CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

October 28, 2016 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 1:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

BEFORE:

YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick

James Vacca

Margaret S. Chin Stephen T. Levin Deborah L. Rose James G. Van Bramer

David G. Greenfield
Costa G. Constantinide

Costa G. Constantinides

Carlos Menchaca
I. Daneek Miller
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michael Replogle
Deputy Commissioner for Policy
NYC Department of Transportation

Will Carry Senior Director for Special Projects NYC Department of Transportation

Jenna Adams
Director of Legislative Affairs
NYC Department of Transportation

Bill Heinzen
Deputy Commissioner for
Policy and External Affairs
Taxi and Limousine Commission

Rodney Stiles
Assistant Commissioner for
Data and Technology
Taxi and Limousine Commission

Julie Samuels
Executive Director
Tech:NYC

Claire Delaunay
Engineering Director
Uber's Advanced Technologies Center

David Strickland Counsel Self-Driving Coalition for Safer Streets

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Will Nicholas Government Relations Manager Tesla

Andrei Greenawalt Vice President for Public Policy Via

Julia Kite Policy and Research Manager Transportation Alternatives

Alec Slatky Representative AAA Northeast

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 4 |
|----|--|
| 2 | [sound check] |
| 3 | [pause] |
| 4 | [gavel] |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good morning |
| 6 | everyone and welcome to the Transportation |
| 7 | Committee's hearing on the future of driverless |
| 8 | vehicles in New York City. |
| 9 | My name is Ydanis Rodriguez and I am the |
| 10 | Chair of the Committee and I've been joined by my |
| 11 | colleagues, Council Members Garodnick, Menchaca, |
| 12 | Constantinides? No, they are not here [sic]. |
| 13 | We are here today because we know the car |
| 14 | is undergoing a technological revolution and we want |
| 15 | to know how this will impact our streets, our |
| 16 | industry and what we should be doing as a city to |
| 17 | best prepare. |
| 18 | Since the first Ford Model T rolled off |
| 19 | the assembly line, advances in motor vehicle |
| 20 | technology have progressed at rapid pace. Today |
| 21 | motor vehicles are safer and more environmentally |
| 22 | friendly than ever before. While this committee has |
| 23 | sought to promote alternative modes of |
| 24 | transportation, it is not lost on me that a personal |

car remains the preferred way to get around for over

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a million New Yorkers. As technology evolves and older models become obsolete, we know that our city must be prepared with an understanding of how to adapt with a technological shift to promote the best public safety; this is why we recently heard an important bill about how to encourage environmentally-friendly electric vehicles with fuel [sic] infrastructure. We hope that with the support of The Speaker and our colleagues we can pass this bill -- sponsored by Council Member Constantinides and myself -- very soon. It is also why we are here today, to learn from industry leaders and advocates about what we can expect and what we need for autonomous vehicles to become a reality, as well as suggestions regarding regulation and safety.

The crash-avoiding technology installed in driverless cars represents a major advancement and one that is intriguing to this committee that has placed such a focus on eliminating traffic deaths.

Driver distraction, negligence and recklessness contribute to an unconscionable percentage of motor vehicle-related injuries and deaths and if new technology can help avoid crashes; that is a positive prospect.

2.2

2.3

millions of people.

New York City must be prepared to embrace a future that is all but imminent; we cannot and will not be a city that stifles innovation nor suppresses market demand; however, we will search for a balance that places the public good at the forefront of our work and therefore, think carefully about how autonomous vehicles interact with our city and its

Newly released federal guidance regarding driverless vehicles are a clear signal that we are getting closer to the future; however, many questions must be answered before we arrive:

What will autonomous vehicles mean for the thousands of people that work in existing industries, like the taxi and for-hire industry?

What are the prospects that goods will be transported by trucks with this technology?

How can we protect autonomous vehicles from life-threatening hacks into their systems?

Is there new infrastructure needed for these vehicles and who will be tasked with funding it?

What are the opportunities for New Yorkers to get some of these important jobs?

Are there issues specific to New York

City not addressed by the federal guidance that our

city should be prepared to regulate?

This hearing is intended to start that conversation; we are interested in hearing from all parties -- government, industry and advocates.

Driverless vehicles will reshape the future of personal transportation and this committee wants to ensure that our goals are represented throughout this time of change.

in store, how it will affect New York and how New
York can hope to shape the entry of these vehicles
onto our streets. We know that technology will drive
our future and it is why I constantly seek to lift up
STEM education in our city, especially in my
district. I understand that our DOT and TLC have
been considering this topic themselves and I am eager
to hear their thoughts and ideas about this new
technology.

Before we begin, I'd like to wish congratulations and best wishes to our Policy Analyst Jonathan Masserano, who is in Washington, D.C. today preparing for his wedding.

Committee. I am Michael Replogle, Deputy

Commissioner for Policy for the New York City

24

25

2.2

2.3

in New York City.

Department of Transportation and I'm joined by Will
Carry, on my left, Senior Director for Projects, from
my team, and Jenna Adams, Director of Legislative
Affairs, also to my left. Thank you for bringing us
together to discuss the future of driverless vehicles

Automated vehicles (AVs) present
opportunities and challenges for our city. On the
positive side, automated vehicles may help us achieve
Vision Zero by reducing human error and risky
behaviors such as speeding and distracted driving.
AVs may also reduce congestion and overall vehicle
miles travelled by encouraging a shift from auto
ownership to shared-use mobility services. And AVs
may expand mobility by increasing travel choices in
neighborhoods underserved by our current transit
system.

That said, there are many complex challenges that must be resolved before AVs are ready for New York City. The technology is advancing very quickly and all of us in government -- at the city, state and federal levels -- need to make sure it's safe and secure before we allow AVs on the most complex street system in North America.

We also want to encourage the use of AVs that results in a more efficient transportation network; not one where thousands of empty vehicles might clog our streets. If introduced without appropriate transportation system management and policies, AVs may increase traffic volume, pollution and sprawl.

Finally, we need to consider the impact of automated vehicles on tens of thousands of New Yorkers who make their living by driving. It is important that government at all levels think through how these displaced drivers can continue to provide for their families. My colleagues at the Taxi and Limousine Commission (TLC) will discuss the potential impacts of AVs on taxi and for-hire vehicle (FHV) workers and address accessibility, another key topic.

Let me walk you through the current state of the industry nationally and then focus on New York City.

What are automated vehicles?

Over the past five years there has been tremendous momentum in the development of AV technology. Every week there is a news story about another major tech or auto company investing in this

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

technology or promising to be the first to have an AV on the market.

In 2016 alone, General Motors acquired

Cruise Automation for \$1 billion and invested half a

billion in Lyft, Google's test fleet passed two

million miles of automated driving, and Uber

introduced test AVs into Pittsburgh for for-hire

service.

But what exactly is an AV? Well it's a broad term that encompasses everything from a car that may be able to drive itself on the highway but still requires a human driver, to a truly driverless car without a steering wheel or pedals.

The National Highway Traffic Safety

Administration (NHTSA) has adopted automation levels

used by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE).

This SAE scale helps us to understand the kinds of

vehicles we can expect to see in the next five years

and those which may continue to come on the market in

the longer term.

As you can see from the table on the board here to my left:

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Under SAE Level 2, the driver is in complete control of the primary vehicle control elements.

2.2

2.3

With SAE Level 1, one or more of the primary control functions are automated, but these work separately. Cruise control is a common example of a Level 1 feature.

With SAE Level 2, multiple control functions are automated; relieving the driver of responsibility for some driving tasks, such as car parking, but the driver must actively monitor the driving environment and may need to resume control instantaneously.

SAE Level 3 marks the beginning of what we consider to be self-driving cars. The vehicle can perform parts of the driving task and monitor the environment in some conditions. The driver can disengage, but still needs to be ready to take control.

At SAE Level 4, the vehicle performs all driving and monitoring functions in certain environments, and will not need a human to resume control within those operational domains. The driverless function may be limited to freeways or,

2 for example, to streets with low traffic volumes or

3 low speeds.

2.2

2.3

At SAE Level 5, the vehicle performs all driving tasks under all conditions that a human driver could possibly perform. This is full autonomy; these vehicles won't need a steering wheel nor will they need pedals.

Within the industry there is still significant debate on two key questions: (1) when are AVs likely to hit the market? And (2) what is the safest way for automation to be introduced? How this plays out will have a significant impact on our city.

This brings us to our next important question: who regulates AVs and what role do cities play as these regulations are being implemented?

Our laws and regulations at the city, state and federal levels were drafted with a human driver in mind. The process of adapting these laws to the reality of AVs is just beginning and will likely happen in two phases. In the first phase, currently underway, states are amending laws to allow for the testing of AVs on road and for the federal government to begin regulating AV safety. For AVs to become widespread there will need to be a second

1

phase of changes addressing a wide range of issues.

3

These include liability and insurance, the rules of

4

the road, and street design. Today I will focus on

this first phase of changes.

6

board, here to my left, the federal government's

7

8

primary role is to regulate vehicle safety and to

issue national standards for road design. NHTSA sets

Looking to our regulatory framework

10

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, which dictate

11

components included in vehicles and the safety

12

requirements necessary to sell vehicles across the

13

country. Using these standards, NHTSA intends to

14

play an active role in determining AV hardware and

To help guide the transition to AVs, this

15

software must operate.

16

17 September US DOT and NHTSA released the "Federal

18 Automated Vehicles Policy: Accelerating the Next

19

Revolution in Roadway Safety." We commend US DOT for

issues, specifically the best practices the guidance

outlines for the safe design, development and testing

their careful consideration of these complicated

operations on public roads. This safety guide is

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

of automated vehicles prior to commercial sale, and

25

crucial as we assess using AV technology in the five
boroughs.

2.2

2.3

This guidance is currently voluntary -- meant to inform a developing regulatory framework to govern the testing and development of AVs.

While the federal government will regulate the vehicle itself, New York State will retain control over traffic laws, permitting and insurance requirements, driver licensing, and law enforcement. To assist state lawmakers in planning for AVs, the NHTSA document also includes a Model State Policy. It provides suggestions for how state agencies should distribute responsibilities for AV regulation, respond to applications to test and deploy AVs, and establish insurance and liability requirements.

It is important to understand that New York State will likely determine how and when AVs enter New York City, based on how the State chooses to permit and allow autonomous vehicles to be tested and operated.

NHTSA recommendations draw heavily from regulation developed by California and Nevada. They aim to foster national consistency as more states

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

implement regulatory frameworks. They recommend first reviewing existing laws to identify obstacles to AV testing and to deployment. As an example, our State Vehicle and Traffic Law requires a person to have at least one hand on the steering wheel when a motor vehicle is in motion.

Though helpful, we believe the federal quidance falls short in addressing the impact of AVs on dense, urban environments like New York City.

NHTSA is seeking feedback on all sections of the Federal Automated Vehicles Policy, and we intend to submit comments affirming the importance of AVs promoting safety, security, equity, and sustainability in our transportation system. We will also stress the necessity of regularly engaging cities in federal and state conversations about AV regulation, testing and deployment.

So what role might New York City play in the AV discussion? Cities across the country are responding to developing AV technologies in various Some, like Boston, San Francisco and Pittsburgh, are engaging with universities, industry and the federal government, inviting testing in an attempt to shape the conversation around AVs.

_ -

Elsewhere, the Chicago City Council has proposed a ban on AVs, in order to ensure the vehicles don't drive on the city's streets until they have been thoroughly proven.

In thinking about AVs, I would like to discuss a few priorities for our city:

First and foremost is that we should be part of the conversation about these new laws and regulations. Our city is a dense, complex urban environment that will require extraordinary attention from the AV industry and regulators to create vehicles that will be safe for passengers of AVs as well as for pedestrians, cyclists, transit riders, and all of our other street users.

Second, more though needs to be given to managing the transition to AVs. In the short term, the most likely type of AVs we will see will be

Level 3 AVs, which require the driver to take control in certain conditions, sometimes fairly quickly. How this transition will be managed is a key safety question. How will a driver who is reading, napping or surfing the web going to be alerted that they need to take control? We know that driver inattention is

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a key factor in crashes, how do we make sure that Level 3 AVs don't contribute to this problem?

This leads us to our third issue -- what kind of AV technology will work best in an urban environment? In terms of how AVs see the road, manufacturers are working with sensors and camera systems, radar, and detailed street maps to guide their vehicles.

Ultimately, we believe the safest AVs will be connected vehicles. Connected vehicles use technology to communicate with other vehicles and with infrastructure to prevent crashes, improve vehicle movement and reduce congestion and pollution.

New York City is already implementing connected vehicle technology. With US DOT, our agency completed the first year of a five-year pilot with technology installed on the FDR Drive and in over 250 intersections in midtown Manhattan and Brooklyn. These intersections are equipped with technology that communicates with over 8,000 vehicles enrolled in the pilot. The pilot also connects with our existing network of nearly 13,000 smart traffic signals that communicate wirelessly with our Traffic Management Center. We expect to move to citywide

2 implementation with the lessons learned from this

3 pilot.

