CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

October 28, 2016 Start: 1:06 p.m. Recess: 3:01 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Eric A. Ulrich

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Fernando Cabrera Alan N. Maisel Paul A. Vallone Andy L. King

Joseph C. Borelli

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Todd Haskins NYC Veterans Advisory Board

Rob Cuthbert Urban Justice Center Veterans Advocacy Project

Jeremy Butler IAVA

Kristen Rouse NYC Veterans Alliance

Joseph Graham Vietnam Veterans of America Chapter 126

Christopher Goldsmith High Ground Veterans Advocacy

John Rowan Vietnam Veterans of America

Adam Hudson NYC Veterans Alliance

2	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, and good
3	afternoon everyone. I am Council Member Eric Ulrich,
4	Chairman of the City Council Veterans Committee.
5	Tens of thousands of the men and women who served our
6	great country return home from their deployment
7	bearing the invisible wounds of war. Unfortunately,
8	many of these service members have also returned with
9	the less than honorable discharge that often serves
10	as a bar to accessing many of the programs and
11	benefits that can provide them with the help they
12	need readjusting and transitioning back into civiliar
13	live. This includes critical mental health care
14	services. In many cases these discharges were issued
15	despite a clear medical history of PTSD that can be
16	linked the behavior causing the "less than honorable'
17	characterization. The Veterans Legal Services Clinic
18	at Yale Law School estimates that 80,000 Vietnam
19	veterans developed PTSD during the conflict and
20	subsequently received a less than honorable
21	discharge. The number of Iraq and Afghanistan
22	veterans that have developed PTSD and traumatic brain
23	injuries cannot access these benefits due to their
24	discharge as well, and that number is likely very

high. The Fairness for Veterans Act is a bipartisan

2	piece of legislation supported by a number of leading
3	veteran's organizations, and it is an important step
4	in ensuring that these veterans can get the benefits
5	and care that they have earned and deserved. The
6	legislation would require discharge review boards to
7	review medical evidence either by the VA or a
8	civilian health care provider with a rebuttable
9	presumption in favor of the service member that PTSD
10	or TBI materially contributed to the circumstances
11	resulting in the discharge of a lesser
12	characterization. This is a common sense step that
13	will result in giving more veterans the care and
14	treatment that they need, and I'd also like to thank
15	the prime sponsor of the bill, Council Member King
16	from the Bronx, for sponsoring this important
17	resolution. He's actually on his way. Before I
18	begin I would like to acknowledge the other members
19	of the committee who are here. We're hereby joined
20	by Council Member Cabrera, also from the Bronx. We
21	are waiting for Vallone, Borelli and Maisel, and I'd
22	also like to thank the Veterans Committee staff, Eric
23	Bernstein [sp?], Committee Counsel, Michael Kirtz
24	[sp?], our Policy Analyst, James Saboodi [sp?] and
25	John Russell from the Finance Staff, and in lieu of

2.2

2.3

Council Member King not being here, we'll call up the
first panel. Let's begin first with Kristen Rouse,
representing the New York City Veterans Alliance,
Todd Haskins, representing the New York City Veterans
Advisory Board, the Chair of the Board is here as
well to testify, Jeremy Butler from IAVA, Iraq and
Afghanistan Veterans of America, and Robert Cuthbert,
Urban Justice Center. And also, Joe Bello, too. We
can Joe Bello, the Secretary of the VAB. If it's
alright, we'll start with the VAB, and we'll work our
way down. Is that okay? Normally, I do ladies
first, but I don't know if you have to get back to
work, Todd, I know that Friday's a busy day.

time. Thank you very much, Chairman Ulrich, Council Member Cabrera, and obviously other committee members on their way, distinguished guests. My name's Todd Haskins, I speak on behalf of the Veterans Advisory Board as its Chairman. As you know, the Advisory Board consists of 11 members who are appointed by the Mayor and the Speaker of the City Council. Its mission is to advise the Commissioner on all matters pertaining veterans in New York City and then report annually both directly to both the Mayor and the

Speaker. I come to you obviously today to talk about
Council Resolution 1196 urging Congress to pass and
the President to sign into law HR 4683, the Fairness
for Veterans Act. As stated in our annual report to
the Mayor and the Speaker, our recommendation to New
York City is to establish the most comprehensive and
effective local veteran policies in the nation,
simply put. We believe fundamentally that veterans
make great citizens and attracting them to New York
City will improve the lives of all New Yorkers. It's
with this belief in mind that we have recommended
that veteran policies and programs be prioritized and
aligned with supporting veterans' continued service
as citizens after they leave the service. Veterans
are truly our country's leading renewable resource. I
can think of no other legislation that is more
aligned with this framework. According to the
Defense Manpower Data Set, more than 615,000 Army,
Navy, Airforce, and Marine veterans transitioned with
"less than honorable" discharges from 1990 to 2015.
It's been estimated that 125,000 post 9/11 veterans
are denied access to VA healthcare including mental
health in particular due to the nature of these
discharges. Separation is often determined, as many

of you know, by a service member's Commanding Officer
who's rarely qualified to assess ones' mental health
and those decisions determine the services for which
the individual qualifies as a veteran. Service
members with well-documented medical histories have
been improperly discharged with personality disorders
rather than receiving a medical discharge or being
retained and treated, and receiving treatment and
rehabilitated. The Fairness for Veterans Act which
is supported by the majority of veteran organizations
will revise the current discharge policies to require
the review of medical evidence for a service member
who's discharged and has experienced PTSD or TBI as a
result of a deployment, and whose application for
relief from the terms of their military discharge
include PTSD, TBI related to combat or military
sexual trauma. The act also requires a review board,
as you noted, to evaluate each case with a
presumption in favor of the veteran in the case where
those are all present or any of those are present.
These changes will restore the benefits and would
allow deserving veteran in need to seek treatment,
which would support their ability to continue to
serve as citizens. We must ensure that our nation's

-	

2.2

2.3

law.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

most vulnerable receive care, treatment and benefits that they both earned and deserved. The Fairness for Veterans Act ensures that combat veterans whose conditions should have been considered prior to their discharge receive due consideration in their post discharge appeals. As you noted, this is a common sense piece of legislation. The board would like in particular to thank our Queens member, the National VVA [sic] President John Rowan for pressing this issue, and we look forward to seeing this resolution pass the City Council and ultimately get signed into

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. We'll hear from the next speaker and then we'll have questions by Council Member Cabrera, myself or any of my colleague that show up. So, feel free to move the mic over.

ROB CUTHBERT: Chairman Ulrich,

Councilman Cabrera, my name is Rob Cuthbert, and I'm

the pro-bono coordinator at the Urban Justice

Center's Veteran Advocacy Project where I manage the

Discharge Upgrade Clinic. I'm also a United States

Army Veteran of Afghanistan and Iraq. It is a

privilege to address you today and I thank you for

2	convening this hearing. Passage of this Resolution
3	would be an exemplary act of national leadership, and
4	we ask the Council to voice its unanimous support of
5	the Fairness for Veterans Act with haste. As you
6	pointed out, Chairman Ulrich, this is a common sense
7	step, but it's one that's 36 years in the making.
8	Veterans with "less than fully honorable" discharges
9	are our nation's most vulnerable veterans. They are
10	thanked by the public, but shunned by employers,
11	stripped of their GI Bill and barred from VA
12	hospitals. Veterans with "less than fully honorable"
13	discharges carry a risk of suicide that is nearly
14	three times that of the service member population as
15	a whole, often injured by combat-related trauma,
16	sexual assault or rape. Many veterans "less than
17	fully honorable" discharges suffer from Post-
18	Traumatic Stress Disorder, PTSD, Traumatic Brain
19	Injury, TBI, and then return home without the
20	resources to heal their wounds. Moreover, a "less
21	than fully honorable" discharge also known as "bad
22	paper" is a life sentence. Without a discharge
23	upgrade from the Department of Defense or Department
24	of Homeland Security Board of Review, veterans carry
25	it to their graves. Our Discharge Upgrade Clinic is

2	one of the largest pro-bono discharge upgrade
3	programs for veterans with "less than fully
4	honorable" discharges in the United States and the
5	only clinic in New York City. I recruit, train and
6	mentor attorneys who in our clinic assist veterans
7	with applications to the Boards of Review which might
8	include in-person hearings before the boards in the
9	Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, and there are
10	very few organizations in the United States that have
11	the knowledge, expertise, resources and commitment to
12	competently complete a discharge upgrade application.
13	Veterans incur no cost for our services which often
14	include extensive investigation, arranging the expert
15	evaluation and testimony, a forensic psychiatrist or
16	psychologist and physical travel to the boards.
17	However, there is such an immense need for detailed
18	expert and thorough discharge upgrade work that we
19	still have hundreds of veterans on our wait list for
20	our services, most of them from New York City, and
21	nowhere in our area in which to refer them.
22	Nationally, this is the first public hearing on the
23	Fairness for Veterans Act, and we are very proud that
24	it is occurring here in New York City. Nationwide,
25	let it be the first of many. Equity and due process

2	for the hundreds of thousands of veterans with "less
3	than fully honorable" discharges is nothing less than
4	a nascent civil right issue. To begin, I want the
5	Council to know three things about the discharge
6	upgrade process with an intent to inform later
7	discussion. There are six different types of
8	discharges and anything less than fully honorable
9	leads to a loss of benefits. The VA does not issue
10	discharges and it doesn't grant upgrades. That's the
11	Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland
12	Security. Discharge upgrade are difficult with
13	resource intensive and time consuming, but not
14	impossible. Discharge upgrades change and save
15	lives. Although most people make the mistake of
16	referring to all "less than fully honorable"
17	discharges as "dishonorable," dishonorable discharges
18	are extremely rare. It is the rough equivalent of a
19	felony conviction. For context, from Fiscal Year
20	2000 to Fiscal Year 2013, the Department of Defense
21	discharged 2.6 million people, and less than oen
22	percent received dishonorable discharges.
23	Unfortunately, people use the term "dishonorable."
24	What they mean is a veteran with a less than fully
25	honorable discharge, including veterans who are

2	discharged administratively. The term "dishonorable"
3	should only be used when actually discussing a
4	dishonorable discharge that was given as a result of
5	a general court martial. Most discharges, as you
6	pointed out, Chairman Ulrich, are given
7	administratively, without a court martial. Less than
8	fully honorable administrative discharges are one,
9	highly discretionary; two, sometimes arbitrary and
10	capricious; and three, almost always given for
11	misdemeanor level misconduct or less, behavior that
12	probably wouldn't get you kicked out of CUNY. One-
13	time drug use, minor acts of military misconduct, a
14	military diagnosis, a personality disorder,
15	adjustment disorder, and before the repeal "Don't Ask
16	Don't Tell," being gay, these are some of the reasons
17	that a veteran could receive a less than fully
18	honorable discharge. For example, a General under
19	honorable conditions discharge sounds innocuous, but
20	it strips a veteran of their GI Bill, which in New
21	York City could allow them to graduate from Pace or
22	St. Johns tuition debt free and would have provided
23	them with 136,896 dollars in housing benefits over 36
24	months. Even worse, an other than honorable
25	discharge leads to the loss of a GI Bill and VA

2	health benefits. I mentioned that between 2000 and
3	2013 there were approximately 2.6 million discharges,
4	approximately 294,000 of them, 11 percent, were
5	administratively discharged as administratively
6	characterized as less than fully honorable. In three
7	years, that number has risen to 13 percent. Over a
8	decade and a half, more than one in ten of our
9	youngest veterans have joined the ranks of the more
10	than 560,000 Vietnam veterans with less than fully
11	honorable discharges, and the hundreds of thousands
12	of veterans form other service areas. You have a one
13	in 10 chance in 2016 of receiving a less than fully
14	honorable discharge. After 15 years of war, PTSD and
15	TBI are the catalyst for many administrative
16	discharges, yet, in-service rehabilitative efforts
17	lag and thousands of administrative discharges
18	persist. Post service discharge upgrades become one
19	of the only potential sources for relief for wounded
20	veterans. So few veterans advocates work on
21	discharge upgrade that often discussions like this,
22	testimony like this inappropriately become
23	conversations about the VA. The only relief the VA
24	can give a veteran with a less than fully honorable
25	discharge is a waiver into the healthcare and benefit

2	system, and that's an important topic for another
3	hearing. However, it's the Department of Defense and
4	the Department of Homeland Security that are
5	responsible for issuing discharges to its service
6	members and granting them upgrades. To put it
7	another way, in sum, the DOD and DHS, they issue a
8	sentence of life without benefits life without
9	benefits. And the VA and other agencies, they're the
10	executioners. Discharge upgrade are possible, but
11	very difficult. Not only are the rates of post-9/11
12	veterans with less than fully honorable discharge is
13	very high. The numbers of discharge upgrade granted
14	by the DOD for veterans of all service areas are very
15	low. According to a study we conducted last year, in
16	2013, none of the DOD Discharge Review Boards
17	exceeded an upgrade rate of approximately 10.4
18	percent. Almost 90 percent of all discharge upgrade
19	applications failed. Before the highly discretionary
20	Boards of Review, the burden of proof is placed
21	entirely on the veteran. There are no automatic
22	upgrades, and each veteran must apply to the Boards
23	of Review individually, and after their
24	administrative remedies have been exhausted, they
25	have the right to petition in federal court.

