CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

------ X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----- X

February 25, 2019
Start: 1:16 p.m.
Recess: 4:05 p.m.

HELD AT: 25 Broadway - Committee Rm. 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: MARK LEVINE
Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Alicka Ampry-Samuel

Inez D. Barron
Mathieu Eugene
Keith Powers

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kim Kessler, Assistant Commissioner, Bureau of Chronic Diseases Prevention and Tobacco Control New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Sarah Shih, Assistant Commissioner, Primary Care Information Project, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Michael Davoli, Director of Government Relations, American Cancer Society's Cancer Action Network, New York City

Robin Vitale, Vice President of Health Strategies, American Heart Association

Claire Wang, Vice President for Research Evaluation and Policy, New York Academy of Medicine

Miguel Graham, Student at DeWitt Clinton High School Member of Teens for Food Justice After School Apprenticeship Program

Joshua Delgado, Senior at DeWitt Clinton High School Campus, Member of Teens for Food Justice After School Apprenticeship Program

Chris Norwood, Founder and Executive Director of Health People

Minister John Williams, New Creation Community Health Empowerment

Anna Flattau, Vice Chair for Clinical Services for, Family and Social Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center

Dr. Pasquale Rummo, NYU Langone Health and NYU School of Medicine

Assistant Professor Jennifer Pomeranz, College of Global Public Health, NYU

Vanessa Salcedo, Pediatrician and Director of Health Promotion, Union Community Center, Bronx, Co-Chair of the Bronx Healthy Beverages Zone Project

Melissa Olson, Director of Nutrition and Wellness, Community Healthcare Network

Matt Greller, NATO

Pamela Bonney, Co-Founder, Tried and True Nutrition, Inc. and Member. American Heart Association Advocacy Committee

Clarissa Salietto, Representing Herself

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]
3 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Good morn

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Good morning, good afternoon, everybody. Welcome. I'm Mark Levine, Chair of the City Council's Committee on Health. I'm pleased that we're joined by fellow Health Committee Member Dr. Mathieu Eugene, Council Member from Brooklyn as well as Health Committee Member Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel, and others will be joining us as well. We have three or four simultaneous hearings going on right now. So, it's a busy day around City Hall. Today we're going to be hearing four bills aimed at protecting children and all New Yorkers from excessive consumption of sugar. Introduction 5 sponsored by Council Member Barron requires signage about the risks of sugars and other carbohydrates for people with Diabetes and Prediabetes. Proposed Introduction 1064 sponsored by Council Member Kallos would prohibit chain restaurants from offering sugary sodas as default menu items and meals aimed at children. Introduction 1326, which I am pleased to be the lead sponsor of, requires notification of significant amounts of added sugar on menu boards in chain restaurants, and Introduction 1361, which I'm also sponsoring,

2 requires the Department of Health to report on the occurrence of diabetes and diabetes related 3 complications, and to develop a plan to reduce such 4 5 health problems. New York City is losing the fight against obesity and Type 2 Diabetes, and sugar is 6 7 largely to blame. According to DOHMH, 34% of city adults are overweight, and another 22% are 8 categorized even more problematically as obese, and 9 tragically this crisis is affecting children as well 10 starting at extremely young ages. One in five 11 12 kindergarteners and one in four Head Start children 13 are obese in New York City. The statistics on Type 2 14 Diabetes are similarly alarming with an estimated 15 987,000 New Yorkers now afflicted with this disease, 16 many without knowledge of their condition. And there 17 is a disproportionate impact among African-American, 18 Latino and Asian New Yorkers who are average-who are on average twice as likely as white New Yorkers to 19 20 have Type 2 Diabetes. We need to give New Yorkers every tool we can to help them win the battle against 21 2.2 obesity and Diabetes, and there is no tool more 23 powerful than information. Unfortunately, when it 24 comes to the food we eat in this city, critical information is often lacking. A quick look at a 25

2 typical fast food menu makes it clear New Yorkers are being served items that they would have no reasonable 3 expectation of knowing are packed with added sugar. 4 5 I'm not talking about desserts, which everyone knows have a lot of sugar. I'm talking about items like 6 7 the following: These are actual menu items at chain restaurants in New York City. A salad with 40 grams 8 That's equivalent to 10 teaspoons of 9 of added sugar. added sugar. A side order of baked beans with 18 10 grams of added sugar. That's like 4-1/2 teaspoons 11 12 added into an order of baked beans. A honey barbecue sandwich with 21 grams of added sugar. A family size 13 14 macaroni salad with 30 grams of added sugar. A small 15 barbecue Hawaiian pizza, 33 grams of added sugar. 16 individual order of oatmeal, 33 grams of added sugar. I could go on and on and on, but it is clear that New 17 18 Yorkers are ordering menu items, which they should have no reasonable expectation are packed with what 19 20 in some cases is more than the entire recommended maximum consumption of sugar in one single menu item. 21 2.2 New Yorkers need to be warned of the excessive 23 amounts of sugar being added to food they are eating. That's why our bill Intro 1326 would require an icon 24 25 to appear on menu items in chain restaurants warning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of high amounts of added sugar. This bill builds on the successful implementation of calorie counts and sodium warning on New York City menus, which are already providing critical and valued information to New Yorkers. If we are going to win the fight against obesity and Type 2 Diabetes, we need to empower New Yorkers with the knowledge to make better, smarter and healthier eating choices. package of bills will go a long way towards achieving that goal. We look forward to hearing from the Department of Health and from advocates and health experts on how we can partner together in this fight. I am pleased that we have been joined by the lead sponsor of Intro 1064, our colleague Council Member Ben Kallos, and I am going to turn it over to him for opening remarks.

afternoon. I'm Council Member Ben Kallos. You can
Tweet me at Ben Kallos. I want to thank all of the
parents, advocates. I see children in the audience
and I think that's absolutely amazing, students and
members of the media who are here and watching
online. Thank you to our Speaker Corey Johnson and
to our Health Committee Chair Mark Levine for working

2 to get this bill heard, and thank you to the Council staff for your hard work to ensure this bill reflects 3 4 the voices and expertise of parents and advocates for 5 the Healthy Happy Meals Legislation. The CDC, the Center for Disease Control estimates that one in five 6 7 school age children and young people 6 to 19 years is obese. Accord to the New York City Department of 8 Health, half of elementary school children are 9 overweight with one-fifth of kindergarten students 10 and one-fourth of Head Start students are obese. 11 12 Obese children and adolescents are more likely to become obese adults, and even young children can 13 14 develop chronic health conditions, and diseases 15 including Asthma, Sleep Apnea, bone and joint 16 problems, Type 2 Diabetes, and risk factors for heart 17 disease. The American Heart Association recommends 18 that children over the age of two have no more than one 8-ounce sugary drink a week. Yet, the AHA also 19 20 reports that children today are consuming as much as 10 times that amount. Introduction 1064 of 2018 can 21 2.2 help reverse these trends by requiring restaurants to 23 make healthy drinks like non-fat milk and water, the norm on children's menu. Intro 1064 of 2018 ensures 24 that water or milk 100% fruit juice and flavored 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

water without added sweeteners are preferred options for all restaurant kids' meals offered in New York City. This would be across every single restaurant. A 2017 Global Strategy group survey commissioned by the American Heart Association found that New Yorkers expressed nearly support at 94% for making the food and beverage option in children's menus healthier. The survey concluded that New York City voters are strongly in favor at 87% of making healthy drinks like water and low fat milk the default drink option on children's menus. This also would-bill would also hold non-compliant restaurants accountable. restaurant that violates any of the provisions of this bill would be held liable for penalties between \$200 and \$500. For the first violation \$500 and \$1,000 for the second violation within any 12-month period and \$1,000 to \$2,500 for a third or subsequent violation within any 12-month period. A version of this legislation was in-originally introduced in 2011 by former Council Member and current State Senator LeRoy Comrie. It was something that I later reintroduced with co-sponsorship by Council member now Speaker Corey Johnson, and Council Member Steve Levin. It shouldn't need to take eight years to move

you and Good afternoon Chair Levine and members of

25

25

2 the committee. I am Kim Kessler, Assistant Commissioner for the Bureau of Chronic Diseases 3 Prevention and Tobacco Control at the New York City 4 5 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and I'm 6 joined by my colleague Sarah Shih, Assistant 7 Commissioner for Primary Care Information Project. On behalf of Commissioner Barbot, thank you for the 8 opportunity to testify today on the proposed 9 legislation, which would require healthy drink 10 options for children's meals; create a warning for 11 12 food in restaurants that are high in added sugar; 13 require restaurants to post signage about the risks 14 of sugars and other carbohydrates, and require the 15 department to report data about New Yorkers with 16 Diabetes. The mission of the Health Department is to 17 improve and protect the health of all New York City 18 residents and promote health equity. Obesity and other diet related diseases including Type 2 Diabetes 19 20 and heart disease are significant health problems in New York City, and disproportionately affect Black, 21 2.2 Latino, and poor New Yorkers. New York City has 23 implemented numerous programs, policies and initiatives to improve the health of New Yorkers. 24

Yet, unacceptable inequities, avoidable and unjust

2 differences in health outcomes remain. In New York City in 2017, over 34% of Black adults and 33% of 3 Latino adults had obesity compared to 19% of White 4 5 adults. Fifteen percent of Black adults and 16% of Latino adults had diabetes compared to 7% of White 6 7 adults, and Diabetes rates are increasing in New York City and across the country. Since 2002, adult 8 prevalence of Diabetes in New York City has increased 9 by over 40%. Continued efforts to address these 10 chronic conditions are needed, and pursuing these 11 12 efforts is a top priority for the department. Diet is a key risk factor for poor health outcomes. Yet, New 13 14 Yorkers face a difficult environment when trying to 15 make healthy choices. Foods high in salt and sugar 16 are widely available, heavily promoted and often 17 offered in large portions. In the face of this 18 landscape, we have many strategies to increase availability, access and awareness of healthy food, 19 20 promote active living and decrease consumption of foods high in salt and sugar. For example, in 2017, 21 2.2 we distributed over \$1 million worth of fruit and 23 vegetables via Health Bucks helping to put fresh, 24 locally grown produce into the hands of thousand of 25 low-income New Yorkers. The Health Department also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

provides nutrition education in many settings across the city including childcare centers through programs like Eat Well, Play Hard, which alone has reached over 85,000 children, parents and staff since its inception in 2008. We have also produced media campaigns that call attention to the aggressive marketing practices of the food industry, highlight the importance of family support and making healthy lifestyle changes, and urge New Yorkers to make healthy choices like avoiding sugary drinks and choosing fruits and vegetables more often. department's strategies are aimed at addressing multiple aspects of the food system from production to consumption with initiatives that target food industry practices as well as individual behaviors. The department's actions that reduce the prevalence of the impact of Diabetes are similarly comprehensive. We focus on prevention and address Diabetes, obesity and related chronic disease. At many stages from baby-friend hospitals and breast feeding empowerment programs to nutrition standards in community and faith-based organizations, childcare centers and public schools to discourage the consumption of sugary drinks across the population.

2 We also work both clinical and community-based partners to increase the availability of the National 3 4 Diabetes Prevention Program or NDPP in the 5 neighborhoods of high rates of obesity and chronic 6 disease in the city. The Health Department has added 7 over 140 NDPP workshops over the past four years focusing on communities with the worst public health 8 outcomes. Reducing consumption of sugary drinks is a 9 top priority of the department and relevant to the 10 bills we are discussing today. Not only are sugary 11 12 drinks heavily marketed to youth, low-income 13 neighborhoods and communities of color, they are also 14 linked to serious health risks including weight gain, 15 heart disease and Type 2 Diabetes. Actions that 16 reduce sugary drink consumption also create opportunities to address racial and ethnic health 17 18 inequities in these diet related diseases? I thank the Council for recognizing these issues, and Chair 19 20 Levine and Council Members Kallos, Espinal, Ayala, Rose and Barron for sponsoring these pieces of 21 2.2 legislation. I would now like to turn to the bills 23 under consideration today. Intro 1064-A would remove sugary drinks as the default beverage for children's 24 meals offered at certain food establishments. 25

2 Improving beverage options in children's meals is important and we always recommend water and 3 unflavored, unsweetened milk or milk alternatives as 4 the best beverage options for your health. 5 Administration supports this bill. This will shift 6 norms about these beverages and create—and create the opportunities that would-to the de-sugary (sic) and 8 consumption among youth. This is especially 9 10 important since just one sugary drink serving can contain more calories from added sugars than a 11 12 child's recommended daily limit. Of note, sugary 13 drink consumption is especially concerning in our 14 youngest New Yorkers. In 2015, nearly a quarter of 15 New York City children ages zero to five consumed one 16 or more sugary drinks daily, and within the same age 17 range Black and Latino children were significantly 18 more like to drink sugary drinks daily than white children. These differences in consumption are 19 20 mirrored in our adult populations, and they demonstrate that it is never too early to send strong 2.1 2.2 messages about the importance of avoiding sugary 23 We would like to propose some edits for enforcement purposes and recommend limiting flavored 24 mile to 130 calories, which aligns with the New York 25

25

2 City Food Standards. We look forward to working with Council to make this important change in the food 3 environment for children. Intro 1326 would require 4 5 certain food service establishments to post a warning label and icon for menu items that contain more than 6 7 12 grams of added sugars. We thank the Council for raising this important topic and highlighting the 8 impact that added sugars can have on our health. 9 Sugary drinks are the largest single source of added 10 sugars in our diets, and nearly half of that is 11 12 consumed by children and teens comes from these 13 beverages. We look forward to speaking further with 14 Council about the feasibility of implementing this 15 policy. Intro 5 would require certain food service 16 establishments to display an informational poster 17 about the risks of excessive sugar and other 18 carbohydrate intake for Diabetic and Pre-Diabetic individuals. We appreciate the intent of this bill 19 20 to address this disease on a population level by providing information to consumers, and we agree that 21 2.2 restaurants are an important place for approaches to 23 address public health, including through health lines for people living with Diabetes and Prediabetes. 24

Diet is a key component of the individualized care

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

However, because there is no one-size-fits-all dietary recommendation for all people with Diabetes and Prediabetes, crafting a poster that provides sufficient materials and information on complex topic could present challenges. We also note that experts recommend that nutrition labels be simple and easy to understand requiring no specific or sophisticated nutritional knowledge. However, the proposed signage may not provide actual information to consumers as it does not link health messaging to specific menu items. We look forward to discussing this bill further. Intro 1361 would require the department to report on a variety of Diabetes related health problems disaggregated by various demographics and issue recommendations for reducing the public health impact of Diabetes. The Administration supports this bill. We understand the importance of being able to track progress in order to understand the factors associated with these complications, and develop policies and programs to move the needle in the right direction. The department has access to a variety of data sources including our own robust A1C Registry, vital statistics data, and Community Health Survey Results as well as the State Health Department's

Statewide Planning and Research Cooperative System or SPARCS dataset and the United States Renal Data System. While the available data does not cover all of the indicators requested in the bill, we look forward to working with counsel to develop a report based on available data that provides a comprehensive picture of Diabetes and its health impact in New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. 

are happy to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you both. I want to focus on the alarming trends that you mentioned. I just want to get the stats out there.

