CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

Wednesday, January 18, 2023

Start: 1:20 p.m. Recess: 5:25 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM, CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Diana Ayala, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Tiffany Cabán Crystal Hudson

Linda Lee Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens

Sandra Ung

Nantasha M. Williams Pierina Ana Sanchez

Shahana Hanif Gale A. Brewer

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Starlight Michelle Harris New York City Resident CityFHEPS participant

Karim Walker Outreach and Organizing Specialist Safety Net Project at the Urban Justice Center

Onyx Walker Co-Chair Coordinator, Youth Action Board and Peer Advocate at Sheltering Arms

Linda Hernandez
Former Youth Peer Advocate

Molly Park
First Deputy Commissioner
Department of Homeless Services

Sarah Zuiderveen Sr. Advisor for Housing and Homelessness Department of Homeless Services

Bruce Jordan
Chief Homelessness Prevention Officer
Human Resource Administration
Office of Homelessness Prevention
Administration

Clare Plunkett
Program Dir., Residential Services for
Domestic Violence Emergency Shelters
Sanctuary for Families

Jamie Powlovich
Executive Director
Coalition for Homeless Youth

Henry Love, PhD Vice President of Policy and Planning Win NYC

Robert Desir Staff Attorney Legal Aid Society

Dominique 'Dylan' Tatom
Policy and Advocacy Associate
Fostering Youth Success Alliance

Samantha Kahn
Policy and Advocacy Manager
Care for the Homeless

Jack Boyle Housing Staff Attorney Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem

Andy Monontay[ph] ("Mr. Andy")
Homeless Citizen of New York City

Jennifer Hinojosa Policy Analyst Community Service Society

Abby Biberman Associate Director, Public Benefits Unit New York Legal Assistance Group Nicole McVinua Director of Policy Urban Pathways

Caroline Iosso Senior Policy Associate Homes For The Homeless

Milton Perez Member, VOCAL New York

Mica Baum-Tuccillo Member, Youth Empower

Amal Kharoufi Member, Youth Empower

Christine Joseph Member, Youth Empower

Jose Perez Project Manager Children's Defense Fund

Erin Sternlieb Affordable Housing Specialist Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services

Annie Minguez Garcia Vice President of Community Relations Good Shepherd Services Michelle Maynard Real Estate Coordinator Covenant House

Jennie Stephens-Romero Supervising Attorney Make The Road New York

Juan Diaz
Policy and Advocacy Associate
Citizens Committee for Children

Julia Davis
Director, Youth Justice and Child Welfare
Children's Defense Fund

Eric Lee
Director of Policy and Planning
Homeless Services to United

Leticia Hernandez Housing Access Manager Covenant House

Ethel Brown CityFHEPS voucher recipient

Sarah Wilson Advocate, SafetyNet Project, Urban Justice, VOCAL New York, Shout

Natalie Maria Hogan Bronx Resident

Scott Andrew Hutchins CityFHEPS voucher recipient

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon. Good afternoon at this time can the host please start the webinar? We are about to begin.

Good afternoon and welcome to the New York City

Council hearing of the Committee on General Welfare

at this time, can everyone please silence your cell

phones? If you wish to testify today, please come up

to the sergeants desk to fill out a testimony slip.

Written testimony can be emailed to

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your

cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [GAVEL] Thank you and good afternoon everyone and welcome to today's hearing.

My name is Diana Ayala and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New York City Council and the Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Today our committee is here to hold a hearing entitled, Oversight, the CityFHEPS Rental Assistance Program.

According to the New York City Human Resource

Administration's Department of Social Services

website, CityFHEPS is a rental assistance supplement
to help individuals and families find and keep

housing. CityFHEPS is the latest iteration of

2.2

2.3

several previous programs, and according to HRA and DSS have been concerned—that according to the HRA and DSS been consolidated to make it easier for people to get help, easier for landlords to get payments, and easier for DSS to manage cases.

At present, this isn't always the case.

While the spirit of the program may have been to create a seamless support system designed to assist individuals and families at risk of housing insecurity, the current realities of the program for many are hard to navigate, and laden with cumbersome and often circuitous bureaucracy that too often create barriers to receiving assistance in a timely manner.

Simply put, we know vouchers are a proven tool to combat homelessness. However, it has been reported that delays in voucher processing are undermining the success of the program. These delays have been attributed to short staffing, administrative delays, and what amounts to rampant source-of-income discrimination. At today's hearing, we will also hear three pre-considered bills and one resolution.

The first bill, which I am proud to sponsor, is a local law to amend the administrative code of the

2.2

2.3

City of New York in relation to rental assistance eligibility requirements, and would prohibit the Department of Social Services from requiring an individual or family to reside in shelter before becoming eligible for CityFHEPS rental assistance youcher.

The second bill is sponsored by Councilmember Sanchez, and is a local law to amend the administrative code of the City of New York in relation to income and work requirements for rental assistance.

The third bill, also sponsored by Councilmember Sanchez, is a local law to amend the administrative code of the City of New York in relation to expanding eligibility for rental assistance.

And finally, today we are hearing a resolution calling upon the New York State Legislature to enact legislation that would expand eligibility for the CityFHEPS program in New York City, also sponsored by Councilmember Sanchez. I want to thank members of the Administration who are here with us today as well as the advocates and individuals who have lived experience that informed and helped us shape this legislation.

2.2

2.3

Our aim is to find solutions that will make CityFHEPS work better for everyone.

At this time, I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who are here with us today, Councilmember Riley, Councilmember Hudson, Councilmember Lee, Councilmember Ossé, Councilmember Stevens, and Councilmember Ung. I know there are a couple of others that will be joining us shortly.

I would also like to thank the committee staff
who worked to prepare for this hearing. Aminta
Kilawan, Senior Legislative Counsel, David Homero,
Legislative Counsel, Cristy Dwyer, Senior Legislative
Policy Analyst, Julia Haramis, Principal Finance
Analyst, Rose Martinez, Assistant Deputy Director,
Nicholas Montalbano, Senior Data Sciences, and
finally my staff, Elsie Encarnacion, and Malik
Altomare, Director of Communications.

I would now like to turn it over to Council-well, to Councilmember Sanchez, but she's not here,
so we'll forego that temporarily until she's
available. Is she online? Yeah? No. Okay. She
was running a little bit late. So we'll hear now
from some members of the public who were kind enough
to join us today and tell us about their personal

2.2

2.3

experiences with CityFHEPS. I'll turn it over to our
Committee Counsel, David Homero, to invite them up to
speak.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. So our first panel will be a hybrid panel. In person, we have Karen Walker and Starlight Harris, you may please come up, and online virtually, we will have-- we'll hear from Onyx Walker, followed by Linden Hernandez, and then Ethel Brown.

All testimony today will be limited to three minutes.

MS. HARRIS: Good afternoon City Council. My name is Starlight Michelle Harris, and I am a recipient of the CityFHEPS voucher. And I want to start by saying that one of the largest problems that we have with CityFHEPS is the 90-day rule. I think it should be eliminated simply because when I, myself was in the shelter system, I remember I got really ill, and I had only been in shelter, I think, maybe a month maybe-- yeah, I think it was like a month, 45 days, and when I went into the hospital, I had two heart surgeries. And I was in the hospital for two-a little over two months. And when I came back, I

2.2

2.3

had to start the process all over again. So I just think that that rule needs to be eliminated.

In addition, there's a lot of bureaucracy with CityFHEPS, in the sense that there's no help, no contact point. Sometimes you're transferred. In my case, I was transferred eight times in less than a year. And there's— sometimes there were no housing people located at the shelters, in that shelter that I was put in. And then there's no one outside of the shelter system that is able or willing to help you. So you don't have a contact point to use the voucher to get out of the shelter. So that's a huge problem.

I think other people, such as people that work in other areas outside of the shelter should be able to—

- a person should be able to go if they can't— if they don't have a housing person, they— there should be contact, with maybe at Coalition for the Homeless, or one of the other agencies that deals with these vouchers to help people find housing and get out if they don't have a contact person.

The other problem that I'm now encountering is that they send you paperwork to-- to renew your voucher. And they don't contact you. They don't send an email. They don't call. They don't send

a very difficult situation.

2.2

2.3

paperwork to let you know that, "Yes, we received your documents, we are taking care of it." The system is very old and it needs to be updated.

There's no seamless way to move through the system without going through the process each and every time. It's a two-to-three-hour process, every time you're moved from one shelter to the next, just to do an intake process. And when you're not well, that is

And when you go into this system -- I know a lot of people that have gone into the system perfectly well. By the time they come out, they're not well. I was in the hospital two times in the year that I was in the shelter system, twice, once for heart surgery, and another time because I suffered with multiple sclerosis.

So that in and of itself is a huge problem. It prolonged and delayed me getting into my apartment.

I found the apartment in September, I was told that I had the apartment in November, I ended up not moving in the apartment until February of last year.

So this 90-day rule needs once again to be eliminated, and there needs to be other contact people to help move this process along, this

2.2

2.3

paperwork. And maybe the system needs to be updated to reflect the times in which we now live in, which is 2023, not 1973. And I believe that some of the people that work at DSS, HRA, and DHS need to spend some time in the shelter, 10 days to see what people have to go through, and the experience that you know, to actually live it and just be a part of the system to see how broken it really is.

Thank you very much. I appreciate your time today.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

MS. HARRIS: You're welcome.

MR. WALKER: Ladies and gentlemen of the council.

Good morning. Good afternoon. My name is Karim

Walker, I am an Outreach and Organizing Specialist

with the Safety Net Project at the Urban Justice

Center. And I want to talk about the shortcomings as

well as the benefits of this great program. To sum,

the CityFHEPS voucher, pardon me, has been nothing

short of a godsend. It's helped move a number of

people out of shelter and into the permanent stable

housing that they so-- are so-- desperately need to

need to live filling and-- fulfilling and productive

lives. And I speak for that-- and I can say that is

stable housing.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the case with me because I am also a recipient of a

CityFHEPS Yatra as well. But the voucher program

leaves much to be desired as well. From the 90-day

wait period, all the way up to the process of moving

someone with a voucher-- moving someone out into

As we all know, source of income-- one-- another major problem is source-of-income discrimination. And as we all know, that's something that's a serious problem, and it's an it's hardly a novel problem as well. From prospective tenants just seeing their calls for apartments just go unanswered, to landlords flat out -- flat out refusing -- landlords and brokers flat out refusing to rent to tenants, prospective tenants with vouchers, even though the money is basically quaranteed. This can perpetuate the vicious cycle of homelessness in the city, especially considering the fact that we have more vacant apartments in New York City than we have homeless people, meaning that we can house every homeless person in the city and still have leftover housing stock.

We're-- we're calling on City Hall and the City
Council to crackdown on landlords who violate the law

2.2

2.3

2 in such a blatant fashion, because housing is a human 3 right, and it is a right that must be respected.

And another area of improvement that we're looking for is the qualifications, especially the 90-day wait period. We're asking the City to eliminate that provision from its-- from its requirements. And I believe there is-- that one of the laws-- that one of the bills being presented today will address that. And I'm very grateful that the City Council is taking it-- taking up-- this taking this up in such a meaningful fashion.

But I also want to put a personal touch to this, because we have one of our clients, a gentleman by the name of Juan, who has a CithFHEPS voucher, who actually has been in the shelter system for approximately three years. He also has—he actually has a lease in place. But DHS for some strange season has not taken—put together his packet to help him move out into—into his apartment. We're looking—that's a major problem with—because that's something that I've had to go—that I had to go through when I got my apartment back in 2020. I had to wait approximately three and a half months

2.2

2.3

before the city moved me out-- was able to move me
into my-- into my into my apartment.

If for no other reason, the CityFHEPS voucher is is good economic sense. Because if we're willing to put-- place-- if we're willing-- if the City is willing to shell out approximately \$4,000 per month for a person to have a bed in a shelter, we are more than capable of taking care of two or three people, and giving them vouchers so they can get four walls, a roof over their head, a key to their door. And from there live the productive, meaningful lives that they are so-- that they so deserve. Thank you for your time and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

Thank you so much for your testimony. When-- you mentioned having been transferred quite a number of times. Was that transferred in shelter or transferred from--

MS. HARRIS: From shelter to shelter. Eight of them.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And did you ask for--

MS. HARRIS: Under different programs. I did not ask to be transferred. They just took it upon themselves to do so.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Did they offer you a reasonable accommodation that would allow you to be able to continue to count your time in the hospital as part of the 90 days?

MS. HARRIS: At some point -- no, they did not. It was not reasonable. I'd given them several letters of documentation from all of my medical doctors stating why I needed a reasonable accommodation. And when they got near the end, and it was like after I literally got the news, within a week of me receiving news that I've gotten the apartment in November, they transferred me to fare zones, and two-plus hours away from my entire medical team, out in Jamaica, South Jamaica, Queens, in an abandoned area with a few hotels that they were using at the time as reasonable accommodations. And it was really horrific. Like there was-- I, I was threatened. I saw things that no one should see in broad daylight. And there was no, like, transportation of any sort. And every time I requested anything, they were very difficult. one of the workers there. He really, he affected me in such a way-- a profound way that I couldn't even stand to be in the same room with him, because it

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

felt like I was being abused. And I'm also a victim

of domestic violence.

So in the course of me being there, I fought and fought and fought, and tried to get out of there, and it took them a month to transfer me again.

In the course of all of that the head of that particular shelter told me, "Oh, well, there are more men that are homeless than women." That's not her job to inform me of that. Your job is to help me find appropriate housing in a timely fashion. No one should be in shelter for more than a year, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10 years is absolutely ridiculous. And for people who are in shelter, and that need help, additional help for a variety of reasons, such as mental issues, or they're struggling with drugs, there needs to be an aftercare program in place as well. There needs to be, you know, checks and balances. Someone to check up on these people to help them get situated, because they may not know how to pay rent, they may not know how to go about going grocery shopping and getting the things that they need to take care of themselves. I am very fortunate that I was able to speak up for myself, and I was able to contact people, call people, send emails and

- 2 things of that nature, knock down doors. And I
- 3 | wasn't being-- I wasn't letting anyone tell me no, or
- 4 deciding to put me where they wanted me to be. I
- 5 decided where I was going to live.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Last question.
- 7 Regarding the-- the application process. Where did
- 8 you apply for the CityFHEPS? Was that done directly
- 9 | at a shelter site, or did you have to leave and
- 10 apply?

- 11 MS. HARRIS: It was when I was in shelter, and
- 12 | like I said, I was in shelter, and they offered it to
- 13 | me but only after I came out of the hospital and had
- 14 | to do the 90 days--
- 15 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.
- 16 MS. HARRIS: --to get it.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But once they offered it to
- 19 | a staff at the shelter, not at an office at DSS, not
- 20 at Homebase?
- 21 MS. HARRIS: No. Because when I tried to call
- 22 those people, no one was-- no one was giving me help.
- 23 | And like I said when I was-- initially, when I got
- 24 | the voucher, shortly after I was given the voucher
- and the amount went up, that's when they transferred

- 2 me to a location in Jamaica, where they did not have
- 3 someone-- they did not have a housing specialist
- 4 there. So no one to really help me.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. Thank you.
- 6 Councilmember Ossé? Oh, I'm sorry. We've also been
- 7 | joined by Councilmembers Sanchez, Hudson, and
- 8 Restler. Councilmember Ossé?
- 9 COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you Chair. What's
- 10 your name again, miss. I'm so sorry.
- 11 MS. HARRIS: Starlight Harris.
- 12 COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Miss Harris. Thank you--
- 13 | Harris?

- 14 MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- 15 COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you so much for-- for
- 16 | being here. I mean, so insightful to hear from--
- 17 | from your experience what you think the city should
- 18 do--
- 19 MS. HARRIS: Yes.
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: --to change this process.
- 21 | guess a question that I'm curious about is, When you
- 22 were undergoing, I guess, the CityFHEPS process, and
- 23 | you were calling DSS, or-- is it calling DSS that--
- 24 | that-- are they helping you through this process, or

2.2

2.3

2 are you going into the shelter system and speaking to
3 representatives at the shelter?

MS. HARRIS: I was in the shelter, so there was supposed to be a point person that helped me, but most of the time the person wasn't available, or they didn't have one at the shelter. So then I went about the business of reaching out to other people to help me.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: And who were those individuals that you were reaching out to? Were they outside of government, or--

MS. HARRIS: I-- well, this one person in particular, her name is Miss Bogat[ph]. She worked at DHS. She managed to get my paperwork in her hands. And she said, "Don't worry about it. I will take care of everything from here." At that point, I had been transferred to shelter number eight, which was the final shelter. And when they transferred me from the-- from that last shelter-- well to the last shelter from the shelter that I was in before, that's when I ended up in the hospital the second time. And when Miss Bogat[ph] received my paperwork, she said, "Don't worry about it. I'm taking care of it." You know, and I was in contact with her via email, on--

2.2

2.3

on the phone during my tenure in the hospital at the end of the year.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: And approximately how many people from DSS, or from any city agencies did you speak to before getting to Miss Bogat?

MS. HARRIS: About maybe six.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: So a lack of consistency in terms of those that were aware of your case and your situation?

MS. HARRIS: Yes, exactly.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: And you would say that that, you know, was a deterrent in terms of you getting to where you are right now, correct?

MS. HARRIS: Yes it is. Absolutely.

enough for, I mean, some of the things that you've raised today in terms of where there needs to be tweaks within, you know, this entire process. I definitely want to ask the agency when they do testify. And I'll ask you, just in case you do know:

Do you know if there's a specific -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- you know, office within DSS that works specifically on CityFHEPS cases? Or is it a catchall?

2.2

2.3

MS. HARRIS: No. There-- there's supposed to be a housing specialist located at-- on site, and they work with any voucher that you have once it is received. But if there's no one there...

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: And how many times was-- I mean, how often were--

MS. HARRIS: At least three times out of the six times—— I mean, before I got to Miss Bogad, I had to see six people or more. And some of the people weren't helping me, because every single time I would get prepared to do one thing or the other, they were like, "Oh, you're being transferred."

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Mm-hmm.

MS. HARRIS: "You're being transferred." I said,
"For what? Why? Where am I going?" And this was
consistent.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Well, from the bottom-MS. HARRIS: Well, I guess because I was-- I was
not-- I was making waves in a sense that, when I
wasn't getting what I felt I deserved, and what I
wanted and needed at that particular time, I said,
"Okay, well, I'll just go to the news, or I'll reach
out to the Senator, and the Governor, and the Mayor."
And I think they saw me as a problem. And so they

2.2

2.3

would just [stage whisper] "we've got to get rid of
her," and they would transfer me.

of my heart, thank you so much for being here. I mean, last thing I'll say is: So many people complain about those that are without a home or in the shelter system, or unhoused. And then, you know, we hear stories from you, as individuals that work in government and see that the— one of the biggest flaws is that government isn't working to help people get out of the situations that there in.

MS. HARRIS: But it doesn't seem like that.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: No absolutely.

MS. HARRIS: It seems seem like there's money—
there's money to be made, because it's a business for
them. So it pays to keep people in shelter as
opposed to putting people in their own homes. And
then people are — like myself, and probably Karim —
can tell you that when you're ready to recertify with
them, you still don't get notifications, so there's a
remote possibility that I could lose my housing
because I'm not being recertified or being told that
yes, you— you— we've— we're taken care of it. And
then I don't know anything. And the next thing I

2 know, "boop", I'm being evicted. And then back in

3 the shelter to start this process all over again.

4 And I'm not doing that.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: One more-- one more point,
Chair. I'm so sorry.

Um, so what I wrote down is that if we eliminated the 90-day, you know, expiration for CityFHEPS, required DSS workers to be in the shelter system or at least see how the shelter system works physically for some time, as well as -- give me one second, I took note of some of the things that you-- you listed -- or possibly creating an office of individuals that solely worked on-- on CityFHEPS work--

MS. HARRIS: Or just-- or just anyone. Like, you have different agencies and different activists who have been out on the streets pounding the pavements and doing this work for a long time. And if they had additional training, and if-- they could help, you know. VOCAL could help. Coalition for the Homeless could help. City-- different agencies that have been working to get this program up and running, you could say, if they had the proper training, if someone's not in shelter, or someone's in shelter and they're not getting the proper help in the proper timeframe,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

there's someone else that they can reach out to and say, "Oh, Miss So-And-So over at Coalition for the Homeless or VOCAL or, you know, Safety Net activists, or Neighbors Together. If they had some type of training, someone could call them. If, for instance, someone is not in shelter. If there's no homeless-housing specialist in shelter, there are other additional entities that can step in and say, "Okay. Let me help you get your packet together and get it to the proper people." But see, that's-- once again, there's a lot of people, you have a lot of chiefs, and not enough Indians, unfortunately, and everyone's walking around and talking out at the side of their necks about what needs to be done and what they're going to do, and nothing ever gets done. Nothing ever gets done. You have all these warehouse departments. You have all these homeless people, people that are coming in, refugees, you know, things of that nature, asylum seekers, and we're-- and everyone's saying, "Oh, we don't have the money. have we don't have the funds." Obviously you do because you're paying these different people under the umbrella of DSS and DHS \$5,000 a month, per head

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 per bed. So someone has the money somewhere in this 3 state that we live in.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you, Ms. Harris.

MS. HARRIS: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Any other questions for this panel? No? We've been also joined by Councilmember Hanif.

So we're going to hear from the virtual panel, and then we'll hear from Councilmember Sanchez.

12 Thank you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: First up will be Onyx Walker, followed by Linda Hernandez.

MR. WALKER: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Onyx Walker. I'm 25 years old. I am a Co-Chair coordinator for the Youth Action Board, or YAB for short, as well as a youth peer advocate at Sheltering Arms as part of their rapid rehousing program. I want to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala and the General Welfare Committee for creating space for folks like myself to testify.

Just to be frank, I'm not happy today. There are some uncomfortable truths that we must face, but we need to in order to progress. I faced homelessness

2.2

2.3

for over a year, and it was a struggle for me to say the least. I avoided shelters most of the time because of the hectic and unsafe nature of those environments. Ironically, I preferred the streets. However, I can say for certain that after learning more about homelessness and the resources that are available, and also that housing vouchers provide, they would have undoubtedly come in handy. I would have had a place to call my own and be under less stress in attempting to navigate this world as a young adult.

