CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

November 19, 2024 Start: 10:22 a.m. Recess: 3:56 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Diana I. Ayala

Chairperson

Alexa Avilés Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Chris Banks Tiffany Cabán Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens

Sandra Ung

Erik D. Bottcher Gale A. Brewer

Carmen N. De La Rosa Shahana K. Hanif Rita C. Joseph

## Council Members:

Shekar Krishnan

Mari Perez Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Kelly Gonzalez
Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Rocio Gonzalez Ramirez Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Kathryn Kliff The Legal Aid Society

Molly Schaeffer Director of Office of Asylum Seeker Operations

Iris Rodriguez
Deputy Commissioner of DHS

Emily Ashton Senior Advisor at New York City Emergency Management

Doctor Ted Long Health + Hospitals

Rudy S. Giuliani Housing Recovery Operations

Christine Quinn WIN

Claire Salant
IBO Lead Budget and Policy Analyst

Jacob Berman
IBO Director of Social and Community Services

Senator Andrew Gounardes

Erika Alvarez Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Eylyn Velasquez Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Tania Paredes Fondo de Mujeres Indocumentadas

Mamadou Diallo Testimony read by Djeinaba Diaby

Airenakhue B. Omoragbon African Communities Together

Abdou Karim Diane African Communities Together

Edafe Okporo Refuge America

Maura Heron Sanctuary for families

Barat Ellman
Rabbi, Jews for Racial and Economic Justice

Halema Wali Afghans for a Better Tomorrow

WIN Panelist 1

WIN Panelist 2

WIN Panelist 3

Carlos Rosales Citizens Committee for Children of New York

Robin Altman Catholic Charities

Jackeline Cruz Unlocal

Linda Tewksbury

Allen Keller

Jorge Paz Reyes Mixteca

Lauren Migliaccio Immigrant Justice Corps

Angela Eslava Gonzalez NYLAG

Karim Walker Safety Net Project

Stephanie Cordero Brooklyn Legal Services

Carolyn Gleason

Doctor Shobana Ramasamy

Karin Takahashi Brooklyn Legal Services

Taina Wagnac NYIC

Magdalena Barbosa

Kat

Alana Tornello Human Services Council

Jennie Spector

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 7
 1
 2
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: Keep it down, please.
     Thank you. Thank you. Good morning and welcome to
 3
 4
     the New York City Council hybrid hearing on the
 5
     Committee on General Welfare and Immigration. At
 6
    this time, please silence all electronic devices.
 7
    Also, please do not approach the dais at no time.
                                                         Ιf
 8
     you have any questions, please raise your hand and
 9
     one of us at Sergeant at Arms will kindly assist you.
     There'll be no food or drinks allowed in the
10
11
     Chambers. And if you need to have a conversation,
12
    please take it out to the back of the Chambers out in
13
     the foyer. Thank you very much for your kind
14
     cooperation. Chairs, we are ready to begin.
15
                [gavel]
16
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: Hold on.
                                              Sorry,
17
     Chair. Hold on.
18
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
19
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking French]
20
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
21
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
2.2
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
23
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you very much
24
     for your kind cooperation. Chairs, we are ready to
25
     begin.
```

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Good morning everyone. Bear with us a minute. Good morning everyone and welcome to today's hearing. My name is Diana Ayala and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New York City Council and the Chair of the General Welfare Committee, and I will be speaking this way because we have translation services that are ongoing, and so I'm trying to be mindful to speak as slowly as possible. Today, we are holding an oversight hearing on the implementation of the Administration's 30 and 60-day rules for asylumseekers in city shelters. International and domestic law recognizes and individual's right to flee persecution in their home country and seek asylum in another. Historically, New York City has been a city that welcomes new migrants, and this council has passed laws that protect migrants from unnecessary interference with their right to reside in this city and this country, and those laws continue to hold true regardless of any changes from January. addition to these protections, the City has also always provided our New Yorkers with an array of available resources that this city has to offer,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 9 including shelter. Since 2022, the City has welcomed more than 200,000 immigrant newcomers with many coming to the City seeking asylum and other forms of immigration relief. Many of these new city residents began their journey residing in the city shelter system in DHS-run shelters and Humanitarian Emergency Response Relief Centers, or HERRCs, and respite centers run by other city agencies. As of October 27<sup>th</sup> of this year, the Adams Administration reported that over 59,000 of these new immigrants were in the city's care. Immigration to a new country includes many obstacles from the often harrowing and dangerous journeys to claim asylum to the long and sometimes inefficient immigration process that indicate when new arrivals can work to care for themselves and their families. Unfortunately, policies such as the Administration's 30 and 60-day shelter stays limits add unnecessary additional challenges to this arduous process. In July 2023, the Adams Administration has imposed limits on shelter stay for asylum-seekers and immigrant new arrivals beginning with 60 day limits for single adults that eventually lessen to 30 days and then 60-day limits for families staying in HERRCs. We have heard from many New Yorkers about

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 10 the challenges created by these shelter stay limits including inadequate case work services provided to those subject to these shelter stay limits, difficulties in receiving their mail and important documents sent by federal agencies regarding their immigration cases, continued access to legal services, and significant concerns regarding continuity of education for children of families. We're here today to hear from directly-impacted individuals, advocates and the Administration about the implementation of the shelter stay limits, challenges that they may have caused to individuals and families and what the future of these policies looks like. At this time, I'd like to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Brewer and Avilés, and I'm sure that we'll be joined by others shortly. I also wanted to recognize that we have been joined by the AP Government students from High school of Health Profession and their teacher Deborah Falmino [sp?] from Carlina Rivera's district. you for coming. And I believe that we're also being joined by John Bohn [sic] High School students as well. I'm not sure if they're here, but if they are, welcome as well. At this time, I'd also like to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

thank my committee staff who worked to prepare this hearing, Sahar Moazami, Legisltive Counsel, Nina Rosenberg, Policy Analyst, Julia Haramis, Unit Head, Phariha Rahman, Finance Analyst, sorry I'm like stumbling through my words today, Anne Driscoll [sp?], Data Analyst, and finally my staff Elsie Encarnacion, Chief of Staff. I would now like to turn it over to Chair Avilés for her opening remarks.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Good morning everyone. I'm Council Member Alex Avilés, Chair of

the Committee on Immigration. Thank you for joining us today. Today, we will be examining updates on the implementation of the 30 and 60-day shelter limit rules. I'd like to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala, Chair of the Committee on General Welfare for co-chairing this important hearing. I'd also like to thank the representatives from the Administration, members of the public, and my committee colleagues who have joined us here today. I'd like to begin by reminding everyone that New York City has always been and will always be a city of immigrants. Now, more than ever we must come together to protect our immigrant neighbors, both new arrivals and those who have called New York City home for some time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 12 are still processing the events of the last couple of weeks, but now it is the time to act. The next four years, and they may-- the next four years may be difficult and in fact may be very scary. But in New York City, we will work to ensure that our neighbors are free from fear and harm and are able to experience the America they've dreamt of and struggled to reach. This city has been a beacon of hope from countries around the world looking to start of new life, looking to escape suffering, looking to find opportunities. We must continue to support our immigrant communities, and with today's oversight hearing, the Committees on General Welfare and the Immigration Committee will again examine how the 30/60-day shelter limit policies are affecting our newest New Yorkers. for those individuals who are directly impacted by these policies who wish to testify today but are uncomfortable with sharing their full name in this public setting, please feel free to write your first name only on the witness slip. As my co-chair mentioned, the 30 and 60-day shelter limits were introduced in July 2023 by the Adams Administration, and since then advocates and providers across the spectrums of fields have sounded

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 13 the alarm on the consequences of these shelter stay limits, and the lack of case management available to shelter residents. Providers have shared how housing and stability affects the ability of new arrivals to apply for any benefits or immigration statues. application for assistance or immigration relief if sent and returned -- and the return address is a shelter that an applicant does not reside in anymore or has any access to, that only further complicates access to benefits that could help these individuals and families become independent from shelters. deeply concerned with how these policies are impacting school-aged children. Forcing children to leave their residences during the school year to possibly be placed in another shelter miles away from their school can affect a child's mental wellbeing and their progress in school. our bus system is already deeply challenged, so adding unnecessary burdens to that system as well leaves us truly scratching our heads about the true intention of this policy which seems to result in more-- and highlyeffective in creating chaos and waste rather than stabilization. Advocates, educators, residents have shared that constantly removing children from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 14 classrooms affects everyone, especially the more-especially the permanently placed students who are seeing their class members disappear over and over There are also concerns about how this again. housing instability affects local providers and communities. Establishing relationships with shelter residents is a significant component of our work that community-based organizations and local providers do for their immigrant clients, especially for new arrivals who are often fearful of interactions with city agencies. Establishing that relationship is immensely complicated. However, if an individual family stops showing up because they've been moved to a different location, this constrains providers who put a lot of work already into initial contact with a client. While the number of new arrivals in shelters has decreased, the unintended consequences of not providing stable pathways for independence may put this city at greater risk of instability further down the line. We look forward to addressing these concerns today and hearing from the Administration on how we can work together to ensure that our immigrant newcomers are supported enough to being establishing their lives in New York City, we also look forward

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 15 to hearing testimony from the advocates and providers who support these newcomers endlessly and from newcomers themselves who like many before them, millions before them, who came from other countries very much in the same way, no allowing for revisionist history very much in the same way, to our beloved city to start anew. Lastly, the Committee on General Welfare will hear my bill Resolution 41 which calls on the New York State legislature to pass and the Governor to sign legislation to create the New York State Working Families Tax Credit and to support some of New York's most vulnerable children. I'm grateful to Chair Ayala and the Committee on General Welfare for hearing this bill and focusing relentlessly on ways to improve the lives of working families of our city. and lastly, I would like to thank the Committee staff for their work on this hearing, including Nicole Cata [sp?], Rebecca Barilla [sp?], Carolina Gil [sp?], Florentine Kabore, and finally my staff, Chief of Staff Edward Cerna [sp?], Legislative and Budget Director Christina Bottego, Legislative Fellow, Kate Burn [sp?], and Communications Director Winnie Marion [sp?], ad

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 16 everyone for working in the background to make this hearing run smoothly. And with that, thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I will now turn it over to our pre-panel of impacted individuals. And a reminder that we have five minutes per panelist. I will now call up Kelly Gonzalez, Rocio Gonzalez-Ramirez, Kathryn Kliff, and Mari Perez. [speaking Spanish] One second, please. Okay, you begin on this side.

TRANSLATOR: Do you want me to sit down on the side? It's better? Okay.

KATHRYN KLIFF: Good morning. My name is
Kathryn Kliff and I'm testifying on behalf of the
Legal Aid Society and the Coalition for the Homeless.
Thank you to the Committee on General Welfare, Chair
Ayala, the Committee on Immigration and Chair Avilés
for the opportunity to testify today. Sure. As we
sit here today, we have an incoming federal
administration who has made it very clear they plan
to cause harm to our newest New Yorkers. The City
should be focusing its resources to help new arrivals
get access to the help they need to move out of
shelter, instead of imposing counter-productive
requirements that force new arrivals to reapply for

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 17 shelter every 30 or 60 days. Case management and legal services are the answers, not forced relocations every 30 or 60 days. As you will hear today from those families and individuals directly impacted by the 30 and 60-day notices, these policies make it even harder for people to move out of shelter. Our clients often lose access to their mail once they move, including vital immigration They lose jobs when they have to miss documentation. work to reapply for shelter, or risk being placed in new locations far from their places of employment. For single adults who don't meet the requirements to remain in shelter, these time limits relegate them to the streets, further delaying their progress and exposing them to more physical harm as winter approaches. School-aged children, as was discussed in the introduction, are often placed further from their schools and miss weeks of school while they wait for new bus routes to be set up by the Department of Education. In addition to all of these harms, physically going to the offices where new arrivals must go to request another shelter placement may put them at risks. These sites are known to the public as locations where new arrivals will be,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION putting them at risk of apprehension by the incoming federal administration. While we appreciate the City's announcement yesterday that families with children in grades K through six in non-DHS shelters will not need to change shelters after their second 60-day notice, the policy should be that families should not have to change shelters at all, not even once. Each shelter change leads to significant disruption and negative consequences for families, especially for school-aged children. The Department of Homeless Services announced a few months ago that they plan to impose 60-day notices on new arrival families with children in the DHS system. Up until now, DHS families have not been subject to these notices. We told DHS we do not believe they have a legal basis to implement this policy in DHS shelters, and they have now agreed that they will not require these families to move at the end of the 60 days, as long as they request an extension prior to that date. However, we wait specifics of how this process will work in practice. We call on the City to end the use of the 30 and 60-day notices, provide quality case management at all shelters sites, invest in immigration legal services, and expand CityFHEPS in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 19
2	accordance with the bills already passed by this
3	council. At a time when the incoming federal
4	government is actively working to harm our newest New
5	Yorkers, the City should be doing everything in its
6	power to protect them. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish]
9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
10	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: I'm a little nervous so I
12	apologize for my nervousness.
13	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: My name My name is Mari
15	Cruz Perez. I'm living in one of the hotels based in
16	Manhattan, right, and I'm going to explain some of
17	the matters and situations I'm going through right
18	now.
19	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: I have changed my cell to
21	multiple shelters because of my economical situation.
22	I have lived for a period of around 120 days in three
23	different shelter I'm sorry in three different
24	shelters.

MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 20

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: In the shelter that I'm living at the moment is a shelter where I'm supposed to be there for 60 days, and unfortunately, in this shelter I have experienced a lot of racism discrimination because of national region.

MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: There is a also a lack of information in that shelter. Something that happened to me, I was supposed to receive an immigration interview for-- you're familiar with immigration fingerprints, when you go to the immigration and you have to scan your hands. Basically, they gave me my immigration letter, but I received the letter four days after my fingerprints interview, and this letter was already too late.

MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: And what happened because of this mistake they made, I have to wait three more months in order to get another fingerprints interview with the U.S. Department of Immigration office, and this delayed my immigration case. Also, every time I go to that shelter, I have to remind them-- I'm sorry. They have to remind me all the time in two weeks I have to leave, in two weeks I have to leave.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 21
2	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: I'm a little afraid because
4	of what is happening right now. To all of you, you
5	know we have a new president that's going to start in
6	January, President Trump. I'm very afraid, because I
7	don't know what's going to happen with me after he
8	takes the office in January.
9	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
10	TRANSLATOR: When I have to go to this
11	interview or to the shelter, sometimes my son have to
12	lose one day of classes. He's starting high school
13	here in New York, but he had to miss this day of
14	classes because of this.
15	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
16	TRANSLATOR: I just want to do the right
17	things, that's why I'm asking for all your help,
18	because I just don't want to live in fear all the
19	time. I don't want to live afraid of everything. I
20	just want to live in peace, and that's why I'm asking
21	for your help at the moment. Okay, this is
22	everything what I wanted to say now.
23	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Gracias.
24	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: Thank you.
l l	1

[applause]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 22
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, no clapping.
 3
    Rocio?
 4
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
 5
     Spanish]
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
 6
 7
                TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish]
 8
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
 9
     Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: This 11 months I have been
10
11
     living in the United States. I was transferred to
12
     different hotels and to different shelters around six
13
    times already, and also-- and they also send me to
14
    public hotels as well.
15
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
16
     Spanish]
17
                TRANSLATOR: In these hotels we can see
18
     any kind of people, dangerous people, and people who
19
     are okay, or people who are very dangerous.
20
     don't have safety in the hotel. Another problem is
     that my children loss two weeks of classes because we
21
    were transferring so many times from one hotel to
2.2
2.3
     another.
24
               ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
```

Spanish]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 23

TRANSLATOR: Those weeks that we're staying in that shelter, we noticed that those shelters were extremely cold. The reason why it was so cold was because the air condition were high temperature, and my children got very sick. They got sick with respiratory infection. So, similar [inaudible] like this.

ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Another problem is that the bathrooms of those shelters are very dirty. They are so dirty that I got infected with a [inaudible] infection because of how dirty those bathrooms were. Also the food in those shelters is very bad. The food is so bad that my children don't want to eat that kind of food they're serving in that shelter.

ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking

Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Please don't misinterpret what I'm saying. I appreciate to all of you and to the government of New York that you're giving me a plate of food and also a place for me to live, but please understand it's very hard for me because of my

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 24 1 2 children, that my children are going to eat the bad 3 food. 4 ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking 5 Spanish] TRANSLATOR: It's not only the bad 6 7 conditions at those shelters and also-- we're also 8 spending money moving from one place to another. Let me give you an example. We moved from one shelter to another shelter located around 45 Street and 10 11 Roosevelt and this caused us extra expenses for us to 12 move. 13 ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking 14 Spanish] 15 TRANSLATOR: We also have very high 16 stress in this type of shelters. Let me give you an 17

TRANSLATOR: We also have very high stress in this type of shelters. Let me give you an example. My husband developed a bad skin disease called rosacea where the skin becomes very bad, and the reason was because of the high stress that he was facing in that shelter.

ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: The doctor told me that that skin disease was caused because of stress.

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 25
 1
 2
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
 3
     Spanish]
 4
                TRANSLATOR: I would like that you give
    me-- I'm sorry. You give me the opportunity to
 5
     change all these, that I can have a better quality of
 6
     life to live in a better places, because it's very
 7
 8
    hard for me to live with these-- especially I'm very
     concerned about my children. My children need help
     and they don't have to follow [sic] anything.
10
11
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
12
     Spanish]
13
                TRANSLATOR: I wanted to be calm.
14
     don't want to have stresses or pressure because of
15
     this. I wanted to be in a place in peace.
16
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
17
     Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Okay. There are other things
18
19
     I would like to say to you, but unfortunately I know
20
    we are limited at time and my other [inaudible] here,
21
     they also need to say their own things. So this is
2.2
     the only things I can say for now, but there are
2.3
     other things I would like to tell you as well.
24
                ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking
```

Spanish]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 26
 1
 2
                TRANSLATOR: We belong to a group of
 3
    undocumented women who live in the United States.
 4
                KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly
 5
     Gonzalez [speaking Spanish].
                TRANSLATOR: My name is Kelly Gonzalez.
 6
 7
     I'm originally from Venezuela, South America, and I
 8
    belong to a group who undocumented women. It's
     called Las Comadres [sic] in Spanish, right? And this
     is the name of our group.
10
11
                KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly
     Gonzalez.
12
13
                TRANSLATOR: Okay. Since I came to the
     United States -- since I came from Venezuela in
14
15
     January I have bene living in these immigration
16
     shelters, and I have been evicted around seven times
17
     from this shelter and this is very bad, especially
18
    because of me and because of my children.
19
                KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly
20
     Gonzalez.
21
                TRANSLATOR: I'm sorry, not seven times,
     five times. My apologies. I was evicted five times
2.2
2.3
     form the shelters.
                KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly
24
```

Gonzalez.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 27

2.2

2.3

all of us, because my children are new in the United States. As you know, the education in Venezuela is different so they're getting adapted to the American school education. So what happened, we had to be processed together. So they cannot process only myself and the children individually. All of us, we had to be processed together.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: This is the main problem. I have seven children, so you know it's many children together. So the problem is very hard for me to go from one shelter to another with seven children, and some of those shelter don't want to accept me because the amount of children I have. I have seven children. When they find out I have seven children, they don't want to take us.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: So, because of this my situation has been so bad that even sleeping on a stretcher, you know, like the ones from the hospitals, right? And because I've been waiting for

committee on General Welfare With Committee on immigration 28

a very long time, sometimes for one week to weeks for

me-- for my case to be processed for those shelters.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly

2.2

Gonzalez. [Speaking Spanish] Kerry

TRANSLATOR: Also, another problem that we have, you know, the children have a benefit provided by the schools. This is transportation and benefits like this, you know, because they are moving us so often from one shelter to another, my children cannot take advantage of this school benefit or transportation because of this.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: The problem is that the school need two weeks in order to process a change of address, so that means two weeks to process the change of address and two weeks to transfer the children from one school to another, and this is a very long process.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: And this is the reason why my seven children cannot enjoy this benefit of school transportation because what I'm facing right now.

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 29

2 KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly

3 Gonzalez.

2.2

TRANSLATOR: Another problem is this,

because they don't have steady school transportation,

what is happening is this-- let's say they have a

medical appointment and also they have to go to

school. My poor children have to decide between

missing a class or missing a medical appointment it's

very bad for all of us.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: So, if I have a steady place for me to live even if a steady shelter, these things would not be happening. There were situations when I need to take the children to a medical appointment and they had to miss school days or a day of school because of this.

KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly Gonzalez.

TRANSLATOR: This is why I'm concerned.

I came from Venezuela to the United States thinking about my children. I wanted a better education for my children, the education they don't have in my home country.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 30 1 KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly 2 3 Gonzalez. 4 TRANSLATOR: If I'm able to find a steady place to live, even as a shelter, I would life will 5 change because I will be able to find a job. Also, 6 my children would be able to enjoy the school 7 transportation benefit, but in order to enjoy these 8 things, we need a steady place for us to live. KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly 10 Gonzalez. 11 12 TRANSLATOR: This is what I wanted to say to you because it's very important as mothers to 13 invest in the education of our children, because our 14 15 children will be our support when we become old and when we get into this old age. We can count on them 16 17 as old people when we get this age. So thank you for 18 listening to us. 19 KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish] Kelly 20 Gonzalez. 21 TRANSLATOR: Okay, thank you very much. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]. 23 I want to thank you for coming today. [speaking Spanish] I know that it's very difficult. 24

process that you're going through is not, you know,

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 31
2	an easy one. I have one question. Are any of you i
3	your respective sites receiving case assistance? Do
4	you have a social worker that you're working with?
5	KELLY GONZALEZ: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: Okay. Honestly, I don't
7	have my own case manager. I believe there are case
8	managers there, but I don't have my personal case
9	manager, no.
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. [speaking
11	Spanish]
12	TRANSLATOR: No, she doesn't have one
13	[inaudible] social worker no.
14	MARI CRUZ PEREZ: [speaking Spanish]
15	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you translate.
16	TRANSLATOR: Oh, apologize.
17	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can do it from
18	there.
19	MARI CRUZ PEREZ:
20	TRANSLATOR: We only have access to the
21	social worker when we have the interview every two
22	weeks.
23	MARI PEREZ CRUZ: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: This we have this

interview mostly when they have to remind us that we

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 32 1 have to leave the shelter by certain day. This is 2 3 when we, you know, get the interview with the social 4 worker. MARI PEREZ CRUZ: [speaking Spanish] TRANSLATOR: No, but we don't have a 6 7 personal social worker who is working our case. We 8 don't have one, no. MARI PEREZ CRUZ: [speaking Spanish] TRANSLATOR: No, we don't have a personal 10 social worker. What we do, sometimes when we have 11 12 questions, we go to the back area of the shelter and 13 we ask people about these people who work at the 14 shelter, but we don't have a personal social worker 15 now. 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. [speaking 17 Spanish] Thank you. TRANSLATOR: Oh, I think she have a 18 19 question. 20 ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking 21 Spanish] TRANSLATOR: I already have a social 2.2 23 security number and I also have work permission in order to work in the United States. I also took a 24

course in construction.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 33

2 ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking

3 Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Okay. And I took this construction course for two weeks, right, in order for me to get my certification to work as a construction worker.

ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: I remember one of the social workers who was not in the shelter, but in another shelter, she helped me in order for me to enroll in this courses in order to get the certification to work as a construction worker. So I'm not going to say everything is bad in the shelters. Things can be improved, but I was able to get these courses when I was in that other shelter.

ROCIO GONZALEZ RAMIREZ: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: This is what I wanted to say. It's true that some social workers are paying attention to us the immigrants, but there also very bad things happening in these immigrant shelters, too.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 34

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]

3 Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

TRANSLATOR: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright, thank you for your testimony. I would now like to turn it over to our Committee Counsel. We'll wait for the Administration to get here so that we can swear members from the Administration. While we wait, I would like to recognize that we've been joined by Council Members Bottcher, Joseph, Banks, and Ung and Cabán on Zoom. I would now like to turn it over to our Committee Counsel to swear in members of the Administration. Give me one second, because I also just want to put in a quick reminder. I want to remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding, and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Will you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Thank you. You may begin when ready.

remove them. Feel free to remove them.

```
1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 36
```

- AUDIENCE MEMBERS: [inaudible] Stop the evictions now. End shelter evictions now. End shelter evictions now.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Guards, you can 6 remove them.
- AUDIENCE MEMBERS: End shelter evictions now.

  8 now. End shelter evictions now.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can remove them.
- 10 AUDIENCE MEMBERS: End shelter evictions
- 11 now.

