CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

----- X

January 19, 2017 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 1:02 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16th Fl

B E F O R E: MARK TREYGER

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Rosie Mendez

Margaret S. Chin Donovan J. Richards Carlos Menchaca Eric A. Ulrich Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jim Esposito, Deputy Commissioner
NYC Department of Emergency Management, NYCEM

Mitch Stripling, Assistant Commissioner New York City DOHMH

Fred Valani, Chief Planning NYC Fire Department, FDNY

Eli Fresquez, Assistant General Counsel NYC Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities

Christina Farrell, Deputy Commissioner Community Outreach & Emergency Preparedness Education NYC Department of Emergency Management

Margi Trapani Center for Independence for Disabled New York

Molly Krakowski, Director of Legislative Affairs Jewish Association for Services of the Aged, JASA

Pamela Soto
NYC Environmental Justice Alliance

Deborah Greif

Edith Prentiss, Executive VP Legislative Affairs Disabled in Action, DIA 2 [sound check, pause]

1

3 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, good morning. 4 My name is Mark Treyger, and I am the Chair of the 5 Committee on Recovery and Resiliency. We are here 6 today to discuss how the city assists vulnerable populations in emergency evacuations. We'll also 8 hear Intro No. 1155, a Local Law in relation to 9 maintaining a voluntary registry of people who may need evacuation assistance in the event of an 10 11 emergency. A significant number of vulnerable 12 individuals in the City experience greater risk 13 during emergency situations. Super Storm Sandy 14 underscored this problem. There were an estimated 15 75,000 people in poor health living in areas that were inundated by flood waters and an estimated 16 17 54,000 more in communities that lost power. These 18 people faced additional dangers during the storm, as 19 they were less capable of gaining access to needed 20 care. People with a disability may include those 21 that face difficulty during-doing errands alone, 2.2 hearing, performing cognitive functions, walking, for 23 those that face challenges with self-care. 24 Vulnerable populations may include these individuals 25 as well as those who endure unique challenges to

The City Council has also passed several laws to assist vulnerable individuals during emergencies including Local Laws 58, 60 and 62 of 2013. We anticipate that this hearing will provide us with insight into how the City is meeting the requirements of these laws, and its settlement

emergency prevents them from accessing these

necessities safely in their homes.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 6
2	agreements and to discuss how Intro 1155 can help to
3	bolster the City's commitments to providing safety
4	and security to some of its most vulnerable
5	populations. Thank you to those who prepared for
6	today's hearing including Anna Scaife, my Deputy
7	Chief of Staff, Committee Counsel Malaika Jabali and
8	Senior Policy Analyst Bill Murray. The committee
9	looks forward to hearing testimony today [coughs]
10	from New York City Emergency Management and other
11	members of the Administration, as well as advocates.
12	At this time, I'd like to call on the
13	Administration for the first panel, which I think is
14	here. I'd like to recognize my colleagues who are
15	here. The gold star really goes to the Minority
16	Leader Council Member Steve Matteo and as well as
17	Council Member Donovan Richards. I think that's it
18	for now. With that, we'll call-we have Mitch
19	Stripling from New York City DOHMH; Jim Esposito, the
20	Deputy Commissioner for Planning and Preparedness
21	from New York City Emergency Management; Chief Fred
22	Valani, FDYN; and I believe Eli Fresquez, the Mayor's
23	Office for People with Disabilities. Is that right?
24	So, if you could just step-please raise your right

hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

1 COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 7 2 truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony 3 before this committee, and to respond honestly to 4 Council Member questions? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: 6 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. You may 7 begin. 8 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Good 9 after-good morning, Chairperson Treyger and members of the Recovery and Resilience Committee. I'm Jim 10 11 Esposito, Deputy Commissioner, New York City 12 Department of Emergency Management. I'm joined here 13 today by colleagues as you just previously mentioned. 14 I'm pleased to be here to have the opportunity to 15 discuss the work that New York City Emergency 16 Management and our partners have undertaken since 17 Hurricane Sandy to be certain vulnerable populations 18 are aware of and use resource available to them 19 before, during and after emergencies. The specifics 20 and intent of Intro 1155 speak to the concerns both counsel and Administration have on assisting 21 vulnerable populations before, during and after 2.2

emergencies. While it's difficult to assess the

at any given time, MOPD estimates it within one

number of vulnerable individuals living in our city

2.3

24

opted or as a result may not proactively act in their

time for first responders, wasted allocated resources

we have been working to make at least 60 of New York

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

addressing verified current needs. So PECO maybe activated for disasters that significantly disrupt the delivery of essential services primary—primarily electricity to more than 5,000 households for more than 48 hours. So DOHMH will analyze the impact of disasters, existing city vulnerabilities including the location of vulnerable populations known to the city, its mobilization cost and make recommendations on a PECO activation to the Mayor's Office, who will review that recommendation and make a determination to—to activate the PECO effort. So for high-rise evacuations NYCEM has been part of an FDNY led interagency work group that includes DOB, MOPD, NYCHA, subject matter experts and other agencies to develop a work plan to address the evacuation needs of people with disabilities in high-rise buildings. So the NYCEM led Advance Warning System known as AWS is designed to alert organizations who work with people with disabilities and accessing functional needs to various types of hazards and emergencies in New York City that may affect people's independence and daily lives. So participating organizations receive public preparedness and emergency information intended for use by individuals with disabilities and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 coordinates the allocation of transportation

3 resources to meet evacuation requests pre-storm and

4 any relocation or transportation needs in the post-

5 | event period. The scope of the transportation

6 operations branch ranges from assessing

7 transportation resource needs and executing contracts

8 for more resources or requesting mutual assistance

9 directing intake and managing requests from

10 healthcare facilities. So the branch also has the

11 ability to monitor-monitor HEO operations and

12 dispatch field supervision support for transportation

13 miss-missions.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

New York City Citizen Corps. It's run through New York City Emergency Management, and has continued to engage community-based organizations around readiness and emergency preparedness and planning for people with disabilities, and holds an annual symposium for agency planners, advocates and other stakeholders to discuss important emergency operations and plans with respect to planning for people with disabilities.

The Ready New York Campaign—Campaign is focusing on people with disabilities and accessing functional needs, and will participate again in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York Metro Abilities Expo in April where an estimated 6,000 people with disabilities, their families and caregivers will attend. In partnership with City Council and the Office of Recovery and Resiliency, a report will soon be released with recommendations for how community organizations including those working with vulnerable pops can better be prepared for future disasters. We have also just launched a community-based planning toolkit, which includes resources and guidance for community organizations to integrate the needs of people with disabilities into their planning. urge all council members to use this toolkit to enhance and develop community planning with their districts. We have copies here, and we're happy to provide the Council.

So these are merely some of the examples of the many ways in which NYCEM is working to address the needs of people with disabilities and other—others with access and functional needs during disasters. There are many other agencies throughout the city doing similar work. For example, the Department of the Aging and the Department of Social Searches—Services. They have a built-in system for

2 checkings on known at-risk pops via their case

3 management programs. So in sum, we agree with the

4 Council that the needs of the vulnerable are a

5 paramount priority and hope that our testimony today

6 attests to all the positive work we have undertaken

7 | over the last four years to correct after action

8 items from previous coastal storms and evacuations.

9 So on behalf of the panel here, thank you for your

10 interest and continued support of the work that we're

11 doing in Emergency Management and the partnerships

12 | that we have built. So, Councilman Treyger, we're

13 now happy to take any questions that you or the

14 | Council might have.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Than you very much, and just to note, we've also been joined by Council Member Margaret Chin. I just want to begin by noting what prompted this legislation. It was through personal experience what I witnessed and saw first hand in my district particularly in Coney Island but in the Brighton Beach sections where residents in high-rise buildings were really stranded and stuck

for quite some time without power, and relief

organizations that arrived in our community days

after the storm set up shop. For example, one of

2 them set up a station at MCU Park in the parking lot 3 where the Cyclones play, but we asked them how do we get water and supplied to people living on the 20th 4 floor of buildings that have no power and no lights. Some suggested well, you know, use your legs, and you 6 7 carry it up there. So, look, I-I volunteered to the 8 best of my ability and-and many young kids, teenagers, thank goodness for them, were handed flashlights and went 20 flights of stairs, but it was 10 11 a guessing game of who was in this building, who 12 needed help. If-if somebody didn't open their door, we had no idea who needed assistance. There were 13 people who were—who were in need of life saving 14 15 equipment who had no power. There were people who 16 needed water. There people who were hungry. People 17 who needed medicine, and it was-it was just complete 18 chaos, and-and then when I shared that story and 19 heard others in my community share the same or 20 similar stories with other members from other 21 communities, it's something very--we heard a common 2.2 theme that there was just no organization to this. 2.3 It was a complete guessing game of how to figure out who needs help, who's where, who's what. And so this 24 25 is-this is simply-this-this legislation was just to

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 19
2	really drive home a discussion and an examination of
3	finding a-a better more organized and responsible way
4	to assist people in need. Now, simultaneously there
5	was a lawsuit settlement where the City of New York
6	had to basically agree on a certain set of-of
7	deliverables. It's my understanding that there were
8	about over 100 deliverables that the City had agreed
9	to. Out of that 100, how many have been delivered?
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Well,
11	quite a number. Basically, they're broken down to
12	the six buckets that I mentioned in my testimony. We
13	agree, Council, that, you know, the spirit of wanting
14	to help the vulnerable population is our priority as
15	it is your priority. We're looking at a multi-
16	faceted approach, and one of the items involved in
17	that approach is, as I previously mentioned, this
18	post-emergency canvassing operation. There was gap
19	after Sandy, and this post-emergency canvassing
20	operation right now will consist of city staff and
21	volunteers who will go door-to-door to survey
22	populations in the event of a disaster determined
23	critical needs, those folks who need food, who need
24	water, who need meds, supplies even evacuation

assistance, and provide the necessary referrals to

Emergency Management Planner I do. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And what type of information will you gather from the canvassing operation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: It will consist of a number of items specific to the needs of the individual, food, water, medicine, supplies. We will find out, you know, what the specific need is of the vulnerable who cannot—who does not have family, who does not have neighbors who can assist. We're going to be using city staff. We'll be using volunteers to manage the transfer of that data, and it will be passed along to those agencies and those folks.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Will that include whether the person faces this—has a disability or not or does that data—-?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, that will capture information required to appropriately provide that individual with--

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing] And- and what happens with this data?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: I'm going to have to defer to DOHMH, but I do know it is a data

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

2 set that is electronically being captured, and it's

3 being acted upon.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So if I may, what exactly is the difference between what this canvassing operation seeks to accomplish and the purpose of—of the legislation? Because that's exactly what my—

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO:

[interposing] Well, the legislation is asking fro a list owned by the City, and we, as I said before, have done many, many things in the last several years to provide for the needs of the vulnerable population. We do not believe a master list, which is a snapshot in time, which is a—a static item essentially.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But—but—but I respectfully just ask your—this canvassing operation could be a static item, too. I mean if you canvass somebody, and you speak to somebody, and you take down their information and—and God forbid something—the pass away the following month, isn't that—isn't—isn't that data also subject to changes and subject to things happening and—-?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Well,

we're meeting—we're looking to meet immediate need as

a result of a disaster.

