but that is 2.3 the thing that is most frustrating so our message really is just getting these people access to these 24 benefits is the most important thing and anything 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we can do to make that process easier and more seamless for those folks is that that is what the City and the City Council needs to be focused on.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you so much, but I think that that responsibility should be shared with OTI about the timeliness of processing. I mean, they're promoting this portal as improving quality of life. The Commissioner emphasized this multiple times, and it really is a shared responsibility so I think this notion of like, well, we just have the tool and it's up to the agency to process and we have nothing to do with it, I don't think is justifiable, and I think that there should be way more initiative on their half to be able to bridge that connection. Again, your average New Yorker that is going through the motions of setting up a portal does not want to hear that OTI is not responsible for processing their application. That's just not real. And if they were engaging with people, they would 100 percent know that.

Thank you again. I just have one more question. In your opinion, do you think that the current New York City privacy law is doing enough to protect our data and our data privacy?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it's being enforced. I think that there's an actually pretty comprehensive identifying information protection in New York City. It's not clear that this Administration is respecting or adhering to its restrictions or adhering to the principles under it as it is rolling out MyCity and the digital wallet as well.

I would also just mention that this Administration has gone to Albany to fight for the One City Act, which is a bill that would permit for cities over 1 million pretty broad data sharing permissions, and that bill itself actually contemplates a police use case for the purposes of being able to police the subways, and so it's clear that the care coordination and interagency data sharing that's being contemplated by this Administration is specifically being contemplated not just for the purposes of using force to remove people from the subways and voluntarily hospitalizing people, but it's also being contemplated as the Administration tries to spread out the NYPD through other City agencies to also enforce non-criminal violations, including City rules and regulations, and

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

so we have very serious concerns about the One City

Act as well and how it would actually undermine New

York City's otherwise very clear identifying

information protections.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. And do you want to comment on the changes in the PPB rules related to demonstration projects? The Commissioner did not touch on it a whole lot, but.

CYNTHIA CONTI-COOK: Yes, I would. So last week the Procurement Policy Board did a rule, passed a rule change, although it was opposed, it was passed three to two. The procurement project rule change would allow demonstration project procurement to be used without public notice, without public oversight. It would allow it to be expanded upon without the kind of evaluation and more rigorous, or it's not terribly rigorous to begin with. Demonstration projects are supposed to be an exception to the rule where you pilot something and see how it works before you decide to engage with contracts in it. The current expansion of demonstration project procurement, which was really driven by industry and the love of innovation and getting stuff done, the limitation on that for New Yorkers is that we know

less about what is actually being contracted. There's
no RFP, it is not competitive, and it allows
companies to really get a head start against other
companies. It allows companies to go in and gather
data through City infrastructure, which is a very
valuable ability for a company to have and, once they
exclusively are capable of building a technology tool
based on the data that they've collected getting the
advantage of the City infrastructure they've been
able to access through demonstration project pilots,
they are then really well-positioned to be the most
competitive bidder. We've seen this with ShotSpotter,
we've seen this with Mocafi and the digital wallets,
and we're currently looking at all the demonstration
projects to evaluate just how many exist and get a
better idea of the full scope of how this really
opaque procurement process is replacing and
undermining local governance.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Wonderful, thank you. Thank you so much for testifying and for sticking it out. Thank you.

Next panel, we have Christopher Leon Johnson and Raul Rivera.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Can I speak.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Yes, you can 3 start.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hey, hey, Chair Gutiérrez. Christopher Leon Johnson, The Record. I want to speak, I know that, I don't know if Yusef Salaam was here. He should be removed as the Committee Council Chair because he's a lying bastard. I'll tell him to his face. But let me say this right now. Why does the OTI Commissioner have security detail? Everybody know he does. I think you should have a hearing with one agency, I don't know which, I know Yusef Salaam is too scared to shake an apple cart. You need to ask this OTI Commissioner, like, why does he have a security detail? I just saw him with a security detail outside City Hall. This is getting, Adams is a liar. Eric Adams is a known liar. That's why he's in this situation right now, and I'm calling on him to resign as Mayor. Why does this OTI Commissioner has a security detail? I think you should ask him that question. I'm going to put this in the record. I'm going to send it to your office in Williamsburg. I'm going to go there, like, tomorrow or Wednesday, I'm going to send an inquiry. I think you should have a hearing, like, a special hearing

