CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

April 27, 2017 Start: 1:28 p.m. Recess: 6:49 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Helen K. Rosenthal Ritchie J. Torres Barry S. Grodenchik Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Eric A. Ulrich

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Delfish, President Tenant Association 615 West 150<sup>th</sup> Street

Devon Ribbons, Tenant Building 161, 104<sup>th</sup> Street

Kyoko Jones, Tenant Tenant Association 235 West 116<sup>th</sup> Street

Anne-Marie Hendrickson, Deputy Commissioner Asset and Property Management Tenant and Communities Program NYC Department of Housing, Preservation & Development

Kim Darga, Associate Commission for Preservation
NYC Department of Housing, Preservation & Development

Lisa Talma, Assistant Commissioner Property Disposition and Finance NYC Department of Housing, Preservation & Development

Francis Marti, Assistant Commissioner Government Affairs NYC Department of Housing, Preservation & Development

Gale Brewer Manhattan Borough President

Bic Hafam (sp?, Policy Director NYC Public Advocate Letitia James

Norman Siegel, Attorney Siegel Treitelbaum

Jason Wu, Attorney Legal Aid Society Salvatore D'avola, Executive Director Neighborhood Restore Housing Development Fund Corp.

Andrew Riker, Executive Director Urban Homesteading Assistance Board, UHAB

Patricia Jewett, Resident

Anna Dehanna (sp?), Tenant, 320 West 157<sup>th</sup> Street 1890 Andrews Avenue, Apartment 3-F, Bronx

Anna Dehanna (sp?), Tenant, 320 West 157<sup>th</sup> Street

Arlene Toro, Tenants, 102 East 98<sup>th</sup> Street

Latifa Jones, 107 West 105<sup>th</sup> Street

Carlton Boroughs

Jessie Jess Diaz, Tenant, 503 West 140<sup>th</sup> Street

Barbara Martino, Tenant, 503 West 140<sup>th</sup> Street

Sarah Mallory, NYC HPD

Ella Edwards, Tenant 374 Prospect Place Tenant Association

Donna Gray, Tenant, 138 West 137<sup>th</sup> Street

Elsie Vasquez, Founder and Executive Director Palante Harlem, People Against Landlord Abuse and Tenant Exploitation

Cal Snyder, Attorney

Tammy Smith, Treasurer 158 Balfour Street Tenant Association

Beverly Pavone, 2 East 127<sup>th</sup> Street Tenant Association

John Montalvo, 1128 Manhattan Avenue

Joy Clark, President 158 Southwest Street Tenant Association.

Thomas Lopez-Pierre, Tenant activist, NYC City Council Candidate, Upper Manhattan

Lisa Rodriguez, 615 West 150<sup>th</sup> Street

Barry Weinberg Manhattan Community 9 Housing, Zoning and Land Use Committee

Rosa Rodriguez,
79 Post Street Tenant Association

Myra Vilasis Nelson Rodriguez Paul Ladd Regino Pechado Nadine Young, 615 West 158<sup>th</sup> Street 2 [sound check, pause]

1

3

12

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please. [gavel]

4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good afternoon,

5 everyone. My name is Jumaane Williams. I'm the

6 Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I

7 want to thank everybody for their patience.

8 Obviously, we're starting late because of the large

9 crowd we had, and wanted to make sure that folks were

10 | up here. We appreciate everybody's patience. We're

11 | joined by Council Member Mendez, Rosenthal-

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Salamanca.

13 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --Salamanca and

14 | Levine. That doesn't help my dreams at all. I'm

15 sorry about that. Salamanca and Levine. This is

16 obviously a very important topic, and we see

17 | everybody who is in attendance today. We are here to

18 | hold an oversight hearing on a very important issue,

19  $\parallel$  the Tenant Interim Lease Program, or TIL Program.

20 | The TIL Program was intended to be a pathway for

21 renters in city-owned buildings to become homeowners.

22 | Such renters were told that they would have the

23 popportunity to own their apartment for a little as

\$250. We're hear today to learn about—about the TIL

Program. Unfortunately, there is very little

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 7 2 information available about the current status of the program, and the outcomes of the program so far. 3 4 are also eager to learn about-more about the future of the program and about the-the Department of 5 Housing Preservation and Development plan's for the 6 7 remaining buildings in the program. In addition to 8 learning more about the program, we are here to figure out what went wrong over the years. I should note that I was deeply troubled earlier this year 10 11 when children and tenants testified in the committee's Preliminary Budget hearing that they have 12 been relocated for a number of years without access 13 to their belongings. There have also been numerous 14 15 reports regarding the-regarding the mismanagement of 16 TIL buildings. Today we are here to get answers on behalf of the TIL tenants who have been waiting years 17 18 for the opportunity to own their apartments. For 19 many of these tenants, some who are seniors on fixed 20 incomes the TIL Program is their only path to homeownership in New York City. I might -- [pause] --21 Is this off?--for our former tenant organizers 2.2 2.3 familiar with the TIL program from many years ago, I'm proud that I can chair this hearing. Last year, 24

I began hearing rumbles of mismanagement issues in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the TIL Program. I went to the then Commissioner to speak about. Thankfully, she acknowledged some issues, and said there was going to be some changes, and we're waiting for the change to occur. She went onto bigger, brighter pastures. We have a new Commissioner. I brought up the issues. Some of the issues that came up recently in articles, and so I'm glad we're able to have this conversation. Actually, even at that point, I was going to hold off on the-on the-the hearing so I can give the new Commissioner an opportunity to make some changes. Then, a group called Palante and Norman Siegel started putting some pressure. Even still, I was thinking about waiting and then the Preliminary hearing happened, and I was shocked and appalled by what I heard some of the tenants were going through, and I decided at that time that we must push forward with the hearing, and so once again I'm proud that the City Council was able to help an issue like this and provide some leadership since then. I want to thank Public Advocate Tish James for showing leadership, and even I hate to give any credit to the post at all, but I do thank them also for-I hate to do it, but I've got to do a little. Ah-ha. Oh, they're both can see me.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I still hate to do it, but I'm glad we are shedding some light on this issue, and I-I will say the preliminary hearing, the Administration has been meeting with me, and they're showing me that they are taking this seriously and I appreciate that, and I'm looking forward to the conversation we're going to have. I'd like to thank my staff for the work they did to assemble this hearing including Mike Toomey, my Legislative Director and Meagan Chin and Guillermo Patino, counsels to the committee and Jose Conde, Policy Analyst to the committee, Sarah Gastelum, the committee's Finance Analyst. I would like to remind everyone who would like to testify today to please fill out a card with the sergeant, and to those who were in the overflow room, as I said when I was there, thank you very much for your patience. being in the overflow actually shows us how important this issue actually is. We have not forgotten about you. We know that you're there, and we again want to thank you so much. Before I call up the representatives from the Administration, I want to call up three TIL tenants who will be our first panel. Many of these tenants have been waiting for decades, and I thought it fitting that they should

building entered into the TIL Program in 1996.

2 2007, at the ATA meeting with HPD Victor Hernandez 3 and Rufus Harvey, Mr. Hernandez assured the building 4 was scheduled for renovation in the fiscal year of It is now 2017, and HPD has changed the original program and claims not to have the funds to 6 7 rehabilitate the building. Consistent with what 8 Victor Hernandez said, HPD had the budget to start the rehabilitation. Tenants would be relocated starting in 2008. That year 15 families were 10 11 relocated. Their belongings were put into a storage 12 facility paid by HPD. Tenants do not have access to 13 their belongings, and are unaware where the facility is located. Out of the 15 families relocated in 14 15 2008, eight are still living in HDFC used to nine but 16 one had passed away. They relocated families paid 17 their tier rent to the Tenant Association, which then 18 pays HDFC to be written back by HPD every two months 19 of the differences between the-the tenants' rent and 20 the HDFC's (sic) rent. Between the tier rent and the 21 HDFC rent, which is almost four times higher than the 2.2 monthly payments to the HDFC. The current amount is 2.3 \$9,699.12, which is \$116,389.44 a year. In the eight years the total amount paid is \$932,115.52. Without 24 taking into consideration what was paid for the 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenants who passed away during the location. Now, the storage fees. HPD does not recognize the sweat equity of the tenants who through the years have not had had their apartments painted, suffered comfort deprivation, have been relocated to apartments that are not adequate for them. That is senior citizens with arthritic knees in fourth floor walk-up apartment. HPD is behaving like one of the worst landlords letting tenant buildings deteriorate letting tier resolve accounts (sic) to be depleted by not allowing the tier to rent the vacant apartments. We're-we're going through this like I said since 1996, and we've done everything that HPD asked us to do and more, and all we get from them is wait 'til next near, and you will hear from us, and which we just keep waiting and waiting and we are tired. tenants are getting very, you know, they-everybody right mow, as I said is mad, and sometimes they take it out on us because they think we are HPD. Like I told them in the meetings we are not HPD. We are a tenant association. So we are—are in this together. We can only do what HPD instructs us to do, but what is frustrating is sometimes we try to talk to them and, you know, we send emails. Sometimes we do not

8

10

14

25

get a response, and the tenants, like I said, are

3 getting very frustrated. We have basically or mostly

4 the tenants we have in our building are all senior-

5 senior citizens, and now they are worried with HPD

6 telling us now we have to carry a mortgage when the

7 building is renovated, and this—this is scaring just

about everyone including myself.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Next.

DEVON RIBBONS: [off mic] Good afternoon.

11 My name is [pause] Good afternoon and [pause].

12 Good afternoon. My name Devon Ribbons and I live in

13 Building 161 at 104<sup>th</sup> Street. Before I moved to

Building 161, I lived in Building 119 on 133rd Street

15 | all of which were in the TIL program under HPD.

16 | There was a woman who lived in 119 where I moved from

17 | who was the president, and not only was she

18 | president, but she was the treasurer and she was the

19 | secretary, and she was-she held every position in the

20 | Tenant Association. She fought to upkeep this

21 | building as if it was her own. She went through

22 | everything that HPD would require her to do. She was

23  $\parallel$  in compliance, but in the end, it was almost as if

24 when it got down to them wanting the building,

everything that was done, that needed to be done to

2 push her out of the building was done. I remember 3 there was a time where they came to deliver oil and 4 the oil was spilled all over the basement. remember there was a summer-there was a winter that we went with no heat and no hot water. Fast forward 6 7 to today. That person is no longer here, and she 8 passed away with cancer. That person was my grandmother, and I watched her struggle and fight with that building as if it was her own, and when the 10 11 funds was depleted, and when there wasn't any more 12 money into the building, because as Mr. Delfish 13 alluded to, they didn't allows us to rent those 14 There was several apartments in there apartments. 15 that could have been utilized and vacant and-and made 16 occupied, but they didn't. It got to a point where 17 my grandmother begun to pay for things out of her own 18 pocket, builder supplies, garbage bags, things to 19 clean the building, and that's not what she was 20 supposed to do. Fast forward, I live on 160-Building 161 on 140<sup>th</sup>, and I'm watching the same thing. 21 Gentrification and change is a great thing. It's 2.2 2.3 important, and it makes the community strive, but when you push out the people who have lived in this 24 community for so long, a pivotal part of the 25

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 community, to me that's not only an injustice, but

3 it's just not fair. Now, as he alluded to, all of

4 these buildings are out of compliance, but it's—it's

5 as-it's like every time they try to do one thing, HPD

6 hits them with something else.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, you said they are complaints or not complaints?

DEVON RIBBONS: They are in compliance.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are in compliance.

DEVON RIBBONS: They are in compliance, but as HPD it is not. It's—it can be—and there's so many different factors that always comes up, but I'm watching these people struggle. So, I'm here today to represent the next generation of soldiers in this fight against TIL for rent. Because unfortunately, the way it's looking is a lot of these people, as Mr. Delfish alluded to, they're on fixed incomes, they're older, and it's almost to whereas they're looking for it to—they're getting these people to either move out or perish away and to me that's an injustice like I stated before. We're not asking for anything special. We're not asking for any miracle. We're just asking for all of the things that we were promised from HPD and the TIL Program. The ultimate

Nice to see you. Thank you for having us today.

Okay. So I'm the TA President of 235 West 116<sup>th</sup>

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We were in the TIL Program in 1989, and I moved into the building in 1998. At the time, there were lots of TIL buildings. I moved in under the management of United TIL Coalition of Harlem, which had an HDFC, the C. Virginia Fields Court on 7th Avenue, which was like the model. HDFC was the moststill is the most beautiful court building on the block. And so, they managed us and they brought me and said, Ms. Jones, you know, they had known that I had done a lot of work in the community, and they said we want you to be part of the tenants association, you're going to own your apartment. You know, you're going to have a future, and I moved in pregnant with twins, and my husband at the time. so, you know, I was ready to do the work. I'm still doing the work even 18 years later. And, we moved in, and we thought we were going to be, you know, owning our apartment at some point. So I got involved with the Tenants Association. I took You UHAB classes, and I did, you know, everything that I thought I was supposed to do. United TIL Coalition of Harlem for some reason they vacated the space that they were occupying in the commercial building-in our-in our building the commercial space, and they

25

2 sort of disappeared. I don't know what happened to 3 them, but HPD let us know that they were no longer 4 going to be managing us. So, HPD started managing So, of course, any repair issues, which we had a 5 lot, we called HPD directly, and even went 95 on 6 7 Broadway and spoke to several representatives. 8 from the period of 2002 to about 2008, we were managed by various organizations, Prestige Management, Abyssinian Development Corporation, 10 11 Prestige Management. All that-all of the 12 organizations that I named were not, you know, 13 providing services. No heat, no hot water. You 14 couldn't count on a holiday to prepare food for you 15 family because you didn't know if you were going to have heat. You didn't know if you were going to have 16 17 an elevator even ask your guests to come upstairs and 18 eat. So, it was really just a rough time, and so 19 this went on. We made several complaints. 20 actually would go during my lunch time when I worked 21 for J.P. Morgan to try to get an attorney. Of 2.2 course, the attorneys in the city were not familiar, 2.3 didn't want to be bother with HPD buildings, and so we just-was just pretty much at and end of our rope. 24

And so, around 2012 we got another letter from HPD-

2 HPD that said that we were going to be entering another sort of multi-family program, and that that's 3 4 when we solicited services through the Legal Aid 5 Society, and our attorney actually is here, Jason Wu (sp?), and we want to give him a big a clap because 6 7 he's been with us for about six years. And so, Jason 8 said, Ms. Jones, if you stay on as CA President, even though I ready to resign at that point, we will help your association. And so, I just did that. I had to 10 11 do it, and so, Jason helped us. We went to various 12 meetings with the HPD representatives. They gave us 13 Section 8 applications to fill out and told us that the building was going to be renovated, but 14 15 interesting when Jason asked for the file from HPD, there was a letter that was in our file that said 16 17 that we were no longer in the TIL Program. So, how-18 how does all the officers and including myself, we 19 never knew we were taken out of the TIL Program. 20 That's something to this day that we're very 21 suspicious of. So, Jason said, you're not in the 2.2 TIL. Program. We said we didn't know that. 2.3 told that I was going to be buying my apartment. put all this time in, you know, working late hours. 24 25 Like this gentlemen just said spending your own money

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on things, making your own copies, knocking on doors. Even my twins would knock on doors and try to get tenants to come to the meetings. And so that was unfortunate. However, we were looking-we were looking to move forward because the condition of our building was in dire straits, and without hot water, without heat, broken steps. I had had already two knee surgeries. I didn't to fall down the stairs, and so when we met with the HPD representatives around the time of 2012-2013, we were told that another organization was going to take over our building. This time it was Artemis Construction/KNR Realty/133 Equities, LLC, right, and so the DBAs go on and on. And so, at this meeting, of course, we were angry. We said what are you going to do for us? And we were told that there was going to be a relocation. There was going to be a loan that was going to be requested by the developers, which I still don't understand how the city could underwrite a loan for a private developer. Even when I worked at J.P. Morgan, and did lots of wire transfers for developers, we never had the city sort of become the creditors for a private organization like Artemis Construction and 133 Equities but, however, we were

told that we were going to have to move out of ou	r
apartments, but we would be given the Section 8	
Voucher, and that we would be guaranteed affordab	le
rent, and we will be able to move back into our	
building at some point, about an 18-month to two-	
year-over two years of renovations. So, we moved	to
different buildings that KNR Management manages i	n
Harlem. I've been told now that they are managin	g
almost 90% of the property in Harlem, which I fin	d
very surprising especially they can from $65^{\text{th}}$ Stre	et
in the Upper West Side, and they're in Harlem and	
they're just all over the place. And so, we stay	ed
organized. I mean to this day, like even when we	
moved away we still met. I still text everyone.	I
still reminded them that we had to keep our eyes	on
the prize. But when we was just about to move ou	t of
our building at 116 <sup>th</sup> Street, they started taking	
everyone to court, and there's 16 units in the	
building, and so by the time we moved out, there	were
only eight tenants.	

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, who was taking you to court?

2.2

2.3

Artemis Construction/133 Equities, LLC. So we dwindled from 16, you know, tenants to about eight tenants, and we lived in various locations. I have twins and I had to—they had to share a room, and it was tight, you know. There was other issues in some of the different relocation buildings, but we made do. Now, we moved back into the building in January with the guidance of Jason Wu our attorney. They tried to do things like make us pay enormous extra legal fees. Like if your rent was late, you had had pay \$150. We said no. How can we pay that? They wanted us to get carpet for our apartments. Are you guys going to buy our carpet?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: This is still the management?

MYOKO JONES: This is still the management. When we moved back, so we made sure there—those situations weren't in the lease and we all agreed with the lease that we wanted, and we moved back in January. It's a very nice building. I mean Artemis Construction is know for doing really great work. However, just—just two days ago, our roof was leaking. So, I sent an email and I said why

25

out of the room, Ms. Jones.

L	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 24
2	KYOKO JONES: Yes, they were-they pretty
3	much too everybody to court to see who was legally
4	the residents in the apartments, and to also see if
5	they could just have them evicted as well.
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Were people
7	evicted?
8	KYOKO JONES: Yes, some people were
9	evicted.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you know what they were evicted for?

KYOKO JONES: I think one tenant was evicted for non-for non-payment of rent and then others because of succession rights, and they didn't really fight for their families.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And this was while they were out of-they were no longer in the physical building? That was until they were moved out? Is that correct?

KYOKO JONES: Right because the lawyer said that they found a letter in the HPD file that said we were no longer in the TIL Program that we never saw.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

you-were you evicted--

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 26
2	KYOKO JONES: [interposing] Before.
3	Before we moved out of the building.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But they were
5	evicted while they were in the building.
6	KYOKO JONES: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And was it HPD or
8	the management company?
9	KYOKO JONES: The management company.
10	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you know where
11	those families are now?
12	KYOKO JONES: No, I do not.
13	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How many of the 16
14	are left?
15	KYOKO JONES: There are seven core
16	tenants from
17	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Have
18	they been told they're in the TIL building or not in
19	the TIL building?
20	KYOKO JONES: Were not in the TIL
21	building.
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And you don't know
23	why?
24	KYOKO JONES: Don't know why?

and I want you to know that I appreciate you.

KYOKO JONES: Thank you, Helen. I appreciate all the work you've done.

Williams, and thank you to this really, really powerful panel. All three of you spoke with a very, very important message, and I know it's not easy to get in front of a group like this, and particularly Mr. Ribbons. I have to say if I spoke as well as you when I was your age, my career probably would have been a lot farther along than it is.

DAVON RIBBONS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So, kudos to you.

Mr. Delfish, your building, of course, is in my

district and I'm quite familiar with it. So, I do

want to ask you a few questions, if that's okay.

JOHN DELFISH: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: When did you move into the building, which says you're on 615 150<sup>th</sup> Street?

JOHN DELFISH: When I personally moved into the building?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yes, sir.

JOHN DELFISH: 1980.

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, and do you
3	remember why it was that the city had to take over
4	the building? Was there a derelict landlord?
5	JOHN DELFISH: A derelict landlord.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yes, which was
7	not making repairs, et cetera.
8	JOHN DELFISH: Not making repairs. There
9	were a lot of damages to the building, the roof and
10	the elevator was always a problem. The boiler went
11	first. I remember at one time it seems that every
12	year at Thanksgiving we never had any heat or hot
13	water. Because I remember a couple of times even
14	where everyone has been sitting in an overcoat the
15	building was cold, and that's been going on for-for
16	years. Christmas and again as though every holiday
17	it seems that boiler break down for some
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
19	right.
20	JOHN DELFISH:some reason.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Right, right.
22	JOHN DELFISH: That's when the city, you
23	know, took over.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: This is such an important point because it's been so long since your

24

- 2 building and others were taken over by the city.
- 3 That I think the public may have forgotten that you
- 4 got into this position because you were victimized by
- 5 a derelict landlord.
- JOHN DELFISH: Right.
- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: You're now being
- 8 | victimized again because it's taken 18 years, and
- 9 you're still suffering with these conditions, but
- 10 none of you asked to be in this position. You wound
- 11 up here because of a landlord who probably broke the
- 12 | law or in some way was making life very difficult for
- 13 you and the city had no choice but to take over the
- 14 building.
- JOHN DELFISH: Right.
- 16 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: If you can
- 17 remember that far back, sir, do you remember I think
- 18  $\parallel$  it was 1998 when the city took over the building, how
- 19 | long they took?
- 20 JOHN DELFISH: In '96 is when I went into
- 21 | the TIL Program.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: In 1996. Do you
- 23 remember how long it was that the city told you it
- 24 | would before the building was turned back to you as a
- 25 | co-op?

2.2

2.3

JOHN DELFISH: It was two to three years

it would take for the—for the renovation and the, you

know, for us to move. We move out and then we move

back after the—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] So in 1996—I just want to get these facts straight—the city told you that it would be two to three years before you would have a renovated building and you would serve as co-op—

JOHN DELFISH: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --owners of the building. So, it's been 20 years. So, 16-17 to 18 years longer-16 to 17--

JOHN DELFISH: [interposing] The same story.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --years longer.

It—it really is—it's a second victimization of you and your neighbors, and it's not unique to your building, but tenants who were once abused by a landlord, are now having promises that have been broken now for almost two decades.

JOHN DELFISH: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Currently in the building, sir, how many units are vacant?

2.2

2 JOHN DELFISH: Right now, we have 21 units that are vacant.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Twenty-one units are vacant.

JOHN DELFISH: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So, I just want to note that at a time when our city is suffering from a desperate shortage of housing [bell]—My time is. I'll just—I'll wrap up very quickly, Mr. Chair. That at a time when our city is suffering from a desperate shortage of housing 21 homes that could house anyone from a homeless family to any other person who needs affordable housing have sat vacant and just—just very quickly and then I'll—I'll defer back to the Chair, how long have those apartments been vacant, roughly?

JOHN DELFISH: Some—some of them were vacant prior to 2008 when we were—when they said that they had the money, and I don't remember how many exactly, but it's been over 10 or 12 years—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
Right.

JOHN DELFISH: --that they have been vacant apartments.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So this-this is a-

2.2

2.3

JOHN DELFISH: [interposing] We are not permitted to rent.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: This is a—this is a tremendous loss of affordable housing.

