CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

October 6, 2016 Start: 1:22 p.m. Recess: 4:24 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

Jumaane D. Williams

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Helen K. Rosenthal Ritchie J. Torres Barry S. Grodenchik Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Eric A. Ulrich Annabel Palma

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Fernando Cabrera Ruben Wills Vanessa L. Gibson Corey D. Johnson

Steven Banks Commissioner of NYC Department of Social Services

Mindy Tarlow
Director of Mayor's Office of Operations

Anne-Marie Hendrickson Deputy Commissioner of Asset and Property Management at NYC Department of Housing and Preservation and Development

Amy Blumsack Neighbors Together

Corey Bates
Three-quarter House Tenant Organization

Anthony Coleman
Three-quarter House Tenant Organization

Paulette Soltani Vocal New York

Giselle Routhier Coalition for the Homeless

Joshua Goldfein Legal Aid Society

Alison Wilkey Prisoner Reentry Institute at John Jay College of Criminal Justice

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Enrique Rojas Women's Prison Association

Tanya Kessler MFY Legal Services

Constance Lesold Brooklyn Mental Hygiene Court Monitor's Project

Brenda Riley Safety Net Activists

Wendy O'Shields Safety Net Activists

	4

2

25

1

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

3 My name is Council Member Jumaane Williams, Chair of 4 the Council's Committee on Housing and Building. 5 We're joined with Chair Steve Levin, Chair of the 6 General Welfare Committee. 2013 report by the prisoner re-entry-- sorry, we're joined also by 8 Council Member Gibson, Ulrich, Cabrera, Salamanca, 9 and Torres. 2013 report by the Prisoner Re-entry 10 Institute at John Jay College found that almost 90 11 percent of three-quarter homes analyzed had building 12 code complaints that resulted in at least one 13 violation or stop-work order by Department of 14 Buildings. The violations included illegal 15 conversations, lacking or violating certificates of occupancy, not having work permits, illegal SROs, 16 17 blocked, locked or improper egress, illegal or 18 defective gas hook-ups, and a failure to maintain. 19 Additionally, because the City's Building Code 20 outlaws cohabitation by four or more unrelated 21 persons, most if not all three-quarter houses are 2.2 illegal. One of the most significant issues with the 23 three-quarter houses is overcrowding. Often, a 24 single room has beds for between two and eight people

with bunk beds placed on all walls, and some place

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 6 beds in hallways and closets. Three-quarter housing operators are also often violate tenants' rights through unlawful evictions and mandating substance abuse treatments as a condition of residency. Residents report that operators arbitrarily force people out without notice or court papers. Additionally, there are reports that residents are required to attend a particular substance abuse treatment program regardless of their need for such treatment. Reports suggest that operators receive illegal kick-backs from substance abuse providers based on reports that tenants are forced to attend a particular program or face eviction, and are required to hand in daily slips to prove their attendance at such programs. Tenants are illegally evicted upon successful completion of these programs. There are also reports of operators threatening tenants who are on parole or probation. Three of the bills that we are hearing today are in the Committee on Housing and Buildings. Two of the bills, Intro. 1167 and 1171, related to the Department of Housing Preservation and Development's Emergency Housing Services Unit. unit provides emergency relocation services and rehousing assistance to households who have been

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 7 displaced from their homes as a result of fire or city issued vacate orders. In the event of buildingwide emergency such as a fire or city issued vacate order, owners or residential buildings must provide the names and apartment numbers of all legal tenants in occupancy to EHS. After being assessed, displaced households may be temporarily placed in family centers or single-room occupancy hotels in Manhattan, Bronx, Brooklyn, or Queens. Once a displaced household is relocated through EHS, they might be able to assess additional support, including through HPD's Section 8 program which accept EHS referrals. According to advocates for individuals living off three-quarter houses, although such individuals are generally legal tenants of these houses, it is extremely difficult for them to access HPD services, because they lack the documents such as leases that residents traditionally use to provide residency. Intro 1167 would prohibit the Commissioner of the Department of Housing Preservation Development from imposing a time limit on a person's ability to apply for relocation services when a vacate order is in effect for the location where the persons lives and the individual is otherwise eligible for those

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 8 Intro. 1171, sponsored by myself, would services. allow the HPD Commissioner to request verification of occupancy from a tenant or person lawfully entitled to occupy a dwelling in order to receive relocation The third bill we'll be hearing testimony services. on, Intro. 1168, is sponsored by Council Member Torres, would prohibit an owner from conditioning occupancy-- sorry-- would prohibit an owner from conditioning occupancy of a dwelling unit upon the occupants seeking, receiving or refraining from submitting to medical treatment. The bill would also add persons lawfully entitled to occupancy of dwelling units in addition to tenants or groups of tenants as a category of persons that may bring a claim against an owner under the housing maintenance code, and would allow such persons to bring a claim based on this provision. I'd like to thank my staff for the work they did to assemble this hearing, including Nick Smith, my Deputy Chief of Staff and Legislative Director, Jen Wilcox, Assistant Deputy Director for Infrastructure, and Megan Chen, Counsel to the Committee, Guiermo Patino [sp?] and Jose Conde [sp?], Policy Analyst to the Committee, and Sarah Gastelum [sp?], the Committee's Finance Analyst.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 9 also like to thank Council Member Levin and the General Welfare Committee staff including Andrea Vasquez, Counsel to the Committees, Tanya Cyrus, Policy Analyst to the Committee, and Maria Nasmith [sp?], the Committee's Finance Analyst. Finally, much thanks to MFY Legal Services who championed this cause. I also want to thank you to all of the Threequarter Houses Coalition and all the members of the Coalition. As I said outside, it's easy for many of us to stand with you when a problem is presented. It's easy to see. It's easy to understand the difficulties. It's not easy without the work of the people who put in the time and hours to raise it to the level to make us come and stand with you. thank you so much. Obviously, this is a horrendous problem, and we had the dual problem of trying to make sure that we find some fixes while not taking housing from people who desperately need it. I thank everyone who worked together with us. And so now I'd like to call on my Co-Chair Council Member Steve Levin for an opening statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Chair Williams. Good morning. Sorry, good afternoon.

I'm Council Member Steve Levin, Chair of the New York

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 10 City Council's Committee on General Welfare. I'd like to thank my colleague, Council Member Jumaane Williams, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings, for holding this hearing jointly with the General Welfare Committee today. Today, as Council Member Williams said, we are here to examine the issue of three-quarter houses and will consider several pieces of legislation aimed at improving circumstances for those individuals residing in three-quarter houses. I would like to thank all the tenants and advocates, providers and other who are here today to testify and who put in a significant amount of work advocating preparing for this hearing we're holding today. Three-quarter houses are typically one and two-family homes or larger apartment buildings run by operators who rent beds to single adults. These entities are run by operators who hold themselves out to be programs, often as a place for individuals overcoming substance abuse issues after existing residential substance abuse treatment facilities. However, these places typically provide no services, a ripe with building code violations, as Chair Williams said, often are dangerously overcrowded, and they are generally

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 11 illegal. Although the exact number is unknown. It has been estimated that over 10,000 individuals reside in three-quarter houses. Three-quarter houses continue to proliferate in the City for the same reasons that the shelter census is at an all-time high of almost 60,000 individuals. The rising gap between income and rents, the scarcity of affordable housing, and until the new units begin to come online, the lack of available supportive housing. For individuals living in three-quarter housing, it is often that their only alternative is the DHS shelter system or the street. With over 13,000 single adults living in a shelter system and at least another 3,000 living on the street, it is not surprising at all that there are estimated to be thousands of individuals living in three-quarter houses. survey conducted of residents of three-quarter houses, individuals reported that despite the problems associated with three-quarter houses, it is preferable to living in shelter. So, despite all of the problems enumerated by Council Member Williams, people still prefer to stay there than to go into the shelter system. Rents in three-quarter houses are typically tied to a resident's public assistance rent

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

levels, which for those who only receive the Public Assistance Shelter Allowance set by the state is just 215 dollars a month. The schedule setting that rate has been unchanged since 1988. Today, we are hearing a resolution sponsored by the speaker of the New York City Council Melissa Mark-Viverito, which calls the state to increase this allowance. It is unreasonable to expect an individual to be able to secure adequate housing if their only assistance is 215 dollars a month. Today, the Committee plans to focus both on the immediate problems facing residents of these buildings and the long term planning that is needed to end the reliance on them. The bills we are hearing today are a way to provide some services for those living in three-quarter houses by informing people of their rights, improving access to relocation services and prohibiting landlords from mandating tenants receive certain medical treatment. We are also here to discuss the Administration's vision for ending the reliance on three-quarter houses. On a personal note, my staff and I worked with MFY Legal Services a number of years ago on a three-quarter house in my district at 66 Clay Street. It was a building owned by a man named Day Deutschman

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 12

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 13 [sp?], and the drug treatment program was CIS Counseling Services. They no longer are in business, I believe, and have lost their OASAS license, and I have to say that setting foot into that building I saw the most harrowing and unsuitable conditions for people to be living in that I've ever seen, and truly vindictive actions by the owner, knocking off the front lock of the door, the lock of the front door, so anybody could come in and out of that building, refusing to fix windows that were broken in the middle of winter, showers and sinks that weren't working, toilets that weren't working, and the individuals that were living there were forced if they wanted to stay with a roof over their head, to endure conditions that we should never allow in New York City, ever. And it is important that we ensure that people have housing. We don't people -- we don't want to create unintended consequences where people lose their housing, but at the same time, we cannot countenance people taking advantage of our most vulnerable populations by committing fraud, by committing violations of the Building Code, by doing everything that they can do to chase the almighty dollar on the backs of vulnerable people, and it is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 14 essential that we work together collaboratively with our state agencies, our mental health services providers, and we at the Council and with this Administration, that we build up our infrastructure so that we are creating a fully legal and appropriate infrastructure that would be an effective alternative to basically this illegal system that's in place today. With that, I would like to thank the members of the Administration who've come to testify today, Commissioner Steven Banks, Director Mindy Tarlow, Deputy Commissioner Anne-Marie Hendrickson of HPD. I'd also like to thank the Council Staff for preparing for today's hearing, the Committee Staff of the Housing and Buildings Committee, Jennifer Wilcox, Megan Chen, Guiermo Patino, Jose Conde, Sarah Gastelum, and the Council Committee Staff from the General Welfare Committee, Andrea Vasquez, Policy Analyst Tanya Cyrus, and Finance Analyst Denir Nooshat [sp?], and Supervisor Doheni Sampora [sp?], as well as my Chief of Staff Jonathan Bouche [sp?], Legislative Director Julie Barrow [sp?], and Budget Director Edward Paulino [sp?]. I'd also like to thank former staff members Lisa Bloodgood [sp?] and Ronny Medle [sp?] for working on this issue with MFY

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 15

Legal Services. So, with that I turn it back over to

my colleague for the testimony.

Thank you. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I also just want to add thank you for the personal note that I hope we as a body keep this in mind. are definitely always unscrupulous people there. think this body is doing a good job in stepping in, but we have to think about what we're doing to create the atmosphere by not doing all we can to push forward affordable units wherever we can and every project that comes in front of this body, because it is that pressure that creates this atmosphere for people to do this. So, with that, I want to thank as was thanked already, the panel that we're going to hear from, Commissioner Steve Banks, who probably has the easiest and least controversial job in the City of New York right now, Mindy Tarlow from the Mayor's Office of Operations, and Deputy Commissioner Anne-Marie Hendrickson from HPD. Can you please all raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can begin.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman Levin, Chairman Williams and distinguished members of both the General Welfare and Housing and Buildings Committees. Thank you for inviting us to appear before you today to discuss three-quarter housing. My name is Steven Banks, and I'm the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Social Services which oversees the Human Resources Administration as well as the Department of Homeless Services now. I'm joined today by my colleagues, Director of the Mayor's Office of Operations Mindy Tarlow and Deputy Commissioner of Asset and Property Management at the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development Anne-Marie Hendrickson. We will be presenting one set of testimony and different parts of it will be covered by each of us. HRA is the nation's largest social services agency assisting over three million New Yorkers annually through the administration of more than 12 major public benefit and public assistance programs including cash assistance, employment programs, food stamps, and other supports helping New Yorkers remain in the workforce. HRA also plays a role in the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 17 administration of housing programs and services, including individuals with HIV, survivors of domestic violence and supportive housing. And much of our work plays a key role in advancing one of this Administration's chief priorities: reducing income inequality and leveling the playing field for all New Yorkers. As part of HRA's overall reform effort, we created the HRA Homelessness Prevention Administration. While HRA has always provided some homelessness prevention services, we've now consolidated all of the HRA homelessness prevention programs into a single unit, and most recently, as a result of the Mayor's 90-day review of homeless services, Homebase, which had been administered by the Department of Homeless Services previously. In addition to Homebase, within Homeless Prevention Administration, the HRA Early Intervention Outreach Team receives early warning referrals from Housing Court Judges, early warning referrals from NYCHA for tenant arrears cases, Adult Protective Services referrals, and referrals from New York City marshals. This team also works closely with the City's Tenant Support Unit to refer low-income New Yorkers to legal services providers under contract with HRA to help

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 18 them avert eviction, displacement and homelessness. Another key component of HRA's homelessness prevention work is rental assistance. Rental assistance programs to keep families and individuals in their homes and help those in shelter exit to permanent housing are both better for families and individuals and more cost-effective for taxpayers. After Advantage, the State-City rental assistance program supporting thousands of families, was cut in 2011, the City's shelter population increased exponentially from about 37,000 to nearly 51,000 between 2011 and 2014. Over the past two years, the new rental assistance programs and other permanent housing efforts have enabled more than 40,000 children and adults in nearly 14,000 households to avert entry into or move out of Department of Homeless Services and HRA shelters. We've also helped more people with emergency rent assistance, keeping thousands of New Yorkers in their homes. In FY13, HRA provided rent arrears to 42,000 households at a cost of 124.1 million dollars. In FY15, HRA provided rent arrears to nearly 53,000 households at a cost of 180.7 million. The increase in spending of 46 percent has resulted from increased monthly rents

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 19 that families and individuals have to pay, additional households being found eligible due to the increasing gap between rents and income, and enhanced targeting of these services to prevent homelessness through partnerships with community-based organizations. From January 2014 through June 2016, about 131,000 households, including about 390,000 people, received emergency rental assistance to help them stay in their homes, averaging about 3,600 dollars per case, which is much less than the 41,000 dollars a year for a family in a shelter. And finally, within the Homeless Prevention Administration, the HRA Office of Civil Justice oversees the City's civil justice services and monitors the progress and effectiveness of these quality free legal assistance programs, a key component of the Administration's plan for addressing the needs of low-income New Yorkers and addressing poverty and income inequality. Providing coordinated homelessness prevention programs, including legal services and rental assistance, is much less expensive than the cost of a homeless shelter. And as I testified last week at the hearing on legal services, the Administration has increased funding for legal services to prevent evictions,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 20 harassment, and homelessness 10-fold, from 6.4 million in FY13 to 62 million in this fiscal year when the program will be fully implemented. before full implementation, we've seen a 24 percent decrease in evictions by City marshals over the past two years and an increase in legal representation of tenants in Housing Court from one percent, as reported for 2013 by the State Office of Court Administration, to 27 percent this year. When this tenant legal services program is fully ramped up this year, the funding will enable legal services organizations to provide legal assistance to 33,000 low-income households, including some 113,000 New In our testimony today we will provide an overview of three-quarter housing, the work of the three-quarter housing task force established by Mayor de Blasio, and comments on the bills before the committees today. First, in terms of three-quarter houses, three-quarter housing has come into existence over the past several decades as a result of many of the same drivers that are affecting the shelter census: the lack of appropriate substance use and mental health treatment programs, criminal justice system-involved individuals who are discharged to New

