

CITY COUNCIL  
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

September 20, 2017

Start: 1:50 p.m.

Recess: 2:29 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.  
14<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: JAMES VACCA  
Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Annabel Palma  
David G. Greenfield  
Barry S. Grodenchik  
Joseph C. Borelli

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James Perazzo, Deputy Director Strategic Management  
Mayor's Office of Operations  
Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of Data  
Analytics, a/k/a MODA

Albert Webber, Director of Open Data  
Department of Information Technology  
Telecommunications, DOITT

Mary Tobin Director  
Brownsville Partnership

John Kaehny, Executive Director  
NYC Transparency Working Group  
Reinvent Albany

Noel Hidalgo

Sumata Hari Hurashura

2 [sound check, pause] [background  
3 comments]

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Okay, than you  
5 everyone. Attention. Good afternoon. My name is  
6 James Vacca, and I'm Chair of the New York City  
7 Council Committee on Technology, and I'd like welcome  
8 all of you to today's hearing. We are here to  
9 discuss the Open Data Plan of 2017 in addition to two  
10 new bills aimed at strengthening the Open Data Law,  
11 and ensuring its continuation. [gavel] New York  
12 City offers an immense number of services and  
13 resources to residents, and when people take  
14 advantage of these services, they are inevitably  
15 involved in the creation of data. This data is  
16 fundamental to the city's operations, and it's also  
17 important that people have access to the data. Access  
18 to government information ensured public institutions  
19 can be held accountable. In New York City the  
20 importance of public access to data is reflected in  
21 both law and practice. In 2012, New York City became  
22 the first municipality in the country to mandate that  
23 all non-confidential government data be made  
24 available online in machine-readable formats. Since  
25 then, the Council has passed seven amendments

2 strengthening and updating this law, and the Open  
3 Data Team had worked diligently to ensure citywide  
4 compliance with the law. Under the Open Data Law,  
5 the Department of Information Technology and  
6 Telecommunications is required to public an annual  
7 Open Data Compliance Plan, and for the previous three  
8 years I have convened oversight hearing on the plan.  
9 Today, is a little bit bittersweet as it will be my  
10 final time chairing an oversight hearing on Open  
11 Data. I must say in the time since holding my first  
12 hearing I've been thrilled by the city's overall  
13 progress. The city has consistently ranked at or  
14 near the top of nationwide Open Data rankings, which  
15 is not only because of the legislation the Council  
16 has advanced, but also because of the work done by  
17 the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics, DOITT, numerous  
18 good government advocates, and the city's vibrant  
19 civic tech community. This year's Open Data Plan  
20 demonstrates the city has continued improving the  
21 Open Data Portal, and made significant steps toward  
22 increased public engagement. In the past year the  
23 Council has created a new and improved Open Data  
24 website for which they leveraged cutting edge human  
25 centered design methods. The Open Portal has seen

2 170,000 new data sets added, 38 data sets automated,  
3 and has engaged an average of 140,000 users per  
4 month. The Open Data Team has also held number  
5 well intended community events. Still, while there  
6 has been tremendous success, maintenance of the Open  
7 Data Portal and coordination between every city  
8 agency is by its very nature a massive undertaking.  
9 Issues are bound to arise, some of which we will  
10 discuss today. Additionally, as technology advances  
11 and agencies become more experienced with the  
12 intricacies of data publication, there are several  
13 ways we can improve current law. Over the past year  
14 my office along with Manhattan Borough President Gale  
15 Brewer, convened a number of stakeholders to solicit  
16 feedback and Introduction 1707 resulted from these  
17 conversations. A part of the new legislation  
18 reflects currently-current agency practice, encoding  
19 it into law and preventing any future administrations  
20 from making changes. The Open Data Law currently  
21 states that agencies should publish data sets before  
22 December 31, 2018, and if data cannot be made public,  
23 an explanation should be provided. Intro 1707 will  
24 extend this deadline to 2021, thereby offering  
25 agencies more time to comply. Another provision in

2 Intro 1707 will change the timeline for the  
3 compliance plan pushing it from July to September.  
4 The purpose of this is to better accommodate the  
5 internal processes of agencies, and the Open Data  
6 Team. This legislation will also require that the  
7 head of each agency designates an employee to serve  
8 as the Open Data Coordinator responsible for ensuring  
9 that such an agency complies with the Open Data Law,  
10 and receives feedback from the public. This will  
11 codify a current provision in the Current Technical  
12 Standards Manual. Additionally, this legislation  
13 mandates that the department review the Technical  
14 Standards Manual every two years, and provide a  
15 method for public comment. Lastly, the legislation  
16 requires DOITT to collect and publish data on the web  
17 portal, codifying a current departmental practice.

18 In addition to 1707, we will be—we will  
19 be discussing another piece of legislation, which I  
20 introduced, Intro 1528. Currently, agencies must  
21 review Freedom of Information Law responses, which  
22 include the release of data, and determine if the  
23 response consist of data that has not already been  
24 included on the Open Data Portal, and to report on  
25 these statistics of these responses to the Compliance

2 Plan—in the compliance plan. 1528 will require that  
3 the Compliance Plan also includes the names of any  
4 data sets provided in response to FOIL requests that  
5 are not included on the Open Data Portal. The two  
6 bill we're hearing today are a priority for this  
7 committee, and I'm looking forward to moving these  
8 forward in the next few months.

9 I want to thank our Committee Counsel  
10 Maleta Jubali (sp?), and Policy Analyst Patrick  
11 Merville for their hard work, as always in serving  
12 this committee, and I want to thank my Legislative  
13 Director Zach Hecht as well. I—I was going to call  
14 upon the Manhattan Borough President to speak first,  
15 but I don't think she's here yet. We're joined by  
16 members of the committee Council Member Barry  
17 Grodenchik to my right, Councilman Joseph Borelli,  
18 who's really to my right. Council Member Annabel  
19 Palma. [background comments, laughter] Council  
20 Member Annabel Palma as well. Okay, so why don't we  
21 call the Administration? James Perazzo of MODA;  
22 Albert Bueno of DOITT--[background comments] Webber.  
23 I'm sorry. Your handwriting, that's okay.  
24 [laughter] I shouldn't talk. It's okay. I have to  
25 swear. I won't be swearing at you. I'll be swearing

2 you in. Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm  
3 to tell the truth, whole truth, and nothing but the  
4 truth in your testimony before this committee and to  
5 respond honestly to Council Member questions?

6 JAMES PERAZZO: I do.

7 ALBERT WEBBER: I do

8 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Who would  
9 like to proceed? Please introduce yourself for the  
10 record.

11 JAMES PERAZZO: [off mic] I'm James  
12 Perazzo, the--

13 FEMALE SPEAKER: Your mic isn't on.

14 JAMES PERAZZO: James Perazzo. Good  
15 afternoon. My name is Perazzo, Deputy Director for  
16 Strategic Management at the Mayor's Office of  
17 Operations, and Acting Director of the Mayor's Office  
18 of Data Analytics also know as MODA. I'm joined by  
19 Albert Webber, Director of Open Data at the  
20 Department of Information Technology and  
21 Telecommunications. We are here today to speak about  
22 the way MODA and DOITT have worked together over the  
23 last year to fulfill the requirements of the city's  
24 Open Data Law and implement the administration's Open  
25 Data for All vision. On behalf of the

2 Administration, I'd like to extend gratitude to this  
3 committee on the leadership of Chair Vacca for the  
4 attention and care shown to open data over the  
5 past several years. I'd like to begin by describing  
6 the structure of the city's Open Data program. MODA  
7 is the city's hub for advanced data analytics and  
8 advocates for the use of open data in citywide  
9 projects and in communities. DOITT manages the  
10 technical operations of data publishing with [coughs]  
11 with city agencies and our vendor partner Socrata.  
12 Over 90 city agencies have a designated open data  
13 coordinator who serves as the primary point of  
14 contact with MODA and DOITT, and works with our  
15 agencies' [cough] data owners to publish eligible  
16 data sets. These three entities along with our  
17 partners in the City Council and the civic tech  
18 community work together to make city data open and  
19 accessible to New Yorkers. (coughs) [pause] Since,  
20 (coughs) the announcement of Open Data for All in  
21 July 2015, the Administration has been unrelenting in  
22 its efforts to put data in the hands of more New  
23 Yorkers. We strive to make the Open Data Portal a  
24 more user-friendly and accessible tool for anyone  
25 interested in using city data consider a few

highlights since last year's hearing. The Open Data Portal received over three million hits in Fiscal Year 2017 with approximately 140,000 average monthly users. The Open Data Portal now has a new website designed in partnership with the open data community through a human (coughs) centered design process, and Chair Vacca pointed out. Thank you. The Park's (coughs) Resource Centers piloted a data literacy effort to teach New Yorkers how to analyze and map the city's street tree census data. We celebrated the five-year anniversary of the original pioneering NYC Open Data Law in March with the city's first Open Data Week produced collaboratively with the civic-civic tech community. In partnership with the city's Commission on Human Rights, MODA used several data sets from the Open Data Portal to optimize where inspectors search for landlords who are illegally discriminating against potential tenants. In addition, dozens of agencies continue to release high value data sets, bring the total number of data sets on the Open Data Portal to more than 1,700. We published EMS Incident Dispatch Numbers from the Fire Department, and detailed NYPD Complaint Data. We also published the City Council's data set on

participatory budgeting, which is an open community decision-making process that allow constituents to choose how to spend public dollars. Each record in this data set represents a project a New Yorker nominated and got funded through the PV process. The data set includes a plain language data dictionary, and it's easily mapped against other data sets through its standardized geospatial coordinates and political districts fully complying with the 2015 amendments to the Open Data Law. MODA is currently partnering with PV NYC to give this year's cohort of participants, educational resources on NYC Open Data, helping them to (coughs) better understand how data sets from, for example, NYCHA, NYPD, Department of Education, and other agencies can give context and add specificity to their proposals. We highlighted this and other examples (coughs) of the fall open data impact cycle in the 2017 *Open Data For All Report*, with MODA and DOITT submitted to City Council and the public in July. Readers were also invited to submit their feedback on a digital open source version of the report, which received more than 12,000 hits in the two months since it went live? The highlight (coughs) of the report was the stories

