CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

September 24, 2024 Start: 1:31 p.m. Recess: 5:20 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Alexa Avilés, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik D. Bottcher Shahana Hanif Rita C. Joseph

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:
Yusef Salaam

APPEARANCES

Kenneth Lo, Senior Advisor on Language Access at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Young Kwon, Senior Manager for Language Access at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Lorena Lucero, Chief Policy Advisor at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Daniel Steinberg, Director at the Mayor's Office of Operations

Francisco Navarro, Senior Policy Advisor at the Mayor's Office of Operations

Lloyd Feng, Data Policy Coordinator for the Invisible No More Campaign at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families

Kelly Cho, Community Outreach Associate at the Korean American Family Service Center

Adama Bah, Executive Director and Founder of Afrikana

Riva Shang, Coordinator for Small Business Support Programs at the Asian American Federation

Jorge Paz-Reyes, Community Organizer at Mixteca Organization

Ennery Valbrun, Mixteca, with interpreter

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ana Juarez, Mixteca, testimony read by interpreter

Abigail Dorcin, Organizing Manager at La Colmena

Miral Abbas, Health Partnerships Coordinator at the Coalition for Aiding American Children and Families

25 INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2.2

23

24

2	INTER	PRETER:	(SPEAKING	FOREIGN	LANGUAGE
---	-------	---------	-----------	---------	----------

INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Chair, we are ready to

5 begin.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: [GAVEL] This meeting is being called to order. Good afternoon, everyone. I am Council Member Alexa Avilés, Chair of the Committee on Immigration. Thank you all for joining us today, and thank you to the interpreters who opened us.

Today, we will be examining the immensely important issue of language access, specifically assessing the Mayoral Administration's compliance with Local Law 30 of 2017. I'd like to begin by thanking the representatives from the Administration who are here today, members of the public, and my Committee Colleagues who, we have someone on Zoom, Council Member, we are joined by Council Member Hanif on Zoom.

I'd also like to flag that we have consecutive, as you have just been made aware, interpretation available to the public to listen to today's hearing in Spanish, Mandarin, Haitian Creole, Wolof, French, and Arabic.

2.2

2.3

New York City is one of the most
linguistically diverse cities in the world. The
Endangered Language Alliance has mapped more than 700
languages spoken in this area, and a walk down any
busy street in our city can attest to this linguistic
richness. Languages from immigrants across the world
and from Indigenous tribes should be equitably
supported and respected, ensuring that every resident
of New York, no matter their preferred language, can
access City services is crucial, and today we'll look
closely at the City's Language Access Law, Local Law
30 of 2017, that was designed to ensure just that.

In 2017, Local Law 30 was enacted by the City Council and requires City agencies to provide services for all designated City languages. The law mandates that language access services must, at a minimum, include providing translation of the documents most commonly distributed to the public, provide interpretation services, and post signage about the availability of free interpretation services in all the citywide languages. Under this law, there are 10 designated citywide languages based on the U.S. Census data and the New York City Department of Education's data. These languages

2 include Spanish, Russian, Bengali, Haitian Creole,

3 Korean, Arabic, Urdu, French, Polish, and Chinese. Of

4 | note, the Census Bureau includes all dialects of

5 Chinese, actually, I'm not sure this is all, but

6 Mandarin, Cantonese, Taiwanese, Fujianese, and Hakka

7 under the umbrella term of Chinese. Local Law 30 also

8 contemplates the Administration will periodically

9 update this list based on changes to the Census and

10 the New York City Department of Education data. We

11 | look forward to learning more about how the

12 | administration is complying with the Law assessments

13 by the Administration regarding this list.

The law also requires agencies to consider providing services in languages beyond these designations when there is sufficient need among their service population. Local Law 30 mandates agencies to develop language access implementation plans and appoint a language access coordinator.

Under the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, the Office of Language Services Coordinator is charged with providing language access assistance to agencies, and we look forward to learning more from the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs and the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

2 Mayor's Office of Operations about their 3 responsibilities and how they are meeting them.

Unfortunately, since the law has been in effect, multiple oversight hearings on or related to this law have revealed noncompliance for multiple agencies. In particular, the COVID-19 pandemic revealed the challenges with quickly sharing accessible and accurate information in languages other than English, and a hearing earlier this year on the experiences of newly arrived Black migrants highlighted the massive gaps in providing City services in language such as Haitian Creole, French, Arabic, Fulani, and Wolof.

The most recent reporting from the

Mayor's Office on Immigrant Affairs on Local Law 30

highlights some notable City agency successes, but

reporting remains unclear, and we want further

explanation regarding how the Mayor's Office on

Immigrant Affairs responds to noncompliance.

According to the data from this year's Language

Access Secret Shopper Program, a City-run program

which assesses how well New York City service centers

provide services to customers in language other than

English, more than half of the service centers

- 2 | investigated were not compliant with Local Law 30.
- 3 The consequences of not offering services in
- 4 | someone's preferred language can be severe, and in
- 5 | our linguistically rich city, it would be
- 6 disappointing if our language access infrastructure
- 7 | were not adequately supporting speakers of languages
- 8 other than English. We look forward to learning more
- 9 today from the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs,
- 10 and again, the Mayor's Office of Operations, on how
- 11 | we can improve Local Law 30 compliance for our City's
- 12 agencies to ensure that the access to City services
- 13 | is, in fact, equitable.
- 14 The Committee on Immigration will hear
- 15 the following legislation, Introduction 215,
- 16 | sponsored by Council Member Hanif, which would
- 17 | require the creation of an Office of Translation and
- 18 | Interpretation within the Mayor's Office of Immigrant
- 19 Affairs. I will be sharing Council Member Hanif's
- 20 | testimony and look forward to hearing more about the
- 21 | bill and the Administration's response to the current
- 22 structures and resources available for language
- 23 access.
- I would like to thank all the Committee
- 25 Staff for their work in this hearing, including

- 2 Nicole Catá, Legislative Counsel; Rebecca Barilla,
- 3 Policy Analyst; Florentine Kabore, Unit Head, and
- 4 lastly, I would like to thank my Staff, Chief-of-
- 5 Staff Edward Cerna, and Legislative and Budget
- 6 Director Christina Bottego, and Legislative Fellow
- 7 Amarachi Ngadi, and everyone for working in the
- 8 background to make this hearing run smoothly.

9 We have also been joined by Council

- 10 Member Salaam on Zoom. Thank you, Council Member, for
- 11 | being here. I will now read on behalf of Council
- 12 Member Hanif, who could not be here for medical
- 13 | reasons, her opening statement about her bill, and
- 14 | then I'll turn it over to Counsel to administer the
- 15 oath.

1

- I should change my voice, right, to shift
- 17 | it up. So this is Council Member Hanif's statement.
- 18 Good afternoon. She is Council Member
- 19 | Shahana Hanif, and she regrets that she is dealing
- 20 with some health issues and unable to join in person
- 21 today. She thanks me, and I thank her back for
- 22 | holding this important hearing and reading this
- 23 statement on her behalf of Intro. 215. She'd also
- 24 like to thank the 18 Council Members who have signed
- 25 | onto this bill, including co-prime sponsors, Council

2 Members De La Rosa, Marte, Brannan, Narcisse, Brewer, 3 Ung, and Gutiérrez. As the Committee report lays out, 4 the City's insufficient language access infrastructure has caused City agencies to fail to 5 meet the requirements for translation and 6 7 interpretation set out by Local Law 30 of 2017. Due to a lack of sufficient intergovernmental resources, 8 City agencies issue large language service contracts to out-of-state companies who produce subpar work at 10 11 high costs. The consequences are serious. During the 12 pandemic, the City was often unable to communicate time-sensitive information to our immigrant 13 14 communities regarding the vaccine, safety protocols, 15 and changing policies. Additionally, as the number of 16 new arrivals has increased over the past two years, 17 language access has been a consistent barrier to 18 connecting folks to essential services. Intro. 215 19 seeks to mitigate this issue by establishing an 20 Office of Translation and Interpretation within the 21 Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. This would consolidate the ongoing and often overlapping work of 2.2 2.3 the Language Access Team, Language Services Team, and Office of Language Services Coordinator into one 24 streamlined and strengthened entity. As this office

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

scales up, it would directly fulfill more and more agency requests for document translation and live interpretation at events, allowing agencies to wean off of external contracts. This would increase the quality of the work, decrease wasteful spending, and create jobs for New Yorkers with language skills. The office would also play a parallel role to the State Office of Language Access, overseeing local authority implementation and providing guidance and support across City agencies. The office could additionally serve as the City's main support entity for the Community Interpreter Bank and the three worker-owned Language Service Cooperatives for Languages of Limited Diffusion that this Council has funded and is helping to launch. Thank you for your time, and I look forward to the testimony from the Administration and the public.

With that, I turn it over to Committee
Counsel to administer the oath.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATÁ: Thank you, Chair Avilés. We will now hear testimony from the Administration. We'll hear from Kenneth Lo, Lorena Lucero, Young Kwon, Daniel Steinberg, and Francisco Navarro.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I will administer the affirmation. Panelists, please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

ADMINISTRATION: (INAUDIBLE)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATÁ: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Good afternoon. Thank
you for the opportunity to speak before this

Committee. Thank you to Chair Avilés and the Members
of the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity.

I do want to wish Council Member Hanif well, and I'm
sorry that she could not be with us today. She's been
a great advocate for language access.

My name is Kenneth Lo, and I currently serve as Senior Advisor on Language Access at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, or MOIA. I'm joined here today by my colleagues, Young Kwon, who serves as Senior Manager for Language Access, and Lorena Lucero, Chief Policy Advisor at MOIA. I am also grateful to sit alongside Director Daniel Steinberg and Senior Policy Advisor Francisco Navarro from the Mayor's Office of Operations, who will be

2.2

2.3

available for questions and answers, and this is going to be a shortened version of the testimony that we will submit in the interest of time, and we do look forward to the broader conversation around Local Law 30 and engaging all of the details that come with it. One last note, again, I echo a thank you to the interpreters for helping to support today.

Local Law 30 has been a remarkably valuable tool since it was enacted over seven years ago. It's of particular importance now, as more than 217,300 immigrants have arrived in New York City since the spring of 2020. Our office has continued to coordinate the citywide implementation process for Local Law 30, and together the agencies have done good work on improving their language access.

Our goals in this testimony, or conversation, are threefold. First, we would like to share how MOIA has approached language access since the bill's enactment, and specifically how it has guided the implementation of Local Law throughout the city. Second, we would like to both highlight our areas of progress and to share what we have learned over the course of implementation, including ongoing challenges and opportunities, including with Local

of where they come from.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Law 30 itself. Finally, we would like to speak about
how MOIA looks to expand and deepen language access
as a tool that can serve all New Yorkers, regardless

As the Chair mentioned, the last oversight hearing dedicated to Local Law 30 was a while ago. It was actually in 2018. In 2017, City Council passed Local Law 30 unanimously. It codified the Executive Order from 2008 into our City Charter and Administrative Code and expanded the list of designated citywide languages to 10. As the Chair mentioned, this is a remarkably diverse city in terms of language. We actually have the copy of Language City right up here. It's a wonderful resource, and it also goes to highlight how not only is New York City diverse in terms of representing 10 percent of the languages worldwide, but also explaining how, since its very founding, New York City has been a place of great diversity, and it's in the DNA, and it's critical that New York City serves a city with the appropriate infrastructure and appropriate effort to make sure that New York City continues to be a place that serves all of its residents in an equitable way.

2.2

when we talk about language access with
City staff, we do highlight the scale and diversity,
and the breadth of that diversity. Not only are 22
percent of New Yorkers considered to have limited
English, but in terms of scale, that would be roughly
the same size as the entire population of Queens or
Brooklyn, and then we also say, in national terms,
just LEP New York would be the fourth largest city in
the entire United States. We also have the largest
city government in the country, and in government,
communication is at the core of serving all New
Yorkers effectively.

But in this linguistically diverse city, language is far more than just a means of communication. It is also an integral part of people's identity and heritage. So that's what drives us at MOIA. We recognize that significant barriers persist in accessing City services, and that language access must be at the forefront of our efforts, not as an afterthought. The following mantra has guided MOIA in its oversight of Local Law 30, and across all its efforts on language access, a multilingual city requires a multilingual government.

2.2

2.3

As you know, Local Law 30 sets the foundational obligations of New York City agencies to build this multilingual government. The law designates the 10 citywide languages. It requires every covered agency, to designate a language access coordinator, every agency must develop a language access implementation plan, and deliver particular services, as the Chair mentioned in her opening remarks.

In terms of monitoring and oversight, the City Charter identifies an Office of the Language Services Coordinator within the Mayor's Office of Operations that fulfills its duty in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. Since Local Law 30, MOIA has taken the lead on language access with support from operations, and we're happy to discuss this relationship in a further conversation.

Local Law 30 provides essential anchors for MOIA's ongoing monitoring and oversight of language access efforts. These anchors are primarily the development of implementation plans and annual reporting, which serve as crucial touch points in what MOIA views as a continuous cycle of improvement

2.2

2.3

rather than a one-time checklist. We actively engage with covered agencies throughout the year. During the six months of this year, for example, MOIA intensively guided agencies through the process of updating their implementation plans that include detailed guidance and incorporating recent expansions of Local Law because of new legislation. We hosted 10 convenings to focus on developing specific sections of the plan, creating valuable opportunities for agencies to share best practices and learn from one another. The public can now view these updated plans on each agency's website, as required by the law, as well as on MOIA's website, nyc.gov/immigrants.

The annual reporting cycle, now aligned with the Fiscal Year, provides another key anchor for monitoring progress. Through an annual survey, MOIA collects critical information on agency progress and challenges, which then informs an annual report that MOIA submits to City Council by December 15th. This process helps identify priority areas for targeted support for the coming year, ensuring that language efforts continually adapt to changing needs. I would like to highlight here that MOIA collaborates closely with the Mayor's Office of Operations to ensure the

2.2

2.3

effectiveness of the reporting process. We are working increasingly in tandem to improve measurements for language access and strengthening the overall monitoring system. Together, we aim to continue developing more comprehensive metrics and evaluation methods that will provide a clearer picture of language access progress across City agencies and will identify areas for continual improvement.

