CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

----- X

October 8, 2014 Start: 10:13 a.m. Recess: 3:26 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Fernando Cabrera

Chairperson

Elizabeth S. Crowley

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mathieu Eugene Rory I. Lancman Paul A. Vallone

Maria Del Carmen Arroyo

James Vacca
Inez D. Barron
Daniel Dromm
Ydanis Rodriguez
Stephen T. Levin

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joseph Ponte Commissioner of New York Department of Corrections

Martin Murphy
Deputy Chief of Staff at New York Department of
Corrections

Michael Blake Deputy Commissioner at New York Department of Corrections

Winette Saunders Associate Commissioner of Community Partnerships and Programs Development

James Perrino Warden at Rikers Island

Erik Berliner Deputy Commissioner for Strategic Planning and Programs

Bryanne Hamill Judge on Board of Corrections/Chair of Adolescent and Young Adult Committee of the New York City Board of Corrections

Thomas Farrell
Correction Officers' Benevolent Association

Elias Husamudeen Fist Vice President of Correction Officers' Benevolent Association

William Inman
First Vice President of Corrections Captain's
Unit

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dave Casellas Bronx Defenders

William Gibney Legal Aid Society

Nancy Ginsburg Legal Aid Society

Ronald Schneider Brooklyn Defenders

Nick Malinowski Brooklyn Defenders

Barry Campbell Fortune Society

Chris Watler Harlem Community Justice Center

Jesus Trajada

Donovan Wilkinson

Rukia Lumumba Director of Youth Programs at CASES

Stephanie Gendell Citizens Committee for Children

Victoria Sammartino
Juvenile Justice Committee

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gabrielle Harowitz-Prisco Corrections Association of New York Conditions and Confinement Work Group

Megan Crowe-Rothstein Jails Action Coalition

Johnny Perez Jails Action Coalition

Dakeem
Jails Action Coalition

Deandra Khan Civil Liberties Union

Cameron MacKay

Juvenile Justice Project at CUNY School of Law

International Women's Human Rights Clinic

Mabota Gwen [sp?]
Juvenile Justice Project at CUNY School of Law
International Women's Human Rights Clinic

1

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good morning. 3 name is Elizabeth Crowley, and I Chair--I am the 4 Chair of the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice 5 Services. This is a joint oversight hearing with the 6 Committee on Juvenile Justice Chaired by Council 7 Member Fernando Cabrera. At today's hearing we will 8 examine the treatment of adolescents in New York City jails and review the United Stated Department of 10 Justice's recent report on the level of violence 11 among adolescents at Riker's Island. We will also 12 hear testimony on the Council's resolution to raise 13 the age of criminal responsibility to 18, which 14 supports legislation before the New York State 15 Legislature. I'd like to thank my Co-chair and our 16 staffs for putting this hearing together. 17 want to thank our Speaker, Melissa Mark-Viverito for 18 her leadership and recognition of the importance of 19 this issue as demonstrated by her being here today. 20 I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who have joined 21 us for the hearing, Council Member Mathieu Eugene and 2.2 Council Member Daniel Dromm. Correction officers have 23 one of the toughest jobs in the city of New York. 24 The vast majority of correction officers act 25 professionally and take their responsibility

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 6 seriously. The recent published report by the United Stated Department of Justice highlights abuses of power that cannot be tolerated. We are having this hearing today to discuss the use of excessive force and to ensure that violent incidents are properly This Oversight Hearing and this Oversight recorded. Committee needs assurance that inmates are safe and that there's no tolerance for abuse of power and that correction officers are held accountable for their actions. New York is only one of two states in the country where 16 and 17 year olds are automatically treated as adults and placed in adult facilities and adult jails even while just awaiting trial. And the DOJ's report serves as a reminder of the shocking and disturbing reality for adolescent inmates. For many, the problems on Riker's Island have long been out of sight and out of mind, but now we face a federal mandate to address the unacceptable conditions for adolescents on Rikers. Made up of less than 300 inmates, the adolescent population presents an opportunity for the city to introduce immediate and effective reforms within an inmate population of a very manageable size. That is why I believe in line with the United States Department of Justice that any

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 7 plan of action through reform, the Department of Correction must begin removing all 16 and 17 year olds off of Riker's Island. The end goal of effective criminal justice should not be limited to punishment for a crime, but instead to broadly improve public safety by taking steps to reduce recidivism on an individual level, and putting teens in adult jails only makes them more likely to have a lifetime of contact with the Criminal Justice System. Our best opportunity for intervention is with our adolescent population through education, programming and proper healthcare. We can give our young offenders a real chance to get on the right path. a city we have a legal duty and a moral responsibility to administer humane and appropriate criminal justice. I recognize that Commissioner Ponte has taken charge of the Department only seven months ago and was not responsible for the Department's policies as described in the DOJ report. I am eager to hear about the reforms that the Department plans to implement to reduce violence, including which DOJ recommendations have and will be adapted, and lastly, how the Department plans to hold officers who abuse their power accountable for their

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 8 actions. I'd like to now recognize our Speaker for her opening remarks and then I will turn the mic to our co-chair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Chair Crowley and Chair Cabrera for organizing this important hearing. I want to thank everyone that is also here in the chamber with us today. And I want to recognize the work that you've done to improve our Criminal and Juvenile Justice Systems particularly as it relates to working to improve the conditions for adolescents in our city jails and decreasing the level of violence they endure. I want to thank the Commissioner and all those that are here as well who we'll be hearing from shortly. I also want to thank the Department of Justice for conducting its two year investigation and exposing the serious problems in our jails and revealing that the civil rights of adolescents are being violated. I also want to thank the Department of Justice for proposing a number of viable steps the city can take to reform the adolescent's facilities at Rikers. Administration needs to take immediate action. know it's begun to do some of that, but it needs to take immediate action to correct these systemic

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 9 problems and address the Department of Justice recommendations. Just a few weeks ago, the council passed a law sponsored by Council Member Dromm, who has been a leading advocate for jail reform that requires the Department of Corrections to issue quarterly reports on its use of punitive segregation. As that law was being developed and discussed, it became apparent to me that punitive segregation was being overused and that we needed to know its impact on the entire jail population. I don't think we need any more analysis with regard to the fact that punitive segregation has to end for adolescents, and thankfully, Commissioner Ponte has reportedly committed to ending it by year's end. The Department of Justice report looked a specific period from 2011 to 2013. As the Chair has indicated, Commissioner Ponte was appointed in March 11<sup>th</sup> of this year, but he and Mayor de Blasio have stated their intention to conduct a top to bottom review of how Rikers is run and to make the necessary changes to ensure it is run humanely and professionally. We look forward to working with them and determining what changes need to be made and ensuring those changes are implemented as soon as possible. And I want to be clear, change

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 10 is urgently needed. Right now, 16 and 17 year old children are living under the same conditions that were found in the report, and those conditions are appalling. It's disserving to know their rights are being violated on a regular basis and that they are subject to an excessive level of violence, and while the vast majority of correction officers work hard and are professionals, inmates are routinely subject to violence from each other and at times from the people who are supposed to make sure they're safe. I'm really concerned that our youth, often poor youth of color, who've been accused but not convicted of a crime have been subjected to this level of violence. And to paraphrase the US attorney overseeing this investigation, and I quote, "Our investigation has shown for adolescents Rikers Island is a broken institution. It is a place where brute force is the first impulse rather than the last resort, where verbal insults are repaid with physical injuries, where beating are routine, while accountability is rare, and where a culture of violence endures even while a code of silence prevails." We cannot allow this to continue now that the problems at Rikers have been so clearly laid out. We all need to immediately

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

work together to address them. The DOJ has recommended that the adolescent facilities be moved from Rikers Island, and while I'm anxious to hear what the Administration thinks about that proposal and what it will entail, we should all be seriously considering raising the age of criminal responsibility to 18, so that many adolescents won't be sent to adults facilities in the first place. I'm very anxious to hear the Department's plan of action and to work with everyone who committed to reforming our jails. I know the Commissioner will have some opening remarks. I'm going to turn it back over to Chair Crowley.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you to our Speaker. I now like to recognize my Co-Chair Fernando Cabrera and followed by Fernando Cabrera we will hear from Council Member Dromm whose resolution we are considering today. Council Member Cabrera?

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

Good morning, excuse me, and welcome to today's

hearing. I am Fernando Cabrera, Chair to the Juvenile

Justice Committee. I would first like to thank Chair

Crowley for holding this hearing today, members of

the Juvenile Justice Committee who are here and I see

Council Member Arroyo who has joined us, and of course, Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for her leadership. As Chair Crowley mentioned, we are here to examine the treatment of adolescents of New York City jails and the city's response to the DOJ's report on the problems with the treatment of adolescents of Rikers Island. New York remains one of the two states in the nations whose age of criminal responsibility, the age of which youth as treated as adults, is just 16. I also find it troubling that teenagers who would otherwise be tried as juveniles in 48 other states are exposed to New York City's criminal justice system and are trapped in the culture of violence which is highlighted by the DOJ's report. As Chair of the Juvenile Justice Committee, I believe we should be providing the adolescents the same off-ramps [sic] and preventive services afforded to New York City's juveniles not focusing on punishment, abusing the use of force. The violation of constitutional rights seen in this report are unacceptable for people of any age. have an even greater responsibility to protect our city's youth. Although the adolescent population is not currently considered juveniles, it is my hope

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 13 that sometime in the near future this population will be viewed as juveniles in the New York State and New York City. It is in all of our best interest to ensure young people are given the opportunity to become productive and successful adults. I would like to applaud the Department of Corrections' recently reported decision to cease solitary confinement for 16 and 17 year olds of Rikers Island by the end of the year. This is a major step towards improving conditions for adolescents on Rikers, particularly those with mental illness. I am looking forward to hearing today's testimony and how the Department of Corrections plans to address the DOJ's finding on the treatment of adolescents of Rikers as well as how the Department intends to provide adolescents with better services, and how the Department plans to successfully help integrate these adolescents back into society upon discharge. I would like now to turn the microphone back to Chair Crowley, and thank you all for being here.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Co-Chair Cabrera. I'd now like to Council Member Dromm, whose resolution we are hearing today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you, Chair 3 Crowley and thank you Chair Cabrera for the hearing. 4 Madam Speaker, thank you for being here with us and 5 for your statement as well. Simply put, the criminal justice system in New York City and throughout New 6 7 York State is broken and in serious need of reform. Particularly disturbing is the way that New York 8 deals with young people accused of crimes. Along 9 with North Carolina, New York is the only state that 10 automatically treats 16 and 17 year olds as adults. 11 12 In the rest of the country and the civilized world, these teenagers would not be immediately put in the 13 system meant for adults, and as an aside, I want to 14 15 note the system also fails adults, but that is a 16 significant topic worth its own hearing. 17 universal consensus runs counter to New York, which 18 disregards the psychological, sociological, criminological and just about every other sort of 19 evidence against the practice. The nightmarish story 20 of Kalif Browder [sp?] highlights the dire need for 21 2.2 Albany to act with all due speed to raise the age of 23 adult criminal responsibility. He was--when he was a 16 year old sophomore in high school, Kalif was 24 25 accused of stealing a backpack. He was arrested and

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 15 held at Rikers Island for a staggering 33 months without a trial. Officials on Rikers subjected this child to torture. There is no other accurate way to put it. By placing him in extreme isolated confinement for 800 days, 800 days. The depraved individuals who showed such cruel indifference to Kalif's life will probably never be brought to justice, but at least now, New York City jails have ended the use of solitary confinement for 16 and 17 year olds. The case against Kalif was flimsy to begin with. Even after the case started falling apart and it became blatantly obvious that Kalif's basic constitutional and human rights were being violated, the prosecutor pressed ahead. Everybody from the District Attorney and the Department of Corrections to the public defender and the judge utterly failed. I am disgusted by what happened to Kalif, but I am glad he has stepped forward to tell his story in the hope of saving others from the horrific conditions he survived. If you think Kalif's experience is somewhat wildly desperate outlier, I will refer you to the US Attorney Preet Bharara's 79 page report detailing what can only be described as the routine practice of psychological

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and physical torture directed at teenagers held on Rikers Island. We cannot undo the tremendous injustice down to Kalif Browder and to the countless others like him, but we can raise our voices as loudly and clearly as possible and demand that New York stop treating 16 and 17 year olds as adults under the criminal law. Thank you very much.

2.2

Member Dromm. Commissioner and all those from the Administration who plan to testify today, we have to affirm that you will be telling the truth, and it is now the council's policy that we swear you in. So I would ask that you all raise your right hand and repeat after me, or just understand that we're going to ask that do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the committee and to respond honestly to the Council Member's questions today. Thank you. Now, Commissioner Ponte, I believe you have testimony. Please begin once you are prepared.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Thank you. Good morning Speaker Mark-Viverito, Chairs Crowley and Cabrera and members of the City Council Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services and Juvenile

Justice. I am Joe Ponte, Commissioner of the Department of Corrections. Thank you for letting me testify before you today. Beside me, our Acting Chief of the Department Martin Murphy, Mike Blake, Deputy Commissioner, Earl Toulon and Eric Berliner. They will all be available for questions after the testimony. As you know, I joined the Department of Corrections in April of this year, not quite six months. I have 40 years of correctional experience. Most recently I served as Commissioner of the Maine Department of Corrections where I instituted substantial reforms that impacted the management of the correctional system there. Since my testimony before the City Council six months ago, I've undertaken a top to bottom review of the Department's policies, personnel and practices with special attention to the review of conditions for adolescents. As I've said repeatedly, the challenge that faces the DOC will not be resolved in a few memos, partial calls to revisions and staff meetings. It will take fundamental change to undo years of declining conditions, and all of that takes time. This hearing is specific to the management of adolescents in our custody and the recent report by

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 18 the Department of Justice. I am pleased to note that the management of adolescent population is among the areas in which we have seen recent improvement. days after I arrived in New York City and before ever reading the Department of Justice report, it was clear to me that we needed to rethink the ways in which we managed this population. I immediately identified a working group that has spent several months carefully assessing and implementing changes to our approach to adolescent inmates. This working group included RND Warden James Perrino, who was with us today, Assistant Commissioner Winette Saunders of our Community Partnerships and Program Development, uniform and civilian staff of various ranks including union representatives and staff from DOE and DOHMH. We are seeking support and partnership in this planning locally and nationally as we've called in the highly regarded McKinsey Consulting Group to conduct and independent outside analysis of our operation. Additionally this week, Department of Corrections hosted a brainstorming session on the future of adolescents and young adult services, which was attended by national experts, local stakeholders and city officials from MOCJ, ACS, DOHMH and DOE,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 19 including Barry Krisberg, UC Berkley, Ned Loughran, Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators, and many others. Because of the pending status of the DOJ matter, it is not appropriate for me to speak about our responses to the recommendations. I do want to make it clear that we take the DOJ criticism and concerns very seriously. Meaningful and necessary reforms are already underway, and we will take the necessary steps to satisfy the concerns raised in the DOJ report. We believe our reform agenda will systematically address issues concerning recruitment, training, staffing, programming, use of force and investigations. Fundamentally, the program -- the problem is that for the last 100 years, adolescent inmates were treated the same way as In recent past we have seen the emergence of adults. brain science that shows that young people's development may continue into their mid 20's, and yet, at DOC we have historically acted as though 16 year olds are able to abide by rules and navigate jails as though they were fully fledged adults. As you know, New York is only one of two states to treat 16 years olds as adults in the criminal justice system and one of 11 to do so with 17 year olds. And

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 20 so we're not--there are not many models to study in the adult system. Instead we focus on reasonably successfully juvenile justice approaches from across the country, visiting nearby systems in Maine, Massachusetts and Connecticut and those as far away as Washington State. We have seen and learned from the different approaches these systems take to better managing their juveniles and have worked to adapt those principals in our own facilities. The working group integrates many perspectives, uniformed and civilian command staff and line staff. They have been engaged at every rank, ensuring clarity and commitment about both the mission and the expectation that we will reform this facility. In addition, the working group sought participation from key stakeholders such as unions representing our workforces, the Department of Health and Mental Health and Hygiene, and the Department of Education. The goal is not a short term fix, but a rather comprehensive solution. Reforms emerging from this initiative focus on five main areas, staff recruitment and training, custody management, educational services, program and re-entry services, and family engagement. These reforms have resulted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 21 in significant reduction in uses of forces and they're classified A, B, and C at RNDC. In April, we had 28 use of force, in May 27, in June 15, in July 13, and 18 in August. This represents a significant reduction from previous years. Our goals are to foster positive youth development where possible, reduce violence among adolescents and assist adolescents in their transformation back home after they are discharged. We have developed a questionnaire to identify staff best suited to working with young inmates such as those who have experience working with these types of populations before coming to Department of Corrections. We have created a new training curriculum focused on youth brain development, crisis prevention and management, and trauma informed care for adolescent and young adults which was integrated into the academy's curriculum in September. Already, RNDC, the jail in which our adolescent males are housed feels like a different place. We reduced inmate to staff ratio in our housing areas from 33 to 1 to 15 to 1 as of September 2<sup>nd</sup>. This follows best practice from the juvenile justice field. The numbers mentioned bear out--the numbers above bear out our approach is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

working. We view this as a significant step toward proving that the approach we are taking to manage the RNDC differently is having the desired impact, and that we are going to be able to sustain this change. In addition to changes in recruitment, training and staffing, we are revising policy. The use of force policy is being revised which will serve as a road map for all future training including changes to the training curriculum. As I have noted in the Board of Correction and in other forums, we have made gains in violence reduction despite a commitment to ending the use of punitive segregation for adolescent inmates by the end of this year. In August, the average adolescent population of punitive seg [sic] was 30, today it is 20. We are actively working toward no adolescents in punitive segregation. I believe we can effectively maintain the good order and security of our facility without the use of this tool, and must do so in a way that keeps our staff and inmates safe. We are evaluating our alternatives and expect to implement them before the end of this year. are also focused on developing programing since opportunities that reduce inmate idleness have been shown to reduce incidents and also help prepare

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 23 inmates to succeed and stay out of custody following their release. These include case management, a review of adolescent needs, and the provision of mentoring services to assist with their successful transition back to the community. All 16 and 17 year olds are required to attend school. We have worked closely with Timothy Lisante DOE District 79 Superintendent and other partners to reshape the school's schedule for the academic year. Under our new approach we are able to provide each student their education while also making additional services and recreational opportunities available to them. are supplementing our education reform with programming and re-entry services. The ABLE Program funded by the Social Impact Bond continues to provide a cognitive behavioral therapy program to all adolescents each week day and includes additional opportunities for enhanced recreation to access to gain room and other movie nights as incentives for program achievement. Efforts to further expand the program offering include partnership with the nonprofit organization, Friends of the Academy--Friends of Island Academy, excuse me, to develop a discharge planning model that aims to ensure that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 24 every youth that is in the Department's custody receives a needs assessment and support during incarceration and upon discharge. Starting in August of this year, re-entry services have included collaboration with existing service providers located on Rikers Island as well as community based organization. These services begin during incarceration and continue after release in an effort to reduce recidivism. We have also partnered with community based agencies and individuals to develop a robust program scheduled for adolescents to engage in positive behaviors and meaningful programs that are conducive to their overall development during the summer months as well as throughout the year. main tenants [sic] of these initiatives in our violence intervention and prevention programming, which became operational in July of 2014. include Partnership with Life Camp to introduce violence intervention and prevention programming, partnership with two nonprofit organizations, Animal Care and Control, and instinctive dog behavior and training as well as DOHMH to train dogs that are at risk of being euthanized. We also partnered with Rescue Soldiers to use therapy dogs to encourage pro-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 25 social behaviors while [sic] adolescents, and implemented a one to 15 custody management model for male inmates ages 16 and 17 at a cost of 4.4 million annually. Additionally, we implemented a family engagement model guided by research showing that inmates who maintain strong family ties are less likely to return to custody. DOC also implemented a Next of Kin initiative to increase opportunities to engage the families of adolescents by contacting parents, quardians and conduct focus groups with parents and adolescent inmates aimed at developing a tool kit to assist families with incarcerated adolescents. This tool kit will be completed by the end of the year. This represents just a partial list of recent accomplishments. We are taking a series of steps needed to ensure safety and accountability. have also a prioritized camera installations at RNDC in places where blind spots remain such as the schools and in the clinics. We are revamping our investigation division to include additional investigators and new leadership. In fact, beginning next week, the investigative team consisting of one supervisor and four investigators will be assigned to RNDC fulltime to investigate all uses of force and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 26 ensure staff integrity. We are also in the process of implementing a new case management system which will assist us in developing an early warning system to improve the Department's ability to manage its investigation case load and better manage its workforce. Life in RNDC for 16 and 17 year olds is different today than it was six months ago. Since the 18 year olds were pulled from the adolescent population, the number of adolescents in this facility has been cut in half. Housing areas are half the size they used to be. Adolescent days are scheduled almost completely with schooling and programming. The facility staff are trained and dedicated to working with adolescent populations specifically. Taken together, these reforms form the base of a better approach to our adolescents, but perhaps the most important reforms are what we're making with our culture. We emphasized that managing adolescents is different than managing adults. have made it clear that there is no place in our organization for the small percentage of staff who may use excessive force. The majority of my staff are committed to the Department of Corrections and perform their duties in a professional manner as such

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

environment and challenges them every day. I have discussed these problems. I see [sic] openly what my staff and have asked agency leadership to do the same with the staff at every rank and every division, especially as it relates to the general issues of violence in jails. The Department of Corrections needs to radically change to be a high functioning system when we are committed to achieving that goal. We will not stop until the New York City Department of Corrections becomes a national leader in the management of adolescents. I'll be now happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you for your thorough testimony,
your commitment to correction, and you have to
understand as the Chair of the committee I have some
skepticism based on previous Commissioners, and we
have a series of questions today, so I want to make
sure I know how you would handle certain situations
differently, and so I'm going to ask questions first
on your investigations internally, be it at the
particular jail facility or within your own
Department of Investigation. Can you take us through
steps of when somebody reports that a correction

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 28 officer has abused them, if they're an inmate or if a teacher has put in a claim? Take us through the steps of a claim and how quickly your department investigates it, and whether it's internal investigation and at what level do you send it over to the District Attorney's office?

2.2

way, with the teen now being full time at RNDC, they will review all uses of force. That a change, because the investigator unit used to view all class A's, some class B's, and almost no Class C's. So, all uses of force at RNDC will be reviewed by the investigative team as they occur, real time as they occur. That's a different, much different strategy than we ever had in place.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Real time, does that mean a week, a month?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The time lent to the investigation will be the complications of how, I guess, violent and complicated the incident. So real time, meaning I expect that they're looking at incidents as they occur or the next day. The investigation stall if they're referred to the District Attorney or the DOI. They'll take cases.

They'll review them and send them back to us, and so those things delay our ability to investigate, but we believe, I believe that as it pertains to adolescents, we'll actually be able to comply with the 60 day suggestion in the DOJ report, all of those

cases that we refer around.

2.1

2.2

investigation.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And DOJ report highlighted that in a lot of situations it would be greater than a year and a half before the District Attorney received information and critical time was lost as well as video footage or other evidence. So what you're saying is that will no longer will be the practice of the Department of Corrections. You will no longer wait months upon months before you send over a case that warrants district attorney's

COMMISSIONER PONTE: As we--as we're talking about adolescents--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] If it's a force--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] we got a very specific plan for them. As we look at the rest of the agency, Deputy Commissioner Blake is building that investigative team, basically from the

ground up, and that would be our focus overall, but as it pertains to adolescents, I think we have sufficient staff that those long delays will no longer occur, and the collection of evidence will happen at the time of the event and not some time later.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'd like to take you through quickly a specific even that happened last January according to the DOJ's report. There was an incident where an inmate who was in MAUI [sic], so an inmate with a mental health diagnosis in a segregated area stuck their hand outside of the bars in an area where their hand could get out into a hallway, and a captain slammed the port on the inmate's arm. Video captured this. The inmate filed a claim. It was reviewed. Then the captain was given a punishment, a four day penalty, although this particular captain had at least on three other occasions been disciplined. Would you do something differently with that captain as opposed to the previous administration?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Without knowing the history, I mean, there are three or four other cases, whatever they pertain to. So, I think any case of

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 31 excessive force needs to warrant the most severe discipline. I don't know the history, so it's very difficult to respond to a specific case.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Alright, we need to make sure that we have correction officer and superior officers working with our 16 and 17 year olds, especially those who have been diagnosed with a mental illness or being observed for behavioral problems, that they have specific training and are different than the average correction officer. In the ideal setting, we want every single correction officer trained as much as they can possibly be trained, but those that are dealing with this population, we have to make sure that they know that they would never do that to somebody who's arm is outside of the jail, that they don't need to use--you know, they don't need to hurt them or use force to show discipline.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I agree, and also that wouldn't be appropriate with any inmate any day, so it's not just adolescents.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How would you do things differently? How are you going to teach what is excessive force?

1

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we're going to re--you know, the--how do you manage juveniles or 3 adolescents is much different. So the training 4 5 program that we're looking at is what the state does 6 with their juvenile system. We looked training in 7 other juvenile systems. We'll re-write our use of force policy, which will be much different than the 8 adult policy. SO we're walking through those steps 9 to ensure that staff know, one, the limits of force, 10 but also teach them other techniques. Part of, 11 12 historically, here in New York, we've never trained our staff to do these things, and though we had an 13 14 expectation, somehow they would know, hey, how do you 15 manage a 16 and 17 year old differently than an 16 adults, when we've never trained them in that manner. We now are doing that, and that training is 17 18 continuing so part of it would be developing the skills in our staff to make better decisions because 19 20 they have better skills. Right now we haven't done We're in the processing of doing that. 21

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Sure, absolutely.

So, if you find that a particular correction officer abused the use of force, will you immediately take them out of the facility and make sure that they get

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 33 remedial training so that they're not abusing their ability to discipline?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I would say, as the cases you survived, absolutely, you described absolutely, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You have 16 different instances that have been described in the DOJ's report. I believe you know who those officers or superior officers are. Do you have them still working with 16 and 17 year olds?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Without going name by name I wouldn't know. Most of them are on modified status. Those are the most severe cases, yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Even in severe cases, and where investigations have concluded wrong doing and officer are charged, it seems a lot of times they go back into the facility and work with the same population. I, we need to be assured that the 16 and 17 year olds are safe, that inmates in every single jail are safe, and then if you find a correction officer abusing their power that you go in there and you stop that officer immediately. It gives the whole entire department a bad name.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 34 1 2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: I agree. 3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And when somebody splashes an officer, does that justify a use of 4 force? 5 COMMISSIONER PONTE: When somebody slashes 6 7 and officer? 8 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Splashes, I quess. I imagine it would be urine or if an inmate take, you 9 know, which sometimes is believed to be urine and 10 splashes an officer, how do you discipline that 11 12 particular inmate? 13 COMMISSIONER PONTE: I mean, the officers 14 are allowed to protect themselves, to keep themselves 15 safe. If the inmates in a contained place like in a cell, then there is no need for use of force. If the 16 17 inmate's in an area where he could continue the 18 assault, then policy allows for the use of force for the officer to protect himself. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And under your administration there will be zero tolerance for 21 2.2 unjust use of force? 23 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely. 24 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So when an inmate

is handcuffed it is unjust to abuse an inmate?

