CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

----- X

Wednesday, November 20, 2024

Start: 10:21 a.m. Recess: 11:45 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers, City Hall

B E F O R E: Keith Powers, Chairperson

COUNCILMEMBERS:

Adrienne E. Adams

Joann Ariola Diana I. Ayala Joseph C. Borelli Gale A, Brewer

Selvena Brooks-Powers

Amanda Farías Crystal Hudson Lincoln Restler Rafael Salamanca Pierina Ana Sanchez

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Muriel Good-Trufant Nominee, Corporation Counsel New York City Law Department

Jack Wei Lin Former EMT with FDNY

Timothy Headon[?]
Former Lieutenant with FDNY

Christopher Leon Johnson Citizen of New York City

Raul Rivera Citizen of New York City

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to the New York City Council Hearing on the Committee of Rules, Privileges, and Elections. At this time, can everybody please silence your cell phones. If you wish to testify, please go to the back of the room to fill out a testimony slip. Written testimony can be e-mailed to Testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. At this time, going forward, no one is to approach the dais. I repeat, no one is to approach the dais. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Good morning, and welcome to the meeting of the Committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections. I am city Councilmember Keith Powers, chair of the committee. Welcome everyone. Before we begin, I want to introduce the other members of the committee who are present. We are jointed by Speaker Adrienne Adams, Councilmembers Ariola, Ayala, Farías, Hudson, and I believe we are joined on Zoom by Salamanca and Sanchez, and Brooks-Powers, I believe, is here as well. My apologies. We also will be joined, I think, by others momentarily. Also a reminder to all the members, for members on Zoom, we need a quorum in order for them to be able to speak

and ask questions, so if you can stick around, please do. I also want to acknowledge the Counsel to the Committee, Jeff Campagna, and the committee staff that worked on today's hearing, Chief Ethics Counsel Pearl Amor, Director of Investigations, Francesca Del Vecchia, and Deputy Director of Investigations, Alicia Vassal. Today, the Committee will consider the nomination of Muriel Goode-Trufant for appointment to the position of Corporation Counsel. Congratulations to you on your appointment.

2.2

2.3

Before I move on, I want to recognize Speaker Adrienne Adams to make an opening statement.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you so much, Chair. Good morning, Chair Powers and members of the Committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections for convening today's hearing on the nomination of Muriel Goode-Trufant for the position of Corporation Counsel.

The Council has worked constructively with interim Corporation Counsel Muriel Goode-Trufant, and we appreciate her commitment to public service as a more-than-30-year veteran of the Law Department. We look forward to a thorough and transparent review of the nominee's record throughout this hearing today. The role of Corporation Counsel is a prestigious and

powerful position in our City Government. To serve the public at this level is a great privilege based on qualifications, attributes, and many aspects of a nominee's record, including their commitment to public service and justice. They also need New Yorkers' trust and must be accountable to the public and the many officials represented by the Law Department. They must be able to confidently lead the more than 800 attorneys and support staff of the Law Department. They must faithfully represent all of use, the entire city, the Council, agencies, and other elected officials.

2.2

2.3

The reason that our city charter was revised to require this position to receive advise and consent came from the need to ensure Corporation Counsel does not prioritize the mayor or any single official over the city's interest and justice. This issue was a central concern with certain past Corporation Counsels. We consider the context of this history, and the weight of our responsibility to strengthen democratic governance as we approach this hearing.

Our goal today is to evaluate the nominee's record and determine whether she meets the high standards and public trust required of this critical

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 6
position. As a Council, we take this responsibility
seriously, because our job is to make city government
more responsive to the needs of all New Yorkers. It
is our duty to critically examine appointees, their
character, their qualifications, and their record, so
that we can ensure we have the best person for the
job.

2.2

2.3

Leadership matters, especially when city leaders are responsible for providing services that New Yorkers rely upon for their safety and well-being. Ultimately, a transparent process like the one we are undergoing today strengthens accountability and trust in our government. Mrs. Goode-Trufant, I look forward to hearing from you today and our exchange regarding your record, your qualifications, and experiences in consideration of your nomination as Corporation Counsel. Thank you very much and I turn it back over to the hands of our Chair.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Before we go ahead, I want to summarize the powers

and the duties of the Corporation Counsel before

introducing the candidate. Pursuant to Sections 31

and 391 of the New York City Charter and by letter

dated October 25, 2024, Mayor Eric Adams requested

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 7
the Council's advice and consent in relation to his
nomination of Muriel Goode-Trufant for appointment to
be the Corporation Counsel.

2.2

2.3

The New York City Charter designates a

Corporation Counsel as the attorney and counsel for the City of New York and all city agencies.

Corporation Counsel and by extension, the Law

Department is granted the power to conduct all the legal business of the city. Further, a Corporation

Counsel has the right to bring or defend any legal action in local, state, or federal courts.

The Law Department is comprised of approximately 850 attorneys who specialize in all the areas of law necessary to conduct the legal business of the city. The Law Department includes specialists in a wide range of fields of litigation, land use, ethics, professional responsibility, contracts, administrative law, juvenile delinquency, and legislative interpretation, just to name a few. They represent the city, elected officials, and city agencies with all legal issues that they may confront.

If the Council gives its advice and consent, Ms. Goode-Trufant will be appointed Corporation Counsel

Ms. Goode-Trufant has been serving as an acting
Corporation Counsel since the resignation of her
predecessor in June. She has served the Law
Department for nearly 34 years, and thank you for
your service to the city. Prior to joining the Law
Department, she was a litigation associate in private
practice for five years.

She is a graduate of the University of

Pennsylvania and received her law degree from Temple

University School of Law. Before we begin, I want to

ask the Council to administer the affirmation.

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and in answer to all Councilmember questions?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I do.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and I'm going to recognize you now to make an offering statement, and then we'll follow with questions.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you. Good morning,

Madam Speaker, Madam Deputy Speaker, Chair Powers,

and members of the Committee. I thank you for holding

this hearing and for considering my nomination to

serve as the 82nd Corporation Counsel. I would also

like to express my gratitude to the Administration

I have long believed that public service is a noble calling, and I have lived out that belief through my 33 years at the New York City Law Department. In that time, I have served as an Assistant Corporation Counsel, a Deputy Assistant Chief, an Assistant Chief, a Deputy Division Chief, a Division Chief, Equal Employment Opportunity Officer, MWBE Officer, Managing Attorney, First Assistant Corporation Counsel, and since June 2nd of this year, the Acting Corporation Counsel. I have worked directly or indirectly with all of our 24 divisions and with most of the various city offices and agencies in fulfilling the Law Department's mission to provide legal representation to the City of New York in the tradition of excellence and dedication in the furtherance of the operation of its government.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

for this nomination.