2 of the land use advocates, local start-ups, community  
3 boards, CUNY students and interfaith organizers, New  
4 Yorkers from all five boroughs who are using open  
5 data to improve their communities. Our approach to  
6 the report reflects our belief that showing the faces  
7 behind (coughs) city data is how we spur even greater  
8 use. The report highlights green infrastructure  
9 researchers who use their analysis of open data to  
10 start a conversation with the New York City Parks  
11 (coughs) and the Department of Environmental  
12 Protection about how a new model could improve storm  
13 water collection in the city. It describes an  
14 automated dashboard of neighborhood indicators used  
15 by community partners to combat joblessness in  
16 Brownsville, Brooklyn. These stories and others in  
17 the report make up just a handful of the 140,000 New  
18 Yorkers who visit (coughs) the Open Data Portal each  
19 month, about 60,000 of whom are new to the platform.  
20 In order to ensure we are not only increasing the  
21 number of users, but also optimizing their  
22 experience, we have undertaken significant efforts in  
23 the past year to better understand their needs. When  
24 results from the graduate capstone MODA facilitated  
25 with Columbia researchers indicated that new users

1 didn't always know how to get started with the open  
2 data -with-with open data. MODA and DOITT worked  
3 with high frequency community users to design for  
4 their needs. This resulted in a new look for the  
5 Open Data Portal—for the Open Data Portal this spring  
6 as well as a new customer service application that  
7 allows the team to better respond to user's requests  
8 while collecting (coughs) for help and—and correct  
9 data on inquiry types, a new process that is  
10 resulting in continuous improvement to the Open Data  
11 Platform. The existing Open Data Law requires that  
12 data from all agencies, not only select high  
13 performance be published in a way that is accessible,  
14 understandable and usable for everyone. Ensuring the  
15 city's compliance (coughs) with the Open Data laws  
16 and polices is the foundation of strong and just Open  
17 Data for All. For this reason, we support (coughs)  
18 the goals of Introductions 1707 and 1528. In  
19 particular, with the extension of the Open Data  
20 Mandate will allow our program to accommodate new  
21 data sets that are created by city agencies. In this  
22 year's Open Data Compliance Plan, for example, city  
23 agencies identified over 100 new data sets that had  
24 not previously been disclosed. This was not due to  
25

2 any delinquency on the part of the agency's Open Data  
3 Coordinator, but because new data systems are  
4 constantly coming on line, and data that may not have  
5 been previously qualified as a public data set is now  
6 eligible for publication. Extending the legal  
7 mandate to publish data sets past December 2018 is a  
8 key priority for the Administration. Formalizing the  
9 role of Open Data Coordinators and disclosing the  
10 names of data sets used to respond to FOIL requests  
11 will further enhance our goal of forming communities  
12 of practice around open data city agencies. For  
13 example, while the Office of the Mayor had previously  
14 been serviced by a single Open Data Coordinator.  
15 MODA worked with the Mayor's Office of Operations  
16 this spring to recruit Open Data Coordinators for all  
17 40 of the small offices that make up the Office of  
18 the Mayor. Additionally, this year the Open Data  
19 Team required that Open Data Coordinators worked  
20 directly with their agency records officers and  
21 [coughs] and general counsels to assemble their data  
22 set inventories and compliance reports. We found  
23 this to be an effective way to ensure that data  
24 publishers were interacting with their counsel, and  
25 as a result, we received a 100% reporting rate from

2 eligible city agencies. From street furniture to  
3 road quality, and from taxi trips to parking meters  
4 to small businesses, New Yorkers interact with their  
5 city government everyday. Because we have a good  
6 Open Data Law, this information is available for free  
7 online. The extension of the law would allow us to  
8 continue to ensure that as the city become more data  
9 driven, it also becomes more open and transparent.  
10 We are excited to continue to partner with the City  
11 Council to extend the law and optimize technical  
12 standards to allow open data to continue to thrive  
13 the changing technological environment. I would like  
14 to thank the Committee on Technology for the  
15 opportunity to testify today, and for its ongoing  
16 support of open data. I'd also like to thank Beta  
17 NYC, the Bureau Blank, Cardo and Reboot, and all of  
18 our many community collaborators whose support makes  
19 this work possible. At this point, I'd like to turn  
20 it over to Albert Webber, who will discuss the  
21 Administration's perspective on the proposed  
22 legislation in more detail.

23 ALBERT WEBBER: Thank you, James and good  
24 afternoon Chair Vacca, and members of the City  
25 Council Committee on Technology. My name is Albert

2 Webber and I am the Director of Open Data for the  
3 Department of Information Technology and  
4 Telecommunications, also know as DOITT. On behalf of  
5 the administration I'd like to extend gratitude to  
6 this committee under the leadership of Chair Vacca  
7 for the attention and care show to open data over the  
8 past several years, and for the opportunity to  
9 testify today. We all share a steadfast commitment  
10 to open data as an instrument of transparency and a  
11 bridge to connect New Yorkers with their city. This  
12 is why DOITT works closely with MODA to make open  
13 data as accessible as possible. This year's Annual  
14 Open Data Report was issued on July 14, 2017, and  
15 details some of the extraordinary steps we've taken  
16 to connect New Yorkers with the data being published,  
17 and support them as they use it tackle issues in  
18 their neighborhoods. In fact, one of our important  
19 user groups is the City Council, which is why we've  
20 collaborated with you to offer several open data  
21 trading with Council members and their staff over the  
22 next few weeks. I'm happy to report that these  
23 sustained efforts have yielded real results. As you  
24 heard, we are expected unprecedented engagement with  
25 our data sets, which now number of 1,700 including

170 added in the last year. We have also worked with agencies to automate 38 data sets ensuring that they are as up to date as possible with some automatically updating as frequently as every five minutes such as DOT's Real Time Traffic Data Set. The Open Data Team continues to make great progress every year, but the expiration of the Open Data Law, Local Law 11 of 2012 at the end of next year, gives us all the opportunity to codify some helpful technical changes.

Introduction 1707 addresses many of these useful fixes as a result of a productive and collaborative effort between Chair Vacca, Borough President Brewer, Beta NYC, Reinvent Albany, MODA, and DOITT. The proposed bill would:

1. Codify a bi-annual review of the Technical Standards Manual, also know as the TSM. The TSM is the dividing document on how agencies manage and present their data. These standards are designed to make open data more usable to the maximum number of users. We see the TSM as a living document meant to keep up with the advances in technology, data availability and the passage of local laws that impact data disclosure. An official periodic review of this document will be sure that open data

disclosures stays up to date, relevant and true to the most current practices.

2. Extend the Open Data Law another three years. Current law requires agencies to publish and prioritize for release of their data sets by December 31, 2018. We want to ensure that agencies continue to schedule new releases beyond that date. While simply extending this sense that it's helpful, we would like to discuss how to improve the language to ensure that submissions meant to be disclosed by December 31, 2018 will still be held to that deadline.

3. Change the deadline for the Annual Compliance Plan from July to September. The Open Data Team has learned a lot about the process of collecting information from Open Data Coordinators as the July 15 deadline approaches. We have found that this timing bumps up against budget season, and request that it be changed to provide sufficient time in each new fiscal year to gather and report information. Additionally, the annual Mayor's Management Report, MMR, is published in September. Shifting the deadline to September will allow us to

align these two reporting exercises and efficiently deliver a unified report representing our progress.

4. Codify the requirement for each agency to designate an Open Data Coordinator. While Open Data Coordinators are currently required under the Technical Standards Manual, codifying this requirement would underscore agencies' commitment to open data in perpetuity.

5. Require web portal site analytics in perpetuity. Since we already publish web analytics, we support the idea of ensuring that future-future administrations do the same.

