| | 1 | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|-------|
| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZO | ONING AND FRANCHISES | 1 |
| 2 | CITY COUNCIL | | |
| 3 | CITY OF NEW YORK | | |
| 4 | X | | |
| 5 | TRANSCRIPT OF THE | | |
| 6 | Of the | | |
| 7 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON 2 FRANCHISES | ZONING AND | |
| 8 | | X | |
| 9 | | September 22, 2022 Start: 11:09 A.M. | |
| 10 | | Recess: 1:40 P.M. | |
| 11 | | | 1 CTH |
| 12 | HELD AT: | 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM, FLOOR | 16 |
| 13 | BEFORE: | HONORABLE KEVIN C. RILEY, | |
| 14 | | CHAIRPERSON | |
| 15 | COUNCIL MEMBERS: | | |
| 16 | Shaun Abreu Erik D. Bottche | r | |
| 17 | David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks | | |
| 18 | Farah N. Louis Francisco P. Mo | _ | |
| 19 | Lynn C. Schulman | n | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES | |
|----------|--|--|
| 2 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) | |
| 3 | Richard Lobel | |
| 4 | Sheldon Lobel, PC | |
| 5 | Amanda Ianadi Sheldon Lobel, PC | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Kevin Williams Equity Environmental | |
| 8 | Spyro Bazigos | |
| 9 | Project Architect | |
| 10 | Dr. Mikhail Kantius | |
| 11 | Dan Eggers | |
| 12 | Land Use Attorney Greenberg Traurig | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Henry Rosenwach Owner Representative | |
| 15 16 | Dierdre Carson | |
| 17 | Shareholder Greenberg Traurig | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Gene Kaufman Architect | |
| 20 | Gene Kaufman Architect | |
| 21 | Paul Proulx Attorney | |
| 22 | Carter, Ledyard, and Milburn | |
| 23 | Tony Daniels | |
| 24 | Principal Cycle Architecture and Planning | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES | 3 |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) | |
| 3 | Brian McAllister | |
| 4 | Resident Gowanus | |
| 5 | Brad Vogel | |
| 6 | Resident | |
| 7 | Gowanus | |
| 8 | Maureen Koetz Consultant | |
| 9 | Planet A* Strategies | |
| 10 | Paul Basile | |
| 11 | President The Gowanus Alliance | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Beth Morrow Owner | |
| 14 | 122 8 th Street, Brooklyn | |
| 15 | Martin Bisi | |
| 16 | Owner BC Studios | |
| 17 | Elizabeth Denys | |
| 18 | Resident | |
| 19 | Flatbush, Brooklyn | |
| 20 | Jason Zakai Attorney | |
| 21 | Hiller, PC | |
| 22 | Kathryn Krase | |
| 23 | Resident Brooklyn | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES | 4 |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) | |
| 3 | Jesse Lange | |
| 4 | Resident Brooklyn | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | John Buckholz Resident | |
| 7 | Brooklyn | |
| 8 | Douglas Hanau Resident | |
| 9 | Brooklyn | |
| 10 | Jason Zakai | |
| 11 | Attorney Hiller, PC | |
| 12 | 1111101, 10 | |
| 13 | Ben Meskin Resident | |
| 14 | Brooklyn | |
| 15 | Andre Magnani | |
| 16 | Resident Brooklyn | |
| 17 | Benjamin Haymen | |
| 18 | Resident | |
| 19 | Brooklyn | |
| 20 | Susan Yung Resident | |
| 21 | Brooklyn | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

2 SERGEANT SADOWSKY: This is a microphone check.

Today's date is September 22, 2022, on the

Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises located on the

5 16th floor hearing room. Recorded by Steven Sadowsky.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to today's New York Council hearing on Zoning and Franchises. If you wish to submit a testimony, you may at testimony@council.nyc.gov. At this time, please silence all electronic devices and thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

[GAVEL]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Sergeant. Good morning and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee of Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee. This morning I am joined by my colleagues, Chair Louis, Council Member Schulman, and we are joined virtually by Council Member Hanks. Today we will, excuse me, today we will hold public hearing for four rezoning proposals, three in Queens for 78-46 Metropolitan Avenue, 79-18, 164th Street, and 40-25 Crescent Street, and one in Brooklyn for 9th Street. I would also like to note that the 2080 McDonald Avenue proposal originally

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 planned for a vote for today, will be voted at a

3 future date.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to review the hearing procedures.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Thank you, Chair
Riley. I am Angelina Martinez, (INAUDIBLE) Counsel to
the Subcommittee. This meeting is being held in
hybrid format. Members of the Subcommittee are
required to meet in person. Members of the public who
wish to testify may testify in person or via Zoom.

Members of the public wishing to testify remotely
were asked to register for today's hearing. If you
wish to testify and have not already registered,
please do so now by visiting the New York City
Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to
sign up, or for those of you here in the chambers,
please see one of the Sergeants at Arms to prepare
and submit a speaker card.

Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

If you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request, request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

When called to testify, individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams will be recognized as a group and called first, followed by members of the public. When the Chair or I recognize you, if you are joining us remotely, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

Public testimony for this hearing will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee, you may email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU number and/or project name in the subject line of your email. Witnesses joining us remotely are requested to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions.

Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this hybrid meeting for various technical reasons and we ask that you please be patient as we work through

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 any issues. Chair Riley will now continue with
3 today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. To begin today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on the pre-considereds LU related to ULURP number C 220133 ZMQ, relating to the 78-46 Metropolitan Avenue rezoning proposal in Council Member Holden's District in Queens. This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing R5 zoning district to an R5D/C2-3 district. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online. You may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: The first panel for this item, and Richard, let me know if I'm missing anyone, Richard Lobel, Amanda Ianadi (SP?), Kevin Williams, Spyro don (SP?) Bazigos, and Robert Talmus.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Uh, panelists, can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9 2 truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and 3 in your answer to all Council Member questions? 4 MISTER LOBEL: I do. MS. IANADI: I do. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing 6 public, if you need an accessible version of this 7 8 presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, 10 11 I'll just ask that you please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin. 12 13 MISTER LOBEL: Thank you, Chair Riley, Members of 14 the Subcommittee. Good, good morning. Richard Lobel 15 of Sheldon Lobel, PC for the applicant for the 78-46 Metropolitan Avenue rezoning. Uh, this is a very 16 17 straightforward rezoning of two lofts along 18 Metropolitan Avenue. I will go through the 19 presentation materials, and then the entire applicant 20 team is available to answer any questions. Next slide. 21 So, a summary of the proposed rezoning. Uh, this 2.2 2.3 is a zoning map amendment to rezone 78-46 and 44 Metropolitan Avenue from an existing R5 zoning 24

district to an R5D/C23 zoning district. What would

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 10 this affect? This would allow for the enlargement of 2 3 this existing one-story building at 78-46 4 Metropolitan Avenue. Uh, this is currently a, an existing use group six veterinary office. Uh, what the rezoning would allow would be for the extension 6 7 of the building, uh, to accommodate two residential floors. Uh, and this would allow for members of staff 8 of the veterinary practice to remain on site. Uh, this has been long standing goal of the owner. 10 11 Uh, this would result in a total floor area of roughly 5,000 square feet, uh, including 12 approximately 2,500 square feet of residential use 13 14 and 2,400 square feet of commercial use. This 15 rezoning would also bring an adjacent property that 16 has an existing non-conforming ground floor 17 commercial use into conformance. Uh, so, uh, I could, 18 if we could have the next slide, please. 19 As you can see from the zoning map, a little bit 20 hard to see but it will be clearer later on, the 21 zoning map demonstrates that there are existing 2.2 commercial overlays existing over roughly six blocks 2.3 to the east, northeast, north, northwest, and west of the subject block. So, uh, this area along 24

Metropolitan Avenue is, is well versed with regards

2 | to commercial overlays. And this commercial overlay,

3 in, in addition to the R5D district would permit

4 these, uh, two buildings to come into conformance

5 which is a goal of many rezoning applications. Next

6 slide.

2.2

2.3

Next slide is a tax map which demonstrates the minimal nature of this rezoning. Again, the development site is highlighted in red. The entirety of the rezoning area is highlighted in the dotted lines. Uh, this involves these two lots, roughly 5,000 square feet in total. Next slide.

The next slide is a land use map which, uh, demonstrates well why this rezoning is merited. Uh, you can see here, Metropolitan Avenue is a 100-foot-wide street. This is considered to be a major thoroughfare and a wide street per zoning in this area. Uh, you can see from the orange and red colorations on the map, that this is an area where there are many commercial ground floor uses, uh, including general retail, banks, uh, eating and drinking establishments, and other uses accessory to the surrounding residences.

The veterinary practice itself has been there for over 30 years. Uh, it is a heavily utilized practice

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

in the area. Uh, and so, um, this was, it was
recognized by the community board as being a
meritorious rezoning given the fact that the request
here is modest, uh, and the, uh, the use here is

6 important to the area.

2.2

2.3

To further note the existing R5 districts here, with community facility floor area would allow for a building at a 2FAR or an RK at 5,000 square feet. So, this is really less about the overall bulk of the building, uh, which would not be affected pursuant to that zoning change, but would allow for the proposed, um, you know, proposed residential use on top of the existing veterinary practice and would make this, uh, practice a conforming commercial use.

The next several slides show pictures and photos of the building and the surrounding area. I would invite, uh, the, uh, Council Land Use staff to page through those pictures quickly, uh, so as not to take up too much of the Council's time. Uh, I think the last slide with pictures. You want to scroll through the pictures.

Uh, the last slide with pictures is a picture of the one-story commercial building. You can see that on the left. And the adjacent three-story existing

2 building on the right, uh, which would also be

3 | included in the rezoning, that building, uh, our

4 building would be much the same as that building at

5 | that three-story level. Uh, we're actually setting

6 back the residential so as not to affect those

7 windows at the front of the, uh, property to the side

8 of us.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Um, after the pictures, if you want to scroll through, there are, uh, plans which we've included. Again, very straightforward. You've got the existing one-story veterinary practice on the ground floor, and then you can see as you page through the two set back residential stories on top. Um, zoning calculations and such, feel free to page through the, uh, the plans. This is a site plan. There is the, uh, existing first floor, and here is the proposed second story and followed by the proposed third floor plan, um, with a roof plan, uh, and sections that follow.

Um, so, that really is the sum total of the rezoning. We received the unanimous approval from Queens Community Board Five as well as the approval of the Queens Borough President, uh, and we hope that the Council will support what we view to be

2 meritorious application which will allow for a local,

3 longstanding, uh, commercial, um, practitioner to

4 remain at this location. And with that, we are happy

5 to answer any questions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. Uh, I just want to state for the record that we have been joined by Council Member Bottcher. I just have a couple, couple of questions and I will pass it over to my colleagues to see if they have any questions. Uh, number one, the Queens Community Board, uh, number Five unanimously approved this project with one condition, that the two-story residential addition should not alter the central character of the neighborhood. Can you discuss how your proposed projects meet the Community Board's recommendation?

MR. LOBEL: Sure, so, um, Queens Community Board Five, and we've been in front of them numerous times, um, was very generous to us with regards to this application. They recognized, uh, that Dr. Thomas is a longstanding, uh, uh, stakeholder in the community. And they essentially asked that, um, the building that we build somewhat reflect the context of the area, uh, and we're happy to agree with that.

| un, you know, we, we asserted so at the, un, |
|--|
| Community Board, uh. Dr. Thomas, who will remain in |
| the building, and, and will keep his practice in the |
| building, has every, um, intention of maintaining a, |
| um, you know, a, a, well designed building at the |
| site. What we proposed to the area was what you see, |
| a largely brick building. Uh, in addition to which, |
| on a voluntary basis, we set back the residential |
| stories so as not to, uh, take up that, uh, |
| Metropolitan Avenue frontage. They are set back and, |
| and are somewhat contextual. So, um, there was no |
| issue with regards to, um, maintaining the character |
| of the neighborhood. And in fact, the rezoning in |
| recognizing the existence of this longstanding |
| commercial use, uh, actually does reflect the |
| character of Metropolitan Avenue here which is |
| largely commercial on the ground floor. |

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. Uh, the
Borough President requested that the applicant
consider using sustainable building materials and
energy systems. Could you confirm what resilient and
sustainable building features is your team committed
to incorporate in this building?

2.2

2.3

MR. LOBEL: Um, sure, uh, you know, this is a rather small project, so, um, so, I think we're going to use such, uh, materials and measures to the extent practical. I don't is, if Spyro, the project architect is on, if you just want to, would just address that very briefly. Are you available?

MR. BAZIGOS: Yes, I am. Can you all hear me?
MISTER LOBEL: Yes.

MR. BAZIGOS: Okay. Um, one of the, one of the requirements are that we have this, uh, uh, local law now into effect with green roofs and, um, we will certainly comply with any of, uh, those laws when we get into the, uh, the weeds of the construction documents that will be presented to the building department. So, that's in effect, you know, uh, a good measure of the sustainability that we'll be using.

Um, you know, uh, on the interiors, we plan on using, you know, perhaps recycled, um, flooring or bamboo flooring, um, we'd like to use, uh, low VOC paints, um, things of that nature to, to be as sustainable as possible for this type of project.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Do any of my colleagues have any questions for this applicant

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 panel? There being no future questions, this

3 applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any

members of the public who wish to testify on 78-46

Metropolitan Avenue proposal?

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Chair, let me double check. Again, if there's anyone here in person wishing to testify on this item, please see one of the Sergeants to fill out a slip. Sorry.

Chair, there are no members of the public here to testify remotely on this item.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the, excuse me, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on pre-considereds LUs relating to ULURP number C 220133 ZMQ that relate into the, excuse me, relating to the 78-46 Metropolitan Avenue rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

Thank you, Counsel. To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on the pre-considereds LU relating to ULURP Number C 220414 ZMK relating to 79-18 164th Avenue rezoning proposal in Council Member Gennaro's District in Queens. This application seeks a zoning map amendment to map a C2-3 overlay within existing R4/C1-3 and an R5D/C1-3

mispronouncing your name, can you please raise your

2 | right hand and answer my question? Do you affirm to

3 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

4 | truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and

5 | in your answer to all Council Member questions? Can

6 we unmute him so he can reply?

