CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

December 16, 2019 Start: 1:36 PM Recess: 4:16 Pm

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera

Andrew Cohen Chaim M. Deutsch Ruben Diaz, Sr.

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Peter A. Koo Stephen T. Levin

Mark Levine
Carlos Menchaca
I. Daneek Miller
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards

Deborah L. Rose

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Monty Dean, Chief of Staff to the Chief Operations Officer, New York City Department of Transportation

Joshua Benson, Deputy Commission for Traffic Operations, New York City Department of Transportation

Sean Quinn, Assistant Commissioner for Street Improvement Projects and head of our Bicycle and Pedestrian Units, New York City Department of Transportation

Steven Ettannani, Executive Director for External Affairs at the New York City Department of Consumer and Worker Protection Appearing for: Lorelei Salas, DCWP Commissioner

Jean Souliere, CEO, Bus Patrol

William Smith, Vice President of Local 1-2 AFLCIO, Representing Utility Workers in New York

Al Russo, Vice President of the Communication Workers of America, Local 1101

Xavier Maynard, Member of Communication Workers
of America, Local 1101

Christine Berte, Chekpeds

Eric McClure, Executive Director, StreetsPAC

john Orcott, Bike New York

Erwin Figueroa, Senior Organizer of Transportation Alternatives

Amy Masai, Council Member, City Wide Council for District 75

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 (sound check) (pause) (gavel)

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to this hearing of the City Council Transportation Committee. I'm Ydanis Rodriguez, the Chair of this committee. First, let me recognize that we've been joined by Council Members Diaz, Levine and Kallos. Just last week as everyone knows, we saw a three-years old child being hit by a pickup truck making a left turn at 116 and B Broadway and First Avenue. You know, we sent out prayers to the family, and, of course, I know here in this room we have a lot parents, and/or some of you have grandchildren, and as someone that has 12-yearsold and a 6-years-old, we cannot, you know, think on how that mother is dealing today with the loss of a loved one. So, this is putting politics, governmental things aside just thinking about crashes continue happening in the city of New York, and now we know that it's not the lack of leadership, it's not the lack of effort, but this is, you know, the city that we inherit, and the city that unfortunately we built around having cars as the center of our street, we've been working so hard trying to change the culture through policy, strategies initiatives, legislation

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and, of course that what this thing on First Avenue crash remind me to the importance that we need, we must separate the time for drivers to turn and pedestrians to cross. You know, this-the way of how and we know that there's a number of pilot projects already in place, and many of them serve us to look at data. I know at the local level that Broadway and Dyckman is never the same when a pedestrian cross from north to south at Dyckman when the pedestrian have a light only to them to cross, and drivers must stop, and then when the light is for the driver, the pedestrian know that they cannot cross so that pilot project is working in many intersections, and I hope again that we as the city of New York with the DOT as the agency that we assigned our responsibility, continue making as many intersections as possible as intersections where the light for-for drivers and pedestrians that are completely separated. hope that we get state approval to reduce the speed limit when drivers make a turn. You know, we did great by working together and reduce the 25 mile per an hour, but what is the speed limit for the driver to turn? It's the same as someone who drive in the middle of the block. So, if we know that most

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

crashes happen in intersections, then we need to be sure that we continue again doing the work and in this under DOT team and leadership that we assign those responsibility, but also we need to change some law at the State level that we get the city to reduce the speed limit when driver's make a turn. there's going to be a vigil at 6:00 p.m. at the intersection led by the family, the school in that area, and members of the community, we invite elected officials, community leader and New Yorkers to join those family as they, you know, are dealing with the loss of the three-years old. We cannot continue hearing about these strategies in a city that has been committed to ensure the safety of all pedestrians and cyclists. It is clear that we must do more to increase the protections for all pedestrians and cyclists. Since last year, we have seen almost 30 cyclists killed. That's three times the amount from 2018. Today we will hear several piece of legislation. The first is Council Member Rivera's bill Intro 1812, which would establish an Office of active transportation and an active transportation advisory board. In my legislation Intro 1813, which will create an office of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pedestrians. The two bills together seeks to create the office for pedestrians and cyclists within the Administration. We need to have an entity within the Administration dedicated to pedestrians and cyclists concerned. These entities can gain a better incite into the issues facing cyclists and pedestrians, and advocate for improving to our city streets. office will work with policies, strategies, and initiatives around pedestrians and cyclists. We will also be hearing two bills by Council Member Lander, which seek to increase safety measures for utility workers. Proposed Intro 946-A, which will prohibit on-call scheduling for utility safety workers, and require the advance notice of work schedules be provided to utility safety workers, and Intro No. 947, which will require documentations of safety training for a street permit. We will also hearing Intro 1724 by Council Member Ben Kallos, which will create a program that would place cameras on the school buses for the purpose of fining vehicles that pass school buses for red light are flashing and, of course, I would like to add I hope that the-the same technology that will be used to give those tickets to drivers that they don't stop when the school buses

2.2

2.3

pull out the stop sign also is used to give tickets to drivers that pass the school buses over the speed limit. We must do more to keep all New Yorkers safe on the road. We must commit ourselves to be the most walkable pedestrians and cyclist friendly in the nation. [Speaking Spanish] I know invite the sponsor of this bill to deliver their opening statement Council Member Rivera.

MALE SPEAKER: Rivera.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Right, Carlina.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Right.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yes, Carlina.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: [off mic] Okay.

[on mic] Hello, everyone. Good afternoon. I want to start with thanking and recognizing Chair Rodriguez and my colleagues on the Transportation Committee for holding this hearing on very important bills particularly 1812 and 1813. We are here because nearly 30 cyclists and over 100 pedestrians have died on our streets this year, numbers that are not only terrifying, but unacceptable. It shouldn't take a rise in fatalities to spur change. Yet, it appears that is what finally initiated action with the

Mayor's Office and their Introduction of the Green

2	Wave Plan and it appears it what pushed through
3	negotiations with Speaker Johnson to approve the Saf
4	Streets Master Plan. The bills we are hearing today
5	to create so-called bike and pedestrian mirrors (sic
6	are meant to prevent further delay by ensuring that
7	political capital and authority is entrusted by the
8	Mayor and city officials tasked with the singular
9	goal of making our streets safe in every borough. I
.0	want to give credit to Commission Polly Trottenberg
.1	and the entire team at the Department of
.2	Transportation for their work, though, particularly
.3	in the creation of the very successful 14 th Street
. 4	Busway, and their efforts to help with countless
.5	issues in my district, but DOT has to wear many hats
. 6	in enforcing and planning for the future or many
.7	different issues on our city streets, and this
. 8	unfortunately, can often lead to cyclists and
. 9	pedestrians concerns being relegated lower on the
20	agency's list of priorities, and it also makes
21	solving multi-agency issues more challenging. Now,
22	with more New Yorkers using people powered transit,
23	and its streets masters led by Council Member and
24	Speaker Corey Johnson creating a vision for the
25	future, it's clear we need an office that can attend

to this important citywide policy, a goal that also
coordinates between the numerous agencies that
interact with these constituencies very similar to
the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. We need an
active transportation leader who can fight for the
Brooklynite who lives in a transit desert who can
protect the Queens' delivery worker who is constantly
having his e-bike impounded by the NYPD, and a leader
who can advocate for a future that prioritizes safety
for every New Yorker regardless of who they are, and
where they live. With the passage of these bills we
will be able to look to these office appointments and
clearly know a future mayor's believe people power-
people powered vehicles, pedestrian and environmental
infrastructure are their priorities, and the Active
Transportation Advisory Board established by my bill
would also provide that accountability. I look
forward to hearing from DOT and other city
representatives about their thoughts on this
legislation as well as ongoing and future plans to
reach our Vision Zero goals. I am either on my bike
or walking every single day, and I know how much of a
difference these bills can make, and I encourage my

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

colleagues to support them and the other bills we are hearing today. Thank you so much, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Chair Rodriguez, and I want to first give you and Council Members Rivera and Kallos praise on today's very good safety bills and-and just affirm for member who are-for good members of the public who ware here on pedestrian safety that we are in very close, um, negotiations on the Reckless Driver Accountability Act, and working hard every day to bring that across the finish line, and we will not let up until we do. Um, but I am here today as the lead sponsor of Intros 946 and 947, which aim to secure more safety for our underground utility safety workers. Utility safety workers perform an essential public safety task. Every time a street has to be opened for a utility, for a street adjustment, it needs to be marked from the top to make sure that those openings do not pose any danger, that damage does not take place, that the public is not exposed to risks. Unfortunately, two years ago we had an oversight hearing, and we heard from workers of USIC

who perform that work about both very poor working
conditions, and real dangerous situations as a result
of 24-hour on-call scheduling low pay and a failure
on the part of their company to provide adequate
training. Coming out of that, they did some very
important organizing with Communications Workers of
America and improved some of those issues as a result
as their contract negotiations. So, perhaps to the
workers who did that organizing, but there are some
issues that we believe need to be addressed by local
law to prohibit the on-call scheduling. If we did
that fast food workers, surely we should do it for
people who are keeping our, you know, us safe and—and
avoid damage from marking underground utilities and
also making sure that those workers have adequate
safety training before they open up our streets. So I
look forward to hearing those bills, getting feedback
from all stakeholders in the industry and hopefully
moving forward to secure safety protection for both
the workers and the public. Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Good afternoon.

I'm Council Member Ben Kallos. You can catch me on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

social media at benkallos. Looking to better protect children today, we seek to make New York City the largest school district in the nation to require stop-arm cameras to be installed on school buses to catch motorists who endanger students by illegally passing school buses during drop-off and pickup. Introduction 1724 of 2019 was the result of a series of high profile instances of drivers around the city caught on video going around stopped school buses, and require cameras on all of the city's nearly 10,000 school buses. According to the New York State Association of School Pupil Transportation in a study cited by Governors' Safety Committee as part of Operation Safe Stop, last year an estimate 50,000 drivers throughout New York State illegally passed a stopped school bus every day. Additionally, a study by the National Safety Council showed that 70% of deaths related to school buses occur outside of the bus, and it's been found that more school age pedestrians have been killed during the hour before and after school than at any other time of the day. In a recent one-month 26-school day pilot of the East Middle School District in nearby Nassau County, ten school buses captured 615 violations for an average

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of 2.3 violations per bus per day. Using that violation rate and modeling the New York City school bus fleet, that's roughly 10,000 buses. We can expect to see an estimated 23,000 violations per day or 4.2 million violations per school year in the city. Every child must be safe as they get on and off the school bus. It could be anyone's child at risk from a driver speeding by and worse yet, drivers who have actually driven up on sidewalks. As a new parent, I can tell you that this literally keeps me up at night. We're all in a rush go get where we're going, but there is no excuse to put our children at risk. Stop-arm cameras will catch dangerous drivers and actually issue tickets to keep our children safe. While it's already legal in New York to pass a stopped school bus, it current requires that a police officer witness the violation to issue a ticket, but the state earlier this year enacted a law that allows localities and school districts to install cameras on school bus arms that capture the license plate of cars that pass stopped buses. The phots are sent to law enforcement to determine whether a violation occurred. Tickets are sent to the vehicle owner.

Under legislation, the NYPd's Parking Violation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Bureau would enforce fines for first time offenders ranging from \$250 to \$275 and \$300 for second and third offenders. Though the vehicle owners are fined, there are no-there are no moving violations or points issued. In other states that allow such technology repeat offenders are virtually nonexistent. The bill also requires that some of the funds recouped from the fines be given to New York City Department of Education. Once passed, the legislation will take effect immediately requiring the city to issue a request for proposal for vendors who installed the cameras most efficiently and costeffectively. I'd like to thank Transportation Committee Chair Ydanis Rodriguez for agreeing to quickly hear this important bill; Education Chair Mark Treyger for co-sponsoring this legislation for his leadership on the issue. I also want to thank central staff for their hard work on this legislation especially Jacqueline Gusalas (sp?) the bill drafter and Jeff Baker for his attention on this issue. Finally, I would like to thank my staff for their work on this issue, Jessie Towsen, my Chief of Staff; Wilfredo Lopez my Legislative Director; Josh Davidson my Communications Director. All three spent many

- 2 hours following the State Legislature's progress and 3 meeting with many advocates to ensure the best
- 4 possible bill is drafted. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank. I'd like
to acknowledge that also we've been joined by Council
Members Ritchie Torres and Rivera, Menchaca, Koo and
Rose. I would like now to welcome the representatives
of the Administration who are here with us today and,
of course, thank you, the members of the
Transportation Alternatives and Family for Safe
Street for giving us the guidance that we need on the
level of advocate. On be half of everyone who cares
to make our, you know, our streets safer for
pedestrians and—and cyclists. I now ask the
Committee Counsel to please administer the
affirmation, and then invite the administration to
deliver their opening statement.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

MONTY DEAN: I do.

JOSHUA BENSON: I do.

