CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

----- X

November 14, 2016 Start: 10:22 a.m. Recess: 1:10 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: ELIZABETH S. CROWLEY

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Mathieu Eugene

Fernando Cabrera Rory I. Lancman Paul A. Vallone

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joseph Ponte, Commissioner NYC Department of Correction

Heidi Grossman, Deputy Commissioner Legal Matters NYC Department of Correction

Greg Kuczinski, Esquire Deputy Commissioner Investigation Division

Jeff Thamkittikasem, Chief of Staff, Deputy Commissioner, Financial, Facility, and Fleet Administration, NYC Department of Correction

Errol Toulon, Jr. Deputy Commissioner, Operations NYC Department of Correction

Winette Saunders, Deputy Commissioner Youthful Offender Programming

Elias Husamudeen, President Correction Officers' Benevolent Association, COBA

Marcel Zooby (sic), President
Assistant Deputy Warden's, Deputy Wardens Assoc.

Thomas Farrel, Legislative Chairman Correction Officers' Benevolent Association, COBA

Mary Lynn Werlwas, Director Prisoner Rights Project, Legal Aid Society Victoria Phillips
Jail Action Coalition

Kelly Grace Price
Jail Action Coalition

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good morning. My name is Elizabeth Crowley and I am the Chair of the Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee here at the Council. I'd like to thank you all for being here today. I'd like to acknowledge committee members who have joined us, Council Member Paul Vallone, Council Member Rory Lancman both from Today we're going to vote on a bill sponsored by the Speaker and many of my colleagues, and after we vote on that bill, then we will have the hearing that is scheduled to have oversight regarding the Department of Correction's landmark settlement in the case of Nunez verse the City of New York. bill that we're voting on requires the Department of Investigation to focus on issues related to the treatment of inmates in the Department of Correction facilities, and to issue regular reports and recommendations on these issues by establishing a permanent office to monitor on many of these issues. This bill will help ensure the humane treatment of inmates in our City Jail. I fully support the bill, and I am proud that this Council will soon pass this bill into law. This Committee previously held a

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 5
2	hearing on the Department of Justice's investigation
3	into the DOC. Before we go into it, we're going to
4	take the vote?
5	LEGAL COUNSEL: Yes
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. So now
7	before we go into today's hearing, we're going to
8	briefly take the vote on the DOI-DOC bill to provide
9	a-an office with the Department of Investigation that
10	will have direct oversight on the Department of
11	Correction, and I vote aye on the bill.
12	LEGAL COUNSEL: I'm going to take it.
13	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Committee roll
14	call?
15	LEGAL COUNSEL: Yes. Committee Clerk
16	Matthew DiStefano, Committee on Fire and Criminal
17	Justice Services. Roll call on Intro 1228-B. Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I vote aye.
19	LEGAL COUNSEL: Lancman.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Aye
21	LEGAL COUNSEL: Vallone.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Aye.
23	LEGAL COUNSEL: By a vote of 3 in the
24	affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions,
25	the item has been adopted.

1

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. 3 committee previously held a hearing on the Department 4 of Justice Investigation into the DOC, October 2014 in the wake of DOJ's August 2014 report detailing numerous abuses and violations of the rights of 6 7 inmates in DOC facilities. The report described a 8 culture of violence at DOC that required serious meaningful reform. Just a few months later, the DOJ entered into the Nunez case and filed it as a 10 11 plaintiff along with Legal Aid Society and the 12 lawsuit they had already filed. A few months later, 13 all parties entered into a settlement that appears to provide planned extensive changes to our city's jails 14 15 in over a dozen key areas. The settlement has been in effect for just over one year now. By any measure 16 17 the reforms required by Nunez are significant. From 18 use of force policies to internal investigations to 19 training of staff. The issues addressed in the 20 settlement are critical to the importance of the 21 city, and this Council. The DOC had already been 2.2 implementing reform in some of these areas prior to Nunez's settlement and in other pieces of Nunez such 2.3 as the use of punitive segregation that has-which has 24

been addressed by the Board of Corrections, and

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 issues regarding hiring, which have been addressed to a large extent by the Department of Investigation. 3 4 Nonetheless, the City plans to spend almost \$250 million to implement Nunez over five years with \$100 5 million already committed both this year and last. 6 7 It is clear that many of these key areas of reform 8 are a work in progress. I mentioned it in discussing the Department's progress in these areas, and I appreciate that the Commissioner is here today to 10 11 discuss the new policies. I look forward to a productive discussion, and I again welcome the 12 13 Commissioner, and once the Commissioner is ready to 14 begin his testimony, I'd ask that he do. I'm sorry. 15 I-I forgot. We have to swear you-swear you in, too. 16 So I'd ask the Committee Counsel to swear you in. 17 LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the 18 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in 19 your testimony before this committee, and to respond 20 honestly to Council Member questions? 21 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes, I do. 2.2 Okay? Well, good morning. Hopefully, opening 2.3 remarks will be by our Chief Counsel Heidi Grossman, and then we'll follow up with any questions that you 24

25

have.

1

25

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: 3 morning Chair Crowley and members of the Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee. I'm Heidi 4 Grossman, the Deputy Commission of Legal Matters for 5 the Department of Correction. The purpose of today's 6 7 meeting is to exam the implement of the Nunez 8 settlement. The Nunez Consent Judgment was approved by the court just over a year ago with an effective date of November 2015. Since the judgment went into 10 11 effect, the Independent Monitor has issued two 12 reports detailing the significant progress the Department has made in implementing the Consent 13 14 Judgment and discussing the Department's level of 15 compliance. Each of these reports, which were 16 released on May 31, 2016 and October 31, 2016 is 17 publicly available. We are pleased to report that 18 the Department has achieved in-compliance rating with 19 the provisions evaluated by the Monitor. 20 have a long way to go, we cannot emphasize enough 21 just how proud we are of accomplishments so far. 2.2 start, it is important to clarify what Nunez. 2.3 is not just about force. It is about all the systems that impact force within the Department. The primary 24

goal of the Consent Judgment are to reduce

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES unnecessary or excessive force by staff against inmates and to reduce inmate violence. Provisions of the Consent Judgment address use of force and inmate violence both direction and indirectly and cover a range of areas from inmate housing plans and classification through use of force policy, training and investigation. As you are all aware, since Commissioner Ponte came to the Department in 2014, the agency has been undergoing a significant transformation. The Consent Judgment went into effect a year and a half into the Commissioner-into Commissioner Ponte's tenure. So it's impossible to discuss this judgment and the requirements without discussing the reform efforts that were already underway. The Commissioner ban-began his tenure by conducting a through assessment of the Department's needs including a comprehensive survey of staff. This assessment informed our 14-point Anti-Violence Reform Agenda, which has been discussed in numerous prior hearings. The 14-point plan focuses on reducing violence both directly and through culture The initiatives include directly reducing violence enforced by keep contraband out of the jail; expanding camera coverage; redefining our first line

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 10 incident responses; addressing underlying causes of violence by offering effective programming; improving custody management procedures; creating a new classification and housing strategy; creating a well defined supply distribution process; and raising facilities to state of good repair. In addition, we're-we're defining the Investigation Division. 14-point plan also supports staff by improving leadership, development and culture; designing recruitment, hiring and staff selection plan. Designing a performance management plan; implementing operational performance metrics and expanding targeted training. These reform efforts are aligned with and reflected throughout the text of Nunez, which directly addresses the 14 specific areas. of force policy; use of force reporting and tracking; use of force investigations; and the anonymous reporting system; risk management; safety and supervision of inmates under the age of 19; housing plan for inmates under the age of 18; staff discipline and accountability; staff recruitment and selection; screening and assignment of staff; training, arrest of inmates; inmate discipline and video surveillance. In many instances, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Department's new policies and programs were incorporated into the Consent of Judgment itself. We agreed to this because the policies and programs represent sound correctional practice. Both the 14-Point Plan and the Consent Judgment guide the Department's efforts at reforming culture, restoring trust, and confidence in the Department. I'll now highlight a few of the areas of focus now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Use of Force: A key element of the Reform Agenda was achieved with the development of the refi-Revised Use of Force Policy, which is also the overarching goal of the Nunez Consent Judgment. The Department has always had a Use of Force Director governing when officers are permitted to use force, and how use of force incidents should be documented. The Department's goal is always to reduce violence and ensure staff and inmate safety. The overarching principles of the old policy remain in effect. revised policy provides additional guidance to officers when confronted with a situation where force may be necessary. This revised policy will support appropriate use of force, and also support the objective to resolve situations without physical force whenever possible. Implementing the new Use of

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 12 Force Policy requires the Department to train staff on the differences between the Nunez policies, and to provide them with the additional physical skills they need in order to implement the new concept. physical skills will enable officers to restrain violent inmates while at the same time minimizing injuries to themselves, the inmates and the bystanders. Given the importance of properly implementing the new Use of Force Policy, the Department and the monitor agreed that the Consent Judgment's goal would best be accomplished by fully training staff on the policy and on appropriate defensive tactics before the new policy takes effect. The same holds true regarding the revisions to the Disciplinary Guidelines identified in the Consent Judgment. These should go into effect after all staff receive their training. Staff are being The new policy is scheduled to go into trained now. effect on September 27, 2017 with the Disciplinary Guidelines following a month later, by October 27, 2017. Even before the Consent Judgment went into effect, the Department was committed to reducing uses of force within our facilities in order to create a safer environment for both staff and inmates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 13 policy has two goals. First, to reduce the frequency of use of force and second to ensure that when force is required, staff use the least injurious, most effective methods possible to address the situation. Since the beginning of Commissioner Ponte's administration, we have seen positive improvement across the levels of force and violence. For the first time since 2011, overall uses of force and overall assaults on staff are now trending downward. Most importantly, use of force resulting in serious injuries are down 37% from 2015. Assaults on staff overall are down 16%, and assaults on staff resulting in serious injuries are down 34%. These significant decreases have been achieved while reducing the use of punitive segregation by 85%. Use of force must be reported and tracked. Mechanisms are present in the Consent Judgment to make sure that we do. Department is committed to accurately tracking use of force and other reportable incidents. Officers have always been required to document each use of force they're involved in or witness. This has not changed under the new policy. The Department takes seriously and potential-any potentially unreported use of force incident and fully investigates such allegations when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 14 they are brought to our attention. Further, we have made reporting misconduct including excessive use of force or corruption in our facilities easier by creating an anonymous reporting system, which allows staff to anonymously report use of force policy violations to the Investigations Division. Until the new Use of Force Policy goes into effect in September 2017, we have implemented a number of measures already such as creating an interim tracking system, expanding the Investigations Division, and increasing the scope of the Investigations Division Incident Reviews. Consistent with the 14-Point Plan and the Consent Judgment, the Department is re-defining the Investigate—the Investigations Division by increasing the speed of information gathering in order to reduce the backlog of open investigations and to ensure protect-professional integrity across the agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'd like to now talk a little bit about
Risk Management. The Department is committed to
developing procedures to better understand and
address the type of force officers use. To that end,
the Department has developed several new procedures
for collecting, understanding and evaluating the use
of force, which go beyond specific requirements of

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2 | the Consent Judgment. This demonstrates our

3 commitment to reform. Risk Management is not just

4 about focusing on use of force, but on various

5 internal and external factors that can impact the

6 performance of the Department's staff. This includes

7 personal and work-related stress, overtime the amount

8 of time spent working with our most challenging

9 inmate population. A comprehensive risk management

10 approach must consider all of these factors, and the

11 many potential solutions in order to support the

12 Department's efforts to bring back-bring about a

13 | culture of safety.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, I'd like to talk a little bit about inmates under the age of 19. One of the most important changes that the Commissioner implemented when coming to the Department was recognizing that different populations need to be managed differently. Effective management strategies must address the population's need especially amongst the young inmates. Previously, the Department managed the different populations in a basically uniform manner regardless of age or other needs. We have moved away from this one-size-fits all approach and now tailor a

managing strategy for various inmate populations. By

housing cohort of young adults, those age 18 through

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 17 2 The Department is voluntarily extending the 3 standards codified by Nunez for the 18-year-olds to 4 the 19, 20 and 21-year-olds. I'd like to now talk a little bit about expanded recruiting and training. 5 Staff are the most important component of the 6 7 department's success. Since the beginning of 8 Commissioner Ponte's tenure, the Department has developed a recruitment-recruitment, hiring and staff selection plan to attract the most qualified 10 11 candidates ensuring a steady pipeline of top quality 12 recruits who can be trained and mentored into quality 13 officers. The Department is hiring record numbers of recruits with each recent class larger than the next. 14 15 The Department graduated 592 recruits in December 16 2015, 618 in May 2016 and 711 just this-just a few 17 weeks ago, November 2016. A record 1,200 recruits 18 are-we hope to have enter the Academy next month. 19 the same time, we are also providing more training to 20 current staff to give them the best tools possible to do their jobs. From August 2014 through the present, 21 nearly 3,000 correction officers or about one-third 2.2 2.3 of our current officer core completed one or more new training involved in de-escalation techniques. 24

Department has also provided specialized training for

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 18 staff working with special populations such as the mentally ill and adolescents and is training officers in state-of-the-art defensive tactics. Several of the new recruit and in-service training curricula being given are incorporated into Nunez including what we call our start training. It's specialized tactics and responsible techniques training, and this includes the use of force policy, and defensive tactics. We have our crisis intervention and conflicts resolution training that's included. Young inmate management training, which incudes direct supervision and safe crisis management. We also include approaching tactics and cell extraction. То ensure that all staff get the best training, the Department requires more hours of training than the Consent Judgment actually requires, and it also requires additional training such as PREA Crisis Intervention Training and others that—that are not required by Nunez.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, moving on to staff recruitment, selection, screening and assignments. Since the beginning of Commissioner Ponte's tenure, we have developed a recruitment to hiring and staff selection plan to attract the most qualified candidates in the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 19 Department. We are ensuring a steady pipeline of top quality recruits, recruits who can be trained and mentored into quality officers. For example, we have raised our hiring standards to match those of other law enforcement agencies like the New York Police Department. To ensure that everyone has equal opportunity for promotion and special assignments, the Department is committed to implementing a screening process that applies equally to all staff, and that makes performance standards clear and fair. The new screening process will also consider whether staff has the training necessary for assignment to that certain specialized unit. This ensures both staff and inmate safety by making sure that officers are equipped to deal with the unique needs and challenges associated with some of our most difficult inmate populations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Video Surveillance. Another big focus of our 14-Point Plan has been expanding camera coverage throughout the jail. The additional video surveillance will help make our facilities safer for everyone. Further, the increased video surveillance is already making a difference to the Department's Investigation Division. This year, approximately 69%

