CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----- X

October 28, 2014 Start: 1:40 p.m. Recess: 3:57 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

14th Floor

B E F O R E: RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile Julissa Ferreras Karen Koslowitz Rory I. Lancman

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Julie Menin, Commissioner
Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Marla Tepper, General Counsel
Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Alba Pico, First Deputy Commissioner Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Doug James, Senior Advisor Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner External Affairs, and Connie Ress is our Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Connie Ress, Associate Commissioner Marketing Department Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)

Mark Schienberg, President Greater New York Auto Dealers Association (GNYADA)

Jonathan Bing, Attorney, Wilson Elser Government Affairs Council Greater New York Auto Dealers Association (GNYADA)

Bob Vancavage New York State Auto Dealers Association (NYSADA) Paula Frendel, Executive Director
New York Independent Automobile Dealers
Association (NYIADA)

Sean Peterson
Legislative and Regulatory Counsel
National Independent Automobile Dealers
Association (NYIADA)

Fred Donnelly, President
New York Independent Automobile Dealers
Association (NYIADA)

[sound check]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good afternoon. [gavel] Good afternoon, and welcome to today's hearing of the Committee on Consumer Affairs. My name is Rafael Espinal. I'm the Chair of the Committee. The used car market is an attractive and affordable option for many New York City residents who wish to own a car. At the same time, however, the industry remains the subject of a high volume of consumer complaints, and its long history of deceptive and unfair practices. In an effort to protect both the finances and physical safety of New York City consumers, the Committee will conduct today's' hearing into the matter of used car sales, and outstanding manufacturer recalls. The hearing will include one oversight topic, and two pieces of legislation.

The oversight concerns used car

dealerships in New York City that sell vehicles with

parts that have been recalled by manufacturers.

According to the National Highway and Transportation

Safety Administration, over 50 million vehicles have

been recalled this year alone. Federal law requires

manufacturers to give notice of any recall to owners

2.2

of new cars, and to make the necessary repairs at no cost to the owner. The law does not require a notice to owners of used cars, and does not impose any requirement on dealerships that sell used cars. New Yorkers are protected by the Used Car Lemon Law, which requires provisions of a written warranty in most instances, and imposing an implied warranty on others. Due to strong consumer protection laws in the State and the City of New York, all vehicles must be certified, and roadworthy, and it's unlawful to sell a car as-is.

In July of 2014, the Department of

Consumer Affairs announced an investigation into

practices of used car dealers. The compliance with

state laws and whether they were selling vehicles

recalled by the manufacturers without first making

repairs. The Committee looks forward to learning

more about DCA's investigation and its findings. In

addition to this oversight, the Committee will hear

two bills.

Intro No. 178 would require that price displays for used cars state the total selling price of the vehicle inclusive of administrative fees and service fees.

2.2

Intro No. 518 would create a new city law requiring that dealers of second hand automobiles first repair vehicles that have been recalled by the manufacturer before reselling them.

The Committee will hear from the

Department of Consumer Affairs, representatives of

the used car industry, and any other interested

parties in attendance who would like to provide

testimony. With that being said, I would like to

call on my colleague from Brooklyn, Jumaane Williams,

who is the sponsor of one of the bills.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I want to thank you for allowing this hearing to go forward on these bills, and we thank you all for coming to testify on the bills. I'm proud to cosponsor along with Council Member Richards on No.

518. I would like to speak a little bit about Intro No. 178, which is a bill that will require dealerships to display the total selling price or all-inclusive pricing of autos used by a tag or sign. And, Mr. Chair, if you could imagine if you were shopping for a car one day at a used car dealership comparing cars based on styles, prices. Even have called them to find out if the car was available, and

2.2

making sure that the price was what it was. The salesman finally-- You get there and the salesman discusses with you the payment options. And then all of a sudden they unveil various fees that were completely unexpected beyond the usual additions for tax and registration. And that are tacked on specifically from the dealer, which end up adding as

much as \$5,000 to the total purchase of the car.

This experience happened to me a little over a year ago when I was trying to purchase a vehicle, and I was very disappointed to say the least after having this happen at several different car dealerships. I ended up going to New Jersey to purchase my car. I was so take aback by the additional fees, that I sponsored this legislation, which would ensure the total selling is displayed on the car's price tag, which will include any administrative service or other fee charged by the second hand automobile dealer exclusive of tax and fees for securing a registration and/or certificate of title.

No consumer should be hit with such surprise fees regardless if they're purchasing a new or used car. It's my hope that my colleagues pass

2.2

this bill to ensure no New Yorker has to go through
the same process I did. On the last and final-- the
last dealership I went to, I explained to the
gentleman that I'm Council Member and this is very
frustrating. And he sat down and discussed the whole
thing with me, and he added frustration that it was
an industry wide problem. Which made him-- a little
bit more difficult for him to do what he has to do

when everyone else doing the same thing.

In addition, it's unacceptable for a New Yorker to buy a car with a manufacturer's recall without the dealer fixing it. I will turn it over to my colleague Council Member Richards, who is going to be speaking about this. And again, I want to thank Council Member Espinal, and all the Consumer Affairs Committee for hearing these used car bills. And thank everyone for providing their testimonies.

I would like to add that a few years ago when I purchased one before that, I had similar issues, and I'm going to say the name. I called Major World about a car, a very specific car that I saw on the site, and asked them if it's there? Is anything wrong with it? And they said, of course not. When I got there, they told there is, indeed,

2.2

something wrong with the car, but they had some other cars to show me. So I'm very concerned about-- Even though we have passed some laws having to do with this, I'm very excited that we're passing some more to try to get a better handle on this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Council Member Williams. Next, we have Council Member Richards from Queens.

not to be from Brooklyn. [laughter] Well, good afternoon. Thank you. [laughs] Thank you, Chair Espinal for hosting this hearing, and your committee, and allowing me to speak on this proposed bill. I would also like to thank my colleague Council Member Jumaane Williams for co-sponsoring this bill as we work hard to protect the consumers of New York City.

attention Intro 518, a bill that prohibits a licensed used car dealership to sell a vehicle that has been recalled without making the necessary repairs. This bill is simply about better business practices, and about protecting consumers. When a consumer goes to a licensed dealership to purchase a vehicle, it is fair to have an expectation that what is being

2.2

presented to them is safe and working. Therefore, it is fit to hold a licensed dealer responsible for its inventory that has been recalled by its manufacturer. It is unfair to sell the vehicle to a consumer and ultimately pass on the cost and liabilities of a

malfunctioning automobile to the consumer.

In order to deter this type of bad behavior, we are proposing to increase the penalties for such infractions. And for those that chronically break the law, we propose that their licensing should be revoked. The intent of the bill is to protect consumers while bringing accountability to the industry. We are not seeking to hurt anyone who is doing the right thing. With that said, we are open to hearing all sides, and I look forward to hearing the prepared testimonies today. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Council Member Richards. We are also joined by Julissa Ferreras from Queens. We're going to call up the first panel. Before we do that, we have to--

[background discussion]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have

Commissioner Julie Menin from DCA; Alba Pico from

DCA; Amit Bagga from DCA; Doug James from DCA; Martha

2.2

Tepper from DCA; and Connie Ress from DCA. Before
you begin your testimony, I'd like to administer the
oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole
truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony
before this committee, and to respond honestly to

7 Council Member's questions?

PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Before your testimony, could you also state your name?

[Pause]

JULIE MENIN: Thank you. Good afternoon.

I'm Julie Menin, Commissioner of the Department of

Consumer Affairs. And I want to first of all, thank

Chairman Espinal and members of the committee for

inviting us to come here today to talk about these

important issues. I know you acknowledged, Mr.

Chairman, my colleagues, but I just want to take a

moment to acknowledge them as well. Marla Tepper is

the General Counsel of DCA. Alba Pico is our First

Deputy Commissioner. Doug James, Senior Advisor, and

Amit Bagga is our Deputy Commission of External

Affairs, and Connie Ress is our Associate

Commissioner of Marketing. So I just wanted everyone

to know who is here from our team.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Your timing is perfect in having this hearing today because just earlier this morning, we at DCA announced a settlement with Planet Automotive for a total of \$441,000 in fines and consumer restitution, and I'll be talking a little bit about that later on in my testimony. But I think it was very fortuitous that the testimony is coming today.

So first of all, just let me say on behalf of DCA that we greatly appreciate the opportunity speak with you about the two bills before the committee today, Intro No. 178 and Intro No. 518, as well as many important issues related to the marketing and sales practices of second hand auto dealers in New York City. It is, of course, the mission of DCA to empower and protect consumers, as well as to educate and engage businesses to ensure a fair and vibrant marketplace. To that end, the agency licenses, regulates, and educates approximately 80,000 businesses cutting across 55 different industries in New York City. In addition, of course, to engaging in wide-ranging enforcement of the City's Consumer Protection Law.

We applaud the committee for its attention to important issues related to second hand

2.2

auto dealers. In particular, I want to recognize the leadership of Council Members Williams and Richards for introducing the bills that we are discussing today. Both of these bills will enhance DCA's ability to protect consumers from improper practices in the used car marketplace. I am going to provide specific comments on each of these bills after I first describe the agency's current work regarding this industry. So first I'm going to give an overview of DCA's licensing and enforcement of used car dealers.

auto dealers across the five boroughs. The agency's over sight of used car dealers recognizes that purchasing a used car can often be the single biggest purchase an individual or a family makes in a given year or even over the course of several years. This is especially true for lower income New Yorkers, who often benefit from access to a car, but can also be subject to crushing debts by owning one.

In 2013 and 2014 to date, DCA has received nearly 500 complaints about second hand auto dealerships and has secured more than \$1.1 million in relief and restitution for consumers. Because many

2.1

2.2

consumers do not file complaints with the agency even when they have been deceived, DCA engages in two types of proactive enforcement: Patrol based inspections that address basic consumer and community based protections, in addition to aggressive casebased enforcement directed to ensuring that consumers, who are wronged by car dealers get back the money they are due. And that dealers do not continue to engage in illegal and deceptive conduct.

about patrol based inspections. DCA inspectors conduct field inspections of second hand auto dealers in all boroughs to ensure, among other things, that businesses appropriately display their license information; conspicuously post important signage about consumer rights; keep required books and records; and provide bills of sale that contain legally mandated information. Such as notice certifying that a purchased vehicle is in safe condition. DCA has also conducted sweeps of second hand auto dealers in the past to ensure that they are selling cars that they have advertised.

In a proactive effort to ensure that dealers are aware of how to comply with the law, DCA

2.2

has now for the first time ever posted 41 of its most commonly used inspector checklists online in several languages to make the process more transparent and accessible. Any business owner can assess these checklists to see exactly what an inspector will be looking for. To date, in calendar year 2014, DCA has conducted 615 inspects of second hand auto dealers, and issued 338 violations to dealers. These inspections help to ensure consumers are provided with key disclosures about the vehicle they purchase, and the legal protections to which they are entitled, and help inform larger cases brought by the agency.

activity. DCA is also continuing to deliver on Mayor de Blasio's mandate to support and empower law abiding businesses by conducting enforcement of unlicensed second hand auto dealers. In 2013, DCA issued 47 violations for unlicensed second hand auto sale activity, and the agency has issued 20 so far this year. The agency is currently working with the industry to address the illegal practice known as curbstoning, which is the sale of used cars from the curb literally rather than from licensed second hand auto dealers. Curbstoners disrupt the used car sales

2.2

roadworthy.

market by taking away business from legitimately licensed used car dealerships without the costs, of course, that are associated with licensing and maintaining property where their cars are displayed. And often sell cars to consumers that are not

Through its current licensing and regulatory authority, DCA's legal team aggressively pursues used car dealers engaged in deceptive and illegal marketing and sales practices. The agency's recent action against Planet Automotive, which I mentioned earlier, a second hand auto dealer in Long Island City-Queens exemplifies DCA's uncompromising approach against deceptive and predatory practices.