JOHN DELFISH: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And housing for the city when there's a desperate, desperate need for it, and it's a theme I know we're going to want to return to. My time is up. Thank you for the extra minute or two, Mr. Chair, and I want to thank again all three of you for your very powerful testimony.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, Council Member. Mr. Ribbons, you said that they tried to push your grandmother out. Who's they and what did they do?

DAVON RIBBONS: When I refer I want to say they are friends of HPD. There was a lot of different things that went on. We—we were told that the building was leaning, and the building was unsafe to live in, the roof was going to come in. We were told that there was mold, which there were, but there were a whole lot of things that was told that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[coughing] was to basically get us out of the building. Fast forward today. The building hasn't been restructured. It just was renovated, and it looks a lot newer. Fast forward to the building that I live in currently, our building was actually on the news a couple of months ago because of the-we didn't have a boiler. We didn't have a boiler because it was told by HPD that we were trying to-they were trying to find a better contract to repair the boiler, and we went about a couple of months without a boiler. It's funny because with our building making the news, I actually found things out about my building I didn't even know. There's mold all on the second floor. [coughing] The boiler is still not properly working. Every other two weeks or so

telling you about the issues at—in the other building, were they offering to move you while you waited? What were they—what were they saying you could do?

someone is out to fix that boiler.

DAVON RIBBONS: [interposing] No, they—
they actually didn't—they—they basically was just a—
they gave us several different apartment options, and

see.

2.2

2.3

DAVON RIBBONS: --and that we had to move, and before that--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] When they said you had to move, were they saying we'll move you to another apartment?

DAVON RIBBONS: They was moving us to another apartment, and that's what they did, but-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
Okay.

DAVON RIBBONS: --we were trying to hold onto the building because like I said before we were upkeeping the building in a way, but it just--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Yes, but you're saying they didn't—they didn't alert you that they would try to move you back?

DAVON RIBBONS: No, they didn't. In fact, there was a lot of information that they didn't give to my grandmother, and I guess—and the reason why I know this is because I was right there with her. So a lot of the things that she went through I was there. I—I know who Victor Hernandez is and Mr. Pronco. There are several people who we met with at HPD, and we've—and really like with all the determination trying to fight this fight. And so,

2 I've watched it from one building to another, and as 3 Mr. Delfish alluded to, these people have lived in

4 this building for a long time, and I'm just-it's--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Right. Ms. Jones,
Mr. Ribbons and Mr. Delfish, thank you very much. I
know it's hard, but I appreciate you sharing you
personal stories, and I know, probably on behalf of
the tenants that you're speaking of, they're proud to
have you represent their voice and I'm sure we're
hear from a lot of them later. Thank you very much.
Appreciate it.

KYOKO JONES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, we've been joined by Council Member Ulrich, and with that, we're going to have some members of the Administration who are going to testify, Deputy Commissioner Anne-Marie Hendrickson; Francis Marti, Assistant Commissioner; Assistant Commissioner Lisa Talma; Assistant Commissioner and Kim Darga, all from HPD.

[background comments, pause] Can everyone who's testifying please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

committee today, and to respond honestly to Council
Member questions?

PANEL MEMBERS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, and you can being in the order of your preference.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: afternoon, Chair Williams, members of the Housing and Buildings Committee and other members of the City Council. I am Anne-Marie Hendrickson, Deputy Commissioner for Asset and Property Management for the New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development, and I oversee the Tenant and Communities Program. I am joined on my right by Kim Darga, Associate Commission for Preservation and also Lisa Talma who sits on the far end, Assistant of Property Disposition and Finance who runs the Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program, known as ANCP, and by France Martig, who sits on my left, Assistant Commissioner for Government Affairs. want to thank the Chair for his focus on the TIL and ANCP programs. Let me also thank all of the shareall of the residents that are in the crowd and advocates. We do appreciate you being here, and want

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to work collaboratively with you. The TIL portfolio of buildings has faced challenges since its inception. Although the 960 cooperatives created through the program include many success stories, the program's overall narrative is a difficult one, and we appreciate the Council's partnership in addressing these problems over the years. In particular, our conversations with you and your fellow council members over the past several months has been productive and conceiving of new ways to improve the quality of life to TIL residents and with adjusting the ANCP program to match the community's needs. HPD is committed to ensuring there is a pathway to affordable homeownership for residents in the remaining 148 TIL buildings. It's important that we provide reassurance to these residents who have rightfully earned their chance to be homeowners. come here today with a threefold objective. First, to recognize past challenges with the TIL program. Second, to explain the origin of the ANCP program, which despite some initial hurdles, has seen some recent progress, and third and most importantly, to offer new approaches in both the TIL and ANC programs and ask for your partnership in implementing

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

solutions. As everyone knows, the TIL Buildings are in need of significant reno-rehabilitation. introduced the ANCP program in 2012 as a way to jump start the renovation of these buildings, and find a path forward for the residents to homeownership. Although we believe ANCP is the optimal pathway out of TIL, we have not been able to proceed with ANCP as fast as we would have liked, and recognize that at times we may not have communicated with tenants as often and as directly as we should have about our policies and programs. However, we are fully committed to a goal that we know the Council shares: Ensuring a successful, affordable outcome for the residents as quickly as is possible. Today, I will discuss a set of specific commitments and solutions, many of which are already underway, or will be implemented soon in response to extensive conversations with Council and stakeholders. going to provide an overview of TIL and ANCP.

The TIL Program was created in 1978 as part of the solution to massive property abandonment by building owners in the 1970s, and in response to existing residents who were steadfast in the determination to save their buildings. In 1995, the

program and became HDFC cooperatives, had a mixed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

record of success. While many succeeded, some of these cooperatives struggled with governments, physical upkeep, and financial viability with some facing foreclosure for non-payment of taxes and water and sewer charges. Additional-additional concerns with these HDFC cooperatives included a lack of structure and regulation reselling units at unaffordable prices, subletting with board approval, and instances of renting units as opposed to selling them. As a result of these problems, in the mid-2000s the TIL pipeline slowed down, and the city was not able to convert the remaining buildings into coops. By the mid to late 2000s, the city was forced to consider a restructuring of TIL and the City Council played a pivotal role in exploring a new In fact, a Reso 8 funded pilot program in model. 2008 established many of the parameters of the future ANCP program. Unfortunately, shortly after this pilot, the housing market crashed and prospective home buyers were unable to get mortgages forcing HCP to suspend many of its homeownership programs. Budget cuts resulting from the Recession also made it impossible to launch ANCP as a full-fledged program in the ensuring years. ANCP was finally launched in

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2012 using existing pre-qualified lists to identify developers. HPD issued a request for qualifications to approve additional developers, rewrote legal documents to reflect the post-financial crisis homeownership market, and began to roll out the new model. The creation of ANCP was designed with two goals in mind: To ensure that enough financing was available to meet the substantial rehabilitation needs of these buildings, and to lay a strong foundation for affordable, successful and sustainable co-ops based on the lessons learned from the TIL buildings that had already become failed HDFCs. To achieve these goals, ANCP sought to secure additional sources of funding for building rehab such as bank loans and state grants, and brought in privateprivate partners to conduct the rehabilitation work, coordinate the sale of the vacant units that are marketed through HPDC's Housing Commit Lottery process, and facilitate cooperative conversion. Under this model tenant associations appeared with qualified developers who are responsible for overseeing the rehabilitation of the site, engaging with the tenant association regarding property management, and achieving milestones for cooperative

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

to \$2,500 to align with other HPD cooperative 2 3 programs and required annual maintenance increases to 4 keep pace with rising operating expenses. In order 5 to ensure the long-term viability of these cooperatives, ANCP also requires third-party 6 7 profession property managers and third-party monitors. It is critical to note that these 8 additional requirements for shareholders and cooperative associations were developed as direct 10 11 responses to the operational programs encountered by 12 failing HDFC cooperatives. Managing a building is a 13 challenging task, and the mandated maintenance 14 increases and professional management requirements 15 significantly increase the likelihood that a building 16 will remain a stable successful homeownership 17 property for decades to come. ANCP is premised on 18 HPD's view that affordable and sustainable co-ops are 19 the preferred outcome for the properties in TIL. 20 therefore encourage and today will discuss additional 21 ways that we can help all TA's to participate in 2.2 We recognize, though, that there are some 2.3 tenant associations that realize they cannot meet the milestones for homeownership and prefer to transition 24 to an affordable rental model. For some, cooperative 25

2 | hometown-homeownership might not be the most

3 pragmatic choice, and that is why HPD also offers and

4 rental option, the Multi-Family Preservation Loan

5 Program. Like ANCP, MPLP, the acronym, pairs a

6 sponsor with the building or group of buildings for

complete rehabilitation leading to the creation of an

8 affordable rental building. The Tenants get rent

9 stabilized leases and additional regulatory

protections including rents that don't exceed 30% of

11 | their household income. MPLP rental buildings unlike

12 ANCP are owned by the sponsor. In this way the MPLP

13 option provides a path to building rehabilitation and

14 | long-term affordability without the responsibilities

15 required of cooperative owners.

Current Challenges: The main concerns we have heard from residents, council members and community groups can be grouped in the following

19 | categories:

Length of time in TIL

The slow space of ANCP.

22 Building Conditions in TIL: TIL ANCP

23 eligibility criteria are too demanding and a lack of

24 adequate support.

7

10

16

17

18

20

2 Need to Improve Communications with 3 The most frequent concern we hear is about 4 the amount of time it takes for these properties to be rehabbed and become cooperative HDFCs. Because of the challenges related to the TIL program in getting 6 7 ANCP off the ground, many properties have remained in 8 TIL far longer than we, and more importantly the residents would like. In part because of the long time periods properties spent in TIL, deteriorating 10 11 building conditions remained a significant challenge 12 to the TIL Program. HPD continues to respond to 13 those conditions performing repairs to boilers, 14 roofs, mold removal, pest control, and façade 15 stabilization work. In recent months, we've been hearing that certain program requirements are too 16 burdensome. Many TAs find it difficult to submit 17 18 monthly financial reports on time, and adhere to 90% 19 rent collection, and conduct annual elections. 20 Further, with respect to ANCP, we've heard concerns 21 that the \$2,500 cooperative purchases price is too steep and that the level of maintenance fees that 2.2 2.3 will be set in light of the mortgage needed to pay for building rehabilitation will be unaffordable for 24 25 shareholders. Lastly, we recognize the need for more breakdowns in communication.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engagement with tenants, and tenant associations and agree that staff and turn over has at times led to

The New Approach: We recognize all these challenges, and have been working on a comprehensive new approach to improving the TIL buildings while also making the judgments to the ANC program to address resident concerns. We are working on three parallel tracks to (1) implement a new tenant-based collaborative planning process; (2) improve TIL building conditions; (3) expedite and adjust the ANCP and MPLP Programs. First, we will enhance our communications with residents and engage them more vigorously in establishing a clear pathway out of TIL. To do this, HPD is introducing a new Partners in Planning model, which entails more engagement, more listening, more mutual understanding about future options and greater input of residents. part of this increased communication effort, we are sending a letter to every TIL tenant association stating our commitment that by the end of 2017, every TIL will have a roof to cellar inspection done with a snapshot report provided after that inspection and a follow-up meeting with TIL staff to review the

2.2

2.3

report. HPD's inviting a TA board member or member of the maintenance and repair committee to join in this inspection to identify specific issues and to ensure we're collaborating every step of the way. A workshop with HPD staff—I'm sorry, second is a workshop with HPD staff to discuss repair status, ensure TAs understand ANCP and the ways the HPD can assist TAs in meeting the perquisites for ANCP. This meeting will also include a description of MPLP and the different ways that both programs are financed and will operate long term.

3. A collaborative plan created jointly with tenants and HPD that outlines the TA's preferred outcome, ANCP or MPLP, and the steps that both HPD and the TA need to take to reach that outcome. As part of this plan, TIL will draw on a new contract to provide translation services during all important inspections and collaboration meetings. For example, we will provide Spanish/English translation as we move to cellar inspections whenever needed to make sure HPD and the TA have a complete understanding of all issues being identified. In addition to address any confusion about relocated tenant items in storage, we have sent a letter to every relocated

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

resident who has stored items with HPD's vendor reaffirming that tenants can access their property and providing clear instructions on how tenants can do that. One of the aims of our new engagement strategy is ensuring TIL residents understand that while the focus of the program is to make sure TAs are ready to become cooperatives, that TAs do have a They can become cooperative owners through ANCP or they can opt for a long-term affordable rental through MPLP. Both options would entail a thorough rehabilitation of the property, and will require temporary relocation. Cooperative ownerhomeownership requires an additional level of responsibility, which is why there are eligibility requirements. To ensure a cooperative would be viable, HPD will continue to work closely with TAs to help ensure that complete financial reports are submitted on time, rents are collected and annual elections are conducted. We realize that financial reporting and other requirements can be onerous for some TAs. That is why HPD through its contracted partners NHS, Neighborhood Housing Services, and UHAB, the Urban Homesteading Assistance Board provides training sessions covering all aspects of

2 building management. HPD also offers its own

3 trainings directly to TAs. In fact, we are currently

4 providing a series of hands-on trainings on financial

5 management.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In November 2016, NHS was awarded the TIL Training and Technical Assistance contract. UHAB continues to provide technical assistance via classroom training sessions. We are also considering a tenant-to-tenant training program to encourage successful TAs or HDFC co-operatives to share best practices with their less successful counterparts. The second set of solutions we are offering will improve the immediate quality of life of our TIL residents by addressing current building conditions. HPD commits to a program to improve the repair protocol. HPD currently covers the cost of fuel, utility services for some TIL buildings. Today, we are announcing that we will now pay fuel and utility costs for all 148 TIL buildings. [background comments | Good news. This will allow tenant associations to have additional funds in their operating accounts to pay for apartment and building repairs. This will also allow repairs and improvements to be made faster as TAs contract and

utilize their own contractors. With HPD paying for fuel costs, we project that each tenant association will now have an average of approximately \$24,000 per year to devote to improvements like painting apartments and common areas, plumbing work, floor repairs and improving lighting in public areas to improve safety for the building residents. HPD will continue to assist the tenant associations with paying for major systems, replacements and repairs if the tenant association—tenant association has insufficient funds in their operating account until the gut renovation begins in ANCP of MPLP.

In addition, we are currently working on reallocating capital funds so that we can repair the roofs and boilers during the summer months in those buildings most in need of those repairs. The roof to cellar inspections will assist in that prioritization of work All of this work will be facilitated by our recent restructuring of TIL operations. For the fist time, one Assistant Commissioner will focus on nothing but making TIL building co-op ready and-and ensuring repairs. Our new AC in that role is here with us today, and we would like to introduce you to

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 you Winju Billa (sp?) I'm not sure if she is
3 listening. Yeah, put your hand up.

WINJU BILLA: Hello.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: is my former Director of Finance and has a working knowledge of the operational, financial and compliance requirements of the TIL Program, and hands-on experience working with cooperatives to determine feasible options. Ms. Billa is also familiar with building inspections and technical assessments including reviewing inspection reports and determining repair and remediation work for inspectors. We will also have a new TIL director on board in early May--May 8<sup>th</sup>, and look forward to the work of this dynamic new team as it implements our Partners in Planning approach. Lastly and perhaps most importantly, HPD is working to fortify our ANCP program in order to transition buildings out of, too. We have made significant progress during this administration in expediting ANCP, and are actively exploring ways to further enhance the program. In late 2015, we issued an RFQ, Request for Qualifications in order to expand the pool of qualified developers and we have recently begun to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

finance the rehabilitation of properties in clusters of buildings so we can commence rehabilitation faster. We have closed on financing for six projects, which signals movement in the right direction. Identifying sponsors for specific buildings is the key for first step in ANCP. This is the point when the approvals must say to renovate the buildings are secured and the property entered predevelopment. We have now identified sponsors for 30 properties, and expect to have sponsors identified for 13 additional properties this spring. That means that about a third of the remaining TIL properties are now in or will be in predevelopment in the next couple of months. We will also release the RFQ in 2017 to further expand the pool of potential developers and pick up the pace of this program. this year progresses, we should have a clearer time estimate on when all TIL properties will have an identified sponsor. We understand tenants have concerns about being able to save the \$2,500 needed to purchase their ANCP unit, and we are committed to ensuring that the \$2,500 will not be an obstacle to homeownership for TIL tenants-tenants. In-in order to assist existing tenants working to save for their

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

purchase into the cooperative, HPD is exploring setting up a rent-to-own savings program for tenants with incomes at or below 80% AMI, in which a portion of a tenant's rent paid during the construction period will be available for the tenant to purchase shares in the cooperative. Through this method or others we may consider, we will ensure that the \$2,500 price does not stop the tenants from having the opportunity to buy their homes that they waited so long for. We are commit-continuing to meet with stakeholders to listen to their concerns, and determine how we may address them. For example, just last week or-just a second. We met with representatives from Palante and had a productive discussion that increased mutual understanding. We are considering their recommendations, and we'll meet again in May. What is clear is that HPD, the TA, tenants, advocates and this Council share the same objective to ensure that all TIL residents end up in high quality sustainable affordable homes. We are now making progress toward that shared goal and want to keep the momentum going. We look forward to a continued partnership with the Council in identifying issues and implementing comprehensive solutions to

2 the TIL challenge. Thank you very much, and we are 3 happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Wow, alright. Before, I just want to lest I don't get any more of those articles that I wanted to thank Dina at Info for their article and Politico for the work they did also. I wanted to make sure I said that. I want to get in more articles. But Sally you are from the Post also. You used to be from the Post so there's a connection here. This is actually one of the best responses I've seen since I've been here in the Council. So, thank you for that. I think this Council should be proud and the tenants should be proud and this really shows what pressure from organizing from the people united can do. I will say I still have some questions and my first one you might not be able to answer, but I-I can hear just probably tenants thinking or wondering why would they believe all of this stuff now when they've heard a lot of good things before. So, I just want to give you an opportunity to respond to some of the suspicions that sounds great, but they've heard-if

not this. This is actually pretty comprehensive

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

changes. I'm impressed, but I'm sure they heard things before they then come to fruition.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Hi. King Darga. Thank you for having me here today. I-I definitely-I think we all understand the frustration. We know that it has been a long time, and you've heard from many people over that period of time. I think we've made some pretty substantial progress, and I'm going to speak specifically with regard to ANCP for a second. I think we've made some really substantial progress over the last couple of years during this administration and trying to figure out strategies to accelerate the pace of properties moving into the pre-development and construction stages. And, we really hope that the tenant associations in the next year or so as we move into that stage for the remaining properties will continue to work with us.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sorry, Ms. Darga,

I think I said Darcel when I announced it, and I also
just want to acknowledge that HPD it's very rare that
the agencies just say may help (sic) on things so I
appreciate that being put on the record. I also
appreciate that some changes had to be made, but I

2.2

2.3

want to also put on the record for the tenants' sake that even though those changes had to be made based on the program, it's not what they promised. And so, they deserve to hear that the government promised them something. They're not going to get that, and so I just wanted to make sure I put that on the record because they were promised something. It is changing, and there are reasons why it has to change, and I will admit economic conditions don't make it as feasible as it was, but that's just not the requirement is. So, I just want to make sure I put that on the record as well. The MPLP program was it always connected to this? Was it always an option and for how long was it an option?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: So I was actually the first Director of MPLP. I—I see some papers I recognize here. It was program that we created and around I think 2010ish, 2010-2011 for the remaining city-owned properties that were in central management as well as for properties that were removed from the TIL Program due to failing out of that program.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, it's been around since 2010?

about 19,000 units total.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How many units

2.3

24

does the 148 represent?

that—what was in the Certificate of Incorporation

there was no regulation. The way it generally worked it was like 6 of 7 times the maintenance charges.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And what was it—
was there a criteria for individuals who were in
these buildings that had an issue that one or two get
into the TIL Program? Was it just that the—the
building was having problems and the city was taking
ownership? What building got into—describe how you
got a building into TIL?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Oh,

the—the buildings that came into TIL I mean

predominantly all of the property that the city took

was through in rem foreclosure. The tax

apportionment A (sic) and the city became the

landlord. In the original days tenants petitioned us

and they were like we want to remain in our homes. A

lot of sweat equity is poured into those, and those

buildings were in deed conveyed to them at that \$250

purchase price.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You mentioned that there were some signs of mismanagement and from—from tenant associations, subletting without board approval, and 19 units (sic) was some of them. What

2.2

2.3

2 happened to those buildings and those tenant

3 | associations?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, some of those HDFC co-ops, you know, they—they still are privately owned and that's kind of a different topic in terms of some of them are still, you know, doing—doing badly and subletting and—and things of that nature. However, many of them do not have a regulatory agreement with the city. So, they do not have ongoing reporting to us. So, there is no a whole lot of authority that we can intervene with without really having a regulatory agreement in place. So what is—is there—is there—is there a tenant association that is subletting without board approval or renting units that are selling them, there's no recourse for HPD or the City?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: But, currently, HPD's enforcement powers are somewhat limited. Okay, it's really in the statute of the Private Housing Finance Law. It really only gives it the ability to approve the formation, the dissolution of the cooperative. It doesn't really give us the necessary powers to intervene in a privately owned building.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

you think some of the issues are?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

know, I have to say Council Member a lot of it is—is anecdotal. I mean we get a lot of calls from people and things, and we actually have a Capstone Report where we had some additional analysis done. I have not gotten the final report yet, but we do want to see is—is it just more hearsay, or we clearly know, and I get calls all the time that people are renting units okay instead of selling them.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you been able to confirm any of these allegations, subletting without board approval or renting units as opposed to selling them?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I have been able to confirm some because some people have written to us and made those complaints.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, how many have made a complaint?

1 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: 3 again, not that many. It's hard, you know, to prove-4 to prove. Well, again, the board will call me and say we know somebody is subletting without approval, 5 6 and again--7 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So, how many have you—has HPD confirmed 1, 2, 3? 8 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Again, there—there have been small—there have been small 10 11 numbers okay, but, you know, as the market has 12 changed, you know, we-we-we continue to see more 13 instances of it. I can't quantify that number for 14 you at this time. 15 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You don't have the number? 16 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: 18 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. It would 19 be-it would be good if you can get a number. 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yeah. 21 No, definitely. We-we can try to get back to you 2.2 with what we know, you know, concretely. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Even now so a

bunch of new changes I think are really good if we

24

25

can get them down.

3	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: One that you had	
4	mentioned was about the maintenance. So, is there	

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Uh-huh.

mentioned was about the maintenance. So, is there going to be any adjustment or assistance when it come so maintenance fees for tenants who may not be able to afford the maintenance?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I'll let Kim answer that.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Sure. So let met start with saying that our goal in setting maintenance fees is first to ensure affordability for the existing residents, and then secondarily to ensure that the property has sufficient revenue to run well over the long term to cover its expenses.

Maintenance today in the program is typically set at 60% of Area Median Income and what we do for residents that where that—

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I'm sorry. What was the—the AMI? What was it?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: 60% of Area Median Income, and what we do for residents that—where that would be more than 30% of their income is offer Section 8. I think that we're—

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
3 That's what you do currently?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: I think the real critical things to note for maintenance unlike in a rental property, in a cooperative maintenance needs to be equalized. So we can't actually set different levels of maintenance payment based on individual household income. So we have to set it at a baseline that will allow the property to run successfully, and the way we address differences in income is to provide the Section 8 resources.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And, you're doing that currently?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: That's our current policy, yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, obviously we have a challenge not knowing what the orange man is going to do, and could threaten some of our finances. So, my—and the Section 8 is one of the big ones that are a target. So, what is the ability to continue doing that if, unfortunately, the worst happens, and the savings that you spoke about here, which sound good, are—are they going to be change if the orange man does what he says he's going to do?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: The orange 3 man. [laughs]

4 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MARTI: So, hello.