And just stepping aside from the remarks here, this is a critical piece of how we envision working to fulfill the City's obligations around language access, not just with the spirit of, not just the details of Local Law 30, but embedding language access more comprehensively across all operations so that it's no longer an afterthought, but a core piece of management, management of City operations, management of City functions, of communications, of the success of City agencies in delivering upon their missions to serve their constituents, their target audiences.

We supplement these structured processes with proactive outreach, one-on-one technical

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

2 assistance and additional guidance through
3 convenings.

In addition to our ongoing monitoring and oversight of agencies covered under Local Law 30, City Council has passed new laws on access since its last oversight hearing on this topic in 2018. We want to acknowledge and celebrate the Council's advocacy and collaboration with community organizations to advance language access, and we look forward to continuing to work together to improve language access across all forms of government and all jurisdictions.

The next section of this testimony is focusing on Local Law 6 and Local Law 13 because of the way in which those have played an important role in how MOIA has been rethinking how it monitors and advances language access. There's more in the testimony that we will submit, but I will focus on a few things.

First, Local Law 6 presents an opportunity to explore how community-based organizations, in particular those that are already focused on serving immigrant communities, can be part of the solution to language access in New York City.

2.2

2.3

Local Law 6 required MOIA to conduct a survey of CBOs to assess their capacity to provide language services. We've also consulted with the Department of Small Business Services, the Mayor's Office of Non-profit Services, and the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, and then reached out to over 2,000 CBOs with this survey. We collected responses from 63 CBOs and had informative conversations with 14 of them using two focus groups to discuss their language service delivery and other vehicles for language access support. We'd like to in Q and A discuss some of the engagement more. It's been very informative to us, and it's helped to provide some information of how we might be able to move forward together.

Second, under Local Law 13, whenever a global event, occurrence, trend, or pattern occurs that causes new populations to arrive in the city and seek services, the Office of the Language Services Coordinator must now designate temporary languages that need to be taken into account. Given the large number of new immigrants from West Africa that have arrived in the city in recent months, the City's new temporary languages as designated are Wolof and Pulaar. We'd be happy to share how that was

2.2

2.3

determined, but we'll share here at this point that implementing services in Wolof and Pulaar presents unique challenges that go beyond mere translation and, in some sense, some of the limitations of Local Law 13, as the written systems of these languages are not widely used by the speaking populations. We've taken a proactive and collaborative approach to develop more nuanced guidance, including extensive consultations with linguists, frontline staff, and speakers of these languages to better understand the landscape and how to provide language access in the most effective way.

In addition to these laws, MOIA provides technical assistance and training to agencies throughout the city through direct consultation, regular convenings, an internet portal, and a newsletter. We provide support and guidance for both agency language access coordinators and other colleagues. Our team also pays close attention to the federal, state, and city legislation related to language access as well as the developments in the rapidly changing language service and technology industry, roughly 50 billion dollars worldwide.

In addition to this work, I would like to
spend just a moment speaking about the Language
Access Hub Initiative. Under this Administration,
Commissioner Manny Castro has focused additional
resources on expanding our internal efforts. This
initiative involves an expansion of our language
access team. Over the last 18 months, MOIA has gone
from one full-time staff member and two colleagues on
temporary lines to a team of 18. We now have three
full-time staff working on language access and 15
staff members, two project managers, and 13 linguists
or language access specialists who provide
translation and additional support primarily for
MOIA, Mayor Offices, and City Hall, and a lot of the
team is here with us in the audience today. I will
add that it's my great honor to work with them. I
learn daily from people who are dedicated to making
sure that multilingual communications are effective,
and we use this to expand how we think about work
across the Mayor's Office and across City agencies.

I would like to touch briefly on Council
Member Hanif's current proposal, Intro. 215, that
would require the creation of an Office of
Translation and Interpretation with MOIA. Our

position on Council Member Hanif's bill, Intro. 215.

25

I was speaking about the practical experience we've
had trying to grow our internal translation team and
the past discussions that we've had with New York
City Public Schools Office of Language Access about
their Translation and Interpretation Unit and, as a
result, we do not support the bill as it is written.
We are certainly in agreement with a lot of the ethos
of it and the intent and we do agree that some
centralization of resources would benefit City
agencies, and we're open to discussing more with
Council about options for the City to expand language
services. In many ways, our work at MOIA, we've
considered it to be a language lab where we can
explore potential resources and practices and assess
how they may be shared out with City agencies, and
this is also one of those arenas so we do look
forward to exploring what would be the most
appropriate set of solutions to advance language
access and language services across City agencies.

I would like to also mention that we have taken an increasingly collaborative approach to expand strategic capacity building. That's one of the pillars of the work at MOIA and the way we're approaching language access. To cut things short

here, we've been working in the past with Mayor's
Office of Contract Services and DCAS on procurement.
We do anticipate in the coming year collaborating
with the Mayor's Office of Contract Services to
expand the contracted resources for quality language
services that will be available across City agencies
In recognition of Intro. 215, there are areas where
internal services would make sense, but contracted
services will always be an important tool towards
meeting the needs at the scale and breadth of
languages that the City needs, and so figuring out
how to do that in the most advantageous way on many
fronts, in terms of quality, fiscally, in terms of
advancing opportunities for New York City immigrants
with language skills and the language service
technology companies that are in New York City.
There's a lot that we can do there together.

I also mentioned here, in terms of strategic capacity building, we are engaging increasingly with the Mayor's Office of Operations to consider better metrics for language access across agency operations, including those included in the Mayor's Management Report. We are exploring how to build a better dashboard to document and advance

2.2

2.3

language access, and we're also building on the

Language Access Secret Shopper Program, which has its

roots as an internal customer service initiative to

enhance LASS' role in advancing language access

maturity. I'll add here that at MOIA, we are very

grateful and supportive of the LASS information being

folded into Open Data, but I think there are some

misconceptions about its intent and the original

purpose and structure. It was not intended as a Local

Law 30 monitoring tool, in fact predated Local Law 30

but, that said, we're looking forward to seeing how

it can be incorporated into those efforts so MOIA and

Operations have consistently worked in tandem, and we

will continue to collaborate closely, tapping into

our relative strengths and experience.

These cross-agency efforts are central to the goal of embedding language access considerations throughout operations of City government. We are currently seeking to introduce and inculcate language access in existing discussions hosted across other City agencies, such as the Digital Equity Working Group or Outreach Working Group, and through launching our own communities of practice that includes staff from various different agencies to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

focus on key areas of operations, such as translation leads. In fact, in I think about an hour or two, one of our communities of practice with translation project managers is going to take place. They will be discussing how to improve consistency with glossaries, and that's just an additional arena where we're trying to improve across City agencies. As mentioned, Local Law 30 is now seven years old, although its core is essentially the Executive Order from 2008, and the field of language access itself is now more than 20 years old. Despite persistent challenges, New York City has been at the forefront of language access because of City Council, City agencies, and advocates. In recent years, there's been a groundswell around language access nationwide.

At MOIA, we want the City to continue to lead the nation in this field. Old challenges persist. New challenges arise. The scale and diversity of New York City's languages, the traditional reliance on text-heavy communications, and the number of government agencies and systems, they continue to be a challenge. Even the mounting legislative successes in states and cities pose important new challenges for coordination and

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

consistency. In this light, I would want to mention that MOIA is developing, incorporating into our work what we've referred to as a maturity model for language access. This is both a conceptual and practical tool for advancing language access across a range of agency operations for moving from ad hoc responses to building a level of compliance with the solid basic infrastructure in place that aligns with Local Law 30 and then towards more systematized management with strong metrics and feedback loops. This model will provide a roadmap for agencies to continually improve their language access services, moving progressively towards a system that fully embraces New York City's multilingual identity and helps us move towards a more multilingual government.

In the end, language access cannot be an afterthought, as is still too often the case. This is also why MOIA is expanding collaborations with partners both in and outside of City government.

Internally, we are working to ensure that the upcoming changes to City's technical digital infrastructure incorporate language technology appropriately to advance multilingual communication.

We are working also to improve the capacity for

all.

multilingual rapid response and emergency
communications. Externally, we are committed to
supporting community-based language efforts and
fostering the development of professional pipelines
for current and future linguists and language
industry specialists. Just as language access cannot
be an afterthought, the communities we serve must be
at the forefront of our considerations and decision-
making processes and act as partners. I'll just note
here the mantra, not for us, without us, applies to
the expansion of language access across the
government efforts to improve equity and access for

In conclusion, as we look to the future, MOIA remains committed to advancing the goals of Local Law 30 and, together with Council, improving Local Law 30 while also pushing the boundaries of language access further. Our vision extends beyond compliance to creating a truly multilingual government that celebrates and harnesses the linguistic diversity of our city. We recognize that the diversity of language is an asset and something to be celebrated, a vital thread in this rich

2.2

2.3

2 tapestry of New York City. I'm happy to answer any
3 questions.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Mr.

Lo. I just want to acknowledge the Administration.

Your team has submitted an extensive testimony. Thank you for the grace of shortening that for time so we can get through questions and also get to public testimony so I want to acknowledge that work that you presented and your grace in responding to shortening that. Again, excuse our technical difficulty moment.

I guess we'll jump into basics. Again, I want to acknowledge this might be in your testimony, so we'll just clarify for the record and, in some cases, maybe expand on statements that you made in the testimony. To start, what does it mean to be compliant with Local Law 30?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Thank you for the question. In MOIA's efforts to lead language access, we look to the direct letter of the law in terms of compliance. Of course, we are trying to expand language access even more broadly, but the law as it lays out, there's specific things. Every agency must have a language access coordinator. It must develop a language access implementation plan, and the

components of that plan are laid out in the law. The
agency must publish that plan on its website and
update it at least every three years. The agencies
have three language service obligations as guided by
Local Law 30. One is to translate most commonly
distributed documents into the 10 designated
languages. Two is to provide interpretation in at
least 100 languages, which in practice means that
they must have telephonic interpretation available.
Of course, being able to provide in other
circumstances with in-person interpretation. Third,
they must provide notification of free interpretation
services, the availability of such at their
locations. I will note that, again, Local Law 30
codified the requirements under Executive Order 120
back in 2008. It does set a baseline, and we work
with agencies to try to push beyond that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Are all City agencies compliant with Local Law 30?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: To the degree that we work with them, yes. I will say that when we work with agencies on their language access implementation plans on the annual report, we are working in conjunction with the Mayor's Office of Operations to

2 check whether agencies are in compliance. The

3 Comptroller also does checks on agencies on Local Law

4 | 30 compliance. They've done about five over the

5 course of the last several years, and they've also

6 found that agencies are largely in compliance with

7 Local Law 30.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I noticed in not only the reports, but certainly your language, there are words that jump out at me. You just said, to a degree. We see in reports that say generally compliant. We also see, depending on. Is there any clear threshold here that MOIA uses to understand compliance? Is it just the three elements or the basic elements, a designated language access coordinator and a plan, but is there anything beyond that that determines compliance or more specific threshold marker?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: In our work with the City agencies, we do basically and, to be frank, that's the approach that MOIA has taken to move language access forward. We are not an enforcement agency. We do take the structure of Local Law 30 as a baseline, and there's a lot of diversity across agencies so we provide the assistance to try to move

2.2

2.3

agencies forward in our annual survey that we provide to agencies as part of monitoring. It uses Local Law 30 as the basic structure of the questions that we ask, and then we add additional questions based on what we see as other elements that are important for an agency to have the capacity to move language access forward.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, in terms of the laws stipulates, I'm going to call it a checklist, not to devalue any of those elements at all, just for sake of, I'm not that creative in my language. So, beyond the checklist, you mentioned other components. I think what we are trying to understand with some clarity is how MOIA is making their assessments around this compliance. So, beyond the checklist, what other elements is MOIA identifying that it uses in making assessments and then if you could note also how often are you assessing?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Definitely. Thank

you so much for that question. We would love to

figure out better measurements and metrics for

assessing language access in general, and we're

increasing our collaboration with Mayor's Office of

Operations, as mentioned in the testimony. As

2.2

2.3

mentioned in the testimony, we see the Language

Access Implementation Plan and the reporting as the

two main anchors of ensuring compliance of all

agencies. This year has been the year that most

agencies had to update their Language Access

Implementation Plan. Because we walked them through

population assessment of limited English proficiency,

we do see that each agency has unique needs and

unique resources so we do not think that one standard

can assess all the uniqueness of each agency.

However, while that being said, when reviewing the plans, we looked at four different criteria to ensure some of those components are in their plans. One, the accessibility and the usability, two, the section details and explanation, three, clarity of goals, and four, completeness of their supporting documents and, after reviewing the draft of each agency's Language Access Plans, MOIA provided tailored guidance. As mentioned, they have unique needs and unique resources. We want to provide targeted, tailored guidance for each agency. We also believe that the plan is only good as it's implemented so we make sure that we're annually reporting on their Language Access Implementation.

2.2

2.3

So, this year we have increased our collaboration with the Mayor's Office of Operations, and we actually met with the Language Access Coordinator two times this month to guide them through the annual reporting. We plan to analyze the goals set by the agencies in their plans, analyze those goals, and set each metrics with the Ops to understand their progress towards their goals so, yes, we would love to develop more, we would love to strengthen monitoring system.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Could you repeat very quickly the four elements? You said accessibility.

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. These are the ways we looked at all the plans. The first one, we looked at the accessibility and usability so we're understanding if it's readable. Second, we're looking at section details and explanation, making sure that all the components that MOIA required are in each section. Third is the clarity of goals. We thought that the goals were one of the good measurements and measuring tool that we could set in the future so that was one of the other components. And the last one was the completeness of their supporting documents.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Thank you. I appreciate that. That's very helpful, and I would agree that a plan is only as good as the implementation. What kinds of criteria are you using around assessing implementation?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. No, thank you so much for that question. So, for implementation, when each agency do have issues or are getting complaints, we provide technical support. We assess every year on the implementation of the plan and then we submit the annual report to the City Council by December 15th so that is one tool that we're utilizing to assess the implementation.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Is the metric 3-1-1 complaint? What's the metric that, I think I missed the metrics that you're using to assess implementation?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Oh, so, we're utilizing the annual reporting as one tool to assess the agency's implementation of language access.