2.2

2.3

We also need to ensure that AVs cannot be hacked. The AV industry will need to secure its technology so operations can't be directed by external parties without the operator's permission. The technology also needs to secure personal information so it's can't be accessed without authorization.

our goals of creating a more sustainable

transportation system. As the transition to AVs gets

underway, we should advocate for an approach that

leads to more efficient use of our streets. If AVs

are introduced using the shared-use mobility model,

they could reduce traffic volumes on our streets by

encouraging ridesharing and better serve communities

with the greatest need for additional mobility

options.

With proper management, widespread AV use could lead to decreased personal ownership, which we know is a priority for you, Chair Rodriguez. That could reduce demand for parking, free up urban space

)

for other needs, whether for bus and bike lanes, parks and gardens, or more affordable housing.

Finally, AVs hold the potential for increased street safety, as the vast majority of crashes are attributed to human error. Achieving Vision Zero guides all of our work at New York City DOT and we're intrigued by the idea of vehicles that can be programmed to safely follow the speed limit and other traffic laws while interacting with other surface transportation. But at this time we don't have assurances that the technology is ready for the unique challenges of New York City, so we must take adequate time to test and evaluate.

In fact, there are two bills pending in Albany that would amend the Vehicle and Traffic Law to allow AVs to operate on public roads in New York. We urge this committee to tell your Albany colleagues that we need a full urban safety review before passing these bills. Instead, New York State should consider the guidance provided in the Federal Automated Vehicles Policy to form a task force, including relevant state and city actors, to evaluate the steps necessary to holistically plan for this important technology.

3 ca

In closing, we are doing everything we can to stay actively engaged as this emerging technology unfolds. We plan on partnering with the Taxi and Limousine Commission and other institutions in coming months to discuss the opportunities and challenges for AVs operating in the unique environment of New York City. We will invite representatives from all levels of government, academic institutions and think tanks, industry leaders, and other stakeholders to gather information and discuss concepts to inform an emerging AV framework. We will keep this committee informed as the planning for these discussions moves forward.

Thank you again for inviting me to testify today and I look forward to answering questions after testimony from the TLC. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [background comments]

BILL HEINZEN: Okay. Good morning

Chairman Rodriguez and members of the Transportation

Committee. I am Bill Heinzen, Deputy Commissioner

for Policy and External Affairs at the New York City

Taxi and Limousine Commission. With me today is

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Rodney Stiles, our Assistant Commissioner for Data and Technology. My remarks today will be brief; we've been very privileged to be meeting with DOT --I think you just heard from Michael's testimony -we've learned a tremendous amount from them and we're very grateful for the opportunity to be working with them and for the opportunity to speak with you today to talk to you about our thinking about automated vehicles and the for-hire vehicle market. I'm just going to use the broad term for-hire vehicles today; I will be speaking generally about yellow, green, black cars, liveries, but rather than break it out each time, I'm just going to say for-hire vehicles. As you know, we regulate other for-hire sectors, including commuter vans, paratransit, luxury limousines, and these sectors may present their own unique set of issues in relation to automated vehicles and of course we will evaluate those potential impacts within those sectors as well.

As you've heard this morning from DOT, automated vehicles at various levels are already a fact of life in the United States, although not at the fully automated level. Additionally, the federal government has expressed its strong support for a

regulatory framework that accommodates continued testing of automated vehicles, as well as their deployment as soon as feasible from a safety standpoint.

It may be several years before automated vehicles function on a for-hire basis in New York
City, but they are currently being tested and serving as taxis in a limited number in Pittsburgh, albeit with driver engineers behind the wheel, ready to regain control of the vehicle. It is therefore not too early for us to spot some of the key issues that automated vehicles may pose for the TLC as a regulator of 95,000 vehicles and 150,000 drivers.
Consistent with our agency mission, these issues include safety, accessibility, driver welfare, and accountability.

Vehicle safety standards and inspections are a key part of the TLC's licensing process and crucial to the safety of passengers, drivers and other New Yorkers on the road. Whether tomorrow's for-hire transportation is a connected vehicle with enhanced safety features that allow a driver to monitor its proper performance and assume control if necessary, or a fully driverless model, our interest

_

2.2

in providing transportation that is safe for passengers, pedestrians, and other road users remains paramount. And that is no different from today, when we perform a 200-point vehicle inspection, and those vehicles that do not meet our equipment safety standards are not allowed to provide for-hire service. Because many of these vehicles are in constant use and carry passengers, the TLC's inspections are more frequent than the State's standards for noncommercial automobiles. By monitoring evolving technology, the TLC will of course continue to address safety concerns.

On accessibility, technological progress obviously should not stop or reverse the gains the City has made in accessible for-hire vehicle service. Any system for regulating automated for-hire vehicles must ensure access for any New Yorker or visitor who uses a wheelchair and who needs assistance entering and exiting a vehicle. New York City has made great progress in improving accessibility in key segments of our licensed vehicles, particularly Yellow and Green Boro cabs. As you know, in the next few years 50% of Yellow taxis will be wheelchair accessible, and at least 20% of Green Boro taxis must be

/

accessible. As the Council is also aware, we are working to bring real accessibility to the rest of the for-hire vehicle market, but we know that the black car and livery markets have failed so far to provide equivalent service to New Yorkers with disabilities, particularly those who use wheelchairs. The advent of automated vehicles will factor into the City's goal of wheelchair accessibility across our regulated sectors.

Although it is obviously too early to know future technology with any certainty, we understand that automated vehicles may also increase accessibility for some passengers with disabilities. For people with visual impairments or limited mobility, automated vehicles have the potential to provide safe, efficient mobility with a mainstreamed network. But for New Yorkers who use wheelchairs, we see two broad areas of concern: services and products. On the service level, many people who use wheelchairs require the driver to assist them in entering and exiting the vehicle and in being secured within it. It is currently unclear how this need would be met by automated vehicles, particularly if

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

for-hire vehicles are in fact driverless, with no human monitoring or attendant.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Similarly, when it comes to the products themselves, that is, the vehicles, the challenge will remain exactly what people with disabilities face today: ensuring an adequate supply of fullyaccessible vehicles to provide safe, on-demand transportation. We know from experience that this challenge will not be met by the private market, because service providers and car manufacturers will not voluntarily provide accessible vehicles. Whatever the level of automation, government must require a sufficient supply of fully-accessible vehicles to address the basic rights of people with disabilities, and it must implement and administer delivery of an accessible for-hire transportation network. For that reason, it is vital that any federal or state regulatory scheme for automated vehicles does not prevent or impede the City's accessibility framework in the for-hire vehicle markets.

In terms of driver welfare, the TLC licenses over 150,000 drivers and that number increases every day, fed by growing customer demand

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

for an unprecedented variety of for-hire service providers, and of course also by the driver incentives that are widely advertised throughout the city.

Most of these drivers are independent contractors who rely on driving as their primary source of income to support themselves and their families. And while some autonomous vehicle technology may help TLC-licensed drivers do their jobs more safely and efficiently in the short-term, there is a real potential for a displacement of workers. At the federal level, President Obama has acknowledged that there may be job loss, and he has spoken of the need for job retraining. On the local level, the TLC will monitor the rate of change as we explore with our sister agencies how best to meet the needs of our driver licensees, possibly including identifying other training opportunities and transition services, but of course at this point it is simply too early to tell. Identifying new employment opportunities and providing transition assistance for drivers should also be a priority in the private sector, whose increase in popularity has

fueled the historic influx of drivers into the New
York City market.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finally, on accountability, any regulatory system requires information to ensure accountability, and it would be impossible to evaluate or plan for these changes without consistent and reliable data streams. There must obviously be consistent and precise mapping of New York's unique urban terrain prior to intensive testing or introduction of automated vehicles. But in addition to that data, which will be crucial for safety and city planning, the TLC currently collects trip data from our regulated industries, and that data yields information for us, for other City agencies and also for other data consumers about traffic and commuting patterns, as well as informing our regulations, which are designed to protect passengers, ensure accessibility and consumer protections like fare transparency, and also to ensure basic services like locating lost property. The fundamental need and utility of this data and consistent collection will remain, regardless of advancements in technology. Indeed, new operating technology will likely lead to new data streams, and new metrics to gauge safety,

accessibility and consumer satisfaction, among other

3 things.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

These are some of the major issues the TLC believes automated vehicles will present to New York City, and which must be addressed so that New Yorkers continue to enjoy local protections for safety, consumer protections, accountability, and access for all in the City's for-hire vehicle industry.

Thank you very much for giving the TLC the opportunity to speak with you today, and we look forward to discussing this with you further.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you and before I ask a few questions, and my colleagues will be asking other questions too, I would like to say that it is my intention, and the intention of Council Member Dan Garodnick, to have a conversation with The Speaker with a plan to create a working group so that we can continue conversations with the participation of the private sector, the academic entities, and also the Administration, so I hope that this is something that also we can have conversation with the Administration and see how we can join forces together in that direction, and that it is important

2.2

2.3

to put our city in the forefront. As my standard for whatever I do is, what is it I would like to leave for my children and other children -- I have a 9- and a 3-year-old -- I hope that when they reach my age they can say that they were part of the generation that they were raised, making our city one of the first ones leading when it comes to technology. So

How do you see New York City competing with other cities throughout the nation to be the leading one when it comes to the AV initiative?

that's my aspiration in that direction.

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well I think we have a great potential in New York City with our Vision Zero initiative, which is the centerpiece of this administration's transportation policy, to find ways that AVs can be introduced in ways to help advance Vision Zero. We also are in the lead in the United States in connected vehicle technology at the city level; our connected vehicle pilot is the largest of any in the United States, with 8,000 vehicles, and we expect to learn a lot from that experience, which will lay a good foundation for ensuring that AVs, as they're introduced, can rely on a sound backbone of connected vehicles and connections between vehicles

1

and the infrastructure for obtaining safety and

street efficiency.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to our city?

3

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But should we have any concern that -- and of course, New York's IT, our city has one of the leading forces throughout the nation, that when you read about all those articles in the last couple of days -- China already working with a plan when they will come out with a new autonomous car -- and in the case of New York City, do we have to wait for the State to authorize us to, giving us the pre-entry, or there's something that... has the City started a conversation asking the State to authorize us to be a leading force throughout the state and throughout the nation when it comes to working with the private and academic institutions to be more aggressive when it comes to putting a plan together and creating some incentive for the private sector to say we want to work with you, the City of New York, to be one of the leading

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: You know again, a key part of autonomous vehicle introduction will I think rely on connected vehicle technologies and we're

forces when it comes to bringing autonomous vehicles

working actively with many of the leading companies to test those connected vehicles now in New York
City. I recently had the opportunity to go out to the test track out in Queens where these companies were showing off their technologies and was told by a couple of the vendors, when I was out there, that in fact New York was the leading platform for testing these technologies. So we look to remain in the forefront of testing these things, but we still do need the state framework in order for AVs to find larger use in the city.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How is the DOT collecting the data with the connected vehicle pilot deployment program, as you mentioned? Will you share this with the public and how much data has been collected; what data is collected; what is the use of those data?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Yeah, we're just at the beginning of the second phase of testing; the first phase was having a number of these companies offer the technology to show what can be done -- Cordell Schachter, who's in the second row there, is our Chief Information Officer, and I could invite him

to offer some further details on how we're working with industry in that pilot.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You know what would you like to see in terms of federal and state regulatory action, and do you think that the City has regulatory authority here, and do you think that there would need to be any provision, a specific one, applicable to New York City when it comes to this initiative?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: No, I think the federal framework really relies on the states to provide the key regulatory foundations for dealing with the insurance issues, the vehicle traffic law reform issues, and so the City's legal authority, as you see from the legality of automated vehicles, the federal and the state are the dominant players in setting the rules of the road. Indeed, the Vehicle Traffic Law in New York State requires that any motor vehicle operated on our roads; that the driver must have one hand on the steering wheel to operate that vehicle, and that's something that can only be changed at the state level. And really, before we jump in, we need a holistic framework at the state level that provides input from New York City. We do

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

have concerns that the federal guidance that was issued last month really has very little regard to the specific challenges of automated vehicles operating in cities; there's a lot of discussion of setting industry standards for vehicle manufacture and for the state guidance, but very little on cities, so we are seeking a seat at the table with the City and believe that it's important for the State to convene a task force with the City on autonomous vehicle policy and framework so that we can move this discussion forward most expeditiously.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Right. We have a lot of safety and congestion issues related to freight and shipping vehicles; could the automatic truck help alleviate some of these issues and has DOT working with DCAS already been working to bring new technology that also helps to alleviate that situation?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: I'm sorry; I didn't catch the first part of your question on...

