CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

----- X

September 12, 2014 Start: 10:27 a.m. Recess: 1:05 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers-City Hall

BEFORE:

DARLENE MEALY Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mathieu Eugene Brad Lander Mark Levine Daniel Dromm Stephen Levin A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)
Letitia James
Public Advocate
New York City, NY

Maya Wiley Counsel to the Mayor's Office New York City, NY

Brittany Saunders
Deputy Counsel to the Mayor's Office
New York City, NY

Deyanira Del Rio Co-Director New Economy Project

Mitchell Hirsch Web/Campaign Associate National Employment Law Project Access and Opportunity Program

Robert Martin Associate Director Municipal Employees Legal Services- DC 37

Emmett Jerome Pinkston
US Military Veteran/Resident
New York City, NY

Onieka O'Keefe Organizer/Member Retail Action Project

Shelly Martin NYC Resident Joseph Mpa Member Community Voices Heard

Eric Ellman Senior Vice President Consumer Data Industry Association

Alex Cacioppo Administrative Assistant Management Resources

Stephan Edel Policy and Campaign Analyst Center for Working Families

Alexander Gleason Policy Associate NYC Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO

Sarah Alba Staff Attorney Manhattan Legal Services

G.L. Tyler
Political Director
District Council 1707 AFSCME

Brian Graham NYC Concerned Citizen/Resident

Tashi Lhewa Consumer Attorney Legal Aid Society Ann Valdez Member/Leader Community Voices Heard

Zane Abdi-Salaam
Director of Public Policy
Retail Wholesale Department Store Union

Courtney Davis Financial Advocacy Specialist Community Service Society of New York

Sherrod Stanton
Member Board of Directors
BMCC NYPIRG

Anna Platt
Paralegal
Consumer Protection Project
New York Legal Assistance Group

Angeline Garaliva Member New York State Employment and Training Coalition

Anamaria Segura Staff Attorney Workplace Justice Project MFY Legal Services

Tenzing Chadotsang Deputy Director Chhaya CDC L. Hodge Bah Concerned Citizen New York City, NY

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Good morning. We're going to start this hearing. My name is Darlene Mealy. I am the chair of the Committee on Civil Rights. Today, we will be holding a hearing on Introductory Bill Number 261, a Local Law to amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relations to prohibiting discrimination based on one's consumer credit history. I'd like to thank my colleagues, Mathieu Eugene; Brad Lander for joining us today at this hearing.

Introductory Bill Number 261 was introduced by Council Member Brad Lander earlier this year. When passed, Intro 261 would prohibit employers, labor organizations, employment agencies and licensing agencies from requesting or using information found in a consumer credit history of an application or employee for employment purposes.

This would not apply to employees that are required by the state or federal law to be used an individual's consumer credit history for employment purposes.

Discrimination in employment on the basis of one's credit history risks potential that persons unemployed and further damaging his or her credit

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 7			
2	history. We must adopt policies with an eye towards			
3	the unemployed, not hurting them. I believe that			
4	Intro 261 has the potential to assist prospective			
5	employees who have been adversely affected by a			
6	negative credit report. With that, I will give my			
7	colleague, Brad Lander, the opportunity to say a few			
8	words since he is the sponsor of this bill. Are you			
9	ready, Brad?			
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yes, thank you			
11	[crosstalk]			
12	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.			
13	[crosstalk]			
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Very much, Chair			
15	Mealy for			
16	[crosstalk]			
17	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: And my colleagues			
18	I'm sorry. We have our Public Advocate here also,			
19	Tish James.			
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very			
21	much, Chair Mealy, for conducting this hearing on			
22	Intro 261 and I do also want to say thank you and			
23	welcome the Public Advocate and Council Member			

Mathieu Eugene.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

Intro 261 would prohibit employment discrimination based on consumer credit history and end the credit check catch-22, the unfair process, which damages the lives and job prospects of thousands of New Yorkers. The bill is about simple fairness. We want New Yorkers who apply for jobs or promotions to be judged on their qualifications and experience for the position, not on whether they have enough money to pay their bills. According to a recent study by the Society for Human Resource Management, about half of employers conduct credit checks as part of the hiring process. employers cite fraud and integrity most frequently as their reason for conducting credit checks, several studies have found that credit histories have no demonstrated correlation to job performance, no demonstrated correlation to the likelihood to commit fraud or theft and in fact, a TransUnion lobbyist; one of the lobbyists, Eric Rosenberg, even admitted this, saying quote, "We don't have any research to show any statistical correlation between what's in somebody's credit report and their job performance or their likelihood to commit fraud," quote unquote. So credit checks aren't useful to employers.

2.2

Unfortunately, they are deeply harmful to job seekers. In many cases, poor credit histories are the result of uncontrollable events. Medical expenses, divorce, a death in the family, leaving an abusive spouse, the unexpected loss of a job all contribute to poor credit histories that have no connection to an individual's financial decisions or to their integrity. With skyrocketing student debt, recent graduates who have been unable to find employment in the faltering economy are at risk of poor credit. Youth employment credit checks: a simple way to think about it, they discriminate against people who had to take out loans to go to college in favor of people whose parents were fortunately able to pay for them to go to college.

As many as 25 percent of consumer credit reports contain serious errors due to identity theft or other reporting errors, making the use of this information in hiring practices even more harmful, and I'm guessing in the audience today are many people who know there are errors on their credit reports.

Credit checks can violate applicants' privacy since they often reveal deeply personal

2.1

2.2

information about medical debt, disability, divorce,

domestic violence, all information that our anti
discrimination laws are intended to protect and

because poor credit history is strongly correlated

with race as a result of predatory lending and other

practices, employment credit checks

disproportionately impact applicants from low-income

disproportionately impact applicants from low-income and communities of color who are hardest hit by the economic downturn.

We'll hear today, after the administration, who I'm very pleased is here and thank you to counsel to the Mayor, Maya Wiley... we'll hear stories today from individuals who have been directly impacted by this process and illustrate how easily any of us could find ourselves in these situations and I want to thank them for their courage to testify in advance. I have also had the chance to talk to employers about the legislation. Many support the bill. Small businesses, in particular, don't use credit checks for the most part. They do interviews, reference checks, background checks and are able to get the information that they need to protect their businesses and their customers without using discriminatory credit checks. Now, some have

I look forward to the hearing. We do want to conduct a thorough collection of the evidence; hear stories from everyone who's here to testify. We invite people who aren't here today, but

2.2

who are watching on the webs stream to provide				
information afterwards. We want to use that				
information coming out of this hearing to make sure				
that we move forward to pass a strong law. With a				
strong law, New York City can set a new standard for				
protecting New Yorkers from employment discrimination				
based on their credit history and take a real step				
forward for equal opportunity and fairness. Thank				
you very much again, Madam Chair. I look forward to				
the hearing.				

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Without further ado, we're going to call on the counsel to the Mayor's Office, Maya Wiley, and we have her Deputy. Deputy Brittany Saunders from the Mayor's Office will be testifying. Thank you for being here.

MAYA WILEY: Thank you, Madam Chair and I'd like to just note it's a special honor for me to be here today, not only because this issue is so important to New Yorkers, but also because it is my first opportunity to testify before my council member, Council Member Eugene, so and I also want to thank the Public Advocate for being here today and for your leadership.

2 Madam Chair and members of the Council, 3 thank you for the opportunity to testify. As a civil rights advocate with 25 years of experience, I 4 obviously find this issue incredibly important, but 5 as well, it's also one that's of incredible 6 importance to the administration for obvious reasons. So the opportunity to testify regarding Intro 261, 8 which would amend the New York City Human Rights Law 9 to prohibit employers from using an individual's 10 credit history in making employment decisions, 11 12 whether they are hiring decisions or decisions made 13 about the terms of condition of employment, are very 14 important to this administration. The administration 15 supports the Council's efforts to remove unnecessary barriers to employment for New Yorkers who are eager 16 17 to support their families and contribute to the 18 city's economy. As you know, credit histories are a useful tool in helping underwriters assess whether or 19 20 not to extend credit to an individual. That being said, it is also important to ensure that credit 21 2.2 histories are used for appropriate purposes I think, 23 as Council Member Lander has pointed out and for many, poor credit scores are triggered by a job loss, 24 medical crisis, overwhelming student debt, identity 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

theft or scams. Moreover, the city's still in the process of emerging from a punishing economic crisis that has devastated the finances of many residents. far too many New Yorkers, no matter their race, age, gender or religion have lost a job; faced a personal crisis that may forced them deep into debt and new Yorkers of color, as Council Member Lander's also noted, are particularly likely to find themselves impacted.

There's an important Woodstock Institute report from 2010 that shows that residents in New York City's predominantly communities of color in New York City are more likely to have non-prime credit scores than residents of predominantly white communities in New York City. It found that 21.6 percent of people had credit scores below 620, a common boundary for consideration for prime credit, and when we break that down by race, what we find is that 38.6 percent of people in highly African American neighborhoods had scores below 620, 38.6 percent. In the majority of Latino communities, 34.2 percent of individuals had credit scores below 620, and in predominantly white communities, the percentage was 13.2 percent below 620. Obviously,

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

13.2 percent is way too high, so we would certainly not dismiss the importance of that for communities that are predominantly white, but just to note that there's no question that as Council Member Lander has identified, there are disproportionate impacts based on race for a variety of historic and structural reasons.

So as an administration that cares deeply about equity and opportunity; about lifting all boats; about ensuring that we no longer have "A Tale of Two Cities," we believe it is particularly important that we do not place senseless roadblocks in the path of residents who seek work to support themselves and their families. However, this administration also believes that there are certain contexts in which aspects of individuals' consumer financial history may... may in fact be relevant to an employer's decision making and in these cases we believe that it may be important to consider the impact of one's financial histories on the individual's ability to serve or continue to serve in the position in question.

10 states and the city of Chicago currently limit the use of credit histories in

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

employment decisions, as well as many other states obviously considering similar legislation. recognize some instances in which credit history may be considered. Oregon, for example, different from the Chicago model that Council Member Lander identified, allows the use of credit history if it is substantially job-related and actually lists some conditions to try to determine substantial jobrelatedness. California, of course, similar to Chicago, lists several job categories for which it is permissible to examine an applicant's credit history, including law enforcement and retail positions with access to credit card informa... oh, to various forms of credit information that are not generally consumer-related transactions.

We look forward to working with the

Council to identify possible exemptions that would be
appropriate for New York City. The administration
shares the Council's deep commitment to removing
obstacles that needlessly bar New Yorkers, a
disproportionate number of them, from gainful
employment; however, it is critically important that
we also recognize the important and nuance role of
the considerations of certain consumer financial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

information may play in a particular context. We look forward to working with the Council to protect residents from discrimination, while safeguarding other important city interests. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I just have one question; then we'll turn it over to my colleague, Brad Lander. We have questions. Do you think that other protections exist to help New Yorkers with the poor histories that they are seeking to work out?

MAYA WILEY: Mm-hm. Yeah well, one of the things that is important to note Is the Department of Consumer Affairs, which has 30 financial support sites throughout the city, actually actively works with anyone who walks through the door on consumer credit issues, which includes also erroneous reports on their credit histories. That's something we're very proud of. That... DCA alone has seen I believe it's 11,000 people in the past year alone, so I think that's an important service that the city offers; it's critically important. We know from the Federal Trade Commission that one in five people surveyed have erroneous information on their credit history; fortunately, only five percent that actually impact their credit scores, but nonetheless,

it's incredibly important to support people
identifying and able to correct any erroneous

information on their credit history.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: So what do you have out there to let people know or... and how do you advertise that...

MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: To people to let them know? Is it in the newspapers?

MAYA WILEY: Yeah, I think that's an important for the Commissioner for the Department of Consumer Affairs, but they've done a fantastic job of advertising in a variety of ways, including pamphlets. The fact that they have sites, 30 different sites throughout the city itself, making it more accessible for consumers to get access to the support they give is incredibly important and this administration's going to look for ways to build that up, support that and make sure we're helping residents get the support services they need.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay, I have a few questions, but I will turn it over to the sponsor of the bill, Brad Lander.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Madam 3 Chair. Thank you, Madam Counsel. It is wonderful to have a civil rights advocate in your position and in 4 5 that seat. Last term, we had a hearing on this bill, 6 and the counsel to the Mayor testified in strong 7 opposition to it and I think to have someone who's you know, known or does the research on the 8 discriminatory impacts of credit and sees this in the 9 big context of our broader work to help people get 10 the opportunities that they deserve and really 11 12 achieve equal opportunity is a great credit to the 13 Mayor and the administration and to you, so I thank 14 you for it and I want to build first on what the 15 chair just asked about. I do think there's a nice 16 opportunity. Once we pass this law, there'll need to 17 be outreach and the Department of Consumer Affairs 18 and the Office of Financial Empowerment, DCA will be the logical place both to do outreach to help 19 20 employers know about the bill, but that will be a great opportunity to connect more New Yorkers who are 21 2.2 struggling with poor credit histories to some of the 23 opportunities that you're talking about. This bill is one important step in helping people have access 24

to employment, without which, they're not going to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

23

24

25

able to get back on their feet, but there's a lot more that we need to do to address issues of financial justice and discrimination, so thank you.