In addition to these improvements, we suggest amending the license provision of Local Law 11 of 2012 to best serve the goals of Open Data for All. Currently Section 23-502 states that all public data sets on the portal should be made available without any license requirement or restriction on their use other than attribution and description of the modifications made to the data set. We have interpreted this to mean that DOITT cannot make the data available under any license including permissive licenses. However, our mission to encourage public engagement with open data in the long term makes the

2 ability to license very important. Structured  
3 properly licenses could allow us to formally make the  
4 city's data sets free and open for public use in  
5 perpetuity, codifying our existing practice and  
6 ensuring New Yorkers have access to open data for  
7 long haul. We look forward to a productive dialogue  
8 about this provision.

9 Introduction 1528 would amend Local Law 7  
10 of 2016, which currently requires agencies to review  
11 Freedom of Information Law, FOIL requests containing  
12 data to determine whether they contained new public  
13 data sets that could be published on open data.  
14 Local Law 7 requires agencies to disclose metrics on  
15 FOIL responses. Intro 1528 would require agencies to  
16 take the extra step in gaining the data sets relating  
17 to FOIL responses. This legislation furthers the  
18 Administration's transparency objectives. Thank you  
19 for the opportunity to testify today. Open data  
20 remains a priority of this Administration shining a  
21 bright light on our government and out city for all  
22 New Yorkers to see, and providing the tools to solve  
23 thorny civic problems in creative ways. We thank our  
24 partners in the City Council and the civic technology  
25 community for their continued advocacy. This

2 concludes our prepared testimony, and we look forward  
3 to answering your question.

4           CHAIRPERSON VACCA: thank you very much.  
5 I'd like to welcome Council Member David Greenfield  
6 who has just joined us. Thank you for work that  
7 you've done, your agency has done. We do think that  
8 open data--open data is a transparency tool that's  
9 fantastic, and we want it used more. We want to urge  
10 people to use it on an increased basis. Let me ask  
11 you some questions. What kinds of reasons prevent  
12 the data sets from being automated? Is this because  
13 of pushback from agencies, or the stress of techno-  
14 technological limitation?

15           ALBERT WEBBER: It depends. So, it  
16 depends on the frequency of update. So, there's a  
17 lot of work that goes into setting up that automated  
18 feed that, you know, delivers data automatically from  
19 the agency's data base or from wherever they have it  
20 stored to the Open Data Portal. So, we believe that  
21 data should be--if it's updated monthly and more  
22 frequently, those are things that we'd like to  
23 prioritize for automation. So, if you have something  
24 that's automated, say for instance on an annual  
25 basis, the work that goes into setting up that

2 automation doesn't always make sense to deliver that  
3 data automatically. What ends up happening in some  
4 cases that we found out early, and this is something  
5 that we've—we've tested, will have a data set that's  
6 automated once a year. Over the course of 365 days,  
7 there's a chance that, you know, that something could  
8 come in the way, and the work that goes to setting  
9 that up is not worth it. It's easier to just take a  
10 data set, email it, have us load it as opposed to  
11 going through a significant process just to set up  
12 that automation.

13 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well, I do see that  
14 38 data sets were automated this past year. So, how  
15 does your team decide which to automate?

16 ALBERT WEBBER: It's a combination  
17 between us, and some from agencies on it. Any agency  
18 that wants to automate data will automate it. We  
19 take into consideration the public comes back  
20 heavily. So, there are certain data sets that we  
21 know are getting heavy usage or if we're getting live  
22 requests for them, we'll prioritize those for  
23 automation. We'll automate anything that agencies  
24 are will and able to automate with us.

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well, you did mention  
3 public feedback, and I wanted to know how often the  
4 comments on the data sets are checked. How often do  
5 you look at the comments that the public makes  
6 concerning the portal?

7 ALBERT WEBBER: Yeah, we're looking at  
8 them everyday. So, this past March when we updated  
9 the Open Data Portal, one of the things that we did  
10 was implemented a new tool that takes in public  
11 feedback. One thing that—that wasn't as available on  
12 the site prior to the change was a direct way to make  
13 feedback first specific use cases. So, now, you can  
14 make comments on public requests, data question, data  
15 errors. We found that there are a lot of questions  
16 that came in from the Taxi and Limousine Commission.  
17 So, we make it apparently that if you want to make a  
18 request for a certain thing, it's there. The team is  
19 monitoring these daily, and the five or six people  
20 monitoring that everyday.

21 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: How many comments do  
22 you get on a typical week?

23 ALBERT WEBBER: It varies. We—I could  
24 probably get back to you those stats. We have it  
25 broken down to different categories, and they're

2 monitored by different groups and, I-I want to say  
3 roughly 30 or 40 a week, but it's hard to say. I  
4 could get back to you with those numbers.

5 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Let me ask you  
6 when you deal with agencies concerning open data,  
7 what's a typical issue that they raise? What-are  
8 there agency concerns that we should know about that  
9 we typically get when you talk to them, and try to  
10 make sure the rule is implemented in its full, you  
11 know--?

12 ALBERT WEBBER: At this point the  
13 engagement is pretty smooth. The law was passed in  
14 2012, but we've been doing open data since 2009. Me  
15 and some agencies had their own sites prior to that.  
16 So, at this point, it's--it's--it's fairly smooth of  
17 an engagement. We try to provide as much documents  
18 (sic) as possible for agencies in terms of the data  
19 that they should be releasing. They're looking at  
20 public feedback, and they're looking at their  
21 websites. So, what-it's pretty smooth an engagement  
22 at this point.

23 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: But we did a review  
24 of the Open Data Portal for the past four years, and  
25 it shows that some agencies are not reporting

2 properly. Who's overseeing the agencies to ensure  
3 that they are in compliance?

4 ALBERT WEBBER: DOITT and MODA both  
5 provide guidance to agencies to work with them to-to  
6 work towards compliance.

7 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Have you found  
8 resistance, or is there an issue with this? What  
9 people—are the agencies--?

10 ALBERT WEBBER: Yeah, they run into  
11 resistance. I think we have the right Open Data  
12 Coordinators in place, and the right people in every  
13 agency that are making the right decisions or talking  
14 to the right people. So, we have not met resistance.

15 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well, I realize when  
16 you deal with agencies we're talking big, small,  
17 medium size commissions and the gambit, but we did  
18 look into the Civil Service Commission, for example.  
19 So, the Civil Service Commission updated the plan  
20 with their appeals data every year since 2013, except  
21 for 2016. The 2016 data was neither included in the  
22 portal, and nor was the removal of the data. We  
23 caught it in the 2016 Data Report. So, how will MODA  
24 ensure that these plans are being reviewed for  
25 accuracy year-to-year?

2 ALBERT WEBBER: We can look into this  
3 particular instance, and every year in the plan what  
4 we include is an agency comment with the scheduled  
5 releases. So, if the data has changed, if a data set  
6 is—if a change in priority is made, we'll include  
7 that comment in the plan, and the same thing with  
8 removals. If a data set is removed from the plan,  
9 we'll include the reason why, and if that was  
10 removed, that—that would have been a mistake and  
11 we'll definitely look into that.

12 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So, I want to make  
13 sure that we guarantee that even the smallest of  
14 agencies are complying with the law. I know that  
15 there are personnel issues possibly in the smaller  
16 issues, but that can't be a subterfuge in—in my view.  
17 Now, NODA—MODA indicated that they were working on a  
18 survey at the last data hearing we held. So, that  
19 all agencies can submit their public data to the  
20 portal more—more systematically. Has the  
21 Administration taken other steps to ensure that  
22 agencies are in compliance?

23 JAMES PERAZZO: Well, I would say this is  
24 part of the reason that we greatly expanded the  
25 number of Open Data Coordinators, including all he

2 smaller offices of the Mayor and having those  
3 specific data groupings

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. I wanted to get  
5 to this now [mic squawking]. In this year's Open  
6 Data Report, I was very happy to see that MODA will  
7 be releasing an on-line project library, and  
8 documentation of its Open Source Analytics framework.  
9 As you know, I'm very concerned with city agencies  
10 develop algorithms, and this is an important step in  
11 the process. Do you feel this type of documentation  
12 is something that could and should be encoded into  
13 law?

14 JAMES PERAZZO: Well, I-I think we're  
15 looking forward to a hearing on that topic--

16 JAMES PERAZZO: [interposing] I know.

17 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --correct?

18 [background comments]

19 JAMES PERAZZO: I-I would have to refer  
20 to that to speak at that-at that time but, of course,  
21 MODA does believe that documentation is-is very  
22 important is and communication is an important piece  
23 of that Audex. (sic).

24 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. My concern is  
25 transparency so that people can understand how

2 agencies arrive at the decision they arrive at, and  
3 that's where al—that's where algorithms come in, and  
4 I do know we're having a future hearing on my bill  
5 next month, but as—as a preview it's kind of  
6 inconsistent that we go with an open data outreach,  
7 an open data engagement and then people still left in  
8 the dark when it comes to other parts of government  
9 decision making. So, I'd like you to look at your  
10 Open Data Report and then get back to us because I  
11 think that that report sets a framework for us to  
12 have those serious discussions we should have on the  
13 algorithms. So, we have to be consistent, and that's  
14 why I brought that up. I know I can expect support  
15 because people are entitled to that information. You  
16 know, I come back to the same old story. So, I have—  
17 I have many youngsters in my district, and they  
18 applied for high school, and they may reject it.  
19 They get their seventh choice, and they're told that  
20 the school you want has no room. That's the  
21 explanation. They don't know what went into that  
22 decision. What information was fed into a computer  
23 that didn't give this kid his first, second or third  
24 choice, but gave somebody else their first, second or  
25 third choice? It's basic transparency that parents

2 are entitled to, which they don't get right now.

