CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----- X

October 31, 2024 Start: 1:01 p.m. Recess: 4:05 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Chris Banks, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Erik D. Bottcher Darlene Mealy Chi A. Ossé

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Gale A. Brewer

APPEARANCES

Neil Barofsky, Co-Monitor of New York City Housing Authority

Matthew Cipolla, Co-Monitor of New York City Housing Authority

Brad Greenburg, Chief Compliance Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Eva Trimble, Chief Operating Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Keith Grossman, Executive Vice President for Support Services at New York City Housing Authority

Dylan Baker-Rice, Deputy Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Alixa Cruz, tenant

Crystal G, tenant

Aixa Torres, President of Alfred E. Smith Houses

Zulay Velazquez, tenant

Christopher Leon Johnson, self

Caesar Godwall, tenant

Lucy Newman, Supervising Attorney of the Legal Aid Society's Public Housing Unit

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Darren Sealey, Program Manager for the Housing Resource Center at the Red Hook Community Justice Center

Sadie Gill-Frederick, tenant

Judy Wade, tenant

Alica Griggs, tenant

Manuel Martinez, Resident Council President of South Jamaica Houses and Queen's South District Chair

Cynthia Tibbs, Tenant Association President of the Westside Urban Renewal Brownstones

Dana Elden, President of St. Mary's Park Houses

Christina Chaise, Second Vice President of Resident Association Board at Ravenswood Houses Chambers by Keith Polite.

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Testing one, two.

Today's date is October 31, 2024. Today's hearing is

Committee on Public Housing, being recorded in the

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Public Housing.

At this time, we ask that you silence all electronic devices and at no time is anyone to approach the dais.

If you would like to sign up for inperson testimony or have any other questions during the hearing, please see the Sergeant-at-Arms.

Chair, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [GAVEL] I'm calling this meeting to order. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Council Member Chris Banks, the Chair of the Committee on Public Housing. I'm glad to be joined by my Colleagues, no Committee Members as yet, but they'll be here.

In 2019, the agreement between NYCHA and the City and HUD laid out clearly that there had to be top-to-bottom changes in how NYCHA operates and put a federal monitor in place to oversee that

2.2

2.3

change. Over the past five years, NYCHA has undergone serious changes under the federal monitorship with some real progress being made impacting NYCHA tenants' lives.

But even with that progress, there is still lots of work to do. As we start the second five-year monitorship program, this Committee wants to know clearly where NYCHA is in terms of their obligations under the HUD agreement as well as their plans for the future.

We are glad to be joined by the two new co-monitors who were appointed by HUD to hear what they have found as they've begun their work. I'm interested to know what they believe NYCHA needs to do to come into full compliance with the HUD agreement and position NYCHA in a place of stability for the future of all NYCHA residents.

Today's hearing will be full of goals, metrics, numbers, but I want to make sure that we also always keep in mind how these goals ultimately relate to the tenants of NYCHA developments and their lives. I look forward to hearing from the monitors, NYCHA, and NYCHA's tenants about how we can all keep NYCHA moving forward.

2.2

2.3

I would like to thank my staff, Michael Lambert, and the Public Housing Committee Staff, Jose, Connor, Dan, Nicholas, Christopher, and Charles, for all the work they've put into this hearing.

We will first hear today from Neil Barofsky and Matthew Cipolla, the co-monitors for NYCHA. Thank you.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Thank you, Chairman Banks, and it is a privilege to appear before you and the Committee today, and we look forward to working with you and your Staff over the next five years during the term of our monitorship. My name is Neil Barofsky, and with me is my Co-Monitor, Matt Cipolla, and we were appointed, as you noted, back in February of this year, the end of February, to serve this term.

I just wanted to start by giving you a little bit of background on us. Matt and I are partners at Jenner & Block Law Firm, where we are Co-Chairs of the firm's Monitorship Practice Group. We have a lot of experience doing monitorships. We've been appointed to be monitor by the United States Department of Justice, by U.S. Attorney's offices,

- 2 and also the New York State Department of Financial
- 3 Services. We've monitored a variety of different
- 4 types of institutions, including Credit Suisse, the
- 5 | financial services giant, the United Auto Workers
- 6 Union, and the mining and training company, Glencore.
- 7 For me, oversight began back in 2008 when I was
- 8 appointed and confirmed as the first Special
- 9 Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief
- 10 | Program, the TARP, the 700-billion-dollar bank
- 11 | bailout that occurred to the financial industry
- 12 | during the financial crisis.
- In August of this year, we issued our
- 14 | first report for the NYCHA monitorship. This report
- 15 gives an overview of NYCHA's performance and also
- 16 reflects our overall approach to the monitorship and
- 17 | the core values that we intend to prioritize over the
- 18 | next five years. We'd like to briefly use the rest of
- 19 | our testimony to summarize those values and what we
- 20 | think our priorities will be going forward. For that,
- 21 | I'd like to turn it over to my colleague, Matt
- 22 Cipolla.
- 23 MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Thank you. Good
- 24 afternoon. I'll focus on the core values first. The
- 25 | first one is transparency. Our goal for our report is

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to give the public and other stakeholders, such as you in this Committee, a one-stop shop to see exactly how NYCHA is progressing in meeting the goals that it committed to meeting in the HUD agreement. Our August report describes a mixed bag, including certain commitments, like addressing overall pest populations or heat failures in individual apartments that previously were not tracked or measured during the agreement's first five years. The report also describes certain areas in which NYCHA has made progress, such as its success in identifying and remediating lead paint in more than 10,000 apartments. But in too many areas, NYCHA continues to struggle, including in its slow response times to make repairs, particularly when it comes to basic livability areas, such as mold and leaks.

Our second core value is accountability.

Any future progress is going to demand that

shortfalls and failures be identified and remedied.

In our August report, we identified where NYCHA is

falling short in meeting its sacred obligation to

assure the safe and healthy living standards set

forth in the HUD agreement for its residents, and we

have proposed a series of priorities for NYCHA to

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

focus on to address these failures. In our future reporting, we will continue to closely monitor progress to ensure that NYCHA is held accountable when it fails, and we will hold ourselves to that same standard.

Third, and echoing your words, Chairman, we focus on the residents. Far too often, we've heard from you, from residents, and other stakeholders that they feel like residents and their concerns have not been adequately heard. We've heard that although NYCHA has made significant progress in certain areas under the agreement, its progress has not been felt by NYCHA residents, too many of whom continue to live in apartments with mold, leaks, and pests, or who have to live with elevators that don't work, or in apartments that are too cold in the winter. We have committed to residents to always view this monitorship through their eyes, understanding that for a resident, it doesn't matter if a boiler is replaced if their own individual apartment remains cold, or for a mobility-impaired resident, although they may appreciate that the response time to fix a broken elevator has shortened, it's cold comfort if they're unable to get in or out of their apartment

2.2

2.3

2 more than 12 times a year because of repeated 3 elevator breakdowns.

Of course, our focus on residents would not be possible without their participation. For that, we want to recognize and thank the residents and resident leaders who have met with us, sent us emails, hosted our visits, attended our town hall, and called us to share their stories and experiences with NYCHA. Many of them have met with us at their developments, facilitated meetings with other residents, and showed us firsthand the state of their apartments, and we also want to make sure to thank NYCHA for their open, honest, and collaborative approach that they've shown us since day one.

Now I'll talk briefly about some of our priorities based on what we found in our initial report. As the end of the sixth year of the monitorship approaches in January, we look forward to working with NYCHA as it sets priorities for the coming year, and our work has identified several key areas where we believe progress is particularly urgent. The first is resident communication. Too often, a repair is not made because either NYCHA does not show up for a scheduled repair or because a

2.2

2.3

2 resident was not adequately informed or the
3 appointment is not home. This has to be fixed.

Second is repair times. NYCHA residents often face long wait times for repair work due to a significant backlog of hundreds of thousands of work orders.

The third is performance. We have to raise the bar for the performance of NYCHA personnel agency-wide and ensure accountability for that performance.

The fourth is capital. The more quickly the capital funds can be deployed to install new elevators, to replace plumbing lines, or to deploy new boilers, the better position NYCHA will be to meet its various HUD agreement requirements and improve the lives of residents.

We will continue to work on these and other issues in the years to come. We thank you for having us today, and we look forward to answering your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Thank you for your statements. I want to recognize we have Council Member Avilés who is present with us.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm going to begin my round of questions, and then I'm going to turn it over to some of my Members who may have questions.

As of today, what is your assessment on how well-positioned NYCHA is to come into compliance with the terms of the HUD agreement?

NEIL BAROFSKY: I think it's, probably have to put it in, oh, Santa Claus is here. I think you probably have to put it into sort of a couple different categories. I think there are some areas where NYCHA is very well-positioned to meet its obligations, others where it is not, and probably a third area which we just don't know. So, just to give you some examples, you know, NYCHA is doing a remarkable job in its lead abatement. As Matt mentioned, they've cleared 10,000 apartments, which is a really important milestone, and are on track to meeting the agreement's obligations to abate all lead in all apartments within the timeframe set forth. NYCHA is a little behind in some of the other big capital projects like elevator replacement and boiler replacement, but they're going to get it done. It's going to happen within the term of our monitorship for sure. Also in areas, assuming that there is

2.2

2.3

continued investment in some of the metrics that we describe in our report, response times for certain areas continue to improve year on year, and we feel like with the right amount of attention and support, NYCHA is going to fulfill its obligations in those areas, again, assuming that everything stays the course.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are there specific goals that you are more focused on after your first report because where NYCHA is in terms of the compliance?

NEIL BAROFSKY: There's certain areas of focus for sure. I would sort of split them into two areas, some of the operations areas and then some of them in more of the organizational change areas. So, from the operations areas, there are several really key metrics that have not yet been measured and reported out, and that's going to be one of our areas of focus in the next year. So, for example, NYCHA has done a commendable job when dealing with heat outages in buildings so when a boiler goes down and heat is lost to an entire building, NYCHA has had solid response times and improvement in that, but they

2.2

2.3

haven't been measuring and we haven't been reporting on what happens to individual apartments.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.

NEIL BAROFSKY: So, for example, a boiler goes out, right, that's going to impact a whole bunch of apartments. A radiator goes out, that's only going to impact one apartment. But the agreement foresees and includes metrics and performance goals to deal with individual apartments as well so that's an example of one of the areas that we're currently working with NYCHA right now to develop those metrics and to be able to measure them, and then we'll be able to see how they're doing and to help them improve.

On the organizational change side, these are some of the bigger cross-cutting issues that aren't going to get solved in a day and, as Matt mentioned during his testimony, these are areas like resident communication, like pushing forward to putting more responsibility into the developments through the neighborhood model, holding its employees accountable through things like performance reviews. Those are sort of bigger picture areas that, you know, NYCHA recognizes has to improve that we're

2.2

2.3

- really going to focus on working with them so

 basically those are the things that don't impact just

 heat or just plumbing or just lead or just pests, but

 when they're improved, NYCHA's numbers will improve

 across the board.
 - CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Let me recognize present with us is Council Member Chi Ossé and Council Member Sanchez.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Council Member Claus today.
 - CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Oh, Council Member Claus, I stand corrected.
 - While we are going to talk to NYCHA about some of the more numerical metrics the agreement requires, what does NYCHA have to do with the organizational level to meet the requirements of the agreement?

NEIL BAROFSKY: It really is along the lines of what I was talking about before, and I'll go into a little bit more detail with your question. So, like organizational, some of the big cross-cutting organizational changes, if I could use an anecdote to sort of lay out where our thinking is on this. One of the things that we do is we have several experts who

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

work with NYCHA frequently, and one of our pest experts will shadow NYCHA exterminators when they go out and do their work, and one of his recent reports to us, he went out with a NYCHA exterminator, and the exterminator was supposed to have six apartments they were supposed to treat that day and he was only able to get into one because the other five, no one was home when he knocked. The same expert has seen NYCHA exterminators sometimes not understand or be properly trained and therefore not implement the most effective pest control, which means inevitably it's not going to treat the problem, and that's going to require more resources for a follow-up visit, or sometimes the person is well-trained but just doesn't do a good job. And I use this anecdote because I think it points to where the areas of focus need to be. Resident communication and having the infrastructure and technological capability to have more effective resident communication is just of paramount importance. From the resident's perspective, which as Matt noted is really a hallmark of what we're doing, there is nothing that we hear more in the sense of not just despair but of humiliation, really deep emotional understanding, and

2.2

2.3

it's understandable. If you take a day off from work because you think your apartment is going to get prepared and you lose a day of pay and NYCHA doesn't show up because of a communication snafu, it's devastating for the resident, but it's also a tremendous loss of resources for NYCHA. They're paying this exterminator to do that work, and they can't get in to do their job so that's one area.

Training. You have to have adequate training so that when you make the visit and get in to the apartment, you don't have to go back to re-fix or re-treat something so that's really important, organizational change.

And then accountability. NYCHA has to be in a position where it can clearly and easily identify its low performers and either get them the training they need or get them the discipline that they need so that they can adequately serve the residents and get the job done so those are some of the big cross-cutting areas, and I would just add to that keeping the push forward with the neighborhood model, which is moving more decentralized control developments so that the people who are in the development, running the development, have more

2.2

2.3

control over their development and can be quicker and more reactive to respond to residents' needs. I would say those are the areas probably organizational-wise that we're most focused on.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. In your first report, you say that the lack of funding is often pointed at as the main challenge facing NYCHA, but that NYCHA can make up for the change in funding to organizational and efficiency improvements. While I do think that NYCHA has been underfunded by all levels of government and we should dictate more funds to NYCHA, can you give us one or two examples of the type of inefficiencies that you think NYCHA can take advantage of?

NEIL BAROFSKY: Sure. I want to say how much we agree with you, Chairman. To say that NYCHA can become more efficient is not to in any way take away from the fact of the desperate need for additional capital funding. These buildings are way past their use-by date. A lot more can be done within the existing budget, but the existing budget will never be enough to get the level that is necessary and that the residents deserve. We agree with that.

One example I would say is the one I just
used. If someone is going to go out on their day to
do a number of repairs and they can only get in one
out of six, well, gee, if you get them two out of
six, you've just doubled their productivity. If they
can get into three out of six apartments, you've
tripled it. These are the types of things that we're
looking at. We've had anecdotal evidence of workers
who aren't showing up to a job or are not working a
full day or aren't performing at the level that's
necessary. Again, if you can get the most out of the
workers and employees, that, too, will create more
efficiencies within the existing budget. I think
those are the types of things.

Then the investment in IT, because the IT reform, whether it comes to resident communication, whether it comes to fully automating some of the behind-the-scenes work and paperwork, that's also going to create more efficiency. You're going to get more bang for your buck. I think those are some of the areas that we're focused on.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You would agree that there's been scenarios or situations where residents

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have taken off from work and there's been a no-show by NYCHA?

