CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

January 08, 2015 Start: 11:00 a.m. Recess: 12:38 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway- Committee Rm, 14<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E:

JULISSA FERRERAS Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

COREY D. JOHNSON
HELEN K. ROSENTHAL
I. DANEEK MILLER
JAMES G. VAN BRAMER
LAURIE A. CUMBO
MARK LEVINE
ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.
VANESSA L. GIBSON
VINCENT IGNIZIO
YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

,

[gavel]

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS: Good morning. First I'd like to apologize for starting off late. I was taping... [speaking Spanish] and it took me an hour and 45 minutes to get here from New Jersey, another reason why we should never live in New Jersey. Good morning and welcome to today's finance hearing. I am Julissa Ferreras and I am the chair of this committee. I want to thank everyone for joining us today. So nice to be with my colleagues three days in a row. Council... we've been joined by Council Members Cornegy, Miller, Rosenthal, Levine, and Ignizio. Today we will consider Intro 61, 612 which would extend the finance commissioner's authority to sell tax liens as well as add a few property owner protections to lean sale, to the lean sale law. This, this legislation is co, sponsored by myself, Council Member Menchaca, Council Member Torres, and Council Member Williams. Before I get into the details of the bill I want to explain the lien sale process. I will first start by saying that in the

lien sale the city sells a lien on, on the property,

they do not sell the property, I repeat the city

does not sell the property at the lien sale. After

the lien sale owners will still have the right to

possession and title of their property until they
pay off their debt or until prescribed time has
passed without payment from the owner. When an
individual owns a debt to the city, when an
individual owes a debt to the city that is unpaid
and delinquent a lien can be placed on the property
for which the debt was accrued prior to 1996 after a
certain time period of unpaid debt the city would be
able to start foreclosure proceedings on the
property if the debt remains unpaid. This process is
known as In Rem. However the In Rem program was very
expensive to the city because the city had to
maintain the properties once they were in the city's
possession. Not only did the In Rem program fail to
address the underlying reasons for tax delinquencies
and abandonment the city was able to quickly resale
the properties to responsible private owners and as
a result many properties remained in the city for
over 30 years before they were sold. In 1996 this
all changed with the lien sale. Instead of the city
taking possession of a property once a property was
in arrears the city would sell the liens to a
private party, a trust, which then hires collection
agencies called services to enforce a debt owed at,

2	to the trust. Once the lien is sold to the trust the
3	property owner can enter into a payment plan with
4	the servicers to satisfy the debt which now resets a
5	rest in the trust. By law once the city sells the
6	lien to the trust foreclosure proceedings can begin
7	only if the owner remains delinquent and has not
8	entered into a payment plan or paid the interest on
9	the liens for at least seven months. In the case of
10	class one properties the time frame is one year.
11	Since 2011 15 properties have been foreclosed upon.
12	I wanted to explain that process to the public and
13	my colleagues so that we can understand the lien
14	sale and threat thereof is a tremendous enforcement
15	tool for delinquent bill payers and in most
16	instances does not result in foreclosure. The
17	commissioner of finance has been granted the
18	authority to sell liens for delinquent properties as
19	well as other charges including water and sewer
20	charges, emergency repair program charges and
21	alternative enforcement program charges. In 2011 the
22	council made a broad reform of the lien sale law and
23	added a host of property owner protections. Some of
24	the protections include increasing the threshold
25	from when a property could be sold from water

2	charges for one year to two years, increasing the
3	monetary threshold from a thousand to 2,000 for two
4	and three family homes allowing payment agreements
5	with no money down for a 10, for a term of 10 years.
6	Reducing interest rates from 18 percent to 9 percent
7	for most properties and excluding the properties of
8	individuals who received a veterans property tax
9	exemption from the lien sale. With the added
10	protections of 2011 the city's lien sale law has the
11	strongest property owner protections of any lien
12	sale law in the entire country. And I quote is a
13	model of municipalities across the country according
14	to the national consumer law center. In 2011 the uh,
15	the legislation, the legislation also added HDFC
16	rentals are eligible, are eligible for lien sales if
17	they were in arears for at least two years and owned
18	more, and owed more than 5,000 dollars. Despite many
19	of the protections added in 2011 20 percent of the
20	property owners notice for sale ultimately had their
21	liens sold in the lien sale which is consistent with
22	the percentages prior to the reform of the lien sale
23	law. Since 2011 lien sale reform, the administration
24	has informed the council that property owners that
25	entered into payment agreements have a 38 percent

2	default rate, 15 properties have been foreclosed
3	upon after the lien sale, and 21 HDFC rental
4	properties have lien sales sold. Not for profit
5	organizations with tax liens that fail to renew
6	their property exemptions have been notice for the
7	lien sale. Since 2011 the council has met with
8	property owners, the administration and community
9	based organizations about possible reasons why
10	property owners continue to accrue tax liens amount
11	that are eligible to be sold in a tax lien sale.
12	Despite many protections added by the council we
13	learned that many property owners affected by
14	superstorm sandy chose to address the immediate need
15	of property repairs rather than spend their funds
16	paying charges owed to the city such as property
17	taxes and water charges. Many non-for-profit
18	organizations failed to renew their, their non-for-
19	profit exemption status despite a 20111
20	administrative change by the Department of Finance
21	that now requires all not-for-profit organizations
22	to annually renew their property tax exemptions
23	eligibility status. Many property owners have
24	defaulted on payment agreements were unaware of the
25	extenuating circumstances existence to prevent the

2	imposition of the five year ban on future payment
3	upon payment and the bill provided by lien sale
4	servicers to property owners after a lien is sold is
5	difficult to understand and does not clearly
6	identify charges owed. The bill before us seeks to
7	reduce the number of liens sold in the sale and
8	insure that the tax lien sale is fair, efficient,
9	and effective. This bill does many things so I won't
10	outline all the provisions of the bill but major
11	provisions of the bill include extensive DOF's
12	commissioners authority to sell tax liens until
13	December 2016, remove sandy affected properties from
14	the lien sale, requires DOF to provide not-for-
15	profits with the, with information about how to
16	renew their property tax exemptions, requires DOF,
17	DEP, and HPD to provide the council with results of
18	lien sale outreach sessions and allows council
19	members to request an outreach session in their
20	district, creates a taskforce comprised of the
21	administration of the council to examine ways to
22	minimize non-payment of charges that subject, that
23	are subject to the lien sale including increasing
24	awareness and participation in tax benefit programs.
25	More information is provided in your briefing

2.2

materials provided by the finance committee staff. I want to give a big thank you to, who I want to give a big thank you to because they worked very hard on this legislation and I want to thank the administration who work collaboratively with the council in finalizing this legislation. Okay. So we will now begin. Thank you. And uh my council will swear you in.

ON FINANCE

COUNCIL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of you knowledge, information, and belief?

JEFFREY SHEAR: I do.

COUNCIL: You may proceed.

JEFFREY SHEAR: Hello? Is that on? Okay.

Good morning Chair Ferreras and members of the

Finance Committee. My name is Jeffrey Shear and I am

the Deputy Commissioner for Treasury, Payments, and

Operations at the New York City Department of

Finance. I am joined today by Samara Karasyk,

Assistant Commissioner of External Affairs at the

Department of Finance. Thank you for the opportunity

to testify today in support of Intro number 612,

legislation extending the city's authority until

December 31, 2016 to sell tax liens for property

2	related liabilities owed to the city including
3	property taxes, water and sewer charges, and other
4	charges associated with real property. The
5	legislation before the council today authorizes a
6	process the city has conducted annually since 1996,
7	the sale of tax liens. Selling tax liens is an
8	important tool that enables us to collect unpaid
9	property related taxes and charges and ensures
10	fairness in equity among all property owners. The
11	sale of tax liens has resulted in the collection of
12	a total of 1.3 billion dollars since 1996 in
13	delinquent taxes and charges essential to funding
14	the city's vital programs. It has also contributed
15	to the decline in the rate of delinquent property
16	taxes to 1.4 percent in fiscal year 14 from a 4.4
17	percent average in the three years before the first
18	lien sale resulting in an enhanced collection of 5.4
19	billion dollars. We expect the 2015 lien sale to
20	generate approximately 60 million dollars. As you
21	know a lien is a legal claim to property for unpaid
22	property taxes and other charges owed to the city.
23	Through, through the authority granted in this
24	legislation the city sells the lien but not the
25	property itself as the chair referenced to a

specially created trust. The trust then has the
authority to collect the delinquent balance on the
property. There are over one million properties in
New York City. 98 percent of property owners pay
their taxes on time. Annually about 25 thousand
property owners are notified that the lien may be
sold in relation to their property. These properties
are eligible for the lien sale because they meet
certain criteria. Properties may be eligible for the
lien sale if they have at least 1,000 dollars in
delinquent property taxes that are more than three
years old. Notification which includes at least four
mailings by the department of finance, the property
owners, and interested parties before the sale, full
page adds, and daily community and ethnic newspapers
and numerous outreach events by DOF staff typically
result in four out of five properties avoiding the
lien sale altogether. These property owners avoid
the lien sale by paying their delinquent balance,
demonstrating that they qualify for senior citizen,
veterans, or disability exemptions telling us that
they own a build it back property recovering from
hurricane sandy or entering into a payment plan with
the department of finance. Ultimately the number of

liens actually sold is typically reduced to
approximately 5,000 properties. This process of
ensuring that all property owners and other
interested parties are notified and have an
opportunity to resolve their delinquencies is what
is projected to produce 60 million dollars this
year. The legislation before the committee today
codifies our continued outreach efforts in
collaboration with the council by requiring that we
issue a quarterly property status report on the lien
sale and provide information to the council
regarding our outreach events. Council members may
request the lien sale outreach event for their
district. It further establishes a 10 person task
force equally balanced between the council and the
administration to examine and make recommendations
on refining and improving the lien sale process. DOF
therefore supports the legislation and looks forward
to working with the council to make the lien sale
process as effective and as fair as it can be. At
this time my colleagues and I would be happy to
answer any questions you may have.

