### COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 1

CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

----- X

February 27, 2024 Start: 1:14 p.m. Recess: 4:16 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Chris Banks, Committee on Public

Housing Chairperson

Julie Won, Committee on Contracts

Chairperson

Gale Brewer, Committee on Oversight and Investigations

Chairperson

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Erik D. Bottcher Darlene Mealy Chi A. Ossé

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

Julie Won

#### COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH

### COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH

### COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2

### COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik D. Bottcher Sandy Nurse Althea V. Stevens Inna Vernikov

### COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala
Chris Banks
Rita C. Joseph
Shekar Krishnan
Lincoln Restler
Nantasha M. Williams
Julie Won
Kalman Yeger

### OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH

### COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 3

#### APPEARANCES

Jocelyn E. Strauber, Commissioner, Department of Investigations

Lisa Bova-Hiatt, Chief Executive Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Brad Greenburg, Chief Compliance Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Daniel Greene, Executive Vice President for Property Management Operations at New York City Housing Authority

Sergio Paneque, Chief Procurement Officer at New York City Housing Authority

Celina Miranda

Alixa Creiz

Renee Keitt

Christopher Leon Johnson

Joel Kupferman

Nigel Dupree

Aixia Torres

Dana Elden

Tevina Willis

# COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH

### COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 4

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Maria Forbes

Karen Blondel

Jacqueline Lara

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone test for the Committee on Contracts joint with the Committee on Oversight and Investigation joint with the Committee on Public Housing. Recorded on February 27, 2024, located in Chambers, by Nazly Paytuvi.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Welcome to the New York
City Council Hearing on Contracts joint with Public
Housing and Oversight and Investigations.

At this time, we ask that you silence all electronic devices and at no time should you approach the dais.

Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [GAVEL] This meeting is called to order.

Good afternoon, everyone. I am Council
Member Chris Banks, the Chair of the Committee on
Public Housing, and I'm glad that my first hearing as
Chair is being held jointly with the Committee on
Contracts and the Committee on Oversight and
Investigation. We are joined by my Colleagues from
all three Committees, Council Member Rivera and
Council Member Chi Ossé and Council Member Sanchez

and Council Member Bottcher.

1 2

2.2

2.3

While I'm glad to be Chairing this

Committee and to be here with my Colleagues, I'm also deeply disappointed that my first hearing as Chair is to focus on the corruption scandal in NYCHA. The allegations, the arrests made earlier this month undermines New Yorkers' trust and, even more importantly, the residents of NYCHA's trust that public money is being spent appropriately, the repairs to NYCHA apartments are being made correctly and quickly, and that NYCHA is on the right track.

The allegations of bribery, extortion, and awarding of no-bid contracts announced by the U.S. Attorney earlier this month extend to almost 100 NYCHA developments across the entire NYCHA portfolio.

As the U.S. Attorney stated in his press conference, the breadth of the allegations shows that there was a culture of corruption among NYCHA superintendents that allegedly extended until as recently as last summer. Today, my Colleagues and I want to hear from NYCHA about what steps they've taken to address these allegations, both in the immediate and aftermath and what steps they likely will take in the future. I'm pleased that both Department of Investigation, the U.S. Attorney said

2.2

2.3

NYCHA leadership is cooperating and pledged to institute DOI's recommendations, but there are still questions to be answered. DOI made recommendations when contractors were indicted in 2001 for the other half of these alleged transactions, but only some of the recommendations were accepted. I would like to know the decision-making process around which recommendations were accepted and which were not. I would also like to know what the gaps are in the process and oversight that allowed these alleged behaviors to continue until last year, and finally, I want to know what steps are going to be taken to try and earn back NYCHA residents' trust.

I would like to thank my staff, Michael

Lambert, along with the Public Housing Committee

Staff, Jose, Charles, Kim, Dan, Nicholas,

Christopher, and Connor for the work they've put in this hearing.

I will now turn it over to Chair Brewer to make her opening statement.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. I am Gale Brewer, and I'm Chair of the Council's Committee on Oversight and Investigations, and I know we'll hear from Chair Won. She is on

maternity leave, and we have to have a quorum in order for her to speak so that's why she's not speaking right now, but I'm sure we will get a quorum.

2.2

2.3

I'm delighted to be conducting today's hearing jointly with the Committee on Public Housing and the Committee on Contracts. I do want to thank Council Member Chris Banks and Council Member Julie Won for all the hard work as well as that of their teams for putting this hearing together.

on bribery and extortion charges, while disturbing, are unfortunately not surprising. NYCHA does have a history of corruption and abuse surrounding the use of micro purchases. These arrests are just the latest example. Micro purchases at the center of this bribery and extortion scheme have a streamlined approval process to give development employees, those are the folks in the field, the ability to quickly address small scale problems in their buildings without having to go through a lengthy bidding process.

We all want apartments to be repaired quickly. It's a laudable goal, particularly the

vacant ones, to be honest with you. However, as we have seen over and over, the lack of oversight makes these types of contracts vulnerable to abuse and fraud. Department of Investigation, and I want to thank the Commissioner and her staff, has repeatedly warned NYCHA that these micro contracts are vulnerable to corruption, but the agency did not act.

In 2021, the Department of Investigation made several recommendations to NYCHA, and I know it was before this DOI and this NYCHA, but I was around, regarding micro purchase reforms, which the agency summarily rejected. It was not until 70 current and former NYCHA employees were arrested that they agreed to implement a set of recommendations made by DOI, and I appreciate NYCHA doing that. It shouldn't, however, take 70 arrests for NYCHA to shut down known opportunities for bribery and extortion by development managers, particularly when DOI has given them a road map on how to get this done. There's just no excuse, and I want to add that my office has received several people who have come forward as whistleblowers with the same kinds of problems in the last couple of weeks and I have sent them to DOI.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Today, we are here to examine NYCHA's response to the most recent bribery and extortion

4 that was uncovered in the micro purchase contracts.

5 We want to consider how we can ensure that public

6 housing residents can have their urgent repair needs

7 addressed in a timely manner without leaving the

8 process open to bribery, extortion, and all kinds of

9 corruption like what was recently uncovered by the

10 | federal prosecutors in Manhattan.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just want to add also, it's hard because residents complain that they are seeing the offices of the development staff, so called local staff, fixed up and not their apartments, and these are the kinds of things that we should have paid more attention to.

Before I turn this wonderful meeting back to Chair Banks, I'd like to ask the great Public Advocate to say a few words. I do also want to thank Nicole Catá who is General Counsel to this Committee, legislative analysts Erica Cohen and Alex Yablon, and financial policy analyst Owen Kotowski and from my office, Sam Goldsmith.

Now I turn it over to our great Public Advocate, Jumaane Williams.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for the word great before that.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You're lucky. You won't always get it. Just so you know.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

My name is Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate of the

City of New York. Thank you very much, Chair Banks,

Chair Won, and Chair Brewer, and Members of the

Committee, Public Housing, Contracts, and Oversight

and Investigations for holding this hearing and

allowing me the opportunity to provide a statement.

New York City has a shortage of affordable housing that's been exacerbated by the pandemic. New Yorkers are subject to drastic increases in rent, predatory landlords, and long waits for repairs and evictions. NYCHA residents unfortunately are not exempt from such actions. While bribery and extortion have been rampant in government and in New York City for a very long time, one of the famous ones, Tammany Hall, the recent indictments of NYCHA workers have had a demoralizing effect on its residents, its ethical and trustworthy staff, which are many, and all New Yorkers. Back in 2021, the

1 Department of Investigation sent a letter to former 2 3 NYCHA Chair Greg Russ stating all the findings they 4 found suspicious. NYCHA was alerted multiple times but refused the recommendations. They had ample time to implement these or other changes but did not do 6 7 so. Every business, organization, or government 8 agency must have measures in place to weed out corruption and fraud. NYCHA's longstanding chronic budget deficit is no excuse to not put measures in 10 11 place and reassess those measures on a regular basis. I've often said NYCHA needs money and better 12 13 management. Both of those things are huge problems for NYCHA. Management is something that NYCHA can do 14 15 better at even without the money that is desperately 16 needed. NYCHA's inability to eradicate mold and make 17 timely repairs has notoriously made them the worst 18 landlord for six years in a row. According to the 19 findings for 2023, there are 335 developments on the 20 watch list with 177,569 units with violations. The 21 average number of open work orders between January 2023 and November 2023 are 618,310. NYCHA needs about 2.2 2.3 78 billion to resolve these necessary repairs. Therefore, the corrupt behavior of 70 NYCHA employees 24 rubs salt on the wounds of those residents who are 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

waiting for repairs while critical dollars are being siphoned into the pockets of those employees. These charges are a clear indication of the extensive failure to make needed repairs and the failure to weed out possible corruption.

NYCHA has consistently failed to create a healthy and safe living environment for its residents. These indictments are a symptom of the larger problem of doing timely repairs. It takes so long for repairs to get addressed that no one noticed a disruption in the repair process. Equally important, hazardous conditions are not addressed, not abated, and oftentimes escalates from an individual apartment to a building-wide problem. My office and I released a report on NYCHA called How the Other Half Lives in Public Housing highlighting the dangerous conditions that NYCHA developments that we witnessed during our five-borough tour in 2022. They had frequent issues with mold, leaks, and rodent and insect infestation. Residents are facing excessive filth in their homes with unsanitary conditions that can cause an unhealthy living environment that can lead to illness. It has been one and a half years since we released it, and it has yet

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to be addressed in any meaningful way, such as the Jacob Reese water contamination and recent death from Legionnaire's disease. There were several recommendations that we had, but just ones that are very appropriate right now, which was number three, the metric used to hire contractors should be improved. NYCHA should develop a reliable list of contractors that can be used within the developments. The current hiring system is unreliable, and NYCHA may hire someone who they know with the lowest price, which results in NYCHA's crippling housing infrastructure, and number four, NYCHA should develop a reliable list of contractors that can apply through the RFP process to do work with their developments. We also discussed Healthy Homes Act and automatic inspections.

As we watch the legal process unfold, I hope to hear from NYCHA what approach it will take to weed out corruption, address much needed and long-awaited repairs while ensuring the protection of residents. I do have to say it feels just like another day in NYCHA, like we've been here before, having a discussion again. I really hope something is going to change. This type of micro contracting,

there's no way that anyone can look at it and not say without the proper guardrails, without the proper oversight, something was going to go wrong, and in fact, someone did. DOI did. Why NYCHA would not act on that makes absolutely no sense and has nothing to do with the money that is absolutely needed for NYCHA but has to do with somebody's incompetence in overseeing this.

Thank you so much.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you so much, Public Advocate.

Now, we're going to go to Chair Julie Won.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much,
Chair Banks, and thank you so much to the Public
Advocate and Council Member Brewer.

Good afternoon. My name is Council Member
Julie Won. I am the Chair of Committee on Contracts.

I am pleased to join my Colleagues from all three
Committees in convening this important joint
oversight hearing examining corruption and
mismanagement within NYCHA's micro purchasing
contracting.

2.2

2.3

The alleged bribery scheme by NYCHA employees uncovered earlier this year marked a serious breach of public trust as we've heard from all three speakers before. 70 current and former NYCHA staff across nearly 100 developments are implicated. This pay-to-play culture for certain maintenance contracts is clearly widespread, including in my own District in Ravenswood Houses as well as Queensbridge Houses.

As Chair of the Committee on Contracts, I am deeply concerned any time City procurement rules and standards fall short. The micro purchasing process at NYCHA, while well-intentioned in aiming to expedite urgent repairs, clearly enabled abuse by leaving too much discretion to frontline staff without sufficient guardrails. Unfortunately, previous scandals and warning signs regarding vulnerabilities in the micro contracting process went unheeded. As a result, instead of efficiently maintaining buildings for NYCHA residents, public funds lined the pockets of unscrupulous employees and vendors. Going forward, we must ensure enhanced accountability, oversight, and transparency around

2.2

2.3

all NYCHA contracting as NYCHA works to implement the 14 civic reform recommendations put forward by DOI.

After the recent indictments, this Committee and our Colleagues on the Public Housing and Oversight and Investigation Committee will continue to closely monitor that progress. At today's hearing, we'll examine the factors that enabled widespread corruption within the micro purchasing program and identify solutions to restore integrity in the process. The Committee seeks to understand what NYCHA management knew regarding vulnerabilities, when they knew it, and why action was not taken sooner. We want concrete commitments regarding next steps to implement oversight changes that can prevent this degree of fraud in the future. Most importantly, we must determine how NYCHA residents suffered as a result of the misused funds and corrupted process regarding urgently needed repairs to their homes. Going forward, the public deserves full confidence that every dollar funding NYCHA works addresses real maintenance needs rather than lining their own pockets. NYCHA residents deserve nothing less. The Committees expect to hear testimony today from NYCHA,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 18 2 DOI, and residents themselves to provide all needed 3 context around the recent indictments. 4 I'd like to thank my Co-Chairs for 5 convening this timely and essential hearing. Before we begin, I'd like to thank the Contracts Committee 6 7 Staff, Senior Legislative Counsel Alex Paulenoff, 8 Policy Analyst Alex Yablon, the Principal Finance Analyst Nia Hyatt as well as my Chief-of-Staff Nick Gulotta, my Legislative Director Isaac Blasenstein, 10 11 and my Comms Director Jenna Laing for all their hard 12 work in preparing for this hearing. 13 I also want to acknowledge Council Member Mealy has also joined us as well as Council Member 14 15 Avila. 16 I'll turn it back over to Council Member 17 Chair Banks. 18 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. Thank 19 you, Madam Chair. Now in keeping with tradition of this 20 21 Committee before we hear from the Department of 2.2 Investigation, we're going to go into our pre-panel 2.3 for witnesses. Also, let me recognize Council Member 24

Yeger and Council Member Stevens.

2.2

2.3

to resident pre-panel. This will be on Zoom. When you hear your name, you'll get a prompt to be unmuted.

The witness testimony today will be two minutes. When you hear your name, you will be prompted to be unmuted. The first resident will be Nigel Dupree. You may begin when you're unmuted.

NIGEL DUPREE: Good afternoon. How is everybody doing today? Can you hear me?

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We can hear you.

NIGEL DUPREE: First of all, I want to thank the Council board for hearing our concerns. As a resident in NYCHA community, I'm sure other residents have seen this before. Two questions is how are y'all going to attack it in a way where it changes, and how many actually residents do y'all have on the board with y'all to have an input from the resident's point-of-view? So that will be my question. Thank you for your time.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: The second resident on the pre-panel will be Miss Aixia Torres. You may begin when you're unmuted.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: My apologies,
3 Miss Torres dropped off the Zoom.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, now we're going to move forward with DOI.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: If I could just have you raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: You may begin.

afternoon. My name is Jocelyn Strauber, and I'm the Commissioner of the Department of Investigation, known as DOI. Thank you, Chair Banks and Members of the Committee on Public Housing, Chair Won and Members of the Committee on Contracts, and Chair Brewer and Members of the Committee on Oversight and Investigations for the opportunity to speak about DOI's recommendations to the New York City Housing Authority, NYCHA, as they relate to micro purchase no-bid contracts.