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 35

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Removing the inmates of Rikers Island, in your testimony you did not mention any plan that the Department has to remove the 16 and 17 years olds. This was chief among the recommendations that the Department of Justice reported on. What are your reasons for keeping the adolescents currently on Rikers at RNDC?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We're not opposed to that thought. We've looked at the--as you know, we've looked at the internal ability within the agency facilities that we have available. They are not conducive to a better programming. So we'll be now looking throughout the city, all the city owned buildings to see if they're appropriate. Any of these undertakings are long term. So if we did find facility, obviously you have to appropriate money. We'd have to do rehab and so there's no quick easy solution. As of today, where our current buildings are, our inventory, RNDC's the best place we can have them within the agency, but we will continue to explore other buildings and other opportunities as they become available. We're actively doing that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner, I visited the Brooklyn House of Detention which is occupied, a good percentage of it, I don't know whether it's 80 or 90 percent occupied, but it is not linear. It's multi-leveled, I believe about eight to 12 floors, not sure. But why do you feel that you need to have a linear jail for 16 and 17 year olds? Queens House of Detention we visited together, mostly unoccupied, not utilized, could provide a space, you know, given a little bit of capital dollars to adjust for the models that the DOJ has recommended, but why can't we look at that space, than what we're currently doing which seems to be no plan to move the 16 and 17 year olds off the island?

no plan. I think we looked at our current inventory. I don't think anybody with a correctional background would look at either one of those facilities and think they're suitable, even with renovation. Just the total design is old prison design. It's actually built to be indirect supervision where the officer's actually outside the gate. The tiers are very small. The space, the common space is very small. So, part of what we did, I mean, what we did at RNDC, we took

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 37 space that was for 33 people and are now putting 15 people in it. I agree--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] How many people could fit in Queens currently?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: About 400, I

believe.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. And you have a population of approximately 250 sixteen and 17 year olds.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You could take a space that was once capable of housing 500, remove bars in areas, make it more open, have it be in a facility where you would have direct supervision and a greater amount of space for recreation. It's foreseeable. The reason I push this is when I went to your Brooklyn House of Detention, I felt that inmates were safer there. There were less areas where they could go without cameras or without supervision from a correction officer or captain. I believe your level of violence, the incidents of violence is less in a facility like that where you have a greater ratio of supervision, and whereby

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 38 ultimately we want to make sure 16 and 17 year olds are safe.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

Queens House and other facilities and come back to the committee in a reasonable amount of time within a few weeks and tell us exactly why we can or cannot or where you can move them to because we know things are not quick and easy, and I said earlier, nothing happens within a few weeks, but a capital plan, unfortunately, lasts months into years, and we need to get moving as quickly as possible to bring about real change and reform and moving the 16 and 17 year olds off the island.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes, I can.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: One last question, then I'm going to give the Speaker an opportunity to ask a few questions. I would like for you to take the committee through the day. Sixteen and 17 year olds on average, how long do they stay on Rikers Island?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't have those numbers. On average with the state--74 days.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. So two and a half months. Let's--you've taken them in, they have

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 39
 1
 2
     their cell. They woke up from a night of sleeping.
     What time is it, and what do they do for the rest of
 3
 4
     the day before they go back into the cell to go to
 5
     sleep?
 6
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: You have -- we can
 7
     give you the program. I just don't want to misquote.
     Can you give the program? You got to come up to the
 8
     speaker.
 9
10
                JAMES PERRINO: Good morning.
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Turn it on.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good morning,
     Warden Perrino.
13
14
                JAMES PERRINO: Good morning again.
15
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I would need for you
16
     to be sworn in before you begin your testimony. So
17
     if you could raise your right hand. Do you affirm to
18
     tell the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the
     truth in your testimony before the committee today,
19
20
     and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?
21
                JAMES PERRINO: I do.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Warden, if you
23
    could take us through the day.
24
                JAMES PERRINO: Okay, so we have a split
```

session of school this year opposed to what we've

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 40 done last year. The split session is good because the adolescent instead of going to school from eight to two o'clock and having to lock in and then not doing much for the rest of the day. Half our adolescents go to school in the morning, and the other half go to MRT, which is what we saw when we went outside with Moral Recognition Therapy, and they'll go for recreation. They have video games there, and they also sit with counselors and, you know, talk about how to make their lives better and how to deal with stress. So let's talk about the first half that goes to school in the morning. wake up. They eat. Approximately eight o'clock, they're sitting in a classroom. They are in class for approximately from eight to 12 o'clock, and they'll go out to eat lunch. And then the other half, after lunch, they'll go out into the sprungs [sic] and they'll go to MRT where they'll speak with counselors, they'll play recreation. They'll go--we have indoor basketball. We have video games and pretty much they'll be there until approximately five o'clock. That--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: One to five, sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 41 1 2 JAMES PERRINO: Approximately four or 3 five, about five o'clock, yeah. 4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, that--5 JAMES PERRINO: Now that's one half of 6 the group. 7 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Four hours? 8 JAMES PERRINO: Yes. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: For hours. 9 JAMES PERRINO: Right, so that's one half 10 of the group. So, what happens is they're 11 12 programming all day opposed to last year. They're not locking in for count. They're busy all day. 13 14 Now, the second half starts at MRT in the morning, 15 eight o'clock, and then at 12 o'clock, they'll go out 16 to eat, and they'll go to school. And they'll go to 17 school until five o'clock. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And then, I believe, from reading the report that a lot of times 19 20 the violence occurs at nighttime, towards the end of the day before they go into their cell. So what is 21 2.2 happening after dinner, and what time is dinner? 23 JAMES PERRINO: Alright, what we have 24 changed as the Department, instead of locking in at

nine o'clock, we lock in at, I mean, 11 o'clock, we

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 42 now lock in at nine o'clock. So at five o'clock they'll go back. They'll eat, and then what we'll do is we'll--we're training officers to facilitate with our young adolescents. So, with facilitating with them, we also brought a Assistant Deputy Warden whose done this a few years ago and is training our officers to facilitate. It brings the community to the housing areas. So the adolescents, instead of feeling like it's a correction officer, they're sitting and talking to the officers as a group, as a community and basically they're confiding in the correction officer as opposed to feeling like that's the police officer who arrested me. So instead of running from them, our goal is to have them go to the correction officer. So, the process is basically between eating and facilitating and getting themselves together and making their phone calls, their day is filled from beginning to end, unlike last year where after 2:30, pretty much that was it, and--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, Warden, from six to 9:00 p.m. there are small groups where you have correction officers and inmates congregating together and interacting?

2.2

JAMES PERRINO: Correct. That's what we're building now. We just starting building that approximately two weeks now. So, what we're going to do is we're getting, we're finding the correction officer—the whole thing is the buying [sic] with the correction officer. Correction officers do a hard job.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: No, and I realize that, and I appreciate the change in staff ratio, because now you have one to 15 which seems much more reasonable than one to 33, which was--

JAMES PERRINO: [interposing] Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: under the prior

Administration, but even still, you have one to 15

and I'm trying to figure out how you're spending six

to nine o'clock together in which you have a lot of

incidents of violence happening.

JAMES PERRINO: Right. That facilitating is around an hour. So, the facilitating will go say from six to seven. Those other times are being—we're taking them out to recreation. We're bringing them down to the gym. We're taking groups out.

Other groups are on the telephone. So they have a kind of free time, because they've been work—they've

been, you know, in school all day and MRT all day.

Some just want to get on the telephone. But our deps in the evening focus on housing there, just to bring them down to recreation. What we do is we bring the whole housing area down to the gym, so it doesn't cost staff. Instead of sitting in a housing area, let's just bring them down to the gym, you know, so we get them in acting. We have two gyms in the facility. So we bring, you know, a house upstairs, a house downstairs, and that's—that fluctuates the groups that we bring. So the answer to question is different days are different things, but the focus is with the—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] We're going to go back to more questions on the day because I have a lot of them--

JAMES PERRINO: [interposing] Okay.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: and I want to recognize the Speaker, because I know she has a number of questions and some of my colleagues will come back and talk more about the day. Now, I'd like to recognize our Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for questions.

2.2

1

2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Chair Crowley, and Commissioner, good to have you here. I 3 appreciate your testimony, some of the things that 4 you outlined in terms of actions that are to be 5 6 taken. At least I got some insight into that. I did 7 an unannounced visit on Monday. I want to thank at least Warden Perrino and Acting Chief Murphy for 8 basically assisting me, and they did speak to the 9 staffing ratio. I did go into the punitive 10 segregation unit. It's very heartbreaking, 11 12 obviously, very dehumanizing conditions in general. Just a prison is obviously not a pleasant place. 13 14 also speaking about the recruitment in terms of staff 15 recruitment and trying to engage and bring on board 16 more experience. So some of the stuff that you've outlined is stuff that at least was shared personally 17 18 by the staff that I interacted with. I want to just touch on a couple of things. The 80 page report, 19 which Department of Justice issued to the Mayor and 20 obviously the Administration in general, I just want 21 2.2 to read quickly. It says, "We conclude that there is 23 a pattern and practice of conduct at Rikers that 24 violates the constitutional rights of adolescent inmates." It further states, "Indeed, we find that 25

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 46 1 deep seated culture of violence is pervasive 2 throughout the adolescent facilities at Rikers, and 3 Department of Corrections staff routinely utilize 4 force, not as a last resort, but instead as a means 5 to control the adolescent population and punish 6 7 disorderly or disrespectful behavior." It goes on to note all these different deficiencies that exist. 8 It's very, very extensive as you are well aware, 9 which basically is indicated that there is a systemic 10 institutional issues at Department of Corrections. 11 12 Would you agree with that assessment, that there are institutional and systemic changes that have to be 13 implemented in order to turn Department of 14 15 Corrections around? 16 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, I think there 17 are definitely cultural changes on how we did 18 business as I've said in my testimony that really is the longer fix to this so we can leave a long lasting 19 20 legacy of doing the right thing. So, there is cultural issues that have to be addressed, yes. 2.1 2.2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I mean, because 23 you indicated it will take fundamental change to undo

years of declining conditions that takes time,

understanding that any change takes time particularly

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 47 1 2 an organization of culture, it's understood. And I think that just the use of that wording in your 3 testimony seems it will take fundamental change I 4 think is an acknowledgement of a systemic pervasive 5 problems that exist and I think that has been 6 7 somewhat identified in the report. Let me ask you a question, what are the positions right now of Mr. 8 William Clemons and Turin Gumusdere? What are their 9 positions within Department of Corrections? 10 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Mr. Clemons is the 11 12 Chief of the agency, and Mr. Gumusdere is a Warden at 13 AMKC. 14 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: You're aware of 15 the New York Times report that came out September 16 21<sup>st</sup> of this year saying it's title, "Report Found 17 Distorted Data on Jail Fights at Rikers Island?" 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes. SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. 19 In it, it says that according to a May 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012 internal 20 report that was Department of Corrections, Mr. 21 2.2 Clemons said he rarely reviewed reports on daily 23 inmate fights according to the audit, though the data

was delivered to him electronically. He said he

found the spreadsheets difficult to read on his

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 48 computer and could not figure out to print them. goes on to say that Mr. Gumusdere told investigators that he had difficulty understanding the incident reports and rarely reviewed them. He said he delegated this to his subordinates who he described as incompetent according to the audit. The audit further says--that was the internal audit of Department of Corrections. Department of Investigations further went on to say that they would not recommend any sort of promotion, particularly to Chief of Department, according to the DOI. attempts to really turn this agency around and make the changes systemic changes that need to be made, do you think it was appropriate decision to not only keep these individuals on board but to promote them?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And what would that reason be?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Because I think they're competent individuals, individually with 30, Mr. Clemons with 30 years of experience. He's got a long history of doing good work in the agency, and Mr. Gumusdere of the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: The audit's 3 authors, again, this is a report from September 21 in the New York Times, the audit's author said that the 4 5 testimony of both Mr. Clemons and Mr. Gumusdere, if I'm pronouncing his name correctly, pointed to a 6 7 complete abdication of their obligations as managers, recommending that both be demoted based on their 8 admitted lack of attention to critical duties and 9 responsibilities of jail management. I mean, that is 10 pretty scathing. I think that, again, one thing is 11 12 to keep individuals on board for whatever reasons. 13 Another is the message that is sent when promoting individuals that not only internally were asked to be 14 15 demoted, but the Department of Investigations said 16 should not be promoted. I don't know if that sends a really positive affirming message to us that serious 17 18 changes will continue if we have people that are abdicating their responsibilities or have a history 19 20 of that. You don't think that sends a mixed message? COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't. I mean, 21

you're reading from a draft report that was never published. So the published report does not say that.

24

2.2

23

2.2

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Well, there were issues with the report in general. That information was omitted, correct?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There was no recommendation in the final report that--

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing]
Right, well, in this article it indicates that
Commissioner Shiro [sp?] actually audited and took
out sections of the report that spoke to their lack
of competence. If you're saying that that's the
report you're basing your decision on, that raises
additional questions.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The report that I reviewed when I promoted them was not the report you're reading from.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Understood, but after that, information was made public that had been omitted from the report, and in addition you had the recommendation from the Department of Investigations that said that they should not be promoted.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The recommendation from the DOI was based on the original, the final report that did not have demotion in it. The Department of Investigation never said to me at any

committees on Juvenile Justice and fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 51 point in time that they had recommended demotion at any time in the history. So it just didn't occur. What their recommendation was based on the final report, which I did read.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I mean, again, I--you know, we've seen the kind of turn around that has happened at other agencies as a way of trying to really send a strong message that the changes one wants to implement and uproot, you know, failures of the past is being seen in other agencies. I think that to me, I'll be honest, raises concerns, and that will continue to be, I quess, an unanswered question. And so if we could just actually ask a couple questions regarding--I know you can't speak specifically to the DOJ findings and recommendations, but would you -- would it be a safe assumption that in some ways your decisions, and I know you're implementing changes from day one when you came in, but are you also being guided by some other recommendations that are being delineated in the report?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: In the DOJ report?

24 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 52 1 2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. 3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: There's nothing in 4 that report I disagree with. 5 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. Now when 6 is the response from the Administration going to 7 happen? When is that going to be submitted? 8 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we're in a process. We have to negotiate a settlement agreement 9 with the Department of Justice. So we've had a 10 couple meetings over--meetings will be ongoing, so 11 12 once that's complete, then it'll be a public, you 13 know, public document. 14 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right, but the 15 Administration was supposed to submit a report within 16 49 days and they did not do so, correct? 17 COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, we just sent a 18 letter saying, you know, generally what the things we were doing, but the actual item by item agreement is 19 20 happen, will happen as result of a negotiation that will probably take several months to complete. 21 2.2 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER PONTE: We're not on a time 24 frame now. We're actually sitting down at the table.

We met this week talking about, you know, specific

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 53 items in the report and what our responses to those are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. Obviously we will definitely be interested in seeing those responses. Now, obviously, one of the findings of the report is that the investigation division is overworked and understaffed. Last year, the City Council approved additional funding of 1.6 million dollars annually for two actions related to the Department of Corrections investigations division. First, we added 100,527 for the creation of a new Deputy Director position of the Crime Unit, the Crime Scene Unit, and second, the budget added 1.5 million for 22 civilian investigators and four Assistant Deputy Wardens to serve as integrity control officers. Do you know the status of those hiring's, if that is being implemented?

investigators have not been hired. We're kind of looking today as that whole process where we're recruiting from. The issue for us has been we were not able to retain the people we were hiring. So we needed to look at a different approach, and that's why we've asked Deputy Commissioner Blake with his

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice Services 54 background and experience to help us do that. The integrity control officers, there were four of them in place. We just promoted one of them. So there's three there now. So they are there. They are in the more problem facilities. So, we're in the process of meeting all those.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Well, then as you proceed, I think we would love to get updates, because we did allocate those funds for specific purpose. So if there's any, you know, deviation from that, or there's an assessment on your end that says that you may think something more appropriate is necessary, we would obviously want to have some level of engagement and input in that process. The other thing, just last, and I'll let obviously other colleagues ask questions. When I went to the punitive segregation unit and then hearing you say that, you know, hopefully by the end of this year, right, is that your goal, that that will no longer be the case where we are segregating the adolescents? Is that the goal?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That is my goal, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. Because I was alarmed to find like one young man that was in there, because you have the sheets on the doors that tell you how many days they are to be there, one of them was scheduled to be there for 500 and--I'm sorry, 375 or so days. I mean, it's--I just can't even imagine. These cells are tiny. I walked into some of them that were empty obviously, and very small, and to think that these kids are in there 23 hours out of the day is just alarming to me. I just don't understand that, but one of the things that I understand, I wonder if that's changed. One of the things that was done with those that were in punitive segregation is that they would have to take their classes by a phone. They would not be able to I guess be in a classroom setting. Is that still the case with those that are in punitive segregation?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we won't have inmates, adolescents in punitive seg. As of today, because we've remove a number of them, as you know, the number went from 30 to 20, that those inmates who would normally be in punitive seg and not have access to classrooms and teachers are getting that access in intensive supervision units. So a number of those

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 56 1 2 inmates that are now having classroom instruction in their housing unit. So the remaining inmates that 3 are in punitive seg, some are on [sic], the lesson 4 5 plan, paper lesson plan and individual learning, 6 which will, again, will by the end of the year will 7 no longer exist, because we'll no longer have 8 punitive seq. SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I think if, if I'm 9 remembering correctly, Warden, you had told me that 10 individual tutors come and teach the children in 11 12 their cells, is that accurate as opposed to doing it by phone? So I think you--we had specifically about 13 14 the pone. 15 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, they, anyone 16 can come up. 17 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Sure. 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: They give them paper lesson plans, and then if the student has a question, 19 20 they by phone with a teacher. SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay. Alright, 21 2.2 so that's accurate. 23 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah. 24 SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Alright. I may

have questions, but that's my primary set of

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 57 questions at the moment. Thank you very much Commissioner.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Before I recognize

Council Member Cabrera for questions, Commissioner, I

just want to clarify. Early you said it takes time

to respond to the DOJ's report. From reading the

report they gave you an imperative. They said you

need to respond within 49 days. Did you go to DOJ

with a response 49 days after they issued their

report?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: To every single one of their findings?

commissioner ponte: Well, the--it probably wasn't in that detail, but it was willingness to say that, you know, basically we don't disagree and it's negotiated. The process is, and I've worked with other situations just like this, the process is we negotiate in very minute detail on what we're going to do and sign an agreement that they're going to hold us accountable for that sometimes runs years. So, what we did was say, okay, let's get to the table and write this agreement, which will take time to do.

24

was planned vacation.

2.2

and the council should know that you feel assured that he is capable of handling the duties of Chief of the Department. That although you've seen a new report that wasn't washed, you still feel assured that he is capable of being the Chief of the Department?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: And can I? Just clarify again, just on the article in the Times. So, in terms of the report, he was overseeing the adult adolescent facility, correct? He was responsible?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: He was, Clemons was the Warden of RNDC for seven months during that period of time, yes.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: During what period of time? The investigation?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: During that period of time that the investigation was launched as a result of reduction in fights, reduction in the number of--

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: [interposing]

Commissioner, I mean, I'm sorry. You keep saying

that, but it clearly indicates that information was

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 60 omitted from this report that you keep referencing. Am I incorrect in that, saying 375 incidents of fights was scrubbed? It was not present in the audit, information, talking about their lack of confidence was omitted by the prior Commissioner? that was the report you're basing it on, in light of this new information, I do not understand how you stand by that decision. I mean, it's clear. known that information was omitted both on the personnel side and on the incident side, and yet, you know, we're having this conversation. It doesn't -- to me, it logically does not make sense, and it doesn't' seem to, again, give us any sense, at least me, you know, that you're distancing yourself from individuals that were either clearly incompetent. Ιf they're trying to say that, Oh, they didn't review the reports. They were too busy, or they were too difficult to look at. I mean, in and of itself as an answer is really alarming to me that anybody in a position like that would even admit to saying, well I didn't look at the reports because it just looked too busy or I didn't have time to look at them, whatever it was. You know, so again, I don't understand if you keep referencing that report and it is found that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 61
 1
 2
     that report clearly had information that was
 3
     inaccurate and was left out, now in light of
 4
     information being made public that you still stand by
     that decision. Again, that's of concern, but we'll
 5
 6
     keep coming back to that, I guess. Thank you.
 7
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Furthermore,
 8
     Commissioner, the council made the Department aware
     of this hearing in August, and to allow the Chief of
 9
10
     the Department to take time when such an important
    public hearing is happening, the first public hearing
11
12
     the Department is being subject to since the DOJ
13
     report. How could you let the Chief of the
14
     Department not be here to answer questions?
15
     answer?
16
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: It as this point, it
17
     is what it is. He's on leave.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council Member
18
     Cabrera?
19
20
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.
     Commissioner, wow. Let me ask you about these
21
2.2
     cameras.
               I've very concerned about--are all the
23
     cameras working at this present moment?
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.
24
```

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: All of them?

1	COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 62
2	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: As of when? As of
4	when? Like, I read the Daily News and in the report
5	35 percent of the cameras were not working. Was tha
6	correct?
7	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I wasn't there.
8	Again, I didn't do the investigation. I wasn't there
9	at the time. I wouldn't know that. All the cameras
10	are working today, yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, it's alleged
12	that 35cameras were fixed, right?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't know that
14	either.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Does anybody here
16	COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] You're
17	asking me a point in time
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] who
19	would know?
20	COMMISSIONER PONTE: no knowledge of. We
21	didn't bring anybody from the camera unit to have
22	that discussion.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Out of the whole
24	team that you have here, is there anybody that would
25	know. I mean, this has been out there. This is not

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 63
something new. This has been out there for weeks on
end. Nobody in your team would know how many cameras
were not working? I mean, this is about safety.
This isthis holds everybody accountable, inmates
accountable, the correction officers accountable. I
like working places where there's security cameras,
safe cameras. I demonstrate that in my district. I
put more cameras than any other Council Members,
because cameras, videos don't lie. And so I would
think something this important we would know. I
mean, we have most of the incidents that took place
were in areas ironically the cameras were not
working. I find that odd. What I'm starting to see
here is a pattern based on what I, the questions that
were said before, a pattern for lack of better word,
of sloppiness or lack of attention to detail in a
place that requires detail, in a place where people's
even lives can be at stake based on detail. So, I'm
just a little shocked. Can you give us that? Can
you give us that information?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Are you going to ask me if all the cameras are working? All the cameras are working today, yes.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] If
you're asking me what happened in 2011, I can go back
and look at reports, but I mean, the Department of
Justice said a number weren't working. I mean, I
wouldn't disagree with that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: The videos that were missing, can you talk about that? There were videos that were missing?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Videos are mentioned in--

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]

Videos in the Department of Justice report they were,

it was alleged that video recordings were missing.

Do you know anything about that?

allegation that certain videos with certain cases they looked at were not available or missing or never recorded. The current system saves for 90 days. So if an event came to our attention at the 91<sup>st</sup> day, depending on how active the area would be, that that video may not be available to us. Now, we could have—what we normally do in the investigation is burn the video so its saved there for life, and we'd keep it and make it part of the investigation, right.

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 65
 1
 2
     DOJ says some of that stuff may not have been saved,
 3
     or if it was, it was lost. That's correct.
 4
     other piece of that is on planned uses of force.
     It's a handheld video, so it's actually done by hand,
 6
     and that video should be uploaded to the system, and
 7
     again, that will save it for life. So there were
 8
     some of those things missing also.
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So do you say--so
 9
10
     there is incident, excessive use of force, are those
    videos saved permanently?
11
12
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.
13
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And--
14
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] They
15
     are now, yes.
16
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And they are now,
17
    but yet we see that some were missing?
18
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: When DOJ did their
     investigation for 2011 up to 2013, they say--I mean,
19
     I wasn't here, so I don't know what they saw.
20
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:
21
                                       Right.
2.2
                COMMISSIONER PONTE:
                                      They said a number
23
    of videos and cases they looked at were not there.
     Were they lost, misplaced, never existed, I don't
24
```

know that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm sure you asked the, you know, the people who oversees, you know, this department, did they give you an explanation as to why they were missing?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Again, I didn't ask them that. I mean, it's part of, you know, for us moving forward and doing much better, the--you know, some it may have been sloppy work. It may have been cases that came up late. I mean, if it came up, something we learned of something at the 91<sup>st</sup> day, that video may not have been available to us. And I just don't know. I mean, I wasn't there. So it's create what the investigators looked at.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me move onto another piece here. Do you have an adolescent psychiatrist full time, adolescent's psychiatrist on site?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: A what?

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: An adolescent psychiatrist, do you have a full time adolescent psychiatrist on site?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Adolescent--

: Good morning. The Department of

Health and Mental Hygiene provides the health and

mental healthcare. They do have a handful of staff who have, you know, professional certification in adolescent psychology. I know it's an area that they're working to improve as we all work together to make the adolescent management better.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: but you didn't answer my question. The question was--

: I don't believe there is an adolescent psychiatrist.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you believe that an adolescent should be on site in light of the fact that I think--what is the same? Excuse me. Fifty percent of adolescents that you are working with have mental health issues?

: Yes, it's an area where we would like to see some improvement.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, and has it been a request from your Department to request for an adolescent psychiatrist to be on board?

: Yeah, we work closely with the Health

Department. They have new mental health leadership

there who began about a month ago or so and that is a

priority that we've discussed with her, yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So you place, just to be clear and to be specific, you placed the request a month ago?

: No.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Alright, I'm sorry--

: [interposing] We've been working

together. I have not—we have not sent a letter that

says please provide an adolescent psychiatrist.

We've had regular ongoing conversations about

improving the mental health service particularly at

RNDC and for adolescents, and that has been a part of

our conversation since then.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So there's been conversations, but no official request? I just want to--I'm very concrete, I'm sorry.

: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Do you think it'll be helpful to put an official request to say, "Look, we need an adolescent psychiatrist?" I come from that world. My doctorate's in counseling. I'm a licensed mental health counselor, and I tell you working, you know, I've made a couple of visits. I could tell you that a compilation definitely needs

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 69
 1
 2
     someone who specialized in this field. Any more when
     you to a hospital, you have, you know, you have
 3
 4
     doctors with special license in areas. So, that's a
     recommend--Madam Chair, if we could follow up with
 5
 6
    that.
 7
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Alright--
 8
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Let
    me ask--
 9
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Just
10
     a point of clarification.
11
12
                ERIK BERLINER: Yes.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm not sure if I
13
14
    heard correctly. Is there no adolescent psychiatrist
15
     on staff for 16 and 17 year olds?
                ERIK BERLINER: Not that I'm aware.
16
17
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Shouldn't you be
18
     aware of that if there is one?
                ERIK BERLINER: No, I mean, I am not--I
19
20
     don't believe there is one.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: There isn't one
21
2.2
    because somebody resigned or there isn't one because
23
    there never was?
                ERIK BERLINER: I don't want to speak for
24
```

another agency in totality.