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 21 York City from upstate prisons, the 215 dollar monthly public assistance rent allowance that has not increased since 1988, stagnant wages, the increasing gap between income and rents, the loss of Single Room Occupancy housing units, and a lack of available supportive and low-rent housing units across the These are factors that have built up over many years to the point where 56 percent of New York City residents are paying more than a third of their income for rent and three in ten New Yorkers pay more than 50 percent. More than 500,000 New Yorkers fell into rent arrears during the past year. quarter houses are unlicensed and unregulated buildings, generally two or three-family homes, where many of the City's most vulnerable and economically disadvantaged residents live. Residents include those discharged from psychiatric or substance use treatment programs, those reentering the community after serving time in correctional facilities, and those on public assistance left to find housing with the 215 dollar state-set monthly shelter allowance. The name three-quarter house is taken from the view that they exist somewhere between regulated halfway houses and actual homes. As a vehicle for profit,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 22 many operators divide and crowd apartments beyond what is permissible within the building's occupancy limits, which subjects residents to unsafe conditions. In addition, some operators fail to maintain their properties, thereby creating unhealthy or undesirable living environments. Typically found in low-income neighborhoods across the City, threequarter houses are sometimes billed as transitional housing facilities that provide residents an opportunity to "get back on their feet." Some operators advertise and recruit individuals to their programs with the promise of connections to employment, training, permanent housing, and case management or counseling with the intention that Medicaid benefits will pay for outpatient services. Operators may require that residents abide by a set of so-called "house rules" which can include vacating the premises during the day, or require participation in off-site substance use treatment programs, regardless of the individual's treatment needs, to increase the operator's profit. This type of housing is difficult to track; very little data exists on how many three-quarter houses exist in New York City. Unlike, for example, housing facilities for

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 23 individuals with addiction which are licensed by the state Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services, OASAS, three-quarter houses do not provide treatment and therefore are not licensed. Operators instead rent rooms, without providing leases, leaving the residents with little or no legal protections. Operators often unlawfully evict individuals without seeking a court order, which results in housing instability and can lead to cycles of relapse and reincarceration. Many residents within three-quarter houses are low-income and receive outpatient medical care reimbursed by Medicaid. The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits the knowing and willful exchange, or offer to exchange, of anything of value in an effort to induce or reward the referral of items or services reimbursed by federal health care programs. Unscrupulous actors target these vulnerable individuals by requiring them to receive substance abuse or medical treatment from a specific provider in order to receive lucrative kickbacks in violation of federal law. This practice is made worse by the fact that this network of fraudulent referrals often times inhibits individual choice related to healthcare as well as results in

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 24 interruptions to work schedules and other commitments these residents have as they seek to improve their To begin to address the problems presented by lives. these houses that have built up over several decades, the City of New York has instituted measures to prohibit referrals of homeless individuals to threequarter houses. As Chair of the General Welfare Committee of the New York City Council, then-Council Member de Blasio introduced legislation, which led to the promulgation of rules of the City of New York to prevent Department of Homeless Services shelters for single adults from referring clients to permanent housing in buildings that meet one or more of a set of detailed criteria with the aim of ending referrals to three-quarter houses. To begin to address violations of the federal Medicaid law and the Anti-Kickback Statute, in the fall of 2014, as part of the HRA's reform efforts, the HRA program integrity unit began working with law enforcement agencies to investigate operators of three-quarter houses that were requiring residents to participate in specific Medicaid-funded drug treatment programs as a condition of living in a three-quarter house. HRA staff developed a metric to begin to identify three-

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 25 quarter houses for this investigative and enforcement The metric consists of identifying locations at which 10 or more unrelated adults are receiving the 215 dollar state-set monthly public assistance rent allowance. Within HRA, the Investigation, Revenue and Enforcement Administration, IREA, is responsible for helping ensure the integrity of social services programs administered by HRA, including Medicaid. HRA's IREA program is comprised of three major operating units: the Office of Investigation, the Office of Revenue and Administration, and the Medicaid Provider Investigations and Audit Unit. IREA has been working closely with the New York State Office of the Medicaid Inspector General, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit within the New York State Attorney General's Office, the United States Department of Health and Human Services' Office of the Inspector General, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor of New York City, the District Attorneys, and other local law enforcement and prosecutorial agencies within New York City to identify and pursue Medicaid fraud involving the operators of three-quarter houses.

These strong and collaborative relationships have led

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 26 to the successful arrest and prosecution of individuals engaged in fraud which not only diverts much needed funding from the Medical Assistance Program but frequently victimizes those most in need of health care services. For example, these collaborative enforcement actions in which HRA has participated include the arrests of Yury and Rimma Baumblit, who are detailed in the New York Times' expose, on charges of Medicaid Fraud and Money Laundering as a result of kickbacks from forcing residents living in three-quarter houses to attend drug treatment programs. Additional investigations are continuing in which HRA is participating. I will now turn to my colleague, Mindy Tarlow from the Mayor's Office of Operations to discuss the Three-Quarter Housing Task Force.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MINDY TARLOW: Thank you, Commissioner, and good afternoon, everyone, members of the General Welfare and Housing and Buildings Committees. My name is Mindy Tarlow, and I'm the Director of the Mayor's Office of Operations. I appreciate the opportunity to discuss the work of the Three-Quarter Housing Task Force with you. In June of 2015, following a New York Times investigation, Mayor de Blasio announced

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 27 the formation of an interagency task force to review the use of three-quarter houses in New York City and to address a decades old problem. The Mayor's Office of Operations plays a coordinating role in several interagency initiatives including the Three-Quarter Housing Task Force, monitoring the project management and performance management of these programs. interagency task force is made up of multiple City agencies, including the Mayor's Office of Operations, the Human Resources Administration, the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, the Department of Buildings, and the Fire Department. The work of the Task Force began with a review of all residences identified by the Human Resources Administration that, as the Commissioner said earlier, housed 10 or more unrelated adults who receive the 215 dollar State-set public assistance rent allowance. addition to the addresses identified through the use of this metric, we include addresses that come to us from advocates and also through 311 complaints. combination of information has served as a proxy to identify three-quarter houses because there is no registry of three-quarter houses or other means to identify such locations. We acknowledge that there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 28 are ways that three-quarter housing operators can conceal their locations from HRA, but this is the best information available to us and importantly, it is routinely refreshed by HRA. Since the launch of the Task Force, inspectors from task force agencies have visited and inspected the locations identified through the information gathering process that I just described to determine whether there were health and safety violations. The Task Force has convened regularly for post-inspection discussion and follow-This joint task force inspects each of the buildings with a focus on addressing immediate health and safety issues for residents. These inspections result in a number of actions. For example, when crowding is discovered at individual locations, members of the task force return to the building and conduct voluntary relocations from these sites to bring the building to acceptable safety standards. Each of the agencies, when appropriate, issues violations and follows up in their standard course of business. For example, serious enough violations result in the agency sending out inspectors to follow up within 30 days. Some conditions are remedied by HPD's Emergency Repair Program, a program by which

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 29

HPD completes the repairs on the most serious

conditions on a location and bills the building owner

for the repair. These conditions are often

associated with ensuring that locations have the

proper means of egress. I'm going to turn the

testimony back over to Commissioner Banks to discuss

the role of HRA in the Task Force, specifically

related to the individuals who were voluntarily

relocated as the result of the joint task force

inspections.

2.2

2.3

the Task Force has conducted 169 inspections, across 95 unique buildings and 428 single adults have voluntarily moved from 44 of these buildings into temporary emergency housing that is similar to that operated when an individual is relocated due to a fire, an unsafe condition, a gas leak or a natural disaster. At the temporary housing sites there is 24-hour seven-day a week security, and each client is provided with case management services and permanent housing relocation assistance by vendors contracted through HRA. Case management includes initial and ongoing psychosocial needs assessments and, if necessary, referrals to substance use, and/or mental

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 30 health programs or other services. Case management includes coordination of and assistance in attending treatment and service appointments, including mandated appointments with HRA for those who have an HRA case. For the 428 individuals voluntarily relocated from three-quarter houses and those in former Narco Freedom locations which had to be closed when that entity ceased operating as a result of a federal court enforcement action, the rehousing program has achieved the following permanent housing placements using the HRA rental assistance programs that I described earlier in the testimony and other housing assistance. So, far, the total number of placements for former Narco Freedom residents is 254 placements into housing as of October 5th, and for those relocated residents in three-quarter houses in the temporary rehousing sites, 139 of those individuals have already been connected to permanent housing. So, altogether 393 residents of either the Narco Freedom three-quarter houses or the threequarter house residents that voluntarily relocated have been provided with rental assistance and obtained permanent housing. While much has been accomplished in the past 15 months to address the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 31 problems associated with three-quarter housing that have built up over several decades, to truly address the complex problems that the Task Force has uncovered, a comprehensive approach by all three levels of government is needed in order to tackle the availability of affordable and supportive housing throughout the New York City. With respect to the legislation before the Committees, as the Committees consider the package of legislation before today, we want to provide some initial feedback on the bills that impact HRA. First, Intro. 1164, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to information regarding unlawful evictions. Enhancing tenant rights and knowledge pertaining to those rights is of paramount importance to this Administration. As part of the implementation of the ten-fold increase in free legal services, in 2015 we also launched the Tenant Support Unit, which proactively engages New Yorkers who may be at risk of displacement or harassment. This unit goes door-to-door in neighborhoods across the City, informing tenants of their rights, documenting building violations, soliciting complaints related to harassment and eviction, and making referrals to free

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 32 legal support whenever necessary. The TSU, the Tenant Support Unit specialists, have identified and worked with thousands of tenants in need of assistance, resolving their respective issues by connecting them with a range of services from basic repairs to legal representation in housing court. The Tenant Support Unit informs tenants about their rights under rent regulation, helps enroll tenants in SCRIE and DRIE, helps secure rent reductions when tenants are overcharged, prevents evictions in court, keeps New Yorkers in their homes stay in their homes by leveraging HRA resources such as One Shot Deals and gets rent arrears paid through Homebase. To date, the Tenant Support Unit has knocked on 101,542 doors, placed 52,919 calls and attended 624 events across the city to inform tenants of their rights and connect them to resources, including 770 referrals to legal service providers. Out of 4,129 cases, resulting from tenant intakes by the Tenant Support Unit through the approaches described above, 2,479 have been resolved, resulting in repairs made, referrals to legal service providers, or referrals to HPD. We support the intent of Intro. 1164, as it aligns with existing efforts of the Administration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 33 We would like to work with the Council regarding the best approach to ensure that clients are able to be better informed of their rights and have proof of payment concerning rent through rental subsidies. Through AccessNYC, the current system used for clients to obtain information about their public assistance case, those in receipt of rental assistance can now obtain proof of rental payment through the AccessNYC self-service portal. As an alternative to the current approach in the bill, we suggest that it would be more effective to require this rent payment receipt available from AccessNYC to contain a statement explaining that pursuant to local law persons who lawfully occupy dwelling units for 30 consecutive days or longer may not be evicted without a court order. And we look forward to working with you to make modification to provide for that, what we think is a more direct way of informing individuals about the Lock-Out Law Protections, given changes in technology that we've been implementing as part of Intro. 1166, a Local Law in the HRA reforms. relation to reporting on violations issued to threequarter houses. This bill would require the Human Resources Administration to submit to the Council and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 34 post on our website a quarterly report that includes the number and type of violations issued to threequarter houses inspected by the Task Force comprised of inspectors from HRA, the Department of Buildings, the Fire Department and the Department of Housing Preservation and Development. In partnership with the members of the Task Force, HRA is able to compile some of the information requested in the bill to be included in a report on a quarterly basis. reported information concerning the findings of the Task Force, the overall findings of the Task Force, to advocacy groups with which we have been working. Since the Task Force was formed, I have met regularly with advocates including MFY, Legal Aid, the Tenants Organizing Project, and Neighbors Together. In these meetings, as we have done today in our testimony, I have provided updates on the number of buildings the Task Force has inspected and voluntary relocations and housing placements. In addition to this basic information, we are able to report on the number of instances in which the Department of Social Services and Human Resources Administration stopped rent payments to a landlord due to the findings of the three-quarter houses Task Force. HRA currently stops

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 35 the rent payments of clients relocated by the Task We cannot, however, identify buildings and violations in such a way that would disclose a building's address because we are required to maintain the confidentiality of clients in accordance with New York Social Services Law Section 136 and associated regulations. Overall, we agree with the aim of the bill to track the activity of the Task We have some concerns, however, that some of the reporting requirements related to violations contained within the bill are not as clear as they could be, and could have unintended consequences resulting in the displacement of residents who want to work-- who want to and can safely remain in their housing. We would like to work with the Council on modifications in order to develop reporting metrics that will be clear and useful, and accurately capture the work of the Task Force and provide the Council with the information that you're seeking. Resolution Number 1035 calling upon the New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance to promulgate a rule which would increase the current public assistance rental allowance levels from the existing rates. The state-set monthly shelter allowance of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 36 215 dollars for single adults has not been raised in several decades, which has limited the ability of low-income individuals to find suitable and affordable housing. We've been on record in pointing out that the 215 dollar allowance is a factor in the three-quarter houses problem that has built up over several decades. We have recently received a proposal that Assemblyman Hevesi, Chair of the Assembly Social Services Committee, plans to introduce to address the current state-set shelter allowance. We are reviewing that proposal and look forward to reporting back to the Council regarding how this proposal relates to the shelter allowance and the City programs that we have establish to address the gap between rents and income. And we look forward to working with the Council on this resolution as well. I will now turn to HPD for their responses on the other bills before the Committees today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Thank you,

Commissioner Banks. Good afternoon members of the

General Welfare and Housing and Buildings Committee.

