CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

----- X

Wednesday, April 24, 2024

Start: 10:09 A.M. Recess: 12:46 P.M.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: HON. ALTHEA STEVENS, CHAIR

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

RITA C. JOSEPH LINDA LEE JULIE MENIN

CHI A. OSSÉ NANTASHA M. WILLIAMS

## COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

## APPEARANCES

Nancy Ginsburg, Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Youth and Family Justice at the Administration for Children's Services

Johan Peguero, Associate Commissioner for Close to Home and Nonsecure Detention

Elisabeth Bernard, Staff Attorney at Advocates for Children of New York

Jan Hassan-Butera, Program Director Close to Home Program; SCO Family of Service

Katelyn Greco,
Director of Prevention, Juvenile Justice and
Equity

Pedro Gonzalez,
Parent - Representing himself

Annie Minguez, Vice President of Government and Community Relations at Good Shepherd Services

Dionis Fernandez, Founder and CEO of Fathers Speak Up

Judith Harris,
The Legal Aid Society
Juvenile Rights Practice

Daphne Torres-Douglas, Vice President for Behavioral Health Services at Children's Village

	COMMITTEE	ON	CHILDREN	AND	YOUTH	3
		(	BLANK)			

the Close to Home Program.

2.2

2.3

This is our first ACS (Administration of Children's Services) oversight hearing since the Committee gained jurisdiction over the agency. I look forward to building a collaborative and productive relationship with ACS since I know our goals to support and empower young people are aligned.

The Close to Home Program is a community based alternative to young people who were found \*reasonable (\*TRANSCRIPTION NOTE: responsible) for committing a delinquent act by the Family Court system. Close to Home participants receive rehabilitative services including family visits, mental health care, and recreational activities in residential setting, close to the community in which they live. This initiative was established in response to a 2008 New York State Juvenile Justice Task Force recommendation. The task force found that the community based facilities led to positive outcomes for youth and are more cost effective than detention facilities.

As we engage in a thoughtful review of the program, I think it is important that we do not lose sight of the task force's findings and goals of Close to Home.

Despite the passage of Raise the Age and an influx of family court cases, the Administration's

FY25 November Budget Plan reduced Close to Home's

baselined funding by 7.2 percent citing

6 underutilization of the program.

2.2

2.3

Additionally, Close to Home providers have expressed concerns about their ability to hire, retain, and protect staff while maintaining a welcoming environment for young people. Specifically, they have raised concerns about their ability to pay staff a fair wage for this demanding and difficult work. Part of today's discussion will center on the impact of these cuts on both (INAUDIBLE) and providers. The Committee looks forward to gaining a deeper understanding of the program from ACS today.

I would like to thank committee staff Christina, Elizabeth, and Casey for their hard work in preparing for this hearing, as well as the entire A-Team back in the district office, who are having a great day at the MET with community members, so I am really excited about that - too sad that I am not there, but it's okay.

Now, we will turn it over to committee counsel to swear in the Administration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hi, good morning, Christina

Yellamaty, Legislative Counsel, please raise your

right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the

whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this

committee, and to respond honestly to council member

questions?

PANEL: (NO MIC) AFFIRMS

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Good morning, Chair Stevens and Members of the City Council Children and Youth Committee. My name is Nancy Ginsburg, and I am the Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Youth and Family Justice at the Administration for Children's Services. I oversee the full range of services for justice-involved youth and their families ranging from community based programs engaging youth and families in their communities to nonsecure and secure detention, and of course, our Close to Home program. I am joined today by Johan Peguero, the Associate Commissioner for Close to Home and Nonsecure Detention.

There are two types of Close to Home facilities,
Nonsecure Placement (NPS) and limited Secure

2.2

2.3

security fences.

Placement (LSP). Nonsecure Placement facilities are
home-like settings typically in retrofitted
brownstones that look much like group homes. Limited
Secure Placements have more security features, such
as a control room monitored 24 hours a day, and a

In 2023, 111 youth were admitted to Close to

Home; 88 percent of the youth were male and 12

percent were female. The admissions were 61 percent

African American, 27 percent Latinx, 3 percent white,

and 5 percent Asian.

properly maintained perimeter with motion sensors and

Youth age at admissions range from 13 years to 20 years old with 73 percent of the youth being 15 to 17 years old at the time of admission. Ninety-two percent of those youth were placed in Close to Home for the first time. The median length of stay was 218 days for those youth released in 2023. In addition, in 2023, the average daily number of youth receiving Close to Home aftercare services was 31, and a total of 105 youth participated in aftercare.

Close to Home providers are required to implement evidence-based therapeutic program models that serve as the primary mechanism of behavioral support. In

J

addition to the providers' evidence-based program model, ACS requires that the youth level of service case management tool be integrated in programming.

ACS and our providers have fully embraced programs, interventions, and strategies tailored to each youth's individual development and learning capacity. Through the chosen program framework youth are given the opportunity to address their interpersonal relationships, communication skills, emotional regulation, and achieving the goal of eliminating and reducing concerning behaviors.

Most youth in Close to Home receive their education through Passages Academy operated by the New York City Public Schools. Youth in non-secure placement attend school at Bronx Hope or Belmont in Brooklyn. Youth in limited secure placement attend schools at the facility. Youth placed at Children's Village attend the Greenburgh School on the campus in Dobbs Ferry.

Passages Academy supports middle school, high school, and high school equivalency instruction.

Passages Academy school counselors and social workers provide guidance counseling, special education

2.2

2.3

2 services, and transition support when youth return to 3 the community.

Upon entry into Close to Home, youth are evaluated by New York City Public Schools and then placed in a class program that matches their needs.

While most youth are in Close to Home placements for less than a year, the youth are successful in earning school credits and passing Regents Exams.

In the 2022-2023 school year, 65 NSP youth were enrolled in passages - 88 percent of whom were in high school and earned an average of 7.1; credits 86 percent of students earned 10 or more credits during this time.

In the 2022-23 school year, 25 LSP youth were enrolled in Passages Academy; 92 percent were in high school, and an average of 6.7 credits were earned, and 73 percent earned over 10 credits. These students also passed a total of 16 Regents Exams.

When there are youth in Close to Home who are college ready, we work with New York City Public Schools for CLEP classes (College Level Examination Program), engage with community colleges for courses, and some youth attend college courses in the community.

These programs offer youth an opportunity to engage in programming that promote so pro-social skills, vocational and academic engagement, Creative and Performing Arts, and positive adult-peer mentoring.

Close to Home providers partner with Cure
Violence providers to train youth to be junior
credible messengers. The program Exalt helps elevate
youth voice and provides job training skills. Kite
Creative Writing helps youth write and showcase their
work. Carnegie Hall offers workshops, musical
trainings, and public performances.

Most recently, ACS has added the successful Fair Futures coaching model to provide youth in and leaving Close to Home, with coaches that can remain with them until age 23.

Youth returning to the community typically receive at least 6 months of aftercare supervision from ACS and support from their Close to Home provider. As mentioned, youth also now have access to a Fair Futures coach when they return to the community which a youth can continue to lean on after they complete our program. Most youth in Close to Home successfully transition back to the community.

\_\_\_

In 2023, 92 percent of the youth admitted to Close to Home were admitted for the first time and just 2 percent of youth admitted to secure and non-secure detention had previously been to Close to Home.

In March ACS announced the providers recommended for awards for the new contracts starting July 2024. The providers include Children's Village, Good Shepherd Services, Rising Ground, SEO, and St John's who will operate a total of 14 sites with 147 beds.

Notably, the original Close to Home contracts predate the implementation of Raise the Age. Today the youth in Close to Home tend to be a little bit older and often present with more challenging needs.

The new contract awards aim to strengthen the Close to Home system of care by rightsizing the system while providing the providers with additional resources needed to care for the post Raise The Age population of youth in their care.

Program capacity was decreased from 13 to nine, allowing for more individualized programming. The new contract budgets were increased to fund specific lines to help improve the overall programming and offer a more robust treatment approach. The lines now

/

2.3

include funding for Fair Futures, an educational and career specialist, Fair Futures coach, Fair Future supervisor, aftercare staff, a crisis specialist, aftercare supervisor and peer mentors, a recreation specialist, an increasing staffing ratio of three to one from six to one, an intake coordinator, and a mental health team required to include a therapist, psychiatrist, and substance abuse counselor.

To address permanency issues with our older youth in our system, ACS created a transitional program referred to as a Transitional Residential Care or TRC. Youth entering the TRC will be provided with supports, which encourage personal growth, development, and empowerment to make mature and healthy decisions.

The TRC will also offer youth case management, educational and vocational services, and will help them connect with a variety of community resources to enhance their co-design personal development plan, and most importantly, help youth achieve permanency.

The TRC will support the goal of serving youth in the least restrictive most homelike setting possible, and is due to begin in July.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

I would be remiss if I did not mention that when Close to Home was created it was a cost sharing partnership with the State where the State funded approximately half of the cost - which was \$30.5 million.

Unfortunately, after the initial statute sunset in 2018, and the statute was reauthorized, the state eliminated all State funding and support for Close to Home.

ACS continues to feel strongly that the State should support New York City youth and Placement as they do for any other youth and placement for any other county. That said, at ACS we are excited about the future of Close to Home and the work that we can do with our providers to help turn the lives of youth around, so that they can thrive and become successful adults. We believe our new contracts build upon the success of the Close to Home Initiative and that we will now be able to better serve the older population in our care, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you. And you did such a great job summarizing. I tell you, you did really great, so I want to shout you out for that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Let's jump right into it, because I have a number of questions.

But before we get started, I would like to acknowledge my colleagues Council Member Menin, Council Member Williams, and Council Member Lee, thank you for joining us.

Please explain ACS's relationship with family court. What factors or rubric does the family court system used to determine whether a young person is a candidate Close to Home programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: ACS does not have a role in the family court process. The family court holds juvenile delinquency proceedings where youth are represented by attorneys and the City is represented by the Law Department. The court holds a fact finding to hearing to determine whether or not the young person is found to have committed the act. If the young person is found to have committed the act, there will be a dispositional hearing at. At disposition, the judge determines whether or not the youth is to be placed in a Close to Home facility. The judge also decides whether that facility should be non-secure, limited secure, or unspecified meaning that ACS determines the level of placement.