So I want to talk a little about the

question of the possibility of exemptions that you mentioned in your testimony and I guess my main questions go to what kinds of evidence we can get and look at here. First off, well, I guess as you know that the bill as it exists does contain what I think is the most important and the most specific exemption, which is if state or federal law require a credit check, this law would of course, allow that and that's not trivial. You know, one of the examples that I heard; I sat down with the banking industry is that Regulation Z of the Truth and Lending Act, as amended by Dodd-Frank, requires that for some mortgage loan originators a credit check be conducted, so that's you know, a provision of federal law and that category will be allowed by this bill, so that's pretty straightforward. As I've talked to other people, both in other states and here, what I've said to people is provide me some evidence of relevance and connection, and I have to say for the most part, no one has done this. There are other set

hearing.

government, so...

of studies that show... you know, that don't show correlation between credit histories and either performance; job performance or likelihood to commit fraud or theft, so if someone had that, okay, then we would look at that and I just wonder how you think we should evaluate the evidence as we move forward to think about this question...

MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

COUNCL MEMBER LANDER: Following the

MAYA WILEY: Yeah well, thank you for those kind words, Council Member, in terms of my position and just to go back to something I think that was very important that you said earlier, in addition to the Department for Consumer Affairs actually playing an active role in getting information and the word out, I think there's also role for the Commission on Human Rights and one of the things that I think this administration is committed to do is finding all the levers of government to make sure that people understand what their rights are, are receiving the protections of the law and getting the support they need from

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 22
[crosstalk]
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Absolutely.
[crosstalk]
MAYA WILEY: Just to
[crosstalk]
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you for
[crosstalk]
MAYA WILEY: Just to
[crosstalk]
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Pointing that out
for us.
[crosstalk
MAYA WILEY: Elevate that.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: A very important
point to make.
MAYA WILEY: Uh-huh. So going back to
your question, I think you know this administration's
very open to examining this question and having a
conversation about what makes sense and what's
rational because we certainly agree with the
principle and share the principle with you that it
should be related to the job functions, right? There
should be some legitimate interests if there is going
to be any credit history check, so that's something

2 we should continue to explore together. The one thing that... and I haven't actually looked at all of 3 4 the research, so the correlative research you know, is both useful and important and doesn't answer all 5 the questions in and of itself. For example, and 6 7 this is something that is worth looking into, there are jobs that require an employee or an independent 8 contractor to be bonded, and in the bonding process 9 an employer may have a legitimate interest in 10 ensuring that assurity can be extended by virtue of 11 12 the fact that they can have their employees bonded. 13 So that would be something that wouldn't necessarily 14 be covered by federal law, but might... but where an 15 employer might have a legitimate business interest in 16 being able to check those credit histories because it 17 will affect their ability to do business if their 18 employees are not able to be individually bonded and then there'll be the question of whether it applies 19 20 to independent contractors, given other conversations about amending the Human Rights Law. So it's just 21 2.2 something to consider and I think the question is an 23 important one, which is where is it rational, where does it make sense and that there shouldn't be 24 barriers where it doesn't. 25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. I
3	think that's a useful answer and I'll just you know,
4	invite I'm going to you know, use the web stream
5	as well. You know, we are eager to listen. Our ears
6	are open and I think you know that's I gave one
7	example; now you've given another of where there are
8	things to look at, but I also do want to just flag
9	for people, most of what we've heard when people come
10	forward to talk about exemptions still, at least the
11	ones that I have heard, default to that prejudice
12	that debt tells us something about someone and I
13	think all the evidence says that that's not true. So
14	we'll listen. We urge you to come forward and give
15	us these examples and ideas, but we are going to push
16	because where we have prejudices we really need to
17	examine them and make sure we're making decisions
18	based on evidence, especially when there are such
19	harmful impacts where we don't act, so thank you very
20	much for your testimony and your answers and thank
21	vou Madam Chair

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes, just to piggyback on that, you said that it is prejudice, but do you think this goes far enough in regards to if someone did have a bad credit report, isn't this

				0.5
COM	MITTEE ON	CIVIL	RIGHTS	25

2.2

legislation... is it a provision for them to explain why their credit was really bad 'cause a lot of people now are going through so much exactly like identity theft, so that's one of the main things that I'm saying. Do the federal law goes just the same as this law?

MAYA WILEY: This law, it's my understanding this law, and Council Member Lander obviously know it better than I do, is broader than what's covered in federal law and I mean I think that's true of all of the states and localities that are looking at these kinds of protections. It's because they go broader than what federal law does. You know, one of the things...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPRSON MEALY: Do you think we went far enough with the federal law?

MAYA WILEY: I always think there are more reforms that we can make in policy. I mean it's an iterative process and we learn through experience, so I think there is more that can be done at the federal level. What I will say about this law is I think one of the things to look at in terms of some of some...

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 26
2	[crosstalk]
3	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Mm-hm.
4	[crosstalk]
5	MAYA WILEY: Helpful things other states
6	and cities have done is they've provided notice
7	requirements, so where when an employer is
8	permitted to ask a job applicant or a current
9	employee information about their credit history,
10	they're required to give notice that they're either
11	checking into it or they're required to tell the
12	employer or the job applicant whether or not they are
13	using that information in making their job decision
14	because of course, in some instances they might make
15	a decision not based on the credit history or they
16	might make a judgment based on the credit history, so
17	that gives the employer or the applicant the
18	opportunity to tell the employer
19	[crosstalk]
20	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: They are
21	[crosstalk]
22	MAYA WILEY: He or she is wrong or making
23	a judgment based on some factual errors.
24	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay, I know it's
25	federal.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And Madam Chair, can I extend on that just a little bit?

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know,

obviously, I think that the federal law doesn't go nearly far enough in protecting people just for a couple of reasons. First, some employers use credit checks even before they meet with people, so you never get in the door and you never get a chance to explain anything because you're screened out before you get in the door. Then some employers look at it, and actually there was a study of this, in which they asked whether they allowed candidates to explain their credit history, and a third of employers said in response to this survey they don't make an opportunity for people to explain it; they just use the decision, but even where they do, many of the issues we're talking about here are really very private matters. Many people have debt because of a health care situation, because of a divorce. I heard from some domestic... some women's groups who deal with survivors of domestic violence. To, in the context of a job interview, have to be talking about your history of domestic violence or divorce or

3

health care puts you at just an enormous disadvantage and privacy exposing, so that's why we think the

4 right answer is to do away with this. We need other

5 context, as you point out, where people can work with

6 DCA and others to repair their credit, but if we

7 don't have evidence that there's any correlation to

8 job performance, let's just not have employers look

9 | at it at all and then we don't have to violate

10 people's privacy and cause these other risks. Thank

11 you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you for saying it properly. We've been joined by Council Member Levine and Council Member Dromm, and our next question will be from Mathieu Eugene.

much, Madam Chair. Let me first commend and congratulate also Miss Maya Wiley. I'm so proud that you are here and I'm proud also to be your council member. I just want you to know that I'm just your humble servant.

MAYA WILEY: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you. Thank you very much. In your testimony, you say that the administration supports the Council's efforts to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 remove unnecessary barriers to employment for New 3 4 5 6 7

Yorkers who are eager to support their families and contribute to the city's economy and you say also for many, poor credit scores are triggered by a loss of job, medical crisis, overwhelming student debt, identity theft or scams. Could you tell us in detail, as much as you can, what is available right now to have those people who are facing so many challenges do to mistakes; to errors and to every cause that we know...

> MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Going through this very difficult situation to obtain jobs because of the low credit history? Is there anything in place to help them right now?

MAYA WILEY: Yeah, there... and I think that's a very important question, Council Member, because there are so many people. If you've been out of a job for a year you're likely to have poor credit because you've simply been unable to keep up with your bills and it's not necessarily your fault that you were laid off from a job and in this economy had a hard time finding another one. And one of the things, obviously, in various agencies, there are

2 forms of job placement help and information connecting folks to jobs, whether it's youth 3 employment; whether it's opportunities within the 4 5 Parks Department, so each agency has some way in which they try to support folks based on the work of 6 7 that particular agency. I think as I said earlier, the 11,000 counseling sessions with over 7,000 8 residents over the past year for the Department of 9 Consumer Affairs helping folks figure out how to 10 navigate any consumer issues they have, but that 11 12 includes credit issues and I think in the context of 13 folks who have been out of jobs for a long time or 14 have educational debt, other kinds of issues like 15 that, that's really an important service. 16 that there's always ways that this administration and 17 City Council can find ways to help folks get jobs, 18 which obviously, is an important part of this equation, is creating more jobs in the city. 19 20 one of the things that the Mayor is committed to and is currently looking at ways to leverage everything 21 2.2 from Hurricane Sandy rebuilding to building of 23 affordable housing as ways to help more people who are unemployed get access to jobs that the city is 24 essentially creating by virtue of the fact that it's 25

doing what it does, which is rebuild communities and invest in communities. So I think all of those are

4 | factors in this and all of those important ways to

5 help New Yorkers get back to work and support their

6 families.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, and in your testimony you say that also as an administration that cares deeply about equity and opportunity, we believe it is particularly important that we do not place senseless roadblocks in the path of residents who seek work to support themselves and their families, and I do believe also in the dedication of this administration to stand for New Yorkers because I have seen myself the effort that the administration is doing to help the hard working people and to provide fairness and equity to the New Yorkers, but we know also in the city of New York or in government, even in the United States, some of the time there are opportunities, there are laws and legislation, but they are not again first, they are not implement; they are not promote. People don't know their rights.

MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

24

2	
3	

5

1

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: And also they cannot get the full advantage of benefit of those laws or opportunities. What the administration will do to implement this law when it will pass? I know that we know that it's going to pass anyway...

6

MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

8

7

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: You know and

9

because we have so many support. So what the

10

administration will do to make sure that the people

11

who are facing you know, difficulties; struggles can

12

benefit from this law?

13

MAYA WILEY: [interposing] Mm-hm.

14

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: And can they be

MAYA WILEY: Absolutely. As with any

15

protected really?

16

legislation that is passed by the Council and signed

18

by the Mayor, this administration is going to go to

19

9 every agency that has some responsibility or

20

opportunity to either enforce the law or to advance

the principle of the law. In some instances, it

21

22 might not be the direct responsibility of a

23

particular agency to enforce it, but there still may

24

be an opportunity for an agency to get the word out

25

about those rights and those protections. We're

2 looking at that in other areas on other issues 3 including voter registration, for example. The Mayor has, as you know, a directive about agencies who have 4 a contact with a New York resident that requires them 5 to sign up for something, to use that as an 6 7 opportunity to register them to vote. That's one example where there's not a legal requirement 8 necessarily to do that or there's some obligation, 9 but we're trying to go beyond the obligation because 10 we're committed to the principle. I think any 11 legislation that meets... that obviously the Mayor 12 signs means the administration's endorsing the 13 14 legislation itself and is going to do everything in 15 its power to make sure it's implemented through the 16 agencies in every way possible, including in ways 17 that uphold the principle, so I think that's 18 important and it's why I made the comment I made earlier about the Human Rights Commission may not 19 20 have responsibility for getting... can be seen as a player, not just enforcing a law if there's a 21 2.2 complaint, but actually being able to play an active 23 community engagement and community education role in addition to consumer affairs. So we would look at 24 all those levers that we could pull to make sure New 25

administration will do to make sure they are

protected, to make sure they are aware and to make

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 sure they take the full benefit from this

3 legislation?

MAYA WILEY: Mm-hm. Very important questions and I'm very proud that I get to work with Nisha Argarwal from the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, who's a long-time staunch advocate for immigrant communities and an incredible partner in multiple issues, and municipal ID obviously is one of this administration's signature efforts to try to make this city one in which every resident that contributes to the city is able to get the full benefits of the city, as well as to be able to continue to contribute to it. So one of the things that we do regularly within the administration when we have important laws that are going to advance opportunities in the city is we talk across the Mayor's offices, and in this case, it would include the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, with whom we work regularly out of the Counsel's unit, to figure out multiple ways in which to do that. One of the responsibilities of the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, of course, is to support agencies identifying the ways in which they can continue to improve conditions, access to services and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

21

2.2 23

24

25

information for immigrant communities, whether or not they are documented.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Miss Wiley. Thank you very much, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes and just to reiterate Steven Levin. We have... our public advocate will ask the next question.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Good morning.

MAYA WILEY: Good morning.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So the way that I would describe your position this morning is though you do not oppose this legislation, you support its intent, its overall goals and you look forward to working with the Council to improve it. You do not support it in its current form. Is that a fair assessment of your position?

MAYA WILEY: We have not taken a position on the legislation, so what we have said today is that we support the principles, we look forward to working with the Council and I personally think that we are going to come up with a good bill.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: In your

testimony, you indicated specifically although you support the principles of it, it would suggest to me that you could support it if, in fact, the Council was willing to identify possible exemptions. Is that correct?

MAYA WILEY: We are in the process, as administration, of doing exactly the same thing that Council Member Lander mentioned earlier, which is hearing from folks; understanding how it would work, as the Mayor will do on every issue. He's going to make sure he hears from all quarters and make sure that we're making decisions that are sound and taking into account the issues of all the constituents of the city, so we're really looking forward to that process and are engaged in that with you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And I support
that position and you... I'm sure that the
administration is aware that legislation restricting
employers' use of credit checks has been passed in
California, Connecticut, Hawaii, Illinois, Maryland,
Oregon, Vermont and Washington. I'm sure you're
aware of all those jurisdictions that support a
similar principle.