2 with the, and ask this guy, like, why does he have a 3 security detail? He's lying, Adams' Administration is 4 lying about the OTI Commissioner not having a security detail. He does. They have NYPD badges, they 5 have firearms, they act as staffers, assistants. I 6 7 just saw one outside with him. Let me see how much time I have left. How much time I have left? All 8 right, a minute 34 seconds. Okay, so My Portal, I believe, is a good tool to use. Put everything in one 10 11 point. I don't know why it was the last panel 12 complaining about all this woke crap. Everybody has a 13 smartphone these days. Everybody has smartphones, 14 especially with the help of, what's this thing, ACP, 15 and the free phone program. You can easily access it. If you know how to access booty shaking videos, I 16 17 know, and WorldStarHipHop, then you should be able to 18 access, know how to access the My Portal app. I think 19 that, to be honest with you, 55 seconds on the clock, 20 that for every phone that the city, that the 21 government supplies in the city, they should be able 2.2 to automatically upload, should be automatic on the 2.3 app when you get the phones, when you get the phone. Every City Council, every type of governmental app 24 should be on this phone, should be on the government-25

- 2 owned phones but, in this 30 seconds, like I said,
- 3 Gutiérrez, I will go to your office tomorrow. I know
- 4 I'm not doing it right now. I respect you, but I'll
- 5 go to your office, and I'm going to submit an inquiry
- 6 to have a hearing with the OTI Commissioner again,
- 7 | probably ask him a question next time, what justifies
- 8 | you having a NYPD security detail that acts as
- 9 assistance, calm people, and you see them at the
- 10 parades, you see them at the flag raisings with their
- 11 badges out and their guns out, and they have
- 12 | community affairs officers with them so that's all I
- 13 | gotta say. I gotta go to this hearing right now in
- 14 | the Housing Committee before they cut off, but thank
- 15 you. Take care.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you,
- 17 Christopher. Thank you, Mr. Johnson.
- 18 RAUL RIVERA: Good afternoon. I want to
- 19 start off with a quote that I created a few years
- 20 ago. I'm a big fan of technology. I love technology.
- 21 | Humans first, technology second, today, tomorrow,
- 22 | forever. I'll repeat, humans first, technology
- 23 second, today, tomorrow, forever. Technology can be
- 24 very helpful and can be very dangerous. I tried to
- 25 | link up with the Commissioner that was here to

Technology, and he spoke for like two hours, and we 2 3 tried to link up with his office. I tried to speak to 4 his people. Not one had a business card. So think about that. We're talking about technology, but they can't even have a little piece of paper. I do, I have 6 7 information. I have a dot card, so I can give out my information. I'm the founder of NYC Drivers Unite. 8 I'm a taxi driver. I have over 23,000 trips with Uber and Lyft. People may think that Uber is a cab company 10 11 or a cab base, but actually, they go into technology, 12 and that's why we're here today, and we just 13 testified on Friday about the exploitation of Uber. Drivers are getting deactivated at will with no 14 15 recourse. They try to get reactivated by responding to emails. They can't speak to an actual person. So 16 we spoke to the Chair, and we spoke to the 17 18 Commissioner, and we spoke to Josh Gold from Uber, 19 and we told them that we basically, we want Uber 20 deactivated from New York, and they can deactivate 21 thousands of drivers. Why can't, as a City, we 2.2 deactivate Uber? We can deactivate Uber. They're not 2.3 doing the right thing for the people of New York City. I'm a driver. They're exploiting people of 24 color, right? You want to protect people of color? 90 25

25

so much.