I'm Frances Marti (sp?) . I'm the Assistant Commissioner for Govern Affairs. So to answer the first part of your question, our first priority is to work with our congressional partners, and other allies to resist and find these helps you just mentioned. And to answer your-your specific question, Council Member, HPD's budget continues to include funding for the Section 8 ANCP projects, and as the Mayor said, we intend to successfully fight these cuts. That being said, I can assure you that our agency always models all risks, all risk scenarios and is doing an extraordinary effort analyzing the effects of the proposed federal cuts that those-those effects that they would have on our programs, and is planning for all potential risk scenarios. For example, when faced with Section 8 cuts we have different levels at our disposal, and there all tough choices. We can either not accept the applicants, reduce payments of in the last instance cut that just to the program.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I'm

24

25

quessing none.

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you.

3 Sorry, continue, Frances.

2.2

2.3

the rest of the answer is that it's—it's important to—to know that we may have a federal revenue sources across agencies and budgetary decisions like the ones we were talking about are made on a citywide level, and not just at HPD in isolation. And we are speaking to our congressional partners about these proposed cuts, and we want to speak to the Council as well, and we look forward to the discussions. You're and important partner in—in all of this.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, just really briefly I want to make sure we're clear on my two questions.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MARTI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, if we get cut with Section 8, we—we may have a big issue. That's one, and you're saying you're going to fight.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MARTI: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Which is great.

Hopefully we'll win, and if we don't are we going to still be able to continue to cap what people are spending on maintenance, and the second one, which is

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

you have some great ideas of savings for tenants that are not associated with Section 8. If Section 8 get funded will those savings still continue moving

Tunded will those savings still continue moving

5 | forward? [background comments, pause]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: So we have—yeah, we've had kind of as implodes of federal resources in the past, and we definitely have alternative strategies that we've started to think about a little bit. If we were to get to that point. The—our overriding goal here is to make sure that that maintenance is affordable to the residents and it can sustain operations of the properties long term. So, if for some reason we got to the point we would continue to make sure that we could do that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, we would continue if we got to that point saying that maintenance fees do not exceed 30% of anyone's income, and the savings that you mentioned today will continue?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: The maintenance would continue to be affordable for the residents.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So I'm saying different. You're saying affordable.

of \$24,000 as I referenced in my testimony, that's

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm trying to understand why and particularly in the past two or three years there's been a lot of turnover. It's rapidly. So, what's going on there and what's occurring.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, I mean I think, you know, in city government, you know, people start and, you know, I think have expectations and sometimes things just do not work out, and I think from my perspective rather than, you know, prolong something that doesn't seem to be working, we, you know, terminate it so people have left. We've had a lot of retirees as well in the TIL I mean, some of those staff have been there for more than 30 years. So again, when staff has to leave, we just are looking to make sure we bring in more capable staff, staff that are more committed, and I think with our new team with Winju and bringing in the new director, I think we're going to really have a very focused team. You know, for the first time having an Assistant Commissioner that's focused on nothing but TIL, and she also has to the peer component of HPD at her disposal to ensure that we can prioritize the peers. I'm encouraged by that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, I know the—the article mentioned two employees, Director Ricard H. Devilla (sic).

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think lasted less than a year. I think the person who was before him lasted less than a year, and then the person that reported to them Michael Besse, I don't know how long he was there, but also left. And that just seems—there's—there's something going on in those—in those positions. Is there anything in particular going on in those positions? Why are people not lasting for that long, and they seem to be directly related to the communications that will go back and forth to the tenant?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yeah.

I mean I think I would say some of those staff

members that you referred to some left voluntarily.

Okay, they decided to leave for—for new

opportunities, which, you know, that we—we encourage.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I would just say-DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

[interposing] Yes.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can say they
3 left voluntarily, but if they're giving quotes to
4 the—to the article, they probably didn't leave
5 pleasantly voluntarily. There is probably something

6 that they noticed was an issue.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Wellwell, all I could say is, you know, the-the proprogram, you know, which, you know, as you-as you know and are expressing it so-so well has stalled and it's been challenging. Okay, it's a difficult program. You have to have the ability to really be able to communicate well with residents, multi-task. There's a lot of things going on that need to get done, and accomplished and I would say to you that some of them did not-just not-did not live up to those expectations, and yes, some left voluntarily, and you know, if they have complaints about the program, they're entitled to do that, but we think the program is a great program. Okay, and providing the ability for residents to own their homes. Couldn't be anything better, and I've been involved with the program from a while, and I think it is one of the best programs that the city has ever had.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think it's 3 supposed to be one of the best programs that the city 4 has ever had, and I'm sure that as of today it's one of the best cities at the program out of the city'sthe programs the city has had. But, just moving onto 6 7 the vacancies. Is there-my understanding is that if 8 someone is in a vacant-in a vacancy it's because they should have been moved from another apartment while awaiting some rehabilitation. Are they-the only 10 11 people in a new vacancy should be people who are 12 currently in the portfolio of TIL, or have purchased. 13 Is there anyone in the vacant apartments that don't fit those criteria? 14

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: When there are people that have gone to the vacant units and squatted for example, okay, the tenant association is responsible—because they're managing the building day—to—day—for initiating legal action and beginning possession. So, you are indeed correct that vacant units are either used for relocation within the portfolio or are going to be left vacant until they get the renovation done, and we then sell them through ANCP program.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are you aware of any vacant units that are occupied by people tat are not in the TIL portfolio?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I'm not aware of that. I--I will say to you I think there are a few squatter cases that are being followed up on by the tenant associations. I would have to get back to you to quantify that number of how many squatters in there, and where the legal action has actually been initiated.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And the only—so the only ones—the only incidents you are aware of are squatters that you have no information about?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: For the-for the most part yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you very much. I'm going to give five minutes for my colleagues each to ask questions and then if we need a second round we can—we can see. Mendez, Rosenthal, and Levine will ask questions for five minutes each.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank. So, I'm going to ask all my questions up front taking up my five minutes to ask questions, not my five minutes

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 for you to answer them, okay. [laughter] So 3 starting writing down now.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Whoa.

of 2008 requires language access, and for things to be in six predominant languages. Have you been complying with that order, Mayoral Order, and if not in all six languages, which ones and what are you planning to do to comply in TIL and ANCP with Mayoral Order 120. TIL buildings that have gone through the co-op conversion and are HDFCs I've been in all of these meetings on, you know, your propose regulatory agreement, and I found that some of those TILs were troubled financially. Can you tells us more about the long-term and the short-term financial viabilities of those TIL buildings, and what, if anything, can we do to ensure that they are financially viable long term?

RFQs, you do RFQs for developers. What is it that you're looking for when it comes to developers who are applying previously for TIL to develop the TILs and now ANCP, and do—do they have a track record with HPD, and in what programs? You know, this was a question when you came to me with

2.2

2.3

which program?

ANCP. I was like well, why did it change, what was the reason? How do you know when—when you're in

What are the landmarks to get you to for lack of better word, but one that was used in a meeting with my TILs to graduate from TIL into ANCP. And what were you objectives with HPD in designing this ANCP program. More recently with the change from TIL to ANCP, there is—because we've talking a lot about my buildings, there will be private debt. That was not the case before. Can you tell us why? I think I have some reasons why that would be, but I want to hear it from you why we are now having the TIL buildings incur this private debt.

How will restricting—next question—how will restructuring of the TIL Program help the TIL tenants in ANCP short-term and long term? And will the changes as we move forward and we see that maybe some things quite aren't working right, are you, HPD, willing to tweak and make changes to the program as needed. And the corrective action plan, what is it? Because that's mystified me sometimes. How does it get implemented? What's the oversight with the implementation of the Corrective Action Program? And

what happens, and I think I've got the answer to that one. You failed to comply with the Corrective Action

4 Program.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

There's been some discussion—am I up with my five minutes yet? There's been some discussion about doing yearly rental increases, which actually I think is probably a good thing. My concern is about very low-income tenants particularly seniors who are on a fixed income for people who may already be at 30% or more of income paying rent to their income. Will some kind of waiver or plan be put in place so that those people are just at 30%, and those who can afford to pay more could pay more like we have at NYCHA at the federal level, and I am sorry. I've been in and out. I'm multi-tasking. I don't know if it's in your testimony. I couldn't find it, but I have two buildings that I 2006 the tenants were relocated. We've been working on trying to get them back, and get the buildings fixed. Besides my tenants, how many tenants in this city [bell] have been relocated, and so what's that number, and are you working as aggressive with the other council members to get those tenants back into their homes

2 after all these years? Thank you very much, Mr.

3 Chair.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. So that's a packed five minutes of questions. You're allowed to respond, but we won't be able to do any cross back and forth because all of her time is used up already.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [bell] And I just want to say that if you can't get me all the answers now, I do expect them in writing at some point in the very near future and as well as to the Chair of this committee. Thank you.

very much. So, I think I will start with some of the questions related of ANP—the ANCP structure and then maybe Anne—Marie can jump in with some of the questions regarding the TIL—the TIL Program. So, I think you asked about why the shift—what we were trying to achieve—how—what—what were the goals, and long—term viability questions. So, the main goal of the program was to create a pathway to affordable homeownership for tenants and to put the buildings, which are often in poor condition, on sound financial and physical footing. The cost of rehabilitating

certification process.

25

1 2 these properties, you know, became fairly 3 unsustainable and we wanted to make sure we had a way 4 to actually renovate the properties. Retooling the 5 program really allowed us to make good on the commitment to rehab these properties, and ensure 6 7 long-term affordable homeownership. So, specifically 8 with regard to sustainability, as I mentioned before, our goal in setting maintenance is to make sure that it's first and foremost affordable for the residents, 10 11 and second that it covers the ongoing expenses 12 including into the future. One of the changes in the 13 ANCP program is that we do actually require now that maintenance increases by 2% a year. The point there 14 15 is to cover the increasing costs of operations over 16 time. So, operations-operating costs actually don't 17 stay stagnant. They do go up. So we want to make 18 sure that the-the-the cooperative can main-stay 19 The way we address the affordability longer viable. 20 term I think specifically you asked about senior 21 populations, et cetera. HDFC co-operators that don't 2.2 have Section 8, are potentially eligible for SCRIE. 2.3 If they qualify for Section 8, their income is set at 30% of income. They go through an annual 24

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I'm sorry, please 3 repeat that. So, if you are a senior—

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]

Council Member, I think this panel—I think can do it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing] I just need clarification.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: No, no, we can't do it. It's too much time. So, if you can just repeat it that will be good, but it's—it's too much. So, thank you, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: But this is something that's different. I don't-I don't know co-operators can apply for SCRIE:

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I understand, but you asked all of the questions. So I just want to get some answers.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: So, HDFC cooperatives are actually eligible for SCRIE. I know that's not normally what we talk about for rental affordability, but actually an HGFC co-op is also an option. So, additional things that came up, how do we select developers? Clearly, that's a concern. That was a shift in the program. We actually issued an RFQ to qualify developers. The way that we do so

2 is to look at existing experience in developing 3 affordable housing including a track records with HPD 4 as well as experience a track record in property 5 management. I also heard questions about why there's private debt, and I think that's partially about 6 probably the maintenance affordability issues. 8 have had some questions around that, and I think that maybe a point of that could use clarification. Maintenance is set to cover all operating expenses. 10 What that means is that includes the cost of the 11 12 insurance, repairs and maintenance, utilities. 13 property taxes because we provide a full Article 11 14 exemption this program, but it also covers the cost 15 of funding reserves, right. So, the property has 16 reserves long term as well as any debt service. 17 that 60% AMI amount that I had had mentioned earlier is-covers all of that, and again we-we help ensure 18 19 viability for folks at different income levels by 20 providing the Section 8. I heard a-a couple other 21 questions around sustainability. I think it' worth noting that there are provisions of the regulatory 2.2 2.3 agreement itself that we hope ensures long-term affordability. There is a tax provision in the 24 25 regulatory agreement that means that some of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

money from future sales goes back into the cooperative, and some of it goes down to pay down the-the debt over time. We also require professional property management, which we think will be a benefit to the cooperatives long term. And then finally, I think I heard a question as well about the landmarks for residents like how they know they're in one program or another, and it seems like there is some confusion around that issue. So, right now all 148 buildings are in TIL. Once we get to the-the point of having a pre-engagement meeting with the residents of the cooperative that's the point when we are talking to you about moving forward into the Development Program. The Development program to actually do the renovations is ANCP. That's-so that pre-engagement meeting is the first step. There'sthere's probably a dozen meetings that take place over the span of the development period, the predevelopment and to the development. That is the first one. The next meeting would be a kickoff meeting where the-identified developer comes to meet There's a series of meetings in the predevelopment stage including around design and design review. We do some status updates. There's a pre-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that your own-that these properties are the lowest hanging fruit and, you know, it would strike me, and I-I hope you have the names and contact information of every single one of those individuals who have been placed elsewhere from their TIL buildings, and the names and contact information of every single one of the tenants back in their TIL buildings, and that you're close regular contact with them, and, I didn't quite hear confirmation of that. But, secondly, I have to say again you lay out what sounds really good, but we already have evidence that in the building that Ms. Jones was mentioned that she lived in, which is a TIL building and she was able to move back in. It must have been one of the six that were renovated, she's already having a leak in her roof that, you know. So the developer didn't do a good job, and now that homeownership situation leaves the tenants in that building having to not only carry the mortgage, but now have to pay for upkeep on shoddy work. So, I don't understand how tenants are protected from that, and I just want to go back to my last point. You've got such low-hanging fruit. know that between 10 and 30% of the people in our homeless shelters and family shelters are there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because of evictions. These people are, you know, I don't know. I don't want to make a gross generalization, but these are the people-this would be called winning preservation. If you kept-if you guys renovated these buildings, if you put money in the budget for that, the Mayor said he just put more money in the budget in the Capital Budget for preservation. I hope it's dedicated for this because this is the easiest low-hanging fruit you have, and I don't understand why you wouldn't want to run to declare victory with not only the tenants here, but there a room full of tenants in the other room who are scratching their heads, and I'm scratching my head with them. I don't like the idea of a private mortgage. I wish you guys would just pay for it and do it, and do make sure the workers do a good job. That's that leg up that these tenants need, and I'm not confident with Section 8 that, you know, they're not going to—if they lose Section 8, I'm not confident they are going to be able to be protected in any way. The lowest hanging fruit. I'm sorry, I'm just going to say it again that these families that are in the TIL buildings or are waiting to move back into their buildings are the easiest homes that

we can protect in the—in the—in our quest for affordable housing. I'm 100% with the Administration to preserve 120,000 units. It—you're—you're staring in the face of, you know, 14—you said there were 14—41 occupied, 1,400 that are already occupied. Let's make sure that those people that in those buildings that they're already obtainable that the roofs are not leaking, that the boilers work, and for the 890 vacant, why aren't just fixing now? This has to be our fist line of a defense. So somewhere rambling in there were some questions, and I appreciate your working harder on this.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Thank you.

Okay, so maybe we'll start with the—the—kind of the

commitment to preservation, the bigger question about

this being an opportunity. So we absolutely committed

to this portfolio. We have committed resources and

we'll definitely continue to do so. The way—just for

background a little bit, the way that we determine

the amount of city investment in these properties—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

I'm sorry. Just real quickly, because I'm not going
to be able to rebut.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMDED DOCEMBUAL. Co. do voy
_	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, do you
3	have the list of names and contact information for
4	every single individual who-who you moved out of
5	their home?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yes, Council Member, we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You're confident?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: We are confident.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are you in touch with them? Has anybody emailed you back, written you back?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I would have to confirm what the correspondence has been [bell] but, there are 130 households that have been—they have been relocated. We have the information for each and every one of those households, and we know exactly where they have been placed. Okay. so we are in communication. We totally agree that we can improve communication and that's what we're striving to do with our new partners and planning approach. I—I won't answer just covering your questions for the roof to cellars that's the whole

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 93
2	point of trying to make sure that we improve quality
3	for the tenants while they wait for their full
4	renovation. So that is definitely a pivotal part of
5	the plan. I want to just answer the question and I
6	will let Kim answer on warehousing the vacant units
7	because, you know, I think there's this misnomer that
8	we're holding them for some kind of mysterious
9	reason, and there's a reason to hold the vacancies.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [off mic]
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Exactly
12	and they need to be able to contribute to paying down
13	the private debt. You know, if we have to use a
14	mortgage in-in ANCP, we just still would-
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [on mic] So,
16	guess what I'm saying is why do we not go-
17	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
18	Council Member.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:the private
20	debt route.
21	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Council Member-
22	Council Member we're going to have to
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Why don't you
24	guys do this?
25	

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We got to do the same thing we did with—Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I did

it. Oh, okay, but—but, Council Member we—we are

exploring ways to improve the program, and we

definitely welcome your thoughts on that. So, again,

we want to get ANCP moving and—and get it—the

buildings into the renovation pipeline as quickly as

possible. We're exploring all options there, but

again, in light of the fact that we do believe that

some sort of private debt will be needed, we do need

to keep those vacancies so it offsets the cost to the

existing shareholders—to the existing residents.

Really. We don't want them to have to bear any

additional costs.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay, do you want me to talk a little bit about it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yes, uh-huh.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: So, just stepping back how we finance the properties, and we start with the building's needs. The renovation cost, the relocation costs, which in this program are extensive. Rehabilitation costs on average to—ends

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

up being over \$300,000 a unit, and our goal is really to efficiently finance these properties and ensure long-term viability. So, we've really been trying to figure out additional sources that we can bring to-do that extensive renovation, and those sources include private down, as well as AAHC grant fund that come through the state, and then the sales proceeds from vacant units as well. The maximum subsidy in this program jus for context is one of the highest that we have in the agency, and our average subsidy so far has been slightly above what our term sheet actually says, and we're-we'll continue to evaluate those levels as we move forward with the additional properties. In terms of private debt, unlike properties that were conveyed in the early years of TIL, the renovation costs here are fairly extensive. As I said, over \$300,000 a unit, and the private debt not only helps covert he cost of renovations, it's actually not as significant a source as the city contribution here, but it also-also helps cover the cost to bridge until the State AAHC Grant funds as well as the sales proceeds are available, and it covers the costs of items that are not capitally eligible. I mean since we rely on city capital funds

because we have not converted to permanent financing.

promised by our city that they would have decent

2.2

2.3

Is this immediate?

housing, affordable homeownership, and what they've endured now for in some cases 20 years is just—is just unacceptable, and we have to stop at nothing to make it right. I—I appreciate you acknowledging the failures of the past, and I appreciate some of the changes you have announced today. So I'm going to ask a few questions quickly. If could answer quickly so we can be efficient [laughter] in our time, but the changes you announced paying for the oil, the roof to cellar inspections. When are they in effect.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yes, the roof-well, the-paying for the fuel and utilities will probably happen I would think in the next few day. We are actually picking up the accounts and we're actively working to have that done. So I will say to you that would probably in place n the next week or two.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: And the money is in place, and we're going to rapidly move to expand that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And the inspections?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: The inspections I would say are going to happen in May and June, okay. The May is right around the corner.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: The whole

portfolio.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, again, there's 100 and—at least 110 buildings that need to get done because the ANCP don't need a roof to sell it because they actually have a private architect and engineer in there evaluating conditions. So, we will look at resources. I have staff—units of staff. The season will be finished. So I will borrowing inspectors to get that done as quickly as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, okay. So there is a media-media report of a DOI investigation. You probably can't comment on the particulars of the investigation, but there is a substantive question, which is whether any TA funds have stolen. Do you have reports of this? Can you comment on—on the prevalence of this, and what you've done to remedy it?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Okay, well, I think, Council Member, you said it first is

get to Council Member Rosenthal's question, why not

just use city money to close that gap so the

24

- residents get the building with no mortgage?

  [background comments, pause] I don't think we're
- 4 talking about billions of dollars, actually. If you

5 add it all up--

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA:

7 [interposing] Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: =-relative to the scale of the housing, its modes. [bell]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay, so the-the cost of renovating these buildings is over \$300,000 per unit. So just backing up, the-there was a prior program that we had before-until in the early thousands was the renovations were done in city ownership. We don't have the infrastructure to do that city ownership today. That would be staff, design, relocation, resources, et cetera. So moving into that structure it's really just not viable at this point in time. What we have tried to do is to make this as affordable for folks as possible to purchase their unit, and I-we did address today a way to help folks that make that make under 80% AMI be able to afford the purchase price. So that's not a barrier to doing so, and the residents will pay 30% of their income, and in order to efficiently finance

there—the cost of renovations is—it is very

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 105
2	expensive. There are costs that we can't actually
3	cover with city capital funds. So we need to bring
4	in other sources to help do that, and we don't have
5	the
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How much is the-
7	how much do you expect to get from the sales from the
8	ones that were \$250 and the ones that are \$2,500 now,
9	assuming that you are going to assist some who can't
10	afford it? How much revenue do you think that's
11	going to bring in? [background comments]
12	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay, so
13	the vacant sales—you're talking about the vacant—the
14	proceeds from the vacant sales?
15	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: No, I'm talking
16	about the ones that were mentioned, and ones that
17	just cover the costs, the people who are in the TIL
18	program right now?
19	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Can you?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: The
21	\$2,500?
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:
24	[background comments]

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That's kind of a 3 big announcement so as you--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: We're-we're-we're pretty excited about.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: It is a big announcement.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: We're—
we're pretty excited about, and we hope that it
addresses some of the questions that have been raised
recently around the applicably of it. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So without raising additional rents, that Rent to Own program will help them pay and you will cover the cost of \$2,500 basically without raising their rent?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yes, for low-income residents, we—this program will allow them to purchase their unit. They still have to come up with their \$250, which is what everybody is—had the expectation of all along, but the intent here is that as long as they're paying rent, right, during the construction stage, which they—which has to happen anyway, in order to become a cooperative, there will be a source for that payment.

2.2

2 | clear to residents that that's what it was, and

3 before we were actually engaged through MPLP, there

4 were notification to residents about being terminated

5 from the TIL program, and there's a number of

6 notifications that go out before in fact, that

7 transition happened. So, I-I am def-I'm-I'm confused

8 as well, and we could look into it.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do—do those where in the MPLP program—MPLP program, can they ever change back and say they want to purchase? Does she and her building have the ability to now say I want to be part of the ANCP program and purchase my building—my unit?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Properties transferred into MPLP will not go back into ANCP. The idea there is that they become affordable rental properties, and by affordable rental we mean that all residents are rent stabilizes leases that the rents are affordable to residents. As we discussed, 30% of income, and one of the differences in rental properties we can actually set multiple tiers of rents, and those properties are owned by an affordable housing developer owner.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What—the—sorry. I
3 lost my train of thought. Do you—what was the reason
4 that here building was put in MPLP?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: I think we would need to go back and check the specific circumstances for that property.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I'd also like to know why folks were evicted so--

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_darga:} Assistant commissioner \ \mbox{Darga:} \ \mbox{We could}$  also double check that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How many—how many units have—were—how many buildings have been removed from the program and why?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: So we don't have total—total numbers on how many were—were removed from the program. Most recently, you know, and I think Council Mendez was asking about the Corrective Action Program. You know, what we're trying to do is again set buildings up for long-term owner—ownership, and that means you do mean to meet certain programmatic requirements in terms of paying your rent, monthly financial reporting, having the elections, operating democratically. I just want to speak to Council Member Levine's point of making sure

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: How many were removed.