I'm not sure on the differences between what we're trying to accomplish and what this canvassing operation will seek to accomplish. Let me also go back to the number of shelters currently that are accessible to people with disabilities. In the agreement it stated that you would have I think 60 shelters that are just for people with disabilities, and I believe that there was supposed to be 30 by the end of this month. How many are currently accessible to people with disabilities?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: I don't have that current information. Does MOPD? Are you aware? I—I believe we're around the 20 mark right now. We're working diligently with all of our agency partners to make these evacuation centers and colocated shelters accessible to people with disabilities.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So this is a major area of concern because you stated in your testimony that there's approximately one million people in New

2.2

2.3

York City that would fit in the category of facing a disability or vulnerable, and to hear that there's only 18 or 20 or so shelters that are accessible to

those individuals, that's very concerning.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: But you have to understand that there are different types of disabilities, and not—you know, we're not looking at the totality of the vulnerable population needing this type of evacuation assistance and/or shelters because not everybody will live in the impacted zone. This is something that is scalable certainly, and it is incident-specific.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Do you have data how many are in the impacted zones?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: We do have data. I don't have it available right here.

that, that information. I could tell you my district pretty much is all of it in the impacted zone, and we had tremendous number of seniors and people with disabilities stuck, stranded and had difficulty evacuating during and after the storm. So, I mean I'm pretty sure that a good chunk of my district is—is in—is in that data, but describe—tell me these

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

2 shelters? What type of facilities are these

3 shelters?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Well, the evacuation centers with their associated shelters are essentially DOE public school facilities.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So isn't that already a major problem that we have. DOE public schools that are not accessible to people with disabilities, and I would go a step further and-and say that these probably the same sites where people go to vote, and they don't have access as-as well. So this is—this is really a—a—a layered problem that during emergencies people have difficulty entering these facilities. During school people with disabilities have difficulty entering these facilities, and their parents want to be involved in the school, and I guess they can't and people want to vote. So this is a real problem, and I-I think that the city in its capital plan needs to focus on this, and this is something we-we have to bring up as well with the Mayor's Office. I mean this is a majormajor issue. Speaking of other barriers, one thing that I noticed in my district as well, and I'm sure it's relevant to other members, too, is the issue of

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, we have it accessible right now in over 16—over 14 different languages as well as ASL. So, you know, we're working hard to increase that as well, and a point that you previously made about these schools, you know, I'll defer to MPD, but we have been working tirelessly to address all of your concerns

Councilman. So trust me in that this number of 60 will be met, and we're working diligently with the plaintiffs and the courts to ensure that fact.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, well, but it's going to require making these structures accessible--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: We understand.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: --and that's going 3 to require capital money.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: And it—it has been allocated, Councilman.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: This is—this is a two-year-- I'm sorry. [background comments] Yeah, well, staff has provided me with-with some data that there were an estimated 75,000 people in poor health living in areas that were inundated by the flood waters. So, and about 54,000 people who the communities that lost power. So this is-that's an infinite amount of people, but I'm just-the point I was making is that in order to make a school accessible to people with disabilities, you have to build structures. You have to build those ramps. You have to do—you have to do things that requires capital commitment, and I'm not sure how-did they brief you on that? Have you briefed the DOE on that or briefed the Mayor's Office on that? This is very important stuff.

ELI FRESQUEZ: Chairperson Treyger, my name is Eli Fresquez. I'm with the Mayor's Office of People with Disabilities.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ELI FRESQUEZ: Thank you for having me 3 today.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Sure.

ELI FRESQUEZ: So I've been working very closely with the New York City Emergency Management particularly on some of the evacuation centers and the associated shelters. We have approximately 400 shelters throughout the city. We have 64 evacuation We've been working on trying to make these more accessible for everyone, and it's-it's a big effort like you had mentioned. So we're working very closely with the Department of Education on this plan to make all the evacuation centers accessible. We've also been working with School Construction Authority very closely, and—and as you mentioned, it's a—it's challenge because you have to go in, and you have to do some construction work for the vast majority of the schools, many of whom are—are quite old. date before the Americans with Disabilities Act. it's a lot of retrofitting. It's a lot of going back, and making sure that ramps are being placed in restrooms, making sure that ramps are placed in the front of a-of a school to make it fully accessible. It's-it's a challenging process. We've worked with

11

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

an outside vendor that comes in and actually does a

very exhaustive survey where they review the

congregate spaces that we will be using in the

shelter. For example a cafeteria or a gymnasium, and

also the restrooms. Once we get that—that survey of—

of potential barriers, we then review that with our

8 working group. Again the Department of Education

School Construction Authority, the Mayor's Office of

10 People with Disabilities and New York City Emergency

Management, and then we go and actually do the work

12 and the Department of Education will go onto the

13 site, and—and do the—the remediation if it's needed.

14 | It's-it's a challenging process, but as Deputy

15 Commissioner Esposito mentioned, we are on our way to

16 make all of our evacuation zones a success.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But this decision is about two years old. So this is not like a relatively new challenge. This has been around now for—for quite some time. What—what are the—what is the roadblock right now? The City in the past two years has increased spending by billions of dollars. This I know.

ELI FRESQUEZ: I-I agree. We're-we have

25 all sorts of--

2 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing] So—so
3 you can't say it's a money issue because you have
4 money to -you-you spend money.

ELI FRESQUEZ: Right.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: What is the

7 roadblock?

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

ELI FRESQUEZ: So the ADA was passed in It's been around for 26 years now, 27, and so I-I share your frustration that we would have liked for many schools to have built in compliance with the ADA when they were first—when they were constructed after the—the ADA was passed. So it's—it's—I share your frustrations trying to go back. You know, some of the challenges that we have found is the Department of Education is massive, and they have to allocate contractors and they only have a certain number of contractors often that can do certain work. So, it's-it's been a learning experience and an evolving experience. We started off slow and we have ramped up, and we're starting to move much quicker. The number of accessible schools is increasing as—as we work out the surveying process, and the remediation process.

2.2

2.3

end this point by saying that this is not—this was not a suggestion. This—this is a legal requirement. We have to do this. We are—the City of New York is not in compliance with a number of—of—of mandates, and that's a problem. And as I mentioned, this is a problem that—that reverberates beyond certainly safety is our—our main goal, but I'm sure that if there are parents with disabilities, they want to come see their—speak to teachers in that school, or if there are people who want to go vote, and it—it presents a lot of challenges and problems here.

Another area that was agreed upon was the creation of a high-rise building evacuation task force. Can you tell me about—has that task force been created? Has—has it met?

CHIEF VALANI: So that's actually a twopart piece. The high-rise task force was made up of
multiple city agencies as well as subject matter
experts from the plaintiffs and other agencies in
cooperation met numerous times over the course of a
year to develop a—a group of recommendations. Those
recommendations are then passed off to an
implementation committee that examines the

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 32
2	recommendations, makes decisions on which are
3	achievable and realistic, and then moves to start to-
4	to make those recommendations into reality. The
5	High-Rise task force completed its work I believe
6	February of 2016. The Implementation Committee is
7	only a few months old. It is made up of sub-work
8	groups into different areas, and I don't have a
9	deadline for those deliverables yet, but we have not-
10	we're not even close to there yet.
11	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So this task force
12	has not really been created?
13	CHIEF VALANI: The task force itself has
14	been created and disbanded. The task force developed
15	recommendations that were then passed off to
16	something called the
17	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing] Which
18	agencies
19	CHIEF VALANI:the Information
20	Committee.
21	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER:which agencies
22	were a part of that?
23	CHIEF VALANI: The Fire Department,
24	Emergency Management, Department of Buildings, NYCHA-

agency task force, but how does that reach the

2.2

2.3

community level? How can the community be engaged to
provide feedback to this group?

ELI FRESQUEZ: In the Implementation

Committee we will be engaging with the community and in the recommendation committee that Chief Valani just spoke about, we did have plaintiff's expert as part of that committee. So we did have a broad representation of the vulnerable population's viewpoint with respect to high-rise evacuation.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But were these folks victims—did they go through Super Storm Sandy because this is kind of—we want that data. We want that information. People who—who lived through that to be able to speak to the government directly and say, this is what happened. This is what—this what might, this is what didn't happen, and this is what should happen.

ELI FRESQUEZ: Yeah, we have Disability

Advisory Community panel that NYCEM hosts, and we do

have representation on that committee.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right. I—I just thank it's important that we close the gaps that we reach those communities that were directly impacted as well. Certainly I—I think it's great to have

vulnerable community, what the needs of the

2 | vulnerable population would be at a time of the

3 disaster. A list owned by a government is

4 problematic in itself. Expert witnesses have

5 testified against it, and after examining this list,

6 and its functionality, it's—the ability to

7 operationalize it we believe that at this point in

8 | time in New York City the best way and the best

9 approach is what we had previously-

10 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARD: [interposing]

11 | Okay. So let's move away from the voluntary

12 | conversation. So obviously the city-city-owned

13 | buildings in particular, HPD has buildings in the

14 city. You have NYCHA housing. You have Mitchell-

15 | Lamas, right? So I'm interested in know why would it

16 be difficult to track individuals especially if you-

17 | if they-if they have to renew their leases, they're

18 | already within the city system. I would assume the

19 | city would know if there are disabled people living

20 | in units already, right? So I'm interested in

21 | hearing a little bit more about do you know at this

22 moment in case of an emergency if I pointed to one of

23 | my public housing developments, if I pointed to an

24 | HPD owned building, would you know how many people

25 are disabled within those particular buildings now,

talking pre-incident.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Right.

3 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: This is

4 very, very important. This community based--

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Uh-huh.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: --

planning. This is reaching out beforehand through all of the, you know, factors and all of the organizations that I'm about to go through just for your information. I mean this is extensive.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: You know, we have—at the top we have, of course, the Mayor's Press Conferences, the Press Office, New York City Emergency Management and Joint Information sector. We make elected calls. We have multiple city agency contracts. We have social media outlets. We have access and functional needs working groups. We have volunteer organizations active in disaster. We have Notify NYC, which is a—you know, after 10 years we only 500,000 registrants. So we could use the Council's support to increase this number dramatically across the city. This way we can get out emergent information to people in need before disasters occur. We have our AWS that we had

call takers. They received training in, you know, communicating with the vulnerable pops, and we have a whole homebound evacuation operation that we can rely on for those people who cannot evacuate themselves in a—what we would call a blue sky environment before the incident should happen, you know.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]
So I'm—okay so I'm very happy to hear that, and
obviously we know you were working towards those
changes after the council press from 2013 on. But
I'm interested in knowing post-storm now what does

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that look like. So individuals decide not to

3 evacuate. Telecommunications is down, salt water has

4 | impeded-I mean encroached on our water lines-our

5 | telecommunication lines. Do you know where our most

6 | vulnerable are at in that situation?

CHIEF VALANI: So if we're talking about post-emergency that's where PECO and the Post-Emergency canvassing operation comes in. It's a systematic door-to-door canvass of all residents in the affected areas to assess their need. Not necessarily everyone is going to be in need of city assistance to either evacuate the building or transport themselves to an evacuation center, or some other location as they would choose to evacuate to. We know that we had people who made decisions not to evacuate particularly in-in-in advance of Sandy that after the storm realized that their conditions were just untenable, and at that point wanted to evacuate and there were a host of-of difficulties in-in identifying those people, how we were going to get them out of the building, and how they were going to be transported to-to an evacuation shelter or to some place else where they gain transportation to some place else to go rather than a shelter. We believe

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 41
2	that the-and I won't talk about the entire PECO I
3	think because that's really a Department of Health
4	thing. We support it by providing the Fire
5	Department's Incident Management team, and helping to
6	manage the activities of PECO rather relying on a
7	static list of people that at a point in time
8	identified themselves as vulnerable, actually goes
9	door-to-door to-to assess who at this moment is
10	vulnerable. I may live on the 15 th floor of—of a
11	high-rise building, and don't consider myself
12	vulnerable in any way until perhaps the elevator goes
13	out, and I have not water and I have no heat, and I
14	find it difficult to make it down 15 flights of
15	stair. So I didn't make myself known on a list. The
16	PECO operation will now identify my need, assist me
17	in—in transporting me out of the building or the Fire
18	Department is working with the Emergency Management
19	now to develop a post-emergency transportation plan
20	that didn't exist at Sandy that would identify our
21	transportation resources and staffing to help
22	actually carry if necessary people out of the
23	building to an appropriate type of vehicle that could
24	transport them to an evacuation shelter.