2 percent of the drivers are immigrants with a language barrier, and they're losing their livelihoods. 3 4 They're just getting deactivated. I know a driver that just reached out to me. He had 29,000 trips with 5 Uber. You know how hard that is to do? 29,000 trips 6 7 with Uber. Somebody made a small complaint, and he was gone. Now he's out of business, but he has a new 8 vehicle that he has to pay for. So with technology, you have to be very careful. We're going to call for 10 11 the deactivations. We've been putting videos out. I 12 don't know who listens in this city anymore. You 13 know, the things that are happening here. We have to 14 advocate. We have to edit our videos. We have to be, 15 we have to do everything. So right now, we're calling 16 for the elimination of Uber from the App Store, and 17 we want them deactivated from the city. We spoke to 18 the Commissioner, and I told them there is a 19 solution, and the solution is to revoke Uber's 20 license. And then we got all these groups, these Taxi 21 Workers Alliance and IDG. They say all kinds of 2.2 things, and they draft bills here and there, but they 2.3 never say remove Uber, yeah? Go hard or go home. We want to deactivate Uber from New York City. Thank you 24

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Mr. 3 Rivera.

Next panel, we have Shelby Lohr from the
Day Care Council of New York.

Shelby, you can get started when you want to make sure the mic is on.

and the Committee on Technology for holding this hearing on the MyCity Portal. The Day Care Council of New York is the membership organization of early childhood provider organizations in New York City. We provide early care and education at over 200 sites and neighborhoods across all five boroughs, and the Day Care Council of New York is a steering committee member of the Campaign for Children, and our recommendations in this testimony align with that coalition.

The City must continue to work to make enrolling in childcare simpler for families. DCCNY has been working with our member organizations to identify challenges facing families seeking childcare. Providers have indicated several ways to improve the My Portal system to simplify the enrollment process for families. For parents seeking

2.2

2.3

childcare, the portal contains some user design flaws. In particular, the City directs families to the official MyCity Portal for assistance, but then reroutes them to other agency websites. For example, when families on public assistance are on the MyCity Portal, they're referred to the Human Resource Administration, foster care families are referred to their child welfare caseworker, and low-income families seeking vouchers are referred to the childcare enrollment application.

Further, applications for 3K and pre-K for all are not part of the MyCity Portal. Instead, families seeking free school day 3K or pre-K must apply through DOE's My Schools application. At the same time, families interested in extended 3K or pre-K must first apply through My Schools, then separately through MyCity to confirm their eligibility. Creating a more integrated and single platform can help the portal achieve its stated purpose while increasing accessibility for families. Families interested in Head Start, Early Head Start, and infant and toddler programs face a different pathway to care and must enroll directly with their specific program. The infant and toddler programs

2.2

2.3

then submit enrollment applications to the DOE for eligibility approval. The existence of multiple portals and multiple steps creates severe hurdles for families.

experiences with the DOE's centralized enrollment process as it prevents them from accepting children on-site, resulting in open seats that they cannot fill. This lack of flexibility has hindered contracted ECE providers from enrolling families on-site and creating competition between contracted programs and those operated by the DOE in school settings.

The Day Care Council of New York urges
the City to address these issues to ensure that all
families have access to quality ECE care that meets
their needs. The MyCity portal shall be consumercentered and support a seamless application and
enrollment process for all birth-to-five programming
in New York City. We therefore recommend the
following to improve the MyCity portal. Create a
consumer-centered platform where the applicant need
only know the age of the child they're seeking care
for and provide basic demographics on residence and

2.2

2.3

income levels of the household head; ensure the portal interfaces with providers so that they can assist parents in applying and enrolling in services like full day, full year, or school day and school year and connect them directly to open seats within a center or family childcare network; ensure multilingual access to the platform and that the application is accessible to migrant families. This could include through offering a multilingual technical assistance phone number; ensure application and enrollment for all birth-to-five ECE services can continue all year round, and there are a number of other recommendations, but you will see them soon when we submit the testimony. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Shelby.

Please submit the testimony. We'd love to continue

reading through the recommendations, and thank you so

much for your thoughtful testimony.

hearing about processing times and the fact that people would, there's still 9,400 New Yorkers in the last year that submitted paper applications despite the success of the portal. Is that something that

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

you're hearing where they're choosing to do the paper
application versus the portal for maybe security
reasons or just the length of time it takes?

