CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

November 12, 2024 Start: 11:14 a.m. Recess: 1:11 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Francisco P. Moya

Yusef Salaam Lynn C. Schulman

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Adam Taubam Kramer Levin, Port Authority Counsel

Glenn Guzzi
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey

Hersh Parekh
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey

Kirsten Jones
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey

Julia Campanelli President of Hell's Kitchen Block Association

Joan Greenfield Building owner at 402 West  $40^{\text{th}}$  Street

Kate Barnhart New Alternatives for Homeless LGBT Youth

Ian Acriche
Regional Planning Association

Joe Restuccia
Manhattan Community Board Four

Jesse Bodine
Manhattan Community Board Four

Kathleen Treat
Hell's Kitchen Neighborhood Association

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Brian Weber

Christine Berthet Manhattan Community Board Four

Chad Purkey ABNY

Jessica Chait Manhattan Community Board Four

Maddie DeCerbo
Real Estate Board of New York

Tiffany Triplett Henkel Metro Baptist Church

Megan Wylie New York Building Congress

	4

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. At this time, we ask that you silence all electronic devices, and at no time is anyone to approach the dais. If you would like to sign up for in-person testimony or have any other questions throughout the hearing, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms. Chair, we're ready to begin.

[gavel]

2.2

and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee on
Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Kevin
Riley, Chari for the Subcommittee. I am joined today
remotely by Council Member Moya, Council Member
Abreu, Hanks, Schulman, Zhuang, and also Bottcher.
Today's meeting will include votes on items
previously heard by the Subcommittee, including the
Brooklyn Yards proposal in Council Member Zhuang's
district and Council Member Yeger's district, and the
962 Franklin Avenue proposal in Council Member
Hudson's district also in Brooklyn. Before we take
those votes we will also hold a public hearing on a
proposed sidewalk café application in Council Member

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 Holden's district in Queens. And lastly, also on 3 today's agenda is a public hearing concerning the 4 application for the reconstruction of the Port 5 Authority Bus Terminal in Council Member Bottcher's district in Manhattan. And now, we'll review our 6 7 hearing procedures. This meeting is being held in a hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to 8 testify may testify in-person or through Zoom. wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting 10 11 the New York City Council's website at 12 www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up. If you are 13 here in-person, please see one of the Sergeant at 14 Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card. Members 15 of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of 16 this meeting at the Council's website. When you are 17 called to testify and you are online, you will remain 18 muted until recognized by myself to speak. Please 19 take a moment to check your device and confirm that 20 your mic is on before you begin speaking. We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. 21 Members of the public may also submit written 2.2 2.3 testimony through email at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony 24

may be submitted up to three days after the hearing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7 is closed. Please indicate the LU number and/or the project name in the subject line of your email. request that the witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until you are excused by myself, as Council Members may have questions. Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, this meeting is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during this meeting unless you are testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. We've also been joined by Council Member David Carr. I now will open the public hearing on LU 188 relating to the La Catrina sidewalk café application in Council Member Holden's district. This application seeks to operate a sidewalk café with approximately six tables and 12 seats at an existing establishment in Maspeth, Oueens. Council Member Holden has confirmed that he

is in support of this application, and I have a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Sincerely, Robert F. Holden, Council Member from

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9
2	District 30." For anyone wishing to testify on this
3	item remotely, if you have not already done so, you
4	must register online. You may do that now by
5	visiting the Council's website at
6	council.nyc.gov/landuse. And once again, for anyone
7	with us in-person, please see one of the Sergeants to
8	prepare and submit a speaker's card. If you would
9	prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do
10	so by emailing it to
11	landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Counsel, are there
12	any members of the public who wish to testify
13	regarding this sidewalk café application remotely or
14	in-person?
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, it appears no
16	one is here to testify in-person, and we also do not
17	have anyone signed up online to testify.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no
19	members of the public who wish to testify on LU 188
20	regarding the La Catrina's application for a sidewall
21	café, the public hearing is now closed and the item
22	is laid over. Before we go to our next public
23	hearing today, we will vote on items that have been a
24	lid over. We will vote to approve the modification

of LUs 164 through 172 for the Brooklyn Yards

2.2

2.3

proposal which is located in Brooklyn's district of
Council Member Zhuang and Council Member Yeger. The
proposal includes a zoning map amendment, zoning text
amendment, and seven special permits to facilitate
the development of 14 buildings within the existing
railroad right-of-way and including approximately 270
dwelling units, up to 81 of which would be
permanently affordable. Our modification will be to
reduce the proposed height of the proposed commercial
because along New Utrecht Avenue from 10 stories to
nine stories to be more in keeping with the built
character of the surrounding area. Council Member
Zhuang and Yeger are in support of this proposal as
modified, and I would now like to give the floor to

Riley, for giving me the opportunity to speak about the Brooklyn Yard project. This project which will have a significant impact on my constituent as with the large-scale development project. The City must balance the desires of the developer with the needs of the community. There's no denying that the City needs more affordable housing. My district also no different. Ever since I have been elected I always

Council Member Zhuang to give her remarks.

The City and the developers need to continue working

together to come up with new and creative way to

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

utilize the existing space. For example, we can
utilize the active railroad which is not new. This
project where there's a community benefit is a win
for all parties, and also our community boards are
fully supportive of this project. This is why I
fully support this project and urge my colleagues on
this committee to vote in favor of Brooklyn Yards.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Zhuang, for your leadership on this proposal. We will also vote to approve with modifications LUs 161, 162 and 163 relating to the Franklin Avenue proposal also in Brooklyn in Council Member Hudson's district. The proposal includes a zoning map amendment, zoning text amendment, and zoning special permit to facilitate the development of a 355 dwelling unit of which approximately 105 apartments are anticipated to be permanently affordable. application has gone through multiple iterations and I am pleased to say that the rezoning we are approving today will achieve the community's two key objectives to create affordable housing while minimizing the shadows of the nearby Brooklyn Botanical Gardens. To achieve this balance, we are

modifying the proposal in two ways. First, we are decreasing the slope of the limited plane [sic] from 15 to 10 degrees while adjusting the starting height of the plane from 85 to 90 feet. Decreasing this slope was an important aim for the Botanical Gardens, and we have found a way to achieve it. Second, we are including the workforce option as part of the required affordable housing for this project. Including the workforce option would make the project financially feasible given how much the project size was reduced from the applicant's proposal to address the community and Garden's concerns. It will also provide the increased mix of affordable units accessible to teachers, nurses, firemen, and etcetera, the people and families who keep this city going. Council Member Hudson is in support of this proposal as modified. And we will also vote to approve LUs 188 relating the sidewalk café application by La Catrina in Council Member Holden's district in Queens which was the subject of a hearing held earlier just today. La Catrina seeks to continue operating outside seating, and as we heard in the statement, Council Member Holden supports this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 14
2	proposal. Counsel, are there any Council Members
3	with questions or remarks at this time?
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. I will now
6	call for a vote to approve with modifications LUs
7	161, 162 and 163 relating to the 962-972 Franklin
8	Avenue proposal, to approve with modifications LUs
9	164 through 172 relating to the Brooklyn Yards
10	proposal, and to approve LUs 188 relating to the La
11	Catrina application for sidewalk café. Counsel, can
12	you please call the roll?
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley?
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye on all.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Moya?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Abreu?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Aye.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Hanks?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Aye.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
22	Schulman?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Aye on all.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Carr?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Aye on all.

