CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

----- X

April 14, 2015 Start: 01:12 p.m. Recess: 06:57 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

VANESSA L. GIBSON

Chairperson

DANIEL DROMM Co-Chairperson

CHAIM M. DEUTSCH Co-Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

JAMES VACCA

JULISSA FERRERAS

JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

RITCHIE J. TORRES

ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.

RORY I. LANCMAN STEVEN MATTEO

VINCENT J. GENTILE

COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)

ALAN N. MAISEL

ANDY L. KING

ANTONIO REYNOSO

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

DEBORAH L. ROSE

INEZ D. BARRON

JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

MARGARET S. CHIN

MARK LEVINE

MARK S. WEPRIN

MARK TREYGER

STEPHEN T. LEVIN

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Allen Fagin Executive Vice President Orthodox Union

Jeff Leb
Managing Director-Government & External Relations

Joseph Rosenberg Catholic community Relations Council

Joy Jones Administrator Ebenezer Preparatory School

Debbie Klugmann Beth Jacob of Boro Park

Ahmad Hamid Al-Noor School

Steven Lorch Solomon Schechter School of Manhattan

Stuard Klammer Shulamith School of Brooklyn

Barry Spitzer District Manager Brooklyn Community Board 12

David Tanenbaum Agudath Israel of America

Daniella Gatlib Parent

Lois Herrera CEO of Offices of Safety & Youth Development Department of Education

Brian Conroy

A P P E A R E N C E S (CONTINUED)

Assistant Chief Commanding Officer School of Safety Division

Vincent Schiraldi Senior Advisor Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Nicholas Sheehan Advocates for Children

Johanna Miller New York City Civil Liberties Union

Matthew Guldin Dignity in Schools Campaign of New York

Kate McDonough
Girls for Gender Equity

Anna Bean Dignity in Schools Campaign of New York

Onyx Walker Future of Tomorrow

Matthew Evans
Future of Tomorrow

Carlos Suarrow Sisters and Brothers United

Perla Ramos Sisters and Brothers United

Juritza Gigel Urban Youth Collaborative

Latrell Stone
Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice

A P P E A R E N C E S (CONTINUE)

Zakiyah Ansari Alliance for Quality Education

Nancy Ginsburg Legal Aid Society

Tara Foster Legal Services NYC

Amber Decker

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to the city council. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson of the 16th district in the Bronx and I'm proud to chair the City Council Committee on Public Safety. I also want to thank my fellow co-chairs here with us; Council Member Danny Dromm Chair of the Education Committee and Council Member Chaim Deutsch chair of the Subcommittee on Non-Public Schools and thank each of them for cochairing this very important hearing this afternoon. I'd also like to thank members of all of the committees who are here and I welcome you all to this very important hearing. Today we are examining school climate and school discipline codes and the critical issue of school safety in the city of New York. The safety of our students is of paramount importance to everyone and we depend on our school safety agents to play a critical role in ensuring the safety of our students, our parents, and our educators. It is essential that we strike a delicate balance between education and public safety. A misguided emphasis on discipline must not derail the educational environment of our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

students. Detention should be the last resort and not the first. We need a cultural shift to ensure that our students are a pipeline to success and not a pipeline to jail or prison but rather college and careers. We must focus our energies on education and providing sufficient resources to better enable the prevention and de-escalation of problem situations before they get out of hand. A disciplinary system that treats all students equally with respect and dignity can only be achieved through transparency and accountability. I want to recognize and applaud our mayor Bill de Blasio and our education chancellor Carmen Farina for their recent announcement in February on a focus on school climate and disciple reforms. Some of these reforms include creating a new school discipline code and implementing restorative justice programs in our schools. Strategies to support court involved students reducing an effective suspensions and decreasing a reliance on 9-1-1 calls to address behavioral issues are all geared to improving the overall safety and school climate. Through this partnership with the NYPD School Safety, The Mayor's Office of Criminal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Justice, The City Council, Department of Education, community groups, advocacy groups, and in particular many of our students this administration has established the school climate leadership team to report on the progress of these reforms and create a climate in al New York City public schools that promote student safety and dignity, creative approaches to prevention and a refocus on school resources such as conflict mediation and other tools that deescalate many school infractions. I am pleased to know that this school climate leadership team is composed of principals, educators, parents, students, union representatives, and others from the various agencies, city council representatives and various community and advocacy groups. Prevention not detention is the ultimate goal. In furtherance of these goals we will be hearing three bills this afternoon. I'm proud to be cosponsoring Intro 730 which expands and amends the current student safety act with my colleague Corey Johnson, chair of our Health Committee to emphasize the importance of this bill to me on a personal level this is the very first bill for which I am the lead

sponsor that is heard in this committee on public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

safety. And I'm very proud of that. Through this legislation the DOE and NYPD would be required to report the number of times EMS or NYPD is called to schools, multiple suspensions for the same student, the number of times mechanical restraints or handcuffs are used on students, the number of metal detectors in schools, and reporting on all complaints made against school safety agents. Council Member Johnson will speak further about this legislation. Intro 65 which we're hearing today cosponsored introduced by Council Member David Greenfield, chair of our Land Use Committee, requires school safety agents to be placed at public, non-public schools if the school requests one. Council Member Greenfield will speak more about this legislation in detail. Intro 719 we're also hearing sponsored by Council Member Ritchie Torres, Chair of our Public Housing Committee, this bill requires the DOE to report to the council and post on its website the ratio of guidance counsellors to school safety agents. Council Member Torres would also speak further about this legislation. Today we'll be hearing first from

members of the public including advocates,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

students, and parents followed by the administration joining us at 3:00 which will include the NYPD School Safety, Department of Ed and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. After the administration we will continue with more testimony from members of the public. I like to thank and recognize all of the members of my team of the Public Safety Committee for their efforts and hard work in putting this hearing together. Our Council Deepar Ambicar [sp?], our legislative analyst Beth Gollub, our Policy Analyst Lorrie Wen, Robert Colandra, Bryan Crow, and the rest of my team on finance, Ellen Aang, Regina Perada Ryan, Frisa Ali, Pascal Brenard, and Theodore Moore in the Speaker's Office. I know we have a lot to get to and I want to recognize ad thank all of our educators and our students who are here to testify this afternoon. And now I will turn this hearing over to my first Co-Chair, Chair of Education Council Member Danny Dromm.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. And good afternoon. I'm Council Member Daniel Dromm the Chair of the Education Committee. I want to thank my co-chairs Council Member Gibson and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Council Member Deutsch for their work with me here today. Also thank you to the bills' sponsors Council Members Gibson, Greenfield, and Torres who we, who we will hear from shortly. And of course thanks to all of you for turning out today to listen and to discuss the issues at hand. Today's hearing is big. As you heard from Chair Gibson it includes oversight and three builds. However it's not just big because of the substance of legislation and amount of testimony we'll be hearing but also big because the matters being discussed are of utmost importance. The safety and security of students while in school is not only a key component to their success of students but essential to their overall health and wellbeing in general. A school's culture or climate should be welcoming and supportive. As a former longtime teacher I know that the tenner, tenner of the principal affects teachers and administrators that ripples to the student body. If done properly it can be a transform, it can be transforming in the life of a child. And with that said I also need to express that I personally do not believe that school safety agents should be under the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

jurisdiction of the NYPD. Let me repeat that. I do not believe that school safety agents should be under the jurisdiction of the NYPD. I strongly believe that principals should have a final say in what happens in their schools and how a student is treated. It is my opinion that this transfer of power during a previous administration was a mistake. I believe it was a pivotal moment that while probably unintended created a climate that criminalizes juvenile behaviors with an emphasis on punishment rather than on de-escalation and conflict resolution. We have heard a number of horror stories about students being mistreated and mishandled under this type of policing in our schools. For example recently, and I'm really upset about this and I'm going to question the DOE heavily on this issue, at John J. High School Campus, Park Slope School, a student whose broken glasses, and I need the public and people to understand what courage it takes first for a student to put glasses on because often times they're harassed and teased or bullied just for wearing glasses, but for a student to put on glasses with a safety pin on it and still want to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

go to school to be confronted with the type of violence actually that he met at the school door that morning and being deemed as a threat by school safety agents. Now I'm not sure what damage pin could do in someone's eyeglasses but I'm going to ask more questions about that later. What happened is that when the student refused to remove the pin, remember they're holding together his glasses, the school safety agent confiscated his glasses. Then when he tried retrieving the glasses which he most likely relies on he was thrown to the ground and handcuffed. This is a child, okay, who was treated this way, a child under our care. After that while he was in the principal's office writing the account of the incident he was allegedly recuffed and issued a summons which still stands according to newspaper stories as of this morning. It's not the student who should have been handed the summons. This is wrong on so many levels. This kind of treatment of students in schools is reprehensible. With proper training that includes how to work with children, because remember our students are children, and principal oversight, many of these incidents wouldn't reach this level

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

of egregiousness. I also question what kind of a system has more police trained safety agents than guidance counsellors. Let me say that again. I also question what kind of a system has more police trained safety agents than guidance counsellors. And I can't help but thinking we're on the wrong path. I know that the chancellor has expressed her desire to increase principal control and to incorporate restorative justice practices so I'm hopeful that the climate will improve but do strongly urge you to reconsider many of these practices. I'm going to stop here but I want to thank my staff as well for the work that they've done for this hearing. And I want to thank Asia Shawnburg [sp?] who is my council, Jan Atwell the policy analyst, and Joan Pavolney [sp?] for all the work that they've done in preparing for this hearing. And I thank you again for coming and now I will turn it over to my co-chair Council Member Deutsch, Chair of the Subcommittee on Non-Public Schools who will say a few words and then we will hear from the other bills' sponsors. Madam Chair thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you Chairs Gibson and Dromm and thank you all for joining us today. And I want to thank the committee staffers and my staff for the hard work in preparing for today's hearing. We are here today to listen to and consider testimony into one particular intro that I'm very concerned about and that's Intro 65, a local law that would require many of the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to requiring the New York City Police Department to assign school safety agents to public and non-public schools upon the request of such non-public schools. These are turbulent times. It is rare for a week to go by without hearing of a violent and sometimes fatal attack somewhere in the world. Disturbingly recent trends indicate a rise in violent attacks on children attending classes. Here in New York City our public school children are protected by NYPD school safety agents assigned to every public school when ensure the safety and security to ensure the safety and security of our children. These same protections are currently not provided to the 265 thousand students of non-public schools. In recent years we have been shaken by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

attacks on schools and the US including in 1999 the Columbine High School Massacre that left 13 dead and 21 injured. In 2007 Virginia Tech massacre they left 32 dead and 17 injured. In 2008 North Illinois University shooting that left five dead and 21 injured. In 2012 Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting that left 26 first grade students and teachers dead. And around the world in 2004, 2004 Beslan in school in North Osetia Russia terrorist attack that left at least 386 dead and over 700 injured. In 2008 Mercaz HaRav Yashiva attack in Jerusalem that left eight dead and seven, seven wounded, wounded. In 2012, and this is in, in, Toulouse school shooting in France that left three schoolchildren dead. And 2014 in Peshawar school attack in Pakistan that left 141 children dead and 130 injured. And just two weeks ago in Garissa University College attack in Kenya that left 141 students dead. And just yesterday April 13th a school employee was killed in a shooting on the campus of Wayne County Community College in Gilsbar in North Carolina. Now these tragic killings are a stark reminder of the peril facing our children whenever they enter the confines of an educational

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

institution. The threat is a reality to all of our schools. In my district alone there have been recent incidents of trespassing and on public schools that would have ended disastrously if not for the quick response of alert school staff members who averted potentially dangers confrontations. Our first and foremost priority is the safety and wellbeing of all our precious precious children. The passage of Intro 65 will help to ensure protection for nearly 1.4 million children in our city who attend public and nonpublic schools. And unfortunately while, while I listen to my, to my colleague here Council Member Dromm regarding guidance counsellors it's unfortunately that, it's unfortunate that guidance counsellors cannot protect the outdoors, the building of our local schools. So that's why we need to rely on under NYPD we need to rely on school safety, NYPD school safety office and I look forward to hearing positive testimony on ensuring that all our schools are equally protected with school safety agents. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much chairs Dromm and Chair Deutsch. I appreciate your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

leadership and helping me co-chair this hearing today. I'd like to acknowledge the presence of members of the city council our colleagues Council Members Rory Lancman, Mark Treyger, David Greenfield, Antonio Reynoso, Danny Dromm, Chaim Deutsch, Corey Johnson, Julissa Ferreras, Steve Matteo, and Vincent Gentile. And now we're going to have opening remarks from the three prime sponsors of the three legislation that we're hearing this afternoon. We're going to start with Intro 65 which amends the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to requiring the NYC Police Department to assign school safety agents to public and non-public schools upon the request of such schools. Our prime sponsor Council Member David Greenfield.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you
Chair Gibson and thank you for your overall
leadership as a public safety guru here in the city
of New York, an advocate we're certainly very
grateful for that. I also want to thank Chair Danny
Dromm who's an outspoken fighter on behalf of all
of our school children here in New York City and
especially want to thank Council Member Deutsch

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

who's been a hardworking partner with me on this piece of legislation and his role as the char of the Non-Public School Committee of the New York City Council, certainly grateful for his support. You know I'm actually very pleased that Introduction 65 comes with overwhelming council support. 46 out of 51 council members support this legislation. I think the reason, it's simple. It's a matter of public safety. We want to make sure that all of our children are safe. It's not just council members who support it. But we actually have a support from the spectrum of the non-public school world, the catholic community relations council, the orthodox union, the archdiocese of New York, the diocese of Brooklyn and Queens, Agudath Israel of America, the Muslim Community Network, the Islamic School Association, the New York State Association of Independent Schools as well as teamster locals 237. The concept is really simple and that is that no parent should have to worry about their child when they go to school. We have a longstanding history in New York City and New York State at providing the basics for non-public school education. Every child in this city whether they go

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

to public or non-public school goes on a bus in the morning. That bus is paid for by the city and state. And they're safe when they go on that bus and we have standards on that bus. But once they get off that bus and they enter into their nonpublic schools now they're at risk because in most cases most schools and most children do not have any security. But it's more than just bussing. We provide food services. We provide school books. We provide computers. We also provide nursing which the council did a few years ago understanding that health and safety of children was something that was important. I think that my council colleague Council Member Deutsch articulated very well the risks and threats that we have not just from international terror being located here in New York City but also the threats that we face domestically from an active shooter situation. We live in New York. It's the greatest city in the world and as a result everybody wants to come here; the good, the bad, and the ugly. The good of course are children who are innocently going to school every day and deserve to be protected from both the bad and the ugly and that's really what it's about. It's not

2.1

even about equity as some people will say. It's not even about fairness. It's just about public safety. How can anyone disagree that children who are going to school deserve to be safe. And I think that's why we've had the overwhelming super majority support of the New York City Council. I want to thank all my colleagues who have signed onto this bill and I especially once again one to thank Chair Gibson for her leadership as the chair of Public Safety. And I'm looking forward to a robust hearing. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Member Greenfield. And now Intro 719 which amends the New York City Charter in relation to requiring the Department of Education to report the school by school ratio of school safety officers to guidance counsellors. And our prime sponsor in, in addition to Council Member Ritchie Torres we have Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Chair Gibson. I also want to thank Chair Dromm and Chair Deutsch for having this hearing today. As a young man of color I'm particularly concerned about the school to prison pipeline and I think it's very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

important that we ensure student safety without over policing our youth. As you all know, are aware of the lack of restorative justice practices that have led to the overuse of suspensions and other forms of punishment particularly for students of colors. I would like to commend the DOE for updating its discipline code to encourage the use of restorative justice practices though I would like to see this step taken a bit further and have these processes or these practices be required, not just suggested. Last year I was proud to pass Into 403, a reporting bill on the presence of guidance counsellors and social workers in the public school system. We receive the first report recently I work, are now working on some data analysis that would help us really understand where more of these, these services are needed. However one thing we wanted to analyze was the presence of SSAs along with the presence, the presence of guidance counsellors and social workers. We want to ensure that the SSAs aren't being used as a go to when in fact intervention by a counselor social worker could and would be more appropriate. However when my staff called NYPD to request the data about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

presence of SAA,, SSAs in schools they were told that they needed to, to foil it and that the NYPD didn't want this information to be public despite the fact that NYPD are already required to report this data according to section 14150 of the administrative code. So I asked Council Member Torres or Council Member Torres actually introduced his bill that we are hearing today which would require the DOE to report on his information. I'd like to suggest that this bill be updated so that the information would be added to the report that is currently required by Intro 40 through, 403. This information would be added to the report that is currently required by Intro 403. This information along with the information generated by CM Gibson, Council Gibson and Council Member Johnson's bill would help us increase transparency about where these officers are working and give us the tools we need to ensure that the DOE isn't filling gaps in its ability to implement restorative justice practices by policing its students. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Member Reynoso and the last bill that will be hearing this afternoon is Intro 730 introduced

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

by myself and Council Member Jonson and other cosponsors. It is a bill to amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to reports on school discipline and police department activity relating to schools and speaking on behalf my, my co-sponsor Council Member Johnson.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Chair Gibson for holding this incredibly important hearing today. The student safety act was the result of a four year campaign led by a coalition of community based advocacy in legal organizations who saw a serious need for a transparency bill that would hold the NYPD and the Department of Education accountable for their school safety and disciplinary policies. The numbers have shown that they are extreme racial disparities within the education and juvenile justice system. As we know African American, Latino, LGBT, special education students are significantly more likely to face discipline than their peers. These increased out of class time and in school arrest rates in turn severely decrease their likelihood of graduating for high school. For instance in the 2011 2012 school year more than 95 percent of school based

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

arrests were of black and Latino students, more than 95 percent. In addition black students accounted for about 30 percent of public school enrollment but more than 50 percent of suspensions. Since 2011 the student safety act has provided city officials with a biannual report of expulsions and suspensions issued by the DOE as well as a quarterly report of ticken, tickets and and summonses issued by school safety agents and has required all information in these reports to be disaggregated by race gender and ethnicity. The recent announced changes to the department of education's disciplinary code are a good step in the right direction aimed at decreasing unnecessary suspensions, recognizing the adverse effects of such punishments and fairly enhancing safety at schools citywide. I want to thank our chancellor Carmen Fiorina, the NYPD, Mayor de Blasio and his Office of Criminal Justice as well as my colleagues here on the council particularly a council member and education committee chair Danny Dromm for their hard work and dedication that it took to achieve these recent reforms to the DOE disciplinary code. Using the data that we have city officials and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

advocacy groups made these arguments for sweeping changes in the disciplinary code winning this major battle just two months ago. Strengthening the student safety act will give us more information to devise solutions that focus less on detention and more on prevention. Despite the successes of the original student safety act huge gaps still exist and the reporting that what we get about what's going on with school discipline including the lack of useful data on arrests on a school by school basis. The student safety act 2.0 this bill cosponsored by Chair Gibson and myself will fill some of those gaps which will increase access to data about the removal of children through mechanism other than suspension including referrals to the emergency medical services, EMS, and temporary removals. It will also provide critical information about the presence of metal detectors in our schools and the use of mechanical restraints, handcuffs on students, including some as young as five years old. We must bring an end to suspensions for extremely minor infractions and we should focus on positive interventions to ensure that all of our students have a safe supportive

2.1

environment in which to learn. We must keep working with our educators to develop solutions that get at the root causes of students' behavior and to both prevent such behavior from repeating itself and to keep our kids in school where they belong. I again want to thank Chair Vanessa Gibson for her incredible leadership and the team work that she and I have been able to accomplish over these many months of working together and all of the member organizations of the student safety coalition who each one of us has worked so close with over the past year in preparing this bill Chair Gibson thank you so much for the opportunity to speak and I really am grateful that you and I have been able to do this work together. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much
Council Member Johnson and I, I neglected to
mention Student Safety Act 2.0. It kind of goes in
line with our recent announcement yesterday on
council 2.0 where we're making the internet and the
website more accessible to everyday New Yorkers who
uses social media and other mechanisms to really
reach every constituent. So I appreciate that and
thank you very much. We've also been joined by

	COMMITTEE ON DIDITO CARRENY TOINTY MITTI COMMITTEE
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	Council Members Allen Maisel and Andy King. Thank
3	you for being here. And now we will begin with our
4	first panel. Chair Dromm.
5	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sure. Allen Fagin
6	please from the Orthodox Union, Jeff Leb from the
7	UJA Federation of New York, Joseph Rosenberg from
8	the Catholic Community Relations Council, Joy Jones
9	from Ebenezer Preparatory School, and Debbie
10	Klugmann from Beth Jacob Boro Park. Just want to
11	remind our people giving testimony that we are
12	limiting people today to three minutes each. So
13	we're going to ask you to please keep your
14	testimony to three minutes.
15	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You may begin.
16	You're microphone's not on.
17	ALLEN FAGIN: Sorry.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay thank you.
19	ALLEN FAGIN: Good morning Chair Gibson,
20	Chair Dromm, Council Member Greenfield to whom we
21	want to extend the special acknowledgement as the
22	prime sponsor of this bill for all of your support
23	and leadership Chair Deutsch and members of the
24	committee. My name is Allen Fagin. I am the

Executive Vice President and Chief Executive

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Officer of the Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America, the nation's largest orthodox Jewish umbrella organization. On behalf of the more than 106 thousand Jewish day school and yeshiva students in this city and the tens of thousands of students in other non-public schools we want to thank you for holding this hearing on this enormously important subject Intro 65. School violence has become all too commonplace in our society. And politically motivated attacks on innocent students faculty and staff have increased at an alarming rate across the globe. In 2015 violence can erupt at any time at any place and with no warning. I think it's fair to say that most of us do not choose our child's school based on its security infrastructure nor should we have to. But given the recent attacks in France and other highly publicized incidents here at home security is at the top of everyone's mind. The primary function of our government is to provide security to its citizens. And as it relates to security there should be no distinction between public and nonpublic schools. Every one of our citizens, everyone of ur students should be entitled to protection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

According to the justice department's bureau of justice statistics more than one million nonfatal criminal acts took place on school grounds in 2012 alone including almost 750 thousand violent incidents at schools. This statistic does not include the many school shootings in the two years since the horrific events in Newtown Connecticut or the high profile terror attacks on schools across the globe including the Jewish school in Taluses France in March of 2012 and the girl's school in Pesewa Pakistan last December. Beyond our students' physical safety having the appropriate security infrastructure in place is crucial for their development as well. Children need to feel safe. They need to feel that they are in a secure environment in order to thrive at school. And certainly installing better lighting or better windows or better surveillance system are ways to improve the safety of a school building's physical structure. The Orthodox Union has been instrumental in addressing these needs on the federal level spearheading the creation of the Department of Homeland Security's nonprofit security grant's program. But the most effective and most visible

2.1

way for a school to ensure the safety of its students is through hiring personnel whose sole responsibility is the safety and security of the student body, the faculty, and staff. In New York City the city government foots the bill for public schools to have uniform school safety agents stationed at their premises.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you I just need you to wrap it up. I apologize. Just out of respect to your fellow panelists.

ALLEN FAGIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

ALLEN FAGIN: Let me just conclude by saying that...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay thank you.

ALLEN FAGIN: ...no such protection exists for the city's non-public school students. Most private schools lack the capacity to provide it. We thank the city council, the 46 members who have signed onto this legislation for their leadership on this effort and hope that this legislation will pass. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

Good afternoon everyone. We just want to thank you all for the opportunity to come and present our remarks here today. And we want to thank honorable David Greenfield and all those in support of Intro 65 the School Safety Agents Act. My name is Joy Jones and I'm the administrator of Ebenezer Preparatory School, a small independent Christian school that teaches students age three to 14. Been there for 34 years. On the corner of Kings Highway and Avenue D and Foster and Brooklyn. We want to just reiterate the need for School Safety Agents at our school and our communities faith because we do need this extra protection for our students, for our parents and for all of our faculty and staff that come out to educate our students. Our students do exceptionally well and we want to focus on education, academics and building character and moral standards in our students and we don't want to be left to just you know exposure without the proper protection. And we know this bill will definitely be a wonderful impact for us

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

in the school. We hope that this bill will pass.

Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You may begin.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Thank you. Good afternoon committee Chairs Gibson, Dromm, Deutsch, and members of the City Council Committees on Public Safety Education and Non-Public Schools. I am Joseph Rosenberg the Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council representing the arch daises of New York and the daises of Brooklyn in New York City on local legislative and policy matters. I am pleased to be before you today to testify in support of Intro 65. I'd like to thank Council Member Greenfield and the 46 council members who are the sponsors of this very important legislative initiative. Current law provides for school safety agents to be assigned to public schools to ensure and enhance the safety of students in these facilities. This bill expands that requirement to include non-public schools. If passed Intro 65 would specifically require the New York City Police Department upon the request of the authorities of a public or non-public school to assign no less than one school safety agent to such

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

school. This legislation itself is only one short page but if passed speaks volumes about the commitment and the absolute importance of providing security for all of New York City's students. The catholic schools of the archdiocese of New York and the diocese of Brooklyn provide quality education for over 80 thousand students from Pre-K to high school in our city. Preschools are a major and consistent success story in the history of New York City with 99 percent of the senior class graduating every year. The safety of our students is a priority. Each school ensures that their doors are locked during school hours and have safety plans and responds to emergencies. These plans are in place throughout all the catholic schools in New York City. Catholic schools however have fewer financial resources than public schools to invest insecurity measures leaving our students more vulnerable to acts of violence. In these uncertain times the additional, the additional degree of protection that will be provided by the passage of this legislation is essential and would be a tremendous assistance to the faculty and administrators of the catholic schools but most

1

2 | importantly to our student body and their families.

3 Who amongst us wants to wait for a compelling

4 | incident to realize what we should have done. We

5 can do it now. Public sector funding for essential

6 nonreligious functions in catholic schools has a

7 long tradition in our city especially when it comes

8 to safety. New York City finances the harboring of

9 | nurses to protect the health of students in

10 | catholic schools and provides bus transportation to

11 ensure these students get to and from school

12 safely. The sponsors of this bill recognize that

13 when it comes to safety there should not and cannot

14 | be a preference or advantage provide for one

15 population of students in our city over any other.

16 | Public schools in New York City already have safety

17 agents in their buildings. Everyone in this chamber

18 understands that in non-public schools the same

19 protection must be in place. Our children and

20 | families deserve nothing less. Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Right on

22 time. Perfect. And you too, thank you. You may

23 begin.

24 JEFF LEB: Good afternoon Chairwoman

25 | Gibson, Chairman Dromm, Chairman Deutsch, our prime

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

sponsor of this bill Council Member David Greenfield as well as all the other members for joining us here today and if I can be specific; Council Member Williams, Council Member Lander, Council Member Lancman, Council Member Treyger, Council Member King, Council Member Vacca, and Council Member Reynoso. Thank you so much for being here at this hearing and for giving us your time. My name is Jeff Leb. I'm the Managing Director of Government Relations and External Affairs at UJ Federation of New York and I am representing the organization. We are an umbrella Jewish philanthropy supporting a vast network of health and human service agencies in addition to the large network of Jewish schools throughout the city educating about 106 thousand students. We're also the largest local philanthropy in the world. Education is an important communal priority and we remain committed to ensuring the safety of those who use the institutions with... network. We have, advocate each year for an increase in the federal non-profit security grant program which provides funding to religious schools, helped establish a

four and half million dollar program in annual

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

safety grants for non-public schools on the state level and to also develop relationships with the NYPD and local agencies to provide active shooter trainings and target... consultations for our schools. That we need to prioritize security is an important part of an agenda speaks to an unfortunate reality. Violence is commonplace and has been increasing steadily over the past s4everal years. I'm here today to folks in just one aspect of our community network, non-public schools and the safety of the approximately 266 thousand students attending non-public schools throughout New York City. New York City pays for public schools to have a uniform safety officer stationed at their entrances. These officers provide important physical presence to deter crime and ensure safety. Students and faculty feel safer creating a better educational environment. This year presence of a safety officer is proven to foil deadly plots at schools. Just this past December in Plain City, Utah students reported to a uniformed officer that a fellow student was seen to have a gun hidden under his clothing. The student later confessed that he had planned to kill his former

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

girlfriend and then open fire on other students. Some non, some non-public schools do provide security but the majority of non-public schools cannot afford to do so. For us as parents and community leaders these conversations are difficult to have. We're facing each day living in a society where more and more people act in unjustified hatred towards others. There have been many school shooting in addition to the tragedy in... Connecticut including a very deadly one in Toulouse, France which was referenced before in March 2012 where four children and one teacher from a Jewish community were injured and died. For us this reality is very real. Our advocacy and other funding streams have gone a long way. Federal and state programs have brought millions of dollars to non-public school sector for two way intercoms and camera systems, bullet proof gafts, glass... lighting and other important... aspects. But none of is as good as an actual security officer, a trained public safety professional who gets to know the building, how it gets used, the community, and the important people who are in it. Intro 65 will guarantee the presence of an NYPD detail in all

2.1

non-public schools that require one with passages built with safeguard all New York students regardless of the school they intend and send the message that in this city the safety and security of all students and their teachers are important. This bill is wide partisan support and we urge it's

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

immediate pass. Thank you so much.

everyone. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Debbie Klugmann. I work for Beth Jacob of Boro Park which is one of the largest all girls' school in Boro Park Brooklyn. We have over 2,000 children with a staff of over 300 people. It seems to me like a no brainer that the safety of our students should always be number one. And particularly in these times that every, as everybody mentioned we don't want to do too little too late. And we want, like to thank Councilman Greenfield for his help. In the past he's visit our school a couple times. Brad Lander has visited our school as well. We have on staff right now we have a security officer. But we could ill afford this in

2.1

our school. We take tuition in our school. We have support staff that helps. We accommodate students that have no money. We let them go on scholarship. So this would be something that if you pass this bill... I'm surprised there's only 47 out of 51. I figured it would be 51 out of 51. Nobody mentioned that maybe they're not feeling well. Anyway I would just like this bill to pass as soon as possible and I would like to thank you for the opportunity to speak today and just let it pass. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much to each of you. I appreciate your testimony in being here today. I just had one very quick question because I want to turn this over to my two co-chairs and then there are four colleagues that do have questions for this panel. So my first question is each of you talked in support of Intro 65 and I'd like to know the current makeup of security in your schools now, the population that you serve, and this bill if enacted are you doing or have you done an assessment on the level of safety agents that you would need for your school or schools if you have more than one? If, if anyone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

has any details to provide that would be great. So number one the current structure of safety in your schools and have you done an assessment to determine how many safety agents if this bill passes you would need for your schools.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Joe Rosenberg from the Catholic Community Relations Council. There are approximately slightly over 200 catholic schools in the five boroughs. And I think as indicated previously the intent of the bill is to have at least one safety security agent in each school. So certainly the way the bill was drafted that would be 200 security agents at the 200 schools. The security that is in place right now at the bulk of these schools involves an insurance that the schools, the school doors are locked right after the students have been admitted and remain closed. Occasionally there are faculty patrolling. There are an instance of some schools where they have hired a part time security guard but in that the resources of the catholic schools of both the archdiocese and the diocese are extremely stretched. That is the way it proceeds right now, this bill would go tremendously far in ensuring

that they're safety. And that's why we support it as strongly as we do.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: What about cameras?
You didn't mention.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: There are cameras at many of the entrances and exits of the schools.