What is the current rate of overweight and obesity in New York, and can you describe the trend on that factor?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Current rates of overweight and obesity I think that you include in your opening statement for adults it's near two-thirds of the population that overweight and obese, and for kids as you indicated, it's about 1 in 5 in our K to 8 population.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: How does that compare to past years?

2.2

	COMMITTEE ON REALIN
2	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: We've
3	had—we haven't made the progress that we like to have
4	made in addressing this-this factor. So, obesity
5	rates have been relatively steady in New York City in
6	the past several years. They're not going down.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Diabetes, what is
8	the rates?
9	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Diabetes
10	rate citywide is a little over 11% I believe with—
11	with vast disparities in different communities.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I definitely want to
13	focus on the disparities, but just to get the trend
14	sound or the global trend. So, Diabetes has also
15	plateaued or is it actually getting worse?
16	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Diabetes
17	rates have been going up. Is that correct, Sarah?
18	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER SHIH: Yes,
19	Diabetes had experienced an increase from 2002 from
20	8% to current, and it's—it continues to increase
21	steadily.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So, amidst all of
23	the public policy interventions, all of the advances

in science that has helped us understand the

components of healthy diet, all of the public

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

information campaigns that we've done in this city,

how is it that we are stalled on obesity and sliding

backwards on Diabetes?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: you for that question. I-I agree with the-your characterization of this as something to be extremely concerned about. The rates even where we've seen rates steady up as opposed to increases, they are certainly far too high despite the efforts that we've had at the local level, and efforts that have been happening nationally and state-statewide as well in terms of awareness of this issue. OPC is a complicated issue. It's-we're really-we are up--New Yorkers are up against a lot when they're trying to make healthy choices in the city. It has to do with the food environments that we're all trained to navigate and other-and other factors that contribute to this. It would be challenging to see changes in obesity rates over time because it is difficult for people to reverse obesity once they have obesity, which doesn't mean that we can't see progress in other areas.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right, but the continual emergency will be seen at young ages means

that we're-we're in the midst of some sort of ongoing
failure. This isn't only a legacy of-of people who-
who have suffered from obesity for years, and have
challenges overcoming it, there are additional
people, young people, the most vulnerable, the most
innocent you could say. And it's-it's just-it's
enormously frustrating and worrying because of how
far we've come in understanding the ways that diet
and exercise contribute to these diseases, and the
work that we have attempted to-to spread that
information I think it—this gets beyond the scope of
this hearing in some ways. But I think it probably
reflects a fail-failure of-of curriculum in the
public school system to help teach people what is
healthy eating. It—it probably reflects failures in
the diet that were—and—and the meals that we're
providing in schools. Again, this is beyond the
scope of the hearing, but it's extremely worrisome to
me, and a source of yet additional frustration are
disparities in these diseases along lines of race,
ethnicity, and income. Could you say anything about
how the city looks from a perspective of racial
equity on these diseases?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: want to start by saying we share your sense of 3 urgency and frustration in terms of not being able to 4 turn the tide on—on these conditions in the way that 5 we have hoped to and with the comprehensive types of 6 7 approaches that we've tackled-that we've used to tackle these issues. In terms of disparities, I know 8 for Diabetes rates they are very significant. 9 think that Latino and Black New Yorkers have rates 10 about twice as high as White New Yorkers, and 11 12 similarly, that's similarly true for sugary drink 13 consumption as well as for health outcomes like obesity in terms of people of color in comparison to 14 15 Whites. We think-I think we have to recognize that 16 these problems are rally complex, and they go to core inequities in our city. Their foundation of health 17 18 comes from opportunities and resources, and what's available to New Yorkers, and those resources include 19 20 things like housing, transportation, clean and safe parks, healthy and safe food, and those resources 21 2.2 have not been distributed equally throughout our 23 city, and I know this is-I know this is a concern 24 that you share. We still believe that changing environments to increase opportunities for people to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

be—for people to be able to make healthier choices and to make those healthier choices easier in the ways that we have done with the policy approaches that we have—have pursued and the educational approaches that we have pursued can make a difference for New Yorkers, and that's why we're enthusiastic about the Council's attention on these issues, and some of the proposals that you have introduced today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Yes. We need to look at the availability of healthy food. People are creatures of their environment, and the food that is provided in low-income areas in the city and communities of color in this city is markedly less healthy. It still remains true throughout the city that—that the most wholesome and healthy food is more expensive, and generally less accessible for people in low-income communities. Hence the origin of the term food deserts. I live on 153<sup>rd</sup> Street in Washington Heights, and in my local bodega you have to go eight freezers in to find a drink that is not sugary. So, if you want a diet drink or water, you have to go eight freezers in, and the average person is not going to make it to the eighth freezer. They are going to grab what's available, and again this is

4

2 beyond the scope of this hearing, but understanding the availability of healthy food and making sure it's 3 accessible and affordable to every single person in the city is—is an absolutely key—key part of—or it 5 6 has to be a key part of our strategy. And, you know, 7 I'm so frustrated because back in the '70s and '80s the science wasn't exactly settled on this or-or at 8 least it hadn't been disseminated yet, and-and I've-9 I've often recounted my-my traumatizing incident from 10 middle school where I had a class on health, and I 11 12 was taught that pepperoni pizza was healthy because it had all four food groups [laughter] and-- But-13 but, we have learned so much since then, and that 14 15 information has been disseminated. We understand now 16 you have to reduce processed food. You-you need to reduce consumption of animal-based products. You 17 18 certainly need to reduce the amount of added sugar, sugar period in your diet, which is our focus today. 19 20 And so the fact that we're not winning that war is enormously frustrating, and pushing the envelope on 21 2.2 getting people information is really a key part of 23 this hearing today. I want to pause and acknowledge that we've been joined by a member or the Health 24 Committee, Council Member Inez Barron, who is also 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the lead sponsor of Introduction 5, and I'm going to ask her to say some remarks about her bill, please.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair for holding this very important hearing, and thank you to the panel and to the audience that's here as well to witness this. The bill is very simple. It simply says that where there are restaurants that have a seating capacity that there be a chart that informs the consumers that excessivethe risk of excessive sugar and carbohydrates particularly for persons with Diabetes and Prediabetes. Similar to the salt shaker where you have number 2 of number 1 salt shaker to indicate I think that perhaps many people have forgotten or do not-will never perhaps learn that carbs turn to simple sugars. So, they've got to be aware that the process of digestion results in the sugar in the bloodstream. So, this is an attempt to bring that awareness, to bring that familiarity. know that there are, in fact, the advertisements that are going forward now talking about the risk of smoking, what the conditions are that caused by that. We know that there's a public campaign bringing awareness to the dangers of these excesses or the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

conditions that contribute to these chronic diseases
that result. So, that's what the bill talks about,
and I'd like to know what's the position of the

5 Administration on the bill?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: you so much for your question. We also agree with you around the importance of this topic, and the importance of bringing interest in education and information of what's healthy to eat to all New Yorkers. Our concern with the bill is that providing information for people with Diabetes or people with Prediabetes in a format such as a poster would be difficult. It would be difficult to craft a poster that would give meaningful and actual information to people with Diabetes in a restaurant environment, and this is because there really is no one-size-fits-all recommendation with Diabetes in terms of what to consume and the topic of carbohydrates is somewhat complicated in terms of the way that carbohydrates appear in all different types of food including fruits and vegetables and including whole-whole grain foods that could be very much a part of a healthful diet. And so, in that way it's complicate to

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

translate this to a poster, and—and we think that
could pose a challenge.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: We love It's an opportunity. challenges. So, I have several ideas about how we can get that done. You know when-I used to teach, and that's still my gift and my calling, and I think that's something that I'll always be in touch with, and I'm sure that amongst the 1.2 million school children that are out there that they might be able to devise a very direct, simplistic poster which gets at what we're talking about. I believe it was a child who came up with the Reduce, Reuse, Recycle symbol, and no it's not indepth. It doesn't go all the way, but it gets the direct message that we need to be able to circulate and I would think that somewhere amongst the 1.2 million children and the teachers that are committed to getting them to be creative that there would be a way to get the message very directly. And I would want to know would the Administration consider that? Would the Administration work with the DOE to talk about that as a campaign, and look to see what we can come up with along with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene-Mental Health?

2	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: We
3	certainly are interested in any mechanisms that we
4	think we can use to get helpful-messages that can
5	help people make healthier choices out and working
6	with the DOE is something that we do in a variety of
7	different ways. Nutrition education is a core part
8	of our activities. We have a nutrition education in
9	childcare settings. We have nutrition education in
10	Farmer's Markets, and we would-we'd welcome the
11	opportunity to discuss how we can help more New
12	Yorkers understand what's healthful for them to eat,
13	and I think we would look forward to doing that.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, you mentioned
15	nutrition education. What in the curriculum
16	addresses nutrition? You brought it up so
17	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: So, I
18	was speaking of nutrition education programming that
19	the Department of Health offers and not specifically
20	DOH nutrition education programming, which I wouldn't
21	be the best person to speak to. In terms of our
22	programming, we offer, as I mentioned, nutrition

education, which that takes place in childcare

neighborhoods specifically, and that's designed to

centers across New York City in low-income

23

24

25

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

reach parents and staff as well as kid, and then also nutrition education at Farmers' Markets throughout the city, and that covers a whole host of topics from—from sodium to sugary drinks to using more fruit that's at the farmer's markets and it has a culinary component to it as well, culinary education component to it as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Do any of that—
does of that information—I've seen it in pamphlets
and things of that nature. Does any of that
information come in a direct kind of chart or--?

 $\label{eq:assistant} \mbox{ \sc commissioner Kessler: We have} \\ \mbox{lots of different print collateral.}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Where you have the plates and the information. (sic)

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yeah, we have lots of different information like that that people—that is available from calling 311. We also have information that we use and develop to educate providers or work with providers around increasing awareness of public health information that we want them to share with their patients. So, on topics such as Diabetes as well as hypertension and—and currently we are visiting providers in low-income

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

neighborhoods with a-with an education kit that's

about pediatric obesity, and what providers can do

around increasing awareness of pediatric obesity, and

addressing pediatric obesity.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, I think you for that, and I look forward to seeing how we can, in fact, draw on the creativity and the intelligence and the ingenuity of students in particular to come up with a poster that would be directly to the point considering all of the complexities of what carbohydrates do, and how they are synthesized differently in-individuals that would address this issue because we certainly know that in particularly communities of color this is a high incidence, and it gets to be a question of inequity in providing services and information to those communities where there's a high incidence of these chronic diseases, and it's something that I think we need to address, and not just talk about how complex it is, and not have a plan to address it and resolve it. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council

Member. I want—I want to continue a few questions on
the issue of sugar, and I want to emphasize that our

focus in this hearing is on foods and drinks with
added sugar, and-and why-why we make that
distinction. Too much sugar from any source can
still be a health problem, but the reality is that
the sugars that occur naturally in fruits are
considered less worrisome (1) because fruits have
some other beneficial health qualities and (2)
because people are just less prone to binge eat. No
one is going to sit down and eat eight apples in one
sitting the way people are prone to eating chocolates
and other things that have—have all the negatives
without any of the positives. And so our focus on-on
our bill for sugar labeling is on added sugar, and as
I mentioned, added sugar in—in dishes or meals where
one wouldn't expect to see it. I understand that you
agree with the spirit and intent of the bill, but
that you have concerns about legal matters and
implementation. Could you explain again your
concerns on—on the practicalities?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: As you indicated, we—we share your concern around added sugars, and we also recognize that the restaurant environment is one that is challenge to make healthful choices in, and where more and more New

2.2

Yorkers and people across the country are eating away from the home, we think the restaurant environment can be an important place to act. In terms of challenges with this bill in particular, the issue is that added sugar information isn't available to us, and it isn't available to customers in chain restaurants in New York City. So, under what restaurants are required to provide is to have nutrition information for their foods on site, and information—that information would include the total sugars that are in the food that they're offering, but not added sugars.

understand this, we are victims of federal failures in this policy area where the federal government really for decades has been slow to act on sugar—and this had been documented—in part because of the influence of the sugar lobby, big sugar, so to speak, which has managed to beat back a number of promising public policy interventions at the federal level, and so now today we're stuck with federal mandates on menu and recipe reporting that are not included in this critical—this—this critical piece of information. Am I correct about that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: correct about the status of the calorie labeling at the local level. So, the federal government is very close to-the federal government has made an announcement that will require that on packaged goods, the nutrition fact label that we're all used to looking at on packaged goods is going to require added sugars, and that's coming into effect very shortly. So, I believe it's in January of next year that we'll begin to see that. However, in their menu labeling requirements that are also in effect, don'tdon't include that piece about added sugars. include total sugars only. We were pleased to see the federal government have nutrition-have menu labeling go into effect with the requirements of the Affordable Care Act, and make that a-a nationwide requirement was something that was first adopted here in New York City, and so it's a positive step to see that it's being required nationally now, and it's also a positive step to know that added sugars will be required on packaged foods. However, there is this gap where added sugar information is not as a result of where the federal law is now. It's not going to be available in restaurant settings.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: We were able to have
a successful sodium warning program, which survived a
legal challenge. It's come to be appreciated by New
Yorkers. I've even heard anecdotal stories of
restaurants adjusting their recipes so that they are
under the threshold that requires the sodium warning.
That to me is a great success. If we're—if as a
result of providing information to the public,
restaurants feel obligated to make their menus more
healthy, that's a win. Why-why-why did the technical
challenges that you described for sugar not apply for
sodium warnings?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: The sodium warning goes onto any item that has more than 2,300 milligrams in that particular item, which is the federal guideline for the recommended maximum limit of what someone should consume in a day, and that information is available. That is part of what is required to be available on site as a result of menu labeling.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, here again, so the federal government was smart enough to define a suggested maximum sodium intake, but unless I've missed it, they haven't done it for sugar, and there

4

2 have been some great independent-I think the American Heart Association and others have defined it, but it 3 doesn't have the force of law, and it-it's-it's easy to see the hand of big sugar behind this because 5 6 there's so many compelling public policy interests in 7 the American people knowing what's too much sugar, and there—there is no agreed upon threshold there and 8 so it's-it's limiting our action at the local level. 9 10 And you did mention some progress on the labeling for packaged goods with added sugar. It's a miracle that 11 12 got through the Trump Administration. I quess he didn't notice it, but that really is a great step 13 14 forward, but—but this hearing today is really not 15 focused on packaged foods, which are labeled. 16 is really focused on rescue-restaurant items where it's not clear what the recipe is or what the content 17 18 is, and this-this is where we need to help New Yorkers who, as you point out, are—are still eating 19 20 in restaurants, in chain restaurants at very, very, very high numbers, and I think it was you yourself 21 2.2 who told me that a national study showed that 23 something like 90% of families got at least one meal for their child at a fast food restaurant over the 24 course of a week, which is a shocking number, but on 25

his questions.