2

3

- 12 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can remove them.
- 13 AUDIENCE MEMBERS: End shelter evictions
- 14 now. End shelter evictions now. End shelter
- 15 | evictions now. End shelter evictions now. End
- 16 shelter evictions now. End shelter evictions now.
- 17 | End shelter evictions now. End shelter evictions now.
- 18 | End shelter evictions now. End shelter evictions
- 19 now. [inaudible] Say it loud, say it clear,
- 20 | immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud, say it
- 21 | clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud, say
- 22 | it clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud,
- 23 | say it clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say it
- 24 loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say
- 25 | it loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome here.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 37
Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome
here. Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants are
welcome here. Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants
are welcome here. Say it loud, say it clear,
immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud, say it
clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud, say
it clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say it loud.
Say it clear, immigrants are welcome here.
loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome here. Say
it loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome here.
Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants are welcome
here. Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants are
welcome here. Say it loud, say it clear, immigrants
are welcome here. Say it loud, say it clear,
immigrants are welcome here. [inaudible] End shelter
evictions now. End shelter evictions now.
[inaudible] End shelter evictions now. End shelter
evictions now. End shelter evictions now.
shelter evictions now.
           CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright.
                                         I just want
to remind-- I just want to remind folks, again, that
we need to be respectful. We only have until one
o'clock with the Administration. We would like to
```

get through our list of questions that I'm sure that

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

all of us in the room are interested in hearing the responses to. So if there's anyone else that plans to disrupt, if you can do it now so that we can get you out of the way, I would greatly appreciate it.

Okay, go ahead, Molly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Alright. name is Molly Schaeffer. I'm Director of the mayor's Office of Asylum Seeker Operations. I'm before you today to discuss the City's ongoing efforts to support migrants and asylum-seekers who have and continue to arrive in New York City. I'm also joined by key agency partners, Doctor Ted Long from New York City Health + Hospitals, Chief of Operations, Iris Rodriguez of New York City Department of Homeless Services, Executive Director Rudy S. Giuliani of New York City Housing Recovery Operations, and Senior Advisor Emily Ashton with New York City Emergency In the interest of time, I would like to Management. summarize my submitted testimony and move quickly to answering your questions. New York City is proudly a city of immigrants. Since April 2022, we have seen an unprecedented influx of over 223,000 new arrivals and we've had to use every tool in our toolbox to meet this moment. We've focused on helping each

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION person who comes through our system to achieve their goal and take their next steps for their lives in the United States. This includes exiting shelter which has been bolstered by intensive case management paired with shelter time limits. We are focused on the barriers to exit, including language access, legal assistance, housing, access to work and childcare. I'm also proud of the partnerships with the state and other local municipalizes to help identify resettlement programs around the state as well as around the country. I would also like to take a moment to share information regarding two operational changes we'll be making in the coming months that we announced yesterday. We heard from this body, advocates, and the people in our care about problems missing important mail due to movements throughout the system. We'll be opening a central mail room to serve all of our non-DHS clients. We will work with you to help spread the word to clients to ensure they pick up their mail. Additionally, in the event families with children enrolled in kindergarten through sixth grade request a second 60-day notice, they can stay in the same shelter they were previously assigned to if they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

still need more time in the system, making it easier for those children to continue attending their same schools and saving the City hundreds of thousands spent on busing those students to those schools. With that, we're happy to take any questions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Good morning. We've been joined by Council Member

Krishnan. He was a little late, but it's okay. It's like the principal. The principal's here guys. Be on time. So, good morning and thank you for being here. Sorry I have a little scratchy voice, so I'm chewing on something. But can you-- I guess my first question is, you know, regarding the 30-day limit.

If you could tell us how many 30-day time limit notices has DHS issued in 2024 as compared to-- because I know that-- so we're working with two different systems. What does that look like on the HERRC end and what does that look like on the DHS side?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes, if you give me one second I can get the exact data.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Absolutely.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: DHS has not started giving 60-day notices in their system at this time.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 41

Give me one minute to get the data. If we can come

back to that while I try to find the data.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you-- maybe DHS can respond to this. Is there a pause on the 30-day limit at DHS, or is this supposed-- are you planning to implement it, at which point?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Chair. Good morning. So, currently, we're working with our advocates, Legal Aid, on how we are planning to implement the 60-day notices for our families with children. At present time, we have not started.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so it may not be for some time.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: We are currently working with Administration and do not have a definitive date as of yet.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And then I got the data. So their-- DHS is still doing 30-day notices. So I want to make that clear. That has not paused. So, in FY 2024, 15,750 30-day notices were issued at non-DHS sites, and 6,015 30-day notices have been

issued at non-DHS sites in FY 2025. And in DHS,

1,513 30-day notices were issued at DHS sites in FY

2024, and 1,056 30-day notices have been issued at

DHS sites in FY 2025.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright, so that's the 30-day at DHS?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, what hasn't been implemented is the-- it's the families.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes.

this is just singles, gotcha [sic]. Everyone's been clarified, okay. The Administration noted that clients that receive the 30- and 60-day notices would receive enhanced case work to prepare for possible departure from the city's care. Can your run through the services provided? I think as you heard in the testimony, there was some discrepancy between sites. so, some folks were only hearing from the case worker prior-- 15 days prior to the expiration of their time at that specific site, whereas some others felt like maybe they had a little bit more interaction regarding other things like, you know, workforce development and things, you know, similar to that.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 43

But that was kind of what— the sense that I got from that panel. Could you explain a little bit about the process?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: yeah. I'm going to turn it over to Doctor Ted Long, but one thing I just want to say is that we've worked really hard over the last year to make our case management process better and to hear from folks and really try to enhance the services we're giving, including more legal connections, including more workforce connection.

But let me pass it over to Doctor Long.

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, I appreciate the question, and I also just want to say with the speakers that came ahead of us here, I really appreciate hearing their points of view as well. I think it's really important as talk about case work, and I put my primary care hat on here as I think about how we engage people and how we listen to people. To just zoom out for a second, and going beyond the numbers. We had individuals sitting in front of us a few minutes ago. I'm the primary care doctor for new arrivals, for asylum-seekers, and I run sites for our new arrivals, our humanitarian centers for new arrivals as well. I spend a lot of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION time with them, and I really want to make the point that case work starts with hearing everybody's individual story. And to use your words, Deputy Speaker, people have not only had a harrowing journey here, but the way that we start to talk to people, I think it's important to remember people have been through literal hell to get here to New York City. I've heard stories of family members that have seen their partners next to them shot in the head and killed on the way here. I've heard from a mother that really stuck with me that would move heaven and earth, because she had undergone female genital mutilation, to protect the small daughter she was carrying with her when she came to the New York City arrival center which has been named our Little Ellis Island by our new arrivals. That's where case management starts, and that's what we've done since we started -- since we opened the arrival center. start by asking what are some of your initial goals. Do you need immediate legal help, for example? And you've heard that reflected through the panel as We've made some changes immediately. Now, we offer to help you submit your work authorization form the arrival center within 24 hours of when you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION We weren't doing that on day one of the crisis, but we're doing it now, because we've heard that's what people need and that's what people-we're meeting people where they are. The way case management's really evolved is we've heard these stories. We've seen what people's needs are, and I think that it was laid out very nicely earlier. thing you took away is everybody wants to work. So that's been a big focus of our case management efforts. I'm proud to say that one of the numbers that shows I think we're doing a good job now is that more than 70 percent of all eligible adults in our system have either applied for work authorization with our help or actually have work authorization and are as the second speaker was saying, going through things like OSHA training that our case managers are connecting them to. So what our case manages are doing day-to-day is one, seeing what legal help you need and plugging you into exactly the right place based on where you are in your legal journey if you Two, talking to you about housing. And I have a picture on my phone from-- sent to me a couple days ago now, from a family that we were able to work with them to find housing. They resettled. It's a family

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 46 of four that sent a picture to our team with them with-- I think it was a thumbs up outside of their new home, their first home in the United States of America. That's them starting to achieve the We help them to do that. And then American dream. other things case management can include, for example -- it was mentioned earlier -- the stress that people are experiencing, especially after they've made the harrowing journey, again, your words. So we have behavioral health that we combine with case management. We also make referrals to New York City Health + Hospitals. We provide the bulk of outpatient behavioral health and inpatient behavioral health for New York City. So we have-- we create pathways for people based on what their individual needs are. We have people to have different trainings, learning to speak English, things like the totality of everything we do which again is really driven not by what we thought people needed, but hearing from people what they need, and hearing form everybody in this room. I especially want to thank-- I know we're joined by Coalition for Homeless, Legal Aid Society. We formed a Community Advisory Board. We meet every other week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

listen. At this point, I would really consider our success a community-driven effort, and the result of that is that today through all the case management that we're doing, each week now 42 percent more families with children are taking the next step forward and leaving our shelter system than a year ago.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Just to clarify,

Doctor Long, do we know how many of the 70 percent of

folks that have applied for workforce authorization,

do you know how many of them have received that

authorization?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We don't get that feedback back from the federal government. So, everything that we have is self-reported, and so people have to affirmatively tell us in that case. We don't necessarily have that information.

DOCTOR LONG: It's a good question actually just to further make the point that we wanted to-- we are working with the information we have, and we're doing the best job we can listening. So we actually ask in our-- every other week-- that was another good true statement what was made-- where you are again in your work authorization journey, if

you've received work authorization, if you have the paperwork, because then our case manager won't talk to you about applying for work authorization, but once you have it that's where we talk about the OSHA trainings that were mentioned, learn English as a second language, trying to find new jobs which we've been helping people to do, or even doing trainings with other community-based organizations for things like learning to work in kitchens, things like that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Now, going back to the original question, because I think my-- I don't think that I heard an actual response to that, and I get it, like we're trying to do the best that we can, but you know, part of me listening and also part of being part of the impacted community, oftentimes I realize that there's a disconnect in the way that, you know, we in government perceive things of going and the way that the actual people that are receiving the services perceive that those services are actually going, and often times the truth lies somewhere in the middle. And so, I'm concerned about how you all are able to evaluate the efficacy of the case management that is being provided from site to site, acknowledging that there are many sites, right?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 49

So it's difficult to be in all places at all times.

But how do we, you know, ensure that, you know, in

the maybe five or 10 that are not functioning the way

that you're intending them to function, who's gauging

that? Who's evaluating that, and who's taking

corrective action?

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, I can start that, because we think a lot about that. And I think one of the things that we've done is we've sought to find the best case management organizations out there. So, if you rewind, you know, a year ago now, we had our existing vendors that were providing other services that began to provide case management. Today at all of my sites, except for one of the sites that's transitioning, we have Cherokee Nation providing case management. They're at 38 sites overall. They led the resettlement effort for the country for the Afghani people, 85,000 Afghani people about five years ago, and I remember one of their tribe elders that pulled me aside as we were beginning to work with them and making plans with them and said nobody cares resettlement more than the Cherokee people. And what we've done is we've taken, for example, them as an organization and we've taken

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 50 away the other case managers that were providing services on site and put them in place instead, because we believe that they are passionate, want to do the best job, and that's where our Community Advisory Board, for example, informs the efforts that they do day to day. But to agree with you, I'm happy to say there are 38 sites today, but that also means that they were not at 38 sites at all times. we've moved as fast as we can to get them into our sites. The good news is that we've overall completed 700,000 case management meetings. We're starting to see things like, you know, the majority of people either having applied for work authorization or received it, but it is true that, you know, throughout this crisis now response we've been trying our best to bring the right people to do the case management work online. For example, I have the number of languages that they speak, things like that, but that wasn't all true on day one. So we're trying hard--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] How do you make sure they're doing a good job? I mean, listen, I have—— I have wonderful staff. I love my staff. They do case management. I did case

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 51
management. It's not easy, but I find that
sometimes, you know, that staff that I love is more
knowledgeable in some things than they are in others,
and you know and so it is my responsibility to
then, you know, figure out where those, you know,
those soft spots are, and how do I offer the support
that they need, right, to advance in their knowledge
so that they're better able to help the community.
And so, I do that weekly, right? I'm like, alright,
can you give me a couple of examples of, you know,
clients that are coming into the office, people that
are looking for help, what type of help, you know,
and often times I find, well, somebody came for this
and I wasn't sure. And I'm like, okay well, this is
where, you know, you would get that information. But
it also gives me a sense of who knows what and who
doesn't and how efficient our office is, you know,
able to operate. Who's doing that on your end?
DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, so I think when
you're eval sorry, I didn't
CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yeah.
DOCTOR LONG: I'll be more concrete with
the data.

25 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

downstream outcomes --

DOCTOR LONG: When you're evaluating 2 3 something, one of the things you first look at are 4 process measures. So, processes like did they actually meet with people. Today, 99 percent of the 5 people in our non-DHS system have had at least one 6 7 meeting with their case manager. And again, that's 8 been an improvement that we've looked at over time. Then you look at outcomes. So, if a goal for people, as the Speaker said earlier, are to work, well, are 10 11 they applying for work authorization? Are they 12 getting it? So we're measuring that. Are they getting trainings that they would want? So we're 13 14 looking at that. And then I think before you get

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yeah.

poctor Long: like are people actually getting the apartment that they-- you know, we received the photo of, because that's ultimately the goal is to help everybody achieve the American dream. I think it's listening to people, too, and that's why we have our Community Advisory Board that has 20, 30 community-based organizations on it. We meet every other week. They're the ones that have ears to the ground to hear everything from all the people at our

sites and they give us all that feedback, but not in a way that's, you know, critical or anything. I would say it's more of a, you know, we're on the same team. Let's put the facts on the table about what people are experiencing and their perception of the services that we're offering. Let's fix them together. then ultimately, I'm not going to say we're there yet, but I think, you know, as we see— as we get more pictures of people in front of their new home, or as we look at the increase in the percentage of people, families with kids in particular, that are able to celebrate their birthday in their home as opposed to our shelter system. That's a really important part of success.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Absolutely, absolutely. Yes?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Doctor Long, thank you so much. You have seen much of the trauma that people are coming with on a daily basis, and I do believe you spent an enormous amount of time with people. Do you think eviction after 30 days or eviction after 60 days is a sound policy, a trauma-informed policy, and the right thing the City should have employed?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 54

2.2

2.3

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, thank you for asking.

To just acknowledge the first thing that you said, I

mean I-- we really have spent a lot of time with

people, and we-- by hearing them I have all these

stories in my head of the-- that I've been told or

that-- or of those that my team has spoken with. So,

people have experienced intense trauma to get here,

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Doctor Long, I'm sorry, I'm just going to interrupt you really quickly.

DOCTOR LONG: Okay.

and trauma is not just medical.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: As a Doctor, having seen the trauma, do you think evicting someone from a shelter after 60 days is an appropriate trauma-informed policy?

DOCTOR LONG: Well, I'll tell you what I do think. I do think it's important, and again this is not just my perception as a doctor, but what I've been told by people that I've cared for is that people need help right away. So, there is an issue of timing. You heard this from some of the panelists, too. Everybody wants to work, and people want to work as soon as they can. So, I do think

it's important from a timing perspective that we offer help to people ideally as soon as they arrive, and that's why we started to submit applications for people from the arrival center. Molly has been the closest to--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Yeah, there's no disagreement that the system that has been set up certainly to receive people and respond immediately to their arrival is an important one. I think what you're not answering, and I understand why you're not answering it is that eviction after 60 days is not a trauma-informed policy. Fundamentally, it's not, and I think we just need to agree to the reality of that and acknowledge that the system is—we are trying to set up a system, but it's important for human dignity to acknowledge that this policy does not meet a trauma-informed policy, though we are trying our best. So, I think I'll leave it there, and I'll pass it back to—

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Council Member.

25 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes.

on it.

1

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, okay, I got 3 that. So, I won't even ask if you're still planning 4 to expand because I'm assuming the answer is yes until determined otherwise. If you don't have a--5 actually, let me just move on. How are you 6 7 evaluating the efficacy of asylum-seeker shelter time 8 limits? Like, how-- what's been the benefit of that? I mean, I agree with my colleague. I-- you know, I-and I get it. It's not-- it's a very difficult 10 11 position for everyone involved. We have the highest number of individuals that are unhoused in New York 12 13 City. Add to that, you know, as many migrant families that unfortunately have, you know, exhausted 14 15 sometimes every other possibility and end up in 16 shelter. That, you know-- it concerns me that we 17 have a policy that, you know, would impose further 18 It's-- you know, I think you have to kind of-- you have to experience homelessness to 19 20 understand the level of fear and just not belonging 21 anywhere, right? Not having that level of stability, 2.2 and when you're alone at least, you know, you're 2.3 alone, but when you have children that you're responsible for, I mean, these are things that keep 24 25 you up at night. So, I'm not going to debate whether or not the policy is a good one or not. I believe it sucks. I think our colleagues all concur. I think many of you would feel the same way, but I understand that these are, you know, things that the Administration has felt would help expedite and encourage folks to start looking elsewhere. But that— but how do you determine the efficacy of this policy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Thank you for the question. I want to just first zoom in on the numbers a little bit. I think you said a lot of this, but I just want to put those-- so, we'd almost 224,000 asylum-seekers since this response started. We currently have about 57,400 asylum-seekers in our shelter system. Before we had these policies in place-- and I really want to talk about these policies paired with case management because they are-- you can't have one without the other. announced them both at the same time. We rolled them out both at the same time. They're paired, because we really wanted to make sure that we were helping people through this process and that we were giving them support as they went through this. But before this policy was enacted, our numbers every week just

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 59 kept going up and up and up, and that was difficult from a space perspective. We couldn't open sites fast enough to deal with the more sometimes 4,000 people that came a week. We couldn't get staffing. We couldn't get nonprofits. We couldn't even get the big conglomerates to give us staff to be able to open sites fast enough. And so this really was intended to make sure we support people in their most vulnerable time when they first come with us, give them the support through case management, and really protect our shelter system which has again grown more than three times in the last two years. When we talk about the efficacy of these programs, we really think about how many people have applied for work, how many people are in our shelter system at any one point, how many people have been connected to resettlement programs, how many people have been connected to training. It's sort of all in the same, you know--Doctor Ted Long really talked about eh different types of outcomes for looking at both process and then eventually these times of down-stream outcomes, and so that's what we're really looking at. And I think, you know, when we were at the peak of this response, we had 69,000 people every day-- every--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 60 69,000 asylum-seekers or migrants that we were sheltering at any one point. We now have 57,400 which has allowed us to do things like close Randall's. you know, Randall's is such a image of this response, and to be able to close it and to be able to really think about giving temporary shelter in smaller sites that are more throughout the City is a really big outcome for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: yeah, but one of the unintended consequences of the policy, however, was that we started to see more and more unhoused individuals out on the street, erecting tents, sleeping in our public playgrounds, our public parks, and faith-based, you know, organizations. So, are-how do you, you know, -- how can we feel like this policy has been as successful as we intended it to be? I say we, though the Administration -- when we have, you know, x number of people which I'm not sure if-- that's actually one of my questions regarding the homeless outreach unit, if they're keeping tabs on how many individuals would fall into that category, because this is kind of like a grey area, right? Because they don't fall within the HERRC. they're-- they're technically outside, then they're

not part of the HERRC system anymore. Then it would become a street homeless issue which then falls under the purview of DHS. So are we keeping tabs on that, and are you guys cross-referencing so that you're-you have a better idea of how efficient this policy is, if in fact it is, you know, leading to folks now sleeping in public spaces?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Can I-- just I'll hand it over to Iris, but just one thing I want to make sure is known is, you know, 70 percent of the people who have come through our system have left.

Before we had this policy there was still a percentage of people that were leaving on their own, and families with children when they come back and ask for another 60-day extension, they get it. and so, you know, I'll turn it over to Iris to talk about the unsheltered homelessness, but I just kind of want to make those points a--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] No, listen, I get it. I get it. And I, again, I've always— you know, I've— I try to be very fair, and I look at both sides of the issue, because I don't even want to know what it's like to be on that side of the table, and I know that it's been grueling for

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION many of you who I know and have had the pleasure of working with. So, you know, this is not a-- you know, on my part it would never be like a character assassination, but the truth is we're working with facts, is that one of the unintended consequences of the policy is that, you know, folks-- many of them singles, single adults ended up, you know, erecting tents on Randall's. Just, you know, for months I've had a group of at least 10-12 men sleeping at Thomas Jefferson Park. It wasn't until last Friday that I stopped seeing them, and all of last week the -- every time that I would drive by on my way downtown, you know, there were police officers talking to them, and I'm assuming-- I'm hoping they were encouraging them to go into a shelter, because it was cold. One day it was raining, I reported that they were because I was hoping that the street outreach unit would go there, and I also want to just, you know, say that I do understand that, you know, many of them didn't really understand what the policy was, that they could go back into the intake center and be reprocessed, but there was, in fact, an overflow, right? And so because they fell out of the HERRC system and now ended up in DHS, I just wonder what

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the number is, if it's as significant as, you know, we may assume that it is. If it isn't and if in fact you guys are kind of touching base so that you're saying okay, look, we were able to get 10,000 -- you know, 5,000 people to leave, you know, the shelter last week, but 1,000 of them ended up on our streets. How do we fix that, right? Like, and I'm just stating numbers here. I'm just making numbers up. But how-- what does that look like?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: So, our street outreach, you're very familiar, they do go out through the City and do outreach and we do work with the communities when they tell us there's a group of folks. One of the things that the team has been doing is -- and we have not encountered a high volume of single adults in the street that are coming new arrivals in the City. I do want to put it out there. And we have not encountered luckily any families with children. As Molly has said, every family with children needs a second placement is granted a second placement or third, so forth. For those we are working closely and we do refer them to the reticketing center where they get processed, and my colleague here, Emily, could get you numbers if

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 64 that's what's needed. But in terms of the street outreach that DHS has, when we go out we have not encountered a significant increase of new arrivals in the City out in the street.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Any calls regarding possible sightings? Like, I mean, I know at Randall's we had, you know, over 100 folks that were living outside of the HERRC in tents.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: I could get back to you in terms of the calls.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That should fall under the category of street homelessness, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: We'll definitely have to get back to you and let you know where we've been receiving calls and what areas we've been canvasing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright. I mean, I don't know how significant it is or not, but I know that it is happening, and so that— you know, we need to address— if it's a matter of people not knowing what their rights are, right? Are we putting up a bill of rights at these sites? Are the case managers communicating efficiently with folks and letting them know that there is a re-ticketing process and if they

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 65
 1
     don't have anywhere else to go, that they are welcome
 2
 3
     to go in and reapply? If folks are, you know,
 4
     sleeping in tents because they feel like, you know,
 5
     they'd rather be in a community to speak the same
     language, then that's something that we should be
 6
     keeping tabs on. Okay, I'll move on. For clients
 7
     who receive the 30-day shelter notices and requested
 8
     an extension, how many extensions did DHS issue?
10
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: So, when
11
     we discharge our clients we refer them to the re-
12
     ticketing center, and our city agency colleague here
     from NYCEM has those numbers if--
13
14
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] So, when
15
     they come to you -- when they come to DHS, they go
16
     through -- ideally through PATH or through 30--
17
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ:
18
     [interposing] Singles?
19
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: or through Bellevue?
20
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: Our
21
     singles?
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, for DHS.
2.3
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: So, when
     they go-- when they're with us, they're referred
24
     through us--
25
```

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 66

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Through 3 the re-ticketing site?

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: There's two ways. They come through the re-ticketing center or they come through the arrival center.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Oh, okay, alright. I wasn't-- I wasn't sure. I was just--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ:

