CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION ---- X

April 06, 2016 Start: 10:30 a.m. Recess: 10:55 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm, 14th Fl.

B E F O R E:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

taxes and for higher vehicle licenses into one

allowing driver's to easily switch classes without
the burden of receiving two separate licenses.

The second bill, Intro 1096-A, which I also introduced, will raise fines on all known Yellow Taxi driver's who illegally pick up passengers in the designated area down 96 and 110 Street in Manhattan, La Guardia, and JFK.

The third bill, Intro 1092-A, introduced by council member Lance Merimoo(?) will ease requirements for vehicle retirement for black cars allowing them to remain on the road so long as they pass vehicle inspection.

Next, we will vote on Intro 685-A, introduced by council member Garani(?) and myself, which will require livery basis, black car basis, and luxury limosine basis to ensure sensitive information collected from passengers such as addresses or credit card information is fully secure. They will also be required to report any security breaches to inform consumers that their information may be in jeopardy. As more of this industry turns to technology to streamline operations, consumers must be assured that their sensitive information is in no way vulnerable.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will also vote on Intro 1080-A, sponsored by speaker Melissa Margrevirito(?), council member DAngarani(?), and myself which will require all black car and limousine companies to provide a fair "estimate" to a trip with a resulting fair required to be no more than 20% of the stated price. This transparency in pricing is important in an area where many riders have issued concerns over surge(?) in dynamic pricing that makes it difficult to pin down the final price of a ride.

Lastly, we will vote on Intro 1009-B, introduced by council member John Songarani (?), Lander, Torres, and myself that will grant jurisdiction of a public pedestrian plaza's so that the Department of Transportation in order to ensure that they are regulated. This bill has stirred some controversy over the proposed plans for Times Square which I visited yesterday to hear concerns put forward by many of the people who work to make a living there. I want to be clear that this bill and the steps the DOT have presented are not in any way targeting a particular group or groups of individuals as we understand and respect the right of those known as custom characters(?) in this (inaudible) to earn a

2	living. The bill we will vote on today seek to
3	better solidify the place plaza's in our city making
4	them an official public space similar to our parks
5	and beaches and hopefully at the end of this process
6	the DOT and their many plaza's partners(?) will be
7	collaborative, innovative, and successful in crafting
8	a Times Square that we can all enjoy. We must
9	remember that before there was ever a Times Square
10	Plaza, there was a busy intersection always filled
11	with cars as pedestrians spilled into the street
12	creating a very dangerous situation. Since that
13	time, our problems have changed. We now love and
14	appreciate this plaza and we are instead discussing
15	how to best manage it so that all users can benefit.
16	Before we vote, I would like to make this proposal,
17	this bill is not about Times Square, it is about the
18	over 60 plaza's built on the way and many more we
19	hope to have in the future. As the DOT draws up
20	rules for Times Square, I want to propose that a
21	substantial amount of space is allocated to the
22	custom characters(?) with clear signage to encourage
23	New Yorker's and tourists to offer tips for any
24	photos taken. Second, at least 20% of Times Square
25	and other plaza's across the city should be

2.2

2.3

specifically designated for cultural and educational activities. Third, the business community that have benefited tremendously from this plaza, should work with smaller plaza's in the outer boroughs to provide for programming and upkeep to ensure we do not fail(?) a tale of two cities when it comes to our plaza's. I am encouraged by the plaza bill and believe it will be a great benefit to our city. For this reason, I encourage my colleagues to vote aye on 1009-B as well as the rest of the bills under consideration today. Before we will take a vote, I would like to ask my colleague, council member Dangarani(?) to say also a few words.

Mr. Chairman. I will be very brief. We certainly have covered this territory in great depth over the past number of weeks and even months. First, on 1109, on the plaza's, I want to thank you for your support and encouragement and the depth with which we went into this issue at the hearing as well as council member Cory Johnson who is the lead sponsor of this bill. We all have enjoyed the results of the pedestrian plaza's since they were first proposed.