1

3

4

\_

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, the vote-sorry. The items are adopted by a vote of six in the
affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions and
will be referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 185, 186 and 187 relating to the Port Authority application for a zoning text amendment, a special permit and a de-mapping action regarding its bus terminal replacement project in Manhattan located in Council Member Bottcher's district. The Port Authority's existing bus terminal built in 1950 is outdated and increasingly ill-equipped to provide the services that visitor, commuters and New Yorkers deserve. look forward to hearing how the Port Authority plans on redesigning the bus station. For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And once again, for anyone with us in-person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speaker's card. If you prepare to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council Member Bottcher, do you have any remarks for this project?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Yes. Spread the good news. We are replacing the Port Authority bus terminal. If the happiest place on earth is Disneyland, arguably one of the least happiest places has got to be the Port Authority bus terminal. one of the busiest bus terminals in the world serving more than 7,200 buses and about 200,000 people each day. It's an incredible feat that is pulled off by thousands of hard-working workers of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, but let's face it, every New Yorker knows that the current bus terminal is gritty, dingy, maze-like, far from what we deserve in a portal, a gateway to the greatest city in the world. Today, we stand on the brink of a historic transformation. The new bus terminal represents not just an upgrade in infrastructure, but a bold vision to turn a longstanding urban blight into a shining example of modern design and functionality. New Yorkers are going to get a terminal that is bright, clean, modern, a place with soaring ceilings that inspire rather than confine. The new design will also enhance the traveler

for affordable housing on Port Authority-owned

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Panelists, would you please raise your right hands, raise your right hands and state your names for the record-- state your name and answer the following the question. Do you swear

2.2

2.3

24

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all Council Member questions truthfully?

GLENN GUZI: Glenn Guzi, yes.

ADAM TAUBAM: Adam Taubam, yes.

HERSH PAREKH: Hersh Parekh, yes.

KIRSTEN JONES: Kirsten Jones, yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin. I'll just ask the applicants to please restate your name and your organization for the record before you begin. And also, can you please just move the mic up to your mouth when you're speaking as well. Thank you. You may begin.

HERSH PAREKH: Good morning. Thank you, Chair Riley. Thank you, Council Member Bottcher and to the members of the committee, and of course to the committee staff who have been tremendous partners to work with on this process. My name Hersh Parekh.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm with the Port Authority of New York and New
Jersey, and we're here to present to you about our
bus terminal replacement project and the associated
ULURP for that project. We'll begin the presentation
with just a short summary of our requested land use
actions in the ULURP, and then we'll jump into the
actual details of the project. So with that, I'll
turn it over to my colleagues Adam.

ADAM TAUBAM: Thank you, Adam Taubam at Kramer Levin. We're land use counsel to the Port Authority. Next slide, please. I'll be discussing the requested land use actions before moving on to the rest of the presentation. Next, please. So, as Hersh and Council Member Botcher noted, the project that we're presenting today is the result of many year of the site development and feedback from community members and elected officials, and this is a collaborative process that we expect will continue through the final design and construction of the project. But to set the table for today's hearing, we are here today to request the Council's approval of three land use actions for the bus terminal. Those actions are a zoning text amendment to Section 74145 of the zoning resolution which already allows

bus stations by special permit but on terms that are

outdated with respect to planning considerations. 3

4 Second, is a special permit pursuant to Section 74145

to allow the proposed bus station use and to grant

other zoning approvals that are needed for the 6

7 project? And third is a change in the city map to

8 de-map various volumes of city streets that will

contain critical elements of the bus terminal.

have time set aside at the end of the presentation to 10

11 describe these actions in greater detail, but first

12 Hersh will tell you a bit more about the project

itself. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

HERSH PAREKH: Great. Thank you, Adam. Next slide, please. So, we'll begin with just a description of the current condition of the bus terminal. Chair Riley and Council Member Bottcher already alluded to these. We'll go to the next slide, but you can see that it is not the most welcoming environment. The terminal functions in the best way it can, accommodating the hundreds of thousands of commuters that utilize it every day, but

we know we can do better. We know New York deserves

better, commuters deserves better and the community

deserves better. You can go to the next slide,

2 please. So, in terms of what exists today, you have 3 the existing bus terminal which is highlighted in 4 yellow, and you have the existing ramp structure 5 highlighted in red. The way the system currently operates is approximately 850 buses per hour which is 6 7 actually currently about 600 buses per hour in the post-COVID environment. They come in the morning. 8 They drop off customers, and then they come back in the afternoon and they pick up commuters to head home 10 11 for the day. The ramps are relatively short. 12 They're single lane that don't allow bypass 13 capabilities. So, if there is breakdown or other 14 issue, it creates -- it causes havoc across the 15 If an unauthorized vehicles enters the ramp 16 network, it's not simple to get it out of the ramp 17 network. And I'll also just highlight, in blue 18 you'll see a number of surface-level parking lots 19 across the community that accommodate bus operations. 20 The current terminal simply cannot accommodate the 21 number of operations that are necessary for the 2.2 system to work and to get commuters and other folks 2.3 into and out of the city. So it creates an environment where you have not only just a very 24 25 heavily utilized bus terminal, but surface-level lots

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that create congestion in the community, have buses idling in the community. It's really not a great environment, and we're looking to fix that. Next slide, please. So we jumped into our project objectives. Next slide. And as Adam noted, there were a number of objectives that we had for this project, improving the trans-Hudson bus operations, improving the passenger experience, really making sure that we reduce the impact of the terminal on the surrounding community, but all of that really boils down to two key points. We needed to make sure that this project met the needs of the commuters who utilize the bus terminal and the community that lives and works and surrounds the bus terminal. And so if you go to the next slide, we can jump to what our original project was. It was a build-in-place proposal to really demolish the existing terminal and ramp network and replace it in-kind at a cost of about \$5.5 billion. We then jumped into a series of community engagement, and that goes to the next slide. And as the Council Member noted, there has been years and years of extensive community engagement. We have received significant feedback about how this project can be made better. If you go

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to the next slide, you can see all of the various stakeholders that we have engaged with in both New York and in New Jersey. This is just a selected list. The list actually goes for much longer. so based on this years and years of feedback, we landed on the project that we have in front of us today, which is on the next slide. So this is a much larger project. It is what we call the enhanced build-in-place project. It is a \$10 billion project, and it does meet the needs of the community. It meets the needs of the commuters, and we are proud of that and we are proud to have achieved that vision in partnership and collaboration with the community. Also, critically important as Council Member Bottcher noted, there will be no imminent domain. Every piece of this project will be built on Port Authority property, no taking of private land. This project is made with a number of different components. start with the yellow building on the far east side. That's going to be the new main bus terminal. will include not just space for commuter buses, but also significantly important for the community, space for inter-city buses. These are your Megas and your Bolts that currently operate on curbside locations.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will be able to move many of them into the new bus terminal which was a critical ask from the community. West of that you see the staging and storage facility in the purple blue color. That facility is important because it will allow for those buses that currently idle and park on local streets to be moved into this terminal. It will make sure that buses are no longer creating congestion, causing pollution. Instead they will be able to stage in this facility while they are waiting to get to their gate. They'll be able to store in this facility during the mid-day hours between the two rush hours. Also important is that this facility will serve as a temporary terminal so that when we demolish the existing bus terminal, this facility will be able to maintain operations for To the west of that, you see the brand new ramp structure which is important because it connects directly into the Lincoln Tunnel, reduces the number of buses that need to circulate on city streets, and is a much more efficient operation. And then just below the bus terminals you see what's called the deck-overs and the new open space. These are-initially will be constructed as decks over the Dire Avenue roadway. There will be bus operations there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

during the construction program, but upon the conclusion of the program, the deck-overs will be converted to nearly three and a half acres of muchneeded open space that this community does not currently have. Also, an important ask from the community that we are happy to be able to deliver on. So again, key points here: no taking of private property; all Port Authority property responsive to many of the needs and requests and feedback that we received from the community and the City; and a much larger \$10 billion project. Go to the next slide and just flip through a series of project views that show the conditions today and what we anticipate will be a brand new terminal, as Council Member Bottcher noted. So this is Eight Avenue and 40th Street, a much brighter, spacious, nicer experience, and always passable. The next slide goes to the subway entrance that currently exists -- low ceilings, dark, not very easy to navigate. We want to make sure that even if you're not taking a bus into the bus terminal that your ability to access the subway system through this facility is improved in a tremendous way, and that's here on the next slide. It shows you what the spacious, light-filled facility will look like with

in the backgrounds you see the new staging and

there is appropriate lighting and other tools to make

sure that the environment is bright and welcoming.