So

2

Chicago.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYA WILEY: Yes, as well as the city of

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Excellent.

since that is the case and since it's my understanding that we have received testimony from two industries that have asked for exemptions, would you support an exemption primarily for I guess its staffing associations, who are of the opinion that an exemption should be applied to them since... to agents covering staffing firms and employment agencies acting on behalf of employers. Apparently, a similar exemption has been extended to this industry in the state of Nevada, as well as Illinois, Colorado, Hawaii and Oregon and Vermont and also Connecticut. Would you... are you... when you talk about working with the Council with regards to identifying exemptions, are you speaking of this particular industry; that is, staffing associations?

MAYA WILEY: I am not speaking of any specific industry 'cause the administration hasn't taken a position on any specific exemptions.

Certainly, we'd be willing to look at that.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay, my understanding, and I know that credit reports are a

big business, and it's my position that credit
reports in the hiring practice are unreliable
indicators of risk and that there is no objective
evidence regarding in relation to a correlation
between job performance and credit history. I have
yet to see any objective evidence of a correlation
and so saying that, there is also a statement here
from the Securities Industry and Financial Markets
Association. They, too, are accepting or requesting
an exemption, specifically with respect to licensure
requirements, which they allege jeopardize the assets
under management and the security of financial
services companies. I don't know if I see a
correlation between credit histories a credit
history and licensure requirements, but has the
administration taken a position as to whether or not
the financial industry should be exempted?

MAYA WILEY: The administration has taken no position on exemptions to date. As I said earlier, we are certainly open to discussions with the Council, as well as to members of the community about what issues or interests they have and what they want to raise, as Council Member Lander said earlier today. Several of those states that the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Public Advocate mentioned that have passed

3 legislation do have a variety of exemptions, many of

4 them based on industry, as Council Member Lander had

5 said before, so that's obviously something that we

6 | would looking at, not necessarily what other places

7 | are doing, but the reasons behind exemptions; whether

8 | they're legitimate business interests for those

9 exemptions would be something we'd be discussing with

10 counsel as well with advocates.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: It is the position of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association that they comply with the NASD rule, which provides that a member must ascertain by investigation the good character, business reputation, qualification and experience of a job applicant before their firm applies to register the applicant with the FINRA and the acronym stands for the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. I don't know if... what correlation that has to do within one's credit history, but that is their statement, as the administration had take a position with this statement, which, to me, raises... I question and I have serious questions with respect to their statement and their position.

2.2

MAYA WILEY: The administration's taken no position related to any exemption to date.

again, whether... what one's credit history has to do with their business reputation, their character and/or their experience as a job applicant. Again, the industry... the Securities Industry and the Financial Markets Association my understanding will be testifying today. I await their testimony because I have serious concerns with respect to their position. Do you believe that this bill is preempted by any state or federal law?

MAYA WILEY: I have not examined that question personally. I will be happy to look into it, but I would not answer that question in the absence of having done the legal research myself.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Do you believe that... has the Human Rights Commission under the jurisdiction of this administration taken a position with respect to this bill?

MAYA WILEY: Well, since the Human... I know of no public position that the Human Rights

Commission has taken. I'm certainly... we're

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

that the current Human Rights Law doesn't necessarily cover that as a protected class, it's not a conversation that I've had with the Human Rights Commissioner. Certainly we are aware that advocacy groups have been raising concerns obviously about credit histories and the way they're being used and that's something... those are conversations that have happened between administration advocacy groups. It's something we're taking seriously.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I know that you are aware, as well as this administration, that a disproportionate number of low-income communities; women of color; women in particular; victims of

2.2

domestic violence; individuals who lost their jobs
after the great recession; individuals who have filed
medical bankruptcy as a result of illnesses;
individuals who are in financial trouble as a result
of products that have been sold to them by the
financial industry, their credit histories are
riddled with errors. Are you aware of all of that
and how this obviously has had an adverse impact on
their ability to obtain a job in certain industries?

MAYA WILEY: I am and as I testified earlier, the Federal Trade Commission has found that one out of five folks that they have surveyed have had errors on their credit reports. I'm happy that that same study showed that only five percent of those actually impacted their ultimate credit scores. At the same time, it's obviously disturbing that there are errors at all and that the errors actually affect scores and that's an important factor to take into account.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yeah, that'd be... credit reports are riddled with errors and again, most of these for profit corporations are engaged in business... engaged in selling these products to individuals who are now victims once

again as a result of their practice and given that
statement, it's my position that the administration
should work with the Council so that we can come up
with a bill that would gain the support of this
administration, which campaigned on the issue of
income inequality in addressing the needs of those
individuals who are suffering under the weight of
poverty and who has to face high rates of
unemployment. And lastly, let me say that my office
is working with the Council to pass a bill so that
credit reports will no longer will be prohibited
from being used when individuals apply for affordable
housing in the city as a result of the crisis that we
are witnessing in this city as well. I thank you for
your testimony. I apologize. I will be back in a
few minutes to hear the testimony of the Securities
and Financial Industry. I would love to hear that
testimony.

MAYA WILEY: Thank you. Thank you for your time and as I said earlier, we are really excited to be working with Council on these and other important issues. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. We'll have the next question by Steve Levine... Levin.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Madam
3	Chair. Thank you, Miss Wiley, for your testimony and
4	I apologize for being a little late here, so I didn't
5	hear some of your exchange with Council Member
6	Lander, but in looking at your testimony, you do
7	bring up other laws other state laws and city laws
8	where there are exemptions and you mention a few
9	specifically, including retail positions with access
10	to credit card information, law enforcement and jobs
11	in the banking or insurance industries. That
12	doesn't I'm assuming that that doesn't mean that
13	this administration is endorsing those exemptions.
14	MAYA WILEY: Absolutely not. We have not
15	taken a position on any exemptions. It was really
16	just to note the trends in the country and
17	recognizing, as the Public Advocate stated, that
18	there are 10 states that have passed legislation.
19	Because many of them have included exemptions; as far
20	as I know, all of them have included exemptions, as
21	an administration obviously we want to learn from the
22	experiences of other place
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-

huh.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 MAYA WILEY: Understand the impacts of
3 any legislation on our residents and our businesses,
4 so it's really more to note what's going on in the
5 country and why we think it's important that we

actually take a look at the issue of exemptions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Is there a principle that the administration is going to pursue on how it's going to look at the issue of exemptions? For example, you mentioned about the issue of bonding and whether I quess employees need to be individually bonded in some instances, so that's a practical concern from an industry or from an employer, but you know, law enforcement, retail positions with access to credit card information, those don't seem to have you know, practical concern to the business in terms of its ability to conduct business, right? So is the administration going to make that distinction? that a distinction that the administration's going to make and offer some other kind of quiding principles the administration's pursuing as it's looking...

[crosstalk]

MAYA WILEY: Mm-hm.

24 [crosstalk]

25 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: At this issue?

MAYA WILEY: Well, the guiding

principles, as I hope that my testimony makes clear, is that we do not want to see needless barriers to employment and we also want to make sure that we're understanding the implications and the impacts of the decisions we're making so that we're making good, rational policy. To that end, that's why we're not taking a position on exemptions today because we haven't... we simply...

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm.

[crosstalk]

MAYA WILEY: Want to look at it more closely, understand the experiences of other places, make sure we're hearing from all quarters of New York's residents and businesses so that we understand the impact so that we're making good, rational choices. So we are open, we're looking forward to working with the Council, as well as the community on these issues and that's really how we're going to move forward is in partnership with the Council and understanding the impacts of anything we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, 'cause just to be clear, I mean an issue like a retail position

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 48
2	with access to credit card information, for example,
3	I mean that seems blatantly discriminatory or has a
4	discriminatory comes from a discriminatory place
5	because it seems to be based on the assumption that
6	people with poor credit are more likely to steal
7	other people's credit card information, right? I
8	mean what other reason would there be where someone
9	would somebody where a credit score or credit
10	report information would have any bearing whatsoever
11	on a position like that? I mean I don't you
12	[crosstalk]
13	MAYA WILEY: I I
14	[crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm not asking you
16	to speak
17	[crosstalk]
18	MAYA WILEY: We're
19	[crosstalk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: For
21	[crosstalk]
22	MAYA WILEY: Yeah, we're not
23	[crosstalk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It's just I'm

wondering...

4	$\sim$
4	ч

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

2	[crosstalk]

2.2

MAYA WILEY: Taking a position on whether or not retail sales jobs should be covered... should receive an exemption, so that example is not something that we're putting forward...

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Mm-hm.

MAYA WILEY: Necessarily as a position that the administration's taking 'cause we haven't taken a position on exemptions.

mean I would strongly... I mean I think... I'm sponsor of the bill. I am strongly supportive of the bill with as very few exemptions as possible. I think that as a guiding principle, aside from saying... you know, in addition to saying that we want to make sure that we're you know, creating as few barriers as possible, I think in addition to that, the guiding principle with this legislation with regard to exemptions ought to be that it has to clear a fairly high hurdle in terms of the actual impact to... or the impact on an industry or a business to be able to conduct business; to be able to do what it does and you know, if employees... if

it's federal or state law or an ability to get a certain bond rating, that's one thing, but any instance where it's purely a determination of the character or fitness of a potential employee I think ought to be highly scrutinized and that distinction I think ought to be made. You know, if it's designed to determine the character and fitness of a candidate, then I think it ought to be the position of this administration and if it was my position and should be a position in this legislation that that ought to not be allowed.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MAYA}}$  WILEY: Well, we look forward to working with you on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Great. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much.

Is there any database in regards to if... no, I can't ask you that question. I still want to... but since there's no other questions I want to thank you for this... do you have another question, Brad? Thank you so much, Counsel to the Mayor. Miss Wiley, looking forward to working with you and at time you are excused. Thank you so...

[crosstalk]

in New York City that are affected right now by this

24

2.2

2 unfair and discriminatory practice of employment

3 credit checks.

enthusiastically support, as you all know, Intro 261 and we want to sincerely applaud and thank the New York City Council for taking on this huge civil rights issue. It's not an understatement to say that credit checks; the use of credit checks by employers to deny people jobs and promotions and in some cases, to fire them from jobs is truly an insidious practice and a discriminatory barrier to jobs that has no place here in New York City.

We... I have a few points to highlight.

I'm not going to read the testimony, but the main point we want to make is how crucial it is that the City Council pass this bill and that New York City enacts this law in its current strong form without any additional exemptions or loopholes carved in.

Referring to Miss Wiley's testimony around exemptions in other jurisdictions, I just want to underscore it's really important that... to know that these other exemptions, as Council Member Lander noted, are not based on any valid reasons; any evidence that those exemptions... that the credit history matters

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

to particular industries or positions. It's rather they're a result of aggressive lobbying by credit reporting industries and other kinds of big business interests and we look forward... actually the coalition will be very eager to meet with the administration and looks forward to doing that.

So I want to just make a couple of So, one is that ending employment credit checks is a matter of economic and racial justice. It can't be sort of overemphasized that credit reports reflect and reinforce broad systemic discrimination and inequities in our economy and in our credit system. Many groups in this room have long worked on issues of predatory lending; foreclosure prevention; illegal and abusive debt collection and the list goes on. All of these practices, which are now growingly recognized to have a disproportionate impact on people in communities of color. They devastate people's credit reports. we can't say on the one hand that we recognize there's been discrimination in the lending system and that there's sort of inequities in our broader economy and yet, it's valid for employers and others to look at credit history because all of that gets

2.2

reflected. It's a mirror of our economy and of our discriminatory credit system that we have right now. Employment credit checks again, must be banned with no exemptions added in order for the law to be effective. [chime] Right now, the bill contains the narrow exemption from employers who are required by state or federal law to use credit history. New York City again, must strenuously reject any additional exemptions, which would not only harm New York City workers, but it would actually create confusion among employers wishing to comply with the law...

[crosstalk]

CHAIPERSON MEALY: You have to start...

[crosstalk]

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: And render the law...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Wrapping it up.

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: Virtually

unenforceable. Okay, just to wrap up, I just want to make one last point, which is that employment credit checks are a gross overreach by employers and an invasion of workers' privacy. You'll hear from some individual New Yorkers already affected, but you know, we would never tolerate employers sitting down

2.2

at an interview with a job applicant to say, "Oh, can you tell me how much money you owe on your credit

4 card? Have you ever paid a mortgage..."

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

[crosstalk]

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: "Late?" And so on and that's exactly what employers sort of get to see by doing employment credit checks. So thank you and I look forward to the guestions after.

anyone have a question for her? I think I do.

You're saying across the board. You're telling me if someone was bonded and they were going to be a teller at a bank, but their credit was totally shot and it was because of just they didn't pay their credit bill. They should... that should not be on... they should... an employer should not know about that.

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: We actually... members of the coalition include financial institutions who have submitted written testimony and they have experience with this issue and they themselves do not use credit checks.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay.

2.2

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: We have noted that they would have lost some of their longest, most loyal employees had they...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay.

[crosstalk]

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: Done so.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

DEYANIRA DEL RIO: Thank you.

MITCHELL HIRSCH: Chairperson Mealy,
members of the committee, council members, thank you
very much for the opportunity to testify today in
support of Intro 261, a measure to prohibit
employment discrimination based on one's personal
credit history. My name is Mitchell Hirsch and I'm a
policy advocate with the National Employment Law
Project's Access and Opportunity Program.

The National Employment Law Project,

NELP, is a non-partisan not-for-profit organization

that conducts research and advocates on issues

affecting low wage and unemployed workers. Through

our work and our communications with unemployed job

seekers we have become painfully aware of the

significant barriers to reemployment faced by far too

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

many qualified, experienced, but unemployed workers and one of the most serious barriers they face is the widespread, unfair and often erroneous use of personal credit histories in employment.