2	Currently, to mitigate PTSD or TBI- related
3	misconduct, a veteran would have to prove to a
4	majority of Board Members that PTSD contributed to
5	the behavior that caused the discharge, including
6	proof that the veteran was suffering from PTSD at the
7	time of the misconduct, which might be a 1970.
8	Additionally, before some of the boards, if an
9	applicant was deployed to war and claims that they
10	suffered from PTSD or TBI as a result of that
11	deployment, there's going to be a voting member of
12	the Board that's a psychiatrist, a psychologist or
13	physician with some sort of mental health training.
14	Without assistance, you tell me, how does a homeless
15	disabled veteran with post-traumatic stress or TBI
16	prepare a persuasive application to a Board of
17	Review? The Boards of Review are understaffed and
18	underfunded, but their job is complicated by the
19	uniformed and unsupported applications they receive
20	from thousands of veterans who are told by
21	authorities including VA staff members to just fill
22	out a two-page form for an upgrade and sent it to the
23	Boards of Review supported neither by evidence nor
24	legal argument. A successful discharge upgrade
25	application with exhibits looks like this And T

2	know Chris Goldsmith of DVA has also brought an
3	application here as well. Successful or
4	unsuccessful, it requires 100 to 150 hours' worth of
5	work, if not more, and in this case the testimony of
6	a forensic psychologist and sometimes a hearing in
7	DC. So to reiterate, there's six different types of
8	discharges. Anything less than honorable leads to a
9	loss of benefits. The VA doesn't do upgrades.
10	That's Department of Defense, Department of Homeland
11	Security, and discharge upgrades, they're difficult,
12	but they're not impossible. And that brings us to
13	the Fairness for Veterans Act. Even with competent
14	counsel and robust applications, applications often
15	fail, and specifically over the years, many of the
16	PTSD-related discharge upgrade applications of
17	Vietnam veterans are being denied because of lack of
18	medical evidence. And so, concurrent with a class
19	action lawsuit filed against the DOD Secretary of
20	Defense Chuck Hagel issued guidance to some of the
21	boards regarding veterans who are suffering from
22	post-traumatic stress disorder. Hagel's guidance
23	stated in sum: service medical records of Vietnam
24	veterans don't have evidence of PTSD, and that's
25	because PTSD didn't exist as a diagnosis until 1980.

2	which many people in this room already know. So
3	liberal or special consideration per Hagel should be
4	given to medical evidence of PTSD when the boards are
5	mitigating minor acts of misconduct. For some
6	veterans, Hagel's guidance was an improvement.
7	According to a study commissioned by Vietnam Veterans
8	of America and the National Veterans Council for
9	Legal Redress, in 2013 one board, the Army Board for
10	Correction of Military Records, only approved 3.7
11	percent, 3.7 percent of PTSD-related discharge
12	upgrade applications, but in 2014/2015 after Hagel's
13	guidance, the number of PTSD-related discharge
14	upgrades before the same Army Board jumped to 45
15	percent of all applicants. Okay, that's progress,
16	but I'm going to add this, only 164 people applied.
17	Only 164 veterans applied. Right now, it is unclear
18	whether the improvement of Hagel's guidance with the
19	expander of sustain [sic], that's why we're sitting
20	in this room. Across all DOD boards in the first
21	quarter and the second quarter of 2016, only 693
22	veterans have applied, and only 22.5 percent have
23	received corrections, one in four less than one in
24	four. Even with improved upgrade rates, the current
25	number of applications under Hagel is anemic. Hagel

2	might have improved those odds to one in four, but it
3	did not improve the outreach to thousands of eligible
4	veterans, including the veterans in the City, and
5	more importantly, it is not codified in law. The
6	Fairness for Veterans Act actually changes the law.
7	It amends Title 10 in the United States Code to go
8	beyond liberal consideration of Hagel and to create,
9	as you stated at the beginning of this hearing, the
10	outset of this hearing, Chairman Ulrich, rebuttable
11	presumption in favor of the veteran that PTSD or TBI
12	materially contributed to the circumstances of the
13	discharge. The Fairness for Veterans Act is a
14	profound, significant and potentially enduring reform
15	to the discharge upgrade process. However, it only
16	applies to one type of board, the Discharge Review
17	Board that with a 15-year statute of limitations only
18	serves post 9/11 veterans. So without new
19	consideration before the Discharge Review Boards,
20	veterans from other eras might not benefit from the
21	Fairness for Veterans Act, because they can't apply
22	to that because they can't apply to that type of
23	Review Board. I'm wrapping it up, Chairman Ulrich.
24	Therefore, without a doubt, the Fairness for Veterans
25	Act should be expanded to all Boards of Review, and

2	furthermore, any chance of expansion of the Act would
3	be increased by the support of this Council.
4	Although this bipartisan bill passed unanimously in
5	the Senate, the White House has not publicly
6	supported its passage or any improvements that can
7	lead to that could benefit the veterans of all eras
8	rather than just veterans from the post 9/11 era
9	before the Boards of Review. However, with the
LO	passage of this law, someone will have to locate the
L1	eligible veterans, encourage them to apply, collect
L2	relevant evidence, including evidence of PTSD and
L3	then complete competent, well-argued applications in
L4	a manner that preserves potential arguments for
L5	federal court. But to date, we have not seen
L6	effective outreach for the similarly targeted groups
L7	of veterans with less than fully honorable
L8	discharges. One, the 100,000 LGBTQI veterans
L9	discharged pre-repeal of "Don't Ask, Don't Tell," and
20	two, the approximately 31,000 veterans since 9/11 who
21	were discharged on the basis of personality disorder,
22	many of whom were discharged illegally with a
23	diagnosis, many of whom were misdiagnosed with
24	personality disorder after combat or sexual assault-
25	induced PTSD or TBI. Ineffective applications hurt

points of this legislation.

2.2

2.3

veterans and it is unclear who will be able to competently assist veterans who will benefit from the Fairness for Veterans Act. I will say that well-trained and well-resourced New York attorneys, especially the most well-resourced attorneys within the pro-bono bar as well positioned to assist.

Again, passage of this resolution would be an exemplary act of national leadership and the Veteran Advocacy Project asks the Council to voice its unanimous support of the Fairness for Veterans Act with haste. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I apologize if my remarks ran a little long, but we wanted to seize the opportunity to educate the City of New York and the Council on some of the finer

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Well, thank you for your testimony, and it has been entered in full onto the record. I know the prime sponsor of the bill, Council Member King, is here, and I'm sure that he has some remarks or comments to add before we go onto the next speaker. So, will you indulge my colleague and put the testimony on hold for now?

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I appreciate the Committee for hearing

today's conversation with all of you who are sitting 2 3 here today. I did have some written down remarks, but 4 you know, in essence of time, and you know, I'm sorry for my tardiness doing three things at one time, I'm going to just forgo some of these things that I've 6 7 written down, and I just keep it real. As a son of a 8 veteran who served in Korea as a 19-year-old, fighting at night on his post trying to figure out whether a bomb was going to come through as he walked 10 11 up and down in the night from 12 to 5:00 a.m., it was 12 a traumatic experience for him at 19. If you just 13 imagine the things that we go through as older adults 14 that are traumatic for us. So, I just think this Act 15 for our veterans it just makes sense that we do 16 right. We've asked men and women to protect, to 17 serve this country every day for us to freely walk 18 around the United States of America, and without 19 thinking that there is any issue when there are 20 issues all across this world. So it only makes sense 21 that if someone gets injured in combat or just in the 2.2 hands of being part of the military, that we take 2.3 care of them. Whatever ranking or whatever discharge label you want to place them, they still first had a 24 commitment to this country to serve this country, so 25

it's only fair. It's only smart, and anybody in
Washington who thinks that this resolution shouldn't
be passed and that the bills that have been submitted
before our Congress and the Senate shouldn't be
passed, they should be out of a job. I don't see
them sending their sons and daughters to war, but we
each and every day send our children out there to
protect this great country called America. So, I
think when someone comes home whether they endured
some type of injury or just the experience of being
in the field or anything that could have happened
from there, we have a responsibility when they come
back to assimilate them into the system. We don't
even ask people who have challenges here at home, we
don't kick them out of the system of Medicaid when
they need to get services. So how can we do that to
our men and women who sacrifice the most, you know,
when they go out without never knowing whether or not
they'll come back to see their loved ones. It's just
ridiculous in my mind to think that we would even
not even entertain the fact that we need to prepare
and take care of them when they return home. So, Mr.
Chair, I thank you again. I'm looking forward to
more conversations - I heard your passionate remarks.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and I thank you for that, and that's the passion we

3 need to continue to make our country. If we're going

4 to say we're a great country, then we need to take

5 | all-- take care of all Americans to and afro [sic].

6 So, thank you again, Mr. Chair, and I appreciate your

7 time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Council Member King for introducing this important piece of legislation, and although we don't have jurisdiction over federal bills, I think it's' important that the Council does take public positions on resolutions and acts that are in Congress so that we can send the message to the veterans that live in New York City and to our congressional delegation that this is a priority and that we want to see it passed. And so on a regular basis you'll see that we have hearings that are technically regarding matters that are either in Albany or in Washington, but I want to thank you for your testimony, for your remarks on the testimony. You know, before we move on to the next speaker, your comments are very interesting, because we fought very hard as a Council, as elected officials, to get the Veterans Treatment Courts in all five boroughs, and that was a great challenge,

2	and we're very thankful and happy that that is now
3	the case, that we do have a VTC in each borough that
4	does provide a second chance or an opportunity for a
5	veteran who gets involved in the criminal justice
6	system, but one of the things that we found out, of
7	course, in our research and our deliberations is that
8	if you have an other than honorable discharge, a lot
9	of times you are not eligible to be in the Veterans
10	Treatment Court. And some of the veterans who get
11	arrested for drunk driving or other felony, non-
12	violent felony crimes who might benefit from
13	participating in the VTC are not eligible because
14	they don't have access to VA benefits, and if the VTC
15	cannot coordinate their VA benefits along with the
16	mentor program that is critical to the success of the
17	program, they are not eligible to participate in the
18	VTC. So we are actually excluding thousands of
19	veterans previously because it depended on where they
20	lived or where they were arrested and whether or not
21	there actually was a VTC in that county. That is no
22	longer the case, but now if you're a veteran and
23	you're arrested in the five boroughs, anywhere in the
24	five boroughs, you still may not be eligible for that
25	second chance because you might have an other than

2.2

2.3

well.