They provide more open space for the city. They

2	limit interactions between pedestrians and cars and
3	the number of crashes is down. But these positive
4	changes have brought new challenges for us; a growing
5	number of bad actors have taken advantage of the new
6	spaces to harass tourists and New Yorker's alike and
7	one of the goals of the legislation is to bring an
8	end to this kind of harassment and to bring some
9	order out of the chaos. Each of the 53 pedestrian
10	plaza's currently in the city and the 20 that are in
11	the pipeline, is unique and they are each going to
12	require their own set of rules for their site
13	specific conditions and that is why Intro 1109 lays
14	out what DOT should take into account when
15	determining how to regulate each plaza. These
16	plaza's are not streets, they are now public spaces
17	and they need to be regulated as such. This bill
18	would give us the ability to devise such site
19	specific rules and to make sure that plaza's are
20	respectful of the communities in which they sit.
21	Now, as the chairman pointed out, this bill is not
22	about Times Square specifically. Times Square
23	happens to have one very significant plaza of the 53
24	in the mix and after we pass this bill, we will be
25	looking to the Department of Transportation to move

quickly and thoughtfully to make rules specific for
Times Square. We know that Times Square has special
qualities, that it is quirky, and that it is weird,
and it is eccentric and all of those things and we
want to preserve that. But we also need to find a
way to protect people who are simply there to observe
or pass through or want a clear passageway to get
from one block to the next. If you are going to
Times Square and you want to take a picture with an
Elmo or a Spider Man or Naked Cowboy or whoever,
that's great. Go for it. More power to you. But is
you want to avoid it, we are going to create some
space for you too and we are going to encourage the
Department of Transportation to do that. So I
appreciate where we are with this bill. We have
worked very hard to get it into a good place and now
we will move onto the next step which is the DOT rule
making process.

As for the for hire vehicle bills, this is 1080 and 658, as the taxi and for hire vehicle industry evolves, consumers are too frequently left playing catch up. They wonder how and why they are being charged certain rates for for hire vehicles than for yellow cabs. They giver personal

2	information without being certain how much access
3	drivers or the companies behind them have to the
4	data. These two bills would ensure that our consumer
5	protections are keeping pace with our technology so
6	that people are free to take advantage of the apps
7	without worrying that they don't know what it will
8	cost them both in terms of price and security of
9	their personal information. So Intro 1080 is going
10	to greatly increase price transparency and provide
11	more certainty to passengers. No surprises here. If
12	you get into a Uber or a Lift or any car of it's
13	type, and you want an estimate, you are going to get
14	one and you will be bound, the company will be bound
15	by that estimate. This is an important consumer
16	protection and one that we think will help many, many
17	New Yorker's. The changes here would apply to all
18	black car and luxury limousine services including e-
19	hail apps like Uber, Lift, and Via. Intro 658 will
20	ensure that customers will be secured against having
21	their personal information violated by a cab company.
22	A lot of information is being collected. We give it
23	willingly, in many cases, we deserve to know, as New
24	Yorker's that it is not being abused, that these
25	companies have privacy protections in place, and that

2	if they violate their own privacy protections that
3	they will suffer a significant penalty for doing so.
4	New Yorker's deserve to have security in their
5	personal information and that is what this bill is
6	about. These bills together look to bring parody to
7	the industry and ensure that every passenger,
8	regardless of the company that they patronize, will
9	be provided with a fair and secure experience.
10	Eliminating the price uncertainty for users of taxi
11	apps and protecting their personal information will
12	greatly benefit the growing numbers of New Yorker's
13	who use these services everyday. So again, Mr.
14	Chairman I thank you. Kelly thank you so much for
15	your support and thoughtfulness on this and obviously
16	I encourage my colleagues to vote aye on all of them.
17	So thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you council

member. And before we take the vote, I would like to thank you council Kelly Taylor for all of her hard work. She is like one of those 24/7 persons here that is working you know for evenings and late nights. So it is your hard work also. Your hard work is really very appreciated by all of us. So thank you Kelly. Thank to the lady director Mod(?),

Although I do understand that this bill focuses on

that and that is a specific concern as it relates to

24

2	jurisdiction when we discussed the yellows versus the
3	liveries for years now on end. But you can come to
4	my borough, the Bronx, and you can see hailing taking
5	place constantly, all day every day and hailing is
6	not legal in the City of New York and when green cabs
7	and out of borough cabs where implemented by the
8	previous administration, something was supposed to be
9	done with hailing via an enforcement mechanism that
10	would be increased and meaningful and have an impact.
11	I don't see that happening. We have green cabs that
12	are disabled accessible in the city sitting in
13	garages for months on end. No one using all these
14	disabled, accessible cabs in the City of New York yet
15	we continue to have hailing. How do I know? Well I
16	see it every day in the Bronx but I have to tell you,
17	I have been hailed myself constantly. I drive a
18	Toyota. My car is a Toyota Camry. It is four door
19	black. I am hailed every week constantly. People
20	think I am a cab. Term limits, it's my next job
21	maybe. I am all set, I have the car but I want you
22	to know further that I'm all set because I am a
23	dually licensed driver and I have insurance. The
24	reason we don't have hailing in the City as a legal
25	activity is because people, when they get into a

CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Richards.