Currently, the local authority sets different metrics on what to be reported on the annual report, such as the 3-1-1 complaint numbers and other ways agencies have received complaints. Another way, thank you for

2.2

2.3

2 Local Law 6, we are collecting expenditure report

3 from agencies on how much they have spent each fiscal

4 year on language services. That's another good metric

5 | tool that we're trying to figure out how to make it

6 more accessible and usable for our assessment of

7 their implementation of language access.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, I guess what I'm hearing is, as per your own assessment and the criteria that you're currently operating under, that all City agencies are compliant?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Generally, yes, and we do want to move agencies forward. We are not looking for compliance, we are looking for agencies to move towards a true language access, not just the compliance set by Local Law 30. Ways we do that is embedding some of our values and approaches into our guidances on language access implementation plan. One of the changes this year on our guidance is that under our operation of language services section, we required agencies to look at bilingual staffing, look at CBO partnership, and also language service contracts. We think that we need to utilize all the tools in our toolbox in order to make sure that language access is being met.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm stuck here with language, but in terms of areas, obviously each agency is in a very different state, but in terms of trends, you're working across multiple agencies. Are there areas, I'm going to call it non-compliance and/or real challenges that exist, because for a number of different reasons, are there trends that you are seeing across agencies where there are some real problems or just problems in general?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. To be honest, we are also working towards maturity model of our monitoring system as well. We think, ourselves, as monitoring system right now is on the compliance level as well. We want to get there of analyzing some of the trends more systematically in order to provide agencies with more tailored and targeted technical support. We hope to get there in partnership with Mayor's Office of Operation to decide on the metrics together, make those transparent and available for the public, and also make sure that we're looking at the foresight and not the hindsight.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. Jump in, please.

2 DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Hi, Dan Steinberg from Operations. I'm happy to elaborate on the nature 3 of our work together, but just wanted to point out 4 from a data perspective, I think your point is very 5 well received. If you look at the MMR, for instance, 6 7 there are dozens of indicators that speak to the volume of interpretation services, and they're very 8 valuable in the sense that you get an immediate command over which agencies are doing the most 10 11 interpretation for which services. You see the ebbs 12 and flows, and you see the City's ability to adjust 13 to new demand. In the last Fiscal Year, the report 14 was remarkable in that some agencies completed 15 requests for translation services 20 to 50 percent 16 higher than they'd done previously. With 3-1-1, the 17 really remarkable number was the amount of service 18 requests that they fielded in languages other than 19 English and Spanish. You see these really important 20 trends, but I think as you're implying, you're right 21 that they speak much more clearly to volume rather 2.2 than quality. I do want to point out that all of 2.3 these indicators, the Local Law 30 report, of course, is annual, but these indicators are pushed out 24 quarterly through our website on the Dynamic Mayors 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Management Report. I think there is some consensus

here that the data in terms of its ability to speak

to the quality and comprehensiveness around complying

to the spirit of these local laws, I think there is

room for progress there, and that's our focus.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No, thank you for that. I think as we're looking at the reports, obviously it is complex, but it's hard to find where the floor is and where the ceiling is because it leads you to a conclusion of haphazard as opposed to building, despite the environmental context, which keeps changing. The needs are shifting for sure. I think we're trying to understand how the Administration is assessing and building and what thresholds are they setting for agencies so that we are not caught in the first floor until the end of time. We're not making any progress. We're investing enormous amounts of money and energy, and we still can't get basic documents translated. This is what we're trying to figure out how to get at.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Chair Avilés, I would add, over the course of administering or guiding agencies through Local Law 30, I'll say that the work that my colleague Young was just speaking about on

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the last round of implementation plans, it reflects two cycles of working with agencies on the previous plans, where my former colleague and I, we were focused on what we would call basic infrastructure, making sure that there was contracts in place, a protocol for internally getting materials translated, training, some of the things which aligned with the details of Local Law 30 and others that didn't. We found that over time that having a dedicated leader on the language access front, a language access individual whose primary role was language access, as opposed to an additional requirement, was essential. In particular, if there was a team, we find that there's more capacity to move that work forward, and especially in terms of working effectively with the contracted services. One of the arenas where we were trying to provide guidance and technical assistance and training to language access coordinators was around how to work more effectively with contracted vendors, because as mentioned in testimony, that's certainly a critical way to provide those services so having a system in place, having an appropriate relationship, a professional informed customer-vendor relationship is critical so some of those areas are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

the kind of capacity building work that we find is really helpful in improving how agencies deliver on that. Now that's, again, that's just one arena where having a team and having more knowledge around how language access fits into specific arenas within the agencies, so not just the language access coordinator coordinating everything, but also communications leads, outreach leads, contracting leads who can embed language access into their work is where we need to head, and the agencies that have done better on language access are those that have placed that kind of attention and resources into building out so some agencies will be better than others. We want to make sure that we're sharing the best practices and sort of raising the level of expectation across agencies to deliver on language access.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, thank you. I mean, certainly raising the standard, right? If we know what the appropriate mix is, whether funding, contract services, having a certain level of multilingual staff, we have to move beyond a gentle nudge and a fundamental requirement, right? If we're going to lean into our responsibility to meet our

24

2.2

2.3

citizens where they need to be met in the that they
speak, we have to move beyond suggesting.

exactly where the work that Young and I are working in conjunction with the Mayor's Office of Operations really wants to take this. As Young mentioned, Local Law 6, which shifted the reporting to the fiscal year, was really important for us as an agency pushing language access because it puts the reporting and the considerations into the fiscal year cycle, which allows us to work with agencies better in terms of budgeting, planning, monitoring, evaluation, and to ultimately embed language access into the operations of any agency, and with the work together with operations, we hope to advance that even further.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right. So does MOIA have a database of translated materials across City agencies?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: We currently do not.

That is something that we anticipate working on in
the coming year because of two levels. One is the
closer engagement with the Mayor's Office of
Operations and, two, our engagement with OTI, Office

2.2

2.3

have seen with the MyCity project, there are efforts underway to update their underlying digital infrastructure and, as I mentioned in testimony, we're very interested in making sure that with those advances that considerations around multilingual communications is embedded in that work and, with that, it provides an opportunity also to more effectively collect the materials that they're putting out to make sure that we're monitoring that

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. So in terms of, is it the responsibility of the language access coordinators to report either non-compliance or little capacity or little inability to meet language needs?

they're available in other languages as well.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Language access coordinators are set up to be the point person at their respective agencies to understand the obligations to communicate them with the rest of the agency and to advance language access. I will add here that this is one of the arenas where MOIA, when we're considering how to improve Local Law 30, is to reconsider or to think about how those language

2.2

2.3

access coordinators are designated and to ensure that they have the resources that they need to do that work effectively. Currently, the law does not specify who that language access coordinator should be. We certainly have some thoughts on that, which we would love to discuss further with Council along with other arenas and to improve Local Law 30.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'd love to. It sounds like you've thought about this and you've looked at it. What are the recommendations that MOIA has put forward around how to improve language access coordination?

certain arenas that, I will say over the course of implementing Local Law 30 or helping to guide agencies to implement Local Law 30 over two cycles and now into a third, I find that it's an extremely valuable tool, but it is in some ways, we've used the term, a blunt instrument. It was very useful in setting the stage, but as our work continues, we try to refine how from our end, we can do monitoring in conjunction with operations to embed those language access considerations into the processes and the monitoring processes of the City. Internally, when we

guide agencies, we specify what those requirements
are per se. Just the naming of a language access
coordinator is a step, but making sure that the right
person is in the job would certainly advance how
agencies can move forward with language access.
Language access coordinators is one arena. Providing
more explicit guidance to agencies around what needs
to be translated. Providing more specific
requirements around training. Those are some of the

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Does MOIA have plans to advance these recommendations that could materialize improvements in services, or when do we get there, because we're in year seven?

areas that Local Law 30 could certainly improve.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Yes, I would say,

Chair, that we have thought about this. We want to do

this work better with the agencies, and we have a

list of items that we would love to work with Council

on as potential amendments to Local Law 30.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are you suggesting that this program cannot be improved through the administration of it, that it requires a policy change?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: No, I appreciate that.
We take language access seriously. We work with
agencies to improve this. Local Law 30 is a broad
baseline. Agencies look for more explicit guidance.
The law is a very useful tool in driving agencies
forward, some in particular more than others. You
know, some agencies, they really do have advanced
language access to improve on their missions. Others
are slower. We work from our end to make sure that
agencies have the resources and guidance that can
help them move forward. We're working on the
monitoring side. I think it does take both work on
our end and, of course, on the end of the agencies.
Improving the law, improving the monitoring systems,
improving the resources in place, improving the
feedback loops, all of these things, I think, would
help advance language access.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. I think it's almost like teachers who teach varying levels within a classroom, right? There's a technical term for it that is escaping me. Some are moving faster and some just have different needs. I guess I'm wondering, as you are doing this work and assessing and seeing trends across and knowing which students are moving

2.2

2.3

faster and which need a different, why would we wait
to provide the tools and the guidance to move fast
for those who can move faster and meet those
obligations for larger kind of policy? This feels
like administrative choices that are being made
around how this daily operation happens.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think that's a fair question. I think that's one of the elements behind our developing a maturity model. We really want to create a roadmap for all agencies to do the work across their areas of operations better. I think one of the challenges around language access is that it is an afterthought. It tends to be an afterthought. At the same time, it's an all-of-government kind of issue. Part of the technical advice and guidance we give agencies is around how to think about these things and to move language access more upstream in the considerations, whether people, whether budgets, whether systems.

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: I'll just add a couple of things that I think are also relevant here. The law has been in existence for what? I think it's seven years?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Seven years.

2 CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: MOIA and the 3 leaders within this work, we ourselves have been 4 growing in the past two years. I think that there are lessons that we're learning right now. I know that Ken mentioned this when he was speaking, but we 6 7 really consider ourselves right now as a language lab 8 in best practices and ways. I think something that we have been doing proactively with the (INAUDIBLE) students in our class or students who need a bit more 10 11 help is that we're being more intentional in the 12 times and how we are bringing them in collectively to 13 share best practices. I think as the year progresses, 14 we will have more. Part of it in the testimony, I 15 know that there's goals for us to provide a more 16 centralized method for language coordinators, for 17 example, to have an online portal for them to have 18 information at the ready. We're learning a lot from 19 our linguists that are sitting right behind us in 20 regards to best practices within their communities 21 and languages. I think right now we're part of the 2.2 beginning stages. However, I still think that the 2.3 addition, especially in the last two years of providing the technical assistance that I think we 24 25 had previously, but I think now we're being more

2.2

2.3

intentional about it. I think we'll see changes in
the City to come.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, thank you for that. I guess in curiosity, where do you think enforcement of this law should exist and what should that look like?

question. Our focus from the Mayor's Office is on supporting, providing guidance and assistance and a pathway forward. We are not an enforcement agency. There are certain just compliance checks that we do to make sure that those pieces are in terms of the reporting are done. The ongoing collaboration with Operations around metrics is leading towards a better situation where we can do more direct compliance measuring. I think it's more of having more direct measurements to hold agencies accountable to, which we don't currently have.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So skillfully navigated, not answering the question, where do you think enforcement should exist? Should it exist in the Mayor's Office of Operations or should it exist in MOIA? Should enforcement exist on language access? We have a law. We are trying to get everyone to meet

2.2

2.3

the law very gently with some investment in growing data, growing infrastructure, but where should the enforcement to ensure that our City meets this law, meets the needs of immigrant communities exist? Do you have a sense of that? Should it be the Mayor's Office of Operations? Should it be MOIA? It's not a trick question, or maybe it is.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Dan Steinberg. I

think it is a trick question to be frank, but I'm not
an attorney. When I look at the letter of the law,
there's a lot of language around to the extent
practicable and reasonable efforts toward, and that
is not necessarily a very straightforward environment
to enforce anything, but I do want to clarify that we
also don't have enforcement authority. Obviously, we
have a program that...

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right. I'm asking, I guess, you as practitioners, right, who are charged with meeting this law and are charged with engaging with the agencies, and what we see is obviously growth and we see real challenges to meet it and everyone says, well, I'm not an enforcement agency, I can't be held accountable here, and I am asking as a practitioner, where should enforcement live?

not the enforcement agency.

2.2

2.3

Obviously, it could change depending on the context, but as practitioners have seen the implementation and development of this infrastructure, where should enforcement live to ensure that we meet the mandate of the law so we don't hear over and over again, I'm

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: No, I understand that, and I think it's a fair premise. I think the problem is that we're... what are we talking about enforcing exactly? You heard the sort of core purpose of this law and the criteria by which it's been defined and all the work that's been done revolves around that criteria, but there's also a reason why eight different audits from outside stakeholders, different Comptrollers and other oversight bodies all say generally compliant. It's because generally compliant isn't to the satisfaction of the Council, and that's a different question.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Well, generally compliant shouldn't be the satisfaction of anyone quite frankly.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Right, right.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: We should be fully compliant and singing through the rooftops that our

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city is doing something beyond so what we are trying to do is move beyond the floor at year seven, and I think there's obviously a lot of progress. There is building of staff, but I'm asking for your input as the practitioners here that are overseeing, where should enforcement, where should that standard be, because the continuation of a death loop is what I call it or the death spiral of like nobody's in charge of anything is profoundly frustrating, particularly in the context where we see serious gaps and then everyone's generally compliant. It's a frustrating affair so I was just asking if you had opined on this and have some suggestions about where that apparatus could be and should be to move us along our continuum.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Got it. I fully understand. I just think this entire...

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So we don't have an answer, it sounds like it's the answer, which...

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Well, no, I think the entire formal infrastructure is predicated on continuous improvement and that's exactly what happens. I think if we're talking about agencies following the law or not, it's a very different

2.2

2.3

question than the subject of today's hearing because these aren't laws that are being broken. These are best practices that are being promoted, and I totally agree the City needs to be creative and proactive in order to achieve any of those goals, but I just think we have to distinguish between a formal legal compliance issue and the City's ability to take full advantage of these tools that have been given to us through all of these local laws.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So we need a much stricter law is what you're saying.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Well, no, actually, I think it's very nuanced. I think that we need citywide laws to raise the floor and create a very high standard, but the system needs to be decentralized enough where agencies who have expertise in terms of which populations who speak which languages access which services, where they have some discretion and ability to be nimble and targeted, and so creating a sort of regime where you have high standards that are enforced but are nimble enough to let agencies exercise their expertise and discretion is very important.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I agree with the high standard. I think that the problem is we're stuck at the floor and the high standard is not clear because there is such a diversity of capacity and challenges and there's no enforcement apparatus and no one who is responsible, although we're trying to move things forward in a positive direction so I think we've belabored the point enough.