CHAIR: Thank you. And again thank you for your patience. And DEP are you... do you... yes, okay.

EMILY LLOYD: Good morning Chairwoman

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ferreras and members. I am Emily Lloyd, commissioner of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection. I'm joined today by Steve Lawitts, First Deputy Commissioner and Executive Director of the New York City Water Board as well as other DEP staff. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on Intro 612 which would reauthorize the sale of property tax and water/sewer liens. As you know DEP has overall responsibility for the city's water supply and sewer system including providing drinking water to all New Yorkers, maintaining pressure to fire hydrants, managing storm water, and treating waste water. All of our water related expenses both operational and capital are paid for with the money collected from the water and sewer rate charge billed to all New York City property owners and authorized annually by the New York City Water Board. DEP standalone lien sale authority for seriously delinquent water and sewer charges which expired on December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2014 is a critical enforcement tool that allows us to keep water and sewer rates as low as possible while fulfilling our agency's mission. I appear before you today to

2	express DEP's strong support of Intro 612 and to
3	urge the reauthorization of DEP's lien sale
4	authority. Each year DEP collects more than 100
5	million dollars of revenue directly attributable to
6	the sale of water and sewer liens and the pre-lien
7	sale process. In addition we estimate that we also
8	collect another 360 million dollars annually due to
9	the lien sales Halo effect, that is the inducement
10	of customers to stay current on their charges to
11	avoid being placed on the lien sale list. These
12	funds currently represent close to 13 percent of our
13	overall budget, an amount that is vital to meeting
14	our obligations every year. As background on the
15	sale of the lien sale for water and sewer charges
16	for fiscal year 2014, in October 2013 well before
17	the required period DEP sent notices to nearly 22
18	thousand properties that had either already met or
19	were on track to meet the eligibility criteria for
20	inclusion in the fiscal year 2014 lien sale.
21	Properties in tax class two, three, and four were
22	eligible for the lien sale if their delinquency on
23	water and sewer payments was at least one thousand
24	dollars for at least one year and on two and three
25	family homes in tax class one for the lien sale if

2	their delinquency was at least 2,000 dollars for a
3	period of at least a year. In January 2014 DEP sent
4	another notice to more than 11,000 properties that
5	met the criteria for inclusion in the lien sale. In
6	February 2014 the official 90 day notice was sent to
7	16 thousand properties representing 193 million in
8	outstanding charges. In addition these properties
9	were listed on the Department of Finances website
10	and in a printed notice that was published in the
11	daily news. The same group of properties continued
12	to receive a 60 day notice, a 30 day notice, and a
13	10 day notice unless they either paid their
14	delinquent balance or signed a binding payment
15	agreement for the delinquent balance. These notices
16	resulted in over 13 thousand payments made for
17	amounts totaling 108.6 million dollars. In fiscal
18	year 2014 DEP sold liens on only 2,267 properties,
19	or less than 15 percent of the original 90 day list.
20	As of January 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2015 DEP has approximately 20,717
21	customers with 310 million dollars in outstanding
22	charges that would be eligible for the lien sale.
23	Our goal is to make sure that the water and sewer
24	system receives the revenue it needs to support
25	current and future operations. DEP's lien sale

2	authority is an essential tool that not only
3	provides needed revenue but also ensures that all
4	building owners pay for the water and sewer services
5	that their buildings consume. Without continuing
6	lien sale authority we would in affect be returning
7	to the years before 2008 when delinquent customers
8	perceived that there were no consequences for
9	failure to pay their water bills. We are concerned
10	that this would create a large gap in revenue which
11	would result in the significant increase in the
12	water rate. Not renewing the lien sale authority
13	would unfairly shift the financial burden from a
14	minority of delinquent customers to the majority of
15	customers who pay their bills on time, pay their
16	fair share, and who would now have to pay even more
17	because the water and sewer system no long has its
18	most effective enforcement too available. Since 2011
19	we have also implemented several initiatives aimed
20	at helping our customers and reducing individual
21	costs. We have installed automated meter reading
22	devices on 90 percent of all, 96 percent of all
23	properties. Customers are now able to view their
24	water usage data in near real time allowing them to
25	manage their consumption more effectively and

2	potentially reduce their charges. Since 2011 more
3	than 72 thousand customers have received automated
4	leak notifications and saved more than 60 million
5	dollars in charges because they have been able to
6	respond and fix their leaks in a timely manner.
7	Accordingly this year the water board also expanded
8	the leak forgiveness program to include leaks of
9	maintainable fixtures such as toilets and sinks
10	which were previously excluded. Over one thousand
11	customers have benefitted from this change so far
12	receiving 1.2 million dollars. Finally and perhaps
13	most relevant to the lien of water and sewer charges
14	because of AMR DEP's estimated bill rate has fallen
15	by more than 82 percent since 2009. As a result 98
16	point, 97.8 percent of the water and sewer bills
17	sent to metered customers reflect actual usage which
18	led to a 55 percent drop in customer disputes in
19	fiscal year 14 versus fiscal year 11 and a 40
20	percent reduction since fiscal year 18. DEP will
21	also begin to offer monthly billing as an opt in
22	option to all 836 customers by June 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2015. In
23	addition to these initiatives DEP is committed to
24	protecting its most vulnerable customers by ensuring
25	that everyone pays his or her fair share for the

2	water and sewer services used. That is why the water
3	board in partnership with with DEP adopted the
4	lowest rate increase in nine years, froze water and
5	sewer bills for roughly 25 percent of all single
6	family home owners, many of them seniors and
7	partnered with the New York City Human Resources
8	Administration to carry out Mayor de Blasio's
9	progressive vision by creating the homeowner
10	assistance program to help over 12,500 of our low
11	income customers. Again we strongly support Intro
12	612 and urge you to vote in favor of the continued
13	fiscal health and resiliency of the water supply
14	distribution and waste water collection and
15	treatment systems. While uninterrupted lien sale
16	authority is crucial we are mindful of, of issues
17	some members would have preferred to address in the
18	context of this reauthorization. We believe the
19	inclusion of a taskforce in this bill ensures that
20	these issues will receive the thorough and
21	deliberative con, deliberative consideration they
22	deserve in anticipation of the next reauthorization
23	two years from now. We look forward to working with
24	the council and other members of the task force to
25	ensure that the tax and water lien sale process is

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

fair, efficient, and effective. I thank you for the opportunity to testify today and we would be happy to address any of your questions.

CHAIR: Thank you very much for your testimony. And I, I really want to thank, thank you for our monthly billing agreement, it's something that I think will really help a lot of New Yorkers and it's just a thoughtful process why which we should be processing billings. So I'm going to ask a few questions and then I'm going to open it up to my colleagues and I'm going to come back on a second round because I want everybody to have an opportunity to ask their questions. And Council Member Menchaca's here as a co-sponsor but... want to do a statement a little later? Or you're, or you're ready? Okay so I'll ask questions and then we'll... We've been joined by Council Member Johnson, Rodriguez, and Menchaca. So let's talk about the post lien sale questions. So how many properties have been foreclosed upon after being sold in the lien sale since 2011?

JEFFREY SHEAR: Right. So I think our latest statistics and I think you may have referenced this in your opening statement is that we

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 19
2	have a record of 15, 14 of those associate with the
3	2011 lien sale and one associate with the 2012 lien
4	sale.
5	CHAIR: And are foreclosures limited to a
6	certain area when you… these foreclosures where are
7	they? Throughout the city are they in particular,
8	are there higher areas?
9	JEFFREY SHEAR: I, I'd have to get back to
10	you on that.
11	CHAIR: Okay we'd appreciate that,
12	especially since we've been looking at numbers and
13	we see that certain districts are a little bit
14	higher when it comes to the lien sale. So I want to
15	know if the actual sale is consistent to the higher
16	communities or if it's throughout the city?
17	JEFFREY SHEAR: Sure.
18	CHAIR: Okay.
19	JEFFREY SHEAR: What we would say is that,
20	and I think we received a question on this prior to
21	the hearing is that if you go back to older years
22	the number of foreclosures has actually dropped so

CHAIR: Right.