2.2

2.3

of thought for a bit.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: How many were removed.

 $\label{eq:chairperson williams:} \mbox{ How many moved}$  here.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Okay, so these are—these are terminations. Now, so now there have been some recent terminations from the program, and that's really been due to the fact that folks haven't met the programmatic requirements.

They weren't collecting the rent, and I just want to point out the rents in—in TIL buildings are very low. Okay, they were restructured one time when they came into the program, right, and they were never raised again. And granted, we know conditions are such that they should be raised again. So, I'm—I'm comfortable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

However, you know, we do expect, you know, in order to set something up for long-term ownership if you're not paying your rent in city ownership, why would you pay your maintenance once you become a coop? So, we really want that to be a very big requirement and expect 90% rent collection. We expect annual election, you know, for the building to operate democratically, and we really need those month-monthly financial reports. It would give us an eye into the building's income and expenses, and I think I said in my testimony we've been making sure we're having trainings. We have our training contractors both in the room who plan to testify, and we at HPD have been doing our own personal training on the Excel spreadsheet hands-on training. So, we've just conducted a series of those recently, and we plan to do more on the weekends to accommodate, you know residents who work and-and things of that nature, but right now there have been five buildings that have been terminated from the program. building actually during this correction action review agreed that they really wanted to be more of an affordable long-term rental as opposed to being a cooperative, and the other buildings that were

developer that comes on board. They get-they

of the fuel now?

help support that private debt, and-and to be frank,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

never occurred?

2 you know, for commercials to get-typically a

3 commercial wants a long-term lease in order to

invest, in order to bring services to the community, 4

and that's something we just are not really allowed

to do during city ownership. We would prefer that 6

the building get renovated, and then we get into a 7

long-term lease with a viable commercial tenant.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You mentioned that you have track of all If the families. So I understood that there were about 250 families at one point we had lost track of. Is that true or that

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: so I'm not familiar with that number. I know wethere's 130 households now that have been relocated, and as I said, we have information and contact information for each one of them.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have we ever at any point lost track of people we had moved out?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: again, you know, as I've just been admitting to-to challenges and issues, perhaps. I-I don't-I'm not quite aware of losing track of-of families, but again, there definitely have been issue with

have been kind enough to post tenants from other

2.2

2.3

buildings. So, we've updated the financial report to
indicate when they have someone who's a relocate.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, and so lastly before I go back to my colleagues, in the article that was referenced, and I don't want to ask specifically about DOI investigation, but it was interesting of what was alleged about people who were submitting false reports, and it was supposed to be the buildings that weren't. I want to understand what the staffing structure now is going to be. What was it last year? So, please just break down what the staffing structure is for the TIL program, was for the TIL Program.

the staffing structure was such that there as director of the program, a deputy director, a building supervisor and a financial supervisor, and they are the ones that supervise the individual financial reviewers and building coordinators. The compliance—the Deputy Director of Compliance has a staff that kind of follows up on the Corrective Action Plan, provides the training needs for—for folks, tracks the relocation issues. So that's kind of the structure. It all reported up Assistant

supervisor?

be--

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

3 [interposing] Uh-huh.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --their first lines that catch would be the building coordinators?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Yeah,

typically the building or—or—yeah, the building coordinators because they're doing the—the inspections of the—of the units.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, if everything was functioning properly, HPD would have found that out, and so either—they were either derelict in finding it out, or they never did the inspections.

think there were some times where some people who have been traveling okay and the idea was and the thought was maybe they weren't, you know, being kind of a permanent resident, and then it was later kind of discovered that no the person is traveling. Okay, they—that they—they use their, you know, they're not at the apartment all the time because of their job, but they were the tenant of record, and we were able to verify that they were paying their rent. Rent receipts were coming in their name. So we concluded

3 This was a legal tenant of record.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Alright, I just want to be clear on this because I agree that it seems as if criminal behavior was occurring here with this-with this program. It seems to me-you mentioned anecdotally and you thought maybe at least in a few cases that there was some shenanigans going on with things that were occurring some of those units, but it—it seems to me if there are coor—so they alleged that some of the coordinators submitted reports showed that they were at the building when they actually hanging-they were cleaning in Riverside But it just seems to me if there are people in those buildings and we're hearing anecdotally that there are, and that there was not just mismanagement, but malfeasance going on, that the coordinators would have been the ones to catch that. So, what I'm saying if it did occur, and the coordinators were the ones supposed to catch it, that they didn't do their job properly when-when they were at the buildings, or they never went to the buildings. And, either the building supervisor knew about it and didn't say anything, or the Deputy Director knew about it and

2.2

2.3

folks left.

didn't say anything, the director knew about it and didn't' say anything. Or, a minimum nobody followed up with those coordinator to see what was going on. So, it seems like there's a long line of management that didn't occur properly during time. Like somebody should have caught this, and I'm wondering if what the—that's what the high turnover was all about if people were trying to make sense of what wasn't making sense and that was the reason that

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Again, sir, some of these investigations are still ongoing.

Sure.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Okay.

So I would respectfully ask that let them conclude and, you know, what I do want to say is that, you know, we take any allegations of fraud, you know, or anything of sort of suspicious activity seriously.

Okay, many times we are seeing in the financial reports that the financial reviewers are seeing things and making immediate referrals over to DOI.

We've actually done additional training okay for employees to be able to kind of spot areas of fraud and make referrals, but at the end of the day the

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

Department of Investigation will do their due

diligence, do their investigation and then come back

and let us know what their conclusion is.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I just want to ask in a different way because I don't want to ask specific things that are going on.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the staff instruction you mentioned please explain to me how the supervisory role works. So if the coordinators go out and inspect the building, does the building supervisor ever go follow up to see if the building was inspected? Does the Deputy Director go see them. What's the—how does the management happen?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, the building—you know, the building coordinators do report directly into the supervisor and, you know, the supervisor may decide to spot check. I mean there are—you know, when people go to the field, there's a field report, okay, that they have to produce. There's a sheet that they sign—sign out to indicate when they're going to the field. So, we do have I think, you know, channels to try to track, you know, when people are in the field and are they

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 actually, you know, doing the work that they are 3 supposed to do? And as I said, if someone thought 4 that something wasn't being done properly, they had 5 an obligation to report it over to DOI for further investigation.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I see. So, I just want to-so the quality-you believe that there was quality assurance in the line of management?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: believe there was quality assurance in-in the line of management and, you know, again I go on--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So just-if you can talk about that just a little more. What was the quality assurance in the--

### DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

[interposing] Well-well, again, you know, there's-you know, the field reporting sheets I think is-is very, you know, important. You know, all the building-the sign-the sign-in sheets that we use to make sure that people are indicating where they're going to be and what they're doing, you know. Look, there's always ways to improve. [background comments] Okay. You know, there's always ways to improve. You know, processes in ensuring that compliance is happening at

DOI. You do not tell anybody else about it.

# COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

supervisor--

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I see.

3 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Okay,

4 that's exactly what we've been trained to do.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, if the building supervisors thought the coordinators were not doing their job, they would not send it up to the Deputy Director?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I'm sorry. Say that again, if the building coordinator-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If the building

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:
[interposing] thought--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --thought the building coordinator were not doing their job, they would not go to the Deputy Director, they would go directly to DOI?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, again depending on what we're speaking about. If we're talking about poor performance or something like that, that's one thing, okay, but it is saying that they believe someone might be stealing time or doing something like that, again, that's—that's a referral to either HPD's Disciplinary Unit or to—to

2.2

2.3

DOI. You know, again I-I say that, you know, I don't want to, you know, I don't want to dwell on this. I mean the Department of Investigation is still actively doing the investigations, and we are trying to make sure we put in the structure, you know, that has more checks and balance. Okay, and that's why we

are staffing to get the Director on board, the

10 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure.

Assistant Commissioner on board--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: --and then we're going to evaluate the rest of the structure to ensure that we are putting a structure in place that makes sense, has checks and balances, and again increases quality control.

I've to dwell a little bit, though, because the tenants—it's been decades. So I want to make sure that we ask, but I just want to be clear. So, poor performance and actually, you know, malfeasance and nonfeasance. So, if they are stealing time, if they were not going, if they weren't—if they weren't were they said they would, that would go to DOI.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: That—that would either go to disciplinary or to DOI.

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: The poor 3 performance would go up the chain?

#### DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

: Poor performance would go up the chain because we're evaluating employees to see that they're performing their jobs properly.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, did any of allegations of poor performance go up the chain?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: I

believe-I believe so.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But you're not aware of any?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Again, if some, you know, I've got anecdotal conversations with my people who have left who've said that, you know, they didn't think that the work was being done so well, and they had reported that to that director of the program who indeed would follow that up with the assistant commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I see. Earlier you mentioned what-poor performance not DOI investigation. What poor performance was reported and what corrective actions were taken?

2.2

2.3

2	
3	ć
4	ć
5	-
6	ė
7	,

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, again, I think it was more about, you know, follow-up and attention to details and in terms of making sure that, you know, inspection reports were done, but again, I'm not quite sure if, you know, the Director, you know, the Assistant Commissioner, you know, again we've tried to makes sure we put more trainings in place to make sure people were very clear about their job responsibilities that they have—they tasks and standards and know what the expectations are. So things of that nature would have taken place in terms of corrective measures.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, understand that although we may not have receive all of it. Is there anybody here who is at the level that would have received complaints about performance, poor performance and corrective actions that were taken?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, again my Assistant Commissioner, who has the program reporting would have gotten any sort of referrals about poor performance and things of that nature.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That's not the Assistant Commissioner who is here?

and some of them are quoted in the Post.

quess if it's homeownership C or D. Four, how much-

2.2

2.3

you mentioned something about there are costs the city can't cover. So, what are those? How much of the \$300,000 per unit does the city plan to cover? How much is going to be private debt, and lastly I would ask that you not dismiss the question so quickly. If Ms. Jones' building wanted to go into homeownership and can show that they've altogether, you know, been paying rents and been on time and could manage the building, why not let them go back into homeownership? Thank you. That's in under three minutes. [background comments] [laughter]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: I don't think I can answer all of that in three minutes, but I'll try. Okay, so the 130 families—so what of the \$300,000 is affordable and how does that compare to other preservation programs. So—

who had to leave their homes because the buildings were in disrepair. They were in a homeownership program. Now, they're being—now they're—they're going to be the first 130 of the 800 that are about to be renovated, right? Can they afford it?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay so the answer to that is yes they can afford it because

the maintenance is set so that they can afford the payments going forward. Okay. The \$300,000 per unit in cost is one of the most expensive, if not the most expensive program in terms of costs that we have on the preservation side. The average subsidy that we put into a preservation project is closer to \$20,000 per unit in direct subsidy. Here we-the term sheet says \$110,000 and more often we're putting \$150,000 In some cases well more than that combined with Reso A funds being provided through some of the-the Council Members as well as the Borough Presidents. The-the debt, private debt I think is part of your question and how that kind of-what-what role that plays in financing the project. The private debt is able to cover some of the capital ineligible costs [bell] like reserves, operating reserves as well as tenant training [bell] and the-

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [off mic]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Right and actually the private debt at the end of the day is not the majority source. It's city financing. It's about I think—I don't—the— No. Proportionally, do you know?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

if income goes down you still pay 30%. If income

- 2 NCAP. What happens if a building is says we want to
- 3 be homeowners. So, forget MLP-MPLP, but we don't
- 4 think that the—what you're offering on ANCP is—is
- 5 acceptable, and we would like to remain until-until
- 6 we can work out a better option. Is that is that on
- 7 the table or is TIL--?

- 8 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Well,
- 9 okay. So, I think we all acknowledge here that the
- 10 renovation of the properties has taken too long, and
- 11 | we want to complete the renovations of these
- 12 properties and have the residents become cooperative
- 13 | homeowners as soon as possible. Slowing that down, is
- 14 not going to achieve those purposes. We understand
- 15 | there's been concerns around communication and I
- 16 | think you've heard from Anne-Marie as well as my self
- 17 and through the testimony today that we are really
- 18 | trying to improve communication with residents. I
- 19 know that one of the major concerns again is that
- 20 | it's taking too long. We are really doing as much as
- 21 we can--
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
- 23 Only because my time is short, I-I think I'm hearing
- 24 a no that they can't actually stay until what?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: We just-we
don't believe that that meets the goals that we're
trying to achieve at this point in time.

meeting you're having I think over the summer with residents it's—it's a real fork in the road for them and there's no option to—there's no third option is what you're saying?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: The-the two options currently for properties in TIL are ANCP and MPLP.

ask-let me ask more broadly. Are you-you've-you've made a number of important concessions to day on the structure of ANCP and the chair has I think has expressed the fact that that these are really significant. Is this the end of our discussions here or are you open to additional changes in the program?

 $\label{eq:assistant_commissioner_darga:} Assistant commissioner darga: \ \mbox{We are} \\ definitely open to continuing to listen.$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That's good to hear.

2.2

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: And if it's something that we can do, I think we're open to exploring it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, only because my time is short. So for the vacant units, the income guidelines of who can move in seem incredible like 110% above Area Median Income, 110, 120%. That could be a six figure salary for a family of four. Do I have these—is my information correct that—-?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: The—the sales prices for vacant units is up to 120% of Area Median Income.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And what is that for a family of four?

that here somewhere. [background comments]

[laughter] Actually, I think we have 120. Okay,
hang on one second. Give me one second. I may have
it. [off mic] If I don't have it I'll give it—we'll
pass it on. [bell] I do. I'm sorry. Okay, I don't
have it for a family of four. I have it for a family
of three. So the income for a family of three would
be up to \$97,000.

got to try to achieve that in order to again make

- 2 | sure the building is affordable long term, and keep
- 3 maintenance as low as possible for the existing
- 4 residents. It was different before when 120% because
- 5 | they didn't have any debt on the building and they
- 6 were able to go to lower AMIs, but in the-in the
- 7 structure we have private debt we want it maximized
- 8 | in order to keep existing residents as low as
- 9 possible.
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright, we'll my
- 11 | time is up.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- 13 [coughs] I do want to follow up on that. The magic
- 14 word is up to. So, I-I want to understand that up to
- means that it can be 40, 60, 30% of AMI. What is the
- 16 criteria used for people who want to get—to purchase
- 17 | the-the apartment?
- 18 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay. So
- 19 vacant units go-so, two things. Generally, we start
- 20 | at 120% AMI. That's partially in order to bring
- 21 | sales proceeds in from the sale of vacant to help
- 22 | fund the renovation costs of the buildings. We also-
- 23 those—those units go through the HPD marketing
- 24 process so the eligibility is base on folks that

2.2

2.3

apply to the process that make in—within the income
guidelines posted.

with some of these you have to have the AMIs at a certain level or else the—it's because there's less subsidies will be needed to match it. But that doesn't seem the issue here because there's not particularly additional subsidies needed for that one particular apartment. So, I mean it's just set up differently. So, I just want to understand why—how many people on the lower spectrum are going to be in there or how—is it that it definitely concerns income. If they make a low income are they—are they X'd out? Like what is—if someone comes at 60% of AMI, someone comes at 120% of AMI how do you decide in between those two families?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, well, I think the—the point about it going through HPD's lottery okay there's to be an ad—there's going to be an advertisement. Okay, it's going to be clear on what those—what those parameters are in terms of low to high, but I can't see if an apartment is selling at a price of 120% AMI, it's going to have that same income bracket that Kim spoke about, the 90

the marketing ad the low range of what an eligible

family could be making in income. What we've found is that tends to be around the 70 to 80% of AMI mark

4 for the income.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, repeat that. I'm sorry.

about the marketing? As Anne-Marie was saying in the—in the marketing, the advertisement would be clear as to what the low end of income can be for an eligible family to purchase into the co-op. The low end tends to be around 70 to 80% of AMI. The other thing I would say is that in setting the initial sales prices, like I was saying, we don't go—we don't set something that's only affordable to some family at 120% AMI. We go lower than that. In certain areas of the city we would—we would be on the low range closer to an 80% AMI. In other areas of the city we might be closer to 110% AMI. It—it varies.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [off mic] Not every area of the city—[on mic] Not every area of the city is 110% AMI. Someone at 70 can't purchase that apartment?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TALMA: No, someone at 70 could purchase that apartment.

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What makes you 3 choose between the 110% AMI and the 70% AMI?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER TALMA: It's a variety of—of considerations. Part of it is the—how much of a discount to the local market that would be. So, we're trying to make sure that there is a discount to the market.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have a feeling that there's more than the 120% AMI to get access in the 70% AMI, but I-we-I do want to-how many-how many of the vacant apartments have been sold? None so far?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: None of the properties in ANCP have gotten to the—the first ones are just getting to that point now.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I'm concerned.

I understand what you're saying about the market, but

I do want to make sure that even people at the lower

end in those markets have access, and I don't know

what the differentiation—the—the deciding factor is,

and I do want to hear more about that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Council Member, let me—let me just take that and say what we can do is follow up on your question—

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: --and get you some information about how the lottery actually works and how it randomizes, and how the selection process happens. So, I will follow up and get you detailed information about that so you're clear about how the selection process—okay, how applicants will be interviewed because they're called in the order of their lottery number.

appreciate that. I just have I think three more questions. One is there's a lot of conjecture (sic) actually—obviously about people's access to their belongings. I'm glad that—I believe you have correct that and are providing information. It's my understanding by both mail and certified to make sure people they do have access. So, if you can speak about this a little bit and access to their belongings in part and in whole. Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: First, let me say thank you for giving me the opportunity to clarify. They've always had access, and I do apologize for any sort of miscommunication. So, we did send a letter to each and every resident that has

can reach out to their building coordinator if they

need, you know, to do additional. We do have

2.3

frequently asked questions on our website, and we
also did send that, you know, to every resident so--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Did the—the FAQ say they can get part of whole?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Well, I think you—you and I spoke about that. What it says is tenants may visit the storage facility once per month unless there are special circumstances requiring more frequent access, which you can discuss. So, I think when we spoke, we were going to just add something in here to be very clear that they have the right to take some things out. Okay, leave the rest of it behind, and then come back again when they're ready to get more things.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But that's not in yet?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: Not—not in yet. We just talked about a week ago. So we want to update our frequently asked questions, get that on the website, and then get that back out to every resident so they are comfortable and understand that they do have that ability.

2.2

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And that once a month do they have to talk to somebody or can they just go straight to--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: No, wewe still and actually, what I can do for you, sir, is
leave you a copy of the letter--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Sure

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON: --that

we gave, okay because it's clear about the

instructions in terms of how they would arrange to be

at the storage facility.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And if they—just for clarity, if they need to visit multiple times in a month they can switch to a facility where they can do that at no extra cost to them.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HENDRICKSON:

Exactly, and we'll work with them on a rent

concession so that if they have to put it—this is

mass storage. Okay, if they feel like they want to

put it into their own personal storage, you know,

they're happy to do that, and we will work with them

on a rent concession.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and just to follow up, I think what Council Member

2.2

2.3

2 Rosenthal was trying to ask about our Mrs. Jones'

3 building, even though the building cannot go back in,

4 can the human beings that live in the apartment have

5 access to another place that is TIL or go into ANCP?

[background comments, pause]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: So they could apply through lottery for homeownership.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So they—there is just the TIL or public one? (sic)

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DARGA: The building was terminated from the TIL program. So they don't have an opportunity to become an ANCP property at this point in time.

Just really quickly. Council Member Mendez wanted to make sure that there—she said she had to run to another meeting, and she apologized that she had run out. It is a very important meeting. She did leave the questions with her Chief of Staff and she's expecting and I'm expecting that those questions will be answered, and I appreciate the questions that she asked. We do know that are some tenants still even outside. So, now that they've been in the overflow, they're outside. Hopefully we can get them in as we

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I appreciate the conversations we've had, and the progress thus far. It should have happened sooner, a lot sooner, but we're here now. assumption is that some of the tenants will be testifying probably in opposition to some things you've said. So, my hope is that some people will be sticking around to hear what they say, but this so far has been at least measured success because there's been acknowledgement of problems, and very real solutions set forth. Now, we've got to make sure the solutions come forward, and then I'm just very happy that I think the institutions are working in this from the Council to the media, and most importantly to the tenants themselves getting together and getting their voices heard. And I want to congratulate the tenants and thank you for addressing what has been a concern for-for many, many So, thank so much for your testimony. definitely need to stay on track on what's going on I'm going to let folks take a five-minute breather. Oh, let's get the-let's get it on here. [background comments] So, we're going to have Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer and on behalf of the Public Advocate Bic Hahfam (sp?). I am going

getting a lot of accolades on this really important

2 tenant interim lease question, and the Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program, ANCP although I 3 4 still call it the other name. It was established, 5 TIL, in 1978 by Phillip St. George. I was in the room, and I know the Rent to Own affordable 6 7 homeownership program during a time when the city was 8 seeking to shed ownership of its portfolio of tax foreclosed in rem properties. That's what happened in '78. So, it's been 40 years. It crated 9,700 10 11 homeownership units in Manhattan alone and over 17,000 citywide, and most of that-most of that has 12 13 been what I consider the HDFC co-op units through the 14 TIL program. Today, we're facing a slightly 15 different situation. We have 148 TIL buildings 16 totaling, as we heard earlier, 2,331 units citywide 17 remain in the program to be converted. I would like 18 to see HDFC, but I know we're also facing the ANCP. 19 In 2008, the housing market crashed with a bit of a 20 halt to TIL. It was without financing. HPD was 21 unable to move ahead with some of its programs on capital, but a different situation now. The city's 2.2 2.3 OMB recommended HPD to discontinue or restructure TIL and that's where we're-what we're facing now. While 24 ANCP is far from perfect, I-I commend HPD for 25

2 adhering to two of the core goals of the program: 3 Commitment to homeownership for low-income New 4 Yorkers and commitment to full rehab to ensure residents begin their tenure as shareholders, 5 although we have to make sure as we heard earlier 6 7 it's not always structurally sound. So, how do you 8 get there? TIL problems. I've seen many TIL buildings that were deemed financially unfit to move ahead because they have been left languishing in TIL 10 11 too long. Over the years, tenants moved out or 12 passed away, but HPD would not permit tenant leaders 13 to fill the vacancies. In 2016, out of approximately 14 the 2,500 units in the TIL program, almost 900 units 15 were vacant. That's lot and, of course, that they were needed to facilitate tenant relocation. 16 17 believe that. Relocation alone does not warrant such 18 a high percentage of vacancy, and it doesn't address 19 why HPD would not allow short-term leases in the 20 buildings, and warehousing is insufficient and bad no 21 matter where or what particularly in this situation. Failed TIL buildings do not move into ANCP but are 2.2 2.3 placed into a multi-family preservation program. Buildings in this track would not become a co-op, but 24

would remain an affordable rental building under

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

third-party ownership or management. For these failed TILs rather than relying on SCRIE and Section 8, those are good programs. To guarantee tenants continue in the building to afford their units, I want to suggest a possibility of allowing tenants to enter into a Community Land Trust, a CLT. Many of the recently formed CLTs throughout our city are committed to sustained, deeply affordable housing for households in the lowest income brackets. Thev can be the ideal mechanism to ensure that TIL remains a program for truly low-income. East Harlem El Bario CTL has expressed interest to HPD in doing this, and has developed a financial model. And for TIL buildings that are never going to become HDFCs, CLTs are a good option. I don't know that it came up today, but I suggest it. TIL to move into ANCP have the opportunity to become co-ops. It is good for HPD to ensure that that an HDFC starts off in the best physical position. I am supportive of having mission driven non-profits such as community developed corporations as rehab partners for these buildings, but I must admit I'm not for the for-profit being involved. ANC's model of rehab requires loans to be taken out to sustain rehab. We heard earlier that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this results in a new HDFC being encumbered with a mortgage on the building from the beginning, and I think that's a problem. It is higher maintenance even though we hear that Section 8, if there is Section 8, will cover them. I've working with the tenants of the very famous or infamous 615 West 150<sup>th</sup> Street, the most wonderful group of tenants. They're elderly and they're on fixed incomes, and without Section 8, they wouldn't be able to afford the projected maintenance when the HDFC becomes a reality, if it does, calculated at 60% of AMI. buildings like this, affordability is a challenge. [bell] So I want to state just a few more things really quickly. First of all, capital grants. The Borough President and the Council Member could help to put some capital grants in so that these buildings would not be taken out a mortgage. That would make a big difference, and I want to suggest that. want to make sure that there is no mortgage. really feel very strongly. The second issue I want to say is this 110 or 120% AMI for the vacancies is a huge problem. The issue is that, one, not only is it a challenge because we're not producing low-income housing, but the other problem is those tenants, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I know because I'm from the Upper West Side, I have 14 TILs/HDFCs in my district and each situation when the 110 person or this AMI comes into the building they take over the board of directors, and they are often fixing up the lobby, and doing things that end up keeping out the lower-income tenants. It happens ever single time, and so what I want, and it was actually my idea, is to work with the Department of Human Services and bring families who are working and are homeless into these buildings figuring out a way of using capital and perhaps foundation or other ways to produce needed reserve fund, which I know cannot be funded with capital dollars. So, I wan to just say that this ANCP does not have to stick to the model that would create building inhabitants at two tiers of income. We have to be very creative today as we think about a different kind of ANCP program to really offer homeownership opportunities for the truly low-income in a way that is lasting, and that does not create two tiers and it's an inequitable housing situation. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

25 Policy Director for

[on mic] Good afternoon. My name Bic Hafam (sp?)is

[off mic] Good afternoon.