NEIL BAROFSKY: Absolutely. It is a recurring problem that we hear.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Constantly, yes.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Anecdotally, it is something that we... there are certain things that when we meet with residents and talk to residents that always come up. In fact, we were actually visiting development, and I think it was the Vice President of the tenant association we were meeting with. She had taken the day off from work and had a leak underneath her sink. As we were meeting with the property manager, she mentions, yeah, I took off from work today and no one ever showed. We witnessed it. Matt and I witnessed it that day. It is a recurring problem. We're going to work with NYCHA. We're talking about doing analytics so we can get a true understanding of the scope of the problem. This is a problem that we're going to work with NYCHA to solve. NYCHA wants to solve it. Just to be very clear, and Matt mentioned this, NYCHA has been incredibly cooperative with us. We do truly believe that the senior management there wants to solve these

2.2

problems. It's not a question of negligence or lack
of commitment. We believe we will get this solved
with them.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I would hope so. The monitor is tasked to assist NYCHA to seek regulatory relief from HUD, the City of New York, the State of New York, has NYCHA identified or flagged the regulations they need relief from?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Yes, there is one I can think of. In performing maintenance work that may disturb lead paint, New York City law allows for the use of a special type of paper that sort of seals off the work area to make sure that the resident is not exposed to the potential lead hazard and the work can continue, but the resident doesn't have to vacate the apartment. HUD regulations don't allow the use of that so we have had discussions with NYCHA, with our lead expert, with HUD, about potentially getting some relief there because it would allow NYCHA to perform the work less expensively, relieve the residents from having to vacate their apartments, but still maintain safety for everyone.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

I just want to be clear that doesn't apply to abatement. Abatement work still would require the resident to leave.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How do these regulations impede NYCHA?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: They certainly can slow work. Understandably, folks don't want to leave their apartments. It may lead people to not seek repairs that might cause them to have to leave so if we can make sure that we work out a solution that's acceptable to HUD and safe for everyone, we think that could be a potential benefit not just to residents but to NYCHA as well.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. What support do you think NYCHA needs from the city, state, and federal government to accomplish the goals set forth by the agreement?

NEIL BAROFSKY: I think first and foremost it obviously is as much financial support as they can receive. Again, we're going to work with NYCHA, and NYCHA is committed to working with us, to squeeze as much juice out of the lemon as possible, but we know when you're talking about capital shortfalls in the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 tens of billions of dollars, it's an underfunded and
3 decades of disinvestment are felt.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Do you have the exact number of the capital shortfall?

NEIL BAROFSKY: We do not.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Because I've heard different numbers.

NEIL BAROFSKY: I think one of the things that we hope to bring to the table is that there has been, and we've heard this as well, some amount of skepticism surrounding some of these numbers, whether they're inflated or too small or too big, and whether they're necessary if you can have the type of efficiency gains, is NYCHA in a position to deploy that capital in a timely and efficient and effective manner? We've heard all of those questions, and one of the things that we're going to try to do and seek to do is to answer those questions. We're currently doing a review, for example, of how NYCHA receives and spends the City capital and to see if there's any areas that we can improve the efficiency and make it a better process, a process that moves more quickly. That will be one of the things that we do so that we can answer those questions when people have them.

2.2

2.3

Same thing with efficiency. A question of how much more resources NYCHA needs is against where it is today, but what would it be versus a NYCHA that is operating at peak efficiency and is making the most out of every penny that it has? Those types of things, those questions, we think would be really important to answer so that we can better answer your question and the question of so many people who want to support NYCHA but do have some of these concerns. We want to support those asking those questions to get those answers.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Before we proceed, we've been joined by Council Member Brewer and Council Member Bottcher.

Could you share what are some of the potential roadblocks that you foresee for NYCHA when it comes to the HUD agreement?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Sure. Neil mentioned one of them, which is going to be IT. NYCHA uses a very complex, older, highly customized IT system for its work orders. It's gotten a lot of value out of it. It works well with it. They've given us access to it, but at this point, further customization and further upgrades are going to require resources, time, and

2.2

2.3

attention. Some of the work that we aim to do that's

tied to that can sometimes be delayed or require

further work because of those IT limitations.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How often do you normally meet with the NYCHA team or NYCHA representatives?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: We have meetings every day or nearly every day and certainly our team every day. It's been, as Neil said, very open and collaborative. NYCHA has a monitor liaison who we work with as a dedicated resource, but we interact directly with members of NYCHA senior leadership, the neighborhood administrators, borough VPs, caretakers, supers, really every level, and everyone has been open to meeting with us, we think honest in their feedback, and it's been a tremendous value to us.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And you did state earlier that you are constantly meeting or having conversations with the tenant leadership?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Yes, so we have a variety of mechanisms for residents, both in leadership and outside of leadership, to interact with us. We have a website, nychamonitor.com. We have an email address and phone number. We have a

2.2

2.3

community advisory committee. We have a series of town halls. Individually, Neil and I meet with resident leaders at developments and they often introduce us to other members of their resident leadership and also people outside of leadership so there's a variety of means, and one of our goals, and certainly we want to continue to get better at this, is to make sure that everyone who wants to be heard is heard.

NEIL BAROFSKY: And I just want to say that the support from the residents has just been tremendous. This report reflects so much of the time that residents have taken out of their lives to meet with us and share with us their concerns, and it has as strong of influence on what we're doing as anything else and so we just want to really express our deep appreciation for those resident leaders who take out a lot of time to work with us and help us do our job.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What is your interaction with the RAD-PACT converted developments as far as the tenant leadership?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Sure. So the agreement has specific provisions for our oversight of the

developments that go RAD-PACT. They're primarily in
the areas of elevators, heat, and lead, and there are
certain metrics that NYCHA has to hit in terms of
updating, for example, boilers. I think it's 200.
NYCHA is on track for, or has already completed the
boiler and elevator, and we're in the process of
verifying that so our teams that go to each
development and do the type of monitoring and
investigative work that's so important to get
everyone the facts that they need are verifying that
those metrics have been met. Then in terms of the
lead, also we'll be going to those developments to
verify that the lead abatement not only has been
completed but is being completed in a legally
compliant manner.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What has been your findings thus far in your interactions with those particular TA leaders? Can you give us some insight?

NEIL BAROFSKY: We've not had extensive contact with the TA leaders in the RAD-PACT, primarily because our role is, I mean, we would, obviously...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are you planning on?

2.2

2.3

NEIL BAROFSKY: Our role is very limited in RAD-PACT so it really is limited to making, as Matt said, it's making sure that the elevators that are specified in the agreement have been done, making sure the boiler...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So it's more compliance.

NEIL BAROFSKY: It's really compliance in a couple of narrow areas, and so we're not out there doing the type of inspections, the type of interaction that we do with the non-RAD-PACT developments because our oversight is so limited.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, but I get the compliance aspect, but as far as it being comprehensive, the question more pointed is, are you speaking to residents of the RAD-PACT?

NEIL BAROFSKY: We're not having the same level of interaction, no. I mean, to be honest, it's more passive. So, if residents reached out to us, we would, of course, talk to them, but the proactive efforts that we were talking about as far as doing a town hall or going to visit a development, we're not doing that in the RAD-PACT, again, because our oversight there is very narrow and pretty tight.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: With your limited
3 scope of oversight, would you consider doing some
4 degree of a town hall or deeper dive into the RAD-

PACT conversions with your limited narrow scope?

NEIL BAROFSKY: I mean, just to be honest, it would be very challenging in a world of limited resources to expend our resources where, again, you talk about issues like work order reform, are tenants getting their apartments repaired on time, what is the response for potential pest infestation, potential mold infestation, which is one of the reasons why we engage with this tenant engagement is to understand those problems. We have zero oversight over what happens with respect to those issues in those buildings and so we can certainly take it under consideration, but they're really outside of the agreement, 99 percent of the issues, and I think from a resource allocation, we would rather do a town hall to residents where we can hopefully have a positive impact on their experience, whereas at a RAD-PACT, we just don't have that oversight and that ability, to be honest. I don't want to make a false promise.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: No, no, and I hear you, but it's also an obligation by NYCHA to provide

together to discuss issues around NYCHA because I've

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

discovered they never have actually sat in rooms
together. They're resident bodies that are very
separated and doing independent conversations.

NEIL BAROFSKY: We certainly will consider that. I think one of the things, to be clear, we're starting out, and we don't have all the good ideas, and so we welcome that type of input, and we'll certainly consider it, and we certainly, if we're not inviting the board to our CAC meetings, we should be. I think that's a really good suggestion and any way we can facilitate that type of resident NYCHA interaction is helpful. So, for example, we just, I think it was last week, we did a town hall out in the Rockaways, and NYCHA came, both the local property management as well as central, some of the folks that are here today, and it was just, you know, it was great bringing everyone together with us and, you know, from what we heard, it was a very spirited meeting to be clear and understandably, you know, residents were very angry and expressed that, but in the aftermath of that meeting, you know, so many residents came up to us and really appreciated the opportunity, not just to talk to us. I mean, frankly, I think we were a little bit of a sideshow. But to be

2.2

2.3

able to communicate directly with NYCHA management,

as well as the people on their property so I think

that's a fantastic idea, and we're going to look to

continue to expand those types of meetings. I think

6 you're right. It's impactful.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, I mean, there's so much work to be done, and NYCHA residents are nothing but spirited and resilient and deeply committed to making sure they live in dignified conditions.

In terms of the mold and leaks area, what are the particular strategies that you're all going to try to pursue to get NYCHA to improve their metrics on compliance there?

NEIL BAROFSKY: One of the key areas, frankly, is that there's even some of these mold and leak metrics that are not yet being measured, and so one of them, for example, is an obligation to reduce standing water within 48 hours, and so one of the first things that we did was taking a look at all the agreement metrics, and in particular seeing those that are included in the agreement but have not yet been measured and reported, and that was one that jumped out at us and so, as we dug into it, the

2.2

2.3

response was the reason why it's not being measured is there's a standard protocol for leaks that has been under development but not yet rolled out, and that's basically the guidebook for those making repairs on leaks so they know exactly what to do, what are the standard practices and procedures for addressing that and, once that is launched and it is automated, then you can capture data to measure and report out on standing water. So, that's a really important area to increase NYCHA's performance is getting that SP rolled out, and then we're going to have more data to be able to measure and then remediate.

I think the second key area that we've seen so far is training. Training is really, really important in mold remediation, and it's an area that we think there could be significant improvement, and training is such a force multiplier when you talk about resources. For a little bit of what I was discussing earlier, if NYCHA deploys one of its precious resources, one of its workers to go out and clean up mold and it's not done well or effectively or completely, you've just wasted a resource because somebody else is going to have to come back and fix

2	it. NYCHA has made improvements here, to be clear,
3	but there's a lot of runway here for better
1	improvements and better performance by NYCHA staff,
5	particularly when it comes to training that I think
ó	can help support these numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you for that. Just to take one quick step backwards, and apologies if I missed it in your earlier testimony. How many staff does the monitor employ?

NEIL BAROFSKY: You know what, I don't have... Maybe I'll just take a step back and talk about our structure.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, that would be great.

NEIL BAROFSKY: We're a law firm, and our staff, I would guess, is around 20 attorneys?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: 25.

NEIL BAROFSKY: 25 attorneys who are working on this matter. We also work with various vendors. We have Turner and Townsend and...

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Quadel.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Quadel, and I don't have those precise numbers. Do you have a sense, Matt?

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Quadel is about 15 or
20, and I think Turner and Townsend is about the same
number, and what we've tried to do is bring in people
who are experts at monitoring and investigating
because we need for our work to bring in trust. We've
tried to bring in experts in public housing and
inspections because we want to make sure that the
work we're doing is accurately reporting on HUD
regulations and all the things that NYCHA needs to be
doing. We've brought in experts in capital because
also we need to be making sure that the capital funds
are being deployed well, and then more part-time
experts but who we consult when we need something
like advice on pest management or lead abatement or
some of the more highly technical matters. So, a very
core goal of ours is bring in the best people that we
can because this should be done by people who are
really experts because that's what it's going to take
to get the job done.

NEIL BAROFSKY: But we'll get you the precise numbers after this hearing if that's okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, great. Thank you. We'll appreciate that, especially since you're new and I'd love to understand where you expect to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 maybe build out and I suppose as you're building out 3 your plan, that will evolve.

So, going right back to another question, Chair, this will be my last one. So, I represent a development in Red Hook, which is the second largest NYCHA development in New York City, and it is one of the, I think, I forget how many, that has undergone a Sandy Resiliency construction project. In fact, there was a City Limits article that came out, I think, yesterday about the travails of these major resiliency projects, half-a-billion-dollar project 12 years later. Definitely progress and a lot of complications, I think things people could not anticipate, but really profound frustration by the residents of the conditions that they've been living in that are everything from just eating dust all day because there's mountains of, you know, soil everywhere to having nowhere to go and a phone full of rats actually climbing chain link fence, which I never knew actually happened. We're all working through them. I quess what I was curious about is given the pillar areas for the monitor, how does the monitor handle the overlay of a project of this kind

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of magnitude in their kind of investigation and monitoring?

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Yeah, it's a great question. I think it fits in with one of our values, which is not to look at things as pillars as much as possible. I mean, obviously it's an important thing to be able to tell you the answer for a heat metric, what is it, but for these types of issues like these Sandy projects or some of the things Neil mentioned, you have to look at it broader than that. So, when we do this type of work, one of the value adds that we're trying to bring is looking at the data across the various areas to make sure that if it's having an impact on pests, we're not looking at pests in isolation. We're also looking at how the capital is being deployed and is it on schedule. We're also looking at whether exterior work is being done for lead abatement or pest management, but the point is we want to bring all of those things together, and that is a core value for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So there's no distinguishing between, I guess, the federal funding stream work that is being deployed, let's say, on the campus and what is in NYCHA's pipeline? I guess what

2.2

2.3

2 I'm trying to get at is the monitor will be looking 3 at all of those things as a collective.

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: Yeah, we're not thinking about where is the source of funding to improve a particular metric. We're looking at is the metric improving or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Right, and the accountability, though, right, is, well, it's the contractor, it's not NYCHA, and I think that's a place where we can drill down on.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Yes, I think, you know, it is NYCHA's, of course, responsibility to oversee its contractors, and so there is no… much like it is our responsibility to oversee our vendors, and if one of our vendors makes a mistake, it is the exact same thing as if Matt or I personally make the mistake, and I think NYCHA understands that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. We've been joined also by Council Member Salamanca, virtually, and we'll now allow Council Member Chi Ossé/Claus to ask questions.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you. Thank you, Chair, and thank you for being here today to testify for us.

I wanted to ask specific programmatic questions. I know that HUD's main responsibility is infrastructural upgrades. However, I have heard from several TA Presidents within my District. I do want to shout out Ms. Adorn as well as Ms. Johnson from both Sumner and Tompkins Houses. Obviously, the infrastructural upgrades and lack of funding for infrastructure is something that we really do appreciate from the monitor, but a concern that they raised is some of the programs that they have within their developments as well as the various different developments in my District, whether it's funding for TA meetings, family days, just any type of senior programs, youth programs that go into their developments. They were wondering if the federal monitor or the federal government in general is doing anything in that regard, whether it's advocacy for more funding in those programs, whether it's assessing the funding that already exists for those programs. I think that's something that wanted to be addressed during this hearing.

2.2

2.3

NEIL BAROFSKY: Yes, so that is an area that's outside of our jurisdiction as defined by the agreement, but what I would really strongly encourage tenant association leaders or, frankly, any resident to still contact us. Even though it's not within our jurisdiction and we don't have a role in it under the terms of the agreement, we'll find the right person. We do a lot of that facilitation of making sure that the person with an issue or a concern can find the right person at NYCHA or elsewhere, potentially here, so that their voice can be heard. Even if we can't deal with it because we just have no oversight or impact on it, we do try to help find the residents the right person so that they can be heard.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: I do hear and appreciate that, and I definitely will recommend to my constituents who reside in NYCHA... can I have a couple more minutes?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You may.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Reside in NYCHA to reach out to the monitor when they have questions there. I think some of these TAs have frustrations with sometimes how slow the money can get to them for these programs. I guess I have a two-part question

the monitor.

now. The first part is why is it maybe outside of the
jurisdiction of the monitor to assess the funding for
these programs? And two, I think it's equally as
important to assess the funding, advocacy for the
funding for some of these infrastructure upgrades.
It's as important as it is for some of these programs
that take place in our developments, whether it's
stronger TAs that are more willing and have more
tools to interact with both you as well as NYCHA. I
find them to be equally important, but I want to hear
from maybe why this is not under the jurisdiction of

NEIL BAROFSKY: Yes, and to be very clear, just because something is outside our jurisdiction doesn't mean it's not incredibly important.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Sure, of course.