SHELBY LOHR: Yeah, we have heard a lot of challenges with what is happening in terms of enrolled students and then what the actual portal expresses. So, for example, we heard from one provider that said, we have 28 kids who are enrolled, but then we get something from the portal that says that they're not and then they get an email saying that they're not enrolled so there's a lot of back and forth that if there's a better integration between the providers and the systems, it can help parents not be as confused and it helps providers not be as much on the, trying to interface between the technology and the family so there is a lot of delay and kind of a lack of communication despite many of the positive steps forward that the portal has been undergoing.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: And have you heard from parents if they have any concerns about the kinds of information that's being asked for the screening or to even set up their profile?

2	SHELBY LOHR: Yes, absolutely. There are a
3	number of families, particularly migrant families
4	that are concerned when they're applying. For
5	example, if they need to provide information about
6	who lives in their household or any details about
7	birth certificates, they're very afraid that they
8	might give away somebody else in their family or any
9	other kind of information that they don't want to
10	apply at all, and also it's just a little complicated
11	with too many different places to apply. You don't
12	know how to do it, and sometimes you can just say,
13	well, I'll just try to figure something else out
14	because it's too invasive and it's too confusing.
15	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: That happens
16	often. Shelby, thank you so much. Thank you for
17	taking the time. Appreciate it.
18	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. Now,
19	we're going to turn to our witnesses joining us via
20	Zoom, and first we have Kate Brennan from AI Now.
21	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
22	KATE BRENNAN: Hi there, can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Yes.
24	KATE BRENNAN: Good afternoon, Chair

Gutiérrez and Members of the Committee on Technology.

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

My name is Kate Brennan and I'm the Associate

Director at the AI Now Institute, a New York City
based policy organization shaping artificial

5 intelligence in the public interest.

My brief testimony today highlights three principal concerns we have with the MyCity portal's current trajectory and, in particular, the future vision of MyCity as a centralized predictive data platform. First, MyCity must not be used to justify and entrench big tech corporate interests in public infrastructure. Public AI projects like MyCity are currently designed to require partnering with large tech companies. For example, the City has already contracted with Microsoft AI to launch the MyCity chatbot. These companies have everything to gain from public investment. For one, they're enriched with more data to train and improve their models, and even where agencies don't contract with big tech firms directly, these firms benefit because they control all essential inputs in the AI supply chain, such as cloud computing, data centers, and foundation models. And what does this mean for the public? More opaque infrastructures for citizen surveillance. This concern is especially stark with the proposed MyCity

2.2

2.3

digital wallets that allow government agencies and without proper safeguards, private companies to track how New Yorkers are spending their own money. These kinds of large government contracts only further worsen concentration of power in the tech industry, today widely understood to be bad for innovation, bad for security, and dangerous for democracy.

Second, we cannot allow private firms to hide behind corporate secrecy laws and evade accountability when public AI projects fail. We've already seen this play out. The MyCity chatbot partnered with Microsoft and provided wrong and illegal information to New Yorkers and, crucially, when the City attempted to understand the chatbot's training data, Microsoft claimed that the data was proprietary to the vendor and evaded accountability, leaving government oversight Committees in the dark.

Third and finally, we must push back against the City's prioritization of outsourced contracts over in-house public tech jobs. We expand upon each of these concerns in our submitted written testimony, which we'll submit shortly after this.

In conclusion, we must reject public investment in AI projects that line the pockets of

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

large corporations at the expense of New Yorkers'

privacy, autonomy, and jobs. This Committee has the

opportunity and responsibility to ensure that New

York City invests in technology built by and for its

people. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Kate, thank you so much. Our next witness we have is Albert Fox Cahn.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ALBERT FOX CAHN: Thank you so much, Chair Gutiérrez. My name's Albert Fox Cahn. I am the Executive Director of the Surveillance Technology Oversight Project. I'm also a practitioner in residence at NYU Law School. And it is just stunning that we are having to have this conversation today, that this City, which has so often claimed that it was going to hold itself accountable on AI, would go down this perilous path to a unvetted chatbot, which seems incapable of delivering anything that New Yorkers actually need, but also is able to convey proven incorrect statements and advise New Yorkers to break the law. This is technology that is not fit for purpose, that has no place in public life, and should never have been deployed in the first place, but this is a Mayor who remains committed to finding every