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 70
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How long have you
 3
    been working with the Department of Health?
 4
                ERIK BERLINER: I'm not at the Department
     of Health. I work for the--
 5
 6
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But aren't you
 7
    their liaison?
 8
                ERIK BERLINER: Yeah, so--
 9
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, are you a
     doctor?
10
11
                ERIK BERLINER: No.
12
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What is your role
13
     within the DOC?
14
                ERIK BERLINER: I'm the Deputy
15
     Commissioner for Strategic Planning and Programs,
16
    which includes our liaison to the Department of
    Health. The answer to your question is what we've
17
18
     discussed here is the need to change a longstanding
     culture of treating adolescents just like adults, and
19
20
     that is true at the Health Department as well. It's a
    process that we're undertaking together, and it
21
2.2
     includes partnerships with adolescent psychology.
23
     It's something that's in the works, but it does not
```

24

exist at the moment.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How many of 16 and 17 year olds of that population are prescribed some type of mental health drug?

ERIK BERLINER: We don't know that.

That's a question you'd have to ask the Department of Health.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me go on to your training curriculum that you just started implementing I believe in September. You have a new train—let me back up. Do you have a new training curriculum that was started to be implemented with a correction officers in this last September? Did I hear you right?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It just this past September, yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, this curriculum, how do we know that it's effective and reliable?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Because we talked to other juvenile systems that have used that for training their staff, and it's been an effective and reliable for them.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 72 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, so there is--3 there's research that has been done in this particular curriculum? 4 5 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What is that 6 7 curriculum called? I'm just curious? Does anybody know? 8 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Safe Crisis 9 10 Management is the name of the program that we're--11 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Safe? 12 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Safe Crisis 13 Management. 14 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Crisis Management, 15 okay. You mentioned that as the end of, and I'm 16 sorry if I didn't catch this, but I just didn't hear 17 it. At the end of December or the end of this year, 18 no longer are we going to find our young people placed in isolation. What's going to be, what 19 20 discipline actions then will be afforded to the correction officers to be able to use with the 21 2.2 inmates? 23 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Alright. So most 24 progressive systems use a host of informal sanctions.

So the issue for adolescents is immediate

consequences and not long punishments. So they'd be things from taking privileges away. Things would be locked in your room for a couple of hours. So, a whole host of minor sanctions with punitive seg. So, there's no disciplinary report. We don't write inmates up. It would be part of managing, you know, your housing unit that the officer would have available. So it'd be a whole host of sanctions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Commissioner, who else is using that approach across the nation? How effective they have been?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's very effective.

So, I know in Maine, I mean, I don't have a lot of experience in juvenile justice, but in Maine I was in charge of the juvenile system. They do not have punitive seg. It's not a sanction. It's not available to them, and they've done away with it for it's been probably five or six years. I know other systems, Massachusetts, other places that we sent staff to also don't use punitive seg. So there may be a removing an inmate or an offender from population. Those are usually done in very short intervals with some clinical intervention as to what's going on here.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much for that. I mean, that's promising. The last question that I have, I know the ratio right now if I heard right was one to 15 right now between correction officer adolescent inmates.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What does the research show, and feel free if you have any of your people could address this as well, that shows that this actually effect, this one to 15 effect. I hear from the advocates that one to eight is the ideal number here, especially dealing with adolescents that have so many issues, and you know, the maturity issue is always a challenge. So what do you have seen across the nation?

think nationally you run anywhere from one to eight, one to ten. One to 15 is probably on the high side, but much better than what we've done before, but we also plan on supplementing in those teams. Counselors are going to be there fulltime working with these offenders. Different, much different than we've had before. So we're adding people to the group in order

2.2

committees on Juvenile Justice and Fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 75 to supplement the staffing ratios that are, you know, for us it's one to 15.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So how much more would it cost us if we were to bring it down to one to eight? Which will make it better for the officers, so they wouldn't be as, you know, stressed with, you know, huge responsibility that they have, and it's already proving just like in schools, smaller class—

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] I'm guessing another four—I mean, costs us about four million for us to go to the 115. That was about halving. So, you're about halving again, so probably another four million dollars.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you think-COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing]
Annually.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Annually would cost us that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Is this something that you could recommend to the mayor and to everyone else in the other side that is handling next years'

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 76 budget to put a force saying the actual [sic] from here we'll be doing that?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I wouldn't be opposed to that. I'd like to see the system that we're currently designing, see how that rolls out and how effective we are before we take that step. But you're right, nationally and a lot of juvenile systems it's one to ten, one to eight in that ratio.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Commissioner, I know you just come in, and I know some of the things that we're talking about, many of the things we're talking about came before you came in to the scene, but I think you already knew what you were coming into. I'm very hopeful that you will be able to basically clean house where house needs to be cleaned to have a structure that has purpose, functionality and will be effective in helping our young people. I understand it's not a easy population to work with, but never the less, it's a challenge before us. Thank you so much. Madam Co-Chair, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Chair

Cabrera, Commissioner and Deputy Commissioners. The

Department of Justice highlighted that nearly half of
the adolescents have a mental health diagnosis, and

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 77
 1
 2
     sadly, Deputy Commissioner Berliner, you do not know
 3
     whether or not there is a psychiatrist on staff. For
 4
     the purpose of the committee--
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] Child
 5
 6
    psychologist, psychiatrist.
 7
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                       Right, right,
     right. Well, look an adult psychiatrist--
 8
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] There
 9
10
     is no psychiatrist.
11
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: should not be
12
    prescribing medication to 16 and 17 years olds, and
13
     I'm not a medical health professional. But for the
14
    purpose of the committee there will be a hearing in
15
    November and December to follow up on the June
16
     hearing on the quality of healthcare delivery and the
17
     alarming rate of violence among the mental health
18
    population. So that'll get more focus in the coming
     weeks. We're waiting for the Mayor's task force to
19
20
     release its plan on how best to help this population.
     And now I'm going to recognize Council Member Lancman
21
2.2
     followed by Council Member Dromm.
23
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good morning,
     Commissioner.
24
```

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I want to ask you just a few questions regarding the access to legal services and to the courts that the juveniles at Rikers have. Have you had an opportunity to examine and consider the impact on these young people having to go back and forth to court on a regular basis as it usually works out and what it means for their education and the programming that they're receiving on Rikers Island and whether it might make more sense for them to be housed somewhere else that's closer to the courts and create less of an interruption to their daily routine and their programming?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Obviously we're looking at sites as result of the recommendation of the DOJ report as we addressed. Looking for a suitable site that falls into that category that also is—we have a neighborhood that's accepting of those facilities in their area would all be challenges to that, but not opposed to looking at any of those things. You know, we've looked within our own system, and again, I don't believe we have suitable facilities in our system to do that.

2.2

2.2

adjudication part?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: DO you know if there's been any effort through the use of technology to make it so that these young folks don't need to physically appear in court and whether or not there have been conversations with our the Office of Court Administration, and even going so far as the possibility, and I'll just throw this out there, whether or not establishing some kind of court 

presence on Rikers for the youth, maybe a youth

COMMISSIONER PONTE: You know, so clearly the use of video and court is something we would be in favor of. It's a judge or a court prerogative, but we'd be very interested to have that conversation with any of the jurisdictions or any of the boroughs to talk about making that process video, because we do some video arraignments and a video hearings, but it's not a lot. I've seen much more in other systems.

as this goes forward and we intend to help facilitate that conversation to look at this aspect of the lives of the juvenile inmates on Rikers and throughout the system, making that process of interacting with the

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 80 court a lot more seamless and less disruptive to their lives. Let me ask you about the process at Rikers for adjudicated claims of inmate infractions and for the young people in particular. One of the things that I think was highlighted in DOJ report is to put it mildly, false accusations, perjury, misuse of evidence if not manufacturing evidence against inmates is rampant. And I understand that Rikers is very, very dangerous place and it's particularly dangerous for the guards as well, which is something that the New York Times editorial board and DOJ didn't seem to pay much attention to, but that's serious as well. But in terms of how the complaints against the inmates are adjudicated, have you given any consideration to reforming that process to possibly being open to providing at least the juvenile inmates access to counsel? Considering that very substantial rights and liberties are at stake, and including also whether or not taking the adjudication of that at some level outside of the hearing and determination of Department of Correction's employees.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We're looking at

discipline, the discipline policy and segregation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 81 overall, not just for adolescents where we wouldn't be really infringing on anybody's rights. So, we're not taking good time away. We're not putting people in punitive seg any longer. Though the kinds of infractions that we're—the kinds of corrective action would be taken would be pretty minor in tone and not warrant, you know, a due process hearing, but something that we could talk about how best to do that, but these are basically, you know, the media corrective actions to somebody acting out, not handing out days or months of punitive segregation time. We just don't do that anymore.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I understand that you're moving away from punitive segregation for the juveniles, correct?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But the disciplinary process can result in consequences which are, you know, very serious and impactful for these young people. Would you have any opposition initially to the idea of providing come counsel to juveniles, perhaps if we could somehow figure out which are the more serious infractions that they

2.2

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 82 might face some kind of punitive measure for, even if it's not punitive segregation?

2.2

at the new system, we'd be more than happy to have you included in that conversation to see as it's designed does it warrant that or is it minor enough where that's not of interest. I'm not opposed to that.

question that I have, or really it's just something I want to bring to your attention, is as we're introducing new programs for juvenile inmates, it would be very helpful in their legal proceedings, potentially, for those programs to be able or at least to have the means to provide updates to the court to their, the juvenile's lawyers on their participation of these programs and their success or involvement. It could be meaningful for their legal proceeding and if they do plead out or are convicted, it could be meaningful to their sentence, and as these move forward, I would really like that to be part of the change in culture at Rikers.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It came yesterday in the brainstorming session that that connection is

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 83
 1
 2
    missing for us, and a lot of the juvenile system,
 3
     it's a very active connection. So, something that we
 4
    will be pursuing absolutely.
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. Well,
    thank you very much.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Council
    Member Lancman. Now, we'll recognize Council Member
 8
 9
     Dromm.
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you, Chair
     Crowley and thank you Commissioner for coming in to
11
12
     speak with us. I want to just follow up and perhaps
    reiterate some of the issues that the Speaker brought
13
     up in regard to Mr. Clemons and Mr. Gumusdere, and I
14
15
     guess my question is about whether or not there will
16
    be any steps that you'll be taking against people
17
     altered the report that were referenced by DOJ.
18
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't understand
    the question. So what--
19
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: [interposing]
     Will you be taking any--
21
2.2
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] report
23
    are we talking about?
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: disciplinary
```

actions against Mr. Clemons or Mr. Gumusdere?

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 84 1 2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: No. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So you feel confident that what they did is fine? 4 COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not saying it's 5 fine, but those events happened some time ago. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: But they should not be held accountable? Do you worry about what 8 type of message that might send to corrections 9 officers if the leaders of the institution can 10 11 themselves alter reports and then get away with it? 12 COMMISSIONER PONTE: I mean, I don't 13 share your same concern. I mean, I grew up in the system. I was a correction officers myself. I don't 14 15 take anybody without looking at the evidence. I'm 16 very strong on accountability. Most of our command 17 staff has changed, about 80 percent, 90 percent of 18 our wardens have all been replaced. So I feel pretty strongly that we're going to hold our staff 19 20 accountable. I can't help what happened in 2011, 2012. 21

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I really have to question that type of thinking, and I have to question that type of thinking, and I have to say that in order to change a culture of violence, a

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 85
2	culture of violence committed by guards as well as by
3	detainees at Rikers, if you don't do a change at the
4	top, which is probably the easiest thing to do, then
5	the message to those who are ranked below them is
6	going to be a confusing message that you send them.
7	Who is Heidi Grossman?
8	COMMISSIONER PONTE: She's our Chief
9	Legal Counsel.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And wasn't she the
11	Lead Attorney for the Bloomberg Administration in
12	support of stop and frisk?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't know
14	factually if that's true. She's here. You can ask
15	her.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: She was the lead
17	attorney for the Bloomberg Administration for the
18	stop and frisk policies, to keep the stop and frisk
19	policies, and she was a hire by you, am I right?
20	COMMISSIONER PONTE: She is our Chief
21	Legal Counsel, yes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And you hired her?
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I did, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So you don't know that she was the lead attorney for the stop and frisk policy?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't know if the lead attorney. I know she worked on that case. You know, so I aware she worked on that case, but if she's the lead attorney I'm not positive of that, but.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So again, I have to wonder about what type of change, because I do believe there is a connection between stop and frisk policies and the way they are implemented and the culture of violence that exists on Rikers Island. How are you engaging the Correction Officers Benevolent Association in the change?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think they're at the table for everything we do. They've been at the table for the changes that we've done at RNDC.

They're on board with the systems that we put in place. They've been supportive of the changes we've made.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Now, Norman Seabrook, the President, has said that he would like

2.2

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 87 to see more solitary rather than less solitary. How are working to change that belief?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not going to speak for Norman. He's very able to speak for himself. So, our progressive program is the use alternatives to punitive seg, and as it pertains to adolescents, to eliminate punitive seg.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, I see this is—to change culture, you have to change all of these things. And I think your unwillingness to speak to that also is part of the problem. Let me ask you, are Council Members allowed to visit Rikers Island without COLBA [sic] escorts?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. And that can be done just by calling Heidi Grossman?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: You don't any time.

You don't even need to call, show up any time.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Because it's in the charter, in the city charter.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, we'll welcome you in any time. I'm not sure if it's the charter, but show up anytime. We'll make sure you get through any place you'd want to see.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 88 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And Commissioner, 3 who is in charge of the legislation, my legislation that we passed for the reporting of solitary? Who's 4 in charge of that? 5 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Of the legislation 6 7 or the data? 8 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: The data, collection of the data. 9 10 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Would be Eric 11 Berliner. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And has that 13 process begun? 14 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And who are the 16 people that physically collect it? How does that 17 work? How does the collection of that data work? 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Some of it was already electronically and some of it were--19 20 : Yeah, some of the data that you, that's in the bill was already being captured, so 21 2.2 that's already in process. We've developed a new 23 data capture mechanism and that's been rolled out to all of the facilities in which are covered by the 24

reporting bill. We are looking at that data on a

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 89 weekly basis to make sure that the new system works, and we are prepared and we'll absolutely meet the deadline of January  $20^{\rm th}$  to report.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And obviously, there'll be no tampering with that data?

: Absolutely not.

2.2

we're going to be looking very closely at that and how that report looks like, especially the first one which is due in January. Commissioner, I'm curious to know why you chose McKinsey, McKinsey, excuse me, as the organization to advise you on reform? From everything that I understand, they have no experience in jail reform issues or prison reform issues. Why did you go with them and what is the hope that you have that they're going to be--what might they tell you or what do you expect from them?

known to the city. They've done work with the police department and part of what they do is really look from the--look at the organization at all the different layers, what's happening, what are the road blocks to moving things forward, and so they can do that in a much more intense down in the weeds fashion

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 90 than we can could. They are not corrections people, correct, but they're not advising us on corrections matters.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So it's my hope that they will be reaching out to organizations like Jails Action Coalition, NYC LU, people who have had experiences and who know firsthand from detainees who have been at Rikers. Has a provision been made for them to include in their recommendations suggestions and discussions with those advocates?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Not specifically. I mean, it's not in their plan, but I'll ensure you that that won't happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, and you're willing to ensure us today that they will be included in this?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, very good, because some of them here in the audience today, and I think they'll be happy to know about that. I want to just refer to the DOJ report again, that an excessive amount of use by force, by staff involved head shots. Can somebody show me what a head shot looks like?

1	COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 91
2	COMMISSIONER PONTE: You know.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Give me just a
4	physical example of what, what does a head shot mean.
5	You know, we have this conflict going on in the city
6	with chokeholds, for example. What qualifies as a
7	head shot? I mean, I'm shocked to know that
8	headshots would be used against adolescents, less
9	than it's even used against the adult population. It
10	seems like bullying to me, but I'm curious to know
11	what, because I've not seen a headshot. What does a
12	headshot look like?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: My assumption is a
14	punch or use of some other instrument to the head
15	would be considered a headshot.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So it could be
17	either a punch or some type of blunt instrument
18	that's used to hit them in the head?
19	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Baton, yeah.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And what would
21	qualify that type of force?
22	COMMISSIONER PONTE: What would qualify

it?

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Right. Why would somebody used that type of force? What would be an acceptable reason for use of that type of force?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not sure if there's ever an acceptable reason. I don't think if we're doing those things with intent that that would be appropriate. Those things if they happen in a sense of an extreme situation where somebody's defending themselves, those would be circumstances where it may well be a last resort.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So it seems really violent to me for somebody, especially a 16 or 17 year old to get a headshot. I mean, that's really unbelievable. But would you be willing to commit today to providing a regulation as does the NYPD against chokeholds, against headshots in our jails?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We are re-writing our use of force policy substantially, obviously we don't specifically say headshots. Any strike to a vital area is what some of the language we're putting in our use of force policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, I think we need to be clearer about what the violence looks like, and if we're not clear to the officers about

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 93 1 2 what they can and they can't do, they're going to continue to do headshots and/or other types of 3 violence. So my hope that you would immediately 4 5 impart, and which I think you can do with your 6 powers, is to immediately define what is acceptable 7 force, what's not acceptable force, and whether or not headshots in particular are acceptable means of 8 force. 9 10 COMMISSIONER PONTE: We are re-writing our policy. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, that's about 13 it for me for right now. Thank you very much. 14 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah. 15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you Council 16 Member Dromm, and before we have another Council Member recognized for questions I'd like to say that 17 18 we've been joined by Council Member Vallone, Council Member Levin, Council Member Arroyo and we were 19 20 briefly joined earlier by Council Member Vacca and now I'd like to recognize Council Member Arroyo for 21 2.2 questions. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Thank you, Madam

Chair and your co-chairs for this hearing.

Commissioner, welcome.

24

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Thank you.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Congratulations

and my condolences. It's not an easy job. I want to go to your testimony and seek some clarification on some of the statements that you've made on the record. First, on page four, you indicated that 18 year olds were pulled out of the adolescent population, and if I recall, they numbers almost dropped in half in terms of the population that is now in the adolescent detention area.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, the new definition of adolescent being 16 and 17 year olds, correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. So but in, on page two of your testimony you referenced the emergence of brain sciences shows that young people's development may continue to their mid 20's.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct, actually 24, 25.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. So is pulling the 18 year old population out of that unit a smart thing to do given—and I'm separating this from the 16, 17 year old conversation.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 95 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: As it relates to 3 a safe place for them to be, whether it's an 4 appropriate environment for 18 to 20 some odd year olds to be. 5 6 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. 7 created a sub group of 18 to 21. We didn't include the 18 to 24 only because our ability to develop 8 these programs is somewhat limited. So we moved 9 these inmates to a couple of other facilities in 10 groups, so the programming that we're designing for 11 12 our 16 and 17 year olds will eventually be offered to 13 that group, including the staff training and other pieces of it. But it's about 1,200 inmates in 14 15 comparison to the less than 300 for the 16 and 17 16 year olds. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So the 18 to 21 18 year old group is in a separate containment area or-19 COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] There 20 is--COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: detention area? 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: They're at three, 23 two facilities in specific areas in those facilities. COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And there are 24

about 1,200 of those?

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's correct.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. So can get some more information about that specific population, because I don't think something magical happens between 17 and 18.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

much smarter. We're not that much wiser as human beings. So, I think we need to extend the conversation to deal with the older, younger population as well, and to see what models are being considered or already implemented for that population as well. Of the young people that are in detention in your system, do we have a sense of how many of those individuals have a learning disability that has not been considered in their road to coming back to the community?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: When they come in, the--well, the Department of Education may already have testing they've done on these individuals in the school system, so--

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [interposing]

I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time understanding you.

I didn't--

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] The

Department does testing on individuals coming from

the school systems. A lot of these individuals come

from the schools, so they would have that information

as the inmates come into the system, special needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And is there a specific plan for their educational needs?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's run by the Department of Education, so the answer is yes. The same plan as any other school.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So the assumption is that DOE has done the appropriate evaluations and established a learning plan for that individual?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And there's no way to cross reference whether the plan is appropriate for them.

commissioner ponte: We don't have educators on our staff. Most agencies, and we asked the question about medical and psychiatry. Most Department of Corrections would have people on our staff that would have oversight. That's not the case here. The city school department for the 16 to 17 year olds runs the education program.

1

23

24

25

classroom.

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just a point 3 clarification for Council Member Arroyo and for the Committee, the DOJ didn't highlight the level of 4 5 education, although your questions are on target, the population at Rikers Island is all considered a 6 7 special education population, be it that they are on Rikers Island and district 79 oversees their level of 8 education. What is needed is a greater oversight 9 hearing with the Department of Education here, 10 evaluating their level. From my visits to Rikers 11 12 Island and recently yesterday morning I was in the 13 classroom, both the English classroom and a math 14 classroom. I did not feel that the teachers there 15 were meeting the needs, the educational, the 16 individual educational needs of the student. 17 ratio was far too great. We had students who have 18 already passed the algebra Regent's study in algebra, and many different levels of English in one English 19 20 class. So there's more we can do as a council to work with the Department of Corrections and Education 21 2.2 to make sure that our kids are not bored in the