2.2

2.2

2.3

As you know, DOI oversees NYCHA through its Office of the Inspector General for NYCHA, we conduct investigations that can result in criminal charges, administrative findings, or both. When an investigation identifies corruption vulnerabilities, DOI makes recommendations to reform City policies and procedures, called Policy and Procedure Recommendations, or PPRs, in order to address those issues.

DOI's recommendations do three critical things. First, they alert City agencies to corruption risks and provide a means to prevent them, second, they prompt a dialogue between the agency and DOI concerning the importance of the recommendations in addressing the risks the agency faces and the challenges, if any, to implementation, and, three, create an environment of ongoing accountability, not just by DOI, but by other governing entities and the public because DOI's recommendations are publicly issued either via our website or on some occasions in a public report.

In short, DOI's recommendation process promotes transparency in government and accountability on the part of agencies that deliver

2.2

2.3

vital public services and invites the participation of other governing bodies and the public in DOI's anti-corruption work.

Today I will provide you with a summary of the micro purchase no-bid contract investigation DOI conducted with the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office that led DOI to issue five PPRs to NYCHA in 2021 and NYCHA's related responses, and I will summarize the 14 recommendations that DOI announced earlier this month after the arrests of 70 current and former NYCHA employees.

For ease of reference, those 14 recommendations are also attached to my written testimony that has been submitted for the record. I want to make clear that I'm not able to address or provide information about the recent arrests or the related recent investigation, which was handled jointly with the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York and our other federal partners.

Micro purchase no-bid contracts are generally used at NYCHA to procure small repairs directly at the development level so that they can be done quickly without a complex bidding and

procurement process. NYCHA employees who work in the

2

1

housing developments, principally supervisors, handle

4 these contracts. In approximately 2019, DOI began

5 looking at NYCHA's micro purchase contracting process

due to reports from NYCHA employees that they had

7 received bribe offers from vendors doing business at

8 NYCHA Housing Developments in Brooklyn. DOI partnered

9 | with the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office to

10 conduct the investigation, which included the use of

11 undercover DOI investigators who posed as assistant

12 superintendents at a number of developments. The

13 | investigation found that between 2019 and 2021, nine

14 | vendors paid bribes totaling tens of thousands of

15 dollars to NYCHA employees or DOI undercover

16 | investigators to obtain lucrative no-bid micro

17 purchase contracts awarded at the housing development

18 level.

25

19 In 2021, DOI and the Brooklyn District

20 Attorney announced bribery charges against nine

21 | vendors. Ultimately, after three additional vendors

22 were charged, 12 vendors in total pled guilty to

23 either rewarding official misconduct in the second

24 degree, a Class E felony, or giving unlawful

gratuities, a Class A misdemeanor. These vendors were

associated with 11 companies. The companies also pled guilty to either a felony, a misdemeanor, or a violation. DOI warned other City agencies about these vendors in light of the charged conduct by entering cautions into PASSPort which flag, as you know, derogatory information about vendors for City agency contractor officers who check PASSPort regularly for

information on City vendors.

The investigation and the charges that I just described made clear in our view that NYCHA needed tighter controls over the micro purchasing process to prevent this type of corruption. DOI therefore issued in 2021 five PPRs focused on the vendors as well as the NYCHA supervisors. Most importantly, DOI proposed that NYCHA move contracting authority from the development level staff to a central procurement department and that NYCHA conduct additional screening of vendors. Specifically, DOI recommended that NYCHA move responsibility for small procurement awards from frontline superintendents and property managers to NYCHA's Central Procurement Department, which will receive requests for small procurement work from the housing development staff

2.2

2.3

and then award jobs to contractors on a rotating basis.

2.2

Second, DOI proposed that NYCHA lower the cost threshold for vendor screening review from 250,000 to 100,000 so that all vendors whose aggregate work for NYCHA is 100,000 dollars or above on an annual basis are subject to screening by DOI's Office of the Inspector General.

Third, DOI recommended that NYCHA conduct cursory integrity screenings at the inception of work by small procurement contractors, even those below the existing 250,000 aggregate contract limit.

NYCHA's Procurement Department, we recommended, should check PASSPort, the City's contracting database, to identify contracting cautions by any City agency.

Fourth, we recommended that NYCHA adopt a fixed price list for small procurement jobs to prevent overbilling, that is to prevent contractors from charging as close as possible to the 10,000 micro purchase limit even for trivial jobs.

Finally, we recommended that oversight of small procurement jobs be further enabled by having housing development staff upload all signed invoices

into NYCHA's centralized payment database known as Oracle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Prior to and after issuing these recommendations, DOI maintained a robust dialogue with NYCHA about the significance of the proposals in light of the investigation's findings. NYCHA ultimately implemented only the third recommendation of the five that I just mentioned concerning cursory integrity screenings and rejected the rest, although NYCHA did take some other steps intended to improve controls around the procurement process. Those included training of development staff involved in awarding contracts about ethical procurement and the consequences of corruption, training them to check Dun and Bradstreet, a risk management tool for potential red flags about vendors prior to solicitation of contracts, and developing templates that itemized labor and material costs involved with each quote from the vendor. Those templates were provided to the development staff for their use.

Problems within the micro purchase

process persisted, however, despite the changes that

NYCHA implemented, in particular because frontline

supervisors at the housing development level continue

to have primary authority over small procurement purchases despite DOI's recommendation against this practice.

DOI worked with the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York on two other separate matters that in 2022 led to the arrest of two former NYCHA supervisors on charges of accepting thousands of dollars in bribes to dole out no-bid contracts.

Last year, both of those former NYCHA employees were convicted and sentenced to federal prison terms for their criminal conduct.

a result of its most recent investigation are attached to my testimony as I mentioned. They focus on strengthening oversight and controls around the micro purchase process so that it is less vulnerable to abuse by both NYCHA employees and vendors while still maintaining efficient service for NYCHA residents. These recommendations also call for additional education of NYCHA employees on topics including how to identify corruption and fraud and their duty as City employees to report corruption and illegal bribery and gratuities to DOI.

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

Three of the recommendations DOI recently made are similar to those made in 2021 that were not implemented. DOI continues to believe that these policy changes are essential to remedying the vulnerabilities that we have now seen in a number of separate investigations. Specifically, these recommendations are that NYCHA should remove responsibility for micro purchases from staff within the housing developments and place that responsibility with specialized central staff outside of the developments with the necessary expertise, establish a schedule of cost estimates for the top 15 types of services and goods most often obtained by developments through the micro purchase method, and when a vendor's cost proposal exceeds the cost estimate, require the vendor to provide a written explanation for the additional cost, and finally, review all micro purchases whether or not in excess of the cost estimate schedule prior to processing payment to a vendor. These reviews should be conducted by NYCHA staff outside the development commissioning the work and should include a review of relevant documentation such as the statement of

services, before and after photos, and the vendor's invoice.

NYCHA'S CEO, Lisa Bova-Hiatt's, response to these 14 recommendations was unequivocal. NYCHA fully supports them and is working with DOI'S OIG for NYCHA to implement them. Our Inspector General overseeing NYCHA has been actively working with the agency on this effort. Some of these recommendations, I understand, will take some time to implement as they require restructuring of the micro purchase process, but I understand also that NYCHA aims to implement the majority of the 14 recommendations within the next year, and NYCHA can provide more detail, I'm sure, on the exact timeframes.

NYCHA's ability to efficiently obtain the goods and services necessary to maintain the housing developments and be responsive to residents' needs.

It is equally critical that the process be subject to thoughtful oversight and controls so that NYCHA's resources are used for the benefit of NYCHA residents and not to line the pockets of corrupt vendors and staff. I believe these recommendations offer a path

2.2

2.3

forward that seeks to ensure integrity in this process while maintaining the necessary efficiency.

Thank you for your time, and I'm happy to take any questions that you may have.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you,
Commissioner.

Now, we just want to recognize Council Members Restler and Krishnan.

Okay. Now, we're going to go into the questions. Thank you again, Commissioner, for coming this afternoon. I just want to get straight into it. DOI's February 6, 2024, letter listing its 14 recommendations to NYCHA regarding micro purchases specifies that 3 of the 14 recommendations similar to the ones DOI made to NYCHA in 2021. Are there any other recommendations DOI made to NYCHA in 2021 that, to your knowledge, NYCHA rejected or otherwise declined to adopt.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly the three that I've mentioned are the ones that we believe are of continued relevance and significance.

I would have to go back and look more precisely.

There's certainly nothing else that we think needs to

2.2

2.3

be implemented in connection with these issues that are not included in the current 14 recommendations.

2.2

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right, and to your knowledge what did NYCHA do or decline to do in response to DOI's 2021 recommendations related to the micro purchase contracts?

recommendation that the micro purchase process be restructured so that it be removed from the housing development staff and escalated to a central unit within NYCHA. That was a recommendation that was made back in 2021 that was not accepted and that we're making again now and that we understand NYCHA does prepare plan to implement.

Another recommendation related to establishing a cost schedule for micro purchases to better control overbilling. That recommendation also was not implemented, although I understand that now it will be. It's one that we're making again, made again a couple weeks ago.

Finally, we recommended that information supporting the fact that the work had actually been done such as photographs, the statement of service, the invoice, that all of that be uploaded to a

2.2

2.3

central portal and that it be reviewed by a senior member of NYCHA staff outside of the housing developments before any payment be made. That's another recommendation that was made back in 2021, not implemented, that we expect will be implemented now.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. What was NYCHA's stated reasoning for the Department of Investigation for not accepting all the DOI recommendations and did the DOI investigators find NYCHA's reasons acceptable?

make the recommendations. We press for the recommendations to be accepted. The agency ultimately makes the determination of what it is able and willing to do. I think with respect to restructuring the micro purchase project, and again, NYCHA can speak to this as well I'm sure, but I think the understanding was that that was a more radical change than NYCHA was able to undertake at that point and so instead they proposed some of the other measures that I mentioned, better training of the housing development staff on things like how to double-check the bona fides of a vendor, a better template that

2.2

2.3

would force the vendor to itemize the aspects of their quote as a way to control overbilling. In our view, those alternatives were not sufficient, but that's my understanding of why NYCHA did not go forward with what we proposed but had some additional changes that it wanted to make instead.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. DOI's first recommendation in its February 6, 2024, letter is that NYCHA identify and implement an alternative staffing model for the micro purchase process that removes responsibility for micro purchases from staff within the housing development and places the responsibility instead on specialized, centralized staff with the necessary expertise. Does DOI have any recommendations regarding the ideal number or qualifications of staff that would best enable NYCHA to achieve the goals of this recommendation?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly, we're thinking about staff who have expertise in procurement, but we have not made a recommendation about a specific number of staff in the unit that would need to be created to serve this function, and often what we try to do in our recommendations is put forth a conceptual policy change and let the agency,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

based on its expertise, work out the fine points like exactly how many employees would be required for that task and where they would draw them from.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. Thank you. I'm going to come back.

I'm going to turn it over now to Chair Gale Brewer.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. I just want to go back to that question because in the monitor's report I think there was a discussion about the challenges of working between the development staff, meaning the field staff, and central. There was a lot of tension. Is that something that would continue, do you think, if it is that the central staff has more oversight than the local staff? I think the problem is we all want to have easily purchased at the right price at the locality so that the apartment can be fixed up more rapidly, that's the problem that we're trying to address. Obviously, it's not addressed so my question is, just on the staffing issue, I know you can't decide how many staff need to be there, but if there is staff in the development, meaning in the field and centrally, do you have some sense that could continue

to work because the Monitor thought there was too much tension.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I think the way it would work is that we're not totally removing responsibility for the process from the development staff. They're going to make a proposal about the work that needs to be done. What they're not going to do is have the authority to do the contracting, and so I think it'll be important to have the unit sufficiently staffed so that it can keep pace with the request so that there are not lengthy delays in having the centralized staff procure the vendor and, as you say, I think these are some of the concerns and, again, I'm not going to speak for them, but perhaps that NYCHA had about making this kind of structural change, and we certainly don't want to delay getting residents the services and work done that they need, but I do think that having the development staff make a recommendation as to what is needed to a centralized staff that then will identify the appropriate vendor. I haven't talked about every single recommendation, but we're also recommending a prequalified list of vendors that has been fully vetted so I think it is possible to make the process

2.2

2.3

move efficiently. There can be different kinds of tension in workspaces, but I think this will enable the work to get done for the residents efficiently without the kind of issues that we've seen and that's really what we're focused on.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I was going to ask you about prequalification because it sounds good on paper, but then sometimes, and I noticed in one of the news media articles that the prequalified company was corrupt but also on the prequalified list so how do you identify prequalifications?

Particularly after an investigation like this, should prequalification be different? How would it work the way it should work?

that you're referring to, and I'm aware of the article that you're mentioning, I think the list that you're referring to involved vendors who had the necessary expertise but had not yet been subject to the kind of checks that we're talking about, which could be, there are Dun and Bradstreet checks, there are checks in the City's contracting database, there's checks with DOI. Those are all of the kind of checks that would need to be done for a vendor to be

2.2

2.3

placed on the prequalified list, and those checks need to be done not just once but on a routine basis to ensure that there hasn't been any changes in the information, and that's the kind of analysis that we're talking about before a vendor could be placed on that list. Not simply that the vendor is one that can provide a particular kind of service.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. So that prequalified list, I don't know that it exists now.

Obviously, you recommend it to be done differently in one of your recommendations, but would it be a new list, so to speak, in order to deal with some of the challenges that you just outlined?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly, it would be a new list or it would subject any vendors that are currently on the list that could provide the services to additional screening before they could be used. We're not simply suggesting that existing lists, and I'm aware of the issues that have been raised with some of the vendors on those lists, we're not simply suggesting that those lists be used here. There's additional vetting that we're recommending.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's helpful. I didn't understand that before.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What are the vulnerability points in

NYCHA's current micro purchase contracting process?

Again, you outlined this, it allowed the fraud. What

best practices around the micro purchase contracting

does DOI recommend that NYCHA adopt to close those

vulnerability gaps, or maybe you'll just say that the

contracting should be done centrally. Maybe that's

your answer, but I wanted to hear.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I think the most important ones, that is one of the most important ones, the removing the responsibility or removing the contracting responsibility from the housing development staff. I also think the cost estimate schedule is quite important because one of the things that were mentioned in the article, and I think you're referring to the article in The City...

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I am.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Was that the disparate costs for the same type of services or goods.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: A light bulb for 708 dollars.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Exactly. So if you have a cost schedule that says, and we propose that

the sort of top 15 goods and services and you could tinker with the exact number to get it right, but that there be a schedule of what those items should cost, and what we've recommended is if it's going to cost more, that there be a written explanation, that that explanation be approved by somebody outside the housing development staff before the contracting goes forward. Again, these things do and can take a little more time, but our view is that small amount of additional time is worth it to ensure that you can better control costs so I think that's another critical aspect of what we recommended.

The third piece is ensuring that the work was actually done, and that involves having before and after photographs that are centrally available, the statement of services and the invoice, again, to be reviewed by someone outside the development staff so that they can confirm that the residents are actually getting the services that NYCHA is paying for.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Council Members Salamanca and Nurse are also here. Thank you very much.