[interposing] that's the process.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so there is—so when they get to the re-ticketing center, they're not necessarily—if they came out of a DHS shelter, and went to the re-ticketing, are you sending them back to a DHS site, or are you sending them to a HERRC?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: That's a really good point. We actually—at the re-ticketing center, we do not make a differentiation of where they are coming from. So we will place them in the most appropriate setting to—that they need, and so if they came from DHS, they might be placed into a HERRC site, and if they come from a HERRC, they might be placed into a DHS site. It depends on the daily availability of cots.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 67
 1
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. So, it's
 2
 3
     solely based on the availability of beds.
 4
                SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Correct.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. That's
     important. Same question for you, Molly.
 6
 7
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I mean, the-- Emily
 8
     answered the question. I think we make
     determinations based on if someone has a reasonable
     accommodation that was granted. If the-- where the
10
11
     availability of cot is.
12
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, the question is
13
    how many 30-day time limit notices were issued in
14
     fiscal year 24?
15
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, that I think I--
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] And how
16
17
    many so far in 25?
18
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: In 25 there as 6,015
19
     30-day notices issued at non-DHS sites in FY 2025.
20
     There was 15,750 30-day notices issued at non-DHS
     sites in FY 2024. There was 1,513 30-day notices
21
     issued at DHS sites in FY 2024, and there was 1,056
2.2
2.3
     30-day notices issued at DHS sites in FY 2025.
24
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Okay.
```

seems like it's significantly lower at the DHS end

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 68

than it I on the HERRC end? Is that because you have

more singles than--

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] Yes, so the majority of the single beds at this time are in the non-DHS system. The DHS system has the majority of the families with children, which is still the majority of the people in our care.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, and I'll just—
I have two questions and then I'm going to pass it
over, because I know we don't have a lot of time with
you guys today. How many 60-day time limit notices
were issued at HERRCs in 2024?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, there was 2,000
- there was 944 60-day notices issued to young

adults. So, 18 to 22-year-olds at non-DHS sites in

FY 2025, 2,348 60-day notices issued to young adults

at non-DHS sites in FY 2024. There was 6,295 60-day

notices issued to family with children households at

non-DHS sites in FY 2025, and 17,117 60-dqay notices

issued to family with children households at non-DHS

sites in FY 2024.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And I know that this is where the social services kind of get funky, because 18 to 22, right, they're legally adults, but

believe me, I have a few and they're nowhere near

adulting. And so is there any relationship between

the HERRCs and maybe DYCD, ACS, all of the other

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 69

youth programs so that we're ensuring that those that are not as mature or need, you know, guidance and

7 further assistance are receiving that?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Absolutely. It is something we are hyper-focused on. We've spent a lot of item with DOE to make sure that kids that are eligible, the 18 to 20-year-olds that are eligible can get schooling that way and we've helped people apply. We've been working with DYCD on their programming as well. It's something that we're critically focused on is this population.

DOCTOR LONG: May I add just one quick comment there?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

DOCTOR LONG: I think it is an important point. The-- so for our 18 to 22-year-olds in particular, this is an issue that was, again, flagged by our community partners as something important and something we could potentially develop an individualized strategy around. So, one of the things that we've done in lock-step and you know,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 70 with our community partners is taking one of our sites and taking a couple of the floors at that site, and dedicated to just people 18 to 22. I think we may actually have a couple people 18 to 24 now. anyways, and what we do there is we've created individualized programming that's a program we developed with DYCD with our community partners and with-- working with the people that we're caring for. That includes things like having DOE on site, things like that, but the -- we're continuing to build up that program now. But I think the most important point I want to take away, make there though is that is that this is an idea that was brought to us by our community partners, and their input has been critical and crucial in the difference we've made in people's lives.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Will you be able to send us the numbers of the extensions and stuff back so that we have it on record? Thank you. You're the best, but you have to say yes on the record, sorry.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{DOCTOR}}$  LONG: Whatever the question is, yes on the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 71 1 2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And finally, 3 regarding the client-- so for clients that receive a 4 60-day shelter notices and requested an extension, do we know how many extensions were issued for residents in HERRCs in fiscal year 25 and how many so far in--6 7 in 24 and how many so far in 24-- yes. DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, specifically for 8 9 the 30-day notices? CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Well, I guess this is 10 11 also a difference, right between how many were requested and how many were actually approved? Are 12 13 they automatically approved? 14 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, for 60-day 15 notices, they are automatically approved. 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. 17 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, for families with children. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. 20 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Has that always been 21 the case? DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes, for 60-day 22 23 notices for families with children, yes.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 1 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Because we've heard 2 3 that not to be the case. We've heard people getting 4 rejected? DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I-- if there's--5 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] We're 6 7 going to need to follow up. 8 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: If there's a 9 specific question, yeah. Families with children, it's always been that you go back to the arrival 10 11 center and you get a new placement. There's never 12 been a change in that policy. 13 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do we know how many 14 extensions were requested? 15 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah. W ell, the--16 for families with children, that was the numbers I read a little bit earlier. So, there was in FY 2024, 17 18 there was 17,117 60-day notices issued to families 19 with children. Actually, I'm sorry. No, I don't 20 know how many people were extended past that first 21 one. We'd have to get back to you, because that's 2.2 just how many notices were issued.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Alright, yeah,

I would appreciate that. Alright, thank you. I'm

going to pass it over to my colleague.

2.3

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, for the record, 2 3 we're going to be looking at the number of both 30-4 and 60-day notices, yes, where there was requests for extension and then how many of those extensions were 5 approved or denied. And we'd like to recognize John 6 7 Bohn High School. Thank you for joining us. 8 you so much for being here. So, in terms of -- I'm sorry if I missed this earlier, but I just wanted to make sure to understand this properly. So, why does 10 11 the 60-day notice change announced yesterday only apply to families with school-aged children? 12

population that most can request and get busing for the most part, and so that really does help with the busing issue. Everybody can receive a metro card, and families can say no to busing, but that's the population that really is focused on busing.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, for seven-- for those families with children in grades seven to 12, they're just exempt from--

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] From this policy--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] the policy.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 74 1 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: announcement, I will 2 3 say that it is always our intention to keep people in the borough of their kid's school, and so we'll 4 continue trying to do that work, but if we have-when we have to prioritize, we prioritize K through 6 six. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And so does this 8 9 change apply to families with children in the LIFE program, Head Start, Pre-k, 3K, Promise NYC? 10 11 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: It does not. the ones that have kids that are in K through six. 12 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And why weren't 13 these programs included? 14 15 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We took a look at a 16 lot of different factors, but this was the change 17 that we decided to make. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are there costs 18 19 involved? Can you tell us some of the factors that 20 were part of that decision-making process? DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I'd have to defer to 21 2.2 OMB on specific cost numbers, but--2.3 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Well, I don't' know if it was one of the factors. I just kind 24

of threw it out there.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 75

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: It was one of the factors, but again, I'd have to defer to OMB on any cost.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you have any other factors that you were considering when you exempted these families?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Again, a big part of this was busing, and currently the amount of inflow into the City is a little bit lower, as everybody knows, and so we have a lot— a bigger percentage of people in care who are longer stayers or people we consider— starting to consider as longer stayers, so we wanted to take some of the attention away from shelter movement and a little bit more on what things we can do to reinvest money into helping people with better, longer stayers.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: and does this change only apply to non-DHS sites?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Correct, because DHS has not rolled out their 60-day policy yet.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. In terms of-let's see. I think-- I'll ask a few questions and
then I'll turn it over to my colleagues who I know
are eager to ask questions as well. How much-- okay,

I think I'll jump into costs very quickly. So how much savings has DHS generated from issuing 30-day time limit notices to asylum-seekers, single adults and adult families in shelter?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: I currently do not have that number. We'll probably have to get back to you.

and if you would get back to us around how much does the City expect to save from issuing 60-day time limits in DHS shelters as well, and when we might expect to see that and where, where'd we like to--well, where we can expect to see those savings. New York City's Independent Budget Office estimated negative consequences of this policy, including economic impact of missed work authorizations, healthcare impacts, street homelessness, busing costs, all of which we heard in the pre-panel, actually each and every one of those. Has the City factored in these costs, both in the short and long term when looking at this policy?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: As I mentioned when I started, I mean, none of these policies were done easily. These were done to really make sure that we

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 77 were protecting both our shelter system and people at their most vulnerable time. We made a change yesterday as we announced to really help with some of those busing costs, and we continue -- and we also made a change around mail to make sure that was continuing to do improvements in mail so people don't lose mail. One of the key things that we changed earlier this year as well was making sure that each shelter held on to any important federal mail. at that point, anyone who had gone to a different shelter, could have gone back to their shelter to pick up that mail, and so we continue to make these changes as we hear-- as we get input from community members, as we get input from the people in our care, and as we continue to be in different phases of this response. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, you mentioned busing a number of times. What is the cost savings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

busing a number of times. What is the cost savings that you're calculated in making this policy change?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, it's thousands of dollars. I don't have the exact figure, but we can get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: It's a little disappointing you don't have those numbers. It

sounds like a very big reason behind the policy shift. In terms of— in terms of the mail, let's jump to that really quickly. How has— I mean, this has been an ongoing issue. I feel like we've been talking about this for a year, and so I'm glad to maybe finally see some movement, but very kind of lacking on details. How has the City, DHS, H+H resolve some of these delays with access to mail for households who have previously resided at DHS sanctuary sites and HERRCs who have been transferred and/or exiting sites?

2.2

2.3

numerous policy changes over the past year to really focus on mail. As I mentioned, one of the big ones was when we said all sites had to indefinitely hold important mail so that anybody who left a site could come back and pick it up. We've done a pilot now calling back people where we've had mail for a long period of time. So, we're calling certain people. you know, even, I think nine months ago I went to Randall's and specifically spent some time at the mail room and seeing— and then I went last month, and seeing the changes in how they're prioritizing mail and how they have dedicated staff focused on it

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 79 is big changes on all of our sites. Every time we've heard, you know, there's been issues we've heard where a security guard wouldn't let a guest who was longer in the site come in. we fixed those issues as we've heard them. And this is sort of a culmination of that, which is now we are going to be launching in the next couple of weeks a centralized mail room where all mail will go. So, I think we've tried a numerous -- numerous amounts of improvements to make it easier for guests to get their mail, and we continue to see the success of that, even just with what Doctor Ted said where 70 percent of eligible guests have gotten their work author-- have applied or gotten their work authorizations, which many times is six months after they've applied for asylum. they've already gotten whatever paperwork they need to be able to then apply for their EADs. So I think this is -- we're starting to see some of the fruits of all of this work.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: and how does staff know what mail is important or not? How are they making that distinction?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: so, we've done a lot of trainings on this, and that was also part of our

improvements, is showing people what kind of mail to look out for. So, we've also included things like Medicaid cards, and important mail isn't just federal paperwork. It's all—it's driver's licenses, it's Medicaid cards. We've done—we have pamphlets to show staff. We've done trainings. We've really, again, tried to focus on letting shelter staff know.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Is staff disposing of any mail at all?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Not at this time. I think when we have-- one of the things is when we have the centralized mail room, we will be having a--we will be having a retention policy.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Well, it is a federal crime to get rid of mail.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, so we're-it's with our lawyers as well. Obviously, we're in
constant-- we have very good lawyers that we are in
constant communication with.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Very concerned.

What times will people be able to-- walk us through how you envision this centralized system actually operating.

2.2

2.3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: so, it'll be place 2 3 where everyone can go to pick up their mail. So, you know, we have lots of different things we're working 4 through on the policy end in terms of staffing. So, when we have exact hours we'll let you know. 6 7 it'll be a place where people can come pick up their mail and leave, and we'll be able to have the 8 functionality to call people who have left their mail for a long time. 10

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And will people be required to show identification to get their mail?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Will the center be signing for mail?

 $\label{eq:definition} \mbox{DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I have to get back to} \\ \mbox{you on signing for mail.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Will the City be helping folks get access to the mail, like transportation to a one centralized location if folks are coming from the Bronx or Queens?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, that's still under discussion, but when we have that we will let you know.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: will there-- will

the center-- I'm just going to offer these questions

and obviously you're thinking about it operationally.

We're very keen on understanding how this is going to

work. Will the mail center have a grasp on the

number of folks who can-- will they be using this

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes.

center as their actual mailing address?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Will there be a cap if that is in fact the case on how many people can use this one center as the address? Okay. And how many people is the Administration preparing to serve at this center?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We have to get back to you on those numbers. I'll get back to you on those numbers.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And has the City coordinated with the state regarding this mail center?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We've had conversations, yes.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, and in terms of-- will this-- will the staff at the mail center be trained in data privacy laws of the City?

2.2

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Absolutely, as all of our staff are, and I will also say that we've had a centralized mail room for a certain subset of our shelters to date. NYCEM ran a centralized mail room for specifically the folks in their care. So, this is not something that we're-- not have done before, and so we're going to continue being able to do that just at a bigger scale.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I mean, we still get text messages and emails of people trying to chase their mail. So can you walk me through— well, actually, I'm conflating two questions. Just I'm making a statement. There's still a lot of problems with the mail. It's very well documented. It comes up on a daily basis. Can you talk to me about the training that you have engaged your staff across all systems on data privacy?

my office is the holder of the data system, and so we have— our general counsel is obviously very focused on data privacy and ensuring that whatever we give to anybody we have appropriate protections on. We also have a data privacy officer in my office to make sure that whatever we're giving. And then given what's

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 84 going on, we've been doing a lot of scenario planning around data privacy and what kinds of protections we have and need, as we continue.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how are you training? How many staff do you need to train on these issues?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, our staff is-we only have 28, but we have very few people who have access to the data system, and we keep that very tight, and that's intentional, and it's only people who truly understand the data privacy posture of the city and the data privacy specific to immigration data which obviously is a higher level of concern. There's-- we're-- obviously, we have training for contractors and shelter staff on both sanctuary city laws and data protection, and we're very conscientious about what kind of data people have access to and there's lots of protections on -- if you are shelter staff, you only get to see x amount of-x part of the data system versus others. So that's something that we take really intensely when we think about it, but happy to -- if any of my colleagues have anything particular to their shelter system.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I'd love to

3

4

they're training, how they're training and what their

training looks like. 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hear from DHS and the other agencies around how-- who DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ:

terms of data, as Molly says, most of the data is managed by the City in terms of our regular posture [sic] and data. We do have also data privacy staff and our IT and legal constantly in communication. Privacy is very important for our clients as a whole, not only for new arrivals. And we work very closely with the Administration to ensure that privacy is given to our clients not only for data, but also in terms of their status and immigration as a whole.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: so, how often are you training staff around the importance of data privacy?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: would have to get back--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] And what the current laws look like.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: There is often training as a system, as particularly for us as city employees in terms of cyber and IT and data

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 86 sharing and confidentiality and privacy. So that's always ongoing for us as an agency.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Go ahead. Would you like to respond to the question?

Mentioned, NYCEM trains not only NYCEM staff but also our vendors and contractors, and they've all signed confidentiality agreements. We do on an annual basis require refresher trainings, and update those in conjunction with counsel and our data privacy officer on a regular basis. But again, the systems that we use for this purpose are owned by OASO, and therefore, you know, we all follow a uniform system.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, are security contracts that are held to provide security services in the facilities, are those staff trained in privacy?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, I think it's part of their training.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Part of the reason why I ask to be honest and pointed is that what we have heard quite a lot is people being threatened that if they put forward grievances in facilities or are not happy, that staff are threatening them and

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 87 their status. And so I would really like to understand what the training is, and also separately and a part, what is the process to receive grievances of those sorts?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, if you're hearing anything from clients in care, please share specifics of that so we can actually look into it, because those are obviously very, very concerning. We, you know, have protections in place, but honestly, what you're hearing from the ground would be very concerning to us, so we want to make sure that we can look into it on a site-by-site basis.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Is there a place where folks in facility would know where to put a grievance? Are there notices, you know, posted in accessible languages?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I think on the HERRC side, you can—there's an email address that people can use. You can also go to shelter staff. I defer to DHS on their process for grievances, but that's the process.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: The answer's yes. At all our DHS shelters we have process where clients can put in grievances. Also,

they could call 311 and those grievances get routed to our ombudsman unit that we have an agency, and then it's routed out to the program staff that oversees the particular shelter and then we work with responding and following up and investigating each case.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And I guess, Doctor Long, it looks like you want to respond. But could you just for the record for those who are listening and would like to understand what that process is, if they don't know what that email is, where can folks offer grievances about what they're experiencing in facility?

DOCTOR LONG: so, we instruct and offer to our guests that they can share grievances with our staff members that are on-site. we have both behavioral health staff members if that's who you feel more comfortable talking to or administrative staff members, and if that's not happening or people don't feel comfortable hearing specifics, be very helpful and we'd very much welcome that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Where could-- where could a person, if they do not feel comfortable with

2.2

2.3

committee on General Welfare With committee on immigration 89 addressing staff on site, where could a person do that in an anonymous and safe way?

2.2

2.3

DOCTOR LONG: So, if they don't feel comfortable talking to our administrative staff, they can talk to our behavioral health staff that they may have more of a relationship with. They can also—like we said, we set up different ways, like email addresses or— I'll have to double—check, but I believe 311 would get back to us as well. We can confirm that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, please get back to us on where the emails are, because it is very often that folks don't feel comfortable talking to anyone on site with fear of retribution whether it's founded or not. It's a, you know, kind of natural human concern. But for the record, we would like to have some clear process protocols for folks that we can get out there.

DOCTOR LONG: I was just going to add, and I'll have to confirm, but I'm almost positive that DOI has a posting at every site as well with contact information, because they flag for us if they inspect and it's missing. So, I can confirm, but I'm almost positive that that's another avenue for folks.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 90

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. And have you all received complaints through those portals, and what's kind of the scale and scope of that?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we don't talk about individual cases, but we have received--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 100 percent [sic].

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We've received things from DOI. We've received things from our general email inbox, and we-- again, we take things very seriously, and we look into all concerns when they're brought to us.

poctor Long: On potentially-- changing gears a little bit, but on the-- in the same vein as offering feedback like grievances. For food in particular, we know that a lot of people have had feedback for us. so what we've done at my site is we set up QR codes that we put on the wall and are clearly labeled in different languages, that you can take your phone of which most people have-- Wi-Fi enabled at all of our sites-- and click the QR code to offer specific feedback on the food. And so we've removed certain meals and added more that people are voting on.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 91 1 2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Council 3 Member Brewer? 4 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Just on an overall comment. So if you have -- what'd you say, 5 57,000 something like that in care now? How many 6 jobs are going begging in the City of New York or 7 even the state of New York? Do you have any sense of 8 that? DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: How many jobs are--10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] 12 Yeah. 13 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I mean, we're working with DOL to refer people to jobs. We don't 14 15 necessarily know if they take the jobs. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I'm making 17 a point. People are moving to Minnesota, Texas--18 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] Yeah. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: etcetera, you 20 know what. So, I want to keep them here. There's a 21 lot of talent. So, I guess I'm sort of like saying 2.2 how are we doing that? It is not the philosophy 2.3 necessarily that all New Yorkers want to do that, but I certainly do. I know you do. So, that could be a 24

different way of looking. Get them out, but get them

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 92
 1
     to New York State. I don't even want to get them
 2
     out. I want to keep them in New York City.
 3
                                                  I don't
 4
    hear you saying that. So, I just -- I know that may
     not be your philosophy, but it's mine, and I just
 5
     think we should think that way a little bit
 6
 7
     differently.
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Well, and I think
 8
 9
    that we've been doing that in pockets, and we have
     like a real relationship with Department of Labor.
10
11
     So they've been doing some of the screenings as well
12
     to connect people to the industries upstate where
13
    people are hiring. I think a big impediment to all of
     this is housing, always in every community.
14
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
                                         Minnesota they're
16
     giving them houses.
17
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I know. Well, and
18
     that's the thing, we see a lot of people going to
19
    Minnesota, to Texas because there is cheaper housing
20
     or there is actually housing being given by big
21
     corporations. So we've been trying to find those
2.2
     jewels in the rough.
2.3
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
                                         Okay.
```

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Who would help us

24

25

with that?

mean, with all due respect, you're-- I know how much work you're doing, but it should be larger staying.

We are trying to find homes for people in the State of New York. That's not your-- that's not big, bold letters. I'm just saying. Okay. I would like to hear that more. Now, schools, I'm totally focused on the schools. For school year 23 and 24 and 24/25 of the students in HERRCs with children of the families who were forced to leave their shelter placement after 60 days, what percentage of students and how many were placed in the same borough? Do you have those numbers?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I don't have the same borough numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: What do you have?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I have the-- of the- there was 7,600 students staying in a HERRC shelter
from July 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2024 who would have been impacted by
the 60-day notices.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And this is, you know, public data. Of the 7,600 students, 41.9

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 94 1 percent were still enrolled in the same school and 2 3 housing location. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. 5 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: 31 percent were enrolled in the same school and moved housing 6 7 location, and 14.8 percent were discharged from New York City Public Schools. Seven percent had moved 8 their shelter location and transferred schools, and 4.5 percent had transferred schools, but not shelter. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Like, 42 percent would be the still in the same school, that would be 12 13 your best number, right, 41 percent? 14 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Not necessarily. I 15 would be a mix of 41 percent and 31 percent. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right, that--17 okay, and the same -- you said the same school 18 district. What was the 31 percent? 19 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Was enrolled in the 20 same school and moved housing location. So, they could have moved --21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] 2.3 Okay. DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: to a shelter down 24

25

the block.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. The issue 3 is-- if, I assume, because you have fewer numbers, 4 you're going to be closing some H+H shelters. I 5 don't know, but I assume. So my question would be, can you keep those students in the same school? 6 7 Nothing matters more than to be in the same school, 8 nothing. And I really would like to see the Head Start and earlier kids also kept in the same school. Then we have 50-- you know, we have all these 10 11 families staying in the state of New York because 12 they'll be integrated into our great school system. 13 We have to be thinking that way. I don't want them to go to Minnesota and Texas. I want them to stay here, 14 15 because you have-- you put money into them. In many 16 different ways we have, and I think that they will be great New Yorkers. So, but if you keep moving them 17 18 around to the schools and the shelters, I don't think 19 that's going to help. We don't have that many people 20 left, really. It's not a huge number. So the 21 question is, can we elim -- are you thinking about 2.2 eliminating this 30/60-day and keeping them in the 2.3 state of New York. How is that thinking possible given what you're dealing with? Is it possible to 24 25 think that way?

2.3

make changes, as this response has required us to.

Just yesterday, we announced a shift in our 60-day noticing policy. So we continue to make changes and we'll continue to announce them as they happen. It is also our intention to help people integrate either in New York City, New York state or anywhere that they think is the best place for them and their family.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Well, they're going to go wherever they can get a job and a house. So if they can get up state or the City of New York, I mean-- we just have to focus more. In my-- I know you're focusing, but upstate you could focus more.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And one thing I just want to say is that we've been working a lot with the state on the migrant relocation assistance program where people are getting housing upstate. I think we've had more than 500 households move into housing upstate.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Probably get more Minnesota. I'm just saying. Okay. Now, absenteeism is the other big issue, that one of the papers the other day had the huge absenteeism in

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 97 shelters. Obviously, including, I assume DHS, H+H, everybody else, big number. So, but if you have to go with your parents to all these different meetings, then you're going to be absent. Somebody earlier testified—what is the story with taking your children every which way when you are moving? Can we eliminate that? Because you're going to be absent.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I mean, I think that—we're not requiring people to bring their kids

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But they're bringing them. They just— they testified, and I hear that also. They just testified to that effect. They said they needed to bring them.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I understand, but we're saying that kids don't have to attend. So we're happy to continue making that message known.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Why do you think it's not getting across?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I think people-COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
Because the panel earlier didn't get across.

at this time.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I understand and I
think that we'll just continue to make that message
known.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Do you keep track of the absenteeism of the young people in H+H or any other shelter system who are migrants?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I would have to defer to DOE, but they do have students in temporary housing, officers, that do help.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know what they-

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I understand what they got. What they got and what is happening are two different things.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Fair enough.

other thing is, we're one city, one administration.

These kids are going—some are going to leave,
right? Devastating the schools. It is devastating
the schools. We had unbelievably great families
coming from the migrant community, populating where
people had left during COVID. So are you—did you—
we're one administration. Are we thinking about what

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 99 we can do to keep those kids? Maybe it's getting rid of the shelter 60-day because then they'll stay in that school.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Well, I'll-
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] We

got to think about the Department of Education, too,

not just your silo.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: No, and I appreciate We work really closely with the Department of Education to make sure that there's not really intense unintended consequences. I will say that, you know, at this point, the numbers I read before, 72 percent were still in their same school. So, they might have moved their shelter for a variety of reasons. Some of it has to do with the 60-day notices. Some people moved out completely from the shelter system, came back, and then were put in whatever shelter we had available at the time, and still their kids stayed in the same school. and also the other point that I would make clear here is that as 70 percent of people have moved on from our shelter system, and you know, there's lots and lots of people who move on from the traditional shelter-this has been traditionally been an issue with the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 100

Department of Education and we are hyper-focused on making sure we coordinate and that we make it as easy as possible for kids.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I mean, just as we speak, parents, parent coordinators, schools are all panicked, just to be honest with you, about the fewer number of students in their schools. It's bad for the American children who develop friends. It's bad for the migrants. It's bad for our City. It's just bad all around. We should be stopping any un-enrollment by these migrant families. It's just everything we can do. With all due respect, maybe it's not your job, but it is this Administration's job to do that. We're not doing it, because they're leaving. They just take off one day. We need-- because they're not feeling that there's enough support for them here. It's not just case work. It's a-- we are going to find other ways to keep you here. There has to-- it's a big administration. I'm just saying, with all due respect, it's not that kind of arc in my opinion. Finally, we've got holidays coming up. How do we-- we had them before, but how do we deal with families during the holidays and no school?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 101 1 2 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: SO, I mean, one 3 thing that we do is we often have a network of 4 nonprofits that come in. I know that's not your question, but I'm just--5 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] No, 6 7 no, it's fine. I want to know what--8 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] 9 there's-- for thanksgiving--COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I'm 10 11 trying to keep these families here. 12 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: No, I know. So we 13 try to have nonprofits that come in. we'll do 14 thanksgiving meals. They'll do gift giveaways. 15 We're working with New York City Service to make sure that we're getting gifts to all the kids to really 16 17 make them feel welcome in this country, and so that's 18 something we're really focused on. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I'm going 20 to end, because I know time is up. But I'm just saying I want to hear from this administration. 21 want to keep these families here. We don't want it 2.2 2.3 to go to Texas and Minnesota. We have jobs here. [inaudible] we take over, ain't nobody going to be 24

working in the City of New York. So I want to hear

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 102 1 that more, and I want to hear that the schools-- they 2 3 don't talk up either. Everybody's scared to talk up. 4 They don't talk up either about the need to have these families stay here. Those schools deserve 5 unbelievable credit. You deserve some. They deserve 6 Thank you very much. more. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Council 8 9 Member. Council Member Joseph? COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: 10 Thank you. The 11 questioning will be along the same lines. Earlier, 12 when a parent testified, when you move a child and 13 their child has bus service, it takes about two weeks for that child to receive -- and this is regular. 14 15 just had a hearing on it. How long does it take when 16 you move a child for them to get bus service to their 17 new school or to their new-- to travel from their new location? 18 19 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I have to defer 20 to the Office of Pupil Transportation on that. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: SO, you don't-you guys don't work in collaboration with them? 2.2 2.3 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We work--COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: [interposing] You 24

move them, but yet, you don't talk to OPT?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 103

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we talk every day to the Department of Education, and we are working through all those issues. But if you're asking about the specific timing, I have to defer to the Office of Pupil Transportation.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How many students stayed in the same borough when they were moved?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I don't have that data with me today. We're happy to follow up with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Chair? Thank you. So, that means that none of-- so you did not come with those answers as you were coming here today?

## DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So--

know these questions would be asked, because all of this is tied up. It's not in a vacuum or in a silo. This is all connected, shelter, children, schools, attendance, and as you can see, and I'm sure you heard yesterday—you saw the report that came out, 146,000 of our students living in temporary housing, and the outcomes for them are not that great. So that's why we want to make sure they have what they

need. So, all of these things are tied up. So when you come before us, I expect those answers when I ask those questions. Doctor Long, I know you're always eager to answer. Tell me. Talk to me. Talk to me about New York City kids in your care and what are we doing when we move them around the city? And this Council has been asking for us to keep them in place, right? GO ahead.

2.2

2.3

DOCTOR LONG: The first thing you could see me really wanting to say is I agree with everything you just said. I would say as a primary care doctor that the most important thing we're doing for the mental health is school, not me.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: That's right.

DOCTOR LONG: And so just a couple of things. At our humanitarian centers we have DOE onsite at all of my sites.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I know, I visited.

DOCTOR LONG: But that's been really important, though, because that's how if a family does move onto the site, we try to enroll them in school, as you know, within 24 hours. And if we don't hear from them, if their child is not enrolled,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 105 we knock on their door literally with DOE to make sure that we're moving as fast as we can. Another just data point, and this isn't exactly what you were saying, but it's-- it's the way I operationally think about it, is last week-- or the week of the  $5^{th}$ . Last Yes. No, two weeks ago. This is how we looked at it. So if all the families with kids that came back to the arrival center, my site, that had one child in grades K to six, we placed 90 percent of them in the same borough. Eight percent on top of that we had a discussion with them, and they selected to-- and we documented their reason for selection-to go to a different borough, and a common reason for that is as you might guess if one of the parents got a job in a different borough, that might be a reason for them to want to have them -- their kids continue school where they're working. So, 90 percent plus eight percent. We've been trying to get those numbers as close to 100 percent each week as we can. That's not -- certainly not always been the case. COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So, what's the

DOCTOR LONG: that's from last week.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

percentage now?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 106

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: that's from last 3 week, okay.

DOCTOR LONG: Or the week-- I'm sorry, 4 the week of the fifth. I'm under oath. Which is 5 apparently two weeks ago.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So what's the difference, and what's the attendance like? You do knock on doors. Yes, we appreciate that. But how many of our students are really getting out the door, they're not missing school? Because the absenteeism rate if you look at it for overall New York City, it's very high, and that include our New York City students who are living in temporary housing.