1

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the plus side it does mean that if we can intervene to make fast food restaurants healthier then there is 3 the potential to really yield great benefits in the 5 diet of young people in New York City and beyond. 6 So, I'm going to pause now. I want to first 7 acknowledge we've been joined by fellow Health Committee Member Council Member Keith Powers, and I 8 want to turn it over to our colleague, Ben Kallos for 9

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Chair, Hashtag 3 committees one time. It's good to be right back. When we heard this legislation previously, the Administration was not supportive. Now the Administration and the Mayor are supportive. Can you share what changed in the past couple of years?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: We arewe are happy to be able to support this bill. think it will set norms that are important to help parents and caregivers to make the right choices for their kids. It sends a very strong signal that sugary drinks have no place in the diet of children. So, we appreciate you bringing attention to this. The change list that the prior legislation had-had comprehensive nutrition standards for the whole host

2.2

of what was offered in the restaurant setting, which would have been challenging from an enforcement perspective. So, we think this is feasible to implement. It's already been done in a number of places, and will be very important from raising awareness and understanding of the implications of sugary drinks for kids.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: The original legislation tied only to incentive--children's meals with incentive items. This legislation applies to all children's meals. Does that change the way-one way or another?

understood that—with that with regard to the incentive items and this is for children's meals. We will be interested to talk further in terms of some of the definitions and around the bill to make it a little easier for enforcement and to—to match some of our existing language in the Health Code. The past legislation was more comprehensive in terms of its approach or in terms of once meals were covered by the legislation it had nutrition standards for a broader set of foods being offered, which would have

2.2

been more complicated for reinforcement-for enforcement standpoint.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I think one of the things that we saw is that—is that legislation had been modeled on San Francisco, and the committee report, which I know you can download on the Internet at council.nyc.gov indicates that some of the research found that—that all that ended up happening was a ten cent fee was added in order to acquire the toy, which made it non-bundled somehow. So, how many restaurants will this apply to?

actually know how many restaurants it will apply to.

There's about 25,000 restaurants in New York City or

24,000 I think, and we don't know which ones are

offering meals that are aimed at children. It's

something that I think we would be learning as we

went through the—on up the ramp—the ramp-up stage for

this.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And—and DOHMH has folks who can engage and look at the children and go. So what would—I'm sorry this is—I'm—I'm an operations type person. So, would you be sending folks to each restaurants to inspect the menu or would you just be—

2.1

2.2

and I'm not plugging a specific company, but like whether it's seamless or—or something else where you just hop online and look at the menus and see if there's a kid's menu or not?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: We—this is—this is really the first step in the process for us. So, once the bill is finalized, we would look into developing compliance guides and understanding from industry how the bill fits with their operating environment, and then we always—always with any kind of legislation that impacts the restaurants environment make our selves available for questions, and take it from there in terms of implementation. So, I believe it would have to do—I mean at some point there would have to be an analysis of—of what the menu indicates in terms of whether or not meals are being offered for kids.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: With regards to your suggestion, currently the legislation would allow for flavored milk. You're recommending a calorie cap on flavored milks. Why 130 calories? Why not 50 calories or 150 calories? I just did a quick search, and different milk—different flavored milk products have different calorie limits.

2.2

Similarly, alternative milk products have different calorie counts. So where—where would you see the calorie count and has the Administration had any conversations with industry about how that would impact that?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: We are recommending the 130 calorie count to be consistent with the New York City Food Standards. Those food standards apply to all of the meals and snacks that are offered through New York City Food Service or any—any food service that's funded by us, and for food service that is for kids we have even stricter standards particularly for sugary drinks, and no sugary drinks are available through any of the settings that offer food to kids, but flavored milks can be served, and they have a calorie cap, which makes them that much more healthful than sugar—than flavored milk that went over the calorie cap.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Does milk offer any nutritional value over and above other beverages such as water, flavored water or juice?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Milk has different-different nutritional benefits than those other items. So, it's high in health standards, high

2.2

in vitamin D. It's a source of protein. So, it—it does have place in a healthful diet for kids. We recommend unflavored milk in general, but we think a calorie cap would be helpful here.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: With regards to other places in your testimony you indicated that DOHMH is playing a role in childcare centers in public schools, which was good to hear because I thought we were federally preempted. So, I actually want to learn a little bit if I may. Just are thereare public schools—do we have better nutritional standards in public schools? I think some folks who have seen our legislation have said, well, how—if—if your legislation is doing all of this how—do we still have canned soda for sale in vending machines in public schools? Where are we in the other environments that you're looking, which are community and faith—based organizations, childcare centers and public schools?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yes. In public schools we have worked in partnership with DOE for a long time to make those setting more health promoting. So, in addition—as part the New York City Food Standards, there are standards for the meals and

the shacks that are served, and those do appry to
school meals. School meals also have to comply with
Federal Guidelines, as you indicated, but they comply
with both the Federal Guidelines, and the New York
City Local Standards, which in some cases—in some
aspects are more stringent than what's required by
the federal government, and that's been a long time
process of-those have been in place since 2008 in New
York City. So, we've been working hand-in-hand with-
with DOE in terms of the-in terms of the adherence to
the New York City Food Standards for some time. As
part of the New York City Food Standards there's also
beverage standards and those have strict requirements
for any beverage-for any vending machines that are
available in DOE settings. So, there are calorie
thresholds in what can be offered to kids, and
requirements that there's no artificial sweeteners
either. So, in New York City schools in our vending
machines, there are not sugary drinks available for
you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: What—what would somebody, what would somebody find in a—in a vending machine in school cafeteria or in a public school?

2.2

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I don't know what the current procurements are for—for DOE, but I think they're—in the past there have been things like very lightly—lightly sweetened with a small amount of juice in terms of the kinds of items that might be available or flavored seltzers of flavored—lightly flavored waters.

have a vending machine at most of the high schools in my district, but I-I did go to the 80<sup>th</sup> Anniversary for Bronx Science, and I feel like the vending machine was still there in the corner, and it still had all the stuff that as a high school student I might make the poor choice to get the most calories possible at 4:00 when that snack time came around. In terms of the marketing of sugary beverages, to—to youth, you mentioned that in your testimony. Is that something that you're still seeing?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yeah,
marketing--sugary drinks are heavily marketed to
everyone. They're aggressively marketed to everyone,
and they-they are especially-that is especially true
in low-income communities and communities of color.
We know that there's just a saturation of sugary

2.2

drinks.

drink messages in certain communities, and something
that we tried to bring attention to including with
the recent media campaign that called attention to
the role of marketing and—and promoting of sugary

research with NYU, and I hope to have them here where they studied the impacts of the first bill, and they've--I believe we'll hopefully hear what the impacts of this would be, and so in your testimony, you indicated that a quarter of New York City children ages 0 to 5--which like scares the dickens out of me because my daughter is a year old-are having one or more sugary drinks daily, which scares me a lot [laughs] because my daughter is still on milk or water. So, you're really seeing this trend and-and how-in your testimony you indicated that Black and Latino children were more likely. How much more significantly? Is it a couple of percentage or is it prices? What the given--

## ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER:

[interposing] In that age with—oh, excuse me. Thank you for your question. I that grade—age group there was a—there was a really serious gap. It was I

believe three or four times more likely for Black or
Latino Children as compared to white children in the
0 to 5, and that was the first time that we had
collected data among that age, in that age group.
Among other youth and New Yorkers in general-I do
want to share that we have actually made a lot of
progress in sugary drink consumption in terms of
reducing rates. So there is-we have seen that from
the comprehensive effects that the-that the city in
partnership with others-many other stakeholders
throughout the city and the Administration and in
partnership with many others. Our efforts have
yielded declines in sugary drink consumption in New
York City, but we-you know, as your-as you are
pointing out and as our data shows, those rates are
still far too high, and especially to see those-those
number for our youngest New Yorkers is extremely
concerning.

much for your support. We look forward to working with on the New York City Food Standards, and complying the legislation and for getting this done. It's—it's been eight years. How quickly do you think you can get it implemented?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: I don't think that's exclusively up to us, but we'll—we would work with you in terms developing that timeline.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: They wanted it at 9:00 a.m. today. [laughter] Thank you, Council Member Kallos for this great bill, and I'm going to pass it off to our colleague Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Sure. Thank you. I'm sorry I missed your testimony, but I had an opportunity to-to catch up and read it. I am in support of-of all sort of public health efforts, most of the public health efforts that give consumers more direct information on what they're eating particularly because even on the packaging I know there have been some reforms there, but when you read-when you buy a bag of chips or candy or whatever it may be, you know like chips or things like that, like the serving size it always seems to be completely misinformative to somebody about how much what the health standards is, and then when you go to a restaurant, you often get little information about what you're actually eating, and how much they're adding into it in a-in sort of a climate where

they're trying to get you to eat more—and—and to
return and things like that. So, I-I am supportive
of 1326, which gives more information, and I share
the chair's belief that there's some way we can get
to this. I understand that it's not federal
standard, and it's—and there's other sort of
considerations here, but—but certainly supportive of
some place to give the consumer more information
about what their-what their intake is on any specific
thing. And all of this is obviously around—around
sugar. All of this also comes into context when we
talk about prior efforts around sugar, beverage sizes
things like that. So, can you tell us what—and—and
I'm sorry if I missed part of it, but any—any efforts
just generally here in the city, have kind of
reconsidered and thought about the size mandate again
that Mayor Bloomberg put forward that shows their
position on it? Are there efforts to try to curb
sugar intake not just-that-that really is about
changing consumer behavior in restaurant or retail?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yeah,
we—sugary drinks were made a top priority for the
department and the administration, and reducing
consumption is our shared goal that we have with you.

what we have been doing to build upon some of the
policies that were put in place earlier like removing
sugary drinks from childcare settings and making sure
that any—any setting where New York City is serving
food, sugary drinks aren't available is also building
on our public education efforts, and our community -
programming to reduce consumption of sugary drinks.
So, that includes things like the media campaigns,
which I've referenced already. We also have
partnerships with CBOs to mobilize people around
awareness, around sugary drinks, and they are
nutrition education efforts, which I mentioned, too.
In terms of policy, we've been excited to see the
momentum on sugary drink policy that's been happening
across the country. There's a lot of different
innovative types of approaches including taxes being
adopted at the local level, and—and policies like
kids' meals, which we are enthusiastic to see taking
place here, and so we're-we're looking forward to
continue to explore what could be the best kind of
approach. We think there is a role for policy and
with this integrate and consumption.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And is that a
3 fair way to say that this Administration doesn't have
4 a position today on the size of the beverage?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: The Administration doesn't have a position today.

tell me about other policies in other cities and states? I think there's San Francisco and some of the cities that have adopted policies around either the children's menus or just around or—or consumer understanding of it that you—are there specific ones that you guys feel like New York City should be evaluating or adopting?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: The other kind of policy that we've been seeing across the country I mentioned already. So, kids' meals is one. That's in adoption in a number of places. It's been one of the more popular ways for localities to—to move forward and address sugary drinks and—and remind people that sugary drinks have no role in their children's diet, and similarly taxes have been adopted in a number of jurisdictions. A warning label has been proceeding and considered a legislation around warning labels to have been

2.2

introduced in a number of places, and those are the
ones that come—are coming to my neck— California
actually just introduced the legislation around
portions, too. So there's a lot of momentum, and

we're-we're watching that closely and interested to

7 see how those things develop.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thanks, and I'm sorry that I missed part of this, but can you just lay out the concerns of 1326? There's a-I heard one part was a federal-lack of a federal standard for what's too much. Is that correct?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yes.

So, there is actually federal guidance in terms of added sugar consumption. So the Federal Guidance it's a little bit different than sodium. It's not one number of a maximum limit, but the Federal Guidance is that we don't consume more than 10% of our calories from added sugars, and so for most people that would be about 50 grams of added sugar per day or for the 2,000 calorie diet. And I think what many people don't realize is how easy it is to do that just by drinking one sugary drink. One 20-ounce bottle of sugary drink can contain even 75 grams of added sugar, and for kids it's even easier

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to go over that daily limit. So, a kid—a kid's max

for added sugar would be much, much lower. Something

for a moderately active 8-year-old it's more like 40

grams of sugar, and that could be easily consumed in

6 just one sugary drink.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Yeah, and that'sthat's just about the federal standard. What are the
other--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER:

[interposing] Well, in terms of the concerns of thewith the legislation, it's just the fact that the added sugar information isn't available to us. Restaurants are not required to make available added sugar information. They required to make available total sugar information.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Total sugar information. Total sugar in every single item they serve?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Total sugar in every single item that they serve, and because as Council Member Levine spoke about, the sugars appear naturally in a number of foods including fruits and including dairy. That means

2.2

- that it will be difficult to identify what—what items

  have just—what items are at—at a sugar threshold.
  - CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: But the bill would be implementable if the changes as to focus on sugar in general? Sorry, Council Member.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: That was going to be my last question so--
  - ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: If—so information that is available at different restaurants sites includes total sugars.

theoretically you have a federal sort of guidelines about the tenth. We know what sort of the average intake should be calorie wise. We have sort of a federal standard around 10%. We have existing manual labeling I believe around sodium, and we have some information about total input. That seems like the genesis of a regular headache or something sort of. I mean it seems like the bill that we're discussing one of the main problems here is actually must that not having the added value information. I think to the Chair's point, was my next question is whether you could just take total sugar because it's still—whether it's added or-or natural sugar, it still

other-or have there been any other considerations of

24

2.2

2 the Department of Health around sodium intake whether
3 it's either in retail or restaurants, or otherwise?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yeah, we—sodium is another top priority for us as one of the things that people—we want people to be consuming less of it. And we know that all Americans and New Yorkers as well are consuming much more—much more on average than the daily recommended limit. In addition to the sodium warning rule, through the New York City Food Standards we set, we set stringent sodium requirements for what's offered in New York City environments. I know we do a lot of education including we did campaign awareness around sodium as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got is and I'm not necessarily saying I'm supportive of this, but I am just posing the question have—I know there—I think there's been some conversation in the past around placement of items in retail settings and things like that? Is that something that the department is considering?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Yes.