Э

/

And the court... during this process the court listens to testimony, reviews the probation report, possibly a mental health evaluation, and then determines the least restrictive alternative. ACS is not part of that process.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I thank you for that answer and for clarifying. But, I would love to hear just a little... what is the relationship like? Because that's the role, right? So, it's very clear that you guys don't have a role in that, but what does your relationship look like with family court? What does your communication look? Do you meet, or is it just all separate and they just call you when it's time for your piece? I would love to hear a little bit about your role and relationships that you guys work together with currently.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Sometimes the judges reach out to us if they have questions about the continuum. Sometimes during a hearing they may ask for a member of the Close to Home team to come into court to explain the various services that are provided across the continuum to help inform their decision making about where they will order the young person to go on disposition. We do have periodic

NOISE) The physical plan itself is very different,

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: (BACKGROUND

24

25

1 COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH 18 right. So, like we were saying during the testimony, 2 3 there's a control room that actually operates and 4 opens all the doors throughout the building in our limited secure facility, so youth cannot just go from one - like, let's say to a rec space to... (CROSS-6 7 TALK) CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Sorry, are you talking about 8 9 the LSPs or the (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK) ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: The LSPs, yes, 10 uh... (CROSS-TALK) 11 12 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: We should probably just say the whole thing, because it took me... I'm just 13 14 learning these things, and I know there's people 15 here, and I'm not sure if my Committee members are 16 also familiar with all the terminology. Because 17 there's a lot of jargon, and I'm also learning. 18 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: And our... in 19 limited secure youths are not allowed to leave the 20 facility for any reason. So even for... unless 21 there's a medical emergency. So, their medical is 2.2 done on site, uh, dental, education. The programming 2.3 is at the building. Our NSP... I mean our nonsecure facilities are able to go into the community. Their 24

education is the community, uh, services, medical/

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay, I didn't hear any of

2.2

2.3

3 these things. (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: yeah they... they do a lot more than just that. And they're able to... they able to individualize the youth plan. So if a kid wants to get a job in the community, and they reach the level where they can trust the youth, they can actually go to work and come back.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: That is not the impression I have gotten on this tour that I've been doing and talking to providers. So, I want to distinguish if they're able to do it and if it's happening. Because, I think that that is a good distinction because I know... and we'll get into some of those questions around funding, because even with that, that requires additional funding. So, we'll get into that a little bit later. But, I want to be clear, from the conversations I've been having, that has not been the impression that I've gotten. But, we'll get to that to a little later.

Is the Agency tackling with communities and Close to Home participants come from (sic), is the Agency coordinating with other agencies like DYCD to ensure that the community has consistent members of Close to

2 Home program participants receiving resources and 3 support?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, ACS does know what communities the youth come from. In 2023, 111 youth were placed in Close to Home - 37 from the Bronx, 27 from Brooklyn, 10 from Manhattan, 24 from Oueens, and five from Staten Island.

The neighborhoods that were most represented were Mott Haven and East New York. ACS is intentional about providing these informational sessions and participating in community events in these neighborhoods. So families know how to access our FAP (Family Assessment Program) community-based alternatives, which are at the preventive end of our continuum. Also, we work closely with DYCD and share information about where court-involved youth come from. DYCD has programs in these communities, such as Cure Violence. We also work closely with DYCD to ensure that all youth currently or previously receiving services from ACS, including prevention and FAP, are prioritized for recruitment through the Emerging Leaders Program, which served a record number of youth last year. Additionally, DYCD conducts targeted recruitment across priority

2 precincts working with CMS groups, NYCHA, and other

3 City agencies. All youth residing in priority

4 districts and NYCHA developments are prioritized in

5 the program lottery. Do you want to talk about After-

6 School?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I have some questions before you even go into afterschools. Because this is one of the things that I've have been harping over for the last (BACKGROUND NOISE) two years. Even with DYCD, a lot of these young people are... and not just... because I want to be clear, CMS is probably... it's one section of DYCD, and they just got that. But they have a host of programs where young people are at, so how are you guys communicating at that first touch point even before the kids get to you? Because I think that that's another piece that I feel like there's a huge disconnect - where we have these programs, where ,you know, we have Saturday Night Lights, which everybody knows I'm not a fan of, we have Cornerstones, we have community centers, how are you... but that's the first touch point. So, are we tracking to, like, if those kids are enrolled in those programs? Even before they get to you, how does those communications happen?

2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, I don't think 3 we have that detailed of what you're referring to in reference to the community services that they're 4 asking... We do know kids that come to our care who have been involved within our continuum such as JJI 6 or the FAP program. Those kids are receiving preventive services from some of our community 8 providers. So, we would know that information. I... the more specific information that you're asking, 10 11 we're not... unless the kid shares it with us we, 12 don't really have a way to identify that. 13 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yeah, and I think we need to 14 get to a place where we are, right? Because the 15 reality is all of these programs should be preventative services, because the goal should be for 16

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Yes, we agree...(CROSS-TALK)

young people not to get to your facilities, right?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: We don't want them to go
there, right? But, I think if we're not having these
conversations about what that looks like, it's a
continuous cycle - especially if it's two City
agencies. So, this is something I've been talking
about for two years, and welcome to my madness. You

we keep that into to perspective.

24

25

But, according to the 2023 RFP, contractors must maintain a No Reject/No Eject policy for referrals of young people during the term of their contracted award. Providers have reported pressure to accept all referrals. Are young people ever turned away because a program is full to capacity? And, if so, how many accepted referrals were turned away in 2023?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, our youth do not come from the Children Center. All of our youth are held in detention pending disposition. We do have a No Reject/No Eject policy, but if a provider has concerns about a youth being placed in their programs, we have conversations to learn more about the reasons that they may have. Luckily we have not had the experience with in any of our providers being at capacity. Also because youth are placed by the family courts, we do not have the option to turn any youth away from our Close to Home program.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: So, I just want to make sure we clarify some things, because you said you don't have a No Reject/No Eject policy... (CROSS-TALK)

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: No, no we do have a... We do have a No Reject/No Eject policy, so...

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yeah, yeah, you can't reject them, but if... so what's the point of the conversation? Because if a provider can't reject them or... I'm voicing a concern. They can't reject them, so what is the conversation about?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: They may make some good points about maybe they read the youth documentation... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: But if you tell someone you can't deny them, and then say, like, well let's have a conversation, it seems...(CROSS-TALK)

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yeah, but it's a productive conversation, because... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: But, if I'm a provider, and I just... and this is a perspective, right? You are also their funder. And this is the thing that I (BACKGROUND NOISE) hop on a lot with DYCD, you're their funder and they're the provider. So, how do I come to you and have a conversation and say, like, "this isn't a good fit," without feeling like I might get in trouble, because, you have already told me that I can't reject or eject them? So, even in that that sentiment, it's flawed. And I think we need to think about what that really looks like, because it's

a hard conversation. And, let's be clear, the

25

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pressure is on the provider not you. So, I just want us to make sure that we note that. Because that's important.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, I understand what you're saying, but the policy is a system policy... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Where they go within the system is up for conversation. So, there might be a provider that is more suited to meet a young person's need than another provider. So, I think that's the conversation that we're having at the point of placement.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Listen, I understand, and I agree, but I'm just being clear: As a provider, that is a hard conversation to have, because now either way, you still make the decision. So to... let's say even if they give push back, they still have very limited capacity. And I'm not saying that they wouldn't have the conversation, but what if they're truly, like, "we really can't do this", but you're going to be like, "fine". But even the reject/eject thing, that does not... it does put limitations on a provider, and it's still on the provider side. So,

a

I'm not saying that they're not having the conversations, but let's think about a provider who might be having ,you know, struggling in some areas or are doing some stuff, and they're like, "We don't have the capacity," and they tell you that you guys have the authority to say, "This is how we're moving forward." I'm just saying it's an uncomfortable position for providers. Uhm...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Can I just say one more thing about that?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm!

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Because the conversation... post placement, the conversation is ongoing. So the staff from... the ACs staff from Close to Home is in conversation with the providers. So, sometimes there are struggles that are projected that are not actually realized, but sometimes there are struggles that are projected and realized. So that conversation continues throughout the placement, so if adjustments have to be made there is an opportunity for the provider to raise that with the staff.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm. No, and I'm sure, and here's the thing, right, I am sure there are some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

providers who are probably a little bit more vocal than others, and even thinking about smaller providers who are, like, I just, "I'm not going to say anything, because it's harder," so I'm just saying, as an agency I want us to make sure we're thinking about those conversations and what that looks like, because I know as a provider sometimes that it is hard to go to your funder and admit , like, "I don't have capacity." So, I'm sure in some cases... and I'm not saying that you guys are pressuring, t that could happen, right? So, we need to just think about that and make sure we're noting that, because that to me is really important. I remember being a provider, and being , like... I wasn't a Close to Home provider, right? But, I remember not being able to reject kids and being in a situation where it was uncomfortable.

What are some alternative programs or agencies that Close to Home can refer you to ease some of the pressure to accept referrals? Are there other programs other than that?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: No, we can only refer to our Close to Home contracted providers.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay.

The PMMR states the release from Close to Home

2 3 residence care to aftercare decreased during the first four months of Fiscal 2024, reflecting a 4 5 decline in staff determination of youth aftercare 6 7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residential care?

1

readiness, which is based on a variety of factors including youth behavior and placement, severity of change, and successful participation in communitybased activities. What is the average length of stay for young people in NSPs non-secured placement and LSPs secure placement facilities? What are some of the trends Close to Home providers are observing that are resulting in delays of youth being released from

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, youth are typically in Close to Home residential placement for about eight to nine months before being released to aftercare. Decisions about when to start aftercare are impacted by the youth's progress and assessment of safety upon their release. We did see reduction in the number of youth release to aftercare in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2024 compared to the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2023. Typically youth are released to aftercare depending on when they come into us. So, you know, and that can fluctuate - one

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

month we can have 10, the other month five, so that's how it's determined. We have not seen an increase in length of stay, so we are not sure yet that this type of decrease is actually a trend, uh, and could be impacted by when the youth started placement. But we'll continue to monitor that.

The other question was? (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: What are some of the trends Close to Home (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, I wouldn't call them trends, we would... if there's just some individual circumstances when it comes to the kids, so it is important that we take these types of steps to ensure youth successfully transition back to the community or aftercare. Say if kids are struggling with the program itself, that can hold the youth back. If the youth doesn't have a successful plan to be transitioned back to the community, that can hold a youth back. So, it's all on the individualized based on what's going on with that specific youth.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yeah, I mean when I was visiting one of the providers they did speak about the struggles especially with young people who didn't have a plan and sometimes would have to stay

longer in in those facilities. And it was often sometimes hard even within going to other ACS facilities, like the communication wasn't there, and there was a lot of difficulties around finding other placements.

Could you guys talk a little bit about that piece? Because that was one of the things that providers have said has been an issue, and it has been a growing concern.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: If I am correct, it is mostly around kids who are already in foster care and need to find a foster care placement. And sometimes kids who have a history of delinquent acts maybe ,you know, when we're finding... we're trying to work with the foster care side, it is... they have a reject policy, and they can decide which youth can come into their care or not, and that makes it a little bit difficult on our Close to Home providers and our youth. Because then finding a permanency for them is much harder.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yes, because it wasn't even a... like they were young people who, uh, it wasn't foster care. What was what was the program? I

now. We had it, but it didn't work out so well. But

25

with the RFP we're able to reinstate it and get someone to do it. So, we're going to be working through the new... the next fiscal year on this

5 program, and hopefully be very successful at it.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay, I'm going to come back because I'm trying to remember, because I've been having a lot of meetings... So I'm trying to just remember all the things in my head. But, I'm going to come back, because it was a specific issue that providers had raised around the transition piece.

But, I'll go to the next question.

Following the implementation of Raise the Age,

Close to Home providers are concerned that older

youth are being housed with younger youth. Has the

mixing of age group raised any issues? Has the agency

explored separate Close to Homes for older and

younger youth?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Typically we try keep the older youth separated from the younger youth. And we have a designated facility for younger youth. So we do understand that the development should be separated. So (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

,

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: How are you doing that?