I'm sorry, Mr. Lo, you want to respond? SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Sure. I want to add that this is in our arena, we are committed to moving forward to language access. If that means being the seat of compliance or monitoring, that's what we'll do. I think just with all the recognition of things that we've been talking about, the challenges of doing so, and our ongoing approach to improve on the work, it is all towards making sure that agencies are complying with the law. The statement that we're not an enforcer is built on the nature of our office, the scale, the fact that a year and a half ago there was really not much of a language access team. We've grown. As long as we can continue that work going forward and we have resources in place to do so, it's something that we're committed to doing.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Historically, we haven't been quite in the position to do so over the course of most of the language access oversight of Local Law 30. It was my boss and me doing most of the work. With the commitment of this Administration and with Commissioner Castro, we've been able to grow the team and we'd like to keep on a course where we can hold more agencies

accountable to the extent that we can.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right. No, I appreciate that. We can't hold the agencies accountable is the point, but we're growing the infrastructure. Again, certainly, we are delighted that there have been increases in staff because it begins to show the commitment to this effort. Clearly, we need a lot more investment. It is one of the most fundamental responses we hear across city agencies. I, in fact, have had my very own experiences of having a fire, 140 people out on the sidewalk with no documentation from emergency services in Chinese. I called the Mayor's Office. FDNY had nothing. MOIA, we called MOIA. No one had staff. They Google translated emergency documents, which were gobbledygook, as you know. We have a long way to go.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Part of the question is, how do you vision as you move forward and you're building this infrastructure that we have some levels of accountability so that we are growing? It is really to get your sense of that who are really just building it because there's still really serious, persistent gaps on things. When you say we're compliant on Local Law 30, and yet there's an emergency service situation and we can't get a basic document in Chinese. That problem there is crazymaking, right? To hear you're compliant, and yet a City can't produce an emergency service document in one of the languages it says it has and supposed to do by the law so this is part of that pushing the threshold and standard because I do think we're going to continue to do better. We're all committed to this. I think I want to move on because we've belabored the point.

Could I ask, could the City face liability if a translation is wrong?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Thank you for the question. I would have to defer to the Law Department on that. I think it's a fair question. I don't have a particular answer at this point, but we'll look into

2.2

2.3

that, and I think that's part of the context of also improving language access.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great, thank you. If someone does not receive interpretation when seeking services from a City agency and calls 3-1-1 to submit the complaint, does that complaint go to MOIA or does that go back to the specific agency that did not provide the language access service?

question. We think the complaint system is one of the really good tools to listen to the community member who are impacted. We do report on that on our Local Law 30 report. We mention who is getting the complaints and how those are getting resolved and addressed and resolved. Most of the 3-1-1 language access complaints are usually pointed to the language access coordinators of those agencies. MOIA is copied onto those and we track them and report on our local authority annual report.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So it goes to the agency and then as you're doing the survey, you report on it?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Okay, that's 3 helpful.

Is it true that with the expiration of the DCAS contract, agencies are using their own funding to contract for language access services?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: The DCAS contracts were set up so that DCAS had been the central administrator of them but each agency, even under those contracts, were required to buy into those contracts so all of the funding was provided by the agencies. Those contracts expired because DCAS was having certain bottlenecks that impacted across agencies, like some agencies, of course, use much more than others. In trying to increase contracts to meet those needs, it created situations where others didn't have access and so, actually, DCAS moved out of the role of having a centralized contract except for telephonic and now video remote interpretation, and the reason for that is as one of those arenas where a citywide contract was required to make sure that the vendor had the technical infrastructure to meet the demand for such a large-scale use. Currently, agencies do buy their own contracts. We've

provided some guidance. Moving forward, as I

2.2

2.3

mentioned in testimony, we do want to work with the Mayor's Office of Contract Services to establish a more what we would call a pre-qualified list of vendors so that we ensure that they meet a certain quality threshold and also to ease the procurement burden from agencies. Amongst the many things that agencies procure, language services is a very specific kind of service, and we want to make sure that we're contributing to make sure that there's an appropriate set of partners with City agencies to do that work.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. In the report, I counted 135 distinct contracts and was really curious about the quality of those services, certainly the contract amounts and what the report notes was expended. There's a lot of daylight for certain agencies. I was curious what happens to that funding for a contract that, you know, a million-dollar contract, they only use 200,000 dollars. Does that mean just the 800,000 dollars stays with the agency? Is there financial reasons why agencies are not utilizing the full scope of their project or, you know, of the contract funding? So, I was curious, certainly, how MOIA was either, how they were

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

assessing how City agencies are engaging in contracting services and what happens to those resources that are not utilized.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Two years ago, we worked with the Mayor's Office of Contract Services and DCAS as DCAS's contracts were sunsetting and we provided guidance to the agencies, the ACOs and their procurement teams in conjunction with their collaborators at Mayor's Office of Contract Services to what to look for in vendors. Beyond that, there's guidance from the Mayor's Office for Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises to advance initiatives to get more purchasing dollars to those types of business enterprises, and we've helped the agencies put contracts in place. I would say that they are the current state of affairs, in some ways a bit of a stopgap. We do want to make sure that in the future that there are better sets of vendors to partners. And then in conjunction with this, so far we've had one round of reporting on language service expenditures because of Local Law 6. We do want to, over the course of the next round and the subsequent round, be more deliberate about what we do with that

2.2

2.3

2 information and help guide agencies forward in that 3 arena of their language service use.

I think it's good to hear that you're thinking about the pre-qualification and quality of services and certainly the City hasn't had a great track record at managing contractors and being able to make sure that poor performing contractors are not given the gift of City dollars over and over again and providing subpar services to our communities so definitely an area of growth, for sure. Look forward to hearing more about that and the guidance that is being provided.

testimony on page 8, you noted some of the challenges of, it's the second paragraph, you noted some of the challenges of the field, particularly you noted like text-heavy communications and a variety of things that you've seen. Can you tell us a little bit more specifically, like what are some of the solutions that you are thinking about in response to meeting these challenges and/or how are you proposing to meet the specific challenges that you've encountered?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Thank you for the question. Language access is a field ripe for

challenges and it's something that, you know, 2 3 animates our conversations every day, to be honest. 4 New York City, a language city, has so many language resources amongst the communities, but there are 5 certain avenues for the resources, commercial 6 7 vendors, through CBOs, through City staff. Our 8 conversations are around what do each of those resources bring, what are their strengths, what are the constraints. Finding the right partners to do the 10 11 work, whether commercial vendors or the community 12 organizations, language justice collaborative. These are all parts of the solutions, including how to work 13 more effectively with bilingual staff, how to hire 14 15 more agency staff who reflect our communities. This work, so like improving on each of those avenues in 16 17 tandem to build a better language service 18 infrastructure. So, we spoke a little bit about 19 contracts, improving the quality of those vendors, 20 internally working how staff can partner with them more effectively, working with the communities to 21 2.2 both deliver services and to improve opportunities 2.3 for linguists. I'd like to turn this over to colleague Young to talk about the work around Local 24 Law 6 and the work with CBOs in that particular arena 25

2.2

2.3

2 and then also happy to talk more about some of those 3 other challenges.

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Thank you so much.

For Local Law 6, we were very excited for the Local
Law 6 to be passed with the backing of the Committee

Members on this bill. With the survey and afterwards

we conducted focus groups with select CBOs to

understand how we can strategize our collaboration

with CBOs to advance language access, and we're

really excited to share the second report that will

be hopefully coming out in a few weeks. That really

guided us to understand how we can improve our

infrastructure and systems so that we can be

collaborative with the CBOs.

And one mention about the traditional reliance on text-heavy communications in reference to Local Law 13. We do believe that language is not just a communication tool. It's an integral part of people's identity and culture. So, in developing our Local Law 13, setting the guidance on Local Law 13 and setting the temporary languages, we spoke to speakers of languages, of asylum seekers, we spoke to staff members who have to directly work with the impacted community members, and all the other

2.2

2.3

agencies that might be impacted to provide more nuanced guidance around the temporary languages. We have set temporary languages as Wolof and Pulaar. Those languages, as we heard from linguists and community members, that the written format is not widely utilized by the community members. As government, we do rely heavily on text communication. In the guidance, we have recommended that relevant agencies look into utilizing audio recordings or visuals to supplement those communications, and NYCEM has done a wonderful job in providing audio recording in their site as well to supplement some of their communication as well, and we look to further advance in this area.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: In terms of the types of communication that the City puts out, along with advancing language access and use of translation interpretation services, we always talk about understanding the audience better, like developing materials with a diverse audience in mind. We've had collaborations with the Service Design Studio in producing a series of community design forums or civic design forums that focused on plain language and working on translations that involved community

2	in the process. I think more of our capacity-building
3	work is really understanding the nature of
4	communications within a diverse city across rapidly
5	changing technical areas so, when we talked in
6	testimony about working more in partnership with
7	certain agencies, whether it's DCAS on the human
8	capital or training front, whether it's OTI on the
9	digital communications front, with MOCS or
10	(INAUDIBLE) on the procurement front, we are trying
11	to make sure that language access is getting
12	incorporated into all of those fields but, especially
13	around plain language, you know, a lot of Council
14	Members, it's a sort of interest and it's included in
15	legislation. I think that's an arena where we can be
16	more clear about the quality of the English content.
17	You know, it's like everyone's at a sort of a
18	continuum around language skills. Clear
19	communications in English is still a challenge for
20	government, as you know.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I can't disagree with

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: And we also say, you

know, garbage in, garbage out.

22

23

24

that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. Can I ask in terms of in this context of like responding to specific challenges that you're seeing. As you're well aware of, right, recent reporting has shown that speakers of Haitian Creole have struggled to receive in language documents, particularly in healthcare settings. Haitian Creole is obviously one of the 10 citywide languages. Can you walk me through what the administration is doing to meet the language access needs for those who speak Haitian Creole, just like concretely what has been done of recent to meet that growing need?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Haitian Creole, as you know, is one of the Local Law 30 languages. There has been a number of efforts for a Haitian initiative where MOIA worked in collaboration with community organizations to provide more information, critical for new arrivals or TPS in language.

In terms of capacity, this is a common challenge across languages, especially in terms of interpretation, in terms of other languages, new arrivals. There is a limited set of resources in the city. We work with agencies to guide them on translating materials. Of course, they're working

2.2

2.3

with their vendors. There are opportunities to expand the number of linguists and that's kind of like a lot longer-term process but, other than building out that team, it's more like making sure that the materials that need to be in language are going through the appropriate available vendors.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So in terms of you noted building linguists and that being a longer time horizon, what is the Administration specifically doing around building linguists right now?

Particularly in the context, we'll keep using the Haitian Creole since it's a clearly identified gap.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think the universe of linguists in New York City, it's like New York City being so linguistically diverse is also the source of a lot of potential, whether it's bilingual individuals who have some skills in translation or interpretation or who may be able to work in many other arenas using their language skills, so what we are exploring, and I will say that we do not have a concrete plan yet on this, is working with CBOs in conjunction with our survey around Local Law 6, it's like what other ways can CBOs contribute other than delivering language services directly, like

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

contributing to improving materials, the

opportunities to provide training to bilingual New

Yorkers, and using those avenues to expand the

language field. We also have spoken with folks at

CUNY who do have language programs about potential

pipelines on that front. We've spoken with folks at

Language Justice Collaborative as well, who have

interest in working with CUNY on training

interpreters so there are a range of avenues to

support the universe of interpreters.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Has the

Administration invested in any of these avenues, or
we're still talking about the possibilities?

add to one on a bit different but also relevant is on the... we recently paired with philanthropy to fund 25K to ICP and 25 to Refugee Translation Project to build language access capacity, and the emphasis here is on training and certification for the folks who are participating in this pilot so that's one, and that recently launched. We'll have more to share probably by the end of the year on what we've learned and how that's going.

The partnership with philanthropy is...

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What is ICP?

3 CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO:

International Child Program.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: International Child Program, and is this their forte, developing programs around language accessibility?

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: They have worked with the City, particularly with the recent response and providing support in translation and interpretation for languages of West African languages so ICP, and then Refugee Translation Project, which has done this work previously so that's one part that's not particularly with Haitian Creole, but with Haitian Creole in what Ken mentioned through our Haitian Response Initiative, there was a very intentional investment that was made. I believe it's 8 million for legal services and development of resources that are done and provided in Haitian Creole. Hiring linguists, working with communitybased organizations that were working with this particular community, and this, of course, was as a response to arrivals of that country into the City of New York and also in supporting that with some legal services.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right, but it doesn't extend to like the medical setting. This is people that are going to non-profits who are getting, you know, services and enrolled in things that they need, but medical setting.

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: Medical settings, I can't really speak to. I don't know if Ken, if you have more background on that particular, but within what we have done within the legal realm and what MOIA led, we can refer to the Haitian Response Initiative.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So let's shift a quick second to the Language Access Hub. Can you explain for the record what is the Language Access Hub?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Sure. The Language

Access Hub is an effort from our Commissioner and our

team to expand the internal capacity to work on both

language access side and language services side. As

mentioned previously, we had a very small internal

staff working on this front, but language access is

one of the pillars for Commissioner Castro.