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 20 of use of force incidents were captured in whole or in part in video. Meaning, ID has to-has access to clear objective evidence of incidents under investigation, which in turn leads to faster case closings. The-again, the Department agreed to the terms of-of the Nunez Consent Decree because they aligned with the 14-Point Plan that was already underway. The Consent Decree and the 14-Point Plan are designed to achieve the same goal, ensuring safety, reducing violence, and changing the Department's culture. The Department is early in the reform implementation process. Meaningful reform takes time, but we are seeing the impact of the efforts we have made. There is still significant improvement to be made, but with continued effort of our staff, the Department will succeed to become the leader in Corrections that we strive to be. you for the opportunity to testify today, and for your continued support. We are happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you for your testimony. So, broadly speaking the new Use of Force Protocols appear to encourage staff to use less force

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 21 2 than they have in the past. Do you agree with-with 3 that? 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, and as these new policies have been implemented, we see a 6 7 concurrent rise in overall violence with the DOC facilities. Would you say that's true? 8 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: An overall rise, and I would say that that's not true. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay because I have 12 numbers from the Mayor's Management Report that are for the last half of Fiscal Year 2016, which means up 13 until June 30<sup>th</sup>. Do you have those same numbers? 14 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Well, what 16 I can tell you is that we've a 20--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I 17 18 just want to make sure we're working on the same 19 numbers because I'm going to be looking at these 20 numbers throughout the hearing. 21 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: 2.2 don't-we don't have the Mayor's Management Report 2.3 numbers here today. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, what numbers 24

are you looking at because-because even when the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mayor put out a press release now, the Commissioner is in this same press release that he put out in August where they looked at most recent data, right? And the Mayor states in his own press release that violence is going down, but violence is not going down when you have stabbings and slashings that are up by 66% during that same time period; serious inmate on inmate violence up by 25%, and serious injuries to inmates are up by 8%. Now, doesn't that say violence is going up? Before we go any further, I just want to make sure you get the numbers and we're all looking at the same numbers. Because you're trying to paint a rosy picture and so is the Mayor with the press release that was put out recently and, you know, when an inmate is less safe in the jail where we're putting them because we're saying that we're going to take care of custody and control, but when they become less safe, then you're not reducing violence. And that inmate's civil rights are being violated if we're putting them in there, and we're not keeping them safe.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Well, what we—what we—what we find very encouraging is that the use of force that we have seen, we've seen a very

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES marked decrease in the type of physical force that

23

3 has been used. So for example, our C use of force

4 incidents, which involve the use of OC spraying. No

5 injuries to our inmates. That has gone up, but our

6 serious force, a use of force has gone significant-

7 gone down significantly, and so has our B use of

8 force, which involves some minor injuries. So what

we're seeing is a different-a shift in less hands-on

10 | our inmates by our staff.

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'd just like to add that, you know, we—I'm not sure who's trying to paint a rosy picture but, you know, we have a lot of work to do and—and clearly, you know, we all admit to that. I think we have made progress. I still believe that, you know, more progress has—has to be made.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And the Mayor in his first sentence in his press release they say that the Department of Corrections is showing strong results in the first half of 2016, and if I'm an inmate I'm more likely to be hurt seriously during that same time. I'm more likely to be stabbed or slashed, and there's more violence happening at the same time. So-so clearly it doesn't seem that the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 24
Mayor is looking at the same numbers that he's
providing the City Council, if he's making these
statements. And-and Commissioner, you are in theseyou're in the same press release that he put out.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [off mic] We are.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Safer environment for inmates and staff alike. It says that. I just want to know how are you safer. If you can just explain that if you're more likely to get hurt seriously, if there's more violence happening amongst the inmates that is serious, and there's more stabbings and slashing. How are you safer?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So we try to take a look at data in different cuts to make sure that we can follow a trend that can be sustained over time. First, the Commissioner focused primarily on those serious injuries and to those points, I think we did a calendar year comparison, January to October from last year to this year. Significant drops in the serous assaults on staff that resulted in any injury, and then uses of force that resulted in serious injury including inmate fights that resulted in serious injury including inmate fights that resulted in serious injury. We do not tend to claim that use of force are overall down. We have a lot of work to do

committee on fire and criminal justice services 25 and obviously safety, it has to be maintained is focused on both the officers and the inmates, and we try to take a look at violence indicators across all those spectrums. We'd be happy to meet with you to talk to you through those numbers to make sure you

have a full understanding of those.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I have a full understanding of the numbers. I see what—what violence was in fiscal year 12, fiscal year 13, fiscal year 14, fiscal year 16, 15/16 and each year it has gone up steadily, but it has gone up significantly since last fiscal year, and that's not just calendar year. That's not—these are numbers that ended in June, the end of June. So they are the most recently you can get.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm reading numbers out from October.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right, you—you say this every hearing, and every hearing, we're not looking at the data that you're supposed to be looking at.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think we're looking as much to the up-to-date data as we can grab.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: No, when you had
3 this press release in August you were looking at data
4 that ended in June.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: In August actually.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Chair, I-I

think that the press release that you're referring to

is where Mayor de Blasio announced his 45% reduction

in serious violence, in serious violent--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: No, he's—he's looking--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: --repeaters.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --reductions just on use of force when—when officers are getting involved, which is where we're going to go to next-
COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Yes, to the police force.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --because I'm
hearing reports from people who are working with the
jails that there is more of hands-off approach right
now because officers are afraid that whatever happens
is going to be used against them, and sort of, you
know, when these fights break out, they're not
stopped as quickly or right away, you know, they put
out chemical agents that—that prevent the fight from

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 27 going any further, which-which is better than use of force. However, these fights aren't investigated like they're supposed to be investigated as to why they-they came about, and-and then we're hearing reports also from these officers that they're working overtime not just one extra shift, but often they're forced to stay from three concurrent shifts. then they're-they're oft-often asked to stay over 100 hours or more a month, and then it seems as if that their-the morale is down because they made promises to work in certain areas or to monitor and to work extra time, and then that—those promises are—are taken away from them. Can you speak to the level of overtime that's happening?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, overtime is clearly an issue in the agency. We admit to that. We've graduated additional class sizes, every class so far. The projection is that we'll start a new class in the December of about 1,200. The graduation of this last class we believe will be a daily reduction of about three hours—3,000 hours of overtime per day for our employees. We think that's an improvement, and then the 1,200 in December, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, we'll get back to the overtime questions. We have to just as far as the testimony in question as far as the hearing because we have to welcome first Council Member Cabrera, and then I'm going to ask the Committee Clerk to take a roll call for—to continue the roll call.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services, continuation of roll call, Council Member Cabrera.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Aye.

LEGAL COUNSEL: The vote now stands a 4 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative, and no abstentions.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. Now, how frequently—when you're graduating classes, how is our attrition rate? How—how much—if let's say this year you graduated 700 new correction officers, how many have left through attrition to go into retirement or to just work in other fields?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, we anticipated our attrition rate was about 70 for a month because

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 29 of the hiring freeze 20 years ago, and the new hiring we thought that would go up. It did not. It actually went down. So our attrition rate re—now is about 30 to 40 per month, which is lower than we anticipated.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So roughly if you graduate, 150 are retiring, about half your-COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, about your Use of Force Policy that has changed. It has—the changes haven't gone into effect, but you're saying that you're seeing less use of force being used?

the new Use of Force Policy, the New Use of Force
Policy is not substantially different than the old
Use of Force Policy. The tactics that—that we're
teaching staff is new. So, you know, staff, you
know, there is a concern of staff on when to use loss
force and when not. It's because there's been such a
focus of excessive force as you are aware in the
agency. I think, you know, we—we support our staff
on the use of force. There are many circumstances
when that's appropriate. Our Investigations Division

2.2

2.3

ago? [background comments, pause] June 20<sup>th</sup> we

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 31
2	started the in-service. So most of the 2,000 are
3	recruits.
4	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, are your-any of
5	your officers wearing body-worn cameras?
6	COMMISSIONER PONTE: So part of Nunez
7	Settlement is that we'll do a trial of 100 officers
8	with body cameras?
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And do you have
10	that going on now?
11	COMMISSIONER PONTE: We do not have that
12	in place yet.
13	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do you-how far are
14	you from having that in place?
15	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think we're
16	probably several months. We need a good policy firs
17	and that's always a concern. It's also a concern of
18	the union. We want to make sure
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] You
20	need to make sure you have good cameras, too, and a
21	place to store the information. Do you have that
22	technical ability right now within the Department?
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: We do not
24	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] You
25	have cameras going up everywhere

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right.

23

24

report.

after we were able to calm down the situation, and

2.3

24

drive where the--

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
                                                        34
 2
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: [off mic]
 3
    Yes.
 4
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right after it
 5
     occurs?
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN:
 6
                                                Ιt
 7
    wouldn't-wait--
 8
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Before you go home.
 9
    Before the end of your shift.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And then how
10
11
     quickly is it investigated?
12
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Greq, you want to
    talk to that?
13
14
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Greg, do you want to
15
    talk to that?
16
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Each
17
     investigation starts immediately. Under Nunez, we
18
     have a preliminary review policy that within two
19
    business days we have to look at every single use of
20
     force incident. It changed a little bit with the
21
     dynamic of how ID used to operate. ID when they
     traditionally prior to Nunez and I think prior to the
2.2
2.3
     14-Point Plan, we would investigate A use of force
     cases, which are the more serious use of force cases,
24
```

and they would result in a call-out from ID when-when

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
                                                        35
 2
    it came over COD. Since Nunez, we now look at every
 3
    incident within 72 hours and with the timing from
    that preliminary review whether-I'm sorry-two days,
 4
    we determine whether or not that's classified now as
 5
    an A or an B or some other factor that it requires a
 6
 7
    full ID investigation as per Nunez criteria. So, and
 8
    we have teams now currently in every facility, which
    helps speed up the process. Sometimes we don't get
     all of the reports right away, but we-we are --
10
11
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] So--
12
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI:
13
     ahead.
14
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: So within 48 hours
15
    you've determined whether it's A, B or C? There's a
16
    C?
17
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI:
                                                 Ι'm
18
     sorry.
19
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Use of Force?
20
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Yes.
21
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, now if you've
    determined that the use of force was excessive, is
2.2
2.3
    there an officer still working with the inmate? Is
    that officer that's under investigation still with
24
```

inmates?

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 36 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Okay, it-3 it-it depends on-just because it's an A, B or C doesn't mean the force was--4 5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] That's if it was an A. It was--6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Even if it's an A, it doesn't mean whether or not it's 8 excessive or--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Okay-10 11 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: --or it 13 violated department policy. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --but within the 48 14 15 hours are able to get an idea of whether this investigation is going to go a little bit further, 16 17 and whether the officer was excessive in the use of force. 18 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: So, the-20 the investigation will go farther anyway. The ID 21 will determine at that point in time early on is this something that the officer needs to be modified for? 2.2 2.3 We may do a no contact with inmates. We may have

just removed from the area. All those--

COMMISSIONER PONTE:

Okay.

that it's posted in the facilities. I--

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 40
2	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And DOI also has a
3	line that they've had for some time.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: When use of force
6	happens-well, when midnight breaks out, there's a big
7	fight, and then you finally are putting together the
8	documents and the reports of what happened, and you
9	say that there's a lot of this investigation that
10	goes on as to when inmates—when staff has to use the
11	force on these inmates. Do you investigate why
12	fights break out?
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: ID does
14	not unless there's a serious injury to the inmate.
15	So that's done at the facility level. Yes, they do.
16	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: When Officer
17	Calderon was slashed, I believe he was just coming
18	onto his shift. There was a number of inmates that
19	came after him, and there was a big fight. Did you
20	investigate what happened, why-why that took place?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Yes, we
22	did.
23	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what was that?

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2.2

2.3

upset about--

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: So the

3 attack on Officer Calderon was a-supposedly a payback

4 on the rent that had happened a day before I believe.

5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, did Officer
6 Calderon know that he was coming into a situation
7 where the inmates might be hostile towards him or

## DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI:

[interposing] You're absolutely with us. If Calderon knew, I don't know what he knew at the time.

know, in—in—in working the Department trying to see how you could prevent situations like that from happening. Yeah, you investigated why it happened. You say, well did one shift let the other shift know what was going on the night before. Clearly, you know, you're working with a population that holds hostility from one day to the next. If they're in lockdown continuously and they're not able to go outside or, you know, they're not able to do the things they were be—because of punishments or because if you have to keep the facility safe, then that frustration often gets taken on each other or on the staff, but is it important to understand why these

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 42 fights are happening and—and what you could do 2 3 prevent them. It's-it's one thing to investigate, 4 you know, the use of force, but in order to well, why 5 did this fight break out in the first place, and what can we do as a department to prevent the fights from 6 7 happening? So do you have any mechanism in place to 8 clearly understand why those inmates would do what they did. There—there has to be reasons for these fights to happen, some of them. 10

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Right and we-we look at event all the time.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But you don't have a policy in place?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: We have a policy in—in place to investigate incidents that occur in the jail, yes we do.

well, how frequently are fights breaking out? Almost every shift? Like how is it--? Am-am I being too optimistic thinking that you could have the capability with in the Department to understand why fights break out, and to at least alert on shift to the next what had happened on the previous shift so that you don't have to-so you can at least give the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES officers the understanding that inmates may be unhappy and more unpleasant to work with than previously.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, there's a half hour overlap in the shifts for that to occur from officer to officer to on post.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: They-they go over what happened in the previous shift?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: They are required to brief each other of what happened on the previous shifts, yes.

about how you didn't speak much to it. I don't remember hearing about it in your testimony, the 16 and 17-year-olds. The DOJ's report asks for the Department to move them off the island. The Mayor made an announcement a few months ago that a facility in the Bronx was found. When—when do you foresee the 16 and 17-year-olds no longer being on the island, and being moved to this location in the Bronx?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It will take because of the grilling policies in the City of New York it will take several years. I'm not sure if we have an

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 44 estimate on time. I don't know, Jeff, maybe you know.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: We are currently in the middle of studies on the design of the facility, and as the Commissioner said, because of siting and construction we'll have to do to kind of expand that space, it could take years, but there's no specific time except that the study will be done by next year to kind of have a design for what the facility could look like?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That—that doesn't seem promising that it will happen. How long have you been working on that new facility that you were going to build on Rikers?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Several years prior to me coming on board.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. Is that—is that part of your short-term goals, too?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, it's not a short-term goal, but I-I think as we look at the construction and now with the-kind of the movement to close Rikers, all of those things politically have to be taken into consideration. So the 1,500-bed

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 45
2 facility on Rikers is still at a—at a—kind of pause
3 right now.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, understood but the—but all hands should be on deck to do whatever you can to get the facility in the Bronx moving as quickly as possible and to understand that you are right now looking at possibly a year before you have renderings of what you do to change the facility. It doesn't seem like it's going to happen, certainly not in this administration. It doesn't seem that way.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: But it's a long process, Councilwoman. I mean I mean I'm—I'm not happy either but it is a long process for construction I the city of New York.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: There has been construction. The Authority is able to build the schools rapidly, and there are a lot of agencies in the city that are able to do things quickly, certainly more quickly than your plan currently has in place. I'm going to recognize Council Member Vallone for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Madam Chair. I have one question. I had some questions,

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 46 but you just threw them out the window when you said the facility is on pause. Great, that—that to me I was years on the Board of Corrections. I've been years on this committee. We've been talking about the infrastructural issues on Rikers Island from day one. The plan each year, each budget was to help you fight for that budget to get this situation under This temporary structure is one that I will control. mention we retired 30, 40 years ago, which makes it impossible for your state to properly maintain a level of security for them and for the inmates. does that then put it pause? I mean political pressure doesn't exist when we're talking about creating infrastructure that properly should house detainees, inmates and give the proper safety for the staff that's there, and that's my first-first priority. It's really to protect the staff and protect detainees and inmates. We need a complete infrastructure overhaul on the Island without a dream of thinking it's going some place else because there's not a Council Member in the city that's going to say put that facility in our district because we really want it there. It's never going to happen. Never. So what's our plan with infrastructure

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 47 updates on—on the island? I mean you—you just ended it by saying everything is on pause and I don't whether it is.