My name is Anne-Marie Hendrickson, and I'm the Deputy

Commissioner of HPD's Office of Asset and Property

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 37 Management. HPD's Emergency Housing Services program falls within my office. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Intro. 1167, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to time limits on the receipt of re-location services. In mid-2015, HPD implemented a new rule changing HPD's relocation payments and services. One change in the new rules, which was carefully considered during the rulemaking process, provides for a 90-day time period for occupants to decide whether or not they wish to apply for relocation benefits. At any point during these 90 days, vacated occupants can apply and, if found eligible, register to enter temporary shelter. in shelter they will receive relocation assistance and housing placement services, counseling, benefits advocacy, referrals to other external human services, and independent living workshops. Alternatively, residents can choose to decline shelter and remain with friends, family, or other living situations, but still receive the same relocation assistance and housing placement services. The decision to limit the amount of time that vacated occupants have to inform HPD of whether they need relocation benefits,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 38 including temporary shelter, was informed by our experiences with the prior rule, which did not provide a time limit for applying for relocation benefits, and was made after carefully considering comments and input from the public. In fact, the original proposal of 30 days to apply for benefits in the proposed rulemaking was increased to 90 days in response to public comment. Intro 1167 would effectively overturn the standard that was adopted after careful consideration and hearing from the public, and revert the Department back to older practices. The Department opposes this legislation because we believe, as our Rule indicated, that 90 days is a reasonable period of time for residents impacted by a vacate order to apply for services. In our experience, once 90 days have passed, vacated occupants who request assistance have most likely experienced another triggering event such as job loss or eviction from a different unit, separate from the vacate event. In order to provide efficient services targeted to the households most in need, there must be a closing date so that the agency can manage and assist those households. Intro. 1168: A local law to amend the administrative code of the City of New

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 39 York, in relation to making it unlawful to mandate medical treatment and expanding who may institute a housing court claim. Intro. 1168 prohibits owners from conditioning occupancy upon an occupant seeking, receiving, or refusing medical treatment. provides that a violation of this prohibition can be challenged in housing court. The bill carves out certain state and federal programs that presumably mandate participation in certain treatment programs. We agree that it is important to prevent owners from locking out occupants or committing fraud by mandating participation in unnecessary or inappropriate medical treatments. HPD is reviewing the introduction for any legal issues raised by state or federal laws that provide similar or overlapping protections for those occupants. And finally, Intro. 1171: A local law to amend the administrative code of the City of New York, in relation to verification occupancy for relocation services. The Department also has concerns with Intro. 1171, which seeks to codify the documentation requirements for demonstrating eligibility for relocation benefits. Currently, the Department's rules allow for a flexible administrative process. HPD has a broad

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 40 checklist of items that allows us to verify occupancy so that households can receive relocation benefits. Under our current process, we work with each client individually and account for their unique circumstances. We believe that legislating eligibility documentation prevents us from having full flexibility and the ability to change as needed, particularly for the benefit of tenants. We would be happy to share our documentation checklist and discuss our current process with you. There is an additional reason to limit changes to the relocation provisions of the Administrative Code at this time. There is pending litigation against the Department regarding the relocation liens that are authorized under the statute. The relocation statute provides HPD with authority to place a mechanics lien against the vacated property for the costs of providing temporary shelter to relocates, where the vacate order resulted from owner negligence. At question in the litigation is the length of time that HPD paid for temporary shelter expenses and placement of a lien on the property to cover these expenses. Given the impact a Court of Appeals decision is likely to have on the enforceability of HPD's relocation liens

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

and its relocation procedures, we believe it best to wait and assess the outcome of the case before considering legislation amending any provision in the relocation statute. For this reason, we do not support Intro. 1171 at this time. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and to share the progress of the Three-Quarter House Task Force and to respond to the bills before the Committees. We look forward to your questions.

much for the testimony, and I'd like to say that you may see some us here who have gone purple. For the day, the Council has gone purple to bring awareness to domestic violence and intimate partner abuse. We also have been joined by Council Member Johnson, Richards, Wills, Grodenchik, Cornegy, and Levine.

I'm going to turn it over to my colleague who's going to ask the first initial questions. I did want to, both my colleague and I wanted to ask if the people who are on this panel can stay for the next panel.

Those are going to be some tenants and advocates who are going to speak, and we want to make sure that you hear their testimony before you leave. So, at least

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 42 for the next panel after this, if you can wait, that will be fantastic. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Chair Williams. First question is, do we-- does

through your efforts over the last year, do we have a

complete or at least semi-complete picture of how

many three-quarter houses are in New York City at any

given time?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We're limited by the HRA metric, complaints through 311, information from advocates who have been tremendously helpful, because landlords that are not using public assistance as their funding stream, we're unable to discover it through the metric that we're using.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How many have we discovered through--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] The 95, the buildings that we have inspected.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So there are only 95 buildings that--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Met that metric.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: met that metric.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. Of course, we receive reports from advocates about other locations. We go and inspect them, and some cases it turned out to be three-quarter houses within that 95 in some cases that have turned out to not meet the standard, but we've been involved with providing services anyway. But I think it highlights what the background issue that we're presenting in our testament. I know both the Chairs presented in your opening statements, which is this problem that's gone on for several decades is occurring, it's the background of a range of other factors, and we've been able to take the first steps forward in several decades to identify locations using a metric, but the metric is limited because of the ability of operators to conceal their operations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, the 2013 Prisoner Re-entry Institutes Report identifies 317 addresses. Has the taskforce worked with the authors of the report? Because I'm assuming those 317 address, they know which addresses those are to go out and use-has the taskforce used that data to inform their work.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

with this area is that operators have a building; they stop using that building. They go to another building. It's one of the challenges that we had when we began to do the inspections originally, which was a concern about an operator closing down a building and transferring all the residents to some other location. We certainly looked at the John Jay report. They've been part of the meetings that we've been having periodically, but we're very focused on information that we can use to go out and inspect based on information we have.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, all 317 of those address have been inspected?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, we're looking at the addresses where we ourselves have information where we know that it's a place we can go to. Some of those addresses, you know, were part of the Narco Freedom Network which is now closed down.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, that would-- the ones that we--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] How many were Narco Freedom?

2.2

2.3

Freedom locations at one point in time, and they had other locations as well. But again, I want to be clear in this hearing, we're open to suggestions for looking at other locations. On the other hand, this is a unprecedented amassing of inspection resources to try to address a problem, and we want to be mindful to focus on the locations where we have concrete information that there are people there now. The excellent report that was done by—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But they seem-- yeah.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS: done was really, you} \\ know, a fair time ago.$

identified— obviously, this is three years ago, but they seem to have identified the specific buildings because then they examined the number of violations on all of those good. I think it would be helpful to know. I mean, you could even, you know, it could be in a, you know, Excel spreadsheet all the addresses that they identified in 2013. You check a box. Were they still there? You know? Did they have violations? How many violations? But at least

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 46 there's some tracking of those addresses if they were— if the program moved, it moved, but at least we'd be able to track what's happening in each of those addresses.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. Again, I want to caution us all, that there's another work stream going on here which I talked about in the testimony which is the Medicaid fraud enforcement work that is going on by HRA staff together with other law enforcement agencies. So, some of those addresses have been eliminated as a result of activity. But again, we're going to take all the suggestions that are provided today, follow up with you, take them into account as we continue to move forward, but I want to say again that the resources that we have devoted to this over the last 12 months, frankly if they had been dedicated over the last 20 years, we may not be having the hearing, and so we had to start someplace.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And we started with the locations we've known about through the metrics or through the 311 complaints or through advocate

2.2

2.3

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 47 information from good partners in the advocacy community.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But--

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] And that's helped us get as far as we've gotten, but we're open to suggestions about how to take further steps.

estimates, that it could be upwards of 10,000 people, if we relocated 200-- sorry, 428, you know, that's about five percent. So, I mean, it could very well represent the tip of the iceberg, and I applaud the work that's been done over the last year. There remains, I believe, a lot more work to be done. With regard just really specifically to the Medicaid fraud work that you've been doing, how many programs have had-- have been either censured or lost their license as a result of that work?

commissioner Banks: Again, this is a law enforcement initiative and there are a number of ongoing investigations unfortunately, I can't comment on. The Narco Freedom One was something that the federal government took the lead in, and there are other cases that have been brought in terms of some

of the other providers. Just to go back to your prior question, I want to also give you the context. The locations that we've looked at including the Narco Freedom locations that have been closed as a result of the Federal court litigation, at the beginning of this process there were 2,500, approximately 2,500, people that at different points in time have been in those locations. So, that's a significant number of people. I know you're focused, and correctly so, on the numbers who have been relocated into permanent housing.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: But part of the effort has really focused on-- Director Tarlow said, it's been making sure that we can address immediate safety needs as we continue to go forward for longer term solutions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, of the individuals—so, going back to the report, it was identified that very high percentage of individuals have PA cases. If they're receiving a shelter allowance, they have a PA case. Is—under that criteria they're able to qualify for some rental assistance from the City. I think the SEPS program

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 49 comes to mind as something where they'd, you know, there's an opportunity for enrollment without going into the shelter system, right?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, and we provided SEPS rental assistance to the people that—to most of the people that were connected to permanent housing. SEPS is a program available to avert eviction or avert entry into shelter, and we felt that the number of people that were voluntarily relocated from three-quarter house locations certainly fit that criteria, and we provided the assistance to those individuals.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, of the 427, 28, how many SEPS cases are there? How many of those individuals are receiving a SEPS subsidy? And what is the apparatus for HRA to work with those clients? How does the City interface with those clients to make sure that they're taking advantage of that resource?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We have a contract with Samaritan Village to provide relocation services to individuals who have been relocated from three-quarter houses to temporary sites.

2.2

2.3

SEPS subsidies, how much is the SEPS subsidy?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: For room rental it's 800 dollars, and for an apartment rental it's 213 dollars. That's a month, in contrast to the 215 dollar public assistance shelter allowance.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: SO, clearly very effective and very well utilized. Is it— the SEPS subsidy in any way limited? In other words are there— is there a cap on the number of subsidies that can go out or is that available to everybody that connects with it through Samaritan Village and through the work of the task force?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We've been using it.

We've been making it available to anyone who meets

the eligibility criteria. There are eligibility

criteria for people who have either been relocated

from a three-quarter house voluntarily or who is in-
who are in Narco Freedom site. So, those, anyone in

those circumstances are eligible for it assuming

public assistance eligibility.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So clearly effective, and so that—— I would encourage the utilization of that resource as much as possible. Obviously, it's——it fits well with the needs of the population.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Absolutely. Anybody who is in those two circumstances that I described who's a public assistance recipient is highly likely to meet the eligibility criteria.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to ask about referrals. So, you know, we want to be very careful. Obviously, we want to connect people that are in the system to permanent housing. We don't want anybody to become homeless as a result of city actions if that could be avoided. Ultimately, we do not want to rely on the three-quarter house system. I think we can all agree on that, that it's a bad system, unregulated system. It is ripe with corruption and fraud, and we should not be-- it's a bad thing. a bad thing. So we know, we all agree here that it's-- it should not-- we should not be relying on it, and we should be doing whatever we have to do to make sure it's discontinued. I think that that starts with working our sister agencies to ensure that there are not referrals to these three-quarter houses. And so, if you look at-- going back to the POI [sic] report. Everybody, 100 percent were referred from somewhere, right?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Correct.

2.2

2.3

referred by substance abuse program. This is self-reporting. Nineteen percent were referred by word-of-mouth. Fourteen were referred by parole. Fourteen percent were referred by a city shelter. I'm hoping that that number has gone down. This was in 2013. I hope it's at zero now.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: In fact, it was a rule promulgated, published in the City Record in May 2013, that prohibits these kinds of referrals.

referred by another three-quarter house. Five percent were referred by the courts. So, aside from the other three-quarter houses and word-of-mouth, every other-- everybody else that responded were referred by some type of either government agency or quasi government agency, or you know, a licensed agency. If it was a substance abuse program, assuming that they were licensed by OASAS. So, I mean, obviously the parole, that is outrageous. The courts, obviously outrageous. Substance abuse programs-- what are we doing to make sure that there's no pipeline for people to go into these programs, that they're-- we have a system in place

where they can be diverted, appropriate places for people to live, but how are we interfacing particularly with the state programs, because it's, you know, the-- it's great to be able to do interagency coordination within the City, but how are we interrelating with the state agencies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, let me address the City first. So, as part of the creation of the task force, all city agencies were directed to not make any placements to any of the addresses that we have identified, and in additional we've reached out to the state, and obviously this is an area in which I think is a mutual interest, and we work with our state partners as you known to try to address problems that arise in terms of shelters and so I also want to give you a sense of the concern that we had when we began down this route. For years, as you know, this issue has always been framed as, "Well, but if you take any action, people will end up in the shelter system." And so we knew when we began the task force that this was a concern. It's been a concern for many years, but none the less, the Mayor wanted us to take action. The task force was formed. We began inspections, and we

regularly monitor shelter system entry, and we found that 32 people have entered the shelter system from these kinds of locations during the time we've been doing the enforcement. We've reached out to those individuals, offered them the same assistance, and there's only 13 people currently in the shelter system who were in one of these locations. So, this is an important concern for us. It's one of the reasons why when we go to a location we're looking for voluntary relocation to address immediate safety issues with respect to crowding.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: According to the report from 2013, 72 percent of respondents reported that they were previously incarcerated. Obviously with the number of individuals coming out of the state correction system and the city correction, what can you explain or talk a little bit about the limitations to public housing for individuals with criminal records, and is there an opportunity for New York City to seek a waiver from those HUD rules, because that cuts off a large resource for individuals coming out of the criminal justice system.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I mean, as you know there are federal limitations on occupancy and public housing, but I also want to say that the numbers of people for good reason who are coming out of criminal justice system involvement, correctional facilities, it's good that people are coming back in the community. Those numbers are beyond the kinds of resources that you would be able to address through changes and NYCHA, and we've testified at other hearings about the numbers of people coming out of correctional facilities directly into the Department of Homeless Services shelter system. So, this is a very important area of focus. It's one of the reforms in the 90-day review reforms to work with the state. It's something that we are looking at jointly to try to address, this need for housing for people that are being discharged from state facilities.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then, I'll ask one more question and then I'll turn it over to my colleagues. With regard to the business model, is this-- we've identified the business model between shelter allowance and Medicaid kick-backs. Are there other business models that exist? Because, I mean, as you said, the metric is identified. You know, it's

a certain number of locations that meet the HRA metric. Are there any other ways in which these programs exist that are not reliant on shelter allowance or not reliant on this kick-back scheme? It seems like that that's-- it seems like that's a common theme. Are there other ones that you've identified?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, we have seen- we don't have a way to track it, but we've seen
that use of a portion of social security disability
payments have been used in some cases, substituted
for receipt of public assistance, because that's a
metric we can't track.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You can't track that?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, because we don't administer federal disability benefits, so we don't have the ability to track. We looked at food stamps to see whether that would be a viable metric. It turns out not to be. It's way- it's overbroad. We looked at Medicaid; also overbroad. The receipt of the 215 dollars is the one that we have seen within our control that we can look at, but we've certainly heard anecdotal reports of particular operators

2.2

2.3

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 58 focusing on people that received federal disability benefits and not cash public assistance from HRA.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And are they all using this Medicaid substance abuse program kick-back scheme?

varies. I mean, the issues that we've been focusing on is wherever we have the ability to uncover problems, we're pursuing it. So, in some cases that's Medicaid working— Medicaid fraud issues working with law enrollment with the state and federal agencies that have oversight in addition to our investigative role and other areas. It's focused really the use of the 215 dollar allowance, which gives us the ability to at least track particular locations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I see that it's working with the Office of Medicaid Inspector General, Medicaid Fraud Unit within the AG's Office.

commissioner banks: Right. Those are entities that have statutory oversight responsibilities, and our role is typically once those agencies are conducting investigation to be part of the effort, frequently the information comes

to them from us because we are constantly reviewing our own data to see patterns of abuse. Sometimes it leads to Medicaid fraud per prosecution against providers unrelated to this topic. So for example, the providers that were offering free sneakers to clients for procedures that weren't needed, we identified that, brought the case to the attention of those law enforcement agencies. Here we're clearly looking to see if there's misuse of Medicaid with respect to substance abuse treatment.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And the Office of the-- the State Office of Medicaid Inspector General and the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit-- oh, that's in the AG's Office.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's in-CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] The
first one, is that part of State Department of Health
or OASAS?

standing-- it's a state empowered inspector general.

We work very closely with them. It's a state

appointment, and I think our work is part of a

collaborative effort with them and with the AG's-
the Attorney General's Office.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How about OASAS, is

OASAS-- do you work closely with OASAS's Inspector

General? I'm assuming they have an Inspector

General.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's not the entity that's charged with focusing on the Medicaid fraud that we're looking at.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But they're the licensing agency, right?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, but they're-that would be for problems with licensed programs as
opposed to programs that are committing potentially
Medicaid fraud under the anti-kick-back statute which
is a different investigative approach.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It results, though, in them if they're, obviously if they're committing Medicaid kick-back fraud, their license is in imperil. I'm just wondering whether-- when we were looking at the agency in Greenpoint we went to OASAS. We complained to OASAS. We said we suspect that this is happening from one of your licensed providers. I'm just wondering. I mean, they obviously have a comprehensive list of OASAS licensed providers that they should be able-- that's a resource that they

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 61 should be able to assist with hey, these are guys that we think are no good. We licensed them, but we're keeping an eye on them. You know, it's only a certain number of licensed agencies. There can't be—

it's not unlimited.