So, on the language access side, we have more capacity to work with Local Law 30. We have

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

three staff currently working on the language access side. Young, myself, and Alicia Lopes (phonetic), who's our Capacity Building Manager to lead some of the efforts around building our communities of practice, providing more resources across City agencies while we also work on the monitoring front. The bulk of the Hub has been to bring in more capacity to do translation work and be partners on providing language services. We now have 13 language access specialists across nine languages. The intent is to have where possible two linguists per language, and we want to make sure that we're growing, continuing to grow that out. The focus was on providing translation services for MOIA, Mayoral Offices, and City Hall, and the value of having internal translators or language staff is to help provide direct services, be more responsive to improve quality, and also to contribute towards tools that we think will help our work and also help City agencies, and anticipate doing more workaround common glossaries and terminology, style guides. Also as mentioned in testimony with parts that I was not able to get to, they've contributed to a lot of other arenas, including to the Secret Shopper Program, to

2.2

2.3

- visiting asylum-seeker sites, including the Arrival

 Center and HERRCs, supporting outreach for IDNYC, a

 broad range, but, you know, the core work is around

 being linguists and informing the way that we all
- 5 being linguists and informing the way that we all
- 6 provide better language services.
 - CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So in terms of, is the Hub part of, explain to me a little bit of the infrastructure for this sprawling agency that is MOIA. Who's responsible for managing the Language Access Hub?
 - SENIOR ADVISOR LO: The Language Access
 Hub is the area that I'm focusing on.
 - CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, got it.
 - job lines that we did have to cut back on as MOIA grew and with some of the budget issues, but the core is what we intend it to be, to both support language access and language services and to have some opportunities for mutual enrichment, have a language lab, as we like to call it, and to advance the work across the City.
 - CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So what, what's the budget for the Language Access Hub?

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

for the questions that you sent us beforehand so I have the numbers. I think a request of FY-22, we had 440,000; FY-23, we had 1,343,000, and I'm going to just explain the tip in a second too. FY-24, 669,851; and FY-25, 534,493. For FY-23, the number that I provided also included our OTPS. For FY-24 and '25, it doesn't because we moved away from including OTPS within that. I don't have that with me, but we can come back to you if you want that number as well.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I guess it would help to see, I guess the, the growth or the decreases in the Hub.

In terms of, so MOIA's annual report states that the office onboarded the 10 language access specialists and the two project managers, and you actually just mentioned in your testimony that the services generally work for MOIA and the Mayor's Office. Are any of those employees farmed out to other agencies?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: The work at MOIA, as we do receive some requests from other agencies for other parts of the Mayor's Offices, on occasion for some other agencies, but by and large, not. We are

2.2

there in case there's a stopgap need or, you know, sometimes, and this is one of the challenges with some agency contracts, the need to turn around things quickly so, on occasion, our team is able to step in and support. We are trying to, now that we have the team, grow both our capacity, but our internal demand to make sure that we're starting to provide a broader range of materials for the Mayor's Offices. Over the course of the summer, we've gotten increased requests to help support press release translations for City Hall in particular languages so, as we grow capacity, we also want to take that opportunity to drive the demand for it as well.

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: And I'll add that we, as you know, we work very closely with DSS on IDNYC so there is a close collaboration there. In addition, our language specialists have been crucial in also providing support, particularly at the beginning for recent arrivals, so working in conjunction with OASO and Health and Hospitals at the arrival center was also sort of part of some of the work that we did.

J

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. I was just going to, you anticipated my question, if there were particular agencies that you worked more closely.

You noted particularly at the beginning, and yet we've had a consistent flow of folks into the system. Is that because, tell me why that has trailed off?

that it's not so much as trailed off as it's become a bit more stable. I think initially it was a lack of just needing physical bodies and people who spoke languages directly with some of the recent arrivals, because we were still assessing and figuring out levels of literacy, for example, so I would say that, but I think it's remained steady. We have a close working relationship with the OASO in identifying trends and new languages that come in so I would just say that it's become steady in the last two years.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. In terms of the Language Access Hub team members, do they, and I guess this overlaps with Local Law 6, are they at all spot checking any of the work of the vendors or fielding requests and doing the work themselves?

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: The arrival of our larger team has been wonderful. We do work with outside vendors for a lot of the work. For certain materials, depending on the workflow, the outside vendor will do the work and our team will check it.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: They are vendors that you're specifically working with as opposed to outside vendors, that may be other agencies? So I'll give you maybe an example. I hope this illustrates what we're trying to get at. A vendor hired by DOE puts forward a standard document. It's rife with errors. Does MOIA find themselves or the Language Access Hub find themselves like finding these documents and then correcting them themselves or responding to DOE that these documents are a hot mess and they should be corrected?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: It's probably all of the above.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Yeah. It hasn't been a formal program to do that, but in working on MOIA and City Hall materials, our team does get familiar with available materials across the City and, where there

2.2

2.3

are issues, they will point out this is not so great, and we can share some of that feedback out to those agencies. Just like with any member of the public, if they see some materials that are not well translated, we do want to know. That can be shared to the agency. It can be shared to us. We want that feedback to make sure that the quality is good. I think going forward, we're trying to figure out the right workflows to meet our needs and also the different ways that our team can help support language quality across agencies. We're not formally auditing other agency materials, but we are, when we can, contribute to some of that feedback.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. At a previous hearing, MOIA shared that they were interested in hiring two language access specialists in each language. Is that still the case?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: That is the case. I think as we build out our team, we want to make sure that we're following best practices, industry standards, make sure the right tools are in place. It has been a learning experience on many fronts to try to grow the team. I think that's part of the experience in our role of being a language lab and

2.2

2.3

also why MOIA may not be the best place for a citywide translation team. That said, we do hope to the degree that we're serving our particular needs to do it in the best way possible with good linguists.

I'm very happy to say that I have a wonderful crew and to make sure that the workflow and the technology is appropriate so that we can do this cost effectively and also leverage the work that they've done to make sure that we're improving over time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: The 13 individuals that are doing the translation services, they cover two per each language, or will MOIA be hiring any more language access hub folks?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Currently, we have two linguists for four of the languages then, for five languages, we have one specialist. The anticipation is that over time, we'll work towards two. Where appropriate, sometimes we'll send to the vendor and have our language access specialist check for quality.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Four and five is nine. Are we going to hire another person to try to meet that threshold? Is that in the immediate future

2.2

2.3

or are we holding steady? I'm sorry. I'm just wanting to understand.

note that out of all the top 10 languages, we're still looking to fill Urdu. We say this as often as we can, but if you know of anybody or want to refer them to us, we'll take the opportunity to just advertise that.

I think right now, we're staying steady within what we have, but as always, we're going to look ahead to see if we will go back to the original plan of having the pairs for the rest of the languages.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Currently, looking to fill one role specific to Urdu and then assessing what the plan will be for the future? Got it. Thank you.

Let's see. In terms of the most recent annual report, lists seven languages covered by the Language Access Hub, but now we're doing better, it sounds like, right?

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: Yes. Just Urdu is missing.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 82
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Great. Let's
3	see. The Language Access Hub have Haitian Creole,
4	right? Okay.
5	CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. Does MOIA's
7	language access team work with the designated
8	language access coordinators?
9	SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Across the agencies?
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah.
11	SENIOR ADVISOR LO: No. Our specialists
12	are serving MOIA, Mayoral Office, and City Hall.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it.
14	SENIOR ADVISOR LO: On the language access
15	side, Young and Alicia and myself, we do work with
16	other City agencies.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Thank you.
18	Thank you for the clarification. So, how much funding
19	has MOIA received or allotted for translation and
20	interpretation services for Fiscal '22, '23, '24, and
21	' 25?
22	CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: So, those
23	are the numbers I provided previously, and I noted

that for '24 and '25, OTPS is not included and I owe 24 you that number. 25

1

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Oh, okay.

Thank you.. How often does MOIA convene the language access coordinators and is attendance mandated and can you give us a sense of what is discussed in these

6 meetings?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah, definitely. As mentioned in the testimony, we believe that language access isn't just a checklist, but an ongoing process that needs improvement, and we utilize the anchors, the two anchors, the language access implementation plan and the reporting as a way to convene language access coordinators. So, this year was a special year where most agencies had to update their language access implementation plan so year-to-date, we had 12 overall convenings with the language access coordinators. Nine of the convenings were dedicated to language access implementation plan updates. So, we sectioned out our plans into different sections and we focused on one section in each convening, sharing best practices for language access coordinators to kind of cross-pollinate some of these best practices together and also highlight some of the practices that was really great to note from each agency. We also had one orientation for newly

2.2

2.3

2 appointed language access coordinator, which we
3 covered the basics of Local Law 30, but also the

4 maturity model, how we approach language access.

Additionally, this month, we convened two convenings around monitoring so kind of walking them through the reporting process, how we are re-envisioning the monitoring system and how we look towards strengthening it in the next years.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. So, how is the decision made in terms of the language access coordinators? Do the agencies just tap an existing employee? Are they given recommendations? Can you walk us through that?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah, on Local Law
30, it just asked agencies to designate a language
access coordinators to oversee the development of
language access implementation plan so really there's
no anchoring guidelines on how agencies are
designating language access coordinators. Currently,
language access coordinators are designated in
various different levels and different areas of
agencies. We hope to work with the Council to better
strengthen that system as well.

2.2

2.3

about it a little bit earlier, and there was definitely a recommendation on the table. Do you have other recommendations? Like I'm particularly intrigued by the language access coordinators who have very different roles, right, it's a combined role and how resources are honestly allocated, because I'm sure those are probably two completely full-time jobs. If you could talk a little bit about some of the recommendations and the guidance you would provide around this challenge.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: The language access coordinator holds an interesting position within the agency, needing to be able to engage with a broad range of operational areas. We find that language access coordinators sit in different places within agencies. Some are in comms, some are in contracts. We also want to make sure that it's someone who's high enough so they have some pull, right, and that they can focus on the work. Sometimes, well, quite often, a LAC is wearing multiple hats. Sometimes those hats are compatible. Sometimes those hats are not so compatible. And we find that agencies, as I mentioned earlier, agencies that do have a full-time

size of the agency as well.

2.2

2.3

law.

dedicated LAC are able to move things better and, And
where they have teams, even further, especially in
their ability to engage across different silos within
the agency and, again, this varies depending on the

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. Is there remuneration for taking on the role of language access coordinators, particularly in those instances where they're sharing multiple?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: No, there's not, and I think this actually goes back to some of the history in Local Law 30. I think it was one of our recommendations back then to have a dedicated LAC, but that also, of course, requires a specific job line within agencies. We found that over the course of the years, certain agencies have, on their own, expanded a particular role for language access coordinators. TLC comes to mind. DOC is, Corrections, is posted for a full-time LAC. As agencies understand the breadth of responsibilities in moving language access forward, some of them are doing that independently. I think it's something that we think should be part of the obligations set forth in the

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. In terms of the implementation around the language access plans, are agencies required to reach out to MOIA? I'm trying to understand a little bit of the information flow. You mentioned the convenings. Are there periodic check-ins? Are you receiving more input than you can respond to? Can you describe a little bit of that for us?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Thank you so much for that question. They're not required to seek our help, but we do reach out to them proactively throughout these two anchors, as we mentioned. We do want to make sure that language access is taken seriously and is the forefront of City agencies. We do proactive outreach. We provide tailored guidance. We provide broad guidance, but also tailored technical assistance. Convening is one of the touchpoints, but also our targeted outreach is another way we enhance those touch points.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Let's see. You mentioned this a little earlier, the work with the CBOs. Certainly, they scream on the chart of contracted vendors. Of the 135, there is one that lists a bunch of community-based organizations. Can

you talk to us about the CBOs that were identified to provide language access and what languages are

4 | included in that?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah, definitely. From the Local Law 6 report, we have identified several CBOs who have the potential foundation to deliver language services. We also identified some CBOs who have potential capacity to provide our supplemental language services as well. With those two groups, we have conducted focus groups after the first report is out, and we are really excited to share our second report with you all in the coming weeks. The first focus group that has the potential capacity to provide language services, we identified five CBOs. Those are APNA, Brooklyn Community Center. They provide Urdu, Punjabi, Bengali, Pashto, Dari, Spanish, Tamil, Uzbek, Turkish, and Ukrainian. Second is Gongming Collective. They provide language services in Chinese, both in translation simplified and traditional. For interpretation, they provide Cantonese, Mandarin, Fujianese, Taishanese as their dialects. Next is the Refugee Translation Project. They provide services in Arabic, Bangla, Burmese, Chinese, Dari, Persian, French, German, Greek,

- 2 | Haitian Creole, Hindi, Hmong, Khmer, Kurdish, Pashto,
- 3 Portuguese, Punjabi, Russian, Somali, Spanish,
- 4 | Swahili, Swedish, Tigrinya, Turkish, Ukrainian, and
- 5 Urdu. Fourth is the International Child Program.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: They're doing better
- 7 | than New York City. What is happening here? I'm
- 8 | having a problem.
- 9 SENIOR MANAGER KWON: The International
- 10 Child Program provides Wolof, Pulaar, Soninke,
- 11 | Hassania, French, and Arabic. And lastly, the
- 12 | Transnational Village Network, Red de Pueblos. They
- 13 provide services in Mixteco, Nahuatl, Malinaltepec,
- 14 Mam, Mes (phonetic), Kicha (phonetic), K'iche',
- 15 | Garifuna, Kaqchikel, Totonac. So these are languages
- 16 | that they have identified in the survey as what they
- 17 | provide as language services.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And so the next
- 19 report is going to be coming out. Can you give us a
- 20 preview of what the next steps are? How we're
- 21 activating all this exciting capacity?
- 22 SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah, in the second
- 23 report we conducted the two focus groups and really
- 24 hone in on how we can collaborate with the CBOs
- 25 | moving forward. We kind of narrowed down a little bit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

on our strategy in our collaboration. One of the most exciting areas that we wanted to highlight is we are exploring launching the request for information with the Commission of Civic Engagement and the Commission of Racial Equity. We are hoping to invest in the CBOs' capacity in this front and also leverage some of their strength in this area. So, once the RFI launches, we welcome any CBOs to respond to those. We are also exploring ways to establish language access training fund. We have heard from, in the focus group, that CBOs are providing trainings. There are interested bilingual and multilingual community members in this field. However, there's no investment in the training for the CBOs to do this work so we are looking into ways we can help invest in this area.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So in terms of an organization like, I'm just going to pick one with an impressively long list. I think it was the Refugee Translation Project. Can you help me understand what their current capacity is with such an incredible long, what does it mean to be able to speak 28 languages?