So we're—we are doing renovations to the facilities we have our capital monies to do that. The new facility because of the—you know, call it a movement but at least they look at how to partially close—close Rikers and I, you know, I agree that it's offsite. Off-island siting would be a—very difficult to do. So it's—it's just a matter of kind of realigning what we—We've done a lot of work on the 1,500—bed facility. There have been some—some renovations on the ground. Some structures have been torn down. So we have a good footprint. We've—we've looked at the plan. So, it's—it's just a matter of—of—

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
Well, that's good. I mean a good footprint and a
plan is a lot better telling me everything is on
hold. So, it's two different--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, well, it—it is at this point on pause so-

2.2

2.3

48

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Right, so I 3 think, Madam Chair, I think we have to begin to why 4 things are on pause and what's going on. I think that was promised to us the minute you took over that 5 they were going to make building changes and 6 7 infrastructural changes, and now somehow it's all on pause. I'm not happy about that. I know we're going 8 to later on a budget hearing on those topics, but I-I think we should—we want to assist on that. 10 I mean 11 our idea is get the budget--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] And I have to--

reflect the needs, and I don't—we want to be partners in fighting for the proper budget to handle a lot of how Rikers Island should work tomorrow, five years from now, ten years from now without loud alternate plans maybe in the future for some place else. We know politically it's going to be very, very difficult but that doesn't help the detainees, inmates and the staff that are in there now. I think we have to give them a promise that things are going to be made better. You have some data there about the use of video cameras. Could you give us an

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 49
update on I guess where we were when you took over
with the use of video cameras within there, and where
we are today and where we plan to get?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So Jeff will have little better numbers, but we—we hope to be fully cameraed on the island toward the end of this year. Fully cameraed inmate house—inmate areas toward the end of this year. So Nunez—Nunez DOJ settlement really required cameraing in the small parts of the island. We've expanded that to the Mayor's Initiative to all correctional facilities. So we'll be in inmate housing areas fully cameraed by the end of—of calendar year 2016.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Jut in the housing area or throughout?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Inmate areas. So we're adding, you know, we're trying to get the focus on the most important areas first and then expanding to the other areas after.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So for the areas that have the cameras now do have you seen any change in the incidents in crime or just the daily rigmarole of life all around?

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's-it's, no it's

1

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ways.

3 really helped us a lot both on staff training to show

where staff, you know, options are such that you

could make different choices of also in-in 5

investigations as far as who did what to who? 6

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I am a big fan of cameras. I think whether it's a school, whether it's a-it's a block or whether it's Rikers Island. think a camera doesn't lie, and it also helps both

COMMISSIONER PONTE:

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I think it helps to show what an officer and a staff member has to deal with on a daily basis. It has to show whether a detainee and inmate has to deal with on a daily basis. So that to me is wonderful news. Do you think by the end of the year then we should have full--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: On Rikers all coverage in inmate areas, yes

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's great and what about when there was talk of by the cameras? there going to be any use of that?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Good morning.

## COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: 3 morning. There's-we have a couple of things that we-4 we do again going back to the preliminary review process that we do where we review literally every use of force incident. We then working with the 6 7 monitor and—and the—and the commissioner we—we establish criteria, certain criteria where we saw 8 more incidents happening like restraints or things like that, and we would-we-it-it came to be known to 10 11 as the Commission as 12 and we would meet every-12 ID sends to every facility each warden the 13 use of force incidents from the previous week that were reviewed, and the categories that they fall in 14 15 so that they can come up with a plan of action that 16 they have to give to the Chief of the Department. 17 mentioned earlier the Immediate Action Committee. 18 That's another process that we have that again we 19 meet bi-weekly. That consists of ID, the Chief of 20 Department of Legal where we review use of force 21 incidents that we felt were problematic in the sense 2.2 that they may have violated a policy or something, 2.3 and from there, as I indicated before, it leads to the retraining and these all steps towards the early 24 25 one assistance. The-we are working on, you know, and 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2 early warning system overall. But these are the

3 steps we have taken in—in the interim to address

4 | things on a more timely basis? We've also in ID we

5 created monitoring looks (sic) as well for people

2.2

2.3

6 that repeat use of force and use of this like that,

7 and we will monitor that literally on a daily basis.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: And Councilor, if I may, we've also hired a use of force monitor per news flash (sic) and their focus will be on the early waring system taking into account kind of areas where which use of force happens, the propensity of use of force in those areas to make assessments department wide and focus on those populations or those housing areas to give our staff and our—our facilities kind of a heads up on where they need to focus their attention, or where there needs to be kind of changes in—in monitoring or training or other things.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, I—I think we're all on the same page on it, and nobody wants to protect the bad guys, whoever the bad guys are in any field, in any committee that we have. But there's an element there of if I was identified to be on the early waring system, and I'm a staff member, I don't

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 54 think I would be too thrilled about that. want to know what my steps are to protect myself if it was a one-time incident, if it was a-if it was one of the recidivists that continually harass staff that is now creating life miserable for a particular staff member, and now that person has got a mark on their record that may have been there for 15 years. going the other way. What are the remedies in place? First, is there a hearing? Is there a way for me to have my due process? How-I don't want my career ruined because someone threw me on an early warning assessment because someone was having a bad day on the island and decided to come after me, and I have to protect myself, and now all of a sudden I'm a incident.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Council

Member one—one thing that we do is we have the what

we call a 5003 process where we identify officers who

have been involved in force of virtue of time. Maybe

three incidences within a six-month period, and it

doesn't mean that the force was unreasonable or

excessive, but it's something for us to monitor, and

then we have counseling sessions with those officers.

So that if there is a conversation with that officer

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 55 to just let them know that this is—maybe they're in a particularly high volume area where there are very challenging inmates. It's something to just have the conversation in—in formal counseling.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: And for it to impact you, you would have had to have been disciplined.

You could have been involved in ten uses of forces, all legit and—and

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay, so this was something that resulted in disciplinary.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct. There may be corrective action for it to impact you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: It's just to take a-a better look at it, that's all.

me, well that's the type of information that's missing. So that's important because I want to make sure it goes both ways. Do we have anything on the other side? Do we have an early warning system on how we're going to handle the most, as you say, challenging inmate population, and what we're going to do now as a result of Nunez?

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, Council Member. So essentially, as the Commissioner started to say beyond the kind of facility specific reviews we're enhancing our Corrections Intelligence Bureau to focus on with our officer security investigations, combining those in terms of partnership and not necessarily organizationally to take a look at the inmates that are-do have a higher propensity for violence. We did a couple of different. First, of all, we created a new housing and classification system that focused on propensity for violence. Previously, people would come in, and they had high charges where they would just be classified as higher concern inmates. By taking a look at the data--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
That's still within general pop. So now you-

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:

That's right. So essentially what we did is take a look more on the actual factors that may lead to violence, gang membership. Kind of previous actions within the jails, actions that happened outside of the jails to kind of focus in on that population one just from a risk assessment upon entering. Once

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 57 entering, we have also now focused more on investigative teams and intelligence teams within our interviews post-incident and pre-incident to try to identify and house those populations a bit better. We've been tiers of housing that are more focused on those special populations. We recently under this administration created an enhanced supervision housing that focuses on some of those who have long histories of violence within our jails, and have not been able to be-You know, they may move into punitive saying come out and go back into punitive because the violence is repetitive. So we focus on a longer term housing process, and at the same time, we've also been trying to expand the training so that officers given and better understand the type of things that they should be looking for that they can raise up to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Intelligence Bureau.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That probably could be a whole set for a hearing, and it just—it just triggered probably a hundred questions on that, but I don't want to get into it right now. I think Chair Crowley's beginning statements about increased statistics on stabbings and some of the crime how can we with this challenge—creation of different tiers of

3 rise for the challenging part of the inmate

4 population, are they on the rise in these areas that

5 | we've-we've created for the most dangerous setting on

6 | the Island?

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: So two things. I think to-to counterbalance, I think what we've done is we've tried to focus attention on both sides. One, within our high custody kind of population we also recently started up restart units. Things that we believe could focus in a lot of attention on inmates, improved programming, better facility maintenance without the capital, at least kind of making-fixing the cell doors, ensuring that they're kind of proper working security measures in those areas. Better trained staff, and within those areas roughly around 1,000 inmates are housed now in restarted units. We've had dramatic decreases in instances across the board, and then on the other we start off our enhanced supervision housing, and I'll be very honest. In the initial first couple of months didn't see a lot of headway in the number of instances, but recently with proper inclusion of staff training and better programming, we have

committee on fire and criminal justice services 59 started to see changes in those housing areas. The level of infractions are lower than a year ago in February and March when we first started the program, and also the violent instances have also kind of decreased. So, all—

2.2

2.3

the numbers come down in the general population areas or—because my hope is there—there are folks that we know we're trying to protect that have had to make bail or one time, and also being put in the same world of folks who have been there month after month. And—and we need to protect—

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:

Yeah, we believe we've seen significant kind of impact on kind of the serious injuries, but obviously it hasn't impacted kind of overall use of force at this point broadly, and we also have, you know, openly problems with weapons within our facilities, and we need to kind of figure out collaborative ways to kind of deal with addressing and catching those weapons.

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 60
2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, thank you
3	for that, and I look forward to some of the increased
4	data on the cameras, staffing, and the infrastructure
5	at the next hearing. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Can you tell me
7	about the searching of inmates for weapons or
8	contraband? It seems you've recovered-you're
9	recovering 50% more weapons than you were last year,
10	which is a good thing.
11	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But your searches
13	are down. However, I wanted to specifically know if-
14	if you got those TSA style machines going?
15	COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, we-we did-we
16	will have the TSA style machines. It's the typical
17	machine that we all go through when we go through the
18	airport
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: With-
20	COMMISSIONER PONTE:it will not-
21	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah, right.
22	COMMISSIONER PONTE:we-we will soon
23	so-
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes.
25	So essentially we have gone through and we're

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 61 2 functioning (sic) off the GSA schedule, and we're 3 waiting. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What does that 4 5 mean? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: 6 The 7 GSA Schedule is federal tracking. 8 COMMISSIONER PONTE: You don't have to 9 reschedule. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: 10 11 Yeah, we don't have go through that because TSA already has those machines under a GSA Federal 12 Contract Schedule. They work here in New York. We 13 have to go off of that schedule because typically 14 15 cities haven't and New York City hasn't bought that 16 machine. So we need to go through the federal 17 contract. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Are you aware that, 19 Commissioner, shortly after you became the 20 Commissioner the Department of Investigation arrested 21 some of your employees within the department for brining in contraband, and upon the press release of 2.2 2.3 that announcement that staff was caught bringing in

contraband, you agreed two years ago to get those

machines. I could bring it up in the press release,

24

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 62
2	but two years ago the Department said through this
3	Department of Investigation press release that you
4	were going to get these TSA style machines. You were
5	also going to increase the number of K9s sniffing and
6	smelling for contraband. Has that happened? Have
7	you had more K9s working?
8	COMMISSIONER PONTE: So wewe-we've
9	greatly expanded our K9 operation both for staff and
10	inmate searches. You know, the-I'm not sure what-
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But like from what
12	to what? What was it prior to that? Are you—ae you
13	familiar with the press release that I'm talking
14	about?
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: So
16	let's talk about the K9s first only because I-
17	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Because that would
18	be-
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
20	think there is a mistake in—in how we did not know
21	that the TSA machines that are currently used. I
22	think we were referencing the original body scans
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: The body scans is

one of them.

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 63
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
3	that we wanted to move, but unfortunately New York
4	State doesn't allow us to use that technology. So,
5	let's-let's deal with the K9-
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah, what it said
7	is just like that. Was TSA dialed? (sic)
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
9	Because previously TSA had used that radiation body
10	scanner within their
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Did
12	you get-so you approved you
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: It
14	would be a little different.
15	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So two years ago
16	you would you had been-you improved your scanners two
17	years ago? After that report—
18	COMMISSIONER PONTE: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:was there any
20	improvement of scanners?
21	COMMISSIONER PONTE: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, but you do
23	realize
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: We

found we cannot use them.

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 64 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --that-that was in 3 2014. 4 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: COMMISSIONER PONTE: And we-we attempted 6 7 to file leg-we have filed legislation to change the 8 law so we can use those body scanners that we actually have in place in most of our buildings. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I don't understand 10 11 why the DOI Commissioner would say that you were going to use them, and then you didn't use them. 12 Two 13 years ago, you said you were going to get more 14 sophisticated scanners whether it be the TSA ones or 15 the ones you were referring to. You still didn't get 16 them, and here we are today, you still do not have 17 them. 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: The TSA scanners are 19 not as effective as the body scanners are. 20 won't detect the weapons that we want to detect, and 21 that's one reason that we have not gone to those 2.2 immediately. [background comments] 2.3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You were-the Department are you going to-are you saying to this 24

committee today that you will definitely purchase

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 65 2 scanners ones that you don't have right now that will 3 have better technology to detect weapons and other 4 contraband? COMMISSIONER PONTE: The TSA style scanners will not detect the kinds of contraband that 6 7 we like to detect. They'd be a little better than we currently have, but not the body scanners that we 8 9 need. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] And 10 11 when do you think you'll get those scanners? 12 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's just a matter 13 or the purchasing process. 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: 15 right after-we'll give you an update after we talk to 16 the GSA on kind of allowing for the purchases. 17 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, because that 18 was a part of the Mayor's press release as well going 19 back to August. So the Mayor was a part of the press 20 release two years ago as well, and so, you know, 21 August was three months ago, but you still do not 2.2 have--2.3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: But the--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] It 24 doesn't seem like you've made any progress since

25

injury?