I'm here today to urge passage of the Stop Credit Discrimination in Employment Act. Credit history reporting was developed as a tool for lenders to evaluate a borrower's potential risk for timely payments or defaults, but in recent years, credit history reporting has increasingly been used as a unfair screening device by employers to deny employment opportunities to qualified job seekers. While credit history reports can be a reasonable basis for a lender to asses potential credit risk of borrowers, such reports do not correlate to a job applicant's abilities, nor do they offer a predictive guide to an individual's workplace performance. As such, employment credit checks are an illegitimate barrier to employment, particularly for qualified unemployed job seekers, who really want to and need to be working and thus taking action to restrict their unfair use is both appropriate and necessary.

Circumstances that are outside of an individual's control are frequently the cause of poor

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

credit history. Chief among these are involuntary job losses and high cost medical emergencies, often involving persons lacking health insurance coverage after losing a job. The increasingly widespread use of credit history by employers to screen job applicants thus sets up a perverse catch-22, where New Yorkers who become unemployed through no fault of their own are unable to secure new employment because of damaged credit and then can't repair their credit because they are, in effect, locked out of the job market.

In our communications with unemployed job seekers, we hear frequently of three major concerns regarding their ability to be fairly considered on their merits as applicants for jobs. One is their age, the second is their status of simply being unemployed and the third is the use of personal credit history in employment screening. On March 13th of last year, the New York City Council wisely chose to enact a law protecting unemployed job seekers from discriminatory exclusion from prospective employment opportunities based on their being simply unemployed. That measure was enacted by the Council over the previous administration's

5	a
$\neg$	ч

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS

2.2

[chime] objection. The National Employment Law
Project strongly supported that measure, applauded
the Council's action and was grateful, especially for
the leadership of this committee on civil rights and
its then chairperson, Council Member Rose, on that
legislation. So there are protections in place to
prohibit employment discrimination based on age and
now also on unemployed status.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: If you could start wrapping up.

MITCHELL HIRSCH: Now it's time for the Council to address the discriminatory use of employment credit checks. NELP urges this committee to take positive action toward enactment of Intro 261 to keep the doors of employment opportunity open to all qualified job seekers. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Yes, you may, Mr. Martin.

ROBERT MARTIN: Yeah, Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Morning.

ROBERT MARTIN: I'm Bob Martin and I'm testifying [chime] this morning on behalf of District Council 37, where I am the Associate Director of the DC 37 Municipal Employees Legal Services.

Intro 261 is a bill of historic import

whose time has come. The City Council stands on the edge of enacting the strongest law in the nation to stop an unfair baseless and discriminatory employment practice. I want to echo a few things from what you've heard and will hear from my colleagues.

Credit reports are notoriously inaccurate. Employer credit checks are discriminatory, as the Federal

Trade Commission and the EEOC and other bodies have shown. And last, but not least and perhaps the crux of the matter is that there's no data or evidence showing that a person's credit report predicts job

In my written testimony, [background voice] I've put in some remarks about how a job is an opportunity to a better life and the point is that we all know that people want to feel if they apply for a job that they're going to be treated fairly. I also want to give a historical context to this matter.

There will be opposition to this bill. Well, we can look at the long list of important civil rights legislation over the decades and see that this was always the case. For each and every important

performance or makes them a thief and I haven't heard

any data or evidence this morning.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

legislative change there were doubters, skeptics and outright opposition: "This will hurt our business." "It's too costly." "We can't do it." Well, all the laws at every level have stood the test of time. sky has not fallen in our country and it won't fall when the Council adopts an across the board ban on credit checks in employment. The prohibition has to be across the board. I won't talk about all of the exemptions or exceptions, but I submit to you that well, for instance, the bona fide occupational qualification or the substantial relationship exemption, that's a hole big enough to drive a truck through. [background voice] Who's going to enforce it and what's going to prevent just about every employer to tell an applicant, "You need to sign that form so we can look at your credit report." In my remarks, I also talk about the exemption for managerial employees. Think about the implications of that. If employers are prohibited from conducting credit checks for lower level jobs, but can rely on them for managerial positions, isn't the overall effect to put a ceiling on the job aspirations of persons of color who are also already disproportionately represented in management already?

history. Let's look at things this way: before

civil rights laws, employees could ask applicants all

kinds of questions. They could ask questions about

ethnicity, national origin, inquire about religion.

They could ask about marital status. They could ask

a woman if she was pregnant or planned to be. They

disabilities and sexual preference. [chime] Everyone

knows that employers today can't ask these questions

because they bear no relation to job performance and

employer asking an applicant about credit history and

Personal credit records bear no correlation to job

performance and their use in employment decisions is

hugely discriminatory. There should be no exceptions

added to this bill. Thank you for the opportunity to

could inquire about medical history, age,

they're discriminatory. The point is this:

requiring a credit report is the same thing.

And I'll close by going back to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

this legislation.

23

24

testify and we urge the Council, the Speaker and the

Thank you.

Mayor not to allow exemptions to be bargained into

much. Any questions? Brad Lander.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Wow. Thank you so

about this?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Madam
Chair and just briefly first, thanks to all of you
and your organizations for your work and support on
the bill and Mr. Martin, I know you know, you're here
today in your capacity at DC 37, but I know you also
have a long history at Consumer Affairs. Am I right

ROBERT MARTIN: Yes, I've sat at this table a couple or a few times and when I was the General Counsel at DCA going back a number of years.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So you've... you know, in which you have responsibility for working with the business community, thinking about the needs of employers and balancing that with consumer protections and you see this in that context.

ROBERT MARTIN: Yes, I love working with the business community. I learned about you know, many industries in New York City. I think that I and the Commissioner that I had the honor of working under were always seen as responsive to the business community and as you've already heard, I think the evidence or the polling says that a lot of... most small businesses in New York City don't use credit checks for employment purposes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And then I just

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to draw something out that's implicit in what you said about the bona fide reason exemption that we haven't talked about yet, so I want to ... you know, many civil rights laws are hard to enforce because proving discrimination is quite difficult. You often can't get behind the decision. You know, race is a good example, as you point out. You know, at one point it was easy to discriminate based on race. you're not allowed to, but obviously if you do a job interview you know someone's race and therefore proving that you were discriminated against can be challenging. This is a form of discrimination that we can present full stop if you don't get the credit reports, but if we allow loopholes like bona fide reason we'll be back in the same situation where people will get them, they'll check the box on the application and often you won't even know that's why you were discriminated against. So I just... I think your point there that not only making sure the exemptions are rooted in evidence that we haven't

seen, but also paying attention to the consequences

history of civil rights is very sound, so thank you.

for job applicants and putting this kind of broad

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 65
2	ROBERT MARTIN: Thank you.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: It's not Madam
6	anymore, but Madam Chair did need to leave for a
7	moment and I just want to thank the panel for coming
8	in. I don't think there are any further questions
9	and I'm going to call the next panel. Thank you
10	very, very much. I'd like to call Emmett Jerome
11	Pinkston, Shelly [phonetic] Martin, Amelia O'Keefe I
12	believe and Joseph M I can't read the last name,
13	but from Community Voices Heard. [background voices]
14	Okay, who would like to begin?
15	EMMETT JEROME PINKSTON: Good morning.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Just put that
17	microphone on. The red light should be on.
18	EMMETT JEROME PINKSTON: Okay, thank you
19	so
20	[crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay and state
22	your name, please, for the record.
23	EMMETT JEROME PINKSTON: I am Emmett
24	Jerome Pinkston and I would like to thank the Civil

Rights Council for inviting me in this morning; the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

City Council members, as well for all their hard work, and their coalition that is doing all this marvelous work to help us in this city and indeed the country as well.

To begin, I would like to give a bit of background on myself. I am 57 years of age. I'm a 30 year military veteran. I've held government security clearances to include top secrets SCI clearances and also presidential security detail and I currently hold White House credentials. Once I retired from the United States military after 30 years, I applied for a job with the Transportation Security Administration. With my background, I expected to get easy employment with them and when I didn't, I discovered that it was through an error in the Credit Reporting Bureau system.

Now, one of the things that brought me in today was... in support of Introduction 261, prohibiting the discrimination and reporting one's credit history: to my experience, I've seen that an example such as being laid off from a job or not getting a job to begin with, various debts and things and application process and credit cards, home mortgages, student loans. These things can be

2 greatly affected by credit reports and especially when they are in error or obtained by factors not to 3 one's own... well, choice or making. In my case, it 4 5 was an \$8,000 error. I never owed the money, but yet it was reported on my credit report due to one 6 7 company managing to put something on a credit report. I lost the job with the Transportation Security 8 Administration, which I was practically overqualified 9 for, if I'm to be fair and honest about it, and after 10 that process after five years, I obtained employment 11 12 through a civil service jobs private company and I received promotions and currently I'm employed by the 13 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, where I am 14 15 on a waiting list for a promotion. That's just to 16 show my character background. The credit report took no consideration into the type of person that I am or 17 18 the work that I've done [chime] in the past, so for me to say the City Council is indeed doing a good job 19 20 by passing Introduction 261 and prohibiting a credit report and one's history is going to do a great deal 21 2.2 because the city, the state and perhaps the country 23 in extension shows that they lost in me because I can't contribute as well as those that are coming up 24 through schools and private home industries and they 25

can do a lot as well if we can just get past this credit report that can hold back so many people that are trying to do better for themselves. So I thank the City Council members, the coalition and all that are working so hard to help us. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you. Next, please?

ONIEKA O'KEEFE: Thank you. Good morning. I appreciate the opportunity to testify at today's hearing for the Stop Credit Discrimination and Employment Act. My name is Onieka O'Keefe and I work at Crocs and American Eagle. I'm also a member of the Retail Action Project, which is an initiative of the Retail Wholesale and Department Store Union.

RAP is a member-based organization with the mission of building worker power, elevating retail industry standards and promoting family sustaining jobs. We achieve this through engaging in collective action, highlighting worker voices, growing workers' professional capacity and nurturing member leadership.

Retail workers are a group that is hit hard by credit checks in employment. I can tell you this from a personal experience. I'm one of the

2.2

millions of New Yorkers who has quote unquote "bad credit." Several years ago, I applied to go to college with the hope that it would open up the door to future career opportunities. Little did I know that the debt I incurred from my student loans would shut the doors of employment instead. My loans grew so massive that I eventually could not afford to stay in school. When I went back home... when I came back home, I went back to work in retail to support my family. I had already worked in retail for several years and quickly became a part-time supervisor. I was responsible for large amounts of money, counting out multiple tills and making daily cash deposits, as well as overseeing sales associates.

In 2012, needing a full-time stable job,

I applied for a full-time managerial position at a

large department store, for which I was more than

qualified. In the interview, the store supervisor

informed me that there would be a credit check. It

felt insulting that he was implying that I might be a

thief if my credit was bad. When I didn't hear back

from the store, I called to ask about the position.

They said that they went with another applicant, but

suggested I apply for a part-time non-managerial

2.2

position, which paid much less than the one I had
applied for. This was a slap in the face because I
could tell that I was turned down for the managerial
job due to my credit report. With my work history
and demonstrated responsibility on the job, I was
more than qualified for the original position.
Managers have always trusted me and I have never been
fired from a job. My credit report does not
represent who I am and what I'm capable of as a
worker.

As you will hear from others today, credit history does not predict job performance.

Even though I have a stellar employment record, I was discriminated against for this job due to my student loan debt. Actions like this leave workers in a credit catch-22 when we can't advance professionally and we can't pay our debt since employers won't hire us in the first place.

I know that millions of other workers are in a similar situation. On behalf of the Retail

Action Project and the Retail Wholesale and

Department Store Union, I call on New York City

Council to pass this bill to help New Yorkers get

-

2.2

access to jobs that can help them break the cycle of debt. Thank you.

SHELLY MARTIN: Good morning. My name is Shelly Martin. I, too, would like to thank the Council and all who brought me here today to testify on behalf of the unfair processes of discrimination through credit reporting. The opportunity to testify today here, I personally have been affected by the employment credit checks.

I'm a resident of Harlem. My background is I graduated from the University of Maryland with a degree in apparel design and fashion marketing and I have worked in various fashion companies while my time here in New York City; however, I also freelanced for quite some time. During the recession freelance jobs dried up very, very quickly and it was very difficult to find employment during the recession as a freelancer. I also do independent design for a private clientele as well.

I began to look for jobs you know and decided to use temp agencies in the interim as I could find employment. Some of these companies began to check my credit and I wasn't really aware of it.

I did get a letter in the mail and I started sending

out you know more and more resumes, but I didn't make
the correlation between my credit and the fact that I
wasn't getting responses for jobs. I started
receiving notices in the mail that my credit had been
checked. I knew this was preventing me from landing
a job. I told my family what I was experiencing and
had learned that my cousin had lost his job because
of a credit check and my mother also informed me
about one of her co-workers who had lost her job
because a promotion because of a credit check.
That's when I knew that this wasn't an isolated
incident and that this was beyond me and it was
bigger than me. I'm deeply aware of the intimidating
nature of the use of credit in the employment
context. It's been an embarrassing experience to
have to explain my credit situation and the reasons
behind it. I have had much anxiety. I have dealt
with clinical depression.
COUNCIL MEMBER RROWN. There is no much

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you so much for being here. We really appreciate the courage it takes to share your story.