JEFFREY SHEAR: If you lo

23

24

25

JEFFREY SHEAR: If you look at say the 2009 lien sale there were 45 foreclosures relating

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to that and 29 relating to the 2010 foreclosure

3 sale. It's still early so the 14 for the 2011 lien

4 sale is not a final number because the, you have to

5 waiting seven months the lien buyer before

6 foreclosure may commence and the foreclosure process

itself takes a couple of years. So the 2011 number

8 is incomplete but if you look at those prior years

9 the number of foreclosures that are actually on the

10 downward train.

CHAIR: So you actually answered my next question. So seven months and how long... you said a couple years but do you know exactly how many years foreclosure proceedings are? Is it two, is it...

JEFFREY SHEAR: It, it, I don't know exactly.

CHAIR: Okay.

JEFFREY SHEAR: It's approximately two years after the, the seven month...

CHAIR: Okay.

JEFFREY SHEAR: ...time period.

CHAIR: On average how many liens are deemed effective in each lien sale after the lien is sold?

2.2

4 get... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Does OMB have those ...

JEFFREY SHEAR: No. We'll, we'll get back to you with those...(cross-talk)

CHAIR: Okay. My questions are really good today huh, you got to get back to me. I wanted to go into and, and this is something that I've been talking with the administration both while we were negotiating but in particular in the last couple days I've been talking to both directly to the commissioner but also to some people over on the mayor's side and it's specifically to the HDFCs and the rentals. If an HDFC is sold in a lien sale could the servicer uh institute foreclosure proceedings?

JEFFREY SHEAR: Go ahead.

CHRIS ALLRED: Hi, I'm Chris Allred,
Assistant Commissioner for Asset Management at HPD.
I don't know specifically whether the servicer would
institute the foreclosure with regard to the rental
HDFCs. I think that's a, a, more of a technical
question and you know we can get back to you...

25 [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Okay if you can get back to me. If there were foreclosure and are there any requirements that the purchaser keep the property as affordable?

CHRIS ALLRED: Yes we've worked to ensure that the, these, that any foreclosure would include the ongoing provisions of regulatory agreement and the rental HDFCs would continue to be subject to rent stabilization.

CHAIR: So this is where my question and then some of the debate back has been even 'till I think early this morning that we've been texting. If the HDFC owes a significant amount of money wouldn't it be in some ways advantageous if they decide let us get sold in the foreclosure we no longer have a regulatory agreement with, with HPD. Often times it's expired and you don't have a new regulatory agreement. It, wouldn't it be, if there, if there isn't an interest in keeping it affordable wouldn't they be almost incentivized to go through the process, get out of the HDFC agreement, and then create this market rate apartment?

JEFFREY SHEAR: If there is a regulatory agreement the, the provisions... [cross-talk]

2 CHAIR: If there is area and if it's 3 expired.

JEFFREY SHEAR: ...going to continue. If

it... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Right.

JEFFREY SHEAR: ...is expired they'd still be subject to rent stabilization. They would still, we would be faced with largely the same problem that we have in any case which is our desire to preserve affordable housing in figuring out a way to incentivize the owner to continue to maintain the property as affordable housing.

CHAIR: My issue is if we continue to include the HDFCs in the lien sale is, can't this be used potentially as a tool to get out of affordability?

JEFFREY SHEAR: No I don't think that we see it that way, we, we, we see that the, the affordability continues, that there's not any subsequent change from that standpoint. The, the dynamic of a property wanting to get out of affordability is I think going to be largely the same whether it's after the lien sale or not.

2.2

JEFFREY SHEAR: Yeah a, I, I, from, I'm trying to I guess understand the why somebody would

CHAIR: That, I guess that's your perspective. Our perspective is that you can go through this process, get out of, go through the process of the lien sale and then you no longer have an, a regulatory agreement with HPD, you no longer have to be in HDFC so then now you can be market rate.

JEFFREY SHEAR: Well again our goal is to preserve affordable housing and be... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: I under ... right.

JEFFREY SHEAR: ...and, and... so...

CHAIR: I understand the goal. I'm just, what the intentions may be there I think potentially if we continue down this path which in, with including HDFCs in the lien sale we are allowing or creating an opportunity for those that want to get out, to just take the loss and say you know what I'd rather go through the whole procedure and get out of the regulatory agreement and not have to deal with, with all these regulations but also let's go through the foreclosure process and I can get into a market rate and I'm no longer an HDFC.

2.1

2.2

2 want to do it that way. They, they have a regulatory

3 agreement, if they wait until the end of the

4 regulatory agreement they would have the... [cross-

5 talk]

CHAIR: So I guess how many... [cross-talk]

JEFFREY SHEAR: They, they, they could

pursue… [cross-talk]

CHAIR: ...how often or... It is my understanding and you can clarify that it, have, regulatory agreements that are expired are common. It's not as if HPD is very much on top of the regulatory agreements, is that incorrect?

JEFFREY SHEAR: I don't know that I would say that it's common. We are actively trying to look at when a regulatory agreement may expire and start a conversation with the owners of the property about maintaining the affordability, we talk to them about the various different reasons why they might want to do that and uh extend a, a tax benefit and a subsidy to address capital needs and...

CHAIR: So I think... and part of this legislation is creating a task force because we're going to have this conversation on how we revisit this in two years. But I think before we pass this

2	legislation we have to continue the conversations
3	that I'm currently having with the, with the
4	administration, with the commissioner and the
5	speaker. Because I think there, there can be
6	protections that we may have to include in this lien
7	sale when it comes to HDFCs until we get these
8	things clarified. And I know that you know HPD you
9	have your position but I'm hoping that we can
10	continue these conversations as, as we go through
11	this hearing and you will hear from many of our
12	colleagues on different, a whole host of other
13	issues. But HDFC is something that's really
14	important both to the speaker and myself and this
15	committee and the council. And I think that there is
16	not enough clarity when it comes to HDFCs and the
17	potential of us losing affordable housing especially
18	when this administration is wanting to preserve and
19	create 200, 200 thousand additional units of
20	affordable housing I think, I would hate for someone
21	to find a loophole and go the other way around and
22	us potentially be doing, or hurting the process of
23	affordability. So I'm, we're going to continue the
24	conversations because I think we can go back and
25	forth on this one

same for property taxes.

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR: So do you, do you argue, or, can you explain to me why you believe that the percentage is so high because there are those that argue that because there is a no money down we may capture more people that really have no intention of

SAMARA KARASYK: So I can just say...

23

24

25

with half full.

CHAIR: Can you just state your name.

SAMARA KARASYK: Samara Karasyk, Assistant

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Commissioner or External Affairs the Department of Finance. You know we spent a lot of time doing outreach events and at those outreach events many people will talk to us about payment agreements, many of them will enter into payment agreements. And I think it's a lot of the issues that you mentioned Chair. Sometimes people just can't afford their property taxes or their water charges. There's a lot of things that are going on. If it's something that's happening in the short term a payment agreement is going to help them. But if it's like a long term financial problem that they're having the payment agreement isn't going to help because as you said that helps for now but then they're going to owe the next quarter of property taxes and the next quarter of water charges. Those don't go away. So it doesn't always help with that. And you know we do find that there are some people that game the system which is why, and the last lien sale authorization that we did we made it so that people if they defaulted couldn't enter into another payment agreement for five years. I know that that was

something that was worked on because some people

2.2

just try and you know get out of it, get out of it, get out of because unfortunately there are some people that it doesn't help them. So it does help some, others it doesn't and I think sort of that may go to what commissioner Lloyd mentioned which is some people, it's just, they, they can't do it with a payment agreement or not the payment agreement so...

CHAIR: so when you work through the payment agreement process is there like a calculator, an income based calculator it takes into consideration, other mortgage, you know mortgage income or... Do you, is there a, a financial support or component that you use to establish the actual, what they payment will be?

SAMARA KARASYK: Right now we don't have that flexibility for our payment agreements. And when we say payment agreements our payment agreements are the same... whether they're in the lien sale or not in the lien sale. And we look forward to talking about that in the working group because... you know we know that there are a lot of different ways of looking at that and so we look forward to having the time to sort of thoughtfully see what makes sense in these situations.

Э

CHAIR: Yeah and I think especially when it's income based some people with the, with the mortgages some people have very bad mortgage deals, other people have no mortgage on their property. So I think those things need to be taken into consideration and we'll bring them up in the task force conversations. Yes, you could just state your name for the record.

Deputy Commissioner of DEP. And uh we uh sit down with each potential payment agreement customer and we show them the payment options if they choose a ten year repayment period, if they choose a one year payment period or if they, varying percentages of down payments so that they understand from the outset what their monthly obligation is going to be. And then anyone who signs a payment agreement automatically goes into monthly billing so that they have that constant notice.