2.2

2.3

good partner. They're certainly very involved with collaborative efforts of many agencies with respect to the Narco Freedom situation that continues. But again, this is part of a multiagency enforcement effort, and the powers and enforcement authority under the anti-kick-back stature [sic] are an important tool to make use of here that we find it very valuable to partner with the Medicaid Inspector General and with the Attorney General's Unit as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Thank you very much, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you to my co-chair. I just have some brief questions. I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues for their questions, and then I'm going to come back with a whole lot more. Just, I wanted to run through the bills really quick just so I'm clear. On Reso. 235, you support that resolution?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Just want to-- if you bear with me for one moment. Thank you. Again, we're on record as addressing the 215 dollar issue. What we raised in our testimony the fact that since the Reso is introduced as an additional proposal out there, we're reviewing it. I don't know if you're reviewing it and it may have some impact on the resolution.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And for Intro.

1164, it looked like you had some basic support. You just want to look at it some more, is that correct?

with the intent, but the methodology of providing the information is a paper-based methodology versus the new system was set in place to give people information about whether their rent is paid, and we want to link those up together. So, we do believe that together we can work through the language here, because what you want to accomplish and what we want to accomplish is the same thing. It's the method of accomplishing it we think has changed since the bill was originally introduced. We have changed the technology systems to be able to deliver better information to people than we used to be able to

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 63 deliver. So we would like to work with you to modify the bill to reflect that change.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And 1166, you agree with the aim?

There are some particular issues with respect to some of the items of reporting. Again, we've worked with you many times in the past on these kinds of things, and I believe that we can work out the language that would address some of our concerns here. Some of it relates to Social Services Law 136, and some of it relates to our ability to collect and provide certain kinds of information, but I think that we could by working with you determine the sort of the functionality of what you're seeking in terms of certain information and perhaps provide it in different ways.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 1167, you do not support.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: I'm sorry. Yes, this is Anne-Marie. No, 1167, we do not support.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And 1168, there is support?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: 1168, you know, we think is well-intentioned, but we still think there needs to be additional legal review.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Alright. And 1171, which is the Chair's, I might add, you do not support?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: 1171, we're not supporting at this time.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I'm going to come back to some of those. I did want to just brief-- my-- one of my first questions. Did the 317 that was found in the report, did someone go to each one of those addresses or not, and if not, why not? Why did you--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We did not do that.

We again, attacking a 20-year-old problem that's been ignored, we wanted to focus on the locations that we knew that there could be a problem at, and we have been focusing on that. As I said, in response to Chair Levin, we're open to suggestions to look at other locations. Some of those locations we know are not operating anymore, but we're certainly open to suggestions about how to proceed, but we prioritized the locations where we knew there were 10 or more HRA

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 65 public assistance recipients in residence, and those are the 95 places that we prioritized first.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, I just want to drill down a little bit, because if they put out a report and they said that these addresses might be, was there an preliminary research, or do you just you get so many things from advocates you don't which is true? Like, what was the reason in three years?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's really what you're describing, these are locations—it's a very reputable report. Again, we meet with a group. I don't mean to be critical at all of the great work that they did, but it's reflective of a situation in 2013. We know that operators opened places, closed places, opened places. So we said to ourselves if we're going to put these kind of resources on a problem that hasn't been looked at in 20 years, what's the best way to do it first? Which is to focus on the locations where we know that we've got current HRA public assistance recipients in residence and we've got the Narco Freedom locations that were part of the problem as well. Again, we take input. We're open to looking at other locations.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

know hindsight is easier. It just seems to me-- I understand it's a 20-year-old problem, but I think the report they said is three years old. After the 95, it just seems to me that you might send someone to look at the other ones to see if they even exist.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, we're going to keep-- we'll keep looking at these, but let me just say, the focus on the needs of the people that we found in the first 95--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: it's not a process in which you go visit and that's the end of the process.

understand that. I just-- your testimony talked about how difficult it is to identify these. So, if someone took the time to try and identify them, you might want to just follow up to see, even if preliminarily to see if it-- if we don't have the resources to follow through with the other 95, at least we can then confirm that they're here since we have so much difficulty figuring it out ourselves.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. But again, we're open to suggestions. I just want to highlight

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 67 1 that we prioritize the places where we knew we had 2 3 current clients in. 4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I got you. 5 COMMISSIONER BANKS: And we'll keep looking at this to continue to look for other ways, 6 7 including a look at that list. 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think we've 9 gotten each other's point, hopefully. COMMISSIONER BANKS: Absolutely. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I'm 12 going to--13 COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] We've known each other a long time. I got your point. 14 15 know you got mine. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. 16 17 going to call on Council Member Richards and then Torres. Each Council Member will have five minutes. 18 19 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you, and 20 I want to thank the Chairs for their leadership, in 21 particular, and really moving this issue, and to all 2.2 the advocates and the New York Times for their story 2.3 and highlighting this. Just a few questions, three questions. So where would you say majority of these 24

locations are actually concentrated at?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 68 1 2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Brooklyn. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Brooklyn? 4 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Particularly, 5 Central Brooklyn. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Say it again, 6 7 which part of Brooklyn? 8 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Particularly, Central 9 Brooklyn. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Oh, Central 10 11 Brooklyn, okay. And can you just go through sort of 12 the inspection process a little bit? So, does 13 someone call 311 and then you find out about the location? How do you find these locations in 14 15 particular, and if you can just go through the process that your agency and the other agencies and 16 17 the task force went through? 18 COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, the first step is 19 that we regularly run a metric at HRA about where 20 there are ten or more unrelated adults receiving the state-set 215 dollar allowance. We found that to be 21 2.2 a proxy of these kinds of locations. We also, just 2.3 to continue that work stream, we also if there are 311 complaints about a location or information 24

provided to us by the advocacy groups, again, we

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 69 1 really value the partnership with them. And then 2 3 the process is the-- there's an inspection date set for the multi-agencies' effort. This is operations, 4 HRA, Department of Buildings, Housing Preservation Development, Fire Department, and--6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing] So you all go out there in one wing or do--8 9 COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Yep. 10 Yep. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So, you were 12 coordinated. 13 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yeah. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER BANKS: And all of the 16 agencies are looking at this situation to determine 17 what needs to be done. In some situations the 18 determination was made that an emergency repair needs 19 to be made, and rather than wait for it to be made, 20 it was made, removing bars from a window or, you 21 know, things of that nature. In other instances 2.2 there were concerns about fire safety. So fire 2.3 watches were put in place. And where there's a determination made that there's too much crowding by 24

the multiple agencies, HRA was involved, has been

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 70
 1
     involved, in seeking volunteers to relocate, and then
 2
 3
     those individuals are relocated to a temporary site,
 4
     and they're connected to Samaritan Village which has
     a contract to provide rehousing services to help move
 6
    people.
 7
                COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And out of all
    of the violations, the different violations, so fire,
 8
     obviously, how many of the violations were actually
     building violations? I'm not sure if you can answer
10
11
     that.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Let me look.
12
13
     are building-- there are violations across the--
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]
15
     Board.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: across the board,
16
17
     and we'd be happy to provide more--
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]
19
    Yeah, if you can just --
20
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: granular information
21
     to you.
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS:
                                           give us
2.3
     somewhat of a better breakdown to the Chairs of--
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Sure,
24
```

25

happy to do that.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: which agencies.

2.2

2.3

And then, just getting to my bill 1166. So, I know there's concerns about personal addresses and that sort of information. I'll just recommend, and I don't know if you want to state anything on the record— so we passed something called the Sandy Tracker Bill, where we had similar concerns around privacy, in particular, and I think what we decided on was breaking down in particular across zip codes, City Council District, Community Boards. So, would that be something you're open to so that, you know, we're not breaking, you know, personal—

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I mean,

I have to see exactly what was done there, but I want
to reiterate, we believe that we can have a bill that
we're going to support and that you're going to want.
We think that the information that you're seeking in
general is meaningful. We want to be transparent
about it. We've been reporting on a lot it already,
and the direction of the legislation to have us
report to the Council, but also put on a website is
something we're comfortable doing, and again, I think
that between your staff and our staff we're going to
get there for a meaningful tracking.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Alright, great.

Well, thank you. Thank you for your hard work and

for all the work you're doing. I don't think anybody

envies you in the City, but my commitment is we will

stand with you when you're doing the right thing.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And you'll see a lot more of that publicly as we move forward. So, thank you for your work.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS: Greatly appreciate} \\ \mbox{that. Thank you.}$

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Council Member Torres?

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I have a question for HPD. I just want to address one of the comments that you made in your testimony. To quote you, "The Department opposes this legislation because we believe that as our rule indicated that 90 days is a reasonable period of time for residents impacted by a vacate order to apply for services. The concern I have about that comment is that it seems to ignore the reality of the three-quarter housing. Meaning, I guess I think it's fair to say that a 90-day timeline does not necessarily

mean that a tenant of three-quarter housing would have 90 days to apply for relocation services, because a landlord could immediately re-rent apartment that have been emptied by a vacate order.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: I mean, just to be clear, Council Member, the 90 days that we're speaking about really only relates to, again, vacate orders with HPD. It doesn't relate to three-quarter houses because in three-quarter houses we're not doing vacate. We're asking people to voluntarily relocate. So, it's a little different, okay? The 90 days that we're speaking about here is from the date a vacate order is issued, we're just merely saying that people have to make a decision about whether they need to come into HPD for services. They are-they can stay off site with friends and family which is what we encourage because we have limited space, but we still provide them will all of the relocation and rehousing services that someone coming into HPD shelter--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing]

There's never been a vacate order for three-quarter housing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: [interposing]

3 Very few.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: There are vacates in two instances and partial vacates in five of the locations.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay. And if the operators of those properties were to re-rent those apartments, how can you guarantee that the tenants of three-quarter housing would have 90 days to in fact apply for relocation services? Because you could have a property that does that have the vacate order, but is nevertheless being rented to tenants of three-quarter housing.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: That is true.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Like I just feel like this rule change which is logical on paper did not have three-quarter housing in mind, and the moving target of three-quarter housing and how often it can be re-rented after a vacate order.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: That is true. I mean, we--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing]
That's the intent of the legislation.

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 75
 1
 2
                ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Oh, okay, is to--
 3
     okay.
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Is to address
 5
     that.
                ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: We'll take
 6
 7
     another look at that, because again, when we made
     this rule change this was back in 2015. You know,
 8
     this was really before the advent of the task
     forcing, kind of it gearing up. So, we'll have to
10
     take another look at that.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I'm just curious
13
     because you did emphasize that there was a process of
14
     careful consideration that went into the rule change.
15
     Were there any advocates that were in favor of the
16
     rule change, or?
17
                ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Yes, there were.
18
     There were a number of people that supported it.
19
     Actually, if I remember, recall correctly, Council
20
     Member Chin had actually--
21
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing]
2.2
     Advocates--
23
                ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: [interposing] Oh,
     no, advocates--
24
```

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: not elected officials.

about it. We spoke with Legal Services, with you know, with a number of them, and I think what we didwe originally had 30 days, okay, that you had to make a decision. And after speaking with advocates, we all kind of agreed at the end of the day, and maybe we didn't fully agree, but we thought that 90 days was more reasonable. It gave people an opportunity to kind of have some thought, decide if they had somewhere to go, and we thought 90 days was sufficient time in which people could make a decision to come in for HPD for services.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Were there any advocates who expressed concerns about the unintended consequences that the rule change could have for tenants of three-quarter housing?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: There were advocates that, you know, that definitely disagreed and thought it should be open-ended, should be longer. So we had to kind of make--

2.2

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] But was three-quarter housing ever part of the consideration of the rule change?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: To be frank, I'm not-- I don't really recall three-quarter houses being the thrux [sic] of the issue at the time. it ws more about HPD put out new rules, and we wanted to look at all of the different criteria that we were using, and we, you know, made that decision that, you know, sometimes there are vacate orders that sit out there open-ended for years, and people come back to us two years later with a vacate and expect us to have services. So, we really felt that 90 days was sufficient time in which, you know, we do a lot of notification to people about a vacate. They know about our services. You know, again, we think that that's enough opportunity for them to decide when they come in.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Regarding Intro

1168, I mean, I suspect HPD agrees that— the City

agrees that no one should be coerced into medical

treatment as a condition for housing. Is that—

would that be a fair description of the City's

position?

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Well, I would say, again, that Intro 1168 is well-intentioned. You know, we probably agree with that, that no one should be coerced, okay, into, you know, having occupancy conditioned upon medical.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So why not codify that principle into law?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Well, I think what we wnted to do right now was take a further look at how that overlapped with state and federal laws to make sure there were no conflicts or issues, and we'd come back and revisit it at that time.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. also been joined by Council Member Mendez, Rodriguez and Rosenthal. Just to get back to some of my questions. Of the 361 tenants that were relocated, you said 103 were connected to permanent housing. Where were the rest relocated?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Let me get back. Let me get back to those numbers for you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

2.2

2.3

people relocated into the temporary relocation sites, 139 of those individuals have been connected to permanent housing through SEPS and the other programs that I described for Chair Levin. The remainder are still in the temporary relocation sites or have made some other arrangements, but they— that's that subset. The other group of people that received permanent housing assistance are people that were in the former Narco sites. That's 254 of those individuals have received SEPS or some other form of rental assistance to obtain permanent housing. So, between the Narco sites and those who are relocated, 393 individuals have gotten to permanent housing.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How many were relocated to a shelter?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: None, but the caveat on that, I said to you-- I think it was an answer to your question or Chair Levin's question that we have-- we regularly run a review to see if people from these addresses are showing up at the shelter system. Thirty-two did, and currently 13 are there. We reached out to the people that we found to offer them assistance to move out. We were concerned when we

