CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

November 22, 2013 Start: 01:09 p.m. Recess: 01:51 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

BEFORE:

PETER F. VALLONE, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ERIK MARTIN DILAN
DANIEL R. GARODNICK
JAMES F. GENNARO
VINCENT J. GENTILE
DAVID G. GREENFIELD

ERIC A. ULRICH

[gavel]

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay good

afternoon everyone. Welcome to this hearing of the Public Safety Committee. Does anyone here who has a, wants to testify but hasn't filled out one of these cards please, please do so. Today we're looking at Intro 859 which amends the administrative code in relation to requiring the police department to submit to the council reports of crimes in all parks and playgrounds within the city that are greater than one acre in size. As I've said before one of our greatest assets is our parks. It's an, in-sprawling urban landscape like ours it's important we provide residents with a robust and safe park system to act as a, as a respite from the fast paced nonstop New York lifestyle. In order to ensure the people have a pleasant experience in our parks we have to be vigilant about the safety. Because the unfortunate truth is the parks are not immune to crimes. In fact we just got the latest parks crime report which we would not be getting if not for the bill I passed in 2006 I believe which shows that crime in parks is higher than it's ever been since we began

2	to receive those reports. It's clear that crime in
3	parks is a serious issue and it's important for the
4	public to be informed so we can adequately work
5	together to address the problems. It's that, that's
6	why I put forth the original bill in 2005 to
7	require the NYPD to report on a quarterly basis the
8	number of crimes committed in parks. This law is
9	known as local law 114 of 2005 and it's because of
10	that that we're able to look at the, the crime
11	that's occurring in the city's largest 31 parks.
12	However the city's park system is vast and we are
13	not being provided with the complete picture.
14	Initially the law required the NYPD to report on
15	the 20 largest parks and they were to increase this
16	on a gradual basis. By now pursuant to law, law 114
17	the NYPD should be providing the counts with
18	statistics for all city parks one acre or more in
19	size a total of approximately 870 parks. That's the
20	number that the NYPD testified to that they, that
21	they agree exists. So the number may be even
22	greater than that. At the time of the law's passage
23	we worked with the NYPD and took into consideration
24	the fact that there were some technological hurdles
25	for the NYPD to overcome to be able to provide us

2	this information. So after negotiations with them,
3	compromises that come in every bill, we included
4	language to reflect that understanding.
5	Unfortunately it's now been seven years since the
6	law went in to the, to effect and in addition to
7	the original 20 parks, or 21 parks that they were
8	giving us they're now giving 31 parks out of 870.
9	And I have been talking to them, negotiating with
10	them, threatening them for years and they have not
11	moved off that 31 parks, that, that number. And
12	I've said to them at the last hearing which I
13	believe many people were at; well if you don't, if
14	you don't move off that we're going to move
15	legislatively and that's what we're doing. We have
16	to move legislatively to eliminate that language
17	that they rely on to not give us the information we
18	need. It's my understanding that we invited the
19	police department to this hearing but they will not
20	be attending. Despite the importance of local law
21	114 and the fact that this hearing would give them
22	an opportunity to explain why they're still unable
23	to fully comply with the spirit of the law enacted
24	over seven years ago. We've got say, we've got a
25	nolice department that's one of the most

2	technically advanced in the world, they've got the
3	real time crime center and comp stat and you name
4	it but they can't figure out how to give us
5	information regarding crime in parks. It would have
6	been helpful to have the administration here so
7	they could explain why and what other if they, if
8	they needed any other assistance from us but
9	they're not. So we are going to be joined by some
10	advocacy groups and community groups and I would
11	actually like to hear their thoughts on, on this
12	legislation. I've already been informed that, I've,
13	I've known for some time that they want this
14	legislation to go further than it does and include
15	parks that are under one acre in size. That's
16	something I'd like to discuss today. And however
17	as, as most people realize every bill is a subject
18	of compromise both with the administration and with
19	the speaker's office and the other council members.
20	We can only pass what we can, what we can get
21	enough support for to pass. You cannot always pass
22	the perfect bill but at this point I do believe we
23	can pass a bill that will, that will increase the
24	number of parks from 30 to at least 870. But I
25	would like to have a discussion on how we can make