That's a-that's a kind of programmatic approach that
we take. We can work with small retailers. For

2.2

example through the Shop Healthy Program, and that
has a number of steps we could ask retailers to take
like a-like a corner store bodega or a local
supermarket in terms of making that environment more
health promoting or easier to navigate, and so it
would be things like offering a healthy lunch meal,
making-makeensuring-make sure that you're stocking
low sodium items. Having shelf talkers that would
indicate where healthier items are. So, steps like
that that we think can help make our environment-
retail environments healthier, but those are
programmatic efforts.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And what's the incentive for a retailer to—to decide to change their store format to sell healthy items first and unhealthy maybe more popular items after they're—

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER:

[interposing] Well we work--in that program we work closely with retailers. So, we offer technical assistance. There's also community-based supporters who may be advocating for that kind of change, and we'll provide tools to community members who want to advocate for that kind of change or work with a particular store. We think there—there are a lot of

examples where, you now, the department is certainly
support of making these sorts of changes, but we're
not acting alone. One of those would be the Healthy
Beverage Zone Project that's taking place in the
Bronx, which is something where the department
through our Neighborhood Action Center there is a
member of a coalition, but we're just one stakeholder
in this coalition that's using a collective impact
model to provide—to make a call to action to
different CBOs and stakeholder in the Bronx to adopt
sugary drinks policies to make sugary drinks not
available in their settings, and—and raise awareness
about sugary drinks. And so, that's an example
that's being really led by community partners, and so
we think there's champions and supporters all across
New York City for 130 calories (sic) and non-sugar
consumption.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it, but it—I think those are my questions. I think we touched on the Department of Education and some other initiatives. So, thanks—thank you for the answers.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Council

Member. How many restaurants receive a grade today,
a letter grade?

of a sober reminder of—of just now many new forkers
are getting their food from fast food establishments,
which is why we're here, but this—it's still very
much a mass market phenomena even with all the health
awareness that we were talking about earlier in the
hearing. Now the-assuming we implement either the
limitations on children's meals or the warnings or—or
any of these other bills, they're only going to be as
good as enforcement, and that requires inspector.
Those are presumably DOHMH inspectors. You have a
force out there already doing various—inspecting for
various-compliance with various health codes. So you
have an estimate on the additional staffing that
would be needed to cover if-if we implement these
bill today for a workforce that I think is fair to
say is already pretty over-stretched.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: You know, and so I don't have any estimate on that today. I did would just note that the chain restaurants in New York City are a variety of different types of restaurants. So, some are fast food, some are fast casual, some may even be sit-down and some of them may be—they have a variety of different types of menu items that they offer in addition to what we might

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 typically think of the fast food chains that we all
3 know well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Yes. I think many of the fast casual restaurants offer the illusion of healthfulness [laughter] but often are serving menu items that have just as much sodium and sugar and-and fat content and-and et cetera. So, I think we're absolutely right to include them in this legislation, and I don't want to let them off the hook either as a place to-to intervene to help New Yorkers eat better. I'll-I'll-I just want to emphasize that-that we think about the workforce. It's needed to ensure compliance, and that we try not to just simply add more of a workload onto this -- the existing force of inspectors because that probably means things are going to fall through the cracks or that an insufficient number of restaurants will be inspected. So as we move forward on discussing these bills, just want to urge the department to think about the staffing needs particularly since its budget time, and we're looking at the Health Department budget, and we want to make sure that you're adequately staff for a function that does directly impact public health in this city. I want to thank the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Alright.

Administration for—for speaking today. We have long list of—of members of the public who we're going to

4 ask to testify. So, we're all—we are going to move

5 on, but thank you for your testimony today.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER KESSLER: Thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we're going to call up a panel of advocates one of whom has been waiting very, very patiently, and I think is arguably the best behaved member of the public in this hearing, and that is Rose Davoli, and her sidekick Michael Davoli who by day works for the American Cancer Society. We're also going to invite up thethe one and only and incredible Robin Vitale from the American Heart Association as well as Claire Wang from the New York Academy of Medicine. That will be our first panel. [background comments/pause] We need to keep a phone book around here for boosting up-[laughter] Welcome, Rose. Thank you for coming. [laughter] Thank you for being here. Are you planning on speaking or are you just here to support that? If you'd like to speak, you can kick us off.

MICHAEL DAVOLI: Do you want me to start?

So, Good afternoon, Council Member, good

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

afternoon to the Committee and thank you all so much for giving us an opportunity to speak this morning or this afternoon. I'm going to speak very briefly and then I am going to turn-turn it over to Rose here for a moment. My name Michael Davoli. I'm the Director of Government Relations for the American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network here in New York City. I'm not going to through and recite any of the stuff that you've heard this morning. Obviously, I think everyone here understands the-the grim statistics that we-we're seeing when it comes to obesity. But one thing I just want to emphasize is the direct connection between obesity and cancer. When you look at cancers in New York City, when you look at cancers in New York State and nationwide, 18% of all cancers are directly linked to obesity, and so it is often not something that we think of when we think of-we think of obesity directly connected to heart disease. We don't necessarily think of it linked directly to cancer, and so that is something when-when you look at, you know, other than smoking, there's no greater cause of cancer than obesity. And so that is why American Cancer Society is so, you know, highly-so interested in this issue. When you look at cancer

2 rates in New York City, they vary by borough, they vary by neighborhood, they vary by race and ethnicity 3 just like obesity does. Just like sugary drink 4 5 consumption does. And so, we are here today actively in support of Intro 1064. We very much believe that 6 7 we need to do everything in our power to help keep our kids healthy, and while we are-we are fully 8 supportive of the general mission of what the 9 committee is doing today, we have to limit our 10 testimony just to the sort of 1064 in terms of the 11 12 sugary drink consumption. I-the-you know, it was 13 interested that the Commissioner's office when they talked about placing a calorie cap on the sugary 14 15 drink and the kids in the bill. (sic) That is 16 something that we would definitely be interested in 17 exploring as well. We are comfortable with the bill 18 as it is and we do strongly support it as it is, but if that was something that the Council was interested 19 20 in exploring, we would definitely be interested in exploring that with them. So, I want to just stop 21 2.2 and-and just very quickly on a personal note I am 23 someone who has struggled with my weight all my life. I think about the-the, you know, a \$1.10 is what my 24 25 parents would give me to go to school everyday for

25

2 lunch, and I would get two chocolate chip cookies and two chocolate milks, and I struggled with my weigh 3 4 all my life, and my mission as a child—as a father of 5 6-year-old and a 1-year-old is to help them lead a 6 healthy life. And every single day as parents as a 7 community we ensure, you know, our job is to ensure that our kids eat healthy at home. We send them to 8 school. We want them to eat healthy at school. 9 10 Often-more and more often people are eating out. Their parents are eating out, kids are eating out. 11 12 In fact, you know it was fine, it was fascinating to me at the Starbucks down the street I grab a quick 13 14 cup of coffee. The only milk that they had there was 15 chocolate and vanilla with 22 grams of sugar in each 16 of those. The only vanilla flavored milk. The only juice they had there was sugar sweetened juice, and 17 18 while that-that -that is not a-that wasn't a kid's menu, that sort of-that captures the problem that we 19 20 have here is that a parent rushing to simply-who want to give their kids something healthy, it's just not 21 2.2 that easy. So-so let me just start up Rose. Do you 23 want to-can you say-want to just say quick words. 24 What is that, you know, you-you dictated this to me

and then I typed it up for you. So, what is it you

2.2

2 think? Why is it that kid—a kid needs to be healthy
3 and eat and drink healthy?

ROSE DAVOLI: Just say what you-? [pause]

MICHAEL DAVOLI: Are you going to be shy

now? You clearly can't be my child if you're shy.

[laughter] Come on, do you want to say anything? If

you want to read what you-what you told me?

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Or maybe dad can read what you told him?

MICHAEL DAVOLI: Alright, that's fine.

So, so this is what—so we talked about this last night. So, it's important that kids like me eat healthy. Eating healthy will help me grow up big, strong and smart. Sugar is a treat, and should not be something we eat every day. My mom and dad give me healthy food and drinks. This idea will help me—help keep me and my sister healthy.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: That was outstanding testimony. [laughter] Outstanding and an important contribution. Thank you very much for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you. To Rose, I get—I get shy sometimes, too, and the best thing to do is just pretend no one is in the room, and that you're just here with your dad and one of

2.2

- their friends. Do you want to say anything else just to add on? You don't have to if you don't want to.
- 4 Goodbye. That's okay.
- 5 ROSE DAVOLI: Mommy is watching.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you want to 7 just say hi mom?
- 8 ROSE DAVOLI: Look at the camera and say
  9 hi mommy. See I can't move there.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. Alright, don't worry about it.

ROBIN VITALE: Rose, you're a tough act to follow. [laughter] Thank you, Chair, and—and we want to obviously thank you for your leadership on this very much. My name is Robin Vitale. I serve as Vice President of Health Strategies for the American Heart Association here in New York City, and we are strongly supportive of this entire conversation. You know, sugary drinks and—and the consumption of added sugars is a very significant health concern for us and our focus around, you know, really thinking about health and wellness across all channels for—for all New Yorkers. This measure 1064 and 1326 we believe very strongly will be effective policies to help broaden the awareness and really think about the

4

2 norms of our diet and nutrition. My testimony has a little bit more detailed information relative to the 3 stats and the figures and all of those--useful 5 information about why we're here to support this, and we do have other advocates that are slated to testify 6 7 that I think will speak more directly to that point. So my testimony I really want to driver into some of 8 the more technical details, and around kids' meals we 9 have been working with Council Member Kallos on this 10 measure for the entire timeline that you mentioned. 11 12 I was reflecting earlier that when we first started this campaign together neither one of us were parents 13 14 and now we both have young ones at home. So, it's 15 become much more personal for us, but it's just 16 really crystalized why we're doing this. Before it 17 was about the science and the research. Now, it's 18 about families. So, I-I really am deeply indebted to Council Member Kallos for your long-long support on 19 this, and indeed the Heart Association was privileged 20 to work with the Leroy Comrie when he first started 21 2.2 talking about this. I have correspondence going back 23 to 2009 on this issue. So, it's long time coming. We're very excited to not only have the Council's 24 support, to having the Administration's support, and 25

we look forward to seeing this finally. I mean that
would be a very exciting day for us for sure. On
the-Chair, on your proposal on Intro 1326 we also are
very supportive of the intent of the—the policy. We
share your enthusiasm for what we're seeing around
the sodium warning icon. We are very supportive of
that as well. Sodium consumption as well as added
sugars consumption are I think very appropriate areas
of focus for the city to be prioritizing. As was
outlined by the Administration with the previous
testimony from the Health Department, there are some
technical edits that we would like to-to see happen
just to make sure that it is going to be something
that's enforceable, that is in line with the-the
current public health research and ultimately
something that—that will be—be impactful in as much
as—as the intent is behind it. So with all of that,
we again are—are deeply grateful for your leadership.
We look forward to the—the movement on both of these
bills, and certainly deeply appreciate your focus
around this important health topic.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Robin for

all your great work and being a force for good health

policy and for being here today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 ROBIN VITALE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

[background comments]

CLAIRE WANG: Good afternoon. Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify. specifically speaking to the Proposed Healthy Kids' Meal, Intro 1064. My name is Claire Wang, and I am the Vice President for Research Evaluation and Policy at the New York Academy of Medicine. I'm also an Asian Professor at Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health. The Academy was established in 1847. We've been dedicated to ensuring every adult and every child has the opportunity to thrive and be healthy. Such vision, you will note that it requires more than just high quality healthcare. It requires entire communities work together to ensure the environment in which our children learn, play and grow are healthy and safe. With one in three children in our lessons in humanity (sic) in the United States suffer from obesity and overweight, obesity remains a serious threat to children's health in the United States and the city. An overconsumption of sugary beverages is a major contributor. According to my research a 12 ounce

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

soda typically offered as part of a kid's meal can contain 150 calories and more than 9 teaspoons of sugar. For an 8-year-old that would-he or she would need to walk the distance between City Hall and Times Square in order to walk the calories off. Thanks to the efforts championed by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and many cross-sectorial partnerships, we now have policies in place to ensure nutritional standards were-were reinforced in schools and childcare centers. We also have seen an education and media campaign to make sure sugary beverages are less ubiquitous, but we do believe more work is needed. This is also a matter of health equity. While these beverages contains absolutely no nutrients, they are heavily marketed to low-income neighborhoods and communities of color. Healthy default alone would not eliminate childhood obesity, of course, but it is a step in the right direction. It is especially important for younger children who are still forming their taste preferences and calorie (sic) norms. The truth is many chain restaurants have already removed sugary beverages from their menus, and it has become a state law in California.

We believe that the Healthy Kids' Meal Proposal is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

sensible policy, and can strengthen market incentives for developing healthier menus for children. For these reasons the Academy fully supports the bill, and again we thank you for the opportunity to testify on this important issue.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Thank you to the excellent panel. I just want to emphasize one point, which Michael brought up which is the trend of people cooking less at home, and eating more out, and that means they don't know what's in their food. If you do cooking at home, as you can see everything you're putting in. You know if it's fresh. You know if it's healthy, you know if you're adding sugar, and when you go out to eat, you might not, and I think underlying the motivation for a lot of these bills is to try and intervene in the face of that trend to make sure that what people do eat is healthier, and that at a minimum they know what they're putting in their bodies like they would if they were preparing it at home. We think this is an important response to that trend, which has so many implications. I know we have a lot of members of the public. Some-do you have a quick question? Alright, we're going to pass it off to-

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I will—I will be
quicker than I was with the previous panel. I guess
to the other parents on the panel, it seems like even
when you choose something that's labeled a baby or
kids or healthy, when you spin it over it can have a
lot of sugar in it and added sugar. You have to end
up reading through the ingredients. How-how-how can
this help in—in parenting and I swear to God I can't
believe you saw it: Vanilla flavored. [laughter]
I've-I've never heard of that, and I can't believe
that's a thing.

MICHAEL DAVOLI: That—that vanilla and chocolate flavored milk is all that they sever there.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] I-I got the chocolate milk, but--

MICHAEL DAVOLI: Yeah, it's—there's—
there's—there's a whole slew of different flavored
milks and—and there—it's a—they're sold by a company
that promotes organic milk, and so that's one of the
challenges that so you think something is healthy.

It's healthy in maybe respect, but not so in the
other respect, and—and that's one of the challenges.

That—that's why what you're doing here today, and
that's why this hearing is so important is that it's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 exposing a lot of the -the hidden places that sugar
3 is constantly found.

ROBIN VITALE: And if I may, I think one of the pivotal parts of all this discussion is really helping to support parents in exactly that for both. So, right now, it's incumbent for the parents to do their homework to-to really work steadfastly to make sure that things that they're buying for their-their kid, things that they assume are healthy indeed actually aren't because there's so much misquided oror mislabeled--to just be blunt-food out there, and so I think when you're-you're thinking about the norms that we're instilling in our children. Measures like the-the 1064 and 1326 will help to really turn that on-on the side. So, whereas right now we have to fight harder to get the healthier foods, these measures will help us to make healthy foods more accessible, make that the norm. want to have the occasional sugary drink as a treat, you as a parent make that decision, you can still get that, and I think that's part of the sensible, responsible way this bill is drafted. We're not banning anything. We're not restricting parents'

2.1

2.2

2 rights. We're simply asking for the norm to be the 3 healthier option.

council Member Kallos: So, I've gotten some questions from the media about the initial version of the legislation that was tied to children's meals that had incentive items associated with them, and what happened in in San Francisco versus focusing on sugary beverages. What is—what is the American Heart Association's take on the—the change, and what we leaned from other cities.