3 So this is just a quick run-through of what we expect

4 | the bus terminal will look like. I'll turn it back

5 over to Adam to speak about the ULURP actions in more

6 specific detail.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ADAM TAUBAM: Thank you, Hersh. slide, please. Next slide. So, as I said earlier, there are three land use actions for which we're seeking approval, and those are zoning text amendments, a special permit and a change in the city map, and I'll be taking you through those in-turn. Next slide, please. So section 74-145 of the zoning resolution today allows bus stations by special permit, but it hasn't been amended in any substantive way since the zoning resolution was adopted in 1961. So the Port Authority is proposing a text amendment to that provision to create a new special permit that is available within the site's geographic area and that authorizes the types of zoning approvals that are needed for a project as complex as this one. That zoning text amendment would also establish new findings that reflect the city's planning goals for a modern bus terminal with an emphasis not just on bus circulation and vehicular traffic, but also on

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pedestrian circulation, the public realm and quality of design. The proposed special permit pursuant to that amended section would then do three things. First, it would approve the bus station use shown here in a gray color. Second, it would approve the construction of the bus station within the air spaces that are proposed to be de-mapped under the second mapping action. This image shows in a aqua color the air spaces that will contain the facility's most critical bridging elements. And third, the special permit would approve zoning modifications to facilitate the development of the over-build towers shown here in a very faint pink color, including a transfer of floor area within the bus station zoning lot. The over build towers are a critical funding source for the project as first pointed out a few moments ago, and the massing shown here in any application are not actual tower designs, but rather maximum building envelopes that would govern the future design and construction of the towers. Proposed mapping action would allow for the de-mapping and conveyance to the Port Authority of various street volumes that are needed for the

Those street volumes generally fall into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

three categories. First is an at-grade portion of West 41st Street that extends west from Eighth Avenue. Shown here is a green volume poking out of the building's frontage on Eighth Avenue. volume is situated between, above, and below-grade portions of the street that were de-mapped in the 1970s to accommodate the pedestrian connections between the existing bus terminal's north and south The proposed de-mapping would fill the donut hole created by those priority mappings, allowing the bus terminal to be built with a unified entrance and central atrium on Eighth Avenue. This is the only area that the Port Authority is proposing to de-map that accommodates vehicular traffic today. The second category are three over-street volumes which I pointed out a moment ago, spanning Ninth Avenue, West  $40^{th}$  Street, and  $10^{th}$  Avenue shown here again in that light aqua color. These over-street volumes will accommodate the proposed bus level connections between the main terminal, the storage and staging facility and the ramp structure. These connections are critical because they'll allow bus loading and circulation activities to be accommodated entirely within the facility keeping buses off neighboring

2 city streets. The third category are various at-

3 grade, above grade and below grade volumes

4 immediately adjacent to the Port Authority's property

5 | which will accommodate needed building overhangs,

6 façade extends and foundation elements. With that,

I'll turn it back to Hersh.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HERSH PAREKH: So, to close out our presentation this morning-- go to the next slide, The next slide, please. So this is our please. project timeline and our phasing plan. We are at the end of 2024 now. We are closing out on the federal environmental review process with the final EIS received on October 4th, and we are anticipating the record of decision that will complete the EIS NIPA [sic] process in the next very short period of time. We are also in the, as you know, the end of the ULURP process, and with that we expect to be able to commence construction on this project at the very early part of 2025. The first phase of the project will involve a temporary terminal and the ramps and the deck overs. We've already begun preliminary procurement activity for the phase one of the project. That will be approximately a four-year scheduled. We will then convert or use the storage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and staging facility as a temporary terminal while we demolish the existing bus terminal during phase two, and that phase two will also be approximately a fouryear project which will get us to the end of 2032. And upon the conclusion of the phase two, when the temporary terminal is converted back to the staging and storage facility, it will then convert the deckovers into the greenspace and the new terminal will become active as well. What's important to note here is this timeline and the criticality of it. Part of this project funding for phase one is a federal TIFIA [sic] loan and we want to ensure that we can close on that loan before the end of the year so that there are no additional delays caused by the change-over in Administration in Washington which typically happens regardless of any transitionary period. So, it is our goal to close out that loan application in the next very short period of time, and with that loan in hand we'll be able to proceed with the phase one of this project. And our final slide is just a summary of this entire program. We view this project as a win for the City of New York, a win for the community that surrounds this bus terminal and a win for the commuters who use this bus terminal. It'll be a

a

modern 21<sup>st</sup> century facility, a gateway to New York that this city and this region deserves. It will address and mitigate many of the challenges that the existing bus terminal has created for the community with the addition of the open greenspace, with the removal of the buses that idle on local city streets, reducing congestion, moving the inter-city buses into the bus terminal, enhancing the streetscape, adding retail, making it a more welcoming and friendly environment for the community. So with that, Council Members and Chair Riley, we appreciate the time and happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much for your presentation, and it's really great to hear that the Port Authority will be investing in bus infrastructure. A redesign of the Port Authority terminal is well over-due, and we need a similar focused investment on the buses in the Bronx. So I'm really happy to hear about this as well. You touched on most of the questions that I was going to ask, but I'm just going to ask them for the record. I would like to know more about how the redesign came about. We had a lot of stakeholders that you put up on that screen, from the Community Board all the way down to

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

their input?

2 MTA. Who were the key stakeholders that helped shape 3 this project and how was this proposal reflective on

5 ADAM TAUBAM: Sure. I'm going to ask 6 Glenn Guzi who's been on this project probably since

the beginning to speak to that question.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

GLENN GUZI: Good morning, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning.

GLENN GUZI: So, to respond to your question, as you've heard, this project has been thought about for several years, many years. was several processes that predated our current process, and through those processes we received a lot of public feedback, community feedback which actually prompted us to pause our thinking and reformat how we're going to approach. The reformat included re-engagement of the community, whether it was elected officials or Community Boards Four and Five at the time to stop for a moment and say okay, tell us know what it is that's important to you versus us telling the community what we thought they So, that was a critical movement in how needed. we're approaching the development of the project.

had no concepts at that point in time, because it was more important for us to understand what the community needed out of the project. Following that, we continued working with the bus carriers, New Jersey transit, for instance, as the largest carrier in the facility, to understand what was going to be important for the carriers to have in a facility that would enable the facility to stand the test of time. So once it opened, it wasn't automatically obsolete to understand how we're going to get 50+ years out of a facility for an efficient movement of buses. we really spent approximately two years listening, we brought in our designers and our architects to start creating massings of the program to be able to take back to the community, the Community Boards and the local elected officials to say okay, this is what we've heard, this is what we understand your needs to be, this is how we're going to approach massing the project keeping in mind that we will be taking no private property. So, from that point it became a very deep discussion with all of the members of the Community Boards Four and Five, prominently four, to evolve the design to meet their specific needs while

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

also maintaining the needs of the operators and the commuters, and it continues.

about the timeline in the construction phase. Thank you. It was very detailed. How is that going to be communicated throughout the community with the different phases? I know you said phase one is like four years. Phase two will be another four years. How is this going to be communicated with the

HERSH PAREKH: sure. So, I think two
points here. One is we have been very proud of our
work with the community, as Glenn noted, that as has
led us to this project design, and the commitment
that we've made is even after we are passed these
regulatory processes, that our engagement with the
community will continue, that robust dialogue that we
have had with them will continue, and quite frankly
speaking, you know, the construction period is when
they will feel the most impact, and we recognize
that, and we have made—

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [interposing] That} goes into my next question.$ 

2.2

2.3

community?

2.2

2.3

2 HERSH PAREKH: I'm just reading your mind 3 here.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So how would the bus services be impacted during construction, and will there be a reduction in services?

HERSH PAREKH: So, I'm going to Kirsten who actually is part of the operation of the bus terminal to speak to that question, but just one point I'll make is we recognize that this will be complicated project that will create significant impacts during the construction period. It is our commitment to do what we can to minimize those impacts, but also be overly communicative about what's coming so that the community and the people who live and work here are able to plan ahead and know what's coming. Let me ask Kirsten to speak about the impact on bus operations during the project.