2.2

2.3

ask—so how has communication been with building owners in particular? Are they linked into this conversation at all because I would assume even for those not in—non-city-owned buildings that there is management in some of these buildings. So how is the city coordinating in advance ways to communicate with building owners who own properties especially high—rises in our communities.

active partner during the High-Rise Task Force meetings. There was a subgroup that worked on other residents that met with representatives of building management organizations to talk about ways that we can improve information flow to them, and as part of the Implementation Committee once that gets a little further along, we'll be engaging a host of organizations that—that represent not just residential high-rises but all high-rises.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Uh-huh, and then PECO, so obviously we haven't had a storm of the magnitude of—of Sandy. What preparations—are there drills going on in local communities? Is there practice or—-?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: [off mic]

We're going to go to adjust for this. (sic)

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And how many

staff PECO? Is that the—the organizations you—you

spoke of 5,000—I think you said 5,000 people. So who—

who is a part of PECO.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: So Mitch Stripling, Assistant Commissioner of Health Department. I can speak to some of that. So PECO has been a---a program since 2013 after Sandy. We've had drills and exercises each year. So we do partner with building management for those drills. done them in Harlem. We've done them in-in the Rockaways and other places. We have about 30,000 city staff on a-a list for PECO who have been notified of their role of that. We've trained about 2,000 people, and we've trained 900 people in the Health Department in the PECO leadership positions. So the Health Department itself would stand up and then run the-the teams of canvassers that would go out, the places that canvasses muster to go out. So we've conducted those trainings and exercises, and between now and August of 2017, we'll be having a number of drills including setting up a canvassing

4 Deputy Commissioner--

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing] And how do we find out about these particular drills?

run using the-the tablets and data systems that-that

44

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Well, we've been working with the Mayor's Office on-on those things. I think we're-we're happy to notify the-we-we have notified a couple of council members when they happen in their districts, but I don't think it's been a general notification.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay, so we would appreciate that, and then my last question is on the AWS. So this organization I think is tasked with working with seniors and people in local communities. Interested in knowing what has outreach looked like with AWS? Are you hitting senior seniors and—and if not, you know, we—you should utilize us because many of us have a direct connections with centers in our districts, and perhaps the thought should be to do some sort of outreach events in advance of storm season. And I haven't seen any of this so I'm just unsure of what's going on. So, you know, please utilize our offices to-to at least do

some outreach, and we would be willing to be helpful with that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, as I mentioned before, AWS we hit over a thousand organizations and, you know, leading up an event such as a coastal storm we do make elected calls, and we are pushing out information. You know Emergency Management along with all city agencies we start monitoring these things about a week before it's coming up the coast, and we watch this daily. We're in conference with NOAA, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Weather reporting service, and we carefully, you know, target areas that would be affected, and collective calls go out throughout the entire city. We essentially are communicating with 43 city agencies, and an equal number of private stakeholders and organizations as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: No, we appreciate that, and I think under the last several threatening storms that we saw possibly that we thought could have hit our coast. You've really stepped up communication, and we appreciate that.

I'll just end by saying I still don't see a reason we couldn't figure out a more laser focused strategy

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 around NYCHA housing especially city-owned sites

3 because that information has to be accessible to the

4 city. It's your buildings, and you should know in

5 each unit you have a person disabled, respiratory

6 issues, breathing issues. You know, there's just no

7 excuse I think when it's a city-owned building.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I know it's hard to gauge a city of eight million people, but where we have data, it's no reason we should not be able to com—to comprise that information and be able to utilize it in advance in post—storm. [background comments]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: [off mic] So—so the Health Department me. So the Health Department and NYCHA have a partnership that's—
[banging] Oh, thank you. [on mic] Thank you—an implemented partnership where now if power is—is lost in a NYCHA facility part of the PECO program is the construction of the data warehouse where data like that about residents can be—can be put into that for analysis. So NYCHA has done extensive analysis of their residents, and so the Health Department and NYCHA jointly committed that they would do canvassing

1 COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 47
2 operations that were based on that resident data as
3 you describe based on the significant information

NYCHA does have about its residents.

2.2

2.3

council Member Richards: I will say—oh, so I just had a power outage in one of my developments last week, and it—I know it's touchy because it just turned into a public—private partnership, and I want to thank OEM. OEM was on the ground, they helped, but we didn't see any canvasses. There was no strategy around that. We went door—to—door ourselves. So I'm just putting out there to say that in the future, if PECO really does exist—or I'm not saying it doesn't—that there needs to be better coordination and council Member should know who to contact at PECO, and like I said, my staff and I went door—to—door ourselves last week, 1,400 units. No electricity. So just putting that out there

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: We—we got it up and running within 24 hours, but I think we were around 48 or 24 to 48 hours people were without

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING:

electricity. So just putting that out there. No one

came and knocked doors with us.

was the outcome?

the PECO to be notified.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

48

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: We definitely were heading toward 48 hours. So, okay. No problem. We-we got generators--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: [interposing] And that's to alleviate the problem. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: --but just-but we should be able to coordinate.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: It is-and I-I thank the Council Member.

> COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No, that's great points and questions. Does this extend to boilers or just power? Because my first year in office just like my colleague, I spent-my office and I spent quite a bit of time canvassing on NYCHA buildings that had no power because of temporary-post-Sandy, and one of the things that we saw was that there was a tremendous language barrier between the information

2 that NYCHA was putting out, and the people that 3 didn't speak English, and so my staff-I speak 4 Russian. My staff speaks Chinese. We have to translate information, and again I-I-I don't mind 5 helping or assisting in that effort, but we should be 6 7 better prepared as well. So I-I didn't see all these 8 great things in action. I-we had a kind of do it on the fly. And I just-I just want to just-and my colleague has-Council Member Chin has a question as 10 11 well. I just want to say that the people that 12 testified against the registries I believe were-many 13 of them were testifying against mandatory registries. This is a voluntary registry. I-I completely 14 15 understand respect their valid privacy and I fully 16 accept that, and I understand that. But these are 17 folks who choose to say that in the event of an 18 emergency I-I need-I need help just like you're 19 saying that they can call 311 and request 20 transportation. I'm sure that data is kept 21 somewhere. I'm sure that you track who you're 2.2 sending a vehicle to. So I-I just think that if-if 2.3 there is a better way that-that the Admin knows of then share with us. But we're trying to create a 24 system that is not just simply shooting in the dark 25

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 because of all the things I've heard—I read some

3 really nice-nice things, I still don't see a

4 centralized, organized way to reach as many people as

5 possible in the event of an emergency. And so I

6 guess we're open to suggestions here, too, but the

7 status quo is just not acceptable. My colleague

Council Member Margaret Chin has questions.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Council Member, can I just address that one second. I just want to bring to your attention that we have benchmarked what goes on across the country and, you know, with Intro 1155 and the great intent it has we found one major city who actually has a voluntary registry, and that is the city of Chicago. The city of Chicago has 27 million people and that voluntary registry has on it today 300 plus people. Voluntary Registry system that we see operating in Chicago is more of an academic exercise than anything else. It is not operational. It's not functional, and we believe once again that the multi-faceted system that we have in place now is probably the best way to go. I hear what you're talking about. respect what DOHMH is currently doing with data set. We look to-we are looking forward in the future as

2.2

2.3

technology develops and we can integrate that into different systems we have available in the city to use that information to be more precise to target people who are, in fact, vulnerable, but one of the biggest components of our program right now is this enhanced outreach that we're using, and all of the efforts and organizations that we have to spread this message pre-incident because evacuations occur before the incident happens, and after the incident happens. During the incident, there are no evacuations. There are simply rescues that take place. So this is a distinction that you need to know.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and—but the point I'm making is that when we had to go up 23 flights of stairs—

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO:

18 [interposing] Understood.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: --it is extremely cumbersome even for a young person to just walk around with a flashlight, and try to figure out—and there's many apartments on each floor, and to knock on each and everyone of them, and to go up—up and down this—and carry heavy things. There's got to be a better way.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Correct.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: So we would collect it and use it to meet those needs. You know, we would not consider-that's a secure data set. We're collecting personal health information on thaton that data in a lot of cases because we need medical conditions for doctors and-and medication.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But the residentcan the resident decline to give you information?

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: The resident can decline it, but the resident is giving it under emergency purposes, and that means the city—the city can't—couldn't then convert it into a permanent registry because there are different aspects of privacy.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So let me ask you a question. So let's say you—you have PECO going on. You have this canvassing operation happening for a power—a major power outage right, and then heave forbid a—a hurricane hits that region the following month.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You're telling me
that nothing from that PECO information can be used
to help people post that hurricane?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: So what—what our—what we are—what we're doing with NYCHA and others is getting information that we do have on residents in the city for—for kind of general priorities and targeting. So that information might be able to be aggregated and used to say okay, we think there are concentrations of folks with disabilities in—in this building versus this other

of that?

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Um, yeah, well, there's a survey, and they're told at the beginning of the survey we're collecting this information electronically in order to provide you services in an emergency.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And is that survey in different languages or it's only in English?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Um, it is different languages. It's been translated into I think about half of the languages AWS is in. The rest will be there soon.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Do you have a copy of that survey? Because I—we would like to see it.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Sure.

It—it is under revision right now in advance of this summer season. So the question is we—we would recommend looking at it later in the year before crystal storm season but—but we certainly do have copies of it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And—and at minimum have you even asked NYCHA and the city properties to amend their emergency plans for their buildings? You know, if you go back to why each city building has a fire plan, that is because of crisis in the past. We

I think we all have the same questions. It's like-

PECO. So when you say you go out and canvass right

after emergency, what's the time frame? 24 hours or-

and this is the first time that I'm hearing about

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

56

24

or more?

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: The—the mobilization guidelines for MSY (sic) and so I think in an earlier question the councilman had. So the—the way PECO is written, if it looks like there is a—a disaster that's going to impact 5,000 ore more households—a big impact, and they're going to be without central services. So power or heat or water for—for 48 hours or more. That's when the—the PECO sort of mobilization starts.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: But what—what's the—the timeframe that they do. Like—like for example if it was another Sandy, you're going to be out there starting right after the storm stop?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: We're-we're not. I mean I got-our mobilization-so after Sandy it took the-the-the canvassing operations the city do eventually started I think nine or ten days after the storm.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: And—and right now, we've got it down to—to 48 hours. So we're expecting to be out knocking on doors about—about 48 hours post—storm, and there are two main

electronic communications.