So let me talk a little bit about the Planet Automotive case. DCA charged Planet with using deceptive advertising to lure customers, and pressuring those consumers into agreeing to thousands of dollars in unwanted add-ons such as extended warranties, and theft protection. And then, compelling those consumers to obtaining financing through the dealership.

Earlier this year, DCA issued charges against another group of dealerships engaged in

2.2

fraudulent and deceptive conduct, including false
advertising, forcing consumers to purchase add-ons,
inducing consumers to sign blank documents, forging
documents, and disturbingly, communicating with a
consumer in his or her native language. And then
having the consumer sign documents written in English
with substantially different terms. In this and
other second hand dealer cases, the agency is
focused, of course, on obtaining restitution for
aggrieved consumers. And ensuring that the
dealership implements policies and procedures that
will bring the dealership into compliance.

Through these cases, the agency is sending a strong and powerful message to the industry that these types of abusive, illegal practices will simply not be tolerated. DCA is the first, and to our knowledge, the only municipal agency in the country actively working to address a key loophole in federal law. Which prohibits the sale of new vehicles with recalled parts that fails to be on the sale of used vehicles with said recalled parts.

Under New York State's Vehicle and

Traffic Law, a vehicle must pass an 18 point

inspection and be considered roadworthy in order to

2.2

be sold. As the State is not currently inspecting second hand vehicles for recalled parts, DCA is utilizing its authority to enforce New York City's licensing and consumer protection laws to investigate the extent to which second hand auto dealers are selling cars that are the subject of safety recalls. The primary goal of these inspections is to make certain that no New York City dealer sells second

hand recalled cars until they are fixed.

As member of the committee are well aware, more cars have been recalled in 2014 than in any other year on record. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, approximately 52 million cars have been recalled this year in the U.S. beating a previous record of 30.8 million in 2004. Reportedly, there are millions of cars sold each year to unsuspecting buyers that have been recalled, but remain unfixed. Rather than wait for tragic statistics, DCA sent subpoenas in July of this year to approximately 200 second hand auto dealerships, which is nearly a quarter of all dealers citywide. In an effort to discover whether dealers are selling unrepaired recalled cars, providing our policies on selling unrepaired recalled cars, and

2.2

2 revealing how many such vehicles they have sold in the past year.

I will now provide specific comments on the two bills being considered before the committee. The first bill, Intro 178 requires that second hand auto dealers display a stamp, label, or tag that contains the total selling price including any administrative, service, or other fee charged by the second hand automobile dealer, but exclusive of tax and fees for securing a registration and/or certificate of title. Improving price transparency is an important step to empower consumers to make informed purchase decisions. DCA supports the goal of this legislation to require more full and complete price disclosures. We offer the following suggestions to strengthen the proposed bill:

We first recommend that the Council include in this bill a requirement that the prices of any offered add-on products are also clearly and conspicuously posted. All too often dealerships include in sales agreements thousands of dollars of unwanted add-on options. Such tactics, of course, are of serious concern to the agency as consumers are often tricked into purchasing expensive add-on items

2.2

2 | such as warranties; theft determent systems,

3 insurance products; debt cancellation agreements,

4 known as GAP insurance; exterior or interior surface

5 protection, as well as window etching.

Requiring dealers to post prices of these products will allow the consumer to avoid being unwittingly duped into purchasing these products, and to know the base cost of these items. This posting will also prevent another predatory practice.

Disconcertingly, many dealers would not only include such items to inflate the final sales price, but will also deceptively steer consumers into loans with rates that are higher than necessary. So that dealers can receive kickbacks from lenders, as the vehicle is actually being financed through the dealer at a rate lower than what has been sold to the consumer.

Following specific comments in both
bills, I will highlight serious concerns DCA has with
respect to second hand auto dealers' financing
practices. To ensure that the price posting
requirement is meaningful, the agency also recommends
the addition of language to the bill that would

2.2

2 ensure the posted price is the same price that was 3 advertised and is reflected in the bill of sale.

Finally, to improve the efficacy of this legislation in meeting its intended purpose, we ask the Council to consider the following technical amendments to the bill, which I'm just going to read into the record.

Subpart B: For second hand automobile dealers required to be licensed pursuant to Section 20-265 of this Code, such stamp, tag, label, or sign displayed pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section shall state the total selling price which shall include any administrative service, inspection, or other fee charged by the second hand automobile dealer exclusive of tax and optional fees for securing a registration and/or a certificate of title.

DCA would also like to work with the

Council to make further amendments to the legislation

to ensure that these protections are also applied to

unlicensed auto dealers by clarifying that the

provisions of this legislation apply to all second

hand automobile dealers that are required to be

licensed by DCA. Clarify the disclosure of any

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

inspection fees, as required. And finally, to ensure consistency with DCA's rules, as well as the New York State Attorney General's Advertising Guidelines, which treat title and registration fees as option fees. Meaning that it is optional for a consumer to pay these fees through the dealer as opposed to obtaining title and registration independently.

Now, I'm going to talk about Intro 518. The second bill being considered today Intro 518 would amend the Administrative Code to specifically prohibits second hand auto dealers from selling any used automobile that a manufacturer has recalled without repairing it first. Consumers purchasing used cars have an expectation, which is grounded in law, that the car they are sold. And if not, has a dangerous defect that could cause serious harm, injury, or even death. Compared to other municipalities in the nation, New York City and DCA are in a unique position to investigate these businesses because of the authority DCA has under the City's Licensing and Consumer Protection laws. because it is illegal to sell cars as-is under those state and city laws.

2.2

Current, city law enforced by DCA requires dealers to certify that their vehicles are roadworthy. And prohibits dealers from misleading consumers about the safety of their vehicles. As I've discussed earlier, regulating the sale of unrepaired recalled cars is already within DCA's jurisdiction and has been a high priority for the agency. This legislation would confirm DCA's position, and by increasing penalties would enhance the agency's ability to protect New Yorkers by ensuring that dealers comply. DCA is supportive of this legislation.

We anticipate that the industry will urge the Council to simply require disclosure of a vehicle's recall status prior to sale, an approach we think is inadequate to protect the lives of consumers who buy cars, as well as those who use the roads. We recommend clarifying the proposed bill by defining recall to mean any manufacturer or NHTSA Safety Recall. Such a provision would not place an undue burden on auto dealers as information about recall is quite frankly easily retrievable by inputting a vehicle identification number on the recall database website, which is www.safe.gov. To ensure that the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bill can be enforced, we recommend adding the
following requirements:

First, dealers should be required to maintain documentation that they complied with this provision. Including maintaining documents that they confirm the recall status of the vehicle prior to sale, and that the vehicle was actually repaired. Amending the proposed bill to provide the Commissioner with the authority to describe required documentation would address this concern. Requiring clear disclosures of the full selling price of a second hand car, and confirming that the sale of the second hand car with unrepaired retail parts is illegal. And is subject to heightened penalties. would ensure the consumers are better informed about how much purchasing a second hand car would cost them. And crucially would reassure that their lives, and the lives of others are not being endangered by an unsafe vehicle. At the same time, further reforms are necessary to protect consumers from predatory practices by used car dealers.

I'm not going to talk very briefly about dealer financing practices. Such reforms include stringent regulations of second hand auto dealer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

financing practice. Dealer financing is not often only predatory, but often can result in particularly dire consequences for New Yorkers of limited means.

As I said earlier, a used car is often the largest purchase a low income family can make. And DCA in consultation with the Mayor's Office is currently looking to address this situation in a very serious way.

Nearly 80% of second hand auto sales are financed through dealers who frequently mark up used car loans by an average of an additional 2.91%. often the consumers believe the dealer has negotiated the best rate for them, and they're unaware that they're actually paying significantly more. These dealer markups can inflate the cost of loans by over \$1,200 over a five-year term. Dealer markups also provide dealers with incentive to sell consumer's unwanted add-ons to increase the amount of financing on a loan, and the related markup. A July 2014 New York Times article noted that subprime auto loans often come in with exorbitant interest rates that can exceed 23%. And the Times investigation also found that dozens of loans that included incorrect information such as borrower's income and employment.

2.2

Including people who had lost their jobs or were in bankruptcy, or were living on Social Security to quality for loans that they could not afford.

Empowerment, DCA has since 2008 provided more than 50,000 hours of free one-on-one intensive financial counseling to New Yorkers at 30 different sites across the City of New York. And many of our clients we have found have been saddled with auto related debt. Among those who have such debt, DCA has found that average amounts are more than \$12,000 and that 70% of these same clients have annual incomes of \$36,000 or less. For such clients these debts can amount to over 35% of their annual incomes. The fact that so many New Yorkers face such financial challenges renders obviously a careful assessment of the second hand auto dealer practices to be really incredibly important.

In addition to requiring full disclosure of the total selling price of a car and criminalizing the sale of unrepaired cars with recalled parts, DCA would like to work with the Council to basically have effective legislation that would also require posting a Consumer Bill of Rights. Significantly increase

2.2

regulation of high pressure sale tactics as well as ban or cap dealer markups, and ban curbstoning, as I talked about before. We believe that these kinds of approaches would expand the positive impact of a statutory code to regulating the industry, and in particular make sure that New York City's most vulnerable consumers are better protected from harm.

We very much look forward to an ongoing dialogue with the Council and the committee on all of these ideas, and thank you so much again for the opportunity to testify today. And we're happy to answer any question that you might have for us.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you

Commissioner A and thank you DCA for all the work

that you guys do especially around this issue. I

know it's not-- I would say for a family of low

income, I'm sure it's not an easy process for them to

go out and spend as much money as they do on a car.

And when they do, we want to make sure that they're

getting the best car they can, you know, for their

money's worth. And I purchased a car before, a used

car, it's a very-- Again, it's very uneasy not

knowing whether I'm going to drive the car home. Is

it going to break down on me. Wondering if the lemon

2.2

2 law is actually strong enough to cover my car after
3 it's over.

So with that being said, I want to talk about subpoenas you issued back in July. How many dealers were found to have policies that were not in compliance with the law?

JULIE MENIN: I'm going to turn it over to our General Council Marla Tepper who can talk about the investigation.

MARLA TEPPER: Our investigation is currently ongoing. We have not reached any findings yet. We will certainly inform the Council when we make those findings. We issued the subpoenas, however, to ensure that dealers were complying with the law, and to determine the scope and extent of that compliance.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Has DCA issued any violations as of yet?

MARLA TEPPER: Now with regard to this issue, the investigation is still proceeding. I would note, however, that the settlement that the Commissioner described earlier is the first settlement to actually include terms with regard to recalls. That settlement prohibits the dealer from

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2 selling cars that have not been recalled unless they
3 were repaired.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Now, going back to the ruling you did in July in mid-July. Can you touch on what would be the main-- What are the main differences between what you guys did internally to Richard's bill?

JULIE MENIN: You mean the comments we're making on the bill?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: On the recalls.}$ Those that were made. [sic] Yes.

[Pause]

written testimony, but we're making a couple additional suggestions that the dealer should be required to maintain documentation that they complied with the provision. Including maintaining documents that they confirm the recall status of the vehicle prior to sale. And that the vehicle was actually, of course, repaired. Amending the proposed bill to provide the commissioner with the authority to describe required documentation and rules we feel would address the concern. And then we also have in our testimony requiring clear disclosures of the full

selling price of a second hand car. And confirming
that the ale of the second hand car with unrepaired
retail parts is illegal, and is subject to heightened
penalties. We believe would ensure that consumers
are better informed.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And I know you also stated that there's website where the used car dealers can go into to figure out if there are any open recalls on the vehicle.