As both First Assistant and more recently as

Acting Corporation Counsel, I am familiar with the

pressing legal matters and challenges facing the

city, which makes me uniquely qualified to seamlessly

assume this role, should I be confirmed. The Law

Department has approximately 70,000 active matters,

excluding our extensive legislative and counseling

work. Our portion of the judgment and claims payouts

in FY24 totaled nearly \$1 billion.

Our Municipal Finance Division handled \$20.4 billion in bond transactions in FY24 and has already completed more than \$12 billion in bond transactions in FY25. Our Tax and Bankruptcy Division protected more than \$4 billion in city tax receipts in FY24. Our Environmental Law Division handles a wide array of environmental issues, including the protection of the city's upstate drinking water supply, the largest in the nation.

These are just a few samplings of the important work done by the Law Department. I am immensely proud of the Law Department, both its employees and its work. It is because of this work that I desire to become the 82nd Corporation Counsel.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

I spoke earlier of the Law Department's mission.

I now cite our vision to be the finest public law

4 office providing the highest quality representation.

An organization's vision is necessarily forward thinking. Meeting and sustaining the Law

Department's vision takes continuous dedication and effort. We must train new staff in municipal law.

We must equip existing staff so that they can grow into new roles, taking on greater responsibilities.

And we must support all staff when they face challenges and obstacles, because no matter how hard or difficult things get, we can accomplish much if we do it together.

There remains much work to be done.

The Law Department's clients are all of the elected officials of the City of New York, the city's agencies and entities, and at times, individual city employees.

Our efforts enable the day-to-day functioning of this municipal corporation. I have had the privilege of serving under nine former Corporation Counsels.

Despite any and all difficulties that may have existed during their tenures, each would share that being the Corporation Counsel is one of the best

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legal positions there is because of the breadth of the legal issues handled by the Law Department.

It would be an honor of a lifetime to serve as the 82nd Corporation Counsel. I am committed to working tirelessly on behalf of the city and its residents as we look to continue to build upon the legacy of the Law Department.

I thank you again for your time and your consideration, and I welcome your questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I also want to recognize we've been joined by Councilmember Borelli and Councilmember Brewer. And before we begin our questions, I just want to reiterate what I said at our last hearing on a nominee for this position as well, which is the hearing of today's hearing is not to determine whether you're a competent attorney. Of course, that is part of it. But we all know you're an accomplished and competent litigator and a dedicated public servant. But this is really an opportunity to hear from the nominee so we can determine whether the nominee has demonstrated a commitment to advancing justice, serving the public trust, to represent the entirety of the New York City as head of the Law Department.

We want to make sure the Corporation Counsel has a faith and trust of officials throughout our government, including the Council, the hundreds of attorneys, staff of the Law Department, the diverse people of New York City, that they will represent the public interest of all New Yorkers and prioritize the pursuit of justice over politics. And of course, today's hearing allows us to transparently make that assessment.

As chair, I'm going to recognize Councilmembers to address the nominee, to make statements and ask questions, starting with members of this committee and followed by other Councilmembers.

We'll give flexibility, as we often do, for members on the first round of questioning, but if there's a second round, we'll put them on a time limit.

I want to start by recognizing Speaker Adrienne Adams to ask the first round of questions.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Welcome again. It's wonderful to see you.

The Law Department attorneys frequently represent the Council in litigation.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Law Department attorneys also often represent that they're providing legal advice to the Council during the bill drafting process. In both instances, the Law Department comes into possession of sensitive information that may harm the Council's interests if publicly disclosed or if used against the Council in a court proceeding. Do Law Department attorneys have an ethical obligation to maintain attorney-client privilege and confidentiality with respect to information they receive from the Council in the course of providing legal advice to the Council?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Absolutely, and we treat those consultations on a separate line of confidentiality from other clients.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. What obligation, if any, do Law Department attorneys have during the bill drafting process to disclose whether they're representing the Council or the administration during the bill drafting process?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It would depend on the particular bill. With most bills, there is an overall process where we understand that there is fluid information flowing amongst the parties for the good of the city.

2.2

2.3

However, if there is a situation where there are particular concerns on one side or the other, we would erect an ethical wall to make certain that we were giving advice that was not disclosed to another entity.

SPEAKER ADAMS: I see. Okay. Will you commit that the Law Department will not use any document or information received from the Council in the course of representing or advising the Council in any proceeding adverse to the Council?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Absolutely.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. Will you further commit that you will not disclose any such information to any third party, including any other client, without the express consent of the Council unless directed by a court of law?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Absolutely.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. Mrs. Goode-Trufant, during our August hearing on the nomination of Randy Mastro for the role of Corporation Counsel, Mr. Mastro opined that if the mayor believes a duly enacted local law is unlawful, he should ask the Law Department to seek judicial intervention before the effective date of such law. Do you agree that in the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 16
absence of such judicial intervention , the mayor has

an obligation to enforce the laws of the city?

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Any analysis of this question must be done on a case-by-case basis.

For example, a court would likely reject a case brought before the actual enactment of a law because the issue would not yet be ripe for judicial review at that point. There also may be other considerations, such as superseding federal or state law. Therefore, it is important to evaluate each circumstance on the facts presented at the time.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. But the question was-- I'm going to ask it again, Mr. Mastro opined that if the mayor believes a duly enacted local law is unlawful, he should ask the Law Department to seek judicial intervention before the effective date of such law. The question was, do you agree?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I do not agree.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. Will you commit that as Corporation Council, if you agree with the mayor that a proposed local law adopted by the council is unlawful, and he decides to veto such proposed local law, that you will advise the mayor to state all legal infirmities in writing in the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 17
statement of objections he is required to submit to
the council pursuant to Section 37 of the charter?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. In your pre-hearing questions, you were asked if you would only instruct the department to take legal action to challenge the validity of a local law after coming to a determination that such invalidity could be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. You responded, and I quote, "To my knowledge, the standard for validity or invalidity of a local law is not the, quote, beyond a reasonable doubt standard that applies in criminal prosecutions, unquote."

I want to call your attention to a couple of cases on this particular matter. Are you aware of litigation against the city challenging the enactment of a local law that would allow non-citizens to vote in local elections?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes, the law department is representing the council in such litigation.