SHELLY MARTIN: And there were times I didn't know what to do. I've lost a home. And it has taken a long time for me to get back on my feet

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

because I could not find employment. I believe that using credit checks for employment purposes is unfair discrimination. It is not fair to judge a person's ability to perform well on a job based on their credit report. [chime] A credit report doesn't tell you anything about the real person or the content of their character.

I urge the City Council to pass Intro 261 to protect New Yorkers like myself and the others here and beyond New York City as well for this unfair employment discrimination. The repercussions of employment credit checks have affected me for years. It is not easy for me to talk about this experience and the challenges that it has presented in my life personally, but I hope that by doing so I can contribute to the passage of this important bill. Thank you very much.

> CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

JOSEPH MPA: Yes, good morning. My name is Joseph Mpa from Community voices Heard, which is an advocate agency for the right of low income and poor communities, which fights for their rights. also want to thank the Council for passing the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 resolution to prohibit WEP. Thank you, Council
3 Member Mealy.

The fact that someone is in debt or has failed to establish so-called "good credit" cannot be used as an accurate barometer of their work ethic, their experience or capability to perform at a high Individuals are more than just their credit scores. There exists a multitude of factors, which relate to the establishment of a credit score that have... that may have been affected by fraud, identity theft, company error, poor judgment, a miscalculation or the act of nature; Sandy and other disasters that people have no control over. utilization of credit checks for employment verification is adding another layer of discriminatory practices, which continue to enforce not only "The Tale of Two Cities," but the reality of "The Tale of Two Worlds." The use of such methods only reinforces the walls of poverty, of hate, dependence, despair, suffering and hopelessness, which creates the downward spiral of "you're not getting up and don't even think about it." Either we want to help people or we don't, and if we don't want to help then let's not pretend that we do. Make it

clear to people that as long as those who control the current state of affairs are in power they will never avail themselves with equal opportunities, economic freedom, respect or the right to provide for their families.

The system continues to disenfranchise people through the failure of the educational system, the inadequate provision of health services, the injustices of the judicial system, the rank bias of the police and the destruction of values and moral decency by a Madison Avenue conspiracy to exploit, rob and compel people to purchase what they don't want and what they don't need. Society cannot and should not continue to create barriers to employment, which cannot be overcome by a sincere effort of one to right the ship of their life with the wind continuing to blow in their face and torpedoes being fired at the boat while you're trying to get ahead.

Let's open doors, not close them and provide people with opportunities, not additional hurdles with the bar being raised so high that even the tallest ladder won't help. A job is a necessity and a milestone in the development of a contributing member of society. People should have a right to

2.2

work and a right to provide for themselves and their families. Good credit should not be the only determining factor of the survival and advancement for one in this society.

Credit checks negatively affect individuals, families, neighborhoods and communities of colors disproportionately. This is significant legislation in attempting to bring this city out of the cabin of despair hovering over whole communities. This must be passed and enacted immediately for the sake of the overall well-being of each person of New York.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much. [chime] Any questions? Danny Dromm.

Statement really more than any question, if you don't mind, Madam Chair. It's just that in listening to all the testimony that I've heard this morning, it just strikes me as being quite ironic and funny... well, not funny in the real sense, but ironic that... and I heard Public Advocate James' response to the Securities Industry as well in terms of the exemptions that they would like to have on this legislation, but you know, we're talking about like

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

places like Citibank and Chase Manhattan and then I'm thinking that you know, aren't they the ones who got us involved in this financial mess in the first place and then they have the nerve to want to turn around and check their applicants to jobs for their companies to determine whether or not you know, the lower level workers are going to be... somehow it's going to be predictable about whether their credit history is going to affect their performance on the job. But let's say okay, on the other side of the issue, I would assume that many of those CEOs of those very same banks and securities industries passed the credit check, but it wasn't a predictor of their bad behavior. So as I'm listening to the testimony, I'm just like I can't believe it and then I think that many of those security industries and banks, et cetera also were the ones who caused this crisis and they gave out bad mortgages you know, foreclosures that got the people who have the bad credit in the trouble that they got into in the first place and now they want to use what they did to these folks against them to stop them from getting a job in the future. So I can't really believe the absurdity of some of the arguments that I'm hearing here this

\_

morning because it's just not all making sense to me. I just don't get it and so I say that to this panel here because I feel your pain and I understand what you're going through and I just want to say, Madam Chair, that I'm going to support this without exemptions. I hope that we don't have any exemptions on this legislation, but it just hits me so hard that the irony of this situation that we're even having to discuss this at this point. Thank you.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY:

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, first of all, I want to thank my colleague, Council Member Dromm, for putting it so eloquently because I do think it is important to point out that you know, worse than irony; it is ironic, but it is... you know, had it not done so much damage to so many people you know, it'd be easier to laugh at and I think your point is very well taken and I just want to say thank you to all of you. I know it's not easy to share these personal stories and I think it goes to this issue that I was speaking about with the Chair earlier about protecting people's privacy as well and that forcing people to talk about these issues and I think we will hear people say, "Well, we

\_ 1

give people a chance to explain," but exposing people to this situation where they would have to talk about some of the most painful issues in a job interview you know, is not right for us, but mostly I just want to say thank you for your courage in coming forward and it is stories like this that are I think what compelled the Council to move forward on this legislation. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes and I just hope that we start a database on these employers who... you know if they... once this is passed they do not apply. We really have to make sure the same way with WMBEs. We have a database now in place to know which employers are not doing what they're supposed to do, so I'm looking forward for it to start expanding and thank you for your testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I'll just say the questions of enforcement are ones that we should also continue to work on after this hearing and make sure we have the right enforcement provisions in place for this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much for this panel. Thank you. We have Eric Ulliman... Ellman, Alex Caprieri... Catchiperio... Catcheperia

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

... pia. Steven Evell. Give me someone. Alexander Gleason. Thank you, sir. You can... as soon as you get here, you can start. Thank you. Anyone can start.

ERIC ELLMAN: Alright, I'll go first since I was called first. Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the committee. My name is Eric Ellman. represent the Consumer Data Industry Association, CDIA. We are the Trade Association that represents credit bureaus, consumer reporting agencies that work with their business customers, employers to help them better manage risk.

You have a very difficult job for you because you have talked about, of course, the importance of evidence; you have talked about, of course, the issue of fairness and not only is there an issue of fairness to consumers and employees, but there's also an element of fairness for businesses as well that are working to try as best as they can to manage their risk and that tension is reflected on the ceiling of this room where you have Jefferson, who says, "Equal and exact justice to all men whatever state or persuasion," but you also have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Washington, who said, "The commercial policy should hold an equal and impartial hand."

But there's a couple of points that I want you to consider as you go through this balancing between developing fairness for employers and fairness for employees. Four points: credit reports are reliable predictors of risk. They're ample consumer protections in the federal and state consumer protection or credit reporting statute and employers... the dispute resolution process for consumers is successful and efficient and importantly, employers find value in credit reports for risk mitigation.

Now, we've talked a little bit about the accuracy of credit reports and that's an important point to make because the Federal Trade Commission, the CFPB, the independent research firm PERC all looked at the accuracy of credit reports, the FTC found 98.7 percent of credit reports are accurate. PERC found credit reports are accurate 99.07 percent and the CFPB said that credit reports are accurate somewhere between 96.1 and 98.7 percent accurate. Ιn fact, the Federal Trade Commission indicated in a study before Congress that there is a market

/

2.2

incentive to maintain and improve the accuracy and completeness of credit reports.

In terms of FCRA protections, as we've heard, not entirely correctly, but generally there are significant protections for consumers. They have to be told and officially sign off on a written piece of paper that they will undergo a credit report check for employment. They are required by law to get an adverse action report, meaning that I'm thinking about taking this adverse action. We know that employers most of the time have an opportunity, as we've discussed, to have discussion with an employee or a prospective employee.

And then also, since I don't have much time, I want to talk about the importance of credit reports [chime] and the value for employers. In fact, the EEOC itself, which says, "Overdue just debts increase temptation to commit illegal and unethical acts as a means of gaining funds to meet financial obligations," and that's not CDIA. That's the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Thank you very much. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: You could keep going and the next one and we'll ask you questions afterwards. Next panel.

ALEX CACIOPPO: Council members, thank
you for the opportunity to appear before you today.

My name is Alex Cacioppo. I work for Management
Resources, a New York State S corporation founded in
2002. Our founder and president, Rob Lederer,
regrets that he cannot appear here before you again
to testify, as he is in Phoenix for the next two
weeks on business. I am here to speak on his behalf
in support of Intro Number 261.

Our mission at Management Resources is to help small to mid-sized businesses run better and grow. We help business owners get the right things on and off their plates to free them to build a business and we help them get the right team around them to do the rest of the work of the business. We are not a recruiting or personnel agency. We are a management and organizational consulting firm. Ours is a small business. One way to look at our company is that we are in the employee business. Team building is essential to our services. We help businesses develop employees, promote or reassign

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24 25

employees and hire employees. Not only do we do that for clients, we have to bring on our own staff and talent.

That brings me to the subject at hand: using a job candidate's credit history as a tool for evaluating the candidate's fitness as an employee. ask the people of the City Council, how would you use a credit report to help you evaluate a candidate's fitness? Don't know? For most jobs, we don't either. If you do know how you'd use it, how do you know it's a valid predictor? What does it say about an employee? Don't know? We don't either. A screening needs to be relevant to the specific job. What makes credit history relevant? Don't know? don't either. We don't know how to use a credit report in employee hiring promotion for most jobs and neither does anyone on our team. Furthermore, our team, having worked with hundreds of employees... of businesses, can tell you tell you that most people making hiring decisions in business don't know either. It's too easy for people who make hiring and promotion decisions to use this information wrongly and with harmful effect, as with any other form of red lining. If you want to screen for scruples,

2.2

there are ways to do so. This is not one of them.

If Rob relied on credit history with the oldest and most trusted member of our staff, he might be tempted to terminate the relationship, given the personal financial management mess that that person's family is in. However, Rob relies on this person with his credit cards and most personal account information.

This person's honesty and trustworthiness has nothing to do with their personal financial history.

Let's go in the other direction. If we include credit history because of some notion that it somehow tells Rob about someone's employability, [chime] why stop there? Why can't we consider every job candidate's parking ticket history? Everyone here knows someone who's gotten a parking ticket. [laughter] There are lots of reasons people get parking tickets. We can certainly make the argument that that means something bad about that person's character. That doesn't make it a valid argument, but the argument could be made, and if our business fortunes depended on selling more data on parking ticket history, we might certainly be tempted to scare and motivate businesses to use the data for

We, as businesses, need to stand up and say publicly

that not only will we not participate in doing evil,

we will not stand by when evil is done.

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 87
2	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.
3	[applause] Okay, no clapping, please. Thank you.
4	STEPHAN EDEL: Thank you. My name is
5	Stephan Edel. I'm a policy and campaign analyst with
6	the Center for Working Families. Thank you to the
7	council members
8	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: [interposing] and
9	could you move the mic a little closer
10	[crosstalk]
11	STEPHAN EDEL: Yes.
12	[crosstalk]
13	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: To you? Okay.
14	STEPHAN EDEL: Thank you to the council
15	members, the Public Advocate and everybody in
16	attendance at this hearing. I'm really honored to be
17	able to come and talk with you and I'm not
18	[crosstalk]
19	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: And your name again?
20	STEPHAN EDEL: My name is Stephan Edel.
21	I'm a policy analyst with the Center for Working
22	Families. I'm not going to read my remarks. I'm
23	just going to make a few quick remarks.

We have an unemployment crisis in this city and this administration is proudly fighting to

25

2 reduce inequality, improve civil rights and try and create jobs. This bill is an opportunity for the 3 administration, the Council and all our leadership to 4 5 lead the way by having the strongest bill in the country. Employers are not doing this to be unfair 6 7 or to be biased or to be discriminatory. They're faced with an employment situation where they get 8 many applicants for a job and they're looking for 9 unbiased, reasonable and undiscriminatory ways to 10 evaluate those candidates. Unfortunately, if we look 11 12 at the evidence in this case, not only are credit 13 checks sometimes unreliable and I'm not going to 14 debate my co-panelists on that point. Not only are 15 they unproven, as my co-panelists have said, to show 16 what we're trying to find, I'm going to say what we do know about them, right, credit checks correlate 17 18 very, very well for certain things. They correlate very well for race; they correlate very well for how 19 20 low-income your parents were; they correlate very well for how much you've had financial problems in 21 2.2 the past, which have nothing to do with what's going 23 A large proportion of poor financial records have to do with health issues, which has to do with 24

broader policy issues in our society that are nothing

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to do with the character of a candidate. reviewing the discussion in each of the states where this has been passed, I can find no solid evidence that this does reflect character, but I'll ask you more to the point and the City Council... when the Mayor's Counsel spoke earlier, she cited a study from the Woodstock Institute that said 38.6 percent of people in mostly African American communities have less than prime credit. If we accept the idea that any council member accepts this idea; that community members who have bad credit are poor credit risks are likely to have crime then we are accepting the statement that twice as many African Americans are criminals; that almost twice as many Latinos are criminals and that's an idea that this Council needs to be very clear, not just for New York, but

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

nationally we don't accept. Thank you for your time.

ALEX GLEASON: My name is Alex Gleason.