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 7
2	the bill even better. That's why, why we have these
3	hearings. So the first panel. Well since we only
4	have three people now we might as well put them all
5	up so we don't have to wait. Geoff Croft from New
6	York Park Advocates, Joe Paulo from DC 37 local
7	983, and Marlena Giga G-I-G-A from also, from local
8	983. Okay I assume Joe will go first. Joe…
9	[interpose]
10	MARLENA GIGA: I'll go first.
11	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Oh you'll go
12	first. How do I, how do you pronounce your name?
13	MARLENA GIGA: Marleen-uh gig-uh
14	[phonetic]
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Gig-uh okay. And
16	you're a, you're a title is?
17	MARLENA GIGA: I'm a PEP sergeant and
18	I'm currently working with 983 as a union delegate.
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay great. Well
20	[interpose]
21	MARLENA GIGA: Okay. Good afternoon
22	[interpose]
23	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Do you have, do
24	you not have any written testimony to give to us?
25	MARLENA GIGA: No.

2 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.

3 MARLENA GIGA: I'll make a copy

afterwards.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.

MARLENA GIGA: Okay. Good afternoon thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify. Thank you Mr. Vallone for stating that crime is higher in the parks than it, than it's ever been. The parks department is in denial that this is the case. They repeatedly deny that park is, they, they state that the parks are safe. They are indeed not safe. I've worked as a PEP officer for 13 years in every borough except for Staten Islands. I can tell you that crime is real in our parks. What I can tell you is that in our parks there is no way of giving a location inside of a park. For instance if I had to make an arrest or call for NYPD backup or for the fire department. And the reason being is because the cross streets do not go into the 911 system that are inside of the, the park. You have to give a location outside of the park in order to receive any, any backup, for NYPD to respond. The communication between the Park Enforcement Division and NYPD is at a bare minimum. I would find out on

a weekly basis about crimes that took place in the
parks that I patrol by reading the police blotter.
The enforcement division is not made aware of the
crimes that have taken place in the parks. Many
times we would stumble across a murder, or rape, or
robbery that has occurred on parks property and
then we would call it into central that people were
on the scene already or if we found something then
we call it in. As a PEP sergeant we would do a
weekly and monthly report with our summons count,
our arrests, and any unusual occurrences that had
happened on parks property. But I do not know what
management would do with this information and who
it was shared to. This may help in keeping track of
things on our end for the Park Enforcement
Division. I believe that it will be difficult to
track crime but it is not impossible. There is
currently a box that you can check on the NYPD 60,
61 report that states if the crime happened within
a park or a playgrounds. But the arresting officer
must check this box aside from cross street. As PEP
officers we are responsible for anything that
happens in a New York City park and we would like

2 through the paperwork. Do you have any... Can you 3 confirm that?

[crosstalk]

MARLENA GIGA: I would say that administrate, an administrative person would be able to do that. All you're doing is looking at paperwork and seeing if there's a box checked next to park or playgrounds. Moving forward we should make it mandatory for arresting officers, whatever division it is to check that box where it states parks or playgrounds. I do not know if it is mandatory now because for the last couple of years that I've been working I've seen 61 reports where crimes have taken place in the park and it's not checked and this is in the last couple of years. So we should also make that box mandatory to check for police officers, PEP officers, etcetera.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay so with a little bit more training they can... [interpose]

MARLENA GIGA: Definitely.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: ...check that box so it'll be easier to, for, for someone and we would be, someone in the police department to have to go through the, the papers. But I mean, in, in, in a

in PEP, in Queens county or in any county that you

are aware of at any, on any particular day.

24

MARLENA GIGA: On any particular shift now we have in the last four months gotten some new officers. On any shift there probably are five to eight officers, the most. That would be the most.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: For the entire county?

MARLENA GIGA: Right. Correct. And the Bronx has the most parklands and same thing, six officers to cover the whole borough. In the borough of Queens six officers minimum to cover the whole borough. It's not like they're stationed to one particular park. These officers get a call from central they can go from one part of the borough to the other part of the borough.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay, I'm going to have some more questions but I want to get to Mr.

