CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----- X

January 26, 2016 Start: 1:10 p.m. Recess: 4:05 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E:

COREY D. JOHNSON

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez Mathieu Eugene Peter A. Koo James Vacca

James G. Van Bramer

Inez D. Barron

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Ben Kallos

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Sonia Angell
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Prevention & Primary Care
New York City Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene

Dan Kass
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Environmental Health
New York City Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene

Tom Merrill General Counsel New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Maura Kennelly
Director
Intergovernmental Affairs
New York City Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene

Brian Elbel
Associate Professor
Department of Population Health
NYU School of Medicine and Wagner School
of Policy

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Marie Bragg
Assistant Professor
Section for Health Choice Policy and
Evaluation
NYU School of Medicine

Dr. Kimberly Libman
Deputy Director for Prevention
New York Academy of Medicine

Charles Platkin
Director
New York City Food Policy Center
Hunter College

Tony Herbert
Community Advocate
President and CEO
New York State Minority Restaurant &
Nightlife Association

Thomas Grech
Executive Director
Queens Chamber of Commerce

Gerald Fernandez
President and CEO
Multicultural Foodservice & Hospitality
Alliance (MFHA)

Dr. Cynthia Goody Senior Director of Nutrition McDonald's

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Diana Torres-Burgos Advisor to Hispanic Health National Hispanic Health Foundation

Robin Vitale Senior Director Governmental Relations American Heart Association

Barry Lee Burke
Representative
Dr. Hazel Dukes
President
NAACP New York State Conference

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, we're gonna 3 start in a moment, if folks could quiet down

4 [background comments] and take their seats.

Sergeant; are we ready? [background comment | Yes.

[gavel]

Good afternoon everyone; my name is Council Member Corey Johnson; I am Chair of the Council's Committee on Health. Thank you for joining us today as we hear Int 0442, sponsored by Council Member Ben Kallos.

Obesity-related health problems are among the leading causes of death in New York City. Children and adolescents who are obese are more likely to become obese adults and even young children can develop high cholesterol, high blood pressure and Type 2 Diabetes. Although many factors are behind childhood obesity, consumption of restaurant food has been linked with higher risk for being overweight and obese. Research has found that food marketing influences children's food preferences and food choices. This is no secret to fast food chains, many of which market directly to children. The biggest way they do that, in terms of money spent, is by

2.2

2.3

including toys with children's meals. Although some restaurants have improved the nutrition content of their kids' meals in recent years, few of them appear to meet the standards of Int 0442.

For example, McDonald's now offers fruit alongside the French fries for its Happy Meals, but also offers sugar-sweetened beverages as an option as well.

Through a wide range of initiatives, from parental education to calorie counsel on menus to replacing soda with water at schools, the City has started to reverse the trend of rising childhood obesity, but we are fighting against powerful forces marketing unhealthy food to children. The bill we are hearing today is an attempt to grapple with this difficult problem.

I wanna thank you all for being here; I look forward to hearing from health experts, advocates and the restaurant industry to discuss how we can make progress against the rise of childhood obesity.

I want to acknowledge the members how have joined us today; Council Member Jimmy Vacca is a member of this committee recently, with Council

2.2

2.3

Member Arroyo leaving, thank you for being here and
want to thank my Legislative Director, Louis Cholden-
Brown; the Counsel to the Health Committee, David
Seitzer; the Policy Analyst of the Health Committee,
Crystal Pond and I wanna give Council Member Kallos
the opportunity to make an opening statement.

Johnson for holding this hearing and most importantly for being my co-prime on this legislation, along with Council Member Steve Levin; with the three of us leading the charge on this, I don't think anyone can stop us from protecting our city's kids. I would also like to thank Council Member; now State Senator, Leroy Comrie for initially introducing this legislation back in 2011 and thank Deputy Commissioner Sonia Angell for joining us today.

I would also like to thank my Food Policy
Directors, Amanda Melpolder, now with NYCCAH, Lea
Edaman [sp?], now with United Way and Debbie Visnesky
[sp?], who has stepped up to the plate, as well as my
Legislative Director, Paul Westrick and my Chief of
Staff, Jesse Towsen; we've got a whole team dedicated
to this plus many more graduate students who have

2.2

2.3

worked in our Food Policy shop for quite some time to help make this happen.

A 2010 study by the City's Department of
Health found that an alarming 40% of public school
children grades K-12 were overweight or obese. A

2014 Center for Disease Control study looking at just
elementary and middle school-aged children in New

York City public schools showed that despite positive
strives, 21% of these children were obese and another
6% were severely obese. These kids are at a higher
risk for diabetes, heart disease and many other
conditions now and as they grow up. In fact, this
means that if we continue down this path, children in
New York City will have shorter projected life spans
than their parents; that's not just depressing;
that's not acceptable.

In 2009 the Federal Trade Commission reported that the fast food industry spent \$714 million advertising to children; nearly half of which was highlighting toys that accompanied the meals. This marketing influences the children's preferences; children in turn influence their parents' food purchasing choices.

2.2

2.3

A 2007 study published in the

International Journal of Advertising and Marketing to Children showed that children are a significant player in the family food choices. A 2011 study published in the Journal of Marketing Management went even further to show that children are aware of this influence and intentionally use it over purchases they know they can impact, especially meal choices. The term is "pester power," it is real and we know companies use millions of dollars to influence children who don't have the cognitive ability to make healthy food choices and we have to stop that cycle.

Int 0442 requires nutritional standards for restaurant meals intended for children which include an incentive or in simpler terms, this bill requires any kids' meal that comes with a toy to also come with some nutritional value. Specifically, the total meal must be 500 calories or less, fewer than 35% of the calories may come from fat, fewer than 10% of the calories may come from saturated fat, fewer than 10% of the calories may come from added sugar; the meal must contain fewer than 600 milligrams of sodium and the meal must include a full serving of either fruit or vegetables or a serving of whole

2.2

2.3

grains. These guidelines in the legislation are similar to the National Restaurant Association's Kids LiveWell program, which aims to help parents and children select healthy menu options when dining out and counts as many as 144 restaurants and chains as partners, including restaurants like Burger King and Wendy's, totaling roughly 42,000 restaurant locations across the country, some of which don't even offer toys, but they do offer a healthy meal.

In a 2008 report by CSTI, it was noted that eating out accounts to one-third of children's daily caloric intake. Parents shouldn't have to give up eating at restaurants in order to make sure their child eats a nutritious meal. This bill is about empowering parents trying to feed their kids an affordable, healthy meal and builds on work that many restaurants have already begun. The goal is to serve more fruits, vegetables and whole grains to our children so they grow up knowing the importance of making healthy food choices and can avoid the serious health risks associated with poor nutrition.

I'd like to thank DOHMH for the work
they've done so far to empower parents and children
to make healthy food choices, as well as all the

2.2

2.3

advocates who have been working for years to combat childhood obesity and ensure access to affordable and healthy meals for our city's children.

Thank you again to our Chair, Corey

Johnson and our General Welfare Chair, Steve Levin

for being co-primes on this legislation and helping

to carry this forward.

Member Kallos. We've been joined by Majority Leader
Jimmy Van Bramer and I want to call up the
administration who is going to testify; we have with
us today, as Council Member Kallos said, Dr. Sonia
Angell from the Department of Health and Mental
Hygiene, Dan Kass from the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene and the General Counsel of the
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Tom Merrill.

If you... [background comment] Yeah. If you all could please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions? So you may begin in whatever order you'd like; just make sure that the red light on your mic is on.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 12
2	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Thank you. Good
3	afternoon, Chairman Johnson and members of the
4	committee; I am doc [interpose]
5	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: If you could just
6	pull it a little closer.
7	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Closer? Is that
8	good? [crosstalk]
9	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: There you go.
10	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Yeah, great. So good
11	afternoon, Chairman Johnson and members of the
12	committee; I am Dr. Sonia Angell, Deputy Commissione:
13	of the Division of Prevention and Primary Care at the
14	New York City Department of Health and Mental
15	Hygiene. I'm joined today by Dan Kass, Deputy
16	Commissioner for the Division of Environmental Health
17	and Tom Merrill, General Counsel.
18	On behalf of Commissioner Bassett, thank
19	you for the opportunity to testify today on the
20	proposed legislation, which would set nutritional
21	standards for meals that include incentive items
22	appealing to children.
23	I want to note on the outset of my

testimony that the Law Department is still reviewing

this bill, so my testimony does not include any

24

25

2.2

2.3

possible legal issues the Law Department may find in its review.

The Health Department shares the Council's interest in creating healthier food environments for all New Yorkers and in particular we applaud you for thinking about ways to reduce childhood obesity rates. We are concerned however that the bill will fail to achieve this goal for a variety of reasons.

able to comply with and the Department will not be able to enforce the bill's requirements. The bill restricts any restaurant from offering a toy or other incentive item unless the meal, food item or beverage meets certain nutritional standards. The majority of the city's 24,000 restaurants are independent establishments that prepare meals to order for their customers; it would be difficult for these restaurants to determine whether they are complying with these standards and it would be impossible for the Department to determine compliance. Chain restaurants serve more standardized meals and by federal law they will be required to have certain nutritional information on site for customers and the

2.2

2.3

Department to reference, beginning on December 1st, 2016.

The bill however imposes standards beyond the information required by federal law and for these standards the Department similarly will not be able to determine whether a particular meal, food item or beverage complies with the proposed legislation.

Second, the bill's broad definition of incentives creates enforcement problems. First, while a meal sold with a toy giveaway is easy to identify, the bill could also apply to meals served on placemats with clown faces, meals that offer children crayons to draw with or ice cream served in little Yankees or Mets helmets.

Identifying what is and what is not a covered meal would lead to longer inspections and disputes with restaurants, which could eventually required judicial interpretation.

Finally, we are also concerned that the bill will have limited reach. The bill would only apply to meals, food items and beverages with incentive items, which are a small proportion of meals purchased for children in restaurants.

According to 2009 data from NPD Group, a market

2.2

2.3

research company, nearly 80% of meals ordered for children in restaurants are not from a kids menu. Similarly, a 2015 study conducted in three chain restaurants found that only 35% of children ordered a meal sold with a toy. Thus, the bill's nutrition standards would only apply to a small percentage of food sold by restaurants to children.

Also, a restaurant could avoid implementing the nutrition standards simply by not offering incentive items with kids' meals or by not offering kids meals, as Taco Bell did in 2013.

Given this, the Department does not think that this bill would achieve the Council's laudable goal of reducing childhood obesity. As I said earlier, however, we are excited to be talking about this issue and we welcome the opportunity to discuss with you other ways to tackle this problem.

The mission of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene is to improve and protect the health of all New York City residents and promote and protect health equity. Obesity and associated chronic diseases -- Type 2 Diabetes and heart disease -- are a significant health problem in New York City and disproportionately affect blacks, Latinos and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

poor New Yorkers; continued efforts to address these chronic conditions are needed. Two key dietary contributors are high sodium and sugary drinks; the latter being the single largest source of added sugar in Americans' diet.

Reducing consumption of sugary drinks is a priority for the Department, as consumption of sugary drinks is linked to long-term weight gain and increased risk of heart disease and diabetes. Consumption of sugary drinks by children is especially concerning; with every additional sugary drink a child consumes daily, the odds of becoming obese increases by 60%. In the United States, nearly 50% of added sugar consumed among children and teens comes from beverages and over 40% of New York City children ages 6-12 were reported to consume one or more sugary drinks daily. An assessment of children's meals on menus in the top 25 U.S. chain restaurants found that soft drinks are the most popular beverage offered with children's meals.

Improving beverage options in children's meals can help improve diet quality, as they are a significant contributor of empty calories to children's diets. These products are also heavily

marketed in our communities; in 2013, beverage companies spent \$866 million on ads for unhealthy drinks, four times the amount spent on advertising of non-sugar-sweetened drinks, like 100% fruit juice and water.

Disparities in marketing exposure exist and may impact equity in health among all children; throughout the United States, black and Latino TV viewers are overexposed to sugary drink advertisement compared to other youth. In 2013, black youth saw more than twice as many TV ads for sugary drinks and energy drinks compared with white youth. Locally, 85% of food and non-alcoholic beverage ads in supermarkets and bodegas surveyed in South Bronx neighborhoods were for sugary drinks in 2012.

Several leading restaurant chains, including McDonald's, Burger King, Wendy's and most recently Applebee's and IHOP have removed sugary drinks as a default option from kids meals. This voluntary action demonstrates that this targeted change is feasible and appealing to consumers.

The Department agrees that sugary drinks have no place in children's meals and encourages

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 restaurants to offer healthier default choices across 3 their menu options.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify; I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you

Dr. Angell for your testimony. We've been joined by

Council Member Peter Koo and Council Member Rosie

Mendez, two members of this committee.

Before I turn it over to Council Member

Kallos, who I know has many questions, it sounds like

from your testimony you don't support this piece of

legislation in its current form.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: That's correct.

That's correct.

And you spent a significant amount of time talking about the issues related to sugar-sweetened beverages and the health impact they have in New York City.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON:

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You gave a lot of statistics; primarily... I mean it disproportionately affects black, Latino and poor New Yorkers who are marketed these items, who aren't offered healthy options sometimes in their own communities and the pretty significant health effects that that has on an

for this opportunity to talk about sugar-sweetened

beverages, because the point is absolutely clear that

24

25

40% of added sugar in adults and nearly 50% of added
sugar in children and youth is coming simply from
sugar-sweetened beverages. There are very, very few
nutritional opportunities; we have to target one
single item that could have such a profound impact or
the health of our children and future generations; as
noted earlier, this generation faces the likelihood
of a shorter life span as a result of exposures that
kids have these days that we didn't necessarily have
as adults. Sugar-sweetened beverages are incredibly
important to address; we are looking for ways to
address this through many activities and already we
are doing this through specific environment. So for
example, in day care centers; I'm sure you're aware;
we introduced regulations that eliminates sugar-
sweetened beverages in day care centers and instead
promotes things like water [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Through Board of Health regulations?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yeah.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: and throughout our schools and through the food procurement standards we have also put in place mechanisms that reduce

2.2

2.3

	exposure	not	only	in	youth	and	children	' s
--	----------	-----	------	----	-------	-----	----------	------------

3 environments, but adult environments as well, where

4 the City is responsible for purchasing items...

5 [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: But isn't that tinkering a bit around the edges? I mean I'm not saying it's not important.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: No, it's a really important point... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: But what about... I don't wanna get off of...

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: you know, I wanna turn it over to Council Member Kallos, but since you spent so much of your time talking about this, since it's been on the news, since there was a Court of Appeals case that struck down what the previous administration tried to do, not based on the substance of the policy, but based on jurisdictional issues that it shouldn't go through the Board of Health, but should go through the City Council legislative body, what is the Department of Health's plan on -- and this is not me discounting the things you just outlined... [interpose]

3

4

5

6

7

0

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: but to do something writ large in a wholesale way that will have the most dramatic effect on reducing the intake of sugarsweetened beverages.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So I would answer that in two ways. One is; I wanna note that sugarsweetened beverages do not exist in simply one environment, they're not only in restaurants, clearly we buy them in the retail environment, we consume them in the home; we buy them in vending machines. So the solution is not one single solution; the solution really requires us thinking across many of the different environments. The example that you bring up, which is supported by the evidence, was the portion cap approach to use in restaurant environments; that is one of a number of examples that would help increase healthy options, reduce exposure to very high levels of sugar-sweetened beverages and help promote other options like water and other beverages that might and would have a more healthful outcome.