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 80 1 went into these locations that we would work to seek 2 3 voluntary relocations and then potentially the 4 landlords may require other people to leave, and that's why we regularly run a metrics to see if we have people ending up from these addresses in DHS 6 7 shelter. 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How much is it--9 how much is SEPS for family for a year? 10 COMMISSIONER BANKS: These are-- again, 11 individual SEPS is for individuals. So, it's the month rent for a room is 800 dollars. The monthly 12 13 rent for an apartment is 213 dollars. 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: For an apartment is 15 how much? 16 COMMISSIONER BANKS: 213 dollars. 17 essentially the HUD Section 8 level, 213 dollars. 18 I'm sorry, 1,213. 19 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER BANKS: That would have been 21 lower than. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I just--23 COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] That

would have been lower than what I was complaining

24

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 81
 1
     about earlier. Thank you for your cueing me with
 2
 3
     your quizzical look. I appreciate it.
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, 1,213 dollars.
 4
     So, roughly-- 12 times 12 is-- it's 144,000, is that
 5
     right? Did I do that math right?
 6
 7
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm sorry, you're
    asking me--
 8
 9
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 14,000 for the
     year, correct?
10
11
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Correct.
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And that's-- where
12
13
     does that money come from?
14
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's City tax levy
15
     dollars. It's in the insurance budget.
16
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: City?
17
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes, it's City tax
18
     levy. The SEPS program is a 100 percent City tax
19
     levy program. It's in the HRA budget.
20
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Because you said
     it's 41,000 to put a family in a shelter for a year,
21
2.2
    but it's 14,000 for this program?
2.3
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right.
                                              That was in
     reference to why we think it makes sense as part of
24
```

our overall homelessness prevention strategy to pay

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 82 1 rent arears for people because we're comparing, you 2 3 know, 3,600 dollars in rent arears against 41,000 4 dollars in shelter. 5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, how do we change that in the budget? 6 7 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well this is--CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] How 8 9 do we move that money from going into shelter into these rental assistance programs? 10 11 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, there's 12 substantial funding in the budget for rental 13 assistance, but I just want to caution us about doing that. We have a legal obligation to provide shelter 14 15 in New York City, and if all of the money is moved 16 from shelter elsewhere and somebody came at midnight 17 seeking shelter and we're unable to provide them with 18 shelter, we'd be violating a court order. 19 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I don't know about 20 all-- I don't know about all. So how much money do 21 we have in the budget for homeless shelters? 2.2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Let me-- there's a 2.3 couple questions I can see coming. One is how much

do we have in the budget for SEPS? How much do we

have in the budget for--

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 83 1 2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] 3 Yeah. 4 COMMISSIONER BANKS: We'll get you all that information. 5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I don't want to-- I 7 could round off and give you general numbers. 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: COMMISSIONER BANKS: Let's give you the 10 11 specific numbers. 12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I just would bet 13 that we're spending a whole lot more on shelter than 14 we are on some of these. 15 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, that would be 16 because of I think the phenomenon you touched on when 17 you asked me the question, "Where's the money coming 18 from?" SEPS is 100 percent City funded. The funding 19 for shelter for families, for example, is federal, 20 state and city-funded. The Section 8, as we know, is 21 not an entitlement program. It's capped, and the funding that we get from the federal government for 2.2 2.3 housing is limited. We do see a difference in what happened when the federal government committed 24

additional Section 8 certificates to help us address

committee on General Welfare With committee on Housing & Buildings 84 chronic veterans' homelessness. We were able to actually end it and be certified by HUD for doing so, because we got a combination of federal and local resources for permanent housing as opposed to shelter. So, the problem that we face every night when we manage our systems is that the funding streams for permanent housing that you can see in our budget in prior testimony are now largely city tax levy funding streams as opposed to if we were having this hearing a couple of decades ago before the three-quarter house problem began, the funding streams for permanent housing in New York City would largely be federal funding streams.

think it would be fantastic if we could shut down three-quarter housing. I think what my colleague was trying to say, in absence of being able to do that, we obviously have to make it as good as possible, and we would have to shut it down with an alternative for folks to go. I was just wondering if maybe during the referral process, if the City or city agencies refer, perhaps they can do some follow up to make sure that the housing they're referring to is of any kind of

2.2

2.3

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 85 standard for human habitation. Are any follow-ups done?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, the-- once we created the taskforce, the addresses that we're looking at, the city agencies have been informed, instructed not to make referrals to those locations.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are we making referrals to any of the three-quarter houses that we're not following up to see the living conditions are good?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, we provided the addresses to prevent referrals to the locations that we are inspecting. As, I think, your colleagues and Chair Levin said, there are other entities outside of the City control that do make referrals. We thought that it ws important to take a leadership role and direct other city agencies not to make referrals, and so we've done that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, city agencies are not making any referrals?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: To the addresses that we have identified as three-quarter houses.

2.2

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But we may be making referrals to ones that we haven't followed up on?

all of this against—— I don't want to plow old territory, but we're running all this against a metric that we can be certain that this is the location. We will continue our work, and as we add more addresses, that will add more knowledge for what's the location that's appropriate or inappropriate.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Following your logic, the City may be making referrals to locations they don't know exist.

that are made by HRA and the Department of Homeless
Services for clients that participate in a rental
assistance programs, those referrals are made either
as a result of an inspection process or by working
with trusted community based organizations, for
example in the FEPS or City FEPS program, and
Samaritan Village, and the FEPS and the SEPS program.
So, the referrals that we're making for the payment
of rental assistance have eyes on the situation,

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 87 either directly by the City or by trusted not for profits.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do they exist within a universe of 95, or there's some outside of that universe of 95?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: This is for all of our rental assistance programs, whether it's SEPS, FEPS, LINC. They either have city eye on the apartment or unit or a not-for-profit eye on the apartment or unit.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, I would say I believe based on what I'm hearing there may be some that are outside the 95 that we're not doing, that we may be still referring to. I would just like to ensure that if we're making referrals that we're doing some kind of follow up with the person that we're referring to or the place that they're going to to make sure the living conditions are the way it's supposed to be. That's all I'll say on it.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And I think-- I agree with your point, and I want to give you some reassurance--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Okay.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER BANKS: that the use of rental assistance has that follow-up. Again, there are many entities outside of the City that make--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Sure.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: such referrals, and that's the challenge here. We've been able to eliminate our referrals, set up systems to try to find places that are inappropriate, but again, we're a year into addressing a 20-year-old problem.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I also -- there is also the challenge of I want us to make sure that the living conditions are what they are supposed to be without causing those people to not have housing. So, I understand the challenges well, but we have to- we got a find a way to meet the challenge.

that, and that was again the-- there's a lot of trepidation I know when we announced we were creating a task force and we were going to inspect locations. There were a lot of concerns that residents would be displaced from a shut-it-down approach, and we've tried to take a measured approach to address immediate safety issues and at the same time relocate people voluntarily without displacing hundreds of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 89 people who want to remain in the community and don't want to be in shelter.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Based on advocacy from the Council in 2010, the Department of Homeless Services adopted a regulation prohibiting referral of clients in buildings with vacate orders and with recorded violations typical of three-quarter houses. It has been reported that many individuals are referred to-- I think I just asked this. Wait. So, this is a good follow-up. Have you had conversations with the state about their referral process?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: When we announced the task force, we advised the state what steps we were taking, and we certainly continue to work with them to address any issues with their referrals. Again, there are many, many agencies that make referrals to all kind of housing, and we're focused on what we can control.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, do we know-do we have knowledge of who they're referring to or
not referring to? Have they responded to what you
said you were doing?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, I think given all the complexities at city/state relations, we're

committee on General Welfare With committee on Housing & Buildings 90 going to keep our efforts working with them to try to address this problem.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, again, the problems between the Governor and our Mayor are again affecting real people in New York City. So, I just want to make sure I'm putting-- you don't say anything. I'm putting that on the record.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I didn't say that. I didn't suggest that. I don't want to imply that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Not at all. I am saying that, though, and it's very frustrating because--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I-CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: it's a juvenile
feud, and that juvenile feud is affecting real people
in the City of New York. That's coming from me.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay, well, I want to—— I want to address that, because notwithstanding all of the public focus on that issue, there is a constructive working relationship that we have with state agencies. We work with them every day to try to address problems.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 91 1 COMMISSIONER BANKS: And we're going to 2 3 continue that work. I hear what you're saying. 4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure. 5 COMMISSIONER BANKS: But I just wanted to make clear what I'm saying, which is--6 7 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Yes, and I believe that. 8 COMMISSIONER BANKS: that we do, we do 9 have a constructive working relationship with--10 11 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I believe that in order for the state to run there has 12 13 to be some constructive relationships, but I think even with those constructive relationships, there's 14 15 foolishness going on that is affecting real people in 16 New York City. But thank you for your comment. It 17 appears likely that many individuals living, 18 individuals residing in three-quarter houses would be 19 appropriate as supportive housing tenants. When do 20 you expect the first scatter site units to come online? 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: There's a-- we 2.3 issued the RFP. We've gotten proposals back for the first 500 units, and we're-- based upon what we're 24

seeing from the proposals, we expect to be on course

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 92 to move forward with them during the course of this fiscal year.

2.2

2.3

of which, both conversations we just had, we're still waiting on our great Governor and our state legislature to figure out what they're going to do with some money that we're owed for some of these types of housing. So, that can go to both of those conversations. Some questions on the bills: For Intro. 1164, you spoke about through AccessNYC. I wanted to know how many clients take advantage of this percentage-wise. This could only reach clients savvy enough to know how to use the system correctly and not really get to the least vulnerable.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. We-- one of} \\$ the things that we wanted to do--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Most vulnerable.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: was to provide information about whether the person's rent was paid so that you'd be able to have documentation of that, and that system is something we've just literally rolled out. And so we think it's important for years, obviously, for example, it's been a challenge

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 93 for people to get budget letters, and we provided a functionality for people to be able to do that. we want to be able to make sure that people who can get the help can get it through that system, and so therefore we think it's important to not create a paper based system where we're essentially creating an online system in the same way that you and I do a lot of our business online. This is a system to do that. So, someone can provide information about changes that are needed in their case. Someone can see whether or not the rent has been paid, and that's the moment where we think it's helpful to remind people that if they're in residence for 30 days that they can't be evicted without court process. Intro. 1168, do you know what recourse is currently available to tenants if landlords are requiring tenants to obtain medical treatment or to obtain substance abuse treatment programs? Are they just-do they know they have the right to complain? are they complaining to? What recourse do they have? COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, I think the bulk of the focus there has been on trying to stop

the practice, period, and that's the law enforcement

activity that HRA has been involved with the Medicaid

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Inspector General and with the Attorney General's Office. I think the concern about the bill is just to make sure that there aren't any unintended federal or state law consequences here. Again, I think that it's a good conversation to have between our offices and your office about how to address that, which is really what our position on this bill is.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: For 1166, one of the concerns you have, although you did say you agree with the aim, one of the concerns was you can identify building violations in accordance with New York Social Service Law 136, but I think the BIS, the BIS, includes violations and this type of information. Wouldn't that conflict with what you're saying?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, but now we're putting it up on the HRA website and identifying where HRA clients reside--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: The thing that we want to do and that you want us to do is to post this up on the HRA website, which would be identifying where HRA clients live. Again, I think, as I

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 95 testified a little earlier to Councilman Richards' question, I think that there's a surmountable way to do what you want and what we want, and which is to transparently put up information about the work of the task force, and I think the staff to staff we can come up with some resolutions here.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think as it pertained primarily 1167 and 1171, HPD has a redevelopment preservation project that helps owners of distressed buildings obtain financing, sometimes subsidies, to preserve affordable housing. Is HPD willing to look at some of the three-quarter housing buildings for eligibility for these types of projects?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Well, the buildings that we typically, you know, identify that are distressed that come into HPD's programs are typically through third-party transfer program, which is an interim process. I don't believe three-quarter—I'm not quite sure that three-quarter houses fall into that, but you know, as Commissioner Banks has said, we're looking at there's other ways to make sure those are safe, you know, and that people can stay into them to the extent they can, but

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 96 we have not really evaluated any of those for any sort of affordable housing programs at this time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, I-- were you saying you are or not willing to look at three-quarter houses?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: I was saying that, you know, to date that has not really been our focus to look at them to figure out if they can go into affordable housing programs. We've been more focused on, you know, trying to ensure that the residents are safe and that they can stay to the extent they want to stay, but that has not been our focus right now in looking at them for affordable housing programs.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, the question is would you be willing to consider?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: We're always willing to look at properties for affordable housing program, and you know, affordable housing is our mission. So, I'm not going to say we're opposed to it. This is something we haven't started to do yet.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, as of today, can you look at it and let us know if it's something that you'd be willing to look at?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 97 1 2 ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: We'll follow up 3 on that. 4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. 5 Appreciate it. Is HPD aware of instances where landlords have continued to house people in buildings 6 with extent vacate orders? 8 ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: So, we just 9 spoke a little bit about, you know, some of those three-quarters that had been vacated, and again, that 10 11 was only in the most egregious cases, and we will 12 follow up to ensure that they cannot reoccupy them if 13 there's an HPD vacate on them. 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you know, have 15 you had any instances where people did reoccupy? 16 ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: I'm not sure, 17 Council Member. I would have to follow up on that. 18 Again, I believe these were recent vacates that were 19 issued, and again, only in very extenuating 20 circumstances. So, we would have to just double-21 check, but again, if it's an HPD vacate, we would 2.2 definitely be keeping our eye on them that people 2.3 cannot reoccupy them. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. And lastly, 24

we're going to go one of the Chair's bills that you

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 98 politely said you do not agree with. So, I think one of the main thoughts [sic] had to do with the flexibility of your checklist that is already in place. Couldn't you have something in there that suggested including but not limited to so that we can include some additional things that folks may have in three-quarter homes while still providing you the flexibility?

2.2

2.3

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Well, again, you know, the ability and the idea for us to retain flexibility, you know, is key. You know, for example, with the New York City ID that was recently introduced, we now use that as a form of identification.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Say that again?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: I said-- I was

just saying that we want to maintain flexibility, and

we don't really think it needs to be legislated. We

think that we have an administrative process. We

continue to expand on our new checklist as new forms

of identification come about. I was mentioning New

York City ID. That's a recent introduction that we

now take from residents as a form of identification.

So, again, we just like-- we just think that it's

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 99 more important to keep the flexibility. Amend the checklist as new things, new technology comes about. We don't think it needs to be legislated in that way, and it still maintains— I think we're still achieving the same goal by having the checklist and not having it necessarily codified into law.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, you don't think we should help you adjust as time goes forward to what the lists are.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Well, I would look for you for help for other reasons, but that's something that we don't think we need help on. We take your comments/suggestions on how we can add to our checklist. Again, we have an open dialogue with you all the time.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And we absolutely appreciate your feedback, and thankfully we still retain the power to codify it if we do feel we need to even with the great suggestions you've added. But I do want to ask if you've made any adjustments to the checklist based on what the three-quarter housing tenants are dealing with.

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Again, the vacate orders for the three-quarter housing are very