SPEAKER ADAMS: And are you aware that the law department, which you just stated, appealed that case to the second department?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. In that appeal, your predecessor, Judge Sylvia Hines Raddix, submitted an appellate brief on behalf of the council and the mayor, which cited Moran Towing Corp. v. Urbach for the proposition that the New York State Court of Appeals requires that plaintiffs, quote, plaintiffs bear the heavy burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that the law suffers, quote, wholesale constitutional impairment, unquote. Are you aware that during your tenure as acting corporation counsel in connection with the same case, you authorized the submission of a brief to the New York State Court of Appeals on behalf of the council?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

SPEAKER ADAMS: In that brief, the law department argued that the Court of Appeals requires the plaintiff to establish that, "it is impossible to reconcile the local law and the state constitution and that where reasonable doubt exists, the local law must be upheld." Given the presumptive validity of local laws, if asked by the mayor to challenge the validity of a duly enacted local law as unconstitutional, would you only agree to do so after coming to a determination that the department could

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 19

prove such local law is unconstitutional beyond a

reasonable doubt? Or would you allow the department

to pursue more speculative litigation to advance the

mayor's policy objectives?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Given the tenor of the question, I would go with the former, beyond a reasonable doubt.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. I feel like there's one in column A and one in column B and picking door number one or door number two, but I like your answer.

Okay. In the court of litigation, the law department has previously demanded that the council agree to stays of enforcement of local laws that the mayor opposed.

Will you commit to not requesting the council agree to a stay of enforcement in the absence of a court order?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: In that particular instance, we were faced with prospects of contempt versus the enactment of a duly enacted local law. We were in an extremely, and we continue to be in an extremely difficult, almost no-win situation.

2.2

2.3

2 SPEAKER ADAMS: Are those situations common or 3 are they uncommon?

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Extremely infrequent.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Pursuant to section 24 of the New York state executive law, the mayor may issue an emergency executive order to suspend a local law. Do you believe the use of this power must be rare, narrowly tailored, limited in time span, and that such power should never be used when the disaster in question could be resolved using the day-to-day tools of city government, i.e. rulemaking or legislation?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: State law requires that when the mayor exercises emergency authority to suspend a law or regulation, the suspension must meet a number of conditions.

One, the suspension must safeguard the health and welfare of the public.

Two, it must be reasonably necessary.

And three, the suspension should provide for the minimum deviation from the law or rule deemed necessary.

State law also provides that emergency suspensions must expire after five days unless they are renewed based on the relevant facts and

circumstances. Rulemaking and legislation can also address the impact of an emergency or be instrumental in preparing for an emergency. But when an emergency occurs, there will often be a need for an immediate response that doesn't allow for the usual legislative or rulemaking processes.

That said, all parts of the city government working together have succeeded in getting us through severe weather disasters, 9-11, the devastating pandemic, and other emergencies. I take seriously our role in advising all our clients about the tools our state laws provide for emergency response.

SPEAKER ADAMS: In your estimation, in the past, let's say, six months, have the executive orders that are issued, do they follow those requirements that you just stated?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Is it your estimation, then, that the mayor should usurp legislative powers during emergencies?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It depends on what type of emergencies and what the emergency requires.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay, that goes back to my former question, then. So in your estimation, do you feel

5 | legislative powers?

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I think that the emergency orders issued within the past six months were necessary under the state law requirements.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay, I'm going to move on.

During the Giuliani administration, the mayor decided the city could cut off funding to the Brooklyn Museum because of the mayor's objections to what the museum was exhibiting.

The general counsels representing the council, the public advocate, the comptroller, and the borough presidents all opposed the mayor stating their common opinion that doing so would be a violation of the First Amendment.

Notwithstanding the objections of the attorneys representing all the other divisions of city government, the Corporation Council argued on behalf of the mayor's position in court. The court ruled that defunding the museum was a clear violation of the First Amendment and ordered that funding be restored.

2.2

2.3

In your pre-hearing questions, you were asked your opinion of how the Corporation Council, then Michael Hess, handled that matter. You responded that he did what he thought was best at the time. By your written answer, are you saying that you believe there was a legal basis for the mayor refusing to fund a museum based on his opinion of the exhibits inside?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I was not saying that. I was acknowledging that the former Corporation Council,
Michael Hess, found himself in a challenging
situation, which is, I think, the case for every
Corporation Council. He did what, in his estimation,
was best at the time.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Did you work on that case on behalf of the mayor?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I did not.

SPEAKER ADAMS: If Mayor Adams decided to withhold funding from an organization based on his opposition to the political opinions of its executive director and all the top lawyers from all the other non-mayoral entities that make up city government raised First Amendment concerns, would you defend the mayor's decision?

SPEAKER ADAMS: Why not?

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Well, we are in a different time than the situation from the late 90s and the Brooklyn Museum. I think that the Corporation Council's obligation is to make certain that we are serving justice and following the rule of law.

So, I would support the First Amendment in that situation.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. During the hearing on the mayor's nomination of Randy Mastro to be

Corporation Council, he testified, he being Mr.

Mastro, testified that the reason he left city

employment to represent corporate interests against

the city and its residents was because even though he

was one of the highest paid city employees, he was

too broke to support his family.

You, Ms. Goode-Trufant, are a 34-year veteran of the law department. Why didn't you ever leave city employment to make more money elsewhere?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Opportunities have presented themselves unsolicited, but there is no finer work, I believe, than at the law department. I have had the benefit of extraordinary colleagues, of fascinating

2.2

2.3

There are some who choose money, and there are some who choose fulfillment. I have been quite fulfilled at the law department.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. The Daily News has repeatedly characterized this committee as a kangaroo court based on the hearing we held on Mr. Mastro's nomination for Corporation Council. The term "kangaroo court" refers to a show trial staged to justify extra-legal lynchings, executions, and to impose life sentences of hard labor. Do you agree with the Daily News' characterization of this committee and the council?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I certainly would not say such a thing about the council, and I was away in another time zone during the hearing, so I did not have the opportunity to watch it.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Oh, too bad. You missed some really, really— I won't go anywhere further than just the— too bad.

Do you believe that any appointee subject to the advice and consent process, by accepting the nomination voluntarily, submits themselves to the

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

work history, values, and credibility?

SPEAKER ADAMS:

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Ms. Guttrufant, thus far, do you believe you've been treated fairly during this process and during today's hearing?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I have a long list of questions, but I'm actually going to let members go, and then I'll come back at the end.

So our first three we have, Councilmembers

Farías, Ayala, and Hudson. I'm going to give them an opportunity. No? Councilmember Hudson?

COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: Yes, I'd like to ask a question. Thank you. Good morning.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Good morning to my Councilmember.

COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: I'm happy to have a constituent up for such a prestigious role.