2.2

What role can technology and data analytics play in detecting and preventing fraud in this micro purchase program? Obviously, I know you have data analytics on your staff as we do, so I want to know if there are some specific recommendations and is anything AI can do.

having the data available, sort of loading the data available that reveals how much is being spent in different contracts with different vendors across different developments. That gives you data that you can compare, and if you see significantly different prices for what seem like similar items, then I think that's a red flag so I think that's something that that's just one example of something that data analytics can do in this particular space.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. How often does DOI meet with NYCHA? Obviously, maybe more recently than in the past, but how do you, ongoing, deal with not just this contract issue, but I'm sure there are others?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: There's really a very, I can't give you x number of times a day or week, but there is a robust ongoing dialogue between

our Inspector General and his team and the NYCHA staff so I would describe that as an ongoing conversation on a number of issues, and that communication is quite good there. Obviously, there's been a lot of communication around these recommendations and NYCHA's agreement to them but well before and beyond that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So will the new monitor focus on, as the past did, on the mold and lead, but will the new monitor just focus on those two issues, or will they also have this under their purview?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I don't think the monitor's mandate has changed, even though the monitorship is continuing so I think it will have the same focus. Obviously, to the extent an issue like this not only impacts where the money is going, but also impacts whether the work is actually getting done and the quality of life in the development, that is within the monitor's purview as well.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Does the DOI's list of recommendations include adopting a requirement contracts model for micro purchases?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: A requirement contracts model. I don't think it does. We're not proposing that there be a particular type of contract that's utilized, no.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and then just finally I assume that the 14 suggestions and anything else that you might suggest, although you said that's pretty much it, will safeguard against contract corruption in the future, but who does the ongoing monitoring? Is that DOI, the monitor, NYCHA itself? Who's in charge of that?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: So there is actually...

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Because we tried this before, and it didn't work so we want to make sure it does in the future.

2.2

2.3

recommendations actually requires the quality assurance and compliance departments at NYCHA to conduct semiannual audits specifically of micro purchase data and to post those findings publicly so there's a specific audit requirement that we've attached to these recommendations and this issue, but, as you say, the monitor's oversight and DOI's

oversight also encompasses these issues and actually,

I think our last recommendation is that NYCHA

continue to report any irregularities or concerns

that it sees to our agency.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Won. Do you have questions?

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Yes. Thank you so much, Chair Brewer.

From what I understand, NYCHA has its own standalone procurement process and does not fall under the portfolio of Mayor's Office of Contracts so can you explain the relationship between NYCHA and MOCS and what the difference is between your relationship with other City agencies?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I think if I could, I'm going to defer that question to NYCHA because I can't give you the particulars on their relationship with MOCS versus with how it works with other City agencies. There's a review process that MOCS has and a whole contracting process that does apply to mayoral agencies and some other agencies, but I'm not in a position to speak to the details of how it differs with NYCHA.

2.2

2

4

6

7

1

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, because I'm most interested in the context of it all. To zoom out a little bit, for especially our NYCHA residents who are here to testify, could you help us understand the difference between NYCHA's micro purchasing process and the City's micro purchasing process for other

City agencies if there are there are any differences?

8

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yeah. Again, this is not an area that I can really speak to in detail.

11

12

10

specifically designed, and it's a model that's used

The NYCHA process, the no-bid process was

13

in other housing developments throughout the country.

14

that work can be done promptly without requiring an

It's a model that's specifically designed to ensure

16

15

extensive bidding process. There are certainly ways

17

within the City's contracting process, negotiated

18 19 acquisitions and other ways of contracting that are

20

more efficient, but again, I can't really speak to

21

the details of the difference between the NYCHA

contracting process and the City's process.

Z 1

2.2

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay.

23

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly, I can

24

try to get more information about that if that's

25

something you'd like us to fill out the picture for

you, but, unfortunately, I can't give you more on that right now.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. We'll follow up. How long does it take for the superintendent or property manager to identify a need and solicit a contractor for a micro purchasing contract? Do you know the timeline?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I don't know the exact timeline, no.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Who outside of the superintendent or property manager who solicits micro purchasing contracts can review or audit the supplies, services, or other needs listed in a micro purchasing contract because we want to know what guardrails you currently have and what guardrails do you currently lack?

think NYCHA can speak better to the current guardrails in place. We're recommending some additional auditing requirements and review requirements. We're recommending inserting a whole other level of review into the micro purchase process that I know doesn't exist now. That is different though than saying that there's no auditing or review

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 46 2 available currently so I'm going to leave that 3 question to NYCHA as well. 4 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: To follow up from 5 Council Member Brewer's question about technology, what is NYCHA currently using or how is NYCHA 6 tracking micro purchasing contracts today? 7 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I know that 8 9 information, some of the information that I mentioned, such as the vendor, the amount of the 10 11 purchase, the housing development, I know all of that information is stored electronically and available 12 13 centrally, but the exact data fields and how they do 14 it, again, I can't speak to that specifically. 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Per development, do you know how much funding is 16 17 allocated to a development to make micro purchasing 18 contracts? 19 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I don't. 20 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Do you know if 21 there's any type of training that property managers 2.2 or superintendents or assistant superintendents 2.3 receive on how to manage these micro purchasing contracts so that we understand where the liability 24

25 is?

2.2

2.3

that I know was implemented actually in lieu of some of the recommendations that we made back in 2021.

There is additional training on how to check vendors, on anticorruption, on corruption risks. We're recommending additional training, but I know there is currently training for the folks who do micro purchases based on the policies that NYCHA changed back in 2021 or 2022.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: If you don't know, could you please follow up and let us know how often those micro purchasing trainings are received by the managers?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I can look into that, and NYCHA will probably have that information as well.

reasons for the changes in the micro purchasing procurement rules for NYCHA was for a need for speed, and we want to know what the NYCHA's current repair backlog is and what the difference has been because we know that we hear from residents over and over again, especially those who are here to testify, that their backlog has not been expedited in any way.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 48 They're actually still waiting the same amount of 2 3 time and there's still a huge issue of backlog or 4 with negligence where work orders are closed without the actual fulfillment of the work order. COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Again, I can't 6 7 speak to the specifics of the length of the backlog 8 at this point. NYCHA may be able to answer that question. If not, we can certainly get that information for you. 10 11 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. I'm going to turn it back to Chair Banks. Thank you. 12 13 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I just want to recognize Council Member Salamanca and Council Member 14 15 Nurse. Now, we'll move to Public Advocate 16 17 Jumaane Williams with questions. 18 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so 19 much, Council Member. It's an honor to be called 20 Council Member, honor. 21 Thank you so much, Commissioner. My first question, just in any of the discussions that you had 2.2 2.3 with NYCHA, particularly with the first reviews, did you get a sense of any of the issues with 24

implementing what you're asking? Was it about money

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 49

or was the structure so entrenched it was just, they

felt too difficult to try to adopt some of the other

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: If you're speaking about the 2021...

recommendations that you made?

2.2

2.3

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Recommendations,

first of all I want to be clear that those

recommendations were actually made before I started

so I didn't have conversations at that point, but my

understanding is it was both an issue relating to

it's costly and can be time-consuming to restructure

a whole process, and I think there was also a concern

about maintaining the efficiency of the development

level work.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay. Based on what the Chair just said, did you find any of the investigations or anything that you read about the investigation, did the any of the changes before and after actually speed up the work that was being done?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: The changes that were made in light of what we found in 2021 were really intended to control the corruption risk more I believe than they were intended to promote

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 50 2 efficiency, and I think that they may have made some 3 difference in preventing corruption, but it didn't go 4 far enough clearly as we see with the recent 70 5 arrests. PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: So you wouldn't 6 7 know actually if it slowed down or how much it slowed 8 down any kind of work? 9 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I haven't seen any data sort of tracking the timing between requests and 10 11 implementation. That's just not something that we 12 looked at in connection with this investigation so I 13 can't speak to that, no. PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: To follow up on 14 15 what I think Chair Brewer was asking about, I believe 16 the one intended nature of it was to make sure that 17 folks can get repairs much quicker, so when you 18 envision, I guess getting recentralized again, I think the objective was intentionally to not 19

back to how it was a little bit more centralized 2.3 before? COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I think what we're 24

different unit specifically for this or just going

centralize it. Are you thinking about like a

20

21

2.2

25

thinking about is creating a centralized unit that

2.2

2.3

would respond to the housing development's request for repairs promptly through picking vendors from a prequalified list so we're talking about a different structure. It may have some resemblance to what was there before.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: It may have some resemblance to what was there before. Okay. The recommendations that I saw in '21 were really good. I do have to say I am concerned about centralizing it because I'm just concerned about the speed. Is it your contention that if the other four were adopted, do you still think we would have been in this situation, if all the other recommendations besides the centralization, would we still be in this situation?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Oh, you're saying if we didn't do the centralization, but we did the other things.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: So first of all, even if we implemented all of these recommendations, right, it's very hard to predict would we be in this situation. Hopefully not. We might be in some other situation because that's the way things work so I

2 don't want to say I know that if these

2.2

recommendations had been implemented, we wouldn't be sitting here today, although I'm optimistic that we wouldn't, and I think they would have gone a long way to preventing the situation we're in. I do think that the centralization piece is important because it adds an added layer of review and separation between the people who are dealing directly with the vendors and the approval process, and I think you do need that here. I think the prequalified list will help, I think the cost estimates will help, but I think what we've seen is continuing to have this authority reside in the housing developments really hasn't worked. There have been some changes put in place, but they haven't been sufficient.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: One of the recommendations is to discuss review of all micro purchases so my question is another layer of review much more regularly, obviously more than what's done now, can that work just as well or you fully think that we have to recentralize it to make it work?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: The audit review that we're describing, I think that's what you're referring to, that's an after the fact measure,

right? So hopefully that would catch problems at an earlier stage, but it won't entirely prevent them.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Hopefully it will prevent if they knew the review is going to happen or you can catch it much sooner than we caught it now, but I appreciate that. I just am concerned about centralizing and how long it's going to take so I'm interested to see how long it took before taking away the centralization, how long it took after some of the recommendations, and how long it would take if you recentralize it. It makes sense maybe if there's another unit, but I am concerned. I liked all of the recommendations that were there, I wish they had adopted more of them, but I can see the centralization may be an issue so I'm just wondering if there's other review processes that can be put in place, maybe higher level folks that can make decisions that's not necessarily central or multiple people making decisions, something like that, but I am concerned that if you go back to the system that way it was, it might slow down in a process that's already rough to begin with, but thank you so much. Really appreciate it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

recognize who's with us, Council Member Ayala.

Are there any other Members that have any

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Also now let me

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I just wanted to

Are there any other Members that have any questions for DOI?

Council Member Stevens.

add on because I feel very similar to our Public Advocate around the time because you did suggest that it would increase time and wait for repairs to happen, and that just is a little concerning to me because obviously we want recommendations, but there are already a lot of things that are already in place and so I think in these instances, sometimes we then overcorrect and then we are in a process where residents are waiting even longer because currently we know that the wait time for some repairs can take up to months. Even with the new recommendations, can you talk to me a little bit about what was already being done that we didn't catch it because also when I was working at NYCHA and I worked there for a number of years when I worked in a community center, often you would have facilities, you have units in NYCHA where they have painters or they had carpenters, but they were still contracting out, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

so why wasn't that a trigger for something just didn't seem right that we were continuously contracting them out, and so other than the recommendations, what other things are we looking for, because I think that there are some systems that's already in place that we already missed.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly, I think the cost estimates is one thing that we could have been looking for but were not, right, that was one of the things that we recommended. I think what NYCHA did instead was to have additional training about corruption risks, to have additional checking of vendors, but I think that didn't go far enough so it's the way we looked at the situation in a sense, the answer to your question in our view was these were the additional changes that we needed so they were requiring vendors to itemize exactly what work they were doing and what that work would cost, but that obviously wasn't enough to overcome the fact that as alleged you had housing development staff who were basically willing to engage in this misconduct with the vendors, and when you have a set of purchases that are not subject to review because they fall under that 10,000 dollar threshold, it's

2.2

2.3

you're never seeing an expense that's going above 10,000. Now looking at data across NYCHA and seeing that a trivial purchase is 6,000, 7,000, or 8,000 in one development but much less in another, that could be a trigger, that could be data that could be looked at to identify a red flag so there are things we could do to try to catch this conduct short of centralization. We recommend that because based on what we've seen that seems to be the most effective response.

again I think that those things are true. My time is up, but I think that is very true, even in the sense of, yes, we want to make sure that we're checking these things, but even I remember they had a painting unit, but they contracted those things out, and so I just don't understand, like there are things I feel like could have been in place that were triggers that we just missed and so even with the recommendations, yes, I think that they kind of make sense and even some of the things that some of my Colleagues said around like how are we using technology to have expedite these things so they can move in an

expeditious way, I think also needs to be considered, but I also think that there were things that we just missed because if there was a painting unit, like I remember one time we needed to get the community center painted, and I said why can't we use the painting unit, why can't we use your guys? They were like, no, we're just going to contract it out, and I know that they were micro purchases, but like 6,000 dollars is a lot for a painting job when you have a crew in the development that already does that and so I think, I don't want us to get to a place where we're overcorrecting and then residents are waiting that much longer and we're clogging up the process because we want to make sure it doesn't happen again, especially when there was always things that were in place that were triggers that we should have been looking at to make sure these weren't happening because to purchase anything in a City contract is already difficult so adding more layers isn't going to catch it. I think it's when we are not paying attention, so I just wanted to make sure it was stated. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Madam Chair Brewer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. One last question on the timing of all of this. I don't think you necessarily know how long the investigation is going to take to deal with all the alleged. That's one question is some guesstimate, and then secondly, there's a lot to be done here because just redoing the prequalified list will take time, etc. so I'm wondering what you think should be NYCHA's timeframe to accomplish all of this, and then finally I know you mentioned putting more material up on the web, but what kind of other steps can we make this more transparent for the residents? This is a really big slap in the face for NYCHA and for the city and for government. Kudos to you and the U.S. Attorney for doing this, but it's really bad for those of us who care about government so my question is what else can we do to make whatever comes out of this positive, if there is such a thing, more transparent for the residents. They're really upset. You know what it takes to get a repair done with your ticket, and now of course they think everything that has happened to their lack of repairs is due to this so that's one

about the timing and transparency.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: In terms of the

timing, what I understand from our conversations with

NYCHA is that they're going to be implementing these

on a rolling basis, but they expect to have them

recommendations that we're proposing, that seems like
a reasonable timeframe. If it can be done more

completed within a year. Given the extensive

9 quickly, that's obviously better.

2.2

2.3

I want to just note that we recognize within the recommendations document itself that some of these will take more time to implement, and there are interim measures to prevent fraud before we can fully centralize the process, and so all of those are outlined here.

In terms of investigative timeframe, which I think you mentioned, unfortunately, as I'm sure you expect, I can't give you any sort of timeframe. As the U.S. Attorney said, when the case was announced, the investigation is ongoing.

Unfortunately, I can't give you any sense of when that's going to wrap up.

In terms of public transparency, it's part of the reason we wanted to make sure that these recommendations were issued and announced

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 60 2 simultaneously with the case. Our recommendations are 3 always public, but people aren't always necessarily 4 looking at them, and we want to make sure that the 5 public and especially the residents are aware that we and NYCHA are thinking about ways to make the process 6 7 better. It's why we recommended that the audit findings be posted publicly. Obviously, proceedings 8 like this draw attention to this issue as does the case itself. I'm not sure that I have other ideas in 10 11 mind, although there are lots of opportunities for 12 City agencies to be communicating with the public and 13 to be communicating with residents and giving information about how we're doing and implementing 14 15 these recommendations is probably also something that 16 would be helpful. 17 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right. Thank 18 you. 19 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you for your testimony. 20 21 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Thank you, Chair 2.2 Banks. 23 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Now we're going to 24 move to our NYCHA panel.