JULIE MENIN: Yes, and do you want me to repeat that again?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: No, no--

JULIE MENIN: [interposing] Oh, okay.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: -- I actually have it, but my question is if the used car dealer wants to put the number on the website, would the website tell you if that car has been serviced? Or if some of the recalls have been closed on that specific vehicle?

MARLA TEPPER: The website will tell you whether there's an open recall, or whether that recall has been addressed yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. All right,
3 thank you. I'm going to pass it over to Council
4 Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you very much for the testimony, and the support of the bill. I also wanted to be clear, the fee-- Well, I had mentioned two incidents. One was a major one, and that was actually several years ago. And that just seemed to be a bait and switch. The most recent one didn't involve them. It involved several other smaller ones, and the fee that they kept telling me about was a so-called dealer fee. Ιt wasn't really associated with anything, and it was literally about five or six thousand dollars. And they kept saying, Well, did you really think the car would be that cheap? So do you have any idea what dealer fees comprise of? And is there any range to which it can be charged?

JULIE MENIN: Yes, Marla is going to address that.

MARLA TEPPER: Yeah. So statutorily, the car dealers are limited in the fees that they can exact. And certainly, they need to disclose the types of fees that they are imposing on consumers.

2.2

We too often see that dealers are imposing, as you described, administrative fees. That is illegal currently under the law, and the proposed legislation is welcome because it would address disclosures to consumers of the price upfront. So that they would not be misled by the imposition of such fees.

things I heard. Commissioner also I think you called it add-ons. That there was a concern that this bill as written would prevent them from negotiating legitimate add-ons to when the car is sold if they want to throw in some extra tires or want to make—the dealers would throw in the warranty. These I'm talking about the good actors that actually are trying to actually do a deal that makes sense and that works for the consumer. Can you tell me your opinion of how that would adversely affect a consumer who may be getting a better deal if they package it in a certain way?

MARLA TEPPER: We all to often see that consumers are not getting a good deal with add-ons that the negotiation is not really a bonafide negotiation. That it's not really a good deal for the consumer. To the extent that the prices are

2.2

posted, that would enable the consumer to know what the maximum price of that add-on would be. And that would be helpful if legitimate negotiations are going to occur. And we would be happy to work with the Council on crafting language that would address that.

is \$10,000 on the sticker, and we negotiate or try and negotiate it down to \$9,000 or what have you, and then they want to throw in some tires or throw in some warranty, and they want to get the warranty cheaper. You don't think this bill would affect those negotiations from happening in a way that's helpful to the consumer?

MARLA TEPPER: We think that the price of the add-ons should be posted so that a consumer is not misled to believe that they are getting something for nothing. In fact, the price should be clear from the beginning. What is the price of the car, and what is the price of the add-ons. I think if the Council adopts the suggestions we proposed that would be helpful for the consumer, and not impede bonafide negotiations.

JULIE MENIN: Right. We'll really just focus on transparency. Disclosure is the best way to

purchase the product.

2.2

inform the consumer. So we don't want a situation

where consumers are not getting this kind of valuable

information about add-ons that they need to be able

to make an intelligent decision whether or not to

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, and then on I think you called it curbstoning? What did you call it?

JULIE MENIN: Curbstoning, right.

actually in parts of my district that is the only way to buy a car. [laughs] They're all kind of lined up on different blocks. What is the enforcement? I mean I just drive down and I see blocks and blocks of cars with that white chalk or soap telling people to call this number. So it doesn't seem like, and I could be wrong, but it doesn't seem like there's much enforcement. Is it complaint driven or do you actually drive around the neighborhoods and see them, and call the number and do a sting? How does that work?

MARLA TEPPER: Well, we can enforce against unlicensed activity. We have had occasions where dealers will park. They're not licensed

2.2

dealers, but they are dealers because they are selling multiple cars. Where dealers will park their cars in streets and parking lots, and we have issued violations. We're currently exploring the mechanisms to address this with other agencies such as PD. And as noted by the Commissioner, we're working with industry to identify bad players who sell cars in volume.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So if you have
This doesn't count for or make for a gas station?

To my knowledge, you have to have a place that you can legally have the car. So if you have-- Let's say you have, let's say you have a valid dealer's license, and your holding the cars in a gas station, is that legal or that's not legal either?

JULIE MENIN: Alba, do you want to address that? Alba Pico, our First Deputy

Commissioner handles enforcement. He's going to handle it, but the main point that I just want to make sure that everyone is aware of is while we are focused very much on curbstoning because it's completely undercutting the legitimate auto dealer businesses who are doing the right thing by getting a license. Who are paying the bricks and mortar fee of

2.2

not, if it's being displayed on the street, we are issuing those violations. Plus, if it's on the sidewalk, it's one of our quality of life violations that we'll issue. We do it based on complaints or if we see it, we just go ahead and do that. We do that on the weekends because it happens more on the weekends, and it's one of our highest violations.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So now if I'm a gas station and the cars are housed there, if I'm selling more than five per year, I have to be licensed as a dealership, or can I get a dealer's license and put five cars, six cars on the gas station and sell them?

ALBA PICO: Whoever is the corporation or the person that is using—renting the space to do that is required to be licensed. However, to be licensed requires a certificate of occupancy that indicates the proper zoning and use group to be able to sell his cars.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I see. Thank you very much. I have questions on the other bill but I want to make sure that the lead sponsor asks those.

2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. How					
3	many violations were issued to unlicensed used car					
4	dealers?					
5	JULIE MENIN: I'm sorry. Say that again.					
6	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: How many violations					
7	were issued to unlicensed used car dealers?					
8	JULIE MENIN: Oh, in the last year?					
9	ALBA PICO: Twenty.					
10	JULIE MENIN: Twenty.					
11	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Twenty?					
12	ALBA PICO: We issued 20. One of the					
13	issues that we have is when they do it at private					
14	homes because we do get complaints of people selling					
15	cars from private homes, and it's not their own					
16	personal car. Those cars would be kind of hard for					
17	us to do. We will issue the violation but, however,					
18	it's a residence. It's a private residence, and					
19	that's one of the things that we are looking into					
20	right now to see how we handle this. You also see					
21	those one on the street that have no plates.					
22	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right.					
23	ALBA PICO: So those we try to enforce					

those, but it is kind of difficult especially when

2.2

there is no plate because we don't know who to send that violation to.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So when a violation is issued, does someone come in and remove the car, or do you give the unlicensed dealer an opportunity to move the car?

ALBA PICO: You mean on the street?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, on the street.

ALBA PICO: No, I mean on the street we issue a violation, and if it's issued and if an unlicensed dealer is not see it as enough to report, then the law to go ahead and remove that car. [sic] If it was an establishment that is doing it illegally, we do have car locking authority, and then while we go through the process we can goo ahead and lock that.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And what's the average fee for someone who is dealing cars without a license?

ALBA PICO: Usually, a settlement is \$75 a settlement, but the fine amount I don't know it now, but it's usually \$100 a day, and it's from the day that the violation is issued.

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, thank you.

3 | Council Member Richards.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

[off mic] On selling enforcement, how often does DCA
Well, let me rephrase that, how many inspectors

does DCA have for throughout the city? [sic]

ALBA PICO: Just over 70.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [off mic] And would you say that's an adequate number for the amount of territory involved. And I'm not trying to be--[sic]

accellent question. I mean obviously we cover an enormous number of categories at DCA. As I said, we provide licensing to 80,000 businesses cutting across 55 different industries. We're also very complaint driven. So I mean to answer your question, of course, with more inspector we would be able cover more territory, but it's always a balance. And I would be remiss if I didn't say one of the things that we are most proud of at DCA is really working on fulfilling the Mayor's mandate to reduce fines for mainly signage violations. As you probably know, we have and are completely on target to reduce fines by

cars for sale without displaying the selling price.

common.

No important notice to the buyer provision. Failing
to answer a notice of hearing or subpoena. License
number not being on the business cards, which is
something that is required, or a refund policy not
posted. So those are the ones that are the most

Speak to the curbstoning, and my first car was actually curbstoned. So I want to put that out there. It was really a challenge, but one of the most interesting things I found, and I wasn't necessarily educated on this issue when I bought my first vehicle, is the dealer was from New Jersey selling in New York. So how do we deal with that? And I didn't find this out until after the fact--

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: --until something went wrong and, you know, you tried to contact him. I tried to contact him, but how do you deal with out-of-state--

JULIE MENIN: [interposing] Right.

JULIE MENIN: [interposing] Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: --people who are licensed coming back into the city and parking, curbstoning?

2.2

ALBA PICO: I mean it's one of the things					
that it's hard for us to enforce, and this is why we					
do all the education to our New York consumers					
because when you are purchasing a car, you really					
don't know what you're purchasing and who can you go					
to? Just last week, I did an interview with					
Telemundo one of those Spanish channels, and there					
was somebody that purchased one that was in Brooklyn					
And the person has been very deceived [sic] and now					
they lose their money. So tried to deal with it, we					
tried to find them. I found out that they have					
another business in the Bronx. I sent an inspector					
actually there today to see if we can find them and					
where they are.					

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: What would happen to a dealer from out of state? Is their fine the same as someone who is in New York City or would we look to increase the fine for out-of-starters, out-of-state individuals doing it? Even though curbstoning obviously we're going to look to ban it, but at this moment?

ALBA PICO: The current law-- The current law indicates that the licensed businesses in New York that have a premises in New York City.

MARLA TEPPER: I think one of the issues

that you raised was that the car sold from out of

24

2.2

2 state may not have the same protections that we 3 require here in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: No, I didn't say that. I said that the individuals was licensed in New Jersey.

MARLA TEPPER: Right, and one of-- one of the issues is that the standards for sale in New Jersey may not be as protective of consumers as the standards here in New York. So the way to address that may be not only to ban the practice of curbstoning, but to increase the sanctions for selling cars that do not meet our standards here. That double kind of penalty may prevent the proliferation of sales by out-of-towners?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. Thank

you. I'm very happy and very thankful to you guys

for supporting Intro 518, and just had one question.

And I certainly agree, and I think that these are

some great recommendations that can go a long way in

strengthening and protecting consumers. I'm

certainly supportive. I just wanted to know so what

would you say the biggest difference between

enforcement, and what you're doing now on Intro 518?

2.2

JULIE MENIN: That would be the best in terms of enforcement if the Council Member is asking if Intro 518 is introduced, what would be then the biggest difference between what we do now, and versus what we would do then.

ALBA PICO: It's going to be a lot of books and records that we will have to do more indepth searching into it. Usually, the inspector goes to the premises and they are looking more at signage. They're looking more at records. They do not ask detail. These ones we will have to really go ahead and investigate books and records to see what vehicles have been sold, what vehicles have been recalled. So there is going to be a more in-depth analysis and look at all that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Oh, can I just-I'll let you go.

MARLA TEPPER: I'm sorry. I think that your question actually reinforces why we are suggesting that an amendment to the proposed bill to include recommendations and rules regarding records that would help us with ensuring that recalled cars are not being sold.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Have you guys				
3	ever thought about creating a database for these				
4	for the individuals who are licensed to registered.				
5	You know, I would say exactly in what they're doing.				
6	So when they're Is there some sort of tracking				
7	mechanism in place for you guys? Because if you only				
8	have 70 inspectors, you know, I can't see you really				
9	- And obviously, of course, a broad range of				
10	different areas. I don't see how you get to all				
11	these car dealerships to make sure that they're				
12	actually doing what they're saying they're doing. So				
13	have you guys considered creating a database in				
14	particular since you're saying in this particular				
15	the amendment you're speaking of, you're asking them				
16	to maintain documentation.				
17	JULIE MENIN: Right.				
18	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Would you guys-				
19	- Not that you are going to do it, but would you guys				
20	consider that? Would it be easier for them to upload				
21	this information, and perhaps they can				
22	JULIE MENIN: [interposing] Certainly				

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: --start it

24

voluntarily.

license, curbstoning.