NEIL BAROFSKY: I mean, one of the real issues that NYCHA residents are dealing with, which we hear on a regular basis, is asbestos abatement, and that's something that we have zero...

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: How can we change that, though? How do we give you more oversight over some of these pressing problems that exist within...

2 NEIL BAROFSKY: So, we are a creature of 3 contract. This monitorship was created when HUD and 4 the City and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and NYCHA reached its settlement in 2019, and that agreement is what 6 7 created this monitorship so we're not like... sometimes 8 there are court-appointed monitors. We serve as monitors in a number of different types of arrangements. This is truly a civil contract that was 10 11 signed by these different governmental entities, and 12 so when they did that, they said this is what you do 13 monitor, and anything that they don't say this is what you do, we don't do. We don't have jurisdiction. 14 15 As I said, we don't blind ourselves to these other issues, and they are, of course, impactful. Because 16 17 they're impactful on the residents, they're impactful 18 on the overall work that we do, but we can't... there 19 are certain areas, the only way we can help is 20 helping bring attention by introducing the tenant or 21 making an inquiry, which we do, and look, we've had 2.2 some real successes in certain areas where just 2.3 facilitating certain meetings and getting certain attention to issues, even though they're arguably 24 outside of our jurisdiction, but the only way really 25

2 to change that is to change the agreement, and so the 3 parties to the agreement, which would be the

Department of Justice, HUD, the City, and NYCHA would have to reform the agreement.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you so much for the time, Chair. Would love your contact after this hearing just to see if we could coordinate some of those meetings in the future with my TAs.

NEIL BAROFSKY: We'd be happy to facilitate.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Now I recognize

Council Member...

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAMBERS: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Order, guys. Order.

Council Member Bottcher.

relating to elevator repair, and you may or may not have the answer to this question, but what we hear is that the issue with respect to elevator outages is not necessarily the lack of personnel to repair the elevators. It's a parts issue. Is that accurate, and what efforts are underway to ensure that this shortage of parts is addressed, and why is it that

2.2

2.3

NYCHA, after all these years, doesn't have an adequate supply of parts on hand for elevator repair?

NEIL BAROFSKY: I could tell you what

NYCHA has informed us, but I think this is a question
that's probably best addressed to NYCHA directly. I
don't think that we've heard or found that part
shortages is what's driving a lot of the elevator
issues, but my understanding that to the extent that
there are difficulties with respect to parts, it's
because a lot of these elevators are so old and are
no longer being manufactured, but NYCHA has a pretty
robust program of salvaging its elevators, of
fabricating parts, but I think the next panel could
probably better address your question.

To us, one of the areas where we think that the elevator performance can be improved is by expanding preventative maintenance. NYCHA has done some pilots of preventative maintenance where instead of just repairing broken elevators, you go with the elevators that are still working and doing the necessary work to make sure that they don't go out of service, but that is not a parts-driven challenge. That is a resource-driven challenge. You just need more people to do that than NYCHA can currently

insight on that.

2.2

2.3

afford, but to its credit, it is seeking to expand that program in the next year. It's a priority that we're working on, and I think that will be, elevator replacement is ultimately the solution, right, because these are old, out-of-date elevators, but in the meantime, until that capital comes in and that can be done, really that type of maintenance is really important, but on the parts, I'm not aware of the issue, but I think it's important to address that to NYCHA directly. They can give you some more

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Bottcher, are you done? So now we're going to move to Council Member Brewer.

much. First of all, I concur with you. The residents are wonderful, and I think NYCHA is trying. It's just really rough. I'm now swamped. Every tenant leader in Manhattan is watching this hearing, just so you know, and they all want to meet with you, just throwing that out, right? 10, 15 emails right here.

NEIL BAROFSKY: We never say no.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, well, they're all going to be organizing to talk to you.

2 So I know, because I knew your previous 3 monitor, so I have a sense of where you are in terms 4 of what you can and cannot do, so I'm going to try to just talk about some things that hopefully fit into that. One of them is just the vacant apartments. 6 There's thousands of vacant apartments, and some of 7 them maybe need too much. That's question number one, 8 because they do have pests, they do have mold, they do have all these things in them so I want to know 10 11 what, if anything, we can do about them. I have no 12 idea. I know one building, I have a lot of, on the 13 Upper West Side, a lot of NYCHA, and one complex has 14 40, the management told me, 40 vacant apartments, and 15 I don't know how many citywide, 6,000? That's the 16 number I've heard. Second question is, I am a strong 17 believer in moving homeless families into the 18 building. Again, how does this fit into your concern? 19 Sometimes they don't have any services. They just 20 come in without support. Social services is not on 21 your list, but support so that that family operates 2.2 in a way that is supportive of the other families, 2.3 and I don't want to chastise people who are homeless. Many of them are wonderful, but it's not easy to 24 25 bring in to this complex so there are a lot of issues

2.2

2.3

that fit into your list that family experiences, and so that's the question that I think should at least be on your agenda.

The other thing is just trash. Oh, my gosh. I don't know. Sometimes there's no place to place it inside the building. It ends up on the street, and then all the other neighbors complain, as you can imagine, and that brings in your pests and all your other issues so I could go on, but those are the...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You may continue.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: A long list, but those seem to fit in. Are you dealing with any of those topics?

NEIL BAROFSKY: I think just to start with the last one, waste management and trash. That is very much an area of focus. One of the things that NYCHA is working on, and again, we were speaking earlier, my colleague was talking about IT roadblocks and overcoming...

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I heard that. Yeah, I heard it.

NEIL BAROFSKY: And so I think that's an area where we're really working with NYCHA is it's in

2.2

the process of launching an inspections program to deal with identifying when trash and other waste issues are there and then automating a response so it automates a work order and so it can be promptly addressed. Trying to bring a little bit of the 21st century into addressing these issues.

I think the other problem that we've heard anecdotally, especially talking to tenant leaders about trash, is part of it is NYCHA being slow sometimes to clean it up, but also part of it is tenant-driven, and I think...

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right.

NEIL BAROFSKY: The issues revolving in lease enforcement and addressing the core issues of certain tenants who are not respectful of their neighbors or of the people working at the developments, it is a thornier and difficult problem.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It is very difficult.

NEIL BAROFSKY: That we are just starting to try to wrap our arms around and work with NYCHA to address, but it is something that progress has to be made because it's not safe, right, and it does

2.2

2.3

frustrate the ability to manage the pest populations and just basic livability, right?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm very aware of the problem. Solutions are more challenging. The chutes are too small. That's the other issue. I could go on and on. I've been doing this work for a very long time. The chutes are too long. Manhattan is particularly difficult because there's very little outside space compared to other developments that have big campuses, etc., etc. so I would love to work with you on it, but I can say, and then the Teamsters are wonderful. You've got to work with them also. That's who puts it out. So, okay, solutions are hard to come by, but we should definitely work on it.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Yes, I was just going to say, we would really welcome input from you and your Staff on any ideas that you have that we can, you know, bring to NYCHA and work with NYCHA because, again, we're all swimming in the same direction on this one.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The vacant apartments, which also produce some of your challenges. What are you doing about them?

2.2

2.3

NEIL BAROFSKY: Currently, we're not doing anything about them, but, you know, again, this is an issue that we will take a close look to see how it impacts our work, whether or not there is a jurisdictional hook for us there.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and the only reason I think, because I assume they have mold in some cases. I know they do, in fact, and they definitely have pests because when you leave an apartment vacant for, I don't know, five years, let's say, you know who's going to move in.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Yeah. It has not yet been an area of our focus, but we thank you for bringing it to our attention.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and then I guess, stretch, is families who move in who don't have enough support. I mean social service. That's not your area. I got it. I know, but the other issue that you mentioned about just people knowing what to do, that is part of your problem so it's something to think about, to put on a side list because it's not happening now, and I'm a big supporter of NYCHA staff. You know, I think Brian Honan walks on water and also the resident leaders, but it's tough, and so

2.2

2.3

vacancies.

you have a hard job. I appreciate you being here, but
I hope you look a little bit bigger or larger than
some of the topics because they all impact. Thank
you.

NEIL BAROFSKY: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Just to follow up,

NEIL BAROFSKY: It's just not something that we focused on, but it's now been raised and we'll take a look at it to see if there is a jurisdictional overlap or hook.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Please.

NEIL BAROFSKY: To your point, if it is a, you know, we'll talk to our pest experts in particular. For example, if vacant apartments are spreading or responsible for increasing a pest infestation, that would obviously be something very much within our area of concern. If there's leaking pipes that are going unattended in a vacant apartment that's impacting other apartments, that would very much be in our area of concern so, again, thank you for bringing this to our attention. It's not an area that we've focused on before, but that's why we like having these hearings because we don't have a

- monopoly on good ideas, and we really do appreciate

 the opportunity of hearing from folks who, frankly,

 have been focused on working on this for a lot longer

 than we have so thank you.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. Don't forget about Manhattan.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Getting back to...
- 9 NEIL BAROFSKY: It's my home, so I can't.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council
- 11 Member Brewer.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- Getting back to RAD-PACT, and I know you said you have a limited jurisdiction over the RAD-PACT converted developments. Will you take note of the conditions of the RAD-PACT developments during your tenure as a monitor?
- NEIL BAROFSKY: You know, part of the work that we're doing in inspecting these and verifying what is going on in the RAD-PACT, so we're sending people out to these developments. I think it's completely understandable that since they're going, they keep their eyes open, and we can ask our inspectors to sort of report back on what they're seeing, and so I think that's an area where we can keep some tabs on the RAD-PACT. Again, if they're

- 2 going to check that a boiler is there, they don't
- 3 have to blind themselves to everything else that's
- 4 going around so we'll take that feedback of having a
- 5 heightened sense of awareness of what's going on, and
- 6 we can let you know what we're seeing.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And if residents are
- 8 | bringing issues to you about the RAD-PACT
- 9 conversions, how are you handling those? Are you just
- 10 | telling them, we have no jurisdiction over them?
- 11 | NEIL BAROFSKY: I want to get back to you
- 12 on this. I'm not aware of a lot of resident
- 13 complaints or concerns being directed towards us in
- 14 | those developments.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Not directed towards
- 16 you.
- NEIL BAROFSKY: What's that? So, I need to
- 18 \parallel get back to you to answer that question of what, I
- 19 don't, we never ignore a resident. We never tell a
- 20 resident, your concern is outside of our
- 21 | jurisdiction, and therefore go find your own way. If
- 22 | it's not something that we can address, we pass it
- 23 off to the right place, whether that's at NYCHA or
- 24 | wherever, but I don't have any specific information

2.2

2.3

2 for you right now because I'm just not aware of what 3 that is.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So in your narrow scope of elevators, heat, lead, if a resident comes to you and makes a complaint, a resident who resides in a RAD-PACT, makes a complaint about installation of an elevator, it being too small, the elevator not having a window when it had a window while it was under NYCHA, how would you address that?

NEIL BAROFSKY: In two ways, right, so the first, going to your point, is this an issue that is covered in the agreement? So, what is NYCHA's responsibility with respect to elevators that are part of developments that go RAD-PACT? We'd check that obligation, check our oversight of that obligation, see whether that issue is within the terms of the agreement and therefore part of our oversight. If the answer is yes, then we would proceed as with any tenant complaint of something that's within our oversight.

If it's not inside our oversight, we would find the right person to address that issue to, whether that's probably someone at NYCHA, maybe it's someone at the management company that's overseeing

2.2

2.3

it, and then we would pass that information off and then typically we would get an update from the resident as to whether that was successful or not successful, but that's basically what we do. If we can't help, we try to get help.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right. So, your role would be to be a referee in a sense or in a situation like that. I mean, really what I want to get to is exactly what role will you play in these situations where there are constant issues coming up and where there are constant complaints of the lack thereof of oversight by NYCHA when it comes to the RAD-PACTs.

MATTHEW CIPOLLA: I think I can give a more specific example. We did have a resident association raise an issue that ultimately related to security and they felt like the more neighborhood and development level NYCHA employees were not being responsive to their concerns, and the help that we were able to provide was bringing together the resident association leaders and more senior leadership at NYCHA to try to make sure that they have the access that they needed to get that to be heard, and so it's going to depend on the issue,

obviously, but oftentimes it's facilitating those
types of communications and that type of attention.

NEIL BAROFSKY: More conduit than referee.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: More conduit than

referee.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NEIL BAROFSKY: But I would say, Chairman, our experience is, and Matt just gave an example, is that the NYCHA leadership is responsive and so if we got, for example, a series of complaints, again, we wouldn't ignore them, right? If there were consistent complaints all around a certain issue, even if it's an issue outside of our jurisdiction, we would make NYCHA leadership aware, hey, we think there's a real problem over here and bring it to their attention, and our experience, and again, it's limited because we've only been on the job for almost eight months now, is that they're responsive. They don't say, hey, monitor, keep your nose out of this, this is not having to do with your... like, we've never got, and again, I just want to say, like, they've been really cooperative, collaborative, and problem-solving, and so I would anticipate if something like this happened, we would be a conduit, but I would also, you know, expect that to the extent NYCHA has

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I do.

25

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You may proceed. Thank

you.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Chair Banks, Members of the Committee on Public Housing, other distinguished Members of the City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, members of the public, good afternoon. I'm Brad Greenburg. I'm NYCHA's Chief Compliance Officer. I'm very pleased to be here and joined by Chief Operating Officer Eva Trimble and other members of NYCHA's team. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss how NYCHA is moving forward with our partners to transform the authority and become a better landlord for the hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers we serve.

I'd like to acknowledge today's testimony from Neil Barofsky and Matthew Cipolla. The Jenner and Block Monitor team is an important partner and together we're working to improve the quality of life for NYCHA families.

On the history of the HUD agreement and the monitorship, NYCHA and the City of New York signed the agreement with HUD in January 2019. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

agreement's overarching goal is to remedy deficient physical conditions in NYCHA properties and deliver for residents across the city. The agreement sets performance targets with specific deadlines for seven high-priority focus areas: lead-based paint, mold, heat, elevators, inspections, pests, and waste management. The work undertaken as part of the agreement is overseen by a third-party federal monitor. We also work every day with our federal partners in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. We have a strong and productive relationship with the monitor, characterized by robust communication and collaboration. The monitor's team participates in regular staff meetings to discuss our efforts, and NYCHA leadership speaks frequently with the monitor team.

Today, I want to walk you through the agreement, give you some examples of how we have changed the way we do business to comply with federal law, and then provide you an update on the work we have been doing across the city to improve physical

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conditions at NYCHA properties. If we can go to the
next slide.