DR. KANTIUS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask that you please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

MR. LOBEL: Thank you, Chair Riley, Council
Members. Good morning again. Richard Lobel of Sheldon
Lobel, PC for Dr. Mikhail Kantius, the applicant. Uh,
we are pleased to bring the 79-18 164th Street
rezoning to you today, uh, which would, uh, be a
commercial overlay rezoning with no change in the
under, underlying residential district. So, this is a
very straightforward rezoning which would merely
change the underlying districts from C1 to C2
districts. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

So, with specificity, the project summary is that there are roughly 12 lots, or portions of lots included in the rezoning at, and a handful of these would change from an existing R4/C1-3 to an R4/C2-3. The remaining lots would change from an R5D/C1-3 to an R5D/C2-3. Uh, what we will demonstrate through the materials that follow is the appropriateness of this rezoning, uh, both in terms of use and in terms of land use generally. And then the entire applicant team of course is happy to answer any questions. Next slide.

So, Dr. Kantius, uh, acquired this building in 1990. Uh, so, so it's had his medical laboratory here for over 30 years. Currently there is a two-story commercial building here with roughly 4,000 square feet of floor area. The cellar and ground floor is a use group 6B ambulatory healthcare office, a standard medical office, which is used by Queens Hospital, uh, for their WIC program. The second story is where Dr. Kantius has his medical laboratory. This is a diagnostic laboratory, uh, which samples tissues under microscopes to determine the presence of cancerous cells. Uh, importantly there are no blood or infectious diseases present. Uh, Dr. Kantius has

2.2

operated his medical laboratory here without incident for over 30 years. Uh, this rezoning would facilitate the legalization of his existing use group 9A medical

research laboratory on the second story.

As we page through to the next slide, which is the, uh, zoning map, you can see that this is an area where there are commercial overlay districts, C1-3 districts immediately around the project area and C2 districts. You can see there's a C2-2 district to the north of the project area that is five blocks, uh, roughly three blocks to the north of us. So, C2 zoning is something which is common in the City, which is known in this area, but here specifically is appropriate, uh, for several reasons.

Uh, if we want to go to the next slide, we'll see that the, uh, area in question along Union Turnpike and 164th Street involves the lots that are within the dotted area. The red highlighted area is the project site.

The next slide, which is the, uh, land use area map clearly demonstrates why the C2 is appropriate here. Uh, you've got 164th Street and Union Turnpike which, uh, at this intersection is the intersection of two major thoroughfares, Union Turnpike at 100

2.2

2.3

feet, and, uh, 164th Street at 120 feet. Uh, so, commercial uses abound on these two avenues. And just with regards to the C1 and C2 overlays generally, both of these overlay districts are considered to be appropriate, uh, for, um, for large thoroughfares and really for commercial use in general. So, most, most of the City sponsored area-wide rezonings, the East Harlem rezoning, uh, the Gowanus-Free rezoning, the NOHOSO rezoning, commercial overlay districts now, as a matter of habit by City Planning, are usually C2 districts. The reason for that is that there's a marginal additional number of uses which are permitted in the C2 which are not permitted in the C1.

So, first of all, some of these uses, much like the medical laboratory here, allow for uses that are complementary to other C1 uses like a doctor's office which, uh, you would utilize the services of Dr.

Kantius. Uh, and also generally, um, we want to be able to encourage our commercial users. Obviously, there's a large challenge with regards to retail in the City generally right now, and so, C2 overlay districts are seen as giving just a little bit more, um, opportunity for a wider range of users. And so,

2 that is the case with the C2 overlay district here.

3 Next slide.

2.2

2.3

So, the next slide and the slides that follow it, uh, provide for photos of the property itself. You can see, uh, Queens Hospital occupying the ground floor of the property. You can see the adjacent three-story commercial structure. Uh, importantly to note, there is no work that would be part of this application. It would merely be for a legalization of that use group nine use. Use group nine is not permitted as of right. A C1 is permitted as a right in C2. So, the bulk of the buildings here does not change. The, uh, total floor area which may be used for commercial, does not change. That's still a 1FAR for commercial. Uh, merely, what changes here is the opportunity for a slight expansion in some of these uses.

Uh, the slides that follow are the plans. Uh, you can just scroll through the plans which demonstrate the, uh, various uses within the building. It is a one-story commercial, uh, sorry, two story commercial building with a rather large, uh, uh, rear yard. Uh, the ground floor, the cellar of the building, the

2.2

2.3

next slide has office and accessory for the Queens, uh, Hospital WIC program.

The, uh, plan after that is the first-floor plan which includes other accessory space and their examination rooms. And the second story includes, uh, Dr. Kantius's, uh, Dr. Kantius's labs, uh, and accessory space. Uh, the remaining plans are merely the roof plans, uh, other, uh, sections and elevations.

Uh, the, the photo again is the last page on this, on this, um, presentation. The, um, building again would stay and remain as it is, um, but would merely allow Dr. Kantius to proceed with using that without incident and allow for him to update his C of O.

Um, and just to note, because there was discussion, uh, at the Community Board and in front of other agencies, um, Dr. Kantius, uh, had proceeded to use this for 30 years, uh, without incident, uh, with the understanding that, uh, his understanding was that it was able to be used as medical space.

Medical office space on the ground floor here, use group six. Medical laboratory space above is use group nine.

2.2

2.3

So, um, he's been receiving, uh, appropriate permits from, for example, the Fire Department for storage of materials at the site, uh, and the proper utilization of the site. When it became clear to the Fire Department that this was, however, a non-conforming use, uh, after discussion, they determined that he should indeed go through a rezoning process to allow for this minor change.

So, with that, um, we think that from a land use perspective as well as for this site, uh, that the rezoning is appropriate, and the entire applicant team is happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. Uh, just one question. Uh, you kind of briefly spoke about, uh, the Community Board. Uh, Queens Community Board Eight had concerns about hazardous waste created by this existing business. Could you clarify on the record, what kind of waste is generated by this business and how you responded to the Community Board's concerns?

MR. LOBEL: Sure, uh, I would start by just really talking briefly about our response to the Community Board concerns, uh, and then perhaps we'll have, uh, Kevin Williams briefly just discuss, um, some of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

concerns about hazardous materials which were not
well founded.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Um, the first thing to note is that what we're seeking here is a use group nine medical laboratory which is important to note because use group nine medical laboratories are specifically enumerated in the zoning resolution as not permitting any hazardous material, materials or waste. Uh, the actual terminology in the zoning resolution is medical laboratories for research or testing. Um, and I'm skipping over some of the words that don't apply, not involving any danger of fire or explosion, nor offensive noise, vibrations, smoke, or other particulate matter, odorous matter, heat, humidity, glare, or other objectionable effects. So, the lack of any objectionable effects at this site and the lack of any hazardous materials is what makes it appropriate for use group nine.

Um, so, part of the discussion around the

Community Board was that, and part of it was, I think

that there were some, uh, misplaced concerns over the

nature of, uh, the permitting and what was required

here. Uh, I would actually defer to Kevin briefly to

address that as he's better versed in that than I.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: Uh, thank you. Kevin Williams from Equity Environmental. We've prepared the environmental assessment statement, um, and subsequent, uh, to the Community Board, uh, meeting, uh, we, uh, provided materials to the Brooklyn, uh, sorry, the Queens Borough President's Office and, uh, City Planning Commission, uh, related to the Envirofacts, uh, database report that was prepared or presented by a member of the community at the Community Board and they misinterpreted that as being an EPA permit. Uh, Envirofacts simply is a repository that's collected by EPA that, um, identifies all, all sort of permitting activity that's been present on a site, and there are innumerable sites in this area, things like dry cleaners, things like, um, uh, uh, material production shops, the hospital that's half a block, two blocks away from the site, um, and any type of facility, um, that disposes of medical waste in general.

And that's what we're talking about are the bonding agents and the tissue samples that are provided by hospitals for detection of, uh, or evaluation, um, by the Doctor. So, it's a pathology lab. So, none of this material poses a danger. It

relationship with the?

2.2

2.3

DR. KANTIUS: Yes, yes, I can. Uh, please ask me a question.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So, I, I, I know that they have some concerns about your business. Do you have any, uh, prior relationships with the Community Board, working with them, um, or trying to have a conversation with them addressing these concerns?

DR. KANTIUS: Uh, the, uh, my saying is we, for the 30, 33 years we trying to complying with every law laid on us as far as the Fire Department, as far as the Health Department, and as far as DEA. Anybody who checked us never had a problems with us. The, there was some, uh, presentation and there was some summons or whatever, there was a gas station right next to us which was, uh, probably that the underground tanks were leaking so what (INAUDIBLE) DEA noticed it and that's what they were referring to.

But when the issue was resolved and the statement was done that our laboratory has nothing to do with that, but they referring that, uh, to the statement which was done before the determination was made. We had no problems whatsoever. The neighborhood just liked it because they had a local laboratory. And we

issue reports on 250 patients every single day and we just, we do good for the society and good for people who work inside for years, and years, and years. And, uh, we just, uh, you know, proud of what we do, and we do it within the legal-ments and human relation-

ments.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Dr. I appreciate your work in the community and appreciate your willingness to work with the community as well. Uh, does any of my colleagues have any questions? And just want to state for the record, we've been joined by Council Member Carr and Council Member Won.

Council Member Schulman?

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Yeah, yeah. I have a, I have a question. I'm still trying to figure out, cause usually the Borough President, um, actually follows the Community Board, but in this case, it, it says that the Borough President is for it but the Community Board voted unanimously against it. So, can that be explained?

MR. LOBEL: Um, sure. Thank you, Council Member
Schulman. So, um, the, the vote of the Community
Board was a split vote, uh, so, it was, uh, I believe
12 in favor and 19 against. And I think much of the

2 discussion, uh, at the Community Board was around

3 the, uh, issues that Kevin touched upon with the EPA

4 and whether or not there were actually any

5 environmental violations at the site.

2.2

2.3

Um, once that was described in more detail to the Queens Borough President, uh, much in the same manner as Kevin described today, I think the Borough President's understanding was twofold. The first was that there were no environmental concerns, particularly given that the use group that's sought at the site is one that's permitted, uh, in a C2 zoning district, which again is a district which is, uh, appears across the City.

And the second was from a land use perspective generally. Uh, I think that there was a recognition that these, this particular intersection, the 120 foot by 100 foot, uh, street system here was more than, uh, was, you know, more than able to accommodate, uh, the minor change in, uh, in zoning that was proposed.

So, we were happy to get the Queens Borough

President voting in favor. Um, we, there were many

members of the Community Board who, uh, have a lot of

experience in land use who voted in favor of this.

2.2

2.3

2 Uh, and I think that that was determining as, uh, one 3 of the determining factors as well, so.

Uh, again, we were sorry that the Community
Board, uh, you know, that it was a split vote like
that, but we were happy the Queens Borough President
saw that the, um, the environmental concerns were
not, uh, sufficient to derail what would otherwise be
meritorious application from an applicant who has
been in the community for over three decades. And
importantly, as is recognized by many of the
Community Board members, uh, has employed numerous,
uh, individuals from the surrounding Community Board
and Queens generally.

Uh, Dr. Kantius, uh, is an amazing, uh, teacher as well as a skilled diagnostician. And, um, there's many people who came up as interns, uh, in his, uh, facility who later went on to become lab technicians, uh, and other medical technicians at surrounding doctors' offices and hospitals. So, um, this is someone who does good and, and so, we are glad that the Queens Borough President recognized that and we hope to do well by him, as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Mr. Lobel, thank you.

25 Um, I just want to ask the Committee Counsel, it says

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And we just want to state for the record we've been joined by Council Member Hanif. Are there any, any more Council Members with questions for this panel? There being no questions for the applicant panel is now excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 79-18 164th Street proposal remotely or in person.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Chair, there are no members of the public remotely and if there are any members in person, please come forward so we can have you fill out a slip. It doesn't look like there are any so we can go ahead and close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on the pre-considereds LU relating to ULURPs number C 220414 ZMQ relating to the 79-18 164th Street rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on pre-considereds LUs relating to ULURPS number C 220169 ZMQ and N 220170 ZRQ relating to the 40th - 25th Crescent Street rezoning proposal in Council Member Won's district in Queens. This applicant, this application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone existing M1-2/R5B and an M1-2/R5D zoning district to an M1-2/R6A zoning district and a relating zoning text amendment to map an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, um, and you may do that by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And

2 once again, for anyone in person who wants to

3 testify, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare

4 and submit a speaker's card. Uh, Council Member Won,

5 uh, do you have any, uh, remarks for this project?

6 Okay. No problem. Counsel, please call the first

7 panel for this item

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: So, I'm going to call the applicant panel if you want to start joining the, the Chair and the podium over here, uh, the desks rather. Um, so, if I'm missing any of you, um, just let me know. I have Dan Eggers, apologies if I mispronounce names, Dierdre Carson, Henry Rosenwach, um, Noah Bernstein, Gene Kaufman, and that's it. Did I get all of you? Okay, good.

So, if all of you can please, oh, sorry Chair. Your call.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: That's okay. Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: So now, can you guys please raise your right hand and answer the following question? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in your answers to all Council Member questions?

2 MR. EGGERS: I do.

2.2

2.3

MR. ROSENWACH: I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask that you please reinstate your name for the record and your organization for the record.

MR. EGGERS: Sure. Good morning. Uh, Dan Eggers, a Land Use Attorney at Greenberg Traurig. Good to be here live and in person. Uh, good morning, uh, Chair Riley, Committee Members, and Council Member Won.

I am representing Crescent Street Associates,

LLC, the owner of 40-25 Crescent Street. I'm joined

here by Henry Rosenwach representing ownership and my

colleague, Dierdre Carson. Also, here to answer any

questions you may have is Gene Kaufman from Gene

Kaufman Architect and Noah Bernstein from AKRF.

We are very excited to discuss with you what is truly a mixed-use project in every sense of the word. The owners and affiliate of the Rosenwach Group which is a major supplier and servicer of water storage tanks and towers, cooling products and services to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 the tri-state area and they've been doing that for 3 decades.

The property was previously a fabrication site for the Rosenwachs before changing traffic patterns around Queens Plaza adversely affected the ability of tractor trailers to access the site. Their water tower and tank business has been operating in New York City in fact since the late 1800s. The rezoning would in part allow their business to retain a presence here in Long Island City and retain jobs while allowing its operations at this property to occupy a more modern facility. Uh, next please.