AIRSTEN JONES: Hello. So, we have already begun working with all the carriers. We meet with New York State [sic] Transit regularly which is our largest carrier. Right now, they're about 80 percent of our operation in the bus terminal. During construction we're working very closely with the

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

construction phasing team to make sure that bus
operations will continue throughout the entirety of
construction without interruption of service. There
may be points at which the travel time is longer, in
which case we would communicate that with the
carriers and with customers directly. During the
phase one construction when the temporary terminal is
being constructed, passenger experience will remain
similar to today because they will still be leaving
the bus terminal from their existing gate. They will
be driving through construction once they leave the
terminal, but the access to the Lincoln Tunnel will
remain the same. Then, while we're in phase two of
construction, when the existing terminal is
demolished and we're operating out of the temporary
terminal, bus passengers will be moved. So they will
have to go to a new location, the temporary terminal.
That terminal will house the vast majority of our
departures and arrivals for the 260,000 people who
use the terminal. However, there will be operations
on the deck-overs and some other remote locations in
the vicinity. All of those locations will be
coordinated with the carriers and with customers. So
we'll be able to communicate those changes well in

program, both phases combined. The phase one, as I

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

mentioned, which is the building of the temporary
terminal, the ramps, and the deck-overs, in our
current Port Authority capital plan we have \$3
billion allocated towards this project. I also
mention the \$1 billion in the federal TIFIA [sic]
loan that we are seeking from the USDOT, and I noted,
you know, the time sensitivity of that and trying to
lock that down before the end of the year to avoid
any further delays due to a transition in
administration. So, that's phase one. Phase two of
the project is approximately a \$6 billion cost, and
that will be demolishing the existing terminal and
rebuilding the new terminal on this same footprint.
For that we expect to allocate another approximately
\$3 billion from our next Port Authority capital plan,
and combined this will represent the largest
commitment of Port Authority capital dollars on a
single project between the two phases. In addition
to that, we reached an agreement with the City of New
York earlier this year whereby the pilot payments
from the two commercial towers that would be built
atop the new main terminal, we would receive those
payments from the in order to help finance this
project, and we also anticipate some revenue to come

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 42 from the sale of those development rights. 2 3 Port Authority itself will not be building the 4 towers. We will seek private developers to come and 5 build and operate those towers, and so that also creates additional sources of funding. And then 6 7 finally, we will be looking for additional grants or loans from the federal government for the phase two. 8 So we are working towards completing the funding

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

plan.

of this project.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Hypothetically speaking, if that doesn't take place by the end of this year, what happens?

The federal loan is critical for the phase one

optimistic and expect that we've had very good discussion with the Department of Transportation and the Build America Bureau. As I noted, we are anticipating the record of decision for the environmental review process in the very next-- very short period of time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

HERSH PAREKH: And if all of these dates close, including the conclusion of this ULURP process, we are optimistic that we should be able to

2 close on the loan, you know, in a timely manner. You

3 know, if the loan does not pan out in the way that is

4 needed for this project, we will have to,

5 accessibility take a look at the funding plan, take a

6 look at the project and likely make some very hard

7 decisions about it.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. And you spoke about the towers, and you came to the decision with the City already. Just two more questions and then I'm going to pass over Council Member Bottcher. How will the bus terminal function differently with the introduction of a new terminal? Aside from increased capacity, how will operations improve?

HERSH PAREKH: So, I'm going to ask

Kirsten to also jump in here. But a couple of key

points that I'll mention. One is sustainability.

The new bus terminal will be equipped with electric

charging infrastructure so that as buses transition

to electric buses, they'll be able to charge in that

storage and staging facility while they're holding

over. We do anticipate that New Jersey Transit which

is the largest carrier that uses the bus terminal

will be transitioning their buses pursuant to a New

Jersey state law that passed very recently, and we

expect other bus carriers will likely follow suit as well, because that is sort of something that is critical in this day and age. And it will also be a much more efficient operation in many ways. And we can probably— if we can flip to slide 12, I'll ask Kirsten to sort of speak to some of those benefits in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

more detail.

KIRSTEN JONES: Sure, so I'll start talking through the buses and then I'll switch to community and passengers. So, for buses themselves, the development of the new ramping system will be a huge improvement over today. So, our existing ramp system is generally singe lane. so if there's an incident on the ramp, in order to get an emergency response vehicle in there, we have to clear out all of the vehicles behind it, or we have to stop traffic going the other way to send in a contraflow response. In the future ramping system we will have bypass capability and so we will be able to not only get buses around an incident if needed, we will also be able to get an emergency response vehicles to that scene, a tow truck or maintenance equipment to that disabled bus, for example, to keep things moving. The benefit of that is that because the ramps are so

also be eliminating bus entrances and exits that

currently exist in the main terminal on the street

24

So those exits and entrances will be going away so buses will no longer need to drive on 40<sup>th</sup> Street or Ninth Avenue at all, and we will be able to make improvements to the pedestrian experience in that area, widening sidewalks and creating a more hospitable environment. For the bus passengers, the building itself would become much intuitive. We will have way-finding that's a lot clearer. Right now, the building was built and over time kind of Frankensteined [sic] together for lack of a better word, and in doing so, the way-finding is not intuitive. It's not inherently clear where you need to go in order to get into the subway or in order to get to certain gates. And so this design will make it much more user-friendly for passengers for regular commuters and also for people that are visiting the city for the first time. The que space will be much cleaner at the gates. So bus passengers will be able to see their bus at the gate and where their line needs to be. In the existing terminal lines are usually not next to the bus departure gate due to a lack of space. So, passengers will have an overall better experience. There will be more light and more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 47
air space for people to move around so the terminal

itself will function much cleaner.

HERSH PAREKH: And Chair Riley, I'll just note, if we can flip to slide 62 briefly. Part of the actions in the ULURP application allow for the full facility to operate as one structure so that the buses can flow easily from the main terminal into the staging and storage facility into the ramp structure all without having to touch city streets, and this sort of diagram gives you a good view of how it will operate as one unified facility, again reducing the congestion and the gridlock and the pollution on local streets.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. The last question. You spoke about the buses that will be moved into a new terminal. You could just answer yes or no. Have the other bus companies agreed to this already?

HERSH PAREKH: Yeah. So there's been extensive coordination with all the bus carriers and they're aware of the plans that will be in place.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you.

I'll now turn it over to Council Member Bottcher.

2.2

2.3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

commit to?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you so 3 much. I want to start by talking about construction 4 mitigation. The construction period will obviously have a lot of impact on the surrounding community, and one of the requests of the Community Board and 6 7 the elected officials is the establishment of a Port 8 Authority bus terminal construction taskforce that can be modeled after the World Trade Center Taskforce with representatives of various city agencies, 10 Community Board Four. Is this something that you'll

GLENN GUZI: Council Member, the quick answer is yes. We certainly, and as you know, learned a lot through our work at rebuilding the World Trade Center which I had been part of since September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001. So, we learned a lot of lessons as a agency, what is expedient versus what is right, and we have made a commitment. We are establishing a construction logistics office which will include internal disciplines, whether it's traffic engineering, the contractors, our government affairs folks, and also external relations relative to stakeholder engagement on a regular basis so there are no surprises.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Minimizing the use of night work from midnight to 7:00 a.m., what commitments will you make with respect to that?

GLENN GUZI: Oh, I don't know the answer to that question in all honesty. What we are currently doing is in the early stages of procurement. So once we on-board contractors, they will have to supply to us their means and methods. Certainly there will be criteria put upon them, whether that would be say loud and noisy work at night would be prohibited. We would be always looking to minimize any disruptions to the local community, understanding for instance on 40th Street across from the bus terminal there are hotels. They're in the business of selling rooms for sleep, so we'd need to be very cognizant of the type of operation and what location it's taking place to be able to best minimize any of the disruptions. Part of the Construction Logistics Office and working with local stakeholders whether it's the Community Board, elected officials offices, or local businesses is to understand their needs before we engage in work, to be able to come up with a plan that reduces any impacts.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 HERSH PAREKH: I'll just note, Council 3 Member, I think, you know, for a project of this 4 complexity and magnitude, there will need to be some overnight work just to make sure we can stick to a 5 specific schedule, but we do recognize that this work 6 7 would happen in an area where people live and work 8 and that have other needs as well, and so we'll be very sensitive to that and do what we can as Glenn mentioned to listen to the community and do our best 10 11 to minimize those impacts, but just suffice to say 12 that, you know, night work would be necessary for a 13 project of this size and magnitude.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What will the

Port Authority be doing with respect to public safety

and social service plan to manage the project's

multiyear duration and the installation of sidewalk

sheds and construction fencing to ensure that these

enclosures are securely maintained and that all

sidewalk sheds are safe, well-lighted, monitored, and

used solely for pedestrian passage? And what kind of

social services will be provided for any unhoused

individuals?