ı	
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 61
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Good afternoon.
3	If you all could raise your right hand.
4	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
5	whole truth and nothing but the truth in your
6	testimony before this Committee and to respond
7	honestly to Council Member questions?
8	CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: I do.
9	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: I do.
10	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I do.
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: I do.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: If you could
13	identify yourself, your title and your name for the
14	record, that would be great.
15	CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: Sergio
16	Paneque, Chief Procurement Officer for NYCHA.
17	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Lisa
18	Bova-Hiatt. I'm NYCHA's Chief Executive Officer.
19	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Brad
20	Greenburg, the Chief Compliance Officer.
21	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Daniel
22	Green, Executive Vice President for Property
23	Management Operations.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: You may begin
25	your testimony.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you. Chairs Chris Banks, Julie Won, Gale Brewer, Members of the Committee on Public Housing, Contracts, and Oversight and Investigations, other distinguished Members of the City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, Public Advocate, and members of the public, good afternoon. I am Lisa Bova-Hiatt, NYCHA's Chief Executive Officer. I am pleased to be joined by Executive Vice President of Property Management Operations Daniel Greene, Chief Procurement Officer Sergio Paneque, Chief Compliance Officer Brad Greenburg, and other members of NYCHA's leadership team.

Chair Banks, congratulations on your appointment. My colleagues and I look forward to working closely with you.

Thank you for this opportunity to discuss this very serious and very disturbing charges of bribery against 70 current and former NYCHA employees in connection with micro purchase contracts. We learn from issues like this as we continue the intensive efforts to transform NYCHA as an organization, a mission that is fundamentally about better serving residents and improving their quality of life.

2.2

2.3

First, I must emphasize that there is zero tolerance for wrongful and illegal activity at NYCHA. The individuals allegedly involved in these terrible acts put their greed first and violated the trust of their residents, their fellow NYCHA colleagues, and all New Yorkers. All of the implicated employees have been suspended. These alleged actions are counter to everything we stand for as public servants and will not be tolerated in any form.

Over the past five years, NYCHA has achieved many significant milestones while remaining vigilant to ensure integrity in every area of our work. Today, I will discuss some of the transformative changes that we have already made to our business practices and those that are underway. We are committed to continue making the changes necessary as part of our holistic efforts to improve NYCHA and our service to residents. NYCHA's success depends on partnership, and we will continue to work with all of our law enforcement partners to rid the authority of any and all malfeasance. I would like to thank NYCHA's Inspector General Ralph Iannuzzi, New York City Department of Investigations Commissioner

2.2

2.3

Jocelyn Strauber, U.S. Attorney for the Southern
District of New York Damian Williams, and their teams
for their diligence in this investigation, their
collaboration with NYCHA leadership as well as their
recommendations for improvement. All of us sitting
here at this table as well as our broader compliance
and quality assurance teams work closely with DOI and
NYCHA's Inspector General, and for that, we are very
grateful.

Our teams identified suspicious activity as well as a lack of controls in this area and proactively sent information to DOI for review. We will, of course, continue collaborating with all of our partners as we put the necessary work to continue NYCHA's transformation. I would like to take a moment to discuss some of the work we have done over the past couple of years to improve the micro purchasing process at NYCHA.

Following a prior investigation in

September 2021, DOI made five recommendations

regarding micro purchases. While NYCHA did not

implement DOI's exact recommendations, DOI's findings

provided the authority with the direction to

undertake considerable incremental changes and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

improved internal controls to attempt to mitigate risk, while ensuring developments could provide efficient service to residents, especially when emergencies arise. To reduce reliance on micro purchases at the developments, NYCHA implemented substantial reforms to our procurement processes, which reduced spending on micro purchases for services by nearly half. Our collaboration with DOI on this effort has been a productive one. It involved implementing a set of procedural, training, and oversight changes to bring about this reduction in micro purchase spending for services at the developments. Some of our specific actions since September 2021 include contracting with Dun and Bradstreet for integrity screening services for all vendors, Dun and Bradstreet has provided organizations with valuable procurement process insights for nearly a century, training our property management staff on micro purchases and ethics in late 2022 and early 2023, rolling out a procurement ethics policy which all staff must acknowledge, increased on-site monitoring visits and reviews of micro purchases by our compliance and quality assurance teams which resulted in referrals to DOI,

2.2

2.3

entering into new large contracts that encompass services previously obtained via micro purchases to provide staff with alternatives to the micro purchase program, issuance of prequalified vendor lists that could be used to select vendors in place of development staff selecting micro purchase vendors, updating the micro purchase process with enhanced forms that require vendors to itemize costs, and enhancing the micro purchase reporting requirement to increase transparency and accountability.

Fundamentally, we believe that in order to best serve our residents, developments need an appropriate level of flexibility to be able to secure the fastest possible service for residents, particularly during emergencies, and government entities, including public housing authorities across the nation, as well as other city agencies, commonly use micro purchases to obtain critical services quickly. In addition to enabling developments to get smaller and lower cost repairs done expeditiously without undergoing a lengthy and complex procurement process, micro purchases support our M/WBE and local hiring goals. DOI also recognize that we must focus on reform of the micro purchase process to protect it

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

from abuse while maintaining efficient service for NYCHA residents. However, after this clear violation of trust and misuse of authority and as part of NYCHA's ongoing and collaborative efforts alongside DOI to reduce fraud and abuse of micro purchases, we are in the process of implementing DOI's full suite of 14 recommendations. All of DOI's recommendations have either been implemented or are underway. We expect to fully implement all 14 recommendations within a year. For instance, we are currently analyzing how our systems can be adapted to allow staff to upload additional documentation regarding micro purchases before payment is issued. We are creating additional prequalified lists of goods and service providers for use in lieu of micro purchase vendors. These prequalified vendors are required to undergo a vendor integrity review developed by NYCHA and DOI. We are augmenting training for staff and for micro purchase vendors. Micro purchases will continue to be reviewed by property management leadership and the compliance and quality assurance departments, and any irregularities will continue to be reported to DOI. The Compliance and Quality Assurance Departments will conduct semiannual audits of micro purchase data

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

potential for abuse.

and recordkeeping and will provide greater transparency and accountability into the authority's use of micro purchasing by posting the results of these audits on our website. We are also displaying signs in prominent locations at developments and property management offices, highlighting the prohibition of bribes and other criminal offenses, and are requiring staff and vendors to acknowledge the same in both paper and digital forms, and most critically, we are planning to implement an alternative staffing model for the micro purchase program. It will essentially separate the micro purchase request and approval process from developments, instead placing the review and approval responsibility with specialized staff with the necessary expertise. At the same time, we are examining ways we can ensure the prompt selection of vendors to do essential work quickly with less

While we work to enact these important structural changes as part of our larger organizational transformation efforts, there will be increased oversight of the micro purchase program. We believe that with the additional oversight and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

implementation of DOI's latest recommendations, we can continue to tackle this issue and make progress, all in service to residents.

Transformation at NYCHA is a sweeping endeavor that impacts every area of our organization, including operations and the management of our property portfolios, and is guided by our transformation plan. One of the key advancements of the past few years was the creation of NYCHA's first ever Compliance, Environmental Health and Safety, and Quality Assurance departments. As you have heard, the Compliance and Quality Assurance teams are an essential part of our efforts to ensure that residents are benefiting from quality work performed in an ethical manner. These teams not only work closely with DOI, but they also include former DOI employees among their ranks. A Compliance Department Unit that is dedicated to reviewing micro purchases employs a former DOI fraud investigator, and the Vice President of the Quality Assurance Department is a former Associate Commissioner at DOI. His staff includes two former DOI employees who help conduct investigations. Additionally, in 2022, NYCHA's Procurement Department hired a former DOI Senior

Inspector General, who was also previously an

Assistant District Attorney, to oversee the

Procurement Ethics and Vendor Responsibility

Department and strengthen the Authority's vendor responsibility and integrity review process.

The Procurement Department has also restructured the purchasing process to bring procurement closer to NYCHA neighborhoods and is strengthening its analytical capabilities to improve transparency into the micro purchase process. Better serving residents and improving their quality of life is at the heart of our endeavors. While we are dismayed by and will not tolerate the actions of any bad actors, we know that the vast majority of NYCHA employees show up every day to work hard and do right for our residents and for our city. It must also be stated that the issues we are discussing today reiterate the need for large scale improvements driven by crucial housing preservation programs like the Trust and PACT so we can reduce the need for constant Band-Aid fixes across our portfolio. These vital programs generate billions of dollars of funding to comprehensively renovate residents' homes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 71 while preserving their rights and protections, 2 3 including permanently affordable rent. 4 Change is also at the heart of our mission, especially given the decades of federal 5 disinvestment besetting the authority. This change 6 7 takes time, but it's happening thanks in part to the support of partners like the Council and DOI. 8 9 Thank you. We're happy to answer any questions you may have. 10 11 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Let me just also recognize we have Council Member Williams 12 13 who has joined us virtually and Council Member Vernikov who's in person. 14 15 Thank you again. First of all, I want to 16 know, can you walk us through the micro purchase 17 process? How does that look? Can you walk us through 18 the process? Thank you. 19 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you so much Chair Banks. I'm going to turn it over to 20 21 our Chief Procurement Officer Sergio Paneque who can 2.2 walk you through the process. 2.3 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: Thank you. In a program area, in this case a particular 24

development, has a determination of need. At that

2.2

2.3

point then, they establish those requirements and then go forward in identifying a vendor. That vendor then provides a quotation as well as an outline in the small purchase template that outlines the labor, the supplies, the overhead, and the profit that's required for that good. At that point, that's uploaded in a requisition into the system and then that is routed to an approver. At which point then once it's approved, it comes to Central Procurement, and Central Procurement looks for all of the sufficiency, including licensing and insurance and those other documents that I mentioned, and then the purchase order is cut, and then the development is free to proceed with the vendor for the work that needs to be performed.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. How long does it take for it to get to a superintendent or a property manager to identify a need or a solicit a contractor for a micro purchase contract.

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: I

think that the factors would depend upon the

particular development, supervisor, and the

circumstances, but generally speaking with the micro

purchase process, within one to three days a

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 73 superintendent could determine the scope that's 2 3 required for the services needed and a vendor to then 4 provide those documentation and then obviously the process that I just outlined. 5 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What's NYCHA's 6 7 current policy on when an outside contractor like 8 those used in the micro purchase contract should be used? CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: I'm 10 11 sorry, can you repeat the question? CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What is NYCHA's 12 13 current policy on when an outside contractor like those used in the micro purchase contract should be 14 15 used? 16 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank 17 you for that question. I'm going to turn it over to Dan Greene. 18 19 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Thank 20 you, Chair Banks, for that question. The way it works 21 is at the property level we have really, you have two 2.2 choices really. At the property level, they can 2.3 choose to go through our NYCHA Skilled Trades Department which we have at NYCHA, we have plumbers, 24

we have plasterers, we have painters, bricklayers,

glaziers. We have eight skilled trades in operations,

2

1

and they do the majority of the work in the

4 apartments, but there's certain work, for example,

5 especially around the grounds, fencing. We have very

6 large grounds so we have a lot of fences, we have a

7 lot of pump equipment that we need in our mechanical

8 areas, we have lobby doors, we have doors in our each

9 of our stairwells. That type of work there is where

10 we will turn to a vendor, for example like if we need

11 | an apartment cleared out after somebody moves out and

12 | it's a lot of like I had one today was a lot of stuff

13 | that some questionable items in there, we didn't want

14 | our own staff to do it so we bring in a vendor to do

16 work done. Bottom line is there's a lot of work at

17 | NYCHA. I love our skill trades. I work with them on a

18 ∥ daily basis, but there's a lot of work at NYCHA and

19 we do need to rely on vendors to do a lot of that

20 work.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I do want to just note one other thing micro purchases is one option available to our properties, but over the past couple years there have been our Procurement Department has worked with operations to bring in IDIQ contracts that now cover

a lot of the scope that micro purchases used to cover so we've actually seen, and Mr. Paneque can elaborate on this, a decline in micro purchases in 2023 as we rely on IDIQ contracts, which are competitively procured so we have started again taking those steps, so there's more than one avenue available for our superintendents.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Who, outside of the superintendent or the property manager who solicits the micro purchase contract, reviews or audits the supply services or other need or listed micro purchases contracts?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: For a micro purchase, it can be done at the property level in terms of the request and the approval, but it does have to go up to a central department to release the PO and Mr. Paneque can explain more.

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: I'm sorry, Chair. Can you please repeat the question?

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Who outside of the superintendent or the property manager who solicits the micro purchase contract reviews or audits the supply? Who does the audit? Who reviews it?

2.2

2.3

## 2 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE:

2.2

2.3

There's a number of different program areas that can obviously establish a requisition on determination of need and then put forward that process. At the end of the process, once a vendor has performed, at that point, there's a statement of service that's generated and then a review of the work and then there's a match between the invoice, the purchase order, and the statement of service in order for payment.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: To your question about audits. I'm sorry. Brad Greenburg, our Chief Compliance Officer can answer that portion of the question.

## CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

Right, so as Sergio mentioned, there's a statement of service that gets done when the work is completed which has to be reviewed by the property staff in order for a payment to be issued, and one of the things that our Department's done since 2020 is we have an on-site monitoring program where we go out to properties to look at a whole series of items. One of the items we look at is we pull recent requisitions and recent micro purchases, and we do a document

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

review. We also interview property staff about the particular purchase, and we'll also go and do an inspection of the work that was performed, and we include that in our reports that we issue to executive staff. We also did a project in 2022 following DOI's 2021 investigation where we looked at a couple dozen properties and vendors and did a kind of a holistic look at the program where we also pulled hundreds of requisitions and looked at those. Did the same kind of process where we interviewed staff, did a physical inspection of the work and also looked at the documents that were supposed to be attached to the micro purchase.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I also want to recognize Council Member Dinowitz and Council Member Joseph.

What role does NYCHA's central office or any person above the development level staff take to the process of the micro purchase contracts?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: We have many different business units that are involved from procurement to central office operations, and of course, compliance and quality assurance.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How do you track these micro purchase contracts?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: We track them in two ways. First, our Chief Procurement Officer can talk about the way that they track micro purchases, and then we should turn it over to our Compliance Unit who can talk about the way that they track them.