So, this is an application, as was stated, for a rezoning from an M1-2/R5B and M1-2/R5D districts to an MR1-2/R6A district within the existing Dutch Kills subdistrict of the special Long Island City mixed use district. A mandatory inclusionary housing area would also be mapped over the proposed rezoned area.

These actions would facilitate a new seven-story mixed-use building with about 175,000 square feet of floor area at 40-25 Crescent Street. The application received favorable recommendations from both Community Board One and the Borough President.

2.2

2.3

The project is vested under the prior Affordable

New York Program, and per the program's requirements,

the applicant intends to enter into an agreement with

32BJ to utilize, uh, union building service personnel

for the project. Uh, next please.

40--25 Crescent is on the block bounded by $40^{\,\mathrm{th}}$ and $41^{\,\mathrm{st}}$ Avenues and Crescent Street and $27^{\,\mathrm{th}}$ Street. Next please.

Specifically, the proposed rezoned area would be the portion of this block 100 feet north of 41st

Avenue and 80 feet south of 40th Avenue which is now an M1-2/R5B and M1-2/R5D districts. The rezoning would rezone this area to and M1-2/R6A district, extending the existing M1-2/R6A district that's immediately south of this area to north within 80 feet of 40th Avenue. This district would continue to serve as a transition with regard to density and height from the higher densities blocks, higher density blocks to the south to adjacent M1-2/R5B and M1-2/R5D districts.

In addition to 40-25 Crescent Street, six smaller lots are also part of the proposed rezoned area. Four of these are used for vehicle storage. One is a three-story residential building, and one has a nine-

3 Uh, next please.

2.2

2.3

Here's an aerial image of the site in the proposed rezoned area. Next please.

The site is an approximately 45,000 square foot lot with about 255 feet of frontage on Crescent and 200 feet of frontage on 27th. The site now is four, one-story buildings used as office space for Orkin, the exterminating company, a facility where the owner stores and tests its water storage and cooling tower products, and a parking lot. As you'll hear, this, the existing light industrial use will be remaining on the property as part of a mixed-use development.

For a land use rationale, we believe the project is consistent with the specific purposes of the special Long Island City mixed-use district to permit development of residential, commercial, and light manufacturing uses, and encourage the development of affordable housing. Next please.

The existing conditions are shown in these street level photos of the site which I'll ask staff to move through. Uh, next please. This is from 27th Street. Uh, next please. More along 27th Street. Next please. Thank you.

Uh, here is the original site plan of the proposed development. As you can see, there will be commercial, manufacturing, and residential uses with a courtyard. Next please.

In response to the community's concerns regarding loading and deliveries on Crescent Street which has a bike lane, we've revised the illustrative site plan to include a requested loading zone on 27th Street and a breezeway from the 27th Street portion of the building to the Crescent Street portion of the building. Uh, signs would instruct deliveries to the building be made on 27th Street and deliveries for residents in the Crescent Street portion would be brought through the breezeway which is shown in orange on the plan.

Through Department of City Planning staff, uh, the applicant team has been in touch with DOT to work on ways to ensure that vehicular and bike traffic maintained during construction. And we understand through City Planning staff that DOT does not currently have any major concerns about the building in relation to that bike lane after opening. Next please.

2.2

2.2

2.3

There will be a total of approximately 175,000 square feet of floor area, that's 3.9 FAR, which 5,000 square feet would be in commercial use, 12,000 square feet would be in manufacturing, and 158,000 square feet, and that's 3.5 FAR would be residential. Here, with MIH, uh, 3.6 FAR is permitted with an additional 0.4 FAR allowed for designated industrial, uh, uses which would amount to a total of four FAR. The commercial use would be either office or local retail space depending on market conditions. And the manufacturing use would be light industrial use by the owner's pipe fitting operation. So, this action would among other things, preserve industrial jobs.

Of the residential, approximately 39,500 square feet would be permanently affordable under Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Option One which, as you know, requires at least 25% of the residential floor area be affordable to households not exceeding 60% AMI, of which 10% cannot exceed 40% AMI. So, this translates to 233 units, approximately, of which 60 would be permanently affordable.

The original intended unit mix was about 19% two and three bedrooms. But, in response to the Community Board's request for a greater number of larger units,

2 currently the intended unit mix has increased to 40%

3 two and three bedrooms. So, the building would have a

4 65'6" story base height, then set back 15 feet from

5 each street, and have a total height of 75 feet and 7

6 stories, which is less than 85 feet and the eight

7 stories permitted.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A portion fronting on Crescent would be 55 feet within 25 feet of the adjacent M1, M1-2/R5D district.

118 required parking spaces would be in the cellar.

Of these, 88 would be for the residents and 30 would be for the commercial and manufacturing use, 18 for the commercial, 12 for the manufacturing. And access to the building's parking would be from 27th Street and loading for the manufacturing use would be on Crescent. Next please.

Here is a land use diagram showing the proposed building in context. Next please.

And here we see images of the proposed building from the street. As you can see, the new building would create a nearly continuous street wall along each of the site's two frontages. Next please. And, uh, next please.

And here are illustrative renderings of the building showing our design concept of the project.

2.2

2.3

And again, we thank you for your time. Good to be here. And, uh, we welcome your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I just have a few questions, then I'm going to pass it to Council Member Won. The Borough President and the Queens Community Board One recommended more affordability for this project. And I think you spoke upon that, uh, including setting aside 10% of the affordable to person's making 30% of the AMI. Could you confirm whether that recommendation was adopted? If not, why not?

MR. EGGERS: We are currently studying that, that request and we're looking to see whether or not that would work with the project's economics. We've certainly, um, heard that request and we understand the Council Member's desire for more and deeper affordability. And it's something that we're taking very seriously and we're taking a very hard look at.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Are there any plans to allocate some of the space within the development to community facility spaces?

MR. EGGERS: That, oh. I'll, I'll, I'll let, um, Henry Rosenwach representing ownership speak to that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MR. ROSENWACH: Good to meet everyone.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How you doing, Henry?

MR. ROSENWACH: Um, so, so far, we're proposing the rezoning. We haven't, uh, solidified any allocation, uh, on the first floor as to retail, community space, um, uh, manufacturing, [COUGH], excuse me, manufacturing for ourselves. So, uh, as we get forward into the project, uh, we're going to, uh, definitely review with your, uh, insight on how to

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alright. I mean, with the community's insight, uh, hopefully.

allocate that properly for the community.

MR. ROSENWACH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Um, community facility space is always important, especially with new development. Uh, so, just to keep that in mind. Thank you, Henry. I appreciate that answer. Uh, just two more questions. Uh, the Borough President also recommended that the applicant redesign the courtyard to allow for public access. Are there any plans to redesign the building to allow access to the courtyard?

MS. CARSON: Is this working? Hi, I'm Dierdre Carson, also, um, shareholder at Greenberg Traurig.

Um, the, we are in a district in which we are 25

2 required to main, maintain a street wall. So,

3 customarily public space is provided in the front of

4 | a building. And that's done mostly for security

5 reasons so that it can be policed. Um, it can be

6 accessed. It doesn't have to be controlled. Um,

7 | whereas if you were to put it inside a courtyard in

8 | the rear where there's security issues, it would be

9 | very difficult to control. You, you wouldn't want to

10 have a security guard at the street trying to decide

11 who gets to come in and who doesn't. It would just

12 | not be tenable situation.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Um, and it's a private area. It is, you know, this is a backyard, and we don't require people who have backyards in private homes to open them up to the public. This functions the same way. That's its function under the zoning resolution. So, um, we are thinking about ways, to, we've, we've talked internally about ways to try and provide something at the front. That does present a zoning challenge because of the street wall requirements. And we have also talked about the prospect of making a donation to an appropriate entity, uh, or fund that can do some capital work on a, um, a public open space or a recreational space.

2.2

2.3

There are not, I, I confess after looking at the map, there are not a lot in close proximity to this facility. We've looked at a playground that's about four, four blocks to the north and there's a park that's over on the other side of the, I believe it's the Queensbridge Houses. Um, there's a waterfront park. But those are the options we're looking at.

The, the use of the courtyard is, is very, very difficult for management, managing security and privacy. I mean, people's bedrooms are right, right there. And, you know, um, you'd have to have a long, narrow court, uh, long, narrow alleyway through the building 50 feet deep, very difficult to police and secure so it doesn't make a lot of design and planning sense.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. What resilient and sustainable building features is your team committed to incorporating into this building?

MR. EGGERS: So, on that question, Chair, I would turn it over to, uh, Gene Kaufman, the project architect who can speak to that better than, than I can.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, he's just going to have to be sworn in.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: He was sworn in, 3 Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: He was sworn in.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: But I, I think he needs to approach the desk so that he can be using the mic as he speaks.

MS. CARSON: Take my seat.

MR. KAUFMAN: No, no. Hi, Gene Kaufman, architect.

Um, so, we've been looking at primarily the roof
scape of this project. There's a lot of, um, roof
area, uh, for both a green roof. We've also
considered and looked at solar. But we, we're working
on to see if there's a way to integrate either or
both of those into the space. That's the, the largest
expanse where I think sustainability can be addressed
in a, in a significant way.

And of course, we always consider what materials we use in the project. So, when it goes to the, the façade or any of the other materials, uh, that are being incorporated in the project.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Gene. I believe that's all the questions I have. I will now turn it over to Council Member Won to ask her questions.

Council Member Won?

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you. Good morning. It's good to see you all. Thank you for being here. I'm not sure if you know the neighborhood very well, if you live there, cause I used to live literally a block away from this proposed site. I think it is a good idea to make sure that we are utilizing the empty lot and the organ space that has remained empty for many years.

But I am disheartened to hear the lack of commitment for affordability and the way that you're speaking about security for the courtyard makes me feel that, or it is precepted, perceived by me that you, you have apprehension about the community itself. Is it because you are in the surrounding area of six emergency shelters for folks who are unhoused currently? Or is it because you're within a two-block radius of Queensbridge Houses or a four black radius of Ravenswood Houses, both NYCHAs?

So, I'm not sure what the implication that, that was for in terms of security. The point of the Borough President asking for public space is because they want to make sure that it's public to the immediate project area's community to use.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

MS. CARSON: I understand the, um, the desire to provide public space, but it is not customary in the City to put public space on the interior of an enclosed space that functions as a rear yard. And that is because peoples' bedrooms and living rooms are immediately proximate to that space. And it is intended to be, and designed to be private space, historically, from a planning perspective.

And that is why, in the City of New York, we have requirements very often to provide public space, open space, but we put it on the street where, first of all, access is easier, um, and there's a, a policing capability because even in the best of circumstances, in the best parts of this town, there are circumstances that can exist or occur where, you know, I mean, young kids going out for a night on the town, have too much to drink at a bar, and they end up being raucous. Um, that's not a commentary on them being undesirable people. It's just a fact of life that these things happen with, um, a crowded, urban environment.

So, we, from a planning perspective, we try to put the public uses on the street, close to the street, um, not in the interior of a building. Um, we

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 do, and then, that's to be distinguished from the

3 prospect of providing community facility space which

4 would be available for users in the community. Um, it

5 | is, it is just a fact that we have a street wall

6 requirement here or else we might be able to consider

7 putting some public space in the front of the, of the

building. But that is a planning requirement.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. And I have a few questions for you. For the community center, could you consider, you had mentioned that you would be willing to make a private investment into existing community centers. Ravenswood Community Center at the NYCHA and a mile radius from there has been in shambles. They have plumbing issues. They have mold. And they need, they need investment. Would you be willing to work with them to make an investment into the NYCHA's Community Center?

MS. CARSON: I'm not going to say yes or no. I'm only going to say we can look into it. The problem with these, with that kind of donation is that you, it's very hard to make a targeted donation that actually ends up getting spent exactly on the thing you want it to be spent on when it goes to a large governmental organization. If it's possible to do

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Right across the street from your proposed site, we currently have a emergency shelter for migrant and refugees as well as two other shelters within two block radius from there, and five other shelters within four blocks from there. Would you be willing to host a community space for the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs to have some sort of navigation center or migrant welcome center in your building?

MR. ROSENWACH: I think it's a great idea. Um,
Henry Rosenwach, part of the applicant team partner
of Crescent Street Associates. Um, we'll take it
under advisement as we start developing the building.
Uh, right now, we're in the very early stages of
creating this project. Uh, right now, we're just
trying to get the rezoning accomplished and then we
can develop and, and mold the clay more as, uh, time
proceeds.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. Thank you. And are you, could you provide the proposed income bands for the affordable units and the bedroom mix again for the project overall? Um, cause we were hoping that by now

2.2

2.3

you would have taken into consideration what Donovan
Richards, the Borough President, had requested.

MR. EGGERS: Sure, so the, the preliminary unit, unit mix now is 4%, I'm sorry. 40% two, two and three bedrooms, with the remainder, uh, split between, uh, studios and one bedrooms. And again, the original, um, preliminary unit mix was 19% two and three bedrooms which was increased as a result of the Community Board's request.

In terms of the AMI bands, they, they've not yet been selected. But pursuant to MIH Option One, there's a requirement that there's a band constituting 10% of the total residential floor area that cannot exceed 40% AMI. So, we're, we're locked into that as a, as a, as a basis of, of MIH Option One.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I understand that you are still molding the project, but can I hear a commitment from you for affordable units above the minimum requirement required by the requested zoning for MIH Option One for this project?

MS. CARSON: This is always a difficult question because it, it turns on economics, and it's, you know, the, when these MIH Options were designed, they

2.2

2.3

2 were designed after economists were engaged to do an

3 economic analysis. One of the factors that plays very

4 strongly in deciding how much affordability can be

5 provided and still make the project viable, is

6 whether there will be a 421A tax program or an

equivalent. And right now, there is none.

Um, and that may result in fact, in many projects that are planned never getting built at all, uh, because rental projects in the City of New York have not fared well since I've lived here in the early '70s without, um, without tax subsidy. So, um, you know, we're looking at it and I think Mr. Eggers mentioned the consideration of the Borough President's request for units at 30%, uh, but we haven't completed an analysis to determine whether that will be economically possible. To both reduce the income levels and increase the floor area devoted to economic use particularly without tax subsidy, is a difficult proposition, but we'll continue to study it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: This is the most transit rich part of Queens. Did you consider any additional action to reduce the amount of, uh, required residential parking for the development?