HERSH PAREKH: Yeah, so I think it's important that-- you know, we agree that public

safety is of paramount importance. We want to make sure that the users of the bus terminal, the residents that live around the bus terminal, the businesses that operate around the bus terminal are kept safe during the course of this construction That is probably if not our number one program. priority, really one of our top priorities, and we will make sure that our contractors are staffed. Everyone that's working with us on this program keep to that mantra as well to ensure that conditions are kept safe for everyone mentioned. In terms of the social services plan, we currently work with an organization called Urban Pathways that works within our existing bus terminal to provide -- to support those individuals that are unhoused and need to be referred to social services, and we expect that that type of service would continue on Port Authority property during the course of this program to make sure that any unhoused individuals that are part-- on the property that they are referred to the appropriate service providers to get them the help that they need.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Would you commit to inviting Urban Pathways to be part of the construction taskforce?

HERSH PAREKH: Yeah. I mean, I think it's safe to say that all of our bus terminal stakeholders, including Urban Pathways, would be critical in terms of managing the impacts of the bus terminal construction project, and so I would expect that Urban Pathways would be a key component of that, and you know, we will rely on them to help with addressing that need specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What provisions are you making for the small businesses on Ninth Avenue to mitigate bus terminal interruption, manage sidewalk and street closures, sidewalk sheds, and loss of visibility due to construction?

HERSH PAREKH: So, as part of this construction program, we do not anticipate the need for any bus terminal to close for construction purposes, and we will work with our contractor to make sure that their plans follow that goal. But in the case that if any business does need to close or they have their, you know, their entrance obstructed due to construction reasons, we will work with that

also looked at plans to, for example, increase

signage so that when a business might be underneath

business to address that need. But you know, we've

5 some construction scaffolding or other construction

6 infrastructure, the customers still know that

7 business is still open and operational and that they

8 can still access the business in safe manner. So, we

9 | will work with the business community on that. in

10 | fact, we've already started working with the

11 | Manhattan Chamber of Commerce to go out and do a

12 survey of all the businesses in the vicinity to

13 really understand their needs, what their loading

14 scheduled-- their delivery schedule is like, their

15 | hours of operation, and to make sure that they have a

16 point of contact within our organization to address

any questions they may have. So that work will

18 | continue, but it's already started.

program if it is needed?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: There's a Head Start program operated by Hudson Guild at Metro Baptist Church at 410 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street adjacent to the future construction site. Would you commit to providing a temporary relocation for that Head Start

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2

2.2

2.3

HERSH PAREKH: So, at this time we do not anticipate the need for any relocation of any business or other service or organization that exists within the project area, but what's critical is, again, keeping our ear to the ground and making sure we are hearing from the community, hearing from the students and parents that may participate in that Head Start program, the folks within that church, just keeping a very open line of communication and addressing any issues that come up in a-- in as responsive and timely way as possible.

as part of this project decking over roadways and creating new greenspace for the community. Who will pay for the maintenance of this greenspace after it's completed?

HERSH PAREKH: So, we are very happy with the current design of this program in terms of providing, you know, three and a half acres of new open greenspace for the community. We think it will help reknit the community, provide a, you know, an open space, a resource and amenity that this community has not had access to for a very long period of time. So we are excited about that aspect

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of this project. we also expect to engage in a robust engagement plan whereby the community and other stakeholders, we will work with them to design the open spaces and the programing and the other sort of things that will exist within those deck-over open spaces. In terms of the long-term maintenance of these open spaces, we recognize that as an agency we will play a role in supporting that, but we will also -- we also expect to need the support of both the city and the state and potentially private stakeholders to support in the ongoing maintenance of those open spaces, of those greenspaces. Ultimately, we are a transportation agency, and we will do what we can within our constraints to support the maintenance of those open space, and we know that there's interest form local organizations to manage those opens spaces, but I do expect that there will need to be some financial support from other stakeholders to really ensure that they are maintained properly.

council Member Bottcher: At what point in this process do you envision these questions being answered specifically? The Port Authority is agreeing to make an annual fixed payment, for

4 contributing? Is it in the next two weeks? Is it in

5 the next year? Is it when the decking is complete?

When do you plan on having those discussion? 6

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HERSH PAREKH: I think as we get into the process of the design of these open spaces and we get a clearer picture of what the deck-over open spaces will look like upon the conclusion of the program. I think that's also the appropriate time to start thinking about how to pull together these various sources of funding and other resources to ensure that these spaces are kept in good condition. I don't think it's in anyone's interest, certainly not the Port Authority's interest, not the community's interest, and not other surrounding stakeholders to see these open spaces fall into a state of disrepair. And so we will work together with your office, Council Member, and with other stakeholders to pull that together as we begin thinking about the design of these open spaces. Glenn, is there anything you want to add to that?

GLENN GUZI: No-- sorry. Other than that as part of the planning for what the deck-overs, the conversion would look like, it is important of course to take into account who would be the kind of daily operator and what is it that they would advise us in terms of operational, like O&M that would be necessary. So, we certainly—while, I want to echo what Hersh said, it will be a very collaborative process. It will be important to understand who would be the daily operator so that their input helps guide us, you know, in terms of what the design looks like and what that O&M would be like. So, right now, that is a little early to determine.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you for agreeing to a temporary location— relocation of Astro's Dog Run during the construction of the terminals. When do you plan on having that location up and running?

to get back to you on that question. We do know it is important for the community and the users of the current dog run, and as you noted, we have identified a location to do a temporary relocation, but we are sort of putting together the plans of that relocation and we'll get back to you on the timing of that.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Your-- you are in discussions with the Community Board and others about additional greenspace that-- additional closure of lanes that you're waiting on from the Department of Transportation to get the sign-off on those plans, is that correct?

KIRSTEN JONES: So, there's a roadway, we call it ramp G that connects 34<sup>th</sup>-- sorry, 35<sup>th</sup> to 36<sup>th</sup> Street, and Dyre Avenue South that connects 34th to 35<sup>th</sup> Street. We are currently working with Community Board Four and DOT to pilot a temporary closure during the p.m. rush of the northbound lanes. Once that pilot goes into effect and DOT is able to monitor their concern as traffic increasing on 10<sup>th</sup> and 11th Avenue with the closure of those roadways, then we can move forward with a 24-hour pilot of that roadway. That roadway cannot be closed and greened until the traffic studies have been completed in that entire area, and then -- and the pilot cannot occur-the 24-hour pilot cannot occur until after the Holland Tunnel Sandy work is completed which is currently scheduled for second quarter of 2025, because the traffic that is currently rerouted from the Holland Tunnel closures are coming to the Lincoln Tunnel through that access point. So we are in talks with looking at closing those roadways, but then the roadways would only be closed for certain hours of the day which would not allow for greening. If we can proceed with a 24-hour pilot and the traffic patterns look okay from there, then we can look into greening. Hersh, did you want to talk to greening?

HERSH PAREKH: Yeah, and I think if there is a scenario in which those roads, access roads, can be permanently closed and allowed or made usable for pedestrian use or other sort of open space use, we would like to work with community stakeholders to ident -- to develop a plan for that, identify a funding source to both do the actual greening, but also do the ongoing maintenance of it. It is sort of outside of the Port Authority's budgetary constraints in order to oversee it and manage that effort. we've had successful partnerships with the HYHK bid, for example, in terms of other open spaces in the community and we could, you know, envision a similar arrangement under our use agreement, under our permit that allows for certain uses. It's maintained, you know, by that external partner and we can work in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

that way, but again, there are these pre-steps that need to occur before we can get to that discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Port Authority

in this -- in the footprint of the Port Authority bus terminal area has property that we believe would be a good location for affordable housing. On the west side of Manhattan we have very few opportunities for new affordable housing with respect to buildable lots, and the Port Authority has three on your property that we believe should be utilized for affordable housing. One is on the northeast corner of Dyre Avenue and West 33<sup>rd</sup> Street. Another is on the northwest corner of Dyre Avenue and West 40<sup>th</sup> Street, and the third is on the northeast corner of Ninth Avenue and West 41<sup>st</sup> Street. Port Authority has made it clear that you believe that you need these spaces now. You can't build affordable housing on them now, or RFP them for new affordable housing. What are you -- what commitments can you make to making these available in the future for affordable housing when they're no longer needed?