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Well, and I look forward to that conversation. The challenge that we

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 99 usually confront is that individuals will special learning needs are not handled appropriately, and you know, we fight to create services for individuals to get them ready for the work force, whether they're coming back home from detention or within the community, and often the programs are developed for individuals with pretty much consistent learning aptitude. And there are many, many individuals who have learning disabilities, who have not been appropriately evaluated and intervention provided to help them succeed in the classroom. So we're sending individuals to a training program that they're going to fail at as well. And the continual failure magnitude adds different levels of issues and inevitably they're back in detention because they have failed at everything that they've tried as it relates to education, frustration, depression and everything else that comes with that. So, I think that we have to have a different level of conversation about how we prepare individuals do reintegrate into community and those that are already in the community as well. So, and I can go on forever, so I'll stop there. On page four of your testimony you indicated that there's a priority given

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 100
2	to camera installations at the facility to deal with
3	the issue of blind spots. What's the status? How
4	many have been installed?
5	COMMISSIONER PONTE: We have a little
6	over 400 cameras there. We have on a plan 200 more.
7	That doesn't cover everything, but it is a great
8	improvement, so we'rewe have the most cameras in
9	any facility in RNDC. We've got plans to add about
10	200 more there and to have every spot in the facility
11	covered, we need about another 200 beyond those.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: When do you
13	anticipate the installation to be completed?
14	COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think of the 200,
15	in about six months or so, six to eight months, and
16	then for the other two, because you know, we haven't
17	planned those. They're not in the plan as of yet.
18	Probably six to eight months beyond that.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So 200 planned?
20	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: How many have
22	been installed?
23	COMMISSIONER PONTE: 400 and something.
24	So there's 400 and something cameras installed today.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: There's another 200 that we're adding, and then there's another 200 beyond that that we think we need.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So of the, what you referenced in your testimony, how many new cameras have been installed?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: A lot of them are new. I don't have the--

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [interposing] Not replaced. In the blind spots, how many have been installed?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I couldn't answer that specifically. We, you know, we do cover blind spots, we do. You know, so which ones are covered and which ones are not, we'd have to give you the plan to tell you that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And can we monitor once these cameras are installed, whether they are indeed serving as a deterrent to some kind of activity or violence or issues that contribute to the management or difficulty of managing the population?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think cameras are helpful in every way, both from staff training to obviously just monitoring performance.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yeah, people what you inspect, not what you expect. On the issue of the investigative team, what's the process for if that team uncovers inappropriate behavior on the part of staff, what's your process for dealing with whatever that, assuming that investigative team can be thorough and bring forward a complaint against the staff, what's your—what's going to be the process for and what power are they going to have? What power is this team going to have with—

commissioner Ponte: [interposing] Yeah, so the investigative team sees something that's inappropriate, they will and can recommend the what we call a modified--modifying the work of the officer, or they could recommend suspension. So at that point the officer would be taken away from that duty assignment and they would conduct the investigation. After they complete the investigation it goes to the trail division where the officer is entitled to a hearing, and then that process goes

2.2

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 103 through that way. So, the investigator takes that investigation and hands it to our trial division.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And how are you going to monitor the process and how many cases are brought before the personnel? I'd hate for us to spend, invest on resources that are not going to give us the result that we anticipate.

now, part of our conversation is developing an early warning system so we can identify problems before they become bad events. So, part of our overall plan is that we'll have systems in place to get a handle on these things before they become, you know, outrageous. And that's part of our negotiations, part of what we're planning. We've already met with the, some of the other agencies to look at what they do and how they manage those situations.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So, as a followup to this conversation, Madam Chair, Mr. Chairman,
that we hear from you on how this team has evolved
within the system and what benefits have been derived
from it. I'm not sure that I'd like to see a lot of
cases brought forward because staff is behaving
appropriately and we don't want staff to be

committees on Juvenile Justice and Fire & CRIMINAL Justice Services 104 prosecuted because of wrong doing. So, but if you can monitor and bring back to us a report on what outcomes this team produces and what you're going to do with that. Thank you, Madam.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner, did you say a year and a half before cameras are installed?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: A year and a half before all. If we were going to add a full 400 cameras, yes, so that would take us--and again, it's not the only spot in the agency we have cameras being installed.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Will there be cameras everywhere but the bathrooms, so that if somebody is taken into the bathroom one could imagine that they might--

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, we're looking even in those areas as best we can cover.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what about the process? Unfortunately, in the past, you lost, not so much you, but the Department or you've not been able to locate critical video tape after it's been saved for investigation. How do you make sure that

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 105 1 the chain of custody for that critical information 2 and evidence does not get lost? 3 4 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. So that's 5 part of Mr. Blake's assignment here is to develop 6 systems that do that. I think we were much better at 7 collecting that, pulling that stuff out the system earlier, retaining it better than we've ever done 8 before. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How often do you do that, put aside video footage? Do you do it when 11 12 there's only a claim, or do you keep video footage for every video recording that you have use of force? 13 14 COMMISSIONER PONTE: If it's an 15 investigation, we'll pull it and keep it. If it's 16 viewed and there was nothing, you know, no event that was on it, we probably would not. But if it starts 17 18 as an investigation as part of the collection of evidence, so it will be pulled and maintained. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You have--you clearly need a better way of storing it. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes. 23 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And to have duplications of videos where there's claims is a good 24

thing. Commissioner, I would like to have an own

committees on Juvenile Justice and fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 106

copy if I was Commissioner, right? Just to keep

track to make sure that the footage does not get

lost. With today's technology it could be quite easy

to do that. Now, there are times when you're

videotaping because you're preparing for an

evacuation, a cell evacuation.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So you're imagining that there's probably going to be for some reason or another a level of force necessary to be used, and I believe that's why you're videotaping it.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: To protect from claims.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So then that really begs a question of what point and what level of force are you anticipating. Before you go in there, you say, the Captain says, the officers, maybe the over—the wardens overseeing this evacuation, you have to explain to me who's there, who's videotaping and what level of force is planned to be used in case the inmate is not cooperating.

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Alright. So, the process as an inmate refused to come out of a cell for a particular reason, the captain would go there, talk to the inmate. We also have a clinical or mental health person come over and talk to the inmate to see if he or she is willing to come out. At that point, the shift supervisor, which is a tour [sic] commander, would approve a team to go up and bring the inmate out of the cell using what force is necessary. Typically, the—if appropriate on the application of chemical agent [sic] and then going into the cell, putting on restraints and then removing the inmate from the cell.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How often do you have a situation like that?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Not too frequently, probably if I had to average it, one a week. I mean it's not that frequently in this agency. It doesn't happen that frequently.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Why would someone not want to come out of their cell?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Could be possession of contraband. It could be just refusing, you know. So it's a whole host of reasons that sometimes

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 108 doesn't make sense to us, but it's easier on everybody if we can talk them out rather than use force to take them out.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And earlier,

Council Member Dromm brought up the blunt force to
one's head. Now, I imagine you go through steps
within the Department's directive of allowable use of
force, and so what type of force is being used if
that person is not being—and the chemical agent's
not working, they're not moving.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Alright. It's what we call a capture shield. So you go in with a capture shield. You push the inmate against the wall. We get a hold of his hands and his legs and put restraints on him and remove him. So, typically in those situations there's not a—there's no punches or batons used because it would be unreasonable to do that in a cell.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council Member Vallone for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Madam Chair, and I guess good afternoon Commissioner. You had two hours of testifying. Let me just say, and I know you mentioned it at the beginning, that as a

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 109 1 previous member of the Board of Corrections I'm very 2 saddened at the passing of the Executive Director, 3 Cathy Potler. Cathy was a friend and she'll be 4 5 missed by all of us and I know her experience here, 6 she's watching over us. Do you have, I guess, the 7 information for the year on incidents or infractions at Rikers Island for the year? Has it been steady? 8 Has it increased? Has it decreased? 9 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Infractions of? 10 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Any incidents 11 12 maintained or occurred by an inmate or a detainee while at Rikers Island? 13 14 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Uses of force? 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Use of force. 16 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. So in most 17 places that's gone up. Incidents of violence has 18 gone up in most of our facilities. COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Would you say 19 20 that's the same for juveniles and non-juveniles? COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's gone down in 21 2.2 the juvenile facilities, as it pertains to 16 and 17 23 year olds. COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So with the 24

changes in the -- the much needed changes we're talking

about today and the revisions on the island, what are some of the steps that you're going to take while we have these continuous incidents at the island, especially for those who are repeat offenders, because we are talking about a jail here? So for those who are creating an unsafe condition either for staff, for inmates, for detainees, for juveniles, for correction officers, for Department of Health, for those who continue and have a history of that, what is the process that the Department's going to put in place to deal with those?

2.2

initiatives that we're rolling out. One was the 2100 hour lock-in. So we're locking inmates in a bit earlier now, because we know that a number of violent incidents were happening after 9:00 p.m. We'll be putting all of our inmates in uniforms to prevent smuggling of contraband in clothing, and we'll be asking for Board of Corrections variants to limit property coming through visit. So we'll offer other alternatives on how to do that, other than bringing property in. So that accounts for a number of weapons and drugs coming into facility, which that elevates violence. The fact that those things are

there causes more violent incidents. We have a substantial, and I'm not ready to issue that, but a substantial number of variances we're asking from the Board of Corrections that I think will help us manage our inmate population better and will keep everyone safe. So those are just some of the initiatives that we currently have on--

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And those initiatives apply for both juveniles and non-juveniles?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: For the most part, most of the work we've done with adolescents is heading in that direction. Most of the variances would apply. Some would apply to adolescents. Most would apply mostly to our adult population.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, I guess

then, what would be my, if I was a detainee or an

inmate and I've been an incident of a crime or

assault or something from another detainee, how would
I know I would be safe tomorrow?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, I mean, we make every effort to identify people coming into the system what their historic history is, so what they did before, what they've done as a new crimes and

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 112 house them appropriately in the system. But I mean, that's--we do that now.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So is there going to be any changes to the locations of repeat offenders, or are they still going to be in general population?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think clearly we want to have safe environments for people who have committed violent acts before that historically caused injuries to staff and inmates. So part of that is in the variances to give us more options in placement than we currently have today.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, at the last hearing there was some staff from Department of Health who testified that they were not safe walking the hallways and they weren't able to provide their social services to the inmates or detainees because they didn't have a safe location to provide those services or didn't feel safe in providing it. So are we going to take steps to change the locations and how their safety can be maintained for the staff?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We have regular meetings with DOH staff. They're done at the facility level, looking at all those issues that

they've raised, providing solutions for them. So I think that situation's gotten better. I mean, it's a jail environment, so there is some danger there, but we're very cognitive of that and work with them regularly. In fact, we just had a letter from DOH talking about the training we've provided the clinical staff, you know, over the last few months.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you very much, Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Excuse me. Thank you, Council Member Vallone. We've been joined by Council Member Rodriguez, and I now like to recognize Council Member Levin for questions.

much, Madam Chair. Thank you, Commissioner. I have several questions for you. First off, I wanted to ask explicitly do you agree with the finding in the Department of Justice's report that "Department of Corrections has engaged in systemic and pervasive patterns and practices of utilizing unnecessary and excessive force against adolescent inmates in violation of the eighth and 14<sup>th</sup> amendments of the Constitution." Do you agree with that finding?

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 114 1 2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah. Without 3 getting specific, I think clearly there were cultural issues that need to be addressed in the agency. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] 6 you agree that--COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] You're 7 asking me to repeat something that they made a 8 finding on, I think that clearly--9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] you agree that the--11 12 [cross-talk] COMMISSIONER PONTE: issues that need to 13 14 change. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do you agree that 16 the patterns and practices of the Department of 17 Corrections as found in the DOJ report violate the 18 Constitutional Rights of adolescent inmates? COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think there's 19 20 stuff they pointed out in their reports. Again, I 21 didn't look at the investigations. They did. 2.2 true, it would clearly meet that standard. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So you agree then that that would be a violation of their 24

constitutional rights of adolescents then?

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 115

2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: If true, yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Is

Department--and I apologize if you had answered this previously to another Council Member. Is Department of Corrections in favor of raising the age in New York State for juveniles under the age of 18?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think from where we stand for and where I come from, in most states 16 year olds are treated as juveniles, and again, most of the states treat 17 year olds. So, I think my personal position is yes, I would be in favor of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Would you be willing to, or are you planning to go to Albany in the coming session to lobby the State Legislature to make those changes?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That gets into the political world a little bit, but we're definitely on the committee to raise the age. So, there's actually an active committee, so we're participating in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, because I think that New York City can have an important role in that discussion, obviously, and I believe strongly that no change will occur on the state level with the

State Senate unless New York City is at the forefront and very vocally calling on that change to be made.

So, I look forward to seeing you and other members of the Administration making that case strongly in the upcoming session in the State Legislature. Is it the position of the Department of Corrections that no youth under the age of 18 should be at Rikers whatsoever?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's a legal question. I mean, it's not--that's kind of, I guess, to raise the age so.

a separate question. It's also a policy question. A recommendation that has been made is that youth should be removed entirely from Rikers, anyone under the age of 18. Is that something that DOC would be in favor of, or is that not possible unless raise the age legislation was—

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] No, I think we're looking at suitable sites. So, we'd be in favor of that if we could find a suitable site that met the needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Because then if a suitable site was available, the city's position

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice Services 117 would be that youth could be, under the age of 18 could be entirely removed from Rikers and not have to be at that location whatsoever.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right, still in custody of Department of Corrections but in another location, yes.

report details incident of use of force going back to the previous Administration and nobody's asking you to be entirely accountable for findings that occurred before you or took the helm of Department of Corrections, but we are interested in seeing how things are moving forward. Can you give us the number of incidents of use of force involving adolescents that occurred in the months of August and September of this year? I apologize if somebody——if you had answered this previously.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: What is it? 19 and 19, 19 and 19.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Nineteen and nine?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Nineteen and 19, so.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Nineteen and 19.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yep.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 118

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And that compares to numbers in 2013 from those months?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, it's a little difficult because we took the 18 year olds out, so it's been steadily declining. I think once we took the 18 years old out, correct me if I'm wrong Warden, it was about 30 after the 18 year olds left. And it's gone down since, so.

explain what—has—have any—what are the disciplinary measures that are taken against officers that have been found to inappropriately use excessive force moving forward? So, since you've taken over at Department of Corrections what are—what is the disciplinary measures and what are the procedures for when there's been an accusation of excessive use of force and when there's been a finding of excessive use of force?

with any accusation we're going to do an investigation. Depending on how serious it is, we would be doing it with our investigative unit or the DOI City Investigative Unit could do that. And also the District Attorney could take cases. So there's

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 119 1 all levels of use of force. So it could be as simple 2 as grabbing somebody by the arm that's unnecessary 3 use of force, or seriously where somebody's seriously 4 5 injured. So, in those degrees, if somebody 6 intentionally seriously injures an inmate, then they 7 ought to be terminated if it's proven through the hearing process. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And the process 9 for termination is? Can you explain that a little 10 bit further and more in depth? 11 12 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It goes through a 13 trials division. So, they actually in a, I assume, a 14 semi-public hearing where the inmate--where the 15 office and the union offer a defense to the 16 allegations. A judge makes a finding. Then it comes 17 to the Commissioner's Office for the decision. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so the Commissioner ultimately has the decision of whether 19 20 somebody is to be terminated based on the findings of the judge? 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Based on findings, 23 that's my understanding.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [off mic]

have one more question, Madam Chair. Thank you.

Sure, I

The

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 120 1 2 DOJ report says that during the period of time when 3 the report was looking at 43.7 percent of adolescents were subject to the use of force at Rikers, which the 4 5 DOJ report says that according to their consultant 6 was the highest that they had, the highest rate that 7 they had ever seen in any system that they had looked Do you--what is in your estimation--do you 8 believe that that is too high, that 43.7 percent is 9 too high, and what do you believe is an appropriate 10 rate of adolescents who are, who ought to be subject 11 12 to the use of force? 13 COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, indeed the 40 14

something percent is too high. I'm not sure if there's a, you know. We'd like to get it to zero. I mean, that'd be ideal, but we're down to 19 from 30 or 40, and we're going to continue to work at keep those incidents as low as possible. I'm not sure if there's a national standard that would say is 10 percent okay or five percent.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: What was it in Maine when you left in Maine?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I don't know percentage-wise. I mean, we didn't have a lot of incidents there. Did have some, but didn't have a

- 1 COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 121
  2 lot of incidents. So, but much smaller population
  3 than we have.
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But you agree that 5 43 percent is obviously far too high?
- 6 COMMISSIONER PONTE: That seems--yeah,
  7 that seems very high.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much. Thank you Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair.
  - CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Council Member. Commissioner, I want to ask you questions about the Mayor's Management Report from 2011, because there's been that investigation. The numbers that we have still reflect a significant decrease in the level of violence for RNDC during that year. Are the numbers that we have correct?
  - COMMISSIONER PONTE: During what year?

    CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: During the year in which Mr. Clemons was the warden, and in which documentation was not--incidents of violence, fights, assaults was not documented.
  - COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah, they did show decline, but they were back--they went back and put

2.2

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 122 those, that data. They corrected all that data from all those prior months. So that data--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] could rest assured that the numbers we have now currently, because they do reflect a significant decrease for 2011. That goes up to 20--comes down from 2010, level of violence goes back up for 2012. So, just according to the Mayor's Management Report where you have incidents of A, B, C force, you know, you have a decline that happens in fiscal year '11. Is that accurate? Right now, if you look at fiscal year 2010 and compared to 2011, there's a decrease that goes back up in 2012. What I would like to know for sure is if these numbers are accurate. total incidents in 2010 were 148, and then in 2010--2011 it goes down to 132, and then in 2012 it goes back up. And you know, if we look at last year it So, in 2011, it was 132. It goes up about was 147. 15 percent from 2011 to 2013. What I would like to know is if the numbers that we have, you know, and we're looking at your numbers that you provided to the Mayor's Management Report.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 123

2.2

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I was not here during any of that point in time. I'll have Eric Berliner respond the best he can.

ERIC BERLINER: The numbers from the audit report that you're talking about where specific to fights and assaults, and those numbers were updated in, I want to say, 2013. The numbers of uses of force were not, have not changed and we have every reason to believe that they're accurate.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: This committee

needs to be reassured that when a fight or an assault
happens it's tracked. What—tell me what has changed
since 2011 when you found that a Warden was not
classifying fights and assaults accurately and that
there were 345 fights that were not recorded? Can
you tell me what happened, what happens today to
assure the committee and that the Mayor's Office is
getting the right numbers, so we know whether
violence is increasing or decreasing? Who—does Mr.
Blake have a hand in that, tracking the incidents of
violence?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: The initial reports come from the facility. I think probably what's different today is much more oversight. We have many

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 124 1 2 more cameras. We're much more active in reviewing incidents than we ever have. As I've already stated 3 4 we have an investigative team. We'll have an 5 investigative team on the ground, in the facility 6 that will begin to look at all incidents. So, I--7 it's--I think there's much more oversight today back during the--8 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 9 10 Right, but just so you know, Commissioner, the numbers that we received from the Mayor's Management 11 12 Report don't appear to be the corrected numbers, 13 because when you look at calendar 2011, there's 350 14 uses of force and when you look at calendar year 2012 15 it shoots up to 700, and that's all A, B and C. 16 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Okay. 17 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And the committee 18 needs to be reassured that when fights are happening, that it's being tracked. 19 20 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Alright. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council Member 21 2.2 Rodriguez. Sorry, Rodriguez. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 24 Thank you, Chair, for your leadership on this

important issue, and one thing that I can testify is

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 125 in my first four years of the Council, like this has been an important issue for the Chair and this committee. Unfortunately, all of us fail from those of us in government to anyone that touch the life of people in Rikers Island, and especially the adolescents. Because there has been like an open secret, that that correctional facility has been broken, needed to be fixed. I even hope that all those families put a big lawsuit on all of us on how we fail, especially to teenagers. My first question is, in your responsibility, what is the most difficult challenge you have to reform Rikers Island? COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's a multifaceted. I think everything we've looked at would show our needs for improvement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

 $\label{eq:council_member_rodriguez:} \mbox{Council Member Rodriguez:} \quad \mbox{Can you give}$  me just one, the most difficult one.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think it all comes down to staff. How do we retrain? How do we hire better staff? How do we train better? I mean, all those pieces. Because at the end of the day it's the line officer who does the job either well or not, and so our commitment to hiring and training needs to be redoubled.

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 126
 1
 2
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: How much do we
 3
     spend per inmate in Rikers Island?
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not sure of that
 4
     dollar figure. Do you know? I'm not sure. We can
 5
    get you that information.
 6
 7
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: More than how
    much you invest per student or less?
 8
 9
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'm not sure.
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Anyone has
10
    that information?
11
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: We don't have that.
12
13
    We can get that for you. It's high. I mean, it's
14
     expensive.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And what
16
    percentage of adolescents at Rikers Island has been
17
     sentenced and what percentage are waiting to go to
    trial?
18
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Out of about 11,000,
19
20
     2,000 have bene sentenced.
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Two thousand
21
2.2
    has been sentenced?
23
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, 2,000 are
    sentenced, right, out of--
24
```