Thank you.

honorable discharge from 30 years ago, 10 years ago, and maybe the service connected disability or the PTSD contributed in some way to that type of behavior that led to your arrest, and those are the people that we should be trying to help. So, it's a fascinating topic, and it's very important. We've been joined by Council Member Maisel of Brooklyn, and we'll hear the other two folks that are here to testify, and then I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues for questions. So, I know IAVA is here as

JEREMY BUTLER: Thank you, sir. Chairman Ulrich and distinguished members of the Committee, on behalf of Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America and our 450,000 members, I thank you for the opportunity to testify today in favor of Resolution 1196-2016 which calls for a congressional passage of the Fairness for Veterans Act. I'm the Senior Military Fellow at IAVA, a 16-year veteran who served in Operation Iraqi Freedom and for about one year now, I'm a proud New Yorker. I'm going to make a brief bit of remarks here. I'll probably repeat some of the points that my colleagues made, not just because they're written on the paper here, but I

2	think because they're important points, but I will be
3	brief. After a dozen years, IAVA has become the
4	preferred empowerment organization for post 9/11
5	veterans. While our members are spread throughout
6	the nation, we are proud that our national
7	headquarters is located here in the great City of New
8	York. Since its beginning, IAVA has fought for and
9	been successful in advocating for policies that meet
10	the needs of today's veterans, and the Fairness for
11	Veterans Act absolutely meets this criteria. This
12	Act would require that the DOD and VA address the
13	most vulnerable among our service members and
14	veterans. These are the veterans that received an
15	other than honorable or bad paper discharge, in some
16	cases because of an undiagnosed or untreated
17	psychological injury. The Fairness for Veterans Act
18	would ensure Discharge Review Boards give liberal
19	consideration to petitions for changes to an other
20	than honorable discharge status if the service member
21	has PTSD, TBI or related conditions in connection
22	with their military service. It also extends the
23	policy to military sexual trauma. Swords to
24	Plowshares recently estimated that there are over
25	125,000 post 9/11 veterans who have received an other

than honorable discharge. This population often is
left without access to VA benefits. The process by
which they apply for review by the VA to determine
their eligibility for services is lengthy, sometimes
years, and the responsibility is on the veteran to
initiate. Only 10 percent of those who have tried
have received an eligibility review. These veterans
are at higher risk for suicide and homelessness and
often community programs that serve veterans hold the
same eligibility criteria as the VA, so they don't
qualify for those programs either. These veterans
need our help. In 2014, IAVA launched the campaign
to combat suicide, focusing on the need to provide
high-quality, timely mental healthcare to veterans.
The campaign was in response to the data at the time
that 22 veterans a day died by suicide. Current data
suggests that this number is now 20. Our own findings
from IAVA seventh annual member survey that 40
percent of respondents had considered suicide since
joining the military and nearly half knew at least
one post 9/11 veteran that had died by suicide. This
is a challenging issue to address, but we know that
identifying high risk populations such as those with
other than honorable discharges and helping them

2	connect to services can have a large impact. As a
3	veteran I know that often the success of subordinates
4	rests upon strong leadership. I've heard from IAVA
5	members who have struggled through the issue of bad
6	paper and it makes me question how this happened.
7	Where was the leadership when this person was in
8	uniform? The substantive answer to that question
9	will have to wait for another time, but I present the
10	question here to make a point that the possibility
11	exists that many of these veterans may have simply
12	gotten a raw deal. IAVA is here today to support a
13	solution to that problem. These veterans reserve the
14	right to appeal their bad paper discharges to a
15	Discharge Review Board in a way that ensures their
16	injuries are considered. They deserve the care and
17	benefits promised for their service. Passing the
18	Fairness for Veterans Act is a solid first step in
19	righting this wrong. Given the challenges of
20	legislating in an election year, Congress must stay
21	focused on continuing on caring for our veterans.
22	The lives of our military members and veterans do not
23	grind to a halt as November approaches, and neither
24	should Congress when it comes to fulfilling
25	commitment to those that have served. Members of the

My name

1
_

2

3

3

4

.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

1718

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Committee, thank you again for the opportunity to share IAVA's priorities with you here today, and I look forward to answering any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you. Kristen Rouse from New York City Veterans Alliance.

KRISTEN ROUSE: Good afternoon.

is Kristen Rouse. I am a veteran of the United States Army. I served three tours of duty in Afghanistan, and I live in Brooklyn. I am testifying on behalf of the more than 200 dues-paying members of the NYC Veterans Alliance, several of whom were discharged from the military under less-thanhonorable conditions, also known as "bad paper" discharges. In May of this year, the New York City Veterans Alliance presented Councilman Ulrich with a draft of a proposed resolution in support of Fairness for Veterans legislation, and we again urged you to push this forward in September when it came up again in Washington. We are pleased to see that this committee has at last taken up support of this important bill, and I thank Councilman King for introducing it, because it proposes critical help for veterans currently excluded from VA services and who are most at risk for homelessness, substance abuse,

2	incarceration, and suicide. We strongly support this
3	resolution, and encourage all members of our
4	community to voice their support both locally and
5	nationally. During my more than 22 combined years of
6	service in the Army Reserve, Army National Guard, and
7	Regular Army, I saw firsthand how any given unit or
8	commander may subjectively choose to treat the
9	behavior of a soldier deemed a "problem," even with
10	the best of intentions. Commanders may be men and
11	women of impeccable integrity, but most are simply
12	not versed in the causes and treatment of mental
13	health conditions, especially those related to
14	traumatic stress, sexual assault, or other
15	circumstances that may affect troops during their
16	military service. The result is that service members
17	are too often discharged unfairly under a less than
18	honorable status that negatively impacts them for the
19	rest of their lives. Simply put, honorable service
20	does not always result in an honorable discharge. Our
21	veterans, at a minimum, deserve proper review of
22	their discharge circumstances and access to the help
23	they need to continue on in their lives. My
24	remaining official testimony highlights many of the
25	data points that have been made here already and that

you made in your opening statement and that are
already known. Those are all very important to make,
but I also want to point out the distinction that,
you know, we're talking about 13 percent of post 9/11
veterans who've been discharged on bad paper, 13
percent. By comparison, the approximate percentage
of women veterans' post 9/11 has been 15 percent, and
that's a population that we talk often about in terms
of, you know, making sure that they're recognized,
making sure that their needs are taken care of. This
is about the same size population as bad discharge
veterans post $9/11$, and this is a population that is
robbed so importantly and eloquently highlighted that
we need to talk about, and I thank this committee and
Councilman King for adding his voice to this very
important issue and for New York City leading the way
in holding a hearing on this very important bill. We
encourage this committee and the City Council more
broadly to pass this resolution without delay, to
press our New York City congressional delegation to
take action in Congress, to urge congress and the
president to pass this bill. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay, thank you for your testimony. I know that there are several other

2.2

2.3

hearings going on right now that some of my
colleagues may have to pop in and out of, so I'm
going to afford them the opportunity to ask questions
first, and the first set of questions is coming from
my good friend and colleague Council Member Cabrera
who is a mental health expert, actually, by trade.
He's not just a politician. He's also a mental
health counselor, which is probably why you're so
valuable in the City Council. So, it's a place where
we need more.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And it makes me wonder why I'm doing this kind of work--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] That's right. That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, for the opportunity for this Reso to come
through this committee, and Council Member King. And
I want to thank you all for serving. I'm also a son
and a grandson of a veteran. My grandfather fought
in World War II. It's one of the 350 Dominicans who
fought in World War II. I have a few questions here
if you could help me out. I'm very interested in
this spike of 13 percent previous— prior to 9/11.

What was the percentage?

2	ROB CUTHBERT: So, there's a bit of a gap
3	in data when it comes to the overall numbers for
4	veterans less than fully honorable discharge. Sorry
5	to jump in. For Vietnam veterans I think ballpark is
6	6.7 percent of all Vietnam veterans. That's a number
7	I've seen published. I can't speak to its voracity,
8	but that's a number that I've used as a rule of
9	thumb. In terms of the Cold War, World War II, Korea,
LO	Gulf War One, up into what some people call Gulf War
11	Two, which is the beginning of the 9/11 period, I'm
L2	not sure. But overall, we're talking if we just
L3	put together the 300,000 or ish [sic] post 9/11
L4	veterans plus the Vietnam veterans which is 560,000,
15	right? We're almost to a million. And then if you
L6	just, you know, if you just say okay, well, maybe
L7	there's a couple hundred thousand more from other
L8	eras, we're over a million Americans, American
L 9	veterans with less than fully honorable discharges.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: You know, I could

see one or two percent going up and down, but this is you're talking about doubling. What in the world is going on that we're finding ourselves with such a spike? I mean, this is—what's the agenda behind all this? Is it the government don't want to provide

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

services, and is this coming from the top that they
don't want to provide services, therefore we save
some funding in the Department of Defense? I mean,

5 what's the motive behind it?

TODD HASKINS: I'll venture a quess. doubt any of us know very well. I can say that I don't know for certain, but having been a Company Commander and understanding how things have evolved, I think as, you know, as the US military and frankly business, the United States, etcetera is all the administrative and legal burdens just kept gripping every institution, including the military. This was a really easy solution. It's a very easy solution. So you've got a Lance Corporal who's, you know, a little troublesome. You can either go through the trouble of waiting for him to do -- him or her to do something particularly bad such that he or she has to, you know, actually have due process and those sorts of things, or if they make minor infractions and have personality disorders and other things which has been noted highly subjective, it's a very easy and simple solution administratively to just remove the problem from the perspective of the commanders in the field, and I suspect that's how things evolved.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And within the military is there such a thing as obtaining legal representation? Is there like military lawyers that you could—does every single one soldier have access to legal representation when it comes to less than honorable discharge?

KRISTEN ROUSE: So this conversation in some way parallels the conversation that's been happening about ending sexual assault within the ranks. There's a system that's set up. There's legal representation. There's a way the system is supposed to work, but you have an overall fighting force that has been very strained since 9/11, particularly the surge years, you know, 2004/2005 through, you know, the surge in Afghanistan, which was like, you know, 2010/2011 through 2012 where you have, you know, there were-- remember the stop loss, you know, where troops were extended well beyond their contract because they were still needed for repeated deployments. You know, I mean, those of us who have been serving can tell you, just we could tell you hours' worth of stories about how strained our military has been, how many people where extended beyond their legal contract with the military, how

many people were, you know, were sort of shoved
through different processes. You have an overtaxed,
you know, an overtaxed military that has more of
these squishy complicated issues that nobody has time
for because we're trying to deploy and fight wars and
train and get ready. And so the system hasn't worked
as well as it should. I mean, it's like systems for
underserved populations that don't have the same
access to legal representation as those who are
already prepared and educated with their rights.
Troops are told, oh, well, it'll be you can fix
that discharge when you get out. I saw that happen
to some of my colleagues who thought okay, this is ar
easy way out. It's like taking a plea bargain, and
you think that you'll fix it later when, as Rob
highlighted, that doesn't happen. And so this has
been an overtaxed system that just hasn't been
allowed to work in the way that it's supposed to, and
so, you know, the end result is these staggering
numbers of, you know, as Rob said, a life sentence to
no services.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: But on those basis you can appeal, and the fact that there was--let's say I was a soldier and I didn't get

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 38
2	legislation representation because, you know, you
3	have a system that is overtaxed. So, on that basis,
4	is there a process to appeal that and say I didn't
5	get representation, legal representation.
6	KRISTEN ROUSE: But it's in there.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: That's so, you
8	have wow, that's bigger. Let me tell you
9	something. That's bigger than the average doctoral
10	dissertation.
11	ROB CUTHBERT: It's large.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: That's huge.
13	ROB CUTHBERT: That's with exhibits. But
14	what I was going to say, Councilman Cabrera, is
15	first thing, I'm a little apprehensive about
16	answering your question because there needs to be a
17	major Department of Defense or government
18	accountability office study of what happened between
19	September 11, 2001 to date. There needs to be an
20	after-action review about the personnel policies that
21	have led to one in 10 service members receiving a

who's being potentially separated from service.