MR. EGGERS: So, we, we, that did not come up in the discussions with the Community Board or the hearing, um, with the Borough President. We, it did come up in a discussion with, with your office, and it's something that, that we are open to considering. Um, you know, there's, as I'm sure you know, Council Member, there's some people in, in, in that area that want more parking. Some people want, want less parking. But it's something that we're, we're certainly open to, to looking into.

And that action, as, as I'm sure you know, it would be a, it would have to a separate ULURP action, a special permit that would waive or reduce the residential parking. There's no, um, action available that would eliminate or reduce the requirement for the non-residential parking and, based on the floor area that's proposed, there would be 30 spaces required for the non-residential, so there would have to be at least some parking in, in the project regardless.

But, again, this has, um, come up relatively recently and it's something that we're certainly open to, to looking into and, and discussing further.

2.2

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Do you intend to target the Borough's President's recommended goals for the MWBE hiring?

MR. EGGERS: So, in fact, the, the applicant's general contractor Sciame, has extensive experience regarding the participation of MWBEs and, and LBEs and, in fact, Sciame has committed to ownership to use commercially reasonable efforts to pursue the hiring and prioritize retaining MWBEs as subcontractors and vendors with a goal to target 30% participation in the project. So, in short, uh, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you. I just, and my last question is about Crescent Street. So, on the Community Board, Crescent Street is one of the busiest bike lanes that we have funneling into Queensbridge. On page 12, you're saying that the parking garage would be accessed from the 27th Street and loading would be accessed from Crescent Street. I thought the Community Board had asked to not have loading on Crescent Street because you would directly be on the bike lane.

MR. EGGERS: The, the main, the, one, one of the primary concerns of the Community Board was, in fact, residential, you know, um, drop offs, and, and

deliveries, um, and how that would impact or interact

3 | with the bike, the, the bike lane on, on Crescent.

4 So, what we've, we've done as, or what we've proposed

5 to do in, um, consultation with DOT is to have a

6 dedicated loading zone for drop offs and deliveries

7 to the building on 27^{th} .

2.2

As for the applicant's, uh, loading for their operations, the way that the building has been designed currently, it's, it's, it wouldn't really work to put that on 27th. The, the loading would, would need to be on Crescent, but I think it's important to mention that the, the operations, the pipe fitting, and, and storage, they're not expecting a high volume of trucks and deliveries through that loading on, on Crescent. And as, as mentioned, um, through Department of City Planning staff, uh, there have been, there's been consultation with DOT and DOT has not expressed concern regarding operation of the building and operation of the bike lane should the project be constructed as, as proposed.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: We cannot have any obstruction of the bike lane at any point of the project.

2.2

2.3

MR. ROSENWACH: We have sat down with, uh, Gene's office to review moving the loading zone on Crescent to 27th Street. And so, um, we're, we're developing the plans to see if it all fits logistically in the, uh, in the plot of land that we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. And looking on page 16 in the rendering, it looks like there's a garage door on both 27th and Crescent.

MR. ROSENWACH: That, that rendering was from, uh, how many PowerPoints.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. So, it's an outdated rendering.

MR. ROSENWACH: Yeah, it's an outdated rendering.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I just wanted to make sure.

Because even if you look now, you would be

obstructing the Jersey barriers from the bike lane in order to enter that garage.

MS. CARSON: There are entrances and exits on Crescent Street for businesses, um, on both sides in the areas where those businesses continue to exist, and it is important to remember that this is a business district. It's an M1 district. So, um, and DOT does not regard an occasional entryway as, uh, an unbearable impediment to the maintenance of the bike

2 lane. People may have to slow down and wait for a

3 | truck to go in and out, but this is not a business

4 | that's going to have a massive amount of trucking.

5 | It's not a business that's going to be having loads

6 go in and out all day. They'll be concentrated in the

7 | early hours of the day and then at the, um, end of

the day there will be a few returning trips. So, but,

9 you may be talking about three to five trips a day.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Early morning and late of the

11 day is when there's.

MS. CARSON: Later, but not peak, not peak, not peak business hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. Cause that's where it's, that's when rush hour is for both cars and for cyclists.

MS. CARSON: Rush hour is different for people who are doing white collar jobs than it is for people who are doing blue collar jobs. So, um, people who are leaving early, very early in the morning between 6:30 and 7:30 don't represent necessarily the peak business time for people who are taking a bicycle ride to catch, um, to, to go to an office.

23

1

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Cyclists are not all white-collar job workers who are going to an office.

They're delivery workers.

MS. CARSON: That's true. But I'm saying there's a distribution of the uses throughout those, this period of time. It is not all one group of people travelling at one period of time.

MR. KAUFMAN: Um, this general request about the, um, the loading, um, on Crescent possibly moving to $27^{\rm th}$ was very recent, but we are looking at that very seriously and, and I believe we're going to be able to, to move that, um, to $27^{\rm th}$ Street.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further question.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Won.

Do any of my colleagues have any question for this

applicant panel? Council Member Schulman?

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Um, it's a little bit of a comment as opposed to a question. I just, I want to support my, um, colleague council Member Won in terms of asking for an increase in affordable units because I represent Central Queens, uh, District 29 which is Forest Hills, Rego Park, and Kew Gardens which is basically a middle-class area.

2.2

2.3

I've had a, a couple of developments there now, big ones, big ones, um, where initially they said economically, they couldn't do it, and then at the end they did. So, I'd really like to implore you to please take a hard look and try to do that because there's a dearth of affordable housing in Queens and throughout the City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Schulman. Council Member Bottcher?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I just have a, um, design question for Mr. Kaufman. The brown material at the top, is that like sheet, like a, just a sheet of metal or is it like brick?

MR. KAUFMAN: Um, we're looking at making the façade brick, the, the full height of, of the street wall. Um, the idea on the top, uh, in that rendering was to somehow accommodate what's the varying scale in the neighborhood where there's some lower buildings and some, some taller ones. Um, currently we're looking at something, um, that's a little bit more, um, what can I say, um, upscale in its, in its look and, and would certainly like to achieve that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What, what is that material, though? Cause I see it used a lot and, in

- 2 my opinion, it's just not very nice looking. It's
- 3 like a brown metal.
- 4 MS. CARSON: Talking about steel, rusted steel. I
 5 think that's what he's talking about.
- 6 MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah. Yeah, it, it looks like metal 7 in the rendering. That's what you're referring to.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Yeah. Is that what it 9 is?
- 10 MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah, but currently, I think we're
 11 looking to, to change that and to have a, a more of a
 12 pattern in the brick itself rather than
 13 differentiating the, the top floor in such a dark
 - COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: So, it's, you know, not necessarily even specifically to this project, I'm just kind of asking as a New Yorker, uh, why, cause you do so many buildings, it's just not as nice as it could be, in my opinion. I think something.
 - MS. CARSON: You're talking about the rusted steel look?
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Rusted steel.
- MS. CARSON: Yeah. That's Winka Dubbledam, I
 think, was the one who sort of promoted that early on

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

color.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

and it became very chichi to do. So, but I don't think that's going to happen in this project.

MR. KAUFMAN: We, we will take it out.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Don't take it out cause I asked. It's not my project. I just, you know, thank you for indulging me, colleagues. I just, you know, there's so many buildings going up that I think could be nicer in New York.

MR. EGGERS: We haven't the finalized design.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Oh, we got an applause there, yeah. I mean, this, these are buildings that are going to be here for 100 years or more, so I think we need to elevate the materials we use across the board. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Bottcher. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: No members of the public to testify on this item online. If, and if anyone is here in person, please come forward.

Doesn't look like anyone's here, Chair, so we can close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Uh, this panel is excused.

2 MR. EGGERS: Thank you, all.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on these pre-considereds

LUS relating to ULURPS number C220169 ZMQ and N

220170 ZRQ relating to the 40-25 Crescent Street

rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed,

and the items are laid over.

To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on LUs 110, 111, and 112 relating to 9th Street rezoning proposal in Council Member Hanif's district in Brooklyn. This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing M2-1 zoning district to an M1-4/4, uh, excuse me, /R7A zoning district, a relating zoning text amendment to map an MIH program area and a special permit to reduce off street parking.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

And, once again, for anyone, anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants at Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card. Council Member Hanif?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you, Chair Riley, and I just want to start off by thanking, um, the community in my District, uh, that has continued to engage very thoughtfully, um, with my office on this application, and of course, I want to also thank the applicant, good to see you, Richie, um, who agreed to meet with our community members, um, and take our concerns, my office's, the residents' concerns into account. So, thank you for that.

And I continue to have deep concerns around this application. As you know, I've been, uh, vocal about these both with the applicant and our community at large. I've heard from dozens of community members about the need for deeper affordability, um, which meets the needs of the current housing crisis as well as continuing to authorize new development in light of unaddressed environmental concerns related to flooding and storm water management in our community.

I've also heard from the industrial business, uh, community about their needs and concerns being proximate to residential rezonings while the IBZ itself remains unprotected. I take their concerns very seriously and with every land use decision, I'm going to continue to voice my concerns around

2 solutions that bring deeper affordability to Gowanus

3 and take into account the needs of our industrial

4 | businesses without adding additional strain to our

5 infrastructure.

2.2

2.3

So, I know this has been a couple of, uh, hard weeks in, and months. Uh, this was at my table at the start of my term and we've come a long way in many, many tough conversations and I'm looking forward to a continued conversation which I know will, uh, be, uh, just as difficult to get to a project that really meets the needs of Gowanus, the future of Gowanus, um, and our neighbors as we continue to welcome, um, asylum seekers and recognize just what a deep hole we're in in addressing the affordability crisis and housing crisis in our City. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Thank you, Council Member Hanif. Counsel, can you please call the first panel for this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: The panel for this item, and you guys can take your seats here at the table, is Paul Proulx and Tony Daniels.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, can you please administer the affirmation?

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Panelists, can you please raise your right hand and answer the following question? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in your answers to all Council Member question?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin. Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask that you please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

MR. PROULX: My name is Paul Proulx. I'm with the law firm Carter, Ledyard, and Milburn. I'm here on behalf of the applicant, The Angelina Gatto Trust.

Uh, we're joined by Richie (SP?) Gatto, who, uh, to observe the proceedings who's the trustee of the trust and Tony Daniels from Cycle Architecture.

So, uh, to start with, uh, thank you, uh, Council Member, for your leadership on this and for, um, facilitating the conversations that we've had. And we look forward to the continued, uh, discussion. Uh, this morning we had a great call with your staff and

the Fifth Avenue Committee, and we also look forward to continuing that discussion with them as well as

4 with HPD and, and others.

2.2

Uh, so, to brief the, uh, Committee here, uh, I'd like to go through our presentation. Uh, this is for, uh, a block front on 9th Street in Gowanus in Brooklyn. It's just below the 82-block rezoning that occurred and was in front of you, uh, months ago. Uh, we've been patiently waiting while that, uh, project was resolved and, um, approved. Uh, and so, now we are proposing something just like it for a small, uh, portion of 9th Street.

Uh, the rezoning area is a 425 by 100-foot area between $2^{\rm nd}$ and $3^{\rm rd}$ Avenues on $9^{\rm th}$ Street.

COUNCIL MEMBER: Can you ask them to advance the, uh, slides?

MR. PROULX: Oh, sorry. Uh, I'm advancing my own slides, uh, but I need to, uh, advance two slides, please. Sorry.

Thank you. Uh, next slide, please. Uh, this is the, the rezoning area. And, um, the area that we're proposing to develop, uh, you see here in this slide, it's an 82-foot by 100-foot area that's, uh, unimproved at the moment. It's, uh, mostly asphalt

2.2

2.3

2 and is used to park cars. It last had a building, uh,

3 or an improvement on it in the 1970s, uh, which was

4 demolished, um, because of the age of the building.

So, um, it's been operated essentially as a parking

6 lot since then. Next slide, please.

So, the rezoning area, it's, um, it's unique in many ways, but, uh, the obvious way that's shown by this slide and one of the things that informs our land use rationale is the fact that it's primarily residential. Uh, there's one lot that exists that is improved with a commercial building, but otherwise, aside from our lot, everything is residential. So, we're proposing to map a M1-4/R7A which would allow residential uses in this area that's already residential.

And to give you an idea of what the neighborhood looks like, next slide, please. I'll run through a couple of photos, uh, showing the rezoning area. Uh, next slide, please. Uh, the structure, uh, to the south of us there that you see is the trestle which informs, uh, sort of a context of the neighborhood and the height. Next slide, please. And again, our site. The small house you see on the upper left-hand corner is, uh, part of our zoning lot, and it is the

2.2

2.3

2 home that Angelina Gatto lived in until her death,
3 uh, a few years ago. And that's on 8th Street to the

rear of our property. Next slide, please.

Again, you see the trestle. And, um, the Council
Member had mentioned our proximity to the IBZ which
you see here on this map. If you look closely at the
very northern edge of it, you'll see the red area
which is our proposed rezoning area. We are not in
the IBZ, to be very clear, but we are adjacent to it.
And so, the needs of the IBZ and the, uh, concerns
of, for the manufacturing district, um, have been

You'll notice though, that our site like others nearby, represents some jagged teeth that, um, that are not mapped within the IBZ. Next slide, please.

front and present during the ULRUP, uh, review.

Um, the IBZ has gone though, uh, several, um, public meetings, uh, in connection with the rezoning that the City progressed last year. And, um, part of that resulted in a vision plan with several recommendations for land use in the City, in, in the IBZ. Next slide, please.

Uh, one of those represents the jagged teeth that

I had mentioned which you see here on this slide. And
this, uh, recommendation that, uh, resulted from the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 community outreach and study that the City published,

3 shows that in the final paragraph there if you can

4 read the text, that the recommendation for the

5 clusters of residential around 3rd and 9th Street to

6 be, uh, legalized and, uh, also suggested that modest

7 | increases would be appropriate.

So, following that vision plan guidance, we have filed this application. And the application is for the following items which you heard a moment ago, for a zoning map amendment to map the M1-4/R7A for, uh, a mandatory inclusionary housing. Sorry, next slide, please.

Uh, mapped along, alongside of it for the 425- by 100-foot area and for a waiver for all the accessory parking that would result from the building. Next slide, please.

So, uh, again, with the land use rationale for the site, we are following the City's guidance in the vision plan and also, um, recent approvals that occurred on 12th Street and 3rd Avenue that mapped the same density and uses that we're promoting aside from the fact that we're also proposing certain manufacturing uses that are not included in that

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

application. So, there's precedent for this exactly
the same as what we're proposing.