This administration has a reputation of ousting those who don't play by their rules or bend the rules, and there's a reported pattern of pushing out black women with integrity who stand their ground. I would classify you as one such woman, so my questions for you are: One, how do you plan to insulate yourself from these pressures? And two, perhaps most importantly, how do you plan to manage yourself in an extremely high-demanding job with pressures coming from many different directions and where maintaining your integrity and convictions is paramount?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I think the last part of your question is the key to the answer.

I must stay grounded in my personal integrity at all times, and so my path towards making sure that that happens is to build up the law department team and to make sure that we are all clear about what our mission is, and that is to do the best for the city as a whole. That's what is in the charter, and we have to make sure that we do it so that in 2030, 2040, the paths that we have laid make certain that those following after us can indeed continue to serve this city in a fine fashion.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: If you were forced to resign because you refused to do something that you didn't think was the right thing to do, how would you

5 approach that situation?

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I think with grace.

Candidly, I have been with the city for 30-plus

years. I'm Tier 4, and so I'm going to be all right.

What I want to make sure is that the law department is all right, and so what I will do from the day I am confirmed for a position in the law department, as long as I am privileged to serve, is to build up the department so that they can face whatever challenges come their way.

COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: Great. Thank you so much.

I will say as your representative, I'm proud to have you up for this role. I'm excited for the opportunity to have you confirmed, assuming all goes well, but I think it's really, really important, and it can't be made clear enough how important this role is in holding up the entirety of this city, advocating on behalf of all New Yorkers, and maintaining the role with the utmost integrity. So thank you for your answers.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

2.2

2.3

two questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll go to Councilmember Brooks-Powers, followed by Councilmember Brewer.

COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you, and thank you for your testimony. It was a pleasure meeting with you yesterday in advance of today's hearing.

I wanted to understand, when there's a potential conflict, and the speaker touched on this a little bit, and I wanted to touch on it as well, but when there's a potential conflict or difference of opinion on a legal matter between the mayor and the council, how would you approach the situation as the Corporation Counsel? And I'm also curious on your perspective on the mayor's last-minute intervention this past year in the Charter Revision Commission.

COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Yes, yes.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: The first is that if there is a difference of opinion between the two, we would continuously communicate with both parties, seeking as best we could to find a resolution. If no resolution can be had, we have and will afford

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I'm going to take those as

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS alternate counsel, as we have done in certain circumstances for city council.

You separately asked about the Charter Commission, but I missed a couple of words, I apologize.

COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERs: Sorry, I apologize for my beautiful raspy voice today. But what is your perspective on the Mayor's last-minute intervention this past year in the Charter Revision Commission?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: State law permits the Mayor to have Charter Revision Commissions in that manner.

And so whether one is in favor of the particular move or not, the state law permits it currently.

So, yeah.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We're going to go back to Councilmember Farías, Councilmember Ayala, and then we'll go to Councilmember Brewer.

COUNCILMEMBER FARÍAS: Thank you so much, Chair.

Thank you for being here. Just to follow up on the question about the Mayor declaring states of emergencies to stop enforcement of local laws during the emergency: The Mayor only declared a state of

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: The situation was clear during bill negotiations. The challenge in that particular circumstance is that everything that is included there is also the subject of longstanding litigation.

2.2

2.3

And there are difficulties that the city faces in that litigation. Separately, there are instances where our supervision by the court would have been impacted by the full-throttled enactment of that particular local law. And so, we had very immediate challenges that we had to face.

It was an extraordinarily difficult situation which persists.

COUNCILMEMBER FARÍAS: Okay. So, are you stating that you do believe it was proper use?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It was an extraordinarily difficult situation, yes.

COUNCILMEMBER FARÍAS: Okay. Thank you. Is it still morning?

COUNCILMEMBER AYALA: Good morning. Losing track
of time around here. I don't have a question per se,
but we had an opportunity to have a conversation.

And we've read your bio, definitely really impressed
and really excited to see a woman sitting before us,
a woman of color with many, many years of experience,
quite capable of doing this job.

And I just wanted to reiterate, you know, something that I mentioned in our conversation, that experience is important, education is important, but so is having a good moral compass. And for that, you know, that is important to us as a body. Somebody is going to make sound judgment based on the law.

Obviously, we don't want anyone neglecting that.

But I just wanted to say that I'm really excited to
see you here and, you know, happy to get to know each
other a little bit better. And I wish you all of the
luck in the world.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Deputy Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll go to Councilmember Brewer and followed by Councilmember Ariola.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. And it was nice to talk to you via Zoom a while ago.

I have a few questions. First of all, when I was borough president, I did sue the mayor at that time against the issue of the override, what we call the mayoral zoning overrides. And that was a situation in my case at Holmes. In the end, the developer dropped the project, so it wasn't carried out. But I wanted to know your position on the use of mayoral zoning overrides.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I believe it really depends on the situation. From the overrides that I have seen, they have primarily been for schools or public housing. I have not seen any overrides for purposes other than those.

I can't speak personally to the situation in which you were a litigant. But I think that if properly used in order to make certain that we have sufficient seats in a school or that we're able to provide better services and public housing, it can be very appropriate.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: My situation was zoning trying to override what we felt was a ULURP that was necessary. And obviously the mayor did not.

2 So ULURP, I would say please do not use that as

3 an override. That would be my suggestion. Carter

4 cases, and again, I know this is a 30-year

discussion-- I'm tier 2, by the way. I just want to

6 | let you know.

1

8

9

11

12

13

14

24

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Congratulations.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: I just missed tier 1.

Just missed it. So the young people don't know what

10 we're talking about.

The Carter cases, what is your take on how they could be addressed, if at all? We're spending a lot of money, DOE is working, et cetera.

Do you have any comment on Carter cases?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It is a very big challenge.

16 And there needs to be a range of resources, and not

17 simply monetary-- Strategic and human resources

18 | brought to bear in order to solve that particular

19 problem. It has unfortunately grown, and because

20 | it's a problem at one of our clients, it is also a

21 problem for the law department.

22 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. So you're going to

23 address it in some way or try to address it?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We have been working with the

25 Department of Education.

2 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: With Liz Vladeck.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: What would you do? We have a situation. I'm very supportive of the sheriff, and obviously I want to get rid of the unlicensed cannabis.

But there is a situation where the OATH lawyer indicates that there's been a problem with that particular case, and that particular individual establishment, and that hasn't been perhaps correctly written down. So in that case, OATH says establishment can stay open because of whatever reason, and the sheriff has been overriding that, saying, "Oh, it's got to close." Now, I do want them closed, but I also want to follow the law.