HERSH PAREKH: Sure. Thanks Council

Member for that question. I'll just start by saying,

you know, I think as an agency that operates within

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

61

use during the course of this construction program. We also anticipate that they will have ongoing use for transportation purposes following the conclusion of this program, especially as many of the surfacelevel lots that I described in my presentation will no longer be available for that transportation use. With that being said, if we determine that those-you know, any one of those lots are not necessary for transportation use and to advance the mission of the Port Authority, we do have a process under which we would review those lots, make sure that they can be declared what we call surplus, and it would go through that review process if that determination is made. We can make those lots available for a period of time for residential development under our governing statutes under the laws that we operate under, but that is something that we can lay out, but as I mentioned, as a transportation agency it is

important that the land that we have is utilized to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advance our mission as a transportation operator of facilities, but in the event that that is not necessary in those lots, we can go through this process about making them available for development of residential and affordable housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I understand that the surface lots are critical for your mission. I, generally speaking, don't think that there should be surface parking lots in Manhattan. Anything that you need that space for could be done -- could be incorporated into a future building, and you could arguably get even better facilities in the base of the future building. I look forward to discussing with you over the next few days what kind of-- how we can codify to the best extent possible of the provision of affordable housing in these identified lots. Metro Baptist Church on 40<sup>th</sup> Street at 410 West 40th Street is a critical community asset with-that provide social and community service programs to approximately 13,000 persons annually, homeless meals and toiletry distribution, food pantry, clothes closet. They've got a rooftop vegetable farm and community-supported agriculture. It also houses office and meeting space for immigrant and LGBTQ

2.2

2.3

youth groups along with a pre-k Head Start program operated by the Hudson Guild. It is going to be affected by this project, both during the construction, but also after having, I believe, some of the lot line windows will be covered by this and the rooftop will be shadowed by the new terminal. What will you commit to doing for Metro Baptist Church? We've requested elevator access for the church. Let's-- talk to me about what you're

prepared to commit to today for Metro Baptist Church.

HERSH PAREKH: So, the request related to accessibility improvements within Metro Baptist
Church is unfortunately not something that we can commit to for a number of reasons. It is outside the scope of our project. It is outside the budget of our project, which as I described is already very tight and does not even account for potential cost overruns which are not all that uncommon on projects of this size and complexity. So, with that type of complex project, in terms of accessibility at a historic facility like Metro Baptist Church, it is not something that we are able to undertake. But what we are—what we do expect is that we will require our contractors to take all precautions to

there will be an impact, it was not determined to be

1

3

4

\_

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

an impact that required any type of mitigation

because of the minimal impact, and so we don't

anticipate any specific steps to address that issue.

But let me also see if Glenn has anything he'd like

to add on this topic.

GLENN GUZI: Other than as we progress through the project, one thing that Hersh touched on, but just a little more detail prior to the commencement of construction. Properties within particular distance from each phase would -- we would request the right-of-entry to conduct a pre-condition survey in terms of looking at the foundations of the building, the structural integrity of the buildings, the existing conditions. Those engineer reports would be shared with the property owner so that they have something to look at and be able to use if they feel that something occurred. So there would be a resource for them to be able to say hey, this was our condition prior to commencing the project and here's what it looks like today. in terms of the rooftop, we'll continue to work with them in terms of -- you know, and this would probably be really at the end of phase one when the storage and staging structure is complete to look at really what is the impact to

know you've sort of left a lot of that up to the

2 future, but if you look at the Javits Center, I think

3 one of the things they're most proud of is their

4 green roof, their agriculture on the roof,

5 greenhouses. I would like to further discuss that

6 with you, and my last question is regarding community

7 facility space. Community Board Four has request

15,000 square feet of community facility space, and

the Port Authority has initially offered 8,000.

10 Where are we with that?

HERSH PAREKH: So, Council Member, we are pleased that we were able to go from eight to 10,000 square feet of community facility space. That will be made available on the ground level with access from the exterior. This space will be available upon the conclusion of the replacement program, and we will work with whoever the tenant would be, whether it's the Community Board or the BID or some other third party to work out the terms of the lease arrangement for that space, but we are pleased to have been able to go from eight to 10,000 square feet, you know, which is a quite sizable space for the community as a community facility inside the new bus terminal.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
3	Member Bottcher. Counsel, are there any members of
4	the public who wish to testify regarding the three
5	related application for Port Authority proposal
6	remotely? And I'm sorry, the applicant panel, you're
7	excused. Thank you.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Chair, we have
9	approximately 11 people signed up to testify on this
10	item, a mix of remote participants and in-person.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. We're going to
12	begin first with the remote with the first panel, and
13	then we're going to transition to in-person. So, for
14	the remote first panel, the first panel I'm going to
15	call is Julia Campanelli, Joann Greenfield, and Kate
16	Barnhart.
17	JULIA CAMPANELLI: Hello?
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hello.
19	JULIA CAMPANELLI: Thank
20	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [interposing] We can
21	begin with
22	JULIA CAMPANELLI: [interposing] thank you
2.3	for this opportunity. Can I talk can I start?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead Julia.

2 JULIA CAMPANELLI: Okay, my name is Julia 3 Campanelli. I'm President of Hell's Kitchen Block Association which incorporates West 33<sup>rd</sup> to 35<sup>th</sup> 4 Streets between Eighth and 10<sup>th</sup> Avenues, nestled in the shadow of Hudson Yards in Manhattan west. On 6 7 behalf of my community I'd like to request the formation of Community Action Committee Working Group 8 with representatives from the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, the Mayor's Office, Senator 10 Hoylman-Sigal's office, Assembly Member Tony Simone's 11 12 office, Council Member Eric Bottcher's Office, Community Boards Four and Five, the city agencies 13 14 involved, the developers in this project, and 15 representatives from the affected communities to establish a plan for best practices in noise 16 17 mitigation before the construction starts. We did 18 this eight years ago with Manhattan West and Hudson 19 Yards when the amount of and duration of so much 20 construction so negatively impacted our community. We were able to establish noise mitigation hours and 21 practices without diminishing weekly work hours for 2.2 2.3 the developers. We would love to do this again for such an immense project as the Port Authority bus 24 25 terminal in such a densely populated area. We would

issued their after-hours work permits. That plan has been in place for two years, and it has been very effective and has helped the -- benefitted the health and wellbeing of our community. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Julia. Next we're going to hear from Joan Greenfield.

20

21

2.2

23

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

JOAN GREENFIELD: Hi, I'm Joan

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Greenfield, and I am a building owner and resident at 402 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street which is just in that little crook between the new terminal and the staging and storage area, so between Ninth and Dyre Avenues. And I want to speak to two things. One, we've already heard there will be preconditioned survey going on to protect some of these older buildings which are rickety little tenements built in the 1800s and I think very vulnerable, especially to the subgrade work that is per the plan for this immense public work, and you had said that work would begin at the start of 2025. That is mere months away. Nobody has contacted us about an inspection to get our baseline assessment, and I want to know how to get on that list or to assure me and other owners like me that we are included in that. That's extremely important because we're very vulnerable to the scale of this project. My second point is that when you talk about impact on the local businesses, you should not have a thought limited to just retail operations, food, restaurant, and so forth. Other businesses include residences, residential landlords, and people who are going to be sleeping and living in the middle of this

windows. We have a number of concerns. One is the

therapy, etcetera, and obviously we need to hear the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74 clients, and we will need assistance reducing the 2 3 impact of the sound inside the building. And the final thing-- this is more personal than about the 4 5 program, but I--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Your time 6 7 is expired. KATE BARNHART: walked through-- I walk 8 9 through Port Authority every day on my commute, and 10 I'm just concerned that the lower income people tend 11 to use the buses as transportation, and if the new building and the retail included is anything like 12 13 what's happened to the Moynihan Station, the 14 availability of affordable food will be an issue, and I'd like to encourage you to make sure to include in 15 16 the options in the new building some type of affordable food availability. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. 19 Barnhart. Questions? 20 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Just want to 21 thank all the panelists. Julia, thank you for testifying. You have a lot of experience with 2.2

Alternatives, I can't speak highly enough of New

needing your expertise. And Kate, with New

overnight construction and mitigation. So we'll be

2.3

24

2

8

11

3 vulnerable young people in our city, and we want you

4 at the table to ensure that they are not adversely

Alternatives which is truly serving the most

5 affected, and I think there's so many opportunities

6 for the young people with this future terminal, with

7 employment and mentorship and internship and job

opportunities. So really looking forward to working

9 with you, and I also co-signed the need for

10 affordable food and amenities at the new terminal.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

12 With no questions for this panel, this panel is

13 | excused. We're going to transition to in-person, and

14 | then we're going to transition back to those online.