DOCTOR LONG: So, I would have to defer to DOE about their approach to absenteeism. What I will say is that my site leads, site administers all know who the DOE representatives, you saw yourself, are, and we work together, and they're the ones that identify students that are having absenteeism issues. We'll work together to see what's going on and how we can help.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And all of your sites have shelter-based coordinators?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 107

2.2

2.3

DOCTOR LONG: I believe so, but let me double-check to make sure that I'm not-- there might be one that doesn't, but not as far I know, but I'll get back to you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And your older students that come in your care, what services and support do you provide for them for your high schoolers, your students who should be somehow in transfer school? Are you referring them to D79 schools? Talk me through the process, please.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, at this point if we have— the 18 to 22-year—olds, we talked about it a little bit, but we have a connection with DOE to make sure that the ones that are going to DYCD and the ones that are in our care, we're connecting to schools if there's eligible placements, and we've been working on that. We're also— and that's been a big part of just the process as people come back to our care. We've been trying to do those referrals. I think education of all kinds is top priority, so I think that that's been really good connection that we've made.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Education and immigration. And as you're moving folks along, how

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 108 are they getting their mail? Someone said they missed a fingerprint appointment— fingerprinting appointment because their mail was sent to them delayed. As we delayed, those folks get put on lists to be removed.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, and I think what we-- I talked about a little bit is we've done a-- we've done a lot of improvements in mail over the last year. We've really focused on it. so, one of the big changes that we made a couple of months ago is that we made all sites take-- keep important mail indefinitely so that as things come, if people leave the shelter, they can come back and request that mail. We've also done a lot of work with case managers to make sure that they're helping with any mail issues. We've also made sure that all of the sites are focusing on mail and know what type of mail to look out for. And then most recently, yesterday, we announced that in a couple of weeks we're going to be doing a centralized mail room for non-DHS mail. So all of this has been a work in progress, but we continue to--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: [interposing]
Well, as they move around are you forwarding mail

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 109 since you already know in the system as to where they're being placed? Are you forwarding the mail to their next address or no?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: No, because 70 percent of the system has already left. So, as people move on, we are just keeping their important mail in the place that they put as their address so that it's easier for them to get to.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And do they get phone calls to know that they-- how do you notify them that the mail is there?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we started a pilot on that, and we're making tech changes to make that easier, because part of the issue was a tech issue, but at this point we'll be able to do that through our centralized mail system.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you. I want to go back to childcare issues, and in particular, obviously, they-- folks who are utilizing programs like Promise NYC vouchers are exempt from this policy. Can you walk us through the impacts of exempting those families that are attempting to use

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 110 vouchers for childcare who are getting bounced around the City and the system.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we really do try to keep people as close as possible to their current shelter when they reapply, and we're going to continue doing that regardless of this policy shift, and we continue to make changes to our policies.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are you keeping track of those families that you're noticing to evict from facility who are using Promise NYC vouchers for childcare?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We've been working with Promise NYC to get more people connected to the services, and case managers obviously are working each family that we have, but not on a central level.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, but there is—hi—but there is awareness—perfect timing. Babies are amazing. There is awareness that it suffers—folks will suffer the same challenges, right, of like stabilization and some kind of care, just a different age group and moving around a city. There's still that same problem. Are we just— I just want to be sure that you are keeping track and we are helping to facilitate people to use these services and then

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 111 evicting them somewhere far. Like, it undermines everything we're doing. So I just want to understand, how are you making sure that you are not undermining your own work?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: No, and I appreciate the question. As I mentioned, it's still our intention to try to get everyone as close as possible to their original shelter, but I think the bigger point here is that we're trying to help everyone move on from temporary shelter. No one wants to raise their kid in a temporary shelter, and so it is the work case management to get people as many resources as possible so that they can move on, and part of that is our legal services. Part of that is connecting people to childcare, and part of that is making sure that they're— that people are saving money and people are kind of making a plan for them and their families to move on.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, I guess in terms of the case management which we've talked a lot about, the-- you mentioned saving money. Is that part of case management? Are they financial-- can you tell me, like, what actually case management looks like? Because I will say, we hear the

administration say intensive case management all the time, and there was an example in the pre-panel of someone whose case management actually resulted in work. So, not all bad. Nevertheless, we do hear a lot of stories that that intensive case management is an exit interview. That's not intensive case management. That's pushing people-- like, you remind you go to go in two weeks. What is your plan? So, walk us through what this intensive case management is supposed to be.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I mean, I'll

turn it over to Doctor Ted in a second, but I think

the overall the importance and the point of the case

management in our system is to help every single

person make a plan for what are they going to do in

the next 30 and 60 days that's going to help them

resettle out of shelter. So that means how are they

going to get farther along in their work permit— in

their legal process? How can we get them

appointments to do that? How can we make sure that

they're looking at ways to get work? Most people

are working, but how are we helping to facilitate

that. If we have referrals to OSHA trainings, if we

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 113 have referrals in ESOL classes, how are we connecting people to that?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, are you keeping any particular data on -- I know Doctor Long, you mentioned 700,000 case management hours. I don't know what that means, but like, are you-- because the quantity is less important than the quality, right? If we have an immigration system that takes four years to actually get through step one, I don't care that we did 70,000 applications, if we only had one person that actually can get through that system. So, really what I'm hoping to understand is how is the quality and real outcomes that we are pursuing actually reflected in the context of a city where we haven't been able to get folks to move on from our shelter system generally. We have the highest number of children, which Council Member mentioned, which has been persistent for the past nine years. guess, if I could just understand more-quantitatively, not quantitatively, qualitatively-what that truly does look like that would be helpful.

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, happy to. And I known Council Member Joseph just stepped away, but I-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 114 1 - my excellent team has given me precise answers to 2 3 her questions. Maybe I'll just put it on the record. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. 4 DOCTOR LONG: Yes, to coordinators at all 5 of our sites from DOE. And we also get emails from 6 7 attendance teachers daily so that we can stay coordinated closely with our DOE colleagues that are 8 on-site at my sites. So, going to case management--CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Can I 10 11 pause you for a quick second? 12 DOCTOR LONG: Of course. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Is that the case for 13 14 DHS sites? Are there coordinators at every site? 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: Yes. WE 16 work closely with DOE and they are, and our 17 percentage for last month was 82.6 where we make sure 18 our families are placed and in the child-- the 19 youngest child in the borough of where they go to 20 school. That's always been a policy for us. So, we 21 just continued. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Back to 2.2 23 you. DOCTOR LONG: thank you. So, you-- if I 24

may, just to make sure I'm being precise.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION Qualitatively more about what case management is and then what do we have in our data, what have we learned through these meetings as also a way to inform qualitatively how it works. Sound good? Yeah. So, I would say we take the same initial question that I ask all of my patients that I've ever seen in my Bronx primary care practice for the last seven years. I start with, "How can I help you today." So, whatever people's individual barriers are-- and I'll give several examples here-- the case manager's goal is to identify what those barriers are and figure out what help you need. You know, the number one thing that people want which is something we've talked a lot about during this hearing is to get a job. Everybody wants to get a job as a way to have them and their families be able to pursue the American dream, but everybody needs something different to get that job that they want. So, if-sticking on legal services. If you tell us that you need work authorization, but you applied for asylum, you don't know how the process works, we can educate you and make the right referrals. If you're eligible for applying for work authorization, we can make you an appointment at the AHHC, and again, now we're

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION actually even helping you to apply for work authorization from the arrival center the minute you arrive with our case management team. So our case management team does a lot of different things. you've applied for work authorization and you have it, and we have, again, data on that because we ask for updates related to that, then we look at what kind of job you might want to have and what your experience has been. So, I could tell you for example, sort of interesting, how many former doctors we have in our asylum-seeking system and how many we've ever had enter into our city asylum-seeker response. I can tell you how many nurses, how many pharmacists, how many lawyers. That's important, though, because those are the types of jobs people would want to continue to pursue. So another example is we had an event where we helped people at one of our sites to apply to work with a community-based organization that I mentioned earlier that teaches how to work in a kitchen, cooking skills, things like So, we reached out to people based on their prior experience, based on their work authorization, and then they dressed up for the interviews, came in. it was a really nice day of people applying for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION their-- really, applying for their first job in the We actually got to see it because we did onsite. So, case management lets us sort of have that individualized approach. Legal services is one important thing. The last thing I'll say about the legal side of things is with our Community Advisory Board which has been, again, instrumental in terms of how to get case management right, we have a specific work group on legal services. So how we're asking the questions, the types of things we're covering, the types of services we're offering to people. It's not only informed by the experience we have on the ground working with people, but it's informed by our community leaders that also have their ear to the ground, and to your earlier point, maybe hearing something slightly different than we hear. want to hear from every source and we want to be able to collaboratively come up with solutions together. Jobs is another thing. Housing is another thing. You mentioned saving money. That's actually something that's come up that we're now helping people with. Was that something on day one that we knew we needed to help people with? No, but it's something we've heard from people especially our guests, for example,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 118 upstate that are looking for apartments. They may have been working for a while in the communities that they're in. they're tell-- a lot of them are telling us their barrier to being able to get that apartment they want and to be able to exit the system, is they want-- they need to know how they can save money in the U.S. to be able to afford that apartment. work with them on how that works, and we make a plan with them. other types of things that case management can cover is we can help you with how to navigate getting the apartment, both either in New York City, in New York State or in other places. we do have connections to jobs and to housing resources in other places, too, and we really base that -- we base it on the job. so, if somebody experience working in a job in a certain sector -- as Council Member Brewer said, we'd love to keep you in New York City, but if you'd prefer to go to a different place based on the job that's available, we can educate you about that. We can make a plan with So it's really all about you and whatever your barriers are. Our job is to move heaven and earth to get you where you want to go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 119

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, are you keeping track of like outcomes around that, how many people have actually gotten a job or how many people have actually gotten permanent housing, how many people—you know, all those dimensions.

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah. So, one way to look at the dimension related specifically to jobs again is we do know if you've not applied for work authorization, you are eligible and have or have not applied or we ask you which is again what you tell us, if you've received approval for work authorization. We then work with you to get a job, and we document in the records that we have, you know, this is your prior job experience, here's the types of things we talk to you about. Maybe if you were a cook, we're going to put you in that program I mentioned, or have you apply to that program I mentioned. Maybe if you're a nurse, we can arrange-which we're working on now-- how to go through the appropriate process to become a nurse here in the U.S. or if you do something else in the medical field. We desperately need more clinicians and people in the medical field to care for New Yorkers, and frankly, everywhere across the U.S. So, we're

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 120 1 trying to, again, meet people where they are based on 2 3 their former experience which we note in the records 4 we have. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, are you keeping 6 any data--7 DOCTOR LONG: [interposing] Yes. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: on the outcomes? 8 9 DOCTOR LONG: So, if the--CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Can 10 11 you report to the Council what some of these outcomes are, because it's still very descriptive, and I know 12 13 lacking in outcome data? 14 DOCTOR LONG: Yes, I think it would be 15 great if we could circle back with the exact data 16 that we have, and if we don't have the data that you 17 think would be important for us to collect, we're 18 totally open to add any question onto the surveys we 19 use for people every other week. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 20 Thank you. terms of extenuating circumstance, what training is 21 2.2 staff being given around extenuating circumstances 2.3 review?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: That's a really

excellent question. Extenuating circumstances was the

24

result policy set by the City as part of the terms of the Callahan stipulation, and therefore, the policy itself and the questions that are asked when we interview guests around the extenuating circumstances are very proscribed. So, we have a slide deck and we sit down and we train staff to go through the very—the questions. They also go through the questions using our system host [sic] and they are programmed into that along with the script and the interpreter sitting with the quest.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: But in our noticing, we start the process of letting people know what the process is to get another extenuating circumstance. So case managers are aware of the process, and any questions that come up, they know how to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How much of-- I mean, I think where we all find enormous challenges is in the level of discretion and interpretation, right, around guidance. Are you finding staff needing substantial guidance on how they're making determinations?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: No, the staff who do the interviews shadow existing staff. We've now been doing this for a number of months. We have

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 122 1 2 grown some in-house expertise around this, and they 3 are supervised by a group of staff. So the people 4 making the ultimate decision are not the people doing the actual interview, and therefore, there is an 5 added layer of review on-site before a decision is 6 7 rendered. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And is there a 8 9 vulnerability to appeal--10 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: [interposing] Yes. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: this decision? Can 11 12 you walk us through what that is? SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: 13 There are three 14 ways to appeal. There's an email address that is

ways to appeal. There's an email address that is both on the determination letter at the end of an extenuating circumstance review. Also, advocates and others can contact us on behalf of clients, which they do frequently. Also, clients may individually speak to staff on-site to express concern and to have the determination explained to them.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What was the second-- the second option?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Advocates.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Advocates.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 123

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Can contact us directly on behalf of their client.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And are you keeping any data around appeals, how many appeals are coming from advocates, how many are coming from clients?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Yes, we have that data. I can follow up.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What the results are?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can you report around what the status of appeals are and what are provided and denied?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: We can provide that information to you. I don't have it with me, but what I can tell you is that in terms of formal appeals, as outlined in the stipulation, I do not believe we have received any. Most of them have been inquiries about status that we have answered with information and updates, and all guests are encouraged to consider if— with additional documentation. One thing that happens, is the guest may affirm that they have taken steps towards independence without any documentation to indicate

that is the case. And so often, guest will come back to the site and have a second easy interview with that documentation. They are informed they can do that at the site.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And in terms of—
are those— are those documents provided in preferred
language?

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: The documents that the guest provide to us can be provided in multiple languages, and the documents that we provide to the guest which I assume you are asking--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Yes.

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: they are provided in the guest's preferred language. They are also interpreted for them on-site by an in-person interpreter in their preferred language.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, every document, or is that upon request? Because we know there's-in some instances literacy issues.

SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: So, again, at the extenuating circumstance interview, we provide a determination letter, and that determination letter is read to the guest in their preferred language by an interpreter.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 125 1 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. 2 3 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: As well as being 4 provided in writing. 5 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Could you share a list of all the languages of which the 6 7 shelter limit notices are being published? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: 8 The 9 notices that are given out to the families and individuals while they're in shelter is what you're 10 11 asking? 12 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes. 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RODRIGUEZ: I think 14 we have -- I don't know if you have all the languages, 15 but--16 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] No, I 17 have the languages folks speak, but it's all of the 18 languages that people have asked. I don't have the 19 exact list with me. Give me a minute and I'll get 20 it. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm sorry, Molly, 21 2.2 could you say that one more time? 2.3 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes. So I don't have the exact list of languages right here with me, but I 24

can follow up with it.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 126 1 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You'll provide it to 2 3 Council. 4 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, that's pretty-5 - we have that. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can the admin supply 6 7 the Council with a slide deck that is being offered 8 to staff around the extenuating circumstances that you referenced? DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: the training 10 material? 11 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Correct. 12 13 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: The training materials [inaudible] yes [inaudible]. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. The 16 Coalition has informed us that no one responds to the email unless an advocate sends an email, and I assume 17 18 it's the email address on the extenuating 19 circumstance notice. Who's manning the email address on those notices? 20 21 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: so, it's New York City Emergency Management and our staff from the re-2.2 2.3 ticketing center, and I respectfully would disagree with that-- with that statement and would ask that if 24

the Coalition has concerns about our lack of response

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 127 1 to please let us know indications and evidence of 2 3 that. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are you keeping data 4 5 on your responses? SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: We are. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And can you provide to the Council what that looks like, how--8 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: [interposing] I don't have it with me--10 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: the frequency of 11 12 response in cases? SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: Sure. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. And in terms 15 of-- are people given reasons for their denials if they are denied extenuating circumstance? 16 17 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: There is a determination letter that is given to the guests that 18 19 outlines the decision that was made. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And what are some of 20 21 the decisions or decision trends that you see, or maybe some of the most common around denials? 2.2 2.3 SENIOR ADVISOR ASHTON: The request for an extension of stay in shelter is tied to steps 24

taken by the applicant towards independence from the

shelter system. None of our goals is— and I don't think it's the goal of our guests either, to remain in shelter indefinitely. So, one of the things we are evaluating are steps the individual has taken toward independence. So, for example, applying for an IDNYC, enrolling in English classes, looking for a job, enrolling in a course like the Workforce Development course, the person who testified earlier mentioned. Those are all steps towards independence that are granted consideration in an extenuating circumstance evaluation.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: and so how is that—how is that assessed or is there like a point system with those steps? How is that assessed on what's more valuable than others?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, so each of the-- you know, we've spent a lot of time litigating this with Legal Aid and with advocates, so we've gotten approval on this process. The point of this is an individual determination of someone's steps taken to independence, and we continue running that process as such.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm sorry. So, individual determination-- I don't know what to make

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 129 1 of that. Does that mean it's up to the individuals 2 3 who is reviewing--4 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] there's a rubric. 5 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: that person? 6 7 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes, that's exactly right, and that was a key part of the settlement and 8 a key part of our negotiations with Legal Aid. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, if I'm having a 10 really grumpy day and I want to not be the most 11 generous interpreter of people's steps, I could deny 12 them and that would be fine--13 14 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: [interposing] No, so-15 16 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: based on a rubric. 17 So, I guess what maybe I'm not understanding is -- it sounds like there's a lot of discretion on 18 19 interpretation on whether ESL versus being able to 20 access an IDNYC. 21 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we monitor both, but the point of this is to have an individualized 2.2 2.3 review of each individual that comes in front of us, but we do that in as fair of way as we possibly can 24

with staff which gets down to training, which gets

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 130 1 down to talking through what kinds of things would 2 3 showcase that somebody did something, and ensures 4 that they have brought proof to showcase that. So it is not about the grump interpreter, but-- or sorry, 5 the grumpy case reviewer, but it really is about the 6 7 individual in front of them and what they're saying. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, there's 100 8 9 percent each case is very unique, but I think absent a clerk rubric, a standard, you can have an infinite 10 11 number of interpretations around what is more 12 valuable than the next, and that feels utterly 13 problematic. 14 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: so, we have a 15 standard and a rubric which we have negotiated 16 heavily with Legal Aid, and so that's what I'm going 17 to keep saying here, that we--18 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Yeah. 19 Okay. 20 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: that we have a 21 process. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 2.2 Okay. 2.3 DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: It is also really focused on the individualized review of the case in 24

front of us.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 131
 1
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, thank you for
 2
 3
     that.
 4
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And I have the list
 5
     of languages that we have --
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Okay.
 6
 7
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We have these
    notices: Arabic, Bangla, Chinese traditional, Chinese
 8
 9
     simplified, Dari, English, French, Fula, Fulani,
     Pular, Georgian, Haitian-Creole, Korean, Moninca
10
11
     [sic], Polish, Portuguese, Russian, Spanish,
     Turkish, Ukrainian, Urdu, Uzbek, and Wolof.
12
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. We're coming
13
     up on time, but I just realized, in terms of
14
15
    healthcare, does the City make any exceptions to the
16
     30/60 limit for individuals that have upcoming
17
     medical procedures?
18
                DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, that is part of
19
     the process of requesting an extenuating
     circumstance. We also have a reasonable
20
     accommodation process where if somebody has some
21
2.2
     reason where they would need to stay in place, they
2.3
    can come and request that.
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how long does
24
```

25

that take to determine?

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 132

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: It depends based on if they-- if somebody brings proof and you review each case individually.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how many of these have you-- do you keep track of how many have been requested of reasonable accommodations?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: so we'd have to get back to you on that point. I don't have the exact reasonable accommodation numbers in front of me.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are there any medical procedures that wouldn't be included in exemptions or accommodations?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, it's a case by case determination based on the totality of circumstances.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And I guess this is another—a lot of gray areas, and I appreciate the complexity of it. But is that just dependent on the person who's reviewing it, whether they think this is an important procedure or not?

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: There's lots of lawyers involved. There's medical clinicians involved. There's a process to get a reasonable accommodation reviewed, and so there is layers to it,

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 133 but it does take into account the totality of circumstances. One person's disease may not be the same as another person's disease and how it effects them from moving place to place. I don't know if Doctor Ted--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DOCTOR LONG: Yeah, I wanted to-- I can add a couple of notes about the reasonable accommodation process from the point of view of Health + Hospitals humanitarian center sites, and then if Emily wants to add anything from the point of view of the re-ticketing center, that would be great. I first just wanted to say -- I know this has nothing to do with your question, but healthcare is a human right regardless of who is president, and we will always in New York City see everybody that comes to us for help. We've had a lot of asylum-seekers come to us for help over the last two years. We make them our primary care patients at Health + Hospitals. proud to see many of them in my personal clinic in the Bronx every Friday. Reasonable accommodation, to your question, is we have a clinician that's a part of Health + Hospitals review every reasonable accommodation request that's requested of -- requested by anybody staying at any of our sites. I can tell

you, for example-- I have the data here-- 88 percent have been approved. Some are still waiting on paperwork from the medical team taking care of the person, but we have-- our Health + Hospitals team is the one that's reviewing the request and making sure that everybody's appropriately cared for.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And do you-- Doctor Long, do you have a sense of how long an approval process takes?

documentation turnaround review we commit to and deliver on less than one week, and during that time somebody stays on-site. So if you, for example Chair, request reasonable accommodation and let's say two days before your notice might be up, we will have you stay on site while your case is being reviewed. Then when the outcome of the review is complete, we'll come and talk to you about the outcome. Most of the time we do get back to people much quicker than that, but that's the standard we set for ourselves.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And I'm sorry, but I have to leave. It is one o'clock.

UNIDENTIFIED: We had a hard stop, sorry.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 135

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Duly noted. We were informed of your hard stop. So, don't leave without me saying thank you for being here, for responding to the questions. There are a slew of questions we are expecting follow-ups. So, we have a diligent list of things that we are anticipating some questions for. So, thank you for your testimony.

DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright, we will now be opening the hearing for public testimony. I once again remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant of Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 136 Implementation of the 30- and 60-day rules. You do not need to share personal information such as where you're staying if you do not wish to. If you have a written statement or additional written testimony that you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You are also-- you may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted. I'd also like to announce that we simultaneous interpretation available to the public to listen to today's hearing in the following languages: Arabic, Spanish, French, Wolof, Fulani, and Pular. I will now call on the first panel. Christine Quinn, Claire Salant, Jacob Berman, and Senator Gounardes. Alright, good afternoon. We'll hear first from Christine Quinn and the Senator and then we will transition over to IBO. My apologies. You may begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHRISTINE QUINN: Thank you. My name's

Christine Quinn. I'm President and CEO of WIN, Women

in Need. We are the largest provider of shelter to

homeless families with children and the largest

provider of supportive housing to homeless families

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION with children. We through the course of the influx of migrants to New York City we have housed over 1,200 individuals. We presently house them throughout our network of 15 tier two shelters, and we run one hotel in the Bronx that's 35 units, and that is all-- excuse me, 55 units. That is all migrant family at the hotel in the Bronx. submitted my written testimony, but I just kind of want to instead of reading it, to respond to some of what the Administration said in their testimony. One, it is not trauma-informed to move people every 60 days. Now, the Administration, I think, would like people to think the 60-day rule is gone. It's not. People are going to go through one eviction after they've already had to go through a grueling intake They're just not going to have to do it a process. second time, which is a good step, but is not repealing it. Two, the City didn't seem to have a ton of answers, and even seemed to indicate that they didn't have the data. There is a tremendous amount of information accessible. In fact, one of our staff people went on to look for someone he knew who's a migrant, and all he knew was his name and he was able to find him. So, the city has access. In fact, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 138 worry that the city has too much information, and that the overlap with groups that have federal contracts like the Red Cross-- nothing against Red Cross, but they have a federal contract. There's all this info. Is that going to mean the info's going to go to the feds? What we should be doing -- the city should be doing is making the info available to something like the City Council who would hold it in confidence and then working with advocates and elected officials to put up quard rails around the data so it can't be accessed by the federal government. We have had about 34 families been given a 60-day notice. Now, you can often beat those back if somebody has working papers or if they have a mental health diagnosis, but you have to have real case managers who can do that kind of work. But even if the 60-day rule doesn't get implemented, the days those families exist with it hanging over their head is more trauma. These are individuals, many whom have come from, you know, war-torn places, places where basically the society is being run by gangs. So this idea of government evicting them hanging over their head is even more traumatic than it would be for someone who has lived in New York for a very long

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 139 time, and we need to understand that that is just harassment, nothing else, in the hopes that people will leave the shelter system and go God knows where. Now I want to raise another thing that is going on. So there's the 30-day rule for singles, 60-day for families. There's also a procedure the City has, which they should have, administrative transfers. So, let's say when a family where there are two adults and there's a domestic violence incident, we might have to administratively transfer the domestic violence survivor because the perpetrator knows where they live. We need that, right? Or some thing-- or a child gets sick and specialist is uptown Manhattan, but the family is in Queens. We might move them so they can be near their child in the hospital. know, that's -- we need that provision. But right now, they're using that provision to move migrant families. You get 24 to 48 hours to get your stuff together if you're being administratively challenged, as opposed to the longer time of the 60-day rule, and I'm to saying do the 60-day rule instead of administrative transfers, I'm saying stop abusing the administrative transfers as a loophole and a back door to moving migrants around and out of shelter.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 140 So that's it. I'm happy to answer any questions on that or on the testimony. Oh, let me say one other thing. This isn't going to save any money, right? I mean, and whether it is, we're never going to know, but the truth is if they were working to move migrants out of shelter into permanent housing by extending vouchers to the undocumented, not just the migrants, but any undocumented, they would be saving money. Because the amount of money you pay, \$72 for a voucher, is significantly less than what you pay for when you're housing someone in a tier two shelter or in a commercial hotel. If the primary impetus here is saving money, I wish it was morality, but if it's saving money, that's the way to do it. We did a study with the Immigration Coalition and showed that you could save \$3 billion by moving forward with vouchers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I love it when you're here, because you-- you bring a lot of information. But I think one of the things that we heard was the-- not pausing, but the fact that the new policy that was announced in September has not yet been implemented. Has the Administration given

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 141 any indication on when they'd plan to do that so that at least there's heads up?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Not yet. Okay.

CHRISTINE QUINN: Not yet.

2.2

2.3

Alright. Have you had any difficulty with the application for reasonable accommodations or folks that are requesting and extension?

CHRISTINE QUINN: I mean, the entire paperwork process for all unhoused folks, but specifically for migrants is laborious, and you know, if I had to go through that process right now, somebody's who's housed and has a filing cabinet in their house, I couldn't do it, right? It's so laborious. So, we've had lots of clients who've had those kinds of problems, but they have case workers who work with them and staff who would work with them to help them overcome those problems, but that is a persistent issue.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: As a provider, are you required to hire a specific type of case manager to assist?

CHRISTINE QUINN: Yeah, we-- we negotiate for each shelter with the city about how many case managers, how many housing specialists, how many

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 142 this, how many that, a goal of how many should be bilingual or more than, so yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do they stipulate how often those case managers should be meeting with families?

CHRISTINE QUINN: Well, they don't-- they don't stipulate that, but they-- we set a goal for how many housing placements we get, right? So that's what the goal is that we get-- the main goal we get judged on, and depending on what your situation is depends on how much case management. It's minimally, you know, once a week, but sometimes it's more than that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I'm trying-CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] And there
is-- there are rules around things like newborn
children. So we do unit visits every other week,
unless there's a newborn, we do it every week.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I'm just trying to determine why there's such a huge discrepancy between sites in terms of the level of case management or case assistance that folks are receiving. it seems like in some-- you know, in some cases people are receiving, you know, fairly adequate

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 143
 1
     amount of face to face time, and in some place-- in
 2
 3
     some instances it's only, you know, every 15 days,
 4
     right -- 15 days prior to the expiration of their time
 5
     at that specific site.
                CHRISTINE QUINN: I mean, it's off--
 6
 7
     well, there's two things. One, it's often a budget
 8
     question, right? And different organization will
     negotiate their budget in different way depending on
     their model. Two, you know, what they really judge
10
11
     us on, as I said, are housing placements, but there
12
     really isn't an expectation of housing placement for
13
     the migrants, because they have no access presently
14
     to housing subsidies. So that may be part of it.
15
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Which is interesting,
16
    because the idea behind the 30- and 60-day rule--
17
                CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] Correct.
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: is to help people
18
19
    transition to housing.
                                  Right.
20
                CHRISTINE QUINN:
21
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And self-sufficiency.
2.2
     Okay, I appreciate that.
2.3
                CHRISTINE QUINN: Yeah.
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Did you wnt to add
24
```

25

something else?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 144

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, in your
3 experience, have you seen folks been able to
4 stabilize in 60 days [inaudible] New York City?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHRISTINE QUINN: I mean, I think it would be hard for any client we got to stabilize in 60 days, because you end up in a WIN shelter if you've been evicted, if you're a survivor of domestic violence, if you're a survivor of sexual abuse. dealing with that, -- well, one, getting your child situated in school if it's a new school. Two, beginning your own healing process through whatever you've been through, and make no mistake, being Three, then getting your work evicted is a trauma. life in order if that means just stabilizing where you are or going through training to get another job, and then beginning the housing process. Now, it's good we don't have the 90-day rule that prevents you looking for housing right away, but 60 days is an incredibly short period of time, particularly since the case manager or housing specialist isn't just dealing with one person, they're dealing with a lot of people. So, so that's just what you might say the traditional client. But then you add onto that somebody who has literally walked to the United

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 145 States, and then unceremoniously been put on a bus without their permission to New York with nothing but, you know, their t-shirt and their flip-flips-we had one client who had the same clothes on for 15 days. They didn't tell us they needed clothes. then gave them clothes, because they had-- they took nothing. So, you add that trauma in, you add the language issues in, you add a whole new public school educational system you have to come to understand, and 60 days is a drop in the bucket. And they know that. they didn't pick 365 days, you know, they picked a very short period of time, and I would argue 30 days for a singles is even worse given how hard it is-- there's no more SROs in the city, right, so--CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Yeah,

just to get an appointment takes 30 days.