Because what I was... that's not the experience I've gotten from what the providers have been saying.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We try to keep the middle school kids together. So, during our intake process we have a... we assign... when kids are newly placed with us we have an intake worker, those intake workers go out, interview kids, look at their profile. And depending on how they look, we do our best to assign kids by sight. However, you know, we do also have to be conscious, there may be some , you know, challenges that kids come with from the community, so placing kids together from the same community could be a little bit challenging - or opposing gangs. So we take all that into consideration. And at times we may end up with one or two kids who are younger. We haven't seen a significant back set for that. There are times ,you know, minimally where kids who are younger tend to follow the older crowd, but, you know... you know, we haven't seen a huge issue in this area.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Definitely want to make sure that we keep our eye on that, because I don't want it to... I don't want us to wait until there is an issue

2.2

2.3

for us to address it. So, definitely we need to figure... make sure we're monitoring that, and figuring it out, and thinking about solutions before things happen. Because I am very much a solution oriented person, and I don't want to be sitting here a year from now having a hearing on an incident that took place because we weren't being proactive. So, definitely want to make sure we keep an eye on that, because that was another concern that providers have brought up. And, again, there hasn't been an incident, but we don't want it to be an incident either.

In the Mayor's November 2023 Fiscal Plan, Close to Home baselined funding was reduced by \$7.2 million starting in Fiscal 2025. ACS cited underutilization of Close to Home programs as the reason for the cut. What are the root causes for underutilization of Close to Home? Because I just... I... even before you answer this, I just want to say, I am concerned because we are seeing a huge rise in juvenile detention centers. And then to then say that there's underutilization for Close to Home, I am concerned that we're not using all the tools in our toolbox to ensure that we are not placing young people in these

programs, and now we're saying it's underutilization,

because the math is not mathing. Because we're at 80

4 percent capacity at the Horizon sites, but we're

5 saying that it's underutilization in the Close to

6 Homes programs. So, I need some real answers, please,

7 | thank you.

2.2

2.3

thank you, thank you for this question. We would not necessarily describe Close to Home as underutilized, because we see it as a positive that the family court is placing fewer youth in Close to Home placements. The past few financial plans have instead worked to right size the system, because we did have more capacity than needed. We previously had 301 beds for less than 100 youth, and our new contracts strengthen resources for providers while bringing capacity more in line with the need. We have about 100 youth in placement, and the new system will have 147 beds.

As for your question about Horizon and Crossroads, 98 percent of the kids who are in secured detention are not eligible for Close to Home, because they are... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: So, then what's... then why are we not pushing that 2 percent out then to the Close to Home programs? That's my thing, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: (INAUDIBLE)...

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Because, if we're going to talk about capacity and stuff like that, because I get it, I keep hearing this, they're like, oh, 80 percent, they're gun charges, dah, dah, dah, so then why are we not focusing on making sure we can pull out those other young people, so that we could have more capacity? Because we literally... and I'm concerned, because, as we all know, we are expanding Horizon. And everyone knows I am not for that, because that is going to... if we build it they will come. So, if you're saying 98 percent are not eligible, then why is that 2 percent not being referred to the Close to Home programs? Because then that still brings it down to 96. So I okay, I...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Okay, I can explain that. So, before you get placed, you are... the kids are held in detention. So the family court judges have a choice of entering an order for nonsecure detention or secure detention. Generally the two

2.2

2.3

percent of the family court kids who are in secure detention are... do then transition to Close to Home once they... their disposition is concluded. The length of stay for the kids who are in secure detention on the family court cases is much shorter. So their stay in secure detention is generally much, much shorter than the young people who have their cases heard in the adult court system. And they come on the order of the family court judge to secure, once that case finishes disposition, they move to Close to Home. So, that population is much... they circulate much more quickly through secure detention

and then go to Close to Home.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: That was a lot. But, I'm going to say again, even if they're circulating more quickly through the secure detention, if we need to... and we're talking about capacity, to me it makes sense to refer them out. You guys did say that you have a good relationship with the court, so we should definitely be trying to use those relationships to say we are at capacity, how do we make sure young people who qualify can go into these facilities - instead of having a short stay in secure detention?

2	So, that's just my suggestion, but we definitely
3	are going to have to work it out, because it's still
4	does not make sense to me. Because I am very, very
5	concerned - and even when I had the conversations
6	with the Commissioner when they told me about
7	building it, I understand that they're in a situation
8	where they're at capacity. And, so, for me, we have
9	to be creative about what a real decarceration plan
10	looks like for juvenile justice. And I do not feel
11	like, as a whole, and all players, not just ACS, but
12	all players, in this need to be at the table figuring
13	out what that looks like. Because, I am very
14	concerned that where we're talking about closing
15	Rikers Island, and that's a decarceration plan, at
16	the same time we're expanding Horizons. That's a
17	problem. So we need to be solving for that in
18	addition to doing other things. So, I hear you and
19	understand that, but, again, if that two percent does
20	not need to be in secure detention, because it's
21	going to be a much faster turnaround, we need to be
22	thinking about how we then push for that.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Right. So, we agree

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Right. So, we agree with you. And we have essentially diverted all the family court young people who used to be in secure

24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 detention to nonsecure detention. So, all the police-

3 admits who historically went to secure detention, now

4 go to nonsecure detention. And almost the entire

5 family court population that is detained is... if

6 they are detained, are in nonsecure detention. But,

7 there is a difference between a pre and post-

sentenced young person. So, they can't go to Close to

9 Home until they are... the case finishes disposition.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we are certainly in conversation with the family court judges, and when we see a young person who is there for any what we consider an extended period of time, which is very short, we do notify the courts and try to work with the courts and counsel to expedite.

We're also talking to corporation counsel about expediting those dispositions, so their stays in secure detention are as short as possible.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: It's interesting, because I had a conversation and, this is a little bit off topic, but I had a conversation with some of the legal providers, and they were stating that they're seeing a trend now where even - specifically around the young people being transported back after court -

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

being transport reported back to the precinct and are staying there for, uh, after there's a court case. So, there there's young people who are being in precincts for up to 48 hours not having secure places to sleep, because ACS hasn't picked them up to take them to whatever - whether it's a secure detention or nonsecure detention.

Can you just talk a little bit about that, because that is one of the concerns that the legal providers were explaining to me. And they're saying they're seeing an uptick specifically in the Bronx, where they feel like some of the police officers who are supposed to take them... So, can you talk about your relationship with the precinct and different folks like that, please?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Yes, so we have been in conversation with the providers about this. As far as we know this is only happening in the Bronx. This is not a family court... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: No, they ... No, they said it's happening... it's an uptick in the Bronx, but they've seen it in Staten Island and Manhattan as well... and Brooklyn. It has been happening more in COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

44

2 the Bronx though they said. And I'm on that, too,

3 don't worry.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we... this is not a family court population. These are young people who are being arraigned in the criminal courts. We have been in conversation with NYPD. Apparently this stemmed from a miscommunication, because what is supposed to happen after... when a young person is arraigned in the criminal court system, their custody is transferred from NYPD to the DOC (Department of Correction). DOC holds them for... and notifies ACS for the pickup. There have been a few outlier cases where the NYPD has retained custody and not released them to Doc. So, they did not notify ACS that the person had been arraigned for pickup. ACS is available for pickup of young people all of the hours that the criminal courts and the family courts are open. So there is no situation where ACS has been notified of a young person post arraignment that we have not picked them up. So, we are working with NYPD to end this practice. I think that there was just some miscommunication at some levels from some of the NYPD players in the court houses to some precincts.

you said before that they'll go to secure detention,

but we have the nonsecure detention systems that are run by nonprofits. Why are we not using those a

4 | little bit more?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Okay, so nonsecure detention is only permissible by law for juvenile delinquents - those are young people who are prosecuted in the family court system. Young people who are prosecuted in the adult court system, there are two classifications, juvenile offenders aged 13 to 15 charged with the most serious violent crimes and adolescent offenders for are 16 and 17-year-olds charged with any felony. The law only provides... if those young people... if the court enters a detainer on those young people, they must go to secure. There's no nonsecure option for them. Many young people, though, in the youth parts in criminal court are being referred to community-based alternative to detention programs. So, there are many young people who are prosecuted in the adult court system who are in the community. But, if the court detains them, the only legal option is secure detention.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: How did the November PEGs impact the slots estimated and recently announced Close to Home awards?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: The PEGS did not impact Close to Home. When it comes to capacity, we actually were just, uh, again not utilizing all our capacity. So, we still had room to get kids. So, we didn't really have any negative impact from it.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: But, you just said that it wasn't because of underutilization. So, how... explain that to me, please.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, we had 201 beds or had... or today we had 20 per... at the time of the PEGs, we had about 230 beds. So, at that point we were about 20 to 30 percent utilization rate Close to Home. So, reducing the numbers to 201 beds today really did not impact our overall functioning in the system.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I'm going leave it there, because we just had this the question before that we talked (BACKGROUND NOISE) that about it wasn't underutilization, and we should be thinking about it.

Because of the things the provider said that really stuck out to me is ,you know, we see the increase. We know the increase. But, especially when we're taking beds offline, it's not like we can bring them back online. So, I fear that we're not

limited secure placement rates are \$1,145 per day.

facilities on site, and we reduced them now to 14.

2 So, the rates are probably more competitive today than they were in the past.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yes. And even with that rate, and I'm happy you brought up the noncompetitive so the RFP in 2023 had 36 beds in Queens; 39 in the Bronx; 54 in Brooklyn; six in Staten Island; and zero in Manhattan. How did ACS determine the slots needed in each borough? Because what I'm hearing is that there is a significant decrease in Queens,

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: But there's...
so, the way we came up with the numbers was based on how many kids have been placed in our care. So going back in calendar year 2023, we had a total of 111 youth that were placed in Close to Home - 37 were from the Bronx; 27 from Brooklyn; 10 from Manhattan; 24 from Queens; and five from Staten Island. So that's how we came up with the numbers of beds that we needed in each borough.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I'm concerned, because it's... specifically in Queens, and when you think about Staten Island the whole point of the program and ,you know, we think about the reasoning that the... this came about from the task force was to place young people close to their communities. And if

2 we're reducing the numbers in specific boroughs that

3 is going to have a significant impact, because now

4 they are far out of their communities - which we know

5 is important for them to be close to their

6 communities and their families and the impact is

7 going to have. So with this decrease, I'm concerned

8 | what that's going to look like.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, there's essentially an equivalent number of available beds in going into the RFP in Queens and the Bronx. There are 39 beds in the Bronx, there are 36 beds in Queens, there are 54 in Brooklyn. So, I think essentially what we did is we looked at where the young people were coming from, and we tried to proportionally allocate beds in the boroughs where they live. So we should not see... because we had many beds that were not being filled, we are looking to have enough beds that will be filled by young people as close to their home as possible.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I just want to say - and I have a Queen's person here, so I'm sure she's going to ask questions about it - but if I'm a person in Queens and I have to go to the Bronx, and I... especially a lot of these folks come on public

transportation, that is like going up state. So, if
these beds fill up, then we're going to have to then
place them even further, which we do have some
upstate. So, that to me should have been taken in
consideration, not just with ,like, oh we see these
trends. Because we know trends change, things happen,
and then we will have to place them in far parts. So
that is a concern and one of the red flags that a lot
of providers flagged for us as we were preparing for
this hearing. So, I think we have to be cognizant of
that, because, again, like the provider said, once we
reduce these beds and they're no longer there, we
can't be ,like, okay, we see a trend, let's get these
beds back online. We know that's not the case. So,
what would be the alternative? And the alternative is
going to be that these young people are going to have
to leave their community. And it's literally in the
name "Close to Home". It's literally in the name. We
want them close to their homes.

So. I want to make sure that we are cognizant of those things when we're kind of rolling those things out.

