AND WATERFRONTS

1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS

----- X

April 24, 2025 Start: 11:29 a.m. Recess: 11:47 a.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: James F. Gennaro, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés
Robert F. Holden
Kristy Marmorato
Sandy Nurse
Lincoln Restler
Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Susan Zhuang

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:
Julie Menin

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone check on the Committee of Environmental Protection, located in the Chambers, recorded by James Marino on 4-24-25.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning, good morning. Welcome to the New York City Council vote on the hearing on the Committee on Environmental Protection, Resiliency and Waterfronts.

At this time, please silence all electronics, and do not approach the dais.

Thank you, and you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Sergeant.

[GAVEL] Good morning. I'm Council Member Jim Gennaro,

Chair of the Committee on Environmental Protection,

Resiliency, and Waterfronts.

Today we'll vote on a bill that I sponsored, oh shucks, Proposed Intro. 1150-A, which would require DEP to develop a comprehensive stormwater management plan. We'll also vote on two resolutions, Resolution 8, which I also sponsored, calling upon New York State to fairly allocate funding for lead service line replacement programs, and proposed Resolution 144-A, sponsored by Council Member Menin, who joins us today, calling on the New

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York State Environmental Facilities Corporation to remove barriers that prevent New York City from receiving funding for water infrastructure projects.

First, I'd like to discuss Proposed Intro. 1150-A. The current capacity of New York City's stormwater system is insufficient to address the increasing frequency of intense rainfall. Today, new sewer construction is designed to convey up to 1.75 inches of rain per hour, which according to weather models is an intensity of rainfall only expected to occur once every five years. Unfortunately, this 1.75 inches per hour standard is already out of date. In 2023 alone, New York City had five storms with a rainfall intensity greater than 1.75 inches per hour, five in one year. It's supposed to be once every five years, which led to the temporary closure of major thoroughfares and subway lines, and likely caused hundreds of millions of dollars in damage to public infrastructure. The effects of this flooding were not experienced equally across the city, as neighborhoods with inadequate stormwater infrastructure or low-lying topologies experienced particularly severe inundation. Such neighborhoods are identified by the 10-Year Rainfall

Flood Risk Area Map, which DEP will publish pursuant to Local Law 124 of 2024, legislation that I

4 sponsored last year so we kind of set the building

5 blocks in place. They're going to draw the maps, and

6 now we're going to do this bill, and they're going to

7 | build upon the law we did last year. The bill we're

8 voting on today, Proposed Intro. 1150-A, would also

9 address stormwater flooding by requiring that DEP

10 develop a comprehensive stormwater plan to increase

11 | the rainfall conveyance capacity of the City's

12 stormwater system. The plan would recommend new

13 | rainfall conveyance capacities for different areas of

14 | the city and would identify projects and policies

15 | necessary to achieve those capacities. Additionally,

16 DEP would be required to display the status of the

17 plan and the status of all projects and policies

18 recommended by the plan on an online dashboard.

19 Achieving the rainfall conveyance capacities

20 | recommended by the plan would shrink the 10-Year

21 Rainfall Flood Risk Area such that fewer

22 | neighborhoods will experience damages from stormwater

23 | flooding.

24

1

Now I'd like to turn to the resolutions.

25 The New York State Environmental Facilities

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Corporation, known as the EFC, is a public benefit corporation that disperses funding allocated by state and federal governments for environmental projects, including water infrastructure projects. However, due to EFC guidelines, New York City does not receive a fair share of funding. The EFC prohibits New York City from receiving interest-free hardship financing through the Clean Water State Revolving Fund, even though hardship financing is designed to benefit New York State's disadvantaged communities, the majority of which are in New York City. The EFC also prohibits New York City from receiving more than 10 percent of funds authorized by the New York State Clean Water Infrastructure Act of 2017. This is blatantly unjust as nearly half of the state lives in New York City. Resolution 8 and Proposed Intro. 114-A both call on the EFC to change its guidelines. Doing so could allow the City to fund important water quality infrastructure projects, such as the replacement of a lead service line, which is the focus of my resolution, Resolution 8, at a hearing held by this Committee last June, DEP testified that although it would cost 2 billion dollars to replace all lead service lines in New York City, the Department only

2.2

2.3

expects to receive 120 million dollars in net state and federal assistance. If the EFC gave the City its fair share of state and federal funding, the City could accelerate the replacement of lead service lines and implement other high-priority water

infrastructure initiatives.