[background comment]

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 23
2	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Would Would
3	you… go ahead, Tom.
4	TOM MERRILL: Tom Merrill, the General
5	Counsel. And as you noted, we did go to the Court of
6	Appeals; we do believe in portion cap; it is
7	something we thought should've been done; we lost, as
8	you point out, on jurisdictional grounds; not on
9	substantive grounds, and the Mayor is on record at
10	supporting that and we would support it
11	legislatively, but it's as you asked us, it's in
12	your ball court now and not ours.
13	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Would you all
14	support banning sugar-sweetened beverages as part of
15	kids' meals?
16	DR. SONIA ANGELL: So one of the
17	important things when we think about policies to
18	introduce is not only the feasibility and likelihood
19	of enforcement, but it would also be the health
20	impact, so I think that is banning them in kids
21	meals is something that is worth considering; it has
22	been done in one other jurisdiction that we know of,
23	in… [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Where?

24

25

DR. SONIA ANGELL: in Davis County.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 24
2	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Davis County,
3	California… [crosstalk]
4	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Californ California,
5	sorry.
6	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: California.
7	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Yeah. And And
8	[crosstalk]
9	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So goes Davis
10	County; so goes New York City.
11	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Can I quote you on
12	that?
13	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay.
14	DR. SONIA ANGELL: So that would be
15	something we'd be happy to discuss that with you;
16	we would wanna look at the health impact that one
17	single policy would have on kids.
18	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: We've been joined
19	by Council Member Mathieu Eugene and I wanna turn it
20	over to Council Member Kallos. [background comment]
21	I already recognized him. Yes. Thank you.
22	[background comments]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Chair
24	Johnson. So just to go through the arguments one at

a time; your first argument is, it would be

_ _ _

impossible for the Department to determine compliance because of 24,000 restaurants. How many restaurants are currently evaluated by DOHMH for food grades every year?

DAN KASS: So all restaurants are evaluated for food grade; the issue that we were trying to raise was not that it was the number of the restaurants that could be affected; it was the availability of information, both at the restaurant level and to the Health Department to determine whether a food item would meet the nutritional requirements or whether the bundling of food items in a kids meal would exceed any of these standards; that's the crux of the issue, it's informational as opposed to... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So... So... yes or no, DOHMH currently sends out inspectors to every restaurant in the city to determine health regulations and compliance therewith?

DAN KASS: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And calories have to be posted; that's something that's already out there.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 26
2	DAN KASS: Calories have to be posted for
3	a subset of restaurants, only for those that are part
4	of a national chain with 15 or more across the
5	country.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And out of that
7	24,000; how many would meet those criteria?
8	DAN KASS: It's about 4,000 we believe.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So 4,000 you
10	already have the calorie counts for?
11	DAN KASS: We have calorie counts, but
12	there are other elements of the requirements here
13	that we don't.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. So you've
15	got calorie counts at of least 4,000. Sodium, that's
16	something else in our bill; are you doing any
17	regulation around sodium?
18	DAN KASS: Yes. I think as you know, we
19	passed new regulations that have gone into effect and
20	will begin to be enforced in March; those too apply
21	to a subset of restaurants, only to those that are
22	required to post calorie labels.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, so we've
24	got sodium, calories checked off; what about

[interpose]

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	DAN KASS: Can I I'm just gonna make a
3	point on we have them checked off for chain
4	restaurants.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And that's the 4,000 restaurants?

DAN KASS: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. And so next on the list is fat; have we done anything around fat -- trans fats, polyunsaturated fats, unsaturated fats -- are those things that DOHMH is currently regulating?

DAN KASS: We regulate trans fat as an outright prohibition. So the way a restaurant determines whether an item has trans fat is that it's required to be posted on federal nutritional labels; that's how they would determine whether something has trans fat or not. It would require something quite different for a restaurant to determine the total fat content, the percent of calories from fat in a full meal as opposed to an ingredient and that's part of their concern with this bill, is that that's the expectation, not just for chains, but for all restaurants are all bound to it [sic].

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So there's an app for that; literally you can go onto the USDA or anywhere else pretty much and look up the caloric count for a tomato; is that correct?

DAN KASS: If you were constructing a recipe, then yes, you can actually determine the total fat content, assuming you know everything about what's going into there. There are additional exclusions that this law requires, which would be to exclude from those calculations, for example, nut fats, so that further complicates the matter.

Again, I think one of the issues is that, you know we... many of the regulations that have been very effective; like calorie labeling, and as we're beginning to launch sodium warning, that are in chain restaurants are really predicated on the notion that the restaurants already know the meal is entirely standardized, is identical across each of the instances of that restaurant or each of the facilities and I think the reach of this legislation while, you know, again we entirely support its goals, but the reach of it into all restaurants and into all potential meals makes it much harder to, both at the restaurateur level and for us to enforce.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So would you support the legislation if it was targeted at the 4,000 restaurants that currently have the calorie counting postings; would that be easier for you to enforce?

DAN KASS: Well I think aspects... from an enforcement perspective, aspects of this would be easier were it limited to restaurants that had standardized menus and that make nutritional information available; that doesn't remove some of the other difficulties of this bill around some of the other ingredient requirements and... you know, right now the Health Department is tracking sodium based on disclosed information from chain restaurants; we have the ability to evaluate calories based on disclosed information and so do restaurants. Were a restaurant only to be required to sum the calories of components of a kid's meal or some sodium for components of a kid's meal; that would be relatively straightforward, both at the restaurant level and for the Department in evaluating it. once we're getting into, you know, grains, whole or non-whole; total content from certain fats but not others, it becomes much more difficult.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: We had the Department of Education here yesterday; they're serving millions of meals and they seem to be able to do this; it also seems that the National Restaurant Association is able to do this as part of the Kids LiveWell program, so it is hard for me to fathom that DOHMH, which is in the business of doing this with calories and sodium and trans fat would not be able to do it for the others.

Second on the bill, you have confusion with regards to incentives and you actually listed examples of incentives; I would say that whether or not you get something physically when you get a meal as a takeaway is pretty straightforward and probably as these go and having read through the USDA's regulations for school food, which is 81 pages just for the regs and then the subregs were around 151 pages; this bill is two pages, so I'm having trouble understanding why the definition of an incentive item is so hard. I can read it to you from the bill if it's necessary.

DAN KASS: Well I think Dr. Angell's testimony poses a question to the Council and to the authors of the bill about what precisely is meant and

2.2

2.3

so it would helpful if that could be clarified. If
the clarification is that any item whatsoever handed
out to someone ordering a meal is an incentive; then
that's helpful to know, but we weren't clear, upon
reading this, whether the examples cited -placemats, the receptacle for ice cream scoops -counted or whether this was intended to focus
principally on toys or that kind of thing.

dispense with the reading, but I think it comes down to placemat type situation where you're throwing it away with the tray and there's no value, that's a piece of paper; if we're talking about a piece of paper with a Monopoly number written on it and you call a number or you go on an app and then you win a million dollars; that's clearly incentive; if it's a laminated thing that you're taking home and it's being advertised as a piece; that's different.

And then just going back to the first question; you are saying our bill applies to 24,000 restaurants or 4,000 subset, but in your analysis, have you done even an estimate -- before you came out in opposition, did you do an estimate on how many of the 24,000 restaurants in New York City offer a toy

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

bundled with kids meals or even just restaurants with kids meals in New York City?

DAN KASS: The reality is; we don't know that information, it's not something we've gathered before and I think it would take some work to determine it and it would also be quite... there would need to be more than one snapshot in time because kids meals may be offered at one time in a restaurant or in a chain and not again for another period of time, so we don't actually know how many restaurants offer kids meals at any time, nor do we know the frequency with which those that do do.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Honestly, before I come out with a position on things, I generally try to learn as much about the underlying facts as possible, so I think it's worth the time and I would like... will DOHMH look at these numbers and come back with what the numbers are here and how many restaurants you actually think this applies to and give us a second opinion as it were, perhaps from a different doctor at DOHMH, of how easy this would be to enforce? Can I get a second opinion?

DAN KASS: I get it. So I don't think we can commit to surveying restaurants to do this; I

2.2

2.3

think we would be dependent on any information we've heard from national surveys on the frequency with which kids meals are offered and then we don't know... we probably know less the extent to which those include incentives. You might ask some of the industry representatives who may be here today how frequently it's done, but it would be a very heavy

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: If you ask your numbers folks at DOHMH to go through your open data set with the food grades, I can instruct them on matching those up.

workload to do a survey to determine that.

On the third piece of opposition, so your concern is that this is only for meals with incentive items; would Mayor de Blasio support applying this to all children's meals?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So absolutely the concern about the incentive items also stems from the fact that we know that in one study for example where they looked at three major restaurants, about 35% of the meals purchased by kids were those that included toys. We also know from the NDP data that I quoted earlier that 80% of the meals ordered by children are not from the kids menu and so that means only about

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

20% of kids are ordering from that kids menu. we would need to do is take a step back and look at this again and analyze it within the context of the very limited number of restaurants that this would apply to as we're discussing that are standardized restaurants and the number of children that would be purchasing and they'd be purchasing off of the kids menu; we need the criteria of the choice food in order for us to assess some level of impact; we'd be happy to discuss with a new iteration of the bill, because that's not the bill that we were commenting on here; if there's a new iteration, a way in which it would be shaped, we'd be more than happy to discuss with you the impact it would have, but the final assessment for us in making a recommendation about going forward would be the impact it would have on children's purchasing of more healthy meals.

council Member Kallos: To be clear and in terms of scope, amendments to a bill are generally what you suggest prior to coming out in opposition, so if you would like to do the study and give us, as part of that second opinion, whether you believe we could have a better impact, that would be great and that would've been within the bounds of this hearing

to come to a hearing and say we're opposed to this bill, but we want a sugar drink ban, we wanna ban sugary drinks; I think that's actually usually out of scope and I think as you've answered for the Chair, the Mayor wants a sugary drink ban.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So I appreciate what you're saying, but the question is; how one would look at limiting or increasing healthier options being purchased in restaurants so that it had a maximum impact. So we are very supportive of policies that improve the nutritional environment, the restaurant environment for dining for all New Yorkers and we're very interested in ways in which we might improve the purchasing of meals for children as well, so we are happy to look at this with you, but the concern is... [crosstalk]

would encourage the Mayor; he's introduced a number of program bills; he is welcome to introduce a bill specifically on sugary drinks, but I would note that as part of this legislation, when a surgary drink is offered as part of -- for free or a nominal price -- as part of another offering to a child, it does limit calories from sugar or caloric sweeteners, so do you

think that that would help with this larger problem that you're saying, 40% of New York City children ages 6-12 are reported to consume one or more sugary drinks daily?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So I think there are many different ways to approach the sugary drink issue... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Well you've got a live bill on the table; you don't have a sugary drink bill coming or that the Mayor's introduced; this one's been here for two years; you haven't done anything else prior to coming out in opposition, so would this help towards your goal that you spent a page-and-a-half of your testimony on?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So the subset of consumers that would purchase it, that we understand would purchase it based on this data, it would help, but whether or not that would have long-term meaningful impact is really looking at how many of those consumers that there would be. As mentioned also in my testimony, there are a number of chain restaurants which have already changed the default for sugary drinks on their kids meals that come with incentivized toys, so again, it's looking at not

)

only... it's looking at where things are right now and including looking at your nutritional requirements, understanding how many are already being met to understand what kind of impact it will have otherwise.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: We're gonna come back to Council Member Kallos in a little while; I wanna get to some of the other members that have questions; first we're gonna go to Council Member Vacca.

Mr. Chair. So much of what I wanted to ask or say has been said; I do wanna indicate to you that I think that we should use the bully pulpit in this city more effectively; I come from the Bronx; we are 62 out of 62; we are the most unhealthy county in the entire state and it's a distinction that we're not happy with; something must be done. I just looked up something and I don't wanna say what restaurant it... what fast food place it comes from because I don't go to those places, but chicken bacon sandwich has 38 grams of fat, in excess of 1700 milligrams of sodium, 65 carbohydrates, 10 saturated fat, so this is typical I think and my suggestion to you is that we

2.2

2.3

and then call in 10 or 15, the fastest of the fast food restaurants and let them know that they've gotta be part of the solution; get them around the table and let them know that this can't continue to exist in this city. We have to tell people that we want accountability and no one's being called to task on this; I think someone has to be called to task. What are we doing about that; why can't we ask these people to come to the table and tell them that they have to get their shop in order?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So I think this comment of engaging industry meaningfully and actively into changing menus is a really important discussion to have; you may know about the National Salt Reduction Initiative in which we set targets for sodium reductions; in setting those targets we included industry in the conversations to set the targets and asked them to commit to them. We are evaluating the impact that that's having, and so we have, to your point, started those discussions; they can be expanded and I think it's a really important note that we are all part of the solution and we all need to work together on this.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Yeah, I think they 3 have to be formalized; I think it's got to be 4 publicly announced that this is an objective; there's 5 gotta be a mobilization of citizens in this city behind your efforts; it can't be done quietly and 6 7 piecemeal. I don't see this effort right now, I 8 don't see any such effort; whatever you are doing quietly is even news to me as a councilman, leave alone the average person who's not involved in the 10 11 legislative process. So I think that we have to go 12 at this full throttle and I just don't see it; this 13 is an emer... we have an emergency, we have an 14 emergency, in my borough especially, we have an 15 emergency and people talk about it and people write 16 about it, yet there's no concerted citywide target, 17 there's no... what are we looking for; how much fat can 18 we reduce; how much sodium should we be reducing? 19 Everyone has to be involved; you know why? When you 20 reduce the fat and the sodium, sometimes the taste 21 will suffer, will not taste the same, so perhaps one 2.2 or two of them will not wanna do that, they may lose 2.3 customers from it; that's why everybody's gotta be engaged. Maybe we have to sacrifice taste a little 24

bit in order to get health. You can go almost

_	COMMITTEE ON REALIN 40						
2	anywhere and get a grilled option, you can get						
3	grilled vegetables, grilled children, many of these						
4	places have a grilled option full of sauces, full of						
5	breads, it's not really a grilled option; it's full						
6	of everything else that's junk. So when are we gonr						
7	have a real grilled option for our kids, for out						
8	adults, for out adults? So I bring this up to you;						
9	I'd be willing to help you in that effort, but we						
10	need a commitment; we need the City to say that this						
11	is something that you're going to undertake at the						
12	highest levels, and that's my statement.						
13	DR. SONIA ANGELL: Thank you very much.						
14	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Council Member Koo.						
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you,						
16	Chair Johnson and thank you doctor coming to testify.						
17	You mentioned in your testimony obesity						
18	has higher prevalence in the minority population, so						
19	how big a problem for the minorities, and that's						
20	like, compared with American white kids; what's the						
21	percentage? Well compared with the general						
22	population.						
23	DR. SONIA ANGELL: So the data I have for						

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So the data I have for children is actually... we have that broken down by district public health offices, but which as you know

2.2

2.3

in New York City, we definitely have race ethnic

differences by our neighborhoods. So I'll share for

you some statistics that show the differences in

school children age's kindergarten through 8th grade.

So overall the level of obesity in New York City kids

6 So overall the level of obesity in New York City kids

7 is 21.4%; 1 in 5 children are obese… [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: And when we look at the Bronx, 24.8%; 1 in 4...