Paulo's testimony first. We have worked together many many times and one of the things that we were able to get done was the hiring of all, of all those new PEP officers that you just mentioned. And that was because Mr. Paulo and I worked together to get that done. I can't tell you how disappointing it is to see a press release put out by an elected official who never gets anything done claiming that

this bill, with a quote from Mr. Paulo claiming
that this legislation, the legislation we're
discussing today which increases the amount of
parks that we will get information from, from 30 to
870, continues to put the lives of the public and
our officers in jeopardy by not requiring the city
track crime in park properties including all parks
and playgrounds and recreation centers. If you want
to testify, I mean I want to hear testimony about
how we can make this bill better but to say that
this bill endangers anyone, anyone's, anyone's
life, that is irresponsible. It's clearly put
together by a state senator who's never passed any
legislation. I know that you like to stand with him
and so does Mr. Croft if he's showed up yet and
have press conferences that never go anywhere but
here we have actual legislation which is going to
move. And I, and I'd like your, your constructive
criticism of how to make it better without talking
about how this bill endangers peoples' lives but
you know feel free to say it if you want but it was
in a press release. I don't know if you actually
said it or if they made it up for you and put it in

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 here but it is completely irresponsible to say that.

JOE PUALO: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thanks.

JOE PUALO: Good morning. Good morning city council people. Good morning chair Vallone. My name is Joe Paulo. I'm president of local 983 which represents approximately 3,000 city workers including Parks Enforcement Officers. Okay our concern is as always is the safety of the parks and our officers. Okay as it stands now the current legislation as you know does not encompass all the city parks and most of the violent crime that occurs, occurs in small parks, these little playgrounds. Like you'll hear about shootings that happen in basketball courts. These will not be shown on these statistics, you know in, in this legislation. We, we need a true depiction of what's, what's happening out there. A lot of these things get swept under the rug. I mean I, I, I've been to parks where there's been shootings and the blood hasn't even dried and the people are back on their playground playing basketball. These statistics have to be put in the equation. To do so

2	that is irresponsible you know. And our recreation
3	centers are part of our park system. That's where
4	our Parks Enforcement Officers work. They're not in
5	this equation. So you can, I mean we've made steps
6	forward. I appreciate all your help in the past you
7	know. And I continue to appreciate the work that
8	you're doing now but we, we have to, we have to
9	resolve this issue. Like you said it's been seven
10	years and we're still not even one tenth of one
11	percent there. You know when are we going to get
12	there? That, that's the real issue. Are we going to
13	like do this in phases because the police
14	department doesn't want to comply with this?
15	They're not even here you know. I know you're here.
16	I know you took an act of interest in this. I know
17	your views are a little more different. They're not
18	as proactive as perhaps the union is but we want to
19	get this resolved. People, people are dying you
20	know and if the truth is not there and if we're in
21	denial we're part of the problem. So again it's not
22	against you, it's not against any, any, any person
23	here. I appreciate whatever help you offered
24	currently, in the past, and for the future but we
25	have to get this issue resolved. I don't want to

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

add, I believe that there is a glitch in the paperwork, in the arrest paperwork, in the online paperwork. Currently in the 61 report which is the paperwork that the arresting officer fills out. The cross streets do not allow the officer to put the park name on the paperwork. So that has to be changed. We're never going to get it right. It's been seven years. It's going to be another seven years. We have to change that paperwork. And that, with the, you know that has to be changed from the probably the police commissioner. They can't do that in the local precincts.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yeah.

MARLENA GIGA: It has to be through the computer system.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So on the UF 61, and, and I've seen thousands of them but I haven't seen them recently it has the cross streets?

MARLENA GIGA: Correct.

member Eric Ulrich and I want to thank my staff for

working so hard on this for so many years to get to

24

this stage where we can, with the bill before us
increased from 30 to 870 parks. Mr. Paulo I am more
than happy to listen to how, what definition you

[crosstalk]

think we should use... [interpose]

JOE PUALO: Well then, then my number one concern is, is not you know, not only my officers that are in the parks that are out there to prevent this sort of stuff from happening but also you know to, to, to conveyed a, a lot of stuff that's out there, those parks aren't labeled as parks you know

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: But how do we define the park in, in this bill? How would you define it?