ROBIN VITALE: Well, you had—over the—the ten years or so that we have been working on this issue, the evolution science has been I think moving along in—in the same space as we've been thinking about how to address these concerns in New York City. So, what we've learned in those early days is that the—the toy, the incentive piece it's very easy to created loopholes around that, as you mentioned in your opening comments, and there's a long list of other restaurants that don't have the 20 incentive. But our marketing indicates that would not be impacted in that space. So, if we're thinking about the most impactful, most equitable policy, having it attributed to all restaurants, if you have a kid's

2	menu that they would have to have these healthy
3	options. It makes good sense. Now, the concern
4	around both the food standards and the beverage
5	standards I think that's unique for New York City.
6	The Heart Association across the country would be
7	very supportive of food criteria as well. We would
8	love to see the city get to a place where that can
9	also be manageable. We hear the concerns from the
10	Health Department. We understand the complications
11	around enforcement and implementation. So, we think
12	the beverages are a good first step, and we are
13	strongly supportive of the current bill draft, and
14	we'll see what we might be able to do down the road.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In your testimony
16	you indicated that in the detailed 4-page testimony,
17	which anyone can read at Council.nyc.gov, you
18	indicate that parents still have a choice. They can
19	still choose to spend that one sugary beverage a
20	week, which is allowed. Not every day

ROBIN VITALE: [interposing] They have to. [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: --but, and so, why is the right to choose so important, and how would that work?

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

ROBIN VITALE: Well, I—I think, you know,
again, this is turning the norm around. So, that
right now parents have to fight extra hard to get the
healthier drink options as opposed to what we're
recommending here is the healthy options are the
norm, and parents can ask for that—that sugary drink
if they so choose. You know, I think New York City
is—is very well established as a leader in—in
appropriate evidence-based public health policy, and
allowing the parent to continue to have that—that
authority, how they're going to parent is of their
own jurisdiction and at their own discretion. But
obviously I think this helps to educate all New
Yorkers, and particularly parents and young, you
know, people that said that they need to be mindful
about what they're consuming, and the occasional
sugary drink is perhaps something that they be
comfortable with. We'd obviously would encourage to
only focus on healthy drink options, but certainly
there is some room in diet and nutrition science to
allow for the occasional treat to happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And if I may have one last question. I see in the New York Academy of Medicine reference to a piece by a Wang YC.

CLAIRE WANG: That's me. [laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I was curious

about that and the caloric calculator average caloric impact of childhood obesity interventions and I see
you're not wearing a white coat, but you are, in
fact, a doctor and are now playing one on TV. If you

8 can share with us some of the-what you learned in

9 your—in your research published in 2013 on page E-3-

10 and 313?

2.2

CLAIRE WANG: I'm happy to. So, I'm trained—I'm trained as a physician epidemiologist. So that piece of work is part of our effort to calculate. You know, many people might say a calorie is a calorie, and all you needed to do is exercise more in order to burn it off. In our opinion, that is a complicated and sometimes dangerous message that some of the industry voices might push because, in fact, when you do the math you could see that how difficult it is to burn off these calories, and that came from these added sugar that's added into the sugary water that has absolutely no nutrients. So, the example I used there is a crinkle (sic) kit size so that that's serving kids meals. In order to burn that off, you do for an average—an average 8-year-old

2	yes you will have to walk for 70 minutes in order to
3	burn that off. So, for active individuals and—and
4	children will know that we want them to be more
5	active but, in fact, when you do the math and—and
6	really figuring out how much calories are in these
7	drinks, they could be very kind of-very deceiving.
8	So, that was just what the body of research is about
9	to really be conscious about the caloric count and
LO	sugar content in these beverages.
L1	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.
L2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you and thank
L3	you to this great panel.
L4	MICHAEL DAVOLI: Thank you.
L5	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, next up we
L 6	have Miguel Graham and Joshua Delgado from Teens for
L7	Food Justice; the Minister John Williams from the New
L8	Creation Community Health Empowerment; Anna Flattau;
L9	and Chris-oh, boy-Nowacks
20	CHRIS NORWOOD: [off mic] Norwood.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Norwood. Alright,

JOSHUA DELGADO: Thank you.

from Health People. [background comments/pause]

Joshua and Miguel, thank you for joining us.

24

22

23

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: You have a hard act to follow with Rose [laughter] and we're glad that youth voice is in the house, and would you like to start us off?

MIGUEL GRAHAM: Sure. Hi. My name is Miguel. [background comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Can you share your names on social media where there's like Twitter and Instagram and things like that? We'll share it.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: Hi, my name is Miguel, and I'm attended—student at DeWitt Clinton High
School campus. I'm also a member of Teens for Food
Justice After school Apprenticeship Program where we explore food justice issues and advocate for healthy food and drinks access in our community. I'm here to testify in support of Bill 1064 because I believe kids should not only have access to healthy food, but also healthy beverages on restaurant menus.

JOSHUA DELGADO: [coughs] Hi. My name is Joshua Delgado. I am a senior at the DeWitt Clinton High School Campus. Like Miguel, I am a part of Teen for Food Justice as both an intern on our hydroponics farm [laughs] and a member of Apprenticeship. I am also here to express my support of Bill 1064 because

2.1

2.2

I think that we deserve to have a—to have the option
to make healthy choices. Oh, sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [off mic]

JOSHUA DELGADO: Behind our hydroponic farm and cafeteria and that includes drinks on restaurant menus. We support this bill that would require restaurants in New York City that serve children's meals to include drinks that are free of added sugars and sweeteners. After going into our communities to survey restaurants and analyze food and drink menus, we find lots of soda and sugary drinks like Sprite, Fanta and Hi-C, but very few healthy options.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: During apprenticeship we learn a lot about food and drinks access in our community, and what it means for ourselves on health, and we've began—we—we—well, we began to go beyond the classroom, and decided to explore options on restaurant menus. As a group, we created a survey that include—included our look at drinks on kids' menus, and the availability of healthy of substantial. We found that sugary beverages were always the default. Also, the way they are featured in kids' menus section or in their restaurant

2.2

2 themselves seems dis-dis-displaced to catch the eyes
3 of young people.

know that companies often target teenagers and younger people by using colorful and catchy marketing in order to influence us to consume more sugary beverages. These tactics work because restaurants and companies know how to tie our products to recent pop culture and imagery to capture our attention. As a student, I am busy and don't always have the time to carefully consider restaurant menus when I buy a quick lunch meal. Sometimes I make the choice that are most familiar and easy. So, if kids' menus were to offer drinks free of sugar, and sweeteners, then the healthier choice would be that much easier to make.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: Thank you for allowing us to testify before you in support of this important bill. We're happy to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: That was outstanding. Thank you Miguel and Joshua. Really impressive testimony and important. We thank you for

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 being here. We'll-we'll continue the panel. Ms.
- 3 Norwood, would you like to-
- 4 CHRIS NORWOOD: Thank you--
- 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: --proceed.
- 6 CHRIS NORWOOD: --and it's-it's very nice 7 to speak with young people.
  - CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: A tough act to follow, indeed.

CHRIS NORWOOD: [laughs] We-were like, they will make a better future, definitely. Right now, one million New Yorkers have Diabetes, and one third of adults have Pre-Diabetes. Yet, there is absolutely no city plans for the control and prevention of Diabetes. The situation, Mr. Chair, is unprecedented. We have never seen in the modern era an epidemic allowed to grow for decades without any coherent effort to stop it. It is a public disgrace in public health. We had one case of Ebola, and the whole city was mobilized. We haven't mobilized for Diabetes even knowing that thousands who have Diabetes will suffer terrible, but avoidable complications like amputation, blindness and dialysis and that without intervention 5% of Pre-Diabetics will develop Diabetes every year. We sincerely thank

2 you, Chairman Levine as sponsor, and the Council Health Committee for introducing legislation 1361. I 3 was happy to just learn that the department supports, 4 but I will review it because it shows where we are 6 It requires the New York City Department of 7 Health to finally compile a comprehensive report and a plan to reduce the occurrence of Diabetes related 8 health problems. Amazingly, this has never occurred 9 10 The legislation also requires the city to track numbers of complications like amputation, 11 12 blindness, and dialysis ever six months, and also to report on the massive data on citywide A1C levels and 13 14 measure of blood sugar that it already has in the 15 Diabetes Registry. The importance of this is 16 underscored by a just released study with intensive 17 sampling that shows, in fact, the combined rate of both diagnosed and undiagnosed Diabetes for adults in 18 New York. It's 16%, about 1 in 6 adults, not the 10 19 20 to 11% widely used. Similarly, with Council oversight to assure full tracking through procedure 21 2.2 codes and claims data, we can finally expect full 23 understanding of the disastrous complications of 24 Diabetes. For one example I expect the amputation rate will be almost double that now reported. 25

2 as we understand the full toll and tragedy of Diabetes, however, we need to equally understand that 3 4 we can pull back. Progress is so possible. The 5 Department of Health knows as does everyone in this field that very well proven education will slash the 6 7 Diabetes risk for people who have Pre-Diabetes just as proven care education for those who already have 8 Diabetes slashes the terrible complications. We 9 could bring this proven education to the most 10 stricken communities almost overnight by training 11 12 neighborhood residents themselves as peer leaders to 13 provide proven care education. Yet, the Department 14 of Health refuses and refuses and refuses to fund 15 such proven education. I will conclude by telling 16 you that two peer leaders and educators at Health 17 People. One has lost 100 pounds and taken her sugar 18 level from near fatal to normal, but tragically, it was too late for her eyes and she is going blind. 19 The other has terrible foot neuropathy and it is 20 painful for her to walk, but barely able to see, and 21 2.2 hardly able to walk, they are out every day teaching 23 good care to other Diabetics because they will not 24 permit these same things to happen to other people when it is clearly avoidable. Where is their Health 25

me, but thank you.

25

2 MINISTER JOHN WILLIAMS: That's alright. 3 If you're involved in a great evangelistic effort in this city and, you know, New York I just came with 4 the-the Governor and now Speaker Nancy Pelosi that is 5 6 being the number one state in this country that is 7 going after the gun-lobby gun, you know, violent things, and we know that all the deaths from gun 8 violence, all the deaths from narcotics, drugs or 9 10 whatever cannot compete with the drug sugar. is addictive, and it's the most-it's the-it's the 11 12 worst killer not only in America, in the world, and what you are doing here is-should be commended and I 13 applaud you very much for this bill, these bills that 14 I know that it I going to, you know, bring great I 15 16 would say benefits to the residents of New York City. 17 In the Dinkins Administration we fought to—to get 18 the-the entire city to get behind the smoking gun in public places and at work. The bill was passed, and 19 20 today we see the benefits of the smoking. With this sugar bills that you guys are offering, I am here for 21 2.2 one purpose and one purpose only. It is that as you— 23 as you mentioned today, the representative from the Administration that despite all the efforts that 24 25 they-despite all the efforts that they put into the-

funding for prevention.

25

1

2 the programs to prevent them to do this, where is Diabetes going? Where's the incidents going? 3 4 worked for 25 years with American Diabetes 5 Association to raise funds. Every year they raise hundreds of millions of dollars for Diabetes for not 6 7 prevention, research and to this date this incidents 8 and prevalence is going way up. So, what I would like for you to do is to back up these with the 9 importance of funding community-based programs that 10 would help to prevent what is happening, and the-the-11 12 the labeling laws and I don't know. You know, as you-you are saying the people in the-the community 13 14 that are suffering are the minorities, and I tell you 15 minorities don't read labels. They don't, and so 16 they will be affected greatly by that. The most 17 important thing is to-is to use the church/school-18 based preventive health centers in funding them to educate people like Health People to get the peer 19 20 leaders, to reach out to the community and educate them about the dangers and to get them to be 21 2.2 motivated to want to change their lifestyle, and 23 that's basically what I'm here to testify about. 24 Back up what you are putting in this bill with

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you for your passion and your focus on this important issue,

Minister, and thank you for being here. I'm going to cue you in a moment, and I—I have to myself quickly run across the street to a press conference. In the interim, you're in the capable hands of Council

Member Kallos, and I think we'll also be rejoined by Council Member Barron. I'll be back momentarily, but please take it away.

I'm also speaking in support of 1361. My name is
Anna Flattau, and I'm the Vice Chair for Clinical
Services for Family and Social Medicine at Montefiore
Medical Center, and I work in one of our federally
qualified health centers in the Bronx. Many of my
patients have Diabetes, and I like my colleagues I've
seen too many people with life altering complications
such as kidney failure, vision loss and amputation of
their feet. As well as being a primary are doctor, I
directed for eight years a wound healing program
where we worked to ensure high level of care for
patients with Diabetic foot alters to help them avoid
amputations. It's unacceptable that in the Bronx,
people lose their feet to Diabetic amputation at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

twice the rate of patients in Manhattan. There are 306 regions called hospital referral regions in the country and of those 306, the Bronx is number 17 for the highest amputation rate. This pattern is seen in poor neighborhoods and other boroughs in the city as well. Social injustice underlies these results. all know that it is hard to eat healthy when you are poor, but some neighborhoods lack options for healthy food and exercise and that many communities have inadequate access to primary care. It is also just hard to take care of your health if you are working two or even three jobs just to keep a roof over your head. The amputation rates tell us that once people have Diabetes we are failing them still further. Diabetic foot alters occur because high sugar levels damage the nerves in the feet so that a person can't feel a sharp object or an ill-fitting shoe that is causing the wound. The nerve damage also impairs the person's immune response so that infections can quickly become limb and life threating. Limb loss is devastating to individuals and to their families, and it increases the already high burden of disability in these communities. People with Diabetic nerve damage in partnership with healthcare providers can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

substantially lower their risk of amputation if they are able to prevent ulcers and to quickly access high quality treatment when ulcers do occur. Our communities need programs that reduce the rate of amputations for people with Diabetes, and we know from the evidence that there's several types of programs that can achieve this. Successful programs engage communities, educate patients, support preventive foot care services through primary care and podiatry, and provide expedited access to high quality ulcer treatment when needed. These initiatives enhance quality of care for individuals, improve population health outcomes and save healthcare costs by avoiding hospitalizations. However, our highest risk communities currently lack coordinated efforts to reduce amputation rates for their residents. Can we implement these solutions in New York? It might be we have no other choice because the alternative is to allow the crisis of Diabetic amputations to continue unchecked. close tracking of amputation data is as proposed in this legislation is a necessary foundation for us to start coordinating efforts to actually reduce the Diabetes amputation rate in poor neighborhoods.

still-do you-do you ever-so what do you call it? So,

25

food choices do you have in your-your high school?