22

23

24

25

They've committed an offense. Let's say they came up

less than fully honorable discharge. But let's put

ourselves sin the shoes of a junior enlisted member

positive for some sort of illegal drug, right? At
that point, to Kristen's point, there is a vice on
them. the vice is they can either go to court
martial, right, which they risk a punitive discharge,
which is a bad conduct discharge or a dishonorable
discharge, which I need the manual for court martial.
I'd have to look up the actual offense that would get
you to eligible for a DD with that kind of
misconduct, right? Or you can take an administrative
discharge, which is a general or an other than
honorable discharge, right? On top of that, yes, at
that point maybe you have the right to
administrative well, I would say, apart from that
on the administrative side there's also rights to an
administrative separation board. The point $\mathbf{I'm}$
trying to make without taking us deep into the weeds
is that these rights are often waivered away by the
service member

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: [interposing]

Gotcha [sic].

ROB CUTHBERT: in the throes of what's seen as worse punishment or even more pejorative discharge status, even though anything less than honorable is going to strip them of benefits. So,

_	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 40
2	and that member in that moment is so isolated, so
3	without counsel, right? They may have effective
4	counsel. I haven't seen many people walk through our
5	doors who speak of a really, really full throated
6	good faith effort to keep the continue to keep them
7	in the service. It may be out there, and I won't
8	malign the judge advocate generals of the world,
9	okay, but it is a very, very difficult and isolating
LO	circumstance, and often the service member themselves
L1	takes some sort of deal in lieu of a punishment that
L2	could be potentially worse.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Well, thank you
L 4	so much. And to and please add me to the Reso.
L5	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
L 6	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Doctor,
L7	Pastor and Councilman Cabrera. Thank you. He does
L8	wear many hats, but he's doing a phenomenal job.
L 9	Council Member King, do you have any questions for
20	the panel?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: [off mic]
22	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Go ahead, please.
2.3	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: I'm listening to

the conversation. Tom Haskins? Todd Haskins? Thank you. I find it disturbing that every November we

2	come together to pay homage to all the men and women
3	who served in the military and put them on pedestals
4	and have parades and floats and hand out special
5	awards, but then there's a certain sect population
6	of the armed forces that get totally neglected and
7	thrown in the trash, where the experiences were all
8	the same. They all signed up. They all took a
9	physical. They all put on the uniform. They all did
10	training, and then they all went out to do some part
11	of service for this country. I'm looking around and
12	I'm hearing the testimony about different levels of
13	discharge whether it's dishonorable or it's
14	honorable, and I have to ask the question. First
15	question is, have you noticed or is any documentation
16	that can tell us if these if there's an imbalance
17	on the discharges based on any type of prejudice or
18	discriminatory? Whether it's because of someone's
19	mental capacity or analyzing the capacity before they
20	went in, because you can't tell me I'm okay when I go
21	in, and then seven months later when I'm not doing
22	okay, I'm not a good a person and you should just
23	kick to me to the curb. Or is there any numbers
24	based on ethnicity as well? Because, you know,
25	America is America, and we can't we cannot forget

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what America was in the 1800's or in the 1900's, you know, and what war was like, whether it was World War I, II, III, the Red Tails [sic], you know, you name it, whatever that military force was here in America.

So, would you be able to answer that first, and I'll

move onto my next question.

ROB CUTHBERT: That's an excellent question, Councilman King. So, one is I think about that often, often. That is a study that needs to be done. Our sample, if we're talking about ethnicity, right, if we're talking about veterans of color, our sample is I would say a little skewed in New York City in that maybe veterans of color are overrepresented potentially in the five boroughs versus let's say, you know, some place in the mountain west potentially, you know, of the United States. So, I think about that question often, right? What kind of study, what kind of FOIA request, Freedom of Information Act request, would it take to support a statistical survey of the ethnicities of service members who are receiving less than fully honorable discharges and in contrast to their Caucasian peers, right? I will say on top of that, though, we already know about a large group of veterans who were

2	discriminated against in a time of profound
3	discrimination, at the 100,000 LGBTQI vets who for
4	decades were run out of the service, right? I mean,
5	again, a time of profound discrimination. To your
6	point, looking even further back into the historical
7	questions, I just recently had this conversation with
8	someone about the one thing that doesn't exist
9	necessarily in all the services is a rehabilitative
10	ethic, meaning if you committed an offense, right, we
11	have ways of putting you back on the right track
12	absent kicking you out of a service, right? Okay.
13	So maybe you go to, you know, some sort of
14	rehabilitative unit which is not very pleasant to be
15	in, right? They're out there, or etcetera, some sort
16	of punishment rather than losing the chance at an
17	honorable discharge. However, I was having a
18	conversation with an expert down in Mississippi, and
19	we were talking about the Korean War, post-World War
20	II period, and about what was available to service
21	members in terms or rehabilitative programs that
22	could get you potentially from a dishonorable,
23	straight up dishonorable discharge, to something
24	honorable or in that ballpark. And the question we
25	were talking about was, was that opportunity

available to service members of color, in particular
African-American soldiers, right, during a time just
coming out still a time of great discrimination,
but a time of integration when they were trying to
make strides towards a more just and equitable
military. So, these studies need to be done, you
know, and there are people in my field who think
about this. We wring our hands about it, because if
right now if there's some sort of predisposition or
some sort of statistical spike towards veterans of
color or some with some other defining
characteristic, that puts them at a greater risk
potentially statistically of a less than fully
honorable discharge, it's a disgrace. It's a
disgrace, and it needs to be stopped immediately, and
we need to discuss what measures needs to be taken to
make sure one, it doesn't happen again, and two, to
correct to correct the record after the fact. So,
sorry to go on a little bit, but obviously, you know,
I mulled this over a little bit.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Great--

KRISTEN ROUSE: [interposing] And to piggy back on that Councilman, to-- thank you for mentioning LGBTQ veterans and then also women as

2	potentially being over-represented in this
3	population, because you know, rape is not a sexual
4	act; it's a violent act used for coercion, control,
5	to, you know, victimize these people. It's both men
6	and women. LGBT veterans, both men and women have
7	been victims of sexual assault as coerce rive
8	behavior and then cases brought against them to
9	unjustly push them out of the service under "Don't
10	Ask, Don't Tell" and the prior ban on homosexual
11	service. And so, you know, so again to Rob's earlier
12	point about there not being an easy automatic
13	discharge upgrade process for LGBT troops because so
14	many cases were had so much wrapped around them
15	through, you know, prolonged harassment and bad
16	treatment that many of them received. But also, you
17	know, again to bring up another parallel with the
18	broader conversation on eliminating sexual assault in
19	the military is that if, you know, I've heard from
20	women who I've served with and who I know in the
21	veterans community who have brought forward
22	complaints of sexual assault and sexual harassment,
23	but in particular sexual assault, and again, the way
24	the system is currently set up, commanders can
25	subjectively choose whether to believe that or not.

or at least, you know, prior to some recent reforms,
but and then to if the complainer becomes a
problem, then to say, okay, well this is a mental
problem. This is potentially a personality disorder,
and to go for the administrative discharge, and often
times the victim is willing to take that, that sort
of plea bargain, administrative discharge to get away
from a rapist, to get away from a negative situation,
and again, that brands them and sentences them to, as
Rob says and I think we should continue saying, a
life sentence of no access to services or benefits.
And so, so to bring up all of those complexities
again, like, you know, as you're saying, like you
know, discrimination in the military mirrors what
exists in American culture, and the military is, you
know has systemic problems, but there's also an
opportunity here to get it right.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay.

EXPLISITEN ROUSE: And I think that any efforts that we can make, you know, through advocacy, through resolutions, whatever we can through talking about in a forum like this to end the problem, and to make our military better, stronger and more representative of our American values.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you. Thank

3 you.

ROB CUTHBERT: I wanted to add, you know, these are question for the undersecretary personnel and readiness of the DOD and then the counterpart, their counterpart, at the Department of Homeland Security and where the Coast Guard's part of the Department of Homeland Security. But I'll say this, you know, the personnel, I think, priorities of the Department of Defense are recruitment, retention and readiness, right? Do you have enough people to fight and win the nation's wars, right? Are they ready to fight the, you know-- fight and win the nation's wars, and can you keep the right people in to fight and win the nation's wars, right? So, at what point when you're putting out one out of 10 post nine-- one out of 10 current service members, are you going to affect recruitment, right? If, for example, if there is a link between your ethnicity or some other defining characteristic and how likely it is that you're going to receive a less than fully honorable discharge, how is that going to affect whether or not somebody joins up in the first place? And then ultimately, with all volunteer force, what kind of

affect is that going to have? One percent of the
American population serves in the military as is,
right? What happens when you start to erode the
recruitment base because somebody knows that they
have a one in 10 chance of having a lifelong sentence
of no benefits, right? There's no union to stand up
for you this isn't a union shop, right? And the
second thing is, right, what if just by who you are,
and this is what LGBTQI vets this is the bravery
they showed over the decades going into the fray,
going into discriminatory situation truly to serve
their country is incredible, and African-American
soldiers and other ethnicities also, they're were
in similar situations, but what happens when they
start to evaluate that against signing on the dotted
line for a volunteer force? That's, you know, that's
when in some ways a lot of this is going to come
home, because we need to be regardless, we need to
be ready and we need to correct the record. So,
sorry to add that, but

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: And I'll wrap up with this. I heard you talk about the system being overburdened. We have systems where we take care of the best and those who we think we can't do anything

with we put them in another we don't give them the
proper support or the attorneys or anything like that
to help them assimilate or fight the system if they
need fight because they might think they were
unfairly discharged, labeled with a discharge
purpose. I think it's like 15 years after being
discharged you can come back and apply and ask for
your category to be changed and adjusted, but if you
don't know who to talk or this is where, you know,
we in the City Council we have these conversations
when we talk about resolutions. I know it's not the
norm for the Administration normally to sit down and
take on resolutions, but this is one of those times
where we should have the Administration, because we
need the Administration to, you know, take on and
being the leader in how do we change and have a
paradigm of how our veterans are treated. You know,
some commissioners and some of the Administration
should need to hear our conversation here so they can
get behind it and help us support it and actually
change our system here in New York. So, I don't even
know if you can answer my next question, but I would
like to know what is New York doing right now, or
what can we do in New York to set ourselves apart and

2	help kick start to make sure that our veterans here
3	in New York City don't suffer the plight of the
4	veterans in North Dakota? I have a young cousin who
5	is in Georgia right now who was in the military who
6	was on special duty, was marksman, a Grade A
7	Marksman, and they put him on special assignment, and
8	he got injured in the field. You know, he was a
9	Grade A smart [sic], but he got injured, blew out his
10	Achilles and his foot got all twisted, got blown up.
11	They labeled him with post-trauma discharge, and then
12	they kicked him out and they're not giving him
13	medical benefits. So, and I'm saying, what do you
14	tell this 22-year-old young African-American who went
15	in the service at 18 to give his life to his country
16	and he goes through all your tests, becomes one of
17	your best sharp shooters, he gets injured in the
18	field, and you cast him to the side like he was a
19	piece of something in the field that he had to kill
20	in the first place? What do you say that individual
21	which you talk about? How do you how does that
22	help with recruitment? But more importantly, I want
23	to know what is New York doing to make sure that we
24	don't fall into what the government has done that we
25	have to ask for Fairness for Veterans Act?