Two years ago, youth advocates worked in tandem with stakeholders to create legislation that would provide access to CityFHEPS vouchers for youth exiting foster care systems and homeless youth. To make the process smoother, less stressful, and overall less difficult, the legislation was supposed to make it so that the aforementioned individuals would no longer have to enter DHS shelter system first, as it creates an unnecessary barrier to adequate housing.

It turns out that DSS is not fond of this idea and looks to ignore the diligent efforts youth and advocates have put in. When DYCD and ACS CityFHEPS

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

pilot vouchers, as well as one-time allocation of EHV are inevitably exhausted, youth and young people will still have to enter DHS shelters first in order to get those same vouchers, a direct opposition of the previously mentioned context, recreating an exclusivity issue when the priority should be accessibility.

If we truly wish to support those facing homelessness, then there is work to do, and I believe that this is direction -- this is the direction we should go in. The Department of Social Services has a duty to the young people that claims to want to help. They can start by upholding Laws 170 and 157 of 2022, grant young people who are experiencing homelessness and the DYCD funded RHY programs and youth coming out of ACS care, access to HRA-funded CityFHEPS vouchers without the oversight of entering a DHS shelter. The resistance we face quite literally goes against the work young people and advocates have put into this endeavor. It's saddening to hear that when progress was thought to be made, and we were finally moved housing, we're moving back to square one.

2.2

2.3

I would also like to point out that in BHS shelters, they receive access to vouchers, but youth in DYCD don't, despite them also being homeless. So that's a disparity there.

Young people are the ones being affected by this.

And so I urge you all to take this under serious consideration. We can't say we support young people and that— and that they are the future, if we're creating hoops to jump through and unnecessary obstacles to prevent housing stability for them.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. My name is Linda
Hernandez. I'm 25 years old. I'm a former Youth
Peer Advocate. I'm a father. I'm currently one of
the two New York City Youth Fashion Board Co-Chair
coordinators representing RHY youth aged, 16 to 24.
Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and the rest of the
General Welfare Committee for holding this hearing
regarding CityFHEPS vouchers, and allowing me the
opportunity to testify here today.

In 2021, youth advocates helped pass legislation that we believe will finally give homeless youth and the Department of Youth and Community Development,

2.2

2.3

Runaway and Homeless Youth System, as well as youth exiting foster care, access to CityFHEPS vouchers without forcing them to enter the DHS shelter system first. It was brought to my attention, and I have recently learned that DSS is not going to allow this, and that after the DYCD and ECS CityFHEPS pilot vouchers, and one-time allocation of EHV vouchers are exhausted, youth will be forced to enter DHS shelters before they can get access to vouchers, which is unfair and what you fought so hard to make sure would not happen.

I recommend that the Department of Social
Services needs to uphold Local Laws 157 and 170 of
2022, as the young people, the provider community,
and the council intended, and grant youth
experiencing homelessness in the DYCD-funded RHY
programs, as well as youth transitioning out of ACS
care, who are otherwise eligible to access HRA funded
CityFHEPS vouchers without forcing them to enter a
DHS shelter.

As a formerly homeless youth who has been impacted by the DHS and DYCD system, I understand the importance of providing access to housing for young people by any means necessary with limited barriers,

- 2 because I have been impacted by the system myself.
- 3 It is unfair, unjust, and unseen for youth between
- 4 | the ages of 16 to 24 to be putting themselves at risk
- 5 by having to enter the adult shelter system just to
- 6 be provided access to one voucher that should be
- 7 universal to all populations, not just those in the
- 8 DHS system.

- 9 When the Youth Fashion Board and others fought
- 10 | for the passing of legislation to finally give youth
- 11 access to vouchers, it was our understanding based on
- 12 conversations with DSS that youth would be able to
- 13 | access CityFHEPS without entering into DHS systems.
- 14 | This was again confirmed by DSS during the New York
- 15 City homelessness demonstration program.
- 16 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.
- 17 MS. HERNANDEZ: Is it okay if I ask for more time
- 18 | Counsel?
- 19 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you-- can you try to
- 20 wrap? Thanks.
- 21 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Planning process. But now
- 22 | we are being told this is now what legislation will
- 23 do. I think it's unacceptable. I just encourage the
- 24 Administration to right the wrongs and do what's
- 25 | right. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL HOMERO: For the record, I just want to clarify that the four individuals we just heard from, two in person and two on Zoom, were the first panel.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We will not hear from

Councilmember-- well, sorry. Before I get ahead of

myself. Did anybody have any questions for the last

two panelists? No? Seeing none-- We also want to

recognize we've been joined by Councilmember Gail

Brewer. Councilmember Sanchez?

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Deputy.

I'm grateful for this hearing. And I will be
speaking to three bills that I have being heard today
that seeks to remove bureaucratic barriers and expand
eligibility for potential recipients of CityFHEPS
vouchers.

I'll just start with what we know. Our city is in a deep housing crisis, and it is not one that is felt equally by all New Yorkers. The most recent housing vacancy survey shows a vacancy rate of 15.6% for apartments that rent over \$2,300. There's not a shortage of those apartments. There's a shortage for low-cost apartments. That shortage is at functionally zero. And it's clear what we need to do about that, which is stabilize our lowest income New

2.2

2.3

2 Yorkers and CityFHEPS eligibility expansion can do that.

Importantly, our children, the school districts that I share with Councilmember Althea Stevens, see the highest rates of unhoused schoolchildren in the city of New York, exacerbating the COVID achievement gap and creating a pipeline of life difficulties for our young people. And yet the city's housing shelter is pushing 55,000.

Today's bills would relieve program requirements that essentially require homelessness, as you've heard from folks with lived experience, as a precondition to voucher eligibility, like undoing the 90-day rule via Pre-considered 2062.

Instead, we seek to make CityFHEPS more accessible as an upstream prevention tool to keep families in their homes and out of shelters to begin with. An individual with \$46,000 in income, or a household of three people earning \$60,000. That's not a rich household. And yet, you wouldn't know that from CityFHEPS requirements, because those individuals are precluded at this time from applying. So Pre-considered 2863 is going to increase, it's

2.2

2.3

2 almost going to double the eligibility of max of
3 income.

seeks to rationalize requirements like removing rigid work requirements that creates double punishment.

Right now, if you don't have a job, and it causes you to fall behind on rent and become homeless. Then when you become homeless you need to have a job to get an apartment. That doesn't make sense. It's a double punishment and 2863 seeks to undo that. Preconsidered 2064 simplifies the conditions needed to qualify for a voucher. So instead of requiring two or three conditions to be met, if you can prove that you're facing eviction, you have—your back—backed up on rent and got a rent demand letter, you would be eligible for a CityFHEPS voucher.

And lastly, Pre-considered 2865 is a resolution that caused calls on the State to pass Senate Bill 1631 by Senator Kavanaugh, which would expand eligibility regardless of immigration status. Even before the migrant crisis, we had a long-- we had longtime New York City residents who are immigrant New Yorkers waiting in our shelter systems, ineligible for CityFHEPS. That's not fair. We need

2.2

2.3

to remove barriers to access in order to move more
and more folks into permanent situations. I look
forward to the Administration's testimony and public
testimony. And I hope that my colleagues will join
me in supporting this legislation.

Thank you, Madam Deputy.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We've also been joined by Councilmember Williams.

I will now turn it over to our Committee Counsel

David Homero to administer the oath to the

Administration. Thank you to the panelists.

COUNSEL HOMERO: We will now going to call on members the Administration. We have Molly Park,
First Deputy Commissioner of the Department of
Homeless Services, Sarah Zuiderveen, Senior Advisor
for Housing and Homelessness in the Department of
Homeless Services, and Bruce Jordan, Chief
Homelessness Prevention Officer, Human Resource
Administration Office of Homelessness Prevention
Administration.

Will you please raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and to respond honestly to Councilmember questions?

2 ALL: I do.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you, you may begin when ready.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Good afternoon. I want to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala and the members of the General Welfare Committee for holding today's hearing and for the opportunity to testify about the New York City Families Homelessness and Eviction Prevention Services Program, known as CityFHEPS.

CityFHEPS is a rental assistance supplement to help individuals and families find and keep housing. It is administered by the Department of Social Services, which includes both the Department of Homeless Services and the Human Resources Administration. My name is Molly Park and I'm the first deputy commissioner at DHS. I'm joined by Bruce Jordan, Chief Homelessness Prevention Officer at HRA and Sarah Zuiderveen, Senior Advisor for Housing and Homelessness at DSS.

I would like to begin my testimony by thanking our Commissioner, Gary P. Jenkins; DSS, HRA and DHS frontline staff members and providers who work tirelessly every day to uphold the critical mission

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of providing services to New Yorkers who need them
the most.

Over the last decades, New York City has seen significant decreases in rent stabilized housing and in turn has become less and less affordable for the average New Yorker. In the 10 years between 2005 and 2015, household rents in the city increased by 18.4%, while at the same time incomes failed to keep pace, increasing by only 4.8%. Looking at housing supply between 1994 and 2012, the city suffered a net loss of about 150,000 rent-stabilized units. As a result by 2015, the city of insufficient housing for millions of low-income New Yorkers. Our frontline staff, case managers, and housing specialists are focused on helping vulnerable New Yorkers achieve long term stability. Recognizing that there is no one-size-fits-all solution, our staff work closely with each client on a case-by-case basis to help address barriers specific to their circumstances, and connect them to suitable rental assistance and housing solutions. HRA's Rental Assistance Programs are a critical component of the Administration's overall strategy to connect individuals experiencing homelessness or housing instability to permanency.

- 2 In 2018, HRA streamlined city-funded Rental
- 3 Assistance Programs for households in or at risk of
- 4 | homelessness, or at risk of going into shelter.
- 5 Seven unique programs were consolidated into
- 6 CityFHEPS making it easier for landlords and clients
- 7 alike. To be eligible for CityFHEPS in the community
- 8 | households must have a gross income at or below 200%
- 9 of the federal poverty level and have a household
- 10 member who is a veteran, receives pathway home, and
- 11 meets the shelter eligibility criteria, or was
- 12 referred by CityFHEPS qualifying program.
- 13 Additionally, households facing eviction or who
- 14 were evicted in the past year and include someone who
- 15 | lived in DHS shelter, has an active adult protective
- 16 services case, or seeking to preserve tenancy in a
- 17 rent-controlled apartment using CityFHEPS may also be
- 18 | eligible in the community.
- 19 Many families and individuals in shelter are also
- 20 | eligible for CityFHEPS. Households must be under
- 21 | 200% of federal poverty level, receive cash
- 22 assistance if eligible, and be working or senior or
- 23 disabled.
- In addition, veterans and those living in a
- 25 shelter which is slated to close can also qualify.

2.2

2.3

There are detailed fact sheets on the HRA website that lay out eligibility in much greater detail.

The streamlining of Rental Assistance Programs has resulted in more landlords and brokers opening doors for our neighbors in need. Since CityFHEPS was created in the fall of 2018, over 26,000 households have enrolled in the program. The mission of DSS and DHS continues to be to prevent homelessness wherever possible, address street homelessness, provide safe temporary shelter, and connect New Yorkers experiencing homelessness to suitable permanent housing. This mission is carried out with care and compassion for each client and their circumstances.

Our staff and providers employ many innovative strategies including CityFHEPS to help individuals who are in temporary shelter to successfully transition to permanency, but we're always looking for ways to improve the program.

Recently, Mayor Adams announced in the city's housing plan, Housing Our Neighbors A Blueprint for Housing and Homelessness" that the city has trimmed transformed its approach to housing New Yorkers. In alignment with this transformative approach, DSS/HRA held a public hearing on January 10, 2023, to propose

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

changes to the CityFHEPS rules to expand the 2 3 eligibility criteria for rental subsidies and to reduce administrative burdens.

Specifically, the proposed rule would make single adults eligible even when they're-- eligible when they are earning minimum wage and working full time even if their income is slightly higher than 200% of the federal poverty level; reduce the monthly contribution by CityFHEPS tenants who move into single room occupancy units from 30% of their income to a maximum of \$50 per month; reduce the number of hours that families are required to work to become eligible for CityFHEPS from 30 to 14 hours per week; create an option for CityFHEPS voucher holders who choose to secure an apartment that rents above the CityFHEPS maximum, to use voucher by paying up to 40% of their income; expand SSI eligibility for CityFHEPS families from only an adult in the household to any household member including a child; and, finally to change the maximum room rental rate so it can be set at the discretion of the commissioner in consultation with OMB.

DSS is deeply committed to supporting New Yorkers When an eligible family or individual

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

enters shelter, DHS provider staff start on day one to work with them to identify a permanent housing plan, including CityFHEPS, but also emergency housing vouchers or other housing options. DHS encourages households to apply for every resource for which they are eligible and housing specialists work with clients to assemble documents and search for apartments. Households may be pursuing multiple housing options and subsidies at one time, and the time it takes an individual or family may vary.

Because we believe that there is always room for improvement DSS continues to work diligently to improve processes, tackle barriers, and to streamline the move-out process for everyone.

Thanks to the robust array of subsidies available and the dedicated work of housing specialists,

DSS/DHS moves more than 200 households each week into subsidized placements.

As of September 22, more than 26,000 households comprised of 55,000 New Yorkers were able to secure housing via CityFHEPS, and the city continues to invest city dollars, most recently by increasing rents to the federal fair market value to further level the playing field for vulnerable New Yorkers.

2.2

2.3

In closing, since its creation, CityFHEPS has been an important instrument to streamline the voucher process and help those in need achieve housing security. To date, 26,000 households have benefited from CityFHEPS, and with the new improvements championed by this administration, we seek to reduce administrative burdens and increase eligibility for CityFHEPS. But we believe that this can only have a positive effect increasing and speeding up shelter move outs. We look forward to working with the council to support New Yorkers' need for more affordable housing and housing security.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify today about the work DSS, HRA, DHS, and our providers are doing to support New Yorkers to stay in or secure housing, we look forward to answering any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. I think that, you know, this is a very important hearing. And we've-- I think it's very-- you know, it's long overdue. Part of the reason for having this -- [ASIDE TO COUNSEL] I'm sorry, can you add Councilmember Stevens? -- was because of the-- the large number of individuals that

2 contact, or come in contact with our offices,

3 complaining about the process. So-- so that I better

4 understand it, could you explain what-- where the

5 office of-- the CityFHEPS office is, where we're

6 holding all of this information, and where an

7 individual who qualifies or has a voucher can

8 physically visit if they have a question or a

concern?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Let me start describing the process, and I will encourage my colleagues to chime in as well. So I'm going to answer at the moment specifically for households that are in shelter. The process is slightly different for— for clients in the community. So we can come back there. So for a client who was in shelter, if they meet the baseline eligibility criteria, right?, so they are at the right income threshold, they're working the appropriate number of hours, right?, some of the other criteria that I mentioned in my testimony, they will get what's known as a shopping letter, which essentially says, like, you appear to qualify for CityFHEPS please go out and— and— and look for an apartment.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: This is after they meet the 3 90-day rule.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: After the 90-day. Correct.

The-- there-- in virtually all of our sites, there are housing specialists on site who are working with the -- with that client on their housing search They will also work with that client not process. only with to get their CityFHEPS shopping letter, but also to qualify for any other housing subsidy for which they might be eligible, right?, to get on the public housing waitlist, to apply for HPD housing, and so on because we want to make sure that -- that people have as many options as possible. housing specialist is doing that in the-- there are very few shelters that aren't funded for housing specialists, but in those few shelters, the caseworker should be doing it. I will note, you know, it has been a challenging labor market for our providers as well as for everybody else over the last you know, year or so. So we are aware that there have been some vacancies. It's something we are working with our providers on. But-- so the-- the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on-the-ground work is happening in the shelter with
the-- with the provider staff and the client.

When a client has identified an apartment, there's an inspection, a clearance process where we're looking at violations, we're looking to make sure that the person who purports to own the building actually does own the building, right? We-- there are process steps that we have in place here that are really designed to protect both the tenant moving into the unit, and also making sure that we're using city dollars responsibly, right? So we go through that preclearance process. There is a walkthrough to make sure that there's not obvious physical problems with the-- with the unit, right?, it has all the appliances, the appliances work, there isn't peeling paint, there isn't-- there are window guards, if it's for a child, and so on. The shelter staff completes the CityFHEPS package, right? So assembling data on-- on income, you know, completing that -- that application, and then that gets sent to DHS. At-- at DHS, we have a team known as the Rehousing Team that reviews that package. If they, you know, see any discrepancies, need additional information, they'll circle back with the -- with the shelter. We go

and -- and the client.

2.2

2.3

2 through a process to make sure that that is complete.
3 It is then sent over to HRA for review, and then

ultimately for -- for processing and check cutting.

So that's sort of the 30,000-foot view of the process there. We do-- the bulk of the on-the-ground work is happening between the shelter provider staff

You know, just responding a bit, though, to some of the earlier testimony, one thing that I would like to point out. This is new. We're very pleased that OMB funded us to create a customer service team within that DHS Rehousing Unit. So there will be a phone number that both clients and landlords can call to get updates on their packages. That's something we are in the process of standing up. But we-- we think that that will help make the process a little bit more transparent for everybody involved.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How have you gotten by without a unit? I-- I have no-- I don't understand that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: As-- as I mentioned, in my testimony, we have more than 26,000 households that are using CityFHEPS. We process, you know, thousands of these every year. You know, we are

2.2

2.3

absolutely aware that there are cases that take too long, where there are challenges, but the majority of cases move through relatively smoothly.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How long— how long does a person have to identify a unit once they're given the shopping letter?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: There is an expiration on that, but we generally renew it if they aren't able to do it. [background voice] It's 120 days, but it is renewable.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Does DHS help identify units, or is the-- is that on the individual to, you know...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: DHS identifies units, the shelter is working to identify units, and the public engagement unit, which is the mayoral office that is funded through HRA is also identifying units.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And you mentioned that not every shelter has a housing specialist, because obviously we have a workforce problem citywide. But if there is no specialist, then there should be a social worker who should be able to handle the process of applicant—applying.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stock.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So the vast majority of shelters do have housing specialists. There are a handful that do not, and then the caseworkers would—would work with clients on that housing search process.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But every single shelter has somebody?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Can you explain what the length of time is from shopping letter to moving? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So the-- well, the average length of time from the point where we receive a -- just let me look a minute -- through-from the point that we receive the package to when somebody moves out, on average is 17 to 21 days, again, acknowledging that there are absolute outliers. The -- from the point at which they get the shopping letter to where they find the apartment is going to vary quite a lot, depending on an individual's circumstances, right? Somebody who needs an accessible unit is likely going to take a longer time than somebody who-- who doesn't, right?, because there are-- there is less of that housing

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But does the voucher expire as well? Does-- is there like a timeline on the voucher as well?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So that— it's— the—
it's all renewable. So if somebody needs— needs
more time, we will work with them on the more time,
right? Somebody who is willing to look at all five
boroughs is probably going to go faster than somebody
who has very specific geographic restrictions. It's
actually harder for smaller families. There's an
absolute shortage of studio and one bedroom
apartments in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: There's a shortage of studios and one bedrooms?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: There's-- I mean, there's an absolute shortage of affordable housing period, but across all affordability levels, there is there are fewer studios in one bedrooms relative to the number of single one-- one and two person households, then there are, there is more housing available. That's, you know, two and three bedrooms. So for those very small families, it's even-- it's harder even than for the large families. So that's

2.2

2.3

why it's very difficult for me to say, like from
point of shopping letters--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But, yeah-- let me-- let me rephrase that then. I got my shopping letter. I went shopping. I found a unit. I'm so happy. I bring it back to my caseworker. How long from that moment to the time that I have the keys in my hand and I can move in?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: The average is 17 to 21 days, but we are aware that there are certainly outliers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, we're hearing four to-- anywhere between four and six months.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: I think-- I-- again, I don't want to purport that the CityFHEPS program or processes perfect. We are aware that there are outliers. I think what you hear is the outliers. When somebody-- when it goes well, you know, they don't call us, they don't call you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Understood. I think that's-that's fair to say. But I think that there are
issues, right? The fact that there was-- you know,
that this is an existing program that's been around
for a couple of years, and there is no dedicated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

units specific -- you know, specific to it for processing and, you know, any hiccups that may arise in the-- in the process where an individual may need that -- that extra layer of -- of support didn't exist, the fact that people are-- some people, I mean, I just was-- my office was just working with a gentleman that was living at Ward's Island for a number of years. He identified a unit. He was found eligible, found a unit, and months went by and now he was in the process of being transferred from that site to another because that shelter was closing. But he already had an apartment and all he needed was, you know, for that -- for -- for whoever it is that finalizes the process via DSS or DHS to do that for him. And it took even my staff a little bit to kind of get ____.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: If I could just clarify because I think maybe it wasn't clear. We have a team that is dedicated to processing CityFHEPS vouchers. It's about— it's a little over 100 people. Their job is to receive those packages, make sure they are clean, and if they aren't clean, go back to the shelter.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We are all those people?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: It's a the Rehousing
Team. It's in DHS reporting up to me.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: DHS. Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: What we have—— what we are adding and what I what I mentioned, that was just funded was within that team. We are adding a dedicated group of people whose job it is is to answer phone calls, answer emails, and to troubleshoot specific packages, right? So they don't have a normal caseload of "I am processing X number of packages." Their job is simply to answer questions from both clients and from landlords.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It just seems— it seems to me personally, like there's this rule, like "we'll call you, you can't call us" at, you know, at the agency, and this is consistent with DSS as well. And we— you know, I think that a more transparent process where people feel like they can engage, right?, It's— it's fruitful for everyone involved.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay. So we agree with you, which is why we're creating this team.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. No, I-- I appreciate that. I have a couple of questions and then I want to go to my colleagues, because I know that they have

2.2

2.3

a bunch. Do you know-- do we have any idea when DSS is going to move the Rent Reasonableness Assessment to the beginning of the CityFHEPS review process instead of having it at the end of the process?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So Rent Reasonableness has been on hold for quite some time. So we are actually not implementing it right now, and haven't been for— for months. We— we really need that process to align as closely as possible to the way it's done for Section 8, which has been— has worked relatively well for decades. So we are in the process of revamping it, and it should align very closely to Section 8.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you-- can you explain what-- in those cases where there is a delay, a significant delay, what the cause of the delay is?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: How long do we have?

