CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

----- X

April 21, 2016 Start: 1:48 p.m. Recess: 2:38 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16th Fl

B E F O R E: ERIC A. ULRICH

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera

Alan N. Maisel Paul A. Vallone Joseph C. Borelli

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kristen Rouse New York City Veterans Alliance

John Rowan National President of the Vietnam Veterans 2 [sound check, pause]

[gavel]

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Good afternoon. I'm Councilman Eric Ulrich, Chair of the Veterans Committee. Invisible wounds of war can have a devastating lifelong impact not only for those who have served our country, but for generations thereafter. In recent decades medical and scientific studies have increased our awareness of the long-term health effects experienced by the children and grandchildren of service members exposed to toxic substances such as Agent Orange in Vietnam. were toxins in the Gulf War and chemical weapons and burn pits in Iraq and Afghanistan. Many of these veterans have observed increased levels of cancers, birth defects and other health conditions in their offspring and seek a greater understanding of the relationship between the toxins they were exposed to and these conditions. Today, the committee will be considering proposed Resolution No. 579-A, which calls on the United States Congress to pass, and the President to sign the Tox--Toxic Exposure Research Act. This bipartisan piece of legislation would establish a National Center at the Department of

Veterans Affairs Medical Facility that would research
the diagnosis and treatment of health conditions
experienced by the descendants of servantsservice
members exposed to toxic substances during their
service. This research will help identify the
conditions that result from such exposure and
increase the support and benefits available to those
afflicted. It will also create a national outreach
campaign to inform service members and their families
about the potential long-term health effects of
exposure. Several of our country's leading veterans
organizations including the Vietnam Veterans of
American, the American Legion, thethe Veterans of
Foreign Wars among others have advocated strongly for
the passage of this bill. They recognize, as all of
us must, that our nation owes a duty of care not only
to those men and women who have served in uniform,
but to the children and grandchildren carrying on
their brave legacy. Therefore, this committee is
urging Congress to pass and ObamaPresident Obama to
sign this important legislation, which help ensure
that they receive the treatment that they deserve.
And before I begin, I'd like to acknowledge we've
heen joined by members of the committee. Council

you'd like to speak in.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- Members Borelli on my left, Maisel on my right, and 2 3 Vallone as well. I would also like to thank the committee staff, Eric Bernstein, Committee Counsel; 4 Michael Kurtz, our Policy Analyst; and James Subudhi, 5 our Finance Analyst, and with that, we'll call up our 6 7 first panel. Speaking first will be John Rowan, the National President of the Vietnam Veterans of 8 America, and Kristen Rouse will also be on the panel from New York City Veterans Alliance. 10 11 [background noise] You can choose whichever order
 - MALE SPEAKER: This is now where is laughing. [laughter]

Chairman and the members of the--of the Council and staff. My name is Kristen Rouse, and I am testifying on behalf of the New York City Veterans Alliance.

I'm a veteran of the United States Army. I served three tours of duty in Afghanistan, and I live in Brooklyn. On behalf of our membership, many of whom have direct--been directly affected by toxic exposures in combat zones from Vietnam to Desert Storm to OEF, OIF and beyond, I want to state the strong support of the New York City Veterans Alliance

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for this resolution. The V.A. has been notoriously slow to recognize and study toxic--toxic exposures from Agent Orange or even still to admit the cause of Gulf War illness. Even just this past December toxic exposure to troops in Iraq and Afghanistan from burn pits was removed from the list of topics that the VA funds for research. We fully support this resolution in support of federal legislation that that would pro--provide long overdue resources in the VA for tracking, studying and treating the children of veterans exposed to Agent Orange and other toxic exposures. But it must be further noted that this is but one step towards the systemic change needed to recognize, study and treat the long-term consequences of toxic exposures experienced by the men and women who have served in America's conflicts abroad.