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE:

Specifically within our ERP system, our Oracle system is what we use for purposes of procurement, and all requisitions are entered into the system and managed through from requisition to payment, and then as part of our reengineering over the course of the last two years, we've established a performance management unit that is seeing what the trends are for the purposes of micro and small requirements in order to

2.2

2.3

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

There's also been a lot of data tools. I think

someone asked about data analytics. We, working with

the Procurement team, have developed a lot of tools

to pull information out of the Oracle financial

more effectively manage our portfolio of contracts

that we are making available to the developments.

system requisition by requisition and look at trends
so we look at whether a particular vendor is being
utilized relative to other vendors, whether there's a
development that has a particularly high amount of
spend, what their average spend is per micro

1

7

8

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

basically pulled down the information that way and ran some analytics against it and picked vendors and developments based on where we saw high averages,

purchase, and so when we did our review in 2022, we

which is why one of the recommendations DOI put in their 14 recommendations was for compliance and

13 quality assurance to continue that process. We have

14 now monthly meetings with Dan and his team where we

go over the analytics, the report, and we also go

16 | into the individual data so I think it's going to be

a good tool we'll use in the future to identify where

there's risk in the program.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Council Member Brewer has a followup.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think that's all good. Obviously, there's been wildly inflated prices so what is NYCHA doing to determine the scope of this rip off and can you attempt to obtain restitution for these crazy prices? How does this work?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: It's a great question, Chair Brewer. I think we, like I said, had identified this as an issue in the past, which is why we implemented some of the changes with procurement around a new template that would require our staff to itemize their costs to limit overhead and profit. When we went out and talked to vendors, we also had seen inflated costs a couple years ago. I think it's hard to go backward, and I think we're trying to look forward. I can't speak to restitution, that would be a question for the U.S. Attorney's Office, but yeah, we're definitely hoping to be able to control costs with some of these controls.

actually speak to the restitution piece. I have spoken with our Inspector General, and I have asked him to speak with the U.S. Attorney's Office and to the extent that we can be, we will be seeking restitution not only from vendors who have overcharged NYCHA, but also from our employees who have been people who have put their greed above the needs of NYCHA and our residents.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Congratulations.

And one of the follow up to the vendors who won micro

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 81 contracts are charged sometimes the same amount, 2 3 close to the 5,000 or the 10,000, as we heard 4 earlier, cutoffs for dramatically different goods and services, and I asked this of DOI, some vendors on NYCHA's current prequalified lists have a documented 6 7 track record of doing this so what is NYCHA going to do to re-examine and the current prequalified. I know 8 that what we heard from DOI was it's going to, and you mentioned it also Dun Bradstreet and so on. Is 10 11 that happening, is it all done, are you redoing the entire list, etc.? 12 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: 13 14 Sergio can speak to that. 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I love Sergio. I 16 know Sergio. 17 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: If I 18 may, Council Member Brewer, the prequalified lists 19 that we've established were for the purposes of dealing with some of the challenges that we had with 20 21 some of our requirements around sealed bids, lowest 2.2 price, and those challenges. I'd be remiss if I 2.3 didn't mention that because while we're now establishing the prequalified lists based on certain 24

competencies, and for example, the one in particular

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that was in the article, the carpentry list requires experience for five years, a DOB license, provide five references, three projects, and so forth. That particular vendor had gone through the VNC process nine times so the review from both the DOI, VNC check, they have a PASSPort filing and all of those things. They were also an S3BC, a Section 3 business concern, back in 2020. Right now, they're not a Section 3 business concern based on the new rules. We are looking at those orders. They were upwards of 700 and some odd purchase orders going back to I believe 2015. As of 2024, there are no purchase orders. In 2023, there were 10 tile contracts, but we'll work with our partners in compliance and DOI and so forth on that particular, but prequalified lists, generally speaking, is a good way to establish the competencies and the capabilities that we need in our vendors and then create a pool from which we can carry from micro to small to larger contracts in a way that we can actively manage our vendor base. The DOI recommendations only aid us in that regard in being able to now take vendor integrity at the onset of that prequalification process so we started that prequal process around two years ago and establishing

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 83 2 what those scopes and those requirements were, and 3 now we'll carry forward again, not only at the micro 4 level small, but then also in the large contracts. 5 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very 6 much. 7 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: Thank 8 you. CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Council Member Won. CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Thank you so much, 10 Chair Banks. Chair Banks has asked most of the 11 12 procurement questions that I wanted to ask, but could 13 you please clarify the relationship between NYCHA and 14 MOCS and what the difference is between your 15 relationship with other City agencies because today I 16 know that MOCS did not attend because NYCHA does not 17 fall into their portfolio and you have your own 18 procurement rules. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank 19 you for that question, Chair Won. Sergio Paneque will 20 21 answer it. 2.2 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: 2.3 Specifically, NYCHA is governed by both federal and state requirements to CFR 200 and the PHL 151. We are 24

not subject to the PPB nor the relevant provisions

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 84 2 within the City Charter in regards to procurement 3 like other city agencies. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: 4 5 Because we're not a city agency. CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Yes. For your 6 7 procurement process for micro purchasing, can you walk us through the differences between what NYCHA's 8 procurement rules are versus the City? How do they differ, if any? 10 11 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: I wish I would have the City's rules. The City's micro 12 13 purchase limit is 20,000 for general micros. I believe it's 35,000 for construction and then, as has 14 15 been mentioned before, there's vehicles such as negotiated acquisition and other means to contract. 16 17 NYCHA is very prescriptive. It's 10,000 for micros, 18 250,000 for the small acquisition method, and that 19 also includes M/WBE where the City has a million and 20 a half for M/WBE, but those are the large variances. 21 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, and earlier in 2.2 the testimony, we heard that the employees have been 2.3 suspended, the ones that were implicated. Can you confirm or deny that the employees are still 24

receiving a salary from NYCHA?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 85
2	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT:
3	Currently the suspended employees are suspended
4	without pay.
5	CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Thank you for
6	clarifying. What was the total number of micro
7	purchases per contractor in Fiscal Year '22 and
8	Fiscal Year '23?
9	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT:
10	Sergio Paneque will answer that question.
11	CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: In
12	2022, there were a total of 13,883 purchase orders to
13	the tune of 74,000,195 dollars and (INAUDIBLE) cents.
14	In 2023, there was a total of 16,771 purchase orders
15	in the amount of 60,268,102 dollars.
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: What was the total
17	number of unique contractors who received micro
18	purchases in Fiscal Year '22 and '23?
19	CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: So the
20	unique vendor count for 2022 was 948 and in 2023 was
21	766, and that is both commodities as well as
22	services.
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Do we know between
24	those two Fiscal Years how many of them may be
25	implicated that have not been part of the

2.2

2.3

investigation yet because we know from the from the press that not everyone out of the 70 were actually implicated, that there is most likely more.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: We do not have an answer to that question.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. In the NYCHA procurement policy manual, bid splitting, that is breaking up the contract to avoid competitive bidding not allowed, but using separate micro contracts to facilitate M/WBE goals or for a geographic reason, that is allowed. Can you help us understand how is that distinction laid out in the policy for superintendents and how does NYCHA central make sure that micro contracts for the same or similar work that are being used for the correct goals and not for bid splitting purposes?

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: Can you repeat the question? I think we didn't follow the full question. You said the procurement, go ahead.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: So in the NYCHA procurement policy manual, bid splitting, that is breaking up a contract to avoid competitive bidding is not allowed, but using separate micro contracts to facilitate M/WBE goals or for geographic reasons. How

is that distinction laid out in policy for superintendents? Earlier, we had asked the question about training for superintendents as well on micro purchases, and how does NYCHA Central make sure that micro contracts for the same or similar work that are being used for the correct goals and are not for bidding purposes?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Your question basically is how do we distinguish between bid splitting, which is not permitted, versus M/WBE contracting?

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: For your M/WBE goals.

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: So in regards to bid splitting within the PPM, that is conduct that should not occur, and through our ethics training process, we made that very clear. Also, when we established the procurement policy manual in 2021, that was part of the acknowledgement that we also required as staff. Just to make sure that I understand correctly, in regards to the M/WBE, we did establish an MWBE first policy in 2021 that we require developments to first seek M/WBEs or section 3s before seeking out a non-M/WBE so I think those

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 88 2 are two different parts of the procurement policy 3 manual. 4 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, and I think earlier you testified that you were using Oracle ERP 5 for tracking your micro purchases. Correct? 6 7 CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: All requisitions, all purchases within the system. 8 9 CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. So as of today, does NYCHA's Oracle system automatically flag micro 10 11 purchase quotes for repeated services on the same day 12 or over a period of time? CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: Oracle 13 doesn't necessarily flag that, but we are creating 14 15 data tools and working collaboratively with 16 compliance and with operations to establish those 17 sorts of reviews now on a monthly basis. CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, so it wasn't 18 being done previously. 19 20 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: The tools don't sit in Oracle, right? We're pulling data 21 2.2 out of the Oracle system and putting them in 2.3 dashboards for analytical purposes so we can see particular requisitions on particular days and be 24

able to filter that way. It's like am analytical tool.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: NYCHA's building internal dashboards in-house?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Some of them have already been built, but yes, they're in house.

all the data, and for the dashboards that you're currently using, what is the gap in the NYCHA system that prevents it from tracking abuses and mismanagement of funds at the micro level? I guess the answer to that is that it wasn't being tracked previously.

think a lot of this has been built in the last couple of years. This is something that we've been focusing on now for a few years. Like Sergio mentioned, he's hired staff to do performance management, which has been very helpful. We have data scientists in the Compliance Department as well and folks that work with data a lot so this has been an ongoing effort for the last few years to build that capability.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: And I think we should be clear that our compliance and quality assurance units observed in 2021 that there were risks associated with the micro purchase program and that caused our own internal departments, our Compliance Department, to do a deep dive into micro purchases. We prepared a report that was shared with DOI, issued a compliance advisory alert that went out to every single NYCHA employee, updated our micro purchase protocols, and put safeguards in place.

Because of those things that happened in 2022, we saw a dramatic decrease in the amount of money spent on micro purchases for services.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: For your current system, do you have any plans to have a public dashboard so that the public can also follow along for transparency and auditing and accountability purposes?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT:

Absolutely, and I'm going to turn it over to Brad

Greenburg who will talk about what we're going to do,

which is one of the 14 recommendations that DOI

provided to us.

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF COM

2.2

2.3

One of the recommendations is, I think that

Commissioner spoke about it earlier, is there will be
a public report that will be added to our website on
a six-month calendar cycle that will include data
analytics on spend and also our performance against
recordkeeping requirements in the samples that we
pull as well as our progress on the recommendations,
and this is modeled on things we've done in the past
in other areas as well.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Brad, could you also talk about the transparency that we already have on our website that we've already had built out.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We do have a lot of transparency on our website around multiple areas in the HUD agreement as well as outages, dashboards, and other items too that we're happy to always walk you through, Council Member.

CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay, because the report is great, but if we could have a real-time dashboard that is also public facing so that so that especially our NYCHA residents, our tenant associations, constituent service caseworkers can

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 92
2	look up cases in real time and really understand and
3	follow along in the procurement process of where
4	their current work order is or where the purchase is.
5	Before I turn it back to Chair Banks, do
6	vendors for micro purchasing contracts go through a
7	PASSPort check currently?
8	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Our
9	Chief Procurement Officer will answer that question.
10	Thank you.
11	CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE: They
12	do not go through a PASSPort check at this point.
13	Through the DOI's recommendations, we will be doing a
14	vendor integrity check that will mirror much of the
15	PASSPort certifications and representations. At this
16	point, once a vendor reaches 250,000 dollars is when
17	we do a full-blown PASSPort vendor check. I will say
18	that as we did the analysis for our top 20
19	commodities and top 20 services vendors, most of
20	those, 20 out of 20 on the commodities and 18 to 20
21	on the services, did have a valid VNC so many of our
22	micro purchase vendors do have VNC checks.
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON WON: Okay. Thank you so
24	much. Back to you Chair Banks.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Chair Brewer.

2.2

2.3

much. Three questions. First of all, I know we talked about some of the numbers, but what is NYCHA's current repair backlog? That's one. And then how many vacancies, because this is related, I think, does NYCHA have for the following job titles, property manager, superintendent, and assistant superintendent? Then I assume there are some vacancies so who's filling them? Then, can you explain how you review the job performance of supervisors and assistant supervisors and have the

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you for those questions, Chair Brewer. I'm going to turn it over to Dan Greene who is going to walk you through your first question.

staff evaluations changed due to the recent scandals?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Thank you, Chair Brewer. I love talking about the work orders. I can do a deep dive into the backlog. The backlog is high but it's complicated, and I just want to just give some context first. So last month alone we saw 229,000 work orders created at NYCHA just in one month. The month before that we had over 200,000 work orders created. The month before that we had

2.2

2.3

211,000 work orders so on and so forth so every single month we're getting a very high volume of work that we have to do. I will say proudly for what I supervise, I supervise maintenance, I supervise lead, I supervise mold, and I supervise all the skilled trades for all the properties, we are meeting our monthly burden for what is coming in, and that is a really good thing. We've been really pushing, and I think the neighborhood model that we moved through in the transformation plan along with the additional staffing is helping us meet that benchmark for our in-house work orders.

Where we have challenges is with getting to the backlog. During COVID, so just to get into overall numbers. In May 2023, we crested at, and this is for all work order types, including for heating, for elevators, 650,000 in May 2023. Today we're down to 584,000 so just in the past 10 months, and that was at the end of January, we've been able to really bring that work order backlog down, and one of the main ways that we've done that is targeting the most critical work orders. We've gone after old leaks, we've gone after old mold remediation, we've gone after things called tub enclosures, which are in our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bathrooms, which cause leaks, and through those concerted efforts, we've been able to bring that backlog down, and I would note that we worked with the (INAUDIBLE) BAAs monitors to develop those criteria to target our backlog because the bottom line is not all work orders are created equal. A lot of the old work orders are paint work orders, and that's it's really paint after repair and right now we simply don't have enough NYCHA painters or vendors to be able to cover all of the painting work orders that have been created so we're targeting areas where health and safety is paramount, where resident quality of life is paramount, and we are seeing, number one, us meeting that monthly throughput so that the backlog does not grow, and number two, we want to target those backlog work orders in those most sensitive areas. I can say, for example, in Manhattan alone, we've dramatically reduced the number of plumbing work orders open in Manhattan. I'll just pull up the numbers just quickly here, because I think that they are illustrative of some of the work that we're doing, it's cooperating, but for plumbing alone in Manhattan at the height in July 2022, we had 6,750 plumbing work orders open in

2.2

2.3

Manhattan. That was the crest. It actually was a little bit higher than what before, 6,814. As of today, we have 3,626 plumbing work orders open in all of our Manhattan developments, and that's with over 1,600 plumbing work orders being created every single month so we're actually getting to our plumbing work a lot faster now and we see similar trends in the trades. I give a long answer because work order data, sometimes we see the number and people say, oh my goodness, that's the worst. Actually, we have to be very smart about it and use our resources very strategically and again make sure that we're not adding to that backlog and (INAUDIBLE).

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I appreciate that.

You say, because painters, you just don't have enough painters. Is that what you're saying?

and so one thing that we're going to be doing is we're going to be moving some painters that were in a central department back out to our boroughs. They were pulled centrally to deal with really a lot of lead issues back a few years ago. Now that we have a full-blown abatement program, we're moving those resources back out to the boroughs so really our

painter backlog is coming down too but not as quickly as things like plumbing so we want to add those resources to our neighborhoods to be able to do more paint work orders.