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ROB CUTHBERT: And I'm not an expert on 3 disability claims, but we can-- I think there are 4 other people on the panel who might be able to discuss that, but I will tell you what New York's doing. It's paying for every veteran one way or 6 7 another, right? Whether or not they receive veteran's benefits, they're being picked up by our 8 safety net, right? And that's communities across the That's what New York's doing in every other 10 11 community where a veteran comes home with a less than 12 fully honorable discharge. It's that we try our best 13 through the existing programs to pick up where the VA 14 has abandoned-- well, where the VA is barring the 15 veteran from services, right? And that goes on down the line. So, and that's part of the answer of what 16 17 New York is doing, but I mean, I want to give 18 everybody a chance, and I could talk about some

discharge upgrade stuff, but--

KRISTEN ROUSE: [interposing] Something we can do is to amplify the message, because so much of that issue is awareness of this. There's not even sufficient awareness within the veterans community, and those of us who are advocates need to amplify this knowledge, this message within our community,

and if our leadership cannot just around Veterans
Day, but every month of the year as, you know, as the
Veterans Committee has been doing to be talking about
veterans year round, to keep amplifying the message
to get out the nuance and the detail, to not just say
thank you for your service, but also to say, "And I'd
like to highlight this issue, you know, today or this
month. I'd like to talk about these more complex
nuanced issues that many veterans don't even know
about." You know, the rate of 13 percent or the one
in 10 or however we're measuring it, the substantive
percentage of post 9/11 veterans who are being
discharged on bad papers and what that means to them,
and to recognize that yes, they did serve, and to
amplify the public message that yes, your service
does matter. Your service does matter and you are
not branded. You should not suffer this stigma. I
mean, like that's something that we need to amplify
throughout New York City and beyond.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Let me--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Lastly, and just-- if we could wrap it up, we have another panel, so we want to get to them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEREMY BUTLER: Absolutely. No, I would just say, I mean, certainly holding this hearing, introducing this resolution is a great start. And Chairman Ulrich, I believe you mentioned the Veteran Treatment Courts not being available to those with other than honorable discharges. I think that's one very simple and easy opportunity to improve things is to make those available to all veterans regardless of discharge status.

TODD HASKINS: Let me also add because I think this is the most fundamental point that's specific to New York City and local veteran policy, because I think as I'm sitting here, if I'm a Council Member and maybe not on the Veterans Committee, wondering why, this is not our problem. This is the federal government's problem. Why are we in New York City dealing with this? I think there's two critical First is all those comments that have just points. been highlighted which is in cases where it is those who are having the most trouble, the City is paying for it one way or another, citizens, crime, some sort of support services, etcetera. So, that's one. second one, the facts are and we focus all of our policy initiative or most of our policy initiatives

2.2

2.3

2	on the veterans that need the most help, which is
3	that's where the support should go, but the facts are
4	veterans in the hole, in the aggregate and on average
5	make excellent citizens, and everything that we can
6	do in New York to help continue help veterans
7	continue their service as citizens will continue to
8	make the City foster, do well, etcetera, and so
9	that's why this matters to the local government.
10	That's why this matters to New York City. I
11	appreciate the question. That's a great question.
12	ROB CUTHBERT: so, if we're just talking

ROB CUTHBERT: so, if we're just talking like wish list for discharge upgrade resources, I mean, one, we just need more resources, but apart from that let's talk about what you can do maybe in your district. By law, one of the reasons—by regulation, one of the things the boards can look at when considering a discharge upgrade application is outstanding post—service conduct, right? Which if you're homeless and, you know, you're suffering from mental illness, you might not have the opportunity or the capability at that moment to accrue a record that a board might find compelling as reason in and of itself on a basis of equity or injustice to upgrade a discharge. I'm getting a little bit into the weeds

here, but what if there was some sort of and I
believe there was some sort of similar efforts in the
post-Vietnam era, to certify someone a veteran
service. If they were able, if we if there were
some way of keeping tabs on the community service
they have done, putting them in positions, to your
point, positions of leadership of citizenship, right,
and then having somebody vouch for them in front of
the boards, right? If I'm writing one of these, and
I work on these all day, alright, that to me is a
very compelling piece of evidence. This is for the
most high-functioning veterans with less than fully
honorable discharges. I know that we have many
veterans who are injured visibly, invisibly, you
know, and situations similar to your family member or
top of it. So, Council Member King, but that for me,
if there was some sort of municipal way of having
documentation which spoke to service members conduct
in citizenship after the fact, that in and of itself
is evidence for potential relief before these boards.
So if you ask me what could New York City do, it's
vouch for its veterans, encourage them, help their
applications, right, and then if there's oral
testimony, speak up for your constituents. Put your

	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 50
2	voice on the speakerphone in front of the Boards of
3	Review in Washington, D.C. and talk about these
4	veterans in front of the Board. Persuade them to
5	vote yes on an upgrade, and persuade them to change a
6	narrative reason for discharge, which is something we
7	didn't even talk about here today.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Well, I want to
9	say, Mr. Chair, I thank you all my veterans. I have
10	to go next [off mic] I salute you
11	ROB CUTHBERT: [interposing] Thank you.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: for all you've done
13	for this country. Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And thank you,
15	Council Member King. Again, just one last question,
16	very simple answer.
17	ROB CUTHBERT: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: How many discharges,
19	discharge upgrade applications on average do you
20	process a year, and how successful are you by
21	percentage?
22	ROB CUTHBERT: Right. So we're on track
23	to file 20 this year, about that.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Alright, so is that

a typical year?

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 57
2	ROB CUTHBERT: About that.
3	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: About 20?
4	ROB CUTHBERT: I mean, for you're
5	looking at our staff.
6	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay.
7	ROB CUTHBERT: So, we have volunteer
8	attorneys, but on top of that in terms of our
9	upgrades, we're batting over 50 percent.
10	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: So about half, that's
11	actually very good.
12	ROB CUTHBERT: Over 50 percent, but I,
13	without the numbers in front of me right now, I just-
14	- I don't want to
15	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] No,
16	that's fine.
17	ROB CUTHBERT: I don't want to misspeak
18	under oath.
19	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: We're not going to
20	hold you to it. I'm just curious as to why.
21	ROB CUTHBERT: Yeah, yeah.
22	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And why is and why
23	are you staff of one?
24	ROB CUTHBERT: Because I run a pro-bono
25	clinic, right? So, imagine I have I recruit
	i

_	COINITITIES ON VEHICLEND
2	attorneys. I train them to do something nobody's
3	done since the late 70's and the early 80's in
4	general in large numbers, right? I teach them about
5	the boards, and then when they're putting together
6	one of these this is an actual application you
7	know, I help them through that process.
8	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And do any of the
9	District Attorney's Offices or the Administration
10	Judges from any of the five counties or surrounding
11	countries where Veterans Treatment Courts exists
12	refer people to you
13	ROB CUTHBERT: [interposing] No. [sic].
14	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: and say, "Hey, this
15	guy was arrested for smoking pot and driving drunk,
16	but he's not eligible because has no VA benefits
17	because he has an other than honorable discharge,
18	maybe you can help him?" Do they refer them to you
19	ROB CUTHBERT: [interposing] So sorry,
20	Council Member Ulrich.
21	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Koko's
22	[sic] saying yes.
23	ROB CUTHBERT: Yeah, our

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Okay.

1	COMMITTE
2	ROB CUTHBER'
3	I don't I am not awar
4	Veteran Treatment Court
5	I'm not sure I know whe
6	CHAIRPERSON
7	understand.
8	ROB CUTHBER
9	CHAIRPERSON
10	just curious about the
11	benefits and, you know,
12	ROB CUTHBER
13	some of the largest law
14	stepped up to the plate
15	applications at no cost
16	forensic, evaluations k
17	psychiatrists and trave
18	York legal community is
19	CHAIRPERSON
20	then we're going to mov
21	unified court system in

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our wait list is massive. re of referrals from the ts. We would take them, but ere to put them. ULRICH: Okay, no, I

You know? Τ:

ULRICH: no, I understand. I'm coordination of services and , the referrals--

T: [interposing] I will say, w firms in New York City have They fund these t to the veteran including by forensic psychologists and el to the boards. So, the New s mobilized on this.

ULRICH: Maybe perhaps -- and ve on to the next panel. n New York under Judge Lippman recently announced several years ago that attorneys or aspiring attorneys would be able to take the Bar early if they committed I think it was six months of pro-bono legal counseling, six or eight months. I

2.2

2.3

don't remember. You'll have to Google the press release, but they came up with a new program where they want to get more legal services and legal counseling for low-income New Yorkers in various capacities, Housing Court and a bunch of other, you know, mainly civil and minor criminal offense. Maybe getting the veterans discharge upgrade issue on their radar might not be such a bad idea, for you to recruit more attorneys, but that's just my advice.

ROB CUTHBERT: I'm happy— I'm happy to have a conversation. We have attorneys knocking down our door to do this work and also to receive the training that we give them. I mean, our big thing is the two-page application which burns administrative eligibility and hurts a veteran, because these things eventually go to federal court versus this. This is what we want our attorneys to do in our program. So, we're not— it's not about serving as many veterans as possible as effectively serving as many veterans as possible and putting them in a position if necessary to go down the street and take this in front of a federal judge. It's where— because that's ultimately where these things wind up, and they haven't in large numbers since the late 70's and

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

Τ	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 61
2	early 80's. We should have another hearing I
3	apologize about this, but this is a big enough
4	issue. We can talk about in-depth, or I'm happy to
5	come to any member of the Council's office and just
6	talk to you and your staff, you know, about the issue
7	at large. I apologize to my fellow
8	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] No,
9	you're
10	ROB CUTHBERT: [interposing] to people
11	giving testimony today.
12	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: You did a great job,
13	and we didn't put the clock on today, so you're
14	lucky.
15	ROB CUTHBERT: Alright, thanks.
16	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Normally I'm very
17	strict with these things. It's the
18	ROB CUTHBERT: [interposing] Thank you for
19	having me here.
20	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: German in me.
21	Anyway, okay. So, let's move on to the next panel if
22	we can, and that will consist of Christopher
23	Goldsmith representing High Ground Veterans Advocacy,
24	Joseph Graham representing VVA Chapter 126 in Masheth

[sic], and I'm just curious doe John Rowan want to

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 62
2	testify today? John yeah, oaky. Why don't you
3	come up and take a seat at the dais and we could have
4	you fill out a slip. I saw you were late to class
5	today, but we're going to get you a late pass and let
6	you join us anyway.
7	JOHN ROWAN: I kind of got everybody
8	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I understand that.
9	JOHN ROWAN: Chris works for me. He's my

JOHN ROWAN: Chris works for me. He's my local guy.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: There you go.

But I'm the City Council rep JOHN ROWAN: on the Veterans Advisory Board to the City of New York. And I'm sorry I'm dressed down, but I had a VA appointment.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: No worries. just have you fill one of these out so we could -- we got to enter it on the record. And it's your show, John. You tell me who you want to speak first.

Why don't I just kind of say JOHN ROWAN: a couple things and then I'll get Chris and Joseph [off mic]. I'm also bringing a little institutional memory here. I served on the Board of Directors and was the Chair for a while of a program called the Veterans Upgrade Center that operated in downtown

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Brooklyn, actually in the old Red Cross building in

Cadman Plaza, and that was a funded, federally

funded, program to do discharge upgrading in which we

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: When did they start that?

did that for a number of years.

JOHN ROWAN: About '77, '78. I'm trying to remember. It's a long time ago. And they-- we had a great program. We had two Vietnam vets straight out of law school, one from Brooklyn Law and one from Michigan Law. We had one of my guys, the Vietnam vet out of I think it was Queens College who had an MSW. Oh, no, a Master's in Psych., excuse me, and we had a couple other people on staff. We had a lot of veterans on staff, all Vietnam veterans, and we did a lot of programs. And to answer the Councilman's question who had to leave, very clearly race played a lot of part in this stuff. That's very clear, at least in our period, and I got a feeling it's hanging on since now. And we know that Nader [sic] did a study-- and I'm trying to remember, I think it was in the late 80's, early 80's, I can't remember, I'd have to dig it up-- on the issue of bad paper discharges amongst Vietnam veterans.

