CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----- X

November 21, 2017 Start: 12:44 p.m. Recess: 1:14 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: DAVID G. GREENFIELD

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Vincent J. Gentile

Annabel Palma

Daniel R. Garodnick

Darlene Mealy Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Peter A. Koo
Brad S. Lander
Stephen T. Levin
Jumaane D. Williams
Deborah L. Rose
Donovan J. Richards
Inez D. Barron

Inez D. Barron
Andrew Cohen
Ben Kallos
Antonio Reynos

Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres

Mark Treyger

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Barry S. Grodenchik

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

2 [sound check, pause]

3 CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I'm David 4 Greenfield. I'm the Councilman from the 44th 5 District of Brooklyn. I'm privileged to serve as the 6 Chair of the Land Use Committee. I want to welcome my esteemed colleagues and members of the Committee 8 Council Members Gentile, Garodnick, Koo, Lander, 9 Rose, Williams, Richards, Barron, Kallos, Reynoso, Torres, Grodenchik and Chair Salamanca. I want to 10 11 thank Council Member Laurie Cumbo who has joined us 12 as well, as well as Council Member Perkins. I want to 13 thank Chair Salamanca, Chair Richards and Chair Koo 14 for their outstanding work on the Land Use 15 Subcommittees. Today, we're going to be voting on the Bedford Union Armory, East River Fifties Alliance 16 17 (sic) for a Zoning text amendment, the East Harlem 18 Neighborhood Rezoning Sendero Verde, Special Harlem 19 River Waterfront District Zoning Text Amendment 697-20 seat intermediate school and several tax exemptions. 21 We're going to be voting to modify the East Harlem 22 Neighborhood Rezoning Application, LUs 773 through 23 775 to densities and lights along portions of the 24 park, Lexington and Third to Second Avenue to better 25 respond to neighborhood context and minimizing the

risk of the deployment (sic) of rates of stabilized 2 3 The Council is also removing Eugene McCabe 4 Field and a church site from the rezoning area, modifying non-residential requirements along Park 5 Avenue and changing the MIH option removing Option 2 6 7 and adding a deep affordability option. We'll be 8 voting to modify this Sendero Verde application LU 776 through 782 to a strict disposition of city owned land to require that a minimum of 1,000-11,450 feet 10 11 of lot be devoted to a community garden or passive recreation use and a minimum of an additional 18,000 12 13 square feet be devoted to use as a publicly accessible open space. We'll also be voting to 14 15 approve a related tax exemption LU 790. We'll also be voting to approve with modifications LU 785 and 16 17 786 the Special Harlem Waterfront District Expansion. 18 We will be modifying a text to require that some 19 sites comply wit the new rules rather than the 20 previously applicable rules, and to introduce the CPC Authorization that would allow for a waiver of the 21 2.2 required setback from the Deegan Expressway on 2.3 certain sites. Today we'll be voting to approve with modifications LUs 808 through 812 the Bedford Union 24 Armory Applications, EDC's applications for a 25

2.2

2.3

proposed rezoning map amendment from R6 to R7-2 and R7-2/C2-4 zoning text amendment to establish a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Area Special Permit pursuant to Section—ZR Section 74-743, Special Permit pursuant to ZR Section 74-532 and Disposition Approval for city-owned property at 1555 Bedford Avenue in Brooklyn in order to facilitate the redevelopment of the Bedford Union Armory as a mixunits community facility—could we ask folks if you have to speak just to please whisper—recreation office and residential center. We will be modifying the application to strike MIH Option 2. I was

talking about-I was talking about your neighbors to

the left. And we will applicable MIH Option 1.

Council Member Cumbo who worked with her constituents in the community for over a year to achieve to the affordable housing plan that we have with us today.