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: We've been dealing with a lot of congestion when it comes to trucks delivering in our city; is DOT working with DCAS, working on bringing new technology with the

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

private sector so that we can reduce the level of congestion that those freight vehicles represent in our streets?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: We are right now

looking at different policies that we could use to help develop a more effective and efficient freight system; DOT has started work on a five-borough freight plan, which we hope to deliver by the end of next year, and we will be looking at various regulatory strategies and other ways in which we can manage truck traffic, encourage things like off-hour deliveries, freight consolidation, and more efficient truck routes through the city to protect livability and the environment. And I think, you know in terms of the technology with automated vehicles, the technology for automated vehicles will start with long-haul trucks; in fact we saw just in the last few weeks, an autonomous truck driven across some of the western states to deliver cases of beer, and I think these are the kinds of applications we'll see first in the freight sector. Within New York City, a lot of our challenges are the last mile of delivery, getting things from a distribution center to shops, and those often require a human to actually move the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

freight off the truck, so I think there will be a different set of issues presented by those technology issues.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. design and build or upgrade our city infrastructure, what do you consider we should be considering to lay the ground floor for this technology? Are we ready when it comes -- and of course, like, we are not ready, you know this is a new idea, but there's gonna be dollars involved in upgrading our infrastructure; has DOT, starting with your team, to put a look on if we are or when we as a city get into the autonomous vehicle, what challenges do we face when it comes to upgrading the infrastructure; do you see partnership from the private sector also to help to cover the costs of upgrading the infrastructure?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Yeah, I think the ... You know we have already, as part of our connected vehicle pilot, equipped 13,000 smart traffic signals with the technology that can communicate with the 8,000 vehicles in that pilot; we're working on putting additional sensors on roadways, on the FDR Drive and other places. Over time, as this technology unfolds, there will be other technological

)

needs to make connected vehicles work well as a piece of supporting autonomous vehicles that will create some demands on our infrastructure; how that gets paid for has yet to be worked out. Right now we're doing this under a federal pilot program.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So what, you believe that technology that has been installed so far, with help from the United States Department of Transportation in grants and other funding, have the capacity to be upgraded in the future and be part of what we need when it comes to sustain and support autonomous vehicles running throughout the City of New York?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Yes, indeed, we've entered into the connected vehicle pilot in order to become and sustain a position of leadership in this field.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. Still have some questions, but I'd like to, first of all, recognize Council Members Chin, Miller, Levin, Constantinides; now I have Council Member Daniel Garodnick, who has questions [sic].

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I won't go too deep with the panel; I

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know that we have an innovation panel coming next and I know everybody's very eager to hear from them too.

My question really relates to our perspective on all of this, because to me this is a very exciting opportunity all around; it presents obvious challenges in safety, jobs, etc., but it does not feel to me that New York is really leading when it comes to automated vehicles. You know even the notion that we should continue to support a law that would not allow even testing on public roads in New York State to me sends a message that, you know we're not really all that serious about the innovation. I guess my question for you is; I understand that there are tracks to test the vehicles and things like that, but New York, and particularly New York City, is an environment which will require a high level of sophistication, a high level of testing, but until it is allowed for an automated vehicle to be tested on a public road in New York City, we wouldn't even be able to clear the FDR Drive and allow for a test in the middle of the night when nobody is around to be able to evaluate it. Am I understanding this correctly, because it seems to me that we want to be able to try, to test... I mean these vehicles, the

2 Level 2, Level 3, they're coming... they're here; it is

2.2

2.3

time for these things. So what do you say to that?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well we don't object to testing these vehicles in New York City; we do wanna make sure that testing in New York City is done well and correctly with New York City input to the framework for testing and safety.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Is your understanding of the State law that we would not be able to test an automated vehicle on a New York City road today?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well I think you could with a driver sitting in the driver's seat, as we see and with, you know with a hand poised by the steering wheel...

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Right, poised by the steering wheel. Well but -- I went and I visited an Audi dealership recently that was demonstrating a Level 2 or Level 3 vehicle that's coming online in, I think 2018, which is designed for traffic. I had always pictured an automated vehicle to be focused on say highway, because you know, the least complicated perhaps and I know how difficult it is to drive in a place like New York City, but this

driver in North Carolina who was completely

inattentive and the system was not up to the task of monitoring the environment he was in and he was

1

2

3

7

8

4 decapitated in a very severe crash with a tractor

5 trailer, and that's in a far less complex environment

6 than what we see on New York streets... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: No question;

9 understated, but you know, without the ability to

the level of complexity I don't think should be

10 test under controlled and safe conditions, New York

11 City will never see automated vehicles, Level 2, 3 or

12 any level, and an obstacle to doing that is, it seems

13 to me, that state law that you asked for us to

14 continue to ensure exists. Am I missing something?

15 MICHAEL REPLOGLE: We think that that law

16 | should continue to exist until such time as the City

17 has a voice in setting appropriate state testing

18 | regulations and standards for these technologies, in

19 | the city and in the state. Right now the City has

20 | had no voice in the federal regulations and the State

21 | could well develop its own policies absent the voice

22 | of the City, and we're concerned simply with being at

23 the table; we share your interest in seeing these

technologies develop and be tested in New York City

25 | in a safe way.

| 1 |
|---|
| _ |
| |
| |

J

ŏ

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. So I guess then, if I were to finesse what the outcome of this conversation is, is that you would support a state law that allows New York City to be able to control the circumstances of testing [background comment] on our public streets; is that correct?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay, I understand it better, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member Menchaca.

and thank you panel, and really thank you for your testimony; it was thoughtful, I thought, and when I first saw the notice about this hearing, I thought, why are we talking about this; this is such a... so in the future and I couldn't be more wrong; listening to you and really kinda understanding the different frameworks and the impact it's gonna have on so many things that we take for granted in some ways, is an opportunity.

Thank you Mr. Heinzen for really focusing on the disability questions from our communities that are gonna need that to be at the forefront in

2 questioning; the job loss. I mean these are all things that we're gonna think about together; I wanna 3 4 make sure that, like Council Member Garodnick pointed out, this is an opportunity to really step back and look at it, and I see two different paths here in 6 7 this question. One, we can approach it with a lot of 8 fear that kinda stops us and forces us to kinda create policy infrastructure that holds us back, or we can move with vision and inspiration that moves us 10 11 away from just these realms that are currently here, 12 but I would love to see a panel with the Department 13 of Education here; I would love to see the figuring 14 out what DYCD thinks about how we activate through 15 the funding that we're putting out into our schools, 16 where young minds can look at this and we can create 17 constituencies right now in our elementary schools to 18 think about this question. When I think about where 19 we've come far in our Vision Zero, it's user-based 20 recommendations, it's people who are on the streets, 21 that are driving, that are in their cars, and on our 2.2 bikes, and on our streets that are informing this and 2.3 because this is all technology, what I don't want -and we're gonna hear from our companies; I think Uber 24 is here and Tesla's here, but we're gonna have an 25

Council Member.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

| COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: No, I guess |
|---|
| I'm I think I just make a point; you don't need to |
| respond to it necessarily, but I think this is an |
| opportunity with such a it's coming, we're gonna get |
| here eventually; our kids might be mayor when that |
| happens that's kind of a joke that we had earlier |
| today, but it's on its way and I think this is an |
| opportunity to really take it seriously in a way that |
| we're really embedding this conversation at all |
| levels and I'd love our young people to think about |
| this and envision this with us; they come in without |
| fear in so many ways and can help us design some of |
| this in the future; that's the only point I wanna |
| make. |

There's a couple questions I have about the thoughts we have to think about when we're transitioning to driverless cars about things like driver's licenses, who has to be registered and what are the tests gonna look like; have you all thought about that?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well I think it's a bit too soon to say what those requirements will be; they will be set at the state level by the Vehicle Traffic Law as it evolves to respond to this new

2 technology. Again, a reason for the City to be part

3 of that discussion.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. And then finally, just on the economics of all this; it'd be an interesting thing to kinda look at all the fees that we take in and where this kinda new fleet might be coming, and the questions I have are about corporation ownership of vehicles versus private and where we start shifting from corporations owning our cars on our street and individuals, and so again, we're in a vision moment right now, but these are the questions I have, as far as what responsibility the City has to shape this conversation.

BILL HEINZEN: And I think Councilman

Menchaca, you've really identified several of the key
issues, including the ownership structure and we do

not have answers for that right now; obviously it's
too early to predict.

In terms of your suggestion about involving other agencies, between the two you see here, I mean I think we obviously agree; I'm glad to hear that The Speaker and Chairman Rodriguez are hoping to convene a working group on this issue; obviously you've got the DOT A-team here, which is

2.2

2.3

all over automated vehicles; I have... at TLC we have a group of like painfully young, intelligent policy experts who love this issue and are doing -- looking very closely at it; I know would love to be more involved; to the extent that we can offer support to the Council in terms of our thoughts, our research, we would love to be involved in that; I think your idea about getting agencies like DYCD involved, for their agency expertise, but also based on their better contact with the constituents probably than an agency like TLC would have, I think it's a great idea.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you. And the final... just the kinda final thought is -- and we're gonna hear this from testimony in the future I'm sure, that there's an understanding that driverless cars, computers are safer, they're better and that the issues on the road are people; people are messing up on our streets, and I think it's an interesting question to think about as we think about infrastructure and creating infrastructure in our streets to create zones where we're actually -- when we think about a car-free New York, it's maybe not car-free, it's people driving free New York. It's

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

really interesting and again, I think the places where we can get the most inspiration is in our schools, to get them ready for the jobs of the future to actually build this thing and design it, and allow New Yorkers to do it from the ground up, in partnership with maybe our big corporations but not letting them drive the conversation, letting us be at the driver's seat. Wow, okay. Thank you.

[laughter, background comments]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council Member. Before calling the next council member, following with the TLC, are there any existing TLC regulations that will prevent an autonomous vehicle from picking up a passenger in New York City?

BILL HEINZEN: Well one with the larger, the state law requirement that was mentioned about having to have one hand on the wheel; we have not currently authorized autonomous vehicles to pick up passengers...

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay

BILL HEINZEN: or automated vehicles to pick up passengers, but I don't think there's anything in the regulations right now that would prevent automated vehicles from being used as for-

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

hire vehicles, assuming they've passed all the federal and state safety standards and traffic standards.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Chair.

Thank you to the panel for being here today; I think this is a very interesting topic on a Friday afternoon. I do or Friday morning. I do wanna say, when I see our future -- you know, I'm not necessarily concerned about the jobs that we would be possibly losing from the drivers that won't be able to be inside their vehicles or driving these vehicles any longer; I actually wanna get to a place where we break our dependency of vehicles in general and have a more safe, reliable and fast public transportation system and that be the primary means of transportation for our public, and also, riding bikes and walking, encouraging that to happen, so for me, I'm torn between a new mode or way to put vehicles on the road as opposed to getting them off the road, and between that and the advancement in technology and that fact that I think we get to a place where we could depend more on technology to do everyday tasks

_

people.

that currently do create jobs, but everyday tasks
that could be changed to doing something we love, we
care about, expanding the human experience, so I
[inaudible] a future that's a lot different from most

But I do wanna say, when it comes to building our public transportation system and the role we have in making sure everyone gets an opportunity to move around this city in a responsible way, do you think that this... moving forward with this technology supports that or actually moves us away from that?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well I think, you know these autonomous vehicle technologies can also provide support to public transportation and they're being used in some settings, in buses, for example, in London; they control the speed of buses so they don't exceed the speed limit as part of their traffic safety initiatives; they can also support better ridesharing, where you're filling all of the empty seats in vehicles, if managed appropriately. These autonomous vehicle technologies could reduce the number of cars by taking a smaller number of cars and having them intensively used more of the time, with

| 2 | all those seats in the vehicle filled most of the |
|----|---|
| 3 | time, and with the vehicles stored in parking spaces |
| 4 | a small part of the time rather than 95% of the time, |
| 5 | as they are now. So there is a promise, if managed |
| 6 | well, if regulated well, that these technologies |
| 7 | could free up a lot of urban land now devoted to |
| 8 | parking and to driving cars and indeed reduce |
| 9 | traffic, reduce parking and free up space for bike |
| 10 | lanes, bus lanes, gardens, parks, and affordable |
| 11 | housing through the City. So on the other hand, if |
| 12 | not well managed and regulated, these technologies |
| 13 | could lead to ghost vehicles, empty cars driving |
| 14 | around to avoid having to pay for parking after |
| 15 | dropping their owner off somewhere, so you know, this |
| 16 | could go in many different directions. Again, a |
| 17 | reason for the City to have a voice at the table in |
| 18 | helping to set the state and federal regulations. |
| 19 | COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I'm glad that |

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I'm glad that you're at the table when we're having this conversation, because you do seem to have a great understanding of how this can be beneficial and how we can drive that conversation towards that side and not necessarily towards owners going home and letting their cars find a way to park itself. And I would

| also encourage that in any way that we move forward |
|---|
| that we encourage or incentivize that any type of |
| opportunity for driverless cars to do work here in |
| the City of New York or to build that technology, |
| 'cause we do need to do something to allow them to |
| come to the City to learn how to move around this |
| city of where we're gonna see them; that we |
| incentivize that it be electric vehicles only; |
| nothing outside of that, that if you're not fully |
| electric, that you are not allowed to even experiment |
| in the City of New York; we really have to start |
| moving towards freeing ourselves; again, of vehicles |
| in general, but if this is the way that we have to |
| go, that they at least be electric. But thank you |
| again for being here and I'm looking forward to what |
| this looks like in 20 years. Thank you. |
| |

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member Chin, followed by Council Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair.