2.2

2.3

2	MONTY DEAN: Good afternoon Chairman
3	Rodriguez and members of the Transportation
4	Committee. I am Monty Dean, Chief of Staff to the
5	Chief Operations Officer and I'm joined by Joshua
6	Benson, Deputy Commission for Traffic Operations and
7	Sean Quinn, Assistant Commissioner for Street
8	Improvement Projects and head of our Bicycle and
9	Pedestrian Units at the New York City Department of
10	Transportation. We are happy to be her on behalf of
11	Commissioner Trottenberg and Mayor De Blasio to
12	testify about some of the bills before the committee
13	today. First, I will begin with Intro 724 by Council
14	Member Kallos permitting the use of school bus-arm
15	cameras under a new state law signed by the Governor
16	this past August, and we are joined by our colleagues
17	from the Department of Education who are also
18	available to answer questions. While this bill would
19	simply provide the city with an additional option for
20	enforcement, DOT recommends strongly against pursuing
21	such a program at this time. Automated enforcement is
22	key to Vision Zero in order to save the most lives
23	including those of school age children, we follow
24	what the data tells us about the causes of serious
25	injuries and fatalities on our streets whether we are

2 identifying locations in need of safety enhancement 3 or driver behaviors to target for enforcement. 4 Unfortunately since at least 2014 when Vision Zero began, although passing a school bus with its stop arm engaged is illegal, there have been no deaths in 6 New York City caused by this action nor is it a 8 significant cause of serious injuries. On the other hand speeding is among the leading driver actions that kills and injures New Yorkers. Under authority 10 11 granted by the new Speed Camera Law that took effect 12 in July sponsored by Assembly Member Glick and State 13 Senator Gounardes, and in a tremendous victory for a 14 dedicated and inspiring group of street safety 15 advocates we are installing new cameras at a pace of approximately 40 per month. We plan to increase this 16 17 to 60 per month in the year ahead in order to expand 18 the number of schools that-school speed zones from 19 140 to 750. No other city in the country is doing 20 the program on a comparable scale, and ours will be the largest in North America and one of the largest 21 2.2 of its kind on the world. When the law went into 2.3 effect, we immediately doubled the hours of operation and included summer weekdays and school vacation 24 days, and because the new law permits cameras to be 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

placed within a quarter mile radius of a school rather than along a road abutting the entrance to a school, we can protect many more schools and target the locations most in need of cameras. We know this unprecedented expansion can be a key part of continuing to reduce serious traffic injuries and fatalities, the city's focus should remain on the drive behaviors most likely to kill and maim New Yorkers including school age children though the Speed Camera Program targeting locations around schools with greatest amount of speeding and crash history. Now, turning to Intros 1812 by Council Member Rivera and 1813 by Chair Rodriguez. laws would require the Mayor to designate an Office of Active Transportation and an Office of Pedestrians and create an active Transportation Advisory Board. These offices would be charged with developing plans for infrastructure, conducting outreach, serving as a point of contacts and working with other agencies to grow and improve cycling and other active transportation among other duties. I will discuss DOT's extensive work in this regard. When it comes to cycling infrastructure, this year we completed a number of projects in the Manhattan Core such as

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

cross-town lanes on 52^{nd} and 55^{th} , filling the Second Avenue gap with Queensborough Bridge, new lanes on 10th Avenue, Amsterdam, 11th Avenue, 8th Avenue and Columbus Circle and Phase 1 of Central Park West. Our projects also include a substantial progress on the Fourth Avenue Bike Lane in Brooklyn from First to 64th Streets, Cypress Hill Street in Queens and Brooklyn and Willis Avenue in the Bronx, and we celebrated our 100th mile of protected bike lanes under the de Blasio Administration on Fountain Avenue in East New York where we've created a connection to the beautiful new Shirley Chisholm State Park. In addition, we installed off-set crossings on First, Second and Fifth Avenues in Manhattan after resurfacing, which we will look to do wherever possible when restriping, and we met our 2019 goal of installing at least 20 miles of bike infrastructure in our bicycle priority districts. Neighborhoods outside Manhattan with comparatively high numbers of cyclist fatalities and serious injuries suggesting significant and growing bicycle ridership and few dedicated bicycle facilities.. In our Green Wave Plan Plan for Cycling New York City, we have put forward a comprehensive vision for a citywide

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

protected bike lane network, which represents years of work by DOT and collaboration with our city agency partners. The plan is based on ridership trends, safety needs, stakeholder outreach, mobility and cycling studies as well as Citi Bike and Land Use data. The plan seeks to cover the city with safe and comfortable bicycle infrastructure by 2030, a goal, which is accelerated by the Master Plan Law transforming the cycling landscape to grow ridership and further advance Vision Zero. Our vision for the protected bike lane network provides an early indication for what a city connected with safe, protected bicycle routes will look like using the existing network as a base we have identified key desire routes by looking at neighborhoods, employment and commercial centers, recreation and transit. our plan we seek to fill gaps and reach underserved neighborhoods while strengthening the network and the core and taking advantage of other citywide initiatives. Additionally, DOT examined ridership trends, community requests and prior agency research including cycling in the city, and safer cycling to ensure a robust plan. Under our Green Wave Plan we have convened a Bicycle Working Group similar to what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is called for in the legislation. This group met for the first time on November 25th representatives from Transportation Alternatives, Bike New York, Get Women Cycling, Bed-Stuy Restoration Project and others and we are planning to expand it to include even more people and organizations. The working group will collaborate on distribution of safety equipment such as bike lights and helmets, review ridership and data driven trends, discuss design issues, create evidence based outreach strategies and provide input on new projects including those on our Protected Bike-Bike Lane Network Plan. Through these efforts DOT will build and strengthen the community partnerships needed to support and develop a bicycle network that is responsive to diverse local needs. We encourage biking through our Get There Campaign including outdoor ads, social media and public education Campaign visuals feature real New Yorkers events. enjoying bike lanes across the city. Materials focus on important cycling topics and we distribute them along with equipment such as bells and lights. We reach over 40,000 cyclists a year through bicycle helmet fittings and giveaways at events all over the We cannot do this work without the continued

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

support from Council members who allocate expense funding for helmet events every year. It is truly a collaborative process we value. When it comes to outreach, DOT conducts workshops, designs curriculum, and provides-produces materials to help businesses and commercial operators be compliant and safe. As you know, New York City's Commercial Cyclist law initially enacted by the Council in 2007 and amended in 2012, 2013 and 2017 helps to make commercial cycling safer, and hold businesses more accountable. Through our Truck's Eye View Program, which we're expanding on our Green Wave Plan, cyclists and pedestrians can learn about blind spots of large vehicles at events citywide. We provide safety tips as well as information on policies and programs to increase safety among city, contractor and private industry fleets. DOT's Bike to School Program encourages students, families and educators to bicycle as a safe, healthy and fun way to get to and from school. Through the program, DOT works with schools and community groups to designate safe bike to school routes, implement in-class curriculum and recommend street safety improvements. There are 25 schools enrolled in our collaborative 7th Grade Bike

Safety Program where all 7th graders in each school 2 3 get on-bike training each year. This program 4 continues to grow and is supported by Bike New York and DOE, which has helped make this part of the 5 physical education curriculum at these schools. 6 7 Lastly, we work with Bike New York as well to support over 15 Bike to School locations where students learn 8 commuting skills, earn their own bicycles and learn bike mechanic skills. Key parts of the Green Wave 10 11 Plan involve collaboration with other agencies under the leadership of Mayor de Blasio. DOT is working 12 13 closely with DDC, Parks and EDC to build out greenway 14 connections using capital funds, and continue to 15 expand and truly weave an interconnected protected 16 bicycle lane network into the fabric of our city 17 streets involves more than just DOT. The operations 18 of other agencies have a direct impact on the further 19 development of bicycle infrastructure. DOT will 20 continue to work with FDNY on emergency vehicle access considerations with Sanitation on street 21 2.2 cleaning and snow plowing requirements with DDC on 2.3 street design and capital project management and with NYPD on enforcement. As DOT expands the bicycle 24 network overcoming operational constraints will be 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

key in the maintenance, enforcement, and design of bicycle infrastructure. Other local and state agencies play a role in providing safe bicycle and driver behavior on city streets. These include agencies that oversee fleet management, driver education, funding and capital construction projects such as DCAS, BIC, Parks, EDC, TLC, New York State DMV and the New York State DOT. Under the Green Wave Plan, NYPD is maintaining continuous citywide implementation of its Operation Bicycle Safe Passage Initiative, extending elevated enforcement of blocked bike lanes and hazardous driving violations focusing enforcement on dangerous driving that puts cyclists at risk at the 100 most hazardous locations across the city as identified by DOT and NYPD. Expanding enforcement of over-sized and off-route trucks by specialized units and precinct officers, discontinuing the general practice of ticketing cyclists immediately following where a fatal crash has occurred, improving investigations of cyclists and pedestrian injuries by having a supervisor respond to collisions to see whether right-of-way law should be applied as well as continuing to partner with DOT on education and outreach. We have long

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

partnered with DOB on bike-the Bikes and Buildings Law and in 2016 worked with the Council including Chair Rodriguez to update that important legislation to fix loopholes add folding bikes to the law and expand it to ensure elevator access in residential buildings. Since mid-90s, DOT has had a Dedicated Pedestrian Unit. This unit along with DOT's other project planning groups worked closely with elected officials and the community to develop and implement over 100 street improvement projects annually. vast majority of these projects include pedestrian improvements and amenities such a shortened crossings, improve connectivity and visibility, vital open space and traffic calming. The Pedestrian Unit focuses on projects that enhance mobility and accessibility, reduce pedestrian congestion and prioritize pedestrians on key routes and thoroughfares citywide, and we are beginning the process of planning a significant expansion in pedestrian space as required by the Master Plan Bill. DOT has eight planning units that develop street improvement projects, which work to enhance pedestrian safety. In addition to the singular focus of the Pedestrian Unit, three others have a strong

2 focus on pedestrians. DOT's Public Space Unit focuses on enhancing the public realm by repurposing 3 4 public right-of-way for pedestrian and community uses including plazas, street seats, street furniture 5 amenities and temporary street closures accompanied 6 7 by programming. While all of the agency's work is quided by Vision Zero, DOT's Research, Implementation 8 and Safety Unit is dedicated to addressing intersections and corridors with the highest levels 10 11 of all street users killed or seriously injured in crashes particularly pedestrians, and DOT's School 12 13 Safety Unit focuses on the safety of our youngest pedestrians, helping to make their journey to school 14 15 safer by developing projects directly adjacent to 16 schools and on routes typically taken by students. 17 This year we implemented numerous pedestrian elements 18 such as sidewalk extensions and intersection upgrades at 7th and 8th Avenues in Manhattan and Nassau Street 19 20 and Flatbush Avenue between Bergen and Carlton in 21 Brooklyn. Five new pedestrian plazas, three new 2.2 shared streets and a complete redesign of Harold 2.3 Square Plaza, which closed and additional block of Broadway as well as many seasonal street closures and 24 weekend walks events. To promote walking we focus on 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

both the young and the old working with at least 275 senior centers and other locations annually, and we work with over a hundred schools each year with our We're Walking Here, Walk to School Encouragement Program and provide pedestrian and bicycle safety education to more than 500 additional schools each year, and the city's active design guidelines and subsequent publications are the product of a collaborative, multi-disciplinary effort among city agencies, New York's Health, Planning, Design and Architecture communities and academic institutions from across the country with the goal of producing guidelines related to active transportation and promoting health through design. DOT collaborated extensively on many of the publications in this series, and continues to implement strategies defined within these documents in our current design work and educational programming. Our bike safety work is supported by a dedicated Bike Planning staff of 18, and our Pedestrian Unit has a dedicated staff of 14. Our Public Space Unit has eight people dedicated to pedestrian and public realm improvements, and this work is supported by our policy, capital,

intergovernmental and borough commissioners'

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

offices, safety education and school safety and urban design and way finding. This year so far DOT has responded to nearly 19,000 items of correspondence from cyclists, elected officials, community boards, stakeholders, and residents on the topic of cycling and close to 6,000 on the topic of pedestrian issues. We are hard at work on ambitious plans to promote and enhance walking and cycling. This administration welcomes continued dialogue with the Council and advocates about how city government can be further responsive to these street users, provide even more resources and identify additional ways to prioritize the promotion of these modes across agencies. Finally, I will discuss Intro 947, requiring applicants for DOT street opening permits to certify that all workers are in compliance with applicable safety trainings required by law. As background, DOT manages New York City's nearly 6,000 miles of streets to facilitate the movement of pedestrians, transit riders, cyclists and motorists and to delivery of good and services throughout the city. Meanwhile, under the surface the same streets support the city's water, sewer, power and telecommunications infrastructure as well as its subway tunnels and

2 buildings vaults. Through the requirements in our 3 permits, we facilitate access to subsurface 4 infrastructure while maintaining street safety. Protecting New York's investment in our streets and 5 minimizing transportation and community disruptions. 6 7 DOT issues over 150 different types of sidewalk and 8 roadway construction permits to utilities, contractors, government agencies and property owners. For utilities and contractors installing, replacing 10 11 and repairing underground infrastructure to 12 developers replacing roadways and sidewalks adjacent 13 to building sites to homeowners performing their own 14 sidewalk repairs, we focus on requiring permittees to 15 maintain a safe, smooth flow of pedestrians including 16 person with disabilities as well as cyclists and 17 vehicular traffic at all times, and requiring them to 18 properly restore roadways and street hardware. 19 issue over 700,000 permits a year of which 20 approximately 250,000 are for the street openings 21 that would be covered by this bill. Only qualified entities registered with the department are eligible 2.2 2.3 to pull these permits, and currently there are over 2,000 such permittees. In order to register, DOT 24 requires permittees to provide proof of commercial 25

general liability and Workers' Compensation 2 3 Insurance, a permit bond, copies and corporation 4 papers, licenses and business certificates. regard to the proposed legislation DOT would not be in a position to know which trainings may apply in 6 7 all cases, and we do not track the employees of our 8 permittees or monitor turnover. For the work performed under our street opening permits, the range of OSHA standards that would be triggered could vary 10 11 widely, and we-and determining which trainings are 12 mandatory with the required detailed scope of work 13 and full risk analysis, and other requirements could 14 be triggered if a permittee modified the way a 15 particular task was to be performed. This type of 16 information is far beyond the purview o our current 17 permit stipulations or the information we are in a 18 position to collect and analyze. On the other hand, 19 if there's a particular training that the Council is 20 interested in requiring, it may be feasible to 21 require permittees to submit it annually as part of the qualifications I mentioned earlier. To ensure 2.2 2.3 the requirement of our permits are met, including temporary traffic control to protect both workers and 24 street users where appropriate, we conduct very 25

robust permit enforcement. In fiscal year 19 our
Highway Inspection, Quality Assurance Unit or HIQA
conducted approximately 550,000 inspections both in
response to complaints and on a proactive basis and
issued over 50,000 violations. Not following the
stipulations on a permit can result in a \$1,200 fine
and requirements to take immediate corrective action
such as stopping work or reopening a closed lane.
And working or storing materials without a permit car
result in fines of \$1,500 and \$700 respectively.
Unpermitted work is shut down immediately. Through
our Permit Enforcement activities, we protect street
safety and play an important role in the economic
wellbeing and quality of life of our city. We are
continually seeking to enhance our efforts and we
welcome conversation and partnership with the
Council on this important topic. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify on all these pieces of
legislation, and we will be happy to answer
questions.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I-Ira.