There's a lot of different— there's a lot of

different reasons why there can be some delays.

There are— there's a lot of players in this process

who need— who need to do something, right? We need

landlords to do something. I mentioned earlier that

we ask landlords for proof that they in fact, own the

building, right?, which sounds silly, except we have

2.2

2.3

certainly had cases where people have tried to defraud the city, right?, and claim CityFHEPS for buildings that they were not entitled to rent. We're doing the inspection, right? If the-- so if we don't get access for an inspection, right?, or it fails inspection, and we need-- we need the landlord to fix something before we can move-- can move somebody in, right? That can be a point of delay. We, we need income documentation from clients, right? So sometimes there's, you know, one pay stub that's missing, something like that, where we need-- we need to go back and collect that information.

We are— we are working with a diffuse network of— of providers, right? We have 75 providers across 400-plus sites. So, you know, I certainly acknowledge that there are instances where we send something back and say, "Hey, can you get us this, this piece of information?" and— and we don't get a response as quickly as we need to. That is a place that we are working on, really trying to look at how we can use data so that we're making sure that we are, you know, following up with things as quickly as possible. So, you know, those— I think there's multiple different points along the process, where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

delays can happen. And then there's, you know, a slew of just really unique circumstances where, you know, people -- somebody's family composition changes, right? So the size of the voucher that they-- and the-- that they're qualified for, the-- and that the apartment that they need changes or, you know, it's a, it's a sublet situation, right?, really complicated ownership, right? There's a whole variety of things where-- so we're really trying, and we're always going through a process to look at what kind of information that we're collecting, to make sure that we are striking the right balance between moving people as quickly as possible, but still making sure that we are accountable, and that people-- that public dollars are being used in a way that's-- that's responsible on connecting people to safe sustainable housing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, it seems like a lot of work. So would it make sense-- would-- would eliminating the 90-day rule, make it easier if you're able to start 90 days sooner?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So-- So we certainly don't wait for 90 days, right? 90 days is when you become eligible for-- for a shopping letter, but the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engagement on what housing stability looks like starts much earlier in the process. And actually, we try to start it even before somebody comes into shelter, right? So we have-- our primary goal, our first goal is to prevent homelessness wherever possible. So a client who comes into a home-based office right can be eligible for a variety of different forms of assistance that can include a CityFHEPS voucher. It could include in some circumstances StateFHEPS. It can include emergency arrears through cash assistance, right? So our goal there is to prevent -- when somebody comes into one -when-- when they've not gone to Homebase, but they come to a DHS intake site, all of our intake sites focus on-- on diversion as well as intake. looking, is there something that we-- some form of assistance that we can offer that will help you avoid shelter. And in many cases that can include a CityFHEPS voucher, right? So this is not a "we want you to be in shelter for 90 days." This is "we want to try and get your assistance as quickly as possible and hopefully avoid shelter." Once-- once you're in the -- in shelter, the process of engaging, working on an independent living plan, thinking about what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permanent housing looks like starts, you know, almost right away. That again is not something that needs to wait for 90 days. But one of the things that we're really trying to do is to make sure that we are connecting people to a housing option that is -- is the right one for them. A performance metric that we're really proud of is the return rate to shelter for people who-- who leave with a subsidy. families with children it's under 1%. And it's not much higher for single adults either. And part of the reason that we-- that-- that we're able to be so successful there, that people don't come back to shelter once they have a housing subsidy, is because we're able to work with them and find a housing option that works. So we're using that 90 days to work with the -- work with households, while also making sure that we're targeting scarce housing resources to those who really need it.

Um, the last thing that I just-- point that I just want to make on the on the 90-day rule is that I certainly hear where people are coming from. I think, you know, from-- from our perspective and looking at the, you know, thousands of people who move out every year, the biggest limitation is really

is being used really productively.

2.2

2.3

- housing supply, right? There are, unfortunately,
 households in the shelter system who have held a
 housing voucher, a shopping letter for more than 90
 days, right? The-- the timing isn't the issue. It
 is the ability to find housing where you can use the
 CityFHEPS voucher. So I certainly hear-- hear the
 feedback. But we think there's-- there's-- the time
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, there was— there was an article just a couple of— maybe a little over a month ago that cited that there were over 30,000, was it?, rent-stabilized units that had been registered as vacant? Is there— has there been any attempt to work with the state to try to identify those landlords and maybe offer some sort of incentive to rent those units?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So I believe that may be something that HPD is looking at. I can't speak to that. You know, I also can't speak to what quality those units are in, right? If they're being warehoused and off the market, are they units that will pass our apartment review, where we're looking for— for housing of reasonable quality? And then just frankly, you know, yes, we absolutely should be

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

looking for ways that we can get more units available
for our clients, and it's something that we spend a
lot of time on every day. But at the end of the day,
we are working in a largely private sector

6 environment. So we do need landlords to be able to 7 put those on the market.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Understood. But I think that the Rehousing Unit also has a responsibility to create interagency relationships so that we're working in unison and not against each other, right? And I think that that's kind of what's missing to me. I think this is a system that is far too large, and too many hands are involved, and we need to kind of-we need to look at ways that we could eliminate what would become barriers to not only, you know, hindrance to getting a voucher, but to also identifying, you know, an apartment in a suitable amount of time. We have families and shelter, you know, for two and three years now. That was unheard of, you know. I-- and I-- and I say that as a person who has been, you know, in shelter twice. I just, you know, it becomes very difficult to comprehend why we're not using all of the tools in our toolbox, And how sometimes those tools are not within

2.2

2.3

our box, but they're in an adjacent, right?, agency that we should be partnering with. And I really-- I saw that and I thought, what if the service-- if we have over 30,000 units in the universe, and we're saying that-- we're not saying-- we are smack in the middle of a humanitarian crisis, with the largest number of unhoused, unsheltered individuals in history in New York City, and yet we're not maximizing our relationships to, you know, to look

into that as an alternative, to me is insane.

And so, for us, I think, you know, speaking for myself, you know, this committee is looking to streamline these processes, so that they're more user-friendly, and help really, you know, reduce the census count at the shelter facilities. We're, you know, at the highest we've ever been, and when-- and the rates of move out are really low in comparison to the number of people that are coming in. And that's for a lot of reasons. You know, we were here to the Advantage Voucher, we understood, right?, how horrible that voucher system was and how it contributed to where we are today, right? The dismantling of that program really contributed heavily, and I think that, you know, we-- that has to

6

7

8

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

- be factored into-- into the conversation. But

 however, it doesn't mean that-- that, you know, DSS

 or DHS is, you know, doing everything that they can,

 right?, within your power to really make the change
 - Now, can you tell us how many-- what is the current census right now, excluding asylum seekers, is what?

that we need to start reducing that census count.

- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Um, excluding asylum seekers is going to be 47,000 approximately. I have it--
- 13 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How much? I'm sorry.
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Approximately 47,000.

 But we look at our numbers-- the reports I get every

 day are in the aggregate. So I would need to-- we

 can get back to you with--
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you disaggregate that by-can you, by families versus singles?
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: These are very rough numbers because--
- 22 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. Okay.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: --the-- of that 47, I would say probably 15,000 or so are-- are families with children, very approximately, you know, 4000 or

2.2

2.3

so-- 3000-- about 3000 adult families, and the remainder are single adults. But again, because the reports that I look at every day are in the aggregate, they don't separate out asylum seekers.

I'm doing some, you know, in my head math we can get back to you with-- with more specifics.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. No, I mean, I—because we're running out of space, right? I mean, I hear that every day. "We're running out of space, we're running out of space, we don't have any anywhere else to put people." I think that it we focused a little bit, you know, more on what we're doing wrong, right?, in terms of assisting families in the move-out process a little bit faster than you know...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: We are absolutely always looking for ways to improve. One of the things that we did this summer was a four-day training. We trained more than 600 housing specialists and other shelter staff on a slew of different subsidies, CityFHEPS, but also a range of other-- other housing subsidies, we gave them source-of-income discrimination training. It was really-- it was quite broad, and we saw a nice-- have seen a

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

nice uptick in-- in move outs and subsidy utilization

since then. I'm sure the statisticians would say I

shouldn't be causal about it, but-- but we are always

looking for ways that we can improve and-- and are

6 certainly open to suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. And I-- and we did invite CCHR to come in and also share information on the income discrimination part of this. Because I think, you know, and we're like we're, you know, this body has been a willing participant in trying to figure this out with the Administration, with this administration, with the prior administration. We've increased the -- the value of the voucher. We've, you know, we've helped create the Office of Income Discrimination. Like we've done everything on our end. But if those tools are not being used the way that they were intended, for whatever reason. I get, we could have a workforce issue, but I need to see a plan that says, "Okay, we have a workforce issue. This is what we're doing to remediate that." I think that I keep hearing, like, we have a workforce issue. But yeah, we have a workforce issue. There is no plan moving forward. And that's where you know, where it becomes problematic for me, because, you

- 2 know, I think that these are very difficult jobs,
- 3 very stressful. You need exceptional customer
- 4 service, you know, skills, and the pay rate is really
- 5 low. So it doesn't really encourage the-- the
- 6 | attention that that we would want in order to attract
- 7 and retain, right?, a workforce that we desperately
- 8 need.

- 9 So I would love to see a little bit more of that.
- 10 | But I'll come around. Again, I have a couple of more
- 11 | questions, but Councilmember Sanchez, followed by
- 12 | Councilmember Ossé, Brewer, and then Stevens.
- 13 | COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you,
- 14 | Madam Speaker. So first, I just wanted to take a
- 15 moment to hear if the Administration is supporting
- 16 any of-- any or all of the bills that are being heard
- 17 | today. So the Pre-considered 23, 24-- sorry, 2864,
- 18 | 63, 65, and 62.
- 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So we received them
- 20 | late on Friday night, so we're still in the process
- 21 of review.
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay, so then I have
- 23 some-- some data questions. And Deputy Commissioner,
- 24 good to see you. Good to see you all.

2.2

2.3

How many-- this is continuing some of the questions that Madam Deputy just asked, but how many CityFHEPS move outs occurred each month, between January 2022 and December? Do you have that disaggregated by household size and borough?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So I don't have it. I have it broken out by borough, not by month. In calendar year 22 through September -- because we're still doing some reconciliation of the last quarter's data -- there were about 4800 CityFHEPS moves. Of that total, about 42% of the households moved to the Bronx, 29% moved to Brooklyn, 10% to Manhattan 16%, to Queens, and 3% of Staten Island.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: What was Staten Island?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Sorry?

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Staten Island?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: 3%.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay. What is the average amount of time-- so trying to try to distinguish between getting-- getting the voucher and then identifying the apartment, but then identifying the apartment and actually moving in. So how many--sorry. What is the average amount of time that it currently took DHS to process apartment from the

2.2

2.3

2 moment that the client reports that they've secured 3 the apartment to you checking it out?

So the processing time from when we're getting it until a client's able to move out averages 17 to 21 days. Again, acknowledging that there are absolutely outliers there.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Great. And then how many CityFHEPS packages were submitted for final review by DSS from January last year, from January '22, to December '22, and how many rejected, how many approved?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So we're in the process of transitioning from one system to another for packet— for processing those CityFHEPS. So during most of calendar year 22, we had some in one system and some in another. So it's— until we're fully in the new system and the reporting is built out, I'm not going to be able to give that exact answer. But I will— with respect to the rejected, we try not to leave anything in the rejected category, right? If there is a problem with the package, we go back and try and get it over the finish line, working with the with the shelter, with

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the client, with the landlord, whatever we need to do to get it ready to go.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. And I just want to also take a moment to recognize that there were changes, you know, that you mentioned in your testimony that the Administration made that were reflected in Housing New York, but to also thank advocates who are pushing us to go further, right? So I want to thank Legal Aid Society, Make the Road, VOCAL New York, and others who've come to us at the council and pushed us to take that step further to remove the 90-day rule, to remove the work requirement entirely, to increase eligibility based on income. There's-- there's a lot that we can still do together. And I hope that when you do have a chance to review the bills, that the Administration will be supportive and work with us to move these bills. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Councilmember Ossé?

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you, Chair Ayala, and good afternoon. The first question I want to ask is that my constituents often come to the district office with a number of questions regarding

2.2

2.3

CityFHEPS. And for my constituents who receive their voucher through non-shelter sources, they often have trouble finding out who their provider is, and who they should report to. So I wanted to ask how you all as an agency can help my constituents or all of our constituents clearly know who their CBO provider is for their voucher.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Sure. So in the community, the people get their vouchers either through Homebase, or if they have an APS active case, APS will process their vouchers.

So in order to know what Homebase you go to, you can call 311. They can— they have various places online, if you Google it, that you can put in your zip code. And it's all zip code based in their catchment area. And also the Homebases do have some flexibility. So if somebody goes into one that maybe not where their zip code is, they also can have some flexibility to enroll those people.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: All right. Thank you. And additionally, I'm aware that there are severe staffing issues within the Commission on Human Rights, and that the agency is working on staffing. However, are there any other enforcement or

2.2

2.3

accountability measures that the city can make-- can take to ensure that people are not being denied based off of their vouchers. That's definitely something that we hear often in our office, you know, from those that come in and are having their vouchers denied. Sometimes, you know, I'm not sure who to call on to investigate these situations and, you know, get creates these uncomfortable situations where folks have been in and out of the shelter system, and are doing everything right, and have jobs and have these vouchers, but no one's accepting them. So I would love to know what accountability measures

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So the Commission on Human Rights is the lead agency on— on source—of—income discrimination, but we are always happy to work on a particular case and see if we can troubleshoot. You know, we're not necessarily going to be prosecuting a landlord for income discrimination, but we will work with the client and try and find a pathway to stable housing for that individual. So...

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you.

are being taken through the agency.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Councilmember Brewer.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. I know you're working hard. So in the Oversight and Investigations, we got a call from Senator Gillibrand who wanted to know about her federal money for housing.

And it turns out no surprise to you, that a lot of it, to your credit is going to the-- I would say the federal program for vouchers. But not a lot of them have been used. So I just was wondering what the percentage of FHEPS -- I don't know if it's endless; the feds are not endless -- so I want to know what percentage of FHEPS are being used, or maybe every single one. And then how does it complement, supplement, not work at all with the--with the Feds?

Now obviously if you're case worker, you want somebody to get, you know, Section 8, FHEBS, state, federal, anything, NYCHA. You could—the list goes on. But how do they work together? Do they not work together? I just want to understand the difference, because I think it's around 26% of people who have the federal voucher are walking around because that's all that's been used and the money's tied up in the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.3

cloud somewhere because nobody can find an apartment.So if you could explain that to me.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Sure. Just a quick data point of the vouchers. The emergency housing vouchers that have been utilized— assigned to— to DSS, right?, so different programs within DSS, but we're at 37% moved in so far. And— and that's going up every day, right? There was a big push to get people vouchered, and now the vouchers are translating into move outs.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: And yet still HPD's got their hoard, and NYCHA, I don't think, HPD's got their hoard too.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So these are through HPD and NYCHA. We weren't-- we weren't issued our own allocation, but then the City assigned it--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: --assigned the-- the HPD and NYCHA vouchers to different recipient agencies of the--

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: So these are through--

22 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: --of the universe that were assigned to DSS.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: So 37%. Okay.

2.3

- 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: About 37%.
- COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Well, that leaves quite a few people who are wandering around with one who can't use it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: And it's going up steadily. As I said, the sort of push in the initial part of the program was really to get people vouchered. And now that— that now that we've—we've got all the vouchers issued, we're really focusing on this.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Owners like them, because the Feds pay, they feel, quickly on time. I know you're trying to do the same thing with the CityFHEPS. But the owners that I talk to seem to like them because they pay faster. Or they don't worry, put it that way.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: It's-- depending on who you talk to, you get -- and really people shouldn't have opinions at all about which subsidy they would prefer--

22 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: They do. They do.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: --but certainly they do. It varies. The inspection process on the federal vouchers tends to be a bit more involved in

2.2

2.3

the inspection process on the-- on the city side of things. So actually, the city side does tend to move more quickly to get to--

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. So when you get that move out number that includes everything?

Section 8, NYCHA, CityFHEPS. So when you say the, you know, the 4800--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: That was-- that was CityFHEPS.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: That's just CityFHEPS.

That does not include all these other programs.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Correct. So let me let me take a step back and answer your question about— try and answer your question about how they all work together.

So when a client is working with their housing specialist, they should be getting them on-- in the queue for or-- or qualifying them for any subsidy for which they might be eligible, right?

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So they should be working on— on getting that CityFHEPS shopping letter. At this point, the EHV vouchers are largely allocated, but they were getting people EHV. They—

2.2

2.3

our clients can go on the NYCHA public housing waitlist. They can apply for HPD housing, right?

There's sort of a slew-- they might be applying for supportive housing.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Correct.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: And the idea is anything that is applicable, that is of interest to the client, we want to have all of those in the mix, right?, because some of them are going to, you know, maybe-- maybe you really want, you know, the-- maybe CityFHEPS is going to materialize faster, or maybe you really want supportive housing, right? But you want to have all of those-- as many options as possible.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Because most people are qualified for multiple things, what— it doesn't— you can't necessarily say how many people had a CityFHEPS shopping letter and then— at leased up and have that be a meaningful number. What we've done instead is to go back and look, of— of the people who had— households that had a CityFHEPS shopping letter, what percentage of them are able to exit with a subsidy? And that number is well over 80%, right?

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: It just might not be CityFHEPS, right? Maybe they chose to go to NYCHA, maybe they went to supportive housing or something like that. So we think the overall universe of housing subsidies for those who qualify is very successful, even if not everybody is using CityFHEPS. We think that client choice is a good thing.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay, I mean, it sounds good. The trouble is, I guess there's not enough on the housing ends, and still there's just so many challenges that it's-- it's slow. And I-- you know, I wish we could-- I know you got personnel issues. Everybody has them. If the mayor would decide to do some hybrid, that would be nice. I'm going to say it over and over again, you'd be able to hire people.

And then the other issue of course, is that— how is it other— I should know this but the— I was in the room when the Advantage Program ended. How was that different? Why does everybody love Advantage even though it— was it because it was matched? What was the advantage to Advantage? How about that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: My colleagues may chime in. There's a lot of historical memory to

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: It was a shorter term program. It was only two years.

 $\label{eq:ms.zuiden} \mbox{MS. ZuiderVEEN:} \quad \mbox{I would also say that we started} \\ \mbox{that--}$

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: So it just between the mayor and the governor is what that was all about. But anyway, go ahead.

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: We started it just before the financial crisis, and there was a lot of people buying homes then that wanted to fill them immediately with people in our programs. And then, you know, when that crash happened, the demand did go down after that. So that was also part of it.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: And then just finally, so then whatever the FHEPS amount is, and whatever the federal amount is, it the same now?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. So we can't play one against the other.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Exactly.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Is it enough? It's never enough. But is it— it's better, but what are we still missing in terms of the reimbursement or the amount needed? I mean, Manhattan is almost, you know, you're paying \$4,000 a month. So.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So we're-- we're aligned with-- with NYCHA's payments standards. So I think we're actually at this point 110% of fair market rent, right?

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Fair market rent by--by definition is the 40th percentile for the region as defined by HUD.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Yeah. Yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So, you know, it's-there's certainly room to talk about whether or not
that is the right level, but we are aligned. I think
it's really important that we are aligned with-- with
the Section 8 program so that you no longer have-have one subsidy cannibalizing another.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: Okay. And do you hear back from owners that state this? Is that a big challenge to find an apartment, but it's not the

Section 8, if you so choose, you may now pay up to

24

25

408--

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: 40%. I saw that in your 3 testimony.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: --of your income in rent, right? So that-- that is intended to deal with the situations where the rent is a little bit too high and the landlord won't negotiate.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: All right. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Councilmember Stevens?

everyone. So City Council passed legislation Intro 2405-A that would allow youth in DYCD, runaway youth programs eligible for CityFHEPS by counting anytime they spent in runaway youth shelters towards their 90 -day requirement. And then in April Local Law 170 went into effect. And then City Council passed a similar law for foster children, foster care youth as well, aging out of foster care. However, there's been a lot of issues around that where young people who are spending their time in the shelters and spending more than 90 days in the shelter, but then being forced into DHS shelters. Why is this happening? It's not really making sense that we're

guys are interpreting the law.

2.2

2.3

making-- an extending time for homeless runaway
youth, especially when we're trying to eradicate
homelessness and putting them in a system that's
already strained. So can you talk to me about what
that looks like? And from what we are-- what I'm
hearing is that this is an interpretation of how you

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: So right now ACS and DYCD are in the process of the apartment search, leasing up units, and moving clients with the emergency housing vouchers. So for the past year or so they— they had allotments of EHV vouchers and were really able to focus their housing efforts on using those. And they also do have allotment of 50 CityFHEPS vouchers each that they're using and—

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Just 50?

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: Hmm?

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: You said 50?

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: 50 each, allotments that they're using, and we are— the Administration is looking at how they're using them and— and using that to assess any future pilots and plans.

2	COUNCILM
3	very low num
4	vouchers? A
5	MS. ZUID
6	into place t
7	happened, an
8	see how quic

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Do you not think that's a very low number, of having just a number of 50 vouchers? And how much is DSH getting in comparison?

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: Well, the 50 vouchers were put into place to pilot, and then the EHV vouchers happened, and so we haven't really had a chance to see how quickly they can use them and what their capacity is, and— and so that's what we're looking at now that they're finishing using their EHV vouchers.

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: But also why are we making young people leave a runaway youth shelter to go into an adult shelter to start the 90-day over?