recent, and I would have to really do some follow-up to see what type of documentation they were able to provide or not able to provide, and we would definitely take into account based on their circumstances if that checklist needed to be amended to make sure that it covered them as well.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I'm going to pass it off to my Co-Chair.

much, Mr. Chair. So, I want to go back to a question around referrals. State Department of Parole, do they do currently referrals to three-quarter houses?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We haven't seen that kind of volume that it historically occurred in the locations we've looked at, but this is certainly something that we want to work with the state on.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do we have a sense that, I mean, has there been conversations between the City and the Department of Parole to say, "Hey, guys, don't do that."

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, we're clearly— there was clearly when we announced the task force we communicated the state that we would appreciate their doing the same thing we've done

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 101 1 which is to eliminate referrals from city agencies. 2 3 I know that there are fewer referrals overall and 4 honestly, the end result as you see as we've testified at other hearings, more people coming 5 directly to the Department of Homeless Services. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do we have -- is that something that we're able to gauge the size of the 8 pipeline? Like, how many? Whether that's decreasing 10 or not? 11 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yeah, I-- well, as to the Department of Homeless Services or as to the--12 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] No, to 14 three-quarter houses. 15 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, we're looking at the universe that we're able to identify. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. 18 COMMISSIONER BANKS: And there I think 19 there's a decreased pipeline. There aren't referrals 20 to Narco Freedom, for example, because they-- they 21 don't exist. That was a big source. I testified at one of the earlier hearings that we did see an 2.2 2.3 approximate 800 person increase in the numbers of

people discharged from correctional facilities to

Department of Homeless Services going in parallel

24

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 102 with the increased enforcement and focus with that and three-quarter houses.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is the task force or Samaritan Village collecting information from those individuals that they're working with to find out from them where they were getting referred from, what their background is? You know, what were the factors that led them to end up where they ended up?

commissioner banks: I mean, we can focus on that, but remember the timing of when we focused on their relocation was at the very beginning of this effort. There have been some relocation since, but our effort initially was to go out to the sites and address crowding, and so if you're asking is the situation today in terms of where people came from the same as it was a year ago I would say no, but we can certainly look back and see what data Samaritan has in terms of that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean, are they-- is there a pro-- is there an interview process that they're doing that's coming from HRA that says, okay, this is what we would need to know?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 103 1 2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Again, Samaritan 3 Village, longstanding professional organization. 4 want them to do assessments of people's needs. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. COMMISSIONER BANKS: And we want them to 6 7 connect people to housing. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Top priority. 9 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Those are our top priorities. So, the questions of where you've been--10 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah. 12 COMMISSIONER BANKS: and how you got 13 there are relevant to some degree. So, the kinds of questions you're asking me are in the, you know, sort 14 15 of in the progression of the kinds of things that 16 they're going to be asking for, but we'll go back and 17 take a look and see if there's any kind of pattern or 18 anything that might be helpful in terms of what 19 you're asking me about. 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. I mean, the 21 reason that I ask is that that POI report from 2013, you know, they went and, you know, they were doing 2.2 2.3 their anecdotal interviews with residents and they were able to. So, you know, so their-- their survey 24

is giving us a snapshot of where people are coming

from, and I think it's obviously— if there are— if there's still how every many percentage of people that are being referred by the Department of Corrections, that's something we'd need to know, right? I mean, if 14 percent were still being referred to by parole, obviously we need to know that.

2.2

2.3

commissioner banks: Right. We'll focus on what information's available and follow up with you, but again, this is over the course of a year, so there are differences that are going to occur between the beginning of the task force process and where we are now in terms of where people are being referred from.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With those buildings and inspections that the task force has been doing, do we have a breakdown in terms of where, which boroughs and what types of violations of the violations that have been issued?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, the majority, you know, the Central Brooklyn is sort of the community where most of these houses are located. In terms of the breakdown, different violations as I said to Council Member Richards, let me-- it involves

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 105 multiple agencies. Let me follow up. We'll follow up with you and get you that information.

2.2

2.3

Supportive housing, you mentioned that of the 428, 300 some odd were receiving SEPS vouchers. I'm assuming the number of supportive housing units is relatively small. Is there a process? Is there a timeline in which you believe scatter site supportive housing units will be starting to come online in greater numbers, and is there a process by which these individuals will be able, you know-- are they-is Samaritan Village tasked with taking them through the supportive housing process as well?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, as you know, supportive housing is a choice for people to avail themselves of. Six of the 393 people that have gotten permanent housing through this process are in supportive housing. I think I'm mindful of overcommitting the same 500 scatter sites units at so many different hearings, because everybody's focused on we need these scatter site units for a lot of different things. Primarily, we're focusing on mental health and substance use challenges, and Michael [sic] population, and so forth, people that are the

most vulnerable. We had a terrific task force of experts that helped us with input in terms of moving forward with supportive housing, but I think your question really highlights the fact that all of the reports have indicated that there's a gap in the need for supportive housing, and it's a reason why the Mayor committed an unprecedented funding stream for 15,000 units in New York City, and the first 500 of those scatter site are going to start to become available to us over the course of this year, fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just budgetarily

[sic], there's-- in FY16 HRA allocated 7.9 million, and in FY17, 4.5 million dollars to these efforts. I believe that the new need, I'm sorry, was-- the new need was to hire 20 additional staff members to monitor three-quarter houses. Has that been-- have all of those staff members been hired? And has all the--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I think we--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Has all the funding been drawn down?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. We need to, I think, go through with you staff to staff on the hiring, because some of those people were relating-that staffing level focused on homelessness prevention activities in general, including threequarter houses. The dollars that you're looking at, the differences between one year and the next reflect the resources that we expended to avoid the precipitous closure of the Narco Freedom houses. you recall, after the court, Federal Court enforcement proceeding was concluded, there was the danger that all of the buildings would simply because closed up, and we committed dollars in our budget to address a transition to avoid that from happening. So, the dollars that you're reflecting as you come into the current fiscal year reflects dollars for rental payments, reflects dollars for services, reflects dollars for staffing, and the decrease reflects the difference between Narco Freedom needs and other needs going forward.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, the new need was not for 20 additional staff just to monitor three-quarter houses?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

to you on the specifics. I'm not sure exactly what you're looking at, whether you're looking at across all agencies or you're only looking at HRA itself, because the effort at HRA, the people that are working at three-quarter houses is a part of our overall Homelessness Prevention Administration, and there's a range of things that that staffing is doing, and I want to make sure we're talking about apples to apples, but we can work with you separately on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so at the moment you don't have-- there aren't any dedicated staff members that are just exclusively dedicated to monitoring three-quarter houses?

Staff members at HRA that are very focused on the relocation issues supervising the contract. We have Samaritan Village dealing with overseeing the other contracts with services in the temporary sites.

Remember, services are being provided not directly by us, but by contractors. So, we're overseeing those services, but I want to again be careful about what number you're looking at, whether it's our overall

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 109 homelessness prevention efforts at HRA of which these individuals are a part of, or whether you're looking at the overall citywide efforts.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'll follow up with the Finance Division.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I believe that they're identified as new needs in the FY 17 budget.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Which if it's within HRA, then it's a broader need than simply three-quarter houses.

mentioned AccessNYC as a resource for individuals to use. Do we have a sense of whether individuals in three-quarter houses have used that or whether they have internet access to be able to do that, whether it's a resource that they're being able to avail themselves of?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, I mean, the changes that we've made in the provision of public assistance are ongoing. As you know, we're moving to a system that avoids people having to come into our centers, and they can do transactions and find out information online, and that's the world we've moving

to, and these are all new innovations. The ability to, you know, recertify and file food stamp applications online are part of the reforms that you begin to see some of the efforts reflected in caseloads. And so being able to give people, for example, the ability to get a budget letter online without having to come to our center is part of changing our whole delivery system. So we want to be careful as we move forward providing new information that we're providing information with the technology tools that we're encouraging people to use, rather than simply assume that everything is operating on paper still.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean, do we have a sense of whether they're--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] We just-- we just implemented this. This is something that, as you know, is part of the HRA reforms.

People have been saying for years, "Boy, I'd like to know is my rent really paid. Who was it paid to?"

And we just created a way to do that. So, this would be part of that--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 111

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Samaritan Village communicating with the clients that this is an option for them?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I want to-- you're very focused on three-quarter houses here. We're actually focused a little bit more broadly in illegal evictions, and so we want to have a system that works across the system. Now, for individuals in threequarter houses, when we go to three-quarter houses we actually do have a paper handout that we hand out that says the following: Three-quarter houses residents, know your right. Mayor de Blasio is committed to making sure three-quarter houses are safe for all residents. And that is why people from the city agencies are inspecting three-quarter The City's goal is to improve conditions, houses. not put people on the street. Your landlord should not use this as an excuse to try to evict you. If your landlord tries to evict you, you should know the following: if you lived in the house for 30 days or more, the landlord has to take you to court to evict It is illegal for a three-quarter house to try to evict you by changing or removing the locks to remove any entrance door, discarding your

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 112 possessions, using or threatening violence, cutting off heat, hot water or electricity. How to protect yourself against an illegal eviction: keep proof with you at all times that you have lived at the house for 30 days. Tell the landlord that you will not leave without a court order. Call the police if the house tries to force you to leave. police you are being evicted in violation of unlawful eviction law. Go to Housing Court and bring an illegal eviction case. Then the addresses for each of the Housing Courts are given. If you need assistance with unlawful evictions, you can find an attorney or other representative to assist you in resolving the matter. Contact your local Bar Association or legal services organization to seek legal representation. Then, examples include MFY legal Services with the phone number, Urban Justice Center with a phone number, the Legal Aid Society with a phone number, Legal Services NYC with a phone number. So, in connection to the straight issue with respect to three-quarter houses as part of the inspection we've been using this document, but we're looking at more broadly informed need to make sure people are aware of the Locka Low [sic] respect to

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 113 the unlawful evictions, which is why we're proposing it delivered in a way that all public assistance recipients could see it.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, that leads to my next question, which is that residents that are—that are direct— that are even without a lease, directly the tenants of the building owner, are they in a different legal status than if Narco Freedom were to have the triple net lease or however that arrangement is with the building owner, and then the tenants rent from them? I mean, how does— how— in terms of tenants' legal status, do they have— is there a difference in the way that it's being done, and is there a difference in practice? Are some one way and some the other way?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: You know, it's interesting to be a Commissioner and not be the head of the Legal Aid Society, nobody asks me for my legal opinions anymore.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm-- that's what I'm doing.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And I've learned since I've been commissioner that I have great legal staff that represents us, and I think you're asking

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 114 actually a very complicated legal question that requires more than a Commissioner's answer.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are we seeing providers or landlords trying to get around their tenants having legal status by coming up with, you know, different frameworks to different macerations [sic] to be able to get around this? Are we-- is that something that you're confronting, that we're confronting as a city that some of these providers and the owners and the-- you have some of these treatment program providers are working to try to get around that system?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: You mean the OASAS licensed facilities?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, you know, disagreements about what the process is for termination of somebody in the state subsidies program, these are issues that have been around for many years, and I know that, you know, I'd want to defer to the state's view of the kind of program they're operating. I know that there are matters in court over these issues, and I think that, you know, the state has a perspective on the kind of program it

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 115 runs, and they would be in a better position than me to comment on what they think the proper procedures are for termination of someone from their program.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think that's pretty much it for my questions. I want to thank you all very much for your testimony and for answering the questions. I also want to acknowledge specifically from MFY Legal Services Tanya Kessler [sp?] who's here who represented tenants at that location in Greenpoint for a number of years, and it's really very much on the front lines of this issue.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Tanya Kessler's among those people that have been focused on this issue for many years when it wasn't getting so much focus. And as I've said, we've done a lot in the last year. A lot more needs to be done, but we're working against the history of 20 years in which Tanya Kessler was one of the voices during many of those 20 years.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much for your testimony. We probably should have called attendance first, and I didn't. So, I know we made a request. I'm going to ask that-- I'm going to

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 116 change it a little bit. If you can at least leave someone from each of your perspective Departments that would be good. So if there someone here who can stay from HPD? If you cannot-- yes?

ANNE-MARIE HENDRICKSON: Let me check.

2.2

2.3

If not, I'll stay.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And someone from-COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Someone
from HRA, yes.

the Mayor's Office? Okay. Thank you so much, and thank you for your testimony. Alright, we have three panels. We want to remind anybody if they want to testify, they have to sign up with the Sergeant at Arms. We're going to give three minutes for each person's testimony. First will be Amy Blumsack from Neighbors Together, Anthony Coleman, TOP Organizations, Paulette Soltani, and Felix Plaza [sic] Hernandez from Vocal, and Corey Bates from TOP. Can each of you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? You each have three minutes. You can give the

testimony in the order of your preference. Sorry, and what's the next panel? And for the next panel to please get prepared—hold on one second. The next panel will be Giselle Routhier and Joshua Goldfein, Coalition for the Homeless and Legal Aid Society.

Alison Wilkey from John Jay, Enrique Rojas, the Women's Prison Association, and Susan Gottfield [sp?], if she's still here from the Osborne Association will be on deck for the next panel.

Sorry, you can begin in the order you prefer. You can begin.

2.2

2.3

COREY BATES: Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Corey Bates and I'm a leader at the ThreeQuarter-House Tenant Organizing Project. Basically

TOP is just a tenant's union made up of current and
former three-quarter houses tenants building a

movement fair and just treatment of tenants. On
behalf of TOP, I just want to thank our General

Welfare Committee Charles Stefan [sp?], I'm sorry,

Chairman Stephen Levin, the Housing Committee Chair

Jumaane Williams and the other members of the
committee for the opportunity today to provide

testimony. Basically in fear that I might go over

the time limit, being that I'm going to talk about my

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 118 personal experience, I'm just going to read verbatim so I can basically highlight the pertinent information and laws that we want introduced. lived in three-quarter house in East New York section of Brooklyn. If you are familiar with three-quarter houses, they are private home operated by landlords who are profiting off of poor people in need of housing. Landlords cram four to eight adults in these Physical conditions are very awful, and tenants face abuse and harassment from the housing staff. Our mass incarceration and drug war have been the founding blocks of the three-quarter house industry. In my house, almost everyone had been touched by the justice system or harmed by bad drug policies that targeted communities of color. Tenants in three-quarter houses face a total imbalance of power in the face of their landlords or house managers. We arrive there often with nowhere else to go. Our landlords led us to believe that we signed away our rights when we signed program agreements. The landlords often required tenants to attend specific substance abuse treatment program or a doctor in order to keep their bed. Every time a tenant attends a program, the operator receives a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 119 kick-back from the treatment program from the Medicaid reimbursement. This is the system of Medicaid fraud that keeps landlords and drug treatment providers rich. When [sic] tenants are no longer profitable enough for landlords, tenants get illegally evicted or become homeless. From October 2014 through July 2015 I was a tenant in a threequarter house ran by Yury Baumblit who is one of the most well-known and exploitive three-quarter houses operators. You may have read about his predatory practices in New York Times. As soon as I moved to Yury's house, I was told I would have to attend a treatment if I wanted to keep my bed, even though I've never had a problem with drugs or alcohol in my entire life. Every day Yury would come to the house early in the morning to wake tenants up to ensure that they would go to the outpatient program and bring back their slip which indicates that they basically ws there. If we missed a day because we were sick or had a -- I mean, I'm sorry, because we were sick or had a job interview, we were forced to attend make-up sessions on the weekends. Having to attend programs four to five days per week made it impossible to hold a fulltime job or even to work

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 120 1 overnight being that he would come every morning 2 3 between hours of 6:30 and 6:40. I saw many of my house mates be thrown out in the street with no 4 notice of missing days -- for missing days at the 5 outpatient treatment program. Even when my house 6 7 mates attended regularly and did everything Yury asked, once they ran through their billable Medicaid 8 treatment, they were discharged. Basically, another way of saying illegally evicted. As soon as the old 10 11 tenant was out on the street, Yury would bring someone new whose Medicaid was basically accessible. 12 13 Yury even encouraged his tenants to relapse in order to keep the Medicaid money flowing. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you give a closing statement, closing sentence? 16 17 COREY BATES: Basically, these kinds of 18 abuses are the exact reason why City Council must 19 pass the 1168 this year which would make it illegal for landlords to determine where tenants receive 20 21 medical services. Thank you very much. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. 2.3 Whichever person would like to? ANTHONY COLEMAN: Good afternoon. 24