More are currently being installed. We're aware of the importance of that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

JOY JONES: Okay. My name is Joy Jones

2.1

from Ebenezer Prep School. We currently have 160 students in our school. We used to have a security guard several years ago. We are not able to afford one anymore. But we do have locked doors. We have an intercom system where the people buzz in and the receptionist buzzes them in. We do have surveillance cameras as well throughout the building and we have gates on our windows. So there are security measures in place. But to have someone at the door to you know police the grounds... we have two buildings, we have two entrances on you know a major street as well as in the back entrance. We have a playground. So we do have surveillance cameras there but within the budget constraints we

1

2

3

always plan more towards educational development than security. But we do need the security.

4

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Anyone else?

5

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: My name is Debbie

6

Klugmann also Beth Jacob of Boro Park. We have one

7

guard but we have many open doorways in our

8

building. We have many connecting buildings. We

9

have a, a huge corner of Boro Park on 13th, between

10

13th and 14th Avenue and 46th Street. We have

11

surveillance cameras but there're not enough

12

personnel to patrol this whole area because it's a

13

huge, a huge building with a huge amount of

14

children.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

comprehensive or systematic survey of what each

16

15

JEFF LEB: If I may I can't provide a

17

18

19

school does. Many of our schools have no capacity to hire any security personnel. Some do. I would

20

say that there is funding available from the

21

22

Department of Homeland Security for physical

23

security infrastructure; reinforced doors, windows,

cameras, and related equipment that a number of

24

schools have been able to take advantage of. But

1

2

they do not provide for human security for individuals.

4

3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Mm-hmm.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

JEFF LEB: More importantly I think that those schools that do have security guards have no capacity to be able to train them or to equip them in the way that they really need to be trained and equipped as responders to emergency situations. So having trained personnel as opposed to someone who stands at the door untrained and without the real capacity... When, when we've done security assessments for our institutions one of the biggest problems that we face is that you know sort of the, the rent-a-person who stands at the door may be a partial deterrence but having a truly trained individual who knows how to respond to emergency conditions is really the effective deterrent and that's something that is beyond the capacity of almost all of our schools to be able to handle.

2021

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very much. We've been joined by Council Members

Jimmy Vacca, Brad Lander, Jumaane Williams, and

Inez Barron. And I will turn this hearing over to

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

my two co-chairs and I wills start with Chair Dromm.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you Chair Gibson. And thank you all for coming in today. I

by first acknowledging the great work that you do
in terms of educating students in New York City and

have some questions and I just wanted to start off

9 express my support for your right to be able to do

10 that. But I do have some concerns and I have some

11 constitutional concerns and conternal [phonetic]

12 curtailment concerns in terms of what it is that we

as a council can or allowed to do. So my first

14 question to all of you is that you're asking for

15 the school safety agents. And I'm wondering where

16 | it will end, if we give school safety agents to

17 | private schools and to you know religious schools.

18 What's the next thing that you'd want?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Okay. Let me give that a shot. We view this as a safety measure and only as a safety measure. I think that the, and I don't mean to speak for my colleagues here but the city has determined in the past that the safety of children in non-public school is important by

25 providing nurses. The city in the past has

2.1

determined that the safety of the students is important by providing bus transportation. This is not a question of asking anything more than what public school students have. A ten year old in a public school has the right to be safe. A ten year old in a non-public school has the right to be safe. It's not just an equity issue. It also raises the question of when this law was originally drafted. Was there clearly a intent or an interest that one segment of students should have a safety protection that another doesn't. So I think that's really a way certainly I feel about this point it's a safety issue. You asked what would be next. The focus from the perspective here is just one of safety to make sure that these kids are safe.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So what type of safety protection are you looking for because school safety agents are actually peace officers? The NYPD is actually responsible for the prevention of terrorism and I, I heard a lot of that in the testimony. School safety agents have no right to intervene in a terrorist attack on a school. It would be the NYPD who would respond to something

COMMITTEE	ON	PUBI	JIC	SAFETY	JO	INTL	Y W	ITH	COMN	MITTEE	
ON EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMIT	ΓEΕ	ON	NON	-PUE	BLIC	SCHOOL	S

2 like that. And so I'm wondering what type of

3 protection it is that you're looking for?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: This would have a tremendous deterrent effect on many levels. If someone perhaps has the intent of entering the school for a malicious purpose someone in a uniform, someone who has a knight stick and a radio could certainly prevent that kind of action. This is just one level of many other ways that these schools can be made more safe, safer. And that's why we support it.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you would expect them to intervene if somebody entered the school with a gun?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: We think that having someone on site with a radio who has access to obtain help from other entities throughout the city would helpful than having no one on site who would be able to do that... [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...but obviously...
[cross-talk]

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: ...problem that we face now.

2.1

1	48 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But obviously
3	they wouldn't be able to intervene if someone
4	entered the school with a gun, they are not armed
5	themselves.
6	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: No they're not
7	[cross-talk]
8	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right?
9	JOSEPH ROSENBERG:armed and that is
10	one reason why this is strongly supported as well.
11	[cross-talk] individuals have knight sticks
12	[cross-talk] and they have radios so they can
13	communicate with the people who really are the
14	experts in this field to make sure that our
15	[cross-talk]
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's the NYPD.
17	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Yes.
18	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right?
19	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Thank you.
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So why can't your
21	staff do that now?
22	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Our staff are
23	teachers and they're teaching. That's what they're
24	doing.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So your schools are unprotected right now? You have nobody... [crosstalk]

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: No I'm not saying

that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...at the door?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Our schools have the doors locked and occasionally when a faculty member is not teaching they patrol the halls.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It seems to me that you're asking for a cost saving measure here. Estimates are running as high as 250 million dollars to be able to provide school safety agents in all of the private schools, money that the public schools desperately need. I don't know how you can justify that request.

2.1

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: My understanding is that it perhaps cost 50 thousand dollars for a school safety agent to be retained. Again my recollection is that the legislation talks of at least one school safety agent per school. I'm not doing the math but it seems to me that this cost is deminimis compared to the safety it could have and

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

justify the costs?

the effect it could have on the protection of our kids.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I don't think we 5 can ever put a, you know a number on the safety of our students but I just do think that the cost is 6 probably closer to about 250 million dollars. I'd 7 8 like to hear from the other panelists though on both of my questions. What is, specifically is the expectation that you would have for these school

safety agents in your schools and how would you

JEFF LEB: Thank you Chairman. I, I want to echo... Jeff Leb from UJ Federation of New York. I want to echo a lot of what Mr. Rosenberg said being that a school safety agent, a peace officer, the fact that that peace officer has a uniform on acts as a tremendous deterrent against you know possible acts of terrorism or acts of violence in a school. I think it's a tremendous deterrent. And you know unfortunately many schools do not have any sort of safety agents at the door. We, we heard from schools here, they have lock doors, they have cameras. They don't have anyone actually surveying the property and surveying the school and I think

2.1

that the ability to, there is constant

communication with the NYPD and with the NYPD

uniform that they're wearing even though they're a

safety agent would be a tremendous deterrent. And

as far as, as far as the cost I mean you said

yourself how much, how much is the life of a child

worth, we really can't place a, a number on that.

And I think that in issues in security and nursing

as we said before we're talking about the health,

welfare, and safety of our students.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So how many
terrorist attempts on your school have there been?

JEFF LEB: I know how many have been
foiled by the NYPD but you know we hear all the
time at you know...

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But the NYPD obviously is in charge of foiling them so...

JEFF LEB: Of course. Well we, we've, we've heard many times before the holidays there's always different, there are always different neighborhoods that are targeted, you know murmur chatter about different, different neighborhoods, different schools... We have to be on lock down all the time... schools. It's not a, it's not a great

	52
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	existence when we have to be, you know when we
3	can't have a constant presence there to at least
4	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you've had no
5	attempts, terrorist attacks on your school?
6	JEFF LEB: Very very fortunately. In, in
7	recent history in New York City there has not been
8	any terrorist attacks on any…
9	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is that true of
10	all the panelist? There have been no terrorist
11	attacks on your school or attempts [cross-talk]
12	JOY JONES: We've not had terrorist
13	attacks but we've had several robberies in our
14	school… [cross-talk]
15	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: At night?
16	JOY JONES: Excuse me?
17	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: At night or
18	during the day?
19	JOY JONES: During the day when [cross-
20	talk]
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: During the day
22	people have walked in and rob them?
23	JOY JONES: Yes.
24	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And did you call
25	the police?

2.1

JOY JONES: Yes we did.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: They came in,
they took statements, they you know fingerprinted
and did things like that but it's still, it's a
deterrent to have someone there to you know be that
first line of defense, the same way in the public
schools when you enter their schools the safety
agents are there to provide you know the scanning
or take your idea or... things like that. You just
want, we just think we're entitled to get the same
help for our students as well.

interesting to me that you would want to relinquish control of safety over in your school. Because you know once the school safety agents do come in principals in the public school system for example do not have control over the safety agents. They have to rely on the NYPD. And I, if you were here earlier when I gave opening remarks I mentioned...

[cross-talk] the incident that happened in, in Park Slope where the principal was helpless to do anything. So I'm just, it's, it's fascinating to me that you would want to relinquish that control that

1

2

3

the principal has in your buildings over the safety of your students.

4

5

6

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: The principals of the archdiocese and the diocese of Brooklyn Schools are very very supportive of this. They feel it is an additional layer of protection.

7

9

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So they would...

[cross-talk] they would agree to relinquishing

that... [cross-talk]

10 11

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: ...are looking forward to working with school safety agents... [cross-talk]

13

12

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's not what

14

15 control because that essentially is what you would

I'm asking. I'm asking would they relinquish

16

be doing. You would not have a say over what that

17

school safety agent does in your school when

18 19 they're on your premises. That would be a decision

20

made by the NYPD and not by your principal. That's one of the purposes of this hearing is that we are

21

investigating, we are looking at, we are doing

22

oversight over the role of these school safety

23

agents in the schools something that I took issue

24

with in the opening remarks. And as it stands now

25

you would have to relinquish that control over

25

24

another question.

56 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Excuse me. Could I
interrupt for… [cross-talk]
CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.
DEBBIE KLUGMANN:a moment? I'd just
like to add one more thing. This gentleman sitting
next to me, I'm sorry I didn't catch your name.
ALLEN FAGIN: Allen Fagin.
DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Allen Fagin. He made a
comment that we'd like to have trained safety
officers. We don't want to have just a suit sitting
there. We'd like to have those that are trained in
dealing with this type of situation, dealing with a
school environment
CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well that's what
we want too.
DEBBIE KLUGMANN:dealing with
children. Like that's what we would like.
CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's what we
haven't gotten. And that [cross-talk]

23 CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...that's why I'm
24 raising this... [cross-talk]

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: And that's what we...

[cross-talk]

1	57 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	DEBBIE KLUGMANN: I heard what you said
3	before. I agree with the part that you said. That
4	is what I would, we would like for our schools as
5	well.
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: No I, I agree
7	with you on that. And I
8	DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Mm-hmm.
9	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM:think that's
10	what the purpose of this hearing is but the
11	question comes down to really your willingness to
12	relinquish control over those decisions. Because as
13	it stands now that is exactly what would happen if
14	you had these school safety agents in your schools.
15	DEBBIE KLUGMANN: We would actually, yes
16	if that would be part, like one of the consequences
17	but we're hoping that you'll be successful in
18	getting us trained safety agents.
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well
20	DEBBIE KLUGMANN: We're hopeful.
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's the
22	purpose of this hearing is that

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Okay.

	58
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Let me ask you
3	another question. Do you abide by the New York City
4	human rights laws? Do you subscribe to them?
5	DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Yes, of course.
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you don't
7	discriminate on the basis of sexual orientation? I
8	know the diocese does but what about the other
9	schools?
10	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Please.
11	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well you've
12	never, you've never supported the human rights code
13	of, of New York City as, in relation to sexual
14	orientation?
15	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: I don't want to
16	practice law here Chair Dromm but I believe that
17	there is a carve out in the human rights law for
18	religious institutions
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Correct.
20	JOSEPH ROSENBERG:to follow the
21	precepts of their religion. We abide by the human
22	rights law in all of its [cross-talk]
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But when you ask
24	for public funding then I think you have to abide
25	by public laws and public rules. And one of the

2.1

things that we say in New York City is that we do not value discrimination for all of the protected classes. But in particular I have a, a certain thing because I had a friend who was fired from a Catholic School for marching in the St. Patrick's Parade. Mary Louis Academy as a matter of fact. And that's why I'm curious to know that you're willing to hire same sex school safety agents in your schools and to uphold their right to talk about and to actively engage with people in your schools and talk just as a, a heterosexual couple would about their family and there would be no consequences for that.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: I, I don't believe that the agents that we're talking about would be employees of the schools.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That is, that is correct. But if they did I'm asking if you would support their right to be able to do so.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: But they don't.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: But they don't. They are not employees of the schools. The schools will not be responsible… [cross-talk]

CON	MITTEE	ON	PUBI	LIC	SAFETY	JO	INTL	Y W	ITH	COMN	/ITTEE	
ON	EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMITT	ΓEΕ	ON	NON	-PUE	BLIC	SCHOOL	ĽS

1 2 CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You're not answering my question. My question is do you, do 3 you agree with the New York City Human Rights Law 4 5 that protects classes of people based on religion, sexual orientation, race, etcetera and if you do 6 not then I don't know why you're asking for our 7 8 employees. 9 JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Let me see if I can 10 summarize. We believe in the provisions of the 11 human rights law. We equally believe in the 12 provisions of the human rights law that uphold the 13 right of religions to follow the dictates of their 14 practices which is embedded... [cross-talk] 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's not what 16 I'm asking though sir... 17 JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Excuse me... [crosstalk] 18 19 CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What I'm... No no... 20 [cross-talk] JOSEPH ROSENBER: Excuse me... 2.1 22 CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...excuse me this 23 is our hearing first of all.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: But you've, you've asked the question I'm trying to...

24

1	61 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM:excuse me.
3	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: …respond to you.
4	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm not asking
5	that. You're avoiding the question that I'm asking.
6	The question that I'm asking is whether or not you
7	would be able to uphold that person's right to be
8	in your school as a school safety agent as an
9	openly gay person? Would you uphold that right? Yes
LO	or no?
11	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: The answer is they
12	would not be our employees
13	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's not
L4	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: It would not be up to
15	us to hire them, to terminate them, or to
L6	discipline them.
L7	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright I, Chair
18	I have a lot of problems with this issue and I
L9	think that we're headed in the wrong direction.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. We've
22	been joined by Council Members Ritchie Torres and
23	Debbie Rose. And now we're going to turn this over
24	to my other co-chair Chair Deutsch.

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you. And thank you very much. Let's talk about school safety offices actually patrolling the outside of your school building. Let's say we pass an intro requiring the city of New York to give school safety agents to be... outdoor vicinity of your school building to protect the, the, the school, the children who are in the school. My question is is that if, if you should see someone suspicious or something out of the ordinary or watch something in one of your cameras what is your protocols? What do you do?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Currently if someone is seen who's suspicious then the local precinct would be contacted. Generally there is not an interest in directly confronting someone where there is a concern that they might be interested in entering the school illegally or causing harm to any of the kids?

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay did you need to notify 9-1-1? [cross-talk]

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Yes... [cross-talk]

24 yes.

	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE
1	ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: And what was
3	the response time?
4	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Immediate response.
5	Sometimes as shortly as two minutes or so. Luckily
6	in most instances the person had already left so
7	there wasn't any confrontation.
8	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So it's, so and
9	where's your, where's your school, you're talking
10	about which school? Any specific school that they
11	had a quick response time?
12	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: These were a handful
13	of schools that
14	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Handful of
15	schools.
16	JOSEPH ROSENBERG:go throughout the
17	five boroughs that are under the jurisdiction of
18	the catholic church the diocese or the archdiocese
19	of New York.
20	CO-CHAIPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay so the
21	police respond, could I, let me get, I want to get
22	a, an answer from everyone in the panel if you
23	don't mind the same questions.
24	JOY JONES: Similar response. We'd call

9-1-1 as well. Sometimes one of the teachers... we

2.1

don't... well one of the teacher's cars was being broken into went, and he went out and was pretty much running out to the person but that's a ridiculous response. But generally we call 9-1-1 and have a police officer come. Sometimes they're prompt sometimes they're not.

ALLEN FAGIN: I, I, I don't know what the protocol is on a school by school basis.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: I, I don't know about a school by school basis but in our school we would call 9-1-1 if we saw something suspicious. That would be our first you know...

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Great.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: ...response.

JEFF LEB: For the, the schools in our, in our membership for the most part obviously the, the first move is to call 9-1-1 but I've been told that response times are... varied between the schools between the type of incident. You know it could take as quick as two minutes or as much as five minutes or a half hour depending on the type of incident. So obviously if there was a say school safety officer on premises you know we'd probably a more expeditious response.

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So you feel having a school safety agent that has a radio on him with direct contact to the NYPD which I believe they do have... so you believe it's beneficial right?

Let's go around the panel if you don't mind.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Yes absolutely and that's why we support this legislation so strongly. The, you can have someone here who would... we contact 9-1-1 they're there promptly. This additional level of protection though would be absolutely essential and crucial to making sure that the school...

JOY JONES: Yes I agree.

ALLEN FAGIN: Yes, absolutely.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: We agree as well. That would make it a quicker response. That would have like a better connection for us, more immediate is what we feel and we're happy for this legislation.

JEFF LEB: Obviously I'm in full agreement.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay. Question did you receive like an invite or go through some type of counterterrorism training most recently or within the last couple of years, few years that you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

were notified to some training by the police department to attend let's say active shooter training. Did anyone have some type of training... Because I know that the NYPD did have an active shooter training that they did have, they did reach out to different communities as well as they had a number of training courses that they did within OEM and emergency response and, and different divisions of NYPD that in case there, god forbid there is an active shooter how they respond to it so... So I, I see that the threat is like real. I know that in my district, I know for myself that there was an individual who was wearing a cap, an ICIS cap and because of the alertness of several individuals in the school they were able to divert anyone, that, that individual from coming into the school and notify 9-1-1 where the police responded and, and took the person out. Do you have in the same, the buildings that your facilities, your institutions are, do you have special needs children that you have classes for.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: We have several schools that are dedicated exclusively to special

67

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 1 needs students as well as general schools that have 2 3 special need populations as well. 4 JOY JONES: We're not equipped to handle 5 students with special needs in our school. 6 ALLEN FAGIN: Yes many, many of our schools have either set aside programs or 7 8 structured classrooms for special need students. 9 DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Our school has special need students as well, all ages, several. 10 11 JEFF LEB: Likewise. The schools in our 12 membership have either classes set aside or fully 13 integrated classes with special needs individuals. CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So you feel 14 15 that having a school safety agent outside would be 16 beneficial by having you know by having these special needs in the building you feel that the 17 school safety agent would be beneficial to protect 18 these children as well? 19 JEFF LEB: Absolutely. In the same manor 20 that the public school special need children have 2.1 this protection so should the children that are 22 23 under the jurisdiction of the people here. Agreed

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Do you agree.

24

25

completely.

2.1

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Yeah we agree.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Alright thank you very much. Okay no further questions at this point. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Chair Deutsch. And now we have a number of colleagues that have questions. And to all of my colleagues unfortunately we have a time limit. The administration is coming at 3:00 and we are very anxiously awaiting their arrival and their testimony so we have several panels after this current panel. So I ask each of you to be cognoscente of the time frame and get through your questions as quickly as possible. We will begin with Council Member Barron followed by Council

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Madam
Chair and to the co-chairs that are hosting this
very important hearing and to my colleagues I
didn't jump the line. I was here earlier so...
Because I see... I see the daggers. Why is she first,
she just got here... So I just wanted you to know I
did establish protocol earlier. I was an elementary
school teacher and I retired from the Board of Ed

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

as a principal. The building of which I was a principal was located in Bedford Stuyvesant. It was a four story building. There were about 700 students at that time, about 75 staff members, and I believe there were about 24 doors to my school. Of course we know doors are not locked from the inside so that any time if a child were to go out and leave a door ajar unattended someone might enter. Initially I had two school safety agents but then due to budget cuts one was pulled so I had one school safety agent. The New York Times recently cited the passing of Reverend Doctor Gardner C. Taylor who was a genius and a giant in terms of preaching, in terms of fighting for civil rights, in terms of education. He served as a senior pastor at Concord Baptist Church in Brooklyn. He established an elementary school, a senior housing development, and another development to provide for the needs of the community. In terms of using federal and city funds to address the needs of private schools he was very much opposed to that. I am as well very much opposed to using city funds for non-public schools. We know that our budgets are strapped. We know that children's lives are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

important. All of that is very true. It's my opinion that if a parent chooses for whatever reason to put their child in a private school they need to bear the responsibility of the costs of what it takes for that school to operate. I do not believe that city funds should be taken and given to the needs of children within a private separate building that is not available to public school, to public students. If that's your choice then I think as a part of the responsibility for the operation of that school you need to bear the responsibility of that cost. Additionally, in addition to the comments raised by the Chair of Education who was again a member of the Board of Education has a very detailed insight as to how schools operate. We're talking about the fact that school safety agents are in fact as has been said employed by the board of, by the Police Department to function in the schools. But those are positions that are in short number. I'm very pleased. I've heard some little innuendos about the functioning of school safety agents. I have to defend them in my particular school because they operated in conjunction with what my philosophy was and the culture that I

2.1

sought to establish. They were highly regarded, highly respected, known in the community, and respected in the community. I think that this Intro 65 is misguided. I think that private schools need to bear the cost of operating in the totality of what it needs for their children to attend those schools. I do not think it's a burden that public, public taxpayers should bear. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Member Barron. And now we will have Council Member Lancman followed by Council Member Reynoso.

afternoon. So I, I want to address a couple of topics. I mean there are things that I'm, that I'm hearing that I, I didn't think were up for debate but I think might need some clarification. And I speak with some experience both as a, as a parent of students, kids who attended Jewish day schools, two of whom still do as well as representing the large Jewish community and for that matter a large Muslim community as well who have their own security concerns. The threat of terrorism against Jewish institutions including schools is real and acute. And I'm, I find it a little odd that I, that

2.1

I need to say that and clarify that but I'm sure that you in the schools that you operate have briefed your parents on the level of terrorism threat at your institutions the same way that I have been briefed as a parent of students at two different Jewish day schools especially after the wave of terrorist attacks against Jewish schools in particular in Europe, the most sensational was the murder of four Jewish students in, in France a couple of years ago. Is that part of your, your regular dialogue with parents, the threat level? And, and is that part of the briefings that you receive from your local precincts as, as the schools that I, that my kids go to receive?

ALLEN FAGIN: Yes councilman that's certainly been our experience is that most schools are running the same type of parent education programs and staff education programs that you're familiar with. I don't believe that those educational programs are a substitute for the type of physical security... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I understand.

But... [cross-talk]

2.1

ALLEN FAGIN: ...that Intro 65... [cross-

3 | talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANMAN: ...but... I understand that but your institutions like mine have been briefed on threat levels and, and that is transmitted out to the parents.

ALLEN FAGIN: Absolutely. And, and there are agencies within our community including SCN,

Safe Community Network that goes institution to institution conducting threat assessments and looking at ways to enhance physical... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So...

ALLEN FAGIN: ...security.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: ...I understand.

So, and the schools that my kids have attended and the schools that are in my district the security that's provided and... is very ad hoc. Their, someone that they hire within the budget that they have they may have varying degrees of professional experience. They don't have a direct link to the NYPD. They're not particularly trained the way that school safety officers are. And do you find in, in the communities that you interact with that there's the same kind of like ad hoc let's pull something

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

together with the resources that we have security system that is, is far from the level of professionalism and organization that we see from public security, public safety agents.

ALLEN FAGIN: Councilman I think you've hit the nail exactly on the head. I know our organization has a security guard. Security guard sits at a, at a reception desk and is there and has a telephone and can respond if there is an issue. But that person is not trained to be able to observe surroundings to be able to anticipate issues. And I, and I think we've got to draw distinction between reaction to a violent incident and the ability to prevent that incident in the first instance. Many of the situations that we've read about which luckily have averted catastrophe have been situations where someone recognized that surveillance of an institution was taking place and the NYPD was notified. They were able to catch the perpetrators or perspective perpetrators before any damage was done. That requires a level of training and a level of sophistication. This is a science. It's not a question of having a warm body just standing there. There, there is training to be had

1	75 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	here in observation of surroundings, in recognizing
3	potential threats, that's why we have safety
4	agents. And, and as I understand it the thrust of
5	this legislation is simply to provide parody
6	[cross-talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And let me, let
8	me just, let me just ask you a question about
9	parody. Because as a, as a parent of a student,
10	students in, in these religious schools I accept
11	the fact that I'm going to pay separate tuition.
12	For that I'm not availing myself of the public
13	schools. I, I do want to just clarify all of your
14	parents who are paying this separate tuition
15	they're also New York City taxpayers right?
16	ALLEN FAGIN: Absolutely.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I thought so.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much
21	Council Member Lancman. Next Council Member Reynoso
22	followed by Council Member Williams.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you
24	Chair. I just wanted to ask for the diocese
25	specifically. What is the, do you know the ratio

1	76 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	breakdown of the, the demographic breakdown of your
3	schools? Do you have that handy?
4	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: You're referring to
5	how many schools are in Brooklyn and Queens?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: No, no how
7	many, the students breakdown of
8	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Of ethnicity?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes ethnicity.
10	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: I, I can get that to
11	you. It has a very large percentage of minorities
12	in, in the schools throughout the entire city.
13	They, many of them are children of immigrants.
14	There are many low income individuals as well. It,
15	it mirrors the city system to a tremendous [cross-
16	talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Would, would, I
18	would really appreciate that, that, that
19	information. And also what about income breakdown?
20	Do you also have that available?
21	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: I can provide that to
22	you. Again there's a tremendous amount of low
23	income. These reflect the families of the cities.
24	Schools in certain neighborhoods reflect the
25	individuals who live in those neighborhoods.

COMMITTEE	ON	PUBL	IC	SAFETY	JOJ	ITI	Y W	HT	COMM	IITTEE	1
ON EDUCATI	NOI	AND	SUE	3COMMIT?	CEE	ON	NON-	-PUE	BLIC	SCHOO	LS

2.1

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So you feel that you're going to be able, you can showcase through those documents that the income breakdown and the ethnic breakdown of the, of your students is reflective of the districts that you serve?

JOOSEPH ROSENBERG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Or the districts that you're located?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Yes absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay. And I just want... For full disclosure I went to a Catholic School. I went to Lasd Academy [sp?]...

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Mm-hmm.

Manhattan. And I just want to say at a, at a personal experience there were several incidents and several times where you know I felt my safety was threatened. And we had a dean that was, that had intervened twice. He was a ex-Marine. And because of it he handled the situations very well. But I do want to say that there are going to be a lot of valid points here on why we can't or can do this. But I think at the foundation of it all is just safety of, of children in the city of New

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE
ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

York. I do want to say that I wanted to see if we can add a, a amendment for no objection in regards to race, religion, creed, whether you're LGBT or not. I just want, there's no objection. If you got a safety agent that is your safety agent and there can be no objection. I want to make sure that that is part of this.

JEFF LEB: I just want a, I just want add thank you very much Council Member. I just want to add to your point and to a point made by Chairman Dromm before that a lot of, a lot of members of the staff and faculty of our member schools are openly LGBT. So...

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Just no objection. You, you get a safety agent...

JEFF LEB: None once so ever.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay. But I want that written in legislation, in the legislation. I want to make sure that it, yes. No, no word yet... But, but these are real concerns that you know Council Member Dromm has, has said.

There's human rights issues here. There's a lot of issues that, that I think, I would love to address.

I don't think that we have the capacity in this

2.1

hearing to do that. But I do want to say that they're real, his concerns, and, and are things that we should be mindful of. But again if you break it all down it's about the safety of children and I was one of those children at one time and I would have appreciated some level of, of professionalism in the safety that I received in that time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Just a quick question. I think one of you talked about some of your schools that currently have some level of a security officer. Has there been any conversation with training some of your staff so that they could have more experience in securing the schools? I don't remember who said but someone has schools.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Actually we have it in our school right now.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: It's sort of like rent-a-suit and take the packages in kind of a thing meaning that they don't have the experience at all to be capable of you know quick response. They're more like making sure that you know they,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

they do like other things. They're not specifically designated as the official security officer. It's more like watch the door pre-receptionist let's call it you know. So it's really very necessary for our school somebody that would be trained as a you know special agent to help us with this. Because right now we feel, our parents feel actually that their children are, are unsafe. They constantly concerned about this. It's a constant issue. I want to address one thing that's, that I heard one of the council members mention. It's different, we don't have the threat within our school, it's outside of our school. It's like our children, our younger children, they don't come to school, we don't have the need for like, they don't come to school with knives or guns or anything that have to be checked because we have pre-k through eighth grade in an all-girls school. And also it's a different time. It's like the threat is from the outside, not from within, not from within our student body. Meaning that's why we'd need the patrol on the outside of our school.

24

2.1

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. But all of you recognize the current role of SSAs where they you know are physically inside of a school...

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: ...so it's not their job to go outside and patrol the perimeters of that particular school building right?

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: But see by the door, the entryways is where we're, we're concerned about...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: ...getting into our building. The protection of before you get into our building. They shouldn't even get into our building. That's where we would need them right in front, like the front line officer if that's what you'd like to call it. That's what I would think.