RICHARDS: Aye on all.

24

support and think and help evolve and invite them

into the fabric of the city. And so I hope we can

24

2	bring that, continue too. And I think that both
3	council members Garadnik(?) and Johnson and the
4	agencies have done that and we can continue to do
5	that moving forward. I will vote aye on 1109. I
6	have many concerns that were expressed in the
7	previous hearing on 1095 that would require a
8	universal license. I think that the concept is a
9	good concept. Unfortunately, I'm going to vote no on
10	1095-A because I think it needs more work and I'm
11	hoping that if anyone is compelled by what I'm about
12	to say we can hold the bill just for a moment and
13	continue to work on it because I think there is a lot
14	to be said about changing the requirements and
15	forcing new courses in this industry with a lot of
16	immigrant community members and not providing the
17	adequate, which is a big issue right now with this
18	administration, the adequate adult education for
19	English speaking classes and increasing literacy in
20	all our neighborhoods. We are not there yet at all.
21	And I think that this is going to be a detriment to
22	our immigrant community within the folks of the taxi
23	and limousine commission.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sorry council member. For your clarification, there is not a requirement within the new classes.

2.2

2.3

MANCHUKKA(?): Okay, so then help me understand that in the section, if you could, what's important is that we all are clear as we move forward but there is, in paragraph 3.1, upon presentation to the commission of proof of satisfactory completion of a commission approved course, so there's courses here that are outlined that are required and will be newly required. Please, and that was discussed at the hearing before.

Still at the present time, those are requirements and the other thing is that before a DOC driver's get a license, not only the yellow but the for hire one, they are required to have a motorcycle license, a Department of Motor Vehicle license and in order to pass that exam, people take the written exam in English.

MACHUKKA(?): I understand. So that's not changing, what is changing though is that this new universal license will require a new education component. Okay, so I am still going to vote no.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 There is a lot of issues here and I am hoping that we 3 get, before we get this stated, we can get a better 4 sense about what's happening through the new universal license and the course requirements that 5 were presented at the previous hearing. 6 7 feeling a little bit rushed on this bill and I am 8 hoping we can get more clarity before we get to the stated. So I vote no on 1095 and yes on the rest of 10 them. Thank you.

CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Miller.

MILLER: Permission to explain.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

on all but as it pertains to 1109, there is some concern certainly about the equity of pedestrian plaza's throughout the city. Particularly in the outer boroughs that we have not seen the amount of investment and interest from DOT. I am disappointed that my pedestrian plaza has been put on the back burner for reasons unknown. I think that it is a great idea that this greatly enhances public safety and public space within the city of New York and look forward to working with DOT in the future but I vote aye on all. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Greenfield.

GREENFIELD: Aye on all.

in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and no extensions. All items have been adopted with the exception of Introduction 1095-A which has been adopted by a vote of nine in the affirmative, one in the negative, and no extensions. All items are passed.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Before in closing, I would like to thank Mr. Deblasio(?) and speaker Melissa Marbarito(?) also for all their support that they have provided especially on the Times Square plaza task force. The produced great contributions. Thanks also to Tim Tomkins(?) who has also has been a great (inaudible) on his leadership not only because he has been taking care of what is important for the business community and the cultural community but also looking at his eyes when he speaks to the Disney characters. I know that he is committed to doing the best we can as a coalition to be sure that none of those men and women who work very hard to support their families, will be making

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 20
2	less than what they are making today. So we chose(?
3	on his leadership at the most important leaders
4	together with the bid in that particular area but
5	again Mr. Deblasio(?) and Melissa, speaker Berrito(?
6	they have also been very helpful with their staffs
7	in this approach. So with that this hearing is
8	adjourned.
9	[gavel].
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 20, 2016