2.2

JULIE MENIN: The difference between
curbstoning versus a license?

4 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Selling without a 5 license?

JULIE MENIN: Okay, versus selling without a license--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] Right.

JULIE MENIN: --or with a license?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Without a license.

is that curbstoners don't have a license. So they are, as I mentioned earlier, completely undercutting the good actors who actually did the right thing, and went in and paid for a license. As well as all of the various bricks and mortar costs that a licensed entity would face. So this is why we have a very strong interest for a multitude of reasons in cracking down on curbstoning. And again, we always urge people to contact us directly because we're very consumer complaint drive. It's very helpful for us to hear about if people see curbstoning in the neighborhood to please contact us right away.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Do you see a pattern on the calls you receive the complaints you receive. Are there certain neighborhoods that have more influx of these curbstoning cars?

MARLA TEPPER: We are currently identifying neighborhoods where we see more of that illegal conduct, but I think the answer is yes, we know that it's more common in parts of Brooklyn and the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I think you stated that you received over 500 complains in the past year.

JULIE MENIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Now, is there a pattern in the used car dealers? Is there certain like-- Are there certain car dealers that are constantly being complained against than others.

JULIE MENIN: Marla will address that.

MARLA TEPPER: Yes, unfortunately, some car dealers are more, getting more complaints than others, and when they do, we go after them. As we did with Planet Automotive, which had received a lot of complaints. And you see the action that we took against them.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 51				
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, thank you.				
3	I'm going to pass it back to Jumaane Williams.				
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. A				
5	few more questions. One with the It sounded like				
6	from what you said if the dealer is outside of New				
7	York, they actually aren't held accountable because				
8	you can't find them at the place of business.				
9	ALBA PICO: We will issue a violation for				
10	license activity.				
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, say				
12	that again.				
13	ALBA PICO: We will issue a violation for				
14	license activity, but if you try to collect you can't				
15	contact the business.				
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But if they				
17	don't pay, that's it?				
18	ALBA PICO: That's it. We cannot go				
19	ahead and contact that business. [sic]				
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I think I had a				
21	question. You worked with the State Attorney-General				
22	didn't you? New York State Attorney-General.				
23	MARLA TEPPER: We actually spoke to them				

last week about this issue, and hope to work with them more on it. The issue for us is identifying

24

2 individuals or businesses who are selling more than a 3 couple of cars. That's really what we are trying to

4 focus on.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And then if you have a dealership license, like I know that people who have dealership licenses will take people to auctions and what have you. Is that the same license as a dealer license at a brick and mortar place? Is that the same kind of license?

ALBA PICO: What I'm aware is the

Department of Motor Vehicles also issues a license—

I don't know if they call it a license or a

certification for people to be able to buy used cars

or sell used cars. But what I'm aware of is that I

don't think they use the DCA license. They use the

New York State Department of Motor Vehicles

certification.

JULIE MENIN: Right, and we're looking at trying to see what can be done to increase enforcement actions against car auction houses. So that's something that internally we've been discussing.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, and with my bill again it's sort of similar to what my

- 2 colleague asked. Right now, I guess it sounded like
- 3 | it was-- It's illegal to pad the price by saying it's
- 4 a dealer's fee, is that correct? I just hope that
- 5 | the price-- In my case, it advertised it as \$10,000
- 6 and I went and they added \$5,000 in dealer's fees.
- 7 That is currently illegal?
- 8 MARLA TEPPER: Yes, that's currently
- 9 illegal.

- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So then, how
- 11 | would this bill help us get to that if it's already
- 12 | illegal?
- 13 MARLA TEPPER: This bill laudably speaks
- 14 | to price posting. So that the disclosure of the
- 15 price is clear to the consumer, and also what the
- 16 price includes and doesn't include. So I think that
- 17 | it would help confirm existing law.
- 18 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well, we would
- 19 | have to do enforcement still to make sure that
- 20 | they're posting it correctly.
- 21 MARLA TEPPER: That's correct.
- 22 JULIE MENIN: And then another advantage,
- 23 of course, is consumer awareness. It's always
- 24 | helpful for our agency and department when people are
- 25 aware that this is a prevalent issue. And that

2.2

consumers ar	e being taken	advantage of	in these
situations.	It's helpful	just from an	awareness and
communicatio	ns standpoint	to have this	out there

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And with Intro
518, one of the issues that I heard from the
industries knowing about the recall. So I wanted to
get your input. I think would the bill-- Or do we
want to make sure that it's done if the knowledge is
there, or that it's done irrespective if the
knowledge is there? And how do we make sure that the
dealer knows that the recall needs to happen? The
recall fix needs to happen?

MARLA TEPPER: Our proposal would be that prior to each sale, the dealer checks the website safercar.gov and check the recall status of the car, and that be a requirement. And we would also propose that records have to be maintained to show that the dealer actually checked that website prior to sale.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So that website lists all makes and models and recalls up until that point?

MARLA TEPPER: Yes, and as of August you can retrieve by VIN number what is the status of your

new policy?

2.2

JULIE MENIN: I'm going to let Marla address that because we've been in the same conversations on this.

MARLA TEPPER: We're pleased to say that the industry has been cooperating with us. In fact, the attention to curbstoning is something that they have brought to our attention. We obviously knew about it, but we are working with them on that issue, which I think reflects a cooperative spirit between the agency and the industry. And we look forward to working collaborative with them to ensure mutual interest in keeping New York City consumer safe on the roads.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So DCA is only concerned with safety recalls? So let's say for example the computer module that controls my AC is recalled, you're not looking into those.

JULIE MENIN: Right. I mean one of the things obviously is we're concerned with the ones that are on the federal website safecar.gov, which obviously relate to safety. But, of course, we want to ensure that consumers are getting what they paid for, that they're not getting a lemon. That they're not getting— So there are other issues that don't

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [off mic] You can begin your testimony in whatever order you would like. [sic]

COUNCIL MEMBER: [off mic]

MARK SCHIENBERG: Oh, yeah, okay. Well, thank you for the opportunity to appear today to provide the perspective the retail automobile industry with regard to two open items on the agenda, Bill No. 518 regarding the sales of used cars with open recalls, and Bill No. 187 regarding item pricing on used vehicles.

My name is Mark Schienberg, and I'm the President of the Greater New York Auto Dealers Association, a not-for-profit trade association headquartered in Whitestone, Queens. We represent 400 franchise new car dealers in the 12 down state counties in New York, including nearly 100 in the City of New York alone. Greater New York Auto Dealers Association members are in engaged in the retail sale and leasing of new and used vehicles and servicing, repairs, and supplying parts for new and used vehicles. Automobile dealerships are small businesses that have a significant impact, positive impact on the economy of New York City.

2.2

employ more than 9,700 people and represent an annual payroll of \$512 million. These dealers also spend \$100 million annually on advertising. Our members in this region collect and pay nearly \$2 billion in sales taxes annually for State and local governments. Greater New York Auto Dealers Association and its members produce and promote the New York International Auto Show at the Jacob Javits Convention Center every spring, which generates more than a quarter of a billion dollars in economic activity for the New York City each year.

This testimony is being presented jointly on behalf of the members of the Greater New York Auto Dealers Association, and the National Automobile Dealers Association. GNYADA represents more than 16,000 franchise new car dealers nationwide who sell new and used motor vehicles, and engage in service repairs and part sales including 1,800 who sell medium and heavy-duty trucks.

First, to discuss Bill No. 518 on the recall issue, 518 as drafted, would require a dealer to repair any imperfections on a used vehicle that has been recalled by a manufacturer regardless of the

2.2

reason for that recall before the dealer could sell that used vehicle. We are urging the Council not to pass this bill as drafted. The industry's history of working with its partners in government is extensive. Greater New York Auto Dealers Association's record include developing groundbreaking policies like the country's first auto dealer advertising guidelines, the first used car lemon law in the nation, and the first retail motor vehicle leasing act.

GNYADA also worked with New York City
Department of Consumer Affairs to devise leasing
forms, the New York City Department of Transportation
with other agencies on numerous educational forums,
on road safety, and the New York City Department of
Education to prepare young men and women for jobs in
the auto industry. 518 would have serious negative
impacts on the market. As currently drafted, 518
would have the devastating impact of increasing the
cost of used cars for consumers in New York City
while decreasing the value in the marketplace. All
without appreciable—for the following reasons:

Dealers will incur significant costs in time and labor just to determine the recall status of used cars they have taken in trade from consumers and

2.1

2.2

otherwise purchased for their inventory. If dealer finds an open recall involving a vehicle that is a brand that the dealer does not sell new, the dealer will be forced to try to arrange with one or more dealers of that brand to obtain authorized repairs. Under the best circumstances, significant time and labor costs will be incurred attempting to obtain repairs for those open recalls no matter how significant or insignificant those repairs might be.

remedy or a manufacture a part necessary for recall,
518 would focus dealers to hold— would force
dealers to hold onto vehicles at significant cost
including floor plans, interest, storage lot costs,
and depreciation. The legislation will likely result
in excluding trade—ins or sales of certain used
vehicles in certain neighborhoods entirely. For
example, there are more than ten vehicle brands that
do not have any dealers where authorized recall work
could be formed such as on Staten Island alone. We
note that as presently drafted, 518 appears to
include as an unintended consequence a requirement to
repair the entire used vehicle once it has been
recalled for any reason at all. Thus, even a cosmetic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

or other non-safety related issues, such as a dent, would prevent the sale of a recalled vehicle even after that recall work is completed.

The legislation is also too broad and overreaching in that it purports to relate to all safety recalls not just those critical in nature. Non-critical safety recalls include when a vehicle's owner manual contains a misprint or when the recall relates to a safety problem that might develop sometime in the future. But with a visual inspection can be determined not to create a present or nearterm danger. It would appear that the cost imposed by 518 far outweigh any benefits when such noncritical issues are involved. More likely than not if an open recall is identified, dealers will be forced to decrease the value of the customer's vehicles they intend to trade in. Predictably resulting in some number of those consumers going to out of the city or out of the state dealers who are not subject to the 518.

Other consumers will sell their vehicles in the wholly unregulated private marketplace. This predictable market disruption will translate into lost sales, lost consumer retention, lost jobs, lost

2.2

tax revenues, lost investments by businesses due to the decreasing business values and profitability, and lost opportunities that can never be regained.

Used cars for sale in New York already go through an extensive safety processes. It was mentioned a little bit earlier before, but let me repeat it on some of the issues. This law is not necessary because all New York dealers inspect all vehicles prior to sale, and these automobiles are subject to extensive safety checks. New York State Department of Motor Vehicles regulations go even further than the safety inspection requirements recommended by the federal government. Vehicles are physically inspected for any defect in 18 critical systems and parts including essential safety systems such as steering, suspension, and braking. As well as other critical parts such as headlamps, brake lights, windows, glass, mirrors, and wipers.

Inspections are not limited to just parts or systems. They have been subject to recall.

Following that inspection and upon sale, dealers are required to issue a state mandated certificate of adequacy with the sale of any used vehicles. This certificate must state that a vehicle's condition is

2.2

satisfactory for the use on the state's highways at the time it is delivered to the consumer. This process has been relied upon successfully in New York State for decades. With regard to vehicles brands other than those in which they sell, many dealers also will when possible have recall repairs made at a competitor's repair shop prior to offering them for sale. In addition, industry practices that dealers that have knowledge of a NHTSA stop drive order for a particular vehicle refrain from selling that automobile.