3 Okay. We'll go back, but I wanted to cite that.

4 Now, are there any staffing budget needs that are

5 unmet by your agency that you feel should be

6 addressed by the Council or the Administration? I

7 know that we're doing a lot of work here, but I—do

8 you feel that the staffing is adequate based on the

9 outreach or the administrative work that's involved?

10 JAMES PERAZZO: At this time I—I think  
11 we're meeting our operational requirements with the  
12 existing staffing.

13 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. This year the  
14 open data Help Desk feature was added was to the  
15 website, and there was a large increase in the number  
16 of inquiries made. Are the complaints made through  
17 the Help Desk available to the public to review?  
18 Will they know that the complaint they made has been  
19 raised and addressed? How can we track that, track a  
20 complaint?

21 ALBERT WEBBER: So, of the feedback that  
22 comes in, we're currently making the public data set  
23 requests available, and we also have an alerts  
24 feature, and so when a complaint or a data error  
25 comes in that is extremely of note, we'll make that

2 available. So, if for some reason a data set if the  
3 automation went down, and it's down for a period of  
4 time, longer than we'd like, we'll make those alerts  
5 available.

6 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Okay, I have  
7 no further questions. [background comments] No? Oh,  
8 yeah about the legislation. I forgot. [laughter]  
9 So, sometimes. So, you seem to be in favor of—you  
10 have no problems with my bill as is, the bill I  
11 introduced?

12 JAMES PERAZZO: No.

13 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You—your testimony  
14 indicates that as is 17 what? 07. I forget the  
15 numbers. On 1707 you have no problems with?

16 ALBERT WEBBER: We support the goal of  
17 the legislation.

18 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, no, no, no, don't  
19 start with that. [laughter] Don't start with that  
20 with me. You said you had—you support the goal. I  
21 mean we all support the goal of winning the lottery.  
22 I doesn't mean we win. [laughter] Do you—do you  
23 support the legislation? I got the impression.  
24 Should I be reading between the lines? Are—are you  
25 in politics that I have to read these things so

2 carefully because politicians are always, you know,  
3 they never say what you think they said. But let me  
4 ask you where are on that.

5 ALBERT WEBBER: We largely support the  
6 legislation.

7 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Do-do you have any  
8 amendments that you would like or anything that  
9 concerns you because that's what these hearings are  
10 about also the leg.

11 ALBERT WEBBER: We mentioned that these  
12 in terms of the licensing provision on the Open Data  
13 Law. We-we do feel that changes to that legislation  
14 where we could potentially include license  
15 provisioning on the Open Data Portal would be  
16 helpful. The way it's currently interpreted by us is  
17 there's no license that could be put on a data set,  
18 and will be very helpful.

19 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now, since  
20 introducing the legislation, we've heard from  
21 advocates that the extension of the deadline to 2021  
22 might have negative implications and that agencies  
23 will potentially lack the impetus publish data sets  
24 sooner. Do you have that-do you have that concern?

2 ALBERT WEBBER: No, my-no. I think  
3 looking at-putting-always putting a deadline I think  
4 is always helpful, but now we do not have concerns  
5 that pushing it to 2021 will delay the release of  
6 some data, sets and-and we would also like to look  
7 at-looking at the legislation or looking at the terms  
8 of the legislation to make sure any data that's  
9 solicited between now and 2018 is still held to that  
10 deadline.

11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, that's  
12 important.

13 ALBERT WEBBER: To us as well.

14 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now, on 1528 that you  
15 that there are 15-5,400 sponsors that include public  
16 data sets not included on the Open Data Portal this  
17 past year. Would there be any technical issues with  
18 releasing the names of these data sets?

19 ALBERT WEBBER: We don't believe there  
20 will be.

21 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Now, concerning  
22 Council Member Brewer's bill, you-you would have some  
23 request to-- I-I think you did say you were for that  
24 in-in principle but you had some issues there.

25 [background comments] That's 1707. One was the

2 framed bill that was mine. The other bill was  
3 Council Member Brewer's bill. [background comments]  
4 I'm also on that. I know, but is it determining the-  
5 --if you take which-which-- What was-what was the  
6 number? [background comments] How is 1707? You  
7 said here that you would codify a bi-annual review.  
8 [background comments]

9 ALBERT WEBBER: Yeah, that was in regards  
10 to the licensing provision.

11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That's the licensing  
12 provision?

13 ALBERT WEBBER: Yes.

14 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I got it then,  
15 alright, now regarding 1528, you have no problem with  
16 that bill? I want to clarify with you on both  
17 pieces.

18 ALBERT WEBBER: Oh, yes. No, we-we-we  
19 are to support it.

20 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yeah, because you  
21 spoke about licensing requirement. I-I didn't get it  
22 from there because my bill does not have registrants,  
23 and my bill is 1528. Under my bill will give you-you  
24 have issues with it?

25 ALBERT WEBBER: So, in general--

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: [interposing] You  
3 have some concerns regarding licensing?

4 ALBERT WEBBER: Yes, the only thing with  
5 the licensing is that we'd like to see some language  
6 that would allow licensing. The way it's being  
7 interpreted is that we can't even have permissive  
8 licenses on the data. So, if there could be some  
9 changes where we could do that that would be  
10 extremely helpful.

11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, I got it.  
12 Okay. There being no questions from the Council, I  
13 will thank you so much for coming, attending the  
14 meeting, and thank you for your help. I've got to  
15 say this is our last hearing of this committee, and I  
16 hope the next committee grills-grills you like have.  
17 [laughter] So, let's put it that way. Okay, thank  
18 you so much.

19 ALBERT WEBBER: Thank you.

20 JAMES PERAZZO: Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Did it  
22 work? Is Gale here? Oh, Gale Brewer is here. Okay,  
23 Borough President. I was going to say Council Member  
24 but—

2 GALE BREWER: [off mic] You didn't tell  
3 me.

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I did, right, Council  
5 Member—former Council Member Gale Brewer, the  
6 Manhattan Borough President is a prime sponsor of one  
7 of the bills today, and she—her work—her work as a  
8 pioneer of open data is well known to all. So, we  
9 welcome you as always.

10 GALE BREWER: Thank you very much. It is  
11 a pleasure to be here. I am Gale Brewer. I'm the  
12 Manhattan Borough President, and I want to thank  
13 Chair Vacca, and—for all of his support on this  
14 topic. I want to congratulate him in the New York  
15 Times article talking algorithms. That was very  
16 exciting. I'm not the expert. There are experts in  
17 the room, but I do think that our local law 11 of  
18 2012 the Open Data Law was a turning point in some of  
19 these discussions, and you should know, Mr. Chair  
20 that I just met with a—elected officials in Spain,  
21 Madrid. Maybe others have met with them. I know the  
22 city did and they are looking at our law. They  
23 passed an ordinance, and we can talk about the  
24 reasons we have we have laws instead of ordinances,  
25 but then this gentleman was going to be speaking at

1 the United Nations yesterday about the issue of open  
2 data. So, it's a very current topic around the  
3 world, and I think we should be proud, not just me,  
4 but apparently our law is considered a model for  
5 looking other cities. So, congratulations to you for  
6 keeping the discussion going, and it is always  
7 exciting to be with all the civic tech organizations  
8 that are here. So, no question, and you said this  
9 just now that whoever unfortunately will not be here  
10 as chair, but the Open Data Law continues to be a  
11 major undertaking and questions must continue to be  
12 asked. I want to thank you the unit, and I want to  
13 thank the Chief Technology Officer, Miguel Gamino for  
14 all of their efforts and MODA, people you have  
15 mentioned, and they're all helping keeping this law  
16 current. It was Mayor Bloomberg who signed the law--  
17 the bill into law, and that was, as I said, the first  
18 large scale municipal open data portal. There wasn't  
19 a regulation on the ordinance, and I think it's--it's  
20 an important point to make because we know that as--  
21 just after the inauguration of a certain federal  
22 official on January 20, 2017, the federal government  
23 began removing information from the its websites that  
24 had been published in the name of public access and  
25

1 shared knowledge. There's no federal law to protect  
2 public information from an administration that does  
3 not believe in facts or transparency. You know,  
4 that—that's why we have a law. By contrast, we are  
5 assembled here today to discuss making our  
6 transparency laws stronger and more effective, thanks  
7 to the chair. I certainly support your Intro 528,  
8 reporting the names of public data sets that are  
9 requested under the Freedom of Information Law is  
10 within the spirit of FOIL and open data, and I  
11 support amending 1528 to allow DOITT to consider  
12 which license will make the city's data sets free and  
13 open for public use in perpetuity, and on the -that  
14 we both introduced (sic) 1707 to further integrate  
15 open data reporting into workflows in culture of our  
16 civil service while giving advocates of transparency  
17 more tools to analyze and respond to the city's open  
18 data methodology. 1707 would support the work of the  
19 Mayor's Office with the Open Data Coordinators who  
20 guide their agencies towards compliance by making the  
21 position a required one for each agency. Along with  
22 open data—data advocates likely Reinvent Albany and  
23 Beta NYC both of whose leaders are here today, thank  
24 goodness. The Open Data Coordinators are the unsung  
25