Policy Director for New York City Public Advocate

BIC HAFAM:

2 Letitia James, and I'm here today to submit testimony 3 on her behalf. We'd like to thank the chair, Council Member Williams and his staff as well as the 4 committee staff for holding-the committee for holding this hearing on this vitally important issue. 6 7 Public Advocate would also like to convey hear apologies for inability to be here in person. 8 attended the funeral services for firefighter William Tilly, who lost his life in a tragic fire in Queens. 10 11 The-in order to save time, I'm going to sort of 12 expedite the testimony and go to the main 13 recommendations and concerns about the program that 14 we've heard today already by some of the tenants. 15 are very concerned with how slowly the TIL buildings 16 have been able to be converted, and about the affordability of the units moving forward. 17 18 Public Advocate believes that the city must ensure 19 that all of the TIL buildings receive sufficient 20 funding to timely rehabilitate-rehabilitate the 21 buildings for conversions to low-income cooperatives. 2.2 As was promised to its tenants and the community when 2.3 these tenants agreed to give up the rent stabilized leases, and the buildings entered the TIL program. 24

HPD should be adequately staffing the TIL program and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

training and overseeing its workers to ensure that the tenant associations and buildings receive the support they need to move forward and HPD should be developing an effective system to track the progress and status of the building so that it can address delays and remove any barriers to affordable housing conversion. The housing advocates that have contacted our office and we've spoken to have expressed concerns that HPD's training of the tenant associations is not adequately preparing them for the reality of what takes to own and run a building in 2017. So, we recommend that HPD take a serious look at its training programs and make sure that its adequate—adequately preparing the tenants. HPD also has to ensure that TIL employees are providing all the services needed, and it's good to see that they-HPD has agreed to provide the training appropriate languages, which we had heard up to this point they had not been, and that the training is relevant, and we also-our office can share the significant concerns about the corruption that has been alleged within the TIL program and according to a past Department of Investigation report, as well as what we're hearing about a current investigation. We believe that HPD

released today on this issue.

must ensure that it's fully complying with the DOI agreed upon anti-fraud measures that it agreed to several years ago when the investigation-DOI investigation was completed and we have requested the DOI data, the HPD data on whether or not it's been complying with those initiatives. The Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program should be postponed until an independent review is conducted to determine all options available to convert the existing TIL buildings into low-income cooperatives. And, we believe that the city should meet its original obligation of 100% permanent affordability. Our office looks forward to working with members of the Council and HPD to ensure that it lives up to the promise of these TIL buildings. And we have included in our testimony a policy brief that our office

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much for the testimony. I did have one question.

So, based on what you heard, if the ANCP moves forward, and they cover the \$2,500 rent and they find a way to cover maintenance so that it's not over 30% of anyone's income, Borough President, do you still

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 think that a Land Trust would be better, and do you
3 still think that ANCP should be paused?

GALE BREWER: Okay, I'm not clear on how the vacancies should want to be filled.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I'm not asking you—so I meant the vacancies aside.

GALE BREWER: Yeah, but the vacancies are of concern. So, yes, I think you still need to look at options because we're having-we have my district office and the HDFC and having negotiated all the leases and, you know, I don't know. Thirty years of I think that you have to think long term how this. do you keep it affordable? Who's-who-there-there is just more to have to be thought of long term rather than moving as quickly as I think it's being projected now. Discussions have moved already quite a bit thanks to your hearing and others. So, I do think that [bell] I'm, still not clear as to how you can both run the buildings, keep them viable, and not have no capital money from the-I want no capital money except from the city. I think that's absolutely necessary. There are still pieces that need to be thought of long term on this.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2	BIC HAFAM: We are concerned that the-the
3	affordability on these buildings under ANCP have a
4	30-year and what will happen to these affordable
5	units once that 30-year passes similar some of the
6	concerns that come up with the Mitchell-Lama
7	buildings and, you know, the 40% of the buildings
8	that are currently vacant we think they need to be
9	for low-income individuals.
10	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very
11	much, Madam President

GALE BREWER: [interposing] Thank you very much.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --for your} \\ leadership on the issues. Thank you. \\$ 

2.2

BIC HAFAM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to do
one more panel before I—before we do the break. That
panel is going to be Jason Wu from the Legal Aid
Society; Norman Siegel, Siegel Teitelbaum and I think
it's Evans and Palante. Andrew Riker from UHAB, and
Sal D'Avola (sic) from Neighborhood Restore, HDFC.
[background comments, pause] After this panel we're
going to pause for about five minutes, and then the
panel after that we'll begin tenants, Windell Foster,

2.2

2.3

Arlene Toro, and Andrea Lopez, Patricia Jewett, and Gilsida Martack. Again, after this panel we'll have a—about a five-minute recess, and when we come back we'll start with the members of the public and tenants. Primarily Wendell Foster, Arlene Toro, Andrea Lopez, Patricia Jewett, and Grisalve Martack. So we should have Sarah Belveda from Neighborhood Restore, Andy Riker, Norman Siegel, Jason Wu. Can everyone please raise their right hand? [background comments] Sorry. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond

PANEL MEMBERS: [in unison] Yes.

honestly to Council Member questions?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure. I'm sure we'll have some follow-up questions, but for now we'll have two minutes for everyone to give their testimony, and you can begin in the order of your preference.

NORMAN SIEGEL: Let me say that for the record the only Council person on the committee that's here right now is the Chair. The other people are not here. I understands there's 11 Council people, 10 of them are not physically here to hear

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

some testimony. Second, I thank the Chari for this I come mellowed. I come in good fair. meeting. sit here with the people, and I hear government officials make representations that you should not accept. To begin with, we should not accept HPD's analysis and their premises. We heard HPD's goal was to end the TIL program. You should reject that position. With regard to ANCP, it's a house of cards. It's premised on Section 8. It's delusional, delusional to think that Secretary Carson and President Trump is going to continue Section 8, and if that happens and it disappears, these folks once again will be subject to being out on the street. The irony here is that 40 years ago, and who would have thought that I'd be here publicly commending former Mayor Koch, but I will do that because he had a vision. He had an understanding of the obligation to provide affordable housing, not just for rich folks, but for poor folks and for low-income people. That's what TIL was supposed to be about. Second, there has to be a one-year moratorium, and se do respect especially since HPD used me at a meeting that I attended last week, and make it look like everything was okay. What we asked them to do was to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

make a commitment for a one-year moratorium where an independent panel would then be able to look at 400no 400-148 buildings and determine whether or not they could [bell] come HDFCs. And second most important, we asked them to make a commitment to grandfather and grandmother all these folks in who were promised something that has not been delivered. Our report is broken promises. The city has failed these folks, and with due respect with at least the Chair and the other people that were here, these are your constituents. These are the people that you swore when you took an obligation to protect, not just the rich folks, not just the developers [bell] but these folks who are disproportionate people of color and all our low-income and poor people. is an obligation that we have to do for these folks. They deserve better, they earned it, and they need to protect them and go through this. You can't do this without

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] We have to do this, but I doubt that we could afford to do it. (sic)

NORMAN SIEGEL: --a guarantee for a grandfather and grandmother. I know. I've sat here

for 3-1/2 hours. You tell me I got to talk for two
minutes and then you're going to have all these folks
come up for a minute or two. I know you're going to

5 stay and where are the other people--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I want to, so--

NORMAN SIEGEL: --to hear them--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --just so--

NORMAN SIEGEL: --until 8 o'clock or 9 o'clock at night.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Just so we're clear, it's—it's been more than two minutes and I said I'll have some follow—up questions. So you'll be able to speak. Before we go on, I will, and I've been to many, many hearings, it's—when I was on the other side I thought the same thing. It is impossible to stay for these hearing, all of these hearings. We belong to—we have many, many committees. I can't speak for every Council Member, but as a whole, I know that the absence of Council Members is not absent how important things are. This is as—how—how much time is actually in the day, and we cannot physically give everyone four or five minutes to speak because that's more than eight

2.2

2.3

- 2 hours. That will be 'til a week. So, we try our 3 best to do it as fair as possible.
  - NORMAN SIEGEL: And you give HPD two
    hours and you give these folks who have waited four
    hours a minute or two.
    - CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I understand how that makes a good sound bite, but it isn't just as--
    - NORMAN SIEGEL: It's not a sound bite. We're talking about serious Constitutional and civil rights here.
    - CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It is a -it is a physical impossibility to give every tenant the same amount of time as HPD. One, it doesn't make sense because we have to ask a lot of questions about HPD who are administrators, but we're giving every tenant and ability to speak. I wish I could give you all five or ten minutes each to speak. It is impossible. So what we did do, what we did do was make sure, and this is not a norm, but I made sure there were tenants who came up before HPD--
- NORMAN SIEGEL: [interposing] And that was great.

2.2

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --a considerable amount of time. So I just wanted to make sure we put that on the record. I understand what it looks like from over there because I was there, but I am telling you we cannot give all of you 10 or 15 minutes. It just doesn't make sense, and it's impossible with the schedule we have to stay. It's something that media reports on a lot, but we can't stay here for the whole committee. Some people are on multiple committees. Then they report that we're on all those committees. Then some people have meetings and they report we're not going to meetings. So, I can't speak for every Council Member. I just don't know what they're doing, but I just know generally speaking the blanket comments don't fit. But I will have some additional questions. I thank you for your testimony, and we can move onto the next person to testify.

JASON WU: Good afternoon. Thank you,
Chair Williams, and the—the Committee for holding
this hearing and giving me the opportunity to present
testimony today. I am a staff attorney at the Legal
Aid Society's Housing Development Unit and along with
our Community Development Project we have worked with

tenants have to live through for that period of time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, the second issue is—is regarding disrepair and quality-quality of life issues, and although HPD said that they are going to commit to making emergency repairs, I've worked with buildings in the last two years where there's mold, leaks [bell] crumbling walls and ceilings and so much more, and those repairs are never made even though requests have been made for emergency repairs. Regarding mismanagement I can give examples, but the New York Post article corroborates a lot of experiences that my office has had and that my clients have had. I want to say really quickly regarding the compliance issue, when the notices were sent out by HPD, a lot of tenants were wrongfully accused of-of mismanagement, and in my personal experience reaching out to HPD, they'vethey were able to acknowledge that they had actually made mistakes. So they had blamed tenants for not holding elections when it was really building coordinators that were not scheduling the elections. They had financial records that were wrong, but then they blamed the tenants for not complying with accurate financial reporting.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to have to ask you to give a closing statement.

19

20

21

2.3

25

2 JASON WU: Okay, a quick point around 3 ANCP. It—it models in some ways the third-party 4 transfer program, and there-there are problems with 5 that program as well. Tenants do not have a say in the selection of the developer. There's other issues 6 7 regarding how ANCP doesn't have formal rules and so 8 they have discretion to do whatever they way basically, and tenants have no way to force HPD to comply with their own policies because they don't 10 11 know what they are. And then the last issue 12 regarding affordability I echo the sentiments 13 expressed earlier today that household composition 14 changes, household income changes, but when the rents 15 are restructured to \$1,000 or \$1,500, and tenants are 16 seeing increases of \$500 or more in their rent, when 17 the-when the household income changes, what happens 18 then.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

JASON WU: And so, there is—there isn't a good answer from HPD on that point.

22 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

SALVATORE D'AVOLA: Hi. Good afternoon.

24 My name I Salvatore D'avola. I'm the Executive

Director of Neighborhood Restore Housing Development

2 Fund Corporation. I'd like to thank Chairman 3 Williams and Member of the City Council Housing and 4 Buildings Committee for allowing me speak today. 5 Neighbor Restore and its affiliate non-profits work closely with the New York City Housing, Preservation 6 and Development agency on developing housing programs 7 that seek to transition physically, and financially 8 distressed properties into affordable community Since 1999, Neighbor Restore successfully 10 assets. 11 preserved over 7,500 units of affordable housing in 12 over 1,200 properties throughout New York City. Our involvement with buildings seeking to become low-13 14 income cooperatives dates back the year-the early 15 years of HPD's Third Party Transfer Program, when the 16 city permitted tenants living in foreclosed buildings 17 coming into the program to petition the city putting 18 them on a path toward cooperative ownership. As a 19 tenant petition building an HPD designated community 20 based sponsor manages the building while the 21 neighborhood restores ownership while planning for the future rehabilitation and guiding the tenants 2.2 2.3 either directly or by partnering with an experienced training organization such as UHAB towards achieving 24 the program's milestones for its eventual conversion 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to a low-income co-op. Tenant petition buildings are rehabilitated with both private and public financing and upon conversion assume both private and public debt. Existing tenants purchase their apartments for \$2,500. Since 2001, 39 tenant petition buildings have successfully converted to cooperative ownership. Given Neighborhood's experience with tenant petition buildings, HPD sought our assistance and involvement when creating the Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program. HPD's testimony during this hearing has provided its reasons for the changes to its cooperative ownership program. Therefore, I will not reiterate those reasons in my testimony. Throughthrough our affiliate Restoring Communities, Housing Development Fund Corporation, we facilitate the rehabilitation and subsequent transfer to cooperative ownership of city-owned buildings, which were previously self-managed by tenants in the TIL program. Restoring Communities collaborates with HPD, private lenders, qualified sponsor developers and existing tenants through its engagement in the rehabilitation and planning of the properties [bell] and their successful conversion to tenant controlled co-ops. As the interim owner during rehabilitation,

2	Restoring Communities acts as HPD's fiduciary by
3	ensuring that sponsor developers carry out their
4	development duties and guide the tenants' preparation
5	and taking control of and operating the properties as
6	low-income cooperatives. Rehabilitation is financed
7	with a combination of private funds, HPD and New York
8	State Affordable Corporation funds. Restoring
9	Communities applies for and acts as a steward of the
10	AHC grant funds awarded to the building. In
11	preparation for the final transfer of the ownership
12	to newly formed cooperatives Restoring Communities,
13	HPD and sponsor developed work diligently to ensure
14	the timeliness and quality workmanship of
15	rehabilitation. Additionally, offering plans are
16	drafted, reviewed, and approved by the New York State
17	Attorney General's Office, and the tenants complete
18	the required cooperative training courses. These
19	newly formed cooperatives are required to have third-
20	party property managers and monitoring agents and an
21	annual increase in their maintenance charges to
22	ensure that the properties remain financially viable
23	to operate and adhere to all the requirements
24	outlined in their regulatory agreements.

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can I ask you to 3 give a closing sentence.

SALVATORE D'AVOLA: Just quickly through the program we've undergone since ANCP inception ahs been for properties that have been transferred to Restoring Communities' ownership, undergone rehabilitation and are preparing—and are preparing for the final transfer.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

ANDREW RIKER: My name is Andrew Riker.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You've to speak

into the mic.

2.2

2.3

ANDREW RIKER: My name is Andrew Riker.

I'm the Executive Director of UHAB, the Urban

Homesteading Assistance Board. For the past 44 years,

UHAB has worked to build and strengthen tenant

associations in city-owned buildings that they—they

prepared to become co-ops, and we provide ongoing

assistance to HDO—HDFC cooperatives that went through

the TIL program, Third-Party Transfer Program,

Community Management Program and many others. While

UHAB has been involved in the development and rehab

of distressed abandoned buildings since 1973, we know

that a successful co-op depends as much on or more on

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

assisting a tenant association to become as strong and effective co-op organization. Successful co-ops are about the people who own and operate them. With this in mind, UHAB was instrumental in the creation of the TIL Program, and the other programs in 1978. From the program's inception until October 2016, we were contracted by HPD to provide the training and technical assistance of to the TIL buildings as well as the HDFCs that were created by them. This is assistance consisted of a classroom training and ongoing technical assistance and it was an important way to develop the co-op association, tenant association to become the eventual co-op. Between 2001 and 2016 over 26,000 site visits and technical assistance provisions were-were made in that time. About 100 per building has been the-the amount of assistance buildings have received. We currently provide training under city contract four nights a week to TIL associations to HDFC co-ops and to managers of these buildings. Much of what we would say has been covered, and so I just to stay within my two minutes [bell] will-will just go through the outlines of-of what we want to say, and then expect questions. One is that we believe that in the TIL

Program as it was originally designed and now in the
21 <sup>st</sup> Century the training and technical assistance
has to be upgraded to make being in the TIL Program
sort of be preparation for becoming a co-op.
Secondly, and already addressed, is bilingual
support. I think it's essential that materials and
support be able to be given bilingually in—in other
languages. The lack of communication and
transparency has been addressed by the city, and the
tenants' involvement in-in the development of their
buildings is essential. And then lastly, I think
that there's been much discussion about the cost of
the vacant units as a way to help bring down the—the
cost to the city of-of developing these units. And
it is a feature of the program. A number of years
ago the city stepped up in the TIL program from the
idea of just doing a minimal amount of repairs to do
the full rehab. That cost has become quite large,
and now we see the development of an ANCP program
where choices have been made, and the cost of the
vacant units, and ultimately the resale price of
every unit in the $-$ in the building is being affected,
and I'm really glad that at as low-income advocate

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and organization dedicated to low-income co-ops that this question is coming up.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony. I do have some questions for Ms. Siegel. Thank you for your passion that you have displayed here, and you've helped with these tenants, and anyone can answer these questions, but you were first to bring it up. And with the TIL versus ANCP and the housing part, I'm-I'm actually I don't care what it's called, I just want to make sure that people get as close to what they were promised if not what they were promised. So, they can call it the 1, 2, 3 program what it is. I'm more concerned about what the program actually is, and so it seems to me until-for the tenants that are here they were promised a-an apartment for \$250 and not have to pay maintenance. And if that occurs, I'm not sure does it make a difference between what it's called. when I asked questions about ANCP it seemed that what they presented would be that if you still were lowincome 80% or less, you would not have to pay the \$2,500 and you would pay 30% of your income in maintenance, which—which is a change, but that seems to be what the primary difference would be outside of

2 selling the vacant units. So, I want to understand

3 which part of the new program would be most

4 problematic.

2.2

2.3

NORMAN SIEGEL: You should reject the new program a least for a year. These buildings by legislation were designated, Mr. Chair, to be exclusively—exclusively for low-income people. The ANCP program, and the way it was seen is now going to allow— When they started it years ago, they knew where they were going. Why would you not allow people to fill the vacancies?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So the department issues the vacancy?

NORMAN SIEGEL: But what's--what's going to happen these buildings now are going to be come low-income and middle-income buildings. So, they diverted and changed the entire vision of New York City after years recognizing we had a moral and practical obligation to poor folks to make sure that they had decent affordable housing. This program and especially if I'm true about the house of cards, is not going to be money to provide for the difference between what they're paying now and maintenance and co-op additional charges. And you know what's going

important to know.

2.2

2.3

NORMAN SIEGEL: Yes, exactly.

asked them is whether or not they got the Section 8 would they continue with what they're saying, but hold on a second. They said yes, but my contention is if we get those cuts, they won't even uphold the TIL as it is now, so whatever they're calling it if there's cuts we're going to have even problems. So I—to me it's—I—I—again, I'm not—I don't care what they call it, I care about the cuts and I care about what the end result is. So even if they don't get the Section 8, and we don't have money, we don't have money for either one of the programs.

NORMAN SIEGEL: Right, but you're missing an important point. In those vacancies right now, they were designated for low-income people.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Got it.

NORMAN SIEGEL: So, now they're going to be allowing middle-income people to come--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So, that's another question. So, let's me just separate the vacancy. I want to make sure I'm focusing on the people who are there now, but Andy go ahead, but I too, I'm not disregarding the vacancy part. We do

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- have to make sure it's for low-income, but I won't

  separate it out. I want to make sure the tenants are

  who are there now who were promised something get as
- 5 close to what it is that they promised.
- NORMAN SIEGEL: Why close? Why not exactly what they were promised?
- 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: They might. I'm
  9 going to try to be clear. But—but wait, there are—
- NORMAN SIEGEL: Do they—do they have it in writing? Do they have a guarantee?
- 12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That's why I used
  13 that. I agree with that.
  - ANDREW RIKER: I'd—I'd like to—right.

    I'd liked to—I mean Section 8 has been a part of the TIL Program since—since the very beginning, and in the—in the early day HPD did just a partial rehab, buildings came out with a—with a carrying charge set at what was needed to run the building. Anybody who couldn't afford that at 30% of their income applied for Section 8, and so it's always been part of—part of the program. The monthly charges are much lower. They average just under 40% of median rather than 60% of median for those buildings. Those buildings also then had to go out and get AD (sic) loans and

weatherization or other things to do all the repairs
and over time have had to fix up their buildings. At
some point, HPD made a commitment partly because of
the conditions of the buildings were worsening
because of lead and asbestos laws that made it
difficult to do partial rehabs to do full rehabs and

8 the cost went up. HPD continued to provide full

grants for these buildings, but where are they going

10 | in the future.