STEVEN ETTANNANI: Good afternoon Chair Rodriguez and members of the committee. My name is Steven Etananni, and I'm the Executive Director for

2 External Affairs at the New York City Department of 3 Consumer and Worker Protection. I would like to 4 thank the committee for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of DCWP Commissioner Lorelei Salas 5 regarding Introduction 946 related to prohibiting on-6 call scheduling for utility safety workers and 7 providing these workers advance notice of work 8 schedules. DCWP's mission is to protect and enhance the daily economic lives of New Yorkers to create 10 11 thriving communities. As part of this mission, DCWP houses the Office of Labor Policy and Standards or 12 13 OLPS, New York City's central resource for workers. DCWP's OLPS protects and promotes labor standards and 14 15 policies that create fair work places to ensure all 16 workers can realize their rights, and enforces key 17 work place laws and rules like the Paid Safe and Sick Leave, Fair Work Week, and Free Lance Isn't Free 18 19 In 2016, Mayor de Blasio announced a plan to 20 bring a fair work week to fast food and retail 21 industries in the city. Extensive research by 2.2 advocates and experts established that unpredictable, 2.3 unreliable and inflexible schedules in the fast food and retail industries lead to a host of negative 24 impacts for both workers and businesses in those 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

industries. Unpredictable schedules make it harder to budget, go to school part time and arrange for child and elder care. The Community Service Society for example found that 40% of low-income restaurant workers experienced significant fluctuation in their hours week to week leading to serious hardships like falling behind on rent or mortgage payments, being unable to afford subway or bus fare, skipping meals because of a lack of money to buy food, and struggling to pay for prescription medication or utility bills. The passage of the Fair Work Week Laws the following year made New York City the largest city in the country to end abusive scheduling practices in the fast food and retail industries, and make predictable schedules a right, not a privilege for the first time. Since the Fair Work Week Law's effective date, DCWP has opened more than 100 investigations into alleged on-compliance, and has resolved several through settlement agreements with employers. DCWP's enforcement activities focus on ensuring that workers are made whole for violations and that employers have a proactive plan for coming into compliance. Part of a larger effort to promote a culture of compliance among business that protect

workers and men and minimizes regulatory burdens. 2 3 DCWP has been encouraged by the stories we have heard 4 about the positive impact it has had on workers' We have heard from workers who are now receiving premium pay for schedule changes, and 6 7 working clopenings, a term for shifts that began on 8 different days and are less than 11 hours apart. least one employer stopped scheduling workers for clopenings altogether. One employee described the 10 11 advance notice of schedules required by Fair Work Week as "life changing" because it allows the worker 12 to keep commitments outside of work. In at least two 13 14 cases DCWP reached positive resolutions of 15 investigations with employers that provide for agency 16 employees to train the business's managers and 17 employees on rights and compliance. We are glad to 18 see the positive impact that Fair Work Week is having 19 on New Yorkers in the fast food and retail sectors, 20 and we look forward to being able to share even more 21 stories of success with you in the future. now turn to one of the bills before the committee 2.2 2.3 today, Introduction 946 would ban the practice of oncall scheduling for utility safety workers, prohibit 24 employers from canceling or adding work shifts on 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

short notice and require employers to provide advance notice of work schedules. The statutory scheme of Introduction 946 appears quite similar to that adopted in the retail industry context. DCWP would be responsible for enforcing the provisions of this bill, and we appreciate and share the Council's desire to explore this practice and impact of unpredictable scheduling in industries other than fast food and retail. At today's hearing, we look forward to learning more about the size, composition and organization of the utility locating industry in New York City and the prevailing scheduling and oncall practices that may be used by employers in this industry. The Fair Work Week Laws were built on deep, extensive and research backed understanding of bot the fast food and retail industries and associated scheduling practices. Consequently, the Fair Work Week proposal was a targeted legislative response that was tailored to the needs and experience so each industries respective workers. The different needs and experiences resulted in different legislative solutions and statutory schemes tailored to the specific industry. At this time, DCWP does not have a comparable level of understanding of the

2 utility locating (sic) industry, it's workers, its 3 labor organization and its scheduling practices to 4 assess the problem of unpredictable schedules in the utility locating industry, and whether the 5 legislative solution adopted in the retail industry 6 7 for example would alleviate or more-or most 8 effectively alleviate the problem in a seemingly unrelated industry. DCWP looks forward to hearing from utility locating industry workers, labor 10 11 representatives, advocates and employers. The Law 12 Department is currently reviewing Introduction 946 13 and considering how it might interact with existing laws and regulations that affect utility locators. 14 15 We at DCWP are interested in learning more about the experiences of workers and companies particularly 16 17 those workers who perform utility locates in-house 18 for public utilities, or at smaller firms that 19 respond to requests by private homeowners or small 20 This type of input will help DCWP and businesses. 21 the Council from a more complete picture of the 2.2 industry as we move through the legislative process. 2.3 We commend the Council for continuing to explore the negative impacts that unpredictable scheduling 24 practices can have on New Yorkers. Thank you for the 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity to testify, and I will now be happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. been a little bit shocked on the DOT, you guys' position on both the argument for saying we don't support the legislation. The state has already passed the law. That doesn't happy very often because most of the time there's a lot of law that we would like to do as a city like making-empowering the DAs on hit and run. Sometimes we want to promote teeth to them, but we are so limited. We've ben hijacked because the state doesn't all to do it. here we have a law that passed by state that allow New York City to do it, and we are saying we don't have to install, it's not a good idea to support a legislation to install camera in the buses because the data is saying that no one has been killed. is unacceptable. We're talking about policy and vision for the future. We're talking about Vision Zero 2030. We have to prevent. So, as a father of two daughters when my daughter is picked up on Riverdale and taken to swimming in the Yellow Buses dumper her at Yorkhaven and 91, I want as many tools as possible that even though no crash have happen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

close to the bus, but I know that as a driver that I am and the 1.4 million New Yorkers who own cars in the city of New York, for them to know that there's a law that come...the drivers are sure, you know to get a ticket if they pass by. So, when the data is picked by itself, that nationwide driver keep going and they're still allowed to do it. We come here to say we don't think that that's a good policy because we're doing other initiatives, and we've been working with strategy and initiative and redesigning, that's unacceptable. The second thing is I'm not a lawyer. If I would e a lawyer you say a lot of good things about what DOT is doing, and we've been partners on pedestrian and cyclists, but if I be a lawyer, you're saying we don't need to create a cyclist and department-department of cyclists and pedestrian department because we are already doing the job. have 25 months for this administration. know who's coming after January 22. I'm a Council Member today. We're working agencies, but at the end of the day we go through a recycle, and we never know who we are. So, I understand the culture. As an agency they don't want to be told what to do whoever is the top, but I can tell you that someone that

2 represents Inwood and I saw a lot of crashes at 3 Dyckman and Broadway and I'm elected in 2009, and I 4 met with the team from DOT at that time led by another administration, and we went over the data, and we said we need to redesign Broadway and Dyckman 6 and all the thousands of reasons was given why not. 8 It took a new Mayor a new Administration, a more friendly one to come and redesign Broadway and Dyckman and reducing crashes. So, all the good 10 11 things is great. We've been partners, but this Mayor 12 was elected with a mandate to close the gap between 13 the rich and the poor, street block, print the data. 14 Where do we have cycle-bicycle construction in the 15 city of New York? In the middle class and upper 16 class community. Not in the South Bronx, not in 17 Washington Heights, not in the poor neighborhood in 18 Queens, Brooklyn and Staten Island. We're moving 19 forward. We're working together, but Citi Bike was 20 not created serving the most underserved New Yorkers. 21 it was creating intended to serve New Yorkers who 2.2 live a few blocks away from where they work. 2.3 that is going to mean less bicycles because if it was intended to think about the Financial District down 24 59^{th} Street there is no trains and buses in these 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Who deal with asthma in this city? Poor New Yorkers. We need to put a policy, a strategy and a initiative so that the streets are safer for pedestrians, and cyclists and I think those good things just remind me to the time of Bloomberg Administration and the staff coming to testify saying a lot of good things, and all those reasons is great. You justify what why we're doing it. We've been partners but it doesn't reflect the vision of our city. DOT has to work with the \$3 billion to reserve first-first our street, deal with pothole. There are so many issues in transportation, but if we are committed to make the city the best pedestrian and cyclist friendly, we need to designate the area so we are responsible for a strategy and policy on how to accomplish that. So, for me the opposition mean that you guys are against it, those two bills. You didn't say yes. No, you didn't say yes, you didn't say no. You didn't leave the space to come and say we know that you are proposing this. Let's continue conversation around those two initiatives, and for me that's not in the spirit of how we've been working together.

2.2

2.3

MONTY DEAN: Thank you, Chair Rodriguez.

We absolutely share the same goals about making it a much better city for bike cyclists and for pedestrians and, um, we certainly want to discuss with you the best way to do that and the right place to put, you know, these—these resources.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Your position on the bill is yes or no? Do you support it, yes or now?

MONTY DEAN: We're open to discussing it, um what makes sense and what form it should take.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay and what about with the bus, with—what is—what can you say about, you know, like...I'm not happy with that position about we don't have to do it because no one has been killed.

MONTY DEAN: I think what--

know, what, I'm—I'm—we're going to working together, and we need to really design 115 and First Avenue.

When? After a 3-years-old was killed, and I will work with Council Member Rivera, and I want for the lights to pedestrian and cyclists to be separated.

We don't need to waif for one child to be killed in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

data?

order to say let's work around installing the

technology for drivers that they don't stop. How

many drivers who have you been able to collecting

the data of those thigs like a stop when the stop

sign are out on the Yellow buses? Do you have those

MONTY DEAN: (coughs) So we have, um...thank you for the questions, Chair. We have, um, data for the last two years form NYPD they (coughs) issued almost 2,300 violations for illegally passing stopped school buses with a stop arm deployed in 2019, and almost 2,300 violations in 2018 as well. Um, and I think when it comes to automated enforcement what our philosophy is, is we take street safety extremely seriously, as I think you know, and I think you share that, um, approach, and we use a data drive approach. So, we've focused on our Speed Camera Enforcement Program, and we're expanding that right now at a rate of 40 cameras per month, um, thanks to all of your support and support at the state level. Um, starting in January we're going to be adding 60 cameras per month, um, and we know this that speeding, um, the data shows us that speeding is one of the leading causes of fatalities and serious

2.2

2.3

injuries, and that is why we focus on that behavior, um, and—and, um, I think we are encouraged by the early results we're seeing with this expansion.

any ideas on how many drivers don't stop? Do you collect, do we collect data not only about the enforcement, not only about the ticket, but it's about have you done some work to try to figure out how serious is the situation or driver that they pass by to the yellow buses even though the stop sign is out?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MONTY}}$$ DEAN: We have not done counts of the number of people who are passing by, no.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member. Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much for your testimony today. I—I want to ask a couple of questions based on what is in your testimony. So, you mentioned this is going to be a program of comparable scale and ours will be the largest in North America, one of the largest of its kind in the world in terms of speed cameras, but that was a mandate. That was a mandate by the state, that was something that the City worked really, really

vigorously and urgently to implement and—and I
appreciate your vision, but why we're here today is
because we're not going far enough in terms of street
safety. So the bill is in front of you, and as I
understand asked by my Council Member-my colleague
Council Member Rodriguez is you're not quite there
yet on any bill, right? You haven't said you
supported anything. You said you didn't support one
bill, but from what I read in your testimony, you
either are not fully committed to-to working with us
I guess to-to pas bills that make sense or you
weren't prepared to discuss one of them.

MONTY DEAN: Well, we're fully committed to discussing it, and—and working out a solution.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: You asked—you say—you mentioned the Bicycle Working Group similar to what is called for in my bill. The first time they met is November 25th. How long will the group meet?

MONTY DEAN: This group will be meeting monthly starting in the new year.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: For how long?

MONTY DEAN: Um, there's no end date.

This was something that we established as part of our

Green Wave Plan. Um, the first meeting was a smaller group for the kick-off. We're looking to expand it.

We're open to suggestions on who should be involved.

Um, right now we have a core group of advocates and educators that we have involved, but it's open for

7 anyone to join.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: It's open for anyone to join. You have some incredible talented people on that-by score in the group (SIC) from what I understand, but the reason why I ask is that even three years ago, five years ago, ten years ago, the experiences for a cyclist and a pedestrian were very, very different. We have more congestion. more vehicles on the streets when it comes to whether they're for hire or-or just overall drivers, and I-I ask what's the timeline because this to me has to be an ongoing, um, conversation. The office has to be, I-I think installed right away. I know that we have some work to do around the budget and getting some resources, but we really can't wait, and if we're going to be that—that progressive city that really prioritizes our environment, I think that this bill for cyclists and pedestrians and bills on skateboards and scooters and e-bikes it's no-brainer. It also has

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

chosen?

a lot to do with our immigrant community that we feel are being targeted, and I hope that we can work on that. So, you also said there are 25 schools enrolled in the DOE to teach people how to get on a bike. Which schools are they and how do they get

MONTY DEAN: I don't believe we have a list of the schools. We'll have to get that for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Yeah. Okay, if you can get me that information, I asked because when we talk about equity and resources in our New York City Public Schools, we find time and time again, the schools that don't have enough resources the money never gets there. The programming never gets there, the infrastructure revision never gets that. you can get back to me on that, um, that would be really important to me. Another thing that you mentioned is that other local and state agencies play a role in providing safe bicycle and driver behavior on city streets. How are you working with the drivers and—and the bus operators because I feel they are so critical to this conversation. You know, wewe-I am not in this to shame drivers. I do think that we have to change the culture around how

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dependent we are on cars, but our bus drivers and—and drivers how are we working with them to include them in this conversation?