name is Anthony Coleman. I'm a leader with the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 121 Three-quarter House Tenant Organization Project known as TOP, and also a three-quarter house tenant. here today to ask City Council to pass the bill package to help three-quarter house tenants. myself ended up in a three-quarter house because I need roof over my head and couldn't afford the rising cost on a fixed income. At my three-quarter house there were 25 grown men packed into a house, crammed into rooms with bunk beds. The house itself was in terrible physical condition, and it is infested with vermin like roaches, bed bugs and rats. Abuse from the house operator and house manager was a regular occurrence. If we tried to stand up for our rights to make complaint to 311 about lack of heat or hot water, we were threatened with illegal eviction. are required to attend an outpatient treatment program where the house operated those so they could get money in his pocket every time we swiped our Medicaid card. If tenants missed days at programs, they were finished they were treatment, they were discharged, which actually the same thing as illegal The house pretended like it was a licensed eviction. treatment program purposely fooling [sic] tenants, police and others all so they could make money off

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 122 the living inside. I learned about the rights and how they were being abused after I came to the first TOP meeting, and now I organize it with TOP so that others don't have to go through the same thing I went I'm thankful the task force came to my through. three-quarter house and I was able to be relocated. Since being relocated by the task force, I no longer worry about bed bugs, roaches or lack of heat or hot water. I no longer have to worry about my belongings being stolen. I no longer have to worry if I or someone else in my house is going to be thrown out on the street with no notice. I have security and peace of mind today. Intro 1166 is an important bill to pass because it would help give greater transparency about the important work that the taskforce is doing by requiring quarterly reporting to the City Council. This information would help track the size of the problem and help the City continue create tailored, accurate solutions. Intro 1168 is important too. It helps protect the tenants from landlords like mine who illegal force tenants to attend outpatient programs and just they can get Medicaid kickbacks. It would be-- it would give tenants a tool to fight back against the abuse that house mates and I went

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 123 through by giving us ability to bring harassment cases in Housing Court if we're forced to attend specific outpatient programs.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If you can give a closing sentence?

ANTHONY COLEMAN: Yes, I'm right there.

Please pass the bill soon as possible. Hundreds of three-quarter house tenants are dependent on it.

Thank you very much.

PAULETTE SOLTANI: Hi, everyone. My name is Paulette Soltani, and I'm an organizer with Vocal New York. I'm formerly with MFY Legal Services, and I've been organizing with the Three-quarter House Project for over two years now. I'm here on behalf of Felix Hernandez Plaza who was not able to attend and give his testimony himself, but I worked closely with him in the last year or so when he came to us in need of services from MFY, but I'll get to that in just a moment. Felix lived in his three-quarter house in Brooklyn for about five months. The house was in terrible shape like many other three-quarter houses. Tenants who lived there with him had been referred there from detox hospitals, parole. They were mandated to attend a drug treatment program five

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 124 days a week. Felix is an artist, and he was hoping to take classes during that time and was not able to do so because he could not do so with the schedule. And then, late in September he was accused of using drugs inside of his house, and he was illegally evicted. He lost all stability in a moment's notice. He was put out on the street and stayed in the street for several days while it was raining. He lost most of his most important possessions during that time, and it wasn't until a friend of his put him in touch with Legal Services that he was able to advocate for himself and get back in. So, when I spoke to Felix a little bit about these bills in the last few months, one of the most important bills for him was the bill about -- that would give people information about what their rights are living in three-quarter houses because most three-quarter house tenants, as he said himself, wouldn't-- would be able to seek services much sooner if they actually knew what their rights are from the beginning. The other bill that's particularly important to him is the one relating to forced treatment. Felix did not have a choice in the treatment that he wanted to take. He would have wanted to do many other things in the year that he

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 125 stayed at this house while he was mandated to go to treatment, but he wasn't able to. So, both of those bills are particularly important to Felix, and he wanted me to highlight them today. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Hi, everyone. AMY BLUMSACK: My name's Amy Blumsack. I'm a community afternoon. organizer at Neighbors Together, which is a community-based organization and soup kitchen located in Central Brooklyn. My job there is to organize our members and part of my work has been with the threequarter house tenant organizing projects. So I've organized with three-quarter house tenants for almost six years now. I'm here today in support of the entire bill package that we are discussing, and I hope that the City Council will pass all of the bills on the table as soon as possible. They would give critical services, access and tools for tenants to fight back against abusive landlords. encourage the passage of these bills immediately. you know and as you've heard, three-quarter houses hold themselves out like programs. Tenants are promised a slew of services and the sort of help that they need to get back on their feet, and then when they move in, the reality is something totally

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 126 different. Tenants are usually horrified. So, one of the most stark examples of this that I can think of from my six years of experience is that in the winter of 2014, Yury Baumblit, who we've heard a lot about so far, he had a series of houses on New Lots [sic] Avenue in East New York, and one week before Christmas, approximately 100 tenants were locked out of their homes permanently because he had been pocketing their rent money and not paying the person that he was leasing the buildings from. He had also been getting Medicaid kick-backs from the drug treatment programs that he was mandating that those approximately 100 tenants went to. It was a freezing cold winter, and I remember being out there every day working with tenants trying to get them legal services, trying to help them fight back against being suddenly locked out and homeless with no Right? So, Yury is just one example of the notice. kind of three-quarter house operators that are out there. He's one name. there are many, many others like him who prey on people in vulnerable situations, and that's why we need to pass Intro 1168 because it would really help unravel the monetary incentive for landlords to mandate that three-quarter house tenants

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 127 go to outpatient treatment programs. So much of the abuse that we see in three-quarter houses is about getting tenants to programs so that operators can get that illegal kick-back money. So, if we pass Intro 1168, I think it will really help tenants to not only not be abused in those same ways, but additionally this bill is important because it will allow tenants to proactively bring harassment cases against their three-quarter house operator in Housing Court. Right now, as it stands, as you've probably heard, people/tenants have to wait 'til they're actually illegally evicted in order to bring a case in Housing Court, and that's crazy. We need a tool for tenants to use before they're homeless, especially given the current state of homelessness in the City. We need a tool that's going to also help prevent homelessness as much as possible. So, I encourage the Council to pass this bill. I just wanted to com-- oh, quickly, I want to say that tenants don't have access to online services as much as you would think. They have government issued phones. So, I think anything we can do to get them "Know Your Rights" info on paper is extremely helpful, and 90 days is not enough for relocation services. Tenants don't know. There

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

have been a number of vacate orders on three-quarter houses in the years that I've been working before the task force came into being, and people just don't know. So, we really need that to be repealed, and which we can do through the passage of 1167. And then last I just want to say that 1166, the task force has been an incredible resource for us, and I think that having greater transparency about the good work that they're doing and also greater transparency about the scope of their problem will help create good targeted solutions for three-quarter house tenants. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you so much for all of your testimony. Thank you for those that shared your personal testimony. A lot of times it's hard to remember that there are real faces and real people behind the stories and the numbers. So we appreciate the bravery of you coming out to share that and being a part of the solution and empowering some of the folks. And I know your stories and the fact that you're coming out and actually organizing can help bring some pride and some feelings of power to other tenants that are there. I just wanted to make sure that there were people left from all the

agencies. So, if someone's here from HPD, and if someone is here—oh, I didn't see you. Sorry, I didn't see you. I was looking around. And someone's here from HRA, and someone's here from the Mayor's Office? Got it. Thank you. Was there anything else that anyone wanted to add to respond to any of the things that were heard in the testimony from the Administration? Sure.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AMY BLUMSACK: I also just wanted to say in terms of the "Know Your Rights" information, it's actually from where I sit and the job that I do incredible that people can access their budget letters online now and rent receipts. However, usually by the time someone needs the "Know Your Rights" information, they're already in crisis, right? It means they've been illegally evicted and they're trying to get back into their house. So, I think that to give people that information at the outset before they even get to wherever they're moving into, usually a three-quarter house or whatever type of housing situation they're going into, to arm them with their tenancy rights info from the beginning so that they already know going in that 30 days, once they've lived there for 30 days or

more, they have to be taken to housing court. That would be incredibly important. When someone is in crisis, it's really hard to be able to be organized enough to access all of those different things, and to get to the service provider and get the thing printed out. Let's give it to them up front so we can be proactive about the problem.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and I} % \end{substitute}% \begin{substitute}{0.5\textwidth} \hline \end{substitute}% \begin{substitute}{0.5\textw$

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Mr. Chair. Specifically, to Mr. Bates and Mr.

Coleman, and I want to thank you both for testifying and giving your personal stories. It's very important that the public hears your story, and that the Administration and the Council hears your stories as well. I wanted to ask if it's not too personal, how were you referred to the three-quarter houses that you were living in.

ANTHONY COLEMAN: I was referred through the shelter system where I was at in Ward's [sic] Island.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And what year was that?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 131 1 2 ANTHONY COLEMAN: It was about 11 years 3 ago. 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. 5 COREY BATES: I was referred through a friend. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Through a friend. you remember when that -- when was that? 8 9 COREY BATES: This was the beginning of October 2014. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. And also I want to thank both of you for-- and this entire 12 13 panel -- for doing the organizing that is so essential to making sure that people know their rights and that 14 15 they know that what's going on is not okay, and that 16 they-- and they know what's happening elsewhere in 17 the City. So, very much appreciate you doing that 18 work on the ground. Thank you very much. 19 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very 20 much for your testimony. Next Giselle Routhier. Giselle here? Giselle Routhier? Okay. And Joshua 21 2.2 Goldfein, Alison Wilkey, Enrique Rojas, Susan 2.3 Gottfield [sp?] -- I think Susan left. She submitted testimony for the record. So I have Giselle 24

Routhier, Joshua Goldfein, Alison Wilkey, Enrique

Rojas. And next and final panel after this one will be Constance Lesold, Wendy O'Shields, and Brenda Riley. So, please stand ready to come on after this panel. And if anyone wishes to testify, we still have time. You can go to Sergeant at Arms and fill out a form. Can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Everyone has three minutes, and you can begin at the order of your preference.

2.2

2.3

Add, we support this package of bills as a step forward in curbing the abuses of unscrupulous three-quarter house operators and helping existing tenants assert their rights and access more stable housing resources. This comes in the context of we're in the Great Depression. In August, an all-time record

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 133 61,464 men, women and children slept in shelters every night. This is just an astounding number, and homelessness among single adults has doubled since the great recession with over 14,000 single men and women now sleeping in New York City shelters each night. The problem with three-quarter houses goes back at least over a decade and has exacerbated homelessness and housing instability among the most vulnerable individuals. Under the previous mayoral administration, direct referrals of homeless individuals to three-quarter houses posed an ongoing problem for many, many years with the safety and stability of those individuals at risk. Individuals placed in three-quarter houses often cycled in and out of the shelter system and received little to no support in reporting illegal conditions or asserting tenancy rights. After years of advocacy by the Coalition for the Homeless, the Legal Aid Society and definitely with the City Council in 2010, the Department of Homeless Services began the process of promulgating a rule that prohibited the referral of single adults to illegal three-quarter houses. However, they still receive referrals and placements from other sources including jails and prisons,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

institutional care facilities, drug treatment programs, and many residents of three-quarter houses still struggle with homelessness and criminal justice involvement. So, we urge the City and the Council to work together to curb referrals from other sources as well along that model, but overall again, I just want to reiterate that we support this package of bills, and thank you for the opportunity to testify.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSHUA GOLDFEIN: Thank you. I'm Joshua Goldfein from the Legal Aid Society. I just want to add that, you know, we recognize that for our clients who choose to live in three-quarter houses, it's a resource. It is an available resource in an incredibly tight housing market, and the fact that people end up living in these places doesn't mean that they made a -- they made a choice that was a real choice for them. People are stuck in these places that are incredibly dangerous, and we hear from people who say, "Well, coming out of being incarcerated or being released from an institutional treatment facility, you know, I need places to go." But, you know, to be-- when the only option is to live in a dangerous place as they see it where their life is at risk every night because there's not an

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 135 effective way to escape in the event of a fire, for That's not a real choice, and what we need is for the government to provide them with real choices with safe and affordable places to live. On the other side, we see-- you know, we heard today from HPD some resistance to making the process to get relocation services easier for residents of threequarter houses, and while we see and hear commitments from the top of the agency about making the process open to people, we continue to hear from clients who have problems because they seek services and are denied them by HPD because HPD wants to see things like a written lease. They want, they have an expectation that a person coming to seek help will present themselves like a typical lease holder or homeowner when residents of three-quarter houses who are entitled to the same protection of the law are going to be unable to produce the same level of documentation of their circumstances. So, for those reasons we support all of these bills, and hope that they'll be passed. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALISON WILKEY: Good afternoon, Council
Members. My name's Alison Wilkey, and I'm the Policy
Director at the Prisoner Re-entry Institute at John

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 136 Jay College of Criminal Justice. I'm happy to be here. PRI has a multifaceted, multiyear focus on housing for individuals with criminal records. Our work focuses on reducing the barriers to housing and helping people obtain and maintain stable housing and quality housing. The proliferation of three-quarter houses is a symptom of the failure of other housing systems and supports, and these failures push formerly incarcerated individuals into unsafe, unstable and illegal housing options. There's been a lot of citations from our 2013 report on threequarter houses, and I wanted to respond briefly to some of the questions that were directed at Commissioner Banks about whether he had obtained the, you know, 317 locations that were listed in our report, and I think it's helpful to respond with a little bit of background. When we did that research we wanted to shine a light on the dark housing market of three-quarter houses, but we were very concerned that by bringing attention to it, a possible response would be shutting down three-quarter houses and pushing people into the shelter system, and the last thing that we wanted was for our research to cause people to lose their homes. Now, you know, we've--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 137 as the Three-quarter House Task Force has begun its work, we've seen the care with which HRA has tried to address three-quarter houses and the care with which they've tried to avoid people losing their homes and being pushed into the other systems. We've been a part of the meetings that HRA has had with other advocates, and we are happy to, you know, follow up and talk to our researchers in house and see if there's limitations on that data, and also see if that data is still useful at this point, because it is three years old. We support all of the bills that are here that we're discussing today. These bills address the issues with three-quarter houses in really unique and important ways, but while we address the issue with three-quarter houses we also have to continue to work on the multitude of barriers that preclude people with criminal records from accessing housing. Council Member Levin spoke earlier about the issues with the New York City Housing Authority, and in particular, their permanent exclusion policy. For the past two years, PRI has been coordinating the working group of advocates, tenant organizers and legal services to push the New York City Housing Authority to change that policy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 138 We've provided recommendations to NYCHA, and they've accepted that with welcome arms, and they're looking at their policy and changing that. But we do remain concerned that there's a significant increase in permanent exclusions thus far this year, and there are over 5,000 individuals who have been permanently excluded from public housing who are unable to rejoin their families and unable to access existing housing that is there without having to create any new housing. There's also an overwhelming need for supportive housing, and in particular for the new supportive housing units coming online to be specifically targeted towards people with criminal records, and to make sure that that's available. Past supportive housing commitments have really short-changed people with criminal records, and we need to make sure that that's available. And also, in private market housing, there are currently no anti-discrimination laws against criminal records in housing. In Employment Law we have the Corrections Law, we have the Human Rights Law which prevent discrimination, but we don't have those types of protections when it comes to housing, and that's another area where we need to work and make sure that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 139 people aren't being discriminated against in private housing market.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Going to have to ask you to do a closing sentence.

ALISON WILKEY: Yeah. Yep. I just want to close by saying that really stable housing is central is successful reentry, central to being a thriving member of society, and it's the lynchpin to economic and social opportunity. We hope that these bills can move forward and that we can continue to work with the Council and other laudable work in trying to move forward and make sure that housing is available to every New Yorker.

ENRIQUE ROJAS: Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Enrique Rojas, and I'm the Manager of
Reentry Services at the Women's Prison Association.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak in favor of
the package of the three-quarter house bills today.

Women's Prison Association is a 170-year-old social
services organization that provides assistance to
formerly incarcerated women. We work with women at
all stages of criminal justice involvement. We
promote alternatives to incarceration and help women
living in the community to avoid arrest or

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 140 incarceration by making positive changes in their Inside prison and jail we are a source of support to women and a resource to them as they plan for release. After incarceration, women come to WPA to help build their lives that they want for themselves and their families in the community. is concerned about the quality of housing available to women coming out of prisons and jails. experience, housing is a key component of successful reentry following incarceration. Part of our work is helping women to find safe, decent, affordable, and permanent housing. Housing placement is challenging given the dearth of housing in New York City for very low income people. Some of the women we work with resort to living in three-quarter houses because they have no other housing options. We hear from our clients that in three-quarter houses they often endure unsafe conditions, harassment and evictions without notice and little privacy. I recently enrolled a client into our program and she told me she was being harassed by her landlord. He asked her to leave by November 1st of this year because he had someone else who could pay more, 800 dollars to be specific. I'm hoping it's not a referral from HRA