More than 40 years after the end of the Vietnam War, the VA still has not fully recognized all veterans exposed to Agent Orange for all of the presumptive illnesses caused by it. More than 25 years after the first Gulf War, the denial rate for Desert Storm veterans for Gulf War illness is still 80% and VA and DOD continue to deny the realities of troops' exposure to the catastrophic health

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

consequences of nerve--nerve agents. Veterans such as myself who served in Afghanistan and Iraq were exposed at close proximity to massive burn pits and smoke inhalation of toxic and--toxic substances, and the best we're told this far is to sign up online for the VA's Burn Pit Registry so they can track, maybe. While most VA providers I've personally with seem to have no clue that burn pits even exist. Many of my peers who served in Iraq were also exposed to the same nerve agents that still plaque Desert Storm veterans plus conditions related to the pit Uranium, failures in water purification and other problems that only beginning to come up--come to light. And the battle for recognition and treatment for these conditions remains an uphill battle. Over the last 40 or more years, the VA has seemed to put more energy and resources into delaying, denying and disqualifying than it has in the documenting, studying, treating and compensating illnesses and conditions directly caused by our military service. Systemic change is long overdue, and separate offices dedicated to rectifying this must be created and further empowered to take action to ease the suffering of veterans and their family members, and

to recognize, understand and treat these long-term
illnesses. For these reasons, we state out support
for Resolution 579-A and the ToxicToxic Exposure
Research Act, and we further submit our support for
H.R. 2237, The Helping Veterans Expose the Burn Pits
Act that was recently introduced in the U.S. House
Representatives, which proposes specific and
coordinated research, training and care related to
toxic exposures that have and still are happening in
both Iraq and Afghanistan. We suggest that this
committee consider this for support as well. On
behalf of the New York City Veterans Alliance, I
thank you for the opportunity to testify. Pending
your questions, this conconcludes my test
testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I'm going to reserve questions after John's testimony, and thank you.

JOHN ROWAN: Good afternoon, Chairman

Ulrich--Ulrich and members of the committee. I thank

you for this opportunity again to come to come here

before you to speak on this bill, the Toxic Exposure

Research Act of now 2016. They keep moving up the

year and butchering (sic) it. I come with at least

some positive notes from our workings with Congress

2 on this bill. The H.R. 1769 passed the House 3 Veterans Affairs Committee unanimously--unanimously, 4 and is awaiting action by the whole house. Senate bill is still--still sitting. It hasn't come out of committee yet, although the committee will 6 7 probably vote for it soon. But, we at--the big--the 8 really good news is the Congressional Budget Office has finally scored the bill. The score is -- the score is the budget that they're attaching to the cost of 10 11 this leg--legislation, which at this time is they're 12 saying \$14 million over ten years. That's a 13 ridiculously low amount of money for the research 14 that we're we ask--asking for. But we'll take it 15 just to get our foot in the door, and we'll fight 16 over the money on that later. The Senate is looking 17 possibly at wrapping this bill into Omnibus budget bill. The DOD & VA Budget Bill, and we'll see how 18 19 In any case, they're probably going to that goes. 20 have to have a House/Senate conference because the 21 House bill modified things slightly, but nothing 2.2 earth shattering that really did any damage to the 2.3 So, we want to thank the Council for their continued support of--of veterans in this 24 legislation, and we are grateful that things seem to 25

2.2

2.3

be finally moving, and we'll hope. They really want to try to wrap this up before Memorial Day and nobody will be happier for that than me, and everybody in my organization. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you very much, as a professional courtesy I'll extend and yield if any of my colleagues have questions. I know they were waiting patiently for me to get here. So I'm happy to let them go first if they have any questions. Council Member Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: John and
Kristen, thank you as always. We're always learning
through your testimony and what you bring to us.
Kristen, you--you mentioned extensively about the
burn pits. Could you for someone who doesn't know
what that's about explain those a little bit more?

in Afghanistan, I was--I was basically at Bagram
Airfield, which is the largest base that was then in
Afghanistan since early in the war, and in 2006 when
I was there for 12 months, there was a--a giant hole
in the ground in which they placed everything that
needed to be burned. Because--because U.S. troops
didn't put any trash outside of--outside of our base,