So I'm just wondering what's your position on that issue? Is it okay, the statute as it is, or should we be changing that statute?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: I think that the statute is fine. We started this process, as you know, in the spring. We continue to work with all of the component pieces to make certain that we smooth out any rough patches and make certain that due process

2 is followed when enacting closures, inspections, et

3 cetera.

2.2

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. So I guess, so OATH will say X, and then the sheriff will do Y, but you still think that's okay at this moment?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It would really be fact specific based on what is in the record.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. But there's a whole issue, as you know, about should the public have access to records that reveal when and what the city did during the 9-11 and the toxins? That's been in the paper a lot. Do you have a position on that, whether we should be releasing that information to the public, 9-11 toxin records?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: To the extent that it is possible, yes. Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay, because the mayor has not released them yet, as you know.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes. It is, unfortunately, very complicated.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Just like everything else, yes.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes.

2 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: So you're saying that
3 that's still being discussed about releasing those
4 records?

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: No, I know.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: It's not a matter of finding a box and saying, "Here. Here's the information."

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: My understanding is that it is a database that has particular needs in order to be ready, in order to be released.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. In terms of the police cases, many-- I think you and I discussed this. Do you have some ideas about how we obviously want to have as little money spent on the city's dollars for those that are suing the city and so on?

So do you have some ideas about how those cases could be reduced, or is it training, or is it law department, or what can we do, both to be secure for the police department, but at the same time, have some officers that are not ending up in your bailiwick, so to speak?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We continue to work with the police department on a variety of topics, including on training.

2.2

2.3

still not back at their jobs. Some were terminated,

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

2 some retired, and others left their jobs because of

3 not wanting to take the vaccine for religious and

4 medical reasons. And you said in your testimony,

5 which made me very hopeful, that you are here to

6 follow the rule of law and civil rights of people.

Yet time after time, these people, these people who work for our city have won their cases, won their Article 78s, and yet the city continues to appeal these cases and spending taxpayer dollars to appeal cases that they're losing.

Now, once these cases are lost, some members are being allowed to come back to work, and others are not, and yet they're similarly situated. When they win their Article 78, they're not allowed to come back, yet others do, and no reasons are given.

And we've been in touch with the law department about this, and I know that you've been there. So I'm hoping that you could say to me and make a commitment to me that under your leadership, that there would be equity, that there would not be some members who are similarly situated, won their Article 78s to come back to work by a judge lawfully, who followed the rule of law, would be able to come back

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

So what I'm asking you is that will-- under your leadership, will there be more equity and protection of civil rights for every single civil servant that works for the city of New York?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Is the question concerning vaccines mandate in particular or in general?

COUNCILMEMBER ARIOLA: This is for the vaccine mandate in particular, because this is where we seem to have the stumbling block. We have Article 78s that have been won. People have been ordered back to work, and yet the city is appealing it.

Either there's a stay or they've lost the appeals. Taxpayer dollars are being spent. It's unfair. It's unfair to the people who are now living in shelters, living in their cars, living with family, just by charity.

And meanwhile, courts, judges, have ordered that they come back to work, a mandate that was now called arbitrary and capricious and now ineffective by the former Department of Health doctor and the most current. And we've been fighting for so many of them to come back to work, and many have.

2.2

2.3

Yet others that are similarly situated are being turned down. Why is that?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We have, in fact, pursued some cases to the Court of Appeals. We recently won a case concerning teachers.

There is another pending case in the Court of Appeals. Because the circumstances shifted from time to time during the pandemic, there are different classifications of employees. Some who did not follow initial orders, some who did not work with the reasonable accommodation process.

It is not a one-size-fit-all because the circumstances of the individual employee does matter in evaluating their situation. But what is important is to make certain that should the city have another crisis, pandemic, like we had with COVID, that we are able to keep the public as a whole safe.

COUNCILMEMBER ARIOLA: That is true. And they would still be required to adhere to that mandate if it were so brought back. But the problem here is that the law department does not have a consistent policy when it comes to these particular people. We have had 16 sanitation workers that won their case, and yet the city law department appeals it.

And then you hire outside counsel in Heinz Radix and pay them hundreds of thousands of dollars. These are taxpayer dollars, taxpayer dollars that we are supposed to be saving. So I would like to know that under your leadership that you would take more of a look at this and bring equity.

And I can send you—— and I would like to, and I will send you dozens of cases, dozens of cases that are exactly situated to the ones that you brought back to work, yet others are still waiting to hear and are unemployed. And when I say that they are living in cars and in shelters and rely on the charity of family, I'm not making that up and I'm not exaggerating it.

But we need to see more equity. And we've been really leading the charge on this, but each time we try, we hit a stumbling block. I'm hoping that if you should make it through, that you will look at these cases and you'll see that—and I'm going to send them to you, because you'll see that they are exactly situated the same. And there shouldn't be any inequity for all of them to come back, especially when the mandate was lifted.

Thank you.

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you, Councilmember.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We'll now go to

Councilmember Lincoln Restler.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much, Chair Powers, Speaker Adams, and Madam Corporate Council. Congrats on the appointment, and I look forward to supporting your nomination. I enjoyed learning when we had a chance to chat last week that credit to your tenure at the Law Department goes to the parishioners at Emmanuel Baptist Church for bringing you to Brooklyn.

And so I'm glad that after 30 years of distinguished service at the Law Department, you'll be taking on this top role.

I had a couple questions I wanted to just ask about. I'm deeply concerned about the staffing in the Law Department.

Since the pre-pandemic levels, when we had well over 900 attorneys, I think that what was reported in the budget hearings to us earlier this year was we were down, I believe, 765. So, we had seen nearly a 20 percent— approximately a 20 percent reduction in headcount of attorneys at the Law Department. And when the Law Department shrinks, it slows down

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 44 everything in city government, and agencies can't do their jobs.

2.2

2.3

And so, A, could you just give us an update?

Where are we currently on staffing levels? And from your vantage point, what is the necessary staffing level for attorneys at the Law Department for Law to be able to function at the level that you think appropriate?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Your estimate is about right.
We continue to work with the Office of Management and
Budget on our needs. We're hopeful that in future
cycles, we'll be able to supplement our staff.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Okay. Look, we do our best in this council under the Speaker's leadership to fight for our city agencies. As Chair of GovOps, I look forward to working with you to advocate for the Law Department, to have the resources that are needed.

I think this is a big problem, and I think we need to work on it together. So I look forward to hopefully having some success with you there.

I'd also like to ask you about juvenile justice.