Um, another precedent is in the City's 82-block rezoning, uh, next slide, on Union Street. Um, Union Street is another cross-canal corridor. Uh, the Gowanus canal is one of the largest physical, uh, uh, presences in the neighborhood and Union and 3rd and 9th are the cross-canal corridors. So, like 9th, Union Street is mapped with an R7A, approved recently. Next slide, please.

Uh, part of the thing that informed our request for a special permit for the parking, uh, is because this is a transit rich corridor that we're proposing to build on. 9th Street is, uh, a bus route, a bike route, a truck route. It's between two subway stations, one at 4th Avenue for the F and G and the R, and then one at Smith, the F and G. And we're equidistant between those two. Uh, the proposed parking waiver would also eliminate the need for a curb cut here and, um, eliminate the conflict that would result from, um, vehicles pulling in across the bike path.

So, this is the building that we are proposing to build, and, uh, we are joined, joined by Tony Daniels who will go through the building with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER: Alright, and next.

MR. PROULX: Next.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER: Next slide.

MR. DANIELS: I think I need to turn this on. Uh, so, uh, good, good, uh, after, afternoon, Everyone.

Um, I'm Tony Daniels, the principal at Cycle

Architecture and Planning. Uh, thank you, uh, for the opportunity, uh, to make this presentation. Um, our office is at the forefront of, uh, designing for the environment in, in New York City. Uh, we have, uh, received, uh, uh, numerous grants and awards from NYSERDA, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority. We are working on, uh, a pilot, uh, uh, retrofit and electrification projects at Ravenswood Houses for the, for, for NYCHA. Uh, we are, uh, committed in our practice to, uh, to, to designing, uh, environmentally responsible buildings.

Uh, and we know that any project that is built today, um, has to be essentially ready for net zero or actually be net zero in order for the state to meet it's, uh, CLCPA goals, for the City to meet its,

2.2

2.3

fuels on site.

uh, its own environmental goals. Uh, you know, the, the, the business-as-usual building that, uh, is not net zero ready, um, is, is, uh, it is no longer a viable option, uh, option for, for us in our practice. And, uh, you'll notice that the building that we're proposing, uh, it's not a, it's not a big glass building. Uh, we have proposed a building that has, you know, punched windows, uh, because it's going to be a very well insulated building, uh, so that it can be fully electrified, uh, with no fossil

Uh, the, uh, the, the building, um, also, um, you'll, you'll see has, um, a lot of, uh, green, um, you know, plants shown on the roof and that is a response to some, um, specific concerns, uh, about, uh, about storm water management on the site. I, I, my office was in Gowanus for nine years. Uh, there at the corner of 3rd Avenue and 3rd Street. We lived through Sandy. Uh, we, we understand, uh, the, uh, you know, the condition of the, of the sewers in this neighborhood, uh, as they run down the hill from Park Slope into the, into the canal.

We, we understand. We, we, when we, when we ride, when we ride our bicycles by, uh, you know, a site on

2.2

2.3

2 6th Avenue that has the street torn up, we
3 understand. We understand what is going on because
4 the infrastructure there is, is, is, is weak. Uh, so
5 we've designed a building, uh, that, uh, has the
6 potential to actually retain some storm water on
7 site, uh, through, uh, you know, these, uh, these

8 roof gardens at both the upper roof and in, in the

9 back there's a, a lower roof for over the one story,

10 uh, proposed commercial portion.

Uh, so, uh, the, the building, uh, of course, would be designed, uh, in accordance with the, uh, city's unified storm water regulations that went into effect last February. Uh, and we would, uh, uh, we, we can actually, um, increase the buffer size to, uh, uh, to, to lessen the, uh, uh, the, the load on storm sewers during, uh, uh, peak, uh, peak flow events like heavy rainstorms. Uh, and I just want to note that this would be an upgrade, um, in, in terms of, the, uh, the flood performance at the site today. Uh, it is vacant, but it is mostly paved, uh, so now, uh, storm, it just, storm water lands and it just goes into the street basically.

Uh, so, uh, we, uh, uh, very much look forward to coordinating this design. Uh, we're excited, uh, uh,

2.2

2.3

about a potential partnership with the Fifth Avenue

Committee, uh, you know, who's been a stalwart for,

um, affordability in the neighborhood, um, and, uh,

5 I, I think that's, uh, that, that's it, Paul.

MR. PROULX: Okay. Thank you, Tony. If you could advance the next slide. I'd just like to, um, point out that we've requested, uh, MIH Option One here, uh, but we are certainly open to the Council Members' feedback on that, uh, in this area that, uh, this 425-foot by 100-foot area that, uh, would be mapped with MIH.

Um, I would also point out that, uh, you may hear from the public, uh, regarding the displacement of existing stabilized apartments. Uh, I'd like to point out that, um, stabilized rents are only applicable to buildings, uh, in excess of six units, and so there's only one building, uh, within this 425-foot area that, uh, has more than six units. Uh, and we've been in touch with that owner who has represented, uh, it's hearsay, but, uh, that they have only one stabilized unit in that, uh, building.

So, um, while there's potentially going to be new development to replace the buildings that are there now over time, naturally, uh, there would not be the

2.2

2.3

grandfathered.

displacement of any stabilized units, and, except for
maybe one, uh, and, uh, this would, of course, map
the MIH which would replace, uh, those units with a
percentage of affordability for not just our site,

but for the others within the 425-foot area.

Um, furthermore, um, I wanted to point out that this neighborhood, um, these jagged teeth that I had mentioned before, um, exist as manufacturing districts with residential uses because of a historic anomaly. Uh, in 1961, the City mapped the zoning map with restrictions, MRC. Prior to that, there were a lot of unrestricted districts, including this one. And by mapping, uh, M here, they prohibited the residential uses that exist there now from being replaced or enlarged or anything. They are considered

Um, in 1973, the City, noticing that there were a lot of these residential uses in this manufacturing district, and acknowledging the restrictions that that posed on improvements and enlargements, they went and moved the zoning line from Park Slope and 4th, 4th Avenue all the way to 3rd Avenue, and encompassed like another 10,000 homes. We were not included in that. We were excluded from that. This

2.2

2.3

2 area was excluded from that and remains M2 to this
3 day.

Um, but I would like to point out that the existing residents are, uh, primarily, uh, a group of people who have been excluded from ownership in Brooklyn by accidents of history like this including redlining and, and other things. So, this is not going to, um, many of these units are owner-occupied and have been in families, uh, for generations, including Richie's. And, um, we have letters that we have submitted for the record that, uh, from many of these units, owners, many of these, uh, homeowners within our rezoning area expressing their support.

So, I just wanted to point that out.

And then, also, uh, for the record, um, for your information, uh, the Community Board, uh, gave us a very positive endorsement with 31 votes in favor and six against. So, we were very pleased to have their endorsement and their support for the, uh, affordable housing that this represents which was a major reason for their votes, and, um, look forward to, uh, you know, accomplishing those goals. Next slide, please.

The concerns that you may hear from the manufacturing industrial, uh, retention community,

uh, relate to the fact that our rezoning area is on the border of, uh, of the IBZ. But currently, this is all residential. These people that live there exist, uh, hand in hand with the manufacturing uses nearby, um, and we're proposing simply, uh, to enlarge that and by, um, building a new building there we're also going to be adding a 8,000 square foot commercial space which will allow a transition to the manufacturing district and will activate, uh, this portion of the street, which is currently limited to, um, essentially stoops and residential, uh, uses. So, we think that is supportive of the MIH as opposed to, um, a problem. Uh, next slide, please.

Um, the reason for the special permit parking waiver is of course the curb cut. But also, if you see here, um, this would be for, uh, a parking garage on the site or for accessory parking in the building. Um, this is using a very efficient mechanical parking, uh, system. But, as you can see, it would limit our 8,000 square foot retail space to less than half of that, 3,649 it shows here, once you've, uh, allocated the amount of space for either a system like this or ramps.

2.2

2.3

Uh, and here, next slide please. And then here is just a, a final rendering to show, uh, what an activated ground floor and the building may look like. So, with that, I'd like to, um, um, be open for your questions and, um, and that's it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Paul. Uh, just a few questions and then I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Hanif. Uh, the first question is, what do you plan to do with the site if the application is not approved?

MR. PROULX: I think that the as of right uses are fairly limited here. Um, but the, um, sort of parking garage scenario is, is certainly one of the things that we would consider. Um, the, uh, problem with the, um, I think, with the options available under the existing M2-1, is that you can build a single story, uh, but, uh there's not, uh, a whole lot of rent associated with that. The rents are very low for conforming uses and, um, to go up, uh, would require quite a bit of investment in terms of, uh, elevators and, uh, you know, uh, that kind of thing. In order to, uh, to get another floor, uh, for very little rent.

2.2

So, uh, I think we've, the best use that we've identified as of right, would be probably a parking system for, uh, 150 cars, uh, permitted by zoning.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Do you have plans for the commercial space? And have you had any conversations about what type of use would be the most beneficial or identified potential tenants?

MR. PROULX: Uh, we have not identified any potential tenants. Uh, we think it's too early to really be serious about that. We started this process, um, exploring a fresh supermarket program with City Planning, but, um, this area is a very wealthy neighborhood that, um, doesn't qualify under the restrictions for fresh.

So, um, we hit a dead end there, but, um, our client, Mr. Gatto, has a history, uh, in meat. Uh, he was a butcher for many years at a, a local supermarket and has, uh, plied that trade throughout the City. So, um, some of the feedback we heard from the Southwest Brooklyn Development Corporation was they'd like to see maker retail. Uh, so I think that if we were to generalize, uh, it would be some sort of food related maker retail.

2.2

2.3

And if we were to be more specific, uh, at this time, we'd say that, you know, some sort of butcher shop. Uh, but we're certainly open to feedback from the community as we proceed. Uh, and look forward to identifying something that works and that provides a reasonable return.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Paul. In addition to onsite storm water management, have you considered installing rain gardens in the public right of way to help mitigate local flood issues?

MR. DANIELS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You have. Okay. Sounds good.

I'm going to, uh, yield my time to Council Member

Hanif to ask her questions. Council Member Hanif?

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you, Chair. Okay. So,

uh, building off of the question Chair Riley asked,

I'll begin with your considerations for, um, the site

absent this rezoning. So, to just build on, on, uh,

uh, Chair Riley's question, um, how many years, uh,

has the site been in, um, Richie Gatto's possession?

And would love to know what's prevented Richie from

MR. PROULX: Well, I, I that the, um, the exact date of the purchase of the property, uh, was, I

building a parking lot in those years.

2.2

2.3

don't, I don't have, but, um, the buildings that were there were demolished by his family in the '70s and,

4 um, a portion of the site was paved, uh, for parking.

Um, the thing that has changed is that, um, the senior, uh, the prior generation has passed, passed on, and so, now Richie has, as the trustee, has control of the property and is prepared to build something in order to, uh, maximize its use. Uh, as a fiduciary, his obligations to the Trust, and I think it's those obligations that he's following, uh, in deciding to do something here.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And, I mean, it would be good to get a commitment to not make the site absent of this rezoning, a parking lot?

MR. PROULX: Well, that, that would be hard to do.

Uh, there's not a whole lot of other uses, as I've explained, that we would feel comfortable, um, building on. Uh, I think that's, you know, in a way, um, you know, a worst-case scenario, uh, but, and certainly not a promise. But it would also be difficult to say that we would not explore the limited rights that we have as of right.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And then, I spoke about the housing crisis. Um, we're in a dire situation in our

2.2

2.3

for families?

City and then with the recent Gowanus neighborhood rezoning, um, we anticipate up to 5,000 new market rate housing units in the neighborhood in the coming years. Could you share how this development will address our community's need for affordable housing? And how will you ensure that the types of units can accommodate the high needs of, uh, affordable units

MR. PROULX: Well, um, again, we're open to, uh, mapping whatever inclusionary housing option you think is best, uh, as, you know, as it is in your discretion. Um, we think that, um, whichever option it will be, will be a great addition to the neighborhood's supply of affordable housing. So, it's not just our site. It's the 425 foot by 100 foot area that will be developed only with, uh, residential uses that are affordable if its developed with residential uses, um, to the extent that the MIH requires it.

So, um, you know, we think that this proposal is doing a service to the neighborhood by providing that, by mapping that. And then our site specifically will not only meet the MIH, uh, requirements, but as we've discussed, uh, there may be something else that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

we can do there and we're looking forward to exploring those options with you.

Um, we are not in a position to commit to anything yet. Uh, and there are, as you know, um, and we appreciate your openness to the conversations we've had about, you know, what is feasible and what is sustainable from an economics perspective. And, um, you know, we're not affordable housing developers, but I think the idea that perhaps with some City subsidy, there might be a way to build something that's larger than just an MIH building, um, you know, we're open to. And, um, Mr. Gatto has expressed a, a commitment to. So, um, we look forward to exploring that at greater detail.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Yeah, I'm grateful that we've, we've, um, had a good faith relationship in the process of this application and, um, uh, it would really appreciate a, a commitment to deeper affordability, um, than required under MIH because MIH is flawed. It's simply not getting us to where we need to be to address, um, the affordability crisis in the City.

MR. PROULX: I just might add that you heard from the prior applicant, you know, the 421A is a real

2 loss and, uh, you know, we appreciate your looking

3 to, uh, figure out solutions that kind of account for

4 | that loss. Um, but again, 421A was sort of the, MIH

5 when it was passed, was the maximum that the city

6 thought was possible with 421A. So now we don't have

7 421A and, um, we're still in a position where we're,

8 you know, we're required to do MIH. We're glad to do

MIH. Uh, but, um, to go beyond is, gets complicated

10 quickly, so.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what we're coming up against, this complicated nature of, um, building housing. I mean, I was very upfront at the start of our conversations that like the biggest priority for me is building new housing, um, but ensuring deep affordability for a district like mine where we need more. We could use more affordable housing in, in my district. And so, the conversations have been, uh, tough because the City by default is not honoring, um, this process of building, um, deeper affordable housing in, in our City.

MR. PROULX: If I could just, uh, respond to that.