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: When we look at Brooklyn, our district public health office there, again, 1 in 4; 24.8%; East Harlem, 24.7%. If you do not live in those neighborhoods, the average prevalence is 20.3%. And I'd be happy to look back at some data that we have by race ethnicity and we can get back to you on those numbers, but I think those numbers that I've just shared with you really illustrate the gross disparities that we have in our communities, especially when you recognize that being obese as a child doubles the likelihood of you being obese as an adult.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So from your professional knowledge; do you think these kids are

2.2

2.3

obese because they eat too many Happy Meals or from

3 other reasons?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So the causes of obesity include excess caloric intake, with not enough burning off of energy, right; you take in too much; you don't burn off enough, so it's a combination of eating too much and not exercising enough; striking that balance... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. Yeah.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: and we know that in particular the foods that we're eating, the choices that children have and that are being made by themselves or by their parents are not necessarily the most health promoting. The contributors to that depend upon where the child eats and what decisions the child makes in that environment, so we know that people are eating out more and more, our lives are more and more complicated and foods prepared away from the home and processed foods are becoming an increasing part of the diet and so the restaurant environment is one source of caloric and dietary intake that is contributing to obesity in children.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So do you agree that... my thought is that all these problems, the main

2.2

2.3

responsibility belongs to the family, to the parents, grandparents; how they feed their children; not from us passing a law; do you agree with that? I mean the main responsibility lie on the families; that means parents or grandparents, whoever is taking care of the kids, they should have the say what the children should eat and what should they drink.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So one of the things that we know and are very committed to is creating healthier environments that empower people to make healthier choices; the choices that people make, that families make, that children make; that parents make for their children also depends upon what's available to them. So what we really think about doing with all of the policies and programming that we get engaged in is creating ways in which people can live healthier lives because they are surrounded by more healthful options and opportunities.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So how much calories do children need... how many total calories, say per day or per week from eating at convenient places, like McDonald's [sic]? How often do they go out to McDonald's; once a week or once a month? Do you know that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DR. SONIA ANGELL: I don't have that statistic off the top of my head; I'm sorry. So... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So my point is that, the kids are obese because they eat too many Happy Meals, because like you said, they don't have proper nutritional quidance from the parents or they don't have enough exercise, so there are two things that we should stress [sic] on the Department of Health, to teach our neighborhood people how to eat right, how to exercise more and make sure the parents understand the consequences of drinking too much soda or eating too much potato chips, all those things; I don't think it's necessary for us to pass a law, a regulation to tell parents, you shouldn't go to McDonald's to get a Happy Meal. We are based on a free market system here; we should not tell business owners, say hey, you cannot give a toy when you sell I mean we can tell them, show them a meal. regulations, the quideline; say if you eat too much sodium it's not good for you; too much sugar is not good for you, but I think it's not right for the City to enforce or to pass a regulation to tell kids [sic] you shouldn't get a Happy Meal for your kid or tell

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

the business owners, you cannot sell a meal by offering an extra toy, I mean they're doing... all other businesses offer something extra, you know, to... to... [crosstalk]

DAN KASS: Well I guess ...

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: incentivize they buy the meal. So I think this is illegal or immoral; it's up to the parents who make a decision.

DAN KASS: Well I appreciate what you're saying and there's no question that choice is sort of essential in the overall equation of sort of how a child or an adult for that matter consumes, you know excess of calories; that said, you know, even what you're describing requires a set of expectations and enabling information to be able to make a proper choice. So the basis for calorie labeling requirements, for example, is to inform people; without that information there's simply no way someone could make a determination about what food has an appropriate or inappropriate level. The same is true for the sodium warning without alerting people who are making the choice. But I think it's also important to note that while we have very substantive issues with this particular version of

2.2

2.3

this law because of how difficult it is to obtain this information or enforce on it, I don't think we wanna go on record as objecting to the notion that rules and regulations actually do influence practice; children didn't become obese over the last several decades from simply a lack of information; they have been assaulted with ads, with food choices, with food options, with default options that really work to promote excessive calorie consumption. It is the job of government to help sort of balance that kind of power.

you then to work with the school system, you know to teach, especially universal Pre-K, to teach them how to eat a proper diet when they're young, you know, teach them that these are things that are no good for you; these things are good for you and teach them how to read the label on food, how much sodium and all those things; education is the most important key. So the legislation or the things you wanna do are only for... it's good for informational purpose, you know, they can compare, you know a can of soup; this can has too much sodium or too much... we shouldn't consume so much calories from sugar, those things we

should teach them, especially in the school level;
the younger they are the better we teach them. So we
should start with universal Pre-K to teach them
what's good and not good so when they grow up they
can make their own choice. And I don't think this
rule, this legislation is an overkill, overreach to
do and from the point of small business people; if I
open a restaurant, I don't wanna have all these rules
in front of me; how many calories from this, how much
fat when I cook a meal; it's too much burden; I mean
for a McDonald's, for a big franchise, they probably
can do it, but small businesses are mom and pop
store or a restaurant, they have to follow all these
rules, it's difficult; I mean let the consumers be
aware what to eat. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council Member Koo... [crosstalk]

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you for telling us how you really feel.

I think you heard from Council Member

Kallos that he stands... I don't wanna speak for him,

but it sounded like from what he said, is sort of

ready, willing and able to work with the Department

_

on amending this bill, and I understand his frustration and I don't know if there were conversations that were had between the council member and the Health Department leading up to this hearing...

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: so if that's the case, you know, I think he's justified in saying that before you come out and oppose this bill and given that you named other instances, that the Department has undertaken to try to work on some of these obesity issues, childhood obesity issues; that you try to work with him and make the bill suitable, before coming and saying outright opposition to it.

I want to come back to what I was trying to hit on earlier, which is... and I think Council Member Kallos just mentioned this; you know we haven't seen any action here at the Council related to portion control legislation on sweet and sugary beverages; I would like to see that happen, I'm supportive of figuring out how we can make an impact to save people's lives, to ensure they don't get diabetes, to try to provide healthier options and to incentivize people making healthy choices, but the

2.2

2.3

any sense?

Mayor has... I'm glad he's come out in favor of this; I think he said it during his campaign and he said it again since then; do you know, are there any plans to do a program bill or a bill at the request of the Mayor on this, given that I know that the Health Department feels so strongly about this? Do you have

TOM MERRILL: I don't have any immediate sense; it's obviously an issue; you know where we stand...

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yeah.

TOM MERRILL: and it's certainly something we're willing to talk about, but you know, and as we are on any issue, a lot of issues and this bill as well; we did speak with Councilman Kallos a year ago; we did raise that we had some enforcement issues; not in the detail perhaps that we... as of today, but we're willing to talk to the Council about portion cap; anything that can tackle the issue of childhood obesity.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well I'm really glad that the Mayor came out in favor of this during his campaign and has continued to say he supports it; Commissioner Bassett has been a real leader in

2.2

2.3

talking about these issues and the health impact that it has on communities across the city, and I actually think, and not that it's about politics, but it's actually probably not the most popular position to take that he'd support putting portion control on this and the Mayor did that and has stood by that and so I think that is commendable; what I would love to see happen, and we've had many conversations with this, I've had conversations with Dr. Bassett and your team at the Department, about how we can actually make that happen in a feasible way that would withstand legal scrutiny as well as hopefully get public support when we explain it well enough on why we wanna take this measure.

So I just wanna get back to -- so the position, Dr. Angell, you're saying you would support what Davis County did, a ban on sugary beverages for these type of meals? Would the Department support that? You mentioned it in your testimony, but it didn't say we support this goal or we would like the City of New York to take this course of action.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So what we would need to do is look at the impact that would have; we have not done that analysis; we'd have to be very clear on

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what kinds of meals this would cover; we would absolutely be willing to discuss this, absolutely interested to think about what the impact might be, share our knowledge on it and think about revisions that would make sense that would have impact on children.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And Tom; is it your opinion that you believe that if we took that action under state law and city law that that would pass legal muster?

TOM MERRILL: I mean... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You know you may not know at this point.

TOM MERRILL: The soda one...

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: If we did it legislatively.

TOM MERRILL: Certainly legislatively there's one challenge that you don't have to worry about that we had to worry about; I can guarantee that one, and I think that, you know, sitting here, I think there are some issues around... you know you have to think about the first amendment when you're ever talking about promotions and things like that, but I think you could reasonably restrict it, as Davis

2.2

2.3

County did soda. [background comments] Yeah, Davis
city, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay. Are there any other colleagues -- you have questions, Council Member Kallos; I'm gonna go back to Council Member Kallos. [background comment] Does anyone have any questions, anything else? Okay, back to Council Member Kallos. [background comments] Jimmy's hungry. Okay, go ahead.

want to acknowledge some of the remarks that were made by Council Member Vacca. In terms of the leadership that we're seeing, we are seeing the National Restaurant Association creating this Kids LiveWell program and Burger King's a participant, Wendy's is a participant and they're doing this national convening and there's 144 chains that have agreed to offer a healthy meal; not quite as healthy as our legislation, but pretty damn close. So to the extent; has DOHMH had any conversations with the National Restaurant Association around bringing this to more restaurants in New York City in order to lead as Council Member Vacca suggested?

DAN KASS: We meet on a frequent basis with the local chapter and the state chapter of the National Restaurant Association, but to our knowledge this question hasn't come up.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In follow-up to Council Member Vacca; would you commit to working with the National Restaurant Association around Kids LiveWell if not legislation like ours and seeing what we can do with them? I mean they're already leading the nation.

DAN KASS: We absolutely are willing to talk to them and hear more about the program. What you're describing is a voluntary program; is that correct?

got 144 restaurants; theirs is 600 calories, ours is 500, so maybe they would be willing to do a Kids LiveWell in New York City ahead of our legislation passing so that folks can get to where they need to voluntarily. In terms of the calories from fat, saturated fat they have almost identical... they allow trans fats; we don't and that's already banned in New York City, so that's pretty much a non-starter, that's easy; calories from sugar and other items, so

2	we're pretty close, it's just 100 calories here and a
3	100 milligrams of sodium there, so using what they've
4	already got and they've already got great restaurants
5	like Burger King, Wendy's; they don't have
6	McDonald's, I don't know why, but they don't have
7	McDonald's, but we do have Burger King, Wendy's,
8	Arby's, Dairy Queen, speaking of places where you can
9	get that baseball cap with ice cream in it; you can
10	even get a Kids LiveWell meal at Dairy Queen, of all
11	places, and not that I would wanna take away those
12	fun hats a kid wants and they're great, but would
13	love to do that and I just wanna say just that,
14	Deputy Commissioner Kass, I loved your responses to
15	my colleague, Council Member Koo; we have fond
16	respect for each other, though sometimes we may
17	disagree a little bit, and I think just a key thing
18	to share is just, no ban here; parents can still do
19	whatever they want, it's just, as DOHMH shared, when
20	they're doing these ads and I guess one question is;
21	how much does DOHMH currently spend on advertising in
22	order to incentivize children to make better choices?
23	Children and adults or children if you have them,
24	'cause I see those subway ads sponsored by you every
25	day.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

DR. SONIA ANGELL: I'm sorry; I don't have the budget numbers for you, but as you know, we have a couple of campaigns, "Pouring on the Pounds" and other ones focusing on eating well; we can look back at those budget numbers for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: But I think as we do this we're trying to combat all the hundreds of millions of dollars, I think it's somewhere around \$350 million as of the Federal Trade Commission that I cited in my opening, that's being spent advertising to children so that those children, because they want the toys, take it back to their parents and drag them by the sleeve, 'cause I was one of those kids, saying, I want that meal 'cause I really want that toy and the only way to get that specific toy -- I can't buy it at a toy store -- is if I eat this food which is really bad for me and trying to decouple it so that when the kid is dragging them for that toy... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON:

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: that it's a healthy meal.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I'm happy to have a

freewheeling debate here at the Council with

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 fireworks; we don't typically do this. Council
3 Member Koo or Vacca; did you wanna say something?

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I wanna agree with my colleague Council Member Koo; I do not think that us extending the arm of government, telling someone that you can't have a toy if you buy a certain meal is going to assist in the very laudable goal I think we all have and I don't think that we want to do that and I on the Council have said this on several occasions, as recently as last week when we had legislation, that told supermarket owners, you can't fire anyone for 90 days; I voted against that because again, it's the arm of government coming in and telling a private sector person, you can't do this; we tell you who you can hire and fire. The toy, on a different level, is kind of similar and I agree with Councilman Koo's point, but this conversation, I'm glad we're having it and I'm glad Councilman Kallos and Chair Johnson have allowed this discussion to take place; I don't see a policy here, I don't see an effort, I don't see any type of coordinated, collaborative program; we are the City of New York; we should be sitting down with every agency, you're the Department of Health, well where's the Department

of DYCD; where is Department of Aging; where is the
Department of Education; where is there a sense of
urgency here? I mentioned before about getting
together all the restaurants; where is there a
multipronged attack, we all sit here with statistics;
believe me, I know that 1 person in 4 in the Bronx is
obese, I see it, I see it. So but I don't get any
coherent policy here, I don't see anything; where is
the we need someone to focus on this; we need to
have a focus, we focused on cigarette smoking in this
city and we decreased cigarette rates, maybe five
years ago; six years ago when we started and I have
to be honest, when I saw those commercials, some of
them frightened me, when I saw people dying in front
of me on the TV screen, but there was a sense of
alarm, there was a sense, oh my god; there's no sense
about this, there's no urgency here. I don't sense
any coordinated program, multiagency, nothing.

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So I think we share your concern that there is an urgency to respond to this, absolutely; I would share, however there are examples where we are working across agencies on some very specific, concrete proposals and executive orders that have come out of it that actually set New

York City as a leader for the nation at large around
food procurement standards, so this is one example;
the City of New York has a Food Policy Coordinator
which worked across every single agency; the
Department of Health was the technical agency that
helped to create nutrition standards so that every
single dollar used by New York City that purchases
food to be served or given to individuals has to meet
specific nutrition criteria; that covers 260 million
meals in New York City per year; that is a huge and
immense amount and it has set an example that's been
adopted by other cities and states and even agencies
within the federal government [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I don't doubt...

DR. SONIA ANGELL: in coordinating, but...

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I don't doubt your sincerity, but where do we go from here? We've done certain things; obviously with the statistics you cited before, we've not done enough. So where do we go from here; what is the vision; what is the plan? And that's what I don't see; I'm not saying that what we've done in the past was not correct, I'm sure it was and it was helpful, but I don't see any plan for the future.

_

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So we would be happy to sit down and also speak with you further about additional opportunities that exist. We are committed to an approach that does work across all of our agencies because there is this power of the City of New York at large and it's not one single agency and in fact the industry, as you mentioned, is also an important player in this, so we really do appreciate that we do...

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Yeah. Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: at any time. Let me clarify... [crosstalk]

DR. SONIA ANGELL: Thank you.

clear. The Mayor has made mental health a priority by virtue of the First Lady doing so much for mental health; she has given vision and voice and I'm proud of that voice. We have no such voice when it comes to public health at this point in New York City; we need a focus on this, be it an individual, a set of individuals, a mayor's commission perhaps on health, nutrition and physical fitness; we need a focus. I

2.2

happy today.

will sit down with you, but I shouldn't be sitting
down with you absent a plan and I don't see a plan; I
don't see a multiagency effort; I don't see an
urgency, I don't see it and I wanna tell you; I thank
Corey Johnson for bringing Ben Kallos' bill to a
hearing, even though I'm not on the bill, I'm not for
it, but at least there was this conversation and both
of them should be commended for this discussion, but
I'm not satisfied that I see what I should see, as a
Bronx person, as a City-elected official, I'm not

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Was the Department of Health at the hearing yesterday that Council Member Kallos mentioned related to the Department of Education and looking at food policy?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: The Department of
Health was not there; Barbara Turk from the Mayor's
Office of Food Policy was there.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Why wasn't the

Department there? Maura, if you wanna testify, you

can. [laughter, background comments] You can sit

down. [background comments] Okay. If you could...

and if you could [background comments] introduce

yourself for the record, and do you affirm to tell

2.2

2.3

the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and answer council member questions honestly?

4 MAURA KENNELLY: I do.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you.

MAURA KENNELLY: And my name is Maura Kennelly and I'm the Director of Intergovernmental Affairs for the Health Department.