We'll be voting to approve with modifications

Preconsidered LU, the East River 50 Sutton Place Text

Amendment. The text amendment would establish and modify a version on the Standards Tower on base regulation for certain zoning lots in R10 districts, roughly bounded by the Queensboro Bridge, First

Avenue, 61st Street and the East River and Community 2 3 Board 6 in Manhattan. The area is in districts of 4 Council Member Kallos and Garodnick and they are coapplicants along with other elected officials in the 5 East Fifties River's Alliance for this text 6 7 amendment. The Council will be modifying the zoning 8 text to remove the grandfathering provision added by the City Planning Commission to cover a specific development, which is out of scale and character with 10 11 this neighborhood. The ERFA application did not take 12 this development by surprise. The development continues to have a standard recourse already 13 provided under the City's existing zoning regulations 14 15 to appeal for the BSA for more times to vest is we—is what we expect that they will do. We'll be voting to 16 17 approve Preconsidered LU the siting of a new 696-seat intermediate school in Council Member Van Bramer's 18 19 district. The proposed school will be located in the 20 Sunnyside Gardens Historic district. We're voting to approve a Preconsidered LU Application regarding 1646 21 Amsterdam Avenue. HPD seeks an exemption from real 2.2 2.3 property tax pursuant to Article XI of the Private Housing Finance Law for property located at Block 2 24 on East 73, Lot 32 in Council Member Levine's 25

but they are important.

| district in Mannattan. We're voting to approve Lu |
|---|
| 818 the Dunwell Plaza Application submitted by HPD |
| pursuant to Article XI of the Private Housing Finance |
| Law. This application is for real property tax |
| residential property located at Block 2114, Lot 35 in |
| Council Member Levine's District in Manhattan. We've |
| also been joined by Council Member Treyger. We will |
| also be voting to approve Preconsidered LU the Los |
| Tres Unidos Application. HPD seeks an exemption of |
| real property taxes pursuant to Article XI of the |
| Private Housing Financing Law to facilitate the |
| rehabilitation of the property located a Block 1617, |
| Lot 7 in Council Member Perkins' district in |
| Manhattan. The NCP Park and Elton Apartments |
| Application LU 746 have been withdrawn. Accordingly, |
| we will vote on a motion to file to remove it from |
| our calendar. Are there any questions on these |
| applications? Any council members like to make brief |
| remarks on these applications? Yes, Council Member |
| Cumbo for some brief remarks on the applications. |
| COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, Chair |
| Greenfield. I apologize the remarks are not brief, |

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Can the clerk
3 please put up the clock? No, I'm joking.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: For more than six years, the Crown Heights Community has discussed and debated what to do with the Bedford Union Armory. I was proud to be there with Congressman Major Owens when this idea was first conceived of. Discussions originally centered on a goal of a state-of-the-art in-door recreation center. At the start, housing was seen as secondary to this goal and was primarily included in the project as a way to defund the recreation center. However, by the time EDC announced the selection of a developer in 2015, conditions in the community had dramatically changed. The frontlines of gentrification have arrived in Crown Heights and Central Brooklyn. Since 2010, the average rent in Crown Heights has increased by over 20%, and for many it has doubled and tripled. rent rates are now far outreaching long-time residents who built and sustained this community. family who has now lived in the community for over five generations are amongst them. Many of those in rent stabilized apartments are facing pressure and harassment from new landlords. Development on

| affordable housing on public land is one of the most |
|---|
| important tools we have to address the affordable |
| housing crisis, and the Bedford Union Armory Project |
| is one of the most significant pieces of public land |
| we have left in Crown Heights. Throughout this ULURP |
| process, I have repeatedly stated that development of |
| the Armory must focus on helping Crown Heights ease |
| the impacts of rising rents and gentrification. I |
| promised to reject any proposal that included market |
| rate condominiums and failed to provide a majority of |
| apartments at rents that are truly affordable to the |
| Crown Heights community. Today, I am proud that we |
| have revised the Bedford Union Armory project that |
| now lives up to the values of the community, and what |
| I have fought so hard to achieve. I want to take a |
| few moments to go through some of the original |
| aspects of the project, and how we've improved it. |
| The original proposal included 50% market rate |
| housing including 48 luxury condominium units. Those |
| 48 luxury condominium units have been completely |
| eliminated. Luxury condominiums have no place on |
| public land. I said it a year ago, and I've |
| continued to say it, and I'm proud to say that we |
| have eliminated all of the luxury condominiums on |