2.2

2.3

NORMAN SIEGEL: [interposing] But where is it going in the future? You're not addressing the question.

ANDREW RIKER: I'm—I'm trying to get there. Section 8 was still part of the formula.

Now, we've gotten to where the costs are—are very large and HPD has—has made a decision to include private loans in the equation in order to cover costs beyond what the capital costs can—can cover, and also has chosen the vacancy issue, which is one in there.

Section 8 becomes more important. I'm concerned. I think as every housing advocate is concerned about what happens when Section 8 doesn't work, but I can tell you when Section 8 disappeared in the 60 TBT and other buildings that we've worked on, what's happened

2.2

2.3

you know---

is HPD has stepped in with capital funds to lower the cost to where everybody could afford their units.

So, it is an extreme cost, and it means fewer units get done and, you know, and it will take much longer to do the inventory of the TIL program, but it's a way of—of, you know, making those choices. And, I think we need to have the discussion around making the choices. I think it's a valid choice. I think the change to the program is one that is—is certainly very different and residents have a right to be concerned about it. But the experience of the programs that its modeled on have proven that—that,

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Let-let me ask.

ANDREW RIKER: --I want to fix those and-

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the world of whatever we call it, they don't have to-tenants don't have to pay the \$2,500 and the maintenance fee is-I mean is this magical world capped at 30% of income?

ANDREW RIKER: You can't—you can't and I think that—that Siegel is right. You can't cap the maintenance fee at—at 30%. What you can say is that

saying, I was very clear in asking what would happen

if we lose Section 9. Just-just so I-and-and they said, this is what they testified to: That there they would still-and I'm assuming use funding that's available to make sure that it is capped. Now-
NORMAN SIEGEL: [interposing] Get it in

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, but let me just say this. We should—we can ask for it in writing and we should, and if the premise is that they're lying about that, they're lying about what's happening with TIL. So, I don't know that saying that we shouldn't helps with the lying part and I just—that's why—but I—I can only ask the question.

NORMAN SIEGEL: One other thing.

Subpoena their records with regard to the coordinators. When they talked to you before about they have to fill out field reports, subpoena the records. You don't have to wait for DOI. You have an obligation.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

NORMAN SIEGEL: It's incredible that HPD says I have no recollection. I don't know anything. Everybody goes to the DOI. BS.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I got it.

2.2

2.3

writing.

1 2 ANDREW RIKER: One point of-one point 3 that we have to clarify here in underwriting these 4 deals and because there is private financing associated with these deals, you have private lenders who are in the mix, and they are looking at the risk 6 7 and being adverse in these deals, and so if they-they 8 will raise the question about is there going-if Section 8 is not going to be available into the future, they will ask the city what are you going to 10 11 do and what is the plan with regard to Section 8. 12 Quite frankly, if Section 8---does not come into the 13 city it is a problem that is across the board, a 14 problem for all affordable housing not just-15 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes. 16 ANDREW RIKER: the TIL and ANCP program, 17 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I 18 agree. 19 ANDREW RIKER: --but everything else, and 20 so if that happens, it's a larger conversation that 21 the City Council and the Administration would have to 2.2 figure out. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Right.

ANDREW RIKER: It's not just this

25 program. We're here separate to--

anybody who is out there. Please let me know.

2.2

2.3

JASON WU: I'm worried about the—the
buildings that don't have representation.

tell me really quickly—well, I have to head out to another meeting, and Council Member Rosenthal is going to take over, and I'm hoping—he had mentioned something about when finances change, and I want to get more understanding of that meant. HPD I am actually going to call you back up, and I do want to get some information about if—if the tenants have no selection power, and who the developer is, and I do want to ask about the suggestion of a one-year moratorium. So I just want to give you a heads up.

NORMAN SIEGEL: Thank you. I can say in the TPT program in the TPT program there are tenant petitions. There—that is not the case as far as I know in ANCP.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you council member yeah.

[background comments, pause]

21 [background comments, pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Alright, first of all I want to thank—I'm Helen Rosenthal a member of Housing and Buildings and just sort of sitting in for a short while for the Council Member. First of

all, I want to thank al the tenant who have been
waiting all afternoon. We're really anxious to hear
from you, and thank you for your patience. It's
pretty—it shows. It's a testament to what's going
here. So thank you for that. So, what I think
Jumaane wants to ask is exactly my question, which is
if the HPD is assuming that these units can only—
they—these buildings can only survive if the units go
to people making 120% AMI and they're counting on
that in order for the structure to work. Doesn't
that mean even though they say up to 120% AMI doesn't
that mean by definition that they're going to need
these higher income buildings in order for the
building to be sustainable? So, why would they take
anyone in at 30% or 40% AMI. By definition it
strikes me that they have to take people at 120% AMI.
Am I wrong?

NORMAN SIEGEL: Yeah, you're absolutely correct and that's the—the problem. It's one of the major problems with the ANCP program. As I said before, it was legislated that these buildings were for lower income people—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right.

MALE SPEAKER: --and we're now changing

that vision and the result of this is that these

buildings will not only have middle-income people,

but if you look at the people that are here, they are

disproportionately people of color, and you're now

going to have racial, cultural, economic differences

in a co-op building, and where is the planning for

that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's right.

NORMAN SIEGEL: And economically the reality is, and I hope I'm wrong, but Section 8 is going to disappear and we saw it happen to the seniors with regard to a few years ago and how HPD "planned for it" and I remember seniors 80 years old who had a one-bedroom that now had to go a studio.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

NORMAN SIEGEL: There was so much pain.

That's going to be little compared to the other—and the point I want to make we're here to protect the TIL residents. The rest of the city they got advocates, they got money, they got lawyers, and they know how to fight for themselves. These folks they don't have that kind of resources, and that's why

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

especially for you and for Mark and Jumaane that we hear—these are your constituents.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Absolutely.

NORMAN SIEGEL: So, you need—I'm not meaning you personally—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, no, no.

NORMAN SIEGEL: --because your statements were really good, but Jumaane I know he walked out, he sort of bought in today. He sounded like he was drinking the Kool-Aid.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, I think what we need—I mean if I were asking HPD and we have people taking notes here—and there are transcripts and so, and people from HPD are here. So, maybe they'll take notes. I mean I guess I was dissatisfied when the woman from HPD said we'll get you the averages when I asked about how the financing is going to work. Why or—or how are you financing the \$300,000 unit, and she said we'll get you averages. I think the point is we can't look at it as averages. We have to see how many units are assumed at 120% AMI. How many units at 80%, 60% and 40%. I want exact numbers, and I just don't understand why it's difficult given that we're

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 talking about so few units. So, so I think that's
3 one way--

NORMAN SIEGEL: Amen, amem.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: -- of gettinggetting at the question. I also felt-I would also like to better understand—this is my question list to HPD going still-of the \$300,000, \$150,000 was going to be paid for the city. Another quarter of the costs or half the cost by the city, another quarter by rent, and then another quarter by-by the mortgages and maybe the remaining Section 8 or whatever, but yet the mortgages only seemed to be for the reserve, and the cost of the trainings. So, there's two bigwe need to see the financing numbers because there's too big of a disconnect between all of the different They just don't add up to affordability. pieces. Ιf it's a de minimus part of the \$300,000 that is private financing, I'd like to know that.

NORMAN SIEGEL: Well, you're right and if we went to court we'd ask the judge to enjoin the program until we got all that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's right.

NORMAN SIEGEL: So we need the City

Council and this committee to enjoin them to tell

program called? None of my colleagues are here for

most of the folks in the room. [laughter]

thank you. Griselda Marte. Thank you. I'm going to

ask you to have a seat. I'm going to ask the clear

24

[background comments] Okay, I'm going to ask

25

over.

PATRICIA JEWETT: No?

could please start and start by -- No.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We have a different Council Member sitting there. I know you're going to tell the truth. [laughs]

PATRICIA JEWETT: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Of course, if you could just start, start by stating your name and we're going to set the clock going now.

PATRICIA JEWETT: Alright. My name is
Patricia Jewett, and I live at 1890 Andrews Avenue,
Apartment 3-F, Bronx, New York. I have been living
in this building since September 1997, right before
my 21<sup>st</sup> birthday about 40 years. When I first moved
here, there was a tenant association. The president
was Gladys Motto since she lived in Apartment 5-J.
Ever since I can remember, Mr. Phillip Shore/RMA, has
been the overseer of my building even though Philip
Shore/RMA have changed their name so many times.
They were Morris Heights Neighborhood-Neighborhood

2 Improvement Association. They were Morris Heights 3 Restoration and Housing Development Fund, Inc. 4 were Morris Heights Restoration HDFC and now they are Morris Heights Preservation, LP. During the summer 5 of 1980, myself and other tenants participated in a 6 7 tenant education program. We did this so that we 8 could become owners of our apartment, and we were all very excited to become owners. In fact, I remember taking those classes and that Mrs. Hannah Shore, Mr. 10 11 Shore's wife, was one of the teachers. There was a 12 celebration. RMA took pictures. Certificates were 13 given out. That was the year I became a mother and 14 had my daughter. In November 1982, a letter from 15 Morris Heights Restoration and Housing Development Fund, Incorporated talked-spoke about purchasing your 16 17 apartment, and at the same time I received a letter 18 from Morris Heights Neighborhood Improvement 19 Association addressing all the tenants about a tenant 20 association meeting. I remember going to that 21 meeting, and being excited. So were all of my friends 2.2 and neighbors. We were all ready to become owners, 2.3 and then we were told everything fell through, and nobody was given in paper. The next thing I know, in 24 1992 our building was going through an Article 8-A 25

PATRICIA JEWETT:

Well, I got my papers.

to answer when. Then they decided to change us into

third-party transfer. Then, in 2002, we-we choose-

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

UA, chose to be our-our administrator. In 2002, wewe was relocated to different apartments around the city. We came back in 2005 because the renovation was completed. Since 2005, the UA shows that it's the management that we have that we choose, and the city been keeping around and around and around saying that they keep on saying they don't have their booking order, and the city is not doing nothing to really make them complete the process because since 2005 until 2017 it's been too long. We've been taking our classes. We took it in 2006, they decide in 2014 that they-we need to do it again. We did it again. We've been doing all what the city says, but the people that are administrating us is not doing their job because they are keeping delaying us,, delaying the process. In the meantime, the city keep on changing, everything keep on changing and our enjoyment keep on going down especially now. want to make-we want to really bring it out and see how HPD could help us to really complete this because they are-they're now putting their attention on for our needs and our-and supporting in our need to become owners. Right now we are on the Edge Pro (sic) package and they are keeping behind because they said haven't do it. [background comments]

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they need to bring out project, and they are not doing nothing about it. They've been six months already saying that they need to—they want to get back the—the—the [bell] budget process, but they

ARLENE TORO: Hi, my name is Arlene Toro and I'm one of the tenants of 102 East 98<sup>th</sup> Street. My testimony is that we've been under HPD program for 20 years. They promised us to be-that we're going to buy our own apartment for \$250. That—we don't have any kind of communication with ANCP. We were notified. Well, by word of mouth, we found out that we were in the program of ANCP. No letters, no nothing from this organization. We know--we don't know anything about it. Our building has gone through a lot. Where we live there was an area it was not only it had drug and everything, all kind of bad things happening in the neighborhood, and we came in and we cleaned it Now, that everything is settled in there, now they want to come over and take it. happened before that HPD has not done anything for us? Our building is fall apart. Then onto the board that we have—they have not collected a single penny in making these meeting of going traveling or making-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

making these paperworks for HPD. They have not collected a single penny. However, HPD has not stepped up to the program. The TIL program-I'm The TIL program we had—they have not done sorry. anything for us, and this point we don't want to have a mortgage of \$300,000. We can't afford that, oh, we don't want it because that's not what was promised to What was promised to us was an apartment for \$250. We were going to buy it. We were going to-the building was going to be sold for a dollar, and that's what we want. We want HPD to step up to plate and give us what it promised us. We have all the paperwork, everything the package. We don't miss anything. Everything is perfect with compliance with everything. Why is that now that they want to change it to another program? Why is it that something when it's starting to work out a little bit for the poor people [bell] they want to change it, and they want to say, oh, you know, what? No, let's try to enrich somebody else. It's not fair to us. We cleaned the area, and I'm sure that a lot of people in here are on fixed income. No one is going to be able to afford \$300,000, okay. It's not fair to us. don't want it. Okay.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LATIFA JONES: Hello, my name is Latifa I live at 107 West 105<sup>th</sup> Street, and I've been in the TIL program since 1987. I moved in that building from a shelter with my three kids and I have twins that are-born in '86 and they're like 30 years old now. I've been in that apartment for about 30 years, and I have become disabled. Now, I'm being told they're going to-I'm going to-I don't even know if I'm going to have a place to live. I feel that there should be an investigation into HPD when they sit at these agencies and give these agencies the right to make these decisions, then they need to have an overseer over the agency to be that long a program, and for me to be in this Council meeting today only shows that there is no checks and balances to see what HPD is doing. They do what they wanted to do, and now we are sitting here as evidence of 20 years and 30 years of being basically illegal, illegal just criminal and—and there's probably some criminal aspects in this to rid these people. I have ten people in my building and there's 15 apartments. Five apartments are empty. One was burned and never fixed. We have three people that are sick with the possibility if they go, how are we supposed to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

maintain that building with rents when we can't rent out the apartment. So, for them not letting us to rent out the apartments to keep the revenue is a set up for failure for nobody, and then they just tell you're not in compliance. You don't have enough money to do this. How are we supposed to file when we're in their hands and they make all the decisions. I believe there should be a proper investigation, criminal or stop and cease. They're telling us in two months how we have to sign these paper rules in the apartment. We're about to close the deal with somebody. You are telling me you want me to sign my life away and me pay a mortgage for somebody who is going to do a shabby job. Then they the told us they're not even going to gut. They said they're going to fix up stuff. So, all the lies. Everything else is there. They need to put a proper investigation [bell] as a result of this meeting of HPD of them illegally trying to evict us in-in a way of using the law trying to evict people, homeless who were homeless like me to be back homeless again. can I afford that? It's-it's ridiculous to think I could afford a mortgage on the income of being disabled.

- LATIFA JONES: So I just wanted to say I think there should be an investigation because 30 years is too long. I'll be dead before they come and fix this problem.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well, I hope
  7 that's not true, and
- 8 LATIFA JONES: The stress, the stress
  9 could kill you.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Stress. Deep, deep yoga.
- 12 LATIFA JONES: All these people are 13 stressed by that thing.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I just want to say that each of you has raised a different point, and each of these points are common sense good questions that HPD has to come back with answers.

18 LATIFA JONES: Thank you.

council Member Rosenthal: And I especially, you know, the—many of the points you're raising are things that council members have been raising for the last three years. So, they resonate with us, and by your coming here and testifying it validates for us what we're fighting for. So, I

2.2

CARLTON BOROUGHS: Can you start it over?

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, oh, start over. Yes. Please, started the timer over. Don't worry. Okay.

CARLTON BOROUGHS: I currently live in the precursor to the ANCP program. I live in the Third-Party Transfer Program tainted with fraud, collusion, unethical behavior on the part of HPD. What I'm living right now is what the people who will buy into the ANC Program will have to live through. We're burdened with \$6 million of debt. We're burdened with shoddy work that was supposed to be done during the renovation and never took place. So my thoughts on the TPT program is that it's utter failure. Residents are deceived into thinking that they are getting a good deal because of the low purchase price. Residents will never be in a positive equitable equity position. The TPT program is labeled a limited equity program. The TPT program should be labeled a no equity program. Note the Regulatory Agreement, maintenance and look at the apartment size and value. After 30 to 40 years residents would have paid close to \$900 to a million dollars in maintenance in apartment maintenance fees. The TPT program is tainted with fraud. No official

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

certification by the city that the work was ever done, violations were never removed, violations still exist and all of these things that should have occurred either before they closed out their loans never occurred, but yet they-they closed on loans where the building doesn't have a certificate of occupancy. The work was never done, and they're foreclosing on us right now. They're-they're-we're in Supreme Court right now where they were able to sell the note during the proceedings to another [bell] The city needs to know about that, and all the astronomical amounts of money that they've spent on shoddy work, one building, \$46 million to renovate, and the apartments look like the people that—the condition that they were in when they built them in 1901. So the last person to work on that apartment is no longer alive probably. So, the city needs like this lady requested an investigation into the predatory lending practices of the Pension Fund HPD and all of its partners in crime, the banks included into why is it that they're spending these astronomical amounts of money, \$46 million, \$15 million, another \$15 million, another \$16 million, \$7 million. All of this money is definitely going to

- 2 | have a negative impact on the city's bottom line at
- 3 some point. They're going to create a financial
- 4 crisis right here in New York City because I believe
- 5 HPD is one of the most corrupt agencies in the city.
- 6 [background comments]

- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
- 8 | Sir. Tell that to Paula. (sic) [background comments]
- 9 JESSIE JESS DIAZ: Hello, everyone. My
- 10 name is Jessie Jess Diaz and I reside at 503 West
- 11  $\parallel$  140<sup>th</sup> Street. I am one of the newest and the last to
- 12 get into the TIL program. I've only been there for
- 13 | about 11 years. I am here today on behalf of my
- 14 | tenants only for the reason why I guess I do feel
- 15 | that the system is corrupted. I don't believe in
- 16 | HPD. I don't believe in politics, and I don't
- 17 | believe in the government. Only for the simple
- 18 | reason why because of the situation we're in. I do
- 19 | believe in our tenants. I'm going to explain why we-
- 20 I do believe in our tenants. Our tenants I have seen
- 21 | our tenants who died in our—in the building since
- 22 | I've been there, not one, not two, maybe three people
- 23 | already have died in our building. I also do believe
- 24 that our tenants as a family we try to make the
- 25 | building better. We used our money-I used my money

this is simple. We're are all business partners

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

- 2 here. We have to think of it as a business whether
- 3 we're not educated or what, we need to get educated.
- 4 We were having classes at HPD. They no longer exist.
- 5 I got-I got proof. I got an email. They told me oh,
- 6 I want to go back into a class and see if I can get-
- 7 | educate myself a little bit more, understand more
- 8 about the building. No more classes. I get it.
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We're going to
- 10 | have move on, but I hear you-
- 11 JESSIE JESS DIAZ: Yes.
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: --loud and
- 13 | clear. Thank you.

- JESSIE JESS DIAZ: You're welcome.
- BARBARA MARTINO: My name is Barbara
- 16 | Martino. I come from the same building, 503 West
- 17 | 140<sup>th</sup> Street. As Jesse said, a lot of what was going
- 18  $\parallel$  on. I've been in the building 64 years. I was born
- 19 and raised there. My mother died waiting to become a
- 20 | homeowner. We've been in that program over 13 years,
- 21 and what we want-all we want is become shareholders.
- 22 | We want what they promised to give us. We want what
- 23 our contracts that we signed stated. We want to
- 24 | become an HDFC. We want something. We want a better
- 25 | future for our children for my grandchildren and, you

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 217							
2	know, the city hasn't done it. They've back out on							
3	us. They're leaving us out in the open. They-before							
4	we know it, they might us to a for-profit landlord,							
5	and what's going to happen to us. Because they keep							
6	changing the program. They keep coming up with new							
7	rules and regulations, and we're in t complete							
8	compliance but they keep trying to push us back down.							
9	So it's like it's designed for failure. [background							
10	comments] It's designed for failure. Thank you.							
11	MANUEL SITES: Buenos Tardes. [Speaking							
12	Spanish]							
13	TRANSLATOR: My name is Randall Sites							
14	(sic).							
15	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
16	TRANSLATOR: 402 West 170 <sup>th</sup> (sic) In							
17	Building 2.							
18	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
19	TRANSLATOR: I've been living in my							
20	building for 21 years.							
21	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
22	TRANSLATOR: But before anything I want							
23	to thank Palante and the Director Phil Sabatias (sp?)							
24	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 218						
2	TRANSLATOR: For the commitment and						
3	support that she has given us.						
4	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
5	TRANSLATOR: Doing these parts to become						
6	owners of our own apartments.						
7	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
8	TRANSLATOR: After a lot of talks from						
9	HPD.						
10	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
11	TRANSLATOR: Very beautiful.						
12	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
13	TRANSLATOR: But the end result is						
14	nothing, nothing.						
15	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
16	TRANSLATOR: I want to refer Teddy Torres						
17	our President in our building.						
18	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
19	TRANSLATOR: That gentleman didn't fight						
20	in our building for the best thing that we can do in						
21	our building.						
22	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]						
23	TRANSLATOR: In order to tread and						
24	negative informs from HPD.						

MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 219							
2	TRANSLATOR: Himself in degen-							
3	degenerating.							
4	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
5	TRANSLATOR: I don't know how HPD tells							
6	the community so many lies.							
7	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
8	TRANSLATOR: There are old people here.							
9	[bell]							
10	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
11	TRANSLATOR: Retired people.							
12	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
13	TRANSLATOR: Sick people.							
14	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
15	TRANSLATOR: There is no respect for							
16	them.							
17	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
18	TRANSLATOR: Before, HPD used to have a							
19	slogan that homeless people who could not make it.							
20	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
21	TRANSLATOR: The end result is all							
22	opposed to that.							
23	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
24	TRANSLATOR: How can this table judge all							
25	these people?							

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 220							
2	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
3	TRANSLATOR: Who went on 30 years							
4	managing their own building.							
5	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
6	TRANSLATOR: And then from HPD they only							
7	have one in that corner.							
8	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
9	TRANSLATOR: Talking the customs here.							
10	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
11	TRANSLATOR: Because they think that you							
12	need a PhD to manage this building.							
13	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
14	TRANSLATOR: Therefore, we want to buy.							
15	We want to become owners, and do more with our lives.							
16	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
17	TRANSLATOR: We know that they are							
18	participating. It's the same thing that they say							
19	sometime later.							
20	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
21	TRANSLATOR: He's standing for action.							
22	MANUEL SITES: [Speaking Spanish]							
23	TRANSLATOR: Thank you very much.							
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Muchas							
	l							

gracias, senior. Beautiful, beautiful. I mean I just

please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell

put on the record that she were. She was introduced

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as the commissioner, and my anticipation was she-she would be her, and now I come back and she's gone. So, I'm not happy about that at all, but I don't want to be introduced to somebody who is going to be doing this, and seems to conveniently leave when there's a question to be asked to leave somebody who's going to say that they can't the question. Even if she couldn't answer the question, she should come up here and say that. I think it's disrespectful to this committee and disrespectful to this hearing and to this Council. I would not have minded if she had to leaver earlier. That's fine, but to leave at the point when I specifically said I want to ask HPD a question is disrespectful and it shouldn't happen. Now, HPD wasn't here at the last hearing when this came up, and all this appalling stuff came forward, and there was nobody here from the Administration and I was very upset about that. I was happy that somebody stayed here. I happy they introduced the Assistant Commissioner. I'm not too happy with the discussions that we've had so far on some of the things I'm trying to get-trying to get changed, and as I mentioned, I want to be clear had she left when everyone else left, then that would make sense, but

for her to leave at the point that I asked a

question, is completely unacceptable, and I want you

to bring that back to whomever you need to bring it

5 back to.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

6 SARAH MALLORY: I'll bring all comments
7 back, yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: My questions were (1) I wanted to know what the thoughts are for a oneyear moratorium on anything to do with ANCP in moving forward to it. That seems that that could be something that—that is thought about, and the second was would the tenants have any input in the selection into the ANCP program? If not, why not and how we can make that happen. But-but don't do that again, and think that I'm not going to notice what's-what's happening. Thank you. [pause] I'm furious at that. I'm furious at that. [background comments] It was disrespectful to all of these tenants that are sitting here. [background comments] Jose Vasquez and Palante Harlem, Russell Fowler. Thank you. [background comments] I wish you would have taken that, sir. I think this is Donna Gray, 138 West 137<sup>th</sup> Street. I see she might be in the other room. [background comments] Donna A. Gray. Okay. Ella

Parker, Jr. here? Clarence Parker, Jr., 138 West

24

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

- 2 117<sup>th</sup> Street. Clarence Parker, Jr. Cordell Claire.
- 3 [background comments] Cal Snyder.