CHAIR: Thank you. In your testimony you stated that DEP sends notices to nearly 22 thousand properties in October. In addition to the four notices that are sent by DOF beginning in February

2.2

does DEP also send out lien sale notices in the same
time period?

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes we, we, we send out 90 day, 60 day, 30 day, and 10 day notices. And we are also preparing to send out within the next week a pre, an advisory letter to customers that are eligible for the lien sale if the lien sale gets approved.

CHAIR: We would love to see that letter if you can share with the committee what the presale... So at what point in the timeline is the preletter... [cross-talk] Is this like a few days before or a... [cross-talk]

SEVEN LAWITTS: No this would, so if, if the 90 day notice is targeted for early February we want to send out a notice 30 days before that so within the next week.

additional questions but I'd like to open it up to my colleagues. We'll have Council Member Menchaca who's a co-sponsor to the bill and then we'll start a second run after that.

impressive moment right now for the city council in
something that's been uh, even for me as a learning
process to understand how complicated this is and
for a, a new Yorker that is just trying to do the
right thing. This bill that I'm so proud to be part
of really kind of helps get at that. I, I want to
say a couple things about just the, the atmosphere
that we're in two years post, plus sandy and the
frustrations that are on the ground and they're
still real and representing Red Hook that continues
to be in so many ways in, in struggles right now. We
have the opportunity here to do a couple things that
I want to underscore about this piece of legislation
and what I'm hearing is, is, is positive. One it,
it, it just continues to give the authority for, for
the department of finance and the commissioner. And
we remove effectively sandy affected properties from
the lien sale. It also allows to, for us to
articulate the relationship that we want with our
communities with our city council members. And so
these are all things that are positive in creating
that goal for city agencies working in partnership
with the city council to interact with our, our
constituents. And so I'm just really really excited

2.2

about what we are building here for the future as we, as we, as we tackle with how you say that New Yorkers are, are, are, are trying to do the, the, the best thing and we're getting that information out to them. So I'm really excited for, for what this bill does and how, how we move forward especially with the sandy affected properties. Now speaking of the sandy affected properties many of them were removed from the tax lien sales. And so I' like to know a little bit about numbers for 2013 and 2014 and which ones, especially those that were in red placards and yellow placards were actually removed of, in 2013 and 2014. Do you have numbers for that that you can share with us.

SAMARA KARASYK: So for 2013 I don't have numbers. I have to get back to you. It was a very small number because there hadn't been that much time that elapsed between the storm and the lien sale. So you know people have to have more than a thousand dollars in outstanding taxes for more than three years...

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Mm-hmm.

SAMARA KARASYK: So I think there was a minimal number but I don't have that. However for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

somewhere. We just weren't able to get it together... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: That'll be great if you get that...

2014 it was seven red, 51 yellow, and 157 in the

on the specific district information. I have it

Build it Back Program. And we can follow up with you

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: ...that over to us. And do you have an understanding about what the

property tax class is for, for these properties...

SAMARA KARASYK: Yeah.

were they in?

SAMARA KARASYK: I think most of them were class ones but again I'll have to follow up with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay that'd be good to, to kind of include into, into that, that piece. And you'll give us the district break down. Do you have a sense about where most of these, these properties were located? Is it one borough that they kind of stood out?

SAMARA KARASYK: I'm sorry I don't, I don't know...

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay.

2.2

2 SAMARA KARASYK: I'll get you that 3 information though.

that's going to be I think critical for us to understand a lot of the pieces about what we're, what we're talking about. I, I'll, I'll pause there but again this really kind of sets tone for the partnership that the city council is serious about and, and how we, how we translate these opportunities for our local residents. And, and I think we have to believe... and I've seen the tenacity of so many of these small businesses and home owners that want to do the right thing and, and we have the opportunity here to get that to them. So thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you Council Member. We've been joined by Council Member Cumbo and Majority

Leader Van Bramer. We will now hear from Council

Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much Chair and thank you to the authors of this legislation which will help take care of and, and bring new breadth to the tax lien sale so I really appreciate it. I, I, actually chair I'd like to follow-up on your point that you were making about

2	the HDFC properties and concern about losing rent
3	regulated buildings, affordable housing. I'm
4	wondering if there's a way for us to in the spirit
5	of, of what the chair was saying for continued
6	conversation. If there's a way to make the language
7	in the bill just a little bit more clear to the lay
8	reader that on the HDFC properties every effort, all
9	efforts will be made to ensure that these units,
10	these buildings remain in affordability, in
11	affordability program. So the, the sticky wicket as
12	I, as I understood it that the chair was getting at
13	is what do you do with the rental property that has
14	now come out of rent regulation where that has
15	expired right So and I think that's what she was
16	trying to get at in asking you about how do we
17	guarantee that those units. And your answer was well
18	they'll still be the, the units themselves and the
19	individuals staying there will still have their rent
20	regulatory leases right, agreements. And the problem
21	is what happens if those are just 20 year leases and
22	they end and they come out. What do we do then? And
23	so I'm wondering, I guess I'm just echoing her point
24	if we could work on language that would make it
25	clear to lay language that would make it clearer

lien sale.

that every effort will be made to keep those units affordable.

JEFFREY SHEAR: Sorry... we, we would

welcome the opportunity to have a conversation about how to make it clearer that we're going to do everything to preserve, everything we can to preserve affordable housing, that is of course our goal just to, again the where there's a regulatory agreement rent stabilization that survives, where there's not, it is a reality that we have at times where we then try to engage the owner to maintain affordability to get a new tax benefit or accept subsidy but that is occasionally a, a dynamic that we face. And that's true for properties prior to the tax lien sale... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure.

JEFFREY SHEAR: ...as well as after a tax

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure. So what we're trying to do here is use the hook of the tax lien sale as an opportunity to clarify that the goal is to keep these units in affordability. So I think that's what we're trying to get at. Yes it is a

2.2

stumbling block that these units have, have expired agreements.

JEFFREY SHEAR: So yes we, we certainly want to preserve affordable housing that is, part of the bill is the idea that we would continue that conversation of having improve the process and, and ensure that the properties remain affordable and we'd welcome the opportunity to continue that conversation additional ways to get at that goal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. Do you have suggested language that you would, you know maybe we should start the ball there, I mean not right now obviously but...

BABA HELM: Hi Council Member. My name is Baba Helm. I'm Assistant Commissioner for Government Relations at HPD. The bill talks about the, that, the city and through the taskforce with a number of agencies including HPD is going to be looking at the tax lien sale.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yep.

BABA HELM: And in particular the impact on affordable housing and that through the taskforce and the meetings that we will engage and include the council on we can holistically look at the process,

2.2

the number of properties that have gone through the tax lien sale, because for each building that, that the circumstances of the building may differ and, and whether or not affordability has been truly lost we can also consider it at that time.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah no the task force is, task force is the step forward that's so amazing. And you know I have full faith in that.

I'm just wondering if there was some way of, of tweaking this bill to, to codify that that is the goal of the task force or that... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: ...we have, we have... it's clearly stipulated in the bill, the goal of the taskforce. I think we will be revisiting it and if there is language that we can... [cross-talk] improve upon we'll do that from, we'll give you the recommendation how about that?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh sorry...

[cross-talk] that's what I meant to say, not the other way around so...

CHAIR: We'll, we'll continue our conversations where very much in, in contact an...

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it sorry.

CHAIR: ...make sure to tweak that.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sorry. Thank
3 you very much.

BABA HELM: Thank you.

CHAIR: Okay. Alright so I'm going to ask a, a few more questions and we're actually going to be wrapping up. So I wanted to talk, first outreach I have to say I've done two outreach sessions with the administration and some of my colleagues have also... Okay my colleagues have also and they've been phenomenal. The turnout has been amazing. I think there is a difference between having calls made to people as opposed to just mailings. The automatic, the robo-calls really work. And it's, really was a pleasure to be able to record those auto calls because a lot of constituents feel a different relationship with their elected officials or even some ways like oh my god Julissa called me I better go. As opposed to commissioner Gia who we love but you know they don't necessarily see I think there's the sense of being called to action. I wanted to talk... so I wanted to thank you. I think it's working, we just have to figure out ways... We're pulling together a round table with the, those districts that have a higher lien sale internally so

2.2

we're also trying to work together and figure out
processes that work best for our constituents.
Because we really actively want to, we really hope
that when we revisit this next lien sale our numbers
have dropped in different areas in New York City
where we've seen a consistent high number of lien
sales. I wanted to talk about the emergency repair
charges. In 2011 the city added emergency repairs as
a standalone charge because at the time there was
over 44 million dollars in unpaid ERP charges which
accounted for 38 percent of the debt owed to the
city. The lien sale has been seen as a great
enforcement tool has a delinquency rate of ERPs debt
decline since 2011.

SAMARA KARASYK: I don't have that number with me today. We'll have to work with HPD to get that for you. I know that it has improved it but I just don't know what the number is.