Yesterday's hearing was attended by the Department of Education and by the Mayor's Office of Food Policy, represented by Barbara Turk; the Health Department, as Sonia mentioned, provides technical assistance to the Office of School Food, but the Mayor's Office of Food Policy really leads kind of the school food initiative for the administration and brings together all of the City agencies, you know when we look at the food standards, the procurement standards that Sonia mentioned.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I love Barbara

Turk; she has done amazing service to our city for decades and I was so happy when the Mayor appointed her to that position because she is so capable and able and passionate on these issues. How many staff members work in that office?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MAURA KENNELLY: I believe that there are two full-time staff members.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So the reason why I say that is because, as I say constantly, we have the best Department of Health and Mental Hygiene in the country; I think we have the best commissioner related to public health in the United States, Barbara is amazing; this is not me taking anything away from her, but she has a lot of things to look at, you know, she's... two people can't do all this work that Council Member Vacca's talking about and that Council Member Kallos brought up; I mean Council Member Kallos, there's a bill related to this that hopefully we'll have a hearing on sometime soon to try to expand this portfolio and get more support on food policy issues, but I make the point that -- and this is not me being critical of the department; I think it's an issue that we see all the time with City agencies and departments, is collaboration and coordination and informing decisions that are made that impact other agencies that sometimes may have more expertise. I mean we had a hearing a few months ago about, you know, my thoughts on trying to have an office of citywide comprehensive health planning and

it's for sort of the same reason, this is wanting

3	just to make sure that when the Department of						
4	Education comes and testifies on this important						
5	issue, when Dr. Angell gives all these, you know,						
6	statistics, which I'm sure they had yesterday,						
7	hopefully, that the Department of Health is at the						
8	table, 'cause you guys are the real experts on this,						
9	you guys are the ones that know this inside and out;						
10	you're the doctor, you're the one that has this						
11	information and so I just think we need to do a						
12	better job of coming up with a plan and a vision and						
13	a task force or a commission or some body that						
14	doesn't put it all on Barbara; she does so much and						
15	she has done so much already in two years, but I just						
16	want to ensure that we continue to coordinate in a						
17	real way and come up with a real plan.						
18	MAURA KENNELLY: Thank you and we						
19	appreciate your thoughts and Council Member Vacca's						
20	and I think that you've given us a lot to think about						
21	and we will think about that very carefully and we						

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Council Member Cornegy.

look forward to talking with you further.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you,

I just would like to offer two perspectives of my own; one is as the Chair of the Committee on Small Business. What I've watched is the entire food industry begin a trend of healthy options across the board and I think that that is informed by what consumers demanded, not by government's input, right, number one. Number two; for once my opinion on a bill was informed very locally, by my family, my six children, which we had a discussion about their eating habits and all of the change and my twin 8year-olds were able to describe to me that they now take the milk and water option, they don't drink soda anymore, and the fruit, they love the apples. So for me, I am in my household attempting to raise critical thinkers about what they do and I think as a society I'd like to think that it's reasonable for consumers to be able to have a determining factor in what they eat, drink, live and all of those kinds of things and so for me those two perspectives I think are very important; you know, I don't often let my family shape my opinion on legislation, trust me, but this one was very germane to how they live their lives.

24

2.2

2.3

record.

Now, I will take into consideration the fact that my last children are 8 and potentially aging out, you know, wanting to have a toy and until there's apps present, you know, probably for them it's irrelevant, so I do understand that, but they're aging out at a time that the industry is changing, so you know, I'm watching just the industry at large make determinations driven by what consumers' demands are, not government. So that's my opinion for the

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council Member Cornegy. Council Member Koo.

just wanna make sure that Council Member Kallos [sic] that I'm against this bill a 100%; I commend his intention of bringing obesity to be a talking topic among our citizens, because obesity is really a big problem. So I'm thinking the Department of Health can do a lot of things to decrease obesity; you can work in conjunction with other agencies; we have to make sure our kids exercise because now some schools, they don't even have play pass [sic], they have no exercise, no P.E. classes and then because of the advance in technology, everybody is glued to their

cell phone or their whatever, their smartphone or
tablet to watch movies or whatever, right, the
message [sic], so we have to encourage people,
especially young kids, to exercise more, make it a
routine for them, especially in the minority
neighborhoods, because they don't have the
understanding exercise is the key; you do exercise a
lot, you can eat whatever you want, [inaudible],
that's the key and so we have to inform and make sure
that you guys and Department of Education and all
the other agencies DYCD do more exercise and
then teach the public about the side effects of
drinking too much sugar, 'cause if you wanna pass a
law like this, we might as well pass a law to say,
Starbucks, you cannot sell Frappuccinos; too much
calories in there; right, too much sugar. You know,
a large size of Frappuccino has a lot of calories,
you know [interpose]
COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I don't shop at
Starbucks

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. So my point is that we shouldn't legislate people's habits because those are cultures; I mean we can inform them or we can teach young kids so that when they grow up

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	they know what's good nutrition; what's bad						
3	nutrition. So that's my point. Thank you. Thank						
4	you for coming here and again, hopefully we can work						
5	together on other projects how to inform the public.						
6	This is good, keeping up [sic] the subject, everybody						
7	talk about it, you know, a Happy Meal, you know, but						
8	we shouldn't target McDonald's. If you wanna target						
9	[inaudible], go do it for everyone, no giveaways,						
10	Macy's, they all have giveaways.						
11	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Macy's?						
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah, buy this; get						
13	this; right?						
14	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Oh yeah.						
15	[background comment]						
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: One thing, Corey.						
17	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Cornegy; then						
18	Vacca.						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: I'm sorry, the						
20	last thought that I would like to say is that I						
21	believe… I would air on the side of a very robust						
22	continued education and outreach program as opposed						
23	to the legislation. I think that one of the things						
24	I had the pleasure of working with the Department of						
25	Health on a very robust outlet density study that we						

2	did and it produced great results and used great
3	imagery and really helped we live in a tremendously
4	visual society; I think we all know that, so I would
5	air on the side of more education as opposed to being
6	punitive or targeting small businesses, as were
7	mentioned, so for me, education is key and education
8	and options and a holistic approach to this; I think
9	it was mentioned earlier that we don't have enough
10	P.E. or opportunities for exercise and that's almost
11	like an after thought, so to try to, you know this
12	all has to go hand in hand, so thank you but thank
13	you for being here and thank you Chairs and Ben for
14	this very important topic.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Vacca.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I wanna join in thanking everyone, thanking the panel; I just...
[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: There's still more people to testify after this.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I know; I'll be here. One thing... I wanted to say that we have to include in our education effort that people cannot think that as long as they exercise they can eat

2.2

2.3

2	whatever	thev	want;	thev	cannot	, thev	cannot

Because diet is two-thirds of the battle; exercise is great, it works, don't get me wrong; I exercise, but the thing is, the diet is very important, short-term and long-term -- cholesterol, health, blood pressure, etc., salt; we have to emphasize that. So I think what we're trying to say is that we wanna work with you, but let's get going soon. Okay?

MAURA KENNELLY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: This week is week four of this season of The Biggest Loser, FYI. [laughter] Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Just a point of clarification; I think the enforcement issues were not brought up during our last meeting, but one thing I will just echo a lot of my colleagues' point; we're 755 days in, we have fewer days left in the administration than we did before, we have 705 days left and so I think one of the things that I was always raised with is; don't knock something unless you've got a better idea, so does... and I think everyone has already asked this, but does DOHMH have a better idea than trying to make sure that we tie

2.2

2.3

incentive items or even just all kids meals to

3 | nutrition guidelines?

DR. SONIA ANGELL: So we're pleased to meet with you to discuss through what the options would be.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, I think what you're hearing from the entire Health Committee is we wanna see something very serious, substantive that will take on this obesity crisis. I think given the high rates of childhood obesity and the emergence of Type 2 Diabetes among children in New York City, why are soda and other sugar-sweetened beverages still on kids' menus at the overwhelming majority of our restaurants?

DAN KASS: I mean the simple reason they're on the menu is because the restaurants are currently entitled to offer whatever they want. So again, you know we approached this bill with, you know, sympathetic to its goals; we agree that sugarsweetened beverages are an unnecessary and leading source of excess of calories for children and we'd be happy to work with you to try to think of some other solutions.

2.

been speaking a lot about the National Restaurant
Association's Kids LiveWell program -- individual
companies' [sic] efforts have resulted in modest
improvements to restaurant kids meals, progress has
been slow, according to data from the Center for
Science in the Public Interest; in 2008, 1% of kids
meals were healthy; in 2012 that number had only
improved to 3%; without this legislation, when can
New York City parents expect that most kids'
restaurants will actually have a healthy option?

DAN KASS: I mean I appreciate the question, so I think we have to say that, you know we're happy to work with you to find some alternatives.

my final question is; in light of the fact that children consume about 25% of their calories from restaurants and that childhood is a critical time for growth and development, and even from your own report, 35% of those meals are kids meals; how do we target those meals? I feel like that's a sizable number; in your report seem to say only a third of meals, but oh my god, that's a lot of kids, that's

20% of our city and 25% of those calories are coming from restaurants and we have an opportunity to target 35% -- that's hundreds of thousands if not millions of meals and kids, so [interpose, background comment] I think those are people we wanna give a healthy option; right?

DAN KASS: I mean it's a third of a quarter in terms of the overall... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: When we're talking about scale, one-sixth of our city; that's huge... [crosstalk]

DAN KASS: Yep. But I think... you know, as we sort of... as you give us an opportunity to think about and to discuss more with you options, you know that kind of kids meals are a component, you know we... one consequence of some of this legislation might be simply to eliminate the incentive without necessarily changing the makeup of the kids meal, so I think we'd like to talk to you about, you know, the best possible way to influence each component of a kids meal and the kids meal itself.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Good. I mean I look forward to having you all engage with Council Member Kallos. What's a reasonable timeframe,

reasonable, realistic and not solely addressing

Council Member Kallos' bill; you all will communicate

with him about that in whatever way is best, but in

actually coming up with a comprehensive plan and what

council members have raised today related to obesity

and childhood obesity and cross-agency coordination;

maybe it's already happening but we don't know it;

what's a realistic timeframe for you to come back to

the Council and present that to us and for us to

MAURA KENNELLY: So I think that there is already a lot of work that the Department is doing, but from what we hear from you guys today, maybe we're not doing as good of a job as we would like to promote that work, so I think that we can schedule a meeting in the next few weeks to talk through with you what we're currently doing and what our plans are for the future, if that works well for you.

continue to have those conversations?

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Great. So I wanna thank you all for coming today, for being here; thank you, Dan, Dr. Angell, Tom and Maura for being here; we appreciate you coming to testify and look forward to working together on shared goals. Thank you very much.

Okay, we're gonna call the next panel up.

The next panel -- we're gonna get to everyone, so

don't worry. Brian Elbel from the NYU School of

Medicine; Dr. Marie Bragg from the NYU School of

Medicine; Kimberly Libman from the New York Academy

of Medicine; Nick Freudenberg from the CUNY School of

Public Health and Dr. Charles Platline [sic] from

Hunter College. [background comments]

So sergeant, we're gonna put the folks' testimony on a three-minute clock, so if you all could -- I'm sure there'll be questions, so if you can't get to everything in your testimony, you may have an opportunity to inject some of what you weren't able to cover in the Q&A portion of this panel. So you begin in whatever order you'd like; if you could just speak clearly into the mic; make sure the red light is on.

Did we get everyone; is someone not here?

[background comment] Dr. Charles Platline

[background comment] Sorry. Nick Freudenberg? No.

Is Nick Freudenberg here? Okay. You all may begin.

BRIAN ELBEL: Great. Good afternoon,
Chairman Johnson, Councilman Kallos and members of
the committee. My name's Brian Elbel, I'm an

2.2

2.3

Associate Professor in the Department of Population

Health at NYU School of Medicine and also at the

Wagner School of Policy here at NYU and I direct a

Section on Health Choice Policy and Evaluation, and

most of my work looks at obesity; in particular looks

at food choice in relation to obesity and I'm happy

to talk to you today about this bill.

You know, since my appointment at NYU in 2007, I have a broad set of funding from the NIH and key foundations to answer very similar questions to this, including things like the impact of calorie labeling, supermarkets in high-need areas and the overall role of the food environment on childhood obesity. And today I'm gonna outline the potential health benefits of this ordinance, No. 0422 [sic] and more specifically look at the potential reduced consumption of things like calories, sodium and percentage of calories if this bill were to be implemented, and I should say that this paper is joint with Marie Bragg, who you're gonna hear from separately and you already heard it referenced by Sonia Angell from the Department of Health.

And so what we did with this paper is we looked at purchases made for 422 kids in 2013 and

2	2014 from areas in New York City and elsewhere,
3	focusing on sort of the three largest fast food
4	chains in New York City that had menu options that
5	included kids meals in particular; these were Burger
6	King, McDonald's and Wendy's, and as you heard from
7	Dr. Angell, among our sample about 35% of kids
8	actually purchase a kids combination meal overall.
9	And what we did is we first looked at these meals and
10	said which of them meet the criteria laid out in this
11	proposed bill right now and we found that essentially
12	none of them did, 98% didn't meet the criteria for at
13	least one of the standards that are proposed here.
14	Then we looked at what would happen if the kids
15	walked into the restaurant, they ordered the same
16	thing that they did before and suddenly the menus
17	actually met these criteria, the children's meals
18	actually met this criteria and we looked at questions
19	like, what would be the reduction in things like
20	calories, sodium and calories from fat and we found
21	that there would be real reductions, about 54
22	calories for the 35% of kids that purchase these
23	meals and about a 10% reduction in sodium and a 10%
	1

reduction in calories from fat as well.

24

2.3

So again, looking at this pretty narrow but we think important question of what would be the role of, if this bill were implemented right now, in kids making the same purchases, the reduction in overall calories, calories from fat and saturated fat, we think there's some real differences here that you could see; is this a, you know, life-altering difference here? No, but I think in childhood obesity anything right now that might have a real meaningful impact we're really looking for. So that's our overall sense of what might happen if this bill were to be implemented now.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: That was amazing. Thank you. [laughter, background comments]

MARIE BRAGG: Thank you for inviting me to testify at today's hearing regarding nutritional standards for distributing incentive items aimed at children. My name is Marie Bragg and I'm an Assistant Professor in the Section for Health Choice Policy and Evaluation at the NYU School of Medicine with a joint appointment at the College of Global Public Health at NYU. Most of my research focuses on food marketing geared towards children and so I'd

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

like to share some research findings relevant to this proposal.

So we heard of it earlier, some of the expenditures that food companies dedicate towards child-targeted marketing and each year companies spend \$1.8 billion targeting young people with food advertisements. Most of the unhealthy products in these advertisements are targeted towards communities of color and these sorts of advertisements have been shown to overwhelm parents' abilities to regulate the children's diet, they increase children's preferences for these products, they increase purchase requests for these products and they lead children to overeat advertised products. And so one of the areas of focus of food policy research has been the use of targeted marketing and we see that in communities of color, that there is a heavier amount of food marketing and that in fast food restaurants specifically, food marketing is highly prevalent inside fast food restaurants. Also, black and Latino adolescents and children see more advertisements for unhealthy products than their white peers. And importantly, there are numerous studies showing that cartoon characters, characters appealing to children,

Two research studies I wanna highlight in

are heavily used in advertisements in supermarkets, on websites and in fast food restaurants.

particular focus on the use of incentives or characters in child-targeted marketing. One was a study conducted by researchers at Yale University where they asked preschool children to rate and taste food, so if you could imagine, we had on this table packages of food that had either Shrek or Dora the Explorer or Scooby Doo stickers on them and kids were asked to rate the taste of those foods in those packages compared to food that didn't have characters on it and preschool kids actually thought that the food tasted better when there was a character like Shrek on the package; they wanted the food more; they thought it tasted better if there was a cartoon character on it.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: That's disturbing.