First, as you can see on this slide too, the agreement is far-reaching. It required the installation of a federal monitor, and a key early advancement at the outset of the agreement was the establishment of three new departments and units at NYCHA. The Compliance Department, which I lead, the Environmental Health and Safety Department, and the Quality Assurance Unit. Crucially, these new teams have established programs for monitoring NYCHA's work at its developments, making recommendations for improvements, and then providing technical assistance to the development staff to improve performance. We continue to scale up these teams while using datacentered risk assessments, sampling, and field monitoring to ensure NYCHA's compliance with all local, state, and federal regulations, as well as internal policies and procedures.

We also established a department called Strategy and Innovation to track NYCHA's progress with meeting all the deadlines outlined in the HUD agreement. In support of this work, we built dashboards and other analytical reporting tools for

address these issues.

2.2

2.3

each pillar area. They provide an array of valuable metrics that guide our efforts. When we are not meeting any of the clearly defined data-driven benchmarks, the teams responsible develop plans to

Also, on this slide, you can see that the City of New York agreed to provide an additional 2.2 billion dollars in capital funds over the first 10 years of the agreement. I'd also like to recognize our partners at the State level who have provided more than 1 billion dollars in capital funds since the agreement was signed to help us deliver some of the capital projects I'll discuss today.

As detailed on the next slide, on slide

3, as part of the HUD agreement obligation to create
an organizational plan, NYCHA developed a

transformation plan issued in March 2021 followed by
two implementation plans issued in 2022 and 2023.

These plans include a set of strategies to improve
the resident experience and set the agency on a path
to a stronger future. The plans outline changes to

NYCHA's governance and leadership structure, property
management systems, and central support functions.

For instance, we implemented the neighborhood model,

2.2

2.3

pushing resources and decision-making authority away from the central office and into 29 neighborhood offices across the city. We also overhauled our processes related to repair and work order management, janitorial work schedules and cleanliness, and lease enforcement issues at the development. At the same time, we are making new investments in staff training so we can deliver on our mission.

Now I'd like to take you through some of the progress NYCHA has made since signing the HUD agreement. In order to comply with the agreement and improve performance, NYCHA has redesigned its business process so our staff use handheld devices on our work order system in each of the key areas of focus. As you can see on the next slide on slide 4, this shows you kind of a portion of what we have built in our work order system so we can comply with federal rules around lead-based paint. NYCHA now collects data every day so we can be as protective as possible when it comes to lead-based paint in NYCHA apartments where children live or visit. Our workers' handheld devices, which you see screenshots of on the screen, include information on whether a child lives

2.2

2.3

or visits the apartment where they are working, and it provides surface-by-surface testing results for that specific apartment. We've also built our system to flag apartments where lead-safe work practices are mandatory, including apartments where a child lives. If an apartment is flagged, our workers then see mandatory prompts before beginning work so they adhere to lead-safe work practice requirements when they are disturbing lead-based paint. In this process, they can review and select the components they are working on that are positive for lead.

As you can see on the next slide, on slide 5, a foundation of our work has been to test more than 100 surfaces in each NYCHA apartment, beginning with apartments where children reside so we know exactly which surfaces contain lead-based paint. This information is uploaded into our work order system in a way that is easy to understand so our staff always has access to this critical information. Most importantly, as you can see on slide 6 on the next slide, once we have that precise surface-by-surface testing, NYCHA and its PACT partners can then abate and permanently remove lead-based paint from the surface that tested positive at 0.5 milligrams

2.2

2.3

per square centimeter, which is the strictest standard in the country. To date, NYCHA and its PACT partners have delivered lead-free apartments across 100 percent of the apartments at Williamsburg Houses and more than 87 percent of the apartments at Harlem River Houses. These two properties were named in the original complaint filed against NYCHA. They were built in the 1930s and were the two properties with the most pervasive use of lead-based paint.

NYCHA's TEMPO program has also tested more than 86,000 apartments at the City's standard and determined that 48,000 apartments are negative for lead-based paint. Recently, our TEMPO program hit an important milestone. We've also now abated via removal and delivered 10,000 lead-free apartments that previously tested positive in the public housing portfolio. The work to fully abate and remove lead-based paint from the NYCHA portfolio, which is unquestionably the largest residential lead-based paint abatement program ever undertaken, is happening at a fast pace. We are testing more than 700 apartments a week and abating around 400 apartments a month in our public housing portfolio.

2.2

2.3

The pictures on slide 7 on the next slide show what it takes to deliver a lead-free apartment in some cases. In these cases, we are removing paint down to the substrate and then rebuilding the apartment or the component impacted. This work is critical to ensure the safety of residents and is a core obligation of the agreement.

Slide 8, the next slide, is a snapshot of one portion of our dashboard to report on NYCHA's compliance with the obligation to restore heat to residents. As you can see, we have made progress each year. In the 2021-2022 heat season, we had 564 outages with an average duration of 8.99 hours. The next year, we lowered that to 384 outages with an average duration of 8.22 hours, and last heat season was our best performance yet. We had 303 outages with an average duration of 7.21 hours. Any outage is an issue, but bending this curve and ensuring we respond quickly is essential to ensure residents get the consistent heat service they deserve.

Slide 9 is a snapshot of our dashboard reporting on our progress to replace more than 500 boilers across the NYCHA portfolio, which is also an agreement requirement, and it uses both our capital

2.2

2.3

and PACT programs. To date, our capital team has replaced 128 boilers and forecast that they are on pace to meet the requirement that 297 boilers be replaced by 2026. Our PACT program has already exceeded the goal to replace 200 boilers, having replaced 237 boilers across the program.

Slide 10, the next slide, shows some pictures of these new boiler plants, including a new boiler plant at a PACT property, Boulevard Houses, and new boilers at NYCHA-managed properties, Coney Island Houses and Cypress Hills. In many cases, these new systems are easier for our staff to manage because we also are decoupling hot water systems or providing automated controls. Regardless, we are replacing decades-old, outdated boilers with new heating equipment that provides more reliable service.

Slide 11, the next slide, shows a snapshot of some visualizations we have developed to report on the agreement's requirements related to mold in residents' apartments. The first graphic shows that we have, thanks to our mold buster standard procedure developed with our partners in the BIAS case, sustained a low reoccurrence rate,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ensuring mold is not coming back to a residence apartment soon after work is initially completed. The second graphic shows we are struggling to meet the requirement to remediate mold conditions within 7 or 15 days, depending on the complexity of the case. But what it also shows, when you look at the green bar, is that the number of mold complaints from residents has steadily declined month over month since peaking in 2021. In 2021, we peaked at more than 1,500 mold complaints a month from residence. This year, we are steadily around 600 mold complaints per month, peaking in the more humid summer months at around 900 complaints. Again, any one complaint is a problem, and we need to continue to respond faster and more effectively every day, but bending this curve and reducing the occurrence of mold is critical to protecting residents' health.

The next slide shows pictures of one of the investments we made to help bring down the number of mold complaints by reducing moisture in our buildings. Our investment in new state-of-the-art roof fans and comprehensive cleaning of the lateral ductwork for our bathroom ventilation systems using a HEPA vacuum, which eliminates the dust and debris

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that it collected over many decades. This program

3 impacted more than 8,000 individual roof fans and

4 more than 74,000 apartments with mechanical

5 ventilation. When this work is performed, we then

6 measure airflow in each bathroom to ensure the system

is now working properly.

Slide 13, the next slide, is a snapshot of our progress year over year addressing and reducing the number of elevator no-service conditions. A no-service condition is defined to include situations where a single elevator building or stair hall has no service or all elevators in a bank are out of service. As you can see, these snapshots take data each calendar year up to October 21st and compare it year over year. In 2022, NYCHA had 13,286 no-service conditions at this point in the year with an average duration of 4.67 hours. In 2023, NYCHA had lowered that to 11,431 no-service conditions with an average duration that ticked up to 4.98 hours. But to date, 2024 has been our best performance yet. We've had 9,096 no-service conditions with an average duration of 4.59 hours. Though we do not exactly meet the agreement's requirements here, we are seeing steady progress

2.2

2.3

2 reducing the occurrence of no-service conditions at 3 NYCHA properties.

As you can see on the next slide on slide 14, we also have been replacing elevators to meet the agreement's requirements that 425 elevators be addressed through the capital and PAC programs. To date, we've replaced 141 elevators through our capital program, which is off the pace we need to hit the agreement milestone. However, we should be able to catch up in our forecasting that we will exceed the 275 target by replacing a total of 287 elevators through next year. In the PACT program, we have exceeded the obligation already to convert 150 elevators.

Slide 15, the next slide, shows you some pictures of some of these elevator replacements at both PACT sites and NYCHA-managed sites in Manhattan, the Bronx, and Staten Island. When we deliver new elevators, we're also replacing the outdated equipment in the motor room and installing remote monitoring, helping ensure we will be able to improve our management of these elevators in the future.

Slide 16, the next slide, is a snapshot of one of the reports we have built to report on our

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

progress meeting the pest-related obligations in the agreement. Here, we are obligated to respond to complaints from residents related to public health pest types, including rats, cockroaches, mice, and bedbugs on very prescribed timelines. As you can see, we really struggled with the time-based requirements in 2022 and portions of 2023, but have seen dramatic improvement in the last 18 months. We now respond on average to rat complaints within 2.3 days, and for other pest types within 7.5 days. Because we have improved our use of integrated pest management techniques, we've also seen a reduction in the number of complaints from residents. The number of rat complaints in calendar year 2022 was 2,596; in 2023, it was 2,130; and so far this year, it is 1,137. The number of other pest type complaints in calendar year 2022 was more than 33,000; in 2023, it was reduced to 30,765; and so far this year, it is 21,107.

Slide 17 shows pictures of some of the investments we are also making in our waste infrastructure. Our interior compactors and interior compactor rooms and basements. At NYCHA, these rooms have often been a place where pests harbor because of leaks and the presence of a food source. These rooms

2.2

2.3

are now being rehabilitated to prevent infestations from starting in our residential building spaces where waste is managed.

As you can see on the next slide, we have a lot more work to do, and we know we need to keep making progress to improve physical conditions for residents. We need to continue to remove lead-based paint across the portfolio, deliver elevator and boiler projects, and we must deliver the other projects funded through the City Capital Action Plan. It is also critical that we push forward our work to reform the repair process at NYCHA so residents get the repairs they deserve, and we must continue to work with the federal monitor to ensure we comply with the provisions of the agreement that so far have been difficult to tackle.

NYCHA has been serving as the most critical source of affordable housing, a platform for opportunity for countless New Yorkers over its nearly century-long history. The HUD agreement was a crucial moment in its long history, and we believe it will be a turning point for NYCHA's residents. Strengthening this vital institution so that it can serve the generations to come is at the heart of our mission.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

We have made progress with our transformation efforts, but there is still more work to do. NYCHA will continue moving forward, guided by the HUD agreement and together with the monitor's team and our federal partners, driven by our shared commitment to improve the quality of life for NYCHA families. I thank you all for the opportunity to talk about this work, and thank you to our partners, including the Monitor team, HUD, the U.S. Attorney's Office, and members of the Council for your support. We're happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Brad. Now, I'm going to actually yield the floor to Council Member Brewer to start with the questions before I start mine.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much, and I do have a lot of respect for NYCHA as I hope you know.

I'm going to just follow, because this is about the monitor, some of the same questions. With the trash, the monitor said they're looking at it.

You mentioned it, but it's still a big problem, and some of it is chutes, some of it is no space to put the trash, etc. I would just like you, because we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

talking about pests and those other things, so where are we and where do you think we're going? Then the second, with these vacant apartments. These vacant apartments are really a challenge. They're not only the mold and the other issues, but all the drug dealers are in there. They're homeless in there. I mean, you need some Social Security numbers to see if that person is really supposed to be living in there, etc. so the whole, if we're going to stick with vacant and pests, then that's one thing, but just so you know, I've got a whole bunch of illegal people living there in the vacant apartments. And then just the issue of, again, this is a stretch for today, but when families come in from the shelters, which I think is really important, they need services because they're not getting them so I was just wondering if we could just focus on those three issues.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Yeah, and I'll have Eva Trimble, our Chief Operating Officer, take them.

On waste management, that is a focus area in the agreement. We're obligated to inspect our properties daily in the agreement and also

happens after the Scorecard? Say you get a, I don't

know, a D, I don't know what you get.

24

25

2.2

2.3

they do is they work with the property management team to fix any deficiencies they're seeing. If there's a compactor down, if there's something wrong in the waste yard, they will work with property management team, the waste management department in coordination in order to fix those problems to make sure that the trash stays containerized and stays off the street.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We did early on in the agreement create a waste management department that, like Eva said, does all of our compactor repairs that we can do in-house. They also bought for the first time, NYCHA has its own trucks so we can go do pickups even without Sanitation which we do at some of the curbside locations so if we can't containerize it, at least we can go pick it up and take it off the site which has led to a lot of cleanliness improvements especially in some sites in Brooklyn. It's been an early thing that we did in the agreement to create that department which has been really successful.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: People, not just at NYCHA, everybody puts the garbage next to the

you mentioned a site probably in northern Manhattan

that has significant number of vacancies. I'd like to

24

25

2.2

2.3

remind you that we are preparing the St. Nicholas development for a comprehensive modernization and, as part of that project, we are holding vacancies in the neighborhood and nearby developments in order to facilitate relocations for families and keep them in their neighborhoods so, if you want to send me that example, I'll confirm that, but I suspect that significant number of vacancies is due to the impending relocation of St. Nick's residents as part of the comprehensive modernization program.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I have a different one, but I'll give it to you offline.

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: When you say 50 percent decrease, that's huge. 2,900 move-in, that's fabulous. How many do you still think are vacant? Do you have some number? It's hard to know.

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Right now, we have approximately 5,500 vacant units available. Remember, 60 percent of our move-ins right now are transfers so every time we move someone, another vacant opens so it's very hard to see a significant decrease in that number, but we have significantly increased our production on turnovers

2.2

2.3

over the past year or so, and we're very proud of that. I do also want to address your point about the squatters or illegal occupants.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I've got a long list right here.

We're happy to take that list from you. Actually, we've had a great partnership with NYPD, Lieutenant Barron, and we've done over 150 activities this year, I don't know what the right word is, but where we've actually been working with NYPD to secure apartments, bring them back into NYCHA, make sure they're locked up, and remove those illegal occupants. We're happy to take that list, check it through our system, and then work with NYPD to address this situation.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And then
just finally, give enough support to homeless
families when they move in. Again, that's sort of
beyond the monitor, but it is yours, and problems
ensue, without being specific, when they don't have
services. Is that something that you're working on?

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Our Family Partnerships Department is tasked with providing the tips and referrals.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know they're 3 tasked.

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: The family has to want the help and want the service. Our Property Management Team will call in Family Partnerships if they think a family needs help, but obviously the family has to want that.

Suggestion might be to be in touch, and you have to do it in a sensitive fashion, I understand, with the tenant leadership because they know when something's out of control. Again, you have to be careful, I understand, but it's something to think about, because it's really making people upset in these buildings so you don't want to upset the residents, and also these folks need support. Again, not everybody does, but those who do, I'm making a suggestion for that, because it's going to lead to some of these other issues that you're trying to address. I could go on and on, but I'll stop.

PACT, oh my God, I go to so many RAD-PACT meetings, and it's not perfect. It's a whole bunch of issues

with the RAD-PACT. Monitor can't do it. That's you,
but I just want to back you up, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I could imagine.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: (INAUDIBLE)

problems.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I have some questions that were presented by Council Member Mealy. This particularly deals with the Tapscott Street Rehab, and I know that's a RAD-PACT converted development that's actually going through their phase of construction. Council Member wanted to know, does the developer or the Fairstead require residents to deconstruct their furniture or cut them in half during renovations?

will say the Compliance Department, we do have an oversight role with the PACT program, and we work with the developers every day to resolve issues so, if there's a specific resident that she wants to refer our way, we can definitely work with them.