20

21

2.2

Island.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. Then what is the plan to create more of those facilities?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: It's in Staten

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. Where is it?

facilities given what appears to be high staff

2.2

2.3

turnover rate? And what is ACS doing to ensure the
continuity of Close to Home staff?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, the RFP actually includes increased rates for staff salaries. We're very appreciative of the 3 percent COLA that was awarded this year, and we are hopeful that those things together will help with staff retention. We are in conversation with the providers about providing... supporting them with additional training for their staff to allow for ,you know, issues that have not necessarily arisen in the past, but that they're currently facing.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And, I'm sorry, the RFP is currently open or it was just awarded? The new Close to Home RFP was just it awarded? (CROSS-TALK)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: It was awarded and begins in July.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. What Is ACS doing to meet the needs of crossover youth in Close to Home — that is young people in foster care who also have juvenile delinquency cases? Uh, given that youth are being held at Close to Home placement longer than necessary waiting for foster care placement, discharge planning must start earlier. Has ACS the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

identified barriers to finding foster care placement for these youth? And how does ACS support families with voluntary placements who may be willing to take their child home with services after Close to Home placement?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, when it comes to crossover youth, we try to make sure that we keep the foster care agency involved from the moment the youth enters our facility. So, we want to make sure that they participate in our conference, that they meet with the youth in person. We do have a person who monitors the crossover cases within ACS, so if we're having challenges we will reach out to that person so that they can help bridge the gap. We provide as much information as possible to the foster care agencies, so that they can find that young man or woman a foster care placement, so that they don't linger in our system. There are times that we do find challenges and that the youth is stayed much longer in our system than we would like. So ,you know, we're trying to continuously work with our partners within ACS and foster care agencies to try to make sure that the kids don't linger.

## COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And what about the voluntary placement - so, for families who are willing to take their children back who have had services at Close to Home?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, if kids are in our system and the families are requesting voluntary... Close to Home specifically doesn't do it, but we do reach out to the parts of the agencies that manage them.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: What can be done to fund more alternative to placement programs and slots in the community so that less youth are placed within Close to Home? Why have so many of these... I think this question (TIMER CHIMES) was kind of answered.

I can stop there and come back if there's a second round.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: No, keep going.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, regarding the plan decrease in the number of Close to Home beds, and at the same time the plan construction to increase capacity of the Horizon detention facility, why can't underutilized Close to Home beds be used instead of increasing the size of a detention facility? Would ACS be willing to explore this option with the state?

case pending in Family Court to go to Close to Home.

dispositional order.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, uh, last question, why has the discharge rate to aftercare decreased? Is it a lack of adequate programming for the youth in Close to Home?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: I wouldn't say that the discharges are decreasing, it's just that they all ,like, that number is going to change month to month depending on when the youth came into our system, because they typically spend eight to nine months in in the facility. So, if you have a low number month, it may be that we just got less kids throughout that period.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON MENIN: Thank you, the Chair had to

step out for a minute, so she's asked me to

temporarily chair. So, I'm going to turn it over to

Council Member Lee for her questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Hi, thank you. So, my questions are going to be focused around more of the mental health cognitive behavior of evidence-based therapy, as well as OASAS (Office of Addiction Services and Supports) substance abuse questions. Because, one thing I've noticed, and this is regarding treatment courts across the age

health evaluation on the young person to help them

inform what dispositional plan should look like. And

24

2	because the Family Court Act requires an analysis of
3	what the least restrictive alternative is, given the
4	needs of the young person and public safety issues.
5	Each Family Court judge is charged with identifying
6	the issues, getting the information both from the
7	mental health professionals in the courthouse and
8	probation to determine whether or not the child's
9	needs can be met in the community with services that
10	are available in the community continuum - Or a
11	placement order may need to be issued, and then the
12	dispositional plan may designate certain services
13	that they want provided while the young person is in
14	Close to Home - or the Close to Home providers, along
15	with ACS staff, will designate which services should
16	be provided to meet that young person's need. And
17	there are specific Close to Home facilities that are
18	designated for young people with specified needs-
19	like developmental disabilities, or other issues.
20	One of the things that we built into the RFP was
21	additional mental health professionals for the
22	providers along with individuals who are trained in
23	substance misuse who can address those young people's

needs.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Because, I noticed So,
3	going back, because one of the answers that you
4	provided earlier mentioned that sometimes it's not
5	always that the folks are the younger folks are
6	screened for those types of perhaps potential mental
7	health issues. But, shouldn't they all be screened?
8	Or, is it based on what documents you receive from
9	the family courts and their evaluations. And then, or
10	based on that, is that how you determine if certain
11	folks need to be screened for other services?
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yeah, they
13	they're all screened. So, when they come to us, they
14	do have most young people has a mental health
15	assessment. However, when and we get a copy of it
16	However, when they reach the facility, uh the
17	providers(CROSS-TALK)
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: All right, and what does that
19	assessment look like?
20	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: The mental
21	health? Uh, it is done by a psychiatrist or a
22	psychologist, and it's a very detailed report that
23	describes the youth's history, how they came to us,
24	it describes their educational component,

psychosocial components, and if they have trauma or

meet with the with the youth.

not, uh, as well as our partners in Bellevue, who are also in detention, would also meet with the kids if they need another assessment - and they will share that with us as well. Then the providers have all that information, and their psychiatrist would also

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: And then also, if you could just talk a little bit about what your sort of relationship is and coordination with perhaps either DOHMH (Department of Health and Mental Hygiene) or OASAS at the state level when it comes to the care, and the programming, and the ,you know, comprehensive care around some of the youth in these programs?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, our relationships with them is ,like, if we have to refer a youth that has a higher level of mental health, and we need to put them in RTC, uh, we'll reach out to OMH, discuss the case, try to see if they fit the program. Uh, the same is with the OASAS, if they need an inpatient program, we would try to communicate with them and collaborate and see if we can get the youth in that program.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Then is that the same also with OPWDD (Office for People With Developmental Disabilities)? (TIMER CHIMES)

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yes... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. Then is there any sort of coordination at the City level?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we are in conversation as a system consistently with ,you know, with our state partners and our city partners. So, as we identify gaps in the system, or an increasing need, we are in conversation with our State and City partners as to how to meet that need. So, some of that need is met in the community; there's obviously lots of discussion about creating a stronger continuum from community through placement, uh, for these young people to access. So that conversation is ongoing.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. Sorry just one more, Chair.

Aside from the individual cases that you have.

are there more coordinated efforts ,like, I hate the word task force, but some kind of task force or some sort of regular ongoing meeting to evaluate how the

2.2

2.3

2 systems amongst each other are working in terms of
3 coordination?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we are in regular conversation with... I'll give you an example - So, we've been working with um with OASAS and DOHMH on drug substance misuse issues, on Narcan training; we are in conversation as a coalition discussing how the continuum of services are either meeting or not meeting young people's mental health needs and family needs. Many of our community-based services are in conversation with the mental health continuum, because there's so much overlap between family friction issues and mental health. So, we are in constant coordination with the mental health system to access supports that ACS may not be in a position to access when the needs get to a certain level.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. In terms of the providers that you awarded for the RFPs, was... and forgive me for not reading about this ahead of time, but were there requirements in the RFP to make sure that the providers have either licensed professionals or the Article 31, or their necessary licenses to offer the services?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yes, they require... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Okay. Then, also another

thing in terms of the beds, because one of the things that you were all talking about is, uh, the capacity to increase, was... I just want to throw this out there, because I feel like with this population also there's not enough of what's equivalent to the crisis respite centers - but specifically for youth. Because, I believe there's only about 15 beds in the city. And we put something out last year requiring the city to expand that, but I'd be curious to know if some of those beds could be almost used in that similar type model where if there are beds that are not filled, which I would think that they would be, but if not, are there different similar services that the beds could be used for? Because right now there's not enough places for them to go in case of emergency or anything else.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: ACS does have some

Eleven.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Well, we have some kids in Westchester, which is about 20 minutes from the Bronx, so those would be kids who are most further away.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Can you provide to the

Committee the specific numbers of young people who...

and the distance? Because, I think that is very

relevant. Also, can you provide, in terms of after

school programming, you mentioned in the beginning

when you were speaking with the Chair, that you're

offering... I would love to see specifically what

after school programs are being offered to how many

students, and if you could be specific about that,

please? Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Just to clarify a little bit, so the NSP kids go to either Bronx Hope or Belmont, and the after school programs happen at those sites. All of those kids in those facilities have access to the after school programs. So, we don't pick and choose populations. If you're in the building, you have access to after school programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Okay, understood, thank you for clarifying that.

2 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: All right, I have I still have a number of questions.

2.2

2.3

Council Member Williams started to allude to some of these questions, but we want to dig a little bit more into the staffing piece.

Providers have shared that there's a high staff turnover for CTH facilities, because the pay is low and the work is very demanding. (INAUDIBLE) conducted a work comprehensive survey in 2022 and found that staff is, in voluntary agencies like CTH with high higher school degrees or GEDs are starting at \$15,000 less than ACS counterparts. What is, if anything, is being done to address the staff salaries?

appreciates the work of our Close to Home providers, and we understand that the job is demanding and that it's very important for staff to be adequately compensated. Our new RFP for contracts, beginning July 1st of this year, has allocation for increased staff salaries. We additionally provided funding specifically for an Education Specialist, a Programming Specialist, and a Behavioral Health Specialist. And, we are, as we mentioned, grateful to the Mayor for including the 3 percent COLAS over the

this area... (CROSS-TALK)

2	CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: But that's not what I asked.
3	So, obviously providers know how to proceed in the
4	area, but I think specifically when we're thinking
5	about RFPs, and when we're gearing up, that a lot of
6	times agencies will say like, "Oh, we gave an
7	increase," but we know, especially with costs rising
8	and rents and all the things that they have to
9	account for, sometimes it is an increase, but it
10	can't go to salaries (INAUDIBLE) other things. So, I
11	would have loved to see some type of sample that you
12	could have given providers to kind of help with that
13	guidance. Just ,you know, just best practices - no
14	one is doing it, so this is not (INAUDIBLE) ACS - no
15	one is doing it. This is something that I'm pushing,
16	because I think that it's important, especially as
17	agencies, that we are modeling what we want to see.

Uh, the next question is, are there baseline

Close to Home staffing hiring requirements? For

example, are staff required to have a degree in

education fields, or several years of experience with

juvenile-involved justice... involved youth?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We do have staffing requirements that require... certain

positions do require certain educational
requirements... (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Could you give some examples of that, please?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: For example, social work workers, we require them to have a master's level; case planners, they should require a bachelor's level degree. And the YDA staff, uh, the frontline staff should have a high school or GED equivalence.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I mean, so one of the things that I know when I was talking to providers that they were very concerned about is that when you look... it's very similar work to places like Horizon and Crossroads, and they're getting paid a lot higher salary. So, staff is literally migrating to those areas, because they can just get paid more. So, that is also a part of retention, and I'm I want us to also be mindful and think about what it looks like to ensure that there's equity. Because if I can go do pretty much the same job here and make double the money - well not double, it's a little bit more money, but not double, I'm taking it too far - and make more money then, obviously they're going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

recruit. So, now I just want to point out, you are the funders who are now recruiting for the same staff. So, it becomes a double entendre, so we've really got to get to a place where pay parity is something that we're moving towards. Because ,you know, one of the things that I found when we were doing research, and we were going through some of the documents, I found it really very interesting that Close to Home, uh, the task force said that it was cost effective. So, that means even in the imagining of this we knew that this was going to be... we were going to be paying people less. And that's not your fault, that is what the task force said, because it's cheaper to do this, and so we should move in this direction. Obviously it has other benefits, too. don't want to... I don't want to try to side like that. But, if we are going with the thought of thinking that we're going to come in and save money, uh, that is already putting us at a disadvantage. So, I just wanted to make sure that I highlighted

that. And, again, that was not something that came
from ACS, that came out of the task force who said,

"this is a cost effective mechanism that we're

putting in place." So, I don't think I let you

youth on staff assault increased from 2023 to 2024.