MALE SPEAKER: Cal is here.

5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

6 MALE SPEAKER: He went to get somebody,

7 but he's here.

1

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Who was he going to get? [background comments] Clarence Parker is not here? So, Clarence Parker is not here, and is Pasquale Tusant here? Pasquale Tusant. No, Pasquale Tusant. Alright. Can you each please raise your right hand? Do you all affirm to tell the truth, and to answer honestly Council Member questions?

PANEL MEMBERS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and you can begin in the order of your preference. You each have two minutes to give your testimony. [pause]

ELLA EDWARDS: The—alright. Good evening,
City Council. My name is Ella Edwards and I'm from
374 Prospect Place Tenant Association, and most of
everything was covered what I wanted to talk about
today, but there is still another main issue that's
in our building. Our building have several broken
beams. The roof needs repairing. Water seeps in,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and I was listening to HPD saying that once we start paying for the oil and stuff that we can make repairs in the building, but I think it's too dangerous of the tenant association to try to make any repairs in that building because right now if you go to the building my apartment is still being held up by beams in the basement from the 1990s where a gas pipe exploded. And not only that, you go to the top of the roof, you could take a camera and look to see how the building is split down the walls, ad that's how water is coming in from the front and side of back apartments. And, also in the vacant apartments above me, the sewage had busted about three to five years ago. HPD kept saying there was a cap put in, capital jobs that they was going to make the repairments, but they still didn't do it, and once we have a lot of heavy rains and everything coming the apartment, it's still-the water drains down between my bathroom, the kitchen and it smells that way. And, I still have pictures and everything on my phone. You could see everything that I'm telling you is the truth. not only that, we sent letters, pictures to Prackler Irving Political Office regarding the condition of our building for Washington, DC, New York and Albany,

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. You said have things on your phone?

ELLA EDWARDS: Yeah, I have a lot of pictures.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you pull it up and—and give it to the sergeant. I'd actually like to see it. Than you. The next person can—

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 DONNA GRAY: Yes. Hi, my name is Donna Gray—my name is Donna Gray. I'm from 138 West 137<sup>th</sup> 3 4 Street, and my buildings went into the TIL program September 2000. When the building first came to the 5 program, 18 tenants occupied this 20-unit building. 6 At that particular time, HPD stated that if we were 8 successful, HPD would start the renovation process in three years, but during those three years no rentals would be permitted. If people moved out or died, the 10 11 apartment would have to remain vacant. At that time, 12 this was not an unreasonable move since it would only 13 be for three years. Almost 17 years later, HPD has 14 constantly refused to abide by their own rules, but 15 we are expected to continue the no-renting rule. 16 This is ridiculous. We have had tenants die, move 17 and be removed by HPD. We are now down to five 18 tenants, and one succession, which HPD not only 19 refuses to approve, but will not explain the refusal. 20 What is more, HPD will not even acknowledge the 21 appeal. Under these circumstances, how doe HPD 2.2 expect us to successfully manage this property? 2.3 Renting is the only source of our income. Years ago, HPD stopped us from opening CD or savings accounts. 24 Thus, there isn't any other source of income for us. 25

2.2

2.3

The purpose of TIL Program is to be—enable all participants to eventually stand independent of HPD but this cannot be accomplished if we are continually denied the tools to do it with. By HPD continuing to refuse us rental permission, it is essentially [bell] setting us up to fail. Is that now the goal of HPD? Failure for also program participants by refusing to grant them the tools to succeed. I think I speak for all the TIL buildings when I say there needs to be an amendment to this rule or have it abolished completely. We cannot be expected to properly manage these properties and be successful in this program without the ability to rent. In conclusion, this rule needs to looked at. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Vasquez. I'm the Founder and Executive Director for Palante Harlem. I want to thank the Council and Jumaane you specifically for putting this together. This is something that our organization has been pushing for—for several years now. And, you know, the reasons why we're here is because this is abuse. Palante means move forward, but is an acronym. It stands for People Against Landlord Abuse and Tenant

2 Exploitation. In this case, the TIL tenants are They're being asked to manage 3 being exploited. 4 literally free of the labor law issues. They manage the buildings. They hold jobs. They have to do 5 financial reports every month. They have to get bids 6 7 out, and at the end of the day, HPD continues to show 8 a carrot saying you have to do X, Y and Z in order for you to become a homeowner. And the reality is that HPD, the Asset Management Division of HPD, 10 11 because the other side of HPD, which I adore, is the Code Enforcement. Those guys save lives, but the 12 13 Asset Management is actually killing our people. Our people are living in the deplorable conditions. 14 15 our website, there are videos, stories, tenant stories about what they are going through. With 16 17 Prospect Place, tears came out of my eye when I saw 18 one of the seniors how that she's been relocated 19 temporarily for nine years. What she used to go 20 through to get through her apartment that is 21 temporary supposedly for eight years, and yet they're 2.2 killing them. They are honestly killing our people, 2.3 and these are people of color. These are people who live in these neighborhoods when no one would dare 24 walk around those neighborhoods. These were 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

undesirable neighborhoods. Today, these are the gentrifying neighborhoods, and these people were told \$250--\$250 you have to sell to manage. You have to take training classes. The city for over 25 years has been investing \$3.8 million on training [bell] on the same training, the same people. How many times do you need the same training, and yet they were asked you have to sign this document, give away your rent stabilization rights--you have rights, you have succession rights-to into this month-to-month lease so that you could be a homeowner. And then guess what happened? What happened to two years expanded to four, four expanded to ten, ten expanded to 20, and lot of folks have died, but did he have succession rights. So, a lot of these families were also evicted. The children of the original leaseholders have been evicted and up until 2014, when we started working and really figuring out how do we put HPD to the test. We managed to get succession rights. Palante has been able to preserve all of these-all of these units through that forum. Now, we're on this next step and this is where we need your help. We look forward to working with the New York City Council. We need justice delivered for

COMMITTEE	OM	HOUSTNG	AND	BUILDINGS

2.2

- 2 | these tenants. The city has plenty of money.
- 3 There's plenty of money in the city level, in the
- 4 state level there are now-there's so much money.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to have 6 to ask you to give a closing sentence.

very passionate about this. So, we're here because we need a commitment from the New York City Council to put money on TIL. We also need an immediate and the reason immediate obviously an emergency word because HPD is trying to transfer these buildings to a third-party transfers and if this happens, we're not going to be able to get these guys justice. And if you guys cannot help then we will go to court and we're going to show everybody. [laughter]

FEMALE SPEAKER: Go, Jumaane.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If I was you, I would have been in court probably. I mean I'm not opposed to it if that's the tool you got to take.

[laughter]

CAL SNYDER: My name is Cal Snyder. I work with Normal Siegel to help Palante. You know, I think we can see that from the testimony today HPD provided that now that it has something that it

19

20

24

25

2 really wants to do with these buildings. It wants to 3 push this ANCP program on the tenants faster than they're prepared to go, and this follows HPD's 4 deliberate effort to stall the HDFC program for 5 decades. At the same time, it has been pretending to 6 the tenants that it was really going to come through 8 for them, and we know now that for 20 years that has been essentially a lie, and since 2008 it's been a complete fiction. Since that time, 2008 almost 10 10 11 years. We know that HPD knew that it would never 12 have the funding to create HDFCs out of these TIL 13 buildings, and it's just left the tenants languish there, and the buildings decayed every since. 14 15 They're not prepared, the tenants, they're not 16 prepared to go ahead for at least a decade because HPD has maintained the fiction the TIL Program was 17 18 real, and that the agency really meant what it said,

21 positive that HPD now has planned an outreach program

and that was not all-had not already abandoned its

promise to them, which it clearly had done. It is

22 to correct its past failures, but really the past is

23 not repairable, and the past is not negotiable.

HPD's plan is not just to address a lack of

coordination as it claimed today. What  $\mbox{HPD's new}$ 

2 plan of outreach is, is really a sales program.

Let's not make any mistake about that. Everything they talked about today is an effort to persuade the tenants who have been waiting all this time to buy a

6 mortgage they can't afford [bell] and to rely on a

7 suspect federal subsidy that is likely to not ever be

8 there for them. And for that reason, we need to be

9 very, very skeptical of the promises that HPD is

10 making. The time for promises has long since passed.

11 The tenants deserve full accountability and honesty

12 about their rights, about the choices that they face,

and the rights that they have, and it's HPD's

14 responsibility now to deliver on that, and stop the

15 smoke screen of promises that they won't fulfill.

16 Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

3

4

5

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I want to thank all of you for your testimony and your patience. I know hard it is to sit here. I guess we're almostit's 4-1/2 or 5 hours we're going on to testify for a few minutes, and I really appreciate that. I've got to give a special shutout to Ms. Vasquez for all of your work in Palante and helping bring this to the forefront. You're amazing and so are your tenants.

25 So, thank you so much. Appreciate it.

- 2 ELSIE VASQUEZ: And thank you.
- 3 [background comments, pause]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Wilma Cabral. Do

we know if Wilma Cabral is here? Gone? Okay.

Beverly Pavone. Beverly. Okay. I think this is John

Montalvo, 158 [pause] South 158<sup>th</sup> South Fourth

Street, John Montalvo. Thomas Lopez-Pierre, Joy

9 Clark. Is Joy Clark here? [background comments]
10 John Montalvo.

JOHN MONTALVO: Yes, sir, right here.

12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, Montalvo is

13 here. Pierre, Joy Clark. Do we know if Joy Clark is

14 | here? [pause] And Tammy Smith. [background

15 | comments] Joy Clark is her. Okay. So we have

16 Beverly Pavone, John Montalvo, Thomas Lopez-Pierre

17 | and Joy Clark. Is Tammy Smith here? [background

18 comments] Is that Tammy Smith. Oh, can we just get

20 | hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

21 | truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony

 $22 \parallel \text{before this committee}$ , and to respond honestly to

23 | Council Member questions?

PANEL MEMBERS: Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Give me one 3 second. I'm going to call up the next five people 4 just so they're-they are ready if they are here. So if these five people are here, please prepare to come up after this panel. Deborah Johnson, 14 West 28<sup>th</sup> 6 7 Street; Rosa Rodriguez, 79 Post Avenue; Myra I think it's Villasis, 1214 Broadway; Luiz Rodriguez, 44 8 Convent Avenue; and Barry Weinberg, 604 Riverside Driver. Please get prepared to come onto the next 10 11 panel. You each have two minutes to give your 12 testimony and you can begin in the order of your 13 preference. [background comments]

TAMMY SMITH: [off mic] Hello, my name is Tammy Smith. I'm the Treasurer—[on mic] of 158

Balfour Street Tenant Association. My TA—my TA

joined the TIL program in November of 2000. Since that time, we manage our building to keep—we took the required classes, we paid the rent, we paid the bills on time. These were all the requirements of the TIL program and, in turn, we were told we would own or building. My TA was relocated from my building in March of 2008 due to a foundation problem, and we were told that our building would be renovated in 18 to 24 months. It has now been nine years, and we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have not returned to the building. Over the years, we have inquired-inquired as to when we would be renovated as we were told we were one of the top five buildings to be renovated when we relocated. only response we have ever received over the years is as soon as New York receives their fiscal budget, we would be relocated. We were told up until September 2016 when we informed in a TA meeting with Vivian Louie, Assistant Commissioner of Property Management and Rick Chevez (sp?) the TIL Director at the time that HPD TIL program, which we were a part of, would no longer exist. They stated that HPD discontinued the program and our only option was to finance through the ANC Program. Vivian Louie also stated that once we signed into the ANC program, she had no idea when our building would be rehabbed. Our building is 158 South Fourth Street in Williamsburg, She said that they needed to rehab the Brooklyn. bigger buildings that were waiting in the TIL Program because it would look better on paper as opposed to doing our small building, and we needed to sign up for the ANCP program. We were never given any written documents on this program. We were just told we had no choice by the ANC program. Last year, HPD

2.2

2.3

took steps [bell] to kick out of the TIL program by falsely putting us—our TA probation due to we had not had a yearly election. We had had the election, in fact, with our TIL coordinators Miles Agnes. We gave them—we gave them proof that we the—the election, and they still left us on probation. Come around 2017, we had another election, and once again we were sent a letter that we were on probation due to we did not have an election. We had elections both in 2016 and

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can I ask you to give closing sentence?

2017 at HPD TIL 100 Gold Street in their location.

that HPD attempts to eliminate the TIL program is not about having enough money. There is more than 140 buildings left in this program. It is about gentrification of our neighborhoods. My building has been in the program for 16 plus years. At the time we signed into the program our neighborhoods were less desirable, literally crime, drugs and prostitution. However, we stood strong and we managed our TIL program. At this time, however, the neighborhood has improved, crime has decreased and drugs have not disappeared by practically gone away.

2 And with the new era of gentrification, I see that

3 HPD is trying to push us out of the neighborhood and

4 not abide by their original TIL contract.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very

6 much.

1

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BEVERLY PAVONE: [off mic] Hello. I'm [background comments, pause] [on mic] Hello, my name is Beverly Pavone, and I'm from 2 East 127<sup>th</sup> Street Tenant Association. I spoke at the hearing that you had on March 15<sup>th</sup>, and I appreciate the Council giving us this time to voice our-our concerns about our building. Our building has been in the TIL program since 1997 of November and we just like everyone here as you heard have gone through all the steps of what was needed in order to say compliant. When they came up with these new compliance laws, they've been making a lot of mistakes about us being non-compliance, and that has somehow hindered us being, you know, going forward. I would like to address the-the concerns about the warehousing of apartments. Under HPD's Guidelines, and even under their Declaration of Purpose and Bylaws, it states that while under the city ownership the association shall meet lease—let lease the building from the

- 2 Department of HPD and the city of New York Tenant
- 3 Interim Lease Program. The association duties under
- 4 | the lease shall include, but not be-but not-or not
- 5 | limited to promoting tenant cooperation and
- 6 participation in all aspects of building management,
- 7 | which we do. Collect rents, which we do. Least
- 8 | vacant apartments to new tenants subject to HPD
- 9 approval in accordance with the TIL program
- 10 relocation policies, and initiate legal actions,
- 11 | which we can't do. This is something that's in their
- 12 bylaws, and they want us to go by these bylaws, but
- 13 | yet this specific portion Section 2-C of Article 2,
- 14 | HPD has held [bell] us against—held us from not doing
- 15 | that, which is as you heard, has been very
- 16 detrimental to the tenant association. So, within
- 17 | the bylaws we should be able to do that, and I think
- 18 | we should have—have that right immediately. Thank
- 19 you.

- 20 JOHN MONTALVO: My name is John Montalvo.
- 21 | I'm here representing my parents. I also live in 158
- 22 | with them. They moved into 1128 Manhattan Avenue.
- 23 No windows opened, no sink in the bathroom, the floor
- 24 is no good. They live on the third floor. They used
- 25 to walk up and down, but they can't no more. They're

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 both on walkers. It's hard for them to get down.
- 3 It's hard for them to get up. I take care of them,
- 4 and I also take care of myself, and it's not fair.
- 5 | They promised them nine ago for them to move in and
- 6 they still haven't moved in. I think that if HPD
- 7 | wants to, they could take our place, and see how it
- 8 | feels for them not to have a place for them to live,
- 9 and treat them like dogs. Thank you.

JOY CLARK: My name is Joy Clark. the President of the 158 Southwest Street Tenant Association. Let me just say much of what HPD told you earlier was 100% incorrect, and they were aware that they were giving you false information. When we speak to HPD regarding our buildout at 158, there is no talk of renovation. There's of boarding it up, and having no idea of when we be-go home. We were relocated nine years ago with the intention of coming back in two-two-within two years. Any time we ask them when we will be able to go home, they can't tell us. I have emails I've sent them regarding issues in my current apartments, leaks coming down near electrical fixture in 2015, 2016. Still no answer about what's going on with these leaks coming through

the building and the electrical fixtures which is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

March 20<sup>th</sup>, I walk into my bedroom, my fire hazard. bed—the ceiling is on the floor. Okay, and I'm not talking about just the plaster, I mean I'm seeing rotted wood. I go into the bathroom, the negligee and that same electrical fuse-fixture is now also hanging down, and they're aware of it. You need emails of me constantly telling them that this is an issue. I have the emails. Every new director gets an email. Every new director act like he does not know what's going on. Are they not keeping files on theses buildings to know what's going on? Why do every single person I speak to from HPD brand new I'm starting from scratch. As soon as I feel like I'm making headway, he's out there doing to somebody else looking at me like I'm crazy. Okay, so it's just disrespectful what they're doing to us. Okay, and they're doing to purposely. The point of what they were doing is for us to fail and to get us out of this building. There's no ANCP. There's no TIL. They want us on the street so these developers could and make money. We were outright told by Vivian Louie that our building is not profitable because they're aren't enough vacant for re-sale and no developer is going to take our building because they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

can't make money off of it. So, who is making the decisions on how these buildings are being renovated? Is it the developer or the HPD? Okay, come on, somebody has to answer somebody. You need to clean house over there at HPD [bell]. They all need to be let go. You've been working here for 15 years and you collected a paycheck doing what? Fifteen years and nothing to show. Anybody else would have been fired by now. No, they just keep recycling them. They need to get out. You are not going to accomplish anything with that current board that you have. They need to fire incompetent people hired in that position. There needs to be oversight on top on They need to answer for what they did, and they're not. They're snakes in there. They'll smile at your face, and behind your back they're plotting on how they're going to get you out of that building. Enough is enough and they need to answer for it. There's no reason why I have emails where I've been emailing for years. I either get no response or BS response and, you know, it's just a runaround. we paid to have our building, which they told us in 2008 when we moved there, you are not to go anywhere near that building. We are now paying for a building

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

could pay them less.

that we don't even live in clean out. That's taking 2 3 money out our TA. They want to diminish our money 4 down so low to where we can't afford or have any money when we move back in. So, of course, you're 5 going to fail. Of course, your building is going to-6 7 your apartment is going to be taken from you. It's a mess. They need-no negotiations. Get rid of them. 8 Still have to stay in place. We cannot afford \$300,000 apartments. What type of gold are they 10 11 putting in these apartments? There are brownstones I

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to have to ask you to give a closing sentence.

JOY CLARK: So, we've developed a museum. That's good, and we already decided.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

THOMAS LOPEZ-PIERRE: Good evening, Mr.

Chairman. My name is Thomas Lopez-Pierre, and I'm a tenant activist, and I'm also a candidate for City

Council in the Upper Manhattan. I'm challenging

Councilman Mark Levine who I'm disappointed is not here to hear my comments. I've made many public statements about what I believe is ethnic cleansing taking place in Harlem and other communities of color

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

throughout New York City. Today only confirms what I've been saying is I've seen person of color after person of color come her and complain about what they believe to be a corrupt system. I do not believe that HPD is corrupt. I do not believe that HPD is doing anything wrong. I believe the purpose of that organization is to do exactly what it's doing, and this is deplace-displace the Black and Latino people in this community. They serve the bastions of our elected officials. They serve the Council and they serve the Mayor, and if we look at our elected officials, I'll Use Mark Levine as an example, we see that he has taken over \$100,000 in campaign contributions from real estate interests, and we're surprised that these developers are waiting to get their hands on these properties, and we're surprised that Levine has run out of this meeting. He's probably going to go meet with one-with one of his campaign contributors. This is disgusting. want these properties. My fear is that this program is set up for failure. The debt that they are applying to these buildings the tenants will not be able to serve. These—the mortgage notes will be sold to predatory equity and then they will be brought in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and pushed out. These tenants many of them are making the mistake to think that they're tenants, but they won't be tenants. They'll be shareholders and they will get foreclosed on, not evicted. They have to understand the concept. They will not be evicted. They will be foreclosed on, and these developers are sitting and waiting to get their hands. I hate to say it, but if you look at Riverside Drive in my neighborhood from let's 125<sup>th</sup> Street to 158<sup>th</sup> Street, you see white person after white person after white person buying HDFC co-ops. Why? Because they're the only ones that can afford to pay all cash because no banks are willing to give our people mortgages, and these prices are not affordable to our people. have to keep real. This is about the displacement of Black and Latino people. We've seen this before. They kicked out of Central Park. They kicked us out of Lincoln Center. [bell] I'll be done in a moment. They kicked us out of Lincoln Center. That used to be the Old Harlem and in the new Harlem, they're trying to kick us out now, and unless we elected political leaders, people like you, Mr. Chairman, who are committed to our community, we need more 216 members so that we can vote in policies that will

2.2

2.3

protect Black and Latino tenants, Black and Latino community members and keep out the predatory equity that is coming to our community. Now that it's "getting fashionable" and they are here to push us out. They are not here to be our friends, and we have to understand that, and I will end by saying that I encourage everybody to vote. This election is important. This Mayor needs to know, and I invite you to visit my website thomaslopezpierre.com, thomaslopezepierre.com or find me on Twitter and vote Lopez Pierre. Vote Lopez Pierre. Thank you for having me this evening.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So I just do—the one thing I want to say that, and I said before, I think one of them is seated——I can't speak for any one particular council member, but I can say that the absence of any council member does not mean that that person is not interested in the topic, and I guess that would also include Council Member Levine. I can't begin to speculate why he isn't here, but I can say I—when I was on the other side, I used to get annoyed when Council Members weren't here. Being on this side I definitely understand you cannot be in two places at—at one time, and you definitely cannot

- 2 be in 12 places at one time. And so, it is
- 3 impossible for us stay in hearing for 4, 5 or 6 hours
- 4 | long, and then tend to-Because then they'll be asking
- 5 | the same question at the other hearing, and then if
- 6 you have meeting with constituents all you have are
- 7 | meetings. It's simply impossible. So, I don't know
- 8 about any specific, but Councilman as I said, I don't
- 9 want people to take the absence of any one Council
- 10 Member to mean that they were not interested. I am
- 11 | happy that I'm here, and I'll be at the remainder and
- 12 make sure that everybody who testifies who wants to
- 13 testify has an opportunity to testify. Yes.
- 14 MALE SPEAKER: I have a picture if they
- 15 want to-

- 16 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, you can give
- 17 | it to the sergeant-at-arms. I want to thank all of
- 18 | you for your testimony. Oh, no, ma'am. You said
- 19 someone told you that the bigger buildings were going
- 20 to be first?
- 21 TAMMY SMITH: Yes, she said—I was Vivian
- 22 Louie the Assistant Deputy Commissioner. I told her
- 23 | that our building had been in the program. We've
- 24 | never been on probation, and when moved out of the

take it just like everybody else, every ten months

2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Like what does
3	mean. What are the-what are the effects of being on
4	probation?
5	TAMMY SMITH: The effects of being on
6	probably you got to read all the TIL Guidelines and
7	I
8	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Tell me what are
9	the negative effects of being on probation?
LO	TAMMY SMITH: You can be kicked out of
L1	the TIL program.
L2	JOY CLARK: [interposing] The TIL
L3	program.
L4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you been
L5	kicked-kicked out of the TIL program.
L 6	JOY CLARK: No, because we fought them
L7	TAMMY SMITH: No, because we fought.
L8	JOY CLARK:and showed proof that we
L9	exact—had our elections within a year two years in a
20	row, and that they— We had our elections two years
21	in row timely. We had to fight to show that how they
22	didn't know that we didn't have the elections when we
23	had elections at 200 Gold Street with our HPD

representative there. So, I don't know what type of-

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Wow.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Great hairdo.