The proposed legislation raises several practical concerns. GNYADA urges the Council to consider several practice issues implicated by its legislation. First, a day of sale VIN check for an open recall is extremely unlikely to be accurate. Recall information from auto makers is uploaded weekly to the NHTSA database in batches. The database is not up-to-date at any given moment with regard to recalls or repairs that have been completed. All of the existing recall databases have lag times built into them, including NHTSA and Carfax and even the manufacturer's own proprietary systems.

2.2

In addition, automobile dealers will be subject to potential liability even when making good faith efforts to review the recall status of a vehicle if a pre-existing recall is discovered only after the sale. Requiring a check of the system immediately prior to delivery could prevent dealers' efforts to fulfill consumer's contract obligations to deliver used vehicles on or before an estimated delivery date that is required on consumer contracts under New York State law.

Indeed, a last minute VIN check that reveals an open recall, or misses a repair that has already been made would require the vehicle to be held or sent for repair. Thus, delaying delivery for what could be a definitive period of time. 518 would result in a significant increase in the cost incurred by used vehicle dealers. Federal law ensures that when there is a lack of remedy or parts to a no sale order results in a delay that causes increased dealer inventory costs, manufacturers must reimburse dealers for those costs. Under 518, however, there is no mechanism for New York City to require vehicle manufacturers to reimburse dealers for the cost of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 holding used vehicles in inventory nor will the City 3 provide any compensation.

Greater New York Auto Dealers Association commends the Council for its commitment to vehicle safety issues. We welcome this dialogue as an opportunity to not only share information with the Council, but to explore ways to ensure that New York City's vehicle safety policies achieve those goals.

Regarding Bill 178. 178 would require that second hand auto dealers display a stamp, tag, or label that states the total selling price of the vehicle including administrative services, or other fees that will be charged by the dealer. Our concern with this bill is that it is overbroad, duplicative, and fails to recognize or at least take into account the commercial reality and circumstances that occur with the sale of a used vehicle. New York City already has a stringent item pricing law that is enforced by the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs. This legislation would duplicate that law, and in these circumstances would only serve to confound small businesses giving rise to opportunity to fine well intentioned but confused small businesses twice for the same actions.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Every buyer is in different circumstances, and unlike most commodities, each used car is unique. Used cars are an item for which the price is virtually always negotiated between the buyer and the seller because of the wide range of options that are available in connection with that purchase. These options include financing with the state of registration potential, export to a foreign country, inclusion of an extended warranty, additions of customized items, and the providing of new tires.

If this law were adopted as drafted, a consumer will not be able to negotiate for any improvements to a used vehicle because they would not be listed on a pre-sale tax or label. For example, under this bill, the dealer may inadvertently violate the law by providing a customer with new tires. State law requires that the dealer collect a \$2.50 fee per new tire for the purpose of remediating used tire dumps. As the fee would not be listed on the label if the new tires were negotiated at the time of sale, the dealer will have to choose between either rejecting the customer's request, or violating this law by providing new tires on the used car.

2.2

New York City already has a stringent item pricing law that is enforced by the Department of Consumer Affairs. This legislation would duplicate that law. And under these circumstances would only serve to confound small businesses giving rise to opportunities to fine well intentioned by confused dealers twice for the same action. This law would also have a chill to the sale of used cars to out-of-state residents because the cost to register and title cars vary state. And that variable amount cannot be anticipated by the pre-sale sticker. We urge the Council to reconsider this legislation.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

Thank you.

SEAN PETERSON: Chairman Espinal, members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs. My name is Sean Peterson. I'm the Legislative and Regulatory Counsel for the National Independent Automobile Dealers Association or NYIADA. NYIADA is among the nation's largest trade associations representing the used motor vehicle industry comprised of more than 38,000 licensed used car dealers across the country. Since 1946, NYIADA has represented the voice and

2.2

interest of used car dealers on issues of national importance particularly in Washington, D.C. Coupled with the State Association Network across the country, our grassroots framework provides a dual area of advocacy unmatched in the used motor vehicle industry.

For 68 years, NYIADA has engineered programs and leveraged technology to fulfill its mission to advance, educate, and promote the independent used car dealer. NYIADA stands tall for its members who subscribe to a strict code of ethics of duty, honor, and integrity, and who believe in the advancement of small business in support of the free market system. NYIADA is a federated trade association with affiliated state associations in 46 states. The New York Independent Automobile Dealers Association being among that group.

When a dealer enrolls as a member of the New York State Association, that dealer also becomes a member of NYIADA. In becoming a member of both a state and national association, the dealer's interests are represented at the local, state, and national level. By and large, NYIADA members are the

2.2

quintessential small business owner. More than half have five or fewer employees.

NYIADA is honored to have been invited by the Council to provide testimony on an issue that is of great interest across the country that being the impact to the consumers and the industry of manufacturer recalls on used vehicles. This issue is being debated in many locations from the White House to the halls of Congress, in state legislatures and now in this great city.

NYIADA and its dealer members are concerned about consumer safety, and as members NYIADA members, NYIADA dealers, subscribe to a strict code of ethics that lends itself to taking care of their customers now and in the future offering better products, services and buying experiences.

NYIADA members are committed to selling safe vehicles. Because of the breadth of the current discussion on manufacturer recalls and the significant impact it will have on the economy, it is important that this issue be fully studied, and all ramifications thoroughly understood before any action is taken. The present recall process is entirely controlled by three entities: The United States

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

available for it.

Government through the National Highway

Transportation Safety Administration, the vehicle

manufacturers, and franchise dealers. Manufactures

either on their own discovery or upon direction from

NHTSA make a determination that a vehicle must be

recalled. Once that determination has been made, the

manufacturer is required to notify the present owner

of the vehicle that a recall has been issued, the

reason for that recall, and whether there is fix

manufacturers repair recalled vehicles at no charge to the owner. Manufacturers only allow their dealer network to repair those recalled vehicles.

Independent dealers do not have any control or participation in any part of this process. They do not have the authority, and frankly, do not have the expertise to determine whether or not a vehicle should be recalled. In fact, they are in the same position as any public consumer, that as a vehicle owner. They have no more access to recall information than any other vehicle owner.

One of the concerns with the current

recall process is the fact that owners of recalled

2.1

2.2

vehicles often do not heed the recall notices sent from the manufacturers to have a vehicle fixed. Perhaps the owner is too busy to take the vehicle to the franchise dealership for repair, or perhaps the franchise dealership is unable to accommodate an owner at a desired time. A recent Congressional research service report notes that recalled vehicles are often not repaired because the manufacturer might take as long as a year to procure the parts necessary for the repair. We have seen that be the case with some of the very recent high profile recalls that have made news.

Disseminating information from the manufacturers to the current vehicle owner is not an exact science given the transiency of the vehicle and how quickly title may be transferred. This is particularly true when independent dealers are those vehicle owners. An independent dealer may only have a vehicle in its inventory for a few days or for a few hours. The title transfer from the transform to the independent dealer then to the dealer's customer may happen instantaneously. Thus, the independent dealer is often never going to receive notice from the manufacturer that a vehicle has been recalled.

2.2

made known to the public at large by announcements from NHTSA that a particular year, make, and model has been recalled with a brief explanation as to the recall. However, these announcements never included a specific vehicle identification number for consumers or dealers to check whether that particular vehicle is subject to recall. The only way to obtain that information about whether a specific vehicle was subject to the recall was directly from the manufacturer or from one of its franchised dealerships. Many times neither the franchise dealership nor the manufacturer would share this information with independent dealers.

As we heard earlier, in August of this year NHTSA released a new tool that now allows the public, both dealers and consumers—— It's available to all to search whether a specific vehicle has open recalls. This new tool has opened the possibility for any consumer or any dealer to check a particular vehicle identification number for open recalls at any time. However, it's important to note that this tool is very much in its infancy, and let me echo what we just heard that not all the kinks are worked out with

this particular system. Information is only uploaded on a very infrequent basis in order to be VIN specific -- real time VIN specific. Excuse me,

5 vehicle searches.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Just this past week, the website crashed because of the overload of users trying to obtain information on the most recent air bag recall. story was reported just this week by CNN. And that NYIADA applauds the attempt to make real time recall information more readily available. But all of the kinks need to be ironed out before the system can truly be effective, and thus wholly relied upon. continue the support and will work with NHTSA in this process. During this recall debate across the country, there have been many proposals, including what this Council has proposed, the complete prohibition on the sale of a used vehicle with any open recall unless said dealer repairs the recall. Again, let me support some of the concerns that have been raised here in recent testimony, some that we echo as well.

While NYIADA and its dealer members are concerned about consumer safety, as members NYIADA cannot support the prohibition on sales as contained

2.2

in this legislation for a litany of reasons. First, it is important to note that not all recalls are the same. Some pertain to what may be construed as safety issues, such as air bags. And other have no impact on the safety or drivability of the vehicle whatsoever. For example, manufacturers have issued recalls because of a misprint in an owner's manual, or because a vehicle's paint job may experience flaking due to improper mixture of the paint.

Certainly, non-safety recalls deserve to be fixed just as much as safety recalls. However, vehicles should not be grounded and commerce halted because of a misprint in an owner's manual.

It has been suggested by some that a solution to the concern NYIADA and others in the industry have raised about the wide variety of recalls would be to create a scale from which to rank them. Those recalls that pose fire hazards or significant safety issues, for example, would rank higher than those that do not. Proponents of this ranking system propose that those vehicles with recalls that rank higher could not be sold until the dealer fixes the recall.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.1

2.2

The problem with this proposal is that there is no current ranking system in federal law.

Neither manufacturers nor NHTSA look at recalls from this perspective. They are the experts in determining whether a recall should be issued and what the fix is. Independent dealers, franchise dealers, the Department of Consumer Affairs nor this Council are qualified to make any decision on what should be recalled or how it ranks. That simply is an unworkable solution.

Second, NYIADA cannot support the prohibition on sales until a recall fix is repaired given the fact that a great number of recalled vehicles often do not have a fix readily available. We have seen from some of the recent recalls that have made national news cycle that it often takes a significant amount of time for manufacturers to determine the appropriate fix and even longer to provide the technical specification and parts for the vehicle to be repaired.

Furthermore, more often than not,
manufacturers and NHTSA publicly state that grounding
a vehicle pending repair is not necessary. During
the recent GM recall over faulty ignition switches, a

2.1

2.2

recall that affected millions upon millions of vehicles, several members of Congress and safety advocates called on NHTSA to ground those vehicles until the time as parts became available for the repair.

Plaintiffs in a federal lawsuit made the same request of the court. Both NHTSA and a federal judge declined to do so indicating that the cars could be driven safely. As NHTSA's determination in the GM ignition switch recall shows it is not necessary to ground vehicles pending a repair every time a recall issued even when some of those recalls affect safety. NYIADA believes that this is sound public policy.

NYIADA is also concerned about the proposed language when independent dealers do not have the ability to repair the vehicles themselves. Independent dealers are beholden to the same process as any other vehicle owner for the repair. Take the vehicle to a franchise dealer. This can pose economic and competitive challenges to the independent dealer. Independent dealers are in competition with franchise dealers used car operations. The possibly exists, and I merely

2.1

2.2

suggest that the possibly might exist, that a franchise dealer will move its competitor's repair to the back of the line behind its own inventory, and that of other customers that come in the door. With limited pars availability, coupled with a ban on selling the vehicle pending repair, independent dealers may be left out in the cold.

The other economic challenge many independent dealers face in having to rely on franchise dealers for repair is proximity of franchise dealers. Perhaps this is not as big a concern in New York City, although we just heard testimony that this issue does exist. But it is of great concern in rural areas across the country. The closest franchise dealership may be more than 100 miles away. The independent dealer would incur the cost to transport and personnel time in moving that vehicle to and from the franchise dealership. And as we heard, some of the issues relative to financing if that vehicle is held out of commission.