I'd now like to invite Council Member

Menin to speak on her proposed resolution, Resolution

144-A. I recognize Council Member Menin.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Thank you so much,

Chair Gennaro. When the Infrastructure Investment and

Jobs Act was enacted in 2021, it unlocked

approximately 50 billion dollars in federal funds on

water and waste initiatives for states. This funding

is transformative, particularly for financing sewer

upgrades and stormwater management. Yet New York

state law caps any municipality from receiving more

than 10 percent at maximum of water infrastructure

funds available. That limits New York City, home to

almost 50 percent of the State's population, from

receiving its proper share of funding for water

infrastructure upgrades. Specifically, because of

these restrictive rules by the New York State

Environmental Facilities Corporation, the City's

2.2

2.3

share of water infrastructure grant funds was 2
percent in 2022 and 6 percent in 2023. As New York
City has a majority-minority population, these
inequitable policies promulgated by New York State
disproportionately impact minority and low-income
communities. That is why I introduced Resolution 144,
urging the New York State Environmental Facilities
Corporation to eliminate these barriers and uncap the
City's funding for critical water infrastructure
improvements.

First of all, I want to thank my

Colleagues. I want to thank the Chair for supporting

me in this Resolution. And I want to acknowledge

drafter Jared Brust (phonetic) and my team, Jonathan

Szott, Brandon Jordan, Mercedes Anderson, and Ramaz

Hassanan (phonetic) for their work.

Thank you again, Chair, for allowing me to speak.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Menin. I wish to be associated with the remarks of Council Member Menin.

And I also have some thank-yous here. I would like to thank the Committee Staff, Legislative Counsels Natasha Bynum and Sierra Townsend; Policy

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY 1 AND WATERFRONTS Analysts Ricky Chawla and Andrew Bourne; Financial 2 3 Analyst Tanveer Singh; and my Legislative Director, Josh Gachette, for all their hard work in preparing 4 for today's vote and working on these bills. I would also like to recognize the 6 7 following Council Members who have joined us. I don't see very well. Here we go. Council Members Holden, 8 Marmorato, Nurse, Avilés, and Zhuang. And with that, I will now ask the Clerk 10 11 to call the roll. The items are coupled. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. I stole Billy's line. 12 COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: William 13 Martin, Committee Clerk. Roll call vote Committee on 14 15 Environmental Protection, Resiliency and Waterfronts. 16 All items are coupled. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Chair 17 Gennaro. 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Aye. 19 COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: 20 Salamanca. Brannan. Restler. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Aye. 2.2 COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank 2.3 you, Council Member Restler. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yes. 24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY
AND WATERFRONTS
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank
you. Okay.
COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank
you. Thank you. Holden.
COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I vote aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Avilés.
COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Nurse.
COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Zhuang.
COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: Aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN:
Marmorato.
COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: Aye.
COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN:
Salamanca. Brannan.
With a vote of seven in the affirmative,
zero in the negative, and no abstentions, all items
have been adopted by the Committee.
CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just make an
inquiry as to whether or not it makes sense to hold
the record open. Okay. Shall I hold it open for other

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS
2	Members? Okay. The record will remain open for other
3	members to cast their votes. Thank you.
4	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet in the Chambers,
5	please. Quiet in the Chambers.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN:
7	Continuation roll call. Committee on Environmental
8	Protection. Council Member Salamanca.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Aye on all.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Final
11	vote Committee on Environmental Protection is now
12	eight in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and
13	no abstentions. Thank you. Council Member Gennaro to
14	close.
15	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: This vote is
16	adjourned. [GAVEL]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 11, 2025