We also started this year a project called Operation Paint the Town which is still evolving, but basically we're going after those very old vendor paint work orders, so our NYCHA painters, they only get assigned to do two rooms or fewer and then vendors are assigned to do full apartment painting. That's the way that the work has been divided for NYCHA for many, many years, so we really want to target those older full apartment paint work orders, number one, to see if the work is still needed because sometimes the residents might just say I'm going to paint the apartment myself or maybe they actually, it was created for another reason and they actually don't need a vendor work order so we're doing verification, but then we also want to get that work done. I would note that, that's really not micro purchase work. We have paint contracts and things of that nature, maybe a couple micro purchases here and there, but mostly it's through paint contracts.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 98 Again, strategic targeting of critical areas is 2 3 essential to bring that backlog down. 4 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Thank you, and then vacancies. 5 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Yeah, 6 7 let me just find it. I have all those numbers. I just 8 have to pull it up in this. 9 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: I have it handy. 10 11 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Oh, you 12 have it handy? Please. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: 13 14 Currently, we have 15 property managers that are 15 vacant, 8 superintendents, 25 assistant 16 superintendents. All but 10 have candidates starting 17 on May 4th or sooner and, as you can imagine, we were 18 fortunate to be able to work collaboratively with DOI so once we knew the titles that were needed, our HR 19 20 department has really been working to get these vacancies filled. 21 2.2 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and then 23 have you changed the staff evaluations based on what's the scandal? That's my last question. 24

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: I

1 2

2.2

welcome more ability to evaluate our staff. Right now, those rules are pretty prescriptive due to some of the contracts and as you negotiate over time, there's really just a provisional period that we have that opportunity. We need more evaluations. I can say that myself, I'm at a NYCHA property every single day, literally for an entire day so we are assessing staff. We're making moves where we see the staff falling short on quality of work, performance, etc., but I do support a more structured evaluation process

CO-CHATRP

CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very

much.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Now, we're going to go to Committee Members' questions, and we're going to start with Council Member Rivera.

at NYCHA and hopefully we can get there one day.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much to the Chairs for this important hearing. Of course, I want to thank the panel. It's unfortunate that we are here to discuss the largest single-day bribery related takedown in DOJ history. It's just baffling the level of dysfunction.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

My District is home to nearly 20,000 residents across 16 developments, and these are the families that are dealing directly with the consequences of this corruption. The examples of how this scandal has affected families' quality of life, there are plenty as you can imagine. In my development, we've seen workers at Gompers, Wald, LES Houses, and Straus among those indicted. Gompers has recurring issues with broken doors that NYCHA says they fix. It makes me wonder whether that was one of the smaller contracts involved in this. In Wald, I had a senior live for nearly a year with a massive hole in her wall exposed to pests and particulates. The dust when I went into that apartment was palpable. The purpose of the no-bid contracting process as was stated many times is to speed up repairs, but NYCHA's internal data shows that at the end of January 2024 there are 600,000 open work orders taking 370 days to resolve them. Can you say that this bribery, this corruption, this particular scandal, adversely affected work order completion and repair resolutions? Can you honestly say that?

you so much for that question. I'm going to turn it

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank

over to Dan Greene who spends every day in the field and can speak about both the work orders and your

4 question.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: So the answer is no, I don't agree with that. First of all, we're seeing right now if you look at the data which is massive, more of our work orders than ever before are being closed with work done with actual labor than in the past. In the past, NYCHA did have the issue, and it's a very disturbing issue of closing out work orders without visiting apartments, but we put so many controls in place now to make sure that right now I think it's around 4 percent, it goes up and down monthly a little bit, but 4 percent are being closed due to not accessing apartments so we're getting in, we're doing more work. The work that the micro purchases, and I went through in preparation for this testimony like literally every single micro purchase, most of it's like public space area work so there's a few in apartment, but most of it is going to be things like fencing is a big item here, lobby doors, and you're right, our lobby doors do break and it's incredibly frustrating. It's one of the most frustrating problems. Every time we fix them,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unfortunately they're breaking again and again and again. We need to fix that problem. It's a serious safety issue, but those are the types of repairs that we see mainly for micro purchases. There's also a lot of pump work like in terms of like in our mechanical areas we'll have pumps that remove water from areas to make sure they don't flood or we have our house pumps that supply water to the development so that's generally the kind of work that we're talking about so I don't think that the type of work here slowed down any repair times. We do have slow repair times that we need to speed up, and I fully acknowledge that, and through work order reform, I think that we're starting to do that, but I don't think that this particular issue implicated the repair times.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I have just one more question. Is that okay? Okay.

I hear you and I heard some of the reforms that you're making to the no-bidding contract process. You said most of them are implemented or underway within the next year. We heard a little bit of issuance of prequalified vendor lists, enhancing the reporting requirements. I think we would appreciate a more detailed timeline of when these

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 103 things actually went into effect, and what is 2 3 expected in the next year, and maybe you can get the 4 Committee that afterwards. My last question is really in light of the DOJ investigation, what concrete steps will NYCHA take to hold employees accountable 6 7 for the safety of their residents? 8 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank 9 you for that question. I'm going to turn it over to our Chief Compliance Officer who can answer those 10 11 questions in detail. CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: If 12 13 you'd like, we can go recommendation by recommendation and give you a current status now, or 14 15 we can defer that. It's up to Council Member. COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Give us something. 16 17 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Okay. 18 For the first recommendation, which is the alternative staffing model, that's obviously as the 19 20 DOI Commissioner alluded to, the most complicated. We 21 don't obviously want to impact service so we have to 2.2 roll that staffing model out. That's the longer-term 2.3 recommendation that DOI gave us as is the second one, which is the longer-term procedural changes. The way 24

they structured their recommendations is that the

2.2

2.3

first two are the longer-term ones, which will hopefully happen within the year, like we said, and then 3 through 14 are underway now.

For number three, which is training, that's an item that we're currently working on, and we have the training materials with DOI and we're going back and forth on the materials and we'll hopefully roll out the training shortly. We've done prior training so this is an additional training.

Number four is complete. That was the compliance advisory alert that came from my office, reminding folks about their duty to report corruption. That went out already.

Number five is about the creation of a pre-qualified list, which Sergio can talk to, but that's already being discussed with DOI and they have a plan in place to complete that, hopefully soon.

Number six is to create a training program for our vendor community, not just for our staff, also something that's currently underway, and they're working through the material now, using some of the material we used in the prior two years.

Number seven is the establishment of a schedule of cost estimates for the top 15 most used

services. For those, even prior to the arrests, we've been working on something similar to this. We've been pulling data to figure out what the most common types are so we could try to come up with a better schedule of cost estimates, and we have the data underway and have been doing that analysis, so hopefully that'll happen soon as well.

Number eight is to have regular meetings between myself, Dan, and our Quality Assurance Officer to use a data analytics tool that we've built to try to go through individual properties and individual requisitions and then do reviews of those, and we kicked off those monthly meetings already so that's complete, and we've scheduled the rest of them for the rest of the year and it'll be a good, I think, way for us to have executive oversight over the program.

Number nine is related to statement of services, before and after photos being uploaded, so that's going to require an IT enhancement to Oracle Financial, and so we're working with our staff to put that in place. It's obviously a little more complicated to code, but we're working on that one.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Number 10 was about the display of signage, which I think we had a couple of developments that needed to put their signs up yesterday, but I believe is complete and those last two have to send photos to us, and I think they did. We can follow up on that one. Basically, everybody has their signage up.

Number 11 was about a popup window or a set of language going on to the Oracle Financial system for each requisition that tells people that they have to acknowledge that offering or giving a bribe is not permitted. That went live already in our system so that one's complete and we had communications go out.

Number 12 was about revising the form that we rolled out a couple of years ago to also have that same acknowledgement. That one's complete. We've got that on our website and also in our internal systems to require that language be checked off on our forms.

Number 13 is about the semi-annual reporting that we'll do, which obviously we'll do our first semi-annual report in the next couple months as we scale it up.

Number 14 is to continue our ongoing reporting to DOI of suspicious activity, which is obviously ongoing.

We've made a lot of good progress already.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: And I can confirm that the signage is complete.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: Good.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you to the Chairs. You have a procurement ethics policy, which all the staff must acknowledge. I hope the repercussions of violating that policy is also clear. Thank you for the time.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Council Member Stevens.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good afternoon.

Thank you to the Chairs for this really important hearing, and I just have a couple of questions and just starting with thinking about I know we've taken recommendations from DOI about what we need to do to fix the corruptions, but I haven't heard anything about how you've engaged residents to see and get their input as well. I would love to hear what that process would look like and how you're using NYCHA

2.2

2.3

leadership, the TA leaders, to be a part of this process and why weren't they a part of the process if they weren't before.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you so much for that question. I can tell you that we all routinely speak to TA leaders and resident advisors. The day that this happened on February 6th, myself and our Chief Operating Officer, Eva Trimble, sent out a letter both to our staff as well as our residents. We also had our EVP for Resident Engagement call each of the TA leaders to speak to them about it. I personally called several of them as well. Of course, when they have something to share with us, we engage with them. I think some of them were just as surprised as we were, but we will continue to speak with them. We have regularly scheduled calls with both the CCOP and other TA leaders.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Again, that's nice, and I know you speak with them often, but that's part of the issue, right, because you're talking to them, but you have recommendations, but where are their recommendations, right? These are people who are living there who are actually directly

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 109 affected and so part of the issue is they should be 2 3 part of this conversation around like when we're 4 talking about these recommendations and maybe 5 thinking about how we implement. I know there was some talks about like pictures and things like that, 6 7 but how are we making sure we're doing 360 8 evaluations of the work that's being done and having them be included, and I think that that is a layer that we are missing in this process. We're talking 10 11 about it because, like I said before, I think that it 12 is important to have accountability, but in these 13 moments, we often overcorrect and we make the process longer. Even in this conversation, residents are 14 15 missing from it, and so I'm really concerned that they're not people also making recommendation and I 16 17 would have loved to hear, you just listed what, like 18 15 recommendations. Not one of them was from a resident so that needs to be a part of the 19 conversation so as we are going through this process, 20 21 not only telling them what the recommendations are, 2.2 because I know that's a lot what we do in the city, 2.3 we tell folks but having real input and them being part of the process to help alleviate some of this 24

moving forward. I think it's going to be really

2.2

2.3

important. Could you talk to me a little bit about what you guys are doing to really restore the trust in residents? As you guys know, NYCHA residents, this is just adding on to the list of reasons why they are always upset, right, and really, what does the outreach look like to restore the trust, especially with the superintendents. These were people who were there day in, day out, and so this has broken a level of trust so what are you guys doing to also help repair that trust with residents in HR? Thank you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you for that question. I don't know, Dan, do you want to talk? We've all gone out to developments, spoken both to the staff who were equally affected as well as the residents who some of them just couldn't believe what they were hearing, but we continue to have a dialogue with them.

Dan, I don't know if you want to speak to what we do as well.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Yeah, I struggle with it every single day because you're right, the residents have a lot of reasons to be frustrated with us still. I think what I can say is the solution to that is getting the work done and

2.2

2.3

getting it done well and communication. I agree with you 100 percent. I speak with TA association presidents every single day pretty much throughout this city, and they want to see, number one, their work done for the issues that they care about, and they also want to see it done in a timely fashion. As you noted broken doors, one of the top complaints. Super frustrating that that we have a broken door, and it takes so long to get a vendor out to repair it.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I literally just had a TA email me about a broken door right now.

probably have a hundred of those emails in my email inbox right now, and we have to do better with our vendors, and our vendors have to be accountable. Not just on things such as corruption but also on time of services. We shouldn't have door vendors have to delay trips out to our developments to lobby doors fixed so we need to provide more time-sensitive service, but I agree with you. We also need to talk with them about ideas for vendors. I know that we've talked about some type of survey for quality of work, particularly on things like painting or plastering

where they might be doing work in the apartment to make sure, tiling is another area that we need to consider, but I think we have started to achieve some programs that are, I run the lead abatement program, we've relocated now over 4,000 residents to hotels, we're getting work done on their apartment, and though not every resident has been happy, I do think that we've listened about how we're scoping that program, how we're making it on the residents' schedule, and programs such as that are I think are going to lead to hopefully better resident feedback and better resident experience overall.

quickly. I have another question. Are you guys using like a newsletter? Do you guys like have a newsletter infrastructure or something that you're sending out to residents? And if so, how often are you sending it out? Because I'm literally getting texts right now about that and so just trying to get a better understanding of what that would look like as far as like do you do call blasts or newsletters for updates and things like that with your residents in NYCHA currently?

2.2

2 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: With 3 the resident association specifically?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: No. Just residents in general.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: There's sometimes people think maybe too much communication from NYCHA to residents on certain issues so we have our robocalls is one of the areas that people maybe get a little bit annoyed at but, no, I think on critical issues, but I would say there's a lot of different layers of communication through our engagement department from meetings such as the meeting that Chair Banks and I were at about a few weeks ago about Legionella to come to the TA meetings, to doing special meetings, to updating them regularly by phone. We make sure that our neighborhood administrators are checking in with our tenant association presidents very frequently to make sure that they are updated on any sort of recent development at NYCHA but also any needs that they have, and then also, I think just through our continuous resident outreach. We are doing a lot of that, but we could always get more word out there. We

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

can always do a better job to make sure everybody's informed on what's going on at NYCHA.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: And I just want to add that are <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> unit does send out a weekly newsletter and they also meet with the CCOP every Thursday.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Just thinking about as we're talking about the recommendations, even the ones that you just listed here, maybe sending them out in this weekly newsletter to get feedback from residents could be a start to also have a line of communication of what we can do, but like I said, I just want to stress that the importance of making sure that we're not only listening to DOI, but we're also listening to the residents because I say it all the time, the people closest to the issues are probably the one with the solutions, and so making sure that they are valued and a part of the discussion moving forward about any recommendations and not just telling them what we're going to do but actually getting their feedback and input and listening and taking it and implementing it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council Member Stevens. Council Member Bottcher.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Hi. Can you explain how NYCHA evaluates the job performance of supers, property managers, and assistant supers in the campuses?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you, Council Member. We actually addressed that earlier with Chair Brewer, but Dan Greene can go over the challenges with us doing that again.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Right now, like when a super is first assigned, there'll be a probationary period where they can be evaluated during that probationary period, but it's a very short time. It's like a year to evaluate them.

Unfortunately, if a super gets into that role, or fortunately or unfortunately depending on the person, there's not too much of an evaluation process after that. We do have to rely on our disciplinary process, which we can issue memos called instructional memos. Those can be escalated to something called a counseling memo which are like very-issue specific. If, for example, if you didn't follow a procurement policy, you could get a counseling memo and that

would go into your file. If you get enough counseling

2 3

1

memos, that would then go to what they call a local

4

hearing, which is a hearing administered by the

boroughs or, if it's a serious infraction, we will

6

pursue a general trial, which you can get suspension

7

or termination or demotion through the general trial,

8

but the civil service laws make discipline at NYCHA

very challenging and some of the agreements about

10

employee valuation, whether it be with the unions or

11

through the civil service, have also made it very

12

challenging. I, again, really, in my role as

13

overseeing property management, I really want to have

14

the ability to evaluate because we hear really

16

15

negative experiences in our property management

17

offices, and that's not acceptable so I really look

negative feedback also from residents who have very

18

forward to a day when we can have more rigid

19

evaluation system on a frequent basis for our

20

superintendents, assistant superintendents, and

21

property managers.