JOE PUALO: Well under one acre because if we have a lot of these little playgrounds that children attend where lots of crime you know is, is happening that, well you know that aren't done in, in, in this, in this legislation. If we included, why does it have to be under one acre? You know? If it's, if it's... [interpose]

[crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 20
2	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well it, it has to
3	be,…[interpose]
4	[crosstalk]
5	JOE PUALO: But see why does it have to
6	be over… [interpose]
7	[crosstalk]
8	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:has to
9	[interpose]
10	[crosstalk]
11	JOE PUALO: …one acre? I'm sorry.
12	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: It has to be
13	something. It can't be five feet by feet.
14	JOE PUALO: It should be anything,
15	anything that has a leaf on it. If it has a leaf on
16	it it's parks property.
17	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's not
18	[interpose]
19	JOE PUALO: We're there, our, our
20	officers have to respond to it.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's not really
22	helpful is it?
23	JOE PUALO: Yeah.
24	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Because the police
25	department has to track, they can't track a five

2 f

J

foot by five foot location. We have to have some definition that's workable, [crosstalk] that the police department can actually... [crosstalk] [interpose]

JOE PUALO: Well that's, I think that, I think, I think that's part of the problem. It's always this technological thing that the police department says they don't have. I mean in, in today's era we have Google Earth you know and you can locate anything anywhere. You know the police department can locate a park. You know it's, it's almost ludicrous.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I've asked you for a definition of park.

that's owned by the parks department. You know, you know if they're, they're responsible for that area, my officers are responsible to address that issue then it should be listed in, in, as, as, as criteria as being a park. You know that includes recreation centers. A lot of the city's children go to these recreation centers you know after school. They have a lot of these after school programs.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 22
2	that these children take. They're not included in
3	this. You know if a crime happens there what it
4	didn't happen in a park?
5	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Of, of course
6	they're included if they're within a park that's
7	one acre or more. And many of these [interpose]
8	JOE PUALO: Well
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:recreation
10	centers are… [interpose]
11	JOE PUALO:no, not, not
12	[interpose]
13	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:some aren't.
14	JOE PUALO: …not all are.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yeah. Right.
16	JOE PUALO: You know I, I don't, I don't
17	see why we, we can't include these other, these
18	other smaller areas where they're highly utilized
19	in these communities. Like these, you go to any
20	neighborhood you know and you'll see, you'll see
21	small parks you know within, within, within
22	the community. And that's, that's the only park a
23	lot of these kids get to see unfortunately is that
24	park on their block you know. So if you don't

include them in and this is where the really

violent stuff is happening. This is where the shootings, the stabbings are happening you know and then for not, for them not to be even, even a glitch on a radar, a bleep on a radar or whatever you want to call it, you know it's, it's, it's not I think the right thing to do.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Oh, there, if, if we can come up with a way to find a definition that would include some of these larger recreation areas no one wants to include I don't know except maybe you it is, is a five foot by five foot location. We want, we want stats that are going to be helpful to the public and helpful to us and not just you know make political points in a press release. We want stats people can use and if something happens next to a three feet away from a ten foot area and that's not a park but the ten foot area is a park that's not helpful to anyone.

JOE PUALO: We're talking more or less like basketball courts, tennis courts, things you know we out the neighborhood, like in the neighborhood playground where, where the swing sets are there. That's what we're talking about. We're not talking about a tree that was planted.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

[pause]

JOE PUALO: I mean, I mean I'm not going as far as saying I'm, I'm considering a tree that's planted on a green street you know.

CHIARPERSON VALLONE: That's parks property. That's part of the problem. That's parks property so we have to come up with a definition that's workable for the police department, useful for us, and by the way that can pest. That we all just have press conferences about that will become law and you know this administration likes to work with a speaker and they've done it very well. Sometimes to a fault and sometimes not, to get things passed so they don't get vetoed so that we can override them. There's a lot to do to get legislation passed. It is not always perfect but we have to get done what we can get done. And we have a month left and I think we can get this done. If I can make some improvements to this to get more done I would. And if I can't then I won't but I want to get something done while we're all still ... [interpose]

-

JOE PUALO: I, I, yeah.

intended as any personal attack on you.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARLENA GIGA: It's the same thing on the 61 report. The arresting officer would have to check parks property and that's why the one acre,