My understanding is the Law Department, for the last few years under Mayor Adams, has referred about

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

45

2 a third of cases for prosecution. And I think 31

3 percent is the data we saw in the MMR, pretty

4 consistent over the last few years. What can we do

5 to increase diversions? And I really am focused on

6 this because, as you know, as this council knows

7 | well, we've just about doubled the number of kids in

8 | jail while Mayor Adams is in office.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just want to say that again. Since Mayor Adams came into office, we have nearly doubled the number of minors who were sent away to juvenile justice facilities, sent away to jail. So we are way over capacity in the jails. It's a horrible experience for young people, and we want to prevent those experiences whenever possible.

So is there anything that you're looking at? I know law is just one piece of the puzzle here, but it's something that I think is really important, and you do have a role to play. Any guidance or insight or recommendations that you could offer for how we could try and improve that situation?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We continue to have multiple concerns. There are some juveniles who are no strangers to the city as a whole because they may have been previously encountered through ACS. So we

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 46
have to provide support for families generally. We
need greater programming in communities to give
children opportunities to spend their time
constructively.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: I know that you all track the diversion rates as well. How can we increase those diversion rates? What do you think are the opportunities? What should we be focused on as a council and law department together to try and increase the diversions and prevent fewer kids from going into juvenile detention?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: While we track diversions, the actual diversion is done by entities, not the law department, but making sure that there are sufficient opportunities to use to divert children too. That would be the most helpful.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: The last topic I was hoping to ask on, something you know that I'm passionate about, is affirmative impact litigation at the law department. This is something that under Corp Council Carter and Estana and the judge, we've seen continued and expanded. Would this be a priority of yours? I'm particularly interested in environmental issues, housing issues, and the law

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 47 department should be, it's not anymore, the largest law firm in the city that's operating for the public good, fighting the good fight, taking on bad actors.

Could you just lay out your vision for us a little bit on that as well?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We are very proud of our affirmative work. Much of it is done by affirmative litigation division, but not all of it. We have an environmental law division, and we have many partners throughout the department in the various divisions that are fighting with respect to various initiatives that we would like to either protect or push forward.

We announced just recently a new vape case. It was not the first, but we are suing a distributor of candy flavored vapes, which is designed to attract children and get them addicted to nicotine.

That's not the only issue. There are many things that we are exploring, such as immigration at present, and we'll continue to look at more ways that we can do justice throughout the city.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: We're going to have some challenging years ahead with the incoming president.

I think the strong working relationship between the

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 48 city council and the law department is going to be more important than ever.

Look forward to you being a strong partner for us, and look forward to the law department providing independent guidance to this city council so that we can do the best job possible advocating for vulnerable New Yorkers. I think that you bring a commitment to independence to the role, and that's part of the reason that I'm eager to support your candidacy. Thank you very much for your testimony today.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you, councilmember.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I'm going to ask a few questions, and then I'll offer an opportunity to colleagues if they want to do a second round as well.

I want to just ask, you have a few outside appointments, commitments as well. I know you serve on the tourism board, on the advisory board, the magistrate selection panel. You have a few other outside commitments as well.

Have you sought clearance or guidance from the conflict of interest board on those commitments, and

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes. COIB did provide a letter with regard to both things. With respect to the tourism board, I serve by appointment from the mayor.

I'm one of five individuals from the city government that serve on the executive committee to promote the interest of the city as a whole.

Separately, the law department works closely with the tourism board to protect New York City's intellectual property, including our city marks. You may recall, particularly after September 11th, there were people in the marketplace counterfeiting our marks. We, through our efforts, have put a stop to that to make sure that we protect the city's intellectual property.

With respect to the Magistrate Merit Selection

Committee, COIB also furnished a letter approving

that. I serve in that position and, again, support

the city interest in making certain that the judges

selected to serve as a federal magistrate will be

fair when city cases come before them. We are a

major litigant in the federal courts.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back to some questions that Councilmember Restler touched upon, which is recruiting and rebuilding the agency. It's something that came up in the last hearing as well, which is how to recruit the best individuals to come serve for the city and the law department, and, of course, also rebuilding the ranks to get up to the headcount necessary to do the work.

That, of course, affects us in the City Council and affects the taxpayers.

Can you talk about a little bit of your strategy to be employed or ways you might help recruit people to come work for the little city of New York?

There's obviously lots of competition out there for folks who have a law background, a law degree.

How do we recruit the best talent to come serve for the city? What are ways that you might employ—what tactics might you employ to help bring those people into the ranks of public service, and how can we rebuild that number from, I think, 765 up to closer to the 900 or 800 people working in your department?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Certain of the work was wonderfully done by Judge Heinz Raddix. She made

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 51

certain that our attorney salaries are now

competitive with other public employers. At one

point during the pandemic, we lagged behind even our

colleagues at the district attorney's offices.

2.2

2.3

In such a situation, it was difficult to recruit.

Judge Heinz Raddix, made certain that that got

corrected.

Additionally, as with other managerial titles throughout the city, employees of the law department can elect to do some remote work. That is also aided in recruiting and providing a quality of life.

Beyond that, there are various other things that we have done. We partner with area law schools to provide clinics where students can come in and see and appreciate what we do. Sometimes people don't apply because they don't know all of the fun that we have at the law department.

Once we acquaint them with the types of work that we do and the range of matters that we have, there are people who are drawn to us. The opportunities we have with the various law schools, and I think we have partnerships with virtually every law school in the area, has provided a pathway, a feeder into the law department.

2.2

2.3

2 We are also active in various bar associations.

We are an accredited CLE provider. There are a range of opportunities that we give for people to see us, to see what we do, and to learn more about our work so that they might be tempted to join us.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We hope more than tempted.

We hope they actually join you. Right now, do you
believe the law department has the budget? Budget to
fulfill its mission and to recruit talent and also
pay them appropriately and also to be staffed at the
appropriate headcount?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We continue to work with the Office of Management and Budget to supplement areas that we might feel could be strengthened.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: That's a very diplomatic answer.

I want to talk a little bit about the ability for proactive litigation and the role that you see the law department potentially playing in some proactive defenses here in the city against federal action, but of course any other issues where we might take action. Can you talk a little bit about the role that it's played in your time there and the ways that you might seek out proactive litigation, proactive

2.2

2.3

interests?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: The law department has done a range of proactive litigation. I'll mention a few and I'll then go into what we might continue to do.

We have, dating back to the Bloomberg era, sued gun manufacturers for the epidemic of guns on city streets.

More recently, we successfully sued online retailers who made ghost guns that were coming into New York City without requisite background checks or requiring any type of serial numbers.

We have sued social media platforms concerning their contributions to, I would say, the degradation of youth mental health.

We were part of a nationwide class action against Jewel. We have sued manufacturers and distributors who shipped untaxed cigarettes into New York City.