2	time it identified over 500,000 veterans who fell
3	into that category. Most of them, the administrative
4	discharges that I think was mentioned earlier which
5	is the difference between a court martial and sign
6	her and get out of town. You know, you're a 20-year-
7	old kid who's been in combat for a year, you come
8	home and especially in those days you had a
9	difference between the draftees and the regular Army
10	folks. The draftees had two years by the time they
11	got finished training if they were lucky enough to
12	get through the year in Vietnam, they didn't have a
13	heck of a lot of time left, and most of the time the
14	military was happy to get rid of them. So they did.
15	They said go home. The regular Army folks had three,
16	at least a three-year enlistment, and so they came
17	back to the states, and so they back to the
18	stateside Army or Marines or whoever, and where they
19	offered and back to spit and polish and all the rest
20	of that stuff which was mostly, you know, just
21	continuing training, and that led to all kinds of
22	problems, particularly in the later years when many
23	of them are coming back from Vietnam with heroin
24	addiction and drug use and all kind of other things.
25	Plus a lot of monlo a lot of monlo solf-

2	medicated their PTSD with drugs because nobody knew
3	anything about it. Nobody even recognized it. They
4	had all these old names, "shell-shock" and all the
5	rest of it, and even the VA tended to treat people
6	mostly with drugs, and that was really a terrible way
7	of doing it. It took years later when we developed
8	RAP [sic] groups and other kinds of things that
9	things got better, but not a hell of a lot. As we can
10	see today, here we are basically finding ourselves in
11	the same situation, you know, 40 years later
12	basically, 45 years later. The grandchildren of the
13	Vietnam veterans are now going off to war often
14	because their grandparents served in the military and
15	they wanted to follow suit, and you know, post 9/11
16	everybody wanted to fight. It was like post December
17	$7^{\rm th}$. And so, the problem we have, of course, is that
18	today's military still doesn't give the proper
19	acknowledgment of PTSD and other issues, and they
20	have a you know, particularly with the drug use
21	issue, I think that we see a lot of people bounced
22	out for minor drug use, which is really ridiculous.
23	Yeah. So, going so when we were working in
24	Brooklyn we used to get a lot of discharges upgraded.
25	We had a clightly different eyetem to work with with

2 the different military Boards of Reviews, and you 3 didn't want to even get to the last thing which is 4 Correction of Military Records. Hopefully, you'd get it through the different military units and get it done at that time. Now, I think that things have 6 changed significantly in how the whole business is 7 8 processed. But-- and we've won most of our cases quite frankly on the fact of lack of due process, which was mentioned earlier. The Councilman asked, 10 11 "What about lawyers?" There were no lawyers for most 12 of the cases. Only if you really were taken to a 13 court martial did you get the opportunity for a legal 14 defense. Even that it wasn't the best because the 15 Army was giving you, you know, the guy who prosecuted 16 somebody yesterday and today he's your defense pros--17 service. So that always didn't work too much unless 18 you were lucky enough to get outside counsel, you 19 know, which often didn't happen. So, we were able to 20 win a significant number of cases. I forget what the 21 numbers were, but it was in the 70 to 80 percent 2.2 range for most of them, but again, most of that was 2.3 due process issue. I also found it interesting to listen to the gentleman talk about having the ability 24 to do better in your life and show a shining record 25

2	to the military of what happened after you got out.
3	It's a little hard to do when you've been basically
4	given a life sentence, which makes it difficult to
5	get a job, difficult to deal with your PTSD,
6	difficult to overcome other issues you may have
7	because of your PTSD or because you were, you know,
8	sexual trauma issues or all kinds of other things
9	which, you know, didn't even get discussed in my day
10	quite honestly. So, and the other question was what
11	can we do, what can the City do? Well, in those days
12	we had this program which was not a city program. It
13	was a private operation, but funded through a
14	different program because it was a White House
15	Veterans Federal Coordinating Committee functioning
16	at the time. We later turned it to SETA [sic] money
17	which is long gone. But interestingly enough, there
18	may be some other possibilities which the Attorney
19	General might find interesting to talk about. In
20	Illinois, the state of Illinois, years ago had formed
21	the Veterans Service Program where they were
22	providing legal services for veterans dealing with
23	issues like this and other issues, and there may be
24	some eligibility issues there. Certainly, the
25	Department of Veteran Services now might want to talk

2	about doing some funding for private organizations.
3	I know that's one of the things looking for funding.
4	Certainly, I'm sure that the Veterans Advocacy
5	Program and others would look would be happy to go
6	out and be able to hire a couple more attorneys and
7	staff and whatever to help them. Writing those
8	briefs, by the way, did get very interesting. It
9	wasn't always legal, like I said. The one guy who
10	did a lot of the writing was a guy who had done his
11	done a Master's in Psych was able to help to talk
12	about PTSD and get into that part of the briefs that
13	they would present on behalf of the veterans. As far
14	as VVA National is concerned, we certainly support
15	the Fairness for Veterans Act. We had a public
16	hearing, a public press conference not too long ago
17	where we brought a lot members in congress from both
18	sides of House and Senate referencing both
19	Republicans and Democrats, the war veterans basically
20	in the Congress who were very supportive of this
21	issue. We're also looking at taking a different
22	tactic. We're looking at the possibility of asking
23	the President to pardon everybody. This would be a
24	little complicated, and we've been trying to dig up
25	some of the old stuff that went on back in the Carter

2	Administration. Carter came out and pardoned all the
3	draft dodgers which was easy to do, they were felons.
4	So they just he just wiped out all their felony
5	convictions and said if you want to, you can come. If
6	you want to apply for it, you can come home. At the
7	time, a lot of us in the veteran's community,
8	especially those working in the veterans upgrade
9	centers were sent to the White House. That's all
10	nice and good and fine. Get ready to deal with them
11	if you want. How about all the veterans who came
12	home and have been dealt with this bad paper issue?
13	There was a program which apparently wasn't very
14	successful because it did not reach the many people.
15	It was very confusing, and it mostly focused on
16	people coming out with drug issues, which were not
17	the only issues. and so we're trying to dig up the
18	old legal, you know, background on that, how the
19	President did that, what did he base it on, what
20	Presidential powers did he use. And certainly we're
21	looking and talking to some of our colleagues in Yale
22	University's Law students have a veterans program
23	that we've been working with on lots of different
24	issues. the personality disorder discharges, we sued
25	the federal we sued all branches in the military,

2	the entire Department of Defense to provide us with
3	information on that, on how many they've given out,
4	what was the basis for it, etcetera, and asking him
5	to overturn it, which Hagel, when he was Secretary of
6	Defense, started to make a move on that issue.
7	Certainly we're in favor of the Fairness for Veterans
8	Act, and like I said, we're trying to look at a
9	possible different tack, one that may be quicker and
10	easier if the President by fiat [sic] as Commander in
11	Chief, just wipe it all out. This would be strictly
12	for the less for those that were not court
13	martialed. I mean, the odds are today if you were
14	court martialed, it was probably for a serious
15	offense. You would you did something really that
16	would end up in private in the civilian world would
17	end up in jail. But even that is an interesting
18	issue given the fact that we have vet courts to take
19	into consideration military service and the civilian
20	world. So, that's where we're at. That's how we
21	view the issue. It's really another frankly
22	disservice to, again, all of these veterans who
23	volunteered. I think one of the things that people
24	need to understand is that number of combat veterans,
25	people that actually served in the combat area in

these more recent wars in post 9/11 are getting very
close to the same number of people who served in
combat in Vietnam. We know there was a lot of people
in the military during the Vietnam era because of the
draft and Korea and Germany and all the rest of these
places that we probably had about nine million people
under arms, nine million mostly men under arms in
that period of time, of which about three to three
and a half million may have ended up in the war zone.
It's very the numbers are not very clear. I've
understood I understand that we're now getting
close to two and three quarter million people who
actually served in Iraq or Afghanistan or both. And
so the numbers, well the numbers of veterans are
coming down. The number of veterans that are going
to need service are probably going to be as high, if
not higher because of the multiple tours and all the
rest of it. So, that's where we're at. Maybe Chris
and then Joe can dive in. Chris has got personal
experience. Joe, you want to chime in?

JOSEPH GRAHAM: Yep. Thank you. My name is Joseph Graham. I'm President of Vietnam Veterans

Manhattan Chapter 126. I wish to thank the New York

City Council Veterans Committee for allowing me to

speak before you today regarding my support and the
support of Manhattan VVA Chapter 126 for Resolution
1196 calling for US Congress to pass and President
Obama to sign into the law the Fairness for Veterans
Act of 2016. As President of VVA 126, over the past
15 years I have met fellow veterans who have been
deprived of their earned benefits because of having
been given a less than honorable discharge. In many
instances, these bad paper discharges are due to
minor infractions committed by the veteran such as
being AWOL or late for formation or caught smoking a
joint, as John pointed out. A majority of these less
than honorable discharges come at the end of their
enlistments and can be tracked back to combat-related
deployments, PTSD and traumatic brain injuries. A
less than honorable discharge will deprive a veteran
of the much needed mental healthcare that they are
entitled to as well as access to the VA healthcare
system. At this time, I would like to thank take
the opportunity to thank and acknowledge the City
Council Veterans Committee for your support of the
veteran's court system. The establishing of Veteran
Treatment Courts in Brooklyn and Manhattan under the
New York State United Court System is due in so small

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

part to the City Council's support. Many of the issues that Veterans Courts deal with are linked to the same issues that the Fairness for Veterans Act will address. I wish also -- I also wish to thank City Council for supporting veterans housing and homeless programs, as well as veterans vendors in New York City vending rules program. Further, I request that City Council to continue and increase your support for the New York City Junior ROTC programs in our public high schools.

CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: Councilman

Ulrich, I want to thank you for holding this hearing today, and I'd also like to thank the entire committee for their dedication to veterans. My name is Christopher Goldsmith, and I'm the Assistant Director for Policy and Government Affairs at Vietnam Veterans America. I'm also the founder and Chair of High Ground Veterans Advocacy, which is a small organization that trains veterans to become advocates. I fell into becoming an advocate, most like I think many veterans here who have spoken out today fell into the world of advocacy because they saw a certain wrong that they felt they had a duty to The issue of less than honorable discharge is

2	personal to me, because I am one of the affected
3	veterans. I was a New Yorker. I am a New Yorker,
4	born and raised. I grew up in Long Island, and like
5	everyone else in this room I can remember precisely
6	the moment where I was on the attacks September 11 th .
7	I was still in high school, and I had lived my entire
8	life, my entire childhood with the dream of serving
9	in uniform. It's the only thing I ever wanted to do,
10	and once those towers fell, I felt a responsibility
11	to live my childhood dream. I did, and at 18 I
12	enlisted in the United States Army as a Forward
13	Observer. A year later after first getting to basic
14	training, I was deployed. I was in Iraq crossing the
15	border from Kuwait up to Baghdad. The first time
16	that I had a close call with an IED was in the very
17	first days of time in country. I hadn't even yet made
18	it to Baghdad when I was in a convoy when an IED went
19	off above my armored vehicle. Now, thankfully I was
20	not injured in this attack, but I can tell you that
21	at 18 years old when a bomb goes off right over your
22	heard, armor or not, your Superman complex melts
23	away. I remember the screaming of my comrades who
24	weren't injured, but their driver was. Their allied
25	civilian driver was injured as their vehicle sped

away, 80,000 pounds of it rocking and rolling at 80
miles an hour down the road. I remember swiveling my
turret looking for someone to shoot back at and
seeing an Abraham's tank, the premier armored
weaponry that we've got, it's the most powerful
armored vehicle on the planet, seeing it smoldering
on the side of the road and burnt out. So my first
days in Iraq, that's what I experienced. I spent the
rest of my teenage years photographing dead bodies.
That was my job in Iraq. After I returned home from
overseas, I returned to New York. My first night in
New York was New Year's Eve coming into the year of
2006. I was outside in a mortar of firework mortared,
went off, and I remember hearing it, seeing it, and
knowing and thinking I'm in the United States, I'm
fine. I'm home. It's just a firework. I remember
consciously thinking I'm fine, but I couldn't stop my
body from reacting, and I ran across the road like a
scared deer and hid in some bushes. The friends that
I had grown up with who were all enjoying their
college lives were home. They saw this and they were
terrified. Now at that point, PTSD was a little more
than an acronym to me. I didn't understand what it
was. I honestly didn't know what PTSD stood for. It