It has been suggested by some that with the public availability or recall information now available by specific VIN that the dealer should disclose the existence of recall information as part

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

of the sales transaction. While this is a proposition that warrants further discussion, certain considerations must be accounted before NYIADA can fully endorse it. First, we must be certain that the information in the NHTSA database is accurate, up to date, and the database is fully functional.

NYIADA does not want to put dealers, franchise or new, in a position where they are required to make a representation about a vehicle's open recall condition that they do not know to be 100% accurate. As recalls get repaired, the information related to the fix must be transmitted to the manufacturer and then to NHTSA in a timely manner to ensure that future owners do not believe an open recall exists when, in fact, it has been corrected.

Moreover, any disclosure requirement that would be considered must include immunity for the independent dealer against the veracity of that information. What do I mean by that? Because independent dealers do not have any control over the recall process, they should not be held responsible for the accuracy of the information in the recall database.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NYIADA would be open to discussions on the requirement that dealers provide the consumer with a copy of the recall database information pertaining to that particular vehicle identification number, if the only requirement is to provide that information. In exchange, legislative or administrative requirements must expressly state that the dealer is not responsible for errors or omissions contained in that recall report.

This idea is identical to a law that the State of California passed in 2012 requiring dealers to provide to each customer a copy of a vehicle history report from the National Motor Vehicle Titling Information System operated by the U.S. Department of Justice. The California Legislature provided express language protecting dealers from errors and omissions contained in those NMVTIS The specific California language states: reports. dealer shall not be liable for errors or omissions contained in the NMVTIS vehicle history report that is obtained from the NMVTIS data provider or for failure to provide information added to the NMVTIS after the vehicle -- Excuse me. After the dealer obtained a NMVTIS Vehicle History Report pursuant to

2.2

detail.

the particular law, that is in California Vehicle

Code Section 1713.26(e). This was a provision that

independent dealers in California fully supported and

the same concept could be easily implemented with

respect to the recall database. Again, and NYIADA

would be willing to discuss this idea in greater

As I conclude, let me be perfectly clear in reiterating that NYIADA and its dealer members are concerned about consumer safety, and as members subscribe to this code of ethics to ensure that their customers are fully taken care of. As a matter of best practice, NYIADA encourages its dealers to only make representations about vehicles that they know to be accurate whether it's regarding the open recall status of the vehicle, vehicle condition, or otherwise. NYIADA encourages its members to assist their customers, gather as much information about a vehicle, including the existence of open recalls so that those customers can make a well informed purchasing decision.

Finally, as a matter of best practice, and not legislative directive, NYIADA encourages all independent dealers to take additional steps

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	necessary to identify vehicles and their inventory
3	that have open recalls, and have those vehicles fixed
4	when those fixes are readily available to them.
5	Again, thank you for inviting NYIADA to testify
6	before the committee. We look forward to working
7	with you on this important issue as we move forward.

I'm happy to answer questions that the committee 9 members may have.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Sean, for your very details and intensive testimony. It's much appreciated. For the sake of time, we're going to turn the clock on for five minutes, and allow for the next testimony. I also want to recognize Paula--

PAULA FRENDEL: [interposing] Frendel CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: --Frendel. Thank you.

PAULA FRENDEL: Chairman Espinal, and the members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs. My name is Paula Frendel, the Executive Director of the New York Independent Automobile Dealers Association. I am accompanied by Fred Donnelly, the President of the New York Independent Automobile Dealers Association. NYIADA would like to thank the committee for the opportunity to testify. NYIADA is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

an affiliate of NYIADA and supports their testimony regarding the recall issue brought to us today.

We would like to share some of the experiences and hurdles our New York City dealer members have had to overcome in the last couple of months complying with the DCA's demand for a used car dealer to repair open recalls prior to sale. following statements have been submitted to NYIADA as part of our testimony today. One of our members had a vehicle at the manufacturer for three weeks for a repair of bolt on the third row seat on an SUV. this instance, this law hurt the consumers as they needed to take delivery of that car to transport their family. This consumer was not able to have the SUV repaired at a time that was convenient for them. In addition, the consumer was not offered a rental car, which would have been offered to them, if they had brought the SUV to the manufacturer themselves.

In another example of dealer quotes they tried to bring their vehicle to the franchise dealers to have their vehicles repaired. But the dealers would not accept the car without proper plates on the car. They said the insurance company will not allow vehicles in the facility without proper plates and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

registration. The manufacturers required the vehicles to have plates at the time of repair. This requirement forces the dealer to use their dealer plates, which should be used for transporting and test drive while these cars are being repaired.

Since dealer plates are a limited resource, this basically puts the dealer out of doing any other business during the time that their cars are being repaired for recall.

Another dealer writes: Costs to the I cannot bring a vehicle to the manufacturer Dealer. and simply wait for them to repair it. Sometimes they have the car for days. Now, I have to bring it there and somehow get back to my facility, and then later pick it up. We're a small used car dealer with just myself and one employee. I do not sell many cars a year. In order to bring a car to the dealer I have to either hire a driver for a short period of time, or take a taxi back and forth. Either way, this is very costly. Also, some vehicle manufacturers have to order parts for the recall, and it takes a lot of time to receive them. Then there's the additional time to schedule an appointment. During this time, I cannot sell the vehicle, and the

vehicle sits on my lot. I lose money because by the time vehicle is complete the price has gone down, and now the vehicle is now overpriced. This vehicle now

5 has diminished value.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

It is NYIADA's -- it is the Independent Automobile Dealers Association's opinion due to the current negative experiences of our members in NYIADA's testimony under this plan of the used car dealer repairing open recalls prior to sales, this plan simply will not work. Furthermore, it is necessary to discuss another component of this issue. How to enforce the proposed law against dealers who sell cars illegally in the streets known and curbstoning. For example, our association was contacted by a typical defrauded customer who thought they were buying a car from a New York City dealer, but in reality was purchasing it from a New Jersey dealer doing business on New York City streets. car was sold for \$1,200, but when the consumer attempted to get the car inspected, he realized it needed \$1,400 in repairs to make this car roadworthy. How many recall could this unsafe vehicle have? don't know because it was sold by a curbstoner.

2.2

tow program similar to the Scoff Law [sic] would take care of getting these cars off the road.

We ask the committee to please review the attached information, attached to this testimony regarding curbstoning. And we would like to discuss that at a further date. The New York Independent Automobile Dealers Association is concerned for the consumers' safety, and we do encourage our members to urge their consumers to gather all information available to them during their vehicle purchase. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify, and we would like to discuss these matters further.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Paula.
PAULA FRENDEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Next testimony.

I'm just going to set the clock to five minutes.

BOB VANCAVAGE: Okay, I don't want to be redundant. So I've crossed off some of my prepared testimony that you all have, and I'm sure you'll appreciate that. Chairman Espinal and members of the committee, thank you very much for having me here today. I'm Bob Vancavage from the New York State Auto Dealers Association. We represent about a thousand dealers throughout the State of New York, including

2.2

2 the same as Greater New York and the City of New 3 York.

I just want to make a couple of points, and again not to be redundant. I cannot stress enough that while the dealers members and NYSADA provide invaluable services to the local communities, it generates significant economic activity including but not limited to employment, sales tax revenue, and property tax revenue. Our members strive to provide excellent service their communities and customers. In this respect, the dealer members in NYSADA place consumer safety as the number one concern.

Although automobile sales is one of the most highly regulated businesses within New York

State, and especially New York City, our members must contend with a host of laws and regulations

promulgated by federal, state, and local agencies.

Because NYSADA's members are committed to selling safe vehicles, they accept this burden because of the importance of the automobile industry to our state and the public.

A lot of the issues that we just talked about, that were just discussed I have other my points as well. So I'm not going to belabor you with

2.2

an open recall.

going through all those again. All excellent, excellent points, and I strongly agree with everything that's been said. A couple of points that I'd like to make to all of you is what's going to happen with new business in the City of New York. Right now, and there are different numbers being thrown around. I heard the DCA say 50 million. According to Forbes, 52 million. Money says 56 million. Carfax says one in ten vehicles on a dealer's lot has an open recall, and that up to 20% of all the cars in the United States right now have

Another point. Twenty-five percent of all consumers never fix the recalls. So there are a lot of vehicles floating around out there. We have to figure out which ones are the problems, and that's where I think we first have to really get together and work on this. Because as everyone says if it's just every single recall, this is going to be unbelievable, and it's going to stop business in its tracks. There is going to be no business. People are going to go out of state. You're talking about the New Jersey guys coming to New York, all of the

2.2

New York customers are going to go to New Jersey because they're going to get more for their trade-in.

So as everybody's testimony suggested, to tie up a car, to transport it a hundred miles if that's the next closest franchise dealer, it's going to be a lot of time. A lot of times the parts and the recalls have be remanufactured. They don't even exist. Because obviously they know there was a problem. So they have to remanufacture these parts. They have to make them available, and then they're going to be transported to the franchise dealers. The franchise dealers are already going to have the largest part of some of the laws that they need to fix. Their customers are going to come in, and they're going to get fixed.

And then finally, their competitors, the other dealers that bring their cars in to be fixed will get fixed. You know, at some point they're all going to get fixed, but this time line may be huge.

And it's really going to tie up commerce. It's going to basically shut down automobile sales. Based on these facts and how many cars exist out there right now. I mean as I started researching for this meeting, I couldn't believe these numbers. I mean

2.2

we're talking 56 million. A new record. You know, I think after the ignition switches from General Motors, there was a knee-jerk reaction. Everybody started recalling cars for every single thing because no one wants the liability.

So now, we're looking at this huge amount of cars out there that effectively under this law would not be able to be sold. So from the aspect of the dealers and trying to do the right thing to get them fixed, this is very burdensome. From the aspect of the consumers that want to trade a car in, the cars are going to be so under-valued, you know, thinking about all the time and effort, the parts. They're going to be tied up. Dealers aren't going to be able to afford the floor plan to hold cars as long as these fixes may take to get done.

So with that said, we're also opposing, but look to work with this Council and the DCA to figure out the fix for this because it's definitely a problem and we have to get our arms around it just like the federal government, just like the states are doing. We need to figure out a fix for this. Thank you very much.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: DCA made a

suggestion that the recall be defined as a safety recall. Do you feel that that may lessen the burden on these car dealerships?

MARK SCHIENBERG: Council Member I think you actually— It's a great question because of the problem and it was stated I think in all three or four testimonies was there are different types of recalls that are out there. And the number I heard also was that there are 300 million vehicles on the road, and there are 50 million recalls that are out there. Most of them are administrative issues. It was mentioned a couple of times it can be even a misprint in an owner's manual that's usually contracted by a manufacturer to some kind of company to do it. It's put low on the agenda for getting it repaired.

In the meantime, this provision because it says open recalls, it doesn't say safety recalls or non-safety would actually put that vehicle in a situation where you couldn't sell it until a new owner's manual was reprinted on it, or a label on the sun visor. So it's a very important question and distinction that I think that you're making is what

are we talking about as far as a problem with the		
vehicle? Are we talking about something that again		
is not safety related. I don't think anybody would		
argue at all that if a car is in a condition where it		
can be unsafe to be driven that it should be taken		
off the road. I think that's extremely important to		
do. But most of these recalls that are there are		
non-safety related. And I think that's an issue the		
clearly needs to be addressed before such a process		
is taking place where consumers and the businesses		
are stopped from being able to do vehicle transaction		
on it. So think that's an important issue.		