JEFF LEB: Chairwoman yes, as mentioned before by Council Member Greenfield and Chairman Deutsch they, there is lots of federal money goes into training the, you know some of the faculty and, and administration of the schools as they recognize that you know religious schools are a higher target for terrorist attacks. But the issue

2.1

is that even if the training gets done it's too late often by the time the person, a faculty person has the ability to actually use that training. By having the school safety agent at the front visible to the school that acts as a tremendous deterrent that someone who's trained in terrorism preparedness would not be able to you know administer.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So each of you has described relatively low crime within the school or no crime at all. So absent of this legislation passing moving forward what are your schools, what are you going to do to address safety of your children. So everything not being predicated on passage of this bill but how do you move forward and educate your children and provide the public safety that's necessary?

JEFF LEB: Well I can't speak for all the, all, all the panelists and all the schools here but obviously some schools have the ability to have security guards and safety agents or security guards and some schools don't. And it's really a matter of you know finances being able to afford that security officer. So for those who don't,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

they'll you know try to put more money into the infrastructure keeping the doors locked, taking the safety precautions but there really is no substitute for an actual body.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: That's the same instance that we would have with the catholic schools throughout the five boroughs. Some schools have perhaps slightly larger budgets than others. Most of them have tremendously strained resources. I think you're all witnesses to schools occasionally having been closed because of physical constraints in lowering student bodies but the intent would be here is to just make sure that everyone ad additional training, make sure that the school, the doors were locked at the right time. And if schools did have the ability to hire anyone to monitor the hallways they would give them additional training but that really is the reason why we're here because most of these schools do not have the ability to hire people of that nature to protect their kids.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Let's move forward. Council Member Williams followed by Council Member Maisel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you Madam Chair and to all the chairs. Thank you for your testimony. So I just want to be clear what I think... I don't know if it's the elephant in the room, I don't know what it is I think what people are focused on, the fundamental question should we be spending money private educational institutions, particularly private religious educational institutions. So I'm on the bill. I plan to stay on it but I do have trepidation, I do have some questions. And what's keeping me on is it seems like we've had this discussion, it's definitely worry that have but the city had decided that the answer is yes because they have funded nurses, they funded bussing, they funded books and things of that nature. So there seems to be a continuation of that. But I think there's some legitimacy in still asking questions so I wanted to first follow-up on one of the first questions I heard which was what, what makes this different than, than you coming back and saying we should pay for some additional teachers. Like what, how does this, where does, where is a line in what should be funded and should not be funded?

2.1

issue or an equity issue. I think this is an instance especially because of un, such uncertain times and the, I don't want to be a prophetess like Cassandra here but there also... targets. Fortunately they have not occurred in the city of New York but this is not asking for money for an additional teacher to teach kids science or an additional cafeteria aid to provide more options for food. This is a visceral strong need that the public school students have, that we feel very strongly that the private school students have. It's actually, we feel it's that direct and visceral a, a point where the protection should be for all the students in the school.

that. And again I still think the conversation needs to continue because I think there is a legitimate point of someone saying if you're going to this institution you bear responsibility for getting the education and the... things that come with it. And so, but we have, a city have decided something else which is why I'm on the bill. But I'm not, I'm still not clear from your answer when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

does it stop? Like we can have this discussion about a lot of things that are needed in the, the religious institution and, and in private institutions because I, I'm still not clear how do you differentiate, I mean there can be dire situations that come around, maybe there's funding laws you don't have any teachers teaching. And so I mean I think that is a question that really needs to be answered. And I, I am concerned and I think we just have to have this discussion as we move forward I'm not, I'm still going to continue to support the bill based on the precedence that's been set. But I think it's a question that is worth asking as we're fighting for every dollar for public school how do we decide when to chop off some of that to give it to private institutions? My last question I just wanted to ask more clearly... would you seek transfers for agents that are from the LGBT community?

21

20

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: No.

22

JEFF LEB: No.

23

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you.

24

Thank you Madam Chair.

1

2

3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Members. Next Council Member Maisel.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: I know everybody's been waiting for my questions. The folks at the panel just wondering if, if you, if you are all aware of the, of the idea that the state constitution allows for services to be provided to children not to the schools? So I think Councilman Williams mentioned NYSLB New York state Library Money, NYSED which is New York State Textbook Money. We provide nursing services, cafeteria service, food services, they're all sorts of services that are provided that are not directed towards the school, that does not provide services to allow the school to basically continue its function which is to be a religious denominated school. So these, these safety officers are being provide for the safety of the children. They're not being provided for anything other than the safety of the children therefore is abundantly clear that it's constitutional and, and in my view reasonable.

23

DEBBIE KLUGMANN: Absolutely.

So I assume you'll agree with that?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: That's just a sticky way of making a statement. The other thing is for those, for the, for the, not for the catholic schools because catholic schools have a different mode of operation but in the non-public in the Jewish schools for the most part no I was, I taught in a, in the Jewish... school. Most of the teachers after the third grade are public school teachers who finish their work at 3:00 and then go to the, the Yeshivas to teach. It would be physically impossible to train any of those teachers other than trying to get them to go through traffic faster so they can get to their assignments by 3:30. The other question is that, that I really want to ask is how often is there a liaison with the police department in your, in your localities? How often do the police get in touch with you about concerns? So if there's something that happened in an international way... Well let, let me give you an example. I worked 20 years ago. There was a, a threat from outside the country. The police department was very concerned about it. And for about two or three weeks all of the teachers in the school, before we could go home we had to

1	89 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	escort our students to the bus stop, make sure
3	everybody got on the bus stop if they weren't
4	taking school busses. If they were taking city
5	transportation we had to be there to make sure the
6	got on the busses safely. I'm not sure what would
7	have happened if there was a threat because I
8	certainly wasn't trained to deal with any threats.
9	So there's no way that the public school teachers
10	who are going to the, the Yeshiva after 3:00 in any
11	way could be of any assistance if god forbid there
12	was some kind of threat. Would you agree with that
13	JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Definitely.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Is that
15	consensus?
16	[cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Well then I rest
18	my case.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you Council
20	Member Maisel. And now we will hear from Council
21	Member Greenfield.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you
23	Madam Chair. I appreciate it. So just wanted to
24	clarify a few things as a sponsor of the bill
25	because I think some folks may not have all the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

details. The first thing is that the bill is actually priced out at approximately 50 million dollars if every single school were to participate and quite frankly I don't think that every school would to participate to speak to Chair Dromm's point I think some schools would probably opt out for whatever reason. So the max cost is 50 million but realistically it probably would be a lot less. The second point I want to make which I think is important is we're not taking any money away from public schools. This is a safety issue. This is a budget that goes to the NYPD. The NYPD has a budget of well over four billion dollars with a B. This would be adding a few million dollars to the NYPD's budget and certainly we're not taking anything away from the Department of Education in terms of the public schools. Council Member Williams to your point in terms of when does it stop I think, I think that's what we call the legislative process which is that folks are going to come in and they're going to ask for things and we're going to have to decide when we give it to them and when we don't. I think what we can all agree upon is that when it comes to the safety of our children it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

doesn't matter what their religious beliefs are or whether they go to public or non-public schools, just like it doesn't really matter when you call 9-1-1 they don't ask you hey what are your religious views, what are your personal views, what are your political views, oh well we're not sure if we're going to respond to this call because we don't agree with you. That's not, that's not how we do public safety in New York or anywhere else in this county. We base it on the need. The reality is that there is a need for public safety for children. These children have the same blood that courses through their veins as any other child in New York including those in non-public schools and in public schools. They have parents like any other child in New York. They've got brothers and sisters and aunts and uncles and grandparents who care about them as much as everyone else and that's what we're talking about and it's one issue and it's public safety. And so I certainly understand that there's always a need and an interest and we come to a hearing where we like to talk about many different issues but I would like to refocus us on in fact the one and singular issue and that is do children

2.1

need public safety in non-public schools? And so my question is really going to focus on that to the panel. And it's, it's a difficult question to ask because it puts you in a tough position. But the reality is... Do you feel like your children are adequately protected now and do you believe that having school safety agents would increase their protection to a minimal level similar to that of public school children?

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: We have done all we can in terms of trying to maximize the security in our schools as I previously said. There are cameras. There are occasionally people who are hired. It is, we have no doubt that the passage of Intro 65 would improve and maximize security and have a tremendous deterrent effect moving forward here. We think it is an absolutely essential bill. We thank you for being the prime sponsor of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you.

Is it fair to say that the panel agrees with that assessment? [cross-talk] ...I think that really needs to be our focus. The reality is that this year we're going to spend approximately two billion dollars more than we spent last year. We have more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

revenue in this city. We have more spending. We spend on a lot of different very important issues. The question I think that we have here today which is a basic question and that is of the two billion dollars of more money that we spend do we spend a few million dollars to ensure that a quarter of a million school children, these are regular kids, they're innocent kids, they didn't do anything to anybody, they didn't beat up on anyone, they're just going to school, whether those children deserve to be safe. And I think that really is the critical issue. And I think you would all agree that right now the resources just aren't there. And I think Joe you said it best you're dealing with limited resources, you're doing the best that you can but the reality is you can't have a school safety agent in front of every school because you can't afford it. And if we're getting into details I would care to mention that incidentally that the reason we have so much extra money in our budget is because approximately four and half billion dollars of savings that these non-public schools provide to the city of New York, that they're actually not spending this money. So I don't think it's whether

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

we agree or disagree on in terms of the schooling and the education and the beliefs. I think the focus needs to be on the safety of our children and that is paramount. And I think every parent watching this on television and every reporter who has a brother or sister or a child or a niece or a nephew and every council member has to ask themselves a very basic question; do we want our kids to be safe or not? That's the only question that we're dealing with. And certainly we can have enlightened and interesting conversations about different issues but the bottom line is this, this budget and this bill deals with one singular issue, the safety of that individual child. And the votes are going to be very clear. You either support a child's safety or if you vote against it you don't support children safety and that's really what my legislation is all about. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Member Greenfield. And thank you to our panel. Thank you for being here today. Thank you for your testimony. And we look forward to working with you. Thank you. We're going to call our next panel so we can move this hearing along. Thank you.

25

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Our next panel will be Ahmed, Ahmad Hamid Al-Noor School, Steven Lorch I believe Solomon Schechter School of Manhattan, Stuart Klammer from the Shulamith School of Brooklyn, Barry Spitzer from the Brooklyn from Brooklyn Community Board 12, David Tanenbaum from Agudath Israel of America, and Daniella Gatlib [sp?] of the Luria Academy.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay Mr. Hamid we're, okay you may begin. Thank you all for be...

And we have to remind you all that there is a three minute timeframe so if you could summarize any of your remarks just to get through out of respect to all of your fellow panelist. Thank you very much again.

AHMAD HAMID: [off mic] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Hit the red button.

AHMAD HAMID: Thank you Madam

Chairperson and members of the panel. Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I, I represent Al-Noor School, one of the largest Muslim school in New York with about 650 kids. Founded in about 1995. We do have a security officer but with our size and having three buildings our finance will not afford

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

the kind of security required. Security and safety of our students have, has never been so important as it is right now. Schools may have security personnel but most of them are not trained to handle dangerous situations. The shootings and crimes within a school, within the schools emphasize the importance of security. People with a criminal and sick mind are bent on violence and will not differentiate between public or private school between races or religious beliefs. Private schools provide education with specific reference to Muslim schools. There are about 5,000 students scattered in the city. And collectively non-public schools provide education for about 25 percent of students. These students who attend private schools are equally, they are equally entitled to the security of their school provided by the state. The extent to which this can happen depends on the representation... Israel as the deliberation of members of the council. But the fact remains that the students of private schools need to be feel secured and wants to come to school feeling that they're secured, their parents will feel it of degree of confidence that in a crisis their

2.1

students will be protected. The question might be raised about terrorism. Every time there's an incident on the international scene I give specific instructions to our school personnel that... exercise strict measures of security. Even example if to be specific if something happens in Pakistan or something happens in Israel, something happens in Pakistan or something happens in Israel or something happens in, in Iraq by ISIS we are very much concerned of a repercussion or, or, or response in a New York City and within our schools and neighborhood. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. You may begin.

STUART KLAMMER: Okay. My name is Stuart Klammer. I'm head of Shulamith School in, in Flatbush in Midwest section. I want to thank Councilman Greenfield and Councilman Deutsch and the Chairs Gibson and Dromm... And thank you for, Council Members for sponsoring this bill. In my area where we, where my school is located as you are aware several... three members of, potential members of ISIS were captured not that long ago. Also swastikas were placed upon, or painted upon

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

automobiles. Not far from my school Leiby Kletzky was abducted and murdered several years ago. These are very real crimes and there are things that are on the minds of my parents and even my students and they have nightmares about these kinds of things practically after the Leiby Kletzky incident and the ISIS fighters incident my teachers, my faculty was, was terrified. And hate crimes in fact come very close to home. The incident in Taluses France was mentioned recently. One of the teachers in my school had family in that school in France and so that hit very close to home. And when we heard about incidents in our own neighborhood it was particularly frightening. I spent most of my day unlike today with children. And you see I'm wearing a bus tie. And I'm watching the children as they get on the busses as they enter the school in the morning. And as they go about their daily activities And I'm, frankly I'm very frightened. I'm frightened as I look around the neighborhood, as I read the newspapers everyday but I, I try to hide my fright an put on a good face and I smile. But I see my children, the girls in my school, they're quite frightened. It's an all-girl school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And I see my faculty also. And that's what this is about. This is about providing a measure of safety so that my faculty can teach and my students can learn without interruption and without fear, that they're able to go to school each and every day without, without having to worry. And I would strongly urge the council to sponsor this again. I would also stress this is not a measure of religious parody but it's a matter of safety of the children. And also we spoke a lot about physical safety. But I'm also concerned about psychological and emotional safety that the, the students in my school should be able to sit there at their desk and not have to worry about what's going on outside, who's going to enter their school. And we've tried, we've, we've used precious funds which we can ill afford because my school provides an education for multiple ethnicities for multiple backgrounds from all different countries from all over the world. And many of my parents can ill afford the tuition and we provide many scholarships. So we have diverted funds and to try to pay for security but it's a tremendous tremendous strain on our budget and that money

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

would be spent rather for education for textbooks for paying salaries for teachers rather than for providing security which is very very difficult for us to afford. So I, I applaud the council and I thank them for their attention to this bill and I am confident that it will pass. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

STEVEN LORCH: My name is Steve Lorch. I am the head of the Solomon Chapter School of Manhattan a, an elementary school for 145 children on the upper, upper west side of Manhattan at $100^{\rm th},~100^{\rm th}$ Street and Columbus Avenue. And I too thank the council members for inviting me and affording me the opportunity to testify on behalf of this bill. I take the security of my students very seriously. We are a school that does contract with a security firm and through the security firm we have a trained security guard on the premises whenever children are on the premises. That is not only during the school day but after hours and weekends when we have programs for children as well. Nevertheless we know I'm not a security expert, we are told by our security experts that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

deterrence is the key and one of the most important aspects of deterrence is visibility. The security agent that we, that we hire, that we contract for comes in a regular suit, does not come in a New York City Police Officer's uniform. And that would make a significant difference to the deterrence that would be, that it would be provided and the safety of our students. A couple of months ago shortly after the terrorist attacks in Paris Mayor de Blasio travelled to Paris on a fact-finding mission and returned and spoke in my synagogue. And reported with great pride that the New York City Police Department does not require any special protocols to respond to terrorist incidents whenever they occur around the world. They increase the, the level of threat and respond accordingly with increased presence and increased surveillance of all possible targets. And I thought that was great. But I wondered about what, one thing. What happens if the terrorist attack is on my school? We need New York City security guards looking after the safety of every school child in New York City, in my school as well. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

BARRY SPITZER: Good afternoon. My name is Barry Spitzer and I'm the District Manager of Community Board 12 in Brooklyn which is home to more private schools than any other district in the city. I'm here today to testify in support of Intro 65. I would like to start off by thanking Council Member Vanessa Gibson Chair of Committee on Public Safety for bringing this important legislation to your committee for a hearing and also the Committee on Education and the Subcommittee on Non-Public Schools for being part of this hearing. Additionally I would like to thank the 46 council members who co-sponsor this bill which helped bring this to the forefront and give it the attention that it deserves. Most importantly I would like to thank Councilman David Greenfield for introducing this bill. And as years as a council member Councilman Greenfield has introduced many important pieces of legislation. But in my opinion this is the most important one yet. I started by saying that I'm here to testify but it's more than that. I'm here to make a plea. I'm here for two reasons. The first is because as I said before I represent the district that has the largest concentration of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

private schools than anywhere else in the city. In my district alone we have more children attending private schools than there are children attending public schools. Each morning there are approximately 300 school busses on the streets of my district dropping off thousands of children to these schools. Just to give you an idea I took a sampling of just five institutions of my district. The bub of... institutions have a combined number of close to 15 thousand children. That's just five institutions which does not include the dozens and dozens of schools with hundreds of children attending each of them. The second reason and perhaps more importantly is because as a parent whose children attend these schools I'm personally affected by this. This is what keeps me up at night. When the NYPD came and gave our schools a presentation on a active shoot, active shooter scenario it became very clear to me that our schools are woefully unprepared in the event of an attack. There's an ever increasing threat of terrorism in which I don't need to elaborate. We all read the news. What scares me more is the threat of what is called the lone wolf or a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

deranged individual scenario which I feel is even more dangerous because there is no intelligence or chatter preceding the attack and therefore is extremely unpredictable. Public schools have safety agents which dramatically add to the safety of the children in those schools. Although I's not 100 percent foolproof it still adds a measure of safety for the children. These safety agents are trained professionals who know how to act in event of a crisis and they conserve, and they do serve as a deterrent, deterrent for somebody with bad intentions. Children in private schools currently have no protection. Most of these schools do not have the finances to hire private security guards nor should they be forced to. Children in private schools should be afforded the same protection as children in public schools. This is not a matter that is particular to any religion or race. Just a few months ago at our January meeting, our board which is comprised of Jewish, Catholic, Asian, and Muslim members unanimously adopted the resolution, a copy which is attached to this testimony calling of the council to pass this bill and for the mayor to sign into law.

CON	MITTEE	ON	PUBI	LIC	SAFETY	JO:	INTL	HTIW Y	COM	MITTEE	
ON	EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMIT:	ΓEΕ	ON	NON-PUI	BLIC	SCHOOL	S

2.1

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I'm sorry can you just wrap up the last two paragraphs you have?

Thank you.

5 BARRY SPITZER: I, I'm just going to add 6 that...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

talk] about money. The life of a child has no price. Every parent that sends their child to school whether public or private has expectations that the child will be safe. There's nothing more precious than the life of a child and the city should take responsibility for the safety of each and every child in this city regardless of the school they attend. So as a father and the public servant my plea to you today is please approve this bill. Thank you for letting me speak here today and thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

DAVID TANENBAUN: Thank you to Chair Gibson, Chair Dromm, and Chair Deutsch. A special thank you to Council Member David Greenfield for spearheading this effort and introducing the bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My name is David Tanenbaum. And I'm here as a representative of Agudath Israel of America a 93 year old national organization that advocates on behalf of the orthodox Jewish community across the country. I am also a New York City Department of Education 36 year retiree as a supervisor in the Queens high schools. Locally one of Agudath Israel's primary areas of focus is to advocate for the needs and interest of the approximately 106 thousand students and attend Yeshiva elementary and secondary schools here in New York City. Agudath Israel along with other organizations representing different faith groups is a member of the committee of New York City religious and independent school officials. Indeed Rabii David Zwiebel our executive vice president chairs that committee. I am here this afternoon to offer our support for Intro 65, a local law to amend the administrative code in the city of New York in relation to requiring the New York City Police Department to assign upon request school safety agents to non-public schools. The administrative code currently mandates school safety agents to be assigned to every public school. We believe that it is a responsibility of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

the city to protect all students who attend nonpublic schools with the same level of security and protection as it does to those who attend public schools. The safety of all our children should be our priority. There is no legal or constitutional basis for denying this protection to the close to 250 thousand children or almost 20 percent of New York City students who attend non-public schools. This is no different than the fire safety, fire, the police, or emergency service departments responding to these in a non-public school just as they do in a public school. We recently conducted a representative sampling of Jewish schools in New York City asking them if they would be interested in having school safety agents assigned to them. The vast majority of respondents responded positively. There is a real need in today's dangers world to enhance school security. While we believe that all students in public and non-public schools should be protected we in the Jewish community feel especially vulnerable. There have been several attacks on synagogues and Jewish schools around the world and even here in our city. This bill is a

1

2

3

first step in the right direction and we urge your support. Thank you for your attention.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very

5 much.

DANIELLA GATLIB: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Daniella Gatlib and I'm a parent at Luria Academy. Luria Academy is located in Prospect Heights Brooklyn. We have 160 students in preschool, elementary, and middle school. We have over 30 staff working in our building. Our local students walk, scoot, or bike to school and other come in car pools or on school busses. Safety is our number one priority. And given the high insecurity concern these days in neighborhoods adjacent to ours safety is our number one concern. My three year old and I walk to school each morning and I deliver him into the hands of his kind and caring teachers who spend the day teaching him and caring for his safety and wellbeing. It is a huge burden to worry about not only the safety of our students but also the teachers and the parents that trust that these, their most precious, precious possessions are safe each day. Tragedies like columbine and the assassination of two police

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

officers in nearby Bedford-Stuyvesant demonstrate that anything can happen at any time. Having an extra layer of protection would prevent such potential, prevent such tragedies. School is my second, my son's second home. I mean he spends six hours a day at school. And I just, we want to make sure that he's safe ad his classmates are, are safe. Our school is extremely diverse and while affording the religious education we provide is a challenge it is also a priority. And keeping tuition affordable is our priority. All our income goes directly to paying teachers' salaries and classroom materials. We do not have the funds to support a security guard but all of us would feel much safer if an NYPD school safety agent was present. Passage of this bill will allow our teachers and students to have security consistent with on, other NYC schools. I also would like to touch upon Councilman Greenfield's point. If there's anyone that's saying you know why should we do this it's taking funds away from public schools, it's coming out of the NYPD's budget and it would only cost 50 million, not 250 million which I think

1

2

3

was pointed out before as well. Well thank you very much for your time and ${\tt I}\dots$

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very much to all of the panelists who are here. Thank you for your testimony. So for the record let me just clarify the school safety agents and the budget for SSAs comes out of the Department of Education not the NYPD okay just so that we're clear and we understand moving forward. SSAs and the budgets for them comes out of DOE and not NYPD's budget okay. The last panel that was here spoke in support as well of Intro 65. And my general question to each of you, you represent a number of students in schools the current security measures that are in your schools whether it's a security officer or cameras or a combination of both. And have you done an assessment to determine what your needs would be should this bill pass? And if this bill is not successful in passing and you do not get the school safety agents then what will you do to address future public safety for your students and your educators moving forward? Anyone that can speak to it. You don't all have to speak.

2.1

STEVEN LORCH: I'll, I'll, I'll start.

We have a security guard on premises during the school day and at other times as I mentioned. We would continue to do that if the bill did not pass. We are in consultation both with our security firm and with NYPD about upgrades to our security from, from time to time generally about twice a year we, we receive advisories about upgrades and we would continue with that practice as well. Never the less we believe that having a uniformed NYPD security agent at our school would provide deterrence that we are, would otherwise unable, be unable to provide.

STUART KLAMMER: I, I would add just as
I said before that we would divert funds from
school supplies and from staffing and other things
which we really need and we end up spending it for
security. So it, the children's education would
suffer.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Anyone else?

DAVID TANENBAUM: In many of our schools
there would be no security available without this
laws because there is no, no funds available. Some

of the schools... they, they vary. They vary widely

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

from well, well-funded schools to impoverished schools where there is barely enough to keep one teacher per grade. And there's nothing else for, nothing remaining for security.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay thank you all. We've been joined by Council Members Margaret Chin and Ydanis Rodriguez. And now we will hear from Chair Deutsch.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you very much. I don't really have a question. I just want to do like, give a little closing remarks for the people that we had today testifying on behalf of Intro 65. And I think that when it comes to security we should not differentiate between public and non-public schools. These are our children and it should all be, we should all be equal and alike when it comes to security. And our common goal should be, all our common goals should be protecting our children. And we do have what's called school crossing guards that ensured that our children crossed the street safely going to school and you know once the children crossed the streets you still need them to remain safe. So one to get into the building and they go into the school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

buildings, you want them to be safe, you want them to leave school safely and you want to make sure that we take all prevent, all types of preventive measures to ensure that our children are safe. In addition I think that school safety offices, agents are crucial and unfortunately we didn't have the administration here before. But I believe once we question the school safety division that they do carry radios. So when you call 9-1-1 for some type of incident a 9-1-1 operator is like a messenger. If you don't give the message properly or accurately that message doesn't go over the radios the same way or maybe sometimes it gets less diluted, more diluted. So having a school safety agent with direct contact to the NYPD by saying we're at a school and we have a, a person who trespassed then those offices will be there within seconds. If you ever see a 10-13 you have several vehicles from different station houses, different precincts there within literally within under a minute. So I think that is very important to have school safety agents protecting our children in all schools. And frankly it's our obligation to protect all citizens and unfortunately we couldn't have a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

school safety agent for every person living in the city. But when you have locations or potential targets where we already had around the world and here in United States it is our obligation to make sure that we protect these targeted locations. We have officers. We have protection in different colleges throughout the city, throughout the state. And there's a reason for that. You have large crowds. You have officers that come out and do or protect people of such large crowds, when you have demonstrations, when you have protests in different areas. And a school is a targeted location. So I believe that having school safety agents in all schools is very important especially now we also set a precedence in, in public schools protecting children so why only protect 1.1 we need to protect right, right, right under 1.4 million children. And all children need equal protection. Thank you very much.

2021

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Chair Deutsch. And we have two final remarks from our colleagues; Council Member Williams and Council Member Greenfield to close.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you very
much. And thank you for your testimony. Although I
was, I was listening to it and I'm not sure, I
think, fine the school safety agent, but I'm not
sure that they will be able to stop a terrorist
attack or stop a shooter. So I wanted to make clear
that we're not putting more than what we're
expecting them to be. From some of the descriptions
it sounded like you need, you would prefer an armed
police officer there as opposed to a school safety
agent. So I'm not sure that they can necessarily do
that. I think that what Council Member Deutsch
described seemed to be the most type of thing that
they can have a direct link or immediately get to
NYPD in columbine with Sandy Hook. I believe they
had safety agents there and people who had that
charge and I don't know necessary stops I want to
make sure we have a, a realistic view of what the
safety agent can do. [cross-talk] And, and can't
do.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Can I respond?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: In one second.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Sure.

-	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
1	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Because I
3	think I only have I did want to ask what is the
4	smallest and largest size of the schools that you
5	represent?
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Let me ask the first
7	question about
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Wait no, no
9	can you answer the second one first and then after
10	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:because I
12	have only so much time.
13	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We, our organization
14	represents close to 270 Jewish day schools in the
15	city ranging from 12 15 children up to several,
16	five six thousand.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: My, my concern
18	is for the smaller schools. So, now, now I mean I
19	was told that this money was coming out of NYPD.
20	And then so now it's coming out of DOE. So I have
21	concerns that there are schools that have hundreds

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right.

of students in it and they don't feel they have

22

23

24

adequately staffed...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...safety agents. And now we may pull one from there to a school that may have just a dozen students. So that all... [cross-talk]

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I, I don't know that all the smaller schools will request them as a, as the law states it's upon request. Not every school's going to ask for one. In terms of the adequacy, the function of the safety agent that I can point out that everyone from the federal state local government, all the organizations, all the police departments, they're all telling us if you see something say something. That's the first line of defense. You have to know what you're looking for. And the school safety agent knows what to look for. He's trained by the police department. The principal of the school or the teachers of the school or the hired security guard really doesn't know what he's looking for or looking at. So just the presence of the school safety agent with a police department radio and a police department training will be an effective deterrent and will be able to save lives.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Sure. I agree with most of what you said. But I'm not... As, as I, you know that I think it, continue thinking through, I think the fundamental question here is whether we should be giving public funds to private religious institutions.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Why is this different...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And again...

11 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Why is this

different than... [cross-talk]

13 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Let me finish...