2.2

2.3

reached out to her office, and they've been saying that their apartment during renovations have dealt with dust and other environmental hazards. What is the practice when NYCHA moves residents to hotels?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: So, this is within the public housing portfolio or the PACT portfolio?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: RAD-PACT.

PACT portfolio, I can tell you about what we do to oversee the lead abatement program, which obviously I think is the dust hazard folks are most worried about, so we have, very early on, we created a monitoring protocol for our PACT properties that looks the exact same as our public housing portfolio on the lead abatement side. So, we have a third-party environmental monitoring firm that we use who goes out and does regular inspections. They do inspections of every element of the lead process so they look at the abatement work, they look at the clearance work and the dust wipes being taken, and they make sure folks are using the right containment, taking dust wipes properly to clear the unit, doing a proper

visual inspection of any dust hazards. I will say,
you know, there's variability, but most of the time
they're finding pretty good results, and then we also
do a remote monitoring as well using documentation.
So, obviously when you do lead abatement at a PACT
site, just like at a public housing unit, you have to
produce federally required documents, including a
clearance report to show that you've cleared the unit
of any dust hazards remaining after the abatement's
complete, and we review a sample of those reports to
make sure that they comply with federal law, have the
proper number of dust wipes taken, and the
methodologies laid out, and that the lab results are
what they should be, and we report on all this
publicly so, if anybody wants to go to our website,
you can see in both programs how we're doing on that

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Well, maybe there's specific instances where there's a lack of oversight on behalf of NYCHA.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: If any particular resident has an issue that they're worried about in terms of lead dust or anything else, we take complaints through our portal in compliance,

2.2

2.3

but also through my email or other folks' email so,
whatever you've got, we're happy to look into it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. I guess the Council Member is on virtually. She'll hopefully tend to those specific situations to you so we can get some resolve on that.

Now, dealing with NYCHA, what work has been done to account for the budget difficulties in regard to complying with the HUD agreement, and how are you prioritizing the work necessary for compliance moving forward?

That's a great question, Council Member.

I mean, some of the work is funded already, so obviously all of the capital work, the elevators and boiler replacements is funded with State and City and Federal money. The lead abatement work is mostly City, but some federal grants we've won too over the last few years are competitive grants so that work is funded in our budget. I'll say that I think Eva will say this too, but our hardest thing to budget for is just day-to-day repairs in people's units and skilled trades and making sure that we can keep up with the physical demands of our infrastructure deteriorating over time, so things like plumbing and painting and

2.2

2.3

plastering and all the work that has to get done in people's apartments, which obviously does impact some of our agreement metrics, and that's where we, I think, face both a substantial capital need and an operational budget need.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Specifically, I know there was a situation in my District with the Louis H. Pink houses where I believe there are new boilers that are being put in to help out the existing boilers, but there's been a pause because of a situation with DOT, and it seems like it has dragged on. I know there's been some back and forth with my office over that particular issue, but when there's a pause like that, does that also bring about a budget difficulty or because of timing, does it add on costs?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We can have our capital folks address that one. I think sometimes there will be increases in costs when there's a pause. That one sounds more like maybe we're having trouble staging the mobiles on the DOT property, which shouldn't really have a huge impact on the project or the project's budget, and we try to build in contingencies in our budgets for all of our

2.2

2.3

capital projects so that if something like that does
happen, we can still fund the project, and obviously
these boiler projects need to get done.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Hopefully we'll get some more feedback on that.

In the final report, the outgoing monitor outlined the need to improve three-pillar areas, governance, ethics with value-based compliance, and accountability. What work has been done thus far to improve these areas, and what plans do you have to foster a culture of responsibility for NYCHA?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

That's a great question. I think that was a very insightful report from the last monitor team. I think we've built a lot in the last few years to try to make sure our staff understand the responsibility of being a NYCHA employee and what it means to be a NYCHA employee and having good values around customer service, but obviously there's a lot more work to do in that area. In these focus areas, we have very specific ways now of identifying staff who are not following the proper protocols, which are using risk-based data analytical tools and an investigation team and making sure we identify folks. I think we do have

2.2

2.3

senior leadership buy-in to hold people accountable who are not doing things properly, but it is a daily task to make sure we rebuild the culture to meet that moment.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Is there anything you're doing to position NYCHA's response more towards a proactive pre-exemption or remediation?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: For

staff accountability?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes.

think we are trying to be more proactive. I'll say we've got a really good effort underway to rebuild our trainings around management skills and customer service and softer skills too and also providing more up-to-date training on just how to adhere to the updated procedures we've built over the last few years. Once our staff is really trained on that and understand what it means to be a NYCHA employee and how you present yourself and how to manage staff, I think we'll be much better off. That is the most proactive thing you can do is to make sure our managers and our employees know the expectations of them through really good training.

responsiveness to those issues?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Residents continue to
3 have issues with long wait times for work orders that
4 are improperly closed, particularly, obviously,
5 tickets being improperly closed. What work is being
6 done to improve NYCHA accountability and its

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Thank you, Council Member. We recognize that the long wait times and closed work tickets is a consistent problem. However, I think over the last year, especially, we've made significant progress here through our work order reform efforts. One of the things we're tracking is how many work orders get closed with work done, so that the ticket actually represents work performed and not just a closed work ticket. Over the past year, 70 percent of our tickets were closed with work done, which was a significant improvement from the prior years and less than 4 percent of tickets are closed due to no access. We're really trying to focus on how we're performing on our work tickets. In addition, we know that our wait times are long for our skilled trades. It's something we're constantly tracking and looking at. Right now, though, our goal was to keep up on what we call

trades are carpenter, plumber, bricklayer, plasterer,

24

2.2

2.3

and that's really the core of our work orders and the repairs that are needed in the apartments.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And you're saying that the 300, the number you just gave, is an accurate number?

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: That's for our main skilled trades, the main number. We do have a small maintenance backlog.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Does that include scenarios where residents have taken off from work and the ticket was closed out? How is that accounted for?

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: The number I gave is the number of open work orders.

Right now, work orders are scheduled through our Neighborhood Planning Units, which is one of our major transformation plan projects to create a Neighborhood Planning Unit so residents can work with a neighborhood planner to schedule their work orders. We understand that sometimes work orders get closed out, but 75 percent of our work orders right now are

2.2

2.3

2 having work done. We're starting to decrease that number.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Specifically, the work orders that are being closed out and no work is being done, what are the numbers on those? How are you tracking? How are you trying to improve those so residents are not taking off from work and losing a valued day of pay at their expense, not NYCHA's expense?

will say in most of these agreement related areas, we have restrictions on staff's ability to do that. You cannot do that for a mold-related work order, for example. You cannot close it with a tenant not home. It's not permitted in the system. Likewise, for extermination tickets, it requires multiple attempts. Same goes for our lead-based payment mediation tickets. You can't close that with a tenant not home. We also have put things in place to prevent you from being able to do that with high priority and critical repairs under the agreement.

In terms of other items that are being done by our skilled trades and our other staff, we do have oversight now. We have teams that go out and

15 years...

check. I have a skilled trades monitoring program
where we go out and see if our skilled trades are
where they're supposed to be, whether or not they're
at the appointment that's been scheduled for them,
whether or not the right person has showed up. We
also have a Quality Assurance Unit that is taking a
sample of work orders that have been closed and
seeing when they go back to that unit if the work was
done, it was done properly, the tenant is satisfied.
We've tried to build some, on the backend, ways of us
tracking our staff and seeing if we see trends among
particular people who have very high rates, for
example, of closing things without work done. A lot
of us have built tools that look at particular
laborers to see if we see higher rates of that, and
then we'll do an investigation of that person. We do
have a lot of things we've developed in the last few
years to try to get at that problem, which I think
all of us hear from residents a lot of frustration.
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Critical repairs are
rolative. If you've been waiting for a paint job for

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: 100 percent.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: These types of repair issues or badly needed repair issues, how are you addressing those? How are you dealing with those? How are you tracking those so these things are not prolonged?

chief operating officer trimble: As I said, we are tracking all of our work orders and our performance for those by trade, by the time, and like I said, right now we're keeping up on annual demand. We know that there's many tickets that we're not getting to, and we understand that sometimes we fail to show up. We have emergencies that happen. Teams get pulled off. One of the things we're starting to work on are additional communication protocols to let residents know when we're not going to show up on time so robocalls, text messages, we're looking at other ideas and ways to...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are you looking at them or, because robocalls, those types of communication should be easy to implement. Knowing the track record of NYCHA, these are things that should have been implemented already instead of studying them or thinking about doing them now.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: We are continuing to work on that because it's real-time data, and we're working on almost 200,000 work orders a month, and so we want to make sure that we're able to...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, it's real-time data when a tenant has had a leak or hasn't had a paint job for the last 10 or 15 years. That's real-time data too.

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Throughout their report, both monitors have cited that NYCHA does not track certain data. With the most recent reporting citing NYCHA's performance in addressing heating failures in individual apartments as an example, how does NYCHA make the decision on what data to track and not track, and what are the resources involved in the data tracking?

to be clear, we do track unit complaints. If a resident calls in a unit heat complaint, it comes in with a very particular kind of coding with a report time, and then we can track the time to close. We

2.2

2.3

also implemented protocols in the last five years so that every time we close a unit complaint, our heating plant technician has to input the temperature and take a temperature reading and attach a picture of their temperature reading, and my Department and our Heating Department both look at all of our HPTs to see if they're doing that properly or not. They don't always, and sometimes we have to engage in discipline around that process, but we do track heat complaints.

The issue in the agreement has been that there are very specific there's a random sample that has to be created for figuring out if you're dipping below the temperature threshold, and the last monitor in NYCHA, we did not focus on building that random sample data set, and we also do still have to figure out exactly how we want to read some of the provisions that have different words used in different places in the agreement that was, in the way it was written, so in some portions of the agreement it uses the word outage, in some places it uses the word shortfall, and so we kind of have never been able to define out where something is applicable to apartments versus buildings, lines, and campuses,

2.2

2.3

but we're looking forward to working with the monitor team to make everyone comfortable with the data and happy with it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How are you dealing with the senior developments? You know, the senior developments tend to get a lot of complaints about the lack of heating or because we know seniors tend to need more heat. How are those complaints monitored? How are they tracked? What type of care is given to those particular developments?

We have a 24/7 heat desk, which was a requirement in the agreement. That 24/7 heat desk has, I encourage people to go check it out, it's got really good data across the entire Authority now about what complaints have come in. It also can identify for you how many seniors live at the property, whether it's a senior designated building, and when they dispatch staff as part of the heat process, including floating staff late at night, they can take that into account if it's a senior building or not.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Does NYCHA have the funds and resources to meet the proposed deadlines in the NYCHA HUD agreement?

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I 3 think we do in key places. Like I said, the lead abatement obligation is funded.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Is the money there? CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I think it's going to be, here's what I'll say is the hardest thing for us is that we have deteriorating physical conditions and an enormous capital need, which the monitor alluded to as well. There are certain provisions in the agreement that will be incredibly difficult to comply with if we don't address the very severe capital needs, including things like our plumbing system so, if we don't address our plumbing systems, which is a probably 15billion-dollar need, it's really difficult to address mold and leak compliance. We've seen this in our PACT portfolio, where once they do address that capital need, they can keep up with the demand on mold and leaks. It's hard for us to keep up with that demand as you mix and match materials in our plumbing systems, and then you are springing a leak somewhere else in the plumbing. I think it's going to be difficult in some places if we don't address the

capital need to get into compliance.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, among the pillar areas which requires greatest amount of additional funding to meet the requirements of the HUD agreement, which pillar areas are best funded against the need?

lead abatement pipeline is probably best funded. That has 770-plus million dollars attached to it just in the first 10 years from the City plus a couple grants we've gotten from HUD that are a few million as well, and then we've got outyear money to do the abatement too and the testing so that's probably our best funded focus area. The boilers and elevators have gotten a lot of State money and City money and Federal money. I'd say those are probably the next most funded.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, NYCHA reported
339 million in unfunded capital needs in 2024 and
included 94 million for the pillar area compliance.
What's NYCHA's plan for addressing the 94 million in
pillar needs that were not funded by the Authority in
2024?

2	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I
3	think those are probably operating dollars, right,
4	not capital dollars?
5	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: These are capital
6	needs.
7	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Unmet
8	capital needs?
9	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes.
LO	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: 94
L1	million dollars? I guess we'll go back and take a
L2	look at those. I'm not sure how they ended up getting
L3	funded.
L4	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Does NYCHA
L5	anticipate a budget deficit for the forthcoming 2025
L6	budget?
L7	CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER TRIMBLE: As you
L8	probably know, our Fiscal Year is a calendar year, so
L9	we're in our budget process right now so I'd have to
20	defer that as we're finalizing our budget to our CFO
21	and our CEO. Our budget will be going to our Board in
22	the next few months for approval, and then we're

happy to sit down and discuss with you what our final

budget position is for next year.

23

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. What support do you think NYCHA needs from the City, State, and Federal government to accomplish the goals set forth in the agreement?

think Neil put it probably best. I mean, mostly resources. I think we've got a lot of good things that we've done in terms of putting processes in place, and we're making good investments. Obviously, the more we scale those investments, the better off we'll be.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Is NYCHA planning to request any waivers from HUD to comply with certain inspections or regulatory requirements to comply with the NYCHA/HUD agreement?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Matt said it, we really do talk every day with HUD and the attorney's office and the monitor. He gave a good example of places where we feel like we have good approaches that maybe we need sign-off from HUD on that could save a little bit of money and also make us operate more efficiently. There have been other things we've worked on around our operating subsidy, other things we've asked HUD for. We have a regular

2.2

2.3

weekly meeting with very senior leadership at HUD to
go over these items, and it's been a very
collaborative process.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. The monitor pointed the need for a supplemental action plan for temperature monitoring devices in all developments. When should that plan be completed?

temperature monitoring, we've tested a bunch of products. We can have Keith come up here and talk about it. Obviously, if you've been in NYCHA properties, it's not so easy to design a temperature monitoring device that's going to work on the cloud across all units and all of our properties and also give you a reliable temperature so it's a really difficult problem for us. We have provided a lot of temperature monitoring through our building management systems, but having just a specific device is challenging.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: When do you plan to complete it?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Keith, you want to talk about the challenges?

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just briefly, could 3 you raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GROSSMAN: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GROSSMAN: To Brad's point, we've been looking at a number of different models. We haven't found one that actually gives us solid return on data. There's wildly inconsistent with our industry standard temperature sensor to the remote sensor. The answer to that question is that we are continuing to work on the monitor with that and do not have a final date for completion of that.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Look forward to that.

What is NYCHA's process to addressing the heating
failures in individual apartments from start to
finish?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GROSSMAN:

There's another thing that we're really looking

forward to working on the monitor with. As part of

2.2

2.3

the agreement, we're required to work on an action plan with them. This is an area that, again, to Brad's point, we didn't focus on that in the previous monitor. This monitor has been working diligently on a process to both utilize our existing data, which I think our quality has gotten a lot better over the last couple of years, and then how to report on that data publicly to show where we are and are not missing the agreement.

will say, just to answer your question about the process, we've worked with the Heating Department in compliance to build a very comprehensive procedure for our staff on what to do when there's a unit complaint. It starts in the boiler room and in the tank room, but the expectation is that also our staff is going to go to every unit that has a complaint, especially if it's during the work day. They have a thermometer that's issued to them by the NYCHA Heating Department that meets standards, and they're supposed to take a temperature check, see if it's above the legal limit or not, check the radiators and see if they're working properly, and there's a specific type of work order we've created for unit

how those systems operate. Every time we're

25

DEPUTY BAKER-RICE: Yes, I do.