BOB VANCAVAGE: I would just like to add in the current safety recall mandated, not the safety recall, the safety inspection of the vehicle when it's sold, it gets into every single safety related item. So I guess when I look at that, and I compare it to the recalls in general, I don't really understand the comparison. And I think if you looked at it for safety recalls specific, I think it would pretty much mate to the safety inspection that's already mandated in the State of New York.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So the safe car
3 website that was mentioned earlier, does that include

4 only safety recalls or does it include all recall?

BOB VANCAVAGE: And by the way, at one of the meetings that I had with the DCA when we were working on this, I had a recall that wasn't a recall. I had it fixed and it was still listed. I looked at it right in their office, and it still had the recall as open. And I had it fixed. So as other people have mentioned here during the testimony, there's a huge lag. The data gets updated but that's only the data they get, and that's supposing that everything is given to them on a minute-by-minute basis, which I'm sure is not the case. So often times, these cars are going to have an open period where it's either going to show that it's not fixed or it's going to show that it is fixed, and there's another recall behind it. Now, this air bag issue we're talking about, the Takata air bags.

I'm just reading that Honda announced

Delaney, their new car model by at least six months,

because they can't-- They don't even know where to

get the air bags from. The car was the major

manufacturer with all the air bags. The list of cars

2.2

that had recalled air bags is incredibly long. So I don't know how they're going to fix all this. I mean all of the cars and effectively sitting somewhere and the customers are not being able to use them.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Now if we only require safety recalls to be addressed, how would you feel about the idea of giving a consumer a list of the other recalls the cars have that they can address on their own with the manufacturer?

SEAN PETERSON: Mr. Chairman, if I might.

Again, I think that goes to the issue of disclosure,
and I heard the Commissioner of DCA mention

disclosure being an important component in other

things, and she was specifically addressing the other

bill. But I think disclosure is something that as an

industry we might consider assuming that we can get

these couple different things that we had talked

about. You know, if we're not responsible for the

information that's contained within that recall

information database, then I don't think dealers

would have any problem by and large providing that

information to them. This is what we know to be the

case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

But again, we need to have some kind of assurance that, you know, we're not responsible for the information that's not ours. It belongs to a third party and we're just the conduit. Again, that California model is something that dealers support it wholeheartedly. Both franchise and independent dealers support it wholeheartedly, and I believe that's something that we could explore.

MARK SCHIENBERG: And just on the new car If a dealer is a GM dealer and they get a side. recall notice from the manufacture, I sort of almost hate to say this, but the dealer actually likes to see those things because he's getting paid by the manufacturer to take care of a problem that they deemed to be worthy of some kind of repair. Whether it's safety or again something that's long-term that they might like to see change in their product. The problem clearly does come about again when there's the-- You see a recall, and you don't know if first of all, if it's still an open recall because there is such a lag time between when the car is repaired. The dealer notifies the manufacture. The manufacture notifies NHTSA.

2.2

NHTSA finally changes its website that
was referred to that you can do these searches on it.
So it's not true time as far as the kind of
information that's even coming out of the NHTSA
website. And it is in its infancy so it's still new,
and still working out the details. It did crash on
it. So there's things that need to be worked out.
But even in the best of circumstances, it will never
be a true time kind of picture of what is an open
recall and what is safety and what's non-safety?
And I think that that's probably the biggest
challenge that there is.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: How difficult would it be for a mechanic to assess if a part was changed? Let's say you look into the recall and maybe there was that lag. Maybe it was repaired. How difficult would it be for a mechanic to look at the car and say well this was already done?

SEAN PETERSON: I think that's going to depend on what the recall issue is. Certainly with these air bags. I mean that's pretty significant.

You've got to pull things apart and get into it. It wouldn't be something that you could detect very simply visually.

2.2

MARK SCHIENBERG: Well, I was young I used to be able to tear apart an engine. I could open up the hood and I can't see anything any more. So it's sometimes even hard for a technician to identify something on the surface because sometimes it could be a recall that's not easily seen on it. So you're relying on information that is available to you. I would say that we have some vehicles for our field people. We've had the work repaired. We took a look when some of these issues were coming about, and the work that we had done was still not— It was still— it was an open recall that was still on the website.

So we knew that it got done, but the fact is that it was still called and open recall. So for a dealer they're still now sitting with this car in the lot. He's trying to sell it, and unable to do it even thought the information— Even though the vehicle has been repaired, but the information has been transferred through the system.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: The majority of cars, used car dealers you see, where do they come from? Are they mostly trade-ins or auction cars?

Cars they got at auctions?

2.2

dealers a high percentage are used cars. Of course, there's a fair amount that they'll get at auction as well. But a large percentage of the used cars are a trade-in by the customer. And like I said, 25% of the customers— I'll be honest with you. I'm one of these people that I get these notices, and aren't very quick to get them fixed. If I don't deem it to be something significant, I'm not going to take my time to go sit in a dealership and wait for the thing to be fixed. You know, that's where I am right now with my daughter's car. It says the headlight needs to be fix, and we just haven't gotten around to it because it still works although there's water in it

MARK SCHIENBERG: [interposing] And I think this is where the causal sales are-- I call them casual sales. I'm actually not that familiar with curbstoning, but causal sales is when a car-- a used car is bought from one individual to another. If these recalls are not being taken care of by the consumer, who has been notified by the manufacturer to come in, these vehicles are still out on the road. I mean if it's a real safety recall, one of the

2.2

of sales.

things I think the federal government should be looking at is-- or state government. I'm sorry. It's probably a more state government issue. Is to not allow the car to be re-registered when it comes up for registration until an open recall is done by that consumer who owns the car. Because it will just be transferred. More than 50% of all used cars are sold as casual sales on it. Without any knowledge, without any, you know, of whether there is any problem in that vehicle at all. And it's a big concern that's out there not only for these curb kind

But again for the more normal kind of I'm selling it to my neighbor, but I've got a problem with the vehicle. Stop it at registration. You know, make sure these open notices if they're not taken care of, are done before they can be reregistered. Like you go through a safety or emissions tests, and you can cure a lot of the problems that way. I mean that's one area to take a look at.

BOB VANCAVAGE: Yeah, and that's one of the reasons that my suggestion is that this be looked at more on a federal level. Because right now,

what's going to happens is a customer if you tell
them we've got to fix your safety recall if it's just
in New York City, they're going to go to New Jersey.

They're going to with their trade-in to New Jersey
and get more money for it because the New Jersey guy

7 doesn't have to check it for recall and fix the

8 recall.

2.2

might approach that cost. There's a study that shows that people will save \$100 who will travel 50 miles to save \$100 on the price of a sale. One of the problems we have even in the State of New York is that New York dealers are regulated when they do registration title work for \$75. In New Jersey, they can charge an unlimited amount, and that the average is between \$300 and \$400. So New York consumers are being advertised on a car that they think that they're going to get it less.

But they're actually going to pay more money because of the registration fees in New Jersey. See, the cross-border issues and what we implement here as far as requirements that go on it, if it does raise the price of vehicles, which at some place it's got to come. This time and effort that's talked

2.2

about goes into what they need to recover their costs to make their business run, will only raise the price of vehicle. It just has to be on it. And then there's going to be the situation where this consumer is going to think that they're seeing a similar used car. There is no exact same used car from place to place, in another state or out in Nassau County or wherever it might be. And actually go there to purchase it only to find that they're paying more money in the long run. So New York businesses get hurt, and New York City consumers get hurt at the same time.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right, thank you.

FRED DONNELLY: All right--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Speak into the mic, please.

FRED DONNELLY: My name is Fred Donnelly,
President of the New York Independent Auto Dealers
Association. And there's a lot of truth to that
because why you may not be aware of is that there are
a lot of New York dealers who found it too strict to
abide by New York laws. So what they do now is go
over to Jersey. There are warehouses set up with

rooms just barely to meet the legal requirements to

get a New Jersey dealer license. They get a New

Jersey dealer license. They come back to New York

and set up on the curbs or in lots, and actually sell

cars here with Jersey licenses to get over on the New

7 York laws.

2.2

BOB VANCAVAGE: With curbstoning a lot of these dealers are so careful that they even put tape over the backs so in case anyone wants to take pictures of any identifying marks like a VIN or there's a sticker on their back license plate, they have them all covered up, or they've removed the sticker. I've been to Brooklyn. I lived on Coney Island Avenue. I've looked at some of these cars, and they actually put tape or something over the VIN plate so you can't tell—you can't tell what the car is. You see a phone number and you call about it, but you won't know any more about the car.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, thank you.

And for housekeeping purposes, I also want to recognize our Vinny Gentile from Brooklyn, who stopped by a little earlier. Council Member Richards.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you, and
I appreciate you guys coming in and testifying, and l
look forward to obviously having more dialogue as we
move forward. And my honest opinion is if there
weren't a lot of bad actors out there, we wouldn't be
here today. So that's why we're here today. I did
want to raise So there were a few things I believe
Mr. Mark Schienberg presented. I just want to ask a
couple of questions. So I know you spoke of You
know when you guys hold vehicles, you incur certain
costs. How much a day or on average would a used car
dealership incur? How much money would it cost to
hold?

MARK SCHIENBERG: It varies. Actually, it's a really great question. I don't think-
COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: On average, as an average.

MARK SCHIENBERG: Many people don't realize that new car dealers actually buy vehicles either whether it's new car vehicles from the manufacturer or when they go to an auction, and they're buying it from an auction. They have to go to a bank and actually buy those vehicles and it's

valuation of the product that you want to sell.

104

24

1

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

speak to the misprint in the manuals, and I think our big thing here obviously is safety. So obviously, you know, if there's a misprint, we don't-- We want to hold people accountable if they're, you know, obviously, if they're aware or if they know. But if you don't know, and in essence-- What I'm getting at is if we exempted that from-- if there was an exemption on a particular misprint in manuals in particular or we gave a certain amount, a window of days. How long would it take for them to print a manual to get-- to reprint the manual?

MARK SCHIENBERG: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I mean on what you're dealing with now, can somebody give a solid example of that.

MARK SCHIENBERG: It's such a-- Well, on owners manuals, by the way, it's such a low priority on it that, you know, who knows how long it would take to do that. The other, and I think you put your finger on exactly the issue is what do we define? How do we define a non-safety issue so that we're taking that off of the plates so that again commerce can be done that way that it does, and consumers can

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay, so,

24

25

that's the one that--

2.2

MARK SCHIENBERG: [interposing] And I'm sorry. I apologize. Not only that but when we contacted the manufacturer to see if the vehicles that we were repaired in our fleet were actually in their database, it still wasn't entered in. So the dealer did the work, transferred it over. The manufacturer said this work was done on this recall, and even the manufacturer didn't make the change in the database.

a provision in here to exempt it, there was proof, if you showed adequate proof, that you'd gone above and beyond to ensure that the repairs are made and the recalls, you know, obviously are documented, would that suffice you guys?

BOB VANCAVAGE: You know what the other problem I just want to mention is the other problem when the dealer takes in a trade in car, they can run. And we're talking about the lag time, it could be a week or two weeks. It could be a month or whatever. When they take the car in on trade thinking that there is no recall that they're not going to have to fix anything. And what you're

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

talking about is sometimes these trade-ins become
sales relatively quickly.

The dealer's main focus is to get that vehicle once traded in sold as quickly as possible so they don't have the interest on those accounts accruing. So they want to move the vehicle on. So what happens now that the car is on the dealer's lot for 30 days with how quickly these recalls are coming out now, seemingly everyday. Now all of a sudden the dealer has a car on his lot with a recall he didn't know was recalled. So now, he has, if we talk about this bill, they would have to get the recall fixed before they can sell it, and you get into all those other issues of—

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]

And how many recalls do you deal with every year

because I only hear about a handful. I mean I-
Maybe I'm just, you know, not paying close enough

attention.