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 67 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: 3 not a medical officer, I just-4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] A black eye, a black eye? Unless something is broken? 5 What-what-when is it's looked at kind of serious? 6 7 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, bruisingbruising-multiple bruising can do it under--under the 8 criteria, that there's obviously stitches, broken bones, a fractured tooth and things like that. So 10 11 it-it-it's really determined ultimately by the-by the injury report, but yes, if it has any of those, it's 12 13 absolutely-it would be considered obviously as often 14 said either way--15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. COMMISSIONER PONTE: -but the injury part 16 17 if there's a use of force we write a in there. (sic) 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So somebody could 19 be sliced with a scaffold and it may not require 20 stitches and that's probably why you would put that 21 in there in the logbook. COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, no, essentially 2.2 2.3 a laceration counts as a serious injury. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So what happens to 24 staff that document the assaults in a way that

Member Cabrera. Council Member Cabrera first.

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 69
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you. Thank
3	you so much. I just have one question. It's in
4	regards to the percentage of-Let me rephrase that.
5	How many inmates command about, let's say 80% of all
6	the assaults, embolism, assault against inmates,
7	officers and vandalizing? [pause]
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
9	Sorry, just to clarify, just to-
10	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So-so how many
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
12	[interposing] I'm not sure how many there are.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:how many
14	inmates.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: I
16	have to
17	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes, so let me
18	rephrase it.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:
20	Yeah.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Good. No
22	problem. So how many inmates do you have that are
23	committing about 80% of all the attacks, assaults
24	against another inmate, against another correction-

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 70 against an officer, against—and also destruction property in Rikers?

2.2

2.3

think to be very honest with you, Council Member, I'm not sure if I can answer that question because I haven't looked at it in that breakdown. I know for a while when we did the analysis there were a good 7% of the population that had repeated levels of violence, and that's kind of fights to assaults to staff, but the destruction and the other parts I'm not sure, and I haven't done that analysis. So I can't-can't respond. If—if you want to send it to us I'm certainly happy to take a look a bit more of what that would be.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Because let's—

let's put the destruction of property to the side. I

hear that—that you have maybe about around 20 inmates

that create most of, you know, assaults and attacks

against other inmates, and they're the ones who tend

to put their place on the edge, and—and if that is

so-let's assume that it's so, what are we doing with

these 20 inmates or so?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, first off, that's not true. We have probably about 20 or 30

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 71
2	inmates that are more problematic that frequently
3	commit a number of assaults.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER PONTE: We have created
6	facilities and our west facility that will be moving
7	to the BLC to ask for a rule change to manage those
8	inmates in a better appropriate setting so they don't
9	recommit these incidents over and over.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So when is that,
11	Commissioner? When is that slated to happen so we
12	could run more
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Well,
14	it's already—in most cases it's already happened.
15	Those inmates are there. We're asking for a rule
16	change fairly soon with the Board of Corrections so
17	we can continue to do it.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So the rule
19	change essentially will give you permission to
20	COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Keep
21	those inmates separate, and manage them individually.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: On a permanent
23	basis?
	201817222222

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

16 so glad to hear that you're-you're making positive 17 The-my last question is I-I believe that 18 environment affects how we act. How many of-of the facilities are air conditioned? [background

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: I-I don't know that I could say all. I mean we have several. I don't-I don't know the exact ones at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Is it a small

number? 25

comments]

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PONTE: A small number, yes.

number. I mean I'm just thinking about I've been around. Let's say I'm a male. I'm with hundreds and hundreds, locked up, you know, your freedoms have been taken away. We're—we're not judging that piece. It's 100 degrees, and you're inside in the summer, and sometimes it goes for days. I mean what kind of effect does that have on I mean everyone who's working there among inmates, and can you give me a comparison let's say with other municipalities as—as to whether they're—they have facilities that are air conditioned.

different. For us our facilities are fairly old, and that's a—a big factor. We've looked at air conditioning because there was a—a prior lawsuit and the cost of air conditioning in some of these old buildings would be astronomical. So I mean across the country by state it's different. You know, most states do not air condition jails. Mostly your high-rise jails are air conditioned, but because of the inability to, you know, to ventilate through windows as—as other places. But it's—it's kind of a by

chance. I don't know the percentage, but I would say—I would guess a very small percentage of the jails across the country are—are air conditioned.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: What—what do you estimate it would cost to air condition?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER PONTE: $We've=I've$ been down}$  there.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: I mean and I don't even know if it's necessarily the cost. It's just the infrastructure is not amenable to making all those changes. I do want to say that I think we have at least four facilities that have air conditioning. I can get you a more specific number. I would also add that there—we have been in compliance with our obligations under Arbitration (sic) and Consent Judgment, which—which govern the air—air conditioning and how we deal with heat sensitive inmates in terms of any time there's a heat sensitive inmate, we have a process in place to make sure that they are placed appropriately in the proper housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I'm just going to give my two cents and I close with this. I—I know what it is when it gets hot, and—and you have freedom to go some—somewhere, and when you're locked up and

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES it's 100 degrees and you're surrounded, a lot of people who are sweating up a storm, it just, you know, it-it-it-this is when people start getting irritable, and frustration begins to set in, and it's just—it makes it, I--I think, a volatile situation because environment I-I do believe affects how we react often. So thank you so much, and--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: --Madam Chair, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. Thank you, Council Member. There are a number of issues that haven't been addressed today that were within the Monitor's Report such as the department using too much of the chemical spray, too many head strikes.

Not using handheld video footage when required. And then no systematic identification of patterns and trends, and I think I was getting to that when I was asking about that fight with most of the Officer—Officer Cadro was hurt. So let's—let's just answer those four areas just to highlight it. There must be a correlation with too much use of spray, and what

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 76 2 you're saying is a-a decrease in force. Do you think 3 that that's true? 4 COMMISSIONER PONTE: That --? 5 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Why-why are therewhy are there too much chemical spray being used? 6 7 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, I think too many incidents in general, as you've pointed out. 8 So, you know, in most places the-the volume of incidents for us is very high. So that has to get 10 11 better. Officers using chemicals or involved in 12 using sprays--13 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] But 14 I understand that we had a model for the-the rest of 15 the country so that they—(sic) COMMISSIONER PONTE: Officers using 16 17 chemical to resolve these incidents are also higher. 18 We believe we can make improvements in both of those 19 areas. 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: No handheld video 21 footage when required? Where is it? Do you have any cameras that officers can use? 2.2 2.3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: So we've-we added prior to Nunez that officers from proteins. When we 24 dispatch a protein that the handheld would go with

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 77 2 the protein. We-we added that. What he's pointing 3 out is there is that sometimes the cameras are, you 4 know, one is deployed that's discipline. Or, if they are deployed, they're not operated correctly. That's 5 also possibly training or discipline, and so we've 6 7 seen adjustment in the use of these instruments. Of 8 that, you know, we work very hard to train staff, to reinforce the rule of handheld. We believe as we go to the Board examines and obviously the handheld goes 10 11 along with the requirements. 12 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But the report says 13 no. Did you use it? Have you used it? 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Handheld 15 yeah. COMMISSIONER PONTE: Handheld? 16 Yeah, 17 we've been using them for quite a while. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: It says you used it 19 from March from March to July. 20 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes. 21 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KUCZINSKI: Yes it's 2.2 a-like-as the Commissioner said, it's more, usually 2.3 initiated obviously if it's operated incorrectly or it wasn't working or some other reason. But I-I-if-24

if I may, so far the categories you mentioned are the

4 in there for the immediate review on a timely basis

5 so that we could see and try to identify patterns as

6 you're getting it.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: [off mic]
And, Madam Chair, the [on mic] the—the Compliance
Report didn't say that—said that there were instances
where they were not used. And what we have done is
we've revised some of our training. We have made
sure we added a quality assurance component where
we've done some auditing. And we've also I
understand an Investigation Division when they see
some violations will issue command disciple is when
appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How have your classifications changed? Classifications, who's housed where to adhere to—to the Nunez Settlement? Have you addressed—you've addressed classification?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, so I'm not sure and I'll let Heidi talk to how it measures up to the Nunez requirement, but obviously what we've done is we've created the new housing and classification systems I've spoken to before. It

focus on the propensity of violence. We've rolled it out particularly within our model and restart units, and also focus on gang balancing within that classification.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How—how do you gang balance? How many different gangs are there and what-?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There's quite a few. So we-

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What is that, three, ten?

with different sets. So gang balancing for us is trying to pick a couple sets that aren't actually in conflict at this point in time. Tell them I'm going in. That there's going to be other sets or of rival gang or another gang in that housing unit, and the exploitation is for you guys to get along while you live there, and we've been very successful in that regard. So not—some gangs we could not put together. I mean they would just have problems right away. Other gangs are—are sets of gangs we believe in—emitting where one doesn't dominate the other. We've been reasonably successful.

small numbers, but we've put members of an opposite gang together in that same housing unit, and it was part of just analyzing how many? You know, is it five to five, three to three, and then the rest of the unit would be non-SRG, Non-Security Risk--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]
Right, and this is mostly 16 through 19 or 16 through
21-year-olds living with—with that gang?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: This is—-No, these—
these are actually adults, not—not the younger
population.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The younger population are they divided amongst them?

year-olds are not. They are less-less or gang disciplined—I mean there's not—not as much involved in gangs at that point in time. They don't identify as much as a 16 or a 17-year-old. Now, at 18 or older, young adults do. So that's an issue for that we've improved also.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So you have the
3 intelligence to know that there are a lot of
4 different gangs. So do you have the intelligence to

5 know who the gang leader is, and is the gang leader

6 telling other gang members to do violent acts?

to do much more in that regard. We're setting up a separate Intel Unit that that will be their full-time job just to gather that info, the stuff that happens on the street as it comes into the jail we'll know that in advance or, you know, we—you know, we have the ability to listen to phone calls. We don't have the staffing in place to listen to as many calls as we believe will be beneficial to us

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What of the 16 and 17-year-olds, how many do you think are a part of gangs? Percentage? It's not really a problem? It is a problem?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I mean they're affiliated, but just not as—as engrained in the gang culture. Any ideas there?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah, you just have to identify—identify yourself.

2.2

2.3

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TOULON: Good

2.2

2.3

morning. I'm Errol Toulon, Jr., Deputy Commissioner of Operations. Usually, with the 16 and 17-year-old populations, they are more a recruit. So they will go into certain sets of the street before they migrate into larger populations as we know is the Crypts, the Bloods, the Trinitarios. So, they are a small subset of these—the population that you're describing.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So the three gangs that you said make up the majority of gang members within the jail population?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TOULON: No, there are a few more. I would say there's about ten primary gangs, if I'm—if I'm recalling correctly, but the—the—there are more crews and subsets, and you have to remember under the gang of the Bloods, there may be ten subsets of those bloods. There may be ten subsets of Crypts or Trinitarians. So it's just not those or an overarching three large gangs—gang—gang sets.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, thank you.

So now, part of the Nunez settlement is that there's more monitoring of facilities. I'm curious to know

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2 | how you're keeping track of staff, monitoring

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 | facilities, and also the report. It called for more

4 use of supervisors monitoring. So, a lot is

5 happening. Data gives good information. How—how are

6 you keeping track of what staff is doing and-and how

7 | much more are you going to utilize technology in

8 | helping you to manage what's happening?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Right,
well we are—we are working on a case management
system, new development of the case management
system, which would allow us to track use of force
incidents, use of force investigations, disposition
of trial among other things. And so that is
something that's—that we're working on, but in the
interim there's many—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] So that's for officers that have disciplinary investigations or actions.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: It would be everything to do with use of force, and how at the touch points and what—how we operate. So how it starts with the use of force with an investigation. So then if there's a need—if there's a substantiation of that investigation, and there's a need for it to

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 84 be referred to trials, then trials will receive it, and there will be a way of tracking that as well all the way to litigation.

2.2

2.3

about staff making the rounds and more frequent rounds that the report was asking to—How are you getting documentation or proof that what Nunez was asking for when it comes to officers? Watching what's happening in facilities and supervisors also just putting a higher level of surveillance? How are you making sure that's happening?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GROSSMAN: Well, I-

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] So we can, you know, with our camera system we can obviously monitor real time. We do do that. We have several supervisory levels, chiefs and officers that monitor real time cameras and can tell, you know, what people are doing or not doing. Part of state rules requires us to have which is—which is called a watched tour system, which is an electronic system. When the officer makes rounds, they—they have to make the rounds every half hour, and they have to electronically sign in, and that system will allow us to look remotely at rounds, the frequency of rounds

Τ	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
2	and—and make adjustments. So that system is in place
3	at one facility or two facilities at this point.
4	What we need to
5	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]
6	That's what they said RNDC.
7	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, and we're
8	going to need to roll that out through all
9	facilities.
10	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Now,
11	what are-what are the roles of supervisors making
12	rounds there?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, supervisors
14	have to sign in the logbook, and those rounds are
15	reviewed by the Tour Commanders, and the Warden and
16	the Deputy Warden.
17	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So how frequently
18	do supervisors have to make rounds on a tour?
19	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Three. They have to
20	make three rounds.
21	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [pause] So your 16
22	and 17-year-olds are no longer with adults, correct?
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: At any time during
25	the course of

24

you know?