Um, I think that the idea of mapping R7A here, you know, with the manufacturing, uh, complement, um, it increases the density right. And so, it addresses the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | fact that there's a supply issue. So, whether it's,

3 uh, stabilized, uh, whether it's stabilized, whether

4 it's, uh, MIH, whether it's voluntary inclusionary,

5 or whether it's just market rate apartments in a

6 portion of the neighborhood where the rents aren't'

7 that high to begin with, um, you know, you're, you're

addressing the problem by, by doing this, so.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, uh, some folks have raised concerns that continuing to add residential uses in, uh, close proximity to the IBZ, uh, could adversely impact the ability of industrial businesses to operate. Um, how do you respond to these concerns around there being more residents, more noise complaints, and difficulty for trucks? You mentioned the truck route earlier.

MR. PROULX: Yeah, well, you know, the traffic issues, um, I, I don't want to get into, uh, but we're doing what we can with this proposal by proposing the waiver of accessory parking. The, um, fact is though that in this area, residential and manufacturing uses exist side by side and have for many years. And there are several areas where that Gowanus mix of uses, um, you know, exist and, um, you know, in harmony. So, um, we don't think that by

2.2

2.3

adding to the density of this residential area that

we are going to cause any sort of specific problem.

I think that there are exceptions and there will be growing pains. Um, and, you know, and if you're operating something that's sound sensitive, uh, certainly you're going to have, uh, an issue with any construction at the site. But we're not, uh, you know, whether it's an as of right or the proposal, there, you know, there's going to be construction at the site.

So, um, I think that what we've proposed is something that, um, you know, complements the, uh, IBZ with, uh, you know, an active ground floor, um, that, you know, that hopefully will be something that helps make the transition from the residential uses, uh, to the east and, uh, to the manufacturing uses to the west. So, um, we think it's a great sort of puzzle piece to fit in at this location and, and speaks to all those things.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Uh, I'm grateful that, uh, the Chair, um, asked about green infrastructure. I want to add, uh, to that. Um, could you speak more about what kinds of green infrastructure, uh, would increase resiliency through the new construction on

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | your site, um, blue roof, suspended pavement? I know

3 you talked about fully electrified building. No

4 fossil fuel on site. Um, would love to learn a little

5 bit more, given that the 9th Street site is under the

6 size threshold, um, for Chapter 19 requirements under

7 the Unified Storm Water rule, which mean, which would

mean that the site, uh, won't be required to include

9 green infrastructure by the City.

MR. DANIELS: Uh, sure. Um, well, I, I guess, uh, I can focus on, um, on water infrastructure, um, here, and, and that is, um, you know, there is a, there is a high-water table, uh, in this area and, um, it is, it is prone to flooding. So, uh, you know, doing a sort of, uh, varied retention type, uh, system is probably not, uh, probably not in the cards for this site. So, we have, we have to, we have to find uses for water that are, um, uh, you know, above, above. We have, we have to, uh, anything we retain, we have to use onsite. So, for instance, uh, we, we can use it as part of an irrigation system for a green roof, uh, you know, or we can, um, or, or we can detain it in a, in a tank.

And, uh, what, what we would propose on this property is to, to, to build a, uh, a, you now, a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 substantially sized, uh, detention tank, uh, to, to

3 buffer the, uh, uh, you know, the storm water at

4 times of peak flows. Um, and, you know, that's a, a,

5 a detailed engineering calculation that you'd, you

6 know, that you'd do, uh, you know. There are, uh, uh,

7 you know, standards for the length and intensity of

8 storms and, you know, you, you input that and it will

9 tell you how much, in terms of gallons, you have to

10 detain on site. We can, we can exceed that, um, you

11 know, because of the perceived problem, and, and, uh,

12 detain more, uh, and buffer more.

Um, and, uh, and then, uh, you know, mention was made, um, about, uh, you know, providing, um, you know, at, at the, uh, at the street, uh, to, you know, to provide, uh, uh, you know, rain gardens, um, and, uh, to, you know, to, to use, um, uh, you know, green infrastructure along the street, uh, as, as, as well. Uh, that's, uh, that's contributory. That's not on our site, but certainly within the neighborhood, it would, it would help. So, you know, that, those are, those are, uh, uh, water infrastructure.

So, to, to summarize, um, uh, retention on site for, for, uh, for green roofs and irrigation,

- 2 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Or an estimation of, uh,
- 3 the volume of CSO the site will contribute to, to the
- 4 canal?

- 5 MR. PROULX: I think we would, we would have to do
- 6 a storm water calculation anyways to document
- 7 compliance with the requirements, right, so, to, uh,
- 8 do some sort of post construction, uh, analysis
- 9 seems.
- 10 MR. DANIELS: Uh, uh, yeah. I mean, we, I quess if
- 11 | we're, if, if we can make a, a number of assumptions
- 12 about the building, um, and, and about the site like
- 13 | if we assume that there's going to be no, uh, you
- 14 know, no, no subs, no sub, no sub grade.
- 15 MR. PROULX: Post construction.
- MR. DANIELS: Right, right. So, we, so we can, uh,
- 17 | just assume. If, if we make a number of assumptions,
- 18 I think we can come up with an estimate.
- 19 MR. PROULX: You're asking for it to be delivered
- 20 | now or delivered post construction?
- 21 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I mean, an estimation would
- 22 | be helpful. Is that something that you all could work
- 23 on with maybe our support?
- 24 MR. PROULX: Can you do that?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I mean, this is a very vulnerable area. We just had a couple of, uh, days of torrential.

MR. PROULX: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Well, what didn't, what shouldn't have affected, uh, Gowanus in the way that it did, um, so this is just something that would be good to have on hand.

MR. PROULX: Um, I think we, we should probably.

We'll, we'll take a look, uh, is the answer. Um, I, I would also like to just point out from, um, you know, a, an existing condition to a proposed condition analysis, that, uh, the site is currently about 70% asphalt, right, so that's mostly going to run off.

And what we're proposing to do would obviously, uh, be better than that with the retention that Tony just described. So, um, in terms of like a, a net change, um, you know, it would be, uh, definitely a, an improvement.

Um, I also would like to point out that, um, you know, there's a little of, uh, confusion it seems like, uh, between hydrostatic pressure and, um, storm water runoff. Uh, you know, we're, we're talking about flooding that comes up through pipes, uh, into

- 2 peoples' basements, uh, when there's a storm event,
- 3 right. Um, but in addition to that, uh, there are
- 4 issues with basements leaking and that sort of thing,
- 5 I believe, and that relates more to the hydrostatic
- 6 pressure in the ground after a rainstorm, uh, which
- 7 builds up, um, because it's, uh, a high-water table.
- 8 We're not in a flood zone, but we're very close to a
- 9 | flood zone. So, it's a high-water table.
- 10 And, um, so that sort of event, um, you know,
- 11 | here, would, with the runoff, it, it goes into the
- 12 combined sewer, but it also goes into the ground and
- 13 creates problems there, too. So, again, from a net
- 14 perspective, existing condition is bad, the proposal
- 15 would be good. And we'll continue the discussion, uh,
- 16 about how we might document that in advance of the
- 17 | conclusion of ULURP.
- 18 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. And then, um,
- 19 | outside of the analysis or estimation of, uh, total
- 20 | volume of CSO, how do you plan to ensure that your
- 21 | site will not add, uh, additional CSO to the, the
- 22 sewer shed, or that it will help to reduce net CSOs
- 23 | in the canal?
- MR. DANIELS: Um, sure. Uh, well, I, there, there
- 25 | is a combined sewer. We, we don't have separate storm

- 2 and sanitary sewers that we can, uh, connect, connect
- 3 to, so, uh, you know, we, we will have to connect to
- 4 the combined sewer. Uh, and, uh, the, uh, the
- 5 measures that I referenced, uh, before, uh, the
- 6 intensive green roof and, um, uh, a, uh, a, you know,
- 7 a buffered, a, a detention tank, uh, will reduce
- 8 storm flows, uh, during peak events. Um, and, uh, uh,
- 9 you know, we can, we can size that, uh, you know,
- 10 | that, that tank so that, um, uh, you know, it can
- 11 accommodate, you know, the flows from, uh, you know,
- 12 uh, longer duration storms, uh, that are more
- 13 intense.

- 14 So, so, uh, uh, you know, that would, um, uh, uh,
- 15 you know, that would reduce the burden on the, you
- 16 know, on the, on the sewer at, at a time when there
- 17 | are, uh, you know, CSOs, CSO events because we're not
- 18 | contributing as much.
- 19 \parallel COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay. That is all that I
- 20 have for now. Thank you.
- 21 MR. DANIELS: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member
- 23 | Hanif. Uh, there being no questions, no more
- 24 questions for this applicant panel, you are now
- 25 excused.

2 MR. PROULX: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 9th Street proposal in person or remotely?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Chair, we do have a few members of the public that are, have been patiently waiting remotely and we have a couple here and, on, to testify in person. I think we'll do those first, but I want to run my announcements for all of them.

So, for members of the public here to testify, please note that witnesses will generally be called in panels of four. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please standby. When you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when the Chair or I say that you may begin. Please also know that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony if remotely, you will be removed from the meeting as a group and the next group of speakers will be introduced.

Once removed, participants may continue to view the live stream broadcast of this hearing on the Council website and as I said, stated earlier, if for some reason you can't stay for the duration of the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock. We will now hear from, uh, Brian McAllister and Brad Vogel.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: And again, if anyone else is here to testify in person, just see one of the Sergeants to fill out a slip.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry. You're Brian?

MR. MCALLISTER: I'm Brian McAllister.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Brian.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Do we have Brad here? Oh, you could, yeah, you could, you just both come up at the same time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah, yeah, Brad. Brian, you can begin as soon as the Sergeant starts the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

MR. MCALLISTER: Thank you. My name is Brian
McAllister and, uh, my family and I are owners and
residents at 120 and 122 A Street, immediately
adjacent to the rezoned area, or the area proposed to

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

be rezoned. I'm also a tenant at 69 2nd Avenue
Brooklyn which is a creative studio space.

We oppose the rezoning of these properties because the rezoning will cause, in our belief, a net reduction in affordable housing in the neighborhood and will cause significant adverse impacts to the community.

To begin, any modification of the current zoning should be part of a community driven ULURP that conforms with the spirit of the May 2021 Gowanus Industrial Business Zone vision plan which was created by the Department of City Planning. The neighborhood is asking for a pre-application meeting on a new ULURP for the entire neighborhood with the Department of City Planning. This community driven ULURP will provide for sustainable zoning that supports industrial creative space and real, sustainable affordable housing in the neighborhood.

At its heart, this proposal calls for a spot rezoning for a nine-story complex that is out of character and would undermine the future of manufacturing, creative, and industrial opportunities in the surrounding Gowanus neighborhood.

2.2

2.3

The rezoning is not the product of community input. As was noted by Ginia Bellafante in the September 2nd New York Times, hundreds of community members have petitioned against this development. And the developers have not adopted the recommendations made in the approval process to date. The rezoning will. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You can continue.

MR. MCALLISTER: The rezoning will result in a net reduction in affordable housing. According to the 2020 Rent Guidelines Board's registration file, 169 9th Street and 147 9th Street are rent stabilized. Rezoning these properties for luxury housing and the properties around them will ultimately cause them to be replaced with more luxury housing and no adequate replacement.

The property will have a negative environmental impact on the neighborhood. This has been exhaustively detailed in the Planet A* Strategy's review of the environmental assessment statement which was filed with the City Planning Commission on August 31, 2022. I refer you to that document. And the property will have significant environmental

2.2

2.2

2.3

2 impact. Or the, this, this proposed redevelopment

3 | will have significant, uh, environmental, um, impact.

Ultimately, it's unfair to rezone part of our block to the benefit of one developer over the objection and to the detriment of other stakeholders in the neighborhood. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Brian. Brad, you may begin.

MR. VOGEL: Thank you. My name is Brad Vogel and I live in Gowanus. I live on 9th Street. I live on the block in question, and I live int eh area that is slated to be rezoned. And I'm really grateful for a chance to speak directly to you here. I know there's only two Council Members at the table at the moment, but I really do want to make the case, because not all of my neighbors who live in this area be, to be rezoned if this passes, are able to be here today. And it is a very diverse little block with lots of different people living there.

And one thing that kept coming up, uh, with counsel to, uh, to the developer is that this proposal is just like the main Gowanus rezoning that was recently passed and foisted upon the Gowanus

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | neighborhood. I just want to say, I find that to be

3 bizarre logic. That has already happened. That is a

4 huge, huge area that is causing mass demolition,

5 displacement. I have friends and community members

6 who have been, literally they're just leaving the

7 | neighborhood and it's a really sad thing.

And that's what I want to bring up because I certainly stand to be displaced if this goes through. And a lot of other people do, too. And it's not just the rent stabilized tenants. There are a whole bunch of other people living on this block. It's a real, living block that has actual people on it. Um, and I think that's really important.

When you're pursuing affordable housing, which I certainly understand as, as an overarching goal for the City, you have to remember that there are actual people, not just statistical people that are out there that we're working for, but like actual people who will be displaced for sure if this goes through.

Um, I do think it's also concerning as to what this will do to the manufacturing rezoning. There's a reason this area was not included in the main rezoning, because it serves as a buffer zone to make sure that some manufacturing capacity is actually

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Brad. Uh, this, uh, Council Member Hanif, do you have any questions for this panel?

if you're going to do a rezoning, it should not be

basically gussied up spot zonings like this one. It

should be a more comprehensive plan. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: No, I don't. Thank you.

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel is
3 excused. Counsel, can we call any members online who
4 wish to testify?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Yes, we have quite a few of you so I'm going to be calling you in panels of four. Um, so, the first panel is going to be Maureen Koetz, and I'm sorry I'm mispronouncing names, Paul Basile, Beth Morrow, and Martine, Martin Bisi. Maureen Koetz is the first one to testify.

11 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Maureen, you may begin. Can we please unmute Maureen?

MS. KOETZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, you may begin Maureen.

MS. KOETZ: Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Uh, my name is Maureen Koetz. I am a

licensed attorney in the state of New York, a former

19 | federal executive, and currently work as a

20 sustainability consultant. I am here today as an

21 environmental expert on behalf of residents of 122

22 8th Street located behind the proposed rezoning. I

urge the Council to reject the application for the

reasons I'll describe and set forth in the fuller

25 report you heard referenced. And that will be

2.2

2.3

submitted to the Committee, along with testimony from
Hiller, PC.