2 everything was burned whether -- whether it be plastic 3 bottles from all the bottled water that we consumed, 4 cardboard, but there were also tox--toxic waste. was--I was next to that burn pit, which was just of Biblical proportions. Like imagine a--I would say 6 7 the size of--at least the size of a softball field, 8 if you want to think of it, with just a column of smoke by day and a column of fire by night, you know. It--it was like something out of Exodus and--and--10 11 except it went nowhere. And--and so this was 12 constant, and especially in the summer with the 120-13 day winds, that smoke basically went horizontal across the base. And so, you know, it was like being 14 15 at a barbecue of own lungs for--for about 12 months. 16 When I was there, I breathed in heavy smoke on a 17 continuous basis, and it didn't occur to me how toxic 18 the materials were in that giant hole until one day I saw they--they were burning an entire airplane in 19 20 there. There as a Russian cargo jet that had--that 21 had caught fire on the flight line at Bagram and--and they just moved the whole carcass of it into--into 2.2 2.3 the burn pit. Hospital waster, batteries, you know, industrial waste. Like every--everything from the 24 25 base governed in the burn pit, and--and I'm not a

scientist but I know that there was some really bad
stuff in there. This has been the case inin bases
across Afghanistan and Iraq, anywhere that we've
been. Inin later years theythey improved it
withwith incinerators that were lessless harmful
to the people around it. But, you know, Afghanistan
and Iraq havehave toxic pollutants in the air just
as ambient air because of, you know, because of the
years of war, because, you know, Iraq is thethe
petroleum that's been released into the air.
There's, you know, inin Afghanistan eveneven
during mymy deploymentdeployments, people would
often, you know, come down with asthma, sleep apnea,
like breathing related issues, pulmonary issues just
because of the air quality. Butbut the burn pit on
top of that being inin such concentrated exposure
to that. You know, I'vefor that time period
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, that was
going to be my next question as to

KRISTEN ROUSE: [interposing] Friends of mine have fallen-have fallen ill with--with respiratory and pulmonary issues and I've--I myself went to the--the--the Bronx VA ER last--last November because I couldn't breath from one of the worst

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

respiratory infections that I've ever had. it was the worst respiratory infection I've ever had. And I mentioned to two doctors--well, one doctor and one attending nurse, you know, that -- that I believe that what problem is was at least contributed to by burn pits, and they were--they--I may--I may as well have been speaking a foreign language to them. didn't know--they didn't know what I was--they--they did not know what I was I talking about. And so, it's--there's just--there's no knowledge. When I talk with my primary care provider at the Bronx VA she at least refers me to the Burn Pit Registry. that basically is just like, oh, yeah, I was exposed. Good luck to you. The--there's--there's no--like there's nothing they can offer me to at least like monitor or-- You know, even--even if I'm making all of this up, at least quell my fears about it. But-but like I said, I have friends who--who have become ill from this, and--and I know that there's been a lot of severe illnesses from the burn pits in Iraq especially because of there was even more stuff burned there.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And now you're saying the VA removed that from the research list?

material, but there's--there's parallels there for

sure. III do not know the numbers of, you know,
of claims that have gone in forfor these, you know,
forfor these inhalation injuryinjuries, but just
amongst themy peers and colleagues, I know that
it's increasing, andand I don't know that all of
them are seeking care at the VA for it even. You
know, II was talking earlier with John that, you
know, where I get in a place where I can have private
health insurance again, I'mI'mI'm off the books.
[laughs]
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
Yes.
KRISTEN ROUSE: I mean because Ibecause
II wantI want reI want, you know, response. You
know, medical response to whatwhat I'm, you know,
whatwhat I'm experiencing.
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: It's the not

21 KRISTEN ROUSE: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --and get somebody who actually can help you on that. I had went through years of--of lung disease and couldn't

knowing, you know, and what you could possibly do to

19

20

22

23

24

treat it--

2.2

2.3

figure out what was going on, and they found out I
had Sarcoidosis--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Ah-ha.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --and they don't know where that comes from, and the sarcoid is directly related to inhalation, and so much of that triggers all sorts of other diseases within your body, and I--and at least I knew, and I was able to spend years getting into remission for that. But there's only a few doctors that can do that, and if you're just going to a general physician, then they don't know what sarcoid is, and they didn't know how to treat it. But those are the types of things that you're looking.