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity to deploy new and untested technologies that transform our fellow residents into test subjects for new private ventures. I want to correct an important point that came during the Administration's testimony, where they noted that there's a Chief Privacy Officer for the City. What was not noted is that under public Law 245 and 247 from 2017, the Chief Privacy Officer's jurisdiction extends to almost every aspect of the City, except the NYPD and law enforcement. The Chief Privacy Officer has largely been stripped of the power to hold the agency that most imperils New Yorkers' privacy and to hold them accountable in any way when they do so, and so what we end up with are empty promises and unenforceable guarantees about the way that the increasing amounts of data collected from each of us will be weaponized against us. The truth is there are no firm guarantees today about the limitations on how that data is used. And furthermore, it's clear that the most important tools for banking access for New Yorkers today are not high-tech, they are not fintech, they are not some new startup, they are not some new AI-powered bot, they are simply ensuring access to credit unions,

access to public banking, access to traditional
financial services, and not some new for-profit
venture. We have been fighting the inclusion of
payment technology as part of the City ID for
basically the entire existence of the Surveillance
Technology Oversight Project. We should not have the
MyCity app transform into a new form of digital
credentialing, digital financial surveillance, or
digital platforming. This is not a way to actually
address any of the issues that New Yorkers face, and
there are so many more modest technological upgrades
that we could actually take on as a City to improve
service delivery, to improve back-end coordination,
to make sure that our systems are actually operating.
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your
time has expired.

ALBERT FOX CAHN: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Al, thank you so

much. And please, I don't know if you have a written

testimony, but please feel free to share it.

I had one question, because I'm glad you brought it up about PD. Do you know how PD could access data from OTI in this instance? Is it through a request, an access, an MOU? The Commissioner

multiple times said to his knowledge there was no
known MOU with PD specifically. Do you know if
something as simple as an MOU is enough for PD, or is

5 there another way that they can access that

6 information?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ALBERT FOX CAHN: Sorry, I wasn't able to unmute myself. So, what we've seen historically is that the NYPD is actually often able to access municipal data without formal MOUs or formal agreements in place. Under the New York State PPPL, the law that governs a lot of that data access to other agencies, they've been able to get it through any number of informal arrangements, and the truth is that because of the political power that the Police Department wields at City Hall, we often see these informal access arrangements with other City agencies. Documenting them, being able to sue over them, that's a much harder task, but the fact that there isn't a formal MOU, to me, that is not reassuring. If there was, in fact, like a forensic audit by an outside entity that was able to certify that the data had not been shared, that would go much further in providing real peace of mind, but the

2.2

2.3

absence of a real enforcement mechanism isn't proof
that the NYPD has actually not accessed that data.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Right. Thank you. Thank you, Al.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Next}}$, we have Katie Kaye from World Privacy Forum.$

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

KATE KAYE: Chair Gutiérrez and members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify about MyCity. My name is Kate Kaye. I'm Deputy Director of World Privacy Forum. We're a nonpartisan 501(c)3 public interest research group focused on data use and privacy in complex technical and data ecosystems, such as AI, mobile apps, identity systems, and more.

Expanding access to City services is a laudable goal but, without incorporating privacy and security by design throughout MyCity development to prevent unintended uses of data from MyCity, it could introduce data privacy risks and negative impacts for everyone using it, especially vulnerable communities. These risks include unconsented or undesirable sharing or exposure of data related to people's identity, housing, health, employment, income,

immigration status, or business operations. Users'
data could be accessed by bad actors or used for
discriminatory algorithmic scores or decisions. To
understand how policies and regulations apply,
transparency and documentation are needed in the
following areas. What specific City services and data
systems will be integrated into MyCity? What vendors
will enable technical processes such as identity
verification, social media logins, AI chatbots, or
payment systems? What information such as identity
data, biometric data, or mobile location data will be
used or shared through MyCity? Why and for how long?
What MOUs or agreements such as data sharing
agreements exist for MyCity?