2.2

some kind of more rigid evaluation system because I

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Are you pursuing

2.3 24

think my Colleagues could share similar experiences,

25

and I don't want to overgeneralize with every

property manager, but the experience we have when we go to a property manager at NYCHA with issues and the experience we have when we go to a superintendent in a privately operated building, they're often very

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: I think many of our property managers are excellent, and many of our superintendents are excellent. Unfortunately, some are not, and I agree with you that we need a structured system to be able to evaluate them better. I ask for that as a manager, but I would also say a lot of the work that we've been doing at NYCHA over the past few years is updating NYCHA. NYCHA really needs an update overall and it's procurement policies, it's environmental policies, another area is on employee oversight because for example, when I was at work for the City at other agencies, I got evaluated twice per year and I was like, in a different kind of job, but that kind of dialogue with an employee currently does not exist, but I think it needs to.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: And,
Council Member, to the extent that you have an issue

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

different.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 118 with a property manager or super, please let us know 2 3 so that we can address it 4 Chair Banks, I just want to correct something that I had said earlier with respect to our 5 current vacancies. Chair Brewer, I had said that we 6 7 currently had 15 vacancies for property managers. 8 Actually, the numbers were updated this morning, and we currently have eight vacancies, and with respect to superintendents, I had said we had eight 10 11 vacancies. We actually have nine vacancies. CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Are there any 12 other Members? 13 14 Chair Brewer, do you have any other 15 questions? 16 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: One quick 17 question. Following up to Council Member Bottcher's 18 question. The fact is that you want more supervision. Is that something that is contractual? Can it be done 19 20 just with an executive order? How do you get more 21 supervision? 2.2 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: 2.3 Collective bargaining. CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: It is collective 24

bargaining. That's what I wanted to know. So all of

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 119 2 the positions that you're talking about are 3 collectively bargained so you'd have to do it that way. When is the next contract up? 4 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We'll 5 have to get back to you on that one. 6 7 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right. Thank you. How many referrals have you forwarded to DOI? 8 That's the final question. CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I 10 11 don't know if we have the exact number of referrals. We are in communication with them all the time on 12 13 this program and others so I'm not sure we have the exact number for you. We'll have to get back to you. 14 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. 16 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: I 17 just want to make an additional correction that the 18 CCOP meeting is once a week on Thursdays, but the newsletter that (INAUDIBLE) sends out is monthly, not 19 20 weekly. 21 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Are 2.2 there any rules surrounding contractors which are 2.3 owned by or employee or retired or past NYCHA

employees? Any rules that surround contractors as far

as which are owned by an employee or a retired employee or past NYCHA employee.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: I

don't have that information in front of me. I believe

that they would have to go to COIB to get a waiver,

but I don't want to misspeak so we can get back to

you on that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How do you verify the contract or the vendor, if they have any ownership or interests. What does a vetting process look like?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: I will turn that over to our Chief Procurement Officer for that answer.

the recommendations from DOI, this will be changing.

A part of the vendor integrity process will also include any sort of ownership requirements, corporate structure, related parties, and things of that nature. At the micro level, basically anybody doing business with NYCHA, but prior to that, that's part of the VNC process, the vendor name check, PASSPort process. You submit a PASSPort filing and with that PASSPort filing, you're required to disclose your

2.2

2.3

certifications and representations as far as how you're structured, related parties, related companies, and what have you and then that goes forward and DOI then does a vendor name check and then provides us with a memo to anything that may be of discriminatory nature.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, and what type of trainings are done for the property managers, the superintendents, and the assistant superintendents on how they manage the micro purchase contracts?

CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER PANEQUE:

There's a number of different trainings within our Oracle system and our website, things that we've developed over the last couple of years, and then again with respect to our ethics policy and our Dun and Bradstreet policy and a tool, we have a training that we developed. We initiated that in November of '22, and now we will be implementing that within our learning management system as a result of the DOI recommendation.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right. Thank you. In 2024, the budget plan for NYCHA includes reducing central office costs and head counts in order to control the course of NYCHA's ongoing

operating deficit. DOI's recommendation appears to require a new centralized unit. What's the cost estimated for that unit and is it funded by NYCHA's budget?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: We haven't finalized the staffing yet, but the model that we're currently looking at is going to cost about 6.1 million dollars.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. If there are no other questions, this will be my last question. What additional resources, if any, does NYCHA need from the City Council or Administration to implement enhanced fraud prevention measures in its micro purchase contracting process?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: We really appreciate your partnership. We'll be coming back in I guess two weeks to talk about our preliminary budget so we can have a more robust answer then, but with any change like this that we need to implement, we certainly need the support of our Council Members, obviously the Public Advocate, and also DOI. We need to be collaborative. We need to make sure that any bad actors are rooted out. I've

2.2

2.3

been a lifelong public servant. What happened here can't happen again. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

this will be my last question. The U.S. Attorney categorized the culture of corruption that enabled these alleged actions. Beyond improving the contracting process, what steps can NYCHA take to reform its organizational culture and win back the trust of the residents because the residents have been scorned behind this, and we need some fundamental steps moving forward to bring back that trust, so what organizational reforms are being done.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER BOVA-HIATT: Thank you so much for that question, and I think it's a perfect question to end the hearing. We've been under a federal monitor since 2019, and our transformation plan focuses on achieving compliance through a culture of service. We have really tried to focus on training. We have an amazing new morning muster project that gets people at the developments, people who don't normally have access to computers. We have an operations leadership institute where we're using former supers, assistant supers, and property managers who have retired to teach best practices to

computer. If you are on Zoom and you do not receive a

prompt when your name is called, you can use the raise hand function and we will call on you, but we will begin by in-person testimony.

As I call the names, if you could come forward to the table in front.

Alixa Creiz and Celina Miranda.

I'll call up the remaining three inperson folks. If you can come up to the table. Renee
Keitt, Joel Kupferman, and Christopher Leon Johnson.

ALIXA CREIZ: Hi. My name is Alixa. I'm a NYCHA resident, and I've been in NYCHA since 1969, and I've been under Section 9, and it's just going to take these private developers off our backs, with all this stuff that's being resolved with the 70 employees that took the money, and do I get answers on my district manager from District 3, which I don't want to mention his name, and he was present here, he doesn't answer a lot of things that I would like to know about NYCHA, and I want NYCHA to be saved as Section 9, because it's security, because the private developers, it only lasts 20 years on a contract and after 20 years people are out of the apartments and there's no protection with NYCHA. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

Go ahead, ma'am.

CELINA MIRANDA: I'm Celina Miranda. I live in a development that's in danger of being demolished in the Elliott Chelsea houses. Public housing has always been linked to NYCHA. We don't need NYCHA managing us to still be public housing. These fraudulent practices that NYCHA have been committing is not a reason to privatize. Public housing was made with a purpose to prevent homelessness and to house the lowest of income earners. These affordable housing developments have an income bracket that tenants need to meet. The lowest of income earners will not qualify to live under many of these RAD conversions. The only true affordable housing there is is public housing. Let's hold NYCHA accountable. The NYCHA employees at the lower level are the ones getting arrested, but many have known for years that these practices have been taking place. Why has it been allowed to go on for so long, and why are they getting exposed now? Who's supposed to be overseeing the NYCHA employees that got arrested? It's true. It's time we start holding

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

accountable the Lisa Bova-Hiatts and the Barbara Brancaccios of NYCHA. They are using these arrests to justify privatization. No, just follow through on your job function, and NYCHA can run smoothly as it's supposed to. Many NYCHA tenants are not getting the work orders from their apartment fixed. The major problems such as leaks and mold, but a simple fixture such as changing a light bulb gets done, and the vendor gets paid over 700 for the changing of one light bulb. The funding is available, but our NYCHA buildings are being systematically allowed to get run down. The physical needs analysis of our NYCHA buildings is skyrocketing high to rehabilitate the NYCHA buildings but if they are using the fraudulent cost of changing a lightbulb, then the PNA is a miscalculation and needs to be revised. The Fulton, Elliott and Chelsea Houses...

19

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Finish your statement.

21

2.2

2.3

20

CELINA MIRANDA: The Fulton, Elliot and Chelsea houses are at risk of being demolished based on lies and deceptive tactics. Our buildings are not deteriorating. Yes, we do need maintenance, but our buildings are harder than brick itself. It would be a

25

2.2

2.3

crime to demolish. The new buildings built today are made with cheap materials. You have tenants outside of NYCHA paying 4,000 dollars or more in those affordable housing units, but those same tenants are not the priority. It's all for profit. Let's not get rid of our retro and well-built buildings. The related company buildings have tenants who stay for an estimate of two years. They realize that they are getting jibbed. They move and pay the same and live better elsewhere.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Keep going.

CELINA MIRANDA: Getting demolished is a violation of the 2019 Working Group findings. It was decided that not one of our Chelsea NYCHA buildings would be demolished, but once they decided to demolish, the Working Group Findings was crumbled into a ball and tossed in the garbage. It's useless at this point and no longer have any validity. In truth, it never had any validity. It was never a legal binding document. Neither is the survey which they are using to justify demolition. Six tenants from Fulton and six tenants from the Elliott Chelsea was involved in the decision making to be converted into RAD. The rest of the community have not been

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 129 2 educated in the process. They do not know the 3 difference between Section 9 and Section 8. FEC tenants started to lose trust in NYCHA ever since 4 they started to sell us out to private developers. You want to gain our trust? Stop demolition, stop 6 7 privatization, and have NYCHA meet up to their 8 standard. Many elected officials are also involved in the fraudulent practices. Many need to be investigated and exposed. Please help us. No 10 11 demolition, no privatization. Hold all of NYCHA 12 accountable, and let's replace NYCHA employees with 13 people who actually care about the tenants. Also, Chris Banks, please reply to our 14 15 email. We sent you an email, FEC Stop Demolition. CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you very much 16 17 for your testimony. Next. 18 JOEL KUPFERMAN: Joel Kupferman, Environmental Justice Initiative. Thank you for being 19 20 here. I've been at NYCHA hearings the last two, three years, and it sort of annoys me that I would say that 21 2.2 collectively we're all guilty of not making things 2.3 change. Things have gotten worse. I'm really concerned about the environmental conditions. I'm 24

counsel to Alfred Smith Houses and work with RPPH,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Residents for Preserved Public Housing. I've contacted agencies for years, including DOI, the Federal Monitor, City Health Department, and DEP, but now NYCHA has forbidden me to speak to the contractor that's dealing with Smith with a lot of these problems that we're talking about are happening so complaints have fallen upon deaf ears. A collective nonresponse, which serves as an alibi for people's actions. Good contractors, I think it's important for you to know, have avoided working for NYCHA, calling it unfair competition. At Smith, we have a contractor that wants to do good work and they're being silenced so part of the problem, it's not just a few bad contracts and a few people getting extra money, we're pushing out the bad, and it's just basically the word on the street is that you could follow the bad stuff, little contractors and big contractors, go to NYCHA, and you're there. Poor construction and renovation is not just a financial burden but a serious health burden. Asbestos, lead, (INAUDIBLE), and sewage is hitting people now. We showed that in COVID, and COVID's coming back with increased exposure to dust chronic exposure, and that's what all these NYCHA residents are getting, leads to a major increase in

1 2

)

COVID death so there's a health problem here, and part of the solution is there's federal and state agencies that should be called in, that have money to help, and NYCHA doesn't want to hear from them. All right? Disregard for health and safety measures. We put in over and over again. We've caught monitors asleep away from the thing. This is a 300-dollar air monitor that measures better than the monitors that's there. These should be outfitted to residents when they're doing any type of construction work to make a solid record of what's happening.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Please wrap up your statement.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Excuse me?

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Please wrap up your testimony.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay, so part of the problem is on the inside is cutting into asbestos and lead walls. There's a bad actor policy that the City has that has been invoked, that we used against the bad pesticide spraying. The City ripped up an eight-million-dollar contract over two or three OSHA violations. That is one way to clean up NYCHA. OSHA has a program called Strategic Partner Participation

where they come in and do a complete evaluation of all the work practices which would help all the residents there for preventing any exposure to the workers or whatever. We asked Dan Greene who testified here, first they said yes, then they limited it to Smith, and then they rejected it. That's one way that overnight you could have the federal government come in and tell you all the bad working conditions that are on, a free evaluation and recommendations with a temporary waiver of any fines. That's the questions you should be asking. Why are they turning down help from outside agencies and not just talking? Tenant participation. Part of the problem is when people call up to complain, they call up 3-1-1. Right now, those calls are being diverted to NYCHA. The City Health Department, DEP and all the other agencies that should be involved, that mandated by City Charter, are not even getting those complaints so part of the problem is the City Council is allowing these people to just be forced to talk to the bad landlord and not to agencies that have the mandate to do it. It's unconstitutional and just totally unfair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE OF CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right, thank
3	you. Thank you for your testimony.
4	JOEL KUPFERMAN: I've been here the whole
5	day.
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We have to wrap it
7	up.
8	JOEL KUPFERMAN: Two more minutes? Okay.
9	CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: No, no, no. Ten
10	more seconds.
11	JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay. You should have to
12	strengthen the whistleblower protection. Today I got
13	called by whistleblowers that work at NYCHA that are
14	complaining about work practices. Other
15	whistleblowers have left because they got hounded
16	out. You're listening to them, you should be
17	listening to people that have the inside news
18	CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, sir.
19	Thank you for your testimony. Next.
20	JOEL KUPFERMAN: Thank you.
21	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: All right.
22	What's up? Hello, Chair Banks. My name is Christophe
23	Leon Johnson, and I want to make this clear about
24	this Committee hearing. This Committee hearing is
25	nothing but a smoke screen to what's really really

2.2

2.3

happening here, and I'm going to say this right now, brother. You got voted in, you got elected through Labor Strong 2023, and the biggest union part of that is the carpenters. Now the carpenters, they're behind the RAD PACT situation because if you knock the buildings down, they're able to build it back up. The carpenters got you elected over Charles Barron and Jamilah Rose. Now, the question people need to start asking you as the Chair, can you be trusted in helping the people here save their apartments at Fulton Chelsea because it's hard to trust you as the Chair of this Committee and as a Council Member when you are down with the carpenters who are one of the biggest unions that are pushing for RAD PACT.

Now, what recently happened with the 70 arrests, that was nothing but a publicity stunt. That was nothing but publicity. Those are low-level employees of the NYCHA who got arrested. What they need to start doing is arresting the big people on top, starting back with this lady right behind me, the CEO of NYCHA, who need to be arrested with them because she's part of the problem. She's a big part of the problem. They want NYCHA to be eradicated because it's an anchor to the City of Yes. All this

moderate-income New Yorkers alongside with a

workforce development program. It was founded on the recognition that private developers wouldn't address the urgent need for housing. The primary partnership lies between NYCHA and its residents, and when I say residents, I mean the thousands of residents, not just the Resident Association leaders. Introducing additional bureaucratic leaders is not a solution, PACT RAD is not the solution, the Preservation Trust is not a solution, and demolition is not a viable solution. Currently, 18 percent of NYCHA's staff are public housing residents. Embracing the circular economy is crucial. NYCHA once had skilled trades to handle the work, but outsourcing leads to resourcing (INAUDIBLE) NYCHA. At one time, NYCHA had skilled trades to do the work. Union jobs translate into higher income, meaning higher rents, meaning the money just goes back from whence it came. The most important part is accountability. Without that, nothing else matters. They need to be made accountable for the decisions they have made. That needs to be worked on on a city, state, and federal level. If that is not done, anything else is meaningless.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

testimony.

to go to the virtual. For those on Zoom and wishing to testify, I'll call your name and you'll be

prompted to unmute yourself. You can begin your

8 testimony as soon as you're unmuted.