CHRISTINE QUINN: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, and I think-you know, even some of the outcomes, right, or lack thereof that clearly the Administration could not respond to it speaks volumes that it's really not about establishing a particular outcome in such a rapid time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 CHRISTINE QUINN: And throughout this 3 entire time, we've seen the Administration take a 4 very anti- or negative posture towards the migrants, right, and really stand in a place-- not so much in 5 the functioning of the agencies, but in the Mayor's 6 7 statements about the migrants. So when you take-when you have a new challenge and you take a posture 8 9 that the challenge or the people involved in it are kind of bad and we have to get rid of them, then you 10 11 don't engage in planning and organizing to try to 12 address the problem and help the people. So you lose 13 critical time and critical attention. So, that is what we've seen here, not embracing a reality, one we 14 15 don't want, one we don't like, but nonetheless 16 embracing a reality. I also just on the data wanted 17 to add a point I forgot. You know, the National 18 Guard is going to shelters asking people questions. And so at Sonia Sotomayor, for example, they come. 19 20 They see however many people they see. They come 21 They come back. They come back until they're back. 2.2 done. And then when they finish at Sonia Soto--2.3 that's what we named the shelter, Sonia Sotomayor Shelter, sorry. That when they leave that shelter 24 25 that's just migrants, they go to another shelter

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 147
1
    that's just migrants, and then eventually they'll
2
3
     come to the other shelters we have that have a mix of
4
    clients and we'll have to make the migrant clients
5
     available. That is incredibly a, harassing; b,
    terrifying, and three, where's all that data. Do the
6
7
     feds get it? How does it get protected?
8
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What legally
9
    requires you to identify--
                CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] They'll
10
     come with names --
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: migrant families?
13
                CHRISTINE QUINN: of people. We don't
14
     identify, like Mary Smith on the fourth floor.
15
     come with names of people they want to see, and the
16
    City knows that in that one shelter, Sonia Sotomayor,
     they're all migrants. Now, people cannot go, but
17
18
     event -- they just keep coming. And people risk their
19
     contract if they don't, you know, let them have a
20
    room to set up and etcetera.
21
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can you say that one
2.2
    more time? You risk your contract that--
2.3
                CHRISTINE QUINN:
                                 [interposing] Well, I
    mean, if you -- if these -- if the National Guard
24
```

comes, the worry groups are going -- providers are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 148 1 going to have. The worry is that you might risk your 2 3 contract. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Got it. 4 And currently there are National Guard--5 CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] Yes. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: coming to shelters. 8 CHRISTINE OUINN: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how often have you been--10 11 CHRISTINE QUINN: 'Til they finish. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: With a predetermined 12 13 list of individuals. You're required by contract. 14 CHRISTINE QUINN: Well, I mean, you're 15 required kind of to participate. There's nothing in 16 the contracts that says if the National Guard comes 17 because nobody anticipated that. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. 18 19 CHRISTINE QUINN: You know, the thing-- I 20 hope the City is doing now is prepare -- if -- we don't know. It's hard to say if President-elect Trump is 21 2.2 going to do the mass deportations with military. 2.3 don't know if that's going to happen, but we need to prepare in case it does happen, and I know that work 24

has begun, but they need to prioritize that work.

Because when the raids happened under Trump one, the de Blasio Administration did a good job and we-- with the support of the Administration trained all of our security guards and ICE came one night to one of our shelters without the proper judicial documentation and we were able to send them away, and they left.

So, I know I spoke to the Commissioner at DHS. She is working on that, but I hope that they finish that work, a, and b, find ways to be even more protective than we were the first time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I always worry about this when I hear mass deportations, because I wonder, you know, how are they going to identify who's who? We don't walk around with signs on our heads saying I come from x, y and z, and it brings me back to a movie I saw many years ago where they actually in California recruited a whole bunch of migrants and threw them in a van and then sent them to— deep into Mexico and, you know, some of those people were citizens.

CHRISTINE QUINN: Right, right.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You know, because} % \begin{center{center} there was no way of identifying. So, I'll-- \end{center} % \begin{center} \begin{center} the constraint of the constra$ 

CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] It makes--

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 150
1
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'll be extra careful
2
3
    when I'm walking the street, you know.
4
                CHRISTINE QUINN: Absolutely.
5
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That I don't get
    snatched up as well, because under this new
6
7
    Administration one never knows. But--
8
                CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] It makes
9
    anyone who isn't white and might have an accent
10
    vulnerable.
11
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's all-- yeah.
    Yeah, one other-- just something out of curiosity
12
13
    just because on the provider end, is there-- you
14
    know, because the Trump Administration has been so
15
    vocal about, you know, what their intentions are, I'm
    assuming this is creating another layer of anxiety.
16
17
     Is there information that is required to be posted
18
    that informs residents, you know, specifically like
19
    migrant residents of what their rights are?
20
                CHRISTINE QUINN: We've given all of that
21
     out.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm sure that you
2.3
    have, because I know you're great, but--
                CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] Yeah, I
24
```

don't know.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 151 1 2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: are you required to, 3 because I--CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] I don't 4 5 know. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I worry. Okay, so 6 7 we'll look into that. Because I think-- you know, 8 the best way to arm people is with information. CHRISTINE OUINN: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And once people at 10 11 least understand that these are their rights, then 12 that, you know, helps alleviate some of the--13 CHRISTINE QUINN: [interposing] Yeah. I'll check. I don't know. One other thing I just 14 15 wanted to mention about data. We, in conjunction 16 with the New York Legal Assistance Group, have put 17 together a legal clinic, LE [sic] we call it, for our 18 migrant clients. Now, we could tell you of the 160 19 that we worked with so far who's done work training,

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, yeah. Alright, well, thank you so much.

why the city can't tell you that data when we could.

who's gotten a job, who's done English language. We

have two staff people, right? So, I don't understand

20

21

2.2

23

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 152
 1
                CHRISTINE QUINN: You're welcome.
 2
 3
     you. And thank you -- I know some of our clients are
 4
     going, you know, Zoom in or virtually come in--
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.
 5
                CHRISTINE QUINN: for giving them the
 6
 7
     opportunity and for making accommodations for
 8
     anonymity.
 9
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We'll now hear from
     Senator Gounardes.
10
11
                SENATOR GOUNARDES: Great. Thank you.
     Can y'all hear me?
12
13
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, we can.
                CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes.
14
15
                SENATOR GOUNARDES: Alright, great.
    Well, thank you very much. Good afternoon everyone.
16
     {\tt I'm} State Senator Andrew Goundardes, and {\tt I'm} here to
17
18
     testify in support of Resolution 41 which calls on
19
     the State to adopt or enact the New York State
20
     Working Families Tax Credit which I am the Senate
21
     sponsor of. You know, just as a baseline, I think we
     all know working families are struggling to get by in
2.2
2.3
    New York and the problem is only getting worse.
     Poverty in our city is soaring. One in four children
24
```

in our city now live in poverty. We saw the news

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 153 yesterday that one in eight children in New York are homeless, and according to the State Comptroller, New York State has one of the highest child poverty rates of any state in the country. We rank 41st out of 50, and 23 percent of city residents say that they're struggling to pay for their basic necessities like food and housing and clothes, etcetera. And that's really the basis for why I proposed and wrote the Working Families Tax Credit which is designed to give New Yorkers the support that they need to afford the essentials for their kids, whether that be diapers, clothes, rent, just a roof over their head, pay utility bill-- God forbid they want to go to the zoo or the museum as a family, all the essentials. it's based and it's modeled on the incredible success we saw with the expanded federal child tax credit through the American Rescue Plan which was President Biden's COVID relief bill. When we bumped up the child tax credit at the federal level, for six months we cut the poverty rate in this country for kids in half by 50 percent. So, the Working Families Tax Credit seeks to operate in a similar way, and it does so in a couple of important thing-- it does a couple of important things. Number one, it streamlines and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

expands several existing state tax credits, including the New York State Earned Income Tax Credit, the New York State Empire Child Credit, and the New York State Dependent Exemption. The reason for that is that many of the people who claim one or more, all three of those benefits, they are largely the same. So we want to simplify the process by which they can access those benefits. The plan provides crucial support for families by increasing the maximum credit \$1,600 per child and provides a minimum \$100 floor for every child regardless of income. It eliminates the cap--

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time has expired.

SENATOR GOUNARDES: [inaudible] the number of kids that are eligible, and it pins the credit to inflation, and it closes important loopholes like cover 17-year-olds, includes 510 [sic] filers, and eliminates a regressive income phase in, and crucially will be paid out four times a year so that families would not have to wait until tax time in order to see these benefits. Instead, they can get a check four times a year to help them pay for their essentials. I really encourage the Council to take

this resolution up. I'll be incredibly helpful to our momentum in Albany to have the support of the City Council to push for enactment of this bill while we are trying to meet our New York State child poverty reduction goal by 2030, and I thank the Committee for giving me a few moment to chat about this.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Senator Gounardes for this work and this bill, and thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We will now call up Claire Salant-- sorry, couldn't read that-- and Jacob Berman.

Speaker Ayala, Chair-- sorry. Am I close enough to the mic? Great. Good morning, Deputy Speaker Ayala, Chair Avilés, and the Committees of Immigration and General Welfare. I am Claire Salant, the Lead Budget and Policy analyst for IBO, the New York City Independent Budget Office which is an independent city agency. I am joined today by my colleague Jacob Berman who's the Director of the Social and Community Services team at IBO. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm only going to read the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 156 highlights of our testimony, so please refer to our written testimony which you should have for more detail. I am here to discuss the city's implementation of the 30- and 60-day exit polices for asylum-seekers, referred to in this testimony as new arrivals. The city justified the need for exit policies and historic changes to the city's longstanding right to shelter policy on the assumption that they would reduce the population and high costs related to new arrivals. IBO's May 2024 report noted that these management strategies are not purely money-savers, but generate new costs and have potentially negative consequence on a particularly vulnerable population. Just yesterday, the Mayor announced new policies to address some of these consequences, implicitly acknowledging the problems with the strategy that began over a year ago. Since 2022, Moe than 220,000 new arrivals have entered the City. Providing services for these arrivals have cost about \$5.2 billion over the last two years, about a third of which has come from the state. For context, the total cost in 2024 was \$3.75 billion which reprints less than three percent of the city's total budget including all funding sources. This

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 157 actual spending has been much lower than the City's forecasts, and the number of new arrivals entering the city has been rapidly declining in recent months. Nevertheless, the city continues to budget very high cost for this population moving forward. example, the adopted budget assumes costs will be higher this year, fiscal year 2025, and next year, fiscal year 2026, than it was last year, even though the population is declining. According to data IBO obtained from the Administration, as of November 1st, approximately 20,600 adults and families with minor children had a first exit notice expire. Of this group, about 58 percent have left the system, and the remaining 42 percent are still in the city's care. For those who returned to the arrival center for new placement, 89 percent are in a different facility than their original shelter location. individual adults, including families of related adults without children, approximately 51,000 people have had an exit notice expire for the first time. for those who apply for an extension to remain in a shelter system the city has approved 85 percent for extensions for those who applied based on their state benefit status, and only 33 percent of extensions for

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 158 those who apply based on extenuating circumstances criteria. Many of the impacts of exit policies are social and emotional, and not easily quantifiable. For what is quantifiable, IBO estimated some potential negative consequences in April, including the challenges of getting mail, a potential risk of increased street homelessness, and costs for the new busing requests for children. Since the city's new exit policies began in May, there have been considerable reporting on the challenges of missed mail with incident missing work authorizations as well as court notices and there crucial information. There's also been a visible rise in encampments around shelters, as individuals are either not given an extension or placed too far from their original shelter location. Lastly, IBO has not yet analyzed the specific impacts of the exit policies on students, there's already a robust body of research that documents the negative impacts of both mid-year school changes, and long commutes on student outcomes. Yesterday morning, Mayor Adams announced that the city will create a centralized mail location to guarantee that new arrivals can continue to get important legal documents even when they have to move

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 159 facilities or leave the shelter system. While this will make a significant difference going forward, it will not address the potentially thousands of new rivals who may have already lost essential documents. The mayor also announced that families with minor children from kindergarten through sixth grade will be able to stay in the same facilities. This will reduce educational and social disruption as well as associated transportation costs for these families, but will not do so for families with older students. Lastly, in terms of spending, one year ago in the November 2023 financial plan, the city estimated that costs related to new arrivals would total \$4.7 billion in 2024 and \$6.1 billion in 2025. A year later actual costs were almost a billion dollars lower for 2024, and the city has already reduced the 2025 budget by over a billion dollars compared to last year. This reduction comes even as the city has continued to use for-profit vendors and emergency contracts for much longer than is typical. expects the 2025 budget estimate to shrink even further in the coming November plan, given that the population entering the City has been falling since January, and exits have outpaced entries for most of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the last year. Furthermore, IBO expects the budgeted amounts in the out-years which are currently over \$7 billion to be similarly reduced. As the new budget comes out, IBO will be looking at the revised budget for 2025 through 2028, as well as updating our estimates based on recent population data which will reflect the impact of exit policies. We will also be factoring in the considerable uncertainty arising from the outcome of the federal election earlier this month. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I will be happy to answer your questions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you so much for that. I think that my— and I'm actually now— I'm hearing you. I'm trying to multitask because trying to get on top of all of the unanswered questions and I'm trying to be very sensitive and careful, you know, in what we say and do here today. But what do you attribute to be the highest drivers in cost at this moment? And like, because when— you know, we're looking at this year's, you know, upcoming budget, the Administration is expecting to have more revenue as a result of the closure of some of these facilities. What you're saying does not necessarily align with what the Administration is expecting to

committee on General Welfare with committee on immigration 161 happen. And so I'm wondering where are you seeing the higher shifts? Where are we seeing a reduction in cost?

2.2

2.3

JACOB BERMAN: So, we don't know exactly what's going to be in the budget and we won't until tomorrow probably, so I can't comment on what exactly the Administration is going to budget, but you know, we're happy to talk about that when we come back to--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yes.

JACOB BERMAN: testify in a few weeks. I will say that the way that we are thinking about this is that you can't-- when you say that you're going to get savings for something that was never going to be spent anyway, is that really savings? I would argue that I think because these costs were unlikely to ever be as high as they've been budgeted to be which is something that we at IBO have been pointing out for the last two years.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Absolutely.

JACOB BERMAN: You know, saying that oh, well, we found these savings might not be the most accurate way of portraying this situation.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Interesting, because it-- yeah, I mean, it lends the-- it gives the

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 162 impression that because we're shutting down, you know, migrant shelters that we are in essence saving- it's a good point. Thank you guys so much.

2.2

2.3

JACOB BERMAN: Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I just want to warn that we have I think about 13 panels, about 13 panels, and so we're going to be strictly sticking to the two-minute rule. So if your testimony is more than two minutes, we ask that you please highlight those areas of importance, and you can always submit the full testimony for the record. We're going to be calling up Erika Alvarez, Evelyn Velasquez, Tania Paredes, Djeinaba Diaby. So, let's start with the [inaudible] while we wait for the interpreter on this side. What is the baby's name? That is baby Adama, I knew it. I knew that was-- yes. Yes, baby Adama is famous just like her auntie Adama. You may begin.

MAMADOU DIALLO: Okay, hello everyone.

My name is Mamadou Diallo. I'm the Director of

Operations at Afrikana, but also I'll be serving

today as Djeinaba's advocate. So, she charged me with

reading her written statement which is what I'm going

to do because I heard about the two-minute rule. So

I'm going to go ahead. "Hello, everyone. Thank you

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 163 for allowing me to speak. My name is Jabi [sic]. When I came to New York City I had no family and no place to go. Someone told me about Adama and Afrikana saying they could help me. I was heavily pregnant and in a lot of pain after my journey from Guinea Conakry, my home country, to the United States. Adama and I connected when she referred me to the Roosevelt Hotel where I was placed at the hotel. No one spoke Pular. If you needed help, you had to wait days for someone who could interpret. One day, Adama visited the hotel. She asked if I was okay, and I was shocked to meet someone who spoke my language. Seeing I was heavily pregnant, she helped me get medical care and connected me with lawyers from Project Rousseau. Afrikana also helped me get clothing, and when I had no phone they provided one with free service for a year. When I was feeling depressed, Adama told me to come to the office and just hang out. She said many woman come to walk around and talk, and she wasn't lying. I went and met other woman who spoke Pular and I received so much help. Project Rousseau also helped me enroll my daughter in school. One day, while leaving the Roosevelt Hotel to do laundry, the staff yelled at me

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 164 to go back to my room and pack my belongings. told me I had to leave immediately. I begged them to let me get my baby from daycare first, but they They said I had to leave because my 60 days refused. were up. I was so confused and didn't know what to do. So I called Adama crying. She told me to wait. She made some phone calls. The hotel staff told me Adama couldn't help me, and they kept pressuring me to pack my things. I asked the neighbor to help me pack while Adama arranged for someone to pick up my daughter. The staff wouldn't let me leave the room until everything was packed. As I was packing, someone came to speak with me. I called Adama and put her on speaker phone, but they kept telling me to hang up. Adama advised me to send my medical documents on Whatsup before doing anything else. Despite the pressure, I listened to her. After some time, the hotel staff told me I could stay. claimed I had not given them my daughter's medical paperwork, but I had provided it earlier. simply hadn't listened to me. It's very hard living The staff yell at us in English, and at the hotel. they won't let us call Afrikana for interpretations.

Instead, we're forced to use the Language Line which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 165 often gets the Pular translation wrong. Since that incident, the staff have been nice to me-- have been nicer to me, but the process still exhausting. Adama later told me she had to make many phone calls to advocate for me. She also reminded me to keep all my medical paper organized and to advocate for myself. Now, I tell everyone at the hotel to contact Afrikana because they truly helped. There are so many pregnant woman and people with medical issues who are kicked out of these hotels every 60 days. It's heartbreaking. Before New York I did not feel safe. I thought coming here would allow me to finally settle down, but it is still so unstable. I can't find a job or attend training programs because I don't speak Spanish or English. I'm grateful for the help I've received, but the City can't treat us like cattle, moving us around constantly. We need real help, and we can contribute to society if given the chance. My children go to school near the Roosevelt Hotel, but their schools are in different locations. I don't know how I will manage with two small children if I moved again. I recently received another 60-days' notice. My doctor has written

another language, but I'm not sure if they will

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 166
2	accept it. I know I'll need Afrikana's help again,
3	and I'm so thankful for their support. If you have
4	any questions, I'm willing to answer. Thank you for
5	listening."
6	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that,
7	and believe it or not, baby Adama finally fell
8	asleep. This is the part of the hearing that bored
9	her to sleep.
10	MAMADOU DIALLO: Well, Adama [speaking
11	other language]
12	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you so much for
13	your testimony.
14	MAMADOU DIALLO: [speaking other
15	language]
16	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: Hello, good morning.
18	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon.
20	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: My name is Tania and I'm
22	from Ecuador.
23	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: I'm part of the group of

undocumented women.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 167
2	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: This subject of eviction of
4	the moving, I have experienced.
5	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: I have experienced
7	situations in which I wasn't able to sleep and also
8	using the bathrooms.
9	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
10	TRANSLATOR: Because there is private
11	bathrooms and there's also private bathrooms that you
12	have to share.
13	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: So, in one of the shelters,
15	I had an experience, a bad experience with a man who
16	was knocking the door very aggressively wanting me to
17	come out when there was other four other bathrooms
18	that could be used. There were five bathrooms in
19	total.
20	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: Because of this incident I
22	had to go to the hospital with my blood pressure of
23	200.

TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 168
2	TRANSLATOR: I have two children. One is
3	11 and the other another is four, and the one who
4	is 11 became sick with gastritis because of the
5	situation.
6	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
7	TRANSLATOR: Because of the issue of the
8	evictions we live in constant stress and worry.
9	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
LO	TRANSLATOR: The children are always late
L1	to school every day because we're moving around.
L2	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
L3	TRANSLATOR: We all sleep in the same bed
L 4	with the children.
L5	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
L 6	TRANSLATOR: It's very complicated to
L7	move around with those suitcases and all the baggage
L8	and we have to go to 45 <sup>th</sup> Street.
L9	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: If we had a permanent place
21	until we get the documents, we would have a better
22	quality of life for the children
23	TANIA PAREDES: [speaking Spanish]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 169
 1
 2
                TRANSLATOR: for them to have much
 3
     stability as well as us. And that's all. That's
 4
     everything.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Gracias.
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
 6
 7
                TRANSLATOR: Good morning my name is--
 8
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
 9
                TRANSLATOR: Velasquez.
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
10
11
                TRANSLATOR: My family group is my
12
     daughter who is six years old and I.
13
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: I'm not under the rule of
14
15
     60-day rule.
16
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
17
                TRANSLATOR: Even though in September
18
     they sent us a notice that we were going to go into
19
     that group.
20
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: 18 months that I've been in
21
2.2
     the system they have moved me twice--
2.3
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: from a family shelter to a
24
     shelter only for single parents.
25
```

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 170
2	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: which became with time a
4	family shelter.
5	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: But they didn't renew the
7	contract with the hotel and we all had to leave.
8	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: That was going to be in
10	April thanks to the Brooklyn community and the
11	school.
12	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: We were able to wait the
14	time and we were able to wait to June 'til the
15	children left school.
16	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: In my experience with the
18	moving around, the process become tolled [sic]
19	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: due to the social workers,
21	they don't work as well.
22	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: Today, my daughter is the
24	same school because she traveled two stations to get
25	there.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 171
2	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: And transportation service
4	is provided by the school, not the shelter.
5	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: At the moment when we
7	arrived, we had a social worker. At the moment, we
8	don't have a case worker. We don't have anything.
9	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
10	TRANSLATOR: But she or he didn't do his
11	job well. He didn't do anything well.
12	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: A lot of people now have the
14	HDA service, because I took upon myself to tell them
15	and help them out with it.
16	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: In October, I got my social
18	security and my work permit.
19	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: And the next step was going
21	to apply for the voucher of 30 days that I could
22	leave the system, the shelter.
23	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 172
 1
                TRANSLATOR: But I was sent to different
 2
 3
     offices, but I've been told that the shelter is the
 4
     one that's got to do it.
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Now, we are four families in
 6
 7
    my shelter that have everything ready and we're just
    waiting for the 30 percent voucher, but we don't get
 8
     it. We haven't gotten it.
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
10
11
                TRANSLATOR: To have a living place will
12
    benefit myself and my daughter emotionally and also
13
     for food and also to be able to get a job.
14
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: So that I could have help
16
     from somebody else whenever I have to work and my
17
     daughter has to take the day off.
18
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
19
                TRANSLATOR: Because in the shelter
20
     system I'm not allowed to have a friend or a
21
     babysitter to come and babysit my daughter when I
     have to work.
2.2
2.3
                EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: and I also wanted to make
24
```

another comment --

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 173
2	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: for you to know that I have
4	been through three shelters
5	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: and which there is always
7	empty rooms
8	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: for months
10	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: where families in the
12	process of 60 days could be placed.
13	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: For example, in my shelter
15	which is called Metropolis
16	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: room 406 has more than one
18	month empty
19	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: which and the city is
21	paying for it.
22	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: And there is another issue
24	that the city is.
25	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 174
2	TRANSLATOR: They're giving us a voucher
3	for \$5,000 or \$4,000 to be able to get an apartment.
4	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: So that's one month a
6	deposit and one month of rent.
7	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: But I have not until this
9	day met someone who's gotten it.
10	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: But I have met families that
12	had to leave New York because of the lies. For
13	example, room 405, that guy
14	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
15	TRANSLATOR: they told him that the check
16	has arrived, but he's in Dallas now with a daughter
17	of six months.
18	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: Because they evict them from
20	the shelter, because supposedly the check had already
21	arrived.
22	EYLYN VELASQUEZ: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: Thank you.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 175
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Just a reminder that
 3
     you do not have to disclose your address if you don't
 4
     want to. [speaking Spanish].
                TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon.
                ERIKA ALVAREZ: [speaking Spanish]
 6
 7
                TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon. My name's
    Erika and I'm from Ecuador.
 8
                ERIKA ALVAREZ: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: One month ago I started
10
11
     using the shelters--
12
                ERIKA ALVAREZ:
                                 [speaking Spanish]
13
                TRANSLATOR: because of an issue with my
14
     daughter of sexual abuse in my prior housing.
15
                ERIKA ALVAREZ:
                                [speaking Spanish]
16
                TRANSLATOR:
                              The group of undocumented
17
     women told me about this and started using it.
18
                ERIKA ALVAREZ: [speaking Spanish]
19
                TRANSLATOR: But first they didn't want
20
     to help me because my partner is not an asylum-
21
     seeker. He has already been here for two years.
2.2
                ERIKA ALVAREZ:
                                [speaking Spanish]
2.3
                TRANSLATOR:
                             I want to get help to get a
     job and to give my children dignified life so that
24
     this doesn't happen again.
25
```

so you speak for them all and I'm sure that they

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 177 really appreciate that. Thank you. The next panel will be online, the WIN families panel.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Give us one second.