What is being done to (BACKGROUND NOISE) train and protect staff?

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: I'm sorry repeat that again?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: The PMMR said that assaults increased in 2023 to 2024 on staff. So, what are we doing to protect and provide protection for staff in these programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, staff in in the provider agencies are trained in SEM, which is the same training that is provided in secure detention.

We are aware that the training need is real. We are actually in discussion now about moving at least one, and maybe more, of our staff from secure detention to make them available for training to the providers to increase the opportunity and the frequency of SEM training for staff in the Close to Home sites.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: So, this is something we're going work towards? So, we're thinking about... because, I know ,like, obviously your staff who are in secure detention, they get specific training. So, we're looking at ways that we can also help train some of our Close to Home staffs with that same training techniques?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, they're already trained. The Close to Home staff is already trained

4 (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: No, no, no, I know they're trained, but I was saying like are... because I know you just said that you're looking to have some of those cross trainings... (CROSS-TALK)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Yes

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: So, that's something we're already working on?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Yes, we are already working on that.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Great, thank you.

Do CTH providers receive specific training on how to manage gun or gang involved youth? Because I know one of the things that the providers were mentioning is that they've seen an increase in young people in their facilities with gun charges. So, do we have specific trainings? Are there things down the pipe to kind of bolster that training and support?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: I'm going to say this about young people who are involved in heavy duty activities on the streets. Many of them are

2 drawn to that because of their own trauma
3 histories... (CROSS-TALK)

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Oh, absolutely.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we... model across the continuum is a relational model and building the core competencies of our young people, so that they can build their self-esteem; so that they can develop the skills that they need to engage in their communities, uh, and be more supported. So, because it is a counseling, uh, based approach, we spend a lot of time, and we have spent a lot of time in the provider agencies talking to the young people. As the young people spend time in these facilities, they learn to develop pro-social relationships with adults, and they're connected to pro-social activities. So, they start to see their futures in a different way. And when they start to see their futures in a different way, they are less likely to attach to negative forces that are in the community. So, the goal really is to replace the activities that they might have been involved in before they come into placement with activities that allow them to see a positive future. So, reengaging them in school, engaging them in vocational training, allowing them

to identify a future path for work, and to engage

with their families - and working on some of the

issues that they might have had with their families
so that they can live peacefully with their families

upon reentry into the community. These are all

7 protective factors that allow these young people to

not go back to the same activities that they were

9 involved in when they came in.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I agree, and I think that obviously we... therapeutic approach is always the first step, and also when we're talking about young people, especially who may be involved in gangs, it's a lot of (INAUDIBLE), because they want to feel connected. And I say it all the time, we have to find other ways for them to be connected to positive activities. But still just thinking... and when talking to providers, they're saying there is a level of care especially for the young people who are guninvolved that they feel that is needed. So even with that, I think we should still be thinking about if that means some of the work we need to do is more intense or whatever, but it is a place where I think we should be kind of digging our heels into to think about what other things we could do could do.

2 Because, this was a point of concern for providers,

3 so I think obviously what they're doing is

2.2

2.3

4 therapeutic and supportive, but we should just be

5 | thinking about how we do it a little bit more

6 intensely. But thank you for your answer.

Staff has reported that young people who exit detention facilities and enter CTH care are often armed with contraband including weapons. Providers have no way of screening young people upon entering, because CTH facilities do not have metal detectors. Has ACS explored screening young people before entering Close to Home facilities? And does ACS document when a young person enters Close to Home armed with contraband?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, youth entering Close to Home, uh, the providers do have the ability to search the youth. So all providers are required to conduct what we call a personal search on all youth entering their facility to ensure that they have not concealed contraband. Personal searches consists of pat/frisk searches and security searches, which require a youth to change in to a robe after removing his or her undergarment. The agencies are also equipped with handheld metal detectors to detect

providers... (CROSS-TALK)

1 2 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: there are... I'm telling you 3 now, I do not come up with these questions by myself. 4 So, the providers are saying that they are having 5 trouble, and this has been a difficulty. So... ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: And I know we've 6 7 experienced this in our nonsecure detention - most of 8 Close to Home providers are the same providers, but... (CROSS-TALK) CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: All right, so you just said 10 11 it. They're the same providers, and they they're struggling in one piece. Do you think they're not 12 struggling in the other piece? Maybe they're just not 13 14 saying it... (CROSS-TALK) 15 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, it's... 16 there's less court involvement, so when youth come Close to Home, most of them don't have to go back and 17 forth to court... (CROSS-TALK) 18 19 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: But, you... they're saying 20 that they're struggling... (CROSS-TALK) 21 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yeah, but , you know, we're... they haven't brought this to my 2.2 2.3 attention, and I'm always open to... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Well, great, I'm bringing it

to your attention. 25

2.2

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, we will talk to the Close to Home providers to discuss this specifically to see what exactly the concerns are around this area.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yeah, let's talk about it, and also let's come up with some solutions. I'm telling you, I didn't make these questions up, I promise you I did not, I promise y'all, I'm getting this from the providers.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: But, just to add, in our RFP we did add a transportation to their budget.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yes, because I... I mean, I think some of the concern was even thinking about when it does happen with the staff ratios, and especially because it's less ratios now. So, I think there are some other factors in there that we also need to consider. But, this was one of the things that they did raise around it. So, they're probably not going to court as much as probably the secure detention, obviously, but the few times that they do have to go there ,you know, it does become an issue.

But, again this is just a place where we can find solutions.

One precaution providers have shared that would ensure safety for both staff and youth, is keeping defendants' name confidential for court hearing appear appearances. Is this something CTA can request? CCH can request?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, this is a little bit more complicated, because...(CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I don't think your mic is

on.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: Sorry. In family

court the names are not available. So, I think that

court the names are not available. So, I think that this is a Criminal Court/Supreme Court issue. We don't... we are certainly open to having these conversations with the with the judges, but because these... the young people are being prosecuted in the adult system and facing adult sentences, even after Raise the Age, unless they get youthful offender treatment, which seals their cases, up until that point the name are not confidential in the court system. So, that would require a change outside of our system. But, we are certainly open to conversation with the judges about it.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Another place for us to do work. We have so much stuff to do. We're about to be

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

your best friend. We have a lot of stuff and a lot of solutions to come up. I am always open to sit down and talk and come up with solutions, because it does sound like that is something we should be thinking about. Because I will say this, part of my district is the courts in the Bronx, and we've had a lot of activity outside the court houses. And, uh, it is an issue even , you know, for juveniles and for older adults. And it's been a real issue, where we've had fights outside. We've had shootouts. We've had a lot, and so it has been... it's become a place where they're like, "Oh, I know you're going to be here at this day at this time, so this is when we're going to get you." So, I think this is something that's not just something that ACS needs to be thinking about. I think a system overhaul is something we should be talking about. So, definitely a place for us to collaborate and come up with some solutions.

Which providers are currently contracted to provide aftercare services?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, we have a continuity of care model. So, the provider where the youth enters the facility is the same provider that will be providing the aftercare services.

2 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay.

2.2

2.3

Providers have reported that young people who return home to their families can often fall into the same pattern of gang gun violence related violence that leads to high rates of recidivism. How do aftercare providers work with young people to help them avoid that cycle?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So aftercare services within Close to Home Initiative is comprised of supportive and sustainable services that are available to youth as they transition back to their home. Service aimed to create a smooth transition from residential to community settings. These services can include mental health and substance abuse, case management and support, uh, along with community based programming. Our youth are also connected to Fair Future coaches, and we also make sure that the providers, along with ACS, meet with the youth weekly, bi-weekly, or monthly depending on the youth's risk.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: And the Cure
Violence providers work with the young people while
they're in placement continue that relationship.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: All right, I have some

3 questions about that coming up.

> Are aftercare providers trained and equipped to respond to the needs of gangs and gun involved youth, and does ACS keep track of youth who reenter the Close to Home program? How many young people reentered the program in 2023?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, the youth who entered Close to Home placement in calendar year 2023, 92 percent of 102 youth were first time admissions, and 9 percent, eight youth, had a previous Close to Home placement.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: And the aftercare providers trained and equipped to address some of the needs that they ,you know, get involved in afterwards?

So, could you talk a little bit of about what those services look like and what they're providing?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Depending on the youth needs... so we have providers who used the multisystemic therapeutic model for use on aftercare. We have providers who use family function therapy on aftercare. Uh, we are also able to refer families to preventive services during aftercare if that is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

needed. We try to meet the youth's needs based on their case plan and what would be... so maybe a youth would rather go to The Door or the YMCA, so we'll connect the youth to that type of programming as well.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I think that that's even a good place where... and where I feel like... we have like DYCD and not just with Cure Violence. They have all these other programs, and how are we placing them into those programs and connecting them with those providers? Because the other thing is, all of these providers are the same people. We sometimes pretend like they're not, they... the ones who are running the nonsecure detention centers are running the After-Schools, they're running the senior centers, they're running the food pantries - like, they're all the same people, but we put them in these boxes. So ,you know, it's really thinking... they're also running the homeless shelters. They're all the same providers. And because we contract them differently, we're interacting with them differently, so my goal, before I get out of here - I'm talking about Councilthat we figure out how to break down those walls. Because, it's really frustrating sometimes to hear

2.2

2.3

2 how we are just not using all these of things at our disposal.

How does the agency ensure that aftercare services are not only readily available but satisfactory to former youth and their families? So, what are we doing to make sure that it's useful and it's just not a box that we're checking?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We do have conferences with the families and the youth, and their voices are infused in those conferences. So, we make sure that whatever services are tailored that they are in agreement, and if they're not in agreement we hear why. We also take into account what type of services they feel with benefit them. And we have about five conferences throughout the life of the case where youth and family are definitely in the room talking about their needs.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: For youth who had their aftercare placement revoked, is there a reevaluation process, and do youth rejoin CTH programming, or are they dropped from the program entirely?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: No, uh, we continue to offer services to youth until they complete the program and beyond that as well - now

\_

that we have Fair Futures, if a youth is returned, they typically return back to the program that they were in. We would hold a conference to talk about what went well, what didn't, and talk about maybe what's needed to be able to reintegrate the youth back into the community.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Are there any patterns among youth who break their release terms? If yes, what's being done to better support youth and reduce violations?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: That would be the same, bring the kid back into our facilities. So, again if the youth is not complying, we we'll try to engage the youth. If the youth is not engaging in the community, will bring them back again to try to reset the youth and continue to work with them.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: CCH providers have reported a desperate need for more transitional housing. What temporary housing options are available for youth exiting residential care who are not returning to their home settings? How do aftercare providers work with young people to find temporary or permanent housing?

	COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND 1001H 93
2	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, for kids who
3	are placed in nonsecure placement, they actually are
4	able to qualify for special housing codes, uh,
5	depending if their goal is independent living. So,
6	they are able to get priority codes for, like, New
7	York/New York III and things like that. We're also
8	(CROSS-TALK)
9	CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I'm sorry, what's what is
10	the priority code? Could you explain what that means?
11	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Well, a priority
12	code is a code that our youth could get to kind of
13	put them higher on the list. They will get a higher
14	list number, so that they can get housing.
15	CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: A higher list number? Tell
16	me I'm sorry, explain to me, because I don't know
17	what you're talking about. What list (INAUDIBLE)
18	list (CROSS-TALK)
19	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, there are
20	certain So, the way the housing (CROSS-TALK)
21	CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Are you talking about like
22	in a lottery?
23	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We don't call it
24	a lottery, but it's like a housing list that that HRA

has... (LAUGHTER) (CROSS-TALK)

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Don't get me started, you brought up the HRA. So, they... so we are just putting them on list, because we know that ain't (sic) going nowhere. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yeah... (CROSS-TALK) CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: And don't say they are getting a CityFHEPS voucher either, because we know that's not happening either. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: No, no, we I mean we've been able to get some kids don't... housing. However, like ,you know, it's very challenging here in New York City to get permanent

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

housing for anyone. But we do get them on those lists; we're not saying that it's expedited, but... I mean, they're not going to get a home tomorrow or even three months from now. But, we do get them on those priority list, which is something better than not being on any list for our kids.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: I mean if you're homeless, it ain't nothing because you still ain't got nowhere to go. So, I mean...

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: But that's why... part of the reason in our RFP we created the

1 COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH 95
2 transitional residential care is so that kids can
3 have somewhere to go and for us to have more time to

4 work with the kids.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Question, are you coordinating with the RHY (Runaway and Homeless Youth) centers? Uhm, what...

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We're not allowed to. Our kids... we're not supposed to release them to RHY. They're not supposed to be homeless leaving our care.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: So, then what happens? We just hold them while we wait for the list? Look, she's giving you a paper... (LAUGHTER)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: That's why we created the transitional beds, because we recognized that we needed a place for these young people to be while we could work out these issues. The majority of young people who leave Close to Home go back to their families. So, it's only a small percentage that are in this situation, and we're hopeful that the transitional residential center will meet the needs of that population until we can access longer term options for those kids.

2	CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Well, I will say, uh, I
3	think the transitional housing obviously is needed,
4	but we should never say a small percentage, because
5	even if it's one kid, it's one too many. So, I know
6	in scope, it's ,like, okay, well it's not that many,
7	but still those young people still have to suffer
8	through it. So, I do think and definitely as the
9	transitional house is rolling out, that we need to
10	continue to think of other solutions. Because, there
11	was another Remember how I was talking about ]
12	couldn't remember what happened with the kid in the
13	transitional So, apparently some of the issue
14	is and families are having issues - and not just
15	the kids - families who are homeless are having
16	issues, but it's not the NSPs and LSPs, it's the NSDs
17	Families who are homeless, they're having a hard time
18	being released because the family is homeless. So,
19	they don't they've been staying longer and having
20	some issues there.

Could you kind of talk about that, because I think that's a whole other separate issue where it's ,like, yes, sometimes the kids don't have any place to go, but sometimes neither does the family. So how are we solving for that? And I'm going to say the

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: issues.

stay? What is the coordination between the nonsecure

for males, not females, preparing to leave CHT

2 facilities without permanent living arrangements.

2.2

2.3

Could you explain the need for this program, and are there a disproportionate number of males struggling

5 to find permanent living arrangements following care?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, most of the kids in our care are males - about 92 percent compared to females. Most of the youths who have a hard time going back are our males. So, we decided to start it with males to see how we can help that side of the population.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay. So, we're like this because it's new, so we're assessing and so we're in an assessment phase? Okay. I can with that. But we'll follow up after it's released and see how and see where we are.

Providers report that insignificant funding for the Fair Future programs are resulting in coaches with limited capacity to serve a growing population of justice-involved young people. What is the agency doing to address this? Because we all love Fair Futures.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, we are pleased that there has been such a good engagement in Fair Futures by the juvenile justice system. This is

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

our original rollout, and we will be assessing and looking forward to discussing this with our

4 providers.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: When I was talking with some of the providers, they definitely expressed that

Fair Futures, they don't feel like it's... they don't have enough resources to be as robust as they would like. So, we know that this has been a priority, uh, not only for the Council but also the

Administration... I mean ,you know, ACS and the

Commissioner, so we definitely want to make sure that we're keeping this in the forefront of like how we make sure that this program is being funding adequately and giving the providers the support so we can continue to grow the out. Because, I know this has been something that everybody has ,you know, really championed.

ACS conducted specific programming for youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and youth who exhibited problematic sexual behaviors, approximately how many youth were placed in IDDs (Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities) or PSB (Problematic Sexual Behavior) programs in 2023?

of five youth last year who were placed in the PSB,

and a total of 25 in the DD settings.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, we had a total

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm. What's the process

for determining whether youth need those specialized

1

2

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, again we do have an Intake and Assessment Unit that goes out and

interviews the youth, talks with the parents, uh,

youth while in detention. We look at the evaluations,

talks with uh youth who've been in contact with the

and depending on if youth has like a IQ score that

would that is a developmental delay, then they would

be placed with the that population. And, then the

youths who have problematic sexual behaviors, those

youth typically come in with those type of behaviors

outlined, and we'll refer them out to the program

that does that specialized service.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay. How do you evaluate the effectiveness of those specialized services?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: How do we evaluate the effectiveness?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Mm-hmm, yeah.

Э

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: We see whether or not the kids are coming back to us. We also have case managers that meet with the kids regularly and assess how they're doing in program. I also speak with the providers around the effectiveness of their work.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: How does aftercare for those youth differ from the aftercare of youth who didn't require specialized services?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, the youth who are in the specialized program stay with that same core group. So, the specialized population is trained and have the experience to work with this population. So, for example the problematic sexual behaviors will continue to get that treatment even when they're out in the community.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Okay.

According to 2023 CTH RFP and NSPs and LSPs facilities have more than doubled the capacity for male than for females, and there are no IDDs or PSBs facilities for females at all. Are a disproportionate number of males in need of specialized services? And what can ACS do to expand this program to better serve the female population?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: So, in our nonsecure placement facilities, uh, for our female population, if they are exhibiting these type of behaviors we are we are able to refer out to the community or have a provider come into the facility to provide the services. Our limited secure facility is actually able to provide these services in house.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you.

I have... and this was a question I realized that I should have asked earlier, but when I was at one of the facilities one of the thing the providers were telling me was that sometimes they have to turn over their facilities very quickly, so they'll be male, and then they'll get a call and, like, "Hey, we need you to turn over to female," and that has raised an issue, especially around staffing capacity. Can you talk to me a little bit about what that looks like and what that process is? Because, I can't imagine being a male facility and , you know, obviously your staff is going to reflect that, and then now being , like, all right you're turning over to a female facility. Can you talk about that process? What supports are given to the provider when they have to have such a fast turnaround?

in school.

uh, on the District 79 side, they do have the

counselors whose primary role is to help the kid
transition back into their community school.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Okay, thank you.

While students are in the Close to Home program, is it important that their families continue to have opportunities to be involved in their in their child's education?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Yes. In our District 79 school, they have open school night. They can come to the school, talk to the teachers. They also participate in in our monthly treatment team meetings, and they can ask questions around the school... the youth's education.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: What are the percentage of students in your Close to Home program have IEPs?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PEGUERO: Well, we would have to get back to you on that question, but all of the kids that come in through District 79 get a specialized IEP completed. But, we can talk to DOE and get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How can ACS work with New York City Public Schools to ensure students receive notice of IEP special education plan meetings once

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Okay.

How can ACS work with New York City Public Schools to ensure parents receive regular progress updates? Are you guys in communication?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GINSBURG: So, District 79 is committed to communication with the parents, and they have parent teacher conferences. Parents have access to... they have counselors... guidance counselors and social workers, uh, who have ongoing communication with the parents. And the parents have access to their schooling through those counselors.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Why I'm saying... and why
I'm asking those questions is because I think there's
a lack of communication between the two agencies when
both of you have a child in care - New York City
Public Schools have the child care. So, do you...
so I just always think it's the lack of communication
between the agencies.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: So, we have staff
that are present on site at both Bronx Hope and
Belmont. So, the communication is daily. If a young
person is struggling in school, the New York City
Public School staff will... is in communication with

corrected, because, let me tell you, all right,

allowed from the witness table. Further, members of

the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing recording.

Once again, if you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. Once recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic: Evaluating the Close to Home Program.

If you wish to submit testimony, you may do so via email to <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a> up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing, audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

At this time, I'll ask Katherine, Joanne, Hassan Bruta? I'm sorry, I'm going to butcher these names... Elisabeth Bernard, and Pedro Gonzalez. Whoever wants to start...

ELISABETH BERNARD: All right, just to clarify, you said two minutes not three?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Two minutes, I said two minutes, and you might want to start, because it already started.

2.2

2.3

ELISABETH BERNARD: Okay, well thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Elisabeth Bernard, and I am a staff attorney at Advocates for Children.

As an attorney on AFC's School Justice Project, I represent court-involved youth as they navigate the significant challenges of receiving educational services and special education services both while they are in placement and as they are transitioning out of placement.

In recent years, we have seen some positive shifts in the education services youth receive while in Close to Home programs and the quality of transition services once their time in Close to Home programs ends. Despite some positive changes, there is still a need for improvement in certain areas, including ensuring families get needed information about their child's educational progress while attending Passages Academy and ensuring students have a safe and appropriate school to attend as soon as they return home.

Currently, we are assisting a family whose child spent 11 months in a Close to Home program. During the student's time in the program, the family never

2.2

2.3

received progress reports, phones calls, emails, or notice of their child's progress while attending Passages Academy. The DOE never gave the family an opportunity to attend IEP or Special Education Plan meetings or notified the family if the student had earned any credits. The family, instead, often made visits to the Close to Home site, where they were merely told the student was doing "Okay, but could make improvements." Especially considering this specific student had an IEP and needed additional support to succeed in school, the parent expressed her concern about the lack of information and the uncertainty around her child's graduation status.

To help keep students on track, it is important for the DOE and ACS to ensure families are informed of their child's educational progress while in the Close to Home program.

The City must also ensure there is better (TIMER CHIMES) communication between ACS, Passages Academy staff, and the various DOE offices that help to reenroll students in school as they return home. Often, we see a lag in the amount of time it takes a student to receive an enrollment letter from the DOE once they return home. In fact, we have seen students

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

2 spend anywhere from three weeks to two months waiting
3 for a school placement...

4 CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Ten seconds...

ELISABETH BERNARD: Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today, and I will be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you.

JAN HASSAN-BUTERA: Good afternoon. My name is Jan Hassan-Butera, and I am the Director of the Close to Home Program at SCO Family of Services.

I would like to thank Chair Stevens and all of the Members of the Committee on Children and Youth for allowing me to speak today.

SCO currently operates five Close to Home

Programs throughout Queens and the Bronx. We utilize

an evidence-based approach, the Missouri Approach,

which has a strong focus on group dynamics and

positive peer influence. There is a large focus on

accountability, family dynamics, victim empathy,

boundaries, communication, and healthy relationships.