This application must be rejected because no environmental impact statement was prepared. A rezoning requires an EIS if it has the potential for even one significant adverse environmental impact considering all reasonably related and cumulative impacts including simultaneous or subsequent actions in long range plans of which the action under consideration is a part. This rezoning proposal requires an EIS for long term and cumulative effects as part of two long range plans, the IBZ you have referenced, and the Housing New York 2.0 plan for MIH is also, uh, related to the Gowanus Neighborhood plan that has been discussed.

The applicant has admitted and acknowledged in the EAS and testimony that this rezoning is part of these long-range plans. For example, the project includes MIH affordable units and applicant's counsel told the City Planning Commission, quote, "the IBZ report recommends as City policy, to up-zone and make conforming the residential uses that exist in the manufacturing districts adjoining the IBZ." If approved, the project would generate adverse impacts

2 that are long term and cumulative with other IBZ and

3 MIH actions in multiple impact categories such as

4 transportation, but particularly water and sewage

5 infrastructure.

2.2

2.3

The applicant's architect testified that 9th

Street, quote, "has one sewer and capacity is a known issue with storm sewers." In fact, the 87 dwellings proposed would add significant.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

MS. KOETZ: Two more sentences. To what is actually a combined sewer, not a storm sewer. And the area residents are victims of continued City violations of a 2016 sewer backup compliance order issued by EPA. New York City knowingly continues to use area basements as sewer retention tanks creating significant adverse impacts applicant acknowledges, and that requires an EIS. Applicant does, has asserted the possibility of mitigation to adverse CSO impacts using water detention features like the rain gardens you heard about. But these are verbal and are not binding.

Therefore, in the absence of an EIS and a finding statement that mandates this mitigation, the claims are arbitrary and unenforceable. The environmental

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 harms are too important to be ignored and the 3 application should be rejected unless an EIS.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Maureen.

MS. KOETZ: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I just want to state for the record, we've been joined by Council Member Moya.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: Thank you, Chair.

The next speaker on this panel is Paul Basile, but I,

I understand from our intake team there are some

issues promoting you. If you are there, Paul, can you

unmute? If not, I'm going to call on right now Beth

Morrow and we'll come back to Paul. Beth, Beth?

MS. MORROW: Hello. Um, I am a, uh, an owner at 122 8th Street and I, I, I'm not sure how many people in the room have, have not already been to the site, but I thought I'd speak to some of the issues that are very specific, logistical and practical reasons that wouldn't be apparent unless you, um, lived or, or are very familiar with the neighborhood, why it is not the right place for high, high density residential development.

First, the surrounding area has higher density tall buildings on the Avenues, $3^{\rm rd}$ and $4^{\rm th}$ Avenues in particular which are very wide blocks. Streets like

2 9th Street are narrow and they are zoned for modest,

3 low-density buildings. So, specifically, 9th Street

4 | where the Gatto location is located, is 34, 34 feet

5 wide. 5th Avenue, 3rd Avenue is 55 feet wide, and 4th

6 Avenue is 85 feet wide. That's part of the reason

7 that the, the tall buildings are going on the

8 Avenues, not on the Streets.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In comparison, um, 9th Street, as you go up above 3rd Avenue, gets wider and yet, even in that context, the buildings that are being built there right now and that street there is 66 feet wide, are being held to height restrictions. A new housing, uh, residential building going in there is six stories and there's a school building going in that is being held to four stories even despite the significant public use.

It's also a pinch point because the, because the street's narrow, there's a lot of backup and it's the truck and ambulance route.

It's important to note that while, uh, the Gatto team is proposing for themselves to change.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MS. MORROW: The zoning rules including on 8^{th} Street relative to his own house there, the other

- residents of 8th Street are burdened by a very 2
- 3 intense zoning restriction that doesn't even allow
- 4 modification to, to put a deck or a garden shed. And
- it is, it seems incredibly unfair to allow an
- exemption for Mr. Gatto and not somehow address the 6
- 7 neighborhood as a whole and.
- CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm, I'm sorry, Beth. We have 8
- a, a lot more speakers and I'm going to have to cut
- you short. But you could, uh, submit the rest of your 10
- 11 testimony, uh, to us online.
- 12 MS. MORROW: I did submit.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.
- 14 SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ: So, we're going to
- 15 try Paul Basile again to see if he's around. Paul,
- 16 are you there? Um, in the meantime, let's hear from
- 17 Martin Bisi.
- 18 MR. BISI: Hi, um, yeah, Martin Bisi, um.
- 19 PRESIDENT BASILE: I'm here.
- MR. BISI: You can hear me? Yeah, I'm Martin Bisi, 20
- uh, from BC Studio in the Old American Can Factory 21
- which is six blocks from this rezoning, and I've been 2.2
- 2.3 doing music recording there since 1979. So, I'd like
- to start with the flooding, and I've seen quite a bit 24
- but really, it's mostly in the, in the last 11 years. 25

2 Irene, Sandy, Henri, and Ida, two of those

3 superstorms were catastrophic. Ida actually found

someone, unfortunately, dead in the canal. Um, there 4

was a 100-year storm and Ida was supposedly a 200-

year storm. And, um, it's shocking really that 6

7 there's no EIS here considering, um, the EPA has

8 already stated that the City is not in compliance and

will not be compliance until the big, uh, sewage

retention tanks will be built, which I think is in 10

11 like, uh, 2030 or 2032.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Um, and there's already, and it's already controversial. There's, uh, thousands of units slated to be built in the next few coming years. Um, and there's also New York's superfund site. There's still carcinogenic coal tar, rainbow sheen stuff floating visibly from the 9th Street Bridge on the water like all the time. And air monitor alerts just across the canal on the other side.

Uh, so, moving to displacement of businesses. The potential displacement of, uh, the entire Old American Can Factory is well documented in the EIS for the main rezoning of Gowanus. So that's, uh, like 200 culture workers and also the community that those workers support. I support a vast community of, of

2 musicians. It is in my, my interests and our, that

3 community's interests, if we're displaced, to be

4 displaced into a robust, and hopefully reasonably

5 affordable, um, industrial and commercial zone. And

6 that's really crucial to the City so we need to look

7 at the affordability of these commercial zones hand

8 and foot.

1

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

10 MR. BISI: With the affordability of housing. Um,

11 so, right now, the commercial rents have already

12 | skyrocketed just with the main rezoning of the 80, 82

13 | square blocks. So, I'm quite concerned I wouldn't be

14 able to stay in the neighborhood and that I lose the

15 | clientele and I can't, uh, support that community if

16 I'm displaced which is slated for may, potentially

17 | 2.5 years from now. So, um, I really hope this, this,

uh, uh, proposal goes down. Thank you very much.

19 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Martin. Alright,

20 we're going to try Paul. Paul, you may begin if you

21 can hear us.

22 PRESIDENT BASILE: Yes, can you hear me?

23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you. You may

24 begin. Go ahead.

18

2.2

2.3

PRESIDENT BASILE: Thank you so much. Uh, esteemed City Council Members, my name is Paul Basile,
President and Founder of the Gowanus Alliance. I am also a property owner, business owner, and a
Community Board member. As leader and policy makers,
your actions here today will have consequences to a
vulnerable group in the community. Our manufacturing
members are struggling to grow and remain vital job
and service providers. The remaining manufacturing
area in this project, where this project exists was
promised many things during the previous massive
rezoning, which resulted in a loss of a huge
manufacturing area with the de Blasio Gowanus
rezoning.

We appreciated the outreach and communication with then Council Person Brad Lander who held a strong promise to help find a plan for the rezoning and the remaining area. From that discussion with many stakeholders, The Gowanus Alliance helped develop the IBZ Vision Plan which, despite its name, included the area surrounding the IBZ and the area in this application.

We are grateful to our current Council Person Shahana Hanif for her continued outreach and her

promise to help us find balance and sustainable
growth.

Unfortunately, this application does not support
the Vision Plan nor the needs of our community. We
believe the disparity in the recommendations from the
Community Board after its land use committee
disapproved this application and with the Borough
President's conditional approval, it speaks volumes
to the problem this application currently presents
and unless revisions are made to make a future plan
viable, the future will be full of conflict and there
will be inevitably be displacement.

Its out of scale density does not solve the affordable housing crisis, but rather further increases the conflict of uses that our manufacturing and industrial community endure. This is a, this is a pivotal development in the future of manufacturing in our City. Its proximity to the IBZ and its direct negative impacts on the last active truck route on our northern border demands future discussion and the need to look at the entire area for a viable in the area job created.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

2.3

_ ¬

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Paul. You can submit the rest of your testimony to us online. Thank you. The next panel we're going to call up is, uh, excuse me if I botch your name, Douglas Hanau (SP?), Jesse Lange, Elizabeth Denys (SP?), and John Buckholz (SP?). And we'll begin with Douglas Hanau.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. If we don't have Douglas, can we start with Elizabeth Denys?

MS. DENYS: Hi, my name is Elizabeth Denys and I live not too far from Brooklyn CB Six in Flatbush, Brooklyn where often people are being displaced when they are no longer able to live in this, uh, in the neighborhoods that are served by Brooklyn Community District Six.

Um, I'm speaking today to urge you to vote in favor of the 9th Street rezoning. Uh, my neighbors both nearby and my friends in CB Six and throughout Brooklyn and the City are all feeling the financial pressure from skyrocketing rents as the City recovers from the pandemic and building more housing is badly needed throughout the City and the 9th Street rezoning would provide 48 new homes with a portion

2.2

2.3

affordable. The alternative of 150 parking spots won't help solve the housing crisis.

Whiter and wealthier districts like Brooklyn CB Six which is 62% white and has a median income of \$118,000 need to do their part to reduce displacement in residential racial segregation. And if additional housing units aren't built there, like I mentioned earlier, displacement will continue to push out into, the poorer residents into the, um, from the area into further other, other neighborhoods like Crown Heights and Flatbush, continuing displacement going on and on and on, um, until people are no longer able to live in the City.

Um, it also sits at a number of under capacity subway lines making it an ideal location for folks to live in Gowanus without a car and still access jobs throughout the City. Um, and it's really important in the face of climate change to create housing that isn't car dependent like the applicant is trying to do. Um, the alternative of 150 cars is the opposite of that good climate parking, uh, good climate policy.

And, you know, I really appreciated that the applicant is trying to be really thoughtful about the

really key bike route that I ride almost daily 2

through, um, on the 9th Street, um, bike lane. Um, 3

it's very thoughtful to reduce the conflicts by not 4

having cars being pulling in and out in the bike

lane. All the dangerous spots on that bike lane are 6

where cars pull in and out and they block like the

CVS, um, a few blocks away. 8

> Um, this rezoning also has the hyper local support of, um, the Brooklyn Community Board Six and support from Brooklyn Borough President Antonio Reynoso making it a clear choice to vote yes on. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak and I hope you consider the benefits of these 48 additional homes instead of 150 parking spots.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

MS. DENYS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Elizabeth.

19 The next person we'll be asking to call is Jesse

20 Lange.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. LANGE: Hi, thank you so much for this opportunity to speak today. Uh, my name is Jesse Lange, and I am speaking in support of this rezoning today. Um, I actually, uh, I don't, I no longer live in Brooklyn. I used to live in Brooklyn, but, um,

2 unfortunately, was priced out of the Borough. Uh, I

3 | would have liked to live in Gowanus actually, but

4 unfortunately, I was not able to find any housing

5 that was affordable to me there, so, uh, I was forced

6 to leave, unfortunately. Um, but I did bike past the

7 site recently, and, um, as I looked at the parking, I

8 thought that it would be a pretty nice site for, uh,

9 for housing instead of an empty lot or a parking

10 garage or one of the other uses that, um, might be

11 allowed.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Uh, I am happy to see that both the Community

Board and Borough President are in support. Um, in

fact, I was at the Borough President hearing in

support of this and at the time, he mentioned that we

are in a housing crisis, not a parking crisis, which

is something that I wholeheartedly agree with.

Uh, and, you know, I also think that this site, um, and this rezoning application is a really wonderful complement to the Gowanus neighborhood rezoning that will help to, um, continue to change out of date zoning and allow the neighborhood to, um, build according to the current needs which is housing. Um, it will also update a lot of infrastructure that it, that I understand is badly

needed, so I think that it's really something that 2

3 could go very nicely hand in hand with the rezoning

4 that was already passed for the entire neighborhood.

Um, I would be happy to see deeper affordability

which I know that the Council Member is calling for, 6

7 but I really would like to urge Council Member Hanif

8 to not kill this application over a, uh, demand that

is, perhaps, not economic for the developer, uh,

because the as of right use as we've heard which, 10

11 would mean that there would be zero housing, zero

affordability, and continued outdated and polluting 12

13 uses.

14 So, you know, I really think that, um, I, I know

15 that Council Member Hanif was calling for a

16 commitment to not build parking here, uh.

17 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

18 MS. LANGE: But I, I urge you to recommend, um, to

19 commit to not allowing it to be parking. Thank you.

20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank, thank you, Jesse. The

21 next person I am going to call on is John. John, you

2.2 may begin.

25

2.3 MR. BUCKHOLZ: Thank you very much. My name is

John Buckholz. I am a, uh, single family property 24

owner in Brooklyn where I have lived for most of my

feet from two subway lines.

life since 1984. I have decades long memories of this lot as an empty lot. Uh, uh, it's, it's never been anything else in my lifetime and I want to commend the developers for, uh, responding to the crises that are confronting our City, both the gross housing crisis that is, uh, pushing displacement and pushing people like me and Jesse further out. And I also want to commend them for seeking to reduce, uh, auto use in the neighborhood at a site that's less than 1,000

We've heard a lot of commentary about displacement and I'm sensitive to it and, and my only personal comment as someone who is, was displaced first in the neighborhood where I grew up and then Boerum Hill because my family got larger and there were zero units on StreetEasy (SP?) in 2018 when I wanted to re-rent.

Um, what displacement looks like in practice is with inadequate inventory, we don't have enough housing choice. And I know people are sensitive to buildings being built around them, but the reality of displacement in New York is much different. It's, it's people like me who can no longer live in the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

neighborhoods they want to, then moving further out, and, and posing pressures on those people.

So, I would urge the Council to consider how it's applying its thoughts about affordability to this project. The simple fact of adding inventory where there is none will serve as a moderator on price pressures. Adding inventory, adding neighbors is what New York City should be about. It's what I hope is available to my kids when they're old enough to choose where they want to live. Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, John. The next, uh, person on this panel is Douglas Hanau. Douglas, if you can hear me, you may begin.