WIN families, you are unmuted. You can begin. If not, we will come back to you and we'll call on the next panel. Okay, we're moving on. Airenakhue, Madelina [sp?] [inaudible], Abdou Karim Diane, Miguel Decosta [sp?], Lamime Kante. Okay, this is Airenakhue. You, that's you? Okay. Okay, Lamime. Miguel Decosta? Madelina Lutaleticia [sp?]? Abdou

Karim Diane? Abdou? Okay, you may begin.

able to hear me okay? Alright, so good afternoon everyone and thank you to the Chairs of the Committees on Immigration and General Welfare for holding today's hearing. My name is Airenakhue Bernadette Omoragbon and I'm the New York Policy Manager at African Communities Together. I know many of you are familiar with ACT, but for the folks in the back of the room, ACT is a national membership organizations by and for African immigrants dedicated

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 178 to fighting for civil rights, opportunity and better life for Africans living in the United States. The members of our New York chapter hail from countries like Senegal, Mali, Ivory Coast, Ethiopia, etcetera, just to name a few. Today I'm here to speak in opposition to Mayor Eric Adams 30/60-day rule. the last few years ACT has been working tirelessly with members of the New York Shelter for All in Need Equally or NYSANE Coalition to protect New Yorker's right to shelter in a safe place. I think a lot of my colleagues would agree with me in saying that the 30/60-day rule has not, does not, and will not work. There has been little to no movement from the city and the state to create a sustainable solution to the outcry of our members, and to be honest, a lot of this is disheartening. Studies show that over 65,000 migrants and asylum-seekers residing in the city shelter system are yet to be stabilized and are yet to be integrated into the community. This 30/60-day rule has blocked asylum-seekers efforts to get the work permits they need to obtain stable housing, and they've created a lot of hurdles for them to join housing programs like CityPHEPS. Last night, it was approximately 47 degrees outside, and there has been

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 179 an alarming increase in the number of people sleeping on the streets, in public parks, on trains and other make-shift shelters. A lot of folks in New York you've seen it, so I don't have to explain that situation. And this short-sided policy has not only exacerbated New York's housing crisis, but it poses a detrimental threat to the human health and their Instead of supporting them and manifesting their American dreams, this policy has further traumatized new arrivals and made it impossible for them to access the care they need to fight the elements associated with immigration. Like many advocates-- I'll keep it very short-- the results of this year's presidential election has stirred up a series of emotions. We have some fear and some uncertainty around how the first 100 days of the upcoming presidential administration will shape immigration policy and things of that nature. spite of these, you know, feelings, we will continue to protect and stand for our members and other disenfranchised groups. So, here in New York this starts with protecting the right to shelter, abolishing Mayor Adams' 30/60-day rule and passing bills like Council Member Hanif's Intro 210 which is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 180
 1
    being considered on the city and state level to
 2
 3
    protect these shelter limits and protect our new
     community members. So, to keep it very short, I
 4
 5
     think it's time for us to put a stop to this and we
    must act now. So thank you. And I'm going to pass it
 6
 7
    to some of the members of African Communities
     Together so you can hear their experiences in the
 8
     shelters. Thank you.
10
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
11
     language]
12
                TRANSLATOR: Hello, my name is Abdou
13
    Karim Diane. I live in New York with my wife and my
14
    two children who are aged two years and three months
15
    old.
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
16
17
     language]
18
                TRANSLATOR: We are living in a temporary
19
     shelter.
20
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
21
     language]
                TRANSLATOR: And the living conditions
2.2
23
    are extremely difficult.
24
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
```

language]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 181
 1
                TRANSLATOR: Imagine being a family with
 2
 3
    two small children and having to-- under the age of
    three-- and having to change a shelter every two
 4
 5
    months.
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
 6
 7
    language]
                TRANSLATOR: We've had to move with our
 8
    children four times in the past year.
 9
10
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
11
     language]
12
                TRANSLATOR: And for much of this time my
    wife was in the late stages of pregnancy.
13
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
14
15
    language]
16
                TRANSLATOR: And her health was very--
17
    she was at risk.
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
18
19
    language]
                TRANSLATOR: Our daughter who is two and
20
    a half years old has to sleep in the same bed with
21
2.2
    us.
23
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
    language]
24
```

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 182
 1
                TRANSLATOR: So, my wife would really
 2
 3
     like to take English classes but she can't do that,
    because there's no childcare, and also we're told
 4
 5
     that she doesn't-- that because nobody has
    citizenship that our daughter doesn't qualify for
 6
 7
    childcare.
 8
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
 9
     language]
10
                TRANSLATOR: So, we're asking for
11
     official help to improve our living conditions.
12
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
13
     language]
14
                TRANSLATOR: And to please give us enough
15
     time for me to find a job that can sustain our family
16
     in a permanent living conditions.
17
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
18
     language]
19
                TRANSLATOR: It's-- we don't know how
20
    we're going to be able to survive in this city in
     these very difficult hostile conditions.
21
2.2
                ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
23
     language]
                TRANSLATOR: We're trying desperately to
24
```

find work.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 183
2	ABDOU KARIM DIANE: [speaking other
3	language]
4	TRANSLATOR: Thank you very much for this
5	opportunity to bring our case to your attention.
6	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon everyone.
7	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
8	TRANSLATOR: I come from Guinea. I came
9	here last February.
10	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
11	TRANSLATOR: And I'm also in a temporary
12	shelter.
13	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
14	TRANSLATOR: My first four months were
15	incredibly difficult here in New York.
16	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
17	TRANSLATOR: So, I was after the first
18	four months I was put out on the street and I had no
19	one to go to and no place to sleep, so I spent three
20	nights sleeping out on the street.
21	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
22	TRANSLATOR: And someone referred me to
23	Africa communications.
24	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 184
 1
                              And they found me more
 2
                TRANSLATOR:
 3
     temporary shelter in Manhattan.
 4
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
                TRANSLATOR: And it's very difficult for
 5
    me without having any way of learning English to find
 6
 7
     my way around. I can't even navigate the city, and
     it's also important -- I'm unaware of what the law is.
 8
     I'm trying to comply with the law, but first I have
10
     to know what the law is in order to be compliant.
11
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
12
                TRANSLATOR:
                              So, finally I was sent to
13
     Brooklyn and that's where I am currently.
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
15
                TRANSLATOR: But we want to be able to
16
     take English lessons and training, and we can't do it
17
     because every time we want to enroll somewhere, we're
18
     sent someplace else and it's no longer in traveling
19
     distance.
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
20
21
                TRANSLATOR: And then--
2.2
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
2.3
                              Also, at the temporary
                TRANSLATOR:
     shelters, they kick you out at 6:00 a.m., and where
24
```

are you going to go at 6:00 a.m., and they don't let

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 185
2	you back in again until it's time to go to sleep. So
3	where are we supposed to be during that time,
4	especially in bad weather?
5	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
6	TRANSLATOR: So, we spend our days from
7	6:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. in the park.
8	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
9	TRANSLATOR: And the food is so spoiled
10	that we don't dare eat it.
11	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
12	TRANSLATOR: So, we're going through
13	trash cans looking for our next meal.
14	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
15	TRANSLATOR: And there is we can go
16	days without having access to a working shower. It's
17	not sanitary.
18	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
19	TRANSLATOR: And now we're being told
20	that after 70 days we're going to be put out
21	permanently on the street, and that's going to be the
22	heart of winter. Where are we supposed to go?
23	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 186
 1
                              So, that's why I'm here is
 2
                TRANSLATOR:
 3
     to let you know the real conditions that we're
 4
     experiencing in the shelters.
 5
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
                TRANSLATOR: So, we're asking for mercy
 6
 7
     from you and from the governor.
 8
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
 9
                              To show us some mercy in
                TRANSLATOR:
     extending our temporary shelter.
10
11
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
12
                TRANSLATOR: Because if you put us out,
13
     we're going to be sleeping on the street for sure.
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
15
                TRANSLATOR:
                              So, we're living in fear.
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
16
17
                TRANSLATOR: All we ask is the
18
     opportunity to learn English so that we can get work.
19
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
20
                              So, we're asking for an
                TRANSLATOR:
21
     extension of the permission to remain in the shelter,
2.2
     and also, please take a hard look at improving the
2.3
     conditions there so that they're sanitary and safe.
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
24
```

TRANSLATOR: Especially the food.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 187
 1
 2
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
 3
                TRANSLATOR:
                             Thank you very much.
 4
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Before you leave,
 5
     before you walk away. Could you ask him who told him
     that after 70 days he'd have to leave permanently?
 6
 7
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
                TRANSLATOR: At the shelters.
 8
 9
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
                             The shelter employees told
10
                TRANSLATOR:
11
     us that.
12
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA:
                                    Okay.
                                            Is he aware
13
     that he can go to the re-ticketing center to reapply?
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking other language]
15
                TRANSLATOR:
                               So, in order to that-- I
     found that out yesterday, was the first I heard of
16
17
     it, but I was also told I have to go out to JFK, so
18
     I'm going to miss school again, and I'm not quite
19
     sure if JFK is the only place I'm going to be allowed
20
     to stay or not.
21
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I just want to
2.2
    make sure that he understands that. They cannot -- we
2.3
     don't deny housing. So, they will make it difficult
    by having you leave every so often and start all over
24
```

again, but he--

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 188
 1
 2
                TRANSLATOR: He says that he started
 3
     English lessons in one place and he's going to lose
 4
     them if he moves, you know, two boroughs away.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. We can-- we
 6
     can help. Yeah, we can follow up with him about that
 7
     and try to see, yeah.
 8
                UNIDENTIFIED: Okay.
 9
                TRANSLATOR: Who should he see about
10
     this?
11
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, he should-- if
12
     you could get his information and give it to one of
13
     the guards, they'll give it to us.
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: Okay.
15
                TRANSLATOR:
                             Thank you so much.
16
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, thank you.
17
     Okay, we're going back to the WIN family panel
18
     online. Can you hear us?
19
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
20
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: WIN families? Okay,
21
     we'll be back. Edafe Okporo? Maura Heron, Barat
2.2
     Ellman and Halema Wali? You may begin.
2.3
                               Thank you to the Committee
                EDAFE OKPORO:
    of General Welfare. Thank you, Council Member Ayala
24
```

and Council Member Avilés. My name is Edafe Okporo.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 189 I'm the Executive Director of Refuge America. a nonprofit serving LGBTQIA asylum-seekers. My testimony today is a protest and standing gap for people who are traditionally marginalized by society. I strongly oppose the 30-day and 60-day shelter limit policies, because this limit is an attempt to undermine the city 40-year-old right to shelter laws which have prevented thousands of New Yorkers from sleeping on the street. In 2018, I was the Executive Director of New York City first shelter for asylum-I know LGBTQIA asylum-seekers who go to seekers. city shelter and feel unsafe [inaudible] by policies that do not give them an opportunity to rebuild their In the years I ran the shelter and provided shelter for more than 1,000 families to begin their life. One example comes to mind. Desta [sic], a guy man from Guyana who sought asylum in New York City. We brought him from New York City rescue shelter into our shelter system, and in two years during the pandemic, he was working as an EMT for New York City. New migrants who come into New York City in search of a new life are just looking for an opportunity to rebuild their life. That is why I ask the Mayor and the Administration at what length are we going to go

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

to orchestrate a migrant crisis just to prove a point? Or are we going to work to be efficient to create a safety-net for New Yorkers. We saw an influx of migrants in 2023. It's more than 18 months. The rates have gone down drastically. At what length are we going to go to continue vilifying these migrants? So, I ask the Administration to govern with compassion and effectiveness while we seek long-term solution. I ask the Administration to stop stripping New York of legislation that has helped people like me to have a different life.

2.2

2.3

HALEMA WALI: Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to testify on Mayor Adams' 30- and 60-day shelter stay limits. My name is Halema Wali and I'm the founding Co-Director of Afghans for a Better Tomorrow, an Afghan-led advocacy organization dedicated to systemic change for Afghans in the U.S. and beyond. I'm here to strongly oppose these inhumane policies. Since the end of 2022, our organization has supported nearly 1,200 asylum-seekers in New York City, many of whom are Afghans that fled famine, brutal persecution, and climate devastation, conditions by created or worsened by

U.S. policies. They arrived here seeking stability and safety but now face evictions from shelters, a policy that is harsh, unjust and re-traumatizing. One Afghan father of six, part of a persecuted religious and ethnic minority seeking asylum asked us, "Why would they displace us all over again, force my girls to switch schools when we fled the only country in the world where my daughters are denied an education?" This policy is not just cruel, it's dangerous. Evicting families from shelters after such brief stays forces them into homelessness and jeopardizes their health, safety and future. For children, the stakes are even higher, disrupted schooling and deeper instability. These families don't want to remain in shelters where their children go malnourished due to inadequate food. They want a fair chance to rebuild their lives. But without time, resources, and pathways to permanent housing and employment, they're being set up to fail. York City has long been a beacon of compassion and opportunity, upholding our right to shelter law and investing in affordable permanent housing. Language access, legal services, and workforce access will not only protect these families but also empower them to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 191

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on General welfare with committee on immigration 192 enrich our communities. These new arrivals are motivated and ready to contribute to our city's vibrant, diverse future. I urge the Mayor and the City Council to reject these harmful limits and commit to humane sustainable solutions. Together, we can ensure New York remains a city of hope and opportunity for all. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARAT ELLMAN: Can you hear me? So, good afternoon and thank you for letting me speak here today. My name is Rabbi Doctor Barat Ellman and I am one of 6,000 members of Jews for Racial and Economic Justice, and I'm here to speak against the 30/60-day rule that is currently causing shelter evictions for new New Yorkers. This Administration's shelter eviction policy must end immediately, despite even some tweaks that were made just yesterday. Jewish tradition has much to say on hospitality and care for the stranger or foreigners and on human dignity. In Genesis we see Abraham rush to welcome and host three stingers who come to his tent insisting they stay for a bath, food and drink. We're commanded 36 times in our Torah, the five book of Moses, to love and care for the stranger, including providing the stranger with the opportunity COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 193 to rest on the Sabbath. In Deuteronomy, we're told that when an indentured servant has fulfilled his time of service, the master must set that person up with housing, supplies, and the wherewithal to establish themselves economically. That is because the Torah recognizes that most of us, if given proper care, are capable of becoming contributing members to society. The migrants who come to our great city are no different. If given proper support, they are able to establish themselves, and I know this because about two years ago my husband and I hosted a young Columbian man for several nights. His time with us gave him a measure of stability which proved critical to him. We connected him to services and stayed in touch with him, and now he is working as a barber in Queens and living in his own apartment. Mine is not the only such story. Friends of mine have also opened their homes to individuals and families because they know the importance of giving someone a leg up. And we also heard about Afrikana, Adama Bah, credible model for this kind of aid. But surely the city of New York, its municipality can do the same. And yet, Mayor Adams advocates for this 30/60-day policy that destabilized migrants, that takes housing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 194 away from them right after they have found shelter. His policies mean that children have to change schools every couple of months, parents have to scramble to settle them again and again, and single adults have only 30 days to live in shelters before being kicked out for good. New York has the capacity to house everyone in this city who needs it, both unhoused citizens and newly arrived immigrants and refugees. Mayor Adams has spent the last three years pitting New Yorkers against each other, claiming immigrants are the reason our city is struggling, all while he's been stealing millions of taxpayer dollars and granting non-competitive government contracts to his friends, flouting daily the message emblazoned at the entrance of our city, "Give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses yearning to be free, the wretched refuse of your teaming shore, send these, the homeless, tempest toss to me. I lift my lamp besides the golden door." I along with JFREJ and many other migrant rights groups and housing justice groups declare that these words on the Statue of Liberty are not mere jargon. They have meaning. They declare that immigrants are welcomed here in New

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 195

York City. It is Eric Adams' Trumpian [sic] policies

of shelter evictions that are not. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

MAURA HERON: Good afternoon. My name is Maura Heron and I'm a supervising attorney in Sanctuary for Families Immigration Intervention Project, the nation's largest immigration legal service program exclusively for survivors of domestic violence, trafficking and other forms of gender-based violence. Sanctuary is New York State's largest provider of comprehensive services, exclusively for abuse survivors and their children with a broad, holistic program of shelter, counseling, legal and economic empowerment services reaching 8-9,000 adults and children every year. The Immigration Intervention Project represents clients in all types of humanitarian immigration relief for which they may be eligible, providing representation, pro se assistance and advice and referrals to over 2,500 clients annually. In response to the influx of migrants to the city in recent years, we have expanded our practice to include more asylum and removal work. Last year, IIP handled nearly 400 asylum and 200 removal defense cases. we're grateful

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 196 for the opportunity to testify on the critical topic of the shelter time limitations for recent migrants to New York and the impact these limitations have on our client's lives and our ability to represent them in their asylum cases. Our special thanks to Council Members Alexa Avilés and Diana Ayala, Chairs of the Committees on Immigration and General Welfare, for calling this hearing and for their advocacy on behalf of immigrant survivors. Representing recent migrants in their asylum cases before the Immigration Court is demanding of both attorneys and clients. Sanctuary's clients are survivors of gender-based violence. They're often fleeing domestic violence, sexual violence, and homophobic violence in their home countries. Preparing an asylum case requires that survivors relive these experiences over and over as they explain their stories to use. This is not only time-intensive, but often is emotionally exhausting. We have intensified our efforts to ensure that our services are trauma-informed as our clients repeat these devastating stories to us. is very difficult to prepare clients for their cases in court without stable housing. Clients who have to move shelters every few weeks simply do not have the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 197 emotional bandwidths to talk about past traumatic experiences. They are in constant crisis, and they're transience makes it very challenging to stay in touch with them and provide legal representation. Clients who have to constantly move miss their appointments, they lose documents that are critical to their claims. They do not receive extremely important notices in the mail and they cannot concentrate on preparing their cases when they do not know where they and their children will be sleeping from one day to the next. We have heard stories already this morning, so I will skip my client's story, although they'll be in our written testimony. I want to emphasize that we are screening people very week who are clearly eligible for asylum. These clients come to New York because of our reputation as a city that welcomes immigrants, but stable shelter is key to ensuring that these clients have the opportunity to adequately prepare their court cases. in view of the planned policy changes articulated by the incoming federal administration, immigration cases will become far more challenging, making it all the more critical that the city minimize the range of other obstacles faced by these vulnerable individuals

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 198
2	and families. We thank the committees for shining a
3	light on this important topic and we are very
4	grateful for the Council's efforts to tackle these
5	challenging issues in our city. Thank you for the
6	opportunity to testify today and for your deep
7	commitment to immigrant abuse survivors and New
8	Yorkers in need, and I'm happy to answer any
9	questions from the perspective of the legal service
10	providers in the city.
11	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you
12	so much. We're going to try one more time with WIN
13	families. Are you there? Can you hear us?
14	SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
15	UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, can you hear us?
16	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yay. Thank you.
17	UNIDENTIFIED: So, we have two families
18	that are here that are just going to need translation
19	assistance.
20	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, we're ready.
21	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon. I am from
23	Ecuador.

UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 199
 1
                             In order to arrive to come
 2
                TRANSLATOR:
 3
     into the United States, I had to go through many
     different countries.
 4
 5
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: In one of those places, in
 6
 7
     one of those countries, I was offered shelter and a
 8
    place to be with my family.
 9
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: I had to sleep at bus
10
11
     terminals, in the street.
12
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: In order to arrive here.
13
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: When we got to Mexico, my
     son had a stomach infection because of the water.
16
17
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
18
                TRANSLATOR: And I wasn't given the help.
19
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
20
                TRANSLATOR: And I decided to come
21
     without waiting for the appointment because my son
2.2
     didn't help, so I went through the El Paso
2.3
     [inaudible] for medical attention.
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
24
```

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 200
 1
 2
                TRANSLATOR: When I was there they
 3
     offered medical help to my child, the best medical
 4
    help.
 5
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: And I was very grateful
 6
 7
    because they helped my son.
 8
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
 9
                TRANSLATOR: At the moment I'm at a
     shelter.
10
11
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
12
                TRANSLATOR: Because I need it now
    because I don't have a worker permit.
13
14
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: Anyway, I go and I look for
16
    work anyway, like one day for two days.
17
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
18
                TRANSLATOR: I'm trying to save some
19
    money because I heard we were going to be evicted in
20
     60 days, so I'm a little afraid.
21
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Because I recently-- one of
2.2
23
    my sons who was born about 15 days ago.
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
24
```

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 201
2	TRANSLATOR: We're in winter season, so
3	I'm afraid we'll have to stay outside during the
4	cold, because of the children.
5	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: And just like myself, there
7	is other families that we don't want to be here, but
8	because they treat us as bums and that we come to
9	live off the government, but in reality it's not like
10	that.
11	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
12	TRANSLATOR: We just need more time to be
13	economically stable and get our work permit.
14	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
15	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time
16	expired.
17	TRANSLATOR: And that's what makes me the
18	most afraid.
19	UNIDENTIFIED: I'm going to hand it over
20	to the panelist [inaudible].
21	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon.
23	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: I'm from Venezuela.

UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 202
1
2
                TRANSLATOR:
                             To arrive to this country, I
3
    had to go through the Jungle of Darien [sic] and
4
    eight other countries--
5
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: with my husband and my child
6
7
    of four years old and myself.
8
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
9
                TRANSLATOR: It wasn't easy to get here.
10
    We lasted a month to get here.
11
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
12
                TRANSLATOR: And we decided to-- we
13
    decided to come forward instead of waiting for the
     city, for the appointment because we thought we would
14
15
    not get anything in Mexico.
16
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
17
                TRANSLATOR: We got from Matamoros we
18
     came forward and they treated us very well.
19
     [speaking Spanish]
20
                UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
21
                TRANSLATOR: And they gave us a check-up.
2.2
     They gave us a check-up.
2.3
                               [speaking Spanish]
                UNIDENTIFIED:
                TRANSLATOR: And so we are here.
24
```

applying for asylum.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 203
2	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: We don't have a worker's
4	permit and I would like to have one.
5	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: We are afraid because of the
7	60-day limit that we have at the shelters.
8	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: And I have my child going to
10	school nearby, and the winter, the cold is coming,
11	so.
12	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: And they're making me
14	anxious and we would love to get our worker permits
15	so that we can move somewhere else.
16	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: so, we would love to be
18	helped with that because that we can work and pay
19	taxes.
20	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: Okay, thank you.
22	UNIDENTIFIED: Panelist number three is
23	English speaker.
24	TRANSLATOR: Okay.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 204

[inaudible] I come from UNIDENTIFIED: Africa. I have two [sic] children. I leave my husband with my two children, and I have a school [inaudible]. The 60 days is giving me a lot of [inaudible] because I don't know when I going to move [inaudible] or I going to get again the room or not yet. That's why I said let me ask about to what is going to be our future [sic]. I'm sorry. [inaudible] be our future to be six days [inaudible]. I'm needing help from the government, and they [inaudible]. I'm sorry. I'm so stressed [sic] because I have two children and they're too little and they don't need ever anybody help you or something [inaudible] that's why. I don't know what [inaudible] say, but I meant to say the 60 day [inaudible] I want to be [inaudible] for that. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you so much. We will now call our next in-person panel.