25

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

DEPUTY BAKER-RICE: Council Member, to date, we've completed 37 out of the 50 rat slabs.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Does NYCHA believe they have met the requirement? Obviously, you haven't met the requirement of the 50.

DEPUTY BAKER-RICE: No. As you said, we have not.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Does NYCHA believe that they have met the requirement of the 50 percent reduction in rat population, 40 percent reduction in mice, and cockroach reduction in bed bugs?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:
That's separate from the rat slab. There's an obligation in the agreement to set a NYCHA Pest
Infestation Index, which I think is what you're referring to. The last monitor and this monitor are supposed to be who initiates that Infestation Index.
We have been doing yearly inspections since the beginning of the agreement to set a baseline, and then we have to kind of figure out, from a data standpoint, we've had really talented data scientists and urban entomologists working on this from the monitor team for the last five years. I think we are

still talking with them about that baseline and what
it will look like, and also what it will look like to
measure the populations in future years, but it is an
exceedingly complicated data project. That's why when
I showed you earlier, we are encouraged and hope that
it will come to show that in the Population Index
too, we're encouraged by the fact that we do see
fewer complaints from residents about the public
health pest types. Complaints are not a perfect way
to see a population because you might have residents
who are not complaining about pests in their
apartment, and that might be the index unit, for
example, but we're encouraged by the downward trend
in the number of complaints being indicative of a
reduction in the population in NYCHA properties.
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Thank you for

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Thank you for that information.

We're going to move on to RAD-PACT developments. How does NYCHA coordinate with private developers to monitor conditions at apartments as well as comply with the HUD agreement when specified?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: It's a great question. Neal and Matt covered it really well. The PACT program is really a very narrow focus

2 in the agreement itself. The obligations in the 3 agreement are really about delivering elevators and 4 boilers through the PACT program. We actually go way above and beyond the obligations for lead abatement in the PACT program, but there are some lead 6 abatement obligations for PACT in the agreement that we have decided as a policy matter to exceed. We do, 8 like I said earlier, have a really robust plan to monitor their lead abatement compliance. We have a 10 11 third-party environmental inspector who goes out to 12 each of the PACT properties. We look at documentation 13 for each of the PACT properties for a sample of units on a very specific timeline as part of our 14 15 certification process to the federal government. The 16 monitor has done this, and we have a construction 17 team that also goes out to look at the assets that 18 have been delivered and see if they are indeed to 19 spec. I will say, like, outside of the agreement, we 20 do a lot with the PACT program that we've built, 21 especially in the last few years. We have an Asset 2.2 Management Team that regularly gets reporting every 2.3 month from PACT developers in some of these same focus areas about how they're addressing work orders, 24 whether or not they're doing it timely. Our 25

2.2

Department does a lot around mold and leaks at those		
locations, so if we see any unit that's going beyond		
30 days in that report, we have a specific protocol		
for compliance to follow up with the resident first,		
and then the developer to see if we can expedite		
repairs or report on what's happening. We also have a		
process for mold and leaks immediately at closing to		
kind of manage the transition of those tickets		
between NYCHA and the PACT developer and make sure		
nothing falls through the cracks about work that has		
to get done with the resident. There, too, it's very		
resident-focused. We are calling residents and making		
sure that we get pictures from them of the repair		
getting done.		

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Tell me about the 30-day. That's when NYCHA is notified if there's a prolonged repair that's needed after 30 days?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We get a monthly report from the PACT developers that has lots of data about their work orders, and it's also available on our website how they're doing. The 30 days is a very specific obligation that we designed with the BIAS plaintiffs and independent data analysts so, if we have a ticket that comes in

way we work with public housing residents so we have

a complaint forum for people to reach out to us. We

24

2.2

2.3

work actively with them during the construction if they have an issue, and they're happy to investigate anything related to the PACT development.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, if a resident has a particular repair issue that's been going on for months, would that have been a failure of NYCHA to provide oversight or referee that situation?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: It really, you know, it depends on the circumstances, I think.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I will say, if we see something, for example, in our workflow that has exceeded a month or two, we're pretty aggressive with the developer about getting it resolved, and we're happy if people want to refer cases.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I have multiple cases
I would like to refer to you because I'm intrigued
about NYCHA's oversight and how engaged you really
are in the RAD-PACT developments because I'm not
seeing that on the ground, especially in my District
where we have four RAD-PACT conversions, and we're
constantly seeing emails from tenants complaining

happy to come back out.

2.2

2.3

I've gotten complaints as being the Chair of Public
Housing Committee throughout the city in some of the
other RAD-PACT conversions so it's obviously
something that I think NYCHA definitely needs to pay
attention to because I'm not seeing the oversight
that's needed over these private, these conversions
that have taken place. It's guite the opposite.

Does a development status as a candidate for RAD-PACT affect NYCHA's process in choosing developments for improvement and repairs such as lead remediation and, if so, how?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: It really has no impact if it's in the pipeline. What we're going to do, no matter what, we pull a visual assessment universe every year based on whether it was a child in the unit and whether the unit's positive or presumed positive. If you're going to go PACT, we're still going to create that ticket, and we're still going to go and see if there's a paint deficiency and we're still going to remediate it, try to remediate it on a timely basis. Likewise, we try to coordinate testing so we're not overwhelming residents with too many appointments because our PACT

2.2

2.3

that service.

developers test and we test, but we are testing and abating in properties that are on the PACT pipeline just like we do other pipelines. We do try to not have us doing it all at the same time so that residents are not staying home twice for the same service but, either way, we want residents to get

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Tenant outreach. When starting or finishing certain projects such as NYCHA's centralized robocall system for heating outages, how do you notify NYCHA tenants?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:
You're asking if there's an outage, how do we notify
residents?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: So, there's a requirement in the agreement around notifications happening via robocall, which we do. It automatically triggers a robocall once an outage has been confirmed that goes to the residents in the impacted units so sometimes an outage is a line, sometimes it's a building, sometimes it's like certain buildings, so it depends on kind of how we validate the outage, and then that will generate

2.2

robocalls automatically that go out from the system informing them of the outage. Also, once the outage has been restored, we check apartments before we say that it's been restored, but we do want to make sure anybody that had the outage can still put in a ticket if they still feel like they don't have heat service even after we've restored the boiler. So, we have an IVR system that has an outbound call that goes to residents that has them have the opportunity to create another work order if they need to say, hey, I still don't have heat.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And also as far as communicating to residents or the tenants that are in the RAD-PACT converted developments, is the Authority monitoring when those scenarios take place, particular outage in a building, what's the degree of oversight or monitoring or engagement of NYCHA when it comes to the RAD-PACTs?

That's a good question. I'm not sure what the notification requirements are for outages at a PACT

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

23 site. Obviously, they have different data systems. We

24 can look into that.

personally don't know.

2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, who would know?
3	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:
4	Someone from our Real Estate Department and the Asset
5	Management Team, which they're not here today, but we
6	can definitely look into it.
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. How do you
8	verify whether tenants receive the messaging and do
9	you track the participation and responsiveness of the
10	outreach efforts with NYCHA?
11	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We
12	can check data on the robocall and how many people
13	picked it up and how many people answered it, but it
14	is hard to measure sometimes whether people have
15	heard the alert.
16	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Would you say they're
17	effective?
18	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I
19	have a lot of residents that complain to me about how
20	many robocalls they get so I think so. I think a lot
21	of us are trying to study other communications
22	platforms. Some folks use text messaging at NYCHA
23	which maybe is a better one, but we're always open to

feedback.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. Well, thank you so much for your answers and your testimony.

Thank you.

We're going to move to the public comment section.

Guys, order. Order in the Chamber. You'll have your opportunity to give testimony and at that time we'll do so. Thank you.

Okay. I'm now going to open up the hearing for public testimony.

I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that the decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion into the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out the appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When

2.2

2.3

recognized, you will have two minutes to speak at today's hearing, which is the NYCHA's HUD Agreement on the Ongoing Federal Monitorship.

If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@councilnyc.gov or our other email address within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

The first panel we're going to begin with Crystal G, Alixa Cruz, Christina Chaise, Aixa Torres, and Zulay Velazquez.

Thank you. We'll begin with our right.

ALIXA CRUZ: Hi, good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Good afternoon.

ALIXA CRUZ: I'm Alixa Cruz, and I've been a resident for many years, maybe 50-something years. I'm trying to keep Section 9. I wanted to talk about a bill that Nydia Velázquez had presented before. It's the HR307, I think it is. It hasn't been approved, and I think that they should, the Democrats are not wanting it. They are going against the people that are low income and they want to get us out of

2	housing and to give us over to the private developer:
3	and I don't feel safe with the security of NYCHA that
4	I had years ago. I wanted to present the HR307, but
5	it's not passed because we went to (INAUDIBLE)
6	building and we protested and on Twitter he approved
7	it and nothing has been done. None of the delegates,
8	none of the elected officials or him. They have
9	decided to go forward with the privators regardless
10	of renovation or demolishment and it scares me
11	because we're not protected with Section 9 the way we
12	have been since 1930-something and it's very scary.
13	It's very scary for me because I live on low income.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony and we'll definitely take a look at that particular bill.

ALIXA CRUZ: Yeah.

CRYSTAL G: Good afternoon, Mr. Banks. How are you doing?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Good to see you again.

CRYSTAL G: Good to see you too. I have a lot that I would like to say. I know that this HUD amendment was what this meeting was about, but I'm very concerned because I noticed Council Member Ossé, he asked a question as well about tenant leaders not

2 having access to whatever and not being on the HUD 3 CAC, the Community Advisory Council, but that's not 4 true. There are Tenant Association Board Members on 5 the CAC meetings that's on the Board, number one, and, number two, someone had asked, Ms. Avilés, she 6 said DCOP members are on the Community Advisory 8 Council but the guy you was talking to, he said no, that there weren't any, but there are. Okay, you have District Council people on that HUD monitorship. The 10 11 thing I couldn't figure out is because Mr. Ossé even 12 asked how is it that you formed a monitor, I'll wait 13 'til he finishes telling you what he's telling you so you can hear what I'm saying, the brother asked how 14 15 did they form this HUD monitor. I think that was in 16 Trump's era with, I forget the guy that's the surgeon 17 (INAUDIBLE) that's when that Trump monitor thing was 18 formed. Okay, so who appointed these people, why 19 wouldn't they have appointed NYCHA Tenant 20 Associations since it seems like the NYCHA Tenant 21 Associations got all the juice because if you look at 2.2 the bylaws, right, the bylaws governs the 2.3 organization so this is democracy so tenants can't even approach these people. It's as if they're Jesus 24 Christ or something, and can I continue? 25

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds.

CRYSTAL G: I'm very, very concerned how is it, I'm in Washington Houses, and our Tenant Association from 2019 has never presented minutes at our tenant meetings, we haven't met with our new manager, we have never, we don't know what kind of TPA money is being spent, how is the DCOP, the District Council of Presidents, who are the people who approve tenant participation money, how are they approving these people going to conferences in Puerto Rico, for their family days, how is DCOP approving this, how is HUD approving this TPA money, and the tenants, the residents, are not getting this information because we don't get minutes. There are no minutes. The last thing I wanted to say is I don't understand (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 more seconds.

CRYSTAL G: What I wanted to say how do you people, you have these hearings, how do you follow up? Like I know y'all are supposed to be questioning government agencies, City agencies, New York City Housing Authority, I know it's a corporation and it's all 338 developments (INAUDIBLE) but nothing is ever resolved. I thank God that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there's a few tenants here today. I came out because I'm very, very concerned how these tenant associations are operating. We have a DCOP person at this table, and I remember her telling me when she was appointed, she even said I don't even know what I'm doing, I don't even know what my title is so we got folks that don't even know, I personally believe NYCHA is a syndicate, me personally, because and it needs to be investigated. You can follow me, watch me, I don't care. I live there, we pay rent, we paying good rent. How is it that the criminals seem to be working with the cops and the tenants, we have no, my compactor in my building has been out for a year, the compactor. They put it on for a couple of months and then it's out again. How is that possible? The word on the street is the workers don't want to go in the basement because it's rats this long. Well, when was we going to get the memo as tenants. It's not fair. We got managers coming and going. I don't know who our manager is because I speak up for myself.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.

CRYSTAL G: You got guys in the management office that leave out the office with bags this long.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

- 2 They could be taking computers out of the building,
- 3 management office, they could be removing computers,
- 4 they could be taking our documents and sharing them
- 5 | with whoever. Thank you for letting me speak, Mr.
- 6 Banks. I really appreciate that.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I hear your
- 8 frustrations.
- 9 CRYSTAL G: And I hope you'll follow up
- 10 because my mama always told me if you don't have
- 11 | nothing good to say about somebody then don't say
- 12 squat.

- 13 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Don't say squat.
- 14 CRYSTAL G: The last thing, I really need
- 15 | to say this, when they swear, who are they swearing
- 16 | to. There's no Bible so what are you swearing to.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.
- 18 CRYSTAL G: Come on, now. We got to do
- 19 better than this.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
- 21 testimony, ma'am.
- 22 AIXA TORRES: Okay. Good afternoon. I
- 23 | bring to the Council, since this is supposed to be
- 24 about RAD and PACT also, the federal monitor, that
- one of the things, my name is Aixa Torres, and I'm

the President of Alfred E. Smith Houses is that the 2 3 abatement is being done. However, some of the 4 residents, I've been pushing back and what's 5 happening is they say oh, you can opt out. At the advice of the attorney that we have on retainer at 6 7 Alfred E. Smith, he said Aixa, what was the point of 8 going to court and winning this case if the residents are not going to abate the lead in their apartments, right, and so I have spoken to the federal monitor 10 11 about this and I owe him the names of the residents 12 who have opt out because they feel it's too much to 13 move out of their homes, to do whatever it does. On 14 RAD-PACT, and I am one angry person today, when they 15 talk about we're opening, no, there was a resident 16 who was arrested in a RAD meeting that was supposed 17 to be in a public school for illegally trespassing 18 and she was invited by the residents of that 19 development that are going through this process so 20 that they can have, right, a different view or part 21 of what really happens when you go from Section 9 to 2.2 RAD and PACT. I think you need to ask about that. 2.3 There has to be like real, so now residents can't speak up because they're going to be handcuffed and 24 taken out, when they've been invited to a meeting to 25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

defend or to advise or advocate for residents who are requesting support and help because they feel they're not getting it from NYCHA, and since it happened in my district, right?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We are inquiring about that.

AIXA TORRES: Okay, yeah, I didn't want to mention the resident's name because she happens to be a resident...