CHAIR: Okay so when you answer that question I'd like to know the rate of the delinquency and what particular challenges do homeowners face in paying off the uh emergency repair charges? It's 44 million dollars, it's something that's important to this council

obviously. And, and then before I know Council
Member Rodriguez has some questions I wanted to talk
specifically about the non-profits. I know that
there was a bit of a crisis, the last administration
for some time didn't necessarily list the yearly
renewal. Then there was a part where the last
administration did enforce the yearly renewal and
it's created kind of, well it's created a confusion
for many of our non-profits and, which, which often
find themselves in the lien sale process. Can you
please describe the current non-profit renewal
process including ways DOF notifies non-profits that
it is time for them to renew?

profits renewal notice in august or September for the renewal that is due on January 5<sup>th</sup> giving them four months to complete the simple form online. We also make phone calls and we have been sending emails, up to seven emails. The renewal notice has a password they need to submit the form online. We do make exceptions if someone needs to submit a paper form. We notice people at least four times telling them by both email and hard mail.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR: Does DOF currently do anything to notify non-profits that didn't renew their exemption at the beginning of the year, of the process to have their back taxes cancelled once they renew their property tax exemption. I found that some non-profits, once they're trying to resolve the problem find themselves in limbo where they're still getting billed for those years that they weren't part of the exemption and there's all this confusion which, and in many cases, in some cases there is non-profits that owe thousands of dollars in property taxes. So they get renewed on that year. However the back tax years they fall in this limbo and there seems to be a disconnect between what is owed and where they are in status present year.

SAMARA KARASYK: Okay so most not-forprofits that fail to renew and then apply anew and
qualify for the exemption manage to have those
retroactive benefits, we, retroactive charges wiped
out as long as they can prove continued use and we
will work with them and the controller's office and,
you know to try and make that happen. There are some
that can't have them wiped out either because they
didn't qualify for the exemption at the time or they

2.1

2.2

only have a partial exemption so that is sometimes something that we will see in the lien sale for example is a not-for-profit uses part of their location, a church has a daycare center and the daycare center doesn't qualify for the not-for-profit uses so that portion of the building does still owe taxes, so that does sometimes happen too.

CHAIR: And how long does this wiping out process take?

SAMARA KARASYK: When they come to us and submit a new application if they can prove that they have continued use that qualified for the exemption once we've processed the application I don't, I don't know that it takes that long. It kind of depends how far back it goes...

CHAIR: Right.

SAMARA KARASYK: ...like how long they've fallen off for. Since we started the renewal period it hasn't typically been that long of period of time.

CHAIR: Because there's still some nonprofits out there that are part of that, those lag
years where they were assuming that they didn't have
to renew. And it's many years, it could be eight to

2.2

ten years in some cases. So it's all that collective property tax issue. And, and many of us see it in our district offices. And I just want to clarify for the, for the purpose of this hearing and anybody watching that one they should probably reach out to their council members but if they can't reach out to their council members who can they reach out to and what do they need to provide to you so that this can be addressed?

SAMARA KARASYK: Well they can email us directly. We have an email address not-for-profit at finance dot NYC dot GOV and if they email us we will walk them through whatever it is they need to do. If they give us a phone number we will contact them.

They can of course also contact us through 3-1-1 and that will be sent to us so that we can follow-up. We have the applications available on our website and all the new applications tell them exactly what they need to do to fill out the instructions, the bylaws that they need to submit and everything else. But since you did mention people are watching can I just quickly plug... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Absolutely.

2.2

well.

SAMARA KARASYK: Please if you're a not-for-profit and you have not renewed please renew right away. The deadline was January 5<sup>th</sup> but we still encourage you to get that application in before the final role in May. So we're coming out with the assessment role next week, not for profits that didn't renew will show their full value on the notice of property value that we mail in January. However we will work with them to try to get them back on by the time the final role is in place in May and we've done that for the past few years as

CHAIR: Great. Thank you. Council Member Rodriguez.

council Member Rodriguez: A year ago I say that I was so proud to be part of this team where we the council, the administration, working together we will be making history. And, and no doubt that I you know I pretty sure that couple of year from now when we will not be at the council anymore or when your no be commission or party... we can say that we had the best administration, the most progress administration even though some people tried to divide us but they would not do it. We'll

become stronger than ever. So one of the area where
I, where we, I hope that we can work together as a
team is on affordable housing. So HDFC I believe
that in the previous administration we left them to
fail. And in, also how there was a lack of support
especially from the financial perspective on how
they should be able to take care of the taxes. So
what I hope that with the new approach instead we
should be looking as a, a, as a the city, as a
great opportunity to keep especially working class
people the opportunity to keep they own apartment.
So that's my invitation. Hope that from the, you
know the new perspective with the new administration
should be to do whatever we can. If there's any plan
to keep those if we feel that those non-for-profit
they don't have they, a structure in place and they
are failing to pay the taxes how are we supporting,
how are you supporting for an HPD or any other
agency those group of tenants who live in many of
those building that they don't have the experience
on taking care of the taxes. So what are you doing
to supporting?

\_

CHRIS ALLRED: So the Division of Asset

Management was created about five years specifically
to get it... [cross-talk]

And, and before you get into that. The question, what I don't want to hear is the same thing that I heard two years ago. Because two years ago we let that particular group of tenants who own this building to fail. I believe that there was a plan to let those people fail so that we can transfer those building to private lenders. So what are we doing different with the new administration than when we were doing a year ago?

CHRIS ALLRED: Whenever a property is at risk the Division of Asset Management Attempts to engage with the owner or owners and look at solutions to preserve the affordable, preserve that affordable housing stock and you know that, there are different ways we, we'll approach that depending if it's rental or co-op. It may be that we'll look to sign a regulatory agreement that might include some tax a bans, changing the terms of the regulatory agreement, additional subsidy, additional tax benefits, you know we'll bring whatever tools we

2.2

can to try to preserve the, the housing, and that's
our goal.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And what, what... [cross-talk]

CHRIS ALLRED: And, and the, I'm sorry the, one of the advantages of the tax lien sale process is that it actually helps us engage in those conversations with those owners and allow us to then collaboratively look for solutions.

[off mic comments]

CHRIS ALLRED: So it, it's you know different for different buildings but it, it, we might look to get a new tax benefit. There might be, it might be part of a larger workout where there's a, private debt comes in along with city subsidy and there's rehab at the same time that, that money is brought in to address the, the arrears, like I said there could be uh tax a bans for co-ops. There, there are a variety of tools but from our standpoint the important thing is that we're engaging then in conversations. Very often municipal, municipal debt is indicative of larger problems and so by starting the conversation about municipal debt we can often get to the bigger underlying issues.

back to you with the, the number that specifically are co-ops.

[off mic comments]

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHRIS ALLRED: Absolutely.

CHAIR: Thank you Council Member Rodriguez. HDFCs are hot today. But there really are been important core value to the city and, and housing preservations. We have, we've been joined by Council Member Gibson and two questions before we wrap up. One of them is what happens if the city discovers a lien was mistakenly sold and can it be bought back and pays for it, for the mistake? And

in, I guess equally also with the water bills if we find errors what happens with those?

JEFFREY SHEAR: The city reimburses the trust for defected liens and the liability is placed back on finances books as it was prior to the lien sale.

CHAIR: So there's no portion that remains at, with the property owner in the lien sale?

SAMARA KARASYK: There are no charges associated with the lien sale that, that they have but they still have the tax liability.

CHAIR: Right, okay. And is that the same for water?

EMILY LLOYD: Yes.

CHAIR: And what happens in cases where a class one property's provided a qualifying property tax exemption after the lien has been sold? And would the lien be deemed defective?

JEFFREY SHEAR: The lien is defected entirely if it is determined that the property qualifies for an eligible personal exemption after the lien sale. We review up to 90 days after the lien sale.

2.2

2 CHAIR: And after that? Do we review again 3 at any point or is it 90, only 90 days?

SAMARA KARASYK: Well we review 90 days for the ones that sort of started the application process during the lien sale because sometimes it takes past the date of a lien sale for those, those to be done. But I mean we look at every case on a case by case basis if it turns out that someone did qualify for the personal exemption. We'll, we'll look at that and you know we, we would also deem it defective... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Because the personal exemption... [cross-talk]

SAMARA KARASYK: ...turns out they had... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: ...you can get up to the day of the, date of the sale or the day before the sale?

SAMARA KARASYK: They have to have gotten their application in by the date of the sale but like if say they get their application in the day before the sale and then they have to give us some remaining documentation, like we'll still look at that, and that period afterwards. [cross-talk]

2.2

CHAIR: And if they get you the, if you, if they apply and you find that the exemption existed after the actual sale does that no longer qualify for the exemption.

SAMARA KARASYK: I think it would depend like when they got in touch with us, how far, you know how far along they went with the process...

[cross-talk]

CHAIR: So they have to actively be engaging with you at some point before the sale?

SAMARA KARASYK: I believe so. I, I mean I can get back to you on the details.

CHAIR: Can you?

SAMARA KARASYK: Yeah.