this project. I'm proud to say that the original 2 3 project included 67 units at or below 60% AMI. Only 4 67 units. Today, as a result of my negotiations with the Administration, pressure from the community, and local elected officials, the luxury condominiums not 6 7 only have been eliminated, but we now have 60% of the 8 units that represents 250 units will be affordable at the 60% AMI level or below. This is unprecedented. Crown heights has never seen this level of 10 11 affordability in decades. So, from 67 units the residents of Crown Heights now have 250 units. 12 units will be affordable at 30% of AMI. 13 14 represent low-income housing and deep affordability. 15 Twenty-four units at 40% of AMI and 24 units at 50% of AMI and 152 units at 60% of AMI. There will also 16 17 be a 10% set-aside for those coming out of our 18 shelter system into brand new high quality housing. 19 The community has spoken loud and clear, and together 20 we are collectively addressing the issue of 21 homelessness in our community by creating real permanent affordability. We stood up for real 2.2 2.3 affordability and we won. 250 units at 60% of AMI and below is the most significant new affordable 24 housing project Crown Heights has seen in decades. 25

2 also want to in the interest of time bring your 3 attention to the recreation center. The Bedford 4 Union Armory will also deliver on the original goal of a state-of-the-art indoor recreation center with low-cost community access. The Armory's historic 6 7 jewel shed will be converted into a center with three 8 full sized basketball courts, multi-purpose court space for activities like indoor soccer, a six-lane 25 meter indoor swimming pool and fitness rooms. 10 11 Never before has Central Brooklyn seen a recreational 12 facility of this quality and scale. Growing up in 13 Brooklyn, New York I remember that these sorts of 14 amenities were things that we had to go very far to 15 achieve. So, for the community to have these sorts of amenities right at their front door is really a 16 17 benefit to the community. As part of the terms of 18 the 99-Year Ground Lease, the developer will be 19 required to provide a baseline of \$1.25 million of 20 community benefits annually, and is incentivized to 21 provide an annual total of up to \$1.75 million, which 2.2 escalates over time. Half of all memberships will be 2.3 reserved for the local community at a rate of \$10 per month, and \$8 per month for children. This is well 24 below the cost that it is at many community centers 25

2 and recreational facilities across New York City. 3 Young people will be able to participate in programs 4 like Imagine Swim and New Height-New Heights Youth 5 will be able to do affordable basketball programs for our local young people. The recreation center at the 6 7 Armory will bring an amenity to the community that we have lacked for decades, and greatly expand the range 8 of low-cost athletic programming available to our I also want to bring your attention to not-10 11 for-profit organizations that will be a part of this. 12 The developer has already reached an agreement with 13 the following local not-for-profits: Brooklyn, 14 Community Pride Center; Digital Girl; Essay (sic) 15 Title Cultural Arts Academy; the James E. Davis Stop 16 the Violence Foundation; New Heights Youth; West 17 Indian American Day Carnival Association to name a 18 few, and this is going to preserve institutions that 19 have been working in our communities for decades, but 20 have also faced the real challenges that 21 gentrification has brought. On top of this, there 2.2 will be an additional 24,000 square feet of space 2.3 available along President Street where the developer has pledged to work to bring Brooklyn Plaza Medical 24 Center into the project, which will provide an 25

invaluable community health resource for those that 2 3 are uninsured. This will be a tremendous opportunity 4 for so many who have not been able to experience quality healthcare in their own community simply because they lacked health insurance. 6 There will also be a community advisory committee that's going 8 to work with the neighborhood as well as the community to make sure that the Bedford Union Armory remains a part of the development and growth of the 10 11 community, and that the community's needs are met. 12 Finally, as it pertains to labor, MWBE, local hiring 13 and procurement, I am confident that the construction 14 process of the Bedford Union Armory will also bring 15 local benefits to Crown Heights. The developer has 16 agreed to provide a living wage to all construction 17 workers on the project and has committed to hosting 18 multiple job fairs in the neighborhood to ensure 19 local residents will have the opportunity to 20 participate. I also want to bring your attention to 21 the fact that under this ULURP process, this very 2.2 well might be the most dramatic change in 2.3 affordability that has ever been achieved on a single project. Thanks to the dedicated advocacy of the 24 Crown Heights and the community, the Bedford Armory 25