Like that's-- I'm not understanding what the purpose of that is.

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: Well, if people do go-- if they just do go into a DHS shelter, then we do take that time into account currently. They don't have to--

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: That's not what's happening, and that's not the experience that I'm hearing from advocates and young people. I'm hearing that they're spending their time in the runaway homeless youth shelters, and then they are half--

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

being forced to go to DHS and starting over, and having to do the 90 days before they can be eligible.

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: Maybe we can follow up offline and get a couple of specific client names. And we can-- we can do some follow up there.

Speak more about it. But this is one of the issues that they've been talking a lot about, and from the information, ACS and DYCD are interpreting the laws as if the time in the runaway homeless youth shelters should be counted towards 90 days, but then are getting pushback from your agency saying that, "No, that's-- they're not eligible. And so they have to go into DHS shelters." And so I think this is something that we do need to be looking at and examining, because homeless runaway youth are also homeless folks. And so we should be looking at them, and they should be having the same criteria as well. And not continue this cycle of traumatization,

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Thank you.

up with -- and dig into that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Councilmember Hanif followed by Councilmember Williams.

MS. ZUIDERVEEN: We're certainly happy to follow

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Thank you for being here. And I first just want to thank

Commissioner Jenkins and his team for being proactive with me and my office. We were just sighted two emergency shelters and are welcoming asylum seekers into the 39th district, and are looking forward to continued collaboration and partnership.

So I'm interested to know a little bit more about the 4800 CityFHEPS moves. Is that an increase from the year before, and the year before? Are you able to share that data with us?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: I don't actually have the year over year. I can tell you if we look at—at fiscal year 22 to where we are in the first quarter or so of fiscal year 23, we are absolutely tracking on an increase. So we are on an upward—upward trend, yes.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: And what's the number that is ideal?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: That's-- that is a challenging question to answer. You know, I would-- as many as possible sounds flip, and I don't-- I don't mean to be flip. But the reason that it is challenging to answer is because there are so many

2.2

2.3

nuances around who is in the shelter system and their eligibility. I think a big piece of it, and what's frankly going to be an increasingly large piece of—of that equation is immigration status. Federal law right now does not allow us to provide ongoing assistance to a household that is undocumented, even if it is city assistance. That is prohibited under federal law.

Last year, there was state legislation that would have— that created a— appropriated funds for a rental supplement program that was specifically— specifically called out those who are undocumented. And then which, we were thrilled about until the state turned around, and specifically for New York City, said we had to use the funds to increase StateFHEPS. So it clawed back a lot of that money from that undocumented population. But, right, you know, I can't— for me to say I want you know, X percentage of families to move, out or singles to move out every year with CityFHEPS I can't say that without also balancing against who is in the system, because they may or may not be eligible.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: I understand that. But I think we also want to challenge that, because we do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want to make sure that there's an expeditious process from shelter to permanent housing. And I bring this up in my Chair of Immigration Committee hat, because we saw that the discourse was that there was a shortage of beds, and the shortage of beds then equated with the creation of the outdoor tent HERRC, which was the -- later demobilized as a result of our-- of our advocacy. And I'm afraid that if we don't have a number that we are striving towards and meeting those goals, then we may end up creating an outdoor shelter which, we learned from my hearing and forthcoming hearings that the-- that HERRC system and the DHS shelter system are not necessarily following the same protocols around housing, and that really creates anxiety for me and many, many advocates and people who are navigating these both systems. So I'd like to know, How many vacant beds are currently within the DHS systems?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: For families with children and adult families, we are running—our vacancy rate is about 0.5% as of today. The vacancy rates for singles are a little bit stronger, but it's a—that is a more nuanced number because the single system is broken up into a variety of different

2.2

2.3

program shelters, right? We have employment sites and mental health sites, and you know, seniors and things like that. So the number— the vacancy rate in the general population beds, which are, you know, any old, you know, sort of no specific special needs, it's— it's very low, I think the last number I saw was something like an absolute number of like, 60 beds from— for men. It's— it's a— it's a bit higher for women. We have not seen the same number

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: And then what's the current average length of stay for families, and then for single adults?

of asylum seekers who are single women.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: They're both over a year. I want to say about 18 months for families and a little bit less for singles. But we can get back to with the specific numbers.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Thank you. And then what's the average length of time it takes for someone from starting the CityFHEPS application process to receiving the voucher? I know, you mentioned the 17 to 21 days. What's the average length of time it takes for— for someone receiving the voucher to then moving into permanent housing?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So the-- from the point of shopping letter to finding an apartment is just-- it's very difficult to put an average on that one, because there's-- there's, it varies tremendously based on the individual's circumstances. So what a household needs from that unit, if it, you know, needs to be accessible, if it needs to be in a specific location because of school, or doctors or things like that, if it-- what size unit you're looking for varies a lot. It's frankly, much harder to find a one bedroom--

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: I understand. Does that mean that there's-- even if you're unable to put an average, like what's the highest? Like, is it like three years, five years? Like, I'd like to just get an assessment of like, "the quickest was this many days" and then like, "the longest took this many years." Hopefully not.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: I'm going to-- I'm going to say that we'll get back to you on that, because I you know, I have various anecdotal ideas, but I'd like to get back to you with better information.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: I appreciate that. I mean, we hear from countless families and advocates that they'd like to get out. And it shouldn't take years upon years to be out of the system.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Right. Certainly there are families who spent, you know, more than a year in shelter. The families who spend multiple years, very often there is a particular challenge. Some of those challenges were— we're trying to tackle. You know, a disabled child, for example, one of the changes that we've made in the CityFHEPS rules is that anybody on SSI qualifies you for CityFHEPS rather than just the adult. So, you know, family—if a family can't meet the work requirements, because they're caring for a disabled child, they can now still qualify for CityFHEPS.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: And then just I'll wrap up with one more question. I was really grateful to hear your enthusiasm at one of our hearings about the state passing this legislation to expand the voucher program to undocumented and asylum seekers in New York City. What steps are you all currently taking with our Albany colleagues to ensure that this bill is enacted? I know you've mentioned some challenges,

- 2 but is there continued engagement happening,
- 3 conversations regularly? And then if it does pass,
- 4 and I'd like to say when it passes, how many New York
- 5 City residents would gain eligibility to CityFHEPS
- 6 | that currently lack it?
- 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So absolutely, we're
- 8 engaged with our Albany colleagues. I think one of
- 9 | the things that we're really focused on is trying to
- 10 reverse that -- what I keep calling a clawback in the
- 11 | in the RSP program, right?, that diverted the funds
- 12 | that were intended to go from-- for undocumented
- 13 | households and move that over to the StateFHEPS side
- 14 of the ledger. I think -- [ASIDE TO MS. ZUIDERVEEN],
- 15 do you have the number, how many we could serve if
- 16 | that was reversed?
- 17 MS. ZUIDERVEEN: [ASIDE TO DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
- 18 PARK] No, I just know right now it's 1800.
- 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay. We can get back
- 20 to you on-- on-- if the clawback was fully reversed,
- 21 what that would mean for the number of households.
- 22 COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Thank you so much.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Councilmember
- 24 Williams?

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: I wanted to follow up on a question around the average time. How do you collect that data? Like how do you determine that that's in fact the average?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So, you know, we do have a system that we use for processing right now.

As I mentioned, it's-- we're in the process of-- of replacing and upgrading that, but-- but we do have a system that we're using for tracking now.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Are you able to share with us, like, the system that you use to track?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Do a demo, you mean?

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Mm-hmm. Or like, just share, like, the methodology? Like, how is the information calculated? How do you then, like, analyze that to provide the data you provided today? Because I think there is a misconception around, like, the length of time. So it would be good to understand, like, okay, how is this data collected? And then how do you assess it to say, okay, the average time is 17 to 20 days?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Sure, I'm going to need to pull in my IT Folks, but we can get back to you on that.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, cool. And the 3 other question I have is about the budget.

So we all know about the mayor's letter, OMB's letter. And so does this requirement also apply? It's not clear whether or not some of the positions in the HRA Benefits Administration staff will be excluded from the mandate. So I know in a previous hearing, you guys said that you would be, but I just wanted to make sure, to get on the record, if HRA is eligible for any special inclusions under this mandate? If so, please, detail. I think last time we were talking about another issue within your agency, so I'm not sure about this particular unit.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Right. So on a macro level, both HRA and DHS were subjected to the to the PEG and the loss of vacancy hires. We've worked with OMB and are working internally to make sure that particular areas are— are protected. You know, I wouldn't say that there is any area that is— is— where it's easy to lose vacancies, but we certainly understand focus on things like move outs and are really trying to protect that to the extent possible.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. I guess that leads to my next question. Are you able to tell us

2.2

2.3

how many of HRA's City-funded vacant positions relate to the Administration of rental assistance? So I guess the unit that you just told us about that focuses on the CityFHEPS program.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: So we have—— we have teams at DHS and teams at HRA. I can answer for DHS, and then I'll pass it off to my colleague. So the—— the DHS team is—— it's about 115 positions of which I have, I think about 16 vacancies there. But that includes this new group that was customer service team that was funded. So that sounds—— sounds like a slightly higher vacancy rate than it is, because we're actually doing some expansion there, which is a good place to be, particularly in this climate.

MR. JORDAN: So on the HRA side, we have about 70 staff dedicated to processing move outs in support of DHS, and also doing moves from the community from Homebase, and doing renewals once you're on the program. And we have maybe a handful of vacancies, but we're in the process of backfilling those.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: And now I have another question, because how does that coordination work between HRA and DSS? So if you are like handing out

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the letters, are you doing more so of like the on the ground logistics of the move?

MR. JORDAN: So as First Deputy Commissioner Park indicated in her testimony earlier, all the front-end support to the client and the shelter provider along with PEU trying to find apartments on behalf of a client moving out, that's done at the DHS level along with the shelter provider. And then the final approval of that package, in order to put it into systems that generate money, both to move in and a recurring grant, that has to be sent to HRA, the way the program rules, and it's designed. And we're in constant communication to streamline things. share spreadsheets. We share the same system that the first deputy commissioner mentioned. She has to bring ITS in to maybe give you a demo on. constantly war-rooming cases, we're constantly bringing up any type of case that might have an issue, like the first deputy commissioner testified earlier. We don't want to leave anything in the rejection box, right? If things get fixed, and the apartment is still available, then we'll pull out of a turn and then go back to chronological.

2.2

2.3

know it, like, might sound like a weird question, but you know, I'm an expert. And so, for me, I like to understand the inner workings so that I can ask better questions or find better solutions. So that's why we just wanted to know like, well, what is what does the backend look like? And like, how is this database and collected? And how are you all coordinating with each other to sort of expedite these rental assistance programs? Okay, I think I had another question, but I'm good. Thank you.

No, I think maybe it was like, you know, as I like to-- we like to say like statements. So I think my-- my statement was: I think sometimes it's a misconception, and I speak for myself, that you know, there's endless money and we can do all of these things. And I don't feel that way. You know, where there are vacancies and it's not needed, that's fine. If you can streamline and cut red tape, because I do think overall government is bloated. So where we can do that, that's great. But I guess the concern here is just making sure that you continue to have the resources you need to address current issues and issues to come. So thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER BREWER: I just want to say		
something positive, because we do have problems with		
FHEPS. I have the list here. But Mr. Charles		
Kenneth, whoever he is at HRA, has solved them all.		
So whomever he is, just be sure that he gets the		
credit. There's a long list here. At the end, "We		
love Charles Kenneth." From my staff, just I don't		
know if you know him, but just saying Kenneth		
Charles. Kenneth Charles. Thank you. Just so you		
know, pass it on. Thank you.		

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: That's the-- that's important for you to help-- to help lift the morale. And-- and again, this is not an issue of questioning the-- the efficiency of the staff, but rather the efficiency of the system, right?, and how we fix it and tweak it so that it works to an individual's advantage.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I have a couple of just quick questions. Does DHS currently report cases of possible income discrimination to CCHR?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah. There-- I don't believe there's a formal intake process. But if there-- if we see something that we think we can

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

document, and it would rise to that level, we will absolutely make sure that it gets escalated.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So do you track it? Or do you track that information currently?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: No. We don't have tracking.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Is there a way to do that? Because I think that, right?, those two, those-- those two things come hand in hand, because one of the biggest complaints that we get, from, you know, at our district offices from voucher holders is that they have this voucher, and nobody will accept it, right? And so, I, you know, we-- I pass legislation that included language on what income discrimination was on the actual voucher, but not everybody has, you know, the time, right? People are stressed out, you know, out there trying to just I may not may not see that. I-- and you survive. mentioned in your testimony that that's part of the work that, you know, DHS does is to educate voucher holders on what income discrimination looks like. But I think that if we were able to kind of track what those numbers are, as opposed to the number of

2.2

2.3

cases that may or may not be brought up for-- for some sort of-- of action, that that would be helpful.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you tell us what-- do you know what the percentage of shelter residents who are currently in your system is, that qualifies for the voucher to date?

challenging thing to talk about right now, just because our system doesn't look anything like what it looked like eight months ago, right? We have, you know, a full third of the families with children in our system are asylum seekers who are not going to—largely not going to qualify. So the numbers are around somewhere in the third quarter to a third have shopping letters. But again, I would take that number with a grain of salt. It's very much skewed by the changes in population recently.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Yeah, I'm looking specifically for those New Yorkers that do qualify.

I'm trying to disaggregate the asylum seeker population, only because I know that you know, that's a little bit more complicated. I'm trying to better understand like, what...

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: We can talk to our data team and see if that is something that we can analyze.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, I appreciate that. And can you tell us in regards to the-- to priority for housing, do NYCHA residents currently have first priority for NYCHA apartments?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Shelter clients? They are one of the top priority populations. They're not the only high priority. But-- But yes, you know, although the general NYCHA waitlist is closed, we can still access NYCHA apartments for our clients.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. But are they classified on Number Eight or Number One? Because I think you know that that's important. It used to be back in my day, and now I can't believe I'm saying that but...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: They are. NYCHA also needs to take care of their internal relocations, right? If they need to move, because the family size has changed, or because there is a physical issue in the apartment. So I think that is a priority as well as some safety, you know, physical safety transfers, but otherwise, yes, I think we are at the top.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, I ask because the question is relevant. If we have families that are unhoused right now, that need a subsidy, right? City, you know, we're trying-- we're building units that would help fill in the space that all of the rent-stabilized units that were destabilized, right?, once held. But that doesn't make those units affordable to most families. So when we bring up, right?, we're building affordable housing, you know. i know in my district, people who are like immediately, the response to that is, "Affordable to whom?" And it's because there's a clear distinction between affordable housing and subsidized housing, right? And, unfortunately, a great percentage of individuals in my district, and I'm sure within your system, need and depend on some sort of subsidy program.

Under the Bloomberg administration, you know, I know that that was the policy decision that he made that removed that first priority, because there was a sentiment that people were somehow purposely making themselves homeless so that they could benefit from that, which was outrageous. But my understanding was

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that under the de Blasio administration, there was an 3 attempt to kind of go back.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah. I'd say we're still there. We work really closely with NYCHA. There is a steady pipeline of placements, you know, every week from our shelter system into NYCHA public housing, simply because NYCHA public housing is, you know, people don't leave it easily, right? it's a, it's a valuable resource for those that have it, right? We-- we need to look beyond NYCHA. But it is a really important piece of our total, total affordable housing package. And I, you know, certainly understand the range of subsidy-- of rent levels in HPD units, but just to, you know, shout out my colleagues there, we have been working really, really closely with them. And this is -- I think this is the third year-- 2022 is the third year in a row where we've broken records in the number of placements of DHS clients into-- into HPD units.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's great. In regards to the-- the program itself, the voucher itself, is CityFHEPS permanent?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: No. It's a five year subsidy, renewable.

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Now, this is the 3 problem that I had with -- with the Advantage Program, 4 I think kind of like where we got stuck. So you know, this is a little educational, so for people that are watching, we used to have a program that was 6 7 called the Advantage Program, and it was a voucher 8 It was not the best program. Families were being placed in apartments that weren't-- were not necessarily being inspected. So there were reports 10 11 of like broken windows and rats, and a whole bunch of 12 stuff that was happening. Families that were larger 13 that will put into units that were significantly smaller than what the family called for. And so when 14 15 Governor Cuomo came into office, he said, this 16 program sucks, I'm not funding it, and it was 17 partially paid by the state. It was split half and 18 half. And it left the City kind of in a bind, 19 because then the City had to come up with 100% of the 20 funding for the Advantage Program. I believe that 21 you know, then Governor Cuomo was correct in his 2.2 assessment. I think that the manner in which he 2.3 exited the program without a contingency plan created a domino effect that we're seeing today. 24 coupled with the changes in policy that the Bloomberg 25

2.2

2 administration put in at the time, you know, didn't
3 help.

So you know, this-- this-- there is a lot of you know, of history here, but the Advantage Program was only supposed to be for five years. So if I'm on a fixed income, and I'm qualifying because I'm on a fixed income, or I have a disability, or whatever that may be, and now I'm in I'm in an apartment in five years, my situation is not changing.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Can I just set it slightly? So it's five years in general but if you are a senior or if you are disabled, it's ongoing.

And then also for--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So it's permanent for people with--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Permanent, yeah. And then for everybody else, once they hit the five years, we do have a good-cause process where they can apply to continue their renewals. So it is— it is more flexible than Advantage.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But it may be renewed. But we do know yet how many times?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Some people have stayed continuously on the program for-- for many years after five years.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. Because my concern is that, then we stabilize them and then pull the rug from under them, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARK: Yeah, definitely.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And now they cannot afford this apartment that, you know, they struggled to get to begin with.

And then I think my last question is really around payment. Because I think that was— that was also again, and is relevant to what happened then, is that in the removal of the Advantage Program, what ended up happening with, you know, a significant number of landlords that were not paid, and that obviously did not leave a really good taste in their mouths. And I, you know, completely understand that. And so it eroded that trust, right?, between the city and the landlord to a degree that we're now seeing landlords, you know, very clearly let you know, telling folks that they're not accepting the vouchers program, which we know is a source—of—income discrimination. And that— so I'm concerned about

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

the late payments of the voucher once an individual is already in housing and wondering if there's any

4 attempt or any way to, you know, to rectify that.

Thank you for that question, MR. JORDAN: Sure. Deputy Speaker. Once someone's already in, I think there's a little bit of a misconception here. strive to really do the payments timely, because once you're on, you're on a recurring schedule. And we send that out. I think some of the confusion is, if someone -- their 30% is based on being on active cash assistance, they're getting shelter allowance twice a month on one schedule, they're getting CityFHEPS, once a month on another schedule. Sometimes landlords-- our payments might go out, but then the client didn't pay their 30% Share. But overall, we're very sensitive to this issue. When landlords call us we look into it, we try to rectify the situation. And you know, a lot of times it's due to a myriad of issues. And as we move on to-- to different systems, new systems that we've talked about earlier in this testimony, we think it's going to give a little more transparency. One of the systems we're going to move on to that's going to do

24

2.2

2.3

the payments in the future, the landlords will be able to sign up for Direct Deposits instead of mail.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Great. Great.

MR. JORDAN: Yes. They'll be able to do— but the good thing about it is they'll be able to take the initiative and do it themselves. They'll be able to go into a portal and sign up. They'll also be able to manage their account of whatever they're receiving by looking in instead of just calling all over the agency, waiting for someone to do the forensics and tell them what's going on and not going on. They'll be able to see all the accounts, whether or not they're getting CA shelter allowance, whether or not they're getting FHEPS, whether or not they're getting CityFHEPS payments.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And how soon do you anticipate the system to be...?

MR. JORDAN: We're-- we're currently experimenting with it at certain stages and in certain type of cases and certain type of functions, and we're doing improvements, you know, every so-few months as we learn things, and we're hoping to have it really fully operational by either the end of this year or the beginning of 2024.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I think that that's
3 going to be a game changer. You guys, you have the
4 most outdated payment processing system, I think city
5 wide. Yeah. I might be wrong. I might be wrong.

But definitely, I think that it'll-- it'll help
expedite, you know, payments and offer the
transparency that we're-- that we're looking for.

Do we have any other questions? No.

Well, I want to thank you all so much for-- for coming today and testifying. And again, you know, we are here as a resource. We are looking, you know-we're always looking to partner with the Administration to make these processes as seamless as possible. It's-- this is very personal for many of us, and we're trying to be helpful. We're not obstructionists. So if there's any way that you know, that you -- you feel that there can be collaboration, you know, we're here. Our doors are open, and you know, we're always available. thank you all so much for the work that you do. know that -- that it isn't easy. It's -- but you know, the aim is -- the goal is to get you know, to a place where it's a lot easier. So thank you so much.

ALL: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL HOMERO: I will now be calling up members of the public to testify in panels. Reminder that all testimony will be limited to three minutes. I would also like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by committee staff, may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.NYC.gov. The first panel will be an in-person panel, and it will consist of Clare Plunkett, Henry Love, Jamie Powlovich, and Robert Desir.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin. Please make sure that your microphone is on. It's okay. It doesn't matter which side starts first. Do you want to start first? Okay.

MS. PLUNKETT: Good afternoon. My name is Clare Plunkett. I'm the Program Director of Residential Services for Domestic Violence Emergency Shelters at Sanctuary for Families, New York State's largest provider of comprehensive services exclusively for abuse survivors and their children. We're so grateful for the opportunity to testify today on the critical topic of the CityFHEPS Rental Assistance Program. Our special thanks to Diana Ayala, Chair of

2.2

2.3

the Committee for confronting head-on the crisis of homelessness and affordable housing in our city, and for your advocacy on behalf of abuse survivors.

In recent years, as violent crime has declined sharply, rates of domestic violence have risen dramatically, and it has taken center stage as the single largest driver of family homelessness in New York City. According to a 2019 New York City

Comptroller's report, domestic violence accounts for over 40% of families entering DHS shelters, a sharp rise over the previous five years. This statistic does not include over 2500 annual residents in HRA domestic violence shelters, the largest DV shelter system in the nation.