I'm the policy associate at the New York City Central
Labor Council of the AFL-CIO. I apologize for my
raspy voice. That's the election you hear and I will
be reading a statement on behalf of our president,

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Vincent Alvarez and I'm happy to answer any questions on behalf of our organization.

As president of the New York City Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO, it is of utmost importance to me that our city works to help ensure that all working people have access to gainful, family sustaining employment. New York City's continued economic growth and the ability to put an end to the income disparities that are crippling communities depend on workers' access to securing good jobs, providing livable wages, benefits and worker protections. The use of tools like pre-interview credit checks serve as barriers to employment for workers and they provide a method for employers to openly discriminate against applicants. discriminatory credit checks are marketed by private firms as an inexpensive way for employers to weed out applicants. The firms claim that the credit checks signal prospective employees' likelihood of stealing, yet research shows no legitimate correlation.

To put it in perspective, if these firms are correct, student loans and credit card balances are significant indicators of a propensity for theft; something we all know is an unfair conclusion. If

our economy is to truly rebound from the economic recession, all workers must have access to good jobs. Qualified applicants deserve the opportunity to interview for open positions. Credit history must not be a reason that qualified applicants are overlooked.

ensuring that all workers are employed and that their employment leads to the wages, benefits and protections that often come with union membership.

Now we are asking the City Council to stand with us to commit to strengthening our workforce by removing this unnecessary and discriminatory barrier to employment. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. Wow, this is very interesting. Just put on your list also a title search. If you get a title search... it just happened to me and they said a parking ticket and I was really shocked. I said... and that was a old parking ticket, so that is so true. Where do we go from there? They go everywhere, so it should be across the board and my colleague, Brad Lander, have another question. Thank you for all your testimony.

2.2

much, Madam Chair and thanks to all of you. I think it's especially useful to hear how employers who are trying hard to protect their shareholders, protect their business interests, protect their customers, but do it in the right way are thinking about this and I thank you all for your testimony.

Mr. Ellman, first, I want to thank you for being here. I recognize you have a job to do and I appreciate your coming here to do it, and I respect you for that and we do want everyone to feel comfortable testifying, but I do want to ask you a few questions. First, I just want to clarify. So the Consumer Data Industry Association, that's the Trade Association for the credit reporting bureaus, right?

ERIC ELLMAN: That's correct, yes, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And those are the agencies who sell the credit reports that employers are buying for this purpose, right?

ERIC ELLMAN: Yes and employers are obviously buying it because they find it valuable and if they didn't they wouldn't.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 93
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So but you're
3	here but your members are selling it because they
4	make money selling it, right?
5	ERIC ELLMAN: Sure, but we can't sell if
6	people won't buy.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, but
8	obviously restrictions on selling it would prevent
9	your members from making money selling credit
10	reports, correct?
11	ERIC ELLMAN: Oh, that's exactly right,
12	but
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing]
14	Okay.
15	ERIC ELLMAN: Thankfully
16	[crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So
18	[crosstalk]
19	ERIC ELLMAN: Our members put together a
20	product that works and people buy it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well, we're
22	debating whether it works or not. I'm just
23	clarifying. I think it makes sense to me that the
24	Consumer Data Industry Association's here to testify

because this bill will prevent what we believe is

credit and risk management.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 95
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I'm glad to
3	talk about those actually.
4	ERIC ELLMAN: As am I.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know, I'm
6	trying to just make sure I'm citing the correct one,
7	but I think it's the Association of Certified Fraud
8	Examiner study that for example, found that men were
9	twice as likely to commit fraud as women. Are you
10	familiar with that finding of the study?
11	ERIC ELLMAN: That particular part I am
12	not, but
13	[crosstalk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So that is
15	actually yeah, I'm right now. that is from the
16	American Certified Fraud Examiner study, the same
17	study
18	[crosstalk]
19	ERIC ELLMAN: Very well.
20	[crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You were citing,
22	so do you believe that we should hire women rather
23	than men because apparently according to that study,

things that you can do nothing about, like the color

of your skin; your national or ethnic origin; your

23

2 race; your gender; your sexual orientation and things

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: The position of the credit industries is that we're doing well in preventing discrimination and guaranteeing people's civil rights in this...

[crosstalk]

ERIC ELLMAN: I think that nobody in this room or in any industry would be satisfied unless all discrimination of all kind was eliminated and I applaud you all for taking a deeper look into that, but it's important to note that there are studies that show and there are employers that find value in managing their business risk, which means protecting not only their shareholder assets, but also their business assets and also the information of their customers and also their fellow employees.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And then just one final question. You know I... first, I will say I really did enjoy the quoting of Washington and Jefferson. They're you know in the room with us, so I believe that they're on the side of advancing people's opportunities, but I just want to... you know, when you say your credit reports for employment

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[crosstalk]

purposes are reliably proven predictors of risk, I think what was said later is right. Credit reports were developed... what... for what purpose?

ERIC ELLMAN: Well, they were initially, of course, developed to determine credit risk, but as it turns out, there are other purposes that make for valuable use of credit reports, including predicting business risk like in employment situations.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I'll just say and I'll just end here. I mean I think the data's clear that they are, in fact, predict... useful and reliably proven predictors of credit risk. not seen still, and I'm glad to look at additional studies that they are, in fact, reliable predictors of fraud risk, theft risk or employment risk and in the absence of that evidence and the presence of the discriminatory evidence, if you're clients were genuinely committed to doing everything we can to address discrimination in light of the evidence, I hope they'd agree to support the bill and stop selling these reports to employers who are using it in ways that do great harm to people like those that were on the panel...

[crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ERIC ELLMAN: It's important... I think it's important to consider, Councilman and members of the committee, as you consider this, even though we had checked the box to oppose because there's no check the box for support additional amendments, when I opened my comments about fairness, I think that's an important point and I don't think we're necessarily saying no to the bill, but I think that there has to be additional fairness for employers and as it was pointed out multiple times, there are quite a few states that have passed laws in this area that allow for additional allowances where employers have found value and that's what we're hoping that you'll consider. You've asked for evidence; we've presented you a pile of it.

council Member Lander: Yes and we will obviously review your more detailed testimony and were welcomed to look even more thoroughly at the reports. I agree with you that a responsibility of ours is to be fair in our consideration, but I would

2.2

say the following: the fact that the customers have found value is not, in fact, evidence that there is a reliable predictor or that there isn't discrimination taking place, so evidence needs to come in the form that there is you know, for particular job categories, for particular kinds of employers specific and demonstrable need and/or that there is not specific and demonstrable harm. So we will be glad to review that. We do believe in fairness. We are glad you're here today, but I think, as I said earlier, the fact that people say that they want it may just be evidence of a prejudice and not evidence of a practice that has value or is nondiscriminatory based on the evidence. So thank you very much for your time today.

ERIC ELLMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. We have one more question from our Public Advocate, Tish James.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. Just following up on that line of questioning, is there a difference between business risk and employment risk?

ERIC ELLMAN: There is a difference. As I understand your question, an employment risk is,

employer include the Securities Industry and

Financial Markets Association?

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

ERIC ELLMAN: I'm not going to speak to specific exemptions, but I can point you to the other states; Connecticut obviously closest here geographically and maybe arguably politically. They have a significant number of allowances for business needs, some of which were discussed earlier, but I can't speak to specific industries.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay and I received a couple of texts and emails a few minutes ago, which indicated that I mischaracterized the position of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, particularly as it relates to good character. But I'm reading from their testimony and the sentence in particular where I take issue with is, "Federal and state securities regulators have determined that knowing the personal financial circumstance of Securities Industry representatives is important to invest their protection efforts. instance, the Financial Industries is required to comply with NASD rule, which provides that a member firm must ascertain by investigation the good character," blah, blah, blah, blah. That is what I was referring to, and so do you believe that good

Are they...

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS 104
2	[crosstalk]
3	ERIC ELLMAN: Yeah, they are.
4	[crosstalk]
5	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Attached?
6	ERIC ELLMAN: They're in here, yes,
7	ma'am.
8	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. I
9	appreciate that.
10	ERIC ELLMAN: Sure.
11	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much for
12	your testimony.
13	ERIC ELLMAN: Thank you. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Sarah Abea Alba;
15	Tilima [phonetic]; Brian [phonetic] Graham; G.L.
16	Tyler, District Council 1707; Tashi Lhewa.
17	[background noise] You may start.
18	SARAH ALBA: Thank you. Good morning.
19	My name is Sarah Alba. I'm a staff attorney at
20	Manhattan Legal Services, which is a part of Legal
21	Services NYC. I want to thank the council members
22	and the Public Advocate for being here today and
23	hearing this testimony.
24	You've heard a lot today about the

problems of using credit checks in employment and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I've discussed it in depth in my written testimony, but what I really want to focus on today is what we've seen as advocates and what our clients are experiencing and what we're seeing is that clients are being denied jobs because of medical debt, because of identity theft, because of all sorts of things that have really nothing to do with employment.

We've heard a lot about domestic violence, so I just wanted to tell you a story about one of my clients, who is a single mother in her thirties. She has a young daughter and she's recently escaped from an abusive relationship. applied for a job at Manhattan Legal Services after she'd been... I'm sorry, at Madison Square Garden after she'd been out of work for more than a year and she was trying to get herself you know, back on her feet after having lived with an abusive partner for over seven years. She applied for a low-level ticket taker position, but she had such a strong employment history that they hired her for a supervisor position and she started working and about three hours into her first day of work, she was approached by a security quard and told in front of all of her

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

colleagues that red flags had come up in her background check and she would have to leave the building. She was escorted to a bathroom where a Madison Square Garden employee stood outside the stall door while she changed out of her uniform and then she was escorted from the building and she kept asking what red flags; what red flags and no one would tell her anything. She received a call five months later, after having worried about this the entire time, from an employee at Madison Square Garden telling her that she couldn't work as a supervisor because supervisors handle money and she had one debt in collection on her credit report. helped her pull her credit report, we reviewed it and the debt in question was a medical debt that she incurred while in her abusive relationship. Her partner controlled all access to money, all access to She had never heard of this debt. In the time period since she had incurred the debt, she'd held several managerial positions handling money. She had never had a problem and yet, this one debt kept her from a job that could have helped her get back on her feet and support her daughter.

In a similar story, we have a client who
started a job at Macy's and same thing, security went
up to her, told her that she couldn't work there
anymore because she had forged there was something
showed up on her credit report that showed that she
had a criminal record in New Jersey for [chime]
forged checks. She was escorted from the building in
front of all of her colleagues and she had never had
a criminal record. She had no idea what this was
about. It took her weeks to correct this record and
she was finally rehired by Macy's, but she lost you
know, about five weeks of work, which, for a low-
income person is devastating and makes it extremely
hard to carry on from day to day. Do you mind if I
tell a couple more stories? I know my three minutes
are past.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much. G.L. Tyler.

SARAH ALBA: No? Okay.

G.L. TYLER: Thank you. Good afternoon.

I thank the Council's Committee on Civil Rights and
this chair to present this testimony. My name is
G.L. Tyler. I am speaking on behalf of the Executive

2.2

Board of District Council 1707 AFSCME and its
Executive Director, Victoria Mitchell.

District Council 1707 stands firmly
behind any and all laws that offer enhanced
protections for working men and women seeking
employment that discourages discrimination based on
factors already proven not to offer a critical
assessment of one's ability to perform aptly on most
jobs. Intro 261 will set a clear example to the rest
of the nation that credit discrimination is
regressive and credit checks were not designed to
assess long or short-term job performance.

Since 2012, more than 1,200 members of our Head Start Local 95 and Daycare Local 205 were terminated due to former Mayor's Early Learn fiasco. These workers immediately sought employment and anecdotally, I learned that many had difficulty finding new employment. It is embarrassing for anyone to speak about their personal finances that could have hindered their employment opportunities, but if an application require a prospective employee's permission to seek their credit history, how many of us will refuse, knowing that the prospective employer would immediately reject the

history? It does not.

2.1

2.2

2	application? I know child care professionals seeking
3	employment want to work. Before they were terminated
1	in 2012, many were on their jobs 10, 20 and 30 years.
5	How does a credit check substantiate a notable work

Let's pass this bill and eliminate another hurdle for New Yorkers to become employed, raise their families and continue to their communities and the various local and citywide economies. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

BRIAN GRAHAM: Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Brian Graham and I am a concerned citizen.

I would like to take this time to discuss the

economic effect, in which a strong stand to this bill

if it in its current form does not happen could have

catastrophic effects on this economy.

Let me start by saying according... right now, one-third of Americans have bad credit, in which 620 or lower is considered bad credit. This is roughly 106 million Americans right now. With that being said, two-thirds of college grads have debt totaling up to \$1 trillion. That is roughly six percent of our national debt. Now, default in this

,

scenario will only fall on the U.S. taxpayers in the form of higher taxes. New York is the financial capital of the world, in which everyone deserves the opportunity to participate in it, not just the privileged. During the great recession, 13,000 jobs from New York based companies were outsourced because of credit checks. If this procedure is allowed to continue, it will allow another potential threat to our economy and can potentially force another great recession. Thank you. That is my testimony.

Mealy and members of the Committee of Civil Rights.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today in regards to Intro 261. My name is Tashi Lhewa. I am a consumer attorney with the Legal Aid Society. The Legal Aid Society is the largest and the oldest legal service provider for low-income families and individuals, who provide services in regards to criminal, civil and juvenile rights matters.