STEVEN ETTANANI: Through our Safety Education Division at DOT we are constantly talking to drivers on the street. We're bringing our literature and education materials to those drivers stopping them at stop lights, handing them flyers, um, through our Vision Zero advertising campaigns, we're discussing street safety with-targeted specifically at drivers. Through the Green Wave we've also convened a Vision Zero Truck Safety Task Force to work specifically on trucks and how they interact with pedestrians and cyclists no the road especially as the truck population increases in the city and the city's industrial areas become more residential, we want to make sure we're having those conversations with that specific community of drivers as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And that, it's just so important because when it comes to our crashes and collisions and I think that your testimony is very straightforward in terms of what you're trying to do. The personal piece of it, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

families that share their stories and Families for Safe Streets, I mean they have—they have started what is a movement in this city that I think people are watching from all over the world. So, how do you work—how does DOT work with other agencies to encourage them to improve what they're doing around street safety particularly around pedestrians and cyclists?

So, through my division MONTY DEAN: various other divisions at DOT we're constantly having conversations with various city agencies from DSNY to EDC, City Planning, BIC, just to have those conversations not only about educating, how they can educate their constituents, but how they can include bicycle infrastructure and work that they're doing, how they can support our bike infrastructure be it smaller vehicles or, um, reviewing our bicycle projects like with FDNY talking about better ways to educate and enforce with NYPD. We have consistent dialogues with all of these different agencies to make sure that we're on the same page. Um, and those are increasing as we outlined in the Green Wave Bill. Um, or the Green Wave Plan. We are having those conversations more frequently. They are going to be

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 more important especially as we're trying to do more 3 in the years to come.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I agree. I think it's-it's DOP-DOB, DEP, it's Con-Ed and I...I hope-I'm tempted to ask whether you honestly think that all of these agencies are doing well interacting with each other, but I know that you have a goal and-and I want o work with you on that, and then my last question-because I-I know that a lot of my colleagues have questions-is that you have identified a hundred most hazardous locations across the city, and I thank you for that in terms of making improvements. I ask two thins: That you really try to also look at neighborhoods that are very dense in terms of cyclist use. I mean I'm going to just talk about my district for one second, and we're like number one seamless. I guess no one cooks in the East Village, but that, just that constant activity is just I think something that we have to look at. It might sound a little luxurious, but it's-it's a factor in terms of -of how people are moving, and then the other thing that I-I would just ask is is that, um, we really try to look at this office as an opportunity to become I think a national leader. I certainly want to work

- with you on that, but the Bike Mayor is to encourage this interagency communication , and I'm excited for
- 4 it, and I just-just want to thank you for all your

5 work thus far.

1

9

25

6 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member 7 Lander.

8 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Chair.

We're jumping around between the-the bills a little

10 bit. So I just kind of apologize for the cognitive

11 dissonance, and obviously I'm just such an

12 extraordinary fan of the efforts for more street

13 safety. So, the fact that I'm focusing on 946 and

14 947 is just those are the bills that I have on for

15 today, and I appreciate that other members are going

16 to be focusing especially on the pedestrian safety

17 | bills. Um, alright. So, um, Mr. Dean and Mr.

18 Ettannani, thank you for your testimony on 946 and

19 947, the bills to strengthen safety and protections

20 for utility safety workers. As I heard you both, you

21 are interested in listening from some of the workers

22 and understanding the situation on the ground.

23 That's just why we're having this hearing. So, I'm

24 glad so many of them are here when we get the

testimony, which is why I'll try to keep my questions

short. That's really our goal. Um, I agree Mr.
Ettannani, this is a different situation from fast
food workers. Fast food workers were facing this
like constant erratic—erratic changing of schedules
as I understand it in the utility safety and
underground damage prevention industry. What's more
common practice is requiring people essentially to be
on call for 24-hour periods on the idea that it's
sort of emergency work, but when, in fact it is
overwhelmingly routine and schedulable work, and in
fact what happened was in a lot of cases these were
jobs that had been in the utilities previously,
unionized scheduled good jobs that, um, companies,
USIC and others realized they could kind of pull out
and make lower wage and then kind of use the idea of
some kind of on-demand scheduling to just make them
able to, um, achieve their financial goals at the
cost of workers. So, we're going to hear about that
from workers later, but I think you'll come to see
that, um, providing them a certain kind of reasonable
schedule, stable fair work with an advance notice
while a little different from fast food workers will
help us achieve, a useful justice aim, and I

2.2

2.3

appreciate your willingness to listen them when theywhen they come later.

Thank you Council Member. I think just to reiterate, um, what our position is is that we—as just a general tenet, we believe that workers should have predictable scheduling. I think, um, as I mentioned in testimony whether it's, um, you know, um, just having a sense of certainty—certainty about how much, you know, money you're going to get on a week to week basis to, um, you know, other outside of work commitments whether it's elder or, um, childcare for example. These are critical things, and things that the Office of Labor Policy and Standards within DCWP supports.

thank you for that clear statement, and I look
forward to hearing the testimony from workers and
providing you with additional information to think
about how that goal we share or predictable
scheduling can be most effectively implemented for
this set of workers and, you know, obviously I guess
one thing I'll just say is this isn't just that we
were kind of thinking what other industries. These

folks brought us a problem that we think fair
scheduling is a solution to, and that's why we're
here having this hearing today and then just a coupl
of quick questions on the safety training, um, side.
So, I-I think if I understood the testimony, but I
just want to make sure I'm right, there is not
currently and, you know, um any safety training
required for the workers who, you know, mark or open
our streets. It sounds like there are things that
the companies have to submit on an annual basis that
are commercial general liability, but that there is
not currently, um, safety training requirement for a
utility marking or excavating.

STEVEN ETTANANI: Correct there is nothing they submit right now as far as whatever trainings they do.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. um, and did—does DOT or any other city agency partner with the I guess there's this 8-1-1 office at the State level excavator training, and education programs. So you know if there's any partnership there?

STEVEN ETTANANI: We, if we're doing work we'll, you know, we'll call the 8-1-1 if we're doing

2.2

2.3

digging to make sure that we're not, you know, going
to hit anything that's underneath there.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But that's not with their training and education program just with the--

STEVEN ETTANANI: Correct.

which is good. I mean I'm glad you're doing that, but okay. So, um, and I know that at the state level there's some new legislation requiring excavators to do some safety and education. Do you know whether the city has yet engaged with—with that legislation or its requirements?

STEVEN ETTANANI: Not that I'm aware of.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, um, alright so, it sounds like there is here also some fact finding for us to do. I think most New Yorkers would think it was common sense that the workers who have this very important jog of getting the markings right so that no damage is done would need to have training that would enable them to do that safely and soundly, um, and I hear you that that does not mean that DOT should be making sure every single worker, you know, is reviewed and you're not reviewing the portfolios

of each individual worker, um, but it sounds like
you're open to finding some way that the obligations
of the companies that are doing it to provide
adequate training if we figure out kind of what the
markers of that are, um, that they could be required
to submit the evidence of their compliance with those
safety regimes as part of their annual package, and
then you could as part of your ongoing enforcement
effort of making sure that people are complying with
the rules that they are following when they picked up
their permit, you would structurally be able to both,
um, require that, you know, the law that required
that certification you could see it in their annual
certifications and enforce it through your regular
enforcement work.

STEVEN ETTANANI: Right. I think we would—I think we knew specifically what training we were talking about, and we could ask them to provide that documentation and, um, it would be a requirement of them being a permittee in that sense.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And that could be enforced with the other requirements of being a permittee?

STEVEN ETTANANI: Right, right.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Um, okay,
3	alright. That's great. I think then this is another
4	good opportunity for us to hear and understand what
5	the skills needed on the job are, what the basic
6	training that people have are and then to follow up
7	to make sure se can provide it in a real clear way so
8	we know what it is we're requiring that workers have
9	how companies are responsible for showing it to the
10	city, and how we're following up to make sure that
11	those—that those laws are being followed. So, we're
12	looking forward to the testimony. Thank you both for
13	your openness to working with is to achieve these
14	improvements in this sector both for the workers and
15	for the public. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Uh, the opposition to the automated enforcement for the stoparm really caught me by surprise. Do you believe it is safer when vehicles are stopped when children are getting on and off a school bus?

STEVEN ETTANANI: Council Member, absolutely. We, um, you know, want all motorists following all the rules of the road at all times, um,

2.2

2.3

and—and we believe it is safe when—when motorists do so, and I—you know I think, um, you have some—some data that—that you mentioned earlier that we haven't had a chance to review yet on—on, um, this programs elsewhere. We have some data that I cited earlier. Perhaps it make sense to—to get together and—and review, um, what we have and—and, you know, compare notes as it were.

really appreciate that. I think one of the questions is in response to Chair Rodriguez, you mentioned that 2,300 violations had been issued and I guess just as a values questions to the extent that our brothers and sisters in the PBN and the NYPD aren't as concerned about writing these violations, isn't there an opportunity for us to get those 2,300 police—23—the people who wrote those violations are writing violations that can't be written by a robot versus using automate enforcement wherever we can.

STEVEN ETTANANI: Council Member, you know we—we absolutely believe in the power of automated enforcement and—and for that reason, I think you brought up a great point, which is when we can free, um, the—the Officers, the highly trained

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

officers to do—do very, um, targeted work, um, it's a treat side benefit of it.

I appreciate our COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: partnership. Um, when you testified I hold the open data set for the, um, NYPD, which was the motor vehicle collisions, and, um, it looks like they haven't really been tracking school buses as a data point, but, um, even so, over the past two years there—there have been a lot of collisions and mostly it looks like it's the motorists anyone in the vehicle that's been injured. Do, you-is there a data set that tracks just when-when pedestrians are the I-I guess based on the dataset, which I've done a lot of work with, it has one-one column that tracks Vehicle No. 1, another column that tracks Vehicle No. 2, but based on the way a police report tends to be written, and based on this dataset, it doesn't appear that we would-we have an adequate way of tracking when somebody gets off of a school bus and then ends up in a-based on just my preliminary analysis of the data sets.

STEVEN ETTANANI: Council Member I think, um, that you're accurate I that there's no quick easy way that to screen--

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay.

STEVEN ETTANANI: --that data, but what we've been doing is going back through every report that had a school bus vehicle involved and reviewing the narrative of those reports. Um, and it's very manual, but that's how we're—we're looking through these. So, we've looked through the last two years of data, and we didn't find any serious injuries—

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And we looked through those, too and you found 51 collisions?

STEVEN ETTANANI: I don't know the number of collisions of the top of my head. I'm sorry.

did and op-ed on city limits just saying that the city should have more thank 140 speed cameras. My-my proposal is that in addition to focusing on the schools to just look at any location where there have been a certain number of collisions or a certain number of injuries or a certain number of deaths am I'm=-I'm eager to get a response from DOT and to work around places beyond schools that we can make safer and then also just making sure that kids can be safe getting on and off the bus. I thank you. I look

2.2

2.3

forward to working with you and your agency on this legislation in moving forward. Thank you.

Member and I think to your point about, you know, getting a wider reach with the, um, speed cameras like thanks to all of your support and the State Legislators supporting. Um, we have a wider radius now around each school and I think we're—we're covering a lot more pedestrians with our —with our speed cameras than we were you know before July. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Council Torres.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I'm supportive of all the bills, but in the interest of time I'm going to focus on Intro 946 and 947. I want to follow up on some of the questioning for DOT on the questioning of—from Council Member

Lander it seems to me, you know, we have a vested interest here as a city because we control the streets. We own the streets, we issue permits over those streets and we should leverage the power that we have over permits to mandate higher safety standards, higher labor standards. Is that something

2.2

the you agree with in principle Setting aside the details of the legislation, so you agree with that proposition in general?

STEVEN ETTANANI: Well, I think, you know, as mentioned if we know exactly what we're looking for in terms of what the training should be, um, then yes it's something we can certainly try to do and figure out a system that works for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And does DCWP support extending prevailing wage to utility employees?

STEVEN ETTANANI: We're not in a positing to—to talk about that right now. We'll talk about 946.

have a position on 947 or--? For me it's a no-brainers. These are workers who are protecting us from explosions who are protecting us from the loss of critical services who are protecting the critical infrastructure that enables our city to succeed and survive everyday. So, if those workers are not worthy of prevailing wage, then which workers would be?

2.2

2.3

we're, you know, and again, we're—we're I'm here and prepared to testify on—on 946. We want and our office was created obviously by the Council to empower workers and make sure that their rights are—are heard. Um, in terms of the bill itself, and predictable scheduling that's—that's something that we're—

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But part of working empowerment is not only predictable hours but decent wages, prevailing wages, safety training. All of those are essential elements. I mean I think you—I suspect you would agree worker safety is and public safety are inextricably bound together, but you cannot have one without the other, and it's in the interests of public safety to see to it that these utility technicians are paid a decent wage.