CHAIR: Please.

SAMARA KARASYK: I mean something that you know unfortunately we face sometimes is people will sort of fill out the checklist every year. My outreach staff actually are like on yeah I know that person. Every year they fill out the check list and it turns out they don't, they don't actually qualify because they know that that will help them... [crosstalk] So we try and strike a balance between making sure that it's really qualified people for those

2.1

exemptions that we're going to pull out. And so we,
we do try, have to check them all before we could do
that.

CHAIR: Okay DOF sends out four notices, one at 90, 60, 30, and 10 days before the lien sale. Have you considered sending out notices at 120 days before the sale to allow owners additional time to save funds and pay for their debt? I know DEP does so it might be proven to work, I'm just saying.

SAMARA KARASYK: Yeah, well we do the October notice which we sent out this year as well and we have two different October notices, one we send to anyone that owes more than 1,000 dollars in taxes they're less likely to qualify for the lien sale but there's a risk that they could if they owed more than a thousand in taxes. And then there's another one for people that we think are much more likely to qualify for the lien sale that's a little more strongly worded. So we do do that October notice.

22 CHAIR: In October.

SAMARA KARASYK: Yeah.

CHAIR: So that puts... I'm sorry, I'm calculating my days.

2 SAMARA KARASYK: So let's see February,
3 February's the 90 day so that would be...

CHAIR: Okay.

2.2

SAMARA KARASYK: November. And then we also have lien sale information in the notice of property value that's general information about the lien sale but explains how it works and how you would end up in a lien sale.

much for coming to testify. Again I apologize for our late start. It's always a pleasure working with you guys. This process has been incredibly educational but also we've bale to get a lot of what we needed in the council from the council's perspective into this lien sale. And I'm looking forward to voting this out. Thank you very much for your testimony.

[combined thank-you's]

Chair: And we'll call up our next panel and happy new year. If we could just make sure that someone stays from the administration to hear the testimony I'd appreciate it.

1

3

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2.1 2.2

23

24

25

UNKNOWN FEMALE: We will now hear from Harvey Epstein [sp?], Justin Haynes, Caroline Nagy, and Moses Gates.

JUSTIN HAYNES: Is it on? Okay hi. My

name's Justin Haynes. I'm the Director of Foreclosure Prevention at the Legal Services NYC Bronx office. And I'm delivering testimony on behalf of our foreclosure prevention practice across the city mainly in the four outer boroughs. And I do have to say I do feel a little bit like I'm in an alternate universe because the foreclosure prevention work we do is often court based and so we're seeing people, home owners who are facing the, not only facing the lien sale, you know they come to us with a mortgage problem but they also have water and tax problem so we try and assist them in getting affordable, help them with tax exemptions and help them with payment plans to avoid the lien sale. But we also see people you know at all stages of the tax lien foreclosure and they have a very different experience then what you know was sort of described today so I, I want to share I think most importantly those client stories with you today. And just one other note that's not in my testimony. But really

2	this is you know a time of , you know it's been an
3	extremely difficult time for New Yorkers, for home
4	owners who have lost their jobs, who had subprime
5	mortgages that exploded and really this is add, the
6	tax lien sale has added an additional stressor
7	during this really difficult you know mortgage
8	foreclosure period. By putting people who may not,
9	it contributes to making their mortgages sometimes
10	unaffordable because a tax lien, I mean a mortgage
11	servicer wants to maintain priority position for
12	their mortgage. And so a lot of times they will pay
13	the taxes or the water bill. And if it's
14	significant, if it's 24, 24 thousand dollars then
15	under the real estate settlement procedures act
16	they're really entitled to recover that expense that
17	they put out on behalf of the home owner in a 12
18	month period. So that can add several thousand
19	dollars if they paid of a large lien to the, the
20	mortgage which then drives homeowners into mortgage
21	foreclosure as well. But you're also adding a class
22	people who didn't have a mortgage who are now facing
23	foreclosure specifically because of this. And I
24	understand there was a great amount of money
25	outstanding to the city but it has to be balanced

2	against sort of the experience of home owners, long
3	time home owners, especially the ones without
4	mortgages who have paid off their mortgages and were
5	putting them at risk of losing their homes. I just
6	want to share, share some of these stories. We're,
7	we're very, you know this is my third time
8	testifying about the tax lien sale. There, it's
9	great to see new protections going into you know
10	increased notice, increased ability to have outreach
11	events in council members districts and we support
12	all those things and, and, or we see that they will
13	benefit our clients. And very importantly we're very
14	excited about this task force and I would encourage
15	the council to consider bringing in housing
16	counsellors and legal service providers who deal
17	with this on the daily basis because we have a lot
18	of direct experience with the tax lien sale and its
19	affects. So let me share some client stories. One
20	point I want to make is that there, it has, there
21	has to be a better way to remove defective tax liens
22	from, from foreclosure and from the lien sale that
23	has to be improved. And also the code itself both
24	you know this is what chapter 11 sections three and
25	four governing tax lien sales and tax lien

2	foreclosures. It's written in an extremely outdated
3	way. It, it was written in, in a way when the you
4	know DOF maintained these In Rem cards with
5	information about the homeowner, you know the
6	homeowner who should be notified. It also was
7	written to reflect when the city conducted the
8	foreclosures themselves. And they haven't really up,
9	been updated to reflect that these are third party
10	sales to tax lien trusts. And really there needs to
11	be comprehensive look at both chapter three and four
12	and all the provisions related to tax lien
13	foreclosures and make them reflect today's reality
14	where you know DOF doesn't keep little you know
15	cards in, in a box anymore. It's computerized and
16	there's these third, third party sales. So let me
17	tell you about Edith. She came in October 2012 to
18	our court based foreclosure prevention clinic in the
19	Bronx. And her case was unusual in that she believed
20	that she was up to date on her property taxes at
21	Shore Haven Condominiums. And even though she was,
22	even though she was current she was being sued in a
23	tax lien foreclosure. And she tried to tell the
24	trust lien attorneys that this was the case, that
25	she's paid up on her taxes but they disregarded her

and scheduled her foreclosure auction anyway. And it 
was a, from when I met her it was going to occur in
two weeks from then. Ultimately our investigation
revealed that Edith was correct, she had paid her
taxes on her, on her condo. We discovered a huge
error that Department of Finance had made. When the
tax lots were designated, so when they built Shore
Haven, they, they had initial plan, only half of it
was built and they assigned all the tax lots for the
parts that were built and the parts that had not yet
been built. But you know as development goes on the
plans for what actually got built changed. And they,
they reduced the number of condos which then
affected the tax lot IDs. So what then happened was
there was a condo amendment that re-designated for
the block that Edith lived on 12 different condos
got new tax lot IDs. The problem is DOF never
updated their records to reflect these new tax lot
IDs. In fact they maintained the new tax lot ID
numbers and the old tax lot ID numbers. So she had
paid her taxes on her correct tax lot ID. And the
old one, number that should have been deleted never
got deleted. And what happened is eventually those
DOF charges became tax liens that got sold in a tax

2	lien sale that then became foreclosures. So she was
3	being sued in foreclosure and two weeks away from a
4	foreclosure sale of her property for a tax lot that
5	didn't exist. So we got the proof of this together.
6	We sent it to the attorney, the attorney for the tax
7	lien agreed to stop the sale but he wouldn't, he
8	wouldn't dismiss the foreclosure case. He said that
9	the tax lien trust and their servicers wanted to do
10	an investigation. And for over two year, well for a
11	year and a half I waited for the results of that
12	investigation and it never came. Then come into,
13	someone else come into my clinic, Jessica, with the
14	same exact problem. I do the research, she lives on
15	the same exact block. And you couldn't believe how
16	frustrated I was that I identified the serious
17	defect to DOF and to the tax lien trust and it not
18	only affected these two people that walked into my
19	office but they were, when Edith came in there were
20	two other pending foreclosures. And by the time
21	Jessica came into my office there were five
22	foreclosures pending on lots that should not have
23	existed anymore. So this time I got mad, I did the
24	motion to dismiss, I asked for attorney's fees and
25	sanctions against them because I brought this to

2 their attention and they were supposedly investigating it. Eventually this time one of the 3 servicers, MTAG, agreed with my analysis about, I 4 showed them the condo amendment that repeatedly over 5 and over showed, showed that these tax lot should 6 7 have been changed. And they agreed with me. But then they had to get DOF signoff on getting the tax lot, 8 I mean the lien, the defective liens pulled. And 9 that took another seven months to get that. So for, 10 for Edith she, for over two years she thought that 11 12 she was going to lose her house to a defective, you 13 know for, and she'd always paid her taxes, Jessica always paid her taxes, and yet they were facing 14 15 foreclosure, and they were never compensated for 16 that kind of stress that they had to live under for several years while DOF and the tax lien trust 17 18 really tried to research this issue, on something that should never have happened in the first place. 19 20 And some of the arguments that the tax lien trust used were that they tried to say that parts of the 21 2.2 code that I was citing for the ability for the court 23 to review whether these were valid tax liens or not they tried to, or they argued where that was only 24 applicable when the city conducted the foreclosure 25

2	sales themselves. So this, the fact that you know
3	And most cases we're assuming the tax lien trust is
4	stepping into the shoes of the, the city into the
5	code in reality this, you know this changeover
6	between the city conducting the foreclosures and the
7	trust doing the foreclosures is you know, is being
8	used against homeowners. And so really I encourage
9	the council to look at the code and get it up to
10	date. And they also tried to say that this, she, you
11	know Jessica should have engaged in every
12	administrative exhaustion, exhaust all
13	administrative remedies possible like doing an
14	article 78 or a tax… case which have very short
15	deadlines and you know clearly these were defective
16	liens.
17	CHAIR: I'm, I'm sorry to interrupt
18	JUSTIN HAYNES: Yeah.
19	CHAIR:just for clarity purposes
20	JUSTIN HAYNES: Sure.
21	CHAIR:can you give me a year, the, what
22	point in, or what year were these foreclosure cases

that you were dealing with happening?