2.2

2.3

reasons for that. One is that there are critical life safety operations that need to be completed by the Fire Department and others in the initial hours, and—and canvassing has to go sort of after those operations, and the others essentially just the—the logistics in a massive incident. If we're talking about whether you're 45 or 50,000 people, it's—there is just a lot of logistics to get those people in place assuming no transportation systems or—or

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I mean the—the—the problem that—I mean what we've learned from Sandy is that a lot of people do not evacuate because what happened during Hurricane Irene for the NYCHA development in my district that was being affected we knocked on doors and we got like 90% of us and actually relocated to their families and all of this. But then when Super Storm Sandy came, a lot of people didn't. They said, well, you know, the last time we didn't really have to leave. This time we're not leaving, and that's when the problems started, but like we didn't have information of where the vulnerable population is, you know, where are the seniors. Where are the people with disability? It

took a lot of wasted time, right? So in terms of NYCHA, I think they now have sort of put together some kind of list where they know, you know, where the seniors are where the people who need help and that—that helps because who's going to be out there are really the volunteers. The residents they're helping each other, and some of them they know their neighbors, and they-they can offer the help. some buildings they don't know. So in terms of thethe registry, it's like even for NYCHA, Mitchell-Lama or the private buildings, it really important for everyone to kind of know who your neighbor that might need help because often time it's your neighbor who's going to be there with some volunteer to sort of get the emergency rescue going before the Fire Department or alerting the Fire Department or alerting, you know, alerting the NYPD. So somehow-I mean that's where we're coming from. We want something to really be helpful. So that people who are in the neighborhood in the building volunteers, elected officials at least we could start doing something to help people who are in need. So that's the purpose that we're pushing for this voluntary registry. We-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

we should be encouraging private building and public building to really have that information available.

peputy commissioner esposito: I—I hear you, Councilwoman Chin. As I previously had mentioned through our Ready New York Campaign we're focusing on people with disabilities and, in fact, we just developed a community-based planning toolkit where we can include the vulnerable populations in all of these emergency preparedness plans and efforts. We don't want it today to look what it looked during Sandy.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing] No we don't.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: We want it to look much better. We are pushing information out. We are heavily engaged in all of our city agencies, organizations, our projects, our volunteer organizations, and I think we're in a much better place today with the approach that we were taking in simply to rely on once again a snapshot in the past in time. I—we don't like the list for all the reasons that we have identified. I think as we look forward, I think everything that we put in place, the technological advances that we're making, the

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yeah, we don't want-look, I don't think any one of us wants-wants what happened back then.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I mean it was because of a lot of the agencies that serve seniors. They were the ones that's doing the home delivered meals. Everybody went into action.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Uh-huh.

really important, and looking at one of the questions that was—the chair asked earlier in terms of the shelter, I mean the shelter that I have in my district the evacuation center was the Seward Park High School Complex. It wasn't accessible, and the facility was horrible, right, even though electricity went out, and they had to rely on generator and senior had to be relocated. It—it was just a nightmare, and it looks like all of the Lower Manhattan in my district that was the emergency shelter, and that should not be. So I think that's

We need to work on that.

2.2

2.3

something that we—going forward we will need to look at, you know, where else can we—what other space can we utilize that's a little bit better than what we have in these high schools where the bathrooms are not accessible, where the entrance are not accessible. I mean we had to literally help push a senior up in a wheelchair by putting a—a piece of board there. I mean that was after Hurricane Irene. We had the same situation. So we really need to kind of focus on the evacuation center, and the emergency shelter, all the preparedness that we're doing now.

FII FRESQUEZ: Can I talk to this. Eli
Fresauez from the Mayor's Office for People with
Disabilities. Just to speak on that point real
quickly about Seward Park. You know there are a
number of evacuation centers that we have looked at
the entire map. We've done the map, and we've said
okay, we know there are issues here and here, and so
we've switched out a number of evacuation centers for
more accessible evacuation centers as we do this
survey process of looking at all the barriers. So
it's a-it's an evolving process, and so for example
that location has been switched out with a-a more-a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

newer school that is much more accessible. getting back also to the-the part about the list. We've reached out to some members of the disability community, and our sense is and what they've told us is that they don't want to be on a list. There's a lot of reasons why. There's the stigma attached to being on a list. Many people may be undocumented that have a relationship with government that they're-they're afraid of being on a list especially with all the things happening. There could be people who are—who are domestic violence who don't want to be on a list for fears, you know, obvious fears andand so you take these all in totality, and—and you see that what emergency management and city partners are doing is really inclusive emergency management. They're trying to reach out in the community, and do individualized preparation plans for people. A list is—is so generic, and it has so many potentials for being-for-for not having the correct information that, you know, as everyone, as our partners have mentioned, its-its utility is really limited. Instead, we would rather focus on community engagement, individualized preparedness, community preparedness, and-and so, you know, again our-our

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

64

2 engagement with the community has shown they really-

3 they don't—they don't see the effectiveness of these

4 bills.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Well, I-I don't want to continue to debate, but I think that as council members we want to work with you to do the best for our district, and that's why we need to hear more about what you're doing. I mean I-I don't want to just get boxes of ready New York, you know, pamphlets and for us to distribute. It's got to be more than that, right. So all the programs that you are talking about, you know, in your testimony how do you engage us in our office so that we can also work together with the non-profits, with the organization in our community, and to really have a partnership effort, and just, you know, wait until a hearing, and then we hear about all your ideas and it's because we have a legislation and that's what we're getting, the information. There's got to be a better way of working together.

ELI FRESQUEZ: We would love to show at your community board or a council district meetings, and do a presentation a show and tell, and engage

2.2

2.3

you, engage your community. We would be happy to do
that.

I think it's more just that. It's like we need to be included in your planning process. You know, the—the thinking is that you're doing I mean even just your highlight task force. I have a little high—rise in my office—in my district. This is the first time

I've heard about that. So communication, you know, information has got to flow both ways. We want to be helpful. So let's do that. Let's work together, and give us the information, and so that we can really work together with you. Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Sure. Thank you,

Council Member, and just to point out that those

folks that probably need the meeting the most,

probably can't make the meeting for a variety of

reasons, and just to speak to the-your-your comments

about why people are concerned to be on a list, it's

voluntary. No one is forcing people to be on a list,

and Kideson (sp?) has the program, voluntary that if

somebody has equipment in their home that is designed

to help maintain and sustain life, they inform them

of that. In the event of an outage, they could

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expedite the recovery of that power. That's not done to create a stigma. That's not done for the person to say well I have a certain condition and-but I'm embarrassed to-to say. No, you should it. In fact, you don't have to. You should because we want you to be a live in the event of an outage. This is not about stigmatizing people. It's about empowering them for them to make that choice, for them to make that decision if they choose, to say I want to be found and helped and assisted in the event of an emergency. Because what I went through and saw in my district, what my colleagues went through was unacceptable. It was unacceptable. In my district thank God for 15, 16-year-old kids. They're able to go to multiple buildings 20 flights of stairs, a shift. It wasn't FEMA. It wasn't the Red Cross. Ιt was volunteers on the ground that were-that was doing this work, and I-I just-there's got to be a better way. My colleague Council Member Menchaca has questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you,

Chair. Let me—let me actually just start with that

last point that Chair Treyger pointed to this concept

of stigma. I think—I think the intention is—is what

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, that's good. So that's important, and I'm sure you probably told them that that you don't-you don't capture data, but this is a bigger conversation that we're going to be having in the city about documents and—and databases, and so I want to make sure that we all have that conversation together because your—your—your analysis right now is—is that the databases don't work. So let's just drill down on that. I

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think your-the testimony presented today pointed to, and you said it over and over again, but I just want to say it again that these registries are as only useful as the quality and the timeliness of your information, and they essentially are a waste of time for first responders because information is-goes stale almost immediately, and the only response we're getting here is that you're saying well we want to-we want to create preparedness in families-direct-direct preparedness in families. Yet, the only thing that I-I see and what you've presented is that you want to come to community board meetings and you want to come to Council meetings. You want to have these larger conversations, but-but what both approaches are missing are—are continued culture change, which means that that's a-that's a really intensive people thing, which means that people need to be on a weekly basis attended to. Checked in to make sure their backpack has everything that they need. The-the \$1,500, \$200 that they stashed in that backpack because it's part of their to-go thing probably was used because they needed the emergency situation, and then next week it's not in there, and it's not going to come back unless someone comes back and says how's your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

backpack doing? And so I think this is what we're trying to do, and this-this-this voluntary is a step, but I-I see it as whole other culture shift from the agencies itself that really are going to require a lot more people engagement at the neighbor level, and Council Member Chin is absolutely right. It's the neighbors that are going to activate first, and it's the neighbors that are going to know, or at least have the opportunity to know their neighbors, and who-who just came in, who just moved in. city people are moving constantly because of economic forces one, and two just because that's just the nature of the city, the transient city. People are coming in and out, and what you're doing right now is just not going to reach those people. Either they're not going to be able to come into these meetings because they don't know about it, don't have a relationship with their-with their local leadership. They just moved in, and so I-I-I really do feel like this an opportunity to address that, and know that the resources, the intense resources needed for-for this culture shift is going to be more than you are already doing today. And this voluntary list might be an opportunity for local organizations, and local2 local infrastructure, people, neighbors to be able to

3 engage and—and interact. So that's my next question.

4 The-the bill itself asks for a voluntary database.

5 How do you see if we move forward, right against your

6 wishes, and we hear it, but if we move forward with

7 this bill and--and force a-a mandatory, voluntary

8 list because we're going to be mandating it by law,

9 to create a voluntary list, how-how do you see that.

10 Let's go there. How do you see that engaging with

11 communities like ours at the district level, at the

12 community board levels at the CERT levels? How-how

13 do you see that functioning?

me address a couple of the issues that were brought to the attention here. OSE customers it's not a very big list relatively speaking when you're looking at the MOPD suggestion that we have over one million people on this government owned list. We're talking apples and oranges here. Okay, upkeep, maintenance, accuracy, and once again, I get back to the city of Chicago where we have 27 million people, and maybe 300 people-- [background comments] Oh, 2.7 million people. Alright, 2.7 million people. I'm corrected

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 71 2 here, and maybe 300 people on a registry, a voluntary 3 registry, and--4 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] But what does that take, sir, if I can ask you? 5 does that mean to you? What-what are you 6 7 extrapolating? 8 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: 9 I'm-I'm-I'm looking at operationalizeoperationalizing this list with first responders. 10 11 Okay. So based on my strategic view of my 12 professional experience from a city planning 13 perspective, right now right at this time the multi-14 faceted approach that I had mentioned to you and-I-I 15 respectfully disagree with our current community 16 planning toolkit to involve the vulnerable 17 populations. I think that it is an initial step to 18 providing for this culture change that you're 19 speaking about. So, you know, I-I think it's a first 20 step, and I think we've been doing a pretty good job 21 in the last several years in addressing that. 2.2 as far as voluntary lists go, okay, we discussed this 2.3 internally. We discussed it on the High-Rise Recommendation Committee. We're looking at it 24

perhaps in the Implementation Committee as well, a

Police Department, not for New York City Emergency

Management. This is unwieldly. That is beyond our

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I-I-I--actually I agree with you. I-I don't think it should be these massive agencies. That's not the relationship we're-we're pointing to. The point what we're trying to make—the point that we're trying to make is that the local organizations, the local neighbors need to be given support to be able to create that—that—that infrastructure.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: And we're pro-but we're providing those resources right now in terms of information.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, so--

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

capability.

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO:

3 [interposing] And—and workbooks, and toolkits, and—

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]

5 | Okay, well, let's--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: --and I'll hand them out.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: --let's just. So we could—we could get to the—let's get to the—to the fact here. So you're saying first step was-were these toolkits and these presentations at these community events. So if it's in there—it is the initial step. What's the second step, what's the third and what's the fourth and what's the fifth, but what's the whole plan, and so I-I-because I agree with you. I will give that to you that you haveyou're have in a lot of ways given these workbooks and information to people but where-where's the follow through, and if we're going to work and think about ourselves as community organizers, in those moment that we all felt and found ourselves in, it takes more than-than that. And we're just trying to sense-get a sense of where-where that is.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: Hi. I'm Christian Farrell Deputy Commission for External

with paid consultants to go to different groups.

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

go weekend, evening, during the day in languages to talk specifically about what groups are interested in it. If it's seniors, if it's schools, if it's houses of worship, you know, and we'll come back and back and—and talk about different things. Citizen Corps When you came to our office a few months ago works. and that was the commissioner, and you brought some of the community advocates that you've been working with on-on-on the Red Hook Initiatives. You know, we followed up with them to-to get that information. has to be government, and it has to be the community working together just like we work with all of our partners here and in the Mayor's Office and everything. We're also committed to-we have 5,000 organizations in the Citizen Corps. We want that to be 50,000 organizations. So we need that information to come in from everywhere, and we will come out as often as people want us to come out. You know, we ask people to be in a group, but I've been at presentations where there's three people, and we've sat there for an hour and a half. We've worked through. We've given them plans. We talk about resources. So if people don't have the money that it takes to pub a Go Bag together, we can help them find

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that Go Bag. It's a-it's tall order to prepare eight

3 million people, people that are moving, people that

4 are coming from different communities that have

5 different views on how to be prepared or-or what

6 their priorities are, but we are committed. We know

7 that the Council's committed, and we'll continue to

work to make it the best we make it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I agree. I mean I-I think you're laying a vision here, and—and I think we agree with the vision, and I think we—we are aligned on—on all this stuff. Look, I think the questions we're trying to get to is—is how do we implement that—that vision?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: This—this is being implemented. This is more than a vision.