2	it doesn't make sense to me because a building is a
3	building. In other words there's a crime in a
4	building, in an apartment or a private house. It's,
5	it happened in a building and it gets tracked
6	through that precinct. It should not matter. Parks
7	property is parks property whether it's a pool,

rink. It should not matter. We should be able to find out where these crimes are taking place. And I

whether it's a beach, whether it's an ice skating

11 can assure you I were, I was the park enforcement 12 sergeant and the majority of my calls in any of the

boroughs that I worked in were at these smaller 13

playgrounds. In particular shootings majority of

15 the time were taking place in these small

16 playgrounds. One acre is pretty large in New York

17 City. So it, I don't, I don't see what, how the one

18 acre comes into play to be honest with you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: One acre, well the one acre would increase the amount of parks from 31 to 870 which we could really do a lot with that information. There are apparently and if you guys may know differently probably 1700 if you include less than an acre, would you guys have any better

1

8

9

10

14

19

20

21

22

23

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 28
2	statistic than that or would, does that sound about
3	right?
4	JOE PUALO: Well I, I think the point
5	she's trying to make that's easibly[phonetic], more
6	easible[phonetic], easy to track is that simple
7	check off box on the 61 report. Because if
8	something happens there they would have to
9	automatically check that box and that would parks
LO	property. So you wouldn't need this one acre
L1	criteria. Anything that happens that's park related
L2	would be checked off and the statistics would
L3	automatically be follow, followed through.
L4	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well they should
L5	check that now regardless of the size of the park.
L6	There's no size on the UF 61 [interpose]
L7	JOE PUALO: So I, I, I think
L8	[interpose]
L9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:it's just whether
20	they, whether there is someone has to go and
21	assemble that information and give it to us, and

whether it's useful information to us depending on the size of the park. I'd, you know I would like to know every crime that happens... [interpose]

JOE PUALO: Yeah.

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSSON VALLONE: ...but the police department only has down 7,000 people. They've got to compile this information. So we have to make sure they're able to do it. It's useful to us and we can get it passed. I know we can get this passed. Maybe we can make some changes to encompass some of what you guys are... [interpose]

JOE PUALO: I don't know.

now. You, you're, you're the experts in this. And you know I'd like to take a look at what we can, what we can put in there that the police will be able to comply with and that we will be able to pass that will able, that we can get a majority to pass the city council and get this into law. And then we can, you know you got a whole new council coming in in a month.

JOE PUALO: I know.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We, you can keep working on this.

JOE PUALO: And again we don't want to be here seven years from now talking about this, how we could have led it better.

_	
2	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: But this is more
3	than, more than half the parks in New York City if
4	we do this the way it stands now. We go from 30 to
5	850, 870 and it's about 1700, so it's about half
6	the parks. Do, do you know how many parks would be
7	under an acre that, that you would consider
8	parkland?
9	JOE PUALO: There's probably more than
10	[laughter]
11	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thousands? The
12	city said 1700 total. And then they said eight, at
13	the last hearing, years ago I'm trying to remember
14	and they said 875 over an acre. Wish they were here
15	so that they could give us this information.
16	MARLENA GIGA: I would say that 1700 is
17	more like one borough.
18	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Interesting.
19	MARLENA GIGA: I can go pretty much in
20	every borough and drive every couple of blocks and
21	run into parks property. We also didn't talk about…
22	[interpose]
23	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: No, it parks
24	property, every green street is parks property…

[interpose]

name again.

PAIGE LENER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.

PAIGE LENER: Yeah. I work... Yeah I think it was two years ago Mariners Harbor Mini Pool, a lifeguard and CSA were, were on the pool deck and a kid, I don't remember his age off hand, and the kid brandished a gun and that park is definitely under the acre, the acre rule, I mean...

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Tell, tell us, any, any one of you, how you, again we only started to get into statistics in '08 after I passed a bill in '06 with, with your help. So how has this information been useful to you? And how would having more information make this even more useful to you? As, as, as a union.

JOE PAULO: Well we, we now have some sort of parameter you know with the passed legislation. But it, all that tells us is, is how much we don't know you know. It tells us in these numbers of parks which is very limited that crime has skyrocketed. So if these skyrocketed here in, in, in this group of park, what about all the other parks you know that, that the statistics aren't yet in. And what about again the smaller parks where most, most, most people use more than

1	
_	

the big parks you know because of economic reasons. 2

3 And I think, and I've take, I think they've taken a

4 backseat. I think that parks that are not you know

in the well to do neighborhoods are not being 5

reported and it's just escalated yeah. And, and 6

7 it's going to continue to escalate and if we don't

recognize them you know it's going to only get

9 worse.