BOB VANCAVAGE: Well, this year we're talking upwards of 56 million recalls.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: On safety?

BOB VANCAVAGE: Well, again, NTHSA doesn't make a determination as to what is safety and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

what is not. So we're just working late on with them.

GOUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So have you guys been working with them to figure that part out? Because it would seem that if, you know, you're having difficulty in this area, have guys made any effort to work with them to make sure you're getting adequate information.

SEAN PETERSON: We are—— We at NYSADA are in constant communication with that side.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And are you working with the other—— Sorry to cut you off—— the other parts of governments so the state and the fed to ensure that that particular area is something that they're focusing on?

SEAN PETERSON: As I mentioned, you know, this is an issue that's being discussed all across the county, and we're in constant communication. And we work very closely with GNYADA. We're in constant communication with NHTSA. We participate frequently with states across the country, the state attorney general, Department of Consumer Affairs and the states on this issue. NHTSA does not make the distinction yet, and every indication that we've

that they're going to.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

- gotten from them-- Not speaking for them, but every indication that we've gotten from them right now is that it's not their intention to do that. That may change in the future, but as we sit right now, they do not make that distinction, and have not indicated
 - COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay, thank you Chairman, and you raised a lot of the other things that I wanted to mention. Thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Council Member Jumaane Williams.
- COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. 13 14 Chair. Thank you for your testimony. I do also 15 agree with a recent statement my colleague made. There's a lot of bad actors out there, which is why 16 17 we're here. All of my cars have been used. 18 Actually, the ones that I've gotten from major known dealerships have been fine, those experiences. 19 20 ones that I got from I think the independent smaller type have always had some problem with either the 21 2.2 vehicle or the sale price that was initially given. 23 And the bait and switch and all that other stuff. 24 And so, I think-- I think it was Mr. Peterson that

2.2

2 mentioned on some of these things they're experts in 3 determining some of this stuff.

Independent Dealers, for instance it is the Department of DCA or the Council are not qualified to make some of these decisions. But I do think we are elected to use the best information that we have to actually make some of these decisions.

And I think it's within our purview to actually do that. So I think that's what we're trying to do today. And I know that—— I guess the National Independent Automobile Dealer Association, the New York State Automobile Association, and the New York Independent Automobile Association. I think there are the same. There were no comments on 178. So I just want to know if there are any opinions on it.

SEAN PETERSON: Council Williams just by point of clarification when I made the statement I was talking specifically about making a determination as to whether a vehicle should be recalled.

Certainly, we recognize that this Council has the capacity to exercise its discretion and consider these particular issues. I'm talking specifically about making a determination that there is a vehicle

2.2

2 that needs to be recalled. That was the genesis of 3 my statement.

From a national perspective, we do not have any particular position as it relates to the other bill. Those are issues that generally our state associations handle. The recall issue is of such national importance, and I agree here that it's something that does need to be considered by Congress and should probably be addressed there. As opposed to in the 50 plus jurisdictions that they're currently considering something like that. So I would defer to the state association.

MARK SCHIENBERG: And if I may make a comment on behalf of Greater New York on 178, I think some of the comments that were made by the previous panel was— Really needs to be taken a look into more closely. The idea that when you're buying a used car, there are consumers when they're buying it and it's like lots of other products they want some kind of warranty. This legislation 178 would not allow for a warranty to be sold to a customer even if the consumer wants to have an extended warranty on a used vehicle that they're purchasing. Or again during the negotiated process if the consumer says

have tires put on it.

2.2

listen, I like the car, I love but, you know, those
tires they look like they-- You know, the tread is
not-- I want to-- I'll buy the car, but I want to

The legislature would make it illegal for them to do it. So a used car is one of those items that is constantly negotiated. Somebody wants an extra piece of equipment. They want a warranty on it. You can't put an exact price on a used, on a car until you are sitting down with the consumer and doing it. Now, I will say on administrative fees, and we heard that, we're trying to get guidance from the New York State Attorney-General's Office. This has been the one issue that I think drives even dealers crazy. Is that they want to sell a vehicle whether it's new or used on it. And there are some that are out there that are charging administrative fees. What is an administrative fee? Nobody sort of knows.

I think the Attorney-General is coming down on the side of saying the only time you can charge an additional price is when you're actually getting some item or service for that thing, and you can't bundle. That's been the law for a long time

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 that you get these \$1,000, \$1,500 fees,

administrative fees and it supposedly includes a 3

whole bunch of things. In New York State that's 4

illegal to do. You can't bundle. You have to 5

itemize the items in which you're getting it. 6

So the Department of Motor Vehicles is silent on administrative fees. The Attorney-General has historically said it's problematic on it. We agree that it is problematic. It creates a climate that's improper in selling cars, and I think they're working through it. But what we're hearing from at least the Attorney-General's Office right now is that the only time you can charge an additional fee is if it's actually you're getting something for that price specific.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So you were the only actual person who testified on 178. So give me one second.

> MARK SCHIENBERG: [interposing] Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I just want to make sure there is no one else that had any comments on 178.

BOB VANCAVAGE: On 178, the New York State Auto Dealers Association, although not in

2.2

similar.

- written testimony, shares the same concerns about the ability to sell a warranty, upgrade the tires, and those kinds of things. So we'd have to find, you know, the middle ground on this as to how you advertise this, and what you disclose or don't. To make it so it's equal across the board. I think what happens is that somebody does something wrong, and then other people to compete have to do other things
- COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes, that's what I was explaining to you.

problem. So we just need clear and I think what the Commissioner of DCA said before transparency, clear. The roles have to be across the board so everyone can understand, and make everyone better actors. We keep talking about bad or good actors. To make everyone a good actor, I think that's really what we want. And we want at the end of the day for consumers to be treated fairly and to know what they're getting into before they get up in some kind of craziness at the end of the deal.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So I guess what you're calling administrative fees is what I was--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

they were trying to charge me as dealer fees and what have you. I still have a couple questions. Is there a menu that can be used so people know how much used tires cost, how much a warranty costs? So that when you come to close the deal, you can work out this is what this costs, and this is the deal I'm giving you.

MARK SCHIENBERG: Well, on tires, by the way, most dealers don't sell tires directly. They usually go to somebody that's tire company. It just takes a lot of room, and there are so many different choices on it. So you tend to go down to a tire company and to find out, you know, to buy the tire. So menu purchasing could be somewhat challenging on Again, extended warranties. There are lots of extended warranties for certain periods of time. mean I'm sure there is some place along the line there is a pricing that a dealer goes by because they're selling it. If it comes from a particular manufacturer, manufacturers are really good at giving specific prices on it. So I would say that there's probably a way to do it, but just we would probably need to really get into the leads on this to see how it could be done.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well, I would like to do that because I'm more focused on it. And they gave suggestions of what we can use. The fee that I'm concerned about, and not just inspection, and they put some language here about optional fees. Which I think registration fees are not really what I'm trying to get out. What I feel is that if a car is being advertised, most of the time when it's being advertised, it's not being advertised with the cost of the warranty or the cost of the tires to begin with. And so, when you go up there, the dealer's fee doesn't include the warranties or the tires. It's just another fee that doesn't really include anything.

And so, what I'm trying to get the law to do is actually deal specifically with those things.

I feel based on my experiences I've seen, there are people losing more than they are gaining from what you're talking about. They're putting in the tires, putting in the warranties, which I understand. But if you see something for \$10,000 and then you get tacked on an extra five, you probably get tired at some point and just sit down and make a deal. You're actually probably losing a lot. So I want to find a

2.2

way to get to that. If you have specific language
that you think will be helpful, I'd love to see that
sooner than later. If not, we have to try to cull
out based on what you're saying and what DCA is

6 saying to try to get with that so that we can affect

7 people who are affected.

MARK SCHIENBERG: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: You also said that it was, you thought it was duplicative. I think that's the word, duplicate. I want to understand that a little bit more.

MARK SCHIENBERG: Well, again, there's always already, you know, statutes on the books right now that DCA has that talks about deceptive business practices, deceptive advertising. A lot of that we worked with them on it, and as I mentioned in my testimony when Bobby Evans was the Attorney-General in New York State, we put together the first set of advertising standards in the country on it. Because dealers said the problem is that we want a clear understanding of how to go about advertising whether it's in ads, printed ads, TV ads or even on vehicles. So part of it is that New York City has extensive advertising guidelines right now, as New York State

does. And what this law does is actually in some
ways a little bit conflicts with each other a little

4 bit.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So you've got a dealer looking at one set of guidelines, and says okay I'm going to follow this. And now, there's a different set that's out there, one by statute, one by regulation potentially. So I think we would love to see the harmonization of those regulations, and to make sure that they work for everybody. And we would be glad to, would love to sit down with you and go over this. I think you'd find a very receptive audience with dealers whether used car dealers or new car dealers. There's a lot invested in their businesses, and for new car dealers customer satisfaction is always being looked at by the manufacturers themselves. So when they see a road dealer going out and doing something that really hurts the rest of the industry, you know, the industry is not in favor of it. So we'd love to work with cooperatively with on finding the solutions to it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So I don't know that I fully get the duplication, but based on what you said, and based on what's in testimony I'm not

2.2

sure how adding something else duplicates what's there. So you have to more fully explain it or you have to present to me the language that exists, maybe I can understand it better. I do think what's happening is actually pretty persuasive, if not in Brooklyn and Queens where I've tried to shop. And so I do think there is more that needs to be done.

And it's not one or two bad actors. I
think it's unfortunately pervasive, and I guess-- I
don't how the description is, but it seems like
smaller independent used car shops. It seems like a
pervasive tactic to get people in, and just basically
lie about what was said in the advertisement. So I
do want to find a way to get to that sooner than
later particularly administrative and dealer's fees.
I am sensitive to not trying to prevent you from
conducting a good deal with someone who might gain
something. But I think there's a lot of bad things
happening, and I want to try to--

MARK SCHIENBERG: [interposing] And we'd love to work with you, and one area that we'd love to add onto this discussion is where we see a huge problem also is in brokers that are out there. I mean there's not that much difference between

2.2

2	somebody who's selling it on the curbside, and
3	somebody who's selling it There's one in Brooklyn
4	behind a barber shop, and there's a desk on there.
5	And they're acting like they are a franchise dealer
6	that is represented by a manufacturer. But they're
7	really unlicensed dealers.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Is this different than the person who goes to the auctions?

MARK SCHIENBERG: Slightly different, yes. Yeah, so the brokers usually are in communities where there's a language barrier. So they tend to be set up with people who speak similar languages where people might feel comfortable. And then they're finding out they're buying cars from somebody from out of state. Most of the time it's new, but it's a lot of used vehicles also that's out there, and there are websites. You go onto the website, and they're pretending to give all the warranties. So there's a real deception that's there, and it's just really about how you're presenting yourself. So I think it gets into a lot of the things—

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]

sure.

25

[Pause]

2	FRED DONNELLY: Curbstoning in New York
3	and in New York State is illegal. Period. And we're
4	not really talking about the person who has a You
5	know, the resident who has one car for sale.
6	Certainly, we're not opposed to that. We're talking
7	about somebody who's selling cars everyday on the
8	street through Craigslist, the same phone number. $ exttt{W}$
9	do have the information now on companies who actually
LO	go out and identify these people, and, you know, it's
L1	a lot easier to do now. But no it's definitely
L2	illegal.
L3	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Great thank
L 4	you. Thank you. I think with that being said, I
L 5	think that we're going to conclude this hearing.
L 6	Thank you for your testimony, guys.
L 7	MARK SCHIENBERG: Thank you, Chairman.
L 8	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I appreciate it.
L 9	[gavel]
20	
21	
22	
23	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 30, 2014