Ready New York stated in 2003. We change this every year. We work with the Ad Council and FEMA. We put in about \$300,000 a year and get about \$10 million in free advertising. That's way larger than our budget. What we would be able to do. That's in multiple languages. We do advertising on social media. On the side of trucks we—any idea we will come and this has been implemented. You know, things change.

After 2003, everybody wanted to focus on terrorism.

2 After Katrina, people wanted to look at coastal 3 There are other things come up, but this is 4 being implemented, but it's-it's changing, and like 5 you said, you don't pack Go Bag once. You don't watch one video or go to one presentation, and then 6 7 you're prepared for life. It's like any activity 8 where you're trying to prevent something. You have to keep it going. We feel like going to the schools. We go to schools across the city parochial 10 11 independent public schools. We go to pre-K, to high 12 school. We have ready girl our superhero to try to 13 make it fun, and do it that way. We will go out. We-any idea we will take, and we will implement it, 14 15 and-and work with you as-as things change. started there was no social media. Now we have a big 16 17 social media campaign, but we also know that it's 18 very important. A lot of people don't use social 19 media or aren't comfortable with it. So we still do 20 a lot of paper brochures. We do a lot of one-on-one. 21 We have an incident distribution program. So if 2.2 there is a significant fire, we work with the Fire 2.3 Department. If there is a power outage or other things, we will do direct mail to a-a few blocks 24 25 around there saying this happened in your district.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This is how you can be better prepared. Any ideas that you can share, any ways. We look constantly in what other cities are doing. As Jim mentioned, we're doing ASL, American Sign Language videos. that idea from San Diego because we originally called them to ask them about—we figured that they had a lot of Spanish language outreach, and we wanted to see how they were doing it, and as part of that conversation, the side thing was the ASL videos, and how people may feel more comfortable getting their notify information that way. So we now have a 115 videos that we put on You Tube that we send out. So we want to look at other ideas. We want to work with you and-but this is way past the vision. strong into the implementation and it will keep going.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. Thank you for that. I—I didn't mean to call it just a vision in the clouds and so I—I respectfully want to restate what I'm going to say, which is you are implementing the vision, and I'm seeing it on the ground and—and all the things that you're doing are great. They're still—they are still—they're—or I should I say, there is still a gap here that we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

trying to identify right now that—that connects the neighbor to neighbor situation where we can build relationships right now, and it's not going to happen on social media. It's not going to happen on everything that you're doing. Everything that you're doing right now is creating that culture framework. Absolutely, people are—are getting bombarded by it, and-but it's going to take more than that to change culture for a person that had paced a Go Bag before. I mean that's why we're trying to do with this list is to at least identify people that want to be part of this effort, and that's part of this-this piece of legislation. Now, whether -- and we're going to continue to debate it, and that's great, but what my final point is in Red Hook we have a lot of-and it's a small-and I-and I think that while I was at the office I kind of called it what we called during Sandy it's a huggable community. It's big enough so you can hug it. It's small enough so that everybody kind of knows each other, and we're doing our best to connect those-those people who want to help their neighbors, their vulnerable neighbors. neighbors that are going to need help, and we know they're going to need help and-and right now, we're-

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we're failing in being able to bring resources, and this is about funding. This is a lot about funding. This is also a lot about being able to identify the There's a lot of legal issues right now people. with-with information. So, we need your help to figure that out, and bring those resources in so that neighbors can help neighbors, and it's not going to be just out of the will-the good will of their heart. That's going to happen on-on-on crisis day. Good hearts are going to come out. That's no-that's not our job. Our jobs aren't to rely on the good hearts of New Yorkers that are going to do the right thing. It's to prepare as government, and we're-I'm-I'm still feeling there's a gap here that we haven't-we haven't addressed, and so I'll stop here, but let's continue the conversations. Let's come-let's go back into the rooms, and discuss those, but this gap is still here, and it's not being addressed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes, thank you very much, Council Member Menchaca, and just to kind of just make the point about, you know, the security of lists and the privacy of that information, I was a fan and still am a fan of the City ID Card, but I abstained from the vote because I knew that there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

were concerns about how that was going to be maintained in the event of a future president that would jeopardize that list, and I-I'm not looking to say I told you so, but in this situation, there is no information on this survey or should there be that pertains to a person's immigration status. This is simply about do-would you need help in the event of an emergency. Is there a disability that you're facing that prevents you from evacuating on your own. There's no other type of information that would, but language, of course, can be an issues, access. And with regards to Con Edison's list, even if the lit is not monumental, at least we have some knowledge of where these people are, and if-if they need help, and again it's-it's voluntary. And even in the eventand-and they mentioned with Chicago where-can you imagine how much time we could have saved when we sent people up including myself, up many staircases. Had I known which apartments needed-needed the actual We-we spent time knocking on every single door. Some folks were there. Some were not. needed help. Some did not, but those that didn't need help just wanted to engage in a conversation anyway. We could have saved time and sent resources

and manpower elsewhere. So-so what-what I'm saying is that right now we're just kind of-and-and to the point about the outreach, I-I want to credit Christina Farrell, the Deputy Commissioner and—and Commissioner Esposito, and his team because they have done good outreach. They have gone to many meetings. When I email them on an issue, they respond immediately. The point that we're trying to make is that the people that we need to help and serve in this situation are not coming to those meetings. When we have those meetings, the turnout is not-is not always great because it's those people who want to help that come out, the CERT volunteers, the friends they bring, and we love them. We commend them. Eric Rivera is wonderful, but the people who actually need that help are not coming to those meetings, and then it becomes like where-where we have to turnkey that out, and try to find them and reach out. And so, we're just looking for a more responsible organized way of just knowing where the most vulnerable are, and again it's their choice if they want to inform us of that. But we just have to do more than the status quo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

Right.

You mentioned about the—the homebound evacuation program where—where someone calls 311 a vehicle can come and assist them. How is that being advertised? Because I was not even aware of this.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: So, a couple things. We'll back up to the Con Ed life sustaining equipment.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Again,

that is a—an example of a voluntary list that a

failure. It—it relies on people notifying Con Edison

of the type of equipment that they have. Not

specifically that it requires electricity—

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: --and their current address. There is no mechanism to update that list from time to time other than the person who made the initial call, and we have found over many years that that is not a good way to do business. That list is maintained by Con Ed. It's shared with the city. Primarily, the Police Department operationalizes it, and will go to a location to say after a power failure, Hi, is

Management to see how we can exercise it, how we can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

operationalize it in a way that-that makes sense and becomes more efficient, and as time goes on, I think it's going to be a big tool for us. And that's something that we can proactively do rather than waiting for somebody to pick up a phone and call 911 and now that they're—they're a—a much more emerging condition than if we had gotten to them earlier. we're pretty hopeful that that's going to work out for us on a number of ways. A homebound evacuation is—is really targeted to someone who for whatever their disability is has no other way to evacuate from a-from a mandatory evacuation. So what needs to be said is just because somebody is vulnerable or disabled doesn't mean that they're not able to care for themselves, or that they're not able to make a plan for their own emergency, that they're not able to provide for their own needs. We're here to pick up those people that can't. So if I have no ability to evacuate on my own, no friends, no neighbors, no caregivers, no family, no contracted medical provider, no access to Access-A-Ride, nothing that the only way that I can leave the evacuation area isis if somebody from the City of New York comes andand takes care of me. They have-they call 311.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

There is a-I know 311 doesn't like to call it a script, but there's a list of questions, a script that they go through to identify their transportation assistance level. Meaning that can I ambulate to the curb? Can I sit unassisted or am I bedridden? And that will determine the type of transportation that they receive. They'll-they'll be able to take their service animals. They'll be able to take their medical equipment. If they do have a caregiver with them, they'll accompany them. People that can ambulate to the curb will be transferred, too, and their information will be shared with Paratransit, and arrangements made for Paratransit to come pick them up and take them to an evacuation center. they can't ambulate to the curb, but they can sit unassisted is where the Fire Department comes in where we have haired a-a team for firefighters to operated with an Emergency Management providers usually a DOE accessible vehicle and a driver. group them several per borough and stage them to where we need them, receive the information from 311, and again, remember this is days or hours prior to the actual emergency happening. So if my building has power, normally I have power. If my building has

2.2

2.3

an operational elevator it's still working. The

emergency hasn't happened yet. So we will go. We

will pick them up. We will assist them. We'll carry

them down the stairs. We'll assist them into a—into

the elevator, transport to the evacuation center.

For those people who are bedridden, our only option

at this point, and this is a piece of the plan that

is a little lacking, but we're working on making it

better is if we have to send them an ambulance. It's the only vehicle we have with someone who is bed ridden can be transferred via a stretcher into an ambulance and then we'll take them to a hospital that's outside the evacuation zone.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Well, let me just ask you a few things there. So what do you do if someone is on the 20th floor and no elevators and no light in—in the staircases and hallways. Then—then what happens.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Okay, again homebound had to do pre-emergency. So if they're on the 20th floor with no lights and no elevator, there is something wrong with the building that they're in that condition. The—the emergency hasn't happened yet. The power is still on, but if

1 COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 88 2 we were to encounter that, my team of firefighters 3 will go up those 20 stairs, and they will bring them 4 downstairs to the curb and put them into the vehicle and drive them to the evacuation site. 5 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And that's not-not 6 7 easy, sir, and I'm sure you know that. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yeah. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That is-that's a very intense case, but-so you mentioned before that 10 11 the FDNY tapped into a federal database. Is that 12 correct? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Correct, 13 14 Health and Human Services maintains the database of 15 payments for medical equipment that the federal 16 government has paid for. 17 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And there were no 18 HIPAA concerns with regards to people---19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: There are 20 HIPAA concerns. It's not open the public because the 21 Fire Department is a healthcare agency, and our 2.2 computer systems at HIPAA-compliant. We're able to-

2.3

to receive that information.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, but those individuals are not aware that FDNY has tapped into that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: That's correct. They have shared their information with the federal government, whatever releases, whatever privacy policies there are between them and Medicare and Medicaid, Health and Human Services will remain as part of that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But the driving intents of this database inquiry is to try to save lives.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Correct, and again it's not information that the Fire Department or the City maintains. It's information that at the time of need we request from HHS.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But the point is that that's the point I'm trying to make. The government, which in this case the federal government has a certain list that's helpful to you in the event of an emergency. If you didn't have that list, then what?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: If we didn't have that list, we'd be where we are right now

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with-we're talking about electrically powered life sustaining equipment. That's the-the list that Con Ed maintains that is not accurate. The-what we have now is when people have an emergency they will call us and indicated that they have an emergency. problem or the difference between the-the list that you're proposing and the one that-that is maintained by Health and Human Services is accuracy. targeted that—and it is something that we are requesting for a particular need depending on what that need is. The problem with the—the list as proposed is-is that it's voluntary whereas the information from Health and Human Services may not capture somebody who private pays for durable medical equipment. But it's the tool that we have available The—the problem with the list that is propose is—is that it's voluntary. It—it takes somebody to say tat I have a vulnerability, and I want to share that with somebody. Another problem that we see with the list is that it—it creates and unrealistic or possibly unrealistic expectation on the person that volunteers their name that some exceptional service is going to come to them. We are fearful that somebody who puts their name on a list will be a

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

little less prepared to take care of themselves in an emergency and more reliant on their name on a list for somebody to come and rescue them. It does not allow for us to vector to people who need the-who have the most need because it was again a snapshot in time, the—the vulnerability that put them on the list to begin with might not be one that makes them vulnerable in a current emergency. It also discounts everyone that either chose not to be put on a list, or has a condition that they—they didn't consider vulnerable at the time or what not. Perhaps it was somebody that has a temporary vulnerability because they became injured, and now I have a leg injury, an ankle injury and I don't ambulate as well as I once did. I live in a high-rise and my elevator is pretty reliable. I'm not vulnerable until my power goes out, and my elevator doesn't work any more and I don't have heat and I don't have running water. suddenly vulnerable. I'm not captured on that list. If I as an emergency responder have a list during an evacuation, I'm not physically at a building during an evacuation unless during homebound somebody specifically made a request. It's not within the Fire Department's responsibility to go door to door

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

storm, we have the ability now to either PECO or programs like PECO particularly community-based programs need is identified based on what's actually happening now, not what happened on a list that was created a year ago, and maybe it was maintained and maybe it wasn't. Maybe the list was-was accessible to somebody. Another problem we're having a list is from an emergency responder is that I can either risk sending resources to a place where it's not needed because either that person no longer lives there, wasn't home at the time of the emergency, or they don't need my assistance, and now I'm not sending resources to where it's actually needed where somebody didn't ahead of time, you know, identify themselves as—as going to be needing this special assistance. So I mean those-those as we have talked and, you know, we've been at this for a number of years, the-the idea of a list whether it's a mandatory, voluntary list or a voluntary, voluntary list, we just don't see it as nearly as useful as people identifying themselves at the time of need or us identifying them for them at the time or need. We're now with this very new tool, this Empower database that, you know, we have a lot of- Again,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | we're going to exercise it. We're going to look at.