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 141 The women [sic] is on public with a SEPS voucher. assistance and she cannot pay that kind of rent. For several weeks she's been sick and emotionally drained due to stress caused by the uncertainty of her living situation. At WPA we help link women coming out of incarceration to educational and job training programs. We are concerned that program attendance requirements imposed by three-quarter house landlords can interfere with our client's ability to participate in programs that could help them achieve job readiness and eventually financially independence. In our view, one size does not fit all, while our clients' needs vary, some of the three-quarter houses mandate all their residents to engage in the same treatment regardless of whether it would be beneficial to them. This is not the proper role of a landlord. We urge the Council to pass these bills. Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you so much

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for all of your testimony. I have a question, but

I'm going to turn to my Co-Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just one question for Ms. Wilkey. Can you speak a

little bit about the methodology of how you

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 142 identified or your organization identified those 317 addresses when you did the report?

2.2

2.3

ALISON WILKEY: I would have to go back and speak with our researchers to be able to speak very specifically to the methodology, but I'm happy to do that and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Obviously,
the-- you know, HRA is like, you know, has one
methodology that they're using right now, and if back
in 2013 your organization was able to identify more
addresses, I'm curious to know what they did.

ALISON WILKEY: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: My question is for you, Ms. Wilkey, as well, because I pushed kind of hard on this, so I want to make sure I didn't push unduly. So, based on what you said, did it make sense that they didn't reach out to the 317? Do you give them all the information they needed to reach out to the 317?

ALISON WILKEY: Well, to be clear, I would need to go back and talk to researchers in my office and other people working in my office to make sure that they didn't reach out. So, I don't-- I

committee on General welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 143 don't actually want to say definitely that the City has not reached out without talking to other people. But I will say that again as I said, as we were doing this research, we were very concerned about keeping this data confidential because we didn't want to run into a situation where people were going to be losing their housing.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you so much for the work that you've been doing on this, and I appreciate your testimony. And we have our last panel, Constance Lesold, is Constance here? Okay. Wendy O'Shields, is Wen-- Brenda Riley? Alright, all from-- oh, well, no. Constance is Brooklyn Mental Hygiene, and the others are from Safety Net Activists, and we have a last minute addition, Tanya Kessler from MFY Legal Services whose been getting a lot of praise.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And Mr. Chair, I just wanted to take the opportunity to thank all of the members of the previous panel for all the good work that they do in representing clients and working to ensure people's legal rights and quality and safe housing.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 144

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Those are the last panelists that we have signed up for today's hearing. So, again, the last opportunity for anyone who wants to testify, you can come now to the Sergeant at Arms. Can you all raise your right hand please? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? You'll each have three minutes, and you can begin in the order of your preference.

TANYA KESSLER: Can you hear me now? Good afternoon. I'm Tanya Kessler from MFY Legal Services. I'm a staff attorney on the Three-quarter House Project at MFY. I'm going to cut through a lot of the-- a lot of my testimony because much has been covered. I think that both Chairs provided good definitions of what a three-quarter house is, as did the Commissioner and as did a TOP leader. I want to say that since we started the Three-quarter House Project at MFY in 2009, the three most common issues that have come to us from our clients have been unsafe conditions, forced treatment and illegal evictions, and the proposed bills, this package, touches on all of these critical issues. So, I just

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 145 want to say briefly why these are so important to our clients and how the bills would address the problems. And I also want to address some of the testimony by the city agencies. I think it was clear from prior testimony that the reason for landlords' insistence that three-quarter house tenants attend outpatient programs or attend specific medical providers is not out of their concern for tenants doing well and for tenants' sobriety, but it's because of Medicaid fraud, and our view is that no person should have to hand control over their body and health to a landlord in order to keep a roof over their head. We applaud the legal actions against Medicaid fraud that have been taken already, and that it sounds like are still underway. Those are really important, but those cases take years to bring, and in the meantime, tenants are in daily and immediate jeopardy. So that's why it's really important that tenants have a mechanism to challenge this unlawful practice by being able to bring an HP action in court. On hazardous conditions, that's sort of the second and it's not in order of importance, but the second big issue that we hear from our clients. Three of the bills before the Council would address hazardous conditions, Intro's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 146 1167 and 1171, to facilitate access to relocation services, and 1166 on the task force reporting data. I want to tell a story of one of MFY's former clients, Dennis Illary [sp?], who wanted to testify today but was unable to come. I did submit written testimony for him, and I think it illustrates the obstacles that three-quarter house tenants and other very low income tenants face in accessing relocation services. Mr. Illary is 65 years old and has some serious medical issues. He'd been living in terrible conditions in a three-quarter house in Cypress Hills when the Department of Buildings issued a vacate order. When he applied for relocation services, HPD told him that his application ws incomplete because he could not provide a verification from his landlord. In fact, HPD helped him contact his landlord who refused to provide that information. Why? Because she moved everybody right back in. so he was only able to obtain relocation services when we found out about the availability of legal help seven months later. Mr. Illary testified in front of HPD when they were-- when they had proposed their new rule to put a time limit, and unfortunately, as he pointed out, he would not have

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 147 1 been eliqible for relocation services had such a time 2 3 limit been in effect. So his story illustrates how 4 three-quarter house landlords operate in bad faith, and that bad faith creates huge obstacles, but there's also other huge obstacles, which is that 6 7 three-quarter house tenants--8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] If 9 you can give a closing sentence. 10 TANYA KESSLER: Okay. Three-quarter 11 house tenants just don't have those documents, and I attached to my testimony the list of documents that 12 13 HPD asks for. I want to quickly respond to HPD's testimony on this--14 15 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Well, we can maybe do that in the questions. 16 17 TANYA KESSLER: Okay. 18 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We can just go to 19 the next testimony, and then we can have some follow-20 up questions. 21 TANYA KESSLER: Okay. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, we can pause for here. 2.3

TANYA KESSLER: Sure.

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And then we'll come back when we ask questions.

TANYA KESSLER: Okay, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CONSTANCE LESOLD: my name is-- is it on?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: No. You can press

the button right there. There you go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CONSTANCE LESOLD: my name is Constance Lesold, and I have listed myself as representing the Brooklyn Mental Hygiene Court Monitors Project, which was in existence for ten years and has been rather quiet in recent years, but we'll be-- we are rebuilding. I'm down here today as a result of the fine work of Vocal, and I want to thank all of you on the City Council. I've known of Steve Banks's work for a long time. Steve Banks, all these wonderful organizations down here for bringing out all these problems that I gather the New York Times precipitated all of this. It's very important that these bills be passed. I do want to give them my support. However, I took the opportunity to speak today because I think you're seeing hopefully the bottom of the barrel in terms of treatment programs

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 149 and housing for people who need it, but the same problems to a large extent exist in supportive housing, and I have friends who have tried to organize tenants' groups and advisory, tenant advisory groups, in supportive housing, and this has proved to be very, very difficult. I have friends who are in outpatient commitment where the housing has declared that they would not take them back from the hospital unless they were in outpatient commitment, although the psychiatrist in the hospital did not support the outpatient commitment. We have a problem throughout our mental health system in this city right now with forced treatment. So, I am so grateful to all the organizations here today for bringing out the problems with forced treatment at the worst level, but the problems with forced treatment exists at all levels. I want to remind you that people who have been given psychiatric diagnoses are living 25 years shorter lives than the rest of us. It has been well documented. So we cannot be setting up a system where we see this is the bad treatment program and this is the good treatment program when they're all highly questionable and in the Dark Ages. I'm sorry to have to say that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 150 background is psychiatric social worker. I have worked in Harlem Hospital, Kings County Hospital, Community Service Society, and I could go on. and I have worked in drug treatment programs. So, it's not exactly that I don't know. I'm 78 years old. So, please pass these bills. Do you best to create low income housing, because if you don't get the low income housing out there, you're just going to be vulnerable to whatever. Anyway, thank you very much for this opportunity, and thank you for this wonderful work. I don't want you to take what I say as an attack on anybody or anything here. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We got it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BRENDA RILEY: Hello. My name is Brenda
Riley and I'm currently with the Safety Net
Activists. I also do community activist in my
neighborhood which is in Bed-Stuy, part of Bed-Stuy
and Bushwick, and sometimes I work with a group
called No Family Left Behind, and the reason for that
logo was that we are finding that there are many,
many families and communities that are going without
faces, afraid to come and show their faces because
there's a stigma that goes along with being in

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 151 poverty and not getting. I want to begin by telling you that I support all bills regarding the threequarter housing, except the 30-day rule. I think the 30-day rule is not enough time for a person to get all things that they properly need in order to move forward. It is already a person that is stressed and having difficulty. So, to place more stress on that person to get documents that they need is not beneficial. More so I'm here because of the rule for 1035. I'm requesting a promulgation rule which would increase the current public assistance rental allowance level from the existing rates of 1,988 to reflect the current New York City rental increase throughout the five boroughs to prevent displacement and further gentrification of communities leaving the most vulnerable persons with limited and too often deplorable housing conditions to families and persons who are in need of safe and violation-free housing. This blind and insightful action has further gentrified neighborhoods and people are homeless who fall on hard times. I want to give you a face to the modern day poverty, and that's my face. I will be that person. I'm a grandmother, a community activist, a guardian of two children, our

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 152 grandchildren, trying to ensure their wellbeing, their education and a place to live. We currently receive an HRA grant and social security disability. Neither program has received a cost-of-living increase adjustment in years. When this is all said and done -- I live in affordable housing. I just want to say that, but I've also had death, and this death left me penniless due to cancer. I often ask myself if things should change because the children are getting older, where will I go? That's the great big question. My cry of human poverty is li-- is loud to deaf ears. And to magnify this, no one wants the poor on their block or in their community. Senior housing is a five-year waiting list. I'm sorry I can't finish it, because it should be finished, because it speaks to people who you think should be and not be, and it's not that way. It's truly not that way. Okay, thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

WENDY O'SHIELDS: Hello, my name is Wendy O'Shields, and I'm testifying for the Safety Net Activists, and we support Resolution 1035 and Intro Number 1166. We believe that basic income is needed for all New Yorkers. A universal basic income is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 153 quaranteed income for all New Yorkers. This would quarantee a safety net for everyone's most basic That's where-- on Resolution 1035. And on needs. 1166, three-quarter houses are illegal in the State of New York as per Class B Multiple Dwelling Laws C#9: Lodging houses, rooming houses, boarding houses, furnished rooms, lodging, and club houses shall be used as temporary abodes. In addition to the certificate of occupancy and their subsequent live load severely limited -- I mean, severely limit who may occupy the Class B Multiple Dwellings and how many human beings may reside in the physical space. Zoning Restrictions may vary per location and must be checked thoroughly for each three-quarter house to determine whether they must be relocated. quarter houses are illegal in multi-family residential dwellings that's a one or two-family residence and should be immediately shut down. Please inform the City of New York Department of Homeless Services that it's illegal to place DHS shelter residents into three-quarter housing. This is a crime as per Title 31. Please consider my suggestions and work toward a better and more equitable New York City. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much all for your testimony. I have some questions, but I want to turn to my Co-Chair for his questions first.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I just have one question that occurred to me. Most of the three-quarter houses residents that I've encountered are men. Are there women's three-quarter houses out there in New York City that I haven't--

WENDY O'SHIELDS: [interposing] I've had some of those conversations, and yes, there are for women. I don't know all the locations, but I've heard the stories.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have some questions for Ms. Kessler. Just going over the documentation list. Can you just make recommendations that you think might be good to add to this documentation list that makes sense for-reasonable sense to identify someone's ID, who they are, and that HPD can take into advisement?

TANYA KESSLER: Sure. I mean, we think that other kinds of documentation that can be helpful can be letters from service providers, for example,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 155 where someone has been getting services of some kind, whether it's medical services or something else. HPD could actually get the person's consent, they could get HRA records very easily and a lot more easily than the tenant who is currently displaced and on the street. And so that would be a way to facilitate verification for some people. So, we've had success in advocating for individual tenants that HPD do accept that documentation, does accept it. The problem is that I think that the flexibility that HPD testified that they employ does not match our experience, and that is has required an attorney being involved in order for three-quarter house tenants to access relocation services, and in order for the agency to be willing to consider other types of documentation than what's on their list. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, they have Obviously, they're weighted. several things. you saying some of the weighting is not good? Because it says documentation on letter head from federal, state or local agency. Does that not include

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TANYA KESSLER: So usually it's not a government agency that--

service providers under list A?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So this is referring only to government agency.

2.2

2.3

TANYA KESSLER: someone can get it.

Yeah, those-- that generally refers to a government agency, or at least that's what our understanding has been. So--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So, specifying that it could include service providers is one, and then if the tenant can get permission for HRA records is another?

TANYA KESSLER: Right. That's another way. I mean, we've had-- we've had clients who've had, like, prescriptions that had their address on it, and that's something that we've advocated that the agency accept. And so, I think that, you know, we can--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I'm just trying to think. Prescriptions, wouldn't they just—the prescription—the doctor's writing a prescription you're saying.

TANYA KESSLER: The doctor writes the prescription, but sometimes the pharmacy has the record of the person's address, and that's included on--

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] But that would be-- could I-- I mean, I could just walk up and tell the pharmacy I live some place. I don't know if they do any back-checking on it.

I think we-- we think it's understandable that the agency may want more than one piece of documentation, but also if you see that the address is from prior, then if the-- you know, if the documentation is from before the vacate order, somebody's not planning in advance that there's going to be a vacate order and that they're going to put together that documentation.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So that-- so a prescription could be on the lower end of the weighted spectrum with additional documents?

TANYA KESSLER: Sure, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure. My Co-Chair has some questions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just as a follow-up to that. So, what about the actual shelter allowance? Like, the fact that HRA pays the shelter allowance to that location, is that not-- do they not accept that now, or they're not able to obtain that?

2.2

2.3

TANYA KESSLER: They do accept that. I think that the problem is that you need multiple things, and the other thing is that this person is currently on the street very often, and so you know, running around, going to the job center to wait to see their worker to get that print out, if the agency since they're both city agencies—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Just get it from one another.

TANYA KESSLER: that they can facilitate it, it takes a lot of stress off the tenants.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Got it. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Or maybe instead of-- it says Section 8 voucher is one. Maybe we can just make it to any rental assistance.

TANYA KESSLER: Sure. I mean, I think that people who are currently living in three-quarter houses are generally not receiving rental assistance such as SEPS or Section 8.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But they're receiving— they're receiving some shelter allowance, something from HRA.

TANYA KESSLER: That's right. They are receiving a shelter--

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So we 3 can just--

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TANYA KESSLER: [interposing] Some of them are.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We just maybe additional government services. Okay. There was something else you wanted to share?

TANYA KESSLER: Yeah, I just wanted to respond to HRA's suggestion that their new portal is a way to transmit information, and it sounds like a great idea to transmit information that way, and we wouldn't oppose transmitting that information in that way, but we don't think that it should be the only way because unfortunately I would say the majority of my clients don't have regular internet access. also, they need the information in advance in order to oppose an illegal eviction. So when they're illegally evicted, often times they need to call on the police to help them document like a piece of paper from HRA that lays out their rights can be very helpful when they're advocating with the police to get their landlord to let them back in. So, having, you know, information that's theoretically available through a portal is not going to be helpful in the

committee on general welfare with committee on Housing & Buildings 160 sort of on-the-ground experience of a lot of our clients.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, and thank you for all of the advocacy and all of your testimony, and thank you for sharing your personal story with us today. Thank you so much. So, we want to thank MFY again for their leadership, and of course, Tenant Organizing Project and all the members' organizations of those, and of course the tenants themselves who were brave enough to come and help and have helping for many years. And we do want to-- someone mentioned it, but we want to make sure we shout out the New York Times for the story that they did that helped bring a lot of this to light in the age of Twitter news and Instagram news. It goes to show what good in-depth journalism can still do in this day and age, help bring things to light and have government respond to it. It shows infrastructure is kind of working the way it's supposed to work. Unfortunately, it didn't work sooner. Hopefully we can get on one accord with the Administration and get some of these bills passed. For the record, we have Public Advocate Tish James' testimony, Homeless Services United, the Osborne Association, and Dennis

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS 161
2	Elny [sp?]. I've also provided testimony. I want to
3	thank my Co-Chair Steve Levin and the General Welfare
4	Committee for what I think was a good hearing and a
5	substantive hearing, and thank you so much again.
6	And with that, the hearing is now closed.
7	[gavel]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 22, 2016