And here are a few suggestions to ensure MyCity does not create privacy or discriminatory risks. All connections to external systems, including identity verification and social login systems should be scrutinized according to privacy data and AI governance policies. Secondary or downstream uses of MyCity data, including by vendors, should be limited or prevented. Some digital banking and wallet systems offer people a false take-it-or-leave-it choice between permitting secondary use or sharing of their

2.2

2.3

2	data and not getting the service at all. MyCity data
3	with no reason to be stored should be purged from all
4	data systems. Without appropriate data agreements and
5	use and sharing limits, connections between MyCity
6	and external systems like identity or AI systems or
7	social media platforms could allow unwanted data
8	sharing or exposure of identity.

World Privacy Forum urges the Technology

Committee to launch a taskforce, which includes civil society groups and the people who will use the portal or app. The task force should provide recommendations for appropriate transparency disclosures and guardrails.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you very much. Your time is expired.

KATE KAYE: I'm happy to answer any questions you may have, and I'll be submitting written testimony.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Oh, excellent. I was just going to ask, I want to make sure we read through the recommendations. Thank you so much.

KATE KAYE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Next we have Kevin

25 De Liban.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

KEVIN DE LIBAN: Good afternoon, Chair Gutiérrez and other Members of the Committee. My name is Kevin De Liban. I'm the Founder of an organization called TechTonic Justice to fight the ground level harms that artificial intelligence algorithms and related technologies cause in low-income people. I come to this work after 12 plus years as a legal aid attorney in Arkansas, whose specialty was public benefits, involving at points, the integration of these various eligibility systems. The short version is beware, run the other way, this is a warning, the sky is falling, and all the other good things. Similar projects oftentimes headed by large private vendors in various other states have resulted in enormous failures, and these states vary. It's not just Arkansas, it's Rhode Island, it's Colorado, it's Texas. Places of different sizes, different political climates and everything else. And when they fail, the result is outstanding and unsupportable human suffering, right? People who are unable to get benefits so that they can buy food or get healthcare or do any of the other things that they need to survive so these are incredibly high risk uses. There

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hasn't been an instance yet where a jurisdiction has successfully somehow overhauled their eligibility and enrollment system to integrate multiple applications without it entailing significant risks and failures. If this is the path that New York City is going to go down, I have some, I quess, cautionary quidance. One is making sure that the problem is defined and scoped in detail. What is it that you're actually trying to solve for? What problems? Is this technology capable then of solving that problem in a way that is efficient, effective, fair, not harmful, and costeffective? If you're going to go this way, will you commit to the resources to minimize the harm and ensure proper oversight? That means making sure agencies have the sophistication necessary to interrogate vendors, contract well, run all sorts of tests and projections, consulting community members that are going to be affected in an ongoing way that actually enables them to have power and to participate meaningfully. Importantly, it's necessary as part of this to have extensive public reporting and forcing the agency to answer questions that might not be answered in their own internal documents and the things that they choose to make publicly

2.2

2.3

available. Of course, freedom of information laws

have to be strong around this so that the public can

have access to the information. There has to be pre
deployment testing. There has to be phased

implementation so that it's not applied to everyone

at the same point. You have to maintain the non-AI,

the previous way of doing things so that you can turn

way. That concludes, I think, my three minutes. Happy to offer any additional information or answer any questions. Thank you very kindly.

that switch off and get people benefits the previous

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Kevin.

Do you have specific examples of benefits being

denied or what kind of benefits that were denied

using a similar portal?

KEVIN DE LIBAN: Okay, thank you. Yeah, so in Rhode Island, I think it was 2016 to 2018, massive SNAP and Medicaid failures so I think at some point, 130,000 people on SNAP benefits lost their benefits, and then there was a backlog of 15,000 or 30,000 applications that took months and months to receive. You have in cases right now with like unemployment, there are still cases in Michigan of people who are waiting four years for pandemic era unemployment