2.2

2.3

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. So, Local Law
6 required us to assess CBOs based on the language
service industry so we looked at ISO and ATSM as like
a language service standard that we are looking into
how to assess these organizations so one of those are
project management and coordination, do they have
robust project management to deliver the services
that they're requested? Do they have enough trainings
for the linguists in-house or otherwise external
trainings for the linguists to be developed and
continue to do this work? These are some of the areas
that we looked into the survey. The survey was quite
robust. So, based on those survey findings, we really
tried to narrow down to ensure that we're assessing
CBOs capacity.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, in terms of like,
I guess just take capacity for training linguists, so
when an organization lists 28 languages, you're
assessing whether they actually have capacity inhouse to be able to manage each of those 28
languages. Are you prioritizing the 10 citywide? How
are you kind of assessing that?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. For the selected CBOs, we did look at the languages because

2.2

2.3

we were interested in some of the emerging language needs. However, we did want to focus on the language industry standards as well. So, for example, for a Refugee Translation Project, they had a way of selecting their interpreters and translators. They made sure that they're hiring a linguist who had previous experience as linguist and education level that requires that form of work so we thought that was kind of on par with the standard that we were looking for.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. That's very helpful.

Okay, we're going to switch and skip a little. The report noted that DSNY had developed a database of translated materials. How often are agencies translating in-house versus contracting out? Do you have a sense of that?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: By and large, we would say that agencies are contracting out. The expansion of our team is something that some agencies might look into but, at the current time, I would say only MOIA and the Health Department really have teams with embedded linguists for translation.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. I was surprised to see the amount of underspending of some of the DOHMH contracts in terms of the variety of them. It was just so surprising. We know there is a need and we consistently hear, particularly in medical settings and in healthcare broadly, the lack of, and to see a million-dollar contract with only 200,000 dollars of spending and we hear on a daily basis there are still service gaps. What do you make of that?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Thanks for the question. I think there's the procurement side and there's the demand side. Just having experience procuring, the agency wants to make sure it has the capacity and will go for a larger contract. The actual demand, it's basically sort of a blanket so for future use and preferences to have a longer contract so you don't have to go through the procurement cycle.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. Obviously, the contracts are procured. They have a value set proposition and what they're showing is in the last fiscal year only expending a very small amount of the value of the contract and yet we all know, I think we

2.2

2.3

can agree that demand, the volume has increased significantly across all languages and we hear certainly anecdotally from partners, from residents, from our own individual experiences that there's still a lot of gaps so I'm trying to make sense of why these services are not being utilized fully. I would expect that delta to be much smaller across contracts with particular agencies where you know there's a lot of interface. That was just a surprising thing that I noted. I'm just curious how you make a determination around what you're seeing there.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think that is one area that as we engage more with the expenditure side and with the monitoring side that we can have more information on how to examine that nexus. At the moment, I do not have a good answer on that particular piece because we have not had the capacity to do that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That's fair. I was trying to make sense of the world when you read the report so I hear that.

In terms of, excuse me one quick second.

I think you may have noted this earlier so forgive me

2.2

2.3

if I didn't catch it, but did you say you were

developing some kind of dashboard or database around

tracking across?

SENIOR MANAGER KWON: Yeah. As mentioned, we would love to work with the Mayor's Office of Operations to develop concrete measurements and metrics around language access. We really honor and value transparency so we would want to make these data public in the future. We would love to collaborate to strengthen the monitoring system.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I appreciate your love for it, but what I'd like to know is this actually something that is being pursued in earnest?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: As mentioned, this relationship with Operations is developing. The first step is taking a look at the existing MMR metrics and seeing where language access directly fits in beyond the specific metric on interpretation. That's the beginning, but this is a deliberate focus on engaging around those metrics. We have started to collaborate more directly around the Local Law 30 monitoring, about what that looks like. There's collaboration too on delivering upon that, engaging with the agencies. Those two threads together are leading towards the

2.2

2.3

basics around a dashboard, certainly internally where we can build that out in conjunction with MMR to make it more public. We'd like to do so. We look at examples from other cities where they do have some public information on dashboards and want to be able to have that kind of transparency and to advance language access.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I am a huge proponent of transparency, that's for sure, but making sense of the data and having accessible data is really important. Obviously, you're collaborating and exploring. Have you developed a work plan that determines any milestones over the next two years on what you'd like to see happen? If you could talk a little bit more specifically about that.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Thank you. Just to recognize Francisco Navarro next to me who's run the last program, which is the Secret Shopper Program, which is our most immediate contribution to the work aside from the general compliance oversight and the technical assistance when needed. I just want to point out that we really do believe in transparency as a sort of engine toward improvement. I really want to credit Francisco. He's run the last program, but

the City had hever published its data until we did
proactively and without any reporters noticing or
Council Members or lawyers. We determined that it was
not only subject to the Open Data Law, but that
without the public having information around which
sites fell short on which criteria, then there wasn't
the ability for the public to hold the City
accountable. In fact, when reporters or the Council
have data points, like only 60 percent had signage,
we're proud to have put that data into the world. We
know that sort of transparency is politically
challenging but required for improvement. That's all
to say that we've just started to really formalize
our data practices around this work. The first step
was creating a predictable, complete file for the
public to access in Open Data. From there, we have
the ability to really use this data as a basis for
analysis and for performance management. We're very
excited to have taken that step forward.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: We're excited to fuddle with that data.

Can you explain for the record the respective roles of the Mayor's Office of Operation

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and MOIA pertaining to the Office of the Language
3 Service Coordinator?

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Sure. It's not an obvious division of labor. Part of that reflects the evolution of these responsibilities. Ops worked on translation issues far before any of these local laws existed. I'm not allowed to tell you, enter into the record, Francisco's full history on these issues, but Francisco is a huge source of institutional knowledge and has helped the City adapt to new technologies and practices over the years. The way that we approach this sort of collaboration is that MOIA are the subject matter experts. They have dedicated staff to work on these issues. Operations has both a formal responsibility to ensure compliance, but it's really the fact that we have the tools and the citywide purview and the mission to institutionalize these practices, as you've said and MOIA has said, and to look for any opportunity to institutionalize the criteria in these local laws. Our most material contribution is that we run the Language Access Secret Shopper Program, which is a way to get snapshot, firsthand insights into customer service at the customer-facing sites. It's obviously a method of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

testing the quality of services based on objective criteria. The objective is to find gaps, to flag and escalate them. We meet with the agencies afterward, and if we have to escalate beyond that, that's certainly part of our performance management protocols. As I mentioned, this data is now in the public realm, and so we really do hope that it's a tool that everybody from the Council to advocates to agencies use as a way to have some mutual accountability and some shared insights into where we are, but I should stress that it really is a Secret Shopper Program and not an inspection program. Obviously, the Secret Shoppers don't have the ability to enforce anything, and the purpose really is to sort of reveal problems. It's not the same as a comprehensive audit, but I do think it brings a lot of value to the work.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I think we've established for the record there is no formal auditing and there is no enforcement mechanism here, although you did say ensure compliance.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Compliance with the very straightforward enforceable components of it, which are to designate a, right...

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: There are components of it that are very straightforward and required, and there are other components that are suggested and recommended, and I do think some clarity around the difference is productive, but I hear you, and compliance is something we take seriously, which is probably why I'm being so literal.

know historically that the Office of Language Service Coordinators has provided the guidance for the agencies, advised and assisted the Mayor and the Council in developing implementation policies designated to assist immigrants and other foreign language speakers in the city, and periodically has updated the list of designated citywide languages. Are these still the main functions of the Office of Language Service Coordinator?

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: That's me, I guess.

No, I think I might need you to repeat it. I thought you were describing something else, but do you mind?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm happy to, or you could just tell me what are the main functions of the Office of Language Service Coordinator.

25

1 2 DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Yeah, no, I think 3 that is consistent, although it just, you know, the real world now reflects that we have an entire office 4 with the expertise and capacities to push these issues forward, but you know, again, our interest in 6 7 staying involved with this work isn't just because of 8 the legal responsibility to do it, it's because we do have the purview and the sort of access to City leadership and the responsibility of operationalizing 10 11 these values, and so we're very committed to this 12 work, and we know that equitable service delivery is 13 predicated on the ability of the City to translate 14 and interpret at scale. 15 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, can you give us 16 maybe like some examples, just like concrete examples 17 of where you've provided this advice and assistance to move forward? 18 19 DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Yeah, there's 20 different sort of ... CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You don't want to 21 talk to me? 2.2 2.3 DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Well, there's... CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You've invested so

much time. We need to hear your voice.

2 SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR NAVARRO: The recent 3 contributions that we make to language access is 4 through the Language Access Secret Shopper program, 5 right, so we identify gaps, as you've noted. We do tell the agencies what we found and the expectations 6 7 is that they will correct them. We find particular issues that need to be escalated. We do that. 8 Historically, when the Office of Language Service Coordinator was in the Office of Operations, we 10 11 literally had, I think, four interpreters. I remember 12 a Spanish one and a Chinese one, and they were doing 13 interpretations and I think mostly translations of 14 documents, not interpretations. When Mayor Bloomberg 15 created the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, all that was moved over to MOIA, and when the Office of 16 17 Language Services Coordinator was within operations, 18 I really was not involved in that, so I can't speak 19 to like what specific ways it may have met the 20 requirements. MOIA started. The first Director was, I 21 think, Guillermo Linares way back then, and, you 2.2 know, we took over the Language Access Secret 2.3 Shopper, and it's also part of the Citywide Customer Service Initiative that was started back in 2008 by 24 25 Mayor Bloomberg.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you think the
3 structure makes sense? Would you make any
4 recommendations around how to shift the structure to

respond to current needs and context?

SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR NAVARRO: I mean I think there may be ways for us to strengthen the Secret Shopper Program. We've had discussions in the past about, you know, right now it's basically summer interns who come and do this over the summer. We are, you know, somewhat limited by who decides to apply for, you know, the internships, so the languages that we get that we can test might be limited in a particular year. They tend to be younger. There may be certain locations that they're not, you know, they would stand out, so we've had discussions about incorporating, you know, the MOIA staff more into doing secret shopping to strengthen the Secret Shopper, you know, Program.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: I do want to plug it as an internship program, though, also. It really does give young New Yorkers exposure to the front line of City services, and many of those interns come from immigrant families themselves, and it can be a very powerful experience, and I think one of our

2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. In terms of the
3	Office of Language Services, and I may be, now I'm
4	feeling brain dead, how many people are employed at
5	the Office of Language Services?
6	DIRECTOR STEINBERG: At Operations?
7	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah.
8	DIRECTOR STEINBERG: It's really just one
9	and a half people. Again, it's somewhat of a vestige
10	of the sort of former
11	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it.
12	DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Regime that was
13	responsible for all interpretation translation work.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Okay. Thank
15	you. And are agencies reaching out to the office?
16	DIRECTOR STEINBERG: We reach out to them
17	a lot, but go ahead.
18	SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR NAVARRO: I mean, I
19	think agencies reach out more to MOIA because again,
20	the core functions have been transferred to MOIA.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. So really
22	the, the main function of this office is the Secret
23	Shopper Program.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR NAVARRO: Yeah, and

assisting sometimes with technical aspects of the

4 | language implementation plan.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Okay. Thank you.

Has MOIA assessed the top 10 languages of the city and has that changed?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: This is a timely question in particular, in conjunction with the other possible adjustments to Local Law 30. On an annual basis, we do take a look at the languages we use based on the Local Law 30 rubric, information from the census and information from DOE, a five-year running average, LEP languages on the census side, and then five-year running average of parent preferred languages in the home language information survey from DOE. For many years, it was very consistent and recently demographic changes is showing pushing up certain languages so I think the core message here is that we want to collaborate with Council to address both the timing and the structure of the designation of languages. As mentioned, there's been also a lot of movement on the State front so they've designated 12 languages by straight

- 2 census data. I think it's a value to ensure that
- 3 | there's some consistency in how these are determined.
- 4 No one knows what's a State document versus a City
- 5 document sometimes so we want to revisit both the
- 6 rubric and also be more specific around the frequency
- 7 of revisiting and designating languages.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And is there a
- 9 timeframe when you will complete that assessment and
- 10 designation?
- 11 SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think that is the
- 12 | crux of the issue with language and Local Law 30. The
- 13 | law does not specify when languages need to be
- 14 redesignated. State law says every two years. Local
- 15 Law 30 does not designate a timeframe.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right, but you know
- 17 | that the State is on a different trajectory and has
- 18 | identified 12. We've been stuck on 10. We're looking
- 19 | at the data. Are we going to land somewhere at some
- 20 point or are we just going to continue to say the law
- 21 doesn't stipulate a finite date so we're just going
- 22 to be in limbo?
- 23 SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think we would like
- 24 | to get clarity into the law so that we can do that.

2.2

2.3

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: So you don't think the City should make a determination whether the 10 languages remain the designated languages? We should continue on or that needs to be revisited because the data is telling you something different and the State has, you know, expanded that.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: I think, we want to follow Local Law 30, the languages. Certainly, it's an established baseline for the most commonly distributed documents. This will be the first time that there would be a change, and we want to take the opportunity to sort of rectify or take the opportunity to align with other designations which were not in place at the time of Local Law 30's passage (INAUDIBLE) to support any transition to a more sort of effective way.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. I think we're on similar, we're on parallel tracks. What I'm hearing is you are working to align but you have no definitive timeframe with which to make that designation because the law does not require you to do so. Is that correct?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Yes, that's correct. The law doesn't require when to...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So you're not defining a date because the law has not told you a specific date, but you're working on alignment with an indefinite timeframe.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Well, as mentioned previously, there are a number of aspects to Local Law 30 that we would love to discuss with Council to get...

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: We've got to be really specific and make sure we put in timeframes because agencies can't determine that on their own. I understand. Okay.

Last question.

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: If I may just add.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Please.

CHIEF POLICY ADVISOR LUCERO: You know,

I'll say that because the law and the way that it was

written also emphasizes the fact that each agency per

se evaluates interactions with different types of

groups and folks who interface with them. Although we

have these top 10 languages that have existed,

agencies on their own have, through the support of

MOIA, through the support of Ops, have expanded to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not just the top 10. There's a number of them. But to the point that you're making, I think that, and what we're saying is, yes, the law doesn't indicate a timeframe. However, we are evaluating, given some of the changes that have happened, (INAUDIBLE) proximity to the work that we're doing right now. I can sit here and say that I think that we hope to do more within the year. I also know that Council itself has pushed the City with Local Law 13 in particular with the temporary languages, but that's sort of where we are in this regard, that although the law doesn't speculate how quickly those plans are updated, agencies on their own have already expanded that, but we are working on alignment in regard to some of the other metrics that have been provided by the State, for example.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. No, thank you for that. I mean, I think, you know, it's landing the plane, right? If the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, who's moving this forward, can't figure out its designation, and when it re-ups the designation in concrete terms, and agencies are all over the place, and we still have gaps, we still have this very helter-skelter context that, you know, we need

2.2

to ground somehow and we need to say, we've looked at our assessments, we've looked at the context. Given what we know, the State did it. Why can't we land the plane and say we reaffirmed these are designated languages for now, given this constant state of assessment and not knowing because the law did not stipulate the agency should land the plane feels very unsettling in the context.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: I agree in the sense that, of course, the City should take the initiative of when possible. I do wonder if it, you know, what the DCP population division would say about the methodology that was used, which, you know, originally, and whether it's problematic to replicate it, given the political kind of nature of the last census.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Fair point. And those are the kinds of assessments we'd like to see, like what is happening, what is the thinking, and how do we get to that place within a timeframe, you know.