JOHN ROWAN: And Sarcoidosis--Sarcodosis is a disease that's found in a lot of Vietnam veterans because of the bad water. With, you know, with pollutants in the water and biological stuff in the water in--in Vietnam that was polluting us that caused that. And that's one of those diseases that comes many years later. It's one of those things that creeps up on you. We have--I don't even think we've seen the tip of the iceberg with the effects of

2.2

2.3

2 the illness on this--on this latest generation of
3 veterans, and that's the problem.

KRISTEN ROUSE: I agree.

JOHN ROWAN: Even the, you know, the Vietnam veterans it took it 20, 30 years before we finally figured half this stuff out, and before some of the cancer started to show up. Unfortunately, sometimes they show up very early. We have veterans coming home from these new wars, and they're getting cancers already. It's just bizarre, and bizarre ones, too. It's never anything that you would normally expect, and we know that, you know, the burn pit thing is just insane. I mean they burn everything. There's no such thing as an EPA in Iraq or Afghanistan, and mostly for security purposes I would think because they don't want the stuff to get out.

KRISTEN ROUSE: Yes.

JOHN ROWAN: But, you know, I mean I heard they were digging up one and they found a tank in it. I mean give me a break. You know--you know, it's just crazy, and the Persian Gulf veterans from that first war, 25 years ago now, they have the highest rate of disability of any war group even they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are a relatively small amount of folks that were exposed to that war, and many of the stuff that they were exposed it's still ling--lingering around in the--in the same area that the troops are back in now in Iraq and--in Iraq in particular, and Afghanistan to some extent. And--and like with us with this bill because the reason why we wanted to make sure that we added people beyond the Vietnam era was because of things we're already hearing about the children in the present day veterans. So it's--it's--and the real issue is the VA does no studies. The VA has never studied Agent Orange, never, and they've hardly studied the--the Persian Gulf War. And for years they just precluded it was all psychological, which was nonsense. So that there--you know, we've really got to try to--that's why this bill is so crucial. Now, once we get this bill done, the expose -- we had an Agent Act of 1991, and unfortunately it expired this year. It's been extended for one year, but that's only for the Vietnam vets. We want to recreate that bill, and we've got a couple of people who are going to help--help us with that. know if we'll get it in this year, but certainly as soon as we go back into session that year with the

2.2

2.3

new administration. We want to basically create the-an--and act like Agent Orange where the Institute of
Medicine is called upon to review all relative
studies related to toxic exposure for all veterans,
Vietnam, Persian Gulf and present day, and to get
them started in the same reviews that we've been
getting frankly all of the illnesses associated with
Agent Orange identified over the last 30 years.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And my last question would be is—is there anything to pick up on the State or the City for medical services that are being provided on the federal level that you know of? Is there any additional aid that—that's been tapped into too long? (sic)

JOHN ROWAN: Actually, no because most people if you're qualified for the VA, the city is going to send you to the VA. I mean my wife works for the Department of Health signing people up for all the various healthcare programs, and if she finds a person is a veteran, then they're going to--she's going to have to send them to the VA because they qualify for VA healthcare. Now, that's a plus and it's also a minus sometimes because you---as--as Kris--Kristen would say she'd like to get a second

2.2

2.3

unfortunately, one of the problems that we've run into is that nobody is training these doctors even the VA one, and that's one of the things that we've been pushing hard on is education levels about veterans' health issues. And it's also one of the reasons why all of the veterans organizations are—are very opposed to taking the veterans out of the VA system, closing it down and putting it in the private sector. First of all, the private sector is not ready for us, and they don't know anything about veterans health issues at all. The VA may not know much, but the outside certainly doesn't know anything.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, as always, I thank the both of you as Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Council

Member Vallone. Let's take a--a step back especially

for our viewers who might be watching us, disabled

veterans in particular and vets--people who care

about veterans who are not able to attend these

hearings. A lot of times they'll send me emails or

messages on Facebook about things that we covered,

and say we wish you would have talked more about this

history about--

2.2

2.3

or that. let's--let's go back to the Vietnam vets.