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 127
 1
 2
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]
 3
     So what percentage has not been sentenced?
 4
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:
 6
    percentage has not been sentenced?
 7
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: Eighty percent.
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And what
 8
    percentage are at Rikers Island because they are not
 9
    being able to pay their bail?
10
                COMMISSIONER PONTE: We don't have that
11
12
     information. We think it's a fairly high number,
     it's truly significant.
13
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Now, I trust
15
    your leadership. We trust your leadership, and I
16
     know that we are in a new day in this city and in
     this Administration, and I know that we had to do a
17
18
    major reform especially when we look to adolescents.
     I used to be a teacher before being elected, for 13
19
20
     years. So in my 13 years of teaching high school, I
     can tell you that I saw many of my formers students
21
2.2
    being, getting into CUNY, Ivy league college, and I
23
     saw some of my students going through Rikers Island.
    And what we have experienced that minors who go to
24
```

Rikers Island, when they are out of Rikers Island

committees on Juvenile Justice and Fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 128 they come back, right? What percentage come back to Rikers Island?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Forty-two percent return, recidivism.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So, what I hope is that--too much, 90,000 dollar.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: 90,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Per year. That's how much we invest per inmate in Rikers Island in some cases. So imagine if we really chose our assisting, our assisting where minors, adolescent, they learn from their experience. They get exposed to educational opportunity. With 90,000 dollar we should be able, you know, to turn someone who made minor crimes into the student who is at CUNY, into a student who is in an Ivy League college. So I just hope, first of all, that we will take all adolescents out of Rikers Island. And as you say in your testimony, New York is one of the two states where we treat 16 years old as adults. So I hope also that we as a coalition, the faith community, the politicians, people in the business community, we should go to Albany and ask Albany to please don't treat 16 years old as adults. Thank you.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 129 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Council 3 Member Cabrera, we're rapping up with the Department of Corrections. We'll hear from Council Member 4 Cabrera and then we should soon conclude. 5 6 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Are we going to take 7 a break? 8 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes, just two more questions, Commissioner. You're almost there. 9 10 appreciate for being here this long. How many 11 investigators do we have now that were hired? 12 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Well, again, we've 13 hired a number, but the turnover rate's been fairly high. So, what's the total positions? 14 15 MICHAEL BLAKE: The total office is 66 within the whole investigative unit. We have about 16 17 26 openings right now that we're looking to fill. 18 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And they started to be hired when? When did the hiring begin? 19 20 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It's ongoing. It's been ongoing. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It's been ongoing, 23 okay. So, from -- is that a sufficient number to be 24 able to keep an accurate assessment of what's taking

25

place on the ground?

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 130

2.2

never been full. So, once we're full, we must have a better answer to that. We've never had-- City Council approved 21, 26 positions. We've never had all those positions full. So, that's a challenge for us right now.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you feel-- I mean, obviously, there was an ask for more because there was a need for more.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Because there were gaps, I would imagine. And so my question is in line with what Co-Chair Crowley was asking about getting accurate number. It's possible. There's possibility that between April and September that there were some numbers that were missing then, since we didn't have all the investigators in place, in terms—

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing]

Anything's possible. I don't think that's the case,
but it's possible.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It's possible, okay. And my last question is in regards to the video recording, and I appreciate you sharing that you able to store them for 90 days, but you know, a

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 131 1 2 lot of young people, and for that matter adults, but especially young people they don't know their rights. 3 Do you think that 90 days is sufficient? You know, 4 it could be you're there four months later and find 5 6 out, you know, as a young person, hey, you know, I 7 didn't know I had this right. And but then the video is gone. Do you--will you be open to idea of six 8 months, you know, extending the amount? And again, 9 this also helps correction officers that are doing 10 the right thing, because there's nothing worse than 11 12 being falsely accused, so I think it helps both 13 camps. 14 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Absolutely. 15 just the expense of storage. So if we agree six 16 months is a better number, we'd be more than happy. 17 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I think it's more 18 expensive when we don't have the video recording. COMMISSIONER PONTE: 19 Okay. 20 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: In both ends. Ιn both ends. Thank you, Commissioner. I really 21 2.2 appreciate your input. 23 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I thank you to my

Co-Chair Cabrera. Thank you for all the Council

1 COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 132

- 2 | Members who asked questions of the DOC.
- 3 Commissioner, I have no further questions. Just
- 4 upset, disappointed that your Chief of Department was
- 5 | not here. I believe he didn't come today because he
- 6 was shying away from questions from the report during
- 7 | the time of which he was Warden of RNDC, and I
- 8 | frankly believe that a year and a half to outfit the
- 9 | facility with cameras is too long, and that I hope
- 10 within the coming weeks you could work with this
- 11 committee to have a real plan to finally move the 16
- 12 and 17 year olds off Rikers Island.
- 13 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yeah. And I
- 14 | apologize for your disappointment the Chief was not
- 15 here, but we'll obviously make him available in the
- 16 future.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you
- 18 | Commissioner and members of your staff who are here.
- 19 We are now going to hear from members of the public.
- 20 Our first member of the public to testify if the
- 21 | honorable Judge Bryanne Hamill who is a member of the
- 22 Board of Correction. And then we will hear from
- 23 representatives from the Correction Officers
- 24 Benevolent Association. Before the honorable Judge
- 25 Bryanne Hamill speaks, I do want to mention how

deeply saddened the committee and the council is with the loss of Cathy Potler who dedicated her entire career to helping the lives, helping better the lives of those who are incarcerated. Cathy was the Executive Director of the Board of Correction and worked all the while she was sick and only passed a few weeks ago. Our deepest condolences go out to the Board members and Cathy's family. We know she is survived by a son. And Judge, when you are ready, please begin your testimony.

2.2

BRYANNE HAMILL: Okay. Good morning,

Chairs Crowley and Cabrera and members of the

committees and City Council Members. I'm Judge

Hamill, the Chair of the Adolescent and Young Adult

Committee of the New York City Board of Correction. I

am a retired New York City Family Court Judge, former

Assistant District Attorney and a psychiatric nurse.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to testify

today about our incarcerated adolescents in the New

York City jail system and Chair Crowley, I thank you

so much for your condolences. We all are mourning

the loss of our extraordinary Executive Director

Cathy Potler. It's a huge loss to us. The Board of

Correction, as you know, established and ensures

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 134 compliance with the minimum standards regulating conditions of confinement and correctional health and mental health care in the city's correctional facilities. The Board monitors conditions in the city's jail, investigates serious incidences, evaluates the performance of the department and makes recommendations in critical areas of correctional planning pursuant to the city charter, section 626 E. It requires the Board to establish minimum standards for the care, custody, correction, treatment, supervision and discipline of all persons held or confined under the jurisdiction of the department's. At this time we are in rule making regarding these standards, including discipline and the imposition of solitary confinement. We all know that after a multi-year investigation on August 4<sup>th</sup> of 2014, the Department of Justice issued a scathing report about the violence experienced by the adolescents who are detained there, and during that investigation the Board of Correction has provided documents, data, and assistance to the Department of Justice. Through resolution at our September Board meeting, the Board of Correction adopted the findings of the Department of Justice CRIPA [sic] report and we urged the city

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 135 and continued to urge the city and Department of Correction to carefully weigh and consider implementing the remedial measures and the best practices contained therein as reasonable means to address the culture of violence and remedy the pervasive constitutional right violations of our incarcerated adolescents. Now, the Board of Correction knows through our own investigations, as well as through the Department of Justice report, that the findings regarding the culture of violence apply to all of Rikers Island, not just RNDC. fact, slashing and stabbing incidences system wide are up for this year, 72 so far this year with three months left to go, and last year it was a total of In the last seven months there's been seven or more slashings or stabbings each month, and the last time there was seven consecutive months with seven or more stabbings and slashing was back in 1998. Department's imposition of a 9:00 p.m. curfew, locking inmates in the cells for a couple of hours earlier, nine instead of 11, has not resulted in any diminution of the violence among the inmates, and in fact, the numbers continue to climb. The culture of violence at Rikers, which is most harmful to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 136 youth during their formative years, in our opinion, continues uninvaded. While the Department of Correction attempts to reduce this violence, the youth must be removed from this environment for their own safety and wellbeing. And just in terms in general about at Rikers, certainly there's some solutions to consider reducing the violence overall that would include decreasing the rates of incarceration of our people here in New York, decreasing the use of solitary confinement, which is certainly thought to breed more violence, having smaller units with lower number of inmates to manage with increased staffing, implementing crisis intervention training for all of the officers, and certainly more program time including recreation, education, skills job training and linkage to Department of Education across the board at Rikers. I'm here to talk primarily about the adolescents in RNDC. We know from the Department of Justice report that they find there's an over-reliance on the imposition of punitive segregation for the adolescent population, many of whom are mentally ill, and that it's extremely harmful to the youth. Even now, last week, we had 259 inmates age 16 to 18. There's still

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 137 some 18 year olds at RNDC. Sixty-two of those 259 young people were still in some form of solitary confinement as punishment for rule infractions, primarily fighting. I go to Rikers and I'm out there every few weeks. That's most commonly what the punitive segregation is utilized for, fighting. I also want to comment that of those 259 inmates, nearly 100 of them have been documented to have special education needs, and as we know, for those who are in punitive segregation there is no meaningful access to educational services. pending the conclusion of our rule-making, which will be early next year, it is a very lengthy process which we have to abide by under CAPA [sic]. Board of Corrections supports prohibiting solitary confinement for all incarcerated youth under at least the age of 19. We're still in rule-making, and we'll decide exactly where to draw that line, but at least under the age of 19, and we certainly commend Commissioner Ponte's plan to end it for adolescents by the end of the year, certainly the sooner the better. By nature we all know that the youth have immature not fully formed brains. They'll less capable of good impulse control and good reasoning in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 138 decision-making. Therefore, they're much more likely to break the rules. We also know that most of the youth incarcerated are more likely than not to suffer a emotional and mental health problems, alcohol and substance abuse. They have developmental disabilities. They have histories of trauma and traumatic brain injury. Some may have been mental health services. Most have not. And at this time, they're all primarily in need of some type of mental health services, but there are simply insufficient mental health services available to the general population, even in the specialty housing, the mental observation units. Now, minor rule infractions result in solitary confinement, which we all know causes substantial harm to them developmentally, emotionally, mentally, educationally, socially and physically, and will likely result in greater incidents of self-harm and in fact, violence. I would also submit that in addition to being subjected to violence and isolation, the basic needs of many of our detained adolescents at Rikers are often unmet during their months and often years of waiting to go to trial. Now, at this time, I certainly urge the State of New York to raise the age of criminal

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 139 responsibility to the age of 18. However, until then, the adolescents in the adult system are entitled to and should in fact be treated the same way as the young people in the juvenile justice Unquestionably, RNDC is now an adult facility in an adult jail system that houses some adolescents. Many few are adolescents and many more adult inmates there. It has a linear layout that cannot be converted to a juvenile justice model as recommended by the Department of Justice. The youth facility should contain small housing units with large community areas that are conducive to direct supervision and engagement of clinical and custodial staff which is community based which grants easy access to family, friends, programming and education. Should also say now that such a large number of adults, federal law, the Prison Rape Elimination Act is regularly violated at RNDC, when the 16 and 17 year, essentially the Prison Rape Elimination Act prohibits site and sound contact between 16 and 17 year olds and 18 and above, but in RNDC, because of the large number of adults there now, there is not the site and sound barrier with respect to the intake pens, with respect to the buses, with respect to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 140 Suicide Prevention Aids, and even with respect to specialty housing where we sometimes have the 18 year olds in with the 16 and 17, and younger in with the older. So, as long as adolescents are going to be housed in the city jails, we would recommend there's got to be youth appropriate programming with incentives and a graduated sanctions disciplinary There has to be appropriate educational services tailored to the needs of the youth, including special education. There has to be an expansion of recreation. There has to be a therapeutic community and a culture of respect that includes the assignment of steady, committed, and well trained custodial and clinical staff. There has to be an expansion of mental health services for adolescents in general, but the intensive mental health units should be richly staffed and robust in specialized. I would submit the mental health services should employ an adolescent psychiatrist and that adolescent psychiatrists should be at the head of the clinical team that's managing the clinical and therapeutic needs for the adolescents. Only the adolescent psychiatrist is really skilled and trained and credentialed to prescribe psychotropic

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 141 medications for adolescent, which is very different than for adults. This team should include adolescent psychologists and psychotherapists who are expert in assessment, diagnosis and treatment for this adolescent population. And I do want to say for an extensive study of best practices for our adolescent population, I'm incorporating by reference. Cardozo Law School's Rethinking Rikers Report, which they prepared for the Board of Correction and attached to our written testimony today. So, simply put, the current Rikers facilities are not conducive to the Department of Justice remedial measures, nor the best practices, and in fact, the DOC facilities off of the island, those be in Manhattan and the Brooklyn House of Detention, which I have personally visited already, are widely acknowledged to have a much calmer culture and a much better morale. Officers tell me because as I go through the facilities I speak to the inmates, I speak to the officers, I speak to the clinical staff, and the officers are telling me that such plumb assignments off the island would really reward the excellent officers and it would incentivize others to seek training and the steady assignments with the adolescents. We simply

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 142 cannot wait 'til the age of criminal responsibility is raised. We must create this calmer culture, therapeutic environments, safe, secure community based environment that is sensitive to the unique needs of the adolescents in support of the officers who work with them. I did want to just mention since there was a lot of discussion about the facilities, in my opinion there's currently no facility at Rikers that would be long term sufficient, especially when you consider the culture of violence, and part of what we're trying to do is change the culture of violence, but in terms of the lay out of the facilities, there is one facility that does have the layout that the Department of Justice would be looking for, but it's currently housing the female inmates. So there's an 800 annex at Rosie's, where the female inmates are housed, and that does have the open dorms, that has the very large day rooms. has the classrooms. It has the recreational rooms, but of course, it's part of the female facility, and that may pose difficulty in and of its own. would say that we are certainly very fortunate that we have a new Administration, new Commissioners, new Wardens that are committed to reform, but I would

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 143 also say as the Department of Justice has said, these reforms must be meaningful, lasting, and enforceable. On a final note, I just wanted to address the issue, I know a couple of you have asked about the young adult housing and the 18 year olds in particular. The Department of Justice report includes the 18 year The investigation was about the 18 year olds, and I've confirmed in my meetings with them, that they are still seeking that the 18 year olds be part of the remedial measures for the youth. Regarding Commissioner Ponte's Young Adult Initiative for housing the 18 to 21 year olds, we generally are in support of and commend this innovative initiative as it's anticipated. It's not implemented yet, although the 18 year olds, most of them have been removed from RNDC. We are concerned, certainly in light of the DOJ report and findings, the facilities that I've been visiting with the 18 year olds are being housed separately, and they're in GMDC and GRVC [sic], and they're being housed at least one unit where there are no cameras. So we recommend cameras be installed wherever inmates really are, but certainly with this 18 to 21 year old group. They must have cameras wherever they may be, including their schools, their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 144 programming facilities and certainly in their housings. We also support their need for additional staffing. We've talked about the adolescents having a 15 to one, that's not being put in place right now for the young adult, but there's been a request by the wardens of GMDC and GRVC as well as from staff and the facilities that they need C officers so that there's essentially two officers out in the housing unit. And finally, as informed by psychiatric experts, during our rule-making, we must be mindful that the neurobiology of youth and young people under the age of 25 is such that violence is much more likely when incarcerated and when their movement is so severely restricted and extreme idleness. therefore, we need to make sure we include for this population the extensive programming, education, recreation and robust mental health services to make sure that their wellbeing is certainly protected. I'm happy to be here to testify before you today and happy to answer any questions you may have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Judge
Hamill. Thank you for your extensive testimony and
for your years of advocacy for those that are
incarcerated and for what you're doing now with the

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 145 There's going to be a follow-up hearing you heard earlier. We don't have the date yet, but we'll be with the Committee on Health and then Committee on Mental Health and we'll have that before the end of the year so we can fully examine the psychiatric support that the 16 and 17 year olds and really the entire facility has. It's alarming to me that our liaison from the DOC to the DOH does not even know whether there is an adolescent psychiatrist, and that's beyond disappointing. The Deputy Commissioner should know that, should be--have a relative understanding of what type of health care is available and that's just minimum knowing that there's a doctor who specializes with mental health when you have a population of nearly half that need a doctor that specializes in such. You also support removing the 16 and 17 year olds off the island. What did you think of the Commissioner's response? It doesn't appear to me that DOC is moving quickly enough with that Department of Justice recommendation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BRYANNE HAMILL: We at the Board of Correction and I certainly personally believe it's one of the primary and most prominent recommendations

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 146 that that has to occur really first, because that's part of--you know, if you're going to really change a culture, you have to remove those inmates, and even those officers I would say in the clinical staff to a new much smaller facility that's more tailored to their unique needs. I mean, right now when you go to RNDC, one of the things we've bene advocating for is having steady officers, steady officers that are really well trained with managing adolescents with behavioral problems. There's not, you know, I don't want to give the exact numbers, but that's still a problem getting steady officers and they're certainly not trained. So the whole idea would be to remove these adolescents from that culture and ensure that the officers in the clinical staff that we have are buying into a juvenile justice model and willing to accommodate the sort of major cultural shift that will be necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, I agree. This committee has been advocating certainly since I've been the Chair for reduced number of inmates per staff. That ratio grew under the Bloomberg years, and was to the point of where you had only one officer for 33 inmates at RNDC, which certainly

wasn't acceptable. The council has been committed to that and together with the Mayor we did put more money in the budget, but certainly as we heard from the Commissioner earlier, that amount did not go far enough, and then we're looking and would be committed to finding more resources to reduce that ratio even to one to eight, and looking at reducing the ration in the greater population. I know that Council Member Cabrera has some questions, so I'd like to recognize Council Member Cabrera.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

Just a quick question. I, you know, heard

suggestions today by Council Members where a new

facility would be suitable for the young people to go

to, where do you suggest that they should go?

BRYANNE HAMILL: You know, I don't know a facility right now that I could say they should go, but I am familiar having been a Family Court Judge when the Department of Justice also issued a scathing report ensued New York State and the Office of Children and Family Services that laws were changed and a lot of money became available and that was the Close to Home Plan, where we starting moving, and I'm sure you're very familiar with this, so many of our

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 148 young people closer to New York in smaller community based facilities where it's either it's my understanding facilities were either built or other buildings were obtained and retrofitted so that they could accommodate the juvenile justice model that was envisioned. But I can't tell you right now. know, what I can tell you is having gone to the Manhattan House of Detention, there's certainly aspects of that facility that would be conducive to They've got the tiers where they have the cells, but really large day rooms, and the culture was very different. The morale was very different. inmates were engaging with the officers. I didn't hear all the complaints as I normally hear when I go to RNDC. The Brooklyn House of Detention, which I've seen, again that culture is very different, and if you look at the violence levels there's much less violence reported in these off islands, but it is more like you're really institutional setting with all the bars, and their numbers in terms, not that that couldn't be changed, of officers per inmate is very, very high.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:

question, is it--let me play the devil's advocate.

So let me ask you a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 BRYANNE HAMILL: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I don't like the devil, but let me play the devil's advocate. If youis it a culture issue or a facilities issue?

Because if it's a culture issue, it's the people who are running the place, or is it a facility issue? Is it what the place looks like? Is it what, you know, young people, the youth that re in Rikers Island have access or don't have access to? So is it how it's operated or is the fact that people already come with stereotypes about Rikers Island, or is it that the people, the same people who are running the adult unit are being transferred to the youth unit, juvenile unit and they don't come with the expertise that they need, or what is it at the end of the day?

Is it both?

BRYANNE HAMILL: I actually think it's both, and I think with respect to the facility, what the Department of Justice in general, you know, national best practices say is that it should be a smaller facility where there's smaller housing units, there's large day rooms, community spaces where the officers, custodial clinical staff can have direct supervision at all times, be able to see them at all

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 150 time and be able to sort of actively engage with the youth. The way RNDC is right now with the linear layout that's not possible. The one facility as I mentioned that you could do that is in the 800 annex where, you know, you have the bubble and you have the one officer and looking all around you can see the dorm, because you can see all the inmates that way, all the youth that way, huge day rooms, lots of classrooms, recreation. So there is one facility, but I think in terms of the culture you're talking about, and I should say I do want to commend the Commissioner and certainly Warden Perrino, I've worked closely, been out to Rikers and RNDC a lot, I am certainly starting to see a shift in culture and a culture of respect. Part of that culture should be sort of community culture where everybody buys into making it a better housing unit, and I'm hearing more and more from the young people that they like the officer who's working with them and they praise them, and you can see an interaction and an engagement that I hadn't really seen before. But I think when we talk about this pervasive culture of violence, I'm not confident that that culture can change sufficiently under the current circumstances if the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 151 facility remains the same. And I'll just--I go out to Rikers every few weeks. I was just out there last week, and I was in the second chance housing, and notwithstanding the culture shift I'm starting to see, and there was the probe team and the alarm went off, and two young men, 16, 17 year olds were taken out. I went and spoke with them, and of course they told a very different story than custodial staff was reporting, and they're reporting that they've done their punitive seg time and they're still being held in some type of administrative segregation beyond what their box times would have been. So, keep in mind, even if we eliminate punitive seg there will be other facilities or other housing units that will be instituted and from some of the youth I've spoken to, I don't get the impression that that culture shift is certainly occurring as rapidly as I think we would want to see it happen. I should also tell you that some of the staff tell me that, "Gee, Judge, the best thing you could do is get -- " and these are the officers, these are supervisory officers, "the best thing you could do for us is to get us all out of here where we could really create the model that these youth serve."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 152

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So what I hear, and I'll turn it back to the Chair because I know we have a lot of people who want to testify, but what I hear is basically is implementing the Missouri model or something that resemble the Missouri model, and I also hear that this will take——I mean, if we're going to do this right, if we were to do it on those basis, that it would take some time for it to happen, and realistically it's not going to happen soon.

BRYANNE HAMILL: And I'd like to comment on that. You know, in preparing for this, I wanted to see what other board member or staff had ever testified before the City Council, and in fact, I learned that a former Executive Director Richard Wolfe testified back in 1986 to very much the same problems that we're seeing now. This is decades long. This is deeply entrenched, and I know this is a little cliché, but my position would be I want to be on the right side of history on this one. I think that we have an incredible opportunity with this progressive Administration, the City Council, the Commissioners, the Wardens to get this right. It's going to take time, and it's going to take money, but I think we certainly can do it.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 153

2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you much.

3 | Madam Chair?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Council Member Cabrera. Council Member Levin for questions.

much, Madam Chair. Thank you very much, Judge. I just had a couple of quick questions. So in terms of the Board of Corrections authority under the rule-making process, could the Board of Corrections essentially prohibit 16 and 17 year olds from being incarcerated on Rikers? Is that within your jurisdiction?

interesting question. We--I think there's one issue as to whether we would have the authority to do that, and since I didn't anticipate the question and consult with counsel, I don't want to respond to that, because there is an issue as to exactly what our role is, what our authority is, how do we ensure compliance, but certainly we can draft the standards, and we're in the process of doing that regarding the care, custody, correction, treatment, supervision and discipline. I think it would be more of a measure without commenting on the authority to try to

facilitate how that might happen. Certainly we've been working with the US Attorney's Office. We certainly work closely with the Departments of Health, Mental Hygiene, Correction and Department of Education within there, and I believe that everyone wants to see what's best for the youth. I don't--I don't doubt that for a moment. The question is how to accomplish it.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Because and just looking at the DOJ report there are roughly 80 recommendations.

BRYANNE HAMILL: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And, I mean, just kind of out of curiosity, I mean is—are you and the other board members and the staff looking through those recommendations and determining which ones are within your jurisdiction?

BRYANNE HAMILL: We certainly would. I can tell you, you know, I've been on the board for less than a year as of last October, and there had already been the vote to go into rule making regarding solitary confinement in light of DOJ and some other things. We're expanding that in our legislative agenda which we've announced will allow

committees on juvenile justice and fire & criminal justice services 155 us to do that. I would say it's something we're looking at, and I would say too that in talking with the US Attorney's Office, I mean, we all want to ensure that whatever we do is meaningful, lasting and enforceable, right?

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

BRYANNE HAMILL: And they've been very clear on the record about doing that. Is it the case that we should consider including those reforms that there's agreement to as rules, and politically, can we accomplish that?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sure.

BRYANNE HAMILL: So it's not an easy answer.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, and honestly, I mean, the DOJ report also speaks to constitutional violations that you referred to as well in your testimony and whether there is recourse under in the legal means of remedy in terms of lawsuits by inmates. I don't know how that might—

BRYANNE HAMILL: [interposing] So, you know, with your state and federal laws, we have lots of confer standing on lots of different lawyer groups and people to be able to bring appropriate lawsuits

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 156 1 2 in the state and federal courts, and as the US Attorney's Office is saying, they may in fact bring a 3 federal civil rights case against the city of New 4 York and the Mayor. We, the Board of Correction, 5 6 have authority to enforce and ensure compliance with 7 our standards. So questionably, how broad should the standards be to make it enforceable by the Board of 8 Correction or by any of the attorney groups that 9 bring an action citing the rules and regulations of 10 the Board of Correction as the legal authority? 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: In addition to if 13 they're bringing a federal lawsuit, you know, 14 constitutional claims as well. 15 BRYANNE HAMILL: And I'm sure all the 16 lawyers out there are probably thinking of these 17 various ways. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Judge. I appreciate it. Thank you, Madam 19 20 Chair. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Judge. 21 2.2 I know you've only been on the board for a number, a 23 short number of months. How often are you meeting with the other board members? Is it only in a public 24

setting or are you meeting with your Committee?

2	BRYANNE HAMILL: So we, we have, you
3	know, pursuant to the Open Meetings Law, there has to
4	be notice and a public board meeting before we can
5	meet. We can work in committee. We can have less than
6	five board members present at committee meetings. So
7	we have for rule-making, we have broken into two
8	committees, my committee which is adolescent and
9	young adult, and Doctor Cohen chairs the other
10	committee which is adults and focused on mental
11	illness. Adolescents are focused on mental illness
12	as well. So, we aremy committee's meeting this
13	afternoon. We meet as frequently as we deem it
14	necessary, but in terms of meeting as a board,
15	pursuant to the bi-laws, the bi-laws state that there
16	must be six public board meetings, perhaps that
17	should be considered to be revised as to whether
18	there should be more public board meetings, so we
19	can
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]
21	Right.
22	BRYANNE HAMILL: so we can
23	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I think before Bloomberg there might have been more a monthly meeting.

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 158

BRYANNE HAMILL: There was a time where it was 11 public board meetings, and there was also a time that there was a much larger board of correction. We had a staff of close to 30. We had our own general counsel. The budget was cut so we've, over the years—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] What is the size of the staff today?

about 20 right now, maybe a little bit more. We have board members are--you know, there's nine of us.

We're short three board members right now and have been so most of the year. The staff has been reduced down to about 20. We have nine field representatives, but they cover the 20 different jails or the facilities where inmates can be held. So you can just imagine logistically the difficulty in actually meeting our own mandates.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Absolutely. Well, thank you for your advocacy and your leadership, and I have no further questions. I look forward to continuing to work together to bring about real reforms, ones that are lasting.

BRYANNE HAMILL: Thank you so much.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 160

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: William, can you just fill out the committee slip? Mr. Farrell, once you're ready, please begin the testimony.

THOMAS FARRELL: First and foremost, I'd like to thank the members of the City Council and both Chairwoman Crowley and Chairman Cabrera for allowing me to submit my testimony on this topic of great importance to both the City of New York and to my members who provide care, custody and control of the nation's second largest municipal jail system. deeply apologize for not being able to deliver the testimony in person since I am away, yet I feel compelled to continue to do the work that I have been blessed to do by my organization, the Correction Officers' Benevolent Association. The COBA has sounded the alarm for many, many years in regards to the injustices that the membership, the non-uniform members and the inmates have been forced to work under at Rikers Island. We have responsibly dealt with the various challenges presented by the Board of Correction, Department of Health, the Office of the Mayor, Office of the Commissioner, and through it all we have continued to make safety and security our top priority. Some of you have criticized us by stating

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 161 publicly and anonymously in the media that we are the sole cause of problems that exist on Rikers Island. Let me assure you that we will do everything necessary to reform the Department of Correction as long as it does not jeopardize the safety and security of correction officers, civilians and inmates as well. I continue to work diligently with the Department of Correction Commissioner Joseph Ponte to ensure that real reform is achieved, which benefits both inmates and staff alike. Some members of this council have suggested that young adults should be removed from Rikers Island and placed in another location. If that happens, do you want the jail built in your community? I think the answer to that is no. The bottom line, jail is jail. Council Members have voted blindly on local legislation that continues to have detrimental impact on the uniform members of the agency, non-uniform members as well as the inmates. What do you do with an inmate that slashes another inmate or a correction officer? do not want us to put him in punitive segregation. You want us to give him a time out? That's for my granddaughter. She's committed no crime, but yet you seek to employ the same form of medial punishment to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 162 convicted murderers and rapists. That is unacceptable. I am not suggesting that an inmate who is caught smoking a cigarette be placed in punitive segregation, or that an inmate who defends him or herself against the violence that plagues this agency be placed in punitive segregation, but what I am suggesting is that the Board of Correction, Department of Mental Health and Hygiene maintain and supervise their own areas and allow Commissioner Ponte to supervise his. I believe that it is imperative that Mayor de Blasio give correction officers and the leaders of our agency the same level of respect that he gives Police Commissioner William Bratton and all the others in the New York City Police Department. The Department of Health continues to mislead the public knowing full well that this is their responsibility to provide psychological and medical treatment for those individuals that need it the most and communities throughout the five boroughs. But like I have said in the past, Rikers Island has become the new dumping ground for the City of New York. We are now responsible for the homeless. We are no responsible for the mentally We are now responsible for the innocent that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 163 are incarcerated. We are now responsible for those who have committed horrendous crimes in our community. Like I have said in the past, I Norman Seabrook, President of COBA will do everything I can to correct the injustices and the neglect that have plagued us for many years, but I will not take responsibility for the decisions that the council makes that strips the men and women of the is organization of their authority or jeopardizes their safety. There has been in the last three months hundreds of assault against correction officers have occurred in the Department of Correction, yet all of you with the exception of a few would choose to vote overwhelmingly to change polices and procedures while you have never walked in our shoes. Let me assure you that I will continue to work with Commissioner Ponte and the members of this agency to implement reforms that benefit my members and their families. Finally, Department of Justice has completed a lengthy report in regards to the New York City Department of Correction, and has found faults within the agency. I personally met with United States Attorney Preet Bharara in his office with members of his staff and reassured them that we will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 164 do all that we can as correction officers to make the appropriate changes that should have been made many years ago. I apologize once again for not being here today, but I thank you for the opportunity to submit my testimony. There was a question that was brought up earlier about violence on the island, and I just want to give you a couple of numbers that just occurred for the month of September only. hundred and seven correction officers were sent to the emergency room. Eighty-two correction officers and once civilian were splashed with blood, urine, or feces, and there were 269 inmate fights. Now, that's just not adolescents. We can't just worry about adolescents and the mentally ill. There's 13,000 inmates, 9,000 correction officers and thousands and thousands of civilians that are working on that island. We've come to this council many, many times, many questions have been asked, but until Council Member Dromm's friend got arrested and was, you know, placed in punitive segregation, now this has hit the forefront. It's a shame. Things should have been talked about, and things should have been done many years before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Mr.

Farrell for your testimony on behalf of Mr. Norman Seabrook who could not be here today. First, I am glad and do very much appreciate that your president of the union has met with the Department of Justice and is committing to working together with the DOJ in regards to their recommended reforms. He's not here, but ultimately it's telling me that Mr. Seabrook understands that there are inherent problems that need to be fixed that have been identified within the DOJ report. Now, you've brought a host of concerns that as Chair I have not neglected, I have addressed year after year. Our hearing today is solely about the DOJ's report. You know, I understand that your officers are getting hurt and that Rikers Island needs to be safe for all who work on Rikers Island, including the clinical staff or the staff do not wear a uniform. I know that you have 9,000 officers within the ranks, Correction Officer, Captain, Deputy Warden, Warden, and I have a tremendous amount of respect for the work that your officers do. And like the NYPD or like any other uniform, when you have 9,000 you're not quaranteed that all 9,000 are going to uphold the respect that comes with their badge,

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 166 and there's been 16 that have been identified in the DOJ report. Even your president has admitted that there are some correction officers that abuse their use of force, and what we want to do as a council is assure that those correction officers that have been identified that give your union a bad name are taken out of facilities, that once somebody uses a level of force that is unacceptable, that that is identified and corrected, and that it's not a culture within the Department. I know for many of your officers your hands are tied, that the ratio of staffing to inmate is far too great, that you do not receive the training, nor have you received the training to deal with the populations, be it--whether it is adolescent or mental health, and we're committing to you and your membership that there will be more training. We will see to it that DOC says that they're going to train, and we're going to hold them accountable. have no tolerance for stabbings, slashings or any incidents of violence. We want to end violence. want to make Rikers Island safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THOMAS FARRELL: No, we get that. But that's 16. There's 700 officers. So, 16, that's less than what, 0.1 percent. So--

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 167 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 3 Right, no, I mean--4 THOMAS FARRELL: It's--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 5 6 not saying your Department is--7 THOMAS FARRELL: [interposing] Oh, no, no, and a lot of blame needs to be placed on the 8 prosecutors, the judges, Department of Mental Health, 9 Department of Education. It's a collective thing. 10 All we have is care, custody and control. We need--11 12 the cameras, they talk about putting cameras up. 13 Cameras record crimes. They don't prevent it. It's going to record if I beat somebody up, if you beat 14 15 somebody up. It's not going to stop it. What's going 16 to stop it is better facilities. The newest facility 17 other than that extension they're talking about is 24 18 years old. That's the newest facility on Rikers Island. We're understaffed. Everybody knows that. 19 20 We've been saying this for years. 21 WILLIAM INMAN: There's no deterrent--2.2 excuse me. There's no deterrent when an inmate 23 assaults a civilian or even a officer, or you come to facility, because what happens is the Judge runs it--24

the judge runs the census concurrently. Captain

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 168
 1
    William Inman, First Vice President for the
 2
 3
     Corrections Captain's Unit. I represent the
     Captains, the Supervisors, and there's no deterrent
 4
    because they know when they go to court the census
 5
 6
     [sic] is going to run concurrently whoever they have.
 7
     So it's no deterrent. They're going to keep.
     they assault you today. Tomorrow, the judge
 8
     sentence, other sentence 10 years, it runs with that.
 9
     It's not a separate, you do your 10 and then you do
10
     another three years. It runs together. It has to
11
12
     start there. And with this new Commissioner--
13
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]
     are problems with the District Attorney's Office.
14
15
                WILLIAM INMAN: Yeah, and with this new
     Commissioner--
16
17
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] I
18
     supported a measure to--
                WILLIAM INMAN: [interposing] Yes, you
19
20
     did.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: remove the
21
2.2
    jurisdiction--
23
                WILLIAM INMAN: [interposing] You did.
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yes, and a number
24
     of my colleagues have supported. The state
```