DIRECTOR STEINBERG: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Q1, Q4 of '25, we are going to land the plane because these are important

2.2

2.3

questions that we need to respond to so I appreciate that.

So, I want to ask one last question, and then open for public testimony for those who remain and have been so patient, I thank you all.

In terms of in relation to the legislation that Council Member Hanif has put forward, you mentioned some practical learnings around why you do not agree with the legislation. Can you explain that more fully for the record?

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Sure. Thank you for the question, and it is where we want to be able to benefit from our efforts to try to do something similar, which the expansion of the team was intended for many of the same reasons why I believe that the legislation is put forward. So, MOIA is one of the Mayoral Offices. We have limited internal headcount. We don't have a strong procurement infrastructure. In addition to having in-house linguists, contracting is a particular critical piece of setting up a team to deliver language services. The job lines that we need need to be stable. I think MOIA itself has a five headcount. All the other lines come from other agencies. So, in terms of setting up a stable

2.2

2.3

infrastructure for a dedicated service and a team,
the Mayor's Office, you know, we're happy to build
out the capacity to serve our needs in other Mayor's
Offices and to further explore what we could support,
but in terms of a citywide office, that's maybe
difficult. And we don't have, I think a lot of the
resources that a full City agency has, including, I
think, we need a lot of systems in place, a lot of
increasingly technological support to make sure that
such a team is delivering and taking advantage of the

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can you expand a little bit on that piece, too?

advancements in language technology.

SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Sure. So, you know, a critical piece of a translation interpretation team is the linguists, of course, but as an operation that delivers language services, there's a critical piece for project management, a critical piece for the linguistic systems in which translators work to work more effectively and efficiently. In the past, there's certainly the core of what are called computer-assisted translation tools, which are effectively large databases of previously translated content that make it easier for linguists to reuse

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

previously translated material to make sure it's more consistent, we're not spending time and money on translating things that don't need to be retranslated. And now, with the advent of more language technology that, as you know, is rapidly developing, we would want to make sure that we could be ahead of the game or at least cognizant of a roadmap forward rather than re-creating what had been practiced in the past so all of these things go towards needing an appropriate home for such an effort and figuring out what that office would deliver internally versus outsourcing. Again, to one of the points we discussed earlier, there's much more of a need to think about how the City is delivering content in audiovisual forms, and that's certainly something that's a challenge for us and would be a challenge for anything seated in our office.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you very much.

That really helps bring a little more clarity around,
you know, kind of the statements around the practical
experience. It's hard to discern, and you live in
this world daily so that's very helpful to the record
to understand.

1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 115 I think with that, I thank you all for 2 3 the time and the work that you're doing and your patience and being here with us today and your 4 commitment to language access for the city so I thank 6 you. 7 SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. 8 9 SENIOR ADVISOR LO: And I will wish you, as a closing statement, I wish you a happy 10 11 International Translation Day, which is next Monday. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. I hope to see 12 it in definitely the 10 languages. 13 SENIOR ADVISOR LO: Take a look at your 14 15 social media.

social media.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much. I

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILES: Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

Now I open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

2.2

2.3

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts as such to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with a Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have three minutes to speak on today's oversight hearing topic, Assessing the Mayoral Administration's Compliance with Local Law 30 of 2017 or on Introduction 215.

additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of the close of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

For in-person panelists, please come to the table once your name has been called, and now we will call our first panel.

First, we'll have Kelly Cho, Adama Bah, Riva Shang, and Lloyd Feng.

If you would like to start.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LLOYD FENG: Good afternoon. My name is

Lloyd Feng from CACF, the Coalition for Asian

American Children and Families, where I serve as Data

Policy Coordinator for our Invisible No More

campaign. Thank you very much, Chair Avilés, for

holding this hearing and providing this opportunity

to testify.

CACF appreciates the stated aims of Local Law 30 to ensure that all New Yorkers have access to language services, interpretation, translation, etc., in order for them to fully communicate with and receive services from New York City agencies. We share Chair Avilés' and the Committee on Immigration's interest in understanding the quality of implementation across all agencies, especially with regard to language access trainings to agency staff, lessons learned between agencies, and how agencies intend to address challenges that persist. In addition, CACF is particularly concerned about how agency implementation of Local Law 30 is considering the reach of existing agency language access policies, service delivery, and staff in relation to the needs of Asian asylum seekers in New York City, especially individuals and families who are not part

of the DHS or DSS shelter system. CACF's larger 2 3 concern about the existing implementation of Local 4 Law 30 is the lack of contextualization of language 5 data collected by agencies. For almost 15 years, CACF's Invisible No More campaign has advocated for 6 7 Asian New Yorkers' needs to be better understood by 8 pushing government agencies to collect and report disaggregated ethnicity data on our communities. Agency officials often shared with us that ethnicity 10 11 data was redundant, since agencies could just rely on 12 language data as a proxy for ethnicity, but we know 13 that language data alone cannot tell the full story 14 of who our communities are and what their needs are, 15 especially as, over time, future generations increase 16 utilization of English as their primary language, 17 thereby rendering language data increasingly 18 misleading and inaccurate. As such, CACF calls on the 19 New York City Council and New York City agencies to 20 update agency guidance on language data collection, 21 reporting, and use so that agencies incorporate 2.2 ethnicity data collection and reporting when agencies 2.3 are determining where a community is, who makes up the community, what the community's needs are, and 24 25 how best to serve them. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much.

We'll move through the testimony and maybe ask follow-up questions. Thank you.

KELLY CHO: Good afternoon. My name is

Kelly Cho, Community Outreach Associate at the Korean

American Family Service Center, and I'll be

testifying today on behalf of KAFSC and the

collective, a coalition of gender-based violence

organizations serving immigrant communities

throughout the five boroughs that also includes Sakhi

for South Asian Survivors, Sauti Yetu Center for

African Women and Families, Violence Intervention

Program, and Womankind.

empowering immigrant survivors of gender-based violence through comprehensive services. Our clients, many of whom are Korean-speaking immigrants with limited English proficiency, often face barriers in accessing vital City services due to language limitations. KAFSC and the members of the collective work directly with survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking, many of whom face significant language barriers when assessing services. In addition to engaging with the City to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

assess services through 3-1-1, 1-800-621-HOPE, and Family Justice Centers, individuals impacted by gender-based violence are often referred to and receive services from organizations like KAFSC and the members of the collective as we have dedicated multilingual staff. The individuals our organizations serve often speak little or no English and rely on us to navigate complex systems from law enforcement to housing, healthcare, and the courts. We fully support Introduction 215, which establishes an Office of Translation and Interpretation within the Office of Immigrant Affairs. This would be a vital step toward ensuring language access for all immigrant communities, including those we serve. Along with this bill, we recommend the Mayor's Office's Language Services Team to create consistency among translation contractors and expand the languages the translation and interpretation services are offered in. We also recommend providing funding for non-profits to also ensure they are able to translate documents and communicate with constituents themselves. Thank you for your time and consideration.

ADAMA BAH: Good afternoon, Councilwoman, and thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My

name is Adama Bah, and I'm an Executive Director and
Founder of Afrikana, a Black-led organization
dedicated to serving Black immigrant communities here

5 | in New York State.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I am here today to bring attention to the urgent growing needs of black migrants in the city. These are people who have fled unimaginable trauma, violence, and persecution seeking refuge in the USA, but the reality they face is one of systematic racism and neglect and the lack of culturally competent services. I want to leave you with three powerful examples to reflect the truth of what is happening. First, black migrants are not just numbers in the systems. They are mothers, fathers, and children who have fled unimaginable trauma and deserve culturally competent trauma-informed support, not just a roof over their head. It's not enough to provide shelter without recognizing the mental and emotional scars these individuals carry. We have seen the toll that war, persecution, and forced migration take on these families, yet the City's current systems are not equipped to address their deep psychological need. Second, language access is not simply about translation or interpretation. It's about

understanding the cultural nuances and differences 2 3 that shape how people express their needs, heal, and 4 navigate trauma. The City must recognize and invest in this reality. While some migrants speak French, Wolof, or Pulaar, there are countless others who 6 7 speak Somali, Lingala, or Jula. I want to reiterate 8 the critical issue. The City's language line is not working effectively for black migrants. The focus has been almost exclusively on West African languages 10 11 like French and Wolof, but this leaves out countless migrants from East and Central Africa who speak 12 13 languages such as Somali, Swahili, and Lingala. Even when translation or interpretation is available, it's 14 15 not just about understanding the words. What's 16 missing is a deep understanding of the cultural 17 context behind these words. Without this, even the 18 best-intentioned services fall short, and our 19 communities continue to suffer in silence. Without 20 this, black migrants are left isolated, 21 misunderstood, and underserved. Finally, Afrikana is stepping in where the City has fallen short for free, 2.2 2.3 but we cannot do this alone. We need the City to provide meaningful, culturally-expanded language 24 access and acknowledge the full diversity of African 25

2.2

2.3

migrants in this city. At Afrikana, we are on the ground every day translating, providing mental health support, and helping people navigate complex systems, but this burden cannot be carried by a volunteer-led organization alone. We need systematic change, and we need it now. Afrikana has trained hundreds of black migrants who speak different languages, including English, to be translators and interpreters, and we are expanding our training. The solution is out there. It's just not being implemented by the people with knowledge and experience. Thank you for your time, and I urge us all to act with urgency and compassion for black migrants in the city who need your support. Thank you.

RIVA SHANG: Thank you, Chair Avilés and the Committee on Immigration, for inviting us to testify. My name is Riva Shang, and I coordinate Small Business Support Programs at the Asian American Federation. AAF represents a network of over 70 community organizations in the Northeast who serve many diverse Asian communities. We're also a proud member of the Language Justice Collaborative, who advocated for and supported the implementation of Local Law 30.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Asian population continues to be the fastest-growing group in New York City. They also own 18 percent of employer firms in the city. These small businesses are not only economic engines in the lifeblood of immigrant communities, they're also at the front lines of interacting with public-facing City agencies, all of whom are covered under 2017's Local Law 30. As 65 percent of Asian New Yorkers are foreign-born and over 40 percent have limited English proficiency, language access is crucial for them to receive equitable services. Yet, in interviews with our clients, four out of five business owners did not know they have the right to language access when working with agencies. Only two had ever used Language Line. The one owner who knew about Language Line access from friends told us that through her five years of using the service, sometimes it was helpful, but many other times they needed to wait hours for an available interpreter, rendering the service null. And only one out of five cases had an inspector themselves call Language Line. Most often, English-speaking inspectors do not alert the owner that this is an option. Many of these business owners may speak enough English to get through the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

interaction but not enough to understand details or how to follow up. For two dry cleaners, they did not understand that violations were being issued, and inspectors wrote their reports under a ticket section titled Recommendations. This miscomprehension led to accruing fines and bars on new permits, and one of the dry cleaners did not discover these violations until three years later, at which point they owed over 6,000 dollars. In the most egregious cases, the inspector is often being contracted by a City agency to do inspections on behalf of the agency, which then issues violations based on the report. AAF's small business team works to mitigate these effects, taking calls from business owners going through inspections and providing assistance when dealing with City agencies. However, better City services and support are crucial to closing loopholes in language access. To this end, we first support Council Member Hanif's move to establish an Office of Translation and Interpretation, as human translators and interpreters will greatly improve outreach materials and are crucial for equitable access to services. We also secondly recommend that all inspectors, including both city employees and third-party contractors, be

- 2 required to offer language access to inspectees.
- 3 Given that publicity about Local Law 30 has clearly
- 4 | been minimal, immigrants are mostly unaware of these
- 5 rights and are not in a position to advocate for
- 6 | language access themselves. Therefore, we urge the
- 7 | Council to require inspectors to ask whether these
- 8 services are required, even in cases where it may
- 9 seem that the inspectee has basic English. Thank you
- 10 again for this opportunity to testify, and I'd be
- 11 happy to answer questions.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much for
- 13 all of your testimony.
- I guess I wanted to start here. I was
- 15 | actually out recently with a City agency doing
- 16 outreach to small businesses, and it was the first
- 17 | time I had heard someone say the Language Line was
- 18 | really helpful, because I had never heard that before
- 19 \parallel from providers or City agencies and, in the context,
- 20 | what became clear was that this person was actually
- 21 | quite motivated around using his apparatus and trying
- 22 to figure out how to support the business owner so
- 23 | they could communicate, and he also mentioned, you
- 24 know, people don't really like want to go that far.
- 25 | They're not willing to, like, go through all the

2.2

2.3

weird machinations of having a speaker and having while you're doing these inspections, which brings me back to this notion of enforcement and higher standards. I feel like we're stuck in a spiral of we're just trying to comply with the bare minimum and never seem to be advancing toward full standard so I guess I realize I'm probably doing more commentary than questions, but where would you prioritize? I guess, what do you think about this question of enforcement and how the City could do that, and where would you prioritize in this huge apparatus of places that we could really begin to, like, build our language access and cultural competence? It's a big question. I should have asked the Administration that question.