Good morning. When I saw this hearing topic, I said, why are we exploring this issue; it's just like...

we're just kind of reading about it and I guess the future might not be that far away and for someone who doesn't know how to drive, who knows, I might be able

| 2 | to get into a car one day. [laughter] But I think |
|----|---|
| 3 | it's good that, from your testimony, that you do tal? |
| 4 | about thinking about consequences, 'cause right now, |
| 5 | I mean we have a lot of taxi drivers, livery drivers |
| 6 | that live and work in my district, so you're talking |
| 7 | about, you know, over 100,000 drivers right now, and |
| 8 | we start talking about this, they're gonna start |
| 9 | worrying, so I think that it's really important to |
| 10 | look at, you know, job training options; what kind of |
| 11 | jobs will be available with this type of industry. |
| 12 | But at the same time, I know that, you know, it's |
| 13 | good to have working groups and focus people, you |
| 14 | know, to really think about and prepare, but at the |
| 15 | same time, we've still got a long way to go; I mean |
| 16 | we still have taxi cabs that are not accessible or |
| 17 | livery cars that are not accessible, so a large |
| 18 | population, like seniors, people with disabilities |
| 19 | are not being served right now. So I mean even with |
| 20 | the mobile apps, you know companies that have been |
| 21 | coming in, it still has to serve a large population, |
| 22 | people who don't have smartphones or don't know how |
| 23 | to use technology. So what does DOT and TLC, I mean, |
| 24 | how much time are you really spending right now to |
| 25 | looking at how to move forward with having all these |

and livery markets, as I said, it's been a struggle,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

54

1

| there is an equivalent service requirement in our |
|---|
| rules; when we have done testing, those companies |
| don't do very well in providing equivalent service |
| for people in wheelchairs, people who cannot simply |
| fold up their wheelchair and put it in the trunk but |
| who need the actual wheelchair to be lifted into the |
| body of the trunk. We're working right now on a plan |
| that we have been discussing and that we've spoken |
| about to increase accessibility in the black car in |
| every markets; we'd be happy to talk to you about it |
| more; we think that the way to go is to require that |
| a fixed percentage of all trips need to be dispatched |
| in wheelchair-accessible vehicles so that we create |
| not only the greater supply of wheelchair-accessible |
| vehicles, but that we create a critical mass of those |
| vehicles on the road so that rather than having to |
| wait several hours or as with Access-A-Ride, 24 hours |
| in advance; you can get accessible service in a black |
| or livery car or a green or yellow taxi, you know |
| within a service time that is consistent with what |
| other passengers can get and consistent with civil |
| rights and basic human dignity that you don't have to |
| wait forever and that those cabs are out there, those |
| cars are out there. |

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

electrification of the fleet. But again, the City

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yeah, I think that would be very important, 'cause I mean that is really using technology right now to kind of assist customers and at the same time, also using what technologies are available to make the cars safer so that -- I mean so it's not waiting just for the future... [interpose]

> BILL HEINZEN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: but as soon as there is technology available, that we could use it to help the drivers so that they could be able to drive safely and that's something that we should really continue to talk and to work towards, and also I think, from DOT, it's great that you are looking at all these issues and also about, you know, the environment, so my colleagues were talking about electric cars, I mean that's something that we also should work towards now with the fleets that we have so that we can help clean up the environment.

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well I think we agree that as automated vehicles are introduced, it is an opportunity to also encourage a move towards

/

needs to have a place at the table in that discussion to help facilitate those kinds of transitions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Definitely; I think we all look forward to working with you to make sure that the City has a say, 'cause we don't want just to allow the State to dictate, so I think going forward the City Council will continue the participation.

Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: The City... can we say that the City is also behind -- and of course,

I'm not blaming the Administration; I'm blaming, in this case, the MTA for -- when we look at other cities, and we will hear from the private sector, sharing about how other cities, you know, from Finland to Japan to Singapore, they already have autonomous buses in the street [sic], and as we know, the City was missing a few members on the board of the MTA and knowing that the DOT, Commissioner

Trottenberg, she was like a strong voice advocating for the City's interest; now we have three members.

Are those members that we have at the MTA Board in the City, have they -- and of course, like I know that we were not prepared to ask questions of the

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MTA, but since this is about autonomous vehicles and buses are important [sic], knowing that when it comes to the buses it's a joint coordination between the MTA and the DOT, but is there, as far as you know, is there any conversation also making buses autonomous as we also have other cities in the world?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: I don't believe the MTA is exploring that at this time. You know, the MTA still has... you know we could... you know there are opportunities for labor savings in operation of subways, for example, that we haven't taken advantage of, you know for various labor reasons, so.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [background comment] other cities, that they already are using autonomous buses.

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: I don't know of fully autonomous buses in any city; I think there may be some tests in some places. In many cases these technologies are being used for driver support technologies, to help make the operation of buses safer and easier. For example, in helping to give advance warning of pedestrians that are crossing to the -- you know a warning comes to the driver -- this is something we're looking at, for example, connected

was... I see, based on your testimony, that the State

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:

So...

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Again, we're just 3 beginning the phase to test.

2.2

2.3

what I find just a bit ironic; in these areas which are deemed by the federal government to be extreme transportation desert, there has not been comprehensive transportation studies around congestion; around efficiency at all done by the DOT and so I think that we're either putting the cart before the horse or we're prioritizing this industry and this new technology over what currently exists. So again, have we studied this technology in those areas and if so, at what expense to the current ridership and how would this or do you perceive this to impact communities such as Southeast Queens where the commute from City Hall to those areas are two hours; how would this help?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: The pilot tests are for connected vehicle technology; is not aimed specifically at reducing congestion, it's focused more on traffic safety and the actual phase two testing is just getting started; it will happen as part of this ongoing -- we have another four years of this pilot... [interpose]

I'm concerned that in order for this to work that

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

64

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there has to be infrastructure investment and I would like to first see that infrastructure investments are made for communities that are presently being deprived transportation options, because again, it appears that we're putting the cart before the horse in doing so and then my number one concern would be, obviously, the displacement of hundreds of thousands of workers that exist within an industry, 'cause we've seem to be kinda cavalier about that. I remember having a hearing a little more than a year ago by some of these same industry folks saying that they were going to create jobs and employment opportunities within these same inner city communities and targeting members for not being supportive and a year later they're coming back and saying that they're gonna remove jobs; I find that to be the ultimate, you know...

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: Well we certainly know that -- we're monitoring the future landscape on all of these things and monitoring developments closely; we recognize how hard it is to lose a job and we recognize driving cars and buses offers a good living to many New Yorkers and we wanna make sure that we do what we can to protect the interests of those jobs

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

[background comment] there is a Vision Zero enforcement going on in my district and I would love to have been there, but I thought that this was that

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: For the record,

at my request and I will tell you that my community and other communities have not equitably received -- we've had nineteen accidents at one location and it

important for me to be here today, but that was only

and to protect safety, that's the number one priority

that we have is traffic safety and we will get you a

copy of the Vision Zero Action Plan... [crosstalk]

I'd love to see it; I know right now, as we speak

wasn't until two months ago, when a school bus was

involved, that it registered. So I don't know what kind of reporting is going on, and if the reporting

is going on, at what point does it kinda kick in,

that safety mechanism to kick in to say that we have

to address this problem; is it after the third

accident, the fourth, the fifth, the fifteenth; how

do we get to nineteen if we have had a comprehensive

safety study?

MICHAEL REPLOGLE: After every fatality that happens due to a road crash in this city, dozens

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that, we're coming to the end to hear from the Administration; again, this is only a beginning of a conversation that we hope will bring all of us together -- the Administration, the Council, the private, and the academic entity -- hoping that we can put New York City in the forefront when it comes to bringing the innovation that we need related to technology, not only about cars, but also buses; hopefully MTA also will continue upgrading their technology in the trains. In fact, this morning I took the A train, the second stop on Diamond Street the train was already full and the reason why that's happening with the A train, it's not because there's not enough trains, but yet it's because the MTA, they have to upgrade their CBT, the signal that moves the train quickly, so I think, again, I'm not advocating to have more cars in the street; I am one of the person who believe that we should have a plan to review the numbers of New Yorkers who own cars; I think that the market is there for New Yorkers, that they don't need to have a car to know that there's services; besides bicycles, besides ferry, besides buses and trains that there's also cars; the private sector's there to provide... supply the demand and I

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

JULIE SAMUELS: Go ahead. Thank you.

Good morning Chair Rodriguez and members of the

Committee on Transportation. My name is Julie

Samuels and I am the Executive Director of Tech:NYC.

Tech:NYC is a nonprofit trade group that launched in

May of this year with the mission of supporting the

things, increasing engagement between our industry

technology industry in New York by, among other

and New York City government. It is also our goal to

demonstrate to the growing technology industry at

large that New York is the best place for technology

and the companies that build it to grow and develop.

We believe that New York's unique business ecosystem

as a global center for so many industries, such as $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

finance, media, fashion, art and real estate, will

serve to strengthen the technology businesses that

call New York home.

Self-driving cars represent one of the most exciting and promising developments emerging from the technology industry today. Self-driving car technology promises extraordinary benefits -- ranging from significantly improving human safety to dramatically reducing the massive carbon footprint of automobiles. Like any new technology with far-

reaching implications, there are undoubtedly concerns and considerations about how this technology will work and be used.

To best harness the promise of selfdriving technology while ensuring it meets public
considerations such as safety will require a
consistent dialogue between industry and government.

As a trade group that represents several companies
interested in developing self-driving technology, we
are pleased today to begin that conversation with
this committee, and the City Council in general; a
discussion that will undoubtedly continue in the
months and years to come.

As we start this conversation, we also want to emphasize the importance of sending the right message to technology leaders nationwide that New York is a welcoming environment for the technology industry. The industry is, and will continue to be, a source of significant job growth in New York.

Employment in the technology industry in New York increased 71% between 2004 and 2014. Notably, at a time when wage stagnation is so prevalent in the U.S., technology sector jobs in New York City pay more than one-third of the City's average private

_

sector salary. The growth and high wages of technology firms is particularly noteworthy for New York City at a time when city tax revenue is declining for the first time in several years. We believe it is important for lawmakers to think about how we attract the types of businesses that create jobs and generate economic development. A highly-visible issue like self-driving cars is important for the perception of New York as a tech-friendly city.

New York is a city unlike any other in the U.S. As a result of its individuality, self-driving cars present unique opportunities for New York that could improve many of New York's most significant day-to-day challenges.

First, pedestrian safety is an issue of paramount importance in New York. It is well known that Mayor de Blasio has made the pursuit of zero traffic deaths a primary focus of his administration through his Vision Zero initiative. Last year in New York City, more than 3,500 people were killed or severely injured in traffic crashes. While his number represents a significant improvement from years past -- much to the credit of the Mayor and

2.

2.2

2.3

Commissioner Trottenberg -- we can all agree that we

3 would like to see that number dramatically lower.

Safety Administration (NHTSA), the federal agency charged with protecting the traveling public, tells us that 94% of automobile-related crashes can be tied to human choice or error. By reducing the element of human choice or error, self-driving cars can dramatically lower the deaths and injuries attributable to cars. In fact, in guidance documents that I will discuss more later, the federal government stated that "the automobile industry is on the cusp of a technological transformation that holds promise to catalyze an unprecedented advance in

Next, self-driving cars promise significant improvements in New Yorkers' quality of life. New York is a place where space -- both on our streets and in general -- is at a premium. A future with self-driving cars means a significant reduction in car ownership and fewer cars sitting parked on our streets or in garages serving no purpose. Most cars sit unused for 22 hours a day, and there is no reason why we can't take land back from cars for beneficial

safety on U.S. roads and highways."

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

uses. Less on-street parking could mean extra dedicated and protected lanes for cyclists. And reducing our need for parking lots and parking garages means more land for parks and developing housing.

2.2

2.3

Reduced car ownership would also decrease the financial burden that cars have on their owners. The average car-owning family in New York spends over \$2,000/year on gas, insurance and repairs. This does not take into consideration costs that are particularly high in New York City such as monthly parking fees or parking tickets.

Finally, the reduced need for vehicles could significantly improve the city's air quality and our carbon footprint. A recent Community Air Survey issued by the City's Department of Health and Mental Hygiene indicates a strong correlation between high-traffic roads and highways and negative air quality in certain New York neighborhoods. And it's not just high-density roads that we should be concerned about -- in congested urban areas, about 40% of total gasoline use is by cars looking for parking. In addition to our own air quality, vehicle reduction will have a meaningful impact on our carbon

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

footprint and global warming -- roughly 13% of greenhouse gases nationally are attributable to passenger vehicles and light-duty trucks like SUVs, pickup trucks and minivans.