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 169 1 2 legislature's supported moving Rikers Island--also we're waiting for--out of the jurisdiction of the 3 4 Bronx into Queens County or whether it be a special prosecutor where we are hopeful, because not only the 5 correction officers who've been accused of 6 infractions or have been accused of abusing force, inmates as well, are not held accountable on, within 8 the ranks of the Bronx District Attorney's Office. 9 WILLIAM INMAN: And in think not--10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] But 11 12 that's aside and apart what we're hearing today. 13 WILLIAM INMAN: Not only that, me as a 14 civilian have more rights in the street as a 15 Correction Supervisor in the jail because the charge 16 would be more severe in the street. If I were to go 17 to a precinct and do a 61, in the street file a 18 police report. So it's a hard--it's hard--CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 19 20 Right, I understand that. I understand. 21 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: If I may? Councilman 2.2 Crowley, I've been coming to these City Council 23 hearings for the last 20 years in dealing with corrections. There's something that everybody needs 24

to understand. The correction officers are not

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 170 opposed to what we're hearing from the Commissioner, from the current Chief. We don't agree with everything, but we're not opposed to advance. said something about training, and the problem is, is that it goes a little bit beyond training. beyond training, and this is even dealing with the adolescents. As I sat here today I'm listening to, you know, people saying to the Commissioner, "Why can't you put them in Brooklyn House? Why can't you put them in Queens? Why can't you?" And I'm sitting here and I'm saying, obviously the people who are asking these questions are not familiar with the infrastructure of Brooklyn House, Queens House and the other places off of Rikers Islands that they're suggesting that these adolescents be placed in. Tommy said, the last jail built was 24 years. Currently, and this is just my opinion, RNDC's the best place for them. It's a huge building. big building. It needs to be taken care of, the structure, the infrastructure need, but as far as some place for them, Brooklyn House don't fit. Queens House don't fit. Places that have tiers where a 16 year old will throw another 16 year old over a