RIVA SHANG: Yeah, I think for us, because there's so little enforcement mechanism for Local Law 30, it's crucial, like, we're the ones on the ground hearing these complaints from business owners, and there's really no ability for them to report these cases themselves to agencies so we're really the one gathering these stories and being the conduit for being able to bring it to, you know, City government's attention. I don't know. I, you know, as

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not a policy wonk myself, I'm not sure what we could implement, but I would say giving the business owners themselves some sort of recourse to be able to report when language access is not being offered. I think, in general, with inspections, there's just a power dynamic at play where immigrant business owners have very little ability to, you know, report when they're being treated unfairly or the inspector is just coming in, issuing violations, not really explaining. This is something we really see on the ground all the time. So, in general, I think with inspectors, there needs to be a little bit more of a recourse for business owners to report when inspectors are being unfair and when they're not being patient with, you know, offering Language Line or letting them know that these services exist.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. I realize that we didn't get into cultural competence nor this notion of how much of our City apparatus is actually multilingual and how we make sure to lean into that strength as opposed to pulling people in at kind of random ways.

I guess I was curious, Adama, you mentioned cultural competency is really a critical

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

issue and how Afrikana is also training folks in community. Could you lay out, and I know you have a vision and you're doing this work, and I feel like we have a lack of creativity and vision around how to make it happen, could you lay out maybe some recommendations around, like, concrete next steps the City could take to begin to address this?

ADAMA BAH: Sure. I think one of them is you have to fund organizations from those countries. So, if you hire someone because they're Caucasian and speak French, they may not understand what's happening in Africa or what we are going through or someone who learned French in the United States. They do not understand the struggles or what we went through so they may not understand when a woman doesn't look at them in the eyes or when a man doesn't shake her hand so what we do at our office is we train asylum seekers who speak English and speak 16, 17 languages at a time, and what we have done, we've included civic education so they can understand the systems in America and also understand what's happening and how to be an advocate for your client. Because it's not just about translating. Because if you hear the person being aggressive to your client,

2 you should remind the person they should be

3 respectful. Your client does not understand. So, it's

4 a process. It's hard to teach that if you don't have

5 compassion. So, I definitely think you have to fund

6 organizations that are coming from those ethnic

groups.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much. I quess I wanted to just quickly, there was some other, y'all had some really good recommendations. Oh, my goodness, I'm getting lost in my papers. Yeah, I guess I thank you for certainly the recommendations around the consistency between contractors. We see that the City has no apparatus and has not been thinking about the contractors. When we see in the report 135 contractors at varying levels from 20,000 dollars to 18 million dollars and you're experiencing on the ground those gaps, there's enormous amount of work to be done around consistency, assessment of contractors, and finding out what exactly are we paying for and why can't we hire all the folks that Adama is working with to be able to meet that need is mystifying to me, but thank you for the recommendations and certainly your testimony today, so thank you.

2.2

2.3

The next panel, Jorge Paz Reyes, Ana

Juarez, and Ennery Valbrun. Excuse me if I

mispronounce your name.

Members of the City Council of Community, dear

Members of the City Council of Community Immigration,

Assembly Member Alexa Avilés, thank you for the

opportunity to speak today regarding the crucial

issue of language access for our community members.

My name is Jorge Paz-Reyes and I'm currently the

community organizer at Mixteca Organization, a

community-based organization dedicated to the

Spanish-speaking community of Sunset Park as well as

individuals from indigenous backgrounds who speak

languages such as Taplaneco, Mixteco, Nahual,

K'iche', Mem, among others.

I recognize that Local Law 30 has played a crucial role in ensuring that all New Yorkers, regardless of their language proficiency, can access vital public services. However, we must acknowledge the significant gaps remaining in the enforcement and implementation of this law. Our community members often face language barriers that hinder their ability to access essential services including healthcare, education, and social benefits.

2.2

2.3

Here are some of the challenges that we see at Mixteca. First of all, there is a limited interpretation service. While many agencies have Spanish interpreters, the need of indigenous-language speakers are often overlooked. Individuals speaking languages such as Nahual, Mixteco, or K'iche' struggle to find appropriate language access and assistance, leading to missed opportunities for critical service. As in the report was mentioned, there was only one CBO that was identified that provides indigenous languages.

There's also the lack of cultural competency. Many services providers lack the understanding of unique cultural backgrounds of our community members, and this not only affects communication but also impacts the overall quality of service. For this, our recommendations are that there should be an increase of funding for language access programs. We urge the City Council to allocate additional resources for training interpreters and provide multilingual materials specifically for indigenous languages. We also advocate for undocumented experts and community members that have the expertise to provide that language access. Expand

2.2

2.3

the language services. We also recommend that the City expands the languages that Local Law 30 currently covers in order to provide community members from indigenous backgrounds to access the resources that they deserve.

Thirdly, community initiatives and collaborations with CBOs. We recommend to promote outreach programs to educate individuals about the rights and available services, ensuring information is disseminated in all relevant language as well as continuing the collaboration with community-based organizations such as Mixteca to ensure that the community is properly given the resources that they need.

Finally, at Mixteca, we believe that our community deserves to be heard, understood, and supported in their native language. We believe that with the stronger enforcement of Local Law 30, increased funding, and improved outreach efforts, we can create a more inclusive city where every resident has equitable access to public services. Thank you for your attention on this vital matter, and I have brought two community members so they can also share their experiences. Thank you.

ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)

25

INTERPRETER: And with help of Local Law
30, I've been able to provide help in Spanish,
French, and Creole.
ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)
INTERPRETER: Thanks to the public
services of the City, I've seen how families have
been able to access health and education resources
and food assistance.
ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)
INTERPRETER: And thanks to the fact that
they've received services in their own language,
they've been able to adapt easier to the city.
ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)
INTERPRETER: These resources are vital so
that the immigrant community can adapt to the city
easier and contribute to our culture and also to our
economy.
ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)
INTERPRETER: That's why as an immigrant
and as an interpreter, I ask that you please continue
giving the <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> needed to continue assisting
the community in their own language.

ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH)

24

25

INTERPRETER: To receive assistance in 2 3 their own language not only empowers the community but also helps us grow, all of us, as New Yorkers. 4 ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH) INTERPRETER: I have lived in several 6 7 places including Santiago, Chile, a city somehow similar to New York... 8 ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH) INTERPRETER: That's why I believe it's so 10 11 important that we have a law such as Local Law 30. 12 ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH) 13 INTERPRETER: So thank you so much for 14 your time and efforts, and I hope that you can 15 protect and expand the benefits provided in Local Law 16 30. 17 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: (SPEAKING SPANISH) 18 ENNERY VALBRUN: (SPEAKING SPANISH) 19 ANA JUAREZ (READ BY INTERPRETER): Good 20 afternoon, Members of the Council and the City and 21 the Immigration Committee. My name is Ana Juarez. I'm an immigrant from Mexico in the Bay Ridge community. 2.2 2.3 There's a lot of things I saw such as my son being in medical treatment. He has leukemia or blood cancer. 24

Many times, I had to use translating services, be it

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

physically or online. Thanks to Local Law 30, I had the opportunity to get help with public agencies and receive the needed attention to take care of my son. I saw that it's very necessary to have translation for people who do not understand the language to be able to communicate with the doctors that help their sick children, be it little ones or teenagers. Also for family members who are taking care of an adult who is sick. The translation service is very important for people because their treatment depends on the guidance of the doctor for the parents or for themselves. Because this is a life-or-death situation, the guidance they give, such as how to use medication, what to do if the child with cancer shows fever, how to go to the ER quickly without waiting, that could cost their life. It's also necessary to have translation services for people who come from other countries looking for a better life in this country who feel that that's their salvation in life and those who come to work and give back to the economy. Many are not looking for medical attention because they're afraid of not finding anyone who understands their language or simply they don't find a translation service. Translation services are very

New York. Thank you very much.

2.2

2.3

important for all of us who live in this city and for schools. The city is formed by many people of many different nationalities. It's also something that supports a family to have translation, be it physically or online or on telephone. That's why a day like today, as an immigrant, as a mother, and as a New Yorker, I ask that you please give more funding to have more languages in the agencies of the City of

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are you aware, have you used, or have you seen others use 3-1-1 to issue complaints around language inaccessibility? (SPEAKING SPANISH)

INTERPRETER: (SPEAKING SPANISH)

Mixteca we do give them the guidance of the 3-1-1 being the place to place complaints. However, we do not see it as a place to complain about language inaccessibility. It is not promoted that way, and it is not something that really comes naturally to community members. It is seen to place complaints for noise or something more physical, but when it comes to language inaccessibility, it's not something that we identify with.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, thank you so much. I think a lot of New Yorkers don't use 3-1-1 for a lot of reasons, but we see that this is the data that the City is using to verify whether it's doing a decent job or not, or at least one of the data points, so I would encourage all of us to start utilizing this portal more to put forward data that, we know the services are not there, but we need also consistent data around it so thank you so much. Thank you for the work that you're doing and your testimony today. Gracias.

JORGE PAZ REYES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So now we will turn to the virtual panelists.

For virtual panelists, once your name is called, a Member of our Staff will unmute you and the Sergeant-at-Arms will set a timer and give you the go ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

Now, I will call our first virtual panelists, Abigail Dorcin and Miral Abbas.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

25

2 ABIGAIL DORCIN: My name is Abigail 3 Dorcin, and I am an Organizing Manager at La Colmena, 4 an immigrant and workers rights center located on Staten Island. Our mission is to provide and empower immigrant workers through education, culture, 6 organizing, and economic development. As part of the Day Laborer Coalition Domestic Worker Initiative, we 8 are dedicated to supporting day laborers and domestic workers on Staten Island. Our work allows us to be 10 11 there for the community when we are needed the most. 12 Recently, our work has allowed us to be on the 13 forefront to support our new neighbors. For example, our center has seen thousands of new arrivals this 14 15 past year, meanwhile supporting long-term immigrants 16 who have been here for years. One essential aspect in 17 providing the best services to the community is the 18 significance of language access and translation. Many 19 of our new neighbors' experience language barriers, 20 which can severely limit their ability to access important services, understanding their rights, and 21 navigate an unfamiliar environment. By offering 2.2 2.3 translation services and multilingual resources, we ensure that everyone can fully engage in our 24

programs, which range from OSHA and scaffolding

2.2

2.3

training to Know Your Rights workshop. When people can communicate in their native language, they are more likely to seek help and successfully integrate into the workforce. This is why at La Colmena, we are proud to provide a safe space to diverse communities by prioritizing language access. When our members come to our centers or new arrivals step foot at La Colmena for the first time, always let us know that they are happy to have received the information that they needed. There is still much ahead of us, but La Colmena looks forward to working with the Council to bring language accessibility at all levels. Thank you, Chairwoman, and to the rest of the Council for this opportunity to testify on behalf of our vibrant Staten Island community. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank you for your work. Miral Abbas.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

MIRAL ABBAS: Good afternoon. My name is
Miral Abbas, and I am the Health Partnerships
Coordinator at CACF, or the Coalition for Aiding
American Children and Families. Thank you very much
to Chair Avilés for holding this hearing and
providing the opportunity to testify.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Founded in 1986, CACF is a nation's only pan-Asian children and families advocacy organization and leads the fight for improved and equitable policies, systems, funding, and services to support those in need. The Asian American Pacific Islander, or AAPI, population comprises nearly 18 percent of New York City, and many of our diverse communities face high levels of poverty, overcrowding, uninsurance, and linguistic isolation, yet the needs of our AAPI community are consistently overlooked, misunderstood, and uncounted. We are constantly fighting the harmful impacts of the model minority myth that prevents our needs from being recognized and understood. Our communities, as well as the organizations that serve the community, too often lack the resources to provide critical service to those most marginalized AAPI New Yorkers. Working with over 70 member and partner organizations across the city to identify and speak out on the many challenges our community faces, CACF is building a community too powerful to ignore. While Local Law 30 has thought to provide additional support to our LEP community, language barriers are still a huge obstacle faced by many folks in immigrant

2 communities, and especially in our AAPI community. In New York City, the AAPI community has the highest 3 4 rate of linguistic isolation of any group, as 46 percent have limited English proficiency, according to a recent report from the New York City Department 6 7 of Health and Mental Hygiene. However, more than two 8 in three Asian seniors in New York City are LEP, and approximately 49 percent of all immigrants at NYC are LEP. During the height of the COVID-19 pandemic, we 10 11 conducted a rapid needs assessment in collaboration with the NYU Center for the Study of Asian American 12 13 Health and Chinese American Planning Council, and we surveyed over 1,000 adults of Asian, Hispanic, 14 15 Latinx, or Arab descent living in the metropolitan 16 New York area to assess the current and ongoing needs 17 of the community during the pandemic. The study 18 highlighted the disproportionate impact that the 19 pandemic had had on New York Asian American community 20 and demonstrated the importance of language access. 21 Specifically, the study found that 34 percent of 2.2 Asian American adults reported language barriers 2.3 being a challenge during the pandemic, and furthermore, 27 percent of Asian American respondents 24 indicated that they felt that they did not have 25

2	regular access to timely, accurate information during
3	the pandemic in their own language. The study also
4	shed light on the specific language barriers Asian
5	American folks were facing. Chinese, Korean, and
6	Bangladeshi adults reported high rates of
7	difficulties waiting for an interpreter, while
8	Korean, Japanese, and other Asian adults reported
9	higher rates of difficulty getting written materials
10	in their preferred languages. Being unable to access
11	vital COVID-19 information or any health services can
12	be a threat to one's livelihood. A recent report from
13	the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs found that
14	over half of the service centers were in some

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

MIRAL ABBAS: Thank you.

violation of the local law...

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. You can also submit your testimony for the record at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you so much.

Now, we've heard from everyone who signed up to testify. If we've inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in person, please visit the Sergeant-at-Arms table and complete a witness slip.

2.2

2.3

If we've inadvertently missed anyone who would like
to testify virtually, please raise hand function now
in the Zoom, and a Member of our Staff will call on

you in the order of the hands raised.

I will now read the names of those who have registered to testify in person or virtually.

Jamin Chah (phonetic), Arash Azizadeh (phonetic),

Taina Wagnett (phonetic), Marilla Lee (phonetic), and

Ashley Chen (phonetic). If you're on Zoom, please raise your hand.

Seeing no one else, I would also like to note again that written testimony will be reviewed in full by the Committee Staff and may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

With that, I would like to especially
thank Mixteca, CACF, New York Immigration Coalition,
Afrikana, La Colmena, Asian American Federation,
Korean American Family Services, and so many other
organizations that are providing language
accessibility and culturally competent services to so
many New Yorkers. I thank them for their testimony. I
thank them for their support, and I thank the

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 146	
2	Administration and all who participated in today's	
3	hearing and, with that, we close. [GAVEL]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 7, 2024