2 heroes who make New York City leader in open data.  
3 That's where we are today, and I want to congratulate  
4 everyone. The new requirements in this bill for the  
5 collection, analysis, and public reporting of Open  
6 Data Portal analytics will support your efforts and  
7 strengthen your legacy. All legislations need  
8 tweaking to enhance potential, and obviously this  
9 bill is no different. I know that the text creates  
10 some confusion. We talked about this earlier about  
11 the 2021 deadline for agency comply-compile-  
12 compliance. I think the language could perhaps be  
13 clarified to state the original 2018 compliance  
14 deadline is still in effect, and that Intro 1707 is  
15 extending the law itself to 2021. The Open Data  
16 Coordinators of each agency are working very hard to  
17 meet the 2018 deadline, and give New Yorkers a full  
18 selection of what the city is able to publish. I  
19 would like to see added to the bill a safeguard that  
20 we have all worked on to build and improve where New  
21 Yorkers to one day elect a mayor like the current  
22 leader of our federal executive, open data would be  
23 endangered. We know now that our democracy is only  
24 as strong as the institutions that serve as its  
25 pillars and the laws and advocacy support them. I

2 believe you must provide a right—a private right of  
3 action to protect New York City municipal open data  
4 apparatus from a future administration that does not  
5 wish to operate it in good faith as the current and  
6 previous administrations have done. I had talked  
7 last year when I had talked about our then future  
8 plans to work with Noel Hidalgo, who's here. He is  
9 the Director of Beta NYC, and he's been working with  
10 us and the CUNY Service Fellows to build new tools  
11 making open data more useful to the unique needs of  
12 Manhattans' 12 community boards, and I'm really happy  
13 to report that the work has been successful.  
14 Microsoft is working with us to even make the work  
15 even more successful, and more transparent and more  
16 accessible particular for the Board, and this  
17 Saturday we are---we'll be announcing a 311 data  
18 visualization tool that was built from the ground up  
19 for community boards by—by the NYC Microsoft and the  
20 students, and we certainly want to thank Mary  
21 McCormick, Director of the Fund for City of New York  
22 and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for making the  
23 program and its success possible with their grants.  
24 Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify  
25 today and I look forward because I know there are

2 changes that—these will be made to make these bills  
3 even more appropriate for passage, and thank you, Mr.  
4 Chair for all of your work. This is actually hard to  
5 think that you will not be chairing this committee,  
6 because you have done a phenomenal job. Thank you,  
7 and I see my friend Madelyn—Council Member Palma over  
8 there. It's nice to see you.

9 COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: [off mic] Thank  
10 you.

11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, thank you,  
12 Gale and thank you again for being the pioneer and  
13 the mover and shaker years ago for all that started  
14 out that we've been able to build up.

15 GALE BREWER: All of us together.

16 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: All of us together.

17 GALE BREWER: Thank you very much.

18 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Gale  
19 Brewer. Okay, we do have a panel: Mary Tobin,  
20 Lexington Avenue, Brooklyn, Brownsville—representing  
21 the Brownsville Partnership; John Kaehny, Reinvent  
22 Albany, and Noel Hidalgo, Beta New York City. Please  
23 come up. So, Mary, I'm going to call on you first.  
24 Introduce yourself for the record and please give  
25 your testimony.

2 MARY TOBIN: Good afternoon, Chair Vacca  
3 and the members of the Technology Committee. My name  
4 is Mary Tobin, and I have the honor of serving the  
5 community of Brownsville, Brooklyn, as the Director  
6 of the Brownsville Partnership, which is an  
7 initiative of the Non-Profit Community Solutions.  
8 Our community solutions we work very hard to end  
9 homelessness and the conditions of poverty that  
10 create it, and at the core of everything we do is  
11 data. The Brownville Partnership's strategic plan  
12 focuses on engaging residents and partner  
13 organizations from many sectors immeasurably  
14 improving the health, safety and economic prosperity  
15 of Brownsville, which simply means we want to see  
16 people find jobs, live in a safer environment and  
17 attain a higher quality of life. How does data help  
18 us do that? Well, we take existing neighborhood data  
19 on everything from health to crime to education and  
20 unemployment and we then analyze that data to  
21 highlight any inefficiencies, gaps in -in existing  
22 systems that serve the neighborhood we work in. We  
23 use those insights t direct problem solving efforts  
24 where they need to be applied the most. For example,  
25 in my very own Brownsville in the Pathways

1 Initiative, we work on ways to increase the overall  
2 number of people from Brownsville who gain  
3 employment. Our early analysis of the Brownsville  
4 data that we obtained from NYC Open Data, reveals  
5 that there are three critical cohorts within the  
6 general population of Brownsville who are facing the  
7 most difficulty obtaining unemploy—obtaining  
8 employment. Give the unique barriers to employment,  
9 the specifically cohort studies: The Brownsville  
10 Partnership Strategy has been to more actively engage  
11 with in employers who work to employ these cohorts.  
12 This very specific strategy has resulted in  
13 meaningful conversations, more jobs and also very—  
14 very meaningful strategizing sessions with public  
15 agencies and other non-profits around how to improve  
16 employment outcomes. This strategy also allows  
17 organizations like mine with limited money, people  
18 and time to direct our resources to the area to the  
19 areas and the residents who need them the most. We  
20 won't stop there as we are currently in partnership  
21 with organizations using data to improve outcomes for  
22 our most vulnerable population, children ages 0 to 3.  
23 Because of the foresight of the leaders in this room,  
24 and the work of the NYC Open Data Team, organizations  
25

2 like mine are able to begin to pinpoint the most  
3 critical issues in neighborhoods like Brownsville.  
4 As we work together with the residents and community  
5 based organizations to pool our own resources, test  
6 our own strategies and solve our own problem. We  
7 truly do believe that we can begin to move the needle  
8 on poverty, but we aren't there yet, which is why  
9 continuing to leverage programs like these is  
10 critical to our work. For the work that my team does  
11 everyday in a neighborhood that I've come to love as  
12 my own, data is not just spreadsheets and charts. It  
13 represents the life that deserves the opportunity to  
14 thrive in conditions that other Americans enjoy every  
15 single day. Thank you for your time and  
16 consideration. Hope is truly inside of Brownsville.

17 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I am very moved by  
18 your testimony. I think it's fantastic. This is  
19 exactly what we fight for. We fight to—we—we fight  
20 for neighborhoods to use open data to empower the,  
21 and you have used it, and you have been empowered,  
22 and I want to thank you and your organization, but I  
23 wish more people did that. I do think we still have  
24 that education component--

25 MARY TOBIN: [interposing] Right.

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --and an engagement  
3 component--

4 MARY TOBIN: [interposing] Right.

5 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --and an engagement  
6 component that has to be really improved, but the  
7 fact that you're there, and that you have that  
8 enthusiasm, but that you're using the technology, and  
9 we have fought so hard for at the Council to make  
10 available to you--

11 MARY TOBIN: [interposing] Right.

12 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --is-is rewarding to  
13 me so.

14 MARY TOBIN: Absolutely. Thank you.  
15 Thank you all.

16 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You're great. Thank  
17 you for being here.

18 MARY TOBIN: Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Mr.  
20 Caney.

21 JOHN KAEHNY: Thanks, thanks, Mary. I  
22 haven't met you before, but that was great, and I  
23 feel like I can leave now because this is--

24 MARY TOBIN: [interposing] [laughs]  
25 Thank you.

2 JOHN KAEHNY: --really what we've been  
3 fighting for a long time now.

4 MARY TOBIN: Absolutely. Thank you.

5 JOHN KAEHNY: Thank you. It's very  
6 inspiring, and as--as thank go, you know, this is a  
7 really big day for us to--to thank you, Mr. Chair  
8 Jimmy Vacca. You know, when Mike Bloomberg left as  
9 Mayor and Mayor de Blasio took over, no one was sure  
10 where open data would go, but you've--you've kept it  
11 going and pushed it ahead through, you know, highs  
12 and lows, and we really, really appreciate your  
13 tenacity and your intelligence and your forcefulness  
14 and just making this a priority, and it's--it's  
15 incredibly gratifying. So, thank you and from  
16 everyone at the New York City Transparency Working  
17 Group, all of the government groups that comprise our  
18 coalition, you know, thanks for your effort, and for  
19 keeping it going there, and it really matters and,  
20 you know, I don't know who we're going to get as next  
21 chair that can step into your shoes, but, you know,  
22 for now thanks. The--I have some comments that are  
23 both going to speak to the bills and to general  
24 oversight, and your staff asked us to please not  
25 forget oversight. So, we will talk a little bit