25

2	So, we had DOHMH here. They were talking about the
3	fact that they said that the school meals are
4	healthier.
5	MIGUEL GRAHAM: So, we have the choice.
6	Yes, the school meals are healthy even though I
7	noticed that some—some of the meals aren't healthy
8	because some of the meals like they fry the food. I
9	noticed that they give us like it's on Monday after
LO	we had it on Friday. So, we have to wait a while
L1	before like fresh food comes back in the cafeteria.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do we still have
L3	somebody in the audience from DOHMH?
L 4	MIGUEL GRAHAM: I don't know what that's
L5	about. [laughter]
L 6	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: No, no, sorry.
L7	So, we're going to take what you jus said. We're
L8	going to pass that along to DOE and DOHMH.
L 9	JOSHUA DELGADO: There's someone behind
20	it there. There's someone behind it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, and so do
22	you have vending machines at the high school?
23	MIGUEL GRAHAM: Oh, yes, we have vending

machines.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: And it some teachers--

25

2.2

2	restaurants did you go to? Was it chains or was it
3	local folks that kids' menus and what kind of things
4	did you see? How—how did you do the survey?

I heard that it was going out on a restaurant, I was happy everyone in Teens for Food Justice. I thought I was going out to eat. Then I found out that—found out that the restaurant is actually nearby our school. So, I was like wow, I never noticed it before, and it's like I don't know-you said that you used to go around the school area. I don't--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] If I was making bad choices there was Cozy Corner. You went over the bridge and over the train tracks, and then you walked to more blocks.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: [interposing] Well, I did-well under the--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: --and there was a cute little place right under the train. Yeah.

MIGUEL GRAHAM: Under the train tracks, I don't remember what the name of the restaurant underneath there, but we went inside there and it's a nice cozy restaurant you could see, but it had a lot of let's see what would young people love to eat.

2.2

No. Hardly healthy options. Some beverages it's prepared sugary drinks, and so there was hardly any like food—drinks that is hardly no sugar-based, and the only thing that we could see they served in the restaurant that was healthy on no sugary base was like water.

those testifying on 1631—sorry 1361. Forgive the slight dyslexia. I—I—I—I hear your request for funding. So, the—the question is should the study that is being suggested be conclusive and prove your hypotheses and what you're experience is at Montefiore? How much needs to be set aside in the budget to actually provide adequate treatment so that when folks are diagnosed with Diabetes that they actually are able to treat the disease effectively without any—without it getting worse, without having to get to the place of amputation. So, I guess I appreciate the—the good Reverend asking for—for the funding. The question is how much?

ANNA FLATTAU: So, I'll just say the—on of the base things I think the health services are already reimbursed and that's not really the issue.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Initiative.

2 It's really the community initiatives that require funding.

MINISTER JOHN WILLIAMS: Right. we-we as was mentioned here, the city, see has a Diabetes Prevention Program and can prevent most of these from happening that has a 60% success rate in preventing people becoming diabetics and also, you know, preventing amputations and different things because of the education. Because of the-the fact that we believe that the Church has a major role to play in the change of anything in this country, anything that was changed wither it's civil rights or whatever, church has a major part. So, we believe that the church-based and school-based preventive health centers are areas where we can not only motivate, but empower people to make change in lifestyle, and also follow the-the-the diet-the diet habits that would do the prevention. So, we are asking if we can get a three-all we need is \$3 million, and if we can do this for you by 2020, we have a vision that is to reduce the incidents and prevalence of Diabetes by 20% by the year 2020 using the Church-Based Preventive Health Centers

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: That's nine months.

MINISTER JOHN WILLIAMS: Yes, and the end—the end of 2020.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, well. If somebody can provide a microphone and you can get the last word.

CHRIS NORWOOD: Well here it is. I think it's a question of building up around the city because you can train peer educators first throughout the highest risk areas of the city. There is mammoth literature showing of what this kind of education saves in an end. I'll give an example. Dialysis now costs about \$77,000 a year. It costs about \$850 to give someone a self-care course of six sessions, which brings down their dialysis risk by about 90%. Foot care and amputation, but Dr. Flattau can give figures. It's not just the amputations. Ιf it's an above the knee amputation can cost up to \$150,000. It's the ulcers people are constantly getting. Those cost about \$38,000 for an ulcer hospitalization. Targeted foot care education costs about \$300 per person when you target it to diabetics who already have neuropathy, who are the high risk

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 I think we would be happy to, you know, present a--different ways of doing this and-and what 3 it would cost and what you would get out of it. 4 can do that before the Department of Health finishes 5 6 its report because there is already, as I say, 7 mammoth literature, and mammoth in the field which was not supported by the Department of Health. 8 Unfortunately, with—and I think it's important to 9 know what makes this more crucial is a lot of this 10 education is occurring now through DSRIP, you may 11 12 know. Alright. That's over next year. All that's making progress is going to collapse next year. 13

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you for the call to action.

CHRIS NORWOOD: Uh-hm.

MINISTER JOHN WILLIAMS: I just to—to mention that I—I applaud the Department of Health through the—borough of Brooklyn Interfaith Advisory Group that Dr. Bassett has established whereby we reach out to the—to the community in areas of the healthy bodega, and you talk about the Food Box that they are giving out, but as I said, they are the ones that are saying that they need from the Council the funding to fund these programs that they do not have.

2	You know, as 1-1 mentioned to Dr. Bassett the last
3	before she-she retired at town hall with the Mayor,
4	you know, where is the action in terms of educating
5	getting these prayer leaders and getting these
6	lifestyle coaches to actually got out in the
7	community to influence the bodegas in there to do it.
8	There's no funding. You-you were given a million
9	dollars to put in the last budget for Diabetes
10	Prevention, but it never went in. I don't know why
11	the Speaker didn't put it in. So, I would hope that
12	Dr. Kallos would be influential in leaving this place
13	to make sure that the-the-my sister Dr. Barron that
14	needs to really make sure that there is funding for
15	prevention of Diabetes for community-based
16	organizations.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I will just-
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Just to be clear,
19	I don't have the M.D. or Ph.D. So thank you.
20	[laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I—I do have a

Doctor of Law. However, I'm told I can't say I'm a

doctor and I'm the black sheep of the family. My

father was a doctor. My mother was a doctor.

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That's
3	politically incorrect, black sheep. Please my
4	Kallos.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: You're correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Than, you.

Apologies. I-I am-thank you. You got it. Thank you to this panel. Thank you to Miguel and Josh for your great testimony and all the great work that you do. Our next panel is Dr. Pasquale Rummo from NYU Langone Health, and NYU School of Medicine; Assistant Professor Jennifer Pomeranz for the College of Global Public Health at NYU; Vanessa Salcedo, Union Community Health Center; and Melissa Olson, Community Healthcare Network. All come on up. [background comments/pause] Thank you my colleague Inez Barron. We discuss linguistics and inherent prejudice in existing phrases and always endeavoring to do better. If the panel whoever would like to go first, please do. If you have testimony, please hand it to the sergeant-at-arms and share your Twitter names.

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: Well, I don't have a Twitter name or Twitter, but thank you first of all for inviting me to testify at today's hearing. My

2 name is Dr. Pasquale Rummo, and I'm an Assistant Professor at NYU School of Medicine. I apologize in 3 advance for my scratch throat. I'm battling a little 4 bit of a-a cold. The focus of my research is on improving the food-the food environment especially 6 7 for high risk groups like children, and using strategies and studying strategies related to 8 neighborhood economics to improve the food 9 environment, and I'd like to share my findings 10 related to the proposed bill and highlight those. 11 12 I'll skip over the stuff about the statistics and 13 everything that everybody else has said so far, but 14 starting with the food environment, including the 15 location of food resources, it plays a very important 16 role in shaping obesity risks among children. 17 example, my colleagues and I at NYU have shown that 18 public school children in New York City have enormous access to food outlets including both fast food 19 20 restaurants and full service restaurants, and we also have a publication under review showing that obesity 21 2.2 rates are higher among children living very near to 23 fast food restaurants in the city, and this 24 relationship might be driven by the nutritional quality of fast food meals. So, food sold in fast 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

food restaurants are often low in fiber and high in sodium, unhealthy fats and refined carbohydrates. particular sodium intake is really high on days that children eat at fast food restaurants as well as at sit-down restaurants, and children that eat fast food consume more calories, added sugars, and sugary beverages per day than children who do not, and they also have a lower consumption of milk. So, such evidence I think demands a public policy response. Policies informed by behavioral economics in particular can promote healthier choices by nudging consumers and subtle low-cost waste that honor individual preferences. For example, people have a preferences-a preference for things to stay the same. So one way to leverage that preference is to change default options in your environment so that people are defaulted into healthy choices, but can opt out of them if they-if they so desire. So, the advantages of healthy default policies is that they are clear and practical and cost-effective, and healthy default options are also appealing because they are not burdensome for the consumer, and they don't require knowledge or complicated information. So, for example default options have been shown to

increase orders of healthy foods in restaurants with
health default side options on menus such as salad
instead of fries. So, in summation, I think the
proposed policy has the potential to reduce soda
consumption among children, and it has my full

7 support.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

JENNIFER POMERANZ: Hi. I'm Jennifer I am here to testify on 1064. So, I thank Pomeranz. you for your advocacy for public health and I share the Council Members' commitment to public health, and also fury about added sugar, but I really need to urge your, and I guess I'm going to be blunt to point out the elephant in the room or the sugary beverage on the bill, but I--I really want to urge you. think that the definition of healthy default beverage is not evidence-based, and I'm actually surprised I'm the first one to bring this up today. It is not an evidence-based definition. Flavored milk is a sugary beverage. The bill should include just plain unflavored, unsweetened milk of any fat percentage. Actually, the science fully supports that, and there should be an evaluation component especially on the juice part. So, just to give you a few more points

2 on-on my summary of my much longer testimony that you have in front of you, flavored milk is contrary to 3 American Heart Association recommendations. 4 contrary to nutrition science. It is not 5 reimbursable under WIC or CACFP for children under 6 five and this is because it is considered a sugary beverage and it's not from nutrition science. Yet, 8 whole plain milk is completely healthy, and studies 9 show that over time children that actually drink 10 whole milk gain less weight than children that drink 11 12 low-fat milk, and so there is a lot of wrong outdated recommendations that we should be focusing on low fat 13 diets and non-fat dairy, but this is basically based 14 15 on theoretical considerations about isolated 16 nutrients, and not empirical evidence on the clinical effects of milk. And I really-the biggest point I 17 18 want to make here is that both Burger King and Wendy's and other fast food restaurants are glad to 19 20 voluntarily comply with the standard, and the American Beverage Association agrees with this 21 2.2 standard and why is this? It's because the research 23 shows that that if you have early adoption of sugary beverages and sweet drinks early in life, it 24 increases preference for sweet drinks later in life. 25

2 So, they're basically building up their clients' health right now on sugary-on sugary beverages 3 including chocolate milk, and you guys were all 4 laughing about white milk and chocolate and vanilla 5 milk, and this is a sugary beverage that's in your 6 7 default of the bill. California's law does not include flavored or sweetened milk, and Connecticut's 8 bill that was just proposed last month does not 9 include flavored or sweetened milk, and we're in New 10 York City, and we are like the leaders of public 11 12 health, and we should keep staying being the leaders and I think you are totally committed to this, and 13 14 being a leader in public health. And in order to 15 make that commitment a true one, we have to take the 16 sugar beverage out of the default beverage option. 17 And the last thing is that the Beverage Association often says that-that it should be included because 18 it's in school meals, and we all know that sugary 19 20 beverage—that flavored milk is included in school meals, but this is because it's a USDA run program 21 2.2 and the USDA's entire goal is promote our 23 agricultural supply in-in the food supply, and dairy, increasing dairy consumption is actually a goal of 24 our school food program. Increasing dairy 25

2.2

consumption I s not a goal for the—the default beverage, and the other thing is actually school foods studies show that—that we should be taking out chocolate milk and even New York City's own Healthy School Initiative suggest keeping chocolate out of—milk out of schools. So, and—and I just really want to point out the irony that we've been talking about added sugar and Diabetes the entire time we've been here, and no one is recognizing that the sugary beverage is still in the bill. So, I urge you to please take—amend that definition, and I would have the full support of me, and I think other people that have testified and would have liked to have said what I said, but probably couldn't. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

DR. VANESSA SALCEDO: Thank you and good afternoon. My name is Dr. Vanessa Salcedo, and I'm a Pediatrician and Director of Health Promotion of Union Community Health Center in the Bronx, and I'm also the Co-Chair of the Bronx Healthy Beverage Zone project. As a pediatrician, I help—I see childhood obesity on a daily basis, but I don't normally worry about the obesity. I've seen the consequences of obesity. So, let me quickly tell you about one of my

2 patients. He's a 10-year-old boy who suffers from obesity, and doesn't drink any water. All he drinks 3 4 are sugary beverages such as sweetened teas, sodas and sports drinks. I did a full workup and I quickly 5 found that he has fatty liver disease, and for fatty 6 7 liver disease, I have to send him for a liver biopsy, and this is something we don't really talk about. 8 Unfortunately, there's more growing evidence that 9 10 sugary beverage are contributing to the silent epidemic of liver disease. Yes, specialists know 11 12 that the future of fatty liver disease is causing-13 will-excuse-GI specialists note that in the near future fatty liver disease will be the number one 14 15 cause of liver transplant in this country. So, I 16 quickly advised my patient to stop drinking all 17 sugary beverages. To my surprise, he did. He 18 started drinking water, and seltzer and after three months I saw his liver improving. I couldn't believe 19 20 it myself. These stories are becoming too familiar and our families are suffering from these preventable 21 2.2 diseases such that we've talked about today. 23 Diabetes, liver disease, and we haven't mentioned the chronic ill-disease of severe tooth decay that our 24 kids are experiencing and the evidence is clear that 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

these are contributing to chronic disease because of sugary beverages, and this is why my patients in myand our community are a driving force of the Healthy Beverage Zone, also known and HBZ, which is this grassroots cross sector collaboration that's focusing on promoting healthy beverages throughout the Bronx for everyone who lives and works in the Bronx. HPD has been going on since April of 2017 and we've gotten great moments. We have 63 partners and these include churches, schools, health centers, hospitals, Community-based organizations that have committed to remove sugary beverages from their vending machines meetings, providing more waters, and we are educating the employees similarly like what the students were If the teachers are bringing in the sugary saying: beverages, what example are they setting, does it really matter if they don't have it in the vending machines? They're leading by the wrong example. So, we're educating the employees, and we're asking them to take a pledge not to drink sugary beverages and be a role model. We know that focusing on this small change will lead to a big impact in the health of the community, and we're gaining momentum. next step is removing the sugary beverages for the

2 kids' meal. We need to set that example. So thank

3 you for that opportunity, and I fully support Bill

4 1064, and I would be happy to answer any questions.

5 Thank you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MELISSA OLSON: Can you hear me?

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes, uh-hm.