We've done a host of cases to push forward protection of New Yorkers in their physical and mental health.

Beyond that, we joined with many municipalities and attorney general offices during the first Trump administration to protect the City of New York, particularly when there was an attack on sanctuary

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 54
2 cities. We anticipate that we will need similar
3 types of efforts to protect the city of New York.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Can you talk about areas where you might see that as necessary in the coming years?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: We absolutely must work with partners across the country. We have pre-existing lines of communication with other cities that have similar interests, and we have already begun those discussions as to how we might work collectively to protect our particular interests.

I am a little reluctant to talk about the precise areas where we think we might see attack, because that might give someone an idea, oh, this is what they're really fearful of.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Understood. I'm going to turn to see if any colleagues have any other further questions at this time. Okay.

Congratulations on your nomination. Thank you for taking time with us today to answer questions and testify. You are dismissed. We're going to go to the public testimony here as well.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

2.2

2.3

Oh, excuse me. Just stay there. We have Councilmember Sanchez on Zoom who has a question. Apologies.

We'll call on Councilmember Sanchez.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. I'm so sorry right when we thought you were going to exhale and leave, but this is just a quick follow-up. Thank you so much for your service to the City of New York over the past 34 years. I join my colleagues in, you know, feeling a breath of an ability to exhale at your nomination and just having you here today and answering our questions.

My question is a follow-up to a few of the questions that the speaker asked earlier regarding, you know, specifically the legislative process when we're moving legislation forward and negotiating legislation.

Is there a-- you mentioned in your responses that you, the law department or the attorneys will not be disclosing if they're advising on behalf of the Council, or advising on behalf of mayoralty in a continuous manner throughout a negotiation. Is there a particular way that-- in your-- during your tenure when you've worked on legislation, there has been a

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

56

2 way for the Council to separately from an ongoing

3 negotiation say to Counsel in the law department, can

4 we have a separate conversation? I have this narrow

5 concern about the legislation before us and I'd like

6 your perspective, separate from any-- like without

7 | you sharing with the administration side. Is that

8 something that has happened before?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Yes, it has and we are happy to do it. Whenever there is a request, we make certain to provide a response in a confidential manner.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: And what is, what is the way that we as members, or our staff and central staff go about requesting that kind of feedback and confidentiality?

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: You can reach out to us or, I will-- I will add that we work regularly with the Office of General Counsel to the Council and they are in touch with us on a weekly basis. o just reach out to your General Counsel's office and they will contact us.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay, excellent. Thank you. Thank you so much and thank you, Chair.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

to the public. So thank you for being here today and thanks for answering questions.

MS. GOODE-TRUFANT: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We'll take a second, but we'll call up a panel next of, I think it's Tim Headon, if that's correct, sorry if I mispronounce that, and Jacqueline.

MR. HEADON: Should I wait, or--

11 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just give her one second.

12 | She'll be up in a second.

1

2

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. HEADON: I'd like for you to bring me back to work. I've been out of work for three years.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Sir, just give her a second to actually, properly leave.

17 Thank you, guys. Thank you for being here.

We'll start with you. We only have three minutes on the clock, and you can begin your testimony and then we'll go to the other gentleman.

MR. WEI LIN: Sure. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Jack Wei Lin.

I'm a former EMT with the FDNY for over 14 years. I was terminated from my position with the New York

City Fire Department due to the COVID-19 vaccine

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS mandate after my religious exemption was denied twice.

2.2

2.3

I'm here to voice my opposition to the appointment of Muriel Goode-Trufant as Corporation Council and to urge the City Council to support Resolution 5 to reinstate workers like myself who are fired for refusing the vaccine mandate.

As an EMT, I dedicated myself to serving the people of New York City during some of their most vulnerable moments. I worked in person during the pandemic when little was known about the virus.

Despite my dedicated service, I and many other city workers were fired simply for exercising our right to our sincerely held religious beliefs and bodily autonomy. The appointment of Ms. Goode-Trufant as Corporation Council is concerning because she has been part of the law department that has actively fought against reinstating workers like myself.

Her long tenure in the department suggests that she will continue to defend the city's unjust policies rather than seek to right this wrong. I urge the City Council to reject Ms. Goode-Trufant's nomination and support Resolution 5. This resolution

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 59
would require the reinstatement of city employees
dismissed due to the vaccine mandate. It's a commonsense measure that recognizes the valuable
contributions of dedicated public servants who were

6 wrongfully terminated.

2.2

2.3

The current situation makes no sense. While we remain out of work, city positions stand vacant and new hires are not mandated to take the very same vaccines. How can the city justify keeping experienced workers like myself out of our jobs when there's a clear need for our services? Why the double standard with the DCAS waiver? How can the city continue fighting reinstatement after Dr. J. Varma was exposed for having drug-fueled orgies while he was pushing the mayor to kick us out of work? Where is the justice?

I am not alone in this fight. Hundreds of city workers across various departments—firefighters, EMS, police officers, teachers, and more—lost their livelihoods over this mandate. Many of us are still struggling financially and emotionally from this unjust termination. By supporting Resolution 5 and opposing Ms. Goode—Trufant's appointment, you have the opportunity to correct a grave injustice and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 60 bring valuable, experienced workers back to serve our city.

I implore you to do the right thing and stand up for the rights of dedicated public servants who have been wronged by this mandate. Thank you for your time and thank you for your consideration. Thank you.

MR. HEADON: My name is Tim Headon. I was a Lieutenant in the FDNY until three years ago when I was placed on leave without pay for not getting the COVID vaccine. I was summarily terminated on July 6, 2022, after 22 years of service.

That I am here today, still testifying, trying to return to work, is patently absurd. Four and a half years ago, while most of the city in America was shut down and working from home, myself and my FDNY coworkers were out on the streets of New York City, going to emergencies of all kinds, from fires to gas leaks to auto accidents and assisting EMS on calls, many of which were CPR.

These close interactions with civilians made it obvious to me that there was no way I had not been exposed to the COVID virus. And therefore, there was

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 61 no logical reason to get a vaccine, especially when a blood test confirmed I had the COVID antibodies.

2.2

2.3

Now, if you remember back then, the scientists were all saying natural immunity didn't exist or wasn't as good as immunity from the vaccine. They have since retracted that assessment.

They also said to wear masks, but last year when Canadian wildfires were causing smoke to fill the air in New York, the scientists said the masks didn't work because the smoke particles were too small to be blocked. I'm pretty sure the virus is even smaller.