For almost 30 years Sanctuary has run a large 58family domestic violence transitional shelter and
four small crisis shelters that together provide,
safe confidential residence for 350 to 400 adults and
children annually including over 200 children last
year. We also provide eviction prevention, legal
services, case management, job training, and direct
rental assistance. Over the past two years, our HUDfunded Continuum of Care Rapid Rehousing Program has
become an additional valuable rental assistance

2 resource for shelter residents ineligible for

3 vouchers, but this funding only covers a small number

4 of families.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The single greatest obstacle our shelter clients face in their transition to long-term stability is the challenging housing subsidy system, including CityFHEPS. There's no question that the introduction of CityFHEPS has made a positive impact, with increased incentives for landlords to rent to voucher holders, and the increase in September 2021 up to federal Section 8 fair market rent levels. certain program rules still make it difficult for low income survivors we serve to participate. Apartment size requirements based on family size and composition can force families to search for unnecessarily large apartments that are over the voucher limit. Too often shelter residents on a path to economic stability and independence find that working to support their families actually results in exclusion or removal from voucher programs because their income is too high, a formula triggered at levels far below comfortable living wages.

Also, as we've spoken out today, a number of HRA emergency intervention services staff vacancies,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

including many due to retirement are not being 2 3 filled, leaving in the HRA DV shelter system only one 4 or two people responsible for processing vouchers and other public assistance. And that's for the whole DV shelter system.

With such limited staffing capacity, there are long delays in voucher processing for shelter residents.

Another major challenge for survivors is actually using the voucher once it is approved, sanctuary clients still struggle to find suitable apartments within the maximum. [BELL RINGS]

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can wrap up.

MS. PLUNKETT: Okay, thanks. I guess I just wanted to add anecdotally, in our transitional shelter, we have over three families that have had packages submitted since November that haven't moved So that means they secured an apartment, and at all. they're still in our shelter with us because of that wait time. So with respect to the 17 to 21 day average that was cited previously, we don't see that within our agency.

2.2

2.3

And, yeah, we-- this will be reviewed, I know later, but thank you for the opportunity for us to testify today.

Good afternoon, my name is Jamie Powlovich, and I use she her pronouns. I'm the Executive Director of the Coalition for Homeless Youth. Thank you Deputy Ayala and the rest of the Committee for holding today's hearing and allowing me the opportunity to testify. I'll be submitting longer written testimony, but I'd like to use my time today to echo the issue that my colleagues at the Youth Action Board have already outlined regarding voucher access for homeless young people in the DYCD system as well as young people transitioning out of ACS.

Specifically for runaway and homeless youth in DYCD, they were initially promised then link vouchers eight years ago by former Mayor de Blasio, and despite a yearly promises that they were going to get access, it never happened.

Fast forward to November 2021, when City Council and then specifically Councilman Steve Levin passed two pieces of legislation, Intro 2405-A and 145-B, we thought we were finally going to get young people access to vouchers. The intent of those legislation

2.2

2.3

and the laws that were then passed was to allow time spent in ACS and DYCD towards the 90-day eligibility requirement, and then for vouchers to be administered to young people that otherwise were eligible while they were still in those systems, preventing them from having to exit those systems to go into DHS to be able to access housing vouchers.

I'd like to comment specifically regarding some of the remarks that were made by the Administration. We do acknowledge that right now, young people do have access to vouchers both through the one-time allocation of emergency housing vouchers that were issued to the city, which DYCD young people do have access to as well as ACS, and also the 50 voucher pilot, CityFHEPS pilot that they also referenced that both DYCD and ACS young people have access to.

But after both of those are exhausted, minus DSS interpreting the legislation as we intended, young people will not have access to vouchers in those current systems and will, as the YAB spoke to, be forced to go into DHS to get access to vouchers.

Regarding other remarks that were made, we don't believe that young people are a pilot, right? Young people are deserving of vouchers. And for ACS young

people, access to voucners is prevention, which DSS
testified to is one of their primary goals. For
young people in the DYCD system, they are homeless.
DYCD operates a homeless shelter system, and they
should be given access to the same vouchers that
homeless individuals get access to. It shouldn't
matter what acronym you attach services your
services to, you should get vouchers based on the
fact that you are homeless. Regarding the
discrepancy between the interpretation [BELL RINGS]
Is it okay if I finish my comment? Regarding the
discrepancy regarding the interpretation of the
language in the laws, there's two main issues. One:
DSS does not interpret the legislation to allow young
people to get vouchers from while they're still in
the DYCD or ACS system, although they do believe that
the laws will allow time in those systems to count
towards the 90-day requirement.

The second issue with the laws is just what we were explained was a boilerplate phrase that was put into the final version that says "subject to appropriation." Our understanding during the aging process for those bills was that that phrase, again, was just standard language to mean that as long as

2.2

2.3

CityFHEPS was maintained as a funded program in New York City, that young people would get access through the legislation, but that if the program was ever defunded for the city, that it wouldn't hold the city liable to maintain it just for ACS and DYCD. Now, we're being told that that language, in fact does not do that, and it means that for young people in ACS or DYCD to ever get access to vouchers that both ACS and DYCD would have to negotiate with OMB separate funding to administer vouchers within their respective systems.

Thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

DR. LOVE: Good afternoon, Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the General Welfare Committee. My name is Dr. Henry Love. I'm the Vice President of Policy and Planning at Win. Win is the nation's largest family homelessness provider. We serve over 6600 people per night in our supportive housing and in our transitional shelters, and we held about 14% of the total family homelessness population in the city of New York.

2.2

2.3

In 2022, we moved out about 840 families from shelter into permanent housing, and much of this was thankful to the CityFHEPS program, particularly thanks to Intro 146, which increased the amount of CityFHEPS voucher values.

And so five months after that voucher amount was increased, Win CityFHEPS placements were 79% higher compared to the month before, and 40% than the previous year. We're delighted to support additional legislation to improve the system today. The proposed legislation the resolution will move CityFHEPS closer to becoming the city solution to homelessness. Principally we are doing I'd like to see the bill to end the 90-day rule. So thank you Chair Ayala, Councilmember Sanchez, Bottcher, Won, and Hanif, and the Public Advocate for introducing that.

And I want to be really clear on something that was stated earlier by the Administration: That the 90-day-- doing away with the 90-day rule, we see it as just one of the many tools in our toolkit to address family homelessness. And so yes, we know that there's a lack of affordable housing in New York City. But it doesn't make sense to have people wait

2.2

2.3

additional three months to look for housing. We've seen it as an additional three months that we could have for people looking for housing. And so we also estimate that the cost is more than \$10,500 per family during this period. And additionally, this requirement puts pressure on an already strained system. And so earlier as mentioned by the Administration on the family side, we're at about 0.5% vacancy rate.

And so what that means in Win is that we have less than 10 units at any given moment that families can move into.

And to further address the migrant crisis in shelter, Win supports the intent of resolution from Councilmembers Sanchez and Hanif, which will call on state legislators to enact legislation that will provide New York City with an authority to expand CityFHEPS to migrant families regardless of their immigration status. More than 26,000 migrants are living in the city right now in our shelters. Even more migrants are expected to come in the future. And at Win, we really believe that this is going to be the new normal for our city. And although we agree that the state must do more, we believe that

2.2

2.3

the city has the authority to act unilaterally and should expand CityFHEPS eligibility to migrant families regardless of their status. In addition, removing the work requirement for CityFHEPS eligibility will open up the voucher to many more people and help parents, particularly those who are unable to work.

Widespread investment in program and additional modernization efforts, as earlier mentioned, are also something— also things that we are very excited about. DSS should increase staff to review CityFHEPS, provider—facing portals should be used, and as earlier mentioned, making the payment process more applicable to 2023 is absolutely needed.

And just on a final note, the city should commit to CityFHEPS applications turnaround time taking a maximum of 30 days including the preclearance, inspection packet preparation, packet approval and insurance payments. As it stands in the past fiscal years earlier was mentioned, the average length of stay for families is 534 days before moving out. For every week that a voucher eligible family member remains in shelter as opposed to moving into an apartment with CityFHEPS, it cost the city \$814

economical.

2.2

2.3

equaling \$42,328 per year. Ultimately optimizing the CityFHEPS voucher program is both just and

MR. DESIR: Good afternoon. Thank you Chair for having this hearing. And thank you to the committee for allowing me to testify before you. I'm Robert Desir. I'm a staff attorney with the Legal Aid Society. We have written comments that we'll be submitting in due time in conjunction with the Coalition for the Homeless.

We've repeatedly encouraged the City and State to address the root cause of homelessness, which is the lack of affordable housing through proven effective policies, including housing vouchers and subsidies.

I'll go through our recommendations because I know that the time is short. There are a number of different pieces that play a part in making CityFHEPS effective and useful and helpful towards keeping families in their homes and also removing— moving people out of shelter.

First, we urge that CityFHEPS be extended to all noncitizens regardless of immigration status. We welcome the state assistance that sought with the resolution. But we still maintain that the city is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

within its authority to extend CityFHEPS to this population. These families are often in greatest need of assistance, and they're amongst the longest stays in shelter. With regard to the utility allowance, we would agree with Intro 229 and its attempt to prevent the utility allowance from limiting the maximum rent a tenant can seek. support Intro 229 but suggest the following additions: Tenants whose rental portion is calculated at less than the utility allowance and those whose sole source of income is public assistance should receive a utility assistance payment like the Section 8 program does. There's been a lot of talk about creating parity with Section 8 and amongst the different-- different subsidy programs. This is one way that will go a long way towards that.

In addition, we also urge that those with a zero share, or whose share is below the shelter allowance, should be given a utility credit. With respect to tenants who are at risk of eviction. We urge expansion to these rent-burdened elderly and disabled tenants. 2017 housing vacancy survey data shows that there are close to 10,000 rent-regulated households

2.2

2.3

headed by an elderly person that are severely rent burden but do not qualify for CityFHEPS. Severely rent burden is paying more than 50% of their income towards the rent. The same data shows that more than 40% of households with a disabled or elderly member are severely rent burdened. Creating even just 5000 vouches for these households would reduce homelessness, preserve affordable housing, and maintain community stability. In this spirit, we support the expansion sought with Pre-considered Introductions 2864, and 2863.

We also support elimination of the 90-day rule. That's been testified about. [BELL RINGS]

So I'll wrap up by saying we support the elimination of the 90-day rule. And in response to the city's mention of affordability, we think that the city should adopt the exception payment standard that's used by HPD and used in the emergency housing vouchers. We echo the sentiments of our partners that are advocating for the youth, that the CityFHEPS should be expanded to the homeless youth, and there shouldn't be this distinction based on the contact—the agency that they've had contact with.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

In addition, we urge elimination of the rent reasonableness rule. We know that it hasn't been used for some time, but it should not return. And we also, you know, we spoke a lot about the delays with move-ins and the bureaucratic delays that prevent people from getting apartments timely, and also that cause the disengagement and people losing apartments. Our testimony goes on at length about that, and has a number of ideas that we think would be beneficial to improving CityFHEPS.

We thank you for your time and appreciate to-appreciate your commitment to this issue and look
forward to working with the City Council on resolving
these problems and coming up with ideas to really
improve CityFHEPS and make it the program that it
should be.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you all. I have a question regarding the number of voucher holders who have lost the unit that they found-- you know, identified, as a result of the lengthy time wait. Have you experienced that in your work?

MS. PLUNKETT: Yes, at Sanctuary For Families, I can absolutely speak to that happening within the last year at least three times, which might not be

2.2

2.3

that that's happening across the system, definitely problematic. And that has happened, like just anecdotally, where if the wait had gotten to three months or more, a landlord maybe would engage in some conversation about continuing the process, but if there was no assurance that it would be actually closing soon, they would back out.

MR. DESIR: Yeah. I would echo that and say that the you know-- you know, part of my job is as a litigator, and I litigate a lot of cases involving source-of-income discrimination. And that's usually a factor in our discussions about holding apartments and allowing the process to go forward, while you know, someone leases up and tries to, you know, finalize the paperwork and such. However, we do want the process to be much quicker and to kind of avoid these situations because, you know, where-- where there is this slow process, it would cause a disengagement and kind of, you know, cause a disengagement with the different voucher programs and, you know, put them in a light where they're not favored. So we want to avoid that.

2.2

2.3

However, we do recognize that source-of-income discrimination is plainly illegal. And to that end, one of our other points is that we urge strengthening of the Commission on Human Rights, particularly the early intervention measures that were successful many years ago, but are not taking place to the level we'd like to see right now.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Well, that's a good-- that's a really good point. Do you have a question?

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah. Um, hello. Many of you testified that the program should be expanded. But do you have like any ideas where the money could come from to expand the program? Like? Yeah.

DR. LOVE: Well, I think one of the things for us about the expansion of the program, at least on the shelter side, is that CityFHEPS is cheaper than folks going into shelter. So right now, the cost of getting a family shelter is about \$188 per day, where CityFHEPS is \$72. So in and of itself, it will be a price reduction. So I think for us, that's one of the big cost savings. In addition to folks not going to shelter, we know there's a host of different developmental, health, you know, outcomes that are exacerbated on the city and the system, education,

- 2 that are much, much larger than just those numbers.
- 3 So it's cost saving in and of itself, I think just to
- 4 move forward with CityFHEPS.
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Is that in the package
- 6 | that you submitted, that data?
- 7 DR. LOVE: Yeah. Some of that is, yes.
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Okay, thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, you gave me a lot
- 10 of really, really good information. And if
- 11 you have anything else, we-- you know, I just want--
- 12 | my-- my committee and I are working on creating some
- 13 | sort of quiding principle, if you will, of how-- you
- 14 know how to more efficiently use existing resources
- 15 to really help address, you know, the situation. One
- 16 of the things that I have, maybe for the Legal Aid
- 17 | Society, there is a question: Regarding the-- the
- 18 | income discrimination unit, our understanding is that
- 19 | it is severely understaffed. And we've heard from
- 20 some advocacy groups that say, "We've like compiled
- 21 all of the data, all of the information, like we've
- 22 | literally built the case around the income
- 23 discrimination, "right?, for a client, and they're
- 24 | like, "Yeah, you know, we don't have-- we don't have

2.2

2.3

2 the capacity right now." Is that something that you
3 guys are experiencing as well?

MR. DESIR: Um, certainly. You know, we're definitely aware of cases that have lingered for some time. And I think that's especially crucial where, you know, there are people who have experienced income discrimination, but they're housed. But when someone is experiencing it in real time, someone who's trying to leave shelter, and, you know, they're, you know, having these roadblocks presented to them, it's really important that they're able to have advocacy that addresses it right away. And that's what the Intervention Team was able to do a number of years ago, and I think they were successful in a lot of cases. But, you know, we're not seeing that presently. So, we really need a—

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm not familiar with the Intervention Team. What exactly did they do?

MR. DESIRE: Well, they were, you know, attorneys and staff who were receiving complaints and really, before any litigation measures, or, you know, pursuing a complaint, we're acting to—acting directly with the housing providers, you know, trying to use the, I guess, the, the looming litigation to

- 2 convince them to rent this apartment to a person. So
- 3 you have the litigation measure, which is great, and
- 4 which is good towards deterring conduct in the
- 5 | future, and getting redress for people who have been
- 6 wronged. But we also want to get them the ultimate
- 7 result that they're seeking, which is securing
- 8 housing.
- 9 MS. PLUNKETT: I'll also add to that on the
- 10 provider end, that office actually did outreach to
- 11 | us. So close to five years ago, we would have
- 12 somebody come speak with our housing navigation staff
- 13 | so that they could know how to identify it, how to,
- 14 you know, even take some additional steps before they
- 15 submitted to that office. But I-- as far as I know,
- 16 | that office is not at the same staffing capacity that
- 17 | it was. And so that hasn't happened.
- 18 DR. LOVE: And--.
- 19 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Sorry. That wasn't CCHR
- 20 though. That-- was that-- [crosstalk]
- 21 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [crosstalk]
- 22 MS. PLUNKETT: [crosstalk]
- 23 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: They just recently moved
- 24 | the Source Of Income Unit into CCHR. So I just

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

wanted to be clear, that wasn't CCHR. That was
probably HRA.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, a different program.

DR. LOVE: Yeah. And I just want to add that, you know, this is not unique to New York by any means, in terms of source of discrimination that HUD has been dealing with across the country, with Section 8 vouchers, right? And I think the other caveat I would add to this is that it's not just source-of-income discrimination, is intersectional, right? So whether you're a young person that has a voucher and is being discriminated based on source of income in addition to being young. For our clients, where families were 94% of our clients are black and brown, right? So it's intersecting with race. intersecting the class. And it's intersecting with the fact that we have a voucher, and it making incredibly challenging. And so it's a huge area that really needs to be funded and supported.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I agree. I agree.

Well, thank you all very much for coming today and testifying, and thank you for the suggestions, and if you have any others that you think about after you leave here today, please feel free to share.

2 Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL HOMERO: Our next panel will also be an in-person panel. It will consist of Dominique Tatom, Samantha Kahn, Jack Boyle, and Andy Monontay[ph].

MS. TATOM: Good afternoon. Thank you Chair
Diana Ayala, the members of the General Welfare
Committee for the opportunity to present testimony to
you today about the availability of CityFHEPS
vouchers for youth with a foster care background. My
name is Dominique 'Dylan' Tatom and I'm here today on
behalf of the Fostering Youth Success Alliance, where
I currently serve as a Policy and Advocacy Associate.

Two years ago, I served as a FYSA Youth Advocate as I am a former youth in care, so this issue is very dear to me. FYSA is a statewide advocacy group that promotes responsive policy programs to improve the socioeconomic, physical and mental health, housing, and educational outcomes for youth in, and aging out of foster care. FYSA is housed at and managed by Children's Aid.

One of the most common things we hear from young people is how vital stable housing is for youth as they transition out of care. However, we also know that in New York City, it is incredibly difficult to

when they exit care.

2.2

2.3

access affordable housing for your average New
Yorker. For young people with a foster care
background. This is even more difficult, and it is
vital that the city provide support to make
affordable housing and attainable reality for youth

What we know is that nationally, one in five youth who age out of foster care will become homeless. And in New York, we know that as many as 25% of youth, of young people surveyed share that they're either facing or fearing housing instability.

In 2021, advocate supporting both youth with a foster care background and runaway homeless youth celebrated the passage of two pieces of legislation that simplified access to CityFHEPS vouchers. In April of 2022, Local Laws 170 and 157 went into effect, and we believe they would make youth transitioning out of ACS care, as well as youth experiencing homelessness in DYCD-funded HIY programs eligible for CityFHEPS vouchers without having to first enter a DHS shelter.

For youth with a foster care background, their time spent in foster care was thought to count towards their CityFHEPS eligibility and ACS will not

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

have to advocate for specific allotment to serve their population. However, because DSS's interpretation of the laws, transition age youth, and youth experiencing homelessness still will not have access to CityFHEPS vouchers. This creates an additional barrier for transition-age youth as they navigate leaving foster care and achieving independence.

During FYSA's 2022 Shadow Day, one youth participant shared that there are not sufficient and safe housing options for young people. Another stated when youth do have access to vouchers, they're still difficult to navigate. Young people very clearly voiced their needs for easier access to housing and this decision represents one step away from what young people have shared as their needs.

We strongly urge the Department of Social
Services to uphold Local Laws 157 and 170 of 2022 as
the community and Council intended. Upholding this
legislation as intended, opens the pathway to support
some of our most marginalized-- [BELL RINGS]

Can I continue? Most of our-- one of our most marginalized New Yorkers not only to survive, but to thrive. Thank you again to the Chair and the

2.2

2.3

you.

committee for allowing me to bring this issue to
raise this concern. I'll take any questions. Thank

MS. KAHN: Hi, my name is Samantha Kahn. My pronouns are she/her and I'm the Policy and Advocacy Manager at Care for the Homeless. I would like to thank Deputy Speaker and Chair Ayala and all members of the General—General Welfare Committee for holding today's oversight hearing on the CityFHEPS Rental Assistance Program.

Care for the Homeless has 38 years of experience providing medical and behavioral health services exclusively to people experiencing homelessness in New York City. We operate 27 federally qualified community health centers in all five boroughs and three transitional housing residences.

For the past year, we've been working as part of the-- of the Homes Can't Wait Coalition to address issues that both voucher holders and staff members encounter when attempting to access, navigate, or utilize the CityFHEPS voucher.

We commend the Administration for starting to take important steps to improve the effectiveness of the voucher program. However, a variety of important

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

concerns remain to be addressed. The recent influx of asylum seekers has exposed the long standing challenges non-citizens and immigrants face in our city. Many homeless New Yorkers have been stuck in the shelter system for years and sometimes decades because of a lack of housing assistance for undocumented residents. We strongly support the resolution sponsored by Councilmembers Sanchez and Hanif that calls on the state to pass legislation expanding eligibility to city-- for CityFHEPS to noncitizen households. We suggest that the language be changed to specifically support the state's adoption of A10510, S9416, which would grant localities discretion to extend housing benefits to noncitizens regardless of status.

We also need significant resources to hire additional DSS staff to improve capacity and ensure New Yorkers experiencing homelessness are moved into housing quickly. There remain inefficiencies in the processing of CityFHEPS applications that are likely due to staff shortages. And with the Administration's proposed budget cuts, this will only worsen. Our housing specialists report that on average, only about half will get processed without

2.2

2.3

having to follow up, and for those that do require follow up, they're asked to submit more up-to-date documents do the time lapse between submission and review, which unnecessarily delays a client's application. They also continue to experience different responses from staff when— when reviewing applications, receiving inconsistent feedback about what corrections are needed.

The workflow needs to be streamlined and clear tracking via potentially an electronic portal will help increase transparency.

We also support the elimination of the 90-day rule sponsored by Deputy Speaker Ayala, eliminating the utility allowance deduction, expanding the definition of a rental assistance voucher to include households experiencing housing instability or at risk of entering shelter, and committing to a 30-day maximum turnaround from finding an apartment to approval to ensure that voucher holders can make--can retain permanent housing prospects.

We urge the Administration to implement the important changes outlined today to the reforms already announced to the CityFHEPS voucher program.