STEVEN ETTANANI: Yeah, I think you know, from our testimony it's clear that we want to learn more about this industry. We want to hear from the workers directly. We want to hear from the stakeholders, um, the employers knowing a little bit more about the structure, and get a clear sense of—of kind of the eco system there and then, um, work with

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Council to, um, either refine the bill or, um,

or, you know, just have further discussions with the

Council on—on their rights and—and certainly, um,

5 their workplace safety and things of that nature.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I remember and I don't know the status, but I remember reading a few ago that, um, Partners Group was partnering with an organization know as Ottawa Avenue Private Capital to potentially acquire USIC, which is the contractor that-that hires utility employees and that Ottawa Avenue Private Capital was owned by the RBD Corporation, which in turn is owned by the DeVos Family, Betsy DeVos and the Trump Administration. You know, Donald Trump is the most anti-union president in American history. So, the notion that U.S.-USIC is owned or could be owned by an associate of the Trump Administration is horrifying to me. Um, and so that to me is further reason to ensure that contractors like USIC come under greater scrutiny from both DOT and DCWP. I think the city needs to play a role. You know, these are workers who risk their lives to keep all of us safe. The least we can do is pass laws that ensure that they a livelihood that reflects their public value and that reflects

- 2 the danger of the work that they do, but that's my
- 3 position and I hope the Administration comes around
- 4 to embracing both predictable hours prevailing wage,
- 5 and proper safety training. So, with that said,
- 6 thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
- 8 Reynoso.

- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you, Mr.
- 10 Chair. I will-I'll just continue with the line of
- 11 | questioning from my colleague from the Bronx, Council
- 12 Member Torres and really that the Department of
- 13 | Consumers Affairs and the work that you're doing
- 14 | there what do you understand the issue to be right
- 15 now. I know that you're asking for more time to
- 16 really understand. What--can you tell us a bit about
- 17 | the investigations that you're doing. I know that
- 18 \parallel some of your testimony kind of pointed to some of
- 19 | that, but if there is something more that you can
- 20 | tell us in terms of what you understand and how you
- 21 understand it now
- 22 STEVEN ETTANNANI: Right, so I think if
- 23 | you want to just take a step back about, um, fair
- 24 work week and the value of predictable scheduling, I
- 25 | think since 2017, there's no doubt that the laws that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the-the Council passed are successfully holding employers accountable if they are in violation of the law. I think to day we've actually secured over a million dollars in restitution for workers, um, and I think we're talking about roughly 3,000 workers as well based on those numbers. In terms of our investigations, um, we are not in the position to speak to the specifics of current investigations happening right now. As I mentioned in my answer to Council Member Torres, for us predictable scheduling is, um, a value that we embrace. It's something that, um, we believe all workers should have, um, for-for a number of reasons, and for-for us right now we're trying to get more information about this industry. Um, so that we can effectively weigh in one way or another as to what the mechanics of potential legislation could or should look like as we have these discussions with the Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Well, tell me a little bit more about what—what you understand. I guess I'm just trying to understand a little bit more about what you understand now. I get that you're in discovery mode but is the anything that you can share with us? I think we're all very interested in—in not

2.2

2.3

just the legislative process, but a kind of transparent understanding for the public, and especially workers who are here right now trying to understand what's up, and why are we feeling a kind of hesitation. And so that can be I think mitigated by just a little bit more information stepping back in general about what you know and how you know what you know right now.

um, you know, a couple of questions that come to mind, um, currently include for example if there's-is it best to solve this issue through for example like premium pay. Should it be a—should we have a prohibition on last minute scheduling in general in this industry. Um, how prevalent it is and, you know, even to take back beyond that, do workers have regularly weekly schedules in this—in this industry? I mean we are, um, when I say we are in a fact finding position, we are, you know, it's a clean slate on our end. We are trying to find out as much as possible about this industry.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Awesome. I appreciate that, and I think that those are the kinds of things that I think would be helpful as we

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

continue, and I'll-I'll just pause there and just thank you for that, and let's just keep discussing this as we-as we explore that together.

STEVEN ETTANANI: For sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And, um, on the DOT side, the-the work that went into building the, um, this bill in terms of the offices, and/or I guess this bill for the different kind of pedestrian and the bike offices. Tell us a little bit about what the, um, the work is to build-build more constituent based conversations allowing-allowing communities to kind of build-build out solutions policy solutions at the-at the local level. Um, things like-and things not every bike lane is created equal and communities, communities on the ground. Some neighborhoods I think about like Fourth Avenue and how Fourth Avenue bike lane there's like two different approaches to the bike lane that came from Park Slope versus Sunset Park. They are different but ultimately a bike emerged, and-and so these are-these-this-this is-this is the kind of granular understanding of neighborhood based planning, and how does that impact your understanding of what we're trying to do, which is

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 really focus conversations around pedestrians as a
3 constituency and bicyclists?

MONTY DEAN: Yeah, so as you-thank you for your question Council Member. As you and your other fellow Council Members know, we are out in the community talking to people. Um, we go to community board meetings. We work with advocacy groups and other constituencies around the city. One thin that we've done recently as part of the Green Wave Plan is we've hired or we're hiring one person per borough office to focus specifically on bike issues, and those folks will help us talk to, um, local communities about issues around cycling and pedestrian safety. We've developed a lot of tools on line as well, on portals to make sure that people have a way to give us input to-on projects if they're not attending meetings and, o course, we have our great Street Ambassador team that's out on the street, out on the streets talking to people, people who can't usually go to community board meetings or who are left out of the conversation trying to bring them into that conversation. So, we've developed several different tools both internally, and, you

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 know, in our offices but also on the street, um, and online.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay, well, we're going to keep talking and I know we've talked a lot about immigrants and language access to these questions and I feel like we're like we're not there yet at all. I think that's, that's like a big-we leave a lot of people behind in communities like mine in my district where, you know, 75% are non-English speaking families and those, that leave behind when, and I'm not saying you're only doing English based. You're not, you're-you're, but there are gaps, and so when there's gaps, um, it just kind of leaves us with an opportunity to connect. I'm just going to point one thing, to one thing and then I'm done with my questions. It kind of just leaves a sense of gap is the-you do a lot of education programs around helmets and getting free helmets out to communities, and really the-the-the partnership is with Council Members that put funding and so really what-as I understand it, this is about members putting in their allocations for free helmets for-to buy helmets forfor community members. So, there's Council Members that just don't believe in this, and there's an

2.2

2.3

issue. There's a gap, and this is an example of how I think is just kind of broken, and this is why when you have focus instead of—instead of communities that need and have good representation that are like pro-laughs] pro like—pro-safety. There might be Council Members that just don't want to put any money or put any focus on it, and now we're relying on that and I think that's—that's a problem. I think this is why we're trying to call it to attention, a sense of focus around this so it doesn't—it doesn't rely on one—one gap of understanding that's going to really impact safety programs like for helmets.

the Helmet Program we really, um, are thankful to the Council Members for providing that funding. Um, as Part of Green Wave we did secure a separate pot of funds so that specifically for helmet giveaways so that we can hold larger events in districts that aren't being represented by Council Members who are favorable to that work. [coughing] So, um, with that pot of money we'll be developing a plan for where those events will be held, and then try to hit a larger audience.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Great. Thank
3 you.

4 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member 5 Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER: DEUTSCH: Thank you,

Chair. Buenos tardes everyone. So this, uh question
is for the Department of Transportation. I just want
to discuss a little bit about the Green Wave plan.

You did mention that key parts of the Green Wave Plan
involve cooperation with other agencies under the
leadership of Mayor de Blasio, and we also continue
that DOT will continue to work with FDNY on emergency
vehicle access consideration. Can you elaborate a
little bit on that?

SEAN QUINN: [coughs] Excuse me. Thank
you for your question. We, um, DOT meets regularly
with the FDNY and we present all of our street
improvement projects to the agency. We work through
a Central Planning Division at FDNY, and that
Planning Division brings the information to local
firehouses and battalions for their feedback. Um,
often times we are able to incorporate feedback into
our plans modifying, um, our proposals to ensure that
it works for FDNY. We also ensure that when we bring

2.2

2.3

our plans to FDNY that they've been reviewed by our internal engineers to show that operations won't be impacted, and that system is currently, um, being modified through some of the Green Wave actions to ensure that there's more input from our local houses versus just the centralized Division of Planning and we're working through that process now, but we hope to get a larger set of feedback through that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, what happens to a project that you worked on for several years and maybe even several months, and only to find out that the FDNY opposes your plan?

SEAN QUINN: Um, so that has happened on a couple of occasions, and we've worked with FDNY to hopefully get to a point of common ground on a proposal making modifications to the—the plan, talking them through things that they might understand about the proposal, and vice versa. So, it's an ongoing dialogue when we hear, um, both positive and negative feedback on a proposal.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yes, so how many miles of bike lanes have DOT...has DOT implemented and, um, does all those plans have the stamp of approval from the FDNY, and if it does, um, or doesn't' um, is

that question, um--

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I'm sorry.

SEAN QUINN: There—there may be projects that we haven't reviewed with them in the past historically. However, we have and—we have an outlet thorough our borough offices and through our conversations with the planning office. If there was something we put in place a long time ago, that we are open to have conversations about changing or modifying plans.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, I just want to touch upon Intro 1812. So, in the plan you-you, um, it talks about work with other city agencies to grow and improve cycling and other methods of active transportation by coordinating infrastructure and policy initiatives, and I-I don't see that you do support the intent of this bill, but if you did implement hundreds of miles of bike lanes, and without having the approval from the FDNY, and this is what happened in the past, this is what you implemented in the past. I think that having more of a dialogue and having more of a conversation and-and giving people that opportunity to be part of the policies and procedures, I think that's an important tool because, you know, I mean me personally in my

2.2

2.3

district I had several tragic fires over the last three or four years since 2015. So, this is an extremely important part of when you implement bike lanes to have this conversation with the Fire Department to make sure it's safe for all. So, what I'm hearing here in the testimony what I'm hearing answers to my questions is that not all of these hundreds of miles of bike lane have the stamp of approval from the Fire Department, and that's very concerning to me, and it should be concerning to everyone.

SEAN QUINN: Council Member, that's not what I'm saying. I'm saying that historically I don't know. I wasn't here when all the bike lanes were being approved. I know our early bike lane, protected bike lane design back in 2007 and 2006 was developed with input from FDNY. That was a typical design that we installed throughout the city especially in the early days in Manhattan. So, those designs were designed with FDNY and over time, the conversations and the process has changed. Early on we were working directly with the borough battalions and the borough chiefs. Then we went to a centralized system through the City Planning Office,

2.2

2.3

2	the FDNY Planning Office and now we're changing the
3	system again to make sure we're getting more input
4	from the local houses. So, we are very concerned
5	about the FDNY's response, and we want to make sure
6	our designs are working for them and as well as

bringing safety to all street users.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, dose every final plan need a stamp of approval from the FDNY, and actual stamp of approval?

SEAN QUINN: We ask for FDNY to sign off on our plan, the final plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, why wouldn't they?

SEAN QUINN: There's a variety of concerns we've heard over time, things that we've been able to work through making sure that they can turn off of side streets if we've narrowed a street, making sure that we provide a clear lane. Most of our protect bike lanes are actually used by FDNY as a clear lane to get around, um, traffic congestion. The lanes are designed at 11 feet or more. Many of the lanes are designed at 11 feet or more so that the FDNY can actually use that as an extra emergency

someone for a ticket for a violation, you know, that

number per se reflect also--

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSHUA BENSON: Right.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --the other. So, how serious is the problem state and nationwide?

JOSHUA BENSON: Right. I mean so the-the crash you're referring to was, um, a very horrible situation where the, um, the passenger of a-a non-DOE, a private school bus was-was leaving the bus to board a, um, a private van that was parked. Um, so no vehicle was actually passing a stopped school bus. The parked vehicle accelerated into the child. Um, um, you know, from-from the parking lane. Um, it was a very, um, you know, again a very horrible situation. It-it-it doesn't really, um, get back to this issue of the stopped on cameras unfortunately. So, it was a, you know, I think the-the-the crash report identified it as pedal misapplication. driver accidentally pressed the wrong pedal, and accelerated into the child.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I—I just—and I don't question the great job that you guys as the staff of DOT and the Commissioner per se. You know, we know that we were there in our community in Washington Heights last week, you know, putting the billboard in Vision Zero education, which was a great

2 initiative. I just—I just would like for the agency to leave this open to continue to discussing, you 3 4 know, both-all bills. I feel that all bills are very important. You know, we need to-when it comes to protect the utility workers, you know, we drive by to 6 7 the FDR from Dyckman to 157 you see the whole street 8 being open. Like we only get to see the workers above the ground, but we don't know, but we don't get to see who are working down there, and I think that, 10 11 you know, anything that is required to improve the 12 safety of the workers is something that, you know, we 13 have to address it, and improve it, and-and get it done, and when it comes to again like we don't need 14 15 to wait for the case, and—and we all care. You work 24/7, you know, working around policy and strategy. 16 17 We want to make the streets for everyone. 18 feel that when we look at the 50,000 number of drivers that they keep going through Yellow Bus and 19 20 when the stop sign is out throughout the State of New 21 York, and knowing that that's an epidemic throughout 2.2 the whole nation. I just would like for us to 2.3 continue giving the space to looking at those data, to look at the issue and, you know, continue the 24 25 conversation.

2.2

MONTY DEAN: Chairman we hear you loud
and clear and we would like to continue the
conversation, and I mentioned if Council Member
Kallos earlier would like to get together and review
the data, because he has some data that, um, that we
haven't had an opportunity to review yet. So, we
would like to do that with you. Thank you for
supporting especially the speed camera initiative but
all the work that we do really have gone-gone a long
way together.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do we have a cyclist director of DOT right now and who is the person? Who does that person report to?