Let's talk about Agent Orange. When did we start-
when did we first start recognizing that there was a

connection between Agene Orange and, you know,

various illnesses? What type of research was done?

How many people do you think were affected by it?

Take--take us on a--a quick, you know, tour back into

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: --Agent Orange.

knew anything for a number of years until a lady name Maude DeVictor, who was a veterans counselor in Chicago started seeing all the Vietnam veterans showing with odd cancers and heart disease at a very young age, in their 30s. The Agent Orange Act of 1991 was the first identification that Congress did to put this research development into--into process, and the early diseases were really hard fought. Now there as the Ranch Hand study, which was being done, which is Ranch Hand was the code name for the Air Force Crews that actually sprayed out the stuff because they would get covered in it all the time, and they did a--a 20-year study. Every five years

2.2

2.3

they used to bring them into Sand Diego through one of the research facilities over there to study then. And the study then versus veterans who were not in the Ranch Hand system. The problem is some of them were Vietnam veterans, too. So that kind of clouded things, but the bottom line is the VA has done very little research, direct research. We--we rely on research done about--it was a major explosion in the Dioxin factory in Italy many years ago and several other places, Thai Beach and all that.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: What--what for-again, for our viewers and the people that may be
watching online, what is Agent Orange exactly?

JOHN ROWAN: Agent Orange is really a--a code word, a code name. There was lots of agents that came in various colors, purple, green, yellow, but the bottom line was it was a--an herbicide. It was used to kill vegetation, and the key--the--I'm not good scientist on all of this, but Dioxin is one the--is the bad culprit. That has now been banned in the United States for many years. Because frankly in our exposure to this stuff and our research on it, and so that was the key is this issue of Dioxin. If you think about it, if it's strong enough to kill big

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

massive vegetations overnight, what is it doing to you? And, you know, one of the things that's since come about now I think in the public is a lot of reasons why a lot of people in my life included don't like GMOs, and want to make sure everything comes in--in certain forms and not others, and don't like the use of pesticides on their--on their fruit and vegetables they eat. And so, that's when we first noticed it, and we--and we started slowly but surely because in the ILM studies every two years, they came out and identified certain illnesses. Interestingly enough, in the Ranch Hand Study for example, they were looking for minor deviations in cancer, and all of a sudden one of the researchers woke up and realized that 50% of the people in the study were diabetics, which was so far off the charts it was not even questioned--reasonable. So that was one of the--and that didn't get on the books until 2003. took a long time. We have website called veteranshealth.org. If you go to www.veteranshealth. org--ORG and you go to that website and you click on the particular war, Vietnam, Persian Gulf, present day, you will see all the diseases that the VA has agreed to, which is very minimal for some of the more

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

recent wars. With the--Agent Orange is a long
laundry list. It's even worse for women Vietnam
veterans. They have an even longer list because of
reproductive problems.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Os there an estimate of how many veterans were exposed to Agent Orange?

JOHN ROWAN: Everybody who step foot in So yeah, one of the old canards used to be that they always assumed you had to be sprayed because that's what people saw. They see the planes coming over spraying these giant fields and killing the fields, but they know the guys out in the field they were getting sprayed on. The truth was they used it more in the base camps that anywhere else, and they sprayed all of the perimeters of the--the base camp, every base camp, air field that was in Vietnam, and because of that they polluted the water. I--I was in the country only for 31 days, but I know that the one--where I got--con--contracted my Agent Oranges was taking showers in the not-potable water. There used to be a big sign on the shower pen, Non-Potable Water: Do Not Drink because it came right out of the ground. It was the other side of the fence line that this tent was defoliated for 300

compensation in healthcare.