Thank you so much for your time. [BELL RINGS]

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you make sure that your 3 mic is on?

MR. BOYLE: My name is Jack Boyle. I'm a Housing Staff Attorney with the Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem, and my pronouns are he/him. I want to focus my testimony today specifically on the Homebase Program that New Yorkers who are not currently living in a shelter must navigate in order to obtain a CityFHEPS voucher. We'll be following up with more detailed written testimony after today's hearing.

So unlike the vast majority of public benefits,

New Yorkers in the community cannot directly apply
for CityFHEPS. Instead, they must complete a process
which can take as much as six months to a year with

Homebase. As Homebases are not governmental
entities, they're not subject to the same kind of
oversight and response requirements as HRA. And thus
far today I don't believe we've heard from a Homebase
provider. But like HRA, we understand that these
entities are severely understaffed, and combined with
systemic inefficiencies in the application process,
these issues have presented an insurmountable barrier
to many of our clients and have directly contributed
to homelessness.

2.2

2.3

The initial problem that we've noticed is a complicated multi-month procedure to even begin an application for CityFHEPS. So when clients attempt to call or email Homebase for initial appointments, we're told that no one responds. When clients have attempted to go in person, they have been turned away. And even when our office has specifically referred clients to Homebase, it typically takes somewhere between six weeks to four months to get an initial response, and at that first stage, Homebase won't actually evaluate anyone for CityFHEPS, but only evaluate whether they can speak with a caseworker.

And so from there, we're told that they must wait another eight to ten weeks to be seen by a caseworker and conduct a second intake, often going over similar information. There's then a further wait of weeks to months to be processed for a voucher. We found that clients are routinely lost in this convoluted procedure, and have not been able to move past it without extensive advocacy from NDS, often involving HRA itself. And our clients simply cannot afford these delays. With the end of many pandemic protections in housing court, judges and landlords

2.2

2.3

attorneys are not willing to wait six months for Homebase to begin a voucher application. And additionally, clients face are really agonizing wait racking up rental arrears which the program may not actually pay out in the end.

A second issue that we've noticed is that routinely Homebase will not provide assistance in actually finding an apartment. This is a significant problem as many clients report applying for hundreds of apartments without success. Homebase, however knows which landlords and brokers have accepted vouchers as they must complete the complex leasing process in order to— for someone to move in. And Homebase also has access to the city's home system, and can directly schedule viewings for affordable listings. [BELL RINGS]

I'll just conclude in one moment. And the third sort of problem that we've noticed is that, should a client need to make a change to a voucher or need Homebase to complete the leasing process, we found that they'd been told that that their cases were already closed, and that they need to return to the multi-month intake process again, at the beginning.

2.2

2.3

So while we sympathize with the staffing issues at Homebase and the lack of resources, and we all understand that the strain that all providers are under at the moment, we believe that these procedural problems are really substantially contributing to the wait times that our clients are seeing. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

MR. ANDY: Good afternoon, Speaker Ayala, good afternoon, City Council members. I'm here more to testify on a personal basis. Very much informal, just my personal story.

I became homeless at the end of November of 2022.

I didn't know basically anything about the shelter program or any of the other programs associated with it. But I decided if I was going to-- I was put in the situation unfortunately, due to unfortunate circumstances, I was going to try to do my best to be prepared for whatever journey I was about to embark on.

I was sent to Ward's island where I immediately started doing research as to what is the voucher?

What vouchers apply to me? What are the boxes I have

2.2

2.3

2 to tick to apply to any voucher that's available to
3 me?

Eventually, I got sent to a permanent shelter in Brooklyn, where I've spent about 75 days now. I can't say I know much more about the process, or how to expedite it in any kind of way. I hear some of the stories here today, and they don't give me much comfort. But as I continue, I pretty much spend Monday through Friday trying to research. I have a phone list of about 20 phone numbers that I can call Monday through Friday just to receive any bit of information that might help me in this process.

As of right now, I have applied for reasonable accommodations. And—— and this is because I'm experiencing severe mental health and physical health issues at my current shelter. That was done on December 22nd. I still have not heard anything about these reasonable accommodations.

Just to expand a little bit further on that I have asthma. Just to be very quick about this, there's poor ventilations in the rooms that affect me. I've had to call ambulances several—several nights for nebulizers, which, which basically is the

2.2

2.3

2 next step when my rescue inhaler doesn't work 3 anymore. So I'm waiting on that.

Supportive Housing seems to be something that I also am waiting for. I've gotten all the paperwork submitted. Now I just— it feels like I pretty much just sit and wait at this point. While I'm in an unsafe room where I have to call for an ambulance. The only thing I could do personally is go out and get my own nebulizer, through my own pharmacy, my own doctor, so as to protect myself and my own health, so I don't feel like I'm drowning every night, when I wake up because of inhalation of things I don't want to inhale.

Other than that, because I've been in the 2010e.
[BELL RINGS]

Sorry. Because I've been in the 2010e process for so long, I eventually will qualify for CityFHEPS which then, I will have to find out what that's like. But since it becomes available in 90-days, I am here to say that if that was available from the beginning, I would have started that process a long time ago, and I would have done what I needed to do to get, basically, myself out of the shelter.

- 2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How many— how many days did
 3 you say— you were 75 days in the Brooklyn
 4 facilities.
- MR. ANDY: That's right.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How long were you at Ward's?
- 7 MR. ANDY: I was at Ward's for about a week.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: A week?
- 9 MR. ANDY: Yeah.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. So close to 80 days.
- 11 MR. ANDY: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So you would have had 10 days
- 13 left, according to the 90-day rule--
- 14 MR. ANDY: Right.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: --to qualify. Now has-- has
- 16 there been any attempt to meet with you by a
- 17 caseworker or housing specialist?
- 18 MR. ANDY: I meet with my caseworkers and I meet
- 19 | with my housing specialists, and I basically feel
- 20 | like I'm a middleman. They give me papers. And I
- 21 | give it to my doctors, and then they give me the
- 22 papers back, and then I give it to them and then they
- 23 | have to send it to the correct people, either at HRA
- 24

or DSS.

2.2

2.3

middleman. The part that's stressing me out is I have to get on the BQE every day because all my appointments are in Queens. And they seem to place me in Brooklyn. So I am on lack of sleep on a daily basis because I can't sleep because I have asthma.

My medication, also, because -- I'm taking medication for mental illness -- is not working properly. So I feel like I'm an unsafe driver on the BQE every single day and night, while I wait for reasonable accommodations.

And at this point, I don't know who to contact anymore. The director was very helpful in in getting me these applications for reasonable accommodation sent out. But as of now, I've been what-- the 22nd? Like three weeks going on now, and they haven't, they haven't helped me.

So I'm basically a sitting duck, who's declining in mental health and physical health, while I wait for whatever programs, or whatever needs to be done, you know. Supportive housing could come back one day and say, "Hey, we have some places for you to look at." Or DHS could come back and say, "Oh, we have something more reasonable for you. So you don't have

- 2 to keep making these unreasonable drives back and
- 3 forth between Brooklyn and Queens." And other than
- 4 that, in like 10 days, I'm going to apply for
- 5 CityFHEPS. So we'll find out what that's like. You
- 6 know?

- 7 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.
- 8 MR. ANDY: Other than that, I'm just-- it's my
- 9 first time being homeless. But struggling to say the
- 10 least.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I've been there. It's
- 12 difficult.
- 13 MR. ANDY: Yeah.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It's difficult. Oftentimes,
- 15 when we have constituents and we usually try to avoid
- 16 | homelessness, and sending people to shelter, but when
- 17 | they do we have to have like a whole, you know, prep
- 18 | talk, right?, about what is it going to look like?
- 19 | What is it going to feel like? So that way, you walk
- 20 | in there with your eyes wide open and you're not
- 21 | surprised, right? And it's not a pleasant
- 22 | experience. I don't-- I don't-- I don't recall any
- 23 one of our saying to me, right? It's-- the system
- 24 has changed drastically throughout the years. But we

can, if you need help, you know, we can provide that

help. If you share your information with the staff--

4 MR. ANDY: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We can call to see about the reasonable accommodation. And you know, to-- you know, we can poke around and find out what's happening with your assisted living situation and stuff like that.

But thank you for coming here. Because I think that the important part of it, right?, is like people like you, people like me, people like Councilmember Williams, and other members of this body. It's like it's really an all of you were impacted individuals that—that spoke today. It's important that we share, you know, our perspective on the issues because we are the change that we're waiting for, right? And so, you know, I really appreciate your taking time today to so carefully and so articulately describe what so many other people are going through in the system that looks exactly like you know what you're going through.

MR. ANDY: If I can end with one last thing, Ms. Ayala. Most of the help and encouragement that I found has been from past members who have been

- 2 homeless, either through the coalition or the CAG.
- 3 So I want to shout out to them. They've been very
- 4 helpful. They gave me some of the best advice. One
- 5 | of them being, just be patient.
- Also, I want to just end by saying, throughout
- 7 | this whole process, I still get the opportunity to
- 8 play the national anthem at one of my favorite major
- 9 league sports teams. And I will do so with pride.
- 10 Even though I am in a, what seems to be a slow and
- 11 broken system. I do believe that this is going to
- 12 | become some of my future work. And I do believe that
- 13 | this is a starting point for that. So thank you very
- 14 much for letting me speak.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Congratulations on that.
- 16 | Congratulations.
- 17 MR. ANDY: Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Did you have any questions,
- 19 Nantasha?
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Just one question. So
- 21 are you saying this is for the youth aging out of
- 22 foster care? I'm sure Councilmember Stevens would
- 23 have loved to hear this testimony. But are you
- 24 | essentially saying that the city is in violation of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

- 2 the law we passed last year? Because you said 3 there's two laws that passed last year, right?
- 4 MS. TATOM: Mm-hmm.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah.
 - MS. TATOM: So what we're saying is that youth who have a foster care background, that they should not have to enter a shelter before being eligible for a CityFHEPS voucher.
 - COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, because you had said something in your testimony, saying that you are urging DHS to comply.
- 13 MS. TATOM: Uphold, Mm-hmm.
 - COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah. So they-- so are you saying that they have not been upholding what they're supposed to do in the laws we passed last year?
- MS. TATOM: I'm saying that our young people are still facing housing insecurity.
- 20 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And you're doing a really good job advocating. Thank you. Yeah, I-- I always enjoy interacting with your-- your groups. They're really great. Um, thank you. Thank you all so much. We have a number of panels still waiting. So we're

2.2

2.3

going to try to move this along. But thank you for your testimony today.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Our next panel will be a virtual panel. It will consist of Jennifer Hinojosa, Abby Biberman, Nicole McVinua, and Carolyn Ioso.

Hello, can you hear me? Let me see if I could. Okay.

MS. HINOJOSA: Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the issue of CityFHEPS Rental Assistance Program. My name is Jennifer Hinojosa. I'm a Policy Analyst at the Community Service Society. The Community Service Society is a 180-year-old, independent nonprofit organization that addresses some of the urgent problems facing low income New Yorkers and their communities, including citywide rising housing insecurity and homelessness.

Today, a record number of people are experiencing homelessness. According to the Department of Homeless Services, one year ago about 47,200 individuals were in shelter. Last week, about 70,500 people are the city shelter system. This is an increase of about 23,000 individuals from this time last year, for a 50% increase in a one year span. In addition thousands more were living on the streets

2.2

2.3

and subways, or crowded into unsafe and precarious living conditions. Average shelter stays are incredibly long, ranging from 483 days for single adults to 773 days for families. Shelter-to-housing move out rates, appallingly low to begin with, declined by 27% in the last fiscal year as the crisis deepened.

Given this background, we applaud the City

Council and the Committee on General Welfare for

convening this hearing on rental assistance in

general and CityFHEPS in particular.

While CityFHEPS can be a powerful tool against homelessness, the program is plagued with issues including, first, eligibility. Many households need CityFHEPS vouchers, yet strict rules limit who qualifies. To qualify for CityFHEPS families have to navigate a maze of harsh and often contradictory requirements. Even those who meet the complex criteria, the program is plagued by excessive delays. For example, advocates have long called to the end of arbitrary 90-day rule, which requires most assistance seekers to spend a minimum of three months in the shelter before they become ineligible— sorry, become eligible. This rule takes a toll on families with

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

young children, especially those whose lives are 2 3 disrupted from repeated moves.

Our recommendation is to expand eligibility to more households such as families where everyone is undocumented. We appreciate the initiative, but we need more than just a resolution. It's just not enough. We thank you for proposing the bill to do away with the 90-day rule.

The second is delays. Unfortunately, it often takes months for someone with a CityFHEPS voucher to secure and move into an apartment. Typos, missing documents and other minor mistakes and cause entire applications to be denied or delayed, which forces some households to stay in shelter for longer than they have to. Our recommendation is to reform bureaucratic processes to make sure that the city and shelter staff quickly process applications, and that minor errors no longer result in month long delays or outright denials.

Third, unfair rules: Unnecessary rules make it difficult for voucher holders to secure apartments. Under one rule the city deducts a utility allowance from allowable rents, reducing the maximum rent.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. time has expired.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

MS. NIHOSA: Oh, can I just wrap it up?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can wrap up. Yes.

MS. NIHOSA: Okay. So our recommendation today is to eliminate the utility allowance and ease rent reasonableness rules.

My last point here is poor apartment conditions. The limited pool of apartments available to and accepting of voucher holders are often in really poor conditions. Landlords tend to do less upkeep and outright neglect buildings where majority of tenants have vouchers. Our recommendation to this is to improve code enforcement by ensuring the oversight agencies regularly conduct through inspections. The Community Service Society of New York urges you to make the above changes to CityFHEPS program as the number of homeless individuals, families, and children are growing at an alarming rates. Thank you.

20 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you

MS. BIBERMAN: Can I begin?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Abby? Yes.

MS. BIBERMAN: Yeah, thank you. Deputy Speaker, Councilmembers, and staff thank you for this opportunity to speak. My name is Abby Biberman, and

2.2

2.3

I am the Associate Director of the Public Benefits
Unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group. I
appreciate the opportunity to offer the following
comments and will follow up with detailed written
testimony after this hearing.

First, the city must repeal the 90-day rule.

This rule prevents individuals and families from obtaining stability and it exacerbates shelter overcrowding. These households have already completed the owners' and sometimes lengthy shelter eligibility application process, and there's no reason why they should need to remain in shelter for an additional 90-days before even being able to start the process of searching for and securing permanent housing, a process which adds even more time onto their time in shelter.

Second, those with CityFHEPS shopping letters are unable to secure an apartment due to source-of-income discrimination, and other problems with the administration of the benefits.

In order to truly fix the source-of-income discrimination, this council should also look at all causes. Some landlords simply don't want to rent to lower income tenants, but according to our clients,

2.2

2 much of the reticence of landlords also stems from 3 DSS's own practices, not the clients themselves.

Landlords are concerned that there will be administrative problems with the city paying the rent.

In addition, the process for getting an apartment approved is lengthy and overly burdensome for landlords. After the client finds an apartment it can take months for the voucher to be approved, and landlords often have to abandon the process, then rent to somebody else. Once the apartment is approved, our clients routinely report and we confirm with HRA printout that DSS is not paying the rent on time, or will discontinue the rent without notice.

Those with CityFHEPS vouchers are unable to reach anyone to report problems or make changes to their case. As we know now there's no dedicated CityFHEPS unit. Our clients report to us they have spent months trying to notify DSS of a problem with their CityFHEPS voucher, or an important change and NYLAG attorneys and paralegals conduct extensive advocacy with DSS to reinstate and adjust vouchers to prevent evictions.

2.2

2.3

One recent client was forced to apply for a one-shot deal to stop her eviction because months had gone by with no meaningful response from DSS to her request for modification. She was able to stop the eviction, but the modification still wasn't processed, causing her to fall into arrears again, and the landlord was unwilling to discontinue the housing court case. Finally, NYLAG was notified of what was needed to correct the CityFHEPS problem, assisted the client, and a new CityFHEPS application was approved six months and two scheduled eviction dates after initial contact.

In addition, CityFHEPS should be available to all eligible New Yorkers. NYLAG supports the resolution calling upon the New York State Legislature to enact legislation that would expand eligibility regardless of immigration status. This will help create room in the city's extremely overburdened shelter system and will provide stability and a pathway to permanent housing for families who would otherwise languish in shelter. In addition, the city must improve the shelter intake process because it prevents people from accessing shelter and even become—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MS. BIBERMAN: [inaudible] CityFHEPS. May I 3 finish my thought?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may.

MS. BIBERMAN: Families who are denied shelter must reapply for shelter when they are initially found ineligible often to be found ineligible again, approximately every 10 days. Families become entrenched in a continuous cycle of application, denial, and re-application. This causes considerable harm and the risk of street homelessness. Without a finding of eligibility for shelter, it is almost impossible for these families to transition to the stability of permanent housing. NYLAG supports the amendments to the administrative code that would expand CityFHEPS eligibility and I thank the Committee on General Welfare for the work that it has done to facilitate services for vulnerable New Yorkers and for holding this hearing. I hope NYLAG can be a resource for you going forward.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: I just want to say thank you, Abby. It's good to see you. It's been a long time, but really couldn't appreciate that testimony more, and couldn't agree with it more fully. So it's nice to see you. Hope to see you again soon.

2 MS. BIBERMAN: Thanks.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Next panelist is... Nicole?
Yes.

MS. MCVINUA: Good afternoon. Good afternoon

Ayala and members of the Committee. My name is

Nicole McVinua, and I am the Director of Policy at

Urban Pathways. Thank you for the opportunity to

testify at today's oversight hearing on the CityFHEPS

Rental Assistance Program.

Urban pathways is a nonprofit homeless services and supportive housing provider. We serve about 3900 single adults annually through a full continuum of services that includes street outreach, drop-in services, safe havens, extended stay residences and permanent supportive housing.

Our primary concern with the CityFHEPS program is the ability to actually use the voucher once it's in hand. As others have spoken to today, the limited number of apartments in the current housing market with record-high rent prices and little availability within the fair market rent makes it difficult to locate apartments that meet the rental limits of the voucher. Once an apartment is located rampant

2.2

2.3

2 source-of-income discrimination still keeps people
3 from renting these apartments.

One thing that our staff are reporting to us is that when the DHS re-housing unit becomes aware of an apartment that is available that meets the CityFHEPS requirements, between 10 to 20 voucher holders are sent to view the apartment at once. So when our client arrives to the viewing, they find a room full of people vying for the same listing. This is creating a sense of competition between apartment seekers. And it's also really discouraging to them. Our clients returned from the viewing feeling kind of really hopeless, many of them have taken off work to go to the viewing and lost the day of pay, adding to their frustration.

Source-of-income discrimination continues to be an issue. Sometimes it's really blatant, where landlords just say that they don't accept the voucher at all. But other times -- and it seems like more and more often -- it's becoming a little bit more subtle forms of discrimination, which are more difficult to report and to prove. But this sort of comes in the form of just our clients being completely ignored. You know, they don't receive any

2.2

2.3

available.

information about their application and any of their communications, their phone calls, emails, text messages are just not followed up on, or they're told to come back in a month, or some other duration of time, even though the apartment is still clearly

Others are, you know, hesitant to rent to folks who have experienced homelessness or are experiencing homelessness. Once they find out that they're in a safe haven, they're no longer interested in and again, say, "Oh, come back in a month." And then once a client does finally find an apartment through this very arduous process, the lease-up process is very tedious and time-consuming, as others have spoken to. And although HRA states that a response will be provided in 48 hours, it sometimes takes over a week. And the communication process sort of throughout is very opaque and poor. It's difficult--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

MS. MCVINUA: Can I just finish up my thought?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes.

MS. MCVINUA: Thank you. -- is to you know, it's hard to get in touch with HRA staff. And it's really frustrating for our staff who are trying to assist

2.2

2.3

folks and—— and to provide those updates to their

clients and to the landlords and brokers about the

status of the apartment.

So my written testimony has a list of recommendations and further comments. Thank you so much for the opportunity.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Last one, Carolyn?

Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Caroline

Iosso and I am the Senior Policy Associate at Homes

For The Homeless, HFH. Thank you to Chair Ayala and

members of the Committee for the opportunity to share

testimony on behalf of the over 600 families with

children who reside in our shelters, for many of whom

the CityFHEPS voucher is a critical lifeline to exit

the shelter.

We're a nonprofit— nonprofit organization that provides families with more than just a place to sleep. We also provide education, employment, and social services, all with the goal of ensuring families can achieve family and housing stability. And one critical way, as we all know, to do so is through the CityFHEPS Rental Program. However, there are some barriers to usage that can make it challenging for families, and I want to focus on

2.2

2.3

testimony.

lengthy periods of conditional status, which Abby also alluded to, the 90-day rule, and immigration status. And I'm going to discuss conditional status, but the other two can be found in my submitted

Being in conditional status, or not having yet been found eligible for shelter by PATH precludes families from accessing several assistance programs to exit shelter, including CityFHEPS. And in 2022, we found that in our shelters, about a quarter of families at any time were in conditional status. And instead of the purported 10-day investigative period of conditional status while PATH is investigating their case, we found that families were languishing in conditional status for months and months. So 85% of clients waited longer than 10 days to become eligible, and the median amount of time was 40 days.

So, you know, I could talk a lot about why this period of waiting is frustrating and confusing, but really, ultimately, it's hindering a family's ability to exit shelter, because they can't access CityFHEPS. And also, I want to reiterate that their 90-day clock does not start until they become eligible. So we're

2.2

2.3

2 keeping families in limbo for, you know, over four 3 months on average just totally unnecessarily.

And so I'm encouraged and hopeful about the changes discussed today that hopefully can be made so families can access this voucher more quickly. Exit- expediting where exits from shelter, and mitigating the potentially harmful effects of lengthy shelter stays on everyone, especially children. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, I agree with everything that you just said. And I want to thank all of the panelists. We have a number of panelists still waiting to be heard. So I want to be as brief as possible, but really want to thank you for coming in to testify. I think that, you know, we're hearing a lot of what we you know, think is happening, reiterated by all of the-- the individuals testifying today. So thank you for really, you know, championing this issue as well.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Okay, our next panel will be an in person panel who consists of Milton Perez, and Gordon Lee.