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: ...the fire

15 department...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Let me just finish what I'm... say. As I mentioned before I think we did answer that question so I'm not sure I see a difference between this and the nurses that we do provide. I think if we want to open that discussion here at the council we should actually have it and maybe dele a little deeper into it but if, if we, if we're not doing that it seems to be in line. But it does have... I still have another question. I'm not sure when it stops. I mean, I mean you can make

2.1

a case that you don't have sufficient math teachers and so the teachers are not passing the state exam and then ask for additional math teachers. So that does concern me as well. But thank you for your testimony.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: ...just respond to that last point where it stops. I think that... you know I don't, that's not a question we could answer right now but I think that it does, it clearly, issues of safety and health we can all agree on. You know there are different levels of human needs. And I think we all agree that the most basic level of all humans' needs are, is sense of safety, emotional safety and especially physical safety and health. So whatever issues that anyone might have with any other areas this I think we can all agree upon. So it, there's no danger in this particular bill of it going further in other areas because are on the most basic human levels of needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Sure. There, there's no need unless another crisis or another issue comes up. I mean I think we also fund some bussing which, which, which I can see as something because many of my students are not, are, who are

1	120 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	not in the private institutions take public
3	transportation. So I think this is a larger, a
4	larger question that I, I can only, I can only
5	speak to this question personally. I have never
6	testified on behalf of any other funding for non-
7	public schools and I never would. I only agreed to
8	testify because this is an issue of student safety.
9	Well any issue of student safe, if I would, I would
10	speak on behalf of and that's where I would draw
11	the line. I, my school may benefit from other
12	funding. My students in my school may benefit from
13	other funding but I would not put my reputation on
14	the line to testify on behalf of funding non-public
15	schools.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well
17	UNIDENTIFIED MALE:for anything other
18	than student safety.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you.
20	Thank you Madam Chair.
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you Council
22	Member Williams. Council Member Greenfield and
23	closing now Council Member Rodriguez.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:that me,

thank you Chair. Thank you. I just wanted to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

respond to just a point of clarity because I think there was some confusion. Currently the funding for the current school safety agents is provided to the DOE because traditionally they did it and was transferred to the NYPD. And my understanding is that that funding is then given to the NYPD. However because it's a new legislation we would actually be giving the funds directly to the NYPD not to the DOE. So we do not seek to compete with any DOE funding just as a matter of clarity. I think, I think you know the representative from Solomon Schechter actually said it best which is the folks that you see up here today and that you've seen testify over the last hour have many different backgrounds right; independent schools, Muslim schools, catholic schools, Jewish schools, it is a diversity and differences of opinions on many different issues with all of these schools. And as to the question of, of where does it stop their focus really on one issue. And they probably don't agree on a lot of other issues but on this issue they agree which I think all of us should agree and that is that the safety of our children

is paramount. We shouldn't play politics with our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

children's safety. These are kids like anyone else's' kids. It's your son, your daughter, your brother, your sister, your nephew, your niece, your grandchild. And that really is the focus. And to you question Council Member Williams, where does it stop, it's the same question you could ask any advocate. When they come in when they talk about police reform where does it stop. That's what we do as a legislature. We evaluate and decide what makes sense and what doesn't make sense. And I think we could all agree public safety makes sense. And when we talk about the threat of a loan shooter that Barry Spitzer pointed out, the district manager of Community Board 12 it's a very real threat, it's a very dangerous threat. And the police actually tell us if you had someone there that would actually prevent the threat. So there's all sorts of reasons why not to do something. There's politics, there's control, there's mayoral control, there's NYPD prerogatives, there's that the commissioner likes to do whatever he wants and I'm sure you're very familiar with that Council Member Williams. But in the end of the day we're talking about a fundamental issue and that is can we ensure that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

our children, all of our children are safe. And right now we can't say that to ourselves. And so when we leave here today, when you go to sleep tonight we have to ask ourselves are we keeping every child in New York City safe. If that's the standard I think we can all agree the answer is yes. And I think we appreciate that there's a robust date obviously about other issues and that's certainly an appropriate debate to have as other issues come up but I think there is such wide spread support for this because it is simply about the safety of each and every single child. So I want to thank all of you on the panel. I want to thank all of you on the previous panel. I certainly want to thank my council members for a robust discussion on a critical issue on can we keep every child in New York City safe regardless of their background or opinions or where they come from. And let's just make sure that all of our kids in fact are safe. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you Council Member Greenfield. Council Member Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you all of you for being here and representing like

25

2.1

different religious expression. And it is our responsibility as my colleague is say to be sure that the more vulnerable individual in our society are safe. So for me like... as a city we know that we always have to be proactive. We also all have to be on time and planning not only to respond by, by planning for what can happen in the future. And I believe that a, identifying the sources of funding to provide the necessary protection for children is the right thing to do. And for me at that level I don't see religions. I don't see the privates. I don't see the public. I see the more vulnerable one. And as a father with two daughter I want my daughter to be safe in any place where they are. So thank you and you will have our support.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you Council Member Rodriguez. And thank you to the panel for being here. We appreciate your presence and your testimony and thank you again.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay so now I'm going to call the next panel and that will be from the administration Lois Herrera CEO Office of Safety and Youth Development in the DOE, Assistant Chief Brian Conroy CO School Safety Division NYPD,

	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE
1	ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	and Vincent Schiraldi Senior Advisor Mayor's Offic
3	of Criminal Justice. So I'm going to swear you in.
4	I'm going to ask if you'll raise your right hand.
5	Just waiting for my colleague. Do you solemnly
6	swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth
7	and nothing but the truth and to answer council
8	member questions honestly? Okay thank you. Mr.
9	Herrera would you like to start. Oh there is, oh
10	okay there's other testimony? I thought there was
11	only one piece of testimony. Sorry about that.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: We have three.
13	[cross-talk] Definitely afternoon.
14	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I don't think
15	your mic is on.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: The red button.
17	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: I'll try again.
18	This, where my good morning isn't on the record.
19	Good afternoon Chair Gibson, Chair Dromm, Chair
20	Deutsch, and members of the Committee on Public
21	Safety, education, and the subcommittee on non-
22	public schools. I'm Vincent Schiraldi Senior
23	Advisor to the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice
24	and formerly Commissioner of Probation in New York
25	City. Thanks for the opportunity to testify today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The mayor's office of criminal justice advises the mayor on public safety strategy and together we're their partners inside and outside government, develops and implements policies aimed at achieving three main goals reducing crime, reducing unnecessary incarceration and arrests, and promoting fairness. In the realm of school climate policies our office advises the mayor on creating policies that ensure the safety of students and staff while reducing the likelihood that students will become involved in the criminal justice system. Before discussing the school safety and climate reforms the mayor announced in February including the leadership tea on school climate and discipline. I'd like to give some background on data and school safety and discipline that shows substantial improvements in several areas over the past two plus years. The data show what this administration whole heartedly believes and continues to strive for, that we can have both safer schools and fewer arrests and suspensions. From school year 11-12 to school year 13-14 there's been a substantial 55 percent decline in arrests

and 67 percent decline in summonses issued by NYPD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

School Safety Division which Chief Conroy runs. During those same two years there was a 23 percent decline in student suspensions. That favorable trend has continued this school year. DOE's aggregate data shows that suspensions have declined by another 11 percent from July 1st through April $2^{\rm nd}$. Likewise data from NYPD showed that from July 1st through April 5th arrests and summonses in schools are down an additional 19 percent and 12 percent respectively when compared to the same time last year. But the reduction and suspensions arrests and summonses is only half of the equation. The programs and policies were undertaking aim to make schools less punitive and safer. And we are constantly keeping an eye on school safety while carefully trying to improve school climate and reduce punitive student discipline. That's why it's encouraging to note that from School year 11-12 to school year 13-14 overall crime reported I school, schools failed by 24 percent and major crimes fail by 20 percent. Again that trend is continuing this year with a seven percent decline in overall crime through April 5th. Now national research shows that

when kids are suspended it makes them behind held

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

back in school, dropping out, and juvenile justice system contact all more likely even when you control for individual student characteristics and school characteristics. That's why we're proud that we have declines in suspensions, arrest summonses, and crime. Because it means that more students are in school where they belong rather than station houses or in juvenile detention. In February to build on this success the mayor announced a raft of school climate reforms including 1.2 million dollars for restorative practices training, 2.4 million dollars to support educational planning and reengagement for court involved students, 1.5 million dollars to provide therapeutic crisis intervention to, training to 15 hundred staff members over the next three years in high needs schools, and 432 thousand for a saga innovations math tutoring program for a grand total of 5.4 million dedicated to school climate initiatives. A major part of the mayor's February announcement was the establishment of the leadership team on school climate and discipline which I co-chair with DOE Chief of staff or Salina Ramirez. The mission of

this task force is to recommend ways to further

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

improve the climate for leering and enhance the wellbeing and safety of students and staff by using more effective methods of addressing disciplinary challenges. The leadership team is a collaborative effort involving multiple stakeholders including representation from the city council, city agencies, community members, unions, researchers, teachers, principals, students, parents, and service providers that will convene for a total of 12 months. To fulfill its mission the leadership team will produce recommendations on strategies and funding required to improve the use of data to assess the effectiveness of current policy and practice and spreading promise, promising positive discipline innovations, increase access to mental health and other community based supports for high nee students, reduce the frequency and duration of suspensions and minimize arrests and referrals to the justice system for school based disciplinary offenses, reduce race gender and disability disparities in student discipline, and update the memorandum of understanding between NYPD and DOE to align the use of school security personnel and security measures with support of school climate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

goals. As I stated earlier the leadership team was announced in February but the idea of creating a taskforce to improve our school's climate had been a long time effort of many of the advocates in this room who I believe will be testifying before you shortly. Advocates presented several recommendations with their number one priority being the leader, the creation of this leadership team. We carefully designed a structure and mission of this initiative in partnership with the advocacy community and a consultation with union representatives, principals, teachers, students, of course DOE and NYPD. The leadership team itself was comprised of a 30 person governing body charged with providing direction to the execution of the initiatives mission. In addition five working groups each led jointly by a government member and a nongovernmental expert are currently working to produce policy recommendations that will later be reviewed by members of the leadership team. Each of the leadership teams five working groups is invested in developing recommendations to improve different aspects of student disciplinary policies.

The school climate working group is co-chaired by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

my co-panelist today Lois Herrera CEO of the Office and Safety and Youth Development and Kim Sweet executive director of Advocates for Children. This group will produce recommendations to improve system wide implementation, a positive discipline strategies with a particular focus on high priority schools. The data and research working group is cochaired by Michelle Smirnoff of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and Edward Fergus an assistant professor of educational leadership and policy at New York University. This group has created a methodology for selecting high priority schools, schools that could benefit from additional supports. Additionally the group is creating a descriptive analysis of school climate data and will offer recommendations to improve data collection, quality and use. The Resource Integration Working Group is co-chaired by ACS Commissioner Gladys Carrion and Nancy Ginsburg Director of the Adolescent Intervention and Diversion Team at Legal Aid. This group will produce recommendations for approving educator access to community and citywide resources and services. The School Safety Working Group is co-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

chaired by Chief Conroy and Kathleen Decataldo the Executive Director of the New York State Permanent Judicial Commission for Justice for Children. This group is analyzing the effectiveness of current practices for improving school safety while continuing to reduce arrests and summonses. They'll recommend training and other strategies to improve police, student relations, and recommend updates to existing protocols for responding to non-emergency situations in schools among other recommendations. The legislative council for city council is a member of this working group as well as of the leadership team. Lastly the Principal's working group whose membership numbers over 20 principals is co-chaired by Julie Zuckerman, principal of Castle Bridge School in Washington Heights and Celeste Douglas Wheeler Principal of Ron Brown Academy in Bedford Stuyvesant. This is the only working group that has two governmental chairs and this working group will review and vet policy recommendations from the other working groups and, but will produce its own recommendations to improve school climate as well. Each working group has met twice and we'll met for a third time within a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

month. Met, meetings have been well attended by over 150 participants and have been characterized by a high degree of enthusiasm, candor, and good will. Working group co-chairs have expended considerable time... and I think that both of them could attest to that. Preparing for and chairing meetings with the assistant of the leadership team staff additionally many working groups are formed multiple subcommittees. One example is at the request of the principals the last principals working group meeting was eight hours long. This high level of investment and commitment speaks volumes to the timeliness of this initiative and the concrete need that the leadership teas address. The leadership team will review a set of preliminary policy recommendations this spring and will release an update to the mayor, council, chancellor, police commissioner, relevant agencies, and the public in the summer. The task force will then continue to review progress and finalize priority topics for discussion during the next phase of initiative which will begin in the Fall. And approximately December the leadership team will produce its final policy recommendations. So as you

2.1

can see we've made much progress. There's still much to do. Principals and teachers in some schools feel like they do not have the resources necessary to implement positive behavioral strategies, suspensions, arrests, and summonses are often clustered in the small number of schools. Moreover racial, ethnic, and special education disparities persist with regard to suspensions, arrests, and summonses. The leadership team working collaboratively with DOE, NYPD, and MOCJ teachers principals students and community members will continue to strive to improve the climate for learning and reduce the need for suspensions, arrests, and summonses in our schools. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You may begin.

Good afternoon chairs Dromm, Gibson, and Deutsch and members of the Education, Public Safety and Non-Public School Committees. My name is Lois Herrera, Chief Executive Officer of DOE's Office of Safety and Youth Development. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the work DOE has undertaken to ensure that all our schools maintain a safe and supportive learning environment and to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

address Intro 719 and Intro 730. At the outset I'd like to thank members of the city council for your leadership on these important issues. Over the years DOE has worked very closely with the city council on several school climate initiatives from respect for all to most recently the anti-gunviolence initiative. Cultivating and maintaining a positive school culture and improving school safety are key elements of this administration's efforts to raise student achievement. We have significantly increased preventive and conflict resolution programming and staff training. Last year we establish the office of guidance and school counselling which provides professional development to school staff on promoting positive behavior and progressive discipline. In addition by the end of this school year we will have trained approximately 100 schools in conducting restorative circles. But the one third of these schools participating in more advanced training in restorative conferencing. In collaboration with the city council we have been able to implement supports to schools under the anti-gun violence initiative. These include expanding a restorative practices to an additional

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

20 to 25 middle and high schools as well as implementing conflict resolution programming and 34 schools across 17 target police precincts. Additionally we are providing algebra tutoring to students at the four high schools on the Thomas Jefferson campus and training to staff in collaborative problem solving. A de-escalation strategy focused on addressing behavioral challenges. The DOE's division of specialized instruction also provides support and expertise to schools that are engaged in positive behavioral interventions and supports known as PBIS. A research based school wide behavioral system that teaches and models clear behavioral expectations for students. We will continue to build the capacity of schools to implement social emotional learning programs and work with our community based organization partners to maintain and strengthen a positive school climate. DOE's strong partnership with the NYPD School safety division has resulted in a dramatic reduction and crime in our schools creating a safer environment for our students. Our work with the school safety division includes

establishing safety protocols and procedures in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

schools developing school safety and emergency preparedness plans and providing training for school safety agents across many topics such as conflict resolution, crisis de-escalation, collaborative problem solving, gang prevention, anti-bullying, and awareness of the misuse of prescription drugs. As Vincent Schiraldi mentioned as a result of recent policy changes and our collaboration with the NYPD School Safety Division we are seeing a decrease in the number of behavioral incidents and principal and superintendent suspensions this year as compared to the same period last year. While we recognize that suspensions are a necessary option for seriously dangerous behavior they are not the sole means by which we hold students accountable. We've taken significant steps to promote alternative interventions to address student behavior and reduce schools overreliance on suspensions. Our citywide behavioral expectations to student, to support student learning, the discipline code which includes the bill of student rights and responsibilities sets forth clearer standards for student behavior. The discipline code provides a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

range of guidance interventions and possible disciplinary responses to be used to foster progressive discipline, accountability, and behavioral change. The discipline code is reviewed and updated each year in consultation with various stakeholders including school leaders, teachers and other school based staff, students, parents, and community members. The recently implemented revisions to the discipline code were part of an interagency collaboration on school climate reforms undertaken by this administration. In order to ensure appropriate disciplinary responses to insubordinate behavior. Principals are now required to seek authorization from the CEO of the Office of Safety and Youth Development. As the chancellor's designee prior to invoking a principal suspension for defying or disobeying lawful authority often known as infractions A-22 and B-21 in the revised discipline code. Now a school must explain prior disciplinary guidance and intervention measures they have used to support the student and why the behavior disrupts the educational process and or poses a danger to the school community. The revised code also requires this authorization prior to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

invoking a principal suspension for students in grades K through three. Principals are no longer able to invoke a suspension for students in, in grades K through three under the infraction for minor altercation or super intendant suspension for minor altercation for students in grades four through 12. We recently proposed a new chancellor's regulation addressing crisis de-escalation and contacting 9-1-1 for students experiencing behavioral crisis. The regulation sets forth the policies and procedures to be followed when these situations occur. The regulation requires schools to make every effort where possible to safely just deescalate the behavior using strategies and interventions for addressing behavioral crisis and establishes the criteria for when 9-1-1 should be notified in these instances. It also sets forth the procedures to be followed once 9-1-1 has been contracted including the requirement that a report be filed in OORS, the Online Occurrence Reporting System. Every school will be required to develop a crisis de-escalation plan as part of its annual consolidated school and youth development plan and conduct an orientation for all school staff on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

crisis de-escalation plan and the requirement of the requirements of the escalation. In the upcoming school year the DOE will increase the number of school staff who have trained in therapeutic crisis intervention for schools also known as TCIS. The ... enhancements to OORS to further refine the data captured when the school contacts, contacts 9-1-1for emergency medical services or EMS response. I would like to turn to the proposed legislation. Intro 719 requires the DOE to report the guidance counsellor and school safety agent ratio for each school. Approximately 2,700 guidance counsellors and 1,200 social workers currently work at NYC schools. Since the formation of the Office of Guidance and school counselling over 250 new guidance counsellors have been hired throughout the system. In addition we have established 128 community schools. These schools will provide students and families with a range of wrap around services additional counselling services. Pursuant to Local Law 56 of 2014 the guidance counsellor to student ratio for each school can now be found on the DOE's website. The NYPD currently employs approximately 5,000 school safety agents. We

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

concerned that this bill requires the reporting of the number of SSAs assigned to each school, information that is not included in the NYPD's quarterly report to city council because disclosure could compromise the safety of our school communities or could otherwise compromise law enforcement operations. We support the council's goal to increase transparency around student suspensions and behavioral incidents outlined in Intro 730. We would like to work with the council to clarify specific reporting requirements and ensure that they are consistent with DOE Policy. Intro 7320 requires DOE to report on the number of suspensions as well as the number of students suspended disaggregated by various categories. Given the scope of the reporting requirements and the level of disaggregation required by the proposed legislation as currently written we concern that much of the data would have to be redacted in compliance with the Family Educational Records and Privacy Act or FERPAs you are aware under FERPA DOE is required to ensure that records containing student identifying information are not

disclosed data can be considered student

2.1

identifying information even if student names or identification numbers are not disclosed we look forward to discussions with the council to ensure the proposed legislation meets the twin goals of increased transparency and compliance with student privacy laws. We will continue our efforts to ensure a safe and supportive school environment for all students that is conducive to learning and that will foster social emotional growth and resiliency in our students. We have made great strides through the years but recognize that there is more work to do to support our students. Thank you again we look forward to a continued partnership with the council on this important issue.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

BRIAN CONROY: Good afternoon committee chairs and members of the council. I am Assistant Chief Brian Conroy Commanding Officer of the NYPD's School Safety Division. On behalf of Police Commissioner William J. Bratton I would like to thank you for this opportunity to speak to you about public safety in New York City schools. Responsibility for managing school safety personnel and designating school safety agents or SSAs to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

employees of the police department over the years members of the police department have discussed with the council the reasons for that change and the level of crime that dangerously comprises safety and security of the city's public schools at that time to the ultimate detriment of the educational mission. We not that there has been a 52 percent crime reduction in major crimes; 654 versus 1368 over the last 10 years comparing the 2013-14 school year to the 2003-4 school year. That includes a 69 percent reduction in robberies and a 56 percent reduction in felony assaults. In other words 205 students were the victims of robbery and 218 fewer students were the victims of serious assaults during the last school year than had been victims during the school years 10 years ago. Today I am pleased to be here to talk with you about the tremendous work that the school safety division has done in improving the safety of the school environment by continuing to reduce crime within our schools. The department is also pleased to report on the very significant progress being made regarding school climate and the substantial reduction and the number of students who are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

arrested or issued summons by school safety division personnel. Of course if you have heard from my colleagues improving school climate and reducing crime is not something that the school safety division does alone. We have several important partners who make it possible. We begin with our partners in the Department of Education with whom we work very closely on all issues related to school climate, school safety, and training. We also could not be as successful without the strong work relationships with school principals, school administrators, teachers, parents, and most importantly the people we are there to protect are students. Over the last several years these partnerships have grown even stronger which I believe significantly as contributed to the improvement of school safety and school climate. The numbers speak for themselves as my colleagues mentioned. Over the past three school years 2011-12 through the 2013-14 the school safety division has reduced arrest by 55 percent. And the numbers for that is we made 398 arrests during the 2013-14 school year versus 891 in the 2011-12 school year. And summonses reduced by 67 percent,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

558 versus 1682. At the same time major felony crime in schools has decreased by 20 percent, 654 versus 813 over the same time period. If these trends continue during the current school year in which 54 fewer students were arrested by the school safety division personnel through April 5th, 2015 as compared to the same period last school year for a 19 percent reduction. Summonses are also decreasing by 237 versus 291. So the downward trend is continuing in both arrest and summonses. In addition school safety continues to reduce both areas and continues to work strenuously to continue this trend. We also have a reduction of seven percent reduction in, in cries for this current school year. So that downward trend in crime is also continuing... the downward trend in arrest and summonses. We attribute much of our success to our enhance and training program for the 4,918 school safety agents and 138 police officers assigned to the school safety division. It is not a coincidence that school climate and school safety improve together as we rave ramped and enhanced our training. The training for our new school safety agents recruits has been increased from a 15 week

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

training program at the police academy to a 17 week program. The comprehensive program includes training in the areas of war, police science, behavioral science, and physical education and tactics. Included also is the areas of bullying, the LGBT community, tactical communication, and defusing hostility. In order to assist in preparing SSAs for their special role as part of the school community the partner of education personnel also participate in a training as instructors and address specific areas such as special education, school administration, school governance, adolescent suicide, conflict resolution, bullying, child abuse, and substance abuse prevention. The additional two weeks has been dedicated to giving the school safety agents training on how to better work with the school administration and students in the collaborative problem solving, conflict resolution, de-escalation techniques, and working with special needs students. For the first time we went outside the department to bring in experts in the fields of collaborative problem solving and deescalation and conflict resolution. Doctor Stuvant

Avalon [sp?] from the Massachusetts General

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

hospital is working with the school safety division utilizing the distinct kids program. All agents and police officers receive an introductory one day training... program in September 2013. We have just initiative a new segment of this program called tier one which was given to a total of 300 agent and police officers just last week. Tier one is a two and a half day training by Dr. Avalon [sp?] and collaborative problem solving. The agents are also getting a half day training on restorative... from Department of Education trainers. The second major training initiative was conflict resolution training for Nicholas Long from the Life Space Crisis Intervention Institute. This is a very intense and interactive three day training program that not only teaches agents and police officers how to deescalate conflicts and also ask both agents and police officers to look at how they react to conflict. These trainings have been very positively received by both agents and police officers. Both of the new training programs were implemented in a collaborative effort with the Department of Education. Equally important to the successful work of the school safety divisions are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

our partnerships ad strong working relationships with elected officials and with organizations and advisory groups from outside city government. One particularly effective partnership has been deployed with, being developed with the Bronx Parent Action Committee, a group of concerned parents who meet with us on a regular basis to discuss on ways to handle rime and disorder in schools and to improve school tone. They have participated in training school safety agent and continue to provide valuable feedback and council. We want our police officers and school safety agents to be gatekeepers to prevent unauthorized intruders and weapons from entering our schools. The need to keep weapons from our schools requires in some instances the use of magnetometers which has begun in 1988 by the Board of Education's Division of School Safety. So far this school year as of April 5th we have recovered 1,036 weapons and dangerous instruments on school grounds including 9 firearms which represents an 11.6 percent increase in the number of weapons recovered over the same period last year. We also want our personnel and agents to work with school administrations to keep

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

young and vulnerable student population from leaving the school without adult supervision. Finally we want our school safety personnel to work collaboratively with school principals to prevent students from being removed from school buildings for low level offenses that can be handled within the school environment. As you have heard the School Safety Division is now an integral part of the Mayor's Leadership Team. The leadership of the school safety division is working with our representatives from other city agencies, advocacy groups, union leaders, and school principals develop new ideas and make policy recommendations that will further improve the school environment and further enhance the positive role that our police officer and school safety agents have in creating the safe and productive learning environment. Now... overview of the work of the school safety division and its partners we would like to offer our comments on Intro 65 and 730. At the outset let me state the obvious. Non-Public schools represent a vibrant part of the life of each precinct and our precinct personnel maintains solid relations with the leaderships of all schools

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

in their precincts both public and non-public. The need to protect our most precious resource, our children is never far from the local precinct commander's mind and we are always eager and willing to have a conversation with the council and local community about ways you feel your local police force from precinct commanders to be cops can better serve New Yorkers. The department typically assigns as needed personnel to the routes commonly traveled by students to and from schools whether public or non-public both above and below ground and assigns school crossing guards to both public and non-public schools as needed. Of course any school like any other, of course any school like any other member of our community may request a crime prevention survey from the department to assist in improving the security of its premises and may receive briefings on other, or other assistance from the department, experts on various topics of interest such as handling a terrorist threat or an active shooter scenario or efforts to combat prescription drug abuse, or the wide variety of opportunities for interaction activities offered to young people by the department. If and when a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

problem arising connection with a non-public school the full resources of the police department are deployed appropriately to address it. Where there is a crime problem or a quality of life problem or a threat. Last year for example at a large nonpublic school in Brooklyn a homeless individual entered the premise and refused to leave. The police were called and promptly arrested the individual for criminal trespass in the second degree. In another instance an individual who was observed committing a traffic infraction refused to produce his license and registration and attempted to flee into a large non-public school. He was pursued and arrested immediately. In a tragic event involving a synagogue rather than a non-public school as students, a student was stabbed in the head by an individual who was threatened who then threatened the responding police officers, one of whom fatally shot him. Within that context we would now like to discuss Intro 65 which would require the assignment of at least one NYPD school safety agent to every non-public school at the school's request. While the intent behind the bill is understandable the proposal raises several serious

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

concerns for the administration. The creation of legislation mandating a particular level of staffing undermines the normal budget process that's set forth in the city charter which determines how agency personnel budgets are established. That process is designed to address the changes in the city's needs and resources all the time. We respectfully suggest that the annual budgeting process should continue to reflect the real time development of the city's funding and staffing priorities without the type of legislatively mandated staffing that would prevent the budget process from responding to current needs. Further city agencies possess broad flexibility under the city's laws in determining how to use their staff and resources in order to satisfy their missions. This is one of the most important ways the mayor and agency heads are held accountable. That is they're expected to deploy staff and resources effectively. We note that the police commissioner must balance many priorities to deal with both continuing and emerging security challenges. The commissioner needs to be able to alter deployment rapidly to respond to those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

challenges without going through the law making process. If legislation requires that the commissioner deploy particular staff to particular locations and if this type of legislation serves as a model for other similar initiatives the accountability and responsibility that are inherent in the jobs of both the police commissioner and the mayor will be deeply compromised, potentially compromising public safety as well. Intro 65 will replace the expertise and judgment of the police commissioner and NYPD on where and what needs police protection on a given day with that of private non-security experts. We agree with the spirit of the bill that non-public schools need and deserve protection. We work hard to do that now and enjoy strong relationship with these institutions all over the city. We are open to talking about how to do it even better but the police need to reach, to retain prerogatives and responsibility for how their force are deployed to achieve the, the protection that these institutes, institutions deserve. The NYPD is also aware that Intro 65 is not the only bill that the council has introduced related to security and non-public schools. Intro

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

330, a bill introduced by Council Member Koo takes a very different approach, one that focuses on physical security improvement. These are also legal and logistical questions surrounding that approach. But the Intro 65 we are always willing to have a contra, a conversation with you about the best ways to protect New York's children. With respect to Intro 730 we understand that the council's intent to expand the amount and kinds of information that are reported to the police department by the police department on a quarterly basis in order to gain greater insight into department's activities and public schools. As I hope you have we have communicated. We are highly focused on working in collaboration with all our school safety partners including the council and we welcome the discussion of the bill in much greater detail at a staff level in order to determine how we may provide more information in a practical matter consistent the way we keep records and without compromising student privacy or safety. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today and I'll be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you Ms. Herrera. Thank you Mr. Schiraldi and thank you Chief Conroy for being here. I want to acknowledge the presence of Council Members Rafael Espinal and Robert Cornegy and due to time I'm going to just turn over my questioning, I'll get back to you, to Chair Dromm for questions. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much Chair Gibson and thank you all for coming in to testify today. I don't know if you heard my opening remarks but in my opening remarks I did question the need and the validity of why we have police in our schools rather than have educators make decisions about school discipline. And I have a fundamental basic problem with that. And in terms of even just looking over your testimony and please don't take this personally but you know I'm reading the titled Mr. Schiraldi you know Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. First of all our students are not criminals and they shouldn't be presumed to be criminals. But be that as it may titles are important. And I, I appreciate the fact that the chancellor separated two offices recently due to

1

2 titles. But the fact that we're having this
3 discussion with the criminal justice head and with

4

5 troubling to me. I'd like to know do other school

an officer in a, in a uniform as well is, is

6 districts around the state have police in their

7 | schools?

BRIAN CONROY: ...not aware of if they do

or do not. You know we didn't come prepared to

10 answer that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So this is a unique need to New York City?

BRIAN CONROY: I don't think it's unique to New York City but I'm not prepared to provide information on what other districts do.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Ms. Herrera do you know? No. I guess we're not going to get an answer to that. So let me talk to you about then the number of school safety agents versus the number of guidance counsellors. I believe in the testimony that I heard it's almost four to one. So there are four safety agents to almost, to one guidance counsellor or there abouts [phonetic]. So that's about 1,200 guidance counsellors?

COMMITTEE	ON	PUBI	LIC	SAFETY	JO:	INTL	Y WITH	COM	MITTEE	
ON EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMITT	ΓEΕ	ON	NON-PU	BLIC	SCHOOL	S

2.1

LOIS HERRERA: No. No no it's, it's 2,683 guidance counsellors, 1,207 social workers. Together that's 3,890.

,

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And how many school safety agents? 5,000. So there's substantially more, more school safety agents in our schools than there are guidance counsellors.

Ms. Herrera do you see that as a problem?

LOIS HERRERA: I would always say it's great to have more guidance counsellors as, as I have testified before but I don't think one role precludes the other role.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Mr. Schiraldi do you think that that speaks to the priorities of this administration?

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: I think that the administration has expanded the number of guidance counsellor has in schools and not expanded the number of school safety agents though.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: At the insistence of the, of this committee actually. We held a hearing on it and we did some reporting bills on it to shine the light on the fact that there weren't enough guidance counsellors in the school. So I'm

1	158 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	glad that you're, you're willing to take credit for
3	that but in fact it was at the insistence of this
4	committee that we did that. I have a fundamental
5	problem also as do a number of the council members
6	with the fact that there are so many more school
7	safety agents than there are guidance counsellors.
8	So when we talk about restorative practices I
9	believe that really we should be talking to
10	educators about that and that's not to undermine
11	your qualifications for the jobs that you do as
12	well but do either of you have any background in
13	child development?
14	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: Either of us? I
15	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.
16 17	VINCENT SCHIRALDI:have a Master's in
	social work and I ran a juvenile justice system in Washington D.C. before [cross-talk]
18	
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.
20	VINCENT SCHIRALDI:Department.
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Chief Conroy?
22	BRIAN CONROY: I do not. But our role is
23	to provide safety and security.
24	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What type of
25	background do your school safety agents have to

1	159 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	diffuse these type of situations? I mean it, it's,
3	I've heard an awful lot today about training and
4	training is good but what type of background do
5	they have to be able to deal with students on this
6	very very sensitive level?
7	BRIAN CONROY: That's exactly what's
8	part of their training so… [cross-talk]
9	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But currently
10	they have none or very little. It's only been
11	recently that you've been training them in more
12	restorative practices. And I applaud the chancellor
13	for doing that but prior to that there really was
14	none.
15	BRIAN CONROY: They always received some
16	level of training on [cross-talk]
17	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And
18	BRIAN CONROY:restorative [cross-
19	talk]
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM:what was that
21	level?
22	BRIAN CONROY:resolution. [cross-talk]
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What was that
24	level of training? [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

BRIAN CONROY: ...received that at the police academy. We've enhanced that training...

[cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:co-chairperson dromm: how long was that training for: } \\$

BRIAN CONROY: So it was a part of the 15 weeks training that they received at the police academy.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: 15 weeks. And we're dealing with very complicated social and emotional issues that students bring to our schools every single day. It, it really calls to question exactly what we're doing in terms of handling students who bring issues to school. Now I have to tell you I read the newspaper again today and I was very disturbed about an incident for a student who I don't believe had many issues but was stopped at the front door of his school by school safety agents who threw him to the ground after having grabbed his eyeglasses. I have to tell you how disturbed I am by this. You know as a teacher, as a New York City public school teacher for 25 years before I got elected to the council. And ensuring the dignity of every student who walks through the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

door is something that was of the highest priority to me. And I know that when students wear glasses to school one they open themselves up to bullying. And it takes courage even for those students to put on those glasses. But it takes even more courage for a student who's put together his eyeglasses with a pin to continue to want to go to school. But then when he's found to meet opposition at the door and the grabbing of his glasses as I understand press reports and the throwing of the student to the ground, the handcuffing of this student does not speak well to any of the training or the, or the restorative practices that the Department of Education or the NYPD, or the school safety agents alleged that they do. So can you explain to me what went on at this Park Slope School on the John Jay Campus?

BRIAN CONROY: That matter is presently under investigation so... [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well I had a feeling that that's what you say. And that's what always happens when you come in here. What about Ms. Herrera because this is an education issue.

25 Where do you stand on this issue?

CON	MITTEE	ON	PUBI	LIC	SAFETY	JO:	INTL	Y WITH	COM	MITTEE	
ON	EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMITT	ΓEΕ	ON	NON-PUE	BLIC	SCHOOLS	S

1

LOIS HERRERA: We are working very 2 closely with the NYPD and working on protocols for 3

when students enter buildings... [cross-talk] 4

5

counsellor Ms. Herrera do you believe that this is

7

6

the best approach to handling our students in the

8

New York City public school system?

9

students are treated with dignity when they enter

LOIS HERRERA: I would hope that all our

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The problem is is

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: As a guidance

10 11

the school building and I know that Chief Conroy

12

would agree with me on that.

13

that we're tired of waiting. We've heard these

14

15 stories over and over and over again. And

for me to have to hear that as a New York City

16

this probably to me was one of the most egregious,

public school teacher was just horrible. Where are

17

19

18

the officers with an S now? Have they been

20

suspended, have they put on restrictive duty?

2.1

BRIAN CONROY: Again that matter is

22

under investigation.

23

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's not part

24

of the investigation. I would like to know where

25

1	163 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	those officers are and I would like to know the
3	answer to that question now.
4	BRIAN CONROY: As I indicated that
5	matter is under investigation.
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are they still in
7	the school?
8	BRIAN CONROY: Some of the agents are
9	not currently in the school at this time.
10	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry?
11	BRIAN CONROY: Some of the agents
12	involved are not currently in the school at this
13	time.
14	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And some are?
15	BRIAN CONROY: Not all the agents who
16	work in that school were involved in the incident.
17	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But a number of
18	them were. Have you identified all of the agents
19	that were involved in that incident?
20	BRIAN CONROY: Again that matter is
21	under investigation. Those agents overall. And I
22	also met with the principals in that school after
23	the incident to express our interest in making sure
24	that we respond and act properly inside the school.
25	We've done a tremendous job in that school in

1	164 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	making that school a safe environment for students.
3	And we will continue to do that and continue to
4	work with the school administration and the
5	Department of Education to continue with that
6	mission.
7	CO-CHAIRPEERSON DROMM: Ms. Herrera do
8	you agree that principals should not have the final
9	say in determining what happens in situations like
10	this?
11	LOIS HERRERA: I think if the agents
12	feel if, that a crime has been committed they take,
13	they take priority. But I think certainly the
14	wishes of the principal should be taken into
15	consideration.
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: so do you believe
17	that wearing eyeglasses with a pin in it is a
18	crime?
19	LOIS HERRERA: I do not.
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay do, do Mr.
21	Schiraldi or Chief Conroy believe that a pin is a
22	dangerous weapon?
23	BRIAN CONROY: Again we're going to look
24	at that incident from the totality of the whole
25	incident as it happened [cross-talk]

2.1

CO-CHAIRPRSON DROMM: I'm just asking for future reference so that students know whether they should pin their glasses together any longer or not.

BRIAN CONROY: Typically a pin is not considered a weapon.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And Ms. Herrera was the student who had the glasses pinned together offered any opportunity for help in securing a new pair of glasses?

LOIS HERRERA: I don't know.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Then so much for the restorative practices then. That's what I, all I can say on this matter. It's very very disturbing. If it was me and a student did that and, and he had the courage to come into school with a pin in his glasses what I would have done is try to offer him a new pair of glasses or try to find out a place where he could go to get a new pair of glasses. Alright I think I've made my point on that issue. But let me just go to a couple of other issues that are of concern to me. Can you describe the roll of the hearing officer in

determining whether or not a suspension is granted and the length of the suspensions?

LOIS HERRERA: So the, the hearing officer actually hears the merits of the case and, and a superintendent suspension can make recommendations and ultimately the final disposition after the hearing is in the jurisdiction of the early resolution counsellor who is a pedagogue.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And when those hearings are upheld the suspension must proceed and the student goes to an alternative learning center what type of follow up is done to make sure the student is getting appropriate instruction and what type of follow-up is done once the student's time in the alternative learning center is, is finished and communication back to the school?

2.1

LOIS HERRERA: We're actually very proud of the academic rigor that we offer and the alternate learning centers we have certified pedagogues in all of the ALCs in subject areas and so we're confident that we're offering students academic coursework commiserate with what they would receive in their home school. And there are

guidance counsellors at each of the ALCs who make, who make contact with the home school to ease the transition back.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I, I just want to go back to the question I was asking before in terms of the training. What type of measurable outcomes are you expecting from school safety agents in terms of what they're expected to do in, in, in any type of situation how they handle these situations?

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: So Chair Dromm we're expecting the outcomes that we're so far receiving which is a very substantial decline then arrests and summonses at the same time that we're having a very substantial decline in crime.

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: No... I'm specifically talking to the situations where, situations could have been deescalated and, and then how do you measure that and how do you determine whether the officer acted appropriately, not necessarily the suspension rate which I congratulate you on in, in terms of lowering the suspension rates. But I do believe that actually

1

2

3

that's probably more roll of the DOE and actually the, the school safety agents.

4

5

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: So I was talking about arrest rates...

6

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Mm-hmm.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: So with, with 5,000

7

8 or so SSAs and 1.1 million students the SSA has

9

is your question earlier you said how long are we

arrested 398 people last year. So I guess my point

10 11

going to wait and I am absolutely understand the

12

concern about this issue. We've heard about, I

13 14 don't want to talk about this issue because it is under investigation but during the time that we've

15

had this leadership team we've heard of other

16

individual issues of this type that young people

17

were treated in ways that no one would want their

18 19 kid treated. So I don't, I don't want to sit here

20

and act as though none of us have feelings about that we all absolutely do and we share your

21

concerns. That's exactly why we're doing what we're

22

doing. Since they started this training arrests and

23

summonses, not just suspensions but arrests and

24

summonses have plummeted. I went to the training

25

last week. DOE people were there. NYPD people were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

there. The trainers from Harvard basically, Massachusetts General that's affiliated with Harvard were there. They, it was ironic because they were discussing a case at that very moment extremely similar to the case that you just talked about. And so they're not, they're not fooling around there. They got some of the best people in the country to come and train their staff. Short of getting rid of SSAs completely and that could certainly be a debate about that they're doing a pretty good job. They've trained all of them on level one. They've extended the, the academy by two weeks so that the fresh ones coming in get more than just regular police training but get the sort of social emotional training that you would want them to get. And in their training all of them on level two and then they're going to start training all of them on level 3 which are much much deeper levels of being able to deescalate. So far they're doing a pretty good job I think of, of moving the numbers down. Doesn't mean everybody's going to get caught. I ran two large government bureaucracies. Every day I woke up knowing somebody in those

bureaucracies were doing something I wish they

2.1

didn't do. But you can't have sort of universal compliance. What you can have is a real solid attempt which I think they have of training people to behave in ways they want them to behave and then holding people accountable and you can only hold them accountable after you do the investigation.

8 [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well first of all let me, let me just say that I don't blame all school safety agents for the faults of some and I green with you on that totally as I would with teachers and other in, employees of the Department of Education. But when we're talking about restorative justice measures with people who have not been previously trained in some of these measures one of the things that I think we need to look to is having some type of measurable outcome. Then we can see where these changes are occurring in the school safety officers. So do you do an evaluation of the school safety officers on an annual basis?

BRIAN CONROY: As NYPD police officers do school safety agents also get an annual evaluation. So if there's problems with their

1

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2 conduct it will be noted in their evaluation.

3 People are sent for retraining. People, assignments

4 are changed and they continue to be evaluated. And

5 | we certainly don't tolerate any behavior that

6 doesn't comply with our goals and our mission to

7 provide that safe environment that, and working

8 together with our partners in school safety it,

9 within the school which also includes the students.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So does their evaluation include a section that says implementation of restorative justice practices or

13 something along those lines?

BRIAN CONROY: It does not. I don't believe it says that specifically but I'm not sure how we would measure that. But we measure... We certainly review... if they get any complaints...

18 [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well I think if you had something on an evaluation that an officer would see it places priority on that and then it sends a clear message to the school safety agents that this is something that they must employ if they wanted to be rated satisfactorily.

25

BRIAN CONROY: That certainly could be taken into consideration so...

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Certainly something that we do with the pedagogues and the teachers in the schools is to put that on different types of evaluations. So in just... I think it was in Ms. Herrera's testimony in terms of the FERPA issue there must be a way that we can get this information without violating the FERPA, am I correct on that.

 $\label{eq:loss_loss} \mbox{LOIS HERRERA: Yes, and I'm sure that we} \\ \mbox{can work that out.}$

CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay good because that's something that we want to move forward on with that as well. Alright I mean I, listen I'm very very upset about what happened in Park Slope. I'm glad that you've shown some empathy for the student. I hope that the DOE has gone out and talked to the student and held the student up for his courage and for what he has done. I understand that there's still a summons out and that he has to go to court. And I think that there needs to be a way to be, to find out that this student does not have to go to court for something that he did not

1	173 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	do wrong. Are you going to commit to finding a way
3	to making sure that that student does not have to
4	go to court?
5	BRIAN CONROY: I can, I cannot commit to
6	that.
7	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well I have a
8	thing here. Student given summons over pin in
9	broken glasses ask NYPD to drop charges. So note
10	there, there's no way that you can have the charges
11	dropped against the student? What did he do wrong
12	that he has to show up for court now?
13	BRIAN CONROY: Again the entire incident
14	is under investigation so there was an incident
15	inside that school…
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright.
17	BRIAN CONROY:received a summons for
18	so that needs, that is part of the investigation.
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well I certainly
20	hope that you investigate quickly. Maybe we should
21	have you back in a couple of weeks and we can find
22	out what your investigation has uncovered. That
23	would probably give you enough time and then we can
24	find out what the, how this has been adjudicated.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Chair Dromm. I appreciate it and I know you have to go. We've been joined by Council Member Corey Johnson. And today is my day of being extremely courteous to my colleagues because I'm going to also divert my time and turn this over to Council Member Lander for a quick question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much Chair Gibson and to Chair Dromm and, and Chair Deutsch as well. And, and I'll be brief because I do, I just want to hone in on not so much this incident but some of the questions it raises more broadly. I appreciate the testimony that you all gave and that this administration is moving in a strong and positive direction on these issues is a far cry from the last administration. At the same time there's a long way to go. These are hard issues and we aren't getting them right yet. And so I, you know on the morning that incident happened I reached out to Inspector Pintos at Community Affairs and he was right on top of it and he reached out to, to you and to the other divisions and assured me in a way that I found genuine that there's a, a real investigation underway in your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

investigative unit, in IAB, and with a CCRB referral which says to me that this is an incident that's being taken seriously and that pending investigation we don't know but that there's an openness on the part of 1PP that this was handled very badly. And to me... I'm not going to ask you to respond to this until you have the investigation, it looks like it was handled very badly. And I, I need to extend a little on what the chair said. Not only that the metal detector was set off by a safety pin that led to a, a really problematic interaction at the point of view of the scanners but then the student was upstairs in the principal's office when an officer not from school safety but from the precinct came in and dragged him out of the principal's office, was going to arrest him and ended up in the issuance of a summons all over, at least as anyone seems to be able to tell, the fact that the metal detector was set off by the safety pin holding his glasses together. So I'll wait for your investigation to find out that something else happened but if the facts has been reported sort of publically and by teachers and by school personnel and by the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

principal are that then I will expect that there is real you know accountability and discipline and I want to ask you to do that today and that for sure if that's the case that this summons is vacated you know assuming that that's the, the fact pattern of what actually happened. And it is deeply troubling so... But my questions actually go to the scanners because the problem for me is this is a school that I've been pushing to see the scanners removed for years. Several of those principals have wanted them removed. And in my opinion they do much more harm than good I know and I've asked and I get told how many knives and other metal objects get pulled out but I also know it creates a culture in that school where a ton of kids the vast majority of whom don't do anything wrong get to made to feel like they're criminals on the daily basis where the school can't let kids go out to lunch because they can't come back in because they've got scanners in the school. And I have asked in the past can someone please explain to me or point me at a policy for where we put the scanners, how we track where we put the scanners, what the data is that guides it, how we watch whether they're needed, how we let the

2.1

schools know that there's a path where safety could be increased so they could get rid of them, and where there's also a policy for knowing where they come out based on a broader set of goals of safety building within a school and restorative justice.

And in the past no one has been able to show me a policy that answers any of those questions. And so my question is is there a policy for the placement removal and planning around the scanners in our schools?

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: We are working very closely with the Department of Education to make sure that there is a clear policy so everybody can understand it... also part of the leadership team.

And... We are working on coming up with a policy that the public and city are open to the council that will demonstrate what the protocol is clearly for everyone as far as removing or requesting scanning be, to be put into a school. The one thing I do want, you know when I met with the principals the vast majority of the principals in that school are for the scanning in that school. They want the scanning in the school. The other part of that is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

you know there's no intention certainly on the school safety or Department of Education to have the scanning be something that is criminalizing all of our students to feel that way. And as part of our job is to make sure students don't feel that way. And we have met with students and we hear from both sides. We hear from students that say they feel safer in the building because of scanning and there are of course students that, that object to the scanning. So there is, there is an argument on both sides of that. So we are certainly committed to making sure that the policy is clear working together with our partners. And, and we also have to mention about the number of weapons that do get recovered. Those weapons would be in the school if they were not recovered in scanning. And just to go back to... on the pin we're not clear yet and the investigation will determine that and I'll let you know is whether that pin actually did set off the, the scanner or that was just an independent action regarding observation.

clear you're saying there is not currently a clear policy but you are working to develop one?

25

2.1

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: ...we have, we, we have a policy. It's based a lot on the principal's desires to... [cross-talk] have it or not and we'd like to broaden that policy to maybe in, include... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: First...

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: ...some other factors.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: First if there is one I would appreciate it if you could provide it to the committee.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: Mm-hmm.

asking about the placement as well as the removal.

Because as far as I can tell there is a removal

policy which is if all of the principals in a... what

I was told is the policy is, and this was in the

prior administration last time I tried to get these

ones removed, if every principal in the building

signs a letter asking for them to be removed then

they will get to go to a scared straight session

with the NYPD where they are told if there are

problems in the building after the scanners are

removed we'll blame it on... you know... you will be

responsible. So would you like to have the scanners

2.1

removed. And then of course all the principals remove the names from the letter and say no I don't want the scanners removed. How could I have it on my head as to collaborative planning for how to achieve shared goals. So it's not surprising to me that at this point the principals haven't said that they want them removed. But that's not a policy. And it's not a policy for placement either. That's a policy about removal. I don't believe you, I don't believe there is a policy that explains where they are currently in ways that would satisfy any of us that are data driven based on the kinds of data we ought to use to make these decisions. So I, I...

WINCENT SCHIRALDI: We're doing exactly what you just talked about. So, I mean not, not the previous thing but the next thing which is trying to come up with a data driven way to have this decision get made. We don't know what the end of that conversation's going to be like but that is actually in the working group co-chaired by Chief Conroy right now which is what is a sort of data driven logical way to decide where scanners should and should not be. And then what's the process for

2.1

moving them from where they shouldn't be to where they should be. And so that, that is going to happen. And that was in the works before this incident ever happened. That was on the school safety agenda.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So then let me just conclude by asking just for two dates. I mean by when do you think there'll be a, a policy that we can review and look at and, and by when do we, should we, you think the investigation of this particular incident will...

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: We, we should have the recommendations done by the summer. I'll let you answer the investigation...

BRIAN CONROY: As far as... I don't want to put a target date at this time until I look at where it's progressed so far and then I will get back to you... you know when I anticipate...

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So we would appreciate if you would follow-up with the committee in the next week with a date by which that investigation will be done and we'll look forward by the summer to having, a policy refer, view more broadly on, on scanner placement removal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Thank you. And Madam Chair thank you for your indulgence.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much Council Member Lander and just want to make sure for the record Council Member Dromm is being honored this evening with our chancellor so he did have to leave but he does apologize and certainly he knows how to reach you so we'll make sure we follow up. I just had a couple of questions. So going through all three testimonies... And obviously the announcement in February to me sets forth a vision of how this administration is going to be different in its approach to providing public safety for our schools. Recognizing the role the SSAs play, the value they bring but also changing the culture and there being a cultural shift so that the environment that we create for our children is an environment that produces respect, dignity, and learning and not the pipeline to prison right. I said that in my opening. And I want to make sure I focus on that because in the testimony I was a little disturbed to see that we have almost 5,000 SSAs, 27 hundred guidance counsellors, and 12 hundred social workers for 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

hundred school buildings in this city. So obviously when we talk about resources in the classroom and in the schools it is important that there is an investment in guidance and social workers and I know you know you know that. Because we're having a lot of conversations about SSAs and the roles that you know they play. And you know obviously the incident at the John Jay Campus is a classic example of what goes wrong right. And I think we can all agree. I know there's a current investigation but we can all acknowledge that that incident could have been prevented and it would have played out in a different way. I believe that the SSAs at that school, I would assume and hope that in their level of responsibility, expertise, and experience that they would have the training necessary to deescalate that situation so that the police department is not called. That should be the absolute last option in any instance. And I guess I'm fearful because that incident hit the paper but I can only imagine what other things go on that don't make the paper. And I guess that's the reason why we keep doing the work we do and why we have such conversation about this shift because there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

has to be a shift. The work that we're doing and the restorative practices, the educational planning, the reengagement for many of our court involved students, the therapeutic crisis intervention, the math tutoring program, saga innovations... That's five million dollars that we are adding to DOE's budget. So what I'd like to know with the school leadership climate team that's been composed of educators and parents and advocates which I appreciate the city council has representation there. You mention Mr. Schiraldi that there is a report that will be coming out this summer. So the five million dollars that we are now beginning to invest are we looking for that investment to provide results that would be in this report or is this just the overall recommendations of what we expect to be produced?

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: So this first round of recommendations will be future oriented. It really won't be examining the outcomes of that five million dollars because most of those services haven't even been procured. Saga has started but these, you know when you put money into a budget it doesn't mean they automatically start right away

1	185 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	after RFP things procure them and they start up. So
3	that was the amount the mayor put in the budget to
4	improve school climate, only a very small amount of
5	which has already begun because it only was you
6	know announced in February.
7	LOIS HERRERA: Okay. But there is an
8	evaluative component to, to SAGA that, that'll kick
9	in for the next school year. So SAGA started in
10	February and should it be expanding but it will
11	also have an, a third party evaluation to it.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay and [cross-
13	talk]
14	VINCENT SCHIRALDI:answer your
15	question?
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Yes, sure. Of
17	course. Ms. Herrera in your testimony you talked
18	about two revisions that we have made to
19	disciplinary responses to insubordinate behavior.
20	And I think a lot of the advocates have come to all
21	of us about A-22 and B-21 right? And we acknowledge
22	we need to make substantial changes. So what I'd

25 and four to 12 they have to now go through an

like to understand is this new process in order for

a principal to suspend a student from K to three

23

2.1

approval to demonstrate why that student is being suspended and provide some of the history of that particular student. So in order for that to be approved it has to go through your office. And what happens if your office says this suspension is not approved what happens to that student in the school?

LOIS HERRERA: So the, this is an automated process that goes through, to our suspension directors and our, and our hearing offices. It goes through our...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right.

additional staff to do this. It will happen in a very timely way. We're hoping that this will be a teachable moment and a, a great opportunity to have conversations with principals around this so that we can ensure a certain degree with confidence that the suspensions aren't being given indiscriminately. What happened with the insubordination infraction is that there's a lot of latitude. What I might consider willful defiance perhaps somebody else might not. And so we're using this as an opportunity to have a conversation with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

the principal and get a sense of to what degree do the behavior disrupt, instruction, what were the prior behaviors, is this part of a pattern, and what interventions have been used. And on the basis of that my office will make a determination yay or nay whether that principal suspension can go forward. There are other disciplinary responses that are options. So suspension is not the only way to address behavior and this might be a fruitful to, to request that the principal using other means to address the behavior. By no means, by no means are we saying that dis, disruptive behavior or defiant behavior is okay or acceptable but we're saying that we don't think the suspensions is the way to go if it wasn't something that interfered with instruction or if it wasn't part of a pattern of behavior.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. So are we looking with a lot of this reform talk at some of the core issues that lead to this type of behavior?

Because I always say there's a reason for everything and students with multiple suspensions in a given school year to me is a cry for help. So the programs that we talk about, the guidance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

counsellors, the social workers. Conflict mediation I have to say is the most crucial in, in terms of ways to deescalate these situations. There've been too many instances where young people were issued summons, arrested, suspended for things that really need to be dealt with at the school level. And you know I, I always say sometimes you know we have to understand that the 21st century our children are learning in a different environment now and they're coming to school with a multitude of problems. So many children are in shelters. They are foster kids and they have special needs. They have disabilities. And that really requires us as the adults to do things different, to address a lot of those issues and be more preventative than reactionary. So with cases of multiple suspensions where there's one student that just keeps getting suspended for pretty much the same reasons what, what, how do we address and how do we deal with those, those students?

LOIS HERRERA: Well that's why we're looking at the interventions that have been used with the student before. So if we see that there's a pattern but that there haven't been attempts at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

interventions then that's the way we engage the principal.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And while we do not have enough guidance counsellors, and I'm saying that on the record, nor social workers a number of our public school buildings have school based health centers which I'm a huge fan of when I was in the assembly very supportive of funding from Albany to implement more school based health centers. So in terms of the partnerships where the sum of our schools and medical providers I know we have a number of community schools which I'm also a fan of and our renewal schools what types of partnerships do we have at the school level and I'm, I'm getting to the multiple 9-1-1 calls that are made from schools to pick up and take children to the local emergency room for a behavioral or a medical or a mental issue. So at the school level what types of partnerships do we have where there's almost a protocol, if a student is disruptive, IEP disability do we automatically call a medical professional if they're not on site? Do we go to the doctor that's in the school? How does that work before we get to the emergency room?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

LOIS HERRERA: Well that's part of the, the new chancellor's regulation that's up for, for... it'll go before PEP in May. This has to do with deescalation and training that we've agreed to do for our school staff particularly in schools, the 20 schools that had the highest number of calls to EMS for behavioral reasons and we'll be constantly looking at that data and inviting more schools to participate in training. So yes we are looking at ways of deescalating so that we don't automatically pick up the phone and call 9-1-1 for behavioral incident that could be deescalated o the school level. And yes we do have partnerships with the school based health clinics that have mental health components. And in other places we have a mobile crisis unit that has been deployed, that can be deployed if there is a behavioral incident and we need assistance. So yes we're always looking for other ways of, of handling behavioral incidents that don't automatically require an ambulance to be called.

23 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Where are our 24 mobile crisis units? There's one in the Bronx

25 | right?

1

LOIS HERRERA: Yes.

3

2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And where else?

4

That's it?

5

LOIS HERRERA: No I think also in...

6

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: There are two?

7

LOIS HERRERA: There... in Queens.

8

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: There's one in

9

Queens and there's one in the Bronx. So right now

10

if we have situations where students are disruptive

11

and there's no like medical professional onsite

12

then we would automatically call this mobile unit

13

and they would physically come or how would that

14

work?

to the school.

15 LOIS HERRERA: Well first of all if we

16

17

can deescalate the conflict and, and resolve it so that the behavior is at a, a level where it can be

18

addressed by the school, that's number one. But if

19

we do need to call a mobile crisis unit because we

20

think that there is something more going on for the

21

student we would do that and they would, they come

22

23

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Are we looking to

24

expand on that, on the mobile units?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

LOIS HERRERA: It's not a DOE

3 | initiative.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh okay.

LOIS HERRERA: The mobile crisis unit.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh okay. I'm sorry.

I apologize. Sorry. I wanted to ask... so as you know there are three bills that we have been talking about Intro 65, 719, and 730 which two of them are looking for additional reporting. So I don't remember who but one of you talked about some of the restrictions and, and Chair Dromm really emphasized that. So I'm hoping that we can have a conversation on how we can get beyond you know the federal regulations simply because we need the data, the data will demonstrate for us trends and patterns of students that have multiple suspensions, the metal detectors, the permanent ones in place, how we define where they go and those that are removed. Because we, you know we have many co-located schools and we have some principals that want the metal detectors and some that don't as you know. So it's a struggle because many of them come to us and it's really hard to

find a balance. The other thing that's been really

2.1

that have been restrained by handcuffs. And sadly many of them have been as young as five years old. And as I said you know this conversation is about prevention. So using restraints whether they are Velcro restraints or other devices is that a part of the conversation and what are we doing to make changes so that children as young as five do not have to be restrained by handcuffs?

closely, have been working very closely with the, with the Mayor's Office on developing policies that would certainly reduce or, the number of students that are handcuffed. So the part of the key component of that policy is dealing with students under the age of 12. And by that the last resort would be metal handcuffs. Our first option there is verbal commands or constructive restraint. Even the Velcro cuffs would only be used if it was for the safety of the child involved or other people that may be present. So it's progressive. If metal handcuffs or handcuffs are used to restrain somebody under 12 there'll be an immediate response by a, a high level executive from the police

2.1

old.

department to review that incident that will report back to myself and the chief of patrol on what happened... and see what we can learn from that also. But we have... It's clear from the discussions we've had, and agreements we had with city hall that we are going to make every effort not to use metal handcuffs unless under... circumstances or emergency situations on certainly students under 12 years

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So I think we're, we're getting to an agreement here. So we all agree that again last resort when there are absolutely no alternatives that will work that children under 12 should not be placed in handcuffs right?

BRIAN CONROY: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. In a lot of the conversations with summons and arrests, and I really appreciate the work that has been done because I represent many of the parents and advocates from the Bronx justice organization starting from new settlement PAC, the parent's action committee and many of the parents from school district nine in the Bronx. We have made tremendous strides from where we started. And we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

only going to get better because we're now having the same conversation. So I know you referenced it Chief Conroy and I wanted to make sure that I recognize that because these are examples of what happens and what can happen when people really come together with the same mindset and the same vision and the same goals. I am proud that district nine has decreased in terms of arrests and suspensions but I'm also looking at more preventative measures for us to go a step even further. And through a lot of the conversations we've talked about a warning card system that we would put in place instead of children being given summons. So I know we're still in the infancy stages but could you describe a little bit about what the warning card system would look like and what you're ultimately looking to achieve by having a warning card system instead of issuing summons.

VINCENT SCHRIALDI: Well as I indicated in my testimony and provided by the numbers we've made tremendous progress in reducing the number of arrests and, and summons... schools. I mean dramatic drops in, in the last two years alone. And we know there's still areas that we can still improve and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

we continue to reduce it. And this year those numbers continue to drop. So the warning card is something that's in discussing with the leadership team as another potential way to continue to reduce summonses and arrests. So the basic premise of the warning card would be to give a warning to somebody who is eligible or potentially committed an offense that would be a criminal court summons could be issued for. And instead of issuing that criminal court summons we give the warning card. And we return that and push that incident over to, to the school officials to handle it as they see fit under their, under their procedures. So the, that warning card program is like everything else we're doing. It's working very closely with the Department of Education to sort of handle these incidents within the school.

19

20

2.1

22

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Mm-hmm. Just another question and then I'll turn it over to my fellow chair. So Chief Conroy you talked about school safety agents undergoing a 15 week training that's an increase from the 12 I believe right...

23

BRIAN CONROY: 17 weeks... [cross-talk]

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: 17, I'm sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

BRIAN CONROY: Used to be 15.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: From 15. Within that training are, you're looking at efforts to deescalate, I don't want to say use the force but you get what I'm trying to say. But I guess the ultimate issue that many of us are having is that we can provide all the training that is necessary and we really want to change the culture and the mindset where children are not looked at as criminals in our schools. We want to make sure that people understand that yes children have problems that need to be addressed but we can try to be creative about it. A lot of the incidents that have happened that make it to the paper have been individual judgments that many of ur agents have made based on their level of expertise. So in the training how are we trying to achieve changing that mindset right allowing our SSAs to look at all children equally but at the same time making best judgments that do not result in some of the, the issues that we have had if that makes sense. Do, do you understand what I'm trying to ask.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: Yes and, and it's

very...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Because training is important and... [cross-talk] that's where everything starts, at the training.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: But it's only part of it. Training is important. And we have to give the agents and our police officer tools to work with what they're doing every day, working with children, working with students. But it's also important that we give the right message from the executive leadership within school safety or within the police department. So you know I'm not the expert on training people on how to do collaborative problem solving or de-escalation techniques. However I can present very strongly the message that we want out there and that we want our students to be handled fairly and treated as students and at best we can let things be handled with, inside the school and working closely with our partners. And we emphasize that all the time and I emphasize that personally that the school administration are our partners. And we're working together with them to provide that safe environment. So as I mentioned in the testimony we, we look at ourselves as sort of the gate keepers.