3 We have to see how it's going to help us. For years

4 information has been available, but HHS has not been

5 | able to share it. So this is something very new.

And I-and I CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: appreciate very-I could tell you put a lot, you know, a lot of thought into your-into this analysis and I appreciate that, but at the time of need we learned at prior hearings people could not reach emergency help and services. I learned through this committee hearing, and I think my first year that the fire in Breezy during Sandy was not called in through a phone call. It was—it was brought into your department's attention through a device that your department complains is also not reliable. It's called the Fire Box, which sometimes people play pranks and they pull it when there's no fire, and shame on them and that's terrible. That's a waste of time and precious resources, but that's how that fire was called in because power was down. Phones were out. My cell phone service I was-I was in Southern Brooklyn. was in-in Queens at the time. The phone was out. You couldn't reach people. So I don't know how you reach people during that time of need, and that was

2 | our problem. We couldn't communicate with anybody

3 and we were just—we were just really just guessing in

4 | the dark the entire time, but I think my colleague

5 has a follow-up question. I think Council Member

6 Menchaca.

1

7

8

24

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, air. I do want to follow up on this last stream of

9 FDNY con-conclusions specifically on the-the

10 challenges that you have with engaging people and

11 expectations. It's just kind of expectations.

12 | There's a lot of managing of expectations with

13 people, and I guess what I-I want to share with you

14 about what's happening in Red Hook and the-the kind

of system we're trying to develop here. We talked

16 and I alerted or eluded to earlier that it needs a

17 lot of resources, and it's a lot of people-intensive

18 resources. It can't just be volunteers. We ought to

19 bring-we got to bring in non-profit structure. A lot

of the non-profits don't have emergency management in

21 | their management in their mission, which has—which

22 has been very difficult for organizations that want

23 to do the right thing, but the laws are the laws.

They can't go outside, and so-so this is-this is part

25 of-and I know that the CERT team is out there, too,

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but there's a lot of-there's a lot of challenges with the CERT team, too, doing-doing this kind of work because this work that we're talking about that we're pointing to is-is kind of new work. It's neighbor to neighbor conversations that need to happen on a daily basis. So I guess the only thing I want to push back on or-or offer a new avenue of discussion is how-how do we set those expectations with people that are going to be on these lists, and potentially this list that might passed the City Council and signed by the Mayor. The idea and we've executed that in Red Hook is here are the expectations, and they're not that high. Keep them low, but we're going to be here in conversation, and so I-I wonder is that-does that fit in your model of conversation with-with people that, you know, is consistent? Or you could say, look, this—this is—this is where we are, and—and that—that at the-at the very basic nature of-of interaction with whomever agency or neighbor that at the-the very least there'll be one person in this neighborhood that will know who you are. Then you have some need So they can connect to the system, and whatsoever. that you will be you will be found if needed, and not that you're going to have medical emergency and all

2 those things will-will come, the system will-will

3 present itself. But does that—does that give you an

4 avenue to change that—that expectation setting with—

5 | with individuals?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes and So if you're talking about a strictly communitybased, you know, either building or a development or a neighborhood centric thing that doesn't involve city resources, doesn't involve first responders that is more a grassroots very local thing that like you described neighbor helping neighbor would not to me rise to the level of legislation requiring a list. That's-that's something that people can do right now if on an ad hoc basis they think that fits their neighborhood, their building or their development. And I think that once we-we start to make it more official, I think that there needs to be some very direct conversations with-with people about what the list is, and what it's not. And again, to manage those expectations because the worst thing in the world would be for me to put my name on a list and think that now I can sit back. My emergency management needs my-my-everything is taken care of, and that's, you know, that's not the reality.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: It's not reality, but it's also an assumption, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So I-I think we're got to-how do we move away from assumption andand educate? This is-this is part of the steps thatthat we've taken as a city that—that we've just laid out a lot of education, a lot of awareness. And so, if that assumption is correct, and—and I still feel like it's an assumption, then we-that's on us. have to change that assumption about any list that's out there right now, or a future one that's on it's way be it grassroots or whatever, and-and I also want to challenge the-the idea that-that if it's a grassroots thing, it's not us. It's on them. like that—that has to change, too. I think there has to be resources from the city of New York to address that grassroots need for infrastructure. And so I-I just want to-I want to point that-point that out.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: I think that's where Emergency Management comes in with their emergency planning, with their educational programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Right, and so maybe--I don't know if that's what Elana wants to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

kind of tell-I don't want to point you out on that, but-but I think that-that is-that's alive and well right now. It's like if it's—if it's a community organization or effort that's grassroots, we're going to step away from that. I think there needs to be a real—a real dedicated link a resource to make sure that-that-that grows, and grows effectively, and that there's links to the bigger system that is evolving over time. I mean that's-that's a-that's a little point that I wanted to make, and the last point I know the chair said something about IDNYC and I just want to make sure Chair that-that-that because this is on record and people hearing that no one feels that any of the information that was captured by IDNYC included in the immigration status. of felt like I was hearing that. So I want to make sure that everybody out there is hearing IDNYC did not capture immigration status at all, and that is a protected class of information, and we're in the middle of legal debate on. So thank you chair.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That is absolutely correct, colleague. My only point was that which bodies had access to the list in general, but yes, that's a point well taken. Local Law 60, which was

previously mentioned, we have over a thousand

25

2.2

2.3

organizations that deal directly with the vulnerable folks who need assistance.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So once you—once you identify a person, what happens? Then what?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: It's with

the providers. Every vulnerable person's needs are different.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But you see, this is where there's a disconnect. You identify these individuals, but you're saying it's through the organization, it's not through your agency and what happens. What is the—what is—what is the—what is the ultimate goal of identifying and—and communicating with them. Because the laws says your agency has to do this, not a not-for-profit or not—or not some other organization. Your agency has to do this. So I'm—I'm just curious. Do you have a list of these individuals?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, well, we—we reach—we have reach—out not only through our agency, our AWS program. We work with other city agencies such as HRA who deals with Adult Protective Services, homecare, HIV-AIDS patients. We work with DHS who has outreach to the street homeless. We work

2 with the Department for the Aging, for example, who

3 have case managing-management contractors who work

4 with Meals on Wheels. DOHMH as well as FDNY as you

5 previously heard have systems in place-place to reach

6 out and to identify folks. We have community groups

7 | out there that we deal with, homecare associations,

8 dialysis centers. You know, we have that whole

9 network that we deal with. I mean it—it involves

10 | thousands and thousands of organizations.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, let me just read the exact text from the bill. This is now law. I'm sorry, law. The plan must include (a) a mechanism for utilizing existing lists of homebound and vulnerable pop—individuals while respecting any applicable confidentiality requirements such as lists maintained by community-based groups, service providers and relevant city agencies including at a minimum the Department for the Aging, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, HRA, and New York City Housing Authority. B. A process whereby vulnerable and homebound individuals can't receive information on how to request being added to a list [laughs] to a list or system used by Emergency Management.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: That by directionality would occur between client and case manage and/or organization. We can't possibly deal one-on-one with another one million people out there.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, but-but the law says this has to be--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO:

[interposing] Okay, obviously I mean you have specific questions regarding that law. I don't know how the Ts are crossed or the Is are dotted. I have a broad overview of what that law says, and what we have done to comply with that law.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: So I'm not the individual you need to speak to about that. could find that person, but I don't have the specific information that you are requesting.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, I think we need an update on the status of--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO:

[interposing] Of Local Law 60.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: -- of compliance with Local Law 60 because if I continue it's-there's more stuff here that's really important to have. A

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 104
mechanism for making oral and written public
communications available in the most commonly spoken
languages of affected communities to the extent
practicable-prac-practical, a-maybe there's a lot of
information that would be very helpful to have with
regards to-to compliance, and-and just to-there's
also there's Local Law 58, which requires Emergency
Management to develop a plan to track persons who
enter or exit special medical needs shelters during
such events. So do you have a status update on-on
the compliance with Local Law 58?
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: We have
mechanisms in place to tack—to track where MTA,
Paratransit takes individuals. So there is a
transportation piece in place to track those folks.
We have the evacuation centers set up that actually
register incoming people into our shelter system, and
we have a mechanism in place to track who was coming.
CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Um
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, I
prepared, you know, testimony for your discussion on-
_
CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]

Right.

it—it basically—again the language I'm—I'm reading

25

2 from-from-this is from the law. So this is-you guys

3 | could access this, too, is that you have to list and

4 from all those sources whether it's an organization,

5 whether it's an agency to maintain and have an

6 existing list of people who need this—this type of

7 help.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ESPOSITO: Yes, and this communication, as I mentioned before, as I understand it, goes from agency to an organization or aging—agency to client. Okay, and we have a lot of city agencies out there that are currently doing that, and I previously mentioned.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So I guess one of the question we have is there someone I guess from your agency that is coordinating the deliverables required under the Settlement Agreement, and those requirements under all those Local Laws 58, 60 and 62 as they have some overlap. For instance, the Settlement Agreement requires a Post-Emergency Canvassing operation, PECO much like the door-to-door task force required under Local Law 60. How can we show the city isn't duplicating efforts and—and actually in this case actually doing them?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: Let

3 me-I can speak to that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Please.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER STRIPLING: So onon the particular point of canvassing I think a thumbnail of the history would-would help. Local Law 60 was passed in 2013, there was an effort by the city, a large effort to compile a series of-of playbooks that were submitted to City Council at the end of 2013 in partial fulfillment of that law. that—that playbook process created—the—the PECO program was-was actually created as a-a fulfillment of that door-to-door outreach and the 5,000-household threshold to 48-hour timeline for essential services were part of that-of that planning effort. So-so inin the sense of canvassing, those are the same efforts, and Local Law 60 was really the-the thing that kicked it off. So-so canvassing was in place before the-the settlement was finalized.

if—if you could, you know, just take notes on this now and get back to us soon. Section B of Local Law 60 I'll read it one more time: A process whereby vulnerable and homebound individuals can receive

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 information on how to request being added to a list

3 or system used by Emergency Management to receive

4 this type of assistance to get help. That is kind of

5 | the crux of what we're trying to get at at this

6 hearing as well, is how can individuals request being

7 added to such a list, and—and is there a list? You

8 know, that's-that's the issue. Yes, please.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: So the-the thing is list our system. The system is AWS, which is what is what Jim has talked about a lot, and so that is when we work with all the different organizations city, non-profit providers, and they have a system. They're reaching out. They're delivering meals. They're checking on homebound. They're bringing medication. They're doing whatever their-their services are, and through our Human Services Division and the age and all the different groups we work through that. People may have multiple service providers. They may be getting it multiple times. It—it isn't a list per se. It's working, sending out information it's going to snow this weekend. Sending out information, you know, about various different things. So the way that that is in place is—is through AWS, which is a larger

go back to what you—what you said about the issue
with the list, they're only as good as they're up to

5 date. Organizations fold.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL:

7 [interposing] That's why we take the--

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]

9 Usually government agencies don't.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: That's why we—first, by and large, these are a lot of, you know, Visiting Nurse, Catholic Charities, UJA organizations. There are a lot of large organizations, and they're meeting with their clients, you know, several times a week there—so the—the difference is they're—they're in, and if one organization folds, those—I mean a lot of them come through different contracts or they then move, you know, to—to a different organization, but the client is not lost. They—they still receive the meals.