2	became obvious to everyone around me that I needed
3	help, but while I was in uniform it was like a
4	shield. I could put on my tough face. I went to work.
5	I was promoted ahead of my peers. In just over two
6	years of service I made the rank of Sergeant. I was
7	in charge of millions of dollars' worth of equipment.
8	I was charged with the lives and wellbeing's of half
9	a dozen junior soldiers, and I was good at my job. I
10	was great at my job, but when I went home from work
11	every day and I took off the uniform, I was a
12	different person. I would drown my memories in
13	alcohol. It was the only way I could get to sleep.
14	It's the only way that I could sleep through my
15	nightmares. I was collecting the Army Times which was
16	at then one of the only things that was printing the
17	faces of every combat casualty, and I was using the
18	Army Times to keep track of which friends were alive
19	and dead, because I didn't know and never knew. Week
20	by week I waited for the newspaper to get there so I
21	could see which one of my friends might have died.
22	By the time that I asked for help, it felt like it
23	was too late. The Army's psychiatrists and
24	psychologists were severely understaffed. The demand
25	was too high, and by the time that I had a panic

2	attack that landed me in the emergency room at Fort
3	Stewart, the hospital on Fort Stewart Georgia, there
4	was no time for me to get care. I was diagnosed
5	instead of with PTSD, with pre-existing conditions,
6	personality disorder and adjustment disorder. They
7	completely ignored my combat history. They completely
8	ignored that I spent the end of my teenage years
9	while my friends were at college having fun
10	photographing dead kids on the side of the road, and
11	they said, "You came into the Army with this
12	problem." Memorial Day weekend of 2007 when I was
13	faced with the second deployment which was going to
14	occur after my Active Duty contract was supposed to
15	have expired, I was involuntarily extended into what
16	would become a 16-month deployment. I decided that I
17	was going to take my own life, and rather than force
18	my mother to hold a closed casket wake and put a gun
19	in my mouth, I decided that I'd be a little kinder to
20	my mother if I simply just took pills and drank
21	alcohol. So at least she could see me one last time.
22	I thankfully failed in that suicide attempt, but from
23	the moment that I woke up I was treated like a
24	criminal by the Army. My honorable service, my time
25	in combat, my time spent overseas, the fact that I

had an extremely stellar career and never once in
uniform gotten in trouble, that all went out the
window, and just weeks later I found myself instead
of Sergeant Goldsmith, I was Mr. Goldsmith. I had
received a general discharge. I was made ineligible
for the post 9/11 GI Bill. I ended up in my
childhood bedroom back here in New York living in my
parents' house. The only job that I could hold was
working for my little sister as a pizza delivery boy
once a week. I spent every dime that I had saved
from my yearlong deployment to Iraq on alcohol in
just a course of months. I saw no reason to live as
if tomorrow would exist, because I didn't know if at
any moment I'd just decide to take my own life. Now,
I am thankful to my mother for welcoming me back
home, but New York State didn't make me eligible for
unemployment benefits, and that's something that I
hope this council will consider as an issue that
perhaps you consider another resolution on. When I
came home, there was no support system other than my
friends and family, and my friends and family not
having a recent veteran anywhere, you know, to
compare to didn't know how to help me. I was
abandoned by the military. I was shamed by the

2	military. I had been discharged after surviving a
3	suicide attempt for misconduct. My discharge
4	paperwork said "misconduct, serious offense." So, if
5	I wanted to get a new job, I had to, you know, for
6	anything that I was qualified for which would be say
7	security, because I was a combat solider anyone
8	who's familiar with military paperwork wanted to see
9	that DD214, my discharge paperwork, and right at the
10	bottom in full bold an capitalized letters it says
11	"misconduct; serious offense." So, if I were to use
12	my military history to try and attain employment, I
13	would be forced into a conversation about a suicide
14	attempt and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder right out
15	of the gate. Now, luckily, and I didn't' know this at
16	first, I was eligible for VA healthcare. The
17	Northport VA healthcare in Long Island has been
18	spectacular as has my care here in Manhattan VA
19	Hospital, and over the course of a few years, thanks
20	to a dedicated team of doctors, nurses and social
21	workers, they pulled me out of that dark hole. I met
22	the Urban Justice Center's Veterans Advocacy Project
23	back in 2008, and they connected me with a lawyer
24	from Orrick, Herrington and Sutcliffe here in
25	Manhattan, and since 2008 this firm has spent tens of

2	thousands of dollars and thousands of man hours on my
3	case alone. We have appealed my discharge three
4	times. Every time it has been rejected. The most
5	recent time, Orrick, Herrington and Sutcliffe, and my
6	attorney Stephen Lessard, who's a 22-year Navy
7	veteran who is, you know he's not new to this type
8	of issue they flew witnesses, battle buddies that I
9	had served with overseas, fellow Sergeants including
10	an Army Captain that I served under to DC for my
11	discharge hearing. Now, Ballsy [sic] if you would do
12	me a favor, there's a black bag next to you. Can you
13	carry that up here, please? At the last hearing, I
14	presented diagnoses of PTSD along with over a half of
15	decade of care, and similar to what Rob showed
16	before, that right there is just witness statements,
17	awards and things that I received overseas. This
18	right here was my medical history as of the time,
19	just focusing on PTSD, and this by law, they could
20	throw out. There was a doctor who was sitting on the
21	panel who had no experience in mental health at all,
22	nothing whatsoever, and that doctor completely
23	disregarded every page of this document, and said,
24	"Well, you can't prove that you got PTSD from your
25	time in the Army. You might have gotten it from a

2	hypothetical car accident after you got out." I've
3	had all the resources in the world afforded to me in
4	terms of friends and family, and the veteran's
5	network has been absolutely fantastic. I have many of
6	my mentors here in the room including Kristen Rouse,
7	Chuck Patolo [sp?], from Nassau Community College,
8	and Rob Cuthbert who's been guiding me for years now
9	on how to do advocacy on this issue. But my
10	discharge is still pending, my discharge upgrade, my
11	discharge appeal is still pending. And today I was
12	reminded that a year ago I saw the suicide note of a
13	friend of mine from the same unit who was discharged
14	with an other than honorable discharge who was denied
15	healthcare at the VA. His name is Brett Yarbrough.
16	He's attempted suicide multiple times. He's been in
17	jail multiple times because he had an other than
18	honorable discharge. Where I was able to get VA
19	healthcare, he was barred from it. While I have been
20	able to recover thanks to VA access, he has not. I
21	have gone from an alcoholic recluse living in his
22	childhood bedroom to an Ivy League educated student
23	who is now working at one of the greatest Veteran
24	service organizations in the country on federal
25	legislation, and my friend Brett, even a year after

2	handing the Secretary of Veterans Affairs his suicide
3	note, and thankfully Brett survived, Brett still is
4	having getting trouble getting care from the VA
5	because of his discharge status. Now, VVA has
6	submitted for record several reports by Yale Veterans
7	Legal Services Clinic, by Swords to Plowshares and
8	Human Rights Watch, and I would encourage the Council
9	to review these reports and explore different options
10	with your local VSO representatives for ways that the
11	City may address some of these veteran's needs where
12	the federal government continues to fail. Again, I
13	want to focus on the fact that because I was
14	discharged due to misconduct, my act of misconduct
15	being surviving a suicide attempt, I did not qualify
16	for state unemployment benefits. That is
17	unconscionable to me to think that I had spent my
18	teenage years in combat, and then for surviving a
19	suicide attempt, the state didn't consider me a
20	veteran worthy of unemployment benefits. It also,
21	even today, doesn't think I'm worthy of the Veterans
22	Scholarship Program that the state offers to other
23	veterans. Our state needs to do a lot more. Our City
24	need to do a lot more to support these veterans.
25	When I started attending Columbia University, not

2.2

being eligible for the post 9/11 GI Bill, I had to
take out some really, really burdensome loans just to
live here in Manhattan because of my discharge
status, and the city and state didn't have anything
to offer me, and that's simply because this is an
issue that no one before today has really paid close
attention to. So, Chairman Ulrich, I thank you from
the bottom of my heart for holding this hearing today
and for giving these experts the opportunity to speak
to get on record for the first open hearing of the
Fairness for Veterans Act in our country. Councilman
Ulrich, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay, thank you for your testimony and for your service to our country, and I don't want to say best of luck, but I think that certainly there are a lot of people rooting for you and in your corner that your discharge status can be upgraded. When is your next hearing?

CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: I don't know. I
- Stephen Lessard of Orrick, Herrington and Sutclifee

right here in Midtown submitted my latest appeal this

August, and I'm still yet to hear anything back from

DOD as to whether or not they'll even grant me

another hearing.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And your attorney is 3 Mr. Cuthbert, you said?

CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: No, Mr. Cuthbert is an advisor and a mentor of mine.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay. Well, I'll be happy to write a letter for you on my letterhead. I don't know if that'll help or hurt. I guess I depends on who wins the election, I don't know, but I'm not particularly helpful either way.

CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: Thank you, sir.

opinion. But I'm willing as always to help any veteran that's trying to better themselves and get access to the services and programs that are available to veterans. My brother is a Marine currently serving in Camp Pendleton. He is going to Kuwait next month, and you know, as you know, Kuwait is very close to Iraq, and I imagine that he might actually be going to Iraq, which is sort of interesting how that— how the Department of Defense is sending lots of Marines to Kuwait so that the media doesn't say they're sending them to Iraq, but they're in Kuwait for six months and then they just cross the border and go to Iraq anyway. So, it is

what it is. But we're very concerned about the level
of care that we're providing for our veterans and the
people that would benefit the most I think from the
healthcare services at the VA and all the other
states and local services that are provided or
afforded to veterans require that they have an
honorable discharge, and this is very, very important
work that is being done with very little money, and
some instances on a volunteer basis, and is only
available to very few people. And so hopefully, the
purpose of today's hearing was to raise some public
awareness about this, but then to use this as a
catalyst for getting more traction in Washington and
at the federal level. So hopefully this hearing
accomplished that, and we're looking forward to
trying to get this on the agenda for a vote. So, we
do have one other speaker, so I'll invite that person
up to testify today to be fair, and I that is I think
it's Adam Hudson from New York City Veterans
Alliance. Adam, okay?
JOHN ROWAN: Mr. Chairman, if I could
CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Yeah,

please.

ways.

2	JOHN ROWAN: interject? One of the
3	things that's come up is the issue of the law
4	schools. We've had a number of different law
5	schools. I know Hofstra has a Veterans Legal Services
6	program that they've looked at. We've been, as I
7	say, I've been working for years with Yale. Several
8	of the other law schools we've been talking to,
9	Georgetown in D.C. and several others have taken
10	sparked some interest in this, and it has some real
11	I think that has some possibilities that the Council
12	might want to talk to some of the law schools in New
13	York City. We certainly have some of the best with
14	NYU, Brooklyn Law, New York Law, some of the others
15	that are here, that this might be a way to get more
16	people stimulated to looking at these issues.
17	Military laws are funny. Military law is very
18	bizarre law to deal with.
19	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Byzantine in some

JOHN ROWAN: That's an understatement, and the VA law is kind of interesting, too. It gets a little weird, but we have seen some interest in that regard. One of the other things that just came to my attention and working with frankly my staff, my

own staff. I have several lawyers that work for me in
D.C. who help with the Board of Veterans appeals
cases which is a different situation than what we're
talking about here today. We haven't been able to
get into the discharge upgrade issue, which is really
hard to do. I know for years, the only people out
there that were doing it at all was the state had
the the State Department of Veteran Service Affairs
had little some staff attached, and I believe Red
Cross used to do some work in this area as well. So,
the law schools are very interesting. But apparently
one of the things we're looking at is getting and
we're having some fun discussing this with the
Department of Education. There are ways to write
people can write off their student loans by coming to
work for not-for-profits that do certain kinds of
work.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I think it's five or 10 years.