Tech:NYC supports a balanced approach for regulating self-driving cars. In September, as many of you probably know, President Obama published an op-ed that argues we must strike a balance in regulating self-driving cars. He stated that "regulation can go too far" at times, and "government sometimes gets it wrong when it comes to rapidly changing technologies." But at the same time "Americans deserve to know that they'll be safe today even as we develop and deploy the technologies of tomorrow."

To strike that balance, in September the federal government issued a Federal Automated Vehicle Policy. That policy outlines a 15-point Safety Assessment that sets a range of goals for manufacturers based on factors like object detection and response, crashworthiness, cybersecurity, human/machine interface, data-sharing and other factors. The agency states that the policy is by no means the final work on automated vehicles but an

effort to establish a foundation and a framework upon

which future agency action will occur. We think this

provides model state rules in an effort to avoid a

is a sensible approach.

patchwork of inconsistent laws and regulations among states and jurisdictions, which could delay the

The government's guidance document also

to avoid interference and confusion, the agency encourages states and jurisdictions to allow it alone

widespread deployment of self-driving cars. In order

to regulate the performance of self-driving cars for

now.

As a role for states and localities develops to regulate self-driving cars, Tech:NYC wants to be a partner with the City of New York to craft its laws and regulations that work for both the industry and the unique characteristics of New York. We look forward to working with this committee and the entire Council going forward.

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: Good morning Chair Rodriguez and distinguished members of the Council.

My name is Claire Delaunay; I am Director of Engineering at Uber Advanced Technologies Center

1

and co-founder of the startup Otto [inaudible] from 3 beer delivery, autonomously.

4

5

6

I would like to thank the City Council and Chair Rodriquez for inviting me here today and to share Uber's vision about the future of transportation.

8

10

11

12

13

14

The promise of self-driving cars is core to Uber's mission of reliable transportation, everywhere for everyone. We cannot predict what the future will hold, but self-driving cars have the potential to make cities safer, cleaner and more efficient and more affordable. And the greatest potential of self-driving vehicles is safety.

15

16

17

18

19

Today, 1.3 million people across the globe die every year in car crashes; 94% of those accidents involve human error. Despite the work the City has done to implement Vision Zero, here in New York more than 250 New Yorkers are killed each year in traffic crashes. Another 4,000 are seriously injured. This is where self-driving technology can help save lives.

20

21

2.2

Computers can perceive better, calculate faster and react earlier, which means they can drive Safety is built into our entire product

2.3 24

25

_

process; it begins in design, extends through testing and is continuously evaluated through real-world performance. Once self-driving technology becomes part of everyday urban use, accidents resulting in injuries or deaths can be drastically reduced.

While it won't happen overnight, self-driving will be an important part of the future of urban mobility. This is consistent with the goals of the Car Free NYC initiative, and I would like to thank Chair Rodriguez for his leadership on this issue.

An estimated 20% of the space in cities currently used to park the world's billion plus cars, a future with self-driving cars could mean less parking, which could mean more space for parks, playgrounds and other community uses. It could also mean cutting congestion, which wastes trillions of hours every year.

We know riders and drivers will have questions about what this technology means for them. But it's still early days.

Uber is running a pilot in Pittsburgh with a small number of self-driving Ubers, which have a safety driver in the front seat because they

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 require human intervention in many conditions,
3 including bad weather.

2.2

2.3

Even when the technology is more advance, we believe there will still be a mix between self-driving cars and human drivers.

I believe that the opportunities are boundless and I look forward to sharing the progress of this technology as we continue to refine it.

Thank you again for your time, and I welcome any questions.

DAVID STRICKLAND: Thank you. Good morning Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee; it's an honor to appear before you today.

My name is David Strickland and I am the Counsel to the Self-Driving Coalition for Safer Streets, which is a coalition whose members include Ford Motor Company, Google, Lyft, Uber, and the Volvo Car Group. Prior to my involvement in the Coalition, I was the administrator of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration from 2010-2014 and I issued the first federal testing policy for self-driving cars.

The purpose of the Coalition is to create a mechanism for civic leaders, community organizers,

businesses, lawmakers, and regulators to work together to advance the deployment of fully autonomous self-driving vehicles in a safe and timely manner. The Coalition is working with a broad spectrum of stakeholders to make fully self-driving capabilities available to as broad a segment of the American population as soon as possible.

As governments grapple with the most effective way to address self-driving cars without compromising safety or inhibiting innovation, we welcome the opportunity to partner with state and local governing bodies to facilitate deployment and bring these potentially life-saving technologies to market. The Coalition applauds New York City for taking advantage of the opportunity to understand how self-driving cars have the potential to improve public safety.

Despite decades of success in reducing fatalities, we lost 35,092 people last year on the nation's roads. Here in New York City 230 people died in traffic crashes; 133 of those were pedestrians. At the national level it is even more frustrating to note that we are seeing an upward trend again in fatalities in 2016; it is 10% higher

2.2

than it was this time in 2015. While we must continue to make strides in crashworthiness and improving driver behavior, the future of occupant protection includes active safety and crash avoidance systems as new important tools in this fight. The Coalition strongly believes that self-driving cars have the potential to significantly transform and advance the personal safety of passengers and other road users from what we are currently experiencing.

As various active safety technologies continue to be deployed, it is imperative that we do not lose sight of the wide potential societal benefits self-driving cars may provide. Self-driving cars directly address driver awareness and error, can reduce congestion, and could provide the opportunity for millions of people to attain individual mobility that are currently foreclosed from driving. The potential benefits of self-driving cars have exceptional relevance in a market such as New York City, where they could help reduce traffic delays and address parking challenges.

As you are aware, NHTSA released a voluntary guidance regarding the testing and deployment of highly automated vehicles. The

| 2 | Coalition supports NHTSA's effort to construct a |
|----|---|
| 3 | novel regulatory approach that would promote the |
| 4 | expeditious and safe introduction of fully self- |
| 5 | driving cars as a means of improving safety and |
| 6 | mobility. To that end, the Coalition encourages |
| 7 | opportunities to collaborate with local, state and |
| 8 | federal governments to ensure thoughtful and uniform |
| 9 | testing and deployment, including public-private |
| 10 | partnerships, which will be integral to the |
| 11 | successful deployment of these vehicles. Early |
| 12 | engagement, as exemplified by this Council's efforts, |
| 13 | will ensure that the public sector's efforts are in |
| 14 | sync with technology. For instance, the law on the |
| 15 | books that keeps drivers with one hand on the wheel |
| 16 | here in New York State effectively bans deployment of |
| 17 | vehicles that do not require a human operator in the |
| 18 | vehicle. This provision was added to New York's |
| 19 | Vehicle and Traffic Law in 1967, long before the |
| 20 | prospect of self-driving cars became a reality. |

The Coalition appreciates the Council's proactive approach to understanding the future of self-driving cars and their potential to help your constituents in this amazing city. As the Council continues to explore this area, the Coalition looks

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

forward to serving as a resource concerning both technical and policy questions.

2.2

2.3

The Self-Driving Coalition for Safer

Streets looks forward to working with the New York

City Council towards making fully self-driving cars
an immediate reality. We appreciate your interest in
this area and welcome further collaboration moving

forward.

 $\label{eq:theorem} \mbox{Thank you very much and ready for}$ questions at this time.

MILL NICHOLAS: Thank you and good morning. My name is Will Nicholas and I am a Government Relations Manager for Tesla. I want to thank Mr. Chairman and the Committee for allowing me the opportunity to describe Tesla's initiatives to create automated vehicle technologies that provide drivers more confidence behind the wheel, increase safety on the road and make highway driving more enjoyable and less fatiguing.

Tesla is an American technology and design company that solely manufacturers and distributes electric vehicles. Founded in 2003 by five Silicon Valley engineers seeking to end the country's dependence on oil, particular foreign oil,

Tesla is committee to building

this U.S. based company's core mission is to

accelerate the transition to sustainable energy.

exceptionally safe vehicles. Considering that an

estimated 94% of all crashes are caused by human

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

error, a solution for reducing crash frequency is to reduce that human error. While humans are not

recognizably improving their driving skills, fully

automated driving has advanced dramatically over the

last decade. Therefore, Tesla believes automated

vehicles can dramatically reduce both crashes and

associated fatalities.

In October of 2014, Tesla began to build its cars with autopilot hardware -- a package including a front facing camera, radar and 12 ultrasonic sensors. Months later, software was developed and deployed over the year to Tesla's fleet, enabling the first active safety technologies. Today Model S and Model X owners enjoy available features like autosteer, auto lane change, autopark and summon. Tesla is continuously innovating to keep customers at the forefront of technology through the aforementioned over-the-air software updates and with the current feature set, Tesla Autopilot is still

classified as an SAE and NHTSA Level 2 automated

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

remain in control of their car at all times. In accordance with the commitment to

system where the driver is responsible for and must

remain at the forefront of the industry, Tesla announced last week that all vehicles in production, as well as the forthcoming Model 3, will be built with an updated hardware suite, equipping each Tesla with the hardware needed for self-driving capability, dependent on regulatory approval, at a level substantially greater than that of a human driver.

Eight surround cameras provide 360-degree visibility around the car at up to 250 meters of Twelve updated ultrasonic sensors complement this vision, allowing for detection of both hard and soft objects at nearly twice the distance of the prior system. Forward-facing radar with enhanced processing provides additional data about the world on a redundant wavelength that is able to see through heavy rain, fog, dust and even the car ahead. make sense of all of this data, a new onboard computer with over 40 times the computing power of the previous generation runs the new Tesla-developed neural net for vision, sonar and radar processing

2 software. Together, this system provides a view of 3 the world that a driver alone cannot access.

2.2

2.3

Before activating features enabled by the new hardware, Tesla will further calibrate the system using millions of miles of real-world driving to ensure significant improvements to safety and convenience. Availability of these features will be dependent upon extensive software validation and regulatory approval.

Tesla vehicles are compliant with today's laws in every region where registered. The Federal Automated Vehicles Policy are guidelines for developing and deploying automated vehicle technologies. We look forward to further collaboration with NHTSA on the guidance as we build together toward an automated driving future.

Historically, NHTSA has successfully promoted innovation by allowing safety technologies to be deployed, and then using data from their deployment to inform regulations. This approach encourages manufacturers to think and invest boldly, to collect data on new technologies, and to rapidly improve upon developing technologies. The approach has successfully ushered in the deployment and

adoption of many major advancements in vehicle safety, including airbags, antilock brakes, and electronic stability control. While we now know that each of these technologies provides a safety benefit, none of these technologies are inherently innocuous. Rather, risks were associated with their initial deployment. Fortunately, rather than being stifled by premature regulations, these technologies each benefited from an early period of unfettered innovation.

Intelligent regulations can promote safety by mandating technology-neutral safety standards that raise the bar for all manufacturers. However, such intelligent regulations can only be drafted after being inspired and informed by safety innovations. This also holds true for any eventual regulations regarding automated vehicles. The complex and rapidly evolving nature of this technology currently makes it difficult to accurately predict exactly what path forward will result in the greatest safety benefits.

For cities like New York, infrastructure improvements that are beneficial for human drivers will also be beneficial for automated vehicles.

| 2 | Tesla believes the best action cities can undertake |
|----|---|
| 3 | today to support the development and deployment of |
| 4 | automated vehicles is to invest in the road |
| 5 | infrastructure. Although Tesla plans to eventually |
| 6 | deploy automated vehicles that are capable of |
| 7 | operating on any roadway, in the short term, its |
| 8 | technology operates best on roads that are well |
| 9 | maintained. Cities are best served by filling |
| 10 | potholes, painting lines and fixing signage and |
| 11 | guardrails than anything else. These efforts will |
| 12 | not only benefit today's human drivers but will |
| 13 | prepare cities for the adoption of automated |
| | |

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vehicles.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony and I welcome any questions that the Committee may have.

ANDREI GREENAWALT: Good morning Chairman Rodriguez and other distinguished members of the City Council. My name is Andrei Greenawalt and I'm the Vice President for Public Policy at Via; appreciate the opportunity to address you today on the subject of driverless vehicles.

Via is an on-demand transit service that utilizes cutting-edge technology to group multiple

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

passengers into vehicles along dynamically updated routes. Our company is headquartered in New York City, and each day we provide highly efficient rides to tens of thousands of New Yorkers who pay affordable, flat rates.

As we look to the future, it's clear that driverless vehicles will eventually transform the transportation landscape and provide significant public benefits, including saved lives, increased mobility for communities that need it, and reduced congestion and pollution.

Today I'd like to briefly make three points informed by our company's experience in New York. First, we believe New York City has the opportunity to be -- and should be -- a leader and innovator in this area. As you know, other cities are already working with private sector partners and organizations to test driverless vehicles. five boroughs, New York has a wide range of neighborhoods, street and traffic patterns, and transportation needs which present a variety of opportunities for pilot programs and experimentation. A successful program would fuel economic activity and further establish New York City as a leading global

2.2

2.3

city for technology and innovation. Also, by taking a proactive approach to the development of driverless vehicles and their use on city streets, New York could learn important lessons on how to make these vehicles work most effectively for the City, whether that be how to best oversee and regulate their widespread deployment or how their emergence should inform decisions about investments in infrastructure. This is far preferable to New York merely reacting to this technology and its implications once its development is more advanced and tailored to other cities.