They still receive the services.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: And the difference is they—it isn't like you—you sign up, and then hopefully in a year you update it when you move.

Services, Aging, all of the-the non-profits.

Margaret-Council-Council Member Margaret Chin the

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So-so it's just I-

believe me, I have much appreciate and-and respect

24

2.2

2.3

for the meetings that are happening and for the outreach and the brochures and the—and the rate.

outreach and the brochures and the—and the rate. It's really good stuff, but I am concerned that the people who need this assistance and information the most somehow we're not getting them. There's still a disconnect, and we're trying to figure out a way to close the gap because right the—the seniors that I talk to, and I'm so sorry that some folks who were supposed to be here today couldn't I guess due to illness. There were people that were going to come here today from a high—rise building that as of right now, they still don't know what the plan is. You know, and so it's just—if someone could help—help them go up the stairs, down the stairs and that's—

appreciate, you know, your perspective on emergency planning. There has been an incredible amount of work done in New York City since Sandy not only with New York City Emergency Management, but all of the city agencies. We put together today and presented to you a—a template, a broad stroke view of what we're doing on multi levels. Local Laws that you

that's it. But, yeah, if you just want to make a

closing remark, and we'll-we'll go the next panel.

NYPD and I-I have a lot of appreciation, but you just

comments] Prentiss. I'm sorry. Molly Krakowski,

Deborah Grief--[background comments] Greif. I'm

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 sorry, that's right. [background comments] Don't
3 tell Christopher and Pamela Soto. [pause]

MARGI TRAPANI: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We'll start this way and work our way down.

MARGI TRAPANI: Hi. I'm Marqi Trapani from the Center for Independence for Disabled New York, and I want to say two things right off the bat. First of all, thank you so much for this hearing. think the questions have been terrific from the Council Members and from you in particular. We, too, are very concerned about the-the rate at which some of these things are being implemented. I wrote my testimony on the basis of a voluntary registry. have-we-we don't feel that's the answer. I think in hearing people talk about particular buildings or communities who want to identify where the need is that's terrific. A lot of the issues we have with registries have to do with our population, our community who have at this point a huge lack of trust in what the government is going to do in terms of an emergency or a disaster. Disclosure of a disability is a very personal and as well as a-a relationship with discrimination that goes back for a very long

2 time, as I'm sure you know. And I think the 3 expectation issue is huge. Once people register for 4 something they expect something to come back to them, 5 and in the case of Con Edison for example, what they got for registering is a notification that the power 6 7 is going out. They do not get assistance with 8 replacing electrical equipment or getting a generator. So that's a learned thing about being in a registry, right? People haven't gotten what 10 11 they've needed, and so I think that may block some of 12 the people who would respond. I think there's a 13 tendency to think the job is done. I agree with some 14 of the things that NYCEM and some of the other 15 agencies said. I think people think well now I'm in the registry. I don't have to worry, and I don't 16 17 think that that's true. We haven't had that 18 experience. Just as a personal note, CIDNY has over 19 50% of its staff are people with disabilities, many 20 of whom use wheelchairs or other mobility devices, 21 and during the blackout, which I recognize was years 2.2 ago, we had been told to register our offices with 2.3 the local Fire Department, which we did, and with our building, which we did, and during the blackout 24 nobody came. Nobody checked in with us. Nothing 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

happened. We sent staff to the local FDNY Department and they said you're on your own. We tried to find our building folks. Nobody was around. Luckily, we had fewer staff in the office during that time, and we were able to get people out ourselves, but had we had more consumers in who use wheelchairs and more staff who used more complicated equipment, we wouldn't have been able to get people out. So, our experience tells us the registry may not be the answer as well. I'm concerned about what's-what's the plan after the registry is developed? You see there are questions about well what are you doing now and how to-what's Plan, A, B, C and D. That's the question really. You can put people on a registry, but if there's no follow-up plan, I don't know how that helps. I-I-we're very sensitive to the fact that for example we're not funded to do emergency preparedness work, and so when the city relies on us to do outreach, we're going to do it. We're going to do it anyway. We made 900 calls during Sandy. staff came into the offices, and then the electricity went out [laughs] you know. We're not funded to do that work. We will do that work, but we can't do the service provision. We can't get the materials to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people in the same way that the city can. So that's an issue and how do you create those links. How do you create the follow-through? That's what we hope the implementation from the lawsuit will do, and I can't tell you how terrific it is to have the City Council on that issue. I think it's important that the-the city-the portions of the city that are responsible for emergency response be held accountable to the timeline and to the implementation of the MOUs that were established through the lawsuit. [coughs] I think, you know, it's concerning that first responders have sort of a generalized response for people with disabilities. We'll go up and we'll get them out. Well, I don't know what the training is. I don't know that there's specific training for people to deal with equipment, brittle bone disorder, all kinds of cognitive issues, I don't know what the training is, and I wish we knew more. And again, I think the-the issue of registries has to do with the fact that people with disabilities don't necessarily stay at home. So you may need help but you may have gone to the movies, and didn't there was a hurricane or flooding in your area, or you may

have gone to the doctor, or you may have been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

visiting someone else. That's my concern about that, and then there's the issue of administration of the registry. I don't know who would do that and how they have the resources to keep the list updated as has been discussed. But in general I think the City Council has an enormous role to play in working with the agencies that must respond, and keeping them to their deadlines and to their promises for solutions to some of these issues. I don't know what the High-Rise Task Force has decided. I don't know whether there is an implementation plan, and I'm-CIDNY was the plaintiff in that lawsuit. So we do get information. I think the issue of-I don't want to take up too much time, but I think the issue of how you get information down to grassroots is really key. I think the CERT is terrific. It's limited. I think the outreach is terrific on some levels. I don't think it speaks to where people live all the time. Our folks when they, you know, CIDNY serves a population that is very low-income, and to tell somebody to put a Go Bag together or to have two-two weeks of medication available to take with them is completely unrealistic. So anyway, I don't want to go on, but-but again, I really appreciate the

2 questions that came out of this council hearing, and

3 we'd like to commit to working with you on further

4 discussions along those lines.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Good morning. My name is Molly Krakowski. I'm the Director of Legislative Affairs at JASA. I'll skip-I'll skip down a little bit as well, but I do want to recognize Council Member Treyger as-as Chair of this committee and for keeping the Hurricane Sandy and the aftermath in terms of emergency planning really in the forefront of our memories because it wasn't so long ago, but it's certainly not going to be the last disaster that the city sees. I-you know, I-I want to just speak to some of the stuff that was discussed earlier is-is really very relevant to JASA and things that we have been doing. So just to give you a sense that the storm we've partnered with the New York City Department for the Aging, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, countless senior centers and services, although I guess at this point Live On New York, and we-we worked with Enterprise Partners, New York Academy of Medicine, Rockaway United, World Care Centers, American Red Cross, Volunteer Organization, Active and Disaster and New York Interfaith Disaster

developed emergency response volunteer corps of 200

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

They've been trained around the unique needs of older adults in times of emergency. Others are registered to assist in tenant evacuation in flood zone, preform client safety check during winter storms, assist with supply delivery in a variety of emergency scenarios, and we're working right now with Phillips Beth Israel School of Nursing to coordinate and develop additional professional response volunteers. So I think alongside you and-and those teenagers going up those 20 flights of stairs, we had a lot of volunteers, and-and I-JASA I think recognizes certainly the intent of trying to reach those people, and wouldn't it be great if we knew which doors to knock on. But we're not-we're not sure about having an actual volunteer list. We're-we have our concerns. Some of them were mentioned earlier really about how the caps, they're maintained and what if someone is not reachable and-and sort of where do the resources go in an emergency? But speaking to the overall hearing, what we really want to see are all of those other pieces that are so essential then in the evacuation. So, the transportation, and the transportation is spotty in some of these areas. So how do we ensure that people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are able to get out before they say we're shutting down all New York City Transit before an emergency, and we're trying to get people out who need accessibility. The shelter capacity for people with disabilities, and people who are older and have special needs is critical. So that's, you know, really upsetting to hear that we're so far from a goal of 60, which seems small, but what do I know. But it seems small, and-and then just having accessibility to medications if-if there is an emergency and people are needing to get that emergency extra prescription covered. Maybe they can't afford to have multiples of weeks doses of-of medicines, but certainly once something hits, we want to make sure that people are able to access their medications. So we thank you. We're always happy to work with you, and work with any partner to try and ease-ease the situation. As much as we can do it in advance, we want to do it in advance, and I think that was the message that we heard earlier. But obviously there are plenty of people who are going to choose not to, and it's their right to choose not to, but there are going to be people who are going to choose not to evacuate. And as providers and

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 management, and home delivered meals providers, et 3 cetera, we would love guidance.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So if I just may very briefly because I want to hear everybody else--

[interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: -- I fully-fully appreciate this concern, the feedback about certainly this sensitivity of the information, the privacy of the information, but just to share with you another horror story in my-that-that I heard directly from folks in my community, and from hospital administrators. There were nursing homes that literally, and I hate to use this phrase, but literally dumped the vulnerable residents at the entrance of a hospital without any information of their name, who they were, what medicine they needed, what devices they needed, and they said this is your problem, and the hospital had to use precious resources and time to figure out who they were, what medicine they needed and what machines they needed. So sometimes some information could be helpful just to know who you are. What do you need? I'm not saying that we have to make mandatory and all that, but the hospital-thank God no one lost their life,

to do this, and so people were just wholesale taken

to wherever, and some people were—I—I got a call
during Sandy from a guy who was in the Rockaways who
used a wheelchair, had thought he was safe. Couldn't
get out. What could he do? I contacted FEMA, and
the—the—-e—prepped because it was allowed at that
time, and the only thing we could do was get an
ambulance to him. They evacuated him without his
wheelchair, which put him in a nursing home when he

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Wow.

didn't need to be in one, and he lost function.

MARGI TRAPANI: So by the time he could go back home, he had medical problems that he hadn't had before, and that speaks to the need for systemic approach that includes, as was said before, transportation that's accessible, and knowledgeable, trained and also receptors who are accessible and knowledgeable and trained. And I don't—I don't think I can make more of a statement than that, but it's just really critical.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you.

FEMALE SPEAKER: I think it's important

23 to remember--

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY

2.2

2.3

2 FEMALE SPEAKER: --this is—that was a situation that was a nursing home.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Adult home.

remale speaker: Adult home, not a nursing home, who were in violation of their plan with—the State has to take responsibility for the failure to make sure those plans are good. I was at—at the Abraham doing rehab during Katrina—when Katrina, and I actually went to the administrators and asked—I'm a patient—to see their plan. I was told I could not see it—

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]
That's no-

FEMALE SPEAKER: --that it only my family had the right see it. Of course, I checked with the State Department of Health and, you know, CM asked et cetera, et cetera and, of course, they were lying through their teeth.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right.