SEAN QUINN: Yes, so I'm the Assistant

Commissioner for Improvement Programs. I oversee the

Divisions of Pedestrians, Bicyclists, Public Space

and Street Improvement Projects. Each of those have

a director. Ted Wright is the Director of our

Bicycle Program; Tara Ashi (sp?) who is actually here

is the Director or our Pedestrian Unit; Emily

Weidenhof the Director of our Public Space Unit.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How many staff are dedicated to your division?

2.2

2.3

SEAN QUINN: So, my division has 14
people in the Pedestrian Unit plus 8 people in the
Public Space Unit, 18 people in the Bike Unit, which
will be increasing with new hires on the Green Wave.
These are just three units. There's other units in
the agency that work on bicycle and pedestrian
projects. For example our School Safety Division
recently installed the protected bike lane on Seventh
Avenue in Brooklyn. So, the-the work is cross unit
but we have these three specific units focused
directly on bicycle and pedestrian safety.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, what is your total number? If we add those numbers for all those three what is the total number?

SEAN QUINN: Um, for the three, um, let's see. It's about—well my unit altogether is about 45 people plus the new six we're hiring with Green Wave about over 50 folks specifically focusing on bicycles and pedestrians and that's planners, engineers outreach specialists, administrators, directors. So, it runs the gamut.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, but the total is around like 100 you say dedicated to pedestrian and cyclists only?

2.2

2.3

SEAN QUINN: Um, there's somewhere
between 50 and a 100 planners and—and, you know,
office staff. Beyond that, we have our engineers
that are assigning and working on our drawings. We
have the divisions that are installing the bike lanes
and the signals, and all the work that goes into it.
Um, really throughout the agency there is probably
hundreds, thousands of people focused on getting this
bike and pedestrian infrastructure installed in the
city. My unit is mainly the planners and out
outreach, um, but it extends beyond that.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And how many—how many staff do we have at DOT as an agency?

MONTY DEAN: 5,600.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 5,600. So, I—I

think that, you know, we need to do our role from the

legislative role. I know that you need to do your

role from the staff. We want to empower the men and

women that are designated to cyclists and

pedestrians, and we want to increase that number, and

we want to see a clear-cut. I would like to go to

DOT to Water Street and be able to go to the 2 or 3

floor to that area only through a screen. Only

dedicated just to pedestrians and cyclists. I want

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to be sure again that, you know, when we want to turn our city as the leading one in the nation on pedestrians and cyclists, it's because it's, as you know, you have more perspective (sic) than I do. know that there is a whole national movement about how to do more urban planning and more designing to make our street more pedestrians and cyclists, andand we have the street of the middle class and upper class on one side, and then we have the other street of the poorest New Yorkers. When I walk to the South Bronx, you know, you walk through that area, it's tough. You know, it's difficult for, you know, it's not only about the bike lanes, how safe are those communities when you walk through some parks likelike 20 PEP officers dedicated to go through the street. You know, we just want to centralize. want to see something that is more, you know, great architecture, great engineer, great team, but this is about, you know, can we leave something for-on thecan we build something on the present and future administration that we can say, you know, we are thewe are the city that is the leading one, and-and so that for me is the most important, you know, message about, you know, no question. I don't have any

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 questions about your capacity of commitment, the great job, the great partnership, but this is about 3 4 let's be sure that... No, let's leave our space open, and let's continue, you know, discussing, you know to see how far we can go. Of course, I strongly 6 support, as I say all the bill, the workers, the 7 8 utility worker have to be protected. You know, they do a great job. We want electricity, we want internet, we want, you know, all those services in 10 11 our apartments in our buildings in the schools in the 12 public and private sector, and they are the ones, you 13 know, who get the job done. We want the buses to have the technology. Let's take advantage of where 14 15 we are today, and, you know, with that, I would like 16 to say thank you for being here. Thanks.
 - CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Now, we're closing--calling the next panel.
 - LEGAL COUNSEL: Craig Moorehead (sp?),

 Jean Souliere, Al Russo, Xavier Maynard and William

 Smith. (background comments/pause)
 - CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You may begin.

 Yeah. We're-we're going to be timing on two minutes.

 So, if you will take more than that, please be sure that you summarize.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 JEAN SOULIERE: Perfect.

3 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Levin.

JEAN SOULIERE: Okay, so, um, thank you Chairman Rodriquez and members of the Transportation Committee for allowing me to speak today [bell] on this important (background comments) I get to keep going? Okay, good. I got more to say. [laughs] I would also like to thank the bill's sponsor Councilman Ben Kallos. His leadership on child safety issues will have a lasting impact across all of the communities of New York. So, I want to thank him for that. My name is Jean Souliere. I am the CEO of Bus Patrol. Our technology is the most deployed stop-arm enforcement technology in the world. I'm a Vision Zero advocate specialized in the school bus safety technology that's being discussed today as part of this legislation. I also believe in a data drive approach. I have lots of data. data will paint a very compelling picture. The videos we collect are worth a million words not a thousand. More importantly, I stand before you today as a father of five children and a grandfather of two. I'm here to talk to you about the gravity of the situation of people not respecting the school bus

2 sign and illegally passing it, and it's from my perspective as a father that I want to share that. 3 4 Many-many of you heard the stats according to the 5 data cited by the Governor's office that approximately 50,000 drivers illegally and 6 7 dangerously pass stopped school buses during drop-off 8 and pickup every day in the state of New York. Theses stats are if anything understated. three pilots in New York: East Meadow, Half Hollow 10 11 Hills and Niagara City. They average between two and 12 four violations per bus per day. In fact, I wouldn't 13 be surprised if New York City had 50 violations in 14 one day alone. As shocking as those numbers are, 15 they don't tell the real story, the full story. 16 Montgomery County, Maryland we run by far the largest 17 stop-arm enforcement program in the world. 18 entire fleet, 1,400 buses is equipped with the latest 19 safe bus technology. We have successfully driven 20 violation rates down by more than 50% since the 21 program started almost three years ago. Despite that 2.2 fact, I'm saddened to share with you that last week 2.3 in the span of 12 hours in Montgomery County, two children lost their lives on their journey to and 24 from school. [bell] A 9-year-old girl and a 17-year-

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

old boy. Two families irreparably broken. here to tell you that this might happen in New York. I'm here to tell you that it will. I'm here to tell you that people just don't care enough about school buses. I know they don't pay enough attention to the precious cargo that's carried on them. Not only do we need to update the tools we use to protect our children, but we need to change our culture. I want to create a culture where people are afraid of school buses. I want to create a culture where people pump their brake when they see a school bus in the very same way they do when they see a police cruiser that they cross on the road. I want every single school bus in New York City to have this technology not 10% not 20%, 100 because the ability to collect vital data is going to help us understand what actions to take. We can be proactive seeing where these violations are occurring and determining what actions we can take maybe change stops before tragedy strikes. We can target social awareness campaigns to communities in a way that help them understand the problem and change the way they think about child safety. We've advocated for the last two years with the State, and now we have a law. The moment is upon

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

us and the power is yours As a parent I pray that the residents-for the residents that you'll take advantage of every tool available to prevent another child's life being lost, and yes, that means every bus for every school for every child should be covered. The safety a child enjoys should never depend on their zip code, and that goes from the bustling streets in Downtown Brooklyn and Manhattan to the more residential areas of Queens, Staten Island and the Bronx. No one counts unless we all So, I implore you to create a program that mandates full fleet coverage. It's the only way to be both inclusive and objective in how you measure the program's success. It's also the only way to prevent ever having to explain to a grieving parent why their child's bus didn't have it. Before I leave you, I want to make one final point. These are not accidents. The videos show it. These are really bad choices with very devastating consequences. They're avoidable crashes and every loss is avoidable. need drivers to have a healthy fear of breaking the law, and the best way to do that is to have the best technology possible on every bus. We owe nothing

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 less than that for all of our children, Thank you
3 very much for letting me speak today.

WILLIAM SMITH: Chairman Rodriguez, members of the committee, thank you for the invitation to the Utility Workers Union of America Local 1-2 New York AFLCIO to speak on the proposed legislation to reply that employers provide advance notice of schedules to utility safety workers instead of using on-call demand. I am William Smith, Vice President of Local 1-2, and I represent utility workers primarily working for Con Edison, the men and women who keep the lights on. We agree with the sponsor of this legislation Councilman Brad Lander that for the safety of all New Yorkers utility workers need clear advanced schedules in order to perform street markings for underground infrastructure work with precision. As is the common practice today, the workers who provide street markings for utility work such as Internet, cable, gas, steam or water are on call contractors who do not know from one day to the next what the workday will be or if, indeed there will be a work day. This bill will prohibit employees from cancelling, changing or adding work shifts within 72 hours of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

starter shift except in limited circumstances. bill would also require that employers provide such utility safety workers with a written work schedule no later than 72 hours before the first shift on the work schedule to post a worker's schedule at the work location 72 hours before the beginning of the schedule hours of work, and to provide a written copy of an employee's work schedule for any work-for any week worked within the prior three years. One thing this bill would accomplish would be to prevent employer abuse of on-call workers and provide the utility workers with a steady schedule that would stop employers from exercising capricious and ultimately unfair working conditions. It has been well established that workers who do not have a similar schedule day after day have difficulty remaining alert and careful at their jobs. [bell] Given the complexity of underground New York City and a skilled worker is well rested. Because they know their hours ahead of time will allow them to be able to perform the work that is demanded by their employers in a safe manner. Our members who work for Con-Edison find out all too often that if there are errors in mark-outs, which would have caused

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

disasters are not caught. The proposed bill would hopefully reduce these errors as well as those times rare though they may be hen the Con Edison employees arrive at a site only to find that the mark-outs have not occurred at all. This bill will mistakes less likely to occur, and provide a safer work environment for all whether they are the utility workers making where the infrastructure is buried that prevent an in-act digging or the utility or road workers who depend on mark-outs being made by these safety workers or the general public. We have seen time and time again, that cutting corners in utility work leads to accidents, explosions, broken water mains, and the list goes on and on. Those who follow the mark-outs need to know they will not damage electric cables, gas lines, steam lines, water pipes or television/Internet cables by performing their duties on the city's delicate infrastructure. As we all know, any damage to these underground lines could lead to major problems and repairs, maintenance and installation of underground utilities and thus interruptions of New York City's family and businesses. In the meantime, it is unfortunate that utilities are not already required to provide clear

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

advance work schedules for their employees. Edison especially relies on outside contractors to perform such services because it seems to be its basis of operation and long term not to have skilled workers on its payroll. We suspect that using the on-call system is just another dodge to take advantage of workers' need to work so that they submit to being on-call workers reducing their incomes and disrupting their lives. We know on-call is a problem for workers in the service industry in restaurants and retail stores, and has caused them to organize and fight back to know their schedule ahead of time. After all, it is only fair because New York Works. It should not be used by utility industry companies just to squeeze and extra profit at the expense of the same rationale, common dignity and respect they should extend to the people who do their work and to the people who live and work in the city who deserve to be able to live their lives without the fear of incorrect mark-out because of lost electricity, a burst steam pipe, flooded streets, a loss of their TV and Internet cable, and worsts of all another gas explosion, which can destroy homes and places of work, these companies and places of

2.2

2.3

work. These companies have been stopped from placing profits before human beings. Using on-call workers is merely another tactic that holds workers back from building steady lives for themselves because they have to take the job available to put food on the table. By another name we call it exploitation. It's also—we also call it a danger to the people of New York City. For the good of all New Yorkers. we strong support that the city do all it can to eliminate this egregious use of people, increase profits by putting all the people who live and work in New York City at risk because this is exactly what the On-Call System does. Thank you.

AL RUSSO: Good afternoon Chairman

Rodriguez and members of the Committee. Thank you

very much for convening this important hearing, ad

allowing me the opportunity testify in support of

Intro 946 and 947 this afternoon. My name is Al

Russo and I'm the Vice President of the Communication

Workers of America, Local 1101. I've served in this

position for the last nine years. I am also a

resident of Dykers Heights, Brooklyn. I have lived

there for the last 45 years. I am here today both as

a New Yorker and in my official capacity on behalf of

2 the 215 utility safety workers that we represent in 3 Local 1101. The workers are employed by the United 4 States Infrastructure Corporation, USIC who is primarily the contractor for Con Edison and National 5 Grid. Theses are employers who do underground 6 7 locating work across New York City and Long Island. These workers perform critical tasks essential to 8 health and safety of all New Yorkers. Underground Safety workers identify underground gas, electric and 10 telecommunication lines in advance of construction to 11 12 prevent dangerous explosions and the interruption of 13 critical services. In New York City before a street 14 can be dug up for any reason, whether to repair a 15 water main or add conduit for cable, the company doing the digging must call 8-1-1 in order to ensure 16 17 a ticket-I'm sorry-in order to issue a ticket for 18 underground locators to be sent out to mark the 19 This is a vital step in order to ensure that street. 20 any digging is done safely and protects crucial underground infrastructure. There are series-there 21 2.2 are serious consequences to this work being done 2.3 incorrectly, everything from a disruption in water service to a full on varied gas explosion. This work 24 being done incorrectly is a hazard to the employees 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on site and to the public at large. That is why it is essential that we pass this legislation. Intro 946 will prohibit on-call scheduling for utility safety workers who locate and mark underground infrastructure. Several times per month utility safety workers are scheduled to be on call after a full shift. A typical shift for one of our workers would be 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. They would then be required to be on call from 5:00 p.m. until the-to the next shift, the next morning at 7:00 a.m. It is common to be called in multiple times on-callmultiple times on an on-call shift, and regardless of how many times you are called in or how long, you are still required to show up the next morning for the start of your shift. This means that these workers are not getting sufficient rest time. You cannot adequately perform this job that requires you to be alert [bell] and precise on insufficient sleep or broken up sleep. These workers are doing important work that a single mistake could lead to something catastrophic. These workers are often doing this work in busy intersections or on highways with cars zooming past them. One misstep due to exhaustion could lead to a tragedy. To protect these workers,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

these co-workers-their co-workers and the public at large we need to ensure that they have sufficient rest. Furthermore we need to make sure that they are adequately trained to do the job. Intro 947 would ensure that anyone who applies for a permit to open a street certify to the Department of Transportation that all work is covered by the permit are in compliance with relevant safety training, education laws, and regulations in order to protect public safety and health. Currently, our workers receive two weeks of classroom training prior to the test taking, and if they pass the test, they then are paired with one more-one or more senior people to do a ride-along for at least one to two weeks. After one to two weeks of the on-the-job training, these workers are expected to do the job on their own. have heard from our senior workers that the length of training has been decreased over time particularly the ride-along portion, which they identify as the most important part of the training. In fact, some workers remember a time whereas a new employee you'd be scheduled for three to six months of riding along before you're out on your own. This means that there are many new utility safety workers responsible for