2.2

2.3

yards out so the Marines could make sure there were no infiltrators. And so, that's where the pollution began. So literally everybody who stepped foot in Vietnam is now considered to have been exposed. The VA says if you step one foot—one day in Vietnam you're considered exposed, and—and if you have any of the diseases that qualifies you're going to get

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Let's talk about the VA. They've expressed opposition to the bill based on the claim that their research center mandated by the Act would duplicate existing work and that the VA does not currently possess the expertise according to them to conduct such research. What--?

JOHN ROWAN: Well, the VA never--the--the
VA itself, of course, does not have the expertise to
be truthful, but the VA has something called Centers
of Excellence, and they set these up for years. They
have them aging. They have them for post-traumatic
stress disorder. They have them for different cancer
issues. What it is, is that every Veterans hospital
in the country is associated with a medical school by
law. so, for example, in New York City here in
Manhattan we have--we're in NYU. If you're in the

2.2

2.3

Bronx you're in Mount Sinai. If you're in Brooklyn, you're in Down State Medical and the Queens and Staten Island, of course, has to go to Brooklyn and Queens can go to Brooklyn or--or Manhattan. You get a choice, but the bottom line is there are major medical schools that would kill for this grant because what we're talking about is the effect of toxic exposure on a genetic background of the individuals and--and--and what will be passed on to their descendants. And so we--we truly believe in talking to certain people that the research people would kill for this bill.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I think one of the challenges regarding the research that will have to be conducted is you need panel data. You have track a certain sample of the population over--over a period of time, and with all due respect, the Vietnam veterans aren't getting any younger. A lot of them are in their late 60s, 70s. Some of them are in their 80s, and it's getting--it's going to get harder and harder for us to track this. And then--and then to--to draw from that research any correlation between them and their descendants would be even more difficult. So I think--

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Well, I think
that the--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: --it's imperative for Congress to pass this bill now--

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: --as soon as

possible.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Yeah, we need to get it done as soon as possible. We do have the death records, which can be interesting. The VA has got a lot of material in their files because a lot of veterans have file claims, and unfortunately even if they've been deceased they filed original claims and have been diagnosed with whatever illness they had. The genetic material, of course, is not available once you die, but the bottom line is, there's enough of us around. But the are children here and the grandchildren are here, and we can study them. the only veterans group ever that had their--that's had their children get benefits, and that was only for one disease and that was Spina Bifida for men. The women, by the way, got a whole bunch of things for that -- went over to their kids.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Have there been any reports or studies done around the connection between exposure to toxic substances and descendants of—of veterans being born with developmental disabilities or—or, you know, on this—on the Autism spectrum some—

[interposing] No.

JOHN ROWAN:

Unfortunately, that's the whole issue. The VA has done zero studies. They haven't studied not only the kids, they haven't studied the veterans. Yeah, we--we have a lot of concern about that. I mean I--I have a lot of concern about them. I'm wondering about the effects of all of this stuff on people. I mean while we're talking about veterans and--and our exposure to toxic substances, I think a lot of the general public has their own issues to deal with. And we may very well be able to set some--set some things in motion for studies on the effects of this stuff that may well open to the civilian population as well. I mean Council Member Vallone talked about 9/11. There's certainly a correlation from all of the stuff that spewed out of that--that--that, the

ultimate burn pit, and for--for months on end and

covered this entire city, and we have all these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people walking around with problems. Respiratory cancers and all these things that are killing the first--the first respondents, and--and the people who worked on that. So, yeah, I--I think unfortunately this is the whole problem. We don't have studies. We need the studies. We've got to get this moving. We think if we get this bill passed, as I say, I think that when they did the --when they did the Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Bill, a very similar action where they created the Center of Excellence. ended up getting a consortium with Harvard, Yale and Stanford put together, came together to--to fight the--the access to that legislation and research. То create research into post-traumatic stress disorder. So we think that all the major medical schools who again are all-- If you go to--you know, Yale has-has New Haven. Harvard's got Boston. You know, Stanford deals with San Francisco. I mean all of these schools are all associated with the VA because they train--60% of doctors in the United States were trained through the VA at one point of time in their life.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Kristen, let's jump back to you for a second. We talked about exposure