MR. PEREZ: We good? Greetings, greetings. My name is Milton Perez. I'm a native of the Bronx and

leader in the homelessness union.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Puerto Rico. I spent over five years in the shelter system, in Brooklyn, actually, and I'm a member of VOCAL New York, all unions, but I'm specifically a

I took a look at these bills. And to me, this is just trying to get rid of some of the barriers that are in place, which I support. There are many others, you know, that are affected on a daily basis, people's physical, mental and emotional health, that hopefully we eventually get to. As far as the 90-day rule, to me is it should be housing first. getting rid of the 90-day rule is a step in that direction. Most people that are in shelter, just have issues with affordability, paying the rent, and things of that nature. So the 90-day rule doesn't make any sense to have people going through or jumping on kind of hurdles, that, whether, you know, speaking to a psychiatrist, and psychosocial, all kinds of things that many people do not need, and the people would actually need that type of help often don't get it, because there's not enough resources to address those issues.

I want to highlight some of the people that are that I met throughout the system. In my over five

2.2

2.3

years in there -- I stopped counting in five years -there's many elderly people that have immigration
issues, whether they are undocumented, or they may
have a green card, and they may need a birth
certificate to qualify for a voucher, or things of
that nature, and have all kinds of health issues.

And I heard somebody mention, you know, that they are
the longest to get out. And the reason -- and
actually so many do not get up. You know, there's
many people that have died in the shelter, I believe
in the past couple of years, over 600 people per year
that have died due to homelessness in the city, which
is shameful.

And so I know some of those guys that would have preferred to die in the shelter amongst people that they know, then dying in a hospital or with a-- among strangers, which is shameful and sad.

As far as you know, including people outside the shelter, I think that's the next step, you know, to normalize rental assistance, because people have issues with homeless people, no matter what, and—and that's going to take time to—to, you know, get rid of those prejudices, and including people that are outside the shelter and with the vouchers and

2.2

2.3

CityFHEPS and such, will help in that account. As far as CityFHEPS, you know, I was actually on the CityFHEPS phone line for two hours and five minutes yesterday and nobody picked up, so I hung up. I've been trying to renew my CityFHEPS voucher since May of 2022. People that I've spoken to, you know, tell me "don't worry about it," you know, "you understand,

when they get to you--" [BELL RINGS]

One more minute. "--it'll be resolved." Even the people in the management company. I renewed the lease in September. It took about less than a week and it was renewed. They said don't worry about it. Once-- once-- it'll be resolved, but still, you know, it's stressful.

So I commend the City Council, the advocates, the pressure in the past couple of years, you know, have helped a lot of people, you know, people that I've spoken through there are on the cusp of moving out of the shelter system. I notice that there's some pressure from the top, that there are people now doing stuff that they should have been doing for years, you know, There's no reason for people to spend years upon years in a shelter system in New York City with all the resources that are available.

So thank you for-- for the effort, you know, 2

New York.

we're going to continue in the struggle.

4

3

1

because every single hearing you're here, and -- and

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I agree. And thank you,

6

5

we greatly appreciate your insight on this issue and

7

your dedication to, you know, making a change in, you

8

know, in the way that we house individuals here in

MR. PEREZ: I'd like to answer one question that

11

10

that I thought I heard, as far as, you know, the

12

quickest that I've seen in the over five years that I

13

spent in the shelter system. Maybe twice, somebody

got out within six months. One of them, you know,

14

15

told me, you know, that he met somebody in the system

16

that heard his story and agreed to help him, and she

17

did. He got out within six months. And the other

18

fella had a relative in the system that helped him,

19

you know, he was out within eight months, but that's

20

it, you know. So we need to do better.

21

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, the system has to work

2.2

for, you know, for -- for everyone right now, not just

23

people that are connected or, you know, have a lucky

24

interaction with someone.

2.2

2.3

MR. PEREZ: Yeah. We'll have a statement of testimony that my organization submitted, so look out for that. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We appreciate that. Thank you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Okay, our next panel will be a virtual panel consisting of Mica Baum-Tuccillo, Amal Kharoufi, Christine Joseph, and Jose Perez.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MS. BAUM-TUCCILLO: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Mica Baum-Tuccillo. I'm a licensed social worker, a doctoral candidate in psychology at the Graduate Center. [sneeze] Excuse me, and a professor at John Jay College. I'm here today as a member of Youth Empower, which is a participatory action research collective that brings together advocates, researchers, and young people. [sneeze] Excuse me, to better understand and advocate for youth and young adults who are transitioning out of foster care in New York City. I want to thank you for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to testify.

First, I just want to say we believe housing as a human right. And young people with lived experience in the shelter system and foster fought for these two

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

laws, Local Laws 157 and 170 in 2021, to break down
barriers between young people and housing and to
honor this right that housing is a human right. And

5 we've heard so much about this important work

6 throughout these testimonies.

Over a decade of working closely with young people, I have learned firsthand how deeply interconnected stable housing is with sustaining employment, pursuing education, and feeling rooted and connected to community, and as a psychologist and a social worker, I can attest to how important secure housing is for psychological well-being and a sense of ontological security. And yet we know and we've heard throughout the testimony today that many young people in New York City struggle to obtain housing, a lack of funding, and political will, wellintentioned, but onerous bureaucratic rules, private development interests and lack of affordable housing, racism and discrimination are just some of the forces stacked against them, many of which we've heard about With the introduction of this legislation, today. young people and advocates hope to address an important injustice, and we're here to urge you to honor the clear intent of this legislation, which was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to permit homeless youth in the DYCD and ACS systems to access CityFHEPS vouchers without forcing them to enter the DHS shelter system first. It's wrong to force young people who are often already navigating an impossibly complex and underfunded housing system to go into the adult shelter system before they can even get a CityFHEPS voucher, knowing full well that once they get the voucher, it can be months or even years before they're able to put it to use. So today I stand with our allies at the Coalition for Homeless Youth calling for the city to honor the intent of this legislation and make sure that young people have access to CityFHEPS vouchers. I thank you for this opportunity to testify. I and the Youth Empower Collective are ready to help make sure this happens. Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Thank you Mica.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Next is Amal.

MS. KHAROUFI: Good afternoon, everyone. And thank you Chair Ayala and the rest of the General Welfare Committee for holding this hearing. My name is Amal Kharoufi. I'm 22 years old, and I'm a leader with Youth Empowerment and Transforming Care, we are a collective of gifted leaders, researchers,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

organizers and policy advocates who care about our community of young people who have experienced the foster care system and have the same goals and comments to help our people.

In 2021, we fought to break down the barriers surrounding young people and housing. We trusted you to help us, and now it seems like we're back at square one. We are aware that young people face so many challenges in using these vouchers to get stable housing. But the purpose behind these two bills is to help break down some of those barriers. wrong to force youth into the adult shelter system before even applying for a CityFHEPS voucher. Young people in transitioning out of foster care will face long wait times and it takes years before they can even get stable housing. And vouchers can expire before then. I know firsthand that some youth are forced to live in unsafe environments where people are constantly taking advantage of you while you wait for housing.

Being forced to keep your mouth shut and hide the pain because ACS says because of your age, your placement options are next to none. Waiting for your own housing to live independently takes a heavy toll.

11

25

2 We shouldn't have to wait like this. We don't

deserve to be re-traumatized. We deserve a home

4 because this is what we need to thrive, establish

5 roots and reconnect with ourselves. I urge the

6 Administration to right this wrong and give our youth

7 access to CityFHEPS vouchers. Thank you for this

8 | opportunity to testify, and the Youth Empower

9 | Collective stands ready to help make sure this will

10 | happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

12 MS. JOSEPH: Good afternoon. Thank you for

13 | holding this meeting. I'm Christine Joseph and I'm

14 26 years old. I'm a leader with the Youth Empower.

15 We are a collective of gifted leaders, creators,

16 | teachers, researchers, organizers and policy

17 advocates who care about our community of young

18 \parallel people who have experienced the foster care system

19 | and have the same goals in common: To heal our people

20 and the restoration and that has meaning. In 2001

21 | young people with lived experience in our shelter

22 system of foster care fought for those two laws to

23 | break down barriers between young people and housing.

24 \parallel We trusted you and the city to make this important

change for young people like me. We know that young

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

people face so many different challenges in using these vouchers and getting stable homes. It is wrong to force them to go into the adult shelter system before they can even apply for a CityFHEPS voucher. This is what those two bills were supposed to change. Young people who have experienced foster care face long waits to find stable homes. Often that mean waiting for years to get stable housing. They can wait before they get a voucher and after they get it.

These verses can expire before they even move in.

That can mean living in a DV shelter with a child.

That is part of my experience. That can mean moving from place to place, never having a stable environment. Young people are forced to worry about feeling unsafe, a constant reminder, "Am I ever going to get through this?" Young people deserve not to be retraumatized. They deserve a home because this is what we need to grow and thrive and establish roots and build community. I am here today to stand with the Coalition for Homeless Youths and all other young people who organize and advocate for these laws.

It's time for the city to do what is right. Give youth access to CityFHEPS vouchers. Thank you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Jose?

2.2

2.3

MR. PEREZ: Thank you. Good afternoon council members. Thank you for holding this hearing. My name is Jose Perez. I am the project manager— I am the project manager here at the Children's Defense Fund on a Youth Empower Transforming Care Project. Youth Empower is a collective of gift of leaders as—as my one of my colleagues just— just told you. And we care about our community of young people who have experienced the foster care system and have the same goals in common: To heal our people and the restoration that is needed. You know I bring my own experience in the foster care system to this work, and to my testimony before you today.

In 2021 young people with lived experience in the shelter system and foster care fought for these two laws to break down barriers between young people in housing. This was a youth-led solution to a very real problem: Forcing young people who were in foster care to unnecessarily enter shelters to apply for CityFHEPS vouchers. That is what these bills are supposed to change.

I know from my work with young people in New York
City that housing is a foundation for so much else
for a sense of safety and privacy, for a feeling of

2.2

2.3

purpose and self-reliance for building a family. I

also know it can take years of struggle for young

people to actually navigate these systems that are so

unresponsive to their age and their stage in life.

As young adults who have lived in foster care, they deserve so much more. Young people deserve not to re-traumatized. They deserve a home because this is what we need to grow and thrive to establish roots and build community. So today, I stand with the Youth Empower Collective and our allies at the Coalition for Homeless Youth calling for the city to honor the intent of this legislation and make sure that young people have access to CityFHEPS vouchers. Thank you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Our next panel will consist of Erin Sternlieb, Annie Minguez, Michelle Maynard, and Jennie Stephens-Romero.

MS. STERNLIEB: Good afternoon. My name is Erin Sternlieb, and I'm the Affordable Housing Specialist in the Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services. I want to thank the Committee and Chair Ayala for inviting us to testify today.

BDS is a Public Defense Office. We represent approximately 22,000 people each year, who are

accused of a crime, facing loss of liberty, their
home their children or deportation. Our civil
justice practice works with clients and their
families to present a loss of housing, benefits, or
services due to a legal case or investigation. The
people we serve face significant barriers to access
and using CityFHEPS. And BDS enthusiastically
supports today's bills, and is strongly in favor of
expanding access to CityFHEPS. In particular Chair
Ayala's pre-considered legislation regarding rental
assistance eligibility will make a huge difference
for many of our clients who are currently facing
eviction, but cannot access CityFHEPS simply because
they do not yet have shelter history. In our written
testimony, we offer a number of additional
recommendations to strengthen the CityFHEPS program,
but in my limited time, I'd like to highlight a few.
First as many advocates have been over today, the
90-day shelter requirement unnecessarily keeps
families and individuals waiting in shelter for

months before they can begin searching for permanent

housing. We strongly support legislation to

eliminate this requirement and clarify that

2.2

2.3

otherwise-eligible shelter residents should be issued vouchers immediately upon entering shelter.

Additionally, we urge the council to expand full CityFHEPS eligibility to undocumented and mixed immigration status households. Without access to fit CityFHEPS, many of our undocumented clients have no path out of shelter and no lifeline if they're evicted from their homes. It's morally and financially irresponsible for the city to continue paying substantial shelter costs while denying undocumented New Yorkers a path to permanent housing.

We also know that Homebase remains severely backlogged and our clients facing eviction can wait up to a year to be issued shopping letters. This wastes crucial time that is needed to search for housing, and we urge the council to ensure that Homebase is adequately staffed and resourced, and to allow other providers to submit CityFHEPS applications as well. As important as expanding access is we know that access to CityFHEPS vouchers does not mean access to an apartment. Despite New York City's strong source-of-income protections. Landlords and brokers are well aware that enforcement is weak. The Council must work with the commissioner

2.2

2.3

on human rights to strengthen enforcement and make sure that shelter and Homebase staff equip voucher holders with the tools they need to actually locate apartments.

And then finally for the CityFHEPS program to function it's essential that the department approval process runs smoothly. As it stands, the process is riddled with errors, delays, and lapses in communication, eroding trust in the program. We urge the council to establish strict time guidelines for the approval process and make sure that the necessary units at HRA are equipped to meet these guidelines.

We also encourage other—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MS. STERNLIEB: I'll wrap up quickly. --including eliminating utility adjusters, maximum rents, standardizing incentives across voucher-eligible groups, and implementing direct deposit. The legislation discussed today is an important step, but we urge the council to consider our recommendations to ensure that vouchers are true path to permanent housing. Thank you for the time.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next we have Annie.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Harlem.

MS. MINGUEZ GARCIA: Thank you, Chair Ayala and council members of the General Welfare Committee. My name is Annie Minguez Garcia, I'm the Vice President of Community Relations at Good Shepherd Services.

Good Shepherd Services is both a foster care and a runaway homeless youth provider. Good Shepherd also operates a youth supportive housing program in East

My testimony today will focus on the importance of having clarity from the Administration on their interpretation of the now laws that should -- should -that should this interpretation stand, you will be forced to enter the adult system to qualify for CityFHEPS vouchers. These bills disrupted a cycle of inequity, where for years these two populations had been equally prioritized for the scare-- had not been equally prioritized for the scarce housing resources in New York City and should be upheld. In 2021, I joined my colleagues in the Coalition for homeless youth advocating to expand CityFHEPS eligibility for homeless youth and youth transitioning out of care, by counting their time spent in DYCD and ACS towards the 90-day shelter requirement.

2.2

2.3

Our goal in advocating for these bills in 2021 was simple: that as a city, we should meet the needs of all youth meeting shelter and housing in our-- in our city.

It's our understanding that ACS and the DYCD interpretation of the law is consistent with ours.

And that DSS, DHS interpretation of the law is different. The Coalition for Homeless Youth was told by DHS counsel that because of this inter-agency disagreement, the legislation was reviewed by the city law department, who issued an advisement of sorts that essentially ignores the council's intentions when passing these laws in 2021.

For the record, DYCD and ACS city public pilot pilot that the Administration referenced earlier provided agencies with 50 CityFHEPS voucher that were already in place when these bills were approved by the Council. The goals of this particular law was to allow future use once the pilot ended to have access to CityFHEPS without being required to enter the adult shelter system.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify and I can answer any questions that you might have at this time.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Annie. So nice to 3 see you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Up next we have Michelle.

MS. MAYNARD: Good afternoon. My name is
Michelle Maynard, and I'm the Real Estate Coordinator
at Covenant House. I would like to thank the
committee for the opportunity to testify today.

At Covenant House I'm responsible for locating housing for our young people who have successfully completed our long term programs. After two years in these programs, the majority of our young people are driving and ready to embrace adulthood and independence. That's where my job comes in. I help young people who are ready to live on their own and take the first big step towards independence of finding their own apartment. But even for our youth who do everything right in our programs, and save a lot of money, the housing market in New York City makes it extremely difficult.

The best option for youth to be able to stay in their long-term housing after their program has ended is the housing voucher. Sadly, these are short in supply. We cannot overemphasize the importance of

2.2

2.3

enabling a youth who has often needed to move from home to home during their childhood to have a permanent place they call their own. But we need access to vouchers like CityFHEPS to make this happen. The housing team at Covenant House celebrated when we found out the passage of the laws allowing the youth to access vouchers to find out New York City doesn't attend to honor the original intention of these laws was devastating, forcing housed youth to leave their home and enter the adult system if they wanted to access CityFHEPS voucher, which is cruel and ineffective.

Let me be clear, I love my job and nothing makes me happier than seeing the smile on the face of a young person who experienced homeless notice when they find a place stabling long term place to stay.

But it's painful for me to tell a young person that the money that they saved during this time with us is most likely not enough to afford rent in New York

City. Frequently they respond, excuse me, by pleading for housing for alto, my heart breaks every time a youth asked me for a voucher and I have no choice to explain to them that only people in DHS shelters have access to city fare vouchers. Why

2.2

2.3

would the city require a journey-- journey from the trauma of homelessness to stable housing, and again back to homelessness in order to have access to a voucher? Thank you, everyone.

COUNSEL ROMERO: Thank you. And now Jenny?

Good afternoon. My name is Jennie Stephens
Romero and I'm a Supervising Attorney at Make The

Road New York. Thank you to the committee for

hearing from some of on this important issue.

Make the Road New York builds the power of immigrant and working class communities, and our Legal Services Department routinely represents low-income tenants, many of whom are undocumented, and who face instability in housing, mostly due to a lack of affordable housing in New York City.

I'm going to focus this testimony on two major issues that we would like to change, and my written testimony will include more details.

The most significant change that we think could be made to CityFHEPS eligibility is expanding it to undocumented New Yorkers. Too many New Yorkers continue to live on an economic brink because of their legal status. Giving undocumented New Yorkers access to stable and affordable housing would give

- 2 them and their families a chance to flourish here.
- 3 Too often Make the Road New York staff have to give
- 4 the unfortunate news that there is no assistance
- 5 available to keep them housed, simply because
- 6 everyone in the household is undocumented. Our
- 7 clients form a part of a backbone of the City and New
- 8 York cannot forget these essential members also have
- 9 a right to affordable housing.
- 10 Similarly, recognizing the total number of people
- 11 | in a household, including undocumented household
- 12 members will result in more affordable rents.
- 13 | Currently, undocumented members of the household are
- 14 | not counted towards the household total for purposes
- of CityFHEPS vouchers, leading to a smaller number of
- 16 | bedrooms and a lower monthly rent for those
- 17 | households. Counting everyone in a mixed-status
- 18 | family would increase the assistance to a level that
- 19 family actually needs for an affordable home.
- The other change that we'd like to see, as so
- 21 many of my colleagues have testified today, is doing
- 22 away with a 90-day requirement. Currently CityFHEPS
- 23 , as people have recognized requires recipients to
- 24 have stayed in a shelter for at least 90-days. This
- 25 means that families must first lose their homes and

2	live in a shelter for a significant period before
3	receiving this help. Facing eviction and losing a
4	home is a traumatic life event, and as some of our
5	our colleagues have testified today, it has a proven
6	health and economic consequences that can last
7	generations. Forcing families to experience eviction
8	before giving them assistance is too late. Which car
9	and should be stepping in before a family loses their
10	home by offering them assistance like CityFHEPS.
11	Expanding eligibility to tenants who have received a
12	rent demand or can otherwise prove their risk of
13	housing instability would prevent many New Yorkers
14	from facing those long-lasting consequences of
15	eviction and the loss of a home. Thank you for your
16	time today.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Our next panel is going to be Juan Diaz, Julia Davis, Eric Lee, Leticia Hernandez, and Ethel Brown.

MR. DIAZ: Good afternoon. Sorry. Good
afternoon. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala for
holding today's hearing. My name is Juan Diaz and I
am a Policy and Advocacy Associate, at Citizens
Committee for Children, a multi-issue children's
advocacy organization dedicated to ensuring that

2.2

2.3

every New York child is healthy, housed, educated and safe. Today's timely oversight hearing confirmed to the CityFHEPS housing voucher program is among the best solutions to mitigate the housing— the current housing crisis, both families residing in shelters, and those facing homelessness in the community. We are deeply concerned about proposed staff reductions, given that families throughout the city are already—already unable to access cash assistance, food support, and housing assistance. Timely due to understaffing and HRA. We urge the City Council to not only oppose staffing reductions, but to advocate that the City provide the resources and support necessary to fill existing vacancies quickly.

A key strategy for improving families with children's access for CD fabs housing eligibility is to eliminate or modify the 90-day shelter stay rule. We urge you to continue your support for eliminating this illogical and costly administrative law. We also strongly support Intro 0121 from Councilmember Salamanca, Jr, which will support families in DHS shelters by requiring HRA to designate housing specialists within all temporary shelters and to submit an annual report on housing specialists.

2.2

2.3

We also support expanding CityFHEPS eligibility to families and individuals that enter city shelters throughout pathways other than DHS. This should include HPD, DV, and runaway youth who currently are ineligible for CityFHEPS unless they enter as the system through DHS.

Many families in the community, you know, low income communities do not qualify for CityFHEPS eligibility due to other eligibility program restrictions.

Therefore, CCC support the following reforms:

Permit accepting rent letter from landlords instead of housing court eviction to qualify for CityFHEPS.

These eligibility requirements were temporarily implemented during the pandemic and it helped many families prevent eviction. This change should be made permanent.

Also removing requirements that individuals must have a shelter stay before qualifying for CityFHEPS.

Residing in shelter is traumatic for children, and this avoiding this role can help definitely help many families. Additionally, CityFHEPS assistance while in the community instead of requiring shelter entry would save city the city hundreds of millions of

2.2

2.3

dollars. Also, some advocates call today increasing funding for Homeless Prevention and Aftercare

Services to assist families return retain their

CityFHEPS voucher and to apply for those who are at risk of eviction. Homeless providers assist families with CityFHEPS renewals, rental arrears, rent portion adjustments, and transfer requests. As such, they are vital housing and financial support for low income communities. Thank you so much. And I'll be

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next is Julia.

submitting our written testimony.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you. Thank you, Chair Ayala and members of the committee. I'm Julia Davis. I'm the Director of Youth Justice and Child Welfare at the Children's Defense Fund in New York.