MELISSA OLSON: Thank you Chairperson Levine and members of the Committee on Health for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Melissa Olson. I'm the Director of Nutrition and Wellness at Community Healthcare Network, CHN. We're a network of 14 federally qualified health centers including two school-based health centers and a fleet of medical mobile vans. We provide affordable primary care, behavioral health, dental and supportive services to 85,000 under-served New Yorkers annually in Manhattan, Queens, Brooklyn and the Bronx. As part of our mission to treat the whole patient, CHN offers a range of nutrition-related services to support healthy choices around eating and chronic disease management. We offer nutrition services at all 14 sites including Diabetes management and pediatric nutrition services, too. CHN also participates in the city's HealthFlex Program and the

2 Corbin Hill Food project, which brings affordable vegetable boxes to our Crown Heights and Williamsburg 3 Health Centers on a weekly basis during the summer 4 and fall months. In addition to these programs, our 5 Nutrition Team frequently offers in-person cooking 6 7 demos and hosts walking tours at local farmer's markets. Beyond direct service, CHN regularly 8 advocates on behalf of its patients to promote 9 greater accessibility, and equity throughout the New 10 York City food system. These include efforts of 11 12 supporting consumer education and choice, and data 13 driven interventions addressing patterns of nutrition related disease. The proposed legislation at today' 14 15 hearing addresses salient factors contributing to 16 growing rates of childhood obesity, Diabetes and 17 Diabetes related illness throughout New York City. 18 CHN strongly supports intros 1064, 1326, 5 and 1361 with the following considerations. For Intro 1064, 19 which proposes switching the default beverage in 20 children's meals to one of three healthy options. 21 2.2 addresses a significant challenge in maintaining the 23 healthy lifestyle for both children and adults. Research shows that children's dietary habits set the 24 trajectory for their nutritional choices throughout 25

2 the rest of their adult life. Children who assume healthier eating habits at a young age are more 3 likely to maintain better dietary habits as they grow 4 5 older. However, the prevalence of unhealthy food options oriented towards school age children as well 6 7 as heavily-heavy marketing associated with these products makes it challenging for young people to 8 start off on the right foot. Additionally, children 9 living in neighborhoods with limited access to 10 affordable healthy options are even more likely to 11 12 have early exposure to unhealthy food and beverage 13 options. We believe Intro 1064 will facilitate 14 healthier consumption habits by making healthy 15 beverages the default option for children's meals 16 without eliminating the element of choice. I will add that I agree with my colleague about not 17 18 including flavored milk in the definition of healthy In my family chocolate milk is considered a 19 options. 20 It's not a beverage option. So with that dessert. amendment, we also ask the committee to also consider 21 2.2 whether this type of legislation could include venues 23 used for children's birthday parties. locations are another environment where children are 24 25 often serve high sugar beverages of preset meals as

part of the party package. Council Member Kallos, you will see this in a couple of years when your baby 3 4 daughter makes that birthday party circuit. But any 5 legislation addressing these bases, of course, would be limited to locations where meals are provided by 6

7 the venue itself, but it would be nice to see water served with the pizza and cake instead of Hawaiian 8

Punch. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I'm the awful parent who brings healthy food to the party.

MELISSA OLSON: [laughter] The second bill Intro 1326 focuses on empowering the consumer to make healthy choices. While we support the intentions of this legislation, we encourage the committee to consider the possibility of information overload on an already crowded menu display, especially in food establishments already required to post calorie information. While the goal this legislation is to help individuals make a healthy choice, it is also important that the information displayed is consumer-friendly. One method that has been implemented in certain food establishments is the use of a healthy icon to indicate items—thank you-to indicate items that are the healthy choice.

2 Of course, this method assumes a certain level of food literacy, and would require establishments to 3 define what a healthy food option means. So, Intro 4 Number five would complement nicely to show what a 6 healthy food option means in health literate and 7 digital way. We certainly like the idea of crafting such a poster in partnership with the DOE, and 8 schools across New York City. Ultimately, CHN is 9 supportive Intros 5 and 1326, but encourages the 10 committee to consider additional ways to display 11 12 nutrition information that makes it easy for the 13 consumer to make the healthy choice much in the same 14 way Intro 1064 makes the default drink option in 15 children's meals the healthy choice. Finally, Intro 16 1361 calls upon the Department of Health and Mental 17 Hygiene to investigate trends in and develop plans 18 for mitigating Diabetes and Diabetes related illness. CHN is fully supportive of this measure, and 19 20 encourages the analysis to account for disparities related to race, ethnicity, income and geographic 21 2.2 location. In a recent report the Department of 23 Health noted significant racial disparities in childhood obesity. These phenomena are strongly 24 linked to other factors disproportionally affecting 25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	communities of color including limited access to
3	affordable healthy food. We encourage the city to
4	take into account these factors when planning to take
5	out a (sic) list of recommendations for
6	implementation. We also recommend that the results
7	of this study be incorporated into a public health
8	campaign encouraging New Yorkers to engage in regular
9	primary care, and to adopt healthy lifestyle habits
10	as a means to improve Diabetes related statistics.
11	CHN applauds the City Council for introducing
12	legislation that would address high rates of obesity
13	and Diabetes throughout the city. We thank the
14	Chairperson and the Committee again for the
15	opportunity to speak today, and we hope to continue
16	working with the city to address issues of food
17	access, equity and health. Thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you. I

have a handful of questions. First to Dr. Pasquale Rummo. Is it Rumo?

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: [off mic] Rummo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you. Can you tell me a little bit—there's a piece in here cited. I believe it is understanding bias and relationships between the food environment and diet,

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

correct.

quality of the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults, CARDIA, which was published in the 3 Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health in 2017, 5 and I believe you are the lead author. Is that

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Can you tell me about how your first-you first hand research on the matter informs your testimony on that, and what the impact-what you found in your specific research.

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: Right. So, we looked at whether the availability of fast food restaurants in different types of food outlets affected individuals, in this case adults. The study was about adults, and their risks-not their risks. Sorry. Their diet quality, and we found that those who had a greater availability of fast food restaurants and convenience stores around where they lived were more likely to have poor diet quality including lower consumption of whole-whole grains and fruits and vegetables as well as higher consumption of sugar in beverages.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In your testimony you mentioned two behavioral economists Thaler and-

2.2

and Cass Somfina (sic) both of whom I've had the opportunity to collaborate with around a project I'm working on called automatic benefits.

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Can you—is this something where we should be bringing both of them to the table on this issue or you were just referencing their work on Nudge, which is a program that I actually very much enjoyed. He—I actually asked him some personal questions about things he had cited as poor decisions in his book, and he's actually since corrected. But would that work with Sonstein (sp?) be involved in this, and should—should we be inviting them to testify in the future.

DR. PASQUALE RUMMO: Right. Yeah, I think—well you should if you want to continue making policies surrounding healthy default options because I think those are very—they are shown to be effective strategies to manage people to make healthy food choices but still allowing them to make other choices—less healthy choices if they so desire. So, that's—I was stating it in the context of supporting the fact that you're using healthy defaults here

we-and I think it's now to-you can literally look at

25

2.2

the testimony, which you just heard it from the transcript that this is legislation that we believe we can pass. So, I guess the question is: is the flavored milk a deal breaker for you and such a deal breaker that it would be worth another 3 to 10 years of the status quo or is it one of those things? And I'm a software developer in addition to being a lawyer it's iterative, and it would mean that we would set a new normal, and yes the new normal would still include a—a milk beverage that is flavored, but we would be taking soda and so many other beverages and—and sugar added. So, I guess that the—the honest response.

JENNIFER POMERANZ: So, a few thoughts.

The firs is that I-I still feel that New York City we think of ourselves as a leader, and yet we're—we are falling behind California and Connecticut if we stick with this definition, which is an embarrassment to us all, but the truth is I understand that perspective that the political feasibility may outweigh the evidence—based definition for some people and if—but I would encourage you to then include and evaluation component, which specifically looks at what's happening with these default options, and a lot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the research-Yeah, no-I mean a lot of the research in the schools show that what happened when they took out flavored milk some milk consumption there was a dip in plain milk consumption, but then it started to rise again once the students got used to it. Just like will happen in the restaurants in New York City and P.S. we're not banning anything. They can fully ask for the flavored milk. So I think that that is something to strive for, and unfortunately the country started bringing back chocolate milk when it really was seeing an increase in plain milk. And interestingly enough the 100% juices when they offered a 100% juice, that's when the plain-the milk started to drip-drop more. So, there's a lot of interactions among the beverages that you're offering. So, you really need to evaluate your current definition if you implement it or any other revised definition, and then see if-- You know, I would hope that you guys have the courage to revise the definition if it turns out that you-you know, tis isn't-the-the non-evidence based definition didn't work as you had hoped.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: My recollection you've got somebody who is really ready and willing.

I think it is a matter of we have to negotiate the
bills with the Administration. The good news is
they'd like to cap it at 130 calories. It still
doesn't sound like it will be good enough, but what I
will say is I did a quick Google, and in reference to
the vanilla and chocolate milk that we were
discussing, the-the brand is Horizon and it is a-a
milk that I drink at home that doesn't have that many
calories when I drink it. So, it is a—it was a
little bit surprising, and so I look forward. Dr.
Salcedo, thank you for all the Tweets during the
hearing. I-I did a quick Google of fatty liver
disease, and Dr. Google says that is actually more
prevalent as a symptom of folks who are-have-have
issues with drinking. So, I guess how—is that—is
that accurate and how often do you see fatty liver in
the-in a youth population versus an adult population
and it's kind of scary.

DR. VANESSA SALCEDO: Yes. So, more and more evidence is showing that sugary beverages act similarly to alcohol on the liver and that's the first step--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]

25 Wow.

### COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

DR. VANESSA SALCEDO: to CITTOSIS.
So, this is becoming a huge epidemicI am not a GI
specialistespecially because of the fructose it
goes to the liver, and increasing—it increases the
fat, and then as it continues, as it continues it
goes to Cirrhosis and, of course, this is—it takes
decades to occur, but if we don't stop that process
and stop the obesity and stop the sugary beverage
consumption, this is a huge problem. And talking
about disparities, this is—in California they're
looking into this more and more and unfortunately the
Latino population have genetic predisposition for
this fatty liver disease. So, it's non-alcoholic
fatty liver disease, and it's-and it gets triggered
sugary beverages as well as alcohol.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And that's thethe Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease NAFLD?

DR. VANESSA SALCEDO: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, that I'm—

I'm learning more, and I guess just to the last—last question to Melissa Olson. So, yes I—I—I now get to spend my weekends at birthday parties and so I guess I would be—does your organization have capacity to investigate. This legislation would apply to any

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2	place that has a letter grade. So, you're-you're
3	right there are places that are serving food, and I
4	imagine—I guess they're getting it catered so
5	MELISSA OLSON: So, it would apply to

MELISSA OLSON: So, it would apply to them as well. That's what I was hoping for.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I'm-I'm not sure, but if-if you have capacity as part of the Bronx Healthy Beverage Zone, and what you're doing to even just do a quick survey and whether--you're welcome in my district. You're welcome to come to the places that—that my daughter plays or-or where have you because I'm-I'm eager and interested, and I think it's just a matter of figuring out exactly what the universe looks like. Would you be open to that?

MELISSA OLSON: Healthy Beverage Zone was through them, but I think that we would be open to looking at what's in our surrounding communities by clinics as well. I also would imagine that anything that's happening for letter grade establishments it will have a ripple effect with the other establishments as well. Even if they're not serving food on site, they would-they would start to comply as well. We could certainly look into that.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And I would just
3	say I think I did something wrong because for her
4	first birthday we offered our daughter like for the
5	first time like a piece of cake
6	MELISSA OLSON: [interposing] Oh, no.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS:and she likes
8	MELISSA OLSON: That's completely right.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Oh, no she spat
10	it out, and then she went straight to the fruits and
11	vegetables that we had for her. [laughter]
12	MELISSA OLSON: So then you take it.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: No worries.
14	Okay. Thank. [background comments]
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: We have one final
16	panel. I will call up now Matt Greller from NATO not
17	the one that Trump hates.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Are you sure.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And, Pamela Bonney
20	from the Tried and True Nutrition, Inc. and finally
21	Clarissa Salietto representing herself, and if you'd
22	like to-great. Thank you. If you'd like to kick us
23	off, Matt.
24	MATT GRELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon. My name is Matt Greller. I'm an

25

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

attorney and Lobbyist here on behalf of one of my
clients, NATO, the Theater Owners of New York State,
and as you alluded to, this is not the NATO that
defends Europe. They are the ones more concerned
about the Oscars last night. It's a not-for-profit
trade association representing movie theaters. In
New York City, NATO represents 37 theaters, 312
screens and 1,800 employees across the five boroughs.
Despite the very well intentioned reasons behind both
Introduction 1326 and Introduction 5, NATO opposes
both bills because we think that they will only add
confusion, and positively impact public health.
Additionally, we question whether the signage or the
warning label will truly help the fight against the
complex problem like obesity. The average New Yorker
only goes to the movie four—the movies four times a
year, and orders concessions just twice. During
those two purchases a year, that person is looking
for an enjoyable night out, and perhaps a treat.
Most of our candy comes pre-packaged with labels that
include the mount of sugar, and we do not think that
any movie patron is surprised that our candy contains
sugar. Yes, there are some foods out there that have
surprising levels of sugar, but do obviously sugary

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

foods really need a sugar warning icon? Is the movie theater the right forum for the government to alert patrons about too much sugar? Maybe the problem of obesity is too complex, and more warning icons or posters are not the best one-size-fits-all approach for all foods or for all food service establishments. Instead, we suggest the following: More advertising, more collaboration and more education. Why not see state funding for nutrition awareness ads with basic information? The theaters would be happy to run them. So people understand what a calorie is or what the recommended daily allowance of 2,000-2,000 calories are. Do people know what is meant by 12 grams of added sugar? Do people understand that AHA suggested sugar intake for men, 150 calories verse the 100 calories for women. Why not advertise this information? We think that more context can have a We also ask the Council to greater impact. collaborate with the food industry on messaging. Many in the industry are already voluntarily reducing sodium and sugar, and we could partner on a task force to elevate nationwide best practices. instead of looking to add yet another warning label, or an additional poster, we suggest amending language

2 that is already mandated by the FDA with insertions. That language is 2,000 calories a day is used for 3 general nutrition advice, but calorie needs vary. 4 Additional nutrition information available upon request. We suggest add the words "with calories 6 7 from added sugars not exceeding 100 per day per women and 150 per day for men." And the words "...and 8 allergen" after addition nutrition. These changes 9 10 would alert patrons-patrons to ask about allergens. They would educate the public about how much sugar 11 12 they should be eating, and it would easily allow 13 customers to find out about all other ingredients. 14 Instead of a sugar warning label today and 15 potentially separate warning labels for each other 16 individual ingredient, why not do it all at once with just one sign that is already mandated. This will 17 18 help food service establishments with certainty, and prevents cluttering the very limited space on menu 19 20 boards. As part of this effort we could easily provide the full nutrition information for every 21 2.2 single menu item either through a QR code, online, on 23 an app, or even with a laminated sheet of paper available at the register. We think this would also 24 provide readily usable, understandable and actionable 25

#### COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

information for all our customers. Also, with over 10% of the population having a food allergy, we think the City Council could lead in this field. Therefor, we respectfully urge the Council to forego the single ingredient warning label or the single ingredient poster. Again, we suggest a comprehensive approach combined with more advertising, more collaboration and more education. This will help all New Yorkers know about all ingredients, allergens and nutrition with just one sign that is already mandated. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much,

Matt. Could you just clarify? Are your theaters

subject to letter grade system currently?