Second, we believe the City should promote the use of driverless vehicles on a shared basis. Rather than private, individual ownership, we support a model in which driverless vehicles are a public resource with multiple passengers sharing each vehicle at any given moment. This is the best way to harness all of the benefits that driverless vehicles promise, and could drastically reduce congestion on City streets as well as the emission of harmful pollutants. Dynamic routing and technology that groups multiple passengers into vehicles in an efficient way that commuters and travelers enjoy is

2.2

2.3

not some dream on the horizon. It's here. Through our service, Via has demonstrated that we can transport New Yorkers to their offices, schools, homes, and other destinations with a high level of efficiency and aggregation, and we can do so at scale. By promoting a shared-use vision of driverless vehicles now, New York could be at the forefront of a new era of mobility that will move residents around a city more cheaply and efficiently.

Third, we will leave the timing predictions to others, but it's clear the widespread deployment of driverless vehicles is inevitable.

While this has the potential for significant public benefits, we should all recognize the upheaval that it could cause for the large number of New Yorkers who are paid to drive vehicles. At Via we care deeply about the drivers who use our platform and their experience, feedback and input is a critical part of how we operate our business. As you consider the exciting, complex and challenging implications of driverless vehicles, we encourage you and other policymakers to begin discussing how best to support drivers who will be impacted by potential changes.

For example, you could consider the creation of a

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fund to help drivers save their earnings for the future and programs to help drivers learn the skills to transition to other work opportunities whenever it is that driverless vehicles ultimately begin displacing current vehicles. Thank you for your time today and for your consideration of these remarks.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. you looked at the private sectors like already is doing the work; it's all about how to put the partnerships together with private and public sector together to be able to make our city like one of those that can compete with others who already are using autonomous vehicles, and in that direction, what do you think the City has to put in place in order to bring the incentives that the tech industry needs in order to try and do like a pilot project with a Level 3 autonomous vehicle?

DAVID STRICKLAND: Thank you for the question Mr. Chairman. Really, the foundation of any city looking to create an innovation platform is to create a thoughtful, consistent regulatory regime that does not at the same time stifle innovation. The industry members that are testing, and testing very safely, really do have exquisite risk assessment

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

regimes to make sure that the testing that happens on public roads happens very safely, and having, frankly, a flexible but thoughtful regulatory regime to allow that testing and innovation is the foundation I think of success, and I think that the number of cities that have allowed testing already have sort of shown some of that platform; those cities that have allowed testing without drivers and obviously with the assurances of how you're gonna deal with interventions is very important and we need to provide those assurances. But that's the reason why you've seen a number of cities around the world, as you've noted, seem to be doing more testing; they have really given flexible regulatory regimes and working with the industries that are testing it in their cities and countries, whether it's Singapore or whether it's Scotland or Sweden whether it's in Beijing, China. Having that partnership between government, and frankly, and the industry in being transparent and collaborative is the reason why you've seen that advanced testing. No one has gone out and started using self-driving vehicles in a public way yet; they're all in testing mode, and I think for the United States, which is still, you

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

know, the most innovative market in the world, we need to sort of follow that pattern of making sure that there is a consistent, a flexible regulatory regime.

JULIE SAMUELS: I would just add to that, that to the extent we have that kind of regulatory regime here and we can incentivize that kind of testing here, you will also -- it goes without saying -- incent those companies to bring employees here to actually have a base, if not a headquarters here, and that's, from Tech:NYC's perspective, one of the most important things that we can think of. And I'd also quickly say that if you went back and look at how the Mayor of Pittsburgh talked about this after Uber announced the plans there, I think he said it's the difference between rolling out a red carpet and red tape, and even having these conversations in preparation to figuring out what the regulatory scheme looks like is incredibly important because it really does send a message to entrepreneurs, to founders and technologists that New York welcomes these experiments and these testing... welcomes where we're going.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: From the engineer perspective, what are the challenges that our city faces or that we should address for this initiative?

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So from the engineering perspective, I think autonomous cars are also boundless in terms of opportunities, but boundless in terms of challenges, so there are a lot of things that have to be laid down.

And to your question just before, what's extremely important is to be able to test and evaluate the technology and refine the technology in an array of conditions, as close as possible like to the deployment site, and every test, every month expand testing the technology at the location we want to deploy the vehicle would be a tremendous advantage for the deployment, because we cannot... like optimize the technology for [inaudible] location.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Where do you see the public [sic] sector working in our nation -- in this case, everything is local therefore in our city -- to prepare the workforce that should be the one leading... to take those jobs and that, assuming, and it's a matter of time that we will see autonomous vehicles in the street, therefore we will be creating

| 2 | like a number of jobs. As you know, last year more |
|----|---|
| 3 | than 80,000 individuals, they got a working visa to |
| 4 | come to work in the United States and now someone, |
| 5 | one of those recent immigrants I have not been |
| 6 | against someone who is an engineer in any other |
| 7 | places, if he or she can provide the talent that we |
| 8 | need I am down for we as a nation to recruit those |
| 9 | talents. My concern is that when you look at say |
| 10 | City of New York, as a former teacher for 13 years, |
| 11 | we also know that most of the students, they are not |
| 12 | even taking algebra when they are in 9th grade, |
| 13 | compared to those students who are more advanced that |
| 14 | in 5th grade they are already taking algebra. So |
| 15 | where do you see the City, especially from the tech |
| 16 | perspective, like your role, as a role of the |
| 17 | government to say we together can prepare the |
| 18 | workforce to fulfill those jobs that we will create? |
| 19 | JULIE SAMUELS: I think the City is |
| 20 | already making great strides in that regard with, for |
| 21 | instance, the CS for All, and what's interesting |
| 22 | about the CS for All program, of course, is that it |
| 23 | is a public-private partnership, so to the extent |
| | |

that we are incentivizing more industry, more

companies; frankly, more economic development here,

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we kind of increase the private half of that publicprivate partnership, and what has -- I've been working with the tech industry for many years in New York and also in the Bay Area -- and what is apparent is that these companies do want to engage in their local communities in developing local talent and supporting education efforts, whether it be directly through the cities and the governing bodies that work on that or through nonprofit MGOs who help develop community groups and we've seen a lot of success in New York with those groups. So to the extent we're creating the need for those high-skilled workers and to the extent we are creating the economic base of the people who can help both push for that, as a political matter, and help fund it, as a more private matter, I think that all these things will come together to increase the number of New Yorkers who are getting the right education to fill what will be really great jobs.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I just hope that in this continued conversation that I know that we will have, together, we the Administration; again, the universities, schools and you as the private sector, you know, we need to be more accountable to

| 2 | that, because especially, as you know, the culture is |
|----|---|
| 3 | the private sector is you know you want to put |
| 4 | people more accountable and I think that sometimes |
| 5 | for me, even frustrates me when I sit in a place and |
| 6 | we talk about all the resources that we put together |
| 7 | and however, we are always afraid to put numbers, |
| 8 | saying okay, this is the numbers of young people, |
| 9 | college that we together can prepare to fulfill those |
| 10 | seats. When I take, you know, my 9-year-old to a |
| 11 | robotic program, when I see that she's, in many |
| 12 | places, the only female and the only person of color |
| 13 | in those initiatives, so unless we start early |
| 14 | addressing that gap, you know, 25 years from now, if |
| 15 | we're alive, we will be part of that conversation |
| 16 | saying we're doing everything, everyone is doing |
| 17 | their part; however, the number will continue being |
| 18 | the same. So again, what I hope is that, as Carlos |
| 19 | was mentioning, that we need to look this is the |
| 20 | future, this is not anymore the future; this is the |
| 21 | present, probably one I'm one of the few ones that |
| 22 | still has a Blackberry [laughter] and as people will |
| 23 | say, even those who will criticize the autonomous |
| 24 | vehicle, it's a matter of time, and I think that you |
| 25 | know, the investors, they are looking to get a good |

| 2 | return and that's fine for them to do good; that's |
|----|--|
| 3 | good for the City; the transportation entity, they |
| 4 | need their investments to improve transportation |
| 5 | buses, cars, you know, trains and we, those in |
| 6 | government, have to be accountable for taking our |
| 7 | city to a better place when it comes to |
| 8 | transportation. So I know that all those questions, |
| 9 | it's not being more than bringing legitimate, lawyer |
| 10 | concerns, but you know, discussion on where are we |
| 11 | going and I believe that the City still has to do |
| 12 | better when it comes to taking the 8.5 million New |
| 13 | Yorkers into more technology and I just hope that, |
| 14 | you know, listening to all of those things and many |
| 15 | residents, members of our city, we have to sell this |
| 16 | as something that people should embrace. One of |
| 17 | those articles that was published in a magazine |
| 18 | yesterday about this issue, not only they're dealing |
| 19 | with infrastructure; they mention about data, but |
| 20 | it's about the educational piece and the educational |
| 21 | piece is not about the classroom; it is, how do we |
| 22 | persuade New Yorkers, in this case, our city, that |
| 23 | this is a good thing? What is a driver assisting |
| 24 | tool that be installed in [sic] those cars? How will |
| | |

we be preventing that those autonomous vehicles will

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not be ending up in the hand of a terrorist person who will use it for their own agenda to kill innocent people? So as you are doing the research, are you paying attention to those concerns that New Yorkers or residents of any other city will have when it comes to at the moment when we have those autonomous vehicles on the streets, how can we be sure that we do the best we can to prevent those vehicles not to be ending up in the hand of people who use it for another purpose?

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So at Uber, we take the security very seriously, and like a year ago, a Jeep was hacked -- I don't know if you heard about it -and Uber, we decided to hire these hackers to be able to actually try out the system we developed and constantly make sure that we are at the best of what we can do in terms of security.

I will also add that there is no like very easy way to hack into an autonomous car and like I would say the same -- it's as [inaudible] as an older car is; like you will have to open the hood and like cut the wire or you will have to like actually hack the computer or physically connect to the computer of the car; this is not as easy as like

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hacking a phone, for instance. And so the same security that applies for regular cars applies also for autonomous cars.

DAVID STRICKLAND: Also, Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure if you're aware; the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration earlier this week issued new cybersecurity guidelines for the automotive industry; it's to basically set the basic principles to deal with what is foundational safety for cybersecurity and data protection. This arose out of the work of the industry, a thing called Information Sharing and Analysis Center (ISAC), which is where the industry actually shares cybersecurity threats with each other so that when an attack happens, and an attack will happen, the goal is to not only harden your systems to make sure that it isn't easy to get in, but also to identify the attack quickly so you can stop it. And so the federal government took its first steps today in harmonizing the industry on that point; it is gonna be a continuing work with, frankly, not only Uber, but frankly, every innovator and manufacturer across the industry to deal with that particular threat factor.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: ...City that we

aiming to accomplish?

2.3

should look as a model? Like, I remember I did my last semester in '93 in Fordham University and the first thing that I was advised to have -- go and buy a bike, and there were bike lanes at that time, full bike lanes [inaudible], and it took many years to start working with bike lanes, as many other cities -- Colombia, Mexico, [inaudible] and many places in Europe and Asia. Which is the city today that we can say they the role model, the one that we should, if

we want to compete, this is the one, the top one for

us to compete? [inaudible] there that we should be

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So right now we are conducting an experiment with... a pilot project with Pittsburgh and I would say the best thing that you can do is work with us, just work with us and figure it out how to experiment better, because the key to all of... this problem of intelligent car is all about data, we need more data, we need to collect more data to try out more scenarios and be better at that. Now there is not like a golden thing that we can come up with just now and say all of us [inaudible] autonomous driving. But to the point of... Tesla

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addressed before, like well... well-maintained, road infrastructure is always better, as well as poor human drivers and autonomous cars.