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 87

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: No,

I don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

about this West facility. I've—I've heard more recently about it being used. Has it always been used? Through those facilities how many—how many units or how many people are—are housed in——how many inmates are housed in that facility in that facility?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: the West facility had traditionally been built for infectious diseases, and the construct was to have cells that had a cell, and then an attached day room for which inmates could be housed particularly for different infectious diseases. They would not allow them to kind of-allowed them to kind of get services and—and participate, but without having to actually mingle with other inmates or staff. And recently, as we moved toward kind of dealing with it, I think the question was asked about some of our more problematics. We had two subpopulations for which we felt, you know, separating and minimizing how much they mingled during services would be helpful. One, those who are persistently violent, and those who are particularly problematic attacking other inmates and

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 88 2 other staff. And then two, a subpopulation of people 3 who are under extensive kind of protective custody 4 for notoriety or media attention. We have several 5 inmates who may have been charged with a kind of-a particular double violence or assault on certain 6 7 populations of minors and other people for which the 8 reputation goes out and there are automatic, you know, retaliation against those inmates. So we want to separate those inmates as well from the general 10 11 population because of the fear of danger to the 12 inmate themselves. So these two populations we've 13 housed in the west facility because of the structure 14 that that specific facility built for infectious 15 diseases keeps and prevents kind of this interaction. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Does 16 17 anyone have an infectious disease? 18 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: 19 There are parts of it for-focused on infectious 20 disease, yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do we have any inmates with infectious disease? 2.2 2.3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Well, we're in there as well, and first of all, I don't as 24

25

if we have any.

punitive seq. They may have been in punitive seq.

2.2

2.3

to the end of my questions, and I'm going to talk—ask a little bit about your programs because—and the budget because I know that we've committed \$100 million just to adhering to the settlement, and we've committed five—2-1/2--\$250 million over five years that we've already spent. We've \$100 million between this budget and my—So, how is that money being spent? Where is the bulk of that money going, and then, tell me—I bet some of it is going to programs, and then we'll go into programs. Whatever you want to start talking about first, the budget or the programs.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM:

Yeah, overall budget. Obviously as the Commissioner stated, we focused on the 14-Point Agenda. A lot of money has been going not just to training, and equipment, but also in terms of some of these maintenance and changes we've had to make within the facilities with the cameras are a huge part of it not

COMMISSIONER PONTE: You want to?

just the actual purchasing of the cameras themselves, but the money we've had to spend to install said cameras. We've expanded out classes and, therefor, hired and kind of paid more for our trainers, set up new houses, focused on enhanced supervision housing with the bulk of money that went in there for staffing and the programming that went into that staffing, the maintenance that went into that, and obviously we've been doing a lot more on programming. So I can at least kind of speak a little bit to the programming efforts there.

2.2

2.3

afternoon. My name is Winette Saunders. I serve as the Deputy Commissioner for Youthful Offender Programming. It's a pleasure to be here with you guys today. I just wanted to talk a little about programming. As you may have heard earlier, we've really dedicated a lot of time to the really develop a comprehensive, multi-faceted approach to the-to working with young people who have unique needs. We want to get rid of this cookie cutter approach that we utilized in the past, and really focus on the individualized needs for this population. Some of the highlights I'd like to just point out is the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provides a really comprehensive portfolio that focuses on career and technical education that will allow for young people to receive introductoryintroductory career and technical education in seven different modules because, as you can all agree, that college may not be for everybody. So we want to give kids an opportunity to be exposed to different areas. So while we push education, we also push learning a trade. So, some of the different programming options will include-right now we have cosmetology, carpentry, plumbing, digital literacy. We have

overseen by I think Christopher Otterly (sic) that

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 94
2	will offer job readiness and the continuation of
3	these courses.
4	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And how long have
5	the courses been in place?
6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: So we
7	launched the industry recognized credentials in June,
8	and which in June we had about 288 certifications
9	earned by 18 to 21-18 to 21-year-old population, and
10	it's now ongoing. So right now for this year at the
11	end of this fiscal year we'll have more than 2,100
12	slots available for these types of programming and
13	over 1,500 for industry recognized credentials.
14	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And if I'm an
15	inmate, and I complete one and I get
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS:
17	[interposing] You can go for another.
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I can go for
19	another.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: As long as
21	you're exhibiting good behavior because it's a
22	incentivized approach.
23	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And—and you could
24	be any age to take the program?

## COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: Well, at this juncture, we have focused on our 18 to 21-year-olds. However, our—our young ladies 16 to 21 can receive cosmetology at this juncture, and culinary arts.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But if young lady wants to take a plumbing credential it's not available?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: Oh, the plumbing. See, what happens right now is by survey we ask young people what their interests are, and we roll out based on need and based on request. So if we have a number of kids that say I want to do XYZ, we roll out what's—what the interests dictates, if you will.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [pause] So how much money is then spent on the various different or allocated to be spent by the end of this fiscal year on those certified programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: Well, we've been allocated approximately \$20,000-20-sorry, \$20 million for up to the end of the fiscal year, and that also includes training and different things that really support the programming efforts done.

96

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How often do facilities get put down-on lockdown and the inmates are not able to get to the classes, and you able to hold the class because of the lockdown?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: I can sav that we've been getting a lot better at this. In the event because this is incentive based, in the event that you are exhibiting good behavior and there have been no incident that involves you and that you are currently taking this class, the facility will allow that class to attend, the class even despite the lockdown of the entire facility.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THAMKITTIKASEM: [off mic] Sorry [on mic] focusing on responses that isolate within housing units so that lockdowns don't necessarily have to happen facility wide, but so much are focused on specific housing of where an incident happens, and I think for further context for what the Deputy Commissioner said, it's important to note at least that before the Commissioner started, we had less than 45 minutes of available programming to each of the inmates, and a massive amount of that commitment has been to expand that. One school went from three houses to five hours. Programming

significantly increased not just with the adolescents and the young adults, but also to the adult population, and we've tried to mix both the hiring of program counselors in the jails who are in the housing units so that we have sustainability, but also contracted out programmings who offer some of these skills and trades. So we have kind of tailored approaches to different parts of the population.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: And just to mention, we also integrated a few additional partnerships with higher education institutions. So we have Manhattan College, and now we have Saint Johns. Both of those entities offer college courses to young people on Rikers. In addition to that, we also have a partnership with CUNY, most specifically with La Guardia, and Hostos College that provide hard skills and soft skills, and employment. [pause] And we've also had a significant increase in horticultural programming and enhanced (sic) programming.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We—we recently passed a bill that's going to now law ask for real numbers on the programming. So I'm glad to see that you seem to have done a significant amount of work

Wheaties?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes because I truly appreciate the homework.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I need to lose weight if I'm going on the Wheaties box.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: That—that [laughter] But I appreciate the homework. I appreciate the line of questioning. Part of my testimony when I wrote it was to say to the committee, you know, to question the committee as to what it is that you guys have done, or what are you doing. The one thing that I really would ask is that today, Madam Chairperson, you-you guys today really asked good questions, and right questions. And as you can see, when you ask the right questions and good questions for whatever reason, a lot of times the agency don't seem to be able to-to have an answer for you. When I read the second-when I read the second report of the Monitor, one thing that to me was he said in his report that violence was continuing to go up. This is what the Monitor said in his second report. So, when you question him about them saying that the violence is going down, and-and the thing is the Monitor gets his statistics from the agency. The Mayor gets his statistics from the agency. We all get our

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 statistics from the agency, and they're giving us 3 statistics telling us that it's going up. 4 they—they give us statistics saying it's going up, but then they sit in front of us and they do press conferences and press releases saying it's wonderful. 6 7 You know, you know, it's-it's going down. So, I-I-I 8 really want to thank you. I would also like to if-if it's fine with you, the-the-the President of the Assistant Deputy Warden's, Deputy Wardens Association 10 is here, Marcel Zooby (sp?), if it's okay with you, I 11 12 would like for him to-to come up and sit with-with 13 the--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Sure. If—if the presidents wants to speak, they just need to fill out a form here and give it to the sergeant-of-arms.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah, he--

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: We're—we're usually on the same page, but I'm not sure what he wants to speak on. Good morning, Chairwoman Crowley and members of the Committee. My name is Elias Husamudeen. I'm the President of the Correction Officers Association , the second largest law enforcement union in the City of New York, whose

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES members provide shared custody and control of over 9,000 inmates in the nation's second largest jail system. Following the announcement of the Nunez settlement in June of 2015, nearly a year and a half later we are still facing an eruption of jail violence in jail facilities on Rikers Island as well as the borough jails. In fact, the level of jail violence continues to increase even as the inmate population drops to its lowest level in over 30 years. As noted in a report from the Comptroller's Office from last year, the Administration keeps pouring money into the problem without seeing any real results or improvements and the COBA couldn't agree more. Since we last testified before this committee in September, numbers correction officers have been viciously assaulted by inmates causing severe injuries, including slashing to the face, the head, broken jaws and trauma to other parts of the body. Also, since our last appearance before this committee, many inmates have been named by other inmates as a result of extremely violent gang related assaults. To illustrate the severity of these incidents, I refer you to the pictures that I brought with me today. I brought pictures with me today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES I'll just hold them up. I didn't bring to actually give out, but these pictures—these are pictures not only of correctional officers, but these are pictures of inmates as well, and these pictures of severe inmates. And basically our message is that—and I'm— I'm straying off for a minute. Basically, our message is that what we say is that no matter what side of the bar your family member is on, everybody deserves to be safe. We're not here to give a-a-a picture that—that—that the world is exploding, but we're also not here to give a picture that we don't have some serious problems that we have to deal with. And to what one of your co-workers said about the 2030, it's actually true. Although the Commissioner might say it's not, there is a small population of inmates who are-appear to have the agency and-and by the neck or by their throat, and they don't seem to be able to know what to do with them. Unfortunately, this population is—is—the 16 to 21-year-old actually are-although they're a small part of the population, they're responsible for more than 30% of the-of the violent crime and the crimes on Rikers Island. of the last seven correction officers who were assaulted meaning staff, six stiches in the ear,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES slashed across the arm. Out of the last seven cases of correction officer's assault, five were done by inmates between the ages of 16 to 21. The other two, one was 27 years old and the other one is 30. just to go back, these pictures have not been altered or photo shopped. They are quite real, and they were taking immediately after the victims were attacked. There is saying that a picture speaks a thousand So, by that measure, these—these pictures speak volumes about how dangerous the city jail are and the danger does not discriminate from threats to staff or threats to inmates, and again like I said, no mater which side of the bars your family is on, they deserve to be safe. We have committed to bring these issues to light in the media to the Board of Corrections and the City Council. We continue to sound the alarm on the epi-the epidemic of violence and we continue to proposed thoughtful policy proposals that if implemented would greatly enhance our war on crime in the city jails. I will touch on those proposals in a minute, but our question today, and like I said in the beginning, you-you pretty much answered that, and all I ask is that you guys just continue to follow up, and-and force them to bring

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES you the statistics and-and the things that they're saying that is actually happening on the Island. question on the minds of my members and their families is what has each of you done to make the jails safer for everyone? If you are disturbed or angered by the images I just showed you, the question is what are you doing specifically to address these problems that clearly are not going away any time We are happy to attend these hearings, and outline our members' concern and put a face of the culture of jail violence, but with all due respect, these hearings are quite simply sometimes a waste of I don't think it was today, and the concerns time. expressed at these hearings ring hollow if this body does nothing between now and the next hearing to make the jails safer for both staff and inmates alike. that end, on behalf of the 9,000 correction officers and their families, I am asking you today, you know, to continue to do what you were doing with it. (sic) And down with the Nunez. The Nunez settlement is a 63-page agreement that calls for over a dozen-over a dozen jailed reforms. It is the Department's job to report on the agency implementation of this settlement, and it is our miss-our understanding that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the majority of the reforms proposed it won't be fully implemented until next September. Let's take a look at the recent elimination of punitive segregation for 18 to 21-year-old inmates. As vou may or may not be aware, inmates ages 18 to 21 make up 10%, 12% of the city jail population that commits about 30% of the violence. Since the Corrections Department eliminated punitive seg for 16 to 17-yearold inmates a year ago, what have we seen? Slashing and stabbings at the city jails increased 21.3% in Fiscal 2015 to Fiscal 2016, and since this past January over 620 correction officers have been assaulted mostly by inmates between the ages of 21-by inmates age 21 and under. Do any members of this committee honestly believe that eliminating punitive segregation for the other most violent inmate population is really going to reverse these numbers? Are we going to sit idly by and wait for an inmate under 21 and part of a hearing to kill a correction officer or another inmate, or even a visitor before we finally acknowledge that that the Administration is actually contributing to the jail violence epidemic rather than eliminating it? And just to speak basically on what Deputy Commissioner Toulon

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES said. Basically, he was explaining to you that amongst the 16 to 17-year-olds are not really gang members. Well, whether you want to all them a gang, a crew, a posse, whatever you want to call them, they're a gang at the end of the day. They're gangs, and they're a part of these gangs. Ending punitive segregation is another way of saying it is open season on correction officers and an invitation for inmates to-to increase their terrorist attacks on correction officers, civilians and other inmates. This administration continues to put their desire to be the first in the nation instead of being the smartest in the nation when it comes to ensuring the safety of staff and inmates alike. The most recent assaults on correction officers were committed by inmates in this age group, and they are routinely assaulted by this population. Maybe other states haven't completely ended punitive segregation. because they are not in denial about the violence caused by this population, and ending punitive segregation would only cripple our officers' ability to fight crime in the jails and to protect themselves from being assaulted. COBA is acutely aware of the importance of reducing crime, and our members are on

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the front lines of crime fighting in the city jail In the past year, alone we have intercepted system. hundreds of weapons from entering our jail facilities, and portrayed the most dangerous inmate gangs, and interceded in vicious inmate on inmate attacks, and many times prevented inmates from literally killing one another, which is something that we do everyday. Just last month two correction officers assigned to OBCC, the Otis Bantum Center one of the largest jails on Rikers were the victims of an inmate attack to assault another inmate. inmates involved were protected, ultimately it was the two correction officers who were sent to the emergency room to be treated for their injuries. incident at OBCC is not an anomaly. In fact, it is quite the norm. Violence is not only a reality, but the dramatic increase in violence has morphed into a full blown crime wave that you of the City Council cannot ignore. Furthermore, while the Administration favors the cherry picking approach of examining a couple of facilities where assaults on staff declined slightly, the reality is that well over 600 correction officer were assaulted just within the last year. This is a staggering number, and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES certainly not a measurement that reflects that crime is down by any measure in our jails. A 14-Point Plan heavy on programs and light on safety and security will never turn around these numbers, and it will not create the real results that might-that make it into the City Hall media talking appoint. At—at the end of the day, what this union and its members we arewe're definitely not against the programs. We're not against programs. We-we've actually recommended programs, and-and things that we feel can be done to assist the inmates and their re-entry or while they're doing their time. The problem that we have, and this is what we said to the Mayor, this is what we said to the Council and to the Commissioner, any program that does not take into consideration of safety, if safety is not the first priority, then there's a problem with us with the program. if the inmates are not safe, the officers are not safe and if civilians who end up getting teeth knocked out and-and-and getting injured to the point where they're never going to come back to work, and they're never going to have a normal life, then we can have as many programs as we want, but if they're not safe, then the numbers are never going to go