2.2

JUSTIN HAYNES: They were, she came in, in

2012. I think, I think the index number, I can get

back to you but I think...

CHAIR: Yeah.

 $\label{eq:JUSTIN HAYNES: I mean I have the index} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{MAYNES: I mean I have the index} \end{center} \end{center}$  numbers at, at home.

CHAIR: I just want to compare because you know this administration says things aren't happening and in the prior administration... [cross-talk]

JUSTIN HAYNES: This was definitely in 2012. And what frustrated me is that Jessica came in in 2013 and although in that case was a 2013 index number based on these defective liens.

CHAIR: Right.

JUSTIN HAYES: And the other weird thing is that they, these old lot numbers, I think DOF didn't know what to do with them and so they were being assessed at a different building class that was much much higher like the rates of the tax that were being assessed were astronomical. They were like... I think they were treating it like a surveyor probably went out there and said I don't know what this tax lot is, let me count the whole building or

2	something like that. So but yeah the, the,
3	definitely in 2013 the second foreclosure, set of
4	foreclosures were started. I just want to point out
5	you know as I stated that this is adding to the
6	foreclosure crisis by bringing homes into
7	foreclosure that don't have mortgages. Augustine
8	came to our clinic on a Thursday with his
9	foreclosure scheduled the following Monday. He had
10	grown up in this home which he inherited from his
11	mom in 1991. It's a two family home, he rented the
12	other unit out to a senior citizen couple on social
13	security who only paid him 600 dollars a month and,
14	which is an important reminder that homes needlessly
15	lost a foreclosure also represent the loss of
16	affordable rental housing to New York City low on
17	moderate income neighborhoods. Augustine had no
18	mortgage and was up to date on his property taxes
19	but he was behind on his water charges. He had
20	fallen behind when he lost his job at a non-profit
21	and his elderly tenants experienced health problems
22	and had difficulty paying the rent. The water lien
23	was originally 27 thousand but by the end of the
24	foreclosure process had grown to 45 thousand. We
25	helped Augustine file an order to show cause to stop

the sale which gave us enough time to apply for the
New York State MAP program. Once upon a time there
were two different loan programs that we could use
to bail homeowners out when they could show like an
ability to go forward. Now keep in mind the ability
to get a mortgage in the last you know six years has
been extremely difficult. So even people who didn't
have a mortgage who might want to get a mortgage to
pay off their tax lien the market was just so choked
and you know I, I can show you lots of reports about
how homeowners in minority neighborhoods in New York
City are being denied conventional loans at higher
than normal rates. So it's just been really hard for
homeowners to try and bail themselves out even if
they didn't have a mortgage and wanted to get a
mortgage to then pay off these liens. But there were
two rescue funds. One was the GAP loan fund where
you could get up to 25 thousand dollars. And then
there's the New York State MAP program which
Caroline will talk more about because it's a project
of the, the attorney general. You can get up to 40
thousand and we are, one available use is to use it
to pay off a tax lien foreclosure. The thing that
you should be aware of, it's a finite, it's

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 68
2	definitely a finite resource and it's meant for the
3	entire state. So the, to the extent that New York
4	City homeowners are going to benefit from it it's
5	going to be very limited.
6	CHAIR: So I'm going to ask in your
7	testimony, I know you have many of these points in
8	vour testimony we just [cross-talk]

JUSTIN HAYES: Yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR: ...have to let other panelists to speak and I need to get out of this room...

JUSTIN HAYES: Okay.

CHAIR: ...sooner rather than later.

JUSTIN HAYES: Let me just make a couple quick quick...

CHAIR: Quick.

JUSTIN HAYES: I'll, I'll whip it up.

CHAIR: Okay whip it up.

JUSTIN HAYES: Okay. There's another issue we've seen a pattern with homeowners who own two lots where either the mortgage company or the homeowner has been paying the taxes on one lot but they own the adjacent lot which happens to be their driveway, their yard, what have you. And sometimes the DOF address for that, that, they're not getting

2 the notices because the address is defective. 3 Sometimes they're not getting the notice, they're just not aware of it and they mortgage company's not 4 paying it and a lot of people are, are... so I think that could be improved. I would also, you know we've 6 had homeowners served in foreclosure with sloppy personal service. The interest rates, the costs and 8 fees are really excessive. We had one case where 9 someone had a lien for 104, originally started out 10 11 at 31 thousand but it's now 104 thousand and that 12 includes 66 thousand dollars in interest. We find 13 that DOF line staff often misrepresent what payment 14 plans are available to people and they don't, they 15 tell them they do have to make a down payment and 16 that becomes a barrier. And just one last note. I 17 would encourage any future hearings about the tax 18 lien sale that you invite the, the two major services MTAG and Tower to talk about their 19 20 experiences once they own the lot, the, the liens. Because one thing that's really significant 2.1 2.2 is their payment plans after the lien is sold and 23 they have it are extremely expensive. They do require large down payments and they only allow 24 25 repayment over one to three years. And most

homeowners find that unaffordable. And I find it
unbelievable that only 15 of the liens ever went
through the lien sale have resulted in foreclosure
because when we have a case that's a, a a tax lien

6 foreclosure there's very few remedies for helping

7 that person. So thank you for the ability to testify

8 today.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CAROLINE NAGY: Hello. My name is Caroline Nagy. I'm the Policy Manager at the Center for New York City Neighborhoods and thank you for inviting me to testify today. So at the Center for New York City Neighborhoods we work to protect and preserve affordable home ownership in New York City and we work closely with Justin's organizations like Legal Services of New York City among our many other network partners that provide community based foreclosure prevention services throughout the five boroughs in New York City. And I would like to basically co-sign what, what, what was, what has already been said because our testimony really follows along the same lines and I don't want to take up too much time. But basically we see the tax lien foreclosures, tax lien, the sale of tax liens for owner occupied class one properties as a major

2 threat to, to our admission of promoting and 3 protecting affordable and sustainable home ownership in New York City. And I would, I'd like to give a 5 few examples of homeowners who are network partners who've worked with. The first who we gave the name 6 7 Mr. M was actually already identified in Justin's testimony. And I just wanted to comment about some 8 particular aspects, the particular aspect of his 9 case. He was days away from a foreclosure auction 10 which would have left himself as well as two elderly 11 12 tenants, one of who had, was suffering from cancer, 13 homeless which would have been at a, obviously a great cost to the city and it's only because this 14 15 came up in October that he was able to get the New 16 York State Mortgage Assistance Program loan to cover 17 the tax lien. Because up until just this autumn the 18 Center for New York City Neighborhoods didn't offer that as a, or the program itself didn't offer that 19 20 as an option. So it was extremely lucky, just a matter of timing and days before auction that this 21 2.2 was a resource and they were actually able to save 23 the house. And while it's great that the mortgage 24 assistance program was there for this homeowner and his tenants it, you know it's a finite resource and 25

2	it's a public resource. And in this case you know
3	when you have a 27 thousand dollar lien that's now
4	42 thousand you know the, you know which is the
5	remainder going to a servicer you know I would
6	question if that's you know always the best, the
7	best way to go about saving someone's home.
8	Additionally we had Mrs. G, a 78 year old senior
9	citizen, who also faced foreclosure due to a tax
10	lien that was sold in 2012. And again she came to
11	legal services for the elderly in Queens with a,
12	sorry with a tax lien. She had lived in her home for
13	46 years, experienced financial hardship when a
14	roommate moved out, and then she suffered from
15	depression which made it very difficult for her to
16	navigate the system which you know we said is, can
17	be, can be quite difficult and not always as user
18	friendly. And so she wasn't aware that there was
19	services available to help her when she received a
20	summons and complaint so she defaulted in answering.
21	She was, she was able to save her house due to this
22	last-minute assistance and entering into a payment
23	plan. However you know her, her financial burden is
24	going to be much higher going forward. And then
25	finally Ms. L, a senior citizen, came to another one