I want to focus your attention on Local Law 157 and 170. You've heard about this today from the Coalition for Homeless Youth. You've heard for Fostering Success Alliance, Good Shepherd, Covenant House, Citizens Committee for Children, and now from us. These two laws past year were really intended to break down barriers for young people who have experienced homelessness and have experienced the foster care system. And the bottom line is that what

we are hearing is that there is inconsistent 2 3 interpretation at the agency that is required to 4 actually implement the reforms that young people 5 Which means we need to hear from you. Young people who have left foster care should not be 6 7 directed into the adult foster care system before 8 they can get a CityFHEPS voucher. That's what years of advocacy for people you've heard today, and for people, you know, well in the community at the 10 11 intersection of homelessness and child welfare have 12 been talking about. We need to make sure that this 13 reform exists as intended and that the law is 14 interpreted as intended. And the reason that is so 15 is because of what you've heard today, that young 16 people who age out of foster care, face enormous 17 difficulties in finding and sustaining housing. 18 CityFHEPS is one piece of the puzzle, and we need to 19 get it right. We need to make sure that these two 20 bills now the law of the land in New York City, Local 21 Law 157 and 170, are followed as intended. 2.2 keep young people out of adult shelters and we 2.3 connect them with the vouchers that they need in order to find stable housing. I look forward to 24 working with you. I'll be submitting some testimony 25

2.2

2.3

2 in support of my comments today. And thank you for 3 your time.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next is Eric.

MR. LEE: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Eric Lee. I'm Director of Policy and Planning for Homeless Services United. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the General Welfare Committee for allowing me to testify today. We will be offering more detailed written testimony, but to briefly outline our CityFHEPS recommendations, HSE recommends expanding access to CityFHEPS voucher eligibility by removing the shelter history requirement for people living in the community, removing the 90-day shocker state requirement for people in shelter, eliminating the work requirement altogether, increasing the maximum income limit to 50% area median income, and expanding access to households regardless of their immigration status.

We also recommend eliminating the rent reasonableness test as well as the utility costs should not subtract from the maximum value of the rent, and a tenant should also receive a utility credit in line with Section 8.

1

3

4

5

67

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

We support the intention of the Pre-Considered bills that were mentioned today, but we will offer more for a detailed report on how to strengthen these bills, written testimony. I apologize my dog.

I want to use the remainder of my time to focus on the city's workforce challenges that are resulting in harm to New Yorkers at risk of eviction and currently experiencing homelessness. DHS and HRA need to be funded and empowered to immediately fill vacancies and bolster headcount to quickly process city peps and rental assistance applications. public benefits applications conduct phone interviews, process paperwork, and issue rent checks. Households are becoming homeless, were kept in shelter longer than necessary simply because there's not enough agency workers to conduct interviews and process paperwork. Insufficient HRA staff at benefits access centers conducting PA interviews are delaying access to CityFHEPS. Because households must first apply for PA in order to process the CityFHEPS application. phone interviews are not occurring within the 7-day timeframe, and cases for one shots and cash assistance are being denied as a result, as well as

2.2

2.3

2 CityFHEPS households being delayed getting checks 3 issued.

The HRA paperwork backlog is causing households to fall into arrears and housing crisis as effects from rules are not being processed before the voucher expires, throwing otherwise stable households into rental arrears. Households are also seeing delays matching their—matching their submitted documents to their individual applications within HRA's data systems. This delay makes it appear like their public benefits, one-shot deals, and city FIPS applications are incomplete, resulting in denials when the household submitted everything that they were required to.

HRA should hire additional staff to eliminate the backlog and immediately start issuing electronic receipts for all documents submitted to HRA as they're submitted. Homebase and shelter providers are now reporting delays with HRA issuing checks.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

MR. LEE: If you will just let me--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can wrap up. Yes.

MR. LEE: Thank you. So Homebase and shelter providers are reporting delays with HRA issuing rent

2.2

2.3

checks for CityFHEPS, both for households at risk of losing their homes, as well as trying to exit shelter with the voucher. Providers are seeing check issuances taking anywhere from two to six weeks, which slows move-outs and prevents housing court cases and Homebase cases from being resolved in a timely manner.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next is Leticia.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Leticia Hernandez and I am a Housing Access Manager for Covenant House New York, where we serve youth experiencing homelessness ages from 16 to 24 years old.

I would like to thank the Committee on General Welfare and Chairperson Diana Ayala for the opportunity to testify today.

My job at Covenant House involves serving as a middleman between the landlord and the tenant when trying to find apartments for use. I also provide aftercare services for six months after the youth leaves Covenant House programming. Young people usually look at me in shock when I tell them that they cannot access a CityFHEPS voucher because they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

aren't living in a DHS shelter. Most of our young people are afraid are afraid of adult homeless shelters because they are filled with many people much older than them who may-- who may have more substance abuse and long-term mental health problems.

Staff at adult shelters are also not typically practicing youth-specific trauma informed care or positive youth development principles. This is why the runaway and homeless youth shelter was deployed in the first place. A young people experiencing homeless can stay in programs that are directly suited to the developmental goals. Choosing a program that is best suited for one's needs should not preclude the ability to receive a CityFHEPS voucher. As my previous -- as my previous colleague, Ms. Maynard stated, even when we have a small group of vouchers during the pilot, only 50 vouchers was provided for the whole the DYCD providers, not 50 vouchers just for one specific provider. So we was faced with pretty much applying as much as possible for we could get our clients through the door. the process for the DYCD CityFHEPS vouchers made it impossible for us to be successful, meaning the client must have an appointment or be accepted to an

2.2

2.3

2 apartment before they can actually request a shopping
3 letter.

And as you all may know, all landlords and brokers request a shopping letter initially before the client can even deal with a unit, which this is not a requirement for DHS shelters, who can more easily obtain the shopping letter.

In summary, we are not asking for anything new.

We are asking for the same vouchers that DHS clients have access to. It will be a basic human right for everyone who is experiencing homelessness to apply for any voucher that anyone else in the same circumstances can apply for. A young person should not be denied the opportunity of having --

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

MS. HERNANDEZ: May I finish?

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: You may.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you so much. A young person should not be denied the opportunity of having their own home because they choose to receive services in a system best-suited for their developmental needs. We are respectfully asking the city to honor the full intent of local of local law 157 and 170 of 2022 by providing equal access to our

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

youth in a DYCD system to CityFHEPS vouchers as adults in the DHS shelter. I want to thank you for the opportunity to talk and I will also gladly answer questions.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next is Ethel.

MS. BROWN: Hi, how are you? Thank you so much for allowing me to speak. I'm walking right now trying to go and pick up my grandson. And so if you hear a lot of noise, it's because of that, I apologize for that.

Today's hearing is an oversight hearing. And we're still working on an analysis of those bills. But overall, I would suggest lifting up our priorities for CityFHEPS, to eliminate unnecessary rules and the 90-day rule, addressing the utility deduction problem, so that most vulnerable New Yorkers aren't harmed and the rent reasonableness bill. Expand CityFHEPS eligibility for people who are undocumented via city legislation, not just a resolution, increase funding for the City Commission on Human Rights to enforce against SOI discrimination, remove bureaucratic barriers that create unnecessarily long processing times for apartment approval packets. As much as you know what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is happening today, I'm hoping and praying that things do work in all our favor. The other thing I would like to give is my experience, and what I've been dealing with in terms of CityFHEPS and Homebase.

I'm so exhausted. What happened is-- Pardon me for this. I'm with Safety Net Activists, VOCAL New York, as well as Neighbors Together. My family and I are CityFHEPS voucher holders. I live with my daughter and my two grandchildren. My family, and I found an apartment in May of last year with our CityFHEPS voucher. First they told us we would be able to move in June, then it became July, then August, then September. We weren't able to fully move in until October 1 of last year. It took over five months from when we found the apartment to move It should never be that way. We were back and forth with the landlord, broker, and Homebase for Homebase was like a merry-go-round. going back and forth, back and forth, bringing more and more documents with no results. There was nobody to contact at CityFHEPS. We almost lost the apartment because of all of the delays. At one point we were told that we made too much money even though I was on public assistance, and my daughter was

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

working, and we barely had enough money to pay for
our bills.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MS. BROWN: Can I finish please?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may.

MS. BROWN: Okay. Pay our bills. The income limit needs to be changed to help low income families who are working. All of the stress and the issues with and the moving paperwork caused us to get sick, caused a lot of unnecessary health issues. This also affects the children. My grandson worries about where we're going to be, and whether we will have our own space. Homebase and HRA Need more staff. could hire members who are on public assistance with those jobs. They need more staff so that people's paperwork can be processed and to get housing. also need to include CityFHEPS access HRA that people can see the status of their CityFHEPS vouchers and application. Now even after we moved in, we are having issues again, even late November. We've been trying to get our rent paid, we called for weeks with no response, have other people assisting, nothing. Finally, in January, we're told that the rent wasn't paid because of a computer glitch. But they couldn't

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- fix it, it still hasn't been fixed, the landlord is 2 3 constantly calling us. In addition to fixing the systems that makes CityFHEPS so difficult, we call on 4 5 the Council and HRA to get rid of the 90-day rule. Also make it easy for families facing eviction to 6 7 access CityFHEPS. The 90-day rule is an insult. 8 Housing is a human right. You shouldn't have to stay in the shelter system to get help with housing. I also would like to say that we don't need any more 10 11 people suffering. I don't want any more of this generational homelessness. It's not right. Thank 12 13 you for the opportunity to testify. And I thank you, 14 City Council, for all the assistance that you all 15 have been doing and the many organizations out there. 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you so much, Ms. Brown. 17 That was great.
 - MS. BROWN: You're welcome. You're welcome. Thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Send our regards to your grandson.
 - MS. BROWN: Thank you. Oh, by the way, I wanted to say to you all: I was just in the hospital again, and while in the hospital, I was there during the time of the nurses strike. And I wrote a letter to

for identification purposes, formerly homeless,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

disabled, dual diagnosed in substance and mental I'm currently in recovery. I'm also a supportive housing tenant. I'm so excited to see this 90-day rule on there. I know that this has been something people have been fighting for. I would like to just correct it's actually 120 days because you must do a 28 day intake before you get to the permanent shelter, which then is the 90. So after that four months, you know, a lot of people break down in the capacity that they once entered the shelter maybe with home or a little, you know, less affected by the conditions of homelessness, which unfortunately, there's no function that that policy serves to have people wait that extended amount of time, as a person that came in with documents in hand that expired twice over before I was able to get someone to complete my package.

As I said on disability, I came in with all my paperwork to complete the package and I spent two years in shelter. And that's more what the common experience is like because there's an understood wait, and it's a wait for no reason that I'm excited to finally see on here and hopefully gets removed

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 immediately in addition to the fact that it just it 3 serves no purpose but a great deal of harm.

Also, I don't know the numbers on these, and I apologize for that in advance. As far as the other bill with the work requirement. For a person that comes in and meets the criteria for a voucher, there shouldn't be a mandated amount of hour work requirement while homeless. I don't think people understand you can be moved around to any of the five boroughs at any point in time with two bags and hand, and to secure employment during that time you'd be mandated to any amount of hours as a requirement for eligibility in addition to already meeting the other eligibility factors does not seem to serve any purpose, and I know once a person is housed, like myself, I'm able now to branch out and make appointments and be in a community and be able to move around. When you're in the shelter system, that's not a possibility. So to have that be what's preventing people, because of a certain amount of hours, that doesn't feasibly fit the scenario.

I also heard people just real quick and I'll stop after this talking about Homebase, and just the capacity of preventative, in community and the-- the

- 2 | need for a Homebase to be increased, but also other
- 3 people like the advocacy groups that are able to do
- 4 | 2010e applications, I would love to see them also be
- 5 able to get certified or whatever it is to do your
- 6 those as well.
- 7 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.
- 8 MS. WILSON: Okay. [inaudible].
- 9 COUNSEL HOMERO: Thank you. Next we have
- 10 Natalie.

- 11 Hello.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Hello.
- 13 Hi, can you hear me?
- 14 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We can.
- 15 COUNSEL HOMERO: Yes, we can.
- 16 MS. HOGAN: Okay. Okay. Hi, my name is Natalie
- 17 Maria Hogan. And I am 37 years old, a Bronx
- 18 | resident, and the mother of three young children. I
- 20 for holding this public hearing regarding the
- 21 | Committee of General Welfare. I'm speaking to you
- 22 | just because I am-- I struggled with substance abuse
- 23 | to opioids, ecstasy, and Molly, and I've been sober
- 24 for the last-- since April 22, 2019, to the present
- 25 day with all drug toxicologies to back that up. Are

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 you hearing me okay because it seems like it's a 3 little delayed.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. No, we can hear you.

MS. HOGAN: All right. Awesome.

All I'm-- all I'm saying is, as you know, I've been through a lot. I've been to the shelter system, and I got a Fix Advantage voucher. Give me one I need my notes. I got a fix advantage voucher in -- I had a Fixed Advantage voucher in 2011. And that Fixed Advantage voucher helped me a lot. But unfortunately, we have a lot of landlords that even though your Fixed Advantage voucher covers your full amount of rent for a year or whatever amount of time that you are giving up so you've come up the shelter system, which I spent four months in by the way, when you get this Fixed Advantage voucher, it is supposed to be rent free. Unfortunately, you know, there's a lot of slum lords a lot of landlords that even though with this voucher, they charged me under the table and I had to pay extra money. I am very thankful for HPD Section 8 for approving my voucher in-- in the end of 2011. I was able to go from a Fixed Advantage voucher to a Section 8 voucher, HPD.

2.2

2.3

And I just want to say that I have three children that are-- I have one children-- one son Noah Gomez who is severely disabled in a nursing home for children with disabilities, and my other two younger children, six and seven, Juliet and Jamison are actually in the foster care system.

I've heard so many testimonies today that have--SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired--

MS. HOGAN: moved me beyond belief. If I can just finishing saying-- I'm going to keep this short.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Go ahead.

MS. HOGAN: I just want to say, Thank you so much, Diana Ayala, for all your all the things that you have done. I'm going to have more information regarding my testimony in the written word. So if you guys have any questions or any more information you can look into it.

And all I'm asking is to be reunited with my children. I've completed everything that

Administrative Children's Services. I have requested of me. I've been sober since April 22 of 2019. I got my-- I got my certificate from the Bronx Mental Health Court. I don't have-- I have my evaluations.

I've completed certificates, Parenting Journey,

- 2 Coping With Trauma and Anger, and so many others.
- 3 And I just want to say that I'm here today based on
- 4 all of the people that-- all the good city agency
- 5 | workers that have helped me get to where I'm at
- 6 today.

- 7 And all I'm asking is for help to reunite my
- 8 | family, my son, Noah, my son, my daughter, Juliet,
- 9 and my son, Jamison, deserve to be at home. And I'm
- 10 | willing to provide any documentation to back up every
- 11 | single word that I've said. I've completed a
- 12 Parenting Journey Certificate, Coping With Trouble
- 13 \parallel and Anger. I mean, the list goes on and on. And I
- 14 also graduated from the Bronx Mental Health Board.
- 15 And all I'm asking is for the people in our
- 16 community, black, brown and Latino-x, which I am
- 17 | from. I'm Dominican-American. And I am, I'm just
- 18 | asking for, you know, communication can bring about
- 19 change. But we need to -- we need to try to be
- 20 kinder. Agency and city workers need to treat our
- 21 | communities with more respect and more kindness and
- 22 more understanding.
- 23 And I'm only here from the mercy of God, and to
- 24 sit here before you today and be able to stand here
- 25 and give my testimony. It's unbelievable. And I

2	just want to thank everybody for the steps to end
3	family violence. [inaudible], Margarita Ray, and
4	everybody from Drug Treatment Centers, Start, Miss
5	Black, thank you so much for all your support. I
6	also want to thank Honorable Judge Lawrence from the
7	Bronx Criminal Court System that has that saw my
8	hard work, even though I the reasonable
9	accommodation work was not able to continue my
10	inpatient drug treatment center, but I did accomplish
11	it outpatient. And I did receive my certificate and
12	I have been sober for the last three years. And I
13	have a three-bedroom apartment for my children. And
14	I have letters and letters and letters from
15	therapists from the Institute for Family Health.
16	Maria Toro, thank you so much, my love, everything
17	that you've done. And, you know, I just want to say
18	that, you know, we have to be better to each other,
19	we have to be kinder, and we have to help our city
20	agency workers that come from our black, brown, and
21	Latino community, to support those that come from
22	their own community. And I want the City to help
23	educate them better, offer them more services, offer
24	them more education. And all T want is to reunite my

2.2

2.3

family. And my children were removed October 22, of 2018, and it's January 18 of 2023.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Natalie--

MS. HOGAN: And I've done everything— everything and all I'm asking for is to be reunited with my children. I don't want my children in foster care now to end up homeless after 18 years old and not have a place to live. I— my Section 8 HPD was terminated due to negligence from an attorney from the Bronx—

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Natalie. Natalie. Natalie. [crosstalk] Can you hear me?

MS. HOGAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I don't want you to share all of your personal business, because this is going to be on record.

But what I want to say is that I'm really proud of you. I know that it's really, really hard to do what you have done. And I want to thank all of the people that took it upon themselves to offer you a helping hand. Because that's also very unusual. You've gotten, you know, this far, and those people helped you, but you did this. And so congratulations on that. And I'm happy to be helpful. If I can, you

can, you know, call my office. And we you know, we		
can connect with your caseworker and see how we can		
be helpful. But I'm just so proud of you. And I		
want to thank you for having the bravery to come here		
today. Because being vulnerable and and		
sharing so much of our you know, ourselves and you		
know, our difficult times, right that that we		
struggle with and everybody does is not always easy,		
but it's important because it humanizes it and I'm		
sure that there are people that are watching that		
have a similar story and that maybe don't dare, you		
know, to share that story because they've been		
conditioned not to, and they need to see that there		
are people like them that have overcome and continue		
to struggle, but continue to fight back every single		
day.		

So call my office. I appreciate you coming in today. Thank you so much for your testimony.

MS. HOGAN: Thank you.

COUNSEL HOMERO: Next we have Scott Hutchins.

MR. HUTCHINS: My name is Scott Andrew Hutchins and I am currently in the third year of my CityFHEPS voucher, and very concerned if I hit year five without finding steady employment. I just turned 47

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

years old. I came to New York City as a graduate student in 2003 and completed my master's degree in 2005. In 2012, I entered the shelter system because medical issues my back and legs make anything but desk work unsustainable for me. The only reason I got into an apartment on CityFHEPS is because Urban Justice Center intervened after DHS had an in-house Doctor declare me mentally ill after numerous independent specialists had not so that they could move me into supportive housing where I could be drugged up and continue to make them money without causing a nuisance. Submitted as evidence on my behalf were Excel logs of 3895 job applications since 2012 that resulted in a mere 33 job interviews that were mainly scams.

I'm here to demand several corrections to be made to the CityFHEPS program, the first of which is expanding eligibility. The shelter system costs the city more than double each month to house people in shelters rather than apartments, but CityFHEPS like the link voucher I had before it is dependent on the whims of employers and a weak job market, where employers continue to ghost potential employees and claim that no one wants to work.

2.2

2.3

The eligibility also needs to be expanded to the undocumented. DHS doesn't collect data on immigration status for the shelter system. So we don't even know precisely how large this problem is, only the individual cases who have come forward. This eligibility expansion needs to come in the form of actual legislation as resolutions have not worked.

Another problem was CityFHEPS are unnecessary rules that create a backlog of wait times which was the 90-day rule, the utility deduction that has wasted many homeless New Yorkers time on housing for which they are not found ineligible for until the last minute, and the rent reasonableness rule which again makes homeless people innocent victims of those with money and power.

Then there are the internal abuses by those with money and power such as the extraordinary processing times for apartment approval. This is a continuation of the Robert Door tradition of making shelter clients start their housing packages all over again, after being involuntarily transferred to another shelter has happened to me eight times, five of which were in the middle of night. These are serious bureaucratic issues that need to be resolved to

1

increase the efficiency of the process to get people

3

out of shelter.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

While I don't know the specific issues, I can say that the system seems to drag its feet.

As one of the authors of the Homeless White Paper, The Business of Homelessness. It seems that the profit motive is again behind these issues, namely NGOs that provide the shelter making too much money off the city. It did not surprise me to learn that in 2019, the CEO of Bushwick Economic Development Corporation, which runs Eddie Harris Men's Shelter, the first shelter to which I was sent after Bellevue was convicted of embezzlement, something that I believed to be true seven years earlier simply based on the fact that they kept running out of food before the serving period was over. Finally, there needs to be an expansion of the source-of-income discrimination--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

MR. HUTCHINS: I'm wrapping up. -- an expansion of the source-of-income discrimination unit in the City Commission on Human Rights. This unit is underfunded and has too few attorneys to deal with the magnitude of the problem. Brokers and landlords

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 have been repeatedly identified as serial

3 discriminated against those with vouchers. If the

4 enforcement were not so lax, it would not be the

5 rampant problem that it is. The council needs to

6 push back against the mayor's insistence on

7 disinvesting from anything other than the police

8 force. We know that cops are not the solution to

9 homelessness or much else either, and the city's

10 budget needs to reflect that. Thank you.

from everyone who has signed up to testify if we inadvertently missed anyone who like testify in person please visit the Sergeant's table and complete a witness slip now. If we inadvertently missed anyone who wanted to testify virtually, please use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call you in the order of hands raised. Seeing no one else, I would like to note the written testimony which will be reviewed in full by committee staff I'd be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Deputy Speaker Ayala, we have concluded public testimony for this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. And I want to thank all the advocates and impacted individuals who came in today to testify and took the time and waited, you know, to share their stories with us. I think that we've all learned a lot through today's hearing, but also heard a lot of feedback that was pretty consistent with kind of how we're seeing things, you know, managed at the different agencies. So thank you all so much. And with that, this hearing is adjourned.

[GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 01/26/2023