2 has been transformed from a project with market rate 3 condominiums and less than 20% of housing units 4 actually affordable to the community, to a project that will stay fully in public ownership with 60% and 5 I'll say it again, 60% at 200-of 250 units will be 6 7 truly affordable low-income housing to the community. 8 In closing, I just wanted to simply add that this has been a tremendous process. I want to thank all of my colleagues that have worked with me. Negotiating a 10 11 project during maternity leave is certainly a 12 challenge, and I thank each and every one of you for 13 your leadership, for your dedication. When this 14 project began, many people didn't want to see housing 15 as part of the project. Many people wanted to see 16 100% affordable housing. Some people wanted a 17 recreational center. Some felt that the recreational 18 center wasn't that important. Some people wanted to 19 see not-for-profit organizations. Others did not. 20 This was an opportunity to hear all voices and to 21 come up with the best solution possible. While 2.2 everyone is not going to be pleased with the final 2.3 outcome, it is an example of many different ideas and views coming together in order benefit the community. 24 I'm proud of this project. I see that this is going 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to be an opportunity for our youth for generations to come to be able to play in safe environment, to be able to have a safe comfortable area where they can explore their many talents. This is also going to be a place where people are going to be able to afford to live in their own community. This is going to be a place for individuals to have that are coming out of our homeless shelter to have permanent housing. This is going to be a place where the uninsured are going to be able to have free or low-cost quality healthcare. This is going to be a place where local not-for-profits who have built and developed the neighborhood will have a place to stay, and this is going to be a safe space, and I care greatly about the future of our young people, which is why I have supported this project all along. I believe in the lives of our children, and I believe that they deserve to have a place to live, a place to play, and a place to learn, and that's what has inspired me this entire project. I thank everyone here today. look forward to the support of my colleagues, and I will conclude just by saying thank you because this has been a tremendously difficult process.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 turn it back over to Chair Greenfield, and I thank
3 you for your support.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you very much for those brief remarks. [laughter] I will now turn it over to Council Member Kallos for what we hope will truly be brief remarks. [laughter]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you to my colleagues on the Subcommittee on Zoning for voting in favor of the rezoning, the East River Fifties Sutton Place Text Amendment. I refer to my comments in the Subcommittee, and will summarize for Land Use Committee members. We're hoping to stop the march of super tall buildings from commercial districts on East 57th Street into residential districts where they would displace rent regulated residents to build buildings for billionaires. They formed the East River Fifties Alliance, which has grown to 45 buildings in the area with 2,600 individuals from 500 buildings all over the city with support from Friends of the Upper East Side Historic District, CIVITAS, and citywide organizations like the Municipal Arts Society. Council Member Dan Garodnick, Senator Liz Krueger and Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer are also co-applicants and this has the support of

| Congress Member Carolyn Maloney. We worked with the |
|---|
| Department of City Planning on several options |
| providing affordable housing as part of a proposal. |
| DCP ultimately advised that with the change to a |
| tower on based zoning the most effective way to |
| produce affordable housing was to use existing |
| inclusionary housing framework, which is what we have |
| done. I'm pleased that DCP has also committed to |
| reviewing and making changes to the inclusionary |
| housing framework citywide, which will help us |
| further incentivize the affordable housing that our |
| community is eager to see built in the East Fifties. |
| With the erroneous inclusion of a grandfathering |
| provision, I am asking my colleagues to modify the |
| application as we initially submitted, and as the |
| City Planning Chair initially recommended. Your vote |
| today is in support of real housing for real New |
| Yorkers and will protect octogenarians like |
| Herndon(sp?) Worth and seniors like Charles Fernande: |
| and his sister who has faced displacement from their |
| affordable rent regulated units from billionaires |
| buildings for other billionaires. Thank you. |

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Billionaires building buildings for other millionaires. Say that fast five times.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I love alliteration.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, wonderful.