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES down. A 14-Point Plan heavy on programs and light on safety and security will turn around these numbers, and it will—they will not create the real results that might make it into City Hall media talking Nor will a 14-Point Plan heal the wounds of officers who were viciously slashed, stabbed and beaten and will likely wear these scars for the rest of their lives. Our members are spread thin and increasingly being called on to do much with-with virtually no support. How does the biggest city in the nation turn its back on over 9,000 men and women, fathers and mothers, sisters and brothers, sons and daughters and ask the to put themselves in harm's way to keep the city safe when the city fails miserably to keep them safe? If there was ever a tale of two cities, it could not be more evident in the two approaches to crime fighting. In one approach with the NYPD, every possible tool is provided to officers to their precinct commanders. Their academies are cutting edge with the latest technology, and new legislation is regularly passed by this Council to give them resources they need to protect themselves in their fight on crime, but that's simply not the reality in the other approach to the city's fight on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 crime when it comes to the crime wave we see in the 2 3 jails. They could not be less adequately equipped, 4 more understaffed, and more poorly trained to deal with the inmates we face each and every day, which again in the Monitor's first report one of the things 6 7 he said is that their training academy for correction 8 officers was atrocious. I mean his description of the Academy was nothing that I--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I 10 11 couldn't agree with you more. I said that before. 12 said that. I said that years ago, and I've been 13 pushing every budget to trying to get the monies 14 resourced out. The resources, the monies allocated--15 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Right. 16 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --that you can have 17 a real academy. I'm not proud that to have it in my 18 district because it's just a mess. 19 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: It—it really is, and 20 we sit here today--21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: -- and this Monitor's 2.2 2.3 Report was done in May-in May and today we sit here and we still have the same academy, and although they

just graduated 711 recruits, they're staking them

24

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
 2
    guess where? In the same academy. The training and
 3
    the conditions that exist when the Monitor gave his
 4
     first report is still-they sill exist--
 5
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I
    heard the academy--
 6
 7
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: -and they continue to
    train.
 8
 9
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --is operating
     24/7.
10
11
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes, and actually
    they've been adding to it. (sic)
12
13
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] That
     there are actually recruits coming and teachers
14
15
     coming in the middle of the night.
16
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.
17
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That's a shame.
18
     So, I want to talk a little bit about the overtime
19
     and what's happening there because I've heard a lot
20
     of complaints that their members are working
21
     excessive amounts, and tell me what happens and—and
2.2
    what we can do to prevent such an excessive amount of
2.3
     overtime.
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Well, as-as-as when we
24
```

were before you the last time I think is September,

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES basically what we said then is what we say now. of the problem with the overtime the triple tours, the correction officers work four and twos. four days, we're off two days. Right now we work what correction officers call four out four meaning we get stuck 16, 16 and 16 and 16. So a lot of our officers are already working four out four. Some of them are having they're-they're working triples, and some are doing-the Department it went as far we were able to stop it. It was attempting to cancel one of the pass days that they had. The problem with the overtime and the reason for the overtime, which is unfortunately the agency is in denial, is that the agencies have never seen a program that it—that—that it doesn't like. And the problem is they'll create program after program, some of the programs that the Deputy Commissioner mentioned, and they'll continue to create programs, but the staffing level remains the same. So if you take a facility like AMKC, if-if -if the staffing level let's just say it's supposed to be a thousand inmates—a thousand officers, and they only have 750 well, they will still introduce a new program, which requires 50 to 60 officers, which they will take from the 750, which further depletes

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the rest of the officers that has to-that have to maintain the safety and security of the jails. of the things that we've asked the agency and the Commissioner to do is stop. Stop with the programs. Until you can get the amount of officers, the class that's graduating and another class until the jail is properly staffed, then let's stop with the programs because the programs is a big part of what's leading to the overtime problem and then when you have officers who are fatigued, who are driving home and have an accident, and when you have officers who are fatigued in the jail for 16 hours, 16 hours or more, of course, we're going to have use of force. Of course, we're going to have a whole lot of situations going on because they're going to be tired, they're fatigued, they're aggravated, the inmate is aggravated because he or she wants the services that he or she is entitled to in being in jail. basically, this class just graduated. We expect that it's going to reduce some of the overtime, but it's not going to completely-it's not going to bring it down where it should be. And again the-the agency just spoke to you about programs that they're getting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES  $^{11}$ 1 ready to institute with the same staffing level that they already have.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SAUNDERS: [off mic]

Can you speak to this. I asked it—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The Commissioner and DOC staff present about incidents of violence that occur and that those incidents not be documented correctly or the accusations that I've heard from some of your members about the

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right, and then—
then it being put as a logbook. Can you explain what
that means to the committee, the classifications?

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: The—the—the Department has developed new methods of recording incidents, and a log book is something that the agency has and now they have—at one time they would just use slashings as a logbook entry, which we didn't understand that either. If you're a civilian and inmate throws urine and feces on you, it's—it goes down as a criminal offense or a criminal act. If you throw urine and feces on a CO, it goes down as a logbook entry. They do a lot of that just to play games with the numbers

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
    as far as reporting these-these concerns.
 2
 3
    when you or your committee asks for a report about
    assault on correction officers, you might not get
 4
    what goes into the logbook entry. You might only get
 5
    what goes into what we call-we have a thing called
 6
 7
    COD, or a 24-hour report. You might get what goes on
 8
    the 24-hour report or what the COD report, but if
    it's in the logbook and it's not reported, then
    you're not going to get that. So the members—the
10
11
    numbers differ and, you know, my members complained
12
     about it before--
13
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I
14
    want to take a closer look at that. So if you could
15
    provide me with numbers and incidents I want to
    compare because because they were adamant against not
16
17
    classifying the reporting--
18
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Right.
19
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --incidents
20
     incorrectly--
21
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Right.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --or down
2.3
    incorrectly.
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: And this is something
24
```

that's fairly new with them as far as—as far as some

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 116 of the incidents now that they consider or that they've put in a logbook entry. So, definitely.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just before I recognize Council Member Vallone with a question you may or may not want to answer this, sir. But the report—the Monitor's Report says there was too much use of chemical agents, and then the Department says well that's—that's good. We'll have a good one and find out, but the level of use of force A is going down. So, is there—is there more of a, you know, let's—let's sit back type of not get involved because of the ramifications?

that my members continuously say that their hands have been tied, and yes, my members are deployed and a lot of them are confused as to whether or not to get involved, whether to even get involved. With—with the incidents because exactly what's said here is what's going to happen. For instance, just—just to go back for a second, one of the things that bothers me is this: They said that the numbers are high. So, if we look at 2015. In 2015 New York City correction officers took custody of 68,000 inmates. We took custody. We had in our system 68,000 inmates

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
for 2015. The use of force for 2015, the numbers
given were like 3,300. For me, when I'm looking at
68,000 inmates and 3,300 use of forces and most of
those use of forces maybe deemed spray or-or a COD
use of force. Quite frankly, I pat my officers on
the back and I say a good job. A lot of times when
people look at these numbers, they're looking at the
numbers as if it's based on the maybe 8,000 or 9,000
inmates who are in the jail that's right there as
opposed to the understanding that we sometimes go up
to more 100,000 inmates a year that come through our
system, and some of them come through the system and
leave, and but are also involved in use of force or
assaults against staff. So, I think that that's
something that needs to be remembered. The other
thing is as far as correction officers are divided.
We-we-we do have a lot going on because in the one
breath you-they give us OC and they say, use the OC.
We use the OC. Now they say, they're using too much
OC, or you shouldn't use the OC or you have to be at
least

25 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: The chemical agent?

That's the chemical agent.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:

1

2

agents. Oh, I'm sorry, the chemical agents, or in—in
the Monitor's Report it talked about us using it and

The chemical

5 not being three feet away as if when an inmate comes

6 out of his cell, we want to be able to say wait,

7 | wait, don't-wait, hold on. Wait, I got to go back

8 three feet before I spray you. I mean. Some of this

9 stuff is just not—it's not in real time. It's—it's

10 | just not very realistic, but they—you know, my—to

11 answer your question, my members are going through a

12 | lot of different type of emotions because in-in one

13 | breath if you get involved there's a possibility that

14 you can literally be arrested. You can literally be

15 | indicted even later on like a lot of my members have

16 been found not guilty or to have a-a grand jury throw

17 | the indictment. I mean, so, yeah there is confusion

18 and it is—it is a problem, and it's presented a

19 problem for us. I mean to answer your question.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, thank you.

Council Member Vallone.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Chair

23 Crowley and thank you President Husamudeen. I think

24 you can't address these issues without hearing both

25 sides of the story.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No, you can't.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And—and s many times there's so little time given to this side of the story, the Public Safety side of the story, but there are a few of us left that do care about that side of the story.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: However, politically the climate of the day always seems to pick the one or two horrific cases and rush to judge and make changes on that without dealing with this side of the story. And all I ever ask at every committee hearing because it always seems to be us, Chair Crowley and myself sitting here opposed to everyone else. What are both sides? Because whatever we do in life we're not safe. We can't do anything going on the street, the neighborhood school, and its' parts of Rikers Island, and I wanted to hear from your side then what resources do you need in the wake of this new rush to remove the tools to keep safety at Rikers Island versus the demand on the offices and the staff to meet these new needs?

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: One of the things that is the fact they eliminated punitive segregation for

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

120

16 to 21-year-olds presents a problem for us because
this particular inmate was slashed by another inmate.
This officer was slashed by an inmate.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: The attorney side of me says I'd like to move to put that into evidence, assuming I'm on a trial again, but unfortunately we just have copies there.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No, I'll-I'll give a This is the problem. This inmate that did copy. this, he is going to be re-arrested. The department is going to re-arrest him. No problem. Since we had DA Darcel Clark in the Bronx, the attitude of the judges or whatever is happening in the Bronx is changing. So now the inmates are being given bail. They are being remanded. That's just not complete prop-that's not the complete solution because unfortunately, if the inmate who did this is between 16 and 21, we're going to bring them back to Rikers. We can't put them in punitive segregation. Punitive segregation for correction officers is a jail within a jail. That's what that is to us. If you assault a police officer, what happens? You go to jail. you assault a bus driver, a conductor of a train, what happens? You go to jail. You can be a 13-yearCOMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
101
old, if you assault a school teacher, what happens,
you're going to be arrested, you're going to jail. If
you assault a New York City correction officer, you
get a program. It is--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: With what you have to have missed?

you're going to continue to get visits. You're going to continue to get commissary. You're going to be treated the same way the inmate who is abiding by the rules is being treated. That's a problem. So what we say now is since you eliminated punitive segregation for 16 to 21-year-olds, when we get the—the—the—the—the violent inmates within them from our system, take them. We shouldn't be—we should not have to deal with them. You took away punitive seg. You took away one of the tools, the best tool that we feel that help us, which is a jail within a jail.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Do we have data now with the-as a result of the takeaway and the-and statistics with the 16 to 21 compared to when you had punitive segregation as compared to now?

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No, not yet.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That would be very helpful.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Well, we'll-we'llCOUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] I
think we're going to make the cry.

to be—as—as correction officers, we already know what it's going to be because we already have these particular populations that may—who tell our members you can't do anything to me. I could cut you and I'm only going to be arrested and I'm going to go there, and I'm going to come back here, and I'm still going to be provided all of the services that everybody else gets. So—

resources that you do, because I'll just—I mean what we want to do is try to fight for your staff, and your officers. While we rush to implement mental health initiatives, programs, all this falls on the back. It's the same thing I do with the NYPD when it comes to continually putting layers and they can't be cops any more because they have to do everything else. It's the same questions. We have to balance

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES what the needs are of the officers in all of our 2 3 segments. ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: One of the things that 4 you use to give us punitive segregation back for that 5 population, but if you can't--6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] I 8 got that. I got that. 9 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: If you can't--10 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I was just 11 trying to help you before we run out of time. 12 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: But if you can't do 13 that then maybe you could do something to help us as 14 far as removing this population from the Riker's 15 Island and put them in New York City jails because 16 they don't-they don't belong here. COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] 17 18 Do you have bulletproof vests yet for all your 19 members? 20 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Say that again. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Do you have 2.2 bulletproof vests yet for all your members? 2.3 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: We-we don't wear the

bulletproof. We wear slashproof, and not all of

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 them. Our very similar (sic) are not provided yet, 3 but we-we have a slashproof vest. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Is that—is that 5 a budgetary concern or is that just by choice that they're not wearing them? 6 7 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No, that's not by choice. That's a budgetary concern or the 8 mismanagement of the agency. COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, that's one 10 11 of the things that Chair Crowley and I can check 12 then? 13 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I have put that 15 bill in back when the NYPD got theirs and the 16 response I got back was it's not necessary to know. 17 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Really? 18 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: How as that not 19 necessary? So it goes to show you the things that 20 goes on behind the scenes. 21 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: The-the problem-2.2 Brother, the problem that we have is we need the 2.3 resources to treat a crime that happens in a New York City jail the same way a crime is treated that 24

happens in the streets or in the city of New York.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
175
That's-that's the resources that we need, those are
the resources that we need. In order for us to be
able to do that, and I—I understand that the ruling
has been made with the Board of Corrections who, you
know, in most cases are just completely clueless
about what goes on in jail. But at the end of the
day, the resources that we need is we need our
members to be safe, and the only way for our members
for our members to be safe is for us to be able to
remove this particular population from amongst us
since we have no way of controlling.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And—and I think the separate issues that sometimes get addressed, but there's another protege (sic) hot topic was mental health illness on—on Rikers Island, and the additional layers of burdens that are being placed on our officers with programs. Can you—is there any update as to I guess, you know, the new approach with the—the staggering amount of mental health detainees and inmates you have to deal with versus what the—what the corrections officers have to—are doing with that face—to—face?