The Legal Aid Society commends the committee for holding this hearing today on Intro 261, which we strongly support in its present form and we believe that credit reports are not an indicator of a job seeker's character or their

2.1

2.2

ability to perform their jobs. It remains a crude and very ineffective hiring instrument in today's economy where so many people are struggling to pay their bills. The practice of using credit checks in the hiring process prevents people from getting jobs after their credit is adversely impacted by factors beyond their control in many instances, such as their marital history; whether it's a medical emergency. Some of these factors were discussed by earlier speakers.

The Society advocates on behalf of numerous consumers whose credit reports have left them unable to obtain housing, basic necessities and yes, obtain employment. The clients of the Legal Aid Society are often the victims of stereotypes and assumptions that are not based on evidence and but rather are based on assumptions that lead them... that result in many instances to discrimination.

Credit reports are notoriously unreliable and ripe with errors. I know we had the representative from the CDIA, I believe, state earlier that approximately 97 to 98 percent of credit reports are accurate according to the FTC study. Well, we... I mean I'm not sure which study he's referring to, but included

in my testimony is a study; a FICO study, a 2013
study by the FTC, which finds that one in five credit
reports have material errors. Other studies have
shown that 25 percent of credit reports contain
errors that are serious enough to deny credit. There
are several reasons for these inaccuracies, one of
them being simply the difficulty in matching a name
to a particular account where credit reporting
bureaus use partial name searches and seven out of
nine digits in the person's social security number,
so that often causes many errors. The process of
correcting an erroneous credit report is time
consuming, confusing and overly complicated for the
average consumer and when we're talking about our
clients, individuals who may be low-income,
immigrants or members of other vulnerable
communities, this task is far more difficult.
Similarly, when we talk about incidents of identity
theft, which have a direct bearing on credit reports,
approximately over 16 million Americans are victims
of identity theft each year. Identity theft is one
of the fastest growing crimes in the United States,
so canceling a credit line once identity theft occurs
may be a relatively simple process, but trying to

_	COMMITTIES ON CIVIE RIGHTS
2	rectify that error, and we have numerous people who
3	come to the Legal Aid Society who are victims of
4	identity theft. [chime] It's immensely difficult
5	I'll just close by stating that trying to correct
6	them requires obtaining a lot of documentation,
7	filing police reports, gathering affidavits, which is
8	very difficult, so that identity thefts make credit
9	reports an unreliable tool when for it to be used
10	when as a job screening tool. The Legal Aid
11	Society would strongly supports this bill and on
12	behalf of our consumers and our clients that we
13	represent, thank you for the opportunity to testify.
14	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you. do you
15	have a percentage of how many people have came to the
16	Legal Services and was in this predicament and how
17	long would it take for them to get their credit
18	together?
19	TASHI LHEWA: As far as people coming to
20	us with erroneous credit reports are victims of
21	identity theft?
22	CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Identity theft.
23	TASHI LHEWA: With identity theft cases,

I think far more than we can assist. I know that we

don't have an exact number, but we are unable to

25

2.2

assist all the people who do come to us, so when I hear other individuals state that you know, that somehow these credit reports are 97 or 98 percent accurate you know, it contradicts the experience that we've had with these instances of identity theft and I can't... I just want to put in one note as to how difficult it is to rectify identity... incidents of identity theft simply because people find out about identity theft after it's already occurred.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Already there.

TASHI LHEWA: And it's a very arduous and difficult process.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Okay, thank you for the panel. Thank you. Ricardo Alavella [phonetic], Ann Valdez, Courtney Davis, Emmanuel Cresido [phonetic], Zane Estesa... Salaam... De Salaam [phonetic]. You may start.

ANN VALDEZ: Thank you. My name is Ann Valdez. I am a member and leader at Community Voices Heard. I have been with them for approximately 12 years. First, let me start off by publicly saying thank you very much for creating the resolution and thank the rest of the City Council for signing up that resolution to end unpaid labor. It also falls

2 into the same type of category because of the fact 3 that people are unemployed and need an income, especially when they have a family to take care of; 4 5 their family, they are forced to go to the Department of Social Services, the Human Resource 6 7 Administration. It is degrading, debilitating... to have to go through that. I have two years of 8 college. I have three children, two of which are 9 adults and one is still a minor on his way to 10 adulthood. I was raised from immigrant grandparents 11 12 and a mother that was a single mother, the same as 13 Unfortunately, I could not be raised with my 14 father because of his circumstances and his 15 personality. My mother did the best that she could. 16 I have a very good education. I speak well. I'm a 17 very loving person, but all that doesn't matter when 18 you have to go and reach out for help and when you reach out for help all they do is throw into your 19 20 face and make you seem as though you're uneducated, you have a drug history or you have a mental illness 21 2.2 or all these humiliating things that are not true for 23 everyone. As I said, I'm a single mother and I did also escape from domestic violence many years ago. 24 raised my children very well. None of my children 25

have any criminal history. They would never do	such
a thing. As a matter of fact, they're more afra	id of
me than they are of the law, which it should be.	I
tried really hard, struggling with my children a	nd I
went to college and I did the best that I can an	d no
one was giving me a chance. Those types of thin	gs
fall very short when you try to apply for a job	
because I don't have a good credit history. I a	lso
have a family member who is dealing with an issu	е
like that also because he's back and forth with	
issues of child support, trying to pay and them	going
after him. They make him [chime] look as though	he's
delinquent and make it look as though he's a dea	dbeat
dad and he's not, alright? We try very hard in	my
family to live up to what our parents and	
grandparents have taught us. I would never do	
anything to try and hurt anyone. I tried really	hard
for a job. I am on again off again employed for	many
different reasons and a lot of it discriminative	
reasons. Now, for these reasons alone, I beg of	you,
as well as the rest of the City Council and ever	yone
in this room [chime] to really take heed and	
understand an individual and their job performan	ce

C	OMMITTEE ON	CIVIL	RIGHTS
---	-------------	-------	--------

2.2

cannot be judged by how they pay their bills because sometimes you just can't pay your bills. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Yes, thank you and thank you for all that you do.

ANN VALDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: You came a long...

ZANE ABDI-SALAAM: Good afternoon,

Chairwoman Mealy, members of the committee. My name is Zane Abdi-Salaam [phonetic] and I am the Director of Public Policy at the Retail Wholesale Department Store Union. On behalf of President Stuart Appelbaum, I'm pleased to testify today on this legislation calling to prohibit discrimination based on one's credit history. Our union, as many of us know here, is deeply involved in progressive activism and movement for economic and social justice and RWDSU is committed to raising job standards across industries and occupations.

As employment lags from the worst economic downturn in decades, thousands of New Yorkers are still out of work and struggling to keep up with bills for even the most basic expenses. What they need more than anything is job, but for too many people access to employment is blocked by the growing

2.2

practice of employment credit checks. Employers now routinely check the credit histories of prospective employees, many of whom are more than qualified for the job, and may use the information to deny them the job. The practice amounts to little more than class discrimination and it must end now. That is why RWDSU stands firmly in support of the Stop Credit Discrimination in Employment Act.

applicants, including people whose credit was damaged as a result of medical debt, divorce, student loans, layoffs, predatory lending, identity theft or other events beyond their control from the employment they desperately need. Credit checks also discriminate against women, low-income and people of color who have been disproportionately impacted by the economic downturn. The New York City Council must act quickly to end this discriminatory practice. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

Hello. Thank you, Chairwoman Mealy and members of the Board. My name is Courtney Davis.

I'm the financial advocacy specialist at the

Community Service Society of New York for the

Financial Coaching Corps Program. The Financial

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coaching Corps recruits and trains senior volunteers to provide one-on-one financial coaching to low-income New Yorkers throughout New York City. We have 30 financial coaches in 25 sites and last year, they served 750 clients.

The primary concern for our clients is

correcting damaged credit and managing their debt. Financial coaches begin this process by showing clients how to access their free credit report, most of whom have never done so before. What do our clients find when they view their credit reports for the first time? They find errors, everything from identity theft to just misspelled names. If they've gone through a hardship, they often find a long list of predatory debt buyers and then in a unique quirk of the New York Civil Court system, they've often been plagued by sewer service and they find that there are default court judgments and other public records for which they were never notified about. These errors, big and small, can determine whether or not someone gets a job. Employers should not be allowed to use credit history information, which may be flawed, to make judgments about an applicant's ability to perform job duties. We work with our

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

clients to help them pay down their debts and repair their credit, but as anyone working in this field will tell you, this process is very long and tedious. It takes seven years for one late payment to fall off a credit report. A client's credit can be damaged for years for just a few months of financial difficulty. These problems are made exponentially worse by the large and powerful debt collection industry in New York, which pursues clients relentlessly. Debt buying companies purchase debts for pennies on the dollar and sell and resell these debts over and over. Every time a debt is sold, a new negative mark is added to the report. Often these debt collectors will file lawsuits against clients in civil court and get default judgments without ever having to produce a single piece of evidence that the debt is valid or properly notifying the client that they are being sued at all. All of these issues are compounded severely by the fact that 70 percent of my clients are unemployed. Unemployment, like other major causes of poor credit, such as divorce or medical debt, disproportionately impact low-income communities of color. Unemployed clients are caught in a vicious cycle in regards to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	their credit history. Additionally, according to
3	"The Unheard Third," the annual survey of low-income
4	New Yorkers conducted by the Community Service
5	Society, in households where someone has lost a job
6	in the past year, 39 percent have no savings and 65
7	percent have less than \$500 in savings. Under these
8	conditions, it can be impossible to get back on track
9	and pay your bills on time. The damage is done.
10	Damaged credit becomes a mark against individuals as
11	they begin the work [chime] of securing that new job
12	or finding more affordable housing, the only real
13	things that will help them attain economic self-
14	sufficiency.
15	This bill addresses these inequities. We
16	wholeheartedly support the passing of this law.

wholeheartedly support the passing of this law. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you and we... thank you for your testimony. Thank you. We have our next panel. Sherrod Stanton [phonetic], Angelina Garneva [phonetic] and Anna Platt. Give it to the Sergeant-at-Arms. [background voice] We have one more panel.

SHERROD STANTON: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Sherrod Stanton and I'm on the

2.2

			Directo							here	on
3	behalf	of	Aileen	Shei	1,	our	chairp	ers	on.		

Unfortunately, she couldn't make it here today because of health reasons, but I'm going to testify on her behalf.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

SHERROD STANTON: Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's hearing. My name is Aileen Sheil and I am the chairperson of the Board of the New York Public Interest Research Group, better known as NYPIRG.

NYPIRG is New York's largest student-directed, non-partisan research and advocacy organization. Our Board of Directors consists of college and university students elected from campuses with NYPIRG chapters from across the state. NYPIRG students become well-trained, powerful advocates by working on public policy issues like environmental protection, consumer rights, voter registration and higher education access and affordability.

We are concerned that the rising cost of higher education, the growing dependence on student loans, on certain job opportunities for recent graduates and the use of credit history as a

2	criterion in hiring decisions are conspiring to
3	create a bleak future for students. Rising costs:
4	In the past three decades, the cost of attaining a
5	college degree across the country has increased more
6	than 1,000 percent. Between 2012 and 2016, CUNY
7	tuition will increase by 58 percent. Student debt
8	crisis: According to the Consumer Financial
9	Protection Bureau, student debt has topped \$1
10	trillion and according to the Institute for College
11	Access to Success, New York graduates with student
12	loan debt have an average burden of \$25,537. Job
13	scarcity: College graduates are facing an
14	unemployment, underemployment and malemployment
15	[sic]. They are without a job; are employed only
16	part-time or for low-wage work or are in a job that
17	does not require a college degree. According to the
18	Federal Reserve Bank of New York, from 2001 to 2012,
19	underemployment rates jumped from 34 percent to 44
20	percent. Furthermore, while college graduates fare
21	better than those without degrees, recent graduates
22	are unemployed in higher numbers than past graduates.
23	Credit discrimination: Almost half of employers are
24	checking people's credit when making hiring and
25	promotion decisions, even though there is no credible

2.2

job performance.

evidence linking credit history with the ability to perform while at work. This holds true across business sectors and job types, no matter what the job is; whether it entails handling money, having access to [chime] personal information or the managerial responsibilities. There is no evidence to suggest that a person's credit history affects their

We implore the City Council to pass Intro 261 without any exemptions. A strong bill without exemptions is the way to protect all job seekers from the discriminatory practices. Take Samantha Perez as a BMCC student. Samantha spoke to *El Diario* for a cover story about falling into financial problems after incurring \$30,000 in student loan debt. As a result of her marred credit history, she was denied employment at the Swatch store in Midtown Manhattan.

Samantha's story is hardly unique. We frequently hear from students who have been denied employment and are struggling to pay off their tuition and worrying about falling into massive amounts of debt and what's worse is that many more students are living in fear of what will happen when they graduate. We call on the New York City Council

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

to pass Intro 261 as it stands to ensure that all New Yorkers have fair access to employment. 3

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

SHERROD STANTON: Thank you. Next

panelist?

ANNA PLATT: Thanks. Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's hearing. My name is Anna Platt and I'm a paralegal in the Consumer Protection Project at the New York Legal Assistance Group. NYLAG serves low-income consumers; immigrants; seniors; the homebound; families facing foreclosure; renters facing eviction; those in need of government assistance; children in need of special education; domestic violence victims; persons with disabilities; patients with chronic illness or disease; low-wage workers; low-income members of the LGBTQ community, as well as others in need of free legal services.