Council Member Barron to make some remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. My remarks are regarding the Bedford Union Armory, the project that my colleague just described. We know that we have a serious housing crisis in our city. Predominantly, we're looking at the number of ever-increasing homelessness. We now that the city has an obligation to address those issues. As I reviewed the reports from the Association for Neighborhood Housing and Development, they indicated that the greatest need for housing was for people who were at 60% of AMI and below. So, while we've looked at a number of increase in the housing units, and we see that we have a number that has been increased in this project, to say that nearly half of the units are at market rate, namely 40% to me does not address the issue of bringing housing to most of the city that has a need for housing. So, understanding that, and understanding that communities of Crown Heights,

2.2

2.3

of Bed-Stuy and of the Northern part of East New York are looking to bring in housing for a group of people that differ from the people who presently live there to me does not address the housing crisis that we face in this city, and for that reason, I will not be able to support this project. I think that until we match where the needs for housing are with the incomes of the people who live in this city, we will not address the housing crisis. Thank you.

[cheers/applause]

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Cumbo would like to respond. Council Member Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you so much,
Council Member Barron for your comments. I, too,
share those, and part of the challenge with this
project unlike—unlike many other projects is that
this particular project was formed around a state-ofthe-art recreational facility that was going to need
income in order to be able to manage the project for
the life of the project, and so there were
discussions about we could truly achieve the
affordability at AMIs of 60 and below for the entire
housing portion if we eliminated the recreational
facility because the recreational facility in order

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to provide affordability and accessibility we had to manage the project by allowing for there still to be 40% at market rate in order to offset for the life of the project the cost to operate the recreational facility, as well as the not-for-profit spaces as well as the opportunity for Brooklyn Medical Plaza to be a part of the project. If we eliminated all of that, we could have achieved the 100% at 60 AMI and below, but the community spoke loud and clear that a recreational facility is what they thought was most important for this community, and recreational facility of this caliber has never existed in Central Brooklyn before. So, I understand your-I understand your concerns. I understand that of the communities, and while everyone is not going to be in support and favor of every project, as we should not be, I just wanted to address that to provide clarity for the broader audience as well.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: I thank you for that, and Mr. Chair if I may? I thank you for that, and I understand that, and that's always the argument that is advanced when we talk about increasing affordable housing. Well, Council Member, in order to do this, we've got to be able to have a tradeoff, and that's

2.2

2.3

the same argument that's being presented for the

Brooklyn Bridge Development. Well, in order to pay

for this affordability, this beautiful Brooklyn

Bridge project, you've got to have this housing. I

don't accept that. I think the city can find other

ways to do what its obligation is, to meet housing needs of people who are in the greatest need.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Respected.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

Respected.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, any other

Council members have any other comments or questions?

Hearing none, I would like to congratulate the

Speaker on her significant achievements in rezonings

today including the deeper level of affordability. I

want to congratulate Council Member Cumbo for really

an incredible achievement. I think a lot of folks

don't realize that when this project first started,

the previous Council Member, State Senator and

Borough President only asked for one thing, which was

to have a recreational center, and here we are

several years later you not only have the rec center,

you have a guaranteed access to people who are lowincome, and you have 60% of those units will be at

60% of AMI or below, which means that it will not be 2 3 for-- The max will be for families who are making 4 \$57,000 a year. That is the maximum income, and it will go all the way down to families who are making 5 as little as \$20 something thousand dollars year. 6 7 So, that's a significant achievement and we 8 congratulate you, and finally, I want to congratulate Council Members Kallos and Garodnick and especially Council Member Kallos for his fortitude, and his 10 11 perseverance. I'm sure there's going to be a book in 12 here somewhere or at least you can check it out on 13 his Twitter handle @benkallos, the saga of how he got this done, and it was a significant achievement, and 14 15 it was really very done community organizing to get to this space as well. Congratulations as well. 16 17 With that, I know call a vote in accordance with the 18 recommendations of the subcommittee through the 19 support of the local Council members to approve 20 Preconsidered LU 697, seat intermediate school; LU 21 818 the Dunwell Plaza Tax Exemption; Preconsidered LU 2.2 1646, the Amsterdam Plaza Los Tres Unidos Tax 2.3 Exemptions, as well as LU 790, the Sendero Verde Tax Exemption, and to approve with modifications that I 24 have described the Bedford Union Armory, LUs 808 25