15 | So the first in-person panel I'm going to call

16 consists of Ian Acriche, Joe Restuccia, Jesse Bodine,

17 | and Kathleen Treat. If I just called your name, if

18  $\parallel$  you could please just come up to the dais. Okay,

19 each panelist will be given two minutes. The

20 | Sergeant at Arms will let you know when your time is

21 up. We will begin first with Ian. And the time is

22 over there if you want to keep time.

IAN ACRICHE: [inaudible]

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hold on, Ian. you begin, you just have to push the button on the microphone. There you go.

IAN ACRICHE: Good afternoon Council. Μv name is Ian Acriche, Associate to Regional Plan Associations President Tom Wright [sp?]. I'm here to register RPA's strong support for the rehabilitation of the Port Authority bus terminal. transformative project aligns with RPA's longstanding vision for a 21st Century Midtown bus terminal that better serves commuters and communities while promoting transit-based economic growth. The transportation networks connecting New Jersey and New York are essential to both state's economies. However, these connections which currently serve nearly 450,000 commuters from northern New Jersey are facing significant challenges. Bus commuters account for 31 percent of all travelers across the Hudson River, surpassing those using commuter rail, path or driving. With continued population growth in New Jersey, this number is projected to rise from approximately 208,000 daily riders to an estimated 337,000 by 2040. At 70 years old, the bus terminal is undersized and outdated. In 2019 and 2021, RPA

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

recommended various design alternatives focused on two primary goals, enhancing pedestrian connections and the overall environment while also serving as a civic destination and urban hub. Among the plans proposals that align with our vision are the construction of a central entrance and an increase in street-level retail aimed at better integrating the terminal into Midtown. The removal of curbside inter-city buses and idling vehicles along with the closure of Port Authority First Street between Eighth and Ninth Avenues will reduce congestion and enhance the community experience. Additionally, improved wayfinding to mass transit connections will position the terminal as an urban hub, boosting ridership and connectivity. We commend the current approach which incorporates both our insights and significant input from the community. This design will greatly improve access between the city and its suburbs while accommodating growth through 2050. RPA strongly supports the proposal in full and encourages the subcommittee and City Council to do the same. you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Joe.

me if that's alright. Jesse Bodine.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JESSE BODINE: If it's alright, I'll go—

if that's alright, I'll go next and Joe will follow

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, go ahead Jesse.

Thank you. Good morning, JESSE BODINE: my name is Jesse Bodine. I'm the District Manager of Manhattan Community Board Four. I have been asked to read the following testimony by Jean Daniel Noland, the Co-Chair of Hell's Kitchen Land Use Committee for Community Board Four. "Good morning. I'm sure you are all too young to remember the Federal Highway Act in the 1950s. The goal was to build roads to connect the emerging suburbs to downtown city centers. Highways cut through dense often poor city neighborhoods. Communities lost churches, businesses and homes. More than a million people nationwide were displaced. The completion of the Lincoln Tunnel, the Lincoln Tunnel access roads and the Port Authority bus terminal and ramps destroyed much of Hell's Kitchen south of 41<sup>st</sup> Street. Tenements were demolished, thousands lost their homes. demolishing affordable housing today to make roads for cars and buses. On April  $18^{\rm th}$ , 2016, the Port Authority came to the Metro Baptist Church on West

better. So when the generations in the future look

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 back, they can say this time they got it right.

3 Thank you."

1

4

8

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Joe?

5 JOE RESTUCCIA: My name is Joe Restuccia.

6 I'm the Co-Chair of the Housing Committee Community

7 Board Four, a member of Land Use, Executive Director

of Clinton Housing that builds affordable housing in

9 the district, and a 44-year resident of Hell's

10 Kitchen. The project is much better than we started.

11 | I commend the Port Authority for working with the

12 | Community Board on major design changes. There is no

13 | imminent domain. However, we have to pin things down.

14 Never has the City Council passed something creating

15 open space without commitments for funding, both

16 capital and for operations. It is a 2.1 million

17 | square foot project. I am very pleased the Port

18 | Authority's increased the community facility from

19  $\|$  8,000 to 10,000. They need to go further. In a 2.1

20 | million square feet, it is laughable to say you

21 cannot find a few more thousand square feet for

22 | community facility. It is important for us to pin

23 down this funding issue, because we must. To say

24 that we defer affordable housing 10 years after the

project happens is not acceptable. We must work with

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 81				
2	the City Council to get specific time frames, because				
3	a location for a snow plow is no importance compared				
4	to location for affordable housing. I believe we can				
5	work this through with the Port Authority, but to say				
6	that every benefit, both greenspace and affordable				
7	housing, is deferred for 10 years will say that this				
8	community gets nothing up front, and that is a				
9	serious, serious issue politically. Thank you very				
10	much.				
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And last,				
12	Katlin [sic]?				
13	KATHLEEN TREAT: Kathleen.				
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Kathleen.				
15	KATHLEEN TREAT: Thank you. Eric, can you				
16	hear me?				
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: The Kathleen				
18	Treat.				
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, no, we need that,				
20	Kathleen. You have to talk into the mic.				
21	KATHLEEN TREAT: Okay.				
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.				
23	KATHLEEN TREAT: I'm from the Hell's				
24	Kitchen Neighborhood Association. Hell's Kitchen's				
25	geography reflects our humble history as a				
ļ	I				

4

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhood of the working poor, for the most part utterly neglected by our city father. You will not find multiple playgrounds here, let alone a public swimming pool. First and foremost Hell's Kitchen is a community and our population is booming. We all require a haven of open greenspace from this colossal mind-boggling project, and we want to see that open greenspace become number one on the Port's list asap, before the big dig begins. And we want the Port Authority to pay for it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Bottcher, you have any questions for this panel?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I really want to thank everyone on the panel for their partnership and their work and really help making this a much, much better project than when it started. I believe that as we work through these last issues and really land in a really good place and see this project through, we're going to look back collectively all of us and be so proud of what we did for not just our neighborhood but the whole city and the entire east coast, and we'll be able to say that we did that together. Thank you.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

C

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

This panel is excused. The next-- the last in-person panel we'll call is Brian Weber, Chad Purkey, and

Berthel [sp?], Berthel? Berthet? Berthet, sorry.

6 We will begin first with Brian Weber.

BRIAN WEBER: Sorry, the mic wasn't on. Passed Zoom and now I can't get the mic on. Hi. I'm Brian Weber. I'm a community resident and among other things I serve as the President of the Midtown South Precinct Community Council, a public member of Community Board Four, the Chair of the West 36<sup>th</sup> Street Block Association, and also was a part of the Hell's Kitchen South Neighborhood Coalition which worked extensively in parallel to Community Board It informed much of the request that Community Board Four put in terms of what this project will do to the surrounding community and our neighborhood. Now, bearing all that in mind, I'm here to testify behalf of myself, not behalf of these individual entities, but it informs everything I have to say and it informs how I look at this project through the lens of the wellbeing, safety, and health of the community this project is going to occur within. I've grown quite affectionate for this community and

Thank you so much. My name is Christine Berthet. I

am the Co-Chair of Transportation Planning Committee

24

worsening air quality. Our district has the third

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

worst air quality in the city. so, the solution
exists, but it seems that the port is not ready to
spend a very small 0.5 percent of their budget on
those mitigation, and the port should provide relief
to the community with some greenspace at the
beginning of the project after the pilot which has
been discussed which would be about one year from

now, and commit to its funding before the Council

approve the project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Chad.