During placement youth are engaged in a wide array of

services including onsite medical and clinical

services, casework counseling, mentorships,

\_

psychoeducational group meetings, education and vocational services.

SCO has been fortunate to share in many successful outcomes for our youth and families. Close

to Home has given youth the opportunity to become

activities. A working relationship has been made with

stronger community members through community service

skills. Youth have been certified in OSHA and have

SYEP providers helping youth to gain early work

received assistance finishing their education and

gaining employment.

SCO has fostered a strong partnership with Gallop NYC, allowing youth the unique opportunity to work with horses, participate in riding lessons, and volunteer with younger youth with disabilities.

Additional highlights include youth registering to vote and voting for the first time, exposure to new and diverse educational, recreational, and cultural activities helping to expand their horizons. Youth have also had opportunities to perform at Carnegie Hall, be members of their school Student Council, and display their artwork at art exhibits.

SCO is especially proud to have a former client,

James, join our workforce as a Youth Specialist.

2.2

2.3

James was in our program from 2015 to 2016. He worked extremely hard and made incredible progress. Today is a role model and credible messenger to the youth and an inspiration to all who know him. His journey proves that change is indeed possible for the young people of the Close to Home program if they are given the opportunity. There is (TIMER CHIMES) still a need for this important program. Youth need and deserve treatment not incarceration. They have experienced... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Ten seconds.

JAN HASSAN-BUTERA: trauma, neglect, and lack of opportunities. The Close to Home Program addresses all of these areas and does much more. On the behalf of the young people I serve, thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you.

KATELYN GRECO: Hello, am Katelyn Greco, Director of Prevention, Juvenile Justice and Equity at the Council of Family and Child Caring Agencies or COFCCA. COFCCA represents more than 100 nonprofit child welfare agencies across New York State, including the five agencies that provide Close to Home programming here in New York City. Thank you

Chair and Council for the opportunity to provide testimony today. We also have submitted written testimony - a bit more comprehensive.

Close to home was created has a commitment from the City to keep juvenile justice-involved people close to their families, communities and support systems. Moreover, Close to Home operates as a trauma informed setting acknowledging that young people deserve the opportunity to be equipped with the tools needed to create healthy choices.

Currently the young people in Close to Home programming need more support and expansive level of services than ever. The ripple effect of programs closing down due to the pandemic, coupled with new legislation, has led to older youth in care exhibiting more complex needs such as continuous justice involvement, substance abuse, violent behaviors, and gang or gun involvement.

Today we uplift the following priorities as identified by our member agencies: One, invest in pay parity and workforce enhancements. As we know, lot of our agencies lose their workforce to government agencies due to the high pay -as mentioned earlier; two, the City needs a contingency plan in place to

•

address the growing needs for additional capacity and demands for Close to Home. The system is set to reduce capacity from 201 to 147 slots when the new contracts are effective July 1. Since the release of the RFP, the census has continued to rise with a 46 percent increase in admissions and 61 percent increase in Close to Home census calendar year-to date - January through February.

To meet the demand of increases in census in the past programs have been asked to add beds to increase capacity. This is not always a feasible solution due to staff vacancies and other barriers; three, invest in addressing safety concerns and facilities and for young people transitioning back to the community we.

We ask the City to invest in and expand de-escalation trainings offered to all facility staff - so staff are equipped with tools to mitigate violence while upholding a trauma informed environment. We also ask for the City to create, enhance, and expand tailored programming, (TIMER CHIMES) especially for young people at gang or gun involvement; and then our fourth priority is the enhanced flexibility for new contracts, making sure that funding matches mandates,

\_

as well as the ability for agencies to meet basic needs of young people in care.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you

PEDRO GONZALEZ: Good afternoon to this committee.

My name is Pedro Gonzalez, former veteran of the

United States armed forces, and father of two.

I am well aware that in this testimony we're focused on the youth aspect for DYCD; however, I have been reaching out to the City Council's office about a particular matter that I wanted to raise some concerns about with ACS. The Administration for Children's Services had been involved in my case for about seven years now. There are some good things that the agency has done to support my family; however, the agency history with my family has been very contentious. So, I wanted to raise some concerns that I have as a father.

I want to thank this committee and Althea

Stevens, uh, 'm also from the Bronx. Last year, in

2023, my children were removed more than four times

from the home. There has been an uptick since 2023

and emergency 1024 removals from the home without

court intervention. Oten times ACS has case

conferenced my case time and time over again. And

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

even in the deputy director's case study conference that was discussed - that my family model is one of the best models to be used for family reunification approaches. Often times I understand that it takes a village to raise children, but everyone in the village not always agrees on what's best for the children. I believe firmly that it is the responsibility first and foremost of the parents, who are willing and want to care for their children. As such, I would ask three things of this committee: One, what can this committee do to ask ACS to push the agenda of family reunification for the families first and foremost? That should be the priority. It is more of a priority than even property.

Second, what can be done to limit the interactions to make those interactions with the families much more positive than adversarial? Nine times out of 10, a lot of these family court cases can be settled outside of the family court without burdening or encompassing these cases more than it already has.

Third, (TIMER CHIMES) what can be done for ACS to train its employees and managers on how to approach cases on a case-by case basis with the facts? I

believe each case has its own caveats, and as such each case should be thoroughly looked at.

I believe ACS should also conduct frequent case study conferences on each family.

I also want to thank this committee for taking the time to hear me. I am a concerned father, and I wouldn't be here standing before everyone expressing these concerns that I have if I didn't genuinely care. I have been dealing with this situation since at least 2019, and it is now 2024.

Again, I want to thank you, Althea Stevens, the ranking committee member, and other members of this committee for hearing me out with my concerns. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Well thank you, to this panel. And, one, I just want to say Mr. Gonzalez, thank you for being here today, and it's okay that it's not related to the topic. I don't want to go into the details of case, but I do have staff here who I'll get your information from so we can talk more. This has been a concern that I've actually heard from a number of parents around not feeling like their cases have been heard in a real way. I have a friend who had a case, and some of the stuff

2.2

2.3

forward.

did not make sense. She was a licensed social worker, and almost got her license taken away, because of a situation that didn't really make sense. So, I was able to escalate it, but that should not be the case. So, definitely my staff who's here will get your information. Because I don't want us to have an open discussion about your case and your situation. ACS still here, and so we'll definitely all get together to have a conversation about how to best move

PEDRO GONZALEZ: I have one more question, uh what else can this committee do to - when NYPD involvement is necessary, what can be done to not just impartially protect the family from harassment or an adversarial conflict, but also to remind every party that even the parents have rights. Can this committee make it very pointed to state that there should be a Parental Bill of Rights provided to the family on first contact with ACS?

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Absolutely. And we already have some of that, that's already in place. So, those things should be happening. And that is something that we'll definitely be working on. So, again, I don't want to go into the specifics around your case

or go into details. But my staff is here, they will
get your information, and we'll continue the
conversation. And I see ACS staff is still here, and
they'll definitely talk to you as well to continue

6 this conversation. Because ,you know, I think some of

7 the things you're saying are general, but we

8 definitely want to get more to the specifics to be

9 more helpful, thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ms. Bernard, I have so many questions for you. I was reading through your testimony, and I know you talked about, uh, there was this a situation where the young person had their IEP reevaluated.

Could you tell me a few more details about that, because I was under the impression that for IEP specifically, that parents would have to sign off on that. So, was the IEP changed? Were there a conversations? Could you tell me a little bit more information about that, please?

ELISABETH BERNARD: Right, as we understand it, when a young person enters a Close to Home program with an IEP, there has to be a meeting that happens within seven days. Basically this will kind of transition the IEP into something called an SEP, which is the Special Education Plan. And this will

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Are parents not... are parents not required to be a part of that meeting, or is this something that is happening without parents knowledge or being present?

reevaluated in that young person's SEP.

disagree to what is being changed - or what is being

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELISABETH BERNARD: So in our uh in our experience, parents have expressed that they have no idea what's happening and that they're not being included in those meetings. So, obviously this is a large problem.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Just full transparency, I actually... the providers were suggesting that I

J

visit the school before I came. And I just was not able to fit in my schedule. So, it is on my list of things to do to kind of get a better understanding of what's happening in Bronx Hope and the other schools. But, this is definitely something I'm very interested in continuing to dig into.

Then you mentioned later on in your testimony, because I know you didn't get to read it all, but I read it. You mentioned later on your testimony about families and the transition out of the facility and having idle time, but I know we talked extensively today about the transition plans and all these things. But you're experiencing and seeing that young people aren't being placed into schools, and I see that you had one young person who was actually jumped when they returned from the Close to Home program, because they were sent back to an unsafe school. So, is ACS not coordinating safety transfers with DOE so that students can go to new schools when they are going to Close to Homes? Can you talk to me a little bit about that as well?

ELISABETH BERNARD: Sure, so the young person who was sent back to an unsafe school was jumped within the second day of his of his attendance. At that

2.2

2.3

2 time, obviously the young person did have some of the

3 resources that were mentioned. So I want to say Fair

4 Futures coach, a slew of other folks; however, a lot

5 of these individuals don't have the knowledge and

6 resources about how to make those safety transfers

7 happen. So, that is why... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Yes, that's my question. So are ACS and DOE not coordinating those safety transfers so that when they're coming out of the Close to Homes programs and being returned home, that they're automatically going to a new safety transfer? Is that not happening, or that has that been your experience?

there are not a lot of informed conversations around making sure that the re-entry is safe. So, we're just saying, okay, well what are the needs of this young person? What grade? How many credits have they earned? Let's put them in a school that's in their neighborhood and available. However, there needs to be more informed conversations with the young person around ,like, is this school safe? Are the needs being able to be appropriately met? How do we make sure that young people returning to schools are safe

1

3

4

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in actuality? I think that is more of the issue. Conversations are being had, but I don't think they're targeted and intentional around safety. And that is a problem considering we know the barriers around safety, specifically for young people... who are court-involved.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you, this is really helpful. Like I said, I am trying to get on my schedule to get to these schools so I can get more information. I just got the Committee in January, and I'm on a fact finding mission. Because I take this very seriously. So this is definitely something I'm going to continue to have on my watch, and I will definitely be in contact with you to get into some more details around some of the things that you're seeing on the ground, uh, just so we can, again, look for solutions. And if there's loopholes, and there's things that we need to readjust and think about, I'm all for it, and a let's and let's go for it. And I know my lovely folks at ACS are happy to hear all of our great solutions.

I believe Council Member Williams has some questions, and I believe Council Member Ossé is with us remotely.

2 Council member Williams?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I just wanted to know if , like, anyone has - any of the folks who are familiar with Close to Home have any just initial reactions from ACS testimony? I think it's always interesting to hear the testimony from the agency, and not that you don't believe the agency, but ,you know, I'm not on the ground. You're on the ground, so you see it in real time. So, I was just wondering if you just had any general response back to the testimony and the things that you heard?

ELISABETH BERNARD: So, like I said in my

testimony, I do think that there have been

improvements. And I want to give space to that. I

think there has been, across the board, some

improvements. However, this population is very

complicated, and I think it takes staff who are

culturally competent, who understand the navigation

of what we're talking about - young people throughout

the Bronx and Brooklyn, what their needs are, and how

to address those needs head on. I do think that ,you

know, many of the things that were stated are more

data driven than day-to-day ,like, how are we

creating concrete solutions and plans? Like you

1

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

said, I want to be solution oriented, and as an advocate who's seeing family issues from day to day, I know that, case by case, there are a lot of things that aren't being addressed that need addressing. And I think those are more complicated conversations that need to be had. And I think often times we think very macro instead of micro. And I think that's really a large part of the gaps that we're seeing.