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 171 1 2 tier, I don't think we need to have them in those type of facilities to be very honest with you. 3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: In a facility such 4 as the Brooklyn House of Detention, there's fewer 5 incidents of crime and the inmates there currently 6 are more violent--THOMAS FARRELL: No, the inmates that are 8 there are lower classification. That's--9 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 10 That's not what I was told. Based on the most recent 11 statistics from the DOC--12 13 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Which is

reason why--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] It contradicts what you're' saying.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Which is the reason why when you take these tours, call me, so we can give you what it really is so that you don't have to sit down reading statistics as to what something said about something.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: When you have incidents of violence occurring and you can limit it to 20 inmates per floor or per section--

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 172 1 2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] But now, 3 what do you do as far classroom space is concerned? What do you do as far as what the educators is going 4 to need as far as to facilitate teaching. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You're only 7 talking--ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: The Brooklyn House 8 doesn't fit. 9 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You're talking about 10 11 250--12 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: It doesn't matter, it still doesn't fit. You don't have the type of room. 13 You don't have the type of space. You don't -- it just 14 15 doesn't exist. I'm sorry, it just doesn't exist. 16 I've been a correction officer for 27 years. It does 17 not exist. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I visited the 18 facilities yesterday--19 20 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] You were there yesterday--21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] And 23 during recreational time, the youth there chose to be playing video games or sitting at a table rather than 24 playing basketball or--

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 173

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] What
I'm saying to you is--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:} \quad \mbox{utilizing the big} \\ \mbox{facilities.}$ 

WILLIAM INMAN: In the streets.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: What is being proposed for the adolescents as far as education, as far as helping them, as far as their mental health is concerned, as far as helping them when they come back into the city, into the community's concerned, Brooklyn House does not fit the bill and neither does Queens. RNDC fit the bill. The biggest problem that we're having in instituting a lot of the things that the Commissioner and the Chief is trying to do is all these oversight committees. Everybody seems to know more about correction than the correction officer, and that's the biggest problem that we're having. Everybody's talking to everybody, except no one's talking to the correction officer, and regardless of what anyone in this room says, it is I who's with this inmate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. I don't visit him like you guys once every three weeks, or I don't visit every two weeks. I'm there with the inmate every single day, when he gets his visit, I'm

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 174 with him. When he gets his medication, when he's sick, we're the ones that's with him. You need to talk to us if you really, really are interested in advancing the cause of what everybody's saying as far as adolescents and improving the jail system. And then I'm going to stop, after this. Deal with the judiciary system. They're the ones that's putting these "kids" as you guys call them, babies, children's, adolescents in jail. Why are you here grilling the Department of Correction whose only responsible because somebody sent them to us, as opposed to grilling the people and saying, "Why are you sending an inmate to jail with a 250 dollar bail because he jumped a turn style in the train station?" Those are the people that need to be grilled. You need to call the Assistant District Attorney and the District Attorneys and make them explain why the veteran Jerome Murdoch who died in jail, why would you give him a 2,500 dollar bail when he was arrested for sleeping trying to find some place to sleep. problem is you guys, every time something goes wrong, it's correction officer. All I've heard here today with the exception of Cabrera, I believe that's your name, the only man that asked about what is the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 175	
alternative to when the inmates assault correction	
officers. He's the only one sitting up there who	
actually asked the question. What's next? What's	
the alternative? After December 31st when we no	
longer have solitary confinement, which we don't have	
Rikers Island, but when we no longer have the option	
of punitive seg, what are we going to do with the	
inmate that you're aware of named John Doe in JRVC	
who does nothing but assault other inmates and	
assault officers	
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] When	
the Commissioner	
ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] What are	
we going to do?	
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: testified, the	
Commissioner said that a 16 or 17 year old currently	
in solitary confinement is in solitary confinement	
for 23 hours out of the day.	
ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Liz, we've sat down	
with the Board	
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What we'll change is	
that	

[cross-talk]

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 176
 1
 2
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] of
 3
    Corrections, and we've sat down--
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Will
 4
    still be confined--
 5
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: with this Council as
 6
 7
    well to say--
 8
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: and punished, but it
 9
    will be--
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] What
10
     Tommy said from the beginning--
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] 23
13
    hours of day.
14
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: What Tommy said from
15
    the beginning, we're not interested--
16
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: You're being
17
    disres--
18
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: in having inmates
    locked in for 23 hours a day. Everything for us
19
20
     depends on what they did. So for smoking a cigarette
     or talking back, we're not interested in that. But
21
    the deal is--
2.2
23
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] At
    the end of the day--
24
```

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 177
 1
 2
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] what's
 3
     the alternative? What do you guys have for us--
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] We
 4
     understand that the vast majority--
 5
 6
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] after
 7
     January 1<sup>st</sup>--
 8
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: of your officers--
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] when
 9
     this is done?
10
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] want
11
     Rikers Island to be safe.
12
13
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely.
14
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Safe for the
15
     inmates.
16
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely.
17
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Safe for the staff,
18
     and that DOC is tasked with making sure, ensuring all
     New Yorkers that the people who are on Rikers Island
19
20
     will be safe, and for your information, when you
     compare RNDC to any other facility on Rikers Island
21
2.2
     or off, the level of violence is out of control.
23
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Liz, there's nothing
24
     that you can tell me--
```

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 178
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] So I
 3
     stand by my suggestion--
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] about
 4
    jail, just like there's nothing that I'm going to sit
 5
 6
    here--
 7
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] to
    move the 16 and 17 year olds--
 8
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] and tell
 9
10
    you about this City Council.
11
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: off the island.
12
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Jail is what I do for
13
     a living.
               This is what we do for a living.
14
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We go to Council
15
    Member Cabrera for questions.
16
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Being the council is
17
     what you do for a living. It's not going to work.
18
     Sorry.
                                       Thank you, Madam
19
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:
     Chair. That was fun. So, let me--well, I have a few
20
     questions. Thank you for coming. We do want to hear
21
2.2
     all sides. Look, if, and let me just say this, I
23
    hope that you're not getting the impression that
    we're asking correctional officers to do the mental
24
```

health piece. I think that -- I think part of the

debate as I'm listening, this been a learning experience for mem, how do we bring the best from the mental health community from what advocates are saying from correctional officers who are there like you said 24/7, how do we come with a plan that is safe for everyone, that we have a win? For me, it's about the win, the win for the youth and in doing away where we have a win for everybody at the end of the day. So my question to you is, let's say there was a way to do it outside of Rikers Island. I'm still—I'll be honest with you, I'm staying here for the whole thing. I want to hear where we will put this youth and which community we want to take them too.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Brooklyn House still don't want the Brooklyn House of Detention on Atlantic Avenue.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But let's say there was a way. Let's say there was a way to do it like the Missouri model. We have in place already, you know, the homes, some homes that are secure. If there was a way to do that and the communities were open to it, and you will have the results that they

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 180

2 had in Missouri and in Maine, what will be wrong

3 about that? What will be the negative about that?

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: What would be the negative about removing them from Rikers?

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes. And if you have them in a secure--

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: There's no negative about moving them from Rikers.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

negative is if you're talking about moving them from Rikers and putting them in some other facility that we have. Part of the biggest problem, I've visited the jails in San Francisco. I've visited the jails in California. I've visited the jails in Detroit and Chicago. I've been to Philadelphia. I've been to North Carolina, South Carolina. What we have in New York City is ridiculous. When you go to these other places and you look at the infrastructure, their jails, and their jails that's built to do the things that you want the Department of Corrections to do. Problem is, Mr. Cabrera, we don't have that here.

Now, in all honesty, since Commissioner Ponte came

2.2

in, since Chief Clem [sic] came in, things are slowly turning around to be very honest with you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

around. Warden Perrino, and I'm not saying this because I'm Correction, he's a good Warden. The Assistant Commissioner that's working with him, they're trying to do things. The problem is you guys want—you guys are like the want it in an instant generation. You want to put it in the microwave and have popcorn in a minute and a half, and it's not going to happen.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And if I may, I think I mentioned that to the judge, that I don't-- and this is New York, we like things tomorrow, you know, yesterday for that matter. I don't foresee--to do this thing right, it's going to take time. At the same time there's the fear always that people say they're going to do something--

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: and then, so in the future and we're sitting here five years later and nothing has been done.

2.2

2	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Part of the problem,
3	and I don't mean to cut you off, this is part of the
4	problem, today I feel like this council is calling
5	for the head of Chief Clem. That's part of the
6	problem. That's part of the problem. Every time we
7	turn around when somebodylet's just say I make a
8	mistake, one mistake in my 30 year career, but I'm a
9	hell of a goddamn manager, now you want to get rid or
10	me. Now, I got to bring somebody else in. Now, I
11	got to bring in another Commissioner. The problem is
12	we get nothing done.
13	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Mr. Husamudeen
14	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: In five years we've
15	seen three Commissioners.
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me ask you
17	another question. You mentioned
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing]
19	Cabrera, let

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] If I may? This is about one of the most exciting hearings we had all year long. I'm really excited about this.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: It's really interesting when you have the union complementing the

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 183

Chief of the Department who did not have the backbone to appear today before the committee.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Everybody's entitled to a vacation.

WILLIAM INMAN: Well, at least he has the backbone to back his staff there and we've worked with Chief Gumus for a long time. He stepped up. He had stepped up--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] We would have heard that if he came here today.

WILLIAM INMAN: Since he's been there,
he's made things better. The staff feel safer, okay?
And he's a man of his word. I've known him for the
last 30 years. He's a man of his word, and I respect
the Commissioner for making him and standing by him.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: And then we got to deal with what you guys are dealing with the press. Here it is we got a facility called RNDC. We have a Chief named Clems, and the Department of Justice does a study 2011, 2012, 20--so out of three years of the adolescents that they studied, he sat in the seat for seven months. We're not even discussing the other 23 months.

2.2

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 184
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] I
 3
    have not--
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: And the deal is--
 4
 5
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] I
    have not brought out that question. So I have not
 6
 7
    brought out that issue. I left it to my colleagues,
     the more knowledgeable on that piece. I'm dealing
 8
     really with the areas that I'm more common. I was
 9
     going to ask you is the 107, you mentioned 107--
10
                THOMAS FARRELL: One hundred and seven
11
12
     for the month of September.
13
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Is that, is that
14
     for the juvenile unit?
15
                THOMAS FARRELL: No, that's from the
16
     entire. That's from the entire Department.
17
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Can you give me
18
     what the juvenile--
                THOMAS FARRELL:
                                  They didn't--we couldn't
19
20
     get the numbers broken down by facility. We just
     added up every correction officer that was sent to
21
2.2
     the hospital, meaning that they couldn't get treated
23
     in the facility. They were assaulted by inmates, 107.
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: How come we can't
24
     get that by facility?
```

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 185
 1
 2
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: We have it.
 3
                [cross-talk]
 4
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: For this meeting--
 5
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] If
    you can give me that number --
 6
 7
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: We just took the total
 8
    number.
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It would be
 9
    helpful. I'd like to do a comparison.
10
11
                THOMAS FARRELL: Absolutely.
12
                ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Mr. Cabrera, one
     issue. You guys talking about moving to Brooklyn and
13
14
     Queens house and all that. These are kids like we
15
     said, right? So now you're going to wreck them on
16
     the roof and set it in the side of a yard? If they
17
     go to Brooklyn House or Queens House, they're going
18
    to be wrecked on the roof. They can't run. They
     can't get out like they do now. So you got to keep
19
20
     that option in mind also.
21
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Look, I tell you,
2.2
    and I haven't shared what would be my vision if we
23
    had unlimited amount of money. The reality is Rikers
     Island is old. It's antiquated. You know, I've
24
```

taken a couple of tours and I had said to myself,

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 186

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

"Wow, this is like, you know, I feel like we're back in the 1940's in there." And there--we need new facility. We need new state of the art facility. That requires intentiality [sic]. That requires will from the Administration, because reality, the ones in the other side of this building, they have the money to make it happen in the state as well. So, that's where we need to be knocking for that, but based on what we do have right now, and what prompted this, just so you know, is the DOJ's report, okay? It wasn't that we woke up one morning and we said, you know, let's go after you guys. It was report, you know, an independent report with 80 recommendations. I'm sure--and what I heard you say today was, you know, we see some things turn around, and I believe those things turn around because of the report that came out--

mean to interrupt you. They were turning around before the report even started.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Which is I'm glad to hear. I'm really excited to hear about that, and some of us didn't know that. Okay? And we'd like to know where we want to end up at and what is it that

we need to do. My hope is that we'd be realistic with our plans. Number two, that at the end of the day we could come up with a plan that we have seen in other states that protect correction officers, help young people. We don't want the young people coming in and out and neither do you.

2.2

THOMAS FARRELL: This needs to start in the communities.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Neither do you.

Look, I have family members who were in your shoes,

it's what they did for a living, and yet, I come from

the mental health field. I hear both sides, and I

think that there could be a marriage, and that's what

we're doing here, learning how to do it better and I

believe we could do it better.

THOMAS FARRELL: You brought up a point,
Chairwoman Crowley, about, you know, the video games
and stuff like that. There's no--nothing going on in
the community, so I look at my nephews and they're in
Long Island. They're playing sports. They're not
doing--they're not really play the video games. In
the city, my nieces and nephews that are here,
they're not going to the parks because they're
worried about the violence. They're worried about

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 188 the gangs, the gangs that are coming back, and it needs to start in the community, the boys and girls clubs, the CYO, the things that were here. I was born in Brooklyn, raised in Queens, and there were those things afforded to me, and it's unfortunate it's not there anymore. And the gang population in New York City has grown tenfold in my 26 years on this job. You had a couple of gangs. Now you have dozens and dozens of gangs. And these kids, children, are coming in as violent predators. Ninety-five percent of these inmates all of them. are not causing any problems, and the punitive segregation, reductions and things you're doing, Ydanis talked about it that there's going to be lawsuits, because the 95 percent of the inmates that don't do anything wrong, that go and they do their time and go home are going to be assaulted, and when we have no recourse against the inmates that assault not just us, but assault the inmates, the lawsuits are going to pile up on the city and it's going to be on everyone else's heads.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me ask a question, and it's my last question. How much, do you happen to know, how much it would cost for us to

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 189 have the type of unit on Rikers Island to make it happen in a way that it meets all the needs, and if you don't know, have you asked the Administration for it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

THOMAS FARRELLL: There was talk, I think at the budget times, that they were looking to build a facility and they were going to do a couple of things for mental health inmates. Honestly, I don't know. I don't know what the cost are, you know as far as labor, as far as materials and things like that, but if you were going to do, I guess, two different facilities, you'd have to come up with something to house the mental health inmates and something to house the adolescents. So you're probably talking hundreds of millions. And you know, staffing ratios are going to have to come up, and again, you know, cameras, it's great. It records everything. going to show my face and what I did, and everything else, but it's not going to--that camera is not going to come off the wall.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: And the problem that you're going to have, I'm sorry, because they've been discussing building a mental health facility on Rikers Island, the problem you're going to have is

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 190 we're going to take our mentally ill inmates, and we're going to take them there, but we already have another problem. We have a problem that's called the Department of Health, Mental Health. We have a problem, because if you're allowing all of the mental health facilities in the city to close, to be shut down, then where else are they going to go? And even if you build a jail on Rikers Island, to deal with the mentally ill inmates, you're still going to have a capacity problem. I mean, we have a problem now. We just met with some of the other unions where there's a seven--I'm told by the--there's a 700 backlog of mentally ill inmates who really are mentally ill and should be in the mentally ill units and they're not. So, like Norman said, Rikers Island has become the dumping ground.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Mr. Husamudeen-ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] And
that's the other one that y'all need to call here is
the Department of Mental Health. You need to make
them answer.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We are going to have a hearing before the end of the year on that particular population. And I do hope you come back--

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 191

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Stop them from closing the last two facilities that we have in the city.

testify at that hearing, but I thank you all for the work that you do. I know it's a incredibly difficult job, and I believe that we both have the same end goal, to reduce the number of people on the island in your care and custody and to make the time that inmates spend there a time where they don't have to worry about their physical wellbeing as well as anybody who works on the island, including officers.

guys are going to go as hard against Commissioner
Schiro who was the one that they said actually, you
know, fudged the numbers and things like that. And
what did she get? She got promoted. She's in charge
of the entire state of Connecticut I think it is. SO
I'm just wondering, I mean, if anybody, if you know,
you guys are going to go and talk to the Governor of
Connecticut and the people of Connecticut because
she's the one that caused 90 percent of these
problems; were all under her, under watch.

2.2

2 3 4 supervisors, we come to work to do a job each and 5 6

1

would like to say correction officers, the

WILLIAM INMAN: Chair, just in closing, I

every day. We don't come to brutalize, no one harm

nobody. Just like, you know, we want to go home the

7 same way we came in. So the mind set--and someone

8 called him a guard. We're not no guards. We're

correction officers. We are professionals at what we 9

10 do, and we take offense to someone calling us a

quard, okay? That's not what we are, okay? And we 11

12 should get the same respect that a cop gets out there

in the street, and even more, we don't have the gun. 13

14 We use our mind. We use IP skills. We do a lot more

than that, and start recognizing what we do.

16

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right.

17 appreciate what you do, and I know that some officers

18 even go above and beyond the call of duty and are

very much involved with changing individuals lives, 19

20 especially the young, and we've got to really make

sure that we're getting the right correction officers 21

2.2 that want to work at RNDC or with this population,

23 because let's face it, some people are better with

kids than others. 24

> THOMAS FARRELL: Absolutely.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 193 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And that's going to 3 be a goal of the committee as well. Thank you for 4 being here today. 5 THOMAS FARRELL: Thank you for the 6 opportunity to speak. 7 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Look forward to 8 more dialogue. ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: It's just one more 9 10 thing. I'm just joking [sic]. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, now we're 11 12 going to hear from a group that -- and we welcome five to come and testify on the same panel, Dave Casellas, 13 14 Bronx Defenders, William Gibney, Legal Aid Society, 15 Nancy Ginsburg, Legal Aid Society, Ron Schneider, 16 Brooklyn Defenders, and Nick Malinowski, Brooklyn 17 Defenders. 18 NICK MALINOWSKI: My name is Nick Malinowski. I'm a social worker at Brooklyn Defender 19 20 Services. As we heard earlier more than 75 percent of people on Rikers Island and in other city jails 21 2.2 are not in custody due to a conviction. They're in

According to the Criminal Justice Agency, just 12

they cannot afford to meet this cash obligation.

jail on bail sometimes as low as 250 dollars because

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 194 percent of people accused of misdemeanors are able to post bail at arraignments. Prosecutorial requests for bail and a choice to insist on cash bail when other options are legally viable is a matter of public policy, and so we've decided to place people accused of the most minor of crimes in jail solely because they have been locked out of the social and economic resources and opportunities that would otherwise enable them to post a couple hundred dollars as collateral. Every five minutes, another New Yorker is sent to Rikers Island. What happens to them there? This is one story that was collected by our jail service. In August of 2014, one of our clients, 17 years old at the time was the victim of an assault. He was further victimized by the deliberate indifference of correctional officers who allowed the assault to occur. The results of the Department of Justice CRIPA report show that the neglect and brutality exposed in this story are indeed systemic at Rikers Island, particularly in the facility that houses many of the city's youngest jailed population. Our client was in the shower when another person incarcerated in his unit entered the shower area and splashed him with a pot of scalding

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 195 liquid. He called out to staff in the adjacent hallway, "I am hurt. It's serious." At this time, the custody officer on duty advised our client to wait for a Captain. Our client was left in the shower without staff assistance for more than 45 minutes, during which time his only relief was to rinse his wounds with cold water. When a captain and Deputy Warden finally arrived on the scene their priority was not to secure medical care for our client. Instead he was aggressively questioned about the incident within earshot of others, putting his safety in even greater jeopardy. When our client was eventually brought to the medical clinic after his lengthy interrogation, he waited three hours before being triaged. He did not speak with a nurse or other medical staff during this time. In fact, he continued to be questioned about the incident by a different custody officer present at the clinic. When he was finally seen by a doctor he was diagnosed with second and third degree burns. We cannot continue to use Rikers Island as a warehouse for people awaiting trial if the city cannot provide for the safety of the people under its care. We are deeply concerned that officers who played a role in this type of abuse

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and others who work to keep secret the house of horrors that our city jails have become, have been promoted within the Department rather than face disciplinary actions or even terminations. There's no justification for jailing, let alone abusing teenagers and we need to end this practice as the first step to dismantling any aspects of the criminal justice system that are not constitutional or responsive to human rights. Thank you.

2.2

RONALD SCHNEIDER: Thank you members of the City Council for listening to my testimony today. My name is Ronald Schneider, and I am the social work supervisor of the Adolescent Representation Team at Brooklyn Defender Services. I've worked with adolescents and people living with disabilities involved in New York City's judicial system since 1998. I am one of the thousands of voice that implore you stop the disturbing level of violence inflicted upon our young people who are incarcerated on Rikers Island, often for non-violent offenses. It pains me to listen to my young clients recounting the systemic level of abuse incurred while detained on Rikers Island, like the 16 year old boy who attempted suicide on his fourth day at RNDC by ripping part of

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 197 a bed sheet to form a noose around his neck. Other adolescents removed the noose form his neck while correction officers ignored his please to go to the clinic. Once at the clinic, a mental health counselor stated that his suicide attempt was attention seeking, not that serious, as he had superficial bruising to his neck and cuts on his wrist. young person attempted suicide twice more during his eight month sentence. Another youth, an 18 year old boy who was slapped across the face and his head shoved against the cement floor and a metal gate after he questioned an officer why he had to be locked in his cell at 9:00 p.m. This was a new rule instituted across the island, but was not uniformly explained to the adolescents. He also attempted suicide four months into his sentence as he was placed in solitary confinement fighting with another And another one of the teens I represent, 17 year old boy from Trinidad and Tobago was dragged from his cell, suffered scratches and pain to his five foot ten, 130 pound frame when he refused to speak to officers who asked him about his immigration status and robberies in his neighborhood that they wanted to pin on him. Luckily, he asked for his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 198 lawyers and the four officers stopped a barrage of punches inflicted on his lower back. It is an absolute shame how the city treats its youth, especially those of color and those who are indigent. The overwhelming majority of the young people that I represent are placed in detention for non-violent offenses. For example, taking a cell phone or some other electronic device without the use of a weapon. They linger on Rikers Island awaiting trial which could take up to two years or for an alternative [sic] to incarceration program if they are fortunate, which can take anywhere up to a year, only because the families are unable to pay their bail. We pay hundreds of dollars a day, thousands of dollars per year to incarcerate a child for a device that costs less than 500 dollars. Our city cannot afford this. Our youth do not deserve this. It is common knowledge that correction officers who commit infractions while serving at other jails on Rikers Island are sent to RNDC as punishment for their offenses. outrageous. The best trained officers should serve out youth at RNDC whose impulsive nature needs special care, not abuse. RNDC officers incite our teens in ways that they would never dare with adult

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 199 detainees. Our youth are our city's future. Instead of filling out upstate prisons with our most precious resources, why don't we fill up our city and state colleges and universities with these kids? We should be educating our youth, not incarcerating them. will cost much less to educate them than arrest them, and placing obstacles on the future success because of a criminal record. Incarceration of our youth increases the risk of physical, sexual and emotional abuse which leads to behaviors that don't make our community safer. No 16, 17, or 18 year old should be detained on Rikers Island. The Department of Corrections is trained to work with adults. Sixteen, 17, and 18 year olds should be offered programs, not detention. If detention is required, they should be in juvenile detention like most other states in this country. In closing, I have hope with this new Administration. Commissioner Ponte appears to understand the issues needed to reform our city's jails. I implore all of you in power to get our children off of Rikers Island and back into their communities. We can use the money we save from not incarcerating our youth, to educate them and prepare

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice services 200 them for the bright future that they and all of us in the city deserve. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAVE CASELLAS: Good afternoon. My name is Dave Casellas, and I work as a client coordinator at the Bronx Defenders. In this role, I help Bronx Defenders clients and their families navigate and understand the Bronx Criminal Court System. I would like to thank the Council for the opportunity to testify. I am here today because I was once held in solitary confinement. For three months, I endured the most intense physical and psychological violence that I have ever experienced. There is no question in my mind that this inhumane practice has no place on Rikers Island. I hope that my testimony will spare others from the torment that I endured. I represent the voices that are never heard, the people who, as we speak today, are looking out of the windows of their cells and into the sky. I was only 20 years old when I went to the box. While incarcerated at a correctional facility in upstate New York, I was jumped by four inmates. After correction officers arrived at the scene I was brought to the sergeant's office where I was questioned about why I had been attacked. When the

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 201 officers decided they did not like my answers to their questions they began slapping me forcefully and repeatedly while I was seated in the chair with my hands in my pockets. The officers then brought me to the secure housing unit and made me press my head against the door to the unit so that I tumbled in face first as soon as the door swung open. For the next three months I spent approximately 23 hours per day confined to a small cell. My window often would not open and the air was stifling. Almost immediately I could feel the psychological toll of solitary confinement. My mind was filled with violent thoughts of hurting others and of hurting I never received enough food and I lost a lot of weight as a result. On many occasions I was unable to go outside for recreation because officers would avoid taking us to the yard. The behavior of the correction officers in the secure housing unit was the worst part of being in solitary confinement. There are no cameras in the cells, and I lived in constant fear of being attacked by the people who were supposed to protect me. On two occasions I was severely beaten by correction officers. I had bruises and marks all over my face. I have never

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

been touched that way in my life. Everyone in my housing area was beaten by correction officers, but there is no way to report these incidents without risking retaliation. Once an inmate told his mother about the abuse and officers trashed his belongings while he was at a program. Solitary confinement did not help me, and it is not helping the hundreds of people on Rikers Island who are held in extreme isolation each day. It produces only pain and abuse. As my organization, The Bronx Defenders, revealed in its report on solitary confinement, the Department of Corrections use of this practice is rampant, particularly against young people. Although the Department has pledged to end the use of solitary confinement for adolescents, it must go further. Ιt is time for an end to solitary at Rikers Island. Thank you.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 202

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

## [applause]

WILLIAM GIBNEY: I'm William Gibney, I'm the Director of the Special Litigation Unit at the Legal Aid Society. The following are a few examples of complaints about violence that our prisoner's rights unit has filed with the Department of Correction in 2013 and the first six months of 2014.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 203 There are many more examples in our written testimony. MM [sic] in RNDC suffered a fractured nose, a laceration over his lip. He was denied permission to call his family and he held his hand in the slot of his door saying he would keep it there until he was allowed to call his father. A probe team was called and pushed him on the bed where his hands were held behind his back and he was punched, kicked and kneed by the officer in his body and face. SC at RNDC, hit in the forehead with handcuffs, suffered a seven millimeter laceration on his forehead which was closed with Dermabond. Abrasion and numbness in the wrist was due to the cuffing. SC threw water a CO who responded by hitting him in the head with handcuffs. The probe team entered the cell, handcuffed SC with metal cuffs, bending his wrists to an extreme angle and banged his head against the wall. Another inmate, another adolescents at RNDC was hit by a CO with a chair in the face. He suffered a jaw fracture. Oral surgery was required at Bellevue placing a plate and six screws. He suffered an eye hemorrhage, five millimeters in diameter. He was attacked by four or five CO's after he was believed to have taken a pen

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 204 from school. He returned the pen and the CO's brought him to the classroom and punched him multiple times in the face, kicked and maced him. He was not taken to the clinic until six hours later and was not taken to Bellevue for another five hours. not a comprehensive list. There are many, many more examples in our testimony. Our primary recommendation is that adolescents need to be removed from Rikers Island and other city jails. I think Judge Hamill said it well when she said this is all about culture change, and we need a dramatic change in the location of the incarceration of these adolescents if we ever are going to have any hope of changing that culture which has been deep seeded for many, many years. The Legal Aid Society has had years of litigation on the subject of violence. We have testified before the Council many times. We now have the added recommendations of the Department of Justice. We need a dramatic change of culture in Rikers Island. One point that I just like to respond to the Commissioner on. The Commissioner said that they hope to bring the level of punishment down for adolescents so they could eliminate and reduce due process protections in the hearings. We think that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

the wrong way to go. The DOJ report reports that there is a rampant false reporting, fabricated infractions and serious cover ups. So in light of those findings, we think more rather than less due process would be appropriate at the disciplinary hearings. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Good afternoon. NANCY GINSBURG: My name is Nancy Ginsburg. I oversee the adolescent practice at the Legal Aid Society. We represent youth who are both sent to juvenile detention as juvenile offenders in the secured detention facilities overseen by ACS and we represent 16, 17, and 18 year olds who are sent to Rikers Island. And while we are encouraged that the Department of Correction and union are going to other jurisdictions to view best practices, it is our position that they can go to the Bronx and they can go to Brooklyn. They can go to our own juvenile facilities that are being run in this city, and that there is no reason why this is hard to conceptualize because we have been doing it for many years. set-ups in those facilities are the same as the setups in the facilities that they have been going all over the country to see. The juvenile system does not use solitary confinement. Juveniles, many of

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 206 whom juvenile offenders who commit their crimes are charged with committing their crimes at age 14 and 15 are often held in secure facilities in New York City through their 18<sup>th</sup> birthday. They are not--it is not have ever been necessary to put them in solitary confinement and those systems have never considered the use of a 23 hour lockdown with adolescents who are exactly the same age who committed exactly the same serious violent felonies as individuals on Rikers Island. And we have proved positive in New York City that adolescents even if they are charged with serious crimes if they are treated as human beings will act like human beings. And we have proof positive that when you take adolescents and you treat them like animals, they will respond in kind. And the adults around these children need to act like adults. And that is not what is happening right now on Rikers Island, and it is true that they need training and they need much more support, and we are incredibly encouraged by the new Administration and how they are taking this seriously, but there needs to be timelines about how and when this is going to happen. And we are seriously encouraging the council to stay on the Department and on the city to provide

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 207 proof that the things that they have promised today are happening on a timely basis. It is true that all of the problems are not going to be solved in the next six months or in the next year, or probably in the next five years, but we can't just say it's going to take a lot of time so we're not going to do anything. We have been suing the Department of Corrections for decades, and they only seem to act when there are eyes on them, and as soon as any agreement under a lawsuit sunsets, those promises disappear. So it is important that the government holds them to their promises and that they do what they have to do. Rikers Island is filled with teenagers who have suffered extreme trauma in their lives and in their communities, and many of the CO's come from those same communities and have suffered many, many of the same traumas that the kids have suffered. And we need supports for all of the people in those facilities, and the key to changing this culture is to moving them off that island.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Ms.

Ginsburg and to all that were here today to testify.

I have a few questions for a few of the people from the public and then we're going to--I'm going to let

Council Member Cabrera ask a few questions. I think we agree about a lot of the recommendations that the Department of Justice has called for and by holding DOC accountable, continuing to follow up makes absolute sense. Mr. Gibney, I believe you spoke about the current process of grievances. What would be an example of an area where we could improve upon due process?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

WILLIAM GIBNEY: We actually have a small unit that represents people who are accused of violating the rules at Rikers Island, so we're quite familiar with the way the process works. The rules right now are often just not followed. So at these hearings there's a due process right to call a witness, and when a person asks for a witness to be called with great frequency right now, the hearing officer does not call that witness. He says, you know, not available. We're going to proceed without, and it ends up being a hearing, but partially a farce hearing, because what we get is either oral or written testimony form a correction officer and that's it. And that--which is almost always believed. There's really no, what, fair hearing in that disciplinary process. The right for statements, the

right for video, the right to produce the videotape at the hearing, they're offering—with so many cameras at Rikers Island, there often are videotapes of the incident, which the person accused says, "Bring the videotape. It will show I did not do it." Another thing I think that should be considered are maybe—right now the hearing officers are correction officers. It might make sense to bring in outside people, outside admini—you know, arbitrator type people who could—to conduct these hearings in a more fair and impartial manner.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Your recommendations make absolute sense. One last question and then Council Member Cabrera. I don't know if it was Mr. Schneider, but you spoke on accounts of inmates you represented that have attempted suicide within the past four years.

 $\label{eq:ronalder} \mbox{RONALD SCHNEIDER: This is actually}$  within the past year.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yes. What--when I look at the Department of Corrections numbers for adolescent attempted suicide or suicides, bodes zeros. They're not keeping their records accurately and we're going to make sure that they're doing

committees on juvenile justice and fire & CRIMINAL justice Services 210 reportings, especially when somebody needs to see a clinician and they're not able to. So it's a serious problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RONALD SCHNEIDER: I appreciate that. It's--nothing--the--also, I don't believe any statistics that Department of Corrections stated today. Often times, in my adolescents who, usually it's about 20 percent of my caseload of about 110 kids, are detained on Rikers Island. And 50 percent of them are within, are in solitary confinement within a month of their stay there for typical adolescent behavior. And the majority of the times that they are injuring themselves, it's not reported because they are refused attention by Department of Health, by any of the officers there. They try to go to the clinic. They are refused. They will wait overnight until something more drastic happens to them, until some of the stories that you hear in the paper actually come out. They are denied a lot of care. So I believe that the incidents of violence are much higher than what was reported today, and I also know that the level of suicide ideation--

know that, I know. I believe you. And what we'll be

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] You

working on is trying to find a mechanism, probably electronic mechanism to make sure that when incidents happen they're immediately entered into some calculated system that things cannot be erased and that the public has access to those numbers, real transparency on all incidents. My last question has to do with the gentleman who was incarcerated. spoke of the time that you were in punitive segregation. You were 20 years old. Right now the focus is on adolescents and how to improve upon the time they spend on Rikers, which the Department has said that they're going to end punitive segregation as we know it. There'll still be an area for discipline. What do you think? You know, as somebody who has been incarcerated, I'm sure you've worried about your own safety in respect to other inmates, and that there are actually some inmates that prefer to be segregated just to be protected. DAVE CASELLAS: So, I've been to Rikers

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 211

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAVE CASELLAS: So, I've been to Rikers

Island and I never been in solitary in Rikers Island,

but what I can say is I understand that the

correction officers have a very tough job. I really

do understand that, but then there's lack of cameras,

you know, and there are officers that brag about it.

I mean, from the time I was there back in 2011. I feel like there should be more cameras put around. Also, I don't care how bad the inmate is, you should never, you know, put your hands on anybody. That's the part of the profession, you're not supposed to put your hands on anybody. What I think they should do is, to be honest, it's a tough decision to make. You know, it's a lot going on, but I think the first thing is cameras, even though it won't be able to prevent any incidents to happen, but at least people will have on both sides a defense, at least.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: How do you punish somebody who acts out? You can't put them in punitive segregation, where do you put them? How do you change punitive segregation as we know it so that correction officers can hold inmates accountable for their actions?

DAVE CASELLAS: I feel like respect is needed to be able to deal with those type of situation, because if the inmate has no respect for the officer, nothing's really going to change.

Officers never give any respect at all to inmates. So I feel like that's a big part of that. And I can't really say.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 213 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, I appreciate 3 you being here today and answering the questions. 4 Council Member Cabrera has questions. 5 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. 6 Do you think--I have several questions. Do you 7 think, and this goes for everyone, do you think that part of the reason the culture is the way it is is 8 because this is the way correction officers feel that 9 they will have control over the inmates, so we don't 10 have pandemonium at Rikers? 11 12 WILLIAM GIBNEY: I think it is the trad--CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] 13 That's what I hear from--that's what I'm really 14 15 hearing from--16 WILLIAM GIBNEY: I think it is the 17 traditional way of maintaining control at Rikers. 18 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. WILLIAM GIBNEY: So I--there very much 19 20 are control elements to it. A part of that culture, though, is also that the officers who have used 21 2.2 violence in the past have been promoted. So, the 23 culture is not just at the correction officers level. 24 It is through the hierarchy and there is not

perception that officers who engage in this behavior

committees on Juvenile Justice and fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 214 should be punished really in any effective way. I think we heard of punishment for the use of force about was it four days vacation time or something like that was the punishment for somebody who got found guilty. So I think there are many aspects to the culture throughout the system that does seek to use this as a way of maintaining control. There are other ways, other effective—

2.2

what are those ways? Because that's what I, you know, I had written the same question, and to--and I've been asking this question. I'm sure you heard me mention this earlier. What kind of discipline? I mean, what are the leverages that--what leverage can correction officers have? If two kids get in fight, for example, or there was a weapon used, somebody got stabbed, what do we do at that point? I mean, and if they know it's only going to be two hours time out or something like that, alright, I'll take my two hours, you know. A young person could have that kind of mentality. So what do we do?

NANCY GINSBURG: So, I actually think one of the benefits, one of the many benefits of the DOJ report is that DOC has been looking at other systems

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 215 that house juveniles, and there are many systems that are in use throughout the country and in New York State. They--when kids believe that they have something to earn and something to lose, they are less likely to engage in negative behavior. So if kids are held in a system where they are rewarded for good behavior, they often don't want to lose those rewards, and so that system actually changes much of the behavior on the front end, and then you do need to respond, but often you can use OCFS, which is the upstate facility for juveniles. ACS and DYFJ and the secure facilities in a number of other systems around the country use room confinement. There has to be review of room confinement. So it has to go up to the, up various levels of administrative review and it needs to be approved. It can only be for certain periods of time. If the person has mental illness, it has to be reviewed by people within that field to make sure that the child is protected. Good time-all different things can be taken away. So if kids are getting points for certain things, if they have access to certain things in commissary that can be taken away from them, there are many--just the same way that you control, you set up systems for your own

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committees on Juvenile Justice and fire & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 216 children in your house, you can do it for children who are kept in these facilities. The problem historically is that we have treated these children as if they are adults, even though that is just a fallacy, and I think there is recognition that now if—once you start treating children as children and you give them the rubric that they actually respond to, and that's developmentally appropriate for them, you A, reduce violence to begin with, and they respond better to those responses that are developmentally appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So more of a behavior modification approach using reward and taking away. That sounds good. So let me ask a question, at any of these level conversations taking place with the Commissioner in terms of what's next, such as suggestions that you have made? Has anybody—

NANCY GINSBURG: [interposing] It's our information that the DOC is consulting with national experts in the juvenile justice field.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But they have not reached out to any of you?

2.2

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 217

2.2

NANCY GINSBURG: They have reached out to a number of advocates, yes they have.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. And these suggestions such as the ones that you have presented have been given to them?

NANCY GINSBURG: They have been given to them. It would be helpful to us if the Council could hold them to some type of reporting so that they were felt like they needed to come up with a plan on a timely basis.

Willing to help to that end. My other question was what is it that—you mentioned transferring the youth to go to Brooklyn or to go to the Bronx facilities there. What is it that is not happening or should be happening at Rikers juvenile unit that is happening in the other ones, and can we duplicate that in the island?

RONALD SCHNEIDER: If I may? What I can say that it is common--I'm at RNDC and OBCC where the 18 year olds are going now. On a weekly or--weekly basis, basically, and it is common knowledge amongst all the officers there, and this has been systemic over the past 10 years, that if they have an

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 218 infraction, if there's an officer commits some offense, they are placed at RNDC as punishment. is--hopefully that's changing under Ponte, Commissioner Ponte, but it's common practice that nobody wants, none of the officers there want to work at RNDC because kids are animals, they're the worst behaved. They are not the worst behaved. They are impulsive teenagers according to their brain development. There are a lot of things that happen in the community. Like if you go to the community jails, their families have a much easier way to visit them. So it--my best behaved kids there are visited often by their family members. Rightfully so, I mean, I have six foster kids of my own. I have never visited them when they are on Rikers Island, because I know that the way that the families get treated that way. Fortunately, as an advocate I'm able to visit them other ways, but I tried once to visit one of my kids at RNDC and it was the most demeaning experience I've ever experienced in my life. So if you put them at a facility on Brooklyn House of Detention or in the Bronx, they, family members do state that they are treated in a less volatile way than they are on Rikers Island when they visit their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 219

2 family members. I also feel like Nancy was saying

3 about we could take the recommendations of ACS--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] But can that change?

RONALD SCHNEIDER: Can that--

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Seed that can't-that approach change? So, I know it's harder to get
to Rikers.

RONALD SCHNEIDER: Sure.

You have to take the whole bus and so forth. I've gone as a citizen. You know, I went as a pastor and visited. It's a long process, and it's not a fun process. I agree with you, but if that process were to change, and the whole accessibility factor were to be changed and the other things that you're talking—so maybe what I'm hearing is that we've gotten to the point of no return, that you don't—it is that bad that it cannot change.

RONALD SCHNEIDER: I mean, it's systemic.

I mean, it's going to take years, but I personally

don't think that these kids have years to wait

anymore. The damage that is done to kids at RNDC is

lifelong. They come into the facility with major

trauma, major trauma. The abuse that they receive on Rikers Island may have been a continuation, abuse that they received before, or maybe some of them haven't been abused before, but they are systemically abused on Rikers Island. Like I said in my testimony, the majority of the kids are there for stealing an electronic device that costs less than 500 dollars. There is some other way that we can treat these kids in New York City than incarcerating them. Unfortunately, their families don't have the money to pay the 2,500 dollars or less bail, and it's unfortunate.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So you're suggesting that we shouldn't have bail or?

RONALD SCHNEIDER: I'm suggesting there needs to be bail reform, that there is—somebody, and maybe Nick could speak better about that. But there is no—there is no—there is nothing that I see on Rikers Island that these kids deserve for stealing a 500 dollar device. There is community service that could be done. There are internship. There is alternative to incarcerations that I get these kids in. Why can't that be offered to them as their sentence, like from arraignments? Why can't say they