CHAD PURKEY: Hello. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf the Association for a Better New York in support of the Port Authority bus terminal replacement application. My name is Chad Purkey, the interim Executive Director at ABNY, an organization with the mission of fostering dialogue in connections between the public and private sectors to move New York City forward. The current Port Authority bus terminal has for too long been an unacceptable gateway into our city. It's too small, outdated, inefficient, and unwelcoming, and it's overdue for a replacement. But replacing a seven decades old structure that annually serves 65

other benefits. As the Port Authority says, it'll go

from an eyesore to eye-popping. In other words, it

will get that La Guardia treatment and we're excited

to see that type of investment come to our city's bus

Inciting [sic] and echoing the prior

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

facilities.

Street. Those cuts are not a part of this plan and

SUBCOMMITTEE	$\cap$ NT	7 ON TNC	7/ J/ J/	ED V MUT LEC
SUBLIGIMINITITE	( ) [ \	7.()	A N D	F K A N L H I S E S

2 not intended for staging. This would be an

2.2

2.3

3 opportunity in addition to the work being done at-

4 grade at Dyre Avenue North, this would be an

5 opportunity to deck over those spaces as well.

6 Again, it's air quality and desperately needed public

7 open space would be very appreciated.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel is excused. We'll be calling the last panel which is on remote which consists of Jessica Chait, Maddie DeCerbo, and Tiffany Henkel. She's online. Thank you. We'll begin first with Jessica.

JESSICA CHAIT: Hi, and thank you. I'm

Jessica Chait, Chair of Manhattan Community Board

Four. Thank you to the Council Member Bottcher and
the City Council. I echo what others who testified
today have said and will add that as you know our
neighborhood has long been overwhelmed by commuter
and long-distance buses and is home to a terminal
that for far too long haven't met the needs of
commuters, tourists, residents and area businesses.

We are encouraged and grateful that the Port
Authority has been an ongoing dialogue with the
community, not just CB4, but in listening session and
alike to arrive at an operations plan and structure

that is more fitting than what was originally
proposed. However, the combination of the
significant, dare I say massive, size and scope and
duration of this project means that there will be
countless, unpredictable and deeply-challenging
impacts to all who experience this area for many
years to come. We need to make sure and we ask for
your help that the Port Authority is not only
committed to delivering the state-of-the-art
facility, but also to ensuring that the people who
live, work and receive support from providers in the
district who will see their lives greatly disrupted
during construction are not overlooked or discounted
in this process. This can be accomplished by having
the Port Authority commit to an active construction
taskforce delivering on much-needed greening space
that you've heard about, not when the project is done
but before so as to provide respite and mitigation
for the congestion and challenges faced by all who
spend time there. This would also include organizing
detailed plans and commitments for social services
and businesses and traffic mitigation before a shovel
is even in the ground. We thank you for your support

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and look forward to continuing a partnership with the

3 Port Authority and to seeing this project realized.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Maddie DeCerbo.

MADDIE DECERBO: Hi, my name is Maddie DeCerbo, and I'm here on behalf of the Real Estate Board of New York. We are pleased to support the Port Authority bus terminal replacement project. commend the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey for taking essential steps to enhance this critical regional transit hub which is vital for accommodating increasing ridership. The proposed project involves several land use actions to facilitate the development of the existing Midtown bus terminal alongside adjacent properties resulting in a modern bus terminal, bus storage and staging facilities and new ramp system. Furthermore, the project includes plans for two private commercial office buildings above the terminal, a critical component necessary for the financial health of the project. As bus ridership is projected to grow in the coming years, the need for a new facility becomes increasingly urgent. The current terminal, a 73-year-old structure is no longer adequate for today's larger buses and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lacks the infrastructure necessary for electric bus operations. The new facility will be designed to meet net-zero emissions standards, support all electric buses, and enhance capacity to meet rising demand. Importantly, the project will also create an additional three and a half acres of publicly accessible greenspace, enhancing the urban environment. REBNY recognizes the significance of this initiative for New York City's economy, commends the city and state for committing future tax revenue from the three new commercial developments toward the \$10 billion project. Improving our regional transit hub is imperative and we appreciate the ongoing engagement with local community property owners, as a project of this scale and scope moves forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And lastly, we'll hear from Tiffany Henkel.

TIFFANY TRIPLETT HENKEL: Good afternoon.

My name is Reverend Tiffany Triplett Henkel and I'm

the Pastor of Metro Baptist Church and the Chair of

the Hell's Kitchen South Coalition. Metro Baptist is

located at 410 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street which is directly

next door to where the proposed staging and storage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

facility will be built, and which I understand will be equivalent to 12 standard stories. acknowledge that a new facility is needed and I am encouraged that the proposed project appears to address some very important issues with the current terminal, I want to speak to a few concerns related to the immense impact that this project will have on Metro Baptist Church and other vital community service agencies in our community. And I appreciate that some of these concerns have already been brought up earlier in this meeting by Council Member Bottcher and others in our community, and has been addressed a bit by Port Authority, but I think it's important for these and others to be reiterated. My first concern is that Metro and several other historical properties in the neighborhood will lose significant sunlight with the development of this project, specifically Metro will ultimately lose six hours of sunlight per day. We are concerned about this for several reasons, including the fact that we have a year-round universal pre-k and Head Start program in our building with the majority of the windows for that program on the west side of our building which will be facing this staging facility. And as has already

other direct and educational programs. And while we believe the final project may better serve our community, we are concerned that during the next 10 years it would be extremely difficult for people to easily and safety access our services, and

improve our essential--

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

button.

Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [interposing] You can
3 submit the rest of your testimony online. We really
4 appreciate it. There being no questions for this
5 panel, this panel is excused. The last in-person to
6 testify is Megan Wylie. Megan, you can press the

MEGAN WYLIE: Good afternoon, Chair Riley and Council Members, and thank you for the opportunity to speak to the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises today. My name is Megan Wylie and I'm the Director of Government Relations at the New York Building Congress. The Building Congress comprises 500 constituent organizations and represents 250,000 skilled trades people and professionals across the industry, all dedicated to the growth and prosperity of our city. We strongly express our support for the Port Authority's Midtown bus terminal replacement program, a groundbreaking plan that will transform our city. The current Port Authority bus terminal opened more than 70 years ago on a west side that longer exists. The neighborhood and surrounding area has exploded and the terminal has reached the end of its useful structural life, in addition to being the butt of countless jokes for far too long. Our new

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

terminal.

1

2

3

4

5

New York demands modern terminal built for the future, state-of-the-art sustainable LED-certified, and future-proofed for projected commuter growth. This proposal will not only beautify the current blight but also reduce congestion by removing curbside inter-city and idling buses. The new ramp structure connecting to the Lincoln Tunnel will take buses off our local streets, and decking over Dyre Avenue will create 3.5 acres of community greenspace where once traffic was all anyone could see. From the Building Congress' perspective, the creation of 6,000 good-paying union construction jobs over the eight years and the hundreds of millions in contracting opportunities for MWBEs is a win/win. Finally, the most important factor in all of this is that unlike many public projects of this size, the community and commuters were enlisted as partners in the planning. In fact, it was approved unanimously by the Community Board Four. We urge you to support this bold initiative to reimagine mass transit in Manhattan. Let's lead the way in community-driven sustainablybuilt commuter-friendly mass transit infrastructure and let's start by approving a new Port Authority bus

3 With there being no questions, this panel is excused.

4 Thank you so much. Counsel, are there any more

members that wish to testify on LUs 185, 186 and 187?

6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there's anyone

7 with us in the room today who would like testify in-

9 speaker card. Okay, it appears that no one else is

person, please see the Sergeant and fill out a

10 here to testify and we don't have anyone left online.

11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. there

12 being no members of the public who wish testify on

13 LUs 185, 186, and 187 regarding the Port Authority

14 bus terminal replacement, the proposal and the public

15 hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

16 That concludes today's business. I would like to

17 thank the members of the public, my colleagues,

18 Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other Council

19 staff, and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in

20 today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

21 Thank you.

[gavel]

23

2.2

1

2

8

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 18, 2024\_