MR. HANAU: My name is Douglas Hanau. I've lived in Community Board Six for 25 years. I'm a single-family homeowner, raised my kids in Community Board Six. I wholeheartedly support this program. I am thankful that it's being considered. I have lived in this district and seen every project up until the Gowanus rezoning rejected out of hand. This community needs more housing.

My kids will inherit my house that I bought 25 years ago. I didn't, it wasn't cause of sweat equity.

2 | It was luck. At that time, 25 years ago, you could

3 buy a single-family home if, you know, I grew up in a

4 rent stabilized apartment, didn't have a lot of

5 money, I lucked out. People don't have that option

6 now. We need to build more housing in Community Board

Six.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

We are talking about zoning. From 1960 when climate change was not even a thing, nobody talked about it, the, the idea that we're going to hold to 1960 zoning in 2022 with the climate crisis is outrageous.

The fact that the Gowanus rezoning took 10 years of dithering, of dithering and conversations and going nowhere, just to get it approved. There won't be housing there for 15 years. Do you know how many seniors who could have lived there have passed in that time? Do you know how many people have left the community and left New York City cause they can't find a place to look, to live? We cannot sit here and dither and wait for another project and for every single person in the community to weigh in.

Let's pass it now. We'll pass, we'll build housing in Gowanus. We'll build more housing. We'll build housing in SoHo. We need to build housing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

6

7

8

9

2.3

24

25

2 everywhere. It's a climate crisis. We need more

3 housing. We need more solar. We need the kind of.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

MR. HANAU: Amenities that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Douglas.

MR. HANAU: The developer talked about. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We appreciate your

10 testimony. The next app, um, excuse me, the next

11 panel I'm going to call is Jason Zakai, Kathryn

12 Krase, Ben Meskin (SP?), and Amanda Rouse. The first

13 | person I'm going to call to speak is Jason Zakai.

14 Jason, you may begin if you can hear me. I'm sorry if

15 I mispronounced your name.

MR. ZAKAI: Good afternoon, Council Members. My

17 | name is Jason Zakai. I am an attorney from Hiller,

18 PC, a land use zoning and preservation law firm in

19 \parallel the City. We represent residents at 120, 122 8^{th}

21 the proposed rezoning area and these residents will

22 | be adversely affected by this application if it's

approved. I speak today in strong opposition to the

application. I know that the land use committee, uh,

of the CB Six rejected this application and the

2.2

2.3

Borough President had many conditions for the project.

Approving the application would represent bad public policy. It's, it's merely a piecemeal proposal to rezone one portion of one side of one block for, uh, out of an entire neighborhood to benefit one developer. Um, and the neighborhood needs a broader rezoning covering multiple blocks, not these one-off spot zonings that keep popping up. That's not urban planning.

Luckily, the City has a Vision Plan, the 2021
Plan, uh, but this application is grossly
inconsistent with it contrary to what the applicant
says. The vision plan focuses on business growth and
industrial and commercial uses. As for residential
uses, they must meet specific criteria including be
appropriate, match existing conditions, and only have
modest, modest increases in density. That's not the
case here. The developer's trying to up-zone to a
high density from a low density. The existing
buildings were one through three stories. They are
trying to make it a nine-story building.

The proposed plan should also be rejected because it would destroy the neighborhood character and

displace current residents and businesses who want to 2

be there, who currently afford to be there, but won't 3

be able to in the future if this application is 4

approved.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Although some affordable housing units are being proposed, there's only 12, 12 units of affordable housing that are being promised here out of a total of 36 at least market rate units. Meanwhile, the developer will generate millions in profits if this

There are also serious environmental concerns, an EIS (CROSSTALK).

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

application is, is granted.

MR. ZAKAI: Who referred to, uh, Ms. Koetz's environmental report and I also supplement my, uh, presentation with her in testimony. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Jason. Just for the record, I'm sorry if I'm cutting everyone off, um, at the time, but, um, there's another hearing that's supposed to take place, uh, right after. So, I'm going to be cutting everyone at two minutes. Um, and you can submit the rest of your testimony to us

2.2

2.3

online. Thank you so much. Uh, the next person I'm going to be calling on is Kathryn Krase. Kathryn, if you can hear me, you may begin.

MS. KRASE: Thank you. Good afternoon, Council
Members. I'd like to start by thanking Council Member
Hanif for her leadership and commitment to community
engagement. My name is Kathryn Krase, lifelong
Brooklynite, CB Six resident for over 40 years.

For nearly 20 years, I've lived behind the Gatto family's empty lot. I welcome responsible development of that lot along with rezoning 9th Street to bring existing residential buildings into legal conformity. However, I, along with 300 community who have signed our petition, urge the City Council to deny the current application unless there are significant changes. We want the applicant to address threats of a net loss of affordable housing, negative environmental impacts, and threats to the IBZ.

While the proposal's focus is on developing an empty lot, the plan impacts a dozen other lots currently housing over 100 residents. Rezoning those lots to 7A would economically incentivize destruction of those structures and result in displacements of residents like my friend Patrick who walks his

2 adorable Chihuahua around the block every day. Many

3 9th Street residents like Patrick come from

4 | multigenerational Puerto Rican and Dominican

families, many of whom are only able to stay because

6 they're either in rent stabilized or other units with

7 reasonable rents, especially compared to the luxury

8 units popping up everywhere.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Contrary to the applicant's assertion, there's more than one rent stabilized building on the block and more than one rent stabilized unit.

The proposal area also includes a flood zone with major sewer issues. Though the Gatto lot's only adjacent to the current flood zone, the lot, the, that lot is included in future flood zone calculations. I, myself, have lost many things due to flooding, sewer backups, as well as ground water issues in my house behind the lot. The plan needs to address these issues, and while the Gatto plan to try to address some of these issues are commendable, those plans aren't binding on the rest of the proposal area. So, a, a proposal of this scale should require more environmental study.

Lastly, the proposal threatens the IBZ. And while the proposal.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.2

2.3

- 3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Kathryn.
- 4 MS. KRASE: (INAUDIBLE) Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry to cut you off, Kathryn.

Thank you so much. The next person that we're going to have is going to be Amanda Rouse. Amanda, if you can hear me, you may begin.

MS. ROUSE: Hello. Thank you for your time. Thank for everyone, um, who's attending. Uh, I am a long-time resident of, um, 8th Street up the hill from, uh, this Gowanus, and, um, I'm now a business owner in Gowanus. Uh, I rent an office.

And, um, so this year I have been trying to catch up on how people have been thinking about developing this area, and I had no idea the scope of the 80 plus block, um, development rezoning plan. Um, I think within this time of, we have multiple crises in the City, uh. We have a housing crisis. We have a health crisis. We have an education crisis. Um, we certainly have a climate crisis.

And, um, there's nothing in any of these, um, ways of thinking about Gowanus, which is a superfund site, which is a, a, a living waterway that's been abused for centuries. Um, I just don't think we've

| ~ | ~ | | | |
|--------------|-----|--------|------|------------|
| SUBCOMMITTEE | ()N | 200000 | ANI) | FRANCHISES |

2.2

2.3

2 thought about the conversations we need to have, um,

3 the way that we can use, um, our collective, um,

4 thinking to work together to think what actually

5 makes sense for Gowanus. Because once you start

6 developing here, it's going to become something else.

7 | It's no longer going to be what it is.

Um, and that's pretty clear. It's pretty clear that the City's not thinking about our health in terms of monitoring the air quality, in terms of monitoring the water quality, um, in terms of remediating the sewage issue that has continued to happen.

Um, and so, I'd really, um, urge the Council to turn down this application, and, um, consider that we need to be taking action in different ways, uh, extremely different ways when we think about land use, water use, um, and I would like to have a, start a conversation with indigenous people about land use in the City. And that's my time. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Amanda. Uh, the next, uh, person to testify is going to be Ben Meskin. Ben, if you can hear, uh, you can unmute yourself and you may begin. Uh, it is star six to unmute yourself.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 MR. MESKIN: Can you hear me?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. MESKIN: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. MESKIN: My name is Ben Meskin. I live two blocks away between 2nd and 3rd Avenue on 11th Street. I've lived there on 3rd Avenue since 1988, almost 34 years. I knew Angelina Gatto, who was the mother of the applicant. I knew her husband, Ralph Gatto.

Um, being here for so long, gives you a bit of a perspective. 9th Street is the most crowded, dense block in this neighborhood and for miles around. A very bad place to put much more on. These are nine story buildings. Greatly concerned, we live here, those of us who live here, we, we live in, as best we can, in harmony with the industrial businesses. It's very hard. We try to get by. This could make this, their, their work and their business here very precarious. The people who work in the IBZ and this area around here are overwhelmingly immigrants, predominantly Hispanic. Those jobs will disappear.

More housing will disappear, also, because you build more market rate housing, it begets more market rate housing. The applicant testified that, um, "oh,

- 2 there's only one rent stabilized unit. There's no
- 3 buildings of six or more." This is totally untrue.
- 4 Um, the one on this, in this area to be rezoned, 143
- 5 9th Street is six family, 145 9th Street is six
- 6 family, 147 9th is eight family, 169, 169 9th Street
- 7 is eight family. Checking other, and all this
- 8 | information I got is publicly available from City
- 9 websites.
- 10 Um, HPD says that three of these buildings, 145
- 11 9th Street, 147 9th Street, and 169 9th Street have
- 12 | filed records with New York City DHCR at least once
- 13 between 1993 and today and folks may each have one or
- 14 more rent stabilized units. A separate database with
- 15 DHCR is that two of these buildings are currently
- 16 rent stabilized units, 147 9th Street and 169 9th
- 17 Street.
- 18 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ben. You could
- 20 submit the rest of your testimony to us online.
- 21 MR. MESKIN: This will not help affordable housing
- 22 | in New York city. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ben. The next panel
- 24 | I will be calling is Andre Magnani and Benjamin

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Haymen (SP?). Andre, if you can hear me, please begin.

MR. MAGNANI: Yes, hi. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. MAGNANI: Thank you for your time, Council. My name is Andre Magnani and I come to you as one of the millions of Brooklyn renters and perspective owners who have been feeling the pressures of rising costs, possibly being displaced by the unaffordability of housing in New York.

Uh, today for the concerns of trucks, of sewage pipes, of, uh, 150 parked cars, I believe your primary duty should be the residents of Brooklyn and whether they can afford to have a roof over their heads.

Um, residents of Brooklyn at various income levels need a place to live first and foremost.

Adding housing to CB Six Brooklyn, NYC is imperative to stop the cycle of displacement as New York attracts people of every background and profession, while also blocking every development project that would house these new people. I'm raising a one-year-old daughter in Brooklyn, and I hope that she's able to grow up here to welcome many new neighbors and

2 that she can afford to stay here as long as she

3 wishes.

2.2

2.3

My ask of this Council is to stop waiting for the perfect project, to approve this quickly, and to go out and approve 50 more like this as soon as you can. The future of Brooklyn and, and whether people can afford to live here depend on it. And I am out of time. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next person I'll be calling is Benjamin Haymen.

MR. HAYMEN: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

14 Benjamin.

MR. HAYMEN: Hi, my name's Benjamin. I was a resident of this neighborhood for two years up until a month ago, and I am taking the time off this morning at 1:36 PM from work to come and speak here, so I'll have to be brief.

Um, I support this rezoning. Adding additional parking to this area is absurd. Expecting developers to not build exactly what we told them to build is absurd. This is zoned for this purposed. It should be zoned for housing. We should add housing to this

2.2

2.3

area, and we have the ability and the opportunity to do so.

So, to not do so seems absurd to me. And I think it would be very difficult at 1:37 PM on a Thursday when most people are at work to get a representative survey. It's going to be skewed negative, and that's exactly, uh, what we're seeing. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Benjamin. The last panel that we will be calling is Susan Yung, Jose Medina, Frida Lem (SP?), Paula Hibble (SP?). The first person I'll be calling is Susan Yung. Susan, if you can hear me, please unmute yourself and you may begin.

MS. YUNG: I'm sorry, but, um, all of a sudden, I can't read my, uh, text that I've written and prepared, I guess. Uh, I had moved into the Gowanus neighborhood after Hurricane Sandy and behind me, you see the Murianos who are my neighbor who passed away during COVID from cancer. Um, they had rebuilt their house from scratch and, um, my mother had told them to buy the building.

And so, there's and the, uh, Linda was a very activist that I was in to participate in the CAD meetings and recently I've been hearing this, um, two

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 incidents around Huntington space and, uh, it's

3 appalling that, um, people have to go to the City

4 government and EPA to complain about the smells from

5 the, uh, pilings that are being done by developers.

140, there are 144 brown sites only to be two feet 6

7 dug up, whereas there is 100 feet coal tar that's

moved away from the Gowanus into residential areas.

And, um, which, um, are poisonous, toxics, and nothing's going to be done about this move, migration of the coal tar. And, um, and then plus, I'm surprised that like City Councilman, uh, Brad Lander wants to move people of color in the highest toxic land on 9th Avenue, plus a schoolyard and everything

that's like so polluted in the area. And that, that

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

won't be rectified because of the demand for.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Susan. Uh, you can submit the rest of your testimony. If you wanted to rewrite that as well, you could submit it to us online, okay? Uh, the next one I'll be calling is Paul Hibble. Paul, if you can hear me, uh, excuse me, Paula Hibble, excuse me. If you can hear me, please unmute yourself. Uh, we can't hear you, Paula. Uh, we still cannot hear you. Uh, Paula, we still cannot

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES | 133 |
|---|---|-------|
| 2 | hear you. Can you try to unmute yourself one more | |
| 3 | time? Okay. We cannot hear you, Paula. Um, if you | re re |
| 4 | not able to testify, is it possible you could sub | mit |

5 your testimony to us online, please? Thank you,

6 Paula.

Uh, we're just going to do one last call to make sure that we got everybody. Uh, we lost Jose Medina and Frida Lem. Um, if you are there, uh, please unmute yourself.

Alright. There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 110, 111, and 112 relating to the $9^{\rm th}$ Street rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues,

Subcommittee Council on Land Use, and other Council

Staff, and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's meeting. The meeting is hereby adjourned.

[GAVEL]

2.2

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 28, 2022