MARIE BRAGG: These are the same kinds of characters used in many incentive items in fast food restaurants.

Another study in 2009 conducted by researchers at Stanford showed similar effects with a McDonald's logo. So again, preschool children were

2.2

2.3

targeted marketing.

shown packages that either had a McDonald's logo or not, were asked to taste and rate the foods and children thought that the foods actually tasted better when they had the McDonald's logo on them.

This extended to snacks that weren't even sold at McDonald's, baby carrots, which goes to show the power of the logo, these cartoon characters in child-

So Dr. Elbel already discussed the study that we published this past year related to the changes in calorie consumption and fat consumption and so given the ties between [bell] child preferences and food-targeted marketing, we know this is an important area to address with these types of policies.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

DR. KIMBERLY LIBMAN: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Dr. Kimberly Libman; I'm the Deputy

Director for Prevention at the New York Academy of

Medicine. On behalf of the New York Academy of

Medicine I'd like to thank Council Member Johnson and

Council Member Kallos for bringing this bill forward

and for the opportunity to testify today.

We support Local Law 0442 because it has the potential to positively impact health equity among New York City's children and prevent further escalation of our current epidemic of non-communicable diseases.

The Academy was founded in 1847 to take on the critical health problems facing New York City at that time and we continue to advance solutions that promote the health and wellbeing of people living in cities worldwide through active research, evaluation, education and policy work.

As the folks who have testified before me have already made clear, food marketing increases children's demand for the foods promoted.

Unfortunately, the majority of restaurant foods advertised to young people are high in fat and sugar, which contributes to obesity and poor health. The Academy supports this legislation because it will begin to rebalance this equation by setting the marketing prowess of the food industry to work promoting healthy foods and dietary behavior to children in New York City, and by that market prowess, I want to specifically note that \$866

2.2

2.3

million Dr. Angell had mentioned, that goes to
marketing sugar-sweetened beverages alone.

This act amends the Administrative Code of New York City and sets nutritional standards for distributing purchasing incentives aimed at children and is an important step towards reducing childhood obesity and its persistent disparities in our city.

In 2012, among all youth aged 12-19 in New York City, more than 25% were overweight or obese. New York City in the past has leveraged a coordinated effort against obesity, has been a national leader in policies to address the obesity epidemic and in 2011 was able to demonstrate a significant decline in the overall prevalence of child obesity in New York City, an amazing feat for which we should all be proud. However, that decline hides some very real disparities between children who are white and of color as well as between children who come from more substantial means and those who come from low-income backgrounds.

The disparities in childhood obesity among youth in New York City become very real disparities in non-communicable diseases among adults. Consider that diabetes mortality is 2.7

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

times higher in high poverty than low poverty
neighborhoods. National data suggests that there are
also racial disparities in fast food consumption
among children.

This legislation is in line with the evidence informed [bell] and internationally recognized best practice for preventing obesity and non-communicable diseases. This best practice is based on the premise that food policies to prevent obesity should aim to improve dietary behaviors by improving the availability, affordability and very importantly here, the acceptability of healthy diets and decreasing the availability, affordability and acceptability of unhealthy diets. A summary of the evidence from multiple systematic literature reviews finds that promotions have a direct effect on children's nutrition knowledge, preferences, purchasing behavior, consumption patterns and dietrelated health. Current marketing practice predominantly promotes low nutrition foods and beverages... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I need you to wrap

24 up.

2	DR. KIMBERLY LIBMAN: Okay. So I just
3	wanna state that you know there's been some research
4	demonstrating a Happy Meal effect that's already been
5	mentioned here and I wanna skip ahead to the evidence
6	from San Francisco where they've already implemented
7	a similar policy and there were two main findings
8	from a pre and post evaluation of that policy. The
9	study suggested that the food industry will respond
10	quickly; that those quick responses can have
11	significant impacts, as Dr. Elbel had mentioned, but
12	it also noted the importance of closing what has been
13	called the nominal fee loophole. And so I wanna cal
14	attention to the effort that Council Member Kallos
15	has made to close that loophole. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you very

much.

DR. KIMBERLY LIBMAN: Uhm-hm.

CHARLES PLATKIN: Hi; how are you? My name is Charles Platkin and I'm Director of the New York City Food Policy Center at Hunter College. This is just a really abbreviated version of my written testimony that's been submitted.

Good afternoon and thank you to Chairman Corey Johnson and the members of the Committee on

2.2

2.3

Health for the opportunity to speak with you about
the proposed local law to amend the Administrative
Code of the City of New York in relation to setting
nutritional standards and distributing incentive
items aimed at children. I congratulate Council
Member Kallos and the co-sponsors for their work, for
the innovative thinking that went into proposing this
bill, which seeks to better the health of the
children in the City of New York. I'd like to urge
the committee and the City Council to support this
important legislation.

I'm here on behalf of the New York City
Food Policy Center at Hunter College, which I'm the
Director; the Center was created in 2012 to develop
collaborative, innovative and evidence-based
solutions to preventing diet-related diseases and we
thank the City Council and the Speaker's Office for
the support of the Center.

Food marketing to children and more specifically, using marketing incentives that encourage children to consume unhealthy, high calorie, high fat, high sugar meals at fast food restaurants is an issue of growing national and international public health concern. It's therefore

natural and fitting for New York City to meaningfully
address this issue through truly progressive
legislation, especially given the City's history for
righting social inequities and serving as a role
model for other urban centers throughout the United
States and beyond. In an extensive review of the
research, the American Psychological Association
concluded that most children under the age of 8 are
unable to understand the persuasive intent of
advertisements; additionally, the research
demonstrated that the product references effect
children's product purchase requests and that these
requests in turn influence parents' purchasing
decisions primarily through what is commonly known as
a nag factor. In other words, this type of marketing
is by definition exploitive, because young children
don't have the capacity to understand that they are
being manipulated to nag their parents or guardians
to buy unhealthy food products. Researchers have
documented this so-called nag factor and have shown
that food companies even know what types and kinds of
tantrums work best. Think about this; in 2009,
according to a report from the Rudd Center for Food
Policy and Obesity, the average child viewed 262 TV

2	commercials that targeted them to go to local fast
3	food outlets and participate in toy giveaways and
4	other promotions. In fact each year the food
5	industry spends hundreds of millions of dollars on
6	powerful predatory marketing of unhealthy food
7	products to children. For example, a 2014 McDonald's
8	TV commercial with a Happy Meal giving away 14 toys,
9	was tied to the highest grossing film, How to Train
10	Your Dragon 2. As in all such advertising, the toys
11	in the McDonald's campaign were primary incentives
12	for the purchase of this unhealthy food. The
13	campaign typified the trend towards tying fast food
14	kids' meal premiums to popular movies and TV shows.
15	[bell] The aim is always the same; enticing,
16	engaging and entertaining a young and vulnerable
17	population into eating unhealthy fast food. One of
18	parents' most important jobs is to provide their
19	children with healthy foods; food marketing to
20	children, including the use of toy giveaways and
21	premiums undermines this parental right and
22	privilege. It's difficult enough getting children to
23	eat healthy and diverse diet, however, targeting them
24	by offering toy giveaways tied to unhealthy food
25	gives the fast food industry an unfair advantage.

Thank you, Doctor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The proposed law, if enacted, will send a message to our community, making it clear that the New York City Council cares about the health and welfare of our children and about their future as citizens of this great city.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON:

So I have a few questions. So thank you for being here today, for coming to testify for ... you know, this is not me saying it's not true, but you know, this is not my area of expertise, so to come here today with research, I'm going to assume it's accurate; I'm sure people are gonna testify after you who are probably gonna say it's not accurate; then it's gonna be difficult to determine what's accurate and what's not accurate, but some of it actually just sort of makes sense on its face, that if in face you use images that children have some type of affinity for, whether it be Shrek or Tony the Tiger or, you know, Snap, Crackle and Pop; whatever it is, these fictitious things that are made to try to get children to have some type of affinity towards it; what basically your research is showing is that that deliberate, repetitive marketing has a neurological impact that then draws children and sometimes maybe even their

2.2

2.3

2	families to purchase that type of food, even though
3	it's unhealthy food, and Dr. Bragg, I think you
4	talked about the fact that even if it's not a
5	fictitious character, it it's just the logo of a

6 well-known brand; similar things happen here.

I also wanna be clear that, I mean... I don't know if Council Member Kallos agrees on this; I'm not looking to target or be punitive towards McDonald's here, I mean I don't think it's fair to make them the sole culprits and the boogeyman in all of this; I think they have areas they can improve in and I think they're probably the most well-known brand in America, so it's easy to target them, but there are plenty of large fast food restaurant companies that are behaving in similar ways. So is that really sort of the... I don't mean to denigrate all the work that you did by trying to encapsulate it into that, but that's basically what you all are saying here, right?

MARIE BRAGG: And I'd like to just

mention that studies like these have been conducted

repeatedly over the last couple of decades and so

it's now in the dozens of studies capturing the

amount of expenditures, capturing the impact on adult

2.2

2.3

behavior, capturing the impact on child behavior, so it's not just one or two studies demonstrating it; it's a really robust well-done set of studies demonstrating these effects.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Great. Did you have something, Doctor?

CHARLES PLATKIN: Just that I agree and I think that is a good way of summarizing it.

Basically this is a vulnerable population that is being unfairly targeted and this so-called nag factor is a real phenomenon and it should be looked at and thought about and it really helps to support what this committee has worked on.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So I wanna make a comparison here and this is not me trying hyperbolic or in any way to target fast food companies when I say this, because you know, smoking is different, of course, than consuming French fries, we know that; I mean, both are bad in some ways, but smoking is a lot worse, but I think we learned, and what came up for me when you had mentioned you research is what we saw that tobacco companies used to do with Joe Camel ads in trying to target young people to make it seem cool and hip and happening and the Joe Camel would be in a

2	tuxedo with a top hat on, smoking a cigarette. I
3	mean again, I'm not saying that fast food companies
4	are the same as tobacco companies, but the marketing
5	is sort of similar in trying to draw people in; is

CHARLES PLATKIN: I think that's a great comparison.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: 'Kay.

MARIE BRAGG: And there's a study in Milbank Quarterly from 2009 written by Ken Warner, an expert in tobacco research and Kelly Brownell, an expert in food policy research, drawing parallels to the marketing and different actions taken by both the tobacco industry and the food industry and how similar they are in ways and different as well, but that provides a good review of those two topics juxtaposed.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Great. I wanna thank you all for being here today and I appreciate your testimony. Thank you. Did you have a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Oh yeah.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Oh, you didn't tell me. Okay, Council Member Kallos.

2.2

2.3

that correct?

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 92						
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you for						
3	your testimony. Any of you; was your research funded						
4	by any fast food industry or restaurant association						
5	or other special interests?						
6	CHARLES PLATKIN: No.						
7	BRIAN ELBEL: No.						
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Was any of your						
9	research funded by me or this City Council?						
10	MARIE BRAGG: No.						
11	CHARLES PLATKIN: Well the Food Policy						
12	Center is funded by the City Council, but has has						
13	funding from the City Council						
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, but						
15	CHARLES PLATKIN: but it doesn't sway						
16	our… my opinion… [crosstalk]						
17	BRIAN ELBEL: So our work is funded by						
18	the Federal National Institutes of Health and the						
19	Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.						
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. So there						
21	are no healthy food industry special interests saying						
22	we want you to do research on healthy food options.						
23	Thank you.						
24	So my first question is for Brian; thank						

you for doing the study; I think it's incredibly

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	important that we actually make decisions in our						
3	city, whether it's Uber or fast food, following						
4	scientific research and studying; actually, in the						
5	Uber situation we learned that it wasn't what we						
6	thought it would be. So just to be clear, among you						
7	sample, 35% of the children ate children's						
8	combination meals that included a toy?						
9	BRIAN ELBEL: That's right.						
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And currently,						
11	98% of the meals would not meet our nutrition						
12	criteria proposed by the bill?						
13	BRIAN ELBEL: That's right; that looked						
14	at exact purchases by these kids and 98% didn't meet						
15	criteria; that's right.						
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you know how						
17	many would've Did you look at the Kids LiveWell at						
18	all or was that… [crosstalk]						
19	BRIAN ELBEL: No, we didn't look at that.						
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you. And						
21	then one question I had is; it says, children whose						
22	purchases include such a meal would see a 9%						
23	reduction and then it continues and then said, there						
24	would be an overall 3% reduction in calories consumed						

by children at these restaurants regardless of

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2	purchase.	What	are	you	distinguishing	here?
3	[crosstalk]]				

BRIAN ELBEL: Yeah, so the two distinctions there; among the 35% that actually ate a kids combo meal, which this policy, at least as currently considered, would actually impact, so it looks at those kids and then said taking into account that almost two-thirds of the kids didn't purchase a combo meal, what would be the overall impact averaging over all those kids.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So this would actually impact kids not eating the combo meal or?

BRIAN ELBEL: No, it assumes that the kids not eating the combo meal are gonna get exactly what they got before and it just sort of takes that impact over and above everyone else.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. The next question is for Kimberly Libman from New York Academy of Medicine. You got cut off right before you were getting to the interesting stuff about what happened in San Francisco. So if you could spend 30 second or less just summarizing what happened in San Francisco when they passed very similar legislation.

[background comments]

2.2

2.3

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DR. KIMBERLY LIBMAN: Thank you.

Alright, so the legislation in San Francisco, the study there basically found that the food industry did not change their children's meals as a direct result or immediately following the City Council's policy, but it did happen sort of concurrently and it seemed to encourage the industry to move in a direction of providing healthier children's meals in What the researchers in California found is that the industry also moved to make the toys or incentive items available for a nominal fee; in their case it was 10 cents. And so what the Academy is suggesting is that the Council -- we wanna commend you for including a clause in the bill to try and close that loophole on the nominal fee, but we think the language could be stronger and could be more specific in terms of defining what level of fee is considered nominal.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you have specific language you propose?

DR. KIMBERLY LIBMAN: I don't have specific language on that that we have proposed, but... [crosstalk]

24

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: If you would consider amending your testimony to include the specific language, I am very interested [sic].

My next question is for Dr. Marie Bragg.

Thank you for compelling information. So one
question; this might be speculative, but it seems
like a lot of the things you're telling us about in
terms of advertising and its impacts are things that
you've researched, but it seems like a \$1.8 billion
investment in advertising would demonstrate that the
industry believes your research.

MARIE BRAGG: The fact that they spend so much money suggests, in a business and you know, what they decide is a good investment and is not at all my area of expertise, but I think... my own commonsense suggests that if they are spending \$1.8 billion on these target marketings; they thing something within it is working. And so the research that examines that has been consistent over time and there have been a variety of outlets that have demonstrated child-targeted marketing, but they hold onto their data, so we can't... we don't know studies that they've done that might be similar to ours and what those outcomes were.

there any question I didn't ask that I should've?

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

24

25

2.2

2.3

as folks come up.

Thank you very much coming and really the research
you are doing will shape public health in our city,
in our nation; please keep up the great work.

5 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you all very
6 much. Next up we have Gerald Fernandez from
7 McDonald's; Dr. Cindy Goody from McDonald's; Tony
8 Herbert from the New York State Minority Restaurant
9 and Nightlife Association, and Thomas Green [sic]
10 from the Queens Chamber of Commerce. Yep, Council
11 Member Kallos wants to read something into the record

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, while we're waiting for the panel in opposition, I did wanna take a moment to note that New York State Senator Gustavo Rivera has similar companion legislation in the State of New York; his full testimony has been entered into the record; I will read one paragraph from it.

