CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

February 12, 2015 Start: 10:46 a.m. Recess: 11:03 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens
Daniel R. Garodnick
Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Margaret S. Chin
Deborah L. Rose
Jumaane D. Williams
Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Vincent M. Ignizio Melissa Mark-Viverito James G. Van Bramer 2 [background comments]

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Good morning and welcome to this reopened meeting of the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. I'm Brad Lander, Chair of Committee; we're joined this morning by Council Members Inez Dickens, good morning, Margaret Chin, Rafael Espinal, Mark Levine, Ydanis Rodriguez, the Minority Leader Vinny Ignizio and Debi Rose; thank you guys all for being here; thanks also to Jason Otano, our Committee Counsel and the staff of the Investigative Unit, Chuck Davis, Deandra Johnson and Diana Arriaga.

As we discussed at the beginning of this recessed meeting last week, on January 16, 2015 Mayor Bill de Blasio submitted three names to the Council, Wellington Chen and Kim Vauss for the Landmarks Preservation Commission and Hank Willis Thomas for the New York City Art Commission; they appeared before us last week at our meeting and provided testimony and fielded questions. A couple of notes that have been received since then; at that meeting we had Wellington Chen's letter from the Conflicts of Interest Board articulating any of the steps he'd

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 3
need to take to make sure that the nonprofit boards
he sits on don't prevent a conflict; he told us in
person that he would abide by it; he has since
presented us a written letter committing to abide by
it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We did not have at that meeting Kimberly Vauss' COIB letter; it actually arrived by email while we were having the hearing, so everyone has that letter and also has her letter committing to abide by the COIB guidance. As you'll remember, her conflicts are somewhat more substantive; she works at a firm that appears before the Landmarks Preservation Commission; she does not work on LPC issues and has said she won't and doesn't appear before the LPC Board and has said she won't and she's not a partner in that firm and you know, Council Member Greenfield expressed the opinion that since it is a position in which some expertise is required; most of the people that have it are going to be people who work in the field, but that is obviously part of the evidence before you today.

And then on Hank Willis Thomas, there are no conflicts; he works as painter and artist and sculptor, so he doesn't have any conflicts, but as

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS members are aware who were here, there was extensive discussion with him about the Art Commission, also known as the Public Design Commission, on the great frustration that many members have had with our capital projects and he, being relatively new to this whole process, listened to us, but didn't have a lot to say about it, but he committed that he would carry back to Faith Rose, the Executive Director of the Public Design Commission the strong concerns he had heard from Council Members and she has followed up with this letter date February 11, 2015 that is in your packets and that we'll make part of the public record; I won't read the whole thing, but just kind of a couple of points, just so people will hear. worked previously, Ms. Rose, at the Department of Design and Construction and so she says, "given this, I am quite familiar with both the strengths of the Design Commission and the areas which could benefit from improvement, members of the de Blasio Administration informed me of the discussions at Mr. Thomas' confirmation hearing before the City Council's Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. I am aware of the frustration that Council Members expressed regarding the Public Design

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS Commission and its impact on the timing and cost of capital projects to improve the parks, streets and public realm in their communities. Immediately upon taking this new position..." and then she outlines in the next couple of paragraphs steps that she has started to take to streamline the Public Design Commission process, both on administration projects and she says on Council discretionary capital projects as well and invites us to "sit down and work together to schedule a meeting so I can hear your suggestions for how to improve the PDC and share my vision with you in more detail; there's a lot of work to be done and I am excited about streamlining our process, improving communication with our capital agencies and broadening the dialogue to include all stakeholders," so I invite members and members of the public to peruse the full letter. You know, speaking just for myself on this, I would love even more... you know, I'd love to already be in a position at this point, 13 months into the de Blasio Administration, where we had seen some progress; that said, you know I feel heard by this letter and I believe in Faith Rose's commitment and certainly in Mr. Thomas' commitment to work with us to make some positive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 6

change here and I am prepared to enthusiastically

vote for Mr. Thomas following receipt of this letter,

as I thought he was a quite remarkable nominee.

2.2

We've been joined by Council Member Jimmy
Van Bramer, who is Chair of the Council Committee on
Cultural Institutions and Libraries, which the Art
Commission is overseen by and so I've spoken with him
and also with our Parks Chair, Mark Levine about this
letter as well and I know they are eager to take up
the offer made in the letter to sit down with
Ms. Rose and map out -- hear from her the changes
that she's planning and also [background comments]
push forward the changes that Council Members feel
they need.

Good morning, [background comment] nice to see The Speaker here with us. Have any other members come in? No. Okay. So having said all that, we can move forward to the vote and then let me just remind people that if the Council gives its advice and consent, Wellington Chen from Queens and Kim Vauss from the Bronx would both be appointed to the LPC for terms that expire June 28th, 2017 and Hank Willis Thomas, who is a Manhattan resident, be appointed to the Art Commission in the seat

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 7
2	designated for a painter and eligible to complete the
3	remainder of the three-year term that expires on
4	December 31st, 2017. So unless members have
5	questions or comments they wish to make before we
6	proceed to the vote seeing none we will go ahead
7	and proceed to the vote. So we are coupling the vote
8	on all three messages concerning M 0224 regarding
9	Wellington Chen; M 0225 regarding Kim Vauss, along
10	with the message M 0226 regarding Hank Willis Thomas
11	and we'll ask the clerk to call the roll. Thank you.
12	COMMITTEE CLERK: Kevin Penn, Committee
13	Clerk, Roll Call in the Committee on Rules,
14	Privileges and Elections, M 0224, 0225 and 0226.
15	Council Member Lander.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I vote aye on all.
17	COMMITTEE CLERK: Dickens.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aye.
19	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rodriguez.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: Chin.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Aye on all.
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rose.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Eye on all.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Espinal.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Aye on all.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Permission to explain my vote, Mr. Chair.

[laughter]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Of course.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We follow protocol here. I will be voting aye in part because I think Mr. Thomas is such an outstanding candidate, both as an artist and his commitment to civic duty and the public benefit; I share Chair Lander's deep reservations about the existing process for PDC and how it impacts Parks' capital projects; I'm happy to receive this letter; I wish it had more details on some of the specific ideas that I and others have expressed for how this process could be improved; I don't need to repeat them all today, but I hope this is the beginning of a very intense process of collaboration to solve issues which are simply essential if we're gonna have a more rational and efficient Parks innovation process. I will be voting aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Garodnick.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 9
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you. I
3	vote aye.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ignizio.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I vote no on
6	Miss Vauss and aye on all others.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK: Speaker Mark-Viverito.
8	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I vote aye on
9	all.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: All items have been
11	adopted by a vote of 10 in the affirmative, 0 in the
12	negative and no abstentions except for M 0225, which
13	was adopted by a vote of 9 in the affirmative, 1 in
14	the negative and 0 abstentions.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Williams isn't here.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: He [background
17	comments] we'll hold the vote open for 15 minutes or
18	until someone else needs the room.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Alright, sir. Thank
21	you.
22	[background comments]
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Council Member
24	Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I vote aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Final vote in the
3	Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections; all
4	items have been adopted by a vote of 11 in the
5	affirmative, 0 in the negative; no abstentions, with
6	the exception of M 0225, which was adopted by a vote
7	of 10 in the affirmative, 1 in the negative and 0
8	abstentions.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 17, 2015