10

25

8

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Does anyone else

want to talk about how these statistics could be 11

12 useful to you? [interpose]

PAIGE LENER: [crosstalk] ...can go on and 13

14 on all day. We have undeveloped wooded areas. You

15 know we have these little green streets. I don't

16 know that we'll have a true answer of how to track

it so I... [interpose] 17

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: It looks like the 18

19 maximum, just said that we went on the website and

if you include 800 athletic fields, 1,000 20

playgrounds, 550 tennis courts, 66 pools, 48 21

recreation centers, 17 nature centers, 13 golf 22

23 courses, I'm not sure that's, that's going to be

useful, 14 miles of beaches, and 23 historic 24

houses, going to leave out the 12,000 monuments

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 35
2	because I'm not sure, 1,200 I'm sorry, it's 1,200
3	monuments and we get about 2500 of all of those
4	properties added up. So we're all, you know we're
5	in, we're in the area, we're in the ballpark.
6	JOE PAULO: And, and I just want to
7	remind you there's only about 160 PEP officers that
8	cover all of this you know. It's that, that also
9	goes into the statistics or how many PEP officers
LO	are hired. You know I mean if you don't include
L1	them then you know there's, there's no reason to
L2	have PEP officers there in the first place.
L3	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So that's 160
L4	citywide?
L5	JOE PAULO: Yeah. And that's with the
L6	100, with the 80 new officers. So our numbers are
L7	still way way behind.
L8	PAIGE LENER: We have an, we have an
L9	academy that's in now and I believe when they
20	started it was 52, they're now down to 44.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.
22	PAIGE LENER: And they haven't graduated

yet. Staten Island alone on one day I could...

[crosstalk] [interpose]

23

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 36
2	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And what
3	[interpose]
4	PAIGE LENER: You'll have either a solo
5	unit or have a partner to cover the entire borough.
6	[crosstalk]
7	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Wow, so their
8	either meeting you alone… [interpose]
9	PAIGE LENER: Sometimes it's me and a
10	partner. There could be two people, three people
11	and that's the borough of parks right.
12	[crosstalk]
13	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And we've had
14	entire hearings on this, on increasing [interpose]
15	PAIGE LENER: Yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:our, our PEP
17	officers. And no one's a bigger supporter of that
18	than I am and then we've [interpose]
19	PAIGE LENER: The problem is is
20	[interpose]
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:worked together
22	to get the, them, at least 80 new ones but see we,
23	that, that barely keeps up with attrition.
24	PAIGE LENER: Well for every 80 that

come in we can lose 50 percent of that pride to the

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:dwindles during
the you know that, that's normal but the
bigger problem is while you're training people,
people are retiring, people are getting better
jobs, people are, are leaving the force. Yeah Joe?
JOE PAULO: I just want to speak briefly
on that. I've never seen academy classes in parks
with the PEP officers where I ask people how do you
like the job and they tell me the job is great I'm
on the NYPD list, I'm on the fire department list,
I'm on the sanitation list, I'm, I'm, I'm on the
corrections list. The truth of the matter is the,
the pay is so low. We haven't had a contract in
five years. These guys make 35 thousand dollars a
year, actually 32 thousand dollars a year to start
and they only go up to 30, 37 thousand. So it's,
you got, and, and they have a high degree of
requirements. They have to have you know a clean
background check, they have, to have present 60
college credits as I'm just tolds and security
background. They have to maintain a driver's
license. All the, all the requirements that, that

24 an NYPD officer has but, but with like a third to,

to half their salary.

JOE PUALO: Right well we appreciate your help.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So while I, so thank you for coming down.

JOE PUALO: Thank you.

21

22

23

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And let me, let's hear from Alyson, is it Bay-hah[phonetic] it's B, I believe it's B-E-H-A from New Yorkers for Parks.

Did I get it right? Okay good. Thanks.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Alyson thank you for coming. Welcome to our cafeteria.

[laughter]

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And we do have a copy of your legislation, not of your legislation, of your testimony and you can begin thanks.