2.1

We want to prevent... people from coming in the school that are, can do our students harm. But we also want to try to prevent students from remove from the school either under arrest or issued a summons at best we can to work with the school administration. So it's, I think it's a two part approach. It's training but it's also the message that we're conveying to our agents and police officers.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So some of the data that we receive at the council... You know we acknowledge that a lot of the work has really resulted in a decrease in suspension and arrests and, and that's incredible. That's a huge step of progress and I want to continue to do better. You know the reason why we've had a lot of this conversation has been because of the disproportionate impact on communities of color on children with disabilities and IEPs, children that require extra attention. And so when we are looking at a lot of the restorative justice and the reform in different practices that are necessary we want those numbers to keep going down. So as we have had numbers decreasing has there been less children of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

color and children with disabilities and other special needs that has been on the decrease or is it other, other populations of students in our school system. The disproportionality that, that you're speaking about in terms of suspensions while the overall number and rate of suspensions has gone down the disproportionality remains and we're very conscious of that. And that's why we looked particularly at the insubordination... fraction because this was an area where our youngsters of color were more likely to be involved in suspensions. So for insubordination 19 percent, pardon me, 52 percent of the students suspended for insubordination were students of color. That's why we put in that extra level of, of scrutiny so that we can really try to reduce that disproportionality. And the same thing with, with students with disabilities. 36 percent of the suspensions for insubordination were students with an IEP. This is as of last year's data but it, it tells us that this is something that we needed to address. And we're, we're hoping by that extra layer of review for, for, before there's a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

suspension for insubordination that we can lower this disproportionality.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: Chair, Chair Gibson let me just add to that which I totally agree with. Part of what we're doing with the leadership team is to try to identify as high priority schools and if we can identify high priority schools and prioritize them for both training and additional resources we believe that'll further drive down this proportionality because many of those schools will be, schools that are disproportionately kids of color. So for example last year the decline in... a decline in suspensions. So overall in schools that didn't get restorative practice training they're, they're change in suspension was flat, suspensions were flat overall. All of the decline in suspensions last year were from schools that were training in restorative practices. So if we can identify school at high needs and those schools are schools that are disproportionately, the students are kids of color we feel we can further drive down disproportionality.

1	202 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So I'm looking at
3	several numbers and I guess my, my ultimate
4	question was is the disproportionality going down?
5	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: It, it's not?
7	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay so we still
9	have
LO	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: The number of kids
11	of color getting suspended has
12	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right.
13	VINCENT SCHIRALDI:gone down but it
L4	went down at pretty much the same rate as the
15	number of white kids getting suspended.
L6	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. So
L7	essentially the problem still exists right? It's
18	just less of an impact.
L9	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: And we
20	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And in
21	addition to students with disabilities students
22	with IEPs, students of color, there's also a
23	disproportionate impact male versus female. So our
24	young men, our, our boys are getting in trouble

25 more with summons and arrests and suspensions more

2.1

than females. So in the climate conversations are we looking at some of the creative ways that we can provide a unique component for young men, like mentoring or some of the other programs where there's a focus on young men because as the numbers say more young boys are being arrested and, and facing a lot of the challenges.

initiative that's part of YMI that looks at three schools and it's called mentoring for real and we are working with students in a multi-tiered approach to try and address both climate issues in general in the schools but also the individual behavioral needs of the students. And this also is being evaluated through a third party. So we're very hopeful that this is a, a model that's worth replicating.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And in addition with mentoring for real I, I never heard of that. But I, I know the young men's initiative because a lot of the funding comes through the council. But in addition there's also another disproportionate impact geographically on Brooklyn and the Bronx. And I'd like to know your thoughts

2.1

on that and is there anything we're doing to have an emphasis with respect to the other boroughs but there being more of a high probability of many of these cases coming from Brooklyn and the Bronx.

LOIS HERRERA: Yes. In the process of restructuring the DOE is going back to a more geographic support, field support in our borough field support centers. And so we will be able to work with geographic or school district communities to address trends that we see. As of this moment in time the, the network center of the field support at this point are not geographic. And so it's been a little bit more of a challenge to look at schools within one, one neighborhood and one community but we will be in the new structure able to do that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay thank you.

Chair Deutsch.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay oh before you go... I'm sorry hold on for one second. I just wanted to go on record because I noticed that in all of your testimonies there was a little bit of talk about Intro 65 which is the legislation that we've

2.1

been talking about this afternoon that would provide a school safety agent in non-public schools. And I just wanted to know your thoughts on that. Chief Conroy you alluded to it a little bit but I didn't get anything from DOE or MOCJ? Intro 65?

WINCENT SCHIRALDI: So I, the point I would make about that is that we are trying to protect all of our children equally. We feel as if there is a threat, a terrorist threat or a hate crime threat. We have the best police force in the country that can respond to that threat and make sure that our children are safe. But for the same reasons that Chief Conroy pointed out in terms of allocation of resources we would have concerns.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So currently with the 5,000 SSAs we have is there a criteria that defines where the SSAs go? Is it per student population, the number of schools, the age, the location, anything that we take into consideration on where our SSAs currently go?

BRIAN CONROY: Well the basic premise we have at least one agent in every single public school. So the allocation model which was done many

2.1

years ago in 2006 was the last time it was done took a lot of things into consideration, the number students, the prior crime incidents inside the school, the size of the building, the number of schools in the building, the exits, the number of entrances and exits in the building... So a lot of factors came up with, to determine how many agents would be assigned. But there's a general of elementary schools with, with one agent or you know sometimes a little more. There's general things of how many would go into a high school or a middle school but that, that's how we determine it. But there's at least one agent in every single public school.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And also at, at each of the schools you have different levels of SSAs right? Level one, level two, level three is supervisor right?

BRIAN CONROY: A level three is a supervisor. A level two is more of a mobile who... respond to different problems and go from one school to another school to address different issues. The level one agent is the one who's

1	207 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	stationary either in or just outside the perimeter
3	of the school.
4	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So there are times
5	when that level three rotates within a school
6	district right? Based on need or if there's
7	something that arises?
8	BRIAN CONROY: Correct. So not, not
9	every school has a level three so we have what we
10	call cluster level three. So a level three assigned
11	to a junior high school or a middle school would
12	also be responsible for supervising the level one
13	agents in the nearby elementary schools or a single
14	agent or a two agent schools.
15	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay thank you.
16	Chair Deutsch.
17	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you. I
18	knew it was too good to be true before. Anyway my
19	first question is who funds SSA? Who funds the
20	school safety agents?
21	BRIAN CONROY: Department of Education.
22	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So it all comes
23	from everything comes from DOF then it goes to the

NYPD?

2.1

BRIAN CONROY: Correct. There's a budget process in there but that's a, it does come through the DO, from the DOE.

co-chairperson deutsch: From does originally. Okay what is the school safety agent's role in protecting the children from outsiders? I'm not talking about, we spoke about summonses, we talk about arrests and everything but what is their role in protecting the school building, the school children from anyone walking from outside who doesn't belong in the school?

BRIAN CONROY: ...we consider that one of the primary function of a school safety agent is to monitor or visitor control as we call it, visitor control procedures to, to control who comes in and outside of the building. And that's done within the partnership with the principal on deciding how we're going to do that but we have certainly standard procedures of people need to sign in inside the school, present identification prior to coming to school. There's not so many known to the school safety agent or the school. So we do that in, in every school.

2.1

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay in every...
okay. Do you believe that the school building,
school buildings in general are targeted, a target
location?

BRIAN CONROY: I have no... As far as terrorism?

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: As far as people walking in from the outside. I mean you mentioned before there were three incidents, two incidents in a non-public school and one incident which happens which was in a synagogue so obviously there is some type of threat that I see that, that... and it's school buildings, you know they're, they're vulnerable too. So do you believe that a public school building or any school building has that threat of someone coming from the outside and committing a crime like you had in the synagogue?

Do you have somebody walking in and stabs a, one of congregants on the head?

BRIAN CONROY: We have no like direct threat information. However it's certainly always the possibility that somebody could try to come into a school that doesn't belong in there for whatever reason. They could come in to try to steal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

laptops off a desk. So there, there's reasons why somebody could come into a school other than a terrorist threat. But there's certainly always the possibility that somebody who does not belong in the school building could enter it. And that's one of the primary rolls of the agents is to prevent that from happening along with the school staff.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

8

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So like a sanitation garage. Anyone could walk into a sanitation garage with a gun but I don't consider a sanitation garage a place where someone's going to want to come in with a weapon and start shooting. So where you have a building where you have children inside you know I don't think we could afford to wait for something to happen. I believe that's, you know I think we all feel that this, the children need to be protected so we cannot wait for something to happen and then say I told you so. So you have the school safety agents around the schools, around the public schools. And we did have, you did mention on your testimony that there were two incidents in the schools which I think are very important to, to, to log. So my question is to you that where you have with your feeling in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

building that has school children inside do you believe a building like that has more of a threat than any other type of institution that is not a school with children and not there.

BRIAN CONROY: ...that information that I could provide you if it's any more of a threat to... However as mentioned in those two incidents the police department quickly responded and resolved those two incidents. And as we also mentioned in the testimony the police precincts have a very close relationship with schools within their precinct boundaries and provide adequate security. There's a specific threat just like a school, if we knew there was going to be a specific threat to a school we would up, necessarily maybe up a level of security and put a uniform police officer there. So I think the same thing would apply to a private school that there was a specific threat they would assign a uniform presence as needed. We also offer the ability of crime prevention, a very extensive crime prevention program where a crime prevention officer would be able to go to a school and do a security assessment of any school building you know based on request.

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Just want to amplify one thing and you correct me if I'm wrong on this. When you say a uniformed presence you also mean an armed presence right because the SSAs are not armed, isn't that correct?

BRIAN CONROY: That's correct.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so, so the, the 5,000 that are in the elementary school I'd say one... [cross-talk] they don't have a gun to prevent somebody who would be armed from...

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: I understand that. So if I would call 9-1-1 from a school building and I would say in a school building someone just trespassed. What is typically, what is the response time if I do call 9-1-1 for the NYPD who are armed to respond to that location opposed to calling 9-1-1 from another location which is not a school which may be a commercial establishment by saying there is someone trespassing. So is there a difference between the response time by the NYPD when 9-1-1 is called?

BRIAN CONROY: I think if there was any sort of, we'd have to do a comparison study to determine the response time to incidents in

2.1

time.

schools. As far as private schools there's been, we did some research and it was very minimal number of calls to a private school for a, a criminal type incident. But certainly a, a response to a school of an incident would be a priority for, for the police officers. But to give you an exact response time we would not, I could not do that at this

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So in other words the 9-1-1 dispatcher would understand that you're calling from a school and there would dispatch with saying that the, a school is calling that there is a trespasser walking into the school or in the school right now. So you're saying that that is a priority? Does that go high up as a priority if there's a robbery, a call, a call for robbery that comes in or a burglary so that would that be in the same category, in the same level?

BRIAN CONROY: ...and, and because it'll be an in progress incident it would get a high priority.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So...

BRIAN CONROY: Not something as if a past incident happened and for example property got

	214
	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
1	
2	stolen and somebody want to report that stolen. So,
3	but certainly a crime in progress would get a
4	higher level priority.
5	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Is a trespass
6	considered a, something of a high priority which of
7	a crime in progress in that category?
8	BRIAN CONROY: Certainly, certainly
9	could be and should be.
10	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: It should be
11	but possibly
12	BRIAN CONROY: It would be. If somebody
13	had a trespasser in the school you know and it also
14	depends on how that information was relayed to the
15	police department. So I would consider that in most
16	circumstances that would be a, a high priority call
17	not being able to account for the exact thing that
18	happens. So hypothetically… [cross-talk]
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So
20	BRAIN CONROY:incident here.
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON DUETSCH: So if I call 9-
22	1-1 and I say I'm in a school building and there's
23	a trespass that would be highest, that would be a
24	high priority, that would be top priority? [cross-
25	talk]

BRIAN CONROY: Correct.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Does S, school safety agents receive active shooter training?

part of the general response protocol training at the, the Department of Education provides to their school administrative staff on how to react and what to do during emergencies. As far as active shooter training what we do give the agents is training on how they should, that they should part... general response pro... what... shelter in... they get training in that. To actually, they don't get training on how to confront an active shooter. We do give them training on how to provide basic medical triage. Each one gets a medical kit and if there was, basically... to stop any bleeding as quickly as possible pending the arrival of emergency medical personnel.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Does the police department approach active shooters? What's their protocol, the NYPD?

BRIAN CONROY: The department's protocol on an active shooter training is to end the threat.

1	216 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Is to end the
3	threat So they would confront an active shooter.
4	BRIAN CONROY: That's correct.
5	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: They'll
6	confront. So
7	VINCENT SCHIRALDI: [off mic]talking
8	about regular police… [cross-talk]
9	BRIAN CONROY: Regular police [cross-
LO	talk]
11	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Regular,
12	regular police. So the school safety officers, do
13	they carry weapons, any type of weapons?
L4	BRIAN CONROY: No they do not.
15	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Mace?
16	BRIAN CONROY: No.
L7	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Nothing like
18	that. Do they carry radios?
L9	BRIAN CONROY: Yes.
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Yes. Those
21	radios can they communicate with a person who's
22	armed, someone from the NYPD?
23	BRIAN CONROY: They could, would
24	communicate through our, through our radio dispatch

	217
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	system. They don't communicate directly with an
3	armed police officer.
4	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So they could
5	go directly to a dispatcher now. When you go on the
6	radio and you call in an incident of occurrence
7	which is active right now then would that response
8	come quicker than if someone in a school building
9	call, calls 9-1-1? Which one will get a quicker
10	response?
11	BRIAN CONROY: That's something we'd
12	have to do a study on because I, I don't really, I
13	am not prepared to say at this time under what
14	circumstances you'd get a, you'd get a quicker
15	response on that.
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay so, okay
17	so if you could get back to me with those two
18	BRIAN CONROY: We'll have to do an
19	analysis of that.
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Now does school
21	safety keep a log of all incidents in public
22	schools of trespass?
23	BRIAN CONROY: School safety agents
24	prepare a criminal or an incident report. So for

25 all incidents that happen inside a school. So that

2.1

could be from somebody who slips and falls, a student slips and falls and hurts themselves... to a, to a criminal incident or certainly to somebody and somebody trespasses inside a building.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So a trespass would be a highly, would be top, top priority right? Would be in the highest category of a crime trespass? So...

BRIAN CONROY: There are, there are different levels of trespassing. There are students who sneak into schools to, or try to sneak into schools to be with their friends for the day. You know that, that certainly, that type of trespasser would be different than the person who's coming in that building to create some sort of harm inside the building.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So do you have a separate, do you have a log of a trespass who might not be a student, someone that doesn't belong in the school, are there separate logs for that type of...

BRIAN CONROY: We, we could go through our, our incident reports and pull out which ones are student trespass which is a vast majority is a

	219									
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS									
Τ										
2	student trespasser and or any incident that was									
3	somebody who was an adult intruder. So we, we could									
4	go through our records to come up with that									
5	information.									
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: So Okay so you									
7	don't have anything right now that's									
8	BRIAN CONROY: No I Well I don't have									
9	anything here that breaks it down									
10	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Okay so yeah I									
11	would love to see how many trespass if you could									
12	[cross-talk]									
13	BRIAN CONROY: Okay.									
14	CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH:back to the									
15	committee to see how many trespass incidents you									
16	have in the public school system. And also if you									
17	collaborate with the NYPD Actually you mentioned									
18	about the security survey. Does school safety ever									
19	do a, a survey on private schools and on public									
20	schools or do you rely on crime prevention to do									
21	that survey?									
22	BRIAN CONROY: It would be the									
23	responsibility of crime prevention to do that, to									

do that survey. We do respond to requests from

private schools if they want us to talk about our

24

25

COMMITTEE		ON	PUBI	JIC	SAFETY	JO	INTLY	Y WITH	COMM	/ITTEE	
ON	EDUCAT	ΓOΝ	AND	SUF	3COMMTT'	FEE	ON N	VON-PUF	BLTC	SCHOOL	.S

2.1

active shooter program or what the general response
protocol program is. Upon request we have done
that. We do go to private school and talk about
what the, what programs we do use within the public
school to provide safety. So, and we're certainly

willing to do that.

and when school safety agents need outs, need help you mentioned that some schools may have one school safety agent. Some may have more. So in a school where you have one school safety agent and that person needs backup, does that person call 9-1-1 or does that individual, the school safety agent go over the radio and call for backup?

BRIAN CONROY: The protocol will be go over, go over the radio… [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: But we're not sure which one would be a quicker response so wouldn't we... be like for the safety of the officer to know which way is a quicker response because maybe he should go call 9-1-1 to ask, to, to call for backup. So...

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: I think it would be important for me to, to... [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: ...if, if a school safety...

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: ...to give you data...
[cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: ...if a school safety agent needs to go over radio to call for backup then I would assume that that's probably a quicker response to get, to get a backup them to get uniformed officers. So, and that's why I fell how important it is for all schools to receive and to have school safety agents in all the schools because all our children need to be protected. And having the school safety agents at the schools who carry radios and before the administration came in to testify we had several panels that came up here and really no one knew what the role of a school safety agent is until we speak to administration. But I believe from what I hear that a radio that a school safety agent has probably gets a, a lot quicker response than picking up the phone and calling 9-1-1 because otherwise you would have the school safety agent calling 9-1-1 opposed to going over the radio. So that's, I feel that every school, every child needs to be protected. Under

2.1

1.4 million children throughout the city in public school and non-public schools all deserve the same protection. We're all children of god and we all deserve that protection. So I have no more further questions at this time. I might come back a little bit later.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Thank you Chair Deutsch. And now we'll have Council Member Greenfield for a couple of minutes. Thank you.

Madam Chair. First I just want to say at the outset that I'm a supporter of Intro 719 and 730. I especially want to thank the chair for her leadership on this issue. I certainly agree with the school safety division that overall crime, crime is down. But I think as the chair has pointed out we have some issues and we could resolve those issues. We could always be better. We could always improve the situation. So I want to thank Chair Gibson for her leadership on this vital issue. Because I have limited time I'm going to focus specifically on my legislation, Introduction 65, which would provide school safety agents to public

2.1

schools and non-public schools that request. And so I just want to reiterate a few of the things that my colleague, the chair of the Non-Public School Committee Chaim Deutsch already reiterated which is that one of the main goals and you said this is to prevent unauthorized intruders and weapons from entering our schools. I'm sure you would agree because that's in your testimony, is that correct?

BRIAN CONROY: That's correct.

this is a particularly salient point because earlier today there was some confusion over what exactly the role is of school safety agents and I think we would agree that's one of the primary roles and certainly as that would apply to non-public schools that's a very important role as well. So I certainly appreciate the fact that as you mentioned that non-public schools are a vibrant part of, of each precinct and that when you have resources you do I fact try to assign them around. I want to, I want to know about school crossing guards. You, you're currently, you do assign school crossing guards to non-public schools, is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

BRIAN CONROY: That's correct, as needed as we put in testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: As is needed you have some at non-public schools. And as you said when there is a specific threat to a school you will provide an officer sometimes even a full uniformed officer, armed officer to that school if it's a non-public school as well, is that correct? BRIAN CONROY: Correct. It would always be a uniformed officer, it would never be a school

safety agent to a... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.

BRIAN CONROY: ...non-public...

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So I think the, the point that I'm making is that obviously from, from a technical and legal standpoint there's no bar to providing these services because you're already providing these services because I think that's a very important point that we, we want to make. So I guess my next question is if you do provide school safety officers to public schools why not provide to non-public schools right? You provide school safety agents but why don't you provide them to non-public schools? Is it a matter

of you are limited resources currently in terms of where your school safety agents are?

BRIAN CONROY: I think as we, as I indicated in the testimony that the decision to assign resources needs to be left up to the police commissioner. As far as the school safety agents assigned to public schools they've always been assigned to the public schools going back many years when... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's

right.

BRIAN CONROY: ...school safety was under the, the Board of Education so... [cross-talk]

2.1

mean... So that's my point. My point is that if you're assigning them to public schools, we're assigning school crossing guards there's no reason why we can't extend that to non-public schools. But I want to focus specifically on your argument which is that the commissioner and the mayor need flexibility. The council has proposed today in its budget response despite the fact that the mayor has not asked for it that we add a thousand additional

police officers to the NYPD. Is NYPD opposed to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

that recommendation based on what you just said where we should not be telling you how, what resources you need and don't need?

BRIAN CONROY: I don't think that's for me to respond here at this, at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. That's fair. I, I imagine the answer's probably going to be no considering that the commissioner's indicated that... supportive. I see the assistant commissioner is smiling in the front row. And so I think it proves my point which is that I think the NYPD is somewhat selective over what legislative interference they want versus what legislative interference they don't want. Right when you like our legislative interference when we're going to give you a thousand more cops I think the NYPD's supportive and then when we're saying hey we might need some more school safety agents and you, you may not think that's your priority, you may not be supportive, so I would just suggest that it's not really fair to, to pick and choose. But I, I do want to focus on, on one final issue and that is the safety. You mentioned, and you know the mayor likes to mention that whenever there's a public

2.1

necessary?

safety threat in fact one of the prior speakers actually addressed this issue that the NYPD always comes into position and they cover as necessary non-public institutions such as synagogues or churches or in many cases schools, yeshivas, catholic schools, Muslim schools whatever. And that is what you said is part of the practice. Is that correct, that that is done on occasion as

BRIAN CONROY: Correct.

guess my practical question is it's my
understanding that in the typical precinct at any
given time there are approximately four cruisers
that are actually available that are dispatched.
Would you agree that it might be somewhat difficult
in a community such as mine where I have over 200
non-public schools for those four cruisers to
actually cover those 200 non-public schools when
there is an incident or a security threat?

BRIAN CONROY: The police department has always responded very effectively to any calls for service. [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I'm not, that's not... [cross-talk], that's not, I'm not questioning that by the way. We love the police department. As you know I'm a big fan and a supporter and I think you guys do great work. The point that I'm making, and it's okay not to respond, is that the reality is that when the mayor gets up and he says I am there and the NYPD is there when we have threats the NYPD simply doesn't have the resources right now. And that's the challenge, especially in a district like mine when we have hundreds of non-public schools and on average you have four police cars that are going, going around. Even if you wanted to put all four which probably would not be advisable considering that you then probably couldn't answer to other calls and do arrests and things like that is just not feasible. And that is why... This just responds to your testimony, that is why we as a legislature we feel it necessary to give you the additional resources to handle those situations because we think your resources are... So I'm going to respectfully disagree with your assessment. And I will point out that on occasion the NYPD, such as

1

2

3

4

5

6

when we offer overall more police officers actually will accept that, and I would encourage the NYPD to reconsider and to take our very generous offer which is we'd like to give you some more school safety agents to cover our non-public schools.

7

Thank you very much.

8

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: The, just, just two, two points I'd like to make. One is...

9

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Sure.

10

11 VINCENT SCHIRALDI: ...the, the, those

12

same four cruisers that are in, in your precinct

13

number would also have to cover the public schools 14

and I'm going to accept that that's the right

15

in many cases if there was an external threat.

16

Because in, in many of those public schools there

17

18

will be an unarmed SSA. And as far as the

19

external resources the difference with this is that

20

it's not just a council offering additional

characterization around NYPD's acceptance of

2.1

resources, it's that it's left up to a great many

22

individual schools to decide that they want a

23

resource. And that, I think in, in, in Chief

24

Conroy's testimony I think he fairly points out

25

that that's a kind of different way of allocating

resources than we're used to and, and sort of

challenges the budget of the city to allow

individual private entities to make decisions about

how to allocate resources... sort of no check on

that, no balance.

7 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: ...I
8 understand.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: But I, I

11 | would just... [cross-talk]

1

9

14

15

16

17

2.1

22

23

24

25

12 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Council Member we 13 have to move on.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I would just close with this final point where I would...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You should have closed two minutes ago.

18 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. I, I
19 will...

20 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay please...

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I'm just responding to Louis's point which is I think your first point Louis as far as the need at other public schools proves my point that we actually do need more school safety agents and to your second

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 point I would say we're a pretty innovative city.

3 We, we can deal with a little bit of change but

4 | thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. I just had one question. I just wanted to make sure for the record, DOE in your testimony Ms. Herrera you didn't talk about Intro 65 so I just wanted to know if you had a position or if that was up to conversation on Intro 65, the bill that many people have been talking about today. It's, it's not in your testimony. You've talked about 719 and some of the limitations around ratio of guidance counsellors to school safety agents and some of the issues that you have raised is that this could compromise the safety of schools in our communities or other law enforcement operations. Does NYPD agree with that? Because you didn't mention 719 in your testimony Chief Conroy. So this is the bill that would ask for a report from the administration on the number of guidance counsellors as it relates to the number of school safety agents.

BRIAN CONROY: I think our general response is that we consider it two separate

2.1

issues. School safety, providing the security to the schools is not related in any way to the number of guidance counsellors.

Of the mechanisms and dynamics behind it is that there are 5,000 SSAs in comparison to 27 hundred guidance counsellors. So many of us don't know where the SSAs nor the guidance counsellors are in our public schools. So this bill is an attempt to see do we have for every one guidance counsellor six or seven SSAs? So that's the logic behind the bill. I understand the concern from DOE in terms of you know limiting law enforcement operations. I, I get that. But I'm just trying to understand is there a way that we can keep having conversations so we can overcome that hurdle?

LOIS HERRERA: We report out in, in, in another bill from last year. We report out on counsellors and where they are by school. And so… [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And that's on the website?

LOIS HERRERA: Yes.

25 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

2.1

LOIS HERRERA: To be fair I'd like to correct something I said earlier because I've been given more information.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

LOIS HERRERA: About the mobile response teams. And I know that was something that you were concerned about. There are 29 mobile response times, that it's a DOHMH initiative in collaboration with DOE. The model offers triage assessments, classroom observations, case management, crisis intervention, and professional development for staff should it be needed.

VINCENT SCHIRALDI: Ad they're in all five boroughs, not just the Bronx and Queens.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh okay. Okay.

Great. Well thank you very much. I know for the sake of time... We have a lot to continue to talk about but I am very encouraged by the school leadership climate team. And I know that we will have multiple conversations. But I appreciate your presence. Thank you for your testimony and I look forward to working with the administration. [crosstalk] Thank you for being here. Thank you. Okay. So this hearing is still continued. I want to thank

1

9

14

2 everyone for their extreme patience this afternoon.

3 I know many of you have been waiting quite a bit to

4 testify and I do appreciate it. Everyone will be

5 heard that signs up today. I assure you of that.

6 Your testimony will be put into our record so that

7 | we do have your information. So we're going to

8 start with a panel of advocates. And then we're

going to move to students. And then we're going to

10 | alternate back and forth. But I do appreciate again

11 | your patience everyone and thank you for being here

12 | this afternoon. And we're going to have our first

13 panel speaking on 719 and 730 Nicholas Sheehan from

the Advocates for Children, Joanna Miller from the

15 | New York City Civil Liberties Union, Matthew Guldin

16 | from the Dignity in Schools Campaign of New York,

17 | Anna Bean also from the Dignity in Schools Campaign

18 of New York, and Kate McDonough from Girls for

19 Gender Equity. Nicholas Sheehan, Joanna Miller,

20 | Mathew Guldin, Anna Bean, and Kate McDonough please

21 come forward. And if you have testimony please make

22 | sure that our Sergeant at Arms receives it for the

23 committee. Thank you again.

[pause]

24

									43	5
COMMITTEE	ON :	PUBLI	C SAF	ETY	JOIN	TLY	WITI	H COM	MITT	EΕ
ON EDUCATI	ON .	AND S	JBCOM	TTIMI	EE O	N NC	N-PU	JBLIC	SCH	OOLS
	~-			~	~~~	0.1	_			
	CH.	HAIRPE	RSON	GIB	SON:	Oka:	y. T	'nank	you	all

for being here and we'll start with Mr. Sheehan.

Thank you. And everyone I'm sorry we always have to limit you. So we'll have three minutes. So if you can summarize I really appreciate it. Thank you so much.

NICHOLAS SHEEHAN: Yeah I'll try and go as quickly as possible. So thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today. My name's Nick Sheehan. I'm a staff attorney in Skadden Fellow at Advocates for Children New York... represent students... New York City school to prison pipeline.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Is your microphone on?

NICHOLAS SHEEHAN: I think it is.

17 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay I... Is the red

button on?

NICHOLAS SHEEHAN: That looks like it's on yeah.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you.

22 Okay.

NICHOLAS SHEEHAN: I'll project, use my outside voice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

18

20

2.1

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: AFC plays a leadership role in the Dignity in Schools Campaign New York, the Student Safety Coalition, and the Mayor's School of Climate Leadership team which we've heard a lot about today already. As part of the leadership team we're working very closely with New York City police department, the Department of Education and other partners to examine and reform school policing and discipline practices. The NYPD 11 and DOE have been very willing and able partners in 12 this work and we just want to commend them for being at the table and being a part of those 13 conversations. We support passage of both 14 Introduction number 730 which amends the student safety act and Introduction number 719 requiring the DOE to report on the ratio of school safety 17 officers to guidance counsellors in each schools. My testimony focuses briefly on two of the big 19 significant gaps in the acts, student safety acts current reporting requirements. First we heard from the previous panel about significant reductions in 22 summonses and arrests in schools. Those numbers reflect only summonses and arrests issued by the school safety division of the NYPD and not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

summonses and arrests made or issued by regular precinct or patrol officers. The act would remedy that limitation expanding the reporting to cover any NYPD employee who makes an arrest or issues a summons in a school. We think that's important because at present the act fails to capture this other entire universe of school based summonses and arrests so we have no data on those right now. Second in regards to the DOE's reporting the big gap there is that because of their privacy concerns there are a number of redactions in the data which make it really essentially impossible to calculate statistics around you know the number of students suspended, the number of students of color, the number of students with disabilities who are suspended, the number, what, like what, what infraction codes they're being suspended for, how long their suspensions are lasting. So the amendments that we are proposing today or the, the council is proposing would remedy that by one thing. They will aggregate the, the data that's reported at the school district and citywide levels. This is going to solve both the DOE's privacy concerns and the redaction concerns. When

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

you redact it, excuse me, when you aggregate the data into large numbers it's hard to tell individual student data from those numbers. So that's... the DOE's privacy concerns. And that is how that's going to work. We also support the passage of Intro 719 which would require the DOE to report to city council, the school by school ratio of SSOs to guidance counsellors. In our work on school climate leadership team we'd regularly hear from principals and school administrators about the importance of social workers, guidance counsellors, and school psychologists. So we'd encourage the, the council to consider including those ratios as well, school safety officers to social workers and school psychologists. And also really the very most important ratio is the staff to student right? We think this bill is important because we'll shed light on how the city chooses to allocate its resources between school safety officers and key personnel but ultimately you want to have a low counsellor, psychologist, social worker, to student ratio and we think learning what those ratios are in each school will be very important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you. Who's next? You can go.