1 about oversight. Generally, just the state of open  
2 data to us seems pretty good in New York City in part  
3 because of you and you and people we haven't met who  
4 are using the open data, and because we have a public  
5 culture with civil society here within journalism,  
6 within elected politics, community boards, community  
7 based organizations of using open data in an  
8 expectation that public data will be available in a  
9 usable form by the public, and that expectation is  
10 the most important legacy of the law and of your  
11 persistent oversight. So, that's—that's good news,  
12 and the other good news is that we have the funding  
13 for the city's Open Data Team, who we think are great  
14 an-and appreciate their work, and we don't take it  
15 for granted that we'll always have that funding  
16 available. So, I'm very pleased that you asked the  
17 question about do you have the resources you need,  
18 and I hope Council continues to fund the Open Data  
19 Team going forward because without people keeping it  
20 going, the technology will fall apart very, very  
21 quickly. So, we're not forgetting that, and I also  
22 wanted to touch on how important automation is, and  
23 thank you for your questions about automating data  
24 sets. We think in terms of sustaining open data as  
25

2 well as the public culture and culture of oversight.  
3 It's the automation of those data sets and the most  
4 used data sets that is going to keep things going  
5 when other things are not going so well  
6 administratively in New York City. So, I want to  
7 thank Albert who is not here, and his team and the  
8 other folks at the Open Data Team for the automation  
9 efforts, which we think is extremely, extremely  
10 important. So, thank you for your—your asking  
11 questions about that. I don't if folks here saw  
12 yesterday 538.com, which is a big blog that does  
13 statistical analysis, and they did a big story using  
14 NYC City 311 data, and they shouted out to the Open  
15 Data Portal, and they did a visual a visualization of  
16 311 calls about Hurricane Sandy and the legacy of  
17 Hurricane Sandy, but everyone should take a look at  
18 it, and I thought it was an interesting example of  
19 how Open Data and New York City's Open Data Law is  
20 changing culture and perspectives, and just, you  
21 know, leaking into all—all kinds of different areas.  
22 Some specific oversight issues. Last year the—and  
23 the year before that the Council passed seven Open  
24 Data Law amendments, and they included new mandates  
25 for standardizing addresses, and including data

1 dictionaries, and basically making the data more  
2 usable. We—we loved the amendments. We thought  
3 they were great, and really helpful. The—but—but one  
4 of the things that the amendments highlighted was the  
5 fact that it is really, really hard to keep track of  
6 what's happening with open data sets for the public,  
7 when they're published, whether they're meeting the  
8 open—the amendments or not, and just the information  
9 about generally how well the agencies are complying  
10 with the law. So, I'm glad to hear that Albert  
11 Webber at DOITT is not having pushback from agencies,  
12 but what we're seeing is fog when it comes to  
13 understanding how well agencies are doing. So, of  
14 course, we can see the data sets on the Open Data  
15 Portal, but we're having a lot of trouble reconciling  
16 the agency plans, their publishing plans with what  
17 actually makes it all the way to the portal. So,  
18 just a couple of stats that we pulled. They're—for  
19 data dictionaries for instance, the city listed 1,648  
20 data sets. 615 have—data sets have data  
21 dictionaries, which is a lot more than we thought  
22 would have. So, kudos to the Administration, and  
23 1,033 don't. For address standardization, 350 data  
24 sets were listed in the city's portal and 206 of  
25

those are listed as having standardized addresses.

So, out of the roughly 1,700 published city data

sets, the city is confirming that 206 have

standardized addresses right now. One of the things

I would point to is that there are at least four

different spreadsheets scattered around the open data

portal for reporting on the status of different data

sets, and what we would like to see is one data set

that includes all of the city's public data--and I'll

get to this in more detail--all of the city's public

data and their status, whether it's published or

unpublished, when it's going to be scheduled, whether

it's been rescheduled, whether it's meeting the--the

address standardization mandate, whether it has a

data dictionary. All of that stuff in one data set

that the public can download and look at that's

machine-readable and easy to sort so that we can keep

track of what's going on because right now, the

information is spread over at least four different

sources, and it's incomplete. So, for instance, data

dictionaries, the city lists 1,648 data sets, the

status of their data dictionaries, but for address

and geospatial, they list 350 data sets. Why? We

don't know. So, rather than having separate scattered

2 data sets, we'd rather just see one big one where  
3 you can look. Publishing. In the publishing status  
4 of data sets, the core of the Open Data Law in 2012  
5 was to define where the public data set was and then  
6 to tell agencies that they had to start a methodical  
7 way of publishing that data for the public. So, even  
8 now, we still have trouble understanding what the  
9 status is of planned data—of publishing dates, and  
10 it's kind of a shifting sand for us. So, we drilled  
11 down and we have a spreadsheet that I'll share with  
12 you, and provide to the Administration as a  
13 spreadsheet. We looked at six data sets, two street  
14 tree data sets from Parks, the Fire Department's Fire  
15 Incident, Construction Authority Funded Capacity  
16 seats for schools, and HRA's Cash Assistance  
17 Engagement data sets, and all those data sets were  
18 planned for publication and scheduled in 2014, and of  
19 those six data sets, four were never published, but  
20 there was no update on what happened to those four.  
21 Now, we picked those four at complete random. I mean  
22 just, you know, throwing darts at the computer  
23 screen, and the-- So, we hope that this is not a tip  
24 of an iceberg, but does point to the fact that what  
25 goes on and off of the—the data of the agency

1 publishing plans is—is very hard to track for the  
2 public right now, and that’s again why we just want  
3 one website. I mean, pardon me, one data set that  
4 just says when—when this thing is going to be  
5 published and, you know, if it’s rescheduled, when  
6 and why just in one place. So—so that—so, you know,  
7 good news overall, good culture, great stuff going  
8 on, a great team, but some murky details here that  
9 need to be sorted, and—and I’m going to get to some  
10 specific recommendations in a moment. Overall, we’re  
11 really concerned about agency procrastination, and  
12 the fact that there are so many data sets back loaded  
13 to the second half of 2018 for publication. We count  
14 102 data sets to be published in the second half of  
15 2018, 70 of those in December of 2018. So, to us  
16 that’s like a kid, a high school kid promising to  
17 turn in all their homework on the last day of school,  
18 and we’re having a little trouble, you know, buying  
19 it right now. So, that segues into the—into the  
20 comments on legislation. The 1528 open data FOIL  
21 Amendment we strongly, strongly support that. It’s a  
22 small adjustment that helps, helps make that—that  
23 bill more effective, and we partnered with existing  
24 Local Law 7 of 2016 more effective. For those in the  
25

2 audience who want to know what that secretive thing  
3 is, it's—it just asks agencies to publish the list of  
4 data sets they're using when they—by name when they  
5 apply—when they reply to a FOIL request. On—on your  
6 bigger bill, your joint with the Borough President,  
7 we have some pretty extensive comments that revolve  
8 around three areas, and we've already provided you  
9 with some written comments, but basically we think  
10 that—that this bill should have three goals:

11           1. One to clarify that the Open Data  
12 Law will continue past 2018, forever in perpetuity.  
13 So we want to make sure that there's language in it  
14 that makes it absolutely clear that the doc—that the—  
15 that the Open Data Law continues, you know, past 2018  
16 and past 2021. So that's one thing. Secondly, we  
17 want to see strengthening of the mandate for the  
18 agencies to continue to publish public data sets  
19 forever. So, and I'll get tot that, and then lastly,  
20 we want to see language that encourages and fosters  
21 more data set automation. So, we think those are the  
22 three big goals that should happen. Specific  
23 recommendations. You know, this is complicated, but  
24 we would like to see you keep the 2018 deadline for  
25 publication. We are worried that the agencies are

2 going to feel that they're off the hook, and we're  
3 not sure how to make them, you know, stick to their  
4 schedule and publish the 2018 thing, and to that end,  
5 you know, we—we think the 2021 extension though  
6 there's a logic to it, might un-unfortunately feel—  
7 give the agencies, you know, a homework extension  
8 that they'll—they're never going to get to. So, we  
9 think there's a way to—to get around that by keeping  
10 the 2018 deadline in place, and then creating a new  
11 requirement that—that new datasets be published  
12 within 12 months or some time frame of when they're  
13 identified. So, when a new data set is created,  
14 whatever DOITT or the Administration feels is a  
15 reasonable time, that data set should be, if it's  
16 public and classified as public should then be under  
17 a publication deadline. So, that's how we think  
18 that—that this could work here, though we understand  
19 what you're getting at. Just a couple more things  
20 here. The big-big ask here is the—creating a new  
21 mandate requiring a new status of all public data  
22 sets. One big data set on the Open Data Portal, and  
23 we thin that would help greatly, greatly increase  
24 transparency and it would actually help the  
25 Administration and the Council keep track of all the

2 data sets, and all of the different mandates by  
3 putting them in one place, one big long list and that  
4 would be all public data sets whether they're  
5 published or not published. Anything identified a  
6 public data set, and then we could look at those and  
7 we wouldn't have to go searching around, which is a  
8 problem for us. We think that's especially important  
9 because there's no public right of action or pardon  
10 me, private right of action that allows the public to  
11 sue agencies when they're not complying with the Open  
12 Data Law, and so what you do and what we do is name  
13 and shame the laggard agencies, and we're having  
14 trouble understanding which agencies are laggard  
15 right now because of-of the-the difficulty in  
16 tracking the different data sets at least from the  
17 public side. Therefore, we're hopeful that you add  
18 the language to this bigger bill creating the single  
19 what we're calling status of all public data sets,  
20 which we think that would not be a burden at all on  
21 the administration. In fact, would help them and is  
22 something that we would think that they would want.  
23 The-we have a list of the specific elements that we  
24 think that all the data sets should have. Those are  
25 starter, you know, scheduled publication, and current