ALYSON BEHA: Thank you. Good
afternoon. My name is Alyson Beha and I'm the
Director of Research Planning and Policy at New
Yorkers for Parks, a citywide research and advocacy
organization, championing quality parks and open
spaces for all New Yorkers in all neighborhoods.
New Yorkers for Parks has closely followed the
issue of safety in parks for decades and played a
central role in advocating for the 2005 legislation
mandating that the NYPD begin to track crimes in
New York City parks. Many New Yorkers are surprised
to learn that until eight years ago crime in parks,
crimes in parks were not reported as occurring on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

park land. Instead the NYPD attracted them to the nearest street address. Responding to a strong advocacy campaign by New Yorkers for Parks and other groups the city council with leadership from council member Vallone and Mayor Bloomberg passed local law 114 mandating that the seven major felony crime complaints be tracked in city parks. This legislation marked a first step toward increasing transparency, accountability, and public awareness about parks. The legislation allowed for a phased implementation beginning with the city's 20 largest parks. In 2008 the tracking was expanded to 30 parks and the law called for it to expand to the 100 largest parks one year later. The 200 largest parks two years later and all parks measuring one acre or greater three years later. The reality has fallen short in two important respects. Most significantly no expansion of reporting has taken place since 2008 and the NYPD is still tracking crime in only 30 city parks due to what they describe as technological constraints. With such a limited sampling of parks it is difficult to accurately analyze citywide crime trends and patterns or draw meaningful conclusions about

resource deployment or other safety initiatives. 2 3 Secondly the city does not make the data publically available. New Yorkers for Parks website is one of 4 the only places providing the public with the crime 5 data collected on the 30 parks. It is our hope that 6 7 making this data available will help New Yorkers assess the safety of their parks. New Yorkers for 8 9 Parks will continue to act as a clearing house for 10 crime data as it becomes available updating our, 11 our website regularly on a quarterly basis as the 12 NYPD releases new data but again the small body of data has limited utility and we would strongly 13 14 prefer that the city make the data publicly 15 available itself. Reporting crimes in all parks 16 over one acre is everybody's best interest. It will 17 help New Yorkers better understand the reality of 18 perceived concerns about safety in parks both 19 generally and specifically. And it could lead to a 20 more informed and efficient distribution of police resources. We urge the council to work with the PD 21 to identify technological issues hindering the full 22 23 implementation of the mandate to develop and release a timeline for overcoming these restraints 24 and to work closely with the PD to ensure that the 25

3

ی

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

full program is implemented within the revised, within a revised time frame.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you Alyson and actually as you were testifying about making this publically available you reminded me that we're going to do that. We just, we added that to the bill to put this on the web.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yeah this is

ALYSON BEHA: Great.

insider baseball but when we started these recording bills I was the first one to do this bill, schools, couple other areas. Eight, eight years ago it was the legal thought that we didn't have the power to force the agencies to put it on the web. Separation of powers. We can, we can't, then we can't mandate a copy of a recording. We can't mandate a teacher to use red crayons we can't tell them to put on the web. Since then it's changed. We passed a bunch of bills which require it, this information to go on the web. They haven't challenged it, we've made some sort of arrangement where it's okay so we were one of the first which is why it didn't go public it went to us. I always gave it to you as soon as I... [interpose]

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 44
ALYSON BEHA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:got it anyway.
ALYSON BEHA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You made it
public. You did a great job of it but now if we can
get this passed, which is why I want, I would like
support from parks groups this will go on the web
also. We were, we're trying to think of better ways
to define parks so it does include a five by five
stretch of grass but it does include a playground.
ALYSON BEHA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We were thinking
perhaps including locations that have athletic
equipment like you know a basketball court or some
sort of playground equipment. Do you have any
thoughts on that that you could share with us?
ALYSON BEHA: I think that you could
probably expand your definition a little bit. I
mean you could, in order to exclude things like a
green street or a plaza where there's fairly
insignificant amount of space sometimes people

sort of definition where you say all properties under the jurisdiction of parks that provide a

can't even get into it. You could probably use some

б

Okay well thank you very much. I don't have any

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 46
2	other cards for people testifying but thank you all
3	for coming in today and I hope to move on this
4	quickly. Maybe we'll be able to make some changes
5	to make it better based on the testimony we just
6	heard so thank you for, for coming down and I look
7	forward to working with all of you to get this done
8	in the, the small time we have left to make New
9	York City even safer. Thank you all.
10	[gavel]
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

## $\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_ November 29, 2013\_\_\_\_\_