Senator Gustavo Rivera truly believes
that to combat obesity, diabetes and other health
issues that are plaguing our youth, we must put forth
policies that create an environment that encourages
children to develop healthy habits. The goal of
Council Member Kallos' Healthy Happy Meals bill and

2.2

2.3

my bill in the State Senate is the same, to allow
children to make healthy food choices without any
misguided influence, while holding restaurants
accountable for the food they are advertising to
children; this type of policy has proven to do both

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you. So you may begin in whatever order you'd like, just speak directly into the mic and make sure the red light is on. Go ahead, sir.

TONY HERBERT: First, my name is Tony
Herbert, Community Advocate Tony Herbert; I am the
President and CEO of the New York State Minority
Restaurant and Nightlife Association, although I sit
here wearing three different hats; I'm also the Vice
President of Youth Step USA, which is a national
program and also the Chair of the African American
International Chamber of Commerce.

I thank you guys for this opportunity to offer testimony. So let me say by saying good afternoon again; I'll read my statement [sic].

My name is Tony Herbert; I am the

President and CEO, as said, of the New York State

Minority Restaurant and Nightlife Association; as

such, I work to identify and advocate for small

2.2

2.3

business owners in the restaurant and nightlife
industries here in New York. I'm also a community
activist based in Brooklyn; it is in this capacity
that I've had the opportunity to work with McDonald's
franchisees in New York City over the past year
following an incident which is well-known involving
some young people at one of Brooklyn's locations.
Since my introduction to these owners/operators, I've
found them to be good, upstanding small business
owners who are involved in their communities and
wanna do right by the people they serve each and
every day.

All over the city young people congregate in McDonald's restaurants after school and on weekends; they use McDonald's as gathering places because they're warm and inviting, they offer a variety of menu options which now include more and more healthier items. The other reason so many young people assemble in McDonald's restaurants, I believe, is the tremendous lack of City-sponsored recreational facilities available in all neighborhoods for our school children. If this committee or the City Council really wanted to help our young people, it should invest in providing safe places for them to

2	spend their time and energies positively and
3	constructively. We have a responsibility as a
4	society to nurture and foster these energies, to
5	harness them for the good of these kids and with no
6	outlet for the future of our city; that is despair.
7	At a time when crimes involving young people appear
8	almost daily on our televisions, do we really need
9	our elected officials to mandate what kind of toys
10	quick-service restaurants like McDonald's can give
11	away or do we need our leaders to be providing hope
12	and opportunity to the kids all over this city, some
13	of whom are one bad decision away from a lifetime of
14	being behind bars or dead. The good men and women
15	who own McDonald's restaurants employ thousands of
16	our city's young people, minorities alike, giving
17	them pride that comes with a job as well as
18	opportunities for career training and advancement.
19	To target these owners/operators is nothing more than
20	a hindrance to our community and overreach of a city
21	government that should instead be focusing on the
22	most vital of our needs; the education and growth of
23	our kids and the health and safety of all our people.
2.4	T ask this sommittee to feeus on what's important and

2.2

2.3

please leave the toys alone. Thank you for your

3 consideration and your time this afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you,

5 Mr. Herbert.

Councilman Johnson and the members of the Health

Committee. My Name is Tom Grech, Executive Director

of the Queens Chamber of Commerce. I'm here today

not just as the Director of the Queens Chamber of

Commerce, but wholly and jointly representing all the

other chambers of commerce of the City of New York,

including the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce, the Bronx

Chamber of Commerce, Manhattan Chamber of Commerce

and the Staten Island Chamber of Commerce.

Keeping residents healthy is a great objective and we are pleased to see the City work to educate the public on what they can do to stay fit and eat right. Studies have shown that having a healthy workforce increases productivity and many companies encourage their employees to be active and inform them of what they can do during the day to stay fit. The five Chambers of Commerce applaud these efforts and we look forward to working with you to help educate the public on these initiatives.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 When it comes to Int 0442, however, we 3 believe it goes too far and puts an undue burden on 4 small businesses. While McDonald's corporate headquarters are in Oak Brook, Illinois, over 90% of the McDonald's in New York City are locally owned 6 7 franchisees. These are small to medium size 8 businesses owned and operated by independent business men and women who live, raise families and hire local residents, anchoring business corridors throughout 10 11 the City. These franchises must develop their own 12 business plan, are in charge of ensuring their own 13 stores succeed. By passing Int 0442, it will impede 14 small businesses that generate more than a \$100 15 million in sales taxes and more than \$32 million in property taxes for the City of New York. 16

Like any business, McDonald's has changed the way they operate; my working with organizations such as the Alliance for a Healthier Generation, cofounded by the American Heart Association and the Council of Better Business Bureaus, they have changed the way they serve meals based on the latest nutritional information, as well as customer feedback. McDonald's Happy Meals currently offer child size portions of an entrée, a kid size fry and

2.2

2	a choice of low-fat yogurt, apple slices and beverage
3	choices that include milk and juice. Alternatively,
4	McDonald's regular menu offers standard meals
5	intended for older customers; this has resulted in
6	healthier meals for all of McDonald's customers and
7	between 2013 and 2014, orders of fruit served as part
8	of Happy Meals increased by nearly 50%. The toys
9	offered with Happy Meals are only an incentive to
10	purchase an appropriately sized and balanced meal for
11	a child and has no correlation with the health of the
12	meal they're ordering.

The five Chambers of Commerce respectfully request this introduction be voted down. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Grech.

TOM GRECH: Thank you.

GERALD FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Gerry Fernandez; I'm President and Founder of MFHA, the Multicultural Foodservice & Hospital Alliance. For 20 years we have been making the case for why the restaurant industry is a good place to work for people who look like me, people of color, people who come from low-income and in my case, a

widowed family. I started as a dishwasher when I was
in high school and I spent most of my time in the
dish room and today I spend my time in the boardroom
helping companies figure out the value of diversity
and inclusion; how do we sell, market and serve to
customers of color in ways that are culturally
responsible; how do we engage with the community in
ways that helps build the businesses, help minority
franchisees grow? And in every one of these
instances it's been McDonald's who's been the leader
with us. So I stand here as a father, as a
grandfather who regularly serves my grandkids a Happy
Meal as a way to reward them for doing well in
school; I raised three boys doing that. McDonald's
is the leader and I feel, listening to these
proceedings, as if they've been targeted, as if they
were the enemy. Our industry needs to do more, I
work with the restaurant associations; we do need to
do more, but McDonald's is the leader and I really
feel anger about the way that they've been targeted
across this country, maybe not particularly with this
group, but across the country McDonald's has been in
the forefront.

2.3

I also think that we have to remember

that the government does not change behavior, people change behavior; when seat belts changed, it was people's behavior that changed, it's not laws that are gonna change it. Our industry does a tremendous amount to move the needle on these things around health and our industry has made a move; we think that the marketplace will speak for this; I agree with what was said earlier, that small franchisees are gonna get hurt if there's too much regulation and the role of government and industry working together, as has been suggested here today, is a really good idea, but unfortunately we don't see enough of that.

in the session here that the companies that are in the forefront of their industries ought to be in the forefront of solving and working in the communities; no company has done a better job in my view on advancing diversity, minority franchisee development, of supply development and for women and people of color and I'm proud to say that they've been a supporter of ours and I'm happy to be here to support them today.

2 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, sir.

3 Dr. Goody.

2.2

2.3

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Cynthia Goody and I lead [sic] McDonald's nutrition for McDonald's USA. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you.

I've been on this journey with New York
City starting in July of 2011 with then City Council
Member Leroy Comrie. At that time I had a 6-monthold; we're here five years later almost and my child
will turn 5 on Sunday; she eats a Happy Meal
regularly; she's also active and fit. Let's talk
about McDonald's.

As one of the oldest and most recognized quick-service restaurants in the world today,

McDonald's has more than 14,000 locations in the U.S. and 230 restaurants here in New York City. As a nutritionist I'm here to speak not about the tremendous economic impacts of our restaurants, nor the strength of our supply chain; rather, the great strides in nutrition over the past few years.

It may have been a while since members of the committee may have had a Happy Meal, so I brought some with me today, several combinations for you to

My esteemed

see because ah-hah, here we go		see	because	ah-hah,	here	we	go.
--------------------------------	--	-----	---------	---------	------	----	-----

3 gentlemen will assist as I spill the water, but

4 that's okay, we're a restaurant company, we'll wipe

5 it up.

1

2

24

So let me show you what we have here. I

one box, Gerry, we have a hamburger, a kids' fry,

8 apple juice and apple slices. My friend here to my

9 left will show you that we have a four-piece

10 McNugget, kids' fries, 1% low-fat white milk and

11 apple slices. Quick quiz; which one of these meals

12 meets the proposed criteria for Int 0442, which meal;

13 this meal or this meal?

14 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: We're not playing

15 games; tell us... [crosstalk]

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Alright, we won't

17 keep you [sic]. Okay. This one meets the criteria;

18 this one does not meet the criteria.

19 Imagine you have two children in the back

20 seat; one wants this meal, one wants this meal; this

21 | child would get the toy; this child would get no toy

22 at all. So let me refresh. As you may recall, in

23 | 2011 then City Council Member, now State Senator

Leroy Comrie introduced legislation very similar to

25 that being debated here today. His legislation

sought to prohibit restaurants from distributing
incentive items aimed at children. We worked with
the council member on the rollout of significant
changes to Happy Meals. [bell] As a result of our
partnership, Council Member Comrie later stood with
executives from McDonald's in support of the
substantial steps we've taken to provide balanced
Happy Meal offerings. The proposal today links the
sale of toys caloric intake, fat, added sugar and
sodium; an overwhelming majority of McDonald's meals,
much like the ones I've presented today, fall well
below the suggested aggregate calorie count as
proposed in this bill. In New York City McDonald's
serves one million meals per months; in New York
City, the New York City public schools serve five
million meals a month. Limiting kids' meals offer
with a toy to a set of arbitrary nutrition standards
could result in reducing the amount of fresh fruit,
low-fat and fat-free dairy and juice provided to
children and move customers, young customers to
choose options that will not include these
nutritional and child size portion menu items.

Here's why we're opposed to this proposal: 1. the proposed criteria are not based on

3

science or generally accepted nutrition standards;

2. it could result in unintended consequences,

4 driving kids to consume more calories and less

5 produce and less dairy; 3. today more than 95% of

6 restaurants around the world offer fruit, vegetables;

7 | low-fat dairy as an option in Happy Meals, so we're

8 already addressing the goals of this legislation by

9 providing calorie-appropriate meals with low-fat

10 dairy, low sugar and fruit options. We've worked

11 | very closely with external partners and subject

12 matter experts to ensure that we are offering a

13 | nutritionally sound menu. And fifth and final, this

14 proposed has limited impact and only applies to chain

15 restaurants offering toys to kids.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I don't believe that's accurate, but we can talk more about that.

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: 'Kay. Here are more details. The U.S. Department of Agriculture mandates guidelines for nutritional value of public school lunches; these meals before you are well within the USDA's current acceptable range of school lunches and most are lower than a sampling of some of the lunches

consumed today in New York City public schools.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

I can tell you that we and others have researched targeted goals in the proposal and can find nothing from a federal agency or non-governmental organization that these restrictions are linked to. The calories, the fat, the sodium are not aligned with government and/or medical organizations, official recommendations, research and science.

McDonald's has worked diligently to become the industry leader through the evolution of our Happy Meals and related programs to promote produce, low-fat dairy to children as well as the inclusion of nutrition and/or active play messages in all advertisements directed to children. The most recent example of this is the added choice of Cuties Clementine in Happy Meals, a fresh whole fruit offered again this year, after having served 38 million pieces of fresh fruit while in season a year ago. We worked closely with external partners and subject matter experts, such as the Alliance for a Healthier Generation, co-founded by the American Heart Association and the Council of Better Business Bureaus. We also have a Global Advisory Council on nutrition and wellbeing, as well, collaborating with

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

industry experts as well as internal experts to 2 3 ensure we're offering a nutritionally sound meal.

We will continue to positively evolve the offerings in the Happy Meal based on consumer feedback, nutrition experts and science and when we narrow that offering to something that is not appealing to customers, it creates unintended consequences of driving families to purchase meals for their kids off our regular menu, a menu that's intended for grownups and these particular menu items, because of their difference in portion size, may not be well-suited for children. For example, our Happy Meal bundle currently offers child size portions of an entrée, a kids' fry, a choice of lowfat, reduced sugar Go-Gurt, apple slices or a Cuties Clementine. Important, we only promote milk and juice as beverages for Happy Meal...

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: As of when? DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: As of the summer of 2014.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you.

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We only promote milk and juice as beverage choices for Happy Meal in our national advertising and on our menu boards. We have

2.2

2.3

also removed antibiotic, rBST, from our milk. Just as I mentioned, more than 95% of our restaurants around the world offer fruit, vegetables or low-fat dairy as Happy Meal options. I know of no other restaurant that has our reach and drive to increase fruit and low-fat dairy choices in the meals of our youngest customers.

Again, we've committed to offering, in the U.S., offering produce or low-fat dairy in every Happy Meal. Importantly, this year, 2016, we will achieve a key milestone of serving more than two billion sides of packaged apple slices and the low-fat reduced sugar Go-Gurt combined since 2012. Again in January, Cuties Clementines are offered as a choice in Happy Meals as an ala carte menu item.

We have been told by a council member that having a whole fruit in our Happy Meal will provide some of their New York City constituent residents their first chance to have a whole fruit. In addition, we've worked with our suppliers to reformulate our 1% low-fat chocolate milk to be fatfree chocolate milk and recently added Go-Gurt low-fat strawberry yogurt as an additional side. Made exclusively for McDonald's, this Go-Gurt contains 25%

2.2

2.3

less sugar than the leading kids' yogurt and is a
good source of Vitamin D and Calcium. During the
period of June 2014 until October of 2015, McDonald's
served 232 million Go-Gurt tubes around the country.
Since 2006 McDonald's has been a charter member of
the Council of Better Business Bureaus Children's
Food and Beverage Advertising Initiative. This means
in McDonald's in the United States we only advertise
Happy Meals to children that meet strict nutrition
criteria for calories, saturated fat, sodium and
sugar. Since this time our national Happy Meal
advertisements have included produce and not soda.

enhancements to our children's favorite, Chicken

McNuggets. A number of years ago we formulated the

McNuggets to be made with all white meat and since

2003 we reduced sodium by about 20%. Within two

years McDonald's USA will only source chicken that is

raised without antibiotics that are important to

human medicine. In a partnership with the Alliance

for a Healthier Generation, McDonald's announced the

2013 Clinton Global Initiative annual meeting held

here in New York City a commitment to action to

increase consumers access to produce and dairy to

help families and children make informed nutrition
choices. Specifically, McDonald's committed to only
promote and market water, milk and juice as the
beverage in Happy Meals on menu boards, in
restaurants; external advertising, using the Happy
Meal and other packaging innovations and designs to
generate excitement for fruit, low-fat or reduced fat
dairy or water options for kids. Also, dedicating
the Happy Meal box or bag panels four times annually,
annually to communicate a fun nutrition or a
children's wellbeing message, ensuring that 100% of
all national advertising directed to children
included a fun nutrition or children's wellbeing
message. In addition, this commitment also includes
the option for consumers to substitute a side salad,
fruit or other produce for French fries in Value
Meals.