They also told us to keep six feet apart, but have since admitted they just made that up. They told us the vaccine would keep you from getting the COVID and keep you from passing on to others. We now know that is untrue to the point that the scientists and politicians are claiming they never said those things, even though we have it on video.

With all that being said, can we finally admit that those of us who didn't get the vaccine are not and were not a danger to our fellow citizens? And that after four years, we've learned many of the things they claimed as science in 2020 and 2021 have been shown to be false.

2.2

2.3

So I ask, why am I still not returned to the career I had? Why did the mayor lift the mandate but refused us to be allowed to go back to work? And if the mayor and others did nothing wrong, why do they insist I sign a waiver of my rights before even being considered eligible to return to work?

Mayor Adams, when asked about us returning to work, likes to say it's up to the courts, but the courts have spoken numerous times and have ruled that we were wronged and entitled to return to work. It is the mayor and the Corporation Counsel that continue to defy those judges' orders and appeal those rulings.

Last year alone, the city spent \$5 million on lawyers to fight us being returned to work. And while \$5 million may not be a lot of money in the overall budget, I am positive that money could be better spent on more important items. In fact, returning all of us to work with back pay and back time would have cost a lot less than \$5 million and would have made a lot more sense.

The courts across the country are ruling for the workers. In fact, last month in super-liberal San Francisco, workers were returned to work and granted

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

2 damages. It is time, Noah's pastime, for the

3 | Corporation Counsel to see the damage they continue

4 to inflict and stop delaying the inevitable and end

5 its blockade of the good employees of this city being

6 allowed to return to the jobs they love and have done

7 for years, in many cases like mine, decades. Thank

you.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Do you have any

questions? Yup? Councilmember Ariola.

COUNCILMEMBER ARIOLA: I would just like to say to my colleagues, after the testimony that we've heard today from Ms. Trufant, as well as these two who are living the nightmare of not being able to get to work, that we understand the importance of supporting Res. 05 that would allow the state then to make the decision for these men and women to get back

18 to work, our first responders, who were out there

19 during COVID risking their lives. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Councilmember.

Any other questions, comments?

Thank you, gentlemen, for being here with us today. Thanks so much.

I'm going to call up our next panel. We have Raul Rivera and Christopher Leon Johnson.

2 MR. JOHNSON: Can I go?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Go.

MR. JOHNSON: What's up, Cal? Ms. Powers, Mr. Chair Powers. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson. I want to, first off, disclose the record I'm in my personal capacity, so I'm not in my press capacity.

Look, let's keep this real, right? This hearing is nothing but bureaucratic reasons. She's going to be approved by the city council. I don't know why y'all waste y'all time doing this. If the mayor nominated her to be reappointed as the chair, be reappointed as Corporation Counsel, it's going to go through. We need to keep it real about the people that's fighting against this, fight against this lady being reappointed.

Look, people got to understand that the reason these firefighters can't be reappointed, can't be rehired because of the past mayor, de Blasio, and that's the reason why. This mayor just doing whatever de Blasio tell him to do. People need to start really getting at de Blasio a little more because he's the one that started all of this.

Eric is just continuing what the past mayor is doing and the past administration is doing. The

2.2

2.3

They don't want to give back pay. The only way these guys get their jobs back is if they forfeit the back pay. That's what all this is about.

Like I said, this is going to go through. We all know this is going to go through. This is nothing but the bureaucratic reasons that she had to go through all this stuff like that.

We all know she's going to be approved. This is what the mayor wants. Look, here's the thing, all right?

I'm not here to try to stop anybody from getting a job here in the mayor administration, but people need to be transparent about the people that's fighting against this lady, like Teachers for Choice. They're backed by Mark Gorton. Mark Gorton is the same guy that's pushing for the City of Yes.

Mark Gorton funds Robert Kennedy, which in turn funds Children's Health Defense, and in turn funds Teachers for Choice. They need to be more transparent. Y'all want to call out Ms. Muriel for being unethical, but you guys need to start calling on yourselves for being unethical, too.

Y'all get money from the same guy that's selling on our city with these bike lanes and these bus lanes and these City of Yes crap and congestion pricing.

Won't you guys start coming out and advocate against any of these appointments from the transportation committee that's pushing the City of Yes and open streets and all this stuff like that instead of you calling out for vaccine mandates? Look, the vaccine mandates are done in the city of New York. They're done. The vaccine mandates are done here. It's done. You can get a job without no vaccine.

Look, if you guys want your jobs back, I understand you want your job back, but the reality is, look, you guys got to forfeit your back pay.

That's the only reason why they will not rehire you guys because of your back pay reason. They don't want to pay millions of dollars in back pay.

That's the truth. So that's all you got. That's why I'm going to say, look, I understand you got your jobs back, but you got to forfeit the back pay.

22 [BELL RINGS]

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you.

2.3

2.2

2.3

MR. RIVERA: Good afternoon. My name is Raul
Rivera. I'm a TLC driver and a TLC driver advocate.

I'm a native New Yorker. I am unvaccinated, and we haven't written anything down, but, you know,

advocating for seven years in this city, fighting for our rights, you do learn a few things, and we learn that we're losing our rights. A lot of the

Councilmembers are cherry picking on what they support, what they don't support. You know, you

All of you should stand on your own two feet and support these workers that are losing their jobs.

You should listen to when people are testifying and put your phones down. That helps too.

should, all of you, there should be no question.

I don't know if you're hearing me, but we take the videos and we share, we share this because I don't think you Councilmembers are listening to us. Again, I am unvaccinated. This vaccine mandate was not a law. People hear the word mandate. I don't know why they think that's a law. It's not a law.

You, Mr. Powers, you don't even know how to vet your own committee. We have Salamanca on your committee, and his wife received a job from the mayor, a cushy job in the Bronx, and we spoke to you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 68 about it, yeah? All you do is you stay there quiet. 2 3 You give us that dumb look. You have no--4 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [TO SERGEANT AT ARMS] throw him out of the chamber? Thank you. 5 MR. RIVERA: You have no right for the New 6 7 Yorkers. No respect. 8 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, sir. Thank you. 9 I want to remind everyone of their responsibility to be respectful within the chamber, and when you 10 11 testify, to be respectful towards members of the 12 public and members of this body as well. 13 Do we have anybody else signed up here to 14 testify? We'll check on Zoom as well. Nobody? 15 Okay. Seeing no, so no one else signed up to 16 testify. 17 The public hearing on this nomination is now 18 closed, and that concludes today's business. 19

I want to thank again the nominee and the member of the public, my colleagues on the committee, committee counsel, staff, and Sergeant at Arms. The meeting here is thereby adjourned.

[GAVEL]

20

21

2.2

2.3

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 24, 2024___