MATT GRELLER: Yes, they are considered a food service establishment.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. Our intention is actually not to add labeling requirements on to packaged foods that already have nutrition labeling. It seems like the kind of thing that could be fixed in the bill, and though I understand it's not explicitly addressed in the bill, as I said earlier in the hearing, I—I don't think we need labeling on the kind of foods, which are obviously high in sugar,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

desserts and sweets and et cetera , and there may be no items that you sell that are not already obviously sugary in a way that a lot of the fast food establishments have foods that you would never expect with so much added sugar. So, definitely look forward to continuing that conversation with you.

MATT GRELLER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. Please.

PAM BONNEY: Hi. I'm Pam Bonney. I'm a registered Dietician/Nutritionist and Co-Founder of Tried and True Nutrition, and I'm also a Member of the American Heart Association Advocacy Committee in New York City. My Twitter handle is At TNT Nutrition. Members of the Committee on Health, over the past 30 years Americans have steadily consumed more and more added sugars in their diets, which has contributed to the epidemic of living at an unhealthy weight. According to the 2018 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics, the prevalence of obesity among adults estimating-estimated using Anne Haynes Data increase from 2000 through 2014 from 30.5% to 37.7%. Our country has grown accustomed to an excessive diet high in calories, and other nutritional concerns, and it's unfortunately starting with our youth. The same

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

report cited above also shows us that the prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents age 2 to 19 years is 33.4%. We are setting our children up for a lifetime of weight related challenges most notably chronic illnesses such as Diabetes, heart disease, stroke, some cancers and many others. Reducing the amount of added sugars we eat cuts calories and can help improve heart health and control weight. Since 1997, I have been helping clients do just that, achieve their nutrition and fitness goals in my private practice as a registered dietician/nutritionist, and as a pediatric nutritionist. My personal and profession experience has shown that the consumption of sugary drinks must be a top priority when counseling new patients, and science backs this up. Studies have found a significant link between sugary drinks consumption and weight gain in children. One study found that for each additional 12 ounce soda children consume each day, the odds of becoming obese increased by 60% during one and a half years of follow-up. 1064 is a sensible proposal that supports parents who want to instill a healthy standard for the children's nutrition. By making the healthy drink options more

2.2

accessible, we are establishing a new norm for our children. It's appropriate to think of sugary drinks as a treat, something that is unusual and not typical of a restaurant meal. Parents will still be given the option to choose these drinks, but will be more likely to choose the healthy versions as those will be the default on the menu. Giving parent choices as opposed to allowing restaurants to continue making the decisions for us is a responsible move. I applaud Council Member Kallos, Chair Levine and Speaker Johnson for their leadership on this issue, and look forward to its full passage into law. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much, and we'll close out with our final testimony, and I know that Council Member Barron has some questions.

Ms. Salietto please.

CLARISSA SALIETTO: Yes, thank you. My name is Clarissa Salietto, and I'm here in support of Bill 1064-A. I'm just representing myself. I grew up in the South Bronx in my haven community of the Bronx, and as many of us know, the Bronx is ranked as the unhealthiest county in New York State.

According to the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, I've

2 not only seen the impact of sugar beverages, I've also experienced it in my life. I've lived it. 3 4 neighborhood is surrounded by fast food restaurants, 5 and bodega making unhealthy options easily 6 accessible, and appealing to young people. On my way 7 to work on a train on buses in the parks, I see children as young as toddlers with juices even sodas 8 that contain lots of sugar. At the age of 34 I was 9 10 obese weight 283 pounds and diagnosed with Type 2 Diabetes. Most of my sugar intake came from juice 11 12 After making the choice to only drink and soda. water for two years and cut out all sugar beverages 13 from my diet, I'm no longer diabetic and I've lost 14 15 100 pounds. This should not be the first option for 16 our young people because the long-term effect will be 17 detrimental to their lives. The Council today is 18 considering a bill that will replace sugary drinks with healthier versions. This will make it more 19 normal for kids to drink water and milk as opposed to 20 juice and soda like I did. This should set the next 21 2.2 generation on a path-on a healthier path where sugary 23 drinks are rare, occasional—an occasional treat and 24 they pay more attention to what they're putting in their bodies. Good nutrition should be available to 25

- al New Yorkers. This law will help young people I
  seen in my neighborhood live a healthier life, and
  hopefully would help everyone in the South Bronx do
  the same. Thank you.
  - CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: What an inspiring note to conclude the hearing on. Thank you so much for coming and for speaking out, and--
  - CLARISSA SALIETTO: Absolutely. Thank you.

You on—on your own personal success but also turning that around to advocate for others in Motthaven and—and around the city, and what—what you say is so true. The calories that you take in, in drinking don't really trigger the same kind of sensation and—and your body as being full the way eating food—food. So, we can drink and drink and drink with all kinds of sugar, and we don't feel that we're filling up with calories even though we're packing them into our body. So, it's particularly dangerous.

22 CLARISSA SALIETTO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And remarkable that just doing that one change to someone's diet, which is just cutting out the high sugar, high calorie

2.2

drinks can be so transformative. So, we congratulate you on that.

CLARISSA SALIETTO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And thank you for speaking out, and I think that my colleague Council Member Barron has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and thank you to the panel for coming and sharing your positions and to the last panelist, congratulations.

CLARISSA SALIETTO: Thank you, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That must have taken quite a commitment, but you realized the importance of that for your long-term health. So, I commend you with that. You're a real model--

CLARISSA SALIETTO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: --and that will inspire me to drink less sugary beverages, you know. So, again, I want to really commend you, and I know your family is pleased with that, and to say that you no longer have diabetes is what we're trying to get people to understand. What we're trying to get our people is the same. Thank you so much, and to the

#### COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

- 2 first panelist from Mr.——I think you name is Mr.
- 3 Geller or Keller.
- 4 MATT GRELLER: Greller yes.
- 5 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, that's
- 6 Breller.

1

- 7 MATT GRELLER: That's Greller, yes.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Oh, Greller.
- 9 Thank you for your testimony, and my question to you
- 10 | is people-I think you said people will be confused.
- 11 | So, if we find a simple way of telling people not to
- 12 | have excessive calorie intake because calories turn
- 13 | to sugar in the blood, will that address your concern
- 14 | about people's sugar intake?
- 15 MATT GRELLER: It's a great question
- 16 | Councilwoman. I-I think the real issue from the
- 17 perspective of the movie theaters and the food
- 18 | service establishments in the city is space. I don't
- 19 | think anybody is opposed on the grounds of nutrition
- 20 or the science. It's really what can you see and
- 21 process in terms of the menu board, and there's fear,
- 22 | and I'm exaggerating a little bit here, but that the
- 23 menu board then becomes like a subway map. You have
- 24 a lot of different icons or additional signs. It
- 25 becomes information overload. People want to go and

2.2

mark it--

mindful.

order what they want to order. They should be informed at the point of purchase as to the potentially what the healthier options, but if we

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] So,

if we could something like a skull and cross bones,

something simple and direct would that be fine with

you so that people will know, listen, you're making

choices that are going to affect your life so just be

### MATT GRELLER: So-so--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] I think it's disingenuous for this industry that, you know, thrives on people eating unhealthy things in the movie theater to really have us believe that you're concerned that it's complex.

MATT GRELLER: I—I wouldn't be here today if—if that weren't true. I'm also a parent and I've worked in this industry for the better part probably 10 to 15 years now. We are suggesting taking a sign that is already mandated by the Federal Government and tweaking it to provide more information specifically about sugar intake and additionally about allergens because the fear from the business

water.

perspective is there's a constant additional
requirement or mandate, and that becomes difficult
for the businesses that do operate in New York, but
are both national and international, and so they
constantly have to update things not just for the
city of New York but elsewhere. And what we're
saying and advocating for today is provide all the
information, every ingredient, every menu item, and
all the information in terms of sugar, in terms of
sodium, in terms of everything so that customers can
be informed. There just simply isn't enough space on
the menu board. Most people have a phone, and even
if they don't have a phone, they can get a paper
menu, you know, with information, and when we
discussed this with Council Member Levine, we-we gave
him the information some the theaters just printed
out. We can have that at the register. It's just
too difficult to put it
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
Well, we could simplify the menu and just offer

MATT GRELLER: Well, I'm-I'm not discussing one particular menu item. I'm talking about the-the bigger picture.

#### COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm talking about 3 the big—you said crowding the menu board—

MATT GRELLER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: --and I'm saying if we just offered water--

MATT GRELLER: So, you think the city of New York should ban all drinks: Milk, juice, you know, sparkling water, sodas, everything?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
We're talking about those beverages that have added sugar.

MATT GRELLER: Sure, sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, we're talking about it as has the previous panel said chocolate milk and other flavored beverages.

MATT GRELLER: Yes, but this extends to food service establishments regarding menu items which include food.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: My position is that this is an economic position and the industry is not looking to have their resources and their benefits and their income reduced.

MATT GRELLER: I think that the economics of the business is that they want to sell products--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Uh-hm.

MATT GRELLER: -- and if the customers are educated and have the availability of funds to purchase something that they will purchase something, and whether it's a no calorie beverage, full calorie beverage or, you know, a low sugar item, if they're given the information at the point of sale they will vote with their wallets. They ae in the business of They are in the business of selling selling. beverages, and I think that if we can collaborate and educate people on what is the best or healthies option, everybody would benefit. The businesses would still be able to sell the items that they want to, and we would make sure that New Yorkers would have healthier health outcomes like my co-panelist here as well. You know, I-I think there's a lot of opportunity for collaboration on this. I don't think that people are so far apart on these issues. It's just the question of again, and I don't mean to burden-you know, constantly bring up this point, but it's-it's really a question of space. The movie theater menu boards are quite small, and the counter space are quite small. So, there's not much room for information, but we already have a sign up. Why not

question as well.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I was not 3 expecting to ask questions about 1326 and Introduction 5, but your-your testimony just caught 4 5 me so off guard. So, just when you go to a-a movie theater like there's bottled water, but in terms of 6 7 other healthy options, can you cite some that you frequently see? Because like the nachos are not so 8 healthy. Hot dogs not so healthy. Popcorn covered 9 10 in butter not so healthy. Like where is the-like as far as I understand—so let me start with the first 11 12 question. 13 MATT GRELLER: Sure, sure.

me to smuggle water and healthy food and, too, things like apples, and fresh fruit and bananas and—and some of the things I may or may not currently smuggle into movie theaters. Is that legal?

MATT GRELLER: I—I think if you use the word smuggle it might connote that it's not really acceptable. I don't know about the legality. It is frowned upon. The theaters obviously want to sell their products. They understand that—

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]
Would-would NATO and NY support either? And so one

2.2

of the things that was absent from this conversation is we have fast food industry that over the years has actually started to become--

MATT GRELLER: [interposing] yes.

meet us where we're actually getting to. With NATO support saying okay, we're going to set best practices and say we're going to have fresh fruits and vegetables and—and maybe instead of chips we can have carrot chips and saying this is the standard, and we want them available at every single movie theater?

MATT GRELLER: Those efforts have been made over the past decade, and unfortunately what most of the chains have seen, and I've seen some of the data, and I'd be happy to get specifics for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sure.

MATT GRELLER: They've tried to sell bananas, oranges, apples, granola bars, and unfortunately what occurs is they end up donating them or throwing them away because nobody buys them. And it's not as though people say oh, should I get the popcorn, as you alluded to with—with the butter versus the low sugar healthy granola bar or just the—

the raw fruit, it's that people expect when they go
to a theater if they're going once or twice a year to
see maybe, you know, one or two of the films that
might have been nominated for an Oscar, they're there
for a night out, and it's not really having an
overall impact out of the rest of the 363 days out of
the year. So, there has been an effort made. There
has even been an effort to steer people towards low
calorie and no calorie beverages. I-I think the data
shows that people are already choosing that in terms
of their own decisions and maybe a helpful nudge may
help encourage better behavior as well, but—but the
data show from the theaters in the city already that
those healthy food items are—are not selling.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I would say a decade ago folks didn't go to any fast food restaurant for—for healthy food, and now that's starting to change.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MATT}}$  GRELLER: Yes, there is absolute change.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]
Well will you help with—will you help us change it?

2 MATT GRELLER: Well, I'm here today to 3 collaborate with the Council and I think what we're

4 suggesting---

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] Do you think that the signage would—would actually—like when you said it was small boards—

MATT GRELLER: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: --behind you is an LCD screen and—and usually I see like five or six of them lined up on a-a very extensive display that has lots of food, pictures on it. So, I quess I just was not persuaded by that. I would just say that I-I would hope that NATO NY would be interested in supporting this legislation, and what have you. want to speak to the other two folks. I want to thank you for sitting through a long hearing, and for your participation. For Clarissa, can you talk to me about—about your struggle Type 2 Diabetes, about what your environment-how your environment contributed to it, and how you became an advocate around this issue and what you hope to see and how this would have changed your life?

CLARISSA SALIETTO: Definitely. I was diagnosed two years ago, and I-it was--Diabetes was

2 something that plagued my family for a really long I saw the effects with my grandfather, 3 4 amputations, eventually losing both his legs, his 5 sight, and—and eventually dying after like his organs began to shut down after having Diabetes. And that-6 7 that kind of scared me a little bit, but in my environment, you know, I-I'm growing up in Motthaven 8 in what is the poorest congressional district in the 9 country and, you know, we don't really have healthy 10 options. When you look outside-I-I grew up in public 11 12 housing in NYCHA, and when you-you come outside, 13 we're-we're surrounded by fried food, chicken sports and McDonalds and Burger Kings and—and all of these 14 15 fast food chains that seem appealing right, but 16 there's nothing-to date there's nothing healthy in the community. There is nowhere where you can go and 17 18 buy fresh produce, right. We have to wait for the farmer's market to come around and it's seasonal. So, 19 20 there's -there's a struggle, there's a real struggle and then there is also the struggle of not being able 21 2.2 to afford certain foods, right. Like having the 23 option of saying well, should I buy a salad that costs 13 bucks or should I spend 13 bucks, you know 24 for more food for something else. So, I-I did 25

appreciate everyone who testified. This concludes

23

21

2.2

the hearing. [gavel]

24

# ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 26, 2019