The Clinton Global Initiative commitment covers McDonald's top markets globally, representing more than 85% of McDonald's global sales and spans through the year 2020. Year one progress was measured independently by a third-party economics firm, Keybridge and was released in 2015. The members of this committee have a copy of the

executive summary of the report and a couple of key
areas of progress include; more customers are
selecting 100% juice and milk. In the first 11
months since sodas were removed from the Happy Meal
section of the menu board in the restaurant in 2014,
milk and juice selections rose nine points;
specifically what means is, before the change 37% of
Happy Meal orders included milk or juice; after the
change Happy Meal orders that included milk and juice
increased to 46%. During the same time period, Happy
Meal orders with soda decreased from 56% to 48%. Let
me say that again, during the same period Happy Meal
orders with soda decreased from 56% to 48%. In sum,
this contributed to serving 21 million additional
milk jugs and juice boxes in Happy Meals and ala
carte in the same time period.

This proposal only applies to chain restaurants offering toys with kids' meals; it does not apply to all other restaurants in New York City serving food to kids and therefore will have a limited impact. For example, some nutritional experts feel that pizza is a major contributor to children's caloric intake, but this proposal will not apply to pizza chains because I'm unaware of any

2.2

2.3

offering kids' meals with toys. This is not to say they aren't out there, but I do not know of any.

Again, McDonald's has made significant strives in offering apples in every Happy Meal as well as a choice of Cuties Clementine, low-fat Go-Gurt, choice of milk, fat-free chocolate milk, apple juice and not advertising soda. We feel that this bill punishes

McDonald's for doing the right thing, for working with leading nutritionists, world-leading non-governmental organizations, federal agencies and a former New York City Council Member to offer balanced kids meals that parents and kids are happy and excited to eat.

McDonald's takes great pride in our food and our nutrition progress. We believe the path that this proposal is progressing on is a mistake and will not achieve its desired goals. Limiting kids meals offered with a toy or a set of arbitrary nutrition standards will result in reducing the amount of fruit, low-fat or fat-free dairy and juice provided to children and move young customers to choose options that will not include these nutritional and child portion projects. I ask that you do not move forward with this proposal. Thank you again.

2 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you,

3 Dr. Goody; I hope you feel like you were heard.

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

5 | Thank you... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I wanted to give you the opportunity to read your entire testimony because I know a lot was said here today and I wanted you to have the opportunity respond. So I'm glad you're here; I learned a lot...

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: from your testimony. I just wanna clear one thing up; it's my understanding, though Council Member Kallos could correct me if I'm wrong, that this piece of legislation applies to all restaurants, not only chains. So I don't think that makes it anymore palatable for you, but I just wanna be clear that that's the case.

So you know, I'll come out as someone who eats at McDonald's from time to time and you know, usually I don't feel good about myself afterwards because I look at those calorie counts and feel like oh my god, why did I do that, but it does make a difference now that I see that I can substitute

2	healthier	options	on	the	menu	; tha	at actually	is
3	meaningful	to me	that	Ιŀ	nave	that	choice.	

One of the points I made earlier with the Health Department was related to soda, sugary beverages; you spoke a lot about that in your testimony, that it's not being advertised, it's not on the menu boards for Happy Meals; would you support eliminating soda, eliminating sugary drinks being sold with Happy Meals?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: So it's a choice; again, we don't advertise it; however, families and children have a choice of balanced beverage options at McDonald's -- water, 1% low-fat white milk, fat-free chocolate milk and apple juice.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You didn't answer the question. Would you support eliminating that choice?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Again, we offer a choice...

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I heard what you said; would support eliminating soda; it sounds like no, you wouldn't support that.

2.2

here today... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON:

Why not?

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Because sugar does not cause diabetes. I will answer the question in saying that, you know, this is choice of parents or adult caregivers; it's about balancing the diet and the context, the holistic diet. It's up to a parent whether they choose to offer soda to their child.

Doesn't the

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON:

government get involved in things all the time; you know, we say that, you know it's not up to a parent if they wanna put a seat belt on their child when they're driving in a car; the government says this is a public health issue; you're exposing your child to potential danger by not doing that. So we regulate things all the time, even though there's choice involved. The point I'm trying to make is, and I'm someone, again, lots of coming out moments here today; I drink way too much Diet Coke; I feel bad about how much Diet Coke I consume; I go into a Diet Coke shame spiral most days because of how much Diet Coke I consume; it's Diet Coke so it's better than regular Coke, but kids don't really have much of a choice, they see it, their parents give it to them and we see that obesity rates are higher; there's no

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 122
2	real nutritional value to sugary beverages, they're
3	bad.
4	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Depends on how much
5	is consumed.
6	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You could say that
7	with anything though really; right?
8	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Calories.
9	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: It's calories.
L O	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: It's calories.
L1	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: But [interpose]
L2	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Our body treats
13	[interpose]
L4	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: But nutritionists
15	use terms such as like empty calories, you know,
L 6	these are empty calories that don't really have any
L7	nutritional value and I would say that sugary
L8	beverages are empty calories.
L9	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: So it's the position
20	of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics that we
21	wanna look to the total diet and everything eaten ha
22	calories more or less; a calorie's a calorie, whether
23	it's calories from fat, whether it's calories from

protein, whether it's calories from sugar...

[crosstalk] 25

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

	COMMITTEE ON REALITY 120
2	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: All calories are
3	created equal?
4	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Technically, if they
5	come from fat or protein or carbohydrate, which sugar
6	is from, fat has more calories per gram than does
7	protein or carbohydrate. So we could talk about
8	[crosstalk]
9	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Should New York
10	City not have regulated trans fats because it
11	should've been parents' choice if they wanted to give
12	their kids trans fats?
13	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: With much due
14	respect, you know McDonald's adhered to the
15	legislation proposed and had to… [crosstalk]
16	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Did you support it
17	at the time; do you know? [crosstalk]
18	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Yes. No artificial
19	trans fats; we adhered to the legislation.
20	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay. I mean the
21	point here, and I think you saw the council members
22	that were present, were pretty hard on the Department
23	of Health in saying we want a plan to reduce
24	childhood obesity in New York City and obesity

generally; I have sort of more focused on the sugary

24

25

2	drink aspect because I think it's an out of control
3	problem and something we have to actually work on and
4	regulate and do something about. We're seeing what
5	happens with diabetes, we see what the costs are
6	related to diabetes and public health, but you know,
7	as a nutritionist it's just hard for me to hear that
8	sugary drinks, I mean the research is out there, the
9	science is out there; it's not good for people to
10	consume sugary drinks.
11	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: So to an earlier
12	point made by Dr. Angell, and I'll say it
13	differently, but it's the same sentiment. It's not
14	about where you eat but what and how much you choose
15	to eat. If you're going to eat, move your feet;
16	energy in needs to be superseded or exceeded by
17	energy, you know, expended through physical activity
18	and whether it's [crosstalk]
19	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yeah, but
20	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: fat, whether it's
21	sugar, whether it's protein, whether it's an

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I can't cite it, and I could be wrong and if I am I apologize, but it's my understanding that there's research out there

excessive amount of food.

2	that shows that when you start to feed a child a
3	certain type of food at a young age, it actually
4	effects their taste buds, you know neurologically
5	they then tend to become more attracted to a certain
6	type of food; maybe I eat from McDonald's from time
7	to time now because my parents brought me to
8	McDonald's a lot and I ate a lot of Happy Meals
9	growing up, that could be; I just think that we have
10	to be honest about what is actually hurting children
11	and people in New York City and what the health
12	impact is. And as I said before you testified, this
13	is not really just about you guys, and I wanna be
14	clear about that; I mean I'm not the author of the
15	bill, I'm a prime sponsor, but I didn't write the
16	legislation, but I don't think this about, at least
17	it's not my intention here today, to target
18	McDonald's. When I talk about sugary drinks, I'm not
19	just talking about you guys, I'm talking about all
20	the chains, I'm talking about movie theaters where
21	you can get basically a bucket of soda when you walk
22	in; I'm talking about everyone. So I don't wanna
23	unfairly target you and I think what you taught me
24	today in your testimony is you guys have made some
25	pretty incredible strides apple slices,

2	clementines, sugar-free yogurt, decreasing
3	advertising for soda and other related items to your
4	people, so no one should take that credit away from
5	you for voluntarily making those changes and adopting
6	those practices where we've seen more consumption of
7	healthy options, and I wanna say that because this is
8	not I'm not here to bash you or to attack you or to
9	make you the poster child; I think it's easy to go
10	after McDonald's because you guys are the most well-
11	known brand, one of the most well-known brands in the
12	entire world, but this is not just about McDonald's,
13	it's about us being honest about the impact that
14	sugary beverages, unhealthy foods have on public
15	health in New York City, on disadvantaged and
16	marginalized communities, on children, on poor people
17	and on folks who may not have access to fresh fruits
18	and vegetables and other nutritional choices in their
19	neighborhoods. And so I just wanna say that it's not
20	about targeting you; I'm glad you're here, I know
21	this legislation would impact you, which is why you
22	oppose it and why you gave us this information, but I
23	wanna say it doesn't apply to only chain restaurants,
24	it applies to all restaurants; this is not solely

jobs guy, an opportunities guy...

aiming for \$15. Next, McDonald's; do you support a

\$15 minimum wage for your workers?

24

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 129
2	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: [background comment]
3	[background comment]
4	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Thank you for your
5	question. As a nutritionist, we'll take that
6	question back and respond to you. Thank you
7	[crosstalk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: As a
9	nutritionist, do you believe that if somebody earns
10	\$15 an hour instead of \$8 or \$9 or \$10 an hour that
11	they will have more money to spend on healthy food?
12	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Unfair for me to
13	speculate at this time. I'm the Chief Nutritionist,
14	it's nutritioning [sic] [crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Have you ever
16	Sure
17	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Next. Do you
19	support a \$15 minimum wage?
20	TOM GRECH: Not over the condensed time
21	period which has been promulgated; I don't think to
22	go to \$15 an hour over the very short-term would be
23	beneficial to lots of the business owners in our city

and our state and our country.

respond to you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: How much funding does McDonald's and its franchisees currently devote to out-of-school programs in their local communities?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Again, that would be a question that we can take back to our corporate and

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Multiple folks
have said that we need to invest in our out-of-school
programming; the City Council has put unprecedented
levels into after school programming with the Mayor,
so I'm curious about the... and I think one of the
panelists who is no longer here was talking about the
amount of investment, so what is the investment that
was testified to?

GERALD FERNANDEZ: I don't know about him, but I can speak on our behalf. McDonald's has supported Showcase of the Stars where we go into urban and inner city, talk to kids about career opportunities, what they need to do to get into college and... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: But what about for health programming, the specific testimony on point saying that we need to do more for... I believe if you eat you should move your feet, so what is

[crosstalk]

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So Well we know
3	that; I'm hoping that McDonald's may have brought
4	somebody in the room who engaged in that lobby and
5	can answer that question who's currently just looking
6	at his phone. Okay. So I think that both of us
7	could say that there was lobbying; one of my
8	questions is just why McDonald's has spent, I think
9	since 2011, \$526,000 lobbying and whether it was on
10	this legislation or the budget and where those two
11	overlap. If you could bring somebody up from
12	McDonald's who can answer that question.
13	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Do you know why
14	[crosstalk]
15	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: No, I I have no idea
16	what you're talking about, I mean
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sure. In the
18	City of New York… [crosstalk]
19	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I'm here… I'm just
20	here to be speaking on the bill… [crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sure, I'll get to
22	it, but I guess one piece is folks have been talking
23	about why McDonald's is Burger King's not here
24	testifying or lobbying, Wendy's isn't, as far as I
25	know, but McDonald's is here and you're spending

2.2

2.3

2	\$526,000 lobbying in 2011 on McDonald's and 2012, 13,
3	14, 15 on budget and so I'm kind of curious about why
4	there's so much opposition from McDonald's to this
5	legislation, why it's worth half-a-million dollars.
6	Could we get a response on how much you spent
7	directly on this bill?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I mean I'm at a loss here, I... I mean we're opposed to this proposal because as I've outlined already, it's not based on science or generally accepted nutrition standards.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sure. So I guess it's just... I'm curious about how opposed, so I think it's half-a-million dollars in New York City and I'm curious about lobbying in San Francisco and anywhere else where this is going and curious why you're so opposed to it and... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Just if I man interject. You just said it's not based on science...

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: The proposed criteria here, it's not based on any sort of science or established standards.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: But when I asked you a question earlier, you told me I don't wanna get into the science.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 134
2	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I think it was in the
3	context of Type 2 Diabetes, sugar being the cause of
4	Type 2 Diabetes; that's what I meant. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So just speaking
7	to that, in your professional opinion as a
8	nutritionist, with the entire world that's watching
9	today, does reducing sugar from a diet help prevent
10	diabetes?
11	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: It may. It may help
12	to prevent… [crosstalk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, so so the
14	[crosstalk]
15	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: If there are genetic
16	factors
17	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So there's a
18	link So reducing sugar from a diet may help prevent
19	diabetes?
20	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: May help to prevent
21	diabetes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. And just
23	so you know, the Department of Health and Human

strongly saying that you wanna prevent Type 225

24

Services and other federal folks have come out pretty

)

Diabetes; not having a good diet, consuming foods with high levels of sugar and added sugar, that that is a good way, so and along that in terms of... You mentioned a lot about feeling like we are only targeting fast food; you get that this is... you agree that this legislation targets all restaurants that serve children's meals with incentive items?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I would need to look at the introduction again, but so.

Support... It seemed like both you and the Department of Health were talking about impact and incentive items versus non-incentive items; would McDonald's support expanding it to folks like pizza places or whatnot that might have a children's menu and just dropping the incentive piece and just saying we're going to require certain health criteria for any children's meal?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Essentially we do not support this proposal.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Would you support the proposal more if we decoupled it from incentives and just said any child's meal?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: No.

his title is?

Sure.

Ed, would

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH
DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: He's a Senior
Director of the McDonald's Corporation.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you please join us at the table? Perhaps you might have some of the answers to the questions. [background comment] Say again. [background comment] You're not authorized to speak for the public? [background comment] Okay, so let the record reflect that the additional employees are unable to speak in public and many of our questions are continuing to go unanswered. So no knowledge of how much we're spending on advertising to children. Do you know how many children eat... So you were saying there are one million children's meals sold every month my McDonald's in the New York City market? DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: That is to... my correct, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. Do you know how many of those meals include toys?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: They all would include toys.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And do you know how many meals are being served to children that are adult meals that children are getting?

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 138
2	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I have no idea.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sure. And did
4	you know that New York has a population of about 8.4
5	million?
6	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I thought it was more
7	than that, but okay.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: 8,491,079,
9	according to the U.S. Census in 2014 and 21.6% of
10	that is under 18, so we have 1.8 million children in
11	our city, so at 12 million meals a year, that's
12	actually six meals to every single child in our city,
13	so would you acknowledge that that's quite an impact
14	that McDonald's has in the New York City marketplace?
15	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Our consumers tell us
16	that they visit McDonald's twice a month, so that's
17	two times of 90-meal occasions.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Last piece again,
19	sorry.
20	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Our customers tell us
21	that they visit McDonald's twice a month.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you. And
23	in terms of it, you got to hear research from Brian
24	Elbel about tying incentives; do you agree with were

you here for that testimony?

[crosstalk]

_

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: She... She was the one who said that marketing to children actually works. Do you believe that marketing to children works?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: It depends on... it could be anything, sometimes it works, sometimes it doesn't work; I think for adults... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you have research that shows that marketing Happy Meals to children doesn't work?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We have... There have been a number of times throughout my time with McDonald's where we've tried to look at vegetables, as an example, you know, different wants of serving them; our research suggests that children are not yet ready for McDonald's to provide them with vegetables.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: You were just sharing that you have incredible results when it comes to milk and juice and changing from a default of soda and you don't believe that we would have that same impact with whole fruit, clementines; things like that?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We do with fruit; with milk... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Give me one

moment; let me just... So just I think couple questions

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

wrapping up. Given high rates of childhood obesity and the emergence of Type 2 Diabetes among children in New York City, why are soda and other sugarsweetened beverages still on kids' menus at the overwhelming majority of your locations?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We are not advertising in the Happy Meal section on our menu boards.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yeah, no I... I asked the question before and she said that... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: she believes it's a choice and they're not advertising generally and on the menu boards.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So...