I am offering my testimony today in strong support of the Stop Credit Discrimination in Employment Act because the discriminatory use of credit in making employment decisions harms New Yorkers and prevents people who are willing to work hard from getting the jobs they need to get back on

2	their feet and avoid further debt. Through our
3	organization's work, we are seeing a growing number
4	of New Yorkers who are blocked from getting jobs,
5	housing and other desperately needed services because
6	of bad credit. This bad credit is often the result
7	of identity theft, predatory loans, illness or job
8	loss. Using credit as a proxy for employability is
9	discriminatory and unfair and does nothing to advance
10	the interests of employers who rely on them. The use
11	of credit checks is particularly insidious because
12	credit reports are frequently inaccurate.
13	Additionally, use of credit histories in employments
14	decisions disproportionately affects people of color
15	and low-income communities. Because these
16	populations are targeted for predatory and high cost
17	loans, individuals who fall prey to these schemes and
18	take out these loans end up with damaged credit,
19	which then acts as a bar to employment. Many debts
20	that result in poor credit are the result of identity
21	theft and our clients only learn that accounts were
22	opened in their names when they apply for a job or
23	housing and are told their bad credit bars them from
24	an opportunity. Even though these debts were the
25	result of criminal activity, they still appear on

2.2

credit reports and employers can use this information
against individuals when they apply for jobs.

Applicants are heavily stigmatized and labeled as untrustworthy, even thought the debt that appears on the applicant's credit report is not their debt.

Even if identity theft has not occurred, individual often incur additional debt or fall behind on payments because of legitimate temporary hardships such as illness or job loss. Employers can still use that fact to bar applicants from employment. Failing to hire an otherwise qualified applicant for employment simply because her child was diagnosed with an expensive disability and she fell behind on credit card payments to pay her child's medical expenses or not hiring someone because his mother died and he was saddled with her debts and funeral costs makes no sense and doesn't help either the individual or the economy.

Without employment, individuals cannot move forward from their hardship to pay off debts and become financially stable. Using credit checks in employment only compounds the hardships people face because it denies them the opportunity [chime] to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

become financially independent and earn a stable income to pay off their debts.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. NYLAG calls on New York City Council to do the right thing and to pass Intro 261 to ensure that all New Yorkers have fair access to employment and have even a chance to become financially stable. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you.

Hello, my name is ANGELINE GARALIVA: Angeline Garaliva. I'm here from the New York State Employment and Training Coalition. NYCETC is an association of 200 community-based organizations, educational institutions and union training funds that annually provide job training and employment services to approximately 750,000 New Yorkers, including unemployed workers; public assistance recipients; low-wage workers, at-risk youth; formerly incarcerated individuals; immigrants and the mentally and physically disabled. Our mission is to ensure that every New Yorker has access to the skills, training and education needed to thrive in a local economy and that the private sector is able to retain highly skilled workforce. As providers of the training and employment services that work to connect

2.1

New Yorkers to quality employment, our members are on the frontlines of the unemployment crisis that continues to stifle our communities and economy.

In this capacity, we have witnessed dozens of cases in which job seekers have reported rejections from potential employers that we understand who based on information found in their credit reports. Now, previously in the first testimony, it was reported that while job seekers might have issues connecting to employment, they can go to these types of services, but even our providers are having trouble despite their connections to employers helping those individuals find services and jobs. So if individuals that don't even know about these services are having trouble and don't know how to go about doing it, what chance do they stand?

information and do not include contextual data on the wide range of factors that generated the credit score. Low credit scores are often the result of uncontrollable events that are no fault of an individual, such as medical expenses, college debt, et cetera. However, employers are unable to see such problems and view the existence of a low credit score

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as lack of responsibility, financial malfeasance and other individual characteristics. So I would like to offer a story from one of our member providers to exemplify this.

This is the story of Mr. K., a client

seeking assistance through the Veterans Initiative at Jericho Project, a supportive housing organization and one of our members. Mr. K. is a veteran with extensive experience in IT and Help Desk Support living in Jericho Projects supportive housing residences and seeking employment through the Veterans Employment Program. Based on his extensive work experience, strong personal characteristics and an impressive interview, Mr. K. was soon hired in a lucrative position as an IT consultant by a company specializing in IT Training and Services Fortune 500 companies. However, the person that initially hired Mr. K. forgot to undergo a credit check, which the company then undertook while processing Mr. K.'s hiring documents. Due to past difficulties with paying off his higher education tuition, Mr. K. had a low credit score that he was hoping to improve through gainful employment. Upon undergoing a credit check, the company then rejected Mr. K. after hiring

2.2

him with the only explanation given that he now did not meet their credit standards.

While this is only one instance, this experience underscores the negative influence that credit scores can have in counteracting and undermining positive characteristics exhibited by an individual seeking employment. The use of credit checks unfairly penalizes job seekers whose poor credit reflects the impact of immense external challenges, exacerbates those problems and denies those individuals the ability to use characteristics that are, in fact, most pertinent to success in the workforce: soft and hard skills, work and educational experience, personality, work ethic and drive. All of those have been proven to actually lead to success in the workforce, whereas credit scores have been shown not to.

So this has also led our providers to stray away from certain industries and certain job occupations, such as bank tellers, which is the entry-level position towards a highly grossing [chime] career. They have... instead of sending people to janitorial positions, when in fact, they have skills and experience to be in a position that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

could grow and give them gainful employment. So I would like to thank you for your time and this testimony.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: I just have one question. If someone... do you have a solution for if someone was discriminated against in regards incarceration with no credit ...

ANGELINE GARALIVA: Mm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: This legislation would help that individual, so do you feel that this is a good legislation?

ANGELINE GARALIVA: Right. So we have actually... we work with a lot of organizations that do that kind of work and they've said specifically that while credit checks might not... they haven't found instances of credit checks, it falls within the background check overall and the problem with credit checks is that you can never know... no employer will go forward and tell you, "It is due to the credit check that we performed," whereas a background check is easier to understand and view that that is where the problem falls. So they are correlated and it would certainly help in this instance.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you so much.

2.2

2 ANGELINE GARALIVA: Mm-hm. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you for your testimony. We have the last panel. Anamaria Segur [sic]; 'kay, Tenzine Testasong... Chasong. Do we have a Elijah Bah [sic]? Ah, thank you, sorry.

ANAMARIA SEGURA: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Anamaria Segura and I'm a staff attorney at MFY Legal Services in the Workplace Justice Project. I want to thank Brad Lander, who happens to be my council member in absentia and Chairwoman Mealy. Miss Mealy, I have to say that your office has referred many constituents to our Consumer Rights Project hotline and we've been happy to serve them.

I submitted lengthy testimony, so I'm certainly not going to read directly from it. I just had a few things I wanted to reiterate that others have brought up and also to respond to. You know, the first thing I just have to... I can't forget to say is to respond to Mr. Ellman from CDIA, who said that the process for disputes for inaccurate credit reports is quote, "successful and efficient." That is not my experience at all and I think you all have heard from other advocates who have echoed that. The

state of Mississippi this past June filed a lawsuit
against Experian, which is one of the big three
credit reporting agencies, finding that actually
Experian had not taken disputes seriously at all;
that the company allowed erroneous information to be
included on the credit reports that consumers were
complaining about; that it had refused to correct the
errors when they complained, even in the face of
documentary proof that the information was incorrect,
such as proofs of payment. And I have to say that
I that was my experience in the five years that I
was a Consumer Rights Project attorney at MFY that
was disappointingly true. So you know I'm not sure
where Mr. Ellman is getting his data and I don't
believe he's here anymore to respond to me, but I
would've laughed out loud when he said that if it
weren't so breathtakingly incorrect, his statement.
I just think it shows that a trade group like that is
not going to necessarily be on the ground seeing
experiences of every day New Yorkers.

I want to also echo what Mitchell Hirsch said from the National Employment Law Project about involuntary job losses. In my two years in the Workplace Justice Project, I have volunteered at an

2 advice table for New Yorkers who've lost their job and who are in the process of trying to apply for 3 unemployment insurance benefits who have been denied 4 for one reason or another or whose employer has tried to interfere with the process of eligibility for 6 benefits and I can tell you that one of the first 7 things I hear from so many of these people at 250 8 Schermerhorn in Brooklyn at the Department of Labor 9 is you know, these benefits aren't even going to make 10 the difference, but without this income I am forced 11 12 to put basic necessities on my credit card and so 13 they're just a couple of paychecks away or a few 14 weeks of benefits away from falling into that spiral 15 of debt; falling into that catch-22 that you heard so 16 many advocates talk about. I could tell so many 17 stories and I identify with Sarah Alba from Manhattan 18 Legal Services, who probably has like dozens of stories of New Yorkers she's tried to assist who are 19 20 victims of identity theft whose reports were merged with other people with similar names; who were 21 2.2 targets for fee harvester cards; you know predatory 23 loan products; who are in mortgage distress and those stories are so personal, [chime] but what they 24 reflect is a dire need for the Council to act and the 25

2.2

last thing I want to say is just I want to implore that the Council pass this bill with no exemptions.

Don't lose sight of the fact that the trade groups that have been successful in other states and localities in carving out categories of workers have done so because of the bottom line for them, which is money, and not because of the best interests of the people who are being harmed by these checks. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Thank you and next panel?

name is Tenzing Chadotsang and I am the Deputy
Director at Chhaya CDC. I want to thank you for the opportunity to testify here and I wanted to give a shout out to Council Member Dromm. We work with issues of credit and foreclosures every day on a daily basis and when Council Member said that we were... that's exactly the reason why we're here to support this bill.

Chhaya is based in Queens in Jackson

Heights. Our meeting shelter or shade is dedicated
to creating more stable and sustainable communities
by increasing civic participation and addressing the

housing and community development needs of New York's
South Asian community, our new immigrants and their
neighbors. We deal directly with economic and
workforce development and in homeownership
foreclosure prevention, housing rights, civic
engagement and advocating for affordable housing
opportunities, as well as research and advocacy. So
it's one of the biggest identified fastest ethnic
populations that have increased in size in the last
10 years, over 67 percent in New York City; directly
work with immigrants from India, Nepal, Pakistan,
Bangladesh and the displaced communities from the
Indo-Caribbean and Tibetan origins, so it's like a
very diverse group that we work with and most of our
clientele are low and moderate-income folks that are
finding it very difficult to enter into the
workforce. So we have an economic development
program that we work with them.

We find that immigrants lack a basic understanding of the financial services, as well as the credit building tools that are here. New immigrant families are hard-working, oftentimes doing two or three jobs with minimum wages to supplement their income, and one of the places where they fall

short is building up credit history and that's sometimes a big challenge for us as we push them to do other things, which is including homeownership, which we generally push them to do. Chhaya is working with some of these folks to do financial coaching, as well as literacy along current credit building and repair and we have launched the first pilot program for a landing circle in New York City, which will be... we did get some funding from the Mission Asset Fund.

We find that these credit checks are an artificial employment barrier to an otherwise driven job seeker and not only disempowers the job seeker, but delays their potential to contribute to social and economic productivity; hence, we urge you to end consumer credit checks for employment purposes. This practice does not correlate to employee productivity, prevents the long-term unemployed from reentering the labor market and reduces immigrant labor from fully [chime] participating and engaging in our economy. Thank you.

L. HODGE BAH: Okay, alright. I would like to thank Madam Chair and the Committee on Civil Rights as a whole for putting this together and

2	allowing us to testify today. My name is L. Hodge
3	Bah [phonetic]. I'm no one special, just a concerned
4	young man and I strongly feel that if employers wish
5	to hire people less likely to commit crime then they
6	should make decisions based on one's criminal record
7	and if employers wish to hire people based on
8	responsibility then they should make decisions based
9	on one's references. A credit score is not a
10	criminal record and not a gauge of one's job
11	responsibility. It's personal, not professional. If
12	defaulting is a basis for labeling someone as likely
13	to commit crime, then what about the banks that
14	defaulted some years ago and caused the recession in
15	the first place? Are they criminals in disguise?
16	Are they irresponsible, as people with bad credit
17	allegedly are?

The DOW Jones Industrial Average fell to 7,000 around 2008. It is now at 17,000. Sadly, job creation has not accelerated at the same pace as the DOW. People lost jobs due to the recession and credit scores shot down all across the board as a result and allowing employers to have yet another loophole to curb job creation, especially amongst demographics where unemployment rates are the

2.2

2 highest, would be irresponsible and negligent.

3 People are already having a hard time finding

4 apartments based on credit scores. At least give us

5 | the ability to earn honest livings. With that being

6 said, I urge our lawmakers to pass this bill as is.

7 I thank Council Members Brad Lander and Deborah Rose

for sponsoring such an important bill. Thank you for

9 your time.

1

8

10 CHAIRPERSON MEALY: Wow. Thank you.

11 | That was a great ending. It said enough. And I just

12 | want to submit NYPAG Legal Defense Education Fund for

13 | their NAA... oh, okay, Alfred. Well, thank you for

14 | the... your last panel. Thank you so much. We're

15 | just going to submit some testimonies for the record.

16 | Gustavo Panessa [phonetic]; Finiar, NYSR [phonetic];

17 | Alfred Carpenter; NYSR Deny Jobs; NAACP; Legal

18 | Defense; Lower Eastside People's Federation Credit

19 | Union; the Center for New York City Neighborhoods;

20 Restaurant Opportunity Center of New York; East

21 | Williamsburg Village Industrial Development

22 | Corporation and Demos. And now this meeting is

23 | adjourned. Thank you, everyone.

[gavel]

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 09/17/2014