 $\label{eq:chairperson banks: We're very familiar} % \end{substitute} % % \end{substitute} %$

AIXA TORRES: But I just didn't and I don't want to mention if you ask me off, I will tell you the name of the person and I will tell you the name of the development but I am and, for the record, I have family, blood, that lives in that development who are clueless about how this is being handled with the RAD and the PACT. Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: Zulay Velazquez from

Jacob Riis. So, to add to that day, what's going on
that person, it was at Riis and the person was
arrested, and the reason why we invited her was

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

exactly what Ms. Torres said but, in addition to that, it's been a very unfair process at Riis because we're supposed to get a vote and it's supposed to be transparent and they say they're doing this resident engagement but they're not. All they're doing is showing, they're doing like this fake façade. They don't let you ask questions or they don't let the people who are vocal ask questions so, for an example, there was a meeting last week. They was letting every other resident ask questions and so I walked in the room and then they wouldn't let me ask a question, and everyone deserves to hear the answer because they're not being transparent, but we're supposed to get a vote and then somehow there's something wrong with the bylaws, they're switching the vote over to March but so is the RAD-PACT vote supposedly but how do we have a developer is essence, so we found that out last week but how is that possible if we haven't even voted, number one. Number two, who made that decision because in the cover letters, it says that they would include the members and the residents in every single step, and they have not done that. All they're doing, they'll pretend and they'll take pictures and like, in the last two

2.2

2.3

meetings, they have these little dots they give people. If you like the program, you put green. If you don't like it, put orange. They were giving the elderly people and the Asian people only the green dots so what they're trying to do is they're trying to give this illusion like, oh, the residents voted for that, the residents did this, but that's a lie. We still have questions. The subcommittee doesn't even meet regularly, and the questions and concerns that they have are not even being addressed. It's a problem.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 more seconds.

TULAY VELAZQUEZ: And I want to say that the park at Riis was put up because of something for Adams and we still have heat coming up from the ground that should have been corrected before they put up that park or the fence should have went down because if those pipes explode, there's going to be asbestos all over that playground and that basketball court and it's getting worse by the day. It's really scary. If you walk past there, not only does it smell, but the heat is under the ground so far that the sidewalk is cracking so I mean, like are we going to cave in. They're not fixing it and they were

2	supposed to fix it months ago and they haven't. I
3	could keep going but it's just really upsetting and
4	the monitor in regards to the water for the arsenic
5	scare, it was a crisis, and we have well over 40, 50
6	residents with proof from different facilities.
7	different hospitals. There's no way that a lab could
8	say this was a mistake when you have 50, 40 residents
9	reporting from three or four different hospitals.
10	It's impossible but, guess what, the monitor is not
11	even providing water. We're showering in the filthy
12	water. I have a piece of metal stuck in my navel
13	because I took a bath and I'm allergic, I have
14	contact dermatitis. Who's going to take this metal
15	out? It's an exploratory procedure. What's going to
16	happen to me? I could die just because I need to take
17	out a piece of metal. Like this is real serious and
18	it's the East Coastal Resilience Project because they
19	say it's for our sewer but it's not. They're building
20	for the East Coastal Resilience and they're killing
21	us. That's the bottom line.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I hear your frustrations. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for your advocacy.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

The next panel will be Darren Sealey,
Lucy Newman, Caesar Godwall, Christopher Leon
Johnson, and Siide Fiedel.

I'm going to start to my left.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: How long do we

have?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Two minutes.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Two minutes.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Mr. Johnson.

My name is Christopher Leon Johnson. Thank you, Chair Banks, for having this hearing today. I heard a lot from the federal monitor, two co-federal monitors about the HUD situation. I understand this was done during the Trump era and it's real good. We need this accountability from the government to make sure that NYCHA is doing their job, but the power really lies with the tenants, the power really lies with all these tenants of NYCHA. These tenants of NYCHA have to come together and unite as one and fight back against what's happening to them, and this starts

with making sure that you don't align with

organizations that are down with CODA LES, like the

organization that's called Residents to Preserve

testimony.

Public Housing. That's one of the big organizations
that's allegedly so-called fighting for the tenants,
but they're not. Their lead person, Marquise Jenkins,
is a member of CODA LES. CODA LES gets a lot of money
from developers. How is a person that is a district
leader, that is part of a political club that is,
only he's a district leader because of that political
club with the help of Paul Newell, is advocating for
the NYCHA tenants. The people of NYCHA got to get
together, but I tell people this, you guys got to
come together and tell Marquise Jenkins to get out
the way. Marquise Jenkins is down with Carlina
Rivera. Carlina Rivera is a YIMBY politician, a YIMBY
politician act like she's fighting for the people
with this. It's unrealistic so people got to stand
up. You can have all this accountability you want
but, like I said, the power falls with the tenants
and people got to stop listen to the Residents to
Preserve Public Housing and Marquise Jenkins, so you
tenants got to come together, get all these frauds
out the way. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your

You may start your testimony.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAESAR GODWALL: Hello, Mr. Banks. How are you today?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I'm good. Thank you.

CAESAR GODWALL: Great. Hello, dear friends and neighbors. My name is Caesar Godwall. I proudly call Elliott Chelsea my home. Today, I stand before you to address an urgent and critical issue, the proposed RAD-PACT project at Elliott Chelsea Fulton Houses. This initiative spearheaded by foreign contractors poses a grave threat to the stability and well-being of our NYCHA residents. We must speak out now against the potential displacement of our neighbors and the ongoing injustices we are facing. The developer behind the project, Related Companies led by CEO Steve Ross, is poised to exploit our community in ways that are truly alarming. What began as a seemingly benign initiative has revealed itself to be a calculated strategy aimed at destabilizing, confusing, and ultimately displacing residents, citizens. This project was framed as a solution to our housing needs, but let me be clear, it is a façade, an elaborate hoax designed to serve the interests of developers, not the residents of Elliott Chelsea. Let's reflect on what happened on March 23.

2	Jerry Nadler who does not live in NYCHA collaborated
3	with Mayor Adams and certain tenant association
4	leaders to manipulate our voices. They conspired
5	behind closed doors attempting to convince us that
6	this RAD-PACT project was what we wanted. In reality,
7	it was a plan to demolish our homes. The so-called
8	resident survey they conducted claimed that 84
9	percent of residents support keeping their homes.
10	Let's not be fooled. The 16 percent that voted for
11	the project is a significant vote of no confidence.
12	This survey was merely a façade designed to create a
13	false sense of consensus. The truth is that a
14	substantial majority of us vehemently oppose this
15	project. Moreover, related companies has taken over
16	our tenant association…
17	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it
18	up.
19	CAESAR GODWALL: One more minute.
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it
21	up.
22	CAESAR GODWALL: Facilities, using them as

business... Moreover, related companies have taken over our tenant association facilities using them as business offices without any compensation. This is a

2	blatant attempt to silence us and undermine our
3	community engagement. Instead of supporting our
4	efforts to organize, management has actively worked
5	against us. They've removed our flyers, vandalized
6	our properties, and harassed residents simply for
7	trying to communicate with one another. This is
8	nothing short of an assault on our voices and our
9	rights and, if that's not enough, we are currently
10	facing contractor fraud regarding lead paint
11	inspections in my building. My building constructed
12	in 1962 never had lead paint. Yet, we are being
13	threatened with unnecessary inspections. In fact, in
14	2021, my home was tested
15	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
16	testimony, sir.
17	CAESAR GODWALL: I need to finish. We face
18	intimidation tactics
19	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
20	testimony.
21	CAESAR GODWALL: Threatening to break down
22	doors and forcibly change locks.
23	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your

testimony, sir.

manuals were found that had directed staff to lie to

2.2

2.3

inspectors, and Mr. Caban, an 84-year-old senior in Boston Road Plaza, had been killed when stepping into an elevator in his apartment. 2024, definitely there's progress. We heard about some of it today. As you know, they've been putting some systems in place throughout NYCHA including a Compliance Unit and some other units that were required under the monitorship, and there has been widespread lead and mold abatement work.

Ne do remain extremely concerned about a number of areas that we see daily through our clients that come to us and that we work with. Just to name a few, property management still does continue to be problematic. NYCHA mentioned earlier about the need for cultural change and change in attitude and, obviously, it's still slow coming and we see that on an individual basis for our clients who are treated pretty poorly when they try and get basic things addressed at their property management offices. We would call on there to be more training for staff on the ground so that they are actually aware of the procedures and policies that they're obligated to follow. In addition to that, there is huge IT and tech problems that we see. The CCC which is the 718-

2.2

2	707 number that residents have to call is very, very
3	problematic. People wait ages to get their calls
4	answered. In addition to that, there's been a huge
5	push to go on to digital and self-service portals. We
6	have huge difficulties uploading documents on our
7	clients' behalf and we know that many people

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 more seconds to wrap it up.

LUCY NEWMAN: We know that many people are unable to do that but then they're unable to get the help that they're seeking from the CCC. Building safety and security remains a concern. You'll find every time you go to NYCHA, the doors are open. Policing and over-policing of these communities is not a way to provide safety so we urge people to focus at NYCHA on making these buildings safe and secure. In addition to that, developments that are undergoing... can you just please stop saying these offensive things to me? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Can you remove him, please?

LUCY NEWMAN: We know that many developments that are... $% \label{eq:local_eq}$

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Excuse me, ma'am. Hold on.

4 LUCY NEWMAN: I'll be very quick.
5 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. You may

proceed.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LUCY NEWMAN: All residents at NYCHA deserve and are entitled to live in safe and habitable homes. Just because a development is undergoing a PACT conversion or is facing a vote under the Trust Legislation does not mean that NYCHA can step away from taking care of those buildings as a landlord is obligated to do under the law so we urge NYCHA to continue looking after those developments. In addition to that, I do think that we should make sure that the City keeps looking at and maybe proposes a cap on the cost that are being spent by the monitor. You'll see that Bart Schwartz's office I think racked up about 70 million dollars of fees and I think that that's an important area that we should continue to look at. We urge the monitor and the City to continue fighting to get additional funding for public housing at a federal and a state level, and we know that the City stepped up an enormous amount of money under this agreement, but we

2.2

2.3

2 urge them to do more. I also implore the Committee to 3 have a hearing...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

LUCY NEWMAN: Elliot Chelsea. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

You may proceed.

DARREN SEALEY: Good afternoon, Chair

Banks and esteemed Members of the Committee on Public

Housing. My name is Darren Sealey, and I serve as the

Program Manager for the Housing Resource Center at

the Red Hook Community Justice Center, an initiative

of the Center for Justice Innovation. Thank you for

the opportunity to testify today on behalf of our

work supporting NYCHA residents.

Across the ,Center we work to prevent evictions, respond to hazardous repair conditions, and increase tenant financial and legal well-being. The Center often serves as a bridge between the court system, city agencies, legal service providers, and communities. Our neighborhood-based work supports tenants taking actions on repairs, rent and lease issues in NYCHA. Today, I would like to tell you two

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

critical issues we see daily in our court and community stemming from challenges with leaks and asbestos abatement. Since the start of the year, 85 housing repair cases have been filed in our housing court by NYCHA residents of the Red Hook houses, many arising from leaks. Many of these cases display the inefficiencies of an agency that is not well enough equipped to provide habitable housing for its tenants. An apartment is your home, it's where you raise your family, it's where you share those precious moments together. We all deserve to feel safe in our own homes. Residents should not have to live with the physical, financial, and emotional consequences of reoccurring leaks and mold with no permanent solution in sight. This is a reality for many housing residents It's far too common for residents to submit work order tickets and then file housing repair cases in court when those work order tickets go unaddressed. These are reoccurring issues on a daily basis. These issues are also not isolated just to Red Hook. NYCHA needs to engage in small capital plans to resolve leak issues. Furthermore, while the new adaption of Healthy Homes as part of NYCHA's transformation plan is good progress and a

remediation timelines for...

2.2

2.3

move in the right direction, we have seen shortfalls in the approach in dealing with asbestos abatements being conducted. Asbestos abatements are long-standing repair issues on the Red Hook Housing Court docket. Tenant frequently receive prolonged

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it up.

DARREN SEALEY: Asbestos abatements for floor tiles. Considering that the mission of Healthy Homes is to eliminate dangerous health hazards, the program should receive necessary resources to conduct their work expeditiously. Both of the issues we highlighted here today reflect the need for a centralized strategy and more targeted resources to resolve pressing health issues residents are living in.

On a more positive note, we have seen how the neighborhood model has provided increased transparency to tenants around skilled trade tickets and minor repair issues Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

2 You may proceed.

1

3 SADIE GILL-FREDERICK: Hi, Chairman Banks. 4 My name is Sadie Gill-Frederick, and I'm a Riis 5 resident. I just want to give you an overall experience of, like a walk in my life in terms of 6 7 being in the development. So, there's dump trucks with construction debris uncovered, water shut off 8 without notice, it's cloudy brown or yellow water, and we're told it's okay to resume using it. There's 10 11 gas odors or leaks that have been reported to the 12 point where there are gas specialist trucks parked on 13 site as a regular occurrence. There's asbestos 14 there's lead, there's arsenic. There's a constant 15 hazard at Riis and other developments. Work orders are created but non-existent. When you follow up, 16 17 you're told there's no vendor or no contract. The 18 HA's lose your folder numerous times when it comes 19 time for recertification or there's new HA's who are 20 not familiar with the development or in terms of the 21 rules and regulations, and they constantly violate 2.2 the non-64 regs and our First Amendment rights for 2.3 quality of life, clean water, and clean air. In regards to the PACT meeting that happened last week, 24 I was there the first day and I cited the Sunrise Law 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which is I should be able to come into a location for any public event and speak my mind freely but I was identified, they ID'd me outside the school as well as inside the school. A NYCHA worker mentioned to me why they have you sign up twice, why they ID'ing you both times, and it was so unnecessary. Also, the other thing is I was diagnosed with breast cancer. I know this is Breast Cancer Awareness Month, and I was diagnosed on my 40th birthday, okay, and I took genetic testing nobody on my mother or my father's side has cancer. I was told it was environmental and then there's also a 23-year-old, one of my neighbors, their son passed, Justice for Gavin. He had to get double lung transplant. Just even thinking about it, it hurts. At the time when I was diagnosed, I didn't believe it. I just thought that it was me.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it up.

SADIE GILL-FREDERICK: I appreciate that, thank you, and then also when we had the arsenic (INAUDIBLE), which happened, they found lead in my daughter's system. They don't know if it was going in or it was going out her system. And then when they did the lead abatement last year, I had video footage

25

2 where you could see them taking showers in my 3 apartment during a live lead abatement and stuff 4 being stolen out of my apartment where Dan Green, Mr. Healthy Homes, has said that they will not believe you, those 15 second videos, and there's an 6 environmental attorney that I'm working with, Joel 8 Kupferman, who's aware as well so I'll be in business. You will hear my name more than once and you also will get whatever write-ups that I have in 10 11 terms of emails where I got from one of their staff 12 members who told me because of the leaks in my 13 apartment because it faces the East River, that there 14 is no contract or vendor, and I got it in writing, an 15 email from them stating that the whole I side, but 16 then I was told that it's everybody in Riis 17 Apartments that's facing the East River when there's 18 a rain, and then we got these like two ton, I want to 19 say, like a big oh my god, it's like the tank on top 20 of the roof so, when we had the earthquake, we 21 couldn't distinguish between the regular cracks in 2.2 the building versus the new cracks in the building, 2.3 and they're expecting us to report to them as if we work for them when actually they worked for us. 24

They're supposed to help us, and to sit here and say

you're with Healthy Homes, well obviously not,
because when I had my issue and other residents had
their issues, they disregarded it as if we're not
even human. It's like, oh, well pick a green sticker
or a red sticker. I got pictures of that too, where
you can see slides 1 through 15 and they bypassed 1
through 4 and went 5 to 15, and when I approached
Jonathan and Simon who are the consultants for PACT,
I said, is this a vote, and they said, no, this is
not a vote, and I said, because what do you need the
dots for, how can you distinguish between the dots
that the residents are putting up versus what the
NYCHA or whoever just randomly walks up in there, and
they're like well, we just need it for data, data for
what? To say that this is what we want, you know, but
they said it's not a vote. I got that on recording
too. So yeah, so if they come after me it's going to
be in the clouds at this point because I'm tired of
them constantly treating us as if we're subpar
because we live in NYCHA, as if we're dumb. Like kids
would do a sticker saying green I like and red I
don't like.