Carlos? Robin? Robin? Okay. I'm trying to use first names. Paula? Is there a Paula? Jackie? You may begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2	CARLOS ROSALES: Thank you, Chair Ayala
3	and Chair Avilés, members of the Committees on
4	General Welfare and Immigration for the opportunity
5	to submit testimony at this hearing regarding the
6	updates on the implementation of the 30- and 60-day
7	rules for asylum-seekers. My name is Carlos Fernando
8	Rosales and I'm the Director of Community Engagement
9	at Citizen's Committee for Children of New York, a
10	multi-issue children's advocacy organizations. CCC
11	is a co-convener of the Families Homelessness
12	Coalition, FHC, whose other members you've heard from
13	and will be submitting testimony as well. CCC and
14	advocates citywide are extremely concerned over the
15	implementation of shelter limits from migrant
16	families at New York City shelters. The harmful
17	effects that children will face will endure and
18	severely impact their education and overall
19	wellbeing, as you heard from other speakers here
20	today. These families have already endured difficult
21	barriers in their effort to become self-sufficient
22	and be an asset to the City's economy. Furthermore,
23	these immigrant families live in constant fear from
24	city enforcement and now even more concerning,
25	federal enforcement. Now more than ever, New York

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 206 City should support immigrant families with children by focusing on solutions to the current shelter and housing crisis. CCC therefore urges the City Council to support the following reforms to improve housing stability of all New York City families with children. First, eliminate the 60-day rule for migrant families with children. In general, 60 days is not enough time for shelter staff to work with families to secure public benefits assistance and find permanent housing. This actively reverses work done by case managers and makes it increasingly difficult for parents to maintain a job. Additionally, families are also unable to access their mail, as you heard earlier today, creating a significant barrier to apply for asylum and work permits, and even possibly risking deportation for missing a notice to appear in Immigration Court. Migrant students must miss school due to mid-year transfers, and often weeks as such. The 60-day rule should eliminate to provide sufficient time for migrant families with children to gain selfsufficiency and thrive. Second, invest in legal services for migrant families. Immigrant families in New York City face unprecedented legal challenges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

We urge the City Council to advocate for more legal resources to prevent family separation and more suffering for migrant children. And last, fully implement the CityFHEPS reform package. As the legal process impedes the expansion of CityPHEPS, CCC and FAC partners continue to advocate for the full suite of its reforms and expansions. The critical resources should be funded and be able to serve those who need it most. CCC will provide more recommendations in the written testimony. Thank you for your time and consideration.

2.2

2.3

ROBIN ALTMAN: Good afternoon Chairperson Ayala, Chairperson Avilés and committee members. I am Robin Altman, supervisor for Asylum-seeker Services in the Refugee Resettlement Department at Catholic Charities Community Services of the Archdiocese of New York. I appreciate this opportunity to testify. As has been noted by compelling testimony today, these policies have had a profoundly negative impact on asylum-seekers lives and run counter to city goals of immigrant integration and self-sufficiency. Asylum seeking families in shelters experience significant instability and some move up to six times a year. The

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 208 children in these families have already experienced the trauma of fleeing their home countries. made the treacherous journey to New York City often on foot in search of safety and stability, but have instead faced continued transient in our shelter system. Clinical interventions for children stress the importance of physical and emotional stability. However, the threat of eviction every 60 days, even the threat of eviction makes children unable to feel safe in their own beds. They may experience physical pain, anxiety, night terrors, and bed wetting. applies to children of all ages. They could be forced to switch schools multiple times a year, decimating fragile community and social support networks. They may face significant obstacles in school that follow them their entire careers. Parents face an impossible choice to spend inordinate hours escorting their children to and from a familiar school or spend their days learning English, looking for jobs and searching for housing. This impossible choice between their children's long-term academic success and their family's long-term stability is devastating and counterproductive. Asylum-seeking adults are eager to work and access a stable housing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION for themselves and their families. They are desperate to find stability and respite from the monthly or bi-monthly cycles of moving. If they leave shelter under these circumstances, they often wind up in basements without heat or windows or apartments with rat or insect infestations. These families often end up re-entering the shelter system worse off and with less money. It would be far better for the families and more efficient for the city if they could stay in one shelter under appropriate housing could be found, rather than existing in conditions so inhospitable that waking up to rats feels preferable to living in city-run shelters. Our clients report significant problems in the communication they receive in shelters. Shelter limit rules are inconsistently communicated in someone's preferred language. One client was told by shelter staff that he had to leave, but understood that he could reapply for a new shelter the same day at a re-ticketing center. However, at the reticketing center, he was told that all shelters were at capacity and they had nowhere to put him. He went back to his previous shelter, but was not allowed to return as his bed had already been reallocated.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION spent the next three nights sleeping on a church pew until a placement could be found. Poor communication has also put pressure on shelter residents to apply for asylum. Residents report being told by shelter staff, not by legal counsel, that they are required to apply for asylum to remain in their shelter. Legal providers have encouraged many people who feel pressured by -- I'm sorry. Legal providers have encountered many people who feel pressured by shelter workers to apply for asylum to remain housed, despite not having strong claims. The influx of people seeking asylum has put a high strain on New York City's already overloaded immigration legal providers and on the asylum courts. One glaring discrepancy that has been mentioned earlier today, in the push for asylum applications as a result of these rules is that asylum-seekers who are evicted from their shelters are unable to access their mail, missing critical communication about their asylum cases. They have no way to track mail that has been sent to a previous shelter address, and miss critical hearing notices and documents needed for work authorization. We urge New York City to eliminate the 30 and 60 shelter rules. Further we urge the City to increase

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 211 the list of exceptions to 60-day shelter rules beyond the K through six provision that we heard earlier today from the Administration. We recommend increasing funding for legal services in shelters, implementing supportive case management distinct from exit planning, ensure that communications with residents is provided in linguistically and culturally appropriate manner, provide training and increase training of shelter staff to ensure that they explicitly offer information regarding expectations, conditions, and extension policies in resident's preferred languages. We also urge the city to expand the housing voucher program to include provision specifically for asylum-seekers who are typically ineligible due to the program's prerequisite of receiving cash assistance for which they are not eligible. Please refer to our submitted written testimony for further recommendations and please know that we are available to answer any further questions. Thank you very much for your commitment to providing safe and sustainable housing to asylum-seekers in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JACKELINE CRUZ: Good afternoon. My name is Jackeline Cruz and I'm the Social Work Supervisor at Unlocal, an organization dedicated to immigration legal representation and community education, and I'm here to speak on the different but related pre-60-day notices that community members have received. This past August, I worked with two recently arrived families who received pre-60-day notices instructing them to prepare to leave their shelters despite not yet having received official eviction notices. One family led by a single mother with three children under 13 years old became overwhelmed knowing that homelessness would threaten her ability to maintain employment and access mental health services. second family, also led by a single mother supporting two sons and her sister, learned that displacement can negatively impact her son's ongoing Family Court proceedings relating to pursuit of Special Immigrant Juvenile Designation. The pre-60-day notice contains misleading, contradictory, and fear-inducing language. It suggests prioritizing an exit plan while school is out, but was issued two weeks before school opening, leaving people with only 14 days to uproot their families, identify safe and immediate

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 213 housing, and transfer children to new schools. notice also fails to provide housing alternatives or resources and was accompanied by inadequate case management from shelter staff requiring supplementary advocacy from an organization like ours. Without this kind of community advocacy, displacement may become inevitable with profound repercussions for already housing insecure populations such as those newly arrived. Frequent relocations disrupt communication with attorneys, hinder the receipt of legal mail, and sever meaningful connections to critical services and community organizations intended to stabilize, empower, and transition individuals to independent living. The impact is even greater on children who face academic disruptions and increase anxiety due to constant school transfers and residential mobility, both of which are known risk factors for the development of adjustment disorders. New York City has long committed to welcoming and sheltering all people, including immigrants and we urge the Adams administration to honor that commitment by addressing the housing crisis for newly arrived immigrants with humane, sustainable and ethical solutions. If self-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on General Welfare With committee on immigration 214 sufficiency is the goal guiding the 30/60-day rule, displacement and destabilization is not the answer. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Agreed. Thank you.

Thank you so much. We will now call the next panel.

Chandler? Last name Miranda. Chandler Miranda?

Mauriel Lacosta [sp?]? Mauriel? Linda Takowsky

[sp?]. Allen Keller? Allen? Alright, hold on.

We're going to just add a couple more. Jorge, Jorge?

Teresa? Aramando? Aramando? Please make sure your

mic is on.

DOCTOR LINDA TEWKSBURY: Good afternoon.

Thank you for the privilege of speaking at today's hearing. My name is Doctor Linda Tewksbury. I am a pediatrician who has proudly cared for underserved children and families in New York City for over 30 years. I testify today to voice strong concerns about the negative impacts of the 30- and 60-day rules, specifically on the health and wellbeing of the asylum-seekers housed in New York City shelters. And to answer an earlier question, as a primary care pediatrician in my medical opinion, it is not trauma-informed care. I speak on behalf of myself and a group of colleague including pediatricians and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION internists who have been meeting on a regular basis for almost two years to try to better understand the health concerns facing our migrant patients and how best to address their health needs. The opinions expressed today are our own and not that of any institution or organization. In caring for the recently arriving asylum-seekers, we have learned of their incredible resilience, as you've heard, as they forge-- seek to forge a better life for themselves and their children. They have endured unspeakable horrors, forcing them to flee their home country and heart-breaking trauma on their dangerous journey here. Yet, they preserve on. They are immensely grateful for the shelter, for the medical care, for the schooling. They are also highly-motivated to leave the shelter system as quickly as they can and become independent, productive, and contributing members of our society. However, it can take many months, certainly more than two before they're able to address pressing healthcare needs and get the basic resources they need to be able to do so. 30- and 60-day rules contribute little or nothing to moving these temporarily-housed asylum-seekers into more stable long-term housing, as you've heard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 216 the contrary, these rules can undermine and delay long-term stabilization through disruptions in their access to critical services including medical services and cause exacerbations of their mental health and medical conditions. Many of our asylumseeking patients arrive with a myriad of complex medical, psychological and social health concerns, forcing vulnerable individuals with no alternative housing options to leave their shelters. stressful, disruptive and potentially harmful to their health important medical appointments are missed as the families have to instead pack up their things and move from one shelter to another. Our doctors and social workers spend countless and often fruitless hours trying to track down patients to reschedule missed appointments, follow up on abnormal laboratory values, ensure proper delivery of needed medical supplies. Some of these patients bounce around to different healthcare facilities resulting in duplication of services. Some don't follow at all. Those are the ones we're worried about. can easily imagine how having to move just as an individual or family settling into a new living situation after a traumatic journey can take a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 217 significant toll on one's physical and mental health. Actually, you don't have imagine. You heard it in the brave voices of the immigrants who testified here One young woman suffering from a chronic today. medical condition required multiple hospitalizations and year of visits for exacerbation of her pain and trauma-related symptoms. She identified her unstable housing situation to be a key contributing factor to her emotional stress and physical pain, necessitating these hospital visits, and there's very good evidence that stress exacerbates a number of both psychiatric and medical conditions. Just receiving a notice that family will be forced to move out of their shelter is enough to significantly exacerbate symptoms of anxiety and PTSD which is common in these patients. As one example, a woman subjected to female genital mutilation as a small child, then forced out of her home under the threat of death after having a daughter with special needs, fled her country with her daughter and made the treacherous journey to the United States. Since arriving in New York City, they've been staying in temporary housing and are no receiving intensive holistic health services. The

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mothers--

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 218

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Can you- I'm sorry. I don't want to interrupt you, but you
ran out of time, and I'm trying-- I want to make sure
that everybody gets adequate time.

DOCTOR LINDA TEWKSBURY: Can I just-CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. Can you just
wrap? Thank you.

DOCTOR LINDA TEWKSBURY: As I hope this testimony examples demonstrate the 30- and 60-day rules for asylum-seekers have been unnecessarily disruptive, stressful, and harmful to health and wellbeing of the recent immigrants who have been subject to them. We hope the city in hearing this and other testimony will immediately eliminate these rules in entirety and focus on other efforts to safely and humanely assist asylum-seekers who have landed in New York City to get on their feet and become independent, healthy and productive members of our society which they so desperately want. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Allen?

ALLEN KELLER: If I skipped the line, I was out-- my son is-- so I'm Allen Keller. I'm a physician who's been caring for asylum-seekers and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 219 refugees for over 30 years. I work at a public hospital. I speak as an individual citizen. Thank you so much. I'll skip to-- cut to the chase. First of all, the look of compassion in all of your eyes reassures me. I would recommend effective immediately that the 60-day rule be put on hold. It's a different world since November 5<sup>th</sup>, and we just have to realize that. I would hope and think the Mayor who actually has done so much in creating systems, even though I think he's shooting himself in the foot right now, but I think he'll understand that, and we need to not be pennywise, dollar foolish. We are about to learn exactly what it means to be a sanctuary city. the reason the number of people arriving is down is not because the things that drive people to flee-- which is really the question I ask and we all need to ask-- have gotten better. It's just it's gotten more dangerous and actually now more difficult to get into this country and it's about to get a lot more. And so given it's going to be fewer people, all the less reason we're going to need to have this rule. The other thing is I guess I would rename or brand it, rather than a 30-day or 60-day rule for departure. We've heard what I believe is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

aspirational, but maybe can be met which is the cornucopia of case management and social services, but let's have a studier 60-day mandate that every 30 or 60 days, a meaningful case worker meeting, not how are you leaving, but what do you need, how are you? And my colleagues and I would be happy to help craft an appropriate questionnaire and thing for that. I do think there needs to be oversight, though, on that. Let me think if there was anything else. Do away with the rule. Asylum, the case management now—well, thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]

JORGE PAZ REYES: Okay. First, thank

you. Thank you, Council Member Ayala and Council

Member Alexa Avilés. My name is Jorge Paz Reyes.

I'm the community organizer at Mixteca, a community
based organization located in Sunset Park and we

serve many the Spanish-speaking community. We work

there regularly with asylum-seekers, many who are

living in shelters throughout the neighborhood, and

of course, I'm here to speak against the 30/60 rule,

and because it is really affecting the way we provide

the services to a lot of the community that we serve.

We serve a variety of services including for mental

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 221 health to immigration services as well as different workshops and development training. However, these policy is really affecting the way we can continue to provide the services, and when it comes to immigration processes, a lot of them don't have [inaudible] to have the stability for us to do follow-ups. We're trying to provide a lot of the case management that these immigrants need. However, this policy is really affecting the way we can do that work. I had a whole statement prepared, but I know you guys have heard a lot today, and I really want to thank you guys for creating this space, because the community that came here were encouraged to provide a testimony. A lot of them including both Teresa and Armando who are here were not planning to give a testimony and were encouraged to do it due to the space that you guys have created, and as a community organizer I really thank you for that, and I really encourage the advocacy against this rule that is really affecting them. So, now I'll just pass the mic to them. Thank you.

ARMANDO BREART: Okay. [speaking Spanish]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 222
 1
                TRANSLATOR: First of all, I want to
 2
 3
    thank you for the opportunity to express myself.
 4
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
 5
                             Since I was a little child I
                TRANSLATOR:
     felt a great admiration for this beautiful country
 6
 7
    the United States.
 8
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
 9
                TRANSLATOR:
                             I am from Venezuela. I was
10
    born in Venezuela of immigrant parents.
11
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
12
                TRANSLATOR: My father is from Cuba and
13
    he fled from the dictatorship of Castro, and my mom
14
     is from Chile and she fled from the dictatorship of
15
     Pinochet.
16
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
17
                TRANSLATOR: And they went to Venezuela
18
     and I was born.
19
                                 [speaking Spanish]
                ARMANDO BREART:
                TRANSLATOR: And I had to leave that
20
21
     country. Unfortunately, today it's ravaged.
2.2
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
2.3
                TRANSLATOR: So, I would like to make a
     request.
24
```

ARMANDO BREART:

[speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 223
2	TRANSLATOR: Just like Trump says, we
3	immigrants we eat dogs, we eat cats, anything else.
4	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: We're already in the 21 <sup>st</sup>
6	century.
7	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: I cannot believe this could
9	go on in the $21^{\rm st}$ century, this racism that still
10	going on.
11	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
12	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: Nevertheless
14	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
15	TRANSLATOR: there is a lot of good
16	people here today [inaudible] with the best
17	intentions.
18	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: Organizations who has
20	allowed me to study.
21	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: At the moment I study
23	English and I'm doing other things as well.
24	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 224
2	TRANSLATOR: So, what I'd like to say is-
3	_
4	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: it's very important. At the
6	moment I'm living at a shelter.
7	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: It's very important that you
9	don't faint with this idea of immigration, because
10	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: I am sure that I as an
12	immigrant am a professional
13	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: I cannot listen to you and
15	talk at the same time. [speaking Spanish]
16	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: I am an educated person.
18	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: I'm a professor. I'm a
20	teacher.
21	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: Media, mass media.
23	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: Not all immigrants that come
25	here are burdened.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 225
 1
 2
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
 3
                TRANSLATOR: We cannot generalize all
 4
     immigrants for one group, for one small group.
 5
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: So, I will tell you again
 6
 7
    that it's very important--
 8
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
 9
                TRANSLATOR: this is -- if it is possible,
    this help with the shelters--
10
11
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
12
                TRANSLATOR: would at least so that you
13
     can stabilize, stabilize yourself--
14
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
15
                TRANSLATOR: and be able to integrate to
    this society of the United States.
16
17
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
18
                TRANSLATOR: I am very sure that none of
19
    us want to live in a shelter.
20
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
21
                TRANSLATOR: We all want to work, eat,
2.2
     cook our own meals.
2.3
                ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Start from zero in new
24
```

25

country.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 226
2	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: And for my family
4	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: And be the positive
6	contributor to this country.
7	ARMANDO BREART: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: Well, everything else has
9	already been said.
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Gracias. Gracias.
11	ARMANDO BREART: Thank you.
12	TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon.
14	TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
15	TRANSLATOR: My name is Teresa.
16	TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: I'm a single mother of two
18	child two daughters.
19	TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: I am very grateful to the
21	country of the United States.
22	TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: When we emigrate, we don't
24	have intention to be a burden, but to progress.

[speaking Spanish]

TERESA:

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 227
 1
 2
                TRANSLATOR: I have two daughters. One
 3
     is 16, one is 13.
 4
                TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: And now the eldest has
 5
    psychological problems.
 6
 7
                TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Because of the change.
 8
 9
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Well, my daughters are in
10
11
    the Bronx--
12
                         [speaking Spanish]
                TERESA:
                TRANSLATOR: going to school and I'm in
13
14
     Brooklyn.
15
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
16
                TRANSLATOR: and it's very difficult for
17
    them, and I go out and work.
18
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
19
                TRANSLATOR: But it's not every day, of
20
             TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
     course.
21
                TRANSLATOR: I have to take care of them,
    because they put in me in a shelter that is very--
2.2
2.3
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: How would you say it?
24
```

25

Dangerous.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 228
 1
 2
                TERESA:
                          [speaking Spanish]
 3
                TRANSLATOR: So, it is a worry. It is a
 4
     worry because we are going through a process that
 5
     wouldn't know if we were going to keep getting help.
                          [speaking Spanish]
 6
                TERESA:
                              In addition, the food-- and
 7
                TRANSLATOR:
     as I said before, I am grateful to the country, but
 8
 9
     it's not a food that is good for the children.
10
                TERESA:
                          [speaking Spanish]
11
                TRANSLATOR: So, I would like to ask you
     only for one favor--
12
13
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
14
                TRANSLATOR: to help us.
15
                TERESA:
                         [speaking Spanish]
                TRANSLATOR: Until we get our worker
16
17
     permit and find an apartment.
18
                TERESA:
                          [speaking Spanish]
19
                TRANSLATOR:
                              Just that. I will be very
20
     grateful as a mother.
21
                TERESA: [speaking Spanish]
2.2
                TRANSLATOR:
                              Thank you.
2.3
                CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]
     Thank you guys.
24
```

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can I say something?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 229

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I just wanted to say to the two doctors as well, thank you for affirming that very simple question that posed to Doctor Long that clearly he could not answer, although he knows the answer to it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The last in-person panel consists of Lauren Migliaccio, Karim Walker, Angela Eslava Gonzalez, and Ariana Hellerman [sp?]. Ariana? No? Okay, thank you. Alright, you may begin.

thank you to Chair Ayala and Chair Avilés. My name is Lauren Migliaccio. I am the Training and Legal Technical Assistance Director at the Immigrant Justice Court. I am going to reference today some of the main highlights that we have submitted in our written testimony, and since we have already heard such powerful testimony from affected community members, I would like to focus in on the finer legal points of why the 30 and 60-day rule is so detrimental to a vulnerable population. The first thing I would like to note for the committees is that asylum law is indeed entrenched in U.S. Federal Law,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 230 and this is a lawful act that people are asserting here. This vulnerable population is asserting a claim based on a protected ground on account of race, religion, nationality, a particular social group, or a political opinion. This current federal administration has moved to curtail lawfully accessible rights to seek asylum here in this country, but New York has remained steadfast in its determination to provide legal representation to these communities. Without stable address and access to legal service providers, people do run the risk of missing Immigration Court documents. They also have an obligation to inform the court within five days of an address change. We've heard people missing mailin notifications. Why that is so dangerous and serious is people can be ordered removed and ordered deported in Immigration Court if they do not appear due to missing any information and correspondence from the courts. Another point here is that people seeking to apply for work authorization only become eligible to do so after 150 days. That is long after a 30 or 60-day stay in the immigration shelters. One of the things that is so essential and important to communication from the federal government is because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

people are only eligible for certain benefits once they are considered to be [inaudible], and in order to do so, that is based on their employment authorization documentation and communication from USCIS. Both communication from Immigration Court and USCIS are not available if people are without access to their mail. I see that I'm at time. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are you aware of any program or nay resource that would ensure that migrants are equipped with the information, you know, like a Know Your Rights kind of, you know, I don't know, handout or posting that could better help them navigate this current climate?

question. Yes, indeed some of our legal fellows are placed at legal service providers that do work with the asylum-seeker population. Know Your Rights presentations are provided by providers at Catholic Charities, the Public Defender organizations and some of the providers that had already testified today. But most notably and importantly is this is in excess to counsel issue and the language access issue. And there is no assurity that people are able to fully comprehend these things if they are between shelters,

and it is hard enough to access this vulnerable population in a language that they understand. And one thing I'd also like to note is that communication to the federal government for immigration must be submitted in written English. So, even if people are aware of their right to have access to counsel, this might not be something they are able to do on their own and in between housing and access to mail.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Absolutely. I got it. Thank you so much. Go ahead.

ANGELA ESLAVA GONZALEZ: Thank you.

Deputy Speaker Ayala, Chair Avilés, Council Members, and staff, good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Angela Eslava Gonzalez and I am a paralegal case handler at the Shelter and Economic Stability Project at NYLAG.

Council Member Ayala, you said to a previous panelist that if he reapplies for shelter, he will be given a placement. This is not true. I just want to make clear that single adult and adult new immigrant families can be put out on the street and can have their reapplication denied by the terms of the Callahan Settlement. New immigrant adults do not have a right to shelter. Although the city claims it

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 233 provides extensive case management services to assist in the process prior to reapplying, the criteria of what counts as evidence for a shelter extension is unclear to many applicants. Most often, new immigrants have taken many steps to exit the shelter system which counts towards being granted an extension, but since the criteria is unclear and the reapplication process inaccurately revolves around whether applicants have proof of status, new immigrants are unaware that they might have had additional evidence to support their application for an extension. Thus, they are often wrongly denied and forced out onto the streets. New immigrants are told that showing evidence that they have looked for a job or that they are working can help their shelter stay extension applications. Many who need to reapply are not legally authorized to work. Essentially, the city is asking people to violate federal law if they want to be granted an extension of their shelter stay. Many NYLAG clients have become street homeless as a result. Further, new immigrant families with minor children are being needlessly destabilized by the 60-day policy. Given that every family with minor children is given a new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 234
placement when they reapply, this policy seems
designed to destabilize families and try to force
them out of New York City. These policies also
affect new immigrant's public benefits and
immigration relief applications due to unreliable
access to mail. The centralized mail room is
insufficient until it is clear when, where and how it
will work. Finally, separating new immigrants into
their own poorly-resourced and poorly-regulated
shelter system discriminates against them based on
their national origin and economic status. Shelter
that's of new immigrants specifically have families
with children that are staying in literal tents that
do not provide shelter from the elements are given
little food, sometimes even cold or frozen, and do
not have access to caseworkers, childcare, or housing
vouchers. Asylum-seeking immigrants should be
provided with the same level of shelter and services
as all other people experiencing homelessness in the
city. We thank the committees on General Welfare and
Immigration for the work you have done to facilitate
services for vulnerable New Yorkers. Happy to answer
any questions if there are any.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Good job. Thank you.