KATELYN GRECO: I do want to give ACS credit. I know that they have been talking with providers, but I think the system just looks very different now. Right? Between the pandemic, Raise the Age , you know, just other policies - we're just seeing older young people, and we're also seeing more complex behaviors. And I think , you know, kids are entering the system, and the system needs to adapt. And that, unfortunately, is way slower than the kids are entering. Right? So, there's just more conversation that needs to be had and more focus on safety. A lot of our young people in the system are gang are gun involved, even if they don't specifically have those charges. And when they're returning back to the community, if they had conflict entering , you know, whatever facility they're in, that conflict often

2.2

remains and waits for them. And it's putting young people at risk of being a victim of violence. And I know that our providers are doing the best that they can to ensure safety for young people in their care, but it's really hard, it takes more than just ,you know, what they have in their capacity - it takes community help as well. It also takes more budgetary flexibilities to help young people who are transitioning out of facility to meet their basic needs. That's one way to ensure safety, just making sure a young person knows where their food is coming from or where they're going to sleep. So, I think these conversations are being had, but ,you know, there's space for more improvement as well.

JAN HASSAN-BUTERA: As a provider for 12 years, I can say that we've forged really strong relationships with ACS and with our DOE partners. And it does take a village to do anything to find a youth a safe school, to help them in an unsafe community. And I would absolutely say that those strong relationships we've had - do we always agree? No. But, can we come to the table and can we hash it out, and do we come to a mutually agreed upon solution? Yes. And all of us have the same want for our young people. We want

much, appreciate this panel.

PANEL: Thank you.

24

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: For the next panel, I'm going to be calling up Annie Minguez and Dionis Fernandez.

ANNIE MINGUEZ: Hello, thank you, Chair Stevens, and members of the Children and Youth Committee for the opportunity to testify. My name is Annie Minguez, and I am the VP of Government and Community Relations at Good Shepherd.

Good Shepherd has a nonsecure detention in the Bronx, two nonsecure placement facilities in Brooklyn, and we also have aftercare and Fair Futures Services.

I want to focus my testimony speaking to the challenges we are having, while also sharing some resources that could help us.

I want to stress that while we are facing challenges, we in no way want to see Close to Home becoming an extension of Crossroads and Horizon.

Close to Home began in 2012 to support younger youth between the ages of 12 and 16. And, as ACS mentioned today, given Raise the Age, we are now seeing older youth, which requires a different intervention.

When possible, given proximity, and also credible messenger relationships, and with additional funding,

I served on the Raise the Age campaign and have done advocacy for years at the state level to help get Raise the Age dollars to New York City.

That said, in the absence of state funding, we need recourses to support the needs of older youth - including programming offerings that are tailored to support their interests.

Thank you, Chair, for raising the staffing issues we are facing. ACS has similar positions offering bonuses, annual cost increases, a City pension, and \$13,000 more than what the average provider is able to offer. We cannot compete with these offerings.

On the comment that youth are held with other youth their age, with the new RFP awards, and the fact that we will only have two girl programs across the City, housing youth of similar age will not be possible. While their staff are trained in safety crisis management - Sanctuary and Missouri - they always welcome additional support. Providers can use additional resources are city already has access to such as de-scalation techniques that our Cure Violence staff have.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

partnerships with the Cure Violence teams to help youth return home safely is something that is possible - and to remain engaged with Cure Violence and the crisis (TIMER CHIMES) management system, so that they have an additional support system.

Programs like Learning to Work who can best support youth who are behind in school and so on to obtain a high school diploma is another thing... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Ten more seconds.

ANNIE MINGUEZ: We also want to address the root causes that have brought youth to the system, including food and housing insecurity, and supports that ensure that they have physical safety upon returning home - job training certification programs, so that young people have employment after. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you.

DIONIS FERNANDEZ: Hello, how you doing today? My name is Dionis Fernandez, and I have three years fighting to be part of my child's life, and I am the Founder and CEO of a movement called Fathers Speak Up, which is a nonprofit organization for fathers that are fighting to be part of the children's lives.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 2.2

2.3

24

It's very important for the father to be there as much as the mother. And as fathers we are not getting the justice we deserve to be part of our children's life.

I'm teaming up with another organization which is in Rikers Island, and I'm voluntarily working in there to help fathers in there see how they could be able to reunite with their children, too. Right now, I have 291 fathers that are part of my movement who I'm helping. I started doing this in New York City, and now I'm traveling out of state because this is happening all over.

I have a big platform, in the last 30 days I have 217,000 people that have reached my account. I have 20,000 people that supported my movement, and we're going to continue speaking up and become one loud voice, so we can be able to get this justice that we deserve.

So, what I do is I interview fathers that have been going to court, paying child support, paying lawyers, and doing everything we can as fathers to be part of our children's life, and we still don't get the justice we deserve.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So, what I do is, while I interview fathers, we speak about everything we got going on, but we just don't speak about the mother, because we want the mother to be there as well. That is all have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: Thank you. I remember you from our budget hearing, so thank you for being here and for the work that you do. Because , you know, I think it is important that we're uplifting fathers. I know even in Council, Council Member Riley and Council Member Salaam have been doing a lot of work around like trying to promote fatherhood. I know at the budget hearing, I told you DYCD they have a father initiative, and definitely want to think about how to connect you with them to collaborate. Because I think that is something that we do not talk enough about, uh, the struggles of the father, especially around custody and things like that. So, thank you for being here again today. And definitely want to figure out how to make sure we're connecting you to some of the services.

And Ms. Minguez, question, and I think you... your organization is a perfect example of how you guys are doing multiple things. Because, now we're

2 li

like best friends, because I see you all the time.

Because, literally you have programs on the DYCD side

and on the ACS side.

Can you talk about... and even you talked about the LTW (Learning to Work) program piece, which is the DOE stuff.

Can you talk about some of the challenges that your agency faces? Because you have all these different multiple funding streams and they're always talked about in buckets. Some ideas you guys might have around like how the interconnection would be much more helpful, because in the way it's now... it's all very separate. I feel like I speak to you about different things separately, because that is just how it's been set up.

So, can you talk a little bit about that, please?

ANNIE MINGUEZ: Thank you, Chair.

I think by nature these programs are siloed, and one of the things that we have been trying to do at Good Shepherd... and I know that other agencies are trying to as well, is to break down those silos and start to think about what programs are young people touching? How can we deepen their relationships in the positive programs? Acknowledging kind of like

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

where they've been. Programs like Learning to Work are working with young people that are previously homeless in the juvenile justice system, they're working with this population, and they've figured out how to stay connected with them. One of the things that we want to make sure of is that they're moving from a high touch program to another high touch program, which is why we're talking about Cure Violence and the crisis management system. It is why we're talking about Learning to Work and those advocate counselors, and really thinking about what that continuum looks like for us. It's work that we're doing, and of course I think that all of the providers are trying to figure out like how we stay connected in the lives of young people through extended programs that we're offering Because, once they have built that trust with us, they want to be able to stay with us. So we , you know, in the absence of not having a program, it's about how we working with other community organizations through our aftercare program to engage with them, so that there's a transition and they start to see both of us together - like, we are here to serve and to help you as you transition.

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PANEL: Thank you

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: And I think that it's important, right? And it's why I highlighted even earlier in the testimony of all of these... you guys are the same providers. It's not our fault that the agencies are working differently, right? So, I think we really need to be thinking about how we create synergy amongst the city agencies in the same way some of the nonprofits are doing it. Because, again, you just mentioned your, agency has a Learn to Work contract that's with DOE. I'm sure you guys have community schools, that's DOE. Then you have LSPs, NSPs, all the Ps, uh, ,you know, foster care, you also have afterschool, so that's DYCD. So, there are all these different programs, and in the same way that you have to figure out how to work in synergy , you know, it's really going to be important how the city agencies are doing the same, because they often are ,like, we're meeting and we're touching, but it's not making sense on the continuation of programs and services. So, I definitely want us to continue that conversation.

I do not have any more questions. Thank you guys both for being here.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON STEVENS: We are going to move to remote testimony. If there's anyone else who wishes to testify in person, uh, please see the Sergeant at I see no one else, so we'll move to Zoom testimony.

I'm going to call Judith Harris.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has started.

JUDITH HARRIS: Thank you, Chair Stevens and Committee, my name is Judith Harris; I'm an attorney at The Legal Aid Society Juvenile Rights Practice, and I represented youth and juvenile delinquency proceedings for about 15 years in the Bronx Family Court. I also refer you to our written testimony.

Regarding plans to reduce Close to Home capacity, yet expand detention at Horizon, instead of this disruption and expense the City should press the State to make changes to allow the use of Close to Home beds for juvenile detention purposes.

The children who are placed in Close to Home are almost all Black and brown from under resourced neighborhoods, they should not be incarcerated if they can be better served, as they usually can be, in the community.

We must continue to reduce the number of children in placement by expanding alternative-to-placement programs.

Key concerns with Close to Home and aftercare:

We must provide older youth with GED programs and vocational training at every Close to Home at every Close to Home facility. Since Raise the Age, Close to Home has seen an increase in older teens yet, there's not enough availability of GED programs and job training at all facilities - some have none.

We must improve staff retention at Close to Home facilities - this has been discussed a lot today. I won't go into it more since time is limited.

At times Legal Aid staff have difficulty reaching Close to Home staff, including clinical, and haven't been notified of key transitional meetings.

Also, access to interpreters other than Spanish must be consistently provided for in-person meetings and services with families or guardians.

Aftercare should be strengthened with better connection to educational, vocational, mental health, and other services in the community.

COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

145

2 the Children's Village (INAUDIBLE) house. We provide

3 one of the broadest continuums of trauma-informed

4 evidence-based family support interventions-

5 interventions that focus on family reunification and

keeping at risk youth at home, in school, and with

7 people who love them.

2.2

2.3

We are early advocates of Close to Home, and we remained active in serving teens and families since legislation was passed.

The changes have been dramatic, and for many families, life altering. For many, placement is a result of disconnection from community, family, school, and mental health symptoms from trauma.

Close to Home, with this emphasis on therapeutic rather than punitive approaches - pre and post placement, has dramatically reduced the length of stay in placement and increased successful reification with family. This requires inclusion of family engagement in Close to Home immediately upon entry, and follows youth into the community at discharge. When done right, our therapeutic and financial investments in families provide validation, safety, and restore humanity.

While therapy that focuses on social and emotional development is fundamental to our approach, we also provide financial assistance when finances and poverty present barriers to success. This support takes the form of rigorous evidence-based aftercare services, life coaching, and mentoring to help mitigate life stresses that weigh heavily on young people and lend extra support to youth who are navigating high school enrollment, college applications, employment searches. Engaging in people working successfully requires a strong workforce that is skilled, invested, and not distracted by their own poverty.

ACS counselors still earn much more and get better benefits. This impacts our nonprofit sector's ability to hire and retain great employees.

We also ask that New York City simplify the budget process and invoice, uh, to make reimbursement faster and more predictable. Agencies like ours struggle with millions in outstanding cash flow and spend (TIMER CHIMES) hundreds of thousands on interest every year due to borrowing... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired, thank you...

(CROSS-TALK)

hearing. (GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING OUT)

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 23, 2024