2 scheduled publication, et cetera, et cetera, but I  
3 think it's fairly apparent what we're asking for. We  
4 would like to see another provision added to this  
5 bill on automating data sets, and that would require  
6 reporting fields and the status of all data sets,  
7 data set let's say whether a data set is automated,  
8 whether it can be automated, and then establishing a--  
9 a schedule for automation of sorts. So, Albert  
10 Webber said that 30 days or update--data sets that  
11 update every month are reasonable targets for  
12 automation. It sounds reasonable to us, but we would  
13 like to see data automation codified and maybe  
14 formalized a little bit. We think it's a little  
15 loosey-goosey right now, and that it's--because it is  
16 so important this needs to be track and in particular  
17 the next chair who is not going to be as  
18 knowledgeable as you about this is going to need to  
19 have that kind of very clear reporting metrics. So  
20 that would be another. And then, additionally, why a  
21 data set cannot be automated. So, to summary--  
22 summarize the--our take on the provisions in 1707, we  
23 strongly support the review of the Technical  
24 Standards Manual every two years. We support  
25 changing the Compliance Plan deadline from July to

2 September. That's totally reasonable. We support  
3 designated agency open data coordinators under the  
4 law instead of voluntarily, and we support publishing  
5 website portal analytics, but we would ask that you  
6 consider not extending the publishing deadline to  
7 2021 but keep the 2018 deadline in place with—with  
8 additional language that clarifies that the Open Data  
9 Law will continue in perpetuity, and then establish a  
10 mandate for—for publishing new data sets the come  
11 after that time. And then lastly, like Borough  
12 President Brewer, we strongly support a private right  
13 of action for the public to be able to sue agencies  
14 who are not complying with the Open Data Law, and we  
15 would note that there's only a handful of New York  
16 City laws, a very tiny, tiny minority that don't have  
17 a private right of action, and that the Open Data Law  
18 is actually very unusual in that regard. So, thank  
19 you for the extra time and much appreciated. Oh, I  
20 should add that we support DOITT's proposal to add  
21 licensing language about permissive licensing  
22 language, we think is common sense to you. So, thank  
23 you.

24 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you very much.

25 Thank you for all of your work. It's fantastic to

2 know that people like you will be continuing this  
3 work, and your-your-your advocacy is very important  
4 to us. Thank you. Noel.

5 NOEL HIDALGO: Hello. I want to echo  
6 everybody else's comments in regards to thanking you  
7 personally as well as Borough President Brewer for  
8 your steadfast leadership in open data and open  
9 government and here in New York City. It's been  
10 refreshing to go from one leadership to another  
11 leadership and to be able to have that continuation.  
12 So, thank you both for all of that work. I'd also  
13 want to point that, you know, we are here because of  
14 many elders. Beta NYC particular, a front gizmo to  
15 the funds from the City of New York to NYPIRG to  
16 Transportation Alternative who have been embracing  
17 this data transparency movement for awhile and just  
18 wanted to give a shout out and a thanks because the  
19 elders of our community have been coming in and  
20 providing us the type of feedback to help grow tools  
21 like Board Stat that, you know, that Gale mentioned  
22 and that the statement once again that Gale mentioned  
23 around the world seeing New York City as an open data  
24 leader. In all of my travels that I've had the-that  
25 I've been fortunate to experience, time and time

2 again, it's how do-how did you et the Open Data Law  
3 passed? How do you continue to have such a robust  
4 program? How do you modernize your open data system  
5 so that way you continue to stay at the forefront?  
6 And, you know, across the United Nations-across the  
7 United States, I-I get asked that question. Well,  
8 this past week I had a chance to meet with somebody  
9 from Valencia, Spain, and they were asking why, how-  
10 how New York City be so far ahead, and here is a  
11 great opportunity at this particular time to think  
12 about how we can stay ahead. So, some of the  
13 community things that-that DOITT mentioned  
14 beforehand, the celebration of the fifth anniversary  
15 of the Open Data Law. The-the inauguration--  
16 inauguration of Open Data Week and the celebration of  
17 international open data today converged this past  
18 year, and we had over 350 people in attendance, and  
19 this is the second year that we've hosted NYC School  
20 of Data, and we think we've fine-have finally ironed  
21 out a platform that enables government and community  
22 to share their stories back and forth, and to keep-  
23 empower each other. We've been able to in-in this  
24 process include a number of curriculum items that  
25 we're hoping to seed and experiment and then be able

2 to share through permissive licensing back to the  
3 city so the city has a foundation as well as  
4 community based organizations have a foundation to  
5 further educate their different communities. In  
6 regards to something that we've experimented with,  
7 with the Parks Department, and City Planning this  
8 year, and we're going to continue this weekend with  
9 311 is we've started these things called data jams,  
10 which enable one again the city government agencies  
11 and—and partners, private partners to come in, and to  
12 explore algorithms, to explore data set, kind of data  
13 schemas, and this has been a great opportunity for us  
14 to develop a model, and a replicable model that we're  
15 happy to engage with the city to hopefully continue,  
16 and we wouldn't be able to do NYC School of Data or  
17 data jams if wasn't for the robust Open Data Program  
18 that we have now, and to—and really those programs,  
19 those programs, those civic engagement programs are a  
20 testament to the mayoral leadership, and the agencies  
21 adopting open data programs, and being open and  
22 transparent about the—the fact that they want to  
23 explore and build data driven decision making  
24 programs. So, I wanted to commend those different  
25 agencies that have been participating with us. In

2 regards to the Civic Innovation Fellows, a program  
3 that we have partnered with the Manhattan Borough  
4 President for the last few years, we've been able to  
5 expose 25 undergraduate students to the city's  
6 municipal infrastructure, and educate them on the  
7 value of open data, and in the past two years we've  
8 been able to move beyond open data, and we've been  
9 able to map out community board district office  
10 technical needs. This is something that we've  
11 brought up a few times in some oversight hearing,  
12 and—and that we're in the process of building Board  
13 Stat, which is a tool that will simplify community  
14 board access to 311 service request data, and we're  
15 going to be launching it this weekend. What this  
16 tool has exposed is continued need for vigilance  
17 around data quality. There's some issues in regards  
18 to 311, the 311 Geo Coder, and when you were asking a  
19 question about where could resources be placed, we  
20 really see that there's a hug opportunity. I didn't  
21 writ this in here, but there's a huge opportunity to  
22 improve Geo Support, which is a tool that so many  
23 agencies use to translate B and BBLs, lat longs, the  
24 addresses in general without having to pay thousands  
25 and thousands of dollars to a private provider. And

2 what we have discovered is that if you are making a  
3 mobile phone 311 service request, and you are in  
4 Marble Hill, it will geo code your service request as  
5 Community Board 8 Manhattan even though you are in  
6 Manhattan, but you happen to be attached to the  
7 Bronx, and your community Board is CB-8 in the Bronx.  
8 And there is a few other data anomalies that we've  
9 discovered, and we wish that Geo Support would have  
10 the investment to bring it up to the 21<sup>st</sup> Century,  
11 and hopefully be an open source stack that we can—the  
12 community can help improve Geo Support. Let's see,  
13 where to keep on going. Okay, to the legislation at  
14 hand, so, okay. Hold on. I didn't—I'm trying to  
15 summarize all of this very quickly. Five years into  
16 the Open Data Program we still see quite a bit of  
17 inequality. I can point to—I hate to use the  
18 Department of Transportation as a punching bag, but  
19 the—the two best examples that I have in regards to  
20 the legislation that you introduced actually affect  
21 the Department of Transportation, and so one of them  
22 is the East River bicycle counts. So, every time a  
23 cyclist goes over one of the East River bridges,  
24 there's a little device that tracks and monitors the  
25 bicycle going over it, and if I go to the Department

2 of Transportation's website, I can't get access to  
3 that data. I only get a PDF, and when I actually  
4 click onto where I can get access to the data on the  
5 city's Open Data Portal, I get an Excel spreadsheet  
6 that's been highly formatted on an annual basis and  
7 doesn't conform to the Technical Standards Menu, and  
8 it's frustrating because I know that this data set is  
9 automated. I know that the DOT is getting that data  
10 in an automated basis. There is very little concern  
11 in regards to privacy violations with that particular  
12 data, and so that data set should be something that  
13 should be up on the data portal. It should be  
14 automated, and—and it should be in access on a—on a  
15 daily basis. Cities like Copenhagen and Amsterdam  
16 they have gamified kind of bicycle cycling throughout  
17 the city where there's little bollards that say how  
18 many people have gone through this particular  
19 intersection. And if you look at some reporting on  
20 streets blog, it actually increase cycling activity,  
21 and it's just one example of how we could turn the  
22 city's open data into a more actionable environment,  
23 and it's definitely something that we hope that the  
24 modification to the Open Data Law around the  
25 Technical Standards Manual would push the Department