2	of our network partners, MFY, two days before an
3	auction sale on her home as a result of a tax lien
4	foreclosure. And this was a case where the, the
5	woman, the senior citizen believed that she had
6	actually paid off her lien. That's what she had, she
7	had reason to believe that. And unfortunately her
8	payment was a thousand dollars shy of what was
9	actually due. And so that, that leaded, that, she
10	was never told that she owed them an extra thousand
11	and so the foreclosure was proceeded against her.
12	And ultimately MFY was able to step in. But again I
13	mean these three cases these people would have lost
14	their homes if it weren't for the last minute
15	heroics of their legal services attorneys. And
16	there's likely you know more cases where people
17	don't receive this last minute help that you know
18	were, they would end up losing the home. So with
19	regards to the proposed legislation there're some
2,0	things that we're very happy to see. The exclusion
21	of Sandy damaged homes is very, we think that's,
22	that's great. I mean home owners impacted by Sandy
23	have faced tremendous hardships and there's, you
24	know people both at neighbors and individuals as
25	well as the city, state, and federal government are

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

investing huge amounts of money and bringing everyone back. And so the sale of a tax lien would be a step in the opposite direction. Additionally the quarterly reporting requirements I think would give us a lot better information about what's happening to the home owners. And then finally the temporary task force to address these issues, we see that as a very positive step. But again we'd like to see some representatives of community based foreclosure prevention organizations to participate on the task force because we believe they have a, a perspective that comes from working every day with these home owners that would add greatly to the process. And finally for longer term solutions we still would like to see an exemption ultimately of owner occupied class one properties from the lien sale. And realizing that that might not be possible we, another proposal that we have is to expand the DEP water assistance program to... right now covers homeowners who are in foreclosure. But since not all homeowners have mortgages when they run into these issues we'd like to see more exemptions maybe for low income home owners and also maybe to see it as a model for expanding to tax liens as well as water

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

liens. And then finally our last recommendation as I said was to have some community representation on the task force. So thank you very much.

Harvey Epstein: Hello, my name is Harvey Epstein from, I'm the Associate Director at the Urban Justice Center. Thank you Chair Ferreras for your leadership and Council Member Gibson for sticking it out with us. So our colleagues focused on the homeowner foreclosures an we're going to focus on the multi-family foreclosures, really focusing on the HDFC rental and, and... market is, as HPD said earlier is a little over a 33 hundred units, the buildings we think about 85 thousand units. 35 thousand are co-ops. 50 thousand are rentals. And so in that market we really want to focus on the, the co-ops we, and the rentals who are faced foreclosure. As Chairwoman you spoke... about this issue with HPD. We're really concerned about these buildings going through the foreclosure process. These are buildings that have substantial government investment, or substantial periods of times buildings went through a process where the government rehabbed these units and then became either a limited equity co-ops or non-profit

2 rentals. The idea that these buildings who go 3 through tax liens sales and then potentially lose ... because there's no long a regulatory agreement in 4 5 effect is problematic. As we all know the rent stabilization laws are truly flawed and units come 6 7 out of rent stabilization. You know we see 10,000 units 12,000 units every year coming out of rent 8 stabilization system and there's no protections in 9 effect here to, to prevent that from happening. All 10 our goal is to preserve affordable housing and our, 11 12 our hope is that we can remove the HDFC's rentals 13 and co-ops from the tax lien sales or put additional 14 protections in effect that they severely restrict 15 them. One, if there's regulatory agreement there's 16 only protections during the term of the regulatory 17 agreement. When there isn't a regulatory agreement 18 there's really no protections at all when such units become vacant. There might be protections for the 19 20 tenants in occupancy but once those units become vacant owners like any owner can then do gut rehabs 21 2.2 and put those units up to market, you know they can 23 do 140, 160 improvements and those units become unaffordable to New Yorkers. So we'd like to be able 24 25 to see that not happen. So our goal is to, one is to

2.2

once focus on trying to remove them from tax liens and if we can't get them removed from tax lien sales we'd like to see additional protections on the... rental market. And I support our colleague's request that advocates be added to the task force because I think long term experiences that we have and you have really can go hand in hand to think about what the best issues and the needs for the New York City around tax lien sales. So thank you very much.

MOSES GATES: Thank you. I will also attempt to keep HDFCs hot but just for a little while longer. My name's Moses Gates. I'm from the Association for Neighborhood Housing Development. I'd like to echo my colleague's concerns especially on the community representation or other stakeholder representation on the taskforce. I mean not to, not to get too beyond it but I would even say you know representation from the financial community might be valuable on the task force and that otherwise it should go a bit beyond government. On the HDFC sales I have to really disagree with HPD's representation of the issue in a few ways. The first is that it's not the same negotiating with the entity that owns the asset before and after the sale. All of the

2	HDFCs that are currently under lien sale are all
3	owned by mission driven not-for-profit
4	organizations. After the foreclosure they're owned
5	by a profit motivated financial institution. That's
6	a very different negotiation and a real threat to
7	affordability. Even if you have some form of
8	protection through rent stabilization or even
9	through a regulatory agreement it's a very different
10	negotiation. And I would point out that there's
11	nothing in the actual proposed legislation that
12	mandates any kind of protection for a regulatory
13	agreement or even mandates rent stabilization in the
14	actual text of the legislation. And you know we, we
15	have worked with HPD Asset Management very closely.
16	We have a lot of respect for them. But when you're
17	talking about legislation that's going to be you
18	know we feel like we really have to have some real
19	legal protections in there. And if, even if you have
20	a regulatory agreement a profit motivated
21	institution is thinking one of two things, either
22	they're paying a decent hunk of change for the lien
23	and their idea is not to keep it affordable, you
24	know their idea is to somehow work around the
25	regulations or do something and work the asset for,

2.1

2.2

you know for their return on investment, or if there is really tight regulatory agreements and affordability restrictions then that lien is basically worthless and the financial institution I paying a very very very low amount and then you get really into questions, well is this in the city's financial interest to even sell the lien on the building. HPD has another option for their shtick. They can take these buildings through the third party transfer process, transfer them to responsible owners that are known by HPD that are community minded to stabilize the affordability restrictions and that's really the process that we would like to see enshrined. Thank you.

point. Just, just on the Asset Management Team at HPD they have one staff member. So we have 11 hundred HDFC co-ops alone. So... buildings that'll take 10 percent of them... some kind of financial distress there's only one staff member who can even facilitates these conversations. And I, Council Member Rodriguez raised... question. What are the new programs that are available that HPD has for them because we haven't seen them? And if we really want

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to stabilize these units, these 35 thousand units which could be getting to our 200 thousand number pretty quickly if we did something, this is where we need to invest resources to, to preserve these units.

CHAIR: Thank you very much. And we have someone here, Alex is here from DOF so I would advise you all to take Alex's card. But also Alex has been here taking notes to get back to the commissioner. I'm going to be speaking to the DOF commissioner right after this hearing actually and your suggestions are something that I take very seriously and I want you to know that your point on the task force is something that we're going to try to figure out how best to do that within the structure that we've committed to because we've committed, getting the task force was like a huge thing as you can imagine. But your voices will never not be in the room. It's about how best to have your experiences included in those conversations in the task force. And HDFCs is something that's important to our city as are all other homeowners that are going through this process. I would hate to think that someone in my, in East Elmhurst or in the Bronx

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 81
2	who finally managed to pay off their mortgage would
3	lose their home to the water bill. Like you know
4	that's not what this city is about or what the
5	intentions of these lien sales are meant. So I don't
6	know… you… I think you wanted, did you want to say
7	something else?
8	JUSTIN HAYES: I just want to make one
9	other point. In the mortgage context when someone's
LO	being sewed in foreclosure the, the court notices,
L1	or the notices that go to homeowners include the
L2	numbers of advocates in their local area. And I
L3	wonder if we should think about adjusting the, the
L 4	many notices that go out to include advocacy
L5	organizations or the Center for New York City
L 6	Neighborhoods which has a… [cross-talk]
L7	CHAIR: I think that is a fantastic point.
L8	Everybody's feverishly writing over here.
L9	JUSTIN HAYES: Okay.
20	CHAIR: Yes we will give you credit
21	JUSTIN HAYES: Because as you see we, you
22	know we were, we run into a lot of people very late

CHAIR: Yes.

in the game...

24

23

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 82
2	JUSTIN HAYES:sometimes days before
3	they're
4	CHAIR: But it's the same thing that
5	happens in the council offices. No one comes to me
6	with enough time. Everyone comes to me like I'm
7	getting evicted tomorrow. And people usually go to
8	organizations when the, when they no longer can
9	handle it or what they believe they can handle
10	themselves. I think that is a phenomenal suggestion.
11	We're going to get right to it.
12	JUSTIN HAYES: Okay.
13	CHAIR: And again, you, please stay close
14	and, and make sure that we communicate effectively.
15	Thank you very much for your testimony today. We're
16	going to call this hearing to a close.
17	[gavel]
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 12, 2015