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Externally. Uhm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Burger King,

Wendy's are participating in Kids LiveWell; why isn't

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Because the Kids

LiveWell criteria preclude menu items that are cooked

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So I have the

Kids LiveWell...

McDonald's?

in oil.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 14
2	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Uhm-hm.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: standards that
4	are actually on their website…
5	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Uhm-hm.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: do they have a
7	different standard for you than they have public
8	posted?
9	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I'm not sure if they
10	do, but they do not allow for foods that are cooked
11	in oil; at least that's what they have told us when
12	we engaged with them in July of 2011.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: It's been almost
14	five years, you haven't come back the coalition has
15	grown to 155 restaurants; will McDonald's join the
16	Kids LiveWell program?
17	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Not to my knowledge
18	at this time.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: As a
20	nutritionist, do you think it would be a good idea
21	for you to join the rest of the industry and the
22	National Restaurant Association as part of the Kids
23	LiveWell program?
24	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We are part of a

program that predicated or that existed prior to the

2	Kids LiveWell program; this is the Council of Better
3	Business Bureaus Children's Food and Beverage
4	Advertising Initiative; we've been a part of that
5	since 2006; we have consumer package good companies
6	as well as our competitor that you've mentioned and
7	there are certain nutrition criteria that meals must
8	fulfill in order to be advertised to children under
9	the age of 12. We're already a part of another
LO	program.
L1	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So Burger King
L2	thinks that this would be a good idea, 600 calories
L3	or less; would McDonald's support having kids' meals
L4	of 600 calories or less?
L5	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We do already.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So that would be
L7	your default kids' meal; you wouldn't have any meals
L8	that exceed that?
L9	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: No.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. And 35%
21	are calories from total fat or less?
22	DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: That's not part of
23	the Children's Food and Beverage Advertising

Initiative.

2	
	I
	II

about Kids LiveWell which 155 other fast food and other restaurants, including Wendy's, Burger King, Arby's; I mean these are not the places I think of when I wanna go somewhere healthy, Applebee's, Boston Market... [crosstalk]

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We're not interested...

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Bob Evans...

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: We're not interested in participating in the Kids LiveWell program at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: As a nutritionist, do you believe that it's something worth doing? Do you think the other competitors are headed on the wrong track because they're... Sure.

In light of the fact that children consume about 25% of their calories from restaurants and that childhood is a critical time for growth and development, how do you justify how out of sync your kids' meals are with expert recommendations for what kids should be eating during childhood?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I'm not sure... can you repeat the question, please?

2	
_	

_ -

council Member Kallos: The rest of the industry, all the advocates, researchers are all trying to push you in the right direction; how do you justify being so far out of sync with everyone else?

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: I'm not sure that

we're out of sync in that we offer apples in every
Happy Meal, we offer a kid size fry at 110 calories,
we offer Cuties, we offer low-fat Go-Gurt, we've
reformulated our chocolate milk, we offer apple
juice, we offer water and we don't advertise sodas on
menu boards in our restaurants or in external
advertising. We offer millions and billions... I mean
I talk to the milestone of two billion servings of
apples and yogurt along... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I've got your testimony; I think just in closing I've asked a lot of questions you did not give answers to; I expect an answer to myself and our co-sponsoring committee chair in the next two weeks.

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: 'Kay.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council Member Kallos. Thank you all for being here today... [crosstalk]

DR. CYNTHIA GOODY: Thank you.

\sim	
_	

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, our last panel. Diana Torres-Burgos from the National Hispanic Health Foundation; Robin Vitale from the American Heart Association; Michael Davoli, of course Michael, from the American Cancer Society, and Hazel Dukes from the NAACP. [background comments] You may begin in whatever order you'd like, just speak directly into the mic, introduce yourself and make sure the red light is on. And sergeant, if you can put three minutes on the clock. Thank you.

DR. DIANA TORRES-BURGOS: Hi, Chairman
Johnson and members of the Health Committee, good
afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to
testify today. I'm Dr. Diana Torres-Burgos, Advisor
for Hispanic Health for the National Hispanic Health
Foundation. The Foundation is a nonprofit foundation
that was established in 2005; its mission is to
improve the health of Hispanics and other underserved
populations through research, education and
charitable activities; it's the philanthropic arm of
the National Hispanic Medical Association which
represents the interests of over 50,000 license
Hispanic physicians in the U.S. and is affiliated

2.2

2.3

with the Robert Wagner Graduate School of Public
Service at the New York University.

The National Hispanic Health Foundation supports this proposal 0442 regarding setting nutritional standards for distributing incentive items aimed at children because it can be a positive impact towards children's food choices and take a step towards reducing childhood obesity and prevent future chronic diseases.

In our communities in New York and across the nation, the Hispanic, African American and other poor children are the first generation in our nation due to the obesity epidemic that are projected by the CDC to suffer diabetes and renal failure in early adulthood and die before their parents. This can reverse and we need to reverse this trend through prevention policy.

Food marketing targeted to children is a huge industry; in 2009 \$341 million were spent on toys to distribute with children's meals. Studies have shown that food marketing targeted to children is successful in increasing children's preferences for foods advertised. A major problem is that the majority of those foods are unhealthy and they're

2.2

2.3

2 high in sugar and fat which we known contributors to childhood obesity.

As we heard previously, a recent study evaluating the nutritional value of meals purchased at multiple fast food sites in New York City and New Jersey of those children who ate a combination meal and that is a main dish, side and drink and toy; 98% of those meals would exceed nutritional standards for fat, sugar and sodium.

Another evaluation looking at meals in fast food chains found that only 33 out of 5400 plus possible meals, less than 1% of all kids' meal combinations met recommendation nutrition standards. This is very concerning because as mentioned before, children are now eating more outside the home and have few healthy options in the restaurants.

There is something encouraging on the other hand; there was a study done looking at the impact of toy incentives on eating among children and it showed that children were more likely to choose healthy meals when the toys were only offered with meals that met nutritional standards.

And lastly, Hispanic and African American children are more highly targeted by the fast feed

2.2

2.3

and beverage marketers. Hispanic children have the highest prevalence of obesity, at 17% compared to non-Hispanic white, 3.5%; African American, 11.3% and Asian [bell] 3.4% children. This is a significant risk factor for development of chronic disease in the population.

This proposal provides a key opportunity that we cannot ignore, to promote children's choices for healthier meals and reduce risks for childhood obesity and related diseases. Moreover, it could also provide a teaching moment for both the parents and a child to learn about healthy food choices and help guide the standardization of fast food restaurants in promoting healthier choices. We need to change the existing negative incentive we have for rewarding unhealthy eating into a positive one, one that instills healthy eating and food choices in our society. Thank you again for this opportunity to testify and for your attention to this very important issue.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you. Thank you for being patient and for waiting to testify today; we really appreciate you being here.

DR. DIANA TORRES-BURGOS: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Robin.

ROBIN VITALE: Thank you, Chair. My name is Robin Vitale; I serve as Senior Director of Governmental Relations for the American Heart Association here in New York and I have been very actively slicing and dicing my testimony really based upon the panel that went before us until the panel just prior, so I feel at this point I do have to defend the connection between diet and chronic disease; I was hoping not to, but for the good of the group, there is a connection between diet and chronic disease. Studies link eating out with obesity, higher amounts of body fat and higher BMIs and increased consumption of fast food meals is associated with consuming more calories, fat and saturated fat. The corollary between this increased consumption and the alarming rate of heart disease, stroke, diabetes and other related disease is apparent and there are numerous studies that confirm that, so I would encourage you all to look at my testimony that outlines that in greater detail.

We also mentioned and in the opening panel with the Health Department around the good work that has been taking place here in the city.

2.2

Currently we have about 21% of our young people in
New York that are labeled unfortunately as obese.
While the study demonstrates a slight decrease in
obesity for the city, the statistic is still far too
high and the study points to the need for continued
public health intervention; among other
possibilities, P.E. being one that was referenced
quite prolifically, the effort to improve access to
healthy, nutritious food for children has to be a key
target; this is not something that in and of itself
is going to cure the obesity problem in New York City
for our children, but it is part of the solution.

We are just making it far too difficult for parents to provide appropriate meals to their children; our schedules are busy, it's very complicated to make sure that we are gathering around the table; it's kind of that Pollyanna aspect from the 1970s where we all had dinners at home; that's not the reality anymore, more and more often we see that we are dependent upon the restaurant industry to serve our families; indeed nearly half of all food dollars, about 48%, are spent on restaurant foods, which is up from 26% in 1970.

4

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Unfortunately the majority of restaurants are serving our kids meals that are alarmingly poor in nutrition. We heard from the previous panel how some are making progress but unfortunately the industry's progress as a whole has been slow. Since 2008 the percentage of kids' meals that met evidence-based nutrition standards increased from just 1% to 3%.

For all these reasons and many more that are outlined in my written testimony, the American Heart Association supports the intention of the New York City Council to implement a requirement whereby any restaurant meal marketed to children should achieve the benchmark of nationally recognized evidence-based nutritional standards for both food and beverage items found on children's menus. We do share some recommendations which we go into at length in written form; primarily we would like to see the policy incorporate the Rand Corporation's performance standards for restaurants, as well as the Healthy Eating Research recommendations for healthier beverages; we believe this will help with some of the implementation that was mentioned before. [bell]

-	
2	Additionally we would also like to
3	support that expansion of the focus, looking at all
4	restaurant meals, making sure that anything that's
5	marketed on a kids' menu is as healthy as possible.
6	Thank you so much.
7	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Robin.
8	And we'll locate your suggestions in your testimony.
9	Thanks for being here. You, sir are not Hazel Dukes
10	right?
11	BARRY LEE BURKE: Busted. No, I'm not;
12	I'm here… [crosstalk]
13	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: What's your name;
14	who's it we have
15	BARRY LEE BURKE: My name is Barry Lee
16	Burke [sp?] and I thank the Committee for the
17	opportunity to read Dr. Duke's [crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Oh you're reading
19	her testimony?
20	BARRY LEE BURKE: testimony into the
21	record [crosstalk]
22	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Great. Thank you
23	very much, sir.

BARRY LEE BURKE: Okay, thank you.

24

2.2

2.3

"Good afternoon. My name is Hazel Dukes and I am the President of the NAACP New York State Conference. The NAACP is the oldest, boldest, most effective and most respected civil rights organization in the nation. The NAACP New York State Conference has played a pivotal role in moving the agenda for freedom and equality forward under the leadership of dynamic state conference presidents, each of whom address many critical issues during their tenure.

As a direct consequence of the bill before you today children across this city will be disproportionately denied the toys they love and the nutrition they need. Every day young families rely on their neighborhood McDonald's to provide affordable healthy menu options for their children. The Happy Meals offered today are not the Happy Meals offered maybe that you remember from your childhood, they have evolved to offer fruit and dairy options; more recently McDonald's offered not only apple slices and also clementines as a Happy Meal option and now instead of soda McDonald's only promotes milk, juice or water as beverage options for Happy Meals. These healthy choices, among others, are what

2.2

excites parents who bring their kids to McDonald's;
the toys which serve as a focal point for the
children, encourage them to choose a healthy option
offered in McDonald's Happy Meals. Some children in
this city, especially many minorities and
economically disadvantaged communities have limited
access to healthy food and toys; McDonald's offers
both. Removing the toy would only deprive children
of the enjoyment it provides; this bill is a
misguided attempt to solve a nutrition crisis by
unfairly targeting McDonald's, a key ally and a
friend of children and minority communities around
the city.

Members of the committee, each one of you has a McDonald's in your district and each one of these restaurants employ hundreds of people; nationally more than 60% of McDonald's crew members are either women or minorities; this legislation, aimed at hurting the bottom line of McDonald franchises, will affect a large number of women- and minority-owned businesses in New York City, not to mention the employees who have benefited from the skills and the opportunities for advancement that

2 these jobs provide. Thank you for taking my comments
3 into consideration today."

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Council Member Kallos has some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: As I asked all the other panels; do any of you take money from special interests such as the fast food industry or McDonald's? I need you to say it into the record.

DR. DIANA TORRES-BURGOS: No.

ROBIN VITALE: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sir?

BARRY LEE BURKE: As a person reading the testimony, I don't have any knowledge of that, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: The NAACP

receives funding from McDonald's, I believe.

BARRY LEE BURKE: I... I don't have any knowledge of that... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: No, I... I'm telling you; I do.

BARRY LEE BURKE: Oh okay, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So I just wanted to make sure that the record reflects that. On the flip side, are there any healthy food special

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

interests that are providing funding to your 3 organizations?

DR. DIANA TORRES-BURGOS: We're funded through W. Kellogg for prevention of pediatric obesity.

> COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay.

ROBIN VITALE: The American Heart Association does receive donations from a multitude of sources, many which share our focus on healthy food policy.

BARRY LEE BURKE: Again sir, I have... I'm not a...

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: No worries. The panel before you said that the standards set forth, whether it's in the LiveWell program or in this legislation are not based on science; if you could speak to that. Are the numbers we've set forth based on science; is there any science to all this calorie counting and limitations on amount of fat?

ROBIN VITALE: I suppose I can take that There certainly is a tremendous amount of question. science; we've had the privilege of working with the sponsor as well as many other interested council champions on this issue; we have shared some of the

2.2

2.3

criteria that we've loosely referenced in my verbal
testimony and certainly I went deeper in the written
testimony, whether it's connected into the Institute
of Medicine or the Rand Corporation or the Healthy
Eating Research, there's a lot of science and
evidence behind the bill as it's currently drafted

evidence bening the bill ab it b earlenely arare.

and we also believe that there can be a greater

alignment moving forward as we look at some possible

10 changes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: With regard to possible changes, well actually just with regards to San Francisco or others, have you heard of any issues with implementation and enforcement of legislation like this or similar?

enforcement from several years ago, I think the biggest concern were some of the loopholes that did exist and kudos to your team and yourself and the championship in trying to close that loophole here; I think the Davis policy is perhaps too new to really think about the implementation aspect, but we're all quite excited for the potential of the health outcomes there.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So and it also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

direction.

seems like DOHMH would support or based on their testimony seems to support removing the incentive item and just focusing on child meals; the panel of academics seemed to indicate that children make

seemed to be crying afoul to them being targeted with

their toys versus just everyone. Do you have an

decisions very differently and then even McDonald's

opinion on whether we should expand from just toys to

everything, to all children's meals?

ROBIN VITALE: So as I very quickly mentioned at the conclusion of my verbal testimony but certainly outlined more deeply in the written version, we absolutely would be supportive of that focus; we think that there is a tremendous potential for the City to have a great impact on health and if you look at some of those restaurants that would not currently be targeted 'cause they don't include incentives that are targeted at children, there's a lot of egregious examples of menu items that are on the kids' menu, so we think the potential is quite clear that the City could move forward in that

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: All my questions.

Thank you for sitting through a quite long hearing and I am incredibly disappointed by the fact that McDonald's didn't have any answers for any of our questions, including ones that involved things like science. Thank you to my prime co-sponsor on this, Council Member Johnson as well as for chairing and

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you all very much for being here; I appreciate everyone coming and testifying; I really appreciate you all being patient and sticking around and we look forward to looking at your recommendations. With that, this hearing is adjourned.

[gavel]

absolutely amazing hearing.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 8, 2016_____