The first person will be Aixia Torres.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Now we're going

AIXIA TORRES: Good afternoon. I apologize. I heard that I was called early this

morning, but I was trying to do the Zoom versus in-

The problem that NYCHA has and will

person for health reasons.

continue to have is as long as they don't follow the 964, which is the regulations that means that we, the residents, are supposed to be part of the process from conception are totally excluded, right? I have spent a lot of the resident participation funds acquiring having an attorney so that our rights would not be violated, and even though NYCHA has a legal department, it doesn't mean that I feel comfortable with that. I felt that we needed to have our own attorney, and he spoke a little while ago on behalf of some of the things that have happened. I'm going

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 138 to speak now, and I'm not going to talk long. Just 2 3 know that my anger is filled with grief. At least 4 once a month, I have to go to a funeral for a resident who's dying of 9/11 cancer, and even though we have voiced our concerns, which is why Joel is our 6 7 attorney, and I will be indebted to him forever, it is really, really, really hard when we've been 8 ignored, right, about the situation, and I'm just going to talk as the President of Alfred E. Smith. I 10 11 am now one of the plaintiffs for the congestion. I am now one of plaintiffs on Beth Israel on behalf of my 12 residents. It is incredible that when we have 13 complained, so what happened last week and 14 15 everybody's innocent until proven guilty, right, and I stand by that. (INAUDIBLE) 16 17 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your 18 time has expired. 19 AIXIA TORRES: Can I finish because everybody else was allowed to talk and I'm gonna 20 21 finish real quick. 2.2 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, ma'am. You can 2.3 just wrap it up. You can get another minute. AIXIA TORRES: Thank you. Thank you. Is 24

that we need to make sure that the residents are

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

really spoken to, and part of the problem with these vendors and things like that, and I'm saying this because I've asked who do I complain to when there's a vendor that I need to throw out of my development who's not doing the right job, who I don't want him to get paid, right, to the point that I had to get an attorney so that certain things would get done, not cut my trees off because it was easier for them instead of protecting them, which the trees help all the residents in my development who have serious lung disease or some kind of cancer to be able to breathe fresh air, right, and no, that doesn't happen, and so until the residents sign off and check off what needs to be done, who better than us to assess if the job is well done than the residents. We're the ones who are living and all of the consequences, right, that have happened because unfortunately, as the chair of Manhattan South, I had three to four developments that were affected by what happened. I have serious concerns for the resident leaders and, for the record very quickly, one of the resident leaders was complaining constantly. I moved it up and yes, it was moved up further, but I'm just saying we know what's going on in our developments. We know what it is to

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 140 live with quality and without quality, and right now 2 3 most of us are living, and we're taxpayers, we pay 4 rent, we pay mortgage rent, and trust you me, we're 5 not getting taxpayers' quality, and we are not getting (INAUDIBLE) 6 7 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Miss Torres. 8 9 AIXIA TORRES: Thank you for your time. 10 Thank you. 11 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your 12 testimony. 13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: As a reminder, everyone who is testifying today, both in person and 14 15 on Zoom, is encouraged to submit written testimony 16 via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov or through the 17 Council website. 18 The next person on virtual will be Miss 19 Dana Elden. 20 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 21 DANA ELDEN: Thank you. Good afternoon, Council Chair Banks and the Council Committee Members 2.2 2.3 and all in attendance. I'm the resident and council president for St. Mary's Park Houses. I sit on the 24

South Bronx Executive Board as well as being an

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 141 executive board member of Residents to Preserve 2 3 Public Housing. In the five years in which I have 4 served in my position here at St. Mary's Park Houses, I have questioned the necessities of certain purchases that only create a more pleasant and new 6 7 look and or added to the appearance of the developments in buildings look. I'm sure that 8 including the residents' council's input would not be accepted. However, it is my opinion that when council 10 11 leaders speak on the work that is going to be done or already has been done that we be advised of the 12 13 vendor on any information that would warn us of incomplete work or shoddy work that many council 14 15 leaders have spoken about. It's already bad enough to 16 council leaders are not advised of our budgets by 17 management. They keep the information secret and, no 18 matter times we request that information, it is not 19 obtained. I've been waiting for four years for that 20 information. I have observed in many of my residents' 21 apartments work that did not appear to be sufficient in the quality of work and materials used. I do 2.2 2.3 understand that vendors being used have been vetted and on a listing. However, I find that difficult to 24

accept, such as like many other developments, the

2.2

aluminum base lobby doors. After attending a board meeting of NYCHA and hearing the amount of money that was paid towards such vendors, I was appalled that after a year's time, some of those bills paid were in excess of millions of dollars. These aluminum doors are constantly being fixed due to vandalism and extensive wear so NYCHA continues to use these vendors without the consideration of the money spent or the constant repairs that are necessary. The City newsletter cited 4,875 dollars for the replacement of a door to the compact room. That is ridiculous.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

DANA ELDEN: (INAUDIBLE) paid by NYCHA for such.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You can finish your statement.

DANA ELDEN: Such as LED light bulb replacement costing 4,250 dollars and 325 dollars per rubber threads on 15 steps. These charges are unacceptable and rob of residents of NYCHA getting more important jobs done. I currently have a resident who will be 80 years old in April. She has not had a working radiator in her living room since November

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2023. Repeatedly, she is told to be patient due to the purchases of wrong items to fix this problem. She is forced to keep a bucket now in that location, which fills up with rusty water. She lives on the 21st floor, the top floor, so she continues to have leaks from the roof and now her heating system. It is important to my council that this situation is remedied as soon as possible due to the litter that is spilled behind the scaffolding necessary as we approach construction to replace our 58-year-old old roofs. I'm told that for the two locations of our development, we are paying 8,000 dollars per location for a cleaning service to pick up trash at the development location. Sending 185 notices to the entire development is not the answer to littering, and right now I have lobbies that are dripping water around light fixtures. My last, I dare to say that a centralized location where these contractors are vetted and the micro purchases are reviewed are part of a failed system that has failed the residents of public housing. Also, the current system regarding the skilled trade usages is ridiculous as residents are made to wait months in order to get cabinets, plastering, paint jobs, mold removal. Just today, a

being done by vendors in micro contracts that

continue to milk the money from NYCHA and force the

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 145 residents to wait for quality-of-life services. Thank 2 3 you for allowing me this opportunity. 4 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your 5 testimony. COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: The next person 6 7 on Zoom will be Eleanor Carter. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 8 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Ms. Carter, you can begin. 10 11 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 12 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Ms. Carter, you can 13 start your testimony. 14 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Ms. Carter, 15 we're having trouble hearing you so we're going to 16 move on to the next person, and we'll come back to 17 you. The next person testifying will be Jacqueline 18 Lara. 19 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 20 JACQUELINE LARA: Hi, my name is 21 Jacqueline Lara. I am a 22-year Fulton resident and 2.2 I'm one of the developments that they want to 2.3 demolish. These buildings are excellent. I don't see the reason why you have to demolish these buildings 24

and not only would it affect us, but it will affect

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this whole community and we don't get any outreach from our elective officials. These are elected officials that I voted for that I care about, and they're not representing us. NYCHA has so many executives in this office that probably get paid more than the president, and you're telling me that these people got away with all this money being taken away. I don't know how they didn't see this, but obviously, you have to go after the big executives because I'm sure everybody saw, they were just ignoring it, and this is why maybe our buildings and apartments have been neglected. I have the same cabinets for 22 years, and I fix them as best as I can because I put in tickets over and over again for new things in this apartment and the ticket has either been closed or there's some reason why I never got new cabinets, but that's fine. I really don't, that doesn't really bother me. What bothers me is that we need to stop this demolition because it's not necessary and a lot of people will get affected with any kind of asthma or any kind of breathing problems or the elderly or the disabled so please help us stop this demolition. To my elective officials, I love you guys and I vote for you, but you got to back up the residents.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 As far as newsletters, we never get 3 newsletters. That's a lie from that lady Bova, 4 whatever her name is. We never get newsletters. We don't know what's going on in our developments, and the only way we find out is when we go to Community 6 7 Board 4. That's how we find out what's going on in our development because our elected leaders don't 8 tell us much either. Everybody's just lying and taking baby steps around the residents. 10

That's all I want to say, and thank you for listening guys.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: The next person called will be Karen Blondel.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

KAREN BLONDEL: Hi. This is Karen Blondel.

First of all, thank you, Chris Banks. This is my

first time meeting you virtually. You took over for

Council Member Alexa Avilés, who is our Council

Member, and I look forward to meeting you sooner

rather than later. I previously worked at NYCHA

because I wanted to know if the transformation plan

worked. It is working, but it does not cover this

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 148 area of oversight. I can say that when I became a 2 3 board member of the Trust, I did that to protect 4 residents like myself and to be on the inside to know exactly what would be happening inside of the Trust. Prior to the Trust, we only had RAD PACT. I was 100 6 7 percent against RAD PACT, but now, thinking about 8 this 700 light bulb, I believe that under RAD PACT with private developers, they would never let anybody charge them 700 for a light bulb so there are some 10 11 pros to RAD PACT, and there are some pros to the 12 preservation. I will say that when I found out the 13 Preservation Trust would be using the same NYCHA employees, it took me about a month to get myself 14 15 together. Why? Because I think that there is a lot of 16 oversight needed. NYCHA is too big. It needs to be 17 broken down into smaller sections. Managers should be 18 held to task for most, but the things that I heard DOI, those recommendations that DOI made in 2021. 19 20 It's 2024. Let's implement these now. We don't have 21 time to keep on coming back and forth to these 2.2 hearings. After this, the next thing we'll be talking 2.3 about is stolen air conditioners. Come on, y'all. Let's get this together. We have to have confidence 24

as residents, as resident leaders which I am of the

Red Hook Houses and as a board member of the Trust that we're going to turn this ship around because if we don't have that confidence.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much. Your time has expired.

KAREN BLONDEL: To fighting for nature or becoming private, which is in a better shape at this point than what's happening now at NYCHA unfortunately. We need an audit on the ground to figure out exactly what are the best ways to stay in control over these emergency contracts. We're going to need them. There's no way you can run something this big across New York City and not have emergency contracts.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right.

KAREN BLONDEL: But the manager shouldn't be running around, doing court, doing this, doing that. We should streamline what each manager is doing, and then we should start holding people accountable. I yield and thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Miss Blondel, and I'm looking forward to meeting you too. Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: We'll move on to more testimony, but first there is someone on the Zoom who is under Caller One. If you wish to testify, you'll need to rename yourself, and then we can call you to testify.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

MARIA FORBES: Am I unmuted, sir?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Yes, we can

12 hear you.

MARIA FORBES: I want to say, if you can listen to this, the lawmakers, the politicians, the Colombian drug lords, all you who lobby against making drugs legal. Just let me use that as an example because I want to say that the smoke screen. Sir, I'm in a right now. The smoke screen of all of this stealing is a reasonable doubt. The reasonable doubt is that the law department tell you what they can do to the employees, to what they can't do for somebody. The unions are rewarding the bad employees, and we are letting go the good employees. People have lost their jobs as a result of what we say is justifiable with NYCHA, the unions to whoever. They

tell us our 964 regs govern us. They don't govern us

because they don't govern you and they don't govern

5 table, and I can take you to a bigger level because I

the Housing Authority. The 964 regs, we sit at the

6 sit at the United Nations as the only president of

7 | public housing, and I get over there and I see the

8 U.S. delegation tell me, oh, we going to call you

9 when we get back to the United States so then they

10 even said, oh, you know who Alexa is. Of course, I

11 know who Alexa is. She sat in the same seat that the

12 Chairman is sitting today, Richie Torres sat in that

13 seat, that I'm going to tell you that this needs to

14 be, the investigation is bigger than this, and even

15 | if the Mayor stops allowing the unions to get away

16 what the unions have gotten away with, the

17 | investigation right now need to be launched into the

18 caretakers, like they out every other day but nobody

19 won't launch, we can't do this, our hands is tied. I

20 spoke to the law department yesterday. I spoke to Dan

21 Greene yesterday. I spoke to the super and the

22 manager and blah, blah, blah to all of this.

23 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Wrap up your

24 statement.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARIA FORBES: Where does someone be held 2 3 accountable? So we have to get rid of the bad to put in the good, but something has to be done between all 4 of this, from the federal level down to City Council, to everybody, because until investigations start from 6 the bottom and work their way to the top, or vice 7 versa down, we are in trouble. We will continue to 8 arrest some more people, and it's a shame that I've seen this happen to us. It's a shame. 10

CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: The next person to testify will be Tevina Willis.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

I'm a Red Hook resident. I've lived out here for 16 years, but I lived in public housing for over 40 years. I hope this investigation makes it clear to everybody that the public housing residents are not the problem behind the NYCHA issues. For years, everyone made it seem like, oh the people that live in NYCHA don't care about where they live at and this is why this is happening. No, this is happening largely due to the fact that people who are being

paid quite a bit decent salaries are basically stealing from public housing. This gross negligent overspending has gone on for decades. Several things have always been overpaid for like your single burner hot plates that are given to residents when there's a gas outage, we were told the price of that and it's astronomical, and I'm not understanding why this has been allowed for years so this investigation needs to continue and needs to be ongoing, and some of this oversight needs to be given to the resident councils of each development so that the spending and what money is coming in and out of the development and what the money and the contracts dealing with the vendors on our development, the TA presidents and the TA associations, they need to be able to have oversight of that so that all the T's are crossed and all the I's are dotted. A lot of us are working-class individuals who work a lot of hours to pay thousands of dollars in rent to receive very poor services. Across the board, there should be a stop and a pause on any (INAUDIBLE) NYCHA should not be able to convert any development until this issue with the finances is taken care of. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND 1 INVESTIGATIONS 154 2 CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your 3 testimony. 4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: We'll next try 5 Ms. Eleanor Carter again. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 6 7 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: Ms. Carter, if you can hear us, we're not able to hear your 8 testimony. If you want to start. We're still unable to hear you, Miss 10 11 Carter. You can certainly submit testimony through 12 the Council website or testimony@council.nyc.gov. 13 Finally, there is a person on the zoom called Caller One. If you can identify yourself, 14 15 we'll unmute you now and you may begin your 16 testimony, but you must identify yourself at the 17 start of your testimony, please. 18 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MEALEY: I'm being told there's no response. Seeing no persons left on Zoom 20 21 to testify and no members of the public left in 2.2 person to testify. I'll return to the Chair for a 2.3 closing statement. CO-CHAIRPERSON BANKS: First of all, I 24

want to thank all the tenants who came out to give

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 155
2	their testimony virtual and in person. I want to
3	thank NYCHA for staying back and hearing the
4	testimony of the residents, and I'm glad you did, but
5	more importantly. Also too, I want to thank Chair
6	Brewer, thank Chair Won for bringing this hearing
7	together and working with us to have this hearing
8	today. This is what the responsibility of the
9	Committee of Public Housing is to hold NYCHA
LO	accountable and a call for reform.
11	Again, thank you again, guys, and that
12	adjourns this Committee meeting. [GAVEL]
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	1

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 1, 2024\_\_\_\_\_