ANNA BEAN: Okay cool. Hi, my name's Anna Bean. I'm the campaign coordinator with Teacher's Unite and we're a member of the Dignity in Schools Campaign New York and the student safety coalition. I'm actually reading a statement written by Drum South Asian Organizing Center. So these are not my words but I agree with them. The student safety act has been a pivotal piece of legislation that has contributed to greater transparency and accountability in the education and juvenile justice systems. The student safety act data has shown that the work of community based advocacy and legal organizations that led to win this policy to end the racial disparities and the policies and practices that negatively impact the lives of low income students of color is rooted in facts. However over the years we have seen how the act has shed greater light on these issues and have seen the limitations and loopholes of the reported data. Schools can bypass the reporting of required data by enacting the practices that have negative impacts on a student's ability to learn and feel

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

safe and supported in schools. For example schools are not required to report interactions with emergency medical services which many youth are referred to as a method of dealing with disciplinary issues and also does not require reporting of student interactions with NYPD officers like summons or arrests which all have negative implications on a student's education and life. While we need to pass the amended student safety act because it will further weaken the school to prison pipeline we need to question why the over 5,000 school safety agents are even under the authority and training of the NYPD. The school safety agents should be full employees of the New York City Department of Education as the more than 17 hundred schools are locations they are operating in. And yet the over 239 million dollar budget is automatically given to the NYPD for their authority over the SSAs. This money should stay in education just as SSAs should stay under the authority of our education system.

23

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

24

MATTHEW GULDIN: Alrighty, thank you.

25

Good afternoon Council Members. I am Matthew Guldin

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

former Dean of Students at East Side Community High School now serving as a school climate coach at the Bronx Studio School for Writers and Artists. I'm a member of Teachers Unite, a member organization of public school educators that works alongside students, parents, and advocates in the Dignity in Schools Campaign New York Chapter and the Student Safety Coalition. I'm here today to address the need for more transparency in the data around school climate and safety particularly on the issue of multiple suspensions for students. Data reports from the DOE currently include the number of suspensions issued at each school but tell us nothing about the students who are suspended more than once. The proposed amendments to the student safety act would include needed information about multiple suspensions at schools. The student safety coalition is asking for this change not to put schools on blast but to get critical information from the DOE which can effectively help schools deal with their challenges. It's hard work to create a safe carrying accountable school community. And with more complete information the city can better support schools, students, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

families that need it the most. And if we don't offer help we all know that the data shows that multiple suspensions in high school greatly increases the teenager's likelihood of dropping out of school, being on the street, and ending up in jail. I'll tell you about Junito, not his real name, a sensitive and intelligent kid who was involved in gang related activity on the street which sometimes spilled into the school in the form of defying authority, graffiti, and fighting. Of course we couldn't let those behaviors go unpunished and so Junito was suspended many times. We did offer counselling and family services through local CBOs and one of my assistant deans even tried being his big brother. But our efforts working alone fell short. In the end we didn't have the skills and resources to keep Junito in school and out of jail. If the DOE had highlighted those schools with multiple suspensions and again not in a gotcha sense sort of way they would have been more equipped to help us support us in our work with Junito. Perhaps they would have given us access to interagency services that we did not possess. Junito's road may have been altered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Furthermore it's not only the students whose needs will be addressed by such reporting. Some staff members in schools may be taking the easy way out by pushing for suspensions of a child rather than by engaging in the heart of work of adequately addressing his or her needs. Having the information on multiple suspensions can lead us to finding out which schools or school personnel need support to develop different approaches to teen and preteen misbehavior. Finally having the multiple suspension info may help us uncover where some of the ingrained racial prejudice in our system lies. We all know that 27 percent of our student population is African American and yet 54 percent of our suspensions are of African American young people. With the multiple suspension data on hand we will be able to look at our school suspension data objectively and see where some of our systems structural racism may lie. I thank you for listening and look forward to your questions. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

23

24

25

KATE MCDONOUGH: Good afternoon. My name is Kate McDonough. I'm the Director of Organizing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

at Girls for Gender Equity. We're an intergenerational grassroots organization based in Brooklyn. We do work citywide. We're also a member of the school climate working group. So for the past years our sister in strength youth organizers who are all high school aged young women of color have been conducting their own research on discipline practices in school and calling attention to how racial and gender stereotypes about young women of color, particularly black girls cause them to be uniquely and unfairly disciplined. I'm going to share you, share a story from one of our organizers, Saharan, who is a tenth grader at a New York City public high school in East New York. She couldn't be here earlier today because of commitments to class and also had commitments after school. So here's her story. In school I have to go through metal detectors so anything that disturbs or makes it go off is told to be taken off. There was a time I had my hair wrapped with bobby pins and it caused the machine to go off. I was told to remove the pins from my hair but I refused. I was threatened by being told

that my parent was going to be called but I didn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

care. The safety agents made it seem like I was doing something wrong or as if my hair being wrapped was dangerous. After refusing to undue her hair Saharan's mother was called, she missed her first period class, and furthermore Saharan now feels like she can't show up at school as her full self without being penalized. This is not an isolated incident. Many of our sister in strength youth organizers reported being punished simply for having their hair wrapped and being forced to choose between undoing their hair and getting to class on time. When schools are not required to report on the presence and use of metal detectors we fail to make the important connections between the harmful policies and practices and students dropping grades or school attendance. Girls for Gender Equity fully supports the amendments to the student safety act which will enable us to see where change is really needed within our school system, not just in the behavior of individual students but increasing positive adult supports and safety of their environments. Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very

25 much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JOHANNA MILLER: Can I have her extra 30 seconds? I'm just kidding. Johanna Miller, I'm the advocacy director at the New York Civil Liberties Union. We strongly support Intro 730 and 719. And as probably one of the leading experts on the operations, the successes and the failures and shortcomings of the school safety division we respectfully oppose Intro 65. And I'll talk a little bit about that. I just want to say I won't add to what everyone said, sort of the list of things we think these amendments, Intro 730 will do that is great. Everything in there is great. This is a bill that grew out of one of the most progressive and impactful measures our city has ever taken on school safety. I'm very fortunate right now to be working really closely with Brian Conroy, with Lois Herrera, with others. It's amazing to be at the table with them. They, they're great partners but we wouldn't be at that table if it wasn't for the student safety act. It is not an exaggeration to say that. So I think we need to take a deep breath when we hear the sort of institutional arguments that it's either too resource intensive or it's a safety danger or a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

privacy danger to collect this information because with those were the same things we heard in 2011. And all it has gotten us is good. Nobody's safety has been threatened. Nobody's institutional systems have been damaged. In fact we are the closest to having a functioning school safety system that we've been probably since '98 when the transfer happened. So I commend you for your sort of courage and looking at the big picture here and your colleague Council Member Dromm for sort of saying we need to get past... concerns we need to get past this phantom safety concern. Because the student safety acts has only ever done good and it will do good again if we can continue to improve it. One of the good things that we'll do is allow us to monitor progress as schools start to turn things around which I think with the recommendations from the leadership team we're going to see some turnaround but let's see it. At, earlier Vinny was up here and he mentioned that most of the reduction and suspensions has happened in schools that have had training on restorative practices. That in fact is a statistic that is not publically available and that has never been said before. But under these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

amendments that would be something that would be reported. So if you're wondering about the value of having school level reporting I think it's fairly self-explanatory just from that piece of information. Let me say quickly bout Intro 65... The school safety division is the fifth largest police force in the nation. They out, outnumber guidance counselors and other support services as has been stated you know by 50 percent. They have an enormous budget and a climate where budget dollars are enormously scarce and they're working really hard to improve their service to public schools right now to change how they operate, to change how they train. I believe that adding more to their plate right now could set that work back. And so I respectfully ask that we think about timing this if we're going to do it in a way that makes sense with some of the reforms that are happening and not in way that actually makes them more complicated to make happen. Right now the, finding the budget money to do this, finding extra school safety personnel to do this feels like an impossibility and feels like it's going to strain some really important work. I would also say presumably schools

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

that charge tuition do have a budget that includes things like paying for their rent, paying for their cafeteria, and paying for safety. And the fact as was stated by the people who testified earlier that none of them have budgeted adequately to get security services that they're happy with I think reflects the fact that they haven't prioritized that because there's isn't really that much of a need. School safety agents are not deterring terrorist plots. Nobody thinks they're doing that. They are hardworking men and women who we hope will offer support to students not sort of intervene and do some kind of diehard action movie thing. It's just not the reality of what's happening. So I would just urge some caution and some thoughtfulness in proceeding and particularly with some, some thought to the changes that are under, currently underway and are not solid yet at all. So sorry I went over but that was my 30 seconds.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Well thank you very... [laughter] have to laugh, thank you all. I appreciate it. I guess I just have one general question. Many of you are members and representatives of your organizations on the school

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

leadership climate team. So I appreciate you know the work that is being done. And I know a lot of it we're still in the working stages. But I am very, I guess I'm very optimistic because the mindset to me has been changed right? So the administration is now looking at school safety, at SSAs, and we're looking at to me in a more holistic way right? And so I appreciate a lot of the work that's been done. So I guess I would say to you that are on this leadership tea because you are the voices that can really have an impact on the change that's necessary do you think we're moving in the right direction and what other suggestions would you offer to the council that we should be mindful of moving forward with the budget season, with a lot of the work around restorative justice and B21 and all the other measures like what more could we do, be, what more could we be doing as a council to make this, you know to expedite a little bit of this if that makes sense. Anyone have any suggestions? How many of you are on the leadership team right now? AFC is on right? Nighclue [phonetic] is on, okay yes, okay. Any... Okay. Any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

suggestions or anything you think we should be looking at?

MATTHEW GULDIN: ...have a suggestion. And it, it's come up in the school climate working committee at, at some point but I, we would like money funded for pilot schools, 10 to 20 pilot schools that will do restorative justice, solely start making that transition be it the high need schools or schools that have already started with restorative justice practices, started on that transition role from zero tolerance to restorative care and community in their school. But that would, that takes money because you'd have to hire a restorative justice coordinator and that's a full salary. And then you'd have to have money set aside for trainings and all of that. So that is you know 150 thousand, 200 thousand per school. But you want pilots to focus in on that where everyone is committed. And we've written, in some of our earlier work we've written an RFP for that and we share that in conjunction with the DOE with Lois Herrera and Company.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Anyone else?

25 Johanna?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JOHANNA MILLER: I think to the extent that we're talking about funding one of the things that we've heard a lot from administrators is that the grant will come for a year or two years and then it dries up and they're on to the next program. And so I think to the extent that the council has control over funding making that funding stream consistent and making it have some evaluative component feels really important and could be really impactful and convincing principals to invest in something that is a lot of work as, you know Matt said it really well it's harder work but it's better work. And so I think knowing that that funding stream is not going to dry up or there will, it will continue to come and they'll continue to get support feels really impactful.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And I appreciate a lot of the work that has been done to get us to this point. The student safety act passed in 2011 was an incredible step of progress and now with student safety act 2.0 I'm very excited but I certainly encourage you all as you have been to continue to work with us because 730 719 to me are just steps in terms of more data, more reporting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

because we really know what the numbers will tell us but now we need to see it on paper. And some of the challenges under federal reg and other things that DOE and NYPD expressed. I mean I, I agree too. I don't think there is anything wrong with more reporting. I am a little disappointed at some of the data that's not being recorded right now in terms of the number of schools with metal detectors and the criteria we use on implementation and removal and the rotating ones that go from school to school, very concerned about that. I know many of us have talked about a plan of action to pilot a phase out because many schools may not need them right now. So I think a lot of that stuff we really need to look at and it has not been looked at for quite some time. So I know you all agree and I thank you. And if you have questions... okay. I'll go to my, my Co-Chair, Chair Deutsch:

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Thank you. This question is for Johanna. So just two questions. One is you mentioned that school safety agents on, shouldn't take, shouldn't take die hard actions.

When we rely on the NYPD or the fire, firefighters or EMS they are the professionals. We need to leave

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

you know the, any type of criminal activity or what the jobs are for we need to leave it to the professionals. So when you talk about school safety agents we just had the administration here, they did say that they have training, individuals in schools don't have the type of training. So yes they are hardworking people and they, you know we need to leave it to the professionals. So yes if school safety agents are protecting our schools we need to rely on them to protect our schools. That's number one. Number two is that you also mentioned that there's not enough of school safety agents. Well I didn't question the administration but just like every agency you probably do have people in school safety headquarters that are doing clerical work, there are school safety agents. So if they replace them and bring out the school safety agents in the schools then you might have a substantial amount of more, of additional school safety agents to be at the schools and not sit in the office do clerical work. So I just wanted to bring out those two points.

JOHANNA MILLER: Can I, is that okay if

25 | I respond?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Of course.

JOHANNA MILLER: Okay. I couldn't agree with you more. We, in emergency situations, school, school safety, anybody involved they're calling 9-1-1, they're calling the precinct. School safety agents are trained but only for 15 weeks. They don't go to the police academy. Their training has a lot, they are trained for two days on the school discipline code. They are trained for a couple days on restorative practices. Those things are really important for their responsibilities but it doesn't make them the same as a police officer. So I just want to be sure that like I, I don't disagree with you. We should love those emergency response to professionals who are trained. School safety can do some interventions but I don't want us to look to them to provide a service that they aren't ready to provide or, or equip to provide. And I think you said it yourself earlier you know you said you know before now we hadn't really thought too much about what the role is or what the purpose is of school safety. To me that, that's very loud and clear. I mean these, these school safety agents have been in schools for 17 years and nobody has thought about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

their role, their purpose, their training until now. And if that discussion has to happen because private schools are, are interested in having school safety agents that's great, we welcome that discussion but that discussion needs to happen and it can't just be an extension of a system that's already not perfect to include other kids in that system. This is a system that has backfired really badly on teachers and on kids in really harmful ways. And so expanding it before we work that out just feels like the wrong thing for, for those kids. On your other point I have to go on record to say I did not say there are not enough school safety agents. There are more than enough school safety agents. There are so, there are, the school safety division is the fifth largest police force standing alone in the country. There are enough school safety agents. How they're deployed, how they're trained and what the school safety division does with its budget is what I was raising a question about and whether sort of adding requests that they have to answer to that list is the right way for them to sort out those issues. I have questions about that. And I have questions about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

whether that would mean that they sacrifice some of the training and the additional supervision that we want to see for the million kids who have already been dealing with a somewhat broken school safety system for 17 years.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Well first of all given what's, what's been going around the world and especially also here in the United States that is what's bringing up the issue of school safety agents also for non-public schools. It's not just something that came up. You had hundreds of people that were killed in, in terrorist activity and people that came into schools and just active shooters. So that is what brought up speaking about Intro 65. Secondly any agency you look at, I did meet a traffic officer who wrote a, was a writing a bogus summons. When I questioned him he said, he just ignored me. But when a supervisor did come down it was a bogus summons and they only have a week training. That's what the guy told me. So yes NYPD is being retrained in certain things. Everyone needs more training. And yes school safety agents maybe they need more training on protecting the schools. So I agree with, with that that they do,

2.1

that do need more training. But in additional I think no, at the end of the day we have 1.1 million public school children and 265 thousand non-public school children and, and they all deserve the protection. I'm there for everyone. I'm not saying that we should take school safety agents and put them for non-public schools and forget about the public schools. I support teachers. I support children. I support our school children. I go around to all my schools in my district. My first order of business before I got elected was visiting all my public schools in my district. And I do support all the school children in our city. So thank you for that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Thank you Chair Deutsch. And thank you to each of you for being here. I appreciate your testimony. Thank you for the work you do and I'm looking forward to working with all of you. Thank you again. Next we're going to have a panel of students and advocates, yes. To all of my young people who have been patiently waiting I appreciate you. Thank you thank you thank you so much. I'm going to call all of you up together, those that signed up. So I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

going to start with Matthew Evans from Future of Tomorrow and Urban Youth Collaborative Dignity in All Schools, Carlos Suarrow [phonetic] from Sisters and Brothers United Urban Youth Collaborative, Perla Cristae Ramos [phonetic] Sisters and Brothers United, Juditza Gigel [phonetic] from Urban Youth Collaborative and Make the Road, Christine Rodriguez from Make the Road and Urban Youth Collaborative, Latrell [phonetic] Stone from Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice Urban Youth Collaborative, Onyx Walker from Future of Tomorrow Urban Youth Collaborative, and Dianna Zaromeno [phonetic] are you here? I'm going to call the names one more time. I got Matthew Evans you're here? Carlos Suarrow are you here? Perla? Okay. Juditza? You here. Christine? Latrell? Onyx? Dianna? Dianna's not here? Oh okay, Julian. Okay great. Julian I just need you to fill out a slip okay just for the record? Okay? Okay, oh great thank you. Okay. And if any of you has any testimony that you want me to read please make sure we, we get it at the front. Okay. Okay. Okay so we're going to start with, to my furthest, to my,

my right and your left my sister, we're going to

1

2

3

start with you. You're first up. Let's go. And make sure the red light is on so you can speak directly into the microphone.

4

5 PERLA RAMOS

PERLA RAMOS: [speaking Spanish]

6

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [speaking Spanish]

7

CARLOS SUARROW: Should I start?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You can go thank

9 you.

CARLOS SUARROW: Good afternoon. My name is Carlos Suarrow. I'm a student at... High School in the Bronx and a youth leader at Sisters and Brothers United. ... National I've seen conflicts that could be resolved with peer meditation and other restorative practices. Instead, instead it's, it ends up with students in handcuffs and... arrest... I know that treating student this way doesn't solve the, anything. ...students... getting the student safety act amendment so that we get, so that we could get information on handcuff and really have an impact because he can show how many of us are impacted by the use restraints and the need to change this policy. This year we started the BC leadership council coordinated by Sisters and Brothers United. It brings together students from...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

National, Bronx Academy for Software Engineering, and High School for Technology and energy to promote restorative justice to deal with conflict. We're having inter, interschool circle to talk about issues affecting students lives like violence, bullying, and other topics. We're also trying to strengthen the relationships between the students and teachers... student and teacher say circles. I think the ... really have a positive impact in a school culture and we need to put more resources into this kinds of progress. But I am concerned because we don't have resources this kinds of problem but the city council's considering a bill that will mean we have to put more money into school police officers. The priority is to create a safe and supportive environments for students then we need to invest money in this program and not more in, not more police in school. Having more detailed data in the student safety act can really help show that schools need resources for positive support to deal with the conflicts like restorative justice. When it is amendments to the student safety act because need our schools to

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

be safe and comfortable in a comfortable place for our students.

4 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very 5 much. Thank you Carlos.

LATRELL STONE: Hello. My name is Latrell Stone. I am 17 years old. I attend East Bronx Academy and I'm here today representing Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice and the Urban Youth Collaborative. For the past five years of my school I seen many students get suspended especially for minor B21 infractions. This puts students on the path to the school to prison pipeline because of the high rates of suspensions and disengages so many students from learning. This continues students on a destructive path where they are pushed out of schools and are more likely to end up in prisons. Just a few weeks ago one of my fellow classmates was suspended for refusing his hand his phone over to the teacher. The teacher asked for the phone and the student refused to but instead offered to put the phone back into his pocket. The teacher however kept pressuring the student to hand his phone over and after a few minutes that student was suspended. So now many

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

students hand in their phones in fear of getting suspended for something that is very little. There have been many times I wanted to stand for my peers and say this whole discipline system is wrong but I fear... get suspended for defying authority. Please to the city council the student safety act needs to be passed because we need, we need to know how many students are being suspended multiple times so we can understand that it's not effective. East Bronx Academy has realized that suspensions aren't working and after having one of the highest suspension rates in the city my school is working on reducing suspensions. We won't know the impact of the school to prison pipeline on black and Latino students until we know all of the data. The same students are being suspended over and over again. We don't need more school police and metal detectors. We need guidance counsellors, social workers, and... and restorative justice. We spend 30, 300 million dollars on policing, on policing us and only one million dollars on restorative justice. That's 300 dollars per student for policy that, for policies that end up pushing us out and... person, and for policies that would keep us in school. We

2.1

should not, we should not be considering putting even more money into policing. We could end this pipeline now passing the student safety act can change NYC public schools and give students like me a better chance at getting into college and succeeding in life.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you Latrell. I hope it's okay I call you guys by your first name. It's okay right? Okay. Okay next.

CHRISTINE RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Christine Rodriguez. I am 17 years old senior at the Bushwick School for Social Justice.

I'm a youth leader at Make the Road New York as well as the Urban Youth Collaborative. Today we have come together to advocate for a stronger student safety act so that we can have all the, all the data we need to address school discipline practices across the city, especially the disparities. In 2011 we were able to pass the student safety act which for the first time made public what we already knew that too many black and Latino youth were being pushed out of schools through arrests and suspensions for minor behavior.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The true impact of the school to prison pipeline on black and Latino students won't be known until we know all of the data. There are hundred, hundreds of students that have been arrested in schools that is not currently capturing the data. We also do not have access to data showing when students are suspended multiple times. And students as young as five years, five years old are handcuffed inside of schools. This amendment will change that. The, this data is important in making policy changes. So we deserve to see better and stronger data in order to see better and stronger policies to support students like eliminating suspension for... authority which is the number one reason why students are getting suspended. There are already schools that are investing in restorative justice approaches that are refusing to suspend students for minor behavior like insubordination right here in New York City. These schools have created a safe environment while keeping students in school. Speaking as a youth who has attended New York Public, New York City public schools my whole life I want to say how important it is to hear directly from us, the students. We live the impact of these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

policies every day. We know what works and what doesn't. Now that I am part of the mayoral leadership team on school climate and discipline I am committed in bringing solutions we know work to the table. Making this amendment to the safety, the... sorry, to the student safety act is another important step to the right direction. But we need to push ourselves to do more to stop pushing school, students out of school and investing in their future. In New York City 300 million dollars are being spent to police and criminalize students while one million dollars is invested in restorative justice. What does this tell us about how the city decides to invest in students and our education. More money is spent on police than guidance counsellor and social worker especially in schools like mines. Investing in restorative justice is investing in our New York City scholars. In order to take a big step in ending the school to prison pipeline in our New York City schools we must strengthen the student safety act and invest in restorative justice today.

24 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you

25 | Christine. Next.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JERITZA GIGLUM: Good afternoon. My name is Jeritza Giglum [phonetic]. I'm an organizer with Make the Road New York and the Urban Youth Collaborative as well as with Dignity in Schools New York Chapter. I was 16 years old when we started organizing to pass the student safety act. That was eight years ago. As young black and Latino and Latina students we were fighting for people to recognize that we were pushed out of our school through suspension summonses and arrests. In 2010 the city council passed the student safety act and in 2011 it was signed into law. It was important for us to get the data that proved that we were being over policed, criminalized in our schools. Getting the data was a stepping stone in the right direction to create new policies in a system of accountabilily [phonetic] accountability, excuse me grounded in positive approaches to school discipline. We acknowledge that the city is going in the right direction and that over the last year suspensions and arrests have gone down. As we continue to move in the right direction it is imperative that we pass the new amendments because we're still seeing major disparities with black and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Latino students and students with disabilities being impacted the most. We know that there are still hundreds of students being arrested by police called into school and we're not seeing the data. We need to be able to see the full picture of what's happening inside our schools to make the changes happen. The amendments to the student safety act will also provide us with presence and use of metal detectors in all schools, the numbers of students receiving multiple suspensions, the use of restraints on students, and referrals to EMS because of discipline or behavioral issues and incidents involving police and students. While getting access to the data we will still definitely maintain student privacy. I've had to see and live the impact of these policies for years. Two different high schools, pushed out of my first high school, and my second two years I spent my mornings waiting in line to go through metal detectors with safety agents yelling in my face. I have watched my classmate get punched in the face after being handcuffed while another agent held her in place. After graduating I returned to my community school to organize young people from my old high school. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

witnessed a young black man handcuffed with his pants at his ankles, tears rolling down his face after making him walk through the hallways with his pants at his ankles while the cuffs left abrasions on his arms. I can only imagine the humiliation that he felt and all the adults that failed to see him. It was even more upsetting to look into the eyes of one of my youth leaders, an openly gay afro-Latino young man with an IEP and have him tell me the fear and the anger he felt at being surrounded by safety agents and cops handcuffed and forced into an ambulance to be sent to the hospital for a psych eval after a verbal incident with another student. As you can see after eight years the stories haven't changed and the students who have been failed by the structural racism of policies and practices left in place haven't changed either. The system is failing our black and brown boy, young men and women. It is failing our LGBTQ students and our students with special needs. We can't continue to criminalize black and brown behavior. We have to access this data to truly understand the impact of the school to prison pipeline on black and Latino and LGBTQ students in

2.1

our schools if we want to create real change in our young peoples' lives and for New York City to lead in way of transforming our education system to support all students to succeed. And for the record we are not in support of Intro 25 because why would invest more public dollars for more cops in schools for private institutions when we are trying to shift an over police culture in our public schools. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you Jeritza.

I think it's Intro 65 just to make sure. Okay,
thank you.

ONYX WALKER: Hi guys, I mean good evening. My name is Onyx Walker and I'm a youth leader with Future of Tomorrow and the Urban Youth Collaborative and I attend the Franklin K Lane Campus High School. So I have a story for you guys. I lost my metro card during the last week of summer school. To get to school the next day I had to borrow my friend's metro card who was finished with his regents that same week. It was the last day of summer school and they were not issuing new metro cards. Now I needed one to get back to get myself in my locker because I had gym for summer school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

When I got to the train station after picking up my things I went to school, had a fine time, and then when I got back and I left the train station I was stopped by a police officer. He asked me how did I get onto the train. I told him I swiped the school metro card. He then asked to see the metro card. He asked why did I have this metro card, summer school was over. And then I said today was actually the last day of summer school and had to return to retrieve my belongings. He then asked me for my information and I gave him my school ID. The officer then told me he could give me a ticket for using someone else's metro card when I qualified for a free metro card. So I gave him it and then he returned my ID and raped his hand signaling, signaling me to leave. Since that was the last day of summer school and many students get on the same train daily I was curious as to why he actually stopped me in the first place so I asked him why. He responded what and I said again why did you stop me. He then furiously told me now I deserve the ticket. He gave me the ticket because I asked why I was being stopped. So then I tried to get on the next train and decided I'll leave it alone but he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

and his partner blocked me from getting on the train. They told me to leave the train station or I would be arrested next. So I was kicked out of the train station in front of a bunch of other students and other kids. It was kind of embarrassing and insulting all at the same time and was it reported to the school or to the Department of Education probably not. I just was trying to get home and why are cops waiting for students outside of their schools to hand out summons and tickets. Hundreds of black and Latino students are constantly policed in their communities on the way to and from school. And sadly policed in the school as well. In no way did I feel safe with this cop. And he only raised more concern with me even feeling safe with cops inside of my school as well. We currently only know how many students are arrested and given summons by student safety agents in schools. We need the data on all the interactions with police and students in schools. We know that black and Latino students are primarily affected from the school to prison pipeline but we will never know the full impact without all of the data. Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Thank

3 you Onyx. You're up Julian.

MATTHEW EVANS: Julian?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: No you're not

6 Julian. I'm sorry.

MATTHEW EVANS: Matthew.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You're Matthew yeah sorry. Julian's my dad's name. That's why. I'm sorry.

My name is Matthew Evans and I'm a youth leader with Future of Tomorrow and a Urban Youth Collaborative. I attend the Franklin K Lane Campus. This June I will graduate and I'll be attending SUNY Cobleskill College this fall. Every day for the past four years I walked into my school and I was greeted with a line. When I finally get into the school doors I'm face to face with several school safety agents and three metal detectors. I rarely hear, heard a good morning. I was most likely to hear remove your items in your pockets, take off your boots and step aside to get wanded down. Not, it was not always the best way to be greeted in the morning. Especially when you're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

being slowed down to get to your first period by the line in the metal detectors. The metal detectors cause flare ups, items being thrown away. Mix ups of belongings and rushing hundreds of students through the metal detectors. I got so accustomed to taking my belt off during scanning I always forgot to put it on before, after the end of the day. School officers, school safety officers, metal detectors, scanning machines. These things are criminalizing our innocent youth. Why does walking into school feel like walking into Ryker's Island? When I, I wish to see the day when students can enter my school with having, waiting in a line. I look forward to the day when students walking into my school seeing teachers, principals, and any other staff person at school saying good morning and wishing them a productive and successful day. I want to see the day when student keep their belts on, their boots on, any items in their pocket before going to school. Hopefully the future students of my high school can get a well-deserved education without being criminalized. I came to city hall last month for a hearing. Me and my friends went through the metal detectors just like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

all of you today. We started taking off our belts and my friend Onyx removed his boots. The guard said whoa keep your shoes on keep, and, and your belts. We're not the TSA. They started to laugh. Of course they meant no harm but little did they know students at my school and other schools with hundreds of students had to take off their belts and boots daily to get our education. We are over policed and criminalized in our schools. It doesn't make me feel any safer in school. We don't need no more school safety agents. We need guidance counsellors. We need social workers, student success centers, most importantly support. The power is in your hands to either support the school to prison pipeline or end the school to prison pipeline. The choice is yours.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Julian you fooled me. You went over there that's why I didn't see you. Thank you Matthew that was a great way to close. Thank you. I appreciate it. Julian you're up.