2 of Transportation to make this data machine-readable  
3 in a more real time way. The next example from the  
4 DOT is around street closures, and I know that you as  
5 the Chair of the Transportation Committee had to deal  
6 with some of these issues, but as—as again as a  
7 cyclist, I found myself this year going down Driggs  
8 Avenue, and then all of a sudden a sudden coming  
9 across the DOT maintenance team ripping apart the  
10 bicycle lane that was there and there was no warning,  
11 and for years, fore years and years and years, I've  
12 used the example of street milling data as an  
13 excellent example of if we could get this machine-  
14 readable, we could be able to build an application  
15 off of it, and just this spring I was complaining  
16 about the fact that bicycle lanes seem to vanish out  
17 from underneath me, and then I--all of a sudden I  
18 have to wait six weeks if not two months to find out  
19 when this bicycle lane would be replaced. And sure  
20 enough, that happened again this year as I was  
21 cycling down Driggs, and then as I was preparing my  
22 written notes, I come to find out that DOT since the  
23 spring has been putting that data on the Open Data  
24 Portal. And to echo John's comments, you know, it's  
25 very hard for us to track what data gets up on the

2 data portal when. We commend MODA and DOITT's  
3 activities to get the data up on the data portal. We  
4 wish that there was one conical (sic) where we could  
5 see how data activity is-is being produced on the  
6 city's Open Data Portal, and we would love for data  
7 sets like this to be within the geospatial open data  
8 standards, and we want this data set to comply with  
9 that law, and sadly it's been automated, it's been-it  
10 updates every single day, but it isn't complied-isn't  
11 in line with Local Law 108 of 2015, the Geo-the  
12 Geospatial Data Standards. So, I think that that  
13 kind of addresses some of the other points, but one  
14 concern that we have in regards to the legislation is  
15 around the web portal analytics. The language right  
16 now as it has been introduced says that-something  
17 around people's location, and that the reports should  
18 be around people's location, and we think that that  
19 vague language could be strengthened to ensure and  
20 protect people's privacy, which right you could  
21 interpret it that everybody who visits the website  
22 you can more or less report on their IP address and  
23 you could identify like where exactly people are  
24 coming from, and maybe we can work on some type of  
25 terminology that put enough of the clarification to

2 protect people's privacy, particularly individual and  
3 location privacy, and the last thing is we want to  
4 echo the Private Right of Action as so many others  
5 have—have stated. We really think that that would  
6 cement the—an open data legacy for those of you who  
7 have been working really, really hard at this. We  
8 think that it would also provide the sustainable  
9 framework for future activity, and lead—mark New York  
10 City as open data leader—continue to make New York  
11 City open data leader in the world. I think that's  
12 it.

13 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Wow. You gave us a  
14 lot of things to chew on I guess, and all your  
15 testimony was very technical and really helpful, and  
16 we will take it back as we work on the bill, the  
17 Borough President Brewer and myself. We want to come  
18 up with the perfect product, of course. Whenever you  
19 do legislation you want the perfect product, but we  
20 want to have all the stakeholders like yourself at  
21 the table. We thank you so much for coming. I want  
22 to thank Council Member Palma who stayed for the  
23 entire hearing today, which is fantastic. Thank you.  
24 There being no further testimony, I thank this—I

2 thank-- Oh, do we have one more testimony? Oh, one  
3 more person? Oh, do we have a slip.

4 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: No.

5 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Are you filling it  
6 out now? Okay. We have one more person.

7 [background comments] [pause] Okay. Sumata.

8 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: Hello, my name is  
9 Sumata Hari Hurashura (sic) and I don't blame you for  
10 not being able to pronounce that from my messy  
11 handwriting.

12 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

13 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: Sure.

14 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You're from Astoria,  
15 Queens?

16 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: Yes, I live in  
17 Astoria.

18 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay.

19 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: So, I am not here  
20 representing anyone in particular. I very much  
21 appreciate the Tweet that you sent out asking members  
22 of the public to come and give their feedback on the  
23 laws that you were considering today. I am an open  
24 source software expert, and a programmer, and someone  
25 who's--A New Yorker who is very much in favor of the

2 open data work that's been happening here over the  
3 past year. So, I'm very grateful for both the bills  
4 that are being discussed today to improve and expand  
5 it, and make it more sustainable for city agencies.

6 So, I have three concerns, all of which have been  
7 brought up by other people here. So, I'm just going  
8 to second all of them just so you know that there's  
9 at least one more person here who is also a

10 programmer who thinks all these are great ideas. One  
11 is clarifying that there is still a 2018 deadline.

12 So, just as the Transparency Committee representative  
13 mentioned so that we don't have the issue of these-  
14 this bit of work being put off over and over again.

15 I very much agree with Mr. Webber about licensing. I  
16 can say as someone who programs, looks for open data,  
17 and tried to find openly licensed data so that I know  
18 when I remix it, when I write presentations based on  
19 it, when I add it to applications that I'm making, I  
20 want to absolutely make sure that I'm in the clear  
21 legally on the data that I'm using, and using

22 commonly agreed upon licenses that are attribution or  
23 that are in keeping with the open definition are a  
24 way that I can feel quickly that yes this sort of has  
25 the brand name of openness stamped upon it. And so,

2 I would very much agree with Mr. Webber that having a  
3 provision in here that says that license that are in  
4 accord with the open definition would be fine. That—  
5 that would be really great. Third, I want to agree  
6 with Mr. Hidalgo and what he just said about the  
7 website portal analytics section of this, and that's  
8 actually specifically what I came to discuss. In the  
9 section of 1707 that adds information, that adds a  
10 provision about website portal analytics, the  
11 language here is actually a little worrying from a  
12 privacy standpoint. As Mr. Hidalgo mentioned, the  
13 location from which a user accesses such portal is  
14 vague enough that it could be interpreted to say all  
15 the way down to their—their individual IP address,  
16 which in many cases basically translates as a street  
17 address, as a person's actual address where they  
18 live. IP addresses in the Internet security  
19 community are commonly understood now to be  
20 practically PII, Private—Personally Identifying  
21 Information to such an extent that they should be  
22 treated with due respect and confidentiality, and  
23 gotten—gotten rid of as soon as possible under  
24 certain retention policies. So, clarifying that and  
25 also clarifying that would be great. No—I think that

2 it might be a good idea to clarify this provision so  
3 that in stead of page views, unique users, and the  
4 location from which a user accesses such a portal,  
5 specifying a little bit more about the parameters  
6 that we're actually looking for would be. Location.  
7 Do we care more about simply within or outside of New  
8 York City or do we care about location down to the  
9 borough level or the community board level or-or  
10 something like because that's the kind of data that  
11 potentially would be actionable by public data  
12 advocates, agencies and so on as they manage and-and  
13 incentivize particular kinds of work without posing a  
14 privacy risk to people who are looking up open data  
15 about sensitive topics. Page views great, number of  
16 page views great. Unique users I don't want to be  
17 identified uniquely in a public place for having  
18 looked up a certain piece of-of data. So, number of  
19 unique users perhaps is what this ought to say. So,  
20 I wanted to mention these as concerns that I have and  
21 a number of other privacy advocates as well would  
22 have about this bill.

23 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Very much appreciate  
24 your testimony, and I share some of your concerns,  
25 and we're going to take a look at it.

2 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: Thank you very  
3 much.

4 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you for coming.  
5 I'm glad that the Tweet--[laughter] I'm glad somebody  
6 reads my Tweets. [laughter] I Tweet all the time and  
7 never--

8 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: [interposing] So,  
9 I'll-I'll-I'll speak a little bit more specifically.

10 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Most times I see one  
11 person or two people. I figure it's a relative of  
12 mine somewhere I mean.

13 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: [interposing] I'm  
14 extremely--

15 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I-I'm glad you res

16 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: I'm extremely  
17 excited about your Algorithmic-Algorithmic  
18 Transparency bill, and so I had the--

19 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: If you're in favor, I  
20 need you.

21 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: I am and I-I  
22 actually, you know about this, and so, I was keeping  
23 an eye out to make sure that the--this was actually a  
24 hearing that was available for public comment, and  
25 just, you know, a soliciting to interesting people

2 saying interesting things. So, thank you very much  
3 for clarifying in your Tweet that it was certainly  
4 available for public comment.

5 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yeah, definitely, and  
6 I'm glad you came, and the Algorithms Bill I think is  
7 groundbreaking. I'm very much in favor of it, of  
8 course. I introduced it, but--

9 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: [interposing] I  
10 imagine you did.

11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: --next-next month I  
12 hope you come back.

13 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: I hope so, too.  
14 Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I hope you come back  
16 and thank you for your testimony.

17 SUMATA HARI HURASHURA: Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you very much.

19 Okay, there being no further witnesses, I want to  
20 thank everyone, Borough President, all the  
21 stakeholders and the Council staff, of course, as  
22 always. So, it's now 2:30 p.m. and the hearing is  
23 now adjourned. [gavel]

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 3, 2017