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 145 2 SADIE GILL-FREDERICK: Can you imagine if 3 we had a vote in a presidential like that? Oh my god. 4 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I hear your 5 frustrations. SADIE GILL-FREDERICK: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. 8 9 We're going to have the next panel, Judy Wade, Alica Griggs, and Manuel Martinez. 10 11 Starting to my left, you may proceed. 12 JUDY WADE: Okay, hi. My name is Judy 13 Wade, and I live in Jacob Riis Houses. Okay, right 14 now, the situation with this PACT program, our TA 15 board members, they're not supporting the tenants. 16

Wade, and I live in Jacob Riis Houses. Okay, right now, the situation with this PACT program, our TA board members, they're not supporting the tenants. They're insubordinate. When we ask questions, they evade the questions. When it's time for questioning, they say, oh, we're going to do it later on, and they evade the questions, they don't answer Okay, this PACT program here, they're just pushing over. You got a lot of people out here. Asians in the area and Puerto Ricans people that don't understand what's going on. They're preying on the seniors. Just like she said with them little dots, they got them going around with them dots and I'm like what is that? You

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 know, this is how they're doing their vote so we 3 asked about the vote for the RAD-PACT program. Well, 4 they said the TA is going to vote for it. I said no the H-E-double-L they won't, okay, and they came and 5 approached me and I told myself I'll get legal 6 7 assistance. I'm not dealing with this. You all have 8 to make this vote more transparent. Okay, so now when I ask him again, he said, oh, now they got to push the vote back, and the thing is with this vote thing, 10 11 to me, this seems like a Ponzi scheme because they 12 just came in out of nowhere with this PACT program, nobody knew nothing about it They didn't come and ask 13 us what we wanted. They just pushed and bullied their 14 15 way up in there, you know, and we don't want what 16 they're doing because what I see here is that they 17 gave us to housing to them, and housing is pawning us 18 off because as they keep giving the money for housing 19 to these PACT people. They're raising the rent. They 20 say they won't do it, but they will. They'll find ways to get us out of here and build them into 21 condominiums. These pictures that they're showing us 2.2 2.3 of how they're going to redecorate and do everything, I got pictures of that too, this is all kind of, he 24 said resident, I said that's the key word... 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 more seconds to 3 wrap up.

JUDY WADE: Okay, they're going to turn this, they want everybody out of here into condominiums. I live in a one-bedroom apartment. Now they're talking about they're going to move me to a studio. No, you know, and then you got health problems with the work that they'll be doing, the way that they're doing it. I have lupus. I got lupus when I first moved in here. Okay, the thing I don't understand, it took two weeks before, I could move into the apartment for the person to come and inspect. Okay, they let me move in. What did the inspector do? The mold was there, the lead was there, all of that's there. It took two weeks for me to move in for the inspector, but they let me move in to that so now I'm sick as hell, you got a lot of people that got sicker. Then they got this generator on the roof. They didn't ask nobody about and tell people what it's going to do. I said it's going to make noise. Every night it's like being a human microwave, hmm, hmm, all day. The first two floors, this is what's going on. They don't care about the tenants. They're not asking us what we want. They're not working with

2.2

2.3

the tenants so, you know, that's just stress. Every day you got to wake up stressed, worrying about are they going to take you out your home, are you going to be put in the streets? I've been there, because I lived in Brooklyn when they did it to some place where I was living. They said they're going to put us up. They set us out in the street and said Red Cross, we were out in the cold and the rain, and I refuse to be homeless again because these people want to steal our lives and our homes. These are high property organizations.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.

Thank you for your testimony. I hear your frustration and your concerns and your fears.

Next. You may proceed, ma'am.

ALICA GRIGGS: Good afternoon, Council.

This is my son, Michael Gavin Centron. You may not be able to see from there, but this is my only child. He passed away September 8th of 2022 with the whole debacle of arsenic going on. It is in fact true, even though they denied it and they redacted it the same day my son passed away in the hospital, and they evaded any and all tests to prove it, but I know something went wrong because there are serious

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

environmental hazards that I did not know about and I feel completely blindsided as well as other tenants, I'm sure. As far as Jacob Riis House standing over an old manufacturing gas plant site, that's emitting coal tar, volatile chemicals, benzene, toluene, silica dust, everything. We brought it to the attention of the environmental lawyer, Joel Kupferman. We even scoped out the DEC, and they weren't following protocol when we brought it to their attention of the steam intruding into the apartments. Other people have also passed away. My son passed away. He needed a double lung transplant. He had pulmonary fibrosis, which is nearly unheard of for anyone in that age category, and that's because of the asbestos, the lead, the mold, everything. It's a horrible environment that I didn't think this type of thing still existed, you know, like why is this stuff even there? Asbestos was banned in 1990. They came into my apartment because I had to argue with them to test. They ran no test. Back in 2021 when my son was sick and we didn't know why, I called the federal monitor and spoke with Joe Jaffe and a whole bunch of people over there. We even went down to their office in Midtown to show them the water and

- 2 how tainted it was and my son drank that water and,
- 3 while he was sick, I was giving him that water,
- 4 making him tea, thinking I'm making him better and
- 5 August the 3rd, 4th, he went into the hospital to get
- 6 better, came back on August 12th, went back to the
- 7 25th of August...
 - CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it
- 9 up.

- JUDY WADE: And he needed 15 liters of
- 11 | oxygen. They were doing all this construction around
- 12 our building, not following protocol, and the EMS
- 13 | couldn't even get in and he was in respiratory
- 14 | failure. I mean, this is absolutely ridiculous and I
- 15 | think in a court of law, they're not going to hold me
- 16 | liable to pay them anything, I'm not paying them one
- 17 | red cent. All of our civil rights have been violated.
- 18 | I do not agree and we got granted a transfer a year
- 19 ago and they haven't done anything. Management's not
- 20 doing anything. They're sending bogus notices.
- 21 They're not taking me to court. They're not doing
- 22 anything. It doesn't make sense that my son got this
- 23 | sick. I had a rare skin sarcoma. I had breast cancer.
- 24 Three years back-to-back, this is what I dealt with.
- 25 | '18, '19, '20 through '22, my son was sick and passed

- away and when we went to the town hall meeting on the

 9th, it made sense. I told my son, I promised him I

 will get to the bottom of this and I did and I know

 damn well that this residence where I live, I didn't

 always live in NYCHA, made my son deathly ill. It

 targets people. It compromises DNA. It targets

 different organs. I've educated myself and done my

 research. Thank you for listening.
 - CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Sorry for your loss, ma'am.
- 12 JUDY WADE: Thank you.

11

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 13 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And I hear your 14 frustration. Thank you for your testimony.
- JUDY WADE: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Mr. Martinez.
 - MANUEL MARTINEZ: Good afternoon, Council
 Member and Public Housing Committee. Listening to the
 testimonies today and throughout the years that I've
 done my advocacy, my name is Manny Martinez. I'm the
 Resident Council President of South Jamaica Houses.
 I'm also the Queen's South District Chair, which is
 an alliance of resident councils from Far Rockaway to
 South Jamaica. Today's meeting was about the Federal
 Monitor, about the 2019 agreement. In that 2019

2 agreement, Line 53 is very crucial because it's the 3 only component in that agreement that speaks to the 4 residents, right, indirectly in the sense that 5 compliance is an issue. The NYCHA Compliance Department was created because of Line 53 which it 6 mandated for that Compliance Department to be created and to make sure that factual statements come from 8 NYCHA given the lies that were given out with the lead scandal with Shola Olatoye and also they have to 10 11 make sure that NYCHA is in accordance with local, federal and state laws. Currently, right now, we have 12 13 a challenge. We have several resident councils who 14 have put a challenge, a dispute within our rights as 15 resident councils, as representatives to demand 16 recognition of the Citywide Council of Presidents. We 17 have also demonstrated evidence to NYCHA that with 18 NYCHA's own documentation that they created the 19 Citywide Council of Presidents, that the Citywide 20 Council of Presidents is a creation of the Housing 21 Authority which violates the resident organizing rights that we have as public housing residents. A 2.2 2.3 lot of people don't realize that today's public housing comes from Civil Rights era amendments, the 24 Brooke Amendment in 1969, that is supposed to counter 25

these experiences that we still continue here. When we have the Housing Authority that is creating resident organizations to silence us and also, if I

5 may.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

your testimony.

6 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap it 7 up.

MANUEL MARTINEZ: To silence us and to also manipulate our rights, to diminish our voice and have our population, because NYCHA's population is the largest public housing Black and Hispanic population in the country, in the Western Hemisphere of Earth, to have them manipulate elections, to create resident organizations and then to not respond to our disputes because they can't, I guess for over a year, that's a problem. We're asking for the Public Housing Committee to take a look at this. I've sent some documents to your office, Council Member, that's evidencing this and I have some more. We also have issues with HUD, where HUD now is not following their own clearance procedures as they communicate to the public and NYCHA is using that to their advantage. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, panel, for

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are now going to proceed to our
3 virtual testimony.

The first person is Cynthia Tibbs.

CYNTHIA TIBBS: Good afternoon, yes. My name is Cynthia Tibbs. I'm the TA President of the Westside Urban Renewal Brownstones. We are located on the Upper Westside, 36 buildings. I'm also the second Vice Chair of Manhattan South DCOP. Let's make it very clear, this is a monitor's meeting. We have yet to even get an introductory letter from the monitor's office letting us know who they are, who's in charge of what borough, and how we can contact them. I don't know if this is being done maliciously because they went into a contract with NYCHA, from what I'm told, where they're not getting paid for the first year that they sit on this panel, but they have not worked with us at all. They only have two TA Presidents that sit on the round table, so the only communication they're getting are from those two, and that does nothing for the rest of us. This nonsense has got to stop. They talk about they're working great with NYCHA. Well, I guess they are because they're lying today as much as NYCHA lied under oath. No one takes this oath thing you guys do seriously. We're the ones

that work every day with our residents. We are not
paid. NYCHA's so busy promoting themselves into
higher positions that pay more money, but yet you
can't get through to a call center for over an hour
because they laid off half the call center because
they're so busy going automated. Regarding RAD and
PACT, once they turn all these cheap cosmetic
upgrades over to the private developers, they in turn
have nothing to do with NYCHA residents, and they
have no oversight over the PACT developments. I have
been to meetings where residents have begged to come
back to NYCHA only to be told no because there's no
representation for NYCHA there, and if I sound
frustrated, it's because I only get two minutes to
speak when NYCHA gets to come up in there with their
slides and their pretty pictures and swear to you
that, oh, it's this reason and that reason. They're
looking

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time is expired.

CYNTHIA TIBBS: For their numbers to go down. They're looking looking for their numbers to go down.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Ms. Tibbs,
3 for your testimony. Thank you, Ms. Tibbs, for your
4 testimony.

Dana Elden.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

DANA ELDEN: Good afternoon, Chairman Banks, Council Members, monitors, NYCHA officials, and fellow resident leaders. My name is Dana Elden, and I'm President of St. Mary's Park Houses. It's a pleasure to finally address the Federal Monitor Group. I have been attempting to reach them since February, oh, shit, I'm sorry. I've been attempting to reach them since February 2024. In the past, Federal Monitor Board Schwartz held monthly meetings with the different boroughs that enabled us to have a conversation and sometimes corrections noted. I personally have emailed them numerous times since their beginning and received no response other than to say that they received my email. We are mixed finance development with 1,007 apartments and 210 Section 8 apartments. When you try to reach the call center, we are getting no responses. For me, the definite issue with our heating system, which I indicated to them that zone valves should be added to

our system, the average cost of a zone valve is 25 to
100 dollars. It took almost a year for them to be
installed. Consequently, since their installation,
they have not worked properly for several reasons.
The zone valves are supposed to be attached to a
thermostat and a monitor control panel for the
temperature. If it is a fluctuating temperature, ther
the control panel gets the signal to turn off or turn
on the heat. This past weekend, Friday to Monday
morning, the temperatures went from 48 to 54 degrees
in the evenings and beyond. During this period, I
contacted heating supervisory staff a couple of times
for assistance to know if their apartments here were
cold. I received 18 complaints from residents who
first attempted to use my NYCHA

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

DANA ELDEN: Can I please finish? The (INAUDIBLE) did not work.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds. Please wrap it up.

DANA ELDEN: The statement was posted untrue that we were offline and the call center could not be contacted. It was my concern that the

2	residents get their heat. I then called Mr. Green,
3	who is present there, and so the whole weekend went
4	without heat until Monday morning at 9:30 a.m. I am a
5	cancer patient, sir, and my health is very important
6	to me and so freezing in my bed with clothes on was
7	not my idea of any kind of service that I'm deserving
8	of. As far as I'm concerned, NYCHA has not fulfilled
9	their promise to give us the service that we need.
10	The federal monitor needs to open up the door and
11	speak to some of us out here in the field who are
12	working hard every day for no pay to serve our
13	communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

We have Christina Chaise.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: Hi. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We can hear you, Miss

20 Chaise.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: Okay. Thank you. Hi.

Good afternoon. My name is Christina Chaise. I am a resident at Ravenswood Houses where I sit on the Resident Association Board as Second Vice President.

I guess in a nutshell, we still need transparency

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

over the contracted labor and oversight. I'm sorry about the music in the background. I'm at a Halloween party for the kids in our neighborhood. I'm really sad that our date was chosen for Halloween because many of us TA members are holding down events in our community. But anyway, we still need transparency over contracted labor and oversight over the quality of work, particularly when it comes to debris from lead and asbestos abatement. Tenants have witnessed sloppy jobs that included debris in the hallways, elevators, and outside of the building, including myself. As mentioned earlier, although NYCHA has done a better job at responding to elevator outages, the outages are all too frequent. For example, I remember an outage last January in 2023 that resulted in me having to carry my newborn son in a heavy car seat down six flights of stairs after having a cesarean section. That's major abdominal surgery just a few days before against medical advisement of no lifting. I'm not sure what irreparable damage I've done to myself simply for taking my son to a doctor's appointment, but this is just an example of the everyday indignities we have to endure and the indirect ways repair needs impact our health. We need

2.2

2.3

a system for preventative maintenance and repair, not just responding to repairs for our elevators and every other pillar, and we need stronger enforcement of regulations from the Federal Monitor's office.

I'd be remiss to be here and not communicate the concerns of Ravenswood residents.

Besides cleanliness of the corridors and public spaces, three items I want to discuss in particular are windows, radiator covers, and NYPD floodlights.

My seniors keep asking me how they can get their windows cleaned from the exterior. Management has told me that NYCHA does not clean windows. I'm unsure if this is a liability policy or a funding issue, but our residents should not have to live in this situation.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time is expired.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: All right, I will send it to you, and I hope to engage the office further. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Ms. Chaise. Thank you for your testimony.

That concludes today's meeting. We want to thank everyone who came out today, and I'm looking

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 161
2	forward to continue to advocate for the residents of
3	NYCHA. Thank you so much. This meeting is adjourned.
4	[GAVEL]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 14, 2024