JOY CLARK: Thank you. [laughter] Yeah.

[background comments]

2.2

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can we please—
3 those of you who have that documentation Mike Toomey
4 over there he's going to meet you over there before
5 you leave.

TAMMY SMITH: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: He's got his card.

I'd really like to get that information.

JOY CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes.

TAMMY SMITH: Councilman/

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes.

BEVERLY PAVONE: That's—that's widespread within HPD regarding compliances. We also for my building were put on probation saying that one, we didn't have elections when we did have elections, and the way the elections is run is that someone from HPD and UHAB has to be there.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Were they—were they suggesting that the—the elections weren't done properly, or they're saying you didn't have them.

TAMMY SMITH: We didn't have it at all.

JOY CLARK: We didn't have them at all.

TAMMY SMITH: And then regarding the financial reports that's another issue where it was

Say your building again.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 259
2	BEVERLY PAVONE: 2 East 127th Street,
3	Harlem.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 2 East 120-
5	BEVERLY PAVONE: 7 <sup>th</sup> .
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 7 <sup>th</sup> and you were
7	held accountable for financial documentation that you
8	couldn't get because HPD didn't do their part.
9	TAMMY SMITH: Right, right. They had a
10	program where they held—they would not before the
11	next month.
12	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.
13	TAMMY SMITH: Until they finished the
14	portion, and sent it back to us and then they give us
15	the other month.
16	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And your building
17	one more time. The same building.
18	TAMMY SMITH: Yes, 158 <sup>th</sup> South Fourth
19	Street.
20	JOY CLARK: [interposing] Yes, 158 <sup>th</sup> South
21	Fourth Street.
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 158 South Fourth
23	Street, and you were put on probation for having-
24	supposedly not having-

JOY CLARK: Elections, elections, yes

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: He left. Angela

Lopez, Erazelda Marte. Is Erazelda Marte or it's

Grizelda, Grizelda, 615 West 50<sup>th</sup> Street. Where are

you headed? [background comments] And Deborah

Johnson. We still don't have Deborah, is that right

and Grizelda Marte is not here. Is somebody—is

somebody going to have a translator. Is that what's

happening.

MALE SPEAKER: He's on his way.

MALE SPEAKER: Yes, he's on his way.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So we have Lisa

Rodriguez, Barry Weinberg and Myra Avila.

MYRA AVILA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Rosa Rodriguez and Angela Lopez.

BARRY WEINBERG: Any thing I need to say.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: A translator.

Alright. Can you each raise your right hand and do you need to—do you need sit next to her?

FEMALE SPEAKER: No.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. So, okay—
can you translate so I know she knows what she's
commenting? Alright. Do you affirm to tell the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in

### COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

your testimony before the committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

BARRY WEINBERG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You understood.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes, I did. Oh, we're good alright.

BARRY WEINBERG: Now, Luis is good.

Who's the translator? Oh, you are the translator.

Oh, does understand what--? Yes, okay. [background comments] You'll each have two minutes to give your testimony, and you can begin in the order of your preference. [background comments]

must thank your for giving us this opportunity. My name is Lisa Rodriguez. I'm from 615 West 150<sup>th</sup>

Street. We enter the Tenant Interim Lease Program in 1996. At that time, tenants were promised, you know, what you have heard already, that if we can manage the building for a certain number of years, we would be able to buy our units for \$250. In 2007, at a TA meeting with HPD's Victor Hernandez, then the Chief Program Director and Rufus Harvey, the Building Coordinator, Mr. Hernandez assured the building that we were scheduled for renovations in Fiscal Year 2008

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

LISA RODRIGUEZ: --really awful.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

24

25

2 BARRY WEINBERG: My name is Barry 3 Weinberg. I'm testify today as a member of Manhattan Community 9's Housing, Zoning and Land Use Committee 4 in regards tot the TIL program and the ANCP overseen I also testified before this committee at 6 the March 15<sup>th</sup> Budget Hearing. I am not a TIL tenant 7 nor an HDFC shareholder. I am somebody with the 8 perspective of a person on the community board who is attempting to keep our community to keep people from 10 11 being displaced and prevent gentrification from further impacting our community, and I am watching my 12 city government forcibly gentrify my own 13 14 neighborhood. I have, you know, a lot have been 15 talking about the potential corruption and conflicts 16 of interest in ANCP when a third party is borrowing money and then paying a construction firm with ties 17 18 to God knows who to do money-using work that would-19 money that they will never have to repay. But the 20 fact is that HPD is allowing deadly conditions to 21 fester for people for whom the city is a landlord and, in fact, waiting for them to die or vacate their 2.2 2.3 apartments so that they can be gentrified. asking as a member of Community Board 9 and a member 24

of my community if there's a moratorium on transfers

2 under ANCP, but more importantly I am asking that 3 this runaway division of an executive department be 4 held accountable. This is a division that I making policy effectively based without oversight from the City Council before this. They left before you could 6 7 even ask them follow-up questions that apparently has not been examined by DOI or the Controller or the 8 DA's of the Attorney General and the rot really is in the staff of the a department that is not being held 10 11 accountable and that has no oversight, and that is 12 doing what it wants without answering to anyone. 13 you know, the Deputy Commissioner Anne-Marie 14 Hendrickson and those to whom she is in collaboration 15 are effectively gentrifying my neighborhood forcibly, 16 and without the Mayor, without people above them 17 properly overseeing them and holding them accountable. I don't believe this is a direction 18 19 made by an elected official telling HPD to do 20 this.[bell] This is an unaccountable-this is a cadre of unaccountable HPD staff and executives effectively 21 making decisions that have billions of dollars of 2.2 2.3 impact on our community. ANCP needs to stop. buildings currently in TIL need to be rehabbed 24 through TIL and become HDFCs for \$250 an apartment, 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and then there needs to be a serious both oversight and possibly criminal investigation of the people at HPD who allowed this fester for three decades.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Please continue.

ROSA RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Rosa Rodriguez. I'm-I am the person for all the 79 Post Street Tenant Association. I've been living at this building since 1980-1978 and we've been in this building try to manage the building, and we've got involved into the TIL program in 2004. So we're one of the youngest ones, one the babies. Can you not hear me? You hear. Oh, okay. We're one of the baby they have in terms of the TIL building-no TIL program in which I feel very, very sad about what's been going on with all these tenants. They've been there long than our building. It' so sad. I feel so bad because I feel bad abut our building, but their buildings are worse than ours. I'm going to skip over the paragraph here so we can hurry up. We were promised to buy our-our apartment for \$250, and we were promised to be relocated in two years or two years and a half. We've been in-in the programs since 2004, but our building we're fighting with the landlords, the landlord coming into our building and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

make our children and ourselves sick. So HPD didn't took the burden away from the landlord. We took the burden away from the landlords. Landlord because there was a couple of landlords, and they promise uswe got involved to the TIL program when Miguel Martinez was our City Council, and he promised us a lot and the HPD promised us that the TIL program was going to give us the apartment, the building so as to So going to this program and trainings and which all of the tenants there we have all the Certificates of Occupancy. I have learned how to remove and-and put toilets in a bathroom. Everything I have learned other ladies and men in this building have done everything. We are up-to-date with our bank account. We have a little amounts that I think none of the other buildings has. So we are-been managing the burden. I think one of the best burdens in the TIL program I believe is our-all of the things that I have been seeing here and I've been hearing here, and you can go and bring your proof of that. But the thing is they promised us that we were going to buy the apartment, manage our building and to continue doing the good job, but every time they say the money that city came for the building they would

COMMITTEE	$\cap N$	HOUSTNG	$\Delta ND$	BUILDINGS

go to some building that was worse than ours. We were very—we were okay to do that because they need it more than us. We're not in the street. Our ceiling was bad. We fix it with our account. They have everything we something bad they say you have money in the bank you do it. So, that's what we have been doing, but we've working very hard and we also are pleased with action.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I'm going to have to ask you to give a closing sentence, please.

ROSA RODRIGUEZ: I ask them to please we don't want the program, the program that they offer us because for up to now you can do an investigation. We have managed the building and we've been doing a very good job with it. But since November 2016, Mr.—our building coordinator asked us to stop doing all the repairs because they was going to rehab our building, and se didn't need to, but we had to do it because we have a lot of emergencies (sic) in the building. So, all we're asking is we don't want the program. Ms. Christy also Council got I think to it.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

ROSA RODRIGUEZ: --like a month ago.

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very 3 much. Thank you.

ROSA RODRIGUEZ: And I'm sorry. I didn't to say that. I got emotional.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: No, that's-that's not going to be a problem. Okay. Thank you

MYRA VILLASIS: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: My name is—my name is—my name Myra Villasis and I live at 1214 Old Broadway.

MYRA VILLASIS: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Our building went into the TIL program in 2002. It's been approximately 16 years

MYRA VILLASIS: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: So, I'm—I'm going to try to say what's that. So, it's been approximately 16 years since we have followed the program and its agreements hoping that our dreams of becoming owners of our apartment would someday be fulfilled in a renovated building that is in living condition. HPD has promised—promised us a huge amount of things so far, and we are still left with those empty promises. Each time we have a meeting with APD—HPD, we say our building is meeting all the requirements of the

program and this includes monthly financial reports,

2 apartment repairs, monthly meetings and trainings.

3 We are tired of hearing the same old promises that

4 HPD does not deliver. The HPD program is constantly

5 delayed due to the change of directors annually.

find that they want the money from the bank account 6

7 to decrease steadily, and we do not know the purpose

8 behind that. HPD always threatened us with saying

that the TIL program will end if we do not meet its

requirements. Otherwise, we will be forced to change 10

11 programs. However, the same privilege we currently

12 have as well as the promises that have been left

13 unfulfilled will be lost after so many years of

waiting. What we need now is for HPD [bell] to keep 14

15 its promise and not just to words, but by taking

16 actions.

17

18

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [off mic] Thank you very much. [on mic] Thank you very much.

19 appreciate all the testimony. You mentioned about

20 the storage-storage, correct. I think you're the one

21 that brought it up. You also brought it up at the

2.2 last hearing.

2.3 ROSA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you received 24

the letter that they spoke about? 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they decided to do that because they at the beginning it was not-not even clear if they had said you cannot go there if you don't fix everything. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I appreciate that

and I'm glad the-the Council could assist. Thanks again for all—al of the testimony. Thank you for representing the community board who are representing all the residents of their community. Thank you so much. [background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Arlene Toro. anybody in the overflow room?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: No.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Arlene Toro. you here Arlene Toro? Jose Marta, 615 West 150. No. Mark and it looks like the last name starts with N, 615 West 150<sup>th</sup> Street, Mark Nohan, Nation Mart or is

- 2 that Marte, Clarence Parker, Jr. Is Clarence Parker,
- 3 Jr. here? Pasqual Tusant, Pasqual Tusant? Nadine
- 4 Young. Is Nadine Young here? Come on down.
- 5 [background comments] Giglio Pochardo, Nelson
- 6 Rodriguez. Alright. Juan Lora. Is Juan Lora here?
- 7 | [background comments] That's all the people that we
- 8 | have signed up to testify. Again, if you'd like to
- 9 | testify, please make sure you fill out a form with
- 10 the sergeant-at-arms. Otherwise, these will be the
- 11 | last of the folks that are testifying. Who-who do we
- 12 have waiting? Oh, that's okay and you'll be
- 13 | translating. We'll need each other. Okay. So, just
- 14 make sure if you can translate so he knows what I'm
- 15 saying. Can you all please raise your right hand? Do
- 16 you affirm-you can speak into the mic so he can hear
- 17 you or you can go over there, which one the two?
- 18 TRANSLATOR: I'll go over there.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Do you
- 20 affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
- 21 | nothing but the truth in your testimony before this
- 22 committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member
- 23 | questions?

PANEL MEMBERS: I do.

24

TRANSLATOR: Now, HPD wants to evict the

prime building after 14 years.

24

NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]

NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 277
2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Gracias. You're
3	not helping me at all Mr. Anderson.
4	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: My name is Paul Ladd. I've
6	been in the TIL program since 1998.
7	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: It's all promises. It's all
9	promises. They told us that back 2016 we were going
10	to finally sign so we can become owners, and now it's
11	2017, and look far in the year we are.
12	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: Our building has already
14	been renovated.
15	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]
16	TRANSLATOR: They move us out of the
17	building in 2008.
18	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: So they move us out of the
20	building in 2008. Then in 2010 we were brought back
21	into the building renovated and we're still not
22	owners yet. All they do is promise, and nothing
23	else.
24	PAUL LADD: [Speaking Spanish]

has been happening for a long time. My mother bough

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

279

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

HPD, that it was going to take 18 months for the 2

3 building to get renovated. Then we were told by the

4 HPD when they moved us all out and we have been told

that for years that the renovation was going to take 5

two years, and then in two years we're going to be 6

7 back. I've been moved myself since 2008, and there

8 are people that have been there for over 10 years and

moved out of the building for over 10 years waiting

for the renovations. There were no pictures; that 10

11 didn't come. It all looks very nice on the outside,

12 and I'm dying to go back to my roots to where I-I

13 grew up and-I-call my-I call that home. But it's

14 very said what I said—what has happened in New York

15 City, and I believe there's a criminal element in HPD

16 doing for what they have done to all of us. I'm not

17 talking everybody. I live by myself.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to have

19 to ask you to--

20 REGINO PECHADO: And a lot of stories I

21 can back up.

18

2.2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --do a closing

2.3 sentence pleas.

REGINO PECHADO: Excuse me. That I can 24

25 back up with a lot of evidence. I-I would call for

relocated 15 families outside the building since they

have the money to rehabilitate the building as per

Victor Hernandez, HPD TIL Director at that time.

2.3

24

2 Twelve families were relocated into HDFC buildings 3 and three families into TIL buildings. I asked Mr. 4 Harvey, who was our coordinator at the time, why are we going to pay so much for tenants to go into HDFC 5 buildings and he said, the buildings are just rehab. 6 7 We need to help them to survive. I said but that's 8 not our responsibility. Why are you going to pay so much money? So, he said we have to and so, of course, we trusted them and we-went. We had no 10 11 choice. So, we went. These tenants moved into 12 smaller apartments in order to cooperate with the 13 rehabilitation of the building. The HDFC building apartments monthly rent charge is four to five times 14 15 the monthly rent paid in the TIL building. The HDFC 16 reimbursement payment was received from Pro 17 Management Associates, Inc. Construction Management 18 Account[bell] from 2009 to-from January 2009 to December 2010. Which company is this? We have 19 20 repeatedly asked this question, and we have yet to 21 get an answer. Later, HDFC rent reimbursement were 2.2 sent electronically to the checking account by HPD. 2.3 As of Jan-as of March 2017, HPD spent over a million to keep these tenants relocated. This amount does 24 25 not include the storage charges of the past eight

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The TA in August 2010 had over \$500,000 in CDs. We were told that we had to close them out. told them but we're going to be penalized, and they said it didn't matter. We had to do it. nine CDs that the tenant's association has sacrificed over the years going in there without painting and just doing emergency repairs the nine CDs we were told that we had to close out. The TA-the TA was forced to start paying for fuel since it had money because HPD when they learned that we had so much money CDs, they said, oh, you can afford to pay your own fuel. So, Mr. Hernandez called me. I was at work, and he said, Oh, could you send me-send me all the accounts, and that's the paper that you have, all the accounts of the CD and how much money is in it. And so, I did send it to him and he goes, oh, so you guys have money so you can pay for the fuel. December we paid for the fuel from August 2010 to September 2012, almost-almost \$300,000. We had to plead with HPD because our funds were being depleted because of the fuel and other emergency repairs that we had to do in the building. So, HPD resumed paying for the fuel in October 2012. Currently, the TA checkbook balance is only \$63,000. That's not enough

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 284 2 to survive, and that's also sacrificing with no 3 painting, no repairs, just emergency. 4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, sorry. You-5 since you're the last person signed up I gave you a little extra time to go, but I did want to just 6 7 follow up with this. So, you had about \$550,000 in CDs and the HPD made you take it out? 8 9 NADINE YOUNG: They told us to close them 10 out. 11 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Why? What was the 12 reasoning? NADINE YOUNG: They said that-first, they 13 14 told us that they couldn't afford for tenants because 15 when you open the CD in the bank it's the officers 16 whoever it is at that moment, those are the signatures on the—at the bank. So, HPD said that 17 18 they didn't access to the money, and then they told 19 us that they also learned that other tenant 20 associations was taking out the interest. I said. 21 Well, that's not us. We've been sending you the-the 2.2 reports on a monthly basis of everything.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

NADINE YOUNG: Correct.

2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'd like to ask
3	some questions about that as well particularly about
4	the CDs. I don't what the-what the governing
5	practice is around CDs and who they decide pays for
6	fuel and not pay for fuel. I guess I would imagine
7	that there's some-some TA that can't pay for it, and
8	you might want them to pay for it, but I don't want
9	them to necessarily deplete to the point where they
10	can't operate so I have some questions about that,
11	and what do you need to operate per year?
12	NADINE YOUNG: Well, since we're not, you

NADINE YOUNG: Well, since we're not, you know, we're not—we're told not to—not to rent and not to fix anything, it—it depends. We have an old boiler. We have an old elevator that we're spending money on. The roof leaks. It's only when it's above a certain amount of money that they'll fix it.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

NADINE YOUNG: We have apartments sometimes when they—we could get it cheaper to fix, and they said no, they'll do it, and then they turn around and no, but you have to pay for it.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And is it more expensive?

2	NADINE YOUNG: And it's more expensive,
3	and it's not even as a job. [background comments]
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You said you were-
5	you said that you were unaware of the ANCP program?
6	REGINO PECHADO: I was unaware of that.
7	To tell you the truth, now we're supposed to be on a
8	30-year mortgage for 5\$4 or \$5 million. I don't
9	know if it's up to the city when someone dies someone
10	in probation.
11	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are you—are you in
12	TIL now?
13	REGINO PECHADO: No, they said that we
14	need to be in some other program, which none of
15	understood. See, we're all elderly people. It will
16	be all of us all of the tenants. It's a 15-story-
17	it's a 15-apartment building.
18	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You own your home,
19	you own the apartment now?
20	REGINO PECHADO: No, I don't. I'm in the
21	process of going back. I filled out the Section 8
22	information. I mean it's been three months and I
23	haven't heard anything back.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What building is

25 this?

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 289
2	REGINO PECHADO: 508 West 134 <sup>th</sup> Street.
3	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 508
4	REGINO PECHADO: I'm-I'm in apartment 10
5	and I was with my mother before she died.
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Say the address
7	again.
8	REGINO PECHADO: 508 West 134 <sup>th</sup> Street.
9	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.
10	REGINO PECHADO: I'm in apartment 10. I
11	looked for apartment 10 back years ago and talk about
12	we didn't just
13	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
14	That's the building of the TIL right? That's the TIL
15	building? Not where you are now.
16	REGINO PECHADO: Yeah, that-no-no-no not
17	where I'm living now.
18	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.
19	REGINO PECHADO: That's the TIL building.
20	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.
21	REGINO PECHADO: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Alright, thank
23	you, and I need translation. I think the gentleman
24	said this building is already renovated.
25	TRANSLATOR: [Speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 290
2	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: It is renovated, yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So is he back in?
5	TRANSLATOR: [Speaking Spanish]
6	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
7	TRANSLATOR: Yes since 2010.
8	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So what's the-I
9	couldn't-what's the-so what's the issue now. It's
10	already renovated but what?
11	TRANSLATOR: [Speaking Spanish]
12	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR:
14	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:
15	TRANSLATOR: [Speaking Spanish]
16	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: We haven't signed-we haven't
18	signed to become HDFC.
19	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, he doesn't own
20	it yet?
21	REGINO PECHADO: Excuse. I'm sorry I
22	know this building. They had like over \$200,000 in
23	the bank when they went to renovation, and—and they
24	still, the building got to be managed as it is

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 291
2	managed right now, but I know the company, and they
3	still haven't gotten the-the-
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are there
5	currently problems with the build—the building or is
6	that the problem is that they just don't own it?
7	TRANSLATOR: No, [Speaking Spanish]
8	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: We are not owner yet because
10	HPD has not given us the paper to sign.
11	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
12	What's the address?
13	TRANSLATOR: No, [Speaking Spanish]
14	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
15	TRANSLATOR: 1508 Amsterdam.
16	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And lastly, he was
17	saying he won it in court, and I don't understand
18	what-what he won and how?
19	TRANSLATOR: No, [Speaking Spanish]
20	NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: So, in 1998, they formed a
22	tenant association, and they took the landlord to
23	court. In court, the judge made a decision in their
24	favor[Speaking Spanish]?

NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: They just gave us the building.

NELSON RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: So we asked for the help-for the help of HPD. HPD came over to our building and then after 14 years, we got ready, we fought-we-we got a certificate. We it to court, and now they have a company and they're trying to take it our--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I see. He had a bad landlord. He took the landlord to court, and they were awarded the building, and then HPD came and they joined into the program.

TRANSLATOR: It looks like the called-they-they asked HPD for help.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I see. Okay.

Thank you. I just want to thank each of you for your testimony. Muchas gracias.

REGINO PECHADO: Thank you very much for letting us testify.

anyone else signed up to testify. This obviously has been a long hearing, but an important one. I agree this—obviously this program has—has been a debacle.

My hope is that—I do take some encouragement, thank

you, by what I heard from HPD. My hope is that I quess the new promises aren't broken like the old promises and we obviously have some questions that we still have to ask. I do agree that these communities where a lot of these buildings are nobody wanted to be in before, and they're primarily low-income and Black and Latino communities. It's important that we recognize that, and it's important that we protect the communities that made New York City what it is. So, I just want to thank everybody for their patience I want to thank the tenants, Palante and Norman Siegel, and the media who helped bring this forth and the leadership of everyone and thank the Public Advocate for—for her report. And I just want to say congratulations to the Council because I think we took a big leadership role here as well in making sure this pushed forward. I was appalled at what I heard back in March. I'm glad we could have an expedited hearing here, and I believe all that together helped push to get HPD to respond, and so I hope the conversation going forward is much better than what I've seen previously. So, and thank you to Norman Siegel. I don't want to forget him, and for

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS the record we have testimony for Brooklyn Law School, and with that, the hearing is now closed. [gavel] 

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 3, 2017