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Okay, for some 3 clarity, repeat that because Zooby was in my ear at 4 the same time you were talking. [laughs] COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I was just trying to get a handle on what the increased number 6 7 of mental health detainees and inmates versus the 8 burden now placed on the corrections officers and staff to have to be faced with that? Are you being provided with the proper numbers, staffing and--10 11 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --training to 13 deal with the ever-rising number of mental health. 14 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: No, we're not. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So what's the 16 least that--17 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Well, again, the 18 staffing problem is an overall problem. The staffing 19 problem affects everything. It affects the services 20 that we provide to the inmates as far as mental health is concerned. If the staff is not there--21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] So 2.3 what's the ideal number we need to get to for staff? ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Right now, the last 24

number we were short 2,500 correction officers. We

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

were under by 2,500 correction officers, and one of

the things that the—the—the Chairperson pointed out

was although this particular class was the biggest

class we've had in the history of Corrections, it is

true that the Academy itself is four months. So if

they bring in 500 correction recruits in four months,

we're losing literally 50—40 to 50 correction

officers per month to retirement and other—they're

just leaving. So, by the time four months is done

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] You get them really on the force also. If you're losing all your veterans--

and that class graduates, 500 really is not 500.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You're getting very-yes-yes, that's why.

itself is not—is not as adequate as it should be. I mean you can't give somebody eight hours worth of mental health training and—and—and think that that's enough training for them to go in and be able to deal with the population of inmates since we have such a

2.2

2.3

is--

- 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
- 2 high population of mentally ill, which was testified
- 3 to by the Commissioner himself.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I think and I think that's why you heard our questioning about infrastructure--
  - ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.
  - council Member Vallone: -- and the size on it. It's almost impossible to provide that safety element based on its condition with the existing structures that are left. We never meant for this situation. I mean back when I was on the Board of Corrections, it was over 14,000 inmates a day. Now that number has gone down, but it was—it was a mad house.
  - ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Right, and I came on the job in the early 2000s.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I mean I—I—I appreciate you also giving us some of the numbers. I think you said 68,000 in 2015.
- 21 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I think I
- 23 | wouldn't have said that. (sic)

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: And-and I believe 3 we've already can see-I-I think we're far beyond. We 4 might be either at or beyond 68,000 for this year. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I just invite you to keep-keep the cause going, and I think 6 7 we, like I said, we always need to hear both sides of 8 the story, and I think Chair Crowley is very good at making sure he second side is there, and if you know that I will do our part, and we will do our part to 10 11 do that. I think we take the budget when we do our 12 programs, when we're dealing with additional layers that correction officers and staff have to deal with. 13 14 They make you very cognizant on how that will affect 15 the public safety of everyone that goes on Rikers. So thank you. 16 17 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Okay. I'm going to turn this. 18 19 THOMAS FARRELL: Yes, yes. I just want 20 to touch base on two things. You had asked about 21 the--2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Just identify. 2.3 I know who you are. THOMAS FARRELL: I'm sorry. 24 Thomas

Farrel, Legislative Chairman for COBA. I apologize.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES Revamp some of the training, and utilize some of the tools that we have in New York City. We've gone out of this city and the state, and went to jails throughout the country, and we saw the way that they deal with correction officers. They'll deal with their mental health people. They'll deal with their department of medical-you know, the medical field, and it's a team. So if an inmate starts scratching his right side, we know we got to maybe give him a candy bar. I'm using that as an example. Certain things, certain-we're not doing that. There's no information shared. Everybody claims the HIPAA Law so the doctors can tell the correction officer. Not the health commissioner can't tell the correction officer. Everything is kept secret. If you're going to change the climate, you've got completely change it. Infrastructure is part of it, sharing information amongst all the agencies that are on Rikers Island, and better training. How about brining in a clinician to tell them what they need to expect if you're working with mental health inmates, not a correction officer, not a supervisor.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: There's still

having issues with sharing of information? That goes back a ways.

THOMAS FARRELL: Absolutely. Since—since absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: When we hand walking folders around the Island, and they didn't have computers.

THOMAS FARRELL: They didn't change that, and the other thing I wanted to talk about you-you asked about the love our countries and things like that. Officers are definitely afraid. I had gotten a call, just a random call last Friday. There's two different directives. There's a directive an Op-or on how to handcuff an inmate. Okay, so this is with the departments. The officer was just trained on how to handcuff the inmate. He followed the training that he had just received the week before. incident occurred. The suspended that correction officer because he violated the yellow order that they had. So it's complete mismanagement, and we spoke about it. I received phone calls. The Chief of Staff received phone calls, spoke to all the way up to the chain of command and they still suspended the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES correction officer. So these are the things, and the logbook entries like they-they-they didn't really lie. They-they basically gave you their answer. was not a serous injury. That officer gets punched and his nose didn't get broken, and he doesn't want to hit the inmate back. It's a logbook entry. officer gets thrown into the wall, gets knocked to the ground and is nervous to do something because they don't want to get suspended, they don't want to get arrested. They want to go home and take care of their families. It's a logbook entry. So we need to really look at the logbook entry and see exactly what they are, and that's the logbook entries have gone through the roof the way they used to be. So that's the answer to that question, and-and Council Member Vallone, I think those are some of the changes that we-I would suggest. I have 28 years with the department and I've been to probably 15 different states.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: There was a hearing—a hearing that Chair Crowley had last year where one of the staff from the mental health agency came and was in fear for walking down the hallways to get to her cases, an inmates and detainees, and she

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
133
was just completely ignored. No one wanted to talk
about that. They wanted t talk about everything
else.

2.2

2.3

THOMAS FARRELL: No, because they're not interested in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: She ran out of the room because she was afraid for herself. So I mean that's what we have to change, but thank you for sharing that viewpoint.

THOMAS FARRELL: Thank you.

MARCEL ZOOBY: How you doing? My name is
Marcel Zooby. I'm President of Assistant Deputy
Wardens Deputy Wardens Association. I represent the
highest supervisory line at Department of
Corrections. It was the questions you asked
President Elias what can the—what can the City
Council do to help New York City Department of
Correction. What I'm going to say is that he's
absolutely right in regards to staffing. The
staffing that they're looking at is only staffing
with regards to correction officers. Now, you have
with increased programming, and one of the reports
was that how do you ensure increased supervision? If
you're not increasing the number of correction

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
    officers legitimately, and captains legitimately and
2
3
    assistant deputy wardens and tour commander
4
    legitimately, then you're doing a disservice. You
5
    cannot ensure that one person, there's one tour
    commander assigned to a facility that has to tour in
6
7
    the area the entire jail and has to look over 1,000
8
    personnel and maybe a thousand or NKCs (sic)
    situation, which is the largest facility on Rikers
    Island with 2,000 inmates. How can one person
10
11
    adequately supervise that? Can go back-
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:
                                         [interposing]
13
    Has that changed over time? Has it been the same
    wardens?
14
15
                MARCEL ZOOBY:
                               It has not. We've had at
16
    one time unit management, and when we had unit
17
    management, you can see that the incidents of-of
18
    violence in regards to slashings and stabbings-
19
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                      [interposing] I
20
    have a question just to clarify. So what is-what is
21
    your span of control? So how many officers are there
2.2
    let's say a captain, and then how many captains on
2.3
    there to a deputy warden and another?
                MARCEL ZOOBY: It varies by jail and
24
```

25

tour.

As you--

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] And 3 there's nothing in the collective bargaining 4 agreement that calls for certain levels of span and control? 5 MARCEL ZOOBY: Mandatory, no, but I think 6 7 that the City Council needs to look into ensuring 8 that-9 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You-you-let's meet and-and discuss further and just in-in light of the 10 11 time right now, it says we have to get out of this 12 hearing-13 MARCEL ZOOBY: Okay. 14 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --at 1 o'clock. 15 think is going to be, you know, there's a lot more to 16 talk about when it comes to management --17 MARCEL ZOOBY: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: -- and oversight. 19 appreciate both unions for being here today. 20 only image how difficult it is to work in the 21 circumstances that your members are working in, and so I want you to know I do appreciate the work of our 2.2 2.3 officers in keeping the city safe, and that I'm going

to continue hold the-the Commissioner and the agency

24

25

to task.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

MARCEL ZOOBY: Well, thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Next, up we have

4 Mary Lynn [background comments] from Legal Aid

5 | Society. [background comments, pause]

1

2

6 MARY LYNN WERLWAS: Good afternoon. I'm

7 Mary Lynn Werlwas, the Director of the Prisoner

8 Rights Project, Legal Aid Society and Zachary

9 Katznelson, our staff attorney, who works on the

10 Nunez matter as well with me, is here today. I gave

11 you some written testimony. I thought the best use

12 of our limited time here today, but just to highlight

13 | our perspective as the Nunez plaintiff's counsel and

14 as the Prisoner's Rights Project. So the entity that

15 daily receives probably some of the most complaints

16 and concerns from family members in the jail of what

17 | our perspective is on a few of the topics that have

18 ∥ been aired today. So we're just going to hit a few

19 points, but I did want to start out thanking Council |

20 Member Crowley and those in the committee for how we

21  $\parallel$  opened today, which was bringing to a vote and voting

22 on the bill concerning the Department of

23 | Investigation. And I-that's very connected to all

24 | that we've been talking about since in our view it

25  $\parallel$  seems animated by one its principle concerns that we

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES come here today, one year into Nunez, and this far into reform with, which is the-a need for transparency. And our concern that historically abuses happen behind the closed doors of jail, and transparency in government will serve to protect all It will ensure that the expenditure of of us. resources -- and we are giving an enormous expenditure of resources to these jails-is wisely spent. It can quide policy. It can help us make informed choices of what mid-course corrections we need to make. if there's one takeaway I would like to leave you and the rest of the Council with today, it would be a strong plea to ensure that the department is required to be more forthcoming with information flows to the public and to oversight agencies including this committee, the Board of Correction, and other entities. There's actually a great amount of information that comes out. You referenced the Mayor's Management Report. There's a stack of data that comes from the Nunez monitor and that's about this thick every six months of the year. There's the monthly reporting to the Department of Correction. think it's-it behooves the Department to make sure that as many people who are engaged in this

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES discussion are all working from the same numbers the same information. We have been very constrained in responding to some of the press releases that you brought up. For example, where we see cherry picking of numbers by the department, in responding to that by the constraints that come from a litigation mode where there are things we can talk about, and things we can't according to confidentiality orders. But what it means is that the perspective would look very different if we all at least had the same

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just-just I don't want to interrupt you, but I see the different numbers. I see the numbers the Department of Correction puts out, the Board of Corrections and even the Monitor's Report, and none of them show that violence has decreased in any way.

information. And connected to that-

MARY LYNN WERLWAS: No, we—our deep concern to us is the many indicators that the use of force remains both at—the volume is way too high.

There is simply the—over 4,000 and some use of force incidents in the fiscal year that would have closed, is astonishingly high at a time when the population is, in fact, has—is decreasing. Now, a number is an

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES indicator. It's never an overall, you know, there's a grade on the system, but objectively speaking, that is way too much reliance upon force as a management tool rather than other more professional management tools. Of course, it's never going to be zero. doesn't happen in a correctional system ,and we-and it would never be our suggestion that it should. for the year that's post-dated the entry of a historic agreement that the City negotiated and went to court to agree that it had its blessing, its sanction. For that year roughly to see almost a record high number of use of force incidents is deeply distressing, and it tells us that the promises that the agreement essential enshrines our forms that were underway ring hollow. I will say and I want towe very much want to give credit where it is due. are heartened by two things: The decline thus far in-in severely injurious forces the decline in the A category, which does not-is not always accurately kept perhaps. But nonetheless, that's heartening. Few serious injuries to staff or to inmates is welcome and is good, but--

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Butbut at what cost because then at the same time, the serious injuries inmate on inmate has inclined.

MARY LYNN WERLWAS: Yes, there's no question that this is one piece of the puzzle, and that we need to really look very, very carefully and see-make sure that the-all the different safety metrics are analyzed together. But we do think that it's-there is-it is important to look at all of these also to get away from the false dichotomy that staff and inmate safety are a zero sum game, and are at odds with other. In our experience in--[coughs] excuse me-working with the correctional system has been that the jails are safer when they're safe for both inmates and staff, and that they very much do tend to go hand-in-hand instead of being at opposition to each other. It does not help the clients we represent to have officers afraid of their safety. It does not help our clients when officers are hurt or are injured. However, the question of what policy responses are going to achieve that goal are something that at a minimum we need proper information to inform those responses and to keep the jails safer for both of our inmates. I want to just

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES point out, and I'm-in this connection there what seems to be the very discreet issue that was raised about the West facility, and I'm raising that even though we are here today under-for a hearing about the overall system because I think it is bellwether for where we're going in the Department of Correction. West is a tiny facility. The Nunez Monitor's Report -- which is quite long, but I commend to your reading because it's incredibly detailedpoints out the highest grade of use of force in the last monitoring period was at West. Now, they were clear to point out that in the small facility, data can be a little bit harder. To a small sample size, rates might be a little bit skewed. There are only 30 some inmates housed in that facility. Nonetheless, we are very deeply concerned that the West facility and the Department's use of it is indicative of and very ad hoc, fairly ill-considered and utterly opaque response to violence indicators. West has essentially become an illegal and defector punitive segregation unit being used in a department that claims to have ended punitive segregation, to have ended isolated confinement for a specific

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

population.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] What 3 ages go into West? What ages?

MARY LYNN WERLWAS: It's—we have not known of any 16 and 17-year—olds, but the information we've been able to get which, of course, is not—that's part of this point is that we have not been provided that information when we have asked for it, but that we have been aware, and since we are the public—the chief public defender, many of our clients—some of our 18-year—old clients have been in West, and some of the other young inmates.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, I thank you for coming. You have—I would like to meet with you more when we're not under the time constraints. Do you think that the Commissioner says one thing and then the management does another? Why would this facility be used, and if—if they're not supposed to be using it? It seems like it's worst that punitive segregation.

MARY LYNN WERLWAS: I—we have serious concerns that it—and it is—I would say it is worse that punitive segregation in the sense that I have not even seen transparency honestly about what they're doing about it. At least with punitive

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES segregation, there was a very clear protocol. is what happens, and then you are going, if this happens to you, you're going to punitive segregation and here's what it takes to get you there, and here are the conditions under which we'll keep you. can talk about whether those should be met or not. What is happening in places like West, and in this proliferating series of acronyms and alternatives to punitive segregation have been entities and housing units, but West is the most notorious, that do not seem to be operated according to standards. None of us are being told who goes there and why. being told that the Department is considering if it is not moving the transgender housing unit to the West facility, which, for which we think it would be extremely poorly suited, and would not improve the safety or protection of those vulnerable inmates. to whether-who was saying what, it's-I think that the-part of the problem has been whether anyone is saying anything about what they're doing, and it'spart of it is today we're hearing one hearing. Tomorrow there's going to be a Board of Correction hearing where West is going to come up, and are the same things being said to both entities? What we see

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES as a-I don't quite see so many things I would claim as inaccurate as much as very tiny microscopic pieces of the puzzle being presented and different for us such that one discrete set of data that is perhaps true insofar as it goes is given to one entity and another discrete set of data that also is true insofar as it goes given to another data. And I think what we all need is a little bit more coherent so that we don't have a right hand/left hand problem in city government of what we're learning from what It will be-there's a need the agency intends to do. for innovation. There's no question also that the Department is going to need to experiment with different measures, and I don't think any of us need to stand in the way of that, but I think that at a minimum it—the oversight agencies would—could do the public enormous benefit by insisting that that innovation be (1) lawful and that any of the new units comport with the existing standards of law, and (2) transparent that we know what the Department seeks to do, who it wishes to do it to, and then third we can have the policy debate about the-whether this is what, in fact, they ought to be doing. And I think this is where West is essentially the canary in

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the coal mine. I don't think it's the only example of this, but it's the one that most urgently demonstrates the sort of failure of the process thus far of innovation and reform. We will-we remain deeply concerned that about the-not just the numbers of use of force incidents, which we wish to address, but it's about the patterns of the use of force, and I'm not going to go into those because we have other people, you know, we need to hear from today. But we will just simply note that the use of head strikes, for example, and the indiscriminate use of the chemical agents are just two of the examples of patterns of use of force that have long characterized this agency, and that should be under much better control by now. These don't need the new use of force policy. You shouldn't have to have new training to teach this. These are things that should be under control as proper supervision and proper management right now, and they are not. The fact that they are not I think should give us caution and we-I think we would do well to have further hearings on issues of the weaponization of the city jails in efforts to introduce the new technology, the new weapons to the jails in the current culture.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
- 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Oh, that's right.
- 3 We didn't have a chance to ask that. I remember the
- 4 Mayor saying that he was going to introduce tasers.
- 5 | Have tasers been introduced?
- 6 MARY LYNN WERLWAS: Yes, as far as we
- 7 understanding it, this is addressed in the Nunez
- 8 | Monitor's Report, which has been largely our source
- 9 of information at the Legal Aid Society about this,
- 10 is that the tasers have been or are in the process of
- 11 | being provided to a small group of weapons.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But they haven't
- 13 been in the jails yet?
- MARY LYNN WERLWAS: I'm not sure, and I
- 15 | think that's a -would be an excellent question, but
- 16 | the last understanding I had was that they were being
- 17 rolled out just this fall. You know, I think in
- 18 | September I was told not quite yet, but my
- 19 understanding is that-
- 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Well,
- 21 | we'll find that out.
- 22 MARY LYNN WERLWAS: Yes.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I-I think it was
- 24 supposed to be right this fall.

- COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
- 2 MARY LYNN WERLWAS: Yes, it's happening
- 3 | right now.

- 4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Alright, well thank
- 5 you for being here today, and thank you for your
- 6 advocacy, your work, your legal work, and we'll be
- 7 more in touch.
- 8 MARY LYNN WERLWAS: We look forward to
- 9 it. Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, thank you.
- 11 | We have two more up from the Jail Action Coalition.
- 12 We have Victoria Phillips and Kelly-I can't read
- 13 your-Prim, I think. Oh, okay. Kelly Grace Price.
- 14 [pause] Okay, I'm ready when you're ready. Who
- 15 | would like to go first?
- 16 KELLY GRACE PRICE: I just—hi, my name is
- 17 | Kelly Grace Price. I'm a member of the Jails Action
- 18 | Coalition and a survivor of Rikers Island. I mention
- 19 | that I was put there an innocent domestic violence
- 20 and trafficking survivor because my daughter was
- 21 working with the police and the district attorney
- 22 wanted to get him favors. So as an innocent
- 23 survivor, I ended up on Rikers Island. My big issue
- 24 | is rape and sexual assault on Rikers, which is not
- 25 what this hearing is about today, but it was