DAVID STRICKLAND: Mr. Chairman, in terms of what is the best city that is conducting, so I think my suggestion would be to frankly, have an opportunity to talk to industry players that are actually doing the tests. There is no best city; there are elements in each testing site which are, you know, thoughtful, positive and advantageous; there are some that actually we have found issues with going forward. I would also probably say, as another general suggestion; the Department of Transportation did a challenge called "The Smart City Challenge," where they invited 40 cities to, frankly, think about data and technology in terms of how you innovate for the city of the future and they offered \$40 million to the ultimate winner, which was Columbus, Ohio. But with that there was a group of several cities that put together several multimillion dollar investment proposals on how to sort of build towards the future of supporting innovation and transportation and technology like self-driving; I would say reach out to the folks that did that work

2 countries that have top down national governments 3 that don't have a federal system, it's easy for them 4 to make changes in laws quickly to do stuff; that's the reason why you see China leaning in so hard and 5 heavy in the testing; they don't have to worry about 6 state and local governments the way that we do. 8 they can make changes in law very quickly, they can take, you know, different approaches very quickly, but that doesn't mean that they're gonna be better, 10 11 it just means that they have... at government level 12 they're more efficient. We have the opportunity here 13 in the United States, as we've seen in our testing 14 regimes, to produce, frankly, the technology that is 15 influencing the rest of the planet. The gun started, so to speak, when Google had done its testing on 16 17 Lombard Street in San Francisco in 2010; there had 18 been testing going on for decades, you know when labs 19 across every manufacturer; it became in the 20 mindshare, here in the United States, and everybody 21 else is following. So again, you can take bits and 2.2 pieces from other governments on how they do it, but 2.3 they can't replicate what we do here, so we still have that opportunity and that frankly, I see the 24 25 advantage.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member

3 Menchaca.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you And thanks to everybody in this panel. Chair. think, in just listening to your not only work on multiple different sectors, from nonprofit and private sector, you're clearly invested in this for a lot of different reasons. And I wanna start with just -- it's a little subtle, but it's important to note. Miss Samuels, you kinda testified a lot; in fact, when we're done, I wanna sit down and talk with you about some of that work -- I think out of everyone you're really focused in bringing this into our schools and without kinda prompting that, you brought that in. But there's one thing that I, I mean I have to say, in your testimony, you talked about the Administration and the Mayor and his Vision Zero initiative, I think what's important is that this is not necessarily connected just to the Mayor or his administration; this is a movement, and so I think language is important to talk about that in a way that -- this is more than just one person in the City as a leader; it's important, but this is coming from the ground; this is really... the Vision Zero

| concept, which is, this is very integrated, is |
|---|
| important. So I think we should talk about it in |
| that way as a movement of people in a city. And I |
| think about the model that you're injecting |
| yourselves into, when we think about… and the Chair |
| started and reminded us of the Model T Ford and how |
| that came out and just revolutionized everything, and |
| if we could think about that moment in time in the |
| history of the country, of the world and what it took |
| for that one inventor to do this work, and now we're |
| trying to invent something new in a whole different |
| way where so many people can interact, including our |
| classrooms in our city and I just wanna hear more |
| about how 'cause I heard the vision, the vision is |
| there, and again, Julie, you kind of nailed it, but |
| from the companies what is your role in that |
| and I'm thinking about Uber, less Tesla, but more |
| interacting with Uber and some of the other |
| conversations we've had in this room where so much |
| money went into lobbying us on some other things that |
| we were discussing; a lot of money went into that; |
| haven't seen a lot of money go into schools. Talk to |
| us a little hit about, as a private sector, what |

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're doing on this concept of engaging a movement and not necessarily a for-profit bottom line.

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So I would like to say something about the engineering aspect, because this is really what I care about, and I would say I almost -- sorry -- don't care if it's Uber or anybody else. What's very important is that what this private sector is doing right now is pushing the technology much farther and for a lot of different reasons it's very important that we target the future, not the intermediary [sic] step, but we -- especially in transportation, look at the progress we made on medicine, on physics, on molecular physics, autonomy, and when you look at how we do transportation nowadays, it's still very, I would say primitive in a lot of different regards, right? And so I think what's amazing about the effort the private sector is creating is that they inject they money to actually let engineers engineer and redesign the transportation and that seems this is key to like safety and efficiency in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And I'm interested in engineering too, but -- I don't know if

2.2

2.3

anybody else wants to kind of hit that question as well.

[background comment]

JULIE SAMUELS: I could quickly say that

Tech:NYC -- to make a quick plug for my organization

-- has only been around for about six months, but as

we continue to grow, I think a lot of what you're

talking about -- increased civic and philanthropic

engagement -- is something that we will be helping

the community at large organize, so stay tuned.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you. And again, this is what I wanna work with you and I think the Committee wants to as well.

So let's go to the next thing, on a kind of more specific thing. Where are we gonna land first, and I think Tesla's point was kinda made very, very clear about infrastructure and road infrastructure and developing that. Do you have a sense about... can you give us a texture about what that looks like, an example of that? Because my main question is; are we gonna first see... What are we gonna see first; areas where you essentially remove the human driver and just have vehicles interacting with each other 'cause we've built the

2.2

2.3

infrastructure, and if you can imagine areas like

Lower Manhattan where no more people will be driving

things and people will be driven, because we have the

infrastructure, and so there will be no human error

because there will be no humans and so... I mean is

that where we land first or are we really gonna

perfect this concept of driverless cars interacting

with humans, and so I'm just kinda curious if you

have a sense about what that looks like and examples

of what the infrastructure you're talking about is.

WILL NICHOLAS: Indeed; thanks for the question.

Although the premise for these conversations is certainly based in the future, I think that there will be some time in which the technology is developed, not only on the private side, but also in the public sector's or the consumer's perception as well. Without an education on this technology, I don't think it will be broadly adopted, and I think, as the Department of Transportation categorized, you know how the technology will be developed and right now Tesla is safely at a Level 2, where you know drivers are indeed in control of the vehicle, but are benefited

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by active technologies that can help you stay in your lane and not the thing in front of you, so on and so forth, and I think that as we continue to collect data and conduct experimentation, the human and technology elements will combine themselves to a place where there is confidence in the technology that can further and further take over and make decisions in conjunction then for the human driver. So I can't say that there's a place in this city -in which I live and love -- will take place first, but I think that it is important for this Council and for the other agencies to keep in mind that as the cars begin to equip themselves with the hardware and the software to collect that data, it's important that they continue to allow kind of a safe experimental zone so that the companies that are developing this technology can examine that and make qualified decisions as to what comes next.

ANDREI GREENAWALT: Just to touch on your previous question for one second. I grew up in New York City and my first job after college was working at a nonprofit here, running an afterschool program for kids here, so I feel like personally invested in the issues you raised, and just on behalf of beer

2.2

[sic], which is, you know, we're a bit smaller than Uber and Lyft and the other guys and we're still growing, but we're a member of Julie's group,

Tech:NYC, and we look forward to helping out in any way we can on that front.

And I just wanted to make one point on infrastructure, which is: I think this is -- you've hit on one reason why it's so important that New York be a leader on this front in terms of testing and experimentation, because the infrastructure needs of a Pittsburgh are not gonna be the same as the infrastructure needs of a New York. Infrastructure needs in Manhattan are gonna be different from Queens, they're gonna be different from the Bronx, from Brooklyn; from Staten Island, and so I think getting out there and getting to a point where these vehicles are being tested in the real world, in New York, is critically important, and what better way to inspire our kids than seeing these pilot programs in the different boroughs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Agreed.

Agreed. Help me understand what happens in this kind of race toward evolution and technology, the creation of this technology and the merger, and this is

)

Council Member Reynoso's point on buses and trains and where is that line do you see -- we asked the City that earlier, but where do you see that and do you see any real stops and lines in the sand here or do you really see this kind of embedded into everything that is transportation, so there really is no -- and I love just the vision that Council Member Reynoso was kind of putting out there, this kind of truly public transportation for everybody.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right.

pavid Strickland: This is actually -you know, Andrei and I both had the pleasure of
serving in the Obama Administration, so this is
something that's probably near and dear to both of
our hearts; a thing called "livable communities,"
really thinking about how we plan for not only
organizing the civic landscape but how do we actually
convey transportation in the most efficient and
thoughtful way to support all modes -- pedestrianism,
bicycling, public transit -- and one of the key
issues is the last mile problem -- when you have
trains and buses that can get you only to a certain
point -- and in some communities you may have,
especially if you have folks that have disabilities

| 2 | or children that may not necessarily be able to, you |
|----|---|
| 3 | know, deal with a three-quarter-of-a-mile walk to a |
| 4 | bus stop self-driving has the opportunity to solve |
| 5 | those last mile issues, and in terms of being able to |
| 6 | actually get a person from point A to point B without |
| 7 | there being, frankly, a disincentive to actually use |
| 8 | public transit. So frankly, you know, the vision of, |
| 9 | frankly, of the Coalition and our members and |
| 10 | frankly, I think everybody working in the self- |
| 11 | driving space, that this is going to be |
| 12 | supplementary; there's gonna be lots of different |
| 13 | models and business uses for self-driving vehicles, |
| 14 | but I think the greatest opportunity is to solve the |
| 15 | last mile issue, which I think is a core to what, |
| 16 | frankly, you know, the Obama Administration has |
| 17 | invested eight years of work into and I think that |
| 18 | this technology actually really does sort of connect |

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And for Uber, on that question, the last mile, or really, the TLC testified to their focus on New Yorkers who have limited mobility, visually impaired New Yorkers, and in your kind of work, how do you solve that issue, engineering perspectively [sic] might?

that last technological gap.

24

25

2 CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So I think the premise 3 of an autonomous car is really about modularity. of the big problems right now, for why it's so hard 4 to match like executable [sic] conceptation [sic] to the demand is because somehow we have to fix the... not 6 necessarily accessible conceptation with the 7 8 accessible conception, and the demand and the physical shape of the vehicle accommodating like bus [sic] needs is different also and somehow this is 10 11 hard to match because we also have like a limited number of drivers. So what autonomous vehicles 12 13 provide is modularity; it allows us to remove all 14 this compliance [sic] and just say that when you want 15 transportation, you order it, you order it on your phone and you say what kind of shape your vehicle 16 17 should be -- is it one, three people; six people; 18 zero [sic] people; it can be anything, and you order 19 this mobility exactly to the location you are at one 20 point in time and it brings you to another location to your destination, so basically this modularity is 21 key. And it's very funny because we created this 2.2 2.3 kind of modularity everywhere, like in the TV, in the

way we consume, into the way we receive goods, but

somehow the transportation is still pretty much

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 archaic, because we don't have access to all these 3 features [sic].

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for And I just wanna give you last, there's a that. student government group right now at one of my schools in the district and -- PS 310 -- and I'm gonna just... the three... I already retweeted it in my Twitter, but actually all of them, the three examples are three young girls; one of them says: I am a true leader who would work for you -- this is not about you, in this, but they're [laughter] making speeches -- the second one: I don't seek change, I am change, and then finally: I wanna be president of the United States; most important, I want my voice to be heard. And so this is who we're talking about, they're in our classrooms right now, doing this, engaging and there's this connection that needs to happen in order for us to fuel it the way we wanna fuel it, the way it should be fueled; this is a movement. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright. So I wanna speak to another concern, I guess, with the overall movement towards like expansion technology

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and really moving towards what I think is the future, or what we all know as the future of this world. seems like corporations are no longer investing in human capital, right; that if you look at where money is going in the top companies in -- on Wall Street, for example or that are bidding high -- they're all moving away from human investment or human capital and moving towards something that eliminates that, whether it's McDonald's removing the people that take orders, for example, with machines; whether it's Uber and the idea of moving away from drivers, and it seems like all the work that we have to do related to human capital has now fallen on these corporations' philanthropic and charity arms, right, and that's not necessarily where we wanna be as a society, I think, and it seems that what we're gonna end up doing is having government counter that and be the way to figuring out a way that we can allow for human capital or the human portion of this movement to still be accounted for. And I don't necessarily think we want to play that role, I mean I don't think you guys want that role to be played, you wanna be able to be a part of that conversation, but we're not there just yet, and it seems like when you start

| 2 | moving forward in the City of New York, and probably |
|----|---|
| 3 | anywhere else, you're gonna end up having this is |
| 4 | gonna be a fight regarding jobs, that's what it's |
| 5 | gonna be. No one's gonna disagree with the |
| 6 | opportunities that technology is gonna pose on our |
| 7 | communities and our city, they're gonna talk about |
| 8 | jobs above all as the bottom line and you're gonna |
| 9 | have to be able to respond to that in a responsible |
| 10 | way. And I do wanna say that whatever you guys do at |
| 11 | a very local level is gonna be the way that we are |
| 12 | able to contract that or have that conversation, and |
| 13 | it means what has Tesla come to a local school in |
| 14 | the City of New York and said you know what, we're |
| 15 | gonna have a pipeline starting in elementary school |
| 16 | so we can have, you know, a class of engineers from |
| 17 | today for the future so those jobs are there, 'cause |
| 18 | what we know for sure is that there's the lack of |
| 19 | diversity in a lot of these jobs, jobs that have to |
| 20 | come from overseas and the opportunity from children |
| 21 | here, for example, in the City of New York and where |
| 22 | or not those are the jobs that they're gonna be |
| 23 | having, right. We don't know that and I guess your, |
| 24 | again, your charity arm is gonna have to take hold to |
| 25 | that and really start talking about what this means |

| for our future for people and that conversation needs |
|---|
| to become real for you guys to be successful here. |
| And I guess I'm just letting you know that so you car |
| prepare for what's coming down the pipe this is |
| you're just poking at it right now, but once you guys |
| go you jump into the pool I guess you're just |
| testing the waters, but once you dive in, that's the |
| fight you're gonna have and I know you know that |
| better than I do; I know that you have here people |
| already prepared to take that on. But for us that |
| wanna be supportive of this movement, you need to |
| have a real response to that is what I'm trying to |
| say. And I was talking to Council Member Menchaca |
| earlier that I wanna move away from a time where |
| human service is the commodity, right and you know, I |
| want the what is it, the human experience or the |
| human the the commodity to be human |
| expression, there you go I can't express that |
| [laughter] to the human expression; that we actually |
| pay more for what people can do outside of their |
| service and who they are, and I think this can help |
| us get there, but this jobs fight is gonna be big for |
| you and is gonna be big for us. So I guess this is |
| ivet a warning and thank you give for boing horo. T |

2.2

2.3

think this has been very good for a lot... to have here and for me especially.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

WILL NICHOLAS: I have... [background comment] I think you make a good point and just for Tesla's sake, we'd like to grow in New York and specifically in New York City and I'm not sure that the Council knows, but you know we have significant constraints in terms of our licensing and if we can have any support from you in order to build and invest more in this city and in the state, we'd be very appreciative.

thing, Chair; I just... Also, you guys talk about needing to work in New York City because it's a complex city and in order to get it done right you would need to come in here and do some testing here, which I agree with, but eventually I do wanna say that I expect you guys to get rid of some of these roads and replace them with parks in some of these roads and replace them with public plazas. So these roads that you're talking about that you need to get into to test to make sure they work, if you achieve the goal that I think I wanna see, for example, then

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we wouldn't even have to worry about those roads, so to just really put things in perspective in a larger picture, half of these roads should be gone by the time you guys are in there, so another thing that I think is important. But thank you guys for your time and for entertaining it.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. do you see driverless technology lifting [sic] into our diverse street culture that includes cyclists, pedestrians, transit, and even horses? And how does this defer from the city, in other words, testing has gone on like the Silicon Valley and in smaller cities such as [inaudible]; like, how do you... how are you looking at New York City different from other places, for example?