colleague Laurie Cumbo, a colleague and friend. Over

25

| the last year and a half I've seen up close how |
|---|
| Laurie ahs approached this extremely difficult land |
| use proposal, and I can say that I am very proud of |
| the way that she has approached this. She has |
| approached this with the utmost dignity and |
| integrity, and political courage, and decency, and- |
| and professionalism. And every step of the way she |
| was conscientious of all sides of the argument and |
| seeking the best solution and holding to her deeply |
| held positions, and not compromising where it |
| mattered and making sure that she was listening to |
| all sides and-and-and getting to an excellent, |
| excellent outcome, And I just want to be on the |
| record saying how proud I am to call Laurie a |
| colleague and a friend, and how proud I am of her |
| through this process, and with that I vote aye on |
| all. Thank you. |
| CLERK: Gentile. |

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Aye on all.

CLERK: Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.

CLERK: Mendez. Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

CLERK: Koo.

CLERK: Barron.

24

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I vote aye with the exception of the Bedford Union Armory, Land Use 808 through 812 on which I'm voting no. Thank you.

CLERK: Kallos.

CLERK: Reynoso.

council MEMBER REYNOSO: I will vote aye on all and just want to make mention to the fact that the affordable housing in the city of New York continues to be built in places of color almost exclusively. Thank you.

CLERK: Torres.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Just a quick

permission to explain my vote. I want to

congratulate Council Member Cumbo for negotiating

what I take to be a strong deal for your community.

I do feel that we should have a—we should strive

toward a citywide policy of reserving the disposition

of city-owned land for community development of

affordable housing purposes. So, I hope that we're

not addressing this issue on ULURP by ULURP basis,

but adopt a citywide policy that advocates for proper

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

explain that. One, I did want to also congratulate Council Member Cumbo. I stood with her awhile back when she said she wasn't going to support the project unless there was some significant changes, and so even with some the opponents now have to agree that there has been some significant changes in the project. It went from very little units below 60%-below 60% to 60% of the units, and that's-that over half of the units there. Where-where I get stuck is the other 40%. I do believe if there was no rec center, it would be a clear slam dunk for me to-to oppose this, but it seems that the community really did want a rec center and then to be part of it. (coughs) Excuse me. It is persuasive whether it is additional affordability our rec center. It has to be paid for somehow, and the money is not perpetuated. The money doesn't just come out of the trees. So, somebody has to pay for it. The city-thethe community seemed to want the rec center. important so it has to be paid for. It's also persuasive that we want to make sure it's paid for in perpetuity, and so if it's not in perpetuity, if it is just city funds, it is open to being cut in the future so that does provide some difficulty in

- 2 | funding. The way that it is set up now with the 40%
- 3 of market rate, we can clearly say that the rec
- 4 center can provide some services in perpetuity.
- 5 | Since I just got this, I do want to do a deep dive
- 6 into what access to that community center the
- 7 community actually has, and I haven't had time to do
- 8 | that, and so for that reason I'm going to vote-I'm
- 9 going to abstain on this for the time being.
- 10 | Congratulations to all my colleagues and aye on all
- 11 the rest.
- 12 CLERK: By a vote of 18 in the
- 13 | affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions,
- 14 all items have been adopted with the exception of the
- 15 | following: The Preconsidered Land Use Item
- 16 Application No. N8180082ZRM has been adopted by a
- 17 | vote of 17 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and
- 18 \parallel 1 abstention, and Land Use Items 808 through 812 have
- 19 been adopted by the committee 16 in the affirmative,
- 20 | 1 in the negative and 1 abstention.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: This concludes
- 22 | the Land Use Committee for November 21, 2017. I wish
- 23 all of you a happy Thanksgiving. This meeting is
- 24 hereby adjourned. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 6, 2017