Members of the Technology Committee, thank you for

25

the opportunity to address this important topic and 2 thanks, Irene. As a longtime advocate for digital 3 4 equity, I am a longtime advocate for digital equity. And the closing of the digital divide, I commend the City for developing the MyCity Portal, a tool that 6 7 has the potential to be transformative for New 8 Yorkers seeking access to essential services. However, however, for the portal to truly fulfill its mission of equity and empowerment, we must ensure it 10 11 is accessible to all residents, regardless of their 12 technology resources or digital literacy. So mobile 13 app access, right? That's critical. Many New Yorkers, 14 particularly those in underserved communities like in 15 Harlem, where I'm there all the time, a smartphone is their primary and sometimes only device for 16 17 connecting to the internet. You get to what I'm 18 saying. Internet is an issue here. An app allows 19 these residents to access services on the go, receive 20 notifications about deadlines and complete simple tasks like checking application statuses. By making 21 2.2 the MyCity Portal accessible via mobile, we can reach 2.3 those who may not have home broadband, but rely on affordable mobile data plans. I'm getting to that 24 broadband. On the other hand, web access through 25

2 computers is just as essential. There are many tasks, 3 from applying for housing assistance to uploading 4 important documents, that are better suited for a desktop experience. The larger screen, more advanced tools and ability to multitask are crucial for 6 7 certain users, including older adults and individuals 8 who may struggle with mobile navigation. We must not forget that the digital divide is not just about internet access, but also about digital literacy. 10 11 Ensuring that the portal is intuitive and use-12 friendly is as important as providing robust security features like multi-factor authentication, whether on 13 14 mobile or desktop. Additionally, offering the 15 platform in multiple languages will ensure that the 16 New Yorkers, regardless of background, can benefit 17 from these services. I also want to address the 18 integration of NYC 3-1-1. I know that's happening. 19 I'm glad to hear about that. And I'm just saying that 20 because the biggest issue we have is getting every 21 single home in our great city to have the internet. 2.2 We cannot call ourselves the greatest city in the 2.3 world if someone does not have the internet in their home. That's critical. It will work directly with 24 MyCity. All that will work so much better. I urge the 25

2.2

2.3

- City Council to prioritize both mobile and web access
 to the NYC portal, ensuring it is accessible, secure,
 and inclusive for all. Thank you for your leadership
 and, of course, I think this is a great and important
 issue.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you,
 8 Clayton. It's good to hear from you. Thank you so
 9 much.
 - Next up, we have Sara Luria from St. Nicks Alliance.
- 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
 - SARA LURIA: Hi there. Good afternoon. My name is Sara Luria. Before I start, I just want to say I appreciate all the discussion around privacy and data sharing, especially for historically marginalized folks, and thank you to the Committee for continuing to do this critical work. Like I mentioned, I'm the Director of Workforce Operations at St. Nicks Alliance.
 - St. Nicks Alliance Workforce Development

 Center launched a digital literacy for all initiative

 that was fueled by the pandemic and the growing

 importance of computer literacy to be successful in

 education and in room for jobs and successful career

ladder development. As part of this effort, we	
recognize the growing need for digital literacy	, for
all in our community. The services at St. Nicks	3
Alliance infuse digital literacy and employment	5
education, skills training, etc. We serve about	-
18,000 community members annually and the center	er
serves around 2,200. Our tech training includes	data
analytics, IT help desk and cybersecurity. We k	αnow
that the MyCity platform incorporates the job a	and
benefits feature that could truly potentially k	penefit
our clients at St. Nicks Alliance, and we recog	gnize
the importance of providing critical resources	to
ensure employment opportunities for historicall	ГУ
marginalized folks, especially in North and Cer	ntral
Brooklyn. My question is, how can the MyCity pl	latform
and the committee support community-based	
organizations who train folks in IT and tech ro	oles to
sort of have more opportunities through employm	ment,
specifically in the jobs and benefits feature?	Thank
you.	

CHAIRPERSON GUTIÉRREZ: Sarah, thank you so much. Thanks for sticking it out and for your testimony. Appreciate it.

I think that wraps up the witnesses via

Zoom and, if we have inadvertently missed anyone who
has registered to testify today and has yet to have
been called, please use the Zoom hand function and
you'll be called in the order that your hand has been
raised.

Okay, no hands. Thank you everyone for your testimonies today. We hope that MyCity portal will indeed serve as a secure one-stop shop for City services and does not become a one-stop shop for sensitive data about New Yorkers.

The hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 12, 2024