```
COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
mentioned by Heidi as one of the reforms that is
pushing the Department past the Nunez requirements.
It was mentioned that this PREA Rule was going to be
one of the points that we seal and bring safety to
the jails [coughs] and it's true the PREA Rule has
been being worked on. I'm very proud to say that the
Board of Correction has responded to a lot of
community's asks as far as protecting rape and sexual
                    There's a lot of work to be done
assault survivors.
on it still. I want to bring to the City Council's
attention that the rule was just released on Thursday
night late, 9:21 p.m. it went out. Friday was a
holiday. The community had one business day today to
review the 72 odd page final rule that we've working
on for over two years. Before it's going to final
vote tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m., I want to bring
this up to the City Council because there's a lot of
work to be done on it. There's a lot of
clarifications. For instance, let's talk about some
of the things in it that are supposed to bring in
safety cameras. We're not sure if-if videotape will
be kept for 90 days or not. We asked for a year.
want five years. This is not explicit in the rule.
There are a number of other things. We're not sure
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
 2
     if the trans housing unit will be kept. There's no
 3
    mention of it in the rules. So we think it's going
 4
     away, which is
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                      [interposing]
     know-I know-everything you're saying is important,
 6
     and we could meet about it.
 8
                KELLY GRACE PRICE: So I just-all I
 9
    wanted to do--
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] If
10
11
    Nunez said they're supposed to keep video footage,
12
    but for 90 days. But if it's not explicitly said in-
13
     in this rule, then we'll push them to put it in.
     sure you have—if—if—but I didn't realize that they
14
15
     didn't put out the documents they were voting on with
16
     enough time for everyone to review them, and that's
17
     not fair. It's not right, and I hope you go there
18
     tomorrow and I will also contact them, and-and I
19
     think that-I would we could have been in this
20
     conversation.
21
                KELLY GRACE PRICE:
                                    Thank you. I know
2.2
     it's the end of the hearing--
2.3
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                      [interposing] Yes.
                KELLY GRACE PRICE: --but that's really
24
```

what I wanted to put out there--

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Okay. 3 KELLY GRACE PRICE: --because we don't 4 have enough time. We'd like another 30 days. We want the rules enacted, but we'd like 30 days to make 5 sure that the nuts and bolts of our requests are-are 6 7 woven into it, this one-24 hours is anathema. 8 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: 9 KELLY GRACE PRICE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Appreciate it. 10 11 VICTORIA PHILLIPS: Hi, I can't go that 12 I'm-I'm still recovering from brain surgery. 13 I'm going to need a few extra seconds. 14 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, no, no, no 15 that wasn't part of the topic of what the hearing is 16 on. 17 VICTORIA PHILLIPS: Okay. So my name is 18 Victoria Phillips. I've testified before you 19 unfortunately before by yourself, and I-I work at the 20 Urban Justice Center in the Mental Health Project as 21 a Community Health and Justice organizer, and I am 2.2 testifying today because I previously worked on 2.3 Rikers Island as a Cognitive Behavioral Facilitator, and so often the—the City Council today mentioned 24

They often hear one side of the story, and

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES they're happy to hear the other side of the story. Well, honestly there's more than two sides of the story. There's actually the DOC side, the officers' side and the truth, and as an Army brat working behind the walls, I am-I was privy to a lot information DOC administration will not tell you truthfully , and officers will not answer truthfully because it will go against other officers. I want to touch briefly on a couple things that were mentioned today. Video cameras, they said that—that money was being allocated to put cameras up and stuff, and I want to--the City Council for-thank you for giving them the money to—to drive DOC forward in how they do care, custody and control, but be mindful they have to have footage, filming in those cameras. because the camera is up on the wall, does not mean nothing. In 2013, I was walking through a yard at RNDC, and the security gate swung back, and the barbed wire went through my shoe. I ended up having to have surgery on my right toe and on my shoulder because of that fall with the barbed wired. Not-not one officer-it was two officers assigned to that post. Not one officer made a report. Today it was mentioned that after each incident at the end of the

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
shift reports are done, that's not always the case.
When I asked for the footage at the-right at the
security gate, I was told there was no camera
recording. So those are issues moving forward that I
would like City Council to stay on them about.
training ,quickly, you could go to
www.ranseyorder.org, the guy who filmed Eric Garner
being killed. While he was in OBCC it was even
mentioned today while he was in OBCC he-he was on the
phone with his wife, and un-unbeknownst to DOC his
wife actually recalls the recordings as well.
when we speak about training, and you had a president
for the Deputy Wardens here, I would like you to go
to www.ramseyorder.org because on their page
underneath the blog on that bitch-excuse the curse
words—there was a deputy warden you could hear her on
the recording. While Ramsey was talking to his wife,
she thought he was looking at her, and started
cursing him out, and then he actually was responding
like I wasn't even looking at you. I was talking to
my girl. Like I wasn't paying you no attention, and
she escalated the situation. So he started cursing
back at her, and she came over like I'm that bitch,
which is why the blog is labeled I'm Officer I'm that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES bitch. And she came over, You don't know who I am, and I'm that bitch, and so when we talk about trainings and how issues escalate behind the walls, we need to be mindful that officers at times start a lot of their fights and uses of force that are being forced to-to occur in the first place. And-and it was ironic just a few hours after his wife posted that tape and they actually moved him. But that's the whole other issue. Not everyone is aware of the anon-the anonymous line that you asked about, andand-and even using Ramsey's situation, I want you to be mindful they said that the-the incarcerated individuals are allowed to-that they-they-first of all, they're posted everywhere. That's not true. You just take a walk through the building. I even-I'm on the Advisory Board—the Adolescent and young Adult Advisory Board for DOC. So I'm actually privy every once in awhile to take tours throughout the building. So I look for things like that. They lied. You could take a tour. You will not see signs all over the place saying you can make an anonymous call, and even if the signs were there, how comfortable do you feel as an incarcerated individual with an officer standing next to you calling to snitch on

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES another officer? And then what will be the ramifications behind that. Like the-there's no common sense used with DOC, and I've testified many times at the Board of Corrections about these issues, and I thank you Chairperson Crowley because your fierceness in your questions today was exactly what they need, and I've actually admonished the Board of Corrections and—and reminded them that they're the parent for DOC, and DOC has a direct reflection of their leadership. And they have none because they asked DOC for reports all the time, and DOC continues to come back at meetings and say well, we don't have it or they lawyer up. Something that incarcerated individuals is not privy to do. They don't even have access to the attorneys as much as DOC does at these meetings, and officer made. Okay, I personally witnessed officers start fights. When we say use of force, the-the-the Officers Union was correct. are different ways in which DOC labels stuff, but a lot of uses of force are mentioned on paperwork without even stating how they began. And a lot of times, for example, because I see you look at me-I need to zero in. For example, I was facilitating a group one day, and the younger man had just came back

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES from chow-lunch, and his stomach was upset. And so he said-he-he asked the officer could he go to the bathroom said do it in your pants. Wait. So he sat in and I said-you know, I give him a look like don't arque. Just give it a few minutes. Just wait and he did wait, and then he got back up, and he asked the officer I can't hold it. I need to go-I need to go to the bathroom, and the officer mushed him the head, and he-and he cut-the boy turned and he said, you see, Ms. V? You see they're always starting, and I said, you know what, just-just give it time. just give it-just give it a few minutes and wait, and the next thing I know the officer had pushed back in his seat and spit on him. And then the boy starting fighting, and then the Internal came, but the story was completely switched. By the time the officers told the other officers what was going on, the boy had been beaten down by that group of officers and the responding Internal officers. And I worked on that Island, I was threatened, my job was threatened because they know that I would always tell. call IG and tell, and I started testifying at the Board of Corrections when it took seven months for IG to call me back on a certain case when I witnessed a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES young man being beat on the school floor and all the other civilians there was telling me be quiet, you know, mind my business. You know, and that's another If you-you brought up gangs today. A lot of officers are gangs, and when I sit in the Advisory Board meetings and I bring this issue up to Commissioner Ponte, the-the, you know, the Deputy Commissioners, everybody wants to overlook it, glance over it, as if I don't know what I'm talking about. But when you go into recruiting officers the gang component has to be actually in the recruitment process because you have a lot of Bloods, a lot of Crypts walking around with a badge, that's a problem because you want to lower-lower the crime. You want to want to lower the fight, lower the abuses and you have officers starting situations, officers bringing in weapons. You have officers that walk in where I see bottles that says like Lipton Ice Tea with Honey Jack and he didn't see any. There-there are realthere's a real culture of-of crime and corruption going on at DOC and everybody sugar coats it, everybody wants to be politically correct, and I am not for that. I'm an Army brat, and I'm all about the truth and-and real justice, and thank you for not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
157
stopping me, and—and because I'm trying [crying] to
throw everything in here. I waited so long—

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [off mic] You could always reach me to—[on mic] You could always reach out to the office.

VICTORIA PHILLIPS: I have one more thing I want to say on the record today. Sick call because we're talk about staff and mental health. DOC I believe it was two months ago and the Board of Corrections they actually gave the Board of Corrections an example. They was-they were trying to get out of answering about staffing, and the DOC said for an example we had 300 sick calls in one day, and the Board only asked them well how many did you service, and DOC actually answered the Board of Correction and said 18%. And the whole meeting went by, and then finally, you know, I-we probably get to speak, and I had to remind DOC how low out of all your expertise here and-and degrees and education up there, I'm the one who had brain surgery last year, and I even remember to-to wonder about what happened to other 82%. And these are the type of things thatthat DOC is able to just skip by the Board of Correction with. So, you're the people who give them

```
COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
the funding.
            You're the people that give them the
funding, and I-I-I-I expect you to hold them
accountable. Even the Board of Corrections
accountable. Like today, I was-I was very pleased to
hear you asking where is the money going?
these are the same questions I asked about the gang
issue. I asked Deputy Commissioner Winette Saunders
where is the money going? 16, 17-year-olds, oh, and
then-and-and-and what is it? Seven-what is-what
is name of that? Yes, that is not in this. It just
goes by different names.
                         They—they love getting that
out there. ESA2 is another form of punitive
segregation and-and-and the secure unit is another
form of punitive-punitive segregation.
                                       They just-
they're just all colorful with their words, but it is
still punitive segregation, and it hasn't even been
started to be implemented for the 18 to 21-year-olds.
So, when they come in here and they try to beg you,
we need this, we need this, it never went anywhere.
Okay, sorry. I thank you. I'll-I'll put everything
else in writing.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. No and—and—and we can meet to talk about it even more.

VICTORIA PHILLIPS: Yes, please.

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
2	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: If we get anything.
3	I thank you both for testifying today. Thank you for
4	your dedication and your advocacy, and I want to
5	thank the staff for your work in preparing today's
6	hearing, and all the people that came and stayed.
7	This is the end of the hearing today, but it's not an
8	end of the oversight that we hold the department and
9	the Board accountable. So this concludes the hearing
10	of November 14, 2016 of the Fire and Criminal Justice
11	Services Committee. [gavel]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 3, 2016