2.2

2.3

to burn pits in Iraq and Afghanistan that post-Harlem (sic) vets are dealing with health issues related to—what about chemical weapons? What type of chemical weapons do you think veterans—post Harlem (sic) vets in particular were exposed to. What do you think? Are there any connections there? Are there any health effects that people are now experiencing or reporting or self—reporting in some instances? So what type of chemical weapons.

that—so last year the New York Times did some great reporting on—it John Ismay who is an Iraq veteran who—who was living in New York City at the time, and he's—he's currently in California, but he was part of a—a groundbreaking story that the New York Times did on exposure to chemical weapons for recent Iraq veterans especially the—the EOD, the Explosive Ordinance Disposal teams dealing with Saddam's old stockpile of—of searing gas and other never agents that were used during the Iran—Iraq War. Those are—those are the agents, like those are the same weapons that—that our Gulf War troops were—were exposed to during Desert Storm that has taken really up until just recently for the DOD and the VA to say oh, this—

2.2

2.3

2 -this was a thing, this--this exposure to--to nerve 3 agents. This is the Explosive Ordinance team (sic)--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] How-how did we destroy them? I'm not an ex-military
expert, but how do we--when we found the gas that
they used on the Kurds and--and then prior conflicts,
what do we do with that, you know, when--when the-you know, when the allied troops discovered them, how
did we get rid of them?

KRISTEN ROUSE: I--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: [interposing] Just blew them? I don't know, you know.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Yes.

KRISTEN ROUSE: That—that I don't recall—I don't recall, but I—you know, so I—I joined the Army in 1994. I, you know, my first National Defense Service Medal was for Gulf War Era Service. My, you know, my first trainers and mentors in the Army were—were all Gulf War veterans. I had a first sergeant in particular who was really the most severe case of Gulf War illness that—that I have ever met, and—and really known personally for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

any iteration. He had -- he -- he was on the board of Saudi Arabia, you know, during--during this--that whole period of -- of Desert Storm and, you know, there were 700,000 troops in--in-in the region, you know, during--during Desert Storm, and--and the--the DOD has now admitted that it's at least 100,000 who were exposed to some sort of nerve agent poisoning, but--So, he--he--my--my first sergeant was there, and--and he--he talked about how the chemical alarms that you place on the perimeter of your camp how the -- the alarms kept going off, and they saw that as a problem because oh, well, we--we just need to unplug the These--these alarms just keep going haywire alarms. and they keep--and--and so it--it be the alarms that--that are the problem. Everybody seems to be fine. In reality those were going off because there were-there were--there were nerve agents in--in the air from the destruction of this--of the, you know, hitting the stockpiles either through, you know, American attacks or I don't--I don't know what the exact circumstances were. But there was--there was a plume that blew over a lot of these camps, and troops were being exposed to--to nerve agents and--and--and they didn't understand what was happening. My first

2 sergeant had severe seizures, severe skin problems 3 like rashes that were like beyond like oh, I have an it, like really severe stuff. He lost significant 4 5 chunks of his memory of his--of his, you know, 6 childhood and teenage years like he--stuff that he--7 that his family members said oh, we used to talk 8 about this, he had no recollection of. And he just had this weird tick to him. I mean I--he might have been crazy to begin with, but he was like--the--the 10 11 man was suffering from--from Gulf War illness. And-and the medical records, his medical record and 12 13 masses of other medical records for these--these Gulf War veterans were lost. They disappeared and, you 14 15 know, and we can all talk about how, no there's no 16 conspiracy here. The government is not out to do 17 that, but there--there was--there is a massive loss 18 of medical records, and so that's--that's one of the 19 reasons why the -- the denial rate remains so high 20 still for Gulf War veterans. It's an 80% denial rate 21 of--of Gulf War illness, and--and like I said, it's 2.2 been--it's been a really long process of getting the-2.3 -the VA and the DOD to even acknowledge that--that this damage has been done. And still, the position 24 25 of the--the VA and the DOD is that the--the--the