```
COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 221
 1
 2
     say, "Alright, you stole this, you're going to Exalt
     [sic] internship, or you go to Fortune Society for an
 3
     internship directly instead of being incarcerated."
 4
 5
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Because it's not--
 6
     they haven't been sentenced yet, so--
 7
                RONALD SCHNEIDER: [interposing]
 8
    pre-sentencing. This is pre-sentence. You kwon,
    many of the kids that are on Rikers Island, their
 9
     ultimate sentence is time served, or their ultimate
10
     sentence is less than the time that they're serving
11
12
     waiting for their sentence.
13
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm curious to know
14
     what percentage of youth are ultimately found guilty
15
     that go to Rikers?
16
                RONALD SCHNEIDER: Well, guilt is a
17
     relative term. Many of our--
18
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]
                                                      Or
     settle out of courts. You know, I get it.
19
20
                RONALD SCHNEIDER:
                                   Yeah, sure.
                                       Settle.
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:
21
2.2
                NICK MALINOWSKI: Of our group that's
23
    between 13 and 17, at the end of their sentence when
     the disposition comes down, only about a third of
24
```

them will have jail or prison as part of the

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 222 1 2 sentence. So for two-thirds of them, the only time that they will spend in a facility in New York City 3 is when they're waiting for their court to happen. So 4 either they get time served, their case is dismissed, 5 ACD, alternative to incarceration, that kind of 6 7 thing. I think it--CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] 8 I'm sorry. So what, the -- the two-third includes 9 people who were found also guilty, but they already 10 11 served the time? 12 NICK MALINOWSKI: Or maybe they might have pled guilty to something that the final 13 punishment was not jail or prison. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. 16 NICK MALINOWSKI: So maybe they pled guilty to disorderly conduct and were released on 17 18 that day. WILLIAM GIBNEY: A note on bail, the 19 20 Washington, D.C. operates a system where the concept of cash bail has been eliminated for the vast 21 2.2 majority, something like 85, 90 percent of the cases.

And if you think about it, what does the -- who does

Two kids, one with money and one without money who

the requirement of a cash bail discriminated against?

23

24

both commit the same act. The kid without money is the kid who's going to be incarcerated. The kid money is going to be free. The kid without money is in jail with all the pressures to plead guilty just to get out of Rikers Island. The kid without bail can fight his case much more fairly just because he's out. So I think bail reform is a vital recommendation as part of this problem.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, thank you so much, and I commend you all for the work that you do. Sometimes you might be the only person and the only organization that these young people actually feel that they have some hope, and my heart breaks to hear, you know, when I hear about young people committing suicide, because they don't see, or attempting or just, you know, contemplating. It's just a sense of hopelessness that my life is not going to get better. So, I commend you for what you do. Please continue what you're doing because I believe it's making a difference. And I see a young man over here who's a model of that. Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Council Member Cabrera. I want to thank our panel for being here and your advocacy. And I'd like to now call the

second panel from the public, which would include representatives from the Fortune Society, representatives from CASES, which includes Center for Court Innovation. We have Chris Walter, Chris Watler, Barry Campbell from Fortune Society, Amicia Hoda [sp?] from Fortune Society, Jerry Masinae [sp?], Fortune Society, Rukia Lumbar--Lumumba from CASES.

[off mic]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: If you could testify in not the order that I called you up, but just in the order that you're sitting, and the gentleman with the tie, looks like you're prepared to begin right away. So, if you could identify yourself for the record and then begin your testimony. Please do that.

CHRIS WATLER: Great. My name is Chris
Watler. I want to thank Chairperson Crowley,
Chairperson Cabrera and members of the committee for
allowing me to speak. I'm the Project Director of
the Harlem Community Justice Center, a project of the
Center for Court Innovation. Under the leadership of
Greg Burman [sp?] and with the active support of the
City Council we are committed to conceiving, planning
and implementing meaningful alternatives to

incarceration, and we are committed to engaging New Yorkers in taking care of their communities and preventing crime before it happens. We're doing this out of courthouses in the Bronx and Brooklyn, and we are doing this in neighborhoods like Jamaica and Red Hook and Brownsville and the community I represent, New York City Criminal and Family Courts are overwhelmed by high case loads, many driven by individuals with serious problems like drugs, mental illness and homelessness. Conventional courts typically approach cases by tackling only the legal issues, failing to adequately address the underlying problems that lead people into the court system in the first place. Those who are incarcerated, either pending trial or a sentence rarely receive services that address these underlying problems. contrary, confinement all too often contributes to trauma, behavioral health problems, unemployment, housing instability and family dysfunction. As a consequence, many of the persons committed to our juvenile correctional facilities, adult jails and prisons are likely to return home far worse off than when they were initially confined, and recidivism rates are startling high. The issues are highlighted

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 225

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 226 in Department of Justice report on conditions at Rikers. Courts and justice systems, stakeholders must identify safer, less costly and more effective approached to addressing the challenges presented by the people caught up in the justice system. particular need among the justice involved population is for trauma informed services. The vast majority of youth and adults in the system have expressed some form, have experienced some form of trauma. prevalence of trauma is increasingly a topic of concern for us at the Center for Court Innovation. Each year we're providing direct services to tens of thousands of justice involved individuals in New York City. Some center programs have reported that up to 70 percent of our clients have had exposure to significant violence, loss or trauma. Recognizing this we have sought to expand trauma, focus services that are age appropriate and informed by extensive research on adolescent and young adult development. For example, the center runs alternative to detention programs in Queens and Staten Island, which combine youth development programming with supervision and court monitoring for young people with cases pending in Family Court. These projects also save tax payers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 227 The average cost of detention is 651 dollars. dollars per youth per day. While the center's ATD programs operate at a fraction of that cost and produce better results. A rigorous kwazi experimental evaluation of the center's mental health services for participants in the Queens Alternative to Detention Program showed a significant reduction in reoffending, including felony offenses, compared to young people in a similar ATD program whose mental health needs were not addressed. One of the truths about the criminal justice system that really makes its way into the newspapers is that three out of four cases in New York City are misdemeanors, a total of more than 235,000 cases in 2012. With Brooklyn Justice Initiative launched in 2013, the Center for Court Innovation is providing an expanded array of options for judges in Kings County Criminal Court in Brooklyn. Brooklyn Justice Initiatives includes a supervised release program which replaces pre-trial detention with vigorous monitoring and links to voluntary services to ensure misdemeanor defendants return to court while avoiding the negative impact of detention. The center operates alternative to incarceration programs in Brooklyn and the Bronx that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 228 links low level offenders to community services and social services. The city of New York credited our Bronx program with reducing the use of jail by more than a third from misdemeanor offenders. Independent evaluators documented that our Red Hook Community Justice center reduced a number of defendants receiving jail sentence, and has also reduced reoffending. Significantly, the research has documented that Red Hook achieved these goals by changing the way the justice system treated individual defendants. Put simply, if you treat people with dignity and respect, you can change the way that they perceive the system and encourage them to be law abiding citizens. Finally, I would be remiss if I didn't spend just a second on the program that I run, the Harlem Community Justice Center. do a range of different work with justice involved populations in Harlem, including an innovative reentry court that is documented to reduce reoffending by 19 percent. We also operate the Harlem Justice Court intensive program for justice involved young people 18 to 24 seeking employment, education services, and meaningful opportunities to serve their community. I will just end by saying it was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

interesting sitting here all day listening to the testimony and the questions. The thing that I would like to leave you all with is that we have a great community here in New York City of nonprofits, committed citizens in neighborhoods, committed people in government who want to do things better, and we actually have science on our side around what we should be doing for young people both in communities and when those moments arise where they need to spend time away from their homes. And we should be pursuing our policies based on the evidence. Thank you.

2.2

JESUS TAJADA: Good afternoon. My name is Jesus Tajada [sp?], and I'm 22 years old. I believe that correction officers need more training on how to treat youth in jail. If correction officers don't set a good example and stop the violence instead of creating it, the jail system will stay the same. The same goes for police officers. They are not protecting us, they're arresting us. They want us in jail. They want to see us in jail. They do not care about us and what we go through. I have a personal story I would like to tell that will illustrate my point. About three months ago I was

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 230 getting on the train on 145<sup>th</sup> Street on my way to a job interview. I had no fare. I asked someone for a swipe. Looking back, I realize it was not the right thing to do, but what I want to share with you connects to the treatment of young people in our neighborhood. I was followed by two police officers who demanded to see my ID. So before I handed my ID I explained that I was on my way to a job interview. I showed them proof of day, time and location. this moment, I felt really bad because I thought that an opportunity to have a better future for myself was being taken away from me. So, here's what happened. Luckily, they saw my point at the end and let me go. I wish that police officers and correction officers would take time to look at us like fellow human beings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DONOVAN WILKINSON: So good afternoon.

My name is Donovan Wilkinson and I'm from the Fortune

Society, and I'm 19 years old, and I believe that the

youth and my age and younger shouldn't be

incarcerated on Rikers Island. The correction

officers act like most of us are supposed to fail

[sic] them, rather going through the system or going

to a visit. The correctional officers are going to

create more violence for no reason. I just ask for some of you to move all people who are younger than 18 off Rikers Island. Please don't promote the officers who abuse us. Mostly, most importantly, treat as people, not like animals. I'm not--I'm sorry. Most importantly, treat us like people not animals. I could be your son.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BARRY CAMPBELL: Good afternoon. My name is Barry Campbell. I am here testifying today on behalf of the Fortune Society, but I would like to first start by thanking the various Council Members and committee for convening this important hearing to examine the treatment of adolescents in New York City jails and to review the US Department of Justice report on violence at Rikers Island. I would especially like to thank the committee for allowing the Fortune Society to testify today. I've been here since about a quarter to ten. I'm not going to go over the whole point of reading my testimony because there's copies provided for all of you. Let me just say this, I am what you call a system baby, foster care, boys home, jail, prison. I've been raised by the system. I now work at an organization where we take a look and advocate against the system.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 232 done time on Rikers Island more than I care to know. My rap sheet is over 33 pages long. A lot of them minor arrests for drug addiction. We have a chance here to invest in our future, because those kids on Rikers Island are our future, whether you choose to believe it or not. They are our future, and they will be coming home. The one question I pose to you is how would you like those young individuals to come The same way they went in or different? We need to start putting some programming on the island more than MRT and education. They need some sort of trade. They need to get some theater and arts in there. We need to give these young people hope. you go back and you take a look at the reason why they're in contact with the criminal justice system in the first place, it's because their community and their families were lacking the things that they needed. They needed to have something to occupy their time and give them home. New York City does not give our youth hope. There is not much hope for the youth across the country, but New York City has always been a trend setting city, and if we want to be trend setters, there's no better trend to take up than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 233 helping to protect our youth, and with that I'm going to leave it alone. Thank you for your time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RUKIA LUMUMBA: Good afternoon. I would just like to say thank you all, especially that last piece, but all the stories, right? My name is Rukia Lumumba, and I'm the Director of Youth Programs at CASES. Thank you Council Members for sitting here, for holding this hearing and for recognizing the need to hold another hearing in November, and hopefully many more hearings where we continue to really investigate this problem and develop solutions. I sincerely appreciate it. This is our lives, right? This is what not only we do for our work, but it's also what we go home to every day. I have a child. I have family members that have gone through incarceration. I have experienced the consequences, the collateral consequences of those incarcerated friends and family members of mine, and I work with young people on a daily basis that go through that. I also work with staff that have experienced many of the similar traumas that young people on Rikers Island have experienced. I have staff that have also had to learn and understand the importance of being trauma informed, because as a manager, as a director

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 234 of an organization it is absolutely my responsibility, that if anyone comes through our doors, if anyone is put into and placed into our custody that we provide adequate and safe services for them, services that are going to help them become better human beings in the future, and not just hold them in a cell or hold them in a place until their time is up. And so I really thank you for holding There are a number of recommendations this hearing. that I offer. Many of them are found in my report. I will not--I'm sorry, in my testimony. So I will not go over my report in detail. I look forward to coming back again for the mental health hearing, but one thing I will say is that recognizing that nearly half of teenagers on Rikers Island have mental illness, we must consider the best ways to help them understand their mental health needs, their past traumatic experience, their trauma triggers, how to control their lives, and prevent additional harms to others. This unsuitable environment of jail for teenagers with mental illness cannot enhance their trauma--only enhances their trauma symptoms and leads to a cycle of ongoing incarceration. This is what people have said over and over again today,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 235 and so with that said I'll talk about recommendations, right? Recommendations for young people one, divert young people from prison and place them in Alternative to Incarceration Programs that address underlying issues and build upon life skills. I'm sitting here at the table with Fortune, CASES, and CCI, organizations that do this. Provide youth with greater accessibility to alternative to incarceration programs, meaning place programs on Rikers where we can actually screen young people earlier in the process, in the court process. Two more and then I'll let you go. Equip judges with knowledge. Hold forums and training opportunities to explore the theories of youth development, mental health and alternative to prison solutions. And lastly, raise the age of criminal responsibility for all youth no matter the crime. The research has been proven over and over again that incarceration for young people doubles the likelihood that they will reoffend. So, in closing, thank you, and please let's get kids off of Rikers. That's what needs to happen now. We can't wait until the system changes. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 236

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Agreed. Thank you for all who've come to testify. I really don't have any question. Council agrees with a lot of the recommendations. Those who were incarcerated, thank you for being here today to share your story. I know that -- and the Council knows that alternatives to incarceration work. We invest funding each and every year in CASES, Fortune Society, Center for Court Innovation. It is our hope to grow those programs and be able to serve more and of course, a lot of today's hearing was on what we can do to get the 16 and 17 year olds off of Rikers Island. So, we're fully committed to raising the age of criminality as well. Thank you for being here and for testifying.

RUKIA LUMUMBA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Have a good day.

The next panel I'll call up includes Stephanie

Gendell, Citizens Committee for Children, Victoria

Sammartino, the Juvenile Justice Coalition, Gabrielle

Prisco, the Correction Association of New York,

Elizabeth May, Jails Action Coalition, Megan Crowe
Rothstein, New York City Jails Action Coalition,

Dakeem [sp?], Jail Action Coalition. Okay, you can

start from right to left.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 237

1

2 STEPHANIE GENDELL: Good afternoon. My 3 name is Stephanie Gendell. I'm the Associate Executive Director at Citizens Committee for 4 5 Children, a multi-issue child advocacy organization. 6 I want to first thank you for holding this hearing 7 and for the opportunity to testify and for the Council's continued commitment to this issue. 8 just going to state at the start of this testimony, 9 10 adolescents, which are children ages 16 and 17 years old should not be incarcerated on Rikers Island, 11 12 The facility was not created for children. period. The staff are not trained to work with children. 13 The 14 facility is not developmentally appropriate for 15 children and children should be treated differently 16 from adults in part because their brains are not fully developed. In fact, the Commissioner, when he 17 18 was testifying earlier said, "I don't have a lot of experience in juvenile justice." But this is 19 20 juvenile justice. These are juveniles and they need to be part of a juvenile justice system. 21 The text of 2.2 the DOJ report is jarring. I won't go on and on about 23 it, but it should be a wakeup call to everyone that 24 we need to get these young people off of Rikers 25 Island. It was upsetting to hear people from DOC

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 238 talk today about how they don't know if there is an adolescent psychiatrist on the island. They don't know how many of the young people there have mental health needs. They don't know how many of them are in special education. How can they serve this population if they don't know who the population is and what their needs are? So, we, first of all want to see the ra--we want to raise the age of criminal responsibility so all 16 and 17 year olds are treated as children as they should be. We eagerly await Governor Cuomo's Commission and the results, and we hope that if the plan turns out to be in the best interest of the children that we and the de Blasio Administration and the City Council can all be partners in advocating for its passage. meantime, though, we don't need to wait for the state to pass this law. We need to move the 16 and 17 year olds out now. We appreciate the Administration's commitment to ending the use of solitary confinement by the end of the year, but we think the better course of action would actually be to remove the young people from Rikers by the end of the year. number of children we're talking about is not huge. It's at most 300 if you include the young girls. A

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 239 substantial number of these young people are there because they could not make bail. It's important to note that in the Family Court System, we don't have bail, and so no young people in the juvenile system are incarcerated because they can't pay bail. need to get those young people out of Rikers or any other incarceration facility, and we also should look at who is really there, because they have a mental illness and not because of the alleged crimes, and serve them through the mental health system. would further decrease the number of young people who actually need to be incarcerated in a new facility to meet their needs. While DOJ recommended that the facility be part of the Department of Corrections, we urge the city to think strongly about considering an agency that's a child serving agency like the Administration for Children's Services to supervise this population. At a minimum, the staff must be trained in working with youth, but we really think there's a difference in having professionals who specialize in working with youth, overseeing the Lastly, we believe that the system at Rikers should work for all people there, and so once we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

remove 16 and 17 year olds, we still want the DOJ recommendations implemented. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: Before I begin I want to thank the members of both the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services and the Committee on Juvenile Justice for convening today's hearing to discuss this urgent issue. My name is Victoria Sammartino, and I'm testifying before you today on behalf of the Juvenile Justice Coalition's Conditions of Confinement Work Group, of which I am a member. I'm also the Executive Director of Voices Unbroken, an arts and youth development organization that makes creative writing workshops accessible to vulnerable youth and has worked extensively with young people on Rikers Island since our inception in 2000. I'm also a former educator. I use to work at the Girls High school on Rikers. The Juvenile Justice Coalition is a network of child advocacy groups, legal service providers, alternative sentencing programs, and community based organizations working to make the justice system in New York more fair and effective for young people. The Juvenile Justice Coalition is coordinated by the Correctional Association of New York, an independent nonprofit organization founded

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 241 by concerned citizens in 1844 and granted unique authority by the New York State Legislature to inspect prisons and report its findings and recommendations to the legislature, public and the The Coalition's Condition of Confinement Work press. Group advocates for improved conditions for youth who are confined, including reductions and the use of force and violence, and improvements in programs and treatment. The following statement reflects the views of our individual members, but does not necessarily reflect those of our respective organizations. We're here today because we're very concerned about the abuse of conditions of confinement for youth on Rikers Island that were described in detail by the recent Department of Justice report. The Juvenile Justice Coalition wants to express its support for widespread and urgent reform for young people who are currently on Rikers Island. In particular, we want to highlight a few key points on behalf of the coalition. One, removing youth from Department of Corrections custody. United Stated Department of Justice obviously recommends removing youth from Rikers Island. The Juvenile Justice Coalition believes that rather than

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 242 transferring youth to a different New York City Department of Correction operated jail, they should be transferred to the custody of the New York City's Youth Justice System, which is better equipped to account for the unique developmental needs of young There are two populations that were not people. mentioned in the Department of Justice report, and I have not heard mention today, which is very sad to me. One is adolescent girls and young women. the City Council to remember that the conditions that are mentioned in the report also apply to the girls and young women who are housed at the Rose M. Singer [sic] Center on Rikers Island. The Council should work to ensure that young women are safe and receive the age appropriate gender responsive services and aren't left behind as they often are. We think it's especially important for the Council to request information from the Department of Corrections about the conditions of confinement and services available for pregnant girls and young women on Rikers to include the use of solitary confinement. numbers should be reported separately. In addition, LGBTQ youth, the Juvenile Justice Coalition supports the New York City Department of Corrections decision

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 243 to open a voluntary transgendered housing unit for transgendered women. I'm going to keep going. voluntary option is not however available for 16 and 17 year old transgendered girls or transgendered boys. We'd like to see the same option extended to all lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgendered and questioning youth on Rikers Island. Extending the availability of this option is likely to increase the safety of this population who are heightened risk. The recommendations to focus on these populations, of course, comes with a reminder that we think they should be in the juvenile system rather than on Rikers. And our last recommendation has to do with a mechanism for non-Department of Correction staff to report incidents. I say this as a former--my staff on there, are there right now. The Department of Justice report recommends that, and I quote, "Non-DOC staff such as medical personnel and teachers report any use of force that they witness." And goes on to emphasize that the New York City Department of Corrections should clearly communicate this requirement to all non-DOC staff, emphasizing that failure to report such incidents or false reporting related to such incidents may lead to administrative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

or legal sanctions, but does not acknowledge the practical and logistical difficulties inherent in this recommendation. The Juvenile Justice Coalition believes that clearer and more protective mechanisms must be developed, implemented, and sufficiently monitored to make it possible for non-DOC staff who work on Rikers Island to report incidents of abuse they witness without fear of retaliation. In conclusion, on behalf of the Juvenile Justice Coalition and service providers and community members like myself who share your genuine concern for the youth on Rikers Island, I thank you for your leadership around this issue.

2.2

afternoon. My name is Gabrielle Harowitz-Prisco. I direct the Correctional Association of New York.

We're a nonprofit. We also coordinate the Juvenile Justice Coalition. I want to-- a lot has been said, and I agree with many of the recommendations that have been made by my colleagues, the other advocates and really honor the bravery of the young people who came here to speak of their stories and form their hearts. I want to talk a little bit about urgency.

SO I used to work in Family Court and I represented

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 245 kids in child abuse and neglect cases, and when kids were being abused or there was a suspicion of abuse, parents didn't have the option to develop a plan for better disciplinary measures. If a parent was overwhelmed with their child's needs and for example, lock them in the bathroom for 23 hours a day and shoved food through a hole in the bathroom door and allowed them out for only an hour a day onto a small balcony and didn't allow them to go to school, the Administration for Children Services would remove that child from that home. They would remove all children from the home. The parent would be charged with child abuse in Family Court and likely criminally prosecuted. What is happening on Rikers Island is state funded child abuse. We applaud the new Administration. We applaud the reform history of Commissioner Ponte and the attention that has been paid to this matter. However, delay of a day is not acceptable. And I'll tell you, even this morning, as I was like taking a shower and getting ready to get here and putting on lipstick, I was thinking even doing this is not on the level of response of what is happening. Tax payer funded child abuse is what we are talking about. If this was Family Court, there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 246 would be an emergency removal of those children from their home. The children on Rikers Island need to emergency removed today. Their lives are in danger That has been demonstrated by an independent today. federal Department of Justice report that confirms what has been reported in the media for years. It was shocking to hear Judge Hamill say in 1986, before your predecessors, similar testimony was given, in 1986. Not only do children need to be removed from Rikers Island, they should not be in the custody of the same agency in the same mindset that created why we are here. Children belong in a child-serving agency. This city has a child-serving agency. city has a child-serving youth justice system. not perfect. It is however, a far cry from Rikers Island. You and your colleagues have dedicated funding and time to improving the youth justice system in New York. That is where children belong. If it is a requirement of state law in order to move children into Administration of Children Services Custody, children must still be emergency removed from Rikers Island now while we wait for that longer reform. The last two things I want to say, I'm certainly mindful of the bell, is that there's been a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 247 lot of discussion about whether the report, this testimony, the Council is for officers or against officers. There's discussion bad apples. This is not about bad apples. It's not about individuals. It's about what happens when we as a society brutalize and dehumanize individuals, when we lock them in cages and in bars where we create an island literally that is so close but a vast chasm away from When we lock people in cages, what happens both to them and to the people put in charge of guarding What happens is what happened in Stanford, in the Stanford prison experiments where college students became jailors and committed egregious abuse to fellow college students that led to the kinds of protections we now have and why certain, why human subject research can no longer happen in the same way, because college students put in that position were brutalizing other college students. What is required is a fundamental transformation of the system that takes the humanity of children and adults as a given and at the starting point. Thank you. MEGAN CROWE-ROTHSTEIN: Good afternoon.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25 member of the New York City Jails Action Coalition. I

I am here as a

My name is Megan Crowe-Rothstein.

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 248 am the Director of Social Work at the Urban Justice Center's Mental Health Project. I want to second the urgency and appreciate a lot of the testimony given today, especially from people who have direct experience. And the officers spoke about officers not be included. I consistently see young people not part of the conversation about young people. really want to honor the bravery of the young people who spoke today and wanted to read two very quick statements, or brief statements, rather, by young people who've been involved in the system themselves. I've also submitted testimony by Jails Action Coalition member who's a teacher and writes about a student of hers who's currently on Rikers as well. And I urge the Council to read that. So the first brief statement is a young man, 17 year old, who is currently on Rikers Island. He writes, "I am 17 years old and I was brought to Rikers on July 16<sup>th</sup>, 2014. I'm in the one lower north at RNDC. I've been at Rikers for three months. Around the end of July I saw one large correction officer, a very muscular CO beat up an inmate because he said something disrespectful. The CO punched him, knocked him down, and broke his jaw. The inmate was sent to medical

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 249 and came back here with his jaw wired. The CO who broke his jaw still works here. I saw several, maybe eight CO's beat up another inmate until he was bleeding. They put him on a stretcher and sent him to the hospital. That CO that beat him still works here." And this is a statement by James McClain, Junior who's 20 years old. He could not be here so asked that this be read. "I remember when I first heard the words remanded without bail. My first time walking through the door with the gates, there weren't too many other doors, just cells and big gates. I felt like I was no longer a human being. I had no keys, no money, and every dream I ever had went out the window. I was a convict, an inmate who had let my family down, most my mom and sister. I couldn't protect them. I began to see things differently in jail. I had to sleep, eat, talk and walk only when I was told to do so. I remember my freedom and it was painful when I had dreams that I was home and woke up in the cell. I was locked up with thousands of young men who looked just like me. I lost trust being around them. I kept my mouth shut and only expressed my concern during visits with my mother and sister. Those visits were the best, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

yet, the hardest part of my time served. I saw sadness in their eyes, but I had to be strong for I spent 10 days in the box, and that was by far the hardest time of my life. I had no TV, no couch, just me, my mattress and a toilet. The day I got out of the box I felt like I was back home, but I was really still in jail. I never got comfortable with the jail, but I could see myself making a difference. Most of the guys I was with had just made mistakes that we all had to suffer for. One guy told me he didn't do the crime he was accused of, but was guilty because of the judge's decision. On February 27<sup>th</sup>, 2013 I came home. The world is mine. Now I got a felony removed through appeal that was long but worth it. I don't plan to go back, like society expects me to, but I won't forget where I come from, I've been here and where I'm going. Life is what you make it. You're either going to accept what people say you are or you're going to be the person they don't expect you to be. Choose one." I really encourage, as again, I appreciate this hearing very much and encourage that as much as possible we can make hearings and spaces accessible to young people and people who have suffered in these

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 250

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

systems, and I also wanted to just appreciate Council Member Cabrera's concern about family members and visitation because family members also need to heard in the spaces where it's often not accessible or comfortable for them. And thank you, Councilman Crowley.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, my name is Johnny JOHNNY PEREZ: Perez, I'm with the Jails Action Coalition, and I'm also the re-entry advocate at the Urban Justice Center with the mental health project. And I also have direct experience with, you know, spending time on Rikers Island. And I had this whole testimony ready to kind of go, but based on a lot of the questions that y'all asked today and a lot of the responses, I feel like I want to speak to a little bit of that, and just like I probably sound like I'm all over the place. But, as far as, you know, so you asked a question earlier, you know, what does a day on Rikers Island look like. You know, and being on Rikers Island I have to tell you that it is a second by second attack on your soul. You're placed in a space where it's basically like an upside kingdom where the criminals are actually wearing badges and uniforms and the people who are victims are actually

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 252 being detained in their cell. It's a place where there is no grievance process because the grievance that you write, you would hand it into the same officer who you're complaining about. It's a place where if you receive a misbehavior report you're going up, you're going to the hearing and the officer is friends with the person who wrote the ticket in the first place. They might be drinking buddies. They might even be related. It's a place where you can buy drugs from correction officers and they'll beat you up if you don't have money to pay. place where they'll put you inside of a cell with another guy and force y'all to fight while the officers stand outside of the cell and make bets on who will win. It's a place where you have to fight for your food. You have to fight to use a phone to speak to your family or your attorney. It's a place where you're charged 25 dollars if you're found quilty of the infraction, and you know, for some guys, they might--that's unrealistic. You know? It's a place where there is no accountability. You know, Council Member Dromm talked about, you know, what is accountability, what does a headshot look like. You know, you asked another question,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 253 Councilwoman Crowley, about why would somebody not want to leave their cell. The reason for that is because people are scared. You know, anytime you have an individual who prefers to be inside of a cell rather than going out tells you about that what's going on outside of the cell that makes me not want to go out there. Because it's not natural or normal to be, to want to be isolated. You know, during--I spent time in solitary confinement, and you know, during the time that I was there, you know, my last meal was at five o'clock. My next meal was at 7 o'clock. That's more than 12 hours without eating. My only human contact was with the officer who probably walked by to give me my food which came through a slot. I didn't use the phone. I had little if anything to read. So much here. What needs to be done? What can be done, right? You talk about, okay, what do you with an inmate who assaults another There's a number of different remedies you inmate. can do, as far as taking away privileges like phone, packages, commissary, recreation, limiting people visits if that's what needed. And contrary to popular belief to the people that came from COBRA [sic], the officers, a lot of those sentences are run

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 254 actually consecutive. If you go into RNDC there's signs in every wall that says that you know you get eight [sic] to 25 if you assault an officer or another inmate, which is run consecutive. Mind you that most of the people are detainees. So people are not going into it like, okay, I might get more time. People don't even want time in the first place. just as an example also about what it is that you're facing. You know, you guys are in a position of power. Here you are, you're talking to correction officers who, you know, fill--they might try to discount what your title is by calling you by your first name or so on and so forth. Imagine talking to an 18 year old, can you imagine the level of even social communication that's going on there? There's nothing absolutely. I don't want to hear you. I don't want to talk to you. It's a place where you go to an officer and you tell them, you know, I'm facing this, I'm going through this and the officer tells you to get away and get a knife like everybody else. go on for like days, but I hope that helps.

name Dakeem [sic]. I'm a member of The Jail Action

Coalition and the Coalition to End Isolated

Thank you for this hearing. My

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAKEEM:

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 255 Confinement. I'm also Secretary General and founder of the Negation, the organization that seeks to repeal the 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the US Constitution. the age of 16 up until about my 17<sup>th</sup> and a half year I was child prisoner on Rikers Island. ultimately given a life sentence. I serve the sentence to this day. I sometimes think of it as 37 years a slave. That's what your Constitution says. Your Constitution says that if you're convicted of a crime you become a slave of the state, and the reason why many of the abuses that you see on Rikers Island and throughout the prison industrial slave complex is because you have a slave system and because people are being broken and prepared to produce manufactured good. It's because you have this type of system in place. I suffered every type of abuse imaginable from beat downs to torture. This came from both police and prisoners. And I admit for the first time in public that I had to fight off a rape attempt within the first days of my incarceration. My rapists weren't successful. Hoorah! [sic] From that point, I knew to remain safe, I would have to learn to defend myself, and I did each and every day, pig or prisoner, take them all. There came a time when I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 256 was set up by correction officers to be gang assaulted by five inmates. Why did they need five inmates to jump a 16 year old? There are consequences to defending oneself on Rikers Island. The duress of solitary was a constant factor. On the island there were no books. When you're put in solitary confinement you're put in there with underwear and the sheets and the bedding, nothing to do. My salvation was that the upstate prison system established minimum standards where we could have Without those books I may have lost my mind. Searches on the island are another form of repression and control and abuse. These searches are military operations. When they come into the quads, they come in with helmets, sticks, chemical agents, shields, these vests, these--they're military operations. They isolate you six deep, one man strip you down. Tell you turn around and crack a smile. That's what you tell a kid? Bend over and crack a smile? That's a form of emasculation, and this is what goes on constantly on the island where kids are degraded. They're dehumanized, and their manhood is taken one way or the other. Now, I advise you and my--I'm not going to be long. I advise you to remove children

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

from the island, offer training, education, internships. My point is that children can change, but they can also be destroyed. Remove the kids from the island, educate, train and place these young folks in a real work environment. End child prosecutions. Repeal the slave amendment. Free the land, free the slaves. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. Thank you to those who spent time incarcerated for being here and testifying about your experiences. You raised some valid points, and the committee will do what it can to look to make sure that time spent at Rikers Island is much more meaningful. We'll look to work with some of the organizations to improve upon the level of education and to have real programming so that 16 and 17 year olds are not idle. unfortunately, until the State raises the age of criminality, we cannot step in as the Council, to put the pressure on the Administration to take the 16 and 17 year olds off. They're allowed to keep them there. It's legal. But what we're doing here today is drawing attention to that and we have a resolution that should be voted on soon as a body to send a

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 258 message up to Albany and to the Governor that we believe the age of criminality should be raised to 18. And not to mention, when you do have a population over 18, that they have rights, and that the everyday, day to day life on Rikers Island is one at minimal safe and at best much more productive. thank you. I don't have any questions. We have one last panel. Deandra Khan, from New York Civil Liberties Union, Jenna Furparish [sp?] from Urban Justice Center, Johnny Perez from Urban Justice Center, Jesus Trajada, just a person from the public. And the City University School of Law, we have -- okay. Cameron MacKay, Mabu Tasheeko [sic]. If any of the names are still here, please come up to testify. Thank you for being here today. If all three of you plan to testify, I would say from the right to the left, please begin your testimony. DEANDRA KHAN: Good afternoon and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Deandra Khan, and I'm an organizer at the New York Civil Liberties The NYCLU's mission is to defend and promote the fundamental principles embodied in the Constitution, New York Laws and International Human

Rights Law on behalf of all New Yorkers, including

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 259 those who are in jails and prisons. The Department of Justice's report that adolescents on Rikers Island are subject to excessive force and punitive segregation shows that this city has a fundamental responsibility to focus on immediate remedies and alternatives to incarceration. One of the greatest rehabilitative opportunities an incarcerated person can be afforded is an education. The link between education and recidivism is clear. One study found that on average, incarcerated people who participated in correctional education programs had a staggering 43 percent lower rate of recidivism than those who did not, and yet, youth in our jails who are among the most vulnerable continue to encounter barriers to a full and equal education mandated by the law. As we understand it, the minimum hours of instruction a day required for 16 and 17 year old at East River Academy on Rikers Island is a full two and a half hours less than that of traditional public schools. This discrepancy puts students at a disadvantage. Many enter the system facing serious educational challenges, demonstrating that they need more not less instruction. We therefore recommend that the minimum standard for education programming at Rikers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 260 Island be raised from three hours to at least five and a half hours for 16 to 21 year olds enrolled in school. Furthermore, youth in solitary confinement received inconsistent education services that rely on students own motivation to complete work. Research has made clear that solitary confinement increases depression and risk for harm, and is in no way conducive to self-teaching and learning. Department of Corrections decision to remove 16 and 17 year olds from solitary confinement is a welcomed change. However, 18 to 21 year olds remain vulnerable to the deficiencies of in cell education. We thus recommend that the Department of Corrections create out of cell educational instruction groups for youth in punitive segregation. In these settings, education is as necessary and rehabilitative for incarcerated youth as mental health services. benefits of education in correction settings, academic and emotional growth, lower recidivism rates, reintegration into the community and a safer city are long standing and undeniable. We urge the city to consider our recommendations and thank the council for providing this opportunity.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2 MABOTA GWEN: Good afternoon. My name is 3 Mabota Gwen [sp?] This is my colleague Cameron McKay. Thank you for this opportunity to testify 4 5 today regarding adolescents in New York jails. We're student attorneys here on behalf of the Juvenile 6 7 Justice Project of CUNY School of Law's International Women's Human Rights Clinic. The project collaborates 8 with legal, academic and community based 9 organizations throughout the United States 10 encouraging compliance with Human Rights Laws and 11 12 Standards for youth. Along with the many New York City community organizations working for rights and 13 14 dignity for youth, IWHR believes that minimizing or 15 phasing out the use of imprisonment and jails and 16 increasing available educational and developmental services will best serve young people. Additionally, 17 this would increase New York's adherence to widely 18 accepted international human rights standards 19 20 regarding youth in conflict with the law. clear, what is happening at Rikers Island clearly 21 2.2 violates international human rights standards. We'll 23 briefly highlight some of these standards now and more detail can be found in our written submission. 24 I will talk about the principle of last resort and 25

Cameron will continue with programming, other standards and our recommendations. First and foremost, we wish to emphasize that the guiding principle in international human rights standards is that confinement should be used only as a last resort and for the minimum possible period. Rehabilitation, restorative justice and social reintegration should remain central in the Administration of Juvenile Justice. Repression and retribution have no place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CAMERON MACKAY: Good afternoon. As Mavo [sic] indicated, my name is Cameron MacKay, also here with the Juvenile Justice Project at the International Women's Human Rights Clinic at CUNY School of Law. International standards make clear that youth under 18 should never be treated as adults in the Administration of Juvenile Justice nor in detention, and they should be held separately from In addition, international and regional adults. human rights bodies encourage governments to apply juvenile justice rules and regulations to persons 18 up to at least 21. When youth are deprived of their liberty, under human rights standards, conditions of confinement must serve the unique developmental needs. Age appropriate special protections such as

COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 263 staff and facilities should ensure rights to fulfilling education, recreation, nutrition that is sufficient for growing adolescents, full physical and mental healthcare and to life and physical integrity. These productions prohibit the use of violence in solitary confinement and call on governments to facilitate access to justice for detained people who suffer abuses. We recommend the City of New York meet or exceed minimum human rights standards with regard to youth in conflict with the law, including by ending reliance in incarceration, by expanding community based social program alternatives to incarceration, by improving access to education, programming, recreation and physical and mental healthcare for all detained youth, by ensuring full abolition of solitary confinement immediately rather than phasing it out by the end of the year. By facilitating youth's access to justice for abuses committed against them, and by expanding access to youth oriented programming and policies for 18 to 21 year olds in conflict with the law. Again, you will find more detailed information in our written testimony, and thank you for this opportunity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you all three of you for being here today to testify. I am curious if in your project you look at how we compare to other countries and cities if you have statistics, that'd be great to share those with the committee. And also you spoke about the nutrition, and we're more than interested in taking a closer look at holding the Department accountable for nutritious We think that's very important, especially for 16 and 17 year olds. So, let's continue to work on that. Whatever data you have please share with us, and together we'll hold the Administration more accountable for nutrition. I think we agree upon almost everything that you stated in your testimony, and you know, the committee has its work ahead of itself, and the Department certainly does, and so we're going to continue to keep the Department accountable and we're hoping sooner rather than later we'll see measures put in place for real reform, and that ultimately Rikers Island will be safer for all inmates, young or old, and that hopefully soon the 16 and 17 year olds won't even be there. So thank you for being here. And there is nobody else here today scheduled to testify. I conclude the Fire and

1	COMMITTEES ON JUVENILE JUSTICE AND FIRE & CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 265
2	Criminal Justice Committee Hearing for October 8 <sup>th</sup> ,
3	2014. Thank you.
4	[gavel]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_October 15, 2014