2.2

2.3

the welfare of critical infrastructure and their own safety put into dangerous situations and with inadequate training. More senior employees tell us that they see the consequences of this: More accidents and more damage. We need to make sure that the workers performing these critical services to New York City have the protections they need to do their job best to serve the city. I am asking for your support for this vital legislation. Please thank you—please—please and thank you very much for your time and I'm here to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

XAVIER MAYNARD: Good afternoon, Chairman Rodriguez and members of the committee. My name is Xavier Maynard and I am a member of the Communication Workers of America, Local 1101, and underground utility locator. I've worked for United States Infrastructure Corporation for three years. I've lived in Bayside, Queens for the 20 years. Thank you very much for allowing me the opportunity to share my experience and express my support for the Intro 946 and 947 this afternoon. These bills are essential in order to protect the public safety and to ensure that workers who provide a vital service to New Yorkers do

25

2 so safely, properly and in compliance with all laws. 3 This legislation would have a direct impact on me and 4 people like me who do the job and provide this important service for our city. 5 I take my responsibility or protecting our city's 6 infrastructure and public safety very seriously. 8 are the people who make sure that our streets are dug up, your neighbors are protected from gas main explosions or electrical of phone outages. 10 11 about the people of-in New York City and Long Island. 12 Before any company digs up a street in New York city 13 all year round, USIC workers survey the ground and 14 it's infrastructure like gas mains, electrical lines, 15 and we mark the streets so that any digging doesn't cause any electrical outages or even worse, gas main 16 17 If this work is not performed properly explosions. 18 residents are put in grave danger. USIC workers are 19 sometimes required to be on call 24 hours straight on 20 the weekend and also several times a month from the 21 end of the shift in the evening until the start of 2.2 the next shift in the morning. That means after 2.3 working form 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., I'm still on call and could be required to go back to work at 2:00 24

a.m. to finish a locate around 4:00 a.m. Then I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would still have to show up at 7:00 a.m. for my regular shift the following day, You are consistently monitoring you work [bell] phone to make sure that you don't miss a call. When you receive a call, you have two hours to respond. Then you have to go back home, clock in, research the job, jump in the company van and go. If you miss the call or report late, you are dinged and if you miss more than twice, you are out. You also receive no additional compensation unless you are responding to an emergency let alone any concern for our quality of life ensuring time with our families. Being on call like this makes it impossible to get a decent night's sleep. Without a decent night's sleep, a dangerous job turns into a possible tragedy. We know on the highway with cars zooming past trying to read plans and maps you need to be completely aware and alert. This is why I urge you to pass Intro 946. If you don't [coughs] if-if excuse me. If I don't do my job right I could hurt myself, co-workers or the general public. In addition to making sure rest time is protected, we need to make sure that people performing this work are adequately trained. When I first started working for USIC, I was given two weeks of classroom training

2	before I took a 4-hour test. Then I spent about a
3	week or so in a ride-along with a senior technician.
4	These ride-alongs are so important because this is
5	when you really pick up the nuances of the job.
6	Also, there are some real time situations that can't
7	be covered in classroom training. I do not believe
8	the training is sufficient. With locating work, you
9	actually have to be in the field to understand a lot
10	of the work. Every neighborhood is different. In
11	this area the cable might be really old and deep, and
12	in other areas more close to the ground. In order to
13	do the job safely and correctly you need to learn
14	these things. This-that is why the Council should
15	pass Intro 947, which would ensure that those
16	performing this work are adequately trained and in
17	compliance with all safety and educational laws and
18	regulations. Thank you very much for allowing me the
19	opportunity to share my experiences as an underground
20	utility locator and expressing my support for the
21	Intro of 946 and 947. I'm available for any questions
22	at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. How have—Mr. Russo, how have other municipality addressed similar legislation as the one that we have here?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And Verizon?

24

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 103
2	AL RUSSO: Um, Verizon has-that—that
3	stays in-house. So, we do our own utility local work
4	inside. I represent Verizon also. So, we-we have-our
5	workers do our own utility locate work.
6	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, I feel—I
7	believe right that Verizon support this legislation
8	with some changes. Have you heard the same thing
9	from Con Edison and others?
10	AL RUSSO: Um, I can't answer that
11	question. I don't
12	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you.
13	Council Member Lander.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Chair
15	Rodriguez. Um, so, um, just as you heard the
16	Administration is I think open to working with us
17	here, but wants a little more information to just
18	make sure we're really tailoring this. So, on the
19	scheduling so it sounds like you get a regular

scheduling so it sounds like you get a regular schedule in advance so you know which days you're working 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. gut then on some of those days you also could be required to also be on call all night long while still showing up the next day. Do I have—have that right?

AL RUSSO: Yes, that's correct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. Um, I mean 3 and then you don't even=you don't get, you don't-you don't I assume in those on-call situations even 4 5 though you're like staying up with your phone on if you're not called, you don't get paid at all. Is that 6 7 right? Like you're-you're told you have to be on 8 call, but they're not paying anything for your oncall time only if they call you in? XAVIER MAYNARD: Yeah, you-once you're 10

called in, then you'd have to be required to respond.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Right, but in those cases where they don't call you even though your like sleep it disturbed, and you're watching your phone, you don't get anything for having given your time to them?

> XAVIER MAYNARD: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Um, and— did you say even then you sometimes get overtime pay and some-or higher than regular pay and sometimes don't for the hours that you might work in the night?

XAVIER MAYNARD: Depending on the amount of time that you've already put in compared to the 40-hour work week, if you would go over the 40 hours then yeah, you'd...

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, that's just-I
3	mean if you go over 40 hours you get stayed over, you
4	know, get overtime pay per state law, but just your
5	on-call shift is not paid any more than a regular
6	shift?
7	XAVIER MAYNARD: Right. that's correct.
8	It's the same amount.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Um, okay. Um,
10	and is—is that work—is this on-call work like
11	emergency work or is it just work that's getting done
12	at night time that they're calling you in to do?
13	XAVIER MAYNARD: Um, that would vary
14	depending on the actual job that needs to get done.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, sometimes it
16	might be a genuine emergency?
17	XAVIER MAYNARD: Right, and then other
18	times it might be done because it's, um, it's later
19	in the-in the, um,-in the morning time so it's less
20	traffic.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Got it but they
22	don't have any obligation to. So, they might be
23	scheduling work at night time that they know in

advance and then still leaving you on call, calling

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

premium pay.

you in in the middle of the night and paying you
nothing extra for it?

XAVIER MAYNARD: Right, that's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, and that doesn't seem right. um, yeah, I mean that's why we're here. I understand, but I just think, you know, were trying-- this is an unusual sector a lot of us don't know anything about, and I think, you know, trying to understand. So, um, do you think that-there was a question asked by, um, the city—the Department of Consumer Worker Protection, um, one model for this kind of problem is just to ban it, you know and say you can't do this practice. Another model is to say if you're going to do it, there has to be what's called premium pay. You have to pay extra for the kind of shifts scheduled in this way. Do you have a thought on which is the appropriate approach here? I would say definitely XAVIER MAYNARD:

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. [laughs]

Um, alright so that's good and we have some models

for premium pay in the fast food, you know, in the

fast food sector where there's extra that has to paid

for these kind of on-call—these on-call shifts. Um,

has that's anything like this?

okay, um, so those are my questions on the—on the
scheduling. Just on training, um, what you're
describing sounds a little bit like-more like
something that's kind of like an apprenticeship, you
know, um you learn by riding along, you see how it's
done and-and that's what we want. Um, I think for us
to-to tailor this law well, it will be useful to
understand it better. Um, is there any kind of, um,
um-well, let me ask, who's coming? Are the people
that are coming to this work have prior experience in
the field or is it—is this usually the first job some

XAVIER MAYNARD: Uh, generally the majority of the time it's usually the first job that has to do with this particular sector.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay and is there any certification at all that you—that you would get?

XAVIER MAYNARD: You are required to pass a four-hour test, which gives you the certification of the Northeast Gas Association.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, but that—so that's useful to have but not nearly sufficient to do the work it sounds like.

2.2

2.3

XAVIER MAYNARD: Well, it's mandatory that you have that in order to actually get the job, but then there are some real tough situations, as I stated that you would actually learn as you are actually out there because it's different as far as the classroom compared to the actual real world.

not—I mean in other sectors where there's, um, you know, unions and—and kind of like a more trade union model there's some standard. You know, you do a certain amount of hours, you learn a certain amount of things and then you go from apprentice to journeyman. There's nothing like that here?

XAVIER MAYNARD: Right. That's correct.

Um, personally I would—I would think that probably a longer period of time as Al had state, um, a longer period of time actually riding with a senior partner would probably be more beneficial not only to the company, but also to the public safety as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Got it. Um, okay.

Alright and I think to go deeper and dig into this on what would have to be included what you'd want, you I think we may have to figure out a little more if we're going to define that you have to have. I mean

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

obviously it's-it's both in the benefit of the workers but in this case even more to the benefit of the public, the on-call scheduling prohibition has some public benefits. So, we know you're not exhausted, but it's, you know, largely a worker safety protection. The safety training is largely a public safety protection to make sure that the work gets done right. So, I think I won't ask more questions about it here because we've got a lot of people signed up to testify on the other bills, but I think we may want to follow up with you just to really understand what the critical kind of skills and experiences are and—and how it will be possible to be clear to know, you know, and then the challenge for us will be to pass a law that says the company has to make sure that workers have that, and then can certify to the DOT and we can organize it in a way that makes sense with the permit pulling. going to have some more work to do to really figure out with you what workers need to know and how you would certify or know that they had gotten that training. Um, so, can we follow up with you guys afterwards to make sure we really understand this in

Brad, I don't know much about the industry of utility

2.2

2.3

locators. I first read about it a few years ago because of CWA, but—but at the time if I remember correctly the workers were paid minimum wage \$15.00 an hour. We see under in some cases, under a sub—minimum wage. Um, the bare minimum of paid time off, right, and no safety training, required to be on-call 24/7 and then report with two hours of receiving a call like we were paying minimum wage or subminimum wage to workers whose work is of maximum points to public safety but that was a few years ago. What's the state of working conditions today in the industry.

AL RUSSO: So, we were able to obtain a contract that had a better living wage in there.

There were some provisions that were put in there to try and boost some of the, um, it's not really the scheduling, but some of the, um, stuff that was in the contract—that they didn't have in the contract previous, which was really a detriment, you know.

It's very simple: w hen you have a company like USIC, they have to put paint on the floor in order for them to make any kind of money. So, they will send their workers out there. The quicker they get them going on the street is the quicker they're going to get them

2 out there to make paint on the floor, but that's really not the way to do it. So, we did add

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

really not the way to do it. So, we did add

4 something with wage in there. Um, we-we also added

5 something with—something that's on when you're

6 working overnight that you needed to have at least

7 uninterrupted time of rest before you came to work. I

8 think it was, what did we-six hours we put in there.

9 you had to have six hours of uninterrupted rest, but

10 | it's still, look, it's something because they didn't

11 have nothing previous, but, um, look our workers are

12 very, um, they were happier than where they were. So,

13 they definitely were very happy that we were able to

14 get certain things added into there especially with

15 the wage and especially with adding that sleep time

16 that would help them out a little bit

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And what's the wage at the moment:

AL RUSSO: Right now we have a are progression that goes up to \$31:50 an hour.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And—and previously—

AL RUSSO: It starts like—it starts at—
when we first got them I think they were at \$11.75 an
hour, and some I think at the point were making up to

AL RUSSO: Correct.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Should—I don't
3	know if you have an opinion on this. Should-should
4	Con-Ed and National Grid have their own in-house
5	workforce? Like what's the right approach or?
6	AL RUSSO: I don't know if it's-that's
7	the answer. I think if we could just, you know, the
8	same standards. Each of those Con-Edison and
9	National Grid just like Verizon they have unionized
10	workforce, they're held to some safety standard. I
11	think if USIC was held to that same safety standard
12	or any locating service that's out there are held to
13	the same standards that all of our, you know,
14	industries that we represent are, I think they would
15	probably be a value to have for the USIC workers. So
16	as far as keeping it in-house, I don't-I don't have
17	an answer either way. I don't.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I read a few
19	years ago that a company connected to the DeVos

AL RUSSO: It's owned by a hedge fund company. There are various, you know, things that are

family was intent on acquiring USIC. Did that

acquisition ever take place? Whatever became of

20

21

22

23

24

that?

AL RUSSO: I'm—I'm willing to—to delve into it and help out, you know, the Council any way that I can, and I will—Communication Workers of America will also be willing to help out. I don't know.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But as far as you know there are no mational standards for utility locators?