CLAIRE DELAUNAY: So the way an autonomous vehicle works is that we have like the [inaudible] where we collect true information and distance [sic], so it can come from like laser, radar kinda route, [inaudible] radar; there's a lot of them. And secondly, like the [inaudible] is like how we combine this information to come up with like a representation that is convenient for a robot. For instance, what is a pedestrian; what is a car. Ι

J

haven't seen any horse in Pittsburgh yet, but I'm

[laugh] pretty sure we can come up with a model to do

that. And finally, is like the activation, so

basically once we have a representation of this word,

what does the robot do about this information? And

to the point I was mentioning before, which is all -
the technology is all about data; if we never

[inaudible] before, we have to verify first that it's

safe and that we can handle this kind of [inaudible]

that's why it's so important to practice and to test

the technology [inaudible] comes a reality.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [background comment] focus on this committee and lowering car usage, car ownership, and congestion on our streets.

Do you see driverless cars as a personal investment or as a new type of transit without set routes?

DAVID STRICKLAND: Mr. Chairman; that really is a \$64,000 question. Everybody's working hard to build and to evolve and perfect the technology. There's gonna be multiple use cases and multiple business cases for this technology; whether it is going to be rideshare, whether it's going to be individual ownership, whether it's going to be as an extension of transit, maybe being controlled by a

city or a municipality. I think the goal is to make sure that the technology is thoroughly tested and then deployed quickly and thoughtfully and frankly, I think that the consumer market will evolve, you know frankly, the winning uses for this technology, but I think it's gonna be, frankly, an all of the above. There will be a significant shift in some areas in terms of possibly car ownership could change in America, but we don't know that yet. But your question's very -- frankly, that is the question and I think everybody wants to make sure we have a regulatory environment where all these possible business opportunities, vectors and uses have an opportunity to grow and compete and we figure out which is the best use case.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great.

ANDREI GREENAWALT: I just wanna add, I think... oh thank you. You know I think for New York you don't wanna have a lot of individually owned autonomous vehicles going around the city where people get dropped off and then they have just let their car kind of circle around because they don't feel like parking [laughter] and it's just driving around the city, causing additional congestion. So

2.2

2.3

| at least from the perspective of our company, Via, we |
|---|
| think it's very important, as this gets tested and as |
| New York thinks about it; as you all think about it, |
| to really think about ways to encourage it to be a |
| shared public resource, because when you get multiple |
| passengers into the car and you're using up those |
| empty seats, then you actually get to reducing |
| congestion, reducing harmful pollutants, etc. So at |
| least from our perspective, we think that should be a |
| strong component of any plans New York has going |
| forward. |

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. Will you be open to be part of a working group if the Council decided to put a working group together to collect ideas and put some suggestions on this initiative?

[collective affirmations]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. So thank you and let's continue working together; I hope that next year -- also, many of you joined us last year as we put together a car-free day on Earth Day; 2017 is gonna be a Saturday, so it's gonna be more convenient than the one that we did on Friday last year, but... [laughter] thank you, and again like, I think that,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

you know, this is something that all of us should be working together. Thanks. [background comment]

The last panel -- Julia Kite,

Transportation Alternatives; Osman Chowdhury,
[background comments] and Alec Slatky.

[pause]

2.2

2.3

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You may begin.

[background comment]

afternoon and thank you for convening this hearing.

My name is Julia Kite and I'm the Policy and Research

Manager for Transportation Alternatives, a 43-yearold membership-based nonprofit advocating for better

walking, bike, and public transportation in New York

City. We have also been at the forefront of New York

City's Vision Zero initiative. The development and

arrival of autonomous vehicle technology presents an

unprecedented combination of opportunity and cause

for concern, from our perspective as street safety

advocates. There is still a great deal that is

unknown about self-driving car technology, and many
elements still need to be perfected. At the same

time, we remain optimistic that by reducing the

capacity for human fallibility at the wheel, this technology could greatly reduce the number of injuries and deaths on our streets, but only if we have policies in place that are designed with the most vulnerable road users in mind.

Automated Vehicles issued by NACTO, the National Association of City Transportation Officials. It states that such policies must "promote safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders, reduce the environmental impacts of vehicular travel, and rebalance the use of the right-of-way to devote less street space to cars, and more to people walking, cycling, and using public transit."

Protecting the most vulnerable road users must be the priority for any city managing automated vehicle traffic.

Autonomous vehicles must not simply become a more convenient, lower-effort version of status quo of driving. The technological advances that bring them into existence should be harnessed to shake up how we as a society understand cars and how automobile use impacts our city.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, connectivity with other vehicles and with so-called "smart infrastructure" like traffic signals, can allow for autonomous vehicles to drive closer to each other and move more efficiently through the city. Autonomous vehicles may also free up road space on our congested streets by reducing demand for parking and by facilitating car-sharing over private ownership. A University of Texas study indicates that one self-driving taxi can facilitate carpooling and replace roughly ten private But in order to avoid repeating the mistakes of the past, where increased road capacity devoted to motor vehicles only led to more car usage and more congestion, and we believe that any road space freed up by these new efficiencies should automatically be dedicated to pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements. Failure to plan appropriately for this impact of autonomous vehicles may lead to worsening congestion and sprawl.

It's also important to recognize that, at present, autonomous vehicle technology is not focused on the unique road conditions of cities like ours.

Protocols that suite rural driving, where one can travel for miles without encountering anybody, are

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

unsuitable for New York City. We need autonomous vehicle manufacturers to first prove that their design is centered on the challenges of urban driving and focused on the safety of pedestrians before they're allowed to sell or operate here.

A major safety concern [bell] relevant to New York City that manufacturers have yet to resolve is a form of what's called the "trolley problem," a scenario where it's impossible to avoid harm to at least one person in a collision. Simply put, what would an autonomous vehicle do if it had a choice between only two actions that would lead it to either crash into a pedestrian or crash into an object and injure the car's occupants. Recently, Mercedes-Benz announced that it will program its self-driving cars to prioritize saving the people inside the car in such a scenario, reasoning that the safety of the car's passengers is the only factor the automaker can control. This is [bell] despite the fact -- I'm sorry; one second -- This is despite the fact that car occupants are already better-protected; in crashes the pedestrian always loses; we're alarmed that Mercedes would make this statement, and we

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

reject the idea that autonomous vehicles should shift the burden of safety onto pedestrians.

So in conclusion, driverless cars are still cars; we support their development, but we need to see the technology shaking up the status quo and showing that it's compatible with Vision Zero.

Thank you.

ALEC SLATKY: Good afternoon. My name is Alec Slatky; I'm here representing AAA Northeast, which serves a membership of over 570,000 drivers in New York City, 5.2 million region-wide.

I wanna thank you, Chairman Rodriguez, for holding this hearing and Councilman Menchaca for sticking around.

At AAA we're committed to educating our members about this cutting-edge automotive technology and we've conducted a lot of studies that examine the benefits of certain advanced driver assistance systems and there's immense cause for optimism, but there's also cause for the same pause -- not to rhyme -- cause for the same pause that DOT expressed earlier, you know, just before -- let's not go from no self-driving vehicles at all in New York State to a total free-for-all, which is basically what the New

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York State law that is much maligned, would have done, and we opposed it because -- we read in the memo -- oh, it's because people were getting tickets for having self-parking technology and their hands weren't on the wheel. I didn't buy that for a second, and it was pretty much to advance this sort of technology and now we have NHTSA's regulatory framework, which is gonna help a lot.

But I wanna focus on a couple of studies that we did which show potential but also cause for concern.

You talk about rear cross traffic alerts, I mean we know automatic parking for parallel parking is definitely better than human, but for rear cross traffic alerts, that's when you're in a parking lot, you're backing out of a spot and there's supposed to be a sensor that detects oncoming traffic that would be in your way, and it failed to detect a passing vehicle in 30% of tests; a bicyclist in 40%; motorcyclists in 48%; and a pedestrian in 60% of those tests. So there's still no substitute for an engaged, attentive driver right now.

And additionally, with autonomous emergency braking, we see these commercials where

_

Э

_

•

drivers are distracted or inattentive for whatever reason and then the car saves them from a rear end collision by braking. There's some truth to that, but it's not the whole truth, because we've done some tests, and in systems that are designed to prevent crashes, when there's a speed differential of under 30 mph, it avoided 60% of those crashes, which is great to make up the gap when humans are fallible, but certainly insufficient to trust with full control.

And I do wanna just mention, you know

Councilman Menchaca, you had a good question about do

we think this is gonna be driverless vehicles only in

an environment or do we think it's gonna be combined

with human-driven vehicles and I think it's gonna be

the latter. I mean you take a look at our car

registration patterns today; we have over 200,000

vehicles registered in the City of New York that are

at least [bell] 16 years old, model year 2000 or

earlier. So the average age of a vehicle here in New

York is 8 years... there's gonna be a lot of mix, but

fortunately, the investments that you need to make as

a city are the same investments that drivers need

today, and that's infrastructure. I think the

2.2

2.3

gentleman from Tesla put it well -- we need to keep
the level of resurfacing that the Council and the
Mayor have committed to at a high level; I know the
strategic plan talked about increasing lane markings
and freshening those up; I think that is very
important, making sure signs are clear, all those are
gonna be vital for the future of these cars, but also
for drivers today.

And then one last thing -- thinking long-term, while talking about removing the need for parking, there's gonna be more cars obeying the law; right now the city takes in \$650 million, just about, from parking fines every year; that's a lot of money in the City's budget that we're basically saying that may go away, and so I think it's important to start today to take those fines and also traffic fines and put them towards dedicated funds that enhance safety and mobility. And thank you for the opportunity to comment.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well with that,
we've come to the end. Okay, again, this is a
beginning of the conversation on the possibility to
bring autonomous cars to New York City; of course,
our business [sic] is to make the lives of cyclists

2.

_

and pedestrians safer than ever, therefore, that's our top priority and with that, it is so unfortunately that this morning an 8-month-old baby died when he was crushed by a driver in Queens, being the last New Yorker who lost his life in the street. So again, that's the most important, that's our priority to make the streets safer especially for pedestrians. We are open to any opportunity or bringing [sic] innovation to our city, especially on how drivers move around.

I want to invite everyone to also come to the next hearing that this committee will have on November 15, where we will be hearing many bills, including some bills introduced by Council Member Menchaca, myself and others. The topic of the next hearing on November 15 will be Making Cycling and Walker Safer and More Efficient in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you,

Chair. And I just wanna highlight a couple things

that I heard and on Twitter and some other messaging,

to really get the sense about the responsibility if

we're moving and shifting towards driverless cars and

making sure that we ask those questions and Julie,

With that... [background comments]

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 134 |
|----|---|
| 2 | thank you so much for being both of you for |
| 3 | being here, but it's a big question who has the |
| 4 | responsibility in terms of the crashes that happen on |
| 5 | our streets, and that's something we can do together |
| 6 | and I know DOT is still in the room and that's |
| 7 | something a working group and I'm gonna be |
| 8 | connected to in a big way but that's an important |
| 9 | question that we can ask now; we won't have to wait |
| 10 | for that technology, we can actually start thinking |
| 11 | about that now, start preparing our rules and |
| 12 | legislative policies for, so I just wanna underscore |
| 13 | that; that's an important thing that I'm carrying and |
| 14 | thank you for testifying to that point. Thank you, |
| 15 | Chair for your leadership. |
| 16 | [background comments] |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [inaudible] |
| 18 | [gavel] |
| 19 | [background comments] |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 29, 2016