JULIAN: Sorry Ms. Gibson. Okay. I'm here today representing Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice and Urban Youth Collaborative. Today I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

want to share with you all my experience at one of the worst managed schools in the Bronx. Just being in the hallways in, in my school often... incidents with the SSA. I know we, we call them school safety agents but sometimes fear is the first thing that comes to mind when we see uniforms. On various occasions officers have falsely accused myself and students of trespassing even if you were simply walking to the bathroom or using free periods to tutoring and seeing guidance counsellors. I know experience... not unique because 5,500 cops are in New York City public schools handling students compared to over 3,200 guidance counsellors receiving only 1.2 million on restorative justice yet 300 million is spent on policing. A majority of us come from disadvantaged neighborhoods and disabilities and identifying as LGBTQ as well. This makes it even harder for us to not end up in the school to prison pipeline and we stand in long lines waiting to go through metal detectors to enter school. We spend over 300 times more money to... even though it's been proven by the school to prison pipeline that suspensions over ineffective because it does not go, get to the root of the

2.1

cause of the issue. This keeps students in a cycle that shuts them down from learning making it more likely for them to end, end up incarcerated and off the path towards higher learning. The department of education needs to fund more money or restorative justice practices to schools to provide students with emotional support essential guidance, college readiness and alternatives from zero tolerance. I demand that the DOE and the mayor take back the power from the NYPD to turn out schools back into safe havens and to provide support and education that our students need for bright and successful futures. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Questions? Thank
you guys. That was awesome. I appreciate it. Each
of you has shared a story or a personal experience
as students in our public school system. And now
being advocates many of you are on the school
leadership climate team which I'm very happy to
hear the work that we're doing yes will affect you
and it's important that your voice is heard. So I
appreciate that our students are at the table and
that you're really talking about a lot of the
issues. We don't all claim to know it all and I was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

educated in public schools but that was just a couple years ago, not that long ago, but things have changed. And so we need your voices to be heard and I continue to encourage you to talk to your fellow students and others about the work that's being done and how it's going to impact their future. And I agree with everything that's been said. I just have one question that I will throw out at the panel whoever wants to catch it is fine. With a lot of the restorative justice and some of the initiatives and other work that is being done do you think that we are moving in the right direction? And also what would you suggest as council members that represent, I represent many of the schools in the Bronx, some that you described, the East Bronx Academy on Southern Boulevard and some of the other schools in district nine, so what do you think we can do more to really make a difference and make a dent in a lot of the statistics and data that we know exist right now? Does anyone want to answer?

JURITZA GIGEL: I would say yes we are going in the right direction. We need to go further in order to have even more of an impact in our

2.1

young peoples' lives ending racist policies that clearly target black and brown young men and women like B21 and getting rid of it and not doing oversights of that policy would be great. And then addressing the issue of handcuffing not just for 12 and under but also our older young people. They should not, you know they are, have been a part of this oppressive system and...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Mm-hmm.

JURITZA GIGEL: ...handcuffing is clearly not the way to deal with our young folks. We need more resources and funding geared to restorative justice and positive and alternatives to discipline and positive approaches. So that's definitely different ways that we can be going further.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. How many of you have been issued a summons before? Have any of you been arrested? Suspended? Oh okay wow, okay, okay. And I have some, hands in the audience too.

So again I know you speak from experience because you know this is what we are trying to avoid right? So a lot of the conversation I've said has to be a refocus and a cultural shift and now more preventative and not reactionary. So the current

2.1

climate we have now there about 5,000 school safety agents and 17 hundred or so school buildings in our city. So we know that there is a roll and there is value in school safety agents right? We can all agree on that. But how they do their work is the question at hand. Can any of you give me an experience or any infraction where a school safety agent deescalated a situation that could have arose to an arrest or suspension or the local precinct being involved? Is there anything that you could think of where an SSA has done a good job that we could use as an example? Right. So I, I know we've heard a lot of bad but I want to hear just maybe one good story where an SSA did something good to deescalate a situation. Matthew, yes?

MATTHEW EVANS: Well this is SSA Ms. Washington in our... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Mm-hmm.

MATTHEW EVANS: I think it was about two years ago when I was in 10th grade. I was in geometry class right. You know I'm not a good math whiz so I wasn't, I wasn't doing the work properly so I asked a student and you know he's being a know-it-all, he's like oh I'm better than you, I

	281
1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	know this and I know that. I'm like why you got to
3	act like that. So I guess the arguing escalated
4	into a fight and then Ms. Washington the SSA broke
5	it up. She sat us down and we, she just deescalated
6	the fight.
7	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
8	MATTHEW EVANS: She, she used kind words
9	and she spoke to us instead of handcuffing us.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. And that,
11	that was a good thing right?
12	MATTHEW EVANS: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Because that could
14	have escalated out of control.
15	MATTHEW EVANS: Yeah
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
17	MATTHEW EVANS:could have got hurt.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So no and I'm, I'm,
19	the reason why I ask the question is because we
20	know a lot of the challenges we face. We know some
21	of the stories that reach the media but we know all
22	of the stories that don't make it to the paper. And
23	so what we're trying to do and in the leadership
24	team we're trying to look at ways in which the
25	training of these SSAs ca be better where there can

2.1

be more resources where there are medical professionals, school guidance counsellors, and social workers, and psychiatrists that are on site to deescalate these situations so we don't call the precinct. We don't all 9-1-1 and we don't take that student to the emergency room. I mean that to me has to be an absolute last resort after we have exhausted everything else right? So I appreciate that. Does anyone have any other stories that they want to share with the council? Okay. Okay well let me... I'm going to turn this over to my chair Chair Deutsch and we also have a question from oh Council Member Greenfield.

very much Madam Chair. First of all I want to thank all of you for coming out here. I want you to know how important it is to get your perspective as council members. As Chair Gibson mentioned she is very young incidentally so she had just graduated from school but we are not in school anymore so you provide an invaluable perspective. I, I will just tell you, and I think it's important to know is that you know if you could see chairing this hearing is Chair Gibson. She's the first African

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

American and first woman who chairs the public safety committee in the history of New York City. She's a strong fighter on your behalf. She cares passionately about these issues and obviously it's her legislation that we're considering today. So you have many friends and allies. In case you could not tell just so that you know I'm an Orthodox Jew, you see the Yamaka on my head, the beard. I represent Borough Park in Midwood. I do not represent a lot of children who go to public schools. Most of my children go to non-public schools but hearing your stories today I want you to know that I support you and I certainly appreciate the points that you're making and I think we do have to make improvements and reforms and I think that the stories that you brought here today are very powerful. So I want to thank you for that and I just want you to know that we appreciate it. Just want to clarify one misconception perhaps and that is that Intro 65 which we discussed before would actually not apply to public schools. We're not trying to put more school safety agents in public schools. It's really the non-public schools currently that don't have any security. And that's

2.1

why they want it. So I just, once again I want to thank you for your testimony. I want you to know that we're hearing you loud and clear. And I'm actually just curious about this because I'm, really don't know. Is there any way for you to give feedback on the school safety agent if you're unhappy with your experience. And it seems like some of you were unhappy and for the fellow in the corner just so that you know I wasn't very good at math and I did okay so don't worry about it. Is there, is there any way you can give feedback on school safety agents or does not, does that process not exist?

JURITZA GIGEL: No there's no process of accountability. There's no way to hold school safety agents accountable right now unfortunately. And there's no way to, for, in terms of like the students actually giving feedback about SSAs in schools no that's not happening.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Alright. So

I appreciate that. I would certainly love chair to
look at that process to see if there might be some
sort of way where we could actually allow students
to provide feedback if not parents to provide

2.1

feedback on interactions with the SSAs because it sounds like from what you're saying there are some good SSAs but there are a lot of them who are not so good and certainly not being respectful. And that's... that's certainly is unacceptable. And so I want you to know that we're listening. We're here. We appreciate your testimony. And you certainly have our support especially under the great leadership of Chair Vanessa Gibson. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Thank you very much Council Member Greenfield. I appreciate it. I appreciate your words. I didn't tell him to say that, I promise. I just wanted to make sure that I understood correctly. I think some of you talked about metal detectors but a lot of the challenges we have with them. So in the leadership climate team we're looking at metal detectors, we're asking for more reporting on where they are, the permanent one, the temporary ones, the ones that are roaming. Because we have metal detectors that rotate throughout a district in, in the city. So we're looking at more of that information but for us as elected officials we have so many schools that are collocated with multiple

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

schools, thousands of kids. And we have some principals that think they're a safe haven. And we have some principals that don't want them anymore. So we are in a midst again. We're having a lot of conversation about a possible pilot to phase some out. But we have to look at the criteria by which they were there in the first place. And that assessment has not been done for quite some time. So I just wanted to know if any of you had any suggestions or any thoughts because many of you are at schools where there are metal detectors right? They were put there for a reason. Now in terms of the crime in your schools have you seen witness yourself crime going down because of the metal detectors or have you seen crime getting even worse or has it been flat with the metal detectors in place. So you two at Franklin K Lane right, they have metal detectors right? So have you seen crime decrease or the same or you're not sure?

MATTHEW EVANS: There wasn't much crime when I got there I guess but the metal detectors certainly didn't like help bring it down. Like I don't really think it had much of an effect. Most of the effects that it had were kind of I should

2.1

say smaller such as... Like it wouldn't be smaller it would how should say lead to something else rather than the actual crime happening, it would lead to like a suspension because you're late or something like that.

7 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Mm-hmm. Okay. Did 8 you want to add?

ONYX WALKER: Those metal detectors at our, that's in our school well I remember, I remember they were, they are not being there at a certain time but they've been there ever since back back way back in the day when Franklin K Lane used to be one school like I think like in the 80s or 70s. So they've been there forever and they need... I guess there's hasn't been a lot of crime you know. They're just standing there. They just, they just, they just standing there they're, they're a obstacle, they're making us late so... There's not really crime in our school. There's just leading to suspensions for no reason.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Did anyone else want to add? Okay. Chair.

CO-CHAIRPERSON DEUTSCH: Yeah thank you Chair. I just want to mention that you know in the

2.1

council we're pushing for body cameras to NYPD officers and I would consider also pushing since school safety agents are, do make arrests, they do give out, issue summonses so, and they also fall under the NYPD so this is something that we need to push for and you know legislatively this is something I'm going to look into to make sure that when we talk about the NYPD using body cameras school safety agents should be in the same category. So I want to thank you all for being here.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you again everyone for being here. We appreciate your presence. Thank you for your patience, your testimony, and we look forward to working with you and know that you have a voice. I as well as my colleagues we are here to be your partners in this effort and we want to make sure that as we look into school climate, disciplinary changes, restorative justice, additional reporting that we make sure that our students are, voices are heard. So we thank you so much for your patience and thank you for being here this afternoon. Thank you. God bless you. Thank you. Alright thank you guys. Thank

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

you again. Ready? Oh really? Okay. Our next panel that we will call forward is Zakiyah Ansari from the Alliance for Quality Education, Dasia Reed from the Children's Defense fund, Nancy Ginsburg and Cara Chambers from the Legal Aid Society, Vanessa Ramos from the Committee for Hispanic Children and Families, Karen Farces from the families and criminal and family court involved students, Nick Petra from Make the Road New York. Are you all here? Okay let me just call the names again. Okay so... Zakiyah here, Dasia... Dasia may have left okay, Nancy Ginsburg and Cara, okay, Vanessa Ramos, oh yeah I saw her earlier? Karen? Karen Farces, okay she's not here. And Nick Petra? Nelson Marr? I saw Nelson, he's gone too? Okay. Tara Foster from legal services NYC? Amber Decker are you here? Because they're different? Okay Tara Foster again. Sara Fajardo from Coalition for Asian American Children and Families? Okay. So what happens when they're not here? Okay are you guys already. Is, Zakiyah you may start us off. Thank you again for being here. Thank you for being patient to everyone.

ZAKIYAH ANSARI: So good evening. My name is Zakiyah Ansari. I'm the advocacy director

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

for the Alliance for Quality Education. Today we are here to present our full support for bill, Intro 719 requiring the Department of Education to report ratios of school safety officers to guidance counselors school by school. AQE also wants to acknowledge support for Intro 730 which would add another layer of reporting to the school safety act. These two bills we believe move us in the right direction. They move us towards a more accountable and transparent department of education. Thanks to a reporting bill passed recently we are finally able to capture the lack of services specifically the starling ratio of guidance counsellors per student. This is in part how we begin to hone in on disparity and address achievement gaps. This new bill would focus on the ratio of safety officers to guidance counsellors giving us a more comprehensive understanding of what the department is or should be prioritizing in our communities. Similarly Intro 730 amends the student safety act to strengthen data transparency to ensure all stakeholders have the full picture of the impact of our school discipline policies in our communities. We know that so much police activity

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

in our school goes under reported and we need to enact policies that dismantle the school to prison pipeline. Lastly we are disheartened by the city council's almost unanimous support of Intro 65. This is not the direction we believe a progressive city should be taking at the state level for the second year we have had to... off a bill that threatened to syphon hundreds of millions of public dollars for private religious institutions through a tax credit. It's shocking that New York City is also moving in that direction. Increasingly there seems to be these blurred lines of separation of church and state. And under a progressive mayor our progressive city council we must not allow public dollars to continue to be diverted away from our struggling public institutions like our schools, libraries, and parks and into the hands of private religious institutions and charter schools which already receive free rent or New York City taxpayers cover their rent. We must prioritize our public education system that's strapped for resources. Many schools are faced with one guidance counsellor per 500 or more students and here we are thinking about footing the bill for private

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

religious school safety agents. It was clear from the state, statements from the students stories that I've heard over and over again that we haven't even gotten it right in public schools and the, the need for more school safety agents is not the issue. So what exactly is the financial cost for the city? More importantly what will be the psychological cost for children in those schools by increasing the number of safety agents? Is there some special force of officers being trained different from the ones who are already in our public schools or will we see an increase in suspensions and summonses to students in those schools as well? Will they be under the same monitoring oversight? Are private and parochial under the same discipline code? Like there's tons of questions that need to be answered. And I think before we pass this bill or resolution those questions need to be addressed. I was just here last month testifying in support of resolution by the city council to support campaign for fiscal equity and demand... the state fully funded. I have to admit I was a bit shocked by the overwhelming majority of city council members who signed off on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

this resolution. And I'll close by saying this... As I just was in Staten Island yesterday sending off dozens of, of marchers to, who are marching to DC for the march to justice planning to walk 250 miles to DC to send a message and take demands to our congress around police accountability there are many of these city council members who were there yesterday talking very passionately about the, that they support this march and the need for police accountability. And after listening to these students. And after coming... three month budget fight that hasn't, it's not over yet and knowing that how scarce and precious our public dollars are that this is something we really need to look at. And it starts off as this is what everybody needs and then next time what is, what else is it that people will need for, from our public dollars. And at the same time we are the first ones to want to talk about how our public schools are failing. Our public dollars are precious. We need to make sure that we hold onto them. Absolutely every child deserves to safe but our public dollars if I make a choice as a parent of eight and a grandmother of three to send my child to a public school or a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

private school then I am, I understand where I'm sending them to. And my dollars pay for that and my public dollars pay for other things. So I hope that we will, we're in full support of the 719 ad 730 but not Intro 65. Our public dollars are really precious to us.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you.

NANCY GINSBURG: Good evening. My name is Nancy Ginsburg. I'm testifying for the Legal Aid Society. We have submitted lengthy written testimony. I am not going to read it. I'd like to respond to some of the things that were said today. First I would like to raise something that the DOE said which they have been saying since I've been testifying on this issue since the earth cooled that when a crime has been committed it's an NYPD decision and that they have nothing to do with that, that's simply not true. That is the position that they have historically taken and they certainly have taken that position since the NYPD has entered the school. But there are many instances where school personnel try to convince school safety agents that they don't want action

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

taken against students and those policies could be changed and the DOE from Tweed down could change that policy and they have chosen not to and we would urge the city council to urge the DOE leadership to change that position. Another issue that came up, there's been lots of discussion about scanning. It's a big issue. It is a hot point, a flash point for conflict in the schools. I would submit that most schools don't even follow the chancellor's regulation on scanning neither the school personnel nor the SSAs follow that regulation. I would urge the council to urge both agencies to follow that regulation in addition to all of the requests that were made today. As far as the issue about holding agents accountable, this is a very very big issue. I, we are very encouraged by the current administration and by the current NYPD and the current DOE and the changes that they have made. They are moving a very large force of agents trained in one way and pushing them to act in a different way. But many of those agents because of the years and years of training and instruction they received in the past are having trouble making this transition. And we would urge once again the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

council to hold the NYPD and school safety accountable to hold their agents accountable. And in the case that was addressed earlier today in Park Slope Collegiate if, if agents saw that their fellow agents were being held accountable for behavior that was not acceptable that behavior would decrease. But because we very rarely if ever see accountability in those situations, and I do think that those incidents, those agents are in the minority and many many agents are trying to do their best to work with these kids. But we do think that there are a number of agents that pose a consistent problem in a number of schools and school safety and NYPD know who those agents are. And because there is no accountability there's very little movement to improve those practices.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you.

CARA CHAMBERS: Good evening I'm Cara
Chambers, also from the Legal Aid Society. And I'm
just adding a bit to the comments that my colleague
Nancy has made. I wanted to speak briefly about
Intro 719 requiring the Department of Education to
report on the ratio of school safety officers to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

guidance counsellors. We strongly support that. And we also recommend that the bill require reporting on the ratio of school safety officers to school social workers and guidance counsellors as well. All of those professionals can play very pivotal roles in crisis mediation and social emotional skills building and that is especially important for New York City's most vulnerable children which are often the, the same children that the legal aid society represents on a daily basis, children who are homeless, who have suffered from abuse and neglect, children who have become involved in the juvenile delinquency system or the criminal justice system. Many of these children suffer from posttraumatic stress disorder, complex mental health issues, other disabilities, and those disabilities often manifest themselves in school based, in behaviors in schools that are problematic and are disruptive. But those behaviors require treatment and support, not punishment, not arrest, not summonses. Presently most schools have just one guidance counsellor, one part time social worker and one part time psychologist for hundreds of students. And those staff members spend the vast

298

COMMITTEE	on	PUBL	IC	SAFETY	JOI	INTLY	WITH	COM	/ITTEE	
ON EDUCATI	ION	AND	SUE	BCOMMITT	ΓEΕ	ON N	ON-PUE	BLIC	SCHOOL	S

1

6

2 majority of their time conducting evaluations and

3 providing services to students who have

4 disabilities whose individualized education

5 programs require services under federal law. That

leaves them with little to no time to provide, to

7 provide services like crisis intervention,

8 | therapeutic support, interventions to prevent

9 | bullying in school, mental health referrals to the

10 community, conflict mediation, or conflict

11 resolution skill building. Consequently the number

12 of these staff members in schools has to increase

13 considerably in order for there to be significant

14 change. Requiring reporting on the ratio of, of

15 | these DOE staff members to school safety agents

16 | will shine a light on that and will force this city

17 | to recognize and acknowledge the backwards emphasis

18 | that is being placed on the type of services and

19 the type of supports that these children are, are

20 receiving. So we are strongly in support of Intro

21 719 and hope that it will help call greater

22 | attention to the shortage of guidance counsellor,

23 | social workers, and psychologists in our schools.

24 | Thank you.

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

TARA FOSTER: Did anyone have any questions for my colleague?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I'm going to wait until after the whole panel's done.

TARA FOSTER: Oh okay, great. So good evening. My name is Tara Foster. I'm here for Legal Services NYC. And my clients feel that Intro 719 is also a very very important piece of legislation. And segwaying from that because legal services has been very active in supporting more supports and services in schools we also agree that there should be more data with regard to social workers. And, then I'd like to just take that point to segway into although there has been tremendous effort to reduce suspensions in schools in recent years... we're very grateful to the administration there has concurrently been a rise over the last three years in EMS calls. Therefore the data on EMS calls and transports under the student safety act Intro 730 is vitally important in order to give us a full and complete picture of discipline responses, other disciplinary responses to disruptive students. Finally I wanted to take that one step further and suggest to the council that looking forward you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

know when we look at Intro 719 it mentions all public schools including charter schools. And I think with regard to the student safety act looking forward it's... to point out that many of the same climate issues that the DOE has struggled with concerning school discipline and expulsion have really come to a head in the charter school settings. We are seeing children who are receiving multiple suspensions. We are seeing students who are being expelled after multiple suspensions. We are seeing public dollars placed into these schools and yet children are not getting process. Nancy alluded, my colleague Nancy Ginsburg alluded to having been here for all time and it's true. People who have been doing this work for as many years as we have known how hard it was to fight to get the rights that peoples' kids have and suspension hearings and I think we need to start thinking about that with regard to charter schools as well. Thank you.

22

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Do you have any testimony? Okay.

24

23

AMBER DECKER: I just want to say that...

25

My name is Amber Decker.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh Amber?

3 AMBER DECKER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh okay you are here. Thank you. Okay.

AMBER DECKER: And I, I, the whole time I was here I didn't hear anything about school safety plans and I think that they're very important because the way that they are being monitored is nonexistent according to the Chancellor's regulation a for, 14 titled safety plans it states that the office of school and youth and development is available to provide ongoing technical assistance. It also says that principals have to submit this very document to the safety administrator from the school office of youth and development and yet there's no check on whether or not these schools are following the school safety plans that they go through great links to create. And embedded in the school safety plans are numerous data in terms of safety and arrival and dismissal procedures and things of that nature. So in reference to 730 and 719 I think that those are both very important and, and should, I totally agree with them. And in reference to 60, is it 65 I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

think there's a confusion about SSA agents and traffic officers. Where I think there's a lack of traffic officers usually when kids are crossing and going home by themselves but in terms of SSA agents I don't see the need to have such a heavy presence in school. So with that...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Before I get to my question let me just acknowledge that we have received testimony on the record from the Brooklyn Defender services, the Committee for Hispanic Children and Families as well as the Children's Defense Fund to be put into the record. So I think all of you are our last panel for the evening. But it's been a very very productive hearing to me. We've seen multitude of different voices that are at the table. So I just want to ask a question; legal services and legal aid are you involved with the DOE and NYPD right now as it relates to many of our students who are court involved? And if, if not then what could we as a council do in the school leadership climate team to make you one of the partners if you're not already?

	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE
1	ON EDUCATION AND SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
2	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Well we are the
3	primary defender in New York City so we represent
4	most of the kids who are arrested in schools, many
5	of whom are given summonses. And many of the
6	children who are prosecuted through the family
7	courts on school offenses.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: But my question is
9	[cross-talk]
10	UNIDENFIED FEMALE: We are involved with
11	them on a
12	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON:do you get them
13	before they get to court?
14	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: We are both
15	involved in the Mayor's taskforce.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
17	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Some of the
18	schools because of the work that we've been doing
19	in the field will call us ahead of time so
20	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That's what I'm
21	getting at. [cross-talk]
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:we do enter the
23	cases, some of the cases earlier than court filings
24	if we are notified about it.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

25

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: But there's no mechanism to make that happen.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. So there's no like formal agreement or like a memorandum of understanding where you would automatically be like the provider that would be readily available like an on-call basis. So a lot of it is based on the school leadership climate team conversation but also your relationships with the principals and the educators where if, you know and the principals know their students, they know the students that are involved in court. So if an incident should arise they can call you or, and in the past they have called you right?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Correct.

17 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Does that make 18 sense? Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So a lot of the questions I've been asking has really been about preventative measures right and not being reactionary and looking at school safety agents in a different light so to speak like changing that cultural environment so it's not so prison like. I

2.1

mean I get it. I get the challenges that we face. So my question to all of you is as advocates and those you are in the field doing this incredible work, do you like the direction that we are going in and what would you suggest to the council as additional things that we could look at as we're having budget conversations now that would be helpful in this restorative justice and school discipline reform that we know is necessary?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The schools

consistently say that they do not have the

resources to do the kind of work that they would

like to do. They are so overwhelmed with testing

and all the other things that they're required to

do that to, to implement a real restorative justice

plan or practice in the school takes resources.

It's not just training. They need people in the

schools to implement that kind of work. So I think

many of the school safety coalition there have been

many suggestions that there should be coordinators

available to help facilitate that work on the

ground. It needs to be coordinated among the

schools, within the schools. There needs to be

additional training and support because sometimes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

that work is difficult. There also needs to be... school wide training across staff of teachers to improve classroom management because we've heard many stories about teachers calling in school safety and school safety being asked to participate in classroom management when that is not why school safety is placed in the schools. And that is a very big issue in many many schools. And that's a conversation that I think is starting to be had but really needs to be had, held publically about how the, the, that division of labor should be, should be approached and how schools can be supported so that teachers get additional classroom management skills and that school safety is actually allowed to withdraw from a, from a call they're really not supposed to insert themselves.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. You wanted to add?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The DOE does this successfully in other programs. They do this in the nest program almost to the detriment of many of the other New York City public school kids. But they have very well oiled machines with many many experts. And there's ownership in the program and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

there's training from the top down and there's coordinators as Nancy indicated that's so crucial.

And I do know that when we were litigating the TH lawsuit which you know helped to provide some of that TCI training that will be going forward with the city and some of the top schools with high rates of... we were really hoping that there could be

10

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

more of that coordination. So perhaps... [cross-talk]

11

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: ...that could be

ZAKIYAH ANSARI: I just wanted to say

12

13

something... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

14

15

real quick I think as simple as it is it really is

16

about vision too. And a public vision coming from

17

the top down.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Vision 2.0.

19

18

ZAKIYAH ANSARI: So... absolutely. I think

20

if you city council is here talking about it we

21

know we have advocates and folks who have been

22

talking about it for a number of years that the

23

vision has to come from the top. And imagine the

24

mayor really putting out a vision and saying we

25

will not accept the things that we are hearing now

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

and change is going to happen. City council is saying it. The parents and students are saying it. And we're beginning to implement a lot of the suggestions that were here. I think the other piece is that we have to really engage young people in these conversations to the point where not that we're just like oh what do you think, like implement those things, and that they be an integral part of saying are they working. Because often what happens is we implement things and then the folks who they're supposed to impact we don't even ask them if it's working which is why we're in the issue, the issue we're in right now in education as a whole because those impacted bodies policies are never asked are they working. And then the other piece I just want to say real quick is I think what's missing here and I appreciate those who are still here with us is that there needs to be, and it's not the first time I am or others have suggested a panel like this that happens before the folks who are quote unquote the experts speak so that it informs some of the questions that you and other council members that sit here can ask.

Because now if it was two parents, two students,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

two advocates who've been doing this for a long time and they sharing their stories like now you can bring up DOE and NYPD and everybody else and say what about this. And put them on the spot to really after, answer these questions. And I think it's not just education it cross, it's cross the board. They need to hear these stories because then they can hide away and shy away from addressing them but we know that you're the advocates and you're advocates and you're going to ask them and press them on those questions. But imagine how... it is for them to have to sit here and listen to young people, parents, advocates who are doing this day in and day out call them on their stuff that they have not been doing. And so I sat here and heard them state all the things they were doing and I just heard a bunch of people here and a panel of amazing young people share the things they're not doing. And those are the things they never hear or have to atone for or listen to I think.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Awesome. Yes. And you're a parent too. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah. I just want to add that my son's been to several schools. And

2.1

according to the chancellor's regs every principal's responsible for having an annual school safety meeting. I have never been invited to such a meeting. And I ask you as parents of children if you have children in public schools when was the last time your principal invited you to an annual town hall school safety meeting where the bureau of safety...

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Yeah the bureau of safety of... [cross-talk]

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: ...is supposed to present. The traffic individual is supposed to be present. The lockdown procedures and protocols are supposed to be clearly stated to the parents and parents have no idea what's going on because they're never, not all of them are on the Committee of Safety that's in the school.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: So... I, I think
that making sure that that five year chancellor's
reg that was created five years ago is actually
being done at each school is you know something
that they failed to make sure the central office
fails to make sure that each principal is having

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

this annual school safety meeting. They are not having them.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it. A wealth of knowledge and experience from all of you. And certainly as a council we are trying to be a little bit more creative in how we hold hearings. The, you know the alternate of the panels, some of us are taking our hearings out into the city outside of city hall. So again I mean a lot of that is in conversation in terms of how we reach every New Yorker whether it's through public hearings, our website, and some of the other things that we just launch with council 2.0. So I appreciate it and I, I really thank you. I do think that we are going in the right direction and that's why we're asking for more of this data and reporting because we know what the numbers are. I will say that in Intro 730 there is a component that will focus on the process by which a student can file a complaint against an SSA because right now we really don't know what the process is and many students wouldn't file a complaint against an SSA that they have to see every day when they go to school. So you know a lot of it we're, we're just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

trying to talk and, and look at how we can get more of the data. But it has been raised before. Students have come to us and it's something that we are taking very seriously. So I appreciate the work you guys do and I thank you very much for being here this evening. Thank you again. Yes. And I also just want for the record to state that we have testimony from a member of Make the Road New York Dianna Zuriminna [sp?] who was not here but we do have her testimony. And as this hearing on the Committee of Public Safety, Education, and the Subcommittee on Non-Public Schools comes to an end today's hearing on exploring school climate and discipline codes... a very extensive conversation around school discipline, around reforming our restorative practices and our public schools. We also looked at three pieces of legislation; Intro 65, 719, and 730. And I appreciate everyone's presence here. I want to thank my co-chairs; Co-Chair Danny Dromm and Co-Chair Chaim Deutsch who's still here with us and thank all of the prime sponsors of the legislation who are also here an all of my colleagues. And as I close I just always

want to recognize the incredible staff. We are a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

part of a team and if not for their work the long hours that we put in to really make these hearings happen we would not be here. So I want to first recognize all the sergeants at arms who have been here. Thank you so much. As Chair of Public Safety you know that they are extremely important to my hearings each and every month but also all the staff starting with Asia Shamburg, Deepak Embeckar [phonetic], Beth Gollub, Laurie Wen, Rob Collantra, Ryan Crow, Ellen Aang, Regina Pereda-Ryan, Faisa Ali, Pascal Brenard, Theodore Moore, and in my office Dana Wax. I want to thank everyone for this wonderful hearing and we look forward to working with each and every one of you. And I want to thank, yes I want to thank Jan Atwell as well. Thank you. Yes and Joan. Thank you so much Joan as you leave. Thank you Joan. We love you. We appreciate you. And again this hearing is

[gavel]

officially adjourned.

22

23

24

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____ April 26, 2015_____