FEMALE SPEAKER: But I think it's important that we have to be very clear about our language, and now you spoke about—you referred to it as a nursing home and an adult home. We can't have adequate conversation unless we have a clear factual

2 situation that we can all react to, but these are
3 issues that go back to way before these—these issues.

MARGI TRAPANI: [interposing] Way before.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Excuse me. You know, way-I mean this has been a problem no every-you look at the situation, you know, the Minneapolis tornado, the Ohio River flooding, Hurricane Andrew. All of these pretty much have the same profile, and for a lot of us the problem is the disparity of services on the ground. You're talking senior centers, Meals On Wheels, ISAP, et cetera, et cetera. Younger people have no access to that. What do we have in New York City? Silence and we have six independent living centers none who have equivalent service. So it'sit's a situation where we can't win for losing, and I'm going to-I'm going to take this and say, I don't want my neighbors to have my information, and I am not vulnerable, and I'm really offended by repeatedly hearing vulnerable, vulnerable, vulnerable. I think that the real problem we're talking about is no one should have been carrying up gallons of water. Everyone should have had it in their apartment. know--

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

people who felt okay, I'm going to hunker down in my

or an elevator for over-over two weeks. So a

volunteer had to go get the name of the medication,
and help them get medicine. So this—the point that
we're raising, and we may-we-we-there's no-we're not
saying we have the answer here. We rely on you and
the folks who really who are—really on the ground to
come up with-with the best solution. Is that what
happened during and after the storm was just not
acceptable, and we're trying to figure out how much
progress have we made not just through words, and
through-but actual things happening on the ground.
The fact that an organization that is—is a party in
the lawsuit is now aware about some of these things
that was discussed by the administration. It's
concerning. [coughing] Council Members who are very
much active on the ground-I did not know about this
High-Rise Task Force and we heard that it was just
agencies on this task force, but where are the
residents who lived through this? Why aren't they on
this task force? [background comments] Oh, okay. So
there's a hearing right after us, but you can make a
follow-up point on that.

MARGI TRAPANI: I can?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah.

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 134
2	MARGI TRAPANI: I wanted to be clear that
3	we do get reports on
4	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]
5	Right.
6	MARGI TRAPANI:on-from NYCEM about
7	what's going on with the lawsuit
8	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right.
9	MARGI TRAPANI:but it's-it's still
10	remains unclear to me what's changed since Sandy for
11	people who live in high-rises.
12	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right.
13	MARGI TRAPANI: It's still unclear to me
14	what the implementation of what it's going to be and
15	when, and I don't know that Melba Torres was stuck in
16	her high-rise and Laurie Assad. It didn't-it wasn't
17	in the flood zone. Their power went out, and was
18	stuck and she can't ambulate and she can't get out of
19	bed by herself
20	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing]
21	Right.
22	MARGI TRAPANI:and couldn't get anyone
23	in. What happens to Melba? Is there anything that's

changed that assures her that something is going to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 happen for her? And that's what I'm—that's what I'm
3 saying. Not that we don't get reports.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No, no, but—but that's exactly what I hear from my district what has changed for us who lived in 20—you know 24 apartment buildings, what has changed for us? Some—some have really tried to—yeah, but why don't we hear from them. Yes. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

PAMELA SOTO: [off mic] Sure. [on mic] My name is Pamela Soto and I'm here to testify in support of Intro 1155 on behalf of the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance. Founded in 1991, NYC-EJA is a non-profit citywide membership network linking grassroots organizations from low-income neighborhoods and communities of color in their struggle for environmental justice. NYC-EJA empowers its member organizations to advocate for improved environmental conditions and against inequitable environmental burdens. Through these efforts, our member organizations co-op around specific common issues that threaten the ability of low-income communities of color to thrive, and coordinate campaigns designed to inform city and state policies, including policies that grapple with the

voluntary data from Con Edison regarding life support

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that have not formulated this as a resource for community response. It is critical to balance the need for a registry with the need to protect the privacy of vulnerable residents in compliance with HIPAA and other privacy needs. And for this reason, we strongly support the decision to make the registry voluntary. To make this registry useful, a strong community outreach and education efforts will be crucial to encourage the maximum number of people to register, and the city should partner with communitybased organizations to structure this program as they are critical partners in bolstering social resiliency that is needed to adequately respond to a disaster when it strikes. And I had one more comment based on what the person from Emergency Management was making about the Community Preparedness Toolkit, and all the other approaches that the city is using currently, and it was kind of presented as an either/or situation. But we have seen drafts of the Community Preparedness Toolkit and given them feedback, and I think that that framework is actually complementary to this registry and provides community-based organizations the tools that would encourage residents actually to register. I know he was saying

2 | not-not that many people would register, but that

3 framework would actually encourage that. [background

4 comments

1

EDITH PRENTISS: Just-I'm Edith Prentiss.

6 I'm the Executive VP-I'm the VP of Legislative

7 Affairs for DIA. I vote for four yada yada. I would

8 | like to say that yada yada. [coughs] I-I would like

9 to say that I oppose the content for a registry for

10 many of the reasons that were mentioned earlier

11 | including the fact that it does give an unrealistic

12 expectation of rescuing. I certainly know if the

13 | nuclear reactor of the Hudson goes. I'm in

14 Washington Heights fairly close to it. Forget it.

15 | First of all, if my building has electricity, which

16 | it probably won't, I'm stuck up on the fourth-fourth

17 | floor, not very far, but if-now the Fire Department

18 used to bring us out of the subway when-when

19 | elevators were out. Now, we sort of take the, you

20 know, long around. I don't mind riding like six

21 | trains to get out of the system, but you got to tell

22 | me which six trains I'm going to. I can't even get

23 on a BX12 coming across the Bronx at 5 o'clock. I'm

24 going to get on a bus to be evacuated without my

25 chair, which is how I would be coming down if I were

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 being evacuated. I think it's clear to say there 3 were two situations. You have the storms sitting out 4 there in the Caribbean and may or may not get here. Then we have as in the late '90s when power was 5 turned off to my community to prevent a cascade of 6 7 the rest of the city. Where was I? I was on an A-8 Train. Luckily it was-became a scene, and I was carried out after five-five tanks of oxygen to the street. They were going to leave me on a street 10 corner of a 155th and whichever corner it was, but 11 12 because I wasn't breathing, I ended up at Saint 13 Luke's, but we were just—the next morning it was just like okay, fine, go. I think that there has been a 14 15 lot of changes since then, but the reality is that in 16 an emergency, which is not necessarily the storm 17 coming, we're not going to be able to do any of these

and good luck. I know I'm going to be up on the fourth floor in my apartment eat tuna fish, picked asparagus and drinking water. Thank you.

things. You know, yes, we have lives. We work.

date. We do assorted things. Registries are not

necessarily going to save us. They are unrealistic

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very

25 | much. [background comments]

2 DEBORAH GREIF: Well, I'm finally 3 getting-okay, good to see you Councilman Treyger. 4 I'm Deborah Greif. I am a person with disabilities. 5 I'm the daughter of a person-later mother was-was 6 disabled. I'm the parent, as you know, my son 7 Christopher and my brother Jeff. Now, we all have different disabilities. I don't know because they 8 might disclose it because if I know acknowledge the Department-the Mental Health Councils will try to 10 11 again grab me, and I really don't want to know. And 12 the Chair the Brooklyn Families Support Service 13 Advisory Council, PWDD and our representative is up 14 in Albany. Now, Families with Children with 15 Disabilities we have issues about being evacuated because you see many of our children are sensitive to 16 17 noises, lights, different smells anything, and if our 18 kids start screaming, the shelter screams out, Shut 19 those kids up. So something for this. Can't do 20 that. Many of us sheltered in place. Yes were 21 prepared. We had batteries, water all kinds of 2.2 stuff, you know, our kids could handle. Of course, 2.3 didn't have enough tranquilizers or alcohol to calm us down because truth is it stressful. Now those 24 25 love golf kits when it—the one time they brought

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

something really great to the Brooklyn Family Support They brought these pictures boards. That was perfect for my population. Why? Most of our kids are lucky if they can read up to a kindergarten level. So looking at a picture we could figure what they need. I kept asking can you make more, send No, they couldn't. The Go Bags, when we asked them to explain, they don't always work for people with disabilities plus they're very expensive. You know I'm not even going to talk about the medication because that was brought up, but like I'm on SSI. How am I going to set \$500 separate or whatever? I can't. It's because I have—and I'm on a what? specialize allergy diet like 99% of our kids are asand they don't take that into account, and the other reason we won't go to shelters is a couple of times the ramp where you're supposed to go up, the door was They couldn't find the keys. So we don't locked. want to take that chance. We don't want to take chances of being threatened of bullied, not my kids. I don't want any of my families. We made the decision to stay in places because of-we know what we were going to have done to us. Now, the only part I agree about a voluntary registry list, and you may

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appreciate when I say this. As the lovely Mayor took away our funding for these elevators—Avenue X on the on the S-Train. Maybe we should do-each council area should do a voluntary service. You know, these arewe have all these different disabilities living in our community. They need accessible transportation. We need to have instead of sending us to a school shelter, send us to the senior centers. Many of them are more accessible, you know, or one of those like on Ocean Parkway the Sephardic Center is very accessible because the stairs aren't so high. very-it's flat to get in, and we're above the you have to evacuate zone. I was one block away. So I saw the water come up in Sandy, and I see everyday the Trump and Warbasse buildings, and I knew what you went through, and I applaud you. However, I couldn't' assist you because I would have stopped breathing with my asthma, but I would only agree with the registry for this part. I don't expect to be rescued, and I told my families don't ever expect that. But if that will get us necessary accessibility serve-services into our area, that's the only reason I'll agree to do the registry for that reason. So we can have more elevators, ramps to 2 our train that when also a storm comes, the Mayor

3 stops Access-A-Ride so early and all the buses into

4 Coney Island. You remember. We were—everybody was

5 stranded so none of us could get out. They don't

6 have realistic time tables to get people out, and I

7 can see all those high-rises from where I live at

8 Ocean Parkway and Netgro (sic). So I thank you for

9 | the hearing. I would love to work continuously with

10 you because there's a lot more that has be done, and

11 | we don't have time to speak all that now, but thank

12 you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

and I'll—I'll just wrap up by saying this. I have the utmost appreciation, I truly do for everyone of you that gives of yourselves so much time and effort towards the service that that is, and I—I'll just close by just again painting the picture of what—what we witnessed on the ground in Coney Island where you had a lot of governmental organizations and big organizations setting up shop in a parking lot, and the expectation was that somehow the supplies will magically flow to 20—

DEBORAH GREIF: [interposing] Yeah, I saw that.

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 144
2	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Up 20 flights of
3	stairs.
4	DEBORAH GREIF: I saw that. I saw that.
5	You were right.
6	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, and again these
7	were folks that were without power for weeks not just
8	for-for a day or two. Something's got to change.
9	DEBORAH GREIF: [off mic]
10	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and—and so I
11	am all open, very open to figuring out the best path
12	forward.
13	DEBORAH GREIF: We also had the problem
14	that a lot of the stores
15	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing] Yes.
16	DEBORAH GREIF:sold out of water and
17	supplies that were needed.
18	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [interposing] Well,
19	that's a whole other—yes, that a whole other
20	discussion.
21	DEBORAH GREIF: That was our problem
22	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, again.
23	DEBORAH GREIF:out where we are
24	because we couldn't get restocked.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I hear you.

1	COMMITTEE ON RECOVERY AND RESILIENCY 145
2	DEBORAH GREIF: So we were stuck.
3	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Very true. That's
4	a future hearing so
5	DEBORAH GREIF: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: -thank you all so
7	much for being here today
8	DEBORAH GREIF: [interposing] Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER:and this hearing
10	is adjourned. [gavel] [background comments]
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 25, 2017