exposure to nerve agent was not enough to cause the
problems that we're seeing. But I mean people I've
met ififI mean II believe otherwise, and I'mI
meanI'mand I'm one of the last people to ever buy
into any kind of [laughs] you know, government
conspiracy theory. You know, it'sit's notI don't
see it as aI see it as a conspiracy ofof neglect,
you know, more than anything else. Andand so the
samethe same sort of denial has existed with Iraq,
you know, current day Iraq veterans who come into
contact with these same weapons stockpiles and
exposures and, you know, and-and like I said, I was
there, but thethe reporting, the New York Times
reporting last year was excellent on it. There was
agreat Newsweek article last year about the Gulf
War denial, you know, the claims denials. There's
there's a group thatwhose material I've been
reading called Veterans for Common Sense. They're
they're working very heavily in thein the details
ofof the VA's treatment and admissions ofofof
Gulf War and Iraq issues, you know, related toto
to these nerve agents, you know, tototo look up
more detail on that. But I mean it's

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHN ROWAN: [interposing] Yeah, the truth was they did blow it up. In fact, I had run into a Special Forces sergeant one time, and he explained to me that were actually three times they blew everything up. They had this giant cache of explosives and other materials that they had and they blew it up. And the DOD in one of the studies that we saw estimated that there were 600,000 people exposed in what is the -- the plumes -- plumes that they had from things. Plus there was just stuff in the air. The--the depleted uranium shells that the Army used at the time in that war created problems that they had no idea they were going to do. There's Then--then, you know, there's just other things that people don't even think about. was--there's organisms in the desert that we're not used to. There's all kinds of things that-- You know, this toxic exposure covers a lot of sins unfortunately. It's not just the most obvious stuff, that-- You know, a lot of them were getting--took these shots, the -- the Anthrax shots that literally killed some people, and other kinds of things that they got. So even back in the States here, there's toxic stuff that we've been working on at Fort

2.2

the base.

McClellan and some certain other areas. If you look
at what happened in the marine base in Camp Lejeune
where they poisoned their people. The water was
poisoned for 15 years that theythey kind of sort of
knew about, but didn't do anything about, and this
thisthere's hell to think about what's going on
with that, with those Marines and their kids who
lived on the base and their families were living on

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Let's talk about the bill very briefly, and the New York's Congressional Delegation because we are in New York City. How many members of our Congressional Delegation are cosponsors of the bill? Who is not on the bill and what is the position of our two United States Senators?

JOHN ROWAN: Well, both senators have signed on a long time ago. All of the members of the House in--in the New York except four members.

There's--

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And who are the four members? I want you to call them out.

JOHN ROWAN: [laughs] Carolyn Maloney, Eliot Engel, Congressman Nadler and there's fourth

country both parties. I think it would be a good

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: And say, hey, I live

in your district. I'm a veteran. I vote, and I want

24

the municipal body here, but we try to use this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

committee as a vehicle to raise awareness about very important issues whether it be PTSD or toxic exposure or agent orange or any other issue that the more than 235,000 veterans in this city might be experiencing or facing. And we hope that by holding this committee hearing today, and by hearing your testimony and listening to the very vital information that you provide to the members of this committee that our federal -- that our colleagues in the federal government, particularly those in Congress, will hear our voices and the voices of veterans who are struggling with illnesses and concern about the children and grandchildren of those veterans who might be dealing genetic issues related to toxic exposure that was service connect issue. I mean we-everybody says we--we support veterans, we want to help veterans, but then when it comes to actually putting the -- the meat on the bone, things like this for whatever reason take a very long time to get So I hope that this is helpful. I know that I learned a lot. I know that the members of this committee were very interested in what we talked about today, and I'm looking forward to hearing that this is passed by Congress and hopefully is signed

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 41
2	into law by the President. I mean that isthat is
3	the ultimate goal of getting it done. So thank you
4	for your testimony, and that concludes today's
5	hearing. Thank you. [gavel]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 7, 2016