AL RUSSO: The only thing I know is with the workers they do have to-he mentioned something

- 2 about gas certification. Con Ed also has a
- 3 certification that they have to do also. So, that's
- 4 | the only thing that I know as far as it's a national.
- 5 I don't know that answer.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Well, you can
- 7 | count on my support 100%. This to me is a no-
- 8 brainer. Some of the issues that come before the City
- 9 Council are complicated. This is not one of them.
- 10 AL RUSSO: Thank you.
- 11 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So I
- 13 | didn't any questions because we addressed Jim a lot
- 14 of questions on the-on the buses, but, you know, we
- 15 | will see where this is going to be important for us.
- 16 Probably if you -I think-I think that what we need to
- 17 do is continue, you know, having you-you ask the keys
- 18 | and whoever you feel. Also, we will sit with DOT and
- 19 DOE, even though they are not included because this
- 20 | is basically DOT who were asked to come, but I know
- 21 that DOE is sitting back there and listening, and
- 22 know how important it is. At the end of the day if
- 23 we move on in this policy, it's going to be DOE who
- 24 | will be responsible. So, hopefully we can have this
- 25 conversation, and I'm happy again that, you know, we

- 2 | are starting having this hearing today, and having
- 3 not only at the local level, but the national also
- 4 level and knowing that, you know what we're do in New
- 5 York City we always do it with intention that it
- 6 serve national policies. So we are, you know, we will
- 7 continue working with you guys. So, thank you. Now,
- 8 | we're calling the next panel.
- 9 LEGAL COUNSEL: John Warcott (sp?), Irwin
- 10 | Figueroa, Eric McClure, Chirstine Berte, Eric Zoonby
- 11 (sp?) (background comments/pause)
- 12 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And if there is
- 13 | anyone sitting back there from Con-Ed or Verizon,
- 14 anyone, please I hope that you are taking notes and -
- 15 and if not you are following this hearing through the
- 16 website. Be sure that, you know, that we follow the
- 17 conversation because it's going to be important for
- 18 us. [pause]
- 19 CHRISTINE BERTE: Hello. How are you? My
- 20 name is Christine Berte from Chekpeds. Chekpeds is
- 21 the New York non-profit focused only on pedestrians.
- 22 Our 1,500 members are grateful to Chair Rodriguez and
- 23 to City Council Rivera. We applaud the creation of
- 24 | an Office of Pedestrian, an idea whose time has
- 25 definitely come. We respectfully submit the

2 following vital suggestion. The New York City 3 Department of Transportation controls the entire 4 cycling infrastructure while the walking 5 infrastructure sidewalks is controlled by seven different agencies and a vast number of property 6 7 owners because of this, this Office for Pedestrians should be a stand-alone office rather than inside 8 another agency or another office. The Office for Pedestrians must be independent from the Office of 10 11 Cyclists. It must not be assuming another officer 12 driven by that urgent priority. The population served by the two offices of little overlap. Eight 13 14 million pedestrians are also public transit riders, 15 children, seniors and disabled people while the city 16 one million or 1.6 million cyclists are prominently 17 adult male and some females. What these populations 18 have, our vulnerable users have in common is the fact 19 that they are both victims of traffic violence. 20 just one year in 2019, 136 pedestrians have been 21 killed, a shocking number, often at intersections and 27 cyclists have been killed often in mid-block. 2.2 2.3 That both groups are being slaughtered doesn't mean that the remedies are the same. And finally the law 24 should clarify what are the powers invested in this 25

2 office and what is in its budget. All New Yorkers

3 thank you for keeping your safety front and center.

4 Pedestrian needs-must remain the highest priority and

5 | not be some sitting in other offices driven by

6 divergent priorities.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ERIC MC CLURE: Thank you Chair Rodriguez. My name is Eric McClure. I'm the Executive Director of StreetsPAC. There are a few transgressions a driver can commit behind the wheel of an automobile that are more egregious than passing a stopped school bus picking up or discharging children and for that reason alone we support Intro 1724, which would created a demonstration program to install stop-arm cameras on school buses. The danger of such driver action is underscored by the severity of the penalties for doing so. The \$250 fine, five license points and the possibility of 30 days in The act of passing a stopped school bus is borne either from impatient callous and indifference to the dangers it imposes on others or a degree of distraction so great that one fails to notice a big bright yellow school bus deploying flashing lights and a large red stop sign. Neither is remotely acceptable, yet according to some reports it occurs

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

up to 50,000 times per day in the State of New York. Fortunately given the penalties, the rate of recidivism is low as low as 5-as 2 to 6% per statistics, but enforcement is also nearly nonexistent. Under current laws a police officer must witness the infraction in order to witness a ticket. Stop-arm cameras have tremendous potential to improve enforcement in the same way that school zone speed cameras have begun holding dangerous drivers accountable. While we urge the Council to pass Intro 1724, we also believe it's imperative that the Council makes certain that the Department of Transportation is provided with the necessary resources for administering a school bus stop-arm program. The millions of violations caught by existing speed and red light cameras all require a review, and staffing and operating those positions is a tremendous challenge that will only grow with the addition of stop-arm violations. The burden for managing that can't just be dropped legislatively on NYC DOT without adequate funding, and we urge the Council to make sure those resources are provided. We also support Intros 1812 and 1813, which would

establish an office of active transportation and an

office of pedestrians respectively. While there is
some merit to the idea that these offices should be
combined, the important thing is to establish these
oversight positions, which would coordinate among
different agencies to advance the causes of New
Yorkers who get around on bike and on food. [bell]
Bike Mayors in cities like Amsterdam, London and
Sidney help those cities greatly increase cycling
trips. Placing the offices in City Hall is critical
for ensuring that bike and pedestrian initiatives
operate across and involve the multiple agencies
necessary for successful implementation. The Office
of Active Transportation of Pedestrians would have
critical roles to play in improving the safety of our
streets, advocating for the needs of cyclists and
pedestrians and ensuring that the city employs best
practices in executing infrastructure projects. Such
positions have borne fruit in a number of places
around the world, and it's an idea that right for New
York City. Let's pass these bills without delay.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] Thank you.

2 JOHN ORCUTT: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I'm John Orccott with Bike New York testifying in favor 3 4 of Intro 1812. We won't have a bike friendly New 5 York if only one part of one city agency is working toward that goal. You know, today we don't really 6 have a consensus within city government to make the 7 streets bike friendly. While DOT works on bike 8 lanes, you know, we have all kinds of police activity with police parking in bike lanes sort of misdirected 10 11 enforcement by the police, very sort of odd behavior 12 with reporting crashes to the media by the police, 13 and you know, we think somebody really looking into how those practices work elsewhere, making 14 15 suggestions with city government could be a big help. 16 We have vehicle designs that don't lend themselves to 17 a comprehensive bike network. Our street sweepers 18 are too big to allow protected bike lanes that are 19 narrower than the ones we have today. Some of our 20 fire trucks are so big that they, you know, they 21 affect intersection design, um, and we'd like, you 2.2 know, we'd like to see those practices really 2.3 reviewed and researched and-and called into question by a different city agency. Even some parts of DOT 24 could use some push. Um, you know city installation 25

2.2

2.3

of bike racks on the curbsides is way down now from just a few years ago, and, um, the city still has yet to come up with a good protocol for when one part of DOT resurfaces streets and pulls all the pieces of protected bike lanes off of those streets every—every spring and summer during peak bike use season. So, we think a bike mayor can really, um, or active, you know an Office of Active Transportation could really call attention to these issues in a way that you're not going to get, you know, sort of a public hearing of—from within DOT or from within closed door conversations between city agencies. Thank you.

Rodriguez. My name Erwin Figueroa. I'm the Senior
Organizer of Transportation Alternatives. TA strongly
supports Intro 1812 and 1813, which would establish
and Office of Active Transportation and Pedestrians
to improve safety and interagency coordination and we
support Intro 1724 as well. For support of Intro
1812 and 1813 there's no better time to appoint these
offices in New York City, a protected bike lane
network is not growing fast enough to keep up with
demand. More people are on two wheels now with the
expansions of CitiBike and the—the upcoming

2 organization the bikes and the scooters. As we 3 approach the end of 2019, we are facing a crisis with 4 at least 28 cyclists killed on city streets, nearly three times the number killed in 2018, and pedestrian fatalities are also the big 60 last year. New York 6 is well positioned to be the first major U.S. city to 8 be appointed by Claire (sic). It was the first in the nation to have our Vision Zero, has the largest bike share system, and the 1.6 million New Yorkers 10 11 who ride a bike once a month, it will make it the work to-to have a city of their own, it would be the 12 13 fifth most populous in the country. So, the key 14 potential benefits of starting these new offices will 15 maximizing safety for cyclists and pedestrians you 16 will ensure equity in bike and pedestrian 17 infrastructure policy. We will have a working 18 cyclist champion, as well as sustainability advancing 19 youth engagement, promoting bike tourists in New York 20 City and promoting pro-biking business policies. Enforceable for Intro 1724, Transportation 21 2.2 Alternatives supports this legislation, which will 2.3 allow the city to test automated cameras to enforce against drivers of multi-ton motor vehicle passing 24 schools should does involve the school bus throughout 25

2.2

2.3

the city. Automated enforcement technology provides a highly effective solution to address the challenges of limitations of traditional traffic enforcement, and we support this legislation to provide the city of New York another promising traffic enforcement tool to address the epidemic of reckless driving and traffic violence, and we believe a school bus [bell] stop-arm and camera enforcement program to contribute to a calming effect on driving throughout the city.

ERIC ZUMBIE: Good afternoon, Chairman
Rodriguez and members of the Transportation
Committee. Thank you so much for your leadership and
your work on the bill 1812 and 1813. My name is Eric
Zumbie and I am a Pedicab driver and organizer of
Pedicab Driver and organizer industry, and I'm also a
founder of the New York Pedicab Alliance. I'm here
to—on behalf of the Pedicab Industry and he Pedicab
Alliance to express support for the creation of the
Department of Active Transportation and also Pedicab,
pedestrians. For so long public policies have not
worked for—had working Pedicab drivers in New York
City. As working cyclists we have been denied
electric assist legislation that would have, that
would help improve our work and reduce the stigma we

I'm-I'm going to be again not asking any questions because we are partners in this effort, and all those

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

areas that you represent. We are—it's going to be continue working together. I'm going to be just adding you know that as we are looking to be the more cyclist friendly city, we also have to start working to be sure that Bike New York get the addition of two hours for the bike tour so that we can be able to make the bike tour the biggest one in the whole world. We already have the biggest one here with the hours that we have, and we know that, of course, DOT Commission has been one of those, you know, big supporters and riding the bike, too, and we've been there together, but those are the type of things that we want to look at it when we say we are more pedestrians and cyclists friendly like adding two more hours for the bike tour it will make the bike tour that we're doing in here in the nation the largest one in the world, and-and we can go to the South Bronx. You know we can go to the 181st Bridge and-and go to, you know, not only expanded area, and yes imagine the-instead of going, touching a little bit in Bronx be able to go to the South Bronx and close to 181st, you know Yeshiva University and Washington Heights and come back here. You know, it will help the tourists and it will help, you know,

the visitors to be exposed to other areas, and as I
say with the pedestrian part remember when we hold a
hearing on this new custom that will limit to a
particular areas and say I support it, but I want to
see that this new community not only is being a
partner in the Times Square Plaza, but also other
resources to expand cultural activities in those
under served communities. So, I-I feel again that I
hope that we're in with DOT, you know, be able to
leave themselves open and I know that, you know, the
express not only what the team feels, but also the
Commissioner and hopefully also City Hall across and-
and with you all of you, we're going to keep
organizing. I think this is-hopefully this is going
to be another mark that this Administration this
Mayor can leave for the future generations to create
again the pedestrian and cyclists department. Thank
you.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you.

MALE SPEAKER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Good evening, Sy. [pause].

Good afternoon, members of the Council-Committee on-Committee on Transportation and Chair

2 Rodriguez. Before I start, pleas allow me to greatly 3 appreciate the committee for opening the floor to my 4 organization to represent the New York city Students 5 of The Civil Liberty. Also, thank you Chairman Rodriguez, Chairman Mark Treyger our Committee on 6 Education for co-sponsoring and Council Member Kallos 8 for sponsoring Intro 1724, Stop Arms Cameras on School bus transportation. My name is Amy Masai. I'm a Council Member for City Wide Council for 10 District 75. I'm also the Chair of the Committee on 11 12 Busing and Safety on my Council. I'm also a mom of 13 five children living in Community School District 10 14 in the Bronx. Today I speak on behalf of my 26,000 District 75 Constituents and their families. 15 16 Approximately 90% of our students in the district 17 ride school buses every day where in all five 18 boroughs over 380 buildings in the New York City 19 [coughs] although we may be a small private areas. 20 entity of the 150,000 students that ride buses every 21 single day, but we consider the majority of our 2.2 students on these buses every single day other than 2.3 the 10 months in the school year. Our students ride throughout the summer as well. Our students ride 24 from 6:00 in the morning all the way to 8:00 borough 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

to borough across town. Um, and-and just-not just general education, there's travel on field trips and district events, but we as well, too. District 75 student and parents also request a high demand for bus services for after school programs. Safety for our students in school on the buses, off the buses and in the buses are our highest priority. [bell] Although the fact that we may not be aware of the issues that go along with services shortage-shortages of services, and school staff, but safety around our children are very important, and I have actually encountered many of these issues with bus-with cars, drivers being passed through the stop signs that are on the buses. So, having these cameras on our buses will keep all of our communities and our student and the students on these buses safe. Thank you for allowing me to speak today.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, thank your, and with that, we are getting ready to close this hearing inviting everyone to please join us on the next hearing that we have this coming Wednesday at 1:00 p.m. a joint hearing together with the Committee of Aging, Mental Health and our Committee

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION	131
2	of Transportation on Access-A-Ride. So with that,	
3	this hearing is adjourned. [gavel]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 22, 2019