2

1

KARIM WALKER: Members of the Council,

3

4

6

7

work doing outreach with street homeless New Yorkers,

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

good afternoon. My name Karim Walker. I'm an Organizing and Outreach Specialist with the Safety Net Project and I work with homeless New Yorkers every day. In the past several years as part of our I've had the great honor and privilege of meeting several newly-arrived immigrants who are staying on the street and in some of the city's immigrant homeless shelters such as HERRC at Randall's Island, the Creedmore [sic] in Queens, Meyers [sic] Street and Hall [sic] Street in Brooklyn. Through my interactions and dialogue, I've come to-- I've spoken to many people who have been subject to the 30 and 60-day evictions that the city has imposed on them, and we've come to several conclusions. First and foremost, the 30 and 60-day limits are draconian, discriminatory and mean-spirited. We call for their immediate abolition. And contrary the city's claim, they are a clear attempt to wear people down, to get them to give up, and to deter them from accessing shelter at all. Instead of threatening and evicting immigrants, the city would do well to assist them in finding them permanent housing and advocate for work

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 236 authorization and its acceleration which many have asked for us to do. One of the people I've encountered, a woman named Ava, shared her thoughts as follows: "The 30-day rule has impacted me in all aspects because every few months I have to move and it impacts everything. I've been through six different shelters since arriving in New York, and it's impacted my health, studies and emotional health stability. I've been through Brooklyn, Staten Island, Queens, Randall's Island, and back to Brooklyn, and at one of those shelters I had found stability and I was feeling stable emotionally and moving forward. But after finding that stability, I was moved to a place where I had no privacy and had to live in really bad conditions in the shelter. We're immigrants who came here to be able to find a new better future and to work and to be able to help our families, but the displacements are making it impossible to work toward that better future." Undoubtedly, there's a demand for housing. Let me reiterate, housing, not shelter. It's a challenge that our city is facing, but this is not a new challenge and it will not be solved by subjecting tens of thousands of people to cruel shelter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 237 evictions. The shelter population is at record levels because homeless New Yorkers cannot get housing. People go into shelter and they struggle to get out. Success -- city and state administrations have failed to create affordable housing solutions that enable homeless people to exit shelter. Our cities have failed to address city warehousing and landlord warehousing of tens of thousands of vacant apartments, and currently the Mayor is outright refusing to implement CityPHEPS laws that would help thousands of people to move out of shelter and prevent eviction. And we actually advocate for the implementation of those CityPHEPS laws as an aside. Until the city reverses course and engages meaningfully with the root cause of homelessness, most importantly lack of access to permanent housing, these issues will continue to plague our city. have one last question. What kind of city do we want to be? A city that embodies the original model of America e pluribus unum, out of many, one, or do we want to reiterate the darker and more tragic aspects of American history. I'll be happy to answer any questions.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 238

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much. 2 3 I can't agree with you more. Thank you all for the 4 testimony and your work, and we should be investing 5 very differently, and we stand 100 percent in solidarity. We should be housing people with 6 7 permanent housing. We should be utilizing PHEPS. 8 you know, that is a battle. We are engaged with it, with the Administration. So I just, I want to thank you for the work that you have been doing and that we 10

KARIM WALKER: And let me just thank you as well for all the good work that you've been doing.

will be doing together very soon, and thank you for

your conviction and that question.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. So, we'll go to the next panel. Laetitia Duler and Chandler Miranda. Okay, you can--

CHANDLER MIRANDA: Hi, thank you. I know you're ready to go. It's been a long day. I'm here as a professor of education and as a mutual aid worker with Floyd Bennett Field Neighbors. We are a collective dedicated to assisting residents of Floyd Bennett Field, and we have done a lot of things including coat drives and backpack drive and things like that. I really appreciate all of the things

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 239 that have come up today, but I did not hear a lot about Floyd Bennett Field. It is on federal land. It is a soft-sided structure. It is the type of structure that the Trump administration is talking about using as a detention facility. And so while we are talking about getting all of the newest New Yorkers into stable housing in the coming months. would ask you to please, please, please prioritize the families at Floyd Bennet Field in the next 60 days. We really would love to the see the closure and the removal of the infrastructure at Floyd Bennett Field so that it cannot be used as a detention facility by the federal administration. Ιt is to my knowledge the only shelter that exists on federal land right now and has the infrastructure that is being described "as-needed" for large scale detention facilities near sanctuary cities. The city leased the land from the Federal Government to establish the shelter at Floyd Bennett Field. the new administration we are fearful for the families that are currently there. As a sanctuary city, New York City must stand up to the Federal Government and anticipate what's to come. The city must take preemptive action to prevent our newest

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

neighbors from being detained or from the infrastructure put up by the city being used to detain people in unhumane [sic] ways. We, again, we would just really like to ask the Committee to please focus on Floyd Bennet Field and getting it closed, and taken apart before January 20<sup>th</sup>. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: I'm speaking on behalf of Mariella Costa [sp?]. "My name is Mariella Costa, CUNY student, public school kid's mom, and a mutual aid volunteer and organizer supporting newly arrived migrants for the last year and a half. I'm here today to denounce and stand against the unjust and unreasonable 30/60-day shelter limit created by Molly Schaeffer that began to be implemented last summer at single adult shelters in January 2024 at family shelters and that more recently has expanded to DHSrun migrant family shelters. Evicting people, shifting them around after they've found some stability only so that city officials can eliminate them form the system or plan that they have moved on is not a laudable feat as Schaeffer and the Mayor's Chief of Staff have touted in self-congratulatory remarks. For the last two years, the Adams

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 241 Administration has put forth two contradictory discourses. On the one hand, the claim that New York is a sanctuary city and stands with migrants, on the other discourse that vilifies and criminalizes immigrants and portrays them as a financial burden that have cost taxpayers billions and who the city needs to get rid of. The latter is exactly what the shelter limit policy does as a deterrence tactic that involves extra layers of unnecessary Kafka-esque bureaucracy that aims to get rid of people through this arbitrary rule without first supporting asylumseekers transition to stable, permanent housing. number of families supported by our mutual aid network have been relocated to shelters in boroughs far away from jobs, schools, and the communities they started forming and becoming a part of. families who have been transferred to shelters hours away from their kid's school, moved back to their old shelters the second eviction and reapplication process, and then been moved away to a different borough yet again. In this sense, it is unconscionable shelter limit -- this unconscionable shelter limit rule represent a blatant violation of the McKinney Vento act. This inhumane and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 242 destabilizing policy intersects mutual human right issues, the right to stable housing, access to education, to services. It disrupts formation of community and support networks and job stability. One last thing that I want to highlight is that there is no shortage of housing for anyone in New York. As it was reported earlier this year, there are about 90,000 empty, rent-stabilized apartments, apartment warehoused by landlords, and who knows how many thousands of empty New York apartments. There are more empty apartments than there are people that need housing, not to mention the gross mismanagement of city funds and no bid contracts or private companies to run shelters where immigrants and asylum-seekers have been the least benefitted from the millions of dollars -- billions of dollars invested. Liberate these apartments and house the people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Here, here. Thank you. Thank you both for your testimony. We are going to shift to online testimony, but thank you for being here and all the work you're doing.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, this concludes the in-person portion of our public testimony. We

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 243 1 will now move to remote testimony. If you are 2 3 testifying remotely, once your name is called a 4 member of our staff will unmute you, and you may begin once the Sergeant at Arms sets the clock and ques you. So, we will call Stephanie Cordero, 6 7 Carolyn Gleason, Shobana, and Josanna. 8 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Stephanie, can you 9 go first? 10 11 STEPHANIE CORDERO: [inaudible] right here. Good afternoon. Sorry about that. 12 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No worries. 13 Thank you so much for being here. 14 15 STEPHANIE CORDERO: Thank you so much for 16 the opportunity to testify. My name is Stephanie 17 Cordero. I'm the Director of the Immigrants' Rights 18 and Advocacy Project at Brooklyn Legal Services. Brooklyn Legal Services is part of the Legal Services 19 20 New York City which is a legal services organization 21 with a mission to fight poverty and seek racial, social, and economic justice on behalf of low income 2.2 2.3 New Yorkers. We have been around for 50 years and our practice areas include housing, immigration, 24

public benefits, among others. I will share a couple

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 244 of experiences we have had personally as the legal services provider organization with the 30- and 60day rule. So, the first anecdote we have is about a mother we assisted who was from Honduras and she was here with her four children at a shelter. All her children were under the age of 12 years old, school aged, and she had the same experience as what you've heard today in terms of being moved from shelter to shelter. She told us she was moved three to four times within a months' time, and the moves were so disruptive that she did end up going to California permanently. So this interrupted the services that we were providing as we were unable to represent her and her case, obviously, but what was most striking about this was that she had actually entered the United States in 2021, and thus, the rules should have not applied to her, but it's not surprising to us to see such a Draconian policy sweep up far more people than it intended because of the chaos that it's caused logistically, and particularly, when shelter residents themselves are often given little or any information about the 30- to 60-day rule and the extensions that they could request. And we experienced this firsthand as well when we went to

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 245

the Kingsborough men's shelter in Brooklyn to provide

Know Your Rights Workshops--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time expired.

2.2

2.3

Anyway, just to request again, it's very disruptive to the services that we provide to due process rights of the newest New Yorkers, and as New York we need to do better for those who are coming here to make this city better. Thank you so much for listening.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, and we encourage-- if you would submit your testimony in written form, we can hear the full for the record. Thank you so much. Carolyn Gleason?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

CAROLYN GLEASON: Hello. I'm Carolyn Gleason. I'm proud to see my Council Member Rita Joseph there. Thank you, Council Member Ayala and Avilés. I'm a community member. My son's school last November received dozens of new families, and the infrastructure we had built of mutual aid and support immediately went into action to offer each family that arrived \$500 in support, clothing, and access to services. This was a parent-led volunteer

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION opportunity -- operation. Our school was underenrolled, and because of the new arrivals of the families we finally were fully-enrolled. We built deep relationships. They were part of our community. Yet, 60 days in, as soon as that role went into effect, we scrambled to find them suitcases. Many of them went to the reprocessing center only to be sent back to Floyd Bennett Field. Floyd Bennett Field is no place for children. I've long said that. It is a flood zone. It is dangerous. And as the Trump administration is threatening mass-deportation, I agree with the previous speaker, that it is dangerous to keep Floyd Bennett Field open. But the truth is, is that Floyd Bennett Field residents, many of them are working. Many of them are desperate to find permanent housing, and New York City's housing crisis is deep. There is not even a room to rent. And so I highly-- I request that there be a mass investment, not just for our new arrivals, but for all New Yorkers to expand access to truly affordable housing, expand access to the vouchers that allowed opportunity for people to find permanent homes. People are working. They can pay rent, but there's just not enough housing, and so it is a false idea

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 247
  2 that people are not doing enough to find housing.
  3 And so I would— that is like a whole other dimension
  4 to this, and I—
- 5 SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank 6 you. Your time expired.
  - CAROLYN GLEASON: do have worries about what's ahead for those families. Thank you.
  - CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
    Carolyn. We agree. Shobana?
- 11 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

this opportunity to speak today. My name is Doctor
Shobana Ramasamy and I am a Primary Care Physician in
New York City. Today, I'm speaking on behalf of the
New York Doctor's Coalition. We are an organization
of over 900 physicians working in New York City with
over multiple decades of experience caring for
patients. Being on the front lines of healthcare
here, we have within the last year cared for and
advocated on behalf of hundreds of newly-arrived
people including single adults and families from over
50 countries. We write and speak with urgency and
immense concern regarding the 30- and 60-day rule of
temporary shelter. As healthcare workers and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 248 trainees, we know intimately the importance of safe and stable affordable, and as such, we are staunchly against the 30- and 60-day shelter stay limits. recall the case of a young family from Venezuela who was seen in one of our clinics. This family of five experienced severe PTSD from their journey. younger children, in particular, we struggling with depression caused by difficulties with sustaining a stable school environment and exacerbated by disruptions in the continuity of their medical and mental health care. Their story is one of so many hundreds. The current policy of 30- and 60-day notices, as we've heard by everybody here, is not only deeply harmful at present, but it creates deep rifts of trauma that echo forward in multiple aspects of an individuals' health for years to come. negative impacts are incalculable, and they are include and are not limited to, interruptions in healthcare, obviously for patients who have underlying chronic health conditions, suboptimal childhood growth and development due to inadequate nutrition and difficulty obtaining specialized services, and of course, the enumerable traumas implicated on children who don't get to have a steady

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

connection with their school. Furthermore, we have also had cases where this policy was unpredictably applied at the individual HERRC level. I recall a case of a young women who arrived into the city with an openly draining fistula—

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time expired.

SHOBANA RAMASAMY: and despite an extension— I just want to say, we believe that the only way to stop the harmful impact is to eliminate the 30— and 60-day shelter limits in their entirety. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Doctor Shobana. Thank you for your testimony and your work, and we agree. Thank you. Next we have Josanna.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

JOSANNA VAZ: Good afternoon. Thank you to Chairs Avilés and Ayala for hosting this hearing. My name is Josanna Vaz and I'm ESL instructor and advocate at Fifth Avenue Committee, a 46-year-old nonprofit community development corporation rooted in south Brooklyn. Our mission is to advance economics, social and racial justice across New York City. Each

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 250 year, we serve nearly 7,000 participants, many of whom are newly-arrived New Yorkers. Since June we've been conducting English classes at a men's shelter in Brooklyn to teach English as a second language, but also to help these men integrate into the community, find employment, and navigate their asylum-seeking processes to continue their journey here in the U.S. However, a significant obstacle to our work is the limited time we have with each student. After just four to six weeks, they're evicted from the shelter and new students arrive. Because of this, we adapt our curriculum to teach what we call Survival English. While practical in the short-term, it is far from enough. For these men to truly integrate into the community, secure stable employment, and gain confidence in speaking English, they need continued education and support. In addition to this challenge, I've observed how this timeline negatively impacts mental health. These men are already facing the hardships of living in a shelter, and the constant instability only heightens their anxiety. They begin their classes and start making progress only to be abruptly transferred to a shelter far away. These uncertainties surrounding their housing

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 251 1 situation creates a deep sense of instability in 2 3 their lives. It is not only necessary, but--4 SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank 5 you. Your time expired. JOSANNA VAZ: It is not only unnecessary 6 7 but cruel to impose such conditions on human beings. I just want to thank you and say that we urge the 8 city to put a stop to these inhumane policies that destabilize lives, puts people on the street, and 10 worsens the homelessness crisis. We also urge the 11 Administration to share updates and accurate data on 12 13 the impacts of these policies. These New Yorkers 14 deserve the opportunity to build a better future, and 15 that starts with stability and support. Thank you for your time and consideration. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much 18 for your testimony. Next we have Karin. 19 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Karin Takahashi? 20 21 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 2.2 KARIN TAKAHASHI: Hi. Yes, thank you. 2.3 My name is Karin Takahashi and I'm a social worker of the Immigrant Rights and Advocacy Project at Brooklyn 24

Legal Services. Thank you so much for the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 252 opportunity to testify today. As a licensed social worker with my experience working in the HERRC migrant shelters as a social worker, I have witnessed firsthand the profound and destructive impact of the current shelter policy on the vulnerable population and the fear and the distress that it has caused on these residents. Many of the migrants in these shelters are seeking asylum, as we know, and they have already endured significant trauma ranging from violence, displacement, trafficking, sexual abuse, incarceration, and loss. As we know, this policy exacerbates these challenges and creates a cascade of many significant negative effects. So for these children, this policy is a devastating disruption to both their education and emotional development. families are moved from shelter to shelter, children are frequently forced to change schools, face extended commutes, sometimes are unable to attend the schools at all, as we have all heard. The constant instability undermines their academic process, progress, and significantly harms their mental Many of these children have diagnoses of health. anxiety, depression, PTSD, as I have seen in the shelters previously and at my current position. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 253 example, I have worked with a 10-year-old child who suffered so much from this instability that the child expressed thoughts of suicide. Additionally, I have counseled countless children who refuse to go to school due to the overwhelming challenges of adjusting to their new schools or their new environments, often resorting to drugs and other maladaptive behaviors as they seek a sense of community and belonging. And for individuals with both physical and mental health concerns, this policy exacerbates existing health risks and disrupts continuity of care, also we have heard countless times. These frequent moves between shelters force people to switch healthcare providers, travel long distances to access--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time expired.

KARIN TAKAHASHI: [inaudible] I just want to say that many of these residents when I worked at the shelter I lost contact with them, disrupting the coordination and loss of referrals and ultimately interrupted other ongoing treatments with both their physical health and their mental health. Thank you so much for your time.

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Karin.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you for the work that you are doing. Thank you. Next we'll have Taina.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Taina Wagnac?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

TAINA WAGNAC: Yes, good evening, Chair Avilés and Chair Ayala. My name is Taina Wagnac. I am the Senior Manager of State and Local Policy at the New York Immigration Coalition. I am here today to once again condemn Mayor Adams shelter limit stay which has forced people to give up their room or bed after 30 or 60 days. Getting people into permanent housing must be the number one priority and solution to freeing up space in the city's overburdened shelter system, not limiting how long they can have roof over their head or access to a warm bed for a couple of nights. Mayor Adams came into office, saw the urgent challenges facing our shelter system, and instead of working with Council for real solution, he said I can do worse. Rather than addressing overcrowding with innovation, humanity, he has made our city his playground, experimenting with policies that have worsened the very crisis he claims to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 255 address. Getting straight to it, the Mayor's 30- and 60-day shelter limit stay is a bad policy that has contributed to an unconscionable humanitarian crisis in our shelter. The shelter stay limits are not only cruel, but a blatant betrayal of process made to our vulnerable system. Not only is there a lack of clarity, oversight, and guidance from the Administration, but the Administration is also reporting false data and information. At first, community providers was told that the 30-day limit applies to all single adults and the 60-day limits for those age 18 to 23 and that pregnant persons and families with children will not receive shelter notices. However, that turned out to be a lie as families with children are also getting entrapped and have been given notices to leave sometimes with little time to prepare. Because while the notices to leave are after 30 or 60 days, the notices themselves are not necessarily given within those time frames, and families often learn that they have few days to pack up and leave. Also, the ripple effect this policy has had on school-aged children and disrupting their education in school enrollment, where often families are given notices and have to change

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 256 1 placement the middle of them getting enrolled and 2 3 connected to support services or their kids getting 4 into school. For--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time is expired. 6 7 TAINA WAGNAC: [inaudible] organization that have recorded to affect clients that were in the 8 middle of them getting enrolled, getting their vaccination shots, and having to file [inaudible] 10 11 that they have placed to another shelter. And also, to the issues-- the notice are being issued in 12 13 English and many of our recent arrivals are limited 14 in English proficiency are not aware and able to 15 follow the full instruction. We call for the passage of Intro 214 to end shelter eviction, and we ask that 16 17 New York pass the Working Family Tax Rate 18 legislation, and we thank you Council for the work 19 that you're doing in supporting immigrant 20 communities. 21 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much 2.2 Taina. Next we'll have Magdalena. 2.3 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Magdalena, can you 24

hear us?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 257

MAGDALENA BARBOSA: Yes, hi. Hi, good
afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. Thank you. MAGDALENA BARBOSA: hi, honorable Chairs. My name is Magdalena Barbosa. I'm the Director of Legal Services at Catholic Migration Services. thank you so much for this opportunity to provide testimony. Catholic Migration Services provides free legal services and information to low income New Yorker residents in three main areas, immigration, worker's rights and housing. For the past two years, Catholic Migration Services has been a member of the Pro Se Plus Project which is a collaborative of nonprofit immigration legal services agencies and community-based organizations that provide legal orientation, screening, legal advice and pro se assistance with applications for asylum, temporary protected status, work permits, and a variety of immigration court motions to immigrants who have not been able to find legal representation. Through PSPP we have had direct contact with thousands of newly arrived immigrants over the last two years, many of whom have been or are still in shelter-- who are still shelter residents and have been or are being

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 258 negatively impacted by the 30- and 60-day shelter rules. We have seen fear about personal safety when individuals and families have bene ordered to evacuate from shelters. We've seen people who have had to sleep outside and in subway stations where they are vulnerable to robbery, verbal harassment and physical attacks. When they have to pack all of their belongings and lug them around on public transportation and through city streets from one borough to another, they do so in fear of their property, including very important information for their immigration cases. Second, being homelessness is particularly hard on immigrants who speak languages other than English and Spanish who find it hard to communicate with other New Yorkers or with law enforcement. They wander the streets in public transportation hubs confused and unable to communicate. The inability to communicate effectively when homeless, hungry and without basic resources--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank

MAGDALENA BARBOSA: can escalate normal

encounters and even lead to arrest. Even minor

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you. Your time expired.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 259 arrests that stem from misunderstandings aggravated by language barriers can have a negative impact on eligibility for legal immigration status. Under the incoming administration, arrests will likely lead to deportation. Third, the 30- and 60-day shelter rules interfere with the ability of asylum-seekers to obtain work authorization because they need a stable address to receive notices for fingerprints and later their physical work permits and social security cards. For those who have been fortunate enough to have already received their work permits, life on the streets is not conducive to finding and keeping a new Ideally, the city would provide stable housing until immigrants can work legally and save money to make other housing arrangements. Access to language services and case management in shelters and free immigration services would also help those who speak languages other than English or Spanish to get their bearings, understand our systems better and realize they have rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Magdalena, could you--

MAGDALENA BARBOSA: [interposing] 30 days at a shelter is simply not enough for someone who's

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 260 1 suffered persecution and endured dangerous journeys 2 3 to begin to make a transition to life in the United 4 States, let alone navigate our complex immigration 5 system. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Magdalena, could 6 7 you-- could you wrap up your testimony as we have 8 others? Thank you. 9 MAGDALENA BARBOSA: Oh, sure, yeah. think I'm just about done. Thank you so much for the 10 11 opportunity to provide testimony this afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much 12 13 for your work and your testimony. Next, we have Kat. 14 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 15 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Kat, you're up next. 16 KAT: Hi. 17 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, you may begin. 18 Thank you for this opportunity. 19 So, I'm here to testify to support the elimination of 20 the 30- and 60-day policy and agree with others who have referred to it as Draconian. I also am 21 2.2 considering that might be an understatement, given 2.3 the New York City housing situation along with the employment challenges that the new Americans face. 24

As a past shelter resident, I know that I've helped

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 261 testify to the shelter conditions for over six years, and these haven't improved. Whether it's the testimony you've heard about the food or the lack of food or the spoiled food or the cold food, the hour restrictions, being kicked out of the shelter during the day despite the weather, the lack of staff actually helping or knowing how to help or knowing what they're supposed to do are just some -- and that's as a single adult. Not even-- I've had friends go into the shelter with children and they have the same problems with like no help for the children or how to find places to take the children, how they can get the children enrolled in schools. Currently, I'm a volunteer with Networks of Children-- sorry, with Networks of Churches with Interfaith Network and other nonprofits, primarily in Manhattan. This includes homeless and supportive housing organization. And I know that it takes longer than 60 days to find an apartment, even after you happen to get funding secured or a voucher, that applying for a work permit is at least six months. people have aid it can even take a lot longer. And that this-- as though somebody doesn't have health challenges or school challenges or other challenges

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

just living in New York City, and that those challenges can also add to health problems or stress problems while people are trying to just survive New York City, survive New York City, survive New York City's housing situation.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time expired.

KAT: Thank you. Just look into the history of New York City, like [inaudible] and Sister Cabrini. Like, those are examples of immigrants who ended up with housing and helping with hospitals, and that's part of what made New York City, New York, our immigrants like that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
Kat, for your testimony. Next we have Alana
Tornello.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

ALANA TORNELLO: Hello. My name is Alana and I'm testifying on behalf of the Human Services

Council. We are a coalition of over 175 nonprofit human services providers in NYC. For over two years we've engaged hundreds of providers serving new arrivals who informed the written testimony that we will submit. We urge the City of New York to end its

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 30- and 60-day shelter limits for new arrivals. City should be investing in stead in sustained community-driven human services, especially the advocacy and support provided in continual case management and legal services by our community These shelter limits create hardships for partners. new arrivals, and as my colleagues have describe, they add cost for the city and providers by complicated and extending human services. It does so by disrupting the completion of critical steps and services that bring new arrivals out of a state of crisis. New arrivals who are forced to keep moving miss important notices, become disconnected from communities and services that link them to employment, housing, legal and health opportunities, and are re-traumatized. This policy along with a lack of investment and coordination for human services blocks sustainable pathways and to NYC communities. With that in mind, we ask the city take all resources used to enforce shelter limits and relocation associated with the 30- and 60-day rule and instead redirect them towards comprehensive human services and community care, especially coordinated case management services with strong data

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 264 protections, expanded capacity for legal services, sustainable communities , housing, and other human services. The city should then work with human services partners to design a stronger coordination and more equitable resource sharing model. We continue to see resources, rules and rhetoric in this city send the message to new arrivals that they're not welcome, and that divisiveness has created so much anger in NYC communities, directing the real frustrations of struggling New Yorkers towards new arrivals who are not the cause of that really-- that pain. Imagine if we invested instead in the community services that would truly help all New Yorkers, including new arrivals. In the tough times ahead, let's focus on that care infrastructure. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Here, here. Thank you so much, Alana. Thank you for the work you're doing. And lastly, we have Jennie Spector.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

JENNIE SPECTOR: Hi, everybody. Thank
you very much to Chair Avilés and to Chair Ayala for
holding this hearing. My name's Jennie Spector. I
am a immigration advocate, mutual aid organizer, and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 265 caring citizen. I wanted -- I mean, it's been very clear from all the testimony that you've heard from impacted people that this rule is just meant as a deterrent and to create chaos for migrants, and what needs to happen first, we need to end this rule, but we also need to end this state of emergency which created the reason for the rule to be put in place. So that pressure needs to be put on the Mayor to end the state of emergency. We are not in a state of emergency and we never were. He has, you know, created a crisis where there wasn't one. We've had this number of migrants and immigrants come to New York City for years, and it's been dealt with in a very different way. I also want to advocate for, as others have said, for permanent housing, for the expansion of CityPHEPS vouchers which I know that you're in favor of. Also, as other people have said, increase case management. It's just sorely, sorely lacking. We have WhatsApp groups for -- I've focused on the Hall Street shelters in Clinton Hill. We have WhatsApp groups for all of the free shelters there, and they are-- you know, there's so information and education that's required that they are not getting from anywhere, not getting from any-- you know, any

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on general welfare with committee on immigration 266 city agency, not from the case managers there in the shelter. I spend hours a day responding to messages and providing--

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time expired.

JENNIE SPECTOR: And I just want to also advocate that this-- that the bill 210 should be passed, but also it needs to be part of a package of bills with Intro 942 which would be minimum standards for emergency shelter, and Intro 943 which would be a notification that you have a right to shelter at DSS shelter when there are vacancies which is not happening now. And we can do so much better, and I know that you know that, and happy to continue to work with you and your committee on this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
Ms. Spector. Thank you for the work you do and for
being our last public testimony. So, seeing no one
else-- if there's anyone else present in the room or
on Zoom that hasn't had the opportunity to testify,
please raise your hand. Seeing no one else, I would
like to note that written testimony which will be
reviewed in full by committee staff may be submitted
to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 267 hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov. And with that, we will-- I guess, what we heard today was pretty resounding that this policy is not a good policy. It needs to end immediately. We will do our best and work with the agencies, with the advocates and residents and people on the ground to make sure that we protect each other and we hold the dignity of all. So thank you for your testimony everyone and thank you for-- to the staff for all their work on this hearing. And with that, we bring this hearing to a close. [gavel]

## 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 2, 2024