JOHN ROWAN: And this is certainly an area that we're looking at doing.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Ten years.

JOHN ROWAN: Yeah, I mean, it can be a significant way. I mean, quite honestly I don't have

2.2

2.3

the wherewithal to pay a lot of lawyers a lot of money as compared to even to the federal government. I keep training people and then losing them to the feds or to somebody else, but if I could offer them to write off their significant student loans, that could be a way of keeping them around for a little while, and that might be a way of working with some of these law students is they get out coming to work for somebody else. And I'm sure it'd be-- I'm sure as I said earlier, I'm sure that the Veterans Advocacy Project would certainly love to see the City provide--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Yeah, I think the Urban Justice Center--

JOHN ROWAN: them with some more cash.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: as well as VVA [sic] might benefit from providing some sort of internship program for the law schools so that maybe they earn credits, but at the same time they work very closely with you on these particular types of cases and provide a service for the veterans community that is really—

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] It particularly helps if they have a-- if they have pro-bono

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

attorneys who are willing to work with you form the legal aspect of it, the law students can do a lot of the grunt work. There's a lot of grunt work involved pulling that kind of stuff together.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Producing, obviously, the documentation to present the case before the Board--

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Yep.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: is a lot-- is very labor int-- even just making copies and binding it and putting it together in a professional way takes several hours at the very least. So, having people who are able-bodied and interested, too, not just people who are there for the sake of being there, but people who really care about this issue I think is very, very important, and look, I hope that we get to a day when this is no longer an issue, but I don't see that happening anytime soon. In the meantime, we're just sort of applying the Band-Aid approach. The military also like to retire people early for different reasons and save money in the long run, and that's like-- the gorilla in the room I think is that, you know, by not giving people honorable discharges, is the government saving money, and I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

think money has a lot to do with a lot of the problems that we discuss. I'm not saying that's the only motivation or that is the central cause of this, but I think that the government realizes how much money they can save if they get rid of the bad apples or the people that they think going to cost them a lot of money in the long run.

JOHN ROWAN: No, they've been downsizing the military over the last several years since the war is kind of winding down a bit, and they're not as crazy to get them into the service as we've seen with this whole thing going on in California with the National Guard units out there. And this whole issue of personality disorder was-- see if they could-they're violating all kinds of things when they're looking at. Number one is if-- with regards to the VA issues, there's an old-- you know, I think the Colin Powell thing, if you bought it, you own it; if you do something with it, you own it. Well, if you bring somebody into the military and you make them worse, even if they had a pre-existing condition, you own them.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Yep.

Э

2.2

2.3

_ -

JOHN ROWAN: And they don't like to deal with that, but that's-- and I ran into that and often a lot of times when people should have been retired on a medical retirement and not discharged, and that's why we sued the DOD about that, and we've been collecting data and the court's been beating them up and forcing them to give us information.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I'd love to see any research if you have any—not today, obviously, but maybe you can mail it or email to us—if there were any studies conducted following Vietnam to look at controlled group versus a population of people who were drafted as opposed to people who were enlisted and what the percent of other than honorable discharges were. There's probably administrative data available, omitting names and other information, but we could probably find out for certain sample population of the Vietnam veterans, was there a higher percentage—

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] As they say, a lot of--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: of people that were-that received an other than honorable discharge who

_ _

happened to be drafted into the service as opposed to people who are enlisted?

JOHN ROWAN: No, I think most of them were people who enlisted because the draftees, as they say, they got rid of them.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: In two years.

them home. If you managed to get through unscathed you had two months left. They weren't sending you back to the base in the states. They'd just say go home, early out, whatever. Go away. The one—there's an interesting book out recently called McNamara's Folly which is about the 100, the so—called McNamara 100,000 where they lowered the IQ level down to the point of zero practically and put people in the military who should have never been in the military. Many of them got bounced out for all kinds of reasons—

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Sure.

JOHN ROWAN: which were terrible. And what the most disturbing fact that came out of this author--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Some of them are in the City Council now, coincidently.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

_	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 93
2	JOHN ROWAN: Yeah. Well, the most
3	disturbing thing that came out was that he, this
4	fellow, this author estimated that over 5,000 of the
5	KIA's in Vietnam were people in this category, which
6	is way above their percentage
7	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] That's
8	terrible. People killed for no reason.
9	JOHN ROWAN: Yeah, because they couldn't
10	function.
11	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: They couldn't
12	function or follow orders or just be
13	JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Yep.
14	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: productive.
15	CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: I
16	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Lastly, and then we
17	have to hear from our last speaker, yeah.
18	CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: No, no, that's
20	alright. Go ahead.
21	CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: I do want to
22	touch on the issue of saving money for DOD by
23	discharging troops this way. That is definitely part
24	of it. Now

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] I just don't know how you prove it. I'm just hypothesizing.

CHRISTOPHER GOLDSMITH: Having gone

through what I went through, I used to harbor a lot of anger at the commanders who did this to me, and to be totally honest, I still do. However, now that I'm a decade, about a decade separated from this, I can imagine what it must be like to be a 24-year-old Captain in charge of 130 troops when you are overseas and you find out that one of your soldiers has attempted suicide, when your soldiers are in direct combat with the enemy right now, and you have a soldier whose a problem -- become a problem soldier back in the states, and wanting to expedite their discharge. Because I was in a situation where I was in an infantry unit, but I was a Forward Observer. So, I had a specialized MOS. What I did was I basically made things blow up by getting on the radio. I was an essential MOS, and I was irreplaceable as long as I remained on the billet. From the moment of my discharge, my commander could request from big Army for a new me, a new Forward Observer. So there are-- it's not just a financial pressure, it's a pressure for the safety of their

2.2

COMMI		\sim 1	VET.	$\neg \neg$	\ \ \ T \ C
(() V I V	1111111H1H1	OM	// H::I:	$H: H \subset L$	$\sim 1/1$

current troops who are deployable who are in combat
So there are a lot of pressures on a Commander to
short-change their own troops for the good of the
rest of their men and for the good of the mission.
And thank you for giving me the extra two minutes.

7 CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [off mic] man.
8 Lastly, you get the last word. Please introduce
9 yourself for the record, and pull the mic closer to
10 you if you can. Just pull— I'm sorry. Just pull

the microphone so we can hear you clearly.

ADAM HUDSON: My name is Adam Hudson. I'm a member of the New York City Veterans Alliance and a former intern for IAVA, as well.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you for being here.

ADAM HUDSON: Thank you. And I'm actually an employee of the USO, and I just got back from Kuwait about this time last Friday, actually.

And I want to-- just a reminder to yourself and your colleagues on the council, it's still real. I don't know if you know, I'm sure you do--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] You bet it is.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

2.2

2.3

2 ADAM HUDSON: a New York National Guard 3 just arrived in Kuwait just a few days ago.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Yep.

ADAM HUDSON: So it's still happening, and just from my time in Kuwait--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Still a war going on.

ADAM HUDSON: Still a war, and I appreciate what you said how the news kind of covers up. They're still going to Iraq, and there's going to be some--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] They just go through Kuwait, but the news says they're going to Kuwait, and then people forget about it, and then six months later they're sent to Iraq, but that's--

ADAM HUDSON: [interposing] Exactly. And I just-just a reminder to yourself and--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: semantics.

ADAM HUDSON: the Council Members, these are you constituents that are going to be going to Iraq, and they're going to be back in nine months or 12 months. Just keep them in mind as you get this in front of the Council. Chris inspired me to speak

2	about just some of the things that we go through.
3	I'm an Army vet myself. Unfortunately well, I
4	won't say unfortunate. Fortunately, I never faced
5	combat. I wasn't in Iraq or Afghanistan. I was at
6	the DMZ in Korea. I worked on missile sites in the
7	Emirates and Qatar and Bahrain and Germany and
8	Poland, and just this past year in Kuwait. So, I
9	can't speak of what it was like to be 18 to 25 years
10	old and face combat, but I have many, many, many
11	friends that have, and over the years doing this
12	advocacy I've met many guys who have had these
13	problems, and it just it pains me to know what I
14	went through not facing combat, and I can't relate to
15	that. When I left the Army I went back to Alabama,
16	and I almost drank myself to death also, and if it
17	wasn't for just some great people that I knew here
18	that convinced me to come to New York City and use my
19	GI Bill, I probably would've been dead by suicide by
20	alcohol on the streets of Birmingham. So, just
21	having an honorable discharge means so much to me
22	personally, because I have friends that don't. And
23	you've heard a lot of numbers today from Vietnam to
24	the Cold War to the First Cold War through today, but
25	I think it's prudent that you hear some personal

2	experiences. A great friend of mind, Cody Johnson,
3	from Dallas, Texas, he one incident, toxic
4	leadership. I'm sure you've heard this term before,
5	toxic leadership. Chris just mentioned it. One
6	slip-up. A 24-year-old Captain takes it out on a
7	19/20-year-old kid who drank too much, kicks him out,
8	sends him back to Dallas, and he doesn't even
9	consider himself a veteran even though we did three
10	years together. He was in Korea at the DMZ. He
11	worked his tail off. He goes back to Dallas, no
12	benefits, and he's struggling to get by to this day.
13	Another great friend of mine from Alabama, he was a
14	Gold Gloves boxing champion. Gets in one fight, they
15	kick him out. He goes home. He's homeless in
16	Columbus, Georgia right now. I'm lucky I got out and
17	made it to New York and I'm speaking at City Hall to
18	you today. You've heard a lot of numbers, I just
19	want you to remember these people. An uncle, my
20	Uncle Terry, a number of years in Navy, he was just
21	about to get out. I believe he got an honorable
22	discharge, but if he would have gotten out a week
23	before he did, he wouldn't have been diagnosed with
24	multiple sclerosis by the DA, and he would have took
25	care of it all by himself. He decided to re-enlist.

A week later, the VA catches the MS, and he's been
taken care of, but I think about him when I hear
these testimonies. Who knows what would have
happened if he would have got out the week before he
would have been diagnosed. My Uncle Ray, who I'm
going to get to you guys, who was a Vietnam veteran,
he spent the way he tells me this story, he spent
five days less than the minimum in Vietnam that he
would have had to to get benefits, and he goes home
with a general discharge, and even though he was a
Marine once a Marine, always a Marine I've never
once seen him wear Marine t-shirts or Marine hat or
these type of hats because back in I guess 1972 or
whenever it was they told him that he wasn't in
Vietnam long enough to be considered a Vietnam
Veteran, and he's never he never talked to me about
it until just about three weeks ago. So, just
remember these people. You've heard a lot of
numbers. Remember these specific stories as you get
this in front of the Council, and I want to extend my
appreciation for your continued support of the
veteran community here in New York. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I'm always dumbfounded that the veterans come here and thank me

when I should be thanking you. You're the ones that
have served our country. You're the reason why we
have a Veterans Committee and why we're here. So,
not that I take offense to it, but I always feel kind
of funny. I say why are they always thanking me? I
should be thanking them for their service to our
country and that you still continue to serve, that
you could be doing other things and you're here on a
Friday afternoon because you care about your fellow
comrades who perhaps didn't have the same outcome
that you had, or the best desirable outcomes. So, I
want to thank you and thank everybody that came to
today's hearing. This is an important first step,
and we're going to be pushing the leadership here at
the Council to try to pass this resolution in or
around Veteran's Day, although I'm not sure that's
going to happen for the next two Stated's [sic], but
we're going to push to have this voted on by the
Committee and hopefully the rest of the Council so
that we can send it and share it with New York's
congressional delegation, they understand how
important this bill is. We will also, obviously send
it to the Executive Branch, and the advocates can
take this and run with it and push this issue. This

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 101
2	is a very, very important issue that has a
3	multiplying effect in so many other areas, and I wan
4	to thank you all for your service, but also for
5	having the courage to be here and share your stories
6	with us so that we can try to do something about it.
7	And